

October 2000

Foreword and Main Points

Table of Contents

	Page
Foreword	5
Main Points	
Chapter	
10 Transport Canada – Airport Transfers: National Airports System	7
11 Human Resources Development Canada – Grants and Contributions	9
12 Values and Ethics in the Federal Public Sector	11
13 Assessment of Financial Management Capabilities in Departments	13
14 Canadian International Development Agency – Managing Contracts and Contribution Agreements	15
15 Health Canada – First Nations Health: Follow-up	17
16 Follow-up of Recommendations in Previous Reports	19
17 Other Audit Observations	20



Report of the Auditor General to the House of Commons for October 2000

Foreword

I am pleased to present the October volume of my 2000 Report. Bound with this Foreword are the Main Points of 8 separately issued chapters:

10. Transport Canada – Airport Transfers: National Airports System
11. Human Resources Development Canada – Grants and Contributions
12. Values and Ethics in the Federal Public Sector
13. Assessment of Financial Management Capabilities in Departments
14. Canadian International Development Agency – Managing Contracts and Contribution Agreements
15. Health Canada – First Nations Health: Follow-up
16. Follow-up of Recommendations in Previous Reports
17. Other Audit Observations

In the first two volumes of my Report each year, it has been my practice to highlight in the Foreword some common themes in the chapters that follow. Specific comment on the results of individual audits I normally reserve for my annual chapter, Matters of Special Importance. However, the issues surrounding grants and contributions warrant particular mention here. While this Report deals with those issues as reflected in our recent audit at Human Resources Development Canada, our work in various departments back to 1977 has identified persistent shortcomings that we continue to find each time we examine grant and contribution programs.

The proper management of grants and contributions is an essential part of good public administration in the federal government. There are large amounts of public funds involved and they should be spent only with great care and appropriate levels of control.

I find our audit observations in Chapter 11 on grants and contributions at HRDC disturbing in the light of good public administration principles. Our work confirmed the findings of the Department's 1999 internal audit, and more. We found breaches of authority, improper payments, limited monitoring, and approvals that had not followed established procedures. Beyond the immediate corrective action it has taken, HRDC needs to make today's extraordinary effort tomorrow's routine and fundamentally change its day-to-day approach to the delivery of grants and contributions.

Our audits have revealed other instances of weak financial management and control related to grants and contributions. Chapter 14, for example, points out weaknesses in CIDA's management of contribution agreements.

Touching also on the need for improvements in financial management, Chapter 10 on our audit of airport transfers highlights the importance of proper financial analysis for decision making and careful oversight to protect federal assets.

Experience has shown repeatedly that as departments focus on improving their services to Canadians, they must balance that objective with the fundamentals of financial management and control. Chapter 13 sets out the results of an assessment of financial management capabilities across a number of departments. We found gaps between current expectations for financial management and the existing capabilities of departments.

It is clear from the work reported in these chapters that the challenges still to be met in improving financial management are substantial.



Transport Canada

Airport Transfers: National Airports System

Chapter 10 - Main Points

10.1 Our audit examined how Transport Canada handled the transfers of Canada's largest and busiest airports between 1992 and 1999. These airports make up Canada's National Airports System. Under the transfer agreements, Transport Canada retains ownership of the airports but leases out their management, operation and development to bodies known as airport authorities. Our audit concentrated on the financial and oversight aspects of airport transfers in the National Airports System (NAS), not on security and safety.

10.2 We found many significant weaknesses in management practices. Among our most important observations are the following:

- Before it started the lease negotiations for each airport transferred in the second round, and any renegotiations, Transport Canada did not determine the fair market value of the airport assets and business opportunities it was transferring. Such information is fundamental to both negotiating and renegotiating leases and, in our view, its absence represents a clear departure from sound management practice. The quality of information for making decisions on such things as rent is significantly impaired as a result.
- The Department has renegotiated four leases, at a cost to the government of about \$474 million in forgone rent (\$342 million net present value). The renegotiated deals do not adhere to some of the government's key directions. Further, Transport Canada cannot demonstrate how the deals for all of the transferred airports are equitable, uniform, consistent and fair, one with the other, as the government directed.
- As a result of renegotiations, the government has, in effect, agreed to a reduction of future revenues of the Crown and to the funding of significant capital projects. The information presented to Parliament on forgone rent and the funding of capital projects was fragmented, incomplete and, in some years, non-existent.
- From 1992 to 1999, the government continued to provide financial support to most of the transferred airports. It provided to the airport authorities a total of \$360 million, including \$118 million in rental credits at Lester B. Pearson International Airport toward a number of renovation projects. The government received a net total of \$593 million in rent from airport authorities in this period.
- As assessed by its consultants, the Department's preliminary financial results indicated that five years after transferring the first four airports in 1992, the government's most likely financial position varied significantly after each transfer — from better off to worse off. Although the analysis had been completed — as part of three separate studies with a total cost of \$680,000 — a year before our audit ended, we found that Treasury Board and Cabinet had not yet seen the results. The Department has yet to conduct any such analysis for any of the other transferred NAS airports.
- We are concerned that eight years into the transfer process, Transport Canada has yet to clearly define its role as landlord and overseer of the National Airports System. Its handling of key emerging issues such as those related to airport improvement fees, subsidiaries and sole-source contracting has generally been inadequate and, until 1997, was virtually non-existent. Treasury Board and/or Cabinet have received little information on these issues, and some of what they have received has been incomplete and inaccurate.

