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## PREFACE

This work began as a federal initiative by the now-Department of Fisheries and Oceans to provide insight to mixed stock fisheries for Atlantic salmon. The Working Group used information bases and methodology available at the time. Thus, the report must be viewed as a report of progress toward a goal of reaching thorough understanding of Canada's Atlantic salmon resource.
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## ABSTRACT

Pippy, J. [Chairman] 1982. Report of the Working Group on the Interception of Mainland Salmon in Newfoundland. Can. MS Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 1654: x + 196 p.

This report presents the findings of the Department of Fisheries and Oceans Working Group established in 1975 to investigate the harvest of nonNewfoundland and Labrador origin salmon in the Newfoundland and Labrador commercial fisheries. The catches were assessed by week and Statistical Area. The impact of seven options to reduce the harvest of non-Newfoundland-Labrdor origin salmon is presented.
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## RESUME

Pippy, J. [Chairman] 1982. Report of the Working Group on the Interception of Mainland Salmon in Newfoundland. Can. MS Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 1654: x + 196 p.

Ce rapport presente les données de divers groupes de travail du ministère des Pêches et Océans, fondés en 1975, afin d'analyser la récolte de saumons d'origine autre que celle des pêcheries de Terre-Neuve et Labrador. Le prises ont été evaluées par semaine et par région statistique: L'impact de sept options pour la diminution des récoltes du saumons d'origine differente de Terre-Neuve et Labrador est également presenté.

## INTRODUCTION

This Working Group was established at the April 1975 meeting of the Program Working Party on Atlantic Anadromous Fishes. Its prime objective was to study Newfoundland's commercial salmon fishery with the intent of developing a series of options, based solely on existing biological knowledge and fishing patterns, which could be used to minimize Newfoundland's catch of Canadian salmon of mainland origin. The options might be considered by senior management as best available estimates to aid in selection of an approach to reduce the harvest of non-Newfoundland-origin salmon in Newfoundland.

The Working Group held a total of 7 one-two day meetings between October 1975 and February 1978. At the first meeting, it was immediately obvious that many difficulties lay ahead of the Group because results of tagging studies and data on mainland salmon stocks, on Newfoundland's commercial fisheries, and on the Newfoundland-origin salmon in these fisheries were not compiled in formats suitable to the task. Also, many conceptual problems had to be solved because a suitable model of the Newfoundland commercial salmon fishery had never been developed. A general approach toward a model was set up during the first two meetings and many refinements of different aspects of the model were subsequently introduced.

During its deliberations, the Working Group discovered that large and important data gaps existed in our knowledge of the salmon and the fisheries thus influencing progress with the assigned task. But, in the interest of developing a complete model, and in the belief that a model incorporating all existing data (with assumptions made where necessary) was better than no model, the Working Group completed its task.

A number of general regulatory approaches was considered, each of which could potentially reduce harvesting of mainland-origin salmon in Newfoundland (Table 1). Because it would be almost impossible to quantify the impact and advisability of all or even a few of these approaches, and after having considered the feasibility of implementation of each, a decision was made to assess that approach which dealt with varying the length of the commercial salmon fishing season (Table 1, Approach 3A).

Selection of this approach necessitated a breakdown of Newfoundland catch statistics by week (Table 2) and by Statistical Area. This selection utilized available knowledge of the spatial and temporal distribution of mainland-origin salmon stocks in each of the fishing areas, as well as information on sea ages, sexual maturity, migration routes, swimming speeds and directions, exploitation rates, mortality rates and a variety of other parameters.

This report outlines the major steps taken to develop a comprehensive model of the fishery and an evaluation of the options examined to minimize harvesting of mainland-origin salmon in Newfoundland's commercial fishery.

## THE FISHERY

The average annual catch of Atlantic salmon in Newfoundland during the 1969-1975 period was estimated to be about 3.8 million pounds. Statistics were available by month, except during 1974 and 1975 when weekly breakdowns of catches were also available. In the absence of observations of the effect upon fisheries of annual variations in oceanic distribution of ice along the
east coast (Appendix I), adjustments to the weekly distributions (of 1974 and 1975) were made so that catches during the early weeks of the fishery represented that of an "average ice year". A portrayal of adjusted weekly catches during an average year (based on 1969-1975 catches) of the commercial fishery was thus compiled (Figure 1, Appendix II). These catches include estimates of salmon sold locally (i.e., not to fish processing plants) as well as salmon caught in non-salmon fishing gear (e.g., cod traps, herring nets, etc.).

ORIGIN OF SALMON IN THE FISHERY

## General

Examination of past tagging studies showed clearly that salmon in the Newfoundland commercial fisheries originated in rivers of Newfoundland and Labrador (Murray, 1968; Pratt, Hare and Murphy, 1974), the Maritime Provinces (Appendix III), Quebec (Appendix V) and Maine (Meister and Bond, 1975). The Maine component in the fishery was apparently small and was not considered in this study, However, it was recognized that action oriented toward mainland-origin stocks in the fishery would also likely affect Maine stocks.

The number of pounds of salmon from each of the contributing areas was determined by a number of approaches, each depending upon the types of data available. Two basic assumptions were made at this stage:

1. All salmon of Newfoundland-Labrador origin pass through Newfoundland-Labrador fisheries, and
2. All salmon of non-Newfoundland origin do not necessarily pass through the Newfoundland-Labrador fisheries.

## Overall catches by weight

Estimated total weights of salmon originating in the Maritime Provinces and Quebec (Appendix II) were based on numbers of tags recovered from the Newfoundland and home water fisheries and on mean weights of fish in Newfoundland coastal areas ( $1 \mathrm{SW}=4.50 \mathrm{lbs} ; 2 \mathrm{SW}=9.88 \mathrm{lbs} ; 3 \mathrm{SW}=16.95 \mathrm{lbs}$ ). Data from the results of up to 6 years' (1968-1973) tagging of smolts were combined to acquire workable numbers of tags. No adjustment for non-reporting of tags was made for any fishery except in cases when complete counts of tagged and untagged salmon were available from specific river systems (Appendix IX). Catches in Area $J$ were adjusted in the first five weeks (Sections $38 \& 39$, Appendix II). The estimated weights of Quebec origin salmon* (Appendix $V$ ) were added to those of the Maritimes (Appendix II) and this was subtracted from the average catches of the Newfoundland-Labrador fishery for the years 1969-75 to derive the Newfoundland-Labrador component. The final estimate of the composition of the Newfoundland-Labrador commercial fishery as obtained by the above methods was:

[^1]| Area of origin | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Catch } \\ & \text { (pounds) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Final estimates | Catch (pounds) | First estimates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Newfoundland-Labrador fishery |  |  |  |  |
| Newfoundland \& Labrador | 2,870,000 | 75.4\% | 2,983,000 | 78.4\% |
| Maritime Provinces | 418,000 | 11.0\% | 358,000 | 9.4\% |
| Quebec | 517,000 | 13.6\% | 441,000 | 11.6\% |
| $\begin{array}{llll}\text { Labrador fishery } & 3,805,000 & 100.0 \% & 3,782,000\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Newfoundland \& Labrador | 1,236,000 | 94.4\% | 1,195,000 | 91.2\% |
| Maritime Provinces | 28,000 | 2.1\% | 31,000 | 2.4\% |
| Quebec | 46,000 | 3.5\% | 62,000 | 4.7\% |
| $\begin{array}{llll}\text { Newfoundland fishery } & 1,310,000 & 100.0 \% & 1,288,000\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Newfoundland \& Labrador | 1,634,000 | 65.5\% | 1,823,000 | 73.1\% |
| Maritime Provinces | 390,000 | 15.6\% | 322,000 | 12.9\% |
| Quebec | 470,000 | 18.9\% | 264,000 | 10.6\% |
|  | 2,494,000 | 100.0\% | 2,409,000 | 96.6\% |

In the absence of suitable tagging data for Newfoundland-Labrador stocks, an independent estimate of the number of pounds of Newfoundland-origin salmon in the fishery was derived (Appendix IV) through analysis of (a) smolt production figures coupled with natural and fishing mortality at sea (Appendix VII), and (b) angling data from the recreational fisheries coupled with estimates of natural and fishing mortalities (Åppendix VIII). These independent estimates of the proportions of Newfoundland-Labrador origin salmon in the catches are presented in the above text-table and in support of the final estimates used. The first estimates of the catch of Maritimes and Quebec origin salmon in the fishery were based on tag returns as in the final estimates, but no adjustments were made for the impacts of seasonal ice conditions or non-reporting of tags in Area J.

## Catches by Area

Data from the Maritimes and Quebec tagging experiments indicated that salmon stocks from the mainland had uneven spatial and temporal distribution in the various commercial fishing areas. Therefore, the tagging data were broken down by Area and the number of pounds caught in each of the Statistical Areas A-0 was estimated (Appendix $X$ ). Those pounds of salmon not accounted for by the estimated Maritimes and Quebec components were assumed to be of Newfoundland-Labrador origin (see final estimates in text table above, Appendix IX, and Fig. 2(a), (b) and (c).

Results of these analyses indicated that relatively few pounds of mainlandorigin salmon were taken in some Areas (for example, those Areas on the west coast of the island). In other Areas proportions of mainland-origin salmon varied between 6 and $51 \%$. Areas $C$ and $E$ were found to have the highest proportions of mainland-origin salmon; $51 \%$ and $48 \%$ respectively (Fig. 2).

## Catches by week

Further analysis of the tagging data provided an estimate of the pounds of Maritimes and Quebec-origin salmon taken during each week of the season in each of the Statistical Areas (Appendix IX, X and XI). These analyses revealed that salmon from a given river system or area were not distributed evenly throughout the fishing season in any given Statistical Area. In some weeks, relatively high proportions of salmon from a given area or system were present in a fishery.

## GENERAL BIOLOGICAL CONSIDERATIONS

It was realized that elimination of a fishery for a given week would not necessarily ensure that fish thus released would reach their river of origin. A number of basic questions had to be considered:

1. If a given fish was not caught in a Statistical Area during a particular week, was there sufficient time for it to swim from that Area to its river or area of origin prior to time of spawning during the current year? Would it remain at sea for another year(s) before possibly returning to the Newfoundland fishery area en route to its spawning grounds? This question implied that the migration patterns and swimming speed of the fish had to be considered.
2. What are the exploitation rates on the stocks present in the various Statistical Areas and how will component stocks be affected by modifications in these rates?
3. What proportion of the salmon not caught in one Area (as a result of fishing restrictions) will escape fisheries in other Areas and survive to spawn in the same year?

## Migration patterns

Detailed examinations of marine and freshwater tagging experiments suggested highly complex migration patterns for the different stocks of salmon in Newfoundland's coastal fishing areas. It might have been speculated that the bulk of the
salmon approached the coast at a certain place and moved en masse along the coast toward their rivers of origin. While such directional movement may exist for individual fish which are destined to spawn in that particular year, there is little evidence that salmon follow this "coasting" pattern en masse. Evidence was found that salmon from specific areas (in Quebec) tend to approach particular parts of the coast and migrate as rather loose groups but there was insufficient evidence available to test whether this migratory pattern existed for salmon from all areas.

Available tagging data indicate both a general clockwise and some counterclockwise movement along the island's coast, as well as both an inshore migration for some groups of salmon (presumably toward their rivers to spawn or to coastal feeding areas) and suggests an offshore migration for other groups (into the Labrador Sea) (Fig. 3).

Swimming speed

Analysis of results of marine tagging experiments led to acceptance of an estimated average swimming speed of 20 miles per day Appendix XII. This estimate was used to decide if fish in a given coastal area had sufficient time to migrate back to their rivers of origin prior to end of normal upstream spawning migration in that year. If so, such fish were assumed to be maturing and migrating home; if not, they were assumed to be on a feeding migration which would take them through at least part of the current year's fishing, out into the Labrador Sea, and possibly to Greenland before returning to the Newfoundland fishing area or home waters (as larger fish) (Fig. 4).

Marine life patterns

In addition to migration patterns and swimming speeds, general marine life history was considered for component river stocks. Some stocks were relatively simple because most salmon returned to the rivers as grilse. Others had large components which returned as 2-sea-winter salmon and which could possibly have been through Newfoundland's coastal fishing areas during zero, one or two seasons and through the West Greenland fishing area once. Salmon stocks which return to spawn after 3 winters at sea have an even more complex pattern. The variety of marine life patterns (Fig. 4) coupled with associated differences in fishing mortality, natural mortality, and the continuous growth of the salmon at sea greatly complicated determinations of effects of fishing closures on given stocks of fish and on the resultant effect of fishing restrictions on the fisheries. Nevertheless, numerous calculations based on these possibilities were performed on specific stocks of salmon from both the Maritimes and Quebec, and a method to accommodate these complications and their effects on different stocks of salmon was agreed upon (Appendix IX).

## DEVELOPMENT OF REGULATORY OPTIONS

Only after each of the above factors was taken into account was it feasible to determine the effects of specific weekly closures on component stocks in the coastal fishery. A number of possible regulatory options were considered (Table 3), each of which affected different component stocks in different ways, i.e., while one option favours a number of Quebec-origin salmon stocks, another favours specific Maritimes-origin salmon stocks to a greater extent. It was decided to assess the merits of each option separately.

In considering each option, the Group had to take into account that implementation of an option would alter the fishing pattern in the fishery and thus alter fishing mortality. Thus, effects were determined for the period after implementation of fishing restrictions rather than during the initial (lst three years) of implementation.

The Group recognized that some salmon released from the fishery which were not destined to spawn in that year would die from natural mortality and others could return to the Newfoundland fishery in the following year. Those fish which survived the additional year at sea would increase in weight and thereby contribute more individually to the fishery in the second (or third) year at sea, thereby often negating the effect of natural mortality. This compensating effect was the case for many salmon of mainland-origin, and, it was determined in most, but not all, of the options that the gain in weight of Maritimes and Quebec-origin salmon released toward the mainland exceeded the reduction of the Maritimes and Quebec-origin salmon from Newfoundland's commercial fishery (Appendix XIII and XIV).

The Group also recognized that a portion of Newfoundland-origin salmon released in any given Area by any of the options would be caught later in other areas; the remainder could enter their rivers of origin. The estimate of the proportion of Newfoundland-origin salmon escaping the fisheries to enter these rivers is highly subjective because of the almost complete lack of data on movements, timing, and mixtures of Newfoundland-origin salmon in the Newfoundland fisheries. Nevertheless, estimates were developed of the number of Newfoundland-origin salmon which may escape the traditional commercial fisheries as a result of implementation of any particular option. Some of
these would be harvested in the recreational fisheries, some could fill spawner deficits, while the remainder would be available for commercial harvest in or near the river system (Appendix XV).

Finally, estimates were made of the total weight and number of salmon from all areas of origin to be deducted from the Newfoundland commercial fishery assuming no re-deployment of fishing effort, and of the specific weight and number of salmon potentially returning to the mainland (Appendices IX, XIII and XIV) or to Newfoundland-Labrador rivers (Appendix XV) should any of the options be implemented. The Group did not consider how or where these returning salmon would be harvested.

## CONCLUSIONS

Summaries of the estimated losses to the Newfoundland commercial fisheries under present harvesting regimes and gains to Maritimes and Quebec areas resulting from the imposition of each of the regulatory options considered are presented in Appendices XIII, XIV and XV. Also, graphic representations of the number of pounds and weeks affected in each of six options dealing with season adjustments are presented in Fig. 5-10. The reader should note that the weight of catch indicated as "affected" in these figures does not reflect an estimated "reduction" in catch in any particular Area. This fact is because fish released from one Area, as a result of fishing closure, may migrate to other Areas and be caught there. For example, about 40,000 pounds of salmon in Area $C$ are affected in Week 6 of Option $1 B$, but many of these salmon will migrate into Area $D$ and be harvested in Week 7. Similarly, catches in most

Areas from Week 7 onwards would be greater than those indicated in Fig. 1. In this way, implementation of any of the options would change the distribution of the catch in the fishery from that indicated in Fig. 1-2c.

Pounds and numbers of salmon estimated to be reallocated to different fisheries as a result of full implementation of each of the options are presented in Tables 4-10. A number of important considerations should be kept in mind when examining these tables:

1. "Salmon to Maritimes" indicate Maritimes-origin salmon released from the Newfoundland commercial fisheries which will be available for either harvest or rebuilding of stocks in the Maritime Provinces.
2. "Salmon toward Quebec" indicate Quebec-origin salmon released from the Newfoundland commercial fisheries and which are en route to rivers in Quebec. Some of these may be caught in the mixed stock fisheries in New Brunswick or P.E.I. while others may avoid those fishing areas. Those not harvested in New Brunswick or P.E.I. would be available for harvest or rebuilding of stocks in Quebec.
3. "Salmon to Newfoundland sport fishery" is an estimate of those salmon released from the Newfoundland commercial fishery and later caught in Newfoundland's sport fishery. In developing this estimate it was assumed that angling effort would not increase after implementation of changes in the commercial fishery. However, it was suspected that angling effort would indeed increase, but estimates of the magnitude of the increase could not be made.
4. "Salmon available for a modified Newfoundland commercial fishery" are those salmon estimated to be released from the traditional commercial fisheries by the implementation of any particular option. The estimates do not include those salmon which would be caught in the Newfoundland sport fishery. Although knowledge is available on the location of salmon rivers, the identity of particular rivers to which particular released fish are headed is not known. Thus, it is not now possible to recommend in more than general detail how to achieve the harvest of these salmon, although some adjustment to commercial fisheries is technically possible. Fishing plants for the harvest of these fish in their home river estuaries will therefore have to be developed in time as biologists are able to assess the impacts of regulatory changes on each stock having recreational harvest capability.

In Table 11, the increases of mainland-origin fish in mainland fisheries (or conservation programs) are related to reductions of salmon catches in the Newfoundland commercial fishery. The weight gain to loss ratio varied from $1: 1.7$ to $1: 2.2$; the former means that for every 1.7 pounds of salmon reduced from the Newfoundland commercial fishery, 1 pound reaches mainland fisheries or conservation programs. Similarly, with respect to numbers of salmon, the ratio varied from $1: 2.5$ to $1: 3.4$. These ratios represent overall averages and are not necessarily applicable to any given stock of salmon (see Appendix XII).

An alternative way of presenting the impacts of the various options is to assume that all salmon affected by new regulatory options are caught elsewhere and then compare total yields to all potential fisheries. As indicated earlier,
the effects of natural mortality between the Newfoundland fishery and home waters is often negated by weight gain during the same period. The ratio of the total weight gain to all potential fisheries to the total loss to Newfoundland's commercial fishery was about $1: 1$ for all options, although the latter fishery can be modified to capture only a portion of the Newfoundland salmon released by these options. With respect to numbers of salmon, when a growth factor is not included in the calculations, all total gain ratios were less than $1: 1$. These ratios would be reduced by the ability to harvest only a portion of these Newfoundland origin salmon.

The seven options were ranked according to several different objectives, or scenarios (Table 12) and the rank order did not change drastically when numbers rather than weight of salmon were considered.

The reader should note that the timing of migraton of salmon in the Newfoundland fishery varies from year to year and that the weekly catch distribution outlined in Figure 1 is an average situation. In fact, it is unlikely that any given year would have fisheries exactly like those of Figure 1 . Thus, implementation of any one of the options would have effects which varied from year to year; illustration of the weeks affected for each of these options in 1975 is presented in Figures 11-16.

The estimated impacts shown here are applicable only after three years of full implementation of each of the options. This is because not all fish released from Newfoundland's commercial fishery, as a result of a first year of implementation, are lost to that fishery. Some fish will remain at sea and survive to enter the fishing area the next year. Similarly, of these fish
which enter the fishing area a second time, some may survive into a third season.

In addition to the above, there are two important factors which significantly affect application of the conclusions of this report. The first is that in the calculations of the effects of the options it was assumed that all salmon harvested were harvested in licensed salmon gear. Future incorporation of reliable data on catches of salmon in non-salmon gear may be complicated by the anticipated continuing reduction of salmon by-catches in non-salmon gear. The second, and more important, factor is the assumption that the numbers of commercial fishing licenses would remain constant. New effort figures available on an Area by Area basis will require adjustment of the impacts of the options.

FUTURE RESEARCH REQUIREMENTS

Evaluation of each of the options were based on available data and the best estimates or "quesstimates" of important parameters; thus confidence limits could not be calculated around the results. Future similar analyses will require extensive research in the following areas.

1. The use of salmon scale characteristics as a means of directly determining the proportions of salmon of different areas of Canada.
2. The use of biochemical analysis as a means of directly determining the proportions of salmon destined to spawn in a given year.
3. Stock composition in each Statistical Area on a week-by-week basis.
4. Annual variations in migratory patterns of stocks contributing to each Statistical Area.
5. Marine survival rates.
6. Rates of non-catch fishing mortality.
7. Fishing mortality rates.
8. Stock assessments on individual stocks to enable discrete stock harvesting.
9. Causes of annual variations in fishing patterns in the Statistical Areas.
10. Effects of varying catches at West Greenland.
11. Spatial and temporal variations in freshwater production rates.
12. Effects of varying ice conditions on salmon migratory patterns and fisheries.
13. Mesh selectivity factors.
14. Maturity of salmon at sea.

## NOTE: Location of Detailed Proceedings of Sessions

A copy of the minutes, discussion, documents, handouts, and miscellaneous notes relating to the proceedings of the delibertions of the Working Group have been deposited in The Regional Library, Department of Fisheries and Oceans, St. John's, Newfoundland.

## Literature Cited

(Additional references used in the preparation of this report are given in the appropriate appendices.)

Belding, D. L. 1939. Migration of the Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) in the Gulf of St. Lawrence as determined by tagging experiments. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 69: 290-295.

Belding, D. L. et G. Préfontaine. 1938. Studies on the Atlantic salmon. II Report on the Port-aux-Basques (Newfoundland) drift-net fishery, 1937. Contr. Inst. Zool. Univ. fe Montréal. No. 3, 58 p.
1961. A report on the Salmon of the North Shore of the Gulf of St. Lawrence and of the North Eastern coast of Newfoundland. Contr. Dept. Fisheries, Québec. No 82, 104 p.

Bergeron, J. 1959. Le saumon de la rivière Port-Daniel. Actualités marines, 3 (3): 18-24.

Bergeron, J. et L. Tremblay. 1964. Déplacements de saumons adultes (Salmo salar L.) étiquetés en Gaspésie de 1955 à 1957. Nat. Can. 91 (4): 101-115.

Lagueux, R. 1953. Study on movements of Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) at kelt stage at Tadoussac, Saguenay county, Québec from 1943-51. Prov. Québec, Game and Fish. Ninth Rept. Biol. Bureau: 303-319.

May, A. W. 1973. Distribution and migrations of Salmon in the Northeast Atlantic. IASF, Special Publication Series, 4(1): 373-382.

Meister, A. L. and R. D. Bond. 1975. A preliminary report on the recovery of tagged Atlantic salmon in the Newfoundland provincial fishery. Prepared for Program Working Party on Atlantic Anadromous Fish. 14 p.

Murray, A. A. 1968. Smolt survival and adult utilization of Little Codroy River, Newfoundland, Atlantic salmon. J. Fish Res. Board Can. 25: 2165-2218.

Pratt, J. D., G. M. Hare, and H. P. Murphy. 1974. Investigation of production and harvest of an Atlantic salmon population, Sand Hill River, Labrador. Tech. Rep. Ser. NEW/T-74-1, 27 p.


Figure 1. Weekly distribution (in pounds) of Atlantic salmon caught in Statistical Areas A to 0. (Total poundages based on data from 1969 to 1975. Weekly distributions based on data from 1974 and 1975 with the early part of the catch in some areas adjusted to resemble a "typical catch" in a "normal" ice year。)


Figure 2a. Percentage, Maritime and Quebec origin salmon in commercial salmon landings in each statistical area of Newfoundland. Labrador landings were estimated to be composed of $94 \%$ Newfoundland-Labrador, 4\% Quebec, and 2\% Maritimes origin salmon.


Figure 2b. Maritimes and Quebec-origin salmon (shaded areas) estimated to be present in the Newfoundland-Labrador commercial salmon fisheries during a "typical" fishing season.


Figure 2c. Newfoundland-Labrador-origin salmon (shaded areas) estimated to be present in the Newfoundland-Labrador commercial fisheries during a "typical" fishing season.


Figure 3. Movements of salmon in Newfoundland's coastal waters. This map does not show the main migration routes of specific stocks of salmon in these waters but rather a combination of many different migratory patterns. Movements of kelts, particularly along the west coast, are not necessarily depicted.


Figure 4. Diagram illustrating variety of possible marine life patterns and their relationship to various fisheries (GLD = West Greenland fishery, NFLD = Newfoundland commercial fishery, HOME represents the area in which the river or origin is located; solid lines represent postulations supported by tagging studies, dotted lines represent postulations considered Tikely by the Working Group.


OPTION 1B
Figure 5. Fisheries and weeks that will be affected if Option 1B is implemented. It would be noted that some salmon released during the restricted periods (shaded areas) would be taken later in the fishery, either in the same or other areas.


OPTION 2A
Figure 6. Fisheries and weeks that will be affected if Option 2A is implemented. It should be noted that some salmon released during the restricted periods (shaded areas) would be taken later in the fishery, either in the same or other areas.


OPTION 2B
Figure 7. Fisheries and weeks that will be affected if Option $2 B$ is implemented. It should be noted that. some salmon released during the restricted periods (shaded areas') would be taken later in the fishery, either in the same or other areas.


OPTION 3 A
Figure 8. Fisheries and weeks that will be affected if Option 3A is implemented. It should be noted that some salmon released during the restricted periods (shaded areas) would be taken later in the fishery, either in the same or other areas.


OPTION 3B
Figure 9. Fisheries and weeks that will be affected if Option 3B is implemented. It should be noted that some salmon released during the restricted periods (shaded areas) would be taken later in the fishery, either in the same or other areas.


Figure 10. Fisheries and weeks that will be affected if Option Q is implemented. It should be noted that some salmon released during the restricted periods (shaded areas) would be taken later in the fishery, either in the same or other areas.


Figure 11.

Fisheries and weeks that would have been affected in 1975 had option 1B been implemented in that year. It should be noted that some salmon released during the restricted periods (shaded areas) would have been taken later in the fishery, either in the same or other areas.


Figure 12.

Fisheries and weeks that would have been affected in 1975 had option 2 A been implemented in that year. It should be noted that some salmon released during the restricted periods (shaded areas) would have been taken later in the fishery, either in the same or other areas.


Figure 13.

Fisheries and weeks that would have been affected in 1975 had option $2 B$ been implemented in that year. It should be noted that some salmon released during the restricted periods (shaded areas) would have been taken later in the fishery, either in the same or other areas.


Figure 14.

Fisheries and weeks that would have been affected in 1975 had option 3 A been implemented in that year. It should be noted that some salmon released during the restricted periods (shaded areas) would have been taken later in the fishery, either in the same or other areas.


Figure 15.

Fisheries and weeks that would have been affected in 1975 had option 3B been implemented in that year. It should be noted that some salmon released during the restricted periods (shaded areas) would have been taken later in the fishery, either in the same or other areas.


Figure 16.

Fisheries and weeks that would have been affected in 1975 had option $Q$ been implemented in that year. It should be noted that some salmon released during the restricted periods (shaded areas) would have been taken later in the fishery, either in the same or other areas.

Table 1. Possible regulatory approaches toward solving the problem of the harvesting of mainland origin salmon in the Newfoundland fishery. (The Working Group decided to develop approach number 3 and accordingly, developed a set of regulatory options, Table 2).

1. Stop Newfoundland fishery.
2. Do nothing.
3. A. Seasonal adjustment of fishing period:
a) delay opening;
b) early closure;
C) shorter fishing week;
d) moving time closure;
e) fixed time closure;
B. Flexible adjustment*of fishing period:
a) delay opening;
b) early closure;
c) shorter fishing week;
d) moving time closure;
e) fixed time closore;
4. Effort (by Area):
a) number of fishermen;
b) fathomage limitation per berth;
c) limitation of number of berths;
d) mesh size limitations;
e) fishing methods (off-shore nets?);
f) fishing berth location (estuarial fishery?);
g) number of boats licenced;
5. Quota
a) total;
b) by area;
c) by licence;
6. Key Area restrictions.
[^2]Table 2. Week and week numbers referred to in text, tables, and figures.

| Week | Date |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | May 12-18 |
| 2 | May 19-25 |
| 3 | May 26 - June 1 |
| 4 | June 2-8 |
| 5 | June 9-15 |
| 6 | June 16. - 22 |
| 7 | June 23-29 |
| 8 | June 30 - July 6 |
| 9 | July 7 - 13 |
| 10 | July 14-20 |
| 11 | Juty $21-27$ |
| 12 | July 28 - Aug 3 |
| 13 | Aug 4-10 |
| 14 | Aug 11-17 |
| 15 | Aug 18-24 |
| 16 | Aug 25-31 |
| 17 | Sept 1-7 |
| 18 | Sept 8-14 |
| 19 | Sept 15-21 |
| 20 | Sept 22-28 |
| 21 | Sept 29 - Oct 5 |
| 22 | Oct 6-12 |
| 23 | Oct 13-19 |
| 24 | Oct 20-26 |
| 25 | Oct 27 - Nov 2 |
| 25 | Nov 3-9 |
| 27 | Nov 10-16 |
| 28 | Nov 17-23 |
| 29 | Nov 24-30 |
| 30 | Dec 1-7 |
| 31 | Dec 8-14 |
| 32 | Dec 15-21 |
| 33 | Dec 22-28 |
| 34 | Dec 29-31 |

Table 3. Regulatory options considered by the Working Group. Area letters refer to Fisheries Statistical Areas (see Figure 1).

Option 1:
A. Conduct fishery only in or near estuaries, subject to possible season adjustments to ensure mainland escapements.
B. Season adjustments including:
(a) Closure on August 31 (week 16);
(b) In-season closure in Areas A and B from June 16 to July 13 (weeks 6-9);
(c) Delayed season opening in Areas C-F until after June 22 (week 6);
(d) In-season closure in Areas $H$ and $J$ from June 9 to June 29 (weeks 5-7).

Option 2: With season closure after August 31 (week 16):
A. (a) Delayed opening in Areas A-J until after June 22 (week 6):'•
B. (a) Delayed opening in Areas A-Fyuntil after June 22 (week 6);
(b) In-season closure in Areas $H$ and $J$ from June 11 to June 30 (weeks 5-7).

