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## Overview

For purposes of this report, the horticultural sector includes potatoes, field grown vegetables, greenhouse vegetables, fruits, ornamental products (floriculture, nursery, Christmas trees, sod), honey and maple products unless indicated otherwise. Note that the 2008 production data is considered preliminary and subject to revision by Statistics Canada.

In 2008, the horticultural sector represented $\$ 5.78$ billion in agricultural Farm Cash Receipts (excluding receipts from risk management and disaster programs) from farming operations in Canada. This represented almost $14 \%$ of all agricultural farm cash receipts in 2008. Horticulture ranks third in farm cash receipts behind the grains and oilseeds sector at $\$ 15.2$ billion and cattle at $\$ 5.8$ billion, but ahead of dairy at $\$ 5.3$ billion.

Over 76\% of horticultural production is concentrated in Ontario, British Columbia and Quebec. In 2008, the ornamental sector accounted for the largest share of horticultural farm cash receipts (33.3\%), followed by vegetables (including greenhouse) (31.7\%), potatoes (17\%), fruits (12.8\%), maple (3.6\%) and honey

The horticulture sector represented $\$ 3.85$ billion in fresh and processed export value and $\$ 9.51$ billion in fresh and processed import value in 2008. Fruits and vegetables (including potatoes) accounted for the bulk of Canada's trade in horticultural products, representing $84 \%$ of the total value of exports and $96 \%$ of the total value of imports of horticultural products.

## Vegetables

According to Statistics Canada data, 2008 farm cash receipts for vegetables (excluding potatoes and greenhouse vegetables) reached $\$ 1.03$ billion, representing a $5 \%$ increase from 2007 . Ontario has the largest FCR with $\$ 452$ million or $43 \%$ of all national vegetable FCRs, followed by Quebec with $\$ 266$ million or $25 \%$ and British Columbia with $\$ 193$ million or $18 \%$. The provinces that have experienced the most growth since 2004 were Manitoba ( $\$ 26.1$ million to $\$ 32$ million, an increase of $18 \%$ ) and British Columbia ( $\$ 116.2$ million to $\$ 193$ million, an increase of $66 \%$ ).

The cultivated area for vegetables in Canada has followed the trend seen in the number of farms and marketed value. Between 2007 and 2008 a $9 \%$ decrease was observed in the cultivated area from 114,400 ha to 103,800 ha, with the 2008 area being $12 \%$ lower than the 5 year average of 117,200 ha. The regions with the largest fluctuations in cultivated area were Ontario ( $-14 \%$ since 2007; and $-10 \%$ compared to the five year average of $55,600 \mathrm{ha}$ ) and $B C(-12 \%$ since $2007 ;-6 \%$ from the 5 year average of 6,000 ha).

Statistics Canada reports that the total number of certified organic farms producing fruits and vegetables in Canada in 2006 was 916, representing an increase of 49\% compared to 2001 when there were only 614 certified organic farms. The province with the most organic fruit and vegetable farms is British Columbia with 358 or $39 \%$ of the total followed by Quebec with 208 farms or $23 \%$ and Ontario with 174 farms or 19\%.

Mushrooms have experienced significant growth in the past 10 years. In 2008 , mushroom growers across Canada reported sales of $\$ 291$ million, almost $6 \%$ higher than the previous year but close to $37 \%$ higher than in 1999. A large portion of the sales (62\%) came from operations in Ontario while British Columbia accounted for the second highest proportion of sales with almost $30 \%$ of the Canadian total.

Due to the largely seasonal nature of vegetable production, Canada has traditionally been a net importer of vegetables. In 2007 Canada had a net trade deficit in vegetables with imports exceeding exports in value by $\$ 1.24$ billion. The value of imports of all vegetables in 2008 was $2 \%$ higher than in 2007 and $17 \%$ above the previous 5 year average of $\$ 1.78$ billion.

According to Statistics Canada, the consumption of vegetables has been relatively steady since 2004. Canadians consumed 64.47 kg of fresh vegetables per capita in 2008 , which was $3.5 \%$ lower than the 2007 consumption of 66.82 kg and $6 \%$ lower than the 5 year average of 68.4 kg .

## Potatoes

With almost $\$ 987$ million in farm cash receipts in 2008, the potato is the most important vegetable crop in Canada accounting for $34 \%$ of all vegetable farm cash receipts.

In 2008 total potato production was estimated at 4.725 million metric tons, a 6\% decrease over the 2007 crop, and $10 \%$ below the record crop of 2003. Area planted in 2008 was 154,069 ha down by $5 \%$ from 161,961 ha in 2007. Area harvested in 2008 was down by $5 \%$ from 2007 , while average yield reached 31.21 t/ha, representing a less than $1 \%$ decrease from 2007.

Growing conditions were average in most of Canadian provinces. Two provinces, Alberta and BC, reached over the $33.6 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{ha}$ level ( $300 \mathrm{cwt} / \mathrm{acre}$ ) for yields, (which is considered high) with PEI, New Brunswick, Quebec and Manitoba not far behind.

World potato production and consumption are expanding at rates lower than the population growth. Production in developed countries, especially in Europe, has declined on average by 1 percent per year over the past 20 years. However, output in developing countries has expanded at an average rate of 5 percent per year. Asian countries, particularly China and India, fuelled this growth. Although prices in Canada have stayed relatively consistent since 2003, the increase in world production may have a negative impact on prices in the future as Canada will compete in many of the same export markets and also feel a
domestic crunch with increasing competition from low wage countries. To counteract these low prices, initiatives to promote new varieties, increase marketing of health benefits and improve communication within the industry are being developed.

## Greenhouse Vegetables

The Canadian greehouse vegetable industry has been growing steadily since 2004, reaching approximaley $\$ 800$ million in FCR in 2008 which is $8 \%$ higher than the 5 year average of $\$ 740$ million. Ontario production represented $60 \%$ of sales of greenhouse vegetables with peak production during summer months. Over $90 \%$ of total production is in tomatoes, peppers and cucumbers.

Canada is a net exporter of greenhouse vegetable products with a positive trade balance of $\$ 344$ million. Canada is highly dependant on the US as an export market as it accounts for $98 \%$ of all exports with a value of $\$ 542$ million. Canada imports approximately $\$ 200$ million worth of greenhouse vegetable products. Canada imports $\$ 65$ million worth of peppers with over $60 \%$ entering from Mexico and $15 \%$ from the Netherlands mainly between December and February.

Since 2006, Canada has become an increasingly profitable destination for peppers as imports have increased by over 40\%. Canada currently sources $40 \%$ of its imported peppers from Mexico and over 25\% from the Netherlands; in 2008 the Netherlands lost over $10 \%$ of their Canadian market share while Mexico increased its market share by $20 \%$.

## Fruits

Apples still rank as the number one fruit both in terms of tonnage and value, ahead of blueberries which had become the most important fruit crop in terms of value for 2 years in a row in 2006 and 2007 as a result of the rapid expansion of Canada's high-bush and low-bush blueberry production. Although a significant drop in prices has now put blueberries in the second spot in terms of value, blueberries are still Canada's number one fruit export with over $\$ 301$ million worth of low-bush and high-bush blueberry exports in 2008. Blueberries, apples and grapes together account for over three quarters of the total fruit bearing area and represented $59 \%$ of the farm gate value of all fruits produced in Canada in 2008.

The 2008 Canadian apple crop is estimated at $422,961 \mathrm{t}, 8 \%$ below the 2007 crop which was the largest crop in the last 5 years due to an unexpected increase in the size of the crop in Quebec (up almost 60\%) and in Ontario (up by $23 \%$ ). Total planted area devoted to apples continues to decline while the acreage devoted to high density apple plantings is increasing in an attempt to replace older apple varieties with newer varieties that are more in demand by consumers. Canadian apple growers continue to operate in an increasingly competitive environment, with pressures in the marketplace due to world oversupply, retailer consolidation, and increased foreign competition both in the domestic as well as in export markets.

Canada's total blueberry production continues to expand (year-over-year increase of 22\%), particularly the production of low-bush blueberries which reached an all time high of $61,661 \mathrm{t}$ in 2008, surpassing the previous record level of $56,924 \mathrm{t}$ established in 2006. The most significant increases in production occurred in Quebec and Nova Scotia, where production rose by $64 \%$ and $52 \%$ respectively. The 2008 Canadian high-bush blueberry crop is estimated at $33,003 \mathrm{t}$, which despite being $6 \%$ lower than the previous year's record crop is the second largest crop on record. While blueberry production levels continue to reach new highs both in Canada and North America, prices have been declining significantly as production and acreage expand not only in North America but also in other producing countries such as Argentina, Chile and China. The most significant increases in planted acreage in Canada have occurred in Québec and BC, where acreage has doubled in the 5-year period from 2004 to 2008 to reach respectively 23,674 and 7,284 hectares.

The Canadian cranberry industry has also grown considerably in recent years. In 2008, cranberry production was responsible for $17 \%$ (versus $11 \%$ in 2007) of the total fruit sector farm gate value. Total 2008 production is estimated at $74,469 \mathrm{t}, 5 \%$ higher than in 2007 and $11 \%$ above the 5 -year average of $67,017 \mathrm{t}$, making the 2008 crop the second biggest crop in the last ten years after the 2006 record crop of $77,086 \mathrm{t}$. The estimated market value of the crop is $\$ 133.7$ million, which represents an all-time record and attests to the strong market the Canadian cranberry industry continues to enjoy. Demand for cranberry-based products has been soaring as a combination of strong marketing campaigns and a body of scientific evidence revealing the fruit's health benefits which have contributed to growing consumer awareness and interest in the product.

## Ornamental Products

In 2008 the ornamental sector (flowers, bedding plants, trees, shrubs, turf sod and Christmas trees) remained the largest horticulture sector with farm cash receipts of $\$ 1.9$ billion. Ontario had $49 \%$ of the receipts, followed by British Columbia with $24 \%$ and Quebec with $14 \%$. Together these three provinces represented $88 \%$ of Canadian ornamental production. Over the last few years, nursery, floriculture and sod continued to experience domestic market growth but rapid appreciation of the Canadian dollar has significantly decreased exports, particularly for the floriculture industry. Domestic demand for ornamental products can be expected to continue to grow, steadily if modestly.

## Maple and Honey

Canada is by far the largest producer and exporter of maple products and is a significant exporter of honey. In 2008 Canada's maple production, worth $\$ 212$ million ( $8 \%$ higher than the average of the previous five years) accounted for $85 \%$ of the world's maple production. $90 \%$ of Canadian maple syrup is produced in Quebec. Canada exports over $80 \%$ of its maple products. In 2008 maple prices have risen on tight inventory and continued demand.

The 2008 Canadian honey harvest of 28,112 metric tons represented a $40 \%$ drop from the record-setting 2006 harvest of 48,366 metric tons. While Canadian honey is produced in all provinces, the three Prairie Provinces produced $85 \%$ of the national total in 2008 . Canada continues to be a significant exporter of honey, exporting $40 \%$ of the production for the previous five years.

Although bee populations in each hive are reduced over every winter, higher than normal winter losses have significantly affected production in the last couple of years. In 2008, the yields per colony declined to 106 pounds of honey per hive, the lowest output in 15 years, partly due to colony splitting to start fresh hives. The number of colonies fell by 10\% between 2008 and 2007 and by $11.6 \%$ between 2006 and 2007.

## Situation and Trends

Detailed Situation and Trends Reports will be prepared for each sector at a later date and posted on Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada's Horticulture website at: http://www4.agr.gc.ca/AAFC-AAC/displayafficher.do?id=1184692853496\&lang=e .

## Methodology, Sources and Legend

The source for most of the data is Statistics Canada, unless otherwise indicated. In those instances where no data was available for 2008, the most recent data that was available was presented. The analysis for each sub-sector has been provided by the commodity officer of the Horticulture Section responsible for that sector.

Farm Cash Receipts and production values reported in the tables and throughout the text are both obtained from Statistics Canada but are compiled from different sources. Production values are obtained through the Fall Fruit and Vegetable Survey (Publication 22-003-XIB) and are expressed as remuneration obtained at the "Farm Gate" and are concerned with gross returns to growers, while Farm Cash Receipts (Publication 21-011-XWE) represent the cash income received from the sale of agricultural commodities as well as direct program payments made to support the agricultural sector. It is worth noting that Farm Cash Receipts are estimated using both administrative and survey sources of data.

All dollar amounts in the tables are expressed in Canadian dollars, unless otherwise indicated.

## "X" indicates unavailable data due to either confidentially requirements or missing information.

t-Metric ton
ha - Hectares
na - not available
cwt - hundredweight

## Horticulture Sector

Table 1-1 - Farm Cash Receipts from Farming Operations Value (\$ Million) ${ }^{4}$

| Product | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 8 / \mathbf { 0 7 }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vegetables $^{2}$ | $1,220.9$ | $1,301.3$ | $1,456.2$ | $1,432.4$ | $1,520.5$ | $1,639.5$ | $1,636.8$ | $1,739.1$ | $1,783.4$ | $1,830.4$ | $3 \%$ |
| Potatoes $^{\text {Fruit }}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | 70.7 | 682.8 | 722.9 | 917.6 | 845.8 | 820.2 | 787.5 | 895.6 | 866.7 | 987.1 |
| Floriculture \& Nursery $^{3}$ | $1,258.8$ | $1,484.6$ | $1,573.0$ | $1,720.3$ | $1,760.3$ | $1,796.3$ | $1,773.2$ | $1,768.3$ | $1,805.6$ | $1,924.6$ | $7 \%$ |
| Honey | 79.6 | 69.5 | 84.9 | 133.0 | 157.2 | 135.4 | 104.3 | 104.5 | 95.6 | 88.0 | $-8 \%$ |
| Maple | 147.3 | 180.7 | 145.8 | 150.3 | 153.2 | 148.0 | 189.6 | 185.2 | 165.5 | 209.7 | $27 \%$ |
| Total | $4,107.0$ | $4,436.9$ | $4,683.7$ | $5,052.1$ | $5,125.6$ | $5,153.5$ | $5,088.5$ | $5,416.7$ | $5,433.3$ | $5,783.3$ | $6 \%$ |

1 Apples, other tree fruit, stawberries, other berries and grapes
2 Greenhouse and other vegetables, excluding potatoes
3 Floriculture and nursery, christmas trees
4 Excludes payment programs-Statistics Canada (Cansim Table 002-0001, Farm Cash Receipts,21-001-XIB
Farm cash receipts (FCR) for the Canadian horticultural sector are estimated at $\$ 5.8$ billion in 2008, 6\% higher than in 2007 and $11 \%$ above the 5 -year average value of $\$ 5.2$ billion. The most significant increases occurred in the maple and potato sectors, where FCR rose respectively by $27 \%$ and $14 \%$ due to significantly higher maple syrup and potato prices. All other horticultural sectors enjoyed increases in FCR except for the honey sector which experienced an $8 \%$ drop due to a relatively small crop (2nd smallest crop in the last ten years) resulting from significantly lower colony yields. The yields per colony declined to 106 pounds of honey per hive, the lowest per hive output in 15 years. The decline was due in part to beekeepers increased use of the technique of splitting some colonies into two or more new colonies which take some time to grow back to full productive populations. The increased colony splitting is in response to the higher than average over-winter colony losses which have affected the sector in the past few years.

Table 1-2 - Number of Farms and Area in Canada

| Product | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6} / \mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Number of Farms |  |  |
| Vegetables ${ }^{2}$ | 5,031 | 5,239 | $4 \%$ |
| Potatoes | 1,691 | 1,607 | $-5 \%$ |
| Mushrooms | 194 | 154 | $-21 \%$ |
| Fruits, berries and nuts | 7,743 | 8,329 | $8 \%$ |
| Greenhouse, nursery and floriculture | 8,889 | 8,754 | $-2 \%$ |
| Total | 23,548 | 24,083 | $2 \%$ |
|  | Area (ha) |  |  |
| Vegetables ${ }^{2}$ | 133,851 | 125,181 | $-6 \%$ |
| Potatoes | 169,475 | 162,515 | $-4 \%$ |
| Greenhouse Vegetables | 773 | 1,057 | $37 \%$ |
| Mushrooms | 63 | 63 | $0 \%$ |
| Fruits, berries and nuts | 104,504 | 110,069 | $5 \%$ |
| Christmas Trees | 37,612 | 30,630 | $-19 \%$ |
| Nursery | 22,776 | 24,953 | $10 \%$ |
| Sod | 22,467 | 27,960 | $24 \%$ |
| Greenhouse Flowers | 846 | 928 | $10 \%$ |

[^0]According to the latest Census of Agriculture conducted in 2006, the total number of horticultural farms was 24,083 in 2006, representing a $2 \%$ increase between 2001 and 2006. While the number of farms declined in the mushroom, potato and greenhouse, nursery and floriculture sectors (respectively by $21 \%$, $5 \%$ and $2 \%$ ) between 2001 and 2006, the number of farms in the fruit sector increased by $8 \%$ in the same
period, primarily as a result of an increase in the number of berry farms. The vegetable sector also recorded an increase of 4\% in the number of farms between 2001 and 2006.

The area devoted to horticultural products increased in the greenhouse, sod, nursery and fruit sectors, while it decreased in the potato, vegetables and Christmas tree sectors. The most significant increase between the last 2 censuses occurred in the greenhouse vegetable sector, where total area increased by $37 \%$ to 1,057 hectares. Other sectors which experienced an increase in area include the sod sector ( $+24 \%$ ), the nursery and greenhouse flowers sectors ( $+10 \%$ ) and the fruit sector which saw a $5 \%$ increase in total area during the same time, primarily thanks to increased acreage in blueberries and grapes. The most significant decrease occurred in the Christmas tree sector, where the area fell by $19 \%$ to 30,630 hectares between 2001 and 2006. The number of farms and the amount of land dedicated to Christmas tree production have been declining over the past decade as a result of a number of factors such as the appreciation of the Canadian dollar, changing demographics, and competition from artificial Christmas trees which have all put pressure on total demand for real trees in the U.S, the major export market for the Canadian Christmas tree industry.

Table 1-3-Horticulture Exports
Value (\$ Million)

| Product | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 8 / 0 7}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vegetables $^{1}$ | 662.5 | 761.1 | 891.1 | 970.6 | $1,055.7$ | $1,145.1$ | $1,104.5$ | $1,133.6$ | $1,078.2$ | $1,124.9$ | $4 \%$ |
| Potatoes | 774.1 | 852.0 | 915.0 | 988.3 | $1,038.9$ | $1,099.2$ | $1,012.3$ | $1,042.1$ | $1,125.5$ | $1,194.9$ | $6 \%$ |
| Fruit and Nuts ${ }^{2}$ | 478.1 | 537.2 | 600.3 | 661.3 | 662.3 | 745.2 | 799.8 | 878.1 | 909.6 | 924.3 | $2 \%$ |
| Floriculture and Nursery | 392.2 | 447.9 | 513.4 | 522.0 | 480.6 | 453.3 | 386.5 | 359.6 | 340.1 | 303.6 | $-11 \%$ |
| Honey | 31.0 | 31.0 | 32.6 | 88.0 | 62.9 | 47.2 | 30.1 | 33.1 | 37.5 | 69.8 | $86 \%$ |
| Maple | 110.5 | 105.9 | 128.6 | 154.0 | 147.2 | 154.1 | 165.3 | 190.2 | 217.6 | 233.7 | $7 \%$ |
| Total | $2,448.4$ | $2,735.1$ | $3,081.0$ | $3,384.2$ | $3,447.6$ | $3,644.1$ | $3,498.5$ | $3,636.7$ | $3,708.5$ | $3,851.2$ | $4 \%$ |

1 Fresh and processed vegetables, including greenhouse but excluding potatoes
2 Fresh and Processed Fruit and Nuts including wine
Statistics Canada
The value of exports of horticultural products reached $\$ 3.85$ billion in 2008, representing a $4 \%$ increase over 2007 and a $7.4 \%$ increase over the 5 -year average of $\$ 3.59$ billion. All areas recorded increases in export values except the floriculture and nursery sector which experienced an $11 \%$ decline from $\$ 340.1$ million in 2007 to $\$ 303.6$ million in 2008. Higher export values for potatoes ( $+4 \%$ ), fruits ( $+2 \%$ ), vegetables ( $+4 \%$ ), honey ( $+86 \%$ ) and maple ( $+7 \%$ ) were responsible for the overall increase in export values in 2008.

Table 1-4 - Horticulture I mports Value (\$ Million)

| Product | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 08/ 07 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vegetables ${ }^{2}$ | 1,229.1 | 1,306.7 | 1,437.9 | 1,952.5 | 2,320.4 | 2,323.2 | 2,434.5 | 2,497.7 | 2,695.7 | 2,766.8 | 3\% |
| Potatoes ${ }^{3}$ | 195.2 | 193.7 | 221.7 | 313.8 | 221.0 | 216.8 | 212.8 | 239.3 | 242.4 | 278.5 | 15\% |
| Fruit and Nuts ${ }^{1}$ | 2,668.9 | 2,734.1 | 2,849.1 | 3,481.7 | 3,630.5 | 4,482.9 | 4,738.8 | 5,121.6 | 5,579.4 | 6,063.9 | 9\% |
| Floriculture and Nursery | 302.1 | 318.7 | 348.7 | 358.3 | 348.1 | 359.5 | 361.5 | 360.6 | 378.4 | 385.4 | 2\% |
| Honey | 5.1 | 4.7 | 8.4 | 23.3 | 25.3 | 23.0 | 19.5 | 13.3 | 6.0 | 10.3 | 73\% |
| Maple | 2.2 | 1.4 | 2.7 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 5.4 | 4.3 | 5.3 | 1.0 | 5.4 | 432\% |
| Total | 4,402.5 | 4,559.3 | 4,868.4 | 6,133.2 | 6,549.0 | 7,410.8 | 7,771.4 | 8,237.8 | 8,902.8 | 9,510.3 | 7\% |

1 Fresh and Processed Fruit and Nuts including wine
2 Fresh and processed vegetables, including greenhouse but excluding potatoes
3 Fresh and processed potatoes
Statistics Canada
The value of imports of horticultural products reached $\$ 9.5$ billion in 2008, representing a $7 \%$ increase over 2007 and a $22 \%$ increase over the 5 -year average of $\$ 7.8$ billion. Import values increased in all horticultural sectors and although the maple and honey sectors recorded the highest increases in terms of percentage variation (respectively up $432 \%$ and $73 \%$ ), the bulk of the increase in value came from the fruit and vegetable sectors (combined increase in import value of $\$ 556$ million), which together account
for almost $93 \%$ of the total import value for all horticultural products.

Table 1-5 - Horticulture Exports to Major Countries
Value (\$ Million)

| Country | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 8 / 0 7}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| United States | $2,080.2$ | $2,413.6$ | $2,773.6$ | $3,069.3$ | $3,069.3$ | $3,161.3$ | $3,005.4$ | 3117.9 | $3,102.2$ | $3,259.1$ | $5 \%$ |
| Japan | 82.9 | 87.5 | 95.7 | 104.9 | 112.4 | 133.2 | 126.5 | 123.1 | 112.4 | 103.6 | $-8 \%$ |
| United Kingdom | 40.7 | 33.4 | 30.2 | 31.6 | 29.4 | 36.0 | 35.3 | 36.1 | 43.8 | 47.1 | $8 \%$ |
| Germany | 34.9 | 33.2 | 27.8 | 31.4 | 31.9 | 32.0 | 33.4 | 36.1 | 51.1 | 44.2 | $-14 \%$ |
| Mexico | 4.4 | 5.1 | 8.9 | 12.4 | 18.0 | 22.1 | 31.9 | 34.7 | 35.1 | 38.2 | $9 \%$ |
| Netherlands | 15.7 | 18.6 | 17.8 | 11.9 | 11.1 | 21.2 | 17.9 | 28.3 | 27.8 | 32.7 | $18 \%$ |
| France | 11.7 | 16.0 | 10.0 | 12.8 | 17.2 | 20.8 | 13.9 | 19.5 | 24.6 | 28.9 | $17 \%$ |
| China, P.Rep | 1.2 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 2.7 | 8.0 | 13.2 | 24.7 | 28.8 | 29.8 | 18.2 | $-39 \%$ |
| Exports to Major Countries | $2,271.7$ | $2,607.9$ | $2,965.4$ | $3,277.0$ | $3,297.4$ | $3,439.8$ | $3,289.1$ | $3,424.6$ | $3,426.8$ | $3,572.0$ | $4 \%$ |
| Other Countries | 176.7 | 127.0 | 115.2 | 105.8 | 149.1 | 202.7 | 208.6 | 212.7 | 281.2 | 279.3 | $-1 \%$ |
| Exports to all Countries | $2,448.4$ | $2,734.9$ | $3,080.6$ | $3,382.8$ | $3,446.5$ | $3,642.5$ | $3,497.7$ | $3,637.3$ | $3,708.0$ | $3,851.3$ | $4 \%$ |

Statistics Canada
The U.S. which remains the top export destination for Canada's horticultural products, accounted for almost $85 \%$ of the dollar value of our horticultural exports, followed by Japan ( $2.7 \%$ ), the UK ( $1.2 \%$ ), Germany ( $1.1 \%$ ) and Mexico (1\%). The value of our horticultural exports to the U.S. has stayed relatively stable over the last five years, but is $57 \%$ up compared to 1999, while the value of our exports to Mexico has increased almost tenfold during the last ten years from $\$ 4.4$ million in 1999 to $\$ 38.2$ million in 2008. Other major export markets which have also seen significant increases in the value of our horticultural exports include France ( $+147 \%$ ), the Netherlands ( $+108 \%$ ) and Japan ( $+25 \%$ ).

Table 1-6-Horticulture I mports from Major Countries Value (\$ Million)

| Country | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 8 / 0 7}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| United States | $2,511.1$ | $2,650.9$ | $2,867.8$ | $3,730.5$ | $3,829.4$ | $3,984.9$ | $4,117.7$ | $4,264.3$ | $4,509.3$ | $4,808.5$ | $7 \%$ |
| Mexico | 153.1 | 155.9 | 176.5 | 247.0 | 401.0 | 425.7 | 470.9 | 524.0 | 649.5 | 698.1 | $7 \%$ |
| France | 121.1 | 125.5 | 128.9 | 126.7 | 161.7 | 364.7 | 371.9 | 399.9 | 416.5 | 455.0 | $9 \%$ |
| Chile | 164.8 | 182.4 | 191.5 | 221.1 | 244.3 | 330.6 | 339.1 | 370.9 | 393.4 | 442.9 | $13 \%$ |
| Italy | 94.5 | 92.5 | 101.1 | 117.3 | 130.3 | 279.9 | 284.9 | 332.7 | 368.7 | 391.6 | $6 \%$ |
| Australia | 49.4 | 52.8 | 59.6 | 75.9 | 99.3 | 257.4 | 298.8 | 316.6 | 330.4 | 308.6 | $-7 \%$ |
| China, P. Rep. | 80.7 | 105.2 | 105.4 | 135.2 | 143.9 | 165.0 | 190.7 | 219.3 | 266.9 | 294.1 | $10 \%$ |
| Costa Rica | 87.3 | 104.8 | 115.7 | 124.5 | 156.8 | 148.2 | 155.0 | 162.6 | 190.8 | 203.6 | $7 \%$ |
| Brazil | 146.0 | 143.5 | 130.2 | 148.0 | 140.2 | 112.7 | 128.9 | 153.8 | 174.0 | 145.1 | $-17 \%$ |
| Colombia | 74.8 | 68.7 | 76.7 | 92.8 | 107.9 | 122.6 | 150.3 | 160.2 | 139.0 | 149.9 | $8 \%$ |
| Spain | 76.9 | 71.7 | 65.6 | 90.6 | 104.5 | 135.9 | 130.7 | 144.4 | 145.2 | 140.2 | $-3 \%$ |
| South Africa | 102.8 | 88.3 | 77.7 | 92.5 | 98.0 | 114.1 | 124.6 | 127.1 | 123.3 | 142.0 | $15 \%$ |
| Argentina | 48.6 | 33.4 | 45.4 | 72.3 | 64.8 | 64.9 | 83.4 | 96.1 | 125.0 | 138.9 | $11 \%$ |
| Ecuador | 93.7 | 83.5 | 82.5 | 101.4 | 89.8 | 87.4 | 86.4 | 98.0 | 107.7 | 139.3 | $29 \%$ |
| Netherlands | 54.7 | 57.9 | 63.5 | 80.6 | 88.6 | 96.7 | 88.4 | 83.3 | 85.5 | 77.4 | $-10 \%$ |
| Guatemala | 16.1 | 27.4 | 37.1 | 43.5 | 53.3 | 69.6 | 76.4 | 71.3 | 74.4 | 97.4 | $31 \%$ |
| Impors from major Countries | 3,876 | 4,044 | 4,325 | 5,500 | 5,914 | 6,760 | 7,098 | 7,524 | 8,099 | 8,633 | $7 \%$ |
| Other Countries | 526.7 | 514.8 | 543.1 | 633.4 | 635.1 | 649.6 | 673.3 | 713.3 | 803.5 | 877.5 | $9 \%$ |
| Imports from all Countries | 4,402 | 4,559 | 4,868 | 6,133 | 6,549 | 7,410 | 7,771 | 8,238 | 8,903 | 9,510 | $7 \%$ |

## Statistics Canada

The U.S. which is also the number one source country for Canada's horticultural imports, accounted for almost $51 \%$ of the dollar value of our horticultural imports, followed by Mexico ( $7.3 \%$ ), France ( $4.8 \%$ ), Chile ( $4.7 \%$ ), Italy ( $4.1 \%$ ), Australia ( $3.2 \%$ ) and China ( $3.1 \%$ ). The value of our horticultural imports from the US stood at $\$ 4.8$ billion in 2008, $6.6 \%$ higher than in 2007 and $17 \%$ above the 5 -year average. While the US share of the dollar value of our horticultural imports shows a declining trend over the last ten years (from $57 \%$ in 1999 to $51 \%$ in 2008), the share of the other countries listed above has been steadily increasing over the same period, illustrating the fact that Canada has been increasingly
diversifying its sources of imports for horticultural products. During the 1999 to 2008 period the other listed countries saw the value of their horticultural exports to Canada increase by 525\% for Australia, 356\% for Mexico, 314\% for Italy, 276\% for France, 264\% for China, 208\% for Italy and for Chile.

