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## ABSTRACT

Halliday, R.G., D.E. Hay and K.I. Metuzals. 1989. Wastage at sea of Amerlcan plaice (Hippoglossoldes platessoldes (Fabricius)) In the southern Gulf of St. Lawrence fishery in the 1970s. Can. Tech. Rep. Fish. Aquat. Scl. 1663: vil +36 p .


#### Abstract

Small otter trawlers in the southern Gulf of St. Lawrence groundfish fishery in 1976 discarded $54 \%$ by weight and $76 \%$ by number of their catches of American plaice. Danish seiners discarded $43 \%$ by weight ( $66 \%$ by number). Total discards from all small, locally-based, vessels (l.e. including those using miscel laneous other gears) were estimated at $45 \%$ by weight $(68 \%$ by number), or about 6,000 t. There also were discards from large trawlers but these were not sampled. Discards were composed of small plalce mainly between 20 cm and 35 cm , with a mean length of 27.0 cm and a mean welght of 207 gm . Sex ratio in catches was about $1: 1$, but about 90 of the males and about $50 \%$ of the females caught were discarded. As . a result, $80 \%$ of landed fish were females and $60 \%$ of discards were males. Discards were 3 to 12 years old, with a modal age of six. The estimated length at which $50 \%$ of the catch was discarded In 1976 was 32 cm , corresponding to an age of 8.5 yrs . Less extensive discard data for 1972 and 1979 indicated that discard rates were lower in these years than in 1976. The primary cause was probably a change in population structure. The discard rate peaked about 1976 as strong year-classes recruited into the fished stock. Records of mesh size in use were Inadequate to evaluate the Impact of mesh size changes on catch size composition. The data indicated that an increase in $50 \%$ cull length occurred in the la70s but this could not be firmly established. Differences in discard quantitles between otter trawlers and Danish seiners in 1976 reflected differences in gear, but not necessarlly in mesh, selection. The $50 \%$ gear selection length for otter trawlers was estimated at 24.5 cm , whlle that for Danlsh selners was 25.5 cm . The primary differences in culling practices in 1976 were associated with area fished, probably reflecting fish size preferences at adjacent ports of landing.


## résumé

Halllday, R.G., D.E. Hay and K.l. Metuzals. 1989. Wastage at sea of American plaice (Hippoglossoldes platessoides (Fabricius)) in the southern Gulf of St. Lawrence fishery in the 1970s. Can. Tech. Rep. Flsh. Aquat. Scl. 1663: vil + 36p.

Dans le sud du golfe du Saint-Laurent, les petits bateaux qui pratiquent la pêche du polsson de fond au chalut à panneaux ont rejeté $54 \%$, en polds, ou $76 \%$, en nombre, de leurs prises de plie canadlenne en 1976. Pour les bateaux qui pêchent à la senne danolse, les rejets de plie canadienne représentalent 43 \% du polds (ou $66 \%$ du nombre) des prises. Au total, les rejets de tous les petits bateaux locaux (y compris ceux qui utillsalent divers types d'autres engins) étalent chlffrés à $45 \%$ du poids ( $68 \%$ du nombre) des prises, solt environ 6000 t. 11 y a eu également des rejets de la part des gros chalutiers, mals on ne les a pas échantillonnés. Les plies rejetées mesuralent pour la plupart entre 20 et 35 cm , la longueur moyenne d'établissant à 27 cm et le polds moyen à 207 g . La répartition des sexes dans les prises étalt d'environ 1:1, mals environ $90 \%$ et $50 \%$ respectivenent des mâles et des femelles capturés ont été rejetés. Il en résulte que $80 \%$ des polssons débarqués étalent des femelles et que $60 \%$ des polssons rejetés étalent des mâles. Les polssons rejetés avalent de trols à douze ans, l'âge modal étant de slx ans. On estime à 32 cm la longueur à laquelle correspondalt un rejet de $50 \%$ des prises en 1976, $\propto$ quil représente un âge de 8,5 ans. Des données plus sommaires sur les rejets effectués en 1972 et en 1979 révèlent que les taux de rejet furent plus bas au cours de ces années qu'en 1976, la cause premiére en étant sans doute un changement dans la structure des stocks. Le taux de rejet a atteint un niveau élevé en 1976, année où de fortes classes d'âge ont été recrutées à la pêche. Les données sur la grosseur de malllage utillsée n'ont pas permis d'évaluer l'effet d'un changement de grosseur des mallles sur lo tallle des prises. Elles révélalent une augmentation dans la longueur à laquelle $50 \%$ des prises étalent rejetées au cours des années 1970, mals ce phénomène n'e pas pu être attesté de manière sûre. En 1976, les différences dans les quantités de rejets entre les bateaux quil pêchalent au chalut à panneaux et ceux qui utilisalent des sennes danolses correspondalent à des différences dans la sélectivité des engins, mais non nécessalrement dans la sélectivité de mallage. On évalualt à $24,5 \mathrm{~cm}$ la longueur à laquelle $50 \%$ des poissons étalent retenus par le chalut à panneaux, tandis que dans le cas de la senne danolse, cette longueur étalt de $25,5 \mathrm{~cm}$. Les différences relevées dans les critères d'élimination en 1976 ont été assoclées aux zones de pêche et dénotalent probablement des préférences quant à la tallle des polssons dans les ports de débarquement locaux.

## INTRODUCTION

The southern Gulf of St. Lawrence, Div. $4 T$ of the Northwest Atlantlc Fisherles Organization (NAFO), supports a large population of American plalce (Hlppoglossoldes platessoldes (Fabricius)). On the basis of tagging and meristic data Powles (1965) concluded that these plalce formed a blologically discrete stock. He recognized two main groups within the stock which mixed little as adults, a northern or "Miscou-Magdalen" group which included fish from Chaleur Bay, Shippegan Gully and Orphan Bank regions, and a southern or "Cape Breton" group which Included fish from George Bay to St. Paul Island (Flg. 1). In summer, plaice are most abundant in depths of 40-100 m, but in winter most occur in 180-460 m along the edge of the Laurentian Channel. Movement offshore to deeper water begins in October and is complete by January. Return movement begins in April and is complete by late-May or early June, with individual fish tending to return to the same areas each summer (Powles, 1965).

Otter trawling was introduced to the southern Gulf of St. Lawrence groundfish fishery In 1947 and expanded rapldly (Jean, 1963). Danish selning began in 1958 (Powles, 1969). Prior to 1947, plalce were taken incidentally on hook and line gear fished for cod. These were all large fish, $40-60 \mathrm{~cm}$ in length, and total landings did not exceed a few hundred metric tons $(t)$ annually (Powles, 1969). Subsequent to the introduction of otter trawling, landings Increased greatly, reaching a peak of about $12,000+$ in 1955. Landings have been about 10,000 t since then, with little inter-annual variabllity.

When otter trawling was introduced to the flshery trawl mesh size was not regulated, but the common practice was to use a codend mesh size of about 75 mm ( 3 inches). This resulted in capture of many plalce below marketable size and these were discarded at sea (Jean, 1963). Minimum codend mesh size regulations for otter trawls were first introduced in the southern Gulf of St. Lowrence groundfish fishery in 1957. However, Jean (1963) found that these regulations had ilttle effect on discarding of plalce from New Brunswick otter trawlers. Powles (1969) confirmed Jean's results for otter trawlers, but suggested that a smaller proportion of the catch of Danlsh selners was discarded and implied that season and area flshed were also factors which caused differences in quantities discarded. Powles (1969) demonstrated that virtually all discards were dead when returned to the sea from commerclal vessels and thus represented a loss of potential yield.

In 1976, a fleld project was conducted to obtaln estimates of plalce discards in the Div. 4 T groundfish fishery. Sampling was designed to include different areas and the two maln vessel types employed, small otter trawlers (less than 150 gross registered tons (grt)) and selners, to examine Powles' (1969) conclusions. The primary objective, however, was to quantify fishery removals from the stock as a besls for describing the impact of fishing on population dynamics. Thls work was done in anticipation that further controls on fishing for Div. $4 T$ plaice would be Implemented as part of a Northwest Atlantic-wlde scheme of total allowable catch (TAC) controls. Such controls were, in fact, established for 1977 and subsequent years. The present paper describes the results of the 1976 project and also presents, for comparative purposes, discard data collected on a much smaller scale in 1972 and 1979.

