COSEWIC Status Appraisal Summary

on the

Incurved Grizzled Moss

Ptychomitrium incurvum

in Canada

EXTIRPATED 2012

COSEWIC

Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada



COSEPAC

Comité sur la situation des espèces en péril au Canada

COSEWIC status appraisal summaries are working documents used in assigning the status of wildlife species suspected of being at risk in Canada. This document may be cited as follows:

COSEWIC. 2012. COSEWIC status appraisal summary on the Incurved Grizzled Moss *Ptychomitrium incurvum* in Canada. Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada. Ottawa. xii pp. (www.registrelep-sararegistry.gc.ca/default_e.cfm).

Production note:

COSEWIC would like to acknowledge Jennifer Doubt for writing the status appraisal summary on the Incurved Grizzled Moss, *Ptychomitrium incurvum*, in Canada, prepared under contract with Environment Canada. This status appraisal summary was overseen and edited by René Belland, Co-chair of the COSEWIC Mosses and Lichens Specialist Subcommittee.

For additional copies contact:

COSEWIC Secretariat c/o Canadian Wildlife Service Environment Canada Ottawa, ON K1A 0H3

Fax: 819-994-3684
E-mail: COSEWIC/COSEPAC@ec.gc.ca
http://www.cosewic.gc.ca

Tel.: 819-953-3215

Également disponible en français sous le titre Sommaire du statut de l'espèce du COSEPAC sur la Ptychomitre à feuilles incurvées (Ptychomitrium incurvum) au Canada.

©Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada, 2012. Catalogue No. CW69-14/2-24-2012E-PDF ISBN 978-1-100-20729-2



Recycled paper



Assessment Summary - May 2012

Common name

Incurved Grizzled Moss

Scientific name

Ptychomitrium incurvum

Status

Extirpated

Reason for designation

This small moss is widely distributed in the deciduous forests of eastern North America, with a frequency of occurrence that declines toward the northern portion of its range. In Canada, the only known record for the species is from the Carolinian zone of southern Ontario (Niagara Falls) in 1825. Despite considerable search effort in the region, the species has never been rediscovered.

Occurrence

Ontario

Status history

Designated Extirpated in November 2002. Status re-examined and confirmed in May 2012.



Ptychomitrium incurvum Incurved Grizzled Moss Range of occurrence in Canada: Ontario

Ptychomitre à feuilles incurvées

Current COSEWIC Assessment:
Status category: ☑ XT ☐ E ☐ T ☐ SC
Date of last assessment: November 2002
Reason for designation at last assessment: A small moss that is widely distributed in the deciduous forests of eastern North America, and whose frequency of occurrence is more toward the northern portion of its range. In Canada, the only known location for the species is a single record from a boulder in southern Ontario in 1828. Despite many years of collection made in the region, the species has never been rediscovered. Criteria applied at last assessment: N/A; 186 years have passed since the last credible record of the
wildlife species, despite bryological activities in the region.
SSC Recommendation:
⊠No change in status and criteria
□No change in status, new criteria
Evidence (indicate as applicable):
Wildlife species: Change in eligibility, taxonomy or designatable units: yes □ no □
Explanation:
Ptychomitrium incurvum is the current accepted name for a valid taxon (Reese 2007; Missouri Botanical Garden 2011) that has been recognized since 1823. Its nativity in Canada is based on a confirmed herbarium specimen (CANM 152283) collected in 1825 at Niagara Falls. There, owing to the sessile nature of bryophytes and the proximity of southern Ontario to northeastern US states of native occurrence, it is presumed to have regularly occurred and to have relied on Canadian habitat throughout its life cycle.
Although the original assessment specifies 1828 as the year of collection, this was the date of publication of the exsiccati of which this specimen forms a part, rather than the collecting date. Based on the itinerary of the Franklin Expedition of which the collector, Thomas Drummond, was Assistant Naturalist (Franklin 1828; Bird 1967), the specimen would have been collected between March 15 and April 15, 1825, when the group was travelling between New York and Penetanguishene.
It seems highly plausible that Drummond was on the Canadian side of the international border when he made the collection. Niagara Falls is a discrete, localized, unambiguous landmark on the

international border, and the locations of recent and historical US records of this species make its

occurrence in Canada likely. Furthermore, Franklin's (1828) mention of the site strongly suggests his party was in present-day Canada: "From Albany, we travelled through Utica, Rochester, and Geneva, to Leweston, ... and, crossing the river Niagara, entered Canada, and visited the Falls so justly celebrated as the first in the world for grandeur."

