



**SOUP-KITCHEN ENUMERATION DEBRIEFING QUESTIONNAIRE
OBSERVER**

To help us with the evaluation of the soup-kitchen enumeration project, feedback from everyone is important. You can assist us by reporting your experiences and opinions during your observation of various aspects of this project.

If you would take some time to complete this questionnaire, our future work in this area would be much improved. Space is provided for your responses. If this is not sufficient, you may attach additional notes. You may have something important to report which does not fit into one of the areas of questioning. You are encouraged to write this down as well, attaching additional sheets.

A. Manuals and Other Materials

- Form 3B - Questionnaire for soup-kitchen enumeration
- SK-3 - Interviewer's Procedures Manual
- SK-4 - Questionnaire Reference Guide
- SK-5 - Interviewer Training Guide

A1. Did you review any of these? If so, which ones?

A2. How could we improve these documents?

FORM	REVIEW ?	COMMENTS
	(Y/N)	
3B		
SK-3		
SK-4		
SK-5		

B. Training

B1. Did you attend any of the training sessions? If not, skip to Section C. If so, which ones? Were they classroom sessions or precensal visits to interview locations?

--

B2. Did the training serve its purpose? That is, were the interviewers prepared to complete their duties as a result of the training?

--

B3. Did any problems arise in training? If so, how did the trainer deal with them?

--

B4. Do you have any suggestions for improvements, either in the time allotted or the content, to the training session?

--

C. Data Collection

C1. At which facilities did you observe?

--

C2. Briefly describe the data-collection procedures which were used? In your opinion, was this the most effective way to collect the data?

C3. Did your presence as an observer affect the data collection? Please explain.

C4. Did the soup-kitchen management participate in any way in the Census data collection (for example, by actively encouraging individuals to participate)? If so, was this effective ?

C5. Did the interviewers have any problems during data collection (for example, persons who did not speak the same language or many persons who would not answer the questions) ? If so, how were they resolved?

C6. On average, how long did it take to interview each person?

C7. What types of questions did the respondents ask? Were they satisfied with the answers? If not, why not and what was their reaction? Were the interviewers prepared to answer these questions? If not, why not?

--

C8. Separately for each of the eleven questions on the questionnaire (Form 3B), please comment on how well the data were collected? Do you have suggestions for improving the wording of the questions?

Question		COMMENTS / SUGGESTIONS
Q1	Sex	
Q2	Age	
Q3	Stay last night	
Q4	Included by another	
Q5	Marital status	

Q6	Common-law status	
Q7	Place of Birth	
Q8	Mother Tongue	
Q9	Education	
Q10	Name	
Q11	Already counted?	

C9. Did the interviewer's supervisor visit during the data collection? What did he/she do?

--



C10. Did the interviewers miss any persons? Why? Could this have been avoided? If so, how?

C11. What was the general attitude of the interviewers (e.g., friendly, intimidated, business-like)? Of the respondents (e.g., cooperative, passive, hostile)?

C12. How did the interviewers deal with those who were reluctant to participate? Was this approach successful?

C13. Did the media contact the interviewers during data collection? If so, did these contacts affect the data collection?

C14. Do you have any suggested improvements in any of the data-collection procedures?