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#### Abstract

MacLellan, S.G. and Hume, J.M.B. 2011. Pelagic fish surveys of 20 lakes in Northern British Columbia from: 2006 to 2009. Can. Tech. Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 2950: viii + 139 p.

We conducted pelagic fish surveys using hydroacoustics, midwater trawls and small mesh gillnets in 20 sockeye (Oncorhynchus nerka) rearing lakes in the Nass and Skeena river systems and in the north and central coast regions of British Columbia. We present the results in relation to lake water type and provide detailed results for each lake. We detected differences in vertical distribution, species composition and diet between clear, stained and glacially turbid lakes. Clear lakes had the most diverse pelagic fish community but age-0 O. nerka (mostly sockeye) were the most common species found. Glacially turbid lakes had far fewer species and age-0 O. nerka were the most common. Threespine stickleback (Gasterosteus aculeatus) and age-0 O. nerka were the dominant species in the stained lakes. Daphnia were the dominant prey item of age-0 O. nerka in clear lakes while Bosmina dominated in the diets in stained lakes. Copepods and terrestrial insects were the most common prey items in glacially turbid lakes.


## RÉSUMÉ

MacLellan, S.G. and Hume, J.M.B. 2011. Pelagic fish surveys of 20 lakes in Northern British Columbia from : 2006 to 2009. Can. Tech. Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 2950: viii + 139 p.

En nous servant de la détection hydroacoustique, de chaluts méso-pélagiques et de filets maillants à petit maillage, nous avons effectué des relevés sur les poissons pélagiques dans 20 lacs d'alevinage du saumon rouge (Oncorhynchus nerka) dans les réseaux de la Nass et de la Skeena et sur les côtes centrale et nord de la Colombie-Britannique. Nous présentons les résultats selon le type d'eau de ces lacs. Nous avons relevé des différences dans la distribution verticale, la composition spécifique et l'alimentation entre les lacs aux eaux claires, les lacs aux eaux sombres et les lacs glaciaires aux eaux turbides. Les lacs aux eaux claires renfermaient la communauté de poissons pélagiques la plus diversifiée, bien que $O$. nerka, sous la forme d'individus d'âge 0 , était l'espèce la plus commune qui s'y trouvait. Les lacs glaciaires aux eaux turbides renfermaient beaucoup moins d'espèces, mais O. nerka, sous la forme d'individus d'âge 0 , y était aussi l'espèce la plus commune. L'épinoche à trois épines (Gasterosteus aculeatus) et $O$. nerka, sous la forme d'individus d'âge 0 , étaient les espèces dominantes dans les lacs aux eaux sombres. Daphnia constituait la proie dominante des saumons rouges d'âge 0 dans les lacs aux eaux claires. Bosmina constituait l'élément dominant des régimes alimentaires des poissons dans les lacs aux eaux sombres, alors que les copépodes et les insectes terrestres étaient les proies les plus communes dans les lacs glaciaires aux eaux turbides.

## INTRODUCTION

The effective management of sockeye salmon (Oncorhynchus nerka) stocks requires reliable estimates of abundance, but sockeye salmon stocks along the central and north coast of British Columbia and in the Skeena and Nass watersheds are many, tend to be small, widely dispersed, and are often remote with difficult access. This combination of factors makes traditional adult enumeration of spawning populations both expensive and difficult to conduct from year to year. Pelagic surveys of juvenile sockeye salmon using hydroacoustics and trawl nets offer an easier and cost effective alternative assessment method (MacLellan and Hume 2010).

The results of pelagic fish surveys of 23 sockeye salmon rearing lakes, surveyed from 1997 to 2005, in the Skeena River watershed and on the north coast of British Columbia were reported in Hume and MacLellan (2008). In this paper we report on an additional 20 lakes surveyed from 2006 to 2009. These lakes were located in the Skeena and Nass river watersheds and on the north and central coast of British Columbia.

The primary objective of most of these surveys was to assess the stock status of the sockeye populations and their competitors in the study lakes. We did this by estimating pelagic fish abundance using mobile hydroacoustic surveys accompanied by midwater trawling and gillnetting. However, three lakes, (Batchellor, Red Bluff and Whalen lakes), known as hanging lakes, have waterfalls on their outflow creeks, which are barriers to anadromous fish passage, and therefore had no existing sockeye populations utilizing the lake. The Gitga'at First Nation, based at Hartley Bay, initiated a project to investigate the feasibility of using these hanging lakes to rear sockeye fry in support of a terminal fishery on the returning adults at the mouth of the outlet creek. Thus, our objective on these three lakes was to support the Gitga'at hanging lakes study by collecting information on the existing pelagic and littoral fish populations of these lakes, for the purpose of evaluating each lake for its suitability to rear out-plants of juvenile sockeye.

While some lakes in this report and in Hume and MacLellan (2008) have been surveyed previously, these are the first pelagic (acoustic and trawl) fish surveys of many of the lakes (28 of 42). In Hume and MacLellan (2008), the study lakes were divided into three different water types; clear, dystrophic (stained) and glacial, where glacial was defined as a lake with any direct influence by glacial melt waters. In this paper, we present the results in relationship to these water types, but have modified the definition of glacial lakes to only include glacially turbid lakes that have significantly decreased light transmission rates (Lloyd et al. 1987, see methods). A number of lakes that were previously classified as glacial would now be classified as clear using the new criteria.

## THE STUDY LAKES

The 20 study lakes are located in five regions of British Columbia (Fig. 1, Table 1). Four of the lakes are within the Nass River watershed and include Meziadin, Bowser, Fred Wright, and Kwinageese lakes. All are located inland and sockeye migrations to and from the ocean range from about 170 km to 250 km . Alastair and Morice lakes are both in the Skeena watershed but differ greatly in climate and circumstance. Alastair is near coastal in nature, being only 45 m above sea level, and a relatively short migration distance ( 70 km ) from the ocean and is frequented by harbour seals (Phoca vitulina). Morice on the other hand is well inland, at an elevation of 763 m , and migrating salmon travel 425 km to get to this lake. Lonesome and Elbow lakes are located in the Atnarko Valley in the interior Bella Coola region and are part of Tweedsmuir Provincial Park. These lakes are approximately 100 km upstream of the ocean and elevations are 485 m and 590 m respectively. Lastly, lakes in the north and central coast regions of British Columbia are on the islands and peninsulas of the British Columbia coast. Typically their outlets flow only a short distance to the ocean, often only a kilometer or two, and they are subject to a maritime climate. On the north coast we surveyed Batchellor, Red Bluff and Whalen lakes for the hanging lakes study, and Keecha, Kooryet, Moore, Kitlope and Kimsquit lakes to assess sockeye stock status. On the central coast we surveyed Koeye, Namu, and the Tankeeah lakes (upper and lower) to assess sockeye stock status.

Most of the coastal lakes were of the stained water type, with only Kitlope and Kimsquit lakes being categorized as clear. All interior lakes were either clear or glacially turbid. Lake size varied greatly, from Tankeeah Upper Lake at 129 ha to Morice Lake covering 9738 ha. The shallowest lakes were the two Tankeeah lakes with average depths of 8 and 10 m , while Whalen and Morice lakes had average depths around 100 m . Moore Lake is at the lowest elevation of all our study lakes at only 5 m above sea level, and has a saline layer in its lower basin starting at about 20 m depth, suggesting possible periodic incursions of salt water from the ocean. The highest study lake is Morice at 763 m above sea level. Pelagic fish densities range from a high of 6472 fish $/$ ha in clear Alastair Lake to 39 fish $/$ ha in glacially turbid Bowser Lake.

## METHODS

## FIELD DATA COLLECTION

We surveyed populations of pelagic fish using hydroacoustic and midwater trawling techniques developed for juvenile sockeye salmon (Burczynski and Johnson 1986, Hume et al. 1996, Hyatt et al. 1984, Hume and MacLellan 2008, MacLellan and Hume 2010). In preparation for each lake survey, we developed a survey design as in Hume and MacLellan (2008), but increased the minimum number of transects per lake to seven, in order to reduce the variance in the abundance and density estimates (Figs. 2a to 2 t ). Data and sample collection in the field was done the same as in Hume and MacLellan (2008). Surveys were conducted in late summer and
early fall from September 1 to September 22, except for hanging lake surveys which were done between July 21 to 26 in 2006 (Table 1). Each lake was surveyed only once.

## Fish Sampling

We used a 4.3 m inflatable boat (the Little Echo) with a $2 \times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ mid-water trawl for lakes that could only be accessed by plane. Meziadin and Morice lakes had road access and were surveyed with a 7.3 m aluminum power boat (the Night Echo) with a $3 \times 7 \mathrm{~m}$ closing trawl (Hume and MacLellan 2008, MacLellan and Hume 2010). Trawling was our primary method of sampling the pelagic fish populations, but we collected additional fish samples with Swedish style gill nets, which were 1.5 m deep and consisted of four, 4 m long panels, with stretched mesh sizes of 12.5, 16, 20, and 25 mm (Appleberg 2000, MacLellan and Hume 2010). On a couple of surveys we tried using regular small mesh gill nets as well (19 and 25 mm stretch mesh, $7.5 \times 2.0 \mathrm{~m}$ panels), but discontinued their use as they were ineffective. On the hanging lake surveys we used additional gears including RIC gill nets (seven, $7.5 \times 2.0 \mathrm{~m}$ panels, consisting of $19,64,38,89,51,76$ and 25 mm stretch mesh), minnow traps, beach seines and dip nets to evaluate fish populations in the littoral zone of the lake. Small fish were preserved in 1 liter plastic sample bottles using $10 \%$ formalin or $85 \%$ ethanol and processed later at Cultus Lake Laboratory. Fish too large for our sample bottles were measured for fork length and released.

## Hydroacoustic Sampling

Hydroacoustic sampling was done similarly to Hume and MacLellan (2008). We used a Biosonics (www.biosonicsinc.com) DTX split beam sounder, transmitting at 208 kHz with a 6.6 degree circular beam. As in our earlier surveys we used a 0.4 ms pulse width, but our collection threshold decreased over the 4 years covered by this paper. In 2006, we collected at -70 dB ; in 2007 we decreased this to -75 dB to aid in detecting smaller organisms such as Chaoborus larva, and in 2008 we began collecting at -100 dB when improvements to our collection software allowed us to collect data at a lower threshold $(-100 \mathrm{~dB})$ but view it at a higher threshold ( -75 dB).

Bathymetric charts are required for several aspects of a hydroacoustic assessment and we obtained existing charts for eight of our study lakes from the British Columbia Ministry of Environment, Fisheries Data Warehouse (http://a100.gov.bc.ca/pub/fidq/main.do). However, two of these charts (Figs. 3a and 3c), Alastair and Bowser Lakes, did not agree well with the survey soundings, so we created new charts for these lakes based on the transect and other soundings we took during the survey. Coverage for these two charts were not as complete as normally obtained, but represented the observed bathymetry of these lakes better than the existing charts. No existing charts were available for the 12 remaining study lakes, and we
compiled new charts using the same techniques and software described in MacLellan and Hume (2010). Besides depth data from the survey transects, we used data from transects between survey transects as well as additional sounding in shoal areas and from transects running the long axis of the lake (when time permitted), to construct these charts (Figs. 3b, 3d to 3n).

## Zooplankton Sampling

We used replicated vertical Wisconsin hauls to sample the zooplankton in the pelagic zone of our study lakes as described in Shortreed et al. 2007). The Wisconsin net had a mouth opening of $0.05 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$, a mesh size of $160 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, used a flow meter mounted on the second ring to measure net efficiency, and was hauled from a depth of 30 m where lake depth permitted. Samples were preserved in 125 ml plastic bottles using a sucrose buffered $4 \%$ formalin solution. These samples were collected one of two ways, either by our hydroacoustic crew at the time of our survey, or by our limnology crew as part of a more extensive limnology survey of the lake. In the latter case, zooplankton sample dates do not correspond exactly with our hydroacoustic surveys but are usually within a month of one another, except for Keecha, Kooryet, and Moore Lakes, where the limnology and hydroacoustic surveys were 4 years apart.

Some of the study lakes have Chaoborus populations inhabiting the pelagic zone and the Wisconsin net does not adequately sample these populations for various reasons (Shortreed et al. 2007). To sample Chaoborus and other macro-invertebrates we used a $350 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ mesh SCORtype net. This net had a $0.25 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ opening and typically was fished in the same manner as the Wisconsin net, except sampling was done at night. Samples were preserved in the same manner as zooplankton.

## SAMPLE AND DATA PROCESSING

## Hydroacoustic Methods

In this study, we used Myriax's Echoview software (www.echoview.com) to process hydroacoustic data. We used four techniques in analyzing the data, echo integration (NTG), single target analysis (ST), track target analysis (TT) and a variation on tracked target analysis we call Chaoborus tracked target analysis (Cha-TT) (MacLellan and Hume 2010). A modified tracked target analysis (Cha-TT) is needed when Chaoborus larva are present in the water column in significant numbers, as they reflect sound energy similarly to juvenile sockeye and will inflate the sockeye estimate unless removed from the acoustic data. Each of these methods is described in Hume and MacLellan (2008) and MacLellan and Hume (2010), and were used to process the data collected from the study lakes. As in MacLellan and Hume (2010) we usually report integration results for fish densities $>500 / \mathrm{ha}$, TT results where survey densities are $<500$ /ha and Cha-TT results when Chaoborus is present in significant numbers.

## Fish and Diet Samples

Fish and diet samples were processed as described in Hume and MacLellan (2008) and MacLellan and Hume (2010). Large fish were measured for fork length in the field and released. Smaller fish were preserved in $10 \%$ formalin or $85 \%$ ethanol and transported back to the lab for processing. After at least 30 days of preservation, fish were measured for fork length (mm), weighed ( g ), and where possible, scales were taken from O. nerka for age determination.

Stomach samples were taken from selected groups (species, size classes, location in lake) of fish, usually from trawl captured fish that were caught within 3-4 hrs after dusk (normal $O$. nerka feeding time). Up to ten fish stomachs were combined in a sample vial, containing a solution of $10 \%$ formalin, for diet analysis. Where possible a replicate sample of up to ten fish was taken for each group. During analysis, each individual stomach was assessed for fullness and its contents combined with that of the other stomachs from the vial. These stomach contents were then sub-sampled as necessary, identified, counted, and where possible, measured, using a computerized video measuring system as described in MacLellan et al. (1993). With these data, mean numbers of food items per stomach were calculated and biomass was estimated using length-weight regressions. See MacLellan and Hume (2010) for details.

Insects comprised a portion of the diet in a number of lakes in this report, but due to their state of digestion, assessing abundance and biomass of terrestrial insects in the diet was difficult, resulting in imprecise estimates (MacLellan and Hume 2010). Nevertheless, these numbers do indicate the presence or absence of insects, and given their individual size relative to most freshwater zooplankton, it takes few insects to make a significant contribution to the biomass of a juvenile sockeye's diet.

## Zooplankton Samples

Zooplankton and macro-invertebrate samples were processed using a computerized video measuring system (Shortreed et al. 2007, MacLellan et al. 1993). Samples were subsampled as necessary and individual zooplankton were identified, counted and measured. Biomass was estimated using taxa-specific length-weight regressions (MacLellan and Hume 2010).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this section we discuss the limitations and biases of the sampling gear, and provide an overall analysis of the catch in relationship to water clarity. Specific results of the survey of each study lake including methodology, difficulties encountered, and a description of the pelagic fish communities are presented in Appendix 1. We also evaluate each lake's suitability for hydroacoustic assessment and recommend strategies for any future surveys on these lakes. We also present details of the sampling performed (tow log, Appendix 2), population estimates using
the four different analytical techniques (Appendix 3), catch and size data for each gear type (Appendix 4), and detailed results of the diet analysis (Appendix 5).

## LAKE CLASSIFICATION

Hume and MacLellan (2008) classified lakes into three categories (clear, stained and glacial), and reported results with respect to these groups. The glacial group included any lake that was subject to glacial melt waters and displayed the typical coloration caused by fine suspended glacial sediment. For this paper, we revised the approach to glacial lakes. We observed that many glacial influenced lakes had relatively deep euphotic zones and juvenile sockeye behavior (diel vertical distribution and diet) was similar to that found in clear lakes. It seemed reasonable that the degree to which these lakes were affected by glacial melt waters was important in determining the extent of the effect on lake productivity and sockeye behaviour. Lloyd et al. (1987) found that compensation depth (depth to which $1 \%$ of ambient surface light penetrates) decreased rapidly above turbidities of 5 NTUs. They also found that reduced light penetration in the water column led to reduced zooplankton density and that glacial turbid lakes in Alaska, lacked Cladocera, in particular Daphnia, a favoured food of juvenile sockeye (Lloyd et al. 1987). We therefore restricted the glacial lakes category to those lakes with turbidity readings $>5$ NTUs, and re-labeled it as "glacially turbid" (Table 1). Less glacially influenced lakes became part of the "clear" lakes group. We did not have turbidity readings for a few study lakes but did know the compensation depth. We estimated turbidity for these lakes using a linear regression developed from the other lakes that had both turbidity and light compensation depth data. Stained lakes were visually classified by field crews observing water colour at the time of sampling. More quantitative methods for measuring the degree of staining would provide a more robust categorization. Using the revised classification scheme, only Bowser Lake was classified as glacially turbid, 9 lakes were classified as clear ( 6 had some glacial influence) and 10 lakes were classified as stained (Table 1).

## BIASES IN SAMPLING EQUIPMENT

The selectivity of the trawls and gillnets used in these surveys is discussed in MacLellan and Hume (2010), and as is the case for all sampling equipment, the sampling gear is size selective (Simmonds et al. 1992). MacLellan and Hume (2010) conclude from a review of the literature and their own studies that there is little difference in trawl efficiency (catch $/ \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) or size bias between the two trawls sizes used ( $2 \times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ and $3 \times 7 \mathrm{~m}$ ) when sampling age- 1 and smaller sized fish, but that both provide size estimates that are smaller than that of the true population. The amount of the bias was quite variable amongst the reported studies.

The small mesh gillnets were selected to specifically sample smaller fish (age-0 and -1 $O$. nerka and other similar sized fish) and were utilized to supplement and to expose any bias in the
small trawl catch. While the size range of $O$. nerka caught by gill nets tends to overlap with that of the $2 \times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ trawl, the gill nets will catch fish considerably larger than the $2 \times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ trawl and were useful in determining the presence of older age classes of $O$. nerka and of larger competitors and predators (Fig. 4a).

For the lake surveys covered by this report, a comparison of September caught O. nerka by the two trawls indicates that both gears performed equally well for fish up to 75 mm in length. The large trawl, however was more successful capturing larger $O$. nerka, in the $75-110 \mathrm{~mm}$ range, than was the small trawl (Fig. 4b). Although most of the large trawl catch (99\%) comes from the Meziadin Lake survey and no gill nets were used on Meziadin, it does demonstrate the large trawl's ability to catch this larger size class when they are present in the pelagic fish population. The small trawl on the other hand, appears to steadily decline in its ability to catch fish larger than 75 mm in length, despite indications from the gill net catch that $O$. nerka in this larger size class were encountered (Fig. 4a). Results from this and previous studies suggest that there is size bias in the trawl data, but it is variable. (MacLellan and Hume 2010, Hyatt et al. (2004) and McQueen et al. (2007). This is likely because more factors affect trawl net efficiency than just fish size, such as trawl depth, water clarity, and light conditions.

## FISH SPECIES COMPOSITION AND SIZE

## Pelagic Fish Composition - Trawl and Gill Net Samples

Trawling was the most effective fish sampling technique. A total of 2182 minutes of trawling in 109 trawls with the $2 \times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ net caught 2266 fish for a catch rate of 62 fish $/ \mathrm{hr}$, while 155 minutes of trawling in nine trawls with the larger $3 \times 7 \mathrm{~m}$ trawl caught 1065 fish, for a catch rate of 412 fish $/ \mathrm{hr}$ (Table 2). Most trawls targeted depths where fish were seen on the echo sounder, while a few targeted the surface layers, an area of the lake not sampled by the echo sounder, to check for surface oriented fish populations. Trawls caught mainly O. nerka and stickleback in clear and stained lakes, while only $O$. nerka were caught in glacially turbid Bowser Lake (Table 3, 4). Other trawl caught species included sculpin (Cottus sp), coho salmon (O. kisutch) and Dolly Varden (Salvelinus malma) (Table 4). Clear and stained lakes produced age-0 O. nerka of similar size (57-58 mm), while clear lakes produced larger age-1 O. nerka than those in stained lakes. The glacially turbid lake had the smallest age-0 and age-1 O. nerka at 52 mm and 70 mm . Stained lakes produced larger stickleback and sculpin than did clear lakes. (Table 5)

From 2006 to 2009 we set small mesh Swedish gill nets overnight on 94 occasions for a total of 1813 hours of fishing time, catching 305 fish. This is an over all catch rate of 3.2 fish/set or an average of 16.9 fish/survey (Table 2). While the general catch of the Swedish gill nets was lower than the trawls, it's clear from CPUE data that they performed poorest in stained lakes, with a CPUE rating an order of magnitude lower than that experienced in either clear or glacially turbid lakes (Table 2). This, despite the fact that the $2 \times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ trawl had the highest CPUE
in stained lakes than in either of the other water types (Table 2). These gill nets were only 1.5 m deep and for the most part we set them over as deep water as practical to sample the pelagic region of the lake and submerged them to a depth near the thermocline. The Swedish gill nets caught fewer fish than did the trawls but caught a wider variety of species (Table 2). In all lake types, the Swedish gill nets caught O. nerka and stickleback that were larger than those captured with the trawl (Table 5). Again, clear and stained lakes produced similar sized age-0 O. nerka, with the glacially turbid lake producing smaller age- 0 O. nerka and stained lakes had larger stickleback than did clear lakes (Table 5). Gill nets were not used for the Meziadin or Morice Lake surveys where the large $3 \times 7 \mathrm{~m}$ trawl was employed.

In summary, trawl and Swedish gill net data indicated that clear and stained lakes produced similar sized $O$. nerka, while glacially turbid lakes produced somewhat smaller fish. It also showed that stickleback tended to be larger in stained lakes than in clear lakes and they appeared to be absent from the glacially turbid lakes in our study.

## Hanging Lakes - Fish Composition

On Batchellor, Red Bluff, and Whalen lakes regular (RIC) gill nets, minnow traps, dip nets and beach scenes were employed close to shore in the littoral zone to sample the near shore fish community. The $2 \times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ trawl and Swedish gill nets were mainly used to assess the pelagic zone. All three of these lakes were stained and had outlet barriers to anadromous fish migration. Therefore, any $O$. nerka must be kokanee. It was not surprising that $O$. nerka numbers were low in these lakes, but what was unusual was the absence of stickleback in Batchellor and Whalen lakes. Other stained lakes in this study support significant populations of stickleback in the pelagic zone, whereas these two lakes had no detectable sticklebacks (Table 4). No pelagic stickleback were caught in Red Bluff Lake either, but a few specimens where caught in the littoral zone.

Sampling the pelagic zone of Batchellor Lake with 45 minutes of trawling and four overnight gill net sets, produced only one Dolly Varden, caught in a Swedish gill net. Littoral gear was fished on 11 occasions; two RIC gill nets were set overnight, five minnow traps set overnight and four beach seines completed. The RIC gillnets caught three Dolly Varden and 56 cutthroat trout, minnow traps caught five Dolly Varden and beach seines captured three Dolly Varden and 19 cutthroat trout. No kokanee were caught in Batchellor Lake (Table 3). In spite of the wide variety of gear deployed, only cutthroat trout and Dolly Varden were captured, both predators on smaller fish. The question arises; what were they feeding on, juvenile cutthroat and Dolly Varden, or is there some population of forage fish that was not detected by the sampling gear.

On Red Bluff Lake, seven trawls totaling 138 minutes of fishing time, and three overnight Swedish gill net sets were completed in the pelagic zone. Trawls caught 17 kokanee, 16 of which were age- 0 with an average length of 38 mm (Table 3). Swedish gill nets caught seven older kokanee but no age-0s; likely due to the small size of these first year fish and the gill nets not being able to retain them (Table 3). Trawls also caught ten small ( 10 mm ) larval fish
and Swedish gill nets also caught three cutthroat trout. In the littoral zone, five RIC gill nets, 11 minnow traps, one dip net, and one beach seine were deployed. The RIC gill nets caught 26 cutthroat trout. Minnow traps caught 122 stickleback along with a few sculpin and cutthroats. The beach seine caught 28 stickleback and six sculpin and the dip net a single sculpin Appendix 2). With three age classes of $O$. nerka detected, there is a viable population of kokanee present in Red Bluff Lake. They, along with stickleback and sculpin, provide a food base for the cutthroat population.

To sample Whalen Lake's pelagic zone, four trawls, for 100 minutes of total fishing time, were conducted, along with four Swedish gill net sets. Each gear caught one age-1 kokanee. In the limnetic region of the lake, four RIC gill nets and eight minnow traps were deployed. The RIC gill nets caught 19 Dolly Varden, one coho salmon and eight age-1 kokanee. Minnow traps caught nine Dolly Varden (Table 6). One Swedish gill net was also deployed near shore to try and catch on-shore juvenile kokanee, but it came up empty. In short, a small kokanee population was detected along with a predator population, consisting of Dolly Varden.

## HYDROACOUSTIC ABUNDANCE ESTIMATES

The phantom midge larva, Chaoborus, was detected only in stained lakes during this study. Of the ten stained lakes, Chaoborus were not found in Keecha and Red Bluff lakes and although detected in Moore Lake, they were not present in sufficient quantities to significantly hamper regular hydroacoustic assessment and analysis. For the remaining seven stained lakes Chaoborus densities were high enough to significantly affect acoustic analysis, and Cha-TT techniques were used to collect and analyze the hydroacoustic data (MacLellan and Hume 2010, Appendix 3).

After excluding the hanging lakes because of their lack of access for anadromous fish, the mean O. nerka densities were similar for clear and stained lakes, 564 fish/ha and $517 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{ha}$ respectively, ranging from 23 fish/ha in Kwinageese Lake to 2613 fish/ha in Meziadin Lake (Table 7). Densities of all small fish in clear ( 1291 fish/ha) and stained (1 066 fish/ha) lakes were also similar on average. The one glacially turbid lake in the study had a much lower small fish mean density of 39 fish/ha, all O. nerka (Table 7). In stained lakes stickleback dominated the other small fish component, while in most clear lakes a variety of species made up this component. The one exception is Alastair Lake, a clear lake with exceptionally high stickleback densities. If Alastair data were removed from consideration, mean density for clear lake's other small fish, would drop from 727 fish/ha to 88 fish/ha.

## DIET

Diet data from this study and from Hume and MacLellan (2008) were pooled for diet analysis using the new water clarity classification scheme presented in this paper. The preferred juvenile O. nerka diet consists mainly of zooplankton, and in particular the cladoceran, Daphnia, when it is available (Foerster 1968, Hume et al. 1996). Results from this study confirm that zooplankton is the dominant prey type for clear and stained lakes (Fig. 5). Daphnia predominate in the juvenile O. nerka diet in clear lakes while Bosmina and Calanoid copepods predominate in stained lakes (Fig. 6). In glacially turbid lakes, where all Cladocera are largely absent (Lloyd et al, 1987), O. nerka diets consisted mainly of Calanoid and Cyclopoid copepods, supplemented with a few terrestrial insects (Figs. 5, 6, 7, 8).

