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## Message $_{\text {tom ne }}$ Minister

I am pleased to present the Employment Equity Act: Annual Report 2013, which outlines efforts made by federally regulated private-sector employers to create and foster inclusive workplaces.

We will encourage our parthers to consider the neets of their employees and tailor their apuroaches accordingly to ensure healihy, inclusive workplaces for all Ganatians.

In 2012, Canada's workforce continued to evolve. A still-recovering global economy, coupled with skills shortages in many sectors and regions in Canada, serves as a reminder that all Canadians deserve the opportunity to achieve their full potential and contribute to Canada's prosperity.

The Employment Equity Act promotes equitable representation for women, Aboriginal peoples, persons with disabilities and members of visible minorities who work in federally regulated workplaces. In our current environment, employment equity has an increasingly important role to play both in addressing the needs of Canadian business and in achieving
our goal of creating jobs, economic growth and long-term prosperity for all Canadians. While 2012 saw improvements in some areas, it is clear there is still work that needs to be done to ensure these four groups are represented at levels that match their labour market availability.

Moving forward, our Government will continue to collaborate with employers, governments and other stakeholders in the pursuit of improved representation and use of available skills and talents among the four designated groups. We will encourage our partners to consider the needs of their employees and tailor their approaches accordingly to ensure healthy, inclusive workplaces for all Canadians.

In the coming year, it is my hope that we continue our joint efforts to build fair, safe and productive workplaces for the benefit of Canada's society and our economy.

> The Honourable Dr. K. Kellie Leitch, P.C., O.Ont., M.P.

> Minister of Labour and Minister of Status of Women
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## The purinase of this Iet is to achieve equality in the workplace so that no person shall he denied employment opportunities or henefits for reasons unrelated to ahility andi, in the fulfilment of that goal, to correct the conditions of ilsadvantage in employment experienced by women, ahoriginal peoples, persons with disahilities and members of visible minorities by giving effect to the principle that employment equity means more than treating persons in the same way hut also requires special measures and the aceommodation of ififerences."

## - Section 2 of the Employment Eivitity Aet

Under the Employment Equity Act (the Act), the Minister of Labour must submit a report to Parliament describing the status of employment equity in the federally regulated private sector. ${ }^{1}$ Under the Act, employers in the federally regulated private sector with a workforce of 100 employees or more must file a report with the Minister by June 1 every year. The Employment Equity Act: Annual Report 2013 consolidates the information submitted by employers for 2012 and highlights the progress that employers have made in achieving an equitable workforce for the four designated groups: women, Aboriginal peoples, persons with disabilities and members of visible minorities.

The report also compares this year's data with last year's, and with the data from 2001 (the year when the Act was last reviewed) and 1987 (the year reporting began). The representation of designated groups is compared to their labour market availability (LMA). Progress has been made when the gap between a group's representation and its LMA narrows, or when the group's representation exceeds its LMA.

## Ahout the Data

The LMA data for women, Aboriginal peoples and members of visible minorities is obtained from the Statistics Canada Census. Data for persons with disabilities is obtained from the Participation and Activity Limitation Survey (PALS), which is also conducted by Statistics Canada.

There is a time lag in measuring representation gaps in this report because the 2012 representation is being compared to 2006 Census and PALS availability data. Availability estimates based on the 2011 Census will be released in 2014.

> Representation is the share of designated groups in a given labour force (e.g., the entire federally regulated private-sector workforce, the banking sector or an individual bank).

Labour market availability refers to
the share of designated group members in the workforce from which the employers could hire.

[^0]
## Section 1 - Year in Review

Employers in the federally regulated private sector continue to make progress toward employment equity, but the results vary across designated groups and sectors. Employers still need to make improvements in order to achieve a fully representative ${ }^{2}$ workforce.

- The representation rate for three of the four designated groups (women, Aboriginal peoples and persons with disabilities) remained below their LMA. In contrast, representation for members of visible minorities continued to exceed the group's LMA.
- The representation of women has fluctuated over the years settling at $40.9 \%$ in 2012 , which is the same as it was in 1987, reflecting attainment of $85.4 \%$ of LMA (a shoriffall of 14.6\%). Since 1987, the increase in the number of women ( $29.6 \%$ ) in the federally regulated private-sector workforce has matched the increase in the number of men (29.8\%).
- The representation of Aboriginal peoples climbed from $0.7 \%$ in 1987 to $2.0 \%$ in 2012. Despite the number of Aboriginal peoples in the federally regulated private sector more than quadrupling since

1987, the representation rate remains at $64.5 \%$ of the group's LMA, a gap of $35.5 \%$.

- The representation of persons with disabilities increased a full percentage point from $1.6 \%$ in 1987 to $2.6 \%$ in 2012, reaching just over half ( $53.1 \%$ ) of the $4.9 \%$ LMA. Although the percentage representation has not increased significantly (still lagging by $46.9 \%$ ), there has been a substantial rise in the number of persons with disabilities working in the federally regulated private sector since the Act came into force. This number more than doubled to over 20,000 in 2012.
- Members of visible minorities have seen the most progress with their representation, which increased from $5.0 \%$ in 1987 to $18.6 \%$ in 2012, surpassing the group's LMA of $15.3 \%$. This is the only designated group in the federally regulated private sector whose overall representation surpasses its LMA.

2 A workforce is considered "fully representative" when the representation of designated group members is equal to the respective LMA.

The number of designated group members in the federally regulated private-sector workforce has increased over time; however, percentage gains in terms of representation are harder to achieve. It was found that:

- overall, women continue to lag behind in earnings, and women who are members of other designated groups fare worse than women overall, especially Aboriginal women.
- persons with disabilities and Aboriginal peoples have seen minimal increases in actual employee numbers and have stalled in overall percentage representation.
- visible minorities are represented at levels above their LMA and their representation was not affected in sectors that saw job losses.
- job losses in the communications sector affected women, persons with disabilities and Aboriginal peoples, while job losses in the 'other' sector affected women and persons with disabilities.


## Chart 1: Progress in Representation over Time in the Federally Regulated Private Sector


*Source: Statistics Canada 2006 Census \& PALS

## Sector

The federally regulated private sector is made up of four sectors:

## Banking

Includes all major Canadian banks.

## Communications

Comprises radio and television broadcasting, telecommunications and postal and courier services.

## Transportation

Consists of employers in the air, rail, bus and water transportation industries; inter-provincial trucking; pipelines; and investigation and security services.

## 'Other'

Encompasses a diverse group of employers working in industries such as nuclear power generation; warehousing and storage; metal ore mining; professional, scientific and technical services; finance; construction management; food, wood, chemical and equipment manufacturing; wholesale trade; waste management; arts, entertainment and recreation; and, public administration.

## Federally Regulated Private Sector: Overall workforce

A total of 516 employers filed a report with the Minister of Labour for 2012. Together, these employers had a total of 772,480 employees across Canada, which represents approximately $4.3 \%$ of the Canadian workforce. This is a net increase of 3,933 employees over the previous year, even though the number of employers dropped slightly. ${ }^{3}$

At the sectorial level only the banking and transportation sectors saw a workforce increase ( $1.4 \%$ and $3.5 \%$, respectively). The communications and 'other' sectors saw an overall workforce decrease of $1.9 \%$ and $5.1 \%$, respectively.

[^1]Transportation remained the largest sector with $67.2 \%$ of employers and $32.9 \%$ of employees. Despite having the lowest number of employers (25), the banking sector comprised $29.4 \%$ of employees in the federally regulated private sector.

The table below shows the sectorial composition of the federally regulated privatesector workforce for the 2012 calendar year.

Table 1: Number of Employers and Employees (1987, 2011 and 2012) in the Federally Regulated Private Sector

| Sector | Employers |  |  | Employees |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1 9 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
|  | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\#$ |
| Banking | 23 | 25 | 25 | 169,632 | 224,378 | 227,455 |
| Communications | 90 | 81 | 72 | 179,247 | 235,275 | 230,819 |
| Transportation | 208 | 345 | 347 | 203,207 | 245,819 | 254,330 |
| 'Other' | 52 | 78 | 72 | 43,331 | 63,075 | 59,876 |
| All Sectors | $\mathbf{3 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 9 5 , 4 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{7 6 8 , 5 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{7 7 2 , 4 8 0}$ |

In terms of representation of designated groups, the banking sector has achieved a workforce composition that exceeds LMA for women and members of visible minorities.