Option 3: With season closure after August 31 (week 16):
A. (a) Delayed opening in Areas A-J until after June 15(week 5);
B. (a) Delayed opening in Areas A-F until after June 15(week 5);
(b) In-season closure in Areas $H$ and $J$ from June 9 to June 29(weeks 5-7);

Option $0:$ Season adjustnents includinu:
A. (a) Closure in Area 0 after August 10 (week 13);
(b) Closure in Ared A after July 27 (week 11);
(c) Delayed opening in Area B until after June 15 (week 5) and closure after August 10 (wetk 13);
(d) Delayed opening in Area C until after June 22 (week 6);
(e) Delayed opening in Area Duntil after June 15 (week 5);
(f) Delayed opening in Areas $E$ and $F$ until after June 1 (week 3);
(g) Delayed opening in Area $H$ until after June 8 (week 4);
(h) Delayed opening in Areas 1 and $J$ until after June 15 (week 5).
POUNDS
GAINS
Salmon to Maritimes.................................... . . 500,000

Subtotal....................................... $1,033,000$
Salmon to Nfiu. Sport Fishery..................... N/A
Subtotal.......................................... N/A
$\begin{aligned} \text { Salmon available for modified Nfld. } & \begin{array}{l}\text { commercial } \\ \text { fishery*.... N/A }\end{array}\end{aligned}$
Total ............................ N/A
LOSSES
(From iffld. commercial fishery)
Maritime origin salmon................................... 422,000
Quebec origin salmon................................... 5 . 527,000
Nfld. origin salmon.................................... N/A
Tota1.............................. N/A
NUMBERS
GAINS
Salmon to Maritimes. .................................. . . . 54,000
Salmon toward Quebec .................................................................................... 4600
Subtotal.................................................. 100,000
Salmon to Nfld. Sport Fishery...................... N/A
Subtotal................................................. N/A
Salmon available for modified Nfld. commercial $\begin{aligned} & \text { fishery*.... N/A }\end{aligned}$
Total ............................ N/A
LOSSES
(From Nfld. commercial fishery)
Maritime origin salmon.................................................... 60,000


Total............................. N/A
*Rivers to which salmon will go are not identified, all salmon potentially available for river harvest are also considered lossos until suitable management programs and harvesting strategies can be developed. Such programs and strategies will be practically impossible to implement in many relatively small but yet important river systems where overexploitation could most likely occur.

## POUNDS

## GAINS

Salmon to Maritimes ..... 239,000
Salmon toward Quebec ..... 268,000
Subtotal ..... 507,000
Salmon to Nfiu. Sport Fishery ..... 98,000
Subtotal ..... 605,000
Salmon available for modified Nfld. commercial

- fishery* ..... 483,000
Total ..... $1,088,000$
LOSSES
(From iffld. commercial fishery)
Maritime origin salmon ..... 204,000
Quebec origin salmon ..... 262,000
Nfld. origin salmon ..... 580,000Total$1,046,000$
NUMBERS
GAINS
Salmon to Maritimes ..... 25,000
Salmon toward Quebec ..... 24,000
Subtotal ..... 49,000
Salmon to Nfld. Sport Fishery ..... 19,000
Subtotal ..... 68,000
Salmon available for modified Nfld. commercial fishery*. ..... 93,000
Total ..... 161,000
LOSSES
(From Nfld. commercial fishery)
Maritime origin salmon ..... 28,000
Quebec origin salmon. ..... 27,000
Nfld. origin salmon ..... 112,000Total167,000
*Rivers to which salmon will go are not identified, all salmon potentially available for river harvest are also considered losses until suitable management programs and harvesting strategies can be developed. Such programs and strategies will be practically impossible to implement in many relatively small but yet important river systems where overexploitation could most likely occur.

POUNDS
GAINS
Salmon to Maritimes.......................................................225,000
Salmon toward Quebec ............................................ 307 ,000

Salmon to Nflu. Sport Fishery..................... 88,000
Subtota1........................................ 620,000
Salmon available for modified Nfld. commercial
fishery*.... 429,000
Tota 1
1,049,000
LOSSES
(From ilfld. commercial fishery)
Maritime origin salmon......................................................219,000
Quebec origin salmon........................................ 307,000
Nfld. origin salmon...................................... 517,000
Total
$1,043,000$

## NUMBERS

## GAINS

$$
\text { Salmon to Maritimes . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 24,000
$$

Salmon toward Quebec ..................................... 29 . 29 . 000
Subtotal............................................ 53 53,000
Salmon to Nfl.d. Sport Fishery...................... 17,000
Subtotal
70,000
Salmon available for modified Nfld. commercial
fishery*.... 83,000
Total
153,000

## LOSSES

(From Nfld. commercial fishery)
Maritime origin salmon. ................................ . . . 25,000
Quebec origin salmon...................................... ... 31,000
Nfld. origin salmon........................................ 100,000
Total
156,000
*Rivers to which salmon will go are not identified, all salmon potentially available for river harvest are also considered losses until suitable management programs and harvesting strategies can be developed. Such programs and strategies will be practically impossible to implement in many relatively small but yet important river systems where overexploitation could most likely occur.
Table 7.43
OPTION ..... 2B
POUNDS
GAINS
Salmon to Maritimes ..... 190,000
Salmon toward Quebec ..... 220,000
Subtotal ..... 410,000
Salmon to Nflut Sport Fishery. ..... 87,000
Subtotal ..... 497,000
Salmon available for modified Nfld. commercial fishery*.... 421,000
Total918,000
LOSSES
(From ilfld. commercial fishery)
Maritime origin salmon ..... 183,000
Quebec origin salmon ..... 219,000
Nfld. origin salmon. ..... 508,000Total910,000
NUMBERS
GAINS
Salmon to Maritimes ..... 21,000
Salmon toward Quebec ..... 21,000Subtotal42,000
Salmon to Nfld. Sport Fishery ..... 17,000Subtotal59,000
Salmon available for modified Nfld. commercial fishery*... 81,000
Total ..... 140,000
LOSSES
(From Nfld. commercial fishery)
Maritime origin salmon. ..... 22,000
Quebec origin salmon. ..... 22,000
Nfld. origin salmon. ..... 98,000Total142,000
*Rivers to which salmon will go are not identified, all salmon potentially available for river harvest are also considered losses until suitable management programs and harvesting strategles can be developed. Such programs and strategies will be practically impossible to implement in many relatively small but yet important river systems where overexploitation could most likely occur.

## GAINS

> Salmon to Maritimes...................................... 164,000

Salmon toward Quebec ..........................................................................................
Subtotal 421,000

Salmon to Nflu. Sport Fishery....................................... 54,000
Subtotal

Salmon available for modified Nfld. commercial
fishery*.... 269,000

Total
745,000

## LOSSES

(From iffld. commercial fishery)
Maritime origin salmon. .................................. 165,000
Quebec origin salmon......................................... 257,000
Nfld. origin salmon.
323,000
Total
745,000

## NUMBERS

## GAINS

Salmon to Maritimes. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 18,000
Salmon toward Quebec .................................... 24,000
Subtotal
42,000
Salmon to Nf? d. Sport Fishery....................... 10,000
Subtotal
52,000
Salmon available for modified Nfld. commercial
fishery*... 52,000
Total .......................... 104,000

## LOSSES

(From Nfld. commercial fishery)
Maritime origin salmon ..... 18,000
Quebec origin salmon. ..... 25,000
Nfld. origin salmon. ..... 63,000Totai.................................................. 106,000
*Rivers to which salmon will go are not identified, all salmon potentially available for river harvest are also considered losses until suitable management programs and harvesting strategies can be developed. Such programs and strategies will be practically impossible to implement in many relatively small but yet important river systems where overexploitation could most likely occur.
Table 9. ..... 45
OPTION ..... 3B
OUNDSGAINS
Salmon to Maritimes ..... 143,000
Salmon toward Quebec ..... 190,000
Subtotal ..... 333,000
Salmon to Nfle. Sport Fishery ..... 67,000
Subtotal ..... 400,000
Salmon available for modified Nfld. commercial fishery* ..... 726,000
Total
LOSSES
(From ilfld. commercial fishery)
Maritime origin salmon ..... 142,000
Quebec origin salmon ..... 189,000
Nfld. origin salmon. ..... 394,000Total725,000
NUMBERS
GAINS
Salmon to Maritimes ..... 16,000
Salmon toward Quebec ..... 18,000
Subtotal ..... 34,000
Salmon to Nfid. Sport Fishery ..... 17,000
Subtotal ..... 51,000
Salmon available for modified Nfld. commercial fishery*.... 63,000Total .......................... 114,000LOSSES
(From Nfld. commercial fishery)
Maritime origin salmon ..... 16,000
Quebec origin salmon ..... 19,000
Nfld. origin salmon. ..... 81,000Total116,000
*Rivers to which salnon will go are not identified, all salmon potentially available for river harvest are also considered losses until suitable management programs and harvesting strategies can be developed. Such programs and strategies will be practically impossible to implement in many relatively small but yet important river systems where overexploitation could most likely occur.

## POUNDS

## GAINS

$$
\text { Salmon to Maritimes...................................... . } 164,000
$$

Salmon toward Quebec ......................................................................................
Subtotal 435,000

Salmon to Nflu. Sport Fishery..................... 45,000
Subtotal
481,000

> Salmon available for modified Nfld. commercial
> fishery*.... 272,000

Total
753,000
LOSSES
(From ilfld. commercial fishery)
Maritime origin salmon. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 161,000

Nfld. origin salmon.................................... . 318,000
Total
747,000

## NUMBERS

GAINS
Salmon to Maritimes...................................... 17 . 000
Salmon toward Quebec ....................................... 25,000
Subtotal
42,000
Salmon to Nfl.d. Sport Fishery..................... 9,000
Subtotal
51,000
Salmon available for modified Nfld. commercial
fishery*... 53,000
Total ............................ 104,000
LOSSES
(From Nfld. commercial fishery)
Maritime origin salmon.................................... 18,000
Quebec origin salmon............................................ 27,000
Nfld. origin salmon..................................... 61,000
Total
106,000
*Rivers to which salmon will ço are not identified, all salmon potentially available for river harvest are also considered losses until suitable management programs and harvesting strategies can be developed. Such programs and strategies will be practically impossible to implement in many relatively small but yet important river systems where overexploitation could most likely occur.

Table 11. Salmon increases in Maritimes and Quebec in relation to losses from Newfoundland's commercial fishery assuming management methods and harvesting strategies were developed to harvest Newfoundland origin salmon which are surplus to spawning requirement and escaping the Newfoundland commercial fishery as a result of option implementation.*

**Total Gain Ratios were derived from total gains and total losses given in Tables 5 to 10 . For example, from Table 6 , the Total Gain Ratio for weights of salmon is $1,049,000+1,043,000=1.01$ and that for numbers of salmon is $153,000 \div 156,000=0.98$.
*Rivers to which salmon will go are not identified, all potentially available for river harvest are also considered losses until suitable management programs and harvesting strategies can be developed. Such programs and strategles will be practically impossible to implement in many relatively small but yet important river systems where overexploitation could most likely occur.

Ranking of the seven options according to six different scenarios involving weight of salmon reallocated.

| Ranking according to: | Rank |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Max. Gains to Maritines and Quebec | 1 A | 2A | 1 B | 0 | 3A | 2 B | 3B |
| Max. Gains to Maritimes | 1A | 1 B | 2A | 2 B | Q | 3 A | 3 B |
| Max. Gains to Quebec | 1A | 2 A | Q | 1B | 3 A | 2 B | 3B |
| Minimum loss to Nfld. fishery | 3B | 3A | Q | 2 B | 2A | 1B | 7A |
| Minimum gain : loss ratio | Q | 3A | 2 A | 1B | 3B | 2B | 1 A |
| Total gain ratio | 1 B | 3 B | 2 B | Q | 2 A | 3 A | - |

Ranking of the seven options according to five different scenarios involving numbers of salmon reallocated.

| Ranking according to: | 1 | 2 | 3 | Rank |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Max. Gains to Maritimes and Quebec | 1 A | 2 A | 1 B | Q | 3A | 2 B | 3B |
| Max. Gains to Maritimes | 1 A | 1B | 2 A | 2 B | 3 A | Q | 3 B |
| Max. Gains to Quebec | 1 A | 2 A | Q | 3 A | 18 | 23 | 3 B |
| Minimum loss to Nfld. fishery | 3A | Q | 3B | 2B | 2A | 18 | 7A |
| Minimum gain : loss ratio | Q | 3 A | 2 A | 38 | 18 | 2 B | 7 A |
| Total gain ratio | 3B | 3 A | 2 B | 2 A | Q | 1B | - |

APPENDIX I. The determination of weekly catch curves and re-adjustment for the impact of ice conditions.

Catch curves were developed on a weekly basis from known monthly landings of small (1 sea-winter) and large (multi-sea-winter) salmon catches from 1969 to 1975 by prorating these monthly catches to catch by week on the basis of weekly landings in 1974 and 1975 to obtain an average catch by week in each area.

The fishery in the northern areas begins when the coastal ice leaves. Since catches in some years during 1969 to 1973 were taken previous to the first catches reported in 1974 and 1975, some adjustment was needed for annual ice conditions. These adjustments were necessary mainly on the east coast of Newfoundland in areas A, B, C, and D. Fig. 1 shows the distribution of ice in May of 1973, 74, 75, and 76. It can clearly be seen that ice in May of 1973 was-distributed further south than it was in 1975. Consequently, the fishery along the east coast of Newfoundland would have begun earlier in 1975 than in 1973.

The tag return data from mainland stocks were then utilized to calculate the portion of Quebec and Maritimes stocks. The Mainland catches (weekly) of Mainland fish were subtracted from total weekly catches to calculate the NewfoundlandLabrador component. It was observed that some problems existed on the tails of the curves, i.e. week 15, Area A, where Newfoundland has a smaller commercial catch than the estimated catch of Quebec fish in the same Area. This also occurred for the Area J catch. Consequently, for Area A the catch was adjusted to account for these fish. In Area J average total catches for Section 36 plus 37 and 38 plus 39 were obtained by averaging over the period 1969-75. The weekly catches were estimated on the basis of 1974-75 average weekly catches and the weekly proportions were applied to the average monthly catches (1969-75). The Quebec and Maritimes components in Section 38 plus 39 were obtained by multiplying the weekly catches for weeks l-5 by 0.70. (May 1973, Belding and Prefontaine 1947). The Quebec and Maritimesshares were proportioned on the basis of the original 52,936 1bs (Maritimes) and $63,749 \mathrm{ib}$ (Quebec) in the fishery. The proportions for weeks 6 to 13 were based on the rates of catch in Sections 36 plus 37 to 38 plus 39 . The proportions of Quebec and Maritimes fish were adjusted accordingly.

## Assumptions:

1. The general shapes of the catch by week in each area during 1969-75 are relatively the same as those during 1974-75, with some adjustment in some areas for ice conditions.
2. The distributions of the catches within months for each area based on the 1974-75 catches by week are relatively the same as those for 1969-75 with some adjustment in some areas for ice conditions.
3. From catch by month and catch by week (1974-75 only) the data were prorated to monthly landings (1969-75) to obtain average catch by week in each area.
4. Since catches in some years during 1969-73 were taken previous to the first catches in 1974 and 1975, some adjustment in catch by week had to be made to allow for local ice conditions. This affected the shape and distribution of the catch curves in Areas A, B, C and D.
5. The weekly dates used are based on the average week during 1969-75 where week No. 1 is May 12 - May 18.


Figure 1. Distribution of coastal ice around Newfoundland during mid-May, 1973-1976.
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Table 1.
Summary of salmon landings (1969-1975, adjusted landings) showing estimated Maritimes, Quebec, and Newfoundland components in the Newfoundland-Labrador salmon fishery.

| Area | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Average } \\ & 1969-75 \end{aligned}$ | Maritimes | Quebec | Quebec \& Maritimes | Newfound landLabrador |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 510,076 | 81,417 | 83,805 | 165,222 | 344,854 |
| B | 314,582 | 46,616 | 38,637 | 85,253 | 229,329 |
| C | 224,999 | 62,170 | 52,481 | 114,651 | 110,348 |
| D | 200,500 | 38,587 | 25,200 | 63,787 | 136,713 |
| E | 169,097 | 20,399 | 60,665 | 81,064 | 88,033 |
| F | 193,571 | 23,677 | 39,365 | 63,042 | 130,529 |
| G | 30,729 | 1,726 | 0 | 1,725 | 29,003 |
| H | 135,167 | 20,404 | 14,259 | 34,663 | 100,504 |
| I | 68,028 | 4,249 | 17,620 | 21,869 | 46,159 |
| J | 493,491 | 89,443 | 137,618 | 227,061 | 266,430 |
| K | 78,994 | 866 | 0 | 866 | 78,128 |
| L | 24,139 | 0 | 706 | 706 | 23,433 |
| M | 29,982 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29,982 |
| N | 20,889 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20,889 |
| Nfld. | 2,494,244 | 389,554 | 470,356 | 859,910 | 1,634,334 |
| Labrador (0) | 1,310,422, | 27,997 | 46,403 | 74,400 | 1,236,022 |
| Total | 3,804,666 | 417,551 | * 516,759 | 934,310 | 2,870,356 |
| Per Cent |  | 11.0\% | 13.6\% | 24.6\% | 75.4\% |

Table 2.

Average weekly landings (pounds) of salmon showing estimated Maritimes, Quebec and Newfoundland compnnents in the Newfoundland fishery, based on adjusted 1969-75 landings.

AREA A

| Week | Average $1969-75$ | Maritimes | Quebec | Quebec \& Maritimes | Newfoundland <br> Labrador |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 1,416 |  |  |  | 1,416 |
| 4 | 21,425 | 2,294 |  | 2,294 | 19,131 |
| 5 | 32,000 | 5,424 |  | 5,424 | 26,576 |
| 6 | 55,102 | 17,222 | 7,728 | 24,950 | 30,152 |
| 7 | 81,372 | 10,763 | 7,728 | 18,491 | 62,881 |
| 8 | 92,524 | 10,827 | 14,690 | 25,517 | 67,007 |
| 9 | 88,345 | 13,505 | 21,376 | 34,881 | 53,464 |
| 10 | 73,094 | 10,120 | 8,414 | 18,534 | 54,560 |
| 11 | 31,923 | 7,651 | 12,277 | 19,928 | 17,995 |
| 12 | 21,854 |  | 7,728 | 7,728 | 14,126 |
| 13 | 2,926 | 1,016 |  | 1,016 | 1,910 |
| 14 | 1,269 |  |  |  | 1,269 |
| 15 | 84 | 1,060 | 3,864 | 4,924 | $(-4,840)$ |
| 16 | 41 | 519 |  | 519 | (- 478) |
| 17 | 54 |  |  |  | 54 |
| 18 | 209 |  |  |  | 209 |
| 19 | 101 | 1,016 |  | 1,016 | (-915) |
| 20 | 54 |  |  |  | 54 |
| 21 | - |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | - |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | 115 |  |  |  | 115 |
| 24 | 72 |  |  |  | 72 |
| 25 | - |  |  |  |  |
| 26 | - |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | 96 | . |  |  | 56 |
| 28 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 |  |  | . |  |  |
| 30 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 |  |  |  |  | . |
| 34 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 510,076 | 81,417 | 83, 805 | 165,222 | 344,854 |

Table 3.

AREA B

| Week | Average $1969-75$ | Maritimes | Quebec | Quebec \& Maritimes | Newfoundland labrador |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 200 |  |  |  | 200 |
| 2 | 800 |  |  |  | 800 |
| 3 | 2,009 |  |  |  | 2,009 |
| 4 | 13,303 | 7,108 | 3.836 | 10,944 | 2;359 |
| 5 | 42.737 | 7.723 | 111,506 | 19,229 | 23,508 |
| 6 | 43,687 | 4.642 |  | 4,642 | 39,0 05 |
| 7 | 36,390 | 6,444 | 1,371 | 7,815 | 28,575 |
| 8 | 26,453 | 6,972 | 1,371 | 8,343 | 18,110 |
| 9 | 50,206 | 8,247 | 686 | 8,933 | 41,273 |
| 10 | 42,304 | 2,245 | 7,672 | 9,817 | 32,487 |
| 11 | 23,133 | 2,282 | 7,672 | 9,954 | 13,179 |
| 12 | 9,982 |  |  |  | 9.982 |
| 13 | 12,355 | 691 |  | 691 | 11,664 |
| 14 | 4,436 |  |  |  | 4,436 |
| 15 | 758 |  |  |  | 758 |
| 16 | 56 |  |  | - | 56. |
| 17 | 98 |  |  |  | 98 |
| 18 | 196 |  |  |  | 196 |
| 19 | 393 |  |  |  | 393 |
| 20 | 294 |  |  |  | 294 |
| 23 | 74 |  |  |  | 74 |
| 22 | 74 |  |  |  | 74 |
| 23 | 1,294 |  |  |  | 1,294 |
| $2 \%$ | - 296 | 181 |  | 181 | 115 |
| 25 | - 86 |  |  |  | 86 |
| 25 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | 675 | 181 | 3,836 | 4,017 | $(-3,342)$. |
| 28 | 1,773 |  |  |  | 1,773 |
| 29 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | 231 |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |
| 31 | 190 | . |  |  | 190 |
| 32 | 99 |  | 687 |  | (-588) |
| 33 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34 |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 314,582 | 46,616 | 33,637 | 85.253 | 229,329 |
| rcent |  | 14.8 | 12.3 | 27.1 | 72.9 |

Table 4.


Table 5.

| Week | Average $1969-75$ | Maritimes | Quebec | Quebec \& Maritimes | Newfoundland Labrador |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3,015 |  |  |  | 3,015 |
| 2 | 7,113 |  |  |  | 7,113 |
| 3 | 17,596 | 10,593 |  | 10,593 | 7,003 |
| 4 | 32,136 | 5,343 | 3,973 | 9,316 | 22,820 |
| 5 | 26,534 | 4,858 | 16,573 | 21,431 | 5,103 |
| 6 | 26,654 | 3,437 |  | 3,437 | 23,217 |
| 7 | 23,867 | 3,298 |  | 3,298 | 20,569 |
| 8 | 19,660 | 2,727 |  | 2,727 | 16,933 |
| 9 | 18,149 | 3,499 |  | 3,499 | 14,650 |
| 10 | 13,370 | 2,433 | 681 | 3,114 | 10,256 |
| 11 | 5,208 | 497 | 3,973 | 4,470 | 738 |
| 12 | 2,155 | 181 |  | 181 | 1,974 |
| 13 | 2,834 | 1,211 |  | 1,211 | 1,623 |
| 14 | 1,443 |  |  |  | 1,443 |
| 15 | 634 |  |  |  | 634 |
| 16 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | 11 | 510 |  | 510 | $(-499)$ |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | 71 |  |  |  | 71 |
| 24 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | 44 |  |  |  | 44 |
| 28 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 | 6 |  |  |  | 6 |
| 32 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 200,500 | 38,587 | 25,200 | 63,787 | 136,713 |

Percent
19.2
12.6
31.8
68.2

Table 6.

| Week | Average i969-75 | Maritimes | Quebec | Quebec \& Maritimes | NewfoundIand Labrador |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 7.893 |  | 3,837 | 3,837 | 4,056 |
| 2 | 16,620 | 1,515 | 13,922 | 15,437 | 1,183 |
| 3 | 26,088 | 1,952 | 11,509 | 13,461 | 12,627 |
| 4 | 28,813 | 3,804 | 11,509 | 15,313 | 13,500 |
| 5 | 12,329 | 3,985 | -706 | 4,691 | 7,638 |
| 6 | 31,490 | 2,637 | 11,509 | 14,146 | 17,344 |
| 7 | 16,961 | 1,073 | 7,673 | 8,746 | 8,215 |
| 8 | 10,874 | 1,818 |  | 1,818 | 9,056 |
| 9 | 9,193 | 1,775 |  | 1, 775 | 7,418 |
| 10 | 3,751 | 497 |  | 497 | 3,254 |
| 11 | 4,626 | 218 |  | 218 | 4,408 |
| 12 | 67 |  |  |  | 67 |
| 13 | 91 | 944 |  | 944 | (-853) |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 152 | 181 |  | 181 | (-29) |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | 77 |  |  |  | 77 |
| 24 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | 33 |  |  |  | 33 |
| 28 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 | 39 |  |  |  | 39 |
| 32 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 169,097 | 20,399 | 60,665 | 81,064 | 88,033 |
| cent |  | 12.1 | 35.8 | 47.9 | 52.1 |

Table 7.

AREA $F$

| Week | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Average } \\ & \text { 1969-75 } \end{aligned}$ | Maritimes | Quebec | Quebec \& Maritimes | Newfoundland Labrador |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 15,439 |  |  |  | 15,439 |
| 2 | 20,054 | 6,304 | 11,506 | 17,810 | 2,244 |
| 3 | 27,545 | 5,793 | 6,257 | 12,050 | 15,495 |
| 4 | 26,929 | 2,788 |  | 2,788 | 24,141 |
| 5 | 14,574 | 333 | 2,422 | 2,755 | 11,819 |
| 6 | 26,082 | 4,660 | 7,672 | 12,332 | 13,750 |
| 7 | 26,607 | 1,976 | 7,672 | 9,648 | 16,959 |
| 8 | 15,694 | 854 |  | 854 | 14,840 |
| 9 | 11,129 | 97 | 3,836 | 3,933 | 7,196 |
| 10 | 6,575 |  |  |  | 6,575 |
| 11 | 2,171 |  |  |  | 2,171 |
| 12 | 447 | 181 |  | 181 | 266 |
| 13 | 141 | 181 |  | 181 | (-40) |
| 14 | 15 |  |  |  | 15 |
| 15 | 141 | 510 |  | 510 | (-369) |
| 16 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | 28 |  |  |  | 28 |
| 28 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 193,571 | 23,677 | 39,365 | 63, 042 | 130,529 |

Table 8.
AREA G

| Week | Average 1969-75 | Maritimes | Quebec | Quebec a Maritimes | Newfoundland Labrador |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 261 |  |  |  | 261 |
| 4 | 1,281 |  |  |  | 1,281 |
| 5 | 2,424 |  |  |  | 2,424 |
| 6 | 3,789 |  |  |  | 3,789 |
| 7 | 5,224 |  |  |  | 5,224 |
| 8 | 4,397 | 981 |  | 981 | 3,416 |
| 9 | 7,920 |  |  |  | 7,920 |
| 10 | 3,546 |  |  |  | 3,546 |
| 11 | 1,110 | 745 |  | 745 | 365 |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | 777 |  |  |  | 777 |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 30,729 | 1,726 | 0 | 1,726 | 29,003 |
| percen |  | 5.6 | 0 | 5.6 | 94.4 |

Table 9.
AREA H

| Week | Average $1969-75$ | Maritimes | Quebec | Quebec \& Maritimes | Newfoundland <br> Labrador |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 578 |  |  |  | 578 |
| 2 | 1.888 | 1,412 |  | 1,412 | 476 |
| 3 | 5,145 | 3,611 | 3,836 | 7,447 | $(-2,302)$ |
| 4 | 6,988 | 2,474 |  | 2,474 | 4,514 |
| 5 | 7,453 | 2,048 | 7,671 | 9,719 | $(-2,266)$ |
| 6 | 11,098 | 3,179 |  | 3,179 | 7,919 |
| 7 | 37,588 | 1,871 | 688 | 2,559 | 35,029 |
| 8 | 21,809 | 3,657 | 1,376 | 5,033 | 16,776 |
| 9 | 22,986 | 1,558 | 688 | 2,246 | 20,740 |
| 10 | 11,788 | 594 |  | 594 | 11,194 |
| 11 | 4,761 |  |  |  | 4,761 |
| 12 | 599 |  |  |  | 599 |
| 13 | 1,415 |  |  |  | 1,415 |
| 14 | 707 |  |  |  | 707 |
| 15 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 107 |  |  |  | 107 |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | 257 |  |  |  | 257 |
| 25 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 135,167 | 20,404 | 14,259 | 34,663 | 100,504 |
| Percen |  | 15.1 | 10.5 | 25.6 | 74.4 |

Table 10.
AREA I


Table 11.

AREA J EAST
(Districts 36 \&37)

| Week | Average $1969-75$ | Maritimes | Quebec | Quebec \& Maritimes | Newfoundland <br> Labrador |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3,184 |  |  |  | 3,184 |
| 2 | 4,490 |  |  |  | 4,490 |
| 3 | 8,210 | 1,560 | 2,574 | 4,134 | 4,076 |
| 4 | 23,582 | 1,599 | 3,411 | 5,010 | 18,572 |
| 5 | 28,374 | 2,905 | 9,396 | 12,301 | 16,073 |
| 6 | 29,296 | 2,651 | 2,479 | 5,130 | 24,166 |
| 7 | 17,737 | 2,378 | 2,992 | 5,370 | 12,367 |
| 8 | 15,176 | 1,802 | 1,547 | 3,349 | 11,827 |
| 9 | 7,587 | 608 | 205 | 813 | 6,774 |
| 10 | 7,451 | 277 |  | 277 | 7,174 |
| 11 | 478 |  |  |  | 478 |
| 12 | 1,185 | 384 | 1,137 | 1,521 | (-336) |
| 13 | 131 |  |  |  | 131 |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 146,881 | 14,164 | 23,741 | 37,905 | 108,976 |

Percent
9.6
16.2
25.8
74.2

Table 12.

AREA J WEST
(Districts 38839 )

| Week | Average $1969-75$ | Maritimes | Quebec |  <br> Maritines | Newfoundland Labrador |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 18,234 | 4,769 | 7,995 | 12,764 | 5,470 |
| 2 | 25,712 | 6,725 | 11,273 | 17,998 | 7,714 |
| 3 | 47,012 | 12,296 | 20,612 | 32,908 | 14,104 |
| 4 | 56,181 | 14,695 | 24,632 | 39,327 | 16,854 |
| 5 | 67,599 | 17,681 | 29,638 | 47,319 | 20,280 |
| 6 | 69,791 | 6,257 | 5,850 | 12,107 | 57,684 |
| 7 | 42,259 | 5,612 | 7,062 | 12,674 | 29,585 |
| 8 | 9,425 | 4,251 | 3,649 | 7,900 | 1,525 |
| 9 | 4,713 | 1,435 | 483 | 1,918 | 2,795 |
| 10 | 4,628 | 652 |  | 652 | 3,976 |
| 11 | 297 |  |  |  | 297 |
| 12 | 736 | 906 | 2,683 | 3,589 | $(-2,853)$ |
| 13 | 23 |  |  |  | 23 |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 346,610 | 75,279 | 113,877 | 189,156 | 157,454 |
| rcent |  | 21.7 | 32.9 | 54.6 | 45.4 |

Table 13.
AREA J TOTAL

| Week | Average 1969-75 | Maritimes | Quebec | Quebec \& Maritimes | Newfoundland <br> Labrador |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 21,418 | 4,769 | 7,995 | 12,764 | 8,654 |
| 2 | 30,202 | 6,725 | 11,273 | 17,998 | 12,204 |
| 3 | 55,222 | 13,856 | 23.186 | 37, 042 | 18.180 |
| 4 | 79,763 | 16,294 | 28,043 | 44,337 | 35,426 |
| 5 | 95,973 | 20,586 | 39,034 | 59,620 | 36,353 |
| 6 | 99,087 | 8,908 | 8,329 | 17,237 | 81,850 |
| 7 | 59,996 | 7.990 | 10,054 | 18,044 | 41,952 |
| 8 | 24,601 | 6,053 | 5,196 | 11,249 | 13,352 |
| 9 | 12,300 | 2,043 | 688 | 2,731 | 9,569 |
| 10 | 12,079 | 929 |  | 929 | 11,150 |
| 11 | 775 |  |  |  | 775 |
| 12 | 1,921 | 1,290 | 3,820 | 5,110 | (-3.189) |
| 13 | 154 |  |  |  | 154 |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 493,491 | 89,443 | 137,618 | 227,061 | 266,430 |
| Percent |  | 18.1 | 27.9 | 46.0 | 54.0 |

Table 14.

