

Proposed Registration Document

PRD2014-12

Halauxifen-Methyl

(publié aussi en français)

2 May 2014

This document is published by the Health Canada Pest Management Regulatory Agency. For further information, please contact:

Publications Pest Management Regulatory Agency Health Canada 2720 Riverside Drive A.L. 6604-E2 Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0K9 Internet: pmra.publications@hc-sc.gc.ca healthcanada.gc.ca/pmra Facsimile: 613-736-3758 Information Service: 1-800-267-6315 or 613-736-3799 pmra.infoserv@hc-sc.gc.ca



ISSN: 1925-0878 (print) 1925-0886 (online)

Catalogue number: H113-9/2014-12E (print version) H113-9/2014-12E-PDF (PDF version)

© Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada, represented by the Minister of Health Canada, 2014

All rights reserved. No part of this information (publication or product) may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, or stored in a retrieval system, without prior written permission of the Minister of Public Works and Government Services Canada, Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0S5.

Table of Contents

Overview	1
Proposed Registration Decision for Halauxifen-Methyl	1
What Does Health Canada Consider When Making a Registration Decision?	1
What Is Halauxifen-Methyl?	2
Health Considerations	2
Environmental Considerations	5
Value Considerations	6
Measures to Minimize Risk	6
Next Steps	
Other Information	
Science Evaluation	9
Halauxifen-Methyl	9
1.0 The Active Ingredient, Its Properties and Uses	
1.1 Identity of the Active Ingredient	
1.2 Physical and Chemical Properties of the Active Ingredients and End-Use Product	
1.3 Directions for Use	
1.3.1 GF-2685 Herbicide	
1.3.2 Paradigm Herbicide	
1.3.3 Pixxaro A Herbicide	
1.4 Mode of Action	
2.0 Methods of Analysis	
2.1 Methods for Analysis of the Active Ingredient	
2.2 Method for Formulation Analysis	
2.3 Methods for Residue Analysis	
3.0 Impact on Human and Animal Health	
3.1 Toxicology Summary	
3.1.1 PCPA Hazard Characterization	
3.2 Determination of Acute Reference Dose	
3.3 Determination of Acceptable Daily Intake	. 22
3.4 Occupational and Residential Risk Assessment	
3.4.1 Toxicological Endpoints	
3.4.2 Occupational Exposure and Risk	
3.4.3 Residential Exposure and Risk Assessment	
3.5 Food Residues Exposure Assessment	
3.5.1 Exposure from Drinking Water	
3.5.2 Residues in Plant and Animal Foodstuffs	
3.5.3 Dietary Risk Assessment	
3.5.4 Aggregate Exposure and Risk	
3.5.5 Maximum Residue Limits	
4.0 Impact on the Environment	
4.1 Fate and Behaviour in the Environment	
4.2 Environmental Risk Characterization	
4.2.1 Risks to Terrestrial Organisms	
4.2.2 Risks to Aquatic Organisms	. 32

5.0 Value)	35
5.1 Eff	ectiveness Against Pests	35
5.1.1	Acceptable Efficacy Claims	35
5.1.2	Herbicide Tank Mix Combinations	36
5.1.3	Rainfastness	37
5.2 Not	n-Safety Adverse Effects	37
5.2.1	GF-2685 Herbicide	
5.2.2	Paradigm Herbicide	38
5.2.3	Pixxaro A Herbicide	38
5.2.4	Impact on succeeding Crops	39
5.3 Coi	nsideration of Benefits	
5.3.1	Social and Economic Impact	39
5.3.2	Survey of Alternatives	
5.3.3	Compatibility with Current Management Practices Including Integrated	
	Pest Management	40
5.3.4	Information on the Occurrence or Possible Occurrence of the Development	
	of Resistance	41
6.0 Pest C	Control Product Policy Considerations	41
	kic Substances Management Policy Considerations	
	mulants and Contaminants of Health or Environmental Concern	
7.0 Summ	nary	42
	man Health and Safety	
7.2 Env	vironmental Risk	43
7.3 Val	ue	44
8.0 Propo	sed Regulatory Decision	44
List of Abbr	eviations	45
Appendix I	Tables and Figures	49
Table 1a	Residue Analysis – Environmental Matrices	49
Table 1b	Residue Analysis – Plant and Animal Matrices	49
Table 2	Toxicity Profile of End-Use Product(s) Containing Halauxifen-Methyl	50
Table 3	Toxicity Profile of Halauxifen-Methyl Technical and Halauxifen Acid	51
Table 4	Toxicity Profile of a Desmethyl Metabolite, X11449757, of Halauxifen-	
	Methyl Technical	69
Table 5	Toxicology Endpoints for Use in Health Risk Assessment for Halauxifen-Met	hyl69
Table 6	PHED Unit Exposure Estimates for Mixer/Loader and Applicators while	
	Handling GF-2685 Herbicide, Paradigm Herbicide and Pixxaro A Herbicide	
	Wearing a Single Layer (and Chemical-Resistant Gloves when Mixing/Loading)	ıg) 70
Table 7	Mixer/Loader/Applicator Risk Assessment for GF-2685 Herbicide,	
	Paradigm Herbicide and Pixxaro A Herbicide for Workers Wearing a Single	
	Layer and Chemical-Resistant Gloves (gloves not required for application)	
Table 8	Postapplication Exposure and Risk Estimates for Re-Entry Workers	71
Table 9	Major Groundwater and Surface Water Model Inputs for Level 1 Assessment	
	of Halauxifen-Methyl Residues (Halauxifen-Methyl and Transformation	
	Products XDE-729 Acid (X11393729) and X11449757) in Drinking	
	Water Sources	72

Table 10	Level 1 Estimated Environmental Concentrations of Combined Residues	
	of Halauxifen-Methyl in Potential Drinking Water Sources	72
Table 11a	Integrated Food Residue Chemistry Summary	
Table 11b	Integrated Food Residue Chemistry Summary	
Table 11c	Integrated Food Residue Chemistry Summary	
Table 11d	Integrated Food Residue Chemistry Summary	79
Table 11e	Integrated Food Residue Chemistry Summary	
Table 11f	Integrated Food Residue Chemistry Summary	81
Table 12	Food Residue Chemistry Overview of Metabolism Studies and Risk Assessmen	nt 81
Table 13	Fate and Behaviour of Halauxifen-methyl and Transformation Products	
	in the Environment.	82
Table 14	Toxicity of Halauxifen-methyl and Major Transformation Products to	
	Non-Target Terrestrial Species	87
Table 15	Screening Level and Refined Risk Assessment of Halauxifen-methyl for	
	Non-Target Species, Other than Birds and Mammals	91
Table 16	Screening Level Risk Assessment of Halauxifen-Methyl for Birds and	
	Mammals	92
Table 17	Screening Level and Refined Risk Assessment of Halauxifen-Methyl	
	Transformation Products for Terrestrial Species	92
Table 18	Toxicity of Halauxifen-Methyl, Major Transformation Products and	
	Halauxifen-Methyl formulations to Non-Target Aquatic Species	93
Table 19	Screening Level Risk Assessment of Halauxifen-Methyl for Aquatic Species	97
Table 20	Screening Level Risk Assessment of Halauxifen-Methyl Transformation	
	Products for Aquatic Species	98
Table 21	Screening Level Risk Assessment of GF-2687, a Formulation Containing	
	Halauxifen-Methyl and Florasulam, for Aquatic Species	99
Table 22	Risk Quotients for Aquatic Organisms Determined for Drift of Halauxifen-	
	Methyl, its Transformation Products and the Halauxifen-Methyl and	
	Florasulam Formulation, GF-2687	99
Table 23	Risk quotients for Aquatic Organisms Determined for Runoff of Halauxifen-	
	Methyl and Transformation Products in Water Bodies 80 Deep	99
Table 24a	Use Claims That Are Supported for GF-2685 Herbicide	. 100
Table 24b	Use Claims That Are Supported for Paradigm Herbicide	. 101
Table 24c	Use Claims That Are Supported for Pixxaro A Herbicide	
Table 25	Toxic Substances Management Policy Considerations-Comparison to	
	TSMP Track 1 Criteria.	. 104
Appendix II	Supplemental Maximum Residue Limit Information—International	
	Situation and Trade Implications	. 105
References	-	. 107

Overview

Proposed Registration Decision for Halauxifen-Methyl

Health Canada's Pest Management Regulatory Agency (PMRA), under the authority of the *Pest Control Products Act* and Regulations, is proposing full registration for the sale and use of XDE-729 Methyl Technical Herbicide and its end-use products, GF-2685 Herbicide, Paradigm Herbicide and Pixxaro A Herbicide, containing the technical grade active ingredient halauxifen, present as methyl ester, for control of annual broadleaf weeds in cereal crops (spring wheat, winter wheat, durum wheat and spring barley). Halauxifen, present as methyl ester, is referred to as halauxifen-methyl in this consultation document.

GF-2685 Herbicide is formulated with halauxifen-methyl and the safener, cloquintocet-mexyl. Paradigm Herbicide contains halauxifen-methyl and florasulam. Pixxaro A Herbicide is formulated with halauxifen-methyl, fluroxypyr and the safener cloquintocet-mexyl.

An evaluation of available scientific information found that, under the approved conditions of use, the product has value and does not present an unacceptable risk to human health or the environment.

This Overview describes the key points of the evaluation, while the Science Evaluation provides detailed technical information on the human health, environmental and value assessments of XDE-729 Methyl Technical Herbicide and its end-use products, GF-2685 Herbicide, Paradigm Herbicide and Pixxaro A Herbicide.

What Does Health Canada Consider When Making a Registration Decision?

The key objective of the *Pest Control Products Act* is to prevent unacceptable risks to people and the environment from the use of pest control products. Health or environmental risk is considered acceptable¹ if there is reasonable certainty that no harm to human health, future generations or the environment will result from use or exposure to the product under its proposed conditions of registration. The Act also requires that products have value² when used according to the label directions. Conditions of registration may include special precautionary measures on the product label to further reduce risk.

To reach its decisions, the PMRA applies modern, rigorous risk-assessment methods and policies. These methods consider the unique characteristics of sensitive subpopulations in humans (for example, children) as well as organisms in the environment (for example, those

¹ "Acceptable risks" as defined by subsection 2(2) of the *Pest Control Products Act*.

² "Value" as defined by subsection 2(1) of the *Pest Control Products Act*: "the product's actual or potential contribution to pest management, taking into account its conditions or proposed conditions of registration, and includes the product's (*a*) efficacy; (*b*) effect on host organisms in connection with which it is intended to be used; and (*c*) health, safety and environmental benefits and social and economic impact."

most sensitive to environmental contaminants). These methods and policies also consider the nature of the effects observed and the uncertainties when predicting the impact of pesticides. For more information on how the PMRA regulates pesticides, the assessment process and risk-reduction programs, please visit the Pesticides and Pest Management portion of Health Canada's website at healthcanada.gc.ca/pmra.

Before making a final registration decision on halauxifen-methyl, the PMRA will consider all comments received from the public in response to this consultation document³. The PMRA will then publish a Registration Decision⁴ on halauxifen-methyl, which will include the decision, the reasons for it, a summary of comments received on the proposed final registration decision and the PMRA's response to these comments.

For more details on the information presented in this Overview, please refer to the Science Evaluation of this consultation document.

What Is Halauxifen-Methyl?

Halauxifen-methyl is the first member of a new chemical class of synthetic auxin herbicides, the arylpicolinates. It belongs to the Weed Science Society of America (WSSA) Group 4 herbicides and to the Herbicide Resistance Action Committee (HRAC) Group O. Halauxifen-methyl mimics the effect of a persistent high dose of the natural plant hormone auxin, causing overstimulation of specific auxin-regulated genes which result in the disruption of several growth processes in susceptible plants. Tissues that are undergoing active cell division and growth are particularly susceptible to injury.

Health Considerations

Can Approved Uses of Halauxifen-Methyl Affect Human Health?

Products containing halauxifen-methyl are unlikely to affect your health when used according to label directions.

Potential exposure to halauxifen-methyl may occur through the diet (food and water) or when handling and applying end-use products. When assessing health risks, two key factors are considered: the levels where no health effects occur and the levels to which people may be exposed. The dose levels used to assess risks are established to protect the most sensitive human population (for example, children and nursing mothers). Only uses for which the exposure is well below levels that cause no effects in animal testing are considered acceptable for registration.

Toxicology studies in laboratory animals describe potential health effects from varying levels of exposure to a chemical and identify the dose where no effects are observed. The health effects noted in animals occur at doses more than 100-times higher (and often much higher) than levels

³ "Consultation statement" as required by subsection 28(2) of the *Pest Control Products Act*.

⁴ "Decision statement" as required by subsection 28(5) of the *Pest Control Products Act*.

to which humans are normally exposed when pesticide products are used according to label directions.

Halauxifen-methyl is the active proposed for registration. The majority of the toxicology data was conducted with its acid form, herein referred to as halauxifen acid. Acceptable bridging data were provided and halauxifen acid was considered to be the relevant compound for risk assessment purposes.

In laboratory animals, the technical grade active ingredient halauxifen-methyl was of low acute toxicity by the oral and dermal routes of exposure. It was non-irritating to the eyes and skin, and did not cause allergic skin reactions. Consequently, hazard signal words are not required on the label.

The associated end-use products, GF-2685 Herbicide, Paradigm Herbicide and Pixxaro A Herbicide, were of low acute toxicity via the oral, dermal and inhalation routes of exposure. Paradigm Herbicide was minimally irritating to eyes and skin. Pixxaro A Herbicide was moderately irritating to the eye and mildly irritating to the skin. Therefore, the hazard signal words "WARNING EYE AND SKIN IRRITANT" are required on the label. GF-2685 Herbicide was minimally irritating to the eye, but mildly irritating to the skin; consequently, the hazard signal words "CAUTION SKIN IRRITANT" must appear on the label. All of the end-use products caused allergic skin reactions and therefore, the hazard signal words "POTENTIAL SKIN SENSITIZER" are required on all the labels.

Health effects in animals given repeated doses of halauxifen-methyl included effects on the liver and thyroid. In animals given repeated doses of halauxifen acid, treatment-related effects were observed in the kidneys and urinary bladder.

Halauxifen acid did not cause cancer in animals and there was no evidence that halauxifenmethyl or halauxifen acid damages genetic material. There was no indication that halauxifen acid caused damage to the nervous system and halauxifen-methyl did not affect the immune system. There were no treatment-related effects on the ability to reproduce after treatment with halauxifen acid.

When halauxifen-methyl or halauxifen acid was given to pregnant animals, resorptions were observed in rats at doses that were toxic to the mother, indicating that the young do not appear to be more sensitive than the adult animal; however, some fetal loss occurred in rabbits at a dose considered to be only marginally toxic to the mother.

The risk assessment protects against the effects of halauxifen-methyl and halauxifen acid by ensuring that the level of human exposure is well below the lowest dose at which these effects occurred in animal tests.

Residues in Water and Food

Dietary risks from food and drinking water are not of health concern.

Aggregate dietary intake estimates (food plus drinking water) revealed that the general population and all subpopulations are expected to be exposed to <1% of the acceptable daily intake. Based on these estimates, the chronic dietary risk from halauxifen-methyl is not of health concern for all population subgroups.

Halauxifen-methyl is not carcinogenic; therefore, a cancer dietary risk assessment is not required.

An acute dietary exposure assessment was not conducted since an acute reference dose (ARfD) was not established.

The *Food and Drugs Act* prohibits the sale of adulterated food, that is, food containing a pesticide residue that exceeds the established maximum residue limit (MRL). Pesticide MRLs are established for *Food and Drugs Act* purposes through the evaluation of scientific data under the *Pest Control Products Act*. Food containing a pesticide residue that does not exceed the established MRL does not pose an unacceptable health risk.

Residue trials conducted throughout the United States and Canada using halauxifen-methyl on wheat (spring and winter varieties) and barley are acceptable. The MRLs for this active ingredient can be found in the Science Evaluation section of this consultation document.

The end-use products are also formulated with fluroxypyr, or florasulam, and/or with the safener cloquintocet-mexyl. Fluroxypyr, florasulam and cloquintocet-mexyl are already registered for foliar treatment use in Canada on wheat and barley.

Occupational Risks from Handling GF-2685 Herbicide, Paradigm Herbicide and Pixxaro A Herbicide

Occupational risks are not of concern when GF-2685 Herbicide, Paradigm Herbicide or Pixxaro A Herbicide is used according to the proposed label directions, which include protective measures.

Farmers and custom applicators who mix, load or apply GF-2685 Herbicide, Paradigm Herbicide or Pixxaro A Herbicide, as well as field workers re-entering freshly treated fields, can come into direct contact with halauxifen-methyl residues on the skin or through inhalation of spray mists. Therefore, the label specifies that anyone mixing/loading and applying Pixxaro A Herbicide, Paradigm Herbicide, or GF-2685 Herbicide must wear a long-sleeved shirt, long pants, shoes, socks and chemical-resistant gloves (gloves are not required during application). Mixer/loaders of Pixxaro A Herbicide must also wear eye protection. The label also requires that workers do not enter treated fields for 12 hours after application. Taking into consideration these label statements, the number of applications and the expectation of the exposure period for handlers and workers, the risk to these individuals are not expected to be of concern.

Paradigm Herbicide is a co-formulation with florasulam, and Pixxaro A Herbicide is a coformulation with fluroxypyr. In addition, the safener cloquintocet-mexyl is co-formulated in GF-2685 and Pixxaro A Herbicide. Florasulam, fluroxypyr and cloquintocet-mexyl are registered for use on wheat and barley. The precautions required to mitigate risk from the exposure of halauxifen-methyl are also adequate for the co-formulated active ingredients and safener. Florasulam, fluroxypyr and cloquintocet-mexyl will not be further discussed herein.

For bystanders, exposure is expected to be much less than that for workers and is considered negligible. Therefore, health risks to bystanders are not of concern.

Environmental Considerations

What Happens When Halauxifen-Methyl Is Introduced Into the Environment?

Halauxifen-methyl can pose a risk to non-target terrestrial plants and aquatic vascular plants; therefore, statements on the product labels are required to inform users of the potential risks, and spray buffer zones are required during application.

Halauxifen-methyl enters the environment when applied to control broadleaf weeds on certain cereal crops. Halauxifen-methyl can break down by reacting with water or in the presence of soil microbes and is unlikely to persist in terrestrial systems. The properties of halauxifen-methyl and its transformation products, XDE-729 acid (X11393729) and X11449757, indicate some potential for movement through the soil. In field studies, the majority of halauxifen-methyl and its transformation products were measured in the top 30 cm of soil. Conservative modelling data indicates that levels of halauxifen-methyl and its transformation products that may reach groundwater are very low. In aquatic environments, halauxifen-methyl is rapidly broken down in the presence of sunlight, in the presence of microbes or by reacting with water. It is not expected to move into the sediment to a great extent or accumulate in aquatic organisms. Halauxifen-methyl is not expected to enter the atmosphere.

When used according to label directions, halauxifen-methyl is expected to pose a negligible risk to earthworms, bees, birds, small mammals, aquatic invertebrates, amphibians, algae and fish. Halauxifen-methyl may pose a risk to non-target terrestrial and aquatic plants. Risks to non-target terrestrial and aquatic plants can be mitigated with label statements and spray buffer zones to protect sensitive terrestrial and aquatic habitats. Label statements are required on the product labels to inform the users of the potential risks.

The use of the end-use product Paradigm Herbicide contains the active ingredients halauxifenmethyl and florasulam. Florasulam and its major soil transformation product, 5-hydroxy-XDE-570, have the potential to leach. Also, 5-hydroxy-XDE-570 has the potential to carry over into the next growing season. Label statements are required on the label for Paradigm Herbicide to inform users of the potential risks of leaching and carry-over. The use of the end-use product Pixxaro A Herbicide contains aromatic petroleum distillates which are toxic to aquatic organisms. Label statements are required on the label for Pixxaro A Herbicide to inform users of the potential risks.

Value Considerations

What Is the Value of GF-2685 Herbicide, Paradigm Herbicide or Pixxaro A Herbicide?

Halauxifen-methyl is a postemergence herbicide that can be used at different rates of application to target specific weeds.

GF-2685 Herbicide, Paradigm Herbicide and Pixxaro A Herbicide provide control of important broadleaf weeds and may enable growers to control both broadleaf and grass weeds with a single pass in spring wheat, winter wheat, durum wheat, and barley when tank-mixed with various herbicides listed on the end-use product labels. Halauxifen-methyl has little residual activity following a postemergence application which allows for flexibility in crop rotation with numerous crops that can be seeded after an interval of 10 months following an application of any of the three end-use products.

Measures to Minimize Risk

Labels of registered pesticide products include specific instructions for use. Directions include risk-reduction measures to protect human and environmental health. These directions must be followed by law.

The key risk-reduction measures being proposed on the label of GF-2685 Herbicide, Paradigm Herbicide or Pixxaro A Herbicide to address the potential risks identified in this assessment are as follows.

Key Risk-Reduction Measures

Human Health

Because there is a concern with users coming into direct contact with halauxifen-methyl on the skin or through inhalation of spray mists, anyone mixing, loading and applying Pixxaro A Herbicide, Paradigm Herbicide or GF-2685 Herbicide must wear long-sleeved shirt, long pants, shoes, socks and chemical-resistant gloves (gloves are not required during application). Mixer/loaders of Pixxaro A Herbicide must also wear eye protection. In addition, standard label statements to protect against drift during application were added to the label.

Environment

Halauxifen-methyl can pose a risk to non-target terrestrial and aquatic plants. The use of the enduse products Paradigm Herbicide, containing the active ingredients halauxifen-methyl and florasulam, and Pixxaro A Herbicide, containing halauxifen-methyl and fluroxypyr present as methylheptyl ester, can also pose a risk to non-target terrestrial and aquatic plants. Label statements and spray buffer zones to protect sensitive terrestrial and aquatic habitats are to be specified on the labels.

To mitigate potential exposures to halauxifen-methyl via spray drift, spray buffer zones of 1 to 100 metres are required to protect sensitive terrestrial habitats, and spray buffer zones of 1 to 10 metres are required to protect sensitive aquatic habitats, depending on the end-use product and the method of application. These spray buffer zones are to be specified on the product labels.

Label statements are required on the label for Paradigm Herbicide to inform users of the potential risks of leaching of florasulam and its major soil transformation product, 5-hydroxy-XDE-570, and the potential for carry-over of 5-hydroxy-XDE-570.

Label statements are required on the label for Pixxaro A Herbicide to inform users of the potential risks to aquatic organisms from the aromatic petroleum distillates contained in the end-use product.

Next Steps

Before making a final registration decision on halauxifen-methyl, the PMRA will consider all comments received from the public in response to this consultation document. The PMRA will accept written comments on this proposal up to 45 days from the date of publication of this document. Please note that, to comply with Canada's international trade obligations, consultation on the proposed MRLs will also be conducted internationally via a notification to the World Trade Organization. Please forward all comments to Publications (contact information on the cover page of this document). The PMRA will then publish a Registration Decision, which will include its decision, the reasons for it, a summary of comments received on the proposed final decision and the Agency's response to these comments.

Other Information

When the PMRA makes its registration decision, it will publish a Registration Decision on halauxifen-methyl (based on the Science Evaluation of this consultation document). In addition, the test data referenced in this consultation document will be available for public inspection, upon application, in the PMRA's Reading Room (located in Ottawa).

Science Evaluation

Halauxifen-Methyl

1.0 The Active Ingredient, Its Properties and Uses

1.1 Identity of the Active Ingredient

Active substance	Halauxifen-methyl
Function	Herbicide
Chemical name	
1. International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry (IUPAC)	methyl 4-amino-3-chloro-6-(4-chloro-2-fluoro-3- methoxyphenyl)pyridine-2-carboxylate
2. Chemical Abstracts Service (CAS)	methyl 4-amino-3-chloro-6-(4-chloro-2-fluoro-3- methoxyphenyl)-2-pyridinecarboxylate
CAS number	943831-98-9
Molecular formula	$C_{14}H_{11}Cl_2FN_2O_3$
Molecular weight	347.17 g/mol
Structural formula	H ₃ C O F O CH ₃
Durity of the estive	02.0% (as halowyifon mojety)

Purity of the active92.0% (as halauxifen moiety)ingredient

1.2 Physical and Chemical Properties of the Active Ingredients and End-Use Product

Technical Product — XDE-729 Methyl Technical Herbicide

Property	Result
Colour and physical state	Off-white powder
Odour	Mild
Melting range	145.50°C
Boiling point or range	Decomposes before boiling
Density	1.5 g/cm^3
Vapour pressure at 20°C	5.9×10^{-9} Pa
Henry's law constant at 20°C	1.2×10^{-11} atm•m ³ /mol at 20°C

Property	Result		
Ultraviolet (UV)-visible spectrum	medium	λ_{max} , nm	
	neutral	212, 249	
	acidic	215, 256	
	basic	212, 247	
Solubility in water at 20°C	pН	<u>solubility (mg/L)</u>	
	purified water	1.83	
	5	1.66	
	7	1.67	
	9	1.69	
Solubility in organic solvents at 20°C	solvent	<u>solubility (g/L)</u>	
	methanol	38.1	
	acetone	>250	
	xylene	9.13	
	1,2-dichloroethane	65.9	
	ethyl acetate	129	
	n-heptane	0.0361	
	n-octanol	9.83	
<i>n</i> -Octanol-water partition coefficient	<u>pH</u>	$\log K_{ow}$	
(K_{ow}) at 20°C	5	3.75	
	7	3.76	
	9	3.92	
Dissociation constant (p <i>K</i> _{<i>a</i>})	2.84 ± 0.04 for the conjugate base		
Stability (temperature, metal)	Stable for 2 weeks at 20°C and 54°C in the presence of		
	metals and metal ions: copper, brass, 304 stainless steel,		
	316 stainless steel, aluminum, copper (I) chloride, nickel		
	(II) chloride and iron (III) chloride		

End-Use Products – GF-2685 Herbicide, Paradigm Herbicide and Pixxaro A Herbicide

Property	GF-2685 Herbicide	Paradigm Herbicide	Pixxaro A Herbicide
Colour	Tan	Tan	Yellow
Odour	Musty	Mild	
Physical state	Solid	Solid	Liquid
Formulation type	Wettable granule	Water-dispersible	Emulsifiable
		granule	concentrate
Guarantee	10% halauxifen, present as methyl ester	20% halauxifen, present as methyl ester 20% florasulam	16.25 g/L halauxifen, present as methyl ester 250 g/L fluroxypyr, present as 1- methylheptyl ester
Container material and description	HDPE 1 kg to bulk	HDPE 1 kg to bulk	HDPE 1 L to bulk
Density	0.57 g/mL	0.59 g/mL	1.0252 g/mL

Property	GF-2685 Herbicide	Paradigm Herbicide	Pixxaro A Herbicide
pH of 1% dispersion	5.80	5.62	4.95
in water			
Oxidizing or reducing	Not an oxidizing or red	lucing agent	
action			
Storage stability	Stable over 1 year's storage at ambient warehouse conditions in HDPE	Stable over 1 year's storage at ambient warehouse conditions in HDPE bottles and foil laminate sachets	Not yet provided
Corrosion characteristics	Not corrosive to commercial packaging over 1 Not yet provided year's storage		
Explodability	Not considered to be explosive when exposed to impact or heat		

1.3 Directions for Use

1.3.1 GF-2685 Herbicide

GF-2685 Herbicide, containing halauxifen-methyl at 100 grams per kilogram of product, is a postemergence herbicide that will provide control and suppression of select annual broadleaf weeds in spring wheat, durum wheat, winter wheat and spring barley from the 1-leaf stage to just prior to flag leaf emergence, except for winter wheat which is from the 3-leaf stage to just prior to flag leaf emergence, in the Prairie provinces and the Peace River region of British Columbia and in Eastern Canada (Table 1.3.1.1). GF-2685 Herbicide may be applied once per year at a rate of 2.5 to 10 g acid equivalents (a.e.)/ha with ground or aerial application equipment. GF-2685 Herbicide is to be applied post emergence to the target weed species. GF-2685 Herbicide is formulated with the safener cloquintocet-mexyl in a 1:1 ratio with halauxifen-methyl.

	,	U)
Rate of Application of	Rate of Application for the	Weeds Controlled*
GF-2685	Turbocharge Adjuvant	-
halauxifen-methyl at	0.5 % v/v	• Cleavers (1-9 whorl stage)
2.5 g a.e./ha	(0.5 L per 100 L of spray	• Lamb's quarters (1-8 leaf stage)
	volume)	• Hemp-nettle (suppression) (1-8 leaf stage)
		• Flax, volunteer (up to 15 cm in
		height)
		• Redroot pigweed (suppression) (1-8
		leaf stage)

Table 1.3.1.1Weed claims for GF-2685 Herbicide (when applied postemergent in spring
wheat, durum wheat, winter wheat and barley)

Rate of Application of	Rate of Application for the	Weeds Controlled*
GF-2685	Turbocharge Adjuvant	
halauxifen-methyl at 5.0 g a.e./ha	0.5 % v/v (0.5 L per 100 L of spray volume)	 Cleavers (1-9 whorl stage) Lamb's quarters (1-8 leaf stage) Chickweed (1-8 leaf stage) Flax, volunteer (up to 15 cm in height) Hemp-nettle (1-8 leaf stage) Redroot pigweed (1-8 leaf stage) Buckwheat, wild (suppression) (1-8 leaf stage) Kochia ** (suppression)
halauxifen-methyl at 10.0 g a.e./ha	0.5 % v/v (0.5 L per 100 L of spray volume)	 Cleavers (1-9 whorl stage) Lamb's quarters (1-8 leaf stage) Chickweed (1-8 leaf stage) Flax, volunteer (up to 15 cm in height) Hemp-nettle (1-8 leaf stage) Redroot pigweed (1-8 leaf stage) Buckwheat, wild (1-6 leaf stage) Kochia ** (suppression)

* Including Group 2 resistant biotypes.

** Light to moderate infestations (up to 150 plants/m²; up to 15 cm in height).

1.3.2 Paradigm Herbicide

Paradigm Herbicide, containing halauxifen-methyl at 200 grams per kilogram of product and florasulam at 200 grams per kilogram of product, is a postemergence herbicide that will provide control and suppression of select annual and perennial broadleaf weeds in spring wheat, durum wheat, winter wheat and spring barley from the 1-leaf stage to just prior to flag leaf emergence, except for winter wheat which is from the 3-leaf stage to just prior to flag leaf emergence, in the Prairie provinces and the Peace River region of British Columbia (Table 1.3.2.1). Paradigm Herbicide may be applied once per year at a rate of 10 g a.e./ha (5.0 g a.e./ha of halauxifen-methyl + 5.0 g a.i./ha of florasulam) with ground application equipment only. Paradigm Herbicide is to be applied post emergence to the target weed species.

