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The herring gillnet Eishery in the southern Gulf of St. Lawrence has represented an important component of the region's economy since the turn of the century. To date, no in-depth studies into its activities have been undertaken. The present study investigated the fishery during 1971-79-1ts temporal and spatlal aspects, the characteristtcs of the gear used and lts operation, as well as blological parameters of the herring populations, including distribution of epawning adulta and Juveniles. The data were collected by both interviews and mailed questionnaires, which afforded an opportunity to compare the two procedures in the gathering of finformation suitable for stock management.

The results indicated that, although the length of the fishing season has remained relatively constant since 1971, the number of nets deployed by each fisherman has dramatically increased over the same poriod. These Lncreases, combined with an augmentation in the number of fishermen partictpating in the fishery, have led to large increases in the effective effort experfenced by the stock.

## resume

La pêhe au hareng par les fllets mailiants constuve une ressource हैonompue importante pour la rëgton du sud du golfe Saintwaurent, et ce, depuls le debut du siecle. Jusqu' maintenant, aucune étude détalllée de cette pêche n'avait été entreprise Les travaux prêsentés dans ce document concernent donc cette pêche au filet, pour la période 1971-79. Les tendances temporelles et spaclales de la pêche, les caractéristiques des engins de pêche et des modes d'opération en vigueur, ainst que des paramètres biologlques des populations de hareng (ie distrlbution des adultes en frad et des juveniles) sont examinés. Toutes les données ont été recuelllies au cours d'entrevues avec des pécheurs, ou par L'entremise de questionnaires écits; l'utilisatlon de ces deux methodes d'Inventaire nous a permis de comparer leur efficacité relative dans la collecte d'information pour la gestion des stocks.

Les résultats démontrent que, mêne sl la durée de la saison de pêche est deneurée relativement constante de 1971-1979, le nombre de filets utilisés par chacun des pecheurs a augmente en fleche au cours de cette même période. Cet accroissement du nombre de filets, comblné à contribué à lagmentation de l'effectif des pêcheurs au filet, a contribute à augmenter largement l'efort de peche exerce sur le stock de hareng.

## INTRODUCTION

The herring fishery in the southern Gulf of St. Lawrence has long been an important part of the region's economy. Prior to 1965, vituually all landiags were reported by small vessels operating inshore within 10 riles of their home port. Gillnets and traps were the main gears in use. During $1940-56$, landings ranged between 28-43,000 mt annually with catches averaging 35,000 mt (Tibbo et al. 1969).

Catches declined during the late 1950's on account of reduced stock levels caused by widespread fungal infection. Good recrultment in 1958 and 1959 caused a slight increase in catch levels in the early $1960^{\circ}$, with inshore gear landings peaking at 44,000 mt in 1965.

In 1966, a large moblle fleet, composed mainly of purse seiners, developed after the discovery of major concentrations of overwintering fish off southwest Newfoundland. Catches of this fleet peaked at $278,000 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1970 and subsequently dropped sharply to 36,000 mt by 1973.

Inshore landlngs have steadily decreased since 1965 and now (1980) make up about 13,000 mt of the $41,000 \mathrm{mt}$ reported belng landed.

A total allowable catch (TAC) of $55,000 \mathrm{mt}$ was first applied to this flshery in 1975 in an effort to control the exploitation rate. This TAC remained virtually the same for the 1975-80 period. In 1980, there were signs that the stock was undergoing a dramatic decrease in abundance. These were conflrmed in 1981. Indeed, the stock appeared to have been decllning since 1.977. These abundance changea were difflcult to observe due to the problems encountered in interpreting the data available. Biologists attempting to access the stock status relied on purse seine $10 g$ book information and, more recently, incidental catch rates of herring observed on groundfish research surveys, to provide estimates of stock abundance. Both sets of data can provide a biased view of trends in stock abundance (Paloheimo and Dickle, 1963). Thus, it has become increasingly important, with decilning stock levels, to develop an index of abundance that does not suffer the biases present in the other indices. It was felt that an examination of the catch rate trends in the inshore fishery would be helpful in this regard.

Before quantfying the activities of the tnshore fishery, baslc background information ts required to guide the analysts. Three studtes (Ware and llenriksen, 1978; Spenard, 1979 and Greendale and Powles, 1980) have recently been carried out with this aim in mind. The first examines the herring spawning stock structure while the latter two examine aspects of the Madeleine Island and Gaspé fisheries respectively. However, none of these studies give an in-depth view of the inshore herring fisheries based in the Gulf shores of Nova Scotia, New Brunswick and Prince Edward Island.

This document presents the results of a survey of the marltime based southern Gulf fnshore herring fisherles wheh was conducted during the sumers of 1978 and 1979. The survey was composed of dockside interviews with fishermen, to gain descriptive information on the Elshery, and a malled questionaire from which information on trends in effort for the 1970-79 period was obtained. The dual nature of the survey also allowed evaluation of the relative merits of the two techinques in future data gathering exercises.

It is hoped that the background knowledge of the fiahery presented in this document will prove worthwhile as a useful adjunct to on-going and Future studies.

## HISTORICAL TRENDS IN THE REPORTED LANDINGS OF THE GILLNET FISHERY

Before describing the survey and its results, it might be worthwhile to first examine the trends in the catch statistics observed for the 1967-79 period.

The overall trends fo the catches, by fishery component, are illustrated in figure 1. Since entrance of the purse seine fleet into the fishery in the mid-1960's, the inghore gear catches have steadily declined. Present annual Inshore gear catches of $10-15,000$ mt are much lower than the historical average of $35,000 \mathrm{mt}$. To determine if these declines were observed throughout the southern Gulf or just in particular locations, the landing statistics as presented in Tables la m were firgt grouped by area and geason of fishlng activity and then plotted over time. The area groupings were based on known locations of herring processing facilities. The first area to be considered was that surrounding the extenstve processing facllities located in the Shlppegan area. All landings from statistical districts 63-71 (Figure 2) were combined for this area. The second grouping consisted of landings reported In statistical districts 73-80, 82-83 and 92-93. Most of the processing of these landings occurs in Escuminac. The third grouping comprised the landings from statistical districts 85-88 and 94-96 while the last grouping to be considered was for statistical districts $2-45$. Both the last two areas are of relatively minor importance to the overall fishery but are considered here for completeness.

The grouped landings are given in Table 2 and llusterace in figare 3 . It can be seen that, overall, the opring fithery (January-June) has reported over $50 \%$ of the catch in each year. There are exceptions to this in some years - in particular 1971-1972. Over the time series presented, it appears that herring landings in the spring dramatically dropped during 1968-72 period while in the fall (July December) equivalent declines were observed later -. during 1971-74. This may accounc for the apparent high landings reported in the fall fishery relative to the spring fishery during 1971-72.

Most of the spring fishery activity is concentrated in area two and also area one. It might be noted, however, that landings in the Shippegan area have decreased more extenstvely than those in the Recuminas area for the pertod in question.

Most of the fibhing activity in the fall occurs in area one However, since 1973, the relative contribution to the total catch from all other areas combined has becone increasingly higher. Indeed, virtually all the declines in the fall fishery were restricted to the Shippegan area.

## SURVEY METHODOLOGY

The survey was conducted in the southern Gulf of St. Lawrence ( F Lgure 2) during MaySeptember, 1978-79. A preliminary set of interviows was carrled out in Pictou county (statistical diatrict 12) to ald in determintag the fornulation of the questions posed to the flshermen. Following this, on-sight intervlews with herring gillnet flshemen were conducted in order to obtain general descriptive information on the fishery. Next, a short list of questions pertaining to quantitative aspects of the flshery was malled to all herring gillnet Fishermen licenced durlag 1979.

## INTERVIEN SURVEY


#### Abstract

In New Brunswick and Prince Edward Island, the fishermen were interviewed during the summer of 1978, and in Nova Scotia, during the sumer of 1979. People were selected for interviews in a variety of ways: some were contacted through referrals from fisherfes oftlciers, processors or other fishemmen, while others wero approached directly efther on the warf or at the flsh plants.


Each interview was carrled out according to the questions outlined ln Appendix $A$. The questions were formulated to provide Information on:

1) Name and piace of residence of fishemen.
2) Fishing gear characteristics such as gear type and size, and any changes that may have occurred since 1970.
3) Utilization of fishing gear such as factors affecting gear deployment, learning, changes in fishing activity over cime (yearly and geasonally) etc.
4) Characteristics of the herring population such as size and distribucion of juveniles, adults and spawners, as well as associated catch species.

The results for the interviews carried out in N.B. and P.E.I. during 1978 were destroyed in a fire at the Bedford Institute that occurred in April 1979. Only summary tables survived. Consequentily,
in the tables presented below which sumarizes the interview resuits only mean values are given for these areas, as standard deviations and/or ranges could not be calculated.

MALL survey

The mafl survey was conducted durtur the gumner of 1979 only.

A list of the names and addresses of all herring gillnet fishemen licenced in 1979, was obtained from the licensing division of the Federal Department of Fisheries and Oceans based in Hallfax, Nova Scotia. Each flsheman on the list was sent a questionnaire, (both French and English versions in New Brunswick) and a pre-stamped sel--addressed envelope. The subject was requested to return the envelope only if he did not fish for herving. Otherwise he was agked to anower queations concerning his:

1) name and restdence area;
2) sale of the catch and fishing experience; and,
3) flshing activity including the fighing season start and end dates, number of nets deployed per day etc. It should be noticed that this part of the questionnaire was similar to items 8 and 9 of the interview survey (Appendix A).

Examples of the English and French version of the questionnaire are given in Appendices $B$ and $C$. The kwo versions differ sightly in that the French version included a question on the species associated with herring catch, whlle the English verston asked for a definition of the word "set".

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## SURVEY COVERAGE

## Interview Survey

A total of 174 fishemen were interviewed in the survey area. This represents about $5 \%$ of all inshore fishermen licenced to catch herring in 1979 (Table 3). The best coverage was obtalned in Nova Scotia where up to $10 \%$ of the population was sampled. Indeed, in statistical district 46, where 6 fishernen were reported to have licencea, the sampling coverage was $50 \%$ of the populatlon. The only area in which a proportionately large sample size was obtained was in district 85 on Trince Edward Island: The overall sampling coverage of Prince Edvard Island and New Brunswlck was around $4-5 \%$. This Is due in large part to the high numbers of licenced fishemen in thege two provinces. An additional problen was encountered in New Brunswick. There, many of the fishemen are francophone. Thus a large number of the interylews had to be conducted through an interpretor. This caused a reduction in the number of interylews that could be conducted in a day and thus because of time constraints a reduction in the total number of interviews for that area.

## Mail Survey

Table 4 presents a district by district breakdown of the number of questionaires sent out and how many were returned. Overall, a total of 888 questionnalres ( $27 \%$ ) were returned whth the majorlty of these (701) stating some active involvement in the herring flahery. It might be noted here that ownership of a herring gillnet licence does not necessarily guarantee involvement in the fishery. Thus some returne from fishermen reporting that they do not fish for herring were expected.

Returas from N.S. N. N. and P.E.T. were 19.0, 32.6 , and 18.8 percent respectively. As mentioned earlier, a separate English and French version of the questionnaire was mailed out to all fishermen. Due to administrative problems, both versions were not sent out simultaneously. Thus, the area was in effect covered twice. In anglophone areas, the French version would probably have been ignored. However, in New Brunswick, where many of the fishermen are bilingual, some probably answered in English while some answered in French. As names were normally given, these were checked agalngt a master list to ensure that no fisherman answered twice. This "double" sampling may account for the relatively high rate of return from the New Brunswick area.

The highest returns were obtained from around Miramichi Bay while the lowest were obtained from districts 10 and 11 of N.S. and district 82 of P.E.I. To see if the percent returns bore any relation to the trends la reported landings throughout the area, a correlation between the percent response per district and the 1979 gill landing per district was calculated. The correlation coefficient was 0.44 with a slope not significantly different from zero. Thus response to the questionnaire did not seem at first analysia to be dependent on the amount of economic involvement in the fishery.

## CHARACTERLSTICS OF THE FLSHERY

## Spatial and Temporal Distribution

From the results of the mail survey, it was evident that throughout the survey area, fishing activity was most intense during the second quarter of the year (Table 5). Activity in the third and fourth quartexs was restricted primarily to statistical districts $65-67$ and 73 in New Brunswick.

Moxe detalled thes for seasonal flahing activity were avallable from compllation of the geometrlc means for atart and end dates of both the flahing season and the pertod of peak flahting activity, lee. when fishing effort wat most intense as provided by the 1979 mall survey responses. These data are Lllustrated In Figure 4. Only findlags based on the 1979 data are presented here as no obvious trends in the timing of seasonal fishing activity were evident
over the 1971-79 period. It is thus felt that events occurring in 1979 are typical of those present in the fishery during that period.

In New Brunswick, Nova Scotia and most areas of P.E.I., moat flehtrg sharts around the end of April. What really determines the exact date is the timing of the lea breakup. This appears to be the reaeon why northern districts in P.E.I. can comence thetr spring fishing activity earlier than is observed in other areas. It was also in this area that the highest catch rates were observed earliest. By mid-May, the helght of the spring fishing activity has been reached In most districts. High catch rates do not occur in districts 11, 13, 45 and 46 unt4 mid-June. Similar results were also obtained from the interview data, which is sumarized in Table 6 and Figure 5.

By late May to early June, the time of maximum spring fishing activity $i s$ over in most areas. However fishtng in most parts of Nova Scotla remains high until early-mid July.

Tall fishing is not quite as widespread as flshing in the spring. There is no fall fishery in those diatricts of New Brunswick and P.E.I. borderting the Northumberland Strait. The most extensive fall fishing occurs in Northern areas of New Brunswick, particulariy in districts 64-68. Some fall fishing also appears to be present in most northern areas of P.E.I., but is restricted co districts 11,12 and 13 in Nova Scotia. The fall fishing seagon ends sometime in October in most areas. As with the spring fishery, the overall trends in the fall fishery, as determined by the mail survey, agree with the patterns obtained during the interviews (Table 7 and Figure 6):

As will be seen later, one of the most important determinants of timing of the fishing season is the spawning time of the herring. However, these is also an interaction with the lobstex fishing in the spring and the mackerel fishery in the fall that confounds this view. The following comments on the spring and fall fisheries were obtained from the interviews and contain interesting inslght into the nature of these flsherles.

The spring fishery: The locations of the major fishing grounds used during the spring and fall are given in Table 8 . Local Gishing activity occurs in virtually all discricts visited. This Eishing is generally conducted close inshore, of ten no more than ten miles from their home port.

There are also pregent three fishing grounds which are frequented by fishernen who travel long distance to figh there. The most Limportant of these la off Eacuminac (figure 7). Flahermen from almost all ports of gouthern New Brunswick, and four districts of P.E.T. (82, 83, 87 and 92) go to this area to fish in the spring.
Apparently thete can be as many as 200-300 boats

In the area at one the Some of these boats set upwards of 100 nets. The second most important Flshing ground fs on the Quebec side of Chaleur Bay. New Brungwick fishermen From districts 64-65 go here to fish. The third reported fishtig area ls off Borden, P.E.I. Fishermen from both gides of Northumberland Stralt travel to thes area to figh. This flshery ls melacively minor compared to the other two.

During the futerylew, fishermen stated that these miguations have become fncreasingly fiportant to the fighery on account of the unpredictable nature of herring distributlons anc declining catch rates.

It might be worthwhile to mention at this point that the mafotity of fish caught by these mobile fishermen are landed in the statistical districts borderiag the Fishing area. There are, however, two exceptions to this. New Brunswick fishermen operating on the Quebec alde of Chaleur Bay wlli nomally land their catch on the New Brunswík side of the Bay. Also, there were scattered reporte of P.E.I. fishermen active in the Escuminac area landing thelr catch In P.E. I. This, however, appears to be rare.

As was stated above, flahing locally for herring in the spring occurs over much of the southern Gulf. Much of this activity appears to be directer at proviating bait for the lobster Eishery. Figure 8 illustrates the districts identifled in the interyiews as being bait fishing areas. The only major part of the southern Gulf not involved in fishing for herring as lobster bait is that bordering the western end of the Northumberland Strait. This Is the area where fighemmen were found to be most actlve in che Encumhnac hecting fishory. As well, the lobster season in this area is from 10 August to 10 Dctober whereas in all other areas it lg from 1 May to 30 June.

From the interviews, it became apparent that sorae of the large boats, when not fishing for hexulng, go fishing for lobster or mackerel. As the lobster season in the western Noxthumberland Strait area does not occur until the fall and the herting appear congregated off escuminac, it appears that the fishermen in the western Northumberland Stralt axea go Eishing for herring in the Escumbnac area due to the prohibition to fish lobster in cheir own area.

The fall fishery: As is observed in the spring, there are both relatively fixed and mobile components to the fall Elshery. In general, the fall fighery is not as extenslve as the one occurring in the spring. In many areas, herring fishing was not reported to occur (Table 8). There are, however, three major locations to which fishermen go to fish herring. The most important of these is on the Quebec side of Chaleur may and around Miecou. Flshermen from all over northern New Brunswick go to this area to fish (Figure 9). The next most important area is off Escuninac. Thls involves local Eishermen as well as Eishermen
from both northern (statistical districts 65-67) and southern (statistical decticts 77-78) New Brunswick. The last reported fishing area is off Richlbucto which involves fighermen from the Mramich1 Bay axea.

It appears that, extepting the comments given above, mackerel flshing replaces herring Fishlng for most Gulf fishemen in the fall. This is particularly true for those southern New Brunswick fishemen who during the spring flahed herxing in the Escuminac acea. The major locations of the mackerel fishing are given in Figure 10. It must be mentioned that falrly large amounts of herring are landed as a by-catch to this fishery.

## Nature of the Fishermen

In the mail survey, thee questions were asked to determine che cespondee's status in the fishery. These querted ham on the amount of time he employed fishing for herring, the number of years of hexring fishing experience that he had and and the ultimate use of his catch.

Percentage of time spent herring fishing: In Nova Scotia and Prince Edvard Island, most respondees stated that they spend approximately 25 percent or less of their cotal time fishing going after herring (Table 9). Nova Scotia exhibited the least active herring fishermen from this point of view. New Brunswick fishermen on the other hand appeared to be most active in the fishery. Interesting enough, the responses appeared to fall into two groups those from outer Chaleur Bay ( $65-6 \%$ ) and those from the bouthern shore of Miramichl Bay (73-75). As seen earlier, these are precisely the two areas where much of the spring and fall flsheries occur. Certalnly the offshore fishermen are very active in thege areas. Some of the respondents from these areas stated that they spend $100 \%$ of thelr fishing time golng after herring. The only other discxicts where this occurred were in P.E.I. (83 and 92) which, as seen earlier are areas contalning many fishemen that participate in the spring Escuminac Elshery.

Table 10 summarizes the number of fishermen who stated being active in che spring, fall or both seasons. The percentage of fishemen reporting that they fished year-round was high In many areas, espectally in statistical districts 65-67. The predominant number of fishermen who answered the questionnalre fished during the spring. The number of fishermen who fish golely in the fall was quite low.

Years of expertencs. To obtain some estimate on how akilled a particular fishermen was in catching herring, each fisherman was asked to state how many years he had been involved in the herring fishery. These results are shown in Table 11. In a few cases, the number of years of experfence was greater than 50. Most individuals however had anywhere from 1 to 30 years participation in the fishery. As can
be seen from the table, no one area appeared to have a monopoly on experienced herring
fishermen. There was a slight tendency towards the presence of more experienced fishermen in the Shippegan - Miscou area but this may not be significant.

A closer examination of the data revealed that, in all three provinces, the fishermen's experience frequency distribution was biomodal. with the modes occurring at $1-5$ years and $11-20$ years in both N.S. and N.B. The second mode in P.E.I. was quite flat, covertng a time span of 11-30 years. This tends to indicate that a large number of serfous fishermen entexed the Flshery some 11-20 years ago. Why this occurred is unknow but may have had something to do with expansion of offshore fishing operations in the Gulf during the mid-late sixties. This no doubt led to the spread of processing plants which may have encouraged participation in the fishery. This speculation cannot be carifed further without firm data which is presently lacking.

Use of catch by season: The fishermen were asked to atate whether they sell thetr catch to processore, to individuals or keep it for personal use. The results of this question were separated according to fishing season and district (Table 12). All fishermen who stated that they fished for herring before 1 July were considered active in the spring fishery. All those who stated that they fished for herring on or after 1 July, were considered active in the fall fishery. All others were classified as having fished in both seasons. Only those responses which reported one use of the catch were considered.

