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#### Abstract

Lochead, J.K., Yamanaka, K.L., and Dykstra, C. 2006. Summary of non-halibut catch from the Standardized Stock Assessment Survey conducted by the International Pacific Halibut Commission in British Columbia from June 1 to August 12, 2004. Can. Tech. Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 2657: ix + 52 p.

In 2004, an additional technician was deployed on the International Pacific Halibut Commission's standardized stock assessment survey in British Columbia (regulatory area 2B) to record fishing effort and catch data on a hook by hook basis, and to collect biological samples from sablefish (Anoplopoma fimbria) and rockfishes (Sebastes spp.). This marks the second consecutive year of complete catch enumeration and biological sampling of sablefish and rockfishes (Yamanaka et al., 2004). In 2004, a total of 88 species and taxonomic groups were caught during the survey, including 15 rockfish and 48 other fish species. Over 3,100 rockfish and 2,000 sablefish were sampled for length, sex, age, and maturity data. This document summarizes all non-halibut catch by location and biological data for sablefish and rockfishes from the 2004 survey. This summary report also presents a comparison of 2003 and 2004 catch rate and biological data.


## RÉSUMÉ

Lochead, J.K., Yamanaka, K.L., and Dykstra, C. 2006. Summary of non-halibut catch from the Standardized Stock Assessment Survey conducted by the International Pacific Halibut Commission in British Columbia from June 1 to August 12, 2004. Can. Tech. Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 2657: ix +52 p.

En 2004, un technicien supplémentaire a été affecté au recensement normalisé des stocks de la Commission internationale du flétan du Pacifique en Colombie-Britannique (zone réglementée 2 B ). Ses tâches consistaient à consigner les données de capture pour chaque hameçon et à prélever des échantillons biologiques de morues charbonnières (Anoplopoma fimbria) et de sébastes (Sebastes spp.). Il s'agissait de la deuxième année consécutive d'énumération complète des captures et d'échantillonnage biologique des morues charbonnières et des sébastes (Yamanka et al., 2004). En 2004, un total de 88 espèces et groupes taxinomiques ont été capturés au cours du recensement, dont 15 espèces de sébastes et 48 autres espèces. On a échantillonné plus de 3100 sébastes et 2000 morues charbonnières afin d'étudier la longueur, le sexe, l'âge et la maturité. Le présent document résume les données de capture et les données biologiques provenant des prises autres que le flétan réalisées au cours du recensement de 2004. Le rapport présente aussi une comparaison des taux de captures et des données biologiques de 2003 et 2004 .

### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

The International Pacific Halibut Commission’s (IPHC) standardized stock assessment (SSA) survey is a fixed-station longline survey which extends from southern Oregon to the Bering Sea (www.iphc.washington.edu). This survey has been conducted in area 2B annually, in various configurations, since 1963. The survey provides distribution, biomass, age, growth and maturity data that are used in the IPHC's annual stock assessment of Pacific halibut (Hippoglossus stenolepis). In addition to halibut, many other groundfish species are commonly caught on the survey including spiny dogfish (Squalus acanthias), sablefish (Anoplopoma fimbria) and rockfishes (Sebastes spp.).

Since 2003, the IPHC has provided the opportunity to deploy an additional technician during the area 2B survey operations to enumerate and identify catch to species on a hook by hook basis, and to collect biological data for sablefish and rockfishes (Yamanaka et al., 2004). A summary of non-halibut catch rockfish and sablefish biological data from the 2003 IPHC SSA survey, as well as a simulation model evaluation of the ability of the catch rate index to track abundance trends over time, was published in 2004 (Yamanaka et al., 2004). Results from the simulation model indicated that, over the long term, the catch rate index from the SSA survey will be useful in tracking abundance trends for quillback (S. maliger), redbanded (S. babcocki), and yelloweye (S. ruberrimus) rockfishes.

This report summarizes the species composition of the non-halibut catch and the catch rate and biological data for rockfish by species and sablefish caught during the 2004 area 2B SSA survey. Yelloweye rockfish age data determined from samples collected during the 2003 IPHC SSA survey are also presented.

### 2.0 METHODS

### 2.1 IPHC Chartered Vessels

The F/V Pender Isle, F/V Proud Venture and F/V Star Wars II were chartered by the IPHC to conduct the Canadian portion (Area 2B) of the IPHC 2004 SSA survey. The F/V Pender Isle (CFV/VRN 27282) is a 70-foot steel vessel, skippered by Garth Roberts. The F/V Proud Venture (CFV/VRN 23197) is a 70-foot steel vessel, skippered by Charles Harper. The F/V Star Wars II (CFV/VRN 20492) is an 80-foot, wood vessel, skippered by Rob Tournier.

### 2.2 Survey Locations

The Canadian portion of the IPHC survey consists of 170 fixed survey stations and is divided into four charter regions: ‘Vancouver’, ‘Goose Islands’, 'St. James’, and 'Charlotte'. Figure 1 shows the location of the IPHC fixed survey stations relative to the

IPHC charter regions and the DFO management regions: North Coast (NC), Queen Charlotte Islands (QCI), Central Coast (CC) and West Coast Vancouver Island (WCVI). Data within this report are summarized according to the four DFO management regions.

The F/V Star Wars II fished the southern regions 'Vancouver’ and ‘Goose Islands’, the F/V Pender Isle fished the mid region 'St. James', and the F/V Proud Venture fished the northern region ‘Charlotte’ (Figure 1).

### 2.3 Fishing Gear and Operations

The chartered vessels used 'conventional’ fishing gear and standardized fishing operations as required in the IPHC Charter Bid Specifications (www.iphc.washington.edu). Fishing gear specifications and fishing operations are detailed in Yamanaka et al. (2004).

### 2.4 Catch Data Collection

### 2.4.1 Hook by Hook

The hooks were observed as the gear was hauled onboard. The species caught, bait returned, or empty hook was recorded on a hook by hook basis.

### 2.4.2 Biological sampling

A detailed description of the biological sampling protocol is outlined in Appendix A.
Sampling of the sablefish and rockfish catch occurred after the hook observations were completed for the set. Rockfish were gutted and gilled by the crew as they came aboard and set aside for biological sampling.

A sub-sample of approximately 100 fish per rockfish species was measured for fork length prior to and after dressing to determine a conversion between dressed and round fork length. For rockfish species whose numbers exceeded 100, a linear regression was fit to the round and dressed fork length data. The conversion factor was calculated using combined 2003 and 2004 data to increase sample size, and all dressed lengths were subsequently converted to round lengths. This document summarizes and discusses round fork lengths, unless otherwise stated.

Priority species for biological sampling were yelloweye rockfish and sablefish, then other species such as redbanded, quillback, copper (S. caurinus), China (S. nebulosus), tiger (S. nigrocinctus), and black (S. melanops) rockfishes. Biological sampling consisted of measuring a dressed fork length (L) to the nearest millimetre (mm), visually examining the gonads to determine sex (S), and their state of sexual maturity (M) (Appendix B). Both sagittal otoliths ( O ) were excised for subsequent age determination. To keep the head intact and preserve the market value of the rockfish, otoliths were removed from the ventral-side of the skull, posterior to the palate.

Ages were determined by the break and burn method of MacLellan (1997). A summary of the yelloweye rockfish age data collected during the 2003 and 2004 IPHC SSA surveys are presented.

Catch and biological data collected are archived in DFO's GFBio database with TRIP_ID’s 56913, 56914, and 56915.

### 2.5 Catch Rate

The catch rate ( $U$ ) was defined as the total number of fish $(N)$ divided by the number of intact skates returned ( $M$ ) from the set. Mean catch rates ( $\bar{U}$ ) were calculated as the sum of the catch rates by skate per set ( $U$ ) divided by the number of sets ( $n$ ), where $s$ denotes the species, and $i$ denotes the set.

$$
U_{i s}=\frac{N_{i s}}{M_{i}} \quad \bar{U}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{N_{i s}}{M_{i}}
$$

### 3.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 3.1 Survey Locations

The F/V Proud Venture conducted a single set at all 44 stations in the Charlotte region from June 1 through June 23, 2004. The F/V Pender Isle conducted one set at all 42 stations in the St. James region from July 2 through July 17, 2004. The F/V Star Wars II conducted a single set at all 84 stations in the Vancouver and Goose Islands regions from July 8 through August 12, 2004 (Figure 1 and Appendix C). Minimum and maximum set depths were 27 and 475 m , respectively, and the average set depth ranged from 36 to 455 m . All stations were fished during daylight hours with gear deployment taking place between 0452h and 1131h, and all gear was retrieved by 2300h (Appendix C).

The number of survey locations is distributed evenly among the IPHC survey regions but not among the DFO management regions. DFO management regions vary greatly in size with the NC containing the least number of survey locations and the CC the most (Table 1).

### 3.2 Catch Summary

Data from the 2004 IPHC SSA survey are archived in the DFO GFBio database. TRIP_ID's are 56913, 56914 and 56915.

### 3.2.1. Hook by Hook

Fifty one percent of the retrieved hooks were empty, 26 \% yielded a fish or invertebrate, and 21 \% had bait or bait skin returned (Table 2). Drop-offs, heads, and unobserved
hooks were uncommon, making up the remaining $2 \%$ of the hooks retrieved. These hook yield percentages were very similar to 2003 (Yamanaka et al., 2004).

### 3.2.2 Species composition

A total of 88 species and taxonomic groups were caught during the survey, including 15 species of rockfish and 48 other fish species (Table 3). Spiny dogfish were the most commonly encountered species at 11,814 fish caught, and together with 8,570 Pacific halibut and 5,609 sablefish, comprised 74 \% of the total number of fish caught. Arrowtooth flounder (Atheresthes stomias) was the fourth most common species making up $6 \%$ of the total catch, followed by redbanded and yelloweye rockfishes at $5.7 \%$ and $4.4 \%$ of the total catch, respectively. Other rockfish species encountered on the survey were rougheye (Sebastes aleutianus), quillback, silvergray (S. brevispinus), bocaccio (S. paucispinus), shortraker (S. borealis), canary (S. pinniger), greenstriped (S. elongates), copper, yellowmouth (S. reedi), yellowtail (S. flavidus), China, rosethorn (S.
helvomaculatus), and redstripe (S. proriger). Together, these other rockfishes accounted for 2.3 \% of the total number of fish caught.

Species composition was consistent between the 2003 and the 2004 surveys. The greatest between year difference was for spiny dogfish which made up $44 \%$ of the total catch in 2003 (Yamanaka et al., 2004) and $34 \%$ in 2004 (Table 3). The percentages of Pacific halibut, sablefish, and arrowtooth flounder in the overall catch were within $3 \%$ of the previous year's values, and redbanded, yelloweye and rougheye rockfishes were within $2 \%$. Greenstriped, copper, rosethorn, and redstripe rockfishes were not present in the catch in 2003, but were encountered in low numbers in 2004 (Table 3).

Based on validated landing weights from DFO's Dockside Monitoring Program, 34.0 tonnes ( t ) of halibut (fresh, dressed, head-off), 12.1 t of rockfish (fresh, gilled and gutted), and 6.7 t of sablefish (fresh, dressed, head-off) were landed on the survey (Table 4). Yelloweye rockfish and redbanded rockfish landed weights were similar at 5.5 t and 4.9 t , respectively. For every 1 kg of yelloweye rockfish and redbanded rockfish landed, 6.1 kg and 6.9 kg of halibut were landed, respectively. In 2003 the relative catch of these species was slightly lower (Yamanaka et al., 2004).

Catch composition varied among the DFO management regions likely reflecting differences in habitat types and depths fished. Average depths fished ranged from 50 455 m in the QCI, from $50-142 \mathrm{~m}$ in the NC, from $49-354 \mathrm{~m}$ in the CC, and from 36 313 m off the WCVI (Table 1). Spiny dogfish, sablefish, and arrowtooth flounder were most commonly caught off the WCVI. For all rockfish species combined, $50 \%$ were caught in the CC, 33 \% in the QCI, 15 \% off the WCVI, and $2 \%$ in the NC. Similar to 2003, the greatest numbers of redbanded, yelloweye, and rougheye rockfishes were caught in the QCI and CC management regions. In 2004, quillback rockfish were most common in the QCI, followed by the NC region. Unlike 2003 where quillback rockfish were most common in the WCVI followed by the QCI region (Yamanaka et al. 2004).

### 3.2.2. Biological Sampling

A total of 3,129 rockfish and 2,017 sablefish were sampled for length, sex and otoliths, and 3,122 rockfish and 2,010 sablefish maturities were recorded (Table 5).

Sex ratios for the four most frequently encountered rockfish species were close to 50:50 with percent females at 47 \% for quillback rockfish, 62 \% for redbanded rockfish, $55 \%$ for rougheye rockfish, and 44 \% for yelloweye rockfish (Figure 2). Sex ratios were also close to 50:50 in 2003 (Yamanaka et al., 2004).

### 3.2.2.1 Fish fork lengths

Linear regressions of round fork lengths on dressed fork lengths by species for shortspine thornyheads and quillback, redbanded, rougheye, and yelloweye rockfishes are presented in Figure 3. Slopes are very close to 1 and the regression line passes almost directly through the origin for all species. The line equations presented in Figure 3 were used to convert dressed fork lengths to round fork lengths for these five species. Fork lengths for all other rockfish species were measured and recorded as round fork lengths.

Summary statistics for rockfish, sablefish and shortspine thornyhead round fork lengths are presented for all regions of the survey combined (Table 6), and by DFO management region (Tables 7 - 10). The smallest rockfish sampled on the survey was a 254 mm greenstriped rockfish and the largest was an 896 mm shortraker rockfish. The third largest shortspine thornyhead recorded in Canadian waters was captured on this survey in the QCI region and was 826 mm in length.

One-way analysis of variance tests for differences in round fork lengths between IPHC survey regions (ie. by latitude) revealed significant differences for redbanded and rougheye rockfishes, but not for quillback or yelloweye rockfishes (Table 11). Redbanded rockfish from the Charlotte, St. James and Goose Island regions were significantly ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) larger than those from the Vancouver region. Goose Island rougheye rockfish were significantly ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) larger than those caught in the Vancouver region. This pattern of increasing mean size with latitude was noted with yelloweye rockfish in the St. James to Vancouver regions from the 2003 IPHC survey and yelloweye rockfish taken from index sites in B.C. (Yamanaka et al., 2004; Kronlund and Yamanaka, 2001).