Table 1.3.2.1	Weed claims for Paradigm Herbicide (when applied postemergent in
	spring wheat, durum wheat, winter wheat and barley)

	Rate of Application for the Turbocharge Adjuvant	Weeds Controlled
halauxifen-methyl at 5.0	0.5 % v/v	Control:
g a.e./ha +	(0.5 L per 100 L of spray	• Buckwheat, wild (1-8 leaf stage)
florasulam at 5.0 g	volume)	• Volunteer canola (1-8 leaf stage)**

Rate of Application of Paradigm Herbicide 25 g of product/ha	Rate of Application for the Turbocharge Adjuvant	Weeds Controlled
a.i./ha		 Chickweed (1-8 leaf stage)*** Cleavers (1-9 whorl stage)*** Flax, volunteer (up to 15 cm in height) Lamb's quarters (1-8 leaf stage)*** Wild mustard (1-8 leaf stage)**** Redroot pigweed (1-8 leaf stage) Shepherd's purse (1-8 leaf stage) Shepherd's purse (1-8 leaf stage) Smartweed (green smartweed, lady's thumb) (1-8 leaf stage) Stinkweed (1-8 leaf stage)****
		 Suppression: Hemp-nettle (1-8 leaf stage)*** Kochia * Annual sowthistle (1-8 leaf stage)**** Perennial sowthistle (1-8 leaf stage)****

* Light to moderate infestations (up to 150 plants/m²; up to 15 cm in height), including Group 2 resistant biotypes.

** Will not control volunteer imidazolinone-tolerant canola (Clearfield varieties). *** Including Group 2 resistant biotypes.

**** Best results are obtained when applied to actively growing weeds in the 1 to 4 leaf (seedling) stage.

1.3.3 Pixxaro A Herbicide

Pixxaro A Herbicide, containing halauxifen-methyl at 16.25 grams per kilogram of product and fluroxypyr at 250 grams per kilogram of product, is a postemergence herbicide that will provide control and suppression of select annual broadleaf weeds in spring wheat, durum wheat, winter wheat and spring barley from the 1-leaf stage to just prior to flag leaf emergence, except for winter wheat which is from the 3-leaf stage to just prior flag leaf emergence, in the Prairie provinces and the Peace River region of British Columbia (Table 1.3.3.1). Pixxaro A Herbicide may be applied once per year at a rate of 82.0 g a.e./ha (5.0 g a.e./ha of halauxifen-methyl + 77.0 g a.e./ha of fluroxypyr) with ground or aerial application equipment. Pixxaro A Herbicide is to be applied post emergence to the target weed species. Pixxaro A Herbicide is formulated with the safener cloquintocet-mexyl in a 1:1 ratio with halauxifen-methyl.

Rate of Application of	Rate of Application for the	Weeds Controlled*	
Pixxaro A Herbicide	Turbocharge Adjuvant		
308 mL of product/ha			
halauxifen-methyl at	0.5 % v/v	Control:	
5.0 g a.e./ha +	(0.5 L per 100 L of spray	• Buckwheat, wild (1-8 leaf stage)	
fluroxypyr at	volume)	• Chickweed (1-8 leaf stage)	
77.0 g a.e./ha		• Cleavers (1-9 whorl stage)	
		• Flax, volunteer (up to 15 cm in	
		height)	
		• Hemp-nettle (1-8 leaf stage)	
		• Kochia (up to 15 cm in height)	
		• Lamb's quarters (1-8 leaf stage)	
		• Redroot pigweed (1-8 leaf stage)	
		Suppression:	
		• Wild mustard (1-4 leaf stage, up to 10	
		cm in height)	

Table 1.3.3.1Weed claims for Pixxaro A Herbicide (when applied postemergent in
spring wheat, durum wheat, winter wheat and barley)

* Including Group 2 resistant biotypes.

1.4 Mode of Action

Halauxifen-methyl is the first member of a new chemical class of synthetic auxin herbicides, the arypicolinates. It belongs to the Weed Science Society of America Group 4 herbicides and to the Herbicide Resistance Action Committee Group O. Halauxifen-methyl is a systemic herbicide that can be absorbed through the leaves, shoots and roots of plants at which point it will be symplastically translocated throughout the plant and will accumulate in meristematic tissue. Halauxifen-methyl mimics the effect of a persistent high dose of the natural plant hormone auxin causing over-stimulation of specific auxin-regulated genes which result in the disruption of several growth processes in susceptible plants. Tissues that are undergoing active cell division and growth are particularly susceptible to injury.

Symptoms of halauxifen-methyl herbicide injuries include: cessation of growth, stem and petiole twisting (epinasty), leaf malformation (parallel venation, leaf strapping and cupping), chlorosis, swelling, thickening and splitting of stems, callus tissue formation, and stunted root growth.

2.0 Methods of Analysis

2.1 Methods for Analysis of the Active Ingredient

The methods provided for the analysis of the active ingredient and impurities in the technical product have been validated and assessed to be acceptable for the determinations.

2.2 Method for Formulation Analysis

The methods provided for the analysis of the active ingredients in the formulations have been validated and assessed to be acceptable for use as enforcement analytical methods.

2.3 Methods for Residue Analysis

High-performance liquid chromatography methods with tandem mass spectrometry (HPLC-MS/MS) were developed and proposed for data generation and enforcement purposes. These methods fulfilled the requirements with regards to selectivity, accuracy and precision at the respective method limit of quantitation. Acceptable recoveries (70–120%) were obtained in environmental media.

Liquid chromatography methods with tandem mass spectroscopic detection (LC-MS/MS) were developed and proposed for data generation and enforcement purposes in both plant and animal matrices. The plant methods fulfilled the requirements with regards to specificity, accuracy and precision at the respective method limits of quantitation. Acceptable recoveries (70–120%) were obtained in plant matrices. The proposed plant enforcement method was successfully validated in plant matrices by an independent laboratory. Adequate extraction efficiencies were demonstrated using radiolabelled samples of crops from the plant metabolism studies with the enforcement method.

The proposed animal method was adequately validated for halauxifen-methyl and halauxifen acid. However, this method has not been adequately validated for the metabolite X11449757, which is a component of the residue definition in livestock matrices for both enforcement and dietary exposure assessment purposes. Therefore, there is currently no animal method available for enforcement purposes. An enforcement method for edible livestock commodities is currently not required given that maximum residue limits (MRLs) are not being established due to the low potential for residue transfer to these matrices. However, in the event that MRLs are needed for livestock commodities with future use expansion requests, an adequate enforcement method for X11449757 and an acceptable independent laboratory validation of the enforcement method will be required.

Methods for residue analysis are summarized in Appendix I, Tables 1a and 1b.

3.0 Impact on Human and Animal Health

3.1 Toxicology Summary

Extensive toxicokinetic data in laboratory animals indicate that halauxifen-methyl is rapidly and nearly completely hydrolyzed to its acid form in vivo. Both forms were assessed for acute and short-term toxicity in rats, as well as for mutagenic potential and developmental toxicity in rodent and non-rodent species. Mammalian metabolism and toxicokinetic studies, reproductive toxicity studies in rats, and chronic toxicity and oncogenicity studies in rats and mice were conducted using the acid form.

High quality bridging studies were provided including extensive complementary toxicokinetic data on mice, rats and dogs demonstrating a rapid and almost 100% conversion of halauxifenmethyl to its acid form, hereafter referred to as halauxifen acid. Halauxifen acid was considered to be the appropriate compound for the purposes of the risk assessment for routes that demonstrated rapid conversion of halauxifen-methyl to halauxifen acid.

A detailed review of the toxicological database for halauxifen-methyl and halauxifen acid was conducted. The database is complete, consisting of the full array of toxicity studies currently required for hazard assessment purposes. The studies were carried out in accordance with currently accepted international testing protocols and Good Laboratory Practices. The scientific quality of the data is high and the database is considered adequate to define the majority of the toxic effects that may result from exposure to halauxifen-methyl.

Single or repeated oral metabolism studies with radiolabelled halauxifen-methyl or halauxifen acid in rats demonstrated that both are rapidly and highly absorbed. Similar results were obtained in dogs after single oral dosing with halauxifen acid. Maximum plasma concentrations of total radioactivity were achieved within 30 minutes post-dose in rats and 60 minutes post-dose in dogs. Halauxifen-methyl and halauxifen acid were not widely distributed in the body. Only very low levels were detected in most tissues. At 168 hours post-dosing in rats (single, repeated and IV), levels in the tissues ranged from non-quantifiable to 0.3% of the administered dose (AD) for both forms. Analysis of blood samples from rats administered radiolabelled halauxifen-methyl revealed primarily halauxifen acid, indicating rapid hydrolysis of the ester in vivo. In rats administered the radiolabelled halauxifen acid, elimination from plasma was rapid during the alpha elimination phase ($t_{1/2\alpha} = 0.5$ -4.3 hours) and was followed by slower elimination during a terminal beta phase ($t_{1/26}$ = 5-9 hours). The plasma area-under-the-curve (AUC) was 2.0-2.6 times higher for the high dose of halauxifen acid than would be expected for dose proportionality. The AUC non-linearity is consistent with the longer alpha elimination phase seen in the high dose animals and saturation of elimination. Additional toxicokinetic analyses conducted as part of the short-term toxicity studies confirmed the findings in the metabolism studies, although in some instances very low levels of halauxifen-methyl were detected in the blood and/or urine. The major radiolabelled component present in the urine and fecal extracts from animals administered either form of halauxifen-methyl was halauxifen acid. Minor metabolites of both test materials consisted of an acyl-glucuronide conjugate of the parent acid form and an O-demethylated form of the acid and the corresponding sulfate and glucuronide conjugates.

Toxicokinetic analysis from an oral 90-day rat study with halauxifen-methyl demonstrated the presence of an additional metabolite at high dose levels, an O-demethyl glucuronide conjugated form of halauxifen-methyl. The proposed pathway for this metabolite is the formation of O-demethyl halauxifen-methyl directly from halauxifen-methyl and subsequent conjugation to glucuronide. Only trace levels of O-demethyl halauxifen-methyl and its sulfate conjugate were detected.

In dogs, halauxifen acid was the major component in plasma and urine and was also present in feces at comparable or higher levels to other identified metabolites. Halauxifen acid was poorly

metabolized and readily eliminated in the urine of mice and rats. The orally absorbed dose was excreted in urine (68-92% AD); the majority occurred within the first 24 hours post-dosing. A smaller percentage (11-29% AD) of the oral dose was eliminated in feces. The amount and rates of urinary and fecal elimination after a single oral low dose in rats were comparable between halauxifen-methyl and halauxifen acid. The amount of radioactivity in red blood cells was less than that found in plasma. In dogs, elimination of radioactivity from the blood was also biphasic ($t_{1/2\alpha} = 1$ hour; $t_{1/2\beta} = 9-12$ hours) and the AUC data indicated that dogs exhibited slower clearance than rats, which is consistent with saturation of renal elimination. Consistent with the data in rats, the major radiolabelled component in plasma and urine was halauxifen acid. Urinary excretion of the acid accounted for 78% AD and fecal excretion represented 2-5% AD. The primary metabolites identified in dog urine, feces or plasma included O-demethylated halauxifen acid and a halauxifen acid acyl glucuronide conjugate.

Toxicokinetic data from repeat-dose studies conducted with halauxifen acid in mice indicated a linear relationship with dose; in contrast, sublinear kinetics were demonstrated at higher doses in rats treated with halauxifen-methyl or halauxifen acid, suggesting saturation of elimination after short-term administration. In a 2-year combined chronic/oncogenicity study in rats treated with halauxifen acid, linear kinetics were demonstrated. Sex-specific differences in Phase I and Phase II metabolism were demonstrated in rats after 90 days of halauxifen-methyl treatment, which may suggest a more efficient metabolism observed in females. In dogs treated with halauxifen acid, saturation of elimination was consistently observed at high doses.

Halauxifen-methyl and halauxifen acid were of low acute toxicity by the oral and dermal routes of exposure in rats. A waiver request for an acute inhalation toxicity study was accepted based on the inability to generate a test atmosphere due to large particle size and clogging of the aerosol generator. Both compounds were non- to minimally irritating to the eyes and skin in rabbits, and did not cause dermal sensitization in mice. The associated end-use products, GF-2685 Herbicide, Paradigm Herbicide and Pixxaro A Herbicide were of low acute toxicity via the oral, dermal and inhalation routes of exposure. Paradigm Herbicide was minimally irritating to the eye and mildly irritating to the skin. GF-2685 was minimally irritating to the eye and mildly irritating to the skin. All of the end-use products were dermal sensitizers.

After 28 days of dermal dosing in rats with halauxifen acid, no treatment-related dermal or systemic toxicity was observed at the limit dose of 1000 mg/kg bw/day. A waiver request for a 28-day dermal toxicity study with halauxifen-methyl was accepted, as the expected exposure will be predominantly to halauxifen acid.

A waiver request for a 28-day inhalation toxicity study in rats was submitted and accepted based on the low volatility of halauxifen-methyl and technical difficulties in generating a test atmosphere in the acute inhalation toxicity study due to a large aerosol particle size, clogging of the generation equipment, unsustainable concentrations and/or inefficient delivery of the test material. Following short-term repeated oral dosing with halauxifen acid in mice, treatment-related effects were observed in males and consisted of kidney, bladder and/or liver histopathological lesions at the limit dose of 1000 mg/kg bw/day. Other than a decrease in serum alanine amino-transferase levels after 28 days, there were no treatment-related observations in female mice. In rats, the primary targets were the liver and thyroid after short-term oral dosing with halauxifen-methyl. The treatment-related liver effects consisted of increased organ weights, hepatocellular hypertrophy, hepatocellular vacuolation and increased mitotic figures in hepatocytes. Treatment-related increases in cholesterol levels were also observed. The primary target organ of halauxifen acid was the kidney. Treatment-related renal toxicity consisted of necrosis, increased mitotic figures, hypertrophy and/or vacuolization of collecting duct epithelium, and tubular dilatation and degeneration.

Following short-term repeated oral dosing in dogs with halauxifen acid, the primary target of toxicity was the kidney and the hematological system. Treatment-related renal effects consisted of epithelial degeneration and regeneration of renal tubules and collecting ducts, and glomerulosclerosis. Decreases in red blood cell, hemoglobin and hematocrit counts were observed at higher doses in the 28-day and 90-day toxicity studies.

In a dietary 78-week mouse oncogenicity study with halauxifen acid, treatment-related effects included inflammation and microscopic calculi in the bladder of males, and decreased body weight gains and increased hypertrophy of the intercalated cells in the kidneys of females. There was no evidence of oncogenicity.

In a dietary 2-year rat oral combined chronic/oncogenicity study with halauxifen acid, treatmentrelated hyperplasia of the pelvic epithelium of the kidney was observed in females. More marked renal toxicity including hypertrophy, vacuolization, necrosis and increased numbers of mitotic figures in the renal collecting duct epithelium was noted in both sexes at higher doses. Males also exhibited treatment-related calculi in the renal pelvis of the kidney and epithelial degeneration and regeneration of renal tubules, as well as urinary bladder effects including hyperplasia of the transitional epithelium, inflammation of the submucosa and microscopic calculi. There was no evidence of oncogenicity.

There was an increased variety of renal histological lesions observed in mice and rats at lower dose levels and increased mortalities due to renal lesions at the highest dose tested in the long-term toxicity studies. These observations suggest a durational effect of dosing in both species for halauxifen acid.

Halauxifen-methyl and halauxifen acid were not genotoxic in a standard battery of in vitro and in vivo assays. Genotoxicity studies conducted with X11449757 (a desmethyl form of halauxifen acid) a major metabolite in animal matrices and soil transformation product, indicated that it is not genotoxic in bacteria, Chinese hamster ovary cells or human lymphocytes.

In range-finding and 2-generation reproductive toxicity studies in rats with halauxifen acid, treatment-related effects in the parental animals consisted of renal toxicity including collecting duct epithelial cell hypertrophy and hyperplasia, hyperplasia of the pelvic epithelium, increased mitotic figures of the collecting duct epithelium of both sexes, and clinical signs, decreased body

weight gains and food consumption during late gestation/early lactation in females. There were no treatment-related reproductive or offspring effects.

In a rat dietary developmental toxicity study with halauxifen-methyl, treatment-related maternal effects included decreased body weight gain, reduced food consumption and liver effects consisting of increased organ weights, altered cytoplasmic homogeneity of centrilobular and midzonal hepatocytes, and hepatocellular vacuolation consistent with fatty change. There was no evidence of developmental toxicity. Toxicokinetic data in the range-finding study with halauxifen-methyl demonstrated that halauxifen acid is the predominant analyte in dam and fetal blood samples. Fetal blood concentrations of the acid were ~69% of the maternal blood concentrations. In a rat dietary developmental toxicity study with halauxifen acid, treatment-related maternal effects consisted of late-gestation mortalities, clinical signs, decreased body weight, body weight gain, food consumption and gravid uterine weights. Treatment-related developmental effects included decreased fetal weights, a slight increase in resorptions/postimplantation loss and increases in delayed ossification of thoracic centra at a dose producing maternal toxicity.

In a rabbit dietary developmental toxicity study with halauxifen-methyl, treatment-related liver effects in maternal animals included increased organ weights, hypertrophy with altered tinctorial properties (increased cytoplasmic eosinophilia) of periportal hepatocytes, increased number of mitotic figures of hepatocytes and altered cytoplasmic homogeneity of centrilobular/midzonal hepatocytes. The maternal liver effects were not considered adverse but were nonetheless considered to be treatment-related effects and reflective of marginal maternal toxicity. A slightly increased incidence of resorptions/postimplantation loss was observed at the mid and high dose levels, which was considered to be treatment-related, despite the lack of a dose-response relationship and the absence of an impact on mean live fetuses per maternal animal. Toxicokinetic data indicated that halauxifen acid was the only analyte present in maternal animals and fetuses. Proportional blood concentration values were demonstrated to the highest dose in maternal animals and less than dose-proportional blood concentrations were observed between the mid and high dose levels in fetuses. Fetal blood concentrations were on average 27% and 6% of maternal blood concentrations, at the mid and high dose levels respectively, which suggest that the absence of a dose-response is not unexpected. In a rabbit dietary developmental toxicity study with halauxifen acid, treatment-related decreased body weight gains and food consumption were observed in maternal animals, while no treatment-related developmental effects were noted. Systemic exposure to halauxifen acid was demonstrated and fetal plasma concentrations were approximately 3-fold lower than those of maternal animals, consistent with a possibly decreased fetal absorption.

In acute and subchronic neurotoxicity studies in rats with halauxifen acid, treatment-related decreases in body weight, body weight gain and/or food consumption were observed in males. There were no treatment-related effects in female rats. No treatment-related neurotoxic effects were observed in either of the neurotoxicity studies.

In a rat dietary 28-day immunotoxicity study with halauxifen-methyl, there were no treatmentrelated effects other than a decrease in absolute thymus weight. There was no evidence of immunotoxic effect on T-cell dependent antibody response. Toxicokinetic investigations in rats demonstrated that post-hepatic systemic exposure following oral administration of halauxifen-methyl is mainly to halauxifen acid, and that the blood/plasma concentrations of halauxifen acid are quantitatively similar following oral administration of equivalent doses of halauxifen-methyl and halauxifen acid. Halauxifen-methyl and halauxifen acid were shown to be toxicologically equivalent with respect to acute toxicity and genotoxicity endpoints. However, 28- and 90-day repeat dose toxicity studies in rats demonstrated different target organs and different no observed adverse effects levels (NOAELs) were established; the NOAELs established for halauxifen-methyl were lower than those established for halauxifen acid. The target organs of halauxifen-methyl were the liver and thyroid, while animals given repeated doses of halauxifen acid showed treatment-related effects in the kidneys and urinary bladder. Mode of action (MOA) studies with halauxifen-methyl demonstrated that the treatmentrelated liver effects in the rats were mediated through activation of the aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AhR). Several MOA studies were submitted to investigate the AhR-mediated key events including: pre-systemic halauxifen-methyl liver exposure, AhR activation via Cyp1a1 induction and hepatocellular proliferation, and the hydrolysis of halauxifen-methyl to halauxifen acid in vivo and in vitro. The submitted MOA studies demonstrated a threshold for the key events at 52 mg/kg bw/day in the 90-day study and the effects were reversible. In vitro Cyp1a1 and Cyp1a2 gene expression studies in primary cultures of human, mouse and rat hepatocytes suggested that rats may be more sensitive to halauxifen-methyl induced AhR activation than humans. In vitro hydrolysis data demonstrated that the human liver may hydrolyze halauxifen-methyl more quickly than rodents. Physiologically-based pharmacokinetic (PBPK) modelling predicted similar 24 hour AUCs for halauxifen-methyl in the liver and blood, and comparable peak concentrations between rats and humans. Overall, the animal MOA was accepted and the available data indicate that rats may be more sensitive to this AhR-mediated MOA than humans. Taken together, the bridging data demonstrated that halauxifen-methyl and halauxifen acid did not cause adverse effects up to the NOAEL observed for liver effects and that an AhR-mediated MOA is involved in halauxifen-methyl induced liver effects. Therefore, the studies with halauxifen acid were deemed appropriate for assessing halauxifen-methyl by routes that demonstrated rapid conversion to halauxifen acid. For risk assessment purposes, data from halauxifen acid was used for the establishment of endpoints for those scenarios where exposure to halauxifen-methyl was not expected.

Results of the toxicology studies conducted on laboratory animals with halauxifen-methyl, halauxifen acid, desmethyl metabolite and its associated end-use products, are summarized in Appendix I, Tables 2, 3 and 4. The toxicology endpoints for use in the human health risk assessment are summarized in Appendix I, Table 5.

Incident Reports

Since April 26, 2007, registrants have been required by law to report incidents, including adverse effects to health and the environment, to the PMRA within a set time frame. Information on the reporting of incidents can be found in the Pesticides and Pest Management portion of Health Canada's website. Incidents were searched and reviewed for halauxifen-methyl. Any additional information submitted by the applicant during the review process was considered. As of

February 3, 2014, no health-related incidents involving halauxifen-methyl were reported to the PMRA.

3.1.1 Pest Control Products Act Hazard Characterization

For assessing risks from potential residues in food or from products used in or around homes or schools, the *Pest Control Products Act* requires the application of an additional 10-fold factor to threshold effects to take into account completeness of the data with respect to the exposure of, and toxicity to, infants and children, and potential prenatal and postnatal toxicity. A different factor may be determined to be appropriate on the basis of reliable scientific data.

With respect to the completeness of the toxicity database as it pertains to the toxicity to infants and children, extensive data were available for halauxifen-methyl and halauxifen acid. The database contains the full complement of required studies including developmental toxicity studies in rats and rabbits with both halauxifen-methyl and halauxifen acid and a reproductive toxicity study in rats with halauxifen acid. Also, a broad range of toxicokinetic studies indicated that in vivo systemic exposure is to halauxifen acid.

With respect to potential prenatal and postnatal toxicity, no evidence of sensitivity of the young was observed in the 2-generation reproductive toxicity study. There were no treatment-related offspring effects. Decreased fetal weights and increased incidences of resorption/postimplantation loss and skeletal variations were observed in the rat developmental toxicity study with halauxifen acid; however, these effects occurred in the presence of maternal toxicity. A slight increase in the incidence of a serious effect, fetal resorptions/postimplantation loss, was observed in the presence of maternal liver effects in the rabbit developmental toxicity study with halauxifen-methyl. Conventionally, increased liver weight, increased hepatocellular hypertrophy of periportal hepatocytes, increased mitotic figures in hepatocytes and altered cytoplasmic homogeneity are not considered to be adverse liver effects in the adult animal. These effects were nevertheless present in pregnant animals and insufficient information was available to determine whether the treatment-related maternal liver effects had a potential impact upon the developing young, based on the AhR MOA. Therefore, these histological effects were considered to be reflective of marginal maternal toxicity. However, the concern for this serious effect is reduced by the fact that it was not dose-responsive, nor was it observed in a rangefinding study at much higher doses (38 times) and it did not have an impact on the mean live fetuses per maternal animal.

Based on the presence of maternal toxicity at the developmental LOAEL, the *Pest Control Products Act* factor was reduced to 3-fold when the rat or rabbit developmental toxicity study with halauxifen acid or halauxifen-methyl was used for risk assessment. For all other scenarios, the *Pest Control Products Act* factor was reduced to 1-fold since there were no residual uncertainties with respect to the completeness of the data, or with respect to potential toxicity to infants and children.

3.2 Determination of Acute Reference Dose

No acute endpoints of concern were identified in the toxicology database; therefore, an ARfD was not established.

3.3 Determination of Acceptable Daily Intake

To estimate dietary risk of repeat dietary exposure, the 1-year dog toxicity study with a NOAEL of 17 mg/kg bw/day and 2-year rat combined chronic/oncogenicity studies with a NOAEL of 20 mg/kg bw/day with halauxifen acid were co-critical endpoints selected for risk assessment. At the LOAEL of 90 mg/kg bw/day in dogs and 102 mg/kg bw/day in rats, treatment-related renal histological effects were observed in females. Standard uncertainty factors of 10-fold for interspecies extrapolation and 10-fold for intraspecies variability have been applied. As discussed in the *Pest Control Products Act* Hazard Characterization section, the *Pest Control Products Act* factor was reduced to 1-fold. The composite assessment factor (CAF) is thus 100-fold.

The acceptable daily intake (ADI) is calculated according to the following formula:

ADI =
$$\frac{\text{NOAEL}}{\text{CAF}} = \frac{20 \text{ mg/kg bw/day}}{100} = 0.2 \text{ mg/kg bw/day of halauxifen-methyl (acid)}$$

Cancer Assessment

There was no evidence of carcinogenicity and therefore, a cancer risk assessment is not necessary.

3.4 Occupational and Residential Risk Assessment

Occupational exposure to halauxifen-methyl is characterized as short-term and is predominantly by the dermal and inhalation route.

3.4.1 Toxicological Endpoints

Short- and Intermediate-term Dermal

The available information indicates that the expected human dermal exposure would be to halauxifen acid. Therefore, the data supporting halauxifen acid were considered for occupational (dermal) risk assessment.

For short- and intermediate-term dermal risk assessment for adults, the existing short-term dermal toxicity study did not address the endpoint of concern, thus necessitating the use of an oral study for risk assessment. The NOAEL of 140 mg/kg bw/day from the developmental toxicity study in rats with halauxifen acid was selected. At 526 mg/kg bw/day, decreased fetal weights, a slight increase in resorptions/postimplantation loss and delayed ossification of thoracic centra were observed in the presence of maternal toxicity.

The target margin of exposure (MOE) for this endpoint is 300. Ten-fold factors were applied each for interspecies extrapolation and intraspecies variability. The concerns outlined in the *Pest Control Products Act* Hazard Characterization section regarding this endpoint are also relevant to the worker population. For these reasons, an additional factor of 3-fold was applied to the risk assessment to protect for sensitive subpopulations such as unborn children.

The selection of this endpoint and MOE is considered to be protective of all populations, including nursing infants and the unborn children of exposed female workers.

Short- and Intermediate-term Inhalation

There was no information available on whether the expected human inhalation exposure will be to halauxifen-methyl or halauxifen acid. Therefore, halauxifen-methyl was used for occupational (inhalation) risk assessment.

For short- and intermediate-term inhalation risk assessment for adults, a short-term inhalation study was not available and therefore an oral study was used for the risk assessment. The rabbit developmental toxicity study with halauxifen-methyl was selected. At 19 mg/kg bw/day, increased resorptions/postimplantation loss was observed. The NOAEL was 6 mg/kg bw/day.

The target MOE for this endpoint is 300. Ten-fold factors were applied each for interspecies extrapolation and intraspecies variability. The concerns outlined in the *Pest Control Products Act* Hazard Characterization section regarding this endpoint are also relevant to the worker population. For these reasons, an additional factor of 3-fold was applied to the risk assessment to protect for sensitive subpopulations such as unborn children.

The selection of this endpoint and MOE is considered to be protective of all populations, including nursing infants and the unborn children of exposed female workers.

3.4.1.1 Dermal Absorption

In support of the registration of GF-2685 Herbicide, Paradigm Herbicide and Pixxaro A Herbicide, the applicant submitted an in vivo dermal absorption study in rats and an in vitro dermal absorption study in rat and human skin. Together, these studies are referred to as a "triple pack". The submitted dermal penetration studies for halauxifen-methyl were of good quality and the "triple pack" approach was considered for setting a dermal absorption value.

In the in vivo dermal absorption study, four male Wistar rats/dose group were dermally exposed for 10 hours to [¹⁴C]-halauxifen-methyl formulated as an emulsifiable concentrate, at three concentrations: 7.5 g a.e./ha (concentrate), *ca* 0.15 g a.e./ha (field dilution 1) and *ca* 0.025 g a.e. /ha (field dilution 2). Test material in 100 μ L was applied to an area of shaved skin of 10 cm² to give nominal dermal doses of 75, 1.5 and 0.25 μ g/cm², respectively. Following exposure, the test material was rinsed off and skin was tape-stripped 20 times to remove the stratum corneum. At each concentration, groups were designated for termination at 24, 48, 72, 144 (concentrate only) and 192 (field dilutions) hours post-dosing.

In the in vitro dermal absorption study, human and rat skin membranes were also dermally exposed for the same duration, with the same formulation and nominal concentrations as the in vivo study. After the 10-hour exposure, skin samples were rinsed and then absorption was continued for an additional 14 hours. Over the duration of the study, receptor fluid samples were collected during the following intervals after the dose application: 0-1 hour, 1-2 hours, followed by 2-hour intervals until 24 hours after application. After 24 hours, the application site was washed again. The diffusion cell was dismantled, receptor and donor compartments were washed twice, and each skin membrane was tape stripped maximally 15-20 times.

For both studies, the radioactivity in the matrices was analyzed by liquid scintillation counting (LSC). Dermal absorption values from the in vivo study included the % dose absorbed and found in skin bound residues, since absorption was not complete at the end of the study duration. Dermal absorption values from the in vitro study were calculated by summing the absorbable dose and the potentially absorbable dose.

With an exposure time of 10 hours, the mean percent dermal absorption values from the in vivo study are:

Actual dose	$75 \mu\text{g/cm}^2$	$1.5 \mu\text{g/cm}^2$	$0.25 \mu\text{g/cm}^2$
% dermal absorption at 24-hour sacrifice	9.85 ± 2.60	15.65 ± 6.67	23.25 ± 3.86
% dermal absorption at 48-hour sacrifice	9.87 ± 3.86	17.19 ± 2.81	29.13 ± 10.71
% dermal absorption at 96-hour sacrifice	9.26 ± 2.87	24.22 ± 0.94	21.95 ± 4.29
% dermal absorption at 144/192 hour sacrifice	14.51 ± 4.95	18.39 ± 2.06	30.45 ± 3.21

% dermal absorption = radioactivity recovered from urine, faeces, cage wash, blood, control skin, GI tract, carcass, skin strips and stripped skin.

With an exposure time of 10 hours and post exposure time of 14 hours, the mean percent dermal absorption values from the in vitro study are:

Actual dose (µg/cm ²)	77.6 (rat) 77.3 (human)		0.26 (rat) 0.25 (human)
% Absorption* - human skin	0.9	15.5	27.9
% Absorption* - rat skin	5.0	42.5	45.9

* % absorption is % radioactivity in the receptor fluid + the receptor compartment wash + skin membrane + all tape strips

The use of the triple pack approach was considered. To use the triple pack approach, the ratio of the animal in vitro to in vivo dermal absorption factor must be close to 1, which indicates that a human in vitro study conducted under the same conditions as the animal test is likely to be a good predictor of human dermal absorption. In addition, the usefulness of the dermal absorption data would necessarily be dependent on the validity and applicability of the experimental design as well as consideration of the 'minimal standards' discussed in the 'NAFTA Dermal Absorption Position Paper on Use of in vitro Dermal Absorption Data in Risk Assessment".

