



Environment
Canada

Environnement
Canada

www.ec.gc.ca



Data Sources and Methods for the Freshwater Quality Indicator

May 2013

ISBN : En4-144/1-2013E-PDF
Cat. No.: 978-1-100-22267-7

Information contained in this publication may be reproduced, in part or in whole, and by any means, for personal or public non-commercial purposes, without charge or further permission, unless otherwise specified.

You are asked to:

- Exercise due diligence in ensuring the accuracy of the materials reproduced;
- Indicate both the complete title of the materials reproduced, as well as the author organization; and
- Indicate that the reproduction is a copy of an official work that is published by the Government of Canada and that the reproduction has not been produced in affiliation with or with the endorsement of the Government of Canada.

Commercial reproduction and distribution is prohibited except with written permission from the Government of Canada's copyright administrator, Public Works and Government Services of Canada (PWGSC). For more information, please contact PWGSC at 613-996-6886 or at droitdauteur.copyright@tpsgc-pwgsc.gc.ca.

Photos: © Environment Canada

© Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada represented by the Minister of the Environment, 2012

Aussi disponible en français

1 Introduction

The Freshwater Quality indicator (WQI) is part of the Canadian Environmental Sustainability Indicators (CESI) (<http://ec.gc.ca/indicateurs-indicators/default.asp?lang=En&n=47F48106-1>) program, which provides data and information to track Canada's performance on key environmental sustainability issues.

2 Description and rationale of the Freshwater Quality indicator

2.1 Description

The Freshwater Quality Indicator (WQI) provides an overall measure of the ability of freshwater bodies to support aquatic life at selected monitoring stations across Canada. It is a water quality guideline-driven tool used to distill large amounts of water quality data at a monitoring station into a single index. Water quality at a monitoring station is considered excellent when ambient water quality does not exceed guidelines at any time for any of all selected parameters. When water quality is rated poor, water quality measurements usually exceed their guideline; exceedences at these stations may be large.

2.2 Rationale

Clean water is essential to sustain healthy ecosystems and the many benefits they provide us. The WQI provides a national perspective on the overall quality of untreated freshwater for aquatic life in Canada. The WQI assumes that if measured ambient water quality does not exceed its water quality guideline, it is acceptable for aquatic plants and animals. The WQI provides information about the state, and change through time, of surface freshwater quality, in order to help inform water resource management.

2.3 Changes since last report

Since the last report, the water quality guidelines for chloride and nitrate have been updated by the Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment (CCME). This change resulted in the WQI scores going down at several stations in Ontario where chloride is included in the WQI calculation. It had no impact on British Columbia stations that included chloride in their calculations. No stations changed category because of this update, and the analysis of changes through time is not affected.

3 Data

3.1 Data source

Water quality data for 2008 to 2010 were obtained from a number of monitoring programs managed by federal and provincial authorities, as well as by federal-provincial agreements, across the country. The complete list can be found in Annex 1.

Freshwater quality guidelines were obtained from the Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment (CCME) water quality guidelines for the protection of aquatic life, the United States Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA), and provincial and territorial sources. A complete list of water quality guidelines used by each jurisdiction can be found in Annex 2.

Drainage regions used in the regional Freshwater Quality Indicator (WQI) correspond to those defined in Statistics Canada's Standard Drainage Area Classification.¹

The upstream drainage area of core monitoring stations was delineated using Natural Resources Canada's National Hydro Network.²

Human activity in the drainage basin of core monitoring stations was characterized using population density from Statistics Canada's 2006 Census of Population, mine locations using Natural Resources Canada's 2006 Census of Mines, point-source agricultural activity locations using Statistics Canada's 2006 Census of Agriculture, and land cover using Natural Resources Canada's land cover maps.^{3,4}

3.2 Spatial coverage

The national WQI is calculated using a set of core stations selected to be representative of surface freshwater quality across Canada and the human pressure exerted on it. The 2008-2010 national WQI was calculated using 172 core stations.

The local WQI is reported for the 172 core stations for which 2008-2010 data are available, and 156 additional local stations across Canada. The number of core stations changes every year due to missing data. For this year's report, water quality is reported at 172 core stations compared to 173 stations in last year's report. Core stations are added or removed depending on whether they meet data standards and requirements of the WQI.

Core station selection

Among Canada's 25 drainage regions, 16 were selected based on population density for the WQI core network (Figure 1). Within the 16 selected drainage regions, core stations were selected to avoid overlapping drainage areas and to ensure stations are independent of one another. The upstream drainage area of monitoring stations was delineated by Statistics Canada using the National Hydro Network.⁵ Where upstream drainage areas of monitoring stations overlap, the most downstream station was retained for the core network, as this station is impacted by the maximum area in the river basin and, to some degree, reflects the cumulative impact of all upstream stresses. For 14 large rivers, including the Athabasca and North Saskatchewan rivers, core stations were established in the upper, mid and lower portions of the river as well as the most downstream stations on each tributary when available. Additional core stations were established on these rivers, as water travels thousands of kilometres from source to mouth. Water quality changes along the way and cannot be summarized by a unique downstream monitoring station. The final selection of core stations ensures monitoring stations are well distributed among provinces, territories and drainage regions.

¹ Statistics Canada (2003) Standard Drainage Area Classification. Retrieved on 26 September, 2012. Available from: <http://www.statcan.gc.ca/subjects-sujets/standard-norme/sdac-ctad/sdac-ctad-eng.htm>


² Natural Resources Canada (2007) National Hydro Network, Canada. Retrieved on 10 May, 2011. Available from: <http://www.geobase.ca/geobase/en/data/nhn/description.html>

³ Natural Resources Canada (2005) Multi-Temporal Land Cover Maps of Canada using NOAA AVHRR 1-km data from 1985-2005, 1st edition, Canada Centre for Remote Sensing. Retrieved on 10 May, 2011. Available from: ftp://ftp.ccrs.nrcan.gc.ca/ad/NLCCLandCover/LandcoverCanada1985_2005_1KM/LC85_05_Metadata.html

⁴ Natural Resources Canada (2008) Land Cover Map of Canada 2005, Canada Centre for Remote Sensing. Retrieved on 10 May, 2011. Available from: ftp://ftp.ccrs.nrcan.gc.ca/ad/NLCCLandCover/LandcoverCanada2005_250m/

⁵ Henry, M et al. (2009) Canadian Environmental Sustainability Indicators: Water Quality Index Representivity Report, Statistics Canada. Retrieved on 10 May, 2011. Available from: http://www.geobase.ca/doc/specs/pdf/GeoBase_NHN_UseCase_StatCan.pdf

Figure 1: Geographic extent of the 16 drainage regions selected for the core network



Water quality was also assessed at monitoring stations known as “local stations” that were not included in the core network. Information on water quality at individual core and local stations can be found in the CESI interactive freshwater quality map (<http://maps-cartes.ec.gc.ca/indicateurs-indicateurs/default.aspx?lang=en>).

3.3 Temporal coverage

The WQI was calculated using a minimum of four samples per year from 2008 to 2010 for southern sites. A minimum of three samples per year is allowed for northern and remote stations because winter access can be difficult. A sensitivity analysis concluded that no significant difference existed in the water quality score when the mid-winter sample was

excluded.⁶ Three years of data are used to dampen temporal variability in WQI results caused by annual fluctuations in weather and hydrology.⁷ By using a three-year roll-up, the WQI is more representative of the overall freshwater quality at a station. It minimizes the effects of events such as high or low flow, by avoiding one sample driving the WQI score up or down.

Minimum sampling requirements were not met at 12 core stations: 11 in Manitoba and 1 in New Brunswick. The stations are remote, making sampling during the winter months difficult, dangerous and costly. These stations, however, were closely evaluated by local water quality experts, and were included because the data were consistent with previous years and were considered representative of local water quality.

Sample timing and frequency varies among monitoring stations and is set according to the monitoring program objectives. There are up to 60 samples for a given parameter at some stations.

3.4 Data completeness

Data quality assurance / quality control (QA/QC) is performed within each monitoring program providing data for the WQI. Each monitoring program follows standardized methods for sample collection in the field. Chemical analyses are performed in Canadian laboratories accredited by the Canadian Association for Laboratory Accreditation or the Standards Council of Canada.

The data undergo an additional QA/QC process by Environment Canada to ensure the dataset meets minimum data requirements and that standards for calculation are respected. This process leads to removal of parameters due to low sampling frequencies or because detection limits are higher than guidelines used in the calculation. Unusually high or low values in the monitoring datasets are double-checked and confirmed through consultation with the data provider. WQI scores and station information from the monitoring programs are stored in a central WQI dictionary, which facilitates the verification of the number of samples, the timing location of monitoring stations, and calculations.

