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## MESSAGE FROM THE MINISTER

The Government of Canada is focused on what matters to Canadians - jobs, growth and long-term prosperity. All Canadians deserve the opportunity to achieve their full potential and contribute to Canada's prosperity. Canada's inclusive workplaces healthy, innovative and productive workplaces where the talents and skills of all workers are developed and promoted - contribute to our economic growth. It is by drawing on everyone's capabilities that businesses will succeed and the Canadian economy will continue to perform.

Employment equity continues to play an important role in Canadian society and in Canada's economic development. The Employment Equity Act promotes equitable representation for women, Aboriginal peoples, persons with disabilities and members of visible minorities who work in federally regulated workplaces. The Act also allows employers to identify workplace barriers and supports the implementation of fair policies and practices in the workplace. Employment Equity Act: Annual Report 2011 describes the progress made by federally regulated private sector employers in their efforts to achieve an equitable and inclusive workforce.

Our government is committed to creating workplaces that reflect our society - workplaces that are fair, safe and productive. By working together I am confident that we can eliminate workplace barriers and build a stronger, more competitive and fully inclusive workforce that is reflective of Canada's population.


Lisa Reit<br>Minister of Labour
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INTRODUCTION

## About the Employment Equity Act

In June 1983, a Royal Commission on Equality in Employment was established by the federal government. In October 1984, Judge (now Justice) Rosalie Silberman Abella tabled a report in which she proposed the term "employment equity" to describe a distinct Canadian process for achieving equality.

In response, Parliament enacted the first Employment Equity Act in 1986. Following a second parliamentary review, the Act was amended with clear enforcement procedures and later received Royal Assent in 1995. The objective of the Act is to ensure employers take concrete steps to improve the situations of the four designated groups: women, Aboriginal peoples, persons with disabilities and members of visible minorities.

Employers covered by the Act have four core obligations in implementing employment equity: to survey their workforce and collect information on the representation, occupational groups, salary distributions and shares of hires, promotions and terminations of designated group members; to conduct an analysis of any under-representation in their workforce; to review their employment systems, policies and practices to identify barriers to employment; and, to prepare plans to eliminate these barriers.

The Act applies to federally regulated private sector employers' with 100 or more employees and provincially regulated suppliers of goods and services, with at least 100 employees, who entered into contracts of $\$ 200,000$ or more with the Government of Canada. It also applies to the Core Public Administration managed by the Treasury
'The purpose of this Act is to achieve equality in the workplace so that no person shall be denied employment opportunities or benefits for reasons unrelated to ability.'

Section 2 of the Employment Equity Act

Board of Canada Secretariat (TBS), and other federal public sector organizations such as the Canada Revenue Agency and the Canadian Forces.

## The Employment Equity Act Annual Report

According to section 18 of the Act, federally regulated private sector employers must file a report with the Minister of Labour by June 1 every calendar year containing prescribed information on their workforce. The Minister of Labour is required to table an annual report to Parliament which consolidates these reports filed by the employers through the Labour Program.

## Working with employers to collect and validate data

Employment equity annual reports are prepared by the Labour Program from reports collected from federally regulated private sector employers. Data is submitted through the Workplace Equity Information Management Systems, a web-based system that enables self-service transactions and is accessible to employers from anywhere, at anytime. The Labour Program works closely with each

[^0]Providing Innovative Tools<br>The Workplace Equity<br>Information Management System<br>replaced previous applications<br>that required manual reporting, substantially increasing the<br>effectiveness of both data<br>submission by employers and the<br>efficiency of resources dedicated<br>to validating reports received<br>from more than 500 employers<br>every year.

employer to ensure their data is accurate and to resolve any discrepancies found. The validated data is consolidated and then published in the annual employment equity reports which are tabled in Parliament by the Minister of Labour.

## The 2011 Report

The Employment Equity Act: Annual Report 2011 (Annual Report 2011) consolidates the information submitted by employers for 2010. The report describes the employment situation of the four designated groups in federally regulated private sector workplaces. It highlights the progress employers have made towards achieving employment equity according to the Act.

Progress is measured by comparing the representation of designated groups against their labour market availabilities.
Representation is the share of the designated groups in a given labour force (e.g. the entire federally regulated private
sector workforce, the banking sector or an individual bank).

Labour market availability (LMA) refers to the share of designated group members in the workforce from which the employers could hire.

The current LMA is based on the 2006 Census and 2006 Participation and Activity Limitation Survey (PALS). Census information used to calculate LMA, to which representation of the designated groups are compared, is collected every five years by Statistics Canada resulting in a time lag in measuring gaps. Also, please note this report may not reflect previously reported data for all employers due to timing of data submission.

Annual Report 2011 does not include analysis of information on federal departments falling under the management of the TBS or other portions of the federal public sector responsible for preparing their own employment equity reports. Reports on the Core Public Administration are the responsibility of TBS and can be found on TBS's website at www.tbs-sct.gc.ca/reports-rapports/ee/index-eng.asp. Information on other federal public sector organizations is available on request by contacting them directly.

Overview provides highlights of key findings from 2010 and describes progress achieved over the years.

Chapter 1 provides an overview of trends in the federally regulated private sector and offers insights into the four industrial sector workforces.

Chapters 2 to 5 provide employment data for each of the designated groups. Supporting data is included in Appendix A and technical notes in Appendix B.

# - Overview 

## Highlights

This section highlights key findings from 2010 drawing comparisons to previous year's data as well as 2001 (the year the Act was last reviewed) and 1987 (the year of the inception of reporting under the Act).


## Varying degrees of progress made since the Act came into force

Since 1987, varying degrees of progress have been made towards achieving equity for the four designated groups, but there is still room for improvement. For example, in 1987 women were clustered in clerical and supervisory positions and consequently under-represented in most other occupational groups. By 2010, women's representation in senior management and many other professions had risen, but progress in the semi-professional, technical as well as other blue-collar related occupations was limited.

The representation of women in the federally regulated private sector workforce has increased from $40.9 \%$ in 1987 to $41.7 \%$ in 2010 but remains below the $47.9 \%$ LMA. Aboriginal peoples' representation has

Since 1987, varying degrees of progress have been made for all four designated groups.
increased as well since 1987, changing from $0.7 \%$ to $1.9 \%$, yet remains below availability of $3.1 \%$. Similarly, the representation of persons with disabilities has increased from $1.6 \%$ to $2.6 \%$, but is also below availability of $4.9 \%$. In contrast, the representation for members of visible minorities has risen from 5.0\% in 1987 to $17.8 \%$ in 2010 . While their representation surpasses the $15.3 \%$ availability, data shows that progress in gaining positions in the senior management group and in certain industrial sectors has been slow for this designated group.

## Representation Progress



[^1]
## Findings in the last decade and over previous year

Over the last decade, the representation gap for women compared to LMA has been widening.

- Since 2001, the representation of women has been decreasing slightly from year to year (44.9\% in 2001, 42.3\% in 2009 and $41.7 \%$ in 2010).

There has been progress in the representation of Aboriginal peoples over the last decade but progress has remained stagnant over the past four years.

- The representation of Aboriginal peoples rose from $1.6 \%$ in 2001 to $1.9 \%$ both in 2009 and 2010.

In the past ten years, the representation of persons with disabilities has fluctuated but remained consistently below LMA.

- The representation of persons with disabilities increased from $2.3 \%$ in 2001 to $2.7 \%$ in 2009 and subsequently decreased to $2.6 \%$ in 2010 from the previous year yet remained below the LMA of $4.9 \%$.

Over the last decade, the representation rate for members of visible minorities has been increasing at a faster rate than the other three designated groups.

- The representation of members of visible minorities improved from $11.7 \%$ in 2001 to $17.1 \%$ in 2009 and $17.8 \%$ in 2010.

| Progress Over Time (Last Decade and Previous Year) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2001 <br> $\%$ | 2009 <br> $\%$ | 2010 <br> $\%$ | Availability* <br> $\%$ |
| Women | 44.9 | 42.3 | 41.7 | 47.9 |
| Aboriginal Peoples | 1.6 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 3.1 |
| Persons with Disabilities 2.3 2.7 2.6 <br> Members of Visible <br> Minorities 11.7 17.1 17.8 | 15.9 |  |  |  |

Source: Statistics Canada, 2006 Census and 2006 Participation and Activity Limitation Survey

In 2010, the representation rate for three of the four designated groups remained below the LMA: women, Aboriginal peoples and persons with disabilities. Representation for members of visible minorities continued to exceed their LMA.

## 2010 Representation



Source: Statistics Canada, 2006 Census and 2006 Participation and Activity Limitation Survey

## In 2010, representation of the four designated groups varied across the four sectors with the banking sector employing the greatest percentages of three of the four groups.

- Both women and members of visible minorities exceeded their LMA in the banking sector. Persons with disabilities, although below LMA, experienced the highest percentage in employment in the same sector.
- The representation of women in the banking sector at $65.4 \%$ greatly surpassed their representation in all other sectors. This was also true for the members of the visible minorities group with representation of $27.3 \%$ in this sector.
- The representation of women and members of visible minorities was below their respective LMA in the transportation and other sectors and Aboriginal peoples and persons with disabilities were below their LMA in all sectors.
- Aboriginal peoples are better represented in the transportation and other sector.

| Sector | 2010 Representation |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Women | Aboriginal <br> Peoples <br> \% | ersons <br> with Dis- <br> abilities <br> $\%$ | Members <br> of Visible <br> Minorities <br> $\%$ |
| Banking | 65.4 | 1.3 | 3.5 | 27.3 |
| Communications | 39.1 | 1.7 | 2.6 | 16.2 |
| Transportation | 25.4 | 2.6 | 2.0 | 10.8 |
| Other | 28.1 | 2.5 | 1.9 | 14.2 |
| All Sectors | $\mathbf{4 1 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 8}$ |
| Availability* | $\mathbf{4 7 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 3}$ |

[^2]
## Chapter 1

## The 2010 Sector Workforce Overview

The following chapter presents a synopsis of the overall 2010 federally regulated private sector workforce covered under the Employment Equity Act. ${ }^{2}$ Key findings from 2010 are compared to those from the previous year unless otherwise indicated.


Number of Employers and Employees (1987, 2009 and 2010) in the Federally Regulated Private Sector

| Sector | Employers |  |  | Employees |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 2009 | 2010 | 1987 | 2009 | 2010 <br> $\#$ |
| Banking | 23 | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\#$ | 169,632 | 212,779 |
| Communications | 90 | 95 | 25 | 89 | 179,247 | 231,498 |
| Transportation | 208 | 359 | 349 | 203,207 | 203,326 | 206,591 |
| Other | 52 | 84 | 81 | 43,331 | 96,234 | 96,720 |
| All Sectors | $\mathbf{3 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 9 5 , 4 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{7 4 3 , 8 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 5 , 9 6 6}$ |

## Two thirds of employees covered under the Act in 2010 worked in the federally regulated private sector with the majority of the workforce employed in Ontario and Ouebec

In 2010, 66.1\% of employees reported under the Act were part of the federally regulated private sector workforce. This workforce consisted of 755,966 individuals working for 544 employers, representing a $27.0 \%$ increase $(+160,549)$ compared to the figures from 1987, when reporting under the Act commenced. Nearly two-thirds of these employees worked in Ontario (45.4\%) and Quebec (18.6\%).

The majority of the workforce was employed in service-producing industries and fairly evenly distributed across the three main industrial sectors-banking, communications and transportation-29\%, 31\% and 27\%

> The federally regulated private
> sector experienced an overall
> increase in employment activities
> in 2010-more hirings, promotions
> and terminations.
respectively. The other sector (a diverse group of employers involved in industries such as security, agriculture, metal ore mining and nuclear power generation) accounted for approximately $13 \%$.

People working in the federally regulated private sector were likely to be employed as clerical personnel (26.6\%), professionals (15.1\%) or semi-skilled manual workers (12.9\%). These occupational groups accounted for the majority of the workforce (54.7\% of employees).

Employment activities increased between 2009 and 2010, with employers reporting more hirings, promotions and terminations. Hiring increased by $14.6 \%(+14,294)$, promotions by $27.8 \%(+10,640)$ and terminations by $1.6 \%(+1,727)$.

## Of the four sectors, the largest employment growth occurred in the banking sector

In 2010, the Canadian banking sector, comprising of 25 employers, represented $29.3 \%$ of the federally regulated private sector workforce with a total of 221,570 employees. The majority of these employees worked fulltime (81.6\%) while $18.0 \%$ worked part-time. The largest share of the banking workforce
was employed in the following occupational groups: clerical personnel (40.1\%), professionals (27.4\%), middle and other managers (15.4\%) and administrative and senior clerical personnel (10.6\%).

> The banking, transportation and other sectors reported higher
> number of employees joining than leaving the workforce.

Canada's six largest domestic banks accounted for 201,207 workers, or $90.8 \%$ of the banking sector workforce. These six banks are The Toronto-Dominion Bank, The Royal Bank of Canada, Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce, The Bank of Nova Scotia, The Bank of Montreal and National Bank Financial Group.

Banking experienced the largest employment growth when compared to the communications, transportation and other sectors. In 2010, employment in the banking sector grew by $4.1 \%(+8,791)$ from the previous year. The number of hires increased by $47.1 \%(+10,379)$, while promotions increased by $37.8 \%$ (+7,608). The sector also experienced a rise in terminations by $13.6 \%$ $(+3,125)$ but overall, more people joined the banking sector.

The communications sector experienced the lowest employment growth compared to the other three sectors

The communications sector covered by the Act consists mainly of employers working in radio and television broadcasting, telecommunications and postal and courier services. In terms of workforce size,
communications was the largest sector reporting under the Act in 2010. A total of 231,591 employees were distributed among 89 employers, representing $30.6 \%$ of the workforce. The majority of employees in the communications sector (79.9\%) held full-time occupations while $18.6 \%$ worked part-time. Employees mainly worked in clerical (38.1\%), professional (13.4\%) and middle and other management (10.1\%) occupations.

The largest percentage of workers was employed by telecommunications carriers (47.6\%), followed by postal and courier services ( $34.1 \%$ ) and broadcasting businesses (11.0\%). The seven biggest employers in this sector (Canada Post Corporation, TELUS Communications Company, Rogers Communications Inc., Bell Canada, Purolator Inc., Shaw Communications Inc. and United Parcel Service Canada Ltd.) accounted for $65.8 \%$ of communications employees.

## Although the communications sector

 showed marginal growth in overall employment, more promotion opportunities were provided to employees in this sector.Communications experienced the lowest employment growth among the four industrial sectors, increasing by only $0.04 \%$ (+93) between 2009 and 2010. Promotions increased by $17.9 \%$ (+1,709), but the number of new hires dropped by $7.0 \%(-2,081)$. The number of terminations also decreased by $3.3 \%(-1,133)$ but when compared to the other three sectors, communications was the only sector where more people left than entered the workforce.

## The transportation sector experienced modest growth

In 2010, the Act covered 349 employers in the air, rail, bus and water transportation industries, as well as in inter-provincial trucking and pipelines. A total of 206,085 individuals worked in the transportation sector, representing $27.3 \%$ of the federally regulated private sector workforce. The majority of these employees (86.9\%) held fulltime occupations while $12.7 \%$ worked part-time. They were mainly employed in semi-skilled manual work (34.6\%), skilled crafts and trades ( $16.1 \%$ ) and intermediate sales and service positions (12.4\%).

The highest percentage of employees worked in air transportation (37.5\%), followed by trucking (26.4\%) and rail transportation (15.3\%). The four largest transportation employers (Air Canada, Canadian National Railway Company, Canadian Pacific Railway Company and WestJet Airlines Ltd.) accounted for $28.7 \%$ of sector employees.

