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## ABSTRACT

Roberge, M.M., G. Low, and C.J. Read. 1986. An assessment of the commercial fishery and population structure of walleye in Kakisa Lake, Northwest Territories, 1977-1985. Can. Tech. Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 1435: v +59 p .

Biological samples have been taken from the commercial walleye fishery from Kakisa Lake, Northwest Territories (NWT) since 1977 (excluding 1978). Together with information collected from an experimental gillnetting program in 1978, the status of the walleye stock is assessed and a management strategy is formulated.

Variations in fishing effort since 1946 is likely the cause of fluctuations in the commercial production of walleye. Factors resulting in changes in mean length and age from year to year of the commercial samples, since 1977, include timing and location of fishing effort and variability in year class strength. Fluctuations in instantaneous total mortality are considered to be due to changes in fishing mortality. The impact on these changes by the domestic fishery is unknown. Growth rates from year to year are not significantly different. Recruitment overfishing is not believed to have occurred. The minimum mesh size of 108 mm , in use since 1981, appears to be protecting a large fraction of the pre-recruitment segment of the population.

Despite continued commercial exploitation, walleye still remains the dominant species in Kakisa Lake. The size and age of walleye have decreased since 1946, resulting from the initial fishing down of the larger, older fish in the population. Growth rates have not altered significantly from 1968 to 1978 while age-atmaturity has not decreased since 1946.

Application of the Beverton and Holt yield-per-recruit model verified that Kakisa Lake walleye were not being over-exploited by the commercial fishery. Optimum fishing mortality $\left(\mathrm{F}_{0.1}\right)$ from 1977 to 1985 ranged from 0.40 to 0.55. Estimates of total allowable catch (annual) during this period using the Baranov catch equation ranged from 11734 kg to 31904 kg . Considering the history of the fishery, the annual commercial quota should not exceed 20000 kg.

Key words: Baranov catch equation; Beverton and Holt yield-per-recruit; catch curve; catch statistics; experimental gillnetting; fishing mortality; Stizostedion vitreum; stock assessment.

## RÉSUME

Roberge, M.M., G. Low, and C.J. Read. 1986. An assessment of the commercial fishery and population structure of walleye in Kakisa Lake, Northwest Territories, 1977-1985. Can. Tech. Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 1435: v + 59 p .

Des prēlèvements biologiques sont faits dans la pêcherie commerciale au doré du lac Kakisa depuis 1977 (sauf en 1978); ces donnēes, ainsi que celles recueillies dans le cadre d'un proyramme de pêche expérimentale au filet maillant mené en 1978, ont servi à faire une ēvaluation du stock de dorē et à formuler une stratēgie de gestion.

Les fluctuations des quantitēs de dorēs capturés dans cette pēche commerciale sont vraisemblablement imputables aux variations de 1'effort de pêche depuis 1946. Parmi les facteurs qui entrainent des variations d'une annēe ¿ l'autre depuis 1977 de la longueur moyenne et de l'âge des échantillons prē̉levēs dans les prises commerciales, il $y$ a le moment et l'endroit où s'exerce l'effort de pêche ainsi que la variabilité de l'abondance de chaque classe d'âge. On estime que les fluctuations de la mortalité totale instantanée sont attribuables à des changements dans la mortalité due à la pêche; les répercussions attribuables à la pēche de subsistance ne sont pas connues. Les taux de croissance d'une année à l'autre ne prēsentent pas de différences importantes. On ne croit pas qu'il y ait eu surexploitation des recrues; la grandeur de maille minimale, en vigueur depuis 1981, est de 108 mmm et il semble qu'elle contribue à protēger une grande partie des prē-recrues dans la population.

En dēpit de l'exploitation commerciale ininterrompue, le doré n'en reste pas moins l'espèce dominante dans le lac Kakisa. La taille et 1 'âge du doré ont diminué depuis 1946, en raison de la perte des sujets plus gros et plus âgēs de la population, capturēs au dêpart. Les taux de croissance n'ont pas varié de façon significative entre 1968 et 1978 tandis que l'âge à la maturité n'a pas diminué depuis 1946.

L'application du modèle de rendement par recrue de Beverton et Holt a permis d'ētablir que la pêche commerciale n'entraîne aucune surexploitation du dorē du lac Kakisa. La mortalité optimale due à la pêche ( $\mathrm{F}_{0 \cdot 1}$ ) de 1977 à 1985 varíait de 0,40 à 0,55 . Les estimations du total (annuel) des prises admissibles au cours de la même période, obtenues à l'aide de l'ëquation de Baranov, variaient entre 11734 et 31904 kg . Compte tenu des antëcëdents de cette pêcherie, le contingent annuel de pêche commerciale ne devrait pas dẻpasser 20000 kg .

Mots-clés: équation de Baranov; modèl de rendement par recrue de Beverton et Holt; courbe des prises; pêche expërimentale au filet maillant; statistiques des prises; mortalité due à la pêche; Stizostedion vitreum; évaluation des stocks.

## INTRODUCTION

The walleye, Stizostedion vitreum (Mitchill), occurs in Canada from central Quebec through Ontario, the Prairie Provinces, northeastern British Columbia and north into the Northwest Territories (NWT) and is considered to be the most economically valuable fish species in Canadian inland waters (Scott and Crossman 1973). In the NWT, walleye (commonly referred to as pickerel) are confined to the Mackenzie River drainage reaching their northern limit in the Mackenzie Delta (Scott and Crossman 1973). Although the distribution of walleye in the NWT is limited, it is considered to be an important commercial and sport fish species.

Information on the biology and harvest of walleye in the NWT is meager in comparison to that for walleye from other areas of Canada. Miller (1947), Rawson (1947, 1951) and Johnson (1975) provide general information on walleye from Great Bear and Great Slave lakes. Falk and Dahlke (1975), Falk et al. (1980) and Bond et al. (1978) describe the walleye sport fisheries occurring along the south shore of Great Slave Lake while Hatfield et al. (1972a,b), Stein et al. (1973a,b), Jessop et al. (1973, 1974), Jessop and Lilley (1975) and Lilley (1975) provide comprehensive biological data on walleye occurring in the Mackenzie River and its many tributary streams.

Annual commercial production of walleye in the NWT has averaged over 40000 kg (round weight) since the late 1970's. Over $95 \%$ of this production is taken from three lakes: Great Slave, Kakisa and Tathlina. An average of $44 \%$ of the total walleye production in the NWT comes from Kakisa Lake ałone. Despite the importance of this fishery, scant information is available on this exploited walleye population.

In 1946, Kakisa Lake was first surveyed jointly by the Fisheries Research Board of Canada and the Department of Fisheries to determine whether it could support a commercial fishery for either lake whitefish or walleye (Kennedy 1962). As a result of the study whitefish were not recommended for commercial harvest due to a high infestation rate of the parasite, Triaenophorus crassus, but commercial fishing for walleye began on Kakisa Lake in the same year. Monitoring of the annual harvest however did not commence until the 1952/53 fishing season.

In 1968, the Department of Fisheries conducted a gillnetting survey of Kakisa Lake as part of a study to assess the commercial potential of various lakes in the NWT and to determine infestation rates of the parasite, Triaenophorus crassus in lake whitefish (Johnson 1976; Moshenko 1980). Lamoureux (1973) conducted a pre-impoundment study of Kakisa Lake and its surroundings in 1972 to assess the environmental impact of a proposed hydro-electric development of Lady Evelyn Falls on the Kakisa River, approximately 5 km downstream of Kakisa Lake. Biological sampling of the commercial catch, as part of the monitoring program, has only taken place since 1977.

Since the early 1970's fishermen have been requesting an increase in the annual walleye quota for Kakisa Lake. In response, the Department of Fisheries and Oceans (DFO) conducted an experimental gillnetting prograin in 1978 in order to determine whether the stock was capable of sustaining an increase in the harvest level. Information collected from this study combined with the data collected from the monitoring program is examined in this report. The status of the Kakisa Lake walleye stock is discussed and a management strategy, with total allowable catch (TAC), is recommended.

## STUDY AREA

Kakisa Lake forms a part of the Kakisa River drainage basin (Fig. 1). The river system, 496 km long, originates west of the Cameron Hills and drains an area of $14900 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ (Environment Canada 1980). The upper reaches of the river meander through low muskeg country occasionally flowing over small rapids and riffles. The water is stained a transparent brown, characteristic of most muskeg drainages. The river slows and deepens to 3 m before entering the west end of Tathlina Lake. The river flows out of the northeast corner of Tathlina Lake and is interrupted by several rapids dropping 55 meters in 25 km before entering the south side of Kakisa Lake.

Kakisa Lake (Fig. 2) is 40 km long and 12 km wide with a surface area of 33126 ha (Kennedy 1962). The lake reaches a maximum depth of 7 m . Most of the shoreline consists of wave washed boulder, gravel or sand beach down to depths of $2-4 \mathrm{~m}$. There is an abrupt change to silt substrate which characterizes the offshore bottom. The lake bottom in the sheltered west end of the lake is composed of black organic debris (Lamoureux 1973). The Muskeg River, at the east end of the lake, is the only major tributary (Fig. 2). Several small creeks drain into the lake along the south and west sides.

The outlet of Kakisa Lake is shallow and wide with a moderate current and is often choked with aquatic vegetation by midsummer. The river again drops 15 m over the Lady Evelyn Falls located 5 km downstream of the lake. This fall forms an effective barrier to the upstream migration of fish from Great Slave Lake (Fig. 2). The river continues as a series of smaller rapids flowing over a limestone bottom and enters Beaver Lake (Fig. 1) on the upstream end of the Mackenzie River.

## THE FISHERY

The 1946 survey by the Fisheries Research Board of Canada concluded that Kakisa Lake had potential for a walleye (pickerel/dore) fishery but not a lake whitefish (Coregonus clupeaformis) fishery since the whitefish were heavily infested with the parasite, Triaenophorus crassus (Kennedy 1962). A gill net fishery with an annual quota of 91000 kg was established.

Allowable mesh size was 89 mm (stretched measure). As well an all weather road to Hay River from the lake was nearing completion which would ease transportation costs. The quota and mesh size were based on economic rather than biological considerations and the presumption that no lasting harm could be done to the walleye stocks. At a later time, adjustments to obtain maximum sustainable yield could be made once the fishery was in place.

McGinnes Fisheries Ltd. established the first commercial fishery on Kakisa Lake in the late 1940's. Production records for this period are not available. However, it was reported that "large" catches of walleye were taken on the lake for a couple of seasons (Art Delancey, DFO, Western Region, personal communication). Records of production began with the 1953 season. At this time the legal mesh size was increased to 114 mm (stretched measure) and the annual quota of 91000 kg remained for the lake. This was modified for the 1958/59 season when a six year quota cycle was established with a total harvest of 89000 kg . Theoretically, this harvest was to be taken during the first two years of the cycle with the lake remaining closed for the following four years to allow the walleye stock to recover. This cyclical system was in place until the $1967 / 68$ season when the harvest level was again set on an annual basis. This annual quota was set at 18700 kg with the legal mesh size remaining at 114 mm .

In 1977, commercial fishing on Kakisa Lake was restricted to the residents, upon their request, of the village of Kakisa Lake, a small Dene community on the northeast shore of the lake (Fig. 2). A fisherman was required to live in the village for six consecutive months to be eligible for a commercial license to fish the lake (NWT Fish. Reg. 1985). In 1980, the legal minimum mesh size was reduced to 108 mm (stretched measure).

Domestic fishing also takes place on Kakisa Lake. Kennedy (1962) estimated the maximum annual fish requirements of the Dene in the area to be less than 9090 kg ( 20000 lbs ). Walleye and suckers were the species generally taken. Current estimates of the domestic catch are not available.

## METHODS AND MATERIALS

## COMMERCIAL FISHERY ASSESSMENT

## Commercial production

Monthly summaries of the landings of walleye from Kakisa Lake were compiled from sales slips by DFO staff in Hay River since 1952. All data were recorded in pounds (round weight) until 1981 when the fishing industry in the NWT converted to the metric system, effective June 1981. The landings were recorded by season, commencing 1 November of one year through to 31 october of the subsequent year.

## Catch per unit of effort (CPE)

Fishery observations were conducted on the Kakisa Lake commercial walleye fishery in July 1983 as part of the DFO monitoring program for inland commercial fisheries in the NWT. Summer staff were placed on board commercial fishing vessels to accompany the fishermen. Fishermen were interviewed for information pertaining to number of nets set, location and duration of sets, mesh size, mesh depth, twine size, depth fished, descriptive features of the fishing vessel and size of the crew. As the nets were lifted, observers kept a record of the number of fish caught and culled per net-gang. CPE was calculated as number and weight (kg) of fish caught per 91 m net per 24 h .

## Biological evaluation

Sampling: Walleye from the commercial fishery were sampled at the fish plant in Hay River from 1977 to 1985, except in 1978 when virtually no commercial fishing took place. Boxes of fish were randomly selected from the catches of the various fishermen as they arrived in the plant. All walleye in each of these boxes were sampled for later biological analysis. At least three boxes were sampled in order to provide a minimum sample size of 215 fish.

Walleye were sampled for fork length $( \pm 1$ $\mathrm{mm})$, weight $( \pm 50 \mathrm{~g})$, and aging structures (scales). Length was recorded as fork length when fish were sampled in the round (whole) or dressed (gutted) forms. Length of fish sampled in the headless dressed form was recorded and subsequently converted to fork length by the application of the following equation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Fork length }= & 6.659+1.235 \text { (headless } \\
& \text { length }) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Weight was recorded as round weight when fish were sampled in the round form. However when fish were in either the dressed or headless dressed form, weight was recorded and subsequently converted to round weight by the application of one of the following equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Round weight }= & 1.22 \text { (dressed weight) } \\
\text { Round weight }= & -11.105+1.235 \text { (headless } \\
& \text { dressed weight). }
\end{aligned}
$$

The previous equations were derived from sampling 215 walleye in 1983 for fork length, round weight, dressed weight, headless dressed length and weight and subsequently performing linear regression analysis on these data.

