# **COSEWIC Status Appraisal Summary**

on the

# **Margined Streamside Moss**

Scouleria marginata

in Canada

ENDANGERED 2012

COSEWIC

Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada



COSEPAC

Comité sur la situation des espèces en péril au Canada

COSEWIC status appraisal summaries are working documents used in assigning the status of wildlife species suspected of being at risk in Canada. This document may be cited as follows:

COSEWIC. 2012. COSEWIC status appraisal summary on the Margined Streamside Moss *Scouleria marginata* in Canada. Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada. Ottawa. xii pp. (www.registrelep-sararegistry.gc.ca/default\_e.cfm).

# Production note:

COSEWIC would like to acknowledge Judith A. Harpel for writing the status appraisal summary on the Margined Streamside Moss, *Scouleria marginata*, in Canada, prepared under contract with Environment Canada. This status appraisal summary was overseen and edited by René Belland, Co-chair of the COSEWIC Mosses and Lichens Specialist Subcommittee.

For additional copies contact:

COSEWIC Secretariat c/o Canadian Wildlife Service Environment Canada Ottawa, ON K1A 0H3

Tel.: 819-953-3215 Fax: 819-994-3684

E-mail: COSEWIC/COSEPAC@ec.gc.ca http://www.cosewic.gc.ca

Également disponible en français sous le titre Sommaire du statut de l'espèce du COSEPAC sur la Scoulérie à feuilles marginées (Scouleria marginata) au Canada.

©Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada, 2012. Catalogue No. CW69-14/2-25-2012E-PDF ISBN 978-1-100-20730-8



Recycled paper



# Assessment Summary - May 2012

# Common name

Margined Streamside Moss

# Scientific name

Scouleria marginata

# **Status**

Endangered

#### Reason for designation

This large, showy moss occurs just above water's edge along small montane streams. A rare western North American endemic, it is known in Canada from a single occurrence in southern British Columbia. Although the species has not been found in recent surveys, it may be present in nearby watersheds.

# Occurrence

British Columbia

# Status history

Designated Endangered in November 2002. Status re-examined and confirmed in May 2012.



# Scouleria marginata Britt.

Margined Streamside Moss

Scoulérie à feuilles marginées

Range of occurrence in Canada: British Columbia

Current COSEWIC Assessment:	
Status category:	
☐ XT ☐ E ☐ T ☐ SC	
Date of last assessment: November 2002	
<b>Reason for designation at last assessment:</b> This moss is a large, showy species that occurs just above water's edge along small montane streams. A rare North American endemic, its northernmost and single occurrence in Canada is in southern British Columbia. Although the species was not relocated at this station in recent surveys, the species may be present in nearby watersheds.	
Criteria applied at last assessment: D1	
If the earlier version of criteria was applied <sup>1</sup> , provide correspondence to criteria:	current version of the
SSC Recommendation:	
⊠No change in status and criteria	
☐No change in status, new criteria	
Evidence (indicate as applicable):	
Wildlife species:	voo 🗆 no 🔯
Change in eligibility, taxonomy or designatable units:	yes □ no ⊠
Range:	
Change in Extent of Occurrence (EO):	yes ☐ no ☒ unk ☐
Change in Index of Area of Occupancy (IAO):	yes ☐ no ☒ unk ☐
Change in number of known or inferred current locations*: Significant new survey information:	yes □ no □ unk □ yes □ no □
Significant new survey information.	yes ⊠ no □
Explanation:	
Explanation: No new sites have been relocated since the original collectic successful in relocating the original population. Additional surveys within the 2005 and 2011 (see Table 1).	
* Use the IUCN definition of "location"	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> An earlier version of the quantitative criteria was used by COSEWIC from October 1999 to May 2001 and is available on the COSEWIC website: http://www.cosewic.gc.ca/eng/sct0/original\_criteria\_e.cfm

