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#### Abstract

Flostrand, L., Schweigert, J.F., and Daniel, K. 2007. A database for Pacific herring tagged and recovered in British Columbia from 1999 to 2006 using coded wire tag technology. Can. Manuscr. Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 2832: v + 28 p.

A Pacific herring tagging study using coded wire tags was conducted from 1999 to 2006 through a partnership between the Department of Fisheries and Oceans Canada and the Herring Conservation and Research Society. Samples of tagged fish were released during the 1999 to 2004 spawning seasons and tagged fish were recovered from the 2000 to 2006 roe herring fisheries by searching sub-samples of the roe herring landings. The aim of the study was to collect information to investigate trends in inter-annual spawning ground utilization and migration intensity. This paper describes the tag release and recovery sampling components of the study and the organization of the tagging study records. Tagging study records are currently stored and maintained in a Microsoft ® Access 2002 database file by Department of Fisheries and Oceans, Marine Ecosystem and Aquaculture Division research staff at the Pacific Biological Station, Nanaimo, British Columbia.


## RÉSUMÉ

Flostrand, L., Schweigert, J.F., and Daniel, K. 2007. A database for Pacific herring tagged and recovered in British Columbia from 1999 to 2006 using coded wire tag technology. Can. Manuscr. Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 2832: v+28p.

Une étude de marquage du hareng du Pacifique au monyen de fil codé a été menée de 1999 à 2006 grâce à un partenariat entre le ministère des Pêches et des Océans du Canada et la Herring Conservation and Research Society. Des échantillons de poissons marqués ont été relâchés durant les saisons de frai 1999 à 2004, et les poissons marqués ont été repêchés pendant les saisons de pêche du hareng rogué 2000 à 2006. La récupération des spécimens marqués s'est faite au moyen de fouilles parmi des souséchantillons des débarquements de hareng rogué. L'objectif de l'étude était de recueillir des renseignements pour analyser les tendances de l'utilisation inter-annuelle des frayères et l'intensité de la migration. Cette étude décrit les étapes de relâchement des poissons marqués et d'échantillonnage des spécimens récupérés ainsi que l'organisation des dossiers d'étude de marquage. Ces dossiers sont actuellement entreposés et gérés dans une base de données Microsoft ® Access 2002, tenue par le ministère des Pêches et Océans, plus précisément le personnel de recherche de la Division des écosystèmes marins et de l'aquaculture de la Station biologique du Pacifique, à Nanaimo (Colombie-Britannique).
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## INTRODUCTION

Pacific herring were tagged and released in British Columbia (BC) using coded wire tag (CWT) technology to investigate trends in inter-annual spawning ground utilization and migration intensity. Herring tagging was conducted from 1999 to 2004 and tag recovery catch sampling was conducted from 2000 to 2006. The purpose of this report is to document and describe data records pertaining to the Pacific herring coded wire tagging study. Summaries of the annual CWT releases and tag recovery sampling intensities have also been published in Fisheries and Ocean Canada (DFO) technical reports (Schweigert and Flostrand 2000; Flostrand and Schweigert 2007a, 2007b, 2005, 2004, 2003, 2002). Comprehensive documentation of data records from previous Pacific herring tagging studies (using belly and anchor tags) is reported in Daniel et al. (1999).

For Pacific herring management and stock assessment purposes, there are five stock assessment regions, numerous area subdivisions, and several subdivisions within each area referred to as herring sections (Midgley 2003). Pacific Fishery Management Area Regulations of the Canadian Fisheries Act identify 30 statistical (or management) areas along the BC coast. The five Pacific herring stock assessment regions are comprised of the Queen Charlotte Islands (QCI), the Prince Rupert District (PRD), the Central Coast (CC), the Strait of Georgia (SOG), and the west coast of Vancouver Island (WCVI). Releases from Area 2E were grouped in the QCI; releases from Areas 3 to 5 were grouped in the PRD; releases from Areas 6 to 9 were grouped in the CC; releases from Areas 14, 16 and 17 were grouped in the SOG, and releases from Areas 23 to 26 were grouped in the WCVI. Although sampling intensity varied by year and location, at least one release and one recovery sample was obtained from each of the five herring stock assessment regions over the course of the study period.

Data records in the CWT database came from three main sources. One source was the charter vessel field tagging research trips, where detailed information on fishing conditions, tagging methods, and tag and release sampling intensities was collected. A second source of records was from dockside catch validation efforts, where detailed information on roe herring catch and vessel offloading records was collected. The third source was through CWT recovery sampling at the three fish plants, where detailed information on processing activities, sampling intensities and tag recoveries was collected.

## DESIGN OF TAGGING PROGRAM

## TAGGING AND RELEASING HERRING

Sexually mature herring were caught, tagged and released in BC inshore waters from 1999 to 2004 using several different vessels. Each year, a vessel and crew were commissioned to support tagging operations for a 30 day period starting and ending between late February and early April. The frequency and total number of fishing sets and tagging sessions varied each year depending on travel requirements, weather conditions and fish availability (Appendix A). Factors that limited the length of tagging sessions included: sea state, amount of fish in the net, predator interference and the physical condition of the fish after capture. Fish were caught by purse seine using one of three net sizes. Two nets were supplied by DFO for the purpose of catching herring in relatively shallow water and these are referred to as the small and medium sized nets, being approximately 180 m long $\times 17 \mathrm{~m}$ deep and 274 m long x 33 m deep, respectively. When fish were too deep for the DFO nets, large
commercial sized nets of approximately 274 m long $\times 80 \mathrm{~m}$ deep were deployed by other charter vessels assisting the tagging vessel crew. Fish surplus to the needs of a tagging session were released as soon as possible to reduce undue stress and mortality. If herring were caught by either the small or medium sized net, then a holding pen was made from the mesh at the net's bunt. If herring were caught by a large commercial net, then fish were dipnetted from the commercial net to a conical holding pen (approximately 2.5 m in diameter and 2.5 m deep) which was suspended by floats and transferred to the tagging vessel. Several approaches were used over the field seasons to deter predators from consuming tagged herring. Recovery pens, seal bombs and push poles were often used to try to protect fish from sea lions, seals and gulls. Spray hoses or water sprinklers were also often used to try to protect fish from gulls.