## MATERIALS AD METHCOS

Commercial landings for Div. 4 T were obtained from NAFO (previousiy ICNAF International Commission for Northwest Atlantic Fisherles) Statistical Bulletins. In addition to landings recorded as American plaice, $90 \%$ of landings recorded as "flatfish-not specified" were also consldered to be plaice based on observations by Department of Fisherles and Oceans (DFO) sclentiflc staff. The Canadian unit area system (Flg. 1B) provides landings records on a geographic scale finer than NAFO division. However, assignment of landings to unit area of capture proved to be incomplete for 1976. For small locally-based vessels, l.e. those of tonnage classes (TC) 1-3 (less than 150 grt ), unassigned seiner and otter trawler landings were 40 and $20 \%$ respectively. These were prorated among areas (within each gear type) on the basis of assigned landings. Landings in 1976 by Mar It lmes-based large trawlers (TC4+, 1.e. Iarger than 150 grt$)$ which were reported by unlt area came from $F$ and $K$, and $i t$ was assumed that the remalnder, and landings of Newfoundland-based large trawlers, also came from these unit areas.

The Marine Fish Division port sampling programne gave special emphasis to Anerican plalce shore sampling in Div. $4 T$ In 1976, resulting in 47 samples being collected. These provided 9,400 fish measurements and 1,800 age readings. To estimate size and age compositions of landings by sex, landings and sampling data were grouped by unit areas (Table 1) which corresponded to fishing areas (see below). All small otter trawler landings were weighted by samples from KLNOQ because no samples were
avallable for other areas. The totals of size and age compositions of small trawler and seiner landings were prorated to account for the $1,100 t$ of plaice landed by vessels using other gear types, as the one longline sample collected was deened unllkely to represent these adequately. The compositions of large trawler landings were then added to give compositions for all Div. 4 T landings in 1976.

Stratified-random bottom trawl surveys, which have been conducted throughout Div. 4 T by research vessels each September since 1971 (Halliday and Koeller, 1981), provide estimates of plaice population blomass and size and age structure by sex. Strata 15-29 and 31-39 were grouped in analyses to approximate the areas Inhabited by Powles' northern and southern groups.

Most of the discard data were collected in 1976 by MacLaren Atlantic Ltd., a consulting company, under contract to the Department of Fisherles and Environment (now the Department of Fisherles and Oceans) of the Government of Canada. In that study, observers were placed aboard 47 trips of commercial fishing vessels, 19 otter trawler and 28 Danish seiner trips, fishing from the ports of Caraquet and Shippegan in northern New Brunswick, Souris in Prince Edward Island, and Cheticamp on Cape Breton Island, between 18 August and 6 December. (The difference between Danish and Scottish selning is minor and malnly concerns the method of hauling the gear. The distinction in fishery statistics between vessels using the two methods is not rellable, and all seiners are referred to here as Danish seiners.)

Vessels from Caraquet and Shippegan which had observers aboard fished malnly in the area Immedately outside the Bay of Chaleur to the east of Miscou and Shippegan islands in the Shippegan Gully area - unit area N (Fig. 1). Fishing extended Into the western part of area $K$ and the northern half of area L. This fleet also fished within Chaleur Bay (area M). Within these areas, trawlers and selners fished much the same grounds. Observed Danish selners from this fleet did a small amount of flishing around the Magdalen Islands (area F) and made one trip to the western Cape Breton area (area G). All observed vessels from Souris were trawlers and all fished locally In area $G$ between Sourls and George Bay. Observed Cheticamp vessels, all of which were seiners, also fished locally in area $G$ In the vicinity and to the east of Cheticamp. The observed vessels reflected the structure of the fleets at these ports, the Sourls fleet being composed of trawlers, the Chetlcamp fleet of

Danish selners, and the northern New Brunswick fleet of both. The only fleet other than the ones observed, which persistently conducted a significant fishery for plaice In Div. 4 T , was that of Quebec based on the Gaspé PenInsula and on the Magdalen islands.

Based on observed trips, the two main fishing areas for plaice in Div. 4 T were KLMN and G, which corresponded to Powles' (1965) northern and southern population groups. Area F, which supported a small fishery, is geographically intermediate. Powles (1969) suggested that area $M$ (Chaleur Bay) plalce may differ in population size structure from those in adjacent N. Thus the four geographlc areas KLN, G, M, and F were considered separately for analysis.

On four of the 47 trips observed, the vessel fished two of the major fishing grounds used for analysis. The data for the two parts of these trips were summarized separately giving 51 sets of observations for use in analysis. Not all 51 trips or part-trips had complete data so appropriate subsets of trips were used in different parts of the analysis.

For each fishing set, observers obtained from vessel captains the location, depth and duration of the set, estimates of total weight of each species caught, welght of portions kept ( $1 . e$. for subsequent landing), and weight of portions discarded. When practical, observers obtained a random bucketful ( $25-30 \mathrm{~kg}$ ) sample of discarded pleice. Length-frequencles (total length to nearest cm ) by sex, and proportion of sample weight by sex were recorded. Otoliths, for subsequent ageing, were also collected for one sample on each trip. It was intended that the landings of observed trips would be sampled for length-frequency (by sex) at the time of unloading. Ready access to unloaded fish proved difficult and attention was redirected to obtaining samples of kept fish while stlll at sea. Quantity of fish landed was obtained, when possible, from the weighout records of the flish plant at which the fish were landed.

To test the consistency and accuracy of captalns' estimates of fish quantities, their accumulated estimates of kept catch weight (i.e. of landed welght) of plalce for each trip were compared to welghout quantities at the fish plant for the same trip (FIg. 2). Largest discrepancies from proportionallty occurred for some trips with low captalns' estlmates. This resulted from the plant practice of tallylng landings from several trips of a vessel landing small amounts before issuling a purchase record.

Such multiple trip records could not be distingulshed by observers. Based on Inspection of Fig. 2, it was decided that five trips for which welghout was greater than captain's estimate by a factor of more than five times would be discounted as likely multiple trip weighouts. This discrepancy was judged to be too large to represent a captaln's underestimate. Remalning captains' estimates agreed well with plant welghouts with a small tendency to underestimate. This observation, along with the consistency in the discard data among vessels fishing the same grounds at the same time, supports the view that differences in captains' accuracy of estimation is not ilkely to confound comparisons of discard quantitles between areas and seasons. This method assumes that captalns were equally accurate at estimating discarded and kept quantitles, and thus that estimated proportion discarded was unblased.

A consistency check was performed on the mean length and mean welght of sampled fish (kept and discarded) by comparing observations for each trip agalnst a power curve fit of mean welght on mean length based on all trips. Observed weights were in the range of about $67 \%-150 \%$ of expected weights with one exception where observed weight was twice that expected. Both the length frequency and mean weight data for this trip were not included in further analyses.

To amalgamate observed discard rates by month for each gear and area, trip data were wel ghted by catch size on that trip. Even though associations between catch size and discard rate were not generally apparent (see below) this method was adopted, as it weighted data from each trip by its relative contribution to the total catch. To estimate overall discard rate in Div. 4 T In 1976, estimates were combined over months as above and then these combined estimates were welghted for each gear and area by thelr respective landings, and summed. Exceptions were made by weighting discard rate for selners in G In November separately, as it was different from other months, and by amalgamating area $F$ trawler landings (which were only $14 t$ ) with those of GHJ, as there were no corresponding discard estimates.

Numbers discarded in 1976 were calculated by dividing the estimated total weight discarded by the mean weight of discarded fish in each gear and area category. A second calculation of discard rates by number was conducted on a subset of 14 trips for which kept, as well as discarded, portions of the catch were sampled, and thus for which both total and mean weights of each portion were known. Overall length composition of
discards was derived by welghting trip length compositions, summed over months (except for November in the case of seiners in G), for each area and gear type, by estimated numbers discarded.

Inconsistencles in observer sex determinations Indicated that these were not rellable. As a substltute, sex ratios at length In research vessel surveys were used to calculate discards by sex. Sex ratios at length were averaged for three surveys and a smooth curve fitted by eye to provide an average sex ratlo at length curve. The 1972, 1976, and 1979 surveys were chosen as they encompassed the time perlod of study. The overall discard length-frequency for Danlsh selners and small otter trawlers was then adjusted by the sex ratio at length to obtain discard length-frequencles by sex. Age data by sex from the September 1976 research vessel survey, which were collected at an appropriate time of year and covered the size range of discards well, were used to determine age composition of discards by sex. An overall Div. $4 T$ age-length key for each sex was used in conjunction with the total discard
length-frequency for each sex to obtain age compositions.

Discard rates by length and age were derived by comparing the length (age) frequencles of kept fish with those of the total catch to obtain percentage of plalce kept at length (age). The sigmold curves so derived are called cull ogives in this paper. The $50 \%$ cull points were estimated by eye from these curves to the nearest 0.5 cm .

