# **COSEWIC Status Appraisal Summary**

on the

## **Small Whorled Pogonia**

Isotria medeoloides

in Canada

ENDANGERED 2011

COSEWIC
Committee on the Status

ommittee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada



COSEPAC

Comité sur la situation des espèces en péril au Canada

COSEWIC status appraisal summaries are working documents used in assigning the status of wildlife species suspected of being at risk in Canada. This document may be cited as follows:

COSEWIC. 2011. COSEWIC status appraisal summary on the Small Whorled Pogonia *Isotria medeoloides* in Canada. Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada. Ottawa. xi pp. (www.sararegistry.gc.ca/status/status\_e.cfm).

#### Production note:

COSEWIC acknowledges Samuel R. Brinker for writing the status appraisal summary on the Small Whorled Pogonia, *Isotria medeoloides* in Canada, prepared under contract with Environment Canada. This status appraisal summary was overseen and edited by Erich Haber and Bruce Bennett, Co-chairs of the COSEWIC Vascular Plants Specialist Subcommittee.

For additional copies contact:

COSEWIC Secretariat c/o Canadian Wildlife Service Environment Canada Ottawa, ON K1A 0H3

Tel.: 819-953-3215 Fax: 819-994-3684

E-mail: COSEWIC/COSEPAC@ec.gc.ca http://www.cosewic.gc.ca

Également disponible en français sous le titre Sommaire du statut de l'espèce du COSEPAC sur l'isotrie fausse-médéole (*Isotria medeoloides*) au Canada.

©Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada, 2011. Catalogue No. CW69-14/2-10-2011E-PDF ISBN 978-1-100-18711-2





#### Assessment Summary - May 2011

#### Common name

Small Whorled Pogonia

#### Scientific name

Isotria medeoloides

#### **Status**

Endangered

#### Reason for designation

This small orchid, previously known only from a single swamp in Ontario, requires mixed damp woods. It was assessed as Endangered in 2000. Its habitat continues to decline in quality due to trampling and exotic earthworms. It was last seen in 1998, though its potential for dormancy means it may still be extant.

#### Occurrence

Ontario

#### **Status history**

Designated Endangered in April 1982. Status re-examined and confirmed in April 1998, May 2000, and May 2011.



Isotria medeoloides Small Whorled Pogonia Jurisdictions: Ontario

Isotrie fausse-médéole

Current COSEWIC Assessment:	
Status category:	
□XT ⊠E □T □SC	
Date of last assessment: May 2000	
Reason for designation at last assessment: Single small population, rare throughout its appearing irregularly and none seen since 1998.	range, with plants
Criteria applied at last assessment: A1a; B1+2de+3d; C1+2a; D1	
Equivalent current criteria: A2a; B1ab(iv,v)c(iv)+2ab(iv,v)c(iv); C1+2a(i); D1	
Recommendation: Update to the status report NOT required (wildlife species' stat remains unchanged)	us category
Reason:  ☐ sufficient information to conclude there has been no change in status category  ☐ not enough additional information available to warrant a fully updated status report	
Evidence (indicate as applicable):	
Wildlife species: Change in eligibility, taxonomy or designatable units:	yes □ no ⊠
Explanation:	
No additional information since previous assessment.	
Range: Change in Extent of Occurrence (EO): Change in Area of Occupancy (AO): Change in number of known or inferred current locations:	yes ☐ no ☒ yes ☐ no ☒ yes ☐ no ☒
Significant new survey information	yes ⊠ no □
Explanation:	
No additional information since previous assessment. The species has not been ob Canadian location in southern Ontario at Calton Swamp since 1998 (M. Oldham, pe	

Population Information:	
Change in number of mature individuals:	yes 🗌 no 🛛
Change in total population trend:	yes 🗌 no 🛛
Change in severity of population fragmentation:	yes 🗌 no 🛛
Change in trend in area and/or quality of habitat:	yes 🗌 no 🛛
Significant new survey information	yes X no □
Explanation:	
No additional population data since previous assessment. Annual monitoring locations within Calton Swamp continue to be carried out by Catfish Creek C staff (Defazio, pers. comm.), though Small Whorled Pogonia has not been of While dormancy complicates survey efforts, dormancy rates are still a matter observations suggesting the species could remain dormant for 10 to 20 years this has not been substantiated. Mehrhoff (1989) found plants would not emeconsecutive years of dormancy, whereas Brumback and Fyler (1988) found four years. Holsinger et al. (1996) have shown that there is a 90% chance the years or more will not re-emerge and Brumback et al. (2008) did not monitor	conservation Authority observed since 1998. If of contention, with early so (Correll, 1950), though erge after three or more dormancy lasted for up to at plants dormant for five

dormant for ten years or more in an experimental habitat management site in New Hampshire

|--|

Change in nature and/or severity of threats:

assuming they were dead.