Scales were removed from the left side of the walleye from the area just posterior to the pectoral fin and stored dry in coin envelopes. Scales were later mounted between two glass slides and the completed annuli counted on the image produced by an Eberbach microprojector ( $\times 60$ ).

Length and age: Length- and age-frequencies were constructed to display catch composition by years. Student's t-test and Duncan's multiple range test were used to determine significant differences in age and length by year.

Growth: Weight-length relationships were calculated using least squares regression analysis on logarithmic transformations of fork length and round (or converted round) weights. Samples were initially compared between years and then pooled and compared with other locations. The relationship is described by the following equation:

$$
\log _{10} W=a+b\left(\log _{10} L\right)
$$

where $W=$ weight in grams
$\mathrm{L}=$ fork length in millimeters.
Mean fork length at age was plotted from samples taken in each year and visually compared. These data were then pooled and compared with growth curves from other locations.

Mortality: Instantaneous total mortality (Z) was calculated from the least squares regression line fitted to the descending limb of catch curves. Catch curves were fitted by eye and only that portion of the curve that appeared linear was included in the analysis. Moderate fluctuations in recruitment in different year classes tend to create an irregular shaped catch curve. To reduce these irregularities, samples from successive years were combined (Ricker 1975). Ricker (1975) indicated that the modal age in the catch curve will commonly lie quite close to the first year in which recruitment can be considered effectively complete. Therefore only the next older and subsequent age groups from the modal age were used.

Annual survival rate ( S ) and annual mortality rate (A) were calculated from $Z$. Instantaneous mortality rate ( $M$ ) was estimated to be 0.34 calculated from the annual natural mortality (v) which was assumed to be 0.20 (Smith and Pycha 1961; Shuter and Koonce 1977). Instantaneous fishing mortality rate ( $F$ ) was calculated from $Z=F+M$ (Ricker 1975).

Rate of exploitation: The rate of exploitation ( $\mu$ ) was calculated from the estimate of $F$ as $u=F A / Z$ after Ricker (1975), assuming that fishing and natural mortality operate concurrently.

## Yield-per-recruit

The Beverton and Holt (1957) yield-perrecruit model was applied to aid in the assessment of the commercial fishery in Kakisa Lake utilizing the data obtained from the commercial samples of walleye taken in 1977 and 1979 to 1985 (excluding 1981). Yield-per-recruit analyses were performed using the BEVHOLT and VONB programs described by Rivard (1980). The VONB program used the von Bertalanffy growth equation (Ricker 1975) described as:

$$
L_{t}=L\left(1-e^{\left.-K\left(t-t_{0}\right)\right)}\right.
$$

where $L_{t}=$ length at age $t$
$L=$ mean asymptotic length
$K=$ Brody growth coefficient
$t_{0}=$ hypothetical age at which a fish would have been zero length if it had always grown in a manner described by the equation.

This equation estimates the growth characteristics of the stock required for the Beverton and Holt model. The BEVHOLT program estimated the equilibrium yield including estimating population numbers and biomass, as well as catch numbers and weight from a given recruitment (Rivard 1980).

## POPULATION ASSESSMENT

## Experimental gillnetting

Experimental gillnetting was conducted in Kakisa Lake in July 1978 using standard gangs composed of panels of 47.5 m lengths each of 38 , $64,89,114$ and 139 mm mesh (stretched measure) nylon gillnets. A detailed description of the gillnets used is given in Appendix 1. A gap of 3 m was left between each panel to reduce leading of fish from one mesh size to another. Set locations were not chosen randomly but corres. ponded to the areas known to be utilized by commercial fishermen (Fig. 2). All sets were made on the bottom. The average set duration was 24 h . The catch was recorded by mesh size and by species. Biological samples were taken for later analysis. Catch per unit effort (CPE) was estimated as number and weight ( g ) of fish caught per 91 m net per 24 h .

Scientific names of all fish species caught followed Scott and Crossman (1973) as follows: walleye, Stizostedion vitreum (Mitchill); lake whitefish, Coregonus clupeaformis (Mitchill); lake cisco, Coregonus artedii Lesueur; northern pike, Esox Tucius (Linnaeus); white sucker, Catostomus commersoni (Lacepede); and longnose sucker, Catostomus catostomus (Forster).

## Biological evaluation

Sampling: All fish caught in the gillnets were sampled for fork length ( $\pm 1 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), round weight ( $\pm 10 \mathrm{~g}$ ), sex and stage of maturity. Sex and relative stage of maturity were determined by examination of the gonads. Relative stage of maturity was coded according to the stages described in Appendix 2. Subsequent to 1978 the maturity codes were rewritten and the codes assigned to walleye sampled in 1978 were altered to reflect this change. In this report, maturity stages coded 2 and 7 were omitted in the calculation of percent maturity since distinction between those fish that were virgin and those that were just resting could not be made when editing the 1978 codes. In the field it was also difficult to determine accurate maturity stages of walleye due to the July sampling period, i.e. post-spawning period. Gonads of spent walleye had already rejuvenated and were found similar in appearance to possible nonspawning (resting) walleye.

All fish caught in the gillnets were sampled for ageing structures (scales and fins). Scales were removed from the left side of the walleye from the area just posterior to the pectoral fin and from all other species as described by Hatfield et al. (1972a) and stored dry in coin envelopes. Scale ages were determined as described for walleye from the commercial samples. Dorsal fins were removed from a
sample of 95 walleye for comparison with scale ages. The fins were later embedded in epoxy, sectioned using a thin-sectioning machine and mounted on glass slides with a mounting medium DIATEX and the completed annuli counted using a disecting microscope ( $\times 30$ ). For each fish the ages determined from fins were then compared to the ages determined from scales from the same fish to assess the reliability of aging using scales.

A qualitative analysis of the food types consumed by walleye caught from the experimental gillnets was made by examination of stomach contents. The food type was identified as being either fish remains (species unknown), benthic invertebrates, zooplankton or unidentifiable remains (Appendix 29).

Length and age: Length- and age-frequency histograms were constructed to display catch composition by mesh size and by year.

Growth: Weight-length relationships were calculated as described for the commercial walleye samples. Mean fork length at age was plotted from samples taken in different years and from different locations and growth rates were compared visually.

Relative condition factor ( K ), a measure of the plumpness of a fish, was determined using the formula:

$$
K=\frac{W \times 10^{5}}{L^{3}}
$$

where $W=$ weight in grams
$L=$ fork length in millimeters.
Condition factors were compared between years (t-test and analysis of variance) where data were available.

Mortality: Instantaneous total mortality (Z) was calculated from a least squares regression line fitted to the descending limb of the catch curve as described for the commercial walleye samples. Annual survival rate $(S)$ and annual mortality rate (A) were calculated from $Z$.

## DATA ANALYSIS

Data were analyzed using an Andahl 5850 computer. Programs from Rivard (1980) were used for the Beverton and Holt yield-per-recruit model and Von Bertalanffy growth equation. The Statistical Analysis System (1982) was used for regression, t-tests and analysis of variance and to generate biological data summaries.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## COMMERCIAL FISHERY ASSESSMENT

## Commercial production

Landings: Commerciai landings of walleye since 1953 have annually averaged approximately

20100 kg (excluding catches taken during the six-year quota cycles from 1959 to 1967). Landings of walleye from 1953 to 1985 ranged from a low of 5095 kg to a high of 72365 kg (excluding 1978 harvest) (Table 1). Prior to 1959 and the introduction of the six-year quota cycle system, annual harvest was approximately 21900 kg . Fluctuations in landings during the 1950's are believed to be largely a result of effort rather than walleye abundance. The large catch taken in 1966 ( 72365 kg ) is believed to be the result of an increase in effort due to re-opening the lake to commercial fishing on a six-year quota cycle after a four year closure. Since resumption of an annual quota of 18700 kg in 1968 production has remained relatively constant (Fig. 3) averaging approximately 19400 kg annually. The noticeable harvesting over the allotted quota, in certain years, is primarily a result of enforcement logistics. Without constant monitoring of every delivery to the fish plant at Hay River, walleye landings from Kakisa Lake can often exceed the allowable catch.

The timing of the walleye harvest has altered since 1979 (Fig. 4). Fishing in 1979 and 1980 was mainly during the months of June, July and August and in 1981 extended into September and October. Since 1982, on average, approximately $90 \%$ of all walleye harvested were taken during the month of June from the area near the mouth of the Kakisa River downstream from Tathlina Lake (Fig. 2).

Yield: The commercial yield (kg.ha-1) of walleye has ranged from 0.15 to $2.18 \mathrm{~kg} \cdot \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ (excluding 1978) (Table 1). Since 1972 the yield of walleye has remained relatively constant. It has decreased from a cumulative average of $0.66 \mathrm{~kg} \cdot \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ in the 1950 's (1953 to 1958) to $0.58 \mathrm{~kg} \cdot \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ in the 1980 s (1980 to 1985). If it is assumed that the harvest of walleye by the domestic fishery on Kakisa Lake is $\leq 9000 \mathrm{~kg}$ as based on historic information (Kennedy 1962) then the total yield of walleye would be placed at $\leq 0.93 \mathrm{~kg} \cdot \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ in the $1950^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$ and $\leq 0.85 \mathrm{~kg} \cdot \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ in the 1980 's which is in the upper range for yields reported for other commercial walleye fisheries. In comparison, commercial walleye yields from five lakes in northern Saskatchewan averaged $0.88 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ (range $=$ $0.4-1.6 \mathrm{~kg} \cdot \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ ) (Rawson 1957a) and $0.20 \mathrm{~kg} \cdot \mathrm{ha}{ }^{-1}$ for Lac la Ronge, Saskatchewan (Rawson 1957b). Koshinsky (1965) estimated an average yield of $0.33 \mathrm{~kg} \cdot \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ (range $0.04-0.71 \mathrm{~kg} \cdot \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ ) for five Precambrian lakes near Lac la Ronge. Walleye yields calculated for seventy (70) lakes in northern Ontario averaged $0.49 \mathrm{~kg} \cdot \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ (Adams and 01ver 1977). Adams and 0iver (1977) determined that lakes in northern Ontario have a total percid sustainable yield of 1.00-1.25 $\mathrm{kg} \cdot \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ for moderate to intensively fished lakes.

## Catch per unit of effort (CPE)

Fishery observations conducted in June 1983 showed that walleye composed $83 \%$ of all fish caught (Table 2). All other species caught including northern pike, white sucker and burbot were culled on the lake. A total of 3057 walleye were caught using 5824 m of nets. The
average CPE was $80.0 \mathrm{~kg} / 91 \mathrm{~m}$ net $/ 24 \mathrm{~h}$ ( 95.5 fish/91 m net/24 h) (Table 2). In comparison, Regier et al. (1969) estimated CPE for walleye from western Lake Erie from 1948-61 to range from 0.38 to $5.69 \mathrm{~kg} / 91 \mathrm{~m}$ net for small mesh gillnets and from 0.62 to $15.25 \mathrm{~kg} / 91 \mathrm{~m}$ net for large mesh gillnets. Ryder's (1968) estimate of CPE for walleye from Nipigon Bay 1954-65 ranged from 0.45 to $3.00 \mathrm{~kg} / 91 \mathrm{~m}$ net $/ 24 \mathrm{~h}$. The very high CPE for walleye from Kakisa Lake is attributed to site specific fishing (Fig. 2). Fishermen, during the course of the interviews (21-25 June), set their nets in the area at the mouth of the Kakisa River leading from Tathlina Lake. They believed that the walleye spawning run located upstream in the river was about completed and the fish were moving back downstream and congregating in the river mouth in order to feed. Movement of walleye upstream to spawning areas and then back downstream subsequent to spawning is characteristic of many walleye populations (Colby et al. 1979; Thorn 1984; Bodaly 1980). In order to corroborate the high CPE from the Kakisa Lake commercial fishery, a net was set by DF0 personnel towards the centre of the lake during this time. The net caught only two walleye providing a CPE of $1.7 \mathrm{~kg} / 91 \mathrm{~m}$ net/24 $h$. Therefore the very high CPE for walleye from Kakisa Lake discussed earlier is considered to be biased due to the timing and location of the fishery and cannot be considered a reliable medsure of relative abundance as described by Ricker (1975).

## Biological evaluation

Length and age: Mean length of walleye from the commercial fishery from 1977 to 1985 ranged from 378 mm to 410 mm (Table 3) while modal length ranged from 360 mm to 420 mm (Fig. 5). Analysis of variance indicated a significant difference ( $P<0.01$ ) in mean length ( $F=18.6,5$ df) between years. Duncan's multiple range test performed on fork length for the years sampled indicated a significant difference ( $P<0.05$ ) for length of walleye between 1977-80 and 1983-85. There is a notable decrease in the percent occurrence since 1982 of walleye at length intervals $<350 \mathrm{~mm}$ and an increase at length intervals $4 \overline{1} 0-450 \mathrm{~mm}$ (Table 3).

There was no significant difference ( $P>0.05$ ) in the ages of walleye determined using scales and fins (Appendix 3). Eighty-five percent of the fish aged had only $\pm 0-1$ year difference. Therefore variability in aging walleye from Kakisa Lake using scales is assumed to be minimal.

Mean age of walleye ranged from 8.6 yr to 10.6 yr (Table 4). Modal age ranged from 9 to 11 yr (Fig. 6). Scale ages for the 1981 walleye sample were significantly different ( $P<0.001$ ) from other years and were not considered in the biological evaluation of the commercial fishery. This difference is attributed to incorrect sampling whereby scales were removed from the lower side of the fish resulting in the removal of smaller size scales. This resulted in difficulty in identifying individual annuli and in turn, caused the ages to be underestimated by 3-4 yr based on comparative age at size from other years.