Background and other observations

10.3 Airports in the National Airports System have been transferred in two rounds. In 1992, Transport Canada leased out the management and administration of four major airports — at Montreal (Dorval and Mirabel), Vancouver, Calgary and Edmonton — to four “local airport authorities”. The second round began in 1996 with the transfer of Toronto’s Lester B. Pearson International Airport. Since then, 12 other airports, including those at Ottawa, Victoria, Winnipeg and Moncton, have been transferred to “Canadian airport authorities”. At the end of our audit, the last four NAS airports — Gander International, Québec/Jean-Lesage International, Fredericton and Prince George — remained to be transferred.

10.4 Although Transport Canada began transferring airports in the late 1980s and has been leasing out airports since 1992, only in 1994 was the National Airports Policy issued. The government saw transfers as a means of funding expansion in the vital National Airports System, making airports more competitive and viable and giving communities the flexibility to use them as tools for economic development. At the same time, Transport Canada would be able to oversee the entire System.

10.5 Transport Canada notes that a number of aspects of the transfer initiative have been positive and that airport authorities have made some strategic choices that have also represented difficult operational decisions. These included, for example, the expansion of passenger facilities, liberalization of operating policies and relocation of scheduled traffic from one airport to another.

The Department’s responses to our recommendations are included in this chapter. While the Department agrees with the majority of the recommendations and indicates the steps that it is taking or intends to take to address them, it takes a different position on a number of issues as reflected in its response following paragraph 10.106.



Human Resources Development Canada

Grants and Contributions

Chapter 11 – Main Points

11.1 Our audit examined four of the grant and contribution programs included by Human Resources Development Canada (HRDC) in its 1999 internal audit. We concluded that there were widespread deficiencies in the management control frameworks of all four programs. Our findings, which covered the period to December 1999, confirmed — and extended — those of the Department’s 1999 internal audit. Among other things, we found breaches of authority, payments made improperly, very limited monitoring of finances and activities, and approvals not based on established processes.

11.2 The results that projects were to achieve were often not defined in terms that could be measured. Even when they were (as in the case of Youth Internship Canada), results were not measured systematically to provide managers with feedback for making necessary improvements and to provide a basis for accountability. Some evaluation of these programs had been done. The evaluations of the Sectoral Partnerships Initiative and Transitional Jobs Fund resulted in some program changes. However, we could not support the Department’s findings on the effectiveness of the Transitional Jobs Fund.

11.3 The Department’s review of 37 “problem files” identified by its internal audit for further follow-up established few cases where money could be recovered from project sponsors, because most payment errors resulted from inappropriate practices by departmental staff. Many of these practices are not acceptable — proceeding without required approvals, relying on oral contracts and paying for ineligible expenses, for example. Reporting by HRDC on the results of the review focussed on overpayments and provided little information on the problems found.

11.4 Current management is committed to addressing the serious weaknesses in the management control framework in the period up to December 1999 that our audit and the 1999 internal audit identified. HRDC has corrective action planned and being implemented in response to the problems identified in its 1999 internal audit. The actions and plans also address the deficiencies we found in our audit.

11.5 The Department has made good progress toward meeting the commitments in its Six-Point Action Plan announced in February 2000. Management is enhancing the tools and the support available to staff to improve their ability to do their jobs. Work is also proceeding on additional initiatives that expand or complement the original action plan.