AREA K

| Week | Average 1969-75 | Maritimes | Quedec | Quebec \& Maritimes | Newfoundland Labrador |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 413 |  |  |  | 413 |
| 2 | 1,763 |  |  |  | 1,763 |
| 3 | 5,574 |  |  |  | 5,574 |
| 4 | 5,166 |  |  |  | 5,166 |
| 5 | 8,594 | 441 |  | 441 | 8,153 |
| 6 | 18,050 |  |  |  | 18,050 |
| 7 | 19,656 | 218 |  | 218 | 19,438 |
| 8. | 14,246 |  |  |  | 14,246 |
| 9 | 3,881 | 207 |  | 207 | 3,674 |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 795 |  |  |  | 795 |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | 856 |  |  |  | 856 |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 78,994 | 866 | 0 | 866 | 78,128 |

Percent.
1.1
0.0
1.1
98.9

Table 15.
AREA L

| Week | Average $1969-75$ | Maritimes | Quebec | Quebec \& Maritimes | Newfoundland Labrador |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 640 |  |  |  | 640 |
| 4 | 106 |  |  |  | 106 |
| 5 | 385 |  |  |  | 385 |
| 6 | 3,110 |  |  |  | 3,110 |
| 7 | 10,861 |  |  |  | 10,861 |
| 8 | 6,701 |  |  |  | 6,701 |
| 9 | 1,549 |  |  |  | 1,549 |
| 10 | 559 |  | 705 | 706 | (-147) |
| 11 | 67 |  |  |  | 67 |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | 161 |  |  |  | 161 |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 24,139 | 0 | 706 | 706 | 23,433 |
| Perce |  | 0.0 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 97.1 |

Table 16.

AREA M

| Wee!: | Average $19.69-75$ | Maritimes | Quebec | Quebec \& Maricimes | Newfoundland <br> Labrador |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 110 |  |  |  | 110 |
| 3 | 239 |  |  |  | 239 |
| 4 | 122 |  |  |  | 122 |
| 5 | 3,786 |  |  |  | 3,786 |
| 6 | 4,898 |  |  |  | 4,898 |
| 7 | 4,585 |  |  |  | 4,585 |
| 8 | 7,290 |  |  |  | 7,290 |
| 9 | 6,376 |  |  |  | 6,376 |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 584 |  |  |  | 584 |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | 1,992 |  |  |  | 1,992 |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 29,982 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29,982 |
| ercent |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |

Table 17.
AREA N

| Week | Average $1959-75$ | Maritimes | Quebec | Quebec \& Maritimes | Newfoundland Labrador |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 159 |  |  |  | 159 |
| 6 | 597 |  |  |  | 597 |
| 7 | 2,525 |  |  |  | 2,525 |
| 8 | 1,560 |  |  |  | 1,560 |
| 9 | 6,808 |  |  |  | 6,808 |
| 10 | 6,683 |  |  |  | 6,683 |
| 11 | 1,784 |  |  |  | 1,784 |
| 12 | 627 |  |  |  | 627 |
| 13 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 139 |  |  |  | 139 |
| 15 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | 7 |  |  |  | 7 |
| 28 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 20,889 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20,889 |
| ercent |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |

Table 18.

| AREA 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Week | Average $1969-75$ | Maritimes | Quebec | Quebec \& Maritimes | Newfourdlana Labredor |
| $\underline{\square}$ ! |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 10,290 |  |  |  | - 10,290 |
| 5 | 14,636 |  |  |  | 14,636 |
| 6 | 21,055 | 1,287 |  | 1,287 | 19,768 |
| 7 | 97,865 | 1,389 | 3,811 | 5,200 | 92,665 |
| 8 | 1203,709 | 2.676 | 3,811 | 6,487 | 197,222 |
| 9 | 318,736 | 1,389 | 689 | 2,078 | 316,658 |
| 10 | 241,699 | 7,306 | 110,036 | 17,342 | 224,357 |
| 11 | 162.543 | 5,110 | 11,433 | 16,543 | 146,000 |
| 12 | 94,091 | 5,492 | 689 | 6,181 | 87,910 |
| 13 | 68,073 | 2,223 |  | 2,223 | 65,850 |
| 14 | 22,224 | 472 |  | 472 | 21.752 |
| 15 | 27,300 | 472 | 3,811 | 4,283 | 23,017 |
| 16 | 12,075 |  |  | - | 12,075 |
| 17 | 6;625 |  | 3.811 | 3,811 | 2,817 |
| 18 | 4,614 |  |  |  | 4,614 |
| 19 | 1.750 |  | 3,811 | 3,811 | (-2,061) |
| 20 | 2,121 |  | 690 | 690 | 1,431 |
| 21 | 1,013 |  | 3,811 | 3,811 | $(-2,798)$ |
| 22 | 1 |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |
| 23 |  | 181 |  | 181 | (-181) |
| 24 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 |  | . |  |  | $\cdot$ |
| 26 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 |  |  |  |  | $\cdot$ |
| 23 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 |  | $\ldots$ |  |  |  |
| 30 |  | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |
| 31 |  | - |  |  | - |
| 32 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34 |  |  |  |  | - |
| TOTAL | 1,310,422 | 27,997 | 46,403 | 74,400 | 1,235,022 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| rcent |  | 2.1 | 3.5 | 5.6 | 94.4 |

## Note on Appendix IX

Recalculation of Mainland Component in Area J.

Methods and Assumptions:

1. Average total catches for Districts (36 and 37) and (38 and 39) were obtained by averaging over the period 1969-75. The weekly catches were estimated on the basis of 1974-75 average weekly catches and the weekly proportions (by month) were applied to the average monthly catches (1969-75).
2. The Quebec and Maritime components in Districts ( 38 and 39) were obtained by multiplying the weekly catches for weeks 1-5 by 0.70 . The Quebec and Maritime shares were proportioned on the basis of the original 52,936 1b (Maritimes) and 63,749 1b (Quebec) in the fishery. The proportions for weeks 6-13 were based on the original table, but scaled down accordingly on the basis of catch (36-37)/ catch (38 and 39).

The proportions of Quebec and Maritime in Districts (36 and 37) were based on the original assessments scaled down accordingly.

The four areas $36,37,38$ and 39 catches by week were then totalled to give a total catch by week for Area $J$ and the corresponding, Maritime, Quebec and Newfoundland components.

APPENDIX III.

## Compilation of Tagging Data from Maritimes Origin Salmon

Information related to stocks tagged, numbers tagged, and numbers recaptured per major harvest area is summarized in Table 1. Figures shown represent total returns. Not all returns could be used in all calculations related to exploitation rates, harvest areas, harvest time, etc. because recaptures often were not fully documented by those returning them to us; however, enough information was forwarded for use in certain calculations.

All tag returns from Greenland and Newfoundland were from commercial fisheries; whereas, in home waters, tag returns came from commercial nets, anglers, Indian fishermen, and experimental nets or traps. For purposes of Table 1, no attempt has been made to re-group tags according to estimates of whether or not they could have returned to "home" that year.

TABLE 1 . Compilation of tagging data base.
W - wild or native smolts
H - hatchery smolts

| RELEASE LOCATION <br> FOR TAGGED SMOLTS | YEARS TAGGING CARRIED OUT | STOCK TAGGED | TOTAL NO. TAGGED | NUMBERS RECAPTURED PER MAJOR HARVEST AREA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL RECAPTURES ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | GREENLAND |  |  | NEWFOUNDLAND |  |  | "HOME" | RIVER | AREAS |  |
|  |  |  |  | 1-S-W | 2-S-N | 3-S-W | 1-S-N1 | 2-S-W | 3-5-14 | 1-S-W | 2-S-W | 3-S-17 |  |
| Miranichi R. ${ }^{1}$ | 1968-74 | Miramichi (W) | 53,207 | 233 | 9 | 3 | 199 | 168 | 7 | 231 | 297 | 8 | 1,087 |
| Miramichi R., N.B. | 1968-71 | Restigouche/Bay of Chaleur (H) | 52,492 | 138 | 17 | 3 | 94 | 70 | 3 | 108 | 78 | 16 | 527 |
| Restigouche River, N.B. | 1968-72 | Restigouche/Bay of Chaleur (H.) | 46,212 | 96 | 16 | 1 | 48 | 31 | 1 | 14 | 11 | 3 | 221 |
| St. John River, N. B. | 1970-73 | St. John River <br> ( $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ) | 236,672 | 134 | 4 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 584 | 314 | 3 | 1,083 |
| River Philip, N.S. | 1968-72 | River Philip (H.) | 64,910 | 80 | 11 | 1 | 32 | 23 | 3 | 33 | 24 | 2 | 209 |
| West River, N.S. | 1968-69 | West River (W) | 4,828 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 52 | 6 | 0 | 66 |
| Medway River, N.S. | 1971-72 | Medway River (H.) | 14,793 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 1 | 35 |
| Lallave River, N.S. | 1971-73 | Medway River ( H | 31,233 | 49 | 2 | 0 | 23 | 1 | 0 | 242 | 35 | 5 | 357 |

## Includes tagging at Millbank (Miramichí estuary) and on the Southwest Miramichi (Quarryville).

${ }^{2}$ No correction was made for non-reporting of tags.

## APPENDIX IV

Independent estimate of the proportion of Newfoundland-Labrador origin salmon in the Newfoundland-Labrador commercial fishery.

1. Based on angling catches, (from Appendix VIII):

Mean yield from Newfoundland stocks, 1973-75 $=3,520,7151 \mathrm{~b}$
Mean catch in commercial fishery, 1973-75 $=4,463,000 \mathrm{lb}$
Proportion Newfoundland-Labrador origin salmon $=3,520,715 \div 4,463,000$
$=.789$
2. Based on freshwater production estimates \& angling catches:
(a) Estimated yield from insular Newfoundland rivers
(average of columns $7 \& 8$, page 93, Appendix VII) $=1,532,985 \mathrm{lb}$
(b) Mean yield from Labrador rivers (from Appendix
VIII) $=1,950,1521 \mathrm{~b}$
(c) Median yield from Newfoundland-Labrador rivers
$=3,483,137$
3. Mean yield from \#1 $=3,520,715 \mathrm{lb}$

Median yield from \#2 $=3,483,137 \mathrm{lb}$
Mean $=3,501,926 \mathrm{lb}$
4. Approximate proportion Newfoundland-Labrador origin salmon:

| (from \#3) |
| :--- | :--- |
| (from \#1) |$\quad \frac{3,500,000}{4,463,000}=0.784$

Method of estimating weights of Quebec origin salmon
in the Nfld-Labrador fisheries

Weight estimates of Quebec origin salmon caught in the Newfoundland-Labrador fisheries were obtained using tag recaptures as a basis for the calculations. Estimates of the numbers of salmon were first derived and then converted to weights.

The numbers of Quebec origin salmon caught in the Newfoundland fisheries were estimated by equating the ratio of percent tag returns from home fisheries on the mean annual number of salmon caught in the Quebec angling fishery to the ratio of Quebec tag returns from Nfld-Labrador on the mean annual number of Quebec origin salmon caught in the Nfld-Labrador fisheries. The equation was solved for the latter component which is the unknown. Tagging data used in these estimates include returns from smolts released in 1971 and 1972 (table 1) and subsequently recaptured between 1972-1975. The mean annual number of salmon taken by angling in home owners was calculated over the 1972-1975 (table 2) period so that it only includes data taken during the commercial salmon ban period in Gaspe and New-Brunswick. Sea-age composition as determined through scale readings for angling catches (table 3) or inferred from year of return in the case of tag returns has allowed calculations of seperated estimates for 1 SW, 2 SW and 3 SW salmon (table 4).

The numbers of salmon in each sea-age categories were then converted to weight estimates using the following average weights: $2,04 \mathrm{~kg}$ for $1 \mathrm{SW}, 4,48 \mathrm{~kg}$ for 2 SW and $7,69 \mathrm{~kg}$ for 3 SW salmon (Table 5). It is noteworthy to mention that the lack of smolt tagging information has precluded any estimation of the contribution of two Quebec salmon stocks to the Nfld-Labrador, Anticosti and Lower North Shore, although it is known through adult tagging that they contribute to these fisheries. Also those estimates were not adjusted for tag loss (falling rate) and for non report of salmon catches in the angling fisheries. For all of these reasons it would appear that these estimates would describe a minimal contribution to the Nfld fisheries.

However, an adjustment has been made for non report of tags in area $J$ of the Nfld fisheries because it was evident that fishermen in this area were not reporting all of the tags. As a result of this adjustment the estimated contribution of Quebec salmon to the Newfoundland-Labrador fisheries has been raised to 234890 kg (or 516759 pounds).

Table 1. Tag returns from smolts released in 1971 and 1972 according to area and year of recapture

| Area | Year of return after release |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | $3 *$ | $4^{* *}$ |
| Greenland | 113 (49.13) | 15 (6.52) | $2(0.86)$ | $0(0.00)$ |
| Nfld-Labrador | 14 (6.08) | 51 (22.17) | 6 (2.60) | $0(0.00)$ |
| Home coastal waters | $0(0.00)$ | 5 (2.17) | 1 (0.43) | 0 (0.00) |
| Angling | 4 (1.73) | 8(3.47) | 9 (3.91) | $2(0.86)$ |

Table 2. Reported angling catches from 1972-1975.

| Year | Gaspē | Upper North Shore | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1972 | 5962 | 3271 | 9233 |
| 1973 | 6749 | 3657 | 10406 |
| 1974 | 7318 | 5123 | 12441 |
| 1975 | 5439 | 5163 | 10602 |
| Total | 25468 | 17214 | 42682 |
| Annual mean | 6366 | 4304 | 10670 |

Table 3. Sea age distribution of mean annual angling catch.

| Area | Sea-age ( number of fish) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | P.S. | Total |
| Gaspé | 860 | 3916 | 1405 | 185 | 6366 |
| Upper North Shore | 516 | 2569 | 1012 | 207 | 4304 |
| Total | 1376 | 6485 | 2417 | 392 | 10670 |

Table 4. Estimation of the mean annual number of Gaspe and Upper North Shore salmon intercepted in the Nfld-Labrador fisheries.

1 sea - winter salmon
$\frac{1.73}{1376}=\frac{6.08}{x}$
$x=4836$

2 sea - winter salmon
$\frac{3.47}{6485}=\frac{22.17}{x}$

$$
x=41433
$$

3 sea - winter salmon
$\frac{3.91}{2417}=\frac{2.60}{x}$
$x=1607$

Table 5. Numbers and weights of Quebec origin salmon in
the Nfld-Labrador fisheries.


LIST OF ASSUMPTIONS MADE IN ESTIMATING DISTRIBUTION AND LEVELS OF CONTRIBUTION MADE TO NEWFOUNDLAND FISHERY BY MAINLAND SALMON RIVERS (EXCLUDING THE MIRAMICHI RIVER).

1. In all calculations the various river stocks were grouped as follows:
(i) Restigouche River
(ii) Minor Rivers in the Gulf - included all Nova Scotia and New Brunswick Rivers within the Gulf of St. Lawrence except the Restigouche and Miramichi Rivers.
(iii) Nova Scotia Atlantic Coast Rivers - included all rivers on the Atlantic Coast of Nova Scotia.
(iv) Bay of Fundy Rivers - included all Nova Scotia and New Brunswick Rivers draining into the Bay of Fundy.
2. Estimates were primarily based on tag return data for groups of hatchery-reared smolts. Tag groups of smolts released in rivers other than their native stream were excluded as their distribution patterns in the sea appeared to be atypical. The exceptions to this exclusion were groups of Chaleur Bay stocks released in the Miramichi River and Medway River smolts released in the adjacent LaHave River. For both exceptions the rivers into which the smolts were released were nearby the respective native rivers and tag distributtons and return rates were similar to that of comparable release groups distributed in their native streams.
3. Tag return data included in the analyses originated from releases occurring from 1967 to 1973 inclusive.
4. A differential tag loss of $10 \%$ was assumed to exist between recaptures of $1-s e a-w i n t e r$ salmon and $2-$ and $3-s e a-w i n t e r ~ s a l m o n ~$ (Gray, 1973 and J. Ritter, personal communication).
5. A $30 \%$ non-reporting of tags was assumed for all fisheries. For releases for which spawning escapements were not determined directly from counts, the non-reporting correction factor was not used as escapements were estimated from the sport catch. For these groups it was assumed that the sport fishery took, depending on the intensity of the sport fishery in the river, $20 \%$ or $25 \%$ of the bright salmon entering the freshwater portions of the river.
6. The state of maturity of fish taken in the Newfoundland fishery was based on the time and location of recapture relative to the distance from and run-timing in the river to which they were destined to return. A travel rate of 20 miles per day was assumed in determining maturity status of tagged fish recaptured in the Newfoundland fishery.

The other assumptions are outlined in the document entitled "A Model of the Restigouche River Salmon and the Benefits to Mainland derived from Proposed Regulation Changes for the Newfoundland Fishery".

APPENDIX VII.

ESTIMATES OF ADULT ATLANTIC SALMON PRODUCTION IN INSULAR NEWFOUNDLAND AND CONTRIBUTION TO THE COMMERCIAL FISHERIES
This report provides an estimate of the adult production of Atlantic salmon in insular Newfoundland by Statistical Area. Calculations were made using the estimated natural freshwater production of smolts in all rivers that contain Atlantic salmon. Note that a range in smolt production has been given; however range in sea survival has not been taken into account due to lack of data on which to base assumptions.

The total drainage area of all rivers supporting Atlantic salmon populations in insular Newfoundland is $30,066.6 \mathrm{mi}^{2}$. Based on 33 river surveys (Fig. 1) there are 74.2 accessible parr rearingl units (100 $\mathrm{yd}^{2}$ ) per $\mathrm{mi}^{2}$ of drainage area (Addendum I). Total accessible rearing area in insular Newfoundland is 2,230,942 units.

Smolt production is estimated to be 1.3 to 4.0 per parr rearing unit (Addendum II). The total smolt production per mi² of drainage area is 96.5 to 296.8 and the total for insular Newfoundland is 2.9 M to 8.9 M smolt.

Sea survival (to the fisheries) is estimated to be $11.4 \%$ (Addendum III). The annual adult escapement (mainly grilse) to West River, St. Barbe, was directly related to the smolt production of the previous year, 1971-1975 ( $r=0.97$ ) (Fig. 2). This suggests that the sea survival and commercial fishing efficiency for salmon from West River is relatively constant.

Estimated total adult production for insular Newfoundland is 330,103 to $1,015,279 \mathrm{salmon}$. The production of salmon by Statistical Area is given in Table 1. Areas B, J, L, A, C and K produce the greater number of fish (ranked in order of greatest production). However, Areas K, L, B, M and J produce the greater number of large salmon (ranked in order of greatest production).

NOTE: The percent large salmon produced in each Area was based on the ratio of large to small salmon as calculated by W.H. Lear for December 2, 1975, Working Party Committee (Table 2).

[^3]
## EXPECTED COMMERCIAL HARVEST OF NEWFOUNDLAND ORIGIN SALMON

Assuming that the Newfoundland commercial fishery exploits $85 \%$ of the large salmon and $55 \%$ of the small salmon, then the expected harvest of Newfoundland origin. large salmon is 210,102 to $646,219 \mathrm{ib}$ and of small salmon is 752,269-2,313,702 1b (Tables 3 and 4). Commercial harvest is also shown for each Statistical Area in Tables 3 and 4. Total harvest for all sizes of salmon is estimated to range from a low of approximately 1.0 M lb to a high of 3.0 M 1b.

Pratt et a1. (1974) reported that $26 \%$ of the harvested Labrador origin salmon is caught in the Newfoundland conmercial fisheries. This is an annual catch of approximately 0.5 M 1 b 。 Hence harvest of Newfoundland and Labrador origin salmon in Newfoundland commercial fisheries range from 1.5 M lb to 3.5 M 1 b .

Notes on Methods:

Estimate of adult Atlantic salmon production in insular Newfoundland and contribution to the commercial fisheries.

1. Calculation of adult productions was based on natural freshwater production of smolts in all rivers that contain Atlantic salmon.
2. Range in smolt production 1.3 to 4.0 smolt per $100 \mathrm{yd}^{2}$. Range in sea survival not given due to lack of data to base assumptions.
3. Total accessible parr rearing units ( $100 \mathrm{yd}^{2}$ ) in insular Newfoundland is 2,230,942.
4. Sea survival is $11.4 \%$ to the fishery.
5. The percent large salmon produced in each Area was based on the ratio of large to small salmon as calculated by H. Lear for the 2nd meeting (December 2, 1975).
6. Commercial fishery exploitation assumed to be $85 \%$ for large salmon and $55 \%$ for small salmon.
7. Newfoundland commercial fishery in Areas $A$ to $E$ harvest 0.5 M 1b of Labrador origin fish.


Fig. 1. Location of streans surveyed to detemine the number of accessible parr rearing units. See Addencium I.


Fig. 2. Snolt production and subsequent adult salmon escupement to West River (St. Barbe), 1971 to 1975.

Table I. Listimated number of smolt and adult salmon produced, percent large salmon, number of large salmon and number of small salmon by Statistical Area. based on 96.5 to 296.8 snolt per square mile and $11.4 \%$ sea survival.


Table 2. Percent large salmon in stock of each Area, 1973, 1974, 1975. Based ratio of large to small salmon as calculated by H.W. Lear for Dec. 2, 1975 Working Party Comittee.

| Area | 1973 |  | 1974 |  | 1975 |  | Percent Large |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Small | Large | Small | Large | Small | Large |  |
| A | 30,950 | 154 | 27,720 | 385 | 28,825 | 0 | 0.61 |
| B | 79,750 | 1,808 | 83,953 | 3,486 | 99,050 | 8,515 | 4.99 |
| C | 21,110 | 400 | 20,463 | 808 | 24,850 | 885 | 3.05 |
| D | 1,630 | 0 | 3,788 | 38 | 1,175 | 38 | 1.14 |
| E | 1,310 | 0 | 1,663 | 77 | 500 | 0 | 2.17 |
| F | 940 | 123 | 638 | 0 | 1,088 | 0 | 4.41 |
| G | 26,975 | 615 | 23,188 | 431 | 31,118 | 308 | 1.64 |
| H | 17,850 | 1,615 | 15,150 | 538 | 7,118 | 462 | 6.12 |
| I | 19,725 | 492 | 18,163 | 346 | 12,188 | 154 | 1.94 |
| J | 67,533 | 2,462 | 76,688 | 2,692 | 79,500 | 6,208 | 4.83 |
| K | 64,300 | 39,115 | 54,000 | 28,615 | 72,138 | 29,077 | 33.70 |
| L | 38,380 | 11,446 | 35,838 | 6,615 | 77,900 | 5,000 | 13.16 |
| M | 32,925 | 6,000 | 20,313 | 4,715 | 25,700 | 2,846 | 14.66 |
| N | 33,100 | 5,692 | 27,953 | 723 | 33,950 | 615 | 6.89 |
| Total | 436,478 | 69,922 | 409,518 | 49,469 | 495,100 | 54,108 |  |

Table 3. Estimated potential haryest of large salmon by Area at $85 \%$ fishing exploitation.

| Area | Estimated Production of large salmon |  |  | Estimated Potential Harvest (No.) |  |  | Average <br> Weight (lb.) | Estimat Harve |  | Potential $\left(1 b_{.}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 163 | - | 500 | 139 | - | 425 | 9.0 | 1,251 | - | 3,825 |
| B | 4,832 | - | 14,863. | 4,107 | - | 12,634 | 9.0 | 36,963 | - | 113,706 |
| C | 733 | - | 2,376 | 623 | - | 2,020 | 9.0 | 5,607 | - | 18,180 |
| D | 40 | - | 123 | 34 | - | 105 | 9.0 | 306 | - | 945 |
| E | 32 | - | 100 | 27 | - | 85 | 9.0 | 243 | - | 765 |
| F | 42 | - | 132 | 36 | - | 112 | 9.0 | 324 | - | 1,008 |
| G | 140 | - | 431 | 119 | - | 366 | 9.0 | 1,071 | - | 3,294 |
| 1 | 876 | - | 2,693 | 745 | - | 2,289 | 9.0 | 6,705 | - | 20,601 |
| I | 275 | - | 846 | 234 | - | 719 | 9.0 | 2,106 | - | 6,471 |
| J | 2,430 | - | 7,474 | 2,066 | - | 6,353 | 9.0 | 18,594 | - | 57,177 |
| $k$ | 8,339 | - | 25,649 | 7,088 | - | 21,802 | 9.5 | 67,336 | - | 207,119 |
| L | 5,050 | - | 15,532 | 4,293 | - | 13,202 | 9.5 | 40,784 | - | 125,419 |
| M | 2,657 | - | 8,174 | 2,258 | - | 6,948 | 10.0 | 22,580 | - | 69,480 |
| N | 506 | - | 1,557 | 430 | - | 1,323 | 9.0 | 3,870 | - | 11,907 |
| Total | 26,156 | - | 80,450 | 22,233 | - | 68,383 | 9.45 | 210,102 | - | 646,219 |

Table 4. Lstimated potential harvest of small salmon by Area at $55 \%$ fishing exploitation.

| Area | Estimated Production of small salmon |  |  | Estimated Potential Harvest (No.). |  |  | Average <br> Weight (lb.) | Estimated Harves | $P$ | tential <br> b.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 26,501 | - | 81,152 | 14,576 |  | 44,833 | 4.5 | 65,590 | - | 201,769 |
| B | 92,010 | - | 282,988 | 50,606 |  | 155,643 | 4.5 | 227,725 | - | 700,395 |
| C | 24,557 | - | 75,530 | 13,506 | - | 41,542 | 4.5 | 60,779 | - | 186,937 |
| D | 3,473 | - | 10,681 | 1,910 | - | 5,875 | 4.5 | 8,596 | - | 26,435 |
| $E$ | 1,461 | - | 4,491 | 804 | - | 2,470 | 4.5 | 3,616 | - | 11,115 |
| F | 933 | - | 2,869 | 513 | - | 1,578 | 4.5 | 2,309 | - | 7,101 |
| G | 8,401 | - | 25,839 | 4,621 |  | 14,211 | 4.5 | 20,792 | - | 63,951 |
| 11 | 13,433 | - | 41,316 | 7,388 | - | 22,724 | 4.5 | 33,247 | - | 102,257 |
| I | 13,911 | - | 42,785 | 7,651 |  | 23,532 | 4.5 | 34,430 | - | 105,893 |
| J | 47,882 | - | 147,268 | 26,335 | - | 80,997 | 4.5 | 118,508 | - | 364,488 |
| K | 16,407 | - | 50,460 | 9,024 | - | 27,753 | 4.5 | 40,607 | - | 124,889 |
| L | 38,373 | - | 102,489 | 21,105 | - | 56,369 | 4.5 | 94,973 | - | 253,660 |
| M | 15,470 | - | 47,580 | 8,509 | - | 26,169 | 4.5 | 38,288 | - | 117,761 |
| N | 6,842 | - | 21,042 | 3,763 | - | 11,573 | 4.5 | 16,934 | - | 52,079 |
| Total | 303,947 | - | 934,829 | 167,171 | - 5 | 514,156 | 4.5 | 752,269 | - | 2,313,702 |

## ADDENDUM I

Accessible parr rearing units for 33 streams in insular Mewfoundland. (See Fig. 1). .

| River | Drainage Area (Mile ${ }^{2}$ ) | Accessible rearing units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Highlands River | 70.7 | 5,957 |
| Crabbs River | 212.6 | 22,044 |
| Barachois River | 93.0 | 10,042 |
| Robinsons River | 169.5 | 16,138 |
| Journois Brook | 24.9 | 1,042 |
| Flat Bay Brook | 245.4 | 19,153 |
| Little Barachois Brook | 136.8 | 8,498 |
| Southwest and Bottom Brook | 314.2 | 22,702 |
| Harry's River | 315.0 | 31,572 |
| Romaines Brook | 38.0 | 2,990 |
| Fox Island River | 75.0 | 7,845 |
| Blanche Brook | 47.9 | 3,755 |
| Little Codroy River | 86.4 | 4,689 |
| O1d Man's Brook | 61.1 | 220 |
| Grand Codroy River | 369.0 | 32,354 |
| Little Brook Ponds | 29.2 | 261 |
| Hughes Brook | 51.1 | 1,536 |
| Goose Arm River | 81.9 | 2,366 |
| Doctors Brook | 30.5 | 639 |
| Liverpool Brook | 24.8 | 2,325 |
| River of Ponds | 332.5 | 5,404 |

Addendum I (Cont'd.)

| River | Drainage Area (Mile ${ }^{2}$ ) | Accessible rearing units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| East River | 52.6 | 5,485 |
| Wild Cove Brook | 31.1 | 160 |
| Cook's Brook | 21.1 | 1,799 |
| Ryan's Brook | 9.1 | 1,185 |
| Fischells Brook | 139.0 | 16,845 |
| Salmonier River | 99.2 | 8,275 |
| Great Barasway Brook | 26.2 | 1,176 |
| Colinet | 61.1 | 6,374 |
| Gander River | 2,470.0 | 190,900 |
| West River (St. Barbe) | 57.6 | 1,435 |
| Garnish River | 82.0 | 9,300 |
| Sops Arm River (Main River) | 404.7 | 20,500 |
| Total | 6,263.2 | 464,966 |

Smolt production per $100 \mathrm{ya}^{2}$ in four rivers in insular Newfoundland and one in Labrador. For each river smolt production was determined by dividing the annual smolt counts by the number of accessible parr rearing units in that system.

| River | Range | Mean | No. of Years $\ldots$. Stock |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indian River | $1.3-1.9$ | 1.6 | 6 | Native |
| Noel Paul Brook | $1.5-1.9$ | 1.7 | 2 | Humber R. <br> eggs |
| West River <br> (St. Barbe) |  |  |  |  |
| Sand Hill River <br> (Labrador) | $1.2-1.8$ | 1.6 | 5 | Native |
| Little Codroy R. |  |  |  |  |

There are a large number of ponds and lakes on West River which are suspected of being used for parr rearing.