Ran	Change in Extent of Occurrence (EO): Change in Index of Area of Occupancy (IAO): Change in number of known or inferred current locations*:	yes ☐ no ☒ unk ☐ yes ☐ no ☒ unk ☐ yes ☐ no ☒ unk ☐
	Significant new survey information:	yes □ no ⊠
	Explanation:	
	No new Canadian collections of <i>Ptychomitrium incurvum</i> have been report NY, NYS, MICH, MO, MSU, PH, UBC, UWO, VT or at the Ontario Natural Centre. A 1943 Quebec record at NBM is presumed, based on recent work Québecoise de Bryologie in preparing the bryophyte flora of Quebec-Labri personal communication) and by Environment Canada (2007), to have be not be checked for collections because the herbarium was being moved a was prepared. <i>P. incurvum</i> is not reported for any other Canadian province Wild Species in Canada (Canadian Endangered Species Conservation Covalidity of the Vermont record reported in the status report range map (CC called into question (annotations to herbarium specimen), a 2003 record fronfirmed (Dorothy Allard, pers. comm. 2011).	Heritage Information rk by the Société rador (Jean Faubert, een misidentified. OAC could at the time that this summary ses in the 2010 listing of buncil 2011). Although the DSEWIC 2002) has been
* Us	se the IUCN definition of "location"	
Pop	ulation Information:	
	Change in number of mature individuals: Change in total population trend: Change in severity of population fragmentation: Change in trend in area and/or quality of habitat: Significant new survey information:	yes
	Explanation:	
	There has been no change in the documented size of, or trends in, the Ca a relatively long settlement and collection history in southern Ontario, the recorded since its first, credibly documented, discovery. Although no direct recovery activities were recommended in the Recovery Strategy for <i>Ptych</i> (Environment Canada 2007), Environment Canada (2007) recognizes that monitor and conduct follow-up work on observations reported by individual other species in southern Ontario". The completion and reporting of such important goal for the recovery of this species in Canada.	species has not been cted surveys or other nomitrium incurvum at it would be "appropriate to als during surveys done for
Thre	eats:	
	Change in nature and/or severity of threats:	yes □ no □ unk ⊠
	Explanation:	
	Specific threats accounting for the extirpation of this species are unknown decline in herbarium collections from the northern US, it is speculated in t (COSEWIC 2002) that broad-ranging factors such as climate, acid precipi development may have played a role in the northern part of the species' Notential negative effects of atmospheric pollution are mentioned in the Reference (Environment Canada 2007), and these conditions have generally improve National Atmospheric Deposition Program 2011; Integrated Mapping Asset	he Status Report itation and human North American range. The ecovery Strategy ed in recent years (e.g.,

Ptychomitrium incurvum, which exhibits flexibility with respect to substrate type and substrate chemistry (COSEWIC 2002), remained extant in some parts of eastern North America where acid deposition was elevated in the past, suggesting that other factors may help to account for its apparent decline in the north. It is not known whether the recent discovery of *P. incurvum* in Vermont (2003, Dorothy Allard, pers. comm. 2011) and in Ohio (rediscovered in 2006 - NY 829670; all previous records found to date were made 70 or more years ago) are linked to changes in growing conditions or survey patterns or both.

