

Health

Canada

Proposed Re-evaluation Decision

Santé

PRVD2010-17

Clopyralid

(publié aussi en français)

2 December 2010

This document is published by the Health Canada Pest Management Regulatory Agency. For further information, please contact:

Publications Pest Management Regulatory Agency Health Canada 2720 Riverside Drive A.L. 6604-E2 Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0K9

pmra.publications@hc-sc.gc.ca Internet: healthcanada.gc.ca/pmra

Facsimile: 613-736-3758 Information Service: 1-800-267-6315 or 613-736-3799 pmra.infoserv@hc-sc.gc.ca



ISSN: 1925-0959 (print) 1925-0967 (online)

Catalogue number: H113-27/2010-17E (print)

H113-27/2010-17E-PDF (PDF version)

© Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada, represented by the Minister of Health Canada, 2010

All rights reserved. No part of this information (publication or product) may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, or stored in a retrieval system, without prior written permission of the Minister of Public Works and Government Services Canada, Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0S5.

Table of Contents

Overview	1
Proposed Re-evaluation Decision for Clopyralid	1
What Does Health Canada Consider When Making a Re-evaluation Decision?	2
What is Clopyralid?	
Health Considerations	3
Environmental Considerations	5
Value Considerations	5
Measures to Minimize Risk	6
What Additional Scientific Information is Being Requested?	6
Next Steps	7
Other Information	
Science Evaluation	
1.0 Introduction	
2.0 The Active Substance, Its Properties And Uses	
2.1 The Technical Grade Active Ingredient, Its Properties and Uses	
2.2 Description of Registered Clopyralid Uses	
3.0 Impact on Human and Animal Health	
3.1 Toxicology Summary	
3.2 Occupational and Non-Occupational Risk Assessment	
3.2.1 Toxicology Endpoint Selection for Occupational Risk Assessment	
3.2.2 Occupational Exposure and Risk Assessment	
3.2.3 Non-Occupational Exposure and Risk Assessment	
3.3 Dietary Risk Assessment	
3.3.1 Determination of Acute Reference Dose (ARfD)	
3.3.2 Acute Dietary Exposure and Risk Assessment	
3.3.3 Determination of Acceptable Daily Intake (ADI)	
3.3.4 Chronic Dietary Exposure and Risk Assessment	
3.4 Exposure From Drinking Water	
3.4.1 Concentrations in Drinking Water	
3.4.2 Food and Drinking Water Exposure and Risk Assessment	
3.5 Aggregate Risk Assessment	
3.6 Incident Reports	
4.0 Impact on the Environment	
4.1 Fate and Behaviour in the Environment	
4.2 Environmental Risk Characterization	
4.2.1 Risks to Terrestrial Organisms	
4.2.2 Risks to Aquatic Organisms	
4.2.3 Incident Reports	
5.0 Value	
5.1 Commercial Class Products	
5.2 Domestic Class Products	
5.3 Value of Clopyralid	24

6.0 Pest Control Product Policy Considerations	24
6.1 Toxic Substances Management Policy Considerations	24
6.2 Formulants and Contaminants of Health or Environmental Concern	25
7.0 Summary	26
7.1 Human Health and Safety	26
7.1.1 Occupational Risk	26
7.1.2 Dietary Risk from Food and Drinking Water	26
7.1.4 Aggregate Risk	27
7.2 Environmental Risk	27
7.3 Value	27
8.0 Proposed Regulatory Decision	27
8.1 Proposed Regulatory Actions	27
8.1.1 Proposed Regulatory Action Related to Human Health	27
8.1.2 Proposed Regulatory Action Related to Environment	30
8.1.3 Proposed Regulatory Action Related to Value	30
8.2 Additional Data Requirements	31
8.2.1 Data Requirements Related to Chemistry	31
8.2.2 Data Requirements Related to Health	
8.2.3 Data Requirements Related to Environmental Risks	31
List of Abbreviations	
Appendix I Registered clopyralid products as of January 9, 2009. Discontinued produ	
products with a submission for discontinuation or products which the regi	
wishes to discontinue are not included.	
Appendix II Registered uses of clopyralid as of January 9, 2009. No aerial application	on is
allowed for any registered uses	37
Appendix III Toxicology Profile for Clopyralid	
Appendix IV Toxicology Endpoints for Health Risk Assessment for Clopyralid	
Appendix V Occupational Exposure Risk Estimates for Clopyralid	51
Table V.1 M/L/A Short to Intermediate-Term Commercial Applicator Exposure a Assessment ^a	
Table V.2 Dermal Post-Application Short-Term Exposure and Risk Assessment ^a .	
Appendix VI Dietary Exposure and Risk Estimates for Clopyralid	
Table VI.1 Acute Food and Drinking Water Exposure Risk Estimates	
Table VI.2 Chronic Food and Drinking Water Risk Estimates	
Appendix VII Food Residue Chemistry Summary	
Appendix VIIIImpact on the Environment.	
Table 1 Fate and Behaviour in the Environment	
Table 2 Toxicity to Non-Target Species	
Table 3 Screening Level Risk Assessment On Terrestrial Non-Target Species Oth	
Table 4 Screening Level Risk Assessment On Birds And Mammals	
Table 5 Risk Assessment to Aquatic Organisms	
Table 6 Toxic Substances Management Policy Considerations-Comparison to TSI	
Track 1 Criteria	
Appendix IX Monitoring Data	
Appendix X I shel Amendments for Commercial Class Products Containing Clopyr	

Appendix XI	Supplemental Maximum Residue Limit Information—International Situation	
	and Trade Implications	. 73
Table XI.1	Comparison between MRLs in Canada and in Other Jurisdictions	. 73
	Residue Definition in Canada and Other Jurisdictions	
References		7

Overview

Proposed Re-evaluation Decision for Clopyralid

After a thorough re-evaluation of the herbicide clopyralid, Health Canada's Pest Management Regulatory Agency (PMRA), under the authority of the *Pest Control Products Act*, is proposing continued registration for the sale and use of clopyralid products in Canada.

An evaluation of available scientific information found that, under the proposed conditions of use, clopyralid products have value in the food and crop industry and do not present unacceptable risks to human health or the environment.

The PMRA's pesticide re-evaluation program considers potential risks as well as the value of pesticide products to ensure they meet modern standards established to protect human health and the environment.

This proposal affects all end-use products containing clopyralid registered in Canada. Once the final re-evaluation decision is made, registrants will be instructed on how to address any new requirements.

This Proposed Re-evaluation Decision is a consultation document¹ that summarizes the science evaluation for clopyralid and presents the reasons for the proposed re-evaluation decision. It also proposes additional risk-reduction measures to further protect human health and the environment.

The information is available in two parts. This Overview describes the regulatory process and key points of the evaluation, while the Science Evaluation section provides detailed technical information on the human health, environmental and value assessment of clopyralid. A full copy of the Science Evaluation section is available upon request through Publications.

The PMRA will accept written comments on this proposal up to 60 days from the date of publication of this document. Please forward all comments to Publications (please see contact information on the cover page of this document).

_

[&]quot;Consultation statement" as required by subsection 28(2) of the Pest Control Products Act

What Does Health Canada Consider When Making a Re-evaluation Decision?

The key objective of the *Pest Control Products Act* is to prevent unacceptable risks to people and the environment from the use of pest control products. Health or environmental risk is considered acceptable if there is reasonable certainty that no harm to human health, future generations or the environment will result from use or exposure to the product under its conditions or proposed conditions of registration². The Act also requires that products have value³ when used according to the label directions. Conditions of registration may include special precautionary measures on the product label to further reduce risk.

To reach its decisions, the PMRA applies hazard and risk assessment methods as well as policies that are rigorous and modern. These methods consider the unique characteristics of sensitive subpopulations in both humans (e.g. children) and organisms in the environment (e.g. those most sensitive to environmental contaminants). These methods and policies also consider the nature of the effects observed and the uncertainties present when predicting the impact of pesticides. For more information on how the PMRA regulates pesticides, the assessment process and risk-reduction programs, please visit the PMRA section of Health Canada's website at www.pmra-arla.gc.ca.

Before making a re-evaluation decision on clopyralid, the PMRA will consider all comments received from the public in response to this consultation document⁴. The PMRA will then publish a Re-evaluation Decision document⁵ on clopyralid, which will include the decision, the reasons for it, a summary of comments received on the proposed registration decision and the PMRA's response to these comments.

For more details on the information presented in this overview, please refer to the Science Evaluation section.

What is Clopyralid?

Clopyralid is a selective systemic broadleaf weed herbicide. It is registered for post-emergence use on terrestrial food crops, terrestrial feed crops, industrial oilseed and fibre crops, forest and woodlands, ornamental outdoors, and industrial and domestic vegetation control for non-food sites. Clopyralid may be used alone to control broadleaf weeds or in co-formulation with MCPA or flumetsulam to control both broadleaf and grassy weeds. It is applied once or twice per year at a rate of 75 to 298.8 g a.i./ha by ground equipment only.

[&]quot;Acceptable risks" as defined by subsection 2(2) of the *Pest Control Products Act*

[&]quot;Value" as defined by subsection 2(1) of the *Pest Control Products Act*: "the product's actual or potential contribution to pest management, taking into account its conditions or proposed conditions of registration, and includes the product's (a) efficacy; (b) effect on host organisms in connection with which it is intended to be used; and (c) health, safety and environmental benefits and social and economic impact".

[&]quot;Consultation statement" as required by subsection 28(2) of the *Pest Control Products Act*

[&]quot;Decision statement" as required by subsection 28(5) of the *Pest Control Products Act*

Health Considerations

Can Approved Uses of Clopyralid Affect Human Health?

Clopyralid is unlikely to affect your health when used according to the label directions.

Potential exposure to clopyralid may occur through diet (food and water), when applying the product or by entering treated sites. When assessing health risks, two key factors are considered: the levels at which no health effects occur and the levels to which people may be exposed. The dose levels used to assess risks are established to protect the most sensitive human population (e.g., children and nursing mothers). Only those uses where exposure is well below levels that cause no effects in animal testing are considered acceptable for continued registration.

Toxicology studies in laboratory animals describe potential health effects from varying levels of exposure to a chemical and identify the dose where no effects are observed. The health effects noted in animals occur at doses more than 100-times higher (and often much higher) than levels to which humans are normally exposed when using clopyralid products according to the label directions.

Clopyralid is of low toxicity by the oral, inhalation and dermal route in laboratory animals. It is severely irritating to the eyes, non-irritating to skin, and non-sensitising. Clopyralid did not cause cancer in animals and was not genotoxic. There was also no indication that clopyralid caused damage to the nervous system and there were no effects on reproduction. The first signs of toxicity in animals given daily doses of clopyralid over longer periods of time were effects on body weight, the stomach and the liver. The risk assessment protects against these effects by ensuring that the level of human exposure is well below the lowest dose at which these effects occurred in animal tests.

When clopyralid was given to pregnant animals, effects on the developing fetus were observed at doses that were toxic to the mother. In particular, an increase in hydrocephaly in rabbit fetuses occurred at a maternally toxic dose. Consequently, extra protective measures were applied during the risk assessment to further reduce the allowable level of human exposure to clopyralid.

Residues in Water and Food

Dietary risks from food and water are not of concern.

Reference doses define levels to which an individual can be exposed over a single day (acute) or lifetime (chronic) and expect no adverse health effects. Generally, dietary exposure from food and water is acceptable if it is less than 100% of the acute reference dose or chronic reference dose (acceptable daily intake). An acceptable daily intake is an estimate of the level of daily exposure to a pesticide residue that, over a lifetime, is believed to have no significant harmful effects.

Human exposure to clopyralid was estimated from residues in treated crops and drinking water, including the most highly exposed subpopulation (e.g., infants and children 1 to 2 years old).

This aggregate exposure (i.e., to clopyralid from food and drinking water) represents less than 6% of the acute reference dose and less than 13% of the chronic reference dose.

The *Food and Drugs Act* prohibits the sale of adulterated food, that is, food containing a pesticide residue that exceeds the established maximum residue limit (MRL). Pesticide MRLs are established through the evaluation of scientific data under the *Pest Control Products Act*. Each MRL value defines the maximum concentration in parts per million (ppm) of a pesticide allowed in/on certain foods. Food containing a pesticide residue that does not exceed the established MRL does not pose an unacceptable health risk.

MRLs for clopyralid are currently specified for barley, blueberries, broccoli, cabbages, cauliflower, Chinese broccoli, Chinese mustard cabbages, kohlrabi, napa Chinese cabbages, oats, strawberries, wheat, cattle, goats, hogs, horses, poultry, sheep, eggs, and milk or processed foods derived from these foods. Where no specific MRL has been established, a default MRL of 0.1 ppm applies, which means that pesticide residues in a food commodity must not exceed 0.1 ppm. Details regarding MRLs for clopyralid can be found in the Science Evaluation section of this consultation document.

Non-Occupational Risks From Clopyralid

Clopyralid is not registered for use in residential areas, thus a residential risk assessment was not required.

Aggregate risk from exposure incurred as a patron of a "Pick Your Own" facility is not of concern.

Dermal exposure to clopyralid residues during a pick-your-own operation was considered to be negligible and not of concern, thus an aggregate dermal and oral pick your own risk assessment was not required.

Occupational Risks From Handling Clopyralid

Occupational risks are not of concern.

Risk estimates associated with mixing, loading and applying activities are not of concern and additional personal protective equipment (PPE) are not required beyond what is currently specified on the label.

Post-application risks are not of concern.

Risks to workers entering crops treated with clopyralid are not of concern. The minimum 12 hour restricted entry interval (REI) is proposed for all uses.

Environmental Considerations

What Happens When Clopyralid Is Introduced Into the Environment?

Clopyralid poses a potential risk to non-target terrestrial plants therefore additional risk reduction measures need to be observed.

When clopyralid is released into the environment some of it can be found in soil and surface water. Clopyralid in soil or water is not susceptible to hydrolysis or phototransformation. However, it breaks down through microbial transformation with carbon dioxide being the only major transformation product. Clopyralid is non-persistent to persistent in soil and water.

Clopyralid is very soluble in water and does not adsorb strongly to soils and therefore may leach into groundwater and enter surface water in run-off. Water monitoring has revealed clopyralid residues in groundwater as well as surface water. Clopyralid is not expected in the air because of its low volatility and has low potential for bioconcentration in biota.

Clopyralid, when used according to label directions, does not present a risk to earthworms, bees, beneficial arthropods and other insects, small mammals, birds and aquatic organisms. However, clopyralid may pose a risk to some non-target terrestrial plants. In order to minimize the potential exposure to plants, spray buffer zones will be required. The width of these spray buffer zones will be specified on the product label.

Value Considerations

What is the Value of Clopyralid?

Clopyralid contributes to weed management in a variety of crop and non-crop sites when used in accordance with the label directions.

Unlike other auxin-mimics, clopyralid can be applied to many broadleaf crops. It controls many troublesome perennial broadleaf weeds including Canada thistle, dandelion and perennial sowthistle. It can be co-formulated or tank mixed with many other herbicides to broaden weed control spectrum. Clopyralid is the only post-emergence broadleaf herbicide registered for use in Canada on cole crops (cabbage, cauliflower, broccoli, Brussels sprouts, rutabaga, Chinese cabbage, radish, kohlrabi and mustard cabbage). Furthermore, it is the only alternative postemergence broadleaf herbicide to bentazon in highbush blueberry, and to 2,4-D in cranberry and strawberry (harvest year, renovation). Other non-selective post-emergence herbicides are registered for use on shelterbelts, however, clopyralid is the only selective post-emergence herbicide registered for this use. When used in rotation with active ingredients from other herbicide groups, clopyralid plays a role in mitigating resistance development in weeds.

Measures to Minimize Risk

Registered pesticide product labels include specific instructions for use. Directions include risk-reduction measures to protect human and environmental health. These directions are required by law to be followed.

Although no risk of concern were identified, measures in addition to those already identified on existing clopyralid product labels, are required to further protect human health and the environment. The following additional key risk-reduction measures are being proposed.

Additional Key Risk-Reduction Measures

Human Health

- A restricted entry interval to protect workers entering treated sites
- Statements for personal protective equipment are updated and standardized between the product labels
- A statement clarifying that product is not to be used in greenhouses
- A statement to promote best management practices to minimize human exposure from spray drift or spray residues resulting from drift

Environment

- Additional advisory label statements and specification of buffer zones to protect non-target terrestrial plants
- Advisory label statements to indicate that the use of clopyralid may result in contamination of groundwater and surface water through leaching and runoff, respectively

What Additional Scientific Information is Being Requested?

Data Requirements (Section 12) Related to Chemistry

DACO 2.13.4 Impurities of Human Health or Environmental Concern

The applicant must provide analytical data from at least five recent batches of the products for hexachlorobenzene, pentachlorobenzene and tetrachlorobenzenes (three isomers) from a GLP-compliant or government-accredited laboratory. The analytical method(s) used must utilize the lowest practical limits of quantitation and be fully specified, either by reference to a standard method or by inclusion of a detailed description together with validation data.

Next Steps

Before making a re-evaluation decision on clopyralid, the PMRA will consider all comments received from the public in response to this consultation document. The PMRA will then publish a Re-evaluation Decision Document, which will include the decision, the reasons for it, a summary of comments received on the proposed decision and the PMRA's response to these comments.

At the time of the re-evaluation decision, registrants will be asked to submit information to confirm or refine the current risk assessment.

Other Information

At the time that the re-evaluation decision is made, the PMRA will publish an Evaluation Report on clopyralid in the context of this re-evaluation decision (based on the Science Evaluation section). In addition, the test data on which the decision is based will also be available for public inspection, upon application, in the PMRA's Reading Room (located in Ottawa).

Science Evaluation

1.0 Introduction

Clopyralid is a selective "auxin mimic" or "synthetic auxin" herbicide. It belongs to the carboxylic acid chemical family and is classified as a Group 4 herbicide. It mimics the plant growth hormone auxin, indole acetic acid (IAA), inducing characteristic auxin-type responses in susceptible broadleaved plants and resulting in uncontrolled or deregulated plant growth that leads to plant death.

Following the re-evaluation announcement for clopyralid, Dow AgroSciences Canada Inc., the registrant of the technical grade active ingredient (TGAI) and primary data provider in Canada, in coordination with BASF Canada Inc., the other registrant of clopyralid end-use products (EPs), indicated that it intended to provide continued support for all uses included on the label of Commercial Class EPs. There are no Domestic Class EPs containing clopyralid in Canada.

2.0 The Active Substance, Its Properties And Uses

2.1 The Technical Grade Active Ingredient, Its Properties and Uses

Identity of the Technical Grade Active Ingredient.

Common name Clopyralid

Function Herbicide

Chemical Family Pyridinecarboxylic acid

Chemical name

1 International Union of Pure and 3,6-dichloropyridine-2-carboxylic acid Applied Chemistry (IUPAC)

2 Chemical Abstracts Service 3,6-dichloro-2-pyridinecarboxylic acid

(CAS)

CAS Registry Number 1702-07-6

Molecular Formula C₆H₃Cl₂NO₂

Structural Formula Cl N COOH

Molecular Weight 192.0

	Registration Number	Purity of the Technical Grade Active
		Ingredient
18315		95%
		(92.2-99.0%)
25296		80.8%
		(70.7-86.0%)

Identity of relevant impurities of human health or environmental concern:

Based on the manufacturing process used, impurities of human health or environmental concern as identified in the Canada Gazette, Part II, Vol. 142, No. 13, SI/2008-67 (2008-06-25), including TSMP Track 1 substances, are expected to be present in the product.

Hexachlorobenzene and pentachlorobenzene are present in the TGAI. The registrant for Lontrel T and Lontrel F provided 5 batch data for hexachlorobenzene (HCB) and pentachlorobenzene (QCB). The levels found in Lontrel T are: 0.34-3.1 ppm HCB and 0.16-1.7 ppm QCB and in Lontrel F: 0.04-2.05 ppm HCB and 0.01 ppm for QCB. Data for tetrachlorobenzenes, which can reasonably be expected to be present in these products, were not provided. Based on the manufacturing process used, other impurities of human health or environmental concern as identified in the Canada Gazette, Part II, Vol. 142, No. 13, SI/2008-67 (2008-06-25), including TSMP Track 1 substances, are not expected to be present in the product.

Physical and Chemical Properties of the Technical Grade Active Ingredient

Property	Result*	Interpretation
Vapour pressure at 25°C	1.36 mPa*	Low to Intermediate volatility
Ultraviolet (UV)/visible spectrum	Minimal absorbance at λ >300 nm (absorbance maxima at 198, 224, 282 nm)	Unlikely to undergo direct phototransformation
Solubility in water at 20°C	pH Solubility (g/L)* Dist Water 7.85 5 118 7 143 9 157	Very soluble
n-Octanol/water partition coefficient (log Kow)	pH Log K _{ow} * 5 -1.81 7 -2.63 9 -2.55 1.07 (unionised, 25°C)	Unlikely to bioaccumulate in biota

Property	Result*	Interpretation
Dissociation constant (pKa)	<u> </u>	Dissociation in solution to form anion and acid

^{*}From e-Pesticide Manual

2.2 **Description of Registered Clopyralid Uses**

Appendix I lists all clopyralid products that are registered under the authority of the *Pest Control* Products Act, specifically including two technical grade active ingredients (TGAI), two manufacturing concentrates (one contains clopyralid alone and the other contains clopyralid, 2,4-D acid and flumetsulam) and eleven Commercial Class products. Of the Commercial Class products, three contain clopyralid alone while the remaining eight are co-formulated with MCPA (six products) or flumetsulam (two products).

Appendix II lists all the uses for which clopyralid is presently registered. All uses were supported by the registrants at the time of initiation of re-evaluation and were, therefore, considered in the health and environmental risk assessments. Also presented is whether any of the uses were added through the Pest Management Regulatory Agency's User Requested Minor Use Label Expansion (URMULE) Program. While currently supported by the registrants, the data supporting these minor uses was originally generated by a user group as well as the registrant(s).