The communications sector is fully representative of members of visible minorities, and the 'other' sector has achieved full representation for Aboriginal peoples.

No sector has achieved representation equal to the LMA for persons with disabilities.

Table 2: Designated Group Representation by Sector

| Sector | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ Representation |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Women | Aboriginal | Persons with <br> Disabilities | Members of <br> Visible Minorities |
|  | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |
| Banking | 63.7 | 1.3 | 3.8 | 28.2 |
| Communications | 37.7 | 1.7 | 2.3 | 17.1 |
| Transportation | 26.0 | 2.6 | 1.8 | 13.4 |
| 'Other' | 30.1 | 3.8 | 2.5 | 10.7 |
| All Sectors | 40.9 | 2.0 | 2.6 | 18.6 |
| Availability* | 47.9 | 3.1 | 4.9 | 15.3 |

[^2]
## Section 2 - Designated Groups

## Women

The number of women in the federally regulated private sector has increased since 1987. However, women's representation in 2012 continued its downward trend to $40.9 \%$, remaining below the group's LMA of $47.9 \%$ and achieving $85.4 \%$ of LMA.

## Chart 2: Representation and Availability of Women in the Federally Regulated Private Sector


*Source: Statistics Canada Censuses.

Table 3 provides details on the employment situation of women in each sector of the federally regulated private sector.

- While the representation of women decreased slightly overall from the previous year, the transportation and 'other' sectors reported increases in their representation of women.
- There was a net increase of 3,933 employees in the federally regulated privatesector workforce from 2011 to 2012 . However, the total number of women decreased by 825.
- The most significant decrease was noted in the communications sector with a $4.3 \%$ drop in the number of women as compared to 2011 .
- In contrast, the number of men in the communications sector dropped by $0.4 \%$ from 2011 to 2012.
- All sectors combined, more women left the federally regulated private-sector workforce than entered it.
- These losses are a result of the large number of women leaving the communications sector, where more women left than were hired. The trend was reversed in the other three sectors, where more women entered the workforce than left it.
- The transportation and 'other' sectors had shares of hires that exceeded shares of terminations, ${ }^{4}$ which means that more women entered the workforce than left it. This is a contributing factor to the increase in representation observed in these two sectors.

Table 3: Number, Representation, Hires, Promotions, and Terminations of Women in the Federally Regulated Private Sector by Sectors ${ }^{5}$

|  | Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Sectors |  | Banking |  | Communications | Transportation |  | 'Other' $^{\prime}$ |  |  |  |
|  | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 |  |
| Number Employed | 316,755 | 315,930 | 144,797 | 144,898 | 90,877 | 86,927 | 62,552 | 66,068 | 18,529 | 18,037 |  |
| Representation | $41.2 \%$ | $40.9 \%$ | $64.5 \%$ | $63.7 \%$ | $38.6 \%$ | $37.7 \%$ | $25.4 \%$ | $26.0 \%$ | $29.4 \%$ | $30.1 \%$ |  |
| Number of Hires | 43,755 | 40,983 | 16,118 | 16,859 | 12,690 | 9,372 | 12,530 | 12,731 | 2,417 | 2,021 |  |
| Number of Promotions | 23,806 | 22,527 | 15,407 | 15,203 | 4,659 | 4,499 | 2,576 | 1,789 | 1,164 | 1,036 |  |
| Number of Terminations | 42,446 | 41,832 | 15,582 | 16,479 | 13,466 | 13,196 | 10,230 | 10,310 | 3,168 | 1,847 |  |
| Share of Hirings | $35.3 \%$ | $35.4 \%$ | $54.5 \%$ | $54.9 \%$ | $35.7 \%$ | $33.4 \%$ | $24.6 \%$ | $25.7 \%$ | $30.4 \%$ | $27.6 \%$ |  |
| Share of Promotions | $46.2 \%$ | $47.2 \%$ | $59.3 \%$ | $58.8 \%$ | $35.9 \%$ | $36.0 \%$ | $28.9 \%$ | $29.8 \%$ | $32.0 \%$ | $30.6 \%$ |  |
| Share of Terminations | $35.9 \%$ | $36.9 \%$ | $60.0 \%$ | $59.5 \%$ | $37.0 \%$ | $38.2 \%$ | $22.5 \%$ | $23.5 \%$ | $31.0 \%$ | $25.7 \%$ |  |

More women in permanent full-time positions earned $\$ 60,000$ or more in 2012 than in 2011. However, the salaries reported in 2012 showed that the highest percentage of women in permanent full-time positions (43.4\%) earned a salary below \$50,000. In contrast, $29.3 \%$ of men were in this salary range in 2012. The majority of men ( $51.5 \%$ ) earned $\$ 60,000$ or more, whereas only $35.7 \%$ of women received earnings in that salary range.

Table 4: Distribution of Salary Ranges by Year and Gender

| Salary Range | Distribution of Permanent Full-Time Employees (\%) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |  |
|  | Men | Women | Men | Women |
| $\$ 60,000$ and above | 49.9 | 33.6 | 51.5 | 35.7 |
| $\$ 50,000$ to $\$ 59,999$ | 19.8 | 20.7 | 19.2 | 20.9 |
| Below $\$ 50,000$ | 30.3 | 45.7 | 29.3 | 43.4 |

4 Terminated means retired, resigned, laid off, dismissed or otherwise having ceased to be an employee, but does not include laid off temporarily or absent by reason of illness, injury or a labour dispute.
5 For more information regarding the number, representation, hires, promotions, terminations and net effect of employees in each sector, see Table 4 in Appendix A.

## Aboriginal peoples

The representation of Aboriginal peoples has increased steadily since 1987 but has remained at $2.0 \%$ for a second consecutive year, achieving $64.5 \%$ of LMA. The LMA for Aboriginal peoples is $3.1 \%$.

## Chart 3: Representation and Availability of Aboriginal Peoples in the Federally Regulated Private Sector


*Source: Statistics Canada Censuses.
Note: In 1996, the Census methodology changed with respect to computation of the availability of Aboriginal peoples, thereby causing a drop.

Table 5 provides details on the employment situation of Aboriginal peoples in each sector of the federally regulated private sector.

- The representation of Aboriginal peoples is highest in the 'other' and transportation sectors ( $3.8 \%$ and $2.6 \%$, respectively). Representation in these sectors exceeds the group's overall representation of $2.0 \%$, and in the 'other' sector, it exceeds the group's LMA of $3.1 \%$.
- In these two sectors, the share of hirings of Aboriginal peoples is higher than their share of terminations. This contributes positively to the group's representation levels. In fact, between 2011 and 2012, the representation of Aboriginal peoples increased 0.1 percentage point in the transportation sector and 0.3 percentage point in the 'other' sector. Representation in the banking and communications sectors remained unchanged.
- A total of $42.4 \%$ of Aboriginal peoples working in the federally regulated private sector are employed in the transportation sector.
- The second biggest proportion of Aboriginal peoples work in the communications sector ( $24.8 \%$ ), followed by the banking sector ( $18.3 \%$ ) and the 'other' sector (14.6\%).