3.5 Data timeliness

The WQI was calculated using data from 2008 to 2010, the most recent data available from all monitoring programs. For 10 core stations, data from late December 2007 or early January 2011 were used to meet requirements for minimum number of samples.

4 Methods

4.1 Computing the Water Quality Index

The Freshwater Quality Indicator (WQI) is calculated using the Water Quality Index as endorsed by the CCME.⁸ The CCME Water Quality Index consists of three factors: scope, frequency and amplitude (equation 1).⁹ Scope (F1) refers to the percentage of parameters where water

⁶ Statistics Canada (2007) Behaviour Study on the Water Quality Index of the Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment. Retrieved on 16 May, 2011. Available from: <http://www.statcan.gc.ca/pub/16-001-m/16-001-m2007003-eng.htm>

⁷ Government of Canada (2008) Technical guidance document for Water Quality Indicator practitioners reporting under the Canadian Environmental Sustainability Indicators (CESI) initiative 2008, p.15-16. Retrieved on 13 May, 2011. Available from: <http://www.ec.gc.ca/Publications/default.asp?lang=En&xml=FCE44CD8-A2C6-4659-8CC8-EFEAD92EF1F0>

⁸ [CCME] Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment (2001) CCME Water Quality Index 1.0 User's Manual. Retrieved on 13 May, 2011. Available from: http://www.ccme.ca/assets/pdf/wqi_usermanualfctsht_e.pdf

⁹ [CCME] Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment (2001) CCME Water Quality Index 1.0 Technical Report. Retrieved on 13 May, 2011. Available from: http://www.ccme.ca/assets/pdf/wqi_techrprt_fctsht_e.pdf

quality guidelines are not met; frequency (F2) refers to the percentage of samples where water quality guidelines are not met; and amplitude (F3) refers to the amount by which the water quality guidelines are not met. The score is normalized to produce a score between 1 and 100.

$$WQI = 100 - \left(\frac{\sqrt{F_1^2 + F_2^2 + F_3^2}}{1.732} \right) \text{ (Equation 1)}$$

Scores are categorized into five categories, which are defined based on the best available information, expert judgement and the general public's expectations of water quality (Table 1).¹⁰ The WQI adopts the CCME's water quality index categorization.

Table 1: Score rankings for the CCME Water Quality Index

Ranking	Interpretation
Excellent (95.0 to 100.0)	Water quality measurements never or very rarely exceed water quality guidelines.
Good (80.0 to 94.9)	Water quality measurements rarely exceed water quality guidelines and, if they do, it is usually by a narrow margin.
Fair (65.0 to 79.9)	Water quality measurements sometimes exceed water quality guidelines, and may do so by a wide margin.
Marginal (45.0 to 64.9)	Water quality measurements often exceed water quality guidelines and/or exceed the guidelines by a considerable margin.
Poor (0 to 44.9)	Water quality measurements usually exceed water quality guidelines and/or exceed the guidelines by a considerable margin.

4.2 Parameter selection

Provincial and territorial water quality experts select parameters, or chemical substances and physical properties, to be assessed based on knowledge of local water quality stressors. A selection of 8 to 12 parameters per monitoring station is recommended to ensure comparability and consistency in a parameter's relative influence on the final WQI ranking.¹¹ Typically, at least one form of the following parameters groups is reported at each monitoring station: nutrients (e.g., phosphorus, nitrate, nitrite, total nitrogen), metals (e.g., zinc, copper, lead), physico-chemical parameters (e.g., pH, turbidity) and 2 to 4 regionally specific parameters (e.g., chloride, ammonia, dissolved oxygen, pesticides).¹² In calculating the WQI, between 5 and 15 parameters are used to assess water quality at each monitoring station. A sample value must be available for each year for at least 33% of the total number of samples in order for a parameter to be included in the WQI.

For all monitoring programs, except British Columbia and Yukon, a common suite of parameters is assessed at all monitoring stations, with station-specific parameters added as required. In

¹⁰ Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment (2001) CCME Water Quality Index 1.0 User's Manual. Retrieved on 13 May, 2011. Available from: http://www.ccme.ca/assets/pdf/wqi_techrptfctsht_e.pdf

¹¹ Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment (2006) A sensitivity analysis of the Canadian Water Quality Index. Retrieved on 12 May, 2011. Available from: http://www.ccme.ca/publications/list_publications.html#link8

¹² British Columbia Ministry of Environment (1997) Methods for deriving station-specific water quality objectives in British Columbia and Yukon. Retrieved on 3 August 2011. Available from: http://www.env.gov.bc.ca/wat/wq/BCguidelines/effects_ratio/effectsratio.html

British Columbia, a station-specific selection of parameters is carried out, with dissolved oxygen, phosphorus, pH, nitrogen and water temperature included when available. Annex 2 lists all parameters measured in each province and territory. The CESI interactive map lists parameters at each individual station.

In British Columbia and Yukon, metals data may be excluded from the indicator dataset when samples are collected during periods of high turbidity. For selected stations, the turbidity cut-off is assessed based on long-term monitoring data, to take into consideration station-specific conditions. A station-specific water quality guideline is calculated for a particular metal based on the 5th percentile of long-term monitoring data for hardness.¹³ A turbidity cut-off is calculated by assessing turbidity associated with the identified water quality guideline through a regression analysis of long-term turbidity and metal data specific to that station. In these two jurisdictions, metal data are discarded from the indicator calculations during high-turbidity events on the basis that these occurrences of short-term exposure pose low risk to aquatic life. Trimming data based on high-turbidity events also improves the association between the WQI and indices of benthic community composition, improving the assessment of risk to aquatic life.¹⁴

4.3 Water quality guideline selection

Water quality guidelines are recommended numerical limits or narrative statements for a variety of chemical substances and physical parameters, which, if exceeded, may impair aquatic life. They are based on existing knowledge of a substance's environmental fate and behaviour, and its chronic or acute toxicity. The WQI uses chronic water quality guidelines for the protection of aquatic life. Quebec uses acute water quality guidelines for metals.

Water quality guidelines are selected to be locally relevant, and different water quality guidelines are used among and within provinces and territories. The Canadian Water Quality Guidelines for the Protection of Aquatic Life developed by the CCME are recommended if locally relevant.¹⁵ Other sources of guidelines include the U.S. EPA or provincial or territorial environment departments. Provincial or territorial water quality experts select the guidelines to use in the WQI. Annex 2 provides a complete list of guidelines used by provinces and territories, and their source.

Background concentrations of naturally occurring substances and other local river characteristics can affect the measured concentration and toxicity of certain substances. In these cases, station-specific guidelines (SSG) are developed using background concentration procedures¹⁶ or a rapid assessment approach.¹⁷ The rapid assessment approach uses long-term monitoring data, and corrects for natural events (such as high flows) that may influence results.

¹³ Metal water quality guidelines are defined on the basis of ambient pH or hardness concentrations, given that these parameters affect the bioavailability of metals in the water. For details on the definition of metal guidelines, please consult Annex 1 and associated sources cited for each guideline.

¹⁴ [CCME] Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment (2009) Reducing the Sensitivity of the Water Quality Index to Episodic Events. Retrieved on 6 June, 2011. Available from: http://www.ccme.ca/publications/list_publications.html

¹⁵ [CCME] Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment (undated) Canadian Water Quality Guidelines for the Protection of Aquatic Life. Retrieved on 12 May, 2011. For a complete list of guidelines, consult the Factsheets section. Available from: <http://ceqg-rcqe.ccme.ca/>

¹⁶ [CCME] Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment (2003) Guidance on the Station-Specific Application of Water Quality Guidelines in Canada: Procedures for Deriving Numerical Water Quality Objectives. Retrieved on 12 May, 2011. Available from:

¹⁷ Government of Canada (2008) Technical guidance document for Water Quality Indicator practitioners reporting under the Canadian Environmental Sustainability Indicators (CESI) initiative 2008, p.18. Retrieved on 13 May, 2011. Available from: <http://www.ec.gc.ca/Publications/default.asp?lang=En&xml=FCE44CD8-A2C6-4659-8CC8-EFEAD92EF1F0>

4.4 Classification of stations according to human activity

The drainage area of each monitoring station was delineated using the National Hydro Network.¹⁸ Human activity was assessed in the drainage area of core stations and classified according to the criteria presented in Table 2. Data sources are detailed in section 3.1. Agricultural land cover corresponds to land cover classes 26, 27, 28 and 29. Undisturbed land cover corresponds to land cover classes 0, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 30, 31, 32, 33 and 38. A full description of land cover classes is available in the land cover database of Canada.¹⁹

Land use in the drainage area of 16 core stations in Newfoundland and Labrador as well as Quebec was defined by the organization responsible for the monitoring program, based on local knowledge of the drainage area. Land use for two stations in British Columbia was not classified, because they are transboundary stations and the U.S. portion of the stations' catchment is difficult to classify.