yes ⊠ no □

#### Explanation:

White (1998) noted all-terrain vehicle traffic was a threat to habitat at Calton Swamp and had already destroyed station #2. Since then, Catfish Creek Conservation Authority has implemented a number of initiatives to close trails in the area and prohibit the operation of motorized vehicles on the property to eliminate further damage to habitat and individuals (Defazio, pers. comm.). The impacts of exotic earthworms have not been addressed in the previous assessment or recent recovery strategy but warrants consideration as a new threat to the species. This threat was listed as a medium-to-high threat to Large Whorled Pogonia, a species with a similar reliance on thick duff and rich humus layers as well as soil-inhabiting fungi for growth and survivorship. Exotic earthworms have been shown to reduce the duff and humus layers in northern temperate forests by increasing rates of litter decomposition as well as reducing fungal diversity in the soil, shifting decomposition from fungaldominated systems to faster, bacterial-dominated systems (Hendrix and Bohlen 2002: Wardle 2002: Bohlen et al. 2004; Hale et al. 2005). Small Whorled Pogonia, as with all other orchids (McCormick et al. 2004; Shefferson et al. 2005) relies on mycorrhizal associations with soil-inhabiting fungi for successful seedling establishment and overall fitness throughout all life stages. Thus, the survival of Small Whorled Pogonia is likely tied to the edaphic requirements of its mycorrhizal host(s). Impacts to the soil fungal community from exotic earthworms may render Small Whorled Pogonia plants more susceptible to drought or herbivory, as well as reduce its ability to germinate. As well, air and precipitation-borne nutrient loading (increases in available nitrogen) have been shown to negatively impact on fungal diversity (Arnolds 1991), and are therefore further potential threats to the mychorrhizal associations required by Small Whorled Pogonia. Threats of herbivory by deer and slugs continue (McConnell 2007).

Protection: Change in effective protection:	yes ⊠ no □
Explanation:	
Small Whorled Pogonia has been listed as Endangered under the Ontario Endar 2007, Schedule 1 (ESA 2007). A national recovery plan has also been drafted ar continued monitoring, determination of habitat characteristics at Calton Swamp, a techniques and feasibility of augmenting the extant population or restoring the spextirpated from the site (McConnell 2007). It was designated Endangered in April examined and confirmed in April 1998 and in May 2000. It continues to be listed Schedule 1 of the federal Species at Risk Act (SARA).	nd focuses on and exploring becies if it is deemed I 1982. Status re-
Rescue Effect:	
Evidence of rescue effect:	yes □ no ⊠
Explanation:	
No additional information or change in rescue effect since previous assessment. The only Small Whorled Pogonia population in Canada (if extant) remains geographically isolated with little chance of immigration from adjacent areas to the south in New York or Michigan. The Canadian population is not contiguous with any U.S. populations and it is unlikely that propagules from elsewhere would become established in Canada. Small Whorled Pogonia is rare throughout its northeastern North American range and populations tend to be small.	
Quantitative Analysis:  Change in estimated probability of extirpation:	yes □ no ⊠
Details:	
No additional data since previous assessment; no quantitative analyses have be	en conducted.

#### **Summary and Additional Considerations:**

Small Whorled Pogonia has not been observed at its only Canadian location at Calton Swamp since 1998 despite consistent searches. The species may be extirpated. Recovery efforts to date have included monitoring of the site and habitat delineation and classification as well as threat elimination of ATV traffic. In the U.S., the number of known extant populations within the last 10 years has increased considerably mainly in the southern tier of the species' range due to increased survey efforts. Most of these new sites are small with less than 20 stems and are not considered viable however (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2008). Experimental canopy manipulations in some northeastern U.S. populations have resulted in increased number of stems within populations, but have not resulted in population expansion into adjacent habitat (Brumback *et al.* 2008). Experimental seed research in the U.S. examining seed germination and viability has been underway since 2003 in a cooperative effort with the Smithsonian Institution. Although no seeds germinated over the course of five years, fungal hosts were present and the seeds continued to remain viable with healthy embryos raising the possibility of a long-term seedbank for this species (Brumback *et al.* 2008).