Gear selection was estimated by comparing commercial catch composition in 1976 with composition of the plalce population as estimated by the 1976 research vessel survey. The survey trawl was equipped with small mesh Ilners of 32 mm in the lengthening plece and of 6 mm in the codend (Halllday and Kohler, 1971). Although mesh selection studies (Clay, 1979) Indicate that a 32 mm mesh should retain $50 \%$ of plaice of 7 cm In length survey catches had a modal length of 22 em (see below), indicating that fish smaller than this may not have been fully avallable to the survey gear. Thus, over the size range of commercial catches, l.e. greater than 20 cm , the survey probably gave an unblased estimate of population size structure except at the smallest sizes. This method of calculating gear selection requires standardization of the numbers at length In the right hand (fully-selected length groups) portion of the catch length-frequency with those of the population. The ratio of catch to population numbers in the left hand portion of
the catch frequency is then taken as an estimate of selection at length. Different standardization methods can give different results. In this paper the ratios of catch to population at length were inspected to determine the smallest length group at which the ratio (catch: population) was maximal. The catch was then standardized to the population based on the ratlo of catch and population summed (In both cases) for all lengths at and above that maximum.

In addition to the MacLaren Atiantic Ltd. study, data were collected in a pllot study earller in 1976 on four Danish selner trips. Data were also avallable from one trip observed aboard a small trawler fishing in Div. $4 T$ and a large trawler fishing for Amerlcan plaice in Div. 30 P in 1972, and from six trips in Div. 4 T in 1979 (flve on seiners). Data collection and analysis procedures for these were the same as given above for the larger study.

## PESULTS

Quantitles of American Plalce Landed
In 1970-79 commerclal landings of Div. 4 T Anerlcan plalce averaged $10,000+$ annually (Table 2). The amount landed as unspecifled flatfish exceeded $2000+(23 \%$ of total) In 1970-71 but declined to less than 1000 t by 1978-79 (7\% of total). Landings in the 1970 s were quite stable with a low of 8,000 t recorded for 1973 and a high of $12,000+$ recorded in 1976. Most landings were by small locally-based vessels. Large trawlers usually landed less than 1000 t of plalce from the area but 1976 was an exception. The peak catch in that year resulted from an unusually high level of participation by large trawlers which had landings of about $4,400+(37 \%$ of total). Otter trawlers longer than 100' were prohlbited from fishing for plaice (and cod) in Div. $4 T$ in subsequent years. Trawler landings Included a small forelgn component, malnly French, but non-Canadian landings exceeded $100+$ only in 1975.

In 1976, the year of the main discard study, about 7500 t of plalce were landed by small locally-based vessels. Of that total, about 3000 $t$ (40\%) were landed by trawlers, $3400+(45 \%)$ by seiners and $1100+(15 \%)$ by other vessel types such as gillnetters and longliners. Landings from Powles' (1965) southern group (defined for this purpose as landings from unit areas FGHJ) comprised 45\% of the total and from his northern group (unit areas KLMNQ) comprised $55 \%$. Landings from the southern group were mainly (70\%) by selners, whereas those from the northern group were primarily ( $60 \%$ ) by trawlers. Other
gears accounted for about 15\% of landings from both areas.

In 1976, large trawler landings were concentrated in April and May (Table 3) and most likely were taken along the eastern edge of the Magdalen Shallows (areas $F$ and $K$ ) as the ice receded (and thus came from both northern and southern groups). Smali-vessel landings were more evenly distributed over time, with over 1,000 t landed In each month from May to September (Table 3). About $45 \%$ of thelr catch was taken from August to December, the main discard sampling perlod. Overall, however, almost $50 \%$ of the landings occurred in April and May.

Size and Age Composition of Landings in 1976
Of the almost $12,000+$ of plaice landed in 1976, males accounted for only about $15 \%$ (Table 4). By number, males accounted for about $25 \%$ of the 20 mlll ion fish landed. The average landed fish weighted 0.6 kg , was 38 cm long and 11 yr old. Landed males were smaller and lighter than females, and about one year younger on average. Fish landed by Danish selners were silghtly smaller and younger than those from small otter trawlers. Fish landed from large trawlers were larger and older than those from small vessels. This is conslstent with these landings coming from the edge of the Laurentlan Channel in spring where larger fish overwinter (Powles, 1965).

Few fish under 30 cm were landed (Fig. 3). Males contributed signlificantly to landings only In the $30-40 \mathrm{~cm}$ range, while significant numbers of females were taken up to 60 cm . The first age group to contribute significantly to landings was age 7, and few males over age 13 occurred in landings although females up to age 20 were not uncommon.

Population Structure and Trends from Research Vessel Surveys

Research vessel survey blomass estimates for American plalce In Div. $4 T$ Increased from about $60,000+$ in 197i-72 to a maximum of almost 200,000 + in 1976-77 (Koeller and LeGresley, 1981). There has been a declining trend since the late 1970s (eg. see Metuzals, 1985).

Powles' northern and southern groups (in strata 15-29 and 31-39 respectively) were similar In population characteristics and temporal trends. Increases in survey catches occurred throughout Div. 4 T in the later 1970s (Koeller and LeGresley, 1981). Both northern and southern groups increased in abundance in a similar
pattern with the southern group comprising on average about $18 \%$ of the total Div. 4 T blomass. In 1976, mean age of the populations in strata 15-29 and 31-39 was simliar at 6.2 yrs and 5.8 yrs respectively. Ages 3 and 4 were more important in strata 31-39 than in strata 15-29, whereas age 5 was the predominant age group in the latter area (Fig. 4). Length compositions in the two areas were similar, the largest mode in strata 31-39 (at 21 cm ) beling slightly less than that of 22 cm for strata $15-29$ (Flg. 4). Mean lengths at age for the two areas for each sex (Fig. 5) showed ages 4 and 5 fish to be slightly larger in strata 31-39 but differences between sexes were greater than differences between areas after age 6.

Results of 1976 Discard Project (MacLaren Atlantic Ltd.)

In area KLN, both cod and plaice were Important contributors to catches and together comprised almost all of the catches of vessels with observers aboard. On eight observed otter trawler trips, an average of 53\% (range: 35-80\%) of the kept catch of these two species was cod. For Danish selners plaice was more important, two trips having almost no cod and the remalnder having 25-75\% (overall mean $=41 \%, n=14$ ). Vessels which fished $M$ also had predominantly plaice, cod comprising 15-40\% (mean $=28 \%, n=4$ ) of the kept catch. Therefore there was a close relationship between cod and plaice fisheries in KLN and $M$.

Observed otter trawlers in G ( $n=6$ ) fished primarily for white hake and sometimes winter flounder. Cod and plalce were relatively unimportant except in one trip when plalce predominated in the kept catch. Danish seiners In G fished plalce almost exclusively. Cod, the second most important species, amounted at most to $30 \%$ of the kept catch in observed trips (mean $=15 \%, n=10$.

Discard rate by weight: For all trips sampled, discard rate was $42 \%$ by welght of plalce caught (Table 5). The largest differences in discard rates between areas, gears and months were accounted for by the low discard percentages of Danish seiners in area $G$ in November of an average of 15\%. When these data were excluded, the overall discard rate for selners in $G$ was 47\%, higher than that of seiners in KLN (Table 5). Selners in $M$ had the highest discard rate (62\%) but this was based on data from only two trips in October. This estimate was close to that for seiners in the adjacent area KLN in the same month. Overall, there was no clear evidence of major differences in seiner discard rates by area or season, with the exception of area $G$ in

November.
Although plalce discard rate for selners in area $G$ was not related to total plaice catch, it was inversely correlated with catch rate of plalce ( $R=-0.64, n=10$ ). Catch rate was defined as the estimated total plaice catch per hour fished. The low discard rates of selners in area $G$ in November were related to high plaice catch rates in that month. In KLN, where an inverse correlation between selner discard rate and total plalce catch was found $(R=-0.60, n=$ 14), discards and catch rates were also Inversely correlated $(R=-0.62, n=13$ ). The relationship between selner discard and catch rates for the two areas was different, however. Discards at the same catch rate in area $G$ were about half those in area KLN (FIg. 6).

Trawlers had higher discard rates than seiners except in area $M$, where data were sparse, and in KLN were silghtly higher than in G. There was no relationship between discard rate and catch rate in the case of trawlers.

Amalgamating discard data over gears and areas based on relative landings (Table 6) indicated that locally-based fleets discarded 40\% of catches in the southern area and $48 \%$ in the northern area for an overall rate of 45\% In 1976. Estlmated total discard by these fleets was about $6,100+$ (Table 6). Vessels using gears other than trawl or selne were assigned a zero discard rate for this calculation. As powles (1969) polnted out, plaice discarding is not a significant feature of gllinet and longline fisherles.