## VEGETABLE SECTOR (FIELD, POTATOES AND GREENHOUSE)

## FIELD VEGETABLES

Table 2-1 - Number of Vegetable Farms and Area by Region (Excluding Greenhouse)

| Province | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6 / 2 0 0 1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of Farms | 979 | 927 | $-5 \%$ |
| Atlantic | 1,140 | 1,131 | $-1 \%$ |
| Quebec | 1,614 | 1,769 | $10 \%$ |
| Ontario | 639 | 670 | $5 \%$ |
| Prairies | 659 | 742 | $13 \%$ |
| British Columbia | 5,031 | 5,239 | $4 \%$ |
| Canada |  |  |  |

Statistics Canada (Census of Agriculture)
Canada's total vegetable production acreage has decreased by $6 \%$ from 133,851 ha in 2001 to 125,181 ha in 2006. However acreage has actually increased by $6 \%$ from 1981 when 117,216 ha were in production.

However, the number of vegetable farms in Canada has increased by $4 \%$ since 2001 from 5,031 to 5,239.
With 1,769 vegetable farms, Ontario is the largest vegetable producer, a position it has held consistently since 1981. Since 2001 the number of vegetable farms in Ontario has increased by $10 \%$ from 1,614 to 1,769.

Quebec has the second largest number of vegetable farms with 1,131 farms in 2006, down $1 \%$ from 2001 and $40 \%$ from 1981. Quebec also has the second largest acreage with 42,223 ha in 2006 , down $3 \%$ from 43,501 ha in 2001 but up $30 \%$ from the 1981 area of 32,544 ha. British Columbia has the third largest number of farms with 742 farms, up $5 \%$ from 2001 to 2006. There is an upward trend in the number of farms and acreage from 1981 in the prairie region where the number of farms has increased by 5\% since 2001.


[^1]Statistics Canada (Table 002-0001, 21-001-XIB)
Farm cash receipts (FCR) for vegetable farms in Canada have been steady since 2003 with FCR in 2008 estimated at $\$ 1,031$ million, $5 \%$ higher than in 2007 and $13 \%$ higher than the previous 5 year average of
$\$ 919.2$ million. Ontario has the largest FCR with $\$ 452$ million or $43 \%$ of all national vegetable FCR's, followed by Quebec with $\$ 266$ million or $25 \%$ and British Columbia with $\$ 193$ million or $18 \%$. The provinces that have experienced the most growth since 2003 were Manitoba ( $\$ 26.9$ million to $\$ 32$ million, an increase of $18 \%$ ) and British Columbia ( $\$ 102.0$ million to $\$ 193$ million, an increase of $89 \%$ ).

Table 2-3 - Major Field Vegetables Grown for the Fresh Market ${ }^{1}$

| Vegetable | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 8 / 0 7}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Value (\$ Million) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Carrots | 56 | X | X | 47 | 46 | X | X | 50 | 52 | 47 | $-10 \%$ |
| Lettuce | 38 | 38 | 46 | 39 | 43 | 44 | 44 | 52 | 39 | 46 | $18 \%$ |
| Cabbage | X | 34 | 42 | 38 | 30 | 32 | X | X | 32 | 38 | $19 \%$ |
| Dry Onions | 48 | 45 | 48 | 35 | 41 | 39 | 47 | 57 | 56 | 50 | $-11 \%$ |
| Corn | 32 | 27 | 31 | 33 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 41 | 35 | 44 | $26 \%$ |
| Broccoli | 24 | X | X | 26 | 28 | 37 | X | X | 6 | 5 | $-17 \%$ |
| Production ( '000 t) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Carrots | 221 | X | X | 159 | 203 | 162 | X | 168 | 171 | 145 | $-15 \%$ |
| Lettuce | 81 | 64 | 88 | 78 | 74 | 77 | 77 | 70 | 64 | 70 | $9 \%$ |
| Cabbage | X | 143 | 134 | 106 | 113 | 129 | X | X | 101 | 112 | $11 \%$ |
| Dry Onions | 164 | 169 | 174 | 122 | 135 | 153 | 150 | 203 | 201 | 149 | $-26 \%$ |
| Corn | 73 | 53 | 64 | 67 | 61 | 63 | 59 | 75 | 58 | 72 | $24 \%$ |
| Broccoli | 30 | X | X | 28 | 27 | 36 | X | 29 | X | 4 | X |

1 Excludes greenhouse vegetables, mushrooms and potatoes
Statistics Canada/ Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada Fall Survey

Table 2-4 - Major Field Vegetables Grown for the Processing Market ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$

| Vegetable | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8} \mathbf{0 8 / 0 7}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Value (\$ Million) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tomatoes | 57 | X | 50 | 62 | 53 | 59 | 60 | 60 | 61 | 58 | $-5 \%$ |
| Sweet Corn | 19 | 17 | 18 | 16 | 22 | 18 | 17 | 20 | 21 | 15 | $-29 \%$ |
| Green Peas | 19 | 20 | 20 | 14 | 21 | 19 | 14 | 16 | 15 | 19 | $27 \%$ |
| Cucumbers | 17 | 18 | 16 | 18 | 17 | 17 | 12 | 8 | 10 | 10 | $0 \%$ |
| Carrots | 9 | X | X | 10 | 11 | 7 | X | 17 | 21 | 14 | $-33 \%$ |
| Green \&aXV eaBis | 10 | 11 | 8 | 9 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 9 | $0 \%$ |
| Production ( 000 t) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tomatoes | 495 | X | 465 | 467 | 475 | 567 | 590 | 563 | 595 | 547 | $-8 \%$ |
| Sweet Corn | 230 | 208 | 226 | 203 | 263 | 201 | 192 | 205 | 296 | 216 | $-27 \%$ |
| Green Peas | 68 | 75 | 72 | 54 | 75 | 72 | 59 | 65 | 60 | 64 | $7 \%$ |
| Cucumbers | 62 | 62 | 53 | 60 | 65 | 57 | 40 | 23 | 23 | 25 | $9 \%$ |
| Carrots | 88 | X | X | 98 | 105 | 70 | X | 131 | 307 | 267 | $-13 \%$ |
| Green \&aXV eaBis | 48 | 57 | 40 | 45 | 53 | 56 | 46 | 42 | 60 | 64 | $7 \%$ |

1 Excludes greenhouse vegetables, mushrooms and potatoes
Statistics Canada/ Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada Fall Survey
Table 2-3 shows the major field grown vegetables destined for the fresh market of which onions and carrots top the list with respect to value. Onions have been strong performers as they have increased in value since 2003 from $\$ 41$ million to $\$ 50$ million in 2008, a $4 \%$ increase over the previous 5 year average of $\$ 47.8$ million. Carrots have increased in value from $\$ 46$ million in 2003 to $\$ 47$ million in 2008, and almost equal to the previous 5 year average. With respect to volume, carrot and onion production has been variable. Onion production has decreased $26 \%$ since 2007 and is 58,000 t lower than in 2003 and $10 \%$ lower than the 5 year average of $161,500 \mathrm{t}$. These fluctuations in value and production demonstrate the variability in price and yield.

According to Table 2-4, tomatoes and peas are the commodity leaders among vegetables grown for the processing market (canning, slicing, freezing, etc...). Tomato values have decreased $5 \%$ since 2007 from $\$ 61$ million to $\$ 58$ million but have remained very close to the previous 5 year average of $\$ 58.6$ million. Tomato production in 2008 is estimated at $547,000 \mathrm{t}, 8 \%$ lower than in 2007 and $1 \%$ below the previous 5 year average of $554,000 \mathrm{t}$. Peas have increased $27 \%$ in value from $\$ 15$ million in 2007 to $\$ 19$ million in
2008. The pea market has been steady since 2003, with the lowest values in 2005 at $\$ 14$ million and the highest in 2008 at $\$ 19$ million. The 2008 production value of $64,000 \mathrm{t}$ is equal to the 5 year average.

Table 2-5 - Field Grown Vegetable Production (for Fresh and Processing Markets) by Province ${ }^{1}$

| Province | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 8 / 0 7}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Marketed Value (\$ Million) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Atlantic | 27.6 | 22.4 | 22.1 | 20.6 | 30.6 | 27.3 | 25.7 | 41.2 | 34.9 | 30.5 | $-13 \%$ |
| Quebec | 207.4 | 199.2 | 205.4 | 202.1 | 219.3 | 238.7 | 238.8 | 257.0 | 247.7 | 249.6 | $1 \%$ |
| Ontario | 248.3 | 224.3 | 260.8 | 256.5 | 265.5 | 266.2 | 261.2 | 293.1 | 291.7 | 281.2 | $-4 \%$ |
| Prairies | 18.9 | 24.3 | 25.2 | 11.7 | 33.4 | 37.4 | 38.5 | 50.5 | 20.3 | 26.8 | $32 \%$ |
| British Columbia | 48.8 | 44.7 | 50.6 | 44.7 | 47.2 | 48.4 | 42.6 | 49.8 | 53.0 | 45.4 | $-14 \%$ |
| Canada | 551.0 | 514.9 | 564.1 | 566.3 | 596.0 | 618.1 | 606.8 | 691.6 | 647.6 | 635.8 | $-2 \%$ |
| Cultivated Area ( '000 ha) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Atlantic | 6.0 | 3.8 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 5.3 | 4.6 | 3.8 | 4.9 | 4.4 | 4.3 | $-3 \%$ |
| Quebec | 39.0 | 40.2 | 43.1 | 39.6 | 39.9 | 40.8 | 38.9 | 40.9 | 39.2 | 37.5 | $-4 \%$ |
| Ontario | 60.6 | 62.2 | 67.7 | 62.8 | 66.8 | 58.9 | 53.3 | 58.2 | 58.3 | 50.1 | $-14 \%$ |
| Prairies | 5.8 | 6.0 | 6.2 | 5.7 | 7.7 | 8.1 | 7.6 | 8.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | $0 \%$ |
| British Columbia | 5.9 | 6.1 | 6.9 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 6.2 | 5.2 | 6.7 | 6.4 | 5.6 | $-12 \%$ |
| Canada | 117.3 | 118.3 | 128.5 | 120.5 | 125.8 | 118.6 | 108.7 | 118.7 | 114.4 | 103.8 | $-9 \%$ |

1 Excludes greenhouse vegetables, mushrooms and potatoes
Statistics Canada/ Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada Fall Survey
According to Statistics Canada, the marketed value of Canadian vegetables is $\$ 636$ million for 2008 and has decreased by $2 \%$ from 2007 ( $\$ 647$ million) but has increased by less than $1 \%$ over the previous 5 years ( $\$ 639$ million). The 2008 national value is $1 \%$ lower than the 5 year average. Ontario has the highest value of production at $\$ 281$ million, followed by Québec at $\$ 249$ million. The Atlantic region accounts for $\$ 30.5$ million, the prairies for $\$ 26.8$ million and BC for $\$ 45$ million. The largest increase in value in 2008 was in the prairies at $32 \%$, while the largest decrease was in Ontario which had a 14\% decline.

The cultivated area for vegetables in Canada has followed the trend seen in the number of farms and marketed value. Between 2007 and 2008 a $9 \%$ decrease was observed in the cultivated area from 114,400 ha to 103,800 ha, with the 2008 area being $12 \%$ lower than the 5 year average of 117,200 ha. The regions with the largest fluctuations in cultivated area was Ontario ( $-14 \%$ since 2007; and $-10 \%$ compared to the five year average of $55,600 \mathrm{ha}$ ) and $\mathrm{BC}(-12 \%$ since $2007 ;-6 \%$ from the 5 year average of 6,000 ha).

Table 2-6-Number of Farms and Greenhouses Reporting Certified Organic Fruit and Vegetable Production - 2001

| Province | Number of Organic Fruit, Vegetable <br> and Greenhouse Farms | Percentage of all Certified <br> Organic Farms in Province |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Newfoundland \& Labrador | 3 | $100 \%$ |
| Prince Edward Island | 17 | $74 \%$ |
| Nova Scotia | 20 | $87 \%$ |
| New Brunswick | 16 | $64 \%$ |
| Quebec | 125 | $34 \%$ |
| Ontario | 120 | $30 \%$ |
| Manitoba | 7 | $8 \%$ |
| Saskatchewan | 18 | $2 \%$ |
| Alberta | 21 | $11 \%$ |
| British Columbia | 267 | $84 \%$ |
| Canada | 614 | $28 \%$ |

Table 2-6 - Number of Farms and Greenhouses Reporting Certified Organic Fruit and Vegetable Production - 2006

| Province | Number of Organic Fruit, Vegetable <br> and Greenhouse Farms | Percentage of all Certified <br> Organic Farms in Province |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Newfoundland \& Labrador | 4 | $100 \%$ |
| Prince Edward Island | 24 | $77 \%$ |
| Nova Scotia | 50 | $81 \%$ |
| New Brunswick | 27 | $64 \%$ |
| Quebec | 208 | $27 \%$ |
| Ontario | 174 | $30 \%$ |
| Manitoba | 21 | $11 \%$ |
| Saskatchewan | 19 | $2 \%$ |
| Alberta | 31 | $13 \%$ |
| British Columbia | 358 | $80 \%$ |
| Canada | 916 | $26 \%$ |

## Statistics Canada (Census of Agriculture)

Statistics Canada reports that the total number of certified organic farms producing fruits and vegetables in Canada in 2006 was 916, representing an increase of $49 \%$ compared to 2001 when there were only 614 certified organic farms. The province with the most organic fruit and vegetable farms is British Columbia with 358 or $39 \%$ of the total, followed by Quebec with 208 farms or $23 \%$ and Ontario with 174 farms or $19 \%$. An increase in awareness of the benefits of organic produce has positively affected the market translating into sales and production growth. This growth has also been positively affected by the implementation of mandatory certification to minimum organic standards through the new regulatory framework, boosting consumer confidence and demand for certified organic produce.

Table 2-7 - Canadian Mushroom Production by Region

| Province | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 08/07 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Marketed Value (\$ Million) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| British Columbia | 54.2 | 58.9 | 77.7 | 51.6 | 66.1 | 68.5 | 78.9 | 72.8 | 78.0 | 86.7 | 11\% |
| Prairies | 34.1 | 39.4 | 36.3 | 34.2 | 44.2 | 38.5 | 37.8 | 26.8 | 21.3 | 16.8 | -21\% |
| Ontario | 110.0 | 140.9 | 143.0 | 153.6 | 165.0 | 161.6 | 153.6 | 171.8 | 171.0 | 182.9 | 7\% |
| Maritimes, Quebec | 14.2 | 17.9 | 17.2 | 18.4 | 17.7 | 14.6 | 9.1 | 5.8 | 4.2 | 4.7 | 13\% |
| Canada | 212.5 | 257.1 | 274.2 | 257.8 | 293.0 | 283.2 | 279.4 | 281.7 | 274.5 | 291.2 | 6\% |
| Marketed Production ( $\mathbf{0 0 0} \mathbf{t}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| British Columbia | 22.2 | 21.1 | 27.7 | 14.2 | 23.0 | 22.9 | 25.9 | 23.9 | 26.0 | 31.3 | 20\% |
| Prairies | 10.1 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 10.3 | 10.3 | 9.9 | 7.8 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 4.0 | -39\% |
| Ontario | 33.3 | 43.6 | 42.9 | 45.6 | 49.2 | 48.2 | 44.1 | 46.1 | 53.5 | 48.8 | -9\% |
| Maritimes, Quebec | 3.8 | 4.7 | 4.8 | 5.1 | 5.6 | 3.6 | 2.3 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.5 | -6\% |
| Canada | 69.4 | 80.4 | 86.4 | 75.1 | 88.0 | 84.7 | 80.1 | 78.0 | 87.6 | 85.6 | -2\% |

Statistics Canada (22-003-XIB)
Mushrooms have experienced significant growth in the past 10 years. In 2008, mushroom growers across Canada reported sales of $\$ 291$ million, almost $6 \%$ higher than the previous year but close to $37 \%$ higher than in 1999. A large portion of the sales ( $62 \%$ ) came from operations in Ontario while British Columbia accounted for the second highest proportion of sales with almost $30 \%$ of the Canadian total. This growth can be largely attributed to better marketing and awareness of the health benefits of mushrooms, increased variety and availability of specialty mushrooms and a steady US export market.

Table 2-8 - Canada's Ten Major Exported Fresh Vegetables

| Vegetable | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 08/ 07 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Value (\$ Million) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tomatoes (Field \& GH) | 180.2 | 244.3 | 263.2 | 274.2 | 324.4 | 343.7 | 334.8 | 325.2 | 255.3 | 286.4 | 12\% |
| Peppers (Field \& GH) | 56.9 | 74.2 | 101.4 | 113.8 | 124.3 | 135.8 | 142.9 | 174.0 | 181.6 | 201.5 | 11\% |
| Cucumbers F(eld \& /G) | 23.9 | 34.0 | 46.5 | 55.0 | 64.3 | 79.5 | 78.5 | 90.1 | 87.4 | 90.9 | 4\% |
| Mushrooms | 55.2 | 62.5 | 79.6 | 94.2 | 98.9 | 109.5 | 102.5 | 89.0 | 77.9 | 69.9 | -10\% |
| Onions | 20.1 | 22.1 | 37.2 | 37.3 | 36.1 | 32.8 | 29.4 | 39.6 | 47.4 | 40.6 | -14\% |
| Carrots | 28.6 | 24.3 | 33.6 | 28.9 | 28.6 | 30.9 | 32.7 | 41.3 | 37.2 | 37.2 | 0\% |
| Lettuce (Field \& GH) | 10.9 | 18.8 | 20.7 | 16.6 | 16.4 | 17.2 | 20.4 | 24.2 | 22.8 | 28.3 | 24\% |
| Cabbage | 16.3 | 19.2 | 22.7 | 22.3 | 18.6 | 20.2 | 24.8 | 20.6 | 21.5 | 20.4 | -5\% |
| Cauliflower \& Headed Broccoli | 4.7 | 5.0 | 4.8 | 4.9 | 5.5 | 9.4 | 4.3 | 5.2 | 7.9 | 6.7 | -16\% |
| Celery | 1.8 | 4.1 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 5.6 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 0\% |
| Canada - All Fresh Vegetables | 411.5 | 521.2 | 630.3 | 674.6 | 749.9 | 813.2 | 802.4 | 841.5 | 782.5 | 827. | 6\% |
| Volume ( $\mathbf{0 0 0 t )}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tomatoes (Field \& GH) | 79.6 | 101.5 | 105.8 | 100.7 | 130.8 | 134.4 | 142.2 | 135.9 | 112.4 | 119.7 | 6\% |
| Carrots | 58.3 | 57.6 | 67.4 | 60.0 | 67.4 | 72.5 | 64.5 | 80.2 | 73.1 | 86.8 | 19\% |
| Onions | 35.1 | 36.8 | 59.8 | 57.4 | 54.8 | 61.3 | 58.2 | 63.2 | 76.1 | 77.9 | 2\% |
| Peppers F(eld \& 16) | 22.1 | 26.1 | 34.3 | 41.5 | 46.4 | 48.7 | 56.1 | 63.7 | 71.4 | 67.4 | -6\% |
| Cucumbers (Field \& GH) | 18.2 | 22.6 | 29.3 | 33.6 | 42.4 | 53.9 | 48.8 | 48.9 | 51.3 | 59.0 | 15\% |
| Cabbage | 36.2 | 39.2 | 40.0 | 37.7 | 36.7 | 42.6 | 46.8 | 43.4 | 45.7 | 41.9 | -8\% |
| Lettuce (Field \& GH) | 13.4 | 18.6 | 22.6 | 18.3 | 19.3 | 21.3 | 22.2 | 23.6 | 25.4 | 29.8 | 17\% |
| Mushrooms | 10.4 | 15.6 | 17.4 | 20.3 | 23.0 | 24.0 | 26.6 | 25.0 | 22.3 | 20.3 | -9\% |
| Cauliflower \& Headed Broccoli | 6.8 | 6.9 | 6.1 | 6.0 | 7.2 | 12.3 | 6.1 | 7.0 | 10.6 | 8.9 | -16\% |
| Celery | 3.5 | 6.7 | 6.2 | 5.8 | 5.5 | 5.7 | 5.6 | 9.0 | 8.9 | 6.6 | -26\% |
| Canada - All Fresh Vegetables | 298.2 | 346.4 | 406.4 | 402.8 | 461.0 | 508.1 | 507.2 | 531.2 | 533.1 | 559.8 | 5\% |

GH - Greenhouse Vegetables
Statistics Canada
According to Statistics Canada (see table above) exports of vegetables reached $\$ 827$ million in 2008 up $6 \%$ from 2007 ( $\$ 782$ million) and up $2 \%$ from the 5 year trend of $\$ 813$ million. Volume has increased $5 \%$ from 533.1 mt in 2007 to 559.8 mt in 2008 and is $6 \%$ higher than the 5 year average of 527.6 mt . The recent fluctuations in export value and volume is in part due to the strengthening of the Canadian dollar which makes our exports to our major trading partner the United States relatively more expensive.

| Country | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 08/ 07 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Value (\$ Million) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| United States | 391.8 | 507.8 | 611.4 | 659.9 | 732.7 | 783.0 | 787.4 | 832.9 | 886.1 | 948.9 | 7\% |
| Japan | 13.5 | 8.2 | 12.3 | 9.9 | 10.8 | 20.1 | 7.3 | 3.8 | 10.6 | 6.7 | -37\% |
| France | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 3.5 | 1.7 | 0.3 | 4.3 | 5.1 | 19\% |
| Trinidad-Tobago | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 267\% |
| Netherlands | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.7 | -30\% |
| Total All countries | 406.5 | 517.3 | 625.3 | 671.6 | 745.8 | 807.9 | 798.1 | 837.9 | 902.3 | 962.5 | 7\% |
| Volume ( $\mathbf{0 0 0 t )}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| United States | 289.80 | 340.56 | 398.19 | 397.67 | 453.93 | 498.32 | 497.71 | 522.47 | 650.00 | 677.00 | 4\% |
| Japan | 0.51 | 0.29 | 0.60 | 0.45 | 0.93 | 1.36 | 0.89 | 0.95 | 1.40 | 1.10 | -21\% |
| France | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.14 | 0.11 | 0.15 | 0.23 | 0.14 | 0.01 | 1.30 | 2.65 | 104\% |
| Trinidad-Tobago | 0.10 | 0.14 | 0.59 | 0.60 | 0.97 | 1.48 | 1.72 | 1.46 | 1.00 | 2.20 | 120\% |
| Netherlands | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.11 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.58 | 0.05 | -91\% |
| Total All countries | 298.20 | 346.40 | 406.40 | 402.80 | 461.04 | 508.08 | 507.20 | 531.21 | 654.28 | 683.00 | 4\% |

*Ranking based on total of last 4 years; Excludes potatoes; Statistics Canada

Table 2-10 - Canada's Ten Major I mported Fresh Vegetables

| Product | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 8 / 0 7}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Value (\$ Million) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lettuce (Field \& GH) | 184.6 | 222.1 | 229.4 | 276.8 | 278.0 | 274.7 | 327.3 | 356.8 | 384.6 | 397.9 | $3 \%$ |
| Tomatoes (Field \& GH) | 179.1 | 209.3 | 216.3 | 231.7 | 235.5 | 257.2 | 243.6 | 258.2 | 286.2 | 292.1 | $2 \%$ |
| Melons | 139.5 | 140.3 | 161.5 | 171.7 | 167.4 | 158.3 | 183.4 | 180.2 | 194.7 | 190.2 | $-2 \%$ |
| Peppers (Field \& GH) | 115.0 | 136.8 | 160.1 | 161.1 | 169.9 | 182.8 | 181.6 | 169.7 | 191.4 | 203.3 | $6 \%$ |
| Carrots | 80.6 | 84.7 | 102.1 | 113.0 | 110.9 | 107.0 | 107.0 | 108.4 | 120.2 | 127.1 | $6 \%$ |
| Onions | 70.2 | 67.3 | 86.0 | 90.1 | 108.2 | 91.7 | 97.2 | 95.1 | 123.7 | 97.8 | $-21 \%$ |
| Broccoli | 60.4 | 73.1 | 70.4 | 83.2 | 73.0 | 73.2 | 71.2 | 73.9 | 85.4 | 87.1 | $2 \%$ |
| Celery | 39.1 | 58.9 | 54.1 | 52.9 | 45.5 | 51.6 | 48.8 | 48.1 | 56.5 | 52.1 | $-8 \%$ |
| Cauliflower \& Headed Broccoli | 38.6 | 44.1 | 44.1 | 51.9 | 54.3 | 53.9 | 54.4 | 52.9 | 52.5 | 84.9 | $62 \%$ |
| Cucumbers \& Gherkins (Field \& GH) | 32.1 | 35.0 | 40.5 | 41.8 | 40.3 | 40.3 | 42.1 | 49.0 | 58.1 | 54.1 | $-7 \%$ |
| All Vegetables | $1,262.0$ | $1,424.6$ | $1,554.6$ | $1,699.4$ | $1,704.5$ | $1,723.6$ | $1,820.6$ | $1,862.4$ | $2,023.2$ | $2,070.1$ | $2 \%$ |
| Volume ( '000 t) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lettuce (Field \& GH) | 265.9 | 279.9 | 282.8 | 323.2 | 324.1 | 310.5 | 315.9 | 310.0 | 303.8 | 294.3 | $-3 \%$ |
| Tomatoes (Field \& GH) | 162.4 | 172.7 | 172.7 | 165.7 | 165.8 | 173.7 | 171.5 | 181.5 | 196.7 | 192.8 | $-2 \%$ |
| Melons | 279.4 | 275.5 | 261.8 | 308.2 | 332.5 | 357.3 | 346.1 | 360.9 | 370.3 | 350.0 | $-5 \%$ |
| Peppers (Field \& GH) | 78.1 | 85.7 | 90.4 | 93.3 | 95.4 | 95.7 | 102.5 | 107.8 | 107.7 | 108.7 | $1 \%$ |
| Carrots | 109.8 | 110.1 | 120.3 | 140.9 | 132.3 | 110.4 | 107.5 | 112.8 | 118.4 | 119.7 | $1 \%$ |
| Onions | 134.2 | 123.7 | 141.9 | 152.5 | 164.7 | 150.5 | 154.6 | 149.4 | 154.7 | 146.3 | $-5 \%$ |
| Broccoli | 77.6 | 75.5 | 76.0 | 78.6 | 70.4 | 72.4 | 73.7 | 76.0 | 77.4 | 77.3 | $0 \%$ |
| Celery | 87.0 | 86.0 | 85.8 | 90.8 | 93.8 | 97.3 | 96.0 | 94.0 | 92.4 | 91.1 | $-1 \%$ |
| Cauliflower \& Headed Broccoli | 40.9 | 46.4 | 46.5 | 52.6 | 53.8 | 58.3 | 60.9 | 61.2 | 62.2 | 66.1 | $6 \%$ |
| Cucumbers \& Gherkins (Field \& GH) | 36.5 | 38.9 | 40.7 | 41.3 | 41.8 | 42.4 | 42.4 | 47.0 | 49.0 | 46.1 | $-6 \%$ |
| All Vegetables | $1,546.5$ | $1,600.5$ | $1,640.3$ | $1,781.9$ | $1,819.9$ | $1,830.9$ | $1,835.9$ | $1,863.0$ | $2,023.2$ | $2,070.7$ | $2 \%$ |

Due to the largely seasonal nature of vegetable production, Canada has traditionally been a net importer of vegetables. In 2008 Canada had a net trade deficit in fresh vegetables with imports exceeding exports in value by $\$ 1,107.6$ million. The value of imports of all vegetables in 2008 was $2 \%$ higher than in 2007 and $17 \%$ above the previous 5 year average of $\$ 1,767.8$ million. With respect to major imported vegetables such as lettuce and tomatoes, the overall trend shows an increase in both value and volume. Lettuce is Canada's top imported vegetable product, with $\$ 398$ million imported in 2008 (up 3\% from 2007 and 24\% from the 5 year average of $\$ 320$ million). Tomatoes ranked second in terms of imported value with melons and peppers following closely behind.