Comparison of the average abundance and biomass of zooplankton, terrestrial insects and macro-invertebrates in the diet for each of the three water types (Fig. 5), shows that while the number of zooplankton consumed in clear and stained lakes is similar, the biomass consumed in stained lakes is roughly $50 \%$ that of clear lakes. This reflects the large body size of Daphnia, which often dominates sockeye diet in clear water lakes. Consumption of zooplankton in glacially turbid lakes, both in numbers and biomass, is well below that of clear and stained lakes. Terrestrial insects consumed are relatively few in number, however, because of the greater size of the insects relative to zooplankton, they contribute greatly to the biomass consumed (Fig. 5). Insects were the predominant prey type in glacially turbid lakes. Estimates of insect biomass are inaccurate and probably low, and thus most likely underestimate the importance of terrestrial insects in the diet of sockeye in glacially turbid lakes (Hume and MacLellan 2008).

Macro-invertebrates consumed by juvenile sockeye included Chaoborus larvae, Chironomid larvae, Ceratopogonid larvae, Neomysis, worms and amphipods. Individually, these organisms would contribute greatly to the biomass consumed by a fish due to their large size. However, they were found only in a few fish from a few of the study lakes and their overall contribution to sockeye diet is fairly small (Fig. 5).

Threespine stickleback were clearly the most common competitors for food with juvenile sockeye in the pelagic zone of the study lakes, particularly in the stained lakes. This is partly because many of the study lakes are on or near the coast where the lakes tend to be stained and threespine stickleback are a coastal species, rarely found far inland (McPhail, 2007). Stickleback were captured by midwater trawl in sufficient numbers to analyze diet data in 12 out of 19 stained lakes, while only one clear lake (Alastair) produced significant numbers of stickleback (Appendix 2, Table 4). Stickleback diet in stained lakes was similar to that of juvenile sockeye (Figs. 6 and 9). In clear Alistair Lake, stickleback also ate the same zooplankton as sockeye, Bosmina and cyclopoids (Appendix 5).

Other possible competitor species include whitefish (Coregonus spp.), lake chub (Couesius plumbeus), and redside shiners (Richardsonius balteatus) (McPhail, 2007). Although we did not often encounter these species in significant numbers in the midwater pelagic zones of the study lakes, these species are known to prey on a large variety of organisms and the potential
for food competition with juvenile sockeye exists (Hume and MacLellan 2008). Lake chub diet consisted of Bosmina and insects, while redside shiners and whitefish primarily consumed insects and macro-invertebrates (Appendix 5).

## PLANKTIVORE BIOMASS AND PREDICTED PRODUCTION

DFO's policy for the conservation of wild salmon (DFO 2005) requires the monitoring and assessment of the status of sockeye stocks or conservation units (CUs). One useful tool for determining the current status of a particular sockeye stock CU is to compare the observed biomass of the age- 0 juvenile sockeye population from these pelagic surveys with the predicted lake capacity from models of rearing capacity, such as the photosynthetic rate (PR) model (Hume et al. 1996, Shortreed et al. 2000, Cox-Rogers et al. 2004, Hume and MacLellan 2008). The PR model predicts total planktivorous fish biomass but these predictions must be revised downwards if other planktivorous fish species other than age-0 sockeye are present in the pelagic zone and are and competing for the same prey items (Cox-Rogers et al. 2004). We found that potential competitors for the zooplankton resources in these lakes include the pelagic forms of threespine stickleback, whitefish, Dolly Varden, sculpin, coho, and cutthroat trout. Using estimates of abundance and size from the hydroacoustic estimates and the trawl catch, we used these species in the calculation of both juvenile sockeye and potential competitor biomass as required for the model (Table 7).

Biomass of juvenile sockeye and their fish competitors was determined from the hydroacoustic estimates and the mean size of the fish in the trawl catch. If trawl catch data was inadequate, then information from gillnets was used (Table 7). In clear lakes, juvenile sockeye and competitor biomass averaged $1.7 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ and $1.2 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ respectively. In stained lakes, age-0 sockeye and competitor biomass averaged $1.2 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ and $1.0 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ respectively. In both lake types age- 0 sockeye averaged $54-58 \%$ of the total planktivore biomass. In the one glacially turbid lake in this report, Bowser Lake, age-0 biomass was only $0.1 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ and there were no pelagic competitors. It is worth noting however, that the competitor biomass in clear lakes is largely driven by the large stickleback population in Alastair Lake. If we remove Alastair from the analysis, competitor biomass for clear lakes drops two orders of magnitude to $.027 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$, while sockeye biomass remains much the same at $1.6 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$, and juvenile sockeye biomass now makes up $98 \%$ of total planktivore biomass in clear lakes. This suggests clear lake juvenile sockeye, rearing outside the geographic range of threespine stickleback, which is mostly within 200 km of the coast (McPhail 2007), have very little competition for food resources in the pelagic zone of these lakes. In contrast, sockeye populations that share either stained or clear lakes with threespine stickleback (coastal and near coastal lakes) experience significant competition for food resources. This must be considered when estimating carrying capacity. Hall et al. (In press) used the estimated biomass results to determine the status of the sockeye CUs of the Nass River watershed.

## WATER CLARITY SUMMARY

Although there were some inconsistencies, our surveys of 43 lakes in northern British Columbia, this study and Hume and MacLellan (2008), found distinct differences between lake types in species composition, and in juvenile sockeye vertical distribution and diet.

Juvenile sockeye and threespine stickleback were generally the dominant fish species in the pelagic area of the 24 clear and 16 stained lakes (Appendix 2, Hume and MacLellan 2008). Similarly to the lakes in our studies, threespine stickleback were the most common competitor species found in other BC lakes (O’Neill and Hyatt 1987). Other species, such as sculpins, lake chub, redside shiner, and whitefish (Appendix 2), were sometimes captured as well. Unlike the three glacially turbid lakes we surveyed in this study and in Hume and MacLellan (2008), where juvenile sockeye were the only trawl caught fish, Chernoff (1971) found abundant threespine stickleback populations in Owikeno Lake. This difference may be related to the coastal location of Owikeno Lake compared to the inland locations of the other lakes.

Diel vertical migration is well known in juvenile sockeye salmon and is thought to be an adaptation to avoid predation by fish predators (Clarke and Levy 1988, Scheuerell and Schindler 2003). Juvenile sockeye typically spend the day at depths where light conditions preclude visual predation, rise to feed in the epilimnion at dusk and dawn, and spend the night in the upper hypolimnion, generally near the thermocline. In clear lakes, daytime O. nerka depths are typically $>40 \mathrm{~m}$ (Narver 1970, Levy 1990) but can be much shallower in stained and glacially turbid lakes (Chernoff 1971, data on file). In the clear and stained lakes of our studies, sockeye were generally found well below the surface at night (typically $10-30 \mathrm{~m}$ deep), usually in the upper hypolimnion, but sockeye in two of the glacially turbid lakes, Motase and Bowser, were found in near surface waters at night and were mostly found near shore in Bowser Lake (data on file, Hume and MacLellan 2008). The third glacially turbid lake, Kitsumkalum, had a turbidity reading (5.5 NTUs) only slightly above our classification threshold for turbid lakes and night time vertical distribution was similar to that seen in clear lakes, with juvenile sockeye found below the thermocline at $10-30 \mathrm{~m}$ (Hume and MacLellan 2008).

When available, cladocerans, particularly Daphnia, are the preferred prey for juvenile sockeye in many lakes. Daphnia is usually abundant and often the dominant macrozooplankter in the clear lakes of these studies (Shortreed et al. 2007). Average Daphnia biomass was lower in stained lakes and Bosmina was often the most abundant cladoceran. Cladocerans were either absent or very sparse in glacially turbid lakes and the plankton communities in these lakes were usually dominated by the copepod Diacyclops. These differences in the macrozooplankton community were reflected in the juvenile sockeye diet where cladocerans were the major dietary item in clear and stained lakes, with Daphnia the major food item in clear lakes and the smaller Bosmina in stained lakes (Figs. 8 and 9). As expected, there were no cladocerans in the diet of juvenile sockeye in glacially turbid lakes and copepods were the most abundant prey item.

However, terrestrial insects were also relatively abundant in the diet and probably provided the most biomass to juvenile sockeye diet in glacially turbid lakes.
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Table 1. Location and morphological data for each of the study lakes. The number of trawling sections and acoustic transects are shown.

| Lake | Region | Water type | Recorded Turbidities (NTU) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Date surveyed | Lat. <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{N}\right)$ | Long. $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{W}\right)$ | Elevation (m) | Surface area (ha) | Mean depth (m) | Max. depth (m) | \# of sections | \# of transects |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alastair | Coastal Skeena | Clear | 1.1 | 13-Sep-09 | $54^{\circ} 11^{\prime}$ | $129^{\circ} 19^{\prime}$ | 45 | 686 | 21 | 79 | 2 | 8 |
| Batchellor | North coast | Stained | 0-1.3 | 20-Jul-06 | $53^{\circ} 36{ }^{\prime}$ | $129^{\circ} 40^{\prime}$ | 88 | 567 | 66 | 131 | 1 | 7 |
| Bowser | Interior Nass | Glacially turbid | 18.2-59.6 | 04-Sep-09 | $56^{\circ} 27^{\prime}$ | $129^{\circ} 34^{\prime}$ | 368 | 3409 | 65 | 116 | 2 | 12 |
| Elbow | Interior Bella Coola | Clear ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1.5-4.8 | 19-Sep-07 | $52^{\circ} 05^{\prime}$ | $125^{\circ} 42^{\prime}$ | 580 | 138 | 13 | 34 | 1 | 7 |
| Fred Wright | Interior Nass | Clear | 0.3-0.9 | 09-Sep-09 | $55^{\circ} 58^{\prime}$ | $128^{\circ} 45^{\prime}$ | 597 | 386 | 18 | 42 | 1 | 9 |
| Keecha | North coast | Stained | 0.1 | 04-Sep-08 | $53^{\circ} 18^{\prime}$ | $129{ }^{\circ} 54$ | 13 | 332 | 16 | 65 | 4 | 12 |
| Kimsquit | North coast | Clear ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.1 | 12-Sep-07 | $53^{\circ} 07^{\prime}$ | $127^{\circ} 24^{\prime}$ | 358 | 166 | 35 | 75 | 1 | 7 |
| Kitlope | North coast | Clear ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1.3 | 15-Sep-07 | $53^{\circ} 06{ }^{\prime}$ | $127^{\circ} 47^{\prime}$ | 13 | 1170 | 51 | 123 | 1 | 7 |
| Koeye | Central coast | Stained | 0.01 | 12-Sep-06 | $51^{\circ} 46$ | $127^{\circ} 42^{\prime}$ | 53 | 450 | 41 | 63 | 2 | 7 |
| Kooryet | North coast | Stained | 0.4 | 01-Sep-08 | $53^{\circ} 21^{\prime}$ | $129^{\circ} 59^{\prime}$ | 21 | 509 | 19 | 63 | 3 | 10 |
| Kwinageese | Interior Nass | Clear | 0.3-0.9 | 07-Sep-09 | $56^{\circ} 03^{\prime}$ | $128^{\circ} 49^{\prime}$ | 631 | 259 | 18 | 64 | 1 | 8 |
| Lonesome | Interior Bella Coola | Clear ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0-0.9 | 08-Sep-07 | $52^{\circ} 14$ | $125^{\circ} 43^{\prime}$ | 483 | 410 | 12 | 41 | 1 | 7 |
| Meziadin | Interior Nass | Clear ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.77-3.4 | 17-Sep-09 | $56^{\circ} 04{ }^{\prime}$ | $129^{\circ} 18^{\prime}$ | 246 | 3599 | 42 | 134 | 2 | 10 |
| Moore | North coast | Stained | 0.2 | 07-Sep-08 | $53^{\circ} 25^{\prime}$ | $129^{\circ} 31^{\prime}$ | 5 | 280 | 22 | 67 | 2 | 11 |
| Morice | Interior Skeena | Clear ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 3.7 | 22-Sep-09 | $54^{\circ} 00^{\prime}$ | $127^{\circ} 37^{\prime}$ | 763 | 9738 | $92+^{c}$ | $154+^{\text {c }}$ | 3 | 10 |
| Namu | Central coast | Stained | 0.35 | 15-Sep-06 | $51^{\circ} 52^{\prime}$ | $127^{\circ} 50^{\prime}$ | 9 | 317 | 21 | 58 | 2 | 8 |
| Red Bluff | North coast | Stained | 0-0.14 | 23-Jul-06 | $53^{\circ} 28^{\prime}$ | $129^{\circ} 36$ | 36 | 795 | 65 | 199 | 3 | 8 |
| Tankeeah (lower) | Central coast | Stained | 0.57 | 18-Sep-06 | $52^{\circ} 19{ }^{\prime}$ | $128^{\circ} 16^{\prime}$ | 7 | 152 | 8 | 29 | 3 | 10 |
| Tankeeah (upper) | Central coast | Stained | 0.7 | 19-Sep-06 | $52^{\circ} 21^{\prime}$ | $128^{\circ} 16^{\prime}$ | 11 | 129 | 10 | 32 | 2 | 7 |
| Whalen | North coast | Stained | - | 26-Jul-06 | $53^{\circ} 13^{\prime}$ | $128^{\circ} 56$ | 120 | 2131 | 100 | 255 | 2 | 13 |

[^0]Table 2. Fish caught by midwater trawls and gill nets in lakes of different water types. CPUE was determined by dividing total catch of each taxa by total trawling time in each water type. CPUE for gill nets was based on over night sets.

| Taxa | 2×2m Trawl |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 3 \times 7 \mathrm{~m} \text { Trawl } \\ \text { Mean CPUE (catch/hr) } \end{gathered}$ | Swedish gill nets Mean CPUE (catch/set) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Clear | Glacially turbid | Stained | Mean | Clear | Clear | Glacially turbid | Stained | Mean |
| O. nerka |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age-0 | 15.2 | 5.1 | 49.4 |  | 279.1 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.1 |  |
| Age-1 | 3.8 | 4.3 | 0.6 |  | 132.4 | 0.9 | 5.2 |  |  |
| Age-2+ |  | 0.6 |  |  |  | 0.3 | 1.8 |  |  |
| Adult / jack |  |  | 0.1 |  |  |  | 0.2 |  |  |
| Threespine stickleback | 58.1 |  | 36.7 |  |  | 0.2 |  | 0.7 |  |
| Sculpin | 0.8 |  | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sucker |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.1 |  |  |
| Lake chub |  |  |  |  |  | 0.1 |  |  |  |
| Northern pikeminnow |  |  |  |  |  | 0.1 |  |  |  |
| Redside shiner |  |  |  |  |  | 1.7 |  |  |  |
| Whitefish |  |  |  |  | 0.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Coho salmon | 0.3 |  |  |  |  | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.0 |  |
| Bull trout |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.3 |  |  |
| Dolly Varden |  |  | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cutthroat trout |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |
| Rainbow trout |  |  |  |  |  | 0.1 |  |  |  |
| All fish | 78.2 | 9.9 | 87.1 | 62.0 | 412.3 | 4.3 | 8.5 | 0.8 | 3.2 |
| \# of species captured | 4 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 9 | 4 | 3 | 10 |
| N (number of lakes) | 7 | 1 | 10 | 18 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 10 | 18 |

Table 3. Catch and size of $O$. nerka caught in the trawl and gill nets in the surveyed lakes. Gill nets were small meshed Swedish nets, except where indicated.

| Lake | Clarity | O. Nerka age group | Preservative | Trawl caught O. nerka |  |  |  |  | Gill net caught O. nerka |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | N | Weight (g) |  | Length (mm) |  | N | Weight (g) |  | Length (mm) |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Mean | 95\%CI | Mean | 95\%CI |  | Mean | 95\%CI | Mean | 95\%CI |
| Alastair | Clear | Age 0 | Formalin | 34 | 1.74 | 0.18 | 54 | 2.2 | 1 | 2.17 |  | 58 |  |
|  |  |  | Ethanol 85\% | 13 | 1.78 | 0.18 | 59 | 1.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 47 |  |  | 55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Age 1 | Formalin | 42 | 4.76 | 0.31 | 76 | 1.6 | 2 | 6 | 9.02 | 80 | 38.1 |
|  |  |  | Ethanol 85\% | 7 | 4.71 | 0.32 | 79 | 1.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 49 |  |  | 76 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bowser | Glacially turbid | Age 0 | Formalin | 12 | 1.87 | 0.55 | 53 | 5.5 | 6 | 2.2 | 0.22 | 58 | 3.4 |
|  |  |  | Ethanol 85\% | 13 | 1.36 | 0.32 | 52 | 4.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 25 |  |  | 52 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Age 1 | Formalin | 14 | 4.36 | 0.41 | 71 | 2.2 | 62 | 4.69 | 0.29 | 74 | 1.4 |
|  |  |  | Ethanol 85\% | 7 | 3.02 | 1.19 | 68 | 9.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 21 |  |  | 70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Age 2+ | Formalin | 1 | 11.05 |  | 95 |  | 21 | 11.14 | 2.19 | 96 | 5.6 |
|  |  |  | Ethanol 85\% | 2 | 6.02 | 9.21 | 85 | 25.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  | 88 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elbow | Clear ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | Age 0 | Formalin <br> Ethanol 85\% | 11 | 5.66 | 1.65 | 80 | 6.3 | 3 | 9.22 | 3.95 | 88 | 13.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 7.34 | 5.94 | 86 | 22.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |  |  | 87 |  |
|  |  | Age 1 | Ethanol 85\% |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 26.15 |  | 134 |  |

Table 3. Catch and size of $O$. nerka caught in the trawl and gill nets in the surveyed lakes. Gill nets were small meshed Swedish nets, except where indicated (Continued).

| Lake | Clarity | O. Nerka age group | Preservative | Trawl caught O. nerka |  |  |  |  | Gill net caught O. nerka |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | N | Weight (g) |  | Length (mm) |  | N | Weight (g) |  | Length (mm) |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Mean | 95\%CI | Mean | 95\%CI |  | Mean | 95\%CI | Mean | 95\%CI |
| Fred Wright | Clear | Age 2+ | Formalin |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 95.93 | 37.83 | 192 | 26.6 |
|  |  |  | Ethanol 85\% |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 82.81 | 146.82 | 198 | 38.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  | 194 |  |
|  |  | Age 0 | Formalin | 2 | 3.51 | 11.75 | 65 | 63.5 | 2 | 7.06 | 10.42 | 84 | 50.8 |
|  |  | Age 1 | Formalin |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 14.99 | 17.04 | 106 | 32.7 |
| Keecha | Stained | Age 0 | Formalin | 172 | 1.96 | 0.11 | 55 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Ethanol 85\% | 20 | 1.89 | 0.25 | 59 | 2.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 192 |  |  | 55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Age 1 | Formalin | 4 | 5.62 | 1.67 | 79 | 7.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kimsquit | Clear ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | Age 0 | Formalin |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 4.09 | 0.77 | 69 | 4.8 |
|  |  |  | Ethanol 85\% | $20$ | $1.19$ | $0.42$ | $48$ | $6.1$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 33 |  |  | 47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Age 1 | Formalin |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 6.96 | 2.05 | 82 | 7.6 |
| Kitlope | Clear ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | Age 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 2.42 | 0.55 | 59 | 3.7 |
|  |  |  | Ethanol 85\% | $25$ | $1.1$ | $0.13$ | $51$ | $1.9$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 100 |  |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Age 1 | Formalin | 5 | 4.6 | 1.13 | 73 | 7.9 | 17 | 5.04 | 0.57 | 76 | 2.9 |

Table 3. Catch and size of $O$. nerka caught in the trawl and gill nets in the surveyed lakes. Gill nets were small meshed Swedish nets, except where indicated (Continued).

| Lake | Clarity | O. Nerka age group | Preservative | Trawl caught O. nerka |  |  |  |  | Gill net caught O. nerka |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | N | Weight (g) |  | Length (mm) |  | N | Weight (g) |  | Length (mm) |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Mean | 95\%CI | Mean | 95\%CI |  | Mean | 95\%CI | Mean | 95\%CI |
|  |  |  | Ethanol 85\% | 5 | 3.44 | 0.88 | 70 | 5.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 10 |  |  | 72 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Age 2+ | Formalin |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 14.68 |  | 107 |  |
| Koeye | Stained | Age 0 | Formalin | 36 | 1.79 | 0.23 | 52 | 2.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Ethanol 85\% | 34 | 1.44 | 0.13 | 55 | 1.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 70 |  |  | 53 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kooryet | Stained | Age 0 | Formalin | 35 | 2.34 | 0.41 | 57 | 2.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Ethanol 85\% | 26 | 1.91 | 0.26 | 59 | 2.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 61 |  |  | 58 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Age 1 | Ethanol 85\% | 1 | 2.54 |  | 67 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kwinageese | Clear | Age 0 | Formalin | 1 | 0.72 |  | 38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Age 1 | Formalin | 1 | 14.11 |  | 101 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Age $2+$ | Formalin |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 29.13 |  | 130 |  |
| Lonesome | Clear ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | Age 0 | Formalin | 34 | 1.89 | 0.24 | 54 | 2.1 | 3 | 2.93 | 1.76 | 62 | 11.1 |
|  |  |  | Ethanol 85\% | 29 | 1.83 | 0.42 | 58 | 3.2 | 6 | 3.19 | 0.22 | 70 | 2.1 |
|  |  |  |  | 63 |  |  | 56 |  | 9 |  |  | 67 |  |
|  |  | Age 1 | Formalin |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 25.63 |  | 127 |  |

Table 3. Catch and size of $O$. nerka caught in the trawl and gill nets in the surveyed lakes. Gill nets were small meshed Swedish nets, except where indicated (Continued).


Table 3. Catch and size of $O$. nerka caught in the trawl and gill nets in the surveyed lakes. Gill nets were small meshed Swedish nets, except where indicated (Continued).

${ }^{a}$ - RIC gill net was used.
g - glacially influenced, but generally not turbid (NTU<5).

Table 4. Catch and size of other fish species caught in the trawl and gill nets in the surveyed lakes. Gill nets were small meshed Swedish nets, except where indicated.

| Lake | Clarity | Species | Preserv. | Trawl caught |  |  |  |  | Gill net caught |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | N | Weight (g) |  | Length (mm) |  | N | Weight (g) |  | Length (mm) |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Mean | 95\%CI | Mean | 95\%CI |  | Mean | 95\%CI | Mean | 95\%CI |
| Alastair | Clear | Threespine stickleback | Formalin | 353 | 1.45 | 0.07 | 50 | 1.0 | 4 | 2.28 | 1.27 | 60 | 13.1 |
|  |  |  | Live |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 40 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  | 56 |  |
| Batchellor | Stained | Cutthroat trout | Live ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 56 |  |  | 242 | 10.0 |
|  |  | Dolly Varden | Live |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 222 |  |
|  |  |  | Live ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  | 152 | 59.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  | 170 |  |
| Bowser | Glacially turbid | Adult/jack sockeye | Live |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 516 | 50.8 |
|  |  | Bull trout | Formalin |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 23.49 |  | 121 |  |
|  |  |  | Live |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  | 347 | 138.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  | 291 |  |
|  |  | Coho salmon | Formalin |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 14.37 | 2.74 | 103 | 6.2 |
|  |  | Sucker | Formalin |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 24.15 |  | 120 |  |
| Elbow | Clear ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | Coho salmon | ethanol | 1 | 9.92 |  | 99 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Northern pikeminnow | Formalin |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 139.27 | 45.81 | 223 | 32.3 |

Table 4. Catch and size of other fish species caught in the trawl and gill nets in the surveyed lakes. Gill nets were small meshed Swedish nets, except where indicated (Continued).

| Lake | Clarity | Species | Preserv. | Trawl caught |  |  |  |  | Gill net caught |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | N | Weight (g) |  | Length (mm) |  | N | Weight (g) |  | Length (mm) |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Mean | 95\%CI | Mean | 95\%CI |  | Mean | 95\%CI | Mean | 95\%CI |
| Fred Wright |  | Sculpin | Formalin | 5 | 0.10 | 0.12 | 21 | 7.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Clear | Bull trout | Live |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 555 |  |
|  |  | Sculpin | Formalin | 2 | 0.08 | 0.44 | 17 | 31.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Keecha | Stained | Adult/jack sockeye | Live | 1 |  |  | 380 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Dolly Varden | Formalin | 1 | 27.78 |  | 140 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Prickly sculpin | Formalin | 1 | 1.98 |  | 62 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Threespine stickleback | Formalin | 69 | 2.25 | 0.22 | 63 | 2.1 | 1 | 2.02 |  | 66 |  |
| Kimsquit | Clear ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | Sculpin | ethanol | 1 | 0.33 |  | 34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kitlope | Clear ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | Coho salmon | Formalin |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 4.94 | 16.01 | 71 | 76.2 |
|  |  | Threespine stickleback | Formalin | 2 | 0.96 | 4.38 | 45 | 69.9 | 1 | 1.14 |  | 46 |  |
| Koeye | Stained | Threespine stickleback | ethanol | 1 | 0.27 |  | 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kooryet | Stained | Threespine stickleback | Formalin | 44 | 3.53 | 0.26 | 73 | 2.5 | 1 | 4.07 |  | 77 |  |

Table 4. Catch and size of other fish species caught in the trawl and gill nets in the surveyed lakes. Gill nets were small meshed Swedish nets, except where indicated (Continued).

| Lake | Clarity | Species | Preserv. | Trawl caught |  |  |  |  | Gill net caught |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | N | Weight (g) |  | Length (mm) |  | N | Weight (g) |  | Length (mm) |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Mean | 95\%CI | Mean | 95\%CI |  | Mean | 95\%CI | Mean | 95\%CI |
| Kwinageese | Clear | Coho salmon | Formalin | 4 | 0.36 | 0.40 | 31 | 10.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Lake chub | Formalin |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 18.52 | 7.99 | 115 | 19.5 |
|  |  | Rainbow trout | Formalin |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 27.05 |  | 129 |  |
|  |  | Redside shiner | Formalin |  |  |  |  |  | 55 | 7.15 | 0.44 | 78 | 1.5 |
|  |  |  | Live |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 80 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 56 |  |  | 78 |  |
| Lonesome | Clear ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | Cutthroat trout | Live |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 265 |  |
|  |  | Rainbow trout | Live |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 331 |  |
|  |  | Prickly sculpin | Formalin | 3 | 4.25 | 5.96 | 66 | 28.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Sculpin | Formalin ethanol | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.31 \\ & 0.16 \end{aligned}$ | 1.52 | $\begin{aligned} & 31 \\ & 27 \end{aligned}$ | 57.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ethanol | 3 | 0.16 |  | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Meziadin | Clear ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | Whitefish | Formalin | 1 | 22.50 |  | 125 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4. Catch and size of other fish species caught in the trawl and gill nets in the surveyed lakes. Gill nets were small meshed Swedish nets, except where indicated (Continued).