The unusually high participation of the large trawler floet in this fishery in 1976 was not anticipated in the discard sampling plan and no data were collected on their discard rates. Their catch was taken malnly in April and May, prlor to the discard study belng initiated. While culling practices on large trawlers fishing plalce may have been similar to those on locally-based vessels (see below), the size-structure of the population being fished may have been quite different from that fished by local trawlers later in the year. The greater size of fish in large trawler landings (Table 4) supports the vlew that the population fished had a different slze structure. Few fish less than 32 cm In length were landed by large trawlers while small vessels landed slgnificant quantities of fish in the 29-32 cm range. If it is assumed, nonetheless, that large trawler discard rates were the same as those for locally-based trawlers ( $54 \%$ ), this implles that a further $5,100+$ were discarded for an overall total in 1976 of about $11,200+(49 \%)$.

Discard rate by number: The sum of discards by number for Danish seiners and small otter trawlers was 29.4 million fish. These vessels landed 11.8 million flsh (Table 4) and thus discards represented $71 \%$ of the 41.2 mlll ion fish caught by these components (and $49 \%$ by welght Table 6). On the assumption that gears other than seine and otter trawl had no discards but the same size composition of landings as those by selne and otter trawl, the $45 \%$ discard by welght for all small vessels (Table 6) corresponds to 68\% by number ( 13.9 mllil ion landed - Table 4).

If large trawlers had the same discard characterlstics as small trawlers they would have discarded 24.4 million fish ( $81 \%$ ). Total discards in 1976 would have been 53.8 mllli ion flsh (73\%). As pointed out above, however, large trawlers may have fished aggregations of larger fish compared to those fished by small trawlers later in the year. Thus, this total discard rate is likely an overestimate.

There were 14 trips for which numbers kept and discarded could both be calculated; 9 from $G$, 3 from KLN, and 2 from M; 11 from selners and 3 from trawlers. For these trips, percentage discarded by number was strongly related to percentage discarded by welght (Fig. 7). On this curve, which was applicable to Danlish seiners and small otter trawlers, a discard rate by weight of 49\% corresponded to a discard rate by number of $74 \%$, close to the $71 \%$ estimated above for these vessels.

Characteristics of discarded fish: Mean length of discarded flish varled between 25 and 33 cm among trips. Mean welght varled between 150 and 370 gm . Primary modes in monthly length frequency distributions of dlscards lay between 26 and 30 cm ; mean lengths varled between 26 and 31 cm and mean welghts between 155 and 290 gm (Fig. 8). Mean lengths and weights of discards were highest in November-December for area G selners at $30-31 \mathrm{~cm}$ and 271-290 gm and area $M$ trawler discords were similar. Length and welght of discards increased over time for each gear in each area, except for trawlers in G. Danish seiner discards in $G$ were larger and heavier than In KLN, whereas area F discards tended to be intermediate. Area $M$ seiner discards were larger than those in other areas in the same month. Otter trawler discards were similar in length between G and KLN but heavler in the latter area, whereas those in $M$ were substantially bigger. The combined length composition of discards by both gears in all areas ranged between 11 and 40 cm , but primarily between 20 and 35 cm , with a mode at 28 cm . Mean length was 27.0 cm and mean weight was 207 gm .

A more detalled comparison of discard size composition between M and KLN can be made for selners. Both trips in M in October were, in fact, partlal trips. The remainder of each trip was fished in KLN with effort being substantial, and evenly distributed between areas (11-15 successful sets in each). The means for these trips were:

|  | Mean length (cm) |  |  | Mean welght (gm) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | KLN | M |  |  | KLN |
| TrIp 5 | 27.6 | 29.4 |  | 189 | 203 |
| TrIp 11 | 27.6 | 26.6 |  | 232 | 256 |
| Mean | 27.6 | 28.6 |  | 196 | 217 |

These data support the view that differences observed in discard characteristics between $M$ and adjacent areas, although not large, were real.

The sex ratio in discards was 61:39 males to females respectively. Length-frequencies for both sexes had modal lengths of 28 cm (Fig. 9) but mean length for males ( 26.9 cm ) was lower than for females ( 27.3 cm ).

Discarded fish ranged in age almost entirely between ages 3 and 12, with a modal age of six, In both sexes (Flg. 9). Males were on average silightly older ( 6.45 yrs ) than females ( 6.16 yrs), males being particularly predominant at ages 8 and older.

Discard rate by sex: Numbers discarded and landed (Table 4) by sex by Danish selners and small otter trowlers (in thousands) were as follows:

|  | Dlscarded | Landed | Caught | q Discarded |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Males | 18,012 | 2,355 | 20,367 | 88 |
| Females | 11,355 | 9,481 | 20,836 | 54 |
| Total | 29,367 | 11,836 | 41,203 | 71 |
| \% Males | 61 | 20 | 49 |  |

Thus, $88 \%$ of males were discarded, but only $54 \%$ of females. Interestingly, the sex ratio in the catch is almost 1:1.

Discard rate at length and age: The 14 trips used above for calculation of numbers caught and discarded also provided a basis for calculation of the percentage kept at each length. To minimize varlability resulting from small sample sizes of kept flsh, data were amalgamated by month within area and gear type. Desplte the small sample size of kept fish in most cases, plots showed a falrly smooth progression of Increase in retention over the $30-40 \mathrm{~cm}$ length range (Fig. 10). The $50 \%$ cull point could be estimated rellably for all but area $G$ trawlers. In that instance $50 \%$ points between 32 and 33 cm
were possible although 32 cm appeared the most
likely. Overall, estimates ranged from 31.5 to 35.5 cm (Table 7). There were insufficient data to determine whether there were consistent differences related to area, gear or month. There was no association between the $50 \%$ cull point and percentage of discards elther by number or welght. There was an indication, however, that $50 \%$ cull point and mean length of discards were correlated (assuming trawlers in $G$ had a 50 cull polnt of $32.0 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{R}=0.81$, p approx. 0.05 ). Mean length of discards would increase with increase in cull size when the same size composition of fish was beling fished, but percentage discard would also increase. There was no evidence of the latter. Thus, the results Indicated that cull size was higher when the proportion of small fish in the catch was low and vice versa. A composite ogive based on the sum of all discard and kept length-frequencles of plaice on all 14 trips sampled gave an estimated $50 \%$ cull point of 33.0 cm (Fig. 11).

Size compositions of landings estimated from shore samples were compared to slze compositions of discards for the same gears and areas to derlive other estimates of cull ogives. Length-frequencles of discards and landings were adjusted to represent the tonnages given in Table 6 prior to derivation of cull ogives. Unfortunately, shore samples from small otter trawlers were ilmited to KLN, restricting otter trawler comparisons to that area. The preponderance of samples were taken in the first part of the fishing season (Table 1), prior to discard sampling, which could have introduced blas in the cull ogives derived.

Cull ogives derived from these calculations gave estimated $50 \%$ cull points between 31.3 cm and 32.7 cm except that for Danish selners in M , which was 35.0 cm (Fig. 12). This latter curve was the most irregular in shape and may be the most unrellable, but the estimated $50 \%$ cull point for otter trawlers in this area was also 35.0 cm (Table 7). This could, therefore, have reflected a real difference in culling practice on vessels fishing in M. The $50 \%$ cull point for Danish seiners in KLN estimated by both this and the previous method were essentially identical at 31.5 cm , but that for selners in $G, 32.3 \mathrm{~cm}$, was lower using this method. This calculation provided the only estimates for seiners in F, which had a $50 \%$ cull point (at 32.7 cm ) simliar to that for selners in G. Trawlers In KLN had a $50 \%$ cull point (at 31.7 cm ) almost the same as that for seiners in the same area.

Comparing total discards at length for selners and small trawlers in all areas combined
with totals landed (Fig. 13) gave on overall 50\% cull point of 32.0 cm (plotted on Fig. 11 for comparison with previous estimate). This was in good agreement with the estimated $50 \%$ cull point of 33.0 cm based on the composite of 14 discard study trips. The later estimate was more heavily influenced by data from selners in area $G$, as no weighting by tonnages landed was involved in its derlvation. The cull ogive based on shore samples may, therefore, be the more accurate.

A simllar comparison of discards and landings by age for these vessels (FIg. 14) gave a $50 \%$ cull point of 8.5 yrs (FIg. 15) for sexes comblned. The cull ogive for males was less smooth than for females but it is clear that the $50 \%$ cull point was about 10.5 yrs, substantially higher than the 7.5 yrs for females (Fig. 15).