Employment increased by $1.4 \%(+2,759)$ between 2009 and 2010. The number of hires and promotions also increased by $19.4 \%$ $(+5,946)$ and $32.9 \%(+1,571)$ respectively. The number of terminations dropped by $4.0 \%$ $(-1,436)$, leading to higher retention rates. Overall, more people entered than exited the transportation workforce.

## The other sector also experienced modest growth

In 2010, the other sector included a group of 81 diverse employers engaged in industries such as security; agriculture; metal ore mining; oil and gas extraction; nuclear power generation; construction management; food, wood, chemical and equipment manufacturing; wholesale trade; warehousing and storage; finance; insurance; real estate; professional, scientific and technical services; waste management; arts, entertainment and recreation; and public administration.

The total number of employees in the other sector was 96,720 , representing $12.8 \%$ of all employees reported in the federally regulated private sector workforce. The majority of employees ( $86.2 \%$ ) were in full-time positions and $11.3 \%$ worked part-time. The largest portion of the other sector workforce was employed in other sales and service (35.3\%) and professional occupations (14.6\%).

Five employers-Le Groupe de Sécurité Garda Inc., Ontario Power Generation, Bruce Power LP, Atomic Energy of Canada Limited and Viterra Inc.-accounted for roughly one-half of all employees in this sector.

Between 2009 and 2010, the number of employees rose by $0.5 \%(+486)$. Hires increased by $0.3 \%(+50)$, but the sector experienced a $6.5 \%(-248)$ decline in promotions.

## Chapter 2

## Women

This chapter provides data on the employment situation of women in the federally regulated private sector workforce covered under the Employment Equity Act. ${ }^{3}$ Key findings from 2010 are compared to those from the previous year unless otherwise indicated.


* The data on Canadian labour market availability of women are obtained from censuses that are conducted once every five years by Statistics Canada.


## There are more women in the workforce since 1987 but their representation remained below their availability in 2010

The number of women employed in the federally regulated private sector workforce increased by $29.3 \%(+71,365)$ since 1987 but has still not regained the 2008 pre-recession level. There has been a drop of $0.6 \%(-1,828)$ in the number of women between 2008 and 2010. Despite gains in actual numbers, women continued to be under-represented in the workforce in 2010. The representation dropped to $41.7 \%$, compared to $42.3 \%$ in 2009 and remained below LMA of 47.9\%. ${ }^{4}$ Women's representation was below the respective LMA in all provinces and territories, with the exception of New Brunswick.

## Higher concentration of women in permanent part-time jobs than full-time jobs

In 2010, women held $38.6 \%$ of the 628,237 permanent full-time and $58.0 \%$ of the 119,945 permanent part-time jobs in the federally regulated private sector. In contrast, a higher concentration of men had been employed in permanent full-time (61.4\%) and less in permanent part-time (42.0\%) work. Of all the women in permanent positions in the workforce, $77.7 \%$ worked full-time, while the other $22.3 \%$ held part-time jobs. By comparison, $88.4 \%$ of men were in permanent full-time positions with only $11.6 \%$ holding permanent part-time jobs.

[^3]
## Women in permanent full-time positions earned more in 2010 compared to the previous year ${ }^{5}$

In 2010, the highest concentration (20.9\%) of women in permanent full-time positions earned between $\$ 50,000$ and $\$ 59,999$. Similarly, $20.1 \%$ of men were in the same salary range.

When the salary ranges were combined, the majority of women (48.6\%) in the permanent full-time workforce earned below $\$ 50,000$ and $30.5 \%$ earned $\$ 60,000$ or more in 2010. In comparison, $31.7 \%$ of men earned less than $\$ 50,000$ and $48.2 \%$ received $\$ 60,000$ or more.

Between 2009 and 2010, the number of women in permanent full-time jobs who earned below $\$ 50,000$ was reduced by $2.6 \%$ $(-3,102)$ while those who earned $\$ 60,000$ or more increased by $3.3 \%(+2,391)$. The number of men who earned less than $\$ 50,000$ also dropped by $2.7 \%(-3,408)$ and those who earned $\$ 60,000$ and above grew by $5.4 \%$ $(+9,460)$.

More promotion opportunities
for women in the federally regulated private sector led to
improvement in their salaries.

## Distribution <br> of women working in professional occupations continued to improve

The highest proportion (42.6\%) of women in the workforce remained in clerical positions, although the number decreased compared to previous years. The distribution of women working in professional occupations continued to improve, increasing from $16.0 \%$ in 2009 to 16.5\% in 2010.

Women's representation in senior management positions increased slightly from $22.3 \%$ in 2009 to $22.5 \%$ in 2010 , but still continued to be below LMA of $24.2 \%$.

The representation of women in less traditional work, such as crafts and trades supervisors and skilled crafts and trades, has increased over time. Yet, women remained under-represented in these occupations.

| Salary Range | Distribution of Permanent Full-time Employees |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009 |  | 2010 |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Men } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Women \% | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { Men } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Women \% |
| Below \$50,000 | 33.2 | 49.7 | 31.7 | 48.6 |
| \$50,000 to \$59,999 | 20.3 | 20.8 | 20.1 | 20.9 |
| \$60,000 and above | 46.6 | 29.4 | 48.2 | 30.5 |

[^4]
## More women entered the workforce and more were promoted in 2010

Between 2009 and 2010, the number of women hired into the federally regulated private sector increased by $14.4 \%$ (+5,017), but their share of hiring actually dropped slightly from $35.6 \%$ to $35.5 \%$, remaining below LMA of $47.9 \%{ }^{6}$

Promotions for women improved by $31.4 \%$ $(+5,868)$; their share of promotions increased from $48.8 \%$ to $50.2 \%$ and remained above representation. A higher number of women left the workforce in 2010 compared to the previous year, an increase of $2.4 \%(+969)$. Their share of terminations also increased from $37.6 \%$ to $37.9 \%$. Their terminations share remained below representation.

## Banking was the only sector where both women's representation and hiring continued to exceed availability

The highest number and representation of women in the federally regulated private sector workforce continued to be in the banking sector. The number of women in this sector increased by $2.3 \%(+3,248)$ from 2009 to 2010 but their representation dropped from $66.6 \%$ to $65.4 \%$. Similarly, $41.9 \%(+5,250)$ more women were hired, while their share of hiring decreased from 56.9\% to 54.9\%.

The representation of women in
the banking sector exceeded
those in the other three sectors
and continued to be above their
LMA in this sector.

Banking was the only sector where both the workforce representation and hiring of women continued to exceed LMA.

More women were promoted in the banking sector in 2010 , an increase of $37.9 \%(+4,683)$ from the previous year, with a slight share increase from 61.3\% to 61.4\%. However, their share of promotions remained below representation.

The number of women who left the workforce also grew by $13.6 \%(+1,932)$ but their share of terminations remained stable at $61.7 \%$ and below representation.

In 2010, fewer job opportunities in the communications sector resulted in minimal hiring of women into this sector

Between 2009 and 2010, the number of women employed in the communications sector decreased by $2.1 \%(-1,924)$. The representation also declined from 39.9\% to $39.1 \%$. With fewer hiring opportunities in this sector, there was a drop of $14.4 \%(-1,524)$ in the number of women hired and their share of hiring also fell from $35.5 \%$ to $32.7 \%$, well below LMA.

There was an increase of $18.0 \%$ (+643) in the number of women promoted. Their share of promotions remained stable at $37.4 \%$, but was lower than their representation.

The number of women who left the workforce decreased by $3.9 \%(-523)$. Their share of terminations reduced from $38.6 \%$ to $38.4 \%$ and remained lower than their representation.

More women worked as well as were hired and promoted in the transportation sector in 2010

The number of women in the transportation sector increased by $1.0 \%(+537)$ in 2010 compared to the previous year but their representation decreased from $25.5 \%$ to $25.4 \%$. Also, the number of women hired rose by $19.6 \%(+1,488)$, while their share of hiring remained stable at $24.8 \%$. Both representation and hiring share continued to be about half of availability.

The transportation sector provided women with more promotions, an increase of 49.9\% $(+717)$ over the previous year. The share of women promoted grew from $30.1 \%$ to $33.9 \%$ and was above representation.

Employers in the transportation sector were able to retain more women. The number of women who left the workforce dropped by $4.9 \%$ (-423). Their share of terminations fell from $24.1 \%$ to $23.9 \%$ and was below representation.

Fewer promotion opportunities in the other sector in 2010 resulted in a reduction of promotions of women in this sector

In the other sector, the number of women dropped by $4.4 \%(-1,238)$ and their representation decreased from $29.6 \%$ in 2009 to $28.1 \%$ in 2010 . The number of women hired fell by $4.9 \%$ (-197) with their share of hiring decreasing from $26.5 \%$ to $25.1 \%$. Both women's representation and hiring share remained below LMA.

With fewer promotion opportunities in this sector, women received a lower number of promotions, a drop of $13.3 \%(-175)$ between 2009 and 2010. Their share of promotions decreased from $34.7 \%$ to $32.2 \%$. However, the promotions share remained above representation.

Fewer women left the other sector in 2010. When compared to the previous year, there was a drop of $0.5 \%(-17)$. The share of women terminated also decreased from $28.9 \%$ to $26.2 \%$ and remained below representation.

In 2010, the increase in the number of promotions in banking, communications and transportation attributed to higher levels of promotions for women. Women who worked in the other sector encountered limited promotional opportunities, in line with the lower number of promotions in this sector.

## Chapter 3

## Aboriginal Peoples

This chapter provides data on the employment situation of Aboriginal peoples in the federally regulated private sector workforce covered under the Employment Equity Act. ${ }^{7}$ Key findings from 2010 are compared to those from the previous year unless otherwise indicated.


Representation and Availability of Aboriginal Peoples in the Federally Regulated Private Sector


* The data on Canadian labour market availability of Aboriginal peoples are obtained from censuses that are conducted once every five years by Statistics Canada. In 1996, the Census methodology changed with respect to computation of the availability of Aboriginal peoples, thereby causing a drop.


## There are more Aboriginal peoples in the workforce since 1987 but their representation remained below their availability in 2010

The number of Aboriginal peoples employed in the federally regulated private sector more than tripled since 1987. Between 2009 and 2010, the number of Aboriginal employees rose by $4.8 \%$ (+670). Their representation has grown over time but stayed at $1.9 \%$ over the past four years and continued to be below LMA of $3.1 \%{ }^{8}$ Aboriginal peoples were also under-represented in every Canadian province and territory, when compared to the respective availability of each area.

Representation of Aboriginal peoples in permanent part-time jobs exceeded their representation in permanent fulltime jobs

In 2010, Aboriginal peoples accounted for $1.9 \%$ of the permanent full-time employees and $2.1 \%$ of the permanent part-time employees in the federally regulated private sector.

Eighty-three percent of Aboriginal employees holding permanent positions worked full-time, while the other $17.0 \%$ held part-time jobs. Non-Aboriginal employees exhibited similar trends.

## Aboriginal peoples in permanent full-time positions earned more in 2010 compared to the previous year ${ }^{9}$

The highest concentration (21.3\%) of Aboriginal peoples in permanent full-time positions earned between $\$ 50,000$ and $\$ 59,999$. Similarly, 20.4\% of non-Aboriginal employees were in the same salary range.

When the salary ranges were combined, the majority of Aboriginal peoples (42.1\%) in the permanent full-time workforce earned below $\$ 50,000$ and $36.5 \%$ earned $\$ 60,000$ or more in 2010. In comparison, $38.2 \%$ of nonAboriginal employees earned less than $\$ 50,000$ and $41.5 \%$ received $\$ 60,000$ or more.

Between 2009 and 2010, the number of Aboriginal peoples in permanent full-time jobs who earned below $\$ 50,000$ was reduced by $0.4 \%(-18)$ while those who earned $\$ 60,000$ or more increased by $7.8 \%$ (+319). The number of non-Aboriginal employees who earned less than $\$ 50,000$ also dropped by $2.7 \%(-6,492)$ and those who earned \$60,000 and above grew by $4.7 \%(+11,532)$.

## Both Aboriginal women and men in permanent full-time positions earned more in 2010 compared to the previous year

Of the 14,686 Aboriginal employees in the 2010 federally regulated private sector workforce, Aboriginal women represented 40.7\% and Aboriginal men 59.3\%.

In the 2010 permanent full-time workforce, the highest concentration (20.1\%) of Aboriginal women earned between \$50,000 and $\$ 59,999$. Similarly, $22.1 \%$ of Aboriginal men were in the same salary range. These proportions were close to those of all women (20.9\%) and men (20.1\%).

Following the combining of salary ranges, $57.0 \%$ of Aboriginal women employed in permanent full-time positions earned an annual salary of less than $\$ 50,000$. In contrast, $33.5 \%$ of Aboriginal men earned less than $\$ 50,000$ in 2010 . By comparison, the figures for all women and all men were $48.6 \%$ and $31.7 \%$ respectively.

Only $22.9 \%$ of all Aboriginal women in permanent full-time positions earned \$60,000 or more, compared to $44.4 \%$ of all Aboriginal men in 2010. This is compared to $30.5 \%$ of all women and $48.2 \%$ of all men in the same salary range.

| Salary Range | Distribution of Permanent Full-time Employees |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009 |  |  |  | 2010 |  |  |  |
|  | Men \% | Women <br> \% | Aboriginal Men \% | Aboriginal Women \% | Men \% | Women <br> \% | Aboriginal Men \% | Aboriginal Women \% |
| Below \$50,000 | 33.2 | 49.7 | 35.4 | 58.3 | 31.7 | 48.6 | 33.5 | 57.0 |
| \$50,000 to \$59,999 | 20.3 | 20.8 | 20.8 | 20.4 | 20.1 | 20.9 | 22.1 | 20.1 |
| \$60,000 and above | 46.6 | 29.4 | 43.8 | 21.3 | 48.2 | 30.5 | 44.4 | 22.9 |

9 As prescribed under the Employment Equity Act, data are collected by 14 salary ranges. Since the highest concentration of employees in permanent full-time positions is in the $\$ 50,000$ to $\$ 59,999$ salary range, analyses have been carried out for the ranges above and below this range.

When the number of Aboriginal women in permanent full-time jobs who earned below $\$ 50,000$ was compared to the previous year, there was a reduction of $0.5 \%(-14)$ while the number of those who earned $\$ 60,000$ or more increased by $9.2 \%$ (+86). The number of Aboriginal men who earned less than $\$ 50,000$ was also reduced by $0.2 \%(-4)$ and those who earned $\$ 60,000$ and above grew by $7.4 \%$ (+233).

## Distribution of Aboriginal peoples

 working in semi-skilled manual work continued to growThe highest proportion (25.7\%) of Aboriginal peoples in the workforce continued to occupy clerical positions, however the number decreased compared to previous years. The distribution of Aboriginal peoples in semiskilled manual occupations continued to rise, increasing from $18.2 \%$ in 2009 to $18.8 \%$ in 2010.

Aboriginal peoples' representation increased in 9 of the 14 occupational groups but continued to be below availability except in supervisory crafts and trades positions.

## More Aboriginal peoples entered the workforce and more were promoted in 2010

The number of Aboriginal peoples hired into the federally regulated private sector increased by $27.0 \%$ (+529) from 2009 to 2010. Their share of hiring also increased from $2.0 \%$ to $2.2 \%$, yet remained below LMA of $3.1 \% .^{10}$

The increase in the overall number of
hires and promotions in the federally
regulated private sector led to more
hiring and promotion opportunities for
Aboriginal peoples.

Promotions for Aboriginal peoples improved by $33.7 \%(+218)$, while their share of promotions increased from $1.7 \%$ to $1.8 \%$. The promotions share remained below representation.

A higher number of Aboriginal employees left the workforce-an increase of $0.4 \%$ (+9). Their share of terminations remained stable at $2.3 \%$, continuing to be above representation.