There is also evidence on other river systems that lakes are used by parr for rearing purposes. Therefore, when estimating the production of smolt for insular Newfoundland it is reasonable to use $1.3-4.0$ smolt per unit as a production factor.
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APPENDIX VIII.

ESTIMATED STOCK SIZES AT THE BEGINNING OF THE COMMERCIAL FISHERY AND ESTIMATED YIELDS TO THE COMMERCIAL FISHERY

BASED ON ANGLING CATCHES

Stock sizes of Atlantic salmon at the beginning of the comnercial fishery were calculated as follows. The estimated yields of small and large salmon are calculated as the yield from each statistical area (Fig. I) on the basis of the angling catches and angling exploitations during 1973, 74 and 75 in each area. On the basis of angling exploitation rates, the river run for each area is calculated by

$$
N_{n}=\frac{c_{n}}{E(1-e-z)}
$$

where $N_{n}=$ Total River Run to Area.
$C_{n}=$ Angling catch for each Area
E $\left(1-e^{-z}\right)=$ Exploitation Rate in Rivers of each Area.
Then assuming differential levels of fishing and natural mortality rates for snall and large salmon, the survival rates for each total mortality is calculated. This survival rate comesponds to the total run i.e. the number of small or large fish from that area which survives the commercial fishery. The stock sizes of small and large fish are then calculated as follows:

$$
\text { Stock size }=\frac{\text { Number of survivors }}{\text { survival rate }}
$$

Average whole weights for each area are then assigned to the numbers of small and large fish to arrive at the stock size in pounds. The fishing mortality rate (\%) is then applied to arrive at the estimated yield from each area to the commercial fishery (Tables I-6).

The estimated yields (Ibs.) of small and large salmon during 1973-75 that would be derived from Newfoundland and Labrador rivers are as follows:

| Newfoundland |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Small | Large | Small | Large | Small | Large | Total |
| 1973 | $1,080,284$ | 561,504 | 764,775 | $1,269,637$ | $1,845,059$ | $1,831,141$ | $3,676,200$ |
| 1974 | $1,013,544$ | 400,168 | 442,143 | $1,425,124$ | $1,455,683$ | $1,825,292$ | $3,280,975$ |
| 1975 | $1,225,377$ | 430,830 | 883,931 | $1,064,846$ | $2,109,294$ | $1,495,676$ | $3,604,970$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Assumptions:

1. All salmon which are destined to return to the river in that year are in the commercial fishery area and are subject to the same exploitation rates.
2. Angling exploitation rates for each statistical area (A to 0) are estimated on the basis of 1973-75 angling catches and exploitation rates. These are estimated on the basis of numbers of fish entering various fishways and angling catches.
3. The angling exploitation rates in the rivers within each statistical area are equal.
4. Differential levels of fishing and natural mortality are assumed for small and large salmon.
5. Stock sizes of small and large salmon are calculated as follows:

Stock size $=\frac{\text { number of survivors }}{\text { survival rate }}$ (numbers escaping into rivers)
6. Fishing mortality rate is applied to arrive at the estimated yield in numbers from each area.
7. Average whole weights for each area are assigned to numbers of small and large fish, to arrive at stock size in pounds produced in each area.

Fig. I.


Table I. Estimated stock sizes and yields of small salmon in various areas based on 1973 angling catches.

| Area | Angling Catch (No) | River Exploitation Rate $E\left(1-e^{-z}\right)$ | Total <br> River <br> Run | Stock <br> Size <br> (No) | ```Estimated yield from Area (NO)``` | Aver. Wgt, (Ibs) | Estimated yield from Area (1bs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 1238 | 10\% | 12,380 | 30,950 | 17,023 | 4.5 | 76,604 |
| B | 6380 | 20\% | 31,900 | 79,750 | 43,863 | 4.5 | 197,384 |
| C | 2111 | 25\% | 8,444 | 21,110. | 11,611 | 4.5 | 52,250 |
| D | 163 | 25\% | 652 | 1,630 | 897 | 4.5 | 4,037 |
| $E$ | 131 | 25\% | 524 | 1,310 | 721 | 4.5 | 3,246 |
| F | 94 | 25\% | 376 | 940 | 517 | 4.5 | 2,327 |
| G | 2158 | 20\% | 10,790 | 26,975 | 14,836 | 4.5 | 66,762 |
| H | 1785 | 25\% | 7,140 | 17,850 | 9,818 | 4.5 | 44,181 |
| I | 1578 | 20\% | 7,890 | 19,725 | 10,849 | 4.5 | 48,821 |
| J | 4052 | 15\% | 27,013 | 67,533 | 37,143 | 4.5 | 167,144 |
| ${ }_{\sim} \mathrm{K}$ | 6430 | 25\% | 25,720 | 64,300 | 35,365 | 4.5 | 159,143 |
| L | 3838 | 25\% | 15,352 | 38,380 | 21,109 | 4.5 | 94,991 |
| M | 2634 | 20\% | 13,170 | 32,925 | 18,109 | 4.5 | 81,491 |
| N | 2648 | 20\% | 13,240 | 33,100 | 18,205 | 4.5 | 81,923 |
| Nfid. | 35,240 | 20.2\% | 174,591 | 436,478 | 240,063 | 4.5 | 1,080,284 |
| Lab. | 6,180 | 5\% | 123,600 | 309,000 | 169,950 | 4.5 | 784,775 |
| Total | 41,420 | 13.9\% | 298,191 | 745,478 | 410,013 | 4.5 | 1,845,059 |

$$
N=\frac{C_{n}}{E\left(1-e^{-Z}\right)}
$$



Table 4. Estimated stock sizes and yields of large salmon in various areas based on 1974 angling catches.

| Area | Angling <br> Catch <br> ( NO ) | River Exploitation Rate $E\left(I-e^{-2}\right)$ | Total <br> River <br> Run | Stock <br> Size <br> (Ho) | Estinated yield from Area (no) | Aver. Wgt. <br> (LDs) | Estimated <br> yield <br> from Area <br> (Ibs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 4 | 8\% | 50 | 385 | 327 | 9.0 | - 2,943 |
| B | 80 | 16\% | 500 | 3,846 | 3,269 | 9.0 | 29,421 |
| C | 21 | 20\% | 105 | 808 | 687 | 9.0 | 6,183 |
| D | 1 | 20\% | 5 | 38 | 32 | 9.0 | 288 |
| E | 2 | 20\% | 10 | 77 | 65 | 9.0 | 585 |
| F | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| G | 9 | 16\% | 56 | 431 | 366 | 9.0 | 3,294 |
| H | 14 | 20\% | 70 | 538 | 457 | 9.0 | 4,113 |
| $I$ | 9 | 20\% | 45 | 346 | 294 | 9.0 | 2,646 |
| J | 42 | 12\% | 350 | 2,692 | 2,238 | 9.0 | 20,592 |
| $\cdots$ | 744 | 20\% | 3,720 | 28,615 | 24,323 | 9.5 | 231,069 |
| L | 172 | 20\% | 860 | 6,615 | 5,623 | 9.5 | 53,419 |
| M | 98 | 16\% | 613 | 4,715 | 4,008 | 10.0 | 40,080 |
| N | 15 | $16 \%$ | 94 | 723 | 615 | 9.0 | 5,535 |
| Nifla. | 1211 | 18.7\% | 6,478 | 49,831 | 42,356 | 9.45 | 400,168 |
| Lab. | 803 | 3.5\% | 22,943 | 176,485 | 150,013 | 9.5 | 1,425,124 |
| Total | 2014 | $6.9 \%$ | 29,421 | 226,315 | 192,368 | 9.49 | 1,825,292 |

$N=\frac{C_{n}}{E\left(1-e^{-z}\right)}$

|  | Annual Inst. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Fishing Mortality | $85 \%$ (a) $1.90=\log$ e (1-a) |  |
| Natural Mortality | $10 \%$ (n) $0.11=\log$ e (1-n) |  |
| Total Mortality | $87 \%$ (i) $2.01=\log e(1-i)$ |  |
| Survival Rate | $13 \%=e^{-2}$ |  |

Table 2. Estimated stock sizes and yields of large salmon in various areas based on 1973 angling catches.

| Area | Angling Catch ( HO O | River <br> Exploit- <br> ation <br> Rate $E\left(1-e^{-z}\right)$ | Total <br> River <br> Run | $\begin{array}{rc} 1 & \text { Stock } \\ \text { Size } \\ \text { (No) } \end{array}$ | Estimated yield from Area (No) | Aver. <br> Fgt. <br> (1bs) | Estimated yield from Area (Ibs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 2 | 10\% | 20 | 154 | 131 | 9.0 | - 1,179 |
| B | 47 | 20\% | 235 | 1,808 | 1,537 | 9.0 | 13,833 |
| C | 13 | 25\% | 52 | 400 | 340 | 9.0 | 3,060 |
| D | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| E | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| F | 4 | 25\% | 16 | 123 | 105 | 9.0 | 945 |
| G | 20 | 25\% | 80 | 615 | 523 | 9.0 | 4,707 |
| H | 42 | 20\% | 210 | 1,615 | 1,373 | 9.0 | 12,357 |
| I | 16 | 25\% | 64 | 492 | 418 | 9.0 | 3,762 |
| J | 48 | 15\% | 320 | 2,462 | 2,093 | 9.0 | 18,337 |
| $\cdots$ | 1017 | 20\% | 5,085 | 39,115 | 33,248 | 9.5 | 315,856 |
| L | 372 | 25\% | 1,488 | 11,446 | 9,729 | 9.5 | 92,426 |
| M | 195 | 25\% | 780 | 6,000 | 5,100 | 10.0 | 51,000 |
| N | 148 | 20\% | 740 | 5,692 | 4,838 | 9.0 | 43,542 |
| Iffld. | 1924 | $21.2 \%$ | 9,090 | 69,923 | 59,435 | 9.45 | 561,504 |
| Lab. | 1022 | 5\% 20 | 20,440 | 157,231 | 133,646 | 9.51 | 1,269,637 |
| Total | 2946 | 10.0\% | 29,530 | 227,154 | 193,081 | 9.481 | 1,831,141 |


| $N-\frac{C_{n}}{E\left(I-e^{-z}\right)}$ | Annual Inst. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fishing Hortality | 85\% (a | $1.90=\log e(10 a)$ |
|  | Natural Mortality | 10\% (n) | $0.11=\log e(1-n)$ |
|  | Total Mortality | 87\% (i) | $2.01=\log e(1-i)$ |
|  | Survival Rate | 13\% = |  |

Table 3. Estimated stock sizes and yields of small salmon in various areas based on 1974 angling catches,

| Area | Angling Catch (No) | River <br> Exploit- <br> ation <br> Rate <br> $E\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{z}}\right)$ | Total <br> River <br> Run | Stock <br> Size <br> (No) | ```Estimated yield from Area (no)``` | Aver, Wgt. (Ibs) | ```Estimated yield from Area (Ibs)``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 887 | 8\% | 11,088 | 27,720 | 15,246 | 4.5 | 68,607 |
| B | 5373 | 16\% | 33,581 | 83,953 | 46,174 | 4.5 | 207,783 |
| C | 1637 | 20\% | 8,185 | 20,463 | 11,255 | 4.5 | 50,648 |
| D | 303 | 20\% | 1,515 | 3,788 | 2,083 | 4.5 | 9,374 |
| E | 133 | 20\% | 665 | 1,663 | 915 | 4.5 | 4,118 |
| $F$ | 51 | 20\% | 255 | 638 | 351 | 4.5 | 1,580 |
| G | 1484 | 16\% | 9,275 | 23,188 | 12,753 | 4.5 | 57,389 |
| H | 1212 | 20\% | 6,060 | 15,150 | 8,333 | 4.5 | 37,499 |
| I | 1453 | 20\% | 7,265 | 18,103 | 9,990 | 4.5 | 44,955 |
| J | 3681 | 12\% | 30,675 | 76,688 | 42,178 | 4.5 | 189,801 |
| K | 4320 | 20\% | 21,600 | 54,000 | 29,700 | 4.5 | 133,650 |
| L | 2867 | 20\% | 14,335 | 35,838 | 19,711 | 4.5 | 88,700 |
| M | 1300 | 16\% | 8,125 | 20,313 | 11,172 | 4.5 | 50,274 |
| N | 1789 | 16\% | 11,181 | 27,953 | 15,374 | 4.5 | 69,183 |
| Nfld. | 26,490 | 16.2\% | 163,805 | 409,513 | 225,232 | 4.5 | 1,013,544 |
| Lab. | 2,501 | 3.5\% | 71,457 | 178,643 | 98,254 | 4.5 | 442,143 |
| Total | 28,991 | 12.3\% | 235,262 | 588,155 | 323,485 | 4.5 | 1,455,683 |

$$
N=\frac{C n}{E\left(I-e^{-z}\right)}
$$

|  | Annual Inst, |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | $55 \%(\mathrm{a}) \quad 0.80=\log \mathrm{e}(1-\mathrm{a})$ |  |
| Fishing Mortality | $50 \%$ |  |
| Natural Mortality | $10 \%(\mathrm{n}) \quad 0.11=\log \mathrm{e}(1-\mathrm{n})$ |  |
| Total Mortality | $60 \%$ (i) $0.91=\log \mathrm{e}(1-\mathrm{i})$ |  |
| Survival rate | $40 \%=e^{-z}$ |  |

Table 5. Estimated stock sizes and yields of smail salmon in various areas based on 1975 angling catches.

| Area | Angling <br> Catch (No) | River <br> Exploit- <br> ation <br> Rate $E\left(1-e^{-z}\right)$ | Total River Run | Stock Size (NO) | Estimated yield from Area (no) | Aver. <br> Wgt. <br> (Ibs) | Estimated yield from Area (1bs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 1153 | 10\% | 11,530 | 28,825 | 15,854 | 4.5 | 71,343 |
| B | 5943 | 15\% | 39,620 | 99,050 | 54,478 | 4.5 | 245,151 |
| C | 1988 | 20\% | 9,940 | 24,850 | 13,668 | 4.5 | 61,506 |
| D | 94 | 20\% | 470 | 1,175 | 646 | 4.5 | 2,907 |
| E | 40 | 20\% | 200 | 500 | 275 | 4.5 | 1,238 |
| F | 87 | 20\% | 435 | 1,088 | 598 | 4.5 | 2,691 |
| G | 1867 | 15\% | 12,447 | 31,118 | 17,115 | 4.5 | 77,018 |
| H | 427 | 15\% | 2,847 | 7,118 | 3,915 | 4.5 | 17,618 |
| I | 975 | 20\% | 4,875 | 12,188 | 6,703 | 4.5 | 30,164 |
| J | 4770 | 15\% | 31,800 | 79,500 | 43,725 | 4.5 | 196,763 |
| $\ldots$ | 5771 | 20\% | 28,855 | 72,138 | 39,676 | 4.5 | 178,542 |
| L | 6232 | 20\% | 31,160 | 77,900 | 42,845 | 4.5 | 192,803 |
| M | 2056 | 20\% | 10,280 | 25,700 | 14,135 | 4.5 | 63,608 |
| iv | 2715 | 20\% | 13,580 | 33,950 | 18,673 | 4.5 | 84,029 |
| NFId. | 34,119 | 17.2\% | 198,039 | 495,100 | 272,306 | 4.5 | 1, 225,377 |
| :Lab. | 5,000 | 3.5\% | 142,857 | 357,143 | 196,429 | 4.5 | 883,931 |
| Tot. | 39,119 | $11.5 \%$ | 340,896 | 852,240 | 468,732 | 4.5 | 2,109,294 |

* Labrador figures are provisional.

$$
N=\frac{C_{n}}{E\left(1-e^{-z}\right)}
$$

| Annual Inst. |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Fishing Mortality | 55\% (a) $0.80=10 \mathrm{l}$ e (1-a) |
| Natural Mortality | $10 \%$ (n) $0.11=10 \mathrm{e} e(1-n)$ |
| Total Mortality | $60 \%$ (i) $0.91=\log e(1-i)$ |
| Survival Rate | $40 \%=e^{-z}$ |

Table 6. Estimated stock sizes and yields of lange salmon in various areas based on 1975 angling catches.

| Area | Angling <br> Catch <br> (NO) | River <br> Exploit- <br> ation <br> Rate $E\left(1-e^{-z}\right)$ | Total River Run | Stock <br> Size <br> (ivo) | ```Estimated yield from Area (no)``` | Aver. <br> Wgt. <br> (IDs) | Estimated yield from Area (Ibs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B | 166 | 15\% | 1107 | 8,515 | 7,238 | 9.0 | 65,142 |
| C | 23 | 20\% | 115 | 885 | 752 | 9.0 | 6,768 |
| D | 1 | 20\% | 5 | 38 | 32 | 9.0 | 288 |
| E | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| E | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| G | 6 | 15\% | 40 | 308 | 262 | 9.0 | 2,358 |
| H | 9 | 15\% | 60 | 462 | 393 | 9.0 | 3,537 |
| I | 4 | 20\% | 20 | 154 | 131 | 9.0 | 1,179 |
| $J$ | 121 | 15\% | 807 | 6,208 | 5,277 | 9.0 | 47,493 |
| K | 756 | 20\% | 3780 | 29,077 | 24,715 | 9.5 | 234,793 |
| $\cdots$ | 130 | 20\% | 650 | 5,000 | 4,250 | 9.5 | 40,375 |
| M | 74 | 20\% | 370 | 2,846 | 2,419 | 10.0 | 24,190 |
| iv | 16 | 20\% | 80 | 615 | 523 | 9.0 | 4,707 |
| NEId. | 1306 | 18.6\% | 7,034 | 54,108 | 45,992 | 9.37 | 430,830 |
| $\therefore$ Lab. | 600 | 3.5\% | 17,143 | 131,869 | 112,089 | 9.5 | 1,064,846 |
| Total | 1906 | 7.9\% | 24,177 | 185,977 | 158,080 | 9.46 | 1,495,676 |

* Labrador figures are provisional.

$$
N=\frac{C_{n}}{E\left(1-e^{-z}\right)}
$$

|  | Annual Inst. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Fishing Mortality | 85\% (a) $1.90=\log e(1-a)$ |
| Natural Mortality | $10 \%$ ( $n$ ) $0.11-\log e(1-n)$ |
| Total Mortality | $87 \%$ (i) $2.01=\log e(1-i)$ |
| Survival Rate | $13 \%=e^{-z}$ |

APPENDIX IX.

Methodology used to estimate the gains of Atlantic Salmon to Maritimes and Quebec homewaters resulting from changes made to reduce interception in the Newfoundland comnercial fishery.

## INTRODUCTION

The methodology presented here was used to estimate the gain (in numbers of fish and weight) to homewaters that would be derived from proposed regulation changes aimed at reducing the rate of interception of Maritimes and Quebec or igin salmon in the Newfoundland commercial fishery. The methodology was designed to facilitate assessment of options considered in this report and others developed at a later date. Assumed values of the different parameters are also presented.
gENERAL METHODOLOGY AND ASSUMPTIONS
The method employs tag return information in conjunction with catch statistics to estimate the level and distribtuion in time and by area of interception of Maritimes and Quebec origin salmon by the connercial fishery in Newfoundland. Since detailed biological information was sketchy, stocks were grouped according to likeness and by geographical area. Analyses conducted depict minimum estimates of interception of Maritimes and Quebec origin salmon by the Newfoundland commercial fisheries since lack of data prevented the inclusion of estimates for interceptions of most previous spawners and production from some Quebec stocks. Estimates pertain to the period 1969 to 1975 in the Newfoundland fishery and therefore represent averages for that time period.

Stock Grouping in the Analysis
Stocks were grouped in analyses according to likeness and by geographical area.
(i) Quebec Gaspé and upper north stiore - stocks originating from rivers on Anticosti Island, along the lower nor th shore of Quebec and draining into Ungava Bay were excluded from the analyses as data was not available to facilitate estimation of the levels of contribution to the Newfoundland fishery.
(ii) Restigouche River system, New Brunswick
(iii) Miramichi River system, New Brunswick
(iv) Minor rivers of the Maritimes Region in the Gulf of St. Lawrence included all Nova Scotia, Prince Edward Island and New Brunswick rivers that drain into the Gulf of St. Lawrence except those which are part of the Restigouche and Miramichi river systems.
(v) Nova Scotia Atlantic Coast Rivers - included all rivers on the Atlantic coast of Nova Scotia.
(vi) Bay of Fundy Rivers - included all Nova Scotia and New Brunswick rivers that drain into the Bay of Fundy except inner Fundy rivers such as Big Salmon and Stewiacke, which according to smolt tagging information do not contribute to fisheries outside the Bay of Fundy (Unpublished data, J.A. Ritter, Department of Fisheries and Oceans of Canada, Halifax, Nova Scotia).

## Application of Tag Return Information

Estimates of the numbers of salmon of a particular stock or grouping of stocks were determined using tag return information from releases of both hatchery-reared and wild Atlantic salmon smolts released in the years 1968 to 1973. Releases of hatchery-reared smolts utilized in the analysis were confined to smolt groups released in their native stream or nearby river. Data for releases in streams distant from the native river of the smolts were excluded from analyses as salmon under these conditions were assumed to have atypical migration patterns (Ritter 1975). Migration timing, ocean distribution and harvest rates were assumed to represent the stock rather than the river into which the releases were made.

Tag return information was employed in analyses in the following manner:
(i) To estimate the number and weight of salmon of Mar itimes and Quebec origin taken in the Newfoundland fishery. The numbers of salmon of different groupings of stocks and sea-age classes taken in the Newfoundland fishery were determined by using ratios of tagged (v) to tagged plus untagged fish (u) in homewaters ( $H$ ) to estimate the numbers of fish taken in Newfoundland ( $N$ ), i.e., to estimate $N_{u}$ the following relationship was applied.

$$
N_{u}=\frac{N_{v}\left(H_{u}\right)}{\left(H_{v}\right)}
$$

The ratios in homewaters were generally developed using sport fishery catches or counts at monitoring traps. For some groups, particularly hatchery fish, the relative proportions of tags recovered or present in the home river and in Newfoundland were used in place of absolute numbers of tags. The proportions (rather than absolute numbers of tags) were used where it was noted that the hatchery fish varied in age at first maturity from the wild fish they represented in the analysis. For instance, large hatchery smolts originating from a stock (St. John, New Brunswick) that produced grilse and multi-sea -winter salmon in almost equal proportions are producing considerably higher proportions of grilse (Ritter and Carey 1980).

The proportions developed for some lots of hatchery smolts were dependent upon a division of the adult stock into fish that either did or were destined to mature as 1 -sea-winter salmon and fish that did or were destined to mature as multi-sea-winter salmon. The main area of contention in the division of a stock into the two distinct maturity classes lay with the 1 -sea-winter. salmon taken in the Newfoundland fishery. For this separation, each stock or grouping of stocks was treated separately and on the basis of time and location of recapture in the Newfoundland fishery, the 1 -sea-winter salmon were designated as maturing or non-maturing. An ocean migration speed of 32 kilometres per day (equivalent to 20 miles per day) was assumed in these determinations (Personnel communication, H. Lear, Department of Fisheries and Oceans of Canada, St. John's, Newfoundland).

When tag returns were combined for fish of different sea-ages in the development of their proportionate distribution in fisheries and spawning escapements, the numbers of tag recaptures for different sea-age classes were adjusted for differential tag loss rates. Based on data presented by Gray (1973) and subsequent analyses of similar data (Unpublished data, J.A. Ritter), tag loss rates ( $c_{e}$ ) of $0.35,0.45$ and 0.55 were assumed for 1-, 2- and 3 -sea-winter salmon, respectively.

For sets of tag return data for which counting trap information depicting river escapements was used in analyses, tag returns in fisheries were adjusted for a non-reporting by fishermen of tags they recovered. A non-reporting rate $\left(c_{r}\right)$ of 0.30 (i.e., 3 tags out of every 10 recovered in fisheries) was assumed for tag recoveries in all fisheries. For smolt releases for which spawning escapements were not determined directly from trap counts, the non-reporting correction factor was not used because escapements were estimated from the sport catch. For these latter groups it was assumed that the sport fishery took a percentage of the bright salmon entering the freshwater portions of the river . The percentage assumed (i.e., the efficiency of the sport fishery) varied from one river to another with estimates of efficiency being determined independently by such methods as adult tagging studies.
(ii) To estimate the distribution of salmon in time and location in the Newfoundland fishery according to stock grouping, sea-age class and maturity status (i.e., maturing or non-maturing). The tag recaptures in the Newfoundland fishery were used to apportion according to stock and sea-age the estimated harvests of Maritimes and Quebec origin salmon in the Newfoundland fishery by Statistical Area and week. Maturity status was estimated according to time and location in the fishery and assuming an ocean migration speed of 32 kilometers per day. Tag recaptures for which either date and/or location in the fishery were unknown were excluded from this part of the analysis. Average weights of salmon of different sea-age classes, derived from a sampling of the Newfoundland catch (Lear and May 1972), were used to convert number of fish to weight landed ( kg ) by Statistical Area and week.
(iii) To estimate the re-distribution of Maritimes and Quebec origin salmon expected to occur with imposition of a regulatory option to reduce interception. The sequential steps considered in determining the impact of regulatory options are as follows:

- the number of salmon of the different stocks affected or initally not harvested as a result of a regulation change depends upon the fishing time and area encompassed by the regulation change;
- the affected fish either esacape the Newfoundland fishery or are harvested in the subsequent time interval and/or adjacent Area not encompassed by the regulation change;
- Quebec and Maritimes salmon escaping the Newfoundland fishery were assumed to be either maturing and westbound or non-maturing and nor thbound;
- a portion of the affected salmon escaping the Newfoundland fishery succumb to natural mortality prior to entering another fishery or their respective home rivers;
- affected salmon northoound and escaping the Newfoundland fishery were assumed to be too late to enter the Greenland fishery that same year (based on adult tagging studies in the sea along the coast of Newfoundland, per sonal communication, H. Lear); and
- affected salmon nor thbound and escaping the Newfoundland fishery were asssumed to be re-distributed among fisheries (excluding the Greenland fishery in the same year) and the home river in the same proportion as the remaining fish in the sea of that particular stock or stock grouping and as estimated from tag recapture information.

Following identification of westbound (maturing) and nor thbound (nonmaturing) salmon affected by regulation change, the numbers of affected fish that would be harvested in adjacent Statistical Areas and weekly time intervals not encompassed by the regulation change were estimated. Exploitation rates for west- and northbound salmon escaping from a particular Area were based on recapture data from adult tagging studies in the sea-(per sonal communication, H. Lear), and smolt tagging investigations in Maritimes and Quebec rivers (Table 1). The numbers of affected fish harvested enroute through the Newfoundland fishery were estimated assuming a travel rate of 32 kilometers per day and utilizing exploitation rates given in Table 1 . The affected fish escaping the Newfoundland fishery west and north were determined by subtracting the harvested numbers from the numbers of fish initially affected by the regulation change.

Affected fish escaping west and north from the Newfoundland fishery were re-distributed among the different fisheries and to the home river using tag recapture data adjusted for natural mortality. Monthly natural mortality rates of 0.04 for each of the winter months January, Feburary and March, and 0.01 for the other months were assumed. Doubleday et al (1980) suggest that monthly natural mortality rates for North Atlantic salmon in the sea, 1-sea-winter and older, lie between 0.005 and 0.01 .

Numbers of fish 're-distributed to Maritimes and Quebec homewaters were converted to weight by applying mean weights in homewaters according to stock origin and sea-age class. Mean weights of salmon in homewaters were available from ongoing biological sampling programs in Maritimes and Quebec rivers.

## DETAILED METHODOLOGY

Maritimes and Quebec origin salmon re-distributed through regulation changes to the :ra, ....iand comarani samon fithery were estimated through separation and treatment of the affected fish according to stock or grouping of stocks, sea-age and maturity status. For a particular stock and depending upon the complexity of it, analyses were conducted on five or less sea-age and maturity classes. Potential gains to Maritimes and Quebec homewaters were estimated in terms of numbers of fish and weight.

I IMPACT IN NUMBERS OF FISH GAINED
Maturing 1-sea-winter Salmon
The numbers of maturing 1-sea-winter salmon arriving in Maritimes and Quebec homewaters as a result of regulation changes to the Newfoundland fishery to reduce the rate of interception were determined through use of the following expressions.

$$
\begin{aligned}
A= & A_{1}+A_{2}+A_{3}+\cdots \cdots \\
A_{1}= & \left.n_{1}\left[1-r_{1} t_{1}\right)+\left(1-r_{1} t a_{1}\right)\left(1-r_{2} t_{2}\right)+\left(1-r_{1} t_{1}\right)\left(1-r_{2} t_{2}\right)\left(1-r_{3} t_{3}\right)+\cdots-\cdots\right] e^{-m_{1} t} 1
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { where, } A=
$$

number of maturing 1 -sea-winter salmon arriving in homewaters subsequent to escaping the Newfoundland area as a result of a regulation change. $A_{1--n}$ represent the numbers of fish that originate from individual statistical Areas and weekly time intervals.
n $n_{-\infty}$ number of maturing 1 -sea-winter salmon of a mainland stock or grouping of stocks, in individual Statistical Areas and weekly time intervals, affected by the regulation change.
$r_{1-n}=$ the exploitation rate on the affected fish in different Statistical Areas; this varies with the Statistical Area and its location relative to the Statistic Area from which the fish were initally released as a result of the regulation change (see Table 1).
ta $=$ the proportion of the time that fish released by the regulation change are subjected to exploitation.
$t a=1-t_{r}$ where, $t a \geq 0$ and $\leq 1$; if ta is negative, taco. $t_{f}$
$t_{f}=$ time to travel through the Statistical Area assuming a travel speed of 32 kilometers per day. $t_{f}$ is assumed to equal zero in the Statistical Area from which the fish were released by the regulation change.
$t_{r}=$ the proportion of the time that the regulation is in effect while the affected fish $\left(n_{1}\right)$ are in the Statistical Area.
$m_{1}=$ monthly natural mortality rate assumed to be 0.01 ; natural mortality rate applied only to the fish escaping the Newfoundland area.
$t_{1}=$ time in months from time fish initially released from the Newfoundland fishery (i.e., when affected) to time of arrival in Maritime and Quebec homewaters.

Maturing 2- and 3- sea-winter salmon
The numbers of maturing 2-sea-winter (B) and 3-sea-winter salmon (C) arriving in Mar times and Quebec homewaters subsequent to being released from the Newfoundland area were estimated by the same methodology outlined for the maturing 1 -sea-winter salmon ( $A$ ).