Table 4. Catch and size of other fish species caught in the trawl and gill nets in the surveyed lakes. Gill nets were small meshed Swedish nets, except where indicated (Continued).

| Lake | Clarity | Species | Preserv. | Trawl caught |  |  |  |  | Gill net caught |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | N | Weight (g) |  | Length (mm) |  | N | Weight (g) |  | Length (mm) |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Mean | 95\%CI | Mean | 95\%CI |  | Mean | 95\%CI | Mean | 95\%CI |
| Whalen | Stained | Coho salmon | Live ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 42.11 |  | 141 |  |
|  |  | Dolly Varden | Live ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 19 | 39.40 | 23.92 | 189 | 47.8 |

a RIC gillnet used
g glacially influenced, but generally not turbid (NTU<5)

Table. 5. Mean length of commonly caught pelagic fish species from sockeye rearing lakes for each water type. Hanging lakes are not included.

| Gear | Trawls |  |  | Swedish gill nets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Water type | Clear | Stained | Glacially turbid | Clear | Stained | Glacially turbid |
| \# of Lakes Surveyed | 9 | 7 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 1 |
| O. nerka, age-0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# of lakes | 9 | 7 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 1 |
| Length (mm) | 57 | 58 | 52 | 71 | 70 | 58 |
| 95\% CI (mm) | 8 | 3 | - | 10 | 21 | - |
| O. nerka, age-1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# of lakes | 6 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 1 |
| Length (mm) | 91 | 73 | 70 | 101 | 116 | 74 |
| 95\% CI (mm) | 11 | 7 | - | 20 | - | - |
| O. nerka, age-2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# of lakes | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 |
| Length (mm) |  |  | 88 | 167 |  | 96 |
| 95\% CI (mm) |  |  | - | 59 |  | - |
| Threespine stickleback |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# of lakes | 2 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 |
| Length (mm) | 48 | 53 |  | 51 | 62 |  |
| 95\% CI (mm) | 5 | 14 |  | 10 | 12 |  |
| Sculpin sp. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# of lakes | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Length (mm) | 34 | 62 |  |  |  |  |
| 95\% CI (mm) | 17 | - |  |  |  |  |

Table 6. Size of fish caught with other gears from the surveyed lakes.

| Lake | Clarity | Gear | Species | Preservative | N | Weight (g) |  | Length (mm) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Mean | 95\%CI | Mean | 95\%CI |
| Batchellor | Stained | Beach sceine | Cutthroat trout | Formalin | 19 | 3.45 | 2.3 | 51 | 13 |
|  |  |  | Dolly Varden | Formalin | 3 | 6.36 | 4.76 | 79 | 18.8 |
|  |  | Minnow trap | Dolly Varden | Live | 5 |  |  | 121 | 28.1 |
| Lonesome | Clear | Dip net | Northern pikeminnow | Formalin | 1 | 96.33 |  | 193 |  |
|  |  |  | Prickly sculpin | Formalin | 1 | 41.42 |  | 135 |  |
| Red Bluff | Stained | Beach sceine | Sculpin | Formalin | 6 | 0.23 | 0.07 | 28 | 3.2 |
|  |  |  | Threespine stickleback | Formalin | 28 | 0.71 | 0.15 | 41 | 2.7 |
|  |  | Dip net | Sculpin | Formalin | 1 | 1.48 |  | 53 |  |
|  |  | Minnow trap | Sculpin | Formalin | 3 | 1.36 | 0.5 | 52 | 10.3 |
|  |  |  | Cutthroat trout | Live | 1 |  |  | 350 |  |
|  |  |  | Sculpin | Live | 1 |  |  | 60 |  |
|  |  |  | Threespine stickleback | Live | 122 |  |  | 49 | 0.8 |
| Whalen | Stained | Minnow trap | Dolly Varden | Live | 9 |  |  | 111 | 9.7 |

Table 7. Estimated abundance and biomass of juvenile $O$. nerka and dominant competitor fish species in the study lakes. Density estimates from Appendix 3. Size estimates are average weight of formalin preserved juvenile $O$. nerka (age- 0 , age-1 and sometimes age-2) from Appendix 4.

| Lake | Water clarity | Date | Analysis method | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Reliability } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { estimate } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { All Small } \\ \text { fish } \\ \text { Density } \\ (\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{ha}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Juvenile O. nerka |  |  | Competitor Species |  |  |  | Large <br> fish Density ( $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{ha}$ ) | Juvenile O. nerka |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Density } \\ (\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{ha}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Size }^{\mathrm{a}} \\ (\mathrm{~g}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Biomass } \\ (\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ha}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dominant } \\ \text { species }^{\mathrm{b}} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Density } \\ (\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{ha}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Size }^{\text {a }} \\ (\mathrm{g}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Biomass } \\ (\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ha}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Density } \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Biomass } \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Alastair | Clear | 13-Sep-09 | NTG | Medium | 6380 | 544 | 3.4 | 1.855 | Stickleback | 5836 | 1.5 | 8.462 | 92 | 9 | 18 |
| Elbow | Clear | 20-Sep-07 | TT | Medium | 167 | 153 | 5.7 | 0.864 | Sculpin, NPM, coho | 14 | 0.1 | 0.001 | 100 | 92 | 100 |
| Fred Wright | Clear | 09-Sep-09 | TT | Low | 728 | 118 | 3.5 | 0.414 | Possibly sculpin | 610 | 0.1 | 0.049 | 7 | 16 | 89 |
| Kimsquit | Clear | 13-Sep-07 | NTG | High | 784 | 761 | 1.3 | 1.012 | Sculpin | 23 | - | - | 138 | 97 | - |
| Kitlope | Clear | 15-Sep-07 | TT + <br> Trawl | Low | 280 | 275 | 1.6 | 0.435 | Stickleback, coho | 5 | 1.0 | 0.005 | 9 | 98 | 99 |
| Kwinageese | Clear | 07-Sep-09 | TT | Low | 68 | 23 | 7.4 | 0.168 | Coho | 46 | 0.4 | 0.016 | 14 | 33 | 91 |
| Lonesome | Clear | 08-Sep-07 | NTG | High | 496 | 496 | 1.9 | 0.937 |  | 0 | - | - | 24 | 100 | - |
| Meziadin | Clear | 17-Sep-09 | NTG | Medium | 2616 | 2613 | 3.4 | 8.953 | Whitefish | 3 | 22.5 | 0.063 | 295 | 100 | 99 |
| Morice | Clear | 22-Sep-09 | TT | Very low | 97 | 93 | 5.2 | 0.480 | Whitefish | 4 | 6.5 | 0.027 | 4 | 96 | 95 |
| Mean for clear lakes |  |  |  |  | 1291 | 564 | 3.7 | 1.680 |  | 727 | 4.6 | 1.232 | 76 | 44 | 58 |
| Keecha | Stained | 04-Sep-08 | Cha-TT | Medium | 1184 | 727 | 2.0 | 1.485 | Stickleback | 457 | 2.6 | 1.191 | 34 | 61 | 55 |
| Koeye | Stained | 12-Sep-06 | Cha-TT | Medium | 1085 | 1063 | 1.8 | 1.903 | Stickleback | 22 | - | - | 58 | 98 | - |
| Kooryet | Stained | 01-Sep-08 | NTG | High | 781 | 241 | 2.3 | 0.564 | Stickleback (large) | 540 | 3.5 | 1.907 | 16 | 31 | 23 |

Table 7. Estimated abundance and biomass of juvenile $O$. nerka and dominant competitor fish species in the study lakes. Density estimates from Appendix 3. Size estimates are average weight of formalin preserved juvenile $O$. nerka (age- 0 , age-1 and sometimes age-2) from Appendix 4 (Continued).

| Lake | Water clarity | Date | Analysis method | Reliabilityofestimate | $\begin{gathered} \text { All Small } \\ \text { fish } \\ \text { Density } \\ (\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{ha}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Juvenile O. nerka |  |  | Competitor Species |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Large } \\ \text { fish } \\ \text { Density } \\ (\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{ha}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Juvenile O. nerka |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Density } \\ (\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{ha}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\text { Size }^{\text {a }}$ $(\mathrm{g})$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Biomass } \\ (\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ha}) \end{gathered}$ | Dominant species ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Density } \\ (\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{ha}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Size }^{\mathrm{a}} \\ (\mathrm{~g}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { Biomass } \\ (\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ha}) \end{array} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Density } \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Biomass } \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Moore | Stained | 07-Sep-08 | NTG | Medium | 2364 | 229 | 2.8 | 0.631 | Stickleback | 2134 | 0.8 | 1.643 | 5 | 10 | 28 |
| Namu | Stained | 15-Sep-06 | Cha-TT | Medium | 628 | 548 | 2.1 | 1.161 | Stickleback | 80 | 4.5 | 0.357 | 87 | 87 | 76 |
| Tankeeah (lower) | Stained | 18-Sep-06 | Cha-TT | Medium | 514 | 479 | 2.7 | 1.300 | Stickleback | 35 | 0.4 | 0.013 | 141 | 93 | 99 |
| Tankeeah (upper) | Stained | 19-Sep-06 | Cha-TT | Medium | 907 | 330 | - | - | Stickleback | 577 | 1.6 | 0.934 | 26 | 36 | - |
| Mean for stained lakes |  |  |  |  | 1066 | 517 | 2.3 | 1.174 |  | 549 | 2.2 | 1.008 | 52 | 48 | 54 |
| Bowser | Glacially turbid | 04-Sep-09 | TT \& Trawl | Very low | 39 | 39 | 3.5 | 0.136 |  | 0 |  | 0.000 | 0 | 100 | 100 |
| Hanging lakes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Batchellor | Stained | 21-Jul-06 | Cha-TT | Medium | 150 | 0 | - | - | Cutthroat, Dolly | 150 | - | - | 26 | 0 | - |
| Red Bluff | Stained | 23-Jul-06 | TT | High | 166 | 166 | - | - |  | 0 | - | 0.000 | 46 | 100 | - |
| Whalen | Stained | 26-Jul-06 | Cha-TT | Medium | 74 | 74 | - | - |  | 0 | - | - | 101 | 100 | - |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ average weight of formalin preserved fish caught in trawls
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ NPM (Northern pikeminnow), stickleback (threespine stickleback), cutthroat (cutthroat trout), Dolly (Dolley Varden)


Fig. 1. Overview map of study region showing the location of the surveyed lakes.
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Fig. 2a. Map of Alastair Lake showing the trawl sections and hydroacoustic transects.


Fig. 2b. Map of Batchellor Lake showing the trawl sections and hydroacoustic transects.


Fig. 2c. Map of Bowser Lake showing the trawl sections and hydroacoustic transects.


Fig. 2d. Map of Elbow Lake showing the trawl sections and hydroacoustic transects.


Fig. 2e. Map of Fred Wright Lake showing the trawl sections and hydroacoustic transects.


Fig. 2f. Map of Keecha Lake showing the trawl sections and hydroacoustic transects.


Fig. 2g. Map of Kimsquit Lake showing the trawl sections and hydroacoustic transects.


Fig. 2h. Map of Kitlope Lake showing the trawl sections and hydroacoustic transects.


Fig. 2i. Map of Koeye Lake showing the trawl sections and hydroacoustic transects.


Fig. 2j. Map of Kooryet Lake showing the trawl sections and hydroacoustic transects.


Fig. 2k. Map of Kwinageese Lake showing the trawl sections and hydroacoustic transects.


Fig. 21. Map of Lonesome Lake showing the trawl sections and hydroacoustic transects.


Fig. 2m. Map of Meziadin Lake showing the trawl sections and hydroacoustic transects.


Fig. 2n. Map of Moore Lake showing the trawl sections and hydroacoustic transects.


Fig. 20. Map of Morice Lake showing the trawl sections and hydroacoustic transects.


Fig. 2p. Map of Namu Lake showing the trawl sections and hydroacoustic transects.


Fig. 2q. Map of Red Bluff Lake showing the trawl sections and hydroacoustic transects.


Fig. 2r. Map of Tankeeah Lake (lower) showing the trawl sections and hydroacoustic transects.


Fig. 2s. Map of Tankeeah Lake (upper) showing the trawl sections and hydroacoustic transects.


Fig. 2t. Map of Whalen Lake showing the trawl sections and hydroacoustic transects.



Fig. 3a. Bathymetric chart of Alastair Lake.


Fig. 3b. Bathymetric chart of Batchellor Lake


Fig. 3c. Bathymetric chart of Bowser Lake.


Fig. 3d. Bathymetric chart of Elbow Lake.


Fig. 3e. Bathymetric chart of Keecha Lake.


Fig. 3f. Bathymetric chart of Kimsquit Lake.


Fig. 3g. Bathymetric chart of Kitlope Lake.


Fig. 3h. Bathymetric chart of Koeye Lake.


Fig. 3i. Bathymetric chart of Kooryet Lake.


Fig. 3j. Bathymetric chart of Moore Lake.


Fig. 3k. Bathymetric chart of Namu Lake.


Fig. 31. Bathymetric chart of Red Bluff Lake.


Fig. 3m. Bathymetric chart of Tankeeah Lake (lower)


Fig. 3n. Bathymetric chart of Tankeeah Lake (upper).


Fig 4 a . Length frequency of all O. nerka caught during September surveys ( 17 lakes) using the small trawl and Swedish gill nets. Eight larger kokanee ( $>180 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) caught by gillnets are not displayed. Data was grouped into 3 mm length bins for plotting.


Fig 4 b . Length frequency of all O. nerka caught during September surveys (17 lakes) using the large and small trawls. Data was grouped into 3 mm length bins for plotting.


Fig 5. General components of the diet of age-0 and age-1 O. nerka averaged for each of the 3 lake types by abundance and by biomass. Diet data from this study and from Hume and MacLellan (2008) were pooled for this analysis. The biomass of terrestrial insects is not reliably measured. Macro-invertebrates includes Chaoborus, Chironomid, and Ceratopogonid larvae, Neomysis, worms, and amphipods.


Fig 6. Zooplankton components of the diet of age-0 and age-1 O. nerka averaged for each of the three lake types by abundance and by biomass. Diet data from this study and from Hume and MacLellan (2008) were pooled for this analysis.


Fig. 7. Stickleback diet in stained and clear lakes. The values for stained lakes are an average of 12 lakes, whereas the clear lake values are from only one lake (Alastair Lake).


Fig. 8. Estimated abundance of prey items for age-0 O. nerka from lake surveys. Includes lakes covered by this report and Hume and MacLellan (2008).


Fig. 9. Estimated biomass of prey items for age-0 O. nerka from lake surveys. Includes lakes covered by this report and Hume and MacLellan (2008).


Fig. 10. Length frequency histograms of $O$. nerka from each survey


Fig. 10. Length frequency histograms of $O$. nerka from each survey (continued).


Fig. 10. Length frequency histograms of $O$. nerka from each survey (continued).


Fig. 10. Length frequency histograms of $O$. nerka from each survey (continued).


Fig. 10. Length frequency histograms of $O$. nerka from each survey (continued).


Fig. 10. Length frequency histograms of $O$. nerka from each survey (continued).


Fig. 10. Length frequency histograms of $O$. nerka from each survey (continued).

## APPENDICES

## APPENDIX 1. INDIVIDUAL LAKE REPORTS

Here we present general descriptions of each of the study lakes and the surveys, including highlights, difficulties encountered and how they were handled, and a description of the pelagic fish communities found. We also evaluate each lake's suitability for hydroacoustic assessment and recommend strategies for any future surveys on these lakes. For detailed survey results see the various tables, figures and appendices within this document.

## Alastair Lake

Alastair Lake is located in the lower Skeena River drainage. The Gitnadoix River drains Alastair Lake and runs 20 km to the north, joining the Skeena River about 50 km from the Pacific Ocean. With an elevation of only 45 m above sea level, and a relatively short distance from salt water, its not surprising that harbour seals were observed in the lake during the survey in 2009. We surveyed this lake on September 13, 2009 with the Little Echo and the $2 \times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ trawl. Initially we used an existing bathymetric chart (Simpson et al. 1981) but found it did not agree well with data from our hydroacoustic survey transects, so we developed a new chart from our survey transects plus data recorded during trawls (Fig. 3a).

Alastair was classified as a clear lake. We divided it into two sections; section one to the south is a deep basin with a maximum depth just under 80 m ; to the north, section two is larger in surface area but much shallower with a maximum depth of 26 m (Fig 2a and 3a). The pelagic fish community of Alastair was dominated by threespine stickleback, with stickleback densities ranging from 4 900/ha in section 2 to 7 600/ha in section 1. O. nerka densities were relatively consistent throughout the lake at $544 / \mathrm{ha}$. Stickleback lengths ranged from $14-71 \mathrm{~mm}$ with two or three size classes present. O. nerka were present in two age classes; Age-0 fish ranging from 3764 mm in length and Age-1 fish from 63-86 mm length. Daphnia, juvenile sockeye's preferred food, made up $<2 \%$ of the zooplankton community, most likely the result of cropping by the many planktivores in the lake, while Bosmina accounted for $72 \%$ of the zooplankton community (Appendix 6). O. nerka diet reflected these ratios with their diet consisting mainly of Bosmina ( $70-80 \%$ ) and stomach fullness estimates ranging from $2 \%$ to $25 \%$ (Appendix 5). These results are consistent with those found in an October 1995 survey, where it was determined much of the potential sockeye rearing capacity of this lake was taken up by the stickleback population (Shortreed et al. 1998).

This lake is suitable for hydroacoustic assessment of pelagic fish populations. However, with large numbers of stickleback present, it is important to conduct extensive trawling to establish the relative proportions of stickleback and $O$. nerka, both in different areas of the lake, and at different depth layers. In our survey we found in section 1 (the deep section), that stickleback dominated the top 12 m of the water column, and $O$. nerka dominated below that
level. In section 2 (the shallower section) the two species seemed to be more mixed throughout the water column. Also, because of the high densities of stickleback present in the upper depth strata, trawls targeting deeper strata are subject to significant contamination during deployment and retrieval of the net, and this contamination needs to be estimated in some manner. We estimated catch rates from trawls in the various depth layers and then estimated the time the net spent in those layers during deployment and retrieval to calculate contamination from shallower fish layers. To best accomplish this, detailed records on start and finish, time and position for each trawl, and of net depth over time must be recorded. A closing trawl system would be of great benefit to any survey on this lake, eliminating the need to estimate net contamination.

For future surveys of Alastair, transect 8 should be moved a little to the south, to take advantage of deeper water and avoid large woody debris which hampered navigation along its present course.

## Batchellor Lake

We surveyed Batchellor Lake on July 20, 2006 with the Little Echo and the $2 \times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ trawl. The purpose of this survey was to assess the lake's suitability for raising transplanted sockeye fry (Hanging Lakes Program). The lake is situated on the north coast of British Columbia, about 75 km northwest of Hartley Bay, and runs near and parallel to Grenville Channel. The lake drains via Batchellor Creek, which includes a barrier to anadromous fish, a short distance from Grenville Channel. We used survey and additional transects, along with additional soundings to construct a bathymetric chart for the lake (Fig. 3b). Batchelor Lake, like most of our coastal lakes, was classified as stained.

No O. nerka were detected in the lake. Our trawls caught no fish in the pelagic zone of Batchellor Lake but other gear caught a number of Dolly Varden and cutthroat trout (Tables 3 and 4). Chaoborus was found to be present, with highest densities toward the south end of the lake. Therefore acoustic data collection and processing was done using Chaoborus methods outlined in MacLellan and Hume (2010).

Batchellor Lake's morphology lends itself well to hydroacoustic assessments. However, future surveys will need to prepare for and deal with interference from Chaoborus larva when estimating fish abundance.

## Bowser Lake

Bowser Lake is located about 60 km north of Stewart, B.C. and is in the interior of northern British Columbia, within the Nass River drainage. Although the Kitimat-Cassiar Highway passes close by, there was no road access, so we flew in by float plane and used the Little Echo with its $2 \times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ trawl on September 4, 2009. Bowser is glacially turbid with turbidity
values ranging from 18 to 60 NTU (Table 1), making this lake second only to Motase Lake as the most turbid lake we have worked on. Initially we used an existing bathymetric chart (FIDQ), but found it matched poorly with the transect soundings. Therefore we generated a chart based on the transect and trawl soundings along with some extra soundings taken just for this purpose (Fig. 3c).

With such high turbidity levels it's not surprising to see fish (O. nerka) behaving differently from what we see in relatively clear lakes. We found the O. nerka of Bowser Lake were behaving similar to those in Motase Lake (Hume and MacLellan 2008). These fish were extremely surface oriented, to such an extent as to make downward looking acoustics virtually useless. Hydroacoustics detected only a handful of fish throughout the entire survey, yet surface trawls produced 49 O. nerka of various ages (Table 3). Age-0 O. nerka averaged 52 mm in length and age- $1 O$. nerka averaged 70 mm . The surface trawls also indicate higher densities of O. nerka near shore, usually where water depth was less than 20 m . Since most of the O. nerka population was in the top 4 m of the water column, we used densities derived from the surface trawls to estimate $O$. nerka abundance. Densities were calculated for near shore and off shore areas of the lake and extrapolated for the whole lake to produce an abundance estimate of 131000 O. nerka. Because of the limited sampling power of trawls relative to the whole lake, the reliability of this estimate is very low.

The diet of Bowser fish is similar to those of other glacial turbid lakes we have worked on, with a heavy reliance on terrestrial insects (Fig. 9). Although the estimation of insect biomass is uncertain relative to zooplankton biomass, the data clearly indicate that insects play a much more important role in juvenile sockeye diet in these glacially turbid lakes than in clear lakes. Perhaps this reliance on insects is what attracts these normally pelagic fish into more littoral habitat near shore. O. nerka diet and consequently growth and productivity appears to be associated more with the terrestrial environment and consequently production models based on the lake habitat such as the PR model are not appropriate.

Bowser Lake is not a good candidate for traditional (down looking) hydroacoustic assessment techniques. Fish behavior puts most of the population in the top 4 m of the lake, an area of the lake unseen by the transducer. A trawl survey is an option, but would require a great deal of effort to produce reliable results. Side looking acoustics is another option and should be investigated, but it is not without its own set of challenges, related to techniques, interpretation and calibration.

## Elbow Lake

Elbow Lake is in the Bella Coola region of central British Columbia, about 86 km southeast of the town of Bella Coola and is within the boundaries of Tweedsmuir Provincial Park. This lake is the furthest lake up the Atnarko River Valley, and although glacially influenced, was rated clear because its range of turbidity readings fell below 5 NTU (Table 1).

We surveyed this lake on September 19, 2007 using the Little Echo and the $2 \times 2$ trawl. Using transect and additional soundings we created a bathymetric chart for the lake. The lake is relatively small, only 3.5 km long with a surface area of $1.4 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ (Fig. 2d). We excluded the shallow lower part of the lake ( 1 km long, max. depth 6 m ) from the survey as it was unlikely to hold significant numbers of $O$. nerka. Exploratory transects detected no fish in that section of the lake and few fish were detected above 10 m in the deeper southern basin.

A surface trawl caught only a few small sculpins, which were unlikely large enough to be detected by the sounder at our data processing threshold. Other trawls caught 11 juvenile $O$. nerka and one juvenile coho. Gill nets caught a few northern pikeminnow and a mixture of $O$. nerka age classes, including some adult kokanee. We were not able to determine ratios of sockeye and kokanee within the juvenile O. nerka population. Daphnia were present in good numbers in this lake and as expected they were the most important item in the diet of Elbow Lake O. nerka (Fig. 8 and 9).

With the shallow section of this lake eliminated from consideration, Elbow Lake is easily surveyed using hydroacoustics and should produce reliable results. The only difficulty here is identifying juvenile sockeye from juvenile kokanee in the trawl and gill net samples.

## Fred Wright Lake

Fred Wright Lake lies within the Nass River watershed in the interior of northern British Columbia about 78 km east of Stewart, B.C. It is drained by the Kwinageese River which runs north for 25 km until it joins the Nass River. Fred Wright is classified as clear, and we surveyed it on September 9, 2009 using the Little Echo and the $2 \times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ trawl. We used a bathymetric chart produced by the Fish and Wildlife Branch in 1973 (FIDQ).

Trawls caught only two juvenile $O$. nerka and two sculpin. Gill nets were also low, catching only six O. nerka (4 age-1, 2 age-0) (Table 3). The only other fish caught was a large bull trout. Hydroacoustics detected only scattered targets throughout the lake, indicating no major sockeye population residing in the lake. A layer of fish was found in the arms at the south end of the lake. However, this layer is shallow $(0-8 \mathrm{~m})$ and somewhat shore oriented, so it is unlikely to consist of many, if any, O. nerka. Any future surveys might look for this assemblage and target it with trawl and gill nets to confirm what species is present. Daphnia were the most important food item found in the few $O$. nerka we did capture.

With such low densities of fish detected and the correspondingly low trawl catches, our confidence in the tracked target estimate of 45000 O. nerka (Appendix 3) is low, although the estimate is reasonable when compared to recent acoustic surveys and trends for this stock. Estimates of age-0 sockeye in Fred Wright in previous years (1978-2004) have ranged from 41069 to 648500 fish and smolt studies in the Kwinageese River indicate the majority of migrating sockeye are age-1 fish (Bussanich 2005, Hall et al. In Prep), suggesting a four year life cycle for Fred Wright Lake sockeye. The 2009 estimate is the second lowest on record, but is
consistent with the last acoustic survey conducted on this cycle (41069 sockeye in 2001) and is consistent with the overall decline of adult spawners in recent years (Hall et al. In Prep).

The lake lends itself well to hydroacoustic assessment, and should substantial numbers of juvenile sockeye be rearing in the lake in the future, an acoustic survey should provide reliable estimates of $O$. nerka abundance.

## Keecha Lake

Keecha Lake is located on the north coast of British Columbia on Banks Island. The lake is 8.5 km long, with many islands, and drains a short distance east into Principe Channel. We surveyed Keecha on September 4, 2008 with the Little Echo and the 2x2 m trawl. Keecha was classified as stained. We created a chart for this lake using survey transects, additional transects approximately half way between the survey transects, and additional soundings around larger shoal areas (Fig. 3e).

Chaoborus larva are present in Keecha Lake. They were captured by SCOR nets and they are evident on some echograms. The distribution of Chaoborus around the lake appears to be quite patchy, with some transects showing areas of moderate to high densities, and other transects showing no Chaoborus at all (at fish analysis thresholds). Where detected by the acoustics, Chaoborus was usually found in the top 15 m of the water column. Because Chaoborus is present in acoustically detectable densities, integration analysis was not used. Instead, Chaoborus-TT methods were used to estimate fish abundance (MacLellan and Hume 2010).

Both stickleback and O. nerka inhabited the pelagic zone of Keecha Lake. Trawls indicated both were vertically stratified with overlapping ranges. Threespine stickleback predominated in the shallower layers of the water column. Stickleback were rather large in this lake, as large and sometimes larger than the age-0 O. nerka. Of the 202 juvenile O. nerka caught, only five were age- 1 fish, the remainder were age- 0 . Other species caught, besides stickleback, were Dolly Varden and sculpin (Tables 3 and 4). With Daphnia notably absent from the zooplankton community in Keecha Lake, O. nerka diet consisted mainly of Bosminidae and Diaptomus, along with some terrestrial insects.

Keecha is a multibasin lake with numerous islands and shoals, which makes night time navigation and trawling (in some areas of the lake) challenging. With both Chaoborus and abundant stickleback in the lake, hydroacoustic data collection and analysis need to be modified to use Chaoborus techniques (MacLellan and Hume 2010) and trawling needs to be expanded, to not only cover all basins, but several depth strata within each basin to get good estimates of $O$. nerka/stickleback ratios for the various depth strata. With these extra efforts, hydroacoustic surveys of this lake should produce reasonable estimates of $O$. nerka abundance.

## Kimsquit Lake

Kimsquit Lake is located in the mountains northeast of the Kitlope River at an elevation of 358 m and drains east and then south for about 46 km to the top end of Dean Channel. While there are several ice fields in close proximity of Kimsquit Lake, the lake was apparently not affected by glacial melt waters ( 0.1 NTU ) and was classified clear (Table 1). We surveyed this lake using the Little Echo and the $2 \times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ trawl on September 13, 2007. From our transect acoustics and additional soundings we produced a bathymetric chart of the lake (Fig. 3f).

The fish in Kimsquit, which were almost all O. nerka, were distributed fairly evenly over most of the lake, and at a relatively shallow depth of 4-10 m. Fish densities did not appear to extend to the surface and a surface trawl ( $0-2 \mathrm{~m}$ ) caught no fish. Other trawls and gillnets caught a total of 50 O. nerka and one sculpin (Tables 3 and 4). O. nerka were present in three size classes and scale aging indicated that the two smaller size classes were both age- 0 and the largest class was age-1. This distribution of sizes and ages suggests the presence of a kokanee population in the lake as well as anadromous juvenile sockeye. Diet information indicates Holopedium and insects are important in the diets of Kimsquit O. nerka (Appendix 5).