Population Information:	
Change in number of mature individuals:	yes 🗌 no 🗌 unk 🖂
Change in total population trend:	yes ☐ no ☐ unk ⊠
Change in severity of population fragmentation:	yes □ no □ unk ⊠
Change in trend in area and/or quality of habitat:	yes □ no □ unk ⊠
Significant new survey information:	yes □ no □
,	
Explanation:	
No population details were taken when the original collection	was made in 1977
Because the original site has not been relocated and no new	
information.	v sites have been fearla, there is no new
information.	
Threats:	
Change in nature and/or severity of threats:	yes □ no ⊠ unk □
Change in hatare array or amount	,
Explanation:	
Threats as outlined in the Recovery Strategy (Env. Canada 2	2008) including severe flooding
disturbance by domestic animals, forest fire, competition, an	
Protection:	
Protection: Change in effective protection:	ves □ no ⊠
Protection: Change in effective protection:	yes □ no ⊠
Change in effective protection:	yes □ no ⊠
	yes □ no ⊠
Change in effective protection:  Explanation:	yes □ no ⊠
Change in effective protection:	yes □ no ⊠
Change in effective protection:  Explanation:  The only known population occurs on Crown land.	yes □ no ⊠
Change in effective protection:  Explanation:  The only known population occurs on Crown land.  Rescue Effect:	· ·
Change in effective protection:  Explanation:  The only known population occurs on Crown land.	yes □ no ⊠
Change in effective protection:  Explanation:  The only known population occurs on Crown land.  Rescue Effect:  Change in evidence of rescue effect:	· ·
Change in effective protection:  Explanation:  The only known population occurs on Crown land.  Rescue Effect:	· ·
Change in effective protection:  Explanation:  The only known population occurs on Crown land.  Rescue Effect: Change in evidence of rescue effect:  Explanation:	yes □ no ⊠
Change in effective protection:  Explanation:  The only known population occurs on Crown land.  Rescue Effect:  Change in evidence of rescue effect:  Explanation:  The species is rare in adjacent state and the likelihood of that	yes □ no ⊠
Change in effective protection:  Explanation:  The only known population occurs on Crown land.  Rescue Effect: Change in evidence of rescue effect:  Explanation:	yes □ no ⊠
Change in effective protection:  Explanation:  The only known population occurs on Crown land.  Rescue Effect:  Change in evidence of rescue effect:  Explanation:  The species is rare in adjacent state and the likelihood of the populations is negligible.	yes □ no ⊠
Change in effective protection:  Explanation:  The only known population occurs on Crown land.  Rescue Effect: Change in evidence of rescue effect:  Explanation:  The species is rare in adjacent state and the likelihood of the populations is negligible.  Quantitative Analysis:	yes □ no ⊠ at population being a source for Canadian
Change in effective protection:  Explanation:  The only known population occurs on Crown land.  Rescue Effect:  Change in evidence of rescue effect:  Explanation:  The species is rare in adjacent state and the likelihood of the populations is negligible.	yes □ no ⊠
Change in effective protection:  Explanation:  The only known population occurs on Crown land.  Rescue Effect: Change in evidence of rescue effect:  Explanation:  The species is rare in adjacent state and the likelihood of the populations is negligible.  Quantitative Analysis: Change in estimated probability of extirpation:	yes □ no ⊠ at population being a source for Canadian
Change in effective protection:  Explanation:  The only known population occurs on Crown land.  Rescue Effect: Change in evidence of rescue effect:  Explanation:  The species is rare in adjacent state and the likelihood of the populations is negligible.  Quantitative Analysis:	yes □ no ⊠ at population being a source for Canadian

### **Summary and Additional Considerations:**

In 2008 a Recovery Strategy for Streamside Moss was released by Environment Canada (2008) and a set of studies were identified for completion by 2010. Because the original population has not been recently recorded, nor have new populations been found, these studies have not been completed.

Discussions with members of the Recovery Team, listed below, did not result in new information that would change the status of this species. However, Mike Ryan (pers. comm. 2011) did indicate that he has been looking for this species when he is in suitable habitat, but he has yet to discover any new populations.

- B. Tan (the collector of the original location) indicated via e-mail that he could not recall the exact location where he collected *S. marginata* in 1977. He also stated that all of his field notes are currently in a storage unit in California and therefore are not accessible to him at this time.
- T. McIntosh revisited the area of the first collection (Table 1, Figure 1) and surveyed in and around the Boundary Lake area. Although McIntosh also surveyed in other drainages along Hwy. 3, which is north of Boundary Creek and the adjacent Upper Priest River drainages, he found only the more common, related, species, *Scouleria aquatica*. A recent review of Dr Tan's collection vouchers suggests that the location on the label may not correspond to the original collection site. Based on Tan's collection numbers from that day, he entered the Boundary Lake area from the west where the drainages form the headwaters of the Priest River. Because there is a documented site of *S. marginata* in the United States section of the Priest River, it seems likely that Tan could have sampled the *S. marginata* within the drainages west of Boundary Lake. The Priest River flows south and empties into the Pend Oreille River in Idaho; Boundary Creek flows east and enters the Kootenay River just south of the U.S. border.
- J. Harpel spent approximately 5 hours surveying the area west of Boundary Lake (Table 1, Figure 2). A number of *Scouleria* collections were made from three areas along Monk Creek, a tributary of the Upper Priest River, but all of them have been identified as the more common *S. aquatica*. In addition to this survey work, Harpel revisited the Priest River location in Idaho where R.R. Ireland collected *S. marginata* in 1963 and found *S. marginata*. This location is only 58 km south of the Boundary Lake area and provides further support that *S. marginata* may be in the British Columbia portions of the Priest River.