Uses of clopyralid belong to the following use site categories: terrestrial food crops, terrestrial feed crops, industrial oilseed and fibre crops, forest and woodlands, ornamental outdoors and industrial and domestic vegetation control for non-food sites.

3.0 **Impact on Human and Animal Health**

Toxicology studies in laboratory animals describe potential health effects resulting from various levels of exposure to a chemical and identify dose levels where no effects are observed. Unless there is evidence to the contrary, it is assumed that effects observed in animals are relevant to humans and that humans are more sensitive to effects of a chemical than the most sensitive animal species. The health effects noted here were observed in animals at dose levels at least 100-fold (often much higher) above levels to which humans are normally exposed through use of products containing this chemical. See Appendix III for the toxicological profile of clopyralid.

3.1 **Toxicology Summary**

Oral metabolism/excretion studies in the rat with radio-labelled clopyralid indicated rapid absorption and excretion. Urinary excretion was the primary route of elimination with 73-97% of the administered dose (AD) eliminated in urine within 24 hours of dosing. Seventy two hours following dosing, 74-98% of the AD was found in urine, 10-22% in cage washes, and 1-5% in faeces. Clopyralid was distributed widely in the tissues and tissue residues were low (<0.01% AD). There was no evidence of metabolism as only unchanged clopyralid was detected in the urine and most of the radioactivity in the faeces was also unchanged clopyralid.

In acute toxicity studies, clopyralid was of low toxicity by the oral and inhalation route in the rat and the dermal route in the rabbit. It was severely irritating to the rabbit eye, non-irritating to the rabbit skin, and non-sensitising in guinea pigs.

In a 21-day dermal rabbit study, there were no treatment-related systemic effects but there were signs of minimal dermal irritation. In 90-day studies in the rat and mouse, there were reductions in body weight gain and/or body weight, and an increase in relative liver weights. The mouse liver showed an increase in the size of the centrilobular hepatocytes and altered tinctorial properties. In the rat study, there were increases in the relative kidney weight and stomach lesions (slight irregularities and accentuations of the limiting ridge). Six-month and 1-year dog studies also showed an increase in relative liver weights with further increases in relative heart and kidney weights at the highest dose tested. The major findings in the 1-year dog study were a reduction in haematological parameters and vacuolation of adrenal cortical cells.

In the chronic studies in the mouse, there were no major toxic effects. There was a reduction in body weight, body weight gain and food efficiency. In the chronic studies in the rat, there were lesions in the gastric limiting ridge of the stomach (epithelial hyperplasia and thickening), as well as other stomach lesions (chronic active inflammation, increased incidence of mononuclear cell aggregates in the stomach mucosa). There were reductions in body weight, body weight gain and food consumption. There was also an increase in liver and kidney weights.

All of the *in vivo* and *in vitro* genotoxicity studies were negative. These included Ames reverse mutation tests, a CHO/HGPRT gene mutation assay, an *in vitro* chromosomal aberration assay with rat lymphocytes, an unscheduled DNA synthesis assay with primary rat hepatocytes, a dominant lethal assay in rats, an in vivo chromosome aberration assay in rats, in vitro and in vivo host mediated mutation assays with Salmonella and Saccharomyces strains, and a mouse bone marrow micronucleus test.

In a developmental toxicity study in the rat, maternal toxic effects included increased mortality, reduced body weight and body weight gain, and reduced food consumption. There were no significant developmental effects. In the rabbit, maternal toxic effects included increased mortality, reduced body weight and body weight gains, some clinical signs (laboured breathing, rales, shallow respiration, coughing), and histopathologic lesions of the gastric mucosa. The main developmental effects in the rabbit included a reduction in fetal body weight and an increase in hydrocephaly at the high dose. The increase in hydrocephaly, which occurred at a maternally toxic dose, was not statistically significant, but exceeded historical controls.

In a 2-generation reproduction study in the rat, effects on the offspring included reduced pup weights and increased pup liver weights. Parental toxicity effects included slight focal hyperkeratotic changes in the non-glandular mucosa of the stomach or small lesions in the forestomach in a few animals, reduced body weight and body weight gain, and reduced food consumption. There were no treatment-related effects on reproduction.

No specific neurotoxicity studies were conducted, however the parameters measured in the studies that were conducted did not indicate the presence of specific neurotoxic effects.

There were no dose-related increases in tumours in either mouse or rat chronic oncogenicity studies. Clopyralid was not considered to be oncogenic.

PCPA Hazard Consideration

For assessing risks from potential residues in food or from products used in or around homes or schools, the PCPA requires the application of an additional 10-fold factor to take into account completeness of the data with respect to the exposure of, and toxicity to, infants and children as well as potential pre- and post-natal toxicity. A different factor may be determined to be appropriate on the basis of reliable scientific data.

With respect to the completeness of the toxicity database, no additional studies are required at this time. Data available on clopyralid included a reproductive toxicity study in rats, a developmental toxicity study in rats and a developmental toxicity study in rabbits.

With respect to potential pre- and post-natal toxicity, sensitivity of the young was not noted in the reproductive study, nor were there significant developmental effects in the rat developmental toxicity study. In the developmental toxicity study in rabbits, an increase in hydrocephaly at the high dose occurred at a maternally toxic dose. The increase was not statistically significant, but it exceeded historical controls.

Overall, the database is adequate for characterizing pre- and post-natal toxicity. There is a concern for hydrocephaly findings in the rabbit fetus as this is a serious effect; however this concern was tempered by the presence of severe maternal toxicity at the same dose level. Therefore the PCPA factor was reduced to 3-fold for both acute and repeat exposure scenarios when using the rabbit developmental toxicity assay for risk assessments for populations including females 13-49. Other reference doses were sufficiently low so as to provide considerable inherent protection of all populations including females 13-49 and the PCPA factor in these cases was reduced to 1-fold. See Appendix IV for the toxicological endpoints for clopyralid.

3.2 Occupational and Non-Occupational Risk Assessment

Occupational risk is estimated by comparing potential exposures with the most relevant endpoint from toxicology studies to calculate a margin of exposure (MOE). This is compared to a target MOE incorporating uncertainty factors protective of the most sensitive human population. If the calculated MOE is less than the target MOE, it does not necessarily mean that exposure will result in adverse effects, but mitigation measures to reduce risk would be required.

3.2.1 Toxicology Endpoint Selection for Occupational Risk Assessment

3.2.1.1 Short-term and intermediate-term dermal and inhalation risk assessment

To estimate the risk from short-term and intermediate-term dermal and inhalation exposure, a NOAEL of 110 mg/kg bw/day for developmental toxicity from a developmental toxicity study in the rabbit (based on the occurrence of hydrocephaly at 250 mg/kg bw/day) was considered. Although this study used an oral route of exposure, the existing 21-day dermal study did not assess developmental endpoints. Standard uncertainty factors of 10-fold for interspecies extrapolation, 10-fold for intraspecies variability were applied. As the worker population could include pregnant females, it was necessary to ensure adequate protection of the fetus who may be exposed via their mother. In light of concerns regarding pre-natal toxicity (as outlined in the PCPA section), an additional 3-fold factor was applied to this endpoint to protect for a sensitive subpopulation (namely women 13-49 years of age). A target MOE of 300 was established.

3.2.1.2 Dermal Absorption

In the absence of a specific dermal absorption study, dermal absorption was assumed to be equivalent to oral absorption (i.e. 100%).

3.2.2 Occupational Exposure and Risk Assessment

Workers can be exposed to clopyralid through mixing, loading or applying the pesticide, and when entering a treated site to conduct activities such as scouting and/or handling of treated crops.

3.2.2.1 Mixer, Loader and Applicator Exposure and Risk Assessment

There are potential exposures to mixers, loaders, and applicators. The following exposure scenarios were assessed:

- Mixing/loading emulsifiable concentrates
- Mixing/loading soluble granules
- Loading granules
- Groundboom application
- Right-of-way sprayer application
- Solid broadcast spreader application
- Mixing/loading/applying by backpack
- Mixing/loading/applying by low pressure handwand

Based on the number of applications, workers applying clopyralid would generally have a shortto intermediate term (1 day to several months) duration of exposure. The PMRA estimated handler exposure based on the following level of personal protection:

• Baseline PPE (label PPE) - long pants, long sleeved shirt and chemical-resistant gloves (unless specified otherwise). For groundboom application, this scenario does not include gloves, as the data quality were better for non-gloved scenarios than gloved scenarios.

Mixer/loader/applicator exposure estimates are based on the best available data at this time. Dermal and inhalation exposures were estimated using data from the Pesticide Handlers Exposure Database (PHED), Version 1.1. The PHED is a compilation of generic mixer/loader applicator passive dosimetry data with associated software that facilitates the generation of scenario-specific exposure estimates based on formulation type, application equipment, mix/load systems and level of PPE (see Appendix V).

Occupational risk estimates associated with mixing, loading and applying clopyralid exceeded the target MOE at baseline PPE. Therefore, risk to workers handling clopyralid was not of concern.

3.2.2.2 Post-application Worker Exposure and Risk Assessment

The post-application occupational risk assessment considered exposures to workers entering treated crops. Based on the clopyralid use pattern, there is potential for short- to intermediate-term (1 day to several months) post-application exposure to clopyralid residues for workers.

Dislodgeable foliar residue (DFR) values and activity specific transfer coefficients (TC) were used to estimate post-application exposure resulting from contact with treated crops at various times after application. DFR data include the amount of residue that can be dislodged or transferred from a surface, such as the leaves of a plant. A TC is a factor that relates worker exposure to transferrable residues. TCs are specific to a given crop and activity combination (e.g. hand harvesting apples, scouting late season corn) and reflect standard agricultural work clothing worn by adult workers. Post-application exposure activities include scouting and irrigating.

For workers entering a treated site, restricted entry intervals (REIs) are calculated to determine the minimum length of time required to reach target MOEs. An REI is the duration of time that must elapse before residues decline to a level where performance of a specific activity results in exposures above the target MOE (i.e. > 300 for short to intermediate -term dermal exposure scenarios for clopyralid).

Four DFR studies conducted on conifers, sugar beets, cereal grain and corn were submitted to the PMRA. In these studies, peak DFR values ranged from 14-22% of the application rate with half-lives ranging from 0.2 to 3.6 days. These data were considered along with standard transfer coefficients to derive estimates of post-application exposure and appropriate restricted entry intervals.

All post-application scenarios had MOEs that were above the target MOE on the day of application and therefore are not of concern. Mitigation beyond the minimum 12 hour REI is not required.

3.2.3 Non-Occupational Exposure and Risk Assessment

Non-occupational risk assessment involves estimating risks to the general population, including children, during or after pesticide application.

3.2.3.1 Residential Exposure and Risk Assessment

Clopyralid is not registered for use in residential areas, therefore a residential risk assessment was not required.

3.2.3.2 Post-Application Non-Occupational Exposure and Risk Assessment

"Pick Your Own" (PYO) farms are those that allow the public to harvest their own fruits and vegetables. As PYO fruit and vegetable operations become more and more prevalent, the PMRA recognizes the need for a means of assessing exposure to pesticides during hand-harvesting by members of the public. For the purpose of this risk assessment, "Pick Your Own" facilities are considered commercial farming operations that allow public access for harvesting in large-scale fields or orchards treated with commercially labelled clopyralid products.

Clopyralid is a selective herbicide used to control broadleaf weeds. Since any residues contacting the foliage of broadleaved crops, such as berries, may damage the crop, application is usually directed towards broadleaved weeds between the rows and not on the growing crop. In addition clopyralid is also applied early in the season (with long preharvest intervals (PHI) of 30 days – 10 months), and has a short half-life (0.2 - 3.6 days), so any potential residues that may be on foliage would be negligible by harvest. Therefore dermal exposure to clopyralid residues during a pick-your-own operation was considered to be negligible.

3.3 Dietary Risk Assessment

In a dietary exposure assessment, the PMRA determines how much of a pesticide residue, including residues in milk and meat, may be ingested with the daily diet. Exposure to clopyralid from potentially treated imports is also included in the assessment. These dietary assessments are age specific and incorporate the different eating habits of the population at various stages of life. For example, the assessments take into account differences in children's eating patterns, such as food preferences and the greater consumption of food relative to their body weight when compared to adults. Dietary risk is then determined by the combination of the exposure and the toxicity assessments. High toxicity may not indicate high risk if the exposure is low. Similarly, there may be risk from a pesticide with low toxicity if the exposure is high.

The PMRA considers limiting use of a pesticide when risk exceeds 100% of the reference dose. PMRA's Science Policy Note SPN2003-03, *Assessing Exposure from Pesticides, A User's Guide*, presents detailed acute and chronic risk assessments procedures.

Residue estimates used in the dietary risk assessment (DRA) may be conservatively based on the maximum residue limits (MRL) or the field trial data representing the residues that may remain on food after treatment at the maximum label rate. Surveillance data representative of the national food supply may also be used to derive a more accurate estimate of residues that may remain on food when it is purchased. These include the Canadian Food Inspection Agency's National Chemical Residue Monitoring Program and the United States Department of Agriculture Pesticide Data Program (PDP).

Clopyralid acute and chronic dietary risk assessments were conducted using the Dietary Exposure Evaluation Model (DEEM–FCIDTM, Version 2.14), which uses updated food consumption data from the United States Department of Agriculture's Continuing Surveys of Food Intakes by Individuals, 1994–1996 and 1998.

The dietary risk assessment considered exposure from domestic and imported foods and drinking water. Residue estimates for plant and animal commodities were based on MRLs and/or U.S tolerance levels. Default processing factors and 100% crops treated were assumed.

For more information on dietary risk estimates or residue chemistry information used in the dietary assessment, see Appendix VI and VII.

3.3.1 Determination of Acute Reference Dose (ARfD)

Females aged 13-49:

To estimate acute dietary risk (1 day) for females aged 13-49, a NOAEL of 110 mg/kg bw/day for developmental toxicity from a developmental toxicity study in the rabbit (based on the occurrence of hydrocephaly at 250 mg/kg bw/day) was considered. Standard uncertainty factors of 10-fold for interspecies extrapolation and 10-fold for intraspecies variability are used. A PCPA factor of 3-fold was applied to account for the serious effect in the presence of significant maternal toxicity yielding a composite assessment factor of 300.

$$ARfD = \frac{110 \text{ mg/kg bw/day}}{300} = 0.37 \text{ mg/kg bw}$$

General Population (excluding females aged 13-49):

To estimate acute dietary risk (1 day) for the general population, a NOAEL of 75 mg/kg bw/day for maternal toxicity from a developmental toxicity study in the rat (based on decreased maternal body weight gain and food consumption during gestation days 6-9 at 250 mg/kg bw/day) was considered. Since the decreased maternal body weight and food consumption is observed during an acute exposure scenario (i.e. gestation days 6-9), this effect was considered relevant to derive the ARfD. Standard uncertainty factors of 10-fold for interspecies extrapolation and 10-fold for intraspecies variability are used. The PCPA factor was reduced to 1-fold based on the completeness and quality of the database, and given that prenatal concerns were addressed by establishing a separate ARfD for females aged 13-49.

$ARfD = \frac{75 \text{ mg/kg bw/day}}{100} = 0.75 \text{ mg/kg bw}$

3.3.2 Acute Dietary Exposure and Risk Assessment

Acute dietary risk is calculated considering the highest ingestion of clopyralid that would be likely on any one day, and using food consumption and food residue values. A statistical analysis allows all possible combinations of consumption and residue levels to be combined to estimate a distribution of the amount of clopyralid residue that might be consumed in a day. A value representing the high end (95th percentile) of this distribution is compared to the ARfD, which is the dose at which an individual could be exposed on any given day and expect no adverse health effects. When the expected intake of residues is less than the ARfD, then acute dietary exposure is considered to be acceptable.

The acute potential daily intake accounted for < 5 % (95th percentile) of the ARfD for all subpopulations and is, therefore, not of concern

3.3.3 Determination of Acceptable Daily Intake (ADI)

To estimate the risk from repeated exposure, the NOAEL of 15 mg/kg bw/day from a 2-year rat study (based on histopathological findings in the stomach: epithelial hyperplasia and thickening of the limiting ridge at 150 mg/kg bw/day) was considered. Standard uncertainty factors of 10-fold for interspecies extrapolation and 10-fold for intraspecies variability are used. The PCPA reduced to 1-fold in this instance since the NOAEL is sufficiently low that it is inherently protective of prenatal toxicity endpoints observed in the database. A composite assessment factor of 100 was considered protective for all populations including females aged 13-49 years.

$$ADI = \frac{15 \text{ mg/kg bw/day}}{100} = 0.15 \text{ mg/kg bw/day}$$

3.3.4 Chronic Dietary Exposure and Risk Assessment

The chronic dietary risk was calculated by using the average consumption of different foods and the average residue values on those foods. This expected intake of residues was then compared to the ADI. When the expected intake of residues is less than the ADI, then chronic dietary exposure is acceptable.

The chronic potential daily intake accounted for < 11% of the ADI for all subpopulations and is, therefore, not of concern.

3.4 Exposure From Drinking Water

3.4.1 Concentrations in Drinking Water

Environmental concentrations (EECs) of clopyralid in potential drinking water sources (groundwater and surface water) were estimated using computer simulation models. An overview of how the EECs are estimated is provided in the PMRA's Science Policy Notice SPN2004-01, Estimating the Water Component of a Dietary Exposure Assessment. EECs of clopyralid in groundwater were calculated using the Leaching Estimation and Chemistry Model (LEACHM) to simulate leaching through a layered soil profile over a 50-year period. The concentrations calculated using LEACHM are based on the flux, or movement, of pesticide into shallow groundwater with time. EECs of clopyralid in surface water were calculated using the Pesticide Root Zone Model/Exposure Analysis Modeling System (PRZM/EXAMS), which simulate pesticide runoff from a treated field into an adjacent water body and the fate of a pesticide within that water body. Pesticide concentrations in surface water were estimated in two types of vulnerable drinking water sources, a small reservoir and a prairie dugout.

A level 1 drinking water assessment was conducted using conservative assumptions with respect to environmental fate, application rate and timing, and geographic scenario. The level 1 EEC estimate is expected to allow for future use expansion into other crops at current application rates.

EECs of clopyralid in potential drinking water sources from modelling are summarized in the table below.

Groundwater EEC (μg a.i./L)		Surface Water EEC (μg a.i./L)			
		Reservoir		Dug	gout
Daily ¹	Yearly ²	Daily ³	Yearly ⁴	Daily ³	Yearly ⁴
133	133	24	5.0	80	73

Notes:

- 1 90th percentile of daily average concentrations
- 2 90th percentile of yearly average concentrations
- 3 90th percentile of yearly peak concentrations
- 4 90th percentile of yearly average concentrations

Available Canadian water monitoring data for clopyralid were sparse and obtained mainly from Alberta, Saskatchewan, Quebec and a few locations in Ontario. The data was collected in the early 2000's and was provided mainly by Environment Canada and the Provincial Governments. Given the sparseness of the monitoring data and its limitations as described in Appendix IX, clopyralid exposure could potentially be higher in some areas than indicated by the monitoring data thus, modelling results represent a reasonable high-end exposure estimate.

3.4.2 Food and Drinking Water Exposure and Risk Assessment

The drinking water EEC of 133 ug a.i/L was used in the acute and chronic food and drinking water assessment. This value was the highest daily and yearly EEC determined by the level 1 drinking water modelling assessment.

Risk from clopyralid through food and drinking water was below 6% of ARfD and 13% of the ADI for all subpopulation groups. Therefore, the PMRA concludes that clopyralid residues in drinking water, when considered along with dietary exposure, are not of concern.

3.5 Aggregate Risk Assessment

Aggregate exposure is the total exposure to a single pesticide that may occur from food, drinking water, residential and other non-occupational sources as well as from all known or plausible exposure routes (oral, dermal and inhalation).

As clopyralid is not registered for residential and non-occupational uses, the aggregate risk assessment considered exposure from food and drinking only. Aggregate risk from all relevant sources is not considered a health concern (refer to Section 3.3 and Section 3.4).

A PYO aggregrate dermal and dietary risk assessment was not conducted as dermal exposure to clopyralid residues during a pick-your-own operation was considered to be negligible.

3.6 Incident Reports

Starting April 26, 2007, registrants are required by law to report incidents, including adverse effects to health and the environment, to the PMRA within a set time frame. Incidents are classified into six major categories including effects on humans, effects on domestic animals and packaging failure. Incidents are further classified by severity, in the case of humans for instance, from minor effects such as skin rash, headache, etc. to major effects such as reproductive or developmental effects, life-threatening conditions or death.

The PMRA will examine incident reports and, where there are reasonable grounds to suggest that the health and environmental risks of the pesticide are no longer acceptable, appropriate measures will be taken, ranging from minor label changes to discontinuation of the product.

There were seven incident reports submitted to the PMRA for clopyralid as of September 21, 2009. These included four environmental incidents, one packaging failure, one incident involving a domestic animal and one incident involving a human. In the latter incident, classified as major, an operator experienced a rapid heart rate and general weakness after working on a malfunctioning sprayer containing a blend of Prestige Herbicide as well as another non-Dow AgroSciences pesticide. It was concluded that the symptoms reported by the patient were inconsistent with those associated with incidental exposure to the diluted herbicide.

For the years 1992-2007, the California Department of Pesticide Regulation reported one incidence of illness resulting from exposure to clopyralid. Clopyralid plus triflusulfuron-methyl was accidentally sprayed onto the applicator's face and body from a spray tank missing a valve. A subsequent rash and conjunctivitis was considered probably related to the pesticide exposure.