Although some aspects of the methods to tag and release herring evolved over the six field seasons, Mark IV Automatic Coded Wire Tag Injectors from Northwest Marine Technology (NMT, http://www.nmt.us/products/products.htm) were always used with 8.9 cm ( 3.5 inch) long tagging needles and 1.5 times standard length (1.8-2.0 mm) CWTs. Using dipnets, groups of fish (i.e. 30 to 60) were transferred from a holding pen to a tagging table supporting basins which temporarily held small groups of fish (i.e. 10-30 fish). In 1999, one of two tag insertion sites was used on each herring. Each tag was either inserted into muscle tissue located approximately $1-2 \mathrm{~cm}$ behind the skull (referred to as the neck or nape site) or into muscle tissue located adjacent to the base of the dorsal fin (referred to as the back or dorsal site). In all subsequent years only the neck site was used. To support fish bodies during tag insertion, the base of each tag injector needle was fitted with a cylindrical piece of brass with a smooth oblique surface (Figure 1). Tag insertion was activated using a push button electronically connected to a tag injector. In 1999, tag insertion buttons were operated by foot but for all other years tag insertion buttons were operated by knee. Knee buttons were installed so that each person applying tags could use two hands to pick a fish up from a basin and hold the fish up to the injector's needle for tag insertion. Consequently, tag insertion rates were relatively high (i.e. averaging from 650 to 1,029 fish/injector/hour) and rates generally increased each year as tagging crews and working conditions became more efficient. Different tag codes were used to differentiate tag release groups between and within tagging sessions. In 1999, fish were manually released overboard once they received a tag. For all other years, once fish were tagged they were placed into a 15 cm diameter PVC drop pipe opening extending from each tagging station. The segments of drop pipe converged under the tagging table into a main stem that was fed sea water and that used gravity to release fish overboard through a scupper.

## RECOVERING TAGGED FISH FROM ROE HERRING LANDINGS

Tag recovery sampling of roe herring landings occurred in 2000 to 2006 from midMarch to mid-July when associated fish plants were processing herring caught between the end of February and early April of each year. Tag recovery sampling occurred at three Vancouver Lower Mainland fish plants (processors), which were Bella Coola Fisheries Ltd (BCF), Canadian Fishing Company Home Plant (CFC) and Delta Pacific Seafoods Ltd (DPS, formerly Icicle Seafoods Inc). At each fish plant, a tag recovery detector system was used, which consisted of an R-9500 Rectangular Tunnel Detector for detecting CWTs (Northwest Marine Technology Inc); a conveyance system with a non-metallic conveyor belt; an aluminium frame manifold; an automatic diverter gate system; and controls for setting conveyance rates, diverter responses and detector sensitivities (Figure 2).

Initial planning of tag recovery sampling involved evaluating and determining where and how to position the recovery units. The following describes operations common to the three fish plants that accommodated tag recovery units. First, freshly caught herring were offloaded from packer and seine vessel holds, then they were put into totes, brined and placed in cold storage for up to several weeks. After cold storage, totes of fish were thawed before processing to extract roe. Roe extraction involved automated machines which vertically cut fish bodies into head and tail halves to eviscerate gut contents to access roe sacs. The resulting fish body parts were conveyed to storage containers for reduction purposes. In 1999, preliminary tag recovery test trials targeted freshly caught fish conveyed from vessels during offloading. The main problems that precluded this approach were that conveyance loads during offloading ranged from 30 to 110 metric tonnes/hour, which exceeded the capacities of the recovery unit's conveyance and tag detector systems. Additionally, it would also have been logistically difficult and inefficient to staff people to oversee recovery sampling because of the unpredictable and varying dates and times of the roe herring fisheries and offloading traffic. Following further test trials in 1999 and 2000, it was determined by both DFO and fish plant staff that the best position along processing lines to search for CWTs was after roe extraction and during carcass conveyance prior to reduction. At this point in the conveyance line, speeds and loads were well suited to the operational constraints of tag recovery sampling and sampling was less likely to interfere with processing activities. Subsequently, all tag recovery sampling was done by searching carcasses destined for reduction.

Tags were no longer inserted into the dorsal tag insertion site after 1999 so that tag recoveries could be aged from otoliths. This choice was made to correspond with the processing step that cut each fish into head and tail halves, where a dorsal tag insertion site would usually be found on a tail half. It was also thought that there was greater risk of losing tags from the dorsal insertion site because the processing cut occurred near the dorsal fin. Fish scales could not be used as ageing structures because processing stages stripped them from herring bodies.

Between tag recovery years and fish plants, the number of days, search hours, quantities of roe herring searched, and number of recovery observations varied (Appendix B). Each of the three fish plants had different operating conditions, processing schedules, capacities and processed different amounts from different roe herring fisheries. Conveyance loads through the CWT detectors were fairly similar between BCF and DPS (i.e. average rates of 5.6 to 8.4 metric tonnes/hour) and loads at CFC were relatively higher (i.e. average rates of 12.5 to 20.3 metric tonnes/hour). A relatively high number of false positive recoveries were brought to the laboratory for CWT dissection in 2000 due to metal contamination. In all sampling years after 2000, to try to minimize the laboratory work associated with false positive specimens, gill and gut tissue were removed from each putative specimen and each specimen was rinsed in water before being re-tested by a metal detector. These steps proved to be cost effective by reducing the number of fish requiring dissection.