Other Discard Data Collected in the 1970s
Other 1976 data: Four Danish seiner trips which originated from northern New Brunswick ports were observed between 20 May and 1 July 1976. Area fished was not avallable but was most likely KLN. Discards by welght ranged from 44 to $56 \%$ with a mean of $49 \%$. Kept portions of catches were not sampled, thus discard percentage by number could not be calculated. Length-frequency of discards had a modal length of $27-28 \mathrm{~cm}$, a mean of 26.7 cm , and most discards lay within the range 20-32 cm . Mean discard welght was about 0.15 kg .

These late May and June data Indicated that discarding practices in the early part of the fishing season were similar to the later part, at least for Danlsh seiners in KLN. Length frequencles of KLN seiner discards in the two sampling periods May-July and August-October (Fig. 16) were similar. Mean length of discards was almost Identical at 26.7 and 26.9 cm respectively although mean welght was higher in August-October ( 0.20 kg ). Discard percentages by welght were more variable in August-October ( $9-72 \%$ ) and the mean was lower at $42 \%$ (Table 5). Cull ogives calculated for each perlod, using shore samples to obtain landings length frequencies for each perlod separately, were similar and the $50 \%$ cull points were almost identical at 31.5 and 31.3 cm for earlier and later periods respectively (FIg. 12).

Data collected in 1972-small trawler: A single trip of a northern New Brunswick otter trawler which fished in area KLN was sampled in October 1972. Estimated discards were 26 and $40 \%$ of total plalce catch by welght and number respectively. Discards ranged malnly between 24 and 32 cm , with a mode at 28 cm and a mean of $27.9 \mathrm{~cm}(\mathrm{Flg} .17)$. Estimated $50 \% \mathrm{cull}$ polnt was

30 cm (Fig. 17).
Length-frequency of kept fish was estimated from a shore sample subsequent to landing, but the sample welght was not obtalned. Nelther was the mean weight of discarded fish estimated. To scale the length-frequencles of sampled discards and landings to the estimated total weights discarded and kept during the trip, a length-weight relationship for Div. 4 T plaice obtalned in October 1959 (Kohler et al., 1970) was used to calculate mean weights of fish discarded and landed from the approprlate length trequencles. This allowed the calculations which gave the above results.

A comparison of these data with those from small trawlers in KLN in 1976 follows:

|  | Oct. 1972 | Oct-Nov 1976 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Discards by weight | $26 \%$ | $56 \%$ |
| Discards by number | $40 \%$ | $76 \%$ |
| Mean length in discards | 27.9 cm | 26.6 cm |
| Mean length in landings | 34.0 cm | 37.9 cm |
| $50 \%$ cull point | 30.0 cm | 31.7 cm |

Both the $50 \%$ cull point and mean length of landed fish were lower in 1972 than In 1976. If the population beling fished had the same size composition in the two years, the lower 50\% cull point in 1972 would result in lower discard rates and a smaller mean length of discards in that year. Discard rates in 1972 were lower, consistant with this expectation. Mean discard lengths were higher in 1972, however, suggesting that the lower discard rate was a result of relatively fewer small fish occurring in the catch, as well as of the lower cull point.

Data collected in 1972 - large trawler: Although there were no data on plaice discarding practices by large otter trawlers when fishing In Div. 4 T , one fishing trip was observed aboard a Nova Scotia-based vessel of this class which fished for plaice primarlly on Green Bank (Div. 30P) in November 1972. Percentages of plaice discarded by weight and numbers were only 4\% and 15\% respectively but the characteristics of discarded plaice were similar to those discarded by the small trawler sampled in Div. 4T in 1972. Discards were mainly composed of flsh of $23-33 \mathrm{~cm}$ with a modal length of 29 cm and a mean length of 28.2 cm . The estimated $50 \%$ cull point was 30.5 cm (Fig. 17) which was also simllar to that of the small trawler. Thus the large difference in the proportion of the catch discarded did not stem from a difference in discarding practice aboard the two vessels but from o difference in catch size-composition, that of the large trawler having a greater proportlon of large flish.

Data collected In 1979: Discard rates were observed on six trips in 1979, all in KLN. On one otter trawler trip in June, discard by weight amounted to $29 \%$ of plaice caught. In October a Danish selner also had a discard rate of $29 \%$ by welght. In July-August four trips, all aboard the same Danish selner, had discard rates of 28-35\%, averaging 32\%. The discarded and kept portions of the catches on these latter trips were sampled to obtain estimates of numbers discarded and the length-frequencles of each portion. Discards by number were $45-54 \%$ with a weighted average of $52 \%$. These ranged in length mainly between 22 and 35 cm , with a mode at 30 cm and a mean of 29.1 cm . Estimated $50 \%$ cull point was 33 cm (Fig. 17).

A comparison of these July-August data with those from Danish selners in KLN in 1976 follows:

|  | July - <br> Aug. 1979 | Aug. - <br> Oct. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Discards by welght | $32 \%$ | $42 \%$ |
| Discards by number | $52 \%$ | $65 \%$ |
| Mean length in discards | 29.1 cm | 26.9 cm |
| Mean length in landings | 37.3 cm | 36.4 cm |
| $50 \%$ cull point | 33.0 cm | 31.5 cm |

Both the $50 \%$ cull point and mean length of fish in landings were higher in 1979 than in 1976. The higher mean length of discarded fish in 1979 is also consistent with the higher cull polnt. The lower discard rate $\ln$ 1979, however, suggests that there were relatively fewer small fish in the 1979 catch compared to 1976, and the larger mean length of discards was likely a function of this as well as of the higher cull polint.

## Gear Selection

The proportion of the population at length which is caught by fishing gear is a function of mesh size used (in the case of otter trawls and selne nets) and of the size composition of the sector of the population fished, e.g. whether the fishery is prosecuted in areas where large or small fish concentrate. The calculation used here, which compared 1976 catch length composition with population length composition estimated from the 1976 survey, gave an estimate of overall gear (but not mesh) selection.

The gear selection ogive for the small vessel flshery (small otter trawlers and Danish selners combined) In 1976 (Fig. 1B) had a 50\% selection point of about 25 cm . (The actual data points suggested a slightly lower $50 \%$ point, but a smoothed ogive gave 25 cm .) The same
calculation for otter trawlers and Danlsh selners separately gave $50 \%$ selection points of about 24.5 cm and 25.5 cm (when smoothed) respectively (Fig. 18). The otter trawler ogive was steeper than that for seiners. As polnted out in Materials and Methods, the precise numbers obtalned depend on exactly how the catch and population curves are standardized. The results do indicate, however, that there was llkely a difference In gear selection between trawlers and selners.

## DISCUSSION

In his study of discarding by northern New Brunswick otter trawlers, Jean (1963) pointed out that the characteristics of discards varled as a function of mesh size, population size-structure, and culling practices aboard the vessels. The mesh selection ogive determines the proportion at each length, of fish avallable to the gear, which will be retalned as catch. The cull ogive imposed by the vessel crew determines the proportion of fish at each length in the catch which are retained for subsequent landing. Generally, the greater the proportion of the fished sizes in the population in the size range between selection and cull ogives, the greater the proportion of discarded catch.

Mesh size: Mesh size regulations were first Introduced into the southern Gulf of St. Lawrence groundfish fishery in 1957. The ICNAF regulation requiring member countries to introduce a 114 mm ( 4.5 in ) minimum codend mesh size for otter trawls in Subarea 4 (of which Div. 4 T is a part) came into force in November 1957, but Canadian domestic regulations (which provided the legal basis to enforce the ICNAF regulation on Canadian fishermen) became effective prior to that, in February 1957. This new mesh size was phased into use in the southern Gulf of St. Lawrence groundfish fishery during 1957 and 1958 and it was 1959 before all otter trawlers were equipped with it (Jean, 1963). This example demonstrates that the timing of practical implementation of a regulation does not necessarily correspond to the time when the regulation becomes a binding International obligation or becomes effective under Canadian low. Granting of "grace perlods" Is entirely at the discretion of DFO. The 1957 mesh regulations applled only when fishing for cod and haddock and not Amerlican plaice, but as cod was the primary groundfish fished in Div. $4 T$ by trawlers, all converted to the new mesh size.

Prior to the introduction of mesh size regulation, otter trawlers commonly used a mesh slze of about 75 mm (Jean, 1963). Nelther Jean (1963) nor Powles (1969) mention the mesh slze
used by Danish selners, which were just being Introduced into the southern Gulf fishery at the time trawlers became subject to mesh regulation, but probably it was similar to that used by otter trawlers prior to regulation. Jean (1960) notes a selner from Cheticamp using about 90 mm ( 3.5 (n) mesh in 1959. It was not untll December 1967 that the ICNAF regulations (October 1968 in the case of Canadian regulations) were extended to Include seine nets. These same amendments introduced American plalce as a regulated specles, and first specified differentials in mesh slzes dependent on construction material (or gear in the case of selnes). Whlle the standard mesh size remained 114 mm , trawl nets of cotton, hemp, polyamide and polyester could have meshes of 105 mm and selne nets could have 100 mm meshes. Powles (1969) records that all vessels (presumably he meant trawlers) had switched to 114 mm synthetic codends by 1960 , so the introduction of differentials may have allowed for some reduction in mesh size depending on the synthetic used.