11.6 HRDC management will need to sustain its efforts and attention if it is to achieve the broad-based systemic change the action plans envision. Beyond the immediate corrective steps the Department has taken, it needs to make today’s extraordinary effort tomorrow’s routine and fundamentally change its day-to-day approach to the delivery of grants and contributions. Management and staff of the Department need to continue the current focus on the fundamentals of control. Minimum standards of control must be in place for all projects. However, time and effort needed to review and assess proposals, monitor progress, assess performance, and evaluate results should vary with the amount of federal funding involved and the risks associated with the project.

11.7 HRDC has established an innovative system of tracking performance — one that allows for tracking improvements in the management and administration of grants and contributions. Ongoing performance tracking and internal audit are essential tools for assessing improvements in the management control framework.

Background and other observations

11.8 HRDC spent about \$3 billion in 1999–2000 on grants and contributions for programs such as job creation and youth employment, as well as for employment benefits and support measures. We examined in detail four of about 40 grant and contribution programs run by HRDC: the Transitional Jobs Fund and its successor the Canada Jobs Fund, Youth Internship Canada, Social Development Partnerships and the Sectoral Partnerships Initiative.

11.9 HRDC's problems in managing grants and contributions worsened in the 1990s; audits in the late 1990s show the persistence of problems identified in the past. An internal audit in 1991 and its follow-up in 1994 led to little corrective action. Management's priorities then were to implement major policy initiatives and improve service; there was not enough emphasis on maintaining essential controls while red tape was being reduced and service improved.

11.10 More work is required to determine the resources needed to deliver grant and contribution programs. The Department's corrective actions and plans are designed to strengthen capacity by providing staff with appropriate support, training, tools and systems. HRDC is also taking steps to bring in new staff at appropriate levels. It plans to analyze workload to ensure that it has adequate resources in place.

11.11 The programs we audited had made available general information about their existence. For the most part, the promotion of these programs was passive and, in the case of some programs delivered at the local level, varied significantly among regions and local offices. Information was not always provided in a way that would promote equal access to the programs.

The chapter includes the Department's general response and its responses to specific recommendations.

HRDC has responded positively to our findings and recommendations and affirmed that it will continue to sustain the progress shown to date in meeting the commitments of the Action Plan and related initiatives.



Values and Ethics in the Federal Public Sector

Chapter 12 - Main Points

12.1 Canadians expect that the federal public sector will be a world leader in promoting sound values and ethics in government. Ensuring sound values and ethics is a vital part of good governance that supports and respects fundamental democratic values.

12.2 A comprehensive and sustained dialogue with Canadians and throughout the federal public sector is required. We propose a framework for action that includes eight priorities. We suggest that action start with the following two priorities.

- Reinforce leadership for promoting ethical conduct by, for example,
 - clarifying the principle of ministerial responsibility and the responsibilities of officials; and
 - identifying the responsibilities of senior and line managers for promoting sound values and ethics.
- Re-invigorate an extensive dialogue on values and ethics that emphasizes the primacy of the principles of respect for the law, the public interest, and public service as a public trust.

12.3 Sound values and ethics are needed to maintain probity. There is a strong foundation of values and ethics in the federal public sector. This foundation should not be taken for granted. The government is taking steps to maintain sound values and ethics. Most of these measures are limited or in their preliminary stages. To increase the likelihood of success, values and ethics initiatives have to be carefully developed, implemented, and monitored by using the best available practices. A prerequisite for success is the leadership of parliamentarians, ministers and senior officials.

12.4 The federal government needs to develop an implementation plan with deadlines to address values and ethics priorities across the public sector and in federal entities. We will continue to monitor and report to Parliament on values and ethics initiatives in the public sector.

Background and other observations

12.5 We focussed on values and ethics initiatives that promote ethical decision making that is in the public interest. These initiatives would form part of a comprehensive approach to good governance in federal entities.

12.6 In May 1995 we reported to Parliament on ethics and fraud awareness in government. In 1996 the government completed *A Strong Foundation: Report of the Task Force on Public Service Values and Ethics* (Tait Report). These reports contributed to forging a consensus on the values and ethics issues that need to be addressed in the federal public service.

12.7 Ensuring ethical decision making is the responsibility of all members of the federal public sector and private sector companies as well as organizations and individuals who receive funds from or do business with the public sector.

12.8 Major changes are occurring in the way government organizes and delivers its programs. These changes include deregulation, downsizing, increasing delegation of decision making to officials in the field, contracting

out and entering into partnerships with the private sector. As well, the government is using new technologies, and its work force is becoming more mobile and diverse. As a result, the government is increasingly relying on a strong foundation of values and ethics to make decisions in the public interest.