Table 5: Number, Representation, Hires, Promotions, and Terminations of Aboriginal Peoples in the Federally Regulated Private Sector by Sector

|  | Aboriginal Peoples |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Sectors |  | Banking |  | Communications |  | Transportation |  | 'Other' |  |
|  | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 |
| Number Employed | 15,166 | 15,778 | 2,881 | 2,887 | 3,981 | 3,907 | 6,084 | 6,686 | 2,220 | 2,298 |
| Representation | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 1.3\% | 1.3\% | 1.7\% | 1.7\% | 2.5\% | 2.6\% | 3.5\% | 3.8\% |
| Number of Hires | 2,852 | 3,088 | 359 | 341 | 535 | 489 | 1,730 | 1,970 | 228 | 288 |
| Number of Promotions | 866 | 846 | 304 | 344 | 229 | 232 | 214 | 141 | 119 | 129 |
| Number of Terminations | 2,815 | 2,839 | 449 | 428 | 749 | 604 | 1,369 | 1,556 | 248 | 251 |
| Share of Hirings | 2.3\% | 2.7\% | 1.2\% | 1.1\% | 1.5\% | 1.7\% | 3.4\% | 4.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.9\% |
| Share of Promotions | 1.7\% | 1.8\% | 1.2\% | 1.3\% | 1.8\% | 1.9\% | 2.4\% | 2.3\% | 3.3\% | 3.8\% |
| Share of Terminations | 2.4\% | 2.5\% | 1.7\% | 1.5\% | 2.1\% | 1.7\% | 3.0\% | 3.5\% | 2.4\% | 3.5\% |

More Aboriginal peoples in permanent full-time positions earned \$60,000 or more in 2012 than in 2011. Even with this progress, Aboriginal peoples remain at a disadvantage in terms of their distribution across salary ranges. For instance, the proportion of Aboriginal peoples in the $\$ 60,000$ and above range is lower than their non-Aboriginal counterparts. Aboriginal women in particular are much less likely ( $27.2 \%$ ) to earn such salaries compared to all women ( $35.7 \%$ ). Correspondingly, the majority of Aboriginal women ( $51.9 \%$ ) earned salaries below $\$ 50,000$ as compared to $43.4 \%$ of women overall.

Table 6: Distribution of Salary Ranges by Year and Gender

| Salary Range | Distribution of Permanent Full-Time Employees (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |  |  |  |
|  | Men | Women | Aboriginal <br> Men | Aboriginal <br> Women | Men | Women | Aboriginal <br> Men |
| Aboriginal <br> Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 60,000$ and above | 49.9 | 33.6 | 46.4 | 25.4 | 51.5 | 35.7 | 47.6 |
| $\$ 50,000$ to $\$ 59,999$ | 19.8 | 20.7 | 21.2 | 20.8 | 19.2 | 20.9 | 20.4 |
| Below $\$ 50,000$ | 30.3 | 45.7 | 32.4 | 53.8 | 29.3 | 43.4 | 32.0 |

## Persons with disabilities

At $53.1 \%$ of LMA, the representation of persons with disabilities remained at $2.6 \%$ in 2012, a rate that has remained unchanged since 2010. This rate continues to fall below the group's LMA of $4.9 \%$. Since 1987, the total number of persons with disabilities in the federally regulated private sector has more than doubled.

## Chart 4: Representation and Availability of Persons with Disabilities in the Federally Regulated Private Sector


*Source: Statistics Canada, 1986 \& 1991 Health and Activity Limitation Survey (HALS), 2001 \& 2006 PALS.
Note: The PALS methodology used the World Health Organization's International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health framework to define disability rather than the 1980 International Classification of Impairments, Disabilities, and Handicaps, which caused a drop.

Table 7 provides insight into the situation of persons with disabilities in each sector of the federally regulated private sector.

- The representation of persons with disabilities in the banking sector increased from $3.4 \%$ in 2011 to $3.8 \%$ in 2012 . Representation decreased in the other three sectors.
- The banking sector also provided persons with disabilities more opportunities for advancement with a share of promotions of $2.7 \%$. This represents the highest share of promotions for persons with disabilities of any sector.
- The largest proportion of persons with disabilities in the federally regulated private sector was found in the banking sector (42.9\%).
- This is followed by the communications, transportation and 'other' sectors with $26.7 \%, 22.9 \%$ and $7.5 \%$, respectively.
- The number of terminations received by persons with disabilities (overall and in each sector) for 2011 and 2012 exceeded their number of hires. If this trend continues, progress in terms of representation levels will remain slow since persons with disabilities are leaving the workforce at a higher rate than they are entering it.

Table 7: Number, Representation, Hires, Promotions, and Terminations of Persons with Disabilities in the Federally Regulated Private Sector by Sector

|  | Persons with Disabilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Sectors |  | Banking |  | Communications |  | Transportation |  | 'Other' |  |
|  | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 |
| Number Employed | 19,649 | 20,232 | 7,729 | 8,686 | 5,689 | 5,396 | 4,566 | 4,639 | 1,665 | 1,511 |
| Representation | 2.6\% | 2.6\% | 3.4\% | 3.8\% | 2.4\% | 2.3\% | 1.9\% | 1.8\% | 2.6\% | 2.5\% |
| Number of Hires | 1,621 | 1,594 | 521 | 507 | 383 | 315 | 636 | 696 | 81 | 76 |
| Number of Promotions | 1,074 | 1,080 | 617 | 695 | 228 | 238 | 151 | 83 | 78 | 64 |
| Number of Terminations | 2,973 | 2,662 | 1,000 | 870 | 1,047 | 818 | 711 | 784 | 215 | 190 |
| Share of Hirings | 1.3\% | 1.4\% | 1.8\% | 1.7\% | 1.1\% | 1.1\% | 1.2\% | 1.4\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% |
| Share of Promotions | 2.1\% | 2.3\% | 2.4\% | 2.7\% | 1.8\% | 1.9\% | 1.7\% | 1.4\% | 2.1\% | 1.9\% |
| Share of Terminations | 2.5\% | 2.3\% | 3.8\% | 3.1\% | 2.9\% | 2.4\% | 1.6\% | 1.8\% | 2.1\% | 2.6\% |

More persons with disabilities in permanent full-time positions earned \$60,000 or more in 2012 than in 2011. The proportion of men with disabilities earning a salary of $\$ 60,000$ or more increased from $47.2 \%$ to $48.9 \%$ and the proportion of women with disabilities with earnings in that range increased from $29.0 \%$ to $32.5 \%$. Men with disabilities fare better than women with disabilities: the highest proportion of men with disabilities $(48.9 \%)$ is in the top salary range while the highest proportion of women with disabilities ( $43.3 \%$ ) is in the lowest salary range. This finding is also true for all men and women.

Table 8: Distribution of Salary Ranges by Year and Gender

| Salary Range | Distribution of Permanent Full-Time Employees (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 |  |  |  | 2012 |  |  |  |
|  | Men | Women |  |  | Men | Women | $\begin{gathered} \text { Men } \\ \text { with } \\ \text { Disabilities } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| \$60,000 and above | 49.9 | 33.6 | 47.2 | 29.0 | 51.5 | 35.7 | 48.9 | 32.5 |
| \$50,000 to \$59,999 | 19.8 | 20.7 | 25.0 | 26.0 | 19.2 | 20.9 | 23.6 | 24.2 |
| Below \$50,000 | 30.3 | 45.7 | 27.9 | 45.0 | 29.3 | 43.4 | 27.5 | 43.3 |

## Members of visible minorities

The representation of members of visible minorities has climbed steadily from 5.0\% in 1987 to $18.6 \%$ in 2012. Since 2007, this group's representation has consistently been above its LMA of $15.3 \%$.

## Chart 5: Representation and Availability of Members of Visible Minorities in the Federally Regulated Private Sector

- Representation in Federally Regulated Private-Sector Workforce
- Canadian Labour Market Availability*

*Source: Statistics Canada Censuses.

Table 9 provides more detail regarding areas of strength leading to the high representation of members of visible minorities. It also identifies sectors where more progress is needed in order for the group's representation to match its LMA.

- Both the banking and communications sectors have achieved a workforce representation of members of visible minorities that is above the group's LMA.
- Although not equal to the group's LMA, the representation of members of visible minorities has increased in both the transportation (13.4\%) and 'other' (10.7\%) sectors when compared to the previous year's representation of $12.5 \%$ and $10.0 \%$, respectively.
- The recruitment levels of members of visible minorities in the banking, communications and transportation sectors are at least equal to the group's LMA. This should continue to have a positive effect on representation levels.
- The transportation and 'other' sectors have a positive net effect (number of hires minus number of terminations), meaning that members of visible minorities are entering the workforce in these sectors at a greater rate than they are leaving it.