Analysis of variance indicated a significant difference ( $P<0.01$ ) in mean age ( $F=227.8$, 5 df ) between years. Duncan's multiple range test performed on age for the years sampled indicated a significant difference for ages of walleye between 1977-79 and 1982-85. There is a noticeable shift in the age distribution since 1982 towards fish older than 11 yr and a concurrent decrease in fish age 8 yr and younger (Table 4).

The results of this study indicate a shift in distribution in the commercial harvest of walleye from 1977-80 to 1982-85 towards larger (Fig. 5) and older (Fig. 6) fish. However, it is interesting to note that the modal length and in particular the modal age has not significantly increased during this same time period. The commercial catch from 1977 to 1979 depended primarily on fish aged 7-9 yr (mode $=9 \mathrm{yr}$ ) while from 1980 to 1985 the catch was composed of 9-11 age groups (mode $=10 \mathrm{yr}$ ). Smith and Pycha (1961) found that the extreme variation in contribution of each age group of walleye from the commercial fishery from Red Lakes in different years was the function of both the strength and growth history of different year classes. Colby and Nepszy (1981) state that an increase in mean age can result from lack of recruitment or increased survival. In Kakisa Lake, the increase in mean age does not appear to be from a lack of recruitment since the modal age has remained relatively stable at 10 yr since 1980.

Strong year classes 1970 and 1971, possibly resulting from the lower harvests taken prior to and during those years, are apparent (Fig. 6) and may contribute to the increase in both the size and age of walleye. from 1977-79 to 1982-85. The poor representation of older fish (ages 12-15 yr) in 1977 may be related to an increase in exploitation of walleye during the late 1950's when harvest levels averaged 27000 kg (Table 1). Alm (1977) found that one strong year class in perch populations remains dominant for several years. In the case of dystrophic lakes a strong year class may remain predominant for approximately 15 years while in small eutrophic lakes it remains for less than 10 years. Parsons (1970), Smith (1977), and Smith and Pycha (1961) noted that fluctuations in year class strengths contributed to the variable walleye contributions to the Lake Nipissing fishery. Busch et al. (1975) demonstrated that Lake Erie walleye spawning success on lake shoals was important in determining year class strength. Ward and Clayton (1975) also found that the age distribution of walleye from West Blue Lake was unstable and probably reflected spawning success. Bodaly (1980), Chevalier (1977), Derksen (1967), Koonce et al. (1977), Nelson and Walberg (1977), 0lson and Scidmore (1962), Priegel (1970), and Spangler et al. (1977) indicate the importance of spring water levels and flows, water temperature and wind on walleye spawning success and the effects of these to the timing of the runs. However, abiotic factors contributing to variations in timing of the spawning and post-spawning runs of walleye from 1977 to 1985 are unknown and therefore the extent, if any, of their contributions to the differences in the size and age composition of the commercial catch during this same period is not assessed.

Studies have indicated that the sex ratio of walleye during the spawning runs varies (Rawson 1957b; Johnson 1971; Bodaly 1980). Falk et al. (1980) found that females caught in the fish weir in Mosquito Creek, NWT tended to be larger and older than male walleye. Other studies have shown that males tend to move onto the spawning grounds first and remain longer while females stay for shorter periods, probably just to spawn, and then migrate back out into the lake (Colby et al. 1979; Eschmeyer 1950; Rawson 1957b; Payne 1963; Priegel 1970; Bodaly 1980). It has also been found that there is a large amount of variation in the dispersal of individual fish away from spawning sites (Eschmeyer 1950; Eschmeyer and Crowe 1955; Rawson 1957b; Forney 1963; Bidgood 1967; Bodaly 1980). Therefore the size and age composition of walleye caught in the commercial fishery may vary depending upon the timing of the postspawning run since the Kakisa Lake fishermen fish the post-spawning run at the river mouths (Fig. 2), in particular since 1982 when on average over $90 \%$ of the walleye were harvested during June (Fig. 4). Unfortunately, the sex of walleye utilized by the commercial fishery are not able to be determined and therefore variations in size and age vs sex of the postspawning run cannot be assessed.

It has been noted since 1983 that fishing takes place only in the area around the mouth of the Kakisa River leading from Tathlina Lake. prior to this time it is believed that some fishing was done around the mouth area of the Muskeg River and possibly other areas around the lake. In 1977, the commercial catch consisted of samples from at least three different fishermen of which the mean size and age of walleye were significantly different. This difference may be the result of fish being taken from different areas (i.e. Kakisa and Muskeg rivers). This may be a contributing factor to the differences in size and age compositions noted between 1977-80 and 1982-85.

Another factor often noted to cause changes in the size and age composition of the catch is a change in gear, i.e. mesh size. Prior to 1981 the legal minimum mesh size utilized by the commercial fishery was 114 mm . In 1981, the mesh size was decreased to 108 mm although, during that year both 108 and 114 mm meshes were used while subsequently only 108 min mesh was utilized. However, with a decrease in mesh size an increase in mean length and age has occurred. Johnson (1976) states that size of fish taken in any mesh is dependent not wholly on the mesh size to select any particular size group but on the fish present. This would therefore indicate that regardless of the decrease in mesh size, the availability of smaller and younger fish has decreased.

Growth: Comparison of the weight-length relationships for walleye by year is shown in Table 5. Round weights (excluding 1981-82 headless dressod weights) were compared by analysis of variance. Means for all years were not significantly different ( $\mathrm{P}>0.01$ ).

Mean length-at-age of walleye from the commercial fishery is similar for all years
surveyed. Analysis of variance indicated no significant difference ( $P>0.05$ ) in mean length-at-age ( $F=0.33,5 \mathrm{df}$ ).

Colby et al. (1979) suggest that the growth rate of adult walleye is affected by temperature and the amount of food consumed. Food consumption in turn is related to forage abundance and population density. Moenig (1975) observed an increase in growth rate with exploitation while Colby et al. (1979) found that stocks undergoing heavy exploitation show a rapid increase in growth and result in a severe decline in abundance. Since very little change in growth has occurred from 1977 to 1985 this suggests that the Kakisa Lake walleye are not being over-exploited by the commercial fishery and that temperature and amount of food consumed appear not to have had a significant effect on growth during that time period.

The relative condition factor (K) of walleye is not significantly different ( $\mathrm{P}>0.05$ ) from 1977 to 1985 ranging from 1.20 to 1.27 for those fish sampled in the round weight form. Colby et al. (1979) presents $K$ values for walleye from various waters ranging from 0.81 to 1.85. Carlander (1944) indicates a $K$ value $>1.02$ to signify that walleye are in excellent condition. Food availability appears to be the main factor in determining the condition of adult walleye (Colby et al. 1979).

Mortality: Total instantaneous mortality ( $Z$ ), as derived from catch curves, are presented in Fig. 7. From 1977 to 1985 Z ranged from 0.64 to 1.19 (Table 6). Colby et al. (1979) found that $Z$ ranged from 0.14 to 1.83 for walleye in various lakes but the common rates ranged between 0.51 and 0.80 . Ney (1978) states that in exploited populations $Z$ ranged as high as 0.85. If a constant natural mortality rate ( $M=$ 0.34 ) is assumed then the changes in $Z$ would be the result of changes in fishing mortality (F).

There is a notable increase in $F$ from 1977 to 1979-80 with a resultant decrease in the harvest of fish older than 12 yr (Fig. 6). Then inexplicably in 1982 the catch takes largerolder fish with a resultant decrease in $F$. Throughout this time the commercial harvest levels have remained relatively constant, however, the extent, if any, of harvest by the domestic fishery is not known. If domestic fishing was increased this would have resulted in an increase in $F$. Subsequently, a change in the fishing location and strategy towards the harvest of larger-older fish would cause a change in mortality rates. As previously discussed there may be a size-age difference between fish harvested from the Kakisa and Muskeg rivers. If the fishermen altered the locations fished and directed their efforts to harvesting the larger-older post-spawners, this may be a reason for the decrease in $F$ since 1982. The decrease in mesh size from 114 mm to 108 mm mesh in 1981 is not believed to cause any significant change in the size-age composition of fish being commercially exploited and therefore in $F$.

## Yield per recruit

Beverton and Holt (1957) yield-per-recruit curves for the years 1977 to 1985 (excluding 1978 and 1981) indicate the optimal ( $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$ ) and maximum ( $F_{\text {max }}$ ) levels of fishing mortalities for each year (Fig. 8). Optimum fishing mortality ranged from 0.40 to 0.55 . In 1977 calculated fishing mortality was less than $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$. From 1979 to 1983 fishing mortality exceeded $F_{0.1}$ Subsequently in 1984 and 1985, $F$ was considerably lower than Fo.1. Maximum fishing mortality ( $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{max}}$ ) was extremely high in all years analyzed ranging from 11.6 to 12.6. This excessively large $F_{\text {max }}$ indicates that the yield-per-recruit curves are nearly asymptotic and that the calculated value of $F_{\text {max }}$ and the corresponding derivatives (i.e. $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$ ) may be inaccurate (Rivard 1980).

Optimum fishing mortality ( $F_{0,1}$ ) values were substituted into the Baranov catch equation to calculate conservative estimates of total allowable catch (Table 7). The annual yields calculated ranged from 11734 kg to 31904 kg (mean $=19884 \mathrm{~kg}$ ). $\quad$ Ricker (1975) identifies and explains the limitations of the Baranov catch equation as it applies to the relationship between equilibrium yield to stock size and rate of fishing. The equation can be used, at best, as an approximation of total allowable catch (Kristofferson et al. 1982). However, factors affecting the reliability of these estimates include the significant variability in the calculated fishing mortalities ( $F$ ), the possible inaccuracy of the $F_{0.1}$ values and the unknown extent of harvest by the domestic fishery. Estimates of total allowable catch must therefore be designed to be conservative and be based, to a large extent, on the past history of the fishery in order to ensure the continual sustainability of the fishery and not just on mathematical models and equations.

## POPULATION ASSESSMENT

## Experimental gilinetting

A total of 1712 fish were caught from the seven (7) experimental gillnet gang sets in Kakisa Lake during 1978 (Fig. 2). Walleye ( $84.1 \%$ ), northern pike ( $6.9 \%$ ), and lake whitefish ( $4.0 \%$ ) composed $95 \%$ of the total catch (Table 8). Other species included least cisco, longnose sucker, white sucker and burbot. Overall catch per unit of effort (CPE) was 148.7 fish per 91 m of gillnet per 24 h . Compared to the catch composition in 1946 (Kennedy 1962) and 1968 (Johnson 1976; Moshenko 1980) walleye still remains the dominant fish species in Kakisa Lake.

Catch per unit effort for walleye in 1978 ranges from 10.4 fish/91 $m$ net $/ 24 \mathrm{~h}$ in the 139 mm mesh to $302.8 \mathrm{fish} / 91 \mathrm{~m}$ net/ 24 h in the 64 mm mesh (Table 9). Availability of walleye by mesh size has changed since the start of commercial fishing in 1946. Comparison of catches in 1946 and 1978 shows an increase in CPE in the 38 and 64 mm mesh and a slight decrease in CPE in the 139 mm mesh from 12.0 fish per unit effort to 10.4 fish per unit effort (Table 9). This is
probably the result of fishing down the number of larger fish in the stock.

There is a significant difference ( $P<0.05$ ) between mean length (Fig. 9) and mean age (Fig. 10) of walleye caught by each mesh size but the modal length and age vary only slightly. Johnson (1976) states that this results from each mesh size having a minimum size of fish that it retains but no maximum. However, there is a definite bimodal distribution in the 114 mm mesh and possibly the 139 mm mesh although the small sample size in the latter mesh size makes comparisons difficult. This tends to suggest that the larger mesh sizes select for largerolder walleye as well as smaller-younger fish, however it may be that the larger meshes catch small fish by hooking the teeth and spines while the larger-size fish are caught by gilling. Johnson (1976) however, believed that the size of fish taken in any mesh size is dependent on the fish present rather than on the selectivity of the net to any particular size.

The modal size of walleye caught by each mesh size has remained remarkably constant over time. When the 1978 data are grouped into 5 cm length intervals and compared with that found in 1968 there is little variation between meshes, in particular the $38-89 \mathrm{~mm}$ meshes (Fig. 11). The skewness towards the left of the length distribution of walleye taken in the 114 mm mesh in 1968 and the lack of a bimodal distribution are probably due to the removal of larger-sized fish by heavy exploitation by the commercial fishery in 1966. Therefore, it seems apparent that the segment of the stock which has been most affected by commercial exploitation since the 1960's is the larger-older fish although the extent is not believed to be extensive.

The 64 mm mesh generally caught greater than twice as many walleye as did any other mesh size and had the highest mean biomass (Fig. 12). Mean number of fish and mean biomass declined rapidly from the 64 mm to the 139 mm mesh. Frequency of immature walleye were found to decline with an increase in mesh size (Table 10). The increase in percent frequency in the 114 and 139 mm is not considered significant due to small sample sizes. Lysack (1980) found the percent of immature walleye from northern Lake Winnipeg to decline with increasing mesh size as well. Unfortunately, no data is available on the mean number of fish, mean biomass or the frequency of immature walleye caught in the 108 mm mesh, the gear currently utilized in the commercial fishery. However, it is assumed that these missing values would be less than that found in the 114 mm mesh, but greater than that for the 89 mm mesh. It is suggested therefore that the large legal minimum mesh size of 108 mm used in the Kakisa Lake commercial fishery is protecting a large pre-recruited fraction of the stock consisting of small and immature fish. This large fraction of the total biomass is required in order to sustain the reproductive capacity necessary for a sustainable commercial fishery.