Table 2-11 - Canada's I mports of Fresh Vegetables from Top Ten Countries*

| Country | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 8 / 0 7}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Value (\$ Million) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| United States | $1,032.4$ | $1,176.5$ | $1,255.8$ | $1,332.0$ | $1,289.4$ | $1,272.5$ | $1,342.3$ | $1,332.6$ | $1,393.9$ | $1,432.9$ | $3 \%$ |
| Mexico | 119.9 | 129.0 | 158.7 | 207.0 | 244.6 | 260.3 | 285.3 | 321.4 | 418.2 | 430.7 | $3 \%$ |
| China | 12.1 | 12.6 | 10.3 | 11.3 | 12.5 | 14.0 | 17.3 | 25.0 | 41.3 | 34.5 | $-16 \%$ |
| Peru | 3.9 | 6.9 | 7.9 | 11.2 | 17.7 | 20.8 | 26.9 | 31.2 | 33.3 | 32.9 | $-1 \%$ |
| Guatemala | 13.1 | 14.3 | 15.8 | 19.5 | 23.0 | 22.6 | 24.2 | 24.2 | 29.3 | 32.6 | $11 \%$ |
| Netherlands | 17.9 | 24.3 | 28.5 | 25.9 | 26.6 | 28.9 | 27.4 | 27.0 | 24.0 | 21.8 | $-9 \%$ |
| Costa Rica | 6.2 | 6.9 | 12.2 | 12.6 | 14.1 | 16.1 | 19.2 | 20.8 | 22.6 | 20.1 | $-11 \%$ |
| Spain | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 11.3 | 21.0 | 25.6 | 16.7 | 22.4 | 9.7 | 14.5 | $49 \%$ |
| Honduras | 2.9 | 2.8 | 6.1 | 8.3 | 10.4 | 12.0 | 13.5 | 11.4 | 11.7 | 14.4 | $23 \%$ |
| Dominican Rep | 4.2 | 3.8 | 4.1 | 7.6 | 6.6 | 5.9 | 4.5 | 5.2 | 4.2 | 5.4 | $29 \%$ |
| Total All countries | 757.4 | 831.0 | 918.5 | $1,337.2$ | 1.695 .0 | $1,723.6$ | $1,820.6$ | $1,862.4$ | $2,023.2$ | $2,070.7$ | $2 \%$ |
| Volume ( $\mathbf{0 0 0} \mathbf{t})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| United States | 868.6 | 889.7 | 921.2 | $1,268.70$ | $1,495.3$ | $1,497.7$ | $1,469.7$ | $1,450.8$ | $1,431.1$ | $1,402.0$ | $-2 \%$ |
| Mexico | 73.4 | 73.0 | 70.2 | 107.8 | 192.9 | 198.1 | 219.1 | 253.0 | 299.8 | 312.7 | $4 \%$ |
| China | 10.7 | 13.9 | 8.7 | 8.7 | 10.0 | 13.1 | 16.8 | 23.6 | 46.0 | 35.6 | $-23 \%$ |
| Peru | 1.1 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 3.4 | 5.3 | 5.5 | 8.1 | 9.2 | 9.6 | 10.2 | $6 \%$ |
| Guatemala | 15.7 | 14.6 | 13.8 | 19.3 | 24.9 | 22.5 | 27.2 | 30.8 | 36.2 | 37.5 | $4 \%$ |
| Netherlands | 0.9 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 7.1 | 11.1 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 8.9 | 7.7 | 7.0 | $-9 \%$ |
| Costa Rica | 7.7 | 10.0 | 13.9 | 15.1 | 19.1 | 21.6 | 25.8 | 30.6 | 32.2 | 27.8 | $-14 \%$ |
| Spain | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 5.6 | 8.1 | 9.6 | 9.1 | 9.8 | 3.4 | 5.3 | $56 \%$ |
| Honduras | 4.3 | 4.7 | 6.9 | 9.7 | 13.1 | 15.1 | 17.9 | 15.2 | 12.7 | 17.2 | $35 \%$ |
| Dominican Rep | 6.3 | 5.7 | 4.5 | 9.0 | 8.0 | 7.9 | 5.8 | 6.5 | 4.8 | 5.7 | $19 \%$ |
| Total All countries | $1,006.1$ | $1,030.0$ | $1,059.6$ | $1,479.9$ | $1,815.7$ | $1,831.0$ | $1,835.9$ | $1,860.4$ | $1,905.7$ | $1,874.4$ | $-2 \%$ |

Statistics Canada

## Potatoes

Table 2-12 - Canadian Potato Production by Province Volume ('000 t)

| Province | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 8 / 0 7}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Newfoundland | 5.6 | 5.1 | $-9 \%$ |
| Prince Edward Island | $1,241.1$ | $1,110.2$ | $-11 \%$ |
| Nova Scotia | 25.5 | 25.9 | $2 \%$ |
| New Brunswick | 769.5 | 642.8 | $-16 \%$ |
| Quebec | 589.0 | 494.2 | $-16 \%$ |
| Ontario | 233.5 | 351.3 | $50 \%$ |
| Manitoba | $1,073.2$ | $1,078.7$ | $1 \%$ |
| Saskatchewan | 105.6 | 118.5 | $12 \%$ |
| Alberta | 847.6 | 792.5 | $-7 \%$ |
| British Columbia | 108.9 | 105.5 | $-3 \%$ |
| Canada | $4,999.5$ | $4,724.6$ | $-5 \%$ |

Canadian potato production for 2008 is estimated at 4,724,600 tons, representing a $5.5 \%$ decline from 2007 but close to the 5 year average. It is important to note that production in Ontario increased by 50\% from 233,500 t in 2007 to $351,300 \mathrm{t}$ in 2008 due to a drought that negatively affected the crop in 2007 . As can be seen in Table 2-1, potato production was mostly concentrated in Prince Edward Island (23\%), Manitoba (22\%), Alberta (16\%), and New Brunswick (13\%). The Atlantic region represented 38\% of the Canadian production, the Western region $44 \%$ and the Central region $18 \%$.

## Table 2-13 - Potato Farm Cash Receipts

## Value (\$ Million)

| Province | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 8 / 0 7}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Newfoundland | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.8 | $0 \%$ |
| Prince Edward Island | 192.2 | 154.5 | 123.9 | 189.9 | 185.3 | 151.3 | 165.3 | 202.9 | 198.0 | 200.9 | $1 \%$ |
| Nova Scotia | 8.4 | 10.2 | 8.2 | 10.8 | 9.2 | 10.1 | 7.0 | 5.9 | 4.4 | 5.4 | $23 \%$ |
| New Brunswick | 93.0 | 78.8 | 100.8 | 126.8 | 100.6 | 83.5 | 81.1 | 113.5 | 94.9 | 119.8 | $26 \%$ |
| Quebec | 84.7 | 85.6 | 95.7 | 110.7 | 85.6 | 81.7 | 99.0 | 115.5 | 93.8 | 126.3 | $35 \%$ |
| Ontario | 62.6 | 65.6 | 71.9 | 87.3 | 81.5 | 73.1 | 64.5 | 89.8 | 70.0 | 93.5 | $34 \%$ |
| Manitoba | 118.6 | 111.3 | 131.3 | 132.8 | 139.5 | 153.9 | 157.8 | 137.1 | 183.0 | 199.4 | $9 \%$ |
| Saskatchewan | 29.1 | 28.9 | 40.3 | 51.4 | 58.1 | 53.1 | 24.7 | 32.6 | 34.2 | 39.5 | $15 \%$ |
| Alberta | 74.9 | 113.1 | 107.1 | 146.8 | 133.5 | 164.6 | 138.4 | 152.1 | 139.2 | 154.8 | $11 \%$ |
| British Columbia | 35.9 | 33.6 | 42.5 | 59.7 | 50.5 | 46.9 | 47.8 | 43.3 | 46.4 | 44.7 | $-4 \%$ |
| Canada | 700.7 | 682.8 | 722.9 | 917.6 | 845.8 | 820.2 | 787.5 | 895.6 | 866.7 | 987.1 | $14 \%$ |

Statistics Canada (Table 002-0001, 21-001-XIB)
Canada is the 12th largest potato producer in the world with production close to 5 million metric tons in 2008. With $\$ 987$ million in farm cash receipts in 2008, the potato is one of the most valuable vegetable crops in Canada, accounting for $34 \%$ of total vegetable farm cash receipts (including potatoes). Potato farm cash receipts (FCR) have been variable in the last five years, declining by $4 \%$ from $\$ 820$ million in 2004 to $\$ 787$ million in 2005, but regaining momentum in 2006 when they increased by almost $14 \%$ to reach $\$ 895$ million and then decreasing by $3 \%$ to $\$ 866$ million in 2007 and then another shift upwards by $14 \%$ to $\$ 987$ million in 2008. The potato industry enjoyed strong potato prices (upwards of $25 \$ / C W T$ for russets as compared to $\$ 16$ the year before) in 2008 which has increased value by $17 \%$ from the previous year. Other factors contributing to this increase in price have been increased contract prices for processing potatoes, the efforts of the potato industry to align supply and demand in order to reduce oversupply and the negative effects it has on prices.

Table 2-14 - Canadian Potato Production ( Part 1)

| Province | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 08/07 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area Planted (ha) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Newfoundland | 300 | 200 | 200 | 300 | 300 | 283 | 283 | 324 | 283 | 283 | 0\% |
| Prince Edward Island | 45,700 | 44,100 | 43,300 | 44,100 | 42,900 | 42,898 | 39,054 | 39,499 | 38,851 | 37,435 | -4\% |
| Nova Scotia | 2,100 | 2,100 | 2,100 | 2,200 | 2,100 | 2,024 | 1,416 | 1,052 | 1,012 | 890 | -12\% |
| New Brunswick | 22,700 | 22,300 | 23,600 | 23,500 | 23,900 | 23,675 | 23,473 | 24,242 | 23,675 | 22,461 | -5\% |
| Quebec | 17,900 | 18,600 | 18,900 | 19,800 | 20,200 | 19,304 | 19,709 | 19,385 | 18,899 | 17,119 | -9\% |
| Ontario | 17,600 | 17,700 | 17,600 | 17,700 | 18,200 | 16,188 | 14,691 | 15,419 | 14,974 | 14,569 | -3\% |
| Manitoba | 29,900 | 31,600 | 31,400 | 35,600 | 41,700 | 38,851 | 34,804 | 32,619 | 34,400 | 33,185 | -4\% |
| Saskatchewan | 3,200 | 4,200 | 5,100 | 5,300 | 5,500 | 5,059 | 4,452 | 4,492 | 3,845 | 3,845 | 0\% |
| Alberta | 17,400 | 21,400 | 23,600 | 25,100 | 26,700 | 23,473 | 22,663 | 22,178 | 22,582 | 21,247 | -6\% |
| British Columbia | 3,300 | 3,400 | 3,500 | 3,600 | 3,700 | 3,561 | 3,480 | 3,440 | 3,440 | 3,035 | -12\% |
| Canada | 160,100 | 165,600 | 169,300 | 177,200 | 185,200 | 175,316 | 164,025 | 162,650 | 161,961 | 154,069 | -5\% |
| Area Harvested ( ha) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Newfoundland | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 300 | 243 | 243 | 283 | 283 | 283 | 0\% |
| Prince Edward Island | 44,500 | 43,700 | 43,300 | 43,300 | 43,500 | 42,696 | 38,851 | 38,851 | 38,851 | 36,018 | -7\% |
| Nova Scotia | 1,900 | 2,100 | 2,100 | 2,100 | 2,200 | 1,943 | 1,336 | 1,012 | 1,012 | 890 | -12\% |
| New Brunswick | 22,300 | 22,300 | 23,200 | 23,200 | 23,500 | 23,473 | 22,866 | 23,675 | 23,675 | 22,056 | -7\% |
| Quebec | 17,600 | 18,100 | 18,600 | 18,600 | 19,400 | 18,697 | 19,183 | 18,495 | 16,714 | 16,795 | 0\% |
| Ontario | 16,900 | 16,100 | 17,300 | 17,300 | 16,900 | 15,783 | 14,367 | 14,569 | 14,569 | 14,245 | -2\% |
| Manitoba | 29,500 | 29,900 | 30,200 | 30,200 | 34,000 | 37,637 | 30,757 | 34,197 | 34,197 | 33,185 | -3\% |
| Saskatchewan | 3,200 | 4,100 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,100 | 4,856 | 4,411 | 3,845 | 3,845 | 3,845 | 0\% |
| Alberta | 17,100 | 19,300 | 23,200 | 23,200 | 22,600 | 23,068 | 20,842 | 22,178 | 22,582 | 21,044 | -7\% |
| British Columbia | 3,300 | 3,400 | 3,500 | 3,500 | 3,500 | 3,359 | 3,440 | 3,238 | 3,238 | 3,035 | -6\% |
| Canada | 156,500 | 159,200 | 166,600 | 166,600 | 171,000 | 171,755 | 156,296 | 158,238 | 158,966 | 151,398 | -5\% |
| Average Yield (t/ ha) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Newfoundland | 14.50 | 20.50 | 22.00 | 26.00 | 22.67 | 21.40 | 18.67 | 25.81 | 19.61 | 17.67 | -10\% |
| Prince Edward Island | 29.15 | 30.27 | 19.28 | 31.53 | 29.15 | 30.82 | 30.81 | 34.67 | 31.94 | 30.82 | -4\% |
| Nova Scotia | 24.05 | 26.95 | 16.86 | 26.14 | 23.05 | 26.76 | 23.95 | 24.47 | 25.22 | 28.69 | 14\% |
| New Brunswick | 27.97 | 28.53 | 28.06 | 29.48 | 28.80 | 31.94 | 29.13 | 33.91 | 32.50 | 29.15 | -10\% |
| Quebec | 26.14 | 26.22 | 25.77 | 24.56 | 27.18 | 29.76 | 25.48 | 28.60 | 31.84 | 29.41 | -8\% |
| Ontario | 20.76 | 21.30 | 20.76 | 18.26 | 24.15 | 22.64 | 19.49 | 23.43 | 16.03 | 24.64 | 54\% |
| Manitoba | 25.25 | 28.07 | 26.22 | 27.76 | 33.18 | 27.46 | 23.54 | 28.51 | 31.38 | 32.51 | 4\% |
| Saskatchewan | 28.00 | 29.90 | 27.34 | 32.32 | 36.41 | 31.30 | 29.70 | 32.81 | 27.46 | 30.95 | 13\% |
| Alberta | 32.54 | 34.75 | 35.29 | 30.55 | 40.40 | 39.23 | 38.56 | 37.42 | 38.22 | 37.68 | -1\% |
| British Columbia | 29.30 | 28.79 | 31.20 | 32.69 | 33.66 | 32.51 | 30.81 | 33.34 | 33.62 | 34.60 | 3\% |
| Canada | 27.27 | 28.69 | 25.33 | 28.24 | 30.89 | 30.48 | 28.36 | 32.17 | 31.18 | 31.21 | 0\% |
| Total Production ( $\mathbf{0 0 0 t}$ t) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Newfoundland | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 5 | -10\% |
| Prince Edward Island | 1,297 | 1,323 | 835 | 1,365 | 1,268 | 1,316 | 1,197 | 1,347 | 1,241 | 1,110 | -11\% |
| Nova Scotia | 46 | 57 | 35 | 55 | 51 | 52 | 32 | 25 | 26 | 26 | 0\% |
| New Brunswick | 624 | 636 | 651 | 684 | 677 | 750 | 666 | 803 | 770 | 643 | -16\% |
| Quebec | 460 | 475 | 479 | 457 | 527 | 556 | 489 | 529 | 589 | 494 | -16\% |
| Ontario | 351 | 343 | 359 | 316 | 408 | 357 | 280 | 341 | 234 | 351 | 50\% |
| Manitoba | 745 | 839 | 792 | 838 | 1,128 | 1,034 | 724 | 975 | 1,073 | 1,079 | 1\% |
| Saskatchewan | 90 | 123 | 137 | 162 | 186 | 152 | 131 | 126 | 106 | 119 | 13\% |
| Alberta | 556 | 671 | 819 | 709 | 913 | 905 | 804 | 830 | 848 | 793 | -6\% |
| British Columbia | 97 | 98 | 109 | 114 | 118 | 109 | 106 | 108 | 109 | 105 | -4\% |
| Canada | 4,268 | 4,568 | 4,221 | 4,705 | 5,283 | 5,235 | 4,433 | 5,091 | 5,000 | 4,725 | -6\% |

Statistics Canada (22-008-XIE)

Table 2-14 - Canadian Potato Production (Part 2)

|  | 1998 | $1999$ | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | Part 2 |  | 2006 | 2007 | 07/ 06 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2004 | 2005 |  |  |  |
| Potatoes Sold, Consumed, Seeded or Fed to Livestock ('000 t) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Newfoundland | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 7 | 5 | -23\% |
| Prince Edward Island | 1,298 | 1,279 | 1,023 | 830 | 1,352 | 1,255 | 1,295 | 1,182 | 1,320 | 1,230 | -7\% |
| Nova Scotia | 41 | 43 | 54 | 33 | 52 | 48 | 54 | 30 | 23 | 24 | 3\% |
| New Brunswick | 644 | 599 | 613 | 639 | 663 | 649 | 665 | 646 | 777 | 727 | -6\% |
| Quebec | 459 | 448 | 453 | 471 | 441 | 503 | 509 | 469 | 465 | 560 | 20\% |
| Ontario | 326 | 337 | 322 | 341 | 299 | 363 | 304 | 261 | 307 | 220 | -28\% |
| Manitoba | 698 | 681 | 825 | 779 | 829 | 996 | 1,001 | 715 | 965 | 1,061 | 10\% |
| Saskatchewan | 136 | 81 | 114 | 128 | 150 | 178 | 117 | 115 | 115 | 98 | -15\% |
| Alberta | 392 | 523 | 659 | 800 | 695 | 899 | 889 | 790 | 814 | 812 | 0\% |
| British Columbia | 79 | 90 | 93 | 104 | 109 | 112 | 98 | 101 | 103 | 104 | 1\% |
| Canada | 4,078 | 4,084 | 4,158 | 4,130 | 4,595 | 5,010 | 4,937 | 4,282 | 4,896 | 4,841 | -1\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Newfoundland | 1,445 | 1,140 | 1,399 | 1,395 | 1,940 | 2,280 | 1,725 | 1,841 | 3,301 | 2,498 | -24\% |
| Prince Edward Island | 218,355 | 195,617 | 139,947 | 192,511 | 229,825 | 162,248 | 165,376 | 234,915 | 201,812 | 221,978 | 10\% |
| Nova Scotia | 7,141 | 8,986 | 11,775 | 7,085 | 11,656 | 9,785 | 10,804 | 6,247 | 5,209 | 5,457 | 5\% |
| New Brunswick | 103,102 | 94,750 | 91,868 | 152,967 | 118,321 | 91,198 | 83,241 | 127,547 | 100,538 | 110,197 | 10\% |
| Quebec | 83,750 | 86,075 | 86,317 | 120,938 | 104,361 | 86,849 | 88,349 | 120,592 | 103,468 | 108,682 | 5\% |
| Ontario | 61,013 | 65,182 | 61,957 | 89,842 | 91,778 | 88,194 | 65,838 | 74,003 | 86,221 | 66,573 | -23\% |
| Manitoba | 115,035 | 117,748 | 143,643 | 143,463 | 149,157 | 163,384 | 167,980 | 136,629 | 187,057 | 205,484 | 10\% |
| Saskatchewan | 38,242 | 29,431 | 38,460 | 52,195 | 58,899 | 60,255 | 33,245 | 36,787 | 34,476 | 35,736 | 4\% |
| Alberta | 75,696 | 104,829 | 110,571 | 153,435 | 148,638 | 169,375 | 168,103 | 153,213 | 157,609 | 157,157 | 0\% |
| British Columbia | 32,447 | 37,782 | 35,657 | 46,812 | 63,455 | 49,197 | 45,787 | 49,979 | 46,310 | 52,645 | 14\% |
| Canada | 736,226 | 741,540 | 721,594 | 960,643 | 978,030 | 882,765 | 830,448 | 941,753 | 925,999 | 966,407 | 4\% |
| Average Price (\$/t) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Newfoundland | 370.51 | 438.46 | 368.16 | 348.75 | 395.92 | 361.90 | 359.38 | 432.10 | 488.32 | 483 | -1\% |
| Prince Edward Island | 168.19 | 152.97 | 136.85 | 231.83 | 170.05 | 129.31 | 127.71 | 197.75 | 153.00 | 181 | 18\% |
| Nova Scotia | 172.49 | 209.95 | 219.27 | 213.40 | 223.30 | 203.43 | 200.82 | 210.54 | 225.53 | 229 | 1\% |
| New Brunswick | 160.00 | 158.18 | 149.84 | 239.46 | 178.41 | 140.48 | 125.12 | 197.53 | 129.41 | 151 | 17\% |
| Quebec | 182.46 | 192.13 | 190.71 | 256.71 | 236.43 | 172.80 | 173.44 | 257.28 | 222.66 | 194 | -13\% |
| Ontario | 187.21 | 193.48 | 192.53 | 263.47 | 307.05 | 242.76 | 216.57 | 283.73 | 280.64 | 303 | 8\% |
| Manitoba | 164.90 | 172.80 | 174.20 | 184.12 | 179.99 | 164.06 | 167.81 | 191.14 | 193.78 | 194 | 0\% |
| Saskatchewan | 281.40 | 362.00 | 337.66 | 407.14 | 392.40 | 338.51 | 283.42 | 320.33 | 299.38 | 364 | 22\% |
| Alberta | 192.91 | 200.36 | 167.71 | 191.70 | 213.78 | 188.34 | 189.11 | 194.00 | 193.56 | 193 | 0\% |
| British Columbia | 410.72 | 418.41 | 385.48 | 452.29 | 582.16 | 438.48 | 468.17 | 496.25 | 450.84 | 506 | 12\% |
| Canada | 180.54 | 181.56 | 173.55 | 232.61 | 212.84 | 176.21 | 168.20 | 218.03 | 189.15 | 200 | 5\% |

Statistics Canada (22-008-XIE)
As seen in table 2-14 (part 1), Canadian potato acreage has fluctuated by over 31,000 ha in the past 10 years. In 2003 a high per-hectare yield ( $30.89 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{ha}$ ) and a record harvested acreage (171,000 ha) resulted in the largest crop in history and a price reduction of $17 \%$, even while acreage has been decreasing since 2003 due to the observed adverse effects of overproduction on market prices. Prices have been steadily increasing back to pre 2003 levels of $\$ 200+/$ t.

Fluctuations in the currency exchange rate (Canadian versus U.S. dollar) and the demand for frozen products have also been a contributing factor in the expansion of the Canadian potato industry, particularly between 1993 and 2002, when the value of the Canadian dollar was low and Canadian potatoes were easily exportable. However, since 2003, a higher Canadian dollar has contributed to the reduced rate of expansion of the Canadian potato industry, while at the same time, acreage reduction programs, a reduction in the demand for processing potatoes and increases in transport costs, have contributed to the downward trend.

In 2008 total potato production was estimated at 4.725 million metric tons, a $6 \%$ decrease over the 2007 crop, and $10 \%$ below the record crop of 2003. Area planted in 2008 was 154,069 ha down by $5 \%$ from 161,961 ha in 2007. Area harvested in 2008 was down by $5 \%$ from 2007, while average yield reached $31.21 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{ha}$ representing a less than $1 \%$ decrease from 2007.

Conditions were average in most of Canada while two provinces, Alberta and BC, broke the 33.6 t /ha barrier ( $300 \mathrm{cwt} / \mathrm{acre}$ ) for yields, with PEI, New Brunswick, Quebec and Manitoba not far behind. Ontario endured a drought in 2007 which negatively affected production but has since recovered and is yielding at average levels. In Ontario, the areas planted and harvested were similar to past years (a $3 \%$ decrease since 2007) but in contrast, due to the effect of the drought, the average yield fell by $32 \%$ from $23.43 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{ha}$ to 16.03 t /ha in 2007, but has since recovered to $24.64 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{ha}$ in 2008.

The 2008 estimated crop value was $\$ 966,4$ million, $4 \%$ higher than in 2007. Crop value has been variable in the past 8 years, falling by $15 \%$ between 2002 and 2004 from $\$ 978$ million to $\$ 830$ million, and back up by $11 \%$ between 2004 and 2006 to $\$ 926$ million and up again in 2007 by $4 \%$. The 2008 average price per metric ton was $200 \$ / \mathrm{t}$ ( $5 \%$ higher than the 2007 price of $189 \$ / \mathrm{t}$ ) and has also been fluctuating for the past 8 years from $\$ 212.84 / \mathrm{t}$ in 2002 to $\$ 168.2 / \mathrm{t}$ in 2004 ( $20 \%$ drop), then back up by $30 \%$ to $\$ 218 / \mathrm{t}$ in 2005 before declining by $13 \%$ to $189.15 \$ /$ t in 2006 and back up again $5 \%$ in 2007.

Since 2006, potato trade between Canada and the U.S. has been impacted by the detection of potato cyst nematodes (PCN) in both countries. Following a PCN discovery in Idaho in April 2006, the Canadian Food Inspection Agency (CFIA) placed temporary trade restrictions on regulated articles including potatoes and nursery stock from Idaho. In August 2006, the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) reciprocated and placed temporary trade restrictions on regulated articles from Quebec following a PCN discovery in the St.-Amable region. By October 2006, Canada and the U.S. had reached an agreement to restore trade and restrictions were only imposed on the regulated areas within Idaho and Quebec. Long standing restrictions on other regulated areas in both countries remained in place.

In October 2007, PCN was confirmed in two Alberta seed potato fields and the CFIA and USDA agreed to place temporary restrictions on the movement of potatoes from Alberta to the U.S. These restrictions remained in place until May 2008, when an agreement was reached to re-open U.S. markets to Alberta seed potatoes. The agreement included adhering to a revised version of the PCN Guidelines, originally developed in October 2006, which outlined the requirements for PCN containment, risk mitigation and soil sampling and testing. As a result, all s eed potatoes traded between the two countries needed to be sampled using a full field grid at a sampling rate of 1.1 pounds of soil per acre. These guidelines were further amended in June 2009 and the sampling rate for seed potato exports is now 5 pounds of soil per acre.

The latest updates on the PCN situation in Canada can be found at: http://www.inspection.gc.ca/english /plaveg/pestrava/gloros/situatione.shtml .