For all years, J.O. Thomas and Associates Ltd (JOT) was contracted to assist in acquiring roe herring catch validation records and tag recovery sampling information. Validation records were collected from offloading vessels and packers and tag recovery sampling information was collected during processing operations at fish plants. For tag recovery sampling, JOT staff operated tag recovery units, collected and handled recovered specimens, monitored equipment operation, verified catch information related to fish lot processing records and processing schedules, and recorded estimates of catch searched for tags by lot number, fish plant and search date. Field staff from JOT conducted recovery unit
tag detection and deflection test trials approximately every hour by dropping seeded specimens on the upstream conveyor line to a recovery unit. Results of these test trials indicate that recovery units consistently detected and deflected seeded specimens from the recovery unit's conveyor. As part of the hourly test trials, logbook records were kept of conveyance speeds, loading rates ( $\mathrm{mt} / \mathrm{h}$ ), detector sensitivity and deflector gate settings. Records pertaining to equipment operation were not made into electronic copies and thus are not included in the database.

## DATABASE TABLES, QUERIES AND FIELDS

Information related Pacific herring CWT release and recovery sampling from 1999 to 2006 is stored in 9 database tables that are formatted and saved in a Microsoft ${ }^{\circledR}$ Access 2002 file called "HerringCWTStudy1999to2006.mdb". Four tables contain records solely corresponding to tag release sampling (named CwtSets, CwtReleases, CwtRelErrors, and CwtRepBiosampleData) and five tables contain records corresponding to tag recovery sampling or tag recovery findings (named Validation Records, Recovery Data, LabeledRecovSpecimens, Diversions and CwtSetsAndRecovLabelsReconciled). The table named "CwtSetsAndRecovLabelsReconciled" was created to address ambiguity resulting from cases when individual tag codes were applied during more than one tag release session. Users of the database must be aware that relationships between the tables do not have relational integrity.

Records in the table named "CwtSets" contain data that describe fish capture and release sampling conditions common to each purse seine set. The field "Set_ID" is a primary key that holds unique identifiers that differentiate each fishing and tagging session by sampling year and fishing set number (where set numbers started at " 1 " each year and were chronologically assigned). In total, this table has 36 fields and holds 173 records. Brief descriptions of the data fields associated with the "CwtSets" table are provided in Table 1.

Records in the table named "CwtReleases" contain data that describe and differentiate individual tag release sample groups (by their tag codes), which occur within and between tagging sessions. In total, this table has 14 fields and holds 774 records. Brief descriptions of the data fields associated with the "CwtReleases" table are provided in Table 2.

Records in the table named "CwtRelErrors" contain data that describe discrepancies that impede the resolution of the release source of a tag recovery because the tag code was applied during more than one tag release session. The purpose of this table is to define the cause and effect of each tag code discrepancy resulting from accidental error in tag code application. Multiple tag code application between release sessions was characterised as impeding the resolution of the relationship between the release and recovery events in one of seven ways (Appendix C). The seven types of release discrepancies are described as: between-year and different area (by da); within year and different region (wy dr); within year and different area (wy da); within year and different herring section (wy dhs); within year and different set (wy ds), and, within year and same set (wy ss). A field called "Discrep_Abbrev" holds abbreviations for the type of discrepancy describing the release and recovery relationship. Records in this table are linked to the "CwtReleases" table by the field "Error_Id". All tag codes that were assigned "wy ds" in the "Discrep_Abbrev" field were assigned an "Error _Id" of 11; thus, "Error_Id" is not a unique primary key for this table. During the initial two tagging seasons, repetitive use of a tag code in the same tag season and approximate vicinity (i.e. the same herring section or statistical area) was done to be
frugal with tagging supplies but by the third season the advantages of using unique code batches were apparent so tagging crews tried to avoid repetitive tag code applications. In total, this table has 6 fields and holds 15 records. Brief descriptions of the data fields associated with the "CwtRelErrors" table are provided in Table 3. Release sampling information associated with multiple applications of a tag code is listed in Appendix D.

Records in the table named "CwtRepBioSampleData" contain biological data from representative frozen herring samples, each of which was randomly collected from the tagging vessel's catch or from the catch of a different seine vessel fishing in close temporal and spatial proximity to the tagging session. Thus, sample data are linked to CWT data through the field "Set_Id". In total, this table has 20 fields and holds 18,277 records. Brief descriptions of the data fields associated with the "CwtRepBioSampleData" table are provided in Table 4. Sample and specimen data corresponding to CWT fishing sets are also stored in versions of the file "Herring Stock Assessment.mdb", maintained by staff at the Pacific Biological Station.

Records in the table named "Validation Records" contain data that differentiate roe herring offloading activities by offloading date, location and vessel and by the fishery product being offloaded (by fishing date, gear type and location). The field "Lot Number" is a primary key that holds unique identifiers that differentiate each offloading event by offloading date, offloading site, packer vessel, fishing vessel, fishing date and fishing gear. Most of the "Lot Number" codes were constructed by JOT staff by combining the offloading year with the coding used by industry. In total, this table has 19 fields and holds 4,170 records. Brief descriptions of the data fields associated with the "Validation Records" table are provided in Table 5.

Records in the table named "Recovery Data" contain data that describe samples of roe herring product searched to recover tagged fish. The field "Sample" is a primary key that holds unique identifiers that differentiate each sample of product searched for tags by date, processor, catch lot (by "Lot Number") and estimated weight amount ("Est Chk"). But, if database users query the tables "Recovery Data" and "Validation Records" to compare each sample's estimated weight with its corresponding catch lot's weight, they will find that some sample weights appear greater. In theory, this should be impossible but the weight discrepancies result from the fact that each of the fish plants often processed fish from the same fishery but from different catch lots back to back while running multiple (from 3 to 10) automated roe extraction machines simultaneously. The sequences in which the product (by catch lot) were fed to the roe extraction machines often allowed incidental mixing of product on a converging conveyor belt positioned after the roe extraction process but upstream of a tag recovery unit. Consequently, estimates of amounts searched for tags ("Est Chk") by lot number are not always accurate but weight estimates for a given sampling day, fish plant and fishery should be. In total, this table has 8 fields and holds 2,485 records. Brief descriptions of the data fields associated with the "Recovery Data" table are provided in Table 6.