Most of the minimal standards listed in the 2008 draft position paper were met for using the triple pack approach. However, a major limitation with the in vitro study is that all the rat membranes were from the same rat. As such, the intraspecies variability of the rat membrane is not captured in the in vitro rat dermal absorption values, which decreases the confidence in the validity and reliability of the in vitro study results. In addition, the actual doses in the in vitro study were 9% to 24% higher than the target dose, resulting in actual doses that are slightly higher than those in the in vivo study.

The following table compares the ratios of dermal absorption from in vitro rat to in vivo rat (10 hour exposure, 24 hour sacrifice):

Actual dose (µg/cm ²)	Rat in vitro	Rat in vivo	Ratio: in vitro rat / in vivo rat
77 (in vitro) / 75 (in vivo)	5.0	9.9	0.5
1.5 (both)	42.5	15.7	2.7
0.26 (in vitro) / 0.25 (in vivo)	45.9	23.3	2.0

As presented in the above table, the dermal absorption ratios from in vitro rat to in vivo are 0.5 to 2.7 for different concentrations tested. The ratios are not close to 1, especially for field dilutions. In addition, the ratios are inconsistent with the different doses. At the high dose, the in vivo dermal absorption exceeds the in vitro dermal absorption; however, at the mid and low dose, the in vitro dermal absorption.

Due to the results and the study limitations of the in vitro study, the dermal absorption values derived from the in vitro human dermal absorption data cannot be used for human health risk assessments. As such, the in vivo rat dermal absorption data is used to derive the dermal absorption value used for risk assessment purposes. As per the OECD guidance notes, the dermal absorption value from the final time point in the study was chosen to be the most appropriate regulatory value, as the fate of residues can be more adequately characterized. In addition, given the variability in actual deposition under field conditions, it is considered appropriate to derive an estimate of dermal absorption based on the results from the low dose, as percent dermal absorption was greatest at this dose level. Therefore, the dermal absorption is 30%, based on the in vivo rat study from the 0.25 μ g/cm² dose group sacrificed at 192 hours.

3.4.2 Occupational Exposure and Risk

3.4.2.1 Mixer/Loader/Applicator Exposure and Risk Assessment

Individuals have potential for exposure to halauxifen-methyl during mixing, loading and application. Exposure to workers mixing, loading and applying GF-2685 Herbicide, Paradigm Herbicide or Pixxaro A Herbicide is expected to be short-term in duration and to occur primarily by the dermal and inhalation routes. Exposure estimates were derived for mixers/loaders/applicators applying GF-2685 Herbicide, Paradigm Herbicide and Pixxaro A Herbicide using groundboom and aerial equipment. The exposure estimates are based on mixers/loaders/applicators wearing a single layer (and chemical-resistant gloves when mixing/loading).

As chemical-specific data for assessing human exposures were not submitted, dermal and inhalation exposures for workers involved with groundboom and aerial application were estimated using the Pesticide Handlers Exposure Database (PHED), version 1.1. PHED is a compilation of generic mixer/loader and applicator passive dosimetry data with associated software which facilitates the generation of scenario-specific exposure estimates.

Dermal exposure was estimated by using the unit exposure values with the amount of product handled per day and the dermal absorption value of 30%. Inhalation exposure was estimated by coupling the unit exposure values with the amount of product handled per day with 100% inhalation absorption. Exposure was normalized to mg/kg bw/day by using 80 kg adult body weight.

Exposure estimates were compared to the toxicological endpoints (NOAELs) to obtain the margin of exposure (MOE); the target MOE is 300 for both dermal and inhalation risk. Appendix I, Table 6 presents the PHED unit exposure values used in the risk assessment. Appendix I, Table 7 present the estimates of exposure and risk for GF-2685 Herbicide, Paradigm Herbicide and Pixxaro A Herbicide. The combined MOEs were all above 300, therefore, risks are not of concern provided that workers wear the personal protective equipment stated on the product labels.

3.4.2.2 Exposure and Risk Assessment for Workers Entering Treated Areas

There is potential for exposure to workers re-entering areas treated with GF-2685 Herbicide, Paradigm Herbicide or Pixxaro A Herbicide when scouting. The duration of exposure is considered to be short-term. The primary route of exposure for workers re-entering treated areas would be through the dermal route. Inhalation exposure is not considered to be a significant route of exposure for people entering treated areas compared to the dermal route, since the active ingredient is relatively non-volatile according to NAFTA criteria for outdoor use and, as such, a risk assessment was not required.

Dermal exposure to workers entering treated areas is estimated by coupling dislodgeable foliar residue values with activity-specific transfer coefficients (TCs). Activity transfer coefficients are based on Agricultural Re-entry Task Force (ARTF) data.

Chemical-specific dislodgeable foliar residue data were not submitted. As such, a default dislodgeable foliar residue value of 25% of the application rate was used in the exposure assessment. Exposure estimates were compared to the toxicological endpoint to obtain the MOE; the target MOE is 300 (Appendix I, Table 8).

3.4.3 Residential Exposure and Risk Assessment

There are no residential uses for GF-2685 Herbicide, Paradigm Herbicide, or Pixxaro A Herbicide and, as such, a residential risk assessment was not required.

3.4.3.1 Bystander Exposure and Risk

Bystander exposure should be negligible since the potential for drift is expected to be minimal. Application is limited to only when there is low risk of drift to areas of human habitation or activity such as houses, cottages, schools and recreational areas, taking into consideration wind speed, wind direction, temperature inversions, application equipment and sprayer settings.

3.5 Food Residues Exposure Assessment

3.5.1 Exposure from Drinking Water

3.5.1.1 Concentrations in Drinking Water

Estimated environmental concentrations (EECs) of combined residues of halauxifen-methyl [halauxifen-methyl and transformation products XDE-729 acid (X11393729) and X11449757] in potential drinking water sources (groundwater and surface water) were generated using computer simulation models. An overview of how the EECs are estimated is provided in the PMRA's Science Policy Notice SPN2004-01, *Estimating the Water Component of a Dietary Exposure Assessment*. EECs of halauxifen-methyl combined residues in groundwater were calculated using the PRZM-GW model to simulate leaching through a layered soil profile over a 50-year period. The concentrations calculated using PRZM-GW are based on the flux, or movement, of pesticide into shallow groundwater with time. EECs of combined residues of halauxifen-methyl in surface water were calculated using the PRZM/EXAMS models, which simulate pesticide runoff from a treated field into an adjacent water body and the fate of a pesticide within that water body. Pesticide concentrations in surface water were estimated in one type of vulnerable drinking water source, a small reservoir.

A Level 1 drinking water assessment was conducted using conservative assumptions with respect to environmental fate, application rate and timing, and geographic scenario. The Level 1 EECs are expected to allow for future use expansion into other crops at this application rate. Appendix I, Table 9 lists the application information and main environmental fate characteristics used in the simulations. Several (eight for surface water, four for groundwater) initial application dates between early April and mid-July were modelled. The model was run for 50 years for all scenarios. The largest EECs of all selected runs are reported in Appendix I, Table 10.

3.5.2 Residues in Plant and Animal Foodstuffs

The residue definition for risk assessment and enforcement in plant products is halauxifenmethyl. The residue definition for risk assessment and enforcement in edible livestock commodities is halauxifen-methyl and the metabolite X11449757. The data gathering/enforcement analytical method for the quantitation of halauxifen-methyl residues in plant matrices is valid for the determination of this analyte. There is currently no acceptable enforcement method available for the determination of X11449757 in edible livestock commodities. However, the LC-MS/MS data collection method used in the cattle feeding study was demonstrated to be adequate for data collection through the analysis of control samples spiked with X11449757. Residues of halauxifen-methyl are stable in representative high water (lettuce), high oil (rapeseed), high acid (whole oranges) and high starch (wheat grain) commodities for up to 16 months (489 days) when stored in a freezer at \leq -18°C. The raw agricultural commodity, wheat grain was processed. Quantifiable residues were not observed in the unprocessed wheat grain or in the processed commodities, aspirated grain fractions, bran, total bran, flour (dry mill), whole meal flour, flour-550, bread (white), whole grain bread, middlings, shorts, germ, gluten, gluten feed meal and starch. As a result, processing factors were not determined for any of the processed commodities. An adequate feeding study was carried out in cattle to assess the anticipated residues in edible matrices resulting from the current uses. A hen feeding study is not available and is not currently required given that results from the poultry metabolism study demonstrated that residues would not be expected in edible poultry matrices in birds fed grain from treated crops. Crop field trials conducted throughout the United States and Canada using end-use products containing halauxifen-methyl at approved rates in or on the proposed crops are sufficient to support the proposed maximum residue limits.

3.5.3 Dietary Risk Assessment

Chronic non-cancer dietary risk assessments were conducted using the Dietary Exposure Evaluation Model (DEEM–FCIDTM, Version 2.14), which uses updated food consumption data from the United States Department of Agriculture's Continuing Surveys of Food Intakes by Individuals, 1994–1996 and 1998.

3.5.3.1 Chronic Dietary Exposure Results and Characterization

The following criteria were applied to the basic chronic non-cancer analysis for halauxifenmethyl: 100% crop treated and residues based on the proposed MRLs for domestic crops. The basic chronic dietary exposure from all supported halauxifen-methyl food uses (alone) for the total population, including infants and children, and all representative population subgroups is <1% of the ADI. Aggregate exposure from food and drinking water is considered acceptable. The PMRA estimates that chronic dietary exposure to halauxifen-methyl from food and drinking water is <1% (\leq 0.000043 mg/kg bw/day) of the ADI for the total population and all population subgroups.

3.5.3.2 Acute Dietary Exposure Results and Characterization

No appropriate endpoint attributable to a single dose for the general population (including children and infants) was identified. Therefore, an acute dietary exposure assessment was not conducted for halauxifen-methyl.

3.5.4 Aggregate Exposure and Risk

The aggregate risk for halauxifen-methyl consists of exposure from food and drinking water sources only; there are no residential uses.

3.5.5 Maximum Residue Limits

A maximum residue limit (MRL) of 0.01 ppm is being proposed for the commodities: barley and wheat.

For additional information on MRLs in terms of the international situation and trade implications, refer to Appendix II.

The nature of the residues in animal and plant matrices, analytical methodologies, field trial data, and acute and chronic dietary risk estimates are summarized in Appendix I, Table 1b, 11a - 11f and 12.

4.0 Impact on the Environment

4.1 Fate and Behaviour in the Environment

Halauxifen-methyl is non-persistent to slightly persistent in terrestrial and aquatic systems based on laboratory and field dissipation studies. Hydrolysis can contribute to the dissipation of halauxifen-methyl in the environment, especially under alkaline conditions. Biotransformation can also contribute to the dissipation of halauxifen-methyl in the environment. Phototransformation on soil is not an important route of dissipation for halauxifen-methyl, while phototransformation in water can be important near the surface of water bodies. Halauxifenmethyl is not expected to volatilize from water or moist soils. Based on field dissipation studies, halauxifen-methyl and transformation products XDE-729 acid (X11393729) and X11449757 are not expected to carry over in appreciable amounts into the next growing season. Halauxifenmethyl and its transformation products can be mobile in soil, but levels detected below 30 cm in field studies were low (less than or equal to 2.4% of maximum measured halauxifen-methyl concentrations). Conservative water modelling of combined residues indicates that levels of halauxifen-methyl and its transformation products that may reach groundwater are very low. Halauxifen-methyl does not appreciably bioaccumulate in fish. A summary of the environmental fate and behaviour of halauxifen-methyl and its transformation products is presented in Appendix I, Table 13.

4.2 Environmental Risk Characterization

The environmental risk assessment integrates the environmental exposure and ecotoxicology information to estimate the potential for adverse effects on non-target species. This integration is achieved by comparing exposure concentrations with concentrations at which adverse effects occur. Estimated environmental concentrations are concentrations of pesticide in various environmental media, such as food, water, soil and air. The EECs are estimated using standard models which take into consideration the application rate(s), chemical properties and environmental fate properties, including the dissipation of the pesticide between applications. Ecotoxicology information includes acute and chronic toxicity data for various organisms or groups of organisms from both terrestrial and aquatic habitats including invertebrates, vertebrates, and plants. Toxicity endpoints used in risk assessments may be adjusted to account

for potential differences in species sensitivity as well as varying protection goals (in other words, protection at the community, population, or individual level).

Initially, a screening level risk assessment is performed to identify pesticides and/or specific uses that do not pose a risk to non-target organisms, and to identify those groups of organisms for which there may be a potential risk. The screening level risk assessment uses simple methods, conservative exposure scenarios (for example, direct application at a maximum cumulative application rate) and sensitive toxicity endpoints. A risk quotient (RQ) is calculated by dividing the exposure estimate by an appropriate toxicity value (RQ = exposure/toxicity), and the RQ is then compared to the level of concern [LOC = 1 for most species, 0.4 for pollinators and 2 for]beneficial arthropods (predatory mite and parasitoid wasp)]. If the screening level RQ is below the LOC, the risk is considered negligible and no further risk characterization is necessary. If the screening level RQ is equal to or greater than the LOC, then a refined risk assessment is performed to further characterize the risk. A refined assessment takes into consideration more realistic exposure scenarios (such as drift to non-target habitats) and might consider different toxicity endpoints. Refinements may include further characterization of risk based on exposure modelling, monitoring data, results from field or mesocosm studies, and probabilistic risk assessment methods. Refinements to the risk assessment may continue until the risk is adequately characterized or no further refinements are possible.

As multiple ER_{50} values were available for terrestrial vascular plants, the program ETX 2.0 was used to generate a species sensitivity distribution (SSD) based on normally distributed toxicity data. The hazardous rate to 5% of the species (HR₅) was then calculated for vegetative vigour from the SSD. The HR₅ is the rate which is theoretically protective for 95% of species. At the HR₅ exposure level, 5% of all species will be exposed to a rate which exceeds their LR₅₀ toxicity value. The variability around the fraction of species affected value is indicated by the lower and upper confidence limits, which indicates the minimum and maximum percent of species that may be affected at the HR₅ value. The HR₅ values were used to calculate the RQ for terrestrial vascular plants instead of the most sensitive species tested. This provides a more scientifically robust endpoint, which uses all of the data.

4.2.1 Risks to Terrestrial Organisms

A risk assessment for halauxifen-methyl was conducted for terrestrial organisms. For acute toxicity studies, uncertainty factors of 1/2 and 1/10 the EC₅₀ (LC₅₀) are typically used in modifying the toxicity values for terrestrial invertebrates, birds and mammals when calculating RQs. No uncertainty factors are applied to chronic no observed effect concentration (NOEC) endpoints. A summary of terrestrial toxicity data for halauxifen-methyl and its transformation products is presented in Appendix I, Table 14. The screening level risk assessment for halauxifen-methyl is presented in Appendix I, Table 15 for terrestrial organisms other than birds and mammals, and Appendix I, Table 16 for birds and mammals. The screening level risk assessment for transformation products of halauxifen-methyl is presented in Appendix I, Table 16 for birds and mammals. The screening level risk assessment for transformation products of halauxifen-methyl is presented in Appendix I, Table 16 for birds and mammals. The screening level risk assessment for transformation products of halauxifen-methyl is presented in Appendix I, Table 16 for birds and mammals. The screening level risk assessment for transformation products of halauxifen-methyl is presented in Appendix I, Table 17 for terrestrial organisms.

Earthworms: Halauxifen-methyl and its transformation products XDE-729 acid (X11393729) and X11449757 were not acutely toxic to earthworms. The RQs for earthworms resulting from

acute exposure to halauxifen-methyl or its soil transformation products XDE-729 acid (X11393729) and X11449757 do not exceed the level of concern at the screening level. The use of halauxifen-methyl is expected to pose a negligible acute risk to earthworms.

Bees: Acute oral and contact exposure to halauxifen-methyl did not result in treatment-related mortality in honey bees. The resulting RQs for both acute contact and oral exposure routes were all below the LOC, indicating halauxifen-methyl is expected to pose a negligible risk to pollinators. Although studies on bee larval toxicity are not available at this time, none are required as larval bee toxicity is not expected from exposure to halauxifen-methyl based on the mode of action, a lack of effects observed for adult bees, and a lack of effects for beneficial arthropods.

Beneficial arthropods: Acute exposure of the predatory mite, *Typhlodromus pyri*, and the parasitoid wasp, *Aphidius rhopalosiphi*, to a formulation of halauxifen-methyl resulted in no statistically significant differences in reproduction or mortality. The RQs for predatory and parasitoid arthropods resulting from exposure to halauxifen-methyl did not exceed the level of concern at the screening level. The use of halauxifen-methyl is expected to pose a negligible risk to predatory and parasitoid arthropods.

Other terrestrial invertebrates: Chronic exposure of the predatory mite, *Hypoaspis aculeifer*, or the springtail, *Folsomia candida*, to halauxifen-methyl or the transformation product X11449757 did not result in treatment-related effects on mortality or reproduction. Chronic exposure of the predatory mite, *H. aculeifer*, to the transformation XDE-729 acid (X11393729) affected reproduction at a soil concentration of 25 mg/kg dry substrate. The RQs for *H. aculeifer* and *F. candida* resulting from chronic exposure to halauxifen-methyl or its transformation products XDE-729 acid (X11393729) and X11449757 did not exceed the level of concern at the screening level. The use of halauxifen-methyl is expected to pose a negligible risk to soil-dwelling invertebrates.

Birds: Halauxifen-methyl was not toxic to birds on an acute or dietary basis, with no treatmentrelated mortality or sublethal effects. Following reproductive exposure of Northern bobwhite quail, *Colinus virginianus*, to halauxifen-methyl, reproduction was affected at a concentration of 1040 mg a.i./kg diet, equivalent to a daily dietary dose of 93.7 mg a.i./kg bw/day. The RQs for birds resulting from acute or reproductive exposure to halauxifen-methyl did not exceed the level of concern at the screening level. The use of halauxifen-methyl is expected to pose a negligible risk to birds.

Mammals: Halauxifen-methyl was not toxic to mammals on an acute or reproductive basis. Dietary exposure of pregnant female rabbits to halauxifen-methyl resulted in increased resorptions and postimplantation loss at a dose of 19 mg/kg bw/day. The RQs for mammals resulting from acute, reproductive and developmental exposure to halauxifen-methyl did not exceed the level of concern at the screening level. The results of acute, reproduction and developmental toxicity studies with the transformation product XDE-729 acid (X11393729) indicate that the transformation product is less toxic than the parent halauxifen-methyl. As the RQs for acute and reproductive/developmental exposure to halauxifen-methyl were below the level of concern, the transformation product XDE-729 acid (X11393729) is expected to pose a

negligible risk to mammals. The use of halauxifen-methyl is expected to pose a negligible risk to mammals.

Vascular plants: Halauxifen-methyl was toxic to non-target plants in seedling emergence and vegetative vigour studies using standard crop species. The transformation product X11393729 (XDE-729 acid) was toxic to non-target plants in seedling emergence tests, while the transformation product X11449757 was not. The formulation GF-2687, containing the active ingredients halauxifen-methyl and florasulam (as in Paradigm Herbicide), was toxic to non-target plants in seedling emergence and vegetative vigour studies using standard crop species.

Using the seedling emergence ER_{25} for the most sensitive species, the calculated RQs exceeded the level of concern for halauxifen-methyl, the transformation product X11393729 (XDE-729 acid) and the formulation GF-2687. Using the HR₅ values for halauxifen-methyl and for the formulation GF-2687 from the SSD of ER_{50} values for vegetative vigour, the calculated RQs also exceeded the level of concern at the screening level. The risk to terrestrial vascular plants was further characterized by looking at off-field exposure from drift.

Based on the RQs calculated using the off-field EECs from drift, the level of concern for terrestrial vascular plants exposed to halauxifen-methyl and the formulation GF-2687 was still exceeded for vegetative vigour, while the level of concern for plants exposed to transformation product X11393729 (XDE-729 acid) was still exceeded for seedling emergence. Spray buffer zones will be required on product labels to protect non-target terrestrial vascular plants. The spray buffer zones will be rate-specific for the product labels and will range from 1 to 100 metres.

For the end-use product Pixxaro A Herbicide which contains halauxifen-methyl and fluroxypyr, present as methylheptyl ester, the spray buffer zones on the label for the protection of terrestrial habitats will be the largest ones required out of the two active ingredients in the product.

4.2.2 Risks to Aquatic Organisms

A risk assessment for halauxifen-methyl, three of its transformation products, XDE-729 acid (X11393729), X11449757 and X11406790, and for the formulation GF-2687 containing halauxifen-methyl and florasulam was conducted for freshwater and marine aquatic organisms based on available toxicity data. A summary of aquatic toxicity data is presented in Appendix I, Table 18.

For acute toxicity studies, uncertainty factors of 1/2 and 1/10 the EC₅₀ (LC₅₀) are typically used for aquatic plants and invertebrates, and fish species, respectively, when calculating RQs. No uncertainty factors are applied to chronic NOEC endpoints. For groups where the LOC is exceeded (in other words, RQ \geq 1), a refined Tier 1 assessment is conducted to determine risk resulting from spray drift and runoff separately. Risk quotients for halauxifen-methyl and its transformation products were calculated based on the highest maximum seasonal application rate for all uses. The screening level RQs for halauxifen-methyl and its transformation products are summarized in Appendix I, Tables 19 and 20, respectively. The calculated screening level RQs for the GF-2687 formulation are summarized in Appendix I, Table 21. The RQs for the Tier 1 assessment of halauxifen-methyl, its transformation products and the GF-2687 formulation are presented in Appendix I, Table 22 (spray drift only) and Table 23 (runoff only).

Invertebrates: Halauxifen-methyl affected the survival of daphnids but was not acutely toxic up to the limit of solubility in tests with marine crustaceans and mollusks. Acute exposure to halauxifen-methyl in whole sediment tests had no effect on the survival or growth of sediment-dwelling freshwater or marine invertebrates. Chronic exposure to halauxifen-methyl affected reproduction and growth of daphnids at 0.92 mg a.i./L, and affected growth in mysid shrimp at 0.325 mg a.i./L. No effects of halauxifen-methyl on survival, development or emergence were observed up to the limit of water solubility in a long-term emergence test with the freshwater midge *Chironomus riparius*. The major transformation products XDE-729 acid (X11393729), X11449757 and X11406790 were not toxic to freshwater invertebrates on an acute basis. The RQs for freshwater and marine invertebrates resulting from exposure to halauxifen-methyl or its transformation products do not exceed the level of concern at the screening level. The use of halauxifen-methyl is expected to pose a negligible risk to freshwater and marine invertebrates.

Fish: Halauxifen-methyl affected the survival of rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss*, on an acute exposure basis. Effects on survival and growth and reproduction of fathead minnow, *Pimephales promelas*, were observed following early life-stage and short-term reproduction exposures to halauxifen-methyl. The major transformation products XDE-729 acid (X11393729), X11449757 and X11406790 were not toxic to freshwater fish on an acute, early-live stage or short-term reproduction basis. The RQs for freshwater and marine fish resulting from exposure to halauxifen-methyl and its transformation products did not exceed the level of concern at the screening level. The use of halauxifen-methyl is expected to pose a negligible risk to fish.

Amphibians: The risk for amphibians was characterized at the screening level by comparing EECs in 15 cm water depth with amphibian toxicity endpoints for halauxifen-methyl. Fish toxicity endpoints were used as surrogates for aquatic life-stages of amphibians for the transformation products XDE-729 acid (X11393729), X11449757 and X11406790. Acute exposure of tadpoles of the African clawed frog, *Xenopus laevis*, to halauxifen-methyl at the maximum achievable test concentration resulted in 45% mortality. Based on results of a metamorphosis study, halauxifen-methyl was not likely thyroid active at up to the solubility limit of the test, 0.38 mg a.i./L. The RQs for amphibians resulting from acute, stage-specific exposures or chronic exposures to halauxifen-methyl and its transformation products do not exceed the level of concern at the screening level. The use of halauxifen-methyl is expected to pose a negligible risk to amphibians.

Algae: Halauxifen-methyl was toxic to freshwater and marine diatoms, while it was not toxic to green algae and blue-green algae at concentrations up to the limit of solubility. The transformation products XDE-729 acid (X11393729), X11449757 and X11406790 were less toxic to algae than halauxifen-methyl. The formulation GF-2687 was toxic to green algae. The RQs for freshwater and marine algae resulting from acute exposure to halauxifen-methyl, its transformation products, or the formulation GF-2687 do not exceed the level of concern at the screening level. The use of halauxifen-methyl is expected to pose a negligible risk to freshwater or marine algae.

Aquatic vascular plants: Halauxifen-methyl, transformation product XDE-729 acid (X11393729), and the formulation GF-2687 were toxic to aquatic vascular plants, rooted aquatic macrophytes in particular, at low concentrations. The halauxifen-methyl transformation products, X11449757 and X11406790, were not toxic to aquatic vascular plants. The RQs resulting from exposure to halauxifen-methyl and XDE-729 acid (X11393729) via direct application to water exceeded the level of concern at the screening level for rooted aquatic macrophytes. For the formulation GF-2687, the RQs exceeded the level of concern at the screening level for duckweed and rooted aquatic macrophytes. The RQs for aquatic vascular plants exposed to transformation products X11449757 and X11406790 did not exceed the level of concern at the screening level. The risk to aquatic vascular plants from exposure to halauxifen-methyl, XDE-729 acid (X11393729) and the formulation GF-2687 was further characterized by looking at exposure from spray drift and runoff.

The refined RQs for rooted aquatic macrophytes exposed to halauxifen-methyl and to XDE-729 acid (X11393729) from spray drift exceeded the level of concern for aerial application but not ground application. Spray buffer zones will be required on halauxifen-methyl product labels to protect rooted aquatic macrophytes from the potential effects of spray drift. The spray buffer zones for halauxifen-methyl will be rate-specific for the product labels and will range from 1 to 10 metres.

The refined RQs for aquatic vascular plants exposed to the formulation GF-2687 from spray drift did not exceed the level of concern. A default spray buffer zone of 1 metre will be required on the label for the end-use product Paradigm Herbicide, which contains halauxifen-methyl and florasulam, to protect aquatic vascular plants from the potential effects of spray drift.

For the end-use product Pixxaro A Herbicide which contains a second active ingredient, fluroxypyr, present as methylheptyl ester, in addition to halauxifen-methyl, the spray buffer zones on the labels for the protection of aquatic habitats will be the largest ones required out of the two active ingredients in the products.

RQs for rooted aquatic macrophytes from exposure of halauxifen-methyl and XDE-729 acid (X11393729) through runoff did not exceed the level of concern. Risk from runoff of the formulation GF-2687 is not expected based on the absence of risk from runoff for halauxifen-methyl or florasulam separately. Standard label statements to mitigate excessive runoff into aquatic habitats will also be required on the label for all halauxifen-methyl end-use products.

5.0 Value

5.1 Effectiveness Against Pests

5.1.1 Acceptable Efficacy Claims

5.1.1.1 GF-2685 Herbicide

Efficacy data were submitted from a total of sixty-nine (69) small plot field trials that were established in western Canada (Alberta, Saskatchewan and Manitoba), eastern Canada (Ontario), and the US (Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota and Minnesota), between 2010 and 2011. GF-2685 Herbicide, applied with 0.5% v/v Turbocharge, was visually assessed as percent weed control on chickweed, cleavers, hemp-nettle, kochia, lamb's quarters, redroot pigweed, wild buckwheat and volunteer flax and compared to an untreated weedy check.

The data support the control of cleavers (1-9 whorl stage), volunteer flax (up to 15 cm in height) and lamb's quarters and the suppression of hemp-nettle and redroot pigweed with a rate of 2.5 g a.e./ha of halauxifen-methyl; the control of chickweed, hemp-nettle and redroot pigweed and the suppression of wild buckwheat and kochia with a rate of 5.0 g a.e./ha of halauxifen-methyl plus the weeds listed with the rate of 2.5 g a.e./ha; and control of wild buckwheat with a rate of 10.0 g a.e./ha of halauxifen-methyl plus the weeds listed with the rate of 5.0 g a.e./ha.

The use claims that are supported for GF-2685 Herbicide are listed in Appendix I, Table 24a.

5.1.1.2 Paradigm Herbicide

Efficacy data were submitted from a total of thirty (30) small plot field trials that were established in western Canada (Alberta, Saskatchewan and Manitoba) between 2010 and 2011. Paradigm Herbicide, applied with 0.5% v/v Turbocharge, was visually assessed as percent weed control on chickweed, cleavers, hemp-nettle, lamb's quarters, redroot pigweed, lady's thumb, volunteer canola, volunteer flax and wild buckwheat and compared to an untreated weedy check.

The data support the control of wild buckwheat, volunteer canola, chickweed, cleavers (1-9 whorl stage), volunteer flax (up to 15 cm in height), lamb's quarters, wild mustard, redroot pigweed, shepherd's purse, green smartweed (lady's thumb), and stinkweed and the suppression of hemp-nettle, kochia, annual sow-thistle and perennial sow-thistle with a rate of 10 g a.e./ha (5.0 g a.e./ha of halauxifen-methyl + 5.0 g a.i./ha of florasulam).

The use claims that are supported for Paradigm Herbicide are listed in Appendix I, Table 24b.

5.1.1.3 Pixxaro A Herbicide

Efficacy data were submitted from a total of forty-four (44) small plot field trials that were established across various ecozones in western Canada (Alberta, Saskatchewan and Manitoba), eastern Canada (Ontario), and the US (Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota and Minnesota),

between 2010 and 2011. Pixxaro A Herbicide, applied with 0.5% v/v Turbocharge, was visually assessed as percent weed control on chickweed, cleavers, hemp-nettle, kochia, lamb's quarters, redroot pigweed, wild mustard, wild buckwheat and volunteer flax and compared to an untreated weedy check.

The data support the control of wild buckwheat, chickweed, cleavers (1-9 whorl stage), volunteer flax (up to 15 cm in height), hemp-nettle, kochia (up to 15 cm in height), lamb's quarters and redroot pigweed and the suppression of wild mustard with a rate of 87.0 g a.e./ha (5.0 g a.e./ha of halauxifen-methyl + 77.0 g a.e./ha of fluroxypyr).

The use claims that are supported for Pixxaro A Herbicide are listed in Appendix I, Table 24c.

5.1.2 Herbicide Tank Mix Combinations

5.1.2.1 GF-2685 Herbicide

Data from replicated field trials (number of trials varies depending on the tank-mix partner) conducted in 2010 and 2011 at several locations throughout the Prairie Provinces were submitted in support of the proposed tank-mixes.