## Biological evaluation

Length, age and maturity: Walleye caught by the experimental gillnets in 1978 ranged from

120 mm to 560 mm fork length (mean $=337 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) (Table 11). The larger mean length of males and females compared to the combined is due, in part, to unsexed fish as well as to those fish sexed but classified as maturing and therefore omitted from the sexed calculations. In comparison, the mean length of walleye in 1946 (Kennedy 1962) and 1968 (Moshenko 1980) was 428-453 mm (inean length group) and 325 mm , respectively. Modal lengths between 1968 and 1978 were similar, $(300-350 \mathrm{~mm})$ while the modal length of walleye sampled in 1946 was 450 mm (Fig. 13). The decrease in modal size from 1946 to 1968 is attributed to the fishing down of the larger and older walleye present prior to the onset of commercial exploitation.

Mean age of walleye in 1978 was 7.6 yr . The difference between mean age of males and females and the combined age is due to the exclusion in the calculation of unsexed fish and fish sexed but classified as maturing (Table 12). In comparison the mean age of walleye in 1968 (Moshenko 1980) and 1946 (Kennedy 1962) was 7.1 and 8.4 yr respectively. There was no change in the modal age of 8 yr between 1946, 1968 and 1978 (Fig. 14). However, there is a decrease in percentage of older fish from 1946 to 1978. The paucity of older fish in 1968 may be due to heavy exploitation of that segment of the population in 1966 when the lake was again reopened to commercial fishing (Table 1).

Age at maturity varies considerably between walleye stocks and generally correlates inversely with growth rate (Colby et al. 1979). Northern stocks are found to mature later and over a greater number of years than southern stocks (Colby et al. 1979) and heavily exploited stocks mature earlier than lightly or unexploited stocks (Wolfert 1969; Spangler et al. 1977). Kennedy (1962) states that most 7 yr old walleye taken from Kakisa Lake in 1946 were mature. In 1978 walleye were identified as mature as young as age 4 yr (Table 12) and 166 mm fork length (Table 11), and were not completely mature until age 10 yr and 394 mm fork length.

The earlier maturity found in 1946 may be due to the sampling of the faster growing segment of the population available prior to commercial exploitation. Forney (1965) found a trend toward an earlier maturity within the more rapidly growing walleye from Oneida Lake, New York. In comparison, Rawson (1957b) reported that few walleye in Lac la Ronge, Saskatchewan, spawned at age 5 but the majority were mature at age 8-10 yr.

Scott and Crossman (1973) and Colby et a1. (1979) report that male walleye mature at an earlier age than females. Male and female walleye, in 1978, were found to be mature as young as age 4 yr (Table 12) and at 178 mm and 166 mm , respectively (Table 11). Male walleye were completely mature at age 10 yr and 384 mm while female were age 10 yr and 394 mm . In comparison, Bond et al. (1978) reported that male walleye do not spawn before reaching age 7 yr and females before age 8 yr in the Hay River, NWT. During the spawning run of walleye into the Mosquito Creek, NWT from 1973-78, males were found to be mature ranging from 6-17 yr and
females from 8-16 yr (Falk et al. 1980).
Growth: The weight-length relationship for walleye (sexes combined) from Kakisa Lake, 1978 was determined to be:
$\log _{10} W=-4.518+2.831 \log _{10} L$
There was no significant difference ( $P>0.05$ ) between male and female walleye. In comparison, Colby et al. (1979) found no significant difference between the weight-length relationships of males and females in various other waters studied.

Increases in weight for a given length for walleye in 1978 were not notably different from that found for walleye in 1968. No comparison could be made with those caught in 1946 due to the differences in the data recording formats. This lack of a difference in growth between 1968 and 1978 indicates that Kakisa Lake walleye are not being heavily exploited. Increases in growth rates have been observed in walleye stocks undergoing heavy exploitation which ultimately resulted in severe declines in abundance (Colby et al. 1979; Spangler 1977).

Growth rate for Kakisa Lake walleye is compared with that of walleye from other lakes (Fig. 15). Kakisa Lake walleye appear to be slow growing in this comparison. Their growth is similar to that found for walleye from Tathlina Lake, NWT (M. Roberge, DFO, Western Region, unpublished data) but lower than that found for walleye from Dogface Lake, NWT (M. Roberge, DFO, Western Region, unpublished data), Hay River, NWT (Bond et al. 1978) and other southern populations. The Kakisa Lake growth rate appears to decline after the walleye reach approximately 13 yr of age. This low growth rate is probably related to the northern location of Kakisa Lake. Colby et al. (1979) found that the growth rate of walleye decreases with increasing latitude. They also found that growth inay be affected by temperature, forage abundance and population density.

Mean condition factor (K) was 1.15 in 1978; 1.15 for males and 1.14 for females (Table 12).

Mortality: Catch curve analysis indicated a good fit to the regression line fitted to that portion of the descending limb of the curve considered, by visual observation, to be linear (Fig. 16). Fluctuations in the descending limb are probably due to variability in recruitment. Instantaneous total mortality ( $Z$ ), calculated from the slope of the straight line fitted to the data, was estimated to be 0.80 (Fig. 16). Annual survival rate $(S)$ is low at 0.45 . In comparison instantaneous mortality rate ( $Z$ ) was 0.48 in 1946 (Kennedy 1962) and $S$ was 0.62 . Instantaneous mortality rate ( $Z$ ) was not estimated for 1968 due to the non-representation of older age classes in the sample. If an instantaneous natural mortality ( $M$ ) is assumed to be 0.34 then the difference in 1946 represents an instantaneous fishing mortality of 0.14 which may reflect of exploitation by domestic fishing which took place prior and subsequent to commencement of commercial fishing.

## CONCLUSIONS

Commercial production of walleye from Kakisa Lake has fluctuated since the establishment of the fishery in 1946. Unfortunately, no production records are available for the first seven years but it is believed that walleye were readily available. The fluctuations in commercial production from 1953 to 1985, excluding the harvest taken during the six year quota cycles, are attributed to variations in fishing effort rather than changes in abundance.

Since 1977 the monitoring and biological samples of the commercial fishery have provided continuous information on the harvest and biological status of the exploited segment of the walleye population. Over-exploitation of this segment has not been demonstrated to date. Growth rates have not increased but remain relatively unchanged as does the relative condition of walleye. Recruitment overfishing is not evident with the modal size and age not having altered to any great extent over the sampling period even though the mean size and age have increased significantly from 1977 to 1985. The differences from year to year may be due to changes in the timing and location of fishing with some influence caused by fluctuations in year class strength. The impact of abiotic factors such as water temperature and flow, etc. on year class strength are not known. Fluctuations in instantaneous total mortality are considered due to changes in fishing mortality if a constant natural mortality is assumed. These changes may result from changes in the timing and location of fishing providing for the harvest of larger-older fish. The effects of the gear (mesh size) change on fishing mortality are not believed to be significant in this instance. However, a factor which may have had a significant effect in bringing about changes in fishing mortality is the impact by the domestic fishery. Unfortunately, the extent of this fishery during this time is unknown and thus the amount of its influence cannot be assessed.

The commercial exploitation of walleye since 1946 does not appear to have had an impact on the fish community at large; walleye still remained the dominant fish species of Kakisa Lake in 1978. However, it did result initially in fishing down the larger-older walleye in the population. The possible effect of what longterm heavy exploltation can do is evident in 1968 by the paucity of older fish after a few years. Growth rates have not altered significantly from 1968 to 1978 while age-at-maturity has not decreased since 1946; these two responses are indicative that the stock was not subjected to long-term over-exploitation.

Application of the Beverton and Holt yield-per-recruit model also verified that the Kakisa Lake walleye were not being overexploited from 1977 to 1985, assuming that the model accurately portrays the response of walleye to exploitation. The estimates of total allowable catch (TAC), calculated using the Baranov equation and considering the limitations of the catch equation and the possible inaccura-
cy of the $F_{0.1}$ values used, should only be used as a guideline when determining the TAC. Setting a high quota, as shown in 1968, can have serious effects on walleye and may lead to over-exploitation with a resultant demise of a valuable fishery in the NWT. Therefore, considering the past history of the fishery and using the estimated TAC as a guideline, the commercial TAC should not exceed 20000 kg . This TAC is conservative since an allowance must be made for the harvest by the domestic fishery which has not been included in this estimate.

The decrease in mesh size from 114 mm to 108 mm does not appear to have had any significant effect on the exploited segment of the population. Unfortunately, information as to the frequency of immature walleye caught by the 108 mm mesh is unavailable. Assuming that it would be less than that found for the 114 mm mesh but greater than that for the 89 mm mesh, it is believed that the minimum mesh size of 108 mm is protecting a large fraction of the prerecruits which is necessary to sustain a longterm commercial fishery.

## MANAGEMENT RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Total allowable commercial catch should not exceed 20000 kg assuming that the domestic catch is $<9000 \mathrm{~kg}$.
2. Annual monitoring and biological sampling of the commercial catch should continue in order to provide information on the status of the exploited walleye stock.
3. Annual monitoring of the domestic fishery should be initiated in order to provide a current estimate of the domestic harvest.
4. The TAC should be reviewed within five years and adjustments made pending any observed changes in fishing strategies and stock composition.
5. Research into the population dynamics of walleye in its northern range is needed including the effects of exploitation.
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Table 1. Annual catch and yield of walleye from the commercial fishery, Kakisa Lake, 1953-1985.

| Season ${ }^{1}$ | Quota (kg) | Catch (kg) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yield } \\ & \left(\mathrm{kg} \cdot h a^{-1}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1953 | 91000 | 16286 | 0.49 |
| 1954 |  | 32563 | 0.98 |
| 1955 | " | 5095 | 0.15 |
| 1956 | " | 29616 | 0.89 |
| 1957 | " | 25884 | 0.78 |
| 1958 |  | 22160 | 0.67 |
| 1959 | $89000^{2}$ | 32027 | 0.97 |
| 1960 | , | 25414 | 0.77 |
| 1961 | " | 17878 | 0.54 |
| 1962 | closed | - | 0.5 |
| 1963 | closed | - | - |
| 1964 | closed | - | - |
| 1965 |  | - | - |
| 1966 | $8900{ }^{3}$ | 72365 | 2.18 |
| 1967 | " | 27124 | 0.82 |
| 1968 | $18700^{4}$ | 15741 | 0.48 |
| 1969 | " | 15169 | 0.46 |
| 1970 | " | 14534 | 0.44 |
| 1971 | " | 28851 | 0.87 |
| 1972 | " | 21813 | 0.66 |
| 1973 | " | 21537 | 0.65 |
| 1974 | " | 20155 | 0.61 |
| 1975 | " | 20200 | 0.61 |
| 1976 | " | 17374 | 0.52 |
| 1977 | " | 19745 | 0.60 |
| 1978 | " | 278 | 0.01 |
| 1979 | " | 19808 | 0.60 |
| 1980 | " | 18727 | 0.54 |
| 1981 | " | 18144 | 0.55 |
| 1982 | " | 17501 | 0.53 |
| 1983 | " | 21874 | 0.66 |
| 1984 | " | 19278 | 0.58 |
| 1985 | " | 20443 | 0.62 |

${ }^{1}$ Season extends from November 1 of the previous year to October 31 of the year listed.
${ }^{2}$ Quota based on six year cycle: 2 years open, 4 years closed. In 1961 the lake was left open in order to allow for harvesting of the remainder of quota not taken in 1959-60.
${ }^{3}$ Quota based on six-year cycle.
4 Reverted back to annual quota.
Table 2. Catch and catch per unit effort for all fish combined (total) and walleye from fishery

| Date | Duration of Set (h) | No. of Gangs | Total No. of Nets | Total Net Length (m) | Catch |  | CPE |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total (no.) | Walleye (no.) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & (\text { no. })^{1} \end{aligned}$ | Walleye |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (no.) ${ }^{1}$ | $(\mathrm{kg})^{2}$ |
| June 21 | 12 | 2 | 4 | 364 | 368 | 338 | 184.0 | 169.0 | 141.6 |
|  | 12 | 1 | 4 | 364 | 359 | 335 | 179.5 | 167.5 | 140.4 |
|  | 12 | 2 | 4 | 364 | 285 | 239 | 142.5 | 119.5 | 100.1 |
| June 22 | 12 | 2 | 4 | 364 | 252 | 194 | 126.0 | 97.0 | 81.3 |
|  | 12 | 2 | 4 | 364 | 220 | 188 | 110.0 | 94.0 | 78.8 |
|  | 12 | 1 | 4 | 364 | 448 | 387 | 224.0 | 193.5 | 162.2 |
|  | 12 | 1 | 4 | 364 | 73 | 49 | 36.5 | 24.5 | 20.5 |
|  | 12 | 2 | 4 | 364 | 275 | 197 | 137.5 | 98.5 | 82.5 |
|  | 12 | 2 | 4 | 364 | 131 | 100 | 65.5 | 50.0 | 41.9 |
| June 23 | 12 | 2 | 4 | 364 | 210 | 137 | 105.0 | 68.5 | 57.4 |
|  | 12 | 1 | 4 | 364 | 292 | 220 | 146.0 | 110.0 | 92.2 |
|  | 12 | 2 | 4 | 364 | 155 | 101 | 77.5 | 50.5 | 42.3 |
| June 25 | 12 | 3 | 8 | 728 | 262 | 238 | 65.5 | 59.5 | 49.9 |
|  | 12 | 4 | 8 | 728 | 371 | 334 | 92.8 | 83.5 | 70.0 |
| Total |  | 27 | 64 | 5824 | 3701 | 3057 | 115.7 | 95.5 | 80.0 |

${ }^{1}$ No. of fish/91 m net/24 h.
${ }^{2} \mathrm{Kg}$ fish/91 m net/24 h.