Records in the table named "LabelRecovSpecimens" contain data that describe specimens collected through tag recovery events. The field "Label" is a primary key that holds unique identifiers that differentiate each specimen by tag recovery "Sample", tag code, otolith and other information. Records in this table describe 8,756 specimens recovered with known tag codes, 1,311 specimens with metal particle contamination resulting in false recoveries and 24 cases where CWTs were accidentally lost in the laboratory prior to being read. In total, this table has 10 fields and holds 10,091 records. Brief descriptions of the data fields associated with the "LabeIRecovSpecimen table are provided in Table 7.

Records in the table named "CwtSetsAndRecovLabelsReconciled" also contain data that relate to specimens collected through tag recovery events, but in this table, specimen identifiers (by "Label" ) are reconciled to the first (or in most cases the only) tagging session that a relevant tag code was applied. The purpose of this table is to facilitate additional table linkages (i.e. sqLabelCwtSet) by reducing ambiguity from tag code release discrepancies. Thus, the field "Label" is again used as a primary key that holds unique identifiers that differentiate each tag recovery specimen. Therefore, database users interpreting subsequent output using this table should be aware of the "First_Set_ID" assignment and become familiar with the cause and effect of each release discrepancy (from linking tables to the "CwtRelErrors" table by the "Error_Id" field). In total, this table has 3 fields and holds 10,091 records. Brief descriptions of the data fields associated with the "CwtSetsAndRecovLabelsReconciled" table are provided in Table 8. All discrepant tag codes are listed in Appendix D.

Records in the table named "Diversions" contain data for the number of fish diverted from a recovery unit's conveyor belt by the diverter gate each time a unit was tripped by a CWT or another metal signal. In total, this table has 2 fields ("Sample" and "Number Diverted"), neither of which are primary keys, and holds 18,768 records. Brief descriptions of the data fields associated with the "Diversions" table are provided in Table 9.

In addition to the 9 tables of records, 8 queries were constructed in the Microsoft ${ }^{\circledR}$ Access file "HerringCWTStudy1999to2006.mdb" to assist database users in accessing and summarizing sets of data. Five of these queries relate to specimen records from tag recovery sampling, these are named sqCwtSet, sqLabelCwtSet, qLabelSummaryCwt, qLabelSummaryDiscrepCwt and qLabelSummaryNonCwt. The first two are sub-queries that link all release sampling information to reconciled tag recovery specimen records. The third query qLabelSummaryCwt contains the 8,756 records related to CWT recaptures and most database users will probably modify this query to access data sets for their research needs (Figure 3). However, output from this query includes tag recovery specimen records for which the exact release event cannot be discerned due to ambiguity resulting from multiple application of tag codes during multiple release sessions (refer to Appendix C). Both queries qLabelSummaryCwt and qLabelSummaryDiscrepCwt identify the first tag release session (by Set_ID) that each tag code sample was used and both queries provide information from the table CwtReIErrors to explain the cause and type of each release discrepancy. The query qLabelSummaryNonCwt contains 1,335 records for the 1,311 specimens collected with metal particle contamination and the 24 specimens from which CWTs were lost prior to having their code read. Three other queries generate output summarizing roe herring catch information and tag recovery sampling intensity. The names of these queries are:
qCatchWeightEstSample, qCatchWeightHarvest and qCatchWeightHarvestAndSample. The first query resolves total amounts of catch sampled by recovery year, statistical area and fishing gear; the second query resolves total amounts of catch harvested by fishing year, statistical area and fishing gear and the third query matches records from these two queries (Figure 4).
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Table 1. Field descriptions for the data table "CwtSets".

| Field Name | Data Type | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Set_ID | Text | Unique record identifier. Year and fishing set number of tag release event (i.e. YYY-SSS). |
| Set | Number | Fishing set number ordered chronologically each year. |
| Rel_Date | Date | Date of fishing and tagging (i.e. DD/MM/YYYY). |
| Rel_Y | Number | Year of fishing and tag release (i.e. YYYY). |
| Rel_M | Number | Month of fishing and tag release (i.e. MM). |
| Rel_D | Number | Day of month of fishing and tag release (i.e. DD). |
| Rel_SAR | Text | Assigned Herring Stock Assessment Region of release. |
| Rel_StatArea | Text | Fisheries Management Statistical Area of release (3 digit format). |
| Rel_Sub_Stat | Text | Fisheries Management Sub-Area of release. |
| Rel_Sec | Text | Designated Herring Section of release. |
| Rel_Location | Text | Name of geographical reference location near or at release site. |
| Rel_LatD | Number | Latitudinal coordinates (degrees). |
| Rel_LatM | Number | Latitudinal coordinates (minutes). |
| Rel_LonD | Number | Longitudinal coordinates (degrees). |
| Rel_LonM | Number | Longitudinal coordinates (minutes). |
| PST | Time | Pacific standard time (approximate time when seine net was pursed.) |
| Hrs | Number | Duration of tagging session (hours). |
| Intervals | Number | Total number of intervals in tagging session. |
| Taggers | Number | The number of tag injectors in operation. |
| Marine_Conditions | Text | Brief description of wind and sea state. |
| WindSpeed | Text | Estimate of average wind speed and direction. |
| Spawning_Activity | Text | Notes on nearby spawning if observed or known. |
| Fishery_Status | Text | Notes on relative location and time of proximal fishing activity. |
| Predation | Text | Notes on observed predator behaviour or sightings near tagging vessel. |
| Pen | Text | Indicates whether or not a holding pen was used after tag insertion. |
| PrHose | Text | Indicates whether or not a deck hose was used to deter predators from release out pipe. |
| Sprinkler | Text | Indicates whether or not an industrial garden sprinkler was used to deter predators from release out pipe. |
| NetSize | Text | Indicates relative size of purse seine net used to catch fish (i.e. small, medium or large). |
| Fishing_vessel | Text | Name of vessel that caught fish for tagging. |
| SetProbs | Text | Notes on delays (i.e. roll ups, too large of catch, bottom snags etc) in the net prior to tagging. |
| PreTagTime | Text | Time between when seine net was pursed and first fish was tagged in tagging set. |
| BioSample_y_n | Text | Indicates whether or not a representative biological sample of fish was taken from the tagging set. |
| SetLog_ y_n | Text | Indicates whether or not a set log sample form was completed for in-season observations. |
| DNA_y_n | Text | Indicates whether or not a sample of fish tissue was collected for DNA analysis. |
| SOK Area \& Days | Text | Notes on spawn on kelp activity in area if known. |
| RelComments | Text | Miscellaneous field notes. |