#### Note:

Guidelines for use of Extinct or Extirpated (COSEWIC 2011)

A wildlife species may be assessed as extinct or extirpated from Canada if:

- there exists no remaining habitat for the wildlife species and there have been no records of the wildlife species despite recent surveys; or
- 50 years have passed since the last credible record of the wildlife species, despite surveys in the interim; or
- there is sufficient information to document that no individuals of the wildlife species remain alive.

#### **Consultations:**

- Michael Oldham, Botanist/Herpetologist, Natural Heritage Information Centre, Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources, Peterborough, Ontario.
- Ron Gould, Species at Risk Biologist, Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources, Chatham, Ontario.
- Susi von Oettingen, Endangered Species Biologist, US Fish and Wildlife Service, Concord, New Hampshire.
- Tony Difazio, Resource Planning Coordinator, Catfish Creek Conservation Authority, Aylmer, Ontario.

#### Sources of information:

- Arnolds, E., 1991. Decline of ectomycorrhizal fungi in Europe. Agriculture, ecosystems and environment, 35: 209–244.
- Bohlen, P.J., S. Scheu, C.M. Hale, M.A. McLean, S. Migge, P.M. Groffman and D. Parkinson. 2004. Non-native invasive earthworms as agents of change in northern temperate forests. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment 2(8): 427-435.
- Brumback, W.E.; Fyler, C.W. 1988. Monitoring of *Isotria medeoloides* in New Hampshire. Wild Flower Notes. 3: 32-40.
- Brumback, W., C. Fyler, and J. Korecki. 2008. Monitoring and Habitat Management of *Isotria medeoloides* at E. Alton, New Hampshire: 2007. In completion of

- Cooperative Agreement No. 53410-6-0623 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 14 pp., New England Field Office, Concord, New Hampshire. 5 pp.
- Correll, D.S. 1950. Native orchids of North America north of Mexico. Stanford University Press, Stanford, California. 399 pp.
- COSEWIC 2011. COSEWIC's Assessment Process and Criteria. Website. http://www.cosewic.gc.ca/pdf/assessment\_process\_e.pdf [accessed Feb. 2011]
- Hale, C.M., L.E. Frelich, and P.B. Reich. 2005. Exotic European earthworm invasion dynamics in northern hardwood forests of Minnesota, USA. Ecological Applications 15(3): 848-860.
- Hendrix, P.F., and P.T. Bohlen. 2002. Exotic Earthworm Invasions in North America: Ecological and Policy Implications. BioScience 52(9): 801-811.
- Holsinger et al. 1996. "Reproductive patterns and the cost of reproduction in an endangered orchid *Isotria medeoloides*: A ten year retrospective," Ecological Society of America, Providence, Rhode Island, 8-11-96 to 8-14-96 (with P. Vitt, S.C. Gawler, A. Dibble, T. Vining, W.A. Wright, and C.S. Campbell; presented by P. Vitt). Cited in W. Brumback, C. Fyler, and J. Korecki. 2008. Monitoring and Habitat Management of *Isotria medeoloides* at E. Alton, New Hampshire: 2007. In completion of Cooperative Agreement No. 53410-6-0623 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 14 pp.
- McConnell, A. 2007. Recovery Strategy for the Small Whorled Pogonia (*Isotria medeoloides*) in Canada. 13 pp.
- McCormick, M.K., D.F. Whigham, and J. O'Neill. 2004. Mycorrhizal diversity in photosynthetic terrestrial orchids. New Phytologist: 163: 425-438.
- Endangered Species Act, 2007. Website accessed April 9 2010. Available at <a href="http://www.e-laws.gov.on.ca/html/statutes/english/elaws\_statutes\_07e06\_e.htm">http://www.e-laws.gov.on.ca/html/statutes/english/elaws\_statutes\_07e06\_e.htm</a>
- Mehrhoff, L.A. 1989b. The dynamics of declining populations of an endangered orchid, *Isotria medeoloides*. Ecology 70(3): 783-786.
- Shefferson, R.P., M. Weiss, T. Kull and D.L. Taylor. 2005. High specificity generally characterizes mycorrhizal association in rare lady's slipper orchids, genus *Cypripedium*. Molecular Ecology 14, 613–626.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 2008. Small Whorled Pogonia (*Isotria medeoloides*) 5-year Review: Summary and Evaluation. New England Field Office, Concord New Hampshire. 25 pp.
- Wardle, D. 2002. Communities and ecosystems: linking the above-ground and belowground components. Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey.
- White, D.J. 1998. Update COSEWIC status report on the Small Whorled Pogonia *Isotria medeoloides* in Canada. Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada. Ottawa. 1-6 pp.