Table 3. Mean length and length-frequency of walleye from the commercial fishery, Kakisa Lake, 1977-85.

| Year | No. of Fish | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { Length (mm) } \end{gathered}$ | Percent |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\leq 350$ | 360-400 | 410-450 | 460-500 | $>500$ |
| 1977 | 460 | 393 | 22 | 47 | 25 | 5 | 1 |
| 1978 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1979 | 196 | 378 | 26 | 62 | 11 | 1 | - |
| 1980 | 111 | 389 | 19 | 58 | 22 | - | 2 |
| 1981 | 213 | 396 | 13 | 57 | 27 | 1 | 1 |
| 1982 | 210 | 398 | 10 | 55 | 33 | 1 | - |
| 1983 | 210 | 410 | 3 | 45 | 51 | 1 | - |
| 1984 | 211 | 405 | 2 | 59 | 36 | 3 | - |
| 1985 | 210 | 409 | 2 | 51 | 41 | 5 | <1 |

Table 4. Mean age and age frequency of walleye from the commercial fishery, Kakisa Lake, 1977-85.

| Year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. of } \\ & \text { Fish } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { Age }(y r) \end{gathered}$ | Percent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
| 1977 | 357 | 8.6 | <1 | 8 | 22 | 22 | 24 | 11 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1978 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1979 | 177 | 8.6 | - | 2 | 8 | 33 | 46 | 8 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - |
| 1980 | 107 | 9.5 | - | - | 7 | 12 | 29 | 38 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1981 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1982 | 201 | 10.4 | - | <1 | 2 | 10 | 11 | 20 | 34 | 15 | 5 | - | <1 |
| 1983 | 201 | 9.9 | - | - | 2 | 15 | 23 | 25 | 20 | 10 | 3 | <1. | - |
| 1984 | 205 | 10.6 | - | - | - | 1 | 20 | 31 | 22 | 16 | 7 | 2 |  |
| 1985 | 201 | 10.6 | - | <1 | - | 1 | 15 | 33 | 32 | 7 | 6 | 2 | 2 |

Table 5. Weight-length relationship, $\log _{10} W=a+b\left(\log _{10} L\right)$, for walleye from Kakisa Lake, 1977-85.

| Year | No. <br> of <br> Fish | Y-intercept <br> (a) | Slope <br> (b) | Standard <br> Error of $b$ <br> $\left(S_{b}\right)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1977 | 169 | -4.11 | 2.68 | 0.06 |
| 1979 | - | - | - | - |
| 1980 | 106 | -4.38 | 2.78 | 0.06 |
| $1981^{1}$ | 196 | -2.14 | 1.95 | 0.18 |
| $1982^{1}$ | 200 | -2.87 | 2.23 | 0.09 |
| 1983 | 209 | -3.94 | 2.62 | 0.08 |
| 1984 | 210 | -3.37 | 2.41 | 0.07 |
| 1985 | 200 | -3.43 | 2.44 | 0.08 |

${ }^{1}$ Converted lengths and weights.

Table 7. Estimated yield of walleye at $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$ using the Baranov equation for Kakisa Lake, 1977-85.

| Year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Catch } \\ & (\mathrm{kg}) \end{aligned}$ | Instantaneous <br> Total Mortality <br> (catch curve) <br> Z | Instantaneous Fishing Mortality $(z-0.34)$ <br> F | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Population } \\ & \text { Size }(\mathrm{kg}) \\ & N=\frac{C Z}{F A} \end{aligned}$ | ```Optimum Instantaneous Fishing Mortality F0.1``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Catch (kg) } \\ & \text { at } F_{0.1} \\ & C=\frac{N F A}{2} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1977 | 19745 | 0.62 | 0.28 | 95046 | 0.40 | 26716 |
| 1979 | 19808 | 1.18 | 0.84 | 40327 | 0.49 | 13332 |
| 1980 | 18727 | 1.19 | 0.85 | 37454 | 0.45 | 11734 |
| 1982 | 17501 | 0.92 | 0.58 | 46267 | 0.40 | 13005 |
| 1983 | 21874 | 0.97 | 0.63 | 54321 | 0.48 | 17807 |
| 1984 | 19278 | 0.64 | 0.30 | 87503 | 0.55 | 31904 |
| 1985 | 20443 | 0.66 | 0.32 | 87841 | 0.40 | 24690 |


|  |  | Mesh Size (min) |  |  |  |  | Total Catch | CPE ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 38 | 64 | 89 | 114 | 139 |  |  |
| Walleye | $\underset{\%}{\text { No. }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 285 \\ & 19.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 697 \\ & 48.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 377 \\ & 26.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 56 \\ 3.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24 \\ 1.7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1439 \\ & \\ & \\ & 84.1 \end{aligned}$ | 125.0 |
| Northern pike | $\underset{\%}{\text { No. }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 21.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 46 \\ & 39.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37 \\ & 31.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & 6.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 1.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 118 \\ 6.9 \end{gathered}$ | 10.3 |
| Lake whitefish | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ 13.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 21.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36 \\ & 52.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 8.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 4.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 69 \\ 4.0 \end{gathered}$ | 6.0 |
| Lake cisco | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29 \\ 100.0 \end{gathered}$ | - | - | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 29 \\ 1.7 \end{gathered}$ | 2.5 |
| Longnose sucker | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ 26.7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ 26.7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ 33.3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ 13.3 \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 0.9 \end{aligned}$ | 1.3 |
| White sucker | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | ${ }_{9.8}^{4}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ 12.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 48.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 9.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{8}{19.5}$ | $\begin{gathered} 41 \\ 2.4 \end{gathered}$ | 3.6 |
| Burbot | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ 100.0 \end{gathered}$ | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 0.1 \end{aligned}$ | 0.1 |
| Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 356 \\ & 20.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 767 \\ 44.8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 476 \\ 27.8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 76 \\ & 4.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 37 \\ 2.2 \end{gathered}$ | 1712 | 148.7 |

[^0]Table 9. Catch per unit effort (no. fish/91 met/24 $h$ ) by mesh size of walleye from Kakisa Lake, 1946 and 1978.

| Year | Mesh Size (mm) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 38 | 64 | 89 | 114 | 120 | 139 |
| 1946 (Kennedy 1962) ${ }^{\text {l }}$ | 6.0 | 76.0 | - | - | 36.0-64.0 | 12.0 |
| 1978 (this study) | 123.8 | 302.8 | 163.8 | 24.3 | - | 10.4 |

Table 10. Percent occurrence of immature male and female walleye in each mesh size, Kakisa Lake, 1978.

| Sex |  | Mesh Size (mm) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 38 | 64 | 89 | 114 | 139 |
| Male | No. | 146 | 311 | 191 | 34 | 11 |
|  | \% Immature | 27.4 | 25.4 | 13.6 | 23.5 | 18.2 |
| Female | No. | 83 | 287 | 156 | 22 | 12 |
|  | \% Immature | 12.0 | 11.8 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 25.0 |
| Combined | No. | 229 | 598 | 347 | 56 | 23 |
|  | \% Immature | 21.8 | 18.9 | 9.2 | 14.3 | 21.7 |



Table 12. Biological data by age group for walleye caught in experimental gillnets, Kakisa Lake, 1978 .

| $\begin{aligned} & A G E \\ & (Y R) \end{aligned}$ | MALES |  |  |  |  |  |  | FEMALES |  |  |  |  |  |  | COMBINED |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LENGTH(MM) |  |  | WEIGHT (G) |  |  \% <br> K MAT  |  | LENGTH(MM) |  |  | WEIGHT (G) |  | K | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { MAT } \end{gathered}$ | LENGTH (MM) |  |  | WE I GHT (G) |  | K | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { MAT } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | N | MEAN | SD | MEAN | SD |  |  | N | MEAN | SD | MEAN | SD |  |  | N | MEAN | SD | MEAN | SD |  |  |
| 4 | 1 | 224 | - | 100 | - | 0.89 | - | 3 | 199 | 14.7 | 92 | 14 | 1. 16 | 67 | 13 | 212 | 27.2 | 113 | 38 | 1.19 | 17 |
| 5 | 16 | 275 | 28.9 | 245 | 90 | 1.13 | 40 | 3 | 268 | 41.8 | 233 | 115 | 1. 12 | 33 | 40 | 264 | 26.7 | 221 | 73 | 1.17 | 15 |
| 6 | 31 | 290 | 29.2 | 290 | 80 | 1.19 | 57 | 23 | 297 | 29.7 | 307 | 102 | 1.13 | 67 | 69 | 291 | 26.9 | 286 | 83 | 1.15 | 43 |
| 7 | 63 | 329 | 22.9 | 418 | 100 | 1. 16 | 54 | 47 | 330 | 21.2 | 398 | 79 | 1.11 | 78 | 125 | 326 | 24.7 | 398 | 95 | 1.14 | 53 |
| 8 | 81 | 350 | 22.3 | 501 | 97 | 1. 16 | 62 | 68 | 357 | 21.7 | 528 | 100 | 1.15 | 93 | 155 | 352 | 23.4 | 507 | 102 | 1.15 | 72 |
| 9 | 27 | 368 | 23.8 | 576 | 105 | 1.14 | 86 | 24 | 379 | 20.9 | 619 | 96 | 1.13 | 100 | 53 | 372 | 23.3 | 593 | 103 | 1.14 | 89 |
| 10 | 4 | 387 | 3.3 | 644 | 59 | 1.11 | 100 | 7 | 391 | 24.7 | 696 | 116 | 1. 16 | 100 | 11 | 390 | 19.3 | 677 | 99 | 1.14 | 100 |
| 11 | 3 | 413 | 19.4 | 808 | 170 | 1.14 | 100 | 2 | 417 | 41.7 | 850 | 212 | 1.17 | 100 | 5 | 414 | 25.1 | 825 | 162 | 1.15 | 100 |
| 12 | 6 | 446 | 23.6 | 942 | 140 | 1.06 | 100 | 3 | 452 | 9.3 | 1000 | 50 | 1.08 | 100 | 9 | 448 | 19.5 | 961 | 117 | 1.07 | 100 |
| 13 | 2 | 469. | 16.3 | 1013 | 88 | 0.98 | 100 | 2 | 494 | 9.2 | 1313 | 53 | 1.09 | 100 | 4 | 481 | 18.0 | 1163 | 183 | 1.04 | 100 |
| 14 | 3 | 493 | 24.1 | 1242 | 52 | 1.04 | 100 | 2 | 513 | 26.9 | 1413 | 265 | 1.04 | 100 | 5 | 501 | 24.2 | 1310 | 166 | 1.04 | 100 |
| 15 | 1 | 487 | - | 1200 | - | 1.04 | 100 | 1 | 498 | - | 1400 | - | 1. 13 | 100 | 2 | 493 | 7.8 | 1300 | 141 | 1.09 | 100 |
| 16 | 5 | 487 | 27.2 | 1325 | 177 | 1.15 | 100 | 2 | 541 | 32.5 | 1600 | 106 | 1.02 | 100 | 7 | 503 | 36.9 | 1404 | 202 | 1.11 | 100 |
| TOTAL | 243 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 187 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 498 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MEAN MEAN | AGE | $\begin{aligned} & 343 \\ & 7.9 \end{aligned}$ | 52 | 493 | 232 | 1.15 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 351 \\ & 7.9 \end{aligned}$ | 53.3 | 519 | 249 | 1.13 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 337 \\ & 7.6 \end{aligned}$ | 56.8 | 469 | 242 | 1.15 |  |


Fig. 1. Map of the southwest portion of the Northwest Territories showing the location of Kakisa Lake.

Fig. 2. Map of Kakisa Lake depicting the commercial fishing areas (1977-85) and the location of the
experimental gillnet sites.



Fig. 4. Monthly percent occurrence of annual commercial harvest from Kakisa Lake, 1979-85.


Fig. 5. Length-frequency histograms for walleye caught in the commercial fishery, Kakisa Lake, 1977-85.


Fig. 6. Age-frequency histograms for walleye caught in the commercial fishery, Kakisa Lake, 1977-85.


Fig. 7. Catch curves for walleye caught in the commercial fishery, Kakisa Lake, 1977-85.




Fig. 8. Yield per recruit curves, depicting present rate of fishing, $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$ and $F_{\text {max }}$, for walleye from Kakisa Lake, 1977-85.


Fig. 9. Length-frequency histograms for walleye caught in experimental gillnets, by mesh size, from Kakisa Lake, 1978.


Fig. 10. Age-frequency histograms for walleye caught in experimental gillnets, by mesh size, from Kakisa Lake, 1978.


Fig. 11. Comparison of length-frequency histograms for walleye caught in experimental gillnets, by mesh size, from Kakisa Lake, 1968 (---) and 1978 (-).


Fig. 12. Mean number of fish and biomass of walleye caught in experimental gillnets, by mesh size, from Kakisa Lake, 1978.


Fig. 13. Comparison of length-frequency histograms for walleye from Kakisa Lake, 1946, 1968 and 1978.


Fig. 14. Comparison of age-frequency histograms for walleye from Kakisa Lake, 1946, 1968 and 1978.


Fig. 15. Age-length relationship for walleye from Kakisa Lake, 1978 compared with other walleye populations.


Fig. 16. Catch curves for walleye caught in experimental gillnets from Kakisa Lake, 1946, 1968 and 1978.