## Non-Maturing 1 -sea-winter salmon

The following expressions were used to estimate the numbers of salmon of different sea-ages arriving in homewaters as a result of regulation changes made to the Newfoundland fishery. Salmon of different sea-ages arriving in homewaters as estimated by the following methodology all stem from the non-maturing 1 -sea-winter salmon released from the fishery.

$$
D=D_{1}+D_{2}+D_{3}+\cdots-\cdots
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{1}=d_{1}\left[\left(1-r_{1} t a_{1}\right)+\left(1-r_{1} t a_{1}\right)\left(1-r_{2} t a_{2}\right)+\left(1-r_{1} t a_{1}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\left(1-r_{2} t a_{2}\right)\left(1-r_{3} t a_{3}\right)+----\right] e^{-\left(m_{1} t_{1}+m_{2} t_{2}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where, $D=$ number of non-maturing 1 -sea-winter salmon escaping the Newfoundland fishery as a result of a regulation change. $D_{1}--n$ represent the numbers of fish that originated from different Statistical Areas and time intervals, available in the sea at a specific time after the Newfoundland fishery, before or at the onset of another fishery that the affected fish are assumed to encounter. Since fish released from the Newfoundland fishery would not be harvested in the Greenland fishery in the same year (based on adult tagging studies in the Newfoundland area, H. Lear, personal communication), the expression D was calculated to represent the number of affected fish available in the sea at the onset of the Newfoundland fishery on 2-sea-winter salmon the next year. Monthly natural mortality rates of $0.01\left(m_{1}\right)$ and $0.04\left(\mathrm{~m}_{2}\right)$ were assumed; the 0.04 rate. was applied to the months January, February and March and the 0.01 rate to all other months.

The distribution of the fish available in the sea at time of the Newfoundland fishery on 2-sea-winter salmon were defined from the proportionate distribution of tagged salmon as shown in Table 2. The absolute numbers expected in the different fisheries and the river were determined as follows:

Number of non-maturing 1-sea-winter salmon harvested as
2-sea-winter salmon in the Newfoundland fishery $=\mathrm{D} \cdot \mathrm{p} \cdot \mathrm{i}_{1}$
where, $D=$ number of non-maturing 1 -sea-winter salmon escaping the Newfoundland fishery as a result of regulation change and available in the sea at time of harvest of 2 -sea-winter salmon in the Newfoundland fishery.
$p$ = the proportion of the stock available in the sea that would have
$N_{2}$ been harvested as 2 -sea-winter salmon in Newfoundland had the rate of interception not been reduced (see Table 2 for relative harvest proportions before reduction in interception).
$i_{1}=$ the relative proportion to which the rate of interception of 2-sea-winter-salmon in Newfoundland was reduced i:e., $i_{1}=$ number harvested after reduction divided by the number harvested before reduction in interception.

Number of 2-sea-winter salmon escaping the
Newfoundland fishery as a result of reduction
in rate of interception (this applies only to
the re-distributed non-maturing 1 -sed-winter salmon) $=0 \cdot p_{N_{2}}\left(1-i_{1}\right)$

$$
=g_{1}+h_{1}
$$

where, $g_{1}$ equals the number of maturing 2 -sea-winter salmon and $h_{1}$ the number of non-maturing 2 -sea-winter salmon escaping the fishery. This division of maturing and non-maturing 2 -sea-winter salmon was based on relative
proportions of the same determined for the original 2-sea-winter salmon affected by and escaping the Newfoundland fishery. Maturity status of the original 2-sea-winter salmon was based on time and location of captive in the fishery.

Number of maturing 2-sea-winter salmon arriving $\quad-m_{1} t_{1}$ in Maritimes or Quebec homewaters $=g_{1}$ e

Number of non-maturing 2-sea-winter salmon $\begin{aligned} & \text { available at the time of the Newfoundland } \\ & \text { fishery for } 3 \text {-sea-winter salmon }\end{aligned}=h_{1} \cdot e^{-\left(m_{1} t_{1}+m_{2} t_{2}\right)}$

The destination of the non-maturing 2 -sea-winter salmon as 3 -sea-winter salmon was determined from tag return information and according to the methodology show in Table 2.

Number harvested as 3 -sea-winter salmon $=h_{1} \cdot p_{N_{3}} i_{2} \cdot e^{-\left(m_{1} t{ }_{1}+m_{2} t_{2}\right)}$
in the Newfoundland fishery
where, $i_{2}$ equals the proportion to which the rate of interception of 3 -sea-winter salmon in the Newfoundland fishery was reduced.

Number of 3-sea-winter salmon escaping $\begin{aligned} & \text { the Newfoundlang fishery as a result of } \\ & \text { reduction in the rate of interception }\end{aligned}=h_{1} \cdot p\left(1-i_{2}\right) e^{-\left(m_{1} t_{1}+m_{2} t_{2}\right)}$ reduction in the rate of interception $=h_{1} \cdot P_{N_{3}}\left(1-i_{2}\right) e$

The 3-sea winter, salmon escaping the Newfoundland fishery would be maturing and with their number being reduced only by natural mortality they would be distributed between mainland miscellaneous fisheries and the mainland river according to the relative proportion of each as determined by methodology shown in Table 2.

The number of non-macuring l-sea-winter salmon designated to be harvested at West Greenland as 2 -sea-winter salmon was estimated using the tag return information and methodology shown in Table 2.

Number harvested as 2 -sea-winter salmon $=0 \quad-\left(m_{1} t_{1}+m_{2} t_{2}\right)$ at West Greenland
where, $P_{G_{2}} \frac{1}{2}$ equals the proportion of the stock available in the sea. that is designated to be harvested as 2 -sca-winter salmon in West Greenland. $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ is the proportion calculated from tag return information which applies to a Greenland fishery generally exceeding 2000 tonnes. Since th present quota and recent catches have been roughly half the earlier catches (i.e., the quota is 1190 tonnes), the proportion designated to the West Greentand fishery was reduced by $50 \%$ (i.e., multiplied by $\frac{1}{2}$ ).
The fish not harvested in the West Greenland fishery were re-distributed as 3 -sea-winter salmon in the same manner as descr ibed previously for non-maturing 2 -sea-winter salmon re-distributed from the Newfoundland fishery.

The number of non-maturing 1 -sea-winter salmon designated to be harvested in Newfoundland as 3 -sea-winter salmon was estimated using tag return information and the methodology shown in Table 2 .

Number harvested as 3-sea-winter salmon in Newfoundland


The number of 3-sea-winter salmon displaced from the Newfoundland fishery would be re-distributed to the mainland miscellaneous fisheries and mainland river according to their relative proportions as estimated from tag return information.

The numbers of non-maturing 1 -sea-winter salmon designated to return as 2 -sea-winter and 3 -sea-winter salmon to the mainland miscellaneous fisher ies and mainland river were estimated as follows:

Number harvested as 2 -sea-winter salmon
in mainland miscellaneous fisheries
Number returning to mainland river as 2-sea-winter salmon

$$
=D \cdot p_{M_{2}} \cdot e
$$

$$
-\left(m_{1} t_{1}+m_{2} t_{2}\right)
$$

as 2-sea-winter salmon

$$
=D \cdot p \cdot e
$$

$$
R_{2}
$$

Number harvested as 3-sea-winter salmon
in mainland miscellaneous fisheries $=D \cdot p_{M_{3}}$ e
and,
Number returning as 3-sea-winter
salmon to mainland river

$$
=0 \cdot p_{R_{3}} \cdot e
$$

$$
-\left(m_{1} t_{1}+m_{2} t_{2}\right)
$$

Non-maturing 2 -sea-winter salmon
The destination of the non-maturing 2-sea-winter salmon (E) escaping the Newfoundland area as a result of a reduction in the rate of interception was determined by methodology similar to that described for the non-maturing 1 -sea-winter salmon (D).

## II IMPACT IN WEIGHT OF FISH GAINED

The weight of all salmon released to homewaters (that includes to mainland miscellanewous fisheries and mainland river) was determined by using mean weights for salmon in homewaters separated according sea-age and stock. Mean weights utilized were from ongoing biological sampling programs in Maritimes and Quebec rivers.
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Table 1. Exploitation rates ( $r$ ) for salmon of Martimes and Quebec origin released from the different Statistical Areas in the Newfoundland fishery. Rates based on driftnet tagging and recapture data provided by $H$. Lear, personal communication, and smolt tagging and recapture data for Mayitimes and Quebec salmon stocks.

| RELEASED FROM AREA | EXPLOITATION RATES ( $r$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K |
| 0 |  |  |  |  |  | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0 . \end{gathered}$ |  | 0.20 | 0.15 | 0.05 | 0 | 0.05 | 0 | 0.05 | 0 | $0.05^{\circ}$ | 0 |
| B |  | $1^{0.20}$ |  | 0.15 | 0.05 | 0 | 0.05 | 0 | 0.05 | 0 | 0.05 | 0 |
| C |  | $1^{0.20}$ | 0.15 |  | 0.05 | 0 | 0.05 | 0 | 0.05 | 0 | 0.05 | 0 |
| D |  | $1^{0.10}$ | 0.10 | 0.10 |  | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0 | 0.05 | 0 | 0.05 | 0 |
| E | 0 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.05 |  | 0.05 | 0 | 0.05 | 0 | 0.05 | 0 |
| F | 0 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 |  | 0 | 0.10. | 0 | 0.10 | 0 |
| G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.10 | 0 | 0.10 | 0 |
| H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.15 | 0 |
| I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.15 |  |
| J |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  | 0 |
| K |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |

[^4]Table 2. Methodology used to estimate the destination in fisheries and the home river for non-maturing salmon escaping the Newfoundland fishery as a resuit of regulation changes. Data shown here are not representative of any individual stock or grouping of stocks.

Tagged Salmon a of Designated Age
Class Available at Time of Fishery


Mid date of capture/presence:June 1 June 15 July1 Sept1 Junel June15July 1
a Numbers of tagged salmon show in this table have previously been adjusted for differential tag $\operatorname{loss}\left(c_{e}\right)$ and non-reporting of tags recovered in fisheries $\left(c_{r}\right)$. Relative distribution of adjusted tag returns is representative of the estimated distribution of salmon of different sea age classes (designated by sea-winters, i.e., SW) in various fisheries.
b Proportionate distributions of tagged salmon (p) represent the estimated distribution relative to destination in fisheries and home river for salmon of a particular stock at a designated time in their sea-life.
$C$ Number of tag recaptures in Mainiand River $(R)$ include tags recovered in the commercial fisheries in and near the river $(n)$, in the sport fishery (a), in other fisheries such as the native food fishery (0) and in the spawning escapement (s),

$$
\text { i.e., } R=\left[(n+a+0)\left(\frac{1}{\left(1-c_{r}\right)}+s\right]\left[\frac{1}{1-c_{e}}\right]\right.
$$

In order to arrive at a weight of the salmon catch for each stock grouping in each Nemfoundland Statistical Area by week, several steps had to be taken. We will use the Miramichi wild smolt tagging studies to explain the method.
(i) First it was necessary to determine the total number of 1 - and 2- seaminter salmon being harvested in Newfoundland waters in a representative period that were of Miramichi origin. Tagging data and detailed commercial catch data from Newfoundand were essential. The 1971 to 1973 wild smolt cagging data (after ban transition year) were used as well as the 1972 to 1975 Miramichi angling data. Using these data we utilized a simple ratio formula to estimate catches in Newfoundland. (Non-reporting rates in the Miramichi angling fishery \& in the Nfld. commercial. fishery were assumed to be equal.)

Exploitation rates, necessary for calculations were estimated separately for 1 - and 2 - sea-winter salmon in the home angling and Nfld. Commercial fisheries. Next, average angling catches per year were calculated for $1-$ \& $2-$ sea-winter Miramichi salmon for the period corresponding with the tag return years to the Miramichi. Using the principle that we now know exploitation rate and actual numbers harvested in the sport catch and the exploitation rate in the Newfoundland commercial fishery on both 1- or 2- sea-winter salmon according to the following relationship:

Miramichi angling exploitation Nfld. commercial exploitation
(1-or 2-s-w) =

Average total no. angled
(1-2- $\mathrm{s}-\mathrm{w}$ )
$=$ rate
where $\mathrm{X}=$ no. of 1 - or 2 - sea-winter salmon of Miramichi origin caught in Nfld. fishery.
(ii) From these numbers, total weight of Miramichi salmon could them be calculated using average weights decided upon in Appendix 4.
(iii) Miramichi caught fish then had to be distributed within areas from which tag returns were recorded. To do this, all wild smolt tag returns in Newfoundland from the 1968 to 1974 tagging years were first distributed according to area of recapture. One and $2-$ sea-winter tagged salmon were treated separately. Tags with insufficient information to assign by area were rejected and it was assumed that the tag returns which were not usable were randomly distributed within the Nfld. areas.

Total tag returns for all areas were equal to 100 percent, then the appropriate percentage for each Area was calculated. (Table 2 and 3) With these calculated percentages the total
numbers or weight of Miramichi salmon could be apportioned according to Area. It was assumed that tagged and untagged fish were similarly distributed.
(iv) After distributing the Miramichi caught fish by area, it was then necessary to distribute them by week within the respective Areas. Tag returns were tabulated according to week of recapture by Area, totalled, and converted to percent recapture per week (Table 4 and 5). Area totals of Miramichi salmon were then apportioned by week utilizing the calculated weekly percentages. Standard weeks were employed. Any tags with insufficient timing data to assign them to a recapture week were disregarded and it was assumed that tags with incomplete data were randomly distributed by Area and by week. One and 2- seawinter salmon were dealt with individually.

TABLE 2. Recaptures of 1 -sea-winter salmon in Newfoundland from wild Miramichi smolt tagging (1968-1974) distributed according to statistical Area

| STATISTICAL <br> AREA | TOTAL TAG RETURNS FROM <br> EACH AREA | PERCENT RETURNS <br> FROM EACH AREA (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 57 | 32.9 |
| B | 27 | 15.6 |
| C | 16 | 9.2 |
| D , | 22 | 12.8 |
| E | 10 | 5.8 |
| F | 8 | 4.6 |
| G | 2 | 1.2 |
| H | 17 | 9.8 |
| I | 5 | 2.9 |
| J | 8 | 4.6 |
| K | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 0.6 |
| TOTALS | 173 | 100.0 |

TABLE 3. Recaptures of 2-sea-winter in Newfoundland from wild Miramichi smolt tagging (1968 to 1974) distributed according to statistical Area

| $\begin{array}{c}\text { STATISTICAL } \\ \text { AREA }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { TOTAL TAG } \\ \text { RETURNS FROM } \\ \text { EACH AREA }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { PERCENT RETURNS } \\ \text { FROM EACH }\end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AREA (\%) |$]$|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| A | 6 |
| B | 8 |
| D | 19 |

TABLE 4. Percentage distribution of l-sea-minter tag returns from Miramichi wild smolt tagging (1963-74) by week, by vfla. Statistical Area. Each Area total may vary slightly Erom $100 \%$ because of rounding.

| WEEK STATISTICAL AREA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WEER | $\bar{A}$ | 3 | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | 0 |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 1.8 |  |  |  | 10 | 12.5 |  | 11.8 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 1.8 | 7.4 |  |  | 10 | - |  | - |  | 12.5 |  |  |
| 6 | 3.2 | 11.1 | 13.8 | 13.6 | 20 | 12.5 |  | 5.9 | 20 | 12.5 |  |  |
| 7 | 7.0 | 7.4 | 12.5 | 13.6 | 10 | 50 |  | 17.6 | 20 | 37.5 |  |  |
| 8 | 17.5 | 22.2 | 25.0 | 13.6 | 10 | 12.5 | 100 | . 41.2 | 20 | 12.5 |  |  |
| 9 | 24.6 | 29.6 | 18.8 | 31.8 | 30 |  |  | 17.6 | 20 | 12.5 |  |  |
| 10 | 10.5 | 11.1 | 18.8 | 9.1 | 10 |  |  | 5.9 | 20 | 12.5 |  |  |
| 11 | 15.7 | 7.4 | - | 4.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | - | - | 6.3 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | 3.5 | 3.7 |  | 9.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10.0 |
| 14 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 3.5 |  |  |  |  | 12.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 1.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | 3.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5. Percentage aistribution of 2-seatmincer tag returns from Miramichi wile smolt tagging (1968-74) by week, by vila. Statistical Area. Each Ayea total percencage may vary slightly from 100 because of rounding.

| WEEK | STATESTECAE AREA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bar{A}$ | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | 0 |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.1 |  |  |
| 2 |  |  | 5.3 |  | 16.7 | 20 |  | 25 |  | 8.7 |  |  |
| 3 |  |  | 15.8 | 50 | 16.7 | 40 |  | 25 |  | 17.9 | , |  |
| 4 |  | 37.5 | 26.3 | 20 | 3.3 .3 | 20 |  | 25 |  | 13.8 |  |  |
| 5 |  | 37.5 | 15.8 | 20 | 33.3 | - |  | - |  | 24.1 |  |  |
| 6 | 66.6 | - | 21.0 | 10 |  | 20 |  | 25 |  | 11.4 |  | 33.3 |
| 7 | - | 12.5 | 5.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.8 | 100 | - |
| 8 | - | - | 5.3 |  |  |  |  |  | 100 | 6.8 |  | 33.3 |
| 9 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  | - |
| 10 | 16.7 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  | - |
| 11 | 16.7 | 12.5 |  |  | - |  |  |  |  | - |  | - |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  | 33.3 |
| 13 |  |  |  |  |  | $=$ |  |  |  |  | . |  |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |  | $=$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Assumptions Made in Determining Number, Distribution and Timing of Miramichi Origin Salmon in the Newfoundland Commercial Salmon Fishery
(1) In order to arrive at an estimate of exploitation rates, timing of harvest, location of harvest, etc., wild smolt tagging studies carried out between 1968 and 1976 on the Miramichi were used. In most calculations, the data from the 1968 to 1973 taggings were used because returns were essentially complcte.
(2) Tagged wild Miramichi smolt were assumed to behave in a similar manner to wild untagged smolt in relation to migration route, timing of migration, exploitation rate, natural mortality, etc.
(3) All recaptures of wild smolt in Newfoundland waters for which complete data was available were used, i.e., recapture date, location, method of capture and preferably with a scale sample.
(4) By averaging percent recapture of tagged Miramichi smolt in the Newfoundland fisheries between the years 1969 and 1975 we obtained an "average" year's distribution. Recaptures were allocated to Newfoundland statistical districts and then split up into week of recapture according to week "formula" decided on by committee.

The data used represents an "average" distribution and timing picture. It is recognized that these "averages" could be exceeded or not be reached in any particular year because of changing effort due to ice conditions, storms, etc.

To determine the actual "average" catch of Miramichi salmon in Newfoundland waters, individual years' tagging data on a return/1000 tagged were analyzed. The 1970 data was not used because of the "overlap" with the ban's introduction. Because of the similarity between exploitation rates for Newfoundland fisheries obtained from the 1968 and 1969 data versus the 1971 to 1973 data, we used the latter as they could be compared directly with detailed comercial data available from Newfoundland. Escapement to spawn in the Miramichi was determined using $20 \%$ exploitation by "home-water" anglers.

To determine actual numbers of Miramichi salmon harvested in Newfoundland fishery, it was assumed that percent angling exploitation of tagged fish in the Miramichi was related to total angling catch and that, when compared with the calculated percent commercial exploitation of tagged fish in Newfoundland, the untagged harvest in Newfoundland could be calculated. It was assumed that there was no natural mortality to home waters from Newfoundland and that no tag loss took place during this The total numbers of Miramichi fish harvested were then allocated to area and week of capture.
(7) Because of non-reporting and ice conditions (see Henry Lear's calculations), additional tags not reported or missed in Area "J" were adied to tables at a later date.
(8) The numbers of Miramichi Eish caught in Newfoundland by area by week were then converted to pounds of Eish using 4.41 lb ( 1.98 kg )/Eish for $1-s-6$ samon and $9.92 \mathrm{lb}(4.46 \mathrm{~kg}) /$ Eish Eor 2-s-w salmon (according to average weights detemined in Newfoundland fisheries).
(9) Assuming that Maritimes wished to see more of the harvested Miramichi fish escape Nerfoundland, possible variations (options)
to the present fishing regime were proposed. These options would provide for different escapement levels from Newfoundland depending on which one was imposed.

In order to determine how various options affected Miramichi stocks in Newfoundland, it was necessary to determine exploitation rates from statistical area to statistical area (J. Ritter) and travel time along the coast and to home waters (H. Lear).
(11) Timing of recapture data from the east coast of Newfoundlana demonstrated that approximately $60 \%$ of the one-sea-year salmon of Miramichi origin were not headed home as "grilse", but were destined to mature as $2-s-w$ salmon. It was also assumed that these salmon did not go to Greenland to be harvested beiore returning to Newfoundland waters the next year. They were, however, subject to a natural mortality (NM) of 19 s before reentering the Newfoundland fishery.

All l-s-w salmon on the south coast of Newfoundland were headed "home" and all 2-s-w salmon of Miramichi origin in Newfoundland waters were headed home that same year.
(12) It had to be assumed that the $1-s-w$ salmon not heading home would return to the Newfoundand fishery the next year as $2-s-m$ salmon and some would be harvested. It was obvious that the exploitation rate would be altered because the "option" would now be in force.

Therefore, using the initial exploitation rate for all $2-s-w$ or potential 2-s-w Miramichi salmon in Newfoundland prior to the options introduction (38\%), the exploitation rate for each option was calculated. The basis for this calculation was the "average" harvest of $2-s-w$ salmon prior to the ban compared to the new harvest with the option in force.

Option | I B |
| ---: |
| II A |

(13) Assumed 20 miles/day travel by salmon.
(14) Most Maritimes Gulf stocks behaved as the Miramichi fish until we get up to Restigouche area.
(15) Angling data collected from rivers such as the Miramichi is comparable to data collected from the smaller Gulf rivers.
(16) Tag losses do not significantly alter the exploitation rates calculated.

> Methodology to allocate estimates of Quebec origin salmon into areas $\frac{\text { and week of capture in tho }}{\text { Nfldabrador fisheries }}$

Tagging data from smolt released between 1968-1972 were used to allocate the estimated numbers of Queber origin salmon in the Nfld fisheries into areas and weeks of capture. The proportions of tags returned from the different statistical areas were first calculated and then applied to the numbers of 1 SW , 2 SW , and 3 SW salmon estimates. Weight estimates were found by converting numbers to kilograms of salmon using the follow average weights: $2,04 \mathrm{~kg}$ for $1 \mathrm{SW}, 4,48 \mathrm{~kg}$ for 2 SW and $7,69 \mathrm{~kg}$ for 3 SW salmon. (Tables $1,2,3,4$ ).

The same tagging data base was also used to further refine the catch estimates of statiscal areas into weeks of capture. A standard week definition was first established and the proportions of tags returned from individual weeks has then been calculated for each statistical areas and for each age groups. These proportions were then applied to the catch estimates of each statistical areas then providing an estimate by age group of the numbers of salmon intercepted during the individual fishing weeks and in different statistical areas. Numbers were then converted to kilograms of salmon using the same average weights as above (table 5,6,7,8).

As a result of this allocation process of the catch estimates into statistical areas and week of captures, some discrepancies appeared between the catch estimates of mainland origin salmon and the total Newfoundland catch in some areas and in some week. Adjustments were then made to reconcile catch estimates and total catches. Results of this exercice appear in table 9 which was designed to replace data from table 8 . This table also includes an adjusted estimate for area $J$ which was not taken into account in tables $5,6,7$ and 8.

Table I. Estimates of captures in Newfoundland of 1 SW Quebec origin salmon.

| Area | Proportionate distribution | Number of fish | Weight of fish (kg) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 9,7 | 469 | 957 |
| A | 19,3 | 933 | 1903 |
| B | 10, 3 | 933 | 1903 |
| C | 12,9 | 624 | 1273 |
| D | 6,4 | 309 | 630 |
| $E$ | 3,3 | 160 | 327 |
| F | 0,0 | 0 | 0 |
| G | 0,0 | 0 | 0 |
| H | 12,9 | 624 | 1273 |
| I | 0,0 | 0 | 0 |
| J | 12,9 | 624 | 1273 |
| K | 0,0 | 0 | 0 |
| L | 3,3 | 160 | 326 |
| TOTAL: | 100,0 | 4836 | 9865 |

Table 2. Estimates of captures in Newfounland of 2 SW Quebec origin salmon.

| Area | Proportionate distribution | Number <br> of fish | Weight of fish (kg) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 10,2 | 4226 | 18932 |
| A | 18,8 | 7790 | 34899 |
| B | 8,4 | 3480 | 15590 |
| C | 12,1 | 5013 | 22458 |
| D | 5,8 | 2403 | 10763 |
| E | 14,0 | 5801 | 25989 |
| F | 8,4 | 3480 | 15590 |
| G | 0,0 | 0 | 0 |
| H | 2,8 | 1160 | 5197 |
| I | 3,7 | 1533 | 6868 |
| J | 15,8 | 6547 | 29331 |
| K | 0,0 | 0 | 0 |
| L | 0,0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total: | 100,00 | 41433 | 185620 |


| Table 3. Estimate of captures in Newfoundland of 3 SW Quebec origin salmon |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area | Proportionate distribution | Number of fish | Weight of fisin (kg) |
| 0 | 9,1 | 146 | 1123 |
| A | 9,1 | 146 | 1123 |
| B | 0,0 | 0 | 0 |
| C | 0,0 | 0 | 0 |
| D | 0,0 | 0 | 0 |
| E | 9,1 | 146 | 1123 |
| F | 18,2 | 293 | 2252 |
| G | 0,0 | 0 | 0 |
| H | 0,0 | 0 | 0 |
| I | 9,1 | 146 | 1123 |
| J | 45,4 | 730 | 5614 |
| K | 0,0 | 0 | 0 |
| L | 0,0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL: | 100,00 | 1607 | 12358 |




|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\rightarrow$ ¢ | 0 | A | 3 | $\square$ | 0 | $\pm$ | $?$ | $G$ | $\therefore$ | : | j | $\because$ | $\therefore$ | $\square$ |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | : |
| $\vdots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\because$ |
| - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | : |
| 5 |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 155 \\ (565) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 156 \\ (688) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 156 \\ 650 \end{array}$ |  |  | $3: 2$ |
| 7 |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 31: \\ (1371) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 312 \\ (1376) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 156 \\ (583) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 |  | $\begin{gathered} 150 \\ (686) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 311 \\ (1372) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 156 \\ (588) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 352 \\ (1376) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 312 \\ (13: 7) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| 9 | $\begin{gathered} 159 \\ (589) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 465 \\ (2057) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 150 \\ (686) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \pm 36 \\ (6=0) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 136 \\ (658) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & =09: \\ & -3.3 \end{aligned}$ |
| 10 |  | $\begin{gathered} \frac{156}{(686)} \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 154 \\ (681) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 360 \\ & (100) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 470 \\ (2073) \end{gathered}$ |
| 11 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 156 \\ & (505) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 136 \\ (586) \end{gathered}$ |
| 12 | $\begin{aligned} & 157 \\ & 4687 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 137 \\ & 637 \end{aligned}$ |
| 33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \% |
| 1- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| $\therefore 5$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 1\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| Otier | $\begin{gathered} 155 \\ (690) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 155 \\ (687) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 470 \\ (1377) \end{gathered}$ |
| -c:as | $\begin{gathered} 469 \\ (2068) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 933 \\ (4115) \end{gathered}$ | $\left(\begin{array}{c} 933 \\ (-115) \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} 626 \\ (2752) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 309 \\ (1362) \end{gathered}$ | * | 0 | 0 | $\begin{gathered} 624 \\ (2752) \end{gathered}$ | 0 | $\begin{gathered} 624 \\ (2752) \end{gathered}$ | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 600 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 633 t \\ 20202 \end{gathered}$ |




| yeex | 0 | A | 3 | $c$ | D | $三$ | ${ }^{\text {F }}$ | 6 | 4 | [ | $J$ | \% | : | : $:=$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 387 \\ (3837) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 39- \\ 395 \end{array}$ |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1150 \\ & (11509) \end{aligned}$ | $1150$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 380 \\ (3825) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1150 \\ \{11509\} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 387 \\ 3535 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 387 \\ (38 \geqslant 6) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 365 \\ (3820) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2705 \\ & 202505 \end{aligned}$ |
| 4 |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 387 \\ (3836) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1155 \\ & (11+76) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 401 \\ (3973) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1260 \\ & (12509) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 383 \\ (3802) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 115 E \\ (115 i) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1150 \\ & (11506) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 771 \\ & (7651) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2501 \\ (15892) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 773 \\ (7571) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 383 \\ (3802) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2596 \\ (25743) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 7380 \\ 32 E 5 \end{gathered}$ |
| 5 |  | $\begin{gathered} 779 \\ (7728) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 385 \\ (38.5) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1160 \\ & (11509) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 373 \\ (7672) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 383 \\ (3802) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 770 \\ & (7641) \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & (425: \\ & \hline 10 \end{aligned}$ |
| 7 | $\begin{gathered} 384 \\ (3811) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 779 \\ (7728) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 771 \\ & (7651) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 774 \\ (7673) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 773 \\ (7672) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 770 \\ (76-1) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 42: 1 \\ & 453 i= \end{aligned}$ |
| 8 | $\begin{gathered} 384 \\ (3811) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1160 \\ & (11591) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 771 \\ (7651) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 384 \\ (3300) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 385 \\ (3820) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 300 \\ 3002 \end{gathered}$ |
| 9 |  | $\begin{gathered} 1947 \\ (19319) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 386 \\ (3825) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 387 \\ (3836) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} =900 \\ (20080) \end{gathered}$ |
| 10 | $\begin{array}{r} 768 \\ (7623) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 779 \\ (7728) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 773 \\ (7672) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 386 \\ (3825) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2706 \\ (25368) \end{gathered}$ |
| 11 | $\begin{gathered} 1153 \\ \{11433) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1169 \\ (11591) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 773 \\ (7672) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 401 \\ (3973) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 3496 \\ (34669) \end{gathered}$ |
| 12 |  | $\begin{gathered} 779 \\ (7728) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 385 \\ (3820) \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \therefore 164 \\ & (1568) \end{aligned}$ |
| 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 15 | $\begin{gathered} 384 \\ (3811) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 389 \\ (3864) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 713 \\ 4875\} \end{array}$ |
| Other | $\begin{gathered} 1153 \\ (11433) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 387 \\ \{3836 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tocal | $\begin{gathered} 4226 \\ (41922) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7790 \\ (77277) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3480 \\ (34322) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5013 \\ (49729) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2403 \\ (23838) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5801 \\ (57546) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3480 \\ (34522) \end{gathered}$ | 0 | $\begin{gathered} 1160 \\ (11507) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1533 \\ (13207) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 654 \\ (549-6) \end{gathered}$ | 0 | 0 | (i:20e) |