Length frequency distributions by sex for quillback, redbanded, rougheye, and yelloweye rockfishes are shown in Figure 4. Histograms are generally normally distributed and females were generally larger than males.

### 3.2.2.2 Rockfish sexual maturity

Rockfish sexual maturity data are summarized by sex and maturity stage in Table 12. Female juvenile rockfish were infrequently encountered, making up only $5 \%$ of female rockfish samples, compared to male juvenile rockfish which made up $14.5 \%$ of the total
number of males sampled. For all rockfish species combined, over $50 \%$ of males were in the 'developing' stage, and most females were either 'mature' (36 \%) or 'spent' (42 $\%$ ). For redbanded and yelloweye rockfishes, fertilization takes place in the fall and parturition takes place in the spring. Correspondingly, the majority of females are 'spent' by June, and males are either ‘developing’ or 'developed’ by August (Figures 5 and 6).

### 3.2.2.3 Rockfish age

Yelloweye rockfish age summary statistics were computed, by year, using age data from the 2003 and 2004 IPHC SSA surveys (Tables 13 and 14). Age frequency histograms were plotted by sex and year and are shown in Figures 7 and 8. Yelloweye rockfish demographics did not changed dramatically between 2003 and 2004 with an age range from 11 to 115 years and a mean age of 38 years. Males are younger than the females with mean ages of 36 and 42 years, respectively.

### 3.3 Catch Rates

Overall mean rockfish catch rates ranged from 0.0008 fish/skate for redstripe rockfish to 1.48 fish/skate for redbanded rockfish (Table 16). Overall median rockfish catch rates were 0 for all species, indicating that over half the skates did not catch a rockfish. The mean number of quillback rockfish per skate ranged from 0.04 in the CC to 0.44 in the NC, redbanded rockfish/skate ranged from 0.02 in the NC to 2.81 in the CC, and yelloweye rockfish/skate ranged from 0.52 in the NC to 1.57 in the QCI (Tables 16 - 19).

The spatial distribution of catch rates in numbers of fish/skate by species for rockfish and sablefish are illustrated in Figure 9. As in 2003, sablefish and quillback, redbanded, and yelloweye rockfishes were caught throughout all DFO Management regions (Yamanaka et al., 2004). Bocaccio, canary, rougheye, shortraker, and silvergray rockfishes were caught in all regions except the NC. Catches of China, copper, redstripe, rosethorn, yellowmouth, and yellowtail rockfishes were small and sporadically distributed.

Catch rates were plotted against average set depths for sablefish, quillback, redbanded, rougheye, silvergray, and yelloweye rockfishes and are illustrated with capture depth ranges in Figure 10. Sablefish catch rates were distributed across a broad range of capture depths and generally increased as average set depth increased. Rockfish catch rates suggest depth preferences with peaks in abundance within specific depth ranges. The average depths at peak catch rates were 69 m for quillback rockfish ( 77 m in 2003), 221 m for redbanded rockfish ( 208 m in 2003), 366 m for rougheye rockfish ( 388 m in 2003), 187 m for sablefish ( 192 m in 2003), 221 m for silvergray rockfish ( 143 m in 2003), and 168 m for yelloweye rockfish ( 187 m in 2003) (Yamanaka et al., 2004). Quillback rockfish catches were distributed across the most narrow capture depth range of 48 - 146 m , whereas yelloweye rockfish catches spanned the widest capture depth range of $40-355 \mathrm{~m}$.

Mean 2003 and 2004 catch rates plus 95 \% confidence intervals were plotted for sablefish and the top eight most frequently encountered rockfish species (Figure 11). Although
within year variability was high for all species, only small differences in mean catch rates were found between years. Wilcoxon rank sum tests did not reveal any significant differences in catch rates between years for sablefish, and redbanded, yelloweye, rougheye, or quillback rockfishes (Table 20).

### 4.0 SUMMARY

A total of 88 species and taxonomic groups, including 15 rockfish species and 48 other fish species. were caught during the IPHC SSA survey in area 2B during 2004. Spiny dogfish, Pacific halibut and sablefish comprised $74 \%$ of the total number of fish caught. Redbanded and yelloweye rockfishes were the $5^{\text {th }}$ and $6^{\text {th }}$ most commonly caught fish, respectively. Catch composition between the 2003 and the 2004 surveys were similar with the largest between year difference for spiny dogfish, lower by $10 \%$ in 2004. No significant differences in catch rate were found between 2003 and 2004 for sablefish, redbanded, yelloweye, rougheye, and quillback rockfishes.

A total of 3,129 rockfish and 2,017 sablefish were sampled for biological data. Yelloweye rockfish caught in the 2003 and 2004 surveys range in age from 11 to 115 years. A strong age class mode is observed as 24 year olds in 2003 and 25 year olds in 2004.
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Table 1. Numbers of IPHC survey stations within IPHC survey regions and DFO management regions.

| IPHC Survey Region | \# of sites | Average depths (m) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Charlotte | 44 | $50-455$ |
| St. James | 42 | $86-393$ |
| Goose Island | 43 | $49-306$ |
| Vancouver | 41 | $36-313$ |
| DFO Management Region | \# of sites | Average depths (m) |
| QCI | 44 | $50-455$ |
| NC | 12 | $50-142$ |
| CC | 60 | $49-354$ |
| WCVI | 54 | $36-313$ |

Table 2. Summary of hook observations by description, DFO GFBio database code, number of hooks retrieved, and percent of total hooks.

| Description | GFBio Code | \# hooks | \% of total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Empty hook | 1 | 69076 | 51.06 |
| Bait on hook | 2 | 18224 | 13.47 |
| Animal on hook (fish or invertebrate) | 3 | 35361 | 26.14 |
| Species head on hook | 4 | 291 | 0.22 |
| Species dropped off hook | 5 | 363 | 0.27 |
| Bait skin on hook | 6 | 9993 | 7.39 |
| Hook not observed | 7 | 1980 | 1.46 |
| Total |  | $\mathbf{1 3 5 2 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |

Table 3. Summary of catch in numbers and percent of fish species total by common name, taxonomic name, and DFO management region of capture.

| Common Name | Taxonomic Name | Total | WCVI | QCI | NC | CC | \% Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SPINY DOGFISH | SQUALUS ACANTHIAS | 11814 | 5190 | 2398 | 490 | 3736 | 33.73 |
| PACIFIC HALIBUT | HIPPOGLOSSUS STENOLEPIS | 8570 | 1709 | 3216 | 1121 | 2524 | 24.47 |
| SABLEFISH | ANOPLOPOMA FIMBRIA | 5609 | 2338 | 1736 | 19 | 1516 | 16.02 |
| ARROWTOOTH FLOUNDER | ATHERESTHES STOMIAS | 2135 | 841 | 415 | 351 | 528 | 6.10 |
| REDBANDED ROCKFISH | SEBASTES BABCOCKI | 2011 | 201 | 463 | 2 | 1345 | 5.74 |
| YELLOWEYE ROCKFISH | SEBASTES RUBERRIMUS | 1543 | 331 | 563 | 50 | 599 | 4.41 |
| LONGNOSE SKATE | RAJA RHINA | 1147 | 230 | 292 | 143 | 482 | 3.28 |
| ROUGHEYE ROCKFISH | SEBASTES ALEUTIANUS | 474 | 39 | 252 | 0 | 183 | 1.35 |
| SUNFLOWER STARFISH | PYCNOPODIA HELIANTHOIDES | 383 | 109 | 45 | 175 | 54 | - |
| PACIFIC COD | GADUS MACROCEPHALUS | 333 | 47 | 102 | 131 | 53 | 0.95 |
| LINGCOD | OPHIODON ELONGATUS | 308 | 89 | 116 | 20 | 83 | 0.88 |
| BIG SKATE | RAJA BINOCULATA | 254 | 29 | 73 | 88 | 64 | 0.73 |
| SHORTSPINE THORNYHEAD | SEBASTOLOBUS ALASCANUS | 190 | 19 | 70 | 0 | 101 | 0.54 |
| QUILLBACK ROCKFISH | SEBASTES MALIGER | 142 | 22 | 57 | 42 | 21 | 0.41 |
| BLUE SHARK | PRIONACE GLAUCA | 125 | 57 | 17 | 0 | 51 | 0.36 |
| SEA PENS | PENNATULACEA | 88 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 87 | - |
| PINK SCALLOP | CHLAMYS RUBIDA | 73 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 19 | - |
| SILVERGRAY ROCKFISH | SEBASTES BREVISPINIS | 68 | 5 | 55 | 0 | 8 | 0.19 |
| TUBE WORMS | SEDENTARIA | 51 | 2 | 1 | 48 | 0 | - |
| FISH-EATING STAR | STYLASTERIAS FORRERI | 50 | 25 | 15 | 3 | 7 | - |
| SPOTTED RATFISH | HYDROLAGUS COLLIEI | 47 | 6 | 11 | 18 | 12 | 0.13 |
| JELLYFISH | SCYPHOZOA | 36 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 28 | - |
| BOCACCIO | SEBASTES PAUCISPINIS | 32 | 2 | 19 | 0 | 11 | 0.09 |
| SOUPFIN SHARK | GALEORHINUS ZYOPTERUS | 30 | 23 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0.09 |
| PETRALE SOLE | EOPSETTA JORDANI | 27 | 13 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 0.08 |
| SHORTRAKER ROCKFISH | SEBASTES BOREALIS | 27 | 11 | 14 | 0 | 2 | 0.08 |
| CANARY ROCKFISH | SEBASTES PINNIGER | 25 | 9 | 14 | 0 | 2 | 0.07 |
| ANEMONE | ACTINIARIA | 25 | 1 | 14 | 1 | 9 | - |
| BASKET STARS | EURYALAE | 24 | 16 | 5 | 0 | 3 | - |
| STARFISH | ASTERIODEA | 22 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 16 | - |
| PACIFIC SLEEPER SHARK | SOMNIOSUS PACIFICUS | 21 | 3 | 17 | 0 | 1 | 0.06 |
| HYDROID | HYDROZOA | 19 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 11 | - |
| SANDPAPER SKATE | BATHYRAJA INTERRUPTA | 19 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 5 | 0.05 |
| METRIDIUM | METRIDIUM | 18 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 4 | - |
| SPONGES | PORIFERA | 16 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 10 | - |
| GLASS SPONGES | HEXACTINELLIDA | 16 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 11 | - |
| OREGONTRITON | FUSITRITON OREGONENSIS | 15 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 3 | - |
| TEALIA | TEALIA | 15 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 5 | - |
| PINK SHORT-SPINED STAR | PISASTER BREVISPINUS | 14 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 3 | - |
| ASCIDIANS AND TUNICATES | ASCIDIACEA | 13 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 4 | - |
| OCTOPUS | OCTOPODA | 11 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 1 | - |
| INANIMATE OBJECT(S) | INANIMATE OBJECT(S) | 11 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 0 | - |
| SOFT CORALS | ALCYONACEA | 9 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | - |
| GREENSTRIPED ROCKFISH | SEBASTES ELONGATUS | 8 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.02 |
| PARAGORGIA PACIFICA | PARAGORGIA PACIFICA | 8 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 2 | - |
| SEA URCHINS | ECHINACEA | 7 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | - |
| GIANT RED SEA CUCUMBER | PARASTICHOPUS CALIFORNICUS | 7 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 3 | - |
| SKATES | RAJIDAE | 6 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0.02 |
| SOLASTERIDAE | SOLASTERIDAE | 6 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | - |
| COPPER ROCKFISH | SEBASTES CAURINUS | 6 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0.02 |
| YELLOWMOUTH ROCKFISH | SEBASTES REEDI | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0.02 |
| YELLOWTAIL ROCKFISH | SEBASTES FLAVIDUS | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.01 |

Table 3 continued on next page

Table 3 continued. Summary of catch in numbers and percent of fish species total by common name, taxonomic name, and DFO management region of capture.

| Common Name | Taxonomic Name | Total | WCVI | QCI | NC | CC | \% Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LONG-ARMED SEA STAR | ORTHASTERIAS KOEHLERI | 5 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | - |
| STRIPED SUN STARFISH | SOLASTER STIMPSONI | 5 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | - |
| CHINA ROCKFISH | SEBASTES NEBULOSUS | 5 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0.01 |
| SEA WHIP | OSTEOCELLA SEPTENTRIONALIS | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | - |
| PACIFIC HAKE | MERLUCCIUS PRODUCTUS | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0.01 |
| SEA LILIES AND FEATHER STARS | CRINODEA | 5 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | - |
| ROCKFISHES | SEBASTINAE | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.01 |
| BIVALVE MOLLUSCS | BIVALVIA | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | - |
| DOVER SOLE | MICROSTOMUS PACIFICUS | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.01 |
| GASTROPODS | GASTROPODA | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | - |
| RIGHT-HANDED HERMITS | PAGURIDAE | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | - |
| SALMON SHARK | LAMNA DITROPIS | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.01 |
| ROSETHORN ROCKFISH | SEBASTES HELVOMACULATUS | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.01 |
| SOUTHERN ROCK SOLE | LEPIDOPSETTA BILINEATA | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.01 |
| BIGEYE THRESHER | ALOPIAS SUPERCILIOSUS | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.01 |
| BRYOZOA | BRYOZOA | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | - |
| PACIFIC HAGFISH | EPTATRETUS STOUTI | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0.01 |
| FLATHEAD SOLE | HIPPOGLOSSOIDES ELASSODON | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0.01 |
| WALLEYE POLLOCK | THERAGRA CHALCOGRAMMA | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.01 |
| CHEIRASTER DAWSONI | CHEIRASTER DAWSONI | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | - |
| BOX CRABS | LOPHOLITHODES | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| PACIFIC STAGHORN SCULPIN | LEPTOCOTTUS ARMATUS | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| PACIFIC SANDDAB | CITHARICHTHYS SORDIDUS | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| SCALLOP | PECTINIDAE | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| BUTTER SOLE | ISOPSETTA ISOLEPIS | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0.00 |
| SAND STAR | LUIDIA FOLIOLATA | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | - |
| ROSE STARFISH | CROSSASTER PAPPOSUS | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | - |
| SIXGILL SHARK | HEXANCHUS GRISEUS | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.00 |
| REDSTRIPE ROCKFISH | SEBASTES PRORIGER | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.00 |
| BATH SPONGES | DEMOSPONGIAE | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | - |
| PACIFIC GRENADIER | CORYPHAENOIDES ACROLEPIS | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| WOLF EEL | ANARRHICHTHYS OCELLATUS | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| HENRICIA ASPERA | HENRICIA ASPERA | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.00 |
| COHO SALMON | ONCORHYNCHUS KISUTCH | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.00 |
| ALASKA SKATE | RAJA PARMIFERA | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.00 |
| GORGONIAN CORALS | GORGONACEA | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | - |
| Marine Fish Totals |  | 35022 | 11246 | 9922 | 2497 | 11357 | 100.00 |