12.9 As part of good governance efforts, several departments are implementing major values and ethics initiatives. Others do not assign a high priority to developing comprehensive values and ethics initiatives. We believe that all entities should objectively assess and report on values and ethics.

The responses of the Privy Council Office, the deputy minister co-champions for values and ethics in the public service, the Secretary of the Treasury Board and the Ethics Counsellor are included at the end of this chapter. They agree with our assessment of the initiatives under way and acknowledge that there is an important need for further work.



Assessment of Financial Management Capabilities in Departments

Chapter 13 - Main Points

13.1 Our audit assessed the financial management capabilities in five government departments against the criteria established in the Financial Management Capability Model published in April 1999. We found that in each department gaps remain between current expectations for financial management and the existing capabilities of the departments. The capabilities needed to meet current expectations are consistent with those we have described in the Control Level of the Financial Management Capability Model.

13.2 We also noted that departments are at the initial stages of developing the capabilities needed to meet the requirements of the government's Financial Information Strategy and the Modernization of Comptrollership initiative. These capabilities are consistent with the capabilities described in the Information Level of the Financial Management Capability Model.

13.3 Based on the level of financial management capabilities in the departments that we audited, the challenges to be met in improving financial management are substantial. Only one department is close to meeting all the government's current expectations and none currently have the capabilities needed to meet the goals of the Financial Information Strategy and Modernization of Comptrollership initiative. Put simply, it will be very difficult to make government more businesslike if stronger financial information is not well integrated into both day-to-day management and accountability reporting to Parliament. The achievement of these capabilities throughout government will require the strong commitment and sustained support of the Treasury Board Secretariat, Privy Council Office and deputy ministers.

13.4 Our Office has expressed concern about the need to improve financial management in government for a number of years. Similarly, the Public Accounts Committee has noted, "There has been a common call for improvements in financial information to support government decision making." We have noted an increase in the number of initiatives being undertaken and a heightened sense of urgency to develop strong financial management capabilities. The government and the departments themselves have much to do in this area. The success of Results for Canadians — A Management Framework for the Government of Canada, the Financial Information Strategy and the Modernization of Comptrollership initiative will depend on this action.

Background and other observations

13.5 Financial management is an important component of what financial and program managers in departments and agencies do in delivering programs and services and exercising stewardship over the resources entrusted to them. An integrated and systematic approach to financial management allows managers to have the information to make sound decisions, manage risks well, and account properly for the use of public resources. Until recently, financial management has focussed on controlling budgets and processing transactions. Today's rapidly changing environment makes it urgent for the government to implement effective financial management.

13.6 We noted that departments had not implemented adequate monitoring of the effectiveness of their control frameworks. Such monitoring, together with providing assurance to senior management that control mechanisms are operating as designed, is an important component of sound management, particularly in a period of significant change that accompanies the implementation of new financial systems.

13.7 One of the most common gaps that we found in departments was the limited ability to combine or integrate financial and operational (non-financial) information. This gap has clear implications for individual

departments and the government as a whole. First, if departments cannot integrate this information, they cannot give Parliament and the Canadian public a realistic picture of how much it has actually cost to produce a given result or deliver a given level of service. Second, without integrated information, senior management cannot readily forecast the downstream costs of major decisions — for example, a decision to increase or decrease the level of service of a program.

13.8 We also found that departments had not established a clear vision or strategy for the transformations that are to take place — an essential first step in managing the changes. Similarly, departments have not determined the skills and capacities that are needed to carry out these changes or whether there is a gap between what is needed and what they currently possess. We also noted that departments had not implemented specific measures against which progress in developing strong financial management capabilities can be tracked.

The response of the Treasury Board Secretariat, on behalf of the government and the five departments audited, is included at the end of this chapter. The response indicates that the recommendations are consistent with the current improvement plans and government expectations of sound management practices.

The responses of Canadian International Development Agency, Environment Canada, Fisheries and Oceans, Health Canada and Transport Canada are included in the case studies in the chapter. The responses indicate an intent to address the gaps identified and that in many cases initiatives are already under way.



Canadian International Development Agency

Managing Contracts and Contribution Agreements

Chapter 14 - Main Points

14.1 In CIDA's Geographic programs, contracts and contribution agreements with Canadian executing agencies are used to implement development projects. Where CIDA used a competitive process for selecting executing agencies, with some exceptions the process was properly conducted. However, we observed instances where contracts did not comply with the Treasury Board Contracting Policy or the Government Contracts Regulations.