Protection: Change in effective protection:	yes ⊠ no □
Explanation:	
General protection for <i>Ptychomitrium incurvum</i> is conferre Ontario's <i>Endangered Species Act</i> , 2007. The greater awa may promote vigilance for the species among collectors in for this species has not been pinpointed and no other Can recovery activities have been recommended, but the spec conservation programs in the same geographic area. The every five years (Environment Canada 2007).	areness engendered by its SARA status the region. The historical collecting locality adian populations have been reported. No ies may still benefit from general
Rescue Effect:	
Change in evidence of rescue effect.	yes □ no ⊠
Explanation:	
Rescue effect may be limited by the same unknown factor herbarium reports for the northern US over the past 50-10 status in New York. Immigration is possible: this species h Anderson 1981) and is known to produce them commonly despite intensive human activity in the region (the species surfaces such as stone walls and gravestones, as well as contemporary US occurrences that are nearest to Canada directly west from Windsor, Ontario (MICH 512701, collect south of the Quebec border (Dorothy Allard, pers. comm.)	0 years, and for the species' SH (historical) as small spores (9-13 µm, Crum and (Reese 2007). Habitat seems to exist is known to occur on anthropogenic in natural habitats). The reported are in Ann Arbor, Michigan, about 70 km ted in1978) and in Vermont, about 200 km
Quantitative Analysis: Not Applicable	
Change in estimated probability of extirpation:	yes ☐ no ☐ unk ☐
Details:	
Summary and Additional Considerations: [e.g., recovery ef	forts]

Acknowledgements and authorities contacted:

The author gratefully acknowledges the contributions of the following people, who were contacted for information on species occurrences and recovery efforts:

Allard, Dorothy. University of Vermont Herbarium (VT)

Andreas, Barbara. Bryologist and Curator, Kent State University Herbarium (KE)

Belland, René. Curator, Plant Herbarium, Devonian Botanic Garden, University of Alberta

Bowles, Jane. Curator, University of Western Ontario Herbarium (UWO)

Brouillet, Luc. Curator, Herbier Marie-Victorin, Institut de recherche en biologie végétale, Université de Montréal (MT)

Clayden, Stephen. Curator, New Brunswick Museum Herbarium (NBM)

Eckel, Patricia. Research Scientist, Bryology Group, Missouri Botanical Garden

Faubert, Jean. Président, Société Québecoise de Bryologie

Fortin, Lucie. Volunteer, Herbier Marie-Victorin, Institut de recherche en biologie végétale, Université de Montréal (MT)

Freire-Fierro, Alina. Collection Manager, Philadelphia Academy of Natural Sciences Herbarium (PH)

Fryday, Alan. Herbarium, Department of Plant Biology, Michigan State University (MSU)

Lacroix, Carol Anne. Curator of Phanerogams, University of Guelph Herbarium (OAC)

LaFarge, Catherine. Curator and Director, Cryptogamic Herbarium, University of Alberta (ALTA)

Lee, Olivia. Collection Manager, Lichens, Bryophytes and Fungi, UBC Herbarium, Beatty Biodiversity Museum (UBC)

Miller, Norton. Curator Emeritus (Bryology), New York State Museum (NYS)

Newell, Ruth. Curator, Acadia University Herbarium (ACAD)

Oldham, Mike. Ontario Natural Heritage Information Centre, Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources

Payette, Serge. Curator, Herbier Louis-Marie, Université Laval (QFA)

Rabeler, Richard. Collections Manager, University of Michigan Herbarium (MICH)

Van Allen, Kari. Species at Risk Biologist, Canadian Wildlife Service, Environment Canada (currently representing the Recovery Team)

Information sources:

Bird, C.D. 1967. The mosses collected by Thomas Drummond in Western Canada, 1825–1827. Bryologist 70:262–266.