Table 9: Number, Representation, Hires, Promotions, and Terminations of Members of Visible Minorities in the Federally Regulated Private Sector by Sector

|  | Members of Visible Minorities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Sectors |  | Banking |  | Communications | Transportation | ${ }^{\prime}$ Other' $^{\prime}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 |  |
| Number Employed | 139,665 | 143,968 | 63,239 | 64,141 | 39,298 | 39,434 | 30,829 | 33,983 | 6,299 | 6,410 |  |
| Representation | $18.2 \%$ | $18.6 \%$ | $28.2 \%$ | $28.2 \%$ | $16.7 \%$ | $17.1 \%$ | $12.5 \%$ | $13.4 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ | $10.7 \%$ |  |
| Number of Hires | 22,299 | 21,462 | 8,047 | 6,161 | 6,690 | 6,226 | 6,765 | 8,201 | 797 | 874 |  |
| Number of Promotions | 12,333 | 11,383 | 8,560 | 8,097 | 2,359 | 2,158 | 1,026 | 779 | 388 | 349 |  |
| Number of Terminations | 20,649 | 20,067 | 6,670 | 6,862 | 6,792 | 6,914 | 5,641 | 5,641 | 1,546 | 650 |  |
| Share of Hirings | $18.0 \%$ | $18.6 \%$ | $27.2 \%$ | $20.1 \%$ | $18.8 \%$ | $22.2 \%$ | $13.3 \%$ | $16.6 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ | $11.9 \%$ |  |
| Share of Promotions | $23.9 \%$ | $23.8 \%$ | $33.0 \%$ | $31.3 \%$ | $18.2 \%$ | $17.3 \%$ | $11.5 \%$ | $13.0 \%$ | $10.7 \%$ | $10.3 \%$ |  |
| Share of Terminations | $17.5 \%$ | $17.7 \%$ | $25.7 \%$ | $24.8 \%$ | $18.7 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ | $12.4 \%$ | $12.8 \%$ | $15.1 \%$ | $9.0 \%$ |  |

More members of visible minorities in permanent full-time positions earned \$60,000 or more in 2012 than in 2011 . The proportion of visible minority men who earned a salary of $\$ 60,000$ or more increased from $43.5 \%$ to $45.3 \%$, which is 6.2 percentage points lower than the proportion of all men in the same salary range but 11.2 percentage points higher than the proportion of visible minority women in that salary range. The highest proportion of visible minority women ( $47.3 \%$ ) earned a salary of $\$ 50,000$ or less.

Table 10: Distribution of Salary Ranges by Year and Gender

| Salary Range | Distribution of Permanent Full-Time Employees (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 |  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Men | Women | Visible <br> Minority <br> Men | Visible <br> Minority <br> Women | Men | Women | Visible <br> Minority <br> Men | Visibbe <br> Minority <br> Women |  |  |  |
| $\$ 60,000$ and above | 49.9 | 33.6 | 43.5 | 31.8 | 51.5 | 35.7 | 45.3 | 34.1 |  |  |  |
| $\$ 50,000$ to $\$ 59,999$ | 19.8 | 20.7 | 18.4 | 18.5 | 19.2 | 20.9 | 18.0 | 18.7 |  |  |  |
| Below $\$ 50,000$ | 30.3 | 45.7 | 38.1 | 49.7 | 29.3 | 43.4 | 36.7 | 47.3 |  |  |  |

## Appendix A

## Tables - Federally Regulated Private-Sector Employers

The following tables consolidate data from the annual reports submitted by federally regulated private-sector employers. The data provided is from various calendar years: 1987 (the year data was first collected), 2001 (the year the Act was last reviewed), 2011 and 2012.

Tables 1 to 3 in this appendix present data aggregated to include permanent full-time, permanent part-time and temporary employees. Table 4 summarizes information for the four industrial sectors: representation, hires, promotions, terminations and the net effect of hires less terminations. Tables 5 and 6 present salary data for permanent full-time and permanent part-time employees respectively.
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Representation (1987, 2001, 2011 and 2012) and Availability (2006) of Federally Regulated Private-Sector Employees by
Designated Group, Census Metropolitan Area, Province and Territory

| Census <br> Metropolitan Area \& Province/Territory | Women |  |  |  |  | Aboriginal Peoples |  |  |  |  | Persons with Disabilities |  |  |  |  | Members of Visible Minorities |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Representation |  |  |  | Availability* | Representation |  |  |  | Availability* | Representation |  |  |  | Availability* | Representation |  |  |  | Availability* |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1987 \\ & (\%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2001 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2012 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2006 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1987 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 2001 \\ (\%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2012 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2006 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1987 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 2001 \\ (\%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 2011 \\ (\%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2012 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2006 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1987 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2001 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2011 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2012 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2006 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ |
| Halifax | 41.2 | 46.2 | 44.4 | 43.1 | 49.6 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 3.4 | 4.4 | 4.3 | N/A | 1.9 | 4.1 | 6.1 | 6.4 | 6.3 |
| Montréal | 39.0 | 45.6 | 41.5 | 40.6 | 48.2 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1.7 | N/A | 3.0 | 5.5 | 13.5 | 14.4 | 14.7 |
| Toronto | 47.1 | 46.9 | 44.2 | 44.1 | 48.4 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 2.5 | 2.7 | N/A | 12.0 | 23.7 | 34.1 | 34.2 | 40.5 |
| Winnipeg | 32.7 | 38.2 | 34.4 | 33.7 | 48.8 | 0.8 | 4.1 | 6.0 | 6.3 | 8.1 | 1.8 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 3.2 | N/A | 2.9 | 6.6 | 12.1 | 13.3 | 14.6 |
| Regina | 42.9 | 52.4 | 45.5 | 44.7 | 49.6 | 0.4 | 2.0 | 2.7 | 3.3 | 6.6 | 2.4 | 4.4 | 3.2 | 3.4 | N/A | 1.6 | 4.0 | 8.7 | 8.9 | 6.0 |
| Calgary | 47.6 | 46.8 | 44.2 | 43.8 | 47.3 | 0.5 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 3.2 | 2.6 | 2.4 | N/A | 5.6 | 9.9 | 17.6 | 18.6 | 20.3 |
| Edmonton | 44.5 | 41.7 | 40.6 | 39.9 | 47.5 | 0.7 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 4.3 | 2.0 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 2.4 | N/A | 4.4 | 9.2 | 16.4 | 17.2 | 15.9 |
| Vancouver | 40.4 | 43.4 | 38.9 | 38.3 | 48.4 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 2.7 | N/A | 7.9 | 22.4 | 32.3 | 32.4 | 38.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Newfoundland and Labrador | 38.4 | 47.8 | 45.5 | 45.5 | 48.1 | 0.6 | 3.1 | 4.4 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 1.0 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 3.9 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.1 |
| Prince Edward Island | 38.0 | 48.9 | 31.9 | 34.7 | 49.4 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 2.2 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 5.7 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| Nova Scotia | 34.4 | 48.8 | 46.3 | 45.6 | 48.6 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 2.4 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 7.0 | 1.3 | 3.3 | 4.7 | 5.1 | 3.7 |
| New Brunswick | 32.2 | 51.8 | 49.9 | 49.6 | 48.0 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 2.5 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 5.8 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 1.6 |
| Quebec | 39.8 | 44.9 | 40.1 | 39.2 | 47.5 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 3.3 | 2.6 | 4.7 | 10.6 | 11.3 | 7.9 |
| Ontario | 44.2 | 46.2 | 43.2 | 43.1 | 48.2 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 2.2 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 5.2 | 7.3 | 16.3 | 24.2 | 24.5 | 21.6 |
| Manitoba | 30.5 | 37.5 | 33.9 | 33.4 | 48.0 | 1.0 | 4.5 | 6.9 | 7.1 | 11.4 | 1.7 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 5.5 | 2.6 | 5.6 | 10.3 | 11.2 | 9.7 |
| Saskatchewan | 35.1 | 42.3 | 37.3 | 37.1 | 47.8 | 1.4 | 5.3 | 7.2 | 7.8 | 9.9 | 1.8 | 3.3 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 5.5 | 1.2 | 3.2 | 5.4 | 5.9 | 3.5 |
| Alberta | 45.3 | 44.9 | 41.7 | 41.3 | 46.9 | 0.7 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 4.7 | 1.9 | 3.1 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 8.4 | 14.9 | 15.7 | 13.1 |
| British Columbia | 41.5 | 44.3 | 39.5 | 39.0 | 48.2 | 0.7 | 1.7 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 4.1 | 1.7 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 17.5 | 24.7 | 25.0 | 23.2 |
| Yukon | 31.4 | 57.6 | 47.3 | 44.5 | 49.1 | 3.8 | 5.7 | 8.9 | 9.5 | 20.8 | 0.8 | 2.6 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 5.5 | 1.4 | 4.3 | 9.7 | 10.3 | 3.8 |
| Northwest Territories | 21.9 | 31.8 | 25.0 | 24.5 | 47.1 | 9.6 | 16.1 | 12.5 | 9.4 | 41.0 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 4.0 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 7.8 | 8.0 | 5.6 |
| Nunavut | N/A | 25.4 | 26.5 | 25.5 | 47.0 | N/A | 16.8 | 33.3 | 34.7 | 74.6 | N/A | 3.8 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 2.7 | N/A | 3.2 | 5.9 | 4.2 | 2.1 |
| Canada | 40.9 | 44.9 | 41.2 | 40.9 | 47.9 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 3.1 | 1.6 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 4.9 | 5.0 | 11.7 | 18.2 | 18.6 | 15.3 |