4.0 Impact on the Environment

4.1 Fate and Behaviour in the Environment

Terrestrial Environment

Clopyralid is non-volatile under field conditions based on its vapour pressure of 1.36 mPa at 25°C. The octanol/water partition coefficient (log K_{ow}) is -2.63 at pH 7 which indicates that clopyralid has a low potential for bioaccumulation in biota. Clopyralid is not susceptible to phototransformation. Biotransformation is the main route of transformation for clopyralid in soil under aerobic conditions and the only major (> 10%) transformation product is carbon dioxide. In the laboratory, under aerobic conditions, clopyralid is non-persistent to persistent in soil depending on environmental conditions that maximize microbial population and activity but is stable in soil under anaerobic conditions. Field dissipation studies in Canada have shown clopyralid to be non-persistent to slightly persistent in soil (DT₅₀ values of 12 - 32 days). Clopyralid has a very high mobility in soil as its K_{oc} values are low (0.03-28.57 mL/g), has a high potential to leach to groundwater (GUS in the range of 4.1 – 9.1) and can contaminate surface water through runoff. Environmental fate data for clopyralid are summarized in Table 1 of Appendix VIII.

Aquatic Environment

Clopyralid is very soluble in water (143 g/L at 20°C). The Henry's Law constant (1.80 x 10⁻¹¹ (Pa m³ mol⁻¹) at 25°C), and 1/H value of 1.46 x 10⁻⁰⁸ (1/H) at 20°C, indicate that clopyralid is non-volatile from moist soil and water. Clopyralid is stable to hydrolysis at environmentally relevant pH's (pH 5 to pH 9) and is not susceptible to phototransformation in water. Biotransformation is the major route of transformation under aerobic conditions. In the laboratory, clopyralid partitions slowly to the sediment phase and is moderately persistent in the water phase (DT₅₀ in the range of 128 and 167 days) and persistent in the whole water/sediment system (DT₅₀ in the range of 582 and 963 days). Under laboratory anaerobic conditions, no significant transformation occurs in either water or sediment. In contrast to the laboratory studies, clopyralid was found to be non-persistent under field aquatic conditions (DT₅₀ 4.7 – 8.5 days). Studies on bioconcentration in fish indicated a low potential for bioconcentration in organisms.

Environmental fate data for clopyralid are summarized in Table 1 of Appendix VIII.

4.2 Environmental Risk Characterization

The environmental risk assessment integrates the environmental exposure and ecotoxicology information to estimate the potential for adverse effects on non-target species. This integration is achieved by comparing exposure concentrations with concentrations at which adverse effects occur. Estimated environmental exposure concentrations (EEC) are concentrations of pesticide in various environmental media, such as food, water, soil and air. The EECs are estimated using

standard models which take into consideration the application rate(s), chemical properties and environmental fate properties, including the dissipation of the pesticide between applications. Ecotoxicology information includes acute and chronic toxicity data for various organisms or groups of organisms from both terrestrial and aquatic habitats including invertebrates, vertebrates, and plants. Toxicity endpoints used in risk assessments may be adjusted to account for potential differences in species sensitivity as well as varying protection goals (i.e. protection at the community, population, or individual level).

Initially, a screening level risk assessment is performed to identify pesticides and/or specific uses that do not pose a risk to non-target organisms, and to identify those groups of organisms for which there may be a potential risk. The screening level risk assessment uses simple methods, conservative exposure scenarios (e.g. direct application at a maximum cumulative application rate) and sensitive toxicity endpoints. A risk quotient (RQ) is calculated by dividing the exposure estimate by an appropriate toxicity value (RO = exposure/toxicity), and the risk quotient is then compared to the level of concern (LOC = 1). If the screening level risk quotient is below the level of concern, the risk is considered negligible and no further risk characterization is necessary. If the screening level risk quotient is equal to or greater than the level of concern, then a refined risk assessment is performed to further characterize the risk. A refined assessment takes into consideration more realistic exposure scenarios (such as drift to non-target habitats) and might consider different toxicity endpoints. Refinements may include further characterization of risk based on exposure modelling, monitoring data, results from field or mesocosm studies, and probabilistic risk assessment methods. Refinements to the risk assessment may continue until the risk is adequately characterized or no further refinements are possible. Data derived from monitoring studies may also be used in refining a risk assessment (Appendix IX).

4.2.1 Risks to Terrestrial Organisms

Risk assessment of clopyralid to terrestrial organisms was based upon an evaluation of toxicity data to earthworms, bees, small mammals, birds and plants. A summary of terrestrial toxicity data is presented in Table 2 of Appendix VIII.

For the assessment of risk, toxicity endpoints chosen from the most sensitive species were used as surrogates for the wide range of species that can be potentially exposed following treatment with clopyralid. For multiple applications the cumulative application rates were calculated taking into consideration the dissipation half-life of clopyralid in soil from the aerobic soil biotransformation study (80.4) and on foliage (35 and 10 days for the screening and refined risk assessments, respectively).

Terrestrial Invertebrates

The risk assessment indicated that the level of concern (LOC) for earthworms, bees and ground dwelling beneficial arthropods was not exceeded for any of the application rates. Other beneficial arthropods present on-field were at risk as the LOC was exceeded for almost all the application rates (with the exception of lowbush blue berry, field corn hybrid and canary seed). However, the off-field exposure indicated that the level of concern was not exceeded for any of the application rates. Table 3 of Appendix VIII summarizes the results of the screening level risk assessment to earthworms, bees and other beneficial arthropods from clopyralid.

Terrestrial Plants

The risk to non-target terrestrial plants is presented in Table 2 of Appendix VIII. The level of concern is exceeded by a factor of 53 for non-target plants at the site of application following a single application at 298.9 g ai/ha to flax. There was also risk from clopyralid to plants at other application rates (LOCs in the range of 18 to 53). Refinement of the assessment of the use on apple (210.5 g ai/ha) reduced the RQ from 51 to 38.

In addition, the risk from spray drift off the treated site was assessed taking into consideration the spray drift deposition of spray quality of ASAE medium for ground boom (6%), at 1 m downwind from the site of application. The LOC was still exceeded for all application rates by factors in the range of 1.1 to 3.2 (Table 3, Appendix VIII).

Birds

The result of the screening level risk assessment for birds is presented in Table 4 of Appendix VIII. The assessment showed that the risk to birds is negligible even at the highest application rate of 298.9 g a.i./ha, when applied to flax by ground boom. The acute oral, acute dietary and chronic LOCs were not exceeded for any of the generic body weights or feeding guilds of birds feeding in the treated sites.

Small Wild Mammals

The result of the screening level risk assessment for mammals is presented in Table 4 of Appendix VIII. The assessment showed that the risk to wild mammals is negligible even at the highest application rate of 298.9 g a.i./ha, when applied to flax by ground boom. The acute oral, acute dietary and chronic LOCs were not exceeded for any of the generic body weights or feeding guilds of mammals feeding in the treated sites.

4.2.2 Risks to Aquatic Organisms

A risk assessment of clopyralid to a range of aquatic organisms was based upon evaluation of toxicity data (Table 2, Appendix VIII) for invertebrates, fish and aquatic plants.

Table 5 of Appendix VIII summarizes the results of the screening level risk assessment of clopyralid to aquatic organisms. The acute or chronic level of concern is not exceeded for any of the freshwater species using these conservative EECs. The acute and chronic level of concern for amphibians was not exceeded following the same EECs. Aquatic organisms would, therefore, be at negligible risk from residues of clopyralid in aquatic systems following all applications in Canada. This included risk from exposure resulting from spray drift or runoff.

4.2.3 Incident Reports

Environmental incident reports are obtained from two main sources, the Canadian pesticide incident reporting system (including both mandatory reporting from the registrant and voluntary reporting from the public and other government departments) and the US EPA Ecological Incident Information System (EIIS). There are currently no incident reports from Canada on clopyralid. In the United States, there were 209 crop damage incidents linked to clopyralid that were reported in the EPA Ecological Incident Information System (EIIS). A total of 150

incidents occurred from registered use on corn through direct treatment and drift; 26 incidents in soybean through drift, direct treatment and carryover; and several carryover incidents in potatoes, lettuce, sorghum, chick pea, lentil, tomatoes, peas and beans. The certainty of all incidents resulting as a result of clopyralid was listed as "possible or probable". Only 3 incidents were listed as "highly probable". There have also been reported cases of crop damage from persistence of clopyralid in compost and manure made from lawn clippings, straw and leaves.

In 2002, the US EPA banned the use of clopyralid on lawns and turf and the state of California cancelled the residential uses of clopyralid.

5.0 Value

5.1 **Commercial Class Products**

All clopyralid uses are supported by the registrants. There are no risk concerns for any of the registered uses. Consequently, no alternatives to the use of clopyralid were listed in the appendices in order to aid public comment.

5.2 **Domestic Class Products**

There are no Domestic Class products containing clopyralid in Canada.

5.3 Value of Clopyralid

Clopyralid contributes to weed management in a variety of crop and non-crop sites when used in accordance with the label directions. Unlike other auxin-mimics, clopyralid can be applied to many broadleaf crops. It controls many troublesome perennial broadleaf weeds including Canada thistle, dandelion and perennial sowthistle. It can be co-formulated or tank mixed with many other herbicides to broaden weed control spectrum. Clopyralid is the only post-emergence broadleaf herbicide registered for use in Canada on cole crops (cabbage, cauliflower, broccoli, Brussels sprouts, rutabaga, Chinese cabbage, radish, kohlrabi and mustard cabbage). Furthermore, it is the only alternative post-emergence broadleaf herbicide to bentazon in highbush blueberry, and to 2,4-D in cranberry and strawberry (harvest year, renovation). Other non-selective post-emergence herbicides are registered for use on shelterbelts, however, clopyralid is the only selective post-emergence herbicide registered for this use. When used in rotation with active ingredients from other herbicide groups, clopyralid plays a role in mitigating resistance development in weeds.

6.0 **Pest Control Product Policy Considerations**

6.1 **Toxic Substances Management Policy Considerations**

The Toxic Substances Management Policy (TSMP) is a federal government policy developed to provide direction on the management of substances of concern that are released into the environment. The TSMP calls for the virtual elimination of Track 1 substances, those that meet

all four criteria outlined in the policy, i.e. persistent (in air, soil, water and/or sediment), bio-accumulative, primarily a result of human activity and toxic as defined by the *Canadian Environmental Protection Act*.

During the review process, clopyralid and its transformation products were assessed in accordance with the PMRA Regulatory Directive DIR99-03⁶ and evaluated against the Track 1 criteria. The PMRA has reached the following conclusions:

- Clopyralid does not meet Track 1 criteria, and is not considered a Track 1 substance. See Table 6 of Appendix VIII for comparison with Track 1 criteria.
- Clopyralid does not form any transformation products that meet all Track 1 criteria.
- Analysis of batch samples of technical grade clopyralid previously submitted to the PMRA revealed the presence of hexachlorobenzene (HCB) and pentachlorobenzene (QCB) in the TGAI. The levels found in Lontrel T are: 0.34-3.1 ppm HCB and 0.16-1.7 ppm QCB and in Lontrel F: 0.04-2.05 ppm HCB and 0.01 ppm for QCB. Data for tetrachlorobenzenes, which can reasonably be expected to be present in these products, were not provided. chlorinated benzenes have been identified in the federal government's TSMP as Track 1 substances. Analyses of recent production batches of the technical grade of clopyralid using sensitive and readily available analytical methods are required from the registrant.

6.2 Formulants and Contaminants of Health or Environmental Concern

During the review process, contaminants in the technical and formulants and contaminants in the end-use products are compared against the *List of Pest Control Product Formulants and Contaminants of Health or Environmental Concern* maintained in the *Canada Gazette*⁷. The list is used as described in the PMRA Notice of Intent NOI2005-01⁸ and is based on existing policies and regulations including: DIR99-03; and DIR2006-02⁹, and taking into consideration the Ozone-depleting Substance Regulations, 1998, of the *Canadian Environmental Protection Act* (substances designated under the Montreal Protocol). The PMRA has reached the following conclusions:

-

DIR99-03, The Pest Management Regulatory Agency's Strategy for Implementing the Toxic Substances

Management Policy

Canada Gazette, Part II, Volume 139, Number 24, SI/2005-114 (2005-11-30) pages 2641–2643: List of Pest Control Product Formulants and Contaminants of Health or Environmental Concern and in the order amending this list in the Canada Gazette, Part II, Volume 142, Number 13, SI/2008-67 (2008-06-25) pages 1611-1613. Part 1 Formulants of Health or Environmental Concern, Part 2 Formulants of Health or Environmental Concern that are Allergens Known to Cause Anaphylactic-Type Reactions and Part 3 Contaminants of Health or Environmental Concern.

NOI2005-01, List of Pest Control Product Formulants and Contaminants of Health or Environmental Concern under the New Pest Control Products Act.

⁹ DIR2006-02, PMRA Formulants Policy.

• Technical grade clopyralid and the end-use products contain two impurities or microcontaminants of health or environmental concern identified in the *Canada Gazette* as hexachlorobenzene and penta-chlorobenzene.

The end-use products of clopyralid do not contain any formulants of health or environmental concern as identified in the *Canada Gazette*. However, the end-use products do contain an aromatic petroleum distillate. Therefore, the label for the end-use products Prestige, Prevail and Spectrum will include the statement:

This product contains aromatic petroleum distillates that are toxic to aquatic organisms.

7.0 Summary

7.1 Human Health and Safety

The toxicology database submitted for clopyralid was adequate to define the majority of toxic effects that may result from exposure to clopyralid. Clopyralid is not expected to be genotoxic or carcinogenic and is not considered to be a neurotoxicant. The first signs of toxicity in animals given daily doses of clopyralid over longer periods of time were effects on body weight, stomach and liver. An increased incidence of hydrocephaly has been observed in the fetus following exposure of the pregnant animal to clopyralid at maternally toxic doses. The risk assessment protects against these effects by ensuring that the level of human exposure is well below the lowest dose at which these effects occurred in animal tests.

7.1.1 Occupational Risk

Risk estimates associated with mixing and loading and applying clopyralid are not of concern. Post-application risks to workers are not of concern and the minimum 12 hour restricted entry interval (REI) is proposed for all uses.

7.1.2 Dietary Risk from Food and Drinking Water

Acute and chronic risk estimates associated with exposure of clopyralid from food and drinking water are not of concern.

7.1.3 Residential Risk

Clopyralid is not registered for residential areas, so a residential risk assessment was not required.

Dermal exposure at pick-your-own facilities was considered to be negligible and therefore not of concern.

7.1.4 Aggregate Risk

As clopyralid is not registered for residential and non-occupational uses, the aggregate risk assessment considered exposure from food and drinking only which was not of concern. Refer to section 7.1.2.

7.2 Environmental Risk

The assessment of clopyralid indicates risk of adverse effects to non-target terrestrial plants. There is also a potential for clopyralid to leach to groundwater and to move to surface water through runoff. To reduce the effects of clopyralid in the environment, mitigation in the form of precautionary label statements and terrestrial spray buffer zones are required (Appendix X).

7.3 Value

From the value perspective, clopyralid is acceptable for continued registration.

8.0 Proposed Regulatory Decision

After a thorough re-evaluation of the herbicide, clopyralid, Health Canada's PMRA, under the authority of the *Pest Control Products Act*, is proposing continued registration for the sale and use of clopyralid and associated end-use products for uses supported by the technical registrant.

The uses of clopyralid products proposed for continuing registration are presented in Appendix I.

8.1 Proposed Regulatory Actions

8.1.1 Proposed Regulatory Action Related to Human Health

The PMRA has determined that the risks from dietary and drinking water, risks to workers during mixing, loading and application, and post-application activities are not of concern provided that the mitigation measures listed in this section are implemented.

8.1.1.1 Toxicological Information

The following warning statement should appear on the label of the technical product:

Danger: Eye Irritant

8.1.1.2 Proposed Mitigation for Occupational Handlers

Although risks of concern were not identified, the following mitigation measures are proposed for inclusion on the labels of all products containing clopyralid:

- Workers must wear long pants, long sleeved shirt, and chemical resistant gloves. Goggles or a face shield are required during mixing and loading. Gloves are not required to be worn during groundboom application, but are required for mixing/loading, clean-up and repair.
- REI of 12 hours for all crops.
- Not for use in greenhouses.

8.1.1.3 Proposed Mitigation for Bystanders

There may be potential for exposure to bystanders from drift following pesticide application to agricultural areas. In the interest of promoting best management practices and to minimize human exposure from spray drift or from spray residues resulting from drift, the following label statement is proposed:

Apply only when the potential for drift to areas of human habitation or areas of human activity; such as houses, cottages, schools and recreational areas, is minimal. Take into consideration wind speed, wind direction, temperature inversions, application equipment and sprayer settings.

8.1.1.4 Residue Definition for Risk Assessment and Enforcement

The current residue definition established in plants and animals is the parent clopyralid. Based on available metabolism data, no revisions to the residue definition are required.

8.1.1.5 Maximum Residue Limits for Clopyralid in Food

In general, when the re-evaluation of a pesticide has been completed, the PMRA intends to update Canadian maximum residue limits and to remove MRLs that are no longer supported. The PMRA recognizes, however, that interested parties may want to retain an MRL in the absence of a Canadian registration to allow legal importation of treated commodities into Canada. The PMRA requires similar chemistry and toxicology data for such import MRLs as those required to support Canadian food use registrations. In addition, the Agency requires residue data that are representative of use conditions in exporting countries, in the same manner that representative residue data are required to support domestic use of the pesticide. These requirements are necessary so that the PMRA may determine whether the requested MRLs are needed and to ensure they would not result in unacceptable health risks.

After the revocation of an MRL or where no specific MRL for a pest control product has been established in the *Pest Control Products Act*, subsection B.15.002(1) of the *Food and Drug Act* applies. This requires that residues do not exceed 0.1 ppm and has been considered a general MRL for enforcement purposes. However, changes to this general MRL may be implemented in the future, as indicated in Discussion Document: *DIS2006-01 Revocation of 0.1 ppm as a General Maximum Residue Limit for Food Pesticide Residues [Regulation B.15.002(1)].* Health Canada issued a note: *Progress on Minimizing Reliance on the 0.1 Parts per Million as a General Maximum Residue Limit for Food Pesticide Residue* (December 2009), as an update to the DIS2006-01 document.

As indicated in Table 8.1.1.5, the *Pest Control Products Act* specifies MRLs for clopyralid residues in barley, blueberries, broccoli, cabbages, cauliflower, Chinese broccoli, Chinese mustard cabbages, kohlrabi, napa Chinese cabbages, oats, strawberries, wheat, cattle, goats, hogs, horses, poultry, sheep, eggs, and milk. Residues in all other agricultural commodities, including those approved for treatment in Canada but without a specified MRL (i.e. apples, canola, corn (field), cranberries, and sugar beets) must not exceed the general MRL of 0.1 ppm.

With the exception of sugar beets, residue data were available to indicate the existing MRLs should not be exceeded if clopyralid is used according to good agricultural practice (GAP), as described by the current product labels. However, in most cases the existing residue data are dated, and do not fully satisfy the requirements as described in Regulatory Directive DIR98-02, *Residue Chemistry Guidelines*.

Parties interested in supporting a clopyralid MRL should contact the PMRA during the comment period of this document to discuss the submission of appropriate data.

Table 8.1.1.5 Clopyralid MRLs for Commodities Approved for Treatment in Canada and for Import Commodities with Specified MRLs

Commodity	MRL (ppm)
Apple	0.1*
Barley	2
Barley, milling fractions, excluding flour	7
Blueberries	0.1
Broccoli	1
Cabbage	1
Canola	0.1*
Cauliflower	1
Chinese Broccoli	1
Chinese Mustard Cabbage	1
Corn (field)	0.1*
Cranberries	0.1*
Eggs	0.05
Fat of cattle	0.05
Fat of goats	0.05
Fat of hogs	0.05
Fat of horses	0.05
Fat of poultry	0.05
Fat of sheep	0.05
Flax	0.2
Kidney of cattle	0.36
Kidney of goats	0.36

Commodity	MRL (ppm)
Kidney of hogs	0.05
Kidney of horses	0.36
Kidney of poultry	0.2
Kidney of sheep	0.36
Kohlrabi	1
Meat byproducts of cattle	0.05
Meat byproducts of goats	0.05
Meat byproducts of hogs	0.05
Meat byproducts of horses	0.05
Meat byproducts of poultry	0.05
Meat byproducts of sheep	0.05
Meat of cattle	0.05
Meat of goats	0.05
Meat of hogs	0.05
Meat of horses	0.05
Meat of poultry	0.05
Meat of sheep	0.05
Milk	0.01
Napa Chinese cabbages	1
Oats milling fractions, excluding flour	7
Oats	2
Strawberries	1
Sugar beets	0.1*
Wheat	2
Wheat milling fractions, excluding flour	7

By virtue of subsection B.15.002(1) of the Food and Drug Regulations, the maximum residue limit of foods for which MRLs have not specifically been established is 0.1 ppm.

For supplemental MRL information regarding the international situation and trade implications, refer to Appendix XI.

8.1.2 Proposed Regulatory Action Related to Environment

In order to minimize the potential exposure to plants, spray buffer zones are required. The width of these spray buffer zones must be specified on the product label (Appendix X).

8.1.3 Proposed Regulatory Action Related to Value

No regulatory action is proposed from the standpoint of value.

8.2 Additional Data Requirements

8.2.1 Data Requirements Related to Chemistry

DACO 2.13.4 Impurities of Human Health or Environmental Concern

The applicant must provide analytical data from at least five recent batches of the products for hexachlorobenzene, pentachlorobenzene and tetrachlorobenzenes (three isomers) from a GLP-compliant or government-accredited laboratory. The analytical method(s) used must utilize the lowest practical limits of quantitation and be fully specified, either by reference to a standard method or by inclusion of a detailed description together with validation data.

8.2.2 Data Requirements Related to Health

No additional data required.

8.2.3 Data Requirements Related to Environmental Risks

No additional data required.