The efficacy of GF-2685 Herbicide plus various tank-mix partners was visually assessed as percent weed control and compared to an untreated weedy check. Observations were made up to three times throughout the growing season. The data support the tank mixtures of GF-2685 Herbicide with Refine SG, Refine SG plus MCPA ester, Axial 100 EC, Horizon 240EC, Horizon NG, Puma¹²⁰ Super, Puma Advance, Liquid Achieve SC, Everest 2.0, Traxos Herbicide, Refine SG plus Axial 100 EC, Refine SG plus Horizon 240EC, Refine SG plus Horizon NG, Refine SG plus Horizon NG, Refine SG plus Everest 2.0, Refine SG plus MCPA ester plus Axial 100 EC, and Refine SG plus MCPA ester plus Everest 2.0 for the control of a broader weed spectrum.

5.1.2.2 Paradigm Herbicide

Data from replicated field trials (number of trials varies depending on the tank-mix partner) conducted in 2010 and 2011 at several locations throughout the Prairie Provinces were submitted in support of the proposed tank-mixes.

The efficacy of Paradigm Herbicide plus various tank-mix partners was visually assessed as percent weed control and compared to an untreated weedy check. Observations were made up to three times throughout the growing season. The data support the tank mixtures of Paradigm Herbicide with MCPA ester, Curtail M Herbicide, Axial 100 EC, Everest 2.0, Simplicity Herbicide, MCPA ester plus Axial 100 EC, MCPA ester plus Everest 2.0, MCPA ester plus Simplicity, Curtail M Herbicide plus Everest 2.0, and Curtail M Herbicide plus Simplicity for the control of a broader weed spectrum.

5.1.2.3 Pixxaro A Herbicide

Data from replicated field trials (number of trials varies depending on the tank-mix partner) conducted in 2010 and 2011 at several locations throughout the Prairie Provinces were submitted in support of the proposed tank-mixes.

The efficacy of Pixxaro A Herbicide plus various tank-mix partners was visually assessed as percent weed control and compared to an untreated weedy check. Observations were made up to three times throughout the growing season. The data support the tank mixtures of Pixxaro A Herbicide with MCPA ester, Curtail M Herbicide, Axial 100 EC, Horizon 240EC, Horizon NG, Puma¹²⁰ Super, Puma Advance, Liquid Achieve SC, Everest 2.0, Traxos Herbicide, MCPA ester or Curtail M Herbicide plus Axial 100 EC. MCPA ester or Curtail M Herbicide plus Horizon 240EC, MCPA ester or Curtail M Herbicide plus Horizon NG, MCPA ester or Curtail M Herbicide plus Puma¹²⁰ Super, MCPA ester or Curtail M Herbicide plus Puma¹²⁰ Super, MCPA ester or Curtail M Herbicide plus Puma Advance, MCPA ester or Curtail M Herbicide plus Liquid Achieve SC, MCPA ester or Curtail M Herbicide plus Everest 2.0, and MCPA ester or Curtail M Herbicide plus Traxos Herbicide for the control of a broader weed spectrum.

5.1.3 Rainfastness

Data from a greenhouse experiment conducted in Indianapolis, Indiana were submitted in support of a rainfastness interval of one hour following an application of GF-2685 Herbicide, Paradigm Herbicide and Pixxaro A Herbicide. GF-2685 Herbicide at rates of 2.5 g a.e./ha (min 1x rate) and 5 g a.e./ha (mid 1x rate) plus Turbocharge at 1% v/v (2x rate), Paradigm Herbicide at rates of 5 g a.e./ha ($\frac{1}{2}x$ rate) and 10 g a.e./ha (1x rate) plus Turbocharge at 1% v/v (2x rate) and Pixxaro A Herbicide at rates of 41 g a.e./ha ($\frac{1}{2}x$ rate) and 82 g a.e./ha (1x rate) plus Turbocharge at 1% v/v (2x rate) plus Turbocharge at 1% v/v (2x rate) and Pixxaro A Herbicide at rates of 41 g a.e./ha (1/2x rate) and 82 g a.e./ha (1x rate) plus Turbocharge at 1% v/v (2x rate) and Pixxaro A Herbicide at rates of 41 g a.e./ha (1/2x rate) and 82 g a.e./ha (1x rate) plus Turbocharge at 1% v/v (2x rate) and Pixxaro A Herbicide at rates of 41 g a.e./ha (1/2x rate) and 82 g a.e./ha (1x rate) plus Turbocharge at 1% v/v (2x rate) were applied to pots seeded with lamb's quarters, cleavers and redroot pigweed. Simulated rainfall treatments were applied to separate groups of plants at 1, 2, 4 and 8 hours after treatment and compared to the treatments that received no rain. Treatments were once every week and up to three weeks after the initial application.

The data support the 1-hour rainfastness interval for GF-2685 Herbicide, Paradigm Herbicide and Pixxaro Herbicide.

5.2 Non-Safety Adverse Effects

5.2.1 GF-2685 Herbicide

Data from a total of sixteen (16) dedicated weed-free crop tolerance trials along with crop tolerance data from seventy-five (75) efficacy trials conducted in 2010 and 2011 in western Canada (Alberta, Saskatchewan and Manitoba) and in the US (Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota and Minnesota). Percent crop injury was visually assessed up to four times during the growing season, and crop yield was reported in thirteen (13) of the dedicated crop tolerance trials.

Crop injury to spring wheat was evaluated in forty-eight (48) efficacy trials and twelve (12) dedicated crop tolerance trials and yield was reported in eleven (11) dedicated weed-free trials. Crop injury was acceptable at the maximum application rate of 10 g a.e./ha.

Crop injury to durum wheat was evaluated in eleven (11) efficacy trials and fourteen (14) dedicated crop tolerance trials and yield was reported in ten (10) dedicated weed-free trials. Crop injury was acceptable at the maximum application rate of 10 g a.e./ha.

Crop injury to winter wheat was evaluated in three (3) efficacy trials and yield was reported in three (3) efficacy trials. Crop injury was acceptable at the maximum application rate of 10 g a.e./ha.

Crop injury to spring barley was evaluated in thirteen (13) efficacy trials and twelve (12) dedicated crop tolerance trials and yield was reported in twelve (12) dedicated weed-free trials. Crop injury was acceptable at the maximum application rate of 10 g a.e./ha.

5.2.2 Paradigm Herbicide

Data from a total of twelve (12) dedicated weed-free crop tolerance trials along with crop tolerance data from thirty (30) efficacy trials conducted in 2010 and 2011 in western Canada (Alberta, Saskatchewan and Manitoba). Percent crop injury was visually assessed up to four times during the growing season, and crop yield was reported in twelve (12) of the dedicated crop tolerance trials.

Crop injury to spring wheat was evaluated in twenty-one (21) efficacy trials and eleven (11) dedicated crop tolerance trials and yield was reported in eleven (11) dedicated weed-free trials. Crop injury was acceptable at the maximum application rate of 10 g a.e./ha.

Crop injury to durum wheat was evaluated in three (3) efficacy trials and eleven (11) dedicated crop tolerance trials and yield was reported in nine (9) dedicated weed-free trials. Crop injury was acceptable at the maximum application rate of 10 g a.e./ha.

Crop injury to winter wheat was not evaluated in any trial; however the crop tolerance of winter wheat to both components of Paradigm Herbicide has been demonstrated with GF-2685 Herbicide and with various herbicides containing florasulam.

Crop injury to spring barley was evaluated in six (6) efficacy trials and twelve (12) dedicated crop tolerance trials and yield was reported in twelve (12) dedicated weed-free trials. Crop injury was acceptable at the maximum application rate of 10 g a.e./ha.

5.2.3 Pixxaro A Herbicide

Data from a total of twelve (12) dedicated weed-free crop tolerance trials along with crop tolerance data from forty-five (45) efficacy trials conducted in 2010 and 2011 in western Canada (Alberta, Saskatchewan and Manitoba) and in the US (Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota

and Minnesota). Percent crop injury was visually assessed up to four times during the growing season, and crop yield was reported in twelve (12) of the dedicated crop tolerance trials.

Crop injury to spring wheat was evaluated in thirty (30) efficacy trials and twelve (12) dedicated crop tolerance trials and yield was reported in eleven (11) dedicated weed-free trials. Crop injury was acceptable at the maximum application rate of 82 g a.e./ha.

Crop injury to durum wheat was evaluated in seven (7) efficacy trials and eleven (11) dedicated crop tolerance trials and yield was reported in nine (9) dedicated weed-free trials. Crop injury was acceptable at the maximum application rate of 82 g a.e./ha.

Crop injury to winter wheat was evaluated in two (2) efficacy trials. Crop injury was acceptable at the maximum application rate of 82 g a.e./ha.

Crop injury to spring barley was evaluated in seven (7) efficacy trials and twelve (12) dedicated crop tolerance trials and yield was reported in twelve (12) dedicated weed-free trials. Crop injury was acceptable at the maximum application rate of 82 g a.e./ha.

5.2.4 Impact on succeeding Crops

Rotational crop tolerance data were submitted from 27 trials that were initiated between 9 and 11 months, and 22 months, following an application of halauxifen-methyl. The number of trials wherein tolerance was evaluated varied by rotational crop. Some trials included multiple varieties/hybrids of one crop. Trials were conducted at various locations in the Prairie Provinces, Montana and North Dakota.

5.2.4.1 Acceptable Claims for Rotational Crops for Halauxifen-methyl

The crop injury and yield data support a rotational crop tolerance claim for the following crops planted after a minimum of 10 months following an application of halauxifen-methyl: spring wheat, spring barley, oats, field corn, canola, flax, Juncea canola, Abyssinian, oriental, brown and yellow mustard, field peas, soybeans, sunflower or fields can be summer fallowed. The crop injury and yield data for lentils support a rotational interval of 22 months for this crop.

5.3 Consideration of Benefits

5.3.1 Social and Economic Impact

Registration of halauxifen-methyl provides growers with a herbicide from a new class of synthetic auxin which is similar to other synthetic auxin herbicides in that it provides broadleaf weed control with a high level of crop safety for spring wheat, winter wheat, durum wheat and barley, and when tank-mixed with other broadleaf and grass herbicides will provide a broad spectrum weed control in a single pass.

Registration of halauxifen-methyl in Canada is part of a global joint review that also includes the United States and Australia. An application for registration has also been submitted to the

European Union in a separate submission. This will allow Canadian growers access to a new herbicide at the same time as their American counterparts, and will enable them to be competitive in the world market.

5.3.2 Survey of Alternatives

Herbicides are the most common tools to control broadleaf and grass weeds in fields in which cereal crops such as spring wheat, durum wheat, winter wheat and barley, are grown. Herbicides that can be applied on wheat and barley include active ingredients from the following modes of action:

- Group 1 such as tralkoxydim (Achieve, Bison, Challenger, etc.), clodinafop-propargyl (Horizon, Bullwhip, etc.), pinoxaden (Axial), fenoxaprop-p-ethyl (Puma, Cougar, Bengal, etc.) which provide mostly grasses control;
- Group 2 such as metsulfuron-methyl, thifensulfuron-methyl + tribenuron-methyl, thiencarbazone-methyl (various end-use products with various combinations of sulfonylureas), flucarbazone (Everest), florasulam (Florasulam Suspension, Frontline HTM, etc.), pyroxsulam (Simplicity) which provide mainly broadleaf control with some grass control;
- Group 3 such as trifluralin (Advance, Rival) for control of grasses and broadleaf weeds;
- Group 4 such as 2,4-D, MCPA, dicamba, fluroxypyr, clopyralid, etc. (various products) for control of broadleaf weeds;
- Group 5 such as metribuzin (Lexone, Sencor, etc.) for control of broadleaf weeds;
- Group 6 such as bromoxynil (various products) for the control of broadleaf weeds;
- Group 11 such as amitrole (Amitrol 240 Liquid) for control of broadleaf weeds;
- Group 14 such as saflufenacil (Heat, Eragon) for control of broadleaf weeds;
- Group 26 such as difenzoquat (Avenge) for the control of wild oats;
- Group 27 such as pyrasulfotole (Infinity) for the control of broadleaf weeds.

There are numerous end-use products registered for use on wheat (spring, winter and durum) and barley that combine 2 or more active ingredients from different modes of action.

5.3.3 Compatibility with Current Management Practices Including Integrated Pest Management

Halauxifen-methyl is a postemergence herbicide that can be used at different rates of application to target specific weeds. It provides control of important broadleaf weeds and may enable growers to control both broadleaf and grass weeds with a single pass in spring wheat, winter wheat, durum wheat, and barley when tank-mixed with various herbicides listed on all three end-use products. Halauxifen-methyl has flexible re-cropping intervals with numerous crops that can be seeded after an interval of 10 months following an application of halauxifen-methyl.

5.3.4 Information on the Occurrence or Possible Occurrence of the Development of Resistance

Halauxifen-methyl is the first member of a new class of synthetic auxin herbicides, the arylpicolinates. The arylpicolinates are a class of herbicides that act through a synthetic auxin mechanism (HRAC Group O, WSSA Group 4). Few cases of resistance to Group 4 herbicides have been reported in Canada (common hempnettle in Alberta and wild mustard in Manitoba); halauxifen-methyl may provide an effective management tool that could control ALS-enzyme inhibitor (HRAC Group B, WSSA Group 2), glyphosate (HRAC Group G, WSSA Group 9), and triazine (HRAC Group C1, WSSA Group 5) resistant weed biotypes.

6.0 Pest Control Product Policy Considerations

6.1 Toxic Substances Management Policy Considerations

The Toxic Substances Management Policy (TSMP) is a federal government policy developed to provide direction on the management of substances of concern that are released into the environment. The TSMP calls for the virtual elimination of Track 1 substances [those that meet all four criteria outlined in the policy: in other words, persistent (in air, soil, water and/or sediment), bio-accumulative, primarily a result of human activity and toxic as defined by the *Canadian Environmental Protection Act*].

During the review process, halauxifen-methyl and its transformation products were assessed in accordance with the PMRA Regulatory Directive DIR99-03⁵ and evaluated against the Track 1 criteria. The PMRA has reached the following conclusions:

- Halauxifen-methyl does not meet all Track 1 criteria, and is not considered a Track 1 substance. See Appendix I, Table 25 for comparison with Track 1 criteria.
- Halauxifen-methyl does not form any transformation products that meet all Track 1 criteria. Major transformation products X11393729, X11449757 and X11406790 do not meet the criterion for bioaccumulation, based on log K_{OW} values of -1.01 to 0.42, <0.3 and <0.3 to 0.96 for X11393729, X11449757 and X11406790, respectively. Degradates 1, 2, 4, 10, 11 and 14 are major products formed only by aqueous phototransformation (maximum concentrations of 41.2% AR for Degradate 1, and 11.5-15.7% AR for Degradates 2, 4, 10, 11 and 14). They are not expected to be formed in important quantities in the environment as aqueous phototransformation is restricted to the upper layer of clear water bodies. In addition, as they are polar compounds and the bioconcentration factor (BCF) of the parent is low (183-214), they are not expected to meet the criterion for bioaccumulation.

⁵ DIR99-03, *The Pest Management Regulatory Agency's Strategy for Implementing the Toxic Substances Management Policy*

6.2 Formulants and Contaminants of Health or Environmental Concern

During the review process, contaminants in the technical and formulants and contaminants in the end-use products are compared against the *List of Pest control Product Formulants and Contaminants of Health or Environmental Concern* maintained in the *Canada Gazette*⁶. The list is used as described in the PMRA Notice of Intent NOI2005-01⁷ and is based on existing policies and regulations including: DIR99-03; and DIR2006-02⁸, and taking into consideration the Ozone-depleting Substance Regulations, 1998, of the *Canadian Environmental Protection Act* (substances designated under the Montreal Protocol). The PMRA has reached the following conclusions:

• Technical grade halauxifen-methyl and the end-use products GF-2685 Herbicide, Paradigm Herbicide and Pixxaro A Herbicide do not contain any formulants or contaminants of health or environmental concern identified in the *Canada Gazette*. However, the end-use product Pixxaro A Herbicide does contain an aromatic petroleum distillate. Therefore, the label for the end-use product Pixxaro A Herbicide will include the statement: "This product contains aromatic petroleum distillates that are toxic to aquatic organisms."

The use of formulants in registered pest control products is assessed on an ongoing basis through PMRA formulant initiatives and Regulatory Directive DIR2006-02.

7.0 Summary

7.1 Human Health and Safety

The toxicology database submitted for halauxifen-methyl and halauxifen acid is adequate to define the majority of toxic effects that may result from exposure. There was no evidence of carcinogenicity in rats or mice after longer-term dosing with halauxifen acid. There were no treatment related effects and no evidence of susceptibility of the young in the rat reproductive toxicity study with halauxifen acid. Halauxifen acid is not neurotoxic and there was no evidence of immunotoxicity after treatment with halauxifen-methyl. In short-term and chronic studies on laboratory animals, the primary target of halauxifen-methyl was the liver and the primary targets of halauxifen acid were the kidney and urinary bladder. Increased incidences of fetal loss were observed after treatment during gestation with halauxifen acid (rats) and halauxifen-methyl (rabbits). The risk assessment protects against the toxic effects noted above by ensuring that the

⁶ Canada Gazette, Part II, Volume 139, Number 24, SI/2005-114 (2005-11-30) pages 2641–2643: List of Pest Control Product Formulants and Contaminants of Health or Environmental Concern and in the order amending this list in the Canada Gazette, Part II, Volume 142, Number 13, SI/2008-67 (2008-06-25) pages 1611-1613. Part 1 Formulants of Health or Environmental Concern, Part 2 Formulants of Health or Environmental Concern that are Allergens Known to Cause Anaphylactic-Type Reactions and Part 3 Contaminants of Health or Environmental Concern.

⁷ NOI2005-01, List of Pest Control Product Formulants and Contaminants of Health or Environmental Concern under the New Pest Control Products Act.

⁸ DIR2006-02, Formulants Policy and Implementation Guidance Document.

level of human exposure is well below the lowest dose at which these effects occurred in animal tests.

Mixer/loaders and applicators handling GF-2685 Herbicide, Paradigm Herbicide or Pixxaro A Herbicide, and workers re-entering treated fields are not expected to be exposed to levels of halauxifen-methyl that will result in risks of concern when GF-2685 Herbicide, Paradigm Herbicide and Pixxaro A Herbicide are used according to label directions. The personal protective equipment on the product label is adequate to protect workers.

The nature of the residues in plants and animals is adequately understood. The residue definition for enforcement and dietary exposure assessment is halauxifen-methyl in plant products and is halauxifen-methyl and the metabolite X11449757 in animal matrices. The proposed use of halauxifen-methyl on wheat and barley does not constitute a risk of health concern for chronic or acute dietary exposure (food and drinking water) to any segment of the population, including infants, children, adults and seniors. Sufficient crop residue data have been reviewed to recommend MRLs. The PMRA recommends that the following MRLs be specified for residues of halauxifen-methyl.

Commodity	Recommended MRL (ppm)
Barley, Wheat	0.01

7.2 Environmental Risk

The use of GF-2685 Herbicide containing the active ingredient halauxifen-methyl may pose a risk to non-target terrestrial plants and rooted aquatic macrophytes. As a result, spray buffer zones to protect sensitive terrestrial and aquatic habitats from spray drift and label statements to inform users of potential risks to the environment are required.

The use of the end-use product Paradigm Herbicide, containing the active ingredients halauxifenmethyl and florasulam, may pose a risk to non-target terrestrial plants and aquatic vascular plants. Risks to non-target terrestrial and aquatic vascular plants can be mitigated with label statements and spray buffer zones to protect sensitive terrestrial and aquatic habitats. Florasulam and its major soil transformation product, 5-hydroxy-XDE-570, have the potential to leach. Also, 5-hydroxy-XDE-570 has the potential to carry over into the next growing season. Statements are required on label for Paradigm Herbicide to inform users of the potential risks of leaching and carry-over.

The use of the end-use product Pixxaro A Herbicide, containing the active ingredients halauxifen-methyl and fluroxypyr (present as methylheptyl ester), may pose a risk to non-target terrestrial plants and aquatic vascular plants. Risks to non-target terrestrial and aquatic vascular plants can be mitigated with label statements and spray buffer zones to protect sensitive terrestrial and aquatic habitats. Pixxaro A Herbicide contains aromatic petroleum distillates which are toxic to aquatic organisms. Label statements are required on the label for Pixxaro A Herbicide to inform users of the potential risks.

7.3 Value

In summary, the weight of evidence provided through trial data support the proposed uses from a value standpoint. The registration of halauxifen-methyl provides growers with an herbicide from a new class of synthetic auxin which provides broadleaf weed control with a high level of crop safety for spring wheat, winter wheat, durum wheat and barley and which can be tank-mixed with other broadleaf and grass herbicides to provide a broad spectrum weed control in a single pass.

8.0 Proposed Regulatory Decision

Health Canada's PMRA, under the authority of the *Pest Control Products Act* and Regulations, is proposing full registration for the sale and use of XDE-729 Methyl Technical Herbicide and its end-use products, GF-2685 Herbicide, Paradigm Herbicide and Pixxaro A Herbicide, containing the technical grade active ingredient halauxifen-methyl, to control annual broadleaf weeds in cereal crops (spring wheat, winter wheat, durum wheat and spring barley).

An evaluation of available scientific information found that, under the approved conditions of use, the product has value and does not present an unacceptable risk to human health or the environment.

List of Abbreviations

1/n	exponent for the Freundlich isotherm
°C	degree Celsius
μg	micrograms)
μL	microliter(s)
μm	micrometre(s)
δ and φ	male and female symbols
3-MC	3-methylcholanthrene
a.e.	acid equivalent
a.i.	active ingredient
abs	absolute
AD	administered dose
ADI	acceptable daily intake
ADME	Absorption, Distribution, Metabolism and Elimination
AhR	aryl hydrocarbon receptor
ALS	acetolactate synthase
ALT	alanine aminotransferase
AME	Absorption, Metabolism and Elimination
ARfD	acute reference dose
ARTF	Agricultural Re-entry Task Force
AST	aspartate aminotransferase
atm	atmosphere
ATPD	area treated per day
AUC	area-under-the-curve
BBCH	
BCF	Biologishe Bundesanstalt, Bundessortenamt and Chemical industry bioconcentration factor
BCF BrdU	
bw	bromodeoxyuridine body weight
	body weight
bwg CAF	bodyweight gain composite assessment factor
	Chemical Abstracts Service
CAS	Canadian Environmental Protection Ac
CEPA	
cm	centimetres
cm ²	centimetre(s) squared
cm ³	cubic centimetre(s)
C _{max}	maximum plasma concentration
C_{min}	minimum plasma concentration
CQM Cum	cloquintocet-mexyl
Сур	cytochrome P450; proceeding identifier refers to the sub-type
d DAT	day(s)
DAT	days after treatment
DFR	dislodgeable foliar residue
DT_{50}	dissipation time 50% (the dose required to observe a 50% decline in concentration)
DT_{90}	dissipation time 90% (the dose required to observe a 90% decline in
- 190	concentration)
dw	dry weight

EC	Emulaifiable concentrate
EC	Emulsifiable concentrate
EC3	concentration required to induce a threshold positive sensitization response (SI=3)
EC_{50}	effective concentration on 50% of the population
EDE	estimated daily exposure
EEC	estimated environmental concentration
ELISA	enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
ER ₂₅	effective rate on 25% of the population
ER_{50}	effective rate on 50% of the population
fc	food consumption
FIR	food ingestion rate
g	gram(s)
GD	gestation day
GI	Gastrointestinal
h	hour(s)
ha	hectare(s)
HAFT	highest average field trial
	hematocrit
HCT	
HDL	high-density lipoprotein
HDPE	high-density polyethylene
Hg	mercury
HGB	hemoglobin
HPLC	high performance liquid chromatography
HR_5	hazardous rate to 5% of the species
HRAC	Herbicide Resistance Action Committee
ILV	independent laboratory validation
ITE	2-(1'H-indole-3'-carbonyl)-thiazole-4-carboxylic acid methyl ester
IUPAC	International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry
IV	intravenous
Kd	soil-water partition coefficient
K _F	Freundlich adsorption coefficient
K _{FOC}	Freundlich adsorption coefficient normalized to organic carbon
kg	kilogram(s)
K _{oc}	organic-carbon partition coefficient
K _{ow}	n–octanol-water partition coefficient
L	litre(s)
LC_{50}	lethal concentration to 50%
LC-MS/MS	liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometry
LD	lactation day
LD LD_{50}	lethal dose to 50%
LD_{50} LDL	low-density lipoprotein
LLQ	lower limit of quantitation
LLNA	local lymph node assay
LOAEL	lowest observed adverse effect level
LOC	level of concern
LOQ	limit of quantitation
LR ₅₀	lethal rate 50%
LSC	liquid scintillation counting

m^2	metre(s) squared
m^3	cubic metre(s)
m/z	mass-to-charge ratio of an ion
MAS	maximum average score
MCV	mean corpuscular volume
	milligram(s)
mg MIS	maximum irritation score
mL	millilitre(s)
	millimetre(s)
mm mm Ha	millimeter of mercury
mm Hg mmol	2
MMAD	millimole(s)
	mass median aerodynamic diameter mode of action
MOA	
MOE	margin of exposure
mol	mole(s)
MRL	maximum residue limit
mRNA	messenger ribonucleic acid
MS	mass spectrometry
MS/MS	tandem mass spectrometry
N/A	not applicable
NA	not analyzed
NAFTA	North American Free Trade Agreement
nm	nanometre
NOAEL	no observed adverse effect level
NOEC	no observed effect concentration
NOEL	no observed effect level
NR	not reported
NZW	New Zealand White
OECD	Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development
Pa	Pascal
PB	phenobarbital
PBI	plantback interval
PBPK	physiologically-based pharmacokinetic
PH	phenyl
PHED	Pesticide Handlers Exposure Database
PHI	preharvest interval
p <i>Ka</i>	dissociation constant
PMRA	Pest Management Regulatory Agency
PND	postnatal day
PPE	personal protective equipment
ppm	parts per million
PY	pyridine
RBC	red blood cells
rel	relative
RQ	risk quotient
SC	soluble concentrate
SI	stimulation index
SRBC	sheep red blood cell

SSD	species sensitivity distribution
t _{1/2}	half-life
$t_{1/2\alpha}$	elimination half-life alpha
$t_{1/2\beta}$ or $t_{1/2}$	elimination half-life beta or terminal elimination half-life
TC	transfer coefficient
TG	triglyceride
TGAI	technical grade active ingredient
ТК	toxicokinetics
TRR	total radioactive residue
TSMP	Toxic Substances Management Policy
US	United States
UV	ultraviolet
v/v	volume per volume dilution
W	weight
WDG	water dispersible granule
wk	week(s)
WSSA	Weed Science Society of America

Appendix I Tables and Figures

Matrix	Analyte	Transition	Method Type	LOQ	Reference (PMRA#)
Soil	parent	m/z 345→250	HPLC-	0.05 µg/kg in sand, clay	2226437,
	XDE-729 acid	m/z 331→250	MS/MS	loam, silt loam and clay	2226438
	X11449757	m/z 317→236			
Sediment	extended from	soil			
Water	parent	m/z 345→285	HPLC-	$0.05 \ \mu g/L$ in drinking,	2226439,
	XDE-729 acid	m/z 331→250	MS/MS	ground and surface water	2226440
	X11449757	m/z 317→236			
	X11406790	m/z 331→236			

Table 1a Residue Analysis – Environmental Matrices

Table 1b Residue Analysis – Plant and Animal Matrices

Matrix	Method ID	Analyte	Method Type		LOQ	Reference (PMRA#)
Plant	110005	Halauxifen- methyl ester and halauxifen acid	LC- MS/MS	0.01 ppm	Turnip root; wheat forage; barley and wheat grain, hay and straw; canola seed; soybean seed; apples; oranges; aspirated grain fractions of wheat; wheat processed commodities (total bran, whole grain bread, flour, germ gluten shorts, and starch	2226432
	110004 (Enforcement method)	and halauxifen acid	LC- MS/MS	0.01 ppm for each analyte		2226433, 2226435
Livestock	110505	Halauxifen- methyl, halauxifen acid and X11449757	LC- MS/MS	0.01 ppm for each analyte	Whole milk, skim milk, cream, muscle, liver, kidney, subcutaneous fat, mesenteric fat, and perirenal fat.	2226442, 2226441.

Table 2Toxicity Profile of End-Use Product(s) Containing Halauxifen-Methyl
(Effects are known or assumed to occur in both sexes unless otherwise noted; in such
cases, sex-specific effects are separated by semi-colons)

Study Type/Animal	Study Results	Reference (PMRA#)
GF-2685 Herbicide	·	
Acute oral toxicity	$LD_{50^{\circ}} > 5000 \text{ mg/kg bw}$ Low toxicity	2226179
Fischer 344 rats		
Acute dermal toxicity	LD ₅₀ >5000 mg/kg bw Low toxicity	2226180
Fischer 344 rats		
Acute inhalation toxicity	LC ₅₀ >5.16 mg/L	2226183
(nose-only)	Low toxicity	
Fischer 344 rats		
Dermal irritation	MAS $(24, 48, 72 h) = 2.4/8$ Mildly irritating	2226185
NZW rabbits		
Eye irritation	MAS $(24, 48, 72 h) = 0.4/110$ Minimally irritating	2226187
NZW rabbits		
Dermal sensitization (LLNA)	High variability of data; decreased confidence in results. SI = 1.0, 1.0, 2.2 (1 \bigcirc = 5.5), 1.9 (1 \bigcirc = 3.0) (positive control SI = 6.0)	2226189
CBA/J mice	Potential dermal sensitizer	
Paradigm Herbicide		
Acute oral toxicity	$LD_{50^{\circ}} > 5000 \text{ mg/kg bw}$ Low toxicity	2226247
Wistar rats		
Acute dermal toxicity	LD ₅₀ >5000 mg/kg bw Low toxicity	2226248
Wistar rats		
Acute inhalation toxicity (nose-only)	LC ₅₀ >2.27 mg/L Low toxicity	2226249
Wistar rats		
Dermal irritation	MAS $(24, 48, 72 h) = 0.1/8$ Minimally irritating	2226250
NZW rabbits		
Eye irritation	MAS (24, 48, 72 h) = 0.9/110 Minimally irritating	2226251
NZW rabbits		

Study Type/Animal	Study Results	Reference (PMRA#)
Dermal sensitization	Positive: SI = 1.0, 1.6, 2.3, 3.8 (EC ₅₀ = 37.5%) (positive	2226252
(LLNA)	control $SI = 11.6$)	
	Dermal sensitizer	
CBA/J mice		
Pixxaro A Herbicide		
Acute oral toxicity	LD ₅₀ >5000 mg/kg bw	2226305
	Low toxicity	
Fischer 344 rats		
Acute dermal toxicity	LD ₅₀ >5000 mg/kg bw	2226306
	Low toxicity	
Fischer 344 rats		
Acute inhalation toxicity	LC ₅₀ >5.57 mg/L	2226307
(nose-only)	Low toxicity	
Fischer 344 rats		
Dermal irritation	MAS (24, 48, 72 h) = 1.7/8	2226308
	Mildly irritating	
NZW rabbits		
Eye irritation	MAS (24, 48, 72 h) = 28.2/110	2226309
	Moderately irritating	
NZW rabbits		
Dermal sensitization	Positive: SI = 1.0, 0.9, 2.4, 5.2 (EC ₅₀ = 41%) (positive	2226310
(LLNA)	control $SI = 2.4$)	
	Dermal sensitizer	
CBA/J mice		

Table 3 Toxicity Profile of Halauxifen-Methyl Technical and Halauxifen Acid

(Effects are known or assumed to occur in both sexes unless otherwise noted; in such cases, sex-specific effects are separated by semi-colons). Organ weight effects reflect both absolute organ weights and relative organ to bodyweights unless otherwise noted.