Table 2-15-Canada's Fresh Potato Export and I mport Markets (August to July)

| Type | 1998-99 | 1999-00 | 2000-01 | 2001-02 | 2002-03 | 2003-04 | 2004-05 | 2005-06 | 2006-07 | 2007-08 | \% Change* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Table Stock Value (\$ ' 000) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exports | 119,814 | 100,151 | 71,882 | 126,484 | 126,256 | 88,632 | 91,265 | 121,409 | 144,881 | 155,130 | 7\% |
| Imports | 81,327 | 91,552 | 93,122 | 154,843 | 108,505 | 88,603 | 65,182 | 93,460 | 80,448 | 82,891 | 3\% |
| Trade Balance | 38,487 | 8,599 | -21,240 | -28,359 | 17,751 | 29 | 26,083 | 27,949 | 64,433 | 72,239 | 12\% |
| Seed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exports | 59,943 | 56,655 | 34,257 | 52,143 | 44,878 | 35,883 | 29,516 | 39,771 | 41,488 | 24,467 | -41\% |
| Imports | 3,365 | 3,671 | 3,754 | 3,409 | 3,824 | 3,244 | 3,569 | 2,191 | 2,579 | 1,782 | -31\% |
| Trade Balance | 56,578 | 52,984 | 30,503 | 48,734 | 41,054 | 32,639 | 25,947 | 37,580 | 38,909 | 22,685 | -42\% |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exports | 179,757 | 156,806 | 106,139 | 178,627 | 171,134 | 124,515 | 120,781 | 161,180 | 186,369 | 179,597 | -4\% |
| Imports | 84,692 | 95,223 | 96,876 | 158,252 | 112,329 | 91,847 | 68,751 | 95,651 | 83,027 | 84,673 | 2\% |
| Trade Balance | 95,065 | 61,583 | 9,263 | 20,375 | 58,805 | 32,668 | 52,030 | 65,529 | 103,342 | 94,924 | -8\% |
| Table Stock Volume (t) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exports | 373,289 | 339,260 | 233,377 | 280,581 | 342,523 | 307,831 | 315,918 | 305,910 | 471,491 | 524,714 | 11\% |
| Imports | 199,165 | 241,877 | 222,410 | 272,779 | 245,439 | 148,297 | 179,494 | 192,283 | 155,519 | 169,358 | 9\% |
| Trade Balance | 174,124 | 97,383 | 10,967 | 7,802 | 97,084 | 159,534 | 136,424 | 113,627 | 315,972 | 355,356 | 12\% |
| Seed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exports | 180,778 | 168,561 | 107,073 | 140,399 | 126,888 | 152,292 | 134,561 | 105,479 | 118,926 | 71,398 | -40\% |
| Imports | 11,767 | 12,526 | 12,648 | 10,048 | 11,921 | 11,667 | 13,969 | 8,397 | 8,931 | 6,806 | -24\% |
| Trade Balance | 169,011 | 156,035 | 94,425 | 130,351 | 114,967 | 140,625 | 120,592 | 97,082 | 109,995 | 64,592 | -41\% |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exports | 554,067 | 507,821 | 340,450 | 420,980 | 469,411 | 460,123 | 450,479 | 411,389 | 590,417 | 596,112 | 1\% |
| Imports | 210,932 | 254,403 | 235,058 | 282,827 | 257,360 | 159,964 | 193,463 | 200,680 | 164,450 | 176,164 | 7\% |
| Trade Balance | 343,135 | 253,418 | 105,392 | 138,153 | 212,051 | 300,159 | 257,016 | 210,709 | 425,967 | 419,948 | -1\% |

*\% Change from 2006-2007 to 2007-2008 Statistics Canada

Table 2-16 - Canadian Processed Potato Exports and Imports (August to July)

*\% Change from 2006-2007 to 2007-2008
1 French fries and potatoes, frozen, uncooked
2 Chips, dried, starch, canned and salad potatoes
Statistics Canada
According to Tables 2-15 and 2-16, Canada's total export of fresh and processed potatoes during the 2007-2008 marketing year was $\$ 1.09$ billion. Imports totalled $\$ 258.5$ million resulting in a net positive trade balance of $\$ 832$ million. The export value of fresh and processed potatoes represented almost 50\% of all exports of fresh and processed vegetables. The United States is Canada's main export market. From 2003 to 2005, the value of Canada's exports of fresh and processed potatoes increased by $8 \%$ from $\$ 1.01$ billion to $\$ 1.09$ billion, but fell by 5\% between 2005 to 2006 before rising again to $\$ 1.09$ billion in 2008 (less than $1 \%$ higher than 2007). Since 2003, imports of fresh and processed potatoes have been variable. 2003 imports of fresh and processed potatoes were valued at $\$ 257$ million; by 2006 the value of products entering Canada decreased by $14 \%$ to $\$ 222$ million, but rose by $14 \%$ between 2007 and 2008 back to 2003 levels of $\$ 258$ million.

## Trade in Table Potatoes

Based on the 2007-2008 marketing year, the volume of Canada's exports of table potatoes was 524,714 t
up 71\% from 2005-2006 and 11\% from 2006-2007 (36\% above the 5 year average of 385,172 t). The value of table stock potato exports was $\$ 155$ million, up $27 \%$ from 2005-2006 and up $7 \%$ from 2006-2007. The 2007-2008 price of $295.6 \$ /$ t was $1 \%$ lower than the 2006-2007 price of $307 \$ /$ t price and $7 \%$ less than the previous five-year average of $329 \$ / \mathrm{t}$. During the 2007-2008 marketing season the United States accounted for $91 \%$ ( 175 million) of the export value. Other important markets in terms of value were Trinidad-Tobago ( $\$ 3.5 \mathrm{~m}$ ), Thailand ( $\$ 3.3 \mathrm{~m}$ ), and the Dominican Republic ( $\$ 1.7 \mathrm{~m}$ ).

Canada imports U.S. fresh table potatoes mainly during the period May to July with $64 \%$ of imports coming from the states of Washington and California. Trade in table potatoes was at its lowest in 5 years (2003-2008) in 2004 with import values of $\$ 65$ million ( $20 \%$ lower than the 5 year average of $\$ 81.8$ million). Import values have risen consistently between 2003 and 2008 to reach $\$ 155.1$ million in 2008, which is $22 \%$ higher than the 5 year average of $\$ 120.2$ million.

## Trade in Seed Potatoes

Seed export volume decreased by 40\% during the 2007-2008 crop year from 118,926 t to 71,398 t, while the export value decreased by $41 \%$ from $\$ 41.4$ million to $\$ 24.4$ million. Exports to the U.S. accounted for $50 \%$ of Canada's seed potato exports. Other valued seed markets during the period include Venezuela ( $\$ 4 \mathrm{~m}$ ) Mexico ( $\$ 2 \mathrm{~m}$ ), Cuba ( $\$ 2 \mathrm{~m}$ ) and Uruguay ( $\$ 1 \mathrm{~m}$ ). Alberta exported $31 \%$ of Canada's seed potatoes followed by New Brunswick with 24\%, and Prince Edward Island with 19\%. In 2007-2008, Canada exported seed potatoes to 23 countries compared to 27 the previous year. In the same period Canada imported $165,156 \mathrm{t}$ (worth $\$ 97$ million) of seed potatoes all from the U.S.

Table 2-17 - World Potato Production Volume ( Million t)

| Country | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{0 7 / 0 6}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| China | 64.6 | 56.1 | 66.3 | 64.6 | 70.2 | 68.1 | 71.2 | 73.5 | 70.3 | 56.2 | $-20 \%$ |
| Russian Federation | 31.4 | 31.3 | 34.0 | 35.0 | 32.9 | 36.7 | 35.9 | 37.3 | 38.5 | 36.8 | $-4 \%$ |
| India | 17.6 | 23.6 | 24.7 | 22.5 | 24.5 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 23.9 | 22.1 | $-8 \%$ |
| United States | 21.6 | 21.7 | 23.3 | 19.9 | 20.9 | 20.8 | 20.7 | 19.1 | 19.7 | 20.4 | $4 \%$ |
| Ukraine | 15.4 | 12.7 | 19.8 | 17.3 | 16.6 | 18.5 | 20.8 | 19.5 | 19.5 | 19.1 | $-2 \%$ |
| Poland | 25.9 | 19.9 | 24.2 | 19.4 | 15.5 | 13.7 | 14.0 | 10.4 | 9.0 | 11.8 | $31 \%$ |
| Germany | 11.7 | 12.0 | 13.7 | 11.9 | 11.5 | 9.9 | 13.0 | 11.6 | 10.1 | 11.6 | $15 \%$ |
| Belarus | 7.6 | 7.5 | 8.7 | 7.8 | 7.4 | 8.6 | 9.9 | 8.2 | 8.3 | 8.7 | $5 \%$ |
| Netherlands | 5.2 | 8.3 | 8.2 | 7.1 | 7.4 | 6.5 | 7.5 | 6.8 | 6.5 | 7.2 | $11 \%$ |
| France | 6.1 | 6.6 | 6.4 | 6.1 | 6.9 | 6.3 | 7.3 | 6.7 | 6.3 | 7.2 | $14 \%$ |
| United Kingdom | 6.4 | 7.1 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 7.0 | 5.9 | 6.3 | 5.8 | 5.6 | 5.6 | $0 \%$ |
| Canada | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.6 | 4.2 | 4.7 | 5.3 | 5.2 | 4.3 | 5.0 | 5.0 | $0 \%$ |
| Turkey | 5.3 | 6.0 | 5.4 | 5.0 | 5.2 | 5.3 | 4.8 | 4.2 | 4.4 | 4.2 | $-5 \%$ |
| Romania | 3.3 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 3.9 | 4.2 | 3.7 | 4.0 | 3.7 | $-8 \%$ |
| Bangladesh | 1.6 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 3.9 | 4.9 | 4.2 | 5.2 | $24 \%$ |
| Iran | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.8 | 4.2 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 4.8 | 5.2 | $8 \%$ |
| Colombia | 2.5 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 2.4 | $41 \%$ |
| Brazil | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.6 | $16 \%$ |
| Spain | 3.1 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.5 | $0 \%$ |
| Japan | 3.1 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 2.8 | $8 \%$ |
| Peru | 2.6 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 2.7 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.4 | $3 \%$ |
| Kazakhstan | 1.3 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.4 | $0 \%$ |
| Argentina | 3.4 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 2.0 | $-17 \%$ |
| Italy | 2.2 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | $0 \%$ |
| Egypt | 2.0 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.8 | $12 \%$ |
| Other Countries | 46.4 | 50.3 | 50.5 | 51.8 | 51.5 | 51.3 | 54.4 | 56.6 | 53.0 | 55.6 | $5 \%$ |
| World | 300.8 | 301.1 | 329.1 | 312.9 | 317.4 | 315.0 | 330.7 | 324.5 | 315.1 | $309.3-2 \%$ |  |

Source FAO-2008 data not yet available
The FAO reported that world potato production was 309 million $t$ in 2007 (which represents only a minute change from 2006, with little fluctuation between 2001 and 2006). China is the world's largest producer ( 56.2 million $t$ ) and has been for the period 2001-2007 with $18 \%$ of the world production followed by the Russian Federation ( 36.8 million t ), India ( 22.1 million t ), the United States ( 20.4 million t ), Ukraine ( 19.1 million t) and Germany ( 11.6 million t ). Since 2001 Canada's competitive position has stayed relatively
constant with regards to rankings (13th in 2001), moving ahead of Poland in 2004 but still remaining out of the top ten with an average ranking of 12th. Note the rankings of the major potato producing countries have not changed much. China has increased production consistently since 2001 but in 2007 reduced production by $20 \%$ compared to the 5 year average but has remained the world's top producer throughout the 5 years. The Russian Federation has not increased production and has remained the world's second top producer throughout the 5 years.

Table 2-18 - World French Fry Situation*

| Country | 1997-98 | 1998-99 | 1999-00 | 2000-01 | 2001-02 | 2002-03 | 2003-04 | 2004-05 | 2005-06 | 2006-07 | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Production ('000 of $t$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canada | 860 | 910 | 1,020 | 1,050 | 1,080 | 1,170 | 1,390 | 1,365 | 1,300 | 1,310 | 1\% |
| Netherlands | 1,100 | 1,075 | 1,100 | 1,175 | 1,150 | 1,127 | 1,358 | 1,371 | 1,380 | 1,200 | -13\% |
| United States | 3,284 | 3,566 | 3,498 | 4,331 | 3,750 | 3,838 | 3,752 | 3,857 | 3,693 | 3,842 | 4\% |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Imports ( } 000 \\ & \text { of } t \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canada | 28 | 24 | 14 | 17 | 31 | 31 | 37 | 31 | 44 | 50 | 14\% |
| Netherlands | 46 | 65 | 85 | 105 | 75 | 83 | 155 | 105 | 68 | 200 | 194\% |
| United States | 339 | 368 | 465 | 533 | 651 | 673 | 827 | 780 | 523 | 800 | 53\% |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Exports ('000 } \\ & \text { of } t \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canada | 448 | 516 | 619 | 634 | 736 | 768 | 1,015 | 979 | 956 | 975 | 2\% |
| Netherlands | 950 | 965 | 930 | 1,030 | 990 | 1,011 | 1,385 | 1,184 | 1,164 | 1,125 | -3\% |
| United States | 425 | 473 | 464 | 523 | 508 | 441 | 482 | 514 | 555 | 661 | 19\% |

*Frozen Potato Products by Marketing Year (July to June)
** \% Change from 2005-2006 to 2006-2007
USDA, FAS
Note: 2007-2008 data not yet available
Canadian frozen French fry production was estimated at 1.31 million t in 2006-2007 and is down $1 \%$ from 2005-2006 reflecting the reduced volumes contracted by processors. This is the second consecutive decline in production relative to the previous years since the relentless expansion of the late 1980s. Approximately $55 \%$ of potatoes grown in Canada are used for processing. Of this amount, the largest percentage is used for French fries, while 10 to $15 \%$ are used for chips and dehydration. It takes 2 to 2.5 kg of potatoes to produce 1 kg of French fries, about 5 kg for a kg of potato chips, and about 4 kg to produce 1 kg of dehydrated potatoes in granules. Among the three major exporting countries in 2006-2007, Canada ranks second, after the Netherlands and before the US with $35 \%$ of the combined total exports of these countries while it ranks third in imports with only $4 \%$ of total imports.

## Greenhouse Vegetables

## Table 2-19-Greenhouse Vegetable Farm Cash Receipts

 Value (\$ Million)| Province | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 8 / 0 7}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Newfoundland | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | $0 \%$ |
| Prince Edward Island | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.6 | $0 \%$ |
| Nova Scotia | 4.9 | 4.7 | 4.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 4.8 | 4.3 | 4.5 | 5.1 | 5.0 | $0 \%$ |
| New Brunswick | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | $0 \%$ |
| Quebec | 42.7 | 44.6 | 58.3 | 53.6 | 54.2 | 60.4 | 57.2 | 59.6 | 75.5 | 68.9 | $-9 \%$ |
| Ontario | 248.7 | 296.4 | 338.4 | 327.2 | 322.2 | 387.5 | 418.7 | 464.7 | 471.6 | 479.8 | $2 \%$ |
| Manitoba | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.4 | $-25 \%$ |
| Saskatchewan | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.7 | $0 \%$ |
| Alberta | 16.2 | 19.3 | 23.1 | 25.7 | 25.9 | 29.9 | 30.9 | 32.8 | 37.3 | 36.5 | $-2 \%$ |
| British Columbia | 123.7 | 136.9 | 163.8 | 180.2 | 226.2 | 254.2 | 231.2 | 232.8 | 213.9 | 207.2 | $-3 \%$ |
| Canada | 438.5 | 504.7 | 589.7 | 595.8 | 637.1 | 739.2 | 744.6 | 796.4 | 805.8 | 799.8 | $-1 \%$ |

According to Statistics Canada, the 2008 Farm Cash Receipts (FCR) for the greenhouse vegetable sector decreased to $\$ 799.8$ million from $\$ 805.8$ million a year earlier, representing a $1 \%$ decrease. The major greenhouse crops grown in Canada are tomatoes, cucumbers, peppers and lettuce. The main greenhouse vegetable producing provinces are Ontario with FCR of $\$ 479.8$ million (an increase of $2 \%$ from 2007) and British Columbia with FCR of $\$ 207.2$ million (a $3 \%$ decrease compared to 2007). In Quebec, 2008 FCR decreased $9 \%$ to $\$ 68.9$ million from the 2007 value of $\$ 75.5$ million, Alberta was down $2 \%$ in 2008 to $\$ 36.5$ million (compared to $\$ 37.3$ million in 2007) and Nova Scotia decreased slightly to $\$ 5$ million from \$5.1 million in 2007.

Table 2-20 - Canadian Greenhouse Vegetable Production and Value

| Product | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 8 / 0 7}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Farm Gate Value (\$ Million) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tomatoes | 256 | 288 | 350 | 381 | 378 | 413 | 385 | 383 | 356 | 372 | $4 \%$ |
| Cucumbers | 117 | 130 | 145 | 111 | 119 | 132 | 137 | 191 | 179 | 187 | $4 \%$ |
| Peppers | 43 | 61 | 65 | 79 | 106 | 137 | 166 | 192 | 201 | 212 | $5 \%$ |
| Lettuce | 13 | 15 | 25 | 16 | 16 | 17 | 20 | 25 | 23 | 24 | $4 \%$ |
| Total | 429 | 494 | 584 | 586 | 619 | 699 | 708 | 791 | 759 | 795 | $5 \%$ |
| Production ( '000 Mt) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tomatoes | 158 | 182 | 208 | 216 | 216 | 224 | 210 | 204 | 204 | 207 | $1 \%$ |
| Cucumbers | 90 | 101 | 116 | 92 | 92 | 114 | 136 | 179 | 188 | 172 | $-9 \%$ |
| Peppers | 12 | 18 | 21 | 25 | 30 | 41 | 51 | 61 | 71 | 70 | $-1 \%$ |
| Lettuce | 5 | 6 | 8 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | $X$ | $0 \%$ |
| Total | 265 | 307 | 353 | 335 | 342 | 382 | 402 | 449 | 468 | 449 | $-4 \%$ |
| Area (ha) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tomatoes | 360 | 400 | 441 | 445 | 444 | 453 | 431 | 449 | 464 | 466 | $0 \%$ |
| Cucumbers | 162 | 182 | 194 | 201 | 187 | 207 | 224 | 272 | 278 | 277 | $0 \%$ |
| Peppers | 65 | 98 | 96 | 108 | 126 | 185 | 215 | 256 | 306 | 306 | $0 \%$ |
| Lettuce | 15 | 16 | 24 | 14 | 13 | 15 | 9 | 16 | 16 | 15 | $-6 \%$ |
| Total | 602 | 696 | 755 | 768 | 770 | 860 | 879 | 993 | 1,064 | 1,064 | $0 \%$ |

Statistics Canada (22-202-X)
Total greenhouse area remained at 1026 hectares (ha) for 2008 and 2007 an increase over the 5 year average of 972 ha. Tomato production area had a slight increase in 2008 to 466 hectares from 2007 with the 5 year average at 453 ha.). Both cucumber and lettuce production areas showed a slight decrease ( 5 year area average for cucumbers was 252 ha.. the lettuce average was at 14 ha.); the pepper area remained the same for both 2008 and 2007 (the 5 year average was 254 ha.).

Table 2-21 - Greenhouse Vegetable Exports

| Province | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 8 / 0 7}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Value (\$ Million) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tomatoes | 343.1 | 334.0 | 324.4 | 255.0 | 281.0 | $10 \%$ |  |
| Cucumbers | 74.9 | 75.6 | 89.1 | 85.9 | 86.5 | $1 \%$ |  |
| Peppers | 128.5 | 134.5 | 165.0 | 171.1 | 174.7 | $2 \%$ |  |
| Total | 546.5 | 544.1 | 578.5 | 512.0 | 542.2 | $6 \%$ |  |
| Volume ( '000 Mt) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tomatoes | 133.6 | 141.7 | 135.2 | 112.1 | 116.3 | $4 \%$ |  |
| Cucumbers | 44.8 | 44.1 | 47.3 | 47.3 | 50.1 | $6 \%$ |  |
| Peppers | 37.9 | 40.7 | 47.9 | 51.1 | 46.9 | $-8 \%$ |  |
| Total | 216.3 | 226.5 | 230.4 | 210.5 | 213.3 | $1 \%$ |  |

## Statistics Canada

The 2008 export value for the 3 major greenhouse crops (tomatoes, cucumbers, peppers) increased by $6 \%$ to $\$ 542.2$ million from 2007. In 2008, peppers, tomatoes and cucumbers increased by $2 \%, 10 \%$ and $1 \%$ respectively. The 2008 export volume for the 3 major greenhouse crops increased by $1 \%$ to 213.3 thousand metric tons. Export volumes for both tomatoes and cucumbers increased by $4 \%$ and $6 \%$ respectively, while the export volume for peppers reported a decrease by $8 \%$.

The summer of 2008 proved difficult for several US field producing tomato states and the Mexican industry due to a salmonella outbreak in the US that lead to the subsequent US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) investigation. During this period, the FDA established a list of US states and countries (including Canada) that could still move tomatoes. Although this led to additional market opportunities for the Canadian greenhouse industry, additional supplies were not readily available to cover all the demand. The Canadian industry was able to maintain market share in Canada and the US despite the higher value of the Canadian dollar versus the U.S. currency and the increased competition from the Mexican industry.

Table 2-22 - Consumption of Fresh Vegetables in Canada (adjusted for losses) kilograms per person

| Fresh Vegetables | 1981 | 1986 | 1991 | 1996 | 2001 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Artichokes | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| Asparagus | 0.07 | 0.09 | 0.14 | 0.08 | 0.14 | 0.17 | 0.2 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.24 |
| Beans green and wax | 0.42 | 0.44 | 0.5 | 0.45 | 0.49 | 0.71 | 0.55 | 0.65 | 0.6 | 0.57 |
| Beets | 0.37 | 0.21 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.23 | 0.2 | 0.19 | 0.29 | 0.23 | 0.2 |
| Broccoli | 0.47 | 0.97 | 1.13 | 1.4 | 1.35 | 1.35 | 1.32 | 1.28 | 1.33 | 1.24 |
| Brussels sprouts | 0.09 | 0.12 | 0.1 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.1 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Chinese cabbage | 0 | 0 | 0.24 | 0.37 | 0.35 | 0.38 | 0.41 | 0.42 | 0.43 | 0.45 |
| Cabbage | 3.45 | 3 | 2.73 | 3.01 | 2.71 | 2.92 | 2.71 | 2.75 | 2.81 | 2.47 |
| Carrots | 4.77 | 5.07 | 5.14 | 5.46 | 5.43 | 4.29 | 5.21 | 4.16 | 4.42 | 3.77 |
| Cauliflower | 0.59 | 0.8 | 0.64 | 0.59 | 0.64 | 0.57 | 0.62 | 0.62 | 0.57 | 0.64 |
| Celery | 2.75 | 2.65 | 2.77 | 2.39 | 2.17 | 2.38 | 2.2 | 2.12 | 2.23 | 2.05 |
| Corn | 0.74 | 0.66 | 0.79 | 0.84 | 0.66 | 0.75 | 0.77 | 0.76 | 0.63 | 0.72 |
| Cucumbers | 1.15 | 1.52 | 1.48 | 1.66 | 2.14 | 1.8 | 2.12 | 2.71 | 2.01 | 1.84 |
| Other edible roots | 0 | 0 | 0.16 | 0.15 | 0.11 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.16 | 0.22 | 0.22 |
| Eggplants | 0.09 | 0.12 | 0.14 | 0.17 | 0.21 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.23 | 0.24 | 0.24 |
| Garlic | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.11 | 0.21 | 0.22 | 0.23 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.28 | 0.27 |
| Kohlrabi | 0 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.09 | 0.1 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.13 | 0.13 |
| Leeks | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.13 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.13 |
| Lettuce | 5.37 | 5.69 | 5.96 | 5.38 | 6.4 | 6.31 | 6.38 | 6.08 | 5.77 | 5.58 |
| Manioc | 0 | 0 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.04 |
| Mushrooms | 0.65 | 0.88 | 1.03 | 0.98 | 1.14 | 0.92 | 0.89 | 0.96 | 0.93 | 1.02 |
| Okra | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.05 |
| Olives | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0 |
| Onions and shallots | 3.52 | 3.81 | 3.33 | 4.29 | 4.37 | 3.76 | 4.3 | 4.47 | 4.3 | 3.73 |
| Parsley | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.1 | 0.14 | 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.13 |
| Parsnips | 0.1 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.06 |
| Peas | 0.09 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.12 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.16 | 0.21 | 0.18 | 0.17 |
| Peppers | 0.95 | 1.35 | 1.46 | 1.85 | 1.88 | 2.05 | 2.3 | 2.45 | 2.37 | 2.37 |
| Potatoes sweet fresh | 0.21 | 0.18 | 0.13 | 0.19 | 0.28 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.36 | 0.33 | 0.37 |
| Potatoes* | 29.49 | 35.28 | 29.92 | 33.77 | 33.8 | 29.99 | 29.5 | 28.58 | 28.21 | 28.55 |
| Pumpkins and squash | 0.17 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.96 | 1.28 | 1.27 | 1.31 | 1.42 | 1.37 | 1.38 |
| Radishes | 0.34 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.35 | 0.31 | 0.35 | 0.31 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.35 |
| Rappini | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.1 | 0.09 |
| Rutabagas and turnips | 1.29 | 1.03 | 0.96 | 0.94 | 0.67 | 0.55 | 0.69 | 0.48 | 0.59 | 0.51 |
| Spinach | 0.22 | 0.24 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.42 | 0.41 | 0.32 | 0.27 | 0.28 | 0.3 |
| Tomatoes | 4.33 | 4.69 | 3.98 | 4.72 | 5.4 | 4.97 | 4.78 | 4.74 | 4.93 | 4.54 |
| Unspecified fresh vegetables | 0.77 | 0.2 | 0.25 | 0.77 | 0.72 | 0.66 | 0.72 | 0.68 | 0.66 | 0.36 |
| Total fresh vegetables | 62.69 | 70 | 64.31 | 71.78 | 74.08 | 68.17 | 69.13 | 67.94 | 66.82 | 64.47 |

Experimental, use with caution. The data have been adjusted for retail, household, cooking and plate loss.
*: include both fresh and processed
Source: Statistics Canada (21-020-XIE)
According to Statistics Canada, the consumption of vegetables has been steady since 2004. Canadians consumed 64.47 kg of fresh vegetables per capita in 2008, which was $3.5 \%$ lower than the 2007 consumption of 66.82 kg and $6 \%$ lower than the 5 year average of 68.4 kg . Potatoes ( $28.55 \mathrm{~kg} /$ person or $44 \%$ of total consumption), lettuce ( $5.58 \mathrm{~kg} /$ person or $9 \%$ of total consumption), tomatoes ( 4.93 $\mathrm{kg} /$ person or $7.6 \%$ of total consumption) and onions ( $4.3 \mathrm{~kg} /$ person or $6.6 \%$ of total consumption) are the most consumed vegetables. Top performing vegetables which have experienced increases in consumption are peppers with a steady increase of $26 \%$ since 2001 from $1.88 \mathrm{~kg} /$ person to $2.37 \mathrm{~kg} /$ person and asparagus with a steady increase of $71 \%$ since 2001 from $0.14 \mathrm{~kg} /$ person to $0.24 \mathrm{~kg} /$ person.

This steady market may be explained in part by steady demand based on trends towards healthy eating and successful efforts (public and private) to increase the awareness of the benefits of vegetable consumption.
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## Fruit Sector

Table 3-1 - Number of Fruit, Berry and Nut Farms and Area
by Region

| Province | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6 / 2 0 0 1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of Farms |  |  |  |
| Fruits, berries and nuts |  |  |  |
| Maritimes | 1,322 | 1,468 | $11 \%$ |
| Quebec | 1,146 | 1,273 | $11 \%$ |
| Ontario | 1,974 | 1,892 | $-4 \%$ |
| Prairies | 348 | 500 | $44 \%$ |
| British Columbia | 2,953 | 3,196 | $8 \%$ |
| Canada | 7,743 | 8,329 | $8 \%$ |
| Area (ha) |  |  |  |
| Fruits, berries and nuts |  |  |  |
| Maritimes | 31,944 | 33,844 | $6 \%$ |
| Quebec | 24,515 | 28,244 | $15 \%$ |
| Ontario | 26,335 | 25,780 | $-2 \%$ |
| Prairies | 2,152 | 2,380 | $11 \%$ |
| British Columbia | 19,567 | 19,822 | $1 \%$ |
| Canada | 104,513 | 110,070 | $5 \%$ |

## Statistics Canada (Census of Agriculture)

According to the 2006 census of agriculture data, the area devoted to fruit production climbed $5.3 \%$ between the 2001 and 2006 censuses to reach 110,070 hectares (271,986 acres). This increase is in large part due to the significant growth in blueberry and grape plantings that have occurred in the last few years.

With 51,304 ha (126,775 acres) devoted to blueberry production in 2006 blueberries accounted for 46.6\% of the total fruit acreage. Quebec's $24.5 \%$ increase in blueberry area to 16,898 ha ( 41,757 acres) has placed this province in first place in terms of acreage for production of low-bush blueberry, ahead of Nova Scotia with 15,635 ha ( 38,634 acres) and New Brunswick with 8,946 ha ( 22,107 acres), while British Columbia, which is Canada's main producer of high-bush blueberry, had the most significant increase in blueberry area, growing by $61.5 \%$ to reach 4,775 ha (11,800 acres) in 2006.