Table 2: Criteria for the classification of human activity at monitoring stations

Land use	Criteria
Agriculture	> 20% of drainage area is agricultural land cover
Mining	Presence of at least one mine
Mixed	Agriculture and Mining OR Agriculture and Population density >25 persons/km ² OR Mining and Population density > 50 persons/km ²
Remote	> 95% of drainage area is undisturbed land cover

To evaluate if land use had a significant effect on the WQI score, a chi-square test of independence was performed. The poor and marginal categories and good and excellent categories were grouped for this test to produce adequate sample sizes. The relationship between water quality categories and land use was statistically significant ($\chi^2 = 35.5$, $df = 6$, $P < 0.001$).

4.5 Addressing changes in the indicator through time

A subset of 101 stations from 15 drainage regions was selected from the core network to assess changes in the WQI through time. This subset corresponds to all core stations with data available from 2003 to 2010. Changes in water quality were measured by assessing change between the 2003-05 and 2008-2010 reporting periods. The 2003-05 period was selected as the starting point of the change analysis, as it was the first period with sufficient data available for the analysis.

For this analysis, WQI scores for 2003-05 were recalculated using parameters and guidelines used in the 2008-2010 WQI. Guidelines and parameter selection have evolved over time. Harmonizing parameters and guidelines avoids mistaking methodological changes for water quality change. When historical data were missing for a parameter, the parameter was dropped from the calculation of the score for both periods. Quebec has recently changed the form of ammonia that it is reporting: the province now reports unionized ammonia instead of dissolved

¹⁸ Henry, M et al. (2009) Canadian Environmental Sustainability Indicators: Water Quality Index Representivity Report, Statistics Canada. Retrieved on 10 May, 2011.

¹⁹ Natural Resources Canada (2008) Land Cover Map of Canada 2005, Canada Centre for Remote Sensing. Retrieved on 10 May, 2011. Available from: ftp://ftp.ccrs.nrcan.gc.ca/ad/NLCC/LandCover/LandcoverCanada2005_250m/

ammonia, and there is no way to convert between the two forms. The ammonia data in the older data were left as dissolved ammonia for this analysis. Confidence intervals were computed for freshwater quality scores using a non-parametric bootstrapping method.²⁰ To compute the confidence interval for a given station, a simulation of 10 000 scores was performed. For each iteration, the parameter suite measured for a given date is resampled randomly from all measurements taken from the same station, and a simulated score is calculated. The confidence interval corresponds to the 95th percentile of the distribution of simulated scores.

A change in the WQI was considered significant at a station when no overlap existed between confidence intervals for 2003-05 and 2008-10 scores. A count of stations with improving, deteriorating and unchanged WQI was compiled for the indicator of change through time.

5 Caveats and limitations

The ability of the WQI to provide information about freshwater quality relies in large part on the suitability of water quality guidelines. Freshwater quality guidelines are derived from laboratory data that do not consider the impact of flow on sediment loads in a river. Although site-specific guidelines attempt to remove the impact of elevated flows on parameter concentrations, elevated levels of naturally occurring substances, such as minerals, nutrients, glacier deposits and soils, can lower water quality ratings.

The WQI does not directly measure biological integrity; it measures whether physical and chemical characteristics of freshwaters are acceptable for aquatic life. Although physical and chemical measurements provide good proxies of biological quality, only biological information provides a direct measurement of conditions for aquatic life.

The WQI only assesses the quality of surface waters. Groundwater is not considered in this indicator.

The WQI reflects the overall state of water quality, and does not show the impact of spills or other transient events unless these are frequent or long-lasting.

The selection of core stations is intended to represent freshwater quality in the portion of Canada where the majority of Canadians live. Monitoring stations used to calculate this indicator do not cover all potential water quality issues in Canada. Northern Canada, which is increasingly being developed, is under-represented in the WQI.

The WQI allows flexibility in the selection of parameters and guidelines. This flexibility allows local and regional water quality concerns to be reflected in the indicator. Because differences in parameters and guidelines occur among monitoring stations and jurisdictions, direct comparisons cannot be made among jurisdictions.

Analytical limitations, and time requirements for the development of water quality guidelines, affect the selection of parameters. Only parameters for which water quality guidelines exist can be included in the indicator. However, the absence of a water quality guideline for a parameter does not mean the parameter is unimportant.

The WQI is sensitive to the number of parameters and samples used in its calculation. The number of parameters varies from 5 to 15 depending on the monitoring station, and between 9 and 60 samples can be used for a given parameter. In general, the higher the number of

²⁰ Shaarawi, AM (2011) Environmental Indicators: Their development and Application. Environment Canada.

parameters or samples used to calculate the index, the lower the WQI score, because there will be a greater chance of the guideline being exceeded.²¹

The change-through-time analysis includes 101 stations, but does not have the same representativeness of Canadian surface water quality as the national indicator, because many core stations began sampling after 2003.

6 References and further reading

6.1 References

British Columbia Ministry of Environment (1997) Methods for deriving station-specific water quality objectives in British Columbia and Yukon. Retrieved on 3 August, 2011. Available from: http://www.env.gov.bc.ca/wat/wq/BCguidelines/effects_ratio/effectsratio.html

[CCME] Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment (undated) Canadian Water Quality Guidelines for the Protection of Aquatic Life. Retrieved on 12 May, 2011. For a complete list of guidelines, consult the Factsheets section. Available from: <http://cegg-rcqe.ccme.ca/>

[CCME] Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment (2001) CCME Water Quality Index 1.0 User's Manual. Retrieved on 13 May, 2011. Available from: http://www.ccme.ca/assets/pdf/wqi_usermanualfctst_e.pdf

[CCME] Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment (2001) CCME Water Quality Index 1.0 Technical Report. Retrieved on 13 May, 2011. Available from: http://www.ccme.ca/assets/pdf/wqi_techrptfctst_e.pdf

[CCME] Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment (2003) Guidance on the Station-Specific Application of Water Quality Guidelines in Canada: Procedures for Deriving Numerical Water Quality Objectives. Retrieved on 12 May, 2011. Available from: <http://www.google.ca/url?q=http://cegg-rcqe.ccme.ca/download/en/221/&sa=U&ei=wHCCUfrtH4bj4APRkoFI&ved=0CBoQFjAA&usg=AFQjCNFU79gcoY19MyL7mtMkE0xxh2eNXQ>

[CCME] Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment (2006) A sensitivity analysis of the Canadian Water Quality Index. Retrieved on 12 May, 2011. Available from: http://www.ccme.ca/publications/list_publications.html#link8

[CCME] Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment (2009) Reducing the Sensitivity of the Water Quality Index to Episodic Events. Retrieved on 6 June, 2011. Available from: http://www.ccme.ca/publications/list_publications.html#link8

EI Shaarawi, AM (2011) Environmental Indicators: Their development and application. Environment Canada.

Government of Canada (2008) Technical guidance document for Water Quality Indicator practitioners reporting under the Canadian Environmental Sustainability Indicators (CESI) initiative 2008, p.15-16. Retrieved on 13 May, 2011. Available from: <http://www.ec.gc.ca/Publications/default.asp?lang=En&xml=FCE44CD8-A2C6-4659-8CC8-EFEAD92EF1F0>

²¹ Statistics Canada (2007) Behaviour Study on the Water Quality Index of the Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment. Retrieved on 16 May, 2011. Available from: <http://www.statcan.gc.ca/pub/16-001-m/16-001-m2007003-eng.htm>

Government of Canada (2008) Technical guidance document for Water Quality Indicator practitioners reporting under the Canadian Environmental Sustainability Indicators (CESI) initiative 2008, p.18. Retrieved on 13 May, 2011. Available from: <http://www.ec.gc.ca/Publications/default.asp?lang=En&xml=FCE44CD8-A2C6-4659-8CC8-EFEAD92EF1F0>

Henry, M et al. (2009) Canadian Environmental Sustainability Indicators: Water Quality Index Representivity Report, Statistics Canada. Retrieved on 10 May, 2011. Available from: http://www.geobase.ca/doc/specs/pdf/GeoBase_NHN_UseCase_StatCan.pdf

Natural Resources Canada (2005) Multi-Temporal Land Cover Maps of Canada using NOAA AVHRR 1-km data from 1985-2005, 1st edition, Canada Centre for Remote Sensing. Retrieved on 10 May, 2011. Available from: ftp://ftp.ccrs.nrcan.gc.ca/ad/NLCCLandCover/LandcoverCanada1985_2005_1KM/LC85_05_Metadata.html