Appendix 1. Length, mesh depth, net depth and twine size of each mesh size composing the experimental gillnet gang.

| Mesh Size <br> $(\mathrm{mm})$ | Length <br> $(\mathrm{m})$ | Meshes <br> Deep | Approx. <br> Depth $(\mathrm{m})$ | Twine <br> Size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 38 | 45.7 | 60 | 1.9 | $210 / 2$ |
| 64 | 45.7 | 36 | 1.9 | $210 / 2$ |
| 89 | 45.7 | 24 | 1.8 | $210 / 3$ |
| 114 | 45.7 | 20 | 1.9 | $210 / 3$ |
| 139 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Appendix 2. A description of the relative stages of maturity used for northern fish in 1972-78 and 1979 on.

| 1972-78 ${ }^{1}$ |  | 1979 on ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{\text { Sex }}{F M}$ | Maturity Stage | Maturity Stage | Female | Male |
| 1 6 | Immature - virgin fish, gonad thin and threadlike, often incomplete <br> Maturing - virgin or non-virgin fish not spawning in current year, gonad full length, firm, egtgs of small size, gonads partially filling body cavity | Immature Mature | 1 - ovaries granular in texture, up to full length in body cavity, hard and triangular in shape, firm membrane; eggs distinguishable <br> 2 - current year's spawner; ovaries fill body cavity; eggs nearing full size but not loose | 6 - testes puttylike firmness, tubular and scalloped in in shape, long and thin, and may be full length in body cavity <br> 7 - current year's spawner; testes large and lobate; white-purplish in colour; milt not expelled by pressure |
| 38 | Mature - fish spawning in current year, gonad full size filling body cavity, eggs prominent, full size | Ripe | 3 - ovaries greatly extended, fill body cavity; eggs full size; eggs expelled by slight pressure | 8 - testes full size; white and lobate; milt expelled by slight pressure <br> 9 - testes flaccid with some milt, blood vessels |
| 49 | Ripe - mature fish in spawning condition, eggs translucent, milt or eggs expelled under slight pressure | Spent | 4 - spawning complete; ovaries flaccid; seed eggs apparent; presence of residual mature eggs <br> 5 - non-virgin; not | obvious with pink-violet coloration <br> 10 - non-virgin; not spawning in current year |
| 510 | Spent - mature fish completed spawning, gonads collapsed with ruptured blood vessels prominent |  | spawning in current year |  |

[^1]Appendix 3. Percent agreement of ages determined by using scales and dorsal fins for walleye from Kakisa Lake, 1978.

Appendix 4. Biological data by length interval for

| LENGTH INTERVAL (MM) | NO. | PERCENT | $\begin{gathered} \text { MEAN } \\ \text { FORK } \\ \text { LENGTH(MM) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ROUND } \\ & \text { WEIGHT (G) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | MEAN | SD |
| 310 | 1 | 0 | 314 | 750 | - |
| 320 | 2 | 0 | 326 | 500 | 71 |
| 330 | 16 | 3 | 335 | 469 | 25 |
| 340 | 39 | 8 | 345 | 491 | 40 |
| 350 | 41 | 9 | 354 | 531 | 46 |
| 360 | 52 | 11 | 363 | 564 | 53 |
| 370 | 43 | 9 | 374 | 600 | 45 |
| 380 | 41 | 9 | 384 | 651 | 61 |
| 390 | 40 | 9 | 395 | 724 | 85 |
| 400 | 40 | 9 | 404 | 769 | 60 |
| 410 | 35 | 8 | 414 | 841 | 87 |
| 420 | 26 | 6 | 424 | 850 | 73 |
| 430 | 31 | 7 | 433 | 956 | 50 |
| 440 | 11 | 2 | 443 | 991 | 49 |
| 450 | 14 | 3 | 454 | 1000 | 180 |
| 460 | 9 | 2 | 465 | 1150 | 79 |
| 470 | 5 | 1 | 474 | 1150 | 127 |
| 480 | 3 | 1 | 486 | 1300 | 150 |
| 490 | 2 | 0 | 496 | 1450 | 141 |
| 500 | 5 | 1 | 504 | 1420 | 45 |
| 510 | 1 | 0 | 518 | 1450 | - |
| 530 | 1 | 0 | 539 | 1700 | - |
| 540 | 1 | 0 | 543 | 1550 | - |
| 550 | 1 | 0 | 555 | 1900 | - |
| TOTAL | 460 |  |  |  |  |
| MEAN |  |  | 393 | 727 | 229 |

Appendix 7. Biological data by length interval for


Appendix 6. Biological data by length interval for

| LENGTH <br> INTERVAL <br> (MM) | NO. | PERCENT | $\begin{gathered} \text { MEAN } \\ \text { FORK } \\ \text { LENGTH(MM) } \end{gathered}$ | ROUND WE I GHT (G) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | MEAN | SD |
| 320 | 4 | 4 | 325 | 503 | 30 |
| 330 | 6 | 5 | 333 | 539 | 46 |
| 340 | 8 | 7 | 345 | 579 | 33 |
| 350 | 3 | 3 | 354 | 610 | 0 |
| 360 | 6 | 5 | 363 | 681 | 25 |
| 370 | 15 | 14 | 375 | 732 | 40 |
| 380 | 19 | 17 | 385 | 777 | 49 |
| 390 | 17 | 15 | 394 | 843 | 66 |
| 400 | 7 | 6 | 404 | 906 | 55 |
| 410 | 4 | 4 | 412 | 945 | 35 |
| 420 | 6 | 5 | 425 | 1078 | 114 |
| 430 | 6 | 5 | 434 | 1098 | 67 |
| 440 | 7 | 6 | 442 | 1185 | 99 |
| 450 | 1 | 1 | 450 | 1281 | - |
| 530 | 1 | 1 | 532 | 1891 | - |
| 570 | 1 | 1 | 572 | 2257 | - |
| TOTAL | 111 |  |  |  |  |
| MEAN |  |  | 389 | 836 | 259 |

Appendix 9. Biological data by length interval for


Appendix 8. Biological data by length interval for

| LENGTH INTERVAL (MM) | NO. | PERCENT | $\begin{gathered} \text { MEAN } \\ \text { FORK } \\ \text { LENGTH(MM) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ROUND } \\ & \text { WEIGHT(G) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | MEAN | SD |
| 300 | 1 | 0 | 308 | 806 | - |
| 310 | 1 | 0 | 314 | 509 | - |
| 320 | 3 | 1 | 325 | 459 | 43 |
| 330 | 4 | 2 | 336 | 527 | 71 |
| 340 | 5 | 2 | 345 | 598 | 33 |
| 350 | 7 | 3 | 357 | 657 | 96 |
| 360 | 15 | 7 | 366 | 721 | 62 |
| 370 | 22 | 10 | 375 | 758 | 59 |
| 380 | 28 | 13 | 386 | 837 | 106 |
| 390 | 23 | 11 | 395 | 861 | 75 |
| 400 | 28 | 13 | 404 | 904 | 64 |
| 410 | 25 | 12 | 414 | 948 | 93 |
| 420 | 18 | 9 | 423 | 954 | 57 |
| 430 | 8 | 4 | 434 | 991 | 69 |
| 440 | 16 | 8 | 444 | 1093 | 85 |
| 450 | 3 | 1 | 456 | 1226 | 113 |
| 460 | 1 | 0 | 461 | 1103 | - |
| 480 | 1 | 0 | 483 | 1251 | - |
| 490 | 1 | 0 | 498 | 1474 | - |
| TOTAL | 210 |  |  |  |  |
| MEAN |  |  | 398 | 868 | 166 |

Appendix 10. Biological data by length interval for

Appendix 11. Biological data by length interval for

|  | ' ' <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  $00-N 寸=0 \infty 0=10--0-0-0$ <br>  <br> 응앙ㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇ Mす! <br>  | 요 <br> $\bar{\infty}$ <br> 8 8 8 <br> 우N <br>  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

 Appendix 12. Biological data by age group for walleye caught
in the commercial fishery, Kakisa Lake. 1977.

Appendix 14. Biological data by age group for walleye caught in
the commercial fishery, Kakisa Lake, 1980.



| LENGTH <br> INTERVAL <br> (MM) | MALES |  |  |  |  |  | FEMALES |  |  |  |  |  | COMB INED |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LENGTH(MM) |  | WEIGHT (G) |  | K MAT |  |  | $\frac{\text { LENGTH }(M M)}{\mathrm{N} \quad \text { MEAN }}$ | WEIGHT (G) |  | K | MAT | LENGTH(MM) |  | WEI GHT (G) |  | K | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { MAT } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | N | MEAN | MEAN | SD |  |  | MEAN |  | SD | N |  |  |  | MEAN | SD |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 125 | 100 | - | 5.12 | 0 |
| 120 | 1 | 125 | 100 | - | 5.12 | 0 | - | - | - |  | - |  | 1 | 155 | 75 | - | 2.01 | 0 |
| 150 | - | 168 | 50 |  |  | 0 | - | 166 | 200 | - | 4.37 | 100 | 2 | 167 | 125 | 106 | 2.71 | 50 |
| 160 | 1 | 168 | 50 | - | 1.05 | 0 | 1 | 166 | 200 | _ | 4.37 | , | 3 | 177 | 50 | 0 | 0.90 | 0 |
| 76 +180 | 1 | 178 | 50 75 | - | 0.89 1.13 | - | 1 | 182 | 75 | - | 1.24 | 100 | 3 | 185 | 75 | 0 | 1.18 | 50 |
| 180 190 | 1 | 188 196 | 75 88 | 18 | 1.17 | 0 | - | 182 | 7 | - | . 24 | , | 4 | 195 | 81 | 13 | 1.10 | 0 |
| 200 | 2 | 196 | 8 |  | , | - | 4 | 206 | 100 | 0 | 1.15 | 25 | 9 | 203 | 125 | 53 | 1.51 1.02 | 11 0 |
| 210 | 1 | 215 | 100 | - | 1.01 | 0 | - | - | 100 | 0 |  | 50 | 6 | 214 | 113 | 21 | 1.01 | 20 |
| 220 | 1 | 224 | 100 | - | 0.89 | $\stackrel{-}{0}$ | 2 | 221 | 100 | 0 | 0.93 | 50 | 9 | 234 | 148 | 9 | 1.15 | 13 |
| 230 | 2 | 236 | 150 | 0 | 1.14 0.98 | 100 | 1 | 245 | 150 | - | 1.02 | - | 11 | 244 | 159 | 13 | 1.09 | 10 |
| 240 | 1 | 248 | 150 | - | 0.98 | 100 | 1 | 245 | 150 | - | 1.02 | - | 13 | 254 | 192 | 24 | 1.18 | 10 |
| 250 | 8 | 253 | 194 | 29 | 1. 19 | 20 | 6 | 263 | 167 | 63 | 0.93 | 50 | 13 | 263 | 192 | 49 | 1.06 | 14 |
| 260 | 5 | 263 | 205 | 11 | 1. 12 | 0 | 6 | 263 276 | 250 | 63 | 1.19 | 0 | 8 | 274 | 231 | 18 | 1. 12 | 0 |
| 270 | 3 | 274 | 225 | 25 | 1.09 | 0 | 1 | 276 | 250 | - | . 19 | - | 11 | 283 | 250 | 30 | 1. 10 | 25 |
| 280 | 5 | 282 | 250 | 31 | 1. 11 | 100 25 |  | 294 | 300 | 0 | 1.18 | 50 | 16 | 294 | 288 | 38 | 1.13 | 25 |
| 290 | 11 | 294 | 286 | 44 | 1.12 | 25 | 8 | 305 | 316 | 23 | 1.11 | 50 | 18 | 304 | 304 | 39 | 1.09 | 33 |
| 300 | 9 | 302 | 308 | 22 | 1.12 | 29 | 8 5 | 315 | 340 | 22 | 1.09 | 100 | 14 | 314 | 357 | 28 | 1.15 | 57 |
| 310 320 | 9 | 314 | 367 | 28 | 1. 18 | 40 | 5 7 | 324 324 | 340 379 | 30 | 1.11 | 100 | 24 | 324 | 397 | 30 | 1.17 | 80 |
| 320 330 | 17 | 324 | 404 | 27 | 1.19 | 75 | 7 12 | 324 335 | 421 | 26 | 1.12 | 100 | 32 | 334 | 430 | 55 | 1. 16 | 71 |
| 330 340 | 19 | 333 | 438 | 68 | 1.19 | 50 60 | 12 7 | 335 344 | 482 | 51 | 1.19 | 100 | 19 | 344 | 466 | 58 | 1.15 | 71 |
| 340 350 | 12 | 344 | 456 | 61 | 1.12 | 70 | 8 | 344 | 484 | 38 | 1.11 | 86 | 23 | 353 | 497 | 36 | 1.13 | 78 |
| 350 360 | 15 | 354 | 503 | 35 | 1.13 | 73 60 | 8 | 352 363 | 484 533 | 44 | 1.12 | 83 | 14 | 364 | 548 | 54 | 1.14 | 73 |
| 360 370 | 8 | 365 | 559 | 61 | 1.15 | 60 100 | 3 | 363 373 | 567 | 29 | 1.09 | 100 | 6 | 373 | 575 | 27 | 1.11 | 100 |
| 370 380 | 3 | 373 | 583 | 29 | 1.12 | 100 100 | 3 | 373 385 | 567 700 | 87 | 1.22 | 100 | 6 | 385 | 696 | 58 | 1.21 | 100 |
| 380 390 | 3 | 385 | 692 | 29 | 1.21 | 100 100 | 3 1 | 385 398 | 650 | 87 | 1.03 | 100 | 4 | 393 | 663 | 48 | 1.09 | 100 |
| 390 400 | 3 | 391 | 667 | 58 | 1.11 | 100 50 | 1 | 498 | 750 | - | 1.17 | 100 | 3 | 401 | 633 | 181 | 0.98 | 67 |
| 400 | 2 | 401 | 575 | 212 | 0.89 | 50 100 | 1 | 400 | 750 | _ | . 17 | , | 1 | 445 | 975 | - | 1.11 | 100 |
| 440 450 | 1 | 445 | 975 | - | 1.11 | 100 |  | 455 | 1050 | - | 1.11 | 100 | 1 | 455 | 1050 | - | 1.11 | 100 |
| 450 460 | - | - | - | - | 1.00 | 100 | 1 | 464 | 1075 | - | 1.08 | 100 | 2 | 462 | 1025 | 71 | 1.04 | 100 |
| 460 490 | 1 | 460 | 975 | - | 1.00 1.00 | 100 100 | 1 | 498 | 1400 | - | 1.13 | 100 | 2 | 496 | 1300 | 141 | 1.06 | 100 |
| 490 | 1 | 494 | 1200 | - | 1.00 | 100 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL MEAN | 146 | 318 | 395 | 178 | 1.17 |  | 83 | 323 | 413 | 218 | 1.15 |  | 82 | 305 | 358 | 198 | 1.17 |  |