A further amendment to the ICNAF regulations which increased the minimum mesh size to 130 mm manlla equivalent (selne nets - 110 mm , trawls of cotton, hemp, polyamide and polyester - 120 mm ) became effective in January 1974 (February 1974 In the case of Canadian regulations). Canadian regulations were changed again in September 1981 to elliminate differentials, and this effected an increase in minimum mesh size for selne nets from 110 to 130 mm , as well as standardizing all trawl nets at 130 mm .

It has not been possible to locate records describing the practical implementation (enforcement) of mesh size changes, or data on mesh size in use, subsequent to the initial Imposition of mesh regulations described by Jean (1963). During the perlod of the present study (1972-79), selne nets should have had a minimum mesh slze of 100 mm through 1973 and 110 mm from 1974, and otter trawlers should have had 114 mm and 130 mm (manila equivalent) codends respectively for these periods. The otter trawler sampled in 1972 was recorded as using a polyamide codend of 114 mm . In 1976, data were not collected on mesh size used on observed vessels but the nets of 8 vessels ( 4 selners and 4 trawlers) measured at Caraquet wharf by MacLaren observers had average codend mesh sizes of 112 mm for selners and 131 mm for trawlers. No records of mesh size were taken with the 1979 discard samples. These scant records Indicate that mesh sizes in use approximated the legal minlmum.

Gear selection ogives for 1976 (Fig. 18) indicate $50 \%$ selection occurred at 24.5 cm for
otter trawlers and 25.5 cm for Danish selners. According to Clay's (1979) general mesh selection pattern for American plalce, a regulation otter trawl mesh size of 120 mm (synthetlc) corresponds to a $50 \%$ mesh selection length of 27.5 cm , higher than that observed. The observed values correspond closely to what might be expected if 105 mm (synthetic) mesh for otter trawls were stlll in effect ( 1.0 .24 .0 cm ), as would be the case if the grace period for the introduction of the 1974 changes extended through 1976. In any case, a comparison such as this cannot be conclusive as the behaviour of the vessels, as well as the mesh size, affects gear selection as calculated here. Vessels fishing malniy in areas with high proportions of small plalce (l.e. plaice within the mesh selection range) would have given a lower estimated $50 \%$ gear selection length than would vessels concentrating in areas where plalce were larger, even if the same mesh size was used. In 1976, catch compositions of observed otter trawlers indicate that cod was an important, and sometimes the primary, species caught whereas for selners plalce predominated. It can be speculated that plalce caught largely Incidentally to cod were smaller than those toward which seiners directed thelr effort.

Comparison between observed gear selection and expected selections based on mesh slze is compllcated by the lack of knowledge concerning Danish selne mesh selection for American plaice. The original basis for a selne net differential was unsound (McCracken, unpublished). There Is, therefore, no basis to expect that a Danlsh selner and an otter trawler fishing with, say, 110 mm and 130 mm mesh nets respectively, but otherwise identically, would exhlbit the same selection at length.

Culling practices: Jean (1963) provided no data on culling practices at length, and Powles (1969) provided some only indirectly. Powles concluded that all fish 31 cm and less were discarded and all fish 36 cm and larger were kept (except by otter trawlers in area $N$ where the latter value was 34 cm ). To calculate discard rates, Powles (1969, figure 14) approximated the left-hand I imb of the length-frequency of kept fish by a stralght line joining these extreme values. (This implles a varlably shaped cull oglve depending on the length-frequency of the catch in the cull range.) Interpreting Powles' (1969) figure 14 by eye indicates $50 \%$ cull points in the range $33-35 \mathrm{~cm}$ in 1959-61. These can be considered only rough approximations, however.

1 McCracken, F.D. MS 1977. Minlmum mesh size review. Unpublished contractor's report to the Department of Fisherles and Oceans, Canada.

In 1976, culling practice was more closely related to area fished than gear used. Estimates of $50 \% \mathrm{cull}$ polnt for vessels flshing KLN were between 31 and 32 cm Irrespective of gear, whereas estimates for other areas were between 32 and 35.5 cm . Area $M$ estimates were among the highest at 35 cm both for otter trawlers and seiners. The 1972 estimate for the trawler in KLN of 30 cm and the 1979 estimate for the Danish seiner in this same area of 33 cm suggest an increase in the $50 \%$ cull point through the 1970s, but the 1972 data were scant. The 1979 data, although more voluminous than those for 1972, were derived from only one vessel. It is clear, however, that Powles' (1969) data, no matter how . his figure 14 is interpreted, must glve a $50 \%$ cull point higher than those of 1972 and 1976. Furthermore, the 1976 data indicate that estimated $50 \%$ cull point can vary by as much as 2.5 cm between months for the same area and gear type (Table 7). It must be concluded, therefore, that there are insufficlent data to establish temporal trends in culling practice. The apparent assoclation between culling practice and area fished was probably a reflection of differences in acceptabllity of small fish at different ports, as vessels tended to fish in areas adjacent to their port of landing.

Discard rates: Jean (1963) found that, despite the increase in otter trawl mesh size required by regulation in 1957-59, plalce discards by small otter trawlers actually increased between 1956 and 1961. He attributed this to an increased abundance of small fish which masked the changes resulting from mesh slze Increase. Jean's (1963) estimates of percentage discard by welght based on observers' logbook estimates increased from about 16\% in 1956-58 to about $50 \%$ by 1961. However, estimates for 1957-59 based on other methods were higher (34-64\%). Jean attributes the difference to underestimation on the part of observers, who obtained their estimates In consultation with vessel captains. This is a plausible explanation, as he compared estimated discards with actual weighouts of landings. Thus, only discard weights were subject to the tendency of captains to underestimate (F|g. 2). Jean's higher estimates were likely the more accurate, therefore. Powles (1969) estimated discards of $31-57 \%$ by weight and $54-85 \%$ by number for small otter trawlers in 1959-61, but only 15-21\% by welght and 43-49\% by number for Danish seiners. The hlghest value, $57 \%$ by welght, was for otter trawlers in area $N$ and the lowest, 15\% for selners in area G in November. McCracken (1964) reported that, in summer 1963, two trawlers from New Brunswlck and Quebec discarded $25 \%$ by weight ( $50-53 \%$ by number) of thelr plalce catch. They probably fished KLN,
but this is not stated. A Danish seiner from Cheticamp (probably fishing area G) discarded 15\% by weight ( $32 \%$ by number). In summer 1964 (McCracken et al., 1965), two small trawlers fishing KLN discarded only $4 \%$ and $8 \%$ of their catches by welght (15-28\% by number).

Discards from one small trawler flshing in KLN in 1972, were $26 \%$ by weight and $40 \%$ by number, comparable to trawlers in 1963 (McCracken, 1964). By 1976, small otter trawlers were discarding 54\% of their plaice catch In Div. 4 T by welght ( $76 \%$ by number), while Danish selners were discarding 43\% by weight ( $66 \%$ by number). By 1979, discard rates had decllined again, with two seiners and a trawler having discards of about $30 \%$ by welght ( $52 \%$ by number for seiners).

While the data are scant, there appears to have been a substantial decline in quantities discarded in the mid-1960s which can be attributed to a decline in the proportion of small fish in the population, as there is no evidence of substantial changes in mesh or cull sizes. Discard rates were moderate in 1972 but increased by 1976. This increase corresponded to an increased stock slze which peaked in 1976-77. The 1970 year-class and several subsequent ones were substantlally stronger than those which preceded them (Metuzals, 1985). These year-classes made thelr greatest contribution to discards in 1976-77, In the age range 5 to 7 (FIg. 14). By 1979, they had nearly passed through the discard age groups. Thus, the size structure of the fished population had a more discernable effect on quantities discarded than elther gear selection or culling practices.