Table 4. Summary of validated landing weights, by species, for all 170 survey stations combined.

| Common Name | Fish state | Kilograms |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Pacific halibut | Fresh, dressed, head-off | 34032.6 |
| Sablefish | Fresh, dressed, head-off | 6736.3 |
| Yelloweye rockfish | Fresh, gilled and gutted | 5546.1 |
| Redbanded rockfish | Fresh, gilled and gutted | 4913.3 |
| Rougheye rockfish | Fresh, gilled and gutted | 963.9 |
| Pacific cod | Fresh, round | 625.5 |
| Shortspine thornyhead | Fresh, gilled and gutted | 225.9 |
| Shortraker rockfish | Fresh, gilled and gutted | 183.3 |
| Silvergray rockfish | Fresh, gilled and gutted | 166.5 |
| Quillback rockfish | Fresh, gilled and gutted | 162.8 |
| Bocaccio | Fresh, gilled and gutted | 109.3 |
| Lingcod | Unknown | 102.5 |
| Canary rockfish | Fresh, gilled and gutted | 43.1 |
| Yellowmouth rockfish | Fresh, gilled and gutted | 9.1 |
| Yellowtail rockfish | Fresh, gilled and gutted | 8.2 |
| Copper rockfish | Fresh, gilled and gutted | 5.0 |
| China rockfish | Fresh, gilled and gutted | 4.1 |
| Greenstriped rockfish | Fresh, gilled and gutted | 2.7 |
| Darkblotched rockfish | Fresh, gilled and gutted | 1.8 |
| Rosethorn rockfish | Fresh, gilled and gutted | 0.5 |
| Total Kilograms Rockfish | 12119.5 |  |

Table 5. Summary of total number of biological samples of length, sex, maturity, and otoliths (LSMO) collected by species.

| LSMO samples | Lengths | Sex | Maturities | Otoliths |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sablefish | 2017 | 2017 | 2010 | 2017 |
| Redbanded rockfish | 1312 | 1312 | 1305 | 1312 |
| Yelloweye rockfish | 1201 | 1201 | 1194 | 1201 |
| Rougheye rockfish | 292 | 292 | 291 | 292 |
| Quillback rockfish | 120 | 120 | 119 | 120 |
| Shortspine Thornyhead | 111 | 111 | 111 | 111 |
| Silvergray rockfish | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 |
| Canary rockfish | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 |
| Bocaccio | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| Shortraker rockfish | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Greenstriped rockfish | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Copper rockfish | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 |
| China rockfish | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Yellowtail rockfish | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Yellowmouth rockfish | 2 | 2 | 2 | 5 |
| Redstripe rockfish | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| All rockfish | $\mathbf{3 1 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 2 9}$ |

Table 6. Summary statistics for rockfish and sablefish fork lengths (mm) over all DFO managment regions.

| All Areas | Bocaccio | Canary | China | Copper | Greenstriped | Quillback | Redbanded | Redstripe |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean | 694 | 478 | 348 | 375 | 323 | 393 | 511 | 310 |
| Standard Error | 16.80 | 12.13 | 11.32 | 6.38 | 11.18 | 2.83 | 1.49 | 0.00 |
| Median | 680 | 462 | 344 | 374 | 326 | 391 | 511 | 310 |
| Mode | 680 | 481 | - | - | - | 371 | 498 | - |
| Standard Deviation | 50.39 | 52.89 | 25.31 | 14.26 | 31.61 | 32.59 | 53.84 | - |
| Sample Variance | 2539.19 | 2797.60 | 640.70 | 203.30 | 999.07 | 1062.35 | 2899.00 | - |
| Range | 156 | 211 | 66 | 36 | 100 | 171 | 333 | 0 |
| Minimum | 622 | 404 | 315 | 359 | 254 | 316 | 314 | 310 |
| Maximum | 778 | 615 | 381 | 395 | 354 | 487 | 647 | 310 |
| Count | 9 | 19 | 5 | 5 | 8 | 133 | 1312 | 1 |


| All Areas | Rougheye | Sablefish | Shortraker | SSThornyhead | Silvergray | Yelloweye | Yellowmouth | Yellowtail |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean | 516 | 626 | 733 | 449 | 545 | 565 | 450 | 498.75 |
| Standard Error | 2.99 | 1.72 | 33.61 | 6.73 | 10.34 | 1.82 | 25.00 | 17.86 |
| Median | 515 | 609 | 738 | 435 | 553 | 568 | 450 | 510 |
| Mode | 523 | 574 | - | 433 | 523 | 613 | - | - |
| Standard Deviation | 51.13 | 92.81 | 88.93 | 73.77 | 50.67 | 64.18 | 35.36 | 35.72 |
| Sample Variance | 2613.86 | 8614.07 | 7909.29 | 5442.16 | 2567.22 | 4118.71 | 1250.00 | 1276.25 |
| Range | 317 | 669 | 287 | 526 | 197 | 345 | 50 | 82 |
| Minimum | 365 | 346 | 609 | 300 | 435 | 377 | 425 | 447 |
| Maximum | 682 | 1015 | 896 | 826 | 632 | 722 | 475 | 529 |
| Count | 292 | 2917 | 7 | 120 | 24 | 1240 | 2 | 4 |

Table 7. Summary statistics for rockfish and sablefish fork lengths (mm) from the Queen Charlotte Islands management region.

| Queen Charlotte Islands | Bocaccio | Canary | China | Copper | Greenstriped | Quillback | Redbanded | Redstripe |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean | 687 | 496 | 360 | - | - | 383 | 487 | - |
| Standard Error | 19.29 | 18.28 | 13.08 | - | - | 3.52 | 3.93 | - |
| Median | 680 | 486 | 363 | - | - | 381 | 483 | - |
| Mode | - | - | - | - | - | 381 | 489 | - |
| Standard Deviation | 51.05 | 57.81 | 22.65 | - | - | 26.31 | 52.08 | - |
| Sample Variance | 2605.95 | 3342.18 | 513.00 | - | - | 691.97 | 2712.05 | - |
| Range | 156 | 175 | 45 | - | - | 148 | 285 | - |
| Minimum | 622 | 440 | 336 | - | - | 316 | 355 | - |
| Maximum | 778 | 615 | 381 | - | - | 464 | 640 | - |
| Count | 7 | 10 | 3 | - | - | 56 | 176 | - |


| Queen Charlotte Islands | Rougheye | Sablefish | Shortraker | SSThornyhead | Silvergray | Yelloweye | Yellowmouth | Yellowtail |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean | 518 | 644 | 609 | 442 | 542 | 550 | - | - |
| Standard Error | 4.27 | 3.27 | 0.00 | 9.74 | 12.24 | 3.07 | - |  |
| Median | 515 | 625 | 609 | 438 | 545 | 543 | - | - |
| Mode | 506 | 711 | - | 440 | 523 | 613 | - | - |
| Standard Deviation | 53.53 | 94.30 | - | 76.68 | 53.34 | 67.42 | - | - |
| Sample Variance | 2865.83 | 8892.99 | - | 5879.09 | 2845.65 | 4545.70 | - | - |
| Range | 317 | 554 | 0 | 526 | 197 | 312 | - | - |
| Minimum | 365 | 461 | 609 | 300 | 435 | 391 | - | - |
| Maximum | 682 | 1015 | 609 | 826 | 632 | 702 | - | - |
| Count | 157 | 834 | 1 | 62 | 19 | 483 | - |  |

Table 8. Summary statistics for rockfish and sablefish fork lengths (mm) from the North Coast management region.

| North Coast | Bocaccio | Canary | China | Copper | Greenstriped | Quillback | Redbanded | Redstripe |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean | - | - | - | 374.6 | - | 406 | 523 | - |
| Standard Error | - | - | - | 6.37652 | - | 5.20 | 15.58 | - |
| Median | - | - | - | 374 | - | 407 | 523 | - |
| Mode | - | - | - | - | - | 407 | - | - |
| Standard Deviation | - | - | - | 14.26 | - | 33.32 | 22.04 | - |
| Sample Variance | - | - | - | 203.30 | - | 1110.14 | 485.61 | - |
| Range | - | - | - | 36 | - | 139 | 31 | - |
| Minimum | - | - | - | 359 | - | 324 | 508 | - |
| Maximum | - | - | - | 395 | - | 462 | 539 | - |
| Count | - | - | - | 5 | - | 41 | 2 | - |


| North Coast | Rougheye | Sablefish | Shortraker | SSThornyhead | Silvergray | Yelloweye | Yellowmouth | Yellowtail |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean | - | 514 | - | - | - | 586 | - | - |
| Standard Error | - | 17.80 | - | - | - | 8.07 | - | - |
| Median | - | 520 | - | - | - | 575 | - | - |
| Mode | - | - | - | - | - | 563 | - | - |
| Standard Deviation | - | 73.40 | - | - | - | 56.51 | - | - |
| Sample Variance | - | 5386.93 | - | - | - | 3193.71 | - | - |
| Range | - | 306 | - | - | - | 232 | - | - |
| Minimum | - | 373 | - | - | - | 470 | - | - |
| Maximum | - | 679 | - | - | - | 701 | - | - |
| Count | - | 17 | - | - | 49 | - |  |  |

Table 9. Summary statistics for rockfish and sablefish fork lengths (mm) from the Central Coast management region.

| Central Coast | Bocaccio | Canary | China | Copper | Greenstriped | Quillback | Redbanded | Redstripe |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean | - | - | - | - | 254 | 386 | 518 | 310 |
| Standard Error | - | - | - | - | $2.7559 \mathrm{E}-308$ | 7.48 | 1.61 | 0.00 |
| Median | - | - | - | - | 254 | 386 | 519 | 310 |
| Mode | - | - | - | - | - | - | 498 | - |
| Standard Deviation | - | - | - | - | - | 34.27 | 51.42 | - |
| Sample Variance | - | - | - | - | - | 1174.69 | 2644.51 | - |
| Range | - | - | - | - | 0 | 157 | 333 | 0 |
| Minimum | - | - | - | - | 254 | 331 | 314 | 310 |
| Maximum | - | - | - | - | 254 | 487 | 647 | 310 |
| Count | - | - | - | - | 1 | 21 | 1016 | 1 |


| Central Coast | Rougheye | Sablefish | Shortraker | SSThornyhead | Silvergray | Yelloweye | Yellowmouth | Yellowtail |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean | 520 | 611 | - | 441 | - | 581 | 450 | - |
| Standard Error | 4.84 | 2.86 | - | 9.79 | - | 2.86 | 25.00 | - |
| Median | 519 | 598 | - | 429 | - | 590 | 450 | - |
| Mode | 504 | 563 | - | 433 | - | 580 | - | - |
| Standard Deviation | 47.44 | 91.11 | - | 63.44 | - | 59.67 | 35.36 | - |
| Sample Variance | 2250.75 | 8300.81 | - | 4024.38 | - | 3560.81 | 1250.00 | - |
| Range | 267 | 628 | - | 253 | - | 314 | 50 | - |
| Minimum | 391 | 352 | - | 341 | - | 408 | 425 | - |
| Maximum | 658 | 980 | - | 594 | - | 722 | 475 | - |
| Count | 96 | 1014 | - | 42 | - | 436 | 2 | - |

Table 10. Summary statistics for rockfish and sablefish fork lengths (mm) from the West Coast Vancouver Island management region.

| West Coast Vancouver Island | Bocaccio | Canary | China | Copper | Greenstriped | Quillback | Redbanded | Redstripe |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean | 719 | 457 | 330 | - | 330 | 404 | 478 | - |
| Standard Error | 39 | 13.39 | 14.50 | - | 5.79 | 9.56 | 5.10 | - |
| Median | 719 | 461 | 330 | - | 326 | 406 | 472 | - |
| Mode | - | - | - | - | - | - | 470 | - |
| Standard Deviation | 55.15 | 40.18 | 20.51 | - | 14.18 | 37.03 | 55.44 | - |
| Sample Variance | 3042.00 | 1614.44 | 420.50 | - | 201.07 | 1371.45 | 3073.14 | - |
| Range | 78 | 109 | 29 | - | 40 | 145 | 271 | - |
| Minimum | 680 | 404 | 315 | - | 311 | 317 | 337 | - |
| Maximum | 758 | 513 | 344 | - | 351 | 462 | 608 | - |
| Count | 2 | 9 | 2 | - | 6 | 15 | 118 | - |


| West Coast Vancouver Island | Rougheye | Sablefish | Shortraker | SSThornyhead | Silvergray | Yelloweye | Yellowmouth |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yean | 499 | 628 | 713 | 497 | 554 | 561 | - |
| Standard Error | 7.63 | 2.78 | 14.67 | 18.35 | 19.03 | 3.60 | - |
| Median | 504 | 608 | 715 | 498 | 569 | 565 | - |
| Mode | 516 | 574 | - | - | - | 513 | - |
| Standard Deviation | 47.63 | 90.03 | 29.34 | 73.40 | 42.55 | 59.38 | - |
| Sample Variance | 2268.66 | 8106.22 | 860.92 | 5387.18 | 1810.30 | 3526.06 | - |
| Range | 209 | 618 | 55 | 289 | 101 | 327 | - |
| Minimum | 415 | 346 | 684 | 368 | 509 | 377 | - |
| Maximum | 624 | 964 | 739 | 657 | 610 | 704 | - |
| Count | 39 | 1052 | 4 | 16 | 5 | 272 | - |