| LENGTH INTERVAL (MM) | MALES |  |  |  |  |  | FEMALES |  |  |  |  |  | COMB INED |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | LENGTH(MM) | WE I GHT (G) |  | K MAT |  | N | LENG TH(MM) | WE I GHT (G) |  | K MAT |  | LENGTH(MM) WEIGHT (G) |  |  |  | K | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { MAT } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | MEAN | MEAN | SD |  |  | MEAN | MEAN | SD | $\bar{N}$ |  |  | MEAN | MEAN | SD |  |  |
| 200 | 1 | 208 | 250 | - | 2.78 | 0 |  | 1 | 206 | 175 | - | 2.00 | 0 | 2 | 207 | 213 | 53 | 2.39 | 0 |
| 210 | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | . 210 | 100 | - | 1.08 | 0 |
| 230 | 1 | 230 | 125 | - | 1.03 | 0 | 1 | 230 | 150 | - | 1.23 | 100 | 6 | 233 | 163 | 31 | 1.29 | 17 |
| 240 | 3 | 243 | 167 | 29 | 1. 16 | 50 | 1 | 248 | 200 | - | 1.31 | 100 | 5 | 243 | 175 | 25 | 1.21 | 50 |
| 250 | 2 | 258 | 200 | 0 | 1. 16 |  | 3 | 253 | 183 | 14 | 1.14 | 0 | 10 | 254 | 190 | 13 | 1.16 | 0 |
| 260 | 11 | 263 | 211 | 21 | 1.16 | 43 | 5 | 264 | 220 | 21 | 1.20 | 100 | 23 | 263 | 215 | 21 | 1.18 | 39 |
| 270 | 17 | 274 | 231 | 24 | 1.13 | 44 | 8 | 274 | 234 | 19 | 1.14 | 80 | 39 | 274 | 235 | 25 | 1.15 | 29 |
| 280 | 17 | 283 | 278 | 21 | 1.22 | 57 | 13 | 283 | 263 | 24 | 1.16 | 44 | 39 | 283 | 270 | 28 | 1.18 | 32 |
| 290 | 18 | 294 | 299 | 22 | 1.17 | 33 | 12 | 295 | 298 | 25 | 1.16 | 100 | 49 | 294 | 292 | 27 | 1.15 | 31 |
| 300 | 17 | 304 | 331 | 37 | 1.17 | 33 | 31 | 305 | 342 | 72 | 1.21 | 68 | 56 | 305 | 337 | 59 | 1.19 | 49 |
| 310 | 28 | 313 | 356 | 46 | 1.16 | 44 | 31 | 315 | 350 | 35 | 1.12 | 65 | 61 | 314 | 352 | 41 | 1.14 | 53 |
| 320 | 39 | 324 | 393 | 42 | 1.15 | 48 | 25 | 324 | 375 | 27 | 1.10 | 86 | 70 | 324 | 386 | 37 | 1.13 | 53 |
| 330 | 29 | 333 | 416 | 23 | 1.13 | 68 | 24 | 334 | 414 | 53 | 1.11 | 93 | 56 | 334 | 415 | 38 | 1.12 | 73 |
| 340 | 40 | 344 | 460 | 38 | 1.13 | 64 | 30 | 343 | 455 | 34 | 1.12 | 90 | 71 | 344 | 458 | 36 | 1.13 | 73 |
| 350 | 34 | 354 | 493 | 49 | 1.11 | 81 | 22 | 354 | 484 | 43 | 1.09 | 100 | 57 | 354 | 489 | 47 | 1.10 | 90 |
| 360 | 21 | 363 | 514 | 61 | 1.08 | 56 | 29 | 363 | 522 | 63 | 1.09 | 83 | 51 | 363 | 517 | 61 | 1.08 | 72 |
| 370 | 15 | 374 | 568 | 73 | 1.09 | 100 | 19 | 375 | 582 | 33 | 1.11 | 100 | 34 | 374 | 576 | 54 | 1.10 | 100 |
| 380 | 9 | 383 | 642 | 52 | 1.14 | 100 | 11 | 383 | 634 | 45 | 1.13 | 100 | 20 | 383 | 638 | 47 | 1.13 | 100 |
| 390 | 6 | 395 | 625 | 67 | 1.01 | 100 | 9 | 394 | 667 | 40 | 1.09 | 100 | 15 | 395 | 650 | 54 | 1.06 | 100 |
| 400 | 1 | 400 | 700 |  | 1.09 | - | 4 | 406 | 744 | 13 | 1.11 | 100 | 5 | 405 | 735 | 22 | 1.11 | 100 |
| 410 | 1 | 418 | 775 | - | 1.06 | 100 | 2 | 413 | 775 | 0 | 1.10 | 100 | 3 | 414 | 775 | 0 | 1.09 | 100 |
| 420 | - | - | - | - | - |  | 2 | 425 | 800 | 0 | 1.04 | 100 | 2 | 425 | 800 | 0 | 1.04 | 100 |
| 440 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 444 | 913 | 88 | 1.04 | 100 | 2 | 444 | 913 | 88 | 1.04 | 100 |
| 450 | - | 473 | 1200 | - | 1. | 0 | 1 | 456 | 900 |  | 0.95 | 100 | 1 | 456 | 900 | - | 0.95 | 100 |
| 470 | 1 | 473 | 1200 | - | 1.13 | 100 | 1 | 475 | 1275 | - | 1.19 | 100 | 2 | 474 | 1238 | 53 | 1.16 | 100 |
| TOTAL 3 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  | 87 |  |  |  |  |  | 80 |  |  |  |  |  |
| MEAN |  | 327 | 409 | 129 | 1.14 |  |  | 335 | 437 | 151 | 1.13 |  |  | 325 | 405 | 143 | 1.14 |  |





| LENGTH INTERVAL (MM) | MALES |  |  |  |  |  | FEMALES |  |  |  |  |  | COMBINED |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L.ENG TH (MM) |  | WEIGHT (G) |  | \% |  | N | LENGTH(MM) WEIGHT (G) |  |  | K MAT |  | LENGTH (MM) |  | WEIGHT (G) |  | K | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { MAT } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | N | MEAN | MEAN | SO | K | MAT |  | MEAN | MEAN | SD |  |  | N | MEAN | MEAN | SD |  |  |
| 280 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 288 | 250 | - | 1.05 | - | 1 | 288 | 250 | - | 1.05 | - |
| 290 | 1 | 299 | 350 | - | 1.31 | 0 | 1 | 295 | 275 | - | 1.07 | - | 2 | 297 | 313 | 53 | 1.19 | 0 |
| 310 | 1 | 319 | 350 | - | 1.08 | 0 | - | - | - | - |  | - | 1 | 319 | 350 | - | 1.08 | 0 |
| 320 | 3 | 324 | 400 | 0 | 1.17 | 0 | 2 | 320 | 400 | 35 | 1.22 | 100 | 5 | 323 | 400 | 18 | 1.19 | 33 |
| 330 | 2 | 331 | 400 | 0 | 1.10 | 0 | 1 | 338 | 425 | - | 1.10 | - | 3 | 333 | 408 | 14 | 1. 10 | 0 |
| 340 | 3 | 346 | 483 | 29 | 1.16 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 346 | 483 | 29 | 1.16 | 0 |
| 350 | 2 | 357 | 525 | 35 | 1.16 | 0 | 2 | 351 | 488 | 18 | 1.13 | - | 4 | 354 | 506 | 31 | 1.14 | 0 |
| 360 | 1 | 360 | 475 | - | 1.02 | - | 2 | 362 | 525 | 35 | 1.11 | - | 3 | 361 | 508 | 38 | 1.08 | - |
| 370 | 1 | 375 | 575 | - | 1.09 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | 1 | 375 | 575 | - | 1.09 | - |
| 380 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 385 | 700 | - | 1.23 | 100 | 1 | 385 | 700 | - | 1.23 | 100 |
| 390 | 1 | 397 | 750 | - | 1.20 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | 1 | 397 | 750 | - | 1.20 | - |
| 410 | 2 | 417 | 850 | 71 | 1.18 | 100 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 417 | 850 | 71 | 1.18 | 100 |
| 420 | 1 | 429 | 925 | - | 1.17 | 100 | 1 | 423 | 900 | - | 1.19 | 100 | 2 | 426 | 913 | 18 | 1.18 | 100 |
| 440 | 1 | 448 | 900 | - | 1.00 | 100 | 2 | 444 | 975 | 35 | 1.11 | 100 | 3 | 445 | 950 | 50 | 1.08 | 100 |
| 450 | 2 | 458 | 1125 | 106 | 1.18 | 100 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 458 | 1125 | 106 | 1.18 | 100 |
| 460 | 3 | 467 | 1108 | 38 | 1.09 | 100 | 1 | 460 | 1000 | - | 1.03 | 100 | 4 | 465 | 1081 | 63 | 1.07 | 100 |
| 470 | 3 | 474 | 1217 | 76 | 1.14 | 100 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 474 | 1217 | 76 | 1.14 | 100 |
| 480 | 4 | 484 | 1219 | 123 | 1.08 | 100 | 2 | 487 | 1288 | 18 | 1.11 | 100 | 6 | 485 | 1242 | 102 | 1.09 | 100 |
| 490 | 2 | 496 | 1288 | 18 | 1.06 | 100 | 1 | 494 | 1225 | - | 1.02 | 100 | 3 | 495 | 1267 | 38 | 1.04 | 100 |
| 500 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 500 | 1350 | - | 1.08 | 100 | 1 | 500 | 1350 | 3 | 1.08 | 100 |
| 510 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 518 | 1525 | - | 1.10 | 100 | 1 | 518 | 1525 | - | 1.10 | 100 |
| 520 | 1 | 520 | 1300 | - | 0.92 | 100 | 1 | 525 | 1350 | - | 0.93 | 100 | 2 | 523 | 1325 | 35 | 0.93 | 100 |
| 530 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 532 | 1600 | - | 1.06 | 100 | 1 | 532 | 1600 | - | 1.06 | 100 |
| 560 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 564 | 1675 | - | 0.93 | 100 | 1 | 564 | 1675 | - | 0.93 | 100 |
| TOTAL | 34 |  |  |  |  |  | 22 |  |  |  |  |  | 56 |  |  |  |  |  |
| MEAN |  | 413 | 838 | 362 | 1.12 |  |  | 420 | 892 | 464 | 1.10 |  |  | 416 | 859 | 402 | 1.11 |  |
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Appendix 24. Biological data by age group for walleye caught by experimental gillnets (38mm mesh), Kakisa Lake, 1978 .



N MEAN SD MEAN SD K MAT
$\begin{array}{llll}0 & G 1 \cdot l & \varepsilon v & 881 \\ 81 & 61 \cdot 1 & 8 Z & 901\end{array}$

| 81 | $G 1 \cdot 1$ | $\varepsilon t$ | 281 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 81 | $61 \cdot 1$ | $8 Z$ | 901 |

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
z \\
s & \angle 1 & 0 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{rrrcrrrr}
4 & 1 & 224 & - & 100 & - & 0.89 & - \\
5 & 4 & 259 & 8.1 & 194 & 13 & 1.11 & 0 \\
6 & 10 & 297 & 27.0 & 303 & 102 & 1.12 & 25 \\
1 & 17 & 326 & 17.1 & 404 & 69 & 1.16 & 60 \\
8 & 14 & 339 & 25.3 & 475 & 125 & 1.21 & 80 \\
9 & 7 & 355 & 25.3 & 525 & 121 & 1.16 & 33 \\
10 & 1 & 385 & - & 725 & - & 1.27 & - \\
12 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
15 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hdashline \text { TOTAL } & 54 & & & & & & - \\
\text { MEAN } & & 322 & 37 & 404 & 145 & 1.16
\end{array}
$$

