

# **Ammonium Distribution in the Labrador Sea and Adjacent Waters**

W.G. Harrison

Ocean and Ecosystem Sciences Division  
Maritimes Region  
Fisheries and Oceans Canada

Bedford Institute of Oceanography  
P.O. Box 1006  
Dartmouth, Nova Scotia  
Canada B2Y 4A2

2013

**Canadian Technical Report of  
Hydrography and Ocean Sciences 280**



Fisheries and Oceans  
Canada

Pêches et Océans  
Canada

**Canada**

## **Canadian Technical Report of Hydrography and Ocean Sciences**

Technical reports contain scientific and technical information of a type that represents a contribution to existing knowledge but which is not normally found in the primary literature. The subject matter is generally related to programs and interests of the Oceans and Science sectors of Fisheries and Oceans Canada.

Technical reports may be cited as full publications. The correct citation appears above the abstract of each report. Each report is abstracted in the data base *Aquatic Sciences and Fisheries Abstracts*.

Technical reports are produced regionally but are numbered nationally. Requests for individual reports will be filled by the issuing establishment listed on the front cover and title page.

Regional and headquarters establishments of Ocean Science and Surveys ceased publication of their various report series as of December 1981. A complete listing of these publications and the last number issued under each title are published in the *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, Volume 38: Index to Publications 1981. The current series began with Report Number 1 in January 1982.

## **Rapport technique canadien sur l'hydrographie et les sciences océaniques**

Les rapports techniques contiennent des renseignements scientifiques et techniques qui constituent une contribution aux connaissances actuelles mais que l'on ne trouve pas normalement dans les revues scientifiques. Le sujet est généralement rattaché aux programmes et intérêts des secteurs des Océans et des Sciences de Pêches et Océans Canada.

Les rapports techniques peuvent être cités comme des publications à part entière. Le titre exact figure au-dessus du résumé de chaque rapport. Les rapports techniques sont résumés dans la base de données *Résumés des sciences aquatiques et halieutiques*.

Les rapports techniques sont produits à l'échelon régional, mais numérotés à l'échelon national. Les demandes de rapports seront satisfaites par l'établissement auteur dont le nom figure sur la couverture et la page de titre.

Les établissements de l'ancien secteur des Sciences et Levés océaniques dans les régions et à l'administration centrale ont cessé de publier leurs diverses séries de rapports en décembre 1981. Vous trouverez dans l'index des publications du volume 38 du *Journal canadien des sciences halieutiques et aquatiques*, la liste de ces publications ainsi que le dernier numéro paru dans chaque catégorie. La nouvelle série a commencé avec la publication du rapport numéro 1 en janvier 1982.

**Canadian Technical Report of  
Hydrography and Ocean Sciences 280**

**2013**

**Ammonium Distribution in the Labrador Sea and Adjacent Waters**

**by**

**W.G. Harrison**

**Science Branch  
Maritimes Region  
Fisheries and Oceans Canada**

**Bedford Institute of Oceanography  
P. O. Box 1006  
Dartmouth, Nova Scotia  
Canada B2Y 4A2**

© Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada 2012  
Cat. No. Fs 97-18/279E ISSN 0711-6764

Correct Citation for this publication:

Harrison, W.G. 2013. Ammonium Distribution in the Labrador Sea and Adjacent Waters. Can. Tech. Rep. Hydrogr. Ocean. Sci. 280: v + 34 pp.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES.....	IV
LIST OF TABLES.....	V
ABSTRACT .....	VI
RÉSUMÉ.....	VI
1. INTRODUCTION .....	1
2. METHODS .....	1
<i>A. SAMPLING</i> .....	1
<i>B. ANALYTICAL</i> .....	2
3. RESULTS .....	2
<i>A. VERTICAL PROFILES</i> .....	2
<i>B. SPATIAL DISTRIBUTION</i> .....	3
<i>C. WATER MASS</i> .....	4
4. DISCUSSION.....	4
<i>A. VERTICAL STRUCTURE</i> .....	4
<i>B. TEMPORAL TRENDS</i> .....	6
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS .....	7
REFERENCES.....	8
TABLES .....	11
FIGURES .....	12