Table 2. Field descriptions for the data table "CwtReleases".

| Field Name | Data Type | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ID | AutoNumber | Unique record identifier |
| Set_ID | Text | Tag release year and fishing set number (i.e. YYYY-SSS) |
| Base_Code | Text | CWT code in the format of \#\#--\#\#-\#\# (i.e. Three two digit <br> numbers separated by two hyphens) |
| Tag_Type | Text | Binary batch (Bi), decimal batch (Dec), decimal <br> sequential (DecSq) or binary sequential (BiSq). |
| FrSeq | Number | Start of sequence for a batch of sequential tags. |
| ToSeq | Number | End of sequence for a batch of sequential tags. |
| Error_Id | Number | Applies to release groups with code discrepancies only. <br> See description of table "CwtRelErrors" |
| CWTs_per_code | Number | The batch size of tagged herring released in a tag <br> session or tag release interval. |
| Tag_Use | Number | The cumulative number of times that the Base_Code was <br> used for the current record. |
| Interval | Number | The tag release batch interval within a tagging session <br> from an individual set (i.e. 1 to 4). All intervals were 45-60 <br> minutes in length. |
| Interval_rating | Text | Coding from A to F used to try to describe relative levels <br> of physical stress endured by fish from fishing and fish <br> handling. A coding of "A" suggests that a batch of fish <br> expressed minimal stress responses (i.e. freshly caught <br> without any physical or behavioural signs of trauma). <br> Conversely, a coding of "F" suggests that a batch of fish <br> tagged fish expressed relatively high levels of stress <br> responses, such as scale loss or reduced physical <br> strength, from relatively long holding periods, fishing <br> complications or impacts from sea surface. |
| Body_Site | Text | Location in fish body where CWT was inserted, neck <br> (central dorsal nape region posterior of skull) or back <br> (central dorsal region anterior of dorsal fin). |
| Anaes | Indicates whether an anaesthetic (i.e. ms222 or clove oil) <br> was used. |  |
| Comments | Text | Text |
| Miscellaneous information (i.e. database updates.) |  |  |

Table 3. Field descriptions for the data table "CwtRelErrors".

| Field Name | Data Type | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Error_Id | Number | Assigned number (from 1 to 15) that relates to <br> "CwtReleases" table records. |
| Discrep_Abbrev | Text | Abbreviation (acronym) describing type of discrepancy. |
| Correct_Code_rel | Text | Correct CWT code for a release event |
| Discrep_Code_rel | Text | Initial error in CWT reporting for a release event. |
| Disc_Code_Defn | Text | Defines acronym used in Discrep Abbrev. |
| Er_Comments | Memo | Explicit description of cause of code discrepancy. |

Table 4. Field descriptions for the data table "CwtRepBioSampleData".

| Field Name | Data Type | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ID | AutoNumber | Unique record identifier (for CWT table only). |
| Set_Id | Text | Tag release year and fishing set number of tag release <br> event associated with biological sample record. |
| BioSample | Number | Assigned number used to keep inventory of samples in <br> "Herring Stock Assessment.mdb" database records. |
| SourceSamp | Number | Permitted access to sample collection through inshore <br> research survey(2), test fishery (5) or other (4). |
| GearSamp | Number | Fishing gear used to collect sample (21 and 29 are both <br> purse seine). |
| Year | Number | Year that biological sample was collected (i.e. YYYY). |
| Season | Number | Code used to reference stock assessment year (July 1 to <br> June 30th <br> records. for Herring Stock Assessment database |
| MonthSamp | Number | Month that biological sample was collected (i.e. MM). <br> DaySampDay of month that biological sample was collected (i.e. <br> DD). |
| StatSamp | Text | Fisheries Management Statistical Area that biological <br> sample was collected from. |
| SecSamp | Text | Geographical Herring Section that biological sample was <br> collected from. |
| BioLocation | Text | Name of geographical reference location near or at <br> release site. |
| Fish\# | Number | Individual specimen number per BioSample. |
| Length | Number | Standard length measurement of individual fish (mm). |
| Weight | Number | Total weight measurement of individual fish (g). |
| Sex | Text | Sex of specimen (1= male, 2= female, 3= unknown). |
| Maturity | Number | Maturity rating of gonads. |
| Gonad Length | Number | Length of individual gonad (mm). |
| Gonad Weight | Number | Total weight of gonads (g) |
| BioAge | Number | Age (year of life) of fish from reading scale annuli. |

Table 5. Field descriptions for the data table "Validation Records".

| Field Name | Data Type | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lot Number | Text | Catch lot number unique to recovery year, roe fishery, <br> offloading vessel and processing fish plant. |
| RecovYear | Number | Year of roe herring fishing. |
| Stat Area | Text | Fisheries Management Statistical Area of recapture (3 <br> digit format) |
| Gear | Text | Roe herring fishing gear used. |
| Fishery Name | Text | Roe herring fishing region and gear type. Charter fishery <br> noted where applicable. |
| RecLocation | Text | Name of geographical reference location near or at where <br> roe herring catch obtained. |
| CatchStartDate | Date | First day that fish on packer vessel were caught. |
| CatchEndDate | Date | Last day that fish on packer vessel were caught. |
| Catcher Vessel | Text | Name of catch vessel (seine) or gillnet pool. |
| Packer Vessel | Text | Name of packing vessel offloading during validation. |
| Pool ID | Number | Roe herring fishing pool code. |
| Tons | Number | Validated weight in short tons. |
| Weight (lbs) | Number | Validated weight in pounds. |
| Validator | Text | Name of person validating catch. |
| Coordinator | Text | Name of person coordinating catch validation. |
| Cmpy Code | Text | Offloading plant company code. |
| Offload Date | Date | Date of offloading and validation records made. |
| Offload Plant | Text | Fish plant where catch from offloading vessel was <br> validated. |
| Comment | Text | Miscellaneous notes on catch validation. |