List of Abbreviations

ai active ingredient
AD administered dose
ADI acceptable daily intake

ae acid equivalent
ARfD acute reference dose
ATPD area treated per day
bw body weight
bwg body-weight gain

CFIA Canadian Food inspection Agency

d day(s)

DA dermal absorption

DFR dislodgeable foliar residue
EC emulsifiable concentrate,
EEC environmental concentration
EPA Environmental Protection Agency

EU European Union F₀ parental animals

F₁ 1st generation offspring
 F₂ 2nd generation offspring
 FDR Food and Drugs Regulations
 FOB functional observational battery

g gram

GAP good agricultural practice

Ha hectares

HED Health Evaluation Division

hr hour kg kilogram L litre

LC₅₀ lethal concentration 50% LC₅₀ lethal concentration 50%

LD₅₀ lethal dose 50%

LEACHM leaching estimation and chemistry model LOAEL lowest observed adverse effect level

LOD limit of detection LOQ limit of quantitation

MAS maximum average score (at 24, 48 and 72 h)

mg milligram

MIS maximum irritation score

mL millilitre

MMAD mass median aerodynamic diameter

MOE margin of exposure
MOR magnitude of residue
MRL maximum residue limit
MRM multi-residue method
MTD maximum tolerated dose

MTDB maximum theoretical dietary burden

N/A not applicable

NOAEL no observed adverse effect level

NOEL no observed effect level

°C degree Celsius

OECD Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development

PBI plant back interval PC positive control

PDP Pesticide Data Program

PPE personal protective equipment

ppm parts per million

PRZM/EXAMS pesticide root zone model/exposure analysis modeling system

RD residue definition

REI restricted entry interval

SG soluble granular TC transfer coefficient

TGAI technical grade active ingredient

w/v weight by volume WSP water soluble package

Appendix I Registered clopyralid products as of January 9, 2009.

Discontinued products or products with a submission for discontinuation or products which the registrant wishes to discontinue are not included.

Registration	Registration Marketing		Registrant Bundan Norman	Formulation Type	Guarantee ¹			
Number	Туре	Name	Product Name	Formulation Type	DPI	MAE	DXA	FLM
23993		BASF Canada	Flaxmax Herbicide	Emulsifiable Concentrate or Emulsion	50 g/L	280 g/L	ı	-
25819		Inc.	Flaxmax Herbicide (A Component of Flaxmax) Herbicide Tank Mix	Emulsifiable Concentrate or Emulsion	50 g/L	280 g/L	i	-
22764			Curtail M Herbicide	Emulsifiable Concentrate or Emulsion	50 g/L	280 g/L	i	-
22878			Curtail F Herbicide	Emulsifiable Concentrate or Emulsion	50 g/L	280 g/L	İ	-
23545			Lontrel 360 Herbicide	Solution	360 g/L	-	-	-
25464	Commercial		Prestige B (A Component of Prestige Herbicide Tank Mix)	Emulsifiable Concentrate or Emulsion	50 g/L	280 g/L	-	-
27032			Spectrum B Emulsifiable Concentrate Herbicide (A Component of Spectrum HTM)	Solution	50 g/L	280g/L	-	-
27145			Fieldstar WDG Herbicide	Soluble Granules	50%	-	-	18.5%
27146		Dow AgroSciences Canada Inc.	Fieldstar WDG WSP Herbicide	Soluble Granules	50%	-	ı	18.5%
27306			Lontrel Dry Soluble Granular Herbicide	Granular	75%	-	-	-
28539			Eclipse II A Herbicide (a component of Eclipse II Herbicide Tan-Mix)	Solution	360 g/L	-	-	-
29032			Eclipse III A Herbicide (a component of Eclipse III Herbicide Tank-Mix)	Solution	360 g/L	-	-	-
18213	Manufacturing	inσ	Lontrel 35A Herbicide Concentrate	Solution	35%	-	-	-
25783	concentrate		Striker Manufacturing Concentrate	Wettable Granules	25%	-	50%	9.3%
18315	Technical active		Lontrel T Technical Herbicide	Solid	95%	-	-	-
25296	ingredient		Lontrel F Technical Herbicide	Paste	80.8%	-	-	-

¹ DPI = clopyralid (present as acid or monoethanolamine salt or potassium salt); MAE = MCPA (present as 2-ethylhexyl ester); DXA = 2,4-D (present as acid); FLM = flumetsulam; - = not included. Note that the guarantee for liquid formulations are in g ae (acid equivalent)/L.

pend	

Appendix II Registered uses of clopyralid as of January 9, 2009. No aerial application is allowed for any registered uses.

Use Site Category	Site(s)	Weed(s)	Formulation Type	Maximum Application Rate (g a.e./ha)	Use Supported? ¹	
	Wheat, including spring wheat and		Emusifiable Concentrate	100.0		
	durum wheat		Solution	201.6		
13 = Terrestrial feed crops	Barley, including spring barley]	Emusifiable Concentrate	100.0		
14 = Terrestrial food crops	Barrey, including spring barrey		Solution	201.6	Van	
	Oats		Emusifiable Concentrate	100.0	Yes	
	Oats		Solution	201.6		
	771	1	Emusifiable Concentrate	100.0		
7 = Industrial oilseed and	Flax		Solution	298.8		
fibre crops		-	Solution	298.8	Yes	
13 = Terrestrial feed crops 14 = Terrestrial food crops	Canola		Granules	200.3	(Minor use ²)	
1 11 11 11 11 11	Field corn (hybrid)	1	Soluble Granules	135.0		
	Seedling and/or established forage		Emusifiable Concentrate	100.0	Yes	
	grasses grown for seed and/or forage 4,5		Solution	298.8		
13 = Terrestrial feed crops	Canaryseed	1	Emusifiable Concentrate	100.0		
	Rangeland and grass pasture ⁶			298.8		
	Low bush blueberry			151.2		
	High bush blueberry			298.8	Yes,	
	Strawberry (Renovation)			298.8	Minor use	
	Sugarbeet	Broadleaf weeds as		298.8	V	
	Rutabaga	listed on the		201.6	Yes	
14 = Terrestrial food crops	Cabbage, cauliflower, broccoli and kohlrabi (all transplanted), nappa cabbage (transplanted and seeded), Chinese radish, mustard cabbage and Chinese broccoli (all seeded)	labels		201.6	Yes, Minor use	
	Apple (bearing and non-bearing)			201.6		
	Cranberry	1		7.2 g a.e./L water		
	Summer fallow	1	Solution	298.8	**	
4 = Forest and woodlands	Balsam Fir Christmas tree plantation	1		252.0	Yes	
4 = Forest and woodlands 27 = Ornamentals outdoor	Poplar and its hybrids			298.8	Yes, Minor use	
4 = Forest and woodlands 16 = Industrial and domestic vegetation control for non-food sites	Shelterbelts (villas lilac, acute willow, Colorado spruce, white spruce, buffaloberry and chokecherry)			298.8	V	
13 = Terrestrial feed crops 16 = Industrial and domestic vegetation control for non-food sites	Transline Industrial Vegetation Management System (non-crop uses) ⁷			298.8	Yes	

Use Site Category	Site(s)	Weed(s)	Formulation Type	Maximum Application Rate (g a.e./ha)	Use Supported? ¹
16 = Industrial and domestic vegetation control for non-food sites	Non-crop farmland (around farm buildings, storage areas, fence rows)			298.8	Yes (Minor use ³)

- Yes = Use is supported by the registrant. Minor use = Use was added as a User Requested Minor Use Label Expansion (URMULE).
- The use on canola in Ontario is a minor use registration.
- The use for the control of spotted and diffuse knapweed on non-crop farmland is a minor use registration.
- Seedling and established grasses for seed production: Including weed control on creeping red fescue, intermediate wheat grass, crested wheat grass, meadow brome grass, smooth brome grass, timothy.
- Seedling and established grasses for seed production and forage (western Canada only): Including weed control on Kentucky bluegrass, smooth bromegrass, reed canary grass, creeping red fescue, meadow fescue, tall fescue, meadow foxtail, orchard grass, altai wild ryegrass, Russian wild ryegrass, timothy, crested wheatgrass, intermediate wheatgrass, slender wheatgrass and streambank wheatgrass for forage and seed production and tall wheatgrass for forage only.
- Rangeland and grass pasture: Including weed control on Kentucky bluegrass, smooth bromegrass, reed canary grass, creeping red fescue, meadow fescue, tall fescue, meadow foxtail, orchard grass, altai wild ryegrass, Russian wild ryegrass, timothy, crested wheatgrass, intermediate wheatgrass, slender wheatgrass, streambank wheatgrass and tall wheatgrass.
- Transline Industrial Vegetation Management System (non-crop uses): Including weed control on rights-of-way (hydro, railroad, communication lines, pipelines) and associated stations, industrial manufacturing sites, storage sites, vacant lots and roadsides, military bases and low maintenance rough turf areas (grass areas where the dominant species are those listed in the Rangeland and Grass Pasture Section of this label, and where little or no maintenance is applied).

Appendix III Toxicology Profile for Clopyralid

NOTE: Effects noted below are known or assumed to occur in both sexes unless otherwise specified.

Study/Species/ # of animals per group	Dose Levels/Purity of Test Material	Results/Effects
Metabolism/Toxicok	inetic Studies	
Absorption, distribution, excretion and metabolism of [14C] - Clopyralid - Sprague-Dawley rats 38/2\$\(\text{(blood)}\) 38/3\$\(\text{(urine, faeces,}\) CO2, tissues)	dose radiochemical purity:	Absorption: Rapidly and nearly completely absorbed (peak plasma concentration reached at 18 minutes). Distribution: Widely distributed. Low tissue levels (at 120 hr average conc.< 0.018% of administered dose (AD)/g, and in remaining carcasses, 0.025%AD/g). Metabolism: clopyralid only radioactive residue detected: 94-99% of radioactivity co-chromatographed with clopyralid Excretion: Rapidly excreted largely in the urine [92.2% AD excreted in urine by 120 hr (96.5% of this was excreted during the first 32 hr with half-life of 3.05 hr; remainder with half-life of 24.7 hr.)]. Faecal excretion was 2.69% AD, and expired air was 0.03% AD.
Absorption, distribution, excretion and metabolism of [14C] - Clopyralid - Fischer- 344 rats: A: 1/sex B,C,D,E: 5/sex	(oral dose) or saline (intravenous dose) A: (control) 1 mg/kg bw orally B: 5 mg/kg bw intravenously C: 5 mg/kg bw orally D: 5 mg/kg bw orally	Absorption: Rapidly and nearly completely absorbed (based on excretion rates). Distribution: Widely distributed. Low tissue levels [<0.01% of administered dose (AD)]. In individual tissues/organs (excluding carcass), residues were generally less than 0.002 mg/kg except in the stomach [up to 0.237/0.189 mg/kg (♂/♀) in high dose group]. Metabolism: Only unchanged clopyralid was detected in the urine, no metabolites; most of the radioactivity in the faeces was also unchanged clopyralid. Excretion: Rapidly excreted largely in the urine (during the first 24 hours: urine, 73.3-97.2% AD; faeces, 0.3-3.7% AD). At 72 hours: urine was 74.1-97.6% AD; cage washes were 10.47-21.83% AD; and faeces were 0.83-4.51% AD. -no apparent differences between treated groups or sexes; multiple applications did not change the tissue distribution or elimination pattern

Study/Species/ # of animals per group	Dose Levels/Purity of Test Material	Results/Effects
Acute Toxicity Studi	es	
Oral toxicity - Fischer 344 rats 5/sex	5000 mg/kg bw as a suspension in corn oil (Lontrel T) 95.4% purity	>5000 mg/kg bw low toxicity
Dermal toxicity - New Zealand White rabbits 5/sex	2000 mg/kg bw moistened with distilled water applied to shaved skin for 24 hr under occlusive wrapping (Lontrel T) 95.4% purity	>2000 mg/kg bw low toxicity
Inhalation toxicity - Fischer 344 rats 5/sex	1.0 mg/l (nose only; 4 hr exposure period, 14 day observation) (Lontrel T) 95.8% purity	LC ₅₀ >1 mg/L (highest attainable conc.) low toxicity
Eye irritation - New Zealand White rabbits	0.1 g applied to the conjunctival sac of the right eye	severely irritating symptoms persisted after 21 days
3/sex	(Lontrel T) 95.4% purity	severely irritating
Dermal irritation - New Zealand White rabbits	0.5 g (moistened) applied under a 2.5 cm ² gauze patch to fur -free skin for 4 hr	non-irritating
	(Lontrel T) 95.4% purity	

Study/Species/ # of animals per group	Dose Levels/Purity of Test Material	Results/Effects
Dermal sensitization (Buehler) - Hartley albino Guinea pig 103/group	Induction: 3 applications of 0.4 ml of 10% clopyralid in Dowanol DPM once a week for 6 hrs. Positive control: 10% solution of DER 331 epoxy resin in Dowanol DPM applied similarly to above Challenge (2 wks. After last induction):	no signs of erythema or edema with 10% clopyralid; not sensitizing
	10% clopyralid applied for 6 hrs (Lontrel T) 95.4% purity	
Dermal sensitization (Magnusson & Kligman Maximization test) - Dunkin-Hartley	Induction: 1st - 3 pairs of intradermal injections of 0.1 ml clopyralid (3% w/v in propylene glycol),	Challenge application of 10% w/v clopyralid in propylene glycol produced eschar formation (2 animals), and slight erythema (1 test, 1 control animal)
albino Guinea pigs 10/sex	FCA, and clopyralid (3%) in FCA 2nd - clopyralid (50%, w/v) in propylene glycol Challenge: 10% w/v clopyralid in propylene glycol; 3% w/v clopyralid in propylene glycol (Lontrel T) 97.9% purity	not sensitizing

Study/Species/ # of animals per	Dose Levels/Purity of Test Material	NOAEL (mg/kg bw/day)	Results/Effects
group	or resentation	(mg/ng 5 m um,)	
Subchronic Toxicity	Studies		
21-day dermal toxicity - New Zealand White rabbits 1/sex/group (Probe study) 5/sex/group (Main study)	Probe Study: 500, 1000 mg/kg bw/day, 6hr/day, for 4 days Main Study: 0, 100, 500, 1000 mg/kg bw/day, 6hr/day, for 3 5-day periods (Lontrel T) 95.78% purity	Systemic toxicity: >1000 mg/kg bw/day	Systemic toxicity: none observed at the highest (limit) dose (1000 mg/kg bw/day) Dermal toxicity: non-irritating >500 mg/kg bw/day: slight erythema
90-day oral (feeding) study - B ₆ C ₃ F ₁ mice 10/sex/group	0, 200, 750, 2000, 5000 mg/kg bw/day (Dowco 290) 97% purity	2000 mg/kg bw/day	≥2000 mg/kg bw/day: morphologic changes in the liver (↑size centrilobular hepatocytes, altered tinctorial properties)(♀) 5000 mg/kg bw/day: ↓bw ↑liver wt. (rel.), morphologic changes in the liver (↑size centrilobular hepatocytes, altered tinctorial properties)
28-day oral (feeding) study - CD rats 10/sex/group	0, 150, 500, 1500 mg/kg bw/day (Lontrel T) 95% purity	150 mg/kg bw/day	≥500 mg/kg bw/day: ↑urea nitrogen (♀), changes in electrolyte levels [↓Ca ⁺⁺ & Cl ⁻ (♂); ↑Na ⁺ & K ⁺ (♀)], thickening of the forestomach limiting ridge (♀), histopathology showed minimal acanthosis and folding of non-glandular epithelium of the limiting ridge 1500 mg/kg bw/day: ↓bw gain, ↑RBC (♂), ↓ALT (♀), ↑kidney wt. (no macroscopic or histopathological change), thickening of the forestomach limiting ridge, histopathology showed minimal acanthosis and folding of non-glandular epithelium of the limiting ridge
90-day oral (feeding) study - Sprague- Dawley Spartan rats 15/sex/group	0, 5, 15, 50, 150 mg/kg bw/day (Dowco 290) 96.3% purity	>150 mg/kg bw/day	There were no toxicologically significant effects, and no histopathological changes.
90-day oral (feeding) study - Fischer-344 rats 15/sex/group	0, 300, 1500, 2500 mg/kg bw/day (Dowco 290) 96.4% purity	1500/300 mg/kg bw/day (♂/♀)	≥300 mg/kg bw/day: slight, but statistically significant ↑rel. liver and kidney wt.(♂) 1500 mg/kg bw/day:↓bw gain, ↓bw, ↓food consumption 2500 mg/kg bw/day:↓bw gain, ↓bw, ↓food consumption, ↑rel. liver and kidney wt., stomach lesions (slight irregularities and accentuation of the limiting ridge, microscopically consisting of increased thickness of the gastric mucosa)

Study/Species/ # of animals per group	Dose Levels/Purity of Test Material	NOAEL (mg/kg bw/day)	Results/Effects
6 month oral (feeding) study - Beagle dogs	0, 15, 50, 150 mg/kg bw/day (Dowco 290) purity not stated	>150 mg/kg bw/day	no treatment related toxicological effects
	0, 15, 50, 150 mg/kg bw/day (Dowco 290) purity not stated	>150 mg/kg bw/day	150 mg/kg bw/day: ↑liver weight (♀ - rel.)
1 year oral (feeding) study - Beagle dogs 6/sex/group	0, 100, 320, 1000 mg/kg bw/day (achieved dose: 0, 99/99, 301/319, 983/977 mg/kg bw/day) (Dowco 290) 95.8% purity	100 mg/kg bw/day	100 mg/kg bw/day: ↓globulin (♀), ↓total protein (♀), ≥320 mg/kg bw/day: ↓RBC, ↓Hgb, ↓Hct, ↓albumin (♀), ↓total protein (♀), ↓BUN (♀), ↑liver weight (♂), ↑ focal vacuolation of adrenal cortical cells (♀: slight - mild)(♀ - findings occurred unilaterally in most control and low-dose dogs, and bilaterally in most mid- and high-dose dogs) 1000 mg/kg bw/day: ↓albumin, ↓globulin (♂), ↓total protein, ↑liver wt. ↑kidney wt. (rel ♂), ↑heart wt. (rel ♀)
Chronic Toxicity/On	cogenicity Studies		
18-month oncogenicity feeding study - Charles River strain Swiss albino mice 30 ♀&15 ♂ /group* *After 13 wks., ♂'s and ♀'s from the same dose group were mated and offspring distributed into same dose groups for 18 months (50-60/sex/group)	mg/kg bw/day) (Dowco 290) purity not stated		no toxicologically significant effects (i.e., there were no changes in behaviour, clinical appearance or bw of either parents or offspring, no changes in any of the tissues evaluated gross pathologically or microscopically) not oncogenic supplementary
2-year chronic toxicity/ oncogenicity feeding study - B ₆ C ₃ F ₁ mice 70/sex/group (10/sex/group at 6 and 12 month interim sacrifices)	(Dowco 290) 96.7% purity	500 mg/kg bw/day/>2000 mg/kg bw/day (♂/♀)	2000 mg/kg bw/day: ↓bw, ↓bw gain, ↓food efficiency not oncogenic

Dose Levels/Purity of Test Material	NOAEL (mg/kg bw/day)	Results/Effects	
0, 5, 15, 50, 150 mg/kg bw/day (Dowco 290) 92.8% purity		150 mg/kg bw/day:↓bw (♀) In two supplementary histopathological investigations no toxicologically significant treatment-related histopathological effects were found. not oncogenic supplementary	
0, 15, 150, 1500 mg/kg bw/day (Dowco 290) 96.7% purity		≥150 mg/kg bw/day: ↓food consumption, stomach lesions [thickening of the epithelium of the anterior surface of the limiting ridge, hyperplasia 1500 mg/kg bw/day: ↓food consumption ↓bw, ↓bw gain, ↑liver & kidney wts. stomach lesions [↑prominence of the gastric limiting ridge, thickening of the epithelium of the anterior surface of the limiting ridge (↑cells in the stratum spinosum) and hyperplasia (↑cellular activity in the stratum basale), chronic active inflammation, ↑incidence mononuclear cell aggregates in the stomach mucosa]	
	C. I.	not oncogenic	
evelopmental Toxicit			
0, 150, 500, 1500 mg/kg bw/day (Dowco 290) 96.7% purity	Offspring toxicity: 500 mg/kg bw/day Reproductive toxicity: >1500 mg/kg bw/day)	Parental: 500 mg/kg bw/day: \bw [F ₀ \times - during premating and lactation] 1500 mg/kg bw/day: slight hyperkeratotic changes in the nonglandular mucosa of the stomach (\delta\), small lesions in the forestomach (mucosal invaginations in the gastric wall)(\delta\), \bw (F ₀ - pre-mating; F ₀ \times - lactation; F ₁), \bw gain (F ₀ \delta\) - pre-mating; F ₀ \times - pre-mating; F ₁ \delta\) - overall), \times food consumption (F ₀ \delta\) - for much of pre-mating interval; F ₀ \times \delta\) F ₁ \delta\) - a few wks of the pre-mating interval) Offspring: \times pup weight (F _{1a} /F _{1b} \delta\), \times pup liver weights (rel F _{1a} \delta \delta \times /F _{1b} \delta\)) Reproductive: no treatment-related effects	
	0, 5, 15, 50, 150 mg/kg bw/day (Dowco 290) 92.8% purity 0, 15, 150, 1500 mg/kg bw/day (Dowco 290) 96.7% purity evelopmental Toxicit 0, 150, 500, 1500 mg/kg bw/day (Dowco 290) 96.7%	of Test Material 0, 5, 15, 50, 150 mg/kg bw/day (Dowco 290) 92.8% purity 15 mg/kg bw/day (Dowco 290) 96.7% purity evelopmental Toxicity Studies 0, 150, 500, 1500 mg/kg bw/day (Dowco 290) 96.7% purity Parental/ Offspring toxicity: 500 mg/kg bw/day Purity Reproductive toxicity: >1500	