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Labrador Sea area ammonium ( $\text{NH}_4$ ) profile stations, 1999-2012. ....	12
Figure 2: Mission dates/duration, 1999-2012. ....	13
Figure 3: Percentage of stations exhibiting a subsurface $\text{NH}_4$ maximum. Line is least-squares linear fit. ....	13
Figure 4: Vertical profiles (upper 200 m) of temperature ( $^{\circ}\text{C}$ , red), chlorophyll fluorescence (rel units, green) and $\text{NH}_4$ ( $\mu\text{M}$ , blue), Labrador Shelf: no subsurface $\text{NH}_4$ maximum. ....	14
Figure 5: Vertical profiles (upper 200 m) of temperature ( $^{\circ}\text{C}$ , red), chlorophyll fluorescence (rel units, green) and $\text{NH}_4$ ( $\mu\text{M}$ , blue), Labrador Shelf: subsurface $\text{NH}_4$ maximum. ....	15
Figure 6: Vertical profiles (upper 200 m) of temperature ( $^{\circ}\text{C}$ , red), chlorophyll fluorescence (rel units, green) and $\text{NH}_4$ ( $\mu\text{M}$ , blue), Central Labrador Basin: no subsurface $\text{NH}_4$ maximum. ....	16
Figure 7: Vertical profiles (upper 200 m) of temperature ( $^{\circ}\text{C}$ , red), chlorophyll fluorescence (rel units, green) and $\text{NH}_4$ ( $\mu\text{M}$ , blue), Central Labrador Basin: subsurface $\text{NH}_4$ maximum. ....	17
Figure 8: Vertical profiles (upper 200 m) of temperature ( $^{\circ}\text{C}$ , red), chlorophyll fluorescence (rel units, green) and $\text{NH}_4$ ( $\mu\text{M}$ , blue), Central Labrador Basin: broad subsurface $\text{NH}_4$ maximum. ....	18
Figure 9: Vertical profiles (upper 200 m) of temperature ( $^{\circ}\text{C}$ , red), chlorophyll fluorescence (rel units, green) and $\text{NH}_4$ ( $\mu\text{M}$ , blue), Greenland Shelf: subsurface $\text{NH}_4$ maximum. ....	19
Figure 10: Depth of subsurface $\text{NH}_4$ maxima compared with chlorophyll <i>a</i> maxima and euphotic depth (1% light level), 1999-2004. ....	20
Figure 11: Full-depth vertical profiles of $\text{NH}_4$ , all stations along the L3 line, 2010-2012. 90 %tile concentrations (>200 m): 2010 = 0.16-0.37 $\mu\text{M}$ ; 2011 = 0.15-0.39 $\mu\text{M}$ ; 2012 = 0.23-0.45 $\mu\text{M}$ . ....	21
Figure 12: Vertical profiles (upper 200 m) of $\text{NH}_4$ (solid line) and $\text{NO}_2$ (dashed line) at selected stations, $\mu\text{M}$ . ....	22
Figure 13: Variability of the vertical structure (difference between surface and depth of $\text{NH}_4$ maximum) of (A) temperature, (B) density, (C) $\text{NH}_4$ and (D) depth of $\text{NH}_4$ maximum along the L3 Line, all years (1999-2012). Lines are least-squares linear (panels A, C, D) or 2 <sup>nd</sup> order polynomial (panel B) fits. ....	23
Figure 14: Regional variability in surface $\text{NH}_4$ concentration, by month: May June and July. Median concentrations by month: May = 0.30 $\mu\text{M}$ , June = 0.29 $\mu\text{M}$ , July = 0.26 $\mu\text{M}$ . ....	24
Figure 15: Regional variability in $\text{NH}_4$ concentration at the subsurface maximum, by month: May, June and July. Median concentrations by month: May = 0.63 $\mu\text{M}$ , June = 0.89 $\mu\text{M}$ , July = 1.12 $\mu\text{M}$ . ....	25
Figure 16: Regional variability in the difference in $\text{NH}_4$ concentrations between surface and subsurface maximum, by month: May, June and July. Median concentrations by month: May = 0.27 $\mu\text{M}$ , June = 0.62 $\mu\text{M}$ , July = 0.75 $\mu\text{M}$ . ....	26
Figure 17: Regional variability in the depth of the subsurface $\text{NH}_4$ maximum, by month: May, June and July. Median depth by month: May = 50 m, June = 50 m, July = 50 m. ....	27
Figure 18: $\text{NH}_4$ , as a % of total inorganic-N ( $\text{NO}_3 + \text{NO}_2 + \text{NH}_4$ ) inventories in the upper 100 m, by month. Median percentage by month: May = 5.9%, June = 6.0%, July = 8.0%. ....	28
Figure 19: $\text{NH}_4$ concentrations in the upper 500 m along the L3 Line: 2010-2012, $\mu\text{M}$ . ....	29
Figure 20: Temperature in the upper 500 m along the L3 Line, 2010- 2012. North Atlantic water (>4 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) highlighted. ....	30
Figure 21: T-S properties along the L3 Line, 1999-2012, colour-coded by region: Labrador Shelf/Slope (LSh, LSl), Central Labrador Basin (LB), Greenland Shelf/Slope (GSh, GSl). ....	31
Figure 22: Variability in subsurface $\text{NH}_4$ maxima ( $\mu\text{M}$ ) relative to T-S properties, 1999-2012. ....	32
Figure 23: Satellite ocean colour imagery (SeaWiFS climatology, 1999-2010) showing the time sequence of development of the spring phytoplankton bloom in the Labrador Sea area; the early (late April) bloom off the coast of Greenland and later (late June) bloom in the western Labrador Sea basin are prominent. White space = cloud cover or ice. ....	33
Figure 24: Increase in $\text{NH}_4$ concentration ( $\mu\text{M}$ ) at the subsurface maximum over time, spring to summer 1999-2004 (inset: temperature change at the subsurface maximum over time). Mission mean values where vertical/horizontal bars are $\pm$ 1 SD. Lines are least-squares linear fits. ....	34

**LIST OF TABLES**

Table 1: Labrador Sea missions, 1999-2012.....	11
--	----

## ABSTRACT

Harrison, W.G.. 2013. Ammonium Distribution in the Labrador Sea and Adjacent Waters. Can. Tech. Rep. Hydrogr. Ocean. Sci. 280: v + 34 pp.

Water samples from 133 stations, collected from nine missions (1999-2012) of the Labrador Sea Monitoring Program occupied between May and July, were analyzed for ammonium ( $\text{NH}_4$ ). Depth profiles showed shallow (median = 50 m) subsurface  $\text{NH}_4$  maxima (up to 4  $\mu\text{M}$ ) at 64% of the stations. The subsurface maxima were deeper than the chlorophyll *a* maxima (median = 20 m) and at or below the depths of the euphotic zone (median = 45 m). Subsurface  $\text{NH}_4$  maxima were seen in all regions and months but were most prevalent in the east, off the coast of Greenland, and in July. The more pronounced  $\text{NH}_4$  maxima in the eastern Labrador Sea were linked to early and large spring phytoplankton blooms and associated with the inflow of North Atlantic and Greenland Shelf waters. Elevated  $\text{NH}_4$  concentrations extending to the seafloor also suggested involvement of the benthos on the shallow Greenland Shelf. On average,  $\text{NH}_4$  represented a relatively small fraction of the inorganic-N inventory of the upper water column (median = 6-8%) but exceeded 30% at stations where subsurface maxima were large.  $\text{NH}_4$  concentrations in deeper Labrador Sea waters (200-3600 m) were low (median = 0.24-0.31  $\mu\text{M}$ ) yet variable with depth. The vertical structure, concentration and net accumulation rate of  $\text{NH}_4$  over time in the subsurface maximum in the Labrador Sea were consistent with results from other high latitude field studies and from ocean models for the north Atlantic and Pacific where  $\text{NH}_4$  dynamics in the upper ocean are governed largely by the balance of uptake (principally by phytoplankton) and regeneration by heterotrophs (zooplankton and bacteria).

## RÉSUMÉ

Harrison, W.G. 2013. Distribution de l'ammonium de la mer du Labrador et des mers adjacentes. Can. Tech. Rep. Hydrogr. Ocean. Sci. 280: v + 34 p.