Table 6. Field descriptions for the data table "Recovery Data".

| Field Name | Data Type | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sample | AutoNumber | A primary key field referencing a specific amount and <br> source of roe herring catch searched for tag recovery <br> sampling. |
| Lot Number | Text | The catch lot number (code) unique to recovery year, roe <br> fishery, offloading vessel and processing fish plant. |
| Process Date | Date | The date of tag recovery catch sampling. |
| Processor | Text | The fish plant of tag recovery. |
| Shift Type | Number | The fish plant operating shift. (1= day, 2= evening). |
| Est Chk | Number | The estimated amount (in short tons) of round roe herring <br> searched by the tag recovery equipment. |
| Tech | Text | The initials of technician operating tag recovery <br> equipment. |
| Stat Week | Text | The week number (i.e. Julian calendar). |

Table 7. Field descriptions for the data table "LabelRecovSpecimens".

| Field Name | Data Type | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Label | Number | Unique six digit numerical identifier for each tag recovery <br> specimen (primary key). |
| Sample | Number | The sample number referencing a specific source of roe <br> herring catch searched for tags. |
| Base_Code | Text | CWT code in the format of \#\#--\#\#-\#\# (i.e. Three two digit <br> numbers separated by two hyphens) |
| Data3 | Number | Part of referencing system developed by NMT to decode <br> binary sequential tag codes to identify release batch. |
| Data4 | Number | Part of referencing system developed by NMT to decode <br> binary sequential tag codes to identify release batch. |
| Sequential | Number | For sequential tag types only. Specific tag code <br> sequence number to identify release batch. |
| RecAge | Number | Age (year of life) of fish from reading otolith annuli. |
| Otolith Tray | Number | Tray number (by recovery year). |
| Otolith Cell | Number | Otolith tray cell number. |
| Comment | Text | Notes on tag recovery specimen observations. |

Table 8. Field descriptions for the data table "CwtSetsAndRecovLabelsReconciled".

| Field Name | Data Type | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Label | Number | Unique tag recovery specimen record identifier. |
| First_Set_ID | Text | Denotes the unique tag release fishing set corresponding <br> to a tag code or, denotes the first tag release fishing set <br> corresponding to a tag code that was used for multiple <br> release events. |
| Base_Code | Text | CWT code in the format of \#\#-\#\#-\#\# (i.e. Three two digit <br> numbers separated by two hyphens) |

Table 9. Field descriptions for the data table "Diversions".

| Field Name | Data Type | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sample | Number | A sample number referencing a specific source of roe <br> herring catch searched for tags, which corresponds to a <br> "Sample" record in the table "Recovery Data". |
| Number Diverted | Number | The number of herring pieces (halves) diverted off a tag <br> recovery unit conveyor belt and requiring manual tag <br> detection to collect the specimen triggering the recovery <br> equipment. |
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Figure 1. Photograph showing coded wire tag insertion into the nape site of a Pacific herring using a cylindrical brass needle support fixed to a Northwest Marine Technology ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Mark IV Automatic Tag Injector.


Figure 2. Photograph and diagram showing components of a coded wire tag recovery unit.


Figure 3. Query relationships generating "qLabelSummaryCwt" output. Output from this query holds 8,756 records specific to tag recovery specimens collected from tag recovery equipment at the fish plants and reconciled to the first tagging release set for the respective tag code.


Figure 4. Query relationships generating "qCatchWeightHarvestAndSample" output. Output from this query holds 70 records summarizing total catch and sample amounts by year, location and gear type of each roe herring fishery.

APPENDIX A. The number of vessel and tagging days, fishing sets, tag injectors (Injs), tagging rates and release sampling intensities (average, minimum and maximum) summarized by year.

| Year | Vessel days | Tag days | Sets | Injs | Set duration (h) |  |  | Tag rate (T/ inj/ h) |  |  | Releases per set |  |  | Releases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Ave | Min | Max | Ave | Min | Max | Ave | Min | Max |  |
| 1999 | 30 | 14 | 19 | 2 | 2.7 | 0.8 | 4.5 | 53,887 | 143 | 1,876 | 2,836 | 675 | 4,422 | 53,887 |
| 2000 | 30 | 19 | 32 | 4 | 2.2 | 0.5 | 3.3 | 248,391 | 471 | 1,421 | 7,762 | 2,307 | 11,695 | 248,391 |
| 2001 | 30 | 16 | 36 | 3 | 1.7 | 0.2 | 2.6 | 148,754 | 280 | 1,491 | 4,132 | 168 | 7,443 | 148,754 |
| 2002 | 30 | 20 | 34 | 4 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 3.0 | 227,928 | 187 | 2,586 | 6,704 | 1,771 | 11,081 | 227,928 |
| 2003 | 30 | 16 | 28 | 4 | 2.9 | 0.3 | 4.0 | 328,423 | 834 | 1,422 | 11,729 | 1,203 | 16,394 | 328,423 |
| 2004 | 30 | 18 | 24 | 4 | 3.6 | 1.5 | 4.5 | 357,346 | 678 | 1,228 | 14,889 | 5,424 | 21,627 | 357,346 |
| All |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,364,729 |

APPENDIX B. Tag recovery sampling efforts summarized by year and fish plant, including: the number of days; the number of staffed shifts; the number of operating hours; an estimate of the total catch amount searched; the average conveyance rate of tag recovery unit (metric tonnes/hour); the number of tagged specimens recovered (Lab CWTs); the number of false positive specimens observed after dissection (Lab FP); the number of false positive specimens observed in the fish plants (Non Lab FP); the number of diverter gate responses (Est Div) and an estimate of the total number of fish diverted (Est Fish Diverted).