Over all, Kimsquit Lake is well suited to hydroacoustic surveys due to its deep waters and the predominance of $O$. nerka in the fish population. The only concerns are with respect to the shallow distribution of the fish which is nearing the limits of detection for downward looking transducers and estimating the proportion of sockeye verses kokanee within the O. nerka population.

## Kitlope Lake

Kitlope Lake is located in the north coast region of British Columbia and is within the Kitlope Heritage Conservancy Protected Area. It is located only 10 km from salt water at the head of Gardner Canal, yet it is quite some distance from the Pacific Ocean and thus exhibits a mix of climate characteristics typical of the coast and the interior coastal mountains (Stockner et al. 1993). At only 13 m above sea level, seals have easy access to the lake and were observed during the survey. We surveyed Kitlope on September 15, 2007 using the Little Echo and 2x2 m trawl. We generated a bathymetric chart from the survey transect data, supplemented with some additional soundings (Fig. 3g).

We classified Kitlope as clear because turbidity was well below 5 NTU at the time of our survey. However, this lake has shown large variations in water clarity in the past, both spatially and seasonally, due to its fast flushing rate and dynamic surface circulation patterns and the way they distribute the glacially turbid water from the Tezwa River (Stockner et al. 1993). Stockner recorded Secchi depths as low as 1 m with a compensation depth of 3.7 m during their 3 year study of the lake. These values are often associated with turbidity readings over 5 NTU, which indicates that this lake would occasionally qualify for the glacially turbid category.

Trawls caught 100 age- 0 O. nerka with a mean length of 50 mm and 10 age- 1 O. nerka with a mean length 72 mm (Table 3). Simpson et al. (1981) found similar sized fall fry in 1978 with a mean length of 48 mm . Stockner et al. (1993) suggested that the juvenile sockeye in Kitlope Lake were found in the top 10 m of the water column. Our survey found much of the $O$. nerka population was in the top $2-3 \mathrm{~m}$ of the lake. Since our acoustics do not adequately sample this region of the water column we calculated density for the $0-2 \mathrm{~m}$ strata from our surface trawl catches. A comparison between hydroacoustic and trawl net derived density for the top most ensonified layer ( $2-4 \mathrm{~m}$ ) was favorable, so we have some confidence in the surface strata density estimate derived from surface trawls. Other species present in our trawl catches were threespine stickleback and sculpin, but these species were a very minor portion of the pelagic community. Eubosmina and insects were important diet items for the O. nerka of Kitlope Lake.

Kitlope Lake is a relatively noisy environment (hydroacoustically) with a fair bit of interference from bubbles, currents and debris. This limitation, coupled with fish behavior and their preference for occupying the surface layers, makes this lake only marginally suitable for hydroacoustic surveys. Future surveys need to adequately assess the surface layers of Kitlope Lake. The acoustic interference present in the lake may make surface trawls the preferred method of assessing the surface layers rather than side looking acoustic technology. In any case, all acoustic data will likely contain a fair bit of noise which will have to be removed through editing.

## Koeye Lake

Koeye Lake was classified as a stained lake and is situated on the central coast of British Columbia about 14 km southeast of Namu. It is 53 m above sea level and the outlet flows 10 km west into Fitz Hugh Sound. We surveyed Koeye on September 12, 2006 using the Little Echo and the $2 \times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ trawl. A log jam at the outlet of the lake prevented us from including Little Koeye Lake in the survey. A bathymetric chart was generated from the survey and additional soundings of the lake (Fig. 3h).

Chaoborus larva were present on all transects of this lake and in relatively high densities on several transects. Because echoes from these larvae interfere with and overlap with fish echoes, we used a modified tracked target analysis (MacLellan and Hume 2010) to estimate fish abundance at about 484000 O. nerka (Appendix 3).

The trawl caught 71 fish (Appendix 2); all but one were juvenile O. nerka averaging 53 mm in length, indicating that most fish in the pelagic zone are $O$. nerka. Gill net sets caught no fish. The pelagic fish and zooplankton communities of this lake are more typical of clear water lakes than stained, with O. nerka dominating and Daphnia present in good numbers. Daphnia was the most important diet item for age-0 O. nerka.

This lake has ample depth and a simple pelagic fish community, normally making it a good candidate for hydroacoustic surveys. However the presence of Chaoborus in the water
column is a complicating factor. Modified Chaoborus techniques need to be considered both during data collection and data analysis (MacLellan and Hume 2010). As long as these modified techniques are employed and the Chaoborus densities are not too great, estimates of pelagic fish abundance are possible.

## Kooryet Lake

Kooryet Lake is located on the north coast of British Columbia, on the eastern side of Banks Island, 4 km north of Keecha Lake. Due to the close proximity of these two lakes, they share many physical characteristics including having multiple basins with many islands and shoals. Kooryet Lake is about 6.2 km long, with an additional 2.5 km of outlet shallows (not surveyed) that drain a short distance to Principe Channel. We surveyed Kooryet with the Little Echo, using the $2 \times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ trawl on September 1, 2008. Like most coastal lakes, Kooryet is a stained lake. We produced a bathymetric chart of the lake from the survey soundings (Fig. 3i).

Surprisingly, given this lake's close proximity to Keecha Lake, no Chaoborus larva were detected in Kooryet's water column, either in the SCOR net samples or by the echo sounder. The pelagic fish community of Kooryet Lake was much the same as found in Keecha Lake, a mixture of O. nerka and threespine stickleback, with each species vertically stratified and overlapping to some degree. Similar to Keecha, the stickleback of Kooryet were quite large, most being larger than age- 0 O. nerka (Tables 3 and 4). O. nerka diet differed slightly from Keecha, in that the calanoid copepod, Epischura, was an important diet item for Kooryet $O$. nerka.

Like Keecha Lake, Kooryet is suitable for hydroacoustic assessment for O. nerka abundance, if considerable effort is spent on trawling to determine $O$. nerka/stickleback ratios in the various depth strata of each basin.

## Kwinageese Lake

Kwinageese Lake lies in the Nass River watershed of northern British Columbia, about 78 km east of Stewart, B.C. It drains south 3.8 km , via the Kwinageese River, to Fred Wright Lake. We surveyed this lake on September 7, 2009 using the Little Echo and the 2x2m trawl. Kwinageese is classified as a clear lake. We used an existing bathymetric chart produced in 1996 for this survey (FIDQ).

Trawls and gill nets caught only three $O$. nerka; one each of age-0, age-1 and age-2+. The only other species caught in trawls were juvenile coho. Gill nets also caught rainbow trout, lake chub, and redside shiners (Tables 3 and 4). Hydroacoustics detected very few targets. Since the initial survey was conducted under a bright moon, to make sure this was not the source of a lack of targets, we resurveyed several transects the following night under overcast skies.

Subsequent analysis detected little difference between the first and second night's transects. No diet analysis was done due to the small sample size. Confidence of our estimate of around 6000 O. nerka for the lake is low, given the low number of detections by the echo sounder and poor catch by our sampling gears. It is clear however, that there were very few juvenile O. nerka rearing in the lake in 2009, and many of them may well be kokanee given the presence of the older age classes captured in our gill nets and by Hill et al. (1997a, 1997b). Sockeye smolts were documented leaving the lake in the early 1990's, but recently beavers have heavily damned the outlet to Kwinageese River, making fry and adult sockeye access to the lake unlikely (Hall et al. In press).

Although a complicated bathymetry makes for an atypical survey design on this lake, hydroacoustics should be effective in estimating $O$. nerka abundance if they are present in greater numbers.

## Lonesome Lake

Lonesome Lake is in the central interior, Bella Coola region, of British Columbia, about 72 km east of the town of Bella Coola, within Tweedsmuir Provincial Park. Part of the Atnarko River system, Lonesome Lake is just 14 km downstream from Elbow Lake. We surveyed Lonesome Lake with the Little Echo and the $2 \times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ trawl. With its low turbidity readings, this lake was classified as clear, although there is some glacial influence to its waters. We used a Fish and Wildlife Branch bathymetric chart (FIDQ) drafted in 1976 for our survey design and lake volume calculations. Lonesome is approximately 9 km long, however, the first 4 km from the inlet end is relatively shallow ( $<6 \mathrm{~m}$ maximum depth) with many macrophytes present. It is unlikely that these shallows hold O. nerka in significant numbers. Therefore, these southern shallows were not included in the survey.

Trawls and gill nets caught 81 O. nerka in total. Most were age- 0 , but some were age- 1 and age- $2+$ (Table 3), indicating a kokanee population is rearing in the lake as well as juvenile sockeye. We were not able to distinguish between age-0 sockeye and age-0 kokanee. Other species caught were sculpin, rainbow trout, cutthroat trout, and northern pikeminnow. Fish in the pelagic zone were dispersed, generally from 10 m to the bottom through the deep basin of the lake (Section 1). We estimated approximately 130000 juvenile O. nerka in the lake. Lonesome Lake O. nerka fed mainly on Daphnia.

The deep basin of Lonesome lake is well suited for hydroacoustic assessment for juvenile sockeye. The challenge is distinguishing between juvenile sockeye and juvenile kokanee in trawl samples to apportion the $O$. nerka abundance estimate. There is a hint of bimodality in the length distribution within the trawl samples, but there is clearly much overlap. DNA or otolith strontium analysis would be needed to proportion sockeye/kokanee with any reasonable degree of confidence.

## Meziadin Lake

Meziadin Lake is the rearing lake for the single largest sockeye stock in the Nass River System. It is located along the Cassiar Highway and approximately 45 km east of Stewart, B.C. We surveyed this lake on September 17, 2009, using the Night Echo ( 7.3 m cabin cruiser) and our 3x7 m trawl net. Although Meziadin is definitely glacially influenced, we classified it as clear due to relatively low turbidity readings ( $<5 \mathrm{NTU}$ ) (Table 1). For planning and lake volume calculation we used an existing bathymetric chart produced by the Fish and Wildlife Branch in 1972 (FIDQ).

Past surveys of this lake have been plagued with high $95 \%$ confidence limits in fish abundance estimates, most likely driven by the varied fish densities encountered in this relatively large lake. We found such fish distributions in our survey, with quite low densities in the northern section of the lake, and very high densities to the south. In order to try to constrain confidence limits, our survey design increased the number of transects from the six used in past surveys to ten. In addition we stratified the design, dividing the lake into two sections of five transects each. Even so, our O. nerka estimate of 9000000 has confidence limits on the high side at $+/-53 \%$ (Appendix 3).

We found that the vertical distribution of juvenile sockeye on most transects appeared to extend right up to the near surface ensonification limits of the transducer. This leaves the possibility that significant numbers of fish were present in the surface layers ( $0-2 \mathrm{~m}, 2-4 \mathrm{~m}$ ) that are not detectable by the sounder due to transducer draft, transducer near field, and in this case surface noise that, at times, extended into the 2-4 m layer. This resulted in no useful acoustic information collected in these layers. Some acoustic information was gathered during trawls and since the transducer uses less draft during trawls and there is less surface noise, we were able estimate densities for the 2-4 m layer and gain some insight into their magnitude relative to adjacent layers. In section 1, densities from trawl soundings for the 2-4 m layer tended to be similar to the layer below, so we used densities from the layer below to estimate densities in the 2-4 m layer on transects. Similarly, for section 2, we found trawl acoustic densities for the 2-4 m layer to be roughly half that of the layer below, so for these transects, we used $50 \%$ of the density from the 4-6 m layer to estimate density in the 2-4 m layer. To estimate densities in the top layer ( $0-2 \mathrm{~m}$ ) we looked to our surface trawls ( 1 in each section) for information. The net is 7 m deep so it fishes the top four layers $(0-8 \mathrm{~m})$ of the water column. After calculating expected catch from the lower 3 layers ( $2-8 \mathrm{~m}$ ) using trawl acoustic densities and a net efficiency based on deeper trawls $(\sim 70 \%)$, we determined that our actual catch could be accounted for from the three lower layers alone. This was the case in both sections, suggesting few fish are in the top 2 m layer, so no density was applied to it.

Trawls caught 1042 fish, that were all O. nerka except for one whitefish. The O. nerka catch was comprised of two age classes, 701 (67\%) age-0 fish and 340 (33\%) age-1 fish (Appendix 2). We retained 576 of these fish for lab processing and analysis; the remainder were quickly identified, counted, and released. The age classes were easily separated based on length
using a frequency histogram, and were confirmed with scale ages. Generally, Daphnia were most important to the diet of Meziadin O. nerka, but Diacyclops, Eubosmina and insects also played a significant role (Appendix 5).

Hydroacoustic assessments of Meziadin Lake should produce reasonable estimates of juvenile sockeye abundance. Fish distribution on this lake, however, can lead to some difficulties in estimating abundance. When large differences in densities from one part of the lake to another occur, an increase in hydroacoustic sampling (number of transects) along with a stratified design can help keep the $95 \%$ confidence limits in check. We used a two section design, with five transects each, an increase of four transects over past surveys. Future surveys may want to further increase the number of transects to seven in each of these sections, 14 transects in all, to further reduce confidence intervals. Surveys on this lake should expect to have to deal with fish in the surface layers and have a strategy in place to sample and estimate densities, whether this is with trawl nets, side looking acoustics, or some other means. If using a trawl net, it would be best to have a smaller net available ( $3 \times 3 \mathrm{~m}$ or $2 \times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ ) in order to sample only the surface layer(s).

## Moore Lake

Moore Lake is situated on Pitt Island on the north coast of British Columbia, about 16 km west of Hartley Bay. It drains a short distance into Union Passage via Tsimtack Lake. Like most coastal lakes, Moore is classified as stained. Moore Lake has a somewhat complicated limnology due to a salt water layer occurring in the southern basin (Section 2) at about 20 m depth where salinity increases significantly and dissolved oxygen starts to decrease. The northern basin (Section 1) appears to consist of fresh water throughout the water column. We surveyed this lake on September 7, 2008 with the Little Echo and the $2 \times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ trawl. We found no existing bathymetric chart for this lake, so we used our survey transect data along with addition transects and soundings to produce our own chart (Fig. 3j).

Trawls indicated a large stickleback population and that the upper 10-12 meters of the water column were predominately stickleback. O. nerka dominated in the deeper layers, below 16-20 meters, depending on lake section. Layers in between contained a mixture of the two species. Because of the shallow stickleback layer we estimated stickleback contamination of deeper trawls and adjusted the catch accordingly to calculate $O$. nerka/stickleback ratios. Most stickleback were smaller than the O. nerka in this lake, and there were two size classes of stickleback present; one centered around 52 mm , the other at 25 mm . This estimate was made assuming the sounder was able to detect all stickleback present. However, there was some concern that some or all of the smaller size class of stickleback were not detected at our analysis thresholds. If this was the case, the $O$. nerka to stickleback ratio will change significantly, leading to a higher $O$. nerka estimate. Our trawls caught 85 O. nerka, all age-0 fish, and 236 stickleback. Swedish gill nets caught an additional 20 stickleback (Appendix 2). Chaoborus larvae were found to be present in the lake, but did not occur in sufficiently high densities to
significantly interfere with the hydroacoustic analyses. Both O. nerka and stickleback diet in Moore lake includes major contributions from insects with minor contributions from the zooplankters Eubosmina and Holopedium.

Hydroacoustic surveys of Moore Lake for juvenile sockeye abundance are definitely possible. Extra trawling effort is needed estimate sockeye/stickleback proportions and some research is needed to investigate the TS of small stickleback so researchers can better understand how to calculate these proportions, and how they apply to the acoustic estimates. As well, survey teams need to be aware of the meromictic nature of section 2 and to be prepared to deal with Chaoborus should densities increase to the point where they interfere with the hydroacoustic analysis. Transect 1 turned out to be quite shallow in depth (approx. 8 m max.) and likely holds only stickleback; it could possibly be moved or eliminated from the survey design.

## Morice Lake

Morice Lake is in the interior Skeena region of British Columbia, about 65 km southwest of Houston, B.C. Morice drains some 425 km to the ocean via the Morice, Bulkley and Skeena rivers. We surveyed it on September 20, 2009 with the Night Echo and our 3x7 m trawl. We had previously surveyed Morice in 2002 (Hume and MacLellan 2008) and we used the same bathymetric chart and survey design. In Hume and MacLellan (2008) we classified Morice as glacial and it is certainly glacially influenced, however, under the criteria developed for this paper, it's turbidity of 3.5 NTU (Table 1) falls below 5 NTU, which qualifies it as a clear lake.

Morice is a lake of extremes among our study lakes. It's the largest and highest lake and has the longest migration route for sockeye of all the other study lakes in this paper. It is likely the least productive of the study lakes and ranks third for maximum depth, although the bathymetry of Morice lake is poorly surveyed and the estimate of maximum depth may be shallow.

During this survey we caught 22 O. nerka, mostly age- 0 with a couple of age- 1 fish, and one whitefish. However all of these fish were captured at a single location, in an isolated bay at the top end of Atna Bay (Transect 10) where, as in 2002, we found a typical layer of O. nerka. The rest of Morice Lake is a different story, with pelagic fish few and far between, and scattered throughout the water column. The vast majority of fish detected in the main lake were located along the slope bottom, not typical of an $O$. nerka distribution. The fish caught at transect 10 can hardly be considered representative of the whole lake. In 2002 we caught three fish in the main lake, and they were smaller than most of the fish caught at transect 10 . In 2002, we found $O$. nerka at transect 10 to be feeding on Holopedium and insects. In 2009 they were feeding primarily on Diacyclops and Bosmina (Appendix 5).

With no fish samples from the main lake, and so few hydroacoustic detections in the pelagic zone, it is difficult to have much confidence in the 900000 O . nerka estimate we arrived
at, so our reliability rating is "very low" (Appendix 3). It is clear, however, that O. nerka densities in the main lake are extremely low. Until escapements to this lake system substantially increase, a 1-2 night hydroacoustic survey to assess the abundance of juvenile sockeye will likely fall short of producing reliable estimates. As a final note, our knowledge of the bathymetry of Morice Lake is very poor and a full bathymetric survey of the lake should be conducted.

## Namu Lake

Namu Lake is located on the central coast of British Columbia, a short distance east of Namu B.C. The lake's outlet stream drains for a few hundred metres into Fitz Hugh Sound. We surveyed Namu, a stained lake, on September 15, 2006 using the Little Echo and the $2 \times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ trawl. Finding no existing bathymetric chart for Namu Lake, we drew up our own from survey transects and additional soundings (Fig. 3k).

The trawl net caught 41 O. nerka, all age- 0 , and six stickleback. The stickleback were relatively large with a mean length of 76 mm (Table 4); in most cases larger than the O. nerka, which had a mean length of 55 mm (Table 3). Chaoborus larvae were present in this lake on most transects in sufficient numbers to interfere with regular hydroacoustic analysis, so we estimated O. nerka abundance at 177000 fish using tracked target Chaoborus techniques as outlined in MacLellan and Hume (2010). The diet of Namu O. nerka was varied, but Daphnia was the main food item (Appendix 5).

Providing that Chaoborus densities do not increase to the point where distinguishing fish targets is too difficult, future surveys for juvenile sockeye abundance should provide reasonable estimates if the Chaoborus techniques mention above are used.

## Red Bluff Lake

Red Bluff Lake is located on the east side of Pitt Island on the north coast of British Columbia, about 22 km west and slightly north of Hartley Bay. It drains a short distance into Grenville Channel, but the outlet creek has a barrier to anadromous fish. Like Batchellor Lake, we surveyed this lake on July 23, 2006 with the Little Echo and the $2 \times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ trawl, as part of the Hanging lakes program, to evaluate existing fish stocks. We found no existing chart for this lake so we produced one using our transect hydroacoustic data along with some additional soundings (Fig. 31). Red Bluff, like most coastal lakes, is stained.

Our midwater trawl caught 17 O. nerka (16 age-0, one age-1) and ten small larval fish. The larval fish were considered too small to be detected by the sounder at our analysis threshold, so their numbers were not applied to the hydroacoustic estimate. Swedish gill nets caught an additional five age-1 O. nerka and two age-2+ O. nerka. Since adult sockeye do not have access to the lake, these $O$. nerka must be kokanee, reproducing and rearing in Red Bluff Lake and its
tributaries. Other fishing gear caught threespine stickleback, sculpin and cutthroat trout. Age-0 O. nerka preferred Diaptomus in their diet, while age-1 O. nerka selected Bosmina and Holopedium as well as Diaptomus.

Red bluff Lake is a good candidate for hydroacoustic assessment of $O$. nerka abundance. The current population of kokanee is of very low density, but their distribution within the lake is favorable to acoustic assessment and there is no reason planted sockeye fry would distribute differently. That, along with few similar sized other species in the pelagic zone, should make an O. nerka assessment fairly straightforward for this lake.

## Tankeeah (lower) Lake

Lower Tankeeah Lake is situated on the Don Peninsula of British Columbia's central coast, about 21 km north west of Bella Bella. It is drained by the Tankeeah River a short distance into Spiller Channel. Tankeeah Lower is made up of a complicated series of basins, bays and islands and is relatively shallow with a maximum depth of 29 m . We surveyed this lake on September 18, 2006 with the Little Echo and the $2 \times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ trawl net. We drew a bathymetric chart of the lake from our various soundings of the lake (Fig. 3m). Like most of the coastal lakes we surveyed, this lake was classified as stained.

Of the 30 O. nerka captured during this survey, 29 were caught with the midwater trawl and all were age- 0 fish. In addition, three stickleback and one coho were caught by various fishing gear. Chaoborus larvae were present in the lake and our O. nerka abundance estimate of 72000 was arrived at by using tracked target and Chaoborus methods (MacLellan and Hume 2010). On most transects, Chaoborus densities were relatively low and did not pose much of a problem. O. nerka of Tankeeah Lower Lake were feeding mainly on Bosmina and Holopedium (Appendix 5), with some fish consuming Chaoborus larva as well.

As long as the Chaoborus methods above are employed and the Chaoborus population remains at current levels, hydroacoustic assessments of this lake should produce reasonably good estimates of $O$. nerka abundance.

## Tankeeah (upper) Lake

Upper Tankeeah Lake is just north of Lower Tankeeah Lake and the two are connected by a creek approximately 150 m in length. We surveyed this lake on September 19, 2006, the next night after completing the lower lake survey, using the same gear. Again, we created our own bathymetric chart from our hydroacoustic soundings (Fig. 3n). This lake is also classified as stained.

We caught only four $O$. nerka, all age- 0 fish, from this lake along with seven stickleback, and all from midwater trawls. With such a low catch we pooled the trawl results and applied
them to the entire lake rather than on a section by section basis. Like Tankeeah Lower Lake, Chaoborus were present in the upper lake and hydroacoustic data was handled in the same manner to produce an O. Nerka estimate of 43000 fish (Appendix 3). Diet differed in the upper lake in that Diaptomus was the most important food item followed by Bosmina. It is important to note however, that this is based on analysis of only three fish. (Appendix 5).

As with the lower lake, surveys of Upper Tankeeah should produce good estimates of $O$. nerka abundance using Chaoborus methods. A higher trawl catch would be desirable, to better estimate sockeye and stickleback ratios in each of the basins (sections 1 and 2), thus more emphasis on trawling may be required.

## Whalen Lake

Whalen is a relatively large lake ( 24 km long) located on Princess Royal Island, about 25 km south east of Hartley Bay, and in the north coast region of British Columbia. This stained lake is drained by Whalen Creek, less than 1 km into Whale Channel. The drop from lake level to sea level is over 100 m and there is a barrier on the creek preventing anadromous fish from reaching the lake. We surveyed Whalen for the hanging lakes program on July 26, 2006 with the Little Echo and our $2 \times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ trawl and used an existing bathymetric chart drawn up for the Ministry of Environment, Lands and Parks in 1996 (FIDQ).

Only one fish, an age-1 O. nerka, was caught in 100 minutes of trawling. Gill nets caught nine age-1 O. nerka along with several Dolly Varden trout and one coho salmon. We found our gill nets were more successful catching $O$. nerka when deployed in the littoral zone of the lake, suggesting the $O$. nerka, in this case kokanee, were utilizing the near shore areas of the lake more than is typical of O. nerka populations. Dense layers of Chaoborus larvae were present on most transects, dense enough to pose some difficulties for the analysis even using tracked target Chaoborus techniques (MacLellan and Hume 2010). Many of the fish targets detected in the pelagic zone were larger fish, most likely Dolly Varden and larger kokanee. Some small fish, juvenile O. nerka sized, may be hidden amongst the Chaoborus signal, but they are unlikely to be there in significant numbers, as indicated by the lack of catch in our midwater trawls. With no evidence of other species in the small fish category in the pelagic zone, we attributed all fish in that category to the juvenile kokanee estimate of 159000 fish (Appendix 3). It should be noted however, that if kokanee in this lake are shore oriented, we would have missed a significant portion of the population, as our sampling design does not adequately sample the near shore area. No diet workup was done for this lake due to the low trawl catch (one fish).

Hydroacoustic assessments of this lake have two challenges. The first is to separate fish targets from Chaoborus targets in the pelagic zone. Chaoborus densities were fairly high for this survey and any significant increase in these densities would certainly hamper analysis efforts. The second involves the apparent preference of the existing kokanee population for near shore habitat. Assessing littoral fish populations with acoustics is more difficult than pelagic
assessments, requiring more time and effort to adequately sample the population and analyze the data. Why these kokanee prefer the near shore habitat is unclear. Zooplankton densities in the pelagic zone, although on the low side (ranked $14^{\text {th }}$ among our 20 study lakes), are not excessively so (Appendix 6). Perhaps it is competition with the Chaoborus population that forces them inshore. In any case, at this point it is unclear whether an introduced population of juvenile sockeye would follow the norm and inhabit the pelagic zone of Whalen Lake or move into the near shore habitat like the existing kokanee population.