Natural Resources Canada (2007) National Hydro Network, Canada. Retrieved on 10 May, 2011. Available from: <http://www.geobase.ca/geobase/en/data/nhn/description.html>

Natural Resources Canada (2008) Land Cover Map of Canada 2005, Canada Centre for Remote Sensing. Retrieved on 10 May, 2011. Available from: ftp://ftp.ccrs.nrcan.gc.ca/ad/NLCCLandCover/LandcoverCanada2005_250m/

Statistics Canada (2007) Behaviour Study on the Water Quality Index of the Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment. Retrieved on 16 May, 2011. Available from: <http://www.statcan.gc.ca/pub/16-001-m/16-001-m2007003-eng.htm>

Statistics Canada (2009) Standard Drainage Area Classification (SDAC) 2003. Retrieved on 13 December, 2011. Available from: <http://www.statcan.gc.ca/subjects-sujets/standard-norme/sdac-ctad/sdacinfo2-ctadinfo2-eng.htm>

Annex 1: Monitoring programs providing data on ambient water quality

Province/territory	Monitoring program	Organization(s)
Alberta	Long-term River Network monitoring program	Alberta Environment
	Prairie Provinces Water Board	Environment Canada, Alberta Environment
British Columbia	Canada-British Columbia Water Quality Monitoring Agreement	British Columbia Ministry of Environment, Environment Canada
British Columbia and Yukon	Federal Water Quality Monitoring Program	Environment Canada, Parks Canada
Manitoba	Prairie Provinces Water Board, Canada-Manitoba Water Quality Monitoring Agreement	Environment Canada, Manitoba Water Stewardship
	International Red River Board, Federal Water Quality Monitoring Program	International Red River Board, including Environment Canada and Manitoba Water Stewardship
	Ambient water quality monitoring network	Manitoba Water Stewardship
New Brunswick	Canada-New Brunswick Water Quality Monitoring Agreement	Environment Canada, New Brunswick Department of Environment
	Long-range Transport of Atmospheric Pollutants Program	Environment Canada
	Surface water monitoring network, National Parks project	New Brunswick Department of Environment and local government
Newfoundland and Labrador	Canada-Newfoundland and Labrador Water Quality Monitoring Agreement	Environment Canada, Newfoundland and Labrador Department of Environment and Conservation
Nova Scotia	Long-range Transport of Atmospheric Pollutants Program	Environment Canada
	Pockwock-Bowater Watershed Study	Nova Scotia Environment
	Canadian Wildlife Service, park survey, Maritimes	Environment Canada
Ontario	Provincial Water Quality Monitoring Network	Ontario Ministry of the Environment
Prince Edward Island	Canada-Prince Edward Island Water Quality Agreement	Environment Canada, Prince Edward Island Department of Environment, Energy and Forestry
Quebec	Réseau-Rivières	Ministère du Développement durable, de l'Environnement et des Parcs du Québec
	The State of the St. Lawrence Monitoring Program	Environment Canada
Saskatchewan	Prairie Provinces Water Board	Environment Canada, Saskatchewan Ministry of Environment
	Souris River Bilateral Agreement, Federal Water Quality Monitoring Program	International Souris River Board, including Environment Canada and Manitoba Water Stewardship
	Saskatchewan Ministry of Environment	Saskatchewan Ministry of

Province/territory	Monitoring program	Organization(s)
	Surface Water Quality Monitoring Program	Environment
Northwest Territories and Nunavut	Northwest Territories-Nunavut extensive water quality monitoring network; Northern Energy MC Aquatic Quality Program—Northwest Territories portion of Mackenzie River Basin; Alberta-Northwest Territories transboundary rivers water quality monitoring program; Environment Canada-Parks Canada Northern bioregion national parks programs (seven national parks in Northwest Territories-Nunavut-northern Yukon: Nahanni, Tuktut Nogait, Aulavik, Ivvavik, Quttinirpaaq, Auyuittuq, Ukkusiksalik); Environment Canada-Fisheries and Oceans Canada Lower Hornaday River water quality monitoring program; Indian and Northern Affairs Canada water quality programs in Northwest Territories basins with Northern Development (Coppermine, Yellowknife, Lockhart, Slave, Hay, Liard, Peel, Snare, Burnside River basins)	Environment Canada, Indian and Northern Affairs Canada, Parks Canada, Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Alberta Environment, Government of Northwest Territories (Environment and Natural Resources), Government of Nunavut (Department of Environment)
Yukon	Canada-Yukon Water Quality Monitoring Network	Yukon Environment, Environment Canada

Annex 2: Water quality guidelines used in each jurisdiction

Alberta

Parameter	Form	Guideline	Source
2,4-D ¹	total	4 µg/L	a
Aluminium ¹	dissolved	0.005 mg/L for pH < 6.5 0.1 mg/L for pH ≥ 6.5	b
Ammonia	un-ionized	19 µg/L	b
Arsenic	total	5 µg/L	a
Cadmium ¹	total	$e^{1.0166 \ln[\text{hardness}] - 3.924}$ µg/L where hardness as mg [CaCO ₃]/L	c
Chloride ²	dissolved	120 mg/L	a
Copper ¹	total	7 µg/L	d
Copper ²	total	2 µg/L for hardness < 90 mg [CaCO ₃]/L $e^{0.8545 \ln[\text{hardness}] - 1.465}$ * 0.2 µg/L for hardness > 90 mg [CaCO ₃]/L where hardness as mg [CaCO ₃]/L	b
Lead	total	$< e^{1.273 \ln[\text{hardness}] - 4.705}$ µg/L where hardness as mg [CaCO ₃]/L	d
MCPA ¹		2.6 µg/L	a
Mercury ¹	total inorganic	0.026 µg/L	a
Nickel ²	total	$e^{0.76 \ln[\text{hardness}] + 1.06}$ µg/L where hardness as mg [CaCO ₃]/L	b
Nitrogen	total	1 mg N/L	d
Oxygen	dissolved	6.5 mg/L	a d
pH ²		between 6.5 and 9	a
Phosphorus	total	0.05 mg/L	d e
Selenium ¹	total	2 µg/L	b
Zinc	total	7.5 µg/L for hardness ≤ 90 mg [CaCO ₃]/L 7.5 + 0.75*(hardness-90) for hardness > 90mg [CaCO ₃]/L	b

¹ Applies to stations monitored under provincial monitoring programs.

² Applies to stations monitored under federal monitoring programs, including the Prairie Provinces Water Board.

Alberta Water Quality Guideline Sources:

- a [CCME] Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment (2007) Canadian Water Quality Guidelines for the Protection of Aquatic Life: Summary table, Update 7.1. Retrieved on 21 September, 2011. Available from:
http://www.ccme.ca/publications/ceqg_rcqe.html?category_id=124
- b Government of Canada (2008) Technical guidance document for water quality index practitioners reporting under the Canadian Environmental Sustainability Indicators (CESI) initiative. Environment Canada and Statistics Canada. Retrieved on 13 May, 2011. Available

from: <http://greenlanedev2.ncr.ec.gc.ca/Publications/default.asp?lang=En&xml=FCE44CD8-A2C6-4659-8CC8-EFEAD92EF1F0>

- c United States Environmental Protection Agency (2001) 2001 Update of Ambient Water Quality Criteria for Cadmium. Document EPA 822-R-01-001. Retrieved on 3 August, 2011. Available from:
<http://water.epa.gov/scitech/swguidance/standards/criteria/aqlife/pollutants/cadmium/cadfacts.cfm>
- d Alberta Environment (1999) Surface water quality guidelines for use in Alberta. Retrieved on September 21, 2011. Available from: <http://environment.alberta.ca/01323.html>
- e Prairie Provinces Water Board (1992) Master Agreement on Apportionment. Schedule E: Agreement on Water Quality. Retrieved on 3 August, 2011. Available from:
<http://www.ppwb.ca/information/115/index.html>