## APPENDIX C. Contents of data table "CwtRelErrors"

| Error_Id | Discrep_Abbrev | Disc_Code_Defn | Discrep_Code_rel | Correct_Code_rel |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

APPENDIX D. Release and recovery details of within-year and between-year tag code discrepancies.

| Error_Id | Discrep_ Abbrev | Release Year | Tag Code | Stat. <br> Area | Herring Section | Set(s) | CWTs | Recoveries by recovery year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 |
| 1 | by da | 1999 | 18-08-48 | 14 | 142 | 1 | 2,587 | *(8) | 5 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 2000 | 18-08-48 | 17 | 172 | 30 | 952 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | by da | 1999 | 18-15-63 | 14 | 142 | 3 | 1,857 | *(4) | 10 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 2000 | 18-15-63 | 17 | 173 | 23, 24 | 1,745 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | wy dr | 2004 | 18-45-39 | 25 | 253 | 9 | 2,833 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 18-45-39 | 8 | 085 | 12 | 426 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | wy da | 1999 | 18-12-13 | 14 | 143 | 13 | 3,310 | 7 | 13 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 18-12-32 | 17 | 173 | 12 | 2,505 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | wy da | 2000 | 02-13-12 | 14 | 142 | 5 | 3,017 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 18-14-43 | 17 | 173 | 25 | 3,454 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | wy dhs | 2000 | 18-03-34 | 14 | 142 | 11 | 6,356 | 0 | 8 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 18-42-17 | 14 | 143 | 16, 17 | 7,030 | 0 | 8 | 16 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | wy dhs | 2000 | 18-32-42 | 17 | 173 | 26 | 424 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 18-32-42 | 17 | 172 | 27 | 141 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | wy dhs | 2003 | 18-32-59 | 14 | 143 | 4 | 1,102 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 18-32-59 | 14 | 142 | 7 | 861 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | wy dhs | 2003 | 18-45-61 | 7 | 076 | 15 | 868 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 1 |
|  |  |  | 18-45-61 | 7 | 074 | 16 | 1,121 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | wy dhs | 2003 | 18-46-28 | 7 | 076 | 15 | 1,045 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 18-46-28 | 7 | 074 | 16 | 336 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Error_ld | Discrep_ Abbrev | Release <br> Year | Tag Code | Stat. <br> Area | Herring Section | Set(s) | CWTs | Recoveries by recovery year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 |
| 11 | wy ds | 2000 | 02-16-57 | 14 | 143 | 4 | 4,171 | 89 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 02-16-57 | 14 | 143 | 12 | 5,026 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | wy ds | 2000 | 02-18-63 | 14 | 142 | 7 | 363 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 02-18-63 | 14 | 142 | 8 | 2,539 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 02-18-63 | 14 | 142 | 9 | 1,961 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 02-18-63 | 14 | 142 | 10 | 1,553 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | wy ds | 2000 | 02-22-21 | 14 | 142 | 7 | 1,814 | 11 | 4 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  |  | 02-22-21 | 14 | 142 | 8 | 3,156 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 02-22-21 | 14 | 142 | 9 | 1,794 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | wy ds | 2000 | 02-28-06 | 14 | 143 | 14 | 1,142 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 02-28-06 | 14 |  | 15 | 768 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | wy ds | 2000 | 02-48-43 | 14 | 143 | 14 | 2,038 | 0 | 11 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  |  | 02-48-43 | 14 | 143 | 15 | 687 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | wy ds | 2000 | 08-16-06 |  |  | 9 |  | 0 | 42 | 23 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | $08-16-06$ | 14 | 142 | 10 | $10,142$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 08-16-06 | 14 | 142 | 11 | 2,325 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | wy ds | 2000 | 08-16-10 | 14 | 142 | 1 | 4,705 | 147 | 22 | 38 | 9 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 08-16-10 | 14 | 142 | 2 | 10,125 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 08-16-10 | 14 | 142 | 3 | 4,133 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | wy ds | 2000 | 08-24-47 | 14 | 142 | 6 | 558 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 08-24-47 | 14 | 142 | 7 | 1,991 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 08-24-47 | 14 | 142 | 8 | 2,396 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | wy ds | 2000 | 08-26-31R |  |  | 6 | $393$ | 4 | 11 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 08-26-31R | 14 | 142 | 7 | 1,760 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 08-26-31R | 14 | 142 | 8 | 2,549 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 08-26-31R | 14 | 142 | 9 | 941 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| Error_Id | Discrep_ Abbrev | Release <br> Year | Tag Code | Stat. <br> Area | Herring Section | Set(s) | CWTs | Recoveries by recovery year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 |
| 11 | wy ds | 2001 | 02-05-24 | 5 | 052 | 18 | 176 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 02-05-24 | 5 | 052 | 19 | 1,244 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 02-05-24 | 5 | 052 | 20 | 993 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | wy ds | 2001 | 02-10-47 | 5 | 052 | 21 | 1,597 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  |  |  | 02-10-47 | 5 | 052 | 22 | 994 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | wy ds | 2001 | 02-12-63 | 14 | 142 | 6 | 1,636 | 0 | 3 | 12 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 02-12-63 | 14 | 142 | 7 | 381 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 02-12-63 | 14 | 142 | 8 | 906 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | wy ds | 2001 | 02-30-12 | 4 | 42 | 33 | 836 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 8 | 6 | 5 |