Appendix 2. Record of trawls and sets completed during surveys of the study lakes.

| Lake | Gear | Date | Time | Section | Duration$(\mathrm{min})$ | Depth (m) |  | Conditions |  | Catch |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Start | End | Sky | Wind | Taxa | N |
| Alastair | Trawl 2mx2m | 13-Sep-09 | 23:40 | 1 | 15 | 1 | 1 | Contin. rain | Light breeze | Threespine stickleback | 26 |
|  |  | 14-Sep-09 | 20:29 | 1 | 15 | 8 | 8 | $>50 \%$ cloud | Light air | Age-0 O. nerka | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Age-1 O. nerka | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Threespine stickleback | 354 |
|  |  | 14-Sep-09 | 20:59 | 1 | 30 | 14 | 14 | $>50 \%$ cloud | Light air | Age-0 O. nerka | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Age-1 O. nerka | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Threespine stickleback | 31 |
|  |  | 14-Sep-09 | 21:42 | 1 | 30 | 19 | 19 | $>50 \%$ cloud | Light air | Age-0 O. nerka | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Age-1 O. nerka | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Threespine stickleback | 5 |
|  |  | 14-Sep-09 | 22:23 | 1 | 35 | 30 | 30 | $>50 \%$ cloud | Light air | Age-0 O. nerka | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Threespine stickleback | 14 |
|  |  | 14-Sep-09 | 23:16 | 1 | 30 | 24 | 24 | $>50 \%$ cloud | Light air | Age-1 O. nerka | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Threespine stickleback | 12 |
|  |  | 15-Sep-09 | 0:48 | 2 | 20 | 1 | 1 | Fog/haze | Light air | Threespine stickleback | 4 |
|  |  | 15-Sep-09 | 1:26 | 2 | 20 | 6 | 6 | Fog/haze | Light air | Age-0 O. nerka | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Age-1 O. nerka | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Threespine stickleback | 62 |
|  |  | 15-Sep-09 | 2:01 | 2 | 20 | 12.5 | 12.5 | Fog/haze | Light air | Age-0 O. nerka | 18 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Age-1 O. nerka | 13 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Threespine stickleback | 138 |
|  |  | 15-Sep-09 | 2:36 | 2 | 25 | 12.5 | 12.5 | Fog/haze | Light air | Age-0 O. nerka | 20 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Age-1 O. nerka | 7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Threespine stickleback | 208 |
|  |  | 15-Sep-09 | 3:15 | 2 | 20 | 18 | 18 | Contin. rain | Light air | Age-0 O. nerka | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Age-1 O. nerka | 20 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Threespine stickleback | 128 |
|  | Swedish gill net | 13-Sep-09 | 16:34 | 2 | 997 | 11 | 11 |  |  | Age-1 O. nerka | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Threespine stickleback | 4 |
|  |  | 13-Sep-09 | 16:53 | 1 | 993 | 10.5 | 10.5 |  |  | Threespine stickleback | 1 |

Appendix 2. Record of trawls and sets completed during surveys of the study lakes (Continued).

| Lake | Gear | Date | Time | Section | Duration$(\mathrm{min})$ | Depth (m) |  | Conditions |  | Catch |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Start | End | Sky | Wind | Taxa | N |
|  |  | 14-Sep-09 | 20:40 | 2 | 445 | 7 | 7 |  |  | Age-0 O. nerka | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Age-1 O. nerka | 1 |
|  |  | 14-Sep-09 | 20:55 | 2 | 440 | 14 | 14 |  |  |  | 0 |
|  | Trawl 2mx2m | 21-Jul-06 | 22:53 | 1 | 15 | 8 | 8 | <10\% cloud | Light air |  | 0 |
|  |  | 21-Jul-06 | 23:16 | 1 | 30 | 27 | 29 | < $10 \%$ cloud | Light air |  | 0 |
|  | Swedish gill net | 20-Jul-06 | 18:27 | 1 | 976 | 9 | 10.5 |  |  |  | 0 |
|  |  | 20-Jul-06 | 19:05 | 1 | 948 | 9 | 10.5 |  |  | Dolly Varden | 1 |
|  |  | 21-Jul-06 | 10:45 | 1 | 1448 | 9 | 10.5 |  |  |  | 0 |
|  |  | 21-Jul-06 | 11:00 | 1 | 1405 | 9 | 10.5 |  |  |  | 0 |
|  | RIC gill net | 20-Jul-06 | 15:27 | 1 | 1054 | 0 | 2 |  |  | Cutthroat trout | 37 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Dolly Varden | 1 |
| Batchellor |  | 20-Jul-06 | 17:59 | 1 | 959 | 0 | 2 |  |  | Cutthroat trout | 19 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Dolly Varden | 2 |
|  | Minnow trap | 20-Jul-06 | 16:13 | 1 | 947 | 1.5 | 1.5 |  |  | Dolly Varden | 2 |
|  |  | 20-Jul-06 | 16:17 | 1 | 976 | 2 | 2 |  |  | Dolly Varden | 1 |
|  |  | 20-Jul-06 | 16:23 | 1 | 976 | 1.7 | 1.7 |  |  |  | 0 |
|  |  | 20-Jul-06 | 16:26 | 1 | 982 | 2 | 2 |  |  | Dolly Varden | 1 |
|  |  | 20-Jul-06 | 16:33 | 1 | 981 | 0.5 | 0.5 |  |  | Dolly Varden | 1 |
|  | Beach seine | 20-Jul-06 | 21:45 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 | $>50 \%$ cloud | Calm | Cutthroat trout | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Dolly Varden | 2 |
|  |  | 21-Jul-06 | 19:50 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  |  | Cutthroat trout | 11 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Dolly Varden | 1 |
|  |  | 21-Jul-06 | 20:15 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  |  | Cutthroat trout | 2 |
|  |  | 21-Jul-06 | 20:40 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  |  |  | 0 |
| Bowser | Trawl 2mx2m | 04-Sep-09 | 23:16 | 1 | 40 | 1 | 1 | 10-50\% cloud | Light air | Age-0 O. nerka | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Age-1 O. nerka | 1 |
|  |  | 05-Sep-09 | 1:23 | 1 | 40 | 3 | 3 | 10-50\% cloud | Light air | Age-0 O. nerka | 2 |
|  |  | 05-Sep-09 | 2:25 | 1 | 25 | 5 | 5 | 10-50\% cloud | Light air |  | 0 |
|  |  | 06-Sep-09 | 1:09 | 1 | 30 | 1 | 1 | Fog/haze | Light air | Age-1 O. nerka | 3 |

Appendix 2. Record of trawls and sets completed during surveys of the study lakes (Continued).

| Lake | Gear | Date | Time | Section | Duration$(\min )$ | Depth (m) |  | Conditions |  | Catch |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Start | End | Sky | Wind | Taxa | N |
|  | Swedish gill net | 06-Sep-09 | 1:13 | 2 | 30 | 3 | 3 | Fog/haze | Light breeze | Age-1 O. nerka | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Age-2+ O. nerka | 1 |
|  |  | 06-Sep-09 | 21:27 | 2 | 10 | 1 | 1 | $>50 \%$ cloud | Light air | Age-0 O. nerka | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Age-1 O. nerka | 3 |
|  |  | 06-Sep-09 | 21:59 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 1 | $>50 \%$ cloud | Light air | Age-0 O. nerka | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Age-1 O. nerka | 2 |
|  |  | 06-Sep-09 | 22:32 | 2 | 10 | 1.5 | 1.5 | $>50 \%$ cloud | Light air | Age-0 O. nerka | 10 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Age-1 O. nerka | 4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Age-2+ O. nerka | 2 |
|  |  | 06-Sep-09 | 23:03 | 2 | 17 | 1.5 | 1.5 | $>50 \%$ cloud | Light air | Age-0 O. nerka | 4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Age-1 O. nerka | 5 |
|  |  | 06-Sep-09 | 23:44 | 2 | 17 | 1.5 | 1.5 | $>50 \%$ cloud | Light air | Age-0 O. nerka | 2 |
|  |  | 07-Sep-09 | 0:24 | 2 | 30 | 1 | 1 | $>50 \%$ cloud | Light air | Age-0 O. nerka | 1 |
|  |  | 07-Sep-09 | 1:16 | 2 | 20 | 1 | 1 | $>50 \%$ cloud | Light air | Age-0 O. nerka | 1 |
|  |  | 07-Sep-09 | 1:51 | 2 | 20 | 3 | 3 | $>50 \%$ cloud | Light air |  | 0 |
|  |  | 04-Sep-09 | 15:27 | 2 | 1217 | 5 | 5 |  |  |  | 0 |
|  |  | 04-Sep-09 | 15:40 | 2 | 1214 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  |  | Age-1 O. nerka | 6 |
|  |  | 04-Sep-09 | 15:56 | 2 | 1209 | 3 | 3 |  |  | Age-1 O. nerka | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Bull trout | 1 |
|  |  | 04-Sep-09 | 16:28 | 2 | 1952 | 3 | 3 |  |  |  | 0 |
|  |  | 05-Sep-09 | 15:22 | 2 | 1294 | 1 | 1 |  |  | Age-1 O. nerka | 5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Age-2+ O. nerka | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Coho salmon | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Adult/jack sockeye | 1 |
|  |  | 05-Sep-09 | 11:58 | 2 | 1472 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  |  | Age-2+ O. nerka | 1 |
|  |  | 05-Sep-09 | 12:08 | 2 | 1492 | 3 | 3 |  |  |  | 0 |
|  |  | 05-Sep-09 | 12:35 | 2 | 1485 | 0.5 | 0.5 |  |  | Age-1 O. nerka | 1 |
|  |  | 06-Sep-09 | 13:29 | 2 | 1151 | 0.5 | 0.5 |  |  | Age-1 O. nerka | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Sucker | 1 |
|  |  | 06-Sep-09 | 13:40 | 2 | 1150 | 1 | 1 |  |  | Age-0 O. nerka | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Age-1 O. nerka | 2 |

Appendix 2. Record of trawls and sets completed during surveys of the study lakes (Continued).

| Lake | Gear | Date | Time | Section | Duration <br> (min) | Depth (m) |  | Conditions |  | Catch |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Start | End | Sky | Wind | Taxa | N |
| Elbow |  | 06-Sep-09 | 13:54 | 2 | 1157 | 0.5 | 0.5 |  |  | Age-0 O. nerka | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Age-1 O. nerka | 24 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Age-2+ O. nerka | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Bull trout | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Coho salmon | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Adultjack sockeye | 1 |
|  |  | 06-Sep-09 | 14:03 | 2 | 1167 | 0.5 | 0.5 |  |  | Age-0 O. nerka | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Age-1 O. nerka | 20 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Age-2+ O. nerka | 8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Bull trout | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Coho salmon | 2 |
|  | Trawl 2mx2m | 20-Sep-07 | 21:34 | 1 | 18 | 15 | 15 | < $10 \%$ cloud | Light air | Age-0 O. nerka | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Coho salmon | 1 |
|  |  | 20-Sep-07 | 22:09 | 1 | 14 | 16 | 16 | < $10 \%$ cloud | Light breeze | Age-0 O. nerka | 5 |
|  |  | 20-Sep-07 | 22:36 | 1 | 19 | 14 | 14 | 10-50\% cloud | Light breeze | Age-0 O. nerka | 2 |
|  |  | 20-Sep-07 | 23:21 | 1 | 10 | 20 | 20 | $>50 \%$ cloud | Light breeze | Age-0 O. nerka | 2 |
|  |  | 20-Sep-07 | 23:47 | 1 | 19 | 1 | 1 | Intermit. rain | Light breeze | Sculpin | 5 |
|  | Swedish gill net | 19-Sep-07 | 18:10 | 1 | 965 | 14 | 14 |  |  | Age-0 O. nerka | 2 |
|  |  | 19-Sep-07 | 19:10 | 1 | 870 | 15 | 15 |  |  | Age-0 O. nerka | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Age-2+ O. nerka | 3 |
|  |  | 20-Sep-07 | 10:00 | 1 | 1350 | 15 | 15 |  |  | Age-0 O. nerka | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Age-2+ O. nerka | 1 |
|  |  | 20-Sep-07 | 10:25 | 1 | 1385 | 14 | 14 |  |  | Age-0 O. nerka | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Age-1 O. nerka | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Age-2+ O. nerka | 1 |
|  |  | 20-Sep-07 | 14:00 | 2 | 1290 | 2 | 2 |  |  | Northern pikeminnow | 3 |

Appendix 2. Record of trawls and sets completed during surveys of the study lakes (Continued).

| Lake | Gear | Date | Time | Section | Duration <br> (min) | Depth (m) |  | Conditions |  | Catch |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Start | End | Sky | Wind | Taxa | N |
| Fred Wright | Trawl 2mx2m | 10-Sep-09 | 0:04 | 1 | 50 | 7 | 7 | >50\% cloud | Gentle breeze |  | 0 |
|  |  | 10-Sep-09 | 21:24 | 1 | 19 | 8 | 8 | Contin. rain | Calm | Age-0 O. nerka | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Sculpin | 2 |
|  |  | 10-Sep-09 | 23:08 | 1 | 28 | 1 | 1 | Contin. rain | Light breeze |  | 0 |
|  |  | 10-Sep-09 | 23:57 | 1 | 30 | 12 | 12 | Contin. rain | Light breeze |  | 0 |
|  | Swedish gill net | 09-Sep-09 | 20:01 | 1 | 889 | 7 | 7 |  |  | Age-0 O. nerka |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Age-1 O. nerka | 3 |
|  |  | 09-Sep-09 | 20:21 | 1 | 930 | 5 | 5 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 09-Sep-09 | 20:39 | 1 | 934 | 7 | 7 |  |  | Age-0 O. nerka | 1 |
|  |  | $09-$ Sep- 09 | 20:51 | 1 | 935 | 4 | 4 |  |  |  | 0 |
|  |  | 10-Sep-09 | 12:15 | 1 | 1152 | 12 | 12 |  |  |  | 0 |
|  |  | 10-Sep-09 | 12:30 | 1 | 1210 | 8 | 8 |  |  |  | 0 |
|  |  | 10-Sep-09 | 12:45 | 1 | 1208 | 10 | 10 |  |  |  | 0 |
|  |  | 10-Sep-09 | 12:50 | 1 | 1211 | 8 | 8 |  |  | Age-1 O. nerka |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Bull trout | 1 |
| Keecha | Trawl 2mx2m | 04-Sep-08 | 21:35 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 8 | Intermit. rain | Light breeze | Age-0 O. nerka | 11 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Threespine stickleback | 5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Adult/jack sockeye | 1 |
|  |  | 04-Sep-08 | 22:00 | 1 | 11 | 14 | 14 | Intermit. rain | Light breeze | Age-0 O.nerka | 66 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Age-1 O. nerka | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Threespine stickleback | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Dolly Varden | 1 |
|  |  | 04-Sep-08 | 22:30 | 1 | 10 | 10 | 10 | Intermit. rain | Light breeze |  | 16 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Age-1 O. nerka | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Threespine stickleback | 10 |
|  |  | 04-Sep-08 | 22:51 | 1 | 10 | 18 | 18 | Intermit. rain | Light breeze | Age-0 O. nerka | 29 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Age-1 O. nerka | 1 |
|  |  | 04-Sep-08 | 23:13 | 1 | 10 | 6 | 6 | Intermit. rain | Light breeze | Age-0 O. nerka | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Threespine stickleback | 21 |

Appendix 2. Record of trawls and sets completed during surveys of the study lakes (Continued).

| Lake | Gear | Date | Time | Section | Duration (min) | Depth (m) |  | Conditions |  | Catch |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Start | End | Sky | Wind | Taxa | N |
|  |  | 04-Sep-08 | 23:41 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 1 | Intermit. rain | Light breeze | Age-0 O. nerka Threespine stickleback | 2 18 |
|  |  | 05-Sep-08 | 22:41 | 4 | 5 | 11 | 11 | < $10 \%$ cloud | Calm | Age-0 O. nerka Prickly sculpin | 1 |
|  |  | 05-Sep-08 | 23:03 | 4 | 10 | 11 | 11 | < $10 \%$ cloud | Calm | Age-0 O. nerka Threespine stickleback | 13 1 |
|  |  | 05-Sep-08 | 23:27 | 3 | 10 | 11 | 10 | <10\% cloud | Calm | Age-0 O. nerka Threespine stickleback | 7 1 |
|  |  | 05-Sep-08 | 23:52 | 3 | 7 | 14 | 14 | < $10 \%$ cloud | Calm | Age-0 O. nerka Age-1 O. nerka | 32 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Threespine stickleback | 2 |
|  |  | 06-Sep-08 | 0:15 | 4 | 7 | 14 | 14 | <10\% cloud | Calm | Age-0 O. nerka | 19 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Threespine stickleback | 1 |
|  |  | 06-Sep-08 | 0:34 | 4 | 10 | 4 | 4 | < $10 \%$ cloud | Calm | Threespine stickleback | 5 |
|  |  | 06-Sep-08 | 0:58 | 3 | 10 | 1 | 1 | < $10 \%$ cloud | Calm | Threespine stickleback | 3 |
|  | Swedish gill net | 04-Sep-08 | 13:00 | 3 | 1308 | 12 | 12 |  |  |  | 0 |
|  |  | 04-Sep-08 | 13:42 | 4 | 1493 | 13 | 13 |  |  |  | 0 |
|  |  | 04-Sep-08 | 15:35 | 2 | 1167 | 13 | 13 |  |  | Threespine stickleback | 1 |
|  |  | 04-Sep-08 | 16:08 | 2 | 1152 | 12 | 12 |  |  |  | 0 |
|  |  | 04-Sep-08 | 16:53 | 1 | 1120 | 13 | 13 |  |  |  | 0 |
|  |  | 04-Sep-08 | 11:11 | 2 | 1419 | 12 | 12 |  |  |  | 0 |
|  |  | 05-Sep-08 | 11:00 | 2 | 1423 | 13 | 13 |  |  |  | 0 |
|  |  | 05-Sep-08 | 11:15 | 2 | 1392 | 14 | 14 |  |  |  | 0 |
|  |  | 05 -Sep-08 | $12: 30$ | $2$ | $1323$ | 15 | 15 |  |  |  | 0 |
|  |  | 06-Sep-08 | 14:40 | 4 | $1240$ | 14 | 14 |  |  |  | 0 |
| Kimsquit | Trawl 2mx2m | 13-Sep-07 |  | 1 | 25 | 6 | 6 |  |  | Age-0 O. nerka | 13 |
|  |  | 13-Sep-07 | 22:07 | 1 | 40 | 7 | 8 | $<10 \%$ cloud | Calm | Age-0 O. nerka | 9 |
|  |  | 13-Sep-07 | 23:46 | 1 | 30 | 7 | 7 | < $10 \%$ cloud | Calm | Age-0 O. nerka | 10 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Sculpin | 1 |
|  |  | 14-Sep-07 | 0:43 | 1 | 20 | 20 | 20 | < $10 \%$ cloud | Light air | Age-0 O. nerka | 1 |

Appendix 2. Record of trawls and sets completed during surveys of the study lakes (Continued).

| Lake | Gear | Date | Time | Section | Duration (min) | Depth (m) |  | Conditions |  | Catch |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Start | End | Sky | Wind | Taxa | N |
| Kitlope | Swedish gill net | 14-Sep-07 | 1:27 | 1 | 15 | 1 | 1 | < $10 \%$ cloud | Light air |  | 0 |
|  |  | 12-Sep-07 | 21:16 | 1 | 954 | 5 | 5 |  |  |  | 0 |
|  |  | 12-Sep-07 | 21:50 | 1 | 940 | 7 | 7 |  |  | Age-0 O. nerka | 8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Age-1 O. nerka | 6 |
|  |  | 13-Sep-07 | 19:04 | 1 | 916 | 7 | 7 |  |  | Age-0 O. nerka | 1 |
|  |  | 13-Sep-07 | 19:16 | 1 | 883 | 5 | 5 |  |  | Age-1 O. nerka | 2 |
|  | Trawl 2mx2m | 15-Sep-07 | 21:43 | 1 | 45 | 3 | 3 | Contin. rain | Light breeze | Age-0 O. nerka | 16 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Age-1 O. nerka | 3 |
|  |  | 15-Sep-07 | 22:50 | 1 | 20 | 1.3 | 1.3 | Contin. rain | Light breeze | Age-0 O. nerka | 23 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Age-1 O. nerka | 5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Threespine stickleback | 2 |
|  |  | 16-Sep-07 | 22:03 | 1 | 55 | 2 | 2 | 10-50\% cloud | Light breeze | Age-0 O. nerka | 61 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Age-1 O. nerka | 3 |
|  | Swedish gill net | 15-Sep-07 | 17:42 | 1 | 1032 | 2 | 2 |  |  | Age-0 O. nerka | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Age-1 O. nerka | 5 |
|  |  | 15-Sep-07 | 18:10 | 1 | 1013 | 3 | 3 |  |  | Age-1 O. nerka | 9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Age-2+ O. nerka | , |
|  |  | 16-Sep-07 | 12:00 | 1 | 1460 | 0 | 0 | Contin. rain | Light breeze |  | 0 |
|  |  | 16-Sep-07 | 10:54 | 1 | 1456 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 0 |
|  |  | 16-Sep-07 | 11:05 | 1 | 1432 | 3 | 3 |  |  | Threespine stickleback | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Coho salmon | 1 |
|  |  | 16-Sep-07 | 12:05 | 1 | 1555 | 0 | 0 |  |  | Age-0 O. nerka | 4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Age-1 O. nerka | 5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Coho salmon | 1 |
| Koeye | Trawl 2mx2m | 12-Sep-06 | 21:07 | 1 | 25 | 10 | 10 | < $10 \%$ cloud | Light air | Age-0 O. nerka | 14 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Threespine stickleback | 1 |
|  |  | 12-Sep-06 | 22:20 | 2 | 30 | 12 | 12 | < $10 \%$ cloud | Light air | Age-0 O. nerka | 56 |

Appendix 2. Record of trawls and sets completed during surveys of the study lakes (Continued).

| Lake | Gear | Date | Time | Section | $\begin{gathered} \text { Duration } \\ (\mathrm{min}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Depth (m) |  | Conditions |  | Catch |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Start | End | Sky | Wind | Taxa | N |
| Kooryet | Swedish gill net | 13-Sep-06 | 18:33 | 2 | 842 | 10 | 10 |  |  |  | 0 |
|  |  | 13-Sep-06 | 19:17 | 1 | 808 | 10 | 10 |  |  |  | 0 |
|  | Trawl 2 mx 2 m | 02-Sep-08 | 20:46 | 3 | 16 | 10 | 10 | < $10 \%$ cloud | Calm | Age-0 O. nerka | 11 |
|  |  | 02-Sep-08 | 21:30 | 3 | 10 | 13 | 13 | $<10 \%$ cloud | Calm | Age-0 O. nerka | 7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Threespine stickleback | 1 |
|  |  | 02-Sep-08 | 21:52 | 3 | 10 | 7 | 7 | <10\% cloud | Calm | Threespine stickleback | 3 |
|  |  | 02-Sep-08 | 22:28 | 2 | 12 | 11 | 11 | $<10 \%$ cloud | Calm | Age-0 O. nerka | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Threespine stickleback | 3 |
|  |  | 02-Sep-08 | 22:54 | 1 | 30 | 14 | 14 | $<10 \%$ cloud | Calm | Age-0 O. nerka | 39 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Age-1 O. nerka | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Threespine stickleback | 27 |
|  |  | 02-Sep-08 | 23:45 | 1 | 30 | 20 | 20 | < $10 \%$ cloud | Calm | Age-0 O. nerka | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Threespine stickleback | 1 |
|  |  | 02-Sep-08 | 0:43 | 1 | 20 | 8 | 8 | < $10 \%$ cloud | Calm | Threespine stickleback | 4 |
|  |  | 02-Sep-08 | 0:49 | 2 | 15 | 1 | 1 | <10\% cloud | Calm | Threespine stickleback | 5 |
|  | Swedish gill net | 01-Sep-08 | 15:25 | 2 | 1115 | 10.5 | 10.5 |  |  |  | 0 |
|  |  | 01-Sep-08 | 16:51 | 1 | 1029 | 8 | 8 |  |  |  | 0 |
|  |  | 01-Sep-08 | 17:05 | 1 | 1025 | 9 | 9 |  |  |  | 0 |
|  |  | 01-Sep-08 | 17:21 | 2 | 1020 | 9 | 9 |  |  | Threespine stickleback | 1 |
|  |  | 01-Sep-08 | 18:05 | 3 | 945 | 8 | 8 |  |  |  | 0 |
|  |  | 02-Sep-08 | 10:55 | 1 | 1488 | 14 | 14 |  |  |  | 0 |
|  |  | 02-Sep-08 | 11:09 | 1 | 1521 | 11 | 11 |  |  |  | 0 |
|  |  | 02-Sep-08 | 11:25 | 2 | 1476 | 12 | 12 |  |  |  | 0 |
|  | Small mesh gill net | 02-Sep-08 | 10:04 | 3 | 1501 | 12 | 12 |  |  |  | 0 |
|  |  | 02-Sep-08 | 10:24 | 2 | 1506 | 16 | 16 |  |  |  | 0 |
| Kwinageese | Trawl 2 mx 2 m | 08-Sep-09 | 22:14 | 1 | 31 | 10 | 10 | Contin. rain | Light air | Coho salmon | 2 |
|  |  | 09-Sep-09 | 0:02 | 1 | 18 | 30 | 30 | Contin. rain | Light air | Age-1 O. nerka | 1 |

Appendix 2. Record of trawls and sets completed during surveys of the study lakes (Continued).

|  |  |  |  |  | Duration | Dept | (m) |  | itions | Catch |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lake | Gear | Date | Time | Section | (min) | Start | End | Sky | Wind | Taxa | N |
|  | Swedish gill net | $09-S e p-09$$09-S e p-09$ | 0:46 | 1 | 30 | 24 | 24 | Contin. rain | Light air | Age-0 O. nerka Coho salmon | 0 |
|  |  |  | 1:27 | 1 | 30 | 6 | 6 | Contin. rain | Light air |  | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
|  |  | 09-Sep-09 | 2:10 | 1 | 30 | 1 | 1 | Contin. rain | Light air |  | 0 |
|  |  | 07-Sep-09 | 20:06 | 1 | 749 | 5 | 5 |  |  | Rainbow trout | 1 |
|  |  | 07-Sep-09 | 23:05 | 1 | 744 | 6 | 6 |  |  | Age-2+ O. nerka | 1 |
|  |  | 07-Sep-09 | 21:01 | 1 | 734 | 6 | 6 |  |  | redside shiner | 13 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lake chub | 4 |
|  |  | 07-Sep-09 | 21:31 | 1 | 779 | 5 | 5 |  |  | Redside shiner <br> Lake chub | 491 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 08-Sep-09 | 8:50 | 1 | 1498 | 10 | 10 |  |  |  | 0 |
|  |  | 08-Sep-09 | 11:18 | 1 | 1361 | 8 | 8 |  |  | Redside shiner | 1 |
|  |  | 08-Sep-09 | 11:35 | 1 | 1353 | 7 | 7 |  |  |  | 0 |
|  |  | 08-Sep-09 | 11:55 | 1 | 1340 | 7 | 7 |  |  |  | 0 |
| conesome | Trawl 2mx2m | 08-Sep-07 | 23:25 | 1 | 20 | 15 | 16 | $<10 \%$ cloud | Light breeze | Age-0 O. nerka |  |
|  |  | 09 -Sep-07 | 0:08 | 1 | 20 | 30 | 30 | < $10 \%$ cloud | Light breeze | Age-0 O. nerka | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | prickly sculpin | 3 |
|  |  | 09-Sep-07 | 0:53 | 1 | 20 | 24 | 24 | < $10 \%$ cloud | Light breeze | Age-0 O. nerka | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Age-1 O. nerka | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Sculpin | 2 |
|  |  | 09-Sep-07 | 1:30 | 1 | 20 | 19 | 19 | < $10 \%$ cloud | Calm | Age-0 O. nerka | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Age-1 O. nerka | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Sculpin | 1 |
|  |  | 11-Sep-07 | 20:50 | 1 | 20 | 16 | 16 | $<10 \%$ cloud | Gentle breeze | Age-0 O. nerka | 14 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Age-1 O. nerka | 1 |
|  |  | 11-Sep-07 | 21:31 | 1 | 25 | 17 | 17 | <10\% cloud | Gentle breeze | Age-0 O. nerka | 18 |
|  |  | 11-Sep-07 | 22:17 | $1$ | 15 | $16$ | 19 | $<10 \%$ cloud | Gentle breeze | Age-0 O. nerka | 15 |
|  |  | 11-Sep-07 | 22:47 | 1 | 20 | 1 | 1 | < $10 \%$ cloud | Gentle breeze |  | 0 |

Appendix 2. Record of trawls and sets completed during surveys of the study lakes (Continued).