#### **Author of status appraisal summary:**

Samuel R. Brinker

#### **TECHNICAL SUMMARY**

Isotria medeoloides Small Whorled Pogonia Range of occurrence in Canada:Ontario

Isotrie fausse-médéole

**Demographic Information** 

- Bomograpino intermation	
Generation time (likely > 10 years)	unknown
Is there an observed continuing decline in number of mature individuals? (No Canadian reports since 1998)	no
Estimated percent of continuing decline in total number of mature individuals within 5 years or 2 generations	n/a
Observed percent reduction or increase in total number of mature individuals over the last 10 years, or 3 generations.	100%
Projected percent increase in total number of mature individuals over the next 10 years.	unknown
Inferred percent increase in total number of mature individuals over any 10 years, or 3 generations period, over a time period including both the past and the future.	unknown
Are the causes of the decline clearly reversible and understood and ceased?	unknown
Are there extreme fluctuations in number of mature individuals? (No mature individuals are known)	n/a

**Extent and Occupancy Information** 

Estimated extent of occurrence	0 km²
Index of area of occupancy (IAO)	0 km <sup>2</sup> (2x2 km)
Is the total population severely fragmented?	n/a
(No extant populations known)	
Number of "locations*"	0
Is there an observed continuing decline in extent of occurrence?	No
Is there an observed and projected continuing decline in index of	No
area of occupancy?	
Is there an observed continuing decline in number of	No
populations? (historical decline)	
Is there an observed continuing decline in number of locations?	No
Is there an observed continuing decline in quality of habitat?	Yes
(exotic earthworms continue to degrade the habitat)	
Are there extreme fluctuations in number of populations?	No
Are there extreme fluctuations in number of locations*?	No
Are there extreme fluctuations in extent of occurrence?	No
Are there extreme fluctuations in index of area of occupancy?	No

Number of Mature Individuals (in each population)

transport or marare marriadas (m. eden peparation)	
Population	Number of Mature Individuals
Calton Swamp	0
Total	0

ix

<sup>\*</sup> See definition of location.

**Quantitative Analysis** 

Probability of extinction in the wild	N/A
Not done, no individuals known	

#### Threats (actual or imminent, to populations or habitats)

Habitat damage through the removal of duff, humus and litter layer by exotic earthworms continues. Herbivory by earthworms, slugs and possibly deer continue to be a threat.

#### Rescue Effect (immigration from outside Canada)

Status of outside population(s)? Globally Imperilled (G2); Listed Threatened in the US and Imperilled (N2); Connecticut (S1), Delaware (S1.1), District of Columbia (SX), Georgia (S2), Illinois (S1), Maine (S2), Maryland (SH), Massachusetts (S1), Michigan (S1), Missouri (SH), New Hampshire (S2), New Jersey (S1), New York (SH), North Carolina (S2), Ohio (S1), Pennsylvania (S1), Rhode Island (S1), South Carolina (S2), Tennessee (S1), Vermont (SX), Virginia (S2), West Virginia (S1)

Is immigration known or possible?	unlikely
Would immigrants be adapted to survive in Canada?	unknown
Is there sufficient habitat for immigrants in Canada?	no
Is rescue from outside populations likely?	no
The only Small Whorled Pogonia population in Canada (if extant) remains	
geographically isolated with little chance of immigration from adjacent areas	
to the south in New York or Michigan. The Canadian population is not	
contiguous with any U.S. populations and it is unlikely that propagules from	
elsewhere would become established in Canada. Small Whorled Pogonia is	!
rare throughout its northeastern North American range and populations tend	ļ ļ
to be small.	