Study/Species/ # of animals per group	Dose Levels/Purity of Test Material	NOAEL (mg/kg bw/day)	Results/Effects
Developmental toxicity study - Fischer 344 rats Phase I - 29-35 mated ♀'s/group Phase II - 25 mated ♀'s/group	Phase I - 0, 15, 75, 250 mg/kg bw/day in cottonseed oil (4 ml/kg bw) by gavage on gestation days 6-15, inclusive Phase II - 0, 250 mg/kg bw/day in cottonseed oil (2 ml/kg bw) by gavage on gestation days 6-15, inclusive (Dowco 290) 97.0% purity	Maternal: 75 mg/kg bw/day Developmental: >250 mg/kg bw/day	Phase I Maternal: ≥75 mg/kg bw/day: ↓liver wt. (absol.) 250 mg/kg bw/day: mortality (1 death on GD 11 - this animal exhibited moistening of the hair of the perineal region, slightly ↓thymus size, & gastrointestinal tract devoid of feed or fecal matter), ↓bw, ↓bw gain, ↓food consumption, Developmental: 250 mg/kg bw/day: no differences between control and treated in the live fetuses/dam, post-implantation losses or fetal sex ratios. No reduction in fetal weight. No single malformation occurred at a statistically or biologically significant greater incidence in the treated groups and the incidence of total major malformations was also not significantly increased for any of the treated groups Phase II Maternal: 250 mg/kg bw/day: mortality (2 deaths on GD 10 - both animals exhibited substantial weight loss, & exudative material from the nares), ↓liver wt. (absol.), ↓bw, ↓bw gain, ↓food consumption Developmental: 250 mg/kg bw/day: no significant effects (as phase I)
Developmental toxicity study - New Zealand White rabbits 26-34 presumed pregnant rabbits/group	0, 50, 110, 250 mg/kg bw/day in corn oil (2 ml/kg bw) by gavage on gestation days 7-19, inclusive 96.1-96.4% purity	<u>Maternal and</u> <u>Developmental:</u> 110 mg/kg bw/day	Maternal: 250 mg/kg bw/day: clinical signs (laboured breathing, rales, shallow respiration, coughing), mortality (8 treatment related deaths vs none at lower doses), ↓bw, ↓bw gain, histopathologic lesions of the gastric mucosa [multifocal erosions, focal ulcer, multifocal necrosis with inflammation, multifocal acute inflammation, multifocal hyperplasia, fibrosis of the lamina propria & mucosal autolysis] Developmental: 250 mg/kg bw/day: ↓fetal bw, hydrocephaly (3/15 litters contained fetuses—total of 8—with hydrocephaly vs 0/19 in controls, not statistically sig., but greater than historical control: 0-2 fetuses and litters)
Genotoxicity Studies			
Dominant lethal assay - Sprague-Dawley CD rats	0, 4, 40, 400 mg/kg bw (one dose /day for 5 days) by gavage in corn oil, then mated to 2♀/wk for 7 wk (Dowco 290) purity not stated		negative

			, фронал, ш
Study/Species/ # of animals per group	Dose Levels/Purity of Test Material	NOAEL (mg/kg bw/day)	Results/Effects
In vivo cytogenetic assay chromosome aberration - ♂ Sprague-Dawley rats acutely: 5/group/time interval (killed at 6, 24 and 48 h.) subacutely: 5/group	0, 4, 40, 400 mg/kg bw (either acutely, or one dose /day for 5 days) by gastric intubation in corn oil (Dowco 290) purity not stated		negative
In vivo host mediated mutation assay - Charles River ICR ♂ mice (host) - Salmonella strains TA 1530, G-46, & Saccharomyces strain D-3 10 mice/group	In vivo test: 0, 4, 40, 400 mg/kg bw/day in corn oil to mice (by gavage) either acutely as a single dose, or one		negative
	100% technical grade		
In vitro mutation assay - Salmonella strains TA 1530, G- 46, & Saccharomyces strain D-3	In vitro test: discs containing 0.1 ml of 10%, 20%, or 50% saturated solutions in corn oil placed on inoculated plates		negative
	100% technical grade		
In vitro unscheduled DNA synthesis assay - primary rat hepatocytes -2 studies	0, 5 x 10 ⁻⁵ , 1.56 x 10 ⁻⁴ , 5 x 10 ⁻⁴ , 1.56 x 10 ⁻³ , 5 x 10 ⁻³ , 1.56 x 10 ⁻² , 5 x 10 ⁻² M in Williams Media E		negative
	(Lontrel T) 95.6% purity		
Ames reverse mutation test - Salmonella typhimurium TA98, TA100, TA1535,	0, 125, 250, 500, 1000 μg/plate ±S9 (3 plates/conc.)		negative
TA1537, TA1528	95% purity		

Study/Species/ # of animals per group	Dose Levels/Purity of Test Material	NOAEL (mg/kg bw/day)	Results/Effects
Ames reverse mutation test - Salmonella typhimurium TA98, TA100, TA1535,	0, 50, 158, 500, 1580 and 5000 µg/plate ±S9 (3 plates/conc.)		negative
TA1537	(Lontrel T) 95.4% purity		
CHO/HGPRT gene mutation assay -	(Expt.1.) 0, 250, 500, 750, 1000, and 1500 μg/ml		negative
	(Expt 2.) 0, 125, 250, 500, 750, and 1000 μg/ml without S9		
	0, 1750, 2000, 2250, 2500, and 2750 µg/ml + S9		
	(Lontrel T) 95.4% purity		
In vitro chromosomal aberration assay - Crl:CD BR rat lymphocytes	(Expt.1.) 0, 43.6, 87.2, 174.4, 348.8, 697.5, 1395, and 2790 μg/ml ±S9		negative
	(Expt 2.) 0, 43.6, 87.2, 174.4, 348.8, 697.5, 1050, 1395, 2100, and 2790 μg/ml without S9		
	& 0, 174.4, 348.8, 697.5, 1395, and 2790 μg/ml + S9		
	96.9% purity		

Study/Species/ # of animals per group	Dose Levels/Purity of Test Material	NOAEL (mg/kg bw/day)	Results/Effects
Mouse bone marrow micronucleus test - CD-1(ICR) mice	0, 500, 1667, or 5000 mg/kg bw by gavage in corn oil		negative
Groups of mice, 5/sex/treatment, were sacrificed at 24, 48 or 72 hr after treatment	96.1% purity		

Appendix IV Toxicology Endpoints for Health Risk Assessment for Clopyralid

	RfD (mg/kg bw/day)	Study NOAEL (or LOAEL)	CAF or Target MOE and Rationale ¹
ARfD	0.75	NOAEL: 75 mg/kg bw	100
general population		Rat Developmental Toxicity (decreased maternal body weight gain and food consumption during gestation days 6-9 at 250 mg/kg bw/day)	PCPA = 1-fold
ARfD	0.37	NOAEL: 110 mg/kg bw/day	300
females 13-49		Rabbit Developmental Toxicity (hydrocephaly at 250 mg/kg bw/day)	PCPA = Additional 3-fold factor for serious effect in the presence of significant maternal toxicity
ADI	0.15	NOAEL: 15 mg/kg bw/day	100
general population		2-year Rat Chronic Toxicity/ Oncogenicity (epithelial hyperplasia and thickening of the limiting ridge at 150 mg/kg bw/day)	PCPA = 1-fold
short and intermediate-term dermal ² and inhalation ³		NOAEL: 110 mg/kg bw/day Rabbit Developmental Toxicity (hydrocephaly at 250 mg/kg bw/day)	Additional 3-fold factor for serious effect in the presence of significant maternal toxicity

¹CAF (Composite assessment factor) refers to the total of uncertainty and PCPA factors for dietary and residential risk assessments, MOE refers to target MOE for occupational assessments

²Since an oral NOAEL was selected, a dermal absorption factor of 100% (default value) is used in a route-to-route extrapolation.

³Since an oral NOAEL was selected, an inhalation absorption factor of 100% (default value) is used in route-to-route extrapolation.

Ar	pen	dix	V

Appendix V Occupational Exposure Risk Estimates for Clopyralid

Table V.1 M/L/A Short to Intermediate-Term Commercial Applicator Exposure and Risk Assessment^a

Scenario	Form	Application Equipment	Rate (kg	ATPD (ha)	Kg ae Handled		Exposure ^b /kg ae)		posure kg/day) ^c		MOE ^e	
			ae/ha)		per Day	Т	otal	Ι	Daily	Dermal	Inhalation	Combd
						Dermal	Inhalation	Dermal	Inhalation		Target=300	
	La	ibel PPE: Long	sleeved sh	irt, long p	ants, gloves	(not requi	red for groun	dboom ap	plication) ope	n cab, ope	n M/L	
All crops	EC,	Groundboom	0.299	100	29.9	84.12	2.56	35.93	1.09	3061	100596	2971
	liquid	Groundboom (custom)		300	89.7	84.12	2.56	107.8	3.28	1020	33532	990
		Backpack	0.0029	150 L	0.45	5445.8 5	62.1	34.89	0.4	3153	276463	3117
		Low pressure handwand	kg ae/L		0.45	943.37	45.2	6.04	0.29	18199	379301	17367
		Right of way sprayer	0.299	50	14.9	923.68	6.6	197	1.41	558	78090	554
	SG,	Groundboom	0.135	100	13.5	196.75	1.98	37.85	0.38	2906	288066	2877
	SG in WSP ^f	Groundboom (custom)		140	18.9	196.75	1.98	52.99	0.53	2076	205761	2055
	Gran	Solid broadcast spreader	0.2	80	16	34.98	3.8	8	0.87	13758	126645	12410
		Solid broadcast spreader (custom)		300	60	34.98	3.8	29.98	3.26	3669	33772	3309

Form = formulation; EC = emulsifiable concentrate; SG= soluble granular; WSP = water soluble package; Gran = granular; ATPD = area treated per day; MOE = margin of exposure; Comb = combined MOE; M/L = mixing/loading; A = application.

^a The highest application rate and highest area treated per day for each formulation was combined with the potential application equipment that could be used on those crops registered for that formulation. For those crops where the rate was converted into a rate per litre, the lowest volume permitted on the labels (100 L/ha) from cereal grains was used. Volumes ranged from 100-300 L/ha for all crops. Granular formulation = canola; SG and SG in WSP = field corn; Liquid, EC formulation = balsam fir Christmas tree plantations, poplars and their hybrids, seedlings and established forage grasses grown for seed and/or forage, canary grass, rangeland and grass pasture, wheat, barley, oat, flax, canola, rutabaga, cabbage, cauliflower, broccoli, kohlrabi, nappa cabbage, Chinese radish, mustard cabbage, Chinese broccoli, apples, strawberries, cranberry, sugar beet, blueberry (low and high bush), summer fallow, shelterbelts, non-cropland

^b A sum of unit exposure values from mixing and loading and application

 $^{^{}c}$ Where exposure (µg/kg/day) = (unit exposure x application rate x ATPD x dermal absorption)/70 kg bw. Dermal absorption was assumed to be equivalent to oral absorption (i.e. 100%).

^d Dermal and inhalation MOEs are based on an oral NOAEL of 110 mg/kg bw/day, target is 300.

^e Calculated using the following equation: Combined MOE = NOAEL/ (Dermal exposure + inhalation exposure)

Exposure was calculated for SG only, not SG in WSP, since being packaged in a WSP would reduce exposure and target MOEs were met without it.

Table V.2 Dermal Post-Application Short-Term Exposure and Risk Assessment^a

Activity	Transfer Coefficient (cm²/hr)	DFR ^b (μg/cm²)	Dermal Exposure ^c (μg/kg bw/day)	MOE ^d (Day 0)	REI (days) ^e
All crops f				Target: 300	
Hand harvesting in corn		Not required a	as applied as a pre-emerg	ent application	
Hand harvest, irrigation, hand pruning in field crops	5000	0.598	342	322	12 hours
Hand harvesting in berries, scouting and irrigating in sugar beet	1500 ^g	0.598	103	1073	12 hours
Scouting in seedlings, cereal grains, summerfallow	1500 ^h	0.598	103	1073	12 hours
Handline irrigation	1,100 ⁱ	0.598	75.18	1463	12 hours
Scouting in s non-cropland, shelterbelts, apples	500	0.598	34.2	3219	12 hours
Hand harvesting, hand pruning, scouting, thinning, hand weeding in cranberries	400	0.534 ^j	24.41	4507	12 hours

DFR = dislodgeable foliar residue; TC = transfer coefficient; DA = dermal absorption; MOE = margin of exposure; REI = restricted entry interval.

Seedlings and summerfallow: seedlings and established forage grasses grown for seed and/or forage, canary grass, rangeland and grass pasture, summerfallow, shelterbelts

Cereal grains: wheat, barley, oat, flax, canola

Berries: strawberries, sugar beet, blueberry (low and high bush),

^a The highest exposure reentry activity for each crop was combined with the day 0 residues from the highest registered application rate from all formulations. Although up to two applications are permitted on the label for some crops (apples, cranberries, shelterbelts, non-cropland (spot treatment)), only 1 application was assumed as the interval between applications was 45 days or longer and residues will be minimal by the second application., based on submitted DFR studies Additionally crop height is not expected to change.

^b DFR value was determined using default peak DFR value of 20% of the application rate.

^c Exposure = DFR x TC x duration (8 hours) x DA (100%) / body weight (70 kg).

^d Based on an oral NOAEL of 110 mg/kg bw/day and target MOE of 300.

^e REI = Restricted Entry Interval.

^f Field crops: rutabaga, cabbage, cauliflower, broccoli, kohirabi, nappa cabbage, Chinese radish, mustard cabbage, Chinese broccoli Trees: balsam fir, x-mas tree plantations, poplars and their hybrids

g TC for bushberries, caneberries and grapes

^h TC value from scouting cereal grain, used as a surrogate for scouting seedlings, summerfallow

¹TC value for Christmas trees, used as surrogate for poplars

^jDFR is based on a rate which was determined based on information provided by Brian Mauza, Agricultural Scientist for Ocean Spray of Canada Ltd.

Appendix VI Dietary Exposure and Risk Estimates for Clopyralid

Table VI.1 Acute Food and Drinking Water Exposure Risk Estimates

Population Groups	Food Exp	oosure ¹	Food and Drinking Water Exposure ¹		
	mg/kg bw	% ARfD ²	mg/kg bw	% ARfD ²	
General Population ³	NA	NA	NA	NA	
All Infants (<1 year old)	0.03	4	0.04	6	
Children 1-2 years old	0.03	4	0.04	5	
Children 3-5 years old	0.03	4	0.03	4	
Children 6-12 years old	0.02	3	0.02	3	
Males 13-19 years old	0.01	2	0.02	2	
Males 20-49 years old	0.01	1	0.02	2	
Adults 50+ years old	0.01	1	0.01	2	
Females 13-49 years old	0.01	3	0.01	4	

NA=not applicable

Table VI.2 Chronic Food and Drinking Water Risk Estimates

Population Groups	Food Exp	osure	Food and Drinking Water Exposure		
	mg/kg bw/day	% ADI ¹	mg/kg bw/day	% ADI ¹	
General Population	0.006	4	0.009	6	
All Infants (<1 year old)	0.008	5	0.017	12	
Children 1-2 years old	0.015	10	0.019	13	
Children 3-5 years old	0.014	9	0.018	12	
Children 6-12 years old	0.009	6	0.012	8	
Youth 13-19 years old	0.005	4	0.007	5	
Adults 20-49 years old	0.005	3	0.007	5	
Adults 50+ years old	0.004	3	0.007	5	
Females 13-49 years old	0.004	3	0.007	5	

ADI (acceptable daily intake) for all populations = 0.15 mg/kg bw/day

^{95&}lt;sup>th</sup> percentile of exposure

ARfD (acute reference dose) for all population groups (except females aged 13-49 years) = 0.75 mg/kg bw For females aged 13-49 years, ARfD = 0.47 mg/kg bw

The risk estimate could not be determined for the general population as separate ARfDs were selected for females aged 13-49 year and the other population groups.

Appendix VI	ı
-------------	---

Appendix VII Food Residue Chemistry Summary

1.1 Metabolism

The nature of the residue in plants and animals is understood. Based on available data, the residue definition is the parent, clopyralid. This is consistent with the established residue definition.

1.1.1 Plant Metabolism

Metabolism data were available for grass, corn, wheat, barley, and canola. The residue of concern in cereals, canola, and grass is the parent, clopyralid.

1.1.2 Livestock Metabolism

Metabolism studies for lactating goats and laying hens are available on file. The residue of concern in animals is the parent, clopyralid.

1.1.3 Residue Definition

The current residue definition (RD) established in plants and animals is the parent clopyralid. Based on the available metabolism data, RD revisions are not required.

The PMRA's current RD is consistent with the RD of the USEPA

1.2 Analytical Methods

Analytical methodology data on file are adequate. The Pesticide Analytical Method (PAM) Volume II lists enforcement methods for clopyralid residues in plant (Method ACR 75.6) and animal matrices (Method ACR 86.1). These methods have undergone inter-laboratory validation and are adequate for enforcement and residue data collection. Method ACR 79.5 (plant matrices) is also deemed acceptable as an enforcement analytical methodology by the USEPA. The sensitivity for these methods range from 0.05-0.10 ppm.

There are no data on file for multi-residue methods (MRMs). CFIA does not analyze for clopyralid with their current MRMs. The USDA Pesticide Data Program (PDP) has a validated MRM.

1.3 Food Residues

1.3.1 Storage Stability

Freezer storage stability data for plants are adequate. Data were available on file for safflower, soybeans, sugar beets, oats, and corn. Clopyralid is stable in safflower, soybeans, sugar beets, and oats for up to 4 years when stored at -20°C. The data for corn indicates that clopyralid remains stable for up to 1.5 years at -15°C.

There are no freezer storage stability studies on file for animal matrices. Feeding studies on file are out-dated and do not provide storage stability information. Although not up to the current standards of the Residue Chemistry Guidelines (Dir 98-02), the feed residue data overall indicates that clopyralid is unlikely to exceed current MRL levels in animals; thus storage stability data for animal matrices are not required.

1.3.2 Crop Residues

Magnitude of residue (MOR) data for plants are adequate. Although MOR data did not meet all the Residue Chemistry Guideline (Dir98-02) requirements, it indicates that clopyralid residues are unlikely to exceed MRLs in registered crops when used according to label directions. The only crops that may have residues potentially exceeding MRLs are sugar beets. Residues in sugar beets are covered by the general MRL under the Food and Drug Regulations (FDR), subsection B.15.002(1) at \leq 0.1 ppm. MORdata indicated highest residues of 0.3 ppm in roots and tops, and 1 ppm in molasses. The U.S tolerances for sugar beets are 2 ppm for roots, 3 ppm for leaves, and 10 ppm for molasses. For the risk assessment, the tolerance levels were used to estimate residues in sugar beets as the general MRL (\leq 0.1 ppm) may be an underestimate.

1.3.3 Livestock Residues

Feeding studies were available for dairy cattle, calves, chickens, and swine. The estimated maximum theoretical dietary burden (MTDB) was determined to be 26 ppm for beef and dairy cattle, and 5 ppm for swine and poultry. Based on the feed residue data at or close to the MTDB, clopyralid residues are not expected to exceed the established MRLs in animals; 0.05 ppm for tissues except kidney, 0.01 ppm for milk, 0.05 ppm for eggs, 0.2 ppm for kidney of poultry, and 0.36 ppm for kidney of ruminants.

1.3.4 Confined Accumulation in Rotational Crops

An adequate confined crop rotational study was available on file. Total residues in rotational crops planted 10 months after application are not expected to exceed 0.01 ppm. Plant back interval (PBI) restrictions of 10 months or greater are specified for most labels to address phytotoxicity.

1.3.5 Processing

Processing data were available for apples, canola, and sugar beets. Residues in processed apple juice, white sugar (sugar beets), and canola oil are not expected to exceed the general MRL at 0.1 ppm. Residues in sugar beet molasses may reach levels of 1 ppm, which exceeds the general MRL. Thus, the U.S Tolerance level of 10 ppm was used to estimate residues for sugar beet molasses in the risk assessment.