14.2 An authority framework, similar to that for the contracting process, is not in place for CIDA's contribution agreements. The terms and conditions for grants and contributions related to the Geographic programs are very general and provide no direction on how and when to use contribution agreements. They include a provision that contributions are to be approved in accordance with regular departmental procedures and authorities; however, exceptions can be dealt with internally by CIDA. Consequently, CIDA's use of contribution agreements to select executing agencies often varied from its stated internal policies or practices. CIDA can select executing agencies by means of contribution agreements, which are, in effect, the same as sole-source contracts that would not be permitted under Government Contracts Regulations. This was the case in about half of the contribution agreements we examined.

14.3 CIDA project officers attach considerable importance to monitoring the agreements under their responsibility. They commonly use monitors under contract to review and report on progress, and they insist on receiving reports from Canadian executing agencies as required.

14.4 For the Voluntary Sector program, the Canadian Partnership Branch obtains reasonable information on the financial health of its Canada-based partners. However, limited information is received on projects that were funded, on the amounts spent on them, and on results obtained. CIDA bases its funding primarily on historical levels rather than on partners' performance. More meaningful and accurate information on the Canadian Partnership Branch is needed in CIDA's Performance Report to Parliament.

Background and other observations

14.5 CIDA is responsible for managing about \$1.8 billion of Canada's international assistance. Approximately \$700 million of that amount goes to Geographic Branches for programs aimed at countries in Asia, Africa and Latin America. Most of this amount is spent through contracts and contribution agreements with third parties, referred to as executing agencies, to deliver development assistance projects. Another \$260 million goes to the Canadian Partnership Branch for grants and contributions to organizations to carry out their own aid programs.

14.6 In 1998, our Office published a report that commented on results-based management related to Geographic programs. We concluded that progress in managing for results was evident but uneven.

14.7 This audit focussed on how CIDA's Geographic Branches manage contracting and other types of agreements for goods and services, including the selection of Canadian executing agencies to deliver projects. It also examined the control framework for agreements in the Canadian Partnership Branch's Voluntary Sector program. The audit aimed to assess whether CIDA's contracting/contribution agreement processes respect Government Contracts Regulations, Treasury Board guidelines and its own policies; whether they are fair and transparent; and whether they meet operational requirements and development needs.

The Agency's responses to our recommendations are included in this chapter. The Agency accepts the recommendations and indicates the actions that it is taking or intends to take to address them.



Health Canada

First Nations Health: Follow-up

Chapter 15 - Main Points

15.1 Health Canada has initiated action to address our observations and recommendations on First Nations Health (1997 Report, Chapter 13). However, we are concerned that the Department has not yet made sufficient progress to fix many of the problems we identified. Continued and sustained effort is required to ensure that all the recommendations are fully implemented.

15.2 We found that:

- the point-of-service system, a key mechanism in the administration of pharmacy benefits and the control of prescription drug use, is now fully operational. It provides drug utilization warning messages to assist pharmacists in deciding whether to dispense prescriptions. As a result, a number of prescriptions have not been filled; and
- a pre-determination process for dental benefits, implemented nationally since 1997, has resulted in substantial savings.

15.3 However, we observed that:

- the management of contribution agreements for the delivery of community health programs is still weak;
- the management of transfer agreements still needs improvement; and
- Health Canada has not adequately monitored the drug utilization warning messages that pharmacists have overridden. Nor has it undertaken sufficient analysis of the effectiveness of the messages to determine whether any adjustments are warranted.

Background and other observations

15.4 Health Canada delivers health services and other related services to over 600 First Nations communities. It delivers them mainly through community health programs (including those transferred to community control) and the Non-Insured Health Benefits program, which covers such benefits as prescription drugs, dental care, medical transportation, and other benefits. Together, these services represented spending of just over \$1.0 billion in 1998–99.

15.5 Our 1997 audit found that Non-Insured Health Benefits program expenditures were not well managed and, in most areas, not properly controlled. In particular, significant weaknesses in the management of pharmacy benefits allowed clients to access extremely high levels of prescription drugs. We noted numerous reports of prescription drug addiction and prescription-drug-related deaths of First Nations individuals in several provinces. We also found weaknesses in the management of contribution agreements for the delivery of community health programs as well as in the management of transfer agreements.

15.6 Our follow-up found that the Department has made limited progress in ensuring that reports required under separate contribution agreements are provided. We also found that the Department's performance reports contain limited information on the status of community health programs, including outcomes achieved.