- Canadian Endangered Species Conservation Council (CESCC). 2011. Wild Species 2010: The General Status of Species in Canada. National General Status Working Group
- COSEWIC 2002. COSEWIC assessment and status report on the incurved grizzled moss *Ptychomitrium incurvum* in Canada. Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada. Ottawa.
- Crum, H.A., and L.E. Anderson. 1981. *Mosses of Eastern North America.* Columbia University Press, New York, U.S.A.
- Environment Canada. 2007. Recovery Strategy for the Incurved Grizzled Moss (*Ptychomitrium incurvum*) in Canada. *Species at Risk Act* Recovery Strategy Series. Environment Canada. Ottawa.
- Franklin, J. 1828. *Narrative of a Second Expedition to the Polar Sea in the Years 1825, 1826, and 1827.* John Murray, London, England.
- Integrated Mapping Assessment Program. 2000.

 http://www.utoronto.ca/imap/collections/air quality/acid deposition.htm; accessed July 2011
- Missouri Botanical Garden, 2011. Tropicos.org. http://www.tropicos.org/Name/35144121; accessed July 2011
- National Atmospheric Deposition Program. 2011. http://nadp.sws.uiuc.edu/Default.aspx; accessed July 2011
- Ohio Department of Natural Resources. 2011. Rare Native Ohio Plants 2010-2011. http://www.dnr.state.oh.us/Home/Rare_Plants/20102011RareNativeOhioPlants/tabid/2255 7/Default.aspx; accessed July 2011
- NatureServe 2011. NatureServer Explorer database, http://www.natureserve.org/explorer/ (last accessed May 2012).
- Pennsylvania Natural Heritage Program. 2011. http://www.naturalheritage.state.pa.us/Species.aspx; accessed July 2011
- Reese, W. 2007. Ptychomitriaceae. Pages 306-310 in Flora of North America Editorial Committee. *Flora of North America*, Volume 27. Oxford University Press, New York, U.S.A.
- Söderström, L. 2006. Conservation biology of bryophytes. *Lindbergia* 31: 24–32.

TECHNICAL SUMMARY

Ptychomitrium incurvum Incurved Grizzled Moss Range of occurrence in Canada: Ontario

Ptychomitre à feuilles incurvées

Demographic Information

Generation time Inferred based on colonist life strategy (Söderström 2006)	6-10 years
Is there an observed, inferred, or projected continuing decline in number of mature individuals?	no
Estimated percent of continuing decline in total number of mature individuals	N/A
Percent change in total number of mature individuals over the last 10 years, or 3 generations.	N/A
Percent change in total number of mature individuals over the next 10 years, or 3 generations.	N/A
Percent change in total number of mature individuals over any 10 years, or 3 generations period, over a time period including both the past and the future.	N/A
Are the causes of the decline clearly reversible and understood and ceased? Not understood, and therefore not known to be reversible or to have	no
ceased Are there extreme fluctuations in number of mature individuals?	no

Extent and Occupancy Information

0 km²
0 km²
no
0
no

Number of Mature Individuals (in each population)

Population	N Mature Individuals
The only known population was historically documented (1825), and its size was not recorded	0
Total	0

^{*} See Definitions and Abbreviations on COSEWIC website and IUCN 2010 for more information on this term.

Quantitative Analysis

Probability of extinction in the wild is at least [20% within 20 years or 5	N/A
generations, or 10% within 100 years].	

Threats (actual or imminent, to populations or habitats)

No current general or specific threats have been identified.

Rescue Effect (immigration from outside Canada)

Status of outside population(s)?

Globally apparently secure.

Not nationally ranked in the United States.

US subnational ranks (NatureServe, 2011):

Delaware (S1S2)

Georgia (SNR)

Indiana (SNR)

Michigan (SNR; Population recorded in Ann Arbor, 70 km from Windsor, Ontario in 1978)

New York (SH)

Tennessee (S3)

Vermont (S1: Population recorded in Dorset, 200 km from the Quebec border in about 2000)

Virginia (SNR)

Bryophyte species appear not to be ranked in Maine or Pennsylvania (Pennsylvania Natural Heritage Program, 2011). The species is not listed among rare plants of Ohio (Ohio Department of Natural

Resources, 2011)

Is immigration known or possible?	Possible spore dispersal from US populations
Would immigrants be adapted to survive in Canada?	Unknown
Is there sufficient habitat for immigrants in Canada?	Yes, assuming threats have ceased
Is rescue from outside populations likely?	Unknown

