Information Sheet: The Basics of Victimization


- Casarez-Levison (1992) discussed victimization as a process where the person moves from a precrime state (Previctimization), to the crime event itself (Victimization), to initial coping and adjustment (Transition) and finally to a state where being a crime victim is just part of their life experience (Resolution).

- Workers should ensure that victims understand that treatment may mean getting worse before getting better (Nishith, Resick & Griffin, 2002).

- Workers should also be watchful of poor coping strategies such as drug and alcohol abuse, worsening of personal relationships, increased isolation or withdrawal (Casarez-Levison, 1992). These poor responses should be addressed as quickly as possible by teaching the victim new, more effective coping techniques.

- Interventions appear to be able to help victims many years post-victimization (Resick, Nishith, Weaver, Astin & Feuer, 2002).

- Workers need to be cautious of engaging in “trauma bias” where one assumes that crime victims are automatically traumatized when they are not (Nelson, Wangsgaard, Yorgason, Higgins Kessler & Carter-Vassol, 2002).
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The process of victimization and recovery (Casarez-Levison, 1992)

Previctimization ↔ Victimization ↔ Transition ↔ Reorganization

Key Issues in Each Stage:

Previctimization/Organization

- History of childhood physical and sexual abuse (Messman & Long, 1996; Nishith et al., 2000; Pimlott-Kubiak & Cortina, 2003);
- History of previous Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) (Brunet et al., 2001);
- Severity of previous PTSD episode(s) (Brunet et al., 2001);
- History of previous crime victimization or trauma (Byrne et al., 1999; Messman & Long, 1996; Norris et al., 1997; Nishith et al., 2000; Ozer et al., 2003);
- Psychiatric history, especially depression (Ozer et al., 2003);
- Family history of psychiatric problems (Ozer et al., 2003);
- Personality characteristics (Davis et al., 1998; Nolen-Hoeksema & Davis, 1999; Thompson et al., 2002);
- Coping history (Dempsey, 2002; Everly et al., 2000; Harvey & Bryant, 2002);
- Interpersonal relationship history (Kliewer, Murrelle, Mejia, Torres de G & Angold, 2001; Mikulincer et al., 1993; Nelson et al., 2002).

Victimization/Disorganization

- Crime characteristics, especially severity, have a profound effect on trauma (Gilboa-Schechtman & Foa, 2001; Norris et al., 1997; Ozer et al., 2003);
- Victim characteristics such as gender, age, history, etc. can affect the victim’s reaction (Brewin et al., 2000, Greenberg & Ruback, 1992; Pimlott-Kubiak & Cortina, 2003; Wilmsen-Thornhill & Thornhill, 1991; Weinrath, 2000);
- Caution regarding secondary victimization by the system (Campbell et al., 1999; Hagemann, 1992; Norris et al., 1997);
- Dissociation during or immediately following the crime is a strong predictor of PTSD (Halligan et al., 2003; Ozer et al., 2003);
- Trauma memories are more disorganized than non-trauma memories (Halligan et al., 2003);
- Initial dissociation (shock) may be adaptive in some cases in that it may interfere with encoding into the long-term memory (Bromberg, 2003);
- There may be a narrowing of attention (Holman & Silver, 1998);
- There is a need for social support (emotional, informational, appraisal and instrumental);
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- Gathering information aimed at helping the victim make decisions;
- Gathering information about resources and common reactions;
- Emotional reactions need to be experienced and processed;
- Assess the victim's coping strategies;
- Crisis intervention models may be useful in helping the victim overcome the initial challenges of surviving a crime (Calhoun & Atkeson, 1991).

Transition/Protection

- Natural and professional supports could be accessed (Casarez-Levison, 1992);
- May apply the Transtheoretical Model of Change to help identify what level of service is needed (Prochaska et al., 1992);
- Dissociation may indicate later difficulties (Ozer et al. 2003);
- There may be active blocking of memories (Thompson, 2000);
- Victims may avoid crime related reminders, either through drugs/alcohol or active avoidance (Everly et al., 2000; Hagemann, 1992; Mezy, 1988; Wolkenstein & Sterman, 1998);
- Victims may engage in safety-oriented behaviours (Hagemann, 1992);
- Victims may focus on meaning making (Gorman, 2001; Layne et al., 2001; Nolen-Hoeksema & Davis, 1999; Thompson, 2000);
- Social comparison is often used to understand victimization (Hagemann, 1992; Greenberg & Ruback, 1992; Thompson, 2000);
- Victims may engage in self-comparison activities, focused on pre/post victimization changes (McFarland & Alvaro, 2000);
- Active treatment may be initiated (Casarez-Levison, 1992);
- Victims need to be informed that entering treatment may mean getting worse before getting better (Nishith et al, 2002);
- Treatments of PTSD including an exposure element seem to be effective (Bryant et al., 2003; Nishith et al., 2002);
- Self-efficacy may be important in treatment programs (Thompson et al., 2002);
- Emotionally engaged clients recover faster (Gilboa-Schechtman & Foa, 2001).

Reorganization/Resolution

- Recovery does not mean returning to a pre-victimized state (Hagemann, 1992);
- The Transtheoretical Model of Change may be useful in maintaining new, healthier behaviours (Prochaska, DiClemente & Norcross, 1992);
- Victims may focus on how surviving indicates strength (Hagemann, 1992; Thompson, 2000);
• Any remaining negative coping strategies need to be minimized (Dempsey, 2002);
• Activism is a possible positive long-term outcome of victimization (Hagemann, 1992).
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