| Lake | Gear | Date | Time | Section | Duration <br> (min) | Depth (m) |  | Conditions |  | Catch |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Start | End | Sky | Wind | Taxa | N |
| Meziadin | Swedish gill net | 08-Sep-07 | 15:38 | 1 | 1228 | 15 | 15 | < $10 \%$ cloud |  | Age-0 O. nerka | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Age-1 O. nerka | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rainbow trout | 1 |
|  |  | 08-Sep-07 | 16:15 | 1 | 1216 | 15 | 15 |  |  | Age-0 O. nerka | 2 |
|  |  | 11-Sep-07 | 12:51 | 1 | 1059 | 17 | 17 | $<10 \%$ cloud |  | Age-0 O. nerka | 7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Age-2+ O. nerka | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Cutthroat trout | 1 |
|  | Dip net | 11-Sep-07 |  |  |  | 0 | 1 |  |  | Northern pikeminnow | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Prickly sculpin | 1 |
|  | Trawl 3mx7m | 17-Sep-09 | 21:47 | 2 | 20 | 10 | 10 | Contin. rain | Light breeze | Age-0 O. nerka | 281 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Age-1 O. nerka | 27 |
|  |  | 17-Sep-09 | 22:53 | 2 | 15 | 21 | 21 | Contin. rain | Light breeze | Age-0 O. nerka | 208 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Age-1 O. nerka | 144 |
|  |  | 18-Sep-09 | 0:03 | 2 | 15 | 3.5 | 3.5 | Contin. rain | Light breeze | Age-0 O. nerka | 126 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Age-1 O. nerka | 2 |
|  |  | 18-Sep-09 | 22:19 | 1 | 20 | 3.5 | 3.5 | Contin. rain | Light breeze | Age-0 O. nerka | 11 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Whitefish | 1 |
|  |  | 18-Sep-09 | 23:24 | 1 | 20 | 11 | 11 | >50\% cloud | Light breeze | Age-0 O. nerka | 70 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Age-1 O. nerka | 146 |
|  |  | 18-Sep-09 | 0:21 | 1 | 10 | 19 | 19 | >50\% cloud | Light breeze | Age-0 O. nerka | 5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Age-1 O. nerka | 21 |
| Moore | Trawl 2mx2m | 07-Sep-08 | 22:06 | 2 | 20 | 14 | 14 | Intermit. rain | Light air | Age-0 O. nerka | 17 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Threespine stickleback | 1 |
|  |  | 07-Sep-08 | 22:52 | 2 | 20 | 9 | 9 | $>50 \%$ cloud | Light air | Threespine stickleback | 13 |
|  |  | 07-Sep-08 | 23:25 | 2 | 17 | 18 | 20 | >50\% cloud | Light air | Age-0 O. nerka | 8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Threespine stickleback | 2 |
|  |  | 07-Sep-08 | 23:53 | 2 | 15 | 14 | 12 | >50\% cloud | Light air | Age-0 O. nerka Threespine stickleback | 13 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
|  |  | 08-Sep-08 | 20:45 | 1 | 10 | 13 | 13 | < $10 \%$ cloud | Gentle breeze | Age-0 O. nerka | 9 |

Appendix 2. Record of trawls and sets completed during surveys of the study lakes (Continued).

| Lake | Gear | Date | Time | Section | Duration <br> (min) | Depth (m) |  | Conditions |  | Catch |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Start | End | Sky | Wind | Taxa | N |
| Morice |  | 08-Sep-08 | 21:15 | 1 | 22 | 17 | 17 | <10\% cloud | Moderate breeze | Threespine stickleback | 45 25 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Threespine stickleback | 9 |
|  |  | 08-Sep-08 | 22:08 | 1 | 16 | 22 | 26 | < $10 \%$ cloud | Gentle breeze | Age-0 O. nerka | 13 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Threespine stickleback | 5 |
|  |  | 08-Sep-08 | 22:43 | 1 | 15 | 9 | 9 | <10\% cloud | Gentle breeze | Threespine stickleback | 111 |
|  |  | 08-Sep-08 | 23:21 | 1 | 20 | 1 | 1 | < $10 \%$ cloud | Gentle breeze | Threespine stickleback | 46 |
|  | Swedish gill net | 07-Sep-08 | 13:18 |  | 1413 | 10 | 10 |  |  | Threespine stickleback | 3 |
|  |  | 07-Sep-08 | 14:28 | 1 | 1320 | 13 | 13 |  |  |  | 0 |
|  |  | 07-Sep-08 | 15:24 | 1 | 1354 | 5 | 5 |  |  | Threespine stickleback | 7 |
|  |  | $08 \text {-Sep-08 }$ | 13:30 | 1 | 1214 | 20 | 20 |  |  |  | 0 |
|  |  | 08 -Sep-08 | 13:40 | 1 | 1217 | 16 | 16 |  |  |  | 0 |
|  |  | 08 -Sep-08 | 14:15 | 1 | 1193 | 7 | 7 |  |  | Threespine stickleback | 10 |
|  | Small mesh gill net | 07-Sep-08 | 13:29 | 2 | 1409 | 13 | 13 |  |  |  | 0 |
|  |  | 07-Sep-08 | 15:50 | 1 | 1320 | 12 | 12 |  |  |  | 0 |
|  |  | 08-Sep-08 | 13:11 | $2$ | $1224$ | $13$ | $13$ |  |  |  | 0 |
|  |  | $08 \text {-Sep-08 }$ |  |  | $1197$ | 18 | 18 |  |  |  | 0 |
|  | Trawl 3mx7m | 22-Sep-09 | 23:35 | 3 | 15 | 17 | 17 | < $10 \%$ cloud | Light air |  | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Age-1 O. nerka whitefish | 2 1 |
|  |  | 23-Sep-09 | $0: 21$ |  | 15 | $24$ | 24 |  |  | Age-0 O. nerka |  |
|  |  | $23 \text {-Sep- } 09$ | 1:07 | 3 | 25 | $18$ | 18 | $<10 \%$ cloud | Light air | Age-0 O. nerka | 12 |
| Namu | Trawl 2mx2m | 15-Sep-06 | 20:36 | 2 | 13 | 8 | 8 | < $10 \%$ cloud | Light breeze | Age-0 O. nerka | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Threespine stickleback | 2 |
|  |  | 15-Sep-06 | 21:51 | 2 | 15 | 9 | 9 | < $10 \%$ cloud | Light air | Age-0 O. nerka | 8 |
|  |  | 15-Sep-06 | $23: 48$ | 1 | 35 | 11 | 10 | 10-50\% cloud | Light air | Age-0 O. nerka | 27 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Threespine stickleback | , |
|  | Swedish gill net | 15-Sep-06 | 19:05 | $1$ | $930$ | 7 | $8.5$ |  |  |  | 0 |
|  |  | 15-Sep-06 | 19:25 | $2$ | $1129$ | 7 | $8.5$ |  |  | Age-0 O. nerka | 2 |

Appendix 2. Record of trawls and sets completed during surveys of the study lakes (Continued).

| Lake | Gear | Date | Time | Section | $\begin{gathered} \text { Duration } \\ (\mathrm{min}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Depth (m) |  | Conditions |  | Catch |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Start | End | Sky | Wind | Taxa | N |
| Red Bluff | Trawl 2mx2m | 23-Jul-06 | 23:05 | 3 | 30 | 10 | 10 | < $10 \%$ cloud | Calm |  | 0 |
|  |  | 24-Jul-06 | 1:10 | 2 | 10 | 12 | 12 | < $10 \%$ cloud | Calm | Age-0 O. nerka | 3 |
|  |  | 24-Jul-06 | 1:42 | 2 | 30 | 12 | 12 | < $10 \%$ cloud | Calm | Age-0 O. nerka | 4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Larval fish | 5 |
|  |  | 24-Jul-06 | 22:47 | 2 | 30 | 12 | 12 | Intermit. rain | Light breeze | Age-0 O. nerka | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Larval fish | 3 |
|  |  | 24-Jul-06 | 23:39 | 2 | 20 | 14 | 14 | Intermit. rain | Light air | Age-0 O. nerka | 4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Larval fish | 2 |
|  |  | 25-Jul-06 | 0:58 | 1 | 10 | 10 | 12 | Intermit. rain | Light air | Age-0 O. nerka | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Age-1 O. nerka | 1 |
|  |  | 25-Jul-06 | 1:25 | 1 | 8 | 10 | 10 | >50\% cloud | Light air | Age-0 O. nerka | 1 |
|  | Swedish gill net | 23-Jul-06 | 20:34 | 1 | 909 | 10 | 11.5 |  |  | Age-1 O. nerka | 5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Age-2+ O. nerka | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Cutthroat trout | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Cuthroat trout | 1 |
|  |  | 24-Jul-06 | 14:58 | 2 | $1167$ | 10 | $11.5$ |  |  |  | 0 |
|  | RIC gill net | 23-Jul-06 | 12:20 | 1 | 415 | 0 | 2 |  |  | Cuthroat trout | 6 |
|  |  | 23-Jul-06 | 13:38 | 1 | 386 | 0 | 2 |  |  | Cuthroat trout | 7 |
|  |  | 24-Jul-06 | 14:31 | 2 | 331 | 0 | 2 |  |  | Cuthroat trout | 5 |
|  |  | 24-Jul-06 | 16:52 | 2 | 1013 | 0 | 2 |  |  | Cuthroat trout | 5 |
|  |  | 24-Jul-06 | 20:15 | 2 | 760 | 0 | 2 |  |  | Cuthroat trout | 3 |
|  | Minnow trap | 23-Jul-06 | 13:45 | , | 1331 | 1 | 1 |  |  | Threespine stickleback | 15 |
|  |  | 23-Jul-06 | 13:55 | 1 | 1330 | 2 | 2 |  |  | Threespine stickleback | 6 |
|  |  | 23-Jul-06 | 14:03 | 1 | 1331 | 0.5 | 0.5 |  |  | Threespine stickleback | 27 |
|  |  | 23-Jul-06 | 14:12 | 1 | 1332 | 1.5 | 1.5 |  |  | Threespine stickleback | 37 |
|  |  | 23-Jul-06 | 14:20 | 2 | 1365 | 1 | 1 |  |  | Threespine stickleback | 14 |
|  |  | 24-Jul-06 | 14:22 | 2 | 1133 | 2 | 2 |  |  | Threespine stickleback | 7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Sculpin | 2 |
|  |  | 24-Jul-06 | 14:35 | $2$ | 1130 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
|  |  | 24-Jul-06 | 14:46 | 2 | 120 | 0 | 2 |  |  | Cuthroat trout | 1 |

Appendix 2. Record of trawls and sets completed during surveys of the study lakes (Continued).


Appendix 2. Record of trawls and sets completed during surveys of the study lakes (Continued).

| Lake | Gear | Date | Time | Section | Duration (min) | Depth (m) |  | Conditions |  | Catch |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Start | End | Sky | Wind | Taxa | N |
|  | Swedish gill net | 26-Jul-06 | 12:38 | 1 | 1344 | 8.5 | 10 |  |  |  | 0 |
|  |  | 26-Jul-06 | 12:53 | 1 | 1342 | 8.5 | 10 |  |  | Age-1 O. nerka | 1 |
|  |  | 27-Jul-06 | 11:05 | 1 | 1400 | 8.5 | 10 |  |  |  | 0 |
|  |  | 27-Jul-06 | 17:50 | 1 | 965 | 0 | 1.5 |  |  |  | 0 |
|  |  | 27-Jul-06 | 11:00 | 2 | 1405 | 8.5 | 10 |  |  |  | 0 |
|  | RIC gill net | 26-Jul-06 | 10:58 | 1 | 1547 | 0 | 2 |  |  | Coho salmon | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Dolly Varden | 12 |
|  |  | 26-Jul-06 | 11:31 | 1 | 1494 | 0 | 2 |  |  | Age-1 O. nerka | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Dolly Varden | 2 |
|  |  | 27-Jul-06 | 12:00 | 2 | 1295 | 0 | 2 |  |  | Dolly Varden | 5 |
|  |  | 27-Jul-06 | 12:50 | 1 | 1265 | 0 | 2 |  |  | Age-1 O. nerka | 2 |
|  | Minnow trap | 26-Jul-06 | 11:03 | 1 | 1502 | 1.5 | 1.5 |  |  | Dolly Varden | 1 |
|  |  | 26-Jul-06 | 11:06 | 1 | 1504 | 0.5 | 0.5 |  |  | Dolly Varden | 3 |
|  |  | 26-Jul-06 | 11:09 | 1 | 1506 | 2 | 2 |  |  | Dolly Varden | 1 |
|  |  | 26-Jul-06 | 11:35 | 1 | 1515 | 1 | 1 |  |  | Dolly Varden | 3 |
|  |  | 26-Jul-06 | 11:37 | $1$ | $1523$ | 1 | 1 |  |  | Dolly Varden | 1 |
|  |  | 27-Jul-06 | 12:08 | 1 | 222 | 0.5 | 0.5 |  |  |  | 0 |
|  |  | 27-Jul-06 | 12:10 | 1 | 215 | 0.5 | 0.5 |  |  |  | 0 |
|  |  | 27-Jul-06 | 12:15 | 1 | 205 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 0 |

Appendix 3. Hydroacoustic estimates of pelagic fish populations.

| Lake | Analysis |  | Juvenile O. nerka |  |  | Other Small Fish |  |  | Large Fish |  |  | Reliability of Estimate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | N | (N/ha) | $\begin{gathered} \hline 95 \% \\ \text { CI } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | N | (N/ha) | $\begin{gathered} \hline 95 \% \\ \text { CI } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | N | (N/ha) | $\begin{gathered} \hline 95 \% \\ \text { CI } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Alastair | Integration | a | 371,654 | 544 | 31 | 3,985,014 | 5,836 | 36 | 62,945 | 92 | 44 | Medium |
|  | Single targets |  | 387,025 | 567 | 31 | 3,973,694 | 5,819 | 37 | 59,409 | 87 | 41 | Medium |
|  | Tracked targets |  | 412,185 | 604 | 32 | 4,004,647 | 5,865 | 37 | 58,666 | 86 | 40 | Medium |
| Batchelor | Tracked targets CHA | a | - | - |  | 86,154 | 150 | 50 | 14,800 | 26 | 71 | Medium |
| Bowser | Tracked targets/trawl | a | 131,668 | 39 | 13 | - | - |  | 822 | 0.2 | 143 | Very low |
| Elbow | Integration |  | 16,194 | 155 | 58 | 1,466 | 14 | 58 | 10,018 | 96 | 64 | Medium |
|  | Single targets |  | 15,438 | 147 | 74 | 1,397 | 13 | 74 | 10,128 | 97 | 69 | Medium |
|  | Tracked targets | a | 15,993 | 153 | 87 | 1,448 | 14 | 87 | 10,453 | 100 | 71 | Medium |
| Fred Wright | Tracked targets | a | 45,071 | 118 | 60 | 232,958 | 610 | 108 | 2,837 | 7 | 92 | Low |
| Keecha | Tracked targets CHA | a | 231,302 | 727 | 29 | 145,443 | 457 | 22 | 10,708 | 34 | 57 | Medium |
| Kimsquit | Integration | a | 120,654 | 761 | 32 | 3,603 | 23 | 32 | 21,909 | 138 | 40 | High |
|  | Single targets |  | 122,083 | 770 | 34 | 3,646 | 23 | 34 | 22,948 | 145 | 49 | High |
|  | Tracked targets |  | 122,407 | 772 | 27 | 3,656 | 23 | 27 | 23,681 | 149 | 46 | High |
| Kitlope | Integration/trawl |  | 288,913 | 295 | 35 | 5,296 | 5 | 35 | 8,474 | 9 | 184 | Low |
|  | Single targets/trawl |  | 289,579 | 296 | 30 | 5,308 | 5 | 30 | 10,135 | 10 | 187 | Low |
|  | Tracked targets/trawl | a | 269,217 | 275 | 28 | 4,935 | 5 | 28 | 9,125 | 9 | 173 | Low |

Appendix 3. Hydroacoustic estimates of pelagic fish populations (Continued).


Appendix 3. Hydroacoustic estimates of pelagic fish populations (Continued).

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Prefered/Reported analysis method
CHA - tracked target anaysis with chaoborus methods employed

Appendix 4. Summary of captured fish for each survey by capture gear, preservative, and taxa.

| Lake/Date | Gear | Fish State | Catch |  | Weight (gm) |  |  |  |  |  | Fork length (mm) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Taxa | n | n | mean | 95CI | SD | min | max | n | mean | 95CI | SD | min | max |
| Alastair | Trawl (2mx2m) | Formalin | O. nerka age-0 | 34 | 34 | 1.74 | 0.18 | 0.52 | 0.61 | 2.76 | 34 | 54 | 2.2 | 6.2 | 37 | 64 |
| 13/09/2009 |  | Ethanol 85\% | O. nerka age-0 | 13 | 13 | 1.78 | 0.18 | 0.3 | 1.16 | 2.14 | 13 | 59 | 1.8 | 3 | 52 | 62 |
|  |  | Formalin | O. nerka age-1 | 42 | 42 | 4.76 | 0.31 | 1 | 2.21 | 6.61 | 42 | 76 | 1.6 | 5.2 | 63 | 86 |
|  |  | Ethanol 85\% | O. nerka age-1 | 7 | 7 | 4.71 | 0.32 | 0.34 | 4.15 | 5.23 | 7 | 79 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 77 | 81 |
|  |  | Formalin | Stickleback | 353 | 353 | 1.45 | 0.07 | 0.7 | 0.02 | 3.39 | 353 | 50 | 1 | 9.8 | 14 | 71 |
|  |  | Live | Stickleback | 629 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Swed gill net | Formalin | O. nerka age-0 | 1 | 1 | 2.17 |  |  | 2.17 | 2.17 | 1 | 58 |  |  | 58 | 58 |
|  |  | Formalin | O. nerka age-1 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 9.02 | 1 | 5.29 | 6.71 | 2 | 80 | 38.1 | 4.2 | 77 | 83 |
|  |  | Formalin | Stickleback | 4 | 4 | 2.28 | 1.27 | 0.8 | 1.16 | 3.05 | 4 | 60 | 13.1 | 8.2 | 49 | 69 |
|  |  | Live | Stickleback | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 40 |  |  | 40 | 40 |
| Batchellor | Beach sceine | Formalin | Cutthroat trout | 19 | 19 | 3.45 | 2.3 | 4.77 | 0.25 | 13.96 | 19 | 51 | 13 | 27.1 | 28 | 100 |
| 20/07/2006 |  | Formalin | Dolly Varden | 3 | 3 | 6.36 | 4.76 | 1.92 | 4.5 | 8.33 | 3 | 79 | 18.8 | 7.5 | 72 | 87 |
|  | Minnow trap(s) | Live | Dolly Varden | 5 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 121 | 28.1 | 22.7 | 92 | 145 |
|  | RIC gill net | Live | Cutthroat trout | 56 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 56 | 242 | 10 | 37.5 | 113 | 325 |
|  |  | Live | Dolly Varden | 3 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 152 | 59.6 | 24 | 125 | 170 |
|  | Swed gill net | Live | Dolly Varden | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 222 |  |  | 222 | 222 |
| Bowser | Trawl (2mx2m) | Formalin | O. nerka age-0 | 12 | 12 | 1.87 | 0.55 | 0.87 | 0.7 | 3.53 | 12 | 53 | 5.5 | 8.6 | 38 | 67 |
| 04/09/2009 |  | Ethanol 85\% | O. nerka age-0 | 13 | 13 | 1.36 | 0.32 | 0.52 | 0.41 | 2.1 | 13 | 52 | 4.4 | 7.3 | 37 | 61 |
|  |  | Formalin | O. nerka age-1 | 14 | 14 | 4.36 | 0.41 | 0.71 | 3.08 | 5.63 | 14 | 71 | 2.2 | 3.7 | 64 | 76 |
|  |  | Ethanol 85\% | O. nerka age-1 | 7 | 7 | 3.02 | 1.19 | 1.29 | 1.09 | 4.79 | 7 | 68 | 9.8 | 10.6 | 50 | 81 |
|  |  | Formalin | O. nerka age-2+ | 1 | 1 | 11.05 |  |  | 11.05 | 11.05 | 1 | 95 |  |  | 95 | 95 |
|  |  | Ethanol 85\% | O. nerka age-2+ | 2 | 2 | 6.02 | 9.21 | 1.03 | 5.29 | 6.74 | 2 | 85 | 25.4 | 2.8 | 83 | 87 |
|  | Swed gill net | Formalin | O. nerka age-0 | 7 | 6 | 2.2 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 1.98 | 2.44 | 6 | 58 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 54 | 63 |
|  |  | Formalin | O. nerka age-1 | 62 | 62 | 4.69 | 0.29 | 1.13 | 2.33 | 9.16 | 62 | 74 | 1.4 | 5.4 | 59 | 90 |

Appendix 4. Summary of captured fish for each survey by capture gear, preservative, and taxa (Continued).

| Lake/Date | Gear | Fish State | Catch |  | Weight (gm) |  |  |  |  |  | Fork length (mm) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Taxa | n | n | mean | 95CI | SD | min | max | n | mean | 95CI | SD | min | max |
| Elbow19/09/2007 | Trawl (2mx2m) | Formalin | O. nerka age-2+ | 21 | 21 | 11.14 | 2.19 | 4.81 | 5.5 | 21.25 | 21 | 96 | 5.6 | 12.2 | 79 | 124 |
|  |  | Formalin | Bull trout | 1 | 1 | 23.49 |  |  | 23.49 | 23.49 | 1 | 121 |  |  | 121 | 121 |
|  |  | Live | Bull trout | 3 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 347 | 138 | 55.6 | 290 | 401 |
|  |  | Formalin | Sucker | 1 | 1 | 24.15 |  |  | 24.15 | 24.15 | 1 | 120 |  |  | 120 | 120 |
|  |  | Formalin | Coho salmon | 5 | 5 | 14.37 | 2.74 | 2.21 | 12.57 | 18.09 | 5 | 103 | 6.2 | 5 | 98 | 110 |
|  |  | Live | sockeye ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 516 | 50.8 | 5.7 | 512 | 520 |
|  |  | Ethanol 85\% | O. nerka age-0 | 11 | 11 | 5.66 | 1.65 | 2.46 | 2.49 | 11.96 | 11 | 80 | 6.3 | 9.4 | 64 | 102 |
|  |  | Formalin | Sculpin | 5 | 5 | 0.1 | 0.12 | 0.1 | 0.04 | 0.27 | 5 | 21 | 7.7 | 6.2 | 15 | 31 |
|  | Swed gill net | Ethanol 85\% | Coho salmon | 1 | 1 | 9.92 |  |  | 9.92 | 9.92 | 1 | 99 |  |  | 99 | 99 |
|  |  | Formalin | O. nerka age-0 | 3 | 3 | 9.22 | 3.95 | 1.59 | 7.57 | 10.74 | 3 | 88 | 13.1 | 5.3 | 82 | 92 |
|  |  | Ethanol 85\% | O. nerka age-0 | 4 | 4 | 7.34 | 5.94 | 3.73 | 3.8 | 12.59 | 4 | 86 | 22.1 | 13.9 | 72 | 105 |
|  |  | Ethanol 85\% | O. nerka age-1 | 1 | 1 | 26.15 |  |  | 26.15 | 26.15 | 1 | 134 |  |  | 134 | 134 |
|  |  | Formalin | O. nerka age-2+ | 3 | 3 | 95.93 | 37.83 | 15.23 | 78.68 | 107.53 | 3 | 192 | 26.6 | 10.7 | 180 | 199 |
|  |  | Ethanol 85\% | O. nerka age-2+ | 2 | 2 | 82.81 | 146.82 | 16.34 | 71.25 | 94.36 | 2 | 198 | 38.1 | 4.2 | 195 | 201 |
|  |  | Formalin | $\mathrm{NPM}^{\text {a }}$ | 3 | 3 | 139.27 | 45.81 | 18.44 | 123.8 | 159.68 | 3 | 223 | 32.3 | 13 | 210 | 236 |
| Fred Wright | Trawl (2mx2m) | Formalin | O. nerka age-0 | 2 | 2 | 3.51 | 11.75 | 1.31 | 2.58 | 4.43 | 2 | 65 | 63.5 | 7.1 | 60 | 70 |
| 09/09/2009 |  | Formalin | Sculpin | 2 | 2 | 0.08 | 0.44 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.11 | 2 | 17 | 31.8 | 3.5 | 14 | 19 |
|  | Swed gill net | Formalin | O. nerka age-0 | 2 | 2 | 7.06 | 10.42 | 1.16 | 6.24 | 7.88 | 2 | 84 | 50.8 | 5.7 | 80 | 88 |
|  |  | Formalin | O. nerka age-1 | 4 | 4 | 14.99 | 17.04 | 10.71 | 9.23 | 31.05 | 4 | 106 | 32.7 | 20.5 | 94 | 137 |
|  |  | Live | Bull trout | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 555 |  |  | 555 | 555 |
| Keecha | Trawl (2mx2m) | Formalin | O. nerka age-0 | 172 | 172 | 1.96 | 0.11 | 0.7 | 0.79 | 3.62 | 172 | 55 | 1 | 6.8 | 41 | 69 |
| 04/09/2008 |  | Ethanol 85\% | O. nerka age-0 | 20 | 20 | 1.89 | 0.25 | 0.53 | 0.81 | 2.63 | 20 | 59 | 2.3 | 5 | 48 | 67 |
|  |  | Ethanol 95\% | O. nerka age-0 | 5 | 5 | 1.81 | 0.75 | 0.6 | 1.21 | 2.69 | 5 | 60 | 7.1 | 5.7 | 54 | 67 |

Appendix 4. Summary of captured fish for each survey by capture gear, preservative, and taxa (Continued).

| Lake/Date | Gear | Fish State | Catch |  | Weight (gm) |  |  |  |  |  | Fork length (mm) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Taxa | n | n | mean | 95CI | SD | min | max | n | mean | 95 CI | SD | min | max |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Kimsquit } \\ & \text { 12/09/2007 } \end{aligned}$ |  | Formalin | O. nerka age-1 | 4 | 4 | 5.62 | 1.67 | 1.05 | 4.51 | 7.03 | 4 | 79 | 7.2 | 4.5 | 74 | 85 |
|  |  | Ethanol 95\% | O. nerka age-1 | 1 | 1 | 4.15 |  |  | 4.15 | 4.15 | 1 | 80 |  |  | 80 | 80 |
|  |  | Formalin | Stickleback | 69 | 69 | 2.25 | 0.22 | 0.92 | 0.93 | 4.41 | 69 | 63 | 2.1 | 8.6 | 48 | 78 |
|  |  | Formalin | Prickly sculpin | 1 | 1 | 1.98 |  |  | 1.98 | 1.98 | 1 | 62 |  |  | 62 | 62 |
|  |  | Formalin | Dolly Varden | 1 | 1 | 27.78 |  |  | 27.78 | 27.78 | 1 | 140 |  |  | 140 | 140 |
|  |  | Live | sockeye ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 380 |  |  | 380 | 380 |
|  | Swed gill net | Formalin | Stickleback | 1 | 1 | 2.02 |  |  | 2.02 | 2.02 | 1 | 66 |  |  | 66 | 66 |
|  | Trawl (2mx2m) | Formalin | O. nerka age-0 | 13 | 13 | 1.33 | 0.55 | 0.91 | 0.28 | 2.97 | 13 | 45 | 6.4 | 10.6 | 29 | 61 |
|  |  | Ethanol 85\% | O. nerka age-0 | 20 | 20 | 1.19 | 0.42 | 0.91 | 0.19 | 2.52 | 20 | 48 | 6.1 | 13.1 | 31 | 64 |
|  |  | Ethanol 85\% | Sculpin | 1 | 1 | 0.33 |  |  | 0.33 | 0.33 | 1 | 34 |  |  | 34 | 34 |
|  | Swed gill net | Formalin | O. nerka age-0 | 9 | 8 | 4.09 | 0.77 | 0.95 | 2.08 | 5.04 | 8 | 69 | 4.8 | 5.8 | 56 | 74 |
|  |  | Formalin | O. nerka age-1 | 8 | 8 | 6.96 | 2.05 | 2.45 | 4.37 | 11.76 | 8 | 82 | 7.6 | 9.1 | 70 | 97 |
| Kitlope$15 / 09 / 2007$ | Trawl (2mx2m) | Formalin | O. nerka age-0 | 75 | 75 | 1.38 | 0.11 | 0.46 | 0.71 | 2.93 | 75 | 49 | 1.3 | 5.5 | 37 | 65 |
|  |  | Ethanol 85\% | O. nerka age-0 | 25 | 25 | 1.1 | 0.13 | 0.32 | 0.64 | 1.93 | 25 | 51 | 1.9 | 4.6 | 42 | 61 |
|  |  | Formalin | O. nerka age-1 | 5 | 5 | 4.6 | 1.13 | 0.91 | 3.93 | 6.16 | 5 | 73 | 7.9 | 6.4 | 68 | 83 |
|  |  | Live | O. nerka age-1 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 90 |  |  | 90 | 90 |
|  |  | Ethanol 85\% | O. nerka age-1 | 5 | 5 | 3.44 | 0.88 | 0.71 | 2.71 | 4.2 | 5 | 70 | 5.8 | 4.7 | 66 | 77 |
|  |  | Formalin | Stickleback | 2 | 2 | 0.96 | 4.38 | 0.49 | 0.61 | 1.3 | 2 | 45 | 69.9 | 7.8 | 39 | 50 |
|  | Swed gill net | Formalin | O. nerka age-0 | 6 | 6 | 2.42 | 0.55 | 0.52 | 1.92 | 3.39 | 6 | 59 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 55 | 65 |
|  |  | Formalin | O. nerka age-1 | 17 | 15 | 5.04 | 0.57 | 1.03 | 4.09 | 7.33 | 17 | 76 | 2.9 | 5.6 | 71 | 89 |
|  |  | Live | O. nerka age-1 | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 93 | 31.8 | 3.5 | 90 | 95 |
|  |  | Formalin | O. nerka age-2+ | 1 | 1 | 14.68 |  |  | 14.68 | 14.68 | 1 | 107 |  |  | 107 | 107 |
|  |  | Formalin | Stickleback | 1 | 1 | 1.14 |  |  | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1 | 46 |  |  | 46 | 46 |
|  |  | Formalin | Coho salmon | 2 | 2 | 4.94 | 16.01 | 1.78 | 3.68 | 6.2 | 2 | 71 | 76.2 | 8.5 | 65 | 77 |