Des échantillons d'eau provenant de 133 stations, recueillis dans le cadre de neuf missions (de 1999 à 2012) du Programme de monitoring de la mer du Labrador et effectués entre mai et juillet, ont été analysés pour déceler la présence d'ammonium ( $\text{NH}_4$ ). Les profils de profondeur ont indiqué des valeurs maximales de subsurface (jusqu'à 4  $\mu\text{M}$ ) de  $\text{NH}_4$  en eau peu profonde (médiane = 50 m) à 64 % des stations. Les valeurs maximales de subsurface étaient dans des eaux plus profondes que les valeurs maximales de la chlorophylle *a* (médiane = 20 m) et dans des eaux aussi profondes ou plus profondes que la zone euphotique (médiane = 45 m). Les valeurs maximales de subsurface de  $\text{NH}_4$  ont été observées dans toutes les régions et à tous les mois, mais elles étaient plus fréquentes à l'Est, au large du Groenland, et au mois de juillet. Les valeurs maximales de  $\text{NH}_4$  les plus prononcées à l'est de la mer du Labrador étaient liées au début de la prolifération printanière du phytoplancton et associées aux apports d'eau de l'Atlantique Nord et du plateau du Groenland. Des concentrations élevées de  $\text{NH}_4$  s'étendant au fond marin ont également indiqué une implication possible du benthos dans le plateau du



Groenland peu profond. En moyenne, le  $\text{NH}_4$  représentait une fraction relativement faible de l'inventaire d'azote inorganique de la partie supérieure de la colonne d'eau (médiane = 6 à 8 %) mais il était supérieur à 30 % aux stations où les valeurs maximales de subsurface étaient importantes. Les concentrations de  $\text{NH}_4$  dans les eaux plus profondes de la mer du Labrador (200 à 3 600 m) étaient faibles (médiane = 0,24 à 0,31  $\mu\text{M}$ ), mais variaient selon la profondeur. La structure verticale, la concentration et le taux d'accumulation nette du  $\text{NH}_4$  au fil du temps dans la valeur maximale de subsurface de la mer du Labrador étaient cohérents avec les résultats provenant d'autres études sur le terrain dans les eaux des hautes latitudes et des modèles océaniques de l'Atlantique Nord et du Pacifique où la dynamique du  $\text{NH}_4$  dans la couche supérieure de l'océan est régie par l'équilibre entre l'absorption (principalement par le phytoplancton) et la régénération par les hétérotrophes (zooplancton et bactéries).

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Ammonium is a highly reactive molecule in seawater and important component of the inorganic nitrogen pool of the surface ocean. It is an intermediary in the breakdown of organic matter by marine heterotrophs, has a short turnover time (hours to days) and plays a critical role in the nutrition of both phytoplankton and bacteria and as a structural component of biomass (protein) or as an energy source when oxidized to the more chemically stable nitrate. Typically, ammonium ( $\text{NH}_4$ ) concentrations are low (tenths of a  $\mu\text{M}$ ) to undetectable in near surface ocean waters. However, it is not uncommon to see elevated concentrations (up to several  $\mu\text{M}$ ) that peak at depths below the surface mixed layer (e.g. Saino et al. 1983). The vertical structure of  $\text{NH}_4$  is thought to be governed largely by biological processes and result from a balance between uptake, largely by autotrophs (phytoplankton), and regeneration by heterotrophs (zooplankton, microbes) also vertically structured in the water column (Jamart et al. 1977, 1979).

Although  $\text{NH}_4$  has not been designated a core nutrient variable in the suite of chemical measurements made by the 20-year Labrador Sea Monitoring Program (Greenan et al, 2010), intermittent measurements made since 1999 provide sufficient observations to evaluate some aspects of the spatial and temporal distribution of this important constituent of the ocean nitrogen cycle. Among the more interesting features discovered in the Labrador Sea analysis is the occurrence of a well-defined subsurface  $\text{NH}_4$  maximum layer, observed during almost all missions and geographic locations where vertical profiles of  $\text{NH}_4$  were made.

This report provides a preliminary description of the spatial distribution and temporal variability of ammonium in the Labrador Sea area. It is not a comprehensive analysis of the processes that govern regional  $\text{NH}_4$  dynamics but a comparison of observations with results from other studies and it opens some discussion on hypotheses that help explain ammonium distribution in oceanic waters and, more specifically, the formation of the subsurface ammonium maximum. Thus, this account should provide some of the background information useful for a more thorough analysis of  $\text{NH}_4$  in the region and its biogeochemical significance.

## 2. METHODS

### *a. Sampling*

Primary sampling was done along the “L3 Line”, also referred to as the “AR7/W” WOCE repeat hydrographic section (e.g. Yashayaev 2007). Discrete samples were collected at 30-31 nominal stations from the Labrador to Greenland coasts (Figure 1). Water samples were collected using a CTD-rosette system outfitted with 24 10L PVC sampling bottles. Water samples were collected from 11 depths (shallow stations <200 m) to 24 depths (deep stations to >3600 m depth) along the line. Additional stations along other lines or in transit to and from L3 were sampled in some years. The L3 line has been occupied at least once annually since 1994, however, ammonium measurements did not begin until 1999, ran for 6 years, and then began again in 2010 (Table 1). During the early missions (1999-2004) ammonium samples were generally collected in the upper 200 m (8-10 depths); there were a few full-depth profiles generated in the central Labrador Basin during those years. Starting in 2010, full-depth

ammonium profiles were generated for all stations along the L3 Line. Occupation of stations in the Labrador Sea area ranged from 6-10 days (avg = 8 d) and started as early as the second week in May to as late as the third week in July (Figure 2).

### ***b. Analytical***

Ammonium ( $\text{NH}_4$ ) was measured colourimetrically using manual methods (Solórzano 1969) on freshly collected samples during the early missions (1999-2004) and fluorometrically (Kerouel et al. 1997) by auto-analyzer during the latter missions (2010-2012). The methods give comparable results with replicate precision of 5-10% and detection limits, based on 3X std dev of blanks, of 0.05-0.10  $\mu\text{M}$  ( $= \text{mmol m}^{-3}$ ). Operationally, however, detection limits may be as much as 2-3X higher based on measurements in deep waters (See Results section). Nitrate ( $\text{NO}_3$ ) was measured on all missions using standard auto-analyzer methods (Technicon II). Nitrite ( $\text{NO}_2$ ) was measured separately only in 2011 and 2012. Chlorophyll *a* (CHL) was measured fluorometrically (Holm-Hansen et al. 1965). Vertical profiles of physical properties of the water column (e.g. temperature, salinity, irradiance) and fluorescence were measured continuously using an instrumented CTD-rosette system (Seabird SBE 25, LICOR, Chelsea Instruments). All data described in this report have been archived in BIO's Climate or BIOCHEM databases (<http://www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/science/data-donnees/index-eng.html>).

## **3. RESULTS**

$\text{NH}_4$  samples were collected at 133 stations over nine Labrador Sea missions from 1999-2012, 10 stations were in transit and 123 in the Labrador Sea area (Figure 1, Table 1). Thirty-nine (39) of the stations were on the broad Labrador Shelf/Slope, 68 in the central Labrador basin and 16 on the narrow Greenland shelf/slope. Of the 123 stations sampled in the Labrador Sea area, 79 stations (64%) exhibited subsurface  $\text{NH}_4$  maxima (Table 1). Stations exhibiting subsurface maxima varied by cruise and time of year of sampling with the percentage of subsurface maxima higher during the missions occurring later in the year (Figure 3).