## More Aboriginal peoples were hired and promoted in the banking sector

In the banking sector, the number of Aboriginal peoples increased between 2009 and 2010 by $0.3 \%(+9)$. The representation remained stable at $1.3 \%$. The number of Aboriginal employees hired went up by $27.3 \%$ (+90), and the hiring share decreased from $1.5 \%$ to $1.3 \%$. Both representation and hiring rates for Aboriginal peoples continued to be well below LMA.

More Aboriginal peoples were promoted in the banking sector in 2010, an increase of 50.4\% (+133) from the previous year. Their share of promotions increased from $1.3 \%$ to $1.4 \%$ and was above representation. to representation.

The number of Aboriginal employees who left the workforce grew by $6.6 \%(+24)$. Their share of terminations decreased from $1.6 \%$ to $1.5 \%$, but remained higher than representation.

More Aboriginal peoples worked in the communications sector and more were hired and promoted in 2010

Between 2009 and 2010, the number of Aboriginal peoples in the communications workforce increased by $7.0 \%$ (+258). The representation also increased from $1.6 \%$ to $1.7 \%$. There was an increase of $11.4 \%(+47)$ in the number of Aboriginal peoples hired despite limited opportunities. Their share of hiring also increased from $1.4 \%$ to $1.7 \%$, and yet both representation and hiring shares remained lower than LMA.

The number of Aboriginal peoples promoted increased by $26.5 \%(+43)$. Their share of promotions increased from $1.7 \%$ to $1.8 \%$ and was above representation.

Despite a rise of $1.1 \%(+6)$ in the number of Aboriginal peoples leaving the communications sector, an increase from $1.5 \%$ to $1.6 \%$ in the terminations share, their termination rate remained lower than the representation level.

The highest representation of
Aboriginal peoples was in
transportation, followed by the
other sector.

## Highest number and representation of Aboriginal peoples occurred in the transportation sector

The highest number and representation of Aboriginal peoples in the 2010 federally regulated private sector workforce was found in the transportation sector. When compared to the previous year, their number increased by $5.9 \%$ (+300) and representation from 2.5\% to $2.6 \%$. Also, the number of Aboriginal peoples hired rose by $36.7 \%$ (+337), while their share of hiring increased from $3.0 \%$ to $3.4 \%$. Despite these increases, Aboriginal peoples remained under-represented in this sector.

Between 2009 and 2010, promotions for Aboriginal peoples in the transportation sector increased by $39.5 \%(+45)$. Their share of promotions grew from $2.4 \%$ to $2.5 \%$. However, the share of Aboriginal peoples promoted was below representation.

Although the number of Aboriginal peoples who left the transportation workforce dropped by $3.3 \%(-39)$, their share of terminations increased from $3.2 \%$ to $3.3 \%$ and was higher than their representation.

## More Aboriginal peoples worked and were hired in the other sector

More Aboriginal peoples were employed in the other sector in 2010 compared to the previous year. Their number increased by $4.4 \%(+103)$ while their representation remained at $2.5 \%$. The number of Aboriginal peoples hired increased by $18.4 \%(+55)$ and their hiring share grew from $2.0 \%$ to $2.3 \%$. Despite the improvement in the figures for Aboriginal peoples, both representation and hiring share remained below LMA.

With fewer promotion opportunities in this sector, Aboriginal peoples received a lower number of promotions, a decrease of $2.8 \%$ $(-3)$, but their share of promotions increased from $2.8 \%$ to $2.9 \%$ and was above representation.

More Aboriginal peoples left the other sector, an increase of $5.1 \%(+18)$. Their share of terminations dropped from $2.9 \%$ to $2.8 \%$, but remained above representation.

## Chapter 4

## Persons with Disabilities

This chapter provides data on the employment situation of persons with disabilities in the federally regulated private sector workforce covered under the Employment Equity Act. ${ }^{11}$ Key findings from 2010 are compared to those from the previous year unless otherwise indicated.


Representation and Availability of Persons with Disabilities in the Federally Regulated Private Sector


* The data on Canadian labour market availability of persons with disabilities are obtained from surveys that are conducted by Statistics Canada. Note that, since 1987, only three surveys were conducted. The Health and Activity Limitation Survey was conducted in 1991 followed by the Participation and Activity Limitation Survey (PALS) in 2001 and 2006. The PALS methodology used the World Health Organization's International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health framework to define disability rather than the 1980 International Classification of Impairments, Disabilities, and Handicaps, which caused a drop.

There are more persons with disabilities in the workforce since 1987 but their representation remained below their availability in 2010

There are more than twice the number of persons with disabilities employed in the federally regulated private sector workforce than in 1987. However, between 2009 and

2010, the number of employees with disabilities dropped by $0.5 \%(-101)$. Their representation fluctuated over the years but also dropped from $2.7 \%$ in 2009 to $2.6 \%$ in 2010 and continued to be below LMA of $4.9 \% .^{12}$ Representation for persons with disabilities was below the respective availability of all provinces and territories.

[^5]Percentage of persons with disabilities who worked in permanent full-time jobs exceeded percentage of persons without disabilities

In 2010, the representation of persons with disabilities employed in permanent full-time positions remained stable at $2.7 \%$ but increased to $2.4 \%$ in permanent part-time positions.

A slightly higher proportion of persons with disabilities held permanent full-time jobs compared to persons without disabilities ( $85.4 \%$ and $83.9 \%$ respectively). A lower proportion of persons with disabilities were employed in permanent part-time positions (14.6\%) compared to persons without disabilities (16.1\%).

Persons with disabilities in permanent full-time positions earned more in 2010 but earnings remained below those of persons without disabilities ${ }^{13}$

The highest concentration (26.2\%) of persons with disabilities in permanent full-time positions earned between $\$ 50,000$ and $\$ 59,999$. Similarly, 20.2\% of employees without disabilities were in the same salary range.

When the permanent full-time salary ranges were combined for 2010 , a slightly higher proportion of persons with disabilities (36.9\%) earned $\$ 60,000$ or more and $36.8 \%$ earned below $\$ 50,000$. In comparison, $41.5 \%$ of employees without disabilities earned $\$ 60,000$ or more and $38.3 \%$ received less than $\$ 50,000$.

Between 2009 and 2010, the number of persons with disabilities in permanent full-time jobs who earned below \$50,000
decreased by $8.1 \%$ (-546) while those who earned $\$ 60,000$ or more increased by $3.4 \%$ (+205). The number of employees without disabilities who earned less than $\$ 50,000$ also dropped by $2.5 \%(-5,964)$ and those who earned $\$ 60,000$ and above grew by $4.8 \%$ (+1 1,646).

## Both women and men with disabilities in permanent full-time positions earned more in 2010 compared to the previous year

Of the 19,658 persons with disabilities in the 2010 federally regulated private sector workforce, women with disabilities represented $45.2 \%$ and men with disabilities 54.8\%.

In the 2010 permanent full-time workforce, the highest concentration (26.0\%) of women with disabilities earned between $\$ 50,000$ and $\$ 59,999$. Similarly, $26.4 \%$ of men with disabilities were in the same salary range. By comparison, these figures were higher than those for all women (20.9\%) and all men (20.1\%).

Following the combining of salary ranges, $48.1 \%$ of women with disabilities employed in permanent full-time positions earned an annual salary of less than $\$ 50,000$. In contrast, $28.7 \%$ of men with disabilities earned less than $\$ 50,000$ in 2010. By comparison, the figures for all women and all men were $48.6 \%$ and $31.7 \%$ respectively.

Only $26.0 \%$ of all women with disabilities in permanent full-time positions earned $\$ 60,000$ or more, compared to $44.9 \%$ of all men with disabilities in 2010. This is compared to $30.5 \%$ of all women and $48.2 \%$ of all men in the same salary range.

[^6]| Salary Range | Distribution of Permanent Full-time Employees |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009 |  |  |  | 2010 |  |  |  |
|  | Men \% | Women <br> \% | Men with Disabilities \% | Women with Disabilities \% | Men \% | Women <br> \% | Men with Disabilities \% | Women with Disabilities \% |
| Below \$50,000 | 33.2 | 49.7 | 31.5 | 50.5 | 31.7 | 48.6 | 28.7 | 48.1 |
| \$50,000 to \$59,999 | 20.3 | 20.8 | 25.8 | 24.7 | 20.1 | 20.9 | 26.4 | 26.0 |
| \$60,000 and above | 46.6 | 29.4 | 42.7 | 24.8 | 48.2 | 30.5 | 44.9 | 26.0 |

When the number of women with disabilities in permanent full-time jobs who earned below $\$ 50,000$ was compared to the previous year, there was a reduction of $6.8 \%(-244)$ while the number of those who earned $\$ 60,000$ or more increased by $2.6 \%(+46)$. The number of men with disabilities who earned less than \$50,000 also fell by $9.8 \%$ (-302) while those who earned $\$ 60,000$ and above grew by $3.8 \%$ (+159).

## More persons with disabilities worked in the professional occupational group

The highest proportion (38.5\%) of persons with disabilities in the workforce continued to occupy clerical positions, although the figure has decreased from 2009. Subsequently, the distribution of persons with disabilities in professional occupations continued to rise, increasing from 12.7\% in 2009 to 12.9\% in 2010.

The representation of persons with disabilities exceeded LMA only in administrative and senior clerical occupations, continuing the trend from recent years.

## More persons with disabilities left than entered the workforce in 2010, contributing to the decrease in representation for this designated group

Although the number of persons with disabilities hired into the federally regulated private sector workforce increased by $4.3 \%$ (+59) from 2009 to 2010, their share of hiring fell slightly from $1.4 \%$ to $1.3 \%$ and remained below LMA of 4.9\%. ${ }^{14}$

Promotions for persons with disabilities improved by $26.7 \%$ (+236), while their share of promotions stayed unchanged at $2.3 \%$ and remained below representation.

A higher number of employees with disabilities left the workforce, an increase of $1.3 \%(+33)$ but their share of terminations remained stable at $2.4 \%$ and continued to be below representation.

[^7]More persons with disabilities were hired and promoted in the banking sector

The highest number and representation of persons with disabilities in the 2010 federally regulated private sector workforce were found in the banking sector. Compared to 2009, the number of persons with disabilities increased by $1.2 \%$ (+89) but the representation dropped from $3.6 \%$ to $3.5 \%$. Similarly, the number of persons with disabilities hired grew by $25.5 \%$ (+131) but the hiring share decreased from $2.3 \%$ to $2.0 \%$. Both representation and hiring rates for persons with disabilities continued to be below LMA.

## The representation of persons with

 disabilities in the banking sector kept outperforming their representation in the other three sectors.More persons with disabilities were promoted in the banking sector in 2010, an increase of $24.8 \%$ (+142) from the previous year. Their share of promotions decreased from $2.8 \%$ to $2.6 \%$ and was below representation.

The number of persons with disabilities who left the workforce increased by $6.2 \%$ (+52) but their share of terminations fell from $3.6 \%$ to $3.4 \%$ and it was lower than their representation.

## More persons with disabilities worked in the communications sector and more were promoted in 2010

Between 2009 and 2010, the number of persons with disabilities in the communications sector increased by 3.9\% (+227). The representation also increased from $2.5 \%$ to $2.6 \%$. With fewer hiring opportunities, there was a drop of $10.1 \%(-34)$ in the number of persons with disabilities hired but their share of hiring remained stable at $1.1 \%$. Both representation and hiring share of persons with disabilities remained lower than LMA.

There was an increase of $40.7 \%(+70)$ in the number of persons with disabilities promoted. Their promotion share also increased from $1.8 \%$ to $2.1 \%$ but stayed below representation.

The number of persons with disabilities who left the communications sector rose by 13.0\% (+99). Their share of terminations increased from $2.2 \%$ to $2.6 \%$, reaching the same level as their representation in this sector.

## More persons with disabilities were hired and promoted in the transportation sector in 2010

The number of persons with disabilities in the transportation sector decreased by $1.5 \%$ (-64) in 2010 compared to the previous year but their representation remained stable at $2.0 \%$. The number of employees with disabilities hired rose by $11.7 \%(+38)$, while their share of hiring dropped from $1.1 \%$ to $1.0 \%$. Both representation and hiring share continued to be below LMA.

Persons with disabilities were provided with more promotions in this sector, an increase of $64.3 \%(+36)$. The share of employees with disabilities promoted grew from $1.2 \%$ to $1.4 \%$.

Employers in the transportation sector were also able to retain more employees with disabilities. The number of persons with disabilities who left the workforce dropped by $8.3 \%(-51)$. Their share of terminations fell from $1.7 \%$ to $1.6 \%$ and remained below representation.

Despite the reduction in promotion opportunities in the other sector, the share of persons with disabilities who were promoted was above representation

In the other sector, the number of persons with disabilities dropped by $16.4 \%(-353)$ and their representation decreased from $2.2 \%$ in 2009 to $1.9 \%$ in 2010. The number of persons with disabilities hired also fell by $40.6 \%$ ( -76 ) with the share of hiring decreasing from $1.2 \%$ to $0.7 \%$. Both representation and hiring rates remained below LMA.

With fewer promotion opportunities in this sector, persons with disabilities received a lower number of promotions, a drop of $14.6 \%$ (-12) between 2009 and 2010. Their share of promotions decreased from $2.2 \%$ to $2.0 \%$. Yet the promotions remained above representation.

Fewer employees with disabilities left the other sector in 2010. When compared to the previous year, there was a drop of $23.1 \%$ (-67). The share of terminations dropped from 2.4\% to $1.7 \%$ and was below representation.

## Chapter 5

## Members of Visible Minorities

This chapter provides data on the employment situation of members of visible minorities in the federally regulated private sector workforce covered under the Employment Equity Act. ${ }^{15}$ Key findings from 2010 are compared to those from the previous year unless otherwise indicated.


Representation and Availability of Members of Visible Minorities in the Federally Regulated Private Sector


* The data on Canadian labour market availability of members of visible minorities are obtained from censuses that are conducted once every five years by Statistics Canada.


## There are more members of visible minorities in the workforce since 1987 and their representation exceeded their availability in 2010

The number of members of visible minorities employed in the federally regulated private sector has steadily grown since 1987. Between 2009 and 2010, the number of visible minority employees rose by $5.5 \%$ $(+6,948)$. Meanwhile, their representation grew over the years and reached an all-time high of $17.8 \%$ in 2010 , exceeding LMA of $15.3 \%{ }^{16}$ The representation of members of visible minorities met or exceeded respective availability in all provinces and territories except for Prince Edward Island.

Higher concentration of members of visible minorities in permanent part-time jobs than full-time jobs

In 2010, members of visible minorities accounted for $17.6 \%$ of permanent full-time and $18.8 \%$ of permanent part-time employees in the federally regulated private sector.

Compared to non-visible minorities, visible minority members had a slightly lower proportion of workers in permanent full-time positions and a higher proportion in permanent part-time jobs.

[^8]Members of visible minorities in permanent full-time positions earned more in 2010 compared to the previous year ${ }^{17}$

The highest concentration (18.1\%) of members of visible minorities in permanent full-time positions earned between $\$ 50,000$ and $\$ 59,999$. Similarly, 20.9\% of non-visible minority employees were in the same salary range.

When the salary ranges were combined for 2010, the majority of members of visible minorities (46.1\%) who worked in permanent full-time positions earned below $\$ 50,000$, following the trend previously noted for women and Aboriginal peoples, and 35.8\% earned $\$ 60,000$ or more. In comparison, $36.5 \%$ of non-visible minority employees earned less than $\$ 50,000$ and $42.6 \%$ received $\$ 60,000$ or more.

Between 2009 and 2010, the number of members of visible minorities in permanent full-time jobs who earned below \$50,000 increased by $2.0 \%$ (+992) and those who earned $\$ 60,000$ or more increased by $9.2 \%$ $(+3,350)$. The number of non-visible minority employees who earned less than $\$ 50,000$ dropped by $3.8 \%(-7,502)$ and those who earned $\$ 60,000$ and above grew by $4.0 \%$ $(+8,501)$.