British Columbia

Parameter	Form	Guideline	Source
Alkalinity		20 mg [CaCO ₃]/L	a
Arsenic	total	5 µg/L	b
Cadmium	total	$10^{(86 \log_{10}[\text{hardness}] - 3.2)} \mu\text{g/L}$ for hardness > 50 mg [CaCO ₃]/L 0.019 µg/L for hardness < 50 mg [CaCO ₃]/L SSG ¹ (certain stations)	b c
Chloride	dissolved, total	120 mg/L	b
Chromium	total	SSG ¹	a c e f g j i l m
Copper	total	2 µg/L for hardness < 90 mg [CaCO ₃]/L $0.2e^{0.8545 \ln[\text{hardness}] - 1.465}$ for hardness > 90 mg [CaCO ₃]/L where hardness as mg [CaCO ₃]/L SSG ¹	d e j l m n
Cyanide	weak acid dissociable	5 µg/L	b
Fluoride	total	0.3 mg/L (BC08NM001), 0.35 mg/L (BC08NN0021)	o
Iron	total	0.3 mg/L	d
Lead	total	1 µg/L when hardness < 50 mg [CaCO ₃]/L, $e^{1.273 \ln[\text{hardness}] - 4.705} \mu\text{g/L}$ when hardness < 50 mg [CaCO ₃]/L SSG ¹	d p
Manganese	dissolved, total	SSG ¹	k q
Molybdenum	total	73 µg/L	b r
Nickel	total	$e^{0.76 \ln[\text{hardness}] + 1.06} \mu\text{g/L}$	d
Nitrate	total dissolved	2.93 mg N/L	d
Nitrite	total	0.02 mg N/L	s
Nitrogen	total, total dissolved	0.7 mg/L	s
Oxygen	dissolved	SSG ¹	b t u
pH		SSG ¹	b

			c m t
Phosphorus	total and total dissolved	0.025 mg/L	d v
Selenium	dissolved, total	2 µg/L	d w
Silver	total	0.05 µg/L for hardness < 100 mg [CaCO ₃]/L 1.9 µg/L for hardness > 100 mg [CaCO ₃]/L where hardness as mg [CaCO ₃]/L SSG ¹	d x
Sulphate	dissolved	50 mg/L	y
Temperature		SSG ¹	z
Thallium	total	0.8 µg/L	b
Uranium	total	10 µg/L	a
Zinc	total	7.5 µg/L	c e j k aa

¹SSG denotes that different station-specific guidelines or formulas were used at stations. For details on the derivation of station-specific guidelines, consult BCMOE (1997).

British Columbia Water Quality Guideline Sources:

- a British Columbia Ministry of Environment (2006) A compendium of working water quality guidelines for British Columbia. Retrieved on 3 August, 2011. Available from: <http://www.env.gov.bc.ca/wat/wq/BCguidelines/working.html>
- b [CCME] Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment (2007) Canadian Water Quality Guidelines for the Protection of Aquatic Life: Summary table, Update 7.1. Retrieved on 21 September, 2011. Available from: http://www.ccme.ca/publications/ceqg_rcqe.html?category_id=124
- c Butcher, GA (1992) Lower Columbia River, Hugh Keeleyside dam to Birchbank water quality assessment and objectives: Technical appendix. British Columbia Ministry of the Environment, Lands and Parks. Retrieved on 22 September, 2011. Available from: <http://www.env.gov.bc.ca/wat/wq/objectives/lowcolum/Keenleysidetech.pdf>
- d Government of Canada (2008) Technical guidance document for water quality index practitioners reporting under the Canadian Environmental Sustainability Indicators (CESI) initiative. Environment Canada and Statistics Canada. Retrieved on 13 May, 2011. Available from: <http://www.ec.gc.ca/Publications/default.asp?lang=En&xml=FCE44CD8-A2C6-4659-8CC8-EFEAD92EF1F0>

- e British Columbia Ministry of Environment (2000) Ambient water quality assessment and objectives for the Lower Columbia River: Birchbank to the US border. Retrieved on 3 August, 2011. Available from:
<http://www.env.gov.bc.ca/wat/wq/objectives/lowcolum/lowercolumbia.html#table1>
- f Environment Canada (2005) Site-specific water quality guidelines for the Beaver River at Park Gate Highway 1 for the purpose of national reporting. Tri-Star Environmental Consulting.
- g Environment Canada (2005) Site-specific Water Quality Guidelines for the Kicking Horse River above Field, BC for the purpose of national reporting. Tri-Star Environmental Consulting.\
- h Environment Canada (2005) Site-specific Water Quality Guidelines for the Kootenay River at Kootenay Crossing for the purpose of national reporting. Tri-Star Environmental Consulting. Retrieved on 22 September, 2011. Available from:
<http://waterquality.ec.gc.ca/WaterQualityWeb/PDFDocs/BC08NF0001%20-%20kootenay%20at%20kootenay%20crossing.pdf>
- i Environment Canada (2005) Site-specific Water Quality Guidelines for the Liard River at Upper Crossing for the Purpose of National Reporting. Tri-Star Environmental Consulting. Retrieved on 22 September, 2011. Available from:
<http://waterquality.ec.gc.ca/WaterQualityWeb/PDFDocs/YT10AA0001%20-%20Liard%20at%20Upper%20Crossing.pdf>
- j Environment Canada (2005) Site-specific Water Quality Guidelines for the Skeena River at Usk for the purpose of national reporting. Tri-Star Environmental Consulting. Retrieved on 22 September, 2011. Available from:
<http://waterquality.ec.gc.ca/WaterQualityWeb/PDFDocs/BC08EF0001%20-%20skeena%20at%20usk.pdf>
- k Swain, LG (1990) Okanagan area, Similkameen River sub-basin water quality assessment and objectives. British Columbia Ministry of Environment. Retrieved on 22 September, 2011. Available from:
<http://www.env.gov.bc.ca/wat/wq/objectives/similkameen2/similkameen2.html#ccip>
- l British Columbia Ministry of Environment (1987) Water quality criteria for copper: Overview report. Retrieved on 3 August, 2011. Available from:
<http://www.env.gov.bc.ca/wat/wq/BCguidelines/copper/copper.html>
- m British Columbia Ministry of Water, Land and Air Protection (1997) Water quality assessment and objectives for the Fraser River from Moose Lake to Hope. Retrieved on 22 September, 2011. Available from:
<http://www.env.gov.bc.ca/wat/wq/objectives/frasermoose/Moosehopetech.pdf>
- n British Columbia Ministry of Water, Land and Air Protection (2004) Water quality assessment and objectives for the Elk River for the purpose of national reporting.
- o Warrington, PD (1995) Ambient water quality criteria for fluoride. British Columbia Ministry of Environment. Retrieved on 22 September, 2011. Available from:
<http://www.env.gov.bc.ca/wat/wq/BCguidelines/fluoride/index.html>
- p British Columbia Ministry of Environment (1987) Water quality criteria for lead: overview report. Retrieved on 29 September, 2011. Available from:
<http://www.env.gov.bc.ca/wat/wq/BCguidelines/lead/lead.html>

- q Nagpal, NK (2001) Ambient water quality guidelines for manganese: Overview report. British Columbia Ministry of Environment. Retrieved on 22 September, 2011. Available from: <http://www.env.gov.bc.ca/wat/wq/BCguidelines/manganese/manganese.html>
- r Environment Canada (2005) Site-specific Water Quality Guidelines for the Sumas River at the international boundary for the purpose of national reporting. Tri-Star Environmental Consulting. Retrieved on 22 September, 2011. Available from: <http://waterquality.ec.gc.ca/WaterQualityWeb/PDFDocs/BC08MH0027%20-%20sumas.pdf>
- s Nordin, RN and Pommen, LW (2001) Water quality criteria for nitrogen (nitrate, nitrite, and ammonia): Overview report. British Columbia Ministry of Environment and Parks. Retrieved on 27 September, 2011. Available from: <http://www.env.gov.bc.ca/wat/wq/BCguidelines/nitrogen/nitrogen.html>
- t British Columbia Ministry of Water, Land and Air Protection (1998) Water quality assessment and recommended objectives for the Salmon River. MacDonald Environmental Sciences Ltd. Retrieved on 22 September, 2011. Available from: <http://www.env.gov.bc.ca/wat/wq/objectives/salmon/salmon.html>
- u Swain, LG (1987) Takla-Nechako areas, Nechako River water quality assessment and objectives. British Columbia Ministry of Environment and Parks. Retrieved on 22 September, 2011. Available from: <http://www.env.gov.bc.ca/wat/wq/objectives/nechako/nechako.html>
- v Ontario Ministry of the Environment and Energy (1994) Water management policies, guidelines, provincial water quality objectives. Retrieved on 22 September, 2011. Available from: http://www.ene.gov.on.ca/stdprodconsume/groups/lr/@ene/@resources/documents/resource/std01_079681.pdf
- w Nagpal, NK (2001) Ambient water quality guidelines for selenium. British Columbia Ministry of Environment. Retrieved on 21 September, 2011. Available from: <http://www.env.gov.bc.ca/wat/wq/BCguidelines/selenium/selenium.html>
- x British Columbia Ministry of Environment (1996) Ambient water quality criteria for silver. Retrieved on 22 September, 2011. Available from: <http://www.env.gov.bc.ca/wat/wq/BCguidelines/silver/silver.html>
- y British Columbia Ministry of Environment (2000) Ambient water quality guidelines for sulphate: Overview report. Retrieved on 3 August, 2011. Available from: <http://www.env.gov.bc.ca/wat/wq/BCguidelines/sulphate/sulphate.html>
- z British Columbia Ministry of Environment (2001) Water quality guidelines for temperature: Overview report. Retrieved on 3 August, 2011. Available from: <http://www.env.gov.bc.ca/wat/wq/BCguidelines/temptech/temperature.html#tab1>
- aa British Columbia Ministry of Environment (1999) Ambient water quality guidelines for zinc: Overview report. Retrieved on 21 September, 2011. Available from: <http://www.env.gov.bc.ca/wat/wq/BCguidelines/zinc/zinc.html>