| $\therefore$ a | ) | $\therefore$ | 3 | C | P | $E$ | $\bar{\square}$ | 6 | H | I | J | k | L | Tota |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $:$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  | $(243)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 146 \\ (24-3) \end{gathered}$ |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 146 \\ (2421) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 292 \\ (4827) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 430 \\ (1248) \end{gathered}$ |
| $\sim$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 146 \\ (2413) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 146 \\ (2413) \end{gathered}$ |
| $\Sigma$ | . |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 147 \\ (242) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 292 \\ (4827) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 439 \\ (7249) \end{gathered}$ |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 146 \\ (2413) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 146 \\ (2413) \end{gathered}$ |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 8 |  | $\begin{gathered} 142 \\ (2+3) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 146 \\ (2413) \end{gathered}$ |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 10 | $\begin{gathered} 146 \\ (2413) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 146 \\ (2413) \end{gathered}$ |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| Sthe |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cotai | $(246$ | $\begin{gathered} 146 \\ (2413) \end{gathered}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\begin{gathered} 146 \\ (2413) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 293 \\ (4843) \end{gathered}$ | 0 | 0 | $\begin{gathered} 146 \\ (2413) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 730 \\ (12067) \end{gathered}$ | 0 | 0 | $\begin{gathered} 1607 \\ (26562) \end{gathered}$ |



| Seek | 0 | $\lambda$ | 3 | $c$ | 2 | 2 F | $G$ | 3 | : | J | R | $=$ | ここ:3: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 387 \\ (1837) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $303$ |
| 2 |  |  | - |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 1306 \\ (13922)(1150 \\ (2505) \end{gathered}$ | * |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2+06 \\ (252: 5) \end{gathered}$ |
| 3 |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 386 \\ (3825) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{array}{cc} 1150 & 533 \\ (2509) & (5257) \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 387 \\ (3336) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 577 \\ (3547 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 2353 \\ 30543 \end{array}$ |
| 4 |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 387 \\ (3836) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1155 \\ (11476) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 401 \\ (3973) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1150 \\ (11309) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 537 \\ (62: 5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1156 \\ (11401) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 1759 \\ (43070) \end{gathered}$ |
| इ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 1150 \\ (11506) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 771 \\ (7631) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1750 \\ (16573) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 147 \\ (2422) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 773 \\ (7671) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 383 \\ (3802) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2938 \\ (31570) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 7975 \\ (31295) \end{gathered}$ |
| 5 |  | $\begin{gathered} 779 \\ (7728) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 542 \\ (4512) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{array}{cc} 1150 \\ (11509) & (767 \\ (7672) \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 383 \\ (3802) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1042 \\ (10742) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 4: 07 \\ (45957) \end{gathered}$ |
| ; | $\begin{gathered} 384 \\ (3811) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 779 \\ (7729) \end{gathered}$ | ${ }_{(1271)}^{311}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1083 \\ (9027) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{array}{cc} 775 & 773 \\ (7673) & (7672) \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 136 \\ (533) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 770 \\ (7541) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 5030 \\ (43612) \end{gathered}$ |
| 3 | $\begin{gathered} 334 \\ (3811) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1271 \\ (14690) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 311 \\ (1371) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 927 \\ (8339) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 312 \\ (2376) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 384 \\ (3801) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 697 \\ (5 i 96) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 45 \varepsilon \leq \\ (385 \$ 6) \end{gathered}$ |
| 9 | $\begin{aligned} & 157 \\ & (669) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2412 \\ (21376) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 136 \\ (686) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 385 \\ (3825) \end{gathered}$ | . | $\begin{gathered} 387 \\ (3836) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 155 \\ (688) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 156 \\ (688) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 3810 \\ (31735) \end{gathered}$ |
| 10 | $\begin{gathered} 914 \\ (.0036) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 935 \\ (3414) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 773 \\ (7672) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 386 \\ (3825) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 154 \\ (681) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 160 \\ (706) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3322 \\ (31334) \end{gathered}$ |
| 11 | $\begin{gathered} 2153 \\ (11433) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1325 \\ (12277) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 773 \\ (7672) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 401 \\ (3973) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 3652 \\ (35353) \end{gathered}$ |
| 12 | $\begin{gathered} 157 \\ (689) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 779 \\ (7728) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 383 \\ (3820) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 132: \\ (12230 \end{array}$ |
| 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 13 | $\begin{gathered} 38 \mathrm{i} \\ (36 \mathrm{j}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 380 \\ (386-) \end{gathered}$ | ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 793 \\ 4073 \end{gathered}$ |
| 0:he= | $\begin{gathered} 1308 \\ (12123) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 342 \\ (4523) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 160 \\ & (706) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 9018 \\ 1.7352: \end{gathered}$ |
| Tocat | $\begin{gathered} 585: \\ (45203) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3867 \\ (83805) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4413 \\ (38637) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3537 \\ (32681) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3712 \\ (25200) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5107 \\ (50563)(3935 \% \end{gathered}$ | 0 | $\begin{gathered} 1734 \\ (1259) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1679 \\ (17620) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7901 \\ (79765) \end{gathered}$ | 0 | $\begin{gathered} 160 \\ (706) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 689 \\ 65896 \end{array}$ |

Table 9. Adjusted estimates of pounds of guebec origif salmon caught in the Newfoundiand fisheries.

| Week | 0 | A | B | c | D | E | ArEs |  | H | I | 3 | \% | $!$ | Tow? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | F | $c$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 13922 | 11506 |  |  |  | 11273 |  |  | 36701 |
| 3 |  |  |  | 3825 |  | 11509 | 6257 |  | 3835 |  | 23186 |  |  | 450.2 |
| 4 |  |  | 3836 | 11476 | 3973 | 11509 |  |  |  | 6215 | 28043 |  | , | 65058 |
| 5 |  |  | 11506 | 7651 | 16573 | 705 | 2422 |  | 7671 | 3802 | 39034 |  |  | 89365 |
| 6 |  | 7728 |  | 4513 |  | 11509 | 7672 |  |  | 3802 | 8329 |  |  | 43533 |
| 7 | 3811 | 7728 | 1371 | 9027 |  | 7673 | 7672 |  | 688 |  | 10054 |  |  | 4802.4 |
| 8 | 3811 | 14690 | 1371 | 8339 |  |  |  |  | 1376 | 3801 | 5196 |  |  | 38584 |
| 9 | 689 | 21376 | 686 | 3825 |  |  | 3836 |  | 688 |  | 688 |  |  | 31788 |
| 10 | 10036 | 8414 | 7672 | 3825 | 681 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 706 | 31334 |
| 11 | 11433 | 12277 | 7672 |  | 3973 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 35355 |
| 12 | 689 | 7728 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3820 |  |  | 12237 |
| 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $14 \times 2$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 3811 | 3864 | - |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  | 785 |
| Uther | 12123 |  | 4523 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10605 |
| 20tal | 46403 | 83805 | 38637 | 52481 | 25200 | 60665 | 39365 | 0 | 14259 | 17620 | 137618 | 0 | 704 | 316759 |

## Determination of Swimming Soeed


#### Abstract

Swinming speeds at sea of Atlantic salmon were determined from fish wich were tagged and released in the Newfoundland-Labrador coastal areas during 1969-75 and subsequently recaptured. The number of days free were defined as the number of days from the day the fish was tagged until it was recaptured. Only fish that had been free for more than one day and had travelled more than five miles were included in the calculations. The mileages were obtained from nautical charts and were the shortast straight line distance or were the total distance from point to point along the coast from the place of tagging and release to the place of recapture. The mean rates of travel were calculated as nautical miles day ${ }^{-1}$ for each of the sea-age classes 1-, 2-, and 3-sea-winter and previousty spawned salmon.

The mileages between areas were calculated as the shortest straight line distance or point-to-point distance along the coast from the mid-point of one Area to the mid-point of the Area of destination based on migration patterns determined from tagging experiments.

The rates of travel of 1-, 2-, and 3-sea-winter salmon were very similar with arithmetic means ranging from 10.3 to 11.3 miles day ${ }^{-1}$ and geometric means ranging from 8.8 to 9.2 miles day ${ }^{-1}$. Since these sea-age classes constitute about $98 \%$ of the commercial catch, it was deemed reasonable to consider a rate of travel of 10 miles day ${ }^{-1}$ as a minimal one.


Calculations of swimming speed required certain assumptions:
(1) The fish was caught immediately as it entered the recapture area.
(2) The fish travels in straight lines by the most direct route.
(3) All fish exhibit the migration patterns obtained from tagging experiments, which ignores stock differences.

These assumptions all contributed to the calculation of a lowered daily rate of movement. The Working Group felt the assumptions were seldom met in their entirety. Moreover, some fish in these studies and in other past studies exceeded the 20 miles/day rate.

Rates of movement of unhindered salmon probably follow a normal distribution. Effects of tagging can only move the mode to the left, i.e., to decrease the average rate, because of handling stress, possible effects of tag on performance and, most importantly, delay in recapture in mainland areas because a considerable portion was recaptured by angling in the absence of a commercial fishery.

On the assumption that mainland multi-sea-winter fish captured on the east coast of Newfoundland are the same "lot" as those on the south coast, the $20 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{day}$ rate fits the east and south coast peak catches better. That is, fish uncaught on the east coast peak will move through the south coast area behind the peak there unless a rate of movement faster than $10 \mathrm{mi} /$ day is used.

Thus, the rate of 20 miles/day was chosen as the best estimate of the average rate of migrating speed.

The number of days required for Atlantic salmon to travel from one area to another in Newfoundland and Labrador and to other areas in eastern Canada were then calculated on the basis of the mileage between areas, assuming a rate of travel of 20 miles day ${ }^{-1}$.
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APPENOIX XIII.

```
Summation*") estimated losses to vemfow,land Commercial Sishexies and
gains to karitire zisheries reculting Ercm the Lrcosition of each of
seven regulatory options consicered.
```

| ORItICN | sroc: | AFEECED |  | Icoses to nexo. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N0. | Powilus | + -0. | P0LILS | in. | Founs |
| 13 | Festigoucie | 19,885 | 135,989 | 19,885 | 159,989 | 17,197 | 200,460 |
|  | Airor Gulf | 5,190 | 44,433 | 5,190 | $-14,433$ | 4,955 | 46,309 |
|  | Saint Jobn | 1,335 | 5,592 | 1,336 | 5,892 | 1,190 | 8,543 |
|  | Nova Scotia | 2,949 30,171 | 14.471 196.953 | 2,949 30,171 | 14,471 196.953 | 27,705 | $2{ }^{18} 8.565$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | Pestigoucie | 11,220 | 91,119 | 10,303 | 82,954 | 8,965 | 103,271 |
|  | Miror Gule | 3,043 | 26,260 | 2,727 | 23,660 | 2,630 | 24,450 |
|  | Saint Join | 364 | 1,605 | 352 | 1,552 | 348 | 1,535 |
|  | Nova Scotia | 1,735 | 9,117 | 1,614 | 8,357 | 1,563 | 8,849 |
|  | Miramicii | 14,613 | 101.162 | 12,761 | 87.825 | 11,856 | 101.042 |
| 27 | Pestigcucte | 8,622 | 84,713 | 8,107 | 80,111 | 7,857 | 82,122 |
|  | Miror Gulf | 3,084 | 29,153 | 2,929 | 27,785 | 2,366 | 27,323 |
|  | Saint John | 379 | 1,671 | 359 | 1,583 | 348 | 1,716 |
|  | Mova Scotia | 1,565 | 8,372 | 1,501 | 8,085 | 1,486 | 8,002 |
|  | Mramichi |  | 108.572 | 11,954 | 101.618 | 11,556 | 105,305 |
| 23 | Pestigoucte | 7,183 | 69,953 | 6,668 | 65,365 | 6,475 | 67,378 |
|  | Miror Glif | 2,786 | 25,347 | 2,591 | 23,627 | 2,521 | 23,973 |
|  | Saint Join | 405 | 1,786 | 393 | 1,733 | 381 | 1,862 |
|  | Sova Sootia | 1,505 | 8,372 | 1,466 | 7,711 | 1,452 | 7,637 |
|  | Kiraniciz | 11.354 | 92.134 | 10,378 | 84.462 | 9,994 | 88.572 |
| 3A |  | 6,310 | 63,718 | 5,793 | 58,657 | 5,735 | 58,067 |
|  | Minor Guif | 2,363 | 22,948 | 2,229 | 21,668 | 2,197 | 21,601 |
|  | Saint John | 242 | 1,067 | 219 | 966 | 217 | 957 |
|  | Vove Scotia | $1,060$ | 5,167 | 1,001 | 5,880 | 991 | 5,815. |
|  | Miramicii | 8,980 | 82,006 | 8,469 | 77,433 | 8,298 | 78.06. |
| 38 | Restigouche | 5,173 | 51,833 | 4,700 | 47,268 | 5.653 | 46,793: |
|  | Minor Gll | 2,019 | 19,419 | 1,963 | 18,874 | 1,931 | 18,830 |
|  | Saint John | 379 | 1,671 | 360 | 1,588 | 349 | 1,721 |
|  | Nova Sootia | 1,166 | 6,603 | 1,081 | 6,013 | 1,070 | 5,95: |
|  | Siramicii | 8,471 | 73,476 | 7,916 | 68.637 | 7,747 | 69.330 |
| Quebec | Restigcuche | 6,222 | 61,788 | 5,690 | 56,462 | 5,548 | 56, 35: |
|  | Mimor Gule | 2,100 | 20,091 | 1,905 | 18,271 | 1,860 | 18,427 |
|  | Saint John | 182 | 803 | 163 | + 719 | 161 | 719 |
|  | Nova Scotia | 666 | 4,403 | 628 | 4,235 | 621 | 4.15 |
|  | Miramichi | 10,553 | 88.922 | 9,588 | 80.825 | 9,219 | 84.559 |

* Oetails follow in Tables 1-5.
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TABLE la. Impact of introduction of Option 1A to the Newfoundland commercial salmon fishery on Restigouche origin Aelantic salmon in that fishery.

| 1-SEA-WIATER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
|  | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of lst year <br> Harvested as 2-sea-winter salmon <br> Harvested as 3-sea-winter salmon <br> Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 7268 \\ 0 \\ 7268 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 7268 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 32052 \\ 32052 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 32052 \end{array}$ |
| $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\text { ¢ }}$ | Homing as l-sea-winter salmon Homing as 2-sea-winter salron Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters | $\begin{aligned} & 1263 \\ & 3138 \\ & 1236 \\ & 5637 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5570 \\ 31129 \\ 20431 \\ 57130 \end{array}$ |


| 2-SEA-WINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
|  | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of lst year <br> Harvested as 3-sea-winter salmon <br> Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 12197 \\ 0 \\ 12197 \\ 0 \\ 12197 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 120994 \\ 0 \\ 120994 \\ 0 \\ 120994 \end{array}$ |
| 足 | Homing as 2-sea-winter salmon Homing as 3 -sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters | $\begin{array}{r} 7224 \\ 3920 \\ 11144 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 71662 \\ 64798 \\ 136460 \end{array}$ |


| 3-SEA-WINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
|  | Affected Harvested later in same year Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 420 \\ 0 \\ 420 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6943 \\ 0 \\ 6943 \end{array}$ |
|  | Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters | $\begin{aligned} & 416 \\ & 416 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6876 \\ & 6876 \end{aligned}$ |

TABLE 1b. Impact of introduction of Option $1 B$ to the Newfoundland commercial salmon fishery on Restigouche origin Atlantic salmon in that fishery.

| 1-SEA-WINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
| ت G 0 -1 5 0 4 4 3 0 8 | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of lst year <br> Harvested as 2 -sea-winter salmon <br> Harvested as 3-sea-winter salmon <br> Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 3999 \\ 261 \\ 3738 \\ 352 \\ 18 \\ 3368 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17636 \\ 1151 \\ 16485 \\ 3492 \\ 298 \\ 12695 \end{array}$ |
| \% | Homing as l-sea-winter salmon Homing as 2-sea-winter salmon Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters | $\begin{array}{r} 544 \\ 1484 \\ 515 \\ 2543 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2399 \\ 14721 \\ 8513 \\ 25633 \end{array}$ |


| 2-SEA-WINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
|  | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of lst year <br> Harvested as 3-sea-winter salmon <br> Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 6941 \\ 229 \\ 6712 \\ 57 \\ 6655 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 68855 \\ 2272 \\ 66583 \\ 942 \\ 65641 \end{array}$ |
| 告 | Homing as 2-sea-winter salmon Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters | $\begin{aligned} & 4316 \\ & 1830 \\ & 6146 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42815 \\ & 30250 \\ & 73065 \end{aligned}$ |


| 3-SEA-WINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
|  | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 280 \\ 0 \\ 280 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4628 \\ 0 \\ 4628 \end{array}$ |
| $\stackrel{0}{\text { E }}$ | Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters | $\begin{aligned} & 277 \\ & 277 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4579 \\ & 4579 \end{aligned}$ |

TABLE lc. Impact of introduction of Option 2A to the Newfoundland commercial salmon fishery on Restigouche origin Atlantic salmon in that fishery.

| 1-SEA-FINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
|  | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of lst year <br> Harvested as 2-sea-winter salmon <br> Harvested as 3-sea-winter salmon <br> Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 484 \\ 116 \\ 368 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 368 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2134 \\ 512 \\ 1622 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1622 \end{array}$ |
| 域 | Homing as 1-sea-winter salmon Homing as 2 -sea-winter salmon Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters | 364 0 0 364 | 1605 0 0 1605 |


| 2-SEA-WINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
| r u ry 0 0 3 4 3 3 0 | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of lst year <br> Harvested as 3-sea-winter salmon <br> Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 7858 \\ 379 \\ 7479 \\ 20 \\ 7459 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 77951 \\ 3760 \\ 74192 \\ 331 \\ 73861 \end{array}$ |
| ® ¢ O | Homing as 2-sea-winter salmon Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters | $\begin{array}{r} 6573 \\ 653 \\ 7226 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 65204 \\ & 10794 \\ & 75998 \end{aligned}$ |


| 3-SEA-WINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
|  | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 280 \\ 0 \\ 280 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4628 \\ 0 \\ 4628 \end{array}$ |
| $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\text { E }}$ | Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters | $\begin{aligned} & 277 \\ & 277 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4579 \\ & 4579 \end{aligned}$ |

TABLE 1d．Impact of introduction of Option $2 B$ to the Newfoundland commercial salmon fishery on Restigouche origin Atlantic salmon in that fishery．

| 1－SEA－WIMTER SALMOM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { g } \\ & \text { 兄 } \\ & \text { d } \\ & \text { 品 } \\ & 0 \\ & \text { 咅 } \end{aligned}$ | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of ist year <br> Harvested as 2 －sea－winter salmon <br> Harvested as 3－sea－winter salnon <br> Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 572 \\ 113 \\ 459 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 459 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2523 \\ 498 \\ 2024 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 2024 \end{array}$ |
| ¢ E 0 3 | Homing as l－sea－winter salmon Foring as 2－sea－winter salmon Foming as 3－sea－winter salmon Total gain to home waters | 454 0 0 454 | $\begin{array}{r} 2002 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 2002 \end{array}$ |


| 2－SEA－WINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
|  | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of lst year <br> Harvested as 3 －sea－winter salmon <br> Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 6331 \\ 379 \\ 5952 \\ 20 \\ 5932 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 62804 \\ 3760 \\ 59044 \\ 331 \\ 58713 \end{array}$ |
| \％ <br> \％ <br> O | Homing as 2－sea－winter salmon Homing as 3－sea－winter salmon Total gain to home waters | $\begin{array}{r} 5091 \\ 653 \\ 5744 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50503 \\ & 10794 \\ & 61297 \end{aligned}$ |


| 3－SEA－WINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
|  | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 280 \\ 0 \\ 280 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4628 \\ 0 \\ 4628 \end{array}$ |
| 第 | Homing as 3－sea－winter salmon Total gain to home waters | $\begin{aligned} & 277 \\ & 277 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4579 \\ & 4579 \end{aligned}$ |

TABLE le. Impact of introduction of Option 3A to the Newfoundland commercial salmon fishery on Restigouche origin Atlantic salmon in that fishery.

| 1-SEA-WINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
|  | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of lst year <br> Harvested as 2 -sea-winter salmon <br> Harvested as 3-sea-winter salmon <br> Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 132 \\ 29 \\ 103 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 103 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 582 \\ 128 \\ 454 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 454 \end{array}$ |
| 告 | Homing as 1-sea-winter salmon Homing as 2-sea-winter salmon Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters | 102 0 0 102 | 450 0 0 450 |


| 2-SEA-WINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
|  | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of lst year <br> Harvested as 3-sea-winter salmon <br> Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 5898 \\ 474 \\ 5424 \\ 0 \\ 5424 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 58508 \\ 4702 \\ 53806 \\ 0 \\ 53806 \end{array}$ |
| $\stackrel{0}{\text { ¢ }}$ | Homing as 2-sea-winter salmon Homing as 3 -sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters | $\begin{array}{r} 5370 \\ 0 \\ 5370 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 53270 \\ 0 \\ 53270 \end{array}$ |


| 3-SEA-WINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
|  | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 280 \\ 14 \\ 266 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4628 \\ 231 \\ 4397 \end{array}$ |
| - | Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters | $\begin{aligned} & 263 \\ & 263 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4347 \\ & 4347 \end{aligned}$ |

TABLE If. Impact of introduction of Option $3 B$ to the Newfoundiand commercial salmon fishery on Restigouche origin Atlantic salmon in that fishery.

| I-SEA-WINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { d } \\ & \text { y } \\ & 0 \\ & -1 \\ & 0 \\ & 3 \\ & 0 \\ & 4 \\ & 3 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of lst year <br> Harvested as 2-sea-winter salmon <br> Harvested as 3-sea-winter salmon <br> Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 242 \\ 23 \\ 219 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 219 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1067 \\ 101 \\ 966 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 966 \end{array}$ |
| \# | Homing as l-sea-winter salmon Homing as 2-sea-winter salmon Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters | 217 0 0 217 | 957 0 0 957 |


| 2-SEA-WINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
| d E 0 7 0 3 0 4 3 0 | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of lst year <br> Harvested as 3-sea-winter salmon <br> Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 4651 \\ 450 \\ 4201 \\ 0 \\ 4201 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 46138 \\ 4464 \\ 41674 \\ 0 \\ 41674 \end{array}$ |
| 范 | Homing as 2-sea-winter salmon Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters | $\begin{array}{r} 4159 \\ 0 \\ 4159 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 41257 \\ 0 \\ 41257 \end{array}$ |


| 3-SEA-WINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
|  | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 280 \\ 0 \\ 280 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4628 \\ 0 \\ 4628 \end{array}$ |
| 告 | Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters | $\begin{aligned} & 277 \\ & 277 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4579 \\ & 4579 \end{aligned}$ |

TABLE Ig. Impact of introduction of Option Quebec to the Newfoundland commercial salmon fishery on Pestigouche origin Atlantic salmon in that fishery.

| 1-SEA-WINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
| g \% - - 3 0 0 4 3 0 | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of lst year <br> Harvested as 2-sea-winter salmon <br> Harvested as 3-sea-winter salmon <br> Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 324 \\ 19 \\ 305 \\ 30 \\ 2 \\ 273 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1429 \\ 84 \\ 1345 \\ 298 \\ 33 \\ 1014 \end{array}$ |
| ¢ | Homing as l-sea-winter salmon <br> Homing as 2-sea-winter salmon <br> Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon <br> Total gain to home waters | 90 78 18 186 | 397 774 298 1469 |


| 2-SEA-WINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
|  | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of lst year <br> Harvested as 3-sea-winter salmon <br> Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 5618 \\ 460 \\ 5158 \\ 0 \\ 5158 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 55731 \\ 4563 \\ 51167 \\ 0 \\ 51167 \end{array}$ |
| 粩 | Homing as 2-sea-winter salmon Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters | $\begin{array}{r} 5106 \\ 0 \\ 5106 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 50652 \\ 0 \\ 50652 \end{array}$ |


| 3-SEA-WINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
| $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & E \\ & 3 \\ & 0 \\ & 4 \\ & 3 \\ & 0 \\ & z \end{aligned}$ | Affected Harvested later in same year Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 280 \\ 21 \\ -\quad 259 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4628 \\ 347 \\ 4281 \end{array}$ |
| 告 | Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters | $\begin{aligned} & 256 \\ & 256 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4232 \\ & 4232 \end{aligned}$ |

TABLE 2a. Impact of introduction of Option 1 A to the Newfoundland commercial salmon fishery on Minor Gulf Rivers origin Atlantic salmon in that fishery.

| 1-SEA-WINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
| 8 E H H E 0 0 3 3 0 | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of Ist year <br> Harvested as 2-sea-winter salmon <br> Harvested as 3 -sea-winter salmon <br> Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 1359 \\ 0 \\ 1359 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1359 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5993 \\ 0 \\ 5993 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 5993 \end{array}$ |
| \% | Homing as 1-sea-winter salmon Homing as 2-sea-winter salmon Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters | $\begin{array}{r} 706 \\ 462 \\ 27 \\ 1195 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3113 \\ 4583 \\ 446 \\ 8142 \end{array}$ |


| 2-SEA-WINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
|  | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of lst year <br> Harvested as 3-sea-winter salmon <br> Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 3755 \\ 0 \\ 3765 \\ 0 \\ 3765 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 37349 \\ 0 \\ 37349 \\ 0 \\ 37349 \end{array}$ |
| \% | Homing as 2-sea-winter salmon Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters | $\begin{array}{r} 3553 \\ 142 \\ 3695 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35246 \\ 2347 \\ 37593 \end{array}$ |


| 3-SEA-WINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | pounds |
|  | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 66 \\ 0 \\ 66 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1091 \\ 0 \\ 1091 \end{array}$ |
| 场 | Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters | $\begin{aligned} & 65 \\ & 65 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1074 \\ & 1074 \end{aligned}$ |

TABLE 2b. Impact of introduction of Option $1 B$ to the Newfoundland commercial salmon fishery on Minor Gulf Rivers origin Atlantic salmon in that fishery.

| I-SEA-FINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | pounds |
| g \% H E a | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of lst year <br> Harvested as 2 -sea-winter salmon <br> Harvested as 3-sea-winter salmon <br> Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 721 \\ 85 \\ 636 \\ 12 \\ 0 \\ 624 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3180 \\ 375 \\ 2805 \\ 119 \\ 0 \\ 2686 \end{array}$ |
| ¢ | Homing as l-sea-winter salmon Homing as 2-sea-winter salmon Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters | $\begin{array}{r} 325 \\ 211 \\ 12 \\ 548 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1433 \\ 2093 \\ 198 \\ 3724 \end{array}$ |


| 2-SEA-WINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
|  | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of lst year <br> Harvested as 3-sea-winter salmon <br> Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 2300 \\ 214 \\ 2086 \\ 0 \\ 2086 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22816 \\ 2123 \\ 20693 \\ 0 \\ 20693 \end{array}$ |
| 会 | Homing as 2-sea-winter salmon Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters | $\begin{array}{r} 2065 \\ 0 \\ 2065 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20485 \\ 0 \\ 20485 \end{array}$ |


| 3-SEA-WINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
|  | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year Total net decreased catch | 22 5 17 | 364 83 281 |
| \% | Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters | 17 | $\begin{aligned} & 281 \\ & 281 \end{aligned}$ |

TABLE ${ }^{2}$ c. Impact of introduction of Option 2A to the Newfoundland commercial salmon fishery on Minor Gulf Rivers origin Atlantic salmon in that fishery.

| 1-SEA-NINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
|  | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of Ist year <br> Harvested as 2-sea-winter salmon <br> Harvested as 3-sea-winter salmon <br> Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 287 \\ 30 \\ 257 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 254 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1266 \\ 132 \\ 1133 \\ 30 \\ 0 \\ 1103 \end{array}$ |
| ¢ | Homing as l-sea-winter salmon Homing as 2-sea-winter salmon foming as 3-sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters | $\begin{array}{r} 144 \\ 68 \\ 5 \\ 218 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 635 \\ 675 \\ 99 \\ 1409 \end{array}$ |


| 2-SEA-FINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
| 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> -4 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 4 <br> 3 <br> 3 | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of lst year <br> Harvested as 3-sea-winter salmon <br> Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 2775 \\ 122 \\ 2653 \\ 0 \\ 2653 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27528 \\ 1210 \\ 26318 \\ 0 \\ 26318 \end{array}$ |
| 关 | Homing as 2-sea-winter salmon Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters | $\begin{array}{r} 2626 \\ 0 \\ 2626 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26050 \\ 0 \\ 26050 \end{array}$ |


| 3-SEA-WINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
|  | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year Total net decreased catch | 22 0 22 | 364 0 364 |
| 㖪 | Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters | 22 22 | $\begin{aligned} & 364 \\ & 364 \end{aligned}$ |

TABLE 2d. Impact of introduction of Option 2B to the Newfoundland commercial salmon fishery on Minor Gulf Rivers origin Atlantic salmon in that fishery.

| I-SEA-NINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
|  | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of lst year <br> Harvested as 2-sea-winter salmon <br> Harvested as 3-sea-winter salmon <br> Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 442 \\ 40 \\ 402 \\ 7 \\ 0 \\ 395 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1949 \\ 176 \\ 1773 \\ 69 \\ 0 \\ 1704 \end{array}$ |
| $\xrightarrow{\text { E }}$ | Homing as 1-sea-winter salmon Homing as 2-sea-winter salmon Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters | 223 115 9 347 | $\begin{array}{r} 983 \\ 1141 \\ 149 \\ 2273 \end{array}$ |


| 2-SEA-WINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
|  | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of lst year <br> Harvested as 3-sea-winter salmon <br> Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 2322 \\ 147 \\ 2175 \\ 0 \\ 2175 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23034 \\ 1458 \\ 21576 \\ 0 \\ 21576 \end{array}$ |
| \% | Homing as 2-sea-winter salmon Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters | $\begin{array}{r} 2153 \\ 0 \\ 2153 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21358 \\ 0 \\ 21358 \end{array}$ |


| 3-SEA-WINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
|  | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year Total net decreased catch | 22 1 21 | 364 17 347 |
| 告 | Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters | 21 | 347 347 |

TABLE 2e. Impact of introduction of Option 3A to the Newfoundand commercial salmon fishery on Minor Gulf Rivers origin Atlantic salmon in that fishery.

| I-SEA-WINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
|  | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of ist year <br> Harvested as 2-sea-winter salmon <br> Harvested as 3-sea-winter salmon <br> Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 116 \\ 9 \\ 107 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 105 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 512 \\ 40 \\ 472 \\ 20 \\ 0 \\ 452 \end{array}$ |
| \% | Homing as l-sea-winter salmon Homing as 2-sea-winter salmon Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters | 63 29 2 94 | 278 288 33 599 |


| 2-SEA-WINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
|  | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of lst year <br> Harvested as 3-sea-winter salmon <br> Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 2225 \\ 123 \\ 2102 \\ 0 \\ 2102 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22072 \\ 1220 \\ 20852 \\ 0 \\ 20852 \end{array}$ |
| 莡 | Homing as 2-sea-winter salmon Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters | $\begin{array}{r} 2081 \\ 0 \\ 2081 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20644 \\ 0 \\ 20644 \end{array}$ |


| 3-SEA-WINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
|  | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Total net decreased catch | 22 0 22 | 364 0 364 |
| 遃 | Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & 22 \end{aligned}$ | 364 |