Both visible minority women and men in permanent full-time positions earned more in 2010 compared to the previous year

Of the 134,256 members of visible minorities in the 2010 federally regulated private sector workforce, visible minority women represented $44.0 \%$ and visible minority men 56.0\%.

In the 2010 permanent full-time workforce, the highest concentration ( $18.1 \%$ ) of visible minority women earned between $\$ 50,000$ and $\$ 59,999$. Similarly, $18.2 \%$ of visible minority men were in the same salary range. These proportions were close to those of all women (20.9\%) and men (20.1\%).

Following the combining of salary ranges, the majority of visible minority women (53.5\%) employed in permanent full-time positions earned an annual salary of less than $\$ 50,000$ in 2010. In contrast, $40.4 \%$ of visible minority men earned less than $\$ 50,000$. By comparison, the figures for all women and all men were $48.6 \%$ and $31.7 \%$ respectively.

The proportion of visible minority women earning an annual salary of $\$ 60,000$ or more in permanent full-time occupations was $28.4 \%$ compared to $41.4 \%$ of visible minority men. The figures were $30.5 \%$ for all women and $48.2 \%$ for all men in the same salary range.

[^9]\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Salary Range} \& \multicolumn{8}{|c|}{Distribution of Permanent Full-time Employees} \\
\hline \& \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{2009} \& \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{2010} \\
\hline \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Men \\
\%
\end{tabular} \& Women

$\%$ \& Visible Minority Men \% \& Visible Minority Women \% \& Men
\% \& Women

$\%$ \& Visible Minority Men \% \& Visible Minority Women \% <br>
\hline Below \$50,000 \& 33.2 \& 49.7 \& 41.7 \& 54.8 \& 31.7 \& 48.6 \& 40.4 \& 53.5 <br>
\hline \$50,000 to \$59,999 \& 20.3 \& 20.8 \& 18.1 \& 18.0 \& 20.1 \& 20.9 \& 18.2 \& 18.1 <br>
\hline \$60,000 and above \& 46.6 \& 29.4 \& 40.2 \& 27.1 \& 48.2 \& 30.5 \& 41.4 \& 28.4 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

Between 2009 and 2010, the number of visible minority women in permanent full-time jobs who earned below $\$ 50,000$ increased by $0.3 \%(+87)$ and those who earned $\$ 60,000$ or more rose by $7.8 \%$ ( +984 ). The number of visible minority men who earned less than $\$ 50,000$ also increased by $3.7 \%$ (+905) and those who earned $\$ 60,000$ and above grew by 10.0\% (+2,366).

## Representation of members of visible minorities increased in most occupational groups

The highest proportion of members of visible minorities in the workforce continued to be found in clerical (31.6\%) and professional (21.6\%) occupations.

Representation for visible minority members rose in most occupational groups. Their 2010 representation also met or exceeded LMA in 8 of the 14 occupational groups. Representation at the senior management level remained below availability.

Overall, many more members of visible minorities entered than exited the federally regulated private sector contributing to an increase in their representation

Between 2009 and 2010, the number of members of visible minorities hired into the federally regulated private sector increased by $27.5 \%(+4,514)$. Their share of hiring also increased from $16.8 \%$ to $18.7 \%$ and exceeded LMA of $15.3 \% .^{18}$

Promotions for members of visible minorities improved by $41.7 \%(+3,569)$ and their share of promotions increased from $22.4 \%$ to $24.8 \%$. Their promotions share continued to be above representation.

Although the number of members of visible minority employees who left the workforce increased by $4.6 \%(+774)$ and their share of terminations rose from $16.0 \%$ to $16.4 \%$, their terminations share continued to be below representation.

[^10]More members of visible minorities were hired than left the banking sector resulting in continued growth in their representation in this sector

The highest number and representation of members of visible minorities in the 2010 federally regulated private sector workforce occurred in the banking sector. The number of members of visible minorities in this sector increased by $7.8 \%(+4,382)$ from 2009 to 2010 and their representation grew from $26.4 \%$ to $27.3 \%$. Similarly, $81.7 \%(+3,718)$ more visible minority employees were hired, while their share of hiring increased from $20.7 \%$ to $25.5 \%$. Both the workforce representation and hiring of members of visible minorities continued to exceed LMA.

The representation of members
of visible minorities increased
from 2009 to 2010 in all four
sectors and continued to be
above LMA in the banking and communications sectors.

More visible minority employees were promoted in the banking sector in 2010, an increase of $48.8 \%(+2,896)$ from the previous year. Their share of promotions increased from $29.5 \%$ in 2009 to $31.8 \%$ in 2010 , and was above representation.

The number of members of visible minorities who left the workforce also grew by $14.5 \%$ (+797). Their share of terminations increased from $23.9 \%$ to $24.1 \%$ but stayed below representation.

## Members of visible minorities received more promotions and their representation improved and continued to be above LMA in the communications sector

Between 2009 and 2010, the number of members of visible minorities employed in the communications sector increased by $2.6 \%$ (+967). The representation also grew from $15.8 \%$ to $16.2 \%$. With fewer hiring opportunities in this sector, there was a drop of $6.3 \%(-358)$ in the number of members of visible minorities hired but their share of hiring rose from 19.1\% to 19.2\%. Rates for both representation and hiring share were above LMA.

Promotion opportunities for members of visible minorities improved in the communications sector in 2010. The number of members of visible minorities promoted increased by $24.5 \%$ (+395) from the previous year. Their share of promotions rose from $16.9 \%$ to $17.8 \%$ and continued to reach a level above their representation.

Although the number of visible minority employees who left the workforce decreased by $0.7 \%$ ( -40 ), their share of terminations increased from $17.0 \%$ to $17.4 \%$ and exceeded the representation level.

## More members of visible minorities were hired and promoted in the transportation sector

The number of members of visible minorities in the transportation sector increased by 6.1\% $(+1,292)$ in 2010 compared to the previous year and their representation grew from 10.4\% to $10.8 \%$. The number of members of visible minorities hired rose by $33.4 \%(+1,295)$, while their share of hiring went up from $12.6 \%$ to $14.1 \%$. Both representation and hiring share continued to be below LMA.

The transportation sector provided members of visible minorities with more promotion opportunities in 2010. The number of promotions increased by $67.9 \%$ (+341) from the previous year and the share of those who were promoted increased from $10.5 \%$ to 13.3\%. The promotions share was above representation.

Between 2009 and 2010, the number of visible minority employees who left the workforce increased by $0.4 \%(+17)$. The share of those who were terminated also increased from $11.0 \%$ to $11.5 \%$ and was above representation.

## In 2010, fewer promotion opportunities in the other sector resulted in a reduction of promotions for members of visible minorities in this sector

In the other sector, the number of members of visible minorities increased by $2.3 \%(+307)$ and their representation grew from $14.0 \%$ in 2009 to $14.2 \%$ in 2010 . The number of members of visible minorities hired fell by $6.2 \%(-141)$ with the share of hiring decreasing from $15.0 \%$ to $14.0 \%$. Both members of visible minorities' representation and hiring share remained below LMA.

With fewer promotion opportunities in this sector, members of visible minorities received a lower number of promotions in 2010 compared to the previous year. The number of visible minority employees promoted declined by $12.5 \%$ (-63). Their share of promotions decreased from $13.3 \%$ to $12.4 \%$ and was below representation.

Employers in the other sector retained visible minority employees. The same amount of members of visible minorities left the workforce in 2009 and $2010(1,495)$. The share of terminations for members of visible minorities decreased from $12.4 \%$ to $11.3 \%$, remaining below representation.

In 2010, the increase in the number of promotions in banking, communications and transportation attributed to higher levels of promotions for members of visible minorities. Visible minority employees who worked in the other sector encountered limited promotional opportunities, in line with the lower number of overall promotions in this sector.

# I Appendix A Tables - Federally Regulated Private Sector Employers ${ }^{10}$ 



Under section 20 of the Employment Equity Act, the Minister of Labour is required to table in Parliament a consolidation and analysis of the reports provided annually by federally regulated private sector employers.

This annual report consolidates the 2010 employer reports and includes tables showing data on the four designated groups. The data provided is from various calendar years: 1987 (the year data was first collected), 2001 (the year the Act was last reviewed), as well as 2009 and 2010.

Tables 1 to 7 in this appendix present data aggregated to include permanent full-time, permanent part-time and temporary employees. Tables 8 to 10 cover data on hires, promotions and terminations for permanent full-time and permanent part-time employees. Table 11 summarizes information for the four industrial sectors: representation, hires, promotions, terminations and the net effect of hires less terminations. Tables 12 and 13 present salary data for permanent full-time and permanent part-time employees respectively.

This annual report consolidates
the 2010 employer reports and
includes tables showing data on
the four designated groups.
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Table 11 Number, Representation, Hires, Promotions, Terminations and Net Effect of Employees in the Federally Regulated Private Sector by Designated Group and Sector (1987, 2001, 2009 and 2010)

Table 12 Federally Regulated Private Sector Employees in Permanent Full-Time Employment by Designated Group, Gender and Salary Range as of December 31, 2010

Table 13 Federally Regulated Private Sector Employees in Permanent Part-Time Employment by Designated Group, Gender and Salary Range as of December 31, 2010
Table 1 as of December 31, 2010

| Sector \& Subsector | All Employees |  |  | Aboriginal Peoples |  |  | Persons with Disabilities |  |  | Members of Visible Minorities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women |
| Depository Credit Intermediation | 220,289 | 76,030 | 144,259 | 2,859 | 667 | 2,192 | 7,649 | 2,447 | 5,202 | 60,339 | 24,819 | 35,520 |
| Monetary Authorities Central Bank | 1,281 | 617 | 664 | 13 | 9 | 4 | 23 | 13 | 10 | 198 | 111 | 87 |
| Banking | 221,570 | 76,647 | 144,923 | 2,872 | 676 | 2,196 | 7,672 | 2,460 | 5,212 | 60,537 | 24,930 | 35,607 |
| Couriers | 22,128 | 16,688 | 5,440 | 508 | 371 | 137 | 550 | 423 | 127 | 4,889 | 3,695 | 1,194 |
| Information Services | 304 | 197 | 107 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 30 | 17 | 13 |
| Local Messengers and Local Delivery | 10,031 | 7,464 | 2,567 | 174 | 136 | 38 | 133 | 98 | 35 | 2,885 | 2,289 | 596 |
| Pay TV, Specialty TV and Program Distribution | 5,635 | 3,461 | 2,174 | 68 | 37 | 31 | 103 | 61 | 42 | 554 | 317 | 237 |
| Postal Service | 56,807 | 29,816 | 26,991 | 1,161 | 506 | 655 | 2,760 | 1,532 | 1,228 | 6,819 | 4,277 | 2,542 |
| Radio and Television Broadcasting | 25,399 | 14,044 | 11,355 | 339 | 165 | 174 | 491 | 338 | 153 | 2,127 | 1,055 | 1,072 |
| Telecommunications | 110,311 | 68,734 | 41,577 | 1,656 | 974 | 682 | 2,049 | 1,265 | 784 | 20,006 | 12,624 | 7,382 |
| Wireless <br> Telecommunications Carriers (except Satellite) | 976 | 647 | 329 | 60 | 31 | 29 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 320 | 232 | 88 |
| Communications | 231,591 | 141,051 | 90,540 | 3,970 | 2,223 | 1,747 | 6,098 | 3,723 | 2,375 | 37,630 | 24,506 | 13,124 |
| Charter Bus Industry | 1,182 | 861 | 321 | 12 | 6 | 6 | 21 | 15 | 6 | 199 | 162 | 37 |
| Deep Sea, Coastal and Great Lakes Water Transportation | 6,214 | 5,104 | 1,110 | 117 | 91 | 26 | 55 | 53 | 2 | 256 | 161 | 95 |
| Freight Transportation Arrangement | 1,643 | 1,421 | 222 | 78 | 65 | 13 | 70 | 62 | 8 | 118 | 100 | 18 |
| General Freight Trucking | 42,560 | 35,665 | 6,895 | 933 | 774 | 159 | 972 | 808 | 164 | 3,492 | 2,814 | 678 |
| Inland Water Transportation | 292 | 259 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Interurban and Rural Bus Transportation | 3,844 | 3,312 | 532 | 100 | 86 | 14 | 99 | 77 | 22 | 387 | 356 | 31 |
| Non-Scheduled Air Transportation | 5,409 | 3,817 | 1,592 | 261 | 157 | 104 | 25 | 18 | 7 | 587 | 383 | 204 |
| Other Pipeline Transportation | 425 | 319 | 106 | 16 | 14 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 21 | 7 | 14 |
| Other Support Activities for Transportation | 492 | 383 | 109 | 20 | 14 | 6 | 13 | 12 | 1 | 20 | 16 | 4 |

Serally Regulated Private Sector Employees by Designated Group, Gender Sector and Subsector
Table 1 as of December 31, 2010 continued

| Sector \& Subsector | All Employees |  |  | Aboriginal Peoples |  |  | Persons with Disabilities |  |  | Members of Visible Minorities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women |
| Other Transit and Ground Passenger Transportation | 1,816 | 1,468 | 348 | 66 | 55 | 11 | 59 | 52 | 7 | 197 | 158 | 39 |
| Pipeline Transportation of Crude Oil | 1,921 | 1,337 | 584 | 30 | 24 | 6 | 15 | 12 | 3 | 241 | 151 | 90 |
| Pipeline Transportation of Natural Gas | 3,746 | 2,598 | 1,148 | 102 | 76 | 26 | 112 | 76 | 36 | 490 | 288 | 202 |
| Rail Transportation | 31,353 | 27,395 | 3,958 | 963 | 850 | 113 | 830 | 751 | 79 | 2,072 | 1,693 | 379 |
| Scenic and Sightseeing Transportation, Land | 100 | 81 | 19 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 6 | 3 |
| Scenic and Sightseeing Transportation, Water | 10 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Scheduled Air Transportation | 50,379 | 29,870 | 20,509 | 1,306 | 722 | 584 | 682 | 428 | 254 | 7,427 | 4,139 | 3,288 |
| School and Employee Bus Transportation | 11,972 | 5,485 | 6,487 | 158 | 53 | 105 | 201 | 109 | 92 | 924 | 508 | 416 |
| Specialized Freight Trucking | 10,299 | 8,732 | 1,567 | 292 | 250 | 42 | 245 | 207 | 38 | 567 | 480 | 87 |
| Support Activities for Air Transportation | 21,450 | 15,999 | 5,451 | 520 | 385 | 135 | 364 | 292 | 72 | 3,811 | 2,829 | 982 |
| Support Activities for Rail Transportation | 206 | 196 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 28 | 24 | 4 |
| Support Activities for Water Transportation | 9,841 | 8,636 | 1,205 | 374 | 334 | 40 | 295 | 272 | 23 | 1,434 | 1,268 | 166 |
| Urban Transit Systems | 931 | 717 | 214 | 28 | 22 | 6 | 13 | 12 | 1 | 55 | 43 | 12 |
| Transportation | $\mathbf{2 0 6 , 0 8 5}$ | 153,659 | 52,426 | 5,378 | 3,980 | 1,398 | 4,083 | 3,267 | 816 | 22,338 | 15,588 | 6,750 |
| Activities Related to Real Estate | 543 | 318 | 225 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 190 | 114 | 76 |
| Animal Food Manufacturing | 1,653 | 1,211 | 442 | 30 | 24 | 6 | 15 | 10 | 5 | 42 | 35 | 7 |
| Architectural, Engineering and Related Services | 5,072 | 3,722 | 1,350 | 202 | 154 | 48 | 122 | 97 | 25 | 784 | 580 | 204 |
| Basic Chemical Manufacturing | 868 | 612 | 256 | 11 | 5 | 6 | 20 | 14 | 6 | 104 | 73 | 31 |
| Construction Management | 804 | 521 | 283 | 32 | 18 | 14 | 35 | 21 | 14 | 70 | 49 | 21 |
| Electric Power Generation, Transmission and Distribution | 17,183 | 13,517 | 3,666 | 225 | 178 | 47 | 400 | 337 | 63 | 1,671 | 1,298 | 373 |