*Source: Statistics Canada, 2006 Census and 2006 PALS.

| Occupational Group | Women |  |  |  | Aboriginal Peoples |  |  |  | Persons with Disabilities |  |  |  | Members of Visible Minorities |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Representation |  |  | Availability* | Representation |  |  | Availability* | Representation |  |  | Availability* | Representation |  |  | Availability* |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 2001 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2012 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2006 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2001 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2012 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2006 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2001 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2012 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2006 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2001 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2012 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2006 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ |
| Senior Managers | 19.6 | 23.5 | 23.5 | 24.2 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 2.4 | 1.9 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 3.2 | 3.8 | 6.7 | 6.9 | 8.7 |
| Middle and Other Managers | 42.4 | 42.4 | 43.6 | 39.1 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 3.2 | 8.8 | 16.1 | 17.4 | 14.0 |
| Professionals | 44.5 | 45.0 | 44.4 | 54.2 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 4.5 | 16.5 | 25.8 | 26.2 | 16.5 |
| Semi-Professionals and Technicians | 16.9 | 18.5 | 18.6 | 54.3 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 3.2 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 4.8 | 5.1 | 10.3 | 10.9 | 14.3 |
| Supervisors | 63.3 | 60.0 | 59.7 | 57.2 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.8 | 2.0 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 9.5 | 13.0 | 18.0 | 19.0 | 15.4 |
| Supervisors: Crafts and Trades | 4.4 | 7.5 | 8.1 | 18.2 | 2.4 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 2.2 | 3.1 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 4.6 | 4.7 | 8.4 | 8.8 | 5.6 |
| Administrative and Senior Clerical Personnel | 81.0 | 74.1 | 73.6 | 83.2 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 2.6 | 17.5 | 24.9 | 24.4 | 11.2 |
| Skilled Sales and Service Personnel | 45.8 | 31.8 | 29.1 | 45.1 | 1.3 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 3.1 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 5.6 | 6.8 | 10.4 | 10.3 | 17.2 |
| Skilled Crafts and Trades Workers | 3.0 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 5.4 | 2.1 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.8 | 3.0 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 5.3 | 6.9 | 10.0 | 10.6 | 9.3 |
| Clerical Personnel | 66.6 | 66.1 | 65.8 | 71.7 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 4.4 | 13.8 | 21.5 | 21.5 | 17.2 |
| Intermediate Sales and Service Personnel | 65.9 | 65.6 | 67.1 | 67.9 | 1.7 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 3.2 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 5.3 | 11.0 | 17.0 | 18.5 | 16.5 |
| Semi-Skilled Manual Workers | 11.4 | 12.7 | 13.3 | 20.8 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 3.7 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 5.5 | 8.5 | 13.7 | 14.3 | 17.9 |
| Other Sales and Service Personnel | 24.2 | 23.9 | 23.8 | 56.5 | 2.7 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 4.7 | 2.3 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 6.5 | 13.4 | 19.7 | 20.7 | 18.5 |
| Other Manual Workers | 8.9 | 10.9 | 10.5 | 24.8 | 5.4 | 4.4 | 5.4 | 5.7 | 3.8 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 5.2 | 6.2 | 12.4 | 13.0 | 15.6 |
| Total Number of Employees | 44.9 | 41.2 | 40.9 | 47.9 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 3.1 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 4.9 | 11.7 | 18.2 | 18.6 | 15.3 |

[^3]Table 3
Distribution of Federally Regulated Private-Sector Employees by Designated Group and Occupational Group (2001, 2011 and 2012)

| Occupational Group | Women |  |  | Men |  |  | Aboriginal Peoples |  |  | Non-Aboriginal Peoples |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 2001 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2012 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2001 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2012 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2001 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2012 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2001 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | 2011 <br> (\%) | $\begin{gathered} 2012 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ |
| Senior Managers | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| Middle and Other Managers | 9.7 | 10.3 | 11.2 | 10.8 | 9.8 | 10.0 | 5.4 | 6.0 | 6.4 | 10.4 | 10.1 | 10.6 |
| Professionals | 12.4 | 16.8 | 16.7 | 12.6 | 14.4 | 14.5 | 7.1 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 12.6 | 15.6 | 15.5 |
| Semi-Professionals and Technicians | 2.1 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 8.6 | 9.0 | 8.9 | 4.9 | 6.6 | 6.5 | 5.7 | 6.5 | 6.5 |
| Supervisors | 4.8 | 4.1 | 4.2 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 3.4 | 2.8 | 2.9 |
| Supervisors: Crafts and Trades | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Administrative and Senior Clerical Personnel | 12.5 | 7.7 | 7.3 | 2.4 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 5.5 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 7.0 | 4.3 | 4.0 |
| Skilled Sales and Service Personnel | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| Skilled Crafts and Trades Workers | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 16.1 | 13.8 | 13.4 | 12.1 | 14.2 | 13.8 | 9.1 | 8.3 | 8.1 |
| Clerical Personnel | 45.3 | 41.3 | 40.3 | 18.5 | 14.8 | 14.5 | 30.0 | 24.4 | 22.6 | 30.5 | 25.8 | 25.1 |
| Intermediate Sales and Service Personnel | 6.9 | 7.4 | 7.5 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 5.1 | 6.4 | 6.5 | 4.7 | 4.6 | 4.5 |
| Semi-Skilled Manual Workers | 2.7 | 4.0 | 4.3 | 17.4 | 19.2 | 19.2 | 17.2 | 19.1 | 19.2 | 10.7 | 12.8 | 13.0 |
| Other Sales and Service Personnel | 1.0 | 2.9 | 3.2 | 2.5 | 6.5 | 7.0 | 3.1 | 3.4 | 5.0 | 1.8 | 5.1 | 5.4 |
| Other Manual Workers | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 3.0 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Occupational Group | Persons with Disabilities |  |  | Persons without Disabilities |  |  | Members of Visible Minorities |  |  | Non-Visible Minority Members |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 2001 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $2011$ | $2012$ (\%) | $\begin{gathered} 2001 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $2011$ | $2012$ | $2001$ (\%) | $2011$ <br> (\%) | $2012$ <br> (\%) | $2001$ | 2011 (\%) | $2012$ |
| Senior Managers | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| Middle and Other Managers | 8.4 | 10.0 | 11.1 | 10.3 | 10.0 | 10.5 | 7.7 | 8.9 | 9.8 | 10.6 | 10.2 | 10.7 |
| Professionals | 10.4 | 13.2 | 14.4 | 12.6 | 15.5 | 15.4 | 17.7 | 21.8 | 21.7 | 11.8 | 14.0 | 13.9 |
| Semi-Professionals and Technicians | 4.2 | 4.7 | 4.6 | 5.7 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 2.5 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 6.1 | 7.1 | 7.1 |
| Supervisors | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 3.8 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 3.4 | 2.8 | 2.9 |
| Supervisors: Crafts and Trades | 1.9 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| Administrative and Senior Clerical Personnel | 5.8 | 4.9 | 4.6 | 7.0 | 4.3 | 4.0 | 10.4 | 5.9 | 5.3 | 6.5 | 4.0 | 3.7 |
| Skilled Sales and Service Personnel | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.3 |
| Skilled Crafts and Trades Workers | 12.2 | 7.6 | 6.9 | 9.1 | 8.4 | 8.3 | 5.4 | 4.6 | 4.7 | 9.7 | 9.2 | 9.1 |
| Clerical Personnel | 34.2 | 36.9 | 35.6 | 30.4 | 25.5 | 24.8 | 36.1 | 30.5 | 28.9 | 29.8 | 24.7 | 24.2 |
| Intermediate Sales and Service Personnel | 3.7 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 4.6 | 4.5 | 4.3 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 4.7 | 4.6 |
| Semi-Skilled Manual Workers | 11.4 | 11.2 | 11.3 | 10.8 | 13.0 | 13.1 | 7.9 | 9.8 | 10.1 | 11.2 | 13.6 | 13.8 |
| Other Sales and Service Personnel | 1.8 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 5.1 | 5.5 | 2.1 | 5.5 | 6.0 | 1.8 | 5.0 | 5.3 |
| Other Manual Workers | 1.4 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |


|  | All Employees |  |  |  | Women |  |  |  | Aboriginal Peoples |  |  |  | Persons with Disabilities |  |  |  | Members of Visible Minorities |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 2001 | 2011 | 2012 | 1987 | 2001 | 2011 | 2012 | 1987 | 2001 | 2011 | 2012 | 1987 | 2001 | 2011 | 2012 | 1987 | 2001 | 2011 | 2012 |
| Banking | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) |
| Employees | 169,632 | 195,870 | 224,378 | 227,455 | 129,076 | 139,080 | 144,797 | 144,898 | 951 | 2,139 | 2,881 | 2,887 | 3,053 | 3,911 | 7,729 | 8,686 | 16,062 | 33,575 | 63,239 | 64,141 |
| Hirings | 21,879 | 25,491 | 29,552 | 30,709 | 16,704 | 15,210 | 16,118 | 16,859 | 109 | 232 | 359 | 341 | 158 | 216 | 521 | 507 | 2,211 | 3,765 | 8,047 | 6,161 |
| Promotions | 39,456 | 27,363 | 25,975 | 25,866 | 27,599 | 18,716 | 15,407 | 15,203 | 204 | 319 | 304 | 344 | 607 | 524 | 617 | 695 | 3,778 | 5,184 | 8,560 | 8,097 |
| Terminations | 21,715 | 23,350 | 25,987 | 27,716 | 16,819 | 14,951 | 15,582 | 16,479 | 150 | 294 | 449 | 428 | 331 | 454 | 1,000 | 870 | 1,432 | 3,337 | 6,670 | 6,862 |
| Net Effect** | 164 | 2,141 | 3,565 | 2,993 | -115 | 259 | 536 | 380 | -41 | -62 | -90 | -87 | -173 | -238 | -479 | -363 | 779 | 428 | 1,377 | -701 |
|  | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) |
| Representation | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 76.1 | 71.0 | 64.5 | 63.7 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 3.4 | 3.8 | 9.5 | 17.1 | 28.2 | 28.2 |
| Share of Hirings | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 76.3 | 59.7 | 54.5 | 54.9 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 10.1 | 14.8 | 27.2 | 20.1 |
| Share of Promotions | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 69.9 | 68.4 | 59.3 | 58.8 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 9.6 | 18.9 | 33.0 | 31.3 |
| Share of Terminations | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 77.5 | 64.0 | 60.0 | 59.5 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 3.8 | 3.1 | 6.6 | 14.3 | 25.7 | 24.8 |
| Communicatio | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) |
| Employees | 179,247 | 215,330 | 235,275 | 230,819 | 71,038 | 88,861 | 90,877 | 86,927 | 1,090 | 2,921 | 3,981 | 3,907 | 2,512 | 5,009 | 5,689 | 5,396 | 7,257 | 23,359 | 39,298 | 39,434 |
| Hirings | 17,416 | 32,521 | 35,558 | 28,049 | 7,515 | 12,632 | 12,690 | 9,372 | 49 | 485 | 535 | 489 | 129 | 286 | 383 | 315 | 975 | 5,098 | 6,690 | 6,226 |
| Promotions | 11,099 | 11,183 | 12,960 | 12,505 | 4,900 | 5,145 | 4,659 | 4,499 | 55 | 172 | 229 | 232 | 112 | 198 | 228 | 238 | 445 | 1,349 | 2,359 | 2,158 |
| Terminations | 16,020 | 27,257 | 36,379 | 34,517 | 6,176 | 10,871 | 13,466 | 13,196 | 36 | 385 | 749 | 604 | 112 | 472 | 1,047 | 818 | 437 | 3,422 | 6,792 | 6,914 |
| Net Effect** | 1,396 | 5,264 | -821 | -6,468 | 1,339 | 1,761 | -776 | -3,824 | 13 | 100 | -214 | -115 | 17 | -186 | -664 | -503 | 538 | 1,676 | -102 | -688 |
|  | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) |
| Representation | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 39.6 | 41.3 | 38.6 | 37.7 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 4.0 | 10.8 | 16.7 | 17.1 |
| Share of Hirings | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 43.1 | 38.8 | 35.7 | 33.4 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 5.6 | 15.7 | 18.8 | 22.2 |
| Share of Promotions | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 44.1 | 46.0 | 35.9 | 36.0 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 4.0 | 12.1 | 18.2 | 17.3 |
| Share of Terminations | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 38.6 | 39.9 | 37.0 | 38.2 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 0.7 | 1.7 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 12.6 | 18.7 | 20.0 |

Table 4 continued
Number, Representation, Hires, Promotions, Terminations and Net Effect of Employees in the Federally Regulated Private Sector by Designated Group and Sector (1987, 2001, 2011 and 2012)*