TABLE 2f. Impact of introduction of Option $3 B$ to the Newfoundland commercial salmon fishery on Minor Gulf Rivers origin Atlantic salmon in that Eishery.

| 1-SEA-TINTER SALMOA |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
| g <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 4 <br> 4 <br> 0 | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of lst year <br> Harvested as 2-sea-winter salmon <br> marvested as 3-sea-winter salmon <br> Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 137 \\ 8 \\ 129 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 126 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 604 \\ 35 \\ 569 \\ 30 \\ 0 \\ 539 \end{array}$ |
| \% | Homing as l-sea-winter salmon Homing as 2-sea-winter salmon Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters | 82 28 2 112 | 362 278 33 673 |


| 2-SEA-WINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
|  | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of lst year <br> Harvested as 3-sea-winter salmon <br> Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 1860 \\ 40 \\ 1820 \\ 0 \\ 1820 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18451 \\ 397 \\ 18054 \\ 0 \\ 18054 \end{array}$ |
| 兑 | Homing as 2-sea-winter salmon Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters | $\begin{array}{r} 1802 \\ 0 \\ 1802 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17876 \\ 0 \\ 17876 \end{array}$ |


| 3-SEA-WINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
| r I - - 3 0 4 3 3 3 | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 22 \\ 5 \\ 17 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 364 \\ 83 \\ 281 \end{array}$ |
| ¢ | Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters | 17 | $\begin{aligned} & 281 \\ & 281 \end{aligned}$ |

TABLE 2 g . Impact of introduction of Option Quebec to the Newfoundiand comercial salmon fishery on Minor Gulf pivers origin Atlantic salmon in that Fishery.

| 1-SEA-MIMIER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impace | numbers | 2ouncs |
|  | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of lst year <br> Harvested as 2-sea-winter salmon <br> Harvested as 3-sea-winter salmon. <br> Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 161 \\ 21 \\ 140 \\ 4 \\ 0 \\ 136 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 710 \\ 93 \\ 617 \\ 40 \\ 0 \\ 377 \end{array}$ |
| $\stackrel{0}{5}$ | Homing as l-sea-winter salmon <br> Homing as 2-sea-winter salmon <br> Homing as 3-sea-winter selmon <br> Total gain to home waters | 37 66 5 108 | 163 655 83 901 |


| 2-SEA-WINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
|  | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of lst year <br> Harvested as 3-sea-minter salmon <br> Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 1917 \\ 170 \\ 1747 \\ 0 \\ 1747 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19017 \\ 1686 \\ 17330 \\ 0 \\ 17330 \end{array}$ |
|  | Homing as 2 -sea-winter salmon Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters | $\begin{array}{r} 1730 \\ 0 \\ 1730 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17162 \\ 0 \\ 17162 \end{array}$ |


| 3-SEA-WINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
|  | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 22 \\ 0 \\ 22 \end{array}$ | 364 0 364 |
| ¢ | Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 364 \\ & 364 \end{aligned}$ |

TABLE 3 a. Impact of introduction of Option $1 A$ to the Newfoundland commercial salmon fishery on Saint John River origin Atlantic salmon in that fishery.

| I-SEA-TINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
|  | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of lst year <br> Harvested as 2-sea-winter salmon <br> Harvested as 3-sea-winter salmon <br> Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 1336 \\ 0 \\ 1336 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1336 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5892 \\ 0 \\ 5892 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 5892 \end{array}$ |
| \% | Homing as l-sea-winter salmon <br> Homing as 2 -sea-winter salmon <br> Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon <br> Total gain to home waters | 592 598 0 1190 | $\begin{array}{r} 2511 \\ 5932 \\ 0 \\ 8543 \end{array}$ |


| 2-SEA-WINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
|  | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of lst year <br> Harvested as 3-sea-winter salmon <br> Total net decreased catch |  |  |
| 迷 | Homing as 2-sea-winter salmon Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters |  |  |


| 3-SEA-WINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
| 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> -1 <br> 3 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 4 <br> 3 <br> 0 | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Total net decreased catch |  |  |
| ¢ | Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters |  |  |

TABLE 3b. Impact of introduction of Option $1 B$ to the Newfoundland commercial salmon fishery on Saint John River origin Atlantic salmon in that fishery.

| 1-SEA-WINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { g } \\ & \text { y } \\ & \text { d } \\ & \text { d } \\ & 3 \\ & 0 \\ & 4 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of lst year <br> Harvested as 2-sea-winter salmon <br> Harvested as 3-sea-winter salmon <br> Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 364 \\ 12 \\ 352 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 352 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1605 \\ 53 \\ 1552 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1552 \end{array}$ |
| ¢ | Homing as l-sea-winter salmon Homing as 2-sea-winter salmon Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters | $\begin{array}{r} 348 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 348 \end{array}$ | 1535 0 0 1535 |


| 2-SEA-WINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
|  | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of lst year <br> Harvested as 3-sea-winter salmon <br> Total net decreased catch |  |  |
| \% | Homing as 2-sea-winter salmon Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters |  |  |


| 3-SEA-WINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
|  | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year Total net decreased catch |  |  |
| 号 | Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters |  |  |

TABLE 3C. Impact of introduction of Option 2A to the Newfoundland commercial salmon fishery on Saint John River origin Atlantic salmon in that fishery.

| I-SEA-WINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
|  | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of lst year <br> Harvested as 2-sea-winter salmon <br> Harvested as 3-sea-winter salmon <br> Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 379 \\ 20 \\ 359 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 359 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1671 \\ 88 \\ 1583 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1583 \end{array}$ |
| ¢ | Homing as l-sea-winter salmon Homing as 2-sea-winter salmon Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters | 315 33 0 348 | 1389 327 0 1716 |


| 2-SEA-WINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
|  | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of lst year <br> Harvested as 3-sea-winter salmon <br> Total net decreased catch |  |  |
| 告 | Homing as 2-sea-winter salmon Homing as 3 -sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters |  |  |


| 3-SEA-WINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
| 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 3 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 4 <br> 3 <br> 0 | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year Total net decreased catch |  |  |
| 号 | Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters |  |  |

TABLE 3d. Impact of introduction of Option 2B to the Newfoundland commercial salmon fishery on Saint John River origin Atlantic salmon in that fishery.

| 1-SEA-MINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
|  | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of lst year <br> Harvested as 2-sea-minter salmon <br> Harvested as 3-sea-winter salmon <br> Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 405 \\ 12 \\ 393 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 393 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1786 \\ 53 \\ 1733 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1733 \end{array}$ |
| - | Homing as l-sea-winter salmon Homing as 2-sea-winter salmon Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters | 348 33 0 381 | $\begin{array}{r} 1535 \\ 327 \\ 0 \\ 1862 \end{array}$ |


| 2-SEA-WINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
| g <br> \% <br> 7 <br> 7 <br> 3 <br> 0 <br> 4 <br> 3 <br> 3 | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of lst year <br> Harvested as 3-sea-winter salmon <br> Total net decreased catch |  |  |
| 䓓 | Homing as 2-sea-winter salmon Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters |  |  |


| 3-SEA-WINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
| 0 0 a - E 3 0 0 3 0 2 | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year Total net decreased catch |  |  |
| ¢ | Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters |  |  |

TABLE 3e. Impact of introduction of Option 3A to the Newfoundland commercial salmon fishery on Saint John River origin Atlantic salmon in that fishery.

| 1-SEA-WINTER SALMO: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
|  | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of lst year <br> Harvested as 2 -sea-winter salmon <br> Harvested as 3-sea-winter salmon <br> Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 242 \\ 23 \\ 219 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 219 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1067 \\ 101 \\ 966 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 966 \end{array}$ |
| ¢ | Homing as l-sea-winter salmon Homing as 2-sea-winter salmon Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters | 217 0 0 217 | 957 0 0 957 |


| 2-SEA-WINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
|  | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of lst year <br> Harvested as 3-sea-winter salmon <br> Total net decreased catch |  |  |
| \% | Homing as 2-sea-winter salmon Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters |  |  |


| 3-SEA-WINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
|  | Affected Harvested later in same year Total net decreased catch |  |  |
| 关 | Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters |  |  |
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TABLE 3f. Impact of introduction of Option $3 B$ to the Newfoundland commercial salmon fishery on Saint John River origin Atlantic salmon in that fishery.

| I-SEA-WINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pouncs |
|  | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of lst year <br> Harvested as 2-sea-winter salmon <br> Harvested as 3-sea-winter salmon <br> Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 379 \\ 19 \\ 360 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 360 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1671 \\ 84 \\ 1588 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1588 \end{array}$ |
| 范 | Homing as l-sea-winter salmon Homing as 2-sea-winter salmon Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters | $\begin{array}{r} 316 \\ 33 \\ 0 \\ 349 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1394 \\ 327 \\ 0 \\ 1721 \end{array}$ |


| 2-SEA-TINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
|  | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of lst year <br> Harvested as 3-sea-winter salmon <br> Total net decreased catch |  |  |
|  | Homing as 2-sea-winter salmon Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters |  |  |


| 3-SEA-WINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
|  | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Total net decreased catch |  |  |
| - | Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters |  |  |

TABLE jg. Impact of introduction of Option Quebec to the Newfoundland commercial salmon fishery on Saint John River origin Atlantic salmon'in that fishery.

| 1-SEA-WINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
|  | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of lst year <br> Harvested as 2-sea-winter salmon <br> Harvested as 3-sea-winter salmon <br> Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 182 \\ 19 \\ 163 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 163 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 803 \\ 84 \\ 719 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 719 \end{array}$ |
| ¢ | Homing as l-sea-winter salmon Homing as 2-sea-winter salmon Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters | 161 0 0 161 | 710 0 0 710 |


| 2-SEA-WINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
|  | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of lst year <br> Harvested as 3-sea-winter salmon <br> Total net decreased catch |  |  |
| O | Homing as 2-sea-winter salmon Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters |  |  |


| 3-SEA-WINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
|  | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year Total net decreased catch |  |  |
|  | Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters |  |  |

TABLE 4 a. Impact of introduction of option IA to the Newfoundiand commercial salmon Fishery on Atlantic Coast Nova Scotía Rivers origin Atlantic salmon in that fishery.

| 1-SEAMTMTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
|  | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of lst year <br> Harvested as 2-sea-winter salmon <br> Harvested as 3-sea-winter salmon <br> Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 2683 \\ 0 \\ 2683 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 2683 \end{array}$ | 11832 11832 0 0 11832 |
| ¢ <br>  <br> -8 | Homing as l-sea-winter salmon Homing as 2-sea-winter salmon Foming as 3-sea-winter salmon Total gain to home watezs | $\begin{array}{r} 1485 \\ 958 \\ 0 \\ 2443 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6549 \\ 9503 \\ 0 \\ 16052 \end{array}$ |


| 2-SEA-HINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | pounds |
|  | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of lst year <br> Harvested as 3-sea-winter salmon <br> Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 266 \\ 0 \\ 266 \\ 0 \\ 265 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2639 \\ 0 \\ 2639 \\ 0 \\ 2639 \end{array}$ |
| - | Homing as 2-sea-winter salmon Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters | $263^{\circ}$ 0 263 | 2609 <br> 0 <br> 2609 |


| 3-SEA-WINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
| 0 <br>  <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 5 <br> 5 <br> 0 <br> 4 <br> 3 <br> 0 <br> 0 | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year Total net decreased catch |  |  |
| ¢ | Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters |  |  |

TABLE 4b. Impact of introduction of option 13 to the Mewfoundland commercial salmon fishery on Atlantic Coast Nova scotia Rivers origin Atlantic salmon in that Eishery.

| 1-SEA-HINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
|  | AFEected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of lst year <br> Harvested as 2-sea-winter salmon <br> Harvested as 3-sea-winter salmon <br> Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 1469 \\ 80 \\ 1389 \\ 1 \\ 1388 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6478 \\ 353 \\ 6125 \\ 10 \\ 0 \\ 6115 \end{array}$ |
| ¢ | Homing as l-sea-winter salmon Horing as 2-sea-winter salron Homing as 3-sea-winter selron Total gain to home waters | $\begin{array}{r} 1208 \\ 131 \\ 0 \\ 1339 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5327 \\ 1300 \\ 0 \\ 6627 \end{array}$ |


| 2-SEA-WIMTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pouncs |
|  | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of list year <br> Harvested as 3-sea-winter salmon <br> Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 266 \\ 40 \\ 226 \\ 0 \\ 226 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2639 \\ 397 \\ 2242 \\ 0 \\ 2242 \end{array}$ |
| $\stackrel{\text { O}}{\underline{\Xi}}$ | Homing as 2-sea-winter salmon Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters | 224 0 224 | $\begin{array}{r} 2222 \\ 0 \\ 2222 \end{array}$ |


| 3-SEA-NINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
|  | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year Total net decreased catch | er | . |
| 辰 | lloming as 3-sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters |  |  |

TABLE $4 c$. Impact of introduction of Option 2A to the Newfoundland commercial salmon fishery on Atlantic Coast Nova Scotia Rivers origin atiantic salmon in that fishery.

| L-SEm-ninter salmon |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
|  | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of lst year <br> Harvested as 2-sea-winter salmon <br> Harvested as 3-sea-winter salmon <br> Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 1300 \\ 65 \\ 1235 \\ -\quad 0 \\ 0 \\ 1235 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5733 \\ 287 \\ 5446 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 5446 \end{array}$ |
| 告 | Homing as 1-sea-winter salmon <br> Homing as $2-s e a-m i n t e r ~ s a l m o n$ <br> Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon <br> rotal gain to home waters | $\begin{array}{r} 1223 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1223 \end{array}$ | 5393 0 0 5393 |


| 2-SEA-TINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
|  | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of list year <br> Harvested as 3-sea-winter salmon <br> Total net decreased catch | 265 0 265 0 265 | $\begin{array}{r} 2639 \\ 0 \\ 2539 \\ 0 \\ 2639 \end{array}$ |
|  | Horing as 2-sea-winter salmon foring as 3 -sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters | 263 0 263 | 2609 0 2609 |



TABLE 4 d. Impact of introduction of Option 28 to the Newfoundiand commercial salmon fishery on AtIantic coast Nova scotia Rivers origin Atlantic salmon in that fishery.

| 1-SEA-MTMTER SALROA |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Poumes |
|  | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss and of lst year <br> Harvested as 2-sea-winter salmon <br> Harvested as 3-sea-winter salmon <br> Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 1300 \\ 60 \\ 1240 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1240 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5733 \\ 265 \\ 5468 \\ 0 \\ 540 \\ 5468 \end{array}$ |
| \% | Homing as I-sea-winter salmon <br> Homing as 2-sea-winter salmon <br> Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon <br> Total gain to home watexs | 1228 0 0 1228 | 5415 0 0 5415 |


| 2-SEA-TINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pouncs |
|  | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of lst year <br> Harvested as 3-sea-winter salmon <br> Total net decreased catch ? | $\begin{array}{r} 266 \\ 40 \\ 225 \\ 0 \\ 226 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2639 \\ 397 \\ 2242 \\ 0 \\ 2242 \end{array}$ |
| $\stackrel{9}{5}$ <br> ¢ | Homing as 2-sea-winter salmon foming as 3-sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters | 224 0 224 | $\begin{array}{r} 2222 \\ 0 \\ 2222 \end{array}$ |


| 3-SEA-WINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
| a E 0 0 0 5 0 0 3 0 3 2 | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year Total net decreased catch |  | $\cdot$ |
| $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\stackrel{\text { E }}{\square}}$ | Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters | . |  |

TABLE 4 e. Impact of introduction of Option $3 A$ to the Newfoundland commercial salmon fishery on Atlantic Coast Mova Scotia Rivers origin Atlantic salmon in that fisherg.

| 1-SEA-VINTER SALMOM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
| 3 3 3 3 3 0 3 3 0 3 | AEfected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of lst year <br> Haryested as 2-sea-winter salmon <br> Harvested as 3-sea-winter salmon <br> Total net decreased catch | 800 65 735 0 0 735 | $\begin{array}{r} 3528 \\ 287 \\ 3241 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 3241 \end{array}$ |
| ¢ | Homing as I-sea-winter salmon Homing as 2-sea-winter salmon Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters | 728 0 0 728 | 3210 0 0 3210 |


| 2-SEA-YITTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
|  | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of lst year <br> Harvested as 3-sea-winter salmon <br> Total net decreased catch | 266 0 266 0 266 | $\begin{array}{r} 2639 \\ 0 \\ 2639 \\ 0 \\ 2639 \end{array}$ |
| ® O $=$ | Homing as 2-sea-winter salmon Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters | 263 0 263 | $\begin{array}{r} 2609 \\ 0 \\ 2609 \end{array}$ |


| 3-SEA-WINTER SAIMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
| pueipunofmen | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year Total net decreased catch | - |  |
| $\stackrel{\text { O }}{\text { E }}$ | Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters |  |  |

TABLE $4 f$. Impact of introduction of option 3 to the Menfoundland commercial salmon fishery on Atlantic Coast Nova Scotia Divers oriain Atlantic salmon in that fishery.

| I-SEミ-NINTER SALMOU |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pouncs |
|  | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of list year <br> Harvested as 2-sea-winter salmon <br> Harvested as 3-sea-minter salmon <br> Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 900 \\ 45 \\ 855 \\ 0 \\ 85 \\ 855 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3969 \\ 198 \\ 3771 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 3771 \end{array}$ |
| O E O | Goming as l-sea-winter salmon Homing as 2-sea-winter salron Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon Total gain to home watezs | 846 0 0 846 | $\begin{array}{r} 3731 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 3731 \end{array}$ |


| 2-SEM-WINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
|  | Affected <br> Harvested later in same Year <br> Net loss end of lst year <br> Harvested as 3-sea-winter salmon <br> Total net cecreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 266 \\ 40 \\ 226 \\ 0 \\ 226 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2539 \\ 397 \\ 2242 \\ 0 \\ 2242 \end{array}$ |
| O | Homing as 2-sea-winter salmon Koming as 3-sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters | 224 0 224 | $\begin{array}{r} 2222 \\ 0 \\ 2222 \end{array}$ |


| 3-SEA-TINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
|  | Affected Harvested later in same year Total net decreased catch | $\cdots$ | . |
|  | Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon rotal gain to home waters |  |  |

TABLE 4g. Impact of introduction of option Quebec to the Newfoundland commercial salmon fishery on Atlantic Coast Nova Scotia Rivers origin Atlantic salmon in that fishery.

|  | Impact | Rumbers | Pounds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I <br> 析 <br> - <br> E | Affected <br> Harvested later in sane yeer <br> Net loss end of Ist yeaz <br> Harvested as 2-sea-minter salmon <br> Harvested as 3-sea-winter salmon <br> Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 400 \\ 38 \\ 362 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 362 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1764 \\ 168 \\ 1596 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1596 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{r}\text { ¢ } \\ \text { E } \\ \hline 0\end{array}$ | Homing as l-sea-winter sainon Homing as 2-sea-winter salmon Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters | 358 0 0 358 | $\begin{array}{r} 1279 \\ 4 \\ 0 \\ 1579 \end{array}$ |


| 2-SEA-HINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | - Pouncs |
|  | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of lst year <br> Harvested as 3-sea-winter salmon Total net decreased catch * | $\begin{array}{r} 266 \\ 0 \\ 266 \\ 0 \\ 266 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2639 \\ 0 \\ 2639 \\ 0 \\ 2639 \end{array}$ |
| $\stackrel{0}{\underline{E}}$ | Homing as 2-sea-winter salmon Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon Tutai gein to home watezs | 263 0 263 | $2609$ $0$ <br> 2609 |


| 3-SEA-WINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pouncs |
|  | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year Total net decreased catch | : . | . |
| ¢ <br> ¢ | Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters |  |  |

Majle Sa．inpact゙ of intzoduction of oation la to the MemEoundiane comercial salmon Eishery on Mitamichi omigin athancic salmon in that fishery．

| 1－SEA－itntep samon |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Inpact |  | Numbers | Rounes |
|  | Affectec | 13.574 | 31，211 |
| 듣 | Harvested later in same year |  | －1， 0 |
| $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0}$ | Net loss end of Ist year | 18，574 | 81．711 |
| 三 | Harvested as 2－sea－minter salmon | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | Harrested as 3－see－winter salmon | 0 | 0 |
| 董 | Totai net decreased catch | 18，574 | 81，911 |
| $\stackrel{0}{2}$ |  |  |  |
|  | Homing as 1－sea－minter salmon |  |  |
|  | Foming as 2－sea－winter salmon | 7.075 | $70,134$ |
| 彦 | Honing as 3－sea－winter salmon |  | $0$ |
|  | Total gain to home waters | 16．562 | 112．022 |


| 2－SEA－WINTER SAIMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | pounds |
|  | AfEecさed <br> \＃arvestea latez in same year <br> Net loss end of lst year <br> Harvested as 3－sea－winter saimon <br> Total net decreased catch． | $\begin{array}{r} 11,597 \\ 0 \\ 11,597 \\ 0 \\ 11,597 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 115.042 \\ 0 \\ 115,042 \\ 0 \\ 115,042 \end{array}$ |
| $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{\stackrel{\text { E }}{\text { ¢ }}}$ | Homing as 2－sea－rinter salmon Homing as 3－sea－uinter salmon rotal gain to home waters | $\begin{array}{r} 11,365 \\ 0 \\ 11,365 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 112,740 \\ 0 \\ 112,740 \end{array}$ |

nable sb. Impact of introduction of option $1 B$ to the Newfouncland comexcial salmon Eishery on Minamichi origin athantic salmon in that fishery.

| 1-SEA-NINTER SAIMON |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact |  | Numbers | sourcs |
| 䓪 | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of lst year <br> Harrested as 2-seamtincer salmon <br> Ha工vested as 3-sea-winter salmon <br> Total net decreased catch | . | $\begin{array}{r} 7949 \\ 914 \\ 7035 \\ 581 \\ 6454 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35055 \\ 4031 \\ 31024 \\ 5764 \\ 25250 \end{array}$ |
|  | Homing as 1-sea-winter salmon Homing as 2-sea-winter salmon Homing as 3-sea-vinter salmon Total gain to horme waters |  | $\begin{gathered} 3007 \\ 2608 \\ 5615 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13261 \\ 25371 \\ 39132 \end{gathered}$ |


| 2-SEA-HINTER SAINON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pouncs |
|  | AFFected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of lst year <br> Harrested as 3-sea-winter salmon <br> Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 6664 \\ 357 \\ 6307 \\ 6307 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 66107 \\ 3541 \\ 62565 \\ 62565 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\stackrel{\text { O }}{\stackrel{0}{E}}$ | Homing as 2-sea-winter salmon Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters |  | 61910 61910 |

Tajle. ${ }^{\text {c. }}$. Impact of introcluction of Option 2A to the Newfouncland comercial salmon Eishery on Miramichi origin Atlantic salmon in that fishery.



Maule 50. mpact of introduction of Option 2 E to the Newfoundland commercial salmon fishery on Miramichi ocigin atlantic salmon in that Eishery.

| 1-SEA-NTNTE Z - - |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbez: | zounds |
|  | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of lst year <br> Harvested as 2-sea-winter salmon <br> Harvested as 3-sea-winter salnon <br> Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 3720 \\ 365 \\ 3355 \\ 164 \\ -\quad 191 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16405 \\ 1610 \\ 14795 \\ 1627 \\ 13168 \end{array}$ |
| $\stackrel{\text { O }}{\stackrel{y}{O}}$ | Homing as 1-sea-winter salmon <br> Homing as 2-sea-winter saimon <br> Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon <br> Total gain to home waters | $\begin{array}{r} 1918 \\ 963 \\ - \\ 2881 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3458 \\ 9553 \\ 18011 \end{array}$ |


| 2-SEA-NINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pouncs |
|  | Afinected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of lst year <br> Harvested as 3-sea-winter salmon <br> Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 7634 \\ 447 \\ 7137 \\ -\quad \\ 7187 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 75729 \\ 4434 \\ 71295 \\ 71295 \end{array}$ |
| $\stackrel{\text { O }}{\underline{O}}$ | Homing as 2-sea-winter salmon Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters |  | $70561$ <br> 70561 |

Taie se. Impact of introciuction of option $3 A$ to the Newfoundlanc commerciai salmon fisinery on tiramichi origin Aclankic salmon in that Eishery.


|  | Impact | Numbers | Pouncs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of lst year <br> Harvested as 3-sea-winter salmon <br> Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 7696 \\ 374 \\ 7322 \\ 7322 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 76344 \\ 3710 \\ 72634 \\ 72634 \end{array}$ |
| $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{\text { E }}$ | Homing as 2-sea-winter salmon Homing as 3 -sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters | $\begin{aligned} & 7246 \\ & \overline{7246} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 71880 \\ 71880 \end{gathered}$ |

Taile 5 . Inpact of introduction of option 38 to the fewfoundland commercial salmon Eishery on wizamichi origin Atlantio salmon in thet zishery.

| I-SEA-itrued Snuron |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pouncs |
| 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 3 3 | AfEected <br> Harvestec later in same year <br> Net loss end of lst year <br> Harvested as 2-sea-winter salmon <br> Harrested as 3-sea-minter salmon <br> Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 1916 \\ 121 \\ 1795 \\ 61 \\ - \\ 1734 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8450 \\ 534 \\ 7916 \\ 505 \\ - \\ 7311 \end{array}$ |
| $\xrightarrow[\text { 匊 }]{\text { ¢ }}$ | Homing as I-sea-winter salnon Homing as 2-sea-winter salmon Homing as 3-sea-rinter salmon Total gain to home waters | $\begin{array}{r} 1365 \\ 256 \\ - \\ 1631 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5020 \\ 2639 \\ -\quad \\ 8659 \end{gathered}$ |


| 2-SEA-MINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Founds |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { E } \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of lst year <br> farvested as 3-sea-winter salmon <br> Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 6555 \\ 373 \\ 6182 \\ - \\ 6182 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 65026 \\ 3700 \\ 61325 \\ -\quad \\ 61325 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q1 } \\ & \stackrel{\text { O}}{2} \end{aligned}$ | Homing as 2 -sea-winter salmon Homing as 3 -sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters | $\begin{aligned} & 6116 \\ & 6116 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60671 \\ 60671 \end{gathered}$ |

Taile sq. Impact of introduction of option que to the Newfoundiand comnercial salmon Eishery on Mizamichi origin Atlantic salmon in that Eishery.


| 2-SEA-HINTER SALMOM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pouncs |
|  | Afzected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of lst year <br> Harvested as 3-sea-winter salmon <br> Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 7692 \\ 496 \\ 7196 \\ 7196 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 76305 \\ 4921 \\ 71384 \\ 71384 \end{array}$ |
| - | Homing as 2-sea-winter salmon Homing as 3-sea-winter salmon Tocial gain to home waters. | $\begin{gathered} 7116 \\ \overline{7116} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 70591 \\ 70591 \end{gathered}$ |

Table 1. Summation of estimated losses to Newfoudnland Commercial Fisheries and gains to Quebec Fisheries resulting from the imposition of each of seven regulatory options considered

| Option | Affected |  | Losses to Nfld |  | Gains to Quebec |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No | Pounds | No | Pounds | No | Pounds |
| 1A | 54784 | 527434 | 54784 | 527434 | 45682 | 533031 |
| 1B | 29262 | 285212 | 26993 | 261701 | 23476 | 268156 |
| 2A | 31725 | 319358 | $30 \quad 537$ | 307133 | 28859 | 306993 |
| 2B | 23897 | 238742 | 22017 | 218957 | 20569 | 220171 |
| 3A | 26952 | 272524 | 25456 | 257022 | 24233 | 256702 |
| 3B | 20579 | 206720 | 18867 | 188796 | 17745 | 189524 |
| Québec | 28576 | 285660 | 26961 | 268004 | 24887 | 270767 |

Table 2. Impact of introduction of Option iA to the Newfoundland commercial salmon fishery on Quebec origin Atlantic salmon in that fishery

| 1 SEA-WINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
|  | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of lst year <br> Harvested as 2-sea-winter salmon <br> Harvested as 3 -sea-winter salmon <br> Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 4836 \\ \\ 4836 \\ 4 \\ \\ \\ 4836 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 21 & 327 \\ 21 & 327 \\ & 0 \\ 21 & 027 \end{array}$ |
| 年 | Homing as 1 sea-winter salmon <br> Homing as 2 sea-winter salmon <br> Homing as 3 sea-winter salmon <br> Total gain to home waters | $\begin{array}{ll} 2 & 382 \\ 1 & 139 \\ & 500 \\ 4 & 021 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 10 & 505 \\ 11 & 299 \\ 8 & 265 \\ 30 & 069 \end{array}$ |


| 2 SEA-WINTER SALMOR |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
|  | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of lst year <br> Harvested as 3 sea-winter salmon <br> Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{rr} 48 & 341 \\ & 0 \\ 48 & 341 \\ & 0 \\ 48 & 341 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 479 & 543 \\ 479 & 543 \\ & 0 \\ 479 & 543 \end{array}$ |
| $\stackrel{\text { Ex }}{\underline{E}}$ | Homing as 2 sea-winter salmon Homing as 3 sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters | $\begin{array}{ll} 28 & 093 \\ 12 & 33 A \\ 40 & 427 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 273 & 683 \\ 203 & 881 \\ 482 & 564 \end{array}$ |


| 3 SEA-WINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impast | Numbers | Pounds |
| $\begin{aligned} & \vec{\rightharpoonup} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{E} \\ & \vec{Z} \\ & \vec{E} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{3} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{3} \\ & \mathbb{Z} \end{aligned}$ | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 1607 \\ 1607 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26564 \\ 0 \\ 26 \\ 064 \end{array}$ |
| 异 | Homing as 3 sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters | $\begin{array}{ll} 1 & 234 \\ 1 & 234 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20395 \\ & 20398 \end{aligned}$ |