Appendix 4. Summary of captured fish for each survey by capture gear, preservative, and taxa (Continued).

| Lake/Date | Gear | Fish State | Catch |  | Weight (gm) |  |  |  |  |  | Fork length (mm) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Taxa | n | n | mean | 95CI | SD | min | max | n | mean | 95CI | SD | min | max |
| Koeye | Trawl ( 2 mx 2 m ) | Formalin | O. nerka age-0 | 36 | 36 | 1.79 | 0.23 | 0.69 | 0.4 | 3.44 | 36 | 52 | 2.7 | 7.9 | 33 | 66 |
| 12/09/2006 |  | Ethanol 85\% | O. nerka age-0 | 34 | 34 | 1.44 | 0.13 | 0.37 | 0.75 | 2.2 | 34 | 55 | 1.7 | 5 | 44 | 63 |
|  |  | Ethanol 85\% | Stickleback | 1 | 1 | 0.27 |  |  | 0.27 | 0.27 | 1 | 31 |  |  | 31 | 31 |
| Kooryet | Trawl (2mx2m) | Formalin | O. nerka age-0 | 35 | 35 | 2.34 | 0.41 | 1.19 | 0.85 | 7.23 | 35 | 57 | 2.9 | 8.5 | 42 | 86 |
| 01/09/2008 |  | Ethanol 85\% | O. nerka age-0 | 26 | 26 | 1.91 | 0.26 | 0.65 | 0.94 | 3.33 | 26 | 59 | 2.5 | 6.3 | 47 | 71 |
|  |  | Ethanol 95\% | O. nerka age-0 | 4 | 4 | 1.72 | 1.14 | 0.72 | 1 | 2.56 | 4 | 58 | 11.4 | 7.1 | 50 | 66 |
|  |  | Ethanol 85\% | O. nerka age-1 | 1 | 1 | 2.54 |  |  | 2.54 | 2.54 | 1 | 67 |  |  | 67 | 67 |
|  |  | Formalin | Stickleback | 44 | 44 | 3.53 | 0.26 | 0.87 | 0.64 | 4.55 | 44 | 73 | 2.5 | 8.3 | 39 | 81 |
|  | Swed gill net | Formalin | Stickleback | 1 | 1 | 4.07 |  |  | 4.07 | 4.07 | 1 | 77 |  |  | 77 | 77 |
| Kwinageese | Trawl (2mx2m) | Formalin | O. nerka age-0 | 1 | 1 | 0.72 |  |  | 0.72 | 0.72 | 1 | 38 |  |  | 38 | 38 |
| 07/09/2009 |  | Formalin | O. nerka age-1 | 1 | 1 | 14.11 |  |  | 14.11 | 14.11 | 1 | 101 |  |  | 101 | 101 |
|  |  | Formalin | Coho salmon | 4 | 4 | 0.36 | 0.4 | 0.25 | 0.11 | 0.65 | 4 | 31 | 10.2 | 6.4 | 24 | 38 |
|  | Swed gill net | Formalin | O. nerka age-2+ | 1 | 1 | 29.13 |  |  | 29.13 | 29.13 | 1 | 130 |  |  | 130 | 130 |
|  |  | Formalin | Redside shiner | 62 | 55 | 7.15 | 0.44 | 1.65 | 3.28 | 11.06 | 55 | 78 | 1.5 | 5.7 | 61 | 90 |
|  |  | Live | Redside shiner | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 80 |  |  | 80 | 80 |
|  |  | Formalin | Rainbow trout | 1 | 1 | 27.05 |  |  | 27.05 | 27.05 | 1 | 129 |  |  | 129 | 129 |
|  |  | Formalin | Lake chub | 5 | 5 | 18.52 | 7.99 | 6.43 | 7.86 | 24.77 | 5 | 115 | 19.5 | 15.7 | 88 | 128 |
| Lonesome | Trawl (2mx2m) | Formalin | O. nerka age-0 | 34 | 34 | 1.89 | 0.24 | 0.7 | 1.06 | 3.95 | 34 | 54 | 2.1 | 6 | 45 | 70 |
| 08/09/2007 |  | Ethanol 85\% | O. nerka age-0 | 29 | 29 | 1.83 | 0.42 | 1.09 | 0.85 | 6.06 | 29 | 58 | 3.2 | 8.4 | 48 | 87 |
|  |  | Ethanol 85\% | O. nerka age-1 | 3 | 3 | 10.04 | 5.92 | 2.39 | 7.65 | 12.42 | 3 | 101 | 19.9 | 8 | 93 | 109 |
|  |  | Formalin | Sculpin | 2 | 2 | 0.31 | 1.52 | 0.17 | 0.19 | 0.43 | 2 | 31 | 57.2 | 6.4 | 26 | 35 |
|  |  | Ethanol 85\% | Sculpin | 1 | 1 | 0.16 |  |  | 0.16 | 0.16 | 1 | 27 |  |  | 27 | 27 |
|  |  | Formalin | Prickly sculpin | 3 | 3 | 4.25 | 5.96 | 2.4 | 1.93 | 6.72 | 3 | 66 | 28.6 | 11.5 | 54 | 77 |

Appendix 4. Summary of captured fish for each survey by capture gear, preservative, and taxa (Continued).

| Lake/Date | Gear | Fish State | Catch |  | Weight (gm) |  |  |  |  |  | Fork length (mm) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Taxa | n | n | mean | 95 CI | SD | min | max | n | mean | 95 CI | SD | min | max |
|  | Dip net | Formalin | NPM ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1 | 1 | 96.33 |  |  | 96.33 | 96.33 | 1 | 193 |  |  | 193 | 193 |
|  |  | Formalin | Prickly sculpin | 1 | 1 | 41.42 |  |  | 41.42 | 41.42 | 1 | 135 |  |  | 135 | 135 |
|  | Swed gill net | Formalin | O. nerka age-0 | 4 | 3 | 2.93 | 1.76 | 0.96 | 2.18 | 4.01 | 3 | 62 | 11.1 | 6 | 56 | 68 |
|  |  | Ethanol 85\% | O. nerka age-0 | 7 | 6 | 3.19 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 2.93 | 3.43 | 6 | 70 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 67 | 73 |
|  |  | Formalin | O. nerka age-1 | 1 | 1 | 25.63 |  |  | 25.63 | 25.63 | 1 | 127 |  |  | 127 | 127 |
|  |  | Formalin | O. nerka age-2+ | 2 | 2 | 203.56 | 1001.3 | 111.45 | 124.75 | 282.36 | 2 | 238 | 565.4 | 62.9 | 193 | 282 |
|  |  | Live | O. nerka age-2+ | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 212 |  |  | 212 | 212 |
|  |  | Live | Rainbow trout | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 331 |  |  | 331 | 331 |
|  |  | Live | Cutthroat trout | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 265 |  |  | 265 | 265 |
| Meziadin | Trawl (3mx7m) | Formalin | O. nerka age-0 | 382 | 381 | 1.84 | 0.09 | 0.87 | 0.28 | 7.85 | 381 | 54 | 0.8 | 8.4 | 30 | 88 |
| 17/09/2009 |  | Live | O. nerka age-0 | 259 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Ethanol 85\% | O. nerka age-0 | 60 | 60 | 1.73 | 0.15 | 0.58 | 0.46 | 2.9 | 60 | 57 | 1.7 | 6.6 | 39 | 68 |
|  |  | Formalin | O. nerka age-1 | 99 | 99 | 9.53 | 0.5 | 2.5 | 4.3 | 20.36 | 99 | 94 | 1.5 | 7.6 | 73 | 121 |
|  |  | Live | O. nerka age-1 | 205 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Ethanol 85\% | O. nerka age-1 | 36 | 36 | 8.69 | 0.87 | 2.57 | 3.63 | 15.56 | 36 | 96 | 3.2 | 9.5 | 72 | 115 |
|  |  | Formalin | Whitefish | 1 | 1 | 22.5 |  |  | 22.5 | 22.5 | 1 | 125 |  |  | 125 | 125 |
| Moore | Trawl (2mx2m) | Formalin | O. nerka age-0 | 62 | 62 | 2.75 | 0.11 | 0.43 | 1.46 | 3.37 | 62 | 62 | 0.9 | 3.4 | 50 | 67 |
| 07/09/2008 |  | Ethanol 85\% | O. nerka age-0 | 20 | 20 | 2.32 | 0.22 | 0.48 | 1.07 | 2.97 | 20 | 63 | 2.2 | 4.7 | 49 | 68 |
|  |  | Ethanol 95\% | O. nerka age-0 | 3 | 3 | 2.26 | 0.55 | 0.22 | 2.07 | 2.5 | 3 | 64 | 4.3 | 1.7 | 63 | 66 |
|  |  | Formalin | Stickleback | 231 | 231 | 0.77 | 0.09 | 0.7 | 0.06 | 2.48 | 231 | 38 | 1.8 | 14 | 18 | 63 |
|  |  | Ethanol 85\% | Stickleback | 5 | 5 | 1.1 | 0.79 | 0.63 | 0.07 | 1.61 | 5 | 47 | 19 | 15.3 | 20 | 57 |
|  | Swed gill net | Formalin | Stickleback | 20 | 20 | 1.4 | 0.16 | 0.34 | 0.9 | 2.5 | 20 | 52 | 1.6 | 3.3 | 48 | 62 |

Appendix 4. Summary of captured fish for each survey by capture gear, preservative, and taxa (Continued).

| Lake/Date | Gear | Fish State | Catch |  | Weight (gm) |  |  |  |  |  | Fork length (mm) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Taxa | n | n | mean | 95CI | SD | min | max | n | mean | 95CI | SD | min | max |
| Morice | Trawl (3mx7m) | Formalin | O. nerka age-0 | 20 | 20 | 4.12 | 0.94 | 2 | 1.04 | 7.58 | 20 | 70 | 5.5 | 11.8 | 46 | 87 |
| 22/09/2009 |  | Formalin | O. nerka age-1 | 2 | 2 | 13.06 | 7.24 | 0.81 | 12.49 | 13.63 | 2 | 102 | 38.1 | 4.2 | 99 | 105 |
|  |  | Formalin | Whitefish | 1 | 1 | 6.47 |  |  | 6.47 | 6.47 | 1 | 89 |  |  | 89 | 89 |
| Namu | Trawl (2mx2m) | Formalin | O. nerka age-0 | 21 | 21 | 2.12 | 0.35 | 0.77 | 0.57 | 3.66 | 21 | 54 | 3.4 | 7.4 | 35 | 66 |
| 15/09/2006 |  | Ethanol 85\% | O. nerka age-0 | 20 | 20 | 1.9 | 0.44 | 0.93 | 0.53 | 3.63 | 20 | 57 | 4.2 | 8.9 | 41 | 71 |
|  |  | Formalin | Stickleback | 6 | 6 | 4.45 | 2.19 | 2.08 | 1.48 | 6.19 | 6 | 76 | 13.6 | 12.9 | 57 | 89 |
|  | Swed gill net | Formalin | O. nerka age-0 | 2 | 1 | 2.34 |  |  | 2.34 | 2.34 | 1 | 59 |  |  | 59 | 59 |
| Red Bluff | Trawl (2mx2m) | Ethanol 85\% | O. nerka age-0 | 16 | 16 | 0.4 | 0.12 | 0.23 | 0.13 | 0.99 | 16 | 38 | 4 | 7.5 | 28 | 53 |
| 23/07/2006 |  | Ethanol 85\% | O. nerka age-1 | 1 | 1 | 7 |  |  | 7 | 7 | 1 | 93 |  |  | 93 | 93 |
|  |  | Ethanol 85\% | Larval fish | 10 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | 10 | 1 | 1.4 | 7 | 12 |
|  | Dip net | Formalin | Sculpin | 1 | 1 | 1.48 |  |  | 1.48 | 1.48 | 1 | 53 |  |  | 53 | 53 |
|  | Beach sceine | Formalin | Stickleback | 28 | 28 | 0.71 | 0.15 | 0.38 | 0.23 | 1.53 | 28 | 41 | 2.7 | 7.1 | 29 | 52 |
|  |  | Live | Stickleback | 31 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Formalin | Sculpin | 6 | 6 | 0.23 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.15 | 0.34 | 6 | 28 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 23 | 32 |
|  | Minnow trap(s) | Live | Stickleback | 122 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 122 | 49 | 0.8 | 4.4 | 39 | 59 |
|  |  | Formalin | Sculpin | 3 | 3 | 1.36 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 1.59 | 3 | 52 | 10.3 | 4.2 | 49 | 57 |
|  |  | Live | Sculpin | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 60 |  |  | 60 | 60 |
|  |  | Live | Cutthroat trout | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 350 |  |  | 350 | 350 |
|  | RIC gill net | Live | Cutthroat trout | 26 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 25 | 352 | 17.3 | 42.1 | 190 | 410 |
|  | Swed gill net | Ethanol 85\% | O. nerka age-1 | 5 | 5 | 11.14 | 4.59 | 3.7 | 6.23 | 16.32 | 5 | 105 | 11.6 | 9.3 | 91 | 115 |
|  |  | Ethanol 85\% | O. nerka age-2+ | 2 | 2 | 57.62 | 3.37 | 0.37 | 57.35 | 57.88 | 2 | 173 | 31.8 | 3.5 | 170 | 175 |
|  |  | Live | Cutthroat trout | 3 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 476 | 81.8 | 32.9 | 438 | 496 |

Appendix 4. Summary of captured fish for each survey by capture gear, preservative, and taxa (Continued).

| Lake/Date | Gear | Fish State | Catch |  | Weight (gm) |  |  |  |  |  | Fork length (mm) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Taxa | n | n | mean | 95CI | SD | min | max | n | mean | 95CI | SD | min | max |
| Tankeeah (lower) | Trawl (2mx2m) | Formalin | O. nerka age-0 | 10 | 10 | 2.35 | 0.63 | 0.89 | 1.41 | 4.32 | 10 | 57 | 4.6 | 6.5 | 50 | 71 |
| 18/09/2006 |  | Ethanol 85\% | O. nerka age-0 | 19 | 19 | 2.64 | 0.42 | 0.86 | 0.81 | 4.23 | 19 | 65 | 3.5 | 7.3 | 44 | 77 |
|  |  | Formalin | Stickleback | 1 | 1 | 0.37 |  |  | 0.37 | 0.37 | 1 | 34 |  |  | 34 | 34 |
|  |  | Ethanol 85\% | Stickleback | 1 | 1 | 0.2 |  |  | 0.2 | 0.2 | 1 | 29 |  |  | 29 | 29 |
|  | Swed gill net | Formalin | O. nerka age-0 | 1 | 1 | 6.34 |  |  | 6.34 | 6.34 | 1 | 80 |  |  | 80 | 80 |
|  |  | Formalin | Stickleback | 1 | 1 | 1.55 |  |  | 1.55 | 1.55 | 1 | 51 |  |  | 51 | 51 |
|  |  | Formalin | Coho salmon | 1 | 1 | 8.64 |  |  | 8.64 | 8.64 | 1 | 82 |  |  | 82 | 82 |
| Tankeeah (upper) 19/09/2006 | Trawl (2mx2m) | Ethanol 85\% | O. nerka age-0 | 4 | 4 | 1.94 | 0.52 | 0.32 | 1.54 | 2.33 | 4 | 60 | 4.5 | 2.8 | 56 | 62 |
|  |  | Formalin | Stickleback | 7 | 7 | 1.62 | 0.23 | 0.25 | 1.2 | 1.88 | 7 | 55 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 52 | 57 |
| Whalen | Trawl (2mx2m) | Ethanol 85\% | O. nerka age-1 | 1 | 1 | 3.41 |  |  | 3.41 | 3.41 | 1 | 74 |  |  | 74 | 74 |
| 26/07/2006 | Minnow trap(s) | Live | Dolly Varden | 9 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 9 | 111 | 9.7 | 12.7 | 94 | 131 |
|  | RIC gill net | Ethanol 85\% | O. nerka age-1 | 8 | 8 | 9.34 | 1.37 | 1.64 | 6.69 | 11.74 | 8 | 100 | 5.6 | 6.7 | 89 | 112 |
|  |  | Live | Coho salmon | 1 | 1 | 42.11 |  |  | 42.11 | 42.11 | 1 | 141 |  |  | 141 | 141 |
|  |  | Live | Dolly Varden | 19 | 9 | 39.4 | 23.92 | 34.15 | 16.02 | 117.47 | 19 | 189 | 47.8 | 99.1 | 96 | 494 |
|  | Swed gill net | Ethanol 85\% | O. nerka age-1 | 1 | 1 | 13.25 |  |  | 13.25 | 13.25 | 1 | 116 |  |  | 116 | 116 |

[^1]${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ sockeye $=$ adult/jack sockeye

Appendix 5. Stomach contents of fish caught during surveys of the study lakes and from surveys in Hume and MacLellan (2008).


Appendix 5. Stomach contents of fish caught during surveys of the study lakes and from surveys in Hume and MacLellan (2008) (Continued).

|  |  |  | Fish |  |  |  |  | Mean Diet Items per Fish |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Water Type Lake | Date | Fish Taxa | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N} \\ (\#) \end{gathered}$ | Mean <br> Length (mm) | Mean Weight <br> (g) | Mean <br> Fullness <br> (\%) | Diet Items | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & (\#) \end{aligned}$ | Weight (mg) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N} \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | Weight <br> (\%) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Leptodora | 7.5 | 0.12488 | 5.1 | 1 |
| Bear | 26-Aug-03 | Redside shiner | 10 | 84 | 8.19 | 23 | Chironomid | 0.8 | 0.21576 | 6.2 | 6.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Insect | 12.1 | 2.92289 | 93.8 | 93.1 |
| Bear | 28-Aug-03 | Pygmy whitefish | 10 | 62 | 3.31 | 30 | Chironomid | 10.4 | 0.60495 | 77 | 46.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Daphnia | 0.1 | 0.00103 | 0.7 | 0.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Heterocope | 0.1 | 0.0129 | 0.7 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Insect | 2.8 | 0.67651 | 20.7 | 52.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Leptodora | 0.1 | 0.00167 | 0.7 | 0.1 |
| Elbow | 20-Sep-07 | O. nerka, age-0 | 10 | 78 | 5.03 | 58 | Diacyclops | 2.3 | 0.00517 | 0.1 | 0.04 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Daphnia | 1569.4 | 14.0493 | 99.0 | 97.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Eubosmina | 9.9 | 0.0347 | 0.6 | 0.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Insect | 4.3 | 0.25993 | 0.3 | 1.8 |
| Fred Wright | 10-Sep-09 | O. nerka, age-0 | 2 | 65 | 3.51 | 90 | Diacyclops | 30.8 | 0.08564 | 2.7 | 0.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Daphnia | 1026.9 | 8.65654 | 90.8 | 77.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Holopedium | 26.9 | 0.09655 | 2.4 | 0.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Insect | 11.5 | 2.28768 | 1.0 | 20.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Polyphemus | 34.6 | 0.07607 | 3.1 | 0.7 |
| Johanson | 12-Sep-04 | O. nerka, age-0 | 30 | 49 | 1.34 | 22 | Alona | 1.4 | 0.00126 | 0.8 | 0.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Bosmina | 144.1 | 0.20089 | 84.9 | 71.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Diacyclops | 21.3 | 0.03617 | 12.5 | 12.8 |
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Appendix 5. Stomach contents of fish caught during surveys of the study lakes and from surveys in Hume and MacLellan (2008) (Continued).

| Water Type Lake | Date | Fish Taxa | Fish |  |  |  | Diet Items | Mean Diet Items per Fish |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | N <br> (\#) | Mean <br> Length (mm) | Mean Weight |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & (\#) \end{aligned}$ | Weight (mg) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N} \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | Weight <br> (\%) |
| KwinageeseKwinageese |  |  |  |  |  |  | Leptodiaptomus Leptodora | 25.5 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.50398 \\ 0.0333 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17.5 \\ 1.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13.8 \\ 0.9 \end{array}$ |
|  | 07-Sep-09 | Redside shiner | 10 | 78 | 7.62 | 0 | none | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | 07-Sep-09 | Lake chub | 4 | 122 | 21.19 | 3 | Diacyclops | 1.0 | 0.00303 | 2.5 | 0.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Daphnia | 2.3 | 0.01099 | 5.5 | 2.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Eubosmina | 32.3 | 0.10035 | 79.1 | 19.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Holopedium | 3.3 | 0.01165 | 8.0 | 2.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Insect | 2.0 | 0.39653 | 4.9 | 75.9 |
| Lakelse | 14-Jul-03 | O. nerka, age-0 | 16 | 56 | 1.7 | 35 | Ceratopognid | 0.4 | 0.05026 | 0.2 | 3.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Daphnia | 152.8 | 1.3599 | 98.2 | 94.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Epischura | 2.5 | 0.03471 | 1.6 | 2.4 |
| Lakelse | 25-Sep-04 | O. nerka, age-0 | 20 | 70 | 4.38 | 29 | Chironomid | 0.6 | 0.34872 | 0.2 | 10.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Diacyclops | 21.3 | 0.05234 | 7 | 1.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Diaphanosoma | $21.5$ | $0.08035$ | 7 | 2.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Daphnia | 43.8 | 0.41243 | 14.3 | 12.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Epischura | 215.6 | 2.26294 | 70.7 | 66.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Insect | 2.3 | 0.23685 | 0.8 | 7 |
| Lakelse | 05-Sep-05 | O. nerka, age-0 | 20 | 64 | 3.81 | 39 | Diacyclops | 4.2 | 0.01014 | 1.4 | 0.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Daphnia | 286.2 | 2.761285 | 97.3 | 3.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Epischura | 1 | 0.01221 | 0.3 | 0.0 |

Appendix 5. Stomach contents of fish caught during surveys of the study lakes and from surveys in Hume and MacLellan (2008) (Continued).

| Water Type Lake | Date | Fish Taxa | Fish |  |  |  | Diet Items | Mean Diet Items per Fish |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N} \\ (\#) \end{gathered}$ | Mean <br> Length <br> (mm) | Mean <br> Weight <br> (g) |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & (\#) \end{aligned}$ | Weight <br> (mg) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N} \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | Weight <br> (\%) |
| Lonesome | 11-Sep-07 | O. nerka, age-0 | 20 | 56 | 1.99 | 52 | Bosmina <br> Neomysis | 2 0.75 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.00925 \\ 0.653502 \end{array}$ | 0.7 0.3 | 0.0 0.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Diacyclops | 3.8 | 0.00911 | 0.9 | 0.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Daphnia | 427.5 | 5.2775 | 96.9 | 98.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Diaptomus | 4.6 | 0.03065 | 1.0 | 0.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Eubosmina | 5.2 | 0.02433 | 1.2 | 0.5 |
| McDonnel | 13-Sep-02 | O. nerka, age-0 | 10 | 50 | 1.35 | 42 | Acanthocyclops | 10.5 | 0.02011 | 2.3 | 0.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Daphnia | 417 | 2.63841 | 89.7 | 83 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Bosmina | 3 | 0.00528 | 0.7 | 0.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Leptodiaptomus | 34.5 | 0.51409 | 7.4 | 16.2 |
| Meziadin | 17-Sep-09 | O. nerka, age-0 | 20 | 59 | 2.37 | 35 | Diacyclops | 133.4 | 0.49944 | 29.3 | 17.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Daphnia | 306.6 | 1.8933 | 67.4 | 64.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Diaptomus | 3.8 | 0.02055 | 0.8 | 0.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Eubosmina | 8.8 | 0.01976 | 1.9 | 0.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Insect | 2.5 | 0.49566 | 0.5 | 16.9 |
| Meziadin | 17-Sep-09 | O. nerka, age-1 | 40 | 96 | 10.43 | 23 | Diacyclops | 241.9 | 0.89366 | 54.2 | 33.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Daphnia | 179.6 | 1.03636 | 40.2 | 38.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Diaptomus | 2.9 | 0.01302 | 0.7 | 0.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Eubosmina | 18.3 | 0.04224 | 4.1 | 1.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Insect | 3.6 | 0.7081 | 0.8 | 26.3 |

Appendix 5. Stomach contents of fish caught during surveys of the study lakes and from surveys in Hume and MacLellan (2008) (Continued).

|  |  |  | Fish |  |  |  |  | Mean Diet Items per Fish |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Water Type Lake | Date | Fish Taxa | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N} \\ (\#) \end{gathered}$ | Mean <br> Length (mm) | Mean Weight | Mean <br> Fullness <br> (\%) | Diet Items | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & (\#) \end{aligned}$ | Weight (mg) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N} \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | Weight <br> (\%) |
| Meziadin | 17-Sep-09 | O. nerka, mix of age-0 \& 1 | 20 | 61 | 2.77 | 30 | Diacyclops | 137.7 | 0.44217 | 50.3 | 45.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Daphnia | 15.5 | 0.10265 | 5.6 | 10.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Diaptomus | 0.5 | 0.00282 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Eubosmina | 119.1 | 0.25489 | 43.5 | 25.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Insect | 0.9 | 0.18024 | 0.3 | 18.3 |
|  | 15-Sep-02 | O. nerka, age-0 | 10 | 57 | 2.13 | 44 | Diacyclops | 27.3 | 0.06772 | 17.5 | 2.3 |
| (Atna Bay) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Daphnia | 2.1 | 0.01073 | 1.4 | 0.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Bosmina | 55.7 | 0.28078 | 35.6 | 9.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Holopedium | 64.3 | 0.85606 | 41.1 | 29.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Insect | 7 | 1.68226 | 4.5 | 58.1 |
| Morice | 16-Sep-02 | O. nerka, age-0 | 3 | 45 | 0.83 | 42 | Diacyclops | 3.7 | 0.00962 | 11.1 | 1.8 |
| (main lake) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Bosmina | 3 | 0.01814 | 9.1 | 3.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Holopedium | 26 | 0.41933 | 78.8 | 79.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Insect | 0.3 | 0.08051 | 1 | 15.3 |
| Morice | 23-Sep-09 | O. nerka, age-0 | 10 | 73 | 4.6 | 35 | Diacyclops | $343.3$ | $1.08819$ |  | 65.8 |
| (Atna Bay) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Daphnia | 3.3 | $0.03484$ | 0.7 | 2.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Eubosmina | 113.3 | 0.4243 | 23.1 | 25.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Holopedium | 30.0 | 0.10758 | 6.1 | 6.5 |
| Morice | 23-Sep-09 | O. nerka, mix of age-0 \& 1 | 6 | 84 | 7.77 | 48 | Diacyclops | 408.3 | 1.29416 | 65.1 | 50.0 |
| (Atna Bay) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Daphnia | 18.8 | 0.19598 | 3.0 | 7.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Eubosmina | 168.8 | 0.6594 | 26.9 | 25.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Holopedium | 29.2 | 0.02639 | 4.7 | 1.0 |