Sale to processors is restricted to districts 65-68, 73-83 and 92 during the spring and is prevalent in all areas during which fishing takes place in the fall. It fo Lnteresting to note that a large proportion of the flshermen who fish in both scasons sell their catch to the processora.

Sale to individuals is prevalent throughout the survey area, particularly in Nova Scotia and from Restigouche to the Miramichi in New Brunswick during the spring lobster season.

As well, most districts of P.E.I. report some sale to individuals in the spring. Very little fishing activity occurs in P.E.I. during the fall.

## Gear Deployment and Use

Information on the gear characteristics and how the nets are deployed was obtained during the interviews. Data on the number of nets deployed per day and the total number of days spent herring fishing were obtained from the mail surveys. These results are summarized in the following sections.

Fishing operations: In general, there are two types of fishermen who fish for herring with gillnets - those tho operate close inshore and thoge who figh further offshore.

Inshore fishing is carried out year round while offshore fishing is generally restricted to the fall seacon, at which time the herxing tend to congregate in deeper waters.

The inshore flshermen generally have small boats and use up to four nets in one cast. This limit is due to the fact that all hauling of the nete is carried out by hand. Nomally, when catch rates are high, few neta are used to facllitate retrieval of the nets.

Inshore fishermen can set their nets in one of three ways. The string of nets can be 'set' or anchored at both ends. This is the most common method of fishing. A variant of this is to anchor the net at one end only and allow the string to pivot with the tide. However, this is relatively uncommon. Nets anchored by elther of the two above procedures are normally checked once a day. However, when the weather la bad or herring abundance low, the nets are checked less frequently, perhape once every two days. In most areas, this dally routine of lifting and checking the nets is enough to keep them clean. Along the Northumberland Strait side of P.E.I. however, the high silt levels require a regular schedule of net retrieval and cleaning to allow effective fishing.

The third method employed by these inshore fishermen $1 s$ drift netting. The string of nets is simply placed in the water and allowed to drift with the curcent. In most areas, the boat ls tied to the delfeting net, which allows the fisherman to check his net at regular intervals - nornally every 24 hours. This type of fishing is particularly popular in the fall mackerel Etshery. As the mesh shzes used are relatively large $\left(23^{\prime \prime}-3^{\prime \prime}\right)$, only large herring are caupht ats a bymenteh to thin Elshery.

The offshore fishermen tend to use larger boats than the inshore fishermen. These boats are equipped with hydrauluc rollers to facilitate net recovery and sonar gear to locate herring schools. The rollers allow these boats to set and retrieve more nets than is possible for the smaller inghore vessels. Strings of $4-25$ nets are not uncommon for casts made by these boats. As well, the nets tend to be deeper than those employed by the inshore boats.

Offshore fishing relies on searching with the sonar equipment. Since the fish seem to be congregated at night and thus eastly detected on the sonar, this type of fishing normally occurs during that perlod.

[^0]taken its toll on lobster gear which can be overrun at night. Once a school of herring has been located, the fishermen attempt to cast their nets ahead of it. Then they wait from one to a maximum of 24 hours untll the nets are full before haultag back in. Nomally drift netting, as discusbed above, is used but in timers of rough weather, set netting is more popular. This causes some confusion in the reporting on the purchase slips since it is difficult to distinguish in some cases whether a set or drift method of Eishing was used.

Due to BLO fire damage, data on the relative number of fishermen employing set or drift procedures are only avallable for Nova Scotia (Table 13). In the three districts surveyed, 43 out of 46 fishermen stated that they used the set net procedure. It seems likely that, in most areas, drift netting, because of manouverabillty, is generally restricted to offshore fishing while set netring can occur both inshore and offshore.

## Factors Influencing Fishing Success


#### Abstract

Fishermen were asked durlag the interviews to lndicate the importance of various criteria in choosing their fishing location. The results for Nova Scotia are sumarized in Table 14. In general, a knowledge of the herring movement and commanication between fishermen play an faportant role in determining the fishermen's success in the fishery. Wind is very important as strong on-shore winds can damage nets and prevent boats from golng to sea. Some fishermen did state that tides are important in determining the way a net is set. For instance, In areas of strong tides, the nets must be set perpendicular to the flow of the tide or they will be lost. In Nova Scotia, offshore winds seemed to be particularly important in deteminlng a fisherman'a activity. Although there was a fafr amount of variation from one area to the next, most flahermen intervlewed stated that thelr Ladividual criterla for ensurling fishing success have remalned the same over the years.


## Gear Characteristics

Due to BIO fire damage, data on the size of the boats used in the 4 T herring fisheries are avallable for Nova Scotia only. In this area, the boats used measure, on average, 32 feet in length and weigh between $6-9$ tons (Table 15 ). There appear to be no significant differences in length and welght for the boats from the six Nova Scotia stathatical digtricts. It might be noted that these boata generally have atorage capactutes restricted to one day.

The mean length of gillnet used during both the spring and fall fishertes varied considerably in the survey area (Table 16 and Figure 11) over the perfod 1970-78. The longest nets were found in Cape Breton (statistical districts $2 \& 3$ ) while the shortest ones were Found in the Northumberland Strait (stat. dist. $46 \& 80$ ). The mean length of nets in N.S.,
P.E.I. and N.B. was 169,104 and 100 feet respectively. From Flgure L1, it can be seen that most of the small nets in N.B. are concentrated north and south of the Miramichi River (stat. dist. 68-77). \%o signiftcant changes in mean length of the nets was evident over the 10 year pertod.

Table 17 sumarlzes what data are avallable for the net depths used in the spring and fall fisheries. Since the original data are unavailable, the depth had to be expressed in meshes. Thus interpretation of the results will be confounded with changes in mesh size. Nevertheless, the average depth of nets used in the fall fishery ( 121 meshes) is almost double that for nets used in the spring ( 66 meshes). As stated earlier, this is due to the fact that fishing in the fall is generally carried out fuxther offshore than fishing in the spring. No obvious trends with geographical location over the 10 year perfod were apparent.

Tables 18,19 , and 20 summarize the findings on distribution of mesh size in the survey area. During the spring fishery (Table 18), the largest mesh sizes (2 3/8-3 inches) are found predominantly in Nova Scotla, the Chaleur Bay area of N.B. and the eastern part of P.E.I. The most widespread mesh stzes used are $2 \frac{1}{5}$ in N.B. and P.E.I. and $25 / 8$ in the northern parts of N.B. and N.S. The frequency of occurrence of the various mesh sizes observed in Nova Scotia Is given in Table 20. It is interesting to note that in general, the mesh sizes used in N.B. tend to gradually decrease from Chaleur Bay down to the Northumberland Strait. As well, the mesh sizes on the Strait side of P.E.I. tend to be smaller than those on the Gulf side.

As ghown in Table 19, the mesh stzes used in the fall gillnet fishery are larger than those observed in the spring fiahery.

This may be due to the fact that vessels fishing in the fall also direct their effort onto mackerel, which requires nets with mesh sizes of $23 / 4^{\prime \prime}-3^{\prime \prime}$.

## Number of Nets Per Set

Most fishemen interviewed defined a set as the number of times they checked their nets for catch. In other words, each time they visit their nets, they call it a set. As stated above, nets are usually checked once a day. This definition of a set has been kept for all following discussions.

The mean number of nets per set uaed by ftahermen durlng the apr: 7 and fall flahing measone varled conalderably throughout the survey area (Table 21). Durtng the spring fishing season, New Brunswick fishermen used the most nets ( 24 per set). The majority of these nets concentrated in statistical districts 73-80 at the western end of the Northumberland Stralt (Figure 12). PoE.I. fishermen used about 14 nets each on average. Again, the statistical districts at the western end of Noxthumberland

Stralt (82-83) exhibited the highest concentration of nets. The average number of nets per set in Nova Scotia (4) was quite low in comparison, the highest numbers being present in the districts borderfing Northumerland Strait. Unfortunately, no data were available for statistical district 12 (Pictou).

Net useage fin the fall fishery was almost completely restricted to New Brunswick (Table 21 and Figure 13). Again, statistical districts 73-80 showed the highest numbers deployed per set. The area around Shippegan, Miscou Island and the Bale de Chaleur seemed to be as important in the fall fishery as was found to be the case in the spring fishery.

## Number of Days Spent Fishing

From the previous discussion, it is evident that the spring and fall fisherles are dramatically different in their nature. As well, the fishermen which operate in these fisheries can be classifled as those which sell their catch to processors and those which either sell thelr catch for bait or keep it for personal use. Theae categorizations were used In the following discuaslons to facilitate the analysis of the mall survey data.

The mean number of days spent herring fishing by season, district and type of fishermen, for the 1977-79 period is 111ustrated in Figure 14. As one can see, there is no discernable difference in the length of the fishing season experienced by the 'processor-directed' and 'bait-directed' fishermen.

The spring fishermen in general tends to have a shorter season than those who operate in the fall. There was, however, a cendency for the fall fishery persecuted in the Northumberland Stralt to be ghorter than that carried out elsewhere. To facilitate analysis of these spatial and temporal trends, the matl survey data were grouped by fishing region. This grouping was carried out on districts which from the intervlew and mall survey results were ghown to exhibit similar characteristics. Thus, the fishery which is carried out in the northern New Brunswick area, hereafter referred to as the Chaleur Bay grouping, consists of data pooled for districts 63, 64, 65, 66, 67 and 68. The Escuminac regton was taken as another grouping consisting of data from districts $70,73,75$, $76,77,78,80,82,83$ and 92 .

The pooled data by fishing region, year, season and fisherman type are presented in Table 22.

Since 1971, the spring Chaleur Bay fishing season has been longer than that off Escuminac. For fishermen who sell their catch to processors, the spring season has been getting shorter since 1971, much of this drop occurring in the Chaleur Bay area. There does not appear to have been much of a change in either the length of the fall fishing season for
'processor-dimected' fiahermen or the length of the fishing seasons for 'bait-drected' Eishemen.

## Number of Nets Deployed Per Day

Considerable differences by fisherman type, seasor, area and year were found in the number of nets deployed per day by type of herring fishemen. The results for all areas and fishing seasons for 1979 were plotted by fisherman type to discem the underlying distribution of the data. In general (Figure 15). the number of nets deployed per day by fighermen tended to be gkewed to the left. However, this effect was not evident for those fishermen who used the catch for balt (personal use). In all subsequent snalysis the data for bait fishermen were treated with geometric means. In all other cases, the arithmetic mean was used.

Figure 16 1llustrates both geasonal and spatial patterns in the numbers of nets deployed per day by the two main types of herring Fishemen for the 1977-79 period. These data are sumarized for all years surveyed in Table 23.

As expected, Eishermen who sell their catch to processors use considerably more nets than those who fish just for bait (personal use). For the 1971-79 period, the former group employed $18-30$ nets per day while the latter group used $7-9$ nets per day. Also, the number of nets deployed by bait fighermen has remained relatively unchanged since 1971 , while the number of nets deployed by the 'processor-directed' flshermen has changed markediy over the same time period.

There are conslderable diference found from one area to anothex. Fishemen in the Chaleur Bay area generally deploy $5-10$ nets per day as opposed to the $26-38$ nets per day used by Escuminac fishermen.

In almost all areas, there has been a tendency for the number of nets deployed per fisherman to increase since 1971. Increases have been particularly apparent since 1978 in the Escuminac area. In that ares, increases have been about $45 \%$ over the 1971 level while in the Chaleur Bay area an increase of $81 \%$ was observed over the game interval. For the entire survey area, an average increase of $65 \%$ during 1971-79 was observed for fishermen who sell. their catch to processors.

## Number of Net-days and Catch Rates

An annual estimate of the average effort deployed by each fisherman in the survey area obtalned by multiplying the reported number of days fished and the number of nets deployed, on a fisherman by figherman basis. The results were averaged and presenced in rable 24 and Figure 17. In all areas, the average number of net-days has increased dramatheally since 1971. These increases have been particularly striking In the fall Chaleur Bay fishery where effort has almost tripled gince 1971. The spring fishery
has exhiblted an increase of $40-50 \%$, much of this since 1977.

When the reported landing statistics (Table 25) are divided by the appropriate estimate of effort from Table 24 (for "processor" fishermen only), catch rates and thas relative abundance estimates on an area by area basis are dertved (Table 26 and Figure 18). Note that the landings statistics presented in Table 25 have been grouped slightly differently than those given in Table 2. These indices are not to be considered absolute estimates of the catch rates. To calculate these, one would require information on the nuber of fishermen active in the fishery each year. Here we have in fact assumed that che number of fishemen involved per year is constant. There is evidence to suggest that the number of fishermen has in fact increased recently. Therefore the estimates of catch rate trends since 1977 are probably too high. Nevercheless, the trends in the earlier years are probably correct.
the catch rates of the apring (total) fahery have remained fairly constant since 1971 while those for the fall (Chaleur Bay) fishery declined dramatically during 1971-74 and have remained fairly stable thereafter.

Characterization of the Fish Population
The following comments were obtained during the interviews and pertain to biological aspects of the herring populations in the Gulf.

Distribution of spawners and fuveniles: Spaming of hercing was reported in virtually all districts in the Gulf during the May to October perlod. Spawning activity in northern Nova Scotla is only observed during June, whereas spawning in districts 11 and 13 are observed in August-0ctober (Figure 19). Spawning during the spring is also observed in most parts of P.E.I. and southern New Brungwick. From this, it can be seen that the spring fishery dixects its effort on the spawning conceatrations. The major catches occur when the figh move inshore to spawn.

Spawning in the fall is observed in statistical districts $88,92,66,73,75,76$ and, as mentioned above, districts 11 and 13. Most of these concentrations are fished during the fall. However, it was reported that the spawning concentrations that appear at the northwest and northeast tips of P.E.I. during the fall are not fished. The apecific areas of sightings and relevant comments are given in Table 27.

The locations of these spawning sites agree quite well with the results of a survey carried out by Ware and henriksen (1978). However, in our study spawning was found to occur in many areas not previously considered.

Juveniles (herring $6^{\prime \prime}$ to $8^{\prime \prime}$ in total length) were reported fn many areas of che Gulf during the summer and fall but not during the spring (Figure 20). Unusually large numbers of juveniles were reported at the eastern end of
P.E.I. during the fall of 1977 and sumer of 1978. The specticic areas of sighting and relevant conment are given in table 28 . In the Shediac area (scardaticti datricta 77-78), there were reporte of very mall herring that appeared fust after disapparnace of the ice in the spring. These fish have a bluish cint and digtinct flavour and are locally called blue fleh. These "ice herring" are also observed just afcer lee breakage in scatistical districts 83 and 85.

Catches of associated species: Results for catches of apecies reported in as goclation with the herring catches are only available for Nova Scotia (Table 29). Thexe, the species most commonly found in association with herring are mackerel and gaspereau. The percentage of mackerel in the catch varies fron 17 to $50 \%$ while colncidental gaspereau catches remain around $14 \%$ of total welght landed. Alewives, cod and squid are also found in assoclation with herting but not as frequently. There was one report from statistical district 2 that squid had been observed in great abundance durlng 1978-79 but this was not observed alsewhere.

Abundance trends and general comments: In Nova Scotia, most fishermen complained about a general decline in the number of fish, although, some stated that the situation had inproved during 1978-79. In general, most fishemen find it beconing increasingly difficult to predict the time of arrival of the fish in the spring. As well, the size of the fish does not seem to have changed significantly over the 1970-79 perlod. However, most Elshermen atated that the hering in their catches are bigger in July than in May. Nowhere do flohermen discard Eish except if they have stayed in the nets too long and are thus rotten.

Only two diatricts in New Brunswick reported good catches in the spring of 1978, those beling 63 (around New lil18) and 64 (at Petit Rocher). Elsewhere in the province, the fall catches were reported as belng better than those in the spring. Always, the apring fish is carried out. closer inghore than the fall flahery.

The fighemen in statiatical digtcices 63-70 reported that che herring are generally bigger in 1979 than in previous years. No such change in size was observed in districts 73-80.

Many areas reported a general increase in fishing effort, both in number of nets and number and size of boats active in the fishery over the last 10 years. This was particularly true in districts $65-68,73,75-77$ and 80 .

Seals were mentiones as caming considerable damage to nets and thus infauencing catch rates. This was reported in districts $70-76$. There was a general feeling in these areas that the seal population was increasing and thus causing more and more damage.

Reports of catch rates from P.E.I. varied considerably from districe to district. Mose districts along the Northumberland Strait reported bad catches in 1978. Fishermen in statistical districts 87 and 88 felt that the

Fall was the best time to fish herring while spring was the favoured season in districts 95 and 96. Both spring and fall fishing is carried out In districts 82 and 92 with an apparent decline in the fall catch rates in recent years.

As In New Brungwick, most areas in P.E.L. reported that herring appeared bigger in 1978. Only one area, statistical district 92 , reported seals as being a problem in causing net damage.

Overall, the trend over the years has been to increase the number of nets used to compensate for declining abundance. Many Eishermen blame the decline in fishing over the 1970-79 period on construction of the Canso Causeway and overfishing by the purse seiners and midwater trawlers. Fishermen from the Northumberland Stralt area, and even as far north as Caraquet, clatm that the hercing no longer move into the stralt but now migrate on the north side of P.E.T.

The purse sefners were blamed for overflshing particularly in the Chaleur Bay area. Midwater trawl and purse seine overfishing were blamed for decllnes at the east end of P.E.I. In all other areas, these reports were stmilar.

In contrast to the above, recent catches in most areas were reported as improved and fishermen's expectations for 1980 were high. It seems likely that there will be an increase in spring and fall fishing effort in the short-term at least. Many fishermen plan on entering the fishery again after a long absence while others plan to increase their numbers of nets and try fishing in new areas.

## CONCHODING REMARKS

The preant sudy was undertaken with the broad objective in mind of obtaining an understanding with the nature of the herring gillnet fishery in the southern Gulf of St. Lawrence during the 1971-79 period. The interviews with the fishermen proved very useful in the collection of general information pertinent to the nature of the fishing operations, such as vessel movement, general times and locations of the varlous fisheries, the type and use of the gear etc. As well, valuable information vas obtained on the behaviour of the herring population itself. However, good quantitative data on trends in effort and catch rates were not avallable from the interviews for two major reabons. Pirat, the coverage of the herring fishemen community was necesaarlly quite low and second, the Interviews often did not permit time for the fisherman to consult his historical records (if present) on the fishery. The matl survey tended to be more successful than the interviews in the collection of data on effort. However it must be stated that proper drafting of the mall survey questions relled heavily on the experiences galned during the interviews.