|  | All Employees |  |  |  | Women |  |  |  | Aboriginal Peoples |  |  |  | Persons with Disabilities |  |  |  | Members of Visible Minorities |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 2001 | 2011 | 2012 | 1987 | 2001 | 2011 | 2012 | 1987 | 2001 | 2011 | 2012 | 1987 | 2001 | 2011 | 2012 | 1987 | 2001 | 2011 | 2012 |
| Transportation | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) |
| Employees | 203,207 | 178,307 | 245,819 | 254,330 | 34,423 | 43,891 | 62,552 | 66,068 | 1,479 | 3,588 | 6,084 | 6,686 | 2,892 | 4,383 | 4,566 | 4,639 | 5,318 | 13,656 | 30,829 | 33,983 |
| Hirings | 33,535 | 41,221 | 50,99 | 49,53 | 7,316 | 12,564 | 12,530 | 12,731 | 211 | 891 | 1,730 | 1,970 | 118 | 699 | 636 | 69 | 691 | 4,141 | 6,765 | 8,201 |
| Promotions | 14,723 | 8,154 | 8,928 | 6,007 | 2,655 | 1,843 | 2,576 | 1,789 | 123 | 89 | 214 | 141 | 198 | 180 | 151 | 83 | 376 | 510 | 1,026 | 779 |
| Terminations | 32,588 | 29,929 | 45,565 | 43,913 | 6,028 | 7,2 | 10,230 | 10,310 | 168 | 646 | 1,369 | 1,556 | 231 | 493 | 711 | 784 | 478 | 2,345 | 5,641 | 5,641 |
| Net Effect** | 947 | 11,292 | 5,430 | 5,623 | 1,288 | 5,283 | 2,300 | 2,421 | 43 | 245 | 361 | 414 | -113 | 206 | 75 | 88 | 213 | 1,796 | 1,124 | 2,560 |
|  | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) |
| Representation | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 16.9 | . 6 | 25.4 | 26.0 | 0.7 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 1.4 | 2.5 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 2.6 | 7.7 | 12.5 | 13.4 |
| Share of Hirings | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 21.8 | 30.5 | 4.6 | 5.7 | 0.6 | 2.2 | 3.4 | 4.0 | 0.4 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 2.1 | 10.0 | 13.3 | 16.6 |
| Share of Promotions | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 18.0 | 22.6 | 28.9 | 29.8 | 0.8 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 1.3 | 2.2 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 2.6 | 6.3 | 11.5 | 13.0 |
| Share of Terminations | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 18.5 | 24.3 | 22.5 | 23.5 | 0.5 | 2.2 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 7.8 | 12.4 | 12.8 |
| Other | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) |
| Employees | 43,331 | 45,231 | 63,075 | 59,876 | 9,207 | 12,883 | 18,529 | 18,037 | 401 | 1,220 | 2,220 | 2,298 | 983 | 1,218 | 1,665 | 1,511 | 1,123 | 3,578 | 6,299 | 6,410 |
| Hirings | 4,500 | 6,020 | 7, | 7,333 | 1,485 | 1,848 | 2,417 | 2,021 | 46 | 176 | 228 | 288 | 37 | 65 | 81 | 76 | 150 | 489 | 797 | 874 |
| Promotions | 3,248 | 3,938 | 3,633 | 3,383 | 856 | 1,169 | 1,164 | 1,036 | 23 | 111 | 119 | 129 | 64 | 76 | 78 | 64 | 85 | 364 | 388 | 49 |
| Terminations | 5,080 | 9,965 | 10,206 | 7,187 | 1,402 | 2,361 | 3,168 | 1,847 | 40 | 208 | 248 | 251 | 93 | 308 | 215 | 190 | 86 | 576 | 1,546 | 650 |
| Net Effect** | -580 | -3,945 | -2,261 | 146 | 83 | 513 | . 751 | 174 | 6 | -32 | -20 | 37 | -56 | -243 | -134 | -114 | 64 | -87 | . 749 | 224 |
|  | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) |
| Representation | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 21.2 | 28.5 | 29.4 | 30.1 | 0.9 | 2.7 | 3.5 | 3.8 | 2.3 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 7.9 | 10.0 | 10.7 |
| Share of Hirings | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 33.0 | 30.7 | 30.4 | 27.6 | 1.0 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 3.9 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 3.3 | 8.1 | 10.0 | 11.9 |
| Share of Promotions | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 26.4 | 29.7 | 32.0 | 30.6 | 0.7 | 2.8 | 3.3 | 3.8 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 2.6 | 9.2 | 10.7 | 10.3 |
| Share of Terminations | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 27.6 | 23.7 | 31.0 | 25.7 | 0.8 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 3.5 | 1.8 | 3.1 | 2.1 | 2.6 | 1.7 | 5.8 | 15.1 | 9.0 |

Table 4 continued
Number, Representation, Hires, Promotions, Terminations and Net Effect of Employees in the Federally Regulated Private Sector by Designated Group and Sector (1987, 2001, 2011 and 2012)*

|  | All Employees |  |  |  | Women |  |  |  | Aboriginal Peoples |  |  |  | Persons with Disabilities |  |  |  | Members of Visible Minorities |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 2001 | 2011 | 2012 | 1987 | 2001 | 2011 | 2012 | 1987 | 2001 | 2011 | 2012 | 1987 | 2001 | 2011 | 2012 | 1987 | 2001 | 2011 | 2012 |
| All Sectors | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) |
| Employees | 595,417 | 634,738 | 768,547 | 772,480 | 243,744 | 284,715 | 316,755 | 315,930 | 3,921 | 9,868 | 15,166 | 15,778 | 9,440 | 14,521 | 19,649 | 20,232 | 29,760 | 74,168 | 139,665 | 143,968 |
| Hirings | 77,330 | 105,253 | 124,050 | 115,627 | 33,020 | 42,254 | 43,755 | 40,983 | 415 | 1,784 | 2,852 | 3,088 | 442 | 1,266 | 1,621 | 1,594 | 4,027 | 13,493 | 22,299 | 21,462 |
| Promotions | 68,526 | 50,638 | 51,496 | 47,761 | 36,010 | 26,873 | 23,806 | 22,527 | 405 | 791 | 866 | 846 | 981 | 978 | 1,074 | 1,080 | 4,684 | 7,407 | 12,333 | 11,383 |
| Terminations | 75,403 | 90,501 | 118,137 | 113,333 | 30,425 | 35,464 | 42,446 | 41,832 | 394 | 1,533 | 2,815 | 2,839 | 767 | 1,727 | 2,973 | 2,662 | 2,433 | 9,680 | 20,649 | 20,067 |
| Net Effect** | 1,927 | 14,752 | 5,913 | 2,294 | 2,595 | 6,790 | 1,309 | -849 | 21 | 251 | 37 | 249 | -325 | -461 | -1,352 | -1,068 | 1,594 | 3,813 | 1,650 | 1,395 |
|  | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) |
| Representation | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 40.9 | 44.9 | 41.2 | 40.9 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 5.0 | 11.7 | 18.2 | 18.6 |
| Share of Hirings | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 42.7 | 40.1 | 35.3 | 35.4 | 0.5 | 1.7 | 2.3 | 2.7 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 5.2 | 12.8 | 18.0 | 18.6 |
| Share of Promotions | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 52.5 | 53.1 | 46.2 | 47.2 | 0.6 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 6.8 | 14.6 | 23.9 | 23.8 |
| Share of Terminations | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 40.4 | 39.2 | 35.9 | 36.9 | 0.5 | 1.7 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 1.0 | 1.9 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 3.2 | 10.7 | 17.5 | 17.7 |

[^4]Table 5

| Salary Range | All Employees |  |  |  | Aboriginal Peoples |  |  |  | Persons with Disabilities |  |  |  | Members of Visible Minorities |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Men | Women | (\%) | Total | (\%) | Men | Women | Total | (\%) | Men | Women | Total | (\%) | Men | Women |
| Under \$ 15,000 | 4,916 | 3,457 | 1,459 | 29.7 | 174 | 3.5 | 126 | 48 | 58 | 1.2 | 44 | 14 | 969 | 19.7 | 797 | 172 |
| \$15,000-\$19,999 | 3,359 | 2,338 | 1,021 | 30.4 | 78 | 2.3 | 49 | 29 | 37 | 1.1 | 24 | 13 | 879 | 26.2 | 661 | 218 |
| \$20,000-\$24,999 | 8,887 | 6,007 | 2,880 | 32.4 | 222 | 2.5 | 156 | 66 | 131 | 1.5 | 91 | 40 | 2,254 | 25.4 | 1,620 | 634 |
| \$25,000-\$29,999 | 22,573 | 12,905 | 9,668 | 42.8 | 486 | 2.2 | 246 | 240 | 429 | 1.9 | 199 | 230 | 4,487 | 19.9 | 2,754 | 1,733 |
| \$30,000-\$34,999 | 36,508 | 16,995 | 19,513 | 53.4 | 975 | 2.7 | 474 | 501 | 1,056 | 2.9 | 439 | 617 | 8,477 | 23.2 | 3,996 | 4,481 |
| \$35,000-\$37,499 | 22,362 | 10,574 | 11,788 | 52.7 | 487 | 2.2 | 241 | 246 | 689 | 3.1 | 272 | 417 | 5,126 | 22.9 | 2,381 | 2,745 |
| \$37,500-\$39,999 | 25,354 | 12,238 | 13,116 | 51.7 | 562 | 2.2 | 246 | 316 | 734 | 2.9 | 308 | 426 | 5,654 | 22.3 | 2,666 | 2,988 |
| \$40,000-\$44,999 | 50,693 | 25,733 | 24,960 | 49.2 | 1,086 | 2.1 | 561 | 525 | 1,411 | 2.8 | 621 | 790 | 11,023 | 21.7 | 5,312 | 5,711 |
| \$45,000-\$49,999 | 47,515 | 25,818 | 21,697 | 45.7 | 980 | 2.1 | 535 | 445 | 1,327 | 2.8 | 684 | 643 | 9,840 | 20.7 | 4,856 | 4,984 |
| \$50,000-\$59,999 | 127,119 | 76,112 | 51,007 | 40.1 | 2,653 | 2.1 | 1,678 | 975 | 4,088 | 3.2 | 2,304 | 1,784 | 21,614 | 17.0 | 12,267 | 9,347 |
| \$60,000-\$69,999 | 75,165 | 48,886 | 26,279 | 35.0 | 1,484 | 2.0 | 1,075 | 409 | 1,943 | 2.6 | 1,202 | 741 | 12,277 | 16.3 | 7,151 | 5,126 |
| \$70,000-\$84,999 | 78,392 | 53,847 | 24,545 | 31.3 | 1,426 | 1.8 | 1,021 | 405 | 1,986 | 2.5 | 1,291 | 695 | 13,803 | 17.6 | 8,780 | 5,023 |
| \$85,000-\$99,999 | 48,380 | 33,532 | 14,848 | 30.7 | 842 | 1.7 | 635 | 207 | 1,172 | 2.4 | 768 | 404 | 9,025 | 18.7 | 5,894 | 3,131 |
| \$100,000 and over | 89,191 | 67,469 | 21,722 | 24.4 | 1,427 | 1.6 | 1,181 | 246 | 2,059 | 2.3 | 1,502 | 557 | 12,799 | 14.4 | 9,013 | 3,786 |
| Total | 640,414 | 395,911 | 244,503 | 38.2 | 12,882 | 2.0 | 8,224 | 4,658 | 17,120 | 2.7 | 9,749 | 7,371 | 118,227 | 18.5 | 68,148 | 50,079 |