#### **Current Status**

COSEWIC: ENDANGERED (May 2011)

Status and Reasons for Designation

Status:	Alpha-numeric code:
Endangered	A2a; B1ab(iii)+2ab(iii); D1
D ( 1 ' '	

#### Reasons for designation:

This small orchid, previously known only from a single swamp in Ontario, requires mixed damp woods. It was assessed as Endangered in 2000. Its habitat continues to decline in quality due to trampling and exotic earthworms. It was last seen in 1998, though its potential for dormancy means it may still be extant.

#### **Applicability of Criteria**

**Criterion A** (Decline in Total Number of Mature Individuals):

Meets Endangered A2a: decline of 100% since 1998 due to habitat damage through ATV use, trampling and possibly exotic earthworms.

Criterion B (Small Distribution Range and Decline or Fluctuation):

Meets Endangered B1ab(iii)+2ab(iii): EO <5000, IAO <500, known to exist <5 locations and continued decline suspected in the quality of habitat due to trampling and possibly exotic earthworms.

Criterion C (Small and Declining Number of Mature Individuals):

Not applicable because with no known living individuals since 1998 there is no longer a continuing decline.

Criterion D (Very Small or Restricted Total Population):

Meets Endangered D1: population estimated to have <250 mature individuals

**Criterion E** (Quantitative Analysis):

None conducted.



#### **COSEWIC HISTORY**

The Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada (COSEWIC) was created in 1977 as a result of a recommendation at the Federal-Provincial Wildlife Conference held in 1976. It arose from the need for a single, official, scientifically sound, national listing of wildlife species at risk. In 1978, COSEWIC designated its first species and produced its first list of Canadian species at risk. Species designated at meetings of the full committee are added to the list. On June 5, 2003, the *Species at Risk Act* (SARA) was proclaimed. SARA establishes COSEWIC as an advisory body ensuring that species will continue to be assessed under a rigorous and independent scientific process.

#### **COSEWIC MANDATE**

The Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada (COSEWIC) assesses the national status of wild species, subspecies, varieties, or other designatable units that are considered to be at risk in Canada. Designations are made on native species for the following taxonomic groups: mammals, birds, reptiles, amphibians, fishes, arthropods, molluscs, vascular plants, mosses, and lichens.

#### **COSEWIC MEMBERSHIP**

COSEWIC comprises members from each provincial and territorial government wildlife agency, four federal entities (Canadian Wildlife Service, Parks Canada Agency, Department of Fisheries and Oceans, and the Federal Biodiversity Information Partnership, chaired by the Canadian Museum of Nature), three non-government science members and the co-chairs of the species specialist subcommittees and the Aboriginal Traditional Knowledge subcommittee. The Committee meets to consider status reports on candidate species.

### DEFINITIONS (2011)

Wildlife Species A species, subspecies, variety, or geographically or genetically distinct population of animal,

plant or other organism, other than a bacterium or virus, that is wild by nature and is either native to Canada or has extended its range into Canada without human intervention and

has been present in Canada for at least 50 years.

Extinct (X) A wildlife species that no longer exists.

Extirpated (XT) A wildlife species no longer existing in the wild in Canada, but occurring elsewhere.

Endangered (E) A wildlife species facing imminent extirpation or extinction.

Threatened (T) A wildlife species likely to become endangered if limiting factors are not reversed.

Special Concern (SC)\* A wildlife species that may become a threatened or an endangered species because of a

combination of biological characteristics and identified threats.

Not at Risk (NAR)\*\* A wildlife species that has been evaluated and found to be not at risk of extinction given the

current circumstances.

Data Deficient (DD)\*\*\* A category that applies when the available information is insufficient (a) to resolve a

species' eligibility for assessment or (b) to permit an assessment of the species' risk of

extinction.

- \* Formerly described as "Vulnerable" from 1990 to 1999, or "Rare" prior to 1990.
- \*\* Formerly described as "Not In Any Category", or "No Designation Required."
- \*\*\* Formerly described as "Indeterminate" from 1994 to 1999 or "ISIBD" (insufficient scientific information on which to base a designation) prior to 1994. Definition of the (DD) category revised in 2006.



Environment Canada Environnement Canada

Canadian Wildlife Service Service canadien de la faune

Canada

The Canadian Wildlife Service, Environment Canada, provides full administrative and financial support to the COSEWIC Secretariat.