From the results obtained in this survey, it was determined that, since about 1977, there has been a relatively rapid increase in the effort expended on the herring population by the gillnet fishermen. Although the catch ratos since 1977 have remained atable, this condition may not continue if the effort continues to Ancreabe. It will becone very important during the next flve years to monitor the activities of the inshore fishermen to ensure that the Gulf herring stock is not overexploited. The information collected during this survey should serve as a valuable addition to existing data sets in the proper future management of thts fishery.
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Table la, 4 T herring landings (mt) reported in the Maritimes (N, B, N.S., \& P.E.I). by statistical district and month, for gill nets in 1967,

| PROVINCE | S.D. | APR | MAX | JUNE | JULY | AUG | SEPT | OCT | NOV | $D E C$ | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N.B. | 63 | 4 | 67 | 9 |  | 3 | 11 | 1 |  |  | 95 |
|  | 64 | 2 | 63 | * | * | 1 | 2 |  |  |  | 68 |
|  | 65 |  | 1916 | 1115 | 13 | 816 | 845 |  |  |  | 4705 |
|  | 66 |  | 322 | 100 | 17 | 831 | 852 | 4 |  |  | 21.26 |
|  | 67 |  | 209 | 97 | 3 | 122 | 228 |  | 3 |  | 662 |
|  | 68 |  | 108 | 7 | 3 | * | 3 | 2 |  |  | 123 |
|  | 70 |  | 248 | * |  |  |  |  |  |  | 248 |
|  | 71 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 72 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 73 |  | 3580 | 870 |  | 32 | 74 |  |  |  | 4556 |
|  | 75 |  | 541 | 208 | 1 | 9 | 52 | 10 |  |  | 821 |
|  | 76 |  | 10 | 3 | 1 | 11. | 26 |  |  |  | 51 |
|  | 77 |  | 45 | 17 | 1 | * |  |  |  |  | 63 |
|  | 78 |  | 210 | 50 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 261 |
|  | 80 |  | 515 | 113 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 628 |
| P.E.I. | 82 |  | 49 | $56$ | 3 | 1 | 11 | 2 |  |  | 122 |
|  | 83 |  | $261$ | $386$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 647 |
|  | 85 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 86 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 87 |  |  | 4 | 1 |  | 19 |  |  |  | 24 |
|  | 88 |  | 17 |  |  |  | * |  |  |  | 17 |
|  | 92 |  | 85 | 12 | 10 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  | 110 |
|  | 93 |  | 17 | 24 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 43 |
|  | 95 |  |  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |
|  | 96 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| N.S. | 45 |  |  | 33 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 33 |
|  | 46 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |
|  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 11 |  |  |  |  | 43 | 112 | 1 |  |  | 156 |
|  | 12 |  |  | * |  | * | 7 | 2 |  |  | 9 |
|  | 13 |  | 19 | 84 | 18 | 10 | 33 | 14 |  |  | 178 |
|  | + |  | 19 | * | 18 | 10 | 33 | 14 |  |  | 178 |
|  | 2 |  |  | 64 | 27 | * |  |  |  |  | 91 |
| TOMAL |  | 6 | 8282 | 3263 | 101 | 1880 | 2278 | 36 | 3 |  | 15849 |
| * * . 5 mt |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table $1 b, 4 T$ herring landings (mt) reported in the Maritimes ( $N, B, N, S, \& P, E, I$ ), by statistical district and month, for gill nets in 1968,


Table ic, 4 T herring landings (mt) reported in the Naritimes ( $N, B, N, S, \&, E, I$, , by statistical district and month, for gill nets in 1969.

| PROVINCE | S.D. | APR | MAY | JUNE | JULY | AUG | SEPT | OCT | NOV | DEC | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N.B. | 63 | 1 | 39 | 4 | * | 7 | 12 | 4 | * |  | 67 |
|  | 64 | 16 | 40 | 2 | 3 | 11 | 8 | 2 |  |  | 82 |
|  | 65 | 79 | 2573 | 14 | 412 | 1369 | 1085 | 4 |  |  | 5536 |
|  | 66 | 22 | 193 | 23 | 972 | 1302 | 816 |  |  |  | 3328 |
|  | 67 | 14 | 21 |  | * | 45 | 35 | 1 |  |  | 116 |
|  | 68 | 11 | 17 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 5 |  |  |  | 44 |
|  | 70 |  | 160 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 160 |
|  | 71 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 73 | 91 | 3097 | 46 | * | 127 | 307 | 22 |  |  | 3690 |
|  | 75 | 22 | 344 | 38 |  | 35 | 46 | 9 |  |  | 494 |
|  | 76 | 1 | 6 |  | * |  |  | 2 |  |  | 9 |
|  | 77 | 15 | 73 | 46 | * |  |  |  |  |  | 134 |
|  | 78 | 16 | 380 | 31 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 431 |
|  | 80 | 5 | 77 | 8 | * |  |  |  |  |  | 90 |
| P.E.I. |  | $17$ | $62$ | $100$ | 1 |  | 4 |  |  |  | $184$ |
|  | 83 | $101$ | $618$ | $290$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $1009$ |
|  | 85 86 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 87 | 4 | 18 |  |  |  | 10 |  |  |  | 32 |
|  | 88 |  |  |  | 2 |  | * |  |  |  | 2 |
|  | 92 | 73 |  | 43 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 118 |
|  | 93 | * | 61 |  |  | 1 | * |  |  |  | 62 |
|  | 95 | 4 | 4 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |
|  | 96 | 3 | 7 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 |
| N.S. | 45 46 |  | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |
|  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 11 |  |  |  |  | 148 | 185 |  |  |  | 333 |
|  | 12 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
|  | 13 | 3 | 71 2 | 66 | 4 |  | 14 | 7 | 1 |  | 166 2 |
|  | 2 |  | 20 | 67 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 87 |
| TOTAL |  | 498 | 7895 | 786 | 1401 | 3049 | 2529 | 51 | 1 |  | 16210 |
| * $\leqslant .5 \mathrm{mt}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table ld. 4 Therring landings (mt) reported in the Maritimes (N. B, N, S. \& P.E.I.), by statistical district and month, for gill nets in 1970


Table le, 4 T herring landings (mt) reported in the taritimes ( $N, B, N, S, \dot{N}, \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{F}, \mathrm{I}$, ), bystatistical district and month, for gill nets in 1971.

| PROVINCE | S.D. | APR | MAY | JUNE | JULY | AUG | SEPT | OCT | NOV | DEC | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N.B. | 63 | 4 | 16 | 4 | * | 6 | 6 | 2 |  |  | 38 |
|  | 64 | 38 | 38 | 2 |  | 10 | 10 | 3 |  |  | 101 |
|  | 65 | 58 | 516 | 38 | 200 | 1337 | 1719 | 4 |  |  | 3872 |
|  | 66 | 26 | 88 |  | 183 | 769 | 948 | 3 |  |  | 2017 |
|  | 67 | 22 | 213 | 68 | 25 | 727 | 1855 | 5 | 1 |  | 2916 |
|  | 68 | 17 |  |  | 2 | 7 | 5 |  |  |  | 31 |
|  | 70 |  | 121 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 121 |
|  | 71 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 72 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 73 | 12 | 1072 | 35 | * | 110 | 356 |  |  |  | 1585 |
|  | 75 | 11 | 142 | 65 |  | 12 | 43 | 17 |  |  | 290 |
|  | 76 | * | 129 | 6 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 136 |
|  | 77 | 8 | 109 | 8 | * |  |  |  |  |  | 125 |
|  | 78 | 5 | 306 | 65 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 379 |
|  | 80 | 3 | 72 | 138 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 215 |
| P.E.I. | 82 | 18 | 218 | 131 | 12 | 12 | 61 | 4 |  |  | 456 |
|  | 83 | 105 | 696 | 122 | 17 |  |  |  |  |  | 940 |
|  | 85 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 86 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 87 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |
|  | 88 |  |  |  |  | * | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |
|  | 92 | 50 | 86 | 42 |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 181 |
|  | 93 |  | 8 |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 13 |
|  | 95 | 6 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |
|  | 96 | 2 | 5 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 8 |
| N.S. | 45 |  | 1 | 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 23 |
|  | 46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 11. |  |  |  | * | 396 | 448 | 36 |  |  | 880 |
|  | 12 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | * |  |  | 2 |
|  | 13 | 25 |  |  | 2 | 2 | 6 | 1 |  |  | 109 |
|  | 3 |  | * | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
|  | 2 |  | * | 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 60 |
| TOTAL |  | 410 | 3885 | 837 | 452 | 3389 | 5465 | 75 | 1 |  | 14514 |
| * $\leqslant .5 \mathrm{mt}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table lf. 4 Therring landings (mt) reported in the Maritimes (N, B., N, S, \& P, E. I.), by statistical district and month, for gill nets in 1972.

| PROVIMCE | S.D. | APR | MAY | JUNE | JULY | AUG | SEPT | OCF | NOV | DEC | TOIAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N.B. | 63 |  | 13 | 3 |  |  | 4 | 2 |  |  | 22 |
|  | 64 |  | 24 | 1 |  |  | 7 | 1 |  |  | 33 |
|  | 65 |  | 260 | 255 | 37 | 340 | 1600 | 2 |  |  | 2494 |
|  | 66 |  | 77 |  | 9 | 313 | 877 |  |  |  | 1276 |
|  | 67 |  | 16 | 1 | 4 | 402 | 435 | 2076 |  |  | 2934 |
|  | 68 |  | 20 | * | 3 |  | 183 |  |  |  | 206 |
|  | 70 |  | 143 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 143 |
|  | 71 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 72 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 73 |  | 685 | 310 | 2 | 378 | 438 | 36 |  |  | 1849 |
|  | 75 |  | 39 | 8 | 1 | 16 | 92 | 8 |  |  | 164 |
|  | 76 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
|  | 77 |  |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  | * |
|  | 78 |  | 17 | 201 | 82 | 29 |  |  |  |  | 329 |
|  | 80 |  | 24 | 298 | 16 |  |  |  |  |  | 338 |
| P.E.I. | 82 |  | 1 | 11 | 1 | 6 | 12 |  |  |  | 31 |
|  | 83 |  | 171 | 68 | 4 |  | 9 |  |  |  | 252 |
|  | 85 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 86 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 87 |  | 2 | 2 |  |  | * |  |  |  | 4 |
|  | 88 |  |  |  | * |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |
|  | 92 |  | 25 | 6 |  | * | * | * |  |  | 31 |
|  | 93 |  | 5 | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 |
|  | 95 |  | 4 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
|  | 96 |  | 4 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 |
| N.S. | 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 46 |  | * | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
|  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 11 |  |  |  | 2 | 110 | 304 | 25 |  |  | 441 |
|  | 12 |  |  |  | * | * | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |
|  | 13 |  | 18 | 21 | 5 | 1 | * | 5 |  | 5 | 50 |
|  | 3 |  |  | 7 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |
|  | 2 |  | * | 2 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |
| TOTAL |  |  | 1548 | 1218 | 177 | 1595 | 3964 | 2155 |  |  | 10657 |
| * 6. 5 mt |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table $\lg , 4 T$ herring landings (mt) reported in the Maritimes (N, $B, N, S, \& P, E, I$, , by statistical district and month, for gill nets in 1973.

| PROVINCE | S.D. | APR | MAY | JUNE | JULY | AUG | SEPT | OCT | NOV | DEC | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N.B. | 63 |  | 30 | 9 | * | 3 | 4 | 2 |  |  | 48 |
|  | 64 | 1 | 21 | 1 |  |  | 2 | 1 |  |  | 26 |
|  | 65 | * | 712 | 608 | 1 | 157 | 485 | 6 |  |  | 1969 |
|  | 66 | 4 | 152 |  |  | 173 | 243 | 2 |  |  | 574 |
|  | 67 | * | 45 | 660 | 37 | 948 | 325 | 13 |  |  | 2028 |
|  | 68 | 5 | 26 |  | * |  |  |  |  |  | 31 |
|  | 70 |  | 227 |  | * | 210 | 180 |  |  |  | 617 |
|  | 71 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
|  | 72 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 73 | 8 | 2863 | 73 | 3 | 1026 | 1063 |  |  |  | 5036 |
|  | 75 | 4 | 9 | 12 | * | 66 | 272 | 25 |  |  | 388 |
|  | 76 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 2 | * |  |  |  | 11 |
|  | 77 |  |  | * | 29 |  |  |  |  |  | 29 |
|  | 78 |  | 182 | 156 | 45 |  |  |  |  |  | 383 |
|  | 80 | 3 | 115 | 77 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 197 |
| P.E.I. | 82 | 1 | 33 | 35 | 21 | 43 | 19 | 2 |  |  | 154 |
|  | 83 |  | 280 | 125 | 19 |  | 3 |  |  |  | 427 |
|  | 85 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 86 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 87 |  | 19 |  | 2 | 39 | 26 |  |  |  | 86 |
|  | 88 |  |  |  | * | 2 | 26 |  |  |  | 2 |
|  | 92 |  | 65 | 42 | 1 |  | 12 |  |  |  | 120 |
|  | 93 |  | 7 | 28 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 37 |
|  | 95 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
|  | 96 |  | 9 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |
| N.S. | 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 11 |  |  |  | 17 | 390 | 328 | 15 |  |  | 750 |
|  | 12 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | * | 7 |  |  | 9 |
|  | 13 |  | 26 | 13 | 6 | 4 | 3 |  |  |  | 52 |
|  | 3 | 9 | 2 | 12 | 3 |  |  |  | * |  | 26 |
|  | 2 |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| TOTAL |  | 37 | 4826 | 1864 | 194 | 3064 | 2965 | 73 | * |  | 13023 |
| * $\leqslant .5 \mathrm{mt}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

 month, for gill nets in 1974.

| PROUINCE | S.D. | APR | MAY | June | July | AUG | SEPT | $\infty$ CT | NOV | DEC | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N.B. | 63 | * | 32 | 4 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 38 |
|  | 64 |  |  | 31 |  |  | 9 |  |  |  | 40 |
|  | 65 |  | 852 | 167 | 17 | 210 | 935 | * |  |  | 2181 |
|  | 66 |  | 23 | 1 | 35 | 35 | 83 | 1 |  |  | 178 |
|  | 67 |  | 8 | 6 | 68 | 33 | 362 | 50 |  | 9 | 536 |
|  | 68 | * | 41 | 35 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 9 | 78 |
|  | 70 |  | 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 150 |
|  | 71 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 73 |  | 1988 | 244 | * | 204 | 473 |  |  |  | 2909 |
|  | 75 |  | 28 | 14 | * | 1 | 29 | 2 |  |  | 74 |
|  | 76 | * | 1 | 7 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 11. |
|  | 77 | * |  | 3 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |
|  | 78 | * | 192 | 7 | 7 |  | 25 |  |  |  | 231 |
|  | 80 |  | 46 | 118 | 48 |  |  |  |  |  | 212 |
| P.E.I. | 82 |  | - | 37 | 20 | 5 | 17 | 6 |  |  | 94 |
|  | 83 | * | 249 | 6 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 256 |
|  | 85 86 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 87 |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  | 8 |
|  | 88 |  | 5 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 8 |
|  | 92 |  | ${ }_{*}^{80}$ | 29 |  |  | 1 | * |  |  | 110 |
|  | 93 |  | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 95 96 | 5 6 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14 |
| N.s. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 45 |  | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
|  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 11 |  |  |  | 20 | 229 | 100 |  |  |  | 349 |
|  | 12 |  |  |  | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 |  |  | 1 |
|  | 13 | 26 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 1 |  | 3 |  |  | 31 |
|  | 2 |  | * | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| total |  | 38 | 3727 | 724 | 230 | 726 | 2039 | 64 |  | 9 | 7557 |
| * $\leqslant .5 \mathrm{mt}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

 month, for gill nets in 1975 .

| PROVINCE | S.D. | APR | WAY | JUNE | JULY | AUG | SEPT | OCT | NOV | DEC | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N.B. | 63 | 2 | 27 | 10 | 4 | 8 | 3 | 2 |  |  | 56 |
|  | 64 |  |  | 32 |  |  | 12 |  |  |  | 44 |
|  | 65 |  | 71 | 108 | 8 | 918 | 539 | 24 |  |  | 1668 |
|  | 66 | 14 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 210 | 113 | 1 |  |  | 346 |
|  | 67 |  | 7 |  | 3 | 90 |  |  |  |  | 100 |
|  | 68 | 5 | 18 | 3 | 16 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 44 |
|  | 70 |  | 94 | 1 |  | 3 | 26 |  |  |  | 124 |
|  | 71 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 72 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 73 |  | 1263 | 332 |  | 241 | 721 |  |  |  | 2557 |
|  | 75 |  | 56 | 7 | * | 24 | 36 | 2 |  |  | 125 |
|  | 76 |  | 6 | 6 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 13 |
|  | 77 |  | 13 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 17 |
|  | 78 |  | 66 | 17 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  | 91 |
|  | 80 |  | 27 | 25 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 55 |
| P.E.I. | 82 | 1 | 283 | 27 | 4 | 8 | 10 | 1 |  |  | 334 |
|  | 83 |  | 307 | 90 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 401 |
|  | 85 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 86 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 87 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 88 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
|  | 92 | 1 | 31 | 32 |  | * | 4 |  |  |  | 68 |
|  | 93 |  | 3 | 5 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 9 |
|  | 95 |  | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
|  | 96 |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| N.S. | 45 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
|  | 46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 11 |  |  |  | 34 | 215 | 204 |  |  |  | 453 |
|  | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 13 |  | 9 | 21 | * | * |  |  |  |  | 30 |
|  | 3 |  | 123 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 125 |
|  | 2 |  |  | 5 | * | * | * |  |  |  | 5 |
| TOTAL |  | 23 | 2417 | 732 | 88 | 1719 | 1668 | 30 |  |  | 6677 |
| * $\leqslant .5 \mathrm{mt}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table $1 \mathrm{f}, 4 \mathrm{~T}$ herring landings (me) reported in the Maritimes ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{I}$, ), by statistical district and month, for gill nets in 1976.


Table lk, $4 T$ herring landings (mt) reported in the Naritimes (N.B., N.S. \& P.E.I. L, by statistical district and month, for gill nets in 1977.

| PROVINCE | S.D. | APR | MAY | JUNE | JULY | AUG | SEPT | OCT | NOV | DEC | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N.B. | 63 | 3 | 11 |  |  | 2 | 4 | 1 |  |  | 21 |
|  | 64 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |
|  | 65 | 10 | 213 | 5 | 26 | 655 | 128 | 11 |  |  | 1048 |
|  | 66 |  | 12 | 2 |  | 425 | 328 |  |  |  | 767 |
|  | 67 |  | 30 | 1 | * | 289 | 67 | * |  |  | 387 |
|  | 68 | 14 | 7 |  |  |  |  | 12 |  |  | 35 |
|  | 70 |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
|  | 71 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 72 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 73 | 2 | 11.23 | 110 |  | 57 | 173 |  |  |  | 1465 |
|  | 75 | 1 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |
|  | 76 |  | 1 | 2 | * |  | 10 |  |  |  | 13 |
|  | 77 |  |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |
|  | 78 |  | 90 | 13 | 3 |  |  | 15 | 108 |  | 229 |
|  | 80 | 7 | 23 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 33 |
| P.E.I. | 82 | 2 | 10 | 16 |  | * | 2 | 1 |  |  | 38 |
|  | 83 | 18 | 73 | 33 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 129 |
|  | 85 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 86 |  | 7 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |
|  | 87 |  |  |  |  | * |  |  |  |  | * |
|  | 88 |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
|  | 92 | 5 | 74 | 10 | * | 2 | 5 | 2 |  |  | 98 |
|  | 93 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
|  | 95 |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |
|  | 96 |  | 10 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17 |
| N.S. | 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 11 |  |  |  | 37 | 46 | 172 | * |  |  | 255 |
|  | 12 |  |  |  | * | 1 | 1 | * |  |  | 2 |
|  | 13 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 4 |
|  | 3 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
|  | 2 |  | 7 | 9 | * |  |  |  |  |  | 16 |
| TOTAL |  | 70 | 1719 | 231 | 78 | 1478 | 893 | 42 | 109 |  | 4620 |
| * * . 5 mt |  | . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 1L. 4 Therring landings (mt) reported in the Maritimes (N.B., N.S, \& P.E.I.), by statistical district and month, for gill nets in 1978.