Study Type/Animal/ PMRA #	Study Results
Metabolism and	A probe study, was conducted to determine ADME of labeled ¹⁴ C-
Toxicokinetics	XR-729 acid rats (2/sex) and mice (4/sex) after administration of a
Halauxifen acid (referred to	single oral dose at 100 mg/kg bw. Time-course blood (plasma and
as XR-729 acid)	RBC) and excreta were collected from rats and time-course excreta
	only were collected from mice for up to 72 hours post-dosing. The
Absorption, Distribution,	urine samples collected during the first 12 hours post-dosing were
Metabolism and Elimination	
(ADME)	rats (1/sex) and four mice (2/sex) were orally dosed with ¹⁴ C-XR-
	729 acid at 100 mg/kg bw and plasma was collected at the peak
Fischer 344 rats and CD-1	plasma concentration (t _{max} ; 0.5 hour post-dosing estimated from
mice	rats) and analyzed for parent and metabolite(s).
	The orally administered ¹⁴ C-XR-729 acid was rapidly absorbed

Study Type/Animal/ PMRA #	Study Results
PMRA # 2226444	from the GI tract both by the rats and mice with a t_{max} of approximately 0.5 hours and eliminated mainly in the urine. The rate of absorption of ¹⁴ C-XR-729-derived radioactivity from the GI tract was the same for both male and female rats. Total urinary elimination accounted for 65-75% of the dose in rats and 65-66% of the dose in mice, most of which occurred within the first 12 hours after dosing. Fecal elimination accounted for 12-21% in rats and 19-20% in mice. Elimination of radioactivity from plasma was bi-exponential in the rat with an initial half-life ($t_{\sqrt{2}\alpha}$) of 0.14 hours indicating rapid distribution to tissues and terminal elimination half-life ($t_{\sqrt{2}\beta}$) ranging from 3.37 (male) to 3.67 (female) hours. Elimination of ¹⁴ C-XR-729-derived radioactivity from red blood cells (RBC) was mono-exponential with a half-life ($t_{\sqrt{2}}$) of 0.84 (male) and 0.77 (female) hours.
	In the 12-hour urine samples, eight peaks were detected, but only one peak was found representing >5% of the dose and was identified as XR-729 acid (parent). Four metabolites were tentatively identified: the glucuronide conjugate of O-demethyl XR-729, the sulfate conjugate of O-demethyl XR-729, O-demethyl XR-729, and acyl-glucuronide conjugate of parent XR-729. The metabolic profiles were similar between sexes and species. Unchanged XR-729 acid was found as the major component in all C_{max} plasma extracts and the acyl glucuronide conjugate of XR- 729 acid was also found in the male mouse plasma extract, representing approximately 7.5% of the recovered radioactivity.
Metabolism and toxicokinetics Halauxifen acid (as the sodium salt) (referred to as XDE-729 acid or XDE-729 Na Salt)	In a non-guideline study, 3 male and 3 female beagle dogs were administered 50 mg/kg bw ¹⁴ C-XDE-729, formulated as the sodium salt, via oral gavage. Time-course plasma and excreta were collected up to 168 hours post-dosing to determine AME. Urine and fecal samples collected at 168 hours post-dosing and plasma samples collected at C_{max} and $\frac{1}{2}C_{max}$ were analyzed to characterize and quantify XDE-729 acid (parent) and metabolites.
Absorption, Metabolism and Elimination (AME)	Plasma C_{max} was achieved slightly earlier in females than male dogs (mean t_{max} : 0.7 vs. 1.3 h) and was also slightly higher in
Beagle dogs	female dogs (143 vs. 118 μ g-Na eq./g). The majority of the ¹⁴ C-radioactivity cleared from dog plasma by 6 hours post-dosing.
PMRA # 2226445	Elimination of radioactivity from the plasma was biphasic, with initial half-life ($t_{1/2\alpha}$) of 1 h indicating rapid distribution to tissues and terminal elimination half-life ($t_{1/2\beta}$) of 9-12 h. XDE-729 acid represented the major radiolabeled component in plasma. AUC ₂₄ in dogs was 2 to 4-fold higher than rats administered 10 and 100 mg/kg bw of ¹⁴ C-XDE-729 sodium salt indicating higher systemic exposure to ¹⁴ C-XDE-729 acid in dogs following a single oral

Study Type/Animal/ PMRA #	Study Results	
	dose. Analysis of excreta showed that approximately 80% of the dose was excreted in the urine in 168 h, with the largest fraction excreted within 12 h. Fecal elimination accounted for ~10% (males) or ~14% (females) of the dose, most of which were excreted within 48 hours post-dosing. In total, 95-98% of the dose was recovered from excreta and cage wash within 168 hours post- dosing demonstrating adequate mass balance. XDE-729 acid was the major radio-labeled component in urine/rinse (77-79% of the dose) and was present in feces at levels higher than or similar to those of other identified metabolites. The primary metabolites identified in urine, feces, or plasma included O-demethyl XDE-729 and the sulfate and glucose conjugates of O- demethyl XDE-729.	
Metabolism and toxicokinetics Halauxifen acid (as the sodium salt) (referred to as XDE-729 acid or XDE-729 Na Salt) or Halauxifen- methyl (referred to as XDE- 729 methyl) Absorption, Distribution, Metabolism and Elimination	This study was conducted for 168 hours post-dosing to determine ADME of ¹⁴ C-XDE-729 (¹⁴ C-(UL-phenyl)-XDE-729 or ¹⁴ C-(2,6-pyridine)-XDE-729), as the sodium salt, following oral (single or multiple dose of 10 mg/kg bw; single dose of 750 mg/kg bw) and intravenous (IV) exposure (single 10 mg/kg bw) to groups (n = 4) of male and female F344/DuCrl rats. In addition, ADME of ¹⁴ C-XDE-729 methyl (the methyl ester form of XDE-729; 10 mg/kg bw) was also determined in male and female F344/DuCrl rats (n=4/sex) to determine the bioequivalence between the acid as the sodium salt (further identified as XDE-729 acid to avoid confusion) and methyl ester forms of XDE-729.	
(ADME), Bioequivalence Fischer 344 rats PMRA # 2226446	Orally administered XDE-729 acid, was rapidly absorbed (t_{max} 0.1-0.2 hours and 0.3-1.8 hours following low oral single doses and a high oral single dose, respectively). The t_{max} for the low oral XDE-729 methyl group was slightly longer (0.44-0.50 hours) compared to the low oral XDE-729 acid. The percent absorption of the orally administered acid form in all low oral groups was at least 77-93%, based on recovery in urine and non-GI tissues and was comparable for low oral XDE-729 methyl dose group (82%). The percent absorption estimated from urine and non-GI tissue was slightly lower following the high single oral dose of ¹⁴ C-XDE-729 acid (69-73%). Total recovery of radioactivity from all the animals averaged 102 ± 3 and 99 ± 3% in the oral and IV dose groups, respectively. Absolute oral bioavailability, calculated from comparison of the plasma AUC data for the low oral and IV dose groups (¹⁴ C-(UL-phenyl)-XDE-729 acid) was ~100% for both female and male rats. The orally absorbed dose was rapidly excreted mainly in urine (68-92% of the administered dose (AD) for the oral dose groups) with a higher percentage excreted in the	

Study Type/Animal/ PMRA #	Study Results
	urine of female rats. The majority of the urinary elimination (90- 99%) occurred within the first 24 hours post-dosing. A smaller percent (11-29% for the oral dose groups) of the administered oral dose was eliminated in feces. The amount and rates of urinary and fecal elimination were comparable between XDE-729 acid (both labels) and XDE-729 methyl as a low oral dose. Rats orally administered 750 mg ¹⁴ C-XDE-729 acid/kg bw demonstrated decreased urinary elimination compared to rats administered 10 mg ¹⁴ C-XDE-729 acid/kg bw.
	mg ¹⁴ C-XDE-729 acid/kg bw. The IV-administered XDE-729 acid was also rapidly and extensively excreted in urine (85-88% of AD). The majority of the urinary elimination (98-99%) occurred in the first 24 hours post- dosing. A smaller percent (11-15%) of the IV dose was eliminated in feces. At 168 hours post-dosing, levels in the tissues ranged from non-quantifiable to 0.3% of the orally AD from either XDE- 729 acid (low single, high single, multiple dose, and low single pyridine-ring label) or ¹⁴ C-XDE-729 methyl (low single). Less than 0.03% of the IV administered ¹⁴ C-XDE-729 remained in the tissues at 168 hours post-dosing. In the rats orally administered as a single dose at 10 mg ¹⁴ C-XDE-729 acid/kg bw (both labels) or 10 mg ¹⁴ C-XDE-729 methyl/kg bw, the C _{max} was 1.6 to 3.6 fold lower for the methyl group. Elimination of the radioactivity from plasma was rapid during the initial distribution phase (t _{½a} = 0.5-0.9 hours) followed by a slower elimination during the terminal phase (t _{½β} = 5-16 hours). Rats orally administered 750 mg ¹⁴ C-XDE-729 acid/kg bw displayed a slower initial distribution phase (t _{½a} = 2.7- 4.3 hours), while the terminal β phase (t _{½β} = 5.6-8.7 hours) was within the range of the 10 mg/kg bw group. Clearance of the IV- administered XDE-729 acid was ~ 0.5 mL/h/kg. The plasma AUC was 2.0-2.6 times higher at the high dose than would be expected for dose proportionality demonstrating a supraproportional increase in systemic exposure at the high dose. The AUC non- linearity is consistent with the longer initial distribution phase of the 750 mg/kg bw group and decreased urinary elimination at 168 h post-dose, likely due to a slower redistribution at the high dose and saturation of elimination. The time-course of radioactivity in RBC was less than the plasma time-course concentration profiles
	with lower concentrations. At 10 mg/kg bw oral dose (both labels, acid and methyl forms) when compared to the concentrations in plasma, the maximum concentrations in RBC were 8- to 33-fold less. At the high dose of 750 mg/kg bw, the C_{max} was 2- to 3-fold less than in plasma. The time to peak, maximum concentration and absorption half-lives in RBC were comparable to plasma numbers. The time for distribution, as reflected by $t_{1/2\alpha}$, and elimination $(t_{1/2\beta})$ was less than those in plasma for all five treatment groups, with the

Study Type/Animal/ PMRA #	Study Results
	exception of the high dose group with demonstrated a longer elimination $(t_{\nu_2\beta})$ in RBC compared to plasma. The AUC numbers were substantially less than those in plasma for all five treatment groups . Overall, similar kinetics were observed across sexes for the acid and methyl test materials. The IV-administered XDE-729 acid demonstrated higher clearance values for RBC (5- to 8-fold) when compared to plasma. As seen in plasma in RBC's there was a supraproportional increase in AUC from the low to the high dose, however, in contrast to plasma the AUC non-linearity is consistent with the longer terminal elimination phase of the 750 mg/kg bw group. Analysis of blood samples from the methyl dose group afforded primarily XDE-729 acid and no parent ester, indicating rapid hydrolysis of the ester in vivo. Although XDE-729 methyl showed increased t_{max} and decreased C_{max} when compared to the same dose of XDE-729 acid/kg bw, the administration of both test compounds resulted in similar AUC values.
	The major radiolabeled component present in the urine and fecal extracts from animals administered ¹⁴ C-XDE-729 acid as the sodium salt, was XDE-729 acid. The major radiolabeled component present in urine and fecal extracts of animals dosed with XDE-729 methyl was the hydrolysis product, XDE-729 acid. Minor metabolites of both test materials consisted of the acyl-glucuronide conjugate of parent XDE-729 (present in selected samples at greater than 5% of the AD) and O-demethylated XDE-729 and the corresponding sulfate and glucuronide conjugates.
Acute oral toxicity	LD_{50° >5000 mg/kg bw
Halauxifen acid	Low toxicity
Fischer 344 rats	
PMRA # 2226447	
Acute oral toxicity	LD_{50° >5000 mg/kg bw
Halauxifen-methyl	Low toxicity
Fischer 344 rats	
PMRA # 2226448	
Acute dermal toxicity	LD ₅₀ >5000 mg/kg bw
Halauxifen acid	Low toxicity
Fischer 344 rats	
PMRA # 2226449	

PMRA #Acute dermal toxicity Halauxifen-methylLD ₅₀ >5000 mg/kg bw Low toxicityFischer 344 ratsLow toxicityFischer 344 ratsMRA # 2226450Acute inhalation toxicity Waiver Halauxifen acid and halauxifen-methylWaiver was accepted based on the inability to ge atmosphere due to clogging of the aerosol general size (MMAD >4 µm), and high variability in cha concentration.	ator, large particle
Halauxifen-methylLow toxicityFischer 344 ratsLow toxicityPMRA # 2226450Waiver was accepted based on the inability to ge atmosphere due to clogging of the aerosol genera size (MMAD >4 μm), and high variability in character	ator, large particle
Fischer 344 ratsPMRA # 2226450Acute inhalation toxicity Waiver Halauxifen acid andWaiver kalauxifen acid and	ator, large particle
PMRA # 2226450Acute inhalation toxicity Waiver Halauxifen acid andWaiver was accepted based on the inability to ge atmosphere due to clogging of the aerosol genera size (MMAD >4 μm), and high variability in characterization	ator, large particle
Acute inhalation toxicity WaiverWaiver was accepted based on the inability to ge atmosphere due to clogging of the aerosol genera size (MMAD >4 μ m), and high variability in character	ator, large particle
Acute inhalation toxicity WaiverWaiver was accepted based on the inability to ge atmosphere due to clogging of the aerosol genera size (MMAD >4 μ m), and high variability in character	ator, large particle
Waiver Halauxifen acid andatmosphere due to clogging of the aerosol genera size (MMAD >4 μ m), and high variability in cha	ator, large particle
Halauxifen acid and size (MMAD >4 μ m), and high variability in cha	, 01
PMRA # 2226453	
Dermal irritation $MAS (24, 48, 72 h) = 0.11/8$	
Halauxifen acid Minimally irritating	
NZW rabbits	
PMRA # 2226454	
Dermal irritation $MAS(24, 48, 72 h) = 0/8$	
Halauxifen-methyl Non-irritating	
NZW rabbits	
PMRA # 2226455	
Eye irritation MAS $(24, 48, 72 h) = 1.1/110$	
Halauxifen acid Minimally irritating	
NZW rabbits	
PMRA # 2226456	
Eye irritation MAS $(24, 48, 72 h) = 0/110$	
Halauxifen-methyl Non-irritating	
NZW rabbits	
PMRA # 2226457	
Dermal sensitization Negative; SI = 1.0, 0.7, 0.7, 1.1 (positive control	= 5.4)
(LLNA) Not a dermal sensitizer	
Halauxifen acid	
CBA/J mice	
PMRA # 2226458	
Dermal sensitization Negative; SI = 1.0, 0.8, 0.9, 1.3 (positive control	= 3.5)
(LLNA) Not a dermal sensitizer	,

Study Type/Animal/ PMRA #	Study Results
Halauxifen-methyl	
CBA/J mice	
PMRA # 2226459	
28-day dietary toxicity Halauxifen acid	1025/958 mg/kg bw/day (\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{P}): \downarrow serum ALT; \downarrow kidney weight, \uparrow kidney tubule degeneration, \uparrow liver multifocal karyomegaly and focal necrosis (\mathcal{A})
CD-1 mice	
PMRA # 2226464	No overt evidence of saturation of either systemic bioavailability or apparent steady-state urinary elimination of the parent chemical. Slight disproportional decrease of parent chemical concentration in urine at the highest dose non-adverse; potentially reflecting altered kidney function (δ)
28-day dietary toxicity Halauxifen acid	734/982 mg/kg bw/day (\Im/\Im): \downarrow bw, bwg, fc, \uparrow urinary volume, \downarrow urine specific gravity, \uparrow rel. brain weight (secondary to \downarrow bw),
Fischer 344 rats	epithelial degeneration and hypertrophy of renal tubules and vacuolization of collecting duct epithelium, splenic extramedullary hematopoiesis; 1° was thin, thrombocytosis, \downarrow TG, \uparrow rel. kidney
PMRA # 2226460	weight (\eth); \uparrow cloudy urine, phosphate crystals in urine sediment (\bigcirc)
	Absorption was slightly saturated at the top 3 levels. Halauxifen acid was quickly eliminated, primarily via the urine as parent. Urinary metabolites included glucuronide, sulfate and acyl-glucuronide conjugates.
28-day dietary toxicity	NOAEL = $11/56$ mg/kg bw/day ($3/2$)
Halauxifen-methyl	$LOAEL = \frac{56}{277} \text{ mg/kg bw/day} \left(\frac{3}{7}\right)$
Fischer 344 rats	≥56/56 mg/kg bw/day (\mathcal{Z}/\mathcal{Q}): ↑ liver weight; hepatocellular hypertrophy (↑ cytoplasmic eosinophilia), ↑ mitotic figures in
PMRA # 2226461	hepatocytes, hepatocellular vacuolization (consistent with fatty change), hypertrophy of thyroid gland follicular cells (\Im)
28-day dietary toxicity Halauxifen acid	≥80 mg/kg bw/day: epithelial degeneration and regeneration of renal tubules (♂)
Beagle dogs	635/323 mg/kg bw/day $(\mathcal{J}/\mathcal{Q})$: bw loss $(\mathcal{J}>\mathcal{Q})$, \downarrow fc, thymic atrophy (attributed to stress); \uparrow cholesterol (total, HDL), $1\mathcal{J}$ had
PMRA # 2226465	attophy (attributed to suess), \uparrow choicesteror (total, HDL), \uparrow is had atypical muscle tone, \downarrow RBC, HGB, HCT, reticulocytes, \uparrow LDL- cholesterol, \uparrow bilirubin, \downarrow abs. epididymides, heart, kidneys, spleen, thyroid/parathyroid weights (may be secondary to bw effect). The other \Diamond had atypical palpebral closure, \uparrow AST; epithelial degeneration and regeneration of renal tubules (\updownarrow)
	Saturation of elimination in high-dose $\stackrel{\scriptstyle ?}{\scriptstyle \circ}$ (may also occur in $\stackrel{\scriptstyle ?}{\scriptstyle \circ}$ but

Study Type/Animal/ PMRA #	Study Results
	confounded by high variability between the 2 samples); \mathcal{Q} were
	more efficient in eliminating the acid than δ
90-day dietary toxicity	NOAEL = $495/1008 \text{ mg/kg bw/day} (3/2)$
Halauxifen acid	LOAEL = 989 mg/kg bw/day/not established (\Im/\Im)
CD-1 mice PMRA # 2226468	989/1008 mg/kg bw/day (\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{Q}): \uparrow neutrophils in blood, urinary bladder toxicity (2/10; acute inflammation, submucosal edema, hyperplasia of transitional epithelium, multiple foci of ulceration, crystals), 1 \mathcal{O} seminal vesicle acute unilateral inflammation (secondary to bladder toxicity) (\mathcal{O})
	Linear kinetics in plasma and urine (high variability noted in the 495/512 mg/kg bw/day urine group); ~32-47% dose in 24 h urine; no apparent sex differences
90-day dietary toxicity	NOAEL = $262/252$ mg/kg bw/day ($3/9$)
Halauxifen acid	LOAEL = 782/758 mg/kg bw/day ($3/9$)
Fischer 344 rats	782/758 mg/kg bw/day (\mathcal{J}/\mathcal{P}): \uparrow urinary volume, \downarrow urine specific gravity, renal toxicity (necrosis, \uparrow mitotic figures, hypertrophy of
PMRA # 2226467	collecting duct epithelial cells, vacuolization of collecting duct epithelium in the papilla of the kidney $(\Im > \bigcirc); \downarrow$ bw, bwg, \uparrow rel. thyroid, kidney, brain weight (secondary to \downarrow bw), \uparrow tubular dilatation & epithelial degeneration $(\Im);$ amorphous crystals in urine sediment (\bigcirc)
	Dose proportionality with dose in plasma TK; non-linearity of urinary excretion in \bigcirc between 252 and 758 mg/kg bw/day
90-day dietary toxicity Halauxifen-methyl	NOAEL = 10/10 mg/kg bw/day $(3/2)$ LOAEL = 53/52 mg/kg bw/day $(3/2)$
Fischer 344 rats	≥53/52 mg/kg bw/day ($($ ^{$?/$} $): ↑$ hepatocellular vacuolation (consistent with fatty change; graded very slight with ↑ severity
PMRA # 2226466	with dose) ($\stackrel{\wedge}{\bigcirc}$); \uparrow liver weight, \uparrow cholesterol ($\stackrel{\bigcirc}{\downarrow}$)
90-day dietary toxicity	NOAEL = $81/80 \text{ mg/kg bw/day} (3/2)$
Halauxifen acid	$LOAEL = 424/415 \text{ mg/kg bw/day} (\bigcirc / \bigcirc)$
Beagle dogs	424/415 mg/kg bw/day (\mathcal{J}/\mathcal{P}): \downarrow bw, bwg, \downarrow RBC, HGB, HCT, \downarrow thymus weight, epithelial degeneration and regeneration of renal
PMRA # 2226469	tubules, epithelial degeneration of collecting ducts, focal granuloma in cortex or medulla, thymic lymphoid atrophy, hyperplasia of bone marrow, \uparrow myelopoiesis; \downarrow fc, 1 \bigcirc had \uparrow band neutrophils & reactive lymphocytes, while another lacked mature neutrophils, \downarrow band neutrophils, \uparrow reactive lymphocytes, monocytes (ineffective myelopoiesis, maturation arrest at band neutrophil stage), \uparrow liver weight, extramedullary hematopoiesis of

Study Type/Animal/ PMRA #	Study Results
	liver & spleen (\mathcal{Q})
	Saturation of elimination at the high dose. However, kinetic nonlinearity in the daily systemic doses (AUC _{24h}); statistically significant only in \mathcal{Q} , and kinetic non-linearity in the total dose excreted in 24 h urine; statistically significant only in \mathcal{J} .
1-year dietary toxicity Halauxifen acid	NOAEL = 82/17 mg/kg bw/day (\eth/\clubsuit) LOAEL = 355/90 mg/kg bw/day (\eth/\clubsuit)
Beagle dogs PMRA # 2226470	90 mg/kg bw/day: epithelial degeneration with regeneration of renal proximal and distal tubules, epithelial degeneration of collecting ducts, multifocal glomerulosclerosis (\bigcirc)
	355 mg/kg bw/day: epithelial degeneration with regeneration of renal proximal and distal tubules, epithelial degeneration of collecting ducts, multifocal glomerulosclerosis, granulomas in the renal cortex; multifocal fibrosis of renal cortex (♂)
	Supralinear kinetics in \bigcirc (13 wk), in both sexes (26 wk). 52 wk time-point could not be assessed due to too few plasma samples, terminal plasma levels (after a 16 h fast) were below LOQ. Amount excreted in urine over 24 h was linear for all 3 doses in \bigcirc and between 500-2500 ppm in \bigcirc . Urine levels were sublinear in high-dose \bigcirc at all time-points.
28-day dermal toxicity	NOAEL = 1000 mg/kg bw/day
Halauxifen acid	LOAEL not established
Fischer 344 rats	No treatment-related effects up to a limit dose.
PMRA # 2226471	
21/28-day dermal toxicity Waiver Halauxifen-methyl	Waiver accepted as the expected exposure is predominantly to the acid form of halauxifen-methyl (see PMRA 2226471).
PMRA # 2279917	
28-day inhalation	Waiver request was accepted based on the following:
Waiver	• Low volatility: vapour pressure 5.9×10^{-9} Pa (4.4×10^{-11} mmHg) at 25°C which is $<1 \times 10^{-4}$ kPa (7.5×10^{-4} mmHg) at 20-30°C (the
PMRA # 2279916	 threshold for non-volatility). Large aerosol particle size: In an acute inhalation toxicity study with halauxifen-methyl or its acid form, repeated attempts to generate a stable, respirable aerosol failed for one or more of the following reasons: low aerosol concentrations, large particle size (>4 µm MMAD), clogging of the generation equipment, unsustainable concentrations, and/or inefficient delivery of the

Study Type/Animal/ PMRA #	Study Results
	test material.
	• Toxicity Category IV/Extrapolated MOE: Low acute toxicity.
78-week oncogenicity	NOAEL = 50 mg/kg bw/day
Halauxifen acid	LOAEL = 251 mg/kg bw/day
CD-1 mice	≥251/251 mg/kg bw/day: ↑ subacute to chronic inflammation in bladder, presence of microscopic calculi in the lumen of bladder
PMRA # 2226485	(\mathcal{S}) ; \downarrow bwg, \uparrow hypertrophy of the intercalated cells in kidneys (\bigcirc)
2-year combined	NOAEL = $101/20 \text{ mg/kg bw/day} (3/2)$
chronic/oncogenicity,	LOAEL = 404/102 mg/kg bw/day (3/2)
dietary	
Halauxifen acid	\geq 102 mg/kg bw/day: \uparrow hyperplasia of pelvic epithelium of kidney (24 months) (\bigcirc)
Fischer 344 rats	
PMRA # 2226483	≥404/407 mg/kg bw/day ($3/$): ↑ urinary volume, ↓ urine specific gravity, ↑ kidney weight, ↑ renal toxicity (hypertrophy of renal collecting duct epithelian, increased numbers of mitotic figures in collecting duct epithelial cells (3 , 12 months only), chronic interstitial inflammation of medulla, hyperplasia of pelvic epithelium (9 , 12 months), vacuolization of collecting duct epithelium in the papilla, slight necrosis of collecting duct epithelium & individual tubular epithelial cells (24 months); ↓ terminal bw, hypertrophy of the zona glomerulosa of adrenal glands, presence of calculi in the renal pelvis of kidney, epithelial degeneration and regeneration of renal tubules, bladder effects (extensive hyperplasia of the transitional epithelium, subacute to chronic inflammation in the submucosa beneath the hyperplastic epithelium, microscopic calculi in the lumen of the urinary bladder) (3)
	The acid was present in plasma and urine and exhibited a linear relationship with dose. The only exception was the male group at 6 months, in which plasma levels (plotted against dose) were sublinear at 633 mg/kg bw/day. Terminal sacrifice plasma levels (after overnight fasting) were very low or <llq.< td=""></llq.<>
Danraduativa/davalanmantal	No evidence of oncogenicity. 754/535 mg/kg bw/day (♂/♀): ↑ multifocal hypertrophy and
Reproductive/developmental (range-finding), dietary Halauxifen acid	hyperplasia of the epithelial cell lining of kidney collecting ducts with nuclear karyomegally, \uparrow necrosis of individual collecting duct
Sprague-Dawley rats	epithelial cells, \uparrow # mitotic figures within collecting ducts, tubular dilatation, \uparrow tubular dilatation with inflammation ($\bigcirc > \bigcirc$); gestation bw, \downarrow bwg (\bigcirc), 1 \bigcirc was prematurely sacrificed on day 20
PMRA # 2226495	due to bw loss (renal lesions at necropsy)

Study Type/Animal/ PMRA #	Study Results
	1000 mg/kg bw/day: bw loss, \downarrow fc, fecal output (termination on day 8)
	PND 4 pup plasma concentrations were less than maternal plasma concentrations.
2-generation reproductive toxicity, dietary Halauxifen acid	Parental NOAEL = $104/103 \text{ mg/kg bw/day} (3/2)$ Parental LOAEL = $465/465 \text{ mg/kg bw/day} (3/2)$
Sprague-Dawley rats	465/465 mg/kg bw/day (♂/♀): ↑ renal toxicity (collecting duct epithelial cell hypertrophy and hyperplasia, hyperplasia of pelvic
PMRA # 2226496	epithelium, mitotic figures of the collecting duct epithelium) (\Diamond); clinical signs (\downarrow quantity & abnormal colour of feces, periocular soiling, dehydrated skin, pale mucous membranes, thin) & \downarrow bwg GD 14-21 & \downarrow fc GD 17-21 in 2 \bigcirc in late gestation/early lactation, \uparrow abs./rel. kidney weights, \uparrow mineralization of the aorta, renal arteries, renal tubular basal lamina and mammary gland artery (\bigcirc)
	Reproductive NOAEL = 443 mg/kg/day Reproductive LOAEL not established
	Offspring NOAEL = 443 mg/kg/day Offspring LOAEL not established
	No evidence of sensitivity
Developmental toxicity, dietary Halauxifen acid	Maternal NOAEL = 140 mg/kg bw/day LOAEL = 526 mg/kg bw/day
Sprague-Dawley rats	526 mg/kg bw/day: 2 mortalities (GD 17, 18), \downarrow fecal output, perinasal red soiling, \downarrow bw (GD 21), \downarrow bwg, \downarrow fc, slight \uparrow total
PMRA # 2226500	resorptions, \downarrow gravid uterine weight, \uparrow rel. kidney weight
	Developmental NOAEL = 140 mg/kg bw/day LOAEL = 526 mg/kg bw/day
	526 mg/kg bw/day: ↓ fetal weight, slight ↑ total resorptions, resorptions per dam and postimplantation loss, ↑delayed ossification of thoracic centra (variation)
	Evidence of developmental toxicity No evidence of sensitivity
Developmental toxicity, dietary (range-finding) Halauxifen-methyl	Maternal 303 mg/kg bw/day: ↓ bwg, fc 490 mg/kg bw/day: ↓ bwg GD 6-9; animals sacrificed on GD 9

Study Type/Animal/ PMRA #	Study Results
Sprague-Dawley rats PMRA # 2226497	Developmental Developmental effects were not assessed. Blood concentrations showed linear kinetics across all three doses for both halauxifen-methyl, when present, and the acid metabolite. Halauxifen-methyl was present above LLQ (0.03-0.16 μ g/g) during early sampling times on GD 20 and was absent in GD 21 dams. In contrast, the main metabolite, the acid, was present in all dams and fetal blood samples. When present, halauxifen-methyl concentrations were ~ 0.9% that of the acid metabolite. Fetal blood
Developmental toxicity, dietary Halauxifen-methyl	concentrations of the acid were ~69% of the dam blood concentrations. Maternal NOAEL = 159 mg/kg bw/day LOAEL = 323 mg/kg bw/day
Sprague-Dawley rats PMRA # 2226499	≥159 mg/kg bw/day: ↓ bwg GD 6-9, fc GD 6-9, ↑ liver weights, ↑ altered cytoplasmic homogeneity of centrilobular & midzonal hepatocytes (non-adverse at 159 mg/kg bw/day)
	Developmental NOAEL = 323 mg/kg bw/day LOAEL not established
	No evidence of developmental toxicity. No evidence of sensitivity.
	Halauxifen-methyl was not present in any GD 21 dam and fetal blood samples. In contrast, the acid metabolite was present at quantifiable levels (\geq LLQ) in all of the blood samples from exposed animals. The acid was present in fetal blood samples at concentrations ~ 65% of the dam blood levels. Acid blood concentrations were linear at all three doses in dams and fetuses.
Developmental toxicity,	No treatment-related maternal & developmental effects.
dietary (range-finding) Halauxifen acid	Plasma concentrations of halauxifen acid were dose proportional in maternal animals and fetuses, with fetal concentrations being
NZW rabbits	~40% of the maternal concentrations.
PMRA # 2226503	TK analysis demonstrated similar systemic exposure over a 24-h period between diet and gavage administration; however, due to the relatively short half-life of elimination ($t_{1/2} = 1.08$ h) dietary administration resulted in far more consistent exposure over a 24-h period relative to gavage with only a six-fold vs. 368-fold fluctuation between C _{min} and C _{max} by the diet vs. gavage,