Table 1
Number of Federally Regulated Private Sector Employees by Designated Group, Gender, Sector and Subsector as of December 31, 2010 continued

| Sector \& Subsector | All Employees |  |  | Aboriginal Peoples |  |  | Persons with Disabilities |  |  | Members of Visible Minorities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women |
| Engine, Turbine and Power Transmission Equipment Manufacturing | 1,408 | 1,142 | 266 | 70 | 59 | 11 | 17 | 15 | 2 | 230 | 186 | 44 |
| Farm Product Wholesaler-Distributors | 855 | 524 | 331 | 19 | 11 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 54 | 29 | 25 |
| Federal Protective Services | 495 | 283 | 212 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 58 | 37 | 21 |
| Foreign Affairs and International Assistance | 323 | 107 | 216 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 13 | 8 | 5 | 57 | 21 | 36 |
| Forging and Stamping | 830 | 564 | 266 | 43 | 28 | 15 | 27 | 18 | 9 | 119 | 76 | 43 |
| Grain and Oilseed Milling | 1,217 | 952 | 265 | 18 | 12 | 6 | 62 | 51 | 11 | 53 | 41 | 12 |
| Heritage Institutions | 1,106 | 435 | 671 | 21 | 10 | 11 | 50 | 27 | 23 | 63 | 24 | 39 |
| Insurance Carriers | 101 | 47 | 54 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 14 | 10 | 4 |
| Investigation and Security Services | 35,038 | 26,384 | 8,654 | 349 | 248 | 101 | 196 | 151 | 45 | 7,465 | 5,965 | 1,500 |
| Management, Scientific and Technical Consulting Services | 62 | 43 | 19 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Metal Ore Mining | 4,459 | 3,593 | 866 | 847 | 701 | 146 | 195 | 172 | 23 | 202 | 175 | 27 |
| Motor Vehicle Parts Manufacturing | 115 | 66 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Non-Depository Credit Intermediation | 4,427 | 2,048 | 2,379 | 100 | 48 | 52 | 140 | 86 | 54 | 673 | 337 | 336 |
| Oilseed and Grain Farming | 363 | 299 | 64 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 13 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| Other Amusement and Recreation Industries | 378 | 196 | 182 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 35 | 23 | 12 |
| Other Electrical Equipment and Component Manufacturing | 207 | 197 | 10 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 90 | 88 | 2 |
| Other Federal Government Public Administration | 3,030 | 1,209 | 1,821 | 85 | 39 | 46 | 132 | 49 | 83 | 415 | 173 | 242 |
| Other Financial Investment Activities | 1,827 | 912 | 915 | 22 | 7 | 15 | 60 | 17 | 43 | 235 | 101 | 134 |
| Other Food Manufacturing | 535 | 467 | 68 | 23 | 23 | 0 | 23 | 21 | 2 | 42 | 34 | 8 |

Table 1
Number of Federally Regulated Private Sector Employees by Designated Group, Gender, Sector and Subsector as of December 31, 2010 continued

| Sector \& Subsector | All Employees |  |  | Aboriginal Peoples |  |  | Persons with Disabilities |  |  | Members of Visible Minorities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women |
| Other Professional, Scientific and Technical Services | 112 | 60 | 52 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 19 | 7 | 12 |
| Other Wood Product Manufacturing | 923 | 677 | 246 | 25 | 10 | 15 | 27 | 23 | 4 | 127 | 94 | 33 |
| Pension Funds | 893 | 579 | 314 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 5 | 6 | 229 | 144 | 85 |
| Performing Arts Companies | 526 | 275 | 251 | 10 | 8 | 2 | 16 | 12 | 4 | 64 | 39 | 25 |
| Scientific Research and Development Services | 171 | 135 | 36 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 15 | 13 | 2 |
| Seafood Product Preparation and Packaging | 326 | 193 | 133 | 83 | 54 | 29 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 67 | 33 | 34 |
| Securities and Commodity Contracts Intermediation and Brokerage | 84 | 47 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 8 | 8 |
| Support Activities for Crop Production | 1,709 | 1,244 | 465 | 68 | 57 | 11 | 57 | 42 | 15 | 81 | 48 | 33 |
| Support Activities for Mining and Oil and Gas Extraction | 627 | 550 | 77 | 32 | 26 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 13 | 10 | 3 |
| Utility System Construction | 456 | 400 | 56 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 36 | 5 |
| Warehousing and Storage | 7,224 | 5,342 | 1,882 | 106 | 84 | 22 | 113 | 83 | 30 | 328 | 239 | 89 |
| Waste Collection | 127 | 105 | 22 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 10 | 9 | 1 | 15 | 14 | 1 |
| Waste Treatment and Disposal | 643 | 524 | 119 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 65 | 56 | 9 |
| Other | 96,720 | 69,500 | 27,220 | 2,466 | 1,830 | 636 | 1,805 | 1,316 | 489 | 13,751 | 10,212 | 3,539 |
| All Sectors | 755,966 | 440,857 | 315,109 | 14,686 | 8,709 | 5,977 | 19,658 | 10,766 | 8,892 | 134,256 | 75,236 | 59,020 |

Table 2
Representation of Designated Groups by Sector and Subsector (2009 and 2010)

| Sector \& Subsector | Women |  | Aboriginal Peoples |  | Persons with Disabilities |  | Members of Visible Minorities |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009 (\%) | 2010 (\%) | 2009 (\%) | 2010 (\%) | 2009 (\%) | 2010 (\%) | 2009 (\%) | 2010 (\%) |
| Depository Credit Intermediation | 66.7 | 65.5 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 26.5 | 27.4 |
| Monetary Authorities Central Bank | 51.7 | 51.8 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 14.9 | 15.5 |
| Banking | 66.6 | 65.4 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 26.4 | 27.3 |
| Couriers | 25.2 | 24.6 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 21.8 | 22.1 |
| Information Services | 34.9 | 35.2 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 9.5 | 9.9 |
| Local Messengers and Local Delivery | 26.9 | 25.6 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 28.6 | 28.8 |
| Pay TV, Specialty TV and Program Distribution | 38.5 | 38.6 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 9.0 | 9.8 |
| Postal Service | 47.0 | 47.5 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 4.2 | 4.9 | 11.1 | 12.0 |
| Radio and Television Broadcasting | 44.4 | 44.7 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 8.4 | 8.4 |
| Telecommunications | 39.3 | 37.7 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 18.1 | 18.1 |
| Wireless Telecommunications Carriers (except Satellite) | N/A | 33.7 | N/A | 6.1 | N/A | 0.8 | N/A | 32.8 |
| Communications | 39.9 | 39.1 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 15.8 | 16.2 |
| Charter Bus Industry | 26.9 | 27.2 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.8 | 7.8 | 16.8 |
| Deep Sea, Coastal and Great Lakes Water Transportation | 17.5 | 17.9 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 4.3 | 4.1 |
| Freight Transportation Arrangement | 12.9 | 13.5 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 5.1 | 4.3 | 6.1 | 7.2 |
| General Freight Trucking | 16.0 | 16.2 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 7.9 | 8.2 |
| Inland Water Transportation | 18.8 | 11.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.7 |
| Interurban and Rural Bus Transportation | 13.6 | 13.8 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 3.1 | 2.6 | 9.6 | 10.1 |
| Non-Scheduled Air Transportation | 28.0 | 29.4 | 4.9 | 4.8 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 9.4 | 10.9 |
| Other Pipeline Transportation | 25.2 | 24.9 | 4.4 | 3.8 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 4.4 | 4.9 |
| Other Support Activities for Transportation | 21.1 | 22.2 | 3.5 | 4.1 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 3.8 | 4.1 |
| Other Transit and Ground Passenger Transportation | 16.4 | 19.2 | 3.1 | 3.6 | 2.2 | 3.2 | 8.2 | 10.8 |
| Pipeline Transportation of Crude Oil | 30.8 | 30.4 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 12.1 | 12.5 |

Table 2
Representation of Designated Groups by Sector and Subsector (2009 and 2010) continued

| Sector \& Subsector | Women |  | Aboriginal Peoples |  | Persons with Disabilities |  | Members of Visible Minorities |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009 (\%) | 2010 (\%) | 2009 (\%) | 2010 (\%) | 2009 (\%) | 2010 (\%) | 2009 (\%) | 2010 (\%) |
| Pipeline Transportation of Natural Gas | 30.6 | 30.6 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 13.0 | 13.1 |
| Rail Transportation | 11.8 | 12.6 | 2.8 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 2.6 | 6.5 | 6.6 |
| Scenic and Sightseeing Transportation, Land | 12.5 | 19.0 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 8.0 | 9.0 |
| Scenic and Sightseeing Transportation, Water | 62.5 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 10.0 |
| Scheduled Air Transportation | 41.5 | 40.7 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 14.5 | 14.7 |
| School and Employee Bus Transportation | 55.1 | 54.2 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 6.8 | 7.7 |
| Specialized Freight Trucking | 16.8 | 15.2 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 5.6 | 5.5 |
| Support Activities for Air Transportation | 25.1 | 25.4 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 16.3 | 17.8 |
| Support Activities for Rail Transportation | 33.8 | 4.9 | 3.4 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 19.1 | 13.6 |
| Support Activities for Water Transportation | 12.3 | 12.2 | 3.6 | 3.8 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 13.6 | 14.6 |
| Urban Transit Systems | 22.7 | 23.0 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 1.4 | 4.4 | 5.9 |
| Transportation | 25.5 | 25.4 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 10.4 | 10.8 |
| Activities Related to Real Estate | 41.8 | 41.4 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 32.1 | 35.0 |
| Animal Food Manufacturing | 26.5 | 26.7 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 1.8 | 2.5 |
| Architectural, Engineering and Related Services | 26.3 | 26.6 | 3.7 | 4.0 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 15.7 | 15.5 |
| Basic Chemical Manufacturing | 27.3 | 29.5 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 10.6 | 12.0 |
| Construction Management | 37.9 | 35.2 | 3.7 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 4.4 | 9.2 | 8.7 |
| Electric Power Generation, Transmission and Distribution | 21.0 | 21.3 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 9.4 | 9.7 |
| Engine, Turbine and Power Transmission Equipment Manufacturing | 17.9 | 18.9 | 4.2 | 5.0 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 16.0 | 16.3 |
| Farm Product WholesalerDistributors | 41.7 | 38.7 | 1.7 | 2.2 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 5.0 | 6.3 |
| Federal Protective Services | 42.1 | 42.8 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 12.0 | 11.7 |
| Foreign Affairs and International Assistance | 65.8 | 66.9 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 3.3 | 4.0 | 18.8 | 17.6 |
| Forging and Stamping | 32.1 | 32.0 | 5.7 | 5.2 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 13.4 | 14.3 |

Table 2
Representation of Designated Groups by Sector and Subsector (2009 and 2010) continued

| Sector \& Subsector | Women |  | Aboriginal Peoples |  | Persons with Disabilities |  | Members of Visible Minorities |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009 (\%) | 2010 (\%) | 2009 (\%) | 2010 (\%) | 2009 (\%) | 2010 (\%) | 2009 (\%) | 2010 (\%) |
| Grain and Oilseed Milling | 23.7 | 21.8 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 6.1 | 5.1 | 3.6 | 4.4 |
| Heritage Institutions | 59.0 | 60.7 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 5.7 |
| Insurance Carriers | 51.9 | 53.5 | 1.9 | 3.0 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 11.5 | 13.9 |
| Investigation and Security Services | 25.5 | 24.7 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 21.9 | 21.3 |
| Management, Scientific and Technical Consulting Services | 29.9 | 30.6 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 3.0 | 1.6 | 3.0 | 3.2 |
| Metal Ore Mining | 18.9 | 19.4 | 17.8 | 19.0 | 3.1 | 4.4 | 3.4 | 4.5 |
| Motor Vehicle Parts Manufacturing | N/A | 42.6 | N/A | 0.0 | N/A | 0.0 | N/A | 0.0 |
| Non-Depository Credit Intermediation | 53.2 | 53.7 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 14.5 | 15.2 |
| Oilseed and Grain Farming | 18.9 | 17.6 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 1.3 | 0.8 |
| Other Amusement and Recreation Industries | 50.0 | 48.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 9.5 | 9.3 |
| Other Electrical Equipment and Component Manufacturing | 4.3 | 4.8 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 3.2 | 1.9 | 39.5 | 43.5 |
| Other Federal Government Public Administration | 60.0 | 60.1 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 13.1 | 13.7 |
| Other Financial Investment Activities | 50.2 | 50.1 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 12.6 | 12.9 |
| Other Food Manufacturing | 13.0 | 12.7 | 3.7 | 4.3 | 4.9 | 4.3 | 7.9 | 7.9 |
| Other Professional, Scientific and Technical Services | 47.2 | 46.4 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 17.0 | 17.0 |
| Other Wood Product Manufacturing | 28.1 | 26.7 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 2.9 | 11.7 | 13.8 |
| Pension Funds | 36.5 | 35.2 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 22.6 | 25.6 |
| Performing Arts Companies | 51.2 | 47.7 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 11.8 | 12.2 |
| Scientific Research and Development Services | 19.5 | 21.1 | 1.3 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 8.8 | 8.8 |
| Seafood Product <br> Preparation and Packaging | 40.3 | 40.8 | 27.2 | 25.5 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 19.5 | 20.6 |
| Securities and Commodity Contracts Intermediation and Brokerage | 55.2 | 44.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 17.1 | 19.0 |

Table 2
Representation of Designated Groups by Sector and Subsector (2009 and 2010) continued

| Sector \& Subsector | Women |  | Aboriginal Peoples |  | Persons with Disabilities |  | Members of Visible Minorities |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009 (\%) | 2010 (\%) | 2009 (\%) | 2010 (\%) | 2009 (\%) | 2010 (\%) | 2009 (\%) | 2010 (\%) |
| Support Activities for Crop Production | 26.3 | 27.2 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 4.0 | 4.7 |
| Support Activities for Mining and Oil and Gas Extraction | 6.7 | 12.3 | 0.0 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 2.1 |
| Utility System Construction | N/A | 12.3 | N/A | 1.1 | N/A | 0.0 | N/A | 9.0 |
| Warehousing and Storage | 25.5 | 26.1 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 4.8 | 4.5 |
| Waste Collection | 17.1 | 17.3 | 0.0 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 7.9 | 0.0 | 11.8 |
| Waste Treatment and Disposal | 24.6 | 18.5 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 10.1 |
| Other | 29.6 | 28.1 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 14.0 | 14.2 |
| All Sectors | 42.3 | 41.7 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 17.1 | 17.8 |