Table 11. Summary of one-way analysis of variance tests for differences in fork length (mm) by IPHC survey region for quillback, redbanded, rougheye, and yelloweye rockfish.

| QUILLBACK | Mean | N | F | df | p |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Charlotte | 394.28 | 92 | 1.19 | 3, 129 | 0.3159 |
| St. James | 383.52 | 20 |  |  |  |
| Goose Island | 390.71 | 7 |  |  |  |
| Vancouver | 404.70 | 14 |  |  |  |
| REDBANDED | Mean | N | F | df | p |
| Charlotte | 511.48 | 164 | 11.46 | 3, 1308 | <0.0001* |
| St. James | 515.52 | 663 |  |  |  |
| Goose Island | 508.21 | 388 |  |  |  |
| Vancouver | 482.09 | 97 |  |  |  |
| Pairwise Comparisons: Charlotte, St. James and Goose Island significantly larger ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) than Vancouver ( $\mathrm{t} \times 3.632$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |
| ROUGHEYE | Mean | N | F | df | p |
| Charlotte | 518.96 | 129 | 5.59 | 3, 288 | 0.001* |
| St. James | 505.95 | 55 |  |  |  |
| Goose Island | 529.98 | 77 |  |  |  |
| Vancouver | 490.45 | 31 |  |  |  |
| Pairwise Comparisons: Goose Island significantly larger ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) than Vancouver ( $\mathrm{t} \times 3.632$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| YELLOWEYE | Mean | N | F | df | p |
| Charlotte | 568.07 | 258 | 1.02 | 3,1236 | 0.3821 |
| St. James | 560.87 | 479 |  |  |  |
| Goose Island | 567.58 | 316 |  |  |  |
| Vancouver | 565.29 | 187 |  |  |  |

Table 12. Sexual maturity assessments presented for rockfish by species and sex, showing the number of fish (proportion) in each maturity stage and the total number of fish sampled.

| MALE | Number (Proportion) of Individuals in Each Maturity Stage |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ROCKFISH | Immature | Maturing | Developing | Developed | Running | Spent | Resting | N |
| Bocaccio | 1 (0.125) | 0 | 4 (0.500) | 3 (0.375) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| Canary | 0 | 1 (0.143) | 4 (0.571) | 1 (0.143) | 0 | 1 (0.143) | 0 | 7 |
| China | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 (0.667) | 1 (0.333) | 3 |
| Copper | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 (1.000) | 0 | 3 |
| Greenstriped | 0 | 2 (1.000) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Quillback | 0 | 4 (0.057) | 32 (0.457) | 0 | 0 | 28 (0.400) | 6 (0.086) | 70 |
| Redbanded | 1 (0.002) | 13 (0.026) | 278 (0.562) | 186 (0.376) | 0 | 12 (0.024) | 5 (0.010) | 495 |
| Rougheye | 4 (0.031) | 6 (0.046) | 78 (0.595) | 21 (0.160) | 0 | 6 (0.046) | 16 (0.122) | 131 |
| Shortraker | 0 | 0 | 2 (0.500) | 0 | 0 | 2 (0.500) | 0 | 4 |
| Silvergray | 0 | 2 (0.118) | 4 (0.235) | 0 | 0 | 3 (0.176) | 8 (0.471) | 17 |
| Yelloweye | 35 (0.051) | 138 (0.201) | 350 (0.511) | 7 (0.010) | 0 | 108 (0.158) | 47 (0.069) | 685 |
| Yellowmouth | 0 | 0 | 2 (1.000) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Total Number | 41 (0.029) | 166 (0.116) | 754 (0.528) | 218 (0.153) | 0 (0.00) | 165 (0.116) | 83 (0.058) | 1427 |


| FEMALE | Number (Proportion) of Individuals in Each Maturity Stage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| ROCKFISH | Immature | Maturing | Mature | Fertilized | Larvae | Spent | Resting | Total |
| Bocaccio | 0 | 0 | $2(0.333)$ | $1(0.167)$ | 0 | $2(0.333)$ | $1(0.167)$ | 6 |
| Canary | 0 | $7(0.583)$ | $4(0.333)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $1(0.083)$ | 12 |
| China | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $2(1.000)$ | 0 | 0 |
| Copper | 0 | 0 | $1(0.500)$ | 0 | $1(0.500)$ | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Greenstriped | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $1(0.167)$ | $5(0.833)$ | 0 | 6 |
| Quillback | $1(0.016)$ | $2(0.032)$ | $16(0.254)$ | 0 | $1(0.016)$ | $38(0.603)$ | $5(0.079)$ | 6 |
| Redbanded | 0 | $31(0.038)$ | $401(0.495)$ | $9(0.011)$ | $4(0.005)$ | $290(0.358)$ | $75(0.093)$ | 810 |
| Redstripe | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $1(1.000)$ | 1 |
| Rougheye | $1(0.006)$ | $13(0.081)$ | $26(0.163)$ | $4(0.025)$ | 0 | $49(0.306)$ | $67(0.419)$ | 160 |
| Shortraker | 0 | 0 | $1(0.167)$ | 0 | 0 | $1(0.167)$ | $4(0.667)$ | 6 |
| Silvergray | 0 | $1(0.143)$ | 0 | $1(0.143)$ | $1(0.143)$ | $3(0.429)$ | $1(0.143)$ | 7 |
| Yelloweye | 0 | $33(0.060)$ | $128(0.234)$ | $17(0.031)$ | $15(0.027)$ | $297(0.542)$ | $58(0.106)$ | 548 |
| Yellowmouth | 0 | 0 | $1(0.250)$ | 0 | 0 | $3(0.750)$ | 0 | 4 |
| Total Number | $2(0.001)$ | $87(0.053)$ | $580(0.356)$ | $32(0.020)$ | $23(0.014)$ | $690(0.424)$ | $213(0.131)$ | 1627 |

Table 13. Summary of age statistics by DFO management region for yelloweye rockfish by combined sexes and by sex, collected on the IPHC 2003 SSA Survey.

| Male and Female Ages (years) | ALL REGIONS | WCVI | CC | NC | QCI |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mean | 39.5 | 35.9 | 43.4 | 37.5 | 36.8 |
| Standard Error | 0.66 | 1.33 | 1.03 | 5.41 | 1.07 |
| Median | 35.0 | 27.0 | 42.0 | 26.5 | 30.0 |
| Mode | 23 | 23 | 23 | 25 | 23 |
| Standard Deviation | 18.98 | 17.03 | 19.37 | 24.17 | 18.35 |
| Sample Variance | 360.09 | 290.14 | 375.26 | 584.37 | 336.79 |
| Minimum | 13 | 13 | 18 | 20 | 16 |
| Maximum | 115 | 87 | 98 | 115 | 100 |
| Count | 833 | 164 | 356 | 20 | 293 |
| Confidence Level (95.0\%) | 1.29 | 2.63 | 2.02 | 11.31 | 2.11 |


| Male Ages (years) | ALL REGIONS | WCVI | CC | NC | QCI |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mean | 37.0 | 31.7 | 41.8 | 42.0 | 32.9 |
| Standard Error | 0.84 | 1.53 | 1.28 | 9.32 | 1.33 |
| Median | 29.5 | 25.0 | 40.0 | 27.0 | 25.0 |
| Mode | 23 | 23 | 20 | 22 | 23 |
| Standard Deviation | 18.10 | 14.55 | 18.68 | 30.92 | 16.18 |
| Sample Variance | 327.56 | 211.70 | 349.10 | 955.80 | 261.64 |
| Minimum | 13 | 13 | 18 | 20 | 17 |
| Maximum | 115 | 75 | 98 | 115 | 100 |
| Count | 462 | 90 | 212 | 11 | 149 |
| Confidence Level (95.0\%) | 1.65 | 3.05 | 2.53 | 20.77 | 2.62 |


| Female Ages (years) | ALL REGIONS | WCVI | CC | NC | QCI |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mean | 42.6 | 41.1 | 45.7 | 31.9 | 40.9 |
| Standard Error | 1.02 | 2.14 | 1.68 | 3.80 | 1.63 |
| Median | 41.0 | 41.5 | 44.5 | 25.0 | 39.5 |
| Mode | 23 | 24 | 23 | 25 | 50 |
| Standard Deviation | 19.59 | 18.45 | 20.18 | 11.40 | 19.59 |
| Sample Variance | 383.91 | 340.42 | 407.28 | 129.86 | 383.95 |
| Minimum | 15 | 15 | 18 | 23 | 16 |
| Maximum | 100 | 87 | 97 | 55 | 100 |
| Count | 371 | 74 | 144 | 9 | 144 |
| Confidence Level (95.0\%) | 2.00 | 4.27 | 3.32 | 8.76 | 3.23 |

Table 14. Summary of age statistics by DFO management region for yelloweye rockfish by combined sexes and by sex, collected on the IPHC 2004 SSA Survey.

| Male and Female Ages (years) | ALL REGIONS | WCVI | CC | NC | QCI |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mean | 37.8 | 38.3 | 42.5 | 32.7 | 33.3 |
| Standard Error | 0.50 | 1.11 | 0.87 | 5.96 | 0.67 |
| Median | 32.0 | 31.0 | 41.0 | 23.0 | 27.0 |
| Mode | 24 | 22 | 24 | 23 | 22 |
| Standard Deviation | 17.38 | 18.19 | 18.22 | 18.85 | 14.80 |
| Sample Variance | 302.18 | 330.87 | 331.95 | 355.34 | 218.92 |
| Minimum | 11 | 11 | 14 | 15 | 13 |
| Maximum | 105 | 89 | 105 | 73 | 101 |
| Count | 1195 | 270 | 434 | 10 | 481 |
| Confidence Level (95.0\%) | 0.99 | 2.18 | 1.72 | 13.48 | 1.33 |


| Male Ages (years) | ALL REGIONS | WCVI | CC | NC | QCI |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mean | 34.7 | 34.2 | 39.7 | 21.8 | 30.7 |
| Standard Error | 0.62 | 1.36 | 1.05 | 1.97 | 0.84 |
| Median | 27.0 | 25.5 | 37.0 | 23.0 | 24.0 |
| Mode | 24 | 24 | 23 | 23 | 24 |
| Standard Deviation | 15.86 | 16.37 | 16.44 | 4.83 | 13.73 |
| Sample Variance | 251.57 | 268.12 | 270.40 | 23.37 | 188.47 |
| Minimum | 11 | 11 | 14 | 15 | 13 |
| Maximum | 101 | 86 | 92 | 29 | 101 |
| Count | 661 | 144 | 247 | 6 | 264 |
| Confidence Level (95.0\%) | 1.21 | 2.70 | 2.06 | 5.07 | 1.66 |


| Female Ages (years) | ALL REGIONS | WCVI | CC | NC | QCI |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mean | 41.5 | 43.1 | 46.2 | 49.0 | 36.4 |
| Standard Error | 0.80 | 1.70 | 1.45 | 10.45 | 1.05 |
| Median | 40.0 | 41.5 | 47.0 | 50.5 | 34.0 |
| Mode | 22 | 22 | 24 | - | 22 |
| Standard Deviation | 18.44 | 19.05 | 19.78 | 20.90 | 15.45 |
| Sample Variance | 339.95 | 362.87 | 391.09 | 436.67 | 238.70 |
| Minimum | 13 | 13 | 14 | 22 | 13 |
| Maximum | 105 | 89 | 105 | 73 | 89 |
| Count | 534 | 126 | 187 | 4 | 217 |
| Confidence Level (95.0\%) | 1.57 | 3.36 | 2.85 | 33.25 | 2.07 |

Table 15. Summary statistics for rockfish and sablefish catch rates in number of fish per skate over all DFO management regions.

| All Areas | Bocaccio | Canary | China | Copper | Quillback | Redbanded | Redstripe | Rosethorn |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mean | 0.024 | 0.019 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.106 | 1.481 | 0.001 | 0.002 |
| Standard Error | 0.007 | 0.006 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.034 | 0.234 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
| Median | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Standard Deviation | 0.094 | 0.076 | 0.035 | 0.036 | 0.442 | 3.049 | 0.010 | 0.014 |
| Sample Variance | 0.009 | 0.006 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.196 | 9.295 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Minimum | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Maximum | 0.75 | 0.63 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 3.75 | 21.75 | 0.13 | 0.13 |
| Total Number of Skates | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 |
| Confidence Level (95.0\%) | 0.014 | 0.012 | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.067 | 0.462 | 0.002 | 0.002 |
| Coefficient of Variation | 3.937 | 4.068 | 9.388 | 8.078 | 4.163 | 2.058 | 13.038 | 9.192 |


| All Areas | Rougheye | Sablefish | Shortraker | Silvergray | Yelloweye | Yellowmouth | Yellowtail |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mean | 0.380 | 4.252 | 0.020 | 0.050 | 1.138 | 0.005 | 0.004 |
| Standard Error | 0.119 | 0.490 | 0.011 | 0.014 | 0.197 | 0.003 | 0.002 |
| Median | 0 | 0.565 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Standard Deviation | 1.548 | 6.388 | 0.144 | 0.189 | 2.574 | 0.034 | 0.032 |
| Sample Variance | 2.397 | 40.800 | 0.021 | 0.036 | 6.627 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
| Minimum | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Maximum | 13.63 | 34.25 | 1.38 | 1.63 | 16.88 | 0.38 | 0.38 |
| Total Number of Skates | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 |
| Confidence Level (95.0\%) | 0.234 | 0.967 | 0.022 | 0.029 | 0.390 | 0.005 | 0.005 |
| Coefficient of Variation | 4.076 | 1.502 | 7.174 | 3.745 | 2.262 | 7.434 | 8.567 |

Table 16. Summary statistics for rockfish and sablefish catch rates in numbers of fish per skate for the Queen Charlotte Islands management region.