# Acknowledgements and authorities contacted:

- Costanzo, Brenda. Margined Streamside Moss Recovery Team Chair, Plant Species at Risk Biologist, Ecosystems Branch, B.C.
- Golinsk, Karen. Margined Streamside Moss Recovery Team, Private Consultant, Victoria, B.C.
- McIntosh, Terry. Botanist. Margined Streamside Moss Recovery Team. Author of Recovery Strategy for Silver-Hair Moss
- Ryan, Mike. Margined Streamside Moss Recovery Team
- Tan, Benito. National University of Singapore

# Information sources:

Judy Harpel

- British Columbia Bryophyte Recovery Team. 2007. Recovery strategy for the margined streamside moss (*Scouleria marginata* Britt) in British Columbia. Prepared for the B.C. Ministry of Environment, Victoria, BC. 14pp.
- Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada, Environment Canada (COSEWIC). 2002. COSEWIC assessment and status report on the margined streamside moss, *Scouleria marginata*, in Canada. Environ. Can., Ottawa, ON.
- Environment Canada. 2008. Recovery Strategy for the Margined Streamside Moss (*Scouleria marginata*) in Canada. *Species at Risk Act* Recovery Strategy Series. Environment Canada, Ottawa. 4 p. + Appendix.

Table 1. Survey history for Margined Streamside Moss, *Scouleria marginata* Britt., in the Boundary Lake area

Based on herbarium records at the University of British Columbia and discussions with Terry McIntosh.			
Surveyor	Date	Found/not found	Area Searched
Ben Tan	8 Aug. 1977	Found	?
Terry McIntosh	28-29 Oct. 2001	Not found	E of Boundary L
Terry McIntosh with assistant	23-24 Sept. 2005	Not found	E of Boundary L

Not found

W of Boundary Lake

29 Aug. 2011



Figure 1. Map showing the collection locations by T. McIntosh in 2005 since the last status report. No samples of *S. marginata* were found. 2001 sampling sites are not included on this map (see COSEWIC 2001). Boundary Lake is to the west of the leftmost pin on the map.




Figure 2. Area surveyed by J.A. Harpel on 29 August 2011. The dots to the west of Boundary Lake represent sites where collections were made.

# **TECHNICAL SUMMARY**

Scouleria marginata Britt. Margined Streamside Moss

Scoulérie à feuilles marginées

Range of occurrence in Canada: British Columbia

# **Demographic Information**

Yrs unknown
unknown

**Extent and Occupancy Information** 

Estimated extent of occurrence	4 km²
Index of area of occupancy (IAO)	4 km²
(Always report 2x2 grid value).	
Is the total population severely fragmented?	unknown
Number of locations*	1
Is there an [observed, inferred, or projected] continuing decline in extent of occurrence?	unknown
Is there an [observed, inferred, or projected] continuing decline in index of area of occupancy?	unknown
Is there an [observed, inferred, or projected] continuing decline in number of populations?	unknown
Is there an [observed, inferred, or projected] continuing decline in number of locations*?	unknown
Is there an [observed, inferred, or projected] continuing decline in [area, extent and/or quality] of habitat?	unknown
Are there extreme fluctuations in number of populations?	unknown
Are there extreme fluctuations in number of locations*?	unknown
Are there extreme fluctuations in extent of occurrence?	unknown
Are there extreme fluctuations in index of area of occupancy?	unknown

<sup>\*</sup> See Definitions and Abbreviations on <a href="COSEWIC website">COSEWIC website</a> and <a href="IUCN 2010">IUCN 2010</a> for more information on this term.

Number of Mature Individuals (in each population)

Population		N Mature Individuals
Boundary Lake		unknown
Total		unknown

**Quantitative Analysis** 

Probability of extinction in the wild is at least [20% within 20 years or 5	unknown
generations, or 10% within 100 years].	

# Threats (actual or imminent, to populations or habitats)

Threats as outlined in the Recovery Strategy include severe flooding, disturbance by domestic animals, forest fire, competition, and recreation activities remain the same.