Number of Federally Regulated Private Sector Employees by Designated Group, Gender, Census Metropolitan Area, Province and Territory as of December 31, 2010

| Census <br> Metropolitan Area \& Province/Territory | All Employees |  |  | Aboriginal Peoples |  |  | Persons with Disabilities |  |  | Members of Visible Minorities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women |
| Halifax | 10,124 | 5,522 | 4,602 | 142 | 70 | 72 | 475 | 241 | 234 | 620 | 315 | 305 |
| Montreal | 95,391 | 54,931 | 40,460 | 585 | 320 | 265 | 1,516 | 785 | 731 | 12,532 | 7,500 | 5,032 |
| Toronto | 198,365 | 108,712 | 89,653 | 1,947 | 979 | 968 | 5,075 | 2,736 | 2,339 | 67,880 | 35,633 | 32,247 |
| Winnipeg | 19,592 | 13,150 | 6,442 | 1,167 | 746 | 421 | 618 | 405 | 213 | 2,289 | 1,486 | 803 |
| Regina | 4,176 | 2,177 | 1,999 | 117 | 64 | 53 | 144 | 70 | 74 | 314 | 203 | 111 |
| Calgary | 37,758 | 20,878 | 16,880 | 699 | 350 | 349 | 1,033 | 554 | 479 | 6,748 | 3,722 | 3,026 |
| Edmonton | 21,605 | 12,673 | 8,932 | 566 | 305 | 261 | 576 | 319 | 257 | 3,558 | 2,081 | 1,477 |
| Vancouver | 51,376 | 30,421 | 20,955 | 1,033 | 640 | 393 | 1,436 | 825 | 611 | 17,052 | 9,379 | 7,673 |
| Census Metropolitan Areas | 438,387 | 248,464 | 189,923 | 6,256 | 3,474 | 2,782 | 10,873 | 5,935 | 4,938 | 110,993 | 60,319 | 50,674 |
| Newfoundland and Labrador | 8,390 | 4,541 | 3,849 | 339 | 188 | 151 | 162 | 75 | 87 | 118 | 69 | 49 |
| Prince Edward Island | 1,558 | 1,003 | 555 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 31 | 15 | 16 | 13 | 7 | 6 |
| Nova Scotia | 16,111 | 8,628 | 7,483 | 251 | 140 | 111 | 709 | 379 | 330 | 731 | 378 | 353 |
| New Brunswick | 13,408 | 6,525 | 6,883 | 174 | 85 | 89 | 478 | 229 | 249 | 299 | 154 | 145 |
| Quebec | 140,283 | 83,258 | 57,025 | 1,148 | 654 | 494 | 2,077 | 1,094 | 983 | 14,146 | 8,589 | 5,557 |
| Ontario | 342,884 | 193,530 | 149,354 | 4,653 | 2,623 | 2,030 | 9,702 | 5,158 | 4,544 | 80,990 | 43,899 | 37,091 |
| Manitoba | 29,569 | 19,368 | 10,201 | 1,974 | 1,258 | 716 | 962 | 644 | 318 | 2,867 | 1,860 | 1,007 |
| Saskatchewan | 17,403 | 10,735 | 6,668 | 1,197 | 858 | 339 | 524 | 280 | 244 | 813 | 540 | 273 |
| Alberta | 78,861 | 45,765 | 33,096 | 1,936 | 1,070 | 866 | 2,095 | 1,175 | 920 | 11,600 | 6,683 | 4,917 |
| British Columbia | 76,407 | 43,996 | 32,411 | 1,641 | 889 | 752 | 2,206 | 1,181 | 1,025 | 19,238 | 10,510 | 8,728 |
| Yukon | 481 | 249 | 232 | 46 | 16 | 30 | 19 | 6 | 13 | 37 | 24 | 13 |
| Northwest Territories | 1,117 | 852 | 265 | 153 | 94 | 59 | 21 | 15 | 6 | 86 | 63 | 23 |
| Nunavut | 276 | 221 | 55 | 95 | 63 | 32 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 16 | 13 | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canada* | 755,966 | 440,857 | 315,109 | 14,686 | 8,709 | 5,977 | 19,658 | 10,766 | 8,892 | 134,256 | 75,236 | 59,020 |

* The total for Canada is not equal to the sum of provincial/territorial totals.
Table 4 Designated Group, Census Metropolitan Area, Province and Territory
Representation (1987, 2001, 2009 and 2010) and Availability (2006) of Federally Regulated Private Sector Employees by

| Census <br> Metropolitan Area \& Province/Territory | Women |  |  |  |  | Aboriginal Peoples |  |  |  |  | Persons with Disabilities |  |  |  |  | Members of Visible Minorities |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Representation |  |  |  | Availability* | Representation |  |  |  | Availability* | Representation |  |  |  | Availability* | Representation |  |  |  | Availability* |
|  | $1987$ <br> (\%) | $2001$ <br> (\%) | $2009$ <br> (\%) | $2010$ <br> (\%) | $2006$ <br> (\%) | 1987 <br> (\%) | $\begin{gathered} 2001 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $2009$ <br> (\%) | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $2006$ <br> (\%) | $\begin{gathered} 1987 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $2001$ <br> (\%) | $2009$ <br> (\%) | 2010 <br> (\%) | 2006 <br> (\%) | $\begin{gathered} 1987 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $2001$ <br> (\%) | $2009$ <br> (\%) | 2010 <br> (\%) | $\begin{gathered} 2006 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ |
| Halifax | 41.2 | 46.2 | 44.5 | 45.5 | 49.6 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 3.4 | 4.5 | 4.7 | N/A | 1.9 | 4.1 | 5.7 | 6.1 | 6.3 |
| Montreal | 39.0 | 45.6 | 43.4 | 42.4 | 48.2 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1.6 | N/A | 3.0 | 5.5 | 12.3 | 13.1 | 14.7 |
| Toronto | 47.1 | 46.9 | 45.8 | 45.2 | 48.4 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 2.7 | 2.6 | N/A | 12.0 | 23.7 | 33.1 | 34.2 | 40.5 |
| Winnipeg | 32.7 | 38.2 | 33.0 | 32.9 | 48.8 | 0.8 | 4.1 | 5.7 | 6.0 | 8.1 | 1.8 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.2 | N/A | 2.9 | 6.6 | 11.4 | 11.7 | 14.6 |
| Regina | 42.9 | 52.4 | 51.3 | 47.9 | 49.6 | 0.4 | 2.0 | 3.1 | 2.8 | 6.6 | 2.4 | 4.4 | 3.8 | 3.4 | N/A | 1.6 | 4.0 | 5.2 | 7.5 | 6.0 |
| Calgary | 47.6 | 46.8 | 45.7 | 44.7 | 47.3 | 0.5 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 2.7 | N/A | 5.6 | 9.9 | 17.8 | 17.9 | 20.3 |
| Edmonton | 44.5 | 41.7 | 41.5 | 41.3 | 47.5 | 0.7 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 4.3 | 2.0 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 2.7 | N/A | 4.4 | 9.2 | 16.0 | 16.5 | 15.9 |
| Vancouver | 40.4 | 43.4 | 41.6 | 40.8 | 48.4 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 2.8 | N/A | 7.9 | 22.4 | 33.6 | 33.2 | 38.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Newfoundland and Labrador | 38.4 | 47.8 | 46.8 | 45.9 | 48.1 | 0.6 | 3.1 | 4.4 | 4.0 | 4.6 | 1.0 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 3.9 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.1 |
| Prince Edward Island | 38.0 | 48.9 | 31.9 | 35.6 | 49.4 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 5.7 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.1 |
| Nova Scotia | 34.4 | 48.8 | 46.5 | 46.4 | 48.6 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 2.4 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 7.0 | 1.3 | 3.3 | 4.4 | 4.5 | 3.7 |
| New Brunswick | 32.2 | 51.8 | 52.4 | 51.3 | 48.0 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 2.5 | 3.4 | 3.6 | 5.8 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 1.6 |
| Quebec | 39.8 | 44.9 | 41.5 | 40.6 | 47.5 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 3.3 | 2.6 | 4.7 | 9.5 | 10.1 | 7.9 |
| Ontario | 44.2 | 46.2 | 44.3 | 43.6 | 48.2 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 2.2 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 5.2 | 7.3 | 16.3 | 22.7 | 23.6 | 21.6 |
| Manitoba | 30.5 | 37.5 | 34.4 | 34.5 | 48.0 | 1.0 | 4.5 | 6.1 | 6.7 | 11.4 | 1.7 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 5.5 | 2.6 | 5.6 | 9.1 | 9.7 | 9.7 |
| Saskatchewan | 35.1 | 42.3 | 38.9 | 38.3 | 47.8 | 1.4 | 5.3 | 7.3 | 6.9 | 9.9 | 1.8 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 5.5 | 1.2 | 3.2 | 4.0 | 4.7 | 3.5 |
| Alberta | 45.3 | 44.9 | 42.5 | 42.0 | 46.9 | 0.7 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 4.7 | 1.9 | 3.1 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 8.4 | 14.5 | 14.7 | 13.1 |
| British Columbia | 41.5 | 44.3 | 42.8 | 42.4 | 48.2 | 0.7 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 4.1 | 1.7 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 17.5 | 25.1 | 25.2 | 23.2 |
| Yukon | 31.4 | 57.6 | 49.7 | 48.2 | 49.1 | 3.8 | 5.7 | 7.8 | 9.6 | 20.8 | 0.8 | 2.6 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 5.5 | 1.4 | 4.3 | 7.1 | 7.7 | 3.8 |
| Northwest Territories | 21.9 | 31.8 | 26.4 | 23.7 | 47.1 | 9.6 | 16.1 | 14.9 | 13.7 | 41.0 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 4.0 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 7.0 | 7.7 | 5.6 |
| Nunavut | N/A | 25.4 | 24.4 | 19.9 | 47.0 | N/A | 16.8 | 42.8 | 34.4 | 74.6 | N/A | 3.8 | 3.5 | 2.2 | 2.7 | N/A | 3.2 | 7.5 | 5.8 | 2.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canada | 40.9 | 44.9 | 42.3 | 41.7 | 47.9 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 3.1 | 1.6 | 2.3 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 4.9 | 5.0 | 11.7 | 17.1 | 17.8 | 15.3 |

* Source: Statistics Canada, 2006 Census and 2006 Participation and Activity Limitation Survey (PALS).
Table 5


## Number of Federally Regulated Private Sector Employees by Designated Group and Occupational Group as of December 31,2010

| Occupational Group | All Employees | Women | Aboriginal Peoples | Persons with Disabilities | Members of Visible Minorities |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Senior Managers | 5,616 | 1,263 | 44 | 133 | 358 |
| Middle and Other Managers | 74,233 | 31,257 | 883 | 1,932 | 11,164 |
| Professionals | 114,222 | 51,849 | 1,185 | 2,532 | 28,998 |
| Semi-Professionals and Technicians | 48,155 | 8,814 | 967 | 956 | 4,991 |
| Supervisors | 21,253 | 12,829 | 413 | 640 | 3,739 |
| Supervisors: Crafts and Trades | 10,860 | 787 | 309 | 245 | 892 |
| Administrative and Senior Clerical Personnel | 32,842 | 24,491 | 515 | 949 | 7,832 |
| Skilled Sales and Service Personnel | 8,102 | 2,520 | 164 | 114 | 834 |
| Skilled Crafts and Trades Workers | 62,715 | 2,305 | 1,984 | 1,498 | 5,925 |
| Clerical Personnel | 201,384 | 134,080 | 3,769 | 7,565 | 42,364 |
| Intermediate Sales and Service Personnel | 34,378 | 22,251 | 861 | 537 | 5,626 |
| Semi-Skilled Manual Workers | 97,701 | 12,427 | 2,755 | 2,078 | 13,010 |
| Other Sales and Service Personnel | 37,764 | 9,520 | 519 | 295 | 7,729 |
| Other Manual Workers | 6,741 | 716 | 318 | 184 | 794 |
| Total Number of Employees | 755,966 | 315,109 | 14,686 | 19,658 | 134,256 |

Table 6
Representation (2001, 2009 and 2010) and Availability (2006) of Federally Regulated Private Sector Employees by Designated Group and Occupational Group

| Occupational Group | Women |  |  |  | Aboriginal Peoples |  |  |  | Persons with Disabilities |  |  |  | Members of Visible Minorities |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Representation |  |  | Availability* | Representation |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Availability* } \\ \hline 2006 \end{array}$ <br> (\%) | Representation |  |  | Availability* <br> 2006 <br> (\%) | Representation |  |  | Availability* |
|  | $2001$ <br> (\%) | $2009$ <br> (\%) | $2010$ <br> (\%) | $2006$ <br> (\%) | $2001$ <br> (\%) | $2009$ <br> (\%) | $2010$ <br> (\%) |  | $2001$ <br> (\%) | $2009$ <br> (\%) | $2010$ <br> (\%) |  | $2001$ <br> (\%) | $2009$ <br> (\%) | $2010$ <br> (\%) | $2006$ <br> (\%) |
| Senior Managers | 19.6 | 22.3 | 22.5 | 24.2 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 2.4 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 3.2 | 3.8 | 6.1 | 6.4 | 8.7 |
| Middle and Other Managers | 42.4 | 41.8 | 42.1 | 39.1 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 3.2 | 8.8 | 14.0 | 15.0 | 14.0 |
| Professionals | 44.5 | 45.7 | 45.4 | 54.2 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 4.5 | 16.5 | 24.6 | 25.4 | 16.5 |
| Semi-Professionals and Technicians | 16.9 | 18.2 | 18.3 | 54.3 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 3.2 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 4.8 | 5.1 | 10.4 | 10.4 | 14.3 |
| Supervisors | 63.3 | 60.0 | 60.4 | 57.2 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 2.8 | 2.0 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 9.5 | 13.0 | 16.3 | 17.6 | 15.4 |
| Supervisors: Crafts and Trades | 4.4 | 7.1 | 7.2 | 18.2 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 2.2 | 3.1 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 4.6 | 4.7 | 7.4 | 8.2 | 5.6 |
| Administrative and Senior Clerical Personnel | 81.0 | 75.4 | 74.6 | 83.2 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 17.5 | 22.7 | 23.8 | 11.2 |
| Skilled Sales and Service Personnel | 45.8 | 29.7 | 31.1 | 45.1 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 3.1 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 5.6 | 6.8 | 9.5 | 10.3 | 17.2 |
| Skilled Crafts and Trades Workers | 3.0 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 5.4 | 2.1 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.8 | 3.0 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 5.3 | 6.9 | 8.7 | 9.4 | 9.3 |
| Clerical Personnel | 66.6 | 67.0 | 66.6 | 71.7 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 4.4 | 13.8 | 20.5 | 21.0 | 17.2 |
| Intermediate Sales and Service Personnel | 65.9 | 65.9 | 64.7 | 67.9 | 1.7 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 3.2 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 5.3 | 11.0 | 15.3 | 16.4 | 16.5 |
| Semi-Skilled Manual Workers | 11.4 | 12.8 | 12.7 | 20.8 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 3.7 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 5.5 | 8.5 | 12.5 | 13.3 | 17.9 |
| Other Sales and Service Personnel | 24.2 | 26.0 | 25.2 | 56.5 | 2.7 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 4.7 | 2.3 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 6.5 | 13.4 | 20.9 | 20.5 | 18.5 |
| Other Manual Workers | 8.9 | 10.8 | 10.6 | 24.8 | 5.4 | 4.3 | 4.7 | 5.7 | 3.8 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 5.2 | 6.2 | 11.8 | 11.8 | 15.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Number of Employees | 44.9 | 42.3 | 41.7 | 47.9 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 3.1 | 2.3 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 4.9 | 11.7 | 17.1 | 17.8 | 15.3 |