The success of Canadian wineries in the last few years has contributed to building Canada's reputation for producing some internationally recognized wines and has also led to an increase in acreage devoted to grape production, which went up by $14.9 \%$ between 2001 and 2006, to reach 12,164 ha ( 30,059 acres) in 2006. Although Ontario and British Columbia remain the major wine producing provinces, the Maritimes and Quebec boasted the largest percent increases in grape area between 2001 and 2006 with Quebec more than doubling its grape area from 221 ha (546 acres) in 2001 to 445 ha (1,100 acres) in 2006.
a result of the strong market demand the cranberry industry has been enjoying over this period. Most of the expansion occurred in Quebec (total area up by $27.6 \%$ ) and in the Maritimes (increases of $86 \%$ in Nova Scotia, $44.2 \%$ in PEI and $15.7 \%$ in New Brunswick), while the total cranberry area in BC remained almost unchanged at 1,638 ha (4,048 acres).

In contrast to the blueberry, cranberry and grape sectors, areas devoted to apple and tender fruit production have both declined between 2001 and 2006 and appear to be on a long term downward trend. Total planted area devoted to apples dropped by 3,724 ha (9,202 acres) between 2001 and 2006 to reach 22,101 ha ( 54,612 acres) in 2006.

According to the 2006 census of agriculture, Canadian strawberry and raspberry areas also declined between the last 2 censuses, falling by $13.3 \%$ to 5,204 ha ( 12,861 acres) for strawberries and by $5.2 \%$ to 3,635 ha ( 8,982 acres) for raspberries. A contributing factor to the decline in Canadian strawberry area is the fact that strawberries are now shipped into Canada from warmer climates (particularly California) and are available year-round in grocery stores, putting competitive pressure on domestic production which remains seasonal. The drop in raspberry area is mainly due to the increasingly competitive market environment which has led to declining prices over the last few years.

Table 3-2 - Fruit Farm Cash Receipts by Province Value (\$ Million)

| Province | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 8 / 0 7}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Newfoundland and Labrador | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 1.1 | $-15 \%$ |
| Prince Edward Island | 4.8 | 4.9 | 3.5 | 3.1 | 4.5 | 5.9 | 6.3 | 7.6 | 10.3 | 9.1 | $-12 \%$ |
| Nova Scotia | 47.4 | 45.9 | 30.4 | 34.7 | 46.8 | 45.1 | 52.8 | 60.7 | 47.6 | 46.9 | $-1 \%$ |
| New Brunswick | 15.3 | 14.8 | 12.3 | 13.0 | 19.6 | 21.1 | 27.5 | 34.4 | 29.1 | 32.8 | $13 \%$ |
| Quebec | 106.7 | 95.5 | 100.2 | 89.4 | 97.3 | 112.3 | 120.0 | 179.7 | 136.1 | 171.5 | $26 \%$ |
| Ontario | 226.6 | 217.7 | 223.7 | 194.3 | 169.3 | 193.2 | 162.0 | 205.5 | 235.0 | 228.3 | $-3 \%$ |
| Manitoba | 1.9 | 2.2 | 2.9 | 2.3 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.5 | $-17 \%$ |
| Saskatchewan | 1.2 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.3 | $0 \%$ |
| Alberta | 3.2 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 2.1 | $17 \%$ |
| British Columbia | 167.1 | 160.6 | 154.0 | 166.7 | 198.7 | 230.1 | 223.0 | 230.0 | 252.3 | 248.9 | $-1 \%$ |
| Canada | 575.1 | 547.0 | 532.0 | 509.5 | 542.4 | 614.1 | 597.1 | 724.0 | 716.5 | 743.5 | $4 \%$ |

Statistics Canada (Publication no. 21-001-X)
Fruit farm cash receipts reached a record $\$ 743$ million in 2008, representing a $4 \%$ increase compared to 2007, while $16 \%$ higher than the previous 5 -year average of $\$ 639$ million, continuing an upward trend over the last 5 years. British Columbia continues to rank as the number one province with the highest farm cash receipts ( $\$ 248.9$ million), followed by Ontario ( $\$ 228.3$ million), Quebec ( $\$ 171.5$ million), Nova Scotia ( $\$ 46.9$ million), New Brunswick ( $\$ 32.8$ million) and Prince Edward Island ( $\$ 9.1$ million).

Table 3-3-Fruit Farm Cash Receipts by Sector Value (\$ Million)

| Province | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 8 / 0 7}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Apples | 182.6 | 192.6 | 181.2 | 153.1 | 146.3 | 148.4 | 147.2 | 148.6 | 162.0 | 168.9 | $4 \%$ |
| Other tree fruits | 70.4 | 67.9 | 76.8 | 74.3 | 86.4 | 81.2 | 79.0 | 92.9 | 90.8 | 84.6 | $-7 \%$ |
| Blueberries | NA | NA | 84.2 | 86.3 | 116.6 | 151.3 | 168.9 | 224.9 | 189.9 | 158.7 | $-16 \%$ |
| Grapes | NA | NA | 74.7 | 77.1 | 55.8 | 82.4 | 54.7 | 94.1 | 111.1 | 112.9 | $2 \%$ |
| Strawberries | 53.6 | 53.6 | 55.9 | 52.4 | 53.5 | 56.9 | 58.7 | 60.8 | 60.6 | 61.1 | $1 \%$ |
| Other small fruits | NA | NA | 59.2 | 66.4 | 83.8 | 93.9 | 88.7 | 102.8 | 102.1 | 157.3 | $54 \%$ |
| Total | 575.1 | 547.0 | 532.0 | 509.5 | 542.4 | 614.1 | 597.1 | 724.0 | 716.5 | 743.5 | $4 \%$ |

Statistics Canada (Publication no. 21-001-X)
Apples regained the number one rank in terms of farm cash receipts (FCR) in 2008, representing $22.7 \%$ of total fruit FCR, followed by blueberries ( $21.3 \%$ ) and grapes ( $15.2 \%$ ). Due to a rapid expansion of the blueberry industry in the last ten years, blueberries had taken the top spot in 2004 and continued to be the fruit generating the highest FCR up to 2007. The significant increases in blueberry production area that have occurred not only in North America (for both high bush and low bush blueberries) but also in South

America (high-bush blueberries) have put considerable pressure on prices which have fallen sharply since 2007. As a result, blueberry FCR fell by more than $16 \%$ in 2008, putting an end to the continuous upward trend that the sector had been enjoying. Although FCR for grapes, which are the third most important fruit crop, gained only $2 \%$ in 2008 compared to the previous year, they are almost $42 \%$ higher than the previous 5 -year average and continue their upward trend.

Table 3-4 - Canadian Apple Production (for Fresh and Processing Markets)

| Province | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 08/ 07 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Marketed Value (\$ Million) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nova Scotia | 12.6 | 12.7 | 8.0 | 12.0 | 9.0 | 8.8 | 9.9 | 10.5 | 11.8 | 12.3 | 4\% |
| New Brunswick | 2.1 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 3.1 | 1.8 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 18\% |
| Quebec | 37.1 | 31.9 | 23.4 | 36.0 | 25.9 | 28.2 | 29.2 | 34.7 | 46.8 | 42.0 | -10\% |
| Ontario | 101.5 | 97.2 | 97.4 | 56.2 | 55.0 | 48.9 | 60.5 | 62.2 | 75.0 | 73.0 | -3\% |
| British Columbia | 47.5 | 39.2 | 34.9 | 49.4 | 61.0 | 34.6 | 36.7 | 38.5 | 44.8 | 48.0 | 7\% |
| Canada | 201.1 | 183.7 | 166.3 | 157.1 | 153.0 | 123.2 | 138.7 | 147.6 | 180.4 | 177.7 | -1\% |
| Marketed Volume ( $\mathbf{0 0 0}$ t) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nova Scotia | 46.0 | 41.2 | 35.4 | 40.8 | 36.3 | 31.0 | 39.4 | 39.0 | 41.1 | 38.8 | -6\% |
| New Brunswick | 4.4 | 5.1 | 3.8 | 4.7 | 4.6 | 5.0 | 4.6 | 4.1 | 3.6 | 3.9 | 8\% |
| Quebec | 118.4 | 89.3 | 71.5 | 87.2 | 66.8 | 78.9 | 78.2 | 87.7 | 140.6 | 81.6 | -42\% |
| Ontario | 331.3 | 262.9 | 241.5 | 115.7 | 145.2 | 142.4 | 168.7 | 154.7 | 183.7 | 191.6 | 4\% |
| British Columbia | 120.8 | 131.2 | 114.0 | 133.1 | 126.1 | 122.8 | 117.4 | 90.7 | 77.1 | 91.2 | 18\% |
| Canada | 621.4 | 530.3 | 466.6 | 381.9 | 379.2 | 380.6 | 408.6 | 376.5 | 446.4 | 407.5 | -9\% |
| Total Volume ( $\mathbf{0 0 0}$ t) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nova Scotia | 46.0 | 41.7 | 35.4 | 40.8 | 36.3 | 33.4 | 39.4 | 39.5 | 41.3 | 39.5 | -4\% |
| New Brunswick | 4.8 | 5.1 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 4.6 | 5.0 | 4.7 | 4.4 | 4.0 | 4.3 | 8\% |
| Quebec | 119.0 | 89.6 | 71.5 | 87.7 | 98.3 | 87.1 | 81.6 | 88.2 | 141.0 | 95.3 | -32\% |
| Ontario | 331.3 | 262.9 | 241.5 | 115.7 | 145.2 | 142.4 | 168.7 | 154.7 | 190.5 | 191.6 | 1\% |
| British Columbia | 132.7 | 131.2 | 129.7 | 135.6 | 131.5 | 128.4 | 122.9 | 90.7 | 78.5 | 91.9 | 17\% |
| Canada | 634.3 | 531.1 | 482.5 | 385.2 | 416.2 | 396.8 | 417.7 | 377.6 | 455.6 | 423.0 | -7\% |
| Bearing Area (ha) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nova Scotia | 2,630 | 2,388 | 2,307 | 2,226 | 2,266 | 2,185 | 2,185 | 2,266 | 2,226 | 2,104 | -5\% |
| New Brunswick | 344 | 344 | 356 | 354 | 360 | 384 | 344 | 281 | 231 | 227 | -2\% |
| Quebec | 6,920 | 6,677 | 5,949 | 5,706 | 6,111 | 5,868 | 5,564 | 5,059 | 4,978 | 5,018 | 1\% |
| Ontario | 10,117 | 9,308 | 8,498 | 6,880 | 7,284 | 7,042 | 7,001 | 7,284 | 6,880 | 6,677 | -3\% |
| British Columbia | 6,070 | 5,483 | 5,342 | 5,342 | 5,504 | 5,261 | 3,925 | 3,521 | 3,925 | 3,642 | -7\% |
| Canada | 26,165 | 24,277 | 22,531 | 20,584 | 21,600 | 20,815 | 19,087 | 18,486 | 18,312 | 17,725 | -3\% |
| Bearing and Non-Bearing Area (ha) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nova Scotia | 2,833 | 2,752 | 2,630 | 2,550 | 2,550 | 2,469 | 2,388 | 2,428 | 2,469 | 2,428 | -2\% |
| New Brunswick | 465 | 439 | 416 | 413 | 445 | 405 | 364 | 324 | 304 | 283 | -7\% |
| Quebec | 7,284 | 7,122 | 6,843 | 6,677 | 6,677 | 6,637 | 6,515 | 6,475 | 6,414 | 6,070 | -5\% |
| Ontario | 11,331 | 10,522 | 9,814 | 8,903 | 8,903 | 7,608 | 7,568 | 8,094 | 7,689 | 7,284 | -5\% |
| British Columbia | 6,475 | 5,969 | 5,982 | 5,868 | 5,868 | 5,666 | 4,654 | 4,371 | 4,654 | 3,845 | -17\% |
| Canada | 28,502 | 26,918 | 25,799 | 24,522 | 24,552 | 22,889 | 21,586 | 21,813 | 21,645 | 19,993 | -8\% |

Statistics Canada (22-003-XIB)
The 2008 Canadian apple crop is estimated at $422,961 \mathrm{t}, 8 \%$ below the 2007 crop which was the largest crop in the last 5 years due to an unexpected increase in the size of the crop in Quebec (up almost 60\%) and in Ontario (up by 23\%). The size of the 2008 crop is very close to the previous 5 -year average of $412,780 \mathrm{t}$. With an estimated production of $191,643 \mathrm{t}$ in 2008, Ontario remains the largest apple producing province ( $45 \%$ of Canadian apple production), followed by Quebec with $95,254 \mathrm{t}$ ( $23 \%$ ), British Columbia with $91,852 \mathrm{t}$ ( $22 \%$ ), Nova Scotia with $39,463 \mathrm{t}$ ( $9 \%$ ) and New Brunswick with 4,309 t (1\%).

Total cultivated area for apples is estimated at 19,993 ha (49,405 acres) for 2008, which is $8 \%$ lower than in 2007 and $11 \%$ below the previous 5 -year average of 22,497 ha. The acreage devoted to apple production has been on a downward trend over the last few years primarily due to a switch to other tree fruits and as a result of the adoption of high density apple plantings in an attempt to replace old apple varities with newer varieties that are more in demand by consumers.

Over $63 \%$ of the 2008 marketed apple production went to the fresh market, while close to $37 \%$ of the production went to the processing market. Ontario has the largest portion of its crop (47.3\%) going to the
processing market, followed by Nova Scotia (43.3\%) and Quebec (30.4\%).

Table 3-5 - Storage Holdings of Apples by Province Volume (t)

| Province | $2006-2007$ |  |  | $2007-2008$ |  | Change* |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Nov. 1 | Feb. 1 | Jul. 1 | Nov. 1 | Feb. 1 | Jul. 1 | Nov. 1 | Feb. 1 Jul. 1 |  |
| Nova Scotia-New Brunswick-PEI | 17,106 | 14,893 | 3,519 | 24,699 | 19,376 | 220 | $44 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $-94 \%$ |
| Quebec | 44,814 | 30,403 | 9,865 | 67,802 | 40,621 | 9,768 | $51 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $-1 \%$ |
| Ontario $* *$ | 41,298 | 41,591 | 6,052 | 94,645 | 54,184 | 8,142 | $129 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $35 \%$ |
| British Columbia | 51,169 | 31,924 | 3,246 | 64,250 | 30,547 | - |  | $26 \%$ | $X$ |
|  | $-100 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canada | 154,387 | 118,811 | 22,682 | 251,398 | 144,729 | 18,130 | $63 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $-20 \%$ |

* \% Change from from 2006-2007 to 2007-2008
** Excludes Data for Hamilton and Niagara Regions (NA) for 2006-2007
InfoHort (Horticulture Markets Information Website)
As of July 01, 2008 (last storage report for the 2007-2008 marketing year), total Canadian apple storage holdings stood at $18,130 \mathrm{t}$ ( 40 million pounds), a $20 \%$ decrease from the $22,682 \mathrm{t}$ ( 50 million pounds) in storage the previous year at the same time. US fresh apple storage holdings as of June 01st 2008 were $7 \%$ less than in 2007 and $12 \%$ below the five-year average levels. The crop moved well during the 2007-2008 marketing season with little fresh market inventory expected to be carried forward into the new crop year in Canada and the U.S.

Table 3-6 - Exports of Fresh Apples to Major Countries (August to J uly)

| Countries | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9 - 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0} \mathbf{- 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1 - 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2 - 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3 - 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4 - 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5 - 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6 - 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7 - 0 8}$ | $\%$ | Change * |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Value (\$ '000) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| United States | 31,822 | 35,704 | 32,167 | 34,292 | 44,255 | 29,248 | 23,926 | 29,214 | 29,244 | 31,219 | $7 \%$ |  |
| United Kingdom | 6,029 | 9,701 | 6,957 | 6,515 | 5,051 | 4,813 | 6,153 | 5,224 | 2,594 | 3,514 | $35 \%$ |  |
| Mexico | 2,797 | 2,544 | 2,861 | 3,114 | 7,124 | 3,461 | 3,426 | 4,858 | 2,601 | 2,897 | $11 \%$ |  |
| Taiwan | 1,639 | 48 | 80 | 273 | 266 | 418 | 2,201 | 760 | 643 | 784 | $22 \%$ |  |
| Iceland | 149 | 97 | 142 | 193 | 49 | 1,506 | 315 | 396 | 564 | 554 | $-2 \%$ |  |
| Costa Rica | 9 | 86 | 487 | 260 | 1,083 | 317 | 502 | 888 | 509 | 479 | $-6 \%$ |  |
| Other Countries | 11,961 | 6,550 | 10,394 | 7,430 | 3,567 | 591 | 2,375 | 2,382 | 1,508 | 702 | $-53 \%$ |  |
| Total | 54,407 | 54,731 | 53,088 | 52,077 | 61,395 | 40,354 | 38,898 | 43,722 | 37,663 | 40,149 | $7 \%$ |  |
| Volume (t) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| United States | 37,561 | 46,688 | 38,703 | 38,463 | 45,104 | 30,698 | 30,533 | 37,813 | 27,613 | 42,238 | $53 \%$ |  |
| United Kingdom | 5,694 | 10,033 | 7,084 | 6,916 | 3,864 | 4,247 | 5,298 | 4,952 | 2,337 | 3,364 | $44 \%$ |  |
| Mexico | 4,542 | 2,958 | 4,757 | 3,933 | 7,036 | 3,717 | 4,908 | 6,594 | 2,896 | 3,057 | $6 \%$ |  |
| Taiwan | 1,445 | 51 | 85 | 241 | 214 | 320 | 4,281 | 705 | 567 | 669 | $18 \%$ |  |
| Iceland | 193 | 97 | 142 | 183 | 39 | 1,649 | 315 | 374 | 479 | 500 | $4 \%$ |  |
| Costa Rica | 2 | 136 | 660 | 434 | 1,251 | 393 | 669 | 1,141 | 508 | 509 | $0 \%$ |  |
| Other Countries | 17,730 | 7,501 | 12,751 | 8,372 | 3,763 | 651 | 2,402 | 3,334 | 1,451 | 729 | $-50 \%$ |  |
| Total | 67,167 | 67,465 | 64,181 | 58,541 | 61,271 | 41,675 | 48,406 | 54,913 | 35,851 | 51,066 | $42 \%$ |  |

* \% Change from 2006-2007 to 2007-2008

Statistics Canada
Canadian exports of fresh apples reached 51,066 t for the 2007-2008 marketing year, representing a 42\% increase from the previous year and $5 \%$ above the previous 5 -year average of $48,423 \mathrm{t}$. Despite the year over year increase, the long term trend in our apple exports has been a declining trend due to the appreciation of the Canadian dollar against the U.S. dollar and the increasingly competitive environment in which the Canadian apple industry operates, with pressures in the marketplace due to world oversupply, retailer consolidation, and increased foreign competition in both domestic and export markets. The estimated value of Canadian apple exports was $\$ 40.1$ million in 2007-2008, representing a $7 \%$ increase compared to the previous year but $9 \%$ below the previous 5 -year average of $\$ 44.4$ million.

The U.S., which absorbed almost 83\% of our fresh apple exports in 2007-2008, is still the major export market for Canadian apples, followed by the United Kingdom (6.6\%), Mexico (6\%) and Taiwan (1.3\%). Canadian apple exports to the U.S. during the 2007-2008 marketing year were the second highest level of exports seen in the last 10 years (highest exports in the last decade were reached in 2002-2003),
illustrating the increased efforts of the Canadian apple industry to regain its US market share by introducing new apple varieties such as Ambrosia, Nicola, Aurora Golden Gala. Other exports markets being actively pursued by the sector include Iceland, Russia, India, Singapore, the Middle East and the Caribbean.

Table 3-7 - I mports of Fresh Apples by Major Countries (August to July)

| Countries | 1998-99 | 999-00 | 000-01 | 2001-0 | 02-03 | 2003-0 | 2004-0 | 2005-06 | 2006-0 | 2007-08 | Change * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Value (\$ $\mathbf{0} 000$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| United States | 88,165 | 84,020 | 93,927 | 110,511 | 130,155 | 113,881 | 104,077 | 108,104 | 143,981 | 143,369 | 0\% |
| Chile | 10,008 | 9,537 | 14,219 | 15,436 | 19,811 | 24,303 | 17,099 | 21,692 | 27,206 | 22,484 | -17\% |
| New Zealand | 13,999 | 15,549 | 10,116 | 12,705 | 13,408 | 15,075 | 11,906 | 10,940 | 10,847 | 10,182 | -6\% |
| South Africa | 10,221 | 7,357 | 6,276 | 7,949 | 6,716 | 7,730 | 4,330 | 4,458 | 3,932 | 3,180 | -19\% |
| China | 0 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 887 | 4,764 | 4,206 | 4,247 | 6,745 | 5,648 | -16\% |
| Argentina | 1,588 | 649 | 553 | 503 | 820 | 207 | 173 | 217 | 1,347 | 420 | -69\% |
| France | 8 | 2 | 1,520 | 535 | 1,042 | 1,186 | 202 | 155 | 62 | 442 | 613\% |
| Other Countries | 381 | 572 | 572 | 218 | 142 | 392 | 245 | 5 | 296 | 118 | -60\% |
| Total | 124,368 | 117,689 | 127,186 | 147,863 | 172,981 | 167,538 | 142,238 | 149,818 | 194,416 | 185,843 | -4\% |
| Volume (t) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| United States | 93,416 | 81,676 | 93,325 | 108,424 | 138,738 | 125,758 | 132,924 | 118,831 | 140,901 | 132,036 | -6\% |
| Chile | 8,288 | 7,924 | 12,064 | 12,349 | 15,566 | 18,834 | 14,670 | 17,127 | 22,517 | 18,833 | -16\% |
| New Zealand | 10,345 | 10,574 | 6,633 | 9,543 | 9,689 | 9,487 | 7,238 | 7,456 | 7,744 | 7,267 | -6\% |
| South Africa | 8,041 | 6,298 | 5,461 | 7,356 | 6,417 | 6,838 | 3,564 | 3,395 | 2,827 | 2,408 | -15\% |
| China | , | 2 | 2 | 4 | 613 | 3,410 | 3,602 | 3,619 | 5,906 | 4,961 | -16\% |
| Argentina | 1,168 | 521 | 486 | 404 | 695 | 184 | 163 | 159 | 1,005 | 257 | -74\% |
| France | 7 | 1 | 1,299 | 462 | 894 | 891 | 163 | 127 | 43 | 301 | 600\% |
| Other Countries | 325 | 430 | 606 | 193 | 116 | 319 | 206 | 3 | 213 | 88 | -59\% |
| Total | 121,590 | 107,426 | 119,875 | 138,734 | 172,728 | 165,721 | 162,530 | 150,717 | 181,156 | 166,151 | 8\% |

* \% Change from 2006-2007 to 2007-2008

Statistics Canada
Canadian imports of fresh apples reached 166,151 t for the 2007-2008 marketing year which $8 \%$ lower than the previous year and almost equal to the previous 5 -year average of $166,570 \mathrm{t}$. Canada is a net importer of apples with most of its fresh apple imports coming in from the U.S. and secondly from Chile. The U.S., which produces around 7\% of the world's total apple production, exerts a great influence on the Canadian apple market as Canada has become the second top destination for U.S. fresh apple exports. With the emergence of China as a major exporter of fresh apples, particularly to other Asian countries, both the U.S. and Canada have seen a decline in their share of the Asian market. Furthermore, the antidumping duties imposed by Mexico in August 2002 on imports of U.S. Red and Golden Delicious apples have diverted a significant portion of the U.S. exports to Canada, contributing to a wider Canadian apple trade deficit (imports exceeding exports by almost 115,085 t in 2007-2008) and creating downward pressures on prices in Canada. These downward pressures on prices are further exacerbated when the U.S., and particularly the state of Washington (largest apple producing state) experiences a bumper apple crop, as during the 2004-2005 marketing season, when following the 2004 bumper apple crop in Washington, massive amounts of low-priced apples from Washington flooded the Canadian marketplace. Higher fresh apple exports and lower apple imports in 2007-2008 contributed to lowering Canada's fresh apple trade deficit which reached a record high of $145,305 \mathrm{t}$ in 2006-2007 due to record apple imports and the lowest export level in 10 years.

The estimated value of Canadian apple imports was almost $\$ 186$ million in 2007-2008, which despite a $4 \%$ decrease from the previous year, represents the second highest level after the level reached in 2006-2007.

Table 3-8 - Top 25 World Apple Producers

| Rank | Country | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 06/ 07 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | China | 19,492.5 | 20,811.8 | 20,439.1 | 20,024.7 | 19,252.6 | 21,107.6 | 23,684.5 | 24,019.5 | 26,065.5 | 27,865.9 | 7\% |
| 2 | United States | 5,282.5 | 4,822.1 | 4,682.0 | 4,276.8 | 3,866.4 | 3,947.6 | 4,699.9 | 4,408.9 | 4,568.6 | 4,237.7 | -7\% |
| 3 | Turkey | 2,450.0 | 2,500.0 | 2,400.0 | 2,450.0 | 2,200.0 | 2,600.0 | 2,100.0 | 2,570.0 | 2,002.0 | 2,457.8 | 23\% |
| 4 | France | 2,209.9 | 2,165.8 | 2,156.9 | 2,397.0 | 2,432.2 | 2,136.9 | 2,203.7 | 1,856.7 | 1,705.5 | 2,143.7 | 26\% |
| 5 | Iran, Islamic Rep of | 1,943.6 | 2,137.0 | 2,141.7 | 2,353.4 | 2,334.0 | 2,400.0 | 2,178.6 | 2,661.9 | 2,661.9 | 2,660.0 | 0\% |
| 6 | Italy | 2,143.3 | 2,343.8 | 2,232.1 | 2,299.1 | 2,199.2 | 1,953.8 | 2,136.2 | 2,192.0 | 2,112.7 | 2,072.5 | -2\% |
| 7 | Poland | 1,687.2 | 1,604.2 | 1,450.4 | 2,433.9 | 2,167.5 | 2,427.8 | 2,521.5 | 2,075.0 | 2,304.9 | 1,039.9 | -55\% |
| 8 | Germany | 2,296.2 | 2,268.4 | 3,136.8 | 1,779.0 | 1,471.1 | 818.0 | 979.7 | 891.4 | 947.6 | 1,070.0 | 13\% |
| 9 | Russian Federation | 1,330.0 | 1,060.0 | 1,832.0 | 1,640.0 | 1,950.0 | 1,690.0 | 2,030.0 | 1,773.0 | 1,617.0 | 2,333.0 | 44\% |
| 10 | India | 1,320.6 | 1,380.0 | 1,050.0 | 1,230.0 | 1,160.0 | 1,470.0 | 1,521.6 | 1,739.0 | 1,739.0 | 2,001.4 | 15\% |
| 11 | Argentin | 1,033.5 | 1,116.0 | 833.3 | 1,428.8 | 1,156.8 | 1,307.5 | 1,262.4 | 1,271.5 | 1,271.5 | 1,300.0 | 2\% |
| 12 | Chile | 975.0 | 1,175.0 | 805.0 | 1,135.0 | 1,150.0 | 1,250.0 | 1,300.0 | 1,350.0 | 1,350.0 | 1,390.0 | 3\% |
| 13 | Japan | 879.1 | 927.7 | 799.6 | 930.7 | 925.8 | 842.1 | 754.6 | 818.9 | 831.8 | 840.1 | 1\% |
| 14 | Brazil | 791.4 | 937.7 | 1,153.3 | 716.0 | 857.4 | 841.8 | 980.2 | 850.5 | 861.4 | 1,115.4 | 29\% |
| 15 | Spain | 736.0 | 988.4 | 813.8 | 917.4 | 694.8 | 881.1 | 690.9 | 774.2 | 660.7 | 667.7 | 1\% |
| 16 | Ukraine | 568.2 | 296.8 | 648.2 | 474.7 | 522.3 | 871.3 | 716.9 | 719.8 | 475.0 | 754.9 | 59\% |
| 17 | Korea, Dem People's Rep | 640.0 | 650.0 | 650.0 | 660.0 | 660.0 | 660.0 | 665.0 | 668.0 | 668.0 | 635.0 | -5\% |
| 18 | South Africa | 586.3 | 565.7 | 574.0 | 562.5 | 591.4 | 701.7 | 765.4 | 680.4 | 639.8 | 709.9 | 11\% |
| 19 | Romania | 364.6 | 315.0 | 490.3 | 507.4 | 491.5 | 811.1 | 1,097.8 | 638.0 | 590.4 | 475.4 | -19\% |
| 20 | Hungary | 482.0 | 444.5 | 694.6 | 605.4 | 526.9 | 507.5 | 700.4 | 510.4 | 505.5 | 538.0 | 6\% |
| 21 | New Zealand | 523.0 | 545.0 | 620.0 | 473.7 | 530.6 | 501.2 | 546.0 | 524.0 | 524.0 | 355.0 | -32\% |
| 22 | Mexico | 370.2 | 449.9 | 338.0 | 442.7 | 480.0 | 495.0 | 573.0 | 584.0 | 601.5 | 505.1 | -16\% |
| 23 | Egypt | 388.5 | 415.6 | 468.3 | 473.6 | 524.9 | 533.3 | 546.2 | 550.0 | 550.0 | 545.0 | -1\% |
| 24 | Korea, Republic of | 459.0 | 490.5 | 489.0 | 403.6 | 433.2 | 365.4 | 357.2 | 367.5 | 407.6 | 435.7 | 7\% |
| 25 | Canada | 489.0 | 632.4 | 542.6 | 465.4 | 381.9 | 379.2 | 370.3 | 408.6 | 340.2 | 405.1 | 19\% |
| Others |  | 7,226.6 | 6,868.7 | 7,609.0 | 6,474.5 | 6,931.6 | 6,896.3 | 7,230.4 | 7,123.7 | 7,802.4 | 7,000.0 | -10\% |
| World |  | 56,668.2 | 57,912.0 | 59,050.0 | 57,555.3 | 55,892.1 | 58,396.2 | 62,612.4 | 62,026.9 | 63,804.5 | 65,970.7 | 3\% |

Source: FAO. 2008 data not available, ranking based on total of last 10 years
According to the FAO, world apple production reached a record level of almost 66 million t in 2007. Despite a global downward trend in the world's apple bearing area since it peaked in the mid-1990s, it appears that world apple production has been able to set records first in 2004 when it reached 62.6 million $t$, then in 2006 when it peaked at 63.8 million $t$ and then again in 2007 when it reached almost 66 million t .