Table lm. $4 T$ herring landings (me) reported in the Maritimes (N.B., N.S. \& P.E.I.), by statistical district and month, for gill nets in 1979

| PROVINCE | S.D. | APR | MAY | JUNE | JULY | AUG | SEPT | OCT | NOV | DEC | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N.B. | 63 | 2 | 59 | 2 |  | 6 | 4 | * | * |  | 73 |
|  | 64 | 1 | 76 | 23 | 3 | 6 | 5 | 2 |  |  | 116 |
|  | 65 | 1 | 183 | 170 | 21 | 51 | 31 | 83 |  |  | 540 |
|  | 66 |  | 36 | 14 | 17 | 142 | 778 | 138 |  |  | 1125 |
|  | 67 | 5 | 8 | 14 | 1 |  | 132 | 17 |  |  | 177 |
|  | 68 | 25 | 29 | 12 | * | 5 | 7 | * |  |  | 78 |
|  | 70 |  | 85 | 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 102 |
|  | 71 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 72 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 73 | . 12 | 2617 | 166 | 6 | 113 | 305 |  |  |  | 3219 |
|  | 75 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
|  | 76 |  | 2 | * |  |  | 42 |  |  |  | 44 |
|  | 77 |  |  | * |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
|  | 78 | 2 | 123 | 1 | 46 |  | * |  |  |  | 172 |
|  | 80 | 33 | 115 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 153 |
| P.E.I. | 82 | 1 | 87 | 18 | * | 1 | 13 |  |  |  | 120 |
|  | 83 | 34 | 182 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 216 |
|  | 85 | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
|  | 86 | * |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  | 3 |
|  | 87 | 1 | 1 |  | 4 | 52 | 80 | 1 |  |  | 139 |
|  | 88 | 11. | 6 |  | * | 2 | * | * |  |  | 19 |
|  | 92 | 10 | 258 | 11 | 2 | 7 | 51 |  | * |  | 339 |
|  | 93 | 2 | 22 | * | * |  |  |  |  |  | 24 |
|  | 95 | 6 | 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 49 |
|  | 96 | 8 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 38 |
| N.S. | 45 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
|  | 46 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
|  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 11 |  |  |  | 174 | $\underset{*}{454}$ | 286 | $\frac{1}{3}$ |  |  | 915 |
|  | 12 |  | 17 | 1 | 4 | ${ }^{\star} 3$ | 101 8 | ${ }^{3}$ |  |  | 104 |
|  | 14 |  | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | , |
|  | 3 | 52 | 3 | 8 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 65 |
|  | 2 |  | 41 | 19 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 61 |
| TOTAL |  | 206 | 4030 | 481 | 280 | 842 | 1844 | 248 | * |  | 7931 |
| * $\leqslant .5 \mathrm{mt}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2. Reported landings of herring caught by gillnets in NAFO Division 4 T , by year, season and area,

| YEAR | AREA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 3 |  | 4 |  | TOTAL |  | GRAND TOTAL |
|  | Spring | Fall | Spring | Fall | Spring | Eall | Spring | Fall | Spring | Fall | $S+\mathrm{F}$ ombined |
| 1967 | 4267 | 3760 | 7052 | 250 | 32 | 20 | 200 | 268 | 11551 | 4298 | 15849 |
| 1968 | 6602 | 6754 | 7134 | 511 | 23 | 22 | 237 | 337 | 13996 | 7624 | 21620 |
| 1969 | 3234 | 6099 | 5661 | 560 | 43 | 12 | 241 | 360 | 9179 | 7034 | 16210 |
| 1970 | 2603 | 5474 | 3813 | 475 | 94 | 3 | 186 | 23 | 6696 | 5975 | 12671 |
| 1971 | 1269 | 7827 | 3662 | 655 | 19 | 7 | 182 | 893 | 5132 | 9382 | 14514 |
| 1972 | 813 | 6295 | 1883 | 1131 | 21 | 2 | 49 | 463 | 2766 | 7891 | 10657 |
| 1973 | 2502 | 2792 | 4121 | 2661 | 33 | 69 | 71 | 774 | 6727 | 6296 | 13023 |
| 1974 | 1350 | 1851 | 3058 | 849 | 26 | 11 | 55 | 357 | 4489 | 3068 | 7557 |
| 1975 | 399 | 1983 | 2601 | 1069 | 11 | 0 | 161 | 453 | 3172 | 3505 | 6677 |
| 1976 | 772 | 1532 | 3323 | 595 | 47 | 0 | 16 | 441 | 4158 | 2568 | 6726 |
| 1977 | 321 | 1950 | 1635 | 390 | 41 | 0 | 23 | 260 | 2020 | 2600 | 4620 |
| 1978 | 870 | 2087 | 4093 | 386 | 52 | 5 | 33 | 445 | 5048 | 2923 | 7971 |
| 1979 | 762 | 1449 | 3702 | 586 | 106 | 142 | 147 | 1037 | 4717 | 3214 | 7931 |

Table 3. Number of fishermen Interviewed during May-September, 1978-79. per statistach district of the southern Gulf of St. Lawrence.

|  | STATISTICAL DISTRICT | \# FISHERMEN LICENCED DURING 1979 | \# INTERVIENED | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N.S. | 2 | 50 | 8 | 16 |
|  | 3 | 129 | 14 | 11 |
|  | 10 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 11 | 118 | 6 | 5 |
|  | 12 | 23 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 13 | 11.9 | 13 | 11 |
|  | 45 | 13 | 2 | 15 |
|  | 46 | 6 | 3 | 50 |
|  | Total | 461 | 46 | 10 |
| N.B. | 63 | 77 | 7 | 9 |
|  | 64-65 | 487 | 17 | 3 |
|  | 66 | 267 | 7 | 3 |
|  | 67 | 137 | 4 | 3 |
|  | 68 | 100 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 70 | 140 | 8 | 6 |
|  | 71 | 25 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 73 | 193 | 7 | 4 |
|  | 75 | 164 | 2 | 1 |
|  | 76 | 72 | 8 | 11 |
|  | 77 | 100 | 4 | 4 |
|  | 78 | 70 | 6 | 9 |
|  | 80 | 85 | 4 | 5 |
|  | Total | 1917 | 77 | 4 |
| P.E.I. | 82 | 135 | 6 | 4 |
|  | 83 | 65 | 3 | 5 |
|  | 85 | 14 | 4 | 29 |
|  | 86 | 17 | 1 | 6 |
|  | 87 | 138 | 6 | 4 |
|  | 88 | 183 | 7 | 4 |
|  | 92 | 236 | 12 | 5 |
|  | 93 | 59 | 4 | 7 |
|  | 95 | 85 | 6 | 7 |
|  | 96 | 29 | 2 | 7 |
|  | Total | 961 | 51 | 5 |
| GRAND |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL: |  | 3339 | 174 | 5 |

Table 4. Distribution of questionnaires mailed and returned in Nova Scotia, New Brunswick, and Prince Edward Island, for 1979.

| Province | Statistical District | 10. Of questionnaires |  |  | Percentage of questiomaires |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Manled <br> (A) | Total returned <br> (B) | No reporting herring catch (C) | B7A | C7A | C/E |
| N.S. | 2 | 50 | 18 | 10 | 36 | 20 | 56 |
|  | 3 | 129 | 24 | 16 | 19 | 12 | 67 |
|  | 10 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 11 | 118 | 11 | 11 | 9 | 9 | 100 |
|  | 12 | 23 | 6 | 5 | 26 | 22 | 83 |
|  | 13 | 119 | 24 | 22 | 20 | 18 | 92 |
|  | 45 | 13 | 4 | 2 | 31 | 15 | 50 |
|  | 46 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 17 | 17 | 100 |
| N.B. | 63 | 77 | 17 | 15 | 22 | 19 | 88 |
|  | 64 | 127 | 33 | 32 | 26 | 25 | 97 |
|  | 65 | 360 | 125 | 92 | 35 | 26 | 74 |
|  | 66 | 267 | 104 | 72 | 39 | 27 | 69 |
|  | 67 | 137 | 31 | 26 | 23 | 19 | 84 |
|  | 68 | 100 | 36 | 34 | 36 | 34 | 94 |
|  | 70 | 140 | 86 | 55 | 61 | 39 | 64 |
|  | 71 | 25 | 9 | 2 | 36 | 8 | 22 |
|  | 73 | 193 | 104 | 95 | 54 | 49 | 91 |
|  | 75 | 164 | 32 | 30 | 19 | 18 | 94 |
|  | 76 | 72 | 14 | 11 | 19 | 15 | 78 |
|  | 77 | 100 | 25 | 19 | 25 | 19 | 76 |
|  | 78 | 70 | 18 | 13 | 25 | 19 | 72 |
|  | 80 | 85 | 26 | 16 | 30 | 19 | 61 |
| P.E.I. | 82 | 135 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 100 |
|  | 83 | 65 | 10 | 10 | 15 | 15 | 100 |
|  | 85 | 14 | 3 | 3 | 21 | 21 | 100 |
|  | 86 | 17 | 4 | 1 | 24 | 6 | 25 |
|  | 87 | 138 | 25 | 20 | 18 | 14 | 80 |
|  | 88 | 183 | 32 | 28 | 17 | 15 | 88 |
|  | 92 | 236 | 24 | 21 | 10 | 9 | 87 |
|  | 93 | 59 | 9 | 8 | 15 | 14 | 89 |
|  | 95 | 85 | 15 | 14 | 18 | 16 | 93 |
|  | 96 | 29 | 10 | 8 | 34 | 28 | 80 |
|  | N.K. |  | 3 | 2 |  |  |  |
| Total |  | 3339 | 888 | 701 | 27 | 21 | 79 |

Table 5. Number of responses to mail survey indicating year quarter during which herring fishing activity is most intense.


Table 6. Starting and finishing dates for the period of peak catch rate during the spring fishing season per statistical district, for 1970 to 1979, as determined by the interview survey.

| Provinoe | Statistical District | Starting date | Finishing date | Number of observations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N.S. | 2 | 6th May | 19th May | 5 |
|  | 3 | 29th May | 10th June | 13 |
|  | 13 | 14th June | 27th June | 10 |
|  | 11 | 15 th June | 6 th July | 5 |
|  | 46 | 16th June | 15th July | 2 |
|  | 45 | 26th June | 15th July | 2 |
| N.B. | 63 | 1st May |  |  |
|  | 64-65 | lst May | 22nd May |  |
|  | 66 | - | - |  |
|  | 67 | -- | -- |  |
|  | 68 | 11th May | 17th May | Data Lost in BIO Fire |
|  | 70 | 1st May | 15th Mey |  |
|  | 73 | 11th May | 17th May |  |
|  | 75 | 11th May | 17th May |  |
|  | 76 | 110 | 174. |  |
|  | 77 | 25th July | 30th July |  |
|  | 78 | 1st May | 7th May |  |
|  | 80 | 11th May | 17th May |  |
| P.E.I. | 82 | 27th April | Int May |  |
|  | 83 | let May | 15th May |  |
|  | 85 | 1st May | - |  |
|  | 86 | - | - |  |
|  | 87 | $\stackrel{-}{-}$ | - |  |
|  | 88 | 1st May | 15th May |  |
|  | 92 |  |  |  |
|  | 93 | (three to four days after the ice is gone) |  |  |
|  | 95 | 27th April | 5th May |  |
|  | 96 | 27th April | 5th May | $\downarrow$ |

Table 7. Starting and finlshing date for the pertod of peak catch rate during the fall fishing season, per statistical district, for 1970 to 1979 , as determined by the interview survey.


Table 8. Fishing grounds of fishermen resident in Nova Scotia, New Brunswick, and Prince Edward Island during the $1970-78$ spring and fall fishing seasons as determined by the interview survey.

| Province | Home port Statistical district | Spring | areas Fall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N.S. | 2 | $\frac{1}{2}-15 \mathrm{md}$ les off coast | -- |
|  | 3 | $\frac{1}{2}-15$ miles off coast | $\cdots$ |
|  | 13 | Georges Bay | -- |
|  | 11 | 2-5 miles out in Northumberland Strait | -- |
|  | 46 | $\frac{1}{2}-10$ miles out in Northumberland Strait | -- |
|  | 45 | $\frac{1}{2}-10$ miles out in Northumberland Strait | $\cdots$ |
| N.B. | 63 | Locally | - |
|  | 64-65 | Locally \& Ouebec shore | Quebec shore (from Port Daniel to Gaspé). Miscou \& Escuminac as well as locally |
|  | 66 | Locally | Locally as well as Quebec shore (Port Daniel to Caspe) |
|  | 67 | Locally \& Quebec shore | Quebec shore (Port Daniel to Paspediac) \& Caraquet, Miscou \& Escuminac |
|  | 68 | Locally | Quebec shore (Port Daniel to Paspediac) \& Caraquet |
|  | 70 | Locally \& Escuminac | Quebec shore (Port Daniel to Paspediac) Caraquet, Miscou \& Cap Richibucto |
|  | 73 | Locally | Gaspé, Caraquet, Miscou, Richibucto \& Point Sapin |
|  | 75 | Locally \& Escuminac | - |
|  | 76 | Escuminac | Escuminac |
|  | 77 | Locally \& Escuminac | Locally \& Escuminac |

Table 8, Continued

| Province | Hane portal Statistical district | Spring Fishing a | Fall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N.B. | 78 | Locally at Shediac \& Cape Pelé \& Escuminac | Escuminac |
|  | 80 | Locally \& P.E.I. south shore (Borden area) | Locally |
| P.E.I. | 82 | Escuminac, Miminegash Campbellton, West Point, Borden, Howard Cove | - |
|  | 83 | Escuminac, Cape Pelé, Cape Egmont, Miminegash to Borden | - |
|  | 85 | Locally | -- |
|  | 86 | Locally | -- |
|  | 87 | Beach Point to Escuminac | Locally |
|  | 88 | Locally | Locally |
|  | 92 | Locally \& Escuminac | Locally |
|  | 93 | Locally | -- |
|  | 95 | Locally | -- |
|  | 96 | Locally | -- |

Table 9. Responses (in percentage) from fishermen indicating their percentage of fishing time spent herring fishing.

| Province | Statistical District | Percentage of fishing time spent fishing |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\leqslant 10 \%$ | $25 \%$ | 508 | $75 \%$ | 100\% |  |
| N.S. | 2 | 67 | 33 |  |  |  | 100 |
|  | 3 | 80 | 20 |  |  |  | 100 |
|  | 11 | 17 | 25 | 41 | 17 |  | 100 |
|  | 12 | 80 | 20 |  |  |  | 100 |
|  | 13 | 55 | 32 | 13 |  |  | 100 |
|  | 45 | 50 | 50 |  |  |  | 100 |
|  | 46 | 100 |  |  |  |  | 100 |
| N.B. | 63 | 29 | 57 | 14 |  |  | 100 |
|  | 64 | 37 | 30 | 23 | 3 | 7 | 100 |
|  | 65 | 42 | 23 | 17 | 10 | 8 | 100 |
|  | 66 | 28 | 28 | 38 | 6 |  | 100 |
|  | 67 | 15 | 54 | 19 | 4 | 8 | 100 |
|  | 68 | 50 | 50 |  |  |  | 100 |
|  | 70 | 66 | 30 | 4 |  |  | 100 |
|  | 71 | 100 |  |  |  |  | 100 |
|  | 73 | 17 | 48 | 23 | 9 | 3 | 100 |
|  | 75 | 37 | 37 | 16 | 10 |  | 100 |
|  | 76 | 20 | 60 | 20 |  |  | 100 |
|  | 77 | 44 | 44 | 12 |  |  | 100 |
|  | 78 | 17 | 58 |  |  | 25 | 100 |
|  | 80 | 22 | 64 | 7 | 7 |  | 100 |
|  |  |  | $\because$ |  |  |  |  |
| P.E.I. | 82 | 50 | 50 |  |  |  | 100 |
|  | 83 | 10 | 70 |  | 10 | 10 | 100 |
|  | 85 | 33 | 67 |  |  |  | 100 |
|  | 86 |  | 100 |  |  |  | 100 |
|  | 87 | 67 | 33 |  |  |  | 100 |
|  | 88 | 38 | 62 |  |  |  | 100 |
|  | 92 | 48 | 42 | 5 |  | 5 | 100 |
|  | 93 | 63 | 37 |  |  |  | 100 |
|  | 95 | 79 | 21 |  |  |  | 100 |
|  | 96 | 50 | 50 |  |  |  | 100 |

Table 10. Responses (in number) from fishermen indicating the season of the year in which they fish. (s-spring, f-fall, B-both, $T$-Total).

| Prov. | Statistical District | 1971 |  |  |  |  | 1972 |  |  | S | 1973 |  |  | 1974 |  |  |  | 1975 |  |  |  | 1976 |  |  |  | 1977 |  |  | T | 1978 |  |  |  | 1979 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N.S. | 2 | 4 | - | 1 | 5 | 5 | - | 1 | 6 | 5 | - | 1 | 6 | 6 | - | 1 | 7 | 6 | - | 1. | 7 | 6 | - | 1 | 7 | 6 | - | 1 | 7 | 6 | - | 2 | 8 | 6 | - | 2 | 8 |
|  | 3 | 6 | - | 1 | 7 | 6 | - | 1 | 7 | 6 | - | 1 | 7 | 5 | - | 1 | 6 | 5 | - | 2 | 7 | 6 | - | 3 | 9 | 6 | - | 3 | 9 | 7 | - | 3 | 10 | 9 | - | 3 | 12 |
|  | 11 | - | 5 | - | 5 | - | 5 | - | 5 | - | 5 | - | 5 | - | 6 | - | 6 | - | 6 | - | 6 | - | 5 | - | 6 | - | 7 | - | 7 | - | 8 | - | 8 | - | 11 | - | 11 |
|  | 12 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 |
|  | 13 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 9 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 10 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 14 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 17 |
|  | 45 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 2 |
|  | 46 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 |
|  | 63 | 7 | - | 1 | 8 | 8 | - | 1 | 9 | 8 | - | 1 | 9 | 8 | - | 1 | 9 | 8 | - | 1 | 9 | 8 | - | 1 | 9 | 8 | - | 2 | 10 | 8 | - | 2 | 10 | 13 | - | 1 | 14 |
|  | 64 | 10 | - | 4 | 14 | 10 | - | 4 | 14 | 10 | - | 4 | 14 | 9 | - | 4 | 13 | 11 | - | 3 | 14 | 11 | - | 4 | 15 | 11 | - | 6 | 17 | 12 | - | 7 | 19 | 17 | - | 8 | 25 |
|  | 65 | 20 | 9 | 7 | 36 | 20 | 9 | 7 | 36 | 20 | 9 | 8 | 37 | 20 | 12 | 7 | 39 | 21. | 11 | 8 | 40 | 20 | 13 | 8 | 41 | 23 | 16 | 10 | 49 | 24 | 16 | 12 | 52 | 35 | 17 | 18 | 70 |
|  | 66 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 21 | 5 | 7 | 10 | 22 | 5 | 8 | 11 | 24 | 8 | 8 | 10 | 26 | 7 | 10 | 12 | 29 | 6 | 10 | 11 | 27 | 9 | 11 | 10 | 30 | 10 | 14 | 16 | 40 | 11 | 21 | 24 | 56 |
|  | 67 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 9 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 11 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 10 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 10 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 11 | 7 | 5 | 8 | 20 |
|  | 68 | 10 | - | 2 | 12 | 10 | - | 2 | 12 | 11 | - | 2 | 13 | 11 | - | 2 | 13 | 13 | - | 3 | 16 | 10 | - | 4 | 14 | 10 | - | 4 | 14 | 11 | - | 3 | 14 | 16 | 1 | 6 | 23 |
|  | 70 | 20 | - | 2 | 22 | 21 | - | 2 | 23 | 20 | - | 2 | 22 | 21 | - | 2 | 23 | 26 | - | 3 | 29 | 26 | - | 1 | 27 | 29 | - | 1 | 30 | 31 | - | 2 | 33 | 38 | - | 2 | 40 |
|  | 71 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 |
|  | 73 | 26 | 1 | 3 | 30 | 27 | 2 | 3 | 32 | 28 | 2 | 4 | 34 | 28 | 2 | 4 | 34 | 29 | 2 | 6 | 37 | 32 | 2 | 5 | 39 | 33 | 2 | 6 | 41 | 52 | 1 | 7 | 60 | 70 | 1 | 14 | 85 |
|  | 75 | 13 | - | 1 | 14 | 13 | - | 1 | 14 | 13 | - | 1 | 14 | 12 | - | 1 | 13 | 12 | - | 1 | 13 | 13 | - | 1 | 14 | 14 | - | 1 | 15 | 13 | - | 1 | 14 | 22 | 1 | 2 | 25 |
|  | 76 | 4 | - | - | 4 | 4 | - | - | 4 | 4 | - | - | 4 | 4 | - | - | 4 | 4 | - | - | 4 | 5 | - | - | 5 | 5 | - | - | 5 | 4 | 1 | 1. | 6 | 7 | 1 | 1 |  |
|  | 77 | 5 | - | - | 5 | 5 | - | - | 5 | 5 | - | - | 5 | 5 | - | - | 5 | 6 | - | - | 6 | 5 | - | - | 5 | 5 | - | - | 5 | 9 | 1 | - | 10 | 16 | - | - |  |
|  | 78 | 4 | - | - | 4 | 3 | - | - | 3 | 3 | - | - | 3 | 3 | - | - | 3 | 3 | - | - | 3 | 5 | - | - | 5 | 7 | - | - | 7 | 6 | - | - | 6 | 10 | - | - |  |
|  | 80 | 2 | - | - | 2 | 2 | - | - | 2 | 2 | - | - | 2 | 3 | - | - | 3 | 3 | - | - | 3 | 3 | - | - | 3 | 6 | - | - | 6 | 8 | - | - | 3 | 15 | - | - | 15 |
| P.E.I. | 82 | 3 | - | 1 |  | 3 | - | 1 | 4 | 3 | - | 1 |  | 3 | - | 1 |  | 3 | - | 1 | 4 | 3 | - | 1 | 4 | 3 | - | 1 | 4 | 3 | - | 1 | 4 | 5 | - | 1 |  |
|  | 83 | 5 | - | - | 5 | 5 | - | - | 5 | 5 | - | - | 5 | 5 | - | - | 6 | 7 | - | - | 7 | 5 | - | - | 5 | 5 | - | - | 5 | 7 | - | - | 7 | 8 | - |  |  |
|  | 85 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | 2 | 2 | - | - | 2 |
|  | 86 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |  |
|  | 87 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 11 | 9 | 5 | 3 | 17 |
|  | 88 | 8 | - | - | 8 | 8 | - | - | 8 | 8 | - | - | 8 | 9 | - | - | 9 | 12 | - | 1 | 13 | 13 | - | 1 | 14 | 14 | - | 1 | 15 | 15 | - | 1 | 16 | 24 | - | 1 |  |
|  | 92 | 5 | - | 1 | 6 | 5 | - | 1 | 6 | 5 | - | 1 | 6 | 6 | - | 1 | 7 | 5 | - | 3 | 8 | 9 | - | 2 | 11 | 11 | - | 2 | 13 | 9 | - | 4 | 13 | 10 | - | 5 |  |
|  | 93 | 3 | - | 1 | 4 | 3 | - | 1 |  | 3 | - | 1 |  | 3 | - | 1 |  | 3 | - | 1 | 4 | 2 | - | 2 | 4 | 1 | - | 2 | 3 | 2 | - | 2 | 4 | 3 | - | 2 |  |
|  | 95 | 6 | - | 1 | 7 | 7 | - | 1 | 8 | 7 | - | 1 |  | 9 | - | - |  | 8 | - | 1 | 9 | 8 | - | 1 | 9 | 9 | - | 1 | 10 | 12 | - |  | 13 | 13 | - | 1 |  |
|  | 96 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 3 | - | - | 3 | 2 | - | 2 | 4 | 3 | - | 2 | 5 | 3 | - | 2 | 5 | 4 | - | 4 |  |