[^11]Table 7
Table 8
Hires of Permanent Employees into the Federally Regulated Private Sector by Designated Group and Occupational Group (2009 and 2010)

| Occupational Group | All <br> Employees | Women |  |  | Aboriginal Peoples |  |  | Persons with Disabilities |  |  | Members of Visible Minorities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{(\#)}{2010}$ | $\underset{(\#)}{2010}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2009 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(\#)}{2010}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2009 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(\%)}{2010}$ | $\underset{(\#)}{2010}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2009 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(\%)}{2010}$ | $\underset{(\#)}{2010}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2009 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ |
| Senior Managers | 400 | 100 | 21.3 | 25.0 | 2 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 2 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 24 | 7.8 | 6.0 |
| Middle and Other Managers | 5,753 | 1,987 | 34.0 | 34.5 | 70 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 76 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1,083 | 13.2 | 18.8 |
| Professionals | 12,724 | 4,702 | 35.7 | 37.0 | 110 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 147 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 3,339 | 21.9 | 26.2 |
| Semi-Professionals and Technicians | 4,733 | 998 | 21.0 | 21.1 | 92 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 54 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 558 | 11.6 | 11.8 |
| Supervisors | 1,047 | 461 | 45.4 | 44.0 | 28 | 2.3 | 2.7 | 14 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 172 | 13.4 | 16.4 |
| Supervisors: Crafts and Trades | 646 | 61 | 9.5 | 9.4 | 7 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 6 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 75 | 7.0 | 11.6 |
| Administrative and Senior Clerical Personnel | 4,361 | 2,485 | 60.6 | 57.0 | 74 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 76 | 2.6 | 1.7 | 1,096 | 18.6 | 25.1 |
| Skilled Sales and Service Personnel | 2,372 | 847 | 36.1 | 35.7 | 28 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 19 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 186 | 8.8 | 7.8 |
| Skilled Crafts and Trades Workers | 5,395 | 174 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 177 | 2.6 | 3.3 | 37 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 584 | 9.7 | 10.8 |
| Clerical Personnel | 31,361 | 18,912 | 60.4 | 60.3 | 565 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 568 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 6,623 | 20.5 | 21.1 |
| Intermediate Sales and Service Personnel | 6,928 | 4,239 | 60.4 | 61.2 | 249 | 3.4 | 3.6 | 75 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1,185 | 16.9 | 17.1 |
| Semi-Skilled Manual Workers | 25,485 | 2,704 | 10.8 | 10.6 | 783 | 2.7 | 3.1 | 273 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 4,402 | 15.0 | 17.3 |
| Other Sales and Service Personnel | 8,438 | 1,864 | 23.3 | 22.1 | 167 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 50 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 1,427 | 18.0 | 16.9 |
| Other Manual Workers | 2,355 | 225 | 11.7 | 9.6 | 137 | 3.7 | 5.8 | 24 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 158 | 6.9 | 6.7 |
| Total Number of Employees | 111,998 | 39,759 | 35.6 | 35.5 | 2,489 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 1,421 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 20,912 | 16.8 | 18.7 |

Table 9
Promotions of Permanent Employees within the Federally Regulated Private Sector by Designated Group and Occupational Group (2009 and 2010)

| Occupational Group | All <br> Employees | Women |  |  | Aboriginal Peoples |  |  | Persons with Disabilities |  |  | Members of Visible Minorities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{(\#)}{2010}$ | $\underset{(\#)}{2010}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2009 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \hline(\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(\#)}{2010}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2009 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(\#)}{2010}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2009 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(\#)}{2010}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2009 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ |
| Senior Managers | 440 | 109 | 26.7 | 24.8 | 2 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 5 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 31 | 8.7 | 7.0 |
| Middle and Other Managers | 8,641 | 3,841 | 43.8 | 44.5 | 132 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 224 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 1,727 | 17.2 | 20.0 |
| Professionals | 12,853 | 6,062 | 47.5 | 47.2 | 157 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 241 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 4,107 | 30.4 | 32.0 |
| Semi-Professionals and Technicians | 2,017 | 386 | 19.1 | 19.1 | 47 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 32 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 284 | 15.9 | 14.1 |
| Supervisors | 3,248 | 2,189 | 62.3 | 67.4 | 57 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 74 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 789 | 19.4 | 24.3 |
| Supervisors: Crafts and Trades | 662 | 64 | 8.8 | 9.7 | 21 | 2.4 | 3.2 | 13 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 58 | 7.3 | 8.8 |
| Administrative and Senior Clerical Personnel | 4,442 | 3,193 | 72.8 | 71.9 | 75 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 110 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 1,307 | 27.5 | 29.4 |
| Skilled Sales and Service Personnel | 459 | 149 | 36.7 | 32.5 | 7 | 2.1 | 1.5 | 9 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 68 | 13.0 | 14.8 |
| Skilled Crafts and Trades Workers | 997 | 52 | 4.7 | 5.2 | 42 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 16 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 113 | 10.2 | 11.3 |
| Clerical Personnel | 11,835 | 7,394 | 60.5 | 62.5 | 231 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 332 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 3,047 | 23.3 | 25.7 |
| Intermediate Sales and Service Personnel | 1,230 | 834 | 59.1 | 67.8 | 19 | 2.6 | 1.5 | 11 | 2.5 | 0.9 | 301 | 12.2 | 24.5 |
| Semi-Skilled Manual Workers | 1,750 | 170 | 10.7 | 9.7 | 63 | 3.0 | 3.6 | 46 | 1.5 | 2.6 | 259 | 13.6 | 14.8 |
| Other Sales and Service Personnel | 233 | 98 | 34.2 | 42.1 | 4 | 3.3 | 1.7 | 3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 24 | 16.3 | 10.3 |
| Other Manual Workers | 88 | 2 | 8.1 | 2.3 | 7 | 4.1 | 8.0 | 3 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 10 | 10.6 | 11.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Number of Employees | 48,895 | 24,543 | 48.8 | 50.2 | 864 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1,119 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 12,125 | 22.4 | 24.8 |

Table 10
Terminations of Permanent Employees from the Federally Regulated Private Sector by Designated Group and Occupational Group (2009 and 2010)

| Occupational Group | All <br> Employees | Women |  |  | Aboriginal Peoples |  |  | Persons with Disabilities |  |  | Members of Visible Minorities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{(\#)}{2010}$ | $\underset{(\#)}{2010}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2009 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ (\#) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2009 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ (\#) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2009 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(\#)}{2010}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2009 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ |
| Senior Managers | 592 | 121 | 21.3 | 20.4 | 4 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 6 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 32 | 6.4 | 5.4 |
| Middle and Other Managers | 7,417 | 2,850 | 36.6 | 38.4 | 86 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 201 | 2.3 | 2.7 | 1,021 | 12.2 | 13.8 |
| Professionals | 11,547 | 4,899 | 41.7 | 42.4 | 127 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 245 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 2,654 | 21.9 | 23.0 |
| Semi-Professionals and Technicians | 4,681 | 989 | 20.7 | 21.1 | 103 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 115 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 494 | 11.6 | 10.6 |
| Supervisors | 1,926 | 960 | 50.6 | 49.8 | 35 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 62 | 2.8 | 3.2 | 301 | 16.3 | 15.6 |
| Supervisors: Crafts and Trades | 961 | 66 | 7.3 | 6.9 | 22 | 3.2 | 2.3 | 31 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 59 | 5.4 | 6.1 |
| Administrative and Senior Clerical Personnel | 3,845 | 2,553 | 65.0 | 66.4 | 80 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 116 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 847 | 22.5 | 22.0 |
| Skilled Sales and Service Personnel | 1,945 | 644 | 34.8 | 33.1 | 23 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 26 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 170 | 7.0 | 8.7 |
| Skilled Crafts and Trades Workers | 5,945 | 205 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 238 | 2.7 | 4.0 | 186 | 2.6 | 3.1 | 476 | 8.0 | 8.0 |
| Clerical Personnel | 30,917 | 19,422 | 63.3 | 62.8 | 568 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1,063 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 6,115 | 19.7 | 19.8 |
| Intermediate Sales and Service Personnel | 5,663 | 3,545 | 61.6 | 62.6 | 188 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 72 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 917 | 15.3 | 16.2 |
| Semi-Skilled Manual Workers | 23,030 | 2,593 | 10.3 | 11.3 | 683 | 3.4 | 3.0 | 319 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 3,517 | 13.9 | 15.3 |
| Other Sales and Service Personnel | 6,899 | 1,645 | 24.7 | 23.8 | 149 | 1.7 | 2.2 | 51 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 892 | 15.7 | 12.9 |
| Other Manual Workers | 2,022 | 209 | 11.4 | 10.3 | 121 | 4.8 | 6.0 | 44 | 1.3 | 2.2 | 133 | 6.5 | 6.6 |
| Total Number of Employees | 107,390 | 40,701 | 37.6 | 37.9 | 2,427 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2,537 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 17,628 | 16.0 | 16.4 |

Table 11 Designated Group and Sector (1987, 2001, 2009 and 2010)*

|  | All Employees |  |  |  | Women |  |  |  | Aboriginal Peoples |  |  |  | Persons with Disabilities |  |  |  | Members of Visible Minorities |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 2001 | 2009 | 2010 | 1987 | 2001 | 2009 | 2010 | 1987 | 2001 | 2009 | 2010 | 1987 | 2001 | 2009 | 2010 | 1987 | 2001 | 2009 | 2010 |
| Banking | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) |
| Employees | 169,632 | 195,870 | 212,779 | 221,570 | 129,076 | 139,080 | 141,675 | 144,923 | 951 | 2,139 | 2,863 | 2,872 | 3,053 | 3,911 | 7,583 | 7,672 | 16,062 | 33,575 | 56,155 | 60,537 |
| Hirings | 21,879 | 25,491 | 22,019 | 32,398 | 16,704 | 15,210 | 12,522 | 17,772 | 109 | 232 | 330 | 420 | 158 | 216 | 513 | 644 | 2,211 | 3,765 | 4,552 | 8,270 |
| Promotions | 39,456 | 27,363 | 20,133 | 27,741 | 27,599 | 18,716 | 12,350 | 17,033 | 204 | 319 | 264 | 397 | 607 | 524 | 573 | 715 | 3,778 | 5,184 | 5,936 | 8,832 |
| Terminations | 21,715 | 23,350 | 23,039 | 26,164 | 16,819 | 14,951 | 14,222 | 16,154 | 150 | 294 | 363 | 387 | 331 | 454 | 840 | 892 | 1,432 | 3,337 | 5,513 | 6,310 |
| Net Effect** | 164 | 2,141 | -1,020 | 6,234 | -115 | 259 | -1,700 | 1,618 | -41 | -62 | -33 | 33 | -173 | -238 | -327 | -248 | 779 | 428 | -961 | 1,960 |
|  | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) |
| Representation | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 76.1 | 71.0 | 66.6 | 65.4 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 9.5 | 17.1 | 26.4 | 27.3 |
| Share of Hirings | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 76.3 | 59.7 | 56.9 | 54.9 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 10.1 | 14.8 | 20.7 | 25.5 |
| Share of Promotions | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 69.9 | 68.4 | 61.3 | 61.4 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 9.6 | 18.9 | 29.5 | 31.8 |
| Share of Terminations | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 77.5 | 64.0 | 61.7 | 61.7 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 6.6 | 14.3 | 23.9 | 24.1 |
| Communications |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) |
| Employees | 179,247 | 215,330 | 231,498 | 231,591 | 71,038 | 88,861 | 92,464 | 90,540 | 1,090 | 2,921 | 3,712 | 3,970 | 2,512 | 5,009 | 5,871 | 6,098 | 7,257 | 23,359 | 36,663 | 37,630 |
| Hirings | 17,416 | 32,521 | 29,738 | 27,657 | 7,515 | 12,632 | 10,567 | 9,043 | 49 | 485 | 413 | 460 | 129 | 286 | 338 | 304 | 975 | 5,098 | 5,674 | 5,316 |
| Promotions | 11,099 | 11,183 | 9,551 | 11,260 | 4,900 | 5,145 | 3,570 | 4,213 | 55 | 172 | 162 | 205 | 112 | 198 | 172 | 242 | 445 | 1,349 | 1,614 | 2,009 |
| Terminations | 16,020 | 27,257 | 34,602 | 33,469 | 6,176 | 10,871 | 13,361 | 12,838 | 36 | 385 | 535 | 541 | 112 | 472 | 763 | 862 | 437 | 3,422 | 5,874 | 5,834 |
| Net Effect** | 1,396 | 5,264 | -4,864 | -5,812 | 1,339 | 1,761 | -2,794 | -3,795 | 13 | 100 | -122 | -81 | 17 | -186 | -425 | -558 | 538 | 1,676 | -200 | -518 |
|  | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) |
| Representation | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 39.6 | 41.3 | 39.9 | 39.1 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 4.0 | 10.8 | 15.8 | 16.2 |
| Share of Hirings | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 43.1 | 38.8 | 35.5 | 32.7 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 5.6 | 15.7 | 19.1 | 19.2 |
| Share of Promotions | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 44.1 | 46.0 | 37.4 | 37.4 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 4.0 | 12.1 | 16.9 | 17.8 |
| Share of Terminations | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 38.6 | 39.9 | 38.6 | 38.4 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 0.7 | 1.7 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 12.6 | 17.0 | 17.4 |

Table 11 Designated Group and Sector (1987, 2001, 2009 and 2010)* continued

|  | All Employees |  |  |  | Women |  |  |  | Aboriginal Peoples |  |  |  | Persons with Disabilities |  |  |  | Members of Visible Minorities |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 2001 | 2009 | 2010 | 1987 | 2001 | 2009 | 2010 | 1987 | 2001 | 2009 | 2010 | 1987 | 2001 | 2009 | 2010 | 1987 | 2001 | 2009 | 2010 |
| Transportation | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) |
| Employees | 203,207 | 178,307 | 203,326 | 206,085 | 34,423 | 43,891 | 51,889 | 52,426 | 1,479 | 3,588 | 5,078 | 5,378 | 2,892 | 4,383 | 4,147 | 4,083 | 5,318 | 13,656 | 21,046 | 22,338 |
| Hirings | 33,535 | 41,221 | 30,684 | 36,630 | 7,316 | 12,564 | 7,608 | 9,096 | 211 | 891 | 918 | 1,255 | 118 | 699 | 324 | 362 | 691 | 4,141 | 3,881 | 5,176 |
| Promotions | 14,723 | 8,154 | 4,780 | 6,351 | 2,655 | 1,843 | 1,438 | 2,155 | 123 | 189 | 114 | 159 | 198 | 180 | 56 | 92 | 376 | 510 | 502 | 843 |
| Terminations | 32,588 | 29,929 | 35,973 | 34,537 | 6,028 | 7,281 | 8,662 | 8,239 | 168 | 646 | 1,169 | 1,130 | 231 | 493 | 611 | 560 | 478 | 2,345 | 3,972 | 3,989 |
| Net Effect** | 947 | 11,292 | -5,289 | 2,093 | 1,288 | 5,283 | -1,054 | 857 | 43 | 245 | -251 | 125 | -113 | 206 | -287 | -198 | 213 | 1,796 | -91 | 1,187 |
|  | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) |
| Representation | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 16.9 | 24.6 | 25.5 | 25.4 | 0.7 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 1.4 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.6 | 7.7 | 10.4 | 10.8 |
| Share of Hirings | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 21.8 | 30.5 | 24.8 | 24.8 | 0.6 | 2.2 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 0.4 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 2.1 | 10.0 | 12.6 | 14.1 |
| Share of Promotions | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 18.0 | 22.6 | 30.1 | 33.9 | 0.8 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 1.3 | 2.2 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 2.6 | 6.3 | 10.5 | 13.3 |
| Share of Terminations | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 18.5 | 24.3 | 24.1 | 23.9 | 0.5 | 2.2 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 7.8 | 11.0 | 11.5 |
| Other | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) |
| Employees | 43,331 | 45,231 | 96,234 | 96,720 | 9,207 | 12,883 | 28,458 | 27,220 | 401 | 1,220 | 2,363 | 2,466 | 983 | 1,218 | 2,158 | 1,805 | 1,123 | 3,578 | 13,444 | 13,751 |
| Hirings | 4,500 | 6,020 | 15,263 | 15,313 | 1,485 | 1,848 | 4,045 | 3,848 | 46 | 176 | 299 | 354 | 37 | 65 | 187 | 111 | 150 | 489 | 2,291 | 2,150 |
| Promotions | 3,248 | 3,938 | 3,791 | 3,543 | 856 | 1,169 | 1,317 | 1,142 | 23 | 111 | 106 | 103 | 64 | 76 | 82 | 70 | 85 | 364 | 504 | 441 |
| Terminations | 5,080 | 9,965 | 12,049 | 13,220 | 1,402 | 2,361 | 3,487 | 3,470 | 40 | 208 | 351 | 369 | 93 | 308 | 290 | 223 | 86 | 576 | 1,495 | 1,495 |
| Net Effect** | -580 | -3,945 | 3,214 | 2,093 | 83 | -513 | 558 | 378 | 6 | -32 | -52 | -15 | -56 | -243 | -103 | -112 | 64 | -87 | 796 | 655 |
|  | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) |
| Representation | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 21.2 | 28.5 | 29.6 | 28.1 | 0.9 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 2.6 | 7.9 | 14.0 | 14.2 |
| Share of Hirings | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 33.0 | 30.7 | 26.5 | 25.1 | 1.0 | 2.9 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 3.3 | 8.1 | 15.0 | 14.0 |
| Share of Promotions | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 26.4 | 29.7 | 34.7 | 32.2 | 0.7 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 2.6 | 9.2 | 13.3 | 12.4 |
| Share of Terminations | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 27.6 | 23.7 | 28.9 | 26.2 | 0.8 | 2.1 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 1.8 | 3.1 | 2.4 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 5.8 | 12.4 | 11.3 |