Discard rotes of small otter trawlers and Danish seiners differed by about $10 \%$ in 1976, trawlers discarding more ( $54 \%$ by welght, $76 \%$ by number) than seiners ( $43 \%$ by welght, $66 \%$ by number). This conflrms Powles' (1969) tentative conclusions. Danlsh seiner discards tended to be longer and heavier than those of otter trawlers (Flg. 8). To calculate the potential Impact of the apparently slight differences in gear selection between the two vessel categories, the 1976 research vessel estimate of population length composition was adjusted by the respective gear selection ogives for otter trawlers and Danish selners to generate catch
length-frequencies for each vessel category. These catch length-frequencies were then adjusted by the same cull ogive (based on shore samples Fig. 11) to obtain frequencles for discards and landings. The characteristics of discards for the two gears were:

|  | Otter <br> Trawlers | Danlsh |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Selners |  |
| Discards by welght | $52 \%$ | $47 \%$ |
| Discards by number | $74 \%$ | $68 \%$ |
| Mean welght of discards | 181 gm | 195 gm |
| Mean length of discards | 26.9 cm | 27.6 cm |

Although cull ogives did not differ between gears In the same area (and thus the same ogive was used for both In the above calculation), they did differ between areas. Most fishing by Danlsh seiners occurred in area GHJ, where the $50 \%$ cull point was higher than in KLN where most otter trawler fishing occurred. Therefore, the overall cull ogive for seiners in Div. 4T would be expected to be higher than that for trawlers. This would reduce the differences in quantities discarded between the two gears as calculated above, but increase the differences in means of length and welght of discards. Even as calculated, the differences In quantities discarded are only 5-6\% rather than the 10\% observed from empirical data. Thus the full difference in discards has not been accounted for by the calculated difference in gear selection. It must be kept in mind, however, that the calculations of gear selection are only likely to give crude approximations, and a difference in gear selection remalins the most likely explanation of the differences between trawler and selner discards.

The 1976 discard study apparently colncided with the peak of discarding problems in the Div. 4T American plalce fishery in recent years. A similar cycle appears to have occurred almost 20 yrs earlier and was observed at its peak by Jean (1963) and Powles (1969). The declining trend in plalce abundance, combined with the increase in effective mesh size to 130 mm Irrespective of materlals) in the early 1980s, should have decreased discard rates substantially. The $50 \%$ selection length for 130 mm mesh is about 30 cm for plaice, stlll below the $50 \%$ cull point of 32 cm observed for 1976, so complete elimination of discards could not be expected. Most recent data conflict with expectations, however. Cliche and Côté (1984) report that discards of Quebec trawlers fishing area KLN in 1980 and 1981 were $25 \%$ and $40 \%$ by welght respectively, but these obremystions were made before the most recent mesh five Increase. However, Choulnard and Metuzais (1985) report discard rates of $40 \%$ by weight for various areas in Div. 4 T in 1984. This appears to have resulted from a change in culling practlces: the $50 \%$ cull point in 1984 calculated by Choulnard and Metuzals was 34 cm . Also the data of Cliche and Côté indicate a $50 \%$
cull point of about 34 cm in 1980 and 1981. Thus, the suggestion in the 1970s data that there was an Increase in the $50 \%$ cull polnt may, in fact, reflect a real temporal trend. Alternatively, this early 1980's increase in cull size may reflect a tendency for cull size to vary inversely with the proportion of small fish in the catch, as observed in 1976 data.

Comparisons with discard rates in other
fisherles: Discard rates in the Div. 4 T American plalce fishery in the 1970s and early 1980s, which apparently ranged between 25 and $50 \%$ by weight, were higher than in other northwestern Atlantic directed plaice fisheries. Belzile (1978) reported plalce discards of 9\% by welght from a large Nova Scotla-based otter trawler fishing plaice in Div. 4 V in 1978, and a discard rate of 4\% was reported above for a large Nova Scotia trawler fishing for plaice in Div. 30 P in 1972. Newfoundland-based large trawlers have been reported upon more extensively. in the relatively small plaice fishery in Subarea 2 and Div. 3K, discards by weight in 1981-85 were 1-45\% (Kulka, 1986), but the highest value was greatly influenced by discards in the cod-directed fishery. In Subdiv. 3Ps, discards were 2-13\% in these same years. In the large Grand Bank (Div. 3LNO) fishery discards were $4-6 \%$ in 1981-85, but Stevenson (1983) reported higher discards by weight of $8-14 \%$ for 1978-82 (20-31\% by number). These differences in discard rates between Div. $4 T$ and other areas did not result from differences in culling practices (Stevenson, 1983). The possibility cannot be discounted that they result from differences in distribution, or other aspects of the biology, of plaice among areas. However, the explanation is more likely to lie in differences in moblility and economics of small-vessel and large-vessel fleets, which predominated in the plaice fisherles of Div. 4T and of the outer banks respectively.

Unlike the Southern Gulf of St. Lawrence, the outer shelf has supported groundfish fisherles in which plaice was an incidental, and often unwanted, catch. The traditional fisherles of France, Portugal, and Spain kept only cod, and whlle the quantitles of plaice discarded are not known, it is thought that they were sufficient to have a major adverse impact on directed-fishery ylelds (Pitt, 1975). These cod fisherles have been greatly curtalied since extension of jurisdiction in 1977. Canadian trawlers prosecuting gadold fisherles have also discarded most or all of the plaice caught, but the absolute amounts have been negilgible in observed cases (unpublished data for two trawlers fishing Div. $4 W X$ and $5 Z$ in 1972; Belzile (1978) for two
trawlers in the same areas in 1978; Kulka (1986) for varlous trawlers in Subareas 2 and 3 in 1981-85).
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Table 1. Summary of commerclal samples of American plalce landings by unit areas of Div. 4T and gear type in 1976 and assoclated landings (t). Number of samples is followed by number of fish measured/ number of otoliths read, In parentheses. (Two additional samples, one from a longliner fishling in $F$ and one from a selner fishing in an unknown unlt area, not used.)


Table 2. Landings (t) of Div. 4 T American plalce, 1970-79.

| Year | Recorded as: |  | Estimated Total | Caught by: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Amerlcan } \\ \text { plalce } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Flatflish } \\ \text { not specifled } \end{gathered}$ |  | otter trawlers TC4 + | Other vessels |
| 1970 | 7582 | 2094 | 9676 | 915 | 8761 |
| 1971 | 7627 | 2472 | 10099 | 1017 | 9082 |
| 1972 | 8294 | 1081 | 9374 | 1502 | 7872 |
| 1973 | 6905 | 1249 | 8154 | 864 | 7290 |
| 1974 | 8485 | 542 | 9027 | 837 | 8190 |
| 1975 | 8443 | 2218 | 10661 | 720 | 9941 |
| 1976 | 11193 | 601 | 11794 | 4366 | 7428 |
| 1977 | 9230 | 1047 | 10277 | 67 | 10210 |
| 1978 | 9031 | 688 | 9719 | 58 | 9661 |
| 1979 | 9996 | 757 | 10753 | 376 | 10377 |

$190 \%$ of $n$ flatfish - not specified" landings assumed to be plaice.

Table 3. Monthly distribution of Div. 4 T American plaice landings (t) in 1976 by large otter trawlers and other vessels. (Landings include $90 \%$ of nflatfish - not specifled" landings.)

|  | Large Trawlers <br> $($ TC 4 | Other <br> Vessels | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Month | 10 | 3 | 13 |
| January | 4 | 5 | 9 |
| February | 12 | 4 | 16 |
| March | 1998 | 111 | 2109 |
| April | 2246 | 1261 | 3507 |
| May | 5 | 1642 | 1647 |
| June | - | 1146 | 1146 |
| July | - | 1120 | 1120 |
| August | - | 1157 | 1157 |
| September | 15 | 606 | 621 |
| October | 64 | 302 | 366 |
| November | 12 | 69 | 81 |
| December |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Table 4. Characterlstics of landings of American plaice from Div. 4T in 1976 by gear/vessel type.

| Gear/Vessel Type | Numbers Landed ( $\times 10^{-3}$ ) |  |  | Welght Landed |  | ( + ) | Mean | Welght (kg) |  | Mean Length ( cm ) |  |  | Meon Age (yrs) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Males | Females | Total | Males | Females | Total | Males | Females | Total | Males | Females | Total | Males | Females | Total |
| Danlsh selners | 1568 | 5002 | 6570 | 515 | 2869 | 3384 | 0.33 | 0.57 | 0.51 | 33.0 | 37.8 | 36.7 | 9.0 | 10.3 | 10.0 |
| Otter trawlers (TC 1-3) | 787 | 4479 | 5266 | 266 | 2688 | 2954 | 0.34 | 0.60 | 0.56 | 33.5 | 38.7 | 37.9 | 9.9 | 11.1 | 10.9 |
| All small vessels ${ }^{1}$ | 2769 | 11146 | 13915 | 918 | 6533 | 7451 | 0.33 | 0.58 | 0.53 | 33.2 | 38.2 | 37.2 | 9.3 | 10.7 | 10.4 |
| Otter trawlers (TC 4+) | 1683 | 4119 | 5802 | 741 | 3625 | 4366 | 0.44 | 0.88 | 0.75 | 36.4 | 43.4 | 41.4 | 11.0 | 13.6 | 12.9 |
| All vessels | 4452 | 15265 | 19717 | 1659 | 10158 | 11817 | 0.37 | 0.66 | 0.59 | 34.4 | 39.6 | 38.4 | 10.0 | 11.5 | 11.1 |