Table 6
Federally Regulated Private-Sector Employees in Permanent Part-Time Employment by Designated Group, Gender and

| Salary Range | All Employees |  |  |  | Aboriginal Peoples |  |  |  | Persons with Disabilities |  |  |  | Members of Visible Minorities |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Men | Women | (\%) | Total | (\%) | Men | Women | Total | (\%) | Men | Women | Total | (\%) | Men | Women |
| Under \$5,000 | 3,922 | 1,642 | 2,280 | 58.1 | 69 | 1.8 | 15 | 54 | 99 | 2.5 | 43 | 56 | 350 | 8.9 | 167 | 183 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 2,706 | 1,093 | 1,613 | 59.6 | 61 | 2.3 | 24 | 37 | 52 | 1.9 | 24 | 28 | 297 | 11.0 | 127 | 170 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 3,656 | 1,574 | 2,082 | 56.9 | 67 | 1.8 | 29 | 38 | 76 | 2.1 | 38 | 38 | 388 | 10.6 | 180 | 208 |
| \$10,000-\$12,499 | 12,016 | 6,869 | 5,147 | 42.8 | 248 | 2.1 | 135 | 113 | 215 | 1.8 | 127 | 88 | 2,406 | 20.0 | 1,706 | 700 |
| \$12,500-\$14,999 | 8,819 | 4,159 | 4,660 | 52.8 | 237 | 2.7 | 108 | 129 | 183 | 2.1 | 78 | 105 | 1,985 | 22.5 | 1,145 | 840 |
| \$15,000-\$17,499 | 8,633 | 3,696 | 4,937 | 57.2 | 251 | 2.9 | 102 | 149 | 200 | 2.3 | 73 | 127 | 1,809 | 21.0 | 983 | 826 |
| \$17,500-\$19,999 | 9,523 | 4,113 | 5,410 | 56.8 | 212 | 2.2 | 88 | 124 | 261 | 2.7 | 85 | 176 | 2,203 | 23.1 | 1,181 | 1,022 |
| \$20,000-\$22,499 | 11,318 | 4,995 | 6,323 | 55.9 | 252 | 2.2 | 102 | 150 | 292 | 2.6 | 89 | 203 | 2,747 | 24.3 | 1,548 | 1,199 |
| \$22,500-\$24,999 | 9,223 | 3,567 | 5,656 | 61.3 | 204 | 2.2 | 72 | 132 | 253 | 2.7 | 66 | 187 | 2,106 | 22.8 | 1,011 | 1,095 |
| \$25,000-\$29,999 | 18,612 | 7,367 | 11,245 | 60.4 | 417 | 2.2 | 148 | 269 | 504 | 2.7 | 152 | 352 | 3,673 | 19.7 | 1,734 | 1,939 |
| \$30,000-\$34,999 | 14,635 | 6,888 | 7,747 | 52.9 | 350 | 2.4 | 180 | 170 | 366 | 2.5 | 125 | 241 | 2,875 | 19.6 | 1,585 | 1,290 |
| \$35,000-\$39,999 | 9,557 | 4,106 | 5,451 | 57.0 | 194 | 2.0 | 81 | 113 | 249 | 2.6 | 83 | 166 | 1,747 | 18.3 | 955 | 792 |
| \$40,000-\$49,999 | 7,125 | 3,338 | 3,787 | 53.2 | 130 | 1.8 | 49 | 81 | 174 | 2.4 | 59 | 115 | 1,070 | 15.0 | 597 | 473 |
| \$50,000 and over | 4,016 | 1,648 | 2,368 | 59.0 | 53 | 1.3 | 24 | 29 | 80 | 2.0 | 28 | 52 | 431 | 10.7 | 216 | 215 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 123,761 | 55,055 | 68,706 | 55.5 | 2,745 | 2.2 | 1,157 | 1,588 | 3,004 | 2.4 | 1,070 | 1,934 | 24,087 | 19.5 | 13,135 | 10,952 |

## Technical Notes

## Employers covered under the Act

The Act covers:

- federally regulated private-sector employers, Crown corporations and other federal organizations with 100 or more employees;
- core public administration organizations listed under Schedule I or IV of the Financial Administration Act (FAA) (federal government departments and agencies);
- separate employer organizations in the federal public sector with 100 or more employees listed in Schedule V of the FAA (separate agencies);
- other public sector employer organizations with 100 or more employees, including the Canadian Forces (officers and non-commissioned members in the Regular and Reserve Forces) and the Royal Canadian Mounted Police (regular and civilian members, excluding federal public service employees); and
- federal contractor organizations that are provincially regulated suppliers of goods and services with at least 100 employees in Canada that receive contracts above a specified contract value threshold from the federal government.


## Additional Information Available

Every year, federally regulated private-sector employers file a report containing both quantitative and qualitative information that describes the status of employment equity within their organization. This report presents a consolidation of the quantitative information submitted.

- The data report filed by each employer can be found on the Labour Program website.
- The qualitative information-in the form of a narrative report describing the measures taken to implement employment equity, the consultation with employee representatives and the results achieved—is available from the Labour Program upon request.

Once the reports are received, every employer is given a performance rating for each of the designated groups. A list of employers and their respective ratings is available on the Labour Program website.

## Limitations of the employment equity data

While this report provides the most recent information on employment equity data, it has the following limitations:

- To measure the progress of the designated groups covered by the Act, their percentage representation (i.e. the number of designated group employees divided by the number of all employees) in the federally regulated private sector is compared to their availability in the workforce population. Availability data is obtained from censuses or surveys conducted every five years by Statistics Canada. As a result, there is a time lag in measuring representation gaps. The current LMA is based on the 2006 Census and 2006 PALS. More information on availability can be found in the Employment Equity Data Report.
- In some cases, data reported by employers is received after publication deadlines. As a result, differences may exist in the data reported in annual reports for particular years.
- The data is received from employers on an annual basis. However, the number of employers can shift from one year to the next. Given that the pool of employers changes, comparisons of statistics from year to year may produce inaccurate results.
- The Treasury Board of Canada Secretariat (TBS) is responsible for reporting on employment equity in the federal departments, agencies and commissions that make up the core public administration. This information is available on the TBS website. Other areas of the federal public sector are responsible for preparing their own employment equity reports, which can be found on their respective websites or can be obtained upon request. Federal contractors are not required to report annually.
- Data regarding the investigation and security services subsector was reclassified in 2011 to the transportation sector. Prior to 2011, it was classified under the 'other' sector.

For detailed descriptions of the terms and statistical approaches used in this report, please refer to the Employment Equity Technical Guide.


[^0]:    1 Private-sector employers include Crown corporations and other federally regulated organizations.

[^1]:    3 The number of employers can shift as a result of mergers, acquisitions, closures, start-ups or organizations fluctuating above or below the 100 employee threshold.

[^2]:    *Source: Statistics Canada, 2006 Census and 2006 PALS.

[^3]:    *Source: Statistics Canada, 2006 Census and 2006 PALS.

[^4]:     data cover only the permanent full-time and permanent part-time employees.