Table 3. Impact of introduction of Option 18 to the Newfoundland commerciai salmon fishery on Quebec origin Atlantic salmon in that fishery

| Impact |  | SEA-WINTER SALMON |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 2 SEA-WINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
|  | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of lst year <br> Harvested as 3 sea-winter salmon <br> Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{rr} 25 & 648 \\ 1 & 480 \\ 24 & 168 \\ & 289 \\ 23 & 879 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 254 & 428 \\ 14 & 682 \\ 239 & 747 \\ 4 & 777 \\ 234 & 970 \end{array}$ |
| $\stackrel{\text { E }}{5}$ | Homing as 2 sea-winter salmon Homing as 3 sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters |  | $\begin{array}{r} 163620 \\ 74087 \\ 237 \quad 707 \end{array}$ |


| 3 SEA-WINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
|  | Affected <br> Harvested later in sane year <br> Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 1225 \\ 25 \\ 1 \quad 200 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20 \quad 249 \\ 413 \\ 19836 \end{array}$ |
| $\stackrel{\ddot{シ}}{\stackrel{\text { ® }}{\square}}$ | Homing as 3 sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters | $\begin{array}{ll} 1 & 041 \\ 1 & 041 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17208 \\ & 17208 \end{aligned}$ |

Table 4. Impact of incroduction of Option 24 to the Newfoundland comercial salmon fishery on Quebec origin Atlantic salmon in that fishery

| 1 SEA-WINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
| B 3 3 0 3 3 3 | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of lst year <br> Harvested as 2-sea-winter salmon <br> Harvested as 3-sea-winter salmon <br> Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 738 \\ 28 \\ 710 \\ 35 \\ 2 \\ 673 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3255 \\ 123 \\ 3132 \\ 347 \\ 33 \\ 2752 \end{array}$ |
| 告 | Homing as 1 sea-winter salmon Howing as 2 sea-winter salmon Homing as 3 sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters | $\begin{array}{r} 422 \\ 126 \\ 41 \\ 589 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 1 & 861 \\ 1 & 250 \\ & 678 \\ 3 & 789 \end{array}$ |


| 2 SEA-WINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
|  | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of 1 st year <br> Harvested as 3 sea-winter salmon <br> Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{rr} 29 & 669 \\ 1 & 035 \\ 28 & 634 \\ & 88 \\ 28 & 546 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 994 & 316 \\ 10 & 267 \\ 284 & 049 \\ 1 & 455 \\ 282 & 594 \end{array}$ |
|  | Homing as 2 sea-winter salmon Howing as 3 sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters | $\begin{array}{rr} 25 & 076 \\ 1 & 996 \\ 27 & 072 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 248 \\ 754 \\ 32 \\ 2984 \\ 281 \end{array}$ |



Table 5. Impact of introduction of Option $2 B$ to the Newfoundland cotmercial salmon fishery on Quebec origin Atlantic salmon in that fishery

| 1 SEA-WINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
|  | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of list year <br> Harvested as 2-sea-winter salmon <br> Harvested as 3-sea-wincer salmon <br> Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 870 \\ 41 \\ 829 \\ 49 \\ 4 \\ 776 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3837 \\ 3 \quad 181 \\ 3556 \\ 486 \\ 360 \\ 3104 \end{array}$ |
| O É ¢ | Homing as 1 sea-winter salmon Homing as 2 sea-winter salmon Homing as 3 sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters | $\begin{array}{r} 539 \\ 113 \\ 39 \\ 691 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 2 & 377 \\ 1 & 121 \\ & 645 \\ 4 & 143 \end{array}$ |


| 2 SEA-WINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
|  | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of 1 st year <br> Harvested as 3 sea-winter salmon <br> Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{rr} 22 & 047 \\ 1 & 584 \\ 20 & 463 \\ & 194 \\ 20 & 269 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 218706 \\ 15713 \\ 202993 \\ 3207 \\ 199786 \end{array}$ |
| $\stackrel{\oplus}{5}$ | Homing as 2 sea-winter salmon Homing as 3 sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters |  | $\begin{array}{r} 168918 \\ 31291 \\ 200 \quad 209 \end{array}$ |


| 3 SEA-WINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
| 䔍 | Affected Harvested later in same year Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 980 \\ 8 \\ 972 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16199 \\ 132 \\ 16067 \end{array}$ |
| $\stackrel{\text { E }}{\underline{E}}$ | Homing as 3 sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters | $\begin{aligned} & 957 \\ & 957 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 15 & 819 \\ 15 & 829 \end{array}$ |

Table 6. Impact of introduction of Option 3 A to the Newfoundand commercial salmon fishery on Quebec origin Atlantic salmon in that fishery

| 1 SEA-WINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
|  | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of lst year <br> Harvested as 2-sea-winter salmon <br> Harvested as 3-sea-winter salmon <br> Total net decreased catch. | $\begin{array}{r} 413 \\ 12 \\ 401 \\ 42 \\ 3 \\ 356 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1821 \\ 53 \\ 1768 \\ 417 \\ 50 \\ 1301 \end{array}$ |
| $\stackrel{\text { U }}{\text { ¢ }}$ | Homing as 1 sea-winter salmon Homing as 2 sea-winter salmon Homing as 3 sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters | $\begin{array}{r} 117 \\ 121 \\ 39 \\ 277 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 516 \\ 1200 \\ 645 \\ 2361 \end{array}$ |


| 2 SEA-winter salmon |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
| 苞 | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of lst year <br> Harvested as 3 sea-winter salmon <br> Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 25414 \\ 14332 \\ 24032 \\ 107 \\ 23975 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 25.2 & 107 \\ 13 & 123 \\ 238 & 894 \\ 1 & 769 \\ 237 & 125 \end{array}$ |
| $\stackrel{\text { E1 }}{\text { ¢ }}$ | Homing as 2 sea-winter salmon Howing as 3 sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters | $\begin{array}{r} 21430 \\ 1418 \\ 22848 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 212586 \\ 23440 \\ 236026 \end{array}$ |


| 3 SEA-WINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
| 号 | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{rr} 1 & 125 \\ & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 18 & 596 \\ 18 & 596 \end{array}$ |
| $\stackrel{\text { E }}{\text { E }}$ | Homing as 3 sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters | $\begin{array}{ll} 1 & 108 \\ 1 & 208 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 18 \\ & 18 \end{aligned} 315$ |

Table 7. Impact of introduction of Option 38 to the Newfoundland commercial salmon fishery on Quebec origin Atlantic salmon in that fishery

| I SEA-WINTER SALMON |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |


| 2 SEA-WINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
|  | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of lst year <br> Harvested as 3 sea-winter salmon <br> Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{rr} 18 & 691 \\ 1 & 489 \\ 17 & 402 \\ 142 \\ 17 & 260 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 187399 \\ 14771 \\ 172628 \\ 2347 \\ 170 \end{array}$ |
|  | Homing as 2 sea-winter salmon Homing as 3 sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters |  | $\begin{array}{rr} 147 & 312 \\ 22 & 394 \\ 170 & 206 \end{array}$ |


| 3 SEA-WINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
|  | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 980 \\ 8 \\ 972 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 16199 \\ 132 \\ 16 & 067 \end{array}$ |
| \#1 | Homing as 3 sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters | $\begin{aligned} & 957 \\ & 957 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15819 \\ & 15810 \end{aligned}$ |

```
Table 8. Impact ot mntroguction of Option OuEbec to the Newfondiand commercial
    sa_nor Ösrery on quesec onigin Aviantic samon in that fishery
```

| 1 SEA-WINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
| $\square$ $\#$ 3 0 0 3 3 | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year Net loss end of lst year Harvested as 2 -sea-winter salmon Harvested as 3 -sea-winter salmon Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 785 \\ 50 \\ 735 \\ 67 \\ 7 \\ 661 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3462 \\ 222 \\ 3241 \\ 665 \\ 116 \\ 2460 \end{array}$ |
| $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{5}}$ | Homing as 1 sea-winter salmon Homing as 2 sea-winter salmon Homing as 3 sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters | $\begin{array}{r} 261 \\ 200 \\ 66 \\ 527 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 1 & 151 \\ 1 & 984 \\ 1 & 091 \\ 4 & 226 \end{array}$ |


| 2 SEA-WINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
|  | Affected <br> Harvested later in same year <br> Net loss end of 1 st year <br> Harvested as 3 sea-winter salmon <br> Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 26306 \\ 1209 \\ 25597 \\ 282 \\ 25315 \end{array}$ | 265 916 11 253 2933 4 4661 249 262 |
| ¢ | Homing as 2 sea-winter salmon Homing as 3 sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters | $\begin{array}{r} 20592 \\ 2798 \\ 23390 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 204 & 273 \\ 46 & 234 \\ 250 & 507 \end{array}$ |


| 3 SEA-WINTER SALMON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impact | Numbers | Pounds |
|  | Affected Harvested later in same year Total net decreased catch | $\begin{array}{r} 985 \\ 0 \\ 985 \end{array}$ |  |
| 皆 | Homing as 3 sea-winter salmon Total gain to home waters | $\begin{aligned} & 970 \\ & 970 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 16 & 034 \\ 16 & 034 \end{array}$ |

APPEROIX N.
$*$
Q* Table $1(a)$. Mumers of pounds of Actancic salmo of Newtoundandotabrador orighn affecred by Option 13 srimaced poundages winich would be caugnt by comercial fichery in
gubsequent weeks and estimated poundages which would escape inco Nemiouncland-iabrador rivers under conditions of the craditional fishery.

| Area | No. OE pounds of Neld. -Lab. fish affected | Proportion caught | Pounds later caugat by comercial fisinery | Troportion escaping into rivers | Pounds escaping Lnco rivers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 213,199 | .35 |  | $\because$. 6. |  |
| $\frac{8}{3}$ | 131,959 | .35 .35 | 46,136 | . 6.65 | 138,573 85,773 |
| $c$ | 57,401 | . 35 | 20,030 | . 65 | 37,212 |
| 0 | 67,393 | . 35 | 23,753 | . 65 | 44,130 |
| $三$ | 55,468 | .30 | 15,941) | . 70 | 39,523 |
| F | 32,916 | .30 | 24,375 | . 70 | 58,041 |
| G | 0 | - | - | - |  |
| H | 41,046 | .20 | 8,209 | . 80 | 32,837 |
| I | 0 | - | - | - | , |
| $J$ | 160,155 | .20 | 32,031 | . 80 | 128,124 |
| 3 | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| 1. | 0 | - | - | - - | - |
| H | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| $\lambda$ | 7 | . 00 | 0 | 1.00 | 7 |
| 0 | 15,945 | . 00 | 0 | 1.00 | 15,945 |

Table $1(3)$. Additional "punbers of pounds of salmon angled and excess escapement resultag from Dotion is under conditions of the traditional Eistery.

| Area | Zabracior Eivers |  |  |  | Mewroundland riyers |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Escapemens za all rivers | Ezcapement | angled <br> (4\%) | Excess | Escapemenc | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{singhed} \\ & (13 \%) \end{aligned}$ | Excess |
| A-E | 345,325 | 34,079 | 1,363 | 32,716 | 311,925 | 56,022 | 255,214 |
| F-N | 219,007 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 219,009 | 39,422 | 179,587 |
| 0 | 15,945 | 15,945 | 633 | 15,307 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Tocil | 580, 259 | 50,024 | 2,005 | 48,023 | 530,245 | -5, | 434,301 |

Tocal provincial excess escapemenc 482,324 1bs.

Table 2(a). Numbers of pounds of dtlamtic salmon of Neuroundland-tabrador origin afecced by Option $2 A$ estimated poundages which would be caugnt by comercial Eishery in
subsequenc weeks and estimated poundages which would ascape into lewtoundland-Labrador rivers under conditions of the traditional fishery.

| Area | No. of pounds of Nild.-Lab. fish affected | Proportion caught | Pounds later caughe by comercial fishery | Proporcion escaping inco rivers | Pounds escaping inco rivers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 76,960 | . 25 | 19,240 | . 75 | 57,720 |
| B | 71,298 | . 25 | 17,325 | . . 75 | . 53,473 |
| C | 57,401 | . 20 | 11,430 | $.80{ }^{\circ}$ | 45,921 |
| D | 67,393 | . 20 | 13,579 | . 80 | 54,314 |
| E | 56,468 | . 20 | 11,294 | . 80 | 45,174 |
| $F$ | 82,916 | . 20 | 16,583 | . 80 | 66,333 |
| G | 7,755 | . 15 | 1,163 | . 35 | 5,302 |
| [ | 9,233 | . 15 | 1,392 | . 85 | 7,391 |
| I | 252 | . 15 | ;38 | . 85 | 214 |
| $J$ | 192,667 | . 15 | 28,900 | . 85 | 163,767 |
| R | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| L | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| M | 0 | - - | - | - | - - |
| N | 7 | . 00 | 0 | 1.00 | 7 |
| 0 | 15,945 | . 00 | 0 | 1.00 | 15.945 |

Table $2(b)$. Additional numbers of pounds of salmon angled and excess escapenenc rasulting from Option $2 A$, under conditions of the traditional fishery.

| Area | Escapement :o all rivers | Labrador zivers ${ }_{\text {a }}$ |  |  | Newfoundland rivers |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Escapemenc | Angled $(4 \%)^{\circ}$ | Excess | Escapement | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Angled } \\ & (13 \%) \end{aligned}$ | Excess |
| A-E | 256,602 | 17,962 | 718 | 17,244 | 238,640 | 42,955 | 195,585 |
| E - $\mathrm{H}^{\text {l }}$ | 244,804 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 244,304 | 44,065 | 200,739 |
| 0 | 15,945 | 15,945 | 638 | 15,307 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 517,351 | 33,907 | 1,356 | 32,551 | 483,444 | 87,020 | 396,424 |

Total provinctal excess escapement $=428,975 \mathrm{Ibs}$.

Table 3(a). Numbers of pounds of athantic salmon of Mewroundand-tabeador origin affected by Option 28 estimated poundages which would be caught by comnercial fishery in subsequent weeks and estimaced poundages which would escape into Newfoundlanduabrador rivers under conditions of the traditional fishery.

| Area | Mo. of pounds of Nfld. -Lab. fish affected | Proportion caught | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pounds later } \\ \text { caught by } \\ \text { comercial Eishery } \end{gathered}$ | Proportion escaping into rivers | Pounds ascaping inco rivers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 75,960 | . 25 | 19,240 | . 75 | 57,720 |
| B | 71,298 | . 25 | 17,825 | . 75 | 53,473 |
| C | 57,401 | . 20 | 11,480 | . 80 | 45,921 |
| D | 67,893 | . 20 | 13,579 | . 80 | 54,314 |
| E | 56,453 | . 20 | 11,294 | . 80 | 45.174 |
|  |  |  |  |  | - - |
| $F$ | 32,916 | . 20 | 16,583 | . 80 | 65,333 |
| G | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| E | 41,046 | . 20 | 8,209 | .80 | 32,837 |
| I | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| J | 160,155 | . 15 | 24,023 | . 85 | 136,132 |
| K | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| L | 0 | - | - | . - | - |
| M | 0 | - | $\cdots$ | - | - |
| N | 7 | . 00 | 0 | 1.00 | 7 |
| 0 | 15,945 | . 00 | 0 | 1.00 | 15,945 |

Table 3(b). Additional numbers of pounds of salmon angled and excess escapemenc resulting from Option 25 under conditions of the traditional fishery.

| Area | Escapement to all rivers | Labrador rivers |  |  | Newfoundland rivers |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Escapement | inglad ( $4 \%$ ) | Excess | Escapement | Angled <br> (18\%) | Excess |
| A-E | 255,602 | 17,962 | 718 | 17,244 | 238,640 | 42,955 | 195,685 |
| $\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{N}$ | 235,309 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 235,209 | 42,356 | 192,953 |
| 0 | 15,945 | 15,945 | 638 | 15,307 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 507,356 | 33,907 | 1,356 | 32,551 | 473;949 | 85,311 | 388,638 |

Total provincial excess escapemenc $=421,139 \mathrm{Lbs}$.

Table 4 (a). Numbers of pounds of Athantic galmon of Newfoundland-Labrador origin affected by Option 3 A estimaced poundages which would be caught by comercial fisiory in subsequent weeks and estimated poundages which yould escape into Newroundland-Labrador zivers under Conditions of the traditional Eishery.

| Area | No. of pounds of NELd. -Lab. fish affected | Proportion caught | Pounds later caughe by cownercial fishery | Proportion escaping into rivers |  | Pounds escaping <br> into rivers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 46,303 | . 25 | 11, 702 | , | . 75 | ', 35,106 |
| $B$ | 32,253 | . 25 | 8,063 |  | . 75 | 24,190 |
| C | 33,917 | . 20 | 6,783 |  | . 80 | 27,134 |
| D | 44,676 | . 20 | 8,935 |  | . 80 | 35,741 |
| E | 39,124 | . 20 | 7,325 |  | . 80 | 31,299 |
| $F$ | 69,166 | . 20 | 13,333 |  | . 80 | 55,333 |
| G | 3,966 | . 15 | 595 |  | . 85 | 3,371 |
| $\pm$ | 1,364 | . 20 | 273 |  | . 30 | 1,091 |
| $I$ | (-2,485) | . 15 | (- '373) |  | . 85 | (-2,112) |
| $J$ | 110,817 | . 15 | 16,623 |  | . 85 | 94, 194 |
| $\underline{1}$ | 0 | - | . - |  | - | - |
| L | 0 | . - | - |  | - | - |
| M | 0 | - | - - |  | - | - |
| N | 7 | . 00 | 0 |  | 1.00 | 7 |
| 0 | 15,945 | . 00 | 0 |  | 1.00 | 15,945 |

Table 4 (b). Additional numers of pounds of salmon angled and excess escapement resulting from Option 3 A under conditions of the traditional :̈sinery.

| Area | Escapement to all rivers | Labrador rivers |  |  | Newfoundland rivers |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Escapement | Angled (4\%) | Excess | Escapement | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Angled } \\ & (18 \%) \end{aligned}$ | Excess |
| A-E | 208,303 | 12,528 | 501 | 12,027 | 196,275 | 35,330 | 160,945 |
| $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{N}$ | 98,663 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 98,663 | 17,759 | 80,904 |
| 0 | 15,945 | 15,945 | 638 | 15,307 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 323,411 | 28,473 | 1, $139^{\circ}$ | 27,334 | 294,938 | 53,089 | 241,349 |

Total Rrovincial excess escapement $=269,183 \mathrm{Ibs}$.

Table $5(a)$. Mumbers of pounds of Athancic salmon of Newfoundland-Labeador orizin affectea by Option 3 B estimated poundages mhich would be caughe by coumarcial Efishery in subsecuent weeks and estimated poundages which would escape into Nemzoundiand-Labrador fivers under conditions or the traditional fishery

| Area | No. of pounds of Mild. -Lab. Eish affecced | proportion caugnt | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rounds iater } \\ & \text { caughe by } \\ & \text { comercial fishery } \end{aligned}$ | Proportion escaping inco rivers |  | Pounds escaping <br> inco rivers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 46,808 | . 25 | 11,702 | : | . 75 | $\therefore 35,106$ |
| B | 32,253 | . 25 | 8,063 |  | . 75 | 24,190 |
| c | 33,917 | . 20 | 6,783 |  | . 80 | 27,134 |
| D | 44,676 | . 20 | 3,935 |  | . 80 | 35,741 |
| $z$ | $30.22 \%$ | . 22 | 7.325 |  | .30 | 31,299 |
| F | 69,166 | . 20 | 13,33こ |  | .30 | 55,333 |
| G | 0 | - | - |  | - | - |
| H | 41,046 | . 20 | 8,209 |  | . 80 | 32,837 |
| I | 0 | - | - |  | - | - |
| $J$ | 160,155 | . 15 | 24,023 |  | . 85 | 136,132 |
| R | 0 | - |  |  | - |  |
| L | 0 | - | - |  | - | - |
| M | 0 | - | - |  | - | - |
| N | 7 | . 00 | 0 |  | 1.00 | 7 |
| 0 | 15,945 | . 00 | . 0 |  | 1.00 | 15,945 |

Table 5(b). Additional numbers of pounds of salmon angled and excess escapement resulting from Option 3B. under conditions of the traditional tishery.

| Area | Escapement to all zivers | Labrador Sivers ${ }^{3}$ |  |  | Newzound land rivers |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Escapement | Angled (4\%) | Excess | Escapement | angled <br> (18\%) | Excess |
| $A-E$ | 153,470 | 9;208 | 368 | 8,840 | 144,252 | 25,967 | 118,295 |
| $\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{H}$ | 224,309 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 224,309 | 40,376 | 183,933 |
| 0 | 15,945 | 15,945 | 638 | 15,307 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 393,724 | 25,153 | 1,006 | 24,147 | $368,571$ | 66,343 | 302,228 |

Total provincial excess escapement $=326,375$ lbs.

Table 6 (a), Nubers of pounds of Atlantic salmon of Newfound Land-Labrador origin affected by Option $Q$ estimated poundages wich would be caught by comaercial Eishery in subsequent weeks and estimated poundages which would escape inco Newioundland-Labrador rivers under conditions of the traditional tishery.

| Area | No. of pounds of MEld.-Lab. fish affected | Propoztion caught | Pounds later caught by commercial Eishery | Proportion escaping into rivers | Pounds escaping into rivers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | - - . | $\cdots$ |
| A | 11,672 | . 20 | 2,334 | . 90 | 9,338 |
| B | 37,503 | . 25 | 9,376 | . 75 | 23,127 |
| C | $50, \therefore 34$ | . 20 | 16,930 | .70 | 39,504 |
| 3 | 45,054 | . 30 | 13,516 | . 70 | 31,538 |
| 3 | 17, 366 | . 20 | 3,573 | . 80 | 14.233 |
| F | 33,178 | . 20 | 6,635 | . 80 | 26,542 |
| G | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| H | 3,266 | .15 | 490 | . 85 | 2,776 |
| I | (-2,485) | . 15 | (-373) | . 35 | (-2,112) |
| $J$ | 110,817 | . 15 | 16,623 | . 35 | 94,194 |
| K | 0 | . - | - | . - | - |
| L | 0 | - | - | - | . - |
| M | 0 | - | - - | - | - . |
| N | 0 | - | - . | - . | - |
| 0 | 71,441 | . 00 | 0 | 1.00 | 71,441 |

Table $6(b)$. Additional numbers of pounds of salmon angled and excazs escapement zesulting from Uption $Q \quad$ under conditions of the traditional fishery.

| Area | Escapement to all rivers | Tabesfor rivers |  |  | Yevzoundland rivers |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Escapement | Angled ( $4 \%$ ) | Excess | Escapement | Angled (18\%) | Excess |
| $\rightarrow$ | 122,300 | 12,157 | 485 | 11,671 | 110,643 | 19,916 | 90,727 |
| $\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{N}$ | 123,512 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 123,512. | 22,232 | 101,280 |
| 0 | - 71,441 | 71,441 | 2,858 | 68,583 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Tocai | 317,753 | 93,593 | 3,344 | 80,254 | 234,155 | 42,148 | 192,007 |

Total provincial excess escapement $=272,261 \mathrm{lbs}$.

APPENDIX XV.

## METHODS

Impact of the six options on salmon of Newfoundland and Labrador origin, with respect to additional salmon angled and excess escapement.

Methods for obtaining excess escapement using Tables $1(a)$ and (b) as an example: Table 7 is a summary table showing method of calculations for all stocks of fish released for Option 1(B).

1. The salmon of Newfoundland-Labrador origin released from each area by a particular option when the fishery would be closed were summed from the weekly catches in Appendix II.

In Table 1(a) the pounds of Newfoundland-Labrador fish affected in each area was obtained from Appendix II. Eg. for Area A, Option 1(B) affected the sum of catches of Newfoundland-Labrador origin fish in weeks 6-9 and weeks 17-34, a total of 213,189 pounds.
2. The proportions of fish on an area of origin basis were arbitrarily derived from known migration routes.

Thus, the portion caught in column 3 of Table 1(a) was derived and summarized in Table 7. For Area A, the 213,189 pounds of NewfoundlandLabrador fish affected were arbitrarily divided into four (4) components, Area $0, M$ and $N, A$, and others. This division was based on existing knowledge through marine tagging of migration patterns, the degree of regulation by a particular option, knowledge of component stocks and relative production in various Areas. The proportion of each component which would be caught later in the commercial fishery was arbitrarily estimated using tagging studies, times of fishing in adjacent areas and timing of runs to the rivers as a guide. Thus, for Area 0 component in Table 1, the exploitation rate was 0.70 and those for Area M and $N$; Area $A$, and others, were $0.25,0.15$ and 0.30 respectively. The overall estimate of 74,616 pounds caught was used in column four of Table 1(a).
3. The pounds escaping into rivers was calculated by subtracting pounds caught later in commercial fishery from the total pounds of NewfoundlandLabrador fish affected. Thus for Area A (Table 1(a)) 138,573 lb of the fish affected there escaped later to Newfoundland-Labrador rivers.
4. Some of these fish escaping into Newfoundland-Labrador rivers would also be angled. Angling harvests for Newfoundland-Labrador rivers were separated into Newfoundland and Labrador components because of the wide difference in angling exploitation rates in rivers of the two areas. The fish escaping to rivers (from Table 1(a)) were summed for Areas A to $E, F$ to $N$ and 0 and presented in Table $1(b)$; the pounds of fish caught were subtracted from total affected and the sum for these entered in the second column of Table 1(b) for Newfoundland and Labrador rivers. This is 34,079 pounds in the example of Areas $A$ to $E$ for Labrador rivers from Table 1(b). The angling exploitation rates were based on the total angled
catch in 1973, 1974, and 1975 and the total estimated river escapement in those years for Newfoundland and Labrador.

For example, in Table 1(b), 345,315 pounds of fish would escape from commercial fisheries in Areas $A$ to E, and of these 34,079 pounds escaped to Labrador rivers, of which, 1,363 pounds were angled and 32,716 pounds were not caught. Similar calculations were made for other comnercial fishing areas and for fish destined for other rivers and a total provincial escapement excess of 482,824 pounds $(48,023+434,801)$ estimated.

Tade 7.

Mechod of aroiteation for deriving overall proportions of Newnoundiacd-tajracoz salmon, released as a resulc of imposing OpTION $1(3)$, that mould be cauzat at a later date during the sme year in other Merfoundiand-tabzador areas.

In $\operatorname{trea}$ A 213,189 Jounds of Nevfoundland-Iabrador fish afrected.
Area of origin Comonent (lbs) Prooortion caught Pounce caushe

| 0 | 53,297 |  | . 70 |  | 37,308 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H, H | 53,297 |  | . 25 |  | 13,324 |
| $A$ | 53,297 |  | . 15 |  | 7,995 |
| Ocher | 53,298 | : | :30 |  | 15.989 |
| Tocel | 213.137 |  | . 3500 |  | 74, 615 |
| Overal1 |  |  | . 35 |  | 74,615 |

In Area $B$ 131,959 pounds of Newfoundland-Labrador fish affected.

| Area of origin | Component (Ibs) | Proportion causht | Pounds caught |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 32,990 | . 70 | 23,093 |
| A | 26,392 | . 15 | 3,959 |
| B | 26,392 | . 15 | 3,959 |
| M, N | 2,639 | . 25 | . 680 |
| Other | 43,546 | . 30 | 13.064 |
| Total | 131,959 | . 3390 | 44.735 |
| Overali Estinate |  | . 35 | 46,186 |

In Area C 57,401 pounds of Newioundiand-Labrador fish affected.
Area of origin Component (Ibs) Proportion caught Pounds Gausit

| 0 | 11,480 | .70 | 8,026 |
| :---: | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| A | 11,480 | .25 | 2,870 |
| B | 14,350 | .20 | 2,870 |
| C | 5,740 | .15 | 861 |
| Other | 14,351 | .35 | 5.023 |
| Total | 57,401 | .3425 | 19.550 |

Table 7 (Cont'd.)
In Area 067,393 pounds of Newfoundland-Labrador fish affected.
Area of origin
Componenc (lbs)
pronortion caught
Pounds caught

| 0 | 10,184 | .70 | 7,129 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A,B | 13,579 | .25 | 3,395 |
| C | 13,579 | .15 | 2,037 |
| D | 3,395 | .15 | 509 |
| Other | 27,156 | .35 | 9,505 |
| Total | 67,893 | .3325 | 22,575 |
| Overal1 Estimate |  | .35 | 23,763 |

In Area $E 56,468$ pounds of Newfoundland-Labrador fish affected.
Area of origin Component (lbs) Pronortion caught Pounds caught

| 0 | 5,647 | .70 | 3,953 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| A,B | 14,117 | .20 | 2,823 |
| C,D | 2,823 | .15 | 423 |
| E | 100 | .00 | 0 |
| South coast | 11,294 | .25 | 2,824 |
| West coast | 22,487 | .30 | 6,746 |
| Total | 56,468 | .2970 | 16,769 |
| Overall Estimate |  | .30 | 16,940 |

:
In Area $F$ 32,916 pounds of Newfoundland-Labrador Eish affected.

| Area of origin | Component (Ibs) | Proportion caught | Pounds causht |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $A, B$ | 8,292 | . 25 | 2,073 |
| C, D | 8,292 | . 20 | 2,073 |
| South coast | 2.4,875 | . 25 | 6,219 |
| West coast | 41,457 | . 30 | 12,427 |
| Total | 82,916 | . 2750 | 22,802 |
| Overall Estimate |  | . 30 | 24,875 |

In Area $\mathrm{H} 41,046$ pounds of Newfoundland-Labrador fish affected.
Area of origin Component (lbs) Pronortion caught Pounds caught

| . G | 8,209 | . 25 | 2,052 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | 12,314 | . 10 | 1, ここ: |
| I | 8,209 | . 20 | 1,642 |
| $J$ | 8,209 | . 20 | 1, 642 |
| West const | 4.105 | . $35^{\circ}$ | 1,437 |
| Tocal | 41.1040 | . 1950 | 8,004 |
| Orerall escimace |  | . 20 | 8.209 |


|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area of oricin | Component (15s) | Proportion Caught | Pounds caught |
| J | 40,039 | .10 | 4,004 |
| Wese Coast | 120.116 | . 20 | 24,023 |
| Total | 160,155 | . 1750 | 28.027 |
| Overall Escimare |  | . 20 | 32.031 |

In Area 0 15, 34 pounds of Neratounianc-iabuador Eisin afiected.
None of these fish would be caugit since the fishery mould close on Augrst 31 .


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ This working group was originally set up under the Progran Working farty on Atlantic Anadromous Fishes, but was transferred to Anadromous Catadromous and Freshwater Fisheries Subcommittee (ACFF) of the Canadian Atlantic Fisheries Scientific Advisory Committee (CAFSAC).

[^1]:    * Stocks from Anticosti, Lower North Shore, and the Angava areas were not included in the Quebec estimates.

[^2]:    *This adjustment would involve changing the fishing patterns to compensate for expected \&ariations in such factors as ice conditions, timing of runs, and migration patterns.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Parr rearing is that section of stream with bottom composition of gravel, rubble or boulder.

[^4]:    1 Numbers in parentheses represent alternative exploitation rates for Area 0 . assumed for salmon of Quebec origin.