### ***a. Vertical Profiles***

$\text{NH}_4$  profiles were grouped into six broad categories: Labrador shelf/slope stations where subsurface  $\text{NH}_4$  maxima were observed or absent, central Labrador basin stations where subsurface  $\text{NH}_4$  maxima were observed or absent, central Labrador basin stations where broad subsurface maxima were observed and Greenland shelf/slope stations where elevated subsurface  $\text{NH}_4$  concentrations extended to the sea floor.

Profiles with no discernible subsurface  $\text{NH}_4$  maxima were prevalent in the Labrador shelf/slope region for most of the years sampled (Figure 4). Typically, these stations were in fairly shallow waters well onto the shelf, with high surface chlorophyll *a* levels and a distinct cold intermediate layer (CIL) with coldest temperatures at ~ 50 m depth. Some shelf/slope stations, however, exhibited modest subsurface  $\text{NH}_4$  maxima (Figure 5); the largest maxima were observed during the summer missions particular in 2003 (see Figure 2).  $\text{NH}_4$  peaks were generally found below the chlorophyll *a* maximum and on the upper shoulder of the CIL. Of the 39 profiles measured on the Labrador coast, 15 (38%) exhibited subsurface  $\text{NH}_4$  maxima.

There were also stations in the central Labrador basin with no apparent subsurface  $\text{NH}_4$  maxima (Figure 6) but stations with subsurface maxima were more common and occurred more

frequently than on the coast (Figure 7). Relatively sharp (60-70 m thickness), subsurface peaks were typically large ( $>2 \mu\text{M}$ ), compared with surface concentrations ( $<0.5 \mu\text{M}$ ), located meters below the subsurface chlorophyll *a* maxima and at the base of the thermocline. Broader subsurface peaks (100-200 m thickness) were also observed in the central basin, most often seen on the eastern side (Figure 8). Of the 68 profiles measured in the central Labrador basin, 48 (71%) exhibited subsurface  $\text{NH}_4$  maxima.

Elevated subsurface  $\text{NH}_4$  concentrations were also seen along the narrow Greenland shelf/slope region, however, subsurface peaks were not seen but concentrations were uniformly high or increased to the sea floor (Figure 9).  $\text{NH}_4$  concentrations began to increase at the base of the chlorophyll *a* maximum and at the near-surface temperature minimum. There was no exception to this pattern and it was seen repeatedly during all missions, i.e. all 16 profiles (100%) measured during this study. As mentioned earlier, overall, 79 of the 123 profiles (64%) measured in this study exhibited elevated subsurface  $\text{NH}_4$  concentrations (Table 1).

Over all missions/stations, the depth of the subsurface  $\text{NH}_4$  maximum (median = 50 m) was significantly deeper than that of the chlorophyll *a* maximum (median = 20 m) and at or slightly deeper than the euphotic depth, 1% light level (median = 45 m) (Figure 10).

Full-depth  $\text{NH}_4$  profiles showed relatively uniform and low concentrations below  $>200 \text{ m}$  (Figure 11) although the range of values at any particular depth generally equaled or exceeded the mean. Median concentrations at depth were  $0.24 \mu\text{M}$  (90 %tile =  $0.16\text{-}0.37 \mu\text{M}$ ),  $0.24 \mu\text{M}$  (90 %tile =  $0.15\text{-}0.39 \mu\text{M}$ ) and  $0.31 \mu\text{M}$  (90 %tile =  $0.23\text{-}0.45 \mu\text{M}$ ) in 2010, 2011 and 2012, respectively. Two deep profiles were also generated during the earlier, 2001, mission (not shown); concentration were slightly lower than in 2010-2012; median concentration =  $0.09 \mu\text{M}$  (90 %tile =  $0.05\text{-}0.30 \mu\text{M}$ ). The high dispersion of data in these deep profiles make interpretation of the results difficult.

In 2011 and 2012,  $\text{NO}_2$  analyses were added. As in the case of  $\text{NH}_4$ , subsurface  $\text{NO}_2$  maxima in the upper water column were observed at a number of stations (Figure 12) but less frequently than  $\text{NH}_4$ , i.e. 27% of the profiles exhibited subsurface  $\text{NO}_2$  peaks, whereas 58% exhibited subsurface  $\text{NH}_4$  peaks. Subsurface  $\text{NO}_2$  peaks were also deeper than  $\text{NH}_4$  peaks: median depth was 87 m for  $\text{NO}_2$  compared to 52 m for  $\text{NH}_4$ , a difference of 35 m.  $\text{NO}_2$  concentrations were relatively uniform with depth below  $\sim 750 \text{ m}$ ; median levels were  $0.09 \mu\text{M}$  in 2011 and 2012 (90 %tile =  $0.08\text{-}0.12 \mu\text{M}$ ).

### ***b. Spatial Distribution***

In addition to recurring patterns in the vertical structure of  $\text{NH}_4$ , patterns were also seen in geographic distribution. For example, over all mission, the magnitude of the subsurface  $\text{NH}_4$  maximum tended to increase from west to east along the L3 line (Figure 13), similar to temperature differences between the surface and  $\text{NH}_4$  maximum. Depths of the subsurface maxima, on the other hand, showed no west-to-east trend. Surface  $\text{NH}_4$  concentrations were geographically variable and decreased slightly overall from May to July; monthly median values =  $0.30$ ,  $0.29$  and  $0.26 \mu\text{M}$  (Figure 14). Concentrations at the  $\text{NH}_4$  maximum were considerably higher than surface levels and increased overall from May to July; median concentrations =  $0.63$ ,  $0.89$  and  $1.12 \mu\text{M}$  (Figure 15). Subsurface concentrations were somewhat higher off the coast of Greenland in May and June but more geographically uniform in July. A similar geographic and temporal pattern was seen in the difference in  $\text{NH}_4$  concentrations between the surface and depth

of maximum (Figure 16). Overall, no geographic or temporal pattern was seen in the depth of the  $\text{NH}_4$  maximum, median depth = 50 m (Figure 17).

Inventories of  $\text{NH}_4$  (integrals in the upper 100 m) were compared with  $\text{NO}_3$  and  $\text{NO}_2$  inventories to determine if the occurrence of subsurface  $\text{NH}_4$  maxima resulted in a significant contribution to the inorganic-N pool in the upper water column. Median levels of  $\text{NH}_4$  during May missions was  $43 \text{ mmol m}^{-2}$  compared to  $707 \text{ mmol m}^{-2}$  and  $19 \text{ mmol m}^{-2}$  for  $\text{NO}_3$  and  $\text{NO}_2$ , respectively. In June, inventories were 52, 668 and  $15 \text{ mmol m}^{-2}$  for  $\text{NH}_4$ ,  $\text{NO}_3$  and  $\text{NO}_2$  and in July  $\text{NH}_4$  levels were  $63 \text{ mmol m}^{-2}$  and  $\text{NO}_3$  were  $737 \text{ mmol m}^{-2}$ ;  $\text{NO}_2$  was not measured in July. On average,  $\text{NH}_4$  represented only 6-8% of the total inorganic-N in the upper water column, although at some stations, the percentage was as high as 36%; highest percentages were seen in the eastern Labrador Sea in May and June but were more widespread in July (Figure 18).