Most of the change in world apple production can be attributed to China, which is still by far the number one producer of apples in the world. With an estimated production of almost 28 million tons in 2007, which represents almost $42 \%$ of total apple production in the world, China has not only the world's largest apple production, but has also the most rapidly increasing production ( 5 fold increase between 1990 and 2005). Even though average yields in China are still among the lowest of the major apple producing countries in the world, they have doubled over the last 10 years from 5,400 pounds per acre in 1997 to 12,600 pounds per acre in 2007. Improvements in production technology and the fact that more planted area is reaching maturity explain why Chinese and therefore world apple production keeps increasing despite declines in world apple bearing area. China's apple exports have also been on the rise, with a more than six fold increase in fresh apple exports over the last ten years. The most recent trade data from the Global Trade Atlas confirms that China, with fresh apple exports of about 1,019 million kg in calendar year 2007, is now the largest exporter of apples in the world.

In contrast, Canada's apple production, estimated for 2007 at 0.4 million metric tons, represents about $0.6 \%$ of total world production. Canadian 2007 fresh apple exports (mostly to the U.S.) reached 39 million kg representing less than $1 \%$ of global exports of fresh apples.

Table 3-9 - Canadian Tender Fruit Value and Volume of Production

| Product | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 08/ 07 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Marketed Value (\$ $\mathbf{~} \mathbf{0 0 0}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apricots | 1,675 | 1,130 | 1,465 | 1,415 | 1,490 | 1,460 | 1,900 | 920 | 1,035 | 1,625 | 57\% |
| Cherries (sweet \& sour) | 18,499 | 19,350 | 23,126 | 22,180 | 29,000 | 27,275 | 28,570 | 27,400 | 34,185 | 30,040 | -12\% |
| Peaches \& Nectarines | X | 33,125 | 37,610 | 38,095 | 40,770 | 37,890 | 31,215 | 41,195 | 42,335 | 40,445 | -4\% |
| Pears | 13,031 | 10,171 | 9,261 | 10,571 | 9,780 | 10,365 | 7,845 | 10,320 | 9,540 | 8,805 | -8\% |
| Plums \& Prunes | 4,167 | 3,447 | 4,143 | 2,915 | 4,365 | 4,030 | 4,175 | 5,253 | 3,060 | 3,470 | 13\% |
| Total | X | 67,223 | 75,605 | 75,176 | 85,405 | 81,020 | 73,705 | 85,088 | 90,155 | 84,385 | -6\% |
| Marketed Volume (t) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apricots | 776 | 1,036 | 1,365 | 982 | 1,221 | 1,250 | 1,617 | 826 | 889 | 1,084 | 22\% |
| Cherries (sweet \& sour) | 13,089 | 11,290 | 12,089 | 9,789 | 13,508 | 12,750 | 14,966 | 12,748 | 16,014 | 13,485 | -16\% |
| Peaches \& Nectarines | X | 32,328 | 34,062 | 33,126 | 34,370 | 33,768 | 25,478 | 35,637 | 36,823 | 31,327 | -15\% |
| Pears | 20,188 | 20,609 | 17,457 | 14,917 | 15,232 | 13,674 | 10,714 | 13,542 | 11,866 | 10,471 | -12\% |
| Plums \& Prunes | 3,920 | 3,146 | 3,634 | 2,876 | 3,469 | 3,189 | 2,815 | 3,673 | 2,243 | 2,470 | 10\% |
| Total | X | 68,409 | 68,607 | 61,690 | 67,800 | 64,631 | 55,590 | 66,426 | 67,835 | 58,837 | -13\% |
| Total Volume (t) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apricots | 883 | 1,036 | 1,365 | 1,286 | 1,221 | 1,266 | 1,622 | 866 | 889 | 1,084 | 22\% |
| Cherries (sweet \& sour) | 13,193 | 11,485 | 12,227 | 10,543 | 13,689 | 13,113 | 15,048 | 12,866 | 16,570 | 13,871 | -16\% |
| Peaches \& Nectarines | X | 32,389 | 34,297 | 33,167 | 34,370 | 34,158 | 25,705 | 37,505 | 36,823 | 31,393 | -15\% |
| Pears | 20,188 | 20,677 | 17,457 | 14,937 | 15,256 | 13,712 | 10,727 | 15,130 | 11,889 | 10,517 | -12\% |
| Plums \& Prunes | 3,924 | 3,146 | 3,641 | 2,931 | 3,492 | 3,191 | 2,828 | 3,673 | 2,243 | 2,486 | 11\% |
| Total | X | 68,733 | 68,987 | 62,864 | 68,028 | 65,440 | 55,930 | 70,040 | 68,414 | 59,351 | -13\% |
| Bearing Area (ha) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apricots | 200 | 203 | 209 | 201 | 211 | 200 | 164 | 127 | 166 | 192 | 16\% |
| Cherries (sweet \& sour) | 1,901 | 1,938 | 2,119 | 1,909 | 1,967 | 2,045 | 1,947 | 2,288 | 2,056 | 2,161 | 5\% |
| Peaches \& Nectarines | X | 3,071 | 3,160 | 3,088 | 3,276 | 3,197 | 2,831 | 3,280 | 3,257 | 3,170 | -3\% |
| Pears | 1,430 | 1,404 | 1,390 | 1,344 | 1,261 | 1,137 | 1,068 | 1,174 | 1,198 | 1,081 | -10\% |
| Plums \& Prunes | 684 | 678 | 676 | 627 | 647 | 599 | 556 | 540 | 496 | 488 | -2\% |
| Total | X | 7,294 | 7,554 | 7,169 | 7,362 | 7,178 | 6,566 | 7,409 | 7,173 | 7,092 | -1\% |
| Bearing and Non-Bearing Area (ha) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apricots | 233 | 233 | 236 | 235 | 235 | 239 | 176 | 168 | 190 | 227 | 19\% |
| Cherries (sweet \& sour) | 2,162 | 2,415 | 2,453 | 2,376 | 2,382 | 2,390 | 2,339 | 2,756 | 2,655 | 2,618 | -1\% |
| Peaches \& Nectarines | X | 3,734 | 3,728 | 3,689 | 3,788 | 3,774 | 3,389 | 3,966 | 3,962 | 3,383 | -15\% |
| Pears | 1,578 | 1,564 | 1,574 | 1,524 | 1,526 | 1,402 | 1,356 | 1,394 | 1,394 | 1,137 | -18\% |
| Plums \& Prunes | 820 | 812 | 804 | 782 | 792 | 641 | 619 | 603 | 554 | 546 | -1\% |
| Total | X | 8,758 | 8,795 | 8,606 | 8,723 | 8,446 | 7,879 | 8,887 | 8,755 | 7,911 | -10\% |

Tender fruit production is mostly concentrated in Ontario and BC. Total production for 2008 is estimated at $59,351 \mathrm{t}, 13 \%$ lower than in 2007 and $9 \%$ below the 5 -year average of 65,570 t. According to the Ontario Tender Fruit Producers' Marketing Board, although a number of growers were affected by moderate to severe weather events, including hail, the overall Ontario tender fruit crop volume was up in 2008. The closure on June 27, 2008 of the CanGro Foods plant in St. Davids, which was the major destination for Ontario's processing pears and peaches, affected about 150 tender fruit growers with 600 acres of planted pears and 1,000 acres of planted peaches. Approximately 1,000 tons of processing pears were successfully diverted to the fresh market, while some of the clingstone peaches were sold to a freezer in Michigan and to an Ontario freezer. Most of the processing peach acreage affected by this plant closure has now been replanted to alternate fresh market crops.

Overall production in BC was down 18\%, particularly for pears, cherries and apricots due to weather conditions such as a cold and wet 2008 spring and hail. The area of production for most tender fruits has been decreasing across the country over the last five to ten years, except for cherries (up 10\% since 2004 and $21 \%$ since 1999), particularly for sweet cherries which have benefited from the introduction of new cherry varieties developed in Canada that mature later, produce larger fruit and command higher prices in the market. The sweet cherry breeding program at Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada's research station in Summerland, which is one of the oldest sweet cherry breeding programs in the world and started in 1936, has been instrumental in the success of the BC sweet cherry industry through the ongoing introduction of new varieties with the development of large, firm sweet cherry varieties covering a range of maturities.

Table 3-10 - Canadian Wine Grape (Vinifera) Value and Volume of Production

| Province | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 8 / 0 7}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Marketed Value (\$ '000) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ontario | X | 37,655 | 43,715 | 41,500 | 24,230 | 45,810 | 19,730 | 64,850 | 73,345 | 71,070 | $-3 \%$ |
| British Columbia | 15,490 | 16,315 | 22,235 | 23,200 | 23,400 | 27,850 | 25,400 | 29,560 | 25,760 | 32,400 | $26 \%$ |
| Other Provinces | X | X | X | 810 | 790 | 820 | 1,145 | 1,565 | 2,060 | 4,705 | $128 \%$ |
| Canada | X | X | X | 65,510 | 48,420 | 74,480 | 46,275 | 95,975 | 101,165 | 108,175 | $7 \%$ |
| Marketed Volume (t) | X | 39,440 | 42,329 | 40,370 | 26,839 | 46,471 | 18,597 | 53,147 | 51,773 | 50,861 | $-2 \%$ |
| Ontario | 7,026 | 9,675 | 10,773 | 14,737 | 14,186 | 17,282 | 14,293 | 16,171 | 14,386 | 14,855 | $3 \%$ |
| British Columbia | X | X | X | 1,061 | 873 | 1,027 | 1,111 | 1,615 | 1,302 | 2,139 | $64 \%$ |
| Other Provinces | X | X | X | 56,168 | 41,898 | 64,780 | 34,001 | 70,933 | 67,461 | 67,855 | $1 \%$ |
| Canada |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Volume (t) | X | 43,277 | 42,329 | 40,370 | 26,839 | 46,471 | 18,597 | 53,147 | 51,773 | 50,861 | $-2 \%$ |
| Ontario | 10,451 | 9,675 | 11,771 | 17,463 | 14,515 | 17,690 | 14,928 | 16,624 | 16,200 | 16,107 | $-1 \%$ |
| British Columbia | X | X | X | 1,456 | 1,200 | 1,106 | 1,202 | 1,842 | 1,869 | 2,234 | $20 \%$ |
| Other Provinces | X | X | X | 59,289 | 42,554 | 65,267 | 34,727 | 71,613 | 69,842 | 69,202 | $-1 \%$ |
| Canada |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bearing Area (ha) | X | 4,452 | 4,694 | 4,613 | 4,532 | 4,937 | 4,116 | 5,245 | 5,220 | 5,281 | $1 \%$ |
| Ontario | 1,781 | 2,157 | 2,361 | 2,363 | 2,347 | 2,630 | 2,671 | 2,509 | 2,681 | 2,380 | $-11 \%$ |
| British Columbia | X | X | X | 274 | 284 | 274 | 275 | 326 | 363 | 433 | $19 \%$ |
| Other Provinces | X | X | X | 7,250 | 7,163 | 7,841 | 7,062 | 8,080 | 8,264 | 8,094 | $-2 \%$ |
| Canada | X |  | 5,301 | 5,587 | 5,605 | 5,625 | 5,666 | 5,666 | 6,273 | 6,273 | 5,666 |
| Bearing and Non-Bearing Area (ha) | X | $-10 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ontario | 2,274 | 2,679 | 2,788 | 2,792 | 2,833 | 2,833 | 2,833 | 2,914 | 2,833 | 2,630 | $-7 \%$ |
| British Columbia | X | X | X | 332 | 334 | 333 | 333 | 588 | 588 | 587 | $0 \%$ |
| Other Provinces | X | X | X | 8,729 | 8,792 | 8,832 | 8,832 | 9,775 | 9,694 | 8,883 | $-8 \%$ |
| Canada |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Statistics Canada (22-003-XIB)
Vinifera grape production for 2008 is estimated at 69,202 t, which is $1 \%$ lower than in 2007 but almost $22 \%$ above the 5 -year average of $56,801 \mathrm{t}$. Grape area which had been expanding in the last ten years, not only in BC and Ontario which are the major wine producing areas, but also in Quebec and the Maritimes, appears to be stabilizing. Increased plantings of vinifera grapes have been driven by the strong demand for high quality Canadian wines sold under the VQA (Vintners' Quality Assurance) banner. Total
planted area for 2008 is estimated at 8,883 hectares (21,950 acres), 8\% lower than in 2007, and 3.3\% below the 5 -year average.

Ontario, which is the country's leading grape producing province, accounted for $73 \%$ of total production in 2008, followed by BC (23\%), Québec (2\%) and Nova Scotia (1\%). In Ontario, above-normal temperatures marked the beginning of the 2008 growing season, while the summer was characterized by abundant rainfall leading to increased disease pressure in some areas. Many areas also experienced hailstorms with varying degrees of damage to crops. With temperatures dropping to below-normal in December, the grape harvest for the 2008/2009 icewine season proved to be abundant with a large quantity harvested in December, January and February.

In $B C$, the exceptionally cool spring delayed vine development and bloom by a few weeks, although plants caught up a bit during July and August. Some growers were also hit by hail with significant damages to their crop. In terms of planted acreage by variety, Pinot Gris replaced Chardonnay as the largest white variety while Merlot maintained its overall lead as the most planted red variety. Pinot Gris, which is now grown in almost all of the major wine producing regions of the world, has become one of the most popular grape varieties in the world and is increasingly popular in Ontario and B.C., where it produces dry wines with good structure and weight. Although Pinot Grris is considered an "early to market wine" that can be bottled and out on the market within 4-12 weeks after fermentation, it may gain even more ground as a late-harvested wine.

Table 3-11 - Canadian Low-Bush Blueberry Value and Volume of Production

| Province | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 08/ 07 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Marketed Value (\$ $\mathbf{~ ' 0 0 0 ) ~}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nova Scotia | X | X | X | 15,075 | 29,000 | 21,200 | 24,140 | X | 27,700 | 24,000 | na |
| New Brunswick | X | x | X | 5,550 | 11,000 | 10,800 | 14,600 | 6,500 | x | 21,100 | na |
| Quebec | 36,560 | 19,890 | 25,300 | 20,600 | 16,510 | 25,200 | 17,930 | 73,275 | 34,000 | 33,400 | -2\% |
| Other Provinces | X | x | x | 2,250 | 3,570 | 4,950 | 5,345 | X | X 6 | 6,690 | na |
| Canada | 81,565 | 58,895 | 45,975 | 43,475 | 60,080 | 62,150 | 62,015 | 129,105 | 98,010 | 85,190 | 3\% |
| Marketed Volume ( t ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nova Scotia | X | X | X | 17,735 | 26,195 | 17,305 | 15,547 | 13,880 | 11,975 | 18,144 | 52\% |
| New Brunswick | X | X | X | 6,940 | 10,977 | 8,664 | 9,117 | 9,163 | x | 15,150 | na |
| Quebec | 21,217 | 11,181 | 24,902 | 18,597 | 13,367 | 19,958 | 14,470 | 29,937 | 14,470 | 23,723 | 64 |
| Other Provinces | X | X | X | 2,461 | 3,570 | 3,966 | 4,046 | 3,941 | X | 4,554 | na |
| Canada | 49,836 | 39,755 | 44,933 | 45,733 | 54,109 | 49,893 | 43,180 | 56,921 | 42,384 | 61,571 | 45\% |
| Total Volume (t) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nova Scotia | X | x | X | 17,735 | 26,195 | 18,597 | 16,000 | 13,880 | 11,975 | 18,144 | 52\% |
| New Brunswick | X | X | X | 6,940 | 10,977 | 8,664 | 9,117 | 9,163 | X | 15,150 |  |
| Quebec | 21,217 | 11,181 | 24,902 | 18,597 | 13,367 | 20,865 | 14,470 | 29,937 | 14,470 | 23,723 | 64\% |
| Other Provinces | X | X | X | 2,461 | 3,570 | 3,967 | 4,046 | 3,944 | X | 4,644 | na |
| Canada | 49,884 | 39,755 | 46,366 | 45,733 | 54,109 | 52,093 | 43,633 | 56,924 | 42,384 | 61,661 |  |
| Bearing Area ( ha ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nova Scotia | 6,880 | 7,082 | 5,908 | 6,232 | 7,932 | 7,689 | 7,487 | 6,961 | 7,891 | 8,094 | 3\% |
| New Brunswick | 3,822 | 3,867 | 4,144 | 4,047 | 4,452 | 4,694 | 5,261 | 4,836 | X | 5,467 | na |
| Quebec | 7,487 | 8,498 | 7,487 | 9,105 | 6,042 | 8,094 | 7,972 | 9,348 | 12,141 | 12,343 | 2\% |
| Other Provinces | 1,353 | 1,524 | 1,679 | 1,656 | 1,719 | 2,068 | 1,959 | 1,865 | X | 2,254 | na |
| Canada | 19,542 | 20,971 | 19,218 | 21,040 | 20,145 | 22,545 | 22,679 | 23,010 | 27,233 | 28,158 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nova Scotia | 13,927 | 14,514 | 15,099 | 15,378 | 15,985 | 14,973 | 14,973 | 15,378 | 15,985 | 15,985 | 0\% |
| New Brunswick | 7,543 | 8,094 | 8,378 | 8,701 | 8,903 | 8,903 | 9,510 | 8,903 | X | 10,967 |  |
| Quebec | 12,748 | 13,152 | 13,373 | 12,909 | 11,999 | 11,938 | 11,736 | 16,187 | 23,067 | 23,674 | 3\% |
| Other Provinces | 3,256 | 3,440 | 3,653 | 3,642 | 4,027 | 3,922 | 3,760 | 4,448 | X | 4,826 | na |
| Canada | 37,474 | 39,200 | 40,5 | 40,630 | 40,914 | 39,736 | 39,9 | 44,916 | 54,454 | 55,4 | 2\% |

X data not available
Statistics Canada (22-003-XIB)
Canada continues to lead as the world's largest producer of low-bush blueberries, with a production estimated at $61,661 \mathrm{t}$ in 2008, $45 \%$ higher than in 2007 and $24 \%$ above the 5 -year average of $49,829 \mathrm{t}$. The 2008 low-bush blueberry crop was the largest crop ever, surpassing the previous record level of $56,924 \mathrm{t}$ established in 2006, due to increases in all major producing areas. The most significant increases in production occurred in Quebec and Nova Scotia, where production rose by $64 \%$ and $52 \%$ respectively.

Ideal growing conditions in Quebec led to a crop of $23,723 \mathrm{t}$ which is the province's third largest crop in the last ten years, while Nova Scotia's crop came in at $18,144 \mathrm{t}$, which also represents this province's the third largest crop in the last ten years. Similar increases in production have also occurred in New Brunswick and PEI, with a large proportion of the NB crop coming from the relatively new production areas in the northeastern part of the province.

Until a couple of years ago, the North American low bush blueberry industry was in a very comfortable situation as it was struggling to keep up with demand. This in turn led the industry to dramatically increase production in recent years bringing onto the market an additional 85 million pounds of blueberries in a short 4-year period between 2004 and 2008, with Canada's low-bush blueberry industry responsible for half of that increase. With the continued introduction of new land into production (particularly in Quebec where total bearing and non-bearing area has almost doubled in the last 5 years to reach 23,674 hectares in 2008), improvements in crop yields, and more widespread adoption of mechanical harvesting methods, the supply of Canadian low-bush blueberries is expected to continue to increase in the near future.

A similar dramatic increase has also occurred in the high-bush blueberry industry with North American production increasing by 120 million pounds to 420 million pounds between 2004 and 2008. Planted acreage in BC alone (responsible for $94 \%$ of Canada's high-bush blueberry production) has more than doubled between 2004 and 2008 to reach 7,284 hectares. As a result, in a very short period of time, the blueberry sector has seen a reversal in the supply-demand situation for blueberries with supply catching up with and even exceeding demand both in the North American market and overseas, causing thereby a significant drop in prices. This situation has been exacerbated by the current world economic downturn and both the Canadian high-bush and low-bush blueberry industries are now facing serious challenges in maintaining existing or finding new markets for their products.

Table 3-12 - Canadian High-Bush Blueberry Value and Volume of Production

| Province | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 08/ 07 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Marketed Value (\$ '000) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| British Columbia | 32,930 | 43,350 | 33,890 | 43,500 | 57,900 | 72,700 | 68,115 | 68,000 | 92,450 | 71,200 | -23\% |
| Other Provinces | 3,380 | 3,840 | 3,460 | 3,790 | 2,760 | 4,545 | 4,830 | 8,655 | 7,475 | 9,145 | 22\% |
| Canada | 36,310 | 47,190 | 37,350 | 47,290 | 60,660 | 77,245 | 72,945 | 76,655 | 99,925 | 80,345 | -20\% |
| Marketed Volume (t) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| British Columbia | 15,415 | 19,777 | 21,682 | 18,098 | 23,795 | 31,230 | 25,016 | 23,587 | 33,466 | 29,438 | -12\% |
| Other Provinces | 1,248 | 1,084 | 1,052 | 1,030 | 704 | 1,191 | 1,218 | 2,018 | 1,710 | 2,137 | 25\% |
| Canada | 16,663 | 20,861 | 22,734 | 19,128 | 24,499 | 32,421 | 26,234 | 25,605 | 35,176 | 31,575 | -10\% |
| Total Volume (t) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| British Columbia | 15,418 | 19,777 | 21,999 | 19,051 | 23,795 | 31,230 | 25,016 | 25,628 | 33,466 | 30,844 | -8\% |
| Other Provinces | 1,279 | 1,084 | 1,053 | 1,032 | 713 | 1,191 | 1,218 | 2,062 | 1,758 | 2,159 | 23\% |
| Canada | 16,697 | 20,861 | 23,052 | 20,083 | 24,508 | 32,421 | 26,234 | 27,690 | 35,224 | 33,003 | -6\% |
| Bearing Area (ha) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| British Columbia | 2,307 | 2,434 | 2,550 | 2,580 | 2,711 | 3,286 | 3,318 | 3,298 | 3,885 | 4,856 | 25\% |
| Other Provinces | 400 | 403 | 396 | 376 | 413 | 429 | 429 | 563 | 573 | 536 | -6\% |
| Canada | 2,707 | 2,837 | 2,946 | 2,956 | 3,124 | 3,715 | 3,747 | 3,861 | 4,458 | 5,392 | 21\% |
| Bearing and Non-Bearing Area (ha) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| British Columbia | 2,448 | 2,711 | 2,957 | 2,954 | 2,995 | 3,440 | 3,480 | 4,452 | 6,475 | 7,284 | 12\% |
| Other Provinces | 516 | 502 | 510 | 464 | 530 | 532 | 518 | 645 | 720 | 624 | -13\% |
| Canada | 2,964 | 3,213 | 3,467 | 3,418 | 3,525 | 3,972 | 3,998 | 5,097 | 7,195 | 7,908 | 10\% |

Statistics Canada (22-003-XIB)
The 2008 Canadian high-bush blueberry crop is estimated at $33,003 t, 6 \%$ lower than the previous year's record crop of $35,224 \mathrm{t}$, but $13 \%$ above the 5 -year average of $29,215 \mathrm{t}$. Although this is the second largest crop on record, the BC crop, which accounts for more than $95 \%$ of the total production, was well below initial expectations due to a prolonged cold snap in February which caused severe frost damage to Bluecrop, one of the main varieties grown in BC, resulting in up to a $50 \%$ reduction in this variety. In 2008, total area devoted to high-bush blueberry production reached 7,908 hectares (18,000 acres), representing a $10 \%$ increase compared to 2007 and $66 \%$ above the 5 -year average of 4,757 hectares. The bearing and non-bearing area for high-bush blueberries has more than doubled in the last five years.

With the significant increase in production of both low-bush and high-bush blueberries, prices paid to
growers have decreased considerably. The farm gate value for the Canadian high-bush blueberry crop which reached an all-time high of almost $\$ 100$ million in 2007, fell to $\$ 80$ million in 2008, which represents a year-over-year drop of $20 \%$.

The expansion of Canada's blueberry (high-bush and low-bush) production in the last few years has made blueberries the most important Canadian fruit crop (ahead of apples) in terms of value for 2 years in a row in 2006 and 2007, reaching respectively over $\$ 205$ million and $\$ 198$ million in farm gate value. Although, the value of the Canadian blueberry crop appears to have dropped to $\$ 165$ million in 2008, due to a significant drop in prices, making blueberries the second most important fruit in terms of value, blueberries are still the number one Canadian fruit export with over $\$ 301$ million worth of low-bush and high-bush blueberry exports in 2008

One of the major challenges lying ahead for both the Canadian high-bush and low-bush blueberry industries is finding new markets in order to sustain the high prices growers have enjoyed in the last few years as both industries are currently facing strong competition due to significant increases in high-bush blueberry acreage not only in North America, but also in countries such as Argentina, Chile and China.