Appendix 5. Stomach contents of fish caught during surveys of the study lakes and from surveys in Hume and MacLellan (2008) (Continued).

|  |  |  |  | Fish |  |  |  |  | Mean Diet Items per Fish |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Water Type Lake | Date | Fish Taxa | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N} \\ (\#) \end{gathered}$ | Mean Length (mm) | Mean <br> Weight <br> (g) | Mean <br> Fullness <br> (\%) | Diet Items | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & (\#) \end{aligned}$ | Weight (mg) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N} \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | Weight <br> (\%) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Insect | 2.1 | 0.41304 | 0.3 | 16.0 |
|  | Slamgeesh | 07-Sep-01 | O. nerka, age-0 | 20 | 66 | 3.95 | 82 | Acanthocyclops | 12.3 | 0.01873 | 0.9 | 0.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Daphnia | 1023 | 9.41261 | 75.5 | 56.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Bosmina | 14 | 0.04768 | 1 | 0.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Insect | 4.7 | 1.12728 | 0.3 | 6.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Leptodiaptomus | 301 | 6.16614 | 22.2 | 36.8 |
| $\stackrel{N}{N}$ | Stephens | 10-Sep-02 | O. nerka, age-0 | 10 | 57 | 2 | 46 | Diacyclops | 6 | 0.01759 | 3.8 | 0.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Daphnia | 4.9 | 0.02937 | 3.1 | 0.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Bosmina | 9.3 | 0.02976 | 5.9 | 0.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Heterocope | 19.1 | 1.46054 | 12.1 | 40.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Insect | 7.1 | 1.71303 | 4.5 | 47.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Leptodiaptomus | 111.8 | 0.39017 | 70.7 | 10.7 |
|  | Sustut | 10-Sep-04 | O. nerka, age-0 | 30 | 50 | 1.45 | 9 | Alona | 0.7 | 0.0186 | 0.4 | 3.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Amphipod | 0.3 | 0.40742 | 0.2 | 69.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Bosmina | 170.9 | 0.15454 | 99.1 | 26.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Diacyclops | 0.7 | 0.0044 | 0.4 | 0.8 |
|  | Swan | 06-Sep-02 | O. nerka, age-0 | 7 | 47 | 1.1 | 28 | Diacyclops | 18.3 | 0.0702 | 21.6 | 8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Daphnia | 39.4 | 0.35538 | 46.6 | 40.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Bosmina | 4.6 | 0.02215 | 5.4 | 2.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Heterocope | 6.3 | 0.33812 | 7.4 | 38.4 |
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Appendix 5. Stomach contents of fish caught during surveys of the study lakes and from surveys in Hume and MacLellan (2008) (Continued).

|  |  |  |  | Fish |  |  |  |  | Mean Diet Items per Fish |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Water Type Lake | Date | Fish Taxa | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N} \\ (\#) \end{gathered}$ | Mean <br> Length (mm) | Mean Weight | Mean <br> Fullness <br> (\%) | Diet Items | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & (\#) \end{aligned}$ | Weight (mg) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N} \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | Weight <br> (\%) |
|  | Banks West | 17-Sep-04 | O. nerka, age-0 | 20 | 65 | 3.27 | 48 | Diacyclops | 1.3 | 0.00391 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Daphnia | 43.8 | 0.43626 | 2.6 | 9.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Diaptomous | 7.5 | 0.02673 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Epischura | 28.8 | 0.29349 | 1.7 | 6.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Bosmina | 1577.5 | 3.78786 | 94.7 | 82.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Holopedium | 3.8 | 0.03894 | 0.2 | 0.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Polyphemus | 3.8 | 0.00515 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| $N$ | Banks West | 17-Sep-04 | Stickleback | 15 | 65 | 3.33 | 36 | Ceriodaphnia | 9.3 | 0.03725 | 1.1 | 0.8 |
| $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Diacyclops | 3.3 | 0.00684 | 0.4 | 0.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Daphnia | 342.3 | 3.34741 | 39 | 68.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Diaptomous | 2.7 | 0.01297 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Epischura | 14.3 | 0.12645 | 1.6 | 2.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Bosmina | 500 | 1.34174 | 56.9 | 27.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Holopedium | 1.3 | 0.01385 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Polyphemus | 5.3 | 0.00806 | 0.6 | 0.2 |
|  | Ecstall | 25-Aug-05 | O. nerka, age-0 | 3 | 43 | 1.11 | 25 | Alona | 0.3 | 0.00295 | 5 | 1.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Cyclopod | 4.3 | 0.0212 | 65 | 8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Bosmina | 1 | 0.00101 | 15 | 0.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Insect | 1 | 0.24154 | 15 | 90.6 |
|  | Ecstall | 25-Aug-05 | Stickleback | 20 | 53 | 1.48 | 12 | Alona | 0.1 | 0.00004 | 0.8 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Chironomid | 3.1 | 2.0165 | 25.6 | 95.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Cyclopod | 0.1 | 0.00028 | 0.8 | 0 |
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Appendix 5. Stomach contents of fish caught during surveys of the study lakes and from surveys in Hume and MacLellan (2008) (Continued).

|  |  |  |  | Fish |  |  |  |  | Mean Diet Items per Fish |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Water Type Lake | Date | Fish Taxa | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N} \\ (\#) \end{gathered}$ | Mean <br> Length <br> (mm) | Mean <br> Weight <br> (g) | Mean <br> Fullness <br> (\%) | Diet Items | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & (\#) \end{aligned}$ | Weight (mg) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N} \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | Weight <br> (\%) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Chironomid | 0.8 | 0.3624 | 0.7 | 30 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Daphnia | 98.3 | 0.69495 | 89.7 | 57.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Holopedium | 0.8 | 0.00779 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Leptodiaptomus | 3 | 0.01352 | 2.7 | 1.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Polyphemus | 0.8 | 0.00221 | 0.7 | 0.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Schapholoberis | 1.5 | 0.00637 | 1.4 | 0.5 |
|  | Hartley Bay | 30-Aug-05 | Stickleback | 17 | 56 | 2.03 | 15 | Acari | 3.9 | 0.00799 | 45 | 1.4 |
| $\omega$ | (Lower) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Chaoborus | 2.9 | 0.45534 | 32.9 | 79.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Chironomid | 0.2 | 0.00471 | 2 | 0.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Diaptomous | 0.1 | 0.00018 | 0.7 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Bosmina | 1.1 | 0.00155 | 12.1 | 0.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Insect | 0.7 | 0.101 | 7.4 | 17.7 |
|  | Keecha | 04-Sep-08 | O. nerka, age-0 | 40 | 55 | 2 | 59 | Diacyclops | 0.3 | 0.00088 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Daphnia | 0.7 | 0.00822 | 0.3 | 0.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Diaptomus | 46.4 | 0.31462 | 19.4 | 21.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Epischura | 18.0 | 0.09944 | 7.6 | 6.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Eubosmina | 164.8 | 0.18936 | 69.0 | 13.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Insect | 4.0 | 0.79655 | 1.7 | 54.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Leptodora | 4.7 | 0.05016 | 2.0 | 3.4 |
|  | Keecha | 04-Sep-08 | Stickleback | 28 | 65 | 2.57 | 31 | Ceratopognid | 0.04 | 0.00708 | 0.1 | 0.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Daphnia | 0.1 | 0.00085 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Diaptomus | 19.9 | 0.08796 | 41.0 | 11.3 |

Appendix 5. Stomach contents of fish caught during surveys of the study lakes and from surveys in Hume and MacLellan (2008) (Continued).

|  |  |  | Fish |  |  |  |  | Mean Diet Items per Fish |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Water Type Lake | Date | Fish Taxa | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N} \\ (\#) \end{gathered}$ | Mean <br> Length <br> (mm) | Mean <br> Weight <br> (g) | Mean <br> Fullness <br> (\%) | Diet Items | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & (\#) \end{aligned}$ | Weight (mg) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N} \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | Weight <br> (\%) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Epischura | 3.9 | 0.02712 | 7.9 | 3.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Eubosmina | 21.0 | 0.02874 | 43.2 | 3.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Insect | 3.1 | 0.61711 | 6.4 | 79.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Leptodora | 0.5 | 0.00516 | 1.0 | 0.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | worm | 0.04 | 0.00331 | 0.1 | 0.4 |
| Keecha | 04-Sep-08 | O. nerka, mix of age-0 \& 1 | 10 | 62 | 2.78 | 60 | Diaptomus | 33.6 | 0.22833 | 12.6 | 35.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Epischura | 10.9 | 0.06398 | 4.1 | 9.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Eubosmina | 210.9 | 0.23187 | 78.9 | 35.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Leptodora | 11.8 | 0.12659 | 4.4 | 19.5 |
| Kitkiata | 27-Aug-05 | O. nerka, age-0 | 20 | 43 | 1 | 8 | Chironomid | 0.1 | 0.00764 | 0.2 | 2.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Diacyclops | 0.3 | 0.00076 | 1.1 | 0.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Bosmina | 20.9 | 0.01736 | 92.3 | 4.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Insect | 1.4 | 0.34758 | 6.4 | 93.1 |
| Kitkiata | 27-Aug-05 | Stickleback | 20 | 49 | 1.2 | 13 | Chironomid | 0.1 | 0.02642 | 0.5 | 2.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Chydorus | 0.1 | 0.00007 | 0.5 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Bosmina | 6.3 | 0.00916 | 60 | 0.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Insect | 4.1 | 0.9904 | 39.1 | 96.5 |
| Koeye | 12-Sep-06 | O. nerka, age-0 | 20 | 56 | 1.56 | 50 | Bosmina | 83.7 | 0.20123 | 11.7 | 4.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Chaoborus larvae | 2.5 | 0.46558 | 0.3 | 11.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Chironomid | 0.6 | 0.08742 | 0.1 | 2.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Diacyclops | 32.5 | 0.11724 | 4.5 | 2.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Daphnia | 576.5 | 3.31575 | 80.7 | 78.1 |

Appendix 5. Stomach contents of fish caught during surveys of the study lakes and from surveys in Hume and MacLellan (2008) (Continued).


Appendix 5. Stomach contents of fish caught during surveys of the study lakes and from surveys in Hume and MacLellan (2008) (Continued).

|  |  |  | Fish |  |  |  |  | Mean Diet Items per Fish |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Water Type <br> Lake | Date | Fish Taxa | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N} \\ (\#) \end{gathered}$ | Mean <br> Length <br> (mm) | Mean <br> Weight <br> (g) |  | Diet Items | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & (\#) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Weight } \\ (\mathrm{mg}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N} \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | Weight (\%) |
| Namu | 15-Sep-06 | O. nerka, age-0 | 20 | 55 | 2.2 | 44 | Bosmina | 26.7 | 0.04635 | 26.3 | 7.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Chaoborus larvae | 0.4 | 0.06207 | 0.4 | 10.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Chironomid | 0.4 | 0.05683 | 0.4 | 9.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Diacyclops | 32.0 | 0.0669 | 31.5 | 11.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Daphnia | 33.8 | 0.33887 | 33.3 | 56.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Diaptomus | 5.0 | 0.02239 | 4.9 | 3.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Holopedium | 1.1 | 0.00438 | 1.0 | 0.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Polyphemus | 1.8 | 0.00156 | 1.7 | 0.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Schapholoberis | 0.5 | 0.00105 | 0.5 | 0.2 |
| Namu | 15-Sep-06 | Stickleback | 6 | 76 | 4.45 | 10 | Bosmina | 75.5 | 0.13852 | 48.6 | 17.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Chaoborus larvae | 1.5 | 0.31931 | 1.0 | 41.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Diacyclops | 1.0 | $0.00315$ | 0.6 | 0.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Diaphanosoma | 3.5 | $0.0166$ | 2.3 | 2.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Daphnia | 67.0 | 0.2851 | 43.1 | 36.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Holopedium | 7.0 | 0.01437 | 4.5 | 1.8 |
| Red Bluff | 24-Jul-06 | O. nerka, age-0 | 13 | 39 | 0.42 | 22 | Acanthocyclops | 6.5 | 0.01564 | 10.5 | 4.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Bosmina | 2.9 | 0.01013 | 4.8 | 2.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Chironomid | 0.1 | 0.01166 | 0.1 | 3.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Daphnia | 3.5 | 0.02395 | 5.8 | 6.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Diaptomus | 46.2 | 0.31417 | 75.3 | 81.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Holopedium | 2.2 | 0.00898 | 3.5 | 2.3 |

Appendix 5. Stomach contents of fish caught during surveys of the study lakes and from surveys in Hume and MacLellan (2008) (Continued).

|  |  |  |  | Fish |  |  |  |  | Mean Diet Items per Fish |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Water Type Lake | Date | Fish Taxa | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & (\#) \end{aligned}$ | Mean <br> Length <br> (mm) | Mean <br> Weight <br> (g) | Mean <br> Fullness <br> (\%) | Diet Items | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & (\#) \end{aligned}$ | Weight (mg) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N} \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | Weight <br> (\%) |
|  | Red Bluff | 24-Jul-06 | O. nerka, age-1 | 3 | 56 | 2.6 | 37 | Acanthocyclops | 19.2 | 0.01862 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Bosmina | 211.5 | 0.64306 | 37.8 | 21.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Daphnia | 19.2 | 0.20771 | 3.4 | 7.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Diaptomus | 198.1 | 1.62935 | 35.4 | 55.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Holopedium | 88.5 | 0.36878 | 15.8 | 12.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Polyphemus | 5.8 | 0.01399 | 1.0 | 0.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Schapholoberis | 17.3 | 0.06888 | 3.1 | 2.3 |
| $\omega$ | Red Bluff | 24-Jul-06 | Stickleback | 20 | 43 | 0.86 | 22 | Acanthocyclops | 20.3 | 0.04025 | 40.9 | 5.9 |
| $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Alona | 5.5 | 0.00227 | 11.1 | 0.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Bosmina | 1.3 | 0.00558 | 2.6 | 0.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Chironomid | 1.2 | 0.14005 | 2.4 | 20.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Chydorus | 17.1 | 0.01594 | 34.6 | 2.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ceratopognid | 0.9 | 0.19045 | 1.7 | 27.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Diacyclops | 0.1 | 0.00043 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Diaptomus | 0.9 | 0.00308 | 1.8 | 0.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | unidentified egg | 1.0 |  | 2.0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Insect | 0.8 | 0.16597 | 1.5 | 24.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Nauplii | 0.2 | 0.00007 | 0.3 | 0.01 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | worm | 0.4 | 0.12075 | 0.8 | 17.6 |
|  | Tankeeah | 18-Sep-06 | O. nerka, age-0 | 20 | 62 | 2.75 | 39 | Acanthocyclops | 4.3 | 0.00598 | 1.4 | 1.1 |
|  | (lower) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Alona | 0.3 | 0.00054 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Bosmina | 232.0 | 0.32135 | 78.3 | 56.5 |

Appendix 5. Stomach contents of fish caught during surveys of the study lakes and from surveys in Hume and MacLellan (2008) (Continued).

|  |  |  |  | Fish |  |  |  |  | Mean Diet Items per Fish |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Water Type Lake | Date | Fish Taxa | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N} \\ (\#) \end{gathered}$ | Mean <br> Length <br> (mm) | Mean <br> Weight <br> (g) | Mean <br> Fullness <br> (\%) | Diet Items | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & (\#) \end{aligned}$ | Weight (mg) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N} \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | Weight (\%) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Chaoborus larvae | 0.8 | 0.12414 | 0.3 | 21.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Diacyclops | 0.8 | 0.00183 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Diaphanosoma | 5.3 | 0.0047 | 1.8 | 0.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Daphnia | 0.3 | 0.0025 | 0.1 | 0.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Diaptomus | 7.5 | 0.03447 | 2.5 | 6.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Holopedium | 45.3 | 0.0735 | 15.3 | 12.9 |
| $\omega$ | Tankeeah | 19-Sep-06 | O. nerka, age-0 | 3 | 59 | 1.92 | 50 | Acanthocyclops | 35.0 | 0.05311 | 4.8 | 2.2 |
| $\bigcirc$ | (upper) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Bosmina | 377.5 | 0.48273 | 51.5 | 19.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Diaphanosoma | 10.0 | 0.00751 | 1.4 | 0.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Daphnia | 2.5 | 0.02504 | 0.3 | 1.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Diaptomus | 287.5 | 1.8312 | 39.2 | 75.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Holopedium | 17.5 | 0.03024 | 2.4 | 1.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Polyphemus | 2.5 | 0.00215 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
|  | Tankeeah | 19-Sep-06 | Stickleback | 6 | 55 | 1.58 | 15 | Acanthocyclops | 1.8 | 0.00265 | 17.2 | 3.3 |
|  | (upper) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Bosmina | 4.7 | 0.00772 | 43.8 | 9.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Chaoborus larvae | 0.2 | 0.02759 | 1.6 | 34.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Chironomid | 0.2 | 0.02526 | 1.6 | 31.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Diaptoms | 3.7 | 0.01546 | 34.4 | 19.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Holopedium | 0.2 | 0.00069 | 1.6 | 0.9 |

Appendix 5. Stomach contents of fish caught during surveys of the study lakes and from surveys in Hume and MacLellan (2008) (Continued).

|  |  |  | Fish |  |  |  |  | Mean Diet Items per Fish |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Water Type Lake | Date | Fish Taxa | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N} \\ (\#) \end{gathered}$ | Mean <br> Length (mm) | Mean <br> Weight <br> (g) | Mean <br> Fullness <br> (\%) | Diet Items | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & (\#) \end{aligned}$ | Weight (mg) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N} \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | Weight <br> (\%) |
| Glacially Turbid |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bowser | 07-Sep-09 | O. nerka, age-0 | 2 | 51 | 1.51 | 50 | Diacyclops | 2.5 | 0.00715 | 7.2 | 0.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Insect | 32.0 | 6.34442 | 92.8 | 99.9 |
| Bowser | 07-Sep-09 | O. nerka, mix of age-0 \& 1 | 36 | 64 | 3.24 | 53 | Diacyclops | 217.9 | 0.84506 | 93.8 | 22.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Insect | 14.5 | 2.87606 | 6.2 | 77.3 |
| Kitsumkalum | 05-Sep-05 | O. nerka, age-0 | 6 | 63 | 2.33 | 22 | Chaoborus | 0.3 | 0.04613 | 0.3 | 1.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Cyclopod | 2.1 | 0.00651 | 2.4 | 0.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Daphnia | 1.2 | 0.00959 | 1.4 | 0.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Diaptomous | 67.3 | 0.33624 | 77.4 | 7.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Insect | 16.1 | 3.88199 | 18.5 | 90.7 |
| Motase | 30-Aug-03 | O. nerka, age-0 | 5 | 44 | 1.1 | 10 | Chironomid | 0.4 | 0.02526 | 1.8 | 2.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Diacyclops | 16.4 | 0.06323 | 73.2 | 5.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Insect | 5.6 | 1.03684 | 25 | 92.1 |
| Motase | 30-Aug-03 | O. nerka, age-1 | 5 | 70 | 4.38 | 37 | Diacyclops | 0.2 | 0.00122 | 0.6 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Insect | 31.6 | 7.63334 | 99.4 | 100 |

Appendix 6. Late summer zooplankton densities and biomass estimated from 160 um mesh, vertical haul, Wisconsin net samples.
Haul depth was 30 m , except where indicated.

| Lake | stn | Date (yymmdd) | Daphniidae | Bosminidae | Holopedium | Other <br> Cladocera | Cyclopoida | Calanoida | Macro inverteb. | Nauplii | Other | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | biomass ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alastair | 1 | 2009-09-15 | 86 | 350 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 131 |  |  |  |  | 569 |
| Batchellor | 1 | 2006-08-22 | 444 | 20 | 48 | 1 | 9 | 117 |  | 2 |  | 641 |
| Bowser | 2 | 2009-09-04 | 0.1 | $<0.1$ |  |  | 14 | 0.1 |  |  |  | 14 |
| Elbow | 1 | 2007-08-29 | 1,408 | 23 |  |  | 455 |  |  | 0.2 |  | 1,887 |
| Fred Wright ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1 | 2009-09-10 | 488 | 130 | 110 | 4 | 272 | 4 | 6 | 0.2 |  | 1,014 |
| Keecha ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1 | 2004-08-30 |  | 33 |  | 10 | 5 | 64 | 22 | 0.2 |  | 134 |
| Kimsquit | 1 | 2007-08-27 |  | 63 | 228 |  | 200 |  |  |  |  | 491 |
| Kitlope | 1 | 2007-08-27 | 4 | 3 |  |  | 13 |  |  | 0.1 |  | 20 |
| Koeye ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1 | 2006-09-01 | 314 | 92 | 19 | 4 | 86 | 102 | 79 | 1 | $<0.1$ | 696 |
| Kooryet | 1 | 2004-08-30 |  | 37 |  | 2 |  | 105 |  | 0.1 |  | 144 |
| Kwinageese | 1 | 2009-09-08 | 27 | 240 | 202 |  | 738 | 607 |  |  |  | 1,814 |
| Lonesome | 2 | 2007-08-26 | 1,341 | 24 |  |  | 140 | 57 |  | 0.3 |  | 1,564 |
| Meziadin ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  | 2009-09-17 | 638 | 17 |  |  | 429 | 59 |  |  |  | 1,142 |
| Moore | 1 | 2004-08-28 | 0.2 | 30 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 21 |  | 0.2 |  | 57 |
| Morice | 4 | 2009-09-21 | 13 | 84 | 8 |  | 330 |  |  |  |  | 435 |
| Namu ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1 | 2006-09-01 | 71 | 78 | 9 | 14 | 106 | 138 | 99 | 0.4 |  | 515 |
| Red Bluff | 1 | 2006-08-22 | 74 | 465 | 46 | 8 | 10 | 205 |  | 3 | <0.1 | 810 |
| Tankeeah lower ${ }^{\text {ac }}$ | 1 | 2006-08-30 | 10 | 34 | 26 | 26 | 8 | 50 | 126 | 1 | <0.1 | 281 |
| Tankeeah upper ${ }^{\text {ac }}$ | 1 | 2006-08-30 | 2 | 35 | 17 | 35 | 12 | 149 | 129 | 2 | <0.1 | 380 |
| Whalen ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1 | 2006-07-26 | 62 | 137 | 57 | 12 | 15 | 61 | 1 | 0.1 |  | 345 |

Appendix 6. Late summer zooplankton densities and biomass estimated from 160 um mesh, vertical haul, Wisconsin net samples.
Haul depth was 30 m , except where indicated (Continued).

| Lake | stn | $\begin{gathered} \text { Date } \\ \text { (yymmdd) } \end{gathered}$ | Daphniidae | Bosminidae | Holopedium | Other Cladocera | Cyclopoida | Calanoida | Macro inverteb. | Nauplii | Other | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | density (\#/m²) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alastair | 1 | 2009-09-15 | 20,744 | 322,110 | 180 | 22 | 106,256 |  |  |  |  | 449,311 |
| Batchellor | 1 | 2006-08-22 | 60,094 | 6,626 | 2,343 | 872 | 4,591 | 31,921 |  | 7,524 |  | 113,972 |
| Bowser | 2 | 2009-09-04 | 11 | 11 |  |  | 5,544 | 22 |  |  |  | 5,587 |
| Elbow | 1 | 2007-08-29 | 181,117 | 9,007 |  |  | 362,997 |  |  | 1,547 |  | 554,668 |
| Fred Wright ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1 | 2009-09-10 | 56,422 | 40,041 | 8,561 | 2,022 | 110,417 | 640 | 101 | 404 |  | 218,609 |
| Keecha ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1 | 2004-08-30 |  | 31,851 |  | 398 | 5,101 | 18,759 | 111 | 1,177 |  | 57,397 |
| Kimsquit | , | 2007-08-27 |  | 37,567 | 49,234 |  | 95,056 |  |  |  |  | 181,857 |
| Kitlope | 1 | 2007-08-27 | 333 | 867 |  |  | 9,897 |  |  | 228 |  | 11,325 |
| Koeye ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1 | 2006-09-01 | 64,988 | 62,366 | 6,899 | 4,415 | 63,746 | 40,980 | 177 | 2,760 | 11 | 246,341 |
| Kooryet | 1 | 2004-08-30 |  | 29,045 |  | 92 |  | 28,312 |  | 514 |  | 57,962 |
| Kwinageese | 1 | 2009-09-08 | 3,086 | 60,399 | 20,752 |  | 305,501 | 139,351 |  |  |  | 529,090 |
|  | 2 | 2007-08-26 | 115,091 | 7,643 |  |  | 79,211 | 11,704 |  | 1,023 |  | 214,672 |
| Meziadin ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  | 2009-09-17 | 83,463 | 8,983 |  |  | 125,035 | 8,585 |  |  |  | 226,066 |
| Moore | 1 | 2004-08-28 | 285 | 37,424 | 1,614 | 285 | 4,189 | 8,367 |  | 703 |  | 52,868 |
| Morice | 4 | 2009-09-21 | 2,513 | 19,010 | 512 |  | 137,920 |  |  |  |  | 159,955 |
| $\mathrm{Namu}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1 | 2006-09-01 | 18,624 | 70,170 | 5,109 | 16,182 | 72,603 | 34,950 | 163 | 1,568 |  | 219,367 |
| Red Bluff | 1 | 2006-08-22 | 14,007 | 214,547 | 12,214 | 4,178 | 5,786 | 53,999 |  | 15,428 | 321 | 320,481 |
| Tankeeah lower ${ }^{\text {ac }}$ | 1 | 2006-08-30 | 7,433 | 28,054 | 17,290 | 29,339 | 6,145 | 14,251 | 548 | 4,357 | 171 | 107,588 |
| Tankeeah upper ${ }^{\text {ac }}$ | 1 | 2006-08-30 | 751 | 32,949 | 16,193 | 44,649 | 8,794 | 40,316 | 291 | 5,536 | 211 | 149,689 |
| Whalen ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1 | 2006-07-26 | 15,614 | 83,538 | 20,422 | 14,987 | 10,860 | 6,755 | 36 | 635 |  | 152,848 |

[^2]
[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {b }}$. $\begin{aligned} & \text { Data on file } \\ & \text { Glacially influenced, but generally not turbid }(\mathrm{NTU}<5)\end{aligned}$
    ${ }^{c}$ Bathymetry for Morice is very poor, depths are rough estimates only

[^1]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ NPM $=$ Northern pikeminnow

[^2]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ haul depth $=20 \mathrm{~m}$
    b average of stations $1 \& 2$
    ${ }^{c}$ Macro_Invertebtates are mostly Chaoborus, except in Fred Wright Lake, where they are Chironomids