Manitoba

Parameter	Form	Guideline	Source
2,4-D		4 µg/L	a
Ammonia ¹	total as N	Calculation based on pH and temperature	b c
Ammonia ²	un-ionized	19 µg/L	d
Arsenic ¹	extractable, total	150 µg/L	e
Arsenic ²	total	5 µg/L	a
Cadmium ¹	extractable, total	$[e^{0.7409 \ln[\text{hardness}] - 4.719}] \times [1.101672 - \{\ln(\text{hardness})(0.041838)\}] \mu\text{g/L}$ where hardness as mg $[\text{CaCO}_3]/\text{L}$	f
Chloride ²	dissolved	120 mg/L	a
Copper ¹	extractable, total	$[e^{0.8545 \ln[\text{hardness}] - 1.702}] \times (0.96) \mu\text{g/L}$ where hardness as mg $[\text{CaCO}_3]/\text{L}$	b
Copper ²	total	2 µg/L for hardness < 90 mg $[\text{CaCO}_3]/\text{L}$ $[e^{0.8545 \ln[\text{hardness}] - 1.465}] \times (0.2) \mu\text{g/L}$ for hardness > 90 mg $[\text{CaCO}_3]/\text{L}$ where hardness as mg $[\text{CaCO}_3]/\text{L}$	d
Iron ¹	total	0.3 mg/L	d
Lead	extractable, total	$[e^{1.273 \ln[\text{hardness}] - 4.705}] \times [1.46203 - \{\ln(\text{hardness})(0.145712)\}] \mu\text{g/L}$ where hardness as mg $[\text{CaCO}_3]/\text{L}$	d
MCPA		2.6 µg/L	a
Nickel ¹	extractable, total	$[e^{0.8460 \ln[\text{hardness}] + 0.0584}] \times [0.997] \mu\text{g/L}$ where hardness as mg $[\text{CaCO}_3]/\text{L}$	e
Nitrate ¹	total dissolved	2.9 mg N/L	d
Nickel ²	total	$< e^{0.76 \ln[\text{hardness}] + 1.06} \mu\text{g/L}$ where hardness as mg $[\text{CaCO}_3]/\text{L}$	d
Nitrogen ²	total	1 mg N/L	h
Oxygen ¹	dissolved	5 mg/L	e
Oxygen ²	dissolved	6.5 mg/L	a
pH		between 6.5 and 9	a
Phosphorus	total	0.05 mg/L	b g
Suspended sediments ¹	total	Maximum increase of 25 mg/L for high flow and turbid waters above background levels	d
Zinc ¹	total	$[e^{0.8473 \ln[\text{hardness}] + 0.884}] \times [0.986] \mu\text{g/L}$ where hardness as mg $[\text{CaCO}_3]/\text{L}$	b f
Zinc ²	total	7.5 µg/L for hardness ≤ 90 mg $[\text{CaCO}_3]/\text{L}$ $7.5 + 0.75 \times (\text{hardness} - 90)$ for hardness > 90 mg $[\text{CaCO}_3]/\text{L}$ where hardness as mg $[\text{CaCO}_3]/\text{L}$	d

¹ Applies to stations monitored under provincial monitoring programs.

² Applies to stations monitored under federal monitoring programs (Prairie Provinces Water Board).

Manitoba Water Quality Guideline Sources:

- a [CCME] Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment (2007) Canadian Water Quality Guidelines for the Protection of Aquatic Life: Summary table, Update 7.1. Retrieved on 21 September, 2011. Available from:
http://www.ccme.ca/publications/ceqg_rcqe.html?category_id=124
- b Manitoba Water Stewardship (2011) Manitoba water quality standards, objectives, and guidelines. Retrieved on 22 September, 2011. Available from:
http://www.gov.mb.ca/waterstewardship/water_quality/quality/pdf/mb_water_quality_standardconsultation_draft.pdf
- c United States Environmental Protection Agency (1999a) Update of Ambient Water Quality Criteria for Ammonia. Document EPA 822-R-99-014. Retrieved on 3 August, 2011. Available from:
http://water.epa.gov/scitech/swguidance/standards/criteria/aqlife/pollutants/ammonia/upload/2005_05_06_criteria_ammonia_99update.pdf
- d Government of Canada (2008) Technical guidance document for water quality index practitioners reporting under the Canadian Environmental Sustainability Indicators (CESI) initiative. Environment Canada and Statistics Canada. Retrieved on 13 May, 2011. Available from: <http://www.ec.gc.ca/Publications/default.asp?lang=En&xml=FCE44CD8-A2C6-4659-8CC8-EFEAD92EF1F0>
- e United States Environmental Protection Agency (2009) National Recommended Water Quality Criteria. Retrieved on 2 August, 2011. Available from:
<http://water.epa.gov/scitech/swguidance/standards/current/index.cfm>
- f United States Environmental Protection Agency (1999b) National recommended water quality criteria - correction. Document EPA 822-Z-99-001. Retrieved on 15 November, 2011. Available from:
http://water.epa.gov/scitech/swguidance/standards/upload/2008_03_11_criteria_wqctable_1999table.pdf
- g Prairie Provinces Water Board (1992) Master Agreement on Apportionment. Schedule E: Agreement on Water Quality. Retrieved on 3 August, 2011. Available from:
<http://www.ppwb.ca/information/115/index.html>

New Brunswick

Parameter	Form	Guideline	Source
Ammonia	un-ionized	19 µg/L	a
Arsenic	total	5 µg/L	b
Chloride	total	120 mg/L	b
Copper	total	2 µg/L for hardness < 90 mg [CaCO ₃]/L $e^{0.8545 \cdot \ln[\text{hardness}] - 1.465} \cdot 0.2 \text{ µg/L}$ for hardness > 90 mg [CaCO ₃]/L where hardness as mg [CaCO ₃]/L	a
Iron	total	0.3 mg/L	a
Nitrate	total	2.9 mg N/L	a
Oxygen	dissolved	6.5 mg/L	b
pH		between 6.5 and 9	b
Phosphorus	total	0.03 mg/L	a
Turbidity		10 NTU (SSG [†])	b
Zinc	total	7.5 µg/L for hardness ≤ 90 mg/L 7.5 + 0.75*(hardness-90) for hardness > 90 mg/L where hardness as mg [CaCO ₃]/L	a

[†] SSG denotes that different station-specific guidelines or formulas were used at stations. Specific station information is available upon request.

New Brunswick Water Quality Guideline Sources:

- a Government of Canada (2008) Technical guidance document for water quality index practitioners reporting under the Canadian Environmental Sustainability Indicators (CESI) initiative. Environment Canada and Statistics Canada. Retrieved on 13 May, 2011. Available from: <http://www.ec.gc.ca/Publications/default.asp?lang=En&xml=FCE44CD8-A2C6-4659-8CC8-EFEAD92EF1F0>
- b [CCME] Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment (2007) Canadian Water Quality Guidelines for the Protection of Aquatic Life: Summary table, Update 7.1. Retrieved on 21 September, 2011. Available from: http://www.ccme.ca/publications/ceqg_rcqe.html?category_id=124

Newfoundland and Labrador

Parameter	Form	Guideline	Source
Chloride	dissolved	120 mg/L	a
Copper	total	2 µg/L for hardness < 90 mg [CaCO ₃]/L $e^{0.8545 \ln[\text{hardness}] - 1.465 \cdot 0.2}$ for hardness > 90 mg/L where hardness as mg [CaCO ₃]/L	b
Iron	total	SSG ¹	b c
Lead	total	$e^{1.273 \ln[\text{hardness}] - 4.705}$ µg/L where hardness as mg [CaCO ₃]/L	b
Nickel	total	$e^{0.76 \ln[\text{hardness}] + 1.06}$ µg/L where hardness as mg [CaCO ₃]/L	b
Nitrate	total dissolved	3 mg N/L	b
Oxygen	dissolved	9.5 mg/L	a
pH		SSG ³	c a
Phosphorus	total	0.03 mg/L	b
Zinc	total	7.5 µg/L for hardness ≤ 90 mg/L 7.5 + 0.75*(hardness-90) for hardness > 90 mg/L where hardness as mg [CaCO ₃]/L	b

¹SSG denotes that different station-specific guidelines or formulas were used at stations. Specific station information is available upon request.