Table 11. Responses from fishermen indicating the number of years spent herring fishing.

| Province | Statistical District | 1-5 | Years spent 6-10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { herring } \\ & 11-20 \end{aligned}$ | fishing <br> 21-30 | $\geqslant 30$ | Total no. of responses |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N.S. | 2 |  | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 9 |
|  | 3 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1. | 14 |
|  | 11 | 2 | 3 | 3 |  | 3 | 11 |
|  | 12 | 2 |  | 1 |  | 2 | 5 |
|  | 13 | 7 | 2 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 21 |
|  | 45 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 2 |
|  | 46 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N.B. | 63 | 2 | 2 | $3$ | 5 | 3 | 15 |
|  | 64 | 6 | 2 | $3$ | 9 | 8 | 28 |
|  | 65 | 19 | 14 | 26 | 11 | 15 | 85 |
|  | 66 | 24 | 11 | 16 | 7 | 7 | 65 |
|  | 67 | 2 | 9 | 7 | 4 | 4 | 26 |
|  | 68 | 6 | 10 | 8 | 1 | 4 | 29 |
|  | 70 | 13 | 13 | 12 | 10 | 4 | 52 |
|  | 71 | 1 |  | 12 |  |  | 1 |
|  | 73 | 26 | 12 | 27 | 15 | 8 | 88 |
|  | 75 | 8 | 6 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 28 |
|  | 76 | 4 |  | 3 | 2 | 1 | 10 |
|  | 77 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 19 |
|  | $78$ | 4 | $1$ | $3$ | $5$ |  | 13 |
|  | 80 | 8 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 15 |
| P.E.I. | 82 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 6 |
|  | 83 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 10 |
|  | 85 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1. | 3 |
|  | 86 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |
|  | 87 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 19 |
|  | 88 | 7 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 24 |
|  | 92 | 8 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1. | 17 |
|  | 93 |  |  | 1 | 4 | 3 | 8 |
|  | 95 | $2$ | 3 |  | 3 | 5 | 13 |
|  | 96 | 5 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 |

Table 12. Use of herring catches by flshermen (Percent Returns) durfog 1979 , in the stat lst loal districts bordering the Gulf of St. lawrence, broken down by fishing season (A - sale to processors, $B$ - sale to Individuals, $C$ - personal use).

| Province | Statistical District | Spring |  |  | Fall |  |  | Both |  |  | Total no Respondents |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N.S. | 2 | - | - | 100 | - | - | - | - | - | 100 | 9 |
|  | 3 | 11 | - | 89 | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | 33 | 67 | 11 |
|  | 11 | - | - | - | 48 | 24 | 28 | - | - | - | 11. |
|  | 12 | - | - | 100 | - | - | 100 | - | - | 100 | 4 |
|  | 13 | 10 | 10 | 80 | 38 | 36 | 25 | 38 | - | 62 | 17 |
|  | 45 | - | 50 | 50 | - | - | - | - | - | 100 | 2 |
|  | 46 | - | - | 100 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| N.B. | 63 | 17 | 35 | 48 | - | - | $\cdots$ | 50 | - | 50 | 14 |
|  | 64 | 24 | 12 | 64 | - | - | - | 33 | 25 | 42 | 24 |
|  | 65 | 31 | 5 | 64 | 65 | 15 | 20 | 67 | - | 33 | 73 |
|  | 66 | 8 | - | 92 | 83 | 9 | 8 | 66 | $\cdots$ | 34 | 53 |
|  | 67 | 38 | 8 | 54 | 57 | 14 | 29 | 58 | - | 42 | 21 |
|  | 68 | 20 | 10 | 70 | - | - | 100 | 36 | 9 | 55 | 22 |
|  | 70 | 10 | 10 | 80 | 50 | - | 50 | - | - | 100 | 42 |
|  | 71 | - | 50 | 50 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
|  | 73 | 68 | 15 | 17 | 100 | - | - | 58 | 16 | 26 | 85 |
|  | 75 | 54 | 14 | 32 | 50 | 50 | - | 100 | - | - | 25 |
|  | 76 | 71 | 29 | - | 100 | - | - | 33 | 33 | 34 | 9 |
|  | 77 | 52 | 10 | 38 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 16 |
|  | 78 | 38 | 31 | 31 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 11 |
|  | 80 | 75 | - | 25 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 14 |
| P.E.I. | 82 | 71 | 14 | 15 | - | $\cdots$ | - | 50 | 50 | - | 6 |
|  | 83 | 56 | 6 | 38 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 10 |
|  | 85 | - | 50 | 50 | - | - | -- | - | -- | - | 3 |
|  | 86 | - | - | - | - | - | $-$ | - | $\cdots$ | 100 | 1 |
|  | 87 | 18 | - | 82 | 50 | 33 | 17 | 33 | 17 | 50 | 18 |
|  | 88 | 8 | 4 | 88 | - | - | - | - | $\rightarrow$ | 100 | 23 |
|  | 92 | 36 | 18 | 46 | - | - | - | 33 | 55 | 12 | 16 |
|  | 93 | - | - | 100 | - | - | - | 25 | 25 | 50 | 6 |
|  | 95 | - | - | 100 | - | - | - | - | 100 | - | 14 |
|  | 96 | - | - | 100 | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | 20 | 80 | 8 |

Table 13. Type of gill nets employed by the fishermen interviewed in Nova Scotia during May-September 1979.

| Statistical <br> District | Gill net set | Gill net drift | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 6 | 2 | 8 |
| 3 | 14 | 1 | 14 |
| 13 | 12 | 13 |  |
| 11 | 6 | 6 |  |
| 46 | 2 |  | 3 |

Table 14. Eank of importance given to certain criteria in the choice of fishing location in Nova Scotia, as determined by the interview survey.

| District | Tide | Currents | Bottam type | $\mathrm{T}^{\circ}$ | Presence of other species | Tine | Migration of fish | Experience | Wind |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| 3 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 2 |
| 13 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 2 |
| 11 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 2 |
| 46 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 2 |
| 45 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 2 |

Table 15. Mean length and tonnage of boats eroployed to set the gill nets, per statistical district in Nova Scotia during 1970-79, as determined by the interview survey.

| Statistical District | Mean <br> Length <br> (feet) | SD. | NO, | Mean tonnage | SD. | No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 32.14 | 3.50 | 7 | 8.77 | 2.71 | 7 |
| 3 | 32.29 | 3.43 | 14 | 7.85 | 2.37 | 14 |
| 13 | 30.77 | 5.72 | 13 | 8.45 | 3.17 | 11 |
| 11. | 34.50 | 11.01 | 6 | 8.00 | 2.28 | 6 |
| 46 | 30.67 | 5.66 | 3 | 6.50 | 2.12 | 2 |
| 45 | 34.00 |  | 2 | 8.75 | 4.60 | 2 |

Table 16. Mean length (ft) of gill nets per fisherman per statistical district In Nova Scotia, New Brunswick, and Prince Edward Island, during the 1970-79 spring and fall fishing seasons, and as determined by the interview survey.
$\left.\begin{array}{lcccc}\hline & \begin{array}{c}\text { Statistical } \\ \text { districts }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Mean length } \\ \text { (feet) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Range } \\ \text { (feet) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Number of } \\ \text { responses }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { Province } & & & \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline & 281.25 & 150-300\end{array}\right]$

Table 17. Mean depth (in meshes) of gill nets per statistical district in Nova Scotia, New Brunswick, and Prince Edward Island during the spring and fall fishing season as determined by the interview survey (1970-78).

| Province | Statistical District | Depth (mesh) in spring | Depth (mesh) in fall | No. of observations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N.S. | 2 | 88 | - | 8 |
|  | 3 | 100 | - | 14 |
|  | 13 | 96 | - | 13 |
|  | 11 | 81 | - | 6 |
|  | 46 | 50 | - | 3 |
|  | 45 | 91 | - | 2 |
| N.B. | 63 | 50 | - | 7 |
|  | 64-65 | 83 | 105 | 17 |
|  | 66 | 117 | 138 | 7 |
|  | 67 | 100 | 113 | 4 |
|  | 68 | 75 | 150 | 3 |
|  | 70 | 53 | 142 | 8 |
|  | 73 | 95 | 100 | 7 |
|  | 75 | 50 | - | 2 |
|  | 76 | 63 | 100 | 8 |
|  | 77 | 50 | 100 | 4 |
|  | 78 | 50 | 125 | 6 |
|  | 80 | 50 | 50 | 4 |
| P.E.I. | $82$ | 53 | - | 6 |
|  | 83 | 50 | - | 3 |
|  | 85 | 50 | - | 4 |
|  | 86 | 75 | - | 1 |
|  | 87 | 75 | 150 | 6 |
|  | 88 | 63 | 150 | 7 |
|  | 92 | 50 | 150 | 12 |
|  | 93 | 88 |  | 4 |
|  | $95$ | $63$ | - | 6 |
|  | 96 | 56 | - | 2 |

Table 18. Occurrence of gill net mesh sizes (nearest $\frac{1}{4}$ inch) per statistical district in Nova Scotia, New Brunswick, and Prince Edward Island, during the 1970-79 spring fishing season, as determined by the interview survey.


Table 19. Occurrence of gill net mesh sizes (nearest inch) per statistical district in New Brunswick, and Prince Edward Tsland during the 1970-79 fall fishing season, as determined by the interview survey,

| Province | Statistical District | Mesh size (inches) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $1 \frac{1}{4}$ | 2 | $2 \frac{1}{4}$ | $2 \frac{1}{2}$ | $23 / 4$ | 3 |
| N.B. | 64-65 |  |  |  | X | X |  |
|  | 66 |  |  |  | X | X |  |
|  | 67 |  |  |  | X |  |  |
|  | 68 |  |  |  | X |  |  |
|  | 70 |  |  |  | X | K |  |
|  | 73 |  |  |  | X | X |  |
|  | 76 |  |  |  | X | X | X |
|  | 77 |  |  |  |  | X |  |
|  | 78 |  |  |  | X | X | X |
|  | 80 |  | X | X | X |  |  |
| P.E.I. | 87 |  |  |  | X |  | X |
|  | 92 |  |  |  |  | X | X |

Table 20. Frequency of occurrence of g 111 net mesh sizes (nearest $\frac{1}{2}$ inch) per statistical district in Nova Scotia, during the 1970-79 fishing Beason, as determined by the interview survey.

| Statistical district | $1 \frac{1}{4}$ | 2 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mesh size } \\ 2 \frac{1}{4} \end{gathered}$ | (inches) $2 \frac{1}{2}$ | 3 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 |  |  | 1 | 5 | 5 | 12 |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 23 |  | 26 |
| 13 |  |  |  | 9 | 1. | 10 |
| 11 |  |  |  | 10 |  | 10 |
| 46 |  |  |  | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| 45 |  |  |  | 3 |  | 3 |
| Total | 1 | 1 | 2 | 51 | 9 | 65 |

Table 21. Mean number of nets set per fisherman per statistical district in Nova Scotia, New Brunswick, and Prince Edward Island during the 1970-79 spring and fall fishing seasons, as determined by the interview survey.
$\left.\begin{array}{lccccc}\hline & & \text { Statistical } \\ \text { districts }\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}\text { no. in } \\ \text { spring }\end{array}\right]$

Table 22. Average number of days spent herring fishing by each fisherman for 1971-1979, as derived from mail survey. ( ) - number of observations. Note: due to data gaps, the number of observations in each area when sumed do not equal the total number of observations.
A. Fishermen who sell their catch solely to processors

| Year | Spring |  | Fall |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Chaleur* Area | Escuminac Area | Total Spring | Chaleur Area | Survey <br> Area |
| 1971 | 42.8 (4) | 31.87 (30) | 33.15 (34) | 52.6 (10) | 38.23 (48) |
| 1972 | 69.0 (5) | 32.68 (34) | 37.33 (39) | 53.2 (10) | 41.13 (52) |
| 1973 | 68.0 (5) | 31.81 (32) | 36.70 (37) | 50.3 (10) | 40.24 (50) |
| 1974 | 39.2 (5) | 32.03 (33) | 32.97 (38) | 49.8 (13) | 38.17 (54) |
| 1975 | 41.5 (4) | 31.79 (34) | 32.82 (38) | 51.9 (14) | 38.80 (55) |
| 1976 | 61.3 (7) | 29.19 (36) | 34.42 (43) | 56.9 (14) | 40.62 (60) |
| 1977 | 39.2 (5) | 30.03 (38) | 31.09 (43) | 54.3 (19) | 38.77 (66) |
| 1978 | 39.2 (5) | 28.76 (54) | 29.30 (60) | 53.1 (22) | 36.04 (89) |
| 1979 | 35.8 (6) | 28.92 (85) | 29.27 (92) | 61.5 (27) | 37.20 (129) |

B. Fishermen who sell their catch for bait during spring fishery

| Year | Chaleur* <br> Area | Escuminac <br> Area | Remaining <br> Districts | Tbtal <br> Survey <br> Area |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1971 | $46.30(27)$ | $29.48(27)$ | $40.16(31)$ | $39.22(83)$ |  |
| 1972 | $46.54(26)$ | $29.46(28)$ | $40.84(32)$ | $39.36(84)$ |  |
| 1973 | $46.81(26)$ | $30.12(25)$ | $40.91(32)$ | $40.04(81)$ |  |
| 1974 | $47.58(26)$ | $30.04(26)$ | $43.89(36)$ | $41.42(86)$ |  |
| 1975 | $45.26(23)$ | $29.10(30)$ | $39.02(44)$ | $37.84(95)$ |  |
| 1976 | $48.70(33)$ | $31.78(37)$ | $39.34(47)$ | $39.97(115)$ |  |
| 1977 | $49.19(31)$ | $31.08(39)$ | $39.06(53)$ | $39.34(119)$ |  |
| 1978 | $48.83(35)$ | $29.90(40)$ | $38.17(54)$ | $38.72(125)$ |  |
| 1979 | $47.25(53)$ | $30.76(58)$ | $36.31(74)$ | $37.83(179)$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

* Groupings of Statistical districts used:

Chaleur Bay - 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68;
Escuminac -70, 73, 75, 76, 77, 78, 80, 82, 83, 92.

Table 23. Average number of nets deployed per day by each fisherman during each fishing season from 1971-1979, as derived from mail survey. ( ) - number of observations.
Note: due to data gaps, the number of observations in each area when sumed do not equal the total number of observations.
A. Fishermen who gell their catch solely to processors

| Year | Spring <br> Chaleur* <br> Area |  |  | Escuminac <br> Area | Total <br> Spring | Chall <br> Arear | Total <br> Survey <br> Area |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1971 | $5.25(4)$ | $26.78(23)$ | $23.59(27)$ | $5.22(9)$ | $18.00(39)$ |  |  |
| 1972 | $5.25(4)$ | $28.50(30)$ | $25.76(34)$ | $6.80(10)$ | $20.47(47)$ |  |  |
| 1973 | $5.25(4)$ | $29.33(30)$ | $26.50(34)$ | $7.10(10)$ | $21.17(47)$ |  |  |
| 1974 | $5.25(4)$ | $27.34(29)$ | $24.67(33)$ | $7.55(11)$ | $20.17(47)$ |  |  |
| 1975 | $6.00(5)$ | $32.81(32)$ | $29.19(37)$ | $7.17(12)$ | $23.40(52)$ |  |  |
| 1976 | $6.33(6)$ | $33.50(36)$ | $29.62(42)$ | $8.86(14)$ | $24.05(59)$ |  |  |
| 1977 | $8.40(5)$ | $31.69(35)$ | $28.78(40)$ | $9.33(18)$ | $22.19(62)$ |  |  |
| 1978 | $8.60(5)$ | $33.02(48)$ | $30.93(54)$ | $11.38(24)$ | $24.15(85)$ |  |  |
| 1979 | $9.50(6)$ | $38.74(80)$ | $36.97(87)$ | $11.92(25)$ | $29.78(122)$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

B. Fishermen who sell their catch to individuals or retain for personal use

| Year | Spring |  |  | Total Survey Area |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Chaleur* Area | Escuminac <br> Axea | Remaining Diatricts |  |
| 1971 | 5.18 (28) | 15.85 (27) | 8.62 (29) | 9.62 (82) |
| 1972 | 4.50 (28) | 16.77 (30) | 7.61 (33) | 9.51 (89) |
| 1973 | 4.61 (28) | 16.96 (27) | 7.61 (33) | 9.35 (86) |
| 1974 | 5.12 (25) | 14.79 (24) | 8.10 (29) | 9.00 (76) |
| 1975 | 6.04 (23) | 15.52 (33) | 7.30 (43) | 9.60 (97) |
| 1976 | 5.26 (34) | 14.08 (37) | 4.41 (46) | 7.56 (115) |
| 1977 | 6.18 (28) | 16.85 (39) | 5.37 (49) | 9.12 (112) |
| 1978 | 5.78 (32) | 15.81 (42) | 6.22 (55) | 8.90 (125) |
| 1979 | 5.60 (52) | 16.78 (59) | 6.86 (70) | 9.01 (175) |

* Groupings of Statistical districts used:

Chaleur Bay - 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68;
Escuminac - 70, 73, 75, 76, 77, 78, 80, 82, 83, 92.

Table 24. Average number of net-days expended by each herring fishermen durling each fishing season from 1971-1979, as derived from mall survey. ( ) number of observations.
Note: due to data gaps, the number of observations In each area when mamed do not equal the total number of observations.
A. Fishermen who sell their catch to processors

| Year | Spring |  | Fall |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Chaleur* Area | Escuminac <br> Axea | Total Spring | Chaleur Area | Survey <br> Area |
| 1971 | 231.5 (4) | 789.39 (23) | 706.74 (27) | 270.4 (9) | 576.18 (39) |
| 1972 | 225.0 (4) | 872.38 (29) | 793.91 (33) | 376.1 (10) | 671.85 (46) |
| 1973 | 220.0 (4) | 878.07 (29) | 798.30 (33) | 358.0 (10) | 674.98 (46) |
| 1974 | 226.5 (4) | 784.32 (28) | 714.59 (32) | 507.9 (11) | 667.43 (46) |
| 1975 | 236.5 (4) | 1001.16 (32) | 916.19 (36) | 415.2 (12) | 788.49 (51) |
| 1976 | 242.2 (5) | 898.83 (35) | 816.75 (40) | 501.9 (14) | 735.88 (57) |
| 1977 | 279.2 (5) | 915.18 (34) | 833.64 (39) | 564.4 (17) | 743.90 (60) |
| 1978 | 289.2 (5) | 957.50 (48) | 884.89 (54) | 627.4 (20) | 792.53 (81) |
| 1979 | 288.3 (6) | 1158.24 (78) | 1097.33 (85) | 786.1 (23) | 983.86 (118) |

B. Fishermen who sell their Eish to individuals or retain for personal use

| Spring <br> Year <br> Chaleur* <br> Area |  |  |  |  |  |  | Escuminac <br> Area | Remaining <br> Districts | Total <br> Survey <br> Area |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | $194.90(70)$ |  |  |  |  |
| 1971 | $171.25(23)$ | $339.07(22)$ | $139.84(27)$ | $185.79(79)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1972 | $161.26(24)$ | $330.00(26)$ | $129.44(31)$ | $186.92(75)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1973 | $166.72(23)$ | $335.29(23)$ | $133.31(31)$ | $199.30(67)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1974 | $194.57(21)$ | $322.43(21)$ | $140.71(27)$ | $193.14(85)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1975 | $205.72(18)$ | $305.18(28)$ | $138.31(41)$ | $174.22(106)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1976 | $207.14(31)$ | $276.30(34)$ | $107.93(43)$ | $200.26(108)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1977 | $249.56(27)$ | $353.65(36)$ | $123.74(49)$ | $203.04(119)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1978 | $226.90(30)$ | $333.89(39)$ | $141.49(54)$ | $202.78(166)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1979 | $201.70(48)$ | $348.87(55)$ | $147.54(69)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* Groupings of Statistical districts used:

Chaleur Bay - 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68;
Escuminac - 70, 73, 75, 76, 77, 78, 80, 82, 83, 92.