Appendix 25. Biological data by age group for walleye caught by experimental gillnets (64mm mesh), Kakisa Lake, 1978.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { AGE } \\ & (V R) \end{aligned}$ | MALES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | FEMALES |  |  |  |  |  |  | COMB INED |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | LENGTH(MM) |  |  | WE I GHT (G) |  | K | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { MAT } \end{gathered}$ | LENGTH (MM) |  |  | WE I GHT (G) |  | K | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { MAT } \end{gathered}$ | LENGTH(MM) |  |  | WE I GHT (G) |  | K | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { MAT } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | N | MEAN | SD | MEAN | SD |  |  | N | MEAN | SD | MEAN | SD |  |  | $\bar{N}$ | MEAN | SD | MEAN | SD |  |  |
| 4 |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 258 | - | 200 | - | 1.16 | 0 |
| 5 |  | 12 | 281 | 31.6 | 263 | 99 | 1.14 | 57 | 2 | 292 | 9.2 | 300 | 0 | 1.21 | 50 | 24 | 274 | 27.9 | 247 | 77 | 1.18 | 26 |
| 6 |  | 20 | 288 | 30.4 | 288 | 68 | 1.23 | 67 | 18 | 301 | 32.2 | 318 | 112 | 1.14 | 71 | 50 | 292 | 28.4 | 290 | 84 | 1.16 | 47 |
| 7 |  | 31 | 327 | 24.5 | 398 | 102 | 1.13 | 47 | 30 | 333 | 20.4 | 400 | 79 | 1.09 | 81 | 70 | 327 | 22.7 | 393 | 89 | 1.12 | 53 |
| 8 |  | 30 | 345 | 19.1 | 473 | 82 | 1.15 | 68 | 23 | 361 | 25.0 | 539 | 109 | 1.13 | 100 | 57 | 350 | 24.3 | 493 | 102 | 1.14 | 78 |
| 9 |  | 3 | 350 | 39.3 | 492 | 151 | 1.13 | 100 | 6 | 374 | 16.9 | 567 | 63 | 1.08 | 100 | 9 | 366 | 26.6 | 542 | 98 | 1.10 | 100 |
| 14 |  | 1 | 473 | - | 1200 | - | 1.13 | 100 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 473 | - | 1200 | - | 1.13 | 100 |
| TOTAL |  | 97 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 79 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 212 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MEAN |  |  | 321 | 39 | 393 | 146 | 1.16 |  |  | 336 | 34.8 | 432 | 131 | 1.11 |  |  | 321 | 39.2 | 388 | 141 | 1. 14 |  |
| MEAN | AGE |  | 7.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.9 | 3 . 2 | 38 | , | 1.14 |  |



| AGE (YR) | MALES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | FEMALES |  |  |  |  |  |  | COMBINED |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | LENGTH (MM) |  |  | WE I GHT (G) |  | K | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { MAT } \end{gathered}$ | LENGTH(MM) |  |  | WE I GHT (G) |  | K MAT |  | LENGTH(MM) |  |  | WEIGHT (G) |  | K | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { MAT } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | N | MEAN | SD | MEAN | SD |  |  | N | MEAN | SD | MEAN | SD |  |  | $N$ | MEAN | SD | MEAN | SD |  |  |
| 6 |  | 1 | 262 | - | 200 | - | 1.11 | 100 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 262 | - | 200 | - | 1.11 | 100 |
| 7 |  | 13 | 342 | 23.3 | 490 | 107 | 1.20 | 75 | 2 | 352 | 11.3 | 500 | 0 | 1.15 | 100 | 15 | 343 | 22.1 | 492 | 99 | 1. 20 | 78 |
| 8 |  | 26 | 361 | 17.6 | 550 | 70 | 1.16 | 54 | 25 | 362 | 17.0 | 558 | 72 | 1.17 | 91 | 52 | 361 | 17.9 | 550 | 75 | 1.16 | 68 |
| 9 |  | 15 | 379 | 16.2 | 625 | 71 | 1.14 | 100 | 13 | 383 | 20.1 | 646 | 87 | 1. 15 | 100 | 30 | 379 | 20.2 | 627 | 85 | 1.15 | 90 |
| 10 |  | 3 | 388 | 3.6 | 617 | 29 | 1.06 | 100 | 5 | 385 | 24.7 | 645 | 76 | 1.14 | 100 | 8 | 386 | 18.9 | 634 | 61 | 1.11 | 100 |
| 11 |  | 3 | 413 | 19.4 | 808 | 170 | 1.14 | 100 | 1 | 387 | - | 700 |  | 1.21 | 100 | 4 | 406 | 20.4 | 781 | 149 | 1.16 | 100 |
| 12 |  | 5 | 452 | 20.4 | 950 | 155 | 1.02 | 100 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | 5 | 452 | 20.4 | 950 | 155 | 1.02 | 100 |
| 13 |  | 1 | 457 | - | 950 | - | 1.00 | 100 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 457 | 20.4 | 950 | , | 1.00 | 100 |
| total | L | 67 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 46 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 116 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MEAN |  |  | 372 | 37 | 600 | 163 | 1. 15 |  |  | 371 | 21.1 | 593 | 88 | 1.16 |  |  | 370 | 32.0 | 594 | 138 | 1.15 |  |
| MEAN | AGE |  | 8.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $8.6$ | 32.0 | 594 | , | . 15 |  |

Appendix 27. Biological data by age group for walleye caught by experimental gillnets (114mm mesh), Kakisa Lake, 1978 .

Appendix 28. Biological data by age group for walleye caught by experimental gillnets (139mm mesh). Kakisa Lake, 1978 .

| $\begin{aligned} & A G E \\ & (Y R) \end{aligned}$ | MALES |  |  |  |  |  |  | FEMALES |  |  |  |  |  |  | COMEINED |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LENGTH(MM) |  |  | WE I GHT (G) |  | K MAT |  | LENGTH (MM) |  |  | WEIGHT (G) |  | K | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { MAT } \end{gathered}$ | LENGTH (MM) |  |  | WEIGHT (G) |  | $k$ | MAT |
|  | N | MEAN | SD | MEAN | SD |  |  | $N$ | MEAN | SD | MEAN | SD |  |  | N | MEAN | SD | MEAN | SD |  |  |
| 7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 310 | 9.2 | 350 | 71 | 1.17 | - | 3 | 304 | 11.1 | 325 | 66 | 1.14 | 100 |
| 8 | 1 | 350 | - | 475 | - | 1.11 | 100 | 1 | 338 | - | 450 | - | 1.17 | - | 2 | 344 | 8.5 | 463 | 18 | 1.14 | 100 |
| 9 | 1 | 360 | - | 550 | - | 1. 18 | - | 1 | 383 | - | 650 | - | 1.16 | 100 | 2 | 372 | 16.3 | 600 | 71 | 1.17 | 100 |
| 16 | 1 | 530 | - | 1600 | - | 1.07 | 100 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 530 | - | 1600 | - | 1.07 | 100 |
| TOTAL | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MEAN |  | 413 | 101 | 875 | 629 | 1. 12 |  |  | 335 | 35.1 | 450 | 147 | 1.17 |  |  | 359 | 75.2 | 588 | 427 | 1.14 |  |
| MEAN AGE |  | 7.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8.9 |  |  |  |  |  |

Appendix 29. Percent occurrence of various food types found in the stomachs of walleye examined from Kakisa

| Number Fish | Number <br> Fish <br> Feeding | Percent Feeding | Food Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Fish Remains |  | Benthic <br> Invertebrates |  | Zooplankton |  | Unidentified Remains |  |
|  |  |  | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% |
| 1391 | 255 | 18.3 | 68 | 26.7 | 57 | 22.4 | 31 | 12.2 | 99 | 38.8 |

Appendix 30. Biological data by length interval for lake whitefish caught by experimental gillnets, Kakisa Lake, 1978.

Appendix 31. Biological data by length interval for northern pike caught by experimental gillnets, Kakisa Lake, 1978 .

Appendix 32. Biological data by length interval for least cisco caught by experimental gillnets, Kakisa Lake, 1978

| LENGTH INTERVAL (MM) | MALES |  |  |  |  |  | FEMALES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | LENGTH | WEIGH | (G) | $k \quad$ MAT |  |  | LENGTH (MM) WEIGHT (G) |  |  | MAT |  | LENGTH(MM) WEIGHT (G) |  |  |  | K | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { MAT } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | $\bar{N}$ | MEAN | MEAN | SD |  |  | MEAN | MEAN | SD | N |  |  | MEAN | MEAN | SD |  |  |
| 150 | 3 | 158 | 50 | 0 | 1.28 | 100 |  | 5 | 154 | 50 | 0 | 1.38 | 100 | 9 | 155 | 50 | 0 | 1.35 | 100 |
| 160 | - | - | - | - |  | - | 10 | 164 | 50 | 0 | 1.13 | 100 | 10 | 164 | 50 | 0 | 1.13 | 100 |
| 170 | 1 | 174 | 50 | - | 0.95 | 100 | 8 | 172 | 56 | 12 | 1.11 | 100 | 9 | 172 | 56 | 11 | 1.09 | 100 |
| 180 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 180 | 50 |  | 0.86 | 100 |  | 180 | 50 | , | 0.86 | 100 |
| TOTAL | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 24 |  |  |  |  |  | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |
| MEAN |  | 162 | 50 | 0 | 1.19 |  |  | 165 | 52 | 7 | 1.16 |  |  | 164 | 52 | 6 | 1.17 |  |

Appendix 33. Biological data by length interval for longnose sucker caught by experimental gillnets, Kakisa Lake, l978.



| LENGTH INTERVAL (MM) | MALES |  |  |  |  |  | FEMALES |  |  |  |  |  | COMBINED |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LENGTH (MM) |  | WE I GHT (G) |  | K MAT |  | N | LENGTH (MM) | WEIGHT (G) |  | K | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { MAT } \end{gathered}$ | LENGTH (MM) |  | WEIGHT (G) |  | K | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { MAT } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | $N$ | MEAN | MEAN | SD |  |  | MEAN | MEAN | SD | N |  |  | MEAN | MEAN | SD |  |  |
| 180 | 1 | 182 | 75 | - | 1.24 | 0 |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 184 | 88 | 18 | 1.41 | 0 |
| 210 | 1 | 182 |  | - | . 2 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 215 | 150 | - | 1.51 1.46 | - |
| 270 | 1 | 274 | 300 | - | 1.46 | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | 1 | 274 | 300 | - | 1.46 | 0 |
| 300 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 650 | - | 1.60 | 100 | 1 | 300 | 350 | - | 1.30 | 100 |
| 340 | - | - | - ${ }^{-}$ | 17 | - | 100 | 1 | 344 | 650 | - | 1.60 | 100 | 1 3 | 344 362 | 650 | 173 | 1.27 | 100 |
| 360 | 3 | 362 | 600 | 173 | 1.27 | 100 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 376 | 810 | 42 | 1.52 | 100 |
| 370 | 5 | 376 | 810 | 42 | 1.52 | 100 | 1 | 384 | 825 | - | 1.46 | 100 | 2 | 384 | 800 | 35 | 1.41 | 100 |
| 380 | 1 | 384 | 775 | - | 1.37 | 100 | 1 | 384 | 825 | 53 | 1.46 | 100 | 2 | 394 | 988 | 53 | 1.61 | 100 |
| 390 | - | - | - | - | - | 100 | 2 | 394 | 988 | 53 52 | 1.619 | 100 | 4 | 403 | 969 | 52 | 1.48 |  |
| 400 | 1 | 400 | 925 | - | 1.45 | 100 | 3 | 404 | 983 | 52 0 | 1.49 1.37 | 100 100 | 3 | 418 | 1067 | 115 | 1.46 | 100 |
| 410 | 1 | 418 | 1200 | - | 1.64 | 100 | 2 | 418 | 1000 | 58 | 1.37 | 100 | 5 | 424 | 1150 | 50 | 1.51 | 100 |
| 420 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 423 | 1150 1150 | 58 | 1.52 1.45 | 100 100 | 2 | 432 | 1100 | 71 | 1.37 | 100 |
| 430 | 1 | 433 | 1050 | - | 1.29 | 100 | 1 | 430 | 1150 1292 | 14 | 1.45 |  | 4 | 445 | 1250 | 84 | 1.41 | 100 |
| 440 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 447 | 1292 1438 | 14 88 | 1.45 1.51 | 100 | 2 | 457 | 1438 | 88 | 1.51 | 100 |
| 450 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 457 | 1438 1450 | 88 141 | 1.54 | 100 | 2 |  | 1450 | 141 | 1.44 | 100 |
| 460 500 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 465 500 | 1450 1925 | 141 | 1.44 1.54 | 100 | 1 | 500 | 1925 | , | 1.54 | 100 |
| 500 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 500 | 1925 | - | . 54 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL MEAN | 14 | 361 | 727 | 294 | 1.42 |  | 22 | 426 | 1169 | 274 | 1.49 |  | 4 | 390 | 946 | 395 | 1.46 |  |

Appendix 35. Biological data by age group for lake whitefish caught in experimental gillnets, Kakisa Lake, 1978.

Appendix 36. Biological data by age group for northern pike caught in experimental gillnets, Kakisa Lake, 1978

Appendix 37 . Biological data by age group for least cisco caught in experimental gillnets, Kakisa Lake, 1978.

| $\begin{aligned} & A G E \\ & (V R) \end{aligned}$ | MALES |  |  |  |  |  |  | FEMALES |  |  |  |  |  |  | COMBINED |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LENGTH(MM) |  |  | WE I GHT (G) |  | K MAT |  | LENGTH(MM) |  |  | WEIGHT (G) |  | K | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { MAT } \end{gathered}$ | LENGTH (MM) |  |  | WE I GHT (G) |  | K | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { MAT } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | $\bar{N}$ | MEAN | SD | MEAN | SD |  |  | N | MEAN | SD | MEAN | SD |  |  | N | MEAN | SD | MEAN | SD |  |  |
| 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 169 | 2.1 | 63 | 18 | 1.30 | 100 | 2 | 169 | 2.1 | 63 | 18 | 1.30 | 100 |
| 4 | 1 | 174 | - | 50 | - | 0.95 | 100 | 10 | 163 | 8.5 | 50 | 0 | 1.18 | 100 | 11 | 164 | 8.8 | 50 | 0 | 1.16 | 100 |
| 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 173 | 9.9 | 50 | 0 | 0.98 | 100 | 2 | 173 | 9.9 | 50 | 0 | 0.98 | 100 |
| TOTAL | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MEAN |  | $40^{174}$ | - | 50 | - | 0.95 |  |  | $165$ | 8.6 | 52 | 7 | 1.17 |  |  | $166$ | 8.6 | 52 | 6 | 1.15 |  |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ No. fish/91 m gillnet/24 h.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Fish of unknown sex were coded as 0.