Newfoundland and Labrador Water Quality Guideline Sources:

- a [CCME] Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment (2007) Canadian Water Quality Guidelines for the Protection of Aquatic Life: Summary table, Update 7.1. Retrieved on 21 September, 2011. Available from:
http://www.ccme.ca/publications/ceqg_rcqe.html?category_id=124
- b Government of Canada (2008) Technical guidance document for water quality index practitioners reporting under the Canadian Environmental Sustainability Indicators (CESI) initiative. Environment Canada and Statistics Canada. Retrieved on 13 May, 2011. Available from:
<http://www.ec.gc.ca/Publications/default.asp?lang=En&xml=FCE44CD8-A2C6-4659-8CC8-EFEAD92EF1F0>
- c Khan, AA et al. (2005) Application of CCME procedures for deriving site-specific water quality guidelines for the CCME Water Quality Index. Water Quality Research Journal 40(4):448-456.

Northwest Territories

Parameter	Form	Guideline	Source
Ammonia	un-ionized, dissolved	Lentic-lotic stations: 19 µg/L Lotic stations: SSG ¹ (mean + 2 standard deviation)	a b
Arsenic	total	5 µg/L	c
Chloride	dissolved	Lentic-lotic stations: 120 mg/L Lotic stations: SSG ¹ (mean + 2 standard deviation)	c b
Copper	total	Lentic-lotic stations: 2 µg/L for hardness < 90 mg [CaCO ₃]/L $e^{0.8545 \ln[\text{hardness}] - 1.465} \cdot 0.2$ for hardness > 90 mg/L where hardness as mg [CaCO ₃]/L Lotic stations: SSG ¹ (mean + 2 standard deviation)	a b
Iron	total	Lentic-lotic stations: 0.3 mg/L Lotic stations: SSG ¹ (mean + 2 standard deviation)	a b
Lead	total	Lentic-lotic stations: $e^{1.2/3 \ln[\text{hardness}] - 4.705}$ µg/L where hardness as mg [CaCO ₃]/L Lotic stations: SSG ¹ (mean + 2 standard deviation)	a b
Nitrate and nitrite	total dissolved	Lentic-lotic stations: 2.93 mg N/L Lotic stations: SSG ¹ (mean + 2 standard deviation)	a b
Nitrite	dissolved	SSG ¹ (mean + 2 standard deviation)	
Oxygen	dissolved	5 mg/L	c
pH		Lentic-lotic stations: between 6.5 and 9 Lotic stations: SSG ¹ (mean + 2 standard deviation)	b c
Phosphorus	total	Lentic-lotic stations: 0.03 mg/L Lotic stations: SSG ¹ (mean + 2 standard deviation)	a c
Zinc	total	Lentic-lotic stations: 30 µg/L Lotic stations: SSG ¹ (mean + 2 standard deviation)	b c

¹SSG denotes that different station-specific guidelines or formulas were used at stations. Specific station information is available upon request.

Northwest Territories Water Quality Guideline Sources:

- a Government of Canada (2008) Technical guidance document for water quality index practitioners reporting under the Canadian Environmental Sustainability Indicators (CESI) initiative. Environment Canada and Statistics Canada. Retrieved on 13 May, 2011. Available from: <http://www.ec.gc.ca/Publications/default.asp?lang=En&xml=FCE44CD8-A2C6-4659-8CC8-EFEAD92EF1F0>
- b Lumb, A et al. (2006) Application of CCME Water Quality Index to monitor water quality: A case study of the Mackenzie River basin, Canada. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment 113:411-429.
- c [CCME] Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment (2007) Canadian Water Quality Guidelines for the Protection of Aquatic Life: Summary table, Update 7.1. Retrieved on 21 September, 2011. Available from: http://www.ccme.ca/publications/ceqg_rcqe.html?category_id=124

Nova Scotia

Parameter	Form	Guideline	Source
Chloride	total	120 mg/L	a
Copper	extractable	$2 \mu\text{g/L}$ for hardness < 90 mg $[\text{CaCO}_3]/\text{L}$ $e^{0.8545 \ln[\text{hardness}] - 1.465 \cdot 0.2}$ for hardness > 90 mg/L where hardness as mg $[\text{CaCO}_3]/\text{L}$	b
Iron	extractable	0.3 mg/L	b
Lead	extractable	$e^{1.273 \ln[\text{hardness}] - 4.705}$ $\mu\text{g/L}$ where hardness as mg $[\text{CaCO}_3]/\text{L}$	b
Nickel	total	$e^{0.76 \ln[\text{hardness}] + 1.06}$ $\mu\text{g/L}$ where hardness as mg $[\text{CaCO}_3]/\text{L}$	b
Nitrate	dissolved	3 mg N/L	b
Oxygen	dissolved	6.5 mg/L	a
pH		between 6.5 and 9	a
Phosphorus	total	0.02 mg/L	b
Zinc	extractable	$7.5 \mu\text{g/L}$ for hardness ≤ 90 mg/L $7.5 + 0.75 \cdot (\text{hardness} - 90)$ for hardness > 90 mg/L where hardness as mg $[\text{CaCO}_3]/\text{L}$	b

Nova Scotia Water Quality Guideline Sources:

- a [CCME] Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment (2007) Canadian Water Quality Guidelines for the Protection of Aquatic Life: Summary table, Update 7.1. Retrieved on 21 September, 2011. Available from:
http://www.ccme.ca/publications/ceqg_rcqe.html?category_id=124
- b Government of Canada (2008) Technical guidance document for water quality index practitioners reporting under the Canadian Environmental Sustainability Indicators (CESI) initiative. Environment Canada and Statistics Canada. Retrieved on 13 May, 2011. Available from:
<http://www.ec.gc.ca/Publications/default.asp?lang=En&xml=FCE44CD8-A2C6-4659-8CC8-EFEAD92EF1F0>

Ontario

Parameter	Form	Guideline	Source
Ammonia	un-ionized	19 µg/L	a b
Chloride	total	120 mg/L	a
Chromium	total	2 µg/L guideline for Cr(VI) adjusted to total chromium	a
Nickel	total	$e^{0.76 \ln[\text{hardness}] + 1.06} \mu\text{g/L}$ where hardness as mg [CaCO ₃]/L	b
Nitrate	total dissolved	2.93 mg N/L	b
Phosphorus	total	0.03 mg/L	b c
Zinc	total	7.5 µg/L for hardness ≤ 90 mg/L 7.5 + 0.75*(hardness-90) for hardness > 90 mg/L where hardness as mg [CaCO ₃]/L	b

Ontario Water Quality Guideline Sources:

- a [CCME] Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment (2007) Canadian Water Quality Guidelines for the Protection of Aquatic Life: Summary table, Update 7.1. Retrieved on 21 September, 2011. Available from:
http://www.ccme.ca/publications/ceqg_rcqe.html?category_id=124
- b Government of Canada (2008) Technical guidance document for water quality index practitioners reporting under the Canadian Environmental Sustainability Indicators (CESI) initiative. Environment Canada and Statistics Canada. Retrieved on 13 May, 2011. Available from:
<http://www.ec.gc.ca/Publications/default.asp?lang=En&xml=FCE44CD8-A2C6-4659-8CC8-EFEAD92EF1F0>
- c Ontario Ministry of the Environment and Energy (1994) Water management policies, guidelines, provincial water quality objectives. Retrieved on 22 September, 2011. Available from:
http://www.ene.gov.on.ca/stdprodconsume/groups/lr/@ene/@resources/documents/resource/std01_079681.pdf

Prince Edward Island

Parameter	Form	Guideline	Source
Nitrate	total dissolved	3 mg N/L	a
Oxygen	dissolved	6.5 mg/L	b
pH		between 6.5 and 9	b
Phosphorus	total	0.03 mg/L SSG ¹	a
Suspended sediments	total	29 mg/L (SSG ³)	b

¹ SSG denotes that different station-specific guidelines or formulas were used at stations. Specific station information is available upon request.