### **c. Water Mass**

Sparse sampling during the early missions (1999-2004) provided only a coarse representation of the spatial distribution of  $\text{NH}_4$  in the Labrador Sea. However, more intense sampling (all depths/stations along the L3 line), starting with the 2010 mission, provided the data density required to construct a much more detailed picture of the upper water column distribution of  $\text{NH}_4$  and its relationship to regional water masses. As discussed earlier,  $\text{NH}_4$  concentrations below 200 m were low ( $<0.5 \mu\text{M}$ ), relatively uniform with depth and similar between years (Figure 11). In shallower waters, higher  $\text{NH}_4$  concentrations ( $>0.5 \mu\text{M}$ ) were typical and largely confined to the eastern basin of the Labrador Sea based on vertical sections of the L3 Line for all of the 2010-2012 missions (Figure 19). These distributional patterns suggest that water masses entering the Labrador Sea and offshore flow from the Greenland Shelf might be contributing to the higher concentrations in the eastern sector. Indeed, section plots of temperature show coherence between the distribution of  $\text{NH}_4$  and the interaction between the warm/salty North Atlantic water ( $>4^\circ\text{C}$ , I. Yashayaev, pers comm) and cold/fresh Greenland Shelf waters (Figure 20), transported by the Irminger and West Greenland Currents, respectively (Yashayaev 2007). T-S property plots (Figures 21, 22) also show that the high  $\text{NH}_4$  concentrations were most frequently associated with the eastern Labrador Basin and Greenland shelf/slope regions that are influenced by the water masses described above.

## **4. DISCUSSION**

### **a. Vertical Structure**

Saino et al. (1983) were among the first to describe subsurface  $\text{NH}_4$  maxima as a common feature of the upper water column in the northern North Pacific and Bering Sea in summer. They described two types of distributions, one in which the subsurface maxima were associated with distinct water mass features and one with maxima in bottom waters associated with the seabed. The maxima in the water column were commonly found at 30-50 m in waters  $>100 \text{ m}$  depth and associated with a sharp pycnocline near the bottom of the euphotic zone and below the subsurface chlorophyll *a* maximum. Coincident measurements of microbial activity indicators led to the speculation that the  $\text{NH}_4$  maxima were of autochthonous origin and likely the product of zooplankton grazing/excretion and/or bacterial decomposition. Similar vertical  $\text{NH}_4$  profiles have been observed in other northern sub-polar and polar waters (Owrid et al. 2000,

Woodward and Rees 2001, Martin et al. 2010) and in the southern ocean (Gordon et al. 2000, Bode 2002). Some studies have linked the offshore subsurface  $\text{NH}_4$  maxima to water mass advection from shallow coastal waters (Eppley et al. 1979, Mordy et al. 2008) but most have concluded that the feature is a product of seasonal *in situ* biological decomposition following the spring phytoplankton growth event. In general, all of these studies have noted that the subsurface  $\text{NH}_4$  maxima is found below the chlorophyll *a* maximum, near or below the bottom of the euphotic zone and associated either with maxima in activity or distribution of heterotrophs (bacteria or zooplankton grazers) or with  $\text{NH}_4$  production at/near the seafloor by benthic regeneration. Detailed observations of bacterial abundance in the Labrador Sea have not revealed subsurface maxima but have shown a more or less logarithmic decrease in cell counts with depth (WKW Li, pers comm). Although data on the fine-scale vertical distribution of zooplankton are not available for the Labrador Sea, measurements made further north (Herman 1983, Longhurst et al. 1984), under similar conditions in summer, indicated that secondary mesozooplankton maxima, below the chlorophyll *a* maxima, were commonly observed. Moreover, generally greater abundance of zooplankton in the eastern Labrador Sea than in the central basin or Labrador Shelf (Head et al. 2000, 2003) is also consistent with the prevalence and higher concentrations in the subsurface  $\text{NH}_4$  maxima of the eastern Labrador Sea basin and Greenland Shelf.

Indeed, early 1-D and 2-D ecosystem models (e.g. Jamart et al. 1977, 1979) argued that a subsurface  $\text{NH}_4$  maximum will develop below the chlorophyll *a* maximum where regenerative processes exceed uptake. More complex, coupled 3-D physical-biological modeling studies have shown that a subsurface  $\text{NH}_4$  maximum develops following the decline of the spring bloom in the North Atlantic, 46-51 N (McGillicuddy et al. 1995a, b). Output from this model compared favourably with field data and showed subsurface  $\text{NH}_4$  maxima developing during and peaking after the spring phytoplankton bloom; at ~45 m and below the chlorophyll *a* maximum (~25 m). Heterotrophs (microzooplankton) accounted for the development of the subsurface  $\text{NH}_4$  maximum in this study. These results are fully consistent with the studies described above and the observations we made in the Labrador Sea.

Subsurface  $\text{NO}_2$  maxima, also referred to as the primary nitrite maximum (PNM), were also observed in the Labrador Sea but less frequently than subsurface  $\text{NH}_4$  maxima. Moreover, the PNM occurred deeper (by ~35 m) than the  $\text{NH}_4$  maxima and considerably deeper (by >65 m) than the chlorophyll *a* maximum. It is generally accepted that the PMN is generated from incomplete reduction of  $\text{NO}_3$ , and subsequent excretion, by phytoplankton under low light conditions (i.e. at or near the base of the euphotic zone) or generated by the oxidation of  $\text{NH}_4$  by microbial nitrifiers below the euphotic zone, or a combination of those processes (Lomas and Lipschultz 2006). The vertical structure of the chlorophyll *a* maximum,  $\text{NH}_4$  maximum and PNM and temporal patterns in peak formation are considered to be a strong indication of the processes that mediate the formation of the PNM (Meeder et al. 2012, see also Mordy et al. 2010). Close proximity of the PMN and the chlorophyll *a* maximum suggests that phytoplankton excretion is the primary driver; this is most commonly observed during or immediately after the spring phytoplankton bloom. Alternatively, large vertical displacement of the corresponding peaks, often seen well after the phytoplankton growth season, suggests that nitrification dominates. Since the PNM in the Labrador Sea is consistently much deeper than the chlorophyll *a* maximum, and below the  $\text{NH}_4$  maximum, it must be concluded that microbial nitrification is the most likely underlying process accounting for the feature, despite the fact that sampling in 2011 and 2012 were in spring and early in the phytoplankton growth cycle.