| Queen Charlotte Islands | Bocaccio | Canary | China | Copper | Quillback | Redbanded | Redstripe | Rosethorn |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mean | 0.053 | 0.040 | 0.008 | 0.003 | 0.164 | 1.288 | - | 0.003 |
| Standard Error | 0.018 | 0.018 | 0.008 | 0.003 | 0.096 | 0.527 | - | 0.003 |
| Median | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 |
| Standard Deviation | 0.121 | 0.123 | 0.057 | 0.019 | 0.642 | 3.536 | - | 0.019 |
| Sample Variance | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.003 | 0.000 | 0.412 | 12.505 | - | 0.000 |
| Minimum | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 |
| Maximum | 0.5 | 0.63 | 0.38 | 0.13 | 3.75 | 21.75 | - | 0.13 |
| Total Number of Skates | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 |
| Confidence Level (95.0\%) | 0.036 | 0.037 | 0.017 | 0.006 | 0.193 | 1.062 | - | 0.006 |
| Coefficient of Variation | 2.268 | 3.110 | 6.708 | 6.708 | 3.915 | 2.746 | - | 6.708 |


| Queen Charlotte Islands | Rougheye | Sablefish | Shortraker | Silvergray | Yelloweye | Yellowmouth |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yellowtail |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 0.817 | 5.299 | 0.039 | 0.154 | 1.568 | - |
| Standard Error | 0.351 | 1.085 | 0.028 | 0.050 | 0.398 | - |
| Median | 0 | 0.88 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Standard Deviation | 2.355 | 7.275 | 0.188 | 0.335 | 2.672 | - |
| Sample Variance | 5.545 | 52.932 | 0.035 | 0.112 | 7.139 | - |
| Minimum | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Maximum | 13.63 | 23.13 | 1.25 | 1.63 | - | - |
| Total Number of Skates | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | - | - |
| Confidence Level (95.0\%) | 0.707 | 2.186 | 0.057 | 0.101 | 0.8 | - |
| Coefficient of Variation | 2.882 | 1.373 | 4.788 | 2.177 | 1.704 | - |

Table 17. Summary statistics for rockfish and sablefish catch rates in numbers of fish per skate for the North Coast management region.

| North Coast | Bocaccio | Canary | China | Copper | Quillback | Redbanded | Redstripe | Rosethorn |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mean | - | - | - | 0.053 | 0.439 | 0.021 | - | - |
| Standard Error | - | - | - | 0.036 | 0.248 | 0.021 | - |  |
| Median | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | - | - |  |
| Standard Deviation | - | - | - | 0.126 | 0.859 | 0.072 | - | - |
| Sample Variance | - | - | - | 0.016 | 0.737 | 0.005 | - | - |
| Minimum | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - |  |
| Maximum | - | - | - | 0.38 | 2.88 | 0.25 | - |  |
| Total Number of Skates | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | - |  |  |
| Confidence Level (95.0\%) | - | - | - | 0.080 | 0.546 | 0.046 | 12 | - |
| Coefficient of Variation | - | - | - | 2.394 | 1.955 | 3.464 | - |  |


| North Coast | Rougheye | Sablefish | Shortraker | Silvergray | Yelloweye | Yellowmouth | Yellowtail |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean | - | 0.198 | - | - | 0.522 | - | - |
| Standard Error | - | 0.115 | - | - | 0.413 | - | - |
| Median | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| Standard Deviation | - | 0.397 | - | - | 1.431 | - | - |
| Sample Variance | - | 0.158 | - | - | 2.048 | - | - |
| Minimum | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| Maximum | - | 1.25 | - | - | 5 | - | - |
| Total Number of Skates | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Confidence Level (95.0\%) | - | 0.253 | - | - | 0.909 | - | - |
| Coefficient of Variation | - | 2.004 | - | - | 2.743 | - | - |

Table 18. Summary statistics for rockfish and sablefish catch rates in numbers of fish per skate for the Central Coast management region.

| Central Coast | Bocaccio | Canary | China | Copper | Quillback | Redbanded | Redstripe | Rosethorn |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mean | 0.023 | 0.004 |  | - | - | 0.044 | 2.808 | 0.002 |
| Standard Error | 0.014 | 0.003 |  | - | - | 0.033 | 0.440 | 0.002 |
| Median | 0 | 0 |  | - | - | 0 | 1.625 | 0 |
| Standard Deviation | 0.110 | 0.024 |  | - | - | 0.255 | 3.405 | 0.017 |
| Sample Variance | 0.012 | 0.001 | - | - | 0.065 | 11.596 | 0.000 | - |
| Minimum | 0 | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| Maximum | 0.75 | 0.13 | - | - | 1.88 | 13.25 | 0.13 | - |
| Total Number of Skates | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Confidence Level (95.0\%) | 0.028 | 0.006 | - | - | 0.066 | 0.880 | 0.004 | - |
| Coefficient of Variation | 4.730 | 5.431 | - | - | 5.792 | 1.213 | 7.746 | - |


| Central Coast | Rougheye | Sablefish | Shortraker | Silvergray | Yelloweye | Yellowmouth | Yellowtail |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mean | 0.382 | 3.160 | 0.004 | 0.017 | 1.254 | 0.013 | - |
| Standard Error | 0.198 | 0.535 | 0.003 | 0.007 | 0.394 | 0.007 | - |
| Median | 0 | 1.315 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| Standard Deviation | 1.534 | 4.144 | 0.024 | 0.055 | 3.051 | 0.056 | - |
| Sample Variance | 2.354 | 17.170 | 0.001 | 0.003 | 9.306 | 0.003 | - |
| Minimum | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| Maximum | 11 | 14.13 | 0.13 | 0.25 | 16.88 | 0.38 | - |
| Total Number of Skates | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Confidence Level (95.0\%) | 0.396 | 1.070 | 0.006 | 0.014 | 0.788 | 0.014 | - |
| Coefficient of Variation | 4.019 | 1.311 | 5.431 | 3.206 | 2.433 | 4.366 | - |

Table 19. Summary statistics for rockfish and sablefish catch rates in numbers of fish per skate for the West Coast Vancouver Island management region.

| West Coast Vancouver Is. | Bocaccio | Canary | China | Copper | Quillback | Redbanded | Redstripe | Rosethorn |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mean | 0.005 | 0.022 | 0.005 | - | 0.052 | 0.475 | - | 0.002 |
| Standard Error | 0.005 | 0.010 | 0.005 | - | 0.020 | 0.233 | - | 0.002 |
| Median | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 |
| Standard Deviation | 0.034 | 0.069 | 0.034 | - | 0.146 | 1.698 | - | 0.018 |
| Sample Variance | 0.001 | 0.005 | 0.001 | - | 0.021 | 2.884 | - | 0.000 |
| Minimum | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 |
| Maximum | 0.25 | 0.38 | 0.25 | - | 0.75 | 11.88 | - | 0.13 |
| Total Number of Skates | 53 | 53 | 53 | 53 | 53 | 53 | 53 | 53 |
| Confidence Level (95.0\%) | 0.009 | 0.019 | 0.009 | - | 0.040 | 0.468 | - | 0.005 |
| Coefficient of Variation | 7.280 | 3.193 | 7.280 | - | 2.796 | 3.579 | - | 7.280 |


| West Coast Vancouver Is. | Rougheye | Sablefish | Shortraker | Silvergray | Yelloweye | Yellowmouth | Yellowtail |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mean | 0.092 | 5.518 | 0.026 | 0.012 | 0.782 | - | 0.012 |
| Standard Error | 0.052 | 1.069 | 0.026 | 0.010 | 0.279 | - | 0.008 |
| Median | 0 | 0.75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 |
| Standard Deviation | 0.380 | 7.781 | 0.190 | 0.071 | 2.028 | - | 0.057 |
| Sample Variance | 0.144 | 60.548 | 0.036 | 0.005 | 4.111 | - | 0.003 |
| Minimum | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 |
| Maximum | 2.5 | 34.25 | 1.38 | 0.5 | 9 | - | 0.38 |
| Total Number of Skates | 53 | 53 | 53 | 53 | 53 | 53 | 53 |
| Confidence Level (95.0\%) | 0.105 | 2.145 | 0.052 | 0.019 | 0.559 | - | 0.016 |
| Coefficient of Variation | 4.113 | 1.410 | 7.280 | 5.942 | 2.593 | - | 4.742 |

Table 20. Results of Wilcoxon rank sum tests for differences in catch rates (\# fish/skate) between 2003 and 2004 for sablefish, redbanded, yelloweye, rougheye, and quillback rockfishes.

| Catch Rates (\#fish/skate) | 2003 Mean | 2003 CV | 2004 Mean | 2004 CV | 2003 U Statistic | 2004 U Statistic | 2-tailed $\boldsymbol{p}$ value |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sablefish | 3.06 | 1.64 | 4.25 | 1.50 | 13445 | 15456 | 0.2524 |
| Redbanded Rockfish | 0.96 | 2.84 | 1.48 | 2.06 | 13522 | 15378 | 0.2558 |
| Yelloweye Rockfish | 0.90 | 2.75 | 1.14 | 2.26 | 14188 | 14712 | 0.7464 |
| Rougheye Rockfish | 0.21 | 5.28 | 0.38 | 4.08 | 13808 | 15093 | 0.2541 |
| Quillback Rockfish | 0.11 | 3.02 | 0.11 | 4.16 | 174.6 | 166.4 | 0.2317 |



Figure 1. Distribution of IPHC survey stations by charter vessel illustrating IPHC charter region boundaries (left panel) and DFO management region boundaries (right panel).

## Proportion Female



Figure 2. Proportion females for rockfish commonly caught on the survey with sample size (n).


Figure 3. Linear regression of round fork length on dressed fork length by species for quillback rockfish, redbanded rockfish, rougheye rockfish, shortspine thornyhead, and yelloweye rockfish.


Figure 4. Length frequency distributions by sex for quillback, redbanded, rougheye, and yelloweye rockfishes with sample sizes (n).

## Redbanded Rockfish



Figure 5. Redbanded rockfish maturity frequencies by sex and month with sample sizes (n).

Yelloweye Rockfish


Figure 6. Yelloweye rockfish maturity frequencies by sex and month with sample sizes (n).


Figure 7. Yelloweye rockfish age frequency histograms by sex using data collected on the 2003 IPHC SSA Survey.


Figure 8. Yelloweye rockfish age frequency histograms by sex using data collected on the 2004 IPHC SSA Survey.


Figure 9. Spatial distribution of catch rate in numbers of fish per skate for rockfishes and sablefish. The common name of the species appears in the upper right corner of the panel.


Figure 9. continued.


Figure 9. continued.


Figure 9. continued.


Figure 10. Relationships between catch rate (fish/skate) and average depth (m) for sablefish, quillback, redbanded, rougheye, silvergray, and yelloweye rockfishes.


Figure 11. Mean catch rates (\#fish/skate) plus 95\% confidence intervals by year for sablefish and the top eight most frequently encountered rockfish species on the 2003 and 2004 surveys. Wilcoxon rank sum tests performed on catch rates for sablefish and redbanded, yelloweye, rougheye, and quillback rockfishes did not reveal any significant differences between years.
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## Appendix A

## 2004 IPHC Survey SamplingProtocol

Priority work for the observer is to determine the hook-by-hook catch. The biological sampling of sablefish and rockfish should be done opportunistically and in no way should impinge upon the IPHC setline survey objectives.

## Data Reporting and Delivery

Vessels involved in the survey will land fish every 5-6 days. When the vessels lands, the Catch by Hook data and the T23 data must be faxed to AMR. The original data forms and otoliths should be kept on board the vessel until the vessel has completed the survey. At the end of the survey, all original data forms and otoliths will be delivered to AMR.

## Recording Catch By Hook Header Information

The vessel will set and haul up to 3 strings per day depending on weather and running times between stations. Obtain all header information on the Catch by Hook data sheet from the IPHC set form. Positional information (lat and long) and depth should be recorded for the start and end of the set and can be obtained from the IPHC set form. This information only needs to be recorded on skate 1 and skate 8 on the Catch By Hook data form. This information can be completed for all 3 strings after the gear has been set in the morning and prior to hauling of the gear. Record the Hook 001 position (start or end) for the string and the time for first flag out of water when the hauling begins. The vessel crew will identify which end of the string is to be hauled first.

## Hook by Hook Catch Recording

Identify to species (for fish and invertebrates) and record the hook-by-hook catch in the order in which the hooks are retrieved. An abbreviation list should be maintained for all species and include empty hooks, bait, skin etc. Also note the start/end of each skate so that any missed hooks will be confined to a skate. There will be 8 skates of approximately100 hooks per set for a total of 800 hooks per set. The end of each skate will be indicated by an anchor/shackle and will be verbally confirmed by either the vessel crew or IPHC staff.
Empty hooks, missing /bent hooks and hooks with bait or skin must also be recorded. (see abbreviations list)
Fish that are lost at the rail should also be recorded as such. (see abbreviations list) When two fish are caught on the same hook, both fish should be recorded. The predator species should be recorded first, followed by a slash (/) then the prey species. (see Hook by Hook Catch example)
At the end of each set (or end of the day), compare the catch data for rockfish and sablefish to the T23 data. Record comments on the T23 form as to why any discrepancies exist between the number of species caught and the number sampled.

## Biological Sampling

During the survey both sablefish and rockfish will be sampled. It is usually best to begin sampling the sablefish that will need to be j-cut. This will allow the crew to provide assistance in cutting the fish and then get on to other duties, and will maintain the vessel's quality standards for saleable fish. Sampling should commence after all gear is on board the vessel and the vessel is transiting to the next station.

There may be times when you will not be able to complete all the required rockfish sampling before it is time to haul the next string. If this situation is encountered, the fish should be placed in baskets or buckets and stored out of the way, (and separate from fish on the next string) until there is sufficient time to complete the sampling. There will usually be time to complete the sampling at the end of the day. If the
situation arises where there are fish from several sets that could not be sampled, the fish can be zap strapped through the operculum and iced in the hold. A different colour strap should be used in order to differentiate fish from different sets and the information should be recorded on the Dockside Sampling Inventory Form. These fish can then be sampled at the dock when the vessel lands.

Dock sampling is logistically difficult and negatively affects the quality of the fish and should be avoided if at all possible. If fish have to be sampled at the dock, AMR must be contacted prior to landing in order to arrange sampling facilities and personnel.