Table 25. Reported landings (mt) by inshore herring fishermen during eoch fishing season from 1971-1979, as compiled by Maritimes Economic Division.

| Year | Spring Fishery |  |  | Fall | Combined |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Chaleur Bay Area | $\begin{gathered} \text { Escuminac } \\ \text { Area } \end{gathered}$ | Total Spring | Chaleur Bay Area | Entire Survey Area |
| 1967 | 4019 | 7259 | 11551 | 3760 | 15849 |
| 1968 | 6522 | 7180 | 13996 | 6754 | 21620 |
| 1969 | 3074 | 5760 | 9179 | 6099 | 16210 |
| 1970 | 2589 | 3788 | 6696 | 5474 | 12671 |
| 1971 | 1148 | 3775 | 51.32 | 7827 | 1.4514 |
| 1972 | 370 | 2008 | 2766 | 6295 | 10657 |
| 1973 | 2274 | 4314 | 6727 | 2402 | 13023 |
| 1974 | 1200 | 2743 | 4489 | 1851 | 7557 |
| 1975 | 304 | 2688 | 3172 | 1954 | 6677 |
| 1976 | 688 | 3381 | 4158 | 1532 | 6726 |
| 1977 | 316 | 1639 | 2020 | 2266 | 4620 |
| 1978 | 738 | 4225 | 5048 | 2087 | 7971 |
| 1979 | 660 | 3780 | 4717 | 2109 | 7931 |
| 1980 | 807 | 2430 | 3386 | 1118 | 7083 |

Table 26. Relative catch per unit effort (mt/net-day) experienced by inshore herring fishermen who sell their catch to processors, during each fishing season from 1971-1979, as derived from mail survey.

| Year | Spring fishery |  |  | Fall | Combined |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Chaleur Bay Area | Escuminac Area | Total Spring | Chaleur Bay Area | Entire Survey Area |
| 197.1 | 4.96 | 4.78 | 7.26 | 28.95 | 25.19 |
| 1972 | 1.64 | 2.30 | 3.48 | 16.74 | 15.86 |
| 1973 | 10.34 | 4.91 | 9.43 | 6.71 | 19.29 |
| 1974 | 5.30 | 3.50 | 6.28 | 3.64 | 11.32 |
| 1975 | 1.29 | 2.68 | 3.46 | 4.71 | 8.47 |
| 1976 | 2.84 | 3.42 | 5.09 | 3.05 | 9.14 |
| 1977 | 1.13 | 1.79 | 2.42 | 4.01 | 6.21 |
| 1978 | 2.55 | 4.41 | 5.70 | 3.33 | 10.06 |
| 1979 | 2.29 | 3.26 | 4.30 | 2.68 | 8.06 |

Table 27. Reports of spawning activity during 1978-79 for ench statistlal district as determined by the interview survey.

| Province | Statistical District | Spawning time | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N.S. | 2 | July | -- |
|  | 3 | June-July | Spowning observed arourd shoals (off Coal Mine Point) and Islands. |
|  | 13 | Mid May-July Sept. Oct. | Spawning in Ballantyne cove in fall. |
|  | 11 | June-Sept. | Around Pictou Island in July to Sept. |
|  | 46 | June | Around Saddle Island. |
|  | 45 | June | South of Cape formentine. |
| N.B. | 63 | Fall | Used to be high but now small in New Mills area. |
|  | 64-65 | Spring \& Fall. | Spawning in Stonehaven to Grande Anse area used to be high, now reduced; mostly in Miscou - Pigeon Hill area in Fall. |
|  | 66 | Fall | Spawning at Miscou but seems more extensive on south side of Island near Pigean Hill. |
|  | 67 | Fall (3) | Spawning in Ste Marie area. |
|  | 68 | - | No spawning now: a little in spring four years ago and extensive spawning 12 years ago. |
|  | 70 | Spring | 4-5 years ago there was spawning in the Burnt Church area; now little; never spawn in Miramichi Bay. |
|  | 73 | Spring \& Fall | Spam at Escuminac in both Spring and Fall: for $1977-78$, spring spawning was more south; fall spawning was reduced. |
|  | 75 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Spring \& } \\ & \text { Fall } \end{aligned}$ | Spring spawning heavy at Point Sapin in spring 1978. |
|  | 76 | Spring \& Fall | Spring spawning reduced: fall spawning heavy in 1977: spawing is generally offshore in fall. |

Table 27. Continued

| Province | Statistical District | Spawning time | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N.B. | 77 | Fall | Scattered reports of spawning off St. Edward-de-Kent; not fished due to lobster traps. |
|  | 78 | 15-25 May | Shertiac aren. |
|  | 80 | Spring | Inshore; areas vary. |
|  | 82 | June-July | Roseville. |
|  | 83 | Spring | Drastically reduced at Cape Egmont. |
|  | 85 | 1st May | On sand at Rice Point. |
|  | 86 | - | No spawning. |
|  | 87 | - | No spawning. |
|  | 88 | Spring \& Fall | In Spring spawning at Naufrage and in Rollo Bay near Souris, in Fall near North Lake. |
| P.E.I. | 92 | Spring \& Fall | In Spring (May) spawning at Miminegash, Scacow Pond, Skinner Pond Kildare and Alberton. In Fall spawning at Miminegash (reduced), Tignish \& Skinner Pond. |
|  | 93 | - | No spawning for 20 years. |
|  | 95 | Spring \& Fall | In spring (May-June), spawning at French River, North Rustico and outside Tracadie Bay. May also spawn in fall but not fished therefore uncertain. |
|  | 96 | July | - |

Table 28. Reports of the presence of juveniles during 1978-79 per statistical district as determined by the Interview survey.

| Province | District | Time of presence | Conments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N.S. | 2 | Spring | --- |
|  | 3 | Spring (May) | -- |
|  | 13 | Spring (May) \& Fall | - |
|  | 11 | Spring (May) | -- |
|  | 46 | Spring (May) | --- |
|  | 45 | Spring (May) | - |
| N.B. | 63 | Fall | $6^{\prime \prime}$ herring seen inshore at New Mills. |
|  | 64-65 | - | No observation. |
|  | 66 | Fall | At Paspediac and Port Daniel. |
|  | 67 | - | No observation. |
|  | 68 | - | No observation. |
|  | 70 | - | No observation. |
|  | 73 | - | No observation. |
|  | 75 | Summer | Eaten by birds. |
|  | 76 | Fall | Seen at Richibucto in 1976. |
|  | 77 | Fall | Seen locally. |
|  | 78 | July-Aug. | Near Shediac. |
|  | 80 | Fall | Large numbers of $6^{\prime \prime}$ herring near Bayfield in 1977. |
| P.E.I. | 82 | July | Seen offshore Alberton (July 21, 1978). |
|  | 83 | - | No observation. |
|  | 85 | - | No observation. |

Table 28. Continued

| Province | District | Tine of presence | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P.E.I. | 86 | - | No observation. |
|  | 87 | June-July | In June 1978 there were a lot at Beach point while in July there were a lot on Fishemen's Bank. |
|  | 88 | June-July | Seen juveniles along shore from North Lake to Fast Point and from there to Murray Harbour: there are a lot at Souris as well: used to be seen in cod stomachs; rare now. |
|  | 92 | - | No observation. |
|  | 93 | July | Seen in mackerel catches. |
|  | 95 | Fall | Used to be seen along shore; none now. |
|  | 96 | - | No observation. |

Table 29. Frequency of occurrence and percentage in the herring catch of associated species, per statistical district in Nova Scotia as determined by tie interview survey.

| Statistical District | Frequency of occurrence |  |  |  |  | Percentage in the catch |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Macquerel | Gaspereau | Alewives | Cod | Squid | Macquerel | Gaspereau | Squid | Cod |
| 2 | 8/8 | 3/8 | 1/8 | $1 / 8$ |  | 17 (7)* | 15 (2) | 23 (1) |  |
| 3 | 14/14 | 6/14 |  |  | 2/14 | 31 (10) | 14 (3) |  |  |
| 13 | 13/13 | 4/13 |  | 1/13 | 2/13 | 45 (11) | 13 (1) |  | 13 (1) |
| 11 | $5 / 5$ |  |  |  |  | 42 (5) |  |  |  |
| 46 | $3 / 3$ | 3/3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45 | $2 / 2$ |  |  |  |  | 50 (1) |  |  |  |

[^1]

Fig. 1. Herring catches (metric tons) by gear for southern fulf of St. Tawrence complex, 1948-1979. Note the change in scale for ratches above 60, 000 mt.


Fig. 2. Areas covered by the Southern Gulf of St. Lawrence herring gillnet fishery survey during sumer 1978-79.


Fig. 3, Reported landings of herring in NAFO Diylsion 4 T by year, season and area,


Fig. 4. Relationship between start and end dates of fishing season and time of peak fishing actuyty during 197a, as determined by the mail survey,


Fig. 5. Time of peak catch rates in spring (early sumner), as determined by the interview survey. 1-2nd week April - - 4-1st week of May - - 8-1st week of June -- 12 - lst week of July.


Fig. 6. Time of peak catch rates for herring during 4 T fall herring fishery, as determined by the interview survey, 1 - 1st week of July - 5 - 1st week of Augast -- 9 - 1st week of September -- 13 - 1 st week of October - 17 - 1st week of November.


Fig. 7. Major movements of fishermen resident in the survey area during the $1970-79$ spring fishing season, as determined.by the interview survey.


Fig. 8. Areas of herring fishing for lobster bait during the $1970-79$ spring fishing seasons, as determined by the interview survey.


Fig. 9. Major movements of fishermen resident in the survey area during the 1970-79 fall fishing season, as determined by the interview survey.


Fig. 10. Areas of mackere1 fishing with herring by-catch during the 1970-79 fall fishing seasons, as determined by the interview survey.


Fig. 11. Distribution of mean length (ft) of gillnets used by fishermen in the survey area during the spring and fall.fisheries of 1978-79, as determined by the interview survey.


Fig. 12. Mean number of gillnets used by fishermen in the survey area during the spring fishery of 1970 m 79 , as determined by the interview survey.


Fig. 13. Mean number of gillnets used by fishermen in the survey area during the fall fishery 1978-79, as determined by the interview survey.


Fig. 14. Distribution of average number of days spent fishing during 1977-79 by season and catch processing type.


Fig. 15. Frequency distributions of number of nets deployed per day during 1979, as a function of use of the catch.


Fig. 16. Distribution of average number of nets deploved per day during 1977-70 by season and catch processing type.


Fig. 17. Yearly changes in number of days spent fishing, number of nets set per day and number of net-days per fisherman ( sale to processors only) during 1971-79.


Fig, 18. Yearly changes in reported landings and calculated catch per unit effort during 1971-79.


Fig. 19. Sites of major spawning activity in the study area, during 1978-79 as determined by the interview survey.


Fig. 20. Sites of major juvenile presence in the study area during 1978-79, as determined by the interview survey.

## Appendix A

List of questions posed during interview survey in the southern Gulf of $S t$. Lawrence (summer of 1.978 and 1979)

## FIXED GEAR OUFSTIONNAIRE

Date $\qquad$
NAME OF FISHERMAN: $\qquad$ PROV. FISHERIES DISTRICT $\qquad$
RESIDENCE $\qquad$
$\qquad$

## FISHING GEAR

1. What type of gear does the fisherman employ?
a) gillnets (set or drift)
b) traps
c) weirs
d) others (specify)
2. Description and size of gear:
a) Size of boat (if used):

- length
- tonnage
- hold capacity
- maximum time of storage possible
- maximum possible time at sea
- communication equipment
(boat-to-boat and boat-to-shore)
b) Size of nets

| Year | Pre 1970 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

\# of nets
length (ft)
depth (ft)
mesh size

Specify any modifications
$\qquad$


-

HITHIZATION OF FISIING GEAR
3. In what area has he fighed (generally and apecifically - note on map). Han this changed substantally over the years?
4. Indicate the importance of the following criteria for the fisherman in the choice of fishing location:

```
1 - not very important
2 --
3-
4 - very important
5 - only criterium
```


## IMPORTANCE

| Tide............................. 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Currents......................... . 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Bottom Type. ..................... 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Temperature...................... 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Presence of other species.......0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Time.............................. 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Migration of fish............... 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Experience in the area..........0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Winds (weather)................. 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Other (specifically).............0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

Give details on most critical factor.
5. Have these criteria changed over time or remained the same?
6. How does the fishermen locate the fish? Is there generally co-operation between fishermen?
7. a) Does the fisherman feel that his skill in locating and catching fish has changed with time?
b) If yes, does the increase in skill coincide with changes in technology (e.g. acquistion of new equipment [sonar, etc.]).

- or increased co-operation between fishermen
- or increased knowledge of herring distribution
- or a combination of the above.

8. Description of effort (seasonal)

In what time of year is fishing most intensive?


Start and
end date
\# of days
fishing
$\%$ of season
fished

```
time of max
```

catch rate
9. Description of dally effort
a) Is daily effort the same for spring and fall?
b) What is your definition of a set?
c)

| Pre | 1970 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

\# of nets
per set
Time/set
Sets/day
10. How does the market affect
a) fishing season
b) Elishing area
c) fishing gear
d) discarding
11. What percentage (by weight) of your fish is:
a) sold to processors
b) sold to private individuals
c) kept for personal use
12. Has the number of herring fishermen in the area remained relatively constant over the years or has it changed? If so specify.

CHARACTERISTICS OF EISH POPULATION
13. How does the size of fish caught change over:
a) season
b) years
14. Do you discard? If so, why and how much?
15. In what areas and periods of the year do you find:
a) Juveniles
b) adults
c) spawners

Specify details on abundance, fish sizes, and duration of stay whener possible, and any behavorlal changes.
16. What other fish and invertebrate spectes are assoctated with your catches of herring? What are the relative percentages of these?

## Appendix B

Mail survey questionaire (English version) used during summer 1979. 4 T Herring Gillnet Fishery Survey.

DATE $\qquad$ RESIDENT AREA $\qquad$
NAME $\qquad$ AREA WHERE FISH LANDED $\qquad$ (optional)

1. Do you fish herring primarily, (if not fishtng, herring, please drop envelope in mati).
a) for sale to a processor
b) for sale to private individuals
c) for your own personal use (bait, consumption).
2. Please indicate the percentage of your fishing time spent herring fishing.
Less than $10 \% \quad 25 \% \quad 50 \%$ $\quad 75 \%$ ( $00 \%$
3. How many years have you been fishing herring? $\qquad$
4. In what time of the year is fishing most intensive?
5. What is your definttion of a set?

This part of the survey is designed to try and get some idea of how your fishing effort has changed over the years. We would hope for at least 1979 information, but would appreciate any historical data that you can remember.

Please try and fill in as much of the following table as you can.
Row 2: Number of days nets were in the water actually fishing each year (estimate).

Row 3: Estimate the percentage of the total herring fishing season that you think you fished that particular year. Fill in this row particularly if you can't remember the number of days in Row 2.

Row 4: Indicate, if posstble, the time during the fishing season when catch rate was maximum.

Row 6: Estimate in hours, the average time each set was in the water. (i.e., all day, overnight, etc.)

Row 5, 6, 7: Only includes the nets you actually had in the water fishtag each season.

ROW YHAR
$\begin{array}{llllllllll}1979 & 1978 & 1977 & 1976 & 1975 & 1974 & 1973 & 1972 & 1971\end{array}$
1 Start \& Find Dates of Season

2 \# of days fishing
$3 \%$ of fishing season

4 Time of maximum catch rate
5. \# of nets/set

6 time/set
7 Hset/day
PLEASE RETURN THE QUESTIONNAIRE AS COMPLETE AS POSGIble IN THE STAMPEO SELF-ADDRESSED ENVELOPE PROVIDED.

## Appendix C

Mall survey questionnaire (French version) used during summer 1979-4T Herring Gillnet Fishery Survey.

DATE $\qquad$
NOM
(Non obligatolre)

DOMICLLA $\qquad$
PORT DE DFBARQUEMENT DU POISSON $\qquad$

1. Dans quel but principal pêchez-vous le hareng? (si vous ne pêchez pas le hareng retournez-nous l'enveloppe affranchie).
a) pour vendre à des "empaqueteurs"
b) pour vendre a des particuliers
c) pour votre usage personnel (appât, consommation-nourriture etc)

2a. Au cours d'une année, de tout votre temps passé à la pêche, quel pourcentage avez-vous consacré à pêcher le hareng?
moins de $10 \% \quad 25 \% \quad 50 \% \quad 75 \% \quad 100 \%$
b. Quelles autres espèces pêchez-vous le reste du temps?
3. Depuis combien d'années pêchez-vous le hareng?
4. Durant quel(s) mois de l'année la pêche au hareng est-elle la plus intensive? (i.e. durant quel(s) mois fourntssez-vous le plus gros effort de pêche (precisez les dates, si possible).
5. Lorsque vous pêchez, utilisez-vous vos filets malllants (Gillnets)
en groupes
séparément
La partie suivante du questionnalre est destinée à nous faire connaitre tout changement survenu dans $l^{\prime}$ effort de pêche au hareng au cours des dernières anneês. Commencez par donner $1^{\prime}$ Information pour 1979, puis remplissez le reste du tableau au meilleur de votre connaissance.

Fxplications du tableau:
Ligne 2: Nombre de fours pendant lesquels vos fllets etalent à l'eau pour pêcher le hareng (et non pas d'autres espèces)?

Ligne 3: Considérant excluslvement la abison de peche au hareng, quel pourcentage de cette salson avez-vous personnellement passé à pécher le hareng? (votre réponse est lcl très importante si vous ne pouvez pas remplit la ligne 2 du tableau)?

Ligne 4: Donnez, $s i$ possible, la (les) date(s) pour laquelle (lesquelles) le taux de capture de hareng est maximum.


Retournez-nous le questionnalre rempli dans $1^{\prime}$ enveloppe adressée à Marine Fish Division. Le tarif postal étant déjà payé, il est inutile d'apposer un timbre à l'enveloppe.

Comments made by fishermen during interview survey

The following pages contain comments made by fishermen during the course of Interviews held in New Brunswick and Prince Edward Island. Although many of the these comments have been considered in the main body of this document, some were not. Thus It was felt of value to present these individual comments on a port by port basis. In each area the comments are categorized by the following:

1) Where - this refera to the aren where the fishermen atated that fishing, actulty occurred.
2) When - this refers to when the fishing actlvity was stated to have occurred.
3) How - this section contalns comments on the type of gear and fishing operations that are used in the area.
4) Recent catches - in this section are Found comments on the fisherman's relative fishing success in the years both during and fust before the survey.
5) Mackerel - The mackerel flshery has a considerable influence on the fall herring fishery. Thus a separate section was reserved for comments on this fishery.
6) Misc. - this section presents comments other than those given above.

Note that these comments in no way reflect the oplnions of the government, only those of the Interviewed fishermen.

## Statistical District 63

Port: Dalhousle and New Mills

Comments:

Where: Fishing occurs only in local shore areas for lobster hatt.

When: This area has only a spring Elshery which starts as soon as the ice is out and ends around the end of May.

How: Gillnets set by hand.

Recent catches: Most fishermen were able to catch their desired amount of bait for the 1978 Elshing season.

Misc.: There used to be a fall fishery in the bay but local Eishermen claim that purse selners caused 1 ts demise $7-8$ years ago.

As the markets are not well developed in the area, fishermen state that they would not
be able to sell large amounts of herring even if they caught them.

Mackerel: Mackerel catches in the hay have been very low in the 1 tsit $3-4$ years, particulardy in 1978.

Statistical 0istrict 64
Port: Petit-Rocher and Pointe-verte
Comments:

Where: In the apring, herring is cans, locally for lobater hatt.

In the summer, herring is caught locally as by-catch to the mackerel drfft net Elshery.

In the fall, large boats Eish for herring on the Quebec side of the bay.

When: The spring bait fishery starts in the last week of April and carries on until end of June. The fall fishery of F Quebec occurs during September and October.

How: Set gillnets in spring and fall. Drift gill nets in summer.

Recent catches: Most fishermen reported good catches in the spring of 1978. A new market is belng built and more flshemen are predicted to enter the fishery in 1979.