## ***b. Temporal Trends***

Long term (interannual to decadal) trends in key chemical (inorganic nutrients) and biological (phytoplankton and bacteria) properties of the Labrador Sea have been established from 20 years of observations in the region (Greenan et al. 2012). Ammonium, because of its biological reactivity, is more ephemeral in nature and major variability in residual concentrations is seen on the seasonal time scale. For that reason, it is hard to establish any long term trends in  $\text{NH}_4$  from these observations.

On the shorter time scale, however, and following from the observational and modeling studies that have established a link between the growth cycle of phytoplankton and the development of a subsurface  $\text{NH}_4$  maximum, the prevalence of large subsurface  $\text{NH}_4$  maxima, especially in the eastern Labrador Sea may be tied to the timing and magnitude of annual phytoplankton bloom in that region. One of the most conspicuous features of the phytoplankton growth cycle in the Labrador Sea is the early and intense spring bloom in the shelf/slope waters off the Greenland coast (Figure 23; see also Head et al. 2000, Wu et al. 2008, Frajka-Williams and Rhines 2010, Harrison et al. 2013). During the years of the present study, the spring bloom off the Greenland coast peaked as early as late April (YD = 118) and mid-May (YD = 139) on the average. This compared to the average peak of the spring bloom occurring in early June (YD = 154) off the Labrador coast and mid to late June (YD = 172) in the central Labrador basin. In addition to an earlier bloom off the Greenland coast, its magnitude, at times  $>12 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$  chlorophyll *a* (CHL) and averaging  $4.77 \mu\text{g CHL L}^{-1}$ , was significantly higher than the blooms off the Labrador coast (avg peak =  $2.01 \mu\text{g CHL L}^{-1}$ ) and the central basin (avg peak =  $1.35 \mu\text{g CHL L}^{-1}$ ). Recent observational and modeling studies in the Labrador Sea have confirmed this phytoplankton growth pattern and explain the early bloom off the coast of Greenland by freshwater-driven (ice melt, enhanced regional precipitation) early onset of stratification, enhanced eddy activity and off-shelf advection (Wu et al. 2008, Frajka-Williams and Rhines 2010). It therefore follows that larger (and earlier) blooms in the eastern Labrador Sea can help explain the regionally larger and more prevalent subsurface  $\text{NH}_4$  maxima there. Off-shelf transport may also help explain the  $\text{NH}_4$  distribution patterns seen in vertical sections along the eastern side of the L3 line in 2010-2012 as discussed previously (see Figure 19).

Although the goal of the Labrador Sea monitoring program has been to execute the annual missions at approximately the same time of year to avoid seasonal bias, various factors have contributed to a less than desirable mission timing occurring from early May to late July (see Figure 2). From the standpoint of  $\text{NH}_4$  dynamics, however, this has provided, fortuitously, an opportunity to investigate the development of the subsurface  $\text{NH}_4$  maximum as the biological growth season progressed. The early missions (1999-2004), alone, spanned the major periods of: rapid phytoplankton growth, peak abundance and early decline. With this in mind, a plot of the median concentration of  $\text{NH}_4$  in the subsurface maximum by mission (and thus by day of the year) revealed a near linear increase in concentration with time (Figure 24; note the similar increase in temperature at the  $\text{NH}_4$  maximum depth). The “net” accumulation rate of  $\text{NH}_4$  was  $\sim 20 \text{ nM d}^{-1}$ . During those missions the biological uptake of  $\text{NH}_4$  was measured at selected depths within the upper water column (see Harrison et al. 2013) and ranged from  $\sim 20$ - $40 \text{ nM d}^{-1}$  at the depth of the subsurface  $\text{NH}_4$  maximum. This would mean that the absolute, or “gross”,  $\text{NH}_4$  production in the subsurface maximum would range from  $\sim 40$ - $60 \text{ nM d}^{-1}$ . E. Head (pers comm) has indicated that mesozooplankton could be contributing as much as  $10 \text{ nM d}^{-1}$  of that flux, the balance ( $\sim 75$ - $85\%$ ) presumably being microbial in origin. This net accumulation rate

was about half of the modeled rate in the subsurface maximum in the McGillicuddy et al (1995 a,b) study of the spring phytoplankton bloom in the NW Atlantic, i.e. they calculated a “net”  $\text{NH}_4$  accumulation rate of  $\sim 40\text{-}50 \text{ nM d}^{-1}$ . However, temperatures at the  $\text{NH}_4$  maximum depth (12-13 °C) were considerably higher in their study at 46-51 °N than in the Labrador Sea study at 53-61 °N (2-5 °C). The depth of the subsurface  $\text{NH}_4$  maximum ( $\sim 45 \text{ m}$ ) and its position relative to the chlorophyll *a* maximum ( $\sim 25 \text{ m}$ ) in their study, on the other hand, were remarkably similar to the structure observed in the Labrador Sea where the  $\text{NH}_4$  maximum was generally found at  $\sim 50 \text{ m}$  and the chlorophyll *a* maximum at  $\sim 20 \text{ m}$ .

This study has shown that the presence of a subsurface  $\text{NH}_4$  maximum is a common feature in the Labrador Sea, as in other high latitude oceans, and that its prominence is closely linked to the biological growth cycle in the region. Because  $\text{NH}_4$ , and the subsurface maximum in specific, generally represents only a small fraction ( $<10 \%$ ) of the inventory of inorganic-N reserves (see Figure 18), its biogeochemical significance may lie more in its role as an indicator of the competing assimilative and regenerative processes that govern the vertical biological structure of the upper ocean rather than its contribution to regional productivity.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The officers and crew of CCGS Hudson and scientific staff of the Ocean Sciences Division (OSD), Ecosystem Research Division (ERD) and, more recently, the Ocean and Ecosystem Sciences Division (OESD) provided invaluable support to the Labrador Sea Monitoring Program. Les Harris and Carol Anstey assisted with the collection and analysis of nutrient samples. Financial support for this work came from the Program of Energy Research and development (PERD), in the early years, and, more recently, from Fisheries and Oceans (DFO) regular operating funds for core programs. Bill Li and Phil Yeats provided helpful comments in the preparation of this report.