Current Status

COSEWIC: Extirpated (2002, 2012)

Additional Sources of Information:

Status and Reason for Designation

Status:	Final Criteria:
Extirpated	Not applicable
Status History:	

Designated Extirpated in November 2002. Status re-examined and confirmed in May 2012. Reason for Designation:

This small moss is widely distributed in the deciduous forests of eastern North America, with a frequency of occurrence that declines toward the northern portion of its range. In Canada, the only known record for the species is from the Carolinian zone of southern Ontario (Niagara Falls) in 1825. Despite considerable search effort in the region, the species has never been rediscovered.

Applicability of Criteria

Applicability of Criteria
Criterion A:
Criterion does not apply; no Canadian observations of this species since 1825.
Criterion B:
Criterion does not apply; no Canadian observations of this species since 1825.
Criterion C:
Criterion does not apply; no Canadian observations of this species since 1825.
Criterion D:
Criterion does not apply; no Canadian observations of this species since 1825.
Criterion E:
Criterion does not apply; no Canadian observations of this species since 1825.



COSEWIC HISTORY

The Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada (COSEWIC) was created in 1977 as a result of a recommendation at the Federal-Provincial Wildlife Conference held in 1976. It arose from the need for a single, official, scientifically sound, national listing of wildlife species at risk. In 1978, COSEWIC designated its first species and produced its first list of Canadian species at risk. Species designated at meetings of the full committee are added to the list. On June 5, 2003, the *Species at Risk Act* (SARA) was proclaimed. SARA establishes COSEWIC as an advisory body ensuring that species will continue to be assessed under a rigorous and independent scientific process.

COSEWIC MANDATE

The Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada (COSEWIC) assesses the national status of wild species, subspecies, varieties, or other designatable units that are considered to be at risk in Canada. Designations are made on native species for the following taxonomic groups: mammals, birds, reptiles, amphibians, fishes, arthropods, molluscs, vascular plants, mosses, and lichens.

COSEWIC MEMBERSHIP

COSEWIC comprises members from each provincial and territorial government wildlife agency, four federal entities (Canadian Wildlife Service, Parks Canada Agency, Department of Fisheries and Oceans, and the Federal Biodiversity Information Partnership, chaired by the Canadian Museum of Nature), three non-government science members and the co-chairs of the species specialist subcommittees and the Aboriginal Traditional Knowledge subcommittee. The Committee meets to consider status reports on candidate species.

DEFINITIONS (2012)

Wildlife Species A species, subspecies, variety, or geographically or genetically distinct population of animal,

plant or other organism, other than a bacterium or virus, that is wild by nature and is either native to Canada or has extended its range into Canada without human intervention and

has been present in Canada for at least 50 years.

Extinct (X) A wildlife species that no longer exists.

Extirpated (XT) A wildlife species no longer existing in the wild in Canada, but occurring elsewhere.

Endangered (E) A wildlife species facing imminent extirpation or extinction.

Threatened (T) A wildlife species likely to become endangered if limiting factors are not reversed.

Special Concern (SC)* A wildlife species that may become a threatened or an endangered species because of a

combination of biological characteristics and identified threats.

Not at Risk (NAR)** A wildlife species that has been evaluated and found to be not at risk of extinction given the

current circumstances.

Data Deficient (DD)*** A category that applies when the available information is insufficient (a) to resolve a

species' eligibility for assessment or (b) to permit an assessment of the species' risk of

extinction.

- * Formerly described as "Vulnerable" from 1990 to 1999, or "Rare" prior to 1990.
- ** Formerly described as "Not In Any Category", or "No Designation Required."
- *** Formerly described as "Indeterminate" from 1994 to 1999 or "ISIBD" (insufficient scientific information on which to base a designation) prior to 1994. Definition of the (DD) category revised in 2006.



Environment Canada Environnement Canada

Canadian Wildlife Service Service canadien de la faune



The Canadian Wildlife Service, Environment Canada, provides full administrative and financial support to the COSEWIC Secretariat.