

Risk Evaluation Determining Whether Environmental Emergency Planning is Required Under the

Environmental Emergency Regulations set under the Canadian Environmental Protection Act, 1999 (CEPA 1999)

Cadmium Chloride (CAS #: 10108-64-2) (Inorganic Cadmium Compounds) (CEPA 1999 – Schedule 1, #31)

Summary of Risk Evaluation Analysis

The Risk Evaluation Framework (REF), developed by Environment Canada, is applied to chemical substances in order to determine whether or not an environmental emergency (E2) plan should be required. For those substances that are evaluated as requiring an E2 plan, the next step is to determine a threshold quantity for the total quantity of the substance and the maximum storage container size on site. Within the REF, three categories are used to evaluate chemicals and assign threshold quantities. Shown below are the details within the three categories for *cadmium chloride*:

1. Environment Hazard

(Persistence, Bioaccumulation and Aquatic Toxicity Analysis)

- According to Mackay et al. (2003), nickel, chromium, arsenic and cadmium are classified as indefinitely persistent. As a result, cadmium chloride is also classified as indefinitely persistent in the environment.
- There is insufficient data at this time to classify the bioaccumulation of *cadmium chloride*. In many cases, the current state of the science does not allow for the unambiguous interpretation of the bioaccumulation criterion for metal-containing inorganic substances (Environment Canada, 2003).
- For aquatic toxicity, it was determined that *cadmium chloride* is extremely toxic (LC₅₀ at 96 hrs less than 0.1 mg/L) based on the most sensitive species, brown trout (Spehar *et al.*, 1984).



2. Human Hazard

(Inhalation Toxicity and Carcinogenicity Analysis)

- Since cadmium chloride has a vapour pressure below of 1.33 kPa at 20°C (HSDB, 2004; ATSDR, 1999), there is insufficient vapour from the substance to cause an inhalation problem.
- Cadmium chloride is categorized as an International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) carcinogen with a classification rating of '1' (IARC, 1993) and as a US EPA (United States Environmental Protection Agency) carcinogen with a classification ration of 'B2' (IRIS, 1987).

3. Physical Hazard

(Flammability and Combustibility Analysis)

• Cadmium chloride is considered stable and hazardous polymerization will not occur. Cadmium chloride is not considered to be a significant fire risk. Cadmium Chloride has a reactivity rating of '0' according to the Fire Diamond (Genium, 2004).

Flammability and Combustibility Analysis Table

_	Value	Vapour Cloud Explosion (Yes/No)	Combustible (Yes/No)	References
Boiling point (°C)	960		No	(HSDB, 2004)
Flash point (°C)	Non- flammable	No		(Genium, 2004)

Threshold

The data from the above mentioned categories were used to determine the various environmental/human thresholds. The threshold quantities relate to: carcinogenicity, aquatic toxicity, inhalation toxicity, vapour cloud explosion, combustibility, and reactivity.

The threshold quantity for carcinogenicity is defaulted to 0.22 tonnes, if it meets the following criteria:

- 1) have an IARC rating of 1, 2A or 2B, or have a US EPA rating of A, B1, or B2;
- 2) be persistent in any media for greater than 5 years.

Threshold quantities assigned as a result of aquatic toxicity are based on the following table:

Threshold Quantities Assigned as a Result of Aquatic Toxicity

Criteria	Extremely Toxic	Highly Toxic	Moderately Toxic	Slightly Toxic
Persistence (water)	≥ 6 months	≥ 2 months to < 6 months	N/A	N/A
Bioaccumulation	BCF ≥ 5000 or Log Kow ≥ 5	BCF ≥ 500 to < 5000 or Log Kow ≥ 4 to < 5 (unless BCF < 500)	N/A	N/A
Acute Aquatic Toxicity (96 hrs LC ₅₀ – mg/L)	≤ 0.1	> 0.1 to ≤ 1	> 1 to ≤ 10	> 10 to ≤ 100
Threshold Quantity Tonnes (lbs)	0.22 (500 lbs)	1.13 (2 500 lbs)	4.50 (10 000 lbs)	9.10 (20 000 lbs)

A substance is a candidate for a vapour cloud explosion if its flash point is < 23°C and its boiling point is < 35°C. A substance is considered combustible if its flash point is < 23°C or its boiling point is < 35°C. In accordance with the precautionary principle, the category with the lowest threshold will be used. For further explanation, please refer to the *Implementation Guidelines for Part 8 of the Canadian Environmental Protection Act, 1999 – Environmental Emergency Plans* (http://www.ec.gc.ca/ee-ue/).

Conclusion

For cadmium chloride # 31, the E2 hazard threshold was triggered by:

- 1) Aquatic toxicity at 0.22 tonnes;
- 2) Carcinogenicity at 0.22 tonnes.

Therefore, *cadmium chloride* # 31 is recommended for addition to Schedule 1 of the *Environmental Emergency Regulations* under Part 3 with a threshold quantity of 0.22 tonnes.

References

ATSDR (Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry). *Toxicological Profile for Cadmium*. Centre for Disease Control. PB/99/166621. 1999. World Wide Web accessed October 2004. http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/toxprofiles/tp5.pdf

Environment Canada. Existing Substances Branch. Guidance Manual for the Categorization of Organic and Inorganic Substances on Canada's Domestic Substances List: Determining Persistence, Bioaccumulation Potential, and Inherent Toxicity to Non-human Organisms. June 2003. p. 69.

Genium. "Material Safety Data Sheet – Cadmium Chloride". Release 58. CD-ROM. Genium Publishing Corporation. 2004.

HSDB (Hazardous Substances Data Bank). *Cadmium Chloride*. Canadian Centre for Occupational Health and Safety. U.S. National Library of Medicine. 2004. World Wide Web accessed September 2004. http://toxnet.nlm.nih.gov/cgibin/sis/htmlgen?HSDB

IARC (International Agency for Research on Cancer). Cadmium chloride and cadmium compounds. World Health Organization. 1993. World Wide Web accessed October 2004. http://monographs.iarc.fr/ENG/Monographs/vol58/volume58.pdf

IRIS (Integrated Risk Information System). *Cadmium.* U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1987. World Wide Web accessed October 2004. http://www.epa.gov/iris/subst/0141.htm

Mackay, D., E. Webster, D. Woodfine, T.M. Cahill, P. Doyle, Y. Couillard, and D. Gutzman. "Contributed Articles Towards Consistent Evaluation of the Persistence of Organic, Inorganic and Metallic Substances." 2003. ASP. Canadian Environmental Modelling Centre and Environment Canada. *Human and Ecological Risk Assessment*. 9: 1445-1474. ISSN: 1080-7039.

Spehar R.L., A.R. Carlson. "Derivation of site-specific water quality criteria for cadmium and the St. Louis River Basin, Duluth, Minnesota". 1984. *National Technical Information Service*, Spingfield, VA. Reference number: PB84-153196.

Wicklund, A., and P. Runn. "Calcium Effects on Cadmium Uptake, Redistribution, and Elimination in Minnow, Phoxinus phoxinus, Acclimated to Different Calcium Concentrations". 1988. *Aquat.Toxicol.* 13(2): 109-122.

Cat. No.: En14-55/8-2011E-PDF ISBN: 978-1-100-19697-8

For information regarding reproduction rights, please contact Public Works and Government Services Canada at 613-996-6886 or at droitdauteur.copyright@tpsgc-pwgsc.gc.ca

© Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada, represented by the Minister of the Environment, 2011 Aussi disponible en français