Prince Edward Island Water Quality Guideline Sources:

- a Government of Canada (2008) Technical guidance document for water quality index practitioners reporting under the Canadian Environmental Sustainability Indicators (CESI) initiative. Environment Canada and Statistics Canada. Retrieved on 13 May, 2011. Available from: <http://www.ec.gc.ca/Publications/default.asp?lang=En&xml=FCE44CD8-A2C6-4659-8CC8-EFEAD92EF1F0>
- b Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment (2007) Canadian Water Quality Guidelines for the Protection of Aquatic Life: Summary table, Update 7.1. Retrieved on 21 September, 2011. Available from: http://www.ccme.ca/publications/ceqg_rcqe.html?category_id=124

Quebec			
Parameter	Form	Guideline	Source
Ammonia	un-ionized	19 µg/L	c
Atrazine ¹		1.8 µg/L	c
Bentazone ¹		0.51 mg/L	a
Chlorophyll a		8 mg/L	d
Copper ¹	extractable	2 µg/L for hardness < 90 mg [CaCO ₃]/L $e^{0.8545 \ln[\text{hardness}] - 1.465 \cdot 0.2}$ for hardness > 90 mg/L where hardness as mg [CaCO ₃]/L	d
Dicamba ¹		10 µg/L	c
Metolachlor ¹	Metolachlor ²	7.8 µg/L	c
Nickel ¹	total	$e^{0.76[\ln(\text{hardness})] + 1.06}$ µg/L where hardness as mg [CaCO ₃]/L	d
Nitrate and nitrite	total dissolved	3 mg N/L	c d
pH		between 6.5 and 9	a c
Phosphorus	total	0.03 mg/L	a
Turbidity		10 NTU	d
Zinc ¹	total	7.5 µg/L for hardness ≤ 90 mg/L 7.5 + 0.75* (hardness-90) for hardness > 90 mg/L where hardness as mg [CaCO ₃]/L	d

¹ Only applies to stations monitored under federal monitoring programs.

Quebec Water Quality Guideline Sources:

- a Ministère du Développement durable, Environnement et Parcs (2009) Critères de qualité de l'eau de surface. Retrieved on 27 September, 2011. Available from:
http://www.mddep.gouv.qc.ca/eau/criteres_eau/index.asp
- b Nordin, RN and Pommen, LW (2001) Water quality criteria for nitrogen (nitrate, nitrite, and ammonia): Overview report. British Columbia Ministry of Environment and Parks. Retrieved on 27 September, 2011. Available from:
<http://www.env.gov.bc.ca/wat/wq/BCguidelines/nitrogen/nitrogen.html>
- c [CCME] Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment (2007) Canadian Water Quality Guidelines for the Protection of Aquatic Life: Summary table, Update 7.1. Retrieved on 21 September, 2011. Available from:
http://www.ccme.ca/publications/ceqg_rcqe.html?category_id=124
- d Government of Canada (2008) Technical guidance document for water quality index practitioners reporting under the Canadian Environmental Sustainability Indicators (CESI) initiative. Environment Canada and Statistics Canada. Retrieved on 13 May, 2011. Available from:
<http://www.ec.gc.ca/Publications/default.asp?lang=En&xml=FCE44CD8-A2C6-4659-8CC8-EFEAD92EF1F0>

Saskatchewan

Parameter	Form	Guideline	Source
2,4-D		4 µg/L	a
Ammonia	un-ionized	19 µg/L	b
Arsenic	total	5 µg/L	a
Chloride	dissolved	120 mg/L	a
Copper	total	2 µg/L for hardness < 90 mg [CaCO ₃]/L $e^{0.8545 \cdot \ln[\text{hardness}] - 1.465 \cdot 0.2}$ µg/L for hardness > 90 mg/L where hardness as mg [CaCO ₃]/L	b
Lead	total	$e^{1.273 \cdot \ln[\text{hardness}] - 4.705}$ µg/L where hardness as mg [CaCO ₃]/L	b
MCPA		2.6 µg/L	a
Nickel	total	$e^{0.76 \cdot \ln[\text{hardness}] + 1.06}$ µg/L where hardness as mg [CaCO ₃]/L	b
Nitrogen	total	1 mg N/L	c
Oxygen	dissolved	6.5 mg/L	a
pH		between 6.5 and 9	a
Phosphorus	total	0.05 mg/L	c d
Zinc	total	7.5 µg/L for hardness ≤ 90 mg/L 7.5 + 0.75*(hardness-90) for hardness > 90 mg/L where hardness as mg [CaCO ₃]/L	b

Saskatchewan Water Quality Guideline Sources:

- a [CCME] Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment (2007) Canadian Water Quality Guidelines for the Protection of Aquatic Life: Summary table, Update 7.1. Retrieved on 21 September, 2011. Available from: http://www.ccme.ca/publications/ceqg_rcqe.html?category_id=124
- b Government of Canada (2008) Technical guidance document for water quality index practitioners reporting under the Canadian Environmental Sustainability Indicators (CESI) initiative. Environment Canada and Statistics Canada. Retrieved on 13 May, 2011. Available from: <http://www.ec.gc.ca/Publications/default.asp?lang=En&xml=FCE44CD8-A2C6-4659-8CC8-EFEAD92EF1F0>
- c Alberta Environment (1999) Surface water quality guidelines for use in Alberta. Retrieved on 21 September, 2011. Available from: <http://environment.alberta.ca/01323.html>
- d Prairie Provinces Water Board (1992) Master Agreement on Apportionment. Schedule E: Agreement on Water Quality. Retrieved on 3 August, 2011. Available from: <http://www.ppwb.ca/information/115/index.html>

Yukon

Parameter	Form	Guideline	Source
Arsenic	total	5 µg/L	a
Chromium	total	SSG	b
Copper	total	2 µg/L for hardness < 90 mg [CaCO ₃]/L $0.2e^{0.8545 \cdot \ln[\text{hardness}] - 1.465}$ for hardness > 90 mg/L where hardness as mg [CaCO ₃]/L	c
Lead	total	1 µg/L for hardness < 50 mg [CaCO ₃]/L, $e^{1.273 \cdot \ln[\text{hardness}] - 4.705}$ µg/L for hardness > 50 mg [CaCO ₃]/L	c
Nitrate	total dissolved	2.93 mg N/L	c
Nitrite	total	0.02 mg N/L	d
Nitrogen	dissolved	0.7 mg N/L	c
Oxygen	dissolved	8 mg/L	e
pH		between 6.5 and 9	a
Phosphorus	total	0.025 mg/L	c
Selenium	total	2 µg/L	c
Silver	total	0.05 µg/L for hardness < 100 mg/L 1.9 µg/L for hardness > 100 mg/L where hardness as mg [CaCO ₃]/L	c
Temperature		SSG [†]	c
Zinc	total	7.5 µg/L for hardness ≤ 90 mg/L $7.5 + 0.75 \cdot (\text{hardness} - 90)$ for hardness > 90 mg/L where hardness as mg [CaCO ₃]/L	c

[†]SSG denotes that different station-specific guidelines or formulas were used at stations. Specific station information is available upon request.

Yukon Water Quality Guideline Sources:

- a [CCME] Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment (2007) Canadian Water Quality Guidelines for the Protection of Aquatic Life: Summary table, Update 7.1. Retrieved on 21 September, 2011. Available from:
http://www.ccme.ca/publications/ceqg_rcqe.html?category_id=124
- b Environment Canada (2005) Site-specific Water Quality Guidelines for the Liard River at Upper Crossing for the Purpose of National Reporting. Tri-Star Environmental Consulting. Retrieved on 22 September, 2011. Available from:
<http://waterquality.ec.gc.ca/WaterQualityWeb/PDFDocs/YT10AA0001%20-%20Liard%20at%20Upper%20Crossing.pdf>
- c Government of Canada (2008) Technical guidance document for water quality index practitioners reporting under the Canadian Environmental Sustainability Indicators (CESI) initiative. Environment Canada and Statistics Canada. Retrieved on 13 May, 2011. Available from: <http://www.ec.gc.ca/Publications/default.asp?lang=En&xml=FCE44CD8-A2C6-4659-8CC8-EFEAD92EF1F0>
- d Nordin, RN and Pommen, LW (2001) Water quality criteria for nitrogen (nitrate, nitrite, and ammonia): Overview report. British Columbia Ministry of Environment and Parks.

Retrieved on 27 September, 2011. Available from:
<http://www.env.gov.bc.ca/wat/wq/BCguidelines/nitrogen/nitrogen.html>

- e British Columbia Ministry of Environment (1997) Ambient Water Quality Criteria for Dissolved Oxygen. British Columbia Ministry of Environment, Water Management Branch. Victoria, BC.

Overview Report
http://www.env.gov.bc.ca/wat/wq/BCguidelines/do/do_over.html

Technical Appendix
<http://www.env.gov.bc.ca/wat/wq/BCguidelines/do/index.html>

www.ec.gc.ca

Additional information can be obtained at:
Environment Canada
Inquiry Centre
10 Wellington Street, 23rd Floor
Gatineau, QC K1A 0H3
Telephone: 1-800-668-6767 (in Canada only) or 819-997-2800
Fax: 819-994-1412
TTY: 819-994-0736
Email: Enviroinfo@ec.gc.ca