IPHC staff will assist in recording data on the T22's and T 23 's and vessel crew will assist with cutting sablefish and dressing rockfish. It is best to have the crew pre-dress rockfish as the fish come on board (remove the gills and slit the bellies, leaving gonads in tact). This will speed up the sampling and limit the time required from IPHC staff and vessel crew. (see Collection of Length Conversion Data)

During periods of heavy by-catch, there may not be enough time to properly clean otoliths as they are extracted. Otoliths can simply be extracted and stored in a tray until they can be properly cleaned later. Record each sample on the appropriate B01 form after each set. Mornings (while the gear is being set) are a good time to catalogue T23's and clean otolith samples collected the previous day. Remove and clean all otoliths from the collection tray, and place them in the correct cell of the clean delivery tray for that species. (use one label per tray) Check each sample against the B01 form for that species, to ensure there is no overlap in fish numbers.

Otoliths will be stored in separate trays for each species and otolith numbers for each species will run consecutively. Use the following numbering system: for

| Species | Otolith \# Range |
| :--- | :--- |
| Yelloweye | $0001-1000$ |
| Sablefish | $1001-2000$ |
| Redbanded | $2001-2500$ |
| Quillback | $2501-3000$ |
| Copper | $3001-3500$ |
| China | $3501-4000$ |
| Tiger | $4001-4500$ |
| Black | $4501-5000$ |
| Other Rockfish |  |
| *5001-6000 blocks of 100 per species |  |

DFO has requested that we collect gonad samples from each of the rockfish maturity states encountered. The gonads should be carefully removed and frozen in a Ziploc bag with a label stating the species and maturity state.

## Sampling Protocols

## Rockfish

All rockfish will be retained from each set. Sample up to 50 rockfish per set for Length/Sex/Maturity/Otoliths (LSMO). The priority species is yelloweye rockfish followed by redbanded, quillback, copper, China, tiger and black rockfish. If there are more than 50 yelloweye rockfish per set then randomly sub-sample 50 pieces for LSMO. If there is less than 50 yelloweye rockfish then sample them all and make up the rest of the 50 pieces with other rockfish i.e. redbanded, quillback, copper, China, tiger and black rockfish for a total of 50 rockfish sampled per set. Other rockfish species can also be sampled if time permits.

## Rockfish Maturities

There is new clarification of rockfish cycles on the rockfish maturity sheet for 2004:
Rockfish cycle back to maturity stage \#3, after the resting stage \#7, for example: 1-2-3-4-5-6-7-3-4...

Females- look for the presence of eyed larvae (small black dots on ovaries) to distinguish mature females (stage 3) from maturing females (stage 2) which do not have eyed larvae present.
Males - look for the presence of residual milt in the seminal vesicle to distinguish developing testes (stage 3 ) from maturing testes (stage 2), which will not have residual milt present.

## Sablefish

Retain the first 50 sablefish from each set for sampling and release the rest.
If there are less than 20 sablefish, collect LSM.
If there are more than 20 sablefish, collect LSMO

## Sets with High Catch Rates of Both Rockfish and Sablefish

On the majority of sets, there will be a manageable number of rockfish and sablefish and both species can be sampled. If there is more than 50 rockfish and also a high number of sablefish and time does not allow the full sampling of both species:

Perform L/S/M/O on a sub-sample of 50 rockfish and perform an L/S/M sample on a sub-sample of 25 sablefish.

## Documenting Collection Methods and Utilizations on T23 Data Forms

The following guidelines should be used for recording collection methods and utilizations for rockfish and sablefish:

All rockfish/sablefish caught are sampled and retained:
Collection = 01 (whole haul) and Util =1
All rockfish/sablefish caught are sampled but some are discarded (poor quality/ undersized sablefish):
Collection = 01 (whole haul) and Util = blank
Sub-sample of rockfish/sablefish caught are used for sampling:
Collection = 03 (random ungraded) and Util = blank

* Use this when sampling the "first 50 sablefish caught"

Sub-sample of rockfish are used for sampling but all rockfish are retained:
Collection = 02 (random graded) and Util = 1

## Collection of Length Conversion Data

DFO has requested that we collect some length conversion (CF) data for each species of rockfish sampled during the survey. Procedures for this sampling follow:

Step 1) Collect up to 50 individuals of each species for sampling. These should be the same fish that you are sampling for otoliths during the survey. In order to collect 50 fish of each species you may have to do this sampling for several sets (samples). As the number of fish encountered for some species (i.e. Quillback) will be quite low, you should sample all individuals encountered. For species that are more numerous (i.e. yelloweye), spread the collection of this data out - do the first 10 fish of each sample until you have collected the data for 50 fish. This will spread out the time required for this sampling into manageable proportions.

Step 2) Each fish sampled for length CF data should be measured for fork length to the nearest millimetre before the crew has dressed the fish. Pre dressed fish lengths can be recorded onto a separate t23 data form with the fish number (otolith number) but no sex or maturity data. The fish number should match the LSMO data collected for the fish during actual (post dressed) otolith sampling. On these data sheets record "Length CF Data" under the header information for the form, as it will be a duplicate of the actual otolith data recorded during sampling.

Step 3) Allow the crew to gill (dress) the fish in the same manner to what has been done for otolith sampled fish to date.

Step 4) Proceed with normal (post dressed) otolith sampling procedures ensuring that the post dressed length data can be matched to the pre dressed length information using the "otolith" fish number assigned to each individual
(see Length Conversion Data Example)

## Documenting Gear Problems on Catch by Hook Data Form

## Gear snarl

The most frequent problem encounter during longline operations is the snarling of the line and the hooks. When the gear becomes snarled the recording of hooks and catch in sequential order becomes difficult at best. There are two basic scenarios.

The crew will bring the entire snarl aboard, remove the hooks and untangle the snarl. Then depending on the size of the snarl, they will throw the line outboard again and resume haul back. In this scenario you will be able to determine the total number of hooks involved because all the hooks involved will have been removed. However, you may not know the order of the hooks and the catch. In this situation record all hooks and catch in the boxes provided (do your best at estimating the sequential order) and then separate those hooks involved in the snarl with a set of brackets (figure 1). The brackets will inform the data transcribers that these items were caught, but the order is unclear because of a gear snarl.

The crew my bring the entire snarl aboard, but may not untangle the hooks and line at that time. In this particular situation you should record in the appropriate boxes all items caught, estimate the number of hooks, and then place brackets around those items. You will need to ask the crew to inform you about the total number of hook involved after they have untangled the snarl and you will need to note that in the comment section.

## Hook snarl example:



## Parting of the gear

When the longline parts the vessel must travel to the other end of the string (hereafter referred to as second end) in order to retrieve the gear. At the time when the longline parts you should place a double backslash after the last retrieved hook and then record what happened in the comment section provided. When the haul back resumes from the second end, you will need to record hook status in reverse order starting with hook \#105 for the second end. You will record hook status in reverse order for each skate for the remainder of the string. (start on hook \#105 for each skate and record backwards).

## Parting of gear example:



Appendix B. Description of sexual maturity stages for rockfish, based on Westrheim (1975).

| Maturity Stage | Code | Males |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Immature | 1 | translucent pink, threadlike |
| Maturing | 2 | stringlike, slight swelling, translucent |
| Developing | 3 | swelling, brown-white |
| Developed | 4 | large, white; easily broken |
| Running | 5 | running sperm |
| Spent | 6 | white-brown; sperm still in duct |
| Resting | 7 | triangluar in cross-section; small, brown |


| Maturity Stage | Code | Females |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Immature | 1 | translucent pink, small |
| Maturing | 2 | small, yellow eggs, translucent or opaque; |
| Mature | 3 | large, yellow or orange eggs; opaque |
| Fertilized | 4 | large, orange-yellow eggs; translucent |
| Embryos or Larvae | 5 | include eyed eggs; translucent |
| Spent | 6 | large, flaccid, red ovaries; a few larvae may be present |
| Resting | 7 | moderate size, firm, orange-grey ovaries, some with dark blotches |

Appendix C. Summary of set specifications by vessel, including set number, date, location (start and end latitudes and longitudes in degrees, decimal minutes), depths (minimum, maximum and average in metres) and times (start deployment, start retrieval and end retrieval).

| Set | Date | Start <br> Lat | Start <br> Long | $\begin{aligned} & \text { End } \\ & \text { Lat } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { End } \\ & \text { Long } \end{aligned}$ | Min Depth (m) | Max Depth (m) | Average Depth (m) | Begin <br> Deploy <br> Time | Begin <br> Retrieve <br> Time | End <br> Retrieve <br> Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1-Jun-04 | 5251.50 | 13141.63 | 5247.50 | 13141.70 | 73 | 219 | 146 | 11:27 AM | 4:36 PM | 7:10 PM |
| 2 | 3-Jun-04 | 5251.05 | 13113.25 | 5247.02 | 13113.40 | 62 | 165 | 113 | 5:07 AM | 10:50 AM | 3:00 AM |
| 3 | 2-Jun-04 | 5264.95 | 13113.27 | 5268.52 | 13113.50 | 60 | 82 | 71 | 6:59 AM | 4:45 PM | 7:11 PM |
| 4 | 2-Jun-04 | 5268.92 | 13086.78 | 5265.08 | 13086.68 | 88 | 97 | 92 | 8:33 AM | 8:23 PM | 11:00 PM |
| 5 | 3-Jun-04 | 5284.98 | 13086.72 | 5280.90 | 13086.67 | 46 | 55 | 50 | 6:17 AM | 11:32 AM | 2:03 PM |
| 6 | 3-Jun-04 | 5284.90 | 13058.42 | 5281.05 | 13058.33 | 108 | 117 | 112 | 8:10 AM | 3:25 PM | 5:35 PM |
| 7 | 4-Jun-04 | 5415.17 | 13058.42 | 5419.10 | 13058.30 | 75 | 132 | 103 | 5:03 AM | 10:58 AM | 1:02 PM |
| 8 | 4-Jun-04 | 5431.90 | 13058.30 | 5435.87 | 13058.28 | 73 | 123 | 98 | 6:38 AM | 2:19 PM | 4:41 PM |
| 9 | 4-Jun-04 | 5448.30 | 13058.27 | 5452.17 | 13058.35 | 101 | 137 | 119 | 7:57 AM | 5:37 PM | 8:03 PM |
| 10 | 5-Jun-04 | 5451.82 | 13115.23 | 5448.62 | 13114.80 | 128 | 157 | 142 | 5:36 AM | 10:38 AM | 1:05 PM |
| 11 | 5-Jun-04 | 5449.97 | 13140.85 | 5450.03 | 13147.88 | 69 | 146 | 107 | 7:05 AM | 2:47 PM | 5:18 PM |
| 12 | 6-Jun-04 | 5434.97 | 13115.33 | 5431.32 | 13114.88 | 60 | 73 | 66 | 5:25 AM | 11:05 AM | 1:31 PM |
| 13 | 6-Jun-04 | 5433.25 | 13140.50 | 5433.38 | 13147.45 | 170 | 185 | 177 | 6:50 AM | 3:15 PM | 5:27 PM |
| 14 | 6-Jun-04 | 5433.30 | 13168.67 | 5433.18 | 13175.85 | 181 | 199 | 190 | 8:00 AM | 6:49 PM | 8:48 PM |
| 15 | 7-Jun-04 | 5433.33 | 13199.30 | 5433.32 | 13205.43 | 247 | 256 | 251 | 5:38 AM | 10:38 AM | 1:29 PM |
| 16 | 7-Jun-04 | 5450.05 | 13170.83 | 5450.00 | 13177.93 | 326 | 344 | 335 | 8:15 AM | 2:59 PM | 5:30 PM |
| 17 | 11-Jun-04 | 5433.40 | 13288.73 | 5433.40 | 13282.07 | 371 | 430 | 400 | 4:57 AM | 10:02 AM | 12:59 PM |
| 18 | 11-Jun-04 | 5433.33 | 13261.02 | 5433.38 | 13254.15 | 252 | 278 | 265 | 6:10 AM | 2:45 PM | 5:15 PM |
| 19 | 11-Jun-04 | 5416.73 | 13253.85 | 5416.67 | 13260.30 | 82 | 90 | 86 | 8:01 AM | 7:08 PM | 9:11 PM |
| 20 | 12-Jun-04 | 5433.42 | 13318.90 | 5433.32 | 13312.58 | 454 | 457 | 455 | 9:21 AM | 2:33 PM | 4:10 PM |
| 21 | 12-Jun-04 | 5418.03 | 13315.03 | 5413.95 | 13315.08 | 53 | 88 | 70 | 11:31 AM | 5:35 PM | 8:03 PM |
| 22 | 13-Jun-04 | 5432.13 | 13343.35 | 5436.05 | 13343.33 | 205 | 238 | 221 | 5:51 AM | 11:36 AM | 2:23 PM |
| 23 | 13-Jun-04 | 5434.98 | 13371.77 | 5431.22 | 13371.68 | 238 | 256 | 247 | 7:33 AM | 3:42 PM | 6:15 PM |
| 24 | 13-Jun-04 | 5417.93 | 13343.42 | 5414.28 | 13343.38 | 393 | 402 | 397 | 9:44 AM | 7:51 PM | 10:36 PM |
| 25 | 14-Jun-04 | 5331.85 | 13310.00 | 5335.50 | 13310.00 | 214 | 329 | 271 | 5:15 AM | 11:18 AM | 1:56 PM |
| 26 | 14-Jun-04 | 5334.80 | 13281.77 | 5330.77 | 13281.77 | 110 | 146 | 128 | 7:16 AM | 3:14 PM | 5:47 PM |
| 27 | 14-Jun-04 | 5351.58 | 13310.05 | 5347.80 | 13309.95 | 256 | 475 | 365 | 10:05 AM | 7:25 PM | 10:43 PM |
| 28 | 15-Jun-04 | 5401.73 | 13341.67 | 5398.10 | 13341.63 | 71 | 84 | 77 | 5:12 AM | 6:48 PM | 9:07 PM |
| 29 | 15-Jun-04 | 5367.83 | 13311.57 | 5364.03 | 13311.77 | 137 | 159 | 148 | 8:18 AM | 1:18 PM | 4:00 PM |
| 30 | 16-Jun-04 | 5433.28 | 13227.73 | 5433.38 | 13234.80 | 210 | 216 | 213 | 5:20 AM | 11:01 AM | 1:25 PM |
| 31 | 16-Jun-04 | 5416.65 | 13225.87 | 5416.48 | 13232.28 | 86 | 99 | 92 | 7:05 AM | 2:42 PM | 4:56 PM |
| 32 | 16-Jun-04 | 5416.62 | 13203.00 | 5416.63 | 13196.52 | 68 | 77 | 72 | 8:37 AM | 5:56 PM | 8:10 PM |
| 33 | 19-Jun-04 | 5384.90 | 13086.70 | 5380.90 | 13086.73 | 80 | 97 | 88 | 10:55 AM | 4:00 PM | 6:22 PM |
| 34 | 20-Jun-04 | 5365.00 | 13055.57 | 5366.67 | 13062.43 | 31 | 79 | 55 | 4:56 AM | 10:08 AM | 12:09 PM |
| 35 | 20-Jun-04 | 5368.22 | 13086.75 | 5364.17 | 13086.63 | 48 | 53 | 50 | 6:21 AM | 1:52 PM | 4:02 PM |
| 36 | 20-Jun-04 | 5351.65 | 13086.65 | 5347.58 | 13086.65 | 90 | 102 | 96 | 7:46 AM | 5:20 PM | 7:32 PM |
| 37 | 21-Jun-04 | 5334.90 | 13086.67 | 5330.95 | 13086.65 | 135 | 146 | 140 | 4:57 AM | 10:01 AM | 12:20 PM |
| 38 | 21-Jun-04 | 5318.23 | 13086.67 | 5314.32 | 13086.68 | 104 | 110 | 107 | 6:18 AM | 1:41 PM | 3:40 PM |
| 39 | 21-Jun-04 | 5315.18 | 13058.33 | 5318.63 | 13058.35 | 185 | 198 | 191 | 7:53 AM | 5:03 PM | 7:16 PM |
| 40 | 22-Jun-04 | 5301.58 | 13058.33 | 5297.67 | 13058.33 | 88 | 99 | 93 | 5:00 AM | 10:20 AM | 12:50 PM |
| 41 | 22-Jun-04 | 5298.30 | 13029.97 | 5301.95 | 13030.00 | 210 | 216 | 213 | 6:37 AM | 2:15 PM | 5:00 PM |
| 42 | 22-Jun-04 | 5318.33 | 13030.03 | 5314.27 | 13030.12 | 102 | 219 | 160 | 8:24 AM | 6:00 PM | 8:18 PM |
| 43 | 23-Jun-04 | 5334.97 | 13029.98 | 5331.15 | 13030.03 | 91 | 119 | 105 | 4:59 AM | 10:01 AM | 12:45 PM |
| 44 | 23-Jun-04 | 5334.95 | 13058.30 | 5330.95 | 13058.28 | 57 | 123 | 90 | 6:40 AM | 2:00 PM | 4:50 PM |