Study Type/Animal/ PMRA #	Study Results
	respectively. Furthermore, plasma halauxifen acid concentrations were detectable only up to 12-h by gavage, but up to 24-h by dietary administration, driven by continued dietary test material intake over a 24-h period.
Developmental toxicity, dietary Halauxifen acid	Maternal NOAEL = 434 mg/kg bw/day LOAEL = 1094 mg/kg bw/day
NZW rabbits	1094 mg/kg bw/day: ↓ bwg, fc
PMRA # 2226504	Developmental NOAEL = 1094 mg/kg bw/day LOAEL not established
	No evidence of developmental toxicity. No evidence of sensitivity.
	Mean terminal plasma concentrations of the acid in both dam and fetal blood demonstrated systemic exposure. Fetal plasma acid concentrations were on average 3-fold lower than those in maternal animals. Analysis of kinetic dose proportionality was not possible due to high variability (attributed to short plasma $t_{1/2}$ & maternal feeding patterns at the end of gestation).
Developmental toxicity, dietary (range-finding) Halauxifen-methyl	Maternal: ≥22 mg/kg bw/day: ↑ liver weights ≥83 mg/kg bw/day: ↓ bwg, ↓ fc ≥244 mg/kg bw/day: bw loss, ↓ fc
NZW rabbits PMRA # 2226502	Developmental: ≥83.3 mg/kg bw/day: ↓ fetal weight
	Blood TK analysis demonstrated the presence of only the metabolite, the acid, and not the parent molecule, halauxifen- methyl, in treated animals at concentrations above the analytical LLQ. Diurnal systemic exposure values for the metabolite (acid) exhibited linear kinetics through the highest analyzed dose group (4167 ppm).
Developmental toxicity, dietary Halauxifen-methyl	Maternal: NOAEL = 6 mg/kg bw/day LOAEL = 19 mg/kg bw/day
NZW rabbits	≥19 mg/kg bw/day: ↑ resorptions≥19 mg/kg bw/day: ↑ liver weight, ↑ hypertrophy with altered
PMRA # 2226501	tinctorial properties (increased cytoplasmic eosinophilia) of periportal hepatocytes, \uparrow # mitotic figures of hepatocytes, \uparrow altered cytoplasmic homogeneity of centrilobular/midzonal hepatocytes (\uparrow

Study Type/Animal/ PMRA #	Study Results
	cytoplasmic glycogen) (non-adverse at 19 mg/kg bw/day)
	Developmental: NOAEL = 6 mg/kg bw/day LOAEL = 19 mg/kg bw/day ≥19 mg/kg/day: ↑ resorptions, postimplantation loss
	Evidence of developmental toxicity (variation)
	The acid, but not the parent molecule, halauxifen-methyl, was detected in treated maternal animals and their litters at concentrations above LLQ. Blood concentration values for the acid metabolite exhibited linear kinetics through the highest analyzed group (1539 ppm) in maternal animals and sublinear kinetics at 1539 ppm in fetuses. Fetal acid blood concentrations in the 391 and 1539 ppm groups were on average 27 and 6% of maternal blood concentrations, respectively.
Gene mutations in bacteria Halauxifen acid	Negative
Salmonella typhimurium. E. Coli WP2uvrA	
PMRA # 2226473	
Gene mutations in bacteria Halauxifen-methyl	Negative
Salmonella typhimurium. E. Coli WP2uvrA	
PMRA # 2226472	
Gene mutations in bacteria Halauxifen-methyl	Negative
Salmonella typhimurium. E. Coli WP2uvrA	
PMRA # 2226475	
Gene mutations in mammalian cells in vitro Halauxifen acid	Negative
Chinese hamster ovary cells (HGPRT locus)	

Study Type/Animal/	Study Results
PMRA #	Study Results
PMRA # 2226481	
Gene mutations in	Negative
mammalian cells in vitro	
Halauxifen-methyl	
Chinese hamster ovary cells (HGPRT locus)	
PMRA # 2226480	
Gene mutations in	Negative
mammalian cells in vitro	
Halauxifen-methyl	
Chinese hamster ovary cells (HGPRT locus)	
PMRA # 2226479	
Chromosome aberrations in	Negative
vitro	
Halauxifen acid	
Rat lymphocytes	
PMRA # 2226478	
Chromosome aberrations in	Negative
vitro	
Halauxifen-methyl	
Rat lymphocytes	
PMRA # 2226477	
Chromosome aberrations in	Negative
vitro	
Halauxifen-methyl	
Human peripheral	
lymphocytes	
PMRA # 2226476	
In vivo mammalian	Negative
cytogenetics Halauxifen acid	One A at 2000 malka buy had alinical signs by 5 h on the 2rd day
	One \mathcal{F} at 2000 mg/kg bw had clinical signs by 5 h on the 2nd day of dosing and died.
CD-1 mice	or dooling und drou.

Study Type/Animal/	
PMRA #	Study Results
PMRA # 2226482	
Acute neurotoxicity, gavage	NOAEL = 750/2000 mg/kg bw (∂/\Box)
Halauxifen acid	LOAEL = 2000/not established $(3/2)$
	2000 mg/kg bw: \downarrow bw (Days 2 and 8) (\eth)
Fisher 344 rats	
	No evidence of neurotoxicity
PMRA # 2226505	
13-week neurotoxicity,	NOAEL = 250/750 mg/kg bw/day ($3/2$)
dietary	LOAEL = 750 mg/kg bw/day/not established ($3/2$)
Halauxifen acid	750 mg/kg bw/day: \downarrow bw, bwg, fc (\circlearrowleft)
Fischer 344 rats	No evidence of neurotoxicity
PMRA # 2226506	
28-day immunotoxicity	NOAEL = 52 mg/kg bw/day
(SRBC response via ELISA)	
Halauxifen-methyl	500 mg/kg bw/day: ↓ abs. thymus weight
Fischer 344 rats	No evidence of immunotoxicity
	The evidence of minunotoxicity
PMRA # 2226514	
7-day hepatic gene	778/863 mg/kg bw/day (♂/♀): ↑ Cyp1a1 mRNA expression (AhR
expression and biomarker	activation)
analyses	
Halauxifen-methyl	Both parent and the primary metabolite, the acid, exhibited linear
	kinetics up to the high-dose in liver, blood (6 am) and urine; the
CD-1 mice	only exception was the terminal sample in \mathcal{J} . Halauxifen-methyl
	was detected at low levels in ~50% of blood samples at all doses
PMRA # 2226491	but represented $\leq 1\%$ of the acid levels (0.03-0.07 µg/mL).
	Halauxifen-methyl was only in urine at the high dose (0.20-0.24
	μ g/mL). Acid was present in all treated animals at 100 and 9000-
	fold higher than halauxifen-methyl for blood and urine,
	respectively. In liver, halauxifen-methyl was 50 to 100-fold higher
	than blood (1.39-4.95 μ g/g). In liver, acid was 2-fold higher than
	halauxifen-methyl.
	Levels of both halauxifen-methyl and acid were generally lower in
	female mice compared to males in blood (6 am), liver and urine,
	despite having consumed slightly larger doses of halauxifen-
	methyl; the only exceptions were the terminal blood
	concentrations, where slightly higher halauxifen-methyl and acid
	levels were seen in females.
	Kingting of holowyifon mothyl are similar in mole mice and rate
	Kinetics of halauxifen-methyl are similar in male mice and rats.
	Kinetic data beyond 7 days are not available for females. The rat is

Study Type/Animal/ PMRA #	Study Results
	more sensitive than the mouse to halauxifen-methyl-induced hepatic responses (liver weight, hepatocellular hypertrophy and mitoses, Cyp1a1 gene induction).
Comparative 7-day dietary hepatic gene expression and biomarker analyses	Halauxifen acid: 672 mg/kg/day: ↓ bwg, ↓ fc
Halauxifen-methyl & Halauxifen acid Fischer 344 rats	Halauxifen-methyl: 766 mg/kg/day: ↑ liver and thyroid weights, ↑ panlobular hepatocyte hypertrophy (↑ cytoplasmic eosinophilia), ↑ number of mitotic figures in hepatocytes, ↑ hypertrophy of thyroid follicular cells, ↑ number of mitotic figures in thyroid follicular cells, ↑
PMRA # 2226494	Cyp1a1 and Ugt1a6 mRNA expression (markers of AhR activation)
	Concentrations of acid in liver or blood from animals given the acid form were 1.75- or 1.37-fold higher, respectively, than animals given halauxifen-methyl at the corresponding acid equivalent dose. After administration of
	acid, 47% was excreted in urine as acid over the first 24 h compared to only 24% in rats receiving halauxifen-methyl. Additionally, concentrations of 4 identified metabolites of the acid were lower in blood, liver tissue and urine after administration of the acid versus halauxifen-methyl.
28-day dietary (molecular and cellular changes in the liver)	 ↑ methyl-derived metabolites via O-demethylation pathway (3% of the acid dose in urine vs. 18% from the halauxifen-methyl dose). ≥3 mg/kg bw/day: No quantifiable methyl in liver at 3 mg/kg bw/day, ≤0.36 µg/g at 10 and 52 mg/kg bw/day, 3.0 µg/g at 261 mg/kg bw/day
Halauxifen-methyl Fischer 344 rats PMRA # 2226489	≥55 mg/kg bw/day: ↑ liver weight, ↑ Cyp1a1 mRNA expression, ↑ hepatocellular hypertrophy with ↑ cytoplasmic eosinophilia, hepatocellular vacuolation, mitotic figures
	271 mg/kg bw/day: Marginal ↑ BrdU uptake in liver, ↑ Cyp1a2, Ugt1a6 and Cyp2b1 mRNA expression Recovery: Complete reversal of changes in liver weight, liver histopathology, Cyp gene expression and quantifiable liver concentrations of halauxifen-methyl and acid
	Low levels of halauxifen-methyl in liver, which became supralinear between 54.8-271 mg/kg/day. Levels of methyl <llq in all blood samples; acid was present in all blood samples (minor metabolites not measured). Blood levels of halauxifen-methyl were sub-linear at 271 mg/kg/day. In urine, ~0.05% dose was excreted as halauxifen-methyl and ~50% dose was excreted as acid. Total</llq

Study Type/Animal/ PMRA #	Study Results
	amount of acid in urine was sub-linear at 271 mg/kg/day.
Comparative in vitro AhR transactivation (Luciferase reporter & competition ligand binding assays) Halauxifen-methyl & Halauxifen acid	Halauxifen-methyl: $\geq 10 \ \mu$ M: Weak \uparrow AhR transactivation activity in mouse Hepa 1.1 reporter cell line only, compared to positive control ITE Halauxifen-methyl ultrapure (99.7%) is an AhR ligand that weakly competes with the photoaffinity ligand for the rat AhR (EC ₅₀ = 1.5×10^{-5} (halauxifen-methyl), 8.4×10^{-7} (ITE)). No AhR transactivation was observed with the acid.
Mouse (Hepa 1.1), human (Hep G2 40/6) liver cell lines	No Ank transactivation was observed with the acid.
PMRA # 2226490	
In vitro AhR nuclear receptor activation & Cyp1a mRNA expression Halauxifen-methyl Mouse (CD-1), rat (F344) and human hepatocytes	Halauxifen-methyl: \geq 30 µM: Limited induction of Cyp1a1 and Cyp1a2 in mouse and human hepatocytes at multiple time-points up to 24 h compared to 3-MC. Human hepatocytes were less responsive than mouse and rat hepatocytes to Cyp1a1 induction but more responsive to Cyp1a2 induction compared to mice. Peak expression occurred from 8-12 h. Sensitivity to Cyp1a induction: Rat>Mouse>Human (Cyp1a1>Cyp1a2)
PMRA # 2226487	3-MC: Dose responsive \uparrow Cyp1a1 and Cyp1a2 in all species. Cyp1a1 was more responsive compared to Cyp1a2 with the mouse and human, but Cyp1a2 was induced to a greater extent in the rat. For Cyp1a1 induction, mouse was the most sensitive, followed by the human where all but 1 donor had a higher CYP1A1 induction than rat. Rat was most responsive to 3-MC with respect to Cyp1a2 induction, human was the next most responsive and mouse had the least amount of Cyp1a2 induction.
	PB: Induction of Cyp2b10 (mouse; modest response), Cyp2b6 (rat) and CYP2B6 (human) in hepatocytes
Comparative in vitro methyl ester hydrolysis, PBPK modeling Halauxifen-methyl	Rapid hydrolysis to the acid by liver S9 in all species with relative rate of metabolism in order of (fastest to slowest) human>>rat>mouse. In human liver S9, methyl was not present at >LOQ after 8 min of incubation.
Mouse (CD-1), rat (F344) and human tissues (liver S9 cell fraction, whole blood	Halauxifen-methyl was metabolized in whole blood by first order kinetics (fastest to slowest): rat>mouse>>human
and synthetic gastric fluid (SGF))	Rate of metabolism in synthetic gastric fluid was the slowest. Fastest rate of conversion at pH 1.2 (pH of human GIT) = $t_{1/2}$ 100 h (much slower than liver S9 and whole blood)
PMRA # 2226488	

Study Type/Animal/ PMRA #	Study Results
	Predicted hydrolysis of halauxifen-methyl was most dependent on the rate of metabolism in liver tissue for both species (~60% of total hydrolysis in rat and >95% in human). Halauxifen-methyl and its acid achieve steady-state concentrations soon after exposure is begun, the levels clear rapidly from blood and liver following the cessation of exposure, and neither halauxifen-methyl nor acid would be expected to accumulate in either liver or blood. Model- derived peak concentrations (and 24 h AUCs) of halauxifen- methyl in liver and blood are comparable between rats and human.
	Peak concentrations from simulated 28 d exposure to methyl at 0.001 mg/kg bw/day (relevant exposure level based on residue data) resulted in levels 10000-15000-times lower than LOQ (LOQs: in blood ~0.01 μ g halauxifen-methyl/g; in liver ~0.04 μ g halauxifen-methyl/g).

Table 4Toxicity Profile of a Desmethyl Metabolite, X11449757, of Halauxifen-Methyl
Technical

Study Type/Animal/PMRA #	Study Results	Reference (PMRA #)
Gene mutations in bacteria	Negative	2226509
Salmonella typhimurium		
Gene mutations in mammalian cells in vitro	Negative	2226507
Chinese hamster ovary cells (HGPRT locus)		
Chromosome aberrations in vitro	Negative	2226508
Human peripheral lymphocytes		

Table 5Toxicology Endpoints for Use in Health Risk Assessment for Halauxifen-
Methyl

Exposure Scenario	Study		Point of Departure and Endpoint	CAF ¹ or Target MOE
Acute dietary general population	No acute toxic $ARfD = N/A$	eity endpoint was determin	ned	
Repeated dietary	Co-critical studies	NOAEL = 20 mg/kg bw/ 1-year Toxicity in dogs (Halauxifen acid) Chronic toxicity/Carcinogenicity in Rats (Halauxifen acid)	/day Renal toxicity	100

ADI = 0.2 mg/kg bw/day Developmental NOAEL = 6 mg/kg bw/day based on increased resorptions, postimplantation loss 300 Short-term to inhalation ² Rabbit oral developmental toxicity (Halauxifen-methyl)Developmental NOAEL = 6 mg/kg bw/day based on increased resorptions, postimplantation loss 300 Short-term to intermediate- term dermal ³ Rat oral developmental toxicity (Halauxifen acid) Note: exposure via dermal route is to acid, not methylDevelopmental NOAEL = 140 mg/kg bw/day based on decreased fetal weight, slight increase in total resorptions, resorptions per dam and postimplantation loss, increased delayed ossification of thoracic centra 300	Exposure Scenario	Study	Point of Departure and Endpoint	CAF ¹ or Target MOE
intermediate- term inhalation2Rabbit oral developmental toxicity (Halauxifen-methyl)mg/kg bw/day based on increased resorptions, postimplantation loss300Short-term to intermediate- term dermal3Rat oral developmental toxicity (Halauxifen acid)Developmental NOAEL = 140 mg/kg bw/day based on decreased fetal weight, slight increase in total resorptions, resorptions per dam and 		ADI = 0.2 mg/kg bw/day		
Short-term to intermediate- term dermal ³ Rat oral developmental toxicity (Halauxifen acid) Note: exposure via dermal route is to acid, not methyl acid, not m	intermediate- term	1 5	mg/kg bw/day based on increased resorptions,	300
	intermediate-	(Halauxifen acid) Note: exposure via dermal route is to	mg/kg bw/day based on decreased fetal weight, slight increase in total resorptions, resorptions per dam and postimplantation loss,	300

1 CAF (composite assessment factor) refers to a total of uncertainty and *Pest Control Products Act* factors for dietary assessments; MOE refers to a target MOE for occupational assessments

2 Since an oral NOAEL was selected, an inhalation absorption factor of 100% (default value) was used in route-to-route extrapolation.

3 Since an oral NOAEL was selected, a dermal absorption factor (30%) was used in a route-to-route extrapolation

Table 6PHED Unit Exposure Estimates for Mixer/Loader and Applicators while
Handling GF-2685 Herbicide, Paradigm Herbicide and Pixxaro A Herbicide
Wearing a Single Layer (and Chemical-Resistant Gloves when
Mixing/Loading)

	Exposure seconorio	PHED unit exposure (µg/kg a.i. handled)					
	Exposure scenario	Dermal	Adjusted Dermal*	Inhalation			
А	Dry flowable open mixing/loading (with CR gloves)	163.77	49.13	1.02			
В	Liquid open mixing/loading (with CR gloves)	51.14	15.34	1.60			
С	Groundboom application, open cab (without CR gloves)	32.98	9.89	0.96			
D	Aerial application (without CR gloves)	9.66	2.90	0.07			
A+C	Open dry flowable ML, open cab groundboom A	196.75	59.03	1.98			
B+C	Open liquid ML, open cab groundboom A	84.12	25.24	2.56			

CR = chemical-resistant, ML = mixing/loading, A = application

* Adjusted dermal = Dermal \times 30% dermal absorption

Table 7Mixer/Loader/Applicator Risk Assessment for GF-2685 Herbicide, Paradigm
Herbicide and Pixxaro A Herbicide for Workers Wearing a Single Layer and
Chemical-Resistant Gloves (gloves not required for application)

Exposure		PHED UE ¹ (μg/kg a.i. handled)		ATPD ² (ha(day)) Rate (kg a.e./		Daily Exposure ³ (mg/kg bw/day)		Calculated MOE ⁴		Combined
scena	rio	Adjusted Dermal	Inhal.	(ha/day)	(kg a.e./ ha)	Dermal	Inhalation	Dermal	Inhalation	MOE ⁵
WETTABLE GRANULE FORMULATIONS (Halauxifen-methyl in GF-2685 Herbicide and Paradigm Herbicide)										
assess	sed at the max	kimum rat	te (10 g a.e./	'ha for GF	-2685 He	rbicide)				
	Farmer Groundboom MLA	59.03	1.98	107	0.01	7.89E-04	2.65E-05	177,000	227,000	99,500
A+C	Custom Groundboom MLA	59.03	1.98	360	0.01	2.66E-03	8.91E-05	52,700	67,300	29,600
А	Aerial ML*	49.13	1.02	400	0.01	2.46E-03	5.10E-05	57,000	118,000	38,400
D	Aerial A*	2.90	0.07	400	0.01	1.45E-04	3.50E-06	966,000	1,710,000	618,000
EMU	LSIFIABLE	CONCEN	TRATE FO	ORMULA	TION (Ha	alauxifen-	methyl in Pi	xxaro A H	erbicide)	•
assess	sed at the max	ximum pr	oposed rate	(5 g a.e./h	a)		·		,	
	Farmer Groundboom MLA		2.56	107	0.005	1.69E-04	1.71E-05	830,000	350,000	246,000
B+C	Custom Groundboom MLA	25.24	2.56	360	0.005	5.68E-04	5.76E-05	247,000	104,000	73,200
А		15.34	1.60	400	0.005	3.84E-04	4.00E-05	365,000	150,000	106,000
D			0.07	400	0.005	7.25E-05		1,930,000	/	1,240,000

ML = mixing/loading, A = application,

¹ PHED unit exposures (UE) from Table 6.

² Area treated per day (ATPD) values from Default Area Treated per day tables (2010)

³ Daily exposure = (PHED unit exposure × ATPD × Rate) / (80 kg bw × 1000 μ g/mg)

⁴ Based on dermal NOAEL = 140 mg/kg bw/day and inhalation NOAEL = 6 mg/kg bw/day (target MOE = 300).

⁵ Combined MOE = $_$

(1/Dermal MOE) + (1/Inhalation MOE)

Table 8 Postapplication Exposure and Risk Estimates for Re-Entry Workers

Сгор	Activity	Rate		TC (cm ² /hr) ²	Exposure (mg/kg bw/day) ³	Calculated MOE ⁴
Wheat and	Scouting in full foliage	One application at	0.0250	1100	0.0008	170,000
barley	Scouting in minimal foliage	0.01 kg a.e./ha*	0.0230	100	0.0001	1,870,000

¹ Dislodgeable foliar residue (DFR) calculated based on the default values: 25% of the application rate dislodgeable on the day of application, 10% daily dissipation)

² Transfer coefficients (TCs) from ARTF data

³ Exposure = (Peak DFR × TC × 8 hr/day × 30% dermal absorption) / (80 kg bw × 1000 μ g/mg)

⁴ Based on NOAEL = 140 mg/kg bw/day, target MOE = 300

* Assessed using the maximum rate of the three end-use products (10 g a.e. /ha for GF-2685 Herbicide)

Table 9 Major Groundwater and Surface Water Model Inputs for Level 1 Assessment of Halauxifen-Methyl Residues (Halauxifen-Methyl and Transformation Products XDE-729 Acid (X11393729) and X11449757) in **Drinking Water Sources**

Type of Input	Parameter	Value
Application Information	Crop(s) to be treated	Spring wheat, durum wheat, winter wheat, spring barley
	Maximum allowable application rate per year (g a.e./ha)	10
	Maximum rate each application (g a.e./ha)	10
	Maximum number of applications per year	1
	Minimum interval between applications (days)	Not applicable
	Method of application	Field sprayer or aerial application equipment
Environmental	Hydrolysis half-life at pH 7 (days)	4319
Fate	Photolysis half-life in water (days)	0.014
Characteristics	Adsorption K_d (mL/g)	0.9176 (20^{th} percentile of seven K _d values for X11449757)
	Aerobic soil biotransformation half-life (days)	22.6 (90 th percentile confidence bound on mean of four combined residue half-life values adjusted to 25°C)
	Aerobic aquatic biotransformation half-life (days)	81.4 (longest of two combined residue half-lives)
	Anaerobic aquatic biotransformation half-life (days)	Stable (longest of two combined residue half-lives)

Level 1 Estimated Environmental Concentrations of Combined Residues of Table 10 Halauxifen-Methyl in Potential Drinking Water Sources

Compound	Groundwater EEC (µg/L)		Surface Water Reservoir	EEC (µg/L)
	Daily ¹	Yearly ²	Daily ³	Yearly ⁴
1 × 10 g a.e./ha on spring wheat, du	rum wheat, w	vinter wheat an	d spring barley	
Halauxifen-methyl + XDE-729 acid (X11393729) + X11449757	0.032	0.032	0.50	0.0051

¹ 90th percentile of daily average concentrations
 ² 90th percentile of yearly average concentrations
 ³ 90th percentile of yearly peak concentrations
 ⁴ 90th percentile of yearly average concentrations

NATURE OF THE RESID								
Radiolabel Position	[¹⁴ C]-Halauxifen-met	hyl labeled separat	tely	in the phenyl	[PH] and	l pyridin	e [PY] rings	
Test Site	Outdoor plots in Calif	fornia.						
Treatment	A single foliar application	ation.						
Total Rate	0 g a.i./ha (9.6 g a.e./ha). Each radiolabeled compound was applied with and without he safener cloquintocet-mexyl (CQM; nominal rate of 10 g/ha).							
Formulation	Emulsifiable concentr	rate [EC]						
Preharvest interval	Hay: 24 days after ap	mmature forage: 7 days after application Hay: 24 days after application Mature straw and grain: 84 days after application						
Matricas	PHI TRRs (ppm)							
Matrices	(days)	[¹⁴ C]-PH label			[¹⁴ C]-PY	label		
		- CQM	+ C	CQM	- CQM	+	· CQM	
Immature Forage	7	0.094	0.2	02	0.139	0	.146	
Нау	24	0.279	0.2	36	0.357	0	.411	
Straw	84	0.294	0.1	90	0.353	0	.062	
Grain	84	0.004	0.0	04	0.003	0	.002	
Metabolites Identified	Major Metabolites (>10% of the TRR	Rs)	Minor Meta	bolites (<	<10% of	the TRRs)	
Radiolabel Position (with	[¹⁴ C]-PH label		,				,	
and without safener)	- CQM	+ CQM	1	- CQM		+ CQN	1	
Immature Forage (7-day PHI)		X11406790 glucose-malonyl conjugate (X12245409) (10.3% of the TRRs; 0.021 ppr		Halauxifen-r X11449757; halauxifen ac X11861662; X11406790 conjugate; X12245409; X11406790	7; X11449757; acid; halauxifen a 2; X11861662; 9 glucose X11406790 conjugate; X11406790 y; X11406790		ifen acid; 1662; 6790 glucose ate;	
Hay (7-day PHI)				Halauxifen-r X11449757; halauxifen ac X11861662; X11406790 conjugate ; X12245409; X11406790		7; X114497 acid; halauxife 2; X118616 0 glucose X114067 conjugate 9; X122454		
Mature Straw (84 day PHI)				Halauxifen-r X11449757; halauxifen ad X11861662; X11406790 conjugate; X12245409; X11406790	57; X114497 n acid; halauxife 62; X118616 90 glucose X114067 c; conjugate 09; X122454		ifen acid; 1662; 6790 glucoso ate; 5409;	
Grain (84-day PHI)	NA	NA		NA		NA		
Simil (07 may 1111)	1 11 1	1 1/ 1		T 17 F		1 1 1 1		

Table 11a Integrated Food Residue Chemistry Summary

Metabolites Identified	Major Metabo	olites (>1	0% of the TRRs)	Minor Metabolites (<10% of the TRRs)		
Radiolabel Position (with	[¹⁴ C]-PY label						
and without safener)	- CQM		+ CQM	- CQM	+ CQM		
				Halauxifen-methyl; X11449757;	Halauxifen-methyl; X11449757;		
Immature Forage (7-day PHI)				halauxifen acid; X11861662; X11406790 glucose conjugate; X12245409;	halauxifen acid; X11861662; X11406790 glucose conjugate; X12245409;		
				X11406790	X11406790		
Hay (7-day PHI)				Halauxifen-methyl; X11449757; halauxifen acid; X11861662; X11406790 glucose	Halauxifen-methyl; X11449757; halauxifen acid; X11861662; X11406790 glucose		
				conjugate; X12245409; X11406790	conjugate; X12245409; X11406790		
				Halauxifen-methyl; X11449757; halauxifen acid; X11861662;	Halauxifen-methyl; X11449757; halauxifen acid; X11861662;		
Mature Straw (84 day PHI)				X11406790 glucose conjugate;	X11406790 glucose conjugate;		
				X12245409; X11406790	X12245409; X11406790		
Grain (84-day PHI)	NA		NA	NA	NA		
NA = not analysed: TRRs in							
NATURE OF THE RESIL	-			PMRA # 2226517			
Radiolabel Position	[¹⁴ C]-Halauxit	fen-methy	vl labeled separate	y in the phenyl [PH] an	d pyridine [PY] rings		
Test Site	Outdoor plots		,	·) ··· ··· · F) · [] ····			
Treatment	1	ergent fol	iar ground applicat	tion at BBCH17			
Total Rate	10 g a.e./ha	0	of the ofference ofference ofference of the ofference ofference of the off				
Formulation	Emulsifiable co	oncentrat	e [EC]				
Preharvest interval [PHI]							
Matrices	PHI (days)	TRRs ()	ppm)	[¹⁴ C]-PY label			
Immature tops	0.079		0.082				
Immature roots	14	<0.01		<0.01			
Mature tops	•	0.091		0.109			
Mature roots	28	< 0.01		<0.01			

Metabolites Identified	Major Metabolites (>	10% of the TRRs)	Minor Metabolites (<10% of the TRRs)		
Radiolabel Position	[¹⁴ C]-PH label	[¹⁴ C]-PY label	[¹⁴ C]-PH label [¹⁴ C]-PY labe		
14-day Tops	Halauxifen-methyl N- glucose conjugate* (19.0% of the TRRs; 0.015 ppm); halauxifen-methyl (13.5% of the TRRs; 0.011 ppm); halauxifen acid (12.5% of the TRRs; 0.01 ppm);	Halauxifen- methyl N-glucose conjugate* (21.4- 0.018 ppm); halauxifen-methyl (12.2% of the TRRs; 0.01 ppm); halauxifen acid glucose conjugate (12.6% of the TRRs; 0.01 ppm)	Halauxifen-methyl N-glucose conjugate*; halauxifen acid; X11406790 malonyl glucose conjugate; low level metabolite (RT = 13.7 minutes); X11406790	Halauxifen-methyl N-glucose conjugate*; halauxifen acid; X11406790 malonyl glucose conjugate; low level metabolite (RT = 13.7 minutes); X11406790	
28-day Tops	Halauxifen-methyl N glucose conjugate* (14.9% of the TRRs; 0.014 ppm); halauxifen acid glucose conjugate (12.9% of the TRRs; 0.012 ppm)	Halauxifen- methyl N glucose conjugate* (17.4% of the TRRs; 0.019 ppm); halauxifen acid glucose conjugate (14.1% of the TRRs; 0.015 ppm)	Halauxifen-methyl; low level metabolite (RT = 13.7 min); halauxifen acid; X11406790 malonyl glucose conjugate ; halauxifen-methyl N- glucose conjugate*; X11406790	Halauxifen-methyl; low level metabolite (RT = 13.7 min); halauxifen acid; X11406790 malonyl glucose conjugate; halauxifen-methyl N-glucose conjugate*; X11406790	
14-day Roots	NA		•	•	
28-day Roots					

 α and β anomers; the corresponding identity was not indicated in the study.

NA = not analysed: TRRs in roots were too low to warrant further residue characterization/identification.

Proposed Metabolic Scheme in Wheat and Turnip

Metabolism of halauxifen-methyl in wheat and turnip applied as a single foliar application is similar. Little translocation of the active ingredient from the point of application occurs. Halauxifen-methyl undergoes extensive metabolism which may proceed through N-conjugation with glucose, or dissociation producing halauxifen acid, or de-methylation of the methoxy group on the phenyl ring producing the metabolite X11406790. X11406790 is then conjugated with glucose followed by further conjugation with malonic acid. Halauxifen acid is also conjugated with glucose, through either the nitrogen or oxygen. The higher proportions of conjugates to primary metabolites indicate that conjugation is the preferential route of metabolism.