Misc.: The primary Eishery in this area is for lobster. When the lobster season is over, the fishermen will direct their efforts on herring, cod, scallops or even tuna.

## Statistical District 64 and 65

Port: Grande-Anse, Stonehaven and Salmon Beach

Comments:

Where: In the spring, local fishing off wharves for lobster bait. Few fishermen travel to Quebec shore to fish in fall.

When: Fxclustvely in spring.
How: Hand-deployed gillnets from small boats.

Recent catches: Catches have declinen in last two years; most fishermen with big boats have sold them.

Misc.: The fishery in this area is unpredictable. One never knows what to expect from one year to the next. Many fishemen have entered the local tourist trade, particularly at Grande-Anse, to make money.

Some ftshermen stated that the herring can be found further offshore. Local fishermen stated that $7-8$ years ago, purse seiners fished in 13 fathoms of water and that thelr activity destroyed the fishery.

## Statistical District 65

Port: Blue Cove

Comments:

Where: In the spring, local fishing for lobster bait from Caraquet to Miscou Polnt. In the fall, most fishing carried out on the Quebec side of the bay with some down at Escuminac.

When: In spring, from lee breakup to end of May.

In fall, from end of Jaly to end of October - mld November.

How: Moat fishermen in the area have only small boatis for balt fighing. Two Flahermen have large boats which enter the fall fishery.

Recent catches: The spring 1977 catches were good but poor in 1978 .

Misc.: As local catches are poor, boats must be able to travel in order to make any money. This situation has existed for the last ten years. The local fishermen clalm that the purge qeiners deatroyed the fishery.

Statistical Distrlct 65

Port: Caraquet*

Comments:

Where: Spring fishing occurs locally from Caraquet to Miscou Island.

In the fall, fishing first occurs in August on the Quebec side of the bay, then shifts to the Miscou area in September. No fishermen from this port go farther up the bay to Eish.

When: In the spring, from ice breakup to the end of May.

In the fall, from beginning of August to the end of September.

How: In this area, most of the fishermen have large boats equipped with hydraulic rollers. Very little bait fishing occurs. W1th few exceptions, these boats must search for herring.

Recent catches: There has not been good catches on the N.B. side of the Bay for the last seven years.

In the fall, the best catches are reported from the Miscou area, In the fall of 1977, good fishing was also experienced on the Quebec side in the fall.

Mige.: Flshermen were very vocal about the effect of purge sefners in the Flshery. They blamed the decllne fathering catch rates on over fishlng by these boats.
*Most of the Interviews were conducted with the aid of a french translator. Consequently, information may have been lost during the interview process.

## Statistical District 66

Port: Mincou Centre

Comments:

Where: In the apring, locally.

In the fall, on the Vucbee side
(Paapedtac to Port-Dantel) and in the Miswou Island area.

When: In the spring, from lee breakup to end of May. In the fall, from 25 Tuly to end of September.

How: Most fishermen have small boats equipped with hand-deployed gillnets. The storage capacity of these boats is limited and thus they cannot travel for some ftshermen have large boats with blg nets and hydranlic rollert for deployment.

Recent catches: Most clasm that the spring lobster balt flshery ls almost dead; however, in spring 1978 , many fishermen caught enough lobster bait in the area which does not normally occur.

Misc: Herxing are no longer found in any great quantity in the Bay; they seen to be congregated on the south side of Miscou Island; and elsewhere in the area, overfishing by the purse selners was blamed for the depletion of herring in the bay.

In the fall of 1977, one fisherman noticed large amounts of small herring at Paspeblac in the fall; felt that this indicated good fishing in the future.

Statistical Districts 66 and 67
Port: Pigeon Hill, Ste,-Marie-sur-Mer, Le Goulet and Shippasan

Comments:

Where: In spring local hatt fishery.
In fall, local bait flshlng as well as herring fishing by large boats off Quebec and Miscou Island.

When: In spring, from ice breakup to end of May.

In fall, tf the weather is good, from end of July to mid-October. If not, from mid-August $u$ end of September.

How: Most fishermen have small boats with hand-deployed gillnets. These are restricted in their mobllity due to small storage capacities. The larger boats with larger nets and hydraulic rollers can move around much more.

Recent catches: Generally, catches in the fall fishery are higher than in the spring fishery. In Pigeon Hill and Le Goulet, local fishing is bad in both spring and fall. One fishermen stated that he caught about 50 barrels in the fall of 1977 and only about 3 barrels in the spritag of 1973.

Some flahermen stated that there was an early run of fall fish in lune of 1978 and many anticipated good catches in the Miscou area that fall.

Misc.: Locally, fishing is very bad except for lobsters. Indeed, lobster poaching is common on account of the need for income.

Most fishermen are trying to get into bigger boats and heavier equipment to allow mobility to other areas.

Statistical District 68
Port: Tracade and Val Comeau
Comments:
Where: In apring, local lobster balt fishery.

In fall, a few large boat fishermen go just about anywere for herring, These fishermen are in the lobster fishery in spring.

When: In spring, from ice breakup to end of May.

In fall, during August and September. Also the odd herring caught during summer mackerel fishery.

How: Local fishermen use small boats with hand deployed set gillnets.

The large hoata use hydraulic rollers. Drift netiting la used in the mackerel fishery.

Recent catches: Fishermen haven't seen any improvement in catch rates in the area.

Only see a few fish in the fall.

Misc: Generally very little herring fishing in this area; effort is more directed on cod, scallops and mackerel.

Fiahermen claim that there were lots of herring in the area twelve years ago. They state that before the Canso Causeway was built, the herting used to move through the Northumberland stralt and hit the New Brunswick shore. Now they feel that the fish move north of P.E.I. and consequently hit the Quebec side of Chaleur Bay.

## Statistical District 70

Port: Tabusintac

## Comments:

Where: Mont flabermen fish locally for lobater bait in aprtag. Only one large boat fishermen goes to Quebee and Miscou in the fall.

When: In spring, from ice breakage to end of May.

In fall, from beginning of May to end of November.

How: Same as in districts 66,67 and 68.

Recent catches: The best catches occur In the area in which ice breakup first occurs. Recent catches have been low.

Mibc.: There was good fall ftshing in Tabusintac lagoon about three years ago.

There were grod fall rishlog at Port-Danial in 1976 and in that same year, fightog off liseuminac was poor.

Herring spawn in the area in both spring and fall.

## Statistical District 70

Port: Neguac, Burnt Church and New Jersey
Comments:

Where: Most are small fishermen that fish in the spring at Burnt Church and Hay Island for lobster balt. One large boat fisherman travels from Gaspé to Richthucto.

When: The fall fishermen will fish trom firist week of Aupust to erid of Soptember.

How: Most set gillnets.
Recent catches: For last $4-5$ years catches have been almost nonexistent; used to be able to get bait but now can only catch 2-3 barrels in three weeks of fishing; now have to buy balt.

Misc.: Four to five years ago, there used to he in fall fishery off Burnt Church; now there is none, although some herring seem to be offshore in the fall. Local fishermen blame overfishing by the large selners for the depletion in the herring.

Comments were made that a newly expanding sand bar has deflected fish towards Escuminac.

Some fishermen claim that all the Eishing in the Miramichi Bay is dead; this could be due to pollution but more likely overfishing by larger vessels.

There is some feeling that once a spawning stock is fished out of an area, it will not return there.

## Statistical Distrlct 73

Port: Bscuminac and Rale-Ste-Anne
Comments:
Where: In spring, all fishing occurs off Escuminac.

In fall, fishermen travel anywhere from Cape Richibucto to Gaspë and P.E.I. although the majority fish from Point Escuminac to Cape Richfbucto. The amount of travelling, depends on the size of the boat and weather.

When: In spring, from beginnting of May to second week of June.

In fall, from beginnting of Aupust to middle of September.

How: Most fishermen efther have large nets and hydraulic rollers or are trying to get chem.

Recent catches: In the spring of 1978, the herring seemed to be more spread out all. along the shore, consequently enabling all the fishermen close to shore to do well. The larger boats which fish further offshore did well overall but as the fish appeared more clumped offshore, not all fishermen experienced high catch rates.

The fall of 1977 was a very bad year for herring as have been the previous three years. Good fall catches were last experienced in 1974.

Misc.: Some fishermen would like to see a summer herring, fishery started. On account of the high catch rates in the spring of 1.973 , more fishermen are anticipated to enter the fall fishery.

## Statistical District 75

Port: Point Sapin and Kouchibouguac

## Comments:

Where: Most fishing in spring from Pt. Escuminac at Polnt Sapin.

When: From ice breakup to end of May.
How: Set gillnets.
Recent catch rates: Good spring and fall catches over 1977 and 1978.

Misc.: There were complaints of crowded Flshing conditions at Escuminac in the spring ,which were causing gear conflicts. There were also complaints about the large boats with rollers fishing the herring offshore before the small inshore set gill netters get a chance to fish.

## Statisetcal District 76

Port: St. Louls-de-Kent and Richihucto
Comments:
Where: All big boats go to Escuminac in spring. In summer, herring is caught as by-catch to mackerel fishery off Richibucto.

When: In spring, from ice breakup to end of May. In summer, fron first of June to beginning of August.

How: The large boats (about 12 In this area) have large nets and rollers.

Recent catches: Good catches at Escumbac in spring of 1978. As well, there were bigh by-catchen of herring during the summer 1978 mackerel fishery. Many expect a good fall fishery.

Misc.: There used to be spring fishery in the area but this was fished out by the purse seiners. Only the big boats make money but even then, crowding in the spring Escuminac fishery is becoming a serious problem.

Mackerel: Poor catches of mackerel in summer of 1978. One fisherman belleved that the herring had driven the mackerel away.

Statistical District 77
Port: St. Edward-de-Kent and Cocagne
Conments:
Where: In spring, fish at Escuminac. In summer, some herring by-catch with mackerel.

When: In spring, from ice breakage to end of May. In summer, for July and August.

How: Set and drift nets.

Recent catches: Local fishing off wharves almost nonexistent while fishing at Fscumfnac is exceptional.

Mise.: More fishermen from this area may enter spring, Fscuminac fishery. Local spring fishing is just a hobby or for fresh lobster balt. A fall fishery could be developed in this area.

Mackerel: Poor mackerel catches in this area over last few years.

## Statistical District 78

Port: Shediac and Cap Pele
Comments:
Where: In spring most figh at brouminac as well as local fontug.

In summer, fishing for mackerel and herring off Cap Pele.

When: In spring, from ice breakup to end of May.

In summer, during July and August.

How: Set nets in spring and drift nets in summer.

Recent catches: An early run (around 5 May was experienced in the spring of 1978 . As well catches were more consistent during this spring.

Misc: S Some fishermen blame the Canso Calueway for the poor herring fishing in the area. They stated that the high catches expertenced in the spring of 1978 were due to an opendig of the causeway gates.

A few belleve that herring move west to east through the Northumberland Strait, not the other way around.

Mackere1: Poor mackerel catches reported in 1978. One fisherman feels that mackerel move west to east in Strait.

## Statistical District 80

Port: Bayfleld, Cape Tormentine and Port Elgin

## Comments:

Where: Most figh from Shemogne Hend to Cape Tormentine. Some fish on P.F.l. shore and at Escuminac in Spring.

When: Fishing starts immediately upon ice breakup; the length of the season after that varies considerably for each fisherman. In general, if they have a scallop licence,
will fish for herring as long as catch rates are good; otherwise they will fish for scallops. Non-14cenced fishermen wlll fish for herring from Aprll to soptcmber.

How: Set glll in spring and drift nets In summer and fall.

Recent catches: As in statistical district 78 , there was an unusual early run in spring 1978. There was a good run in June 1977 as weli.

Misc.: Fishing is drastically reduced compared to 15 years ago; Many blame the Canso Causeway for this. As is statistical district 78, some fishermen attribute unusual spring run to opening of Causeway gates. Scallops licences are big issue in this area.

Mackerel: Concentrate more on mackerel than herring durlag summer and fall; wed mackerel for lobster balt.

Statistical District 82
Port: Skinner Pond

## Comments:

Where: Both locally and at Escuminac.
Local fishermen will fish anywhere from Kildare to Howards Cove.

When: Month of May
How: Shallow set nets of varlous mesh slzes, hauled by hand.

Recent catches: Reported fafr catches in this area during 1978. Noted that it would have been better but fish didn't stay long, (one short big run).

Misc.: Only one fisheman from here goes to Escuminac.

Herring spawned here in spring 1978 and at Kildare; haven't seen much spawning in these areas for 20 years.

Mackerel: Wasn't any more then last year but has been had for two years now. They are a good size of fish. Didn't have any idea what they eat.

Statistical District 82
Port: Minfmegabh
Comments:
Where: About 12-14 boats fish at Escumfnac in the spring and usually land fish there. A large number fish at Minimegash but will go as far as Borden.

When: Those that go to Escuminac fish for about 3 weeks in May. Local fishermen Fish as soon as lce is out until the end of May. At one time there were fall flsh here but no one trys for them now.

How: All the fisheremen interviewed used large numbers of shallow set nets of various mesh stzes that they hauled by hand.

Recent catches: Catches at Minimegash were extraoridnary in 1978. There hasn't been as many fish for over 20 years and there is no explanation. Two years ago 11 crates was considered good; this year got 200-300 crates.

Misc. Some talked in support of limiting, the number of nets.

Mackerel: luast $4-5$ years has been good for set fishermen, but this year was poor. Don't notice any difference in size of flah. They thlnk the only thlng mackerel eat is plankton.

## Statistleal District 82

Port: Campbellton and Howards Cove

## Comments:

Where: Six to elght hoats from Howards Cove go to Escuminac to Eish in the spring. Ninety-eight percent of the catches are landed at Escuminac. Other smaller fishermen Fish locally for herring. Occastonal Fscuminac catches will be brought back to P.E.I. to sell to local fishermen.

When: Local fishermen will start as soon as lee $1 s$ out untll the lst week of June. Tce is usually out by the 20th of April. Those that travel to Escumbne whit antll they hear the figh are rumntag. Hawnlly fish for $3-1$ wooks in the month of May.

How: The nomadic fishermen use large numbers of shallow gillnets and haul them by hand. The local fishermen use the same kind of nets but in much fewer numbers.

Recent catches: Those that fish at Escuminac reported significantly better catches recently. Also noted however, that weather was much better then last year. which meant more days were spent fishing and fewer fish were lost. Local fishermen reported exceptional catches as well; has been pood for two yeara here.

Misc.: Flshermen in this area usually sell herring to north shore fishermen for lobster balt. All reported that herring were bigger this year.

Mackerel: Noted that seiners weren't doing well with the mackerel because the fish
have to be seen on surface schooling before they can be caught. Claim that set net mackerel fishermen have been dolng well at Alberton for the last 2 years. Mackerel fishermen on this side with aet nets didn't do well thls year so fis. Selners also reported poor catrbes.

## Statistical District 82

## Port: West Point

Comments:

Where: most of the fishermen try at west point first but won't hesitate to move anywhere from Mintmegash to Borden if they thlnk fishing will be better. Two or 3 boats go to Escuminac in the spring regularly.

When: Start flahling as goon as the leo If out untll the end of May. Tre lis usually out by the Trd week of Aprit. Some whll put a couple of neta in the fall to catch a fred of herring.

How: Most have large numbers of set gillnets. The nets are all shallow (40-60) meshes). Varlous mesh gizes are employed but recently are tending more towards smaller mesh because of high demand of fish.

Recent catches: Seems it was good for the early part of the 1978 season but dropped off. Wasn't any worse then previous years. Spawning in this area has been reduced in the last $3-4$ years.

Msese: One flsherman thinks he will quit fishing at Esciminac since fishling seems to be picking up on the fsland. Most reported blgger figh this year. Larpe numbers of herrlog were reported more offshore in the Northumberlame Stratt.

Mackeral: Mackerel calches by not wel is have been very poor for the last 2 years. Those that are caught are a good size. Notlced that they come close to shore in the fall and feed on small schools of fish. The small fish are called "tinkers".

## Statistical District 83

Port: Cape Egmont
Comments:

Where: 1 a fils aron, the flshermen have a Large choice of locations to fish. Thoy will Fish at Cape Pele, N.S., or Escuminac, N.B. or anywhere fron Borden to Miminegash. In order to make a profit they have to move. What often happens is that a few fishermen w11 get some fish and the next day there will be 40 boats in the same area.

When: From about the lst of May until the 2nd week of June.

How: Use shallow nets which they haul by hand. Usually have about 40-60 nets. Everyone hat nets with about $50 \%$ of the fishermen consistently trying for herring every year.

Recent catches: Those that find the right places reported good catches in 1978. Best fishing was on the N.S. and N.B. side of the Northumberland Strait. In this area fishing has been poor for the last 8 years, and hasn't shown much sign of improvement.

Misc.: They have a fishermen's co-op here and if it is at all possible they sell their fish only to the co-op no matter where they are caught. Most that are bought are from P.E.I. and not usually from N.B. or N.S.

Mackerel: Very poor catches reported particularly in spring of 1978. Has been poor ever since they built Canso Causeway. Fish used to hit south shore first but now hit north shore first and move the other way. They were a good slze this spring but not any bigger then usual. They have found a lot of capelin In the stomachs lately. Most were caught at Richibucto.

## Statistical District 83

Port: Borden
Coments:
Where: Only 3 herring fishermen in this area. All of chese Eish at Borien on either side of wharf. A lot of boats come from the Cape Fgmont wharf to fish here.

When: Last week of April until the 1st of June.

How: 40-50 shallow set gillnets hauled by hand.

Recent catches: Wasn't very good here In 1978 because wind caused fish to move co the N.B. side. Mas been poor for last 10 years.

Misc.: Herring used to spawn here in the fall but haven't seen any for 3 years. Used to get them on lobster traps. Different companies come to Borden wharf to buy fish. They are lucky to have chotce of herring or scallops to fish and will concentrate on the one that has the highest catch rates in a particular season.

## Statistical District 83

Port: Victoria

Comments:
Where: All fish Victoria harbour just outside a large sand spit.

When: Only fleh after the ice goes out to the 2 nd week of May; after that not worth flahing.

How: Ige 10-15 shallow gillnets handed by hand:

Recent catches: Most reported good catches in 1978 . One fisherman said that it was his best spring in 17 years. Another fisherman sald he did better last year than this year.

Mackerel: Not many fishing them at Victoria, but heard reports that there were some good catches at Cape Tomentine recently (end of July).

## Statistical District 85

Port: Rice Point
Comments:
Where: Fish at the new wharf in Rice Point. None go to Escuminac.

When: Start last week of April and finish lst of June.

How: Shallow set glllnets anchored and hanled by hand.

Recent catches: Some had exceptional catches in spring 1978 while others did the same as usual. Overall catches have improved.

Misc.: Spawn here only in the spring on sandy bottom. Used to be fall fishery but nobody fishes them now because they can't afford investment in offshore drift netting.

## Statistical District 86

Port: Wood Island
Comments:
Where: Fish right at Wood Island in the spring. In the fall one fisherman goes to Pictou and Caribou Island.

When: Has been exclustvely a spring fishery from the lst of May for about 2 weeks. This year is the first atrempt at fall fishing running for about the month of August.

How: Jse large mesh set glllnets about 75 mesh deep.

Recent catches: Haven't caught any herring in two years. Has breen $5-6$ yoars
since enough bait was caught by one fisherman.

Misc.: Never seen any evidence of spawning, Others that lost scallop licence are also considertng investment in the fall fishery at Pictou and Caribou Island.

Mackerel: One fisherman has been line fishing here. Fishing has been bad for at least 2 years. Six or 7 years ago could catch mackerel anywhere by dropping a line in the water. Now you have to search for them and seed the water with fish meal.

## Statistical District 87

Port: Beach Point
Conments:
Where: Some fishermen from here go to Escuminac. There appears to be no local herring fishing.

When: Fish are most abundant in fall, (July and August).

How: The government is sponsoring an experimental mid-water trawl fishery. Fishermen think there are quite a few herring around fishermen's bank.

Recent catches: Haven't been fishing them but have seen large schools of herring on the sounder. A few fished in spring but didn't get anything. Also finding large numbers of $6^{\circ \prime}$ herring in the area. There were large numbers near wharf in June 1978.

Misc: : If the herring do pick up here no one would fish them since they are doing well with the groundfish and wouldn't want to invest in such an unpredictable fishery as herring.

Mackerel: Lots of mackerel in the area but nohody bothers to fish them. A few jig for pleasure or bait. Some put drift nets in now and then.

## Statistical District 87

Port: Graham Polnt

## Comments:

Where: Herring are ignoced in this area. A few fish for bait but not many.

When: The odd net is put in durlag the lobster season of May and June and August and September.