Appendix C continued.

Pender Isle

| Set | Date | Start <br> Lat | Start <br> Long | $\begin{aligned} & \text { End } \\ & \text { Lat } \end{aligned}$ | End <br> Long | Min <br> Depth <br> (m) | Max <br> Depth <br> (m) | Average Depth (m) |  |  | End <br> Retrieve <br> Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2-Jul-04 | 5216.65 | 13002.57 | 5216.73 | 13009.00 | 154 | 210 | 182 | 6:04 AM | 11:13 AM | 2:00 PM |
| 2 | 2-Jul-04 | 5216.68 | 13028.60 | 5216.65 | 13034.63 | 369 | 417 | 393 | 7:13 AM | 3:15 PM | 5:55 PM |
| 3 | 2-Jul-04 | 5232.92 | 13034.47 | 5233.95 | 13028.80 | 311 | 391 | 351 | 8:47 AM | 7:24 PM | 10:02 PM |
| 4 | 3-Jul-04 | 5250.05 | 13000.17 | 5249.97 | 13006.10 | 262 | 276 | 269 | 5:04 AM | 10:05 AM | 12:45 PM |
| 5 | 3-Jul-04 | 5233.62 | 13007.78 | 5232.92 | 13001.50 | 128 | 269 | 198 | 6:41 AM | 2:03 PM | 4:18 PM |
| 6 | 3-Jul-04 | 5233.28 | 12979.70 | 5233.33 | 12973.57 | 205 | 210 | 207 | 7:54 AM | 5:30 PM | 6:45 PM |
| 7 | 4-Jul-04 | 5233.57 | 12946.90 | 5233.22 | 12952.70 | 154 | 185 | 169 | 4:56 AM | 9:59 AM | 12:45 PM |
| 8 | 4-Jul-04 | 5216.92 | 12947.20 | 5216.40 | 12953.17 | 203 | 214 | 208 | 6:21 AM | 2:30 PM | 4:40 PM |
| 9 | 4-Jul-04 | 5216.75 | 12973.57 | 5216.52 | 12979.78 | 198 | 214 | 206 | 7:31 AM | 6:00 PM | 8:05 PM |
| 10 | 5-Jul-04 | 5183.42 | 12975.33 | 5183.18 | 12981.63 | 240 | 274 | 257 | 5:04 AM | 10:10 AM | 12:50 PM |
| 11 | 5-Jul-04 | 5200.13 | 12981.38 | 5199.87 | 12975.53 | 113 | 117 | 115 | 6:40 AM | 2:03 PM | 5:05 PM |
| 12 | 5-Jul-04 | 5200.02 | 12953.32 | 5200.00 | 12947.13 | 199 | 210 | 204 | 7:54 AM | 6:34 PM | 8:55 PM |
| 13 | 6 -Jul-04 | 5216.77 | 12891.98 | 5216.58 | 12898.32 | 146 | 179 | 162 | 5:00 AM | 10:30 AM | 1:03 PM |
| 14 | 6-Jul-04 | 5216.68 | 12920.35 | 5216.65 | 12926.48 | 172 | 177 | 174 | 6:26 AM | 2:05 PM | 4:20 PM |
| 15 | 6 -Jul-04 | 5233.35 | 12924.80 | 5233.22 | 12919.68 | 123 | 146 | 134 | 8:07 AM | 5:36 PM | 7:48 PM |
| 16 | 7-Jul-04 | 5249.68 | 12950.15 | 5250.23 | 12955.85 | 68 | 104 | 86 | 5:05 AM | 10:12 AM | 12:41 PM |
| 17 | 7-Jul-04 | 5249.80 | 12973.57 | 5250.15 | 12979.12 | 165 | 196 | 180 | 6:16 AM | 1:35 PM | 3:48 PM |
| 18 | 7-Jul-04 | 5266.80 | 12979.82 | 5266.57 | 12973.38 | 144 | 212 | 178 | 7:56 AM | 5:03 PM | 7:25 PM |
| 19 | 8 -Jul-04 | 5283.38 | 13000.32 | 5283.27 | 13006.65 | 260 | 262 | 261 | 5:36 AM | 10:45 AM | 1:00 PM |
| 20 | 8 -Jul-04 | 5299.43 | 13006.22 | 5300.50 | 13001.27 | 137 | 234 | 185 | 7:01 AM | 2:15 PM | 4:15 PM |
| 21 | 8 -Jul-04 | 5299.95 | 12978.22 | 5299.92 | 12972.10 | 199 | 238 | 218 | 8:18 AM | 5:12 PM | 7:24 PM |
| 22 | 11-Jul-04 | 5283.38 | 13028.47 | 5283.32 | 13034.80 | 192 | 219 | 205 | 5:02 AM | 10:19 AM | 12:45 PM |
| 23 | 11-Jul-04 | 5266.28 | 13034.68 | 5267.10 | 13028.83 | 188 | 238 | 213 | 6:46 AM | 2:26 PM | 4:40 PM |
| 24 | 11-Jul-04 | 5266.63 | 13006.32 | 5266.67 | 13000.30 | 267 | 269 | 268 | 8:14 AM | 6:10 PM | 8:50 PM |
| 25 | 12-Jul-04 | 5249.85 | 13028.65 | 5250.10 | 13034.57 | 241 | 291 | 266 | 5:04 AM | 10:05 AM | 12:54 PM |
| 26 | 12-Jul-04 | 5249.97 | 13055.13 | 5250.03 | 13060.98 | 101 | 141 | 121 | 6:19 AM | 2:00 PM | 4:01 PM |
| 27 | 12-Jul-04 | 5266.52 | 13061.53 | 5266.82 | 13055.28 | 141 | 148 | 144 | 7:48 AM | 5:30 PM | 8:06 PM |
| 28 | 13-Jul-04 | 5250.17 | 13083.58 | 5249.78 | 13089.95 | 91 | 134 | 112 | 4:58 AM | 10:09 AM | 12:26 PM |
| 29 | 13-Jul-04 | 5233.80 | 13089.88 | 5233.02 | 13083.78 | 143 | 144 | 143 | 6:23 AM | 1:37 PM | 3:50 PM |
| 30 | 13-Jul-04 | 5233.27 | 13061.50 | 5233.38 | 13055.48 | 163 | 168 | 165 | 7:42 AM | 5:00 PM | 7:30 PM |
| 31 | 14-Jul-04 | 5216.60 | 13089.73 | 5216.75 | 13083.67 | 216 | 225 | 220 | 4:52 AM | 9:57 AM | 12:30 PM |
| 32 | 14-Jul-04 | 5216.65 | 13061.38 | 5216.58 | 13055.65 | 188 | 196 | 192 | 6:10 AM | 1:48 PM | 4:22 PM |
| 33 | 14-Jul-04 | 5199.87 | 13083.67 | 5199.98 | 13089.73 | 104 | 236 | 170 | 8:21 AM | 5:56 PM | 8:20 PM |
| 34 | 15-Jul-04 | 5183.77 | 13089.80 | 5183.08 | 13085.18 | 134 | 205 | 169 | 5:03 AM | 10:12 AM | 1:09 PM |
| 35 | 15-Jul-04 | 5183.73 | 13061.32 | 5183.08 | 13056.00 | 282 | 300 | 291 | 6:29 AM | 2:30 PM | 3:45 PM |
| 36 | 15-Jul-04 | 5199.35 | 13055.48 | 5200.63 | 13061.47 | 236 | 322 | 279 | 7:55 AM | 6:55 PM | 9:17 PM |
| 37 | 16-Jul-04 | 5200.20 | 13002.33 | 5199.73 | 13008.63 | 137 | 146 | 141 | 5:06 AM | 10:03 AM | 12:38 PM |
| 38 | 16-Jul-04 | 5199.90 | 13028.65 | 5199.98 | 13034.85 | 318 | 380 | 349 | 6:17 AM | 1:44 PM | 3:55 PM |
| 39 | 16-Jul-04 | 5183.28 | 13034.73 | 5183.38 | 13028.82 | 208 | 218 | 213 | 8:03 AM | 5:20 PM | 8:08 PM |
| 40 | 17-Jul-04 | 5183.48 | 13001.88 | 5183.18 | 13008.33 | 177 | 188 | 182 | 5:06 AM | 10:16 AM | 12:35 PM |
| 41 | 17-Jul-04 | 5166.55 | 13002.20 | 5166.88 | 13008.77 | 346 | 362 | 354 | 6:45 AM | 1:50 PM | 4:22 PM |
| 42 | 17-Jul-04 | 5166.72 | 13028.92 | 5166.57 | 13035.40 | 251 | 283 | 267 | 7:52 AM | 5:17 PM | 7:27 PM |