Table 3-13 - Canadian Straw berry Value and Volume of Production

| Province | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 8 / 0 7}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Marketed Value (\$ '000) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quebec | 15,950 | 15,350 | 16,890 | 14,850 | 17,770 | 22,190 | 24,910 | 28,215 | 25,200 | 26,230 | $4 \%$ |
| Ontario | 19,800 | 19,565 | 19,930 | 18,975 | 17,925 | 16,025 | 15,620 | 22,100 | 20,450 | 19,420 | $-5 \%$ |
| Other Provinces | 18,195 | 18,635 | 19,070 | 18,571 | 17,780 | 18,675 | 18,160 | 15,220 | 14,935 | 15,490 | $4 \%$ |
| Canada | 53,945 | 53,550 | 55,890 | 52,396 | 53,475 | 56,890 | 58,690 | 65,535 | 60,585 | 61,140 | $1 \%$ |
| Marketed Volume (t) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quebec | 9,571 | 8,986 | 9,457 | 9,024 | 9,877 | 9,798 | 10,147 | 12,088 | 11,907 | 9,335 | $-22 \%$ |
| Ontario | 10,229 | 8,208 | 8,484 | 7,938 | 7,394 | 6,622 | 6,078 | 7,484 | 7,121 | 6,260 | $-12 \%$ |
| Other Provinces | 8,407 | 8,631 | 8,258 | 8,106 | 7,250 | 7,074 | 6,074 | 5,410 | 4,874 | 4,746 | $-3 \%$ |
| Canada | 28,207 | 25,825 | 26,199 | 25,068 | 24,521 | 23,494 | 22,299 | 24,982 | 23,902 | 20,341 | $-15 \%$ |
| Total Volume (t) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quebec | 10,315 | 9,040 | 10,893 | 9,024 | 9,877 | 9,798 | 10,147 | 12,088 | 11,907 | 9,371 | $-21 \%$ |
| Ontario | 11,417 | 8,208 | 8,484 | 8,029 | 7,394 | 6,622 | 6,078 | 7,484 | 7,121 | 6,260 | $-12 \%$ |
| Other Provinces | 8,470 | 8,677 | 8,258 | 8,659 | 7,250 | 7,609 | 6,232 | 5,534 | 4,874 | 4,747 | $-3 \%$ |
| Canada | 30,202 | 25,925 | 27,635 | 25,712 | 24,521 | 24,029 | 22,457 | 25,106 | 23,902 | 20,378 | $-15 \%$ |
| Bearing Area (ha) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quebec | 1,457 | 1,412 | 1,538 | 1,447 | 1,562 | 1,560 | 1,558 | 1,558 | 1,558 | 1,319 | $-15 \%$ |
| Ontario | 1,659 | 1,659 | 1,659 | 1,659 | 1,457 | 1,255 | 1,194 | 1,453 | 1,406 | 1,234 | $-12 \%$ |
| Other Provinces | 1,623 | 1,593 | 1,619 | 1,578 | 1,459 | 1,343 | 1,271 | 1,088 | 931 | 931 | $0 \%$ |
| Canada | 4,739 | 4,664 | 4,816 | 4,684 | 4,478 | 4,158 | 4,023 | 4,099 | 3,895 | 3,484 | $-11 \%$ |
| Bearing and Non-Bearing Area (ha) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quebec | 2,064 | 1,983 | 1,918 | 1,902 | 1,942 | 1,862 | 1,862 | 1,942 | 1,862 | 1,740 | $-7 \%$ |
| Ontario | 2,064 | 2,064 | 2,024 | 1,902 | 1,781 | 1,619 | 1,497 | 1,700 | 1,700 | 1,255 | $-26 \%$ |
| Other Provinces | 2,159 | 2,151 | 2,062 | 1,989 | 1,870 | 1,673 | 1,584 | 1,475 | 1,371 | 1,212 | $-12 \%$ |
| Canada | 6,287 | 6,198 | 6,004 | 5,793 | 5,593 | 5,154 | 4,943 | 5,117 | 4,933 | 4,207 | $-15 \%$ |

Statistics Canada (22-003-XIB)
The 2008 strawberry crop is estimated at 20,378 t, 15\% lower than in 2007 and $15 \%$ below the 5 -year average of $24,003 \mathrm{t}$. The value of the crop is estimated at $\$ 61.1$ million, which is $1 \%$ higher than in 2007 and $4 \%$ above the 5 -year average of $\$ 59$ million. Production in Quebec, which produces nearly half of the Canadian strawberry crop, was down $21 \%$ to $9,371 \mathrm{t}$, while production in Ontario, which accounts for $30 \%$ of Canada's total crop, decreased by $12 \%$ to $6,260 \mathrm{t}$, making the 2008 crop the second smallest crop in the last ten years.

Planted acreage continues its downward trend and fell by $15 \%$ to 4,207 hectares, with the most significant drop occurring in Ontario, where the acreage devoted to strawberry production fell by $26 \%$ to 1,255 hectares. The strong competition Canadian strawberry producers face from the US, particularly from California and Florida which can produce high quality strawberries almost year round and the short growing season in Canada have been the major factors contributing to the steady increase in our strawberry imports over the last few years. As a result of the strong competitive pressure from imports, our domestic strawberry production has been declining and remains seasonal. The value of Canada's fresh
strawberry imports was over $\$ 254$ millions in 2008, making strawberries the third most important imported fresh fruit after grapes and bananas.

Table 3-14 - Canadian Raspberry Value and Volume of Production

| Province | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 8 / 0 7}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Marketed Value (\$ '000) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quebec | 5,835 | 5,745 | 5,930 | 6,325 | 4,365 | 5,585 | 4,225 | 4,180 | 4,245 | 4,390 | $3 \%$ |
| Ontario | 3,645 | 3,475 | 3,470 | 3,850 | 2,700 | 3,200 | 2,450 | 3,230 | 3,290 | 3,400 | $3 \%$ |
| British Columbia | 26,295 | 12,760 | 16,965 | 18,400 | 18,700 | 21,575 | 18,115 | 14,230 | 12,800 | 25,650 | $100 \%$ |
| Other Provinces | 1,165 | 1,229 | 1,075 | 1,355 | 1,185 | 1,420 | 1,560 | 1,720 | 1,370 | 1,290 | $-6 \%$ |
| Canada | 36,940 | 23,209 | 27,440 | 29,930 | 26,950 | 31,780 | 26,350 | 23,360 | 21,705 | 34,730 | $60 \%$ |
| Marketed Volume (t) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quebec | 1,764 | 1,551 | 1,486 | 1,576 | 1,036 | 1,347 | 1,034 | 1,021 | 1,032 | 943 | $-9 \%$ |
| Ontario | 855 | 771 | 696 | 728 | 526 | 635 | 510 | 599 | 506 | 544 | $8 \%$ |
| British Columbia | 14,413 | 14,889 | 12,143 | 12,247 | 12,406 | 11,072 | 10,981 | 10,501 | 10,591 | 9,203 | $-13 \%$ |
| Other Provinces | 316 | 313 | 258 | 329 | 268 | 286 | 316 | 321 | 261 | 228 | $-13 \%$ |
| Canada | 17,348 | 17,524 | 14,583 | 14,880 | 14,236 | 13,340 | 12,841 | 12,442 | 12,390 | 10,918 | $-12 \%$ |
| Total Volume (t) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quebec | 1,764 | 1,551 | 1,486 | 1,588 | 1,073 | 1,347 | 1,034 | 1,034 | 1,034 | 948 | $-8 \%$ |
| Ontario | 907 | 794 | 696 | 728 | 526 | 635 | 510 | 599 | 506 | 544 | $8 \%$ |
| British Columbia | 14,413 | 14,889 | 13,517 | 13,472 | 12,701 | 12,020 | 11,340 | 10,501 | 11,340 | 9,525 | $-16 \%$ |
| Other Provinces | 348 | 326 | 261 | 337 | 267 | 286 | 316 | 322 | 261 | 228 | $-13 \%$ |
| Canada | 17,432 | 17,560 | 15,960 | 16,125 | 14,567 | 14,288 | 13,200 | 12,456 | 13,141 | 11,245 | $-14 \%$ |
| Bearing Area (ha) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quebec | 647 | 647 | 647 | 647 | 668 | 647 | 465 | 465 | 465 | 465 | $0 \%$ |
| Ontario | 445 | 445 | 445 | 445 | 445 | 384 | 324 | 312 | 297 | 304 | $2 \%$ |
| British Columbia | 2,064 | 2,056 | 2,064 | 2,023 | 1,983 | 1,922 | 1,922 | 1,813 | 1,752 | 1,441 | $-18 \%$ |
| Other Provinces | 249 | 253 | 251 | 248 | 249 | 220 | 227 | 239 | 201 | 194 | $-3 \%$ |
| Canada | 3,405 | 3,401 | 3,407 | 3,363 | 3,345 | 3,173 | 2,938 | 2,829 | 2,715 | 2,404 | $-11 \%$ |
| Bearing and Non-Bearing Area (ha) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quebec | 728 | 728 | 740 | 728 | 728 | 728 | 607 | 769 | 728 | 688 | $-5 \%$ |
| Ontario | 546 | 526 | 526 | 506 | 526 | 445 | 384 | 376 | 324 | 324 | $0 \%$ |
| British Columbia | 2,226 | 2,226 | 2,226 | 2,226 | 2,226 | 2,185 | 1,983 | 1,813 | 1,983 | 1,441 | $-27 \%$ |
| Other Provinces | 324 | 332 | 343 | 332 | 340 | 310 | 302 | 336 | 304 | 275 | $-10 \%$ |
| Canada | 3,824 | 3,812 | 3,835 | 3,792 | 3,820 | 3,668 | 3,276 | 3,294 | 3,339 | 2,728 | $-18 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Statistics Canada (22-003-XIB)
Although raspberries can be grown in most Canadian provinces, BC accounts for almost the entire annual Canadian production of raspberries ( $84 \%$ in 2008). Total production for 2008 is estimated at 11,245 t, $14 \%$ lower than in 2007 and $17 \%$ below the 5 -year average of $13,530 \mathrm{t}$. This drop in production was mainly due to a smaller crop in BC, which at $9,525 \mathrm{t}$ was the smallest crop in ten years, while all other provinces except Ontario also recorded lower production levels.

Total area devoted to raspberry production has been steadily declining over the last ten years. In 2008, total bearing and non-bearing area stood at 2,728 hectares ( 6,740 acres), $18 \%$ lower than in 2007 and $22 \%$ below the 5 -year average of 3,479 hectares. The farm gate value for the 2008 crop is estimated at $\$ 34.7$ million, which is $60 \%$ higher than in 2007 and $33 \%$ above the 5 -year average of $\$ 26$ million, making the 2008 Canadian raspberry crop the second highest market value in the last ten years.

Approximately $90 \%$ of the raspberries grown in BC are processed and consumed as jam, juice, yogurt flavouring, whole frozen berries, etc., and about $10 \%$ are eaten fresh. Growers in BC compete on a world market with major producers including Washington State, Serbia and Chile. Up until recently, prices received by growers for raspberries were on a declining trend and remained low due to an over supply on the international market and the continued weakness of the US dollar against the Canadian dollar and virtually all other major currencies, causing many growers to pull out older raspberry plantings and switch to a blueberry crop.

Table 3-15 - Canadian Cranberry Value and Volume of Production

| Province | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 08/ 07 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Marketed Value (\$ $\mathbf{~} \mathbf{0 0 0}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quebec * | 5,878 | 7,892 | 12,308 | 15,087 | 26,266 | 22,127 | 18,709 | 37,209 | 36,415 | 66,218 | 82\% |
| Nova Scotia | X | X | X | X | 785 | 540 | 600 | 660 | X | 2,600 | na |
| New Brunswick | X | X | X | X | 3,645 | 2,600 | 2,715 | X | X | 8,100 | na |
| British Columbia | 16,200 | 7,599 | 11,815 | 21,280 | 26,300 | 33,930 | 26,900 | 31,900 | 33,375 | 56,250 | 69\% |
| Canada | 31,759 | 24,944 | 30,915 | 38,625 | 60,290 | 61,900 | 52,895 | 79,770 | 78,150 | 133,760 | 71\% |
| Marketed Volume (MT) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quebec * | 9,480 | 14,349 | 18,100 | 16,399 | 25,751 | 24,586 | 24,945 | 39,168 | 29,132 | 36,185 | 24\% |
| Nova Scotia | X | X | X | X | 327 | 277 | 313 | 422 | X | 953 | na |
| New Brunswick | X | X | X | X | 2,499 | 2,853 | 3,084 | X | X | 3,704 | na |
| British Columbia | 25,242 | 21,183 | 19,495 | 35,335 | 30,119 | 38,964 | 37,694 | 35,925 | 36,287 | 33,339 | -8\% |
| Canada | 40,157 | 37,269 | 36,648 | 51,562 | 52,651 | 66,789 | 67,871 | 77,086 | 70,690 | 74,469 | 5\% |
| Bearing Area (ha) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quebec * | 546 | 724 | 990 | 1,082 | 1,076 | 1,144 | 1,178 | 1,332 | 1,510 | 1,672 | 11\% |
| Nova Scotia | X | X | X | 30 | 32 | 45 | 45 | 57 | X | 85 | na |
| New Brunswick | X | X | X | X | 156 | 162 | 186 | X | X | 198 | na |
| British Columbia | 1,295 | 1,376 | 1,457 | 1,457 | 1,497 | 1,562 | 1,562 | 1,554 | 2,056 | 2,347 | 14\% |
| Canada | 2,208 | 2,331 | 2,523 | 2,547 | 2,829 | 2,867 | 3,116 | 3,310 | 3,944 | 4,373 | 11\% |
| Bearing and Non-Bearing Area (ha) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quebec* | 996 | 1,082 | 1,142 | 1,202 | 1,246 | 1,321 | 1,504 | 1,652 | 1,876 | 2,138 | 14\% |
| Nova Scotia | X | X | X | 49 | 51 | 49 | 49 | 73 | X | 101 | na |
| New Brunswick | X | X | X | 172 | 190 | 192 | 202 | X | X | 223 | na |
| British Columbia | 1,457 | 1,518 | 1,640 | 1,619 | 1,619 | 1,659 | 1,659 | 1,700 | 2,266 | 2,469 | 9\% |
| Canada | 2,608 | 2,770 | 3,007 | 2,934 | 3,197 | 3,278 | 3,490 | 3,796 | 4,573 | 5,024 | 10\% |

"X" indicates unavailable data due to confidentiality requirements or missing information ; na: data not available * Data source: Statistics Canada (22-003-XIB), except for Québec (source: Association des Producteurs de Canneberges du Québec)
Statistics Canada (22-003-XIB)
The 2008 crop is estimated at $74,469 \mathrm{t}, 5 \%$ higher than in 2007 and $11 \%$ above the 5 -year average of $67,017 \mathrm{t}$, making the 2008 crop the second biggest crop in the last ten years after the 2006 record crop of $77,086 \mathrm{t}$. The estimated market value of the crop is $\$ 133.7$ million, which represents an all-time record and attests to the strong market the Canadian cranberry industry continues to enjoy.

The area under cultivation reached 5,024 hectares (12,415 acres) in 2008, up 10\% from 2007 and $37 \%$ above the 5 -year average of 3,667 hectares. In the last ten years, total planted area has increased by $93 \%$ due to strong prices which in the early 90 s encouraged expansion and extensive development of many new farms, particularly in eastern Canada, where availability of reasonably-priced agricultural land is not as much an issue as in BC. In 1999, BC accounted for $56 \%$ of Canada's total cranberry area. However, as a result of steady growth in Québec and the Maritimes, BC represented only 49\% of Canada's total cranberry area in 2008.

Ocean Spray, which produces two-third's of the world's supply of cranberries and is the world's largest cranberry producer, is planning to invest $\$ 90$ million to transform 1,900 acres of Crown land in Rogersville, New Brunswick into a cranberry-producing bog, which is expected to become the largest cranberry farm on the continent. Ocean Spray produces a variety of cranberry-based products such as juices, purees, powders, concentrates and frozen cranberries, and recently introduced sweetened dried cranberries which have enjoyed very strong demand from the marketplace prompting the company to expand production capacity at its facilities and to secure additional cranberry supply. Demand for cranberry-based products has been soaring as a combination of strong marketing campaigns and a body of scientific evidence revealing the fruit's health benefits which have contributed to growing consumer awareness and interest in the product.

Table 3-16 - Value and Volume of Canadian Exports of Major Berries

| Commodity | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 08/07 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Value (\$ '000) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blueberries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Fresh | 32,054 | 38,962 | 46,645 | 51,083 | 53,289 | 58,089 | 56,650 | 67,584 | 57,283 | 60,756 | 6\% |
| Total Frozen | 104,137 | 120,239 | 116,936 | 107,134 | 133,680 | 164,507 | 202,507 | 253,969 | 266,425 | 240,552 | -10\% |
| Total Exports | 136,190 | 159,201 | 163,581 | 158,217 | 186,969 | 222,596 | 259,157 | 321,553 | 323,708 | 301,308 | -7\% |
| Raspberries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Fresh | 10,599 | 5,153 | 7,883 | 4,879 | 5,679 | 8,083 | 7,697 | 3,059 | 4,442 | 8,193 | 84\% |
| Total Frozen | 9,379 | 9,558 | 8,827 | 12,298 | 12640 | 8,963 | 10,907 | 8,662 | 7,815 | 18,401 | 135\% |
| Total Exports | 19,978 | 14,711 | 16,710 | 17,177 | 18,319 | 17,046 | 18,604 | 11,721 | 12,257 | 26,594 | 117\% |
| Straw berries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Fresh | 556 | 1,031 | 728 | 924 | 692 | 785 | 675 | 621 | 851 | 524 | -38\% |
| Total Frozen | 1,165 | 564 | 1,120 | 1,514 | 1,279 | 569 | 535 | 415 | 393 | 490 | 25\% |
| Total Exports | 1,720 | 1,594 | 1,848 | 2,438 | 1,971 | 1,354 | 1,210 | 1,035 | 1,244 | 1,014 | -18\% |
| Cranberries* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Fresh | 29,490 | 26,217 | 27,723 | 30,462 | 35,940 | 52,397 | 47,306 | 48,116 | 44,309 | 63,791 | 44\% |
| Total Frozen | na | na | na | na | na | na | na | na | na | na | na |
| Total Exports | 29,490 | 26,217 | 27,723 | 30,462 | 35,940 | 52,397 | 47,306 | 48,116 | 44,309 | 63,791 | 44\% |
| Volume (t) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blueberries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Fresh | 12,842 | 13,729 | 18,393 | 15,691 | 18,143 | 19,776 | 15,173 | 15,915 | 12,770 | 17,051 | 34\% |
| Total Frozen | 33,631 | 37,289 | 41,986 | 43,518 | 54,337 | 60,376 | 61,680 | 59,154 | 55,367 | 59,785 | 8\% |
| Total Exports | 46,474 | 51,018 | 60,379 | 59,209 | 72,480 | 80,152 | 76,853 | 75,069 | 68,137 | 76,836 | 13\% |
| Raspberries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Fresh | 3,930 | 3,501 | 4,065 | 2,132 | 2,692 | 2,893 | 3,097 | 1,641 | 2,319 | 1,845 | -20\% |
| Total Frozen | 4,232 | 4,976 | 4,882 | 5,837 | 6,166 | 3,536 | 4,689 | 4,771 | 4,356 | 5,268 | 21\% |
| Total Exports | 8,162 | 8,477 | 8,947 | 7,968 | 8,858 | 6,429 | 7,786 | 6,412 | 6,675 | 7,113 | 7\% |
| Straw berries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Fresh | 170 | 479 | 273 | 401 | 258 | 214 | 167 | 140 | 185 | 120 | -35\% |
| Total Frozen | 451 | 235 | 447 | 693 | 745 | 315 | 340 | 211 | 185 | 250 | 35\% |
| Total Exports | 621 | 713 | 720 | 1,094 | 1,003 | 529 | 507 | 351 | 370 | 370 | 0\% |
| Cranberries* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Fresh | 29,026 | 26,819 | 26,888 | 40,324 | 43,762 | 44,958 | 40,828 | 47,185 | 45,316 | 40,402 | -11\% |
| Frozen | na | na | na | na | na | na | na | na | na | na | na |
| Total Exports | 29,026 | 26,819 | 26,888 | 40,324 | 43,762 | 44,958 | 40,828 | 47,185 | 45,316 | 40,402 | -11\% |

*: Exports may include small quantities of bilberries and other berries of the Vacciunium species. Source: Statistics Canada

| Commodity | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 08/ 07 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Value (\$ 000 ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blueberries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Fresh | 15,619 | 26,810 | 27,647 | 27,711 | 36,840 | 39,079 | 51,218 | 70,455 | 82,099 | 124,349 | 51\% |
| Total Frozen | 8,478 | 16,937 | 7,576 | 13,894 | 12,365 | 10,123 | 11,996 | 11,657 | 14,484 | 14,751 | 2\% |
| Total Imports | 24,098 | 43,747 | 35,223 | 41,605 | 49,205 | 49,202 | 63,214 | 82,112 | 96,583 | 139,100 | 44\% |
| Raspberries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Fresh | 12,927 | 11,030 | 13,838 | 20,089 | 24,982 | 36,797 | 50,478 | 73,543 | 104,027 | 144,742 | 39\% |
| Total Frozen | 9,953 | 6,438 | 8,336 | 7,698 | 11,216 | 15,763 | 16,306 | 15,759 | 18,021 | 22,265 | 24\% |
| Total Imports | 22,880 | 17,467 | 22,174 | 27,787 | 36,198 | 52,560 | 66,784 | 89,302 | 122,048 | 167,007 | 37\% |
| Straw berries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Fresh | 103,893 | 115,224 | 121,932 | 152,086 | 172,789 | 184,389 | 202,210 | 225,259 | 234,805 | 254,718 | 8\% |
| Total Frozen | 26,380 | 22,861 | 23,507 | 31,405 | 32,033 | 27,789 | 29,128 | 28,699 | 34,243 | 36,517 | 7\% |
| Total Imports | 130,272 | 138,085 | 145,439 | 183,490 | 204,822 | 212,178 | 231,338 | 253,958 | 269,048 | 291,235 | 8\% |
| Cranberries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Fresh | 6,602 | 5,518 | 7,925 | 10,551 | 5,263 | 4,534 | 4,289 | 4,140 | 5,603 | 11,564 | 106\% |
| Total Frozen | 1,370 | 1,496 | 5,397 | 1,070 | 3,745 | 1,866 | 2,750 | 4,190 | 3,752 | 10,674 | na |
| Total Imports | 7,972 | 7,013 | 13,323 | 11,620 | 9,009 | 6,400 | 7,040 | 8,329 | 9,355 | 22,238 | 138\% |
| Volume (t) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blueberries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Fresh | 9,580 | 18,600 | 17,615 | 15,255 | 20,109 | 16,221 | 21,373 | 22,954 | 24,560 | 35,581 | 45\% |
| Total Frozen | 3,893 | 7,458 | 3,461 | 6,839 | 6,442 | 4,931 | 5,203 | 4,503 | 4,172 | 4,438 | 6\% |
| Total Imports | 13,473 | 26,058 | 21,076 | 22,094 | 26,551 | 21,152 | 26,576 | 27,457 | 28,732 | 40,019 | 39\% |
| Raspberries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Fresh | 4,547 | 3,570 | 4,673 | 6,626 | 7,989 | 7,674 | 8,603 | 11,597 | 18,035 | 22,580 | 25\% |
| Total Frozen | 4,278 | 2,596 | 3,810 | 3,303 | 5,149 | 6,891 | 7,412 | 7,300 | 8,886 | 6,287 | -29\% |
| Total Imports | 8,825 | 6,166 | 8,483 | 9,929 | 13,139 | 14,565 | 16,015 | 18,897 | 26,921 | 28,867 | 7\% |
| Straw berries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Fresh | 45,668 | 50,131 | 45,514 | 56,479 | 59,393 | 64,356 | 74,834 | 84,731 | 87,310 | 91,443 | 5\% |
| Total Frozen | 16,269 | 14,433 | 14,870 | 18,481 | 20,703 | 17,051 | 18,948 | 18,682 | 19,708 | 20,980 | 6\% |
| Total Imports | 61,938 | 64,564 | 60,384 | 74,960 | 80,096 | 81,406 | 93,782 | 103,413 | 107,018 | 112,423 | 5\% |
| Cranberries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Fresh | 2,464 | 2,337 | 3,409 | 3,806 | 2,048 | 2,654 | 2,304 | 2,514 | 3,351 | 4,904 | 46\% |
| Total Frozen | 503 | 444 | 1,356 | 417 | 1,795 | 832 | 1,889 | 3,383 | 2,015 | 4,353 | 116\% |
| Total Imports | 2,968 | 2,782 | 4,764 | 4,222 | 3,843 | 3,485 | 4,193 | 5,897 | 5,366 | 9,257 | 73\% |

*: Volumes and values exclude frozen pulp where possible.
In any given year almost 95\% of Canadian low-bush blueberry production is marketed as processed (frozen), while in contrast approximately $50 \%$ of the high-bush blueberry production is sold on the fresh market and the remainder marketed as frozen for use as ingredients by the food processing industry. Due to a worldwide increase in area planted for production of high-bush blueberry, the North American cultivated blueberry industry has become increasingly active in marketing frozen product in recent years. In 2008, Canadian exports of frozen blueberries reached 59,785 t ( $8 \%$ higher than in 2007), while exports of fresh blueberries reached $17,051 \mathrm{t}$ ( $34 \%$ higher than in 2007). With imports of both fresh and frozen blueberries respectively 45\% and 6\% higher in 2008 compared to 2007, our overall surplus in blueberry trade in 2008 was 36,817 t, the lowest level since 2001.

In 2008, Canadian exports of fresh cranberries were $40,402 \mathrm{t}$ ( $11 \%$ lower than in 2007), while imports of fresh cranberries were 4,904 t, representing a $46 \%$ increase year over year. As a result, the overall surplus in fresh cranberry trade was 35,498 t (the lowest level since 2002), which is $15 \%$ lower than in 2007 and $15 \%$ below the 5-year average of $41,836 \mathrm{t}$.

Table 3-18-Consumption of Fresh Fruits in Canada (kilograms per person)

| Product | 1981 | 1986 | 1991 | 1996 | 2001 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fresh Fruits * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apples | 8.26 | 6.93 | 7.66 | 7.74 | 7.39 | 6.48 | 7.09 | 7.34 | 6.9 | 6.85 |
| Apricots | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.1 | 0.07 | 0.11 | 0.11 |
| Avocados | 0.15 | 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.18 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.28 | 0.34 | 0.35 | 0.38 |
| Bananas | 4.8 | 5.27 | 5.8 | 6.31 | 5.98 | 6.34 | 6.38 | 6.43 | 6.55 | 6.55 |
| Berries other | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.15 | 0.2 | 0.18 | 0.26 | 0.36 | 0.47 |
| Blueberries | 0.2 | 0.15 | 0.25 | 0.16 | 0.26 | 0.37 | 0.53 | 0.51 | 0.64 | 0.73 |
| Cherries | 0.25 | 0.19 | 0.2 | 0.18 | 0.3 | 0.31 | 0.35 | 0.44 | 0.52 | 0.51 |
| Other citrus | na | na | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.02 |
| Coconut | 0.19 | 0.2 | 0.26 | 0.2 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.25 | 0.24 |
| Cranberries | 0.28 | 0.3 | 0.43 | 0.33 | 0.26 | 0.49 | 0.48 | 0.63 | 0.56 | 0.75 |
| Dates | 0.94 | 0.72 | 0.79 | 0.57 | 0.4 | 0.69 | 0.81 | 0.95 | 0.99 | 0.81 |
| Figs | 0.26 | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.23 | 0.26 | 0.29 | 0.33 | 0.34 | 0.26 | 0.25 |
| Grapefruits | 1.11 | 1.02 | 0.97 | 0.83 | 0.59 | 0.54 | 0.48 | 0.5 | 0.57 | 0.53 |
| Grapes | 3.28 | 3.76 | 3.71 | 2.84 | 2.87 | 3.26 | 3.53 | 3.31 | 3.47 | 3.57 |
| Guavas, mangoes | na | na | 0.22 | 0.33 | 0.46 | 0.59 | 0.52 | 0.58 | 0.64 | 0.58 |
| Kiwis | na | na | 0.23 | 0.35 | 0.28 | 0.29 | 0.32 | 0.33 | 0.36 | 0.36 |
| Lemons | 0.31 | 0.36 | 0.33 | 0.35 | 0.43 | 0.44 | 0.45 | 0.46 | 0.44 | 0.43 |
| Limes | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.11 | 0.13 | 0.21 | 0.24 | 0.25 | 0.27 | 0.3 | 0.31 |
| Mandarins | na | na | 1.06 | 1.28 | 1.27 | 1.4 | 1.57 | 1.62 | 1.75 | 1.74 |
| Muskmelons, cantaloups | 0.43 | 0.65 | 0.51 | 0.82 | 0.93 | 1.02 | 1.12 | 1.09 | 1.13 | 1.05 |
| Other melons | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.12 | 0.1 | 0.12 | 0.15 | 0.19 | 0.16 | 0.19 |
| Melons total | 1.57 | 2.06 | 1.44 | 2.78 | 3.09 | 3.98 | 3.83 | 4 | 4.02 | 3.79 |
| Nectarines | 0.37 | 0.42 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.49 | 0.5 | 0.45 | 0.38 | 0.41 | 0.46 |
| Oranges | 6.06 | 5.48 | 3.8 | 4.75 | 4.47 | 4.81 | 5.1 | 4.9 | 4.43 | 4.88 |
| Papayas | na | na | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.14 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.19 | 0.17 |
| Peaches | 0.81 | 0.73 | 0.75 | 0.67 | 0.59 | 0.59 | 0.54 | 0.57 | 0.67 | 0.62 |
| Pears | 1.24 | 1.25 | 1.34 | 1.4 | 1.59 | 1.44 | 1.44 | 1.57 | 1.61 | 1.43 |
| Pineapples | 0.16 | 0.18 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.55 | 0.77 | 0.9 | 1.11 | 1.08 | 1.08 |
| Plums | 0.79 | 0.71 | 0.7 | 0.64 | 0.64 | 0.55 | 0.6 | 0.53 | 0.54 | 0.55 |
| Strawberries | 0.96 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.34 | 1.33 | 1.63 | 1.8 | 1.97 | 2.02 | 2.02 |
| Unspecified fresh fruits | 0.22 | 0.54 | 0.23 | 0.28 | 0.36 | 0.34 | 0.27 | 0.29 | 0.36 | 0.32 |
| Watermelons | 0.88 | 1.03 | 0.56 | 1.39 | 1.31 | 2.25 | 1.93 | 2.07 | 2.1 | 2.01 |
| Wintermelons | 0.21 | 0.32 | 0.33 | 0.45 | 0.75 | 0.58 | 0.63 | 0.64 | 0.63 | 0.54 |
| Total fresh fruits | 32.33 | 31.92 | 31.73 | 33.49 | 33.6 | 35.94 | 37.49 | 38.54 | 38.63 | 38.75 |

* Experimental, use with caution. The data have been adjusted for retail, household, cooking and plate loss. Source: Statistics Canada (Publication 21-020-XIE)

Total Canadian fresh fruit consumption is estimated at 38.75 kg per person for 2008, almost unchanged from the previous year, but $2.3 \%$ above the 5 -year average of 37.87 kg per person. Even though consumption of apples decreased to 6.85 kg per person in 2008 (from 6.9 kg in 2007), apples remain the most consumed fruit, followed by bananas ( 6.55 kg ) oranges ( 4.88 kg ) and grapes ( 3.57 kg ).