|  |  |  | 02-30-12 | 4 | 042 | 34 | 2,895 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 02-30-12 | 4 | 042 | 35 | 1,646 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 02-30-12 | 4 | 042 | 36 | 357 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | wy ds | 2001 | 02-36-09 | 4 | 042 | 35 | 1,536 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 02-36-09 | 4 | 042 | 36 | 434 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | wy ds | 2001 | 02-41-28 | 5 | 052 | 21 | 2,036 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 02-41-28 | 5 | 052 | 22 | 1,220 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | wy ds | 2001 | 02-41-31 | 5 | 052 | 21 | 1,393 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 02-41-31 | 5 | 052 | 22 | 1,035 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | wy ds | 2001 | 02-44-58 | 14 | 143 | 3 | 1,107 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 02-44-58 | 14 | 143 | 4 | 287 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | wy ds | 2001 | 02-50-50 | 5 | 052 | 18 | 633 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 02-50-50 | 5 | 052 | 19 | 2,048 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | wy ds | 2001 | 02-63-20 | 14 | 143 | 1 | 1,088 | 0 | 1 | 34 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 02-63-20 | 14 | 143 | 2 | 5,446 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 02-63-20 | 14 | 143 | 3 | 1,923 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| pendix | continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Error_Id | Discrep_ | Release | Tag | Stat. | Herring | Recoveries by recovery year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Abbrev | Year | Code | Area | Section | Set(s) | CWTs | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 |
| 11 | wy ds | 2001 | 08-24-40 | 4 | 42 | 31 | 1,915 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 08-24-40 | 4 | 42 | 32 | 1,719 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | wy ds | 2001 | 08-24-41 | 14 | 142 | 7 | 1,782 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 08-24-41 | 14 | 142 | 8 | 688 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | wy ds | 2001 | 08-24-61 | 4 | 42 | 31 | 2,160 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 2 |
|  |  |  | 08-24-61 | 4 | 42 | 32 | 2,213 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | wy ds | 2001 | 08-24-62 | 4 | 42 | 31 | 2,306 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 2 |
|  |  |  | 08-24-62 | 4 | 42 | 32 | 2,195 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | wy ds | 2001 | 18-01-40 | 14 | 142 | 5 | 2,084 | 0 | 10 | 11 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 18-01-40 | 14 | 142 | 8 | 490 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | wy ds | 2001 | 18-07-38 | 14 | 143 | 12 | 1,354 | 0 | 8 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
|  |  |  | 18-07-38 | 14 | 143 | 13 | 2,287 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 18-07-38 | 14 | 143 | 14 | 52 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 18-07-38 | 14 | 143 | 15 | 1,077 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | wy ds | 2001 | 18-08-45 | 14 | 142 | 5 | 1,734 | 0 | 7 | 11 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 18-08-45 | 14 | 142 | 8 | 341 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | wy ds | 2001 | 18-09-37 | 14 | 143 | 3 | 1,497 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 18-09-37 | 14 | 143 | 4 | 826 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | wy ds | 2001 | 18-16-54 | 14 | 143 | 12 | 716 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  |  | 18-16-54 | 14 | 143 | 13 | 1,718 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | wy ds | 2001 | 18-19-45 | 14 | 142 | 9 | 544 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 18-19-45 | 14 | 142 | 10 | 1,757 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 18-19-45 | 14 | 142 | 11 | 1,092 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Error_Id | Discrep <br> Abbrev | Release Year | Tag Code | Stat. <br> Area | Herring Section | Set(s) | CWTs | Recoveries by recovery year |  |  |  |  | 2005 | 2006 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 |  |  |
| 11 | wy ds | 2001 | 18-21-23 | 14 | 142 | 6 | 1,611 | 0 | 4 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
|  |  |  | 18-21-23 | 14 | 142 | 7 | 381 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 18-21-23 | 14 | 142 | 8 | 356 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | wy ds | 2001 | 18-22-60 | 14 | 142 | 9 | 345 | 0 | 2 | 20 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
|  |  |  | 18-22-60 | 14 | 142 | 10 | 1,461 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 18-22-60 | 14 | 142 | 11 | 837 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | wy ds | 2001 | 18-28-31 | 14 | 142 | 7 | 2,877 | 0 | 13 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 18-28-31 | 14 | 142 | 8 | 1,139 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | wy ds | 2001 | 18-34-16 | 5 | 52 | $18$ | $423$ | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 18-34-16 | 5 | 52 | $19$ | $797$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | wy ds | 2001 | 18-34-46 | 14 | 143 | 13 | 985 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  |  |  | 18-34-46 | 14 | 143 | 14 | 116 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 18-34-46 | 14 | 143 | 15 | 1,697 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | wy ds | 2001 | 18-38-47 | 4 | 42 | 33 | 370 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
|  |  |  | 18-38-47 | 4 | 42 | 34 | 1,455 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 18-38-47 | 4 | 42 | 35 | 1,280 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | wy ds | 2001 | 18-39-02 | 14 | 142 | 9 | 451 | 0 | 2 | 13 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 18-39-02 | 14 | 142 | 10 | 1,675 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 18-39-02 | 14 |  |  | 940 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | wy ds | 2001 | 18-39-10 | 14 | 142 | 6 | 2,286 | 0 | 7 | 15 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 18-39-10 | 14 | 142 | 7 | 533 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | wy ds | 2000 | 18-12-19 | $14$ | $143$ |  | $2,506$ | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | $02-12-12$ | 14 | 143 | $21$ | 9,108 | 0 | 16 | 14 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | wy ss | 2000 | 18-34-42 | 14 | 142 | 19 | 511 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 18-42-23 | 14 | 142 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Appendix D (continued).

| Error_Id | Discrep_ <br> Abbrev | Release <br> Year | Tag Code | Stat. <br> Area | Herring Section | Set(s) | CWTs | Recoveries by recovery year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 |
| 14 | wy ss | 2000 | 18-34-35 | 14 | 143 | 13 | 2,024 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 18-34-45 | 14 | 143 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | wy ss | 2000 | 18-31-11 | 17 | 172 | 27 | 3,312 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 18-31-10 | 17 | 172 | 27 | 3,060 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

*(by da recoveries collected in 2000 do not have ambiguous release events because both tag codes were used on releases after the Strait of Georgia roe fisheries in 2000 , thus could not have contributed to recoveries made in that year. )