Table 11 Designated Group and Sector (1987, 2001, 2009 and 2010)* continued

|  | All Employees |  |  |  | Women |  |  |  | Aboriginal Peoples |  |  |  | Persons with Disabilities |  |  |  | Members of Visible Minorities |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 2001 | 2009 | 2010 | 1987 | 2001 | 2009 | 2010 | 1987 | 2001 | 2009 | 2010 | 1987 | 2001 | 2009 | 2010 | 1987 | 2001 | 2009 | 2010 |
| All Sectors | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) |
| Employees | 595,417 | 634,738 | 743,837 | 755,966 | 243,744 | 284,715 | 314,486 | 315,109 | 3,921 | 9,868 | 14,016 | 14,686 | 9,440 | 14,521 | 19,759 | 19,658 | 29,760 | 74,168 | 127,308 | 134,256 |
| Hirings | 77,330 | 105,253 | 97,704 | 111,998 | 33,020 | 42,254 | 34,742 | 39,759 | 415 | 1,784 | 1,960 | 2,489 | 442 | 1,266 | 1,362 | 1,421 | 4,027 | 13,493 | 16,398 | 20,912 |
| Promotions | 68,526 | 50,638 | 38,255 | 48,895 | 36,010 | 26,873 | 18,675 | 24,543 | 405 | 791 | 646 | 864 | 981 | 978 | 883 | 1,119 | 4,684 | 7,407 | 8,556 | 12,125 |
| Teminations | 75,403 | 90,501 | 105,663 | 107,390 | 30,425 | 35,464 | 39,732 | 40,701 | 394 | 1,533 | 2,418 | 2,427 | 767 | 1,727 | 2,504 | 2,537 | 2,433 | 9,680 | 16,854 | 17,628 |
| Net Effect*******) | 1,927 | 14,752 | -7,959 | 4,608 | 2,595 | 6,790 | -4,990 | -942 | 21 | 251 | -458 | 62 | -325 | 461 | -1,142 | -1,116 | 1,594 | 3,813 | -456 | 3,284 |
|  | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) |
| Representation | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 40.9 | 44.9 | 42.3 | 41.7 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 2.3 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 5.0 | 11.7 | 17.1 | 17.8 |
| Share of Hirings | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 42.7 | 40.1 | 35.6 | 35.5 | 0.5 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 5.2 | 12.8 | 16.8 | 18.7 |
| Share of Promotions | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 52.5 | 53.1 | 48.8 | 50.2 | 0.6 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 6.8 | 14.6 | 22.4 | 24.8 |
| Share of Terminations | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 40.4 | 39.2 | 37.6 | 37.9 | 0.5 | 1.7 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 1.0 | 1.9 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 3.2 | 10.7 | 16.0 | 16.4 |

The number of employees and representation of the designated groups cover permanent full-time, permanent part-time and temporary employees. The hirings, promotions,
terminations, and net effect data cover only the permanent full-time and permanent part-time employees. The number of employees hired reduced by those terminated.
Table 12
Federally Regulated Private Sector Employees in Permanent Full-Time Employment by Designated Group, Gender and Salary Range as of December 31, 2010

| Salary Range | All Employees |  |  |  | Aboriginal Peoples |  |  |  | Persons with Disabilities |  |  |  | Members of Visible Minorities |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Men | Women | (\%) | Total | (\%) | Men | Women | Total | (\%) | Men | Women | Total | (\%) | Men | Women |
| Under \$15,000 | 4,545 | 2,956 | 1,589 | 35.0 | 106 | 2.3 | 67 | 39 | 75 | 1.7 | 23 | 52 | 1,050 | 23.1 | 761 | 289 |
| \$15,000-\$19,999 | 3,428 | 2,467 | 961 | 28.0 | 47 | 1.4 | 29 | 18 | 56 | 1.6 | 41 | 15 | 844 | 24.6 | 645 | 199 |
| \$20,000-\$24,999 | 10,221 | 6,154 | 4,067 | 39.8 | 230 | 2.3 | 132 | 98 | 159 | 1.6 | 90 | 69 | 2,368 | 23.2 | 1,593 | 775 |
| \$25,000-\$29,999 | 28,180 | 15,145 | 13,035 | 46.3 | 591 | 2.1 | 273 | 318 | 592 | 2.1 | 233 | 359 | 5,767 | 20.5 | 3,262 | 2,505 |
| \$30,000-\$34,999 | 40,191 | 17,815 | 22,376 | 55.7 | 959 | 2.4 | 422 | 537 | 1,082 | 2.7 | 420 | 662 | 9,427 | 23.5 | 4,242 | 5,185 |
| \$35,000-\$37,499 | 25,304 | 11,736 | 13,568 | 53.6 | 504 | 2.0 | 238 | 266 | 703 | 2.8 | 275 | 428 | 5,671 | 22.4 | 2,589 | 3,082 |
| \$37,500-\$39,999 | 26,195 | 12,449 | 13,746 | 52.5 | 583 | 2.2 | 284 | 299 | 689 | 2.6 | 282 | 407 | 5,703 | 21.8 | 2,612 | 3,091 |
| \$40,000-\$44,999 | 49,227 | 24,800 | 24,427 | 49.6 | 1,016 | 2.1 | 521 | 495 | 1,381 | 2.8 | 672 | 709 | 10,489 | 21.3 | 4,942 | 5,547 |
| \$45,000-\$49,999 | 52,867 | 28,806 | 24,061 | 45.5 | 1,066 | 2.0 | 595 | 471 | 1,420 | 2.7 | 754 | 666 | 9,686 | 18.3 | 4,771 | 4,915 |
| \$50,000-\$59,999 | 128,188 | 77,482 | 50,706 | 39.6 | 2,584 | 2.0 | 1,690 | 894 | 4,381 | 3.4 | 2,562 | 1,819 | 20,074 | 15.7 | 11,428 | 8,646 |
| \$60,000-\$69,999 | 71,628 | 48,756 | 22,872 | 31.9 | 1,368 | 1.9 | 993 | 375 | 1,929 | 2.7 | 1,321 | 608 | 11,015 | 15.4 | 6,655 | 4,360 |
| \$70,000-\$84,999 | 72,372 | 50,393 | 21,979 | 30.4 | 1,274 | 1.8 | 945 | 329 | 1,678 | 2.3 | 1,136 | 542 | 11,946 | 16.5 | 7,689 | 4,257 |
| \$85,000-\$99,999 | 44,514 | 32,018 | 12,496 | 28.1 | 751 | 1.7 | 596 | 155 | 1,054 | 2.4 | 749 | 305 | 7,378 | 16.6 | 4,998 | 2,380 |
| \$100,000 and over | 71,377 | 54,715 | 16,662 | 23.3 | 1,027 | 1.4 | 867 | 160 | 1,512 | 2.1 | 1,148 | 364 | 9,287 | 13.0 | 6,677 | 2,610 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 628,237 | 385,692 | 242,545 | 38.6 | 12,106 | 1.9 | 7,652 | 4,454 | 16,711 | 2.7 | 9,706 | 7,005 | 110,705 | 17.6 | 62,864 | 47,841 |

Table 13
Federally Regulated Private Sector Employees in Permanent Part-Time Employment by Designated Group, Gender and Salary Range as of December 31, 2010

| Salary Range | All Employees |  |  |  | Aboriginal Peoples |  |  |  | Persons with Disabilities |  |  |  | Members of Visible Minorities |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Men | Women | (\%) | Total | (\%) | Men | Women | Total | (\%) | Men | Women | Total | (\%) | Men | Women |
| Under \$5,000 | 4,349 | 1,633 | 2,716 | 62.5 | 77 | 1.8 | 25 | 52 | 99 | 2.3 | 32 | 67 | 452 | 10.4 | 184 | 268 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 3,531 | 1,472 | 2,059 | 58.3 | 69 | 2.0 | 22 | 47 | 52 | 1.5 | 15 | 37 | 390 | 11.0 | 176 | 214 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 4,408 | 1,807 | 2,601 | 59.0 | 72 | 1.6 | 24 | 48 | 98 | 2.2 | 39 | 59 | 525 | 11.9 | 235 | 290 |
| \$10,000-\$12,499 | 11,861 | 6,411 | 5,450 | 45.9 | 262 | 2.2 | 152 | 110 | 220 | 1.9 | 105 | 115 | 2,523 | 21.3 | 1,713 | 810 |
| \$12,500-\$14,999 | 9,441 | 4,393 | 5,048 | 53.5 | 223 | 2.4 | 92 | 131 | 202 | 2.1 | 77 | 125 | 2,095 | 22.2 | 1,219 | 876 |
| \$15,000-\$17,499 | 8,758 | 3,419 | 5,339 | 61.0 | 189 | 2.2 | 67 | 122 | 209 | 2.4 | 73 | 136 | 1,746 | 19.9 | 825 | 921 |
| \$17,500-\$19,999 | 10,985 | 4,609 | 6,376 | 58.0 | 221 | 2.0 | 93 | 128 | 313 | 2.8 | 95 | 218 | 2,563 | 23.3 | 1,414 | 1,149 |
| \$20,000-\$22,499 | 10,792 | 4,128 | 6,664 | 61.7 | 225 | 2.1 | 78 | 147 | 278 | 2.6 | 97 | 181 | 2,336 | 21.6 | 1,166 | 1,170 |
| \$22,500-\$24,999 | 9,800 | 3,479 | 6,321 | 64.5 | 209 | 2.1 | 74 | 135 | 236 | 2.4 | 78 | 158 | 1,950 | 19.9 | 879 | 1,071 |
| \$25,000-\$29,999 | 18,726 | 7,322 | 11,404 | 60.9 | 391 | 2.1 | 121 | 270 | 472 | 2.5 | 144 | 328 | 3,441 | 18.4 | 1,627 | 1,814 |
| \$30,000-\$34,999 | 11,792 | 4,752 | 7,040 | 59.7 | 243 | 2.1 | 108 | 135 | 323 | 2.7 | 109 | 214 | 2,363 | 20.0 | 1,252 | 1,111 |
| \$35,000-\$39,999 | 7,256 | 3,293 | 3,963 | 54.6 | 145 | 2.0 | 70 | 75 | 166 | 2.3 | 70 | 96 | 1,126 | 15.5 | 640 | 486 |
| \$40,000-\$49,999 | 5,228 | 2,382 | 2,846 | 54.4 | 107 | 2.0 | 44 | 63 | 117 | 2.2 | 42 | 75 | 693 | 13.3 | 418 | 275 |
| \$50,000 and over | 3,018 | 1,286 | 1,732 | 57.4 | 47 | 1.6 | 25 | 22 | 63 | 2.1 | 23 | 40 | 299 | 9.9 | 162 | 137 |
| Total | 119,945 | 50,386 | 69,559 | 58.0 | 2,480 | 2.1 | 995 | 1,485 | 2,848 | 2.4 | 999 | 1,849 | 22,502 | 18.8 | 11,910 | 10,592 |

## - Appendix B

## Technical Notes ${ }^{20}$



## Employers Covered Under the Act

## The Act covers:

- Federally regulated private sector employers, Crown corporations and other federal organizations (e.g.
Canadian Wheat Board and Montreal Port Authority) with 100 or more employees;
- Core public administration organizations listed under Schedule I or IV of the Financial Administration Act (FAA) (e.g. Human Resources and Skills Development Canada, National Defence, Correctional Service Canada and Health Canada);
- Separate employer organizations in the federal public sector with 100 or more employees listed in Schedule V of the FAA (e.g. the Canada Revenue Agency, the Canadian Food Inspection Agency and Parks Canada);
- Other public sector employer organizations with 100 or more employees, including the Canadian Forces (officers and non-commissioned members in the Regular and Reserve Forces) and the Royal Canadian Mounted Police (regular and civilian members, excluding federal public service employees); and
- Federal contractor organizations that are provincially regulated suppliers of goods and services, with at least 100 employees in Canada, and receive contracts of $\$ 200,000$ or more from the federal government.


## Basic Employer Requirements

Employers subject to the Act must fulfill four basic requirements:

1. Survey their workforces in order to collect information on the representation, occupational groups and salary distribution of designated groups, as well as their share of hires, promotions and terminations.
2. Analyze any under-representation of the designated groups in each occupational group within their workforce.
3. Review their employment systems, policies and practices in order to identify all the barriers to employment.
4. Prepare a plan describing how they expect to eliminate the barriers to employment and institute positive policies and practices. This plan must include a complete timetable, including short- and long-term objectives.

[^0]:    1 Private sector employers include Crown corporations and other federal organizations such as Canadian Wheat Board and Montreal Port Authority.

[^1]:    * Source: Statistics Canada, 2006 Census and 2006 Participation and Activity Limitations Survey

[^2]:    * Source: Statistics Canada, 2006 Census and 2006 Participation and Activity Limitation Survey

[^3]:    4 To measure the progress of women covered by the Act, representation is compared to availability in the workforce population. Availability data are obtained from censuses conducted by Statistics Canada every five years. There is, therefore, a time lag in measuring representation gaps as, for example, 2010 representation is being compared to 2006 Census availability data.

[^4]:    5 As prescribed under the Employment Equity Act, data are collected by 14 salary ranges. Since the highest concentration of employees in permanent full-time positions is in the $\$ 50,000$ to $\$ 59,999$ salary range, analyses have been carried out for the ranges above and below this range.

[^5]:    12 To measure the progress of persons with disabilities covered by the Act, representation is compared to availability in the workforce population. Availability data are obtained from the Participation and Activity Limitation Survey (PALS) conducted by Statistics Canada every five years. There is, therefore, a time lag in measuring representation gaps as, for example, 2010 representation is being compared to 2006 PALS availability data.

[^6]:    13 As prescribed under the Employment Equity Act, data are collected by 14 salary ranges. Since the highest concentration of employees in permanent full-time positions is in the $\$ 50,000$ to $\$ 59,999$ salary range, analyses have been carried out for the ranges above and below this range.

[^7]:    14 The share is the percentage of the total number of people within a particular designated group that were hired, promoted or terminated. The share of people hired is compared to availability, while the shares of people promoted and terminated are compared to representation.

[^8]:    16 To measure the progress of members of visible minorities covered by the Act, representation is compared to availability in the workforce population. Availability data are obtained from censuses conducted by Statistics Canada every five years. There is, therefore, a time lag in measuring representation gaps as, for example, 2010 representation is being compared to 2006 Census availability data.

[^9]:    17 As prescribed under the Employment Equity Act, data are collected by 14 salary ranges. Since the highest concentration of employees in permanent full-time positions is in the $\$ 50,000$ to $\$ 59,999$ salary range, analyses have been carried out for the ranges above and below this range.

[^10]:    18 The share is the percentage of the total number of people within a particular designated group that were hired, promoted or terminated. The share of people hired is compared to availability, while the shares of people promoted and terminated are compared to representation.

[^11]:    * Source: Statistics Canada, 2006 Census and 2006 PALS.