The metabolite X11861662, present at very low levels in all analysed wheat matrices (<0.01 ppm halauxifen-methyl equivalents in the majority of cases), arises from the dechlorination of halauxifen-methyl. As dechlorination is an uncommon plant metabolic route, and as X11861662 was identified as an aqueous photodegradation product, this metabolite likely arises from photolysis of halauxifen-methyl on the leaf surface.

Table 11b Integrated Food Residue Chemistry Summary

	ATION IN ROTATIONAL CROPS –	PMRA #2226531
Wheat, lettuce and radish Radiolabel Position	[¹⁴ C]-Halauxifen-methyl labeled separately	in the phonyl [DH] and puriding [DV] rings
Kaulolabel Position	Bare sandy loam soil contained in above gro	
Test site	The boxes were moved into a greenhouse 14 wheat plots were moved outdoors, 361 days	40 days post treatment; the 270-day PBI
Formulation	Not reported	
Application rate and timing	Bare soil was treated at 10 g a.e./ha, and age	ed for 14, 90 and 270 days.

Metabolites Identi	fied	Major Meta	bolites (>10% of the TRRs)	Minor Metabo	lites (<10% of the TRRs)		
Crop Matrix	PBI (days)	PH-label		PY-label	PH-label	PY-label		
						alents. Therefore further		
				pplied as foliar treatn	nent to cereals acc	ording to maximum		
proposed label rate		-		-				
NATURE OF THE					PMRA #2226			
Halauxifen-methyl radiolabeled in either the phenyl [PH] (specific activity: 8.31 mCi/mmol) or pyridine [PY]								
(specific activity: 8.75 mCi/mmol) ring was orally dosed to laying hens for 7 days at the rate of 11.3 and 11.6 mg a.i./kg dry feed per day in the diet, for the PH- and PY-labeled groups, respectively. Eggs were collected twice daily								
						hours after the final dose		
						e rinse, blood and gastro-		
				determined by oxida				
				g LC-MS or LC-MS				
		–PH label		0	[¹⁴ C]–PY label			
Matrices	TDD		0/ - 6 4	1		% of Administered		
	IKK	s (ppm)	% 01 A	dministered Dose	TRRs (ppm)	Dose		
Excreta (days 1-7)	8 500	-16.380	94.5		9.152-16.721	93.8		
	0.309	-10.380	(total or	ver the 7 days)	9.132-10.721	(total over the 7 days)		
Cage rinse (at	1.180		0.063		0.468	0.044		
sacrifice)								
Liver	0.046		0.028		0.043	0.023		
Fat - subcutaneous	0.008		0.003		0.005	0.003		
Skin with fat	0.016		0.019		0.009	0.012		
Muscle (breast) Muscle (leg)	<0.00		0.001 0.005		<0.001 0.002	0.001 0.006		
Eggs (days 1-7))1-0.003	0.0003	0.0016	<0.002	0-0.0022		
				erage of duplicate an		0-0.0022		
Metabolites								
identified	Majo	or Metabolite	s (>10%	of the TRRs)	Minor Metabolites (<10% of the TRRs)			
Radiolabel Position	n [¹⁴ C]·	–PH label	[¹⁴ C]–PY label	[¹⁴ C]–PH label	[¹⁴ C]–PY label		
	X114	49757 (32.3%	of		Halauxifen acid;	Sulphate conjugate of		
		RRs; 0.015 pp		X11449757 (20.9%	X11406790;	X11406790; XDE-		
Liver		sulphate conjugate of		f the TRRs; 0.009	sulphate conjugat	te 729 acid; X11406790;		
		06790 (14.5%		pm)	of X11449757;	sulphate conjugate of X11449757; polar		
	the T	RRs; 0.007 pp	m)		polar radioactivit	y radioactivity		
					Halauxifen-methy	~ ~ ~		
		ixifen acid (26			X11406790;	,		
Skin with Fat		e TRRs; 0.004 ; sulphate con		JA	X11449757;	NA		
Skill with Fat		, suipitate con 1406790 (12.		A	sulphate conjugat	te		
		RRs; 0.002 pp			of X11449757;			
		iuts, 0.002 pp			polar radioactivit			
	Halaı	uxifen acid (54	1/0/2	XDE-729 acid	V11406700	Halauxifen-methyl;		
	of the	e TRRs; 8.953		52.0% of the TRRs; .698 ppm);	X11406790; halauxifen-methy	sulphate conjugate of X11406790;		
Excreta*		; X11449757	3	K11449757 (23.6%	sulphate conjugat			
		% of the TRR	Q ·	f the TRRs; 3.953	of X11406790	conjugate of		
	2.393	ppm)		pm)		X11449757		
Unless otherwise in	dicated,	values represe		erage of duplicate an	alyses	•		
*Maximum residues	s observe	ed among day	1, 4 and	7 samples	-			
NA = Not Analysed	. Residu	es were too lo	w to war	rant further analyses.				

NATURE OF THE RESIDUE IN LACTATING GOAT

Two goats were dosed via capsule administered with a balling gun with halauxifen-methyl radiolabeled in either the phenyl [PH] (specific activity: 9.63 mCi/mmol) or pyridine [PY] (specific activity: 9.50 mCi/mmol) ring for 5 consecutive days at a feeding level of approximately 10.25 and11.17 mg/kg dry feed per day, respectively. Milk was collected twice daily, once in the morning and once in the afternoon and the samples were maintained separate. Urine and feces were collected at 24-hour intervals immediately prior to dose administration. Cage washes were collected after necropsy. The animals were sacrificed approximately 6-8 hours after the final dose and muscle (loin and flank), liver, kidney, fat (subcutaneous, omental and renal) were collected. GI tract and contents were also collected for mass balance purposes only. TRRs were determined by oxidative combustion and LSC, residue characterization/identification was determined using LC with UV detection or LC-MS/MS.

PMRA #2226519

Matrices TRRs (H label			[¹⁴ C]–PY label		
			% of A	dministered Dose	TRRs (ppm)	% of Administered Dose	
Milk (days 1-5)	0.001-0	.004	0.001-0	0.005	0.001-0.012	0.002-0.012	
Urine (days 1-5)	0.331-1	.175	2.5-6.6		1.571-3.385	2.7-8.6	
Feces (days 1-5)	0.694-2	.412	3.5-8.8		0.394-2.639	1.9-14.1	
Cage rinse (at sacrifice)	0.361		0.10		1.385	0.39	
Muscle (flank)	0.002		0.001		0.001	0.001	
Muscle (loin)	< 0.001		0.00		< 0.001	0.000	
Liver	0.077		0.11		0.032	0.034	
Fat- omental	0.005		0.001		0.001	0.001	
Fat-subcutaneous	(0.003)		0.0001		0.002	0.0001	
Fat - renal	0.016		0.002		0.002	0.0001	
Kidney	0.121		0.039		0.041	0.008	
GI Tract	0.265		1.4		0.219	0.55	
GI contents	0.858		11.6		0.876	6.42	
Blood	0.010		0.027		0.006	0.013	
Unless otherwise in	ndicated,	values repre	sent the	ent the average of duplicate analyses			
Metabolites ident	ified	Major Met TRRs)	tabolites (>10% of the		Minor Metabolites (<10% of the TRRs)		
Radiolabel Position	on	[¹⁴ C]–PH la	ıbel	[¹⁴ C]–PY label	[¹⁴ C]–PH label	[¹⁴ C]–PY label	
Renal Fat		Halauxifen (44.8% of th TRRs; 0.00 X11449757 (17.4% of th TRRs, 0.00	acid ne 7 ppm); ne 3 ppm)	NA	Sulfate conjugate of X11449757; polar radioactivity	NA	
Liver 0.0 hal (12		X11449757 (62.0% of the TRRs; 0.048ppm); halauxifen acid (12.1% of the TRRs; 0.009 ppm)		X11449757 (31.9% of the TRRs; 0.01 ppm)		Polar radioactivity; halauxifen acid; N-or O- glucuronic acid conjugate of X11406790; X11406790	

Table 11c Integrated Food Residue Chemistry Summary

Kidney	Halauxifen acid (36.7% of the TRRs; 0.044 ppm); sulphate conjugate of X11449757 (18.3% of the TRRs; 0.022 ppm); X11449757 (14.7% of the TRRs; 0.018 ppm)	Sulphate conjugate of X11449757 (10.4% of the TRRs, 0.004 ppm); X11449757 (14.4% of the TRRs; 0.006 ppm); halauxifen acid (34.4% of the TRRs; 0.014 ppm)	X11406790, sulphate conjugate of X11406790; N- or O-glucuronic acid conjugate of X11406790; polar radioactivity	Halauxifen-methyl; polar radioactivity; N- or O-glucuronic acid conjugate of X11406790; X11406790
Milk (days 3-4)*	NA	X11449757 (21.9% of the TRRs; 0.002 ppm); N- or O- glucuronic acid conjugate of X11406790 (40.7% of the TRRs; 0.005 ppm); sulphate conjugate of X11406790 (21.8% of the TRRs; 0.003 ppm)	NA	Halauxifen-methyl; polar radioactivity; halauxifen acid; N- glucuronic acid conjugate of halauxifen- methyl; X11406790
Feces (days 1, 3 and 5)**	Halauxifen-methyl (105% of the TRRs; 1.493 ppm); X11449757 (21.6% of the TRRs; 0.521 ppm); X11406790 (28.3% of the TRRs; 0.682)	Halauxifen-methyl (110% of the TRRs; 1.291 ppm); X11449757 (28.8% of the TRRs; 0.416 ppm); X11406790 (46.5% of the TRRs; 0.671)	Halauxifen acid; N- or O-glucuronic acid conjugate of X11406790	Polar radioactivity; halauxifen acid
Urine (days 1- 5)***	X11449757 (34.9% of the TRRs; 0.240 ppm); sulphate conjugate of X11449757 (21.5% of the TRRs; 0.173); halauxifen acid (47.1% of the TRRs; 0.380 ppm); X11406790 (11.7%; 0.108 ppm)	Sulphate conjugate of X11449757 (12.8% of the TRRs; 0.220); X11449757 (46.7% of the TRRs; 1.122 ppm); halauxifen acid (56.3% of the TRRs; 0.971 ppm); X11406790 (14.8% of the TRRs; 0.400 ppm)	Polar radioactivity; N-glucuronic acid conjugate of halauxifen-methyl; sulphate conjugate of X11406790	N-glucuronic acid conjugate of halauxifen- methyl; sulphate conjugate of X11406790
Unless otherwise indicated, *Maximum residues observ ** Maximum residues observ ** Maximum residues observ *** Maximum residues observ NA = Not Analysed. Residu Proposed Metabolic Scher The metabolic pathway for majority of the radioactivity acid, halauxifen acid. Any seconsisted primarily of the n (the phenol) and halauxifen halauxifen acid or X114065	y values represent the yed between day 3 and prved among day 1, 3, served among day 1 th ues were too low to w me in Livestock halauxifen-methyl in y was excreted as unc significant amount of netabolite X11449757 a acid. Based on these	average of duplicate a d 4 samples and 5 samples prough 5 samples varrant further analyse livestock was propos hanged halauxifen-m retained radioactivity 7. Other metabolites of results, metabolism p	es. Sed based on the ident ethyl (feces) or as the was observed in live observed in the edible proceeds through dem	e demethylated carboxylic er and kidney and tissues were X11406790 nethylation to either
halauxifen acid or X114067 sulfate or glucuronic acid.				

FREEZER STORAGE STABILITY	PMRA# 2286048 (plant matrices);
	PMRA #2286049 (livestock matrices)

Plant matrices: wheat grain, lettuce, rapeseed, and whole oranges

The freezer storage stability data indicate that residues of halauxifen-methyl and the metabolite halauxifen acid are stable for up to 489 days (16 months) in representative dry (wheat grain), high water content (lettuce), high fat content (rapeseed), and high acid content (oranges) commodities.

Animal matrices: Bovine muscle, poultry liver, milk and eggs

The freezer storage stability data indicate that residues of halauxifen-methyl and the metabolite halauxifen acid are stable in all matrices for up to 371 days, and that residues of the metabolite X11449757 is stable in all matrices for up to 182 days.

Table 11dIntegrated Food Residue Chemistry Summary

CROP FIELD TRIALS ON WHEAT	PMRA #s 2226523 & 2226520					
Twenty-seven US and Canadian field trials were conducted during the 2010 and 2011 growing seasons on wheat						
(spring and winter varieties) in Zones 2 (2 trials), 5 (6 trials), 6 (2 trial						
(5 trials). Each trial site consisted of one untreated control and one tre						
methyl (formulated as GF-2685, a 10% water dispersible granule [WI						
methyl/kg) was applied as a single foliar spray broadcast treatment at						
actual stages at application: BBCH32-41) at rates of 9.73-11.09 g a.i./						
cloquintocet-mexyl was included in the spray applications at rates of 9						
samples were cut and collected, and hay samples were cut 1-day (BBC						
and 17-31 days (BBCH65-85; late flowering to soft dough stage) after						
straw and grain were collected at early maturity, at pre-harvest interva						
exception of samples from 4 of the 2010 trials for which the exact gro						
"BBCH99"). The applications were made in volumes of 97-192 L/ha						
in all spray mixtures. At two sites from the 2010 trials, forage was col						
7-, 14-, 21- and 28-days, and grain and straw samples were collected a						
subsequently at 7-, 14-, 21- and 28-days after maturity, at PHIs ranging from 45-85 days, in order to evaluate residue						
decline behaviour. Hay samples from all trials were dried to a moisture content of 10-20% in the field or under a						
shelter 1-13 days prior to collection. Grain samples were separated from straw using a field or stationary combine						
and straw samples were collected from the material remaining after co	6					
Total Residue Levels (ppm)						

	Total			Residue	e Levels	(ppm)	_			
Commodity	Application Rate (g a.i./ha)	PHI (days)	n	Min. ^a	Max. ^a	LAFT ^b	HAFT ^b	Median ^b	Mean ^b	SD ^b
Halauxifen-me	Halauxifen-methyl*									
Wheat forage		1	27	0.036	0.389	0.043	0.384	0.157	0.159	0.08
Wheat hay	9.73-11.09	17-31	27	< 0.01	0.026	< 0.01	0.025	< 0.01	< 0.011	0.004
Wheat grain	9.75-11.09	42-73	27	< 0.01	< 0.01			< 0.01	< 0.01	
Wheat straw		42-73	27	< 0.01	0.013	< 0.01	0.012	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.0004
PHI = nre-harve	st interval [.] LA	FT = Lc	west A	verage F	ield Trial	\cdot HAFT =	Highest A	verage Field T	rial SD =	=

PHI = pre-harvest interval; LAFT = Lowest Average Field Trial; HAFT = Highest Average Field Trial; SD = Standard Deviation; n = number of field trials. For computation of the LAFT, HAFT, median, mean and standard deviation, values <LOQ are assumed to be at the LOQ.

^a Values based on individual residue measurements.

^b Values based on per trial averages.

* Halauxifen-methyl = the residue definition in plants

CROP FIELD TRIALS ON BARLEY

PMRA #2226525 & 2226528

Eighteen US and Canadian field trials were conducted on barley in the 2010 and 2011 growing seasons in Zones 1 (1 trial), 5/5A/5B (3 trials), 7 (4 trials), 7A (1 trial), 9 (1 trial), 10 (1 trial), 11 (1 trial) and 14 (6 trials). At each test location, consisting of one untreated and one treated plot, halauxifen-methyl (formulated as GF-2685, a 10% water dispersible granule [WDG] containing 104-106 g a.e. halauxifen methyl/kg) was applied as a single foliar spray broadcast treatment targeting the flag leaf stage (BBCH39; actual crop stages at application were BBCH22-49) at rates of 9.98-10.98 g halauxifen methyl/ha. In the 2010 and 2011 trials, the safener cloquintocet-mexyl was included in the spray applications at rates of 9.53-10.42 g cloquintocet-mexyl/ha. Hay samples were cut 17-33 days after treatment (DAT) (BBCH73-85; between milk and soft dough stages) and samples of straw and grain were collected at early maturity, at pre-harvest intervals (PHIs) of 43-83 days (BBCH85-92). The applications were made in volumes of 97-191 L/ha and a locally available adjuvant was included in all spray mixtures. At two sites from the 2010 trials, hay samples were cut at PHIs of 1-, 7-, 14-, 21- and 28-days (approximately spanning the interval between application and hay cutting at milk to dough stage), and grain and straw samples were collected approximately 7 days prior to earliest maturity, and at 7-, 14-17, 21- and 28-31 days after earliest maturity, at PHIs ranging from 38-78 days, in order to evaluate residue decline behaviour. The majority of hay samples from all trials were dried to a moisture content of 8-20% in the field or under a shelter 1-18 days prior to collection, with the exception of two and three of the 2010 trials in which moisture content was $\geq 20\%$ due to incomplete sample drying and <8%, respectively. Grain was separated from straw using a field or stationary combine and straw samples were collected from the material remaining after combining.

concetted nom the material femaling after comoning.										
	Total			Residue Levels (ppm)					_	-
Commodity	Application Rate (g a.i./ha)	PHI (days)	n	Min. ^a	Max. ^a	LAFT ^b	HAFT ^b	Median ^b	Mean ^b	SD ^b
Halauxifen-meth	nyl*							•		
Barley hay		17-33	18	< 0.01	< 0.01			< 0.01	< 0.01	
Barley grain	9.98-10.98	43-83	18	< 0.01	< 0.01			< 0.01	< 0.01	
Barley straw		43-83	18	< 0.01	< 0.01			< 0.01	< 0.01	
PHI = nre-harves	t interval [.] LAF	T = I ow	vest A	verage Fie	ld Trial H	AFT = Hig	phest Aver	age Field Tri	al $SD =$	

PHI = pre-harvest interval; LAFT = Lowest Average Field Trial; HAFT = Highest Average Field Trial; SD = Standard Deviation; n = number of field trials. For computation of the LAFT, HAFT, median, mean and standard deviation, values <LOQ are assumed to be at the LOQ.

^a Values based on individual residue measurements.

^b Values based on per trial averages.

* Halauxifen-methyl = the residue definition in plants

Table 11eIntegrated Food Residue Chemistry Summary

RESIDUE DATA IN ROTATIONAL CR						
Data are not required given that, in the subn	nitted confined rotational crop stud	y, TRRs in all crop matrices harvested				
at all PBIs were <0.01 ppm halauxifen-methyl equivalents.						
PROCESSED FOOD AND FEED – WHI	EAT	PMRA# 2226530				
Test Site	One trial in the US (Zone 5)					
Treatment	A single foliar application at the f	lag leaf stage (~BBCH39)				
Rate	Side-by-side plots; 10.4 and 52.1					
	(LASER, a paraffinic mineral oil					
End-use product/formulation	GF-2685; water-dispersible granule (WDG) formulation of 100 g a.e.					
	halauxifen-methyl/kg and 100 g a	.i. cloquintocet- mexyl/kg				
Preharvest interval	60 days					
Processed Commodity	Average Processing Factor					
Aspirated grain fraction						
Bran		1 h - 1 :				
Total bran	Residues of halauxifen-methyl an	ed wheat grain samples (from both				
Flour (dry mill)						
Whole meal flour	plots) and all processed commodities. As a result, processing factorial could not be determined for any of the processed commodities.					
Flour-550	courd not be determined for any of the processed commodities.					
Bread (white)]					

Whole grain bread	
Middlings	
Shorts	
Germ	
Gluten	
Gluten feed meal	
Starch	
LIVESTOCK FEEDING – Dairy cattle	PMRA #2226529
Lactating dairy cows were orally administered	ed halauxifen-methyl at dose levels of 1.0, 3.1 and 15.6 ppm via a
compound feed containing the test items add	lad as a solution in agetone for 28,20 consecutive days. The dose levels

compound feed containing the test items added as a solution in acetone for 28-29 consecutive days. The dose levels of 1.0, 3.1 and 15.6 ppm represent 100x, 310x, and 1560x, respectively, the estimated dietary burden for beef cattle, and 3.1, 9.7, and 48.8x, respectively, the estimated dietary burden for dairy cattle.

Commodity	Feeding Level	Highest Residues*	MBD (ppm)	Anticipated Residues			
Commonly	(ppm)	(ppm)	Dairy	at MBD (ppm)			
Whole milk		< 0.02		<2.1×10 ⁻⁴			
Skim Milk		< 0.02					
Cream		< 0.02	0.32				
Liver	15.6	0.184		3.8×10 ⁻³			
Kidney	15.6	0.063	0.32	1.3×10 ⁻³			
Muscle		< 0.02		<2.1×10 ⁻⁴			
Fat (subcutaneous,		< 0.02		<2.1×10 ⁻⁴			
mesenteric and perirenal)							
*Combined residues of ha	*Combined residues of halauxifen-methyl plus the metabolite X11449757 (which was converted to and reported as						

halauxifen-methyl equivalents), reported as total halauxifen-methyl equivalents.

Table 11f Integrated Food Residue Chemistry Summary

LIVESTOCK FEEDING – Laying hens						
A laying hen feeding study was not provided for review. The dietary burden determined from the more balanced diet was calculated using data from the hen metabolism study (PMRA #2226518).						
				Anticipated Residue at MBD (ppm)		
Muscle		-				
Skin + Fat	11.3-11.6	< 0.002	0.01	1.7×10 ⁻⁶		
Liver		< 0.016	0.01	1.4×10 ⁻⁵		
Eggs						

Table 12Food Residue Chemistry Overview of Metabolism Studies and Risk
Assessment

PLANT STUDIES	
RESIDUE DEFINITION FOR ENFORCEMENT	Halauxifen-methyl
Primary and rotational crops	Thanauxtren-ineuryi
RESIDUE DEFINITION FOR RISK ASSESSMENT	Halauxifen-methyl
Primary crops and rotational crops	Thanauxtren-ineuryi
METABOLIC PROFILE IN DIVERSE CROPS	Similar in wheat and turnip
ANIMAL STUDIES	
ANIMALS	Ruminant and Poultry
RESIDUE DEFINITION FOR ENFORCEMENT	Halauxifen-methyl and the metabolite X11449757
RESIDUE DEFINITION FOR RISK ASSESSMENT	Halauxifen-methyl and the metabolite X11449757
METABOLIC PROFILE IN ANIMALS	Similar in goat, hen and rat.
FAT SOLUBLE RESIDUE	No

DIETARY RISK FROM FOOD AND WATER					
		ESTIMATED RISK			
	POPULATION	% of ACCEPTABLE I	DAILY INTAKE (ADI)		
Basic chronic non-cancer dietary		Food Alone	Food and Water		
exposure analysis	All infants <1 year	<1	<1		
1 v	Children 1–2 years	<1	<1		
ADI = 0.2 mg/kg bw/day	Children 3 to 5 years	<1	<1		
	Children 6–12 years	<1	<1		
Estimated chronic drinking water	Youth 13–19 years	<1	<1		
concentration = 0.07 g a.i./L	Adults 20–49 years	<1	<1		
(Level 1)	Adults 50+ years	<1	<1		
	Females 13-49 years	<1	<1		
	Total population	<1	<1		

Table 13Fate and Behaviour of Halauxifen-methyl and Transformation Products in
the Environment

Property	Test substance	Value ¹	Transformation products	Comments	Reference (PMRA #)
Abiotic transfo	ormation				
Hydrolysis	Halauxifen- methyl	$\frac{10^{\circ}\text{C}}{\text{pH 4, DT}_{50}: 245 \text{ d};}$ pH 7, DT ₅₀ : 660 d; pH 9, DT ₅₀ : 18 d (SFO – combined labels) $\frac{25^{\circ}\text{C}}{\text{pH 4, DT}_{50}: 81 \text{ d};}$ pH 7, DT ₅₀ : 155 d; pH 9, DT ₅₀ : 3 d (SFO – combined labels) $\frac{50^{\circ}\text{C}}{\text{pH 4, DT}_{50}: 12 \text{ d};}$ pH 7, DT ₅₀ : 17 d; pH 9, DT ₅₀ : 0.2 d (SFO – combined labels)	<u>Major:</u> X11393729	Hydrolysis can contribute to the overall dissipation of halauxifen- methyl, especially at alkaline pH.	2226413
Phototransfor- mation on soil	Halauxifen- methyl	DT_{50} (irradiated): 8.66 d; DT_{50} (dark): 3.48 d (SFO – combined labels) A phototransformation half-life could not be calculated as dissipation was faster in the dark controls.	<u>Major, Irradiated:</u> X11393729 <u>Major, Dark:</u> X11393729 X11449757 <u>Minor, Irradiated:</u> X11449757 CO ₂ <u>Minor, Dark:</u> CO ₂	Not expected to be an important route of dissipation	2226535

Property	Test substance	Value ¹	Transformation products	Comments	Reference (PMRA #)
Phototransfor- mation in water	Halauxifen- methyl	Sterile pH 7 buffer DT ₅₀ (irradiated): 0.003 d; DT ₅₀ (dark): 158 d (SFO – combined labels) Sterile natural water DT ₅₀ (irradiated): 0.005 d; DT ₅₀ (dark): 7.43 d (SFO – combined labels) Predicted environmental DT ₅₀ for halauxifen- methyl in sterile pH 7 buffer and natural water is less than 10 minutes for summer sunlight at 40°N latitude Quantum yield, $\phi = 5.63$	Deg 1 Deg 2 Deg 4 Deg 10 Deg 11 Deg 14 CO ₂ <u>Major, Dark:</u> X11393729 <u>Minor, Irradiated:</u> X11861662 Deg 3 Deg 5 Deg 9 Deg 13 Deg 16 Volatile organics	Can be an important route of dissipation for halauxifen-methyl and its transformation products near the surface in water bodies	2226414
Phototransfor- mation in air	Halauxifen- methyl	Halauxifen-methyl is not e conditions based on vapour Supplemental information (estimated using the Atkins	r pressure and Henry submitted indicates a	's law constant.	2226416
Biotransforma	tion				1
Biotransfor- mation in aerobic soil	Halauxifen- methyl	20°C clay loam : DT_{50} : 2.13 d; DT_{90} : 17.5 d (IORE – combined labels; representative half-life for modelling purposes: 5.27 d) <u>loam:</u> DT_{50} : 1.43 d; DT_{90} : 5.35 d (IORE – combined labels; representative half-life for modelling purposes: 1.61 d) <u>silt loam:</u> DT_{50} : 1.27 d; DT_{90} : 6.52 d (IORE – combined labels; representative half-life for modelling purposes: 1.96 d) <u>sandy loam:</u> DT_{50} : 1.08 d; DT_{90} : 3.59 d (SFO – combined labels)	d; DT ₉₀ : 6.62-138 d (IORE – combined labels) X11449757: DT ₅₀ : 9.85-85.7 d;	Halauxifen-methyl is non-persistent. X11393729 is non- persistent to slightly persistent. X11449757 is non- persistent to moderately persistent. Biotransformation in aerobic soil is a route of dissipation for halauxifen- methyl.	2226534
		10°C silt loam:	<u>Major:</u> X11393729:	Halauxifen-methyl is non-persistent.	2226533

Property	Test substance	Value ¹	Transformation products	Comments	Reference (PMRA #)
Biotransfor- mation in anaerobic soil	Halauxifen- methyl	$\frac{\text{clay loam:}}{\text{(IORE - combined labels)}}$ $\frac{\text{clay loam:}}{\text{DT}_{50}: 2.75 \text{ d}; \text{DT}_{90}: 23.6 \text{ d}}$ $(\text{IORE - combined labels}; \text{representative half-life for modelling purposes: 7.1 d})$ $\frac{\text{loam:}}{\text{DT}_{50}: 1.23 \text{ d}; \text{DT}_{90}: 10.3 \text{ d}}$ $(\text{IORE - combined labels}; \text{representative half-life for modelling purposes: 3.09 d})$	$\begin{array}{c} DT_{50}: 14.9 \text{ d}; DT_{90}: \\ 74.2 \text{ d} (IORE - \\ combined labels) \\ X11449757: \\ DT_{50}: 73.2 \text{ d}; DT_{90}: \\ 243 \text{ d} (SFO - \\ combined labels) \\ CO_2 \\ \underline{Minor:} \\ X11406790 \\ \underline{Major:} \\ X11393729: \\ DT_{50}: 16.6-108 \text{ d}; \\ \end{array}$	X11393729 is slightly persistent. X11449757 is moderately persistent. Biotransformation in aerobic soil is a route of dissipation for halauxifen- methyl. Halauxifen-methyl is non-persistent. X11393729 is slightly to moderately persistent. X11449757 accumulated throughout the study period. Biotransformation	2226533
		silt loam: DT ₅₀ :0.935 d; DT ₉₀ : 3.11 d (SFO – combined labels) <u>sandy loam:</u> DT ₅₀ : 1.43 d; DT ₉₀ : 13.5 d (IORE– combined labels; representative half-life for modelling purposes: 4.07 d)	<u>Minor:</u> X11406790 CO ₂	in anaerobic soil is a route of dissipation for halauxifen-methyl.	
Biotransfor- mation in aerobic water- sediment systems	Halauxifen- methyl	lake water: loamy sand sedimentTotal system DT_{50} : 5.01 d; DT_{90} :16.6 d (SFO – combined labels)lake water:silt loam sedimentTotal system DT_{50} : 0.85 d; DT_{90} : 3.03 d (IORE– combined labels; representative half-life for modelling purposes: 0.911 d)	$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Halauxifen-methyl, X11393729 and X11406790 are non-persistent. X11449757 is slightly to moderately persistent. Biotransformation in aerobic water- sediment systems is a route of dissipation for halauxifen-methyl.	2226540

Property	Test substance	Value ¹	Transformation products	Comments	Reference (PMRA #)
Biotransfor- mation in anaerobic water-sediment systems	Halauxifen- methyl	$\frac{\text{river water: clay loam}}{\text{sediment}}$ Total system DT ₅₀ : 0.538 d; DT ₉₀ : 1.79 d (SFO – combined labels) <u>natural water: sandy clay</u> <u>loam sediment</u> Total system DT ₅₀ : 1.01 d; DT ₉₀ : 9.22 d (IORE– combined labels; representative half-life for modelling purposes: 2.78 d)	2.19-6.69 d; DT_{90} : 7.28-22.2 d (SFO – combined labels) CO ₂ <u>Minor:</u> None <u>Major:</u> X11393729: Total system DT ₅₀ : 3.72-4.4 d; DT_{90} : 12.4-14.6 d (SFO – combined labels) X11449757: DT_{50} could not be calculated as concentrations increased until study termination in both systems tested. X11406790: Total system DT ₅₀ : 3.69-6.06 d; DT_{90} : 12.2-20.1 d (SFO – combined labels) <u>Minor:</u> CO ₂	Halauxifen-methyl, X11393729 and X11406790 are non-persistent. X11449757 accumulated throughout the study period. Biotransformation in anaerobic water- sediment systems is a route of dissipation for halauxifen-methyl.	2226539
Mobility Adsorption / desorption in soil	Halauxifen- methyl and major soil transformation products	$\frac{\text{Seven soils:}}{\text{K}_{\text{F}}: 6.2\text{-}670.6} \\ \mu g^{1\text{-}1/n} \text{mL}^{1/n} g^{-1}; \text{K}_{\text{FOC}}: 141\text{-} \\ 2197 \ \mu g^{1\text{-}1/n} \text{mL}^{1/n} g^{-1}; \\ 1/n: \ 0.74\text{-}0.93; \\ \text{K}_{\text{d}}: 12.9\text{-}880.3 \ \text{mL/g}; \\ \text{K}_{\text{OC}}: 378\text{-}2660 \ \text{mL/g} \\ \end{array}$	$\label{eq:constraints} \begin{split} & \underline{X11393729} \\ & K_{F}: 0.4\text{-}113 \\ & \mu g^{1\text{-}1/n} m L^{1/n} g^{-1}; \\ & K_{FOC}: 26\text{-}341 \\ & \mu g^{1\text{-}1/n} m L^{1/n} g^{-1}; \\ & 1/n: 0.83\text{-}0.95; \\ & K_d: 0.4\text{-}140.2 \\ & m L/g; \\ & K_{OC}: 28\text{-}423 m L/g \\ \hline & \underline{X11449757} \\ & K_{F}: 0.3\text{-}134.2 \\ & \mu g^{1\text{-}1/n} m L^{1/n} g^{-1}; \\ & K_{FOC}: 15\text{-}405 \\ & \mu g^{1\text{-}1/n} m L^{1/n} g^{-1}; \\ & 1/n: 0.83\text{-}0.95; \\ & K_d: 0.3\text{-}162.3 \\ & m L/g; \\ & K_{OC}: 15\text{-}490 m L/g \end{split}$	Halauxifen is classified as having slight to moderate potential for mobility in soil. X11393729 and X11449757 are classified as having moderate to very high potential for mobility in soil.	2226537

Property	Test substance	Value ¹	Transformation products	Comments	Reference (PMRA #)
Soil leaching	Not required as a	an acceptable adsorption/des	sorption study was su	bmitted.	• • • • •
Volatilization	Not required bas	ed on the low vapour pressu m ³ /mol at 20°C).	10^{-9} Pa at 2	0°C) and Henry's law	v constant
Field studies	(······································			
Field studies Field dissipation in Canada and the United States	GF-2685 (water dispersible formulation; 10.42% halauxifen- methyl)	Six bare ground sites and two cropped sites DT ₅₀ : 1.54-14.8 d DT ₉₀ : 19.3-908 d (IORE and DFOP kinetics) Deepest layer with detections: 30-45 cm to 60-75 cm ²	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Halauxifen-methyl is non-persistent to slightly persistent under a variety of terrestrial field conditions. X11393729 and X11449757 are non-persistent to persistent. The majority of halauxifen-methyl and transformation products was measured in the top 30 cm. Levels below 30 cm were low ($\leq 2.4\%$ of maximum measured parent concentrations). No significant carryover of	2266231
Aquatic field dissipation Bioconcentrati		dissipation study with halau of halauxifen-methyl are no ion		residues into the next growing season. bmitted, and data on	the aquatic
		Whole body steady state	X11393729	Did not	2226556
Bioconcentra- tion in fish methyl		BCF: 183-214	X11406790 X11449757	bioconcentrate in large amounts in fish under the test	2220330
	Whole body kinetic BCF: 214-233 Time to 95% depuration	Glucuronide conjugates of halauxifen-methyl Sulfate conjugate	fish under the test conditions of the study.		
		of ¹⁴⁻ C-residues: 0.5-1.6 d for whole fish gle first-order; IORE = indet	for X11406790	Clearance time to 95% depuration of ¹⁴⁻ C-residues was 0.5-1.6 days.	