# Rescue Effect (immigration from outside Canada)

unlikely

Status of outside population(s)?	
Scouleria marginata is ranked G3 Vulnerable; S1 in BC, S2 in Oregon; and S2 and Threatened in	
Washington.	
Is immigration known or possible?	unlikely
Would immigrants be adapted to survive in Canada?	unknown
Is there sufficient habitat for immigrants in Canada?	yes
Is rescue from outside populations likely?	unknown

#### **Current Status**

OOCEWIO, Englanded /0000, 0040)	
COSEWIC: Endangered (2002, 2012)	

Additional Sources of Information:

Status and Reason for Designation

Status:	Final Criteria:
Endangered	D1
Status History:	

Designated Endangered in November 2002. Status re-examined and confirmed in May 2012.

#### Reason for Designation:

This large, showy moss occurs just above water's edge along small montane streams. A rare western North American endemic, it is known in Canada from a single occurrence in southern British Columbia. Although the species has not been found in recent surveys, it may be present in nearby watersheds.

# **Applicability of Criteria**

# Criterion A:

Not applicable. Decline data unavailable.

# Criterion B:

Not applicable. Decline and fluctuation data unavailable.

#### **Criterion C:**

Not applicable. Decline data unavailable.

# Criterion D:

Meets threshold for Endangered D1, assuming that population numbers are below 250 given the search effort since the last assessment.

#### Criterion E:

Not done.



#### **COSEWIC HISTORY**

The Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada (COSEWIC) was created in 1977 as a result of a recommendation at the Federal-Provincial Wildlife Conference held in 1976. It arose from the need for a single, official, scientifically sound, national listing of wildlife species at risk. In 1978, COSEWIC designated its first species and produced its first list of Canadian species at risk. Species designated at meetings of the full committee are added to the list. On June 5, 2003, the *Species at Risk Act* (SARA) was proclaimed. SARA establishes COSEWIC as an advisory body ensuring that species will continue to be assessed under a rigorous and independent scientific process.

#### **COSEWIC MANDATE**

The Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada (COSEWIC) assesses the national status of wild species, subspecies, varieties, or other designatable units that are considered to be at risk in Canada. Designations are made on native species for the following taxonomic groups: mammals, birds, reptiles, amphibians, fishes, arthropods, molluscs, vascular plants, mosses, and lichens.

#### **COSEWIC MEMBERSHIP**

COSEWIC comprises members from each provincial and territorial government wildlife agency, four federal entities (Canadian Wildlife Service, Parks Canada Agency, Department of Fisheries and Oceans, and the Federal Biodiversity Information Partnership, chaired by the Canadian Museum of Nature), three non-government science members and the co-chairs of the species specialist subcommittees and the Aboriginal Traditional Knowledge subcommittee. The Committee meets to consider status reports on candidate species.

# DEFINITIONS (2012)

Wildlife Species A species, subspecies, variety, or geographically or genetically distinct population of animal,

plant or other organism, other than a bacterium or virus, that is wild by nature and is either native to Canada or has extended its range into Canada without human intervention and

has been present in Canada for at least 50 years.

Extinct (X) A wildlife species that no longer exists.

Extirpated (XT) A wildlife species no longer existing in the wild in Canada, but occurring elsewhere.

Endangered (E) A wildlife species facing imminent extirpation or extinction.

Threatened (T) A wildlife species likely to become endangered if limiting factors are not reversed.

Special Concern (SC)\* A wildlife species that may become a threatened or an endangered species because of a

combination of biological characteristics and identified threats.

Not at Risk (NAR)\*\* A wildlife species that has been evaluated and found to be not at risk of extinction given the

current circumstances.

Data Deficient (DD)\*\*\* A category that applies when the available information is insufficient (a) to resolve a

species' eligibility for assessment or (b) to permit an assessment of the species' risk of

extinction.

- \* Formerly described as "Vulnerable" from 1990 to 1999, or "Rare" prior to 1990.
- \*\* Formerly described as "Not In Any Category", or "No Designation Required."
- \*\*\* Formerly described as "Indeterminate" from 1994 to 1999 or "ISIBD" (insufficient scientific information on which to base a designation) prior to 1994. Definition of the (DD) category revised in 2006.



Environment Canada Environnement Canada

Canadian Wildlife Service Service canadien de la faune



The Canadian Wildlife Service, Environment Canada, provides full administrative and financial support to the COSEWIC Secretariat.