Appendix VIII Impact on the Environment

 Table 1
 Fate and Behaviour in the Environment

Property	Test Substance	Value Transformation Products	Comments	Reference
		Terrestrial Environ		
Hydrolysis		Abiotic transforma	will not contribute to the transformation of clopyralid in the environment	PMRA 1228826, PMRA 1228828
Phototransformation in soil	clopyralid	stable	will not contribute to the transformation of clopyralid in the environment	PMRA 1228826 PMRA 1228828
Phototransformation in air		Not available	Not required in view of low vapour pressure	
		Biotransformatio	n	
Biotransformation in airobic soil	clopyralid	$DT_{50} = 11.9 - 293 \text{ d}$ $DT_{90} = 39.4 - 973 \text{ d}$	Non persistent to persistent ^a	PMRA 1228830, 1228831,1228832,12 28834,1810628
Biotransformation in anairobic soil	13	$DT_{50} = 564 - 3400 \text{ d}$ $DT_{90} = 1870 - 11300 \text{ d}$	persistent ^a	PMRA 1749104 PMRA 1228832
	Г	Mobility	l	D. (D. 1000000
Adsorption / desorption in soil		$K_{oc} 0.03 - 28.57 \text{ mL/g}$	Very highly mobile ^b	PMRA 1228838 PMRA 1219756 PMRA 1806251
Soil leaching	clopyralid	Detected in leachate to a maximum depth of 1.8 m and in soil profile to a maximum depth of 40 cm.	Very high potential to leaching	PMRA 1810625 PMRA 1228838 PMRA 1806251
Volatilization		Vapour pressure: 1.36 mPa	Not volatile from water and moist surfaces.	PMRA 1806253
		HLC: (1/H) 1.80 x 10 ⁻¹¹ (Pa m ³ mol ⁻¹)		
		Field studies		
Field dissipation (bare plot)	clopyralid	$DT_{50} = 11.8-32 d$ $DT_{90} = 39.8 - 106 d$	Clopyralid is classified as non persistent to slightly persistent on bare plots ^a	PMRA 1136184, 1137171, 1140983, 1158924
		Aquatic Environm		•
		Abiotic transforma	tion	
Hydrolysis	Clopyralid	Stable	not a principle route of transformation	PMRA 1228826 PMRA 1228828

Property	Test Substance	Value Transformation Products	Comments	Reference			
Phototransformation in water	Clopyralid	Stable	principle route of transformation	PMRA 1228826			
				PMRA 1228828			
		Biotransformatio	n				
Biotransformation in aerobic water systems	Clopyralid	DT ₅₀ : 128-167 d DT ₅₀ : 582-963 d (whole system)	moderately persistent in water systems and persistent in whole systems under aerobic conditions				
Biotransformation in anaerobic water systems	Clopyralid	DT ₅₀ : 700- 4570 d DT ₅₀ : 667-2390 d	persistent in aquatic systems under anaerobi conditions	PMRA 1749104 PMRA 1228832			
		Bioaccumulation	l				
Bioaccumulation	Clopyralid	BCF: < 1 (blue gill sunfish)	low potential for bioaccumulation	PMRA 1222502			
Field studies							
Aquatic Field	Clopyralid	4.7 – 8.53 d	non-persistent in aquati	c PMRA 118089			
dissipation			systems under field conditions	PMRA 1220480			

^aclassified according to the classification of Goring et al (1975) ^bclassified according to the classification of McCall et al (1981) ^c The Pesticide annual, 2000

Table 2 Toxicity to Non-Target Species

Organism	Study Type	Species	Test material	Endpoint	Value	Degree of Toxicity	References
	1 1 1 1 1 1		Terrestrial S	pecies		Toricity	
Invertebrate s	Acute	Earthworm (Eisenia foetida)	Clopyralid technical EF-1136 (Lontrel 100)	14-day LC ₅₀ 28-day NOEC	>1000 mg a.i./kg substrate 1.97 mg a.i./kg substrate (1.50 mg a.i./kg)	No effects up to 1.97 mg ai/kg substrate	PMRA 1220075
	Acute oral/contact	Honey bee (Apis mellifera)	Clopyralid technical EF-1136 (Lontrel 100)	48-h LD ₅₀ 48-h LD ₅₀ Oral contact	> 100 µg a.i./bee a > 200 µg a.i./bee (>152 µg a.i/bee) > 98 µg a.i./bee (>75 µg a.i/bee)	Practically non- toxic	PMRA 1806252

Organism	Study Type	Species	Test material	Endpoint	Value	Degree of Toxicity	References
	Contact	Beneficial arthropods Aphidius rhopalosiphi, Typhlodromus pyri, Chrysoperla carnea, Poecilus cupreus, Pardosa spp and Aleochara bilineata	EF-1136	LR ₅₀	> 200 g ai/ha. (>152 g ai/ha)		PMRA 1806252
Birds	Acute oral	mallard duck (Anas platyrhynchos) Bobwhite quail	Clopyralid technical Clopyralid	21-day LD ₅₀ NOEC	1465 mg ai/kg <398 mg ai/kg >2000	Slightly toxic Practically	PMRA# 1227441
	Dietary	(Colinus virginianus) Bobwhite quail	technical Clopyralid	NOEC 5-day LC ₅₀	mg ai/kg 500 mg g > 4640 mg	non-toxic Non- toxic	1806252 PMRA#
		(Colinus virginianus)	technical	NOEC	ai/kg diet 5000 mg ai/kg	N	1040132
		mallard duck (Anas platyrhynchos)	Clopyralid technical	5-day LC ₅₀	> 4640 mg ai/kg diet 5000 mg ai/kg	Non- toxic	PMRA# 1040131
	Chronic (repro)	Mallard duck (Anas platyrhynchos)	Clopyralid technical	22- week NOEC	>1000 mg a.i/kg diet		PMRA# 1219752
Mammals	Acute oral	Rat	Clopyralid technical	LD ₅₀	>5000 mg a.i./kg bw		HED
	Dietary	Rat	Clopyralid technical	90 day NOAIL	150 mg a.i./kg bw/day		HED
	Chronic (repro)	Rat	Clopyralid technical	generation NOAIL	>1500 mg a.i./kg bw/day		HED
Nontarget Plants	Post Emergence	Avena sativa, Allium cepa, Cyperus esculentus, Brassica napus and Beta vulgaris	EF-1136 (Lontrel 100) Clopyralid technical	EC ₅₀	>120 g a.i./ha (>91.2 g ai/ha)		PMRA 1806252

Organism	Study Type	Species	Test material	Endpoint	Value	Degree of Toxicity	References
		Glycine max		EC ₂₅ EC ₅₀	7.4 g a.i./ha (5.6 g ai/ha) 25.4 g ai/ha (19.3 g ai/ha)		
			Freshwater O	rganisms	I.	I	l
Invertebrate s	Acute	waterflea (Daphnia magna)	clopyralid technical Lontrel 100	48-h LC ₅₀ 48-h LC ₅₀	232 mg ai/L 130 mg	Practically non-toxic	PMRA# 1228852
	Chronic	waterflea (Daphnia magna)	Clopyralid technical	21-d NOEC	ai/L 17mg ai/L		PMRA 1806252
Fish	Acute	Rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss)	Clopyralid technical	96-h LC ₅₀	>99.9 mg ai/L	Practically non-toxic	PMRA 1806252
			Lontrel 100	96-h LC ₅₀	53 mg a.i./L		
		Bluegill sunfish (Lepomis macrochirus)	Clopyralid technical	96-h LC ₅₀	>102 mg ai/L	Practically non-toxic	PMRA 1806252
	Chronic	Fathead minnow (Pimephales promelas)	Clopyralid technical	34-d NOEC	10.8 mg ai/L		PMRA 1806252
Algae	Acute	Green algae (Selenastrum capricornutum)	Clopyralid technical	96-h EC ₅₀ (cell count and cell volume)	6.9 & 7.3 mg ai/L	Moderatel y toxic	PMRA 120070
			Clopyralid	96-h EC ₅₀ (cell count and cell volume)	11.2 & 12.4 mg ai/L	Slightly toxic	PMRA 120071
			technical	96-h EC ₅₀ (reduced growth rate and cell volume)	32.7 & 33.1 mg ai/L 24.8 mg a.i./L		PMRA 1806252

Organism	Study Type	Species	Test material	Endpoint	Value	Degree of Toxicity	References
		Bluegreen algae (Anabaena flos- aquae)	Clopyralid technical	120-h EC50	37.1 mg ai/L	Slightly toxic	PMRA 1806252
				NOEC	24.2 mg ai/L		
Vascular plants	Dissolved – 14 d	Lemna gibba	Clopyralid technical	14-day EC ₅₀ NOEC	89 mg ai/L 12 mg ai/L		
Sediment dwelling organism	chronic	Chironomus riparius	Clopyralid technical	28-day EC ₅₀ NOEC	>97 mg ai/L 50 mg ai/L		
Amphibian s ¹	Acute		Clopyralid technical	96-h LC50	>99.9mg ai./L		
	Chronic		Clopyralid technical	34-d NOEC	10.8mg ai./L		

Values in bold used in risk assessment

Table 3 Screening Level Risk Assessment On Terrestrial Non-Target Species Other Then Birds And Mammals

Organism	Exposure	Endpoint (mg ai/kg	Screening level EEC ¹	RQ ²	LOC ³ Exceeded	EEC from spray drift	RQ	LOC Exceeded	
	Apple use (ground)								
Earthworm	14-d LC50	LC50 >500÷2	0.150393	< 0.0003	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Earthworm	28-d NOEC	>1.5	0.150393	<0.10	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	
P. cupreus	Contact	LR ₅₀ 0.152	0.150	0.0009	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Pardosa Sp.	Contact	LR ₅₀ 0.152	0.150	0.0009	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	
A.bilineata	Contact	LR ₅₀ 0.152	0.150	0.0009	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	
			Apple (35 -d	ay foliar half-	life)				
Bees - oral	Acute	LD ₅₀ >112 ⁴	284.305	< 0.003	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Beneficial arthropods	Contact	LR ₅₀ >152	284.305	<1.9	Yes	17.06	<0.11	No	
Non-target plants	Acute	EC ₂₅ 5.6	284.305	50.8	Yes	17.06	3.05	Yes	
	Apple (10 -day foliar half-life)								
Non-target plants	Acute	EC ₂₅ 5.6	210.515	37.6	Yes	12.63	2.3	Yes	

¹ Endpoints from fish used as surrogate
^a Atkins et al. (1981) for bees and US EPA classification for others, where applicable

Organism	Exposure	Endpoint (mg ai/kg	Screening level EEC ¹	RQ ²	LOC ³ Exceeded	EEC from spray drift	RQ	LOC Exceeded	
	Flax (35 -day foliar half-life)								
Bees - oral	Acute	LD ₅₀ >112	298.9	< 0.003	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Beneficial arthropods	Contact	LR ₅₀ >152	298.9	<1.97	Yes	17.93	<0.12	No	
Non-target plants	Acute	EC ₂₅ 5.6	298.9	53.4	Yes	17.93	3.2	Yes	
			Bal	sam Fir					
Bees - oral	Acute	LD ₅₀ >112	252	< 0.002	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Beneficial arthropods	Contact	LR ₅₀ >152	252	<1.7	Yes	15.12	<0.10	No	
Non-target plants	Acute	EC ₂₅ 5.6	252	45	Yes	15.12	2.7	Yes	
			V	Vheat					
Bees - oral	Acute	LD ₅₀ >112	201.6	< 0.002	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Beneficial arthropods	Contact	LR ₅₀ >152	201.6	<1.3	Yes	12.096	<0.08	No	
Non-target plants	Acute	EC ₂₅ 5.6	201.6	36	Yes	12.096	2.2	Yes	
			Lowbus	h blue berry					
Bees - oral	Acute	LD ₅₀ >112	151.2	< 0.001	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Beneficial arthropods	Contact	LR ₅₀ >152	151.2	<1.0	No	9.072	< 0.06	No	
Non-target plants	Acute	EC ₂₅ 5.6	151.2	27	Yes	9.072	1.6	Yes	
			Field c	orn Hybrid					
Bees - oral	Acute	LD ₅₀ >112	135	< 0.001	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Beneficial arthropods	Contact	LR ₅₀ >152	135	< 0.89	No	8	< 0.05	No	
Non-target plants	Acute	EC ₂₅ 5.6	135	24.1	Yes	8	1.5	Yes	
			Can	ary seed					
Bees - oral	Acute	LD ₅₀ >112	100	< 0.001	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Beneficial arthropods	Contact	LR ₅₀ >152	100	< 0.66	No	6	<0.04	No	
Non-target plants	Acute	EC ₂₅ 5.6	100	17.9		6	1.1	Yes	

Table 4 Screening Level Risk Assessment On Birds And Mammals

Organism		Endpoint	Feeding Guilds	Expos	ure ²	$\mathbb{R}\mathbb{Q}^3$	LOC
		Value ¹		EEC (mg ai/kg dry weight)	EDE ⁴ (mg ai/kg bw/day)		exceeded
			Application Rate 298	3.9 g ai/ha			
			Birds				
Bird: 20 g	Acute	146.5mg	Insectivore	59.06	15.06	0.10	No
		a.i./kg bw/day	Granivore	14.77	3.77	0.03	No
			Frugivore	29.53	7.53	0.05	No
	Dietary	26.25mg	Insectivore	59.06	15.06	0.13	No
		a.i./kg bw/day	Granivore	14.77	3.77	0.03	No
			Frugivore	29.53	7.53	0.06	No
	Reproduction	56.56mg	Insectivore	59.06	15.06	0.06	No
	1	a.i./kg bw/day	Granivore	14.77	3.77	0.02	No
			Frugivore	29.53	7.53	0.03	No
Bird: 100	Acute	146.5mg	Insectivore	59.06	11.75	0.08	No
g		a.i./kg bw/day	Granivore	14.77	2.94	0.02	No
			Frugivore	29.53	5.88	0.04	No
	Dietary	26.25mg	Insectivore	59.06	11.75	0.10	No
		a.i./kg bw/day	Granivore	14.77	2.94	0.02	No
			Frugivore	29.53	5.88	0.05	No
	Reproduction	56.56mg	Insectivore	59.06	11.75	0.05	No
		a.i./kg bw/day	Granivore	14.77	2.94	0.01	No
			Frugivore	29.53	5.88	0.02	No
Bird:	Acute	146.5 mg	Insectivore	59.06	3.43	0.02	No
1000 g		a.i./kg bw/day	Granivore	14.77	0.86	0.006	No
			Frugivore	29.53	1.72	0.01	No
			Herbivore	397.84	23.11	0.16	No
	Dietary	26.2mg a.i./kg	Insectivore	59.06	3.43	0.13	No
		bw/day	Granivore	14.77	0.86	0.03	No
			Frugivore	29.53	1.72	0.07	No
			Herbivore	397.84	23.11	0.88	No
	Reproduction	56.56mg	Insectivore	59.06	3.43	0.06	No
	1	a.i./kg bw/day	Granivore	14.77	0.86	0.02	No
			Frugivore	29.53	1.72	0.03	No
			Herbivore	397.84	23.11	0.4	No

¹⁾ Environmental Exposure Concentration (Soil: calculated based on a soil density of 1.5 g/cm³, soil depth of 15 cm and the label rates taking into consideration dissipation between applications; Bee: maximum application rate (application rate x no. of applications).

²⁾ Risk Quotient (RQ) = exposure/toxicity.

³⁾ Level of Concern (LOC) = RQ = 1;a calculated RQ > 1 exceeds the LOC.
4) Toxicity in µg/bee converted to the equivalent kg a.i./ha using a conversion factor of 1.12 (Atkins et al., 1981). Atkins EL; Kellum D; Atkins KW. 1981. Reducing pesticide hazards to honey bees: mortality prediction techniques and integrated management techniques. Univ Calif, Div Agric Sci, Leaflet 2883. 22 pp. N/A not available

			Mamm	als			
Mammal:	Acute	5000 mg	Insectivore	59.06	8.66	0.002	No
15 g		a.i./kg bw/day	Granivore	14.77	2.17	0.000	No
			Frugivore	29.53	4.33	0.000	No
	Dietary	150 mg a.i./kg	Insectivore	59.06	7.59	0.058	No
		bw/day	Granivore	14.77	1.90	0.014	No
			Frugivore	29.53	4.33	0.029	No
	Reproduction	1500 mg	Insectivore	59.06	7.59	0.006	No
		a.i./kg bw/day	Granivore	14.77	1.90	0.002	No
			Frugivore	29.53	4.33	0.003	No
Mammal: 35 g	Acute	5000 mg a.i./kg bw/day	Insectivore	59.06	7.59	0.001	No
			Granivore	14.77	1.90	0.000	No
			Frugivore	29.53	3.80	0.000	No
			Herbivore	397.84	51.15	0.01	No
	Dietary	150 mg a.i./kg	Insectivore	59.06	7.59	0.05	No
	Ĵ	bw/day	Granivore	14.77	1.90	0.013	No
			Frugivore	29.53	4.33	0.025	No
			Herbivore	397.84	51.15	0.34	No
	Reproduction	1500 mg	Insectivore	59.06	7.59	0.051	No
	1	a.i./kg bw/day	Granivore	14.77	1.90	0.001	No
			Frugivore	29.53	4.33	0.002	No
			Herbivore	397.84	51.15	0.034	No
Mammal: 1000g	Acute	5000 mg a.i./kg bw/day	Insectivore	59.06	4.05	0.000	No
C			Granivore	14.77	1.0	0.000	No
			Frugivore	29.53	2.03	0.000 4	No
			Herbivore	397.84	27.33	0.055	No
	Dietary	150 mg a.i./kg	Insectivore	59.06	4.05	0.03	No
		bw/day	Granivore	14.77	1.0	0.007	No
			Frugivore	29.53	2.03	0.013	No
			Herbivore	397.84	27.33	0.18	No
	Reproduction	1500 mg	Insectivore	59.06	4.05	0.003	No
		a.i./kg bw/day	Granivore	14.77	1.0	0.000	No
			Frugivore	29.53	2.03	0.001	No
			Herbivore	397.84	27.33	0.018	No

¹⁾ Endpoints were divided by an Uncertainty Factor to account for varying protection goals (i.e., protection at the community, population, or individual level)

²) EEC: For birds and mammals, the EEC takes into account the maximum seasonal cumulative rate on vegetation and is calculated using PMRA standard methods based on the Hoerger and Kenaga nomogram as modified by Fletcher (1994)

EDE = Estimated dietary exposure; calculated for each bird or mammal size based on the EEC on appropriate food item for each food guild (at the screening level, the most conservative EEC for each food guild was used). The EDE was calculated using the following formula: (FIR/BW) x EEC. For each body weight (BW), the food ingestion rate (FIR) was based on equations from Nagy (1987). For generic birds with body weight less than or equal to 200 g, the "passerine" equation was used; for generic birds with body weight greater than 200 g, the "all birds" equation was used; for mammals, the "all mammals" equation was used:

Passerine Equation (body weight ≤200 g): FIR (g dry weight/day) = 0.398(BW in g) 0.850

Table 5 Risk Assessment to Aquatic Organisms

Organism	Exposure	Endpoint value ¹	Use Rate	EEC ² (mg ai/L)	RQ	LOC exceeded				
	Freshwater Species									
waterflea (Daphnia magna)	Acute	48-h LC ₅₀ ÷ 2 (116 mg ai/L)	201.6 g a.i./ha x 2	0.05	0.0004	No				
waterflea (Daphnia magna)	Chronic	21-d NOEC (17 mg ai/L)	201.6 g a.i./ha x 2	0.05	0.0029	No				
Rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss)	Acute	96-h LC ₅₀ ÷ 10 (>9.99 mg ai /L)	201.6 g a.i./ha x 2	0.05	<0.005	No				
Fathead minnow (Pimphales promelas)	Chronic	34-d NOEC (10.8 mg ai/L)	201.6 g a.i./ha x 2	0.05	0.005	No				
Green algae (Selenastrum capricornutum)	Acute	96-h EC ₅₀ ÷ 2 (3.45 mg ai /L)	201.6 g a.i./ha x 2	0.05	0.003	No				
Vascular plants (Lemna gibba)	Acute	14-d EC ₅₀ ÷ 2 (44.5 mg ai /L)	201.6 g a.i./ha x 2	0.05	0.001	No				
Chironomid (Chironomus riparius)	Chronic	28-d LC ₅₀ (>97 mg ai /L)	201.6 g a.i./ha x 2	0.05	<0.0005	No				
Amphibians ³	Acute	96-h LC ₅₀ ÷ 10 (>9.99 mg ai /L)	201.6 g a.i./ha x 2	0.27	<0.026	No				
Amphibians ³	Chronic	21-d NOEC (10.8 mg ai /L)	201.6 g a.i./ha x 2	0.27	0.026	No				

¹⁾ Endpoints were divided by an Uncertainty Factor to account for varying protection goals (i.e., protection at the community, population, or individual level)

Table 6 Toxic Substances Management Policy Considerations-Comparison to TSMP Track 1 Criteria

TSMP Track 1 Criteria	TSMP Track 1 Criterion value	Active Ingredient Endpoints	Transformation Products Endpoints
CEPA toxic or CEPA toxic equivalent ¹	Yes	-	-
Predominantly anthropogenic ²	Yes	-	-

All Birds Equation (body weight > 200 g): FIR (g dry weight/day) = 0.648(BW in g) 0.651

All Mammals Equation: FIR (g dry weight/day) = 0.235(BW in g) 0.822

³) RQ = exposure/toxicity; RQs < 0.1 were not calculated to show all decimal points
⁴) Conversion from a concentration (EEC) to a dose (EDE): [EDE (mg ai/kg bw) = EEC (mg ai/kg diet)/BW (g) x FIR (g diet/day)] Nagy, K.A. 1987. Field metabolic rate and food requirement scaling in mammals and birds. Ecological Monographs 57:111-128

²⁾ EEC based on a 15 cm water body depth for amphibians and a 80 cm water depth for all other aquatic organisms.

³⁾ Endpoints from fish used as surrogate

TSMP Track 1 Criteria		Track 1 on value	Active Ingredient Endpoints	Transformation Products Endpoints
Persistence ³ :	Soil	Half-life ≥ 182 days	Half-life = 32 d	
	Water	Half-life ≥ 182 days	Half-life = 167 d	
	Sediment	Half-life ≥ 365 days	Half-life = not available	
	Air	Half-life ≥ 2 days or evidence of long range transport	Volatilisation is not an important route of dissipation and longrange atmospheric transport is unlikely to occur based on the vapour pressure (1.36 mPa) and Henry's Law Constant (1.80 x 10-11 Pa m³ mol-1)	
Bioaccumulation ⁴	$\begin{array}{c c} \text{Log } K_{OW} \geq 3 \\ \text{BCF} \geq 5000 \end{array}$		-2.63 <1	
	BAF ≥ 5000)	not available	
Is the chemical a TSMP T four criteria must be met)		ance (all	No, does not meet TSMP Track 1 criteria.	No, does not meet TSMP Track 1 criteria.

¹All pesticides will be considered CEPA-toxic or CEPA toxic equivalent for the purpose of initially assessing a pesticide against the TSMP criteria. Assessment of the CEPA toxicity criteria may be refined if required (i.e., all other TSMP criteria are met).

²The policy considers a substance "predominantly anthropogenic" if, based on expert judgement, its concentration in the environment medium is largely due to human activity, rather than to natural sources or releases.

³ If the pesticide and/or the transformation product(s) meet one persistence criterion identified for one media (soil, water, sediment or air) than the criterion for persistence is considered to be met. ⁴Field data (e.g., BAFs) are preferred over laboratory data (e.g., BCFs) which, in turn, are preferred over chemical properties (e.g., log K_{OW}).

Appendix IX Monitoring Data

Water Monitoring Data

Summary of drinking water exposure estimates.

Acute and chronic exposure estimates for clopyralid in surface water for the purpose of environmental risk assessment are $1.23\mu g/L$ and $0.11\mu g/L$, respectively, based on available monitoring data. The acute exposure estimate is the 95^{th} percentile of the maximum detected concentrations from surface water monitoring studies. The chronic exposure estimate is the 95^{th} percentile of the mean concentration for each study site, including non-detects which were assigned a value of half the limit of detection.