[^0]Table 5. Percentage discard (by weight) of American plalce in Div. 4 T by gear, area, and month in 1976. Number of trips observed is in parenthesis ( $D S=$ Danlsh selne, $O T=$ otter trawl).

| Month | Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | All Areas |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | G |  | KLN |  | M |  | F |  |  |  |  |
|  | DS | OT | DS | OT | DS | OT | DS | OT | DS | OT | Combined |
| Aug. | 34(1) | - | 72 (2) | - | - | - | 26(2) | - | 37 | - | 37 |
| Sept. | - | $51(4)$ | 34(7) | - | - | 50(2) | 51 (2) | - | 35 | 50 | 38 |
| Oct. | 49(3) | 37(2) | 59(5) | $41(3)$ | 62(2) | - | - | - | 58 | 39 | 56 |
| Nov. | 15(5) | - | - | 57(5) | - | - | - | - | 15 | 57 | 34 |
| Dec. | 43(1) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 43 | - | 43 |
| All | 23 | 47 | 42 | 56 | 62 | 50 | 38 | - | 39 | 53 | 42 |
| All mo. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| combined | 27 |  | 45 |  | 57 |  | 38 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 6. Estimated total discards (tand of catch) of American plalce in Div. $4 \mathrm{~T} \ln 1976$ ( $\mathrm{DS}=\mathrm{Danish}$ selne, $\mathrm{OT}=$ otter trawl).

| Vessel |  |  | Discards |  | Catch (t) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area | Category | Landings (t) | $\pm$ | 1 |  |
| Southern |  |  |  |  |  |
| GHJ | DS (excl. Nov.) | 1578 | 1399 | 47 | 2977 |
|  | DS (Nov.) | 180 | 32 | 15 | 212 |
| F | DS | 629 | 386 | 38 | 1015 |
| FGHJ | OT (TC 1-3) | 562 | 498 | 47 | 1060 |
|  | Other | 467 | - | - | 467 |
|  | Subtotal | 3416 | 2315 | 40 | 5731 |
| Northern |  |  |  |  |  |
| KLNOQ | DS | 971 | 703 | 42 | 1674 |
|  | OT (TC 1-3) | 2278 | 2899 | 56 | 5177 |
| M | DS | 26 | 42 | 62 | 68 |
|  | OT (TC 1-3) | 114 | 114 | 50 | 228 |
| MKLNOQ | Other | 646 | - | - | 646 |
|  | Subtotal | 4035 | 3758 | 48 | 7793 |
| 4 T | All small vessels | 7451 | 6073 | 45 | 13524 |
|  | OT (TC 4+) | 4366 | 5125 | $54^{1}$ | 9491 |
|  | All vessels | 11817 | 11198 | 49 | 23015 |

1 overall discard rate for OT (TC 1-3) vessels.

Table 7. Estimated $50 \%$ cull polnts, discard mean length and percentage of catch by number and welght, by area, gear, and month, for American plaice In Div. 4 T in 1976, with summary statistics on sample sizes, for trips on which both discarded and kept portlons of catch were sampled.

| Area | Gear | Month | No. of Trips Sampled | No. Measured from Catch |  | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \% \text { Cull } \\ & \text { Point } \end{aligned}$ | DIscords |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Mean |  | \% by |
|  |  |  |  | Discarded | Kept |  | Length cm | Number | Welght |
| G | DS | Oct. | 2 | 1441 | 63 |  | 34.0 | 27.7 | 78 | 50 |
|  |  | Nov. | 5 | 1836 | 164 | 33.0 | 30.1 | 31 | 15 |
|  |  | Dec. | 1 | 50 | 31 | 35.5 | 31.0 | 65 | 43 |
|  | OT | Sept. | 1 | 438 | 39 | 32-33 | 26.8 | 79 | 65 |
| KLN | DS | Sept. | 3 | 5186 | 95 | 31.5 | 27.0 | 54 | 30 |
| M | OT | Sept. | 2 | 873 | 73 | 35.0 | 30.5 | 72 | 50 |
| AII | All | All | 14 | 9824 | 465 | 33.0 |  |  |  |



Fig. 1. The Southern Gulf of St. Lawrence, NAFO Div. 4T: A. place names in the Magdalen Shallows area mentioned in the text and approximation of Powles' (1965) dividing line between American plaice groups and B. Canadian statistical unit areas in Div. 4 T . (Unit area $Q$ north of Gaspe not shown.)


Fig. 2. Relationship between captians' estimates of kept American plaice and plant weighouts of landed plaice by trip. (x - points not used in regression, see text. Regression line dashed, proportional line solid.)


Fig. 3. Length and age compositions of American plaice landings from Div. 4 T in 1976 by sex.


Fig. 4. Percentage frequencies by length and age of the American plaice population in strata 15-29 and 31-39 of Div. 4 T estimated from a stratified-random research vessel survey conducted in September 1976.


Fig. 5. Mean length at age of male and female American plaice in strata 15-29 and 31-39 of Div. 4 T based on data from a stratified-random research vessel survey conducted in September 1976.


Fig. 6. Percentage discard by weight of American plaice in relation to catch rate of plaice, for Danish seiners in areas NLK and G. (Catch rates are based on estimated plaice catch before discards.)


Fig. 7. Relationship between weight and numbers of American plaice discarded per trip in Div. 4 T in 1976. (Curve is fitted by eye.)


Fig. 8a. Length-frequencies of discards of American plaice in Div. 4 T in 1976 by area, gear and month. ( $\overline{\mathrm{L}}=$ mean length, $\overline{\mathrm{W}}=$ mean weight, $n=$ number of trips sampled.) - AREA $G$.


Fig. 8b. Length-frequencies of discards of American plaice in Div. 4 T in 1976 by area, gear and month. ( $\bar{L}=$ mean length, $\bar{W}=$ mean weight, $n=$ number of trips sampled.) - AREA KLN.


Fig. 8c. Length-frequencies of discards of American plaice in Div. 4 T in 1976 by area, gear and month. ( $\overline{\mathrm{L}}=$ mean length, $\overline{\mathrm{W}}=$ mean weight, $\mathrm{n}=$ number of trips sampled.) - AREAS M AND F.


Fig. 9. Length and age compositions by sex of American plaice discards by Danish seiners and small otter trawlers combined in Div. 4 T in 1976.


Fig. 10. Ogives of percentage at length of American plaice kept from catches in Div. 4 T in 1976, by area, gear and month, based on sea samples of kept fish.


Fig. 11. Composite ogives of percentage at length of American plaice kept from catches in Div. 4 T in 1976 based on sea samples of kept fish and on landings size compositions based on shore samples.


Fig. 12. Ogives of percentage at length of American plaice kept from catches in Div. 4 T in 1976 by area and gear, based on shore samples of landed fish. (* Data not part of MacLaren Atlantic Ltd. study see text.)


Fig. 13. Length compositions of American plaice catch, and of discarded and landed portions, by Danish seiners and small otter trawlers combined in Div. 4 T in 1976.


Fig. 14. Age compositions by sex of American plaice discards and landings by Danish seiners and small otter trawlers combined in Div. 4 T in 1976.


Fif. 15. Ogives of percentqre at arse of American plaice kept from catches by Danish seiners and small otter trawlers combined in Div. 4 T in 1976 , by sex and for sexes combined.


Fi.g. 16. Percentage length-frequency distributions of discards of American plaice by Danish seiners in May-July and AugustOctober, 1976, in area KLN of Div. 4 T .


Fig. 17. Length-frequencies of discards and landings as percentage of total catch (left side), and cull ogives as percentage at length retained for landing (right side), for a large and a small trawler in 1972 fishing in Div. 30P and Div. 4 T respectively, and for a Danish seiner fishing in Div. 4 T in 1979.


Fig. 18. Gear selection curves for American plaice in Div. 4 T , 1976. A. for small otter trawlers and Danish seiners combined, B. for small otter trawlers and C. for Danish seiners, based on comparisons of commercial catch and research vessel survey population compositions. Dashed line illustrates assumptions of full recruitment of 27 cm in $A$ and $B$, and at 30 cm in $C$.


[^0]:    1 All vessels less than 150 grt - numbers and welghts landed adjusted by 1.176 to account for landings by gears other than Danish selne and otter trawl.