15.7 With respect to transfer agreements, we found that community health plans are still not being updated when agreements are renewed, and requirements for audits, annual reports and evaluations often are not met.

15.8 The Department developed and implemented a protocol for following up with clients, physicians, pharmacists and professional bodies on cases that suggest possible prescription drug misuse. This has had some positive impact, with some regions starting to show a decline in the number of cases of individuals accessing large amounts of prescription drugs. However, as management was unsure of the appropriateness of the approach in the absence of either client consent or specific statutory authority for the Non-Insured Health Benefits program, this intervention was stopped in May 1999. There are still cases of program clients accessing large amounts of prescription drugs, and these require follow-up.

15.9 The Department was slow to develop and incorporate an audit strategy based on an appropriate assessment of risks in its new pharmacy and dental claims-processing contract. It also failed to ensure that the contractor perform the on-site audits of pharmacies and dental providers that the contract requires. We found few such audits undertaken since December 1998 to provide assurance that expenditures claimed had been incurred for the intended purposes.

15.10 Progress to improve efficiencies in administering medical transportation benefits has been limited.

Health Canada's responses to our recommendations are included in this chapter. The Department is continuing with corrective action in response to our 1997 recommendations and has agreed to take action in response to our two new recommendations.



Follow-up of Recommendations in Previous Reports

Chapter 16 - Main Points

16.1 This chapter presents our follow-up of six audits originally published between 1995 and 1998. In the final volume of this year's Report, due to be tabled in December 2000, we will publish an additional follow-up chapter and provide a general conclusion of the government's progress in addressing the concerns we raised in previous reports.

16.2 The policy and legislative environment of the financial services sector has changed considerably since our 1995 and 1997 Reports. Canada's regulatory system for this sector is sound and the Office of the Superintendent of Financial Institutions (OSFI) has taken many satisfactory steps to deal with our previous recommendations. However, with all the expected changes that will affect the financial services sector, OSFI's ability to supervise and regulate could be challenged in the short term. Furthermore, in this changing environment, recruiting and retaining employees will become a bigger challenge.

16.3 Although progress has been slow on addressing the recommendations of our November 1995 chapter — *Revolving Funds in the Parliamentary System: Financial Management, Accountability and Audit* — recent initiatives by the Treasury Board Secretariat substantially address our recommendations.

16.4 The Canada Industrial Relations Board, formerly known as the Canada Labour Relations Board, has made progress in addressing our concerns about financial control problems. Payment to former members was the single biggest expenditure of the Canada Industrial Relations Board's \$1.7 million in transition costs. We also observed that the government, as a whole, has not taken adequate action to improve the accountability framework governing travel and hospitality expenditures of senior Governor in Council appointees.

16.5 National Defence has made significant progress in addressing its lack of plans and priorities for its capital acquisition program. The management of individual major capital projects has also improved. The Department has gone beyond its original commitments to make improvements. Nevertheless, officials estimate that the Department is still about \$750 million a year short of the amount needed to modernize and maintain readiness. The "hard choices" referred to in 1998 have been identified, but not all of them have been made.



Other Audit Observations

Chapter 17 - Main Points

17.1 The *Auditor General Act* requires the Auditor General to include in his Reports matters of significance that, in his opinion, should be brought to the attention of the House of Commons.

17.2 The “Other Audit Observations” chapter fulfils a special role in the Reports. Other chapters normally describe the findings of the comprehensive audits we perform in particular departments, or they report on audits and studies of issues that relate to operations of the government as a whole. This chapter reports on specific matters that have come to our attention during our financial and compliance audits of the Public Accounts of Canada, Crown corporations and other entities, or during our value-for-money audits.

17.3 The chapter normally contains observations concerning departmental expenditures and/or revenues. The issues addressed generally involve failure to comply with authorities, and the expenditure of money without due regard to economy.

17.4 Observations reported in this chapter cover the following:

- Space was leased at an excessive cost for a Canada Business Service Centre in Sydney, Nova Scotia that was never operated as intended;
- Inappropriate netting of benefit payments obscures the true size of government revenues and expenditures and complicates the evaluation of fiscal measures;
- Government programs and spending for Parc Downsview Park Inc. lack clear parliamentary authority;
- Non-recovery of expenditures for safe drinking water on Indian reserves affected by Manitoba Hydro development; and
- Significant risk that a \$113 million relocation project will not adequately address the needs of the Innu.

17.5 Although the individual audit observations report matters of significance, they should not be used as a basis for drawing conclusions about matters we did not examine.