Appendix C continued.
Star Wars II

| Set | Date | Start Latitude | Start Longitude | End Latitude | End Longitude | Min Depth (m) | Max <br> Depth <br> (m) | Average <br> Depth <br> (m) | Begin <br> Deploy <br> Time | Begin <br> Retrieve <br> Time | End <br> Retrieve <br> Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 8-Jul-04 | 4868.48 | 12538.33 | 4864.80 | 12538.33 | 62 | 82 | 72 | 5:00 AM | 10:15 AM | 12:20 PM |
| 2 | 8-Jul-04 | 4851.97 | 12538.33 | 4848.28 | 12538.33 | 126 | 152 | 139 | 6:20 AM | 1:28 PM | 3:27 PM |
| 3 | 8-Jul-04 | 4864.83 | 12511.67 | 4868.73 | 12511.67 | 48 | 69 | 58 | 8:25 AM | 5:03 PM | 7:03 PM |
| 4 | 9-Jul-04 | 4851.90 | 12563.35 | 4847.97 | 12563.33 | 82 | 115 | 98 | 5:00 AM | 10:52 AM | 12:35 PM |
| 5 | 9-Jul-04 | 4835.30 | 12563.33 | 4831.53 | 12563.33 | 137 | 143 | 140 | 6:15 AM | 1:36 PM | 3:40 PM |
| 6 | 9-Jul-04 | 4832.73 | 12586.35 | 4835.02 | 12590.55 | 223 | 404 | 313 | 9:00 AM | 4:52 PM | 7:20 PM |
| 7 | 10-Jul-04 | 4848.08 | 12588.33 | 4851.98 | 12588.33 | 90 | 121 | 105 | 5:00 AM | 10:01 AM | 12:06 PM |
| 8 | 10-Jul-04 | 4848.07 | 12613.33 | 4851.70 | 12613.33 | 188 | 216 | 202 | 6:40 AM | 1:20 PM | 3:35 PM |
| 9 | 10-Jul-04 | 4864.80 | 12613.33 | 4868.62 | 12613.33 | 137 | 146 | 141 | 7:56 AM | 4:30 PM | 6:35 PM |
| 10 | 11-Jul-04 | 4864.75 | 12588.33 | 4868.90 | 12588.33 | 71 | 77 | 74 | 5:00 AM | 10:05 AM | 11:49 AM |
| 11 | 11-Jul-04 | 4864.85 | 12563.33 | 4868.90 | 12563.33 | 66 | 177 | 121 | 6:42 AM | 12:55 PM | 3:05 PM |
| 12 | 11-Jul-04 | 4881.38 | 12561.67 | 4885.40 | 12561.68 | 46 | 51 | 48 | 7:57 AM | 3:56 PM | 6:03 PM |
| 13 | 12-Jul-04 | 4883.33 | 12585.52 | 4883.33 | 12591.80 | 55 | 64 | 59 | 5:00 AM | 10:17 AM | 12:13 PM |
| 14 | 12-Jul-04 | 4883.33 | 12610.40 | 4883.33 | 12616.67 | 95 | 117 | 106 | 6:09 AM | 1:05 PM | 2:43 PM |
| 15 | 12-Jul-04 | 4883.33 | 12635.40 | 4883.33 | 12641.20 | 174 | 177 | 175 | 7:25 AM | 3:33 PM | 5:19 PM |
| 16 | 13-Jul-04 | 4898.07 | 12663.33 | 4901.52 | 12663.33 | 168 | 406 | 287 | 5:00 AM | 10:44 AM | 12:50 PM |
| 17 | 13-Jul-04 | 4901.92 | 12638.32 | 4898.05 | 12638.33 | 134 | 141 | 137 | 6:31 AM | 1:52 PM | 3:36 PM |
| 18 | 13-Jul-04 | 4901.95 | 12613.33 | 4898.30 | 12613.33 | 66 | 75 | 70 | 8:09 AM | 4:42 PM | 6:25 PM |
| 19 | 15-Jul-04 | 4948.12 | 12714.98 | 4951.62 | 12715.00 | 141 | 146 | 143 | 5:05 AM | 10:06 AM | 11:53 AM |
| 20 | 15-Jul-04 | 4968.55 | 12715.00 | 4964.77 | 12715.00 | 108 | 117 | 112 | 6:39 AM | 1:13 PM | 3:00 PM |
| 21 | 15-Jul-04 | 4968.60 | 12739.98 | 4964.48 | 12740.00 | 137 | 415 | 276 | 8:12 AM | 4:04 PM | 6:07 PM |
| 22 | 16-Jul-04 | 4935.30 | 12715.00 | 4931.20 | 12715.00 | 170 | 203 | 186 | 5:00 AM | 10:25 AM | 12:38 PM |
| 23 | 16-Jul-04 | 4935.25 | 12688.33 | 4931.08 | 12688.33 | 132 | 141 | 136 | 6:46 AM | 1:48 PM | 3:35 PM |
| 24 | 16-Jul-04 | 4918.62 | 12688.35 | 4914.48 | 12688.33 | 163 | 183 | 173 | 8:03 AM | 4:28 PM | 6:26 PM |
| 25 | 17-Jul-04 | 4914.75 | 12663.33 | 4918.32 | 12663.33 | 119 | 124 | 121 | 5:20 AM | 10:21 AM | 12:20 PM |
| 26 | 17-Jul-04 | 4914.83 | 12638.37 | 4918.78 | 12638.33 | 77 | 91 | 84 | 6:53 AM | 1:34 PM | 3:08 PM |
| 27 | 17-Jul-04 | 4931.67 | 12638.33 | 4935.72 | 12638.33 | 33 | 40 | 36 | 8:07 AM | 3:58 PM | 5:43 PM |
| 28 | 18-Jul-04 | 4935.23 | 12663.33 | 4931.18 | 12663.33 | 37 | 95 | 66 | 5:15 AM | 10:17 AM | 12:17 PM |
| 29 | 18-Jul-04 | 4948.07 | 12688.35 | 4951.77 | 12688.33 | 80 | 97 | 88 | 7:10 AM | 1:51 PM | 3:39 PM |
| 30 | 18-Jul-04 | 4951.98 | 12663.33 | 4947.97 | 12663.33 | 38 | 42 | 40 | 8:36 AM | 4:50 PM | 6:36 PM |
| 31 | 19-Jul-04 | 4985.25 | 12740.00 | 4981.35 | 12740.00 | 79 | 82 | 80 | 5:22 AM | 1:35 PM | 3:23 PM |
| 32 | 19-Jul-04 | 4985.15 | 12766.70 | 4981.52 | 12766.68 | 161 | 351 | 256 | 7:22 AM | 4:46 PM | 6:49 PM |
| 33 | 19-Jul-04 | 4998.05 | 12766.67 | 5002.22 | 12766.67 | 73 | 90 | 81 | 9:00 AM | 8:13 PM | 9:59 PM |
| 34 | 20-Jul-04 | 5035.28 | 12818.33 | 5031.43 | 12818.33 | 154 | 165 | 159 | 5:10 AM | 1:19 PM | 3:28 PM |
| 35 | 20-Jul-04 | 5051.90 | 12845.02 | 5048.20 | 12845.02 | 177 | 187 | 182 | 7:24 AM | 5:00 PM | 7:01 PM |
| 36 | 20-Jul-04 | 5068.63 | 12873.33 | 5064.82 | 12873.33 | 161 | 201 | 181 | 9:45 AM | 8:29 PM | 10:25 PM |
| 37 | 23-Jul-04 | 5131.40 | 12818.33 | 5135.12 | 12818.33 | 57 | 115 | 86 | 5:01 AM | 10:05 AM | 11:45 AM |
| 38 | 23-Jul-04 | 5151.95 | 12818.33 | 5148.07 | 12818.33 | 69 | 90 | 79 | 6:38 AM | 12:40 PM | 2:30 PM |
| 39 | 23-Jul-04 | 5135.23 | 12791.68 | 5131.23 | 12791.67 | 124 | 141 | 132 | 8:30 AM | 4:17 PM | 6:08 PM |
| 40 | 24-Jul-04 | 5166.67 | 12840.23 | 5166.67 | 12846.33 | 130 | 144 | 137 | 5:10 AM | 10:29 AM | 12:10 PM |
| 41 | 24-Jul-04 | 5183.32 | 12873.07 | 5183.33 | 12866.75 | 79 | 141 | 110 | 7:10 AM | 1:52 PM | 3:37 PM |
| 42 | 24-Jul-04 | 5185.28 | 12843.33 | 5181.25 | 12843.33 | 97 | 166 | 131 | 9:00 AM | 5:08 PM | 6:50 PM |

Star Wars II set specifications continued on next page.

## Appendix C continued

| Set | Date | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Start } \\ & \text { Lat } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Start } \\ & \text { Long } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { End } \\ & \text { Lat } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { End } \\ & \text { Long } \end{aligned}$ | Min <br> Depth <br> (m) | Max <br> Depth <br> (m) | Average <br> Depth <br> (m) | Begin <br> Deploy <br> Time | Begin <br> Retrieve <br> Time | End <br> Retrieve <br> Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 43 | 25-Jul-04 | 5200.00 | 12893.55 | 5200.00 | 12899.83 | 112 | 155 | 133 | 5:26 AM | 10:42 AM | 12:35 PM |
| 44 | 25-Jul-04 | 5199.98 | 12873.02 | 5200.00 | 12866.77 | 161 | 166 | 163 | 7:04 AM | 1:53 PM | 3:42 PM |
| 45 | 25-Jul-04 | 5214.77 | 12868.33 | 5218.55 | 12868.33 | 205 | 251 | 228 | 8:35 AM | 4:49 PM | 6:48 PM |
| 46 | 26-Jul-04 | 5202.02 | 12923.33 | 5197.97 | 12923.33 | 174 | 177 | 175 | 5:15 AM | 11:14 AM | 1:05 PM |
| 47 | 26-Jul-04 | 5183.33 | 12921.60 | 5183.33 | 12926.45 | 121 | 123 | 122 | 6:49 AM | 2:43 PM | 4:55 PM |
| 48 | 26-Jul-04 | 5185.18 | 12951.67 | 5181.13 | 12951.67 | 243 | 254 | 248 | 8:47 AM | 6:37 PM | 8:38 PM |
| 49 | 27-Jul-04 | 5098.07 | 12821.68 | 5101.85 | 12821.67 | 75 | 126 | 100 | 6:55 AM | 12:11 PM | 2:02 PM |
| 50 | 27-Jul-04 | 5098.13 | 12795.00 | 5101.95 | 12795.00 | 27 | 135 | 81 | 8:37 AM | 3:33 PM | 5:17 PM |
| 51 | 27-Jul-04 | 5118.55 | 12793.35 | 5114.42 | 12793.33 | 119 | 134 | 126 | 10:15 AM | 6:14 PM | 8:09 PM |
| 52 | 28-Jul-04 | 5133.33 | 12848.20 | 5133.33 | 12842.02 | 143 | 154 | 148 | 5:05 AM | 10:17 AM | 12:12 PM |
| 53 | 28-Jul-04 | 5116.67 | 12849.80 | 5116.67 | 12843.70 | 185 | 192 | 188 | 6:44 AM | 1:15 PM | 3:04 PM |
| 54 | 28-Jul-04 | 5116.68 | 12823.13 | 5116.67 | 12816.52 | 93 | 113 | 103 | 8:05 AM | 4:29 PM | 6:18 PM |
| 55 | 1-Aug-04 | 5135.27 | 12868.95 | 5131.08 | 12869.05 | 185 | 208 | 196 | 5:17 AM | 11:15 AM | 1:18 PM |
| 56 | 1-Aug-04 | 5150.00 | 12874.72 | 5150.00 | 12868.77 | 42 | 123 | 82 | 7:41 AM | 2:33 PM | 4:34 PM |
| 57 | 1-Aug-04 | 5151.93 | 12845.00 | 5148.02 | 12845.00 | 183 | 188 | 185 | 9:14 AM | 5:52 PM | 7:50 PM |
| 58 | 2-Aug-04 | 5185.25 | 12896.70 | 5181.22 | 12896.67 | 80 | 86 | 83 | 5:30 AM | 11:17 AM | 1:13 PM |
| 59 | 2-Aug-04 | 5168.58 | 12898.33 | 5164.53 | 12898.33 | 48 | 68 | 58 | 6:45 AM | 2:18 PM | 4:03 PM |
| 60 | 2-Aug-04 | 5168.57 | 12925.00 | 5165.00 | 12925.00 | 51 | 60 | 55 | 8:19 AM | 5:36 PM | 7:28 PM |
| 61 | 3-Aug-04 | 5168.50 | 12978.33 | 5164.17 | 12978.33 | 196 | 302 | 249 | 5:38 AM | 10:40 AM | 1:23 PM |
| 62 | 3-Aug-04 | 5151.90 | 12978.35 | 5147.85 | 12978.33 | 161 | 177 | 169 | 6:52 AM | 2:33 PM | 4:54 PM |
| 63 | 3-Aug-04 | 5150.00 | 13001.92 | 5150.00 | 13008.32 | 254 | 358 | 306 | 8:21 AM | 6:04 PM | 8:27 PM |
| 64 | 4-Aug-04 | 5168.57 | 12951.67 | 5164.47 | 12951.67 | 95 | 101 | 98 | 5:25 AM | 10:34 AM | 12:25 PM |
| 65 | 4-Aug-04 | 5151.92 | 12951.67 | 5147.78 | 12951.67 | 91 | 115 | 103 | 6:39 AM | 1:30 PM | 3:48 PM |
| 66 | 4-Aug-04 | 5151.93 | 12925.00 | 5148.02 | 12925.00 | 48 | 51 | 49 | 8:25 AM | 5:00 PM | 6:55 PM |
| 67 | 5-Aug-04 | 5151.97 | 12898.33 | 5147.78 | 12898.33 | 48 | 59 | 53 | 5:21 AM | 10:41 AM | 12:32 PM |
| 68 | 5-Aug-04 | 5135.23 | 12898.33 | 5131.30 | 12898.33 | 234 | 247 | 240 | 6:38 AM | 2:35 PM | 3:34 PM |
| 69 | 5-Aug-04 | 5135.28 | 12925.00 | 5131.47 | 12925.00 | 192 | 256 | 224 | 8:17 AM | 4:49 PM | 6:51 PM |
| 70 | 6-Aug-04 | 5114.72 | 12900.00 | 5118.78 | 12900.00 | 128 | 137 | 132 | 5:18 AM | 10:52 AM | 12:43 PM |
| 71 | 6-Aug-04 | 5116.67 | 12876.48 | 5116.67 | 12870.53 | 97 | 113 | 105 | 7:32 AM | 1:45 PM | 3:19 PM |
| 72 | 6-Aug-04 | 5101.95 | 12873.35 | 5097.90 | 12873.33 | 66 | 73 | 69 | 8:54 AM | 4:33 PM | 6:19 PM |
| 73 | 9-Aug-04 | 5135.33 | 12978.33 | 5131.57 | 12978.35 | 230 | 254 | 242 | 5:36 AM | 10:42 AM | 12:52 PM |
| 74 | 9-Aug-04 | 5135.23 | 12951.67 | 5131.65 | 12951.67 | 170 | 216 | 193 | 7:17 AM | 2:05 PM | 4:17 PM |
| 75 | 9-Aug-04 | 5118.63 | 12953.33 | 5114.97 | 12953.33 | 280 | 283 | 281 | 8:32 AM | 5:26 PM | 7:50 PM |
| 76 | 10-Aug-04 | 5098.08 | 12953.33 | 5101.77 | 12953.33 | 232 | 262 | 247 | 5:30 AM | 10:38 AM | 1:10 PM |
| 77 | 10-Aug-04 | 5098.10 | 12926.65 | 5102.27 | 12926.67 | 155 | 163 | 159 | 7:10 AM | 2:23 PM | 4:19 PM |
| 78 | 10-Aug-04 | 5114.78 | 12926.68 | 5118.65 | 12926.67 | 219 | 300 | 259 | 8:27 AM | 5:34 PM | 7:48 PM |
| 79 | 11-Aug-04 | 5081.37 | 12926.68 | 5085.52 | 12926.67 | 113 | 132 | 122 | 5:32 AM | 10:36 AM | 12:56 PM |
| 80 | 11-Aug-04 | 5081.37 | 12900.00 | 5085.52 | 12900.00 | 59 | 99 | 79 | 7:17 AM | 2:20 PM | 4:35 PM |
| 81 | 11-Aug-04 | 5098.07 | 12899.98 | 5101.83 | 12900.00 | 79 | 86 | 82 | 8:28 AM | 5:30 PM | 7:26 PM |
| 82 | 12-Aug-04 | 5064.70 | 12846.67 | 5068.45 | 12846.67 | 77 | 99 | 88 | 5:33 AM | 10:37 AM | 12:40 PM |
| 83 | 12-Aug-04 | 5081.43 | 12846.67 | 5085.53 | 12846.67 | 51 | 71 | 61 | 6:45 AM | 1:53 PM | 4:02 PM |
| 84 | 12-Aug-04 | 5098.03 | 12846.65 | 5102.17 | 12846.67 | 93 | 97 | 95 | 7:58 AM | 4:55 PM | 6:45 PM |