An important limitation of the monitoring data is that, in many cases, the data were not accompanied with clopyralid use information such as the application rate, time of application and meteorological conditions prior to sampling. Without this information, it is difficult to accurately interpret the data and conclude if non-detects were a result of non-transport or more simply a result of inappropriate timing of sampling. In addition, because the data are sparse and concentrations vary in time and space, the maximum concentration reported is unlikely to be the absolute maximum concentration that would be observed in Canada. Factors that may result in higher concentrations being detected include application at higher rates, precipitation and some areas/soils are simply more prone to leaching and/or run off. Sampling at intervals immediately following application would increase the likelihood that the maximum concentration would be detected. Thus, it is likely clopyralid was not used in some of the areas monitored, and that higher concentrations of clopyralid may occur in other areas not monitored. Details of the available water monitoring data are available upon request.

Δn	pend	iv	IΧ
, vb	peria	I/	1/1

Appendix X Label Amendments for Commercial Class Products Containing Clopyralid

COMMON NAME: Clopyralid

CHEMICAL NAME: 3,6-dichloro-2-pyridinecarboxylic acid

FORMULATION TYPES: Solution

Emulsifiable concentrate

Granular

Soluble Granular

USE-SITE CATEGORIES: 4 Forest and Woodlots

7 Industrial Oil Seed Crops and Fibre Crops

8 Livestock for Food13 Terrestrial Feed Crops

14 Terrestrial Food Crops

16 Industrial and Domestic Vegetation Control for Non-Food

Sites

27 Ornamentals Outdoor

GENERAL LIMITATIONS

SPECIFIC TO HEADER ON LABEL

The following warning statement should appear on the label of the technical product:

Danger: Eye Irritant

PRECAUTIONARY STATEMENTS

PROTECTIVE CLOTHING AND EQUIPMENT:

Workers must wear long pants, long sleeved shirt, and chemical resistant gloves. Goggles or a face shield are required during mixing and loading. Gloves are not required to be worn during groundboom application, but are required for mixing/loading, clean-up and repair.

RESTRICTED ENTRY INTERVAL:

Restricted entry interval (REI) of 12 hours for all crops.

DIRECTIONS OF USE

Not for use in greenhouses.

Apply only when the potential for drift to areas of human habitation or areas of human activity; such as houses, cottages, schools and recreational areas, is minimal. Take into consideration wind speed, wind direction, temperature inversions, application equipment and sprayer settings.

ENVIRONMENTAL HAZARDS:

TOXIC to non-target terrestrial plants. Observe buffer zones specified under DIRECTIONS FOR USE.

The use of this chemical may result in contamination of groundwater particularly in areas where soils are permeable (e.g. sandy soil) and/or the depth to the water table is shallow.

To reduce runoff from treated areas into aquatic habitats, consider the characteristics and conditions of the site before treatment. Site characteristics and conditions that may lead to runoff include, but are not limited to: heavy rainfall, moderate to steep slope, bare soil, poorly draining soil (e.g. soils that are compacted or fine textured such as clay).

Avoid application of this product when heavy rain is forecast.

Contamination of aquatic areas as a result of runoff may be reduced by including a vegetative strip between the treated area and the edge of the water body.

Add to DIRECTIONS FOR USE:

<u>Field sprayer application</u>: **DO NOT** apply during periods of dead calm. Avoid application of this product when winds are gusty. **DO NOT** apply with spray droplets smaller than the American Society of Agricultural Engineers (ASAE) medium classification. Boom height must be 60 cm or less above the crop or ground.

DO NOT apply by air.

Buffer Zones:

Uses of the following spray methods or equipment **DO NOT** require a buffer zone: hand-held or backpack sprayer and spot treatment.

For application to rights-of-way, buffer zones for protection of sensitive terrestrial habitats are not required; however, the best available application strategies which minimize off-site drift, including meteorological conditions (e.g., wind direction, low wind speed) and spray equipment (e.g., coarse droplet sizes, minimizing height above canopy), should be used.

The buffer zones specified in the table below are required between the point of direct application and the closest downwind edge of sensitive terrestrial habitats (such as grasslands, forested areas, woodlots, hedgerows, riparian areas and shrublands).

Method of application	Сгор	Buffer Zones (metres) Required for the Protection of Terrestrial habitat:
Field sprayer*	Wheat, barley, oats, flax, canola, forage grasses, high- bush blueberry, low-bush blueberry, strawberry, sugar beet, rutabaga, cabbage, broccoli, cauliflower, field corn (hybrid), canary seed, balsam fir, Christmas tree plantations, shelterbelts, poplar and their hybrids, non- crop uses, rangeland and grass pasture	2**
	Apple	3

^{*}For field sprayer application, buffer zones can be reduced with the use of drift reducing spray shields. When using a spray boom fitted with a full shield (shroud, curtain) that extends to the crop canopy, the labelled buffer zone can be reduced by 70%. When using a spray boom where individual nozzles are fitted with cone-shaped shields that are no more than 30 cm above the crop canopy, the labelled buffer zone can be reduced by 30%.

For tank mixes, consult the labels of the tank-mix partners and observe the largest (most restrictive) buffer zone of the products involved in the tank mixture and apply using the coarsest spray (ASAE) category indicated on the labels for those tank mix partners.

^{**}Buffer zones for the protection of terrestrial habitats are not required for use on rights-of-way including railroad ballast, rail and hydro rights-of-way, utility easements, roads, and training grounds and firing ranges on military bases.

Дp	pendix	Х

Appendix XI Supplemental Maximum Residue Limit Information— International Situation and Trade Implications

MRLs may vary from one country to another for a number of reasons, including differences in pesticide use patterns and the locations of the field crop trials used to generate residue chemistry data. For animal commodities, differences in MRLs can be due to different livestock feed items and practices. There are clopyralid MRLs established in Canada and tolerances established in the U.S. There are no MRLs specified in the CODEX.

Under the North American Free Trade Agreement, Canada, the United States and Mexico are committed to resolving MRL discrepancies to the broadest extent possible. Harmonization will standardize the protection of human health across North America and promote the free trade of safe food products. Until harmonization is achieved, the Canadian MRLs and regulatory amendments presented in this document are necessary. The differences in MRLs/tolerances outlined above are not expected to impact businesses negatively or adversely affect international competitiveness of Canadian firms or to negatively affect any regions of Canada.

Table XI.1 Comparison between MRLs in Canada and in Other Jurisdictions

Commodity	Registered Canadian Use	MRL (ppm) ¹	U.S. Tolerance (ppm) ²
Plant Crops			
Apple	✓		
Asparagus			1
Barley	✓	2	3 (grain)
Barley milling fractions, excluding flour	1	7	12
Beet, garden, tops			3
Beet, garden, roots			4
Beet, sugar, molasses	✓		10
Beet, sugar, roots	✓		2
Beet, sugar, tops	✓		3
Blueberries	1	0.1	
Brassica, head and stem, subgroup 5A	1		2
Broccoli	1	1	2
Cabbages	1	1	2
Canola, meal	1		6

Commodity	Registered Canadian Use	MRL (ppm) ¹	U.S. Tolerance (ppm) ²
Canola, seed	✓		3
Cauliflower	✓	1	2
Chinese broccoli	✓	1	2
Chinese mustard cabbages	✓	1	2
Chinese radish	✓		
Corn, field, grain	✓		1
Corn, field, milled byproducts	✓		1.5
Corn, pop, grain			1
Corn, sweet, kernel plus cob with husks removed			1
Crambe, seed			3
Cranberry	✓		4
Flax	✓	0.2	6 (meal) 3 (seed)
Fruit, stone, group 12			0.5
Hop, dried cones			5
Kohlrabi	✓	1	2
Mustard greens			5
Mustard, seed			3
Napa Chinese Cabbages	✓	1	2
Oats	✓	2	3 (grain)
Oat milling factions, excluding flour	✓	7	12
Plum, prune, dried			1.5
Rapeseed, seed	✓		3
Rutabaga	✓		
Spinach			5
Strawberries	✓	1	1
Turnip, greens			4

Commodity	Registered Canadian Use	MRL (ppm) ¹	U.S. Tolerance (ppm) ²
Turnip, roots			1
Wheat	✓	2	3 (grain)
Wheat milling fractions, excluding flour	✓	7	12
	Animal Commodities		
Eggs		0.05	0.1
Fat of cattle, goats, horses, sheep		0.05	1
Fat of hogs		0.05	0.2
Fat of poultry		0.05	0.2
Kidney of cattle, goats, horse, sheep		0.36	3
Kidney of hogs		0.05	0.2
Kidney of poultry		0.2	0.2
Meat byproducts of cattle, goats, horses, sheep		0.05	36
Meat byproducts of hogs		0.05	0.2
Meat byproducts of poultry		0.05	0.2
Meat of cattle, goats, horses, sheep		0.05	1
Meat of hogs		0.05	0.2
Meat of poultry		0.05	0.2
Milk		0.01	0.2

By virtue of subsection B.15.002(1) of the Food and Drug Regulations, the MRL of foods for which MRLs have not specifically been established is 0.1 ppm.

Table XI.2 Residue Definition in Canada and Other Jurisdictions

Jurisdiction	Residue Definition in Plants and Animals
Canada	clopyralid (3,6-dichloro-2-pyridinecarboxylic acid)
United States	clopyralid (3,6-dichloro-2-pyridinecarboxylic acid)

As per Title 40 Part 180.261 of the United States Code of Federal Regulations. United States tolerances for livestock feed items (alfalfa, almond hulls, field pea vines and field pea hay) are not presented. See also http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/cfr/waisidx_04/40cfr180_04.html

Reference

References

Chemistry Studies/Information Submitted by Registrant

PMRA 1798139	DPI-DOA-7 General Provisions for Physical and Chemical Characteristics Testing for Lontrel F, DACO: 2.14.1, 2.14.10, 2.14.11, 2.14.13, 2.14.2, 2.14.3, 2.14.4, 2.14.6, 2.14.7, 2.14.8, 2.14.9
PMRA 1797949	1993, DPI-DOA-7 Response to Special Data Call-In Notice for Product Chemistry Data Relating to Potential Formation of Hexachlorobenzene and Petachlorobenzene in Certain Pesticide Active Ingredients - September 2, 1992, DACO: 2.11
PMRA 1481488	2007, Control Product Specification Form, DACO: 0.1.6003
PMRA 1081603	2005, Statement of Product Specification Form, DACO: 0.1.6003
PMRA 1329023	2001, Clopyralid Batch Analysis, DACO: 2.13.3 CBI
PMRA 1329022	2002, Quality Control Data for Five Representative Batches of Lontrel F - Supplemental Information for Canada, DACO: 2.13.3 CBI
PMRA 1329024	2002, Clopyralid Batch Analysis (GC-MS Analysis for Determination of Hexachlorobenzene and Pentachlorobenzene., DACO: 2.13.4 CBI
	,
Toxicology Studies/Information	Submitted by Registrant
U	
Studies/Information PMRA 1199588 & 1199589	Submitted by Registrant 1986, 2-year dietary chronic toxicity-oncogenicity study in mice, DACO:
PMRA 1199588 & 1199589 & 1222488 PMRA 1203845 & 1203867 & 1209636	1986, 2-year dietary chronic toxicity-oncogenicity study in mice, DACO: 4.4.1, 4.4.2 1986, DOWCO 290 2-year rat diet chronic toxicity and oncogenicity

	References
PMRA 1220821	1974, The effect of Dowco 290 (3,6-dichloro- picolinic acid) on the developing embryo and foetus of pregnant rabbits, DACO: 4.5.2
PMRA 1220822 & 1228804	1981, Dowco 290: Oral teratology study in Fischer 344 rats, DACO: 4.5.2
PMRA 1220823	1973, Acute and subacute <i>in vivo</i> cytogenetic study in rats: Final report - Compound Dowco 290, DACO: 4.5.4
PMRA 1220824	1973, Dominant lethal assay for mutagenesis: Final report - Compound Dowco 290, DACO: 4.5.4
PMRA 1220825	1973, <i>In vitro</i> and subacute <i>in vivo</i> host-mediated assay for mutagenesis. Final report - Compound Dowco 290, DACO: 4.5.4
PMRA 1220864	1983, Dowco 290: Results of a 13-week dietary toxicity study in $B_6C_3F_1$ mice, DACO: 4.3.1
PMRA 1220869 & 1221059 & 1222492	1983, Dowco 290: Two generation dietary reproduction study in Fischer-344 rats, DACO: 4.5.1
PMRA 1220870	1976, Dowco 290 herbicide (3,6-dichloro- picolinic acid): Results of a sixmonth dietary feeding study in Beagle dogs (2508), DACO: 4.3.1
PMRA 1220871	1975, 180-day subacute toxicity study in dogs, DACO: 4.3.1
PMRA 1220903	1987, Acute oral toxicity study in Fischer 344 rats (K-038252-033A), DACO: 4.2.1
PMRA 1220904	1987, Acute dermal toxicity study in New Zealand White rabbits (K-038252-033D), DACO: 4.2.2
PMRA 1220906	1987, An acute aerosol inhalation study in Fischer 344 rats (K-038252-034), DACO: 4.2.3
PMRA 1220907	1987, Primary eye irritation study in New Zealand White rabbits (K-038252-033C), DACO: 4.2.4
PMRA 1220908	1987, Primary dermal irritation study in New Zealand White rabbits (K-038252-033B), DACO: 4.2.5
PMRA 1220909	1987, Dermal sensitization potential in the Hartley Albino Guinea pig (K-038252-033E), DACO: 4.2.6
PMRA 1220914	1986, Lontrel T Toxicity to rats by dietary admixture for 4 weeks (DWC 463/86108), DACO: 4.3.1

	References
PMRA 1220915	1987, Lontrel T herbicidal chemical (Penta process): Evaluation in the Ames <i>Salmonella</i> / mammalian-microsome mutagenicity assay (K-038252-036), DACO: 4.5.4
PMRA 1220917	1987, Evaluation of Lontrel T herbicidal chemical (penta process) in the CHO/ HGPRT forward mutation assay (K-038252-037), DACO: 4.5.4
PMRA 1221042	1985, The evaluation of Lontrel T herbicide in the rat hepatocyte unscheduled DNA synthesis assay, DACO: 4.5.4
PMRA 1221121	1983, Dowco 290 herbicide: Results of a three-month dietary toxicity study in rats, DACO: 4.3.1
PMRA 1222497	1984, A 12-month oral toxicity study of 3,6-dichloro- picolinic acid in the Beagle dog (81347), DACO: 4.3.1
PMRA 1239292	1975, The fate of 3,6-dichloro-picolinic acid (Dowco 290) following oral administration in rats, DACO: 6.4
Published Informat	ion
PMRA 1914387	United States Environmental Protection Agency, 2001, Clopyralid; Pesticide Tolerance - Federal Register - Volume 66, Number 8, DACO: 12.5
PMRA 1914388	European Food Safety Authority, 2005, Conclusion Regarding the Peer Review of the Pesticide Risk Assessment of the Active Substance Clorpyralid, DACO: 12.5
PMRA 1914389	European Food Safety Authority, 2005, on - Initial Risk Assessment Provided by the Rapporteur Member State Finland for the Existing Active Substance Clorpyralid, DACO: 12.5
PMRA 1914390	European Food Saftey Authority, 2005, Peer review report on clopyralid. Background document B. 01. All comments received on the DAR, DACO: 12.5
PMRA 1914391	European Food Safety Authority, 2005, Peer review report on clopyralid. Background document B. 02. Reporting table all sections., DACO: 12.5
PMRA 1914392	European Food Safety Authority, 2005, Peer review report on clopyralid. Background document B. 03. All reports from EPCO Expert Meetings, DACO: 12.5
PMRA 1914393	European Food Safety Authority, 2005, Peer review report on clopyralid. Background document B. 04. Evaluation table, DACO: 12.5.

Unpublished Information

U.S. EPA: Memoranda, Data Evaluation Reports, etc.

PMRA	1457029	2002, PP No. 4F4379: Human health risk assessment for clopyralid uses on garden beets, mustard seed, rapeseed, cranberry, flax, canola, mustard greens, head and stem brassica, hops, spinach, strawberries, turnips, stone fruit crop group, sweet corn and popcorn, DACO: 12.5.2, 12.5.4, 12.5.5, 12.5.7
PMRA	1457030(1)	2002, HIARC Briefing Packages - Clopyralid, Report of the Hazard Identification Assessment Review Committee, DACO: 12.5.4,12.5.5
PMRA	1457030(2)	1999, Clopyralid - Report of the Hazard Identification Assessment Review Committee, DACO: 12.5.4,12.5.5
PMRA	1457030(3)	1999, A 12-month oral toxicity study of 3,6-dichloropicolinic acid in the beagle dog, DACO: 12.5.4,12.5.5
PMRA	1457030(4)	1999, Dowco 290: 2-Year rat diet chronic toxicity and oncogenicity study. Final report, DACO: 12.5.4,12.5.5
PMRA	1457030(5)	1999, Dowco 290: 2-Year rat diet chronic toxicity and oncogenicity study. 1-Year interim report, DACO: 12.5.4,12.5.5
PMRA	1457030(6)	1999, Clopyralid: Oral gavage teratology study in New Zealand White rabbits, DACO: 12.5.4,12.5.5
PMRA	1457030(7)	1999, Clopyralid: Oral gavage teratology probe study in New Zealand White rabbits, DACO: 12.5.4,12.5.5
PMRA	1457030(8)	1999, Dowco 290 - Two-generation dietary reproduction study in Fischer-344 rats, DACO: 12.5.4,12.5.5
PMRA	1457030(9)	1999, Dowco 290 - Oral teratology study in Fischer-344 rats, DACO: 12.5.4,12.5.5
PMRA	1457031	2002, Clopyralid Acute and Chronic Dietary Exposure Assessment for the Section 3 Registration Action; PC Code 117403; DP Barcode D284780; PP#s: 4F4379 (Sweet Corn), 1E3999 (Head and Stem Brassica), 1E6320 (Spinach), 1E6334 (Mustard Greens), 1E6283 (Hops), 1E6227 (Flax), 1E6291 (Canola and Crambe), 1E6333 (Garden Beets), 1E6335 (Turnips), 1E6329 (Stone Fruit), 1E6241 (Strawberries), and 1E6340 (Cranberry), DACO: 12.5.4,12.5.5,12.5.7
PMRA	1457032	2002, CLOPYRALID - Report of the FQPA Safety Factor Committee., DACO: 12.5.5

PMRA 1457033	2002, CLOPYRALID - 2nd Report of the Hazard Identification Assessment Review Committee., DACO: 12.5.4,12.5.5
EU (European Union): Reports	

PMRA 1914394 2003, Clopyralid. Draft Assessment Report (DAR). Volume 3, Annex B. B.6 Toxicology and metabolism. Rapporteur Member State: Finland, for European Union, DACO: 12.5
 PMRA 1914395 2004, Clopyralid. Draft Assessment Report (DAR). Volume 3, Annex B. Addendum 1. Summary, scientific evaluation and assessment. Rapporteur Member State: Finland, for European Union., DACO: 12.5
 PMRA 1914396 2005, Clopyralid. Draft Assessment Report (DAR). Volume 3, Annex B.