How: Mostly drift nets.

Recent catches: Even when there was lots of herring, here nobody fishes for them other than for bait.

Misc: Fishermen belleve fish here are on their way to spawn re Pletou and Caribou Island.

Mackerel: Lots of mackerel here but are only jigged for recreational purposes.

## Statistical District 87

Port: Georgetown and Montague
Comments:
Where: Any that do fish, fish locally Lnshore or at Flshernen's Bank. It was very difficult to get anyone to talk about the herring here. Nost fishermen that fished here only did it sporatically.

When: During 15th April to the 15 th of July set net for herring. During last 3 weeks in September drift net for herring.

How: Drift and set nets.
Recent catches: Hasn't been any in the spring for years. Some good catches in fall of 1977 at Fishermen's Bank but never lasted long (about 3 days).

Misc: : Reported large numbers of small $6^{\prime \prime}$ herring at Fishermen's Bank.

Mackerel: Poor in 1978 but was better in 1977. Hasn't been any good fishing since the causeway was built. Find lots of crab and lobster young of the year in their stomachs.

## Statibtical District 88

Port: Launching Point and Annandale
Comments:
Where: Fish locally.for bait.
When: During spring lobster season. Some have nets in the fall for something to do.

How: Very few bother to fish herring. They just buy their bait.

Recent catches: Haven't been any fish here for 7 years. One fishermen got good catches in fall $1: \overrightarrow{7}$.

Mackere1: Poorer in 1978 than 1977. Fish mackerel with set nets, anchored at one end.

Where: All fish inshore in Naufrage area.

When: Only fish May and June during lobster season for bait.

How: Use a few (2-3) shallow set nets in early spring. Some then use 150 mesh deep mackerel drift nets in last 3 weeks of June.

Recent catches: Are usually able to get enough for bait. Hasn't changed much in the last 10 years. Lots of herring in fall but not fished.

Misc.: Spawn here every spring. Haven't seen many small herring. Hsed to get quite a few in the con stomachs.

Mackerel: Poor in 1977 but a little better in 1978. They eat just about anything, are bottom feeders, eat small mackere1, and shrimp. Also come up to school when there is a lot of spawn or small frys floating around. Spring mackerel which are usually large seemed small in 1978.

Statistical District 92
Port: Tignish
Comments:
Where: A couple of boats from here fish at Escuminace Almost all lobster fishermen fish for balt locally.

When: Fish about the 20th of April to the 2 nd week of June then mackerel season starts. Catch herring with mackerel nets all through summer but not many. Get a fall run of herring in August and September but not fished hard.

Recent catches: Reported better catches in the spring of 1978 than previously.

Misc.: Herring spawn here in both spring and fall.

Mackerel: Seiners reported very poor fishing because fish are not rising up and schooling. Has been poor for the last 5 years but has no idea as to what happened. They think there are lots of fish but they aren't schooling. Noted that the fish that are caught are a good stze. On the other hand set net fishermen reported better fishing this year. Could catch mackerel just about anywhere. The fish being caught were all quite big.

## Statistical District 92

## Port: Alberton

Where: They fish about four miles outside of the Harbour in the Gulf of St. Lawrence for lobster bait only.

When: As soon as the fice goes out, they M11. put their nets in (usually around the 20th of April). Fish no later than the 15 th of June, some quit a week earlier. Some recently have been fishing the fall herring in August and September.

How: In the spring they use set nets. Fifty mesh deep of varying mesh size. In the fall, use mackerel drift nets which are 150 mesh deep and $27 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ mesh.

Recent catches: Most reported exceptional catches in 1979 . Also reported unexpected catches of herrlng in large numbers in June and in mackerel nets in July. All thought herring are coming back in the area.

Misc.: Reported that fish seemed bigger this year.

Mackerel: Got only one good week of mackerel in June 1978. It has been bad here for at least 5 years but nobody seemed to have any ideas why. All agreed that the mackerel were bigger and weren't schooling. claimed that fish had to be a certain size to school, a $3 / 4$ 1b mackerel will school while a 1. to $1 / 4 \mathrm{lb}$ mackerel won't school. Mackerel were better in fall 1977 than usual; one fisherman caught quite a few in Octoher and November which is quite unusual. In July they feed on "red feed" and seem to school when feeding. Also seem to school. more before or after a storm.

## Statistical District 93

## Port: Malpeque

Comments:
Where: All fish along coast in Guif of St. Lawrence from Malpeque to as much as 5 miles towards French River.

When: Fish for 3 weeks after the ice goes out. Time that the ice goes out varies because they are on north side. Some put nets in the fall to get some to salt for the winter.

How: Use 75-100 mesh deep set gillnets.

Recent catches: hiven't caught any herring here for 10 years. Do not blame the seiners for overfishing. Some of the seiners from this harbour didn't bother to fish this season. Haven't noticed any difference in size of fish.

## Comments:

## Statistical District 95

Port: French River
Comments:
Where: Just outside of New London Bay.
When: Only fish a week to ten days after the ice goes out. Don't bother during lobster season. In the fall will put a net in for a feed.

How: Set gillnets of various depths and mesh sizes.

Recent catches; Were better in spring of 1978 than previously. Got a short fast run of herring which then disappeared.

Misc.: Spawned here in June 1978; the epgs covered the lobster traps.

Mackerel: Has been poor here for 6 years. Fishermen blame decline on large numbers of sefners that have overfished mackerel.

Statistlcal District 95
Port: North Rustico
Comments:

Where: All fish just outside of Rustico Bay in a small cove.

When: They put their nets in as soon as the ice goes out. The ice will go out sometimes between the 2 nd week of April to the lst of May. Most then fish for about 3 weeks but others keep nets in for an addttonal 2 weeks.

How: Most use $6-10$ gillnets for elther 75 or 50 days.

Recent catches: All reported better catches in 1978 than previously. Most got their batt chemselves which is unusual.

Mackerel: Fishing has been poor for about 2 years. Lately have reported to be selaing around tourist boats; they also jig mackerel. Doesn't know what caused the deciline.

## Statistical District 96

Port: Cove Head
Comments:
Where: They fish east of Tracadie bay In the Gulf.

When: Fish for about 3 weeks after ice goes out which is usually around lst of May.
:

How: About 6 fishermen with about 25 nets total fish for herring. Shallow set gillnets.

Recent catches: Catches were better in 1978 than for the last 8 years.

Mackerel: Were good in 1978. Don't see small fish in their stomachs like you do in cod. Mackerel go to the hottom to spawn in July.

## Statistical District 96

Port: Tracadie
Comments:
Where: Sail up off Tracadie and fish in the Gulf just outside Bay.

When: Season varies here a lot because of ice condtcions. Will start anywhere from April 10 th to May loth. Isually fish for 3 weeks after they start.

How: Use set gillnets of various depths and mesh sizes.

Recent catches: Herring catches were the best they'd been for a long time in 1978.

Misc. As the fishing picks up more fishermen dig out their nets and put them. in the water. Spawn here in July (see spawn on cod nets). Fishermen think fish are getting smaller.

Mackerel: Has been poor here for 4 years. They blame seiners for overfishing mackerel because they follow fish as they move around and are fishing the same stock continually. Spring mackerel are bigger then summer mackerel. At one time there were large fall mackerel but fishermen think they have been fished out. Mackerel are a good ase thia year but aren't gchooling. Don't see as many young mackerel as you used to.

## Statistical District 96

Port: Savage Harbour
Comments:

Where: Just outside Savage Harbour in the Gulf of St. Lawrence.

When: Only fish for 3 weeks from June 10th to lst of July.

How: Use 150 mesh deep mackerel drift nets. Often more mackerel than herring. Once lohster seaso. is over, they no lonser use the nets.

Recent catches: Cer about $\frac{1}{2}$ a box a day. Hasn't changed mach over the years.

Misc: Some reported spawn on lobster traps in the spring. Also say there are a few large fall herring.

Mackerel: Poor for the last 2 years. Doesn't know what happened to them. Think they eat just about anything they can get in their moutha. If you get any it wlll be for 3 weeks in Julys after that you won't see them agatn until November. Seem about the same stze as always.

Comments received from fishermen during mail survey.

This section contains comments made by fishermen who replied to the mail questionnaire, on the status of the herring fishery in the area. They are organized by statistical district and within statistical district, by English and French replies.

The comments were edited in sone cases to allow easier reading. In no case, as far as the authors perceive, was the meaning of any comment changed by this process.

Note that these comments in no way reflect the opinions of the government, only those of the surveyed fishermen.

Statlstlcat

Comments

2

There are no herring here to catch. They are only inghore for a short time in September. In the past, we could get a bucket or so. Now there is hardly any. If you get a bucket, you would do well. It's been like that for the last 20 years. About 25 to 30 years ago, there were lots of berring. We don't know the reacon for the decline.

Herring fishing has not been a paying proposition in Arisaig Point for almost 20 years. This year (1979) herring came along our shores. I have not fished herring before but because of the optimistic outlook of the herring fishery off Arisaig, I intend to be fishing next year. Many of the seiners in the Strait belleve that the ban of the selners in the Stralt has allowed the herring to migrate past Plctou Island down Arisalg Point. If this year is any indicator of what the future 1 s , then many of us will be flohing, herring. We had a hard time getting, nets this year. The season was almost over by the time we got them.

The herring catch has been extremely low in our area. Very few fishermen are now involved.

This is the last place on Restigotiche River that herring spawn. No fall herring come up here 1 ike they used to 15 years ago.

Last year (1978) the herring seinets were in the Chaleur Bay and did not leave many for the local fishemen.

Please try to keep herrlug seiners ont of this area because this is a herrligg spawning ground.

Since 1974, 1 hardly got enough herring to bait my traps (113 of them).

Each year I fish herring for bait, consumption and sale, but for the last couple of years, there was not even enough for bait.

Prior to 1975 there was more herring, than now Therefore catches with 2 or 4 nets were as good ae with 12 to 20 nets in 1979.

Not enough herring left after the large seiners get their quota in the Bay of Stonehaven. We cannot get enough to badt our lobster gear.

In the last five years, the herring catch has been so poor that we were unable to catch enough herring to bait our traps. There are a few spots where sone of the fishemen get a few catch of herring. There should be something done to protect the herring.

The last two years I've been fishing with my father, fishing for lobster and herring has been poor in this area and we have to buy herring to baic the traps except in some spots along the shore. Some fishermen get some and there should be something done about it.

The govermment pollcy has nearly destroyed the fishing of herring in this part of the Bay of Chaleur. We set 5 herring nets last opring, and had to buy $90 \%$ of the herrlng to balt our lobster traps.

When $I$ started fishing in 1938, we han lots of herring for hait and also used herring on the land for fert111zer. Spawn lay on the beaches 3 and 4 feet deep which we also used on the land. There was no limit to what we could catch with one net. This continued until the Government brought in the seinexs to the east coast. Now we do not get enough bait and there is never any spawn on the beaches. It is my bellef that Government Policy has destroyed our inshore herring Elahery.

With the price of herring today, it would have been a gold mine to the inshore fishermen.

If the government continues with the present policy it will be the end of the herring fishlng.

Since the seiners get it all in the Gulf, the herring do not come in the Bay of Chaleur any more. In the years 1940-1960 the catch was good.

No more herring in the Bay of Chaleur.

I only fish herring for my own use as bait. There is not enough herring to make expenses at fall season.

Depuis les dix dernières années, la pêche au hareng n'en vaut plus la peine. J'al six filets et je perds mon temps. Je $n^{\prime}$ en prends pas assez pour manger. Comme vous le savez peut-être, le hareng n'a pas le temps de se rendre tci. Tout eat péché par les gros bateaux a l'entrée du golfe.

J'ai bien fini de pêcher all filet parce qu'il n'en reste plus assez pour vivre comme ça. J'ai déjà vu beaucoup mieux, mais je n'ai jamais vu pire.

La pêche est tellement mauvaise que je dois 1'abandonner. J'ai fait $\$ 14,000$ de defficit. Les personnes responsables de $1 a$ pêche au hareng disent que le hareng n'a pas diminué. Je me demande alors où est passé le hareng. Je sais qu'11 y a beaucoup de pettes harengs.

La salson 1979 êté très pauvre, J'ai pris du hareng juste pour 1'appat.

Le changement qu'il y a eu depuis 1971, vous devez le connaître. Ce ne sont pas les petits pêcheurs qui ont détruit le hareng, ce sont les gros seineurs. Bientot vous n'aurez plus à envoyer vos formules car 11. n'y aura plus de hareng à prendre.

Depuis 13 ans le hareng a diminué de $99 \%$. J'al fait un énorme dêflcit de $\$ 6000$.

Il y a 25 ans que je pêche le hareng. J'ai élevé 10 enfants sans misère avec la pêche au hareng. Maintenant je ne pourrais plus en faire vivre un
seul, parce qu' 11 n'y a plus de poisson. Les sefneurs ont tout détruit. Nous à Caraquet, la pêche etalt notre seul gagne-pain. Pourriez-vous falre quelque chose pour sauver la Bituation?

I1 a'y a plus de hareng. Les seineurs les ont détruits.

Le hareng est race le pringtemps, et depuls quelques années $11 n^{\prime} y$ en a plus du tout.

Les captures ont augmente depuis 1975 sauf en 1979 quand elles ont diminué de $50 \%$, même si j'avais un plus grand nombre de fllets.

Since 1973, no fall fishing because not enough herring to be payable. Too far to go for us Anshore fishermen. Herring is to Ear from the shore.

This year (1979) was a little better than the past five years or maybe more, since the big. boats came to fish.

In the year 1960 the herring fishing was payable but since the 1970s not payable.

Les trois dernières années on n'a pas pêché le hareng, parce qu'il n'y en a plus.

Dans les années 1971, je pêchais moins le hareng. A ce moment la morue et le hareng se vendaient peu. Depuls 1973, Je consacre plus de temps à la peche au hareng. Au debut, on pouvait en vendre plus. La pértode du temps de la pêche êtait presque la même chaque année. Depuis, f'al amelloré mes agrès de peche de beaucoup, maís sans résultat. Le hareng a tellement dminué que ça ne vaut plus la peine de le pêcher.

No herring as in the past. None later on (after June) as 65 foot seiners come inshore and clean out herring.

Depuis 7 ans j'essate de pêcher le hareng. Il n'y en a plus par ict. Vous aevriez envoyer vos questionnaires aux gros chalutiers qui viennent chaque année pêcher avec leurs gros fllets. Ils détruisent tout: hareng, morue et homard. Il ne reste rien deriere eux. Ouvrez-vous les yeux!

Je pêche pour appâter mes
trappes. Depuis 7 a 8 ans nous avons de la difficulté à prendre asbez de harengs pour la boette de nos trappes. Auparavent il y avait du hareng a ne savoir qu'en falre.

Je peche le hareng pour mes trappes. Nous ne pouvons capturer assez de harengs pour nos trappes, même avec 8 à 10 filets. Il faut en acheter pour appater.

T1 n'y a plus de hareng. Pour appater nos cages a homard 11 nous faut acheter les restes des usfnes.

We never get more than $20-30$ barrels. Some years we get a few good days but last year we got approximately $10-15$ barreis.

We cannot fish all the herring season, because there are no herring since the big boats started fighing. They rook all of them before the herring came where we fish.

We only fish herring for bait, and for the last 5 years there was none, so we had to buy it.

The herring catch has been declining since 1975.

Par icf 1.1 n'y a pas assez de hareng pour prendre la peine de pecher.

La zone limite de 200 milles a éte tres importante pour la pêche côtière. Les résultats sont concluants.

On peche le hareng pour notre appât. Les dernières années, on est obligé de l'acheter car on n'en prend pas assez pour la pêche au homard.

Je pêche pour appater mes cages à homard. Les trols dernières annés, on n'a pas pu en prendre pour notre appat.

Par Ifl ça ne vaut pas la pelne de peeher le hareng. Il n'y en a pas assez, Les gros bateaux les ont presque tous détruits. On en a eu $1^{\prime}$ expérience à Caraquet
aussi. Les gros bateaux
l'emportent sur les petits bateaux. Le petit hareng n'a pas le temps du tout de venir à la côte.

I fish only for bait. Last spring there was none at all. Here I got half a barrel all spring - so I don't know what is wrong. They used to be here but not now.

The year 1978 was very good but 1979 was less than 1978. 1978 was a year never seen since many many years ago.

I fust Eish a small rig along the shore since 1971. Before that time we uned to get good fishling, but since they have been gecting scarcer each year and we do not have them spawning. In my opinton, unless there is a closed season for even a short time both spring and fall they will be fished out.

Gillnets are almost a thing of the past. One time I used to get 15 barrels to the net (in 1965)

St les seineurs n'arrêtent pas de venir pacher de notre coté, le hareng est Enf pour les 10 ou 12 années à venir.

Les seineurs prennent le hareng avant qu'il n'arrive à la core. Les petles pêcheurs n'en prennent pas tellement.

11 n'y a pas de hareng à Ste-Anne du Kent depuis au moins 15 ans. Nous sommes obligés d'aller a Escouminac ou à Polnte Saptn. En 1979, lorsque le hareng est arrivé à la côte pour frayer, la température n'étalt pas bonne. Le hareng n'est pas reste a Ste-Anne, ni aux alentours. 11 $n^{\prime} y$ en avalt que quelques douzatnes par filet. Ce n'était pas rentable.

La pêhe au hareng n'est plus rentable. Durant les dernières années, les pêcheurs côtiers du printemps ont subl des pertes considérables.

Along the coast of Richibucto Cape and Pte Sapin and also Fiscuminac during the years 1970 to 1975 the herring catch were reasonably, good but since then has been goling down every years, and now the catches are very poor as much as not being worth setting any nets at all. These last few years, as a matter of fact, very few herring are seen or noticed coming or I should say has a chance of reaching the shore to spawn. At one time herring eggg were so thick they
used to be washed to shore in a breeze or storm.

Les années 1946 jusqu'à 1968 étalent très bonnes pour le hareng, même si. les prix n'étaient pas très bons. Mals après cela a été un désastre! Aujourd'hui les prix sont bons, mais in $n^{1} y$ a pas de hareng, c'est-ằ-dire pour les pècheurs cottiers. A mon point de vue, les selneurs n'aident pas trop la pêche côtère: surtout s*ils pêchent dans le golfe Salnt-Laurent out le hareng est pris avant de se rendre à sa grosseur.

There should be a limit on the number of nets and date for the seiners to start fishing.

1978 was record year for herring catches.

Out of 55 nets for herring starting
from May 1, 1979 to May 20, 1979, very poor catch of $5 \frac{5}{2}$ con was
lander. 1978 was poorer yet.
Herring landings have been very poor In the central port of Northumberland Straft.

The herring has been golnt down since 1975 and this spring (1979) there was none at all.

In 1978 no herriag as the Seiners have them all caught before they get into the Stralts to spawn so don't know what to do this spring.

In 1.979 was the worst season for fishing herring.

About 15 years ago I could get all kinds of herring with about 10 nets. In 1979, I fished 45 nets for 6 weeks and I got 3,0001 bs. That's the story for the last 5 or 6 years. If nothing is done for the herring fishery very soon you can forget about herring fishing.

Herring fishing from April 28 to May, 1979 was terrible. In 1978 the herring stocks seem to be a little better but far from good.

Herring fishing has decreased in the last ten years and I think that there should be a limit on the number of nets to be fished per boat, as some fishermen fish as many as 25 nets which is more than they can tend properly. Consequently, the fish go to waste. It also makes it difficult to find a place to set nets as most fishermen leave their nets out day and night.

Fishing was much better in May years ago than now.

Catches every yeax are getting scarcer due to many herring seiners taking so many small ones and tearing up the spawning ground inshore.

Keep the large selners and draggers out of the Gulf of $S t$. Lawrence.

I don'r have any record of catches but let me say this - In 12 years of spring herring fishing, only the "1979" spring fishing was worthwhile. In all other 11 years, the most I can recall landing was about a ton. Its too much for me to believe that herring is on its way back with all those large herring selners roaming the Gulf. Its the same with cod. Everything is caught at the entrance of the Gulf.

We got more herring this spring than we got for ten years, hut we had to buy balt.

In the years 1965-66, we got lots of herring in our mackerel neine. Stace 1966 there has been ones We used to get herring in nets in August and September before 1965. Now there are very few.

There has been no herring in the fall for the last 12 years, We got a very limited supply the following spring. We had to buy herring the spring for our lobster bait.


[^0]:    Commulication between fishermen plays a large xole in fishing success. Sometimes, however, this can cause hlgh densitites of boats In a confined area and consequently a reduction in catch rates. This type of fishing has also

[^1]:    * ( ) number of observations.