## REFERENCES

- Bode, A., C.G. Castro, M.D. Doval, M. Varela, 2002. New and regenerated production and ammonium regeneration in the western Bransfield Strait region (Antarctica) during phytoplankton bloom conditions in summer. *Deep-Sea Res. II* (49: 787-804.
- Eppley, R.W., E.H. Renger, W.G. Harrison, J.J. Cullen, 1979. Ammonium distribution in southern California coastal waters and its role in the growth of phytoplankton. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 24: 495-509.
- Frajka-Williams, E., P.B. Rhines, 2010. Physical controls and interannual variability of the Labrador Sea spring phytoplankton bloom in distinct regions. *Deep-Sea Res. I* 57: 541-552.
- Gordon, L.I., L.A. Codispoti, J.C. Jennings, F.J. Millero, J.M. Morrison, C. Sweeney, 2000. Seasonal evolution of hydrographic properties in the Ross Sea, Antarctica, 1996-1997. *Deep-Sea Res. II* 47: 3095-3117.
- Greenan, B., G. Harrison, I. Yashayaev, K. Azetsu-Scott, E. Head, W.K.W. Li, J. Loder, 2010. Physical, chemical and biological conditions in the Labrador Sea in 2009. *AZMP/PMZA Bulletin* 9: 11-19.
- Harrison, W.G., W.K.W. Li, 2008. Phytoplankton growth and regulation in the Labrador Sea: light and nutrient limitation. *J. Northw. Atl. Fish. Sci.* 39: 71-82.
- Harrison, W.G., K.Y. Borsheim, W.K.W. Li, G.L. Maillet, P. Pepin, E. Sakshaug, M.D. Skogen, P.A. Yeats, 2013. Phytoplankton production and growth regulation in the sub-arctic North Atlantic: a comparative study of the Labrador Sea-Labrador/Newfoundland shelves and the Barents/Norwegian/Greenland seas and shelves. *Prog. Oceanogr.* In press.
- Head, E.J.H., L.R. Harris, R.W. Campbell, 2000. Investigations on the ecology of *Calanus* spp. In the Labrador Sea. I. Relationship between the phytoplankton bloom and reproduction and development of *Calanus finmarchicus* in spring. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 193: 53-73.
- Head, E.J.H., L.R. Harris, I. Yashayaev, 2003. Distributions of *Calanus* spp. And other mesozooplankton in the Labrador Sea in relation to hydrography in spring and summer (1995-2000). *Prog. Oceanogr.* 59: 1-30.
- Herman, A.W., 1983. Vertical distribution patterns of copepods, chlorophyll, and production in northeastern Baffin Bay. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 28: 709-719.
- Holm-Hansen, O., C.J. Lorenzen, R.W. Holmes, J.D.H. Strickland, 1965. Fluorometric determination of chlorophyll. *J. Cons. Cons. Int. Expl. Mer.* 30: 3-15.
- Jamart, B.M., D.F. Winter, K. Banse, G.C. Anderson, R.K. Lam, 1977. A theoretical study of phytoplankton and nutrient distribution in the Pacific Ocean off the northwestern U.S. coast. *Deep-Sea Res.* 24: 753-773.

- Jamart, B.M., D.F. Winter, K. Banse, 1979. Sensitivity analysis of a model of phytoplankton growth and nutrient distribution in the Pacific Ocean off the northwestern U.S. coast. *J. Plankt. Res.* 1: 267-290.
- Kerouel, R., A. Aminot, 1997. Fluorometric determination of ammonium in sea and estuarine waters by direct segmented flow analysis. *Mar. Chem.* 57: 265-275.
- Lomas, M.W., F. Lipschultz, 2006. Forming the primary nitrite maximum: Nitrifiers or phytoplankton? *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 51: 2453-2467.
- Longhurst, A.R., D. Sameoto, A. Herman, 1984. Vertical distribution of Arctic zooplankton in summer: eastern Canadian archipelago. *J. Plankt. Res.* 6: 137-168.
- Mackey, K.R.M., L. Bristow, D.R. Parks, M.A. Altabet, A.F. Post, 2011. The influence of light on nitrogen cycling and the primary nitrite maximum in a seasonally stratified sea. *Prog. Oceanogr.* 91 : 545-560.
- Martin, J., J-E. Tremblay, J. Gagnon, G. Tremblay, A. Lapoussiere, C. Jose, M. Poulin, M. Gosselin, Y. Gratton, C. Michel, 2010. Prevalence, structure and properties of subsurface chlorophyll maxima in Canadian Arctic waters. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 412: 69-84.
- McGillicuddy, D.J., A.R. Robinson, J.J. McCarthy, 1995a. Coupled physical and biological modeling of the spring bloom in the North Atlantic (II): three dimensional bloom and post-bloom processes. *Deep-Sea Res.* I 42: 1359-1398.
- McGillicuddy, D.J., J.J. McCarthy, A.R. Robinson, 1995b. Coupled physical and biological modeling of the spring bloom in the North Atlantic (I): model formulation and one dimensional bloom processes. *Deep-Sea Res.* I 42: 1313-1357.
- Meeder, E., K.R.M Mackey, A. Paytan, Y. Shaked, D. Iluz, N. Stambler, T. Rivlin, A.F. Post, B. Lazar, 2012. Nitrite dynamics in the open ocean – clues from seasonal and diurnal variations. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 453: 11-26.
- Mordy, C.W., P.J. Staben, D. Righi, F.A. Menzia. 2008. Origins of the subsurface ammonium maximum in the Southeast Bering Sea. *Deep-Sea Res.* II 55: 1738-1744.
- Mordy, C.W., L.B Eisner, P. Proctor, P. Staben, A.H. Devol, D.H. Shull, J.M. Napp, T. Whitledge, 2010. Temporary uncoupling of the marine nitrogen cycle: accumulation of nitrite on the Bering Sea shelf. *Mar. Chem.* 121: 157-166.
- Owrid, G., G. Socal, G. Civitarese, A. Luchetta, J. Wiktor, E-M. Nothig, I. Andreassen, U. Schauer, V. Strass, 2000. Spatial variability of phytoplankton, nutrients and new production estimates in the waters around Svalbard. *Polar Res.* (19(2): 155-171.
- Saino, T., H. Otake, E. Wada, A. Hattori, 1983. Subsurface ammonium maximum in the northern North Pacific and the Bering Sea in summer. *Deep-Sea Res.* 30: 1157-1171.
- Solórzano, L., 1969. Determination of ammonia in natural waters by the phenylhypochlorite method. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 14: 799-801.

- Woodward, E.M.S., A.P. Rees, 2001. Nutrient distributions in an anticyclonic eddy in the northeast Atlantic Ocean, with reference to nanomolar ammonium concentrations. *Deep-Sea Res. II* 48: 775-793.
- Wu, Y., T. Platt, C.C.L. Tang, S. Sathyendranath, 2008. Regional differences in the timing of the spring bloom in the Labrador Sea. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 355: 9-20.
- Yashayaev, I., 2007. Hydrographic changes in the Labrador Sea, 1960-2005. *Prog. Oceanogr.* 73: 242-276.