Fruits showing the highest consumption growth in the five year period from 2004 to 2008 were respectively other berries ( $+135 \%$ ), blueberries ( $+97 \%$ ), cherries ( $+65 \%$ ), other melons ( $+58 \%$ ), cranberries ( $+53 \%$ ), pineapples ( $+40 \%$ ), limes ( $+29 \%$ ), kiwis ( $+24 \%$ ) and strawberries ( $24 \%$ ). In contrast, the following fruits had the highest declines in terms of consumption during the same period: figs $(-14 \%)$, watermelons ( $-11 \%$ ) and nectarines ( $-8 \%$ ).

In 2008, consumption of oranges rebounded back to the level of 2006 to reach 4.88 kg per capita. The lower level of consumption observed in 2007 was due to frost damages in the United States in 2007, which had led to a lower availability of oranges and a marked decrease in the amount in the diet for the same year.

Although the importance of fresh fruits in the Canadian diet has remained at almost the same level as in 2007, Canadians had more processed fruits in their diet, reaching 8.8 kg per person, an increase of $7 \%$ over the previous year. The increase of processed fruits appears to be mostly influenced by the higher intake of dry fruits. For processed blueberries, there was also an increase of $16 \%$ observed in the diet
since 2007.

## Ornamental Sector

Table 4-1 - Floriculture and Nursery Farms and Area

| Product | $\mathbf{1 9 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6 / 2 0 0 1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of Farms |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Floriculture | X | 3,180 | 6,283 | 4,340 | 4,024 | 3,578 | $-11 \%$ |
| Nursery | 2,428 | 2,284 | 3,846 | 4,844 | 4,530 | 3,825 | $-16 \%$ |
| Area (ha) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Floriculture | X | 396 | 456 | 691 | 845 | 927 | $10 \%$ |
| Nursery | 11,369 | 13,575 | 19,689 | 21,251 | 22,776 | 24,953 | $10 \%$ |

Statistics Canada (Census of Agriculture)
The 2006 Census of agriculture shows that the total area in production for both floriculture and nursery has continued to increase, following the long-term trend in both sectors. The area under cultivation increased a total of $10 \%$ over the five years ending in 2006. A corresponding 10 to 15 year trend of decline in the total number of operations producing these crops indicates a trend towards fewer, but larger operations.

Table 4-2 - Floriculture and Nursery Farm Cash Receipts ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$ Value (\$ Million)

| Province | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 8 / 0 7}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Quebec | 139.7 | 138.7 | 150.1 | 182.9 | 202.0 | 211.2 | 224.4 | 204.2 | 228.9 | 259.4 | $13 \%$ |
| Ontario | 606.7 | 724.0 | 755.3 | 828.0 | 841.6 | 871.1 | 873.2 | 832.5 | 832.9 | 909.1 | $9 \%$ |
| British Columbia | 271.6 | 340.3 | 360.0 | 387.3 | 406.7 | 421.2 | 350.6 | 400.5 | 420.5 | 449.6 | $7 \%$ |
| Other Provinces | 177.3 | 214.5 | 231.5 | 246.8 | 240.7 | 227.8 | 325.0 | 320.7 | 209.4 | 221.5 | $6 \%$ |
| Canada | $1,195.4$ | $1,417.4$ | $1,496.8$ | $1,644.9$ | $1,691.0$ | $1,731.3$ | $1,707.0$ | $1,702.3$ | $1,746.9$ | $1,857.1$ | $6 \%$ |

1 Exludes Christmas Trees
Statistics Canada (Table 002-0001, 21-001-XIB)
Farm cash receipts for the ornamental sector (including sod and excluding Christmas trees) resumed a long-term growth trend with a national average annual increase of $6 \%$ to $\$ 1.86$ billion for 2008. The industry is concentrated in Ontario, British Columbia, and Quebec, which together account for about $88 \%$ of total production. In 2008 Quebec grew $21 \%$ over the average of the five previous years, while the provinces other than Ontario, British Columbia, and Quebec, when considered together, experienced a $16 \%$ decline compared to their 5 -year average.

Table 4-3 - Nursery Stock Sales
Value (\$ Million)

| Province | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 8 / 0 7}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Quebec | 45.5 | 48.1 | 48.1 | 57.8 | 68.6 | 66.3 | 67.5 | 70.4 | 78.8 | 70.3 | $-11 \%$ |
| Ontario | 194.4 | 229.2 | 229.9 | 245.4 | 243.9 | 261.1 | 283.1 | 277.0 | 273.5 | 278.0 | $2 \%$ |
| British Columbia | 108.6 | 146.4 | 156.0 | 152.3 | 168.3 | 178.3 | 175.7 | 174.5 | 193.3 | 182.9 | $-5 \%$ |
| Other $\sqcap$ 円िvinces | 37.6 | 51.8 | 55.1 | 61.6 | 62.8 | 63.2 | 65.1 | 75.7 | 76.0 | 78.8 | $4 \%$ |
| Canada | 386.1 | 475.5 | 489.1 | 517.1 | 543.6 | 568.9 | 591.5 | 597.6 | 621.6 | 610.0 | $-2 \%$ |

Statistics Canada (22-202-XIB)
Sales of nursery stock including trees, shrubs, hedges, and a wide variety of other woody plants contracted slightly in 2008 by $2 \%$ nationally to $\$ 610$ million, following a year of record sales of $\$ 621$ million in 2007. Year-over year sales in Quebec and British Columbia contracted while sales in Ontario and the remaining seven provinces (when combined) grew in 2008. 2008 sales in all provinces equaled or
exceeded the average of their previous 5 -years of sales.

Table 4-4 - Ornamental Flower and Plant Sales Value (\$ Million)

| Province | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 8 / 0 7}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Quebec | 109.4 | 115.3 | 127.1 | 147.3 | 161.0 | 173.3 | 165.6 | 164.6 | 174.0 | 185.5 | $7 \%$ |
| Ontario | 516.4 | 636.6 | 661.9 | 745.1 | 750.4 | 734.0 | 777.2 | 769.0 | 774.5 | 614.3 | $-21 \%$ |
| British Columbia | 225.3 | 266.4 | 273.5 | 312.3 | 331.6 | 329.7 | 263.8 | 317.5 | 327.8 | 291.9 | $-11 \%$ |
| Other Provinces | 160.2 | 187.8 | 204.5 | 211.9 | 207.0 | 204.1 | 217.7 | 173.3 | 163.6 | 166.3 | $2 \%$ |
| Canada | $1,011.3$ | $1,206.1$ | $1,267.0$ | $1,416.6$ | $1,450.0$ | $1,441.1$ | $1,424.3$ | $1,458.1$ | $1,478.1$ | $1,275.5$ | $-14 \%$ |

Statistics Canada (22-202-XIB)
Sales of flowers, non-flowering potted plants and bedding plants contracted significantly in 2008 in Ontario and British Columbia leading to a national year-over-year decline in sales of 14\%. 2008 sales were down $7 \%$ in B.C. and down 19\% in Ontario from the average of the previous five years. Flower sales have been buffeted in recent years by rapid changes in currency exchange values, energy prices and increasing competition from offshore low-cost producing countries.

Table 4-5 - Sod Sales
Value (\$ Million)

| Province | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 8 / 0 7}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Quebec | 13.5 | 11.7 | 11.7 | 19.1 | 21.6 | 24.6 | 23.2 | 26.9 | 26.9 | 31.9 | $19 \%$ |
| Ontario | 42.6 | 47.1 | 50.6 | 45.8 | 51.1 | 49.2 | 47.6 | 54.0 | 54.0 | 45.2 | $-16 \%$ |
| British Columbia | 2.8 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 5.2 | 6.2 | 6.6 | 7.0 | 10.0 | 7.7 | 8.3 | $8 \%$ |
| Other Provinces | 11.3 | 13.0 | 11.2 | 11.9 | 24.9 | 25.6 | 26.8 | 39.0 | 35.1 | 41.9 | $19 \%$ |
| Canada | 74.5 | 78.6 | 81.2 | 87.4 | 103.8 | 106.0 | 104.5 | 129.9 | 125.9 | 128.4 | $2 \%$ |

Statistics Canada (22-202-XIB)
Turf sod resumed its long-term growth trend with near record sales of $\$ 128$ million in 2008. Significant annual gains in sales recorded across the country were offset by a $19 \%$ year-over-year decline in Ontario sales. 2008 sales nationally were $13 \%$ higher than the average of the previous five years. Turf sod is used for lawns, sports field golf courses, and parks and consumption generally follows residential real estate growth trends.

Table 4-6 - Floriculture and Nursery Exports and Imports Value (\$ Million)

| Commodity | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 8 / 0 7}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O601: Bulbs, tubers, tuberous roots, etc |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exports | 19.7 | 23.5 | 30.5 | 24.6 | 26.0 | 26.5 | 21.1 | 19.7 | 18.3 | 13.3 | $-27 \%$ |  |
| Imports | 47.0 | 48.8 | 51.4 | 52.5 | 54.1 | 59.0 | 54.5 | 52.6 | 55.6 | 51.9 | $-7 \%$ |  |
| Balance of Trade | -27.3 | -25.2 | -20.9 | -27.9 | -28.1 | -32.5 | -33.4 | -32.9 | -37.3 | -38.6 | $3 \%$ |  |
| 0602: Other live plants, including their roots, <br> cuttings and slips, etc |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exports | 272.6 | 313.5 | 360.4 | 381.6 | 351.9 | 332.2 | 278.8 | 253.3 | 247.1 | 207.3 | $-16 \%$ |  |
| Imports | 140.9 | 148.6 | 162.5 | 165.9 | 163.2 | 164.9 | 168.5 | 165.2 | 171.5 | 173.3 | $1 \%$ |  |
| Balance of Trade | 131.7 | 164.9 | 197.9 | 215.8 | 188.6 | 167.3 | 110.3 | 88.1 | 75.6 | 34.0 | $-55 \%$ |  |
| 0603: Cuts flowers and flower buds for bouquets <br> or ornamental purposes, etc |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exports | 24.0 | 28.0 | 28.6 | 27.3 | 28.8 | 28.5 | 22.1 | 16.6 | 18.2 | 22.2 | $22 \%$ |  |
| Imports | 93.3 | 100.5 | 112.3 | 117.5 | 111.0 | 114.9 | 116.4 | 117.9 | 123.4 | 127.6 | $3 \%$ |  |
| Balance of Trade | -69.2 | -72.5 | -83.7 | -90.2 | -82.3 | -86.4 | -94.2 | -101.3 | -105.2 | -105.4 | $0 \%$ |  |
| 0604: Foliage, branches and other parts of plants, <br> etc* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exports | 40.8 | 44.3 | 50.1 | 44.6 | 36.0 | 29.9 | 29.7 | 33.9 | 26.8 | 26.6 | $-1 \%$ |  |
| Imports | 17.5 | 17.2 | 18.4 | 19.0 | 16.7 | 17.2 | 18.4 | 20.9 | 24.6 | 26.7 | $9 \%$ |  |
| Balance of Trade | 23.3 | 27.2 | 31.7 | 25.6 | 19.3 | 12.6 | 11.3 | 13.0 | 2.2 | -0.1 | $-105 \%$ |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exports | 357.2 | 409.4 | 469.6 | 478.1 | 442.6 | 417.1 | 351.7 | 324.1 | 310.3 | 269.4 | $-13 \%$ |  |
| Imports | 298.7 | 315.1 | 344.5 | 354.8 | 345.0 | 356.1 | 357.8 | 356.6 | 375.1 | 379.5 | $1 \%$ |  |
| Balance of Trade | 58.5 | 94.3 | 125.0 | 123.3 | 97.6 | 61.0 | -6.1 | -32.5 | -64.8 | -110.1 | $-70 \%$ |  |

*Excludes Christmas Trees
Statistics Canada
For the first six of the past ten years Canada had a positive balance of trade in ornamental horticulture. In the last four years the balance has shifted dramatically with a decrease in the total value of exported cut flowers, live plants, branches, foliage and bulbs. The majority of our $\$ 269$ million in exports and $\$ 379$ million in imports are with the United States, but imports also arrive from historic trading partners like the Netherlands. A growing share of imports comes from emerging low-cost cut-flower producers like Ecuador ( $\$ 33$ million) and Columbia ( $\$ 66$ million). Canada's total exports in 2008 were 13\% lower in value than the previous year and the previous five year average. During those five years the Canadian dollar gained almost $40 \%$ in value against the U.S. dollar, having an impact on cost competitiveness. Total floriculture and nursery exports declined $13 \%$ between 2007 and 2008 to a ten-year low, while the total value of imports remained relatively steady, but due to declines in the per-stem prices for most imported cut flowers the quantity of cut flower imports increased.

# Table 4-7 - Floriculture and Nursery Exports by Province ${ }^{1}$ 

Value (\$ Million)

| Province | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 8 / 0 7}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| New r |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ontanswick | 37.0 | 36.5 | 40.8 | 40.0 | 35.5 | 33.1 | 32.6 | 30.7 | 30.0 | 29.4 | $-2 \%$ |
| Ontario | 225.3 | 258.3 | 293.7 | 299.3 | 273.3 | 253.1 | 194.5 | 172.6 | 174.3 | 150.2 | $-14 \%$ |
| British Columbia | 69.3 | 87.0 | 102.3 | 101.3 | 98.9 | 96.5 | 92.4 | 92.1 | 79.8 | 61.2 | $-23 \%$ |
| Other revinces | 25.7 | 27.5 | 32.8 | 37.5 | 34.9 | 34.5 | 32.2 | 28.6 | 26.2 | 28.6 | $9 \%$ |
| Canada | 357.2 | 409.4 | 469.6 | 478.1 | 442.6 | 417.1 | 351.7 | 324.0 | 310.3 | 269.4 | $-13 \%$ |

1 Excludes Christmas Trees
Statistics Canada
Exports from all provinces are down from their peak years of 2001 or 2002 with a noteworthy $50 \%$ decline in Ontario and a $40 \%$ decline in British Columbia (both from 2002 to 2008) which contributed to a $43 \%$ average decline from the national peak export value of $\$ 478$ million in 2002 . The main driver of this loss of exports is the change in relative pricing of Canadian goods due to the appreciation of the Canadian Dollar.

Table 4-8 - Christmas Tree Production

| Province | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6 / 2 0 0 1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of Farms |  |  |  |  |
| Quebec | 562 | 395 | 353 | $-11 \%$ |
| Nova Scotia | 808 | 535 | 437 | $-18 \%$ |
| Ontario | 1,345 | 918 | 725 | $-21 \%$ |
| British Columbia | 390 | 526 | 481 | $-9 \%$ |
| New Brunswick | 592 | 252 | 190 | $-25 \%$ |
| Other Provinces | 380 | 307 | 275 | $-10 \%$ |
| Canada | 4,077 | 2,933 | 2,461 | $-16 \%$ |
| Area (ha) |  |  |  |  |
| Quebec | 12,342 | 8,695 | 7,892 | $-9 \%$ |
| Nova Scotia | 11,582 | 9,490 | 9,134 | $-4 \%$ |
| Ontario | 11,286 | 8,808 | 6,392 | $-27 \%$ |
| British Columbia | 9,453 | 6,018 | 3,565 | $-41 \%$ |
| New Brunswick | 4,284 | 2,928 | 2,214 | $-24 \%$ |
| Other Provinces | 2,124 | 2,000 | 1,433 | $-28 \%$ |
| Canada | 51,071 | 37,613 | 30,630 | $-19 \%$ |

Table 4-9 - Christmas Tree Exports by Province

| Province | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 8 / 0 7}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Value (\$ Million) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nova Scotia | 9.0 | 9.6 | 11.0 | 11.1 | 9.9 | 10.8 | 10.9 | 10.6 | 8.5 | 8.6 | $1 \%$ |
| New Brunswick | 4.6 | 5.7 | 6.3 | 6.4 | 5.7 | 5.3 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 5.9 | $23 \%$ |
| Quebec | 20.6 | 22.6 | 25.7 | 25.5 | 21.9 | 19.4 | 18.1 | 18.7 | 15.7 | 18.9 | $20 \%$ |
| Other Provinces | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | $0 \%$ |
| Canada | 35.0 | 38.6 | 43.8 | 43.9 | 38.0 | 36.2 | 34.8 | 34.9 | 29.8 | 34.2 | $15 \%$ |
| Quantity ('000 Trees) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nova Scotia | 727.5 | 720.6 | 742.1 | 898.6 | 819.6 | 873.6 | 864.0 | 789.0 | 784.2 | 540.0 | $-31 \%$ |
| New Brunswick | 298.4 | 354.6 | 363.3 | 383.3 | 393.4 | 384.3 | 405.5 | 284.0 | 362.2 | 307.1 | $-15 \%$ |
| Quebec | $1,376.6$ | $1,417.9$ | $1,496.8$ | $1,432.4$ | $1,347.6$ | $1,153.8$ | $1,038.0$ | $1,098.8$ | 943.7 | 860.4 | $-9 \%$ |
| Other Provinces | 89.1 | 43.1 | 57.0 | 55.7 | 65.0 | 62.4 | 80.2 | 82.4 | 86.6 | 70.9 | $-18 \%$ |
| Canada | $2,491.6$ | $2,536.3$ | $2,659.2$ | $2,770.0$ | $2,625.6$ | $2,474.0$ | $2,387.6$ | $2,254.2$ | $2,176.7$ | $1,778.4$ | $-18 \%$ |

Statistics Canada
The number of farms undertaking Christmas tree production and the amount of land dedicated to Christmas tree production in Canada have both declined by $40 \%$ over the past decade. In 2008 Canada exported 1.8 million Christmas trees worth $\$ 34$ million, which is $15 \%$ lower than the average of the five previous years' exports, by quantity, but only a $2 \%$ decline in total farm gate revenue indicating much stronger per-tree revenue. The appreciation of the Canadian dollar and competition from artificial Christmas trees put pressure on total demand for real trees in the U.S, their major export market.

## Maple and Honey Sectors

Table 5-1 - Canadian Maple Production by Province

| Province | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 8 / 0 7}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volume (t) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quebec $^{1}$ | 31,185 | 41,310 | 28,267 | 32,813 | 39,927 | 41,283 | 34,483 | 32,664 | 27,989 | 27,056 | $-3 \%$ |
| Ontario | 1,395 | 2,230 | 1,334 | 1,376 | 1,310 | 1,310 | 1,310 | 1,304 | 1,346 | 1,597 | $19 \%$ |
| New Brunswick | 607 | 451 | 475 | 884 | 956 | 1,052 | 1,238 | 1,526 | 1,358 | 1,030 | $-24 \%$ |
| Nova Scotia | 192 |  |  |  | 180 | 132 | 126 | 156 | 272 | 128 | $-53 \%$ |
| Canada | 33,379 | 43,991 | 30,076 | 35,073 | 42,373 | 43,777 | 37,157 | 35,651 | 30,855 | 29,805 | $-3 \%$ |
| Value (\$ ' 000) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quebec | 140,566 | 156,117 | 136,037 | 156,731 | 188,096 | 204,115 | 173,477 | 164,000 | 144,400 | 186,700 | $29 \%$ |
| Ontario | 10,719 | 17,696 | 10,825 | 11,063 | 10,750 | 10,928 | 10,988 | 11,147 | 12,088 | 15,469 | $28 \%$ |
| New Brunswick | 4,109 | 3,231 | 3,396 | 5,849 | 6,845 | 8,044 | 8,934 | 10,878 | 10,702 | 8,817 | $-18 \%$ |
| Nova Scotia | 1,333 |  |  |  | 1,395 | 1,068 | 1,054 | 1,045 | 1,067 | 913 | $-14 \%$ |
| Canada | 156,727 | 177,044 | 150,258 | 173,643 | 207,086 | 224,155 | 194,453 | 187,070 | 168,257 | 211,899 | $26 \%$ |
| Value (\$/ kg) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quebec | 4.51 | 3.78 | 4.81 | 4.78 | 4.71 | 4.94 | 5.03 | 5.02 | 5.16 | 6.9 | $34 \%$ |
| Ontario | 7.68 | 7.94 | 8.11 | 8.04 | 8.21 | 8.34 | 8.39 | 8.55 | 8.98 | 9.7 | $8 \%$ |
| New Brunswick | 6.77 | 7.16 | 7.15 | 6.62 | 7.16 | 7.65 | 7.22 | 7.13 | 7.88 | 8.6 | $9 \%$ |
| Nova Scotia | 6.94 |  |  |  | 7.75 | 8.09 | 8.37 | 6.7 | 6.59 | 7.1 | $8 \%$ |
| Canada | 4.7 | 4.02 | 5 | 4.95 | 4.89 | 5.12 | 5.23 | 5.25 | 5.45 | 7.1 | $30 \%$ |

1 Quebec data between 1999 and 2005 come from la Table filière acéricole du Québec while 2006, 2007 and 2008 data come from Statistics Canada
\% Change from 2007 to 2008
Canada and the United States are the only two maple syrup producing countries in the world. Over the last five years, Canada has accounted for $85 \%$ of the world's maple syrup production, while the United States has accounted for $15 \%$. Canada exports over $80 \%$ of its production.

The Canadian maple syrup producing provinces are Quebec, with $91 \%$ of domestic production in 2008, followed by Ontario (5.4\%), New Brunswick (3.6\%), and Nova Scotia (0.4\%). There is also maple
production on Prince Edward Island, though in small volumes.
According to the 2006 Census of Agriculture from Statistics Canada, about 9,731 farms (4.2\% of all farms in Canada) produced maple syrup commercially, down $6 \%$ from 2001. Between 2001 and 2006, the average per-farm tap number increased by $20 \%$ from 3,268 to 3,913.

The volume of maple production is dependent on the weather each spring. Two consecutive years (2007, 2008) of unfavourable spring weather in most of the producing regions generally reduced production of maple products. While year-over-year production decreased in 2008 by only $3 \%$ or 1050 metric tons this was still $21 \%$ lower than the average of the previous five years. Lower production has drawn-down inventories of stored maple syrup and resulted in significantly higher prices, $\$ 7.10$ per kg in 2008 nationally which represents a $30 \%$ increase compared to the previous year and a $37 \%$ increase over the average of the previous five years. With increased prices and sustained demand it is likely that a significant number of additional taps will be brought into production in subsequent years in both Canada and the US.

Table 5-2 - Canadian Honey Production by Province Volume (t)

| Province | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 8 / 0 7}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Prince Edward Island | 50 | 36 | 59 | 52 | 52 | 41 | 24 | 25 | 107 | 126 | $18 \%$ |
| Nova Scotia | 524 | 363 | 285 | 342 | 374 | 357 | 331 | 289 | 272 | 272 | $0 \%$ |
| New Brunswick | 122 | 120 | 96 | 145 | 120 | 88 | 101 | 135 | 56 | 49 | $-13 \%$ |
| Quebec | 1,847 | 1,159 | 1,219 | 1,683 | 651 | 923 | 1,747 | 1,565 | 1,025 | 1,181 | $15 \%$ |
| Ontario | 3,740 | 3,249 | 3,219 | 4,824 | 3,903 | 3,456 | 4,055 | 3,760 | 2,708 | 2,429 | $-10 \%$ |
| Manitoba | 7,511 | 6,033 | 7,094 | 6,511 | 6,604 | 5,362 | 5,717 | 8,485 | 5,626 | 5,445 | $-3 \%$ |
| Saskatchewan | 10,886 | 8,165 | 9,752 | 8,618 | 8,845 | 6,804 | 8,167 | 11,343 | 7,543 | 7,931 | $5 \%$ |
| Alberta | 11,251 | 10,926 | 12,150 | 13,488 | 12,630 | 15,187 | 14,463 | 21,205 | 13,119 | 9,800 | $-25 \%$ |
| British Columbia | 1,166 | 1,806 | 1,513 | 1,408 | 1,422 | 2,025 | 1,514 | 1,559 | 1,033 | 879 | $-15 \%$ |
| Canada | 37,099 | 31,857 | 35,388 | 37,072 | 34,603 | 34,242 | 36,119 | 48,366 | 27,850 | 28,112 | $1 \%$ |
| Colony Yield and Price Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Colonies | 588,824 | 599,863 | 602,328 | 588,485 | 563,330 | 597,890 | 615,541 | 628,401 | 589,254 | 585,441 | $-1 \%$ |
| Yield - Ibs per colony | 139 | 117 | 130 | 139 | 135 | 126 | 129 | 170 | 69 | 62 | $-11 \%$ |
| Price per Ib. | $\$ 0,86$ | $\$ 0.99$ | $\$ 1.19$ | $\$ 1.97$ | $\$ 2.04$ | $\$ 1.60$ | na | $\$ 1.04$ | na | na | na |

na - data not available
While honey is produced in all provinces in Canada, Alberta, Manitoba and Saskatchewan together produced over $82 \%$ percent of the Canadian honey crop in 2008. Honey production in 2008 totalled 28,112 metric tons, a $42 \%$ drop from 2006's record annual production of 48,366 metric tons. While bee populations in each hive are reduced over every winter, higher than normal winter losses in recent years have affected production the following year. The yields per colony declined to 106 pounds of honey per hive, the lowest output in 15 years, partly due to colony splitting to start fresh hives. The number of colonies fell, by $10 \%$ between 2008 and 2007, and by $11.6 \%$ between 2006 and 2007. Canadian beekeepers replenish their populations through hive-splitting and through purchases of queen bees and 'nucleus' colonies of bees from a limited list of countries. Bees imported from these countries are deemed to pose low disease and pest risks to existing Canadian bee populations. Demand is growing for replacement bees and prices for queens and hives continue to rise, increasing the financial challenges to beekeepers.

Canada continues to import honey, although the volume of imports has been declining over the past five years. Imported honey, primarily from Australia, Brazil and the US at 8.1 million pounds in 2008, is less than half the quantity imported in 2004. Canada continues to be an important net exporter of honey, exporting over $40 \%$ of its production over the past five years. The value of honey exports in 2008 totalled $\$ 69$ million, an $81 \%$ increase over 2007. Honey is a globally exchanged commodity and prices respond to worldwide changes in quantities produced. At this time there are no large stored or anticipated surpluses on the international horizon and honey prices worldwide have been trending slowly upward. The opportunities for Canadian honey producers are to continue to grow the premium prices they can receive for their high-quality honey on world and domestic markets.
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This report was prepared by Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada's Horticulture Division. For further information, please contact:

## Farid Makki

Senior Market Development Advisor Horticulture and Special Crops Division
Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada
Mailing Address:
Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada
420-4321 Still Creek Drive
Burnaby, BC
V5C 6S7
Telephone: (604) 666-3713
Facsimile: (613) 666-7235
e-mail: farid.makki@agr.gc.ca
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[^0]:    1- Number of farms are based on North American Industrial Classification System (NAICS)
    2 Excluding potatoes, mushrooms and greenhouse vegetables
    3 Area (ha) are based on clasification by crop
    Statistics Canada (Census of Agriculture)

[^1]:    1 Excludes greenhouse vegetables and potatoes