PMRA 1914396 2005, Clopyralid. Draft Assessment Report (DAR). Volume 3, Annex B. Addendum 2. Summary, scientific evaluation and assessment. Rapporteur Member State: Finland, for European Union, DACO: 12.5

PMRA 1914397 2005, Clopyralid. Draft Assessment Report (DAR). Volume 2, Annex A and Volume 3, Annex B. Addendum 1. List of studies which are considered as essential for the evaluation with a view to Annex 1 inclusion. Rapporteur Member State: Finland, for European Union, DACO: 12.5

PMRA 1914398 2006, Clopyralid. Draft Assessment Report (DAR). List of Annex II studies which were considered as relied upon for the evaluation with a view to Annex I inclusion and for which the main submitter has claimed data protection. Version 1. Rapporteur Member State: Finland, for European Union, DACO: 12.5

Occupational

Studies/Information Submitted by Registrant

PMRA 1609983	2008, Clopyralid June 6, 2008, attachment 4, use description_DAS, DACO: 10.7.2 CBI
PMRA 1739722	1999, Dissipation of Dislodgeable Foliar Residues of Clopyralid from Treated Conifers, DACO: 5.9
PMRA 1739725	1999, Dissipation of Foliar Dislodgeable Residues of Clopyralid from Treated Sugar Beets, DACO: 5.9
PMRA 1739726	1999, Dissipation of Dislodgeable Foliar Residues of Clopyralid From Treated Cereal Grain, DACO: 5.9
PMRA 1739727	1999, Dissipation of Foliar Dislodgeable Residues of Clopyralid from Treated Field Corn, DACO: 5.9

Dietary Studies/Information Submitted by Registrant

PMRA 710587	2002, The Metabolism of [14C]-Clopyralid in Oilseed Rape, DACO: 6.3
PMRA 1105086	1992, A Residue Study of Clopyralid in Corn, Grain, Silage and Fodder North American Environmental Chemistry,, DACO: 6.2,6.3,6.4,7.1,7.2.1,7.4.1,7.4.2,7.4.3,7.4.4,7.4.5,7.4.6,7.5,7.6,7.7
PMRA 1105089	1991, Determination of Clopyralid Residues in Corn, Grain, Fodder and Green Forage North American Environmental Chemistry, DACO: 6.2,6.3,6.4,7.1,7.2.1,7.4.1,7.4.2,7.4.3,7.4.4,7.4.5,7.4.6,7.5,7.6,7.7
PMRA 1105090	1994, Magnitude of Residues of Clopyralid and Flumetsulam Following Preplant Incorporated, Preemergent and Postemergent Application of NAF-72 to Corn for Registraion and Maximum Residue Limit in Canada, DACO: 6.2,6.3,6.4,7.1,7.2.1,7.4.1,7.4.2,7.4.3,7.4.4,7.4.5,7.4.6,7.5,7.6,7.7
PMRA 1105091	1994, Magnitude of Residues in Corn Treated Postemergence with NAF-73 Herbicide, DACO: 6.2,6.3,6.4,7.1,7.2.1,7.4.1,7.4.2,7.4.3,7.4.4,7.4.5,7.4.6,7.5,7.6,7.7.
PMRA 1105094	1980, Frozen Storage Stability of 3,6-Dichloropicolinic acid Residues in Crop Samples, DACO: 7.3
PMRA 1105102	1993, Frozen Storage Stability of 3,6-Dichloropicolinic acid Residues in Crop Samples, DACO: 7.3
PMRA 1107180	1989, Lontrel Herbicides to Wheat Residue Bridging Study Comparison Between Lontrel 3A and Lontrel Water Soluble Granule (WSG), DACO: 7.4.1
PMRA 1142116	1990, Pesticide Residue Report-Lontrel Residues in Rutabagas/Control of Common Ragweed in Rutabagas, DACO: 10.2.1, 7.4.2
PMRA 1157114	1993, Summary of Clopyralid Applied Post-Emergent or Preplant Incorporated to Field Corn, DACO: 7.1
PMRA 1167970	1992, Pesticide Residue Reports-To Support Minor Use# 92-506 (Lontrel)(Host: Highbush Blueberry Pesa: Clovers/Legumes), DACO: 7.4.2
PMRA 1170595	1992, Residues: Lontrel for Perennial Vetch Control on Apple (GHE-C-1839;GH-C-2749;90068.00;90068;GH-C-2295;86039;88096). [Submitted in Support of Minor Use#96-537, Lontrel to Control Perennial Vetch Species in Apples], DACO: 7.4.2

PMRA 1185694	1980, A Field Metabolism Study of ¹⁴ C-Labelled 3,6-Dichloropicolinic Acid Applied Post-Emergent to Corn, DACO: 6.3
PMRA 1193966	1993, A 10-1/2 Month Rotational Crops Study with ¹⁴ C-Labeled Clopyralid, DACO: 7.4.3
PMRA 1219419	1972, A Residue Study of 3,6-Dichloropicolinic Acid in Wheat, DACO: 7.2.1, 7.4.2
PMRA 1219420	1975, Residues of DOWCO 290, 2,4-D and MCPA in Green Forage, Straw and Grain of Wheat and Barley After Postemergence Treatment with Lontrel Herbicides, DACO: 7.2.1, 7.4.2, 7.4.6
PMRA 1219792	1988, Residues of Clopyralid in Wheat and Barley Grain Following a Single Application of Lontrel Herbicide, DACO: 7.4.2, 7.4.6
PMRA 1219799	1985, Residues of 3,6-Dichloropicolinic Acid in Flaxseed Following Application of Lontrel Herbicide, DACO: 7.4.2
PMRA 1219803	1980, Residues of DOWCO 290 and MCPA Herbicide in Oat Green Forage, Grain and Straw Following Post-Emergence Application of M-3786 Herbicide, DACO: 7.4.2, 7.4.6
PMRA 1219804	1982, The Determination of Residues of DOWCO 290 and MCPA in Oat Green Plant, Grain and Straw After Post Emergent Treatment with XRM - 3786, DACO: 7.2.1, 7.4.2, 7.4.6
PMRA 1219805	1982, Determination of Residues of DOWCO 290 and 2,4-D in Barley Grain and Straw After Post Emergent Treatment with XRM-3785, DACO: 7.2.1, 7.4.2, 7.4.6
PMRA 1220844	1975, Residues of DOWCO 290 and 2,4-D in Wheat and Barley Following Postemergence Application of Lontrel 205 Herbicide, DACO: 7.4.2
PMRA 1220846	1975, Residues of DOWCO 290 (3,6-Dichloropicolinic Acid) in Milk and Cream from Cows Fed the Herbicide, DACO: 7.5
PMRA 1220847	1975, Residues of DOWCO 290 (3,6-Dichloropicolinic Acid) in Tissues of Chicken Fed the Herbicide, DACO: 7.5
PMRA 1220849	1975, Residues of DOWCO 290 (3,6-Dichloropicolinic Acid) in Bovine Tissues from Calves Fed the Herbicide, DACO: 7.5
PMRA 1220850	1975, Residues of DOWCO 290 (3,6-Dicholoropicolinic Acid) in Tissues of Swine Fed the Herbicide, DACO: 7.5

PMRA 1227326	1981, Residues of 3,6-Dichloropicolinc Acid in Rape Seed and Straw Following Post-Emergent Application of Lontrel 360, DACO: 7.4.2
PMRA 1227327	1981, Residues of 3,6-Dichloropicolinic Acid (DOWCO 290) in Rapeseed Following Post-Emergent Application of Lontrel 360 Herbicide, DACO: 7.4.2
PMRA 1227329	1982, Determination of Residues of DOWCO 290 (3,6-Dichloropicolinic Acid) in Rapeseed Process Fractions, DACO: 7.2.1, 7.4.2
PMRA 1239290	1974, Fate of ¹⁴ C-DOWCO 290 in Laying Hens, DACO: 6.4
PMRA 1239293	1983, The metabolic fate of ¹⁴ C-3,6-Dichloropicolinic Acid (DOWCO 290) Fed to Lactating Goats, DACO: 6.4

Additional Information Considered

PMRA 1457029	2002, PP No. 4F4379: Human Health Risk Assessment for Clopyralid Uses on garden beets, crambe, mustard seed, rapeseed, cramberry, flax, canola, mustard greens, head and stem brassica, hops, spinach, strawberries, turnips, stone fruit crop group, sweet corn, and popcorn., DACO: 12.5.2,12.5.4,12.5.5,12.5.7
PMRA 1573727	2005, European Commission, Final addendum to the Draft Assessment Report (DAR) - public version - Initial risk assessment provided by the rapporteur Member State Finland for the existing active substance Clorpyralid of the second stage of the review programme referred to in

Article 8(2) of Council Directive 91/414/EEC, DACO: 12.5

Environment Studies/Information Submitted by Registrant

PMRA 1136184	1991, Dissipation of Clopyralid from three Canadian field soils (87069)(Lontrel), DACO: 8.3.2.3
PMRA 1137171	1991, Dissipation of Clopyralid from three Canadian field soils (87069)(Lontrel/Transline 2000), DACO: 8.3.2.3
PMRA 1140983	1991, Dissipation of Clopyralid from three Canadian field soils (87069;F3972)(Lontrel/Transline 2000), DACO: 8.3.2.3
PMRA 1140985	1989, Dissipation of Clopyralid in Swedish Soils (Ghe-P-2109) (Lontrel/Transline 2000), DACO: 8.3.2.3
PMRA 1140986	1993, Behaviour of [2,6-14c] Clopyralid (Lontrel) in a Sandy Pseudogly-Braunerde after post-emergence application to Sugar Beet (Ghe-P-2908;2e)(Lontrel/Transline 2000), DACO: 8.3.2.3

PMRA 1158924	Soil dissipation study with Clopyralid (94dwe01;E4-07-102,E4-08-090,E4-08-091,E4-08-092,E4-090350,E4-09-351)(Lontrel/Lontrel 360), DACO: 8.3.2.3
PMRA 1181086	1985, Leaching and Dissipation of Clopyralid (Lontrel Herbicide) from two Soil Series in Western Canada. T.Haagsma Et.Al. October 1985. Dow Chemical Canada Inc., Agricultural Products Department, Research and Development, Sarnia, Ontario. [Lontrel;Environmental], DACO: 8.3.2.1
PMRA 1181088	The Impact of Lontrel on Sloughs in Western Canada. Final Report. By Aquatic Environmental and Ecotoxicological Consultants Ltd., Fairview, Alberta. [Lontrel;Environmental Chemistry Volume 1 of 2;Submitted: December 1985], DACO: 8.3.3.1
PMRA 1219756	1987, A Soil Sorption/Desorption Study of Clopyralid (Gh-C 1873), DACO: 8.2.4.1
PMRA 1220480	Determination of the Persistence of Clopyralid in Southern Ontario Aquatic Environment, DACO: 8.3.3.3
PMRA 1227741	1984, The Determination of Residues of Clopyralid in water and sediment from sloughs adjacent to fields treated with Lontrel 360 (XRM 3972), DACO: 8.3.2.3,8.3.4
PMRA 1228826	1974, The Photolysis and Hydrolysis rates of 3,6-Dichloropicolinic Acid in buffered, distilled water and in canal water, DACO: 8.2.1
PMRA 1228828	1975, The Photolysis and Hydrolysis rates of 3,6-Dichloropicolinic Acid in Canal Water, ii : exposure to sunlight (GS-1391), DACO: 8.2.1
PMRA 1228829	1980, A Laboratory Study of the Photodecomposition of Carbon-14 Labeled 3,6-Dichloropicolinic Acid on Soil Surfaces (GH-C-1334), DACO: 8.2.1
PMRA 1228830	DOWCO 290 (3,6-Dichloropicolinic Acid) Aerobic Soil Degradation Study Gh-C910, Daco: 8.2.3.1
PMRA 1228831	1977, Effect of Temperature, Moisture and Concentration of the degradation rate of Dowco 290 in Soil incubated under Aerobic Conditions (GH-C996), DACO: 8.2.3.1
PMRA 1228832	1977, Comparison of 14c-Dowco 290 Degradation in Aerobic, Aerobic/Waterlogged, and Waterlogged Soils (GH-C965), DACO: 8.2.3.1
PMRA 1228834	1980, Aerobic Soil Degradation of Carbon-14 Labeled 3,6- Dichloropicolinic Acid (GH-C1333), DACO: 8.2.3.1

PMRA 1228835	1980, A Laboratory study to Determine the effect of concentration and carrier solvent n the degradation of Carbon-14 Labeled 3,6-Dichloropicolinic Acid in Soil (GH-C1351), DACO: 8.2.3.1
PMRA 1228838	Fate of 3,6-Dichloropicolinic Acid in soils, DACO: 8.2.3.1,8.2.4.1
PMRA 1228839	Fate of 3,6-Dichloropicolinic Acid in Soils (Progress Report Iii and final summary), DACO: 8.2.3.1,8.2.4.1
PMRA 1228840	1976, Soil Persisitence and accumulation of M-3785, DACO: 8.3.2.3
PMRA 1228841	1981, Residues of 3,6-Dichloropicolinic Acid (Dowco 290) in soil following treatment of Rapeseed with Lontrel 360 Herbicide (GH3-C-24), DACO: 8.3.2.3
PMRA 1228846	Computed Leaching of 3,6-Dichloropicolinic Acid under simulated environmental conditions for the Prairie Provinces of Canada, DACO: 8.3.2.3
PMRA 1040131	1973, Eight-Day Dietary LC50 - Bobwhite Quail: Dowco 290 Final Report. Report # 30428. 7 pages, DACO: 9.6.2.4
PMRA 1040132	1973, Eight-Day Dietary LC50 - Mallard Ducks: Dowco 290 Final Report. Report # 30429. 7 pages., DACO: 9.6.2.5
PMRA 1219751	1985, A reproduction screening study with the Mallard: Final Report (103-232), DACO: 9.6.3.1
PMRA 1219752	1985, A 1-generation reproduction study with the Mallard: Final Report (103-235), DACO: 9.6.3.1
PMRA 1220070	1985, Evaluation of the toxicity of Lontrel T Herbicide to the Green Alga Selenastrum Capricornutum Printz (ES-746), DACO: 9.5.2.1,9.8.2
PMRA 1220071	1985, Evaluation of the toxicity of Lontrel 200 Herbicide to the Green Alga Selenastrum Capricornutum Printz (ES-747), DACO: 9.5.2.1,9.8.2
PMRA 1220075	1985, Clopyralid: Determination of the toxicity to the Earthworm (BL/B/2793), DACO: 9.2.3.1,9.5.2.1
PMRA 1222502	1982, Determination of the Bioconcentration Factor For in Bluegill Sunfish during continuous aqueous exposure (GH-C 1577), DACO: 9.5.3.1
PMRA 1227441	1980, Acute oral Ld50 - Mallard Duck - DOWCO 290 (103-200), DACO: 9.6.2.1

PMRA 1228852	1980, Toxicity of Dowco 290, A Herbicide, to freshwater invertebrate organisms (ES-DR-0037-0161-2), DACO: 9.3.1	
PMRA 1573722	2004, European Commission Peer Review Programme - EPCO - Meetings - Clopyralid Volume 3 Annex B Summary, Scientific Evaluation and Assessment - Addendum 1 Rapporteur Member State Finland 30.12.2004, DACO: 12.5	
PMRA 1573723	2005, European Commission Peer Review Programme - EPCO - Meetings - Clopyralid Volume 3 Annex B Summary, Scientific Evaluation and Assessment - Addendum 2 Rapporteur Member State Finland 01.08.2008, DACO: 12.5	
PMRA 1573725	2005, European Commission Peer Review Programme - EPCO - Meetings - Clopyralid Volume 2 Annex A and Volume 3 Annex B Addendum 1 - List of studies which are considered as essential for the evaluation with a view to Annex I inclusion Rapporteur Member State	
PMRA 1573727	European Commission, 2005, Final addendum to the Draft Assessment Report (DAR) - public version - Initial risk assessment provided by the rapporteur Member State Finland for the existing active substance Clorpyralid of the second stage of the review program	
Additional Information Considered		
PMRA 1573722	2004, European Commission Peer Review Programme - EPCO - Meetings - Clopyralid Volume 3 Annex B Summary, Scientific Evaluation and Assessment - Addendum 1 Rapporteur Member State Finland 30.12.2004, DACO: 12.5	
PMRA 1573723	2005, European Commission Peer Review Programme - EPCO - Meetings - Clopyralid Volume 3 Annex B Summary, Scientific Evaluation and Assessment - Addendum 2 Rapporteur Member State Finland 01.08.2008, DACO: 12.5	
PMRA 1573725	2005, European Commission Peer Review Programme - EPCO - Meetings - Clopyralid Volume 2 Annex A and Volume 3 Annex B Addendum 1 - List of studies which are considered as essential for the evaluation with a view to Annex I inclusion Rapporteur Member State, DACO:12.5	
PMRA 1573727	European Commission, 2005, Final addendum to the Draft Assessment Report (DAR) - public version - Initial risk assessment provided by the rapporteur Member State Finland for the existing active substance Clorpyralid of the second stage of the review program, DACO: 12.5	
PMRA 1806243	2008, Marin Municipal Water District Vegetation Management Plan - Chapter 5 - Clopyralid, DACO: 12.5	

	References
PMRA 1806249	2001, Weed Control Methods Handbook - Clopyralid, The Nature Conservancy, DACO: 12.5
PMRA 1806250	1998, Clopyralid - a North American technical profile, DACO: 12.5
PMRA 1806251	2005, Initial risk assessment provided by the rapporteur member state Finland for the existing active substance Clopyralid of the second stage of the review programme referred to in article 8(2) of Council Directive 91/414/EEC, DACO: 12.5
PMRA 1806252	2005, Initial risk assessment provided by the rapporteur Member State Finland for the existing active substance Clopyralid of the second stage of the review programme referred to in Article 8(2) of Council Directive 91/414/EEC, DACO: 12.5
PMRA 1806253	2005, Conclusion regarding the peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance Clopyralid, DACO: 12.5
PMRA 1810478	2004, Clopyralid Human Health and Ecological Risk Assessment - Final Report Prepared for: USDA, Forest Service Forest Health Protection GSA Contract No. GS-10F-0082F USDA Forest Service BPA: WO-01-3187-0150 USDA Purchase Order No.: 43-1387-3-0716, DACO: 12.5
PMRA 1307559	2002, Hill Bernard D, Et Al, Agriculture And Agri-Food Canada, Herbicides In Alberta Rainfall As Affected By Location, Use And Season: 1999 - 2000, Water Qual. Res. J. Canada, 2002, Volume 37, No. 3, 515 - 542, DACO: 8.6
PMRA 1307584	1998, Anderson AM, Et Al., Caesa; Canada - Alberta Environmetally Sustainable Agriculture Agreement; Alberta Agriculture, Food And Rural Development, Impacts Of Agriculture On Surface Water Quality In Alberta. Part I: Haynes Creek Study, DACO: 8.6
PMRA 1311118	2005, Anderson Anne-Marie, Alberta Environment; Enbironmental Monitoring And Evaluation Branch, Overview Of Pesticide Data In Alberta Surface Waters Since 1995, DACO: 8.6
PMRA 1311142	2004, Byrtus Gary, Kathleen Pongar, Cathy Browning, Rob Burland, Elizabeth McGuiness and Dave Humphries. Alberta Environment, Alberta Environment, Environmental Assurance Service, A Summary Of Pesticide Residues From The Alberta Treated Water Survey, 1995 - 2003. DACO: 8.6

PMRA 1311143	2004, Byrtus Gary, Kathleen Pongar, Cathy Browning, Rob Burland, Elizabeth McGuiness and Dave Humphries., Alberta Environment, Environmental Assuarance Service, A Summary Of Pesticide Residues From The Alberta Treated Water Survey, 1995 - 2003. Raw Data, DACO: 8.6
PMRA 1311150	2000, Alberta Environment, Nose Creek 1999 Surface Water Quality Data, DACO: 8.6
PMRA 1311151	2000, Alberta Environment, Nose Creek 1999 Surface Water Quality Data - Raw Data, DACO: 8.6
PMRA 1398451	2006, Giroux, I. Part 1: La présence de pesticides dans l'eau au Québec, Bilan dans les cours d'eau de zones en culture de maïs et de soya en 2002, 2003 et 2004 et dans les réseaux de distribution d'eau potable. Ministère du Développement durable, DACO: 8.6
PMRA 1398452	Giroux, I. 2006, Part 2: La présence de pesticides dans l'eau au Québec, Bilan dans les cours d'eau de zones en culture de maïs et de soya en 2002, 2003 et 2004 et dans les réseaux de distribution d'eau potable. Ministère du Développement durable, DACO: 8.6
PMRA 1398453	Giroux, I. 2006, Part 3: La présence de pesticides dans l'eau au Québec, Bilan dans les cours d'eau de zones en culture de maïs et de soya en 2002, 2003 et 2004 et dans les réseaux de distribution d'eau potable. Ministère du Développement durable, DACO: 8.6
PMRA 1719685	US Geological Survey, 2009, Clorpyralid USGS NAWAQ groundwater monitoring for clopyralid (R49305) downloaded Feb 10 2009, DACO: 8.6
PMRA 1719688	US Geological Survey, 2009, Clorpyralid USGS NAWAQ surface water monitoring for clopyralid (R49305) downloaded Feb 10 2009, DACO: 8.6
PMRA 1723616	2007, Giroux, I. 2007. Les pesticides dans quelques tributaires de la rive nord du Saint-Laurent: Rivière L'Assomption, Bayonne, Maskinongé et du Loup. Ministère du Développement durable, de l'Environnement et des Parcs. Direction du suivi de DACO: 8.6
PMRA 1758182	2007, Donald, D.B., Cessna, A.J., Sverko, E., Glozier, N.E., Pesticides in surface drinking-water supplies of the Northern Great Plains. This is a summary of Pesticide Science Fund data. Environment Canada, Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, DACO: 8.6
PMRA 1774484	2008, Pesticide Data Program Annual Summary, Calendar Year 2007. United States Department of Agriculture (USDA), Science and Technology Programs., USDA 2007. Science and Technology Programs. United States Department of Agriculture., DACO: 8.6

	References
PMRA 1796338	United States Department of Agriculture, 2008, Pesticide Data Program, Annual Summary, Calendar Year 2007, DACO: 8.6
PMRA 1810625	1998, Elliott, J. A., Cessna, A. J., Best, K.B., Nicholaichuk, W. and Tollefson, L.C. Soil Processes and Chemical Transport - Leaching and Preferential Flow of Clopyralid under Irrigation: Field Observations and Simulation Modeling, DACO: 8.2.4.3
PMRA 1810628	1989, Smith, A.E. and Aubin, A.J. Persistence Studies with the Herbicide Clopyralid in Prairie Soils at Different Temperatures, DACO: 8.2.3.4
Unpublished Info	rmation
PMRA 1357367	Environment Canada, 2005, Unpublished Water Monitoring Data Collected from Great Lakes Area of Concern (2004). Part of the Pesticide Science Fund., DACO: 8.6
PMRA 1311107	2004, Environment Canada, Unpublished Water Monitoring Data Collected In Reservoirs Of The Prairie Region (2003 - 2004). Pesticide Science Fund., DACO: 8.6
PMRA 1311111	2005, Environment Canada, Unpublished Pesticide Science Fund Annual Report 2004-2005. (Water, Air, Plants, Mammals And Amphibians; And Fish And Birds, DACO: 8.6
PMRA 1311112	2004, Environment Canada, Unpublished National Water Monitoring Data. Pesticide Science Fund (2004), DACO: 8.6
PMRA 1311116	2004, Environment Canada, Unpbulished Water Monitoring Data Collected In Wetlands Of The Prairie Region (2004). Pesticide Science Fund, DACO: 8.6
PMRA 1357366	2005, Unpublished Water Monitoring Data Collected from Great Lakes Area of Concern and Small Streams in the Niagara and Burlington Area (2003). Part of the Pesticide Science Fund, DACO: 8.6
PMRA 1357368	2005, Unpublished Water Monitoring Data Collected from Great Lakes Area of Concern and Great Lakes Connecting Channels (2002), DACO: 8.6
PMRA 1357369	2005, Unpublished Water Monitoring Data Collected From Lake Huron

2006, Environment Canada, Pesticide Science Fund Annual Report 2005-

Tributaries (2002), DACO: 8.6

2006, DACO: 8.6

PMRA 1403269

PMRA 1650553	Unpublished treated and raw water monitoring data (1995 - 2007) for 2,4-DB, clopyralid, chlorothalonil, iprodione, imazethapyr, linuron and MCPB from Alberta Environment, DACO: 8.6
PMRA 1726638	Pesticide Science Fund Annual Report 2006-2007 DACO: 8.6, 9.9
PMRA 1726642	Pesticide Science Fund Annual Report 2007-2008 DACO 8.6, 9.9