## TABLES

**Table 1: Labrador Sea missions, 1999-2012.**

<b>Mission</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b>Dates</b>	<b>Year Day (avg)</b>	<b>NH4 profile Stns (#)</b>	<b># Profiles with subsurface NH4 Max</b>
99022	1999	01 Jul – 11 Jul	187	11	10
2000009	2000	28 May – 05 Jun	153	7	3
2001012	2001	04 Jun – 11 Jun	159	9	7
2002032	2002	02 Jul – 08 Jul	186	17	15
2003038	2003	23 Jul – 29 Jul	207	9	9
2004016	2004	20 May – 28 May	145	7	0
2010014	2010	17 May – 24 May	141	18	8
2011009	2011	11 May – 22 May	137	28	16
2012001	2012	04 Jun – 12 Jun	160	17	11
TOTAL:				123	79

## FIGURES







Figure 1: Labrador Sea area ammonium (NH<sub>4</sub>) profile stations, 1999-2012.




**Figure 2: Mission dates/duration, 1999-2012.**



**Figure 3: Percentage of stations exhibiting a subsurface  $\text{NH}_4$  maximum. Line is least-squares linear fit.**




**Figure 4: Vertical profiles (upper 200 m) of temperature ( $^{\circ}\text{C}$ , red), chlorophyll fluorescence (rel units, green) and  $\text{NH}_4$  ( $\mu\text{M}$ , blue), Labrador Shelf: no subsurface  $\text{NH}_4$  maximum.**




**Figure 5: Vertical profiles (upper 200 m) of temperature (°C, red), chlorophyll fluorescence (rel units, green) and  $\text{NH}_4$  ( $\mu\text{M}$ , blue), Labrador Shelf: subsurface  $\text{NH}_4$  maximum.**







**Figure 6: Vertical profiles (upper 200 m) of temperature (°C, red), chlorophyll fluorescence (rel units, green) and  $\text{NH}_4$  ( $\mu\text{M}$ , blue), Central Labrador Basin: no subsurface  $\text{NH}_4$  maximum.**




**Figure 7: Vertical profiles (upper 200 m) of temperature (°C, red), chlorophyll fluorescence (rel units, green) and  $\text{NH}_4$  ( $\mu\text{M}$ , blue), Central Labrador Basin: subsurface  $\text{NH}_4$  maximum.**




**Figure 8: Vertical profiles (upper 200 m) of temperature (°C, red), chlorophyll fluorescence (rel units, green) and  $\text{NH}_4$  ( $\mu\text{M}$ , blue), Central Labrador Basin: broad subsurface  $\text{NH}_4$  maximum.**




**Figure 9: Vertical profiles (upper 200 m) of temperature (°C, red), chlorophyll fluorescence (rel units, green) and  $\text{NH}_4$  ( $\mu\text{M}$ , blue), Greenland Shelf: subsurface  $\text{NH}_4$  maximum.**




**Figure 10: Depth of subsurface  $\text{NH}_4$  maxima compared with chlorophyll  $a$  maxima and euphotic depth (1% light level), 1999-2004.**



**Figure 11: Full-depth vertical profiles of  $\text{NH}_4$ , all stations along the L3 line, 2010-2012. 90 %tile concentrations (>200 m): 2010 = 0.16-0.37  $\mu\text{M}$ ; 2011 = 0.15-0.39  $\mu\text{M}$ ; 2012 = 0.23-0.45  $\mu\text{M}$ .**




**Figure 12: Vertical profiles (upper 200 m) of  $\text{NH}_4$  (solid line) and  $\text{NO}_2$  (dashed line) at selected stations,  $\mu\text{M}$ .**



**Figure 13: Variability of the vertical structure (difference between surface and depth of  $\text{NH}_4$  maximum) of (A) temperature, (B) density, (C)  $\text{NH}_4$  and (D) depth of  $\text{NH}_4$  maximum along the L3 Line, all years (1999-2012). Lines are least-squares linear (panels A, C, D) or 2<sup>nd</sup> order polynomial (panel B) fits.**





**Figure 14: Regional variability in surface  $\text{NH}_4$  concentration, by month: May June and July. Median concentrations by month: May = 0.30  $\mu\text{M}$ , June = 0.29  $\mu\text{M}$ , July = 0.26  $\mu\text{M}$ .**






Figure 15: Regional variability in  $\text{NH}_4$  concentration at the subsurface maximum, by month: May, June and July. Median concentrations by month: May = 0.63  $\mu\text{M}$ , June = 0.89  $\mu\text{M}$ , July = 1.12  $\mu\text{M}$ .



**Figure 16: Regional variability in the difference in  $\text{NH}_4$  concentrations between surface and subsurface maximum, by month: May, June and July. Median concentrations by month: May =  $0.27 \mu\text{M}$ , June =  $0.62 \mu\text{M}$ , July =  $0.75 \mu\text{M}$ .**



**Figure 17: Regional variability in the depth of the subsurface  $\text{NH}_4$  maximum, by month: May, June and July. Median depth by month: May = 50 m, June = 50 m, July = 50 m.**







Figure 18:  $\text{NH}_4$ , as a % of total inorganic-N ( $\text{NO}_3 + \text{NO}_2 + \text{NH}_4$ ) inventories in the upper 100 m, by month. Median percentage by month: May = 5.9%, June = 6.0%, July = 8.0%.



**Figure 19: NH<sub>4</sub> concentrations in the upper 500 m along the L3 Line: 2010-2012,  $\mu\text{M}$ .**




**Figure 20: Temperature in the upper 500 m along the L3 Line, 2010- 2012. North Atlantic water ( $>4$  °C) highlighted.**




**Figure 21: T-S properties along the L3 Line, 1999-2012, colour-coded by region: Labrador Shelf/Slope(LSh, LSI), Central Labrador Basin (LB), Greenland Shelf/Slope (GSh, GSI).**






**Figure 22: Variability in subsurface  $\text{NH}_4$  maxima ( $\mu\text{M}$ ) relative to T-S properties, 1999-2012.**



**Figure 23: Satellite ocean colour imagery (SeaWiFS climatology, 1999-2010) showing the time sequence of development of the spring phytoplankton bloom in the Labrador Sea area; the early (late April) bloom off the coast of Greenland and later (late June) bloom in the western Labrador Sea basin are prominent. White space = cloud cover or ice.**



**Figure 24: Increase in  $\text{NH}_4$  concentration ( $\mu\text{M}$ ) at the subsurface maximum over time, spring to summer 1999-2004 (inset: temperature change at the subsurface maximum over time). Mission mean values where vertical/horizontal bars are  $\pm 1$  SD. Lines are least-squares linear fits.**