¹ Kinetics models: SFO = single first-order; IORE = indeterminate order rate equation; DFOP = double first order in parallel.

² Halauxifen-methyl was detected at 0.7% of initial measured concentrations at one site on day 1. The rapid downward movement in the soil could have been due to a process other than leaching, such as preferential flow.

Table 14Toxicity of Halauxifen-methyl and Major Transformation Products to Non-
Target Terrestrial Species

Organism	Exposure	Test substance	Endpoint value	Degree of toxicity ¹	Reference (PMRA #)
Invertebrates	·			·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Earthworm, Eisenia foetida	14-d Acute	Halauxifen- methyl	LC ₅₀ : >1000 mg a.i./kg soil	No classification	2226588
v	14-d Acute	XDE-729 acid (X11393729)	LC ₅₀ : >1000 mg/kg soil	No classification	2226589
	14-d Acute	X11449757	LC ₅₀ : >1000 mg/kg soil	No classification	2226590
Honeybee, Apis mellifera	48-h Oral	Halauxifen- methyl	LD ₅₀ : >108 µg a.i./bee	Relatively non- toxic	2226584
	48-h Contact	Halauxifen- methyl	LD ₅₀ : >98.1 µg a.i./bee	Relatively non- toxic	2226584
Predatory mite, Typhlodromus pyri	7-d Contact, Glass plates (screening level)	GF-2685 (formulation; 10.6% w/w halauxifen- methyl)	LR ₅₀ : >1000 g GF- 2685/ha (>106 g a.i./ha)	No classification	2279879
Parasitoid wasp, Aphidius rhopalosiphi	48h-Contact, Glass plates (screening level)	GF-2685 (formulation; 10.6% w/w halauxifen- methyl)	LR ₅₀ : >1000 g GF-2685/ha (>106 g a.i./ha)	No classification	2279878
Predatory soil mite, Hypoaspis aculeifer	14-d Chronic (artificial soil)	Halauxifen- methyl	NOEC: 25 mg a.i./kg soil (highest concentration tested)	No classification	2226586
	14-d Chronic (artificial soil)	XDE-729 acid (X11393729)	NOEC: 12.5 mg/kg soil (mean number of juveniles)	No classification	2279932
	14-d Chronic (artificial soil)	X11449757	NOEC: 25 mg/kg soil (highest concentration tested)	No classification	2279933
Collembola, Folsomia candida	28-d Chronic (artificial soil)	Halauxifen- methyl	NOEC: 1000 mg a.i./kg soil (highest concentration tested)	No classification	2226585
	28-d Chronic (artificial soil)	XDE-729 acid (X11393729)	NOEC: 25 mg/kg soil (highest concentration tested)	No classification	2279934
	28-d Chronic (artificial soil)	X11449757	NOEC: 10 mg/kg soil (highest concentration tested)	No classification	2279935

Organism	Exposure	Test substance	Endpoint value	Degree of toxicity ¹	Reference (PMRA #)
Birds			·		
Northern bobwhite quail, <i>Colinus</i>	Acute	Halauxifen- methyl	LD ₅₀ : >2250 mg a.i./kg bw	Practically non- toxic	2226541
virginianus	5-d Dietary	Halauxifen- methyl	LC ₅₀ : >6000 mg a.i./kg diet; (LD ₅₀ : >1500 mg a.i./kg bw/d)	Practically non- toxic	2226543
	21-wk Reproduction	Halauxifen- methyl	NOEC: 403 mg a.i./kg diet (reduced hatchlings/3-week embryos) (NOEL: 36.9 mg a.i./kg bw/d)	No classification	2226545
Mallard duck, Anas platyrhynchos	5-d Dietary	Halauxifen- methyl	$\frac{\text{LC}_{50}:>6000 \text{ mg a.i./kg}}{\text{diet}}$ $(\text{LD}_{50}:>2234 \text{ mg}$ a.i./kg bw/d)	Practically non- toxic	2226544
	20-wk Reproduction	Halauxifen- methyl	NOEC: 1040 mg a.i./kg diet (highest concentration tested) (NOEL: 160.5 mg a.i./kg bw/d)	No classification	2226546
Zebra finch,	Acute	Halauxifen-	LD ₅₀ : >2250 mg a.i./kg	Practically non-	2226542
Poephila guttata		methyl	bw	toxic	
Mammals					
Rat	Acute	Halauxifen- methyl	LD ₅₀ : >5000 mg/kg bw	Practically non- toxic	2226448
		GF-2685 (formulation; 10.5% halauxifen- methyl)	LD ₅₀ : >5000 mg/kg bw	Formulation is practically non- toxic	2226179
		GF-2687 (formulation; 21% halauxifen- methyl and 20.6% florasulam)	LD ₅₀ : >5000 mg/kg bw	Formulation is practically non- toxic	2226247
		GF-2688 (formulation; 1.56% halauxifen- methyl and 35% fluroxypyr)	LD ₅₀ : >5000 mg/kg bw	Formulation is practically non- toxic	2226305
		XDE-729 acid (X11393729)	LD ₅₀ : >5000 mg/kg bw/d	Practically non- toxic	2226447
	2-generation Reproduction	XDE-729 acid (X11393729)	Parental NOAEL: 104/103 mg /kg bw/d for males/females (body weight gain, feed consumption, gross pathology, histology) <u>Reproduction</u> NOAEL: 443 mg/kg bw/d (highest dose	No classification	2226496

Organism	Exposure	Test substance	Endpoint value	Degree of toxicity ¹	Reference (PMRA #)
			tested)		,
	Developmental, dietary exposure to pregnant females	Halauxifen- methyl	Maternal NOAEL: 159 mg/kg bw/d (body weight gain, food consumption, liver weights, cytoplasmic homogeneity of hepatocytes) <u>Developmental</u> NOAEL: 323 mg/kg bw/d (highest dose tested)	No classification	2226499
		XDE-729 acid (X11393729)	MaternalNOAEL: 140 mg/kgbw/day (mortality,body weight, bodyweight gain, foodconsumption, graviduterine weight)DevelopmentalNOAEL: 140 mg/kgbw/d (fetal weight,total resorptions,resorptions/dam,postimplantation loss,delayed ossification ofthoracic centra)	No classification	2226500
Rabbit	Developmental, dietary exposure to pregnant females	Halauxifen- methyl	Maternal NOAEL: 391 mg/kg bw/d (gravid uterine weight) <u>Developmental</u> NOAEL: 6 mg/kg bw/d (resorptions, postimplantation loss)	No classification	2226501
	XDE-729 acid (X11393729)	Maternal NOAEL: 434 mg/kg bw/d (body weight gain, food consumption) <u>Developmental</u> NOAEL: 1094 mg/kg bw/d (highest dose tested)	No classification	2226504	

Organism	Exposure	Test substance	Endpoint value	Degree of toxicity ¹	Reference (PMRA #)
Vascular plants			L	L	
	21-d Seedling emergence	GF-2685 (formulation; 10.6% halauxifen- methyl)	Most sensitive of 10 species: ER_{25} : 2.05 g a.e./ha ER_{50} : 7.47 g a.e./ha (shoot weight in carrot, <i>Daucus carota</i>)	No classification	2363994
		GF-2687 (formulation; 200 g halauxifen- methyl/kg and 200 g florasulam/ kg)	Most sensitive of 11 species: ER ₂₅ : 0.82 g GF- 2687/ha ER ₅₀ : 3.83 g GF- 2687/ha (shoot length in sunflower, Helianthus annus)	No classification	2279898
		XDE-729 acid (X11393729)	Most sensitive of 11 species: ER_{25} : not reported ER_{50} : 0.38 g/ha (fresh weight in carrot, <i>Daucus carota</i>)	No classification	2226598
		X11449757	All 11 species tested: ER ₂₅ : >15 g/ha ER ₅₀ : >15 g/ha (highest rate tested)	No classification	2226602
	21-d Vegetative vigour	GF-2685 (formulation; 10.6% halauxifen- methyl)	Most sensitive of 10 species: ER_{25} : 0.0114 g a.e./ha ER_{50} : 0.0745 g a.e./ha (shoot weight in soybean, <i>Glycine max</i>)	No classification	2226597
			HR ₅ of SSD of the ER ₅₀ : 0.039 g a.e./ha		
		GF-2687 (formulation; 200 g halauxifen- methyl/kg and 200 g florasulam/ kg)	Most sensitive of 11	No classification	2279897
¹ US EPA classifica			HR₅ of SSD of the ER₅0: 0.45 g GF- 2687/ha		

Table 15Screening Level and Refined Risk Assessment of Halauxifen-methyl for Non-
Target Species, Other than Birds and Mammals

Organism	Exposure	Endpoint Value	EEC	RQ	Level of Concern
Invertebrates					Concern
Earthworm	Acute	LC ₅₀ /2: >500 mg a.i./kg soil	0.00446 mg a.i./kg soil	< 0.0001	Not exceeded
Bee	Contact	LD ₅₀ :>98.1 μg a.i./bee	0.0105 kg a.i./ha × 2.4 µg a.i./bee per kg/ha = 0.0245 µg a.i./bee	<0.0002	Not exceeded
	Oral	LD ₅₀ : >108 μg a.i./bee	0.0105 kg a.i./ha × 29 µg a.i./bee per kg/ha = 0.30 µg a.i./bee	<0.003	Not exceeded
	Brood / hive	of action, a lack of	I from exposure to halauxi effects observed for adult l nature insects (chironomid	bees, and a lack of s	ignificant
Predatory arthropod,	Contact, glass plate	LR ₅₀ : >106 g a.i./ha	In-field: 10.5 g a.i./ha	In-field: <0.1	Not exceeded
Typhlodromus pyri			Off-field (aerial appl., 17% drift): 1.8 g a.i./ha Off-field (ground appl., 3% drift): 0.3 g a.i./ha	Off-field (aerial): <0.02 Off-field (ground): <0.003	Not exceeded Not exceeded
Parasitoid arthropod, Aphidius	Contact, glass plate	LR ₅₀ :>106 g a.i./ha	In-field: 10.5 g a.i./ha	In-field: <0.09	Not exceeded
rhopalosiphi			Off-field (aerial appl., 17% drift): 1.8 g a.i./ha Off-field (ground appl., 3% drift): 0.3 g a.i./ha	Off-field (aerial): <0.02 Off-field (ground): <0.003	Not exceeded Not exceeded
Terrestrial invertebrate, <i>Hypoaspis aculeifer</i>	Chronic, artificial soil	NOEC: 25 mg a.i./kg soil	0.00464 mg a.i./kg soil	0.0002	Not exceeded
Vascular plants	•	•	•		•
Vascular plant	Seedling emergence	ER ₂₅ : 2.05 g a.e./ha	In-field: 10 g a.e./ha Off-field (aerial appl., 17% drift): 1.7 g a.e./ha Off-field (ground appl., 3% drift): 0.3 g a.e./ha	In-field: 4.9 Off-field (aerial): 0.83 Off-field (ground): 0.15	Exceeded Not exceeded Not exceeded
	Vegetative vigour	$\begin{array}{l} HR_5 \text{ of SSD for} \\ ER_{50} \text{ values: } 0.039 \\ g \text{ a.e./ha} \end{array}$	In-field: 10 g a.e./ha Off-field (aerial appl., 17% drift): 1.7 g a.e./ha Off-field (ground appl.,	In-field: 256 Off-field (aerial): 43.6 Off-field	Exceeded Exceeded Exceeded
	Seedling emergence – GF-2687	ER ₂₅ : 0.82 g GF- 2687/ha	3% drift): 0.3 g a.e./ha In field: 25 g GF-2687/ha Off-field (ground appl., 3% drift):	(ground): 7.7 In-field: 30 Off-field (ground): 0.9	Exceeded Not exceeded
	Vegetative vigour – GF-2687	$\begin{array}{c} HR_5 \text{ of SSD for} \\ ER_{50} \text{ values: } 0.45 \\ g \text{ GF-}2687/\text{ha} \end{array}$	0.75 g GF-2687/ha In field: 25 g GF-2687/ha Off-field (ground appl.,	In-field: 56 Off-field	Exceeded Exceeded
			3% drift): 0.75 g GF-2687/ha	(ground): 1.7	

	Toxicity (mg	8	EDE (mg	RQ	Level of
-	a.i./kg bw/d)	(food item)	a.i./kg bw) ¹	L	Concern
Small Bird (0.0	2 kg)				
Acute	>225	Insectivore (small insects)	0.53	< 0.002	Not exceeded
Reproduction	36.9	Insectivore (small insects)	0.53	0.01	Not exceeded
Medium Sized	Bird (0.1 kg)				
Acute	>225	Insectivore (small insects)	0.41	< 0.002	Not exceeded
Reproduction	36.9	Insectivore (small insects)	0.41	0.01	Not exceeded
Large Sized Bir	·d (1 kg)				
Acute	>225	Herbivore (short grass)	0.43	< 0.002	Not exceeded
Reproduction	36.9	Herbivore (short grass)	0.43	0.01	Not exceeded
Small Mammal	(0.015 kg)				
Acute	>500	Insectivore (small insects)	0.30	< 0.001	Not exceeded
Reproduction	6	Insectivore (small insects)	0.30	0.05	Not exceeded
Medium Sized	Mammal (0.035	kg)			
Acute	>500	Herbivore (short grass)	0.95	< 0.002	Not exceeded
Reproduction	6	Herbivore (short grass)	0.95	0.16	Not exceeded
Large Sized Ma	ummal (1 kg)				
Acute	>500	Herbivore (short grass)	0.51	< 0.001	Not exceeded
Reproduction	6	Herbivore (short grass)	0.51	0.08	Not exceeded

Table 16Screening Level Risk Assessment of Halauxifen-Methyl for Birds and
Mammals

¹ EDE = Estimated dietary exposure; is calculated using the following formula: (FIR/bw) \times EEC, where: FIR: Food Ingestion Rate. For generic birds with body weight less than or equal to 200 g, the "passerine" equation was used; for generic birds with body weight greater than 200 g, the "all birds" equation was used:

Passerine Equation (body weight $\langle \text{or} = 200 \text{ g} \rangle$: FIR (g dry weight/day) = 0.398(bw in g)^{0.850}

All birds Equation (body weight >200 g): FIR (g dry weight/day) = 0.648 (bw in g)^{0.651}

For mammals, the "all mammals" equation was used: FIR (g dry weight/day) = 0.235(bw in g)^{0.822} bw: Generic Body Weight

EEC: Concentration of pesticide on food item. At the screening level, relevant food items representing the most conservative EEC for each feeding guild are used.

Table 17Screening Level and Refined Risk Assessment of Halauxifen-Methyl
Transformation Products for Terrestrial Species

Organism	Exposure	Endpoint Value	EEC	RQ	Level of				
					Concern				
XDE-729 acid (X1	XDE-729 acid (X11393729)								
Earthworms	Acute	LC ₅₀ /2: >500 mg/kg soil	0.0044 mg/kg soil	< 0.0001	Not exceeded				
Terrestrial	Chronic,	NOEC: 12.5 mg/kg soil	0.0044 mg/kg soil	0.0004	Not exceeded				
invertebrates	artificial soil								
Vascular plants	Seedling	$ER_{50}/2$ (to estimate an	In-field: 10 g/ha	In-field: 52.2	Exceeded				
	emergence	ER ₂₅): 0.19 g/ha	Off-field (aerial appl.,	Off-field	Exceeded				
			17% drift): 1.7 g/ha	(aerial): 8.9					
			Off-field (ground appl.,	Off-field	Exceeded				
			3% drift): 0.3g/ha	(ground): 1.6					
X11449757									
Earthworms	Acute	LC ₅₀ /2: >500 mg/kg soil	0.0042 mg/kg soil	< 0.0001	Not exceeded				

Organism	Exposure	Endpoint Value	EEC	RQ	Level of Concern
Terrestrial invertebrates	Chronic, artificial soil	NOEC: 10 mg/kg soil	0.0042 mg/kg soil	0.0004	Not exceeded
Vascular plants	Seedling emergence	ER ₂₅ : >15 g/ha	In-field: 9.6 g/ha	In-field: 0.6	Not exceeded

Table 18Toxicity of Halauxifen-Methyl, Major Transformation Products and
Halauxifen-Methyl formulations to Non-Target Aquatic Species

Organism	Exposure	Test substance	Endpoint value	Degree of toxicity ¹	Reference (PMRA#)
Freshwater species					
Daphnia magna	48-h Acute	Halauxifen- methyl	EC ₅₀ : 2.12 mg a.i./L	Moderately toxic	2226558
	48-h Acute	XDE-729 acid (X11393729)	EC ₅₀ : >106 mg/L	Practically non- toxic	2226559
	48-h Acute	X11449757	EC ₅₀ : >115 mg/L	Practically non- toxic	2226560
	48-h Acute	X11406790	EC ₅₀ : >25 mg/L	Not toxic up to the limit of solubility of the test	2226557
	21-d Chronic	Halauxifen- methyl	NOEC: 0.484 mg a.i./L (adult length and reproduction)	No classification	2226562
	21-d Chronic	XDE-729 acid (X11393729)	NOEC: 98.3 mg/L (highest concentration tested)	No classification	2226561
Sediment dwelling invertebrate, <i>Chironomus dilutus</i>	10-d Acute; spiked sediment	Radiolabelled and unlabelled halauxifen- methyl	LC ₅₀ : >89.3 mg TRR/kg dw sediment (>1.0 mg TRR/L in overlying water; >5.63 mg TRR/L in pore water) (geometric mean- measured concentrations)	Not toxic up to the highest test concentration	2226575
Sediment dwelling invertebrate, <i>Chironomus riparius</i>	28-d Chronic, spiked water	Radiolabelled and unlabelled halauxifen- methyl	NOEC: 1.20 mg TRR/L in overlying water (0.12 mg TRR/L in pore water and 1.81 mg TRR/kg dw sediment) (highest concentration tested; geometric mean- measured concentrations)	Not toxic up to the solubility limit of the test	2226563
Rainbow trout, Oncorhynchus mykiss	96-h Acute	Halauxifen- methyl	LC ₅₀ : 2.01 mg a.i./L	Moderately toxic	2226547
	96-h Acute	XDE-729 acid (X11393729)	LC ₅₀ : >107 mg/L	Practically non- toxic	2226550
	96-h Acute	X11449757	LC ₅₀ : >124 mg/L	Practically non- toxic	2226551
	96-h Acute	X11406790	LC ₅₀ : >29 mg/L	Not toxic up to the	2226549

Organism	Exposure	Test substance	Endpoint value	Degree of toxicity ¹	Reference (PMRA#)
				limit of solubility of the test	
Fathead minnow, Pimephales promelas	96-h Acute	Halauxifen- methyl	LC ₅₀ : >3.22 mg a.i./L (limit of solubility) (30% mortality observed at highest test concentration)	Not toxic up to the limit of solubility of the test	2226548
	35-d Early-life stage	Halauxifen- methyl	NOEC: 0.259 mg a.i./L (post-hatch survival and fry length)	No classification	2226554
	33-d Early-life stage	XDE-729 acid (X11393729)	NOEC: 11.8 mg/L (highest concentration tested)	No classification	2226555
	34-d Early-life stage	X11449757	NOEC: 8.9 mg/L (highest concentration tested)	No classification	2226552
	21-d Short term reproduction	Halauxifen- methyl	NOEC: 0.077 mg a.i./L (fecundity and fertility) No treatment-related effects on male and female secondary sex characteristics, male VTG, or male and female gonad histopathology	No classification The reductions in fecundity and fertility were not considered to be due to (anti)estrogenic activity, (anti)androgenic activity or aromatase inhibition	2226605
			NOEC: 0.078 mg a.i./L (highest concentration tested)	No classification	2279931
	21-d Short term reproduction	XDE-729 acid (X11393729)	NOEC: 12 mg/L (highest concentration tested)	No classification	2226603
Amphibian, African clawed frog, Xenopus laevis	96-h Acute, tadpoles	Halauxifen- methyl	EC ₅₀ : >2.0 mg a.i./L (maximum achieved concentration) (45% mortality at highest test concentration)	No classification	2226606
	21-d Amphibian metamorphosis	Halauxifen- methyl	NOEC: 0.38 mg a.i./L (highest concentration tested) Negative thyroid activity and no adverse effect caused by the solvent carrier alone	No classification Not likely thyroid active at up to 0.38 mg a.i./L.	2226604
Green algae, Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata	96-h Acute	Halauxifen- methyl	EC ₅₀ : >0.245 mg a.i./L (limit of solubility) (all endpoints)	No classification Not toxic up to the solubility limit of the test	2226570
	72-h Acute	GF-2685	EC ₅₀ : 10.6 mg GF-	No classification	2279883

Organism	Exposure	Test substance	Endpoint value	Degree of toxicity ¹	Reference (PMRA#)
		(formulation; 10.6% halauxifen- methyl)	2685/L (1.12 mg a.i./L) (yield)		
	72-h Acute	GF-2687 (formulation; 20.9% halauxifen- methyl and 20.4% florasulam)	Most sensitive endpoint: EC_{50} : 0.00975 mg GF- 2687/L (growth rate)	No classification	2279894
	72-h Acute	XDE-729 acid (X11393729)	Most sensitive endpoint: EC ₅₀ : 23.2 mg/L (yield)	No classification	2226569
	72-h Acute	X11449757	Most sensitive endpoint: EC ₅₀ : 4.13 mg/L (yield)	No classification	2226574
	72-h Acute	X11406790	Most sensitive endpoint: EC ₅₀ : 1.8 mg/L (yield)	No classification	2226564
Blue-green algae, Anabaena flos-aquae	96-h Acute	Halauxifen- methyl	EC ₅₀ : >0.775 mg a.i./L (limit of solubility) (all endpoints)	No classification Not toxic up to the solubility limit of the test	2226572
	72-h Acute	XDE-729 acid (X11393729)	Most sensitive endpoint: EC ₅₀ : 51.7 mg/L (yield)	No classification	2226567
Diatom, Navicula pelliculosa	96-h Acute	Halauxifen- methyl	Most sensitive endpoint: EC_{50} : 0.5608 mg a.i./L (biomass)	No classification	2226571
	72-h Acute	XDE-729 acid (X11393729)	Most sensitive endpoint: EC ₅₀ : 49.6 mg/L (biomass)	No classification	2226568
Monocot vascular plant, duckweed, <i>Lemna gibba</i>	7-d Dissolved	Halauxifen- methyl	Most sensitive endpoint: EC_{50} : 2.13 mg a.i./L (frond yield)	No classification	2226582
	7-d Dissolved	GF-2685 (formulation; 10.6% halauxifen- methyl)	Most sensitive endpoint: EC_{50} : 35.6 mg GF- 2685/L (3.8 mg a.e./L) (frond yield)	No classification	2279884
	7-d Dissolved	GF-2687 (formulation; 20.9% halauxifen- methyl and 20.4%	Most sensitive endpoint: EC_{50} : 0.0017 mg GF- 2687/L (frond yield)	No classification	2279895

Organism	Exposure	Test substance	Endpoint value	Degree of toxicity ¹	Reference (PMRA#)
		florasulam)			/
	7-d Dissolved	XDE-729 acid (X11393729)	Most sensitive endpoint: EC ₅₀ /IC ₅₀ : 13.4 mg/L (frond number yield)	No classification	2226583
	7-d Dissolved	X11449757	EC ₅₀ : >92.9 mg/L (all endpoints)	No classification	2226581
	7-d Dissolved	X11406790	EC ₅₀ : >12 mg/L (all endpoints)	No classification	2226580
Dicot rooted aquatic macrophyte, Eurasian water milfoil, <i>Myriophyllum</i> <i>spicatum</i>	14-d Water- sediment system	Halauxifen- methyl	Most sensitive endpoint: EC_{50} : 0.000149 mg a.i./L (yield based on shoot length)	No classification	2226579
	14-d Water- sediment system	GF-2573 (formulation; 7.8 g halauxifen- methyl/L)	EC_{50} : 0.0402 mg GF- 2573/L (approximately 0.00035 mg a.i./L) (yield based fresh weight)	No classification	2279885
	14-d Water- sediment system	GF-2687 (formulation; 20.9% halauxifen- methyl and 20.4% florasulam)	Most sensitive endpoint: EC_{50} : 0.00133 mg GF- 2687/L (yield based on fresh weight)	No classification	2279896
	14-d Water- sediment system	XDE-729 acid (X11393729)	EC ₅₀ : 0.0008 mg/L (yield based on shoot length)	No classification	2226578
	14-d Water- sediment system	X11449757	EC ₅₀ : >0.1 mg/L (all endpoints)	No classification	2226577
	14-d Water- sediment system	X11406790	EC ₅₀ : >0.1 mg/L (all endpoints)	No classification	2226576
Marine/estuarine spec		-		•	
Crustacean, mysid shrimp, Americamysis bahia	48-h Acute	Halauxifen- methyl	LC ₅₀ : >1.3 mg a.i./L (limit of solubility) (40% mortality observed at highest concentration)	Not toxic up to the limit of solubility of the test	2226593
	28-d Chronic	Halauxifen- methyl	NOEC: 0.152 mg a.i./L (growth of P- generation of males and females)	No classification	2226591
Sediment-dwelling amphipod, <i>Leptocheirus</i> <i>plumulosus</i>	10-d Acute; spiked sediment	Radiolabelled and unlabelled halauxifen- methyl	LC ₅₀ : >58.1 mg TRR/kg sediment (>0.97 mg TRR/L in overlying water; >5.08 mg TRR/L in pore water)	Not toxic up to the highest test concentration	2226596
Mollusk, Eastern oyster, Crassostrea	96-h Acute	Halauxifen- methyl	Shell deposition: EC ₅₀ : >1.21 mg a.i./L	Not toxic up to the limit of solubility of	2226594

Organism	Exposure	Test substance	Endpoint value	Degree of toxicity ¹	Reference (PMRA#)
virginica			(limit of solubility under the test conditions)	the test	
Sheepshead minnow, Cyprinodon variegatus	96-h Acute	Halauxifen- methyl	LC_{50} : >1.33 mg a.i./L (limit of solubility under the test conditions)	Not toxic up to the limit of solubility of the test	2226592
	36-d Early-life stage	Halauxifen- methyl	NOEC: 0.00272 mg a.i./L (length)	No classification	2226595
Marine diatom, Skeletonema costatum	96-h Acute	Halauxifen- methyl	Most sensitive endpoint: EC ₅₀ : 0.8374 mg a.i./L (biomass)	No classification	2226573
	96-h Acute	XDE-729 acid (X11393729)	Most sensitive endpoint: EC ₅₀ : 64.6 mg/L (biomass)	No classification	2226566

Table 19 Screening Level Risk Assessment of Halauxifen-Methyl for Aquatic Species

Organism	Exposure	Endpoint Value	EEC	RQ	Level of
		(mg a.i./L)	(mg a.i./L)		Concern
Freshwater species					
Invertebrates	Acute	EC ₅₀ /2 1.06	0.00131	0.001	Not exceeded
	Chronic	NOEC: 0.484	0.00131	0.003	Not exceeded
Fish	Acute	LC ₅₀ /10: 0.201	0.00131	0.007	Not exceeded
	Early-life stage	NOEC: 0.259	0.00131	0.005	Not exceeded
	Short-term reproduction	NOEC: 0.077	0.00131	0.02	Not exceeded
Amphibians	Acute, tadpoles	LC ₅₀ /10: >0.2	0.0070	< 0.04	Not exceeded
_	Metamorphosis	NOEC: 0.38	0.0070	0.02	Not exceeded
Algae	Acute	EC ₅₀ /2: 0.2804	0.00125	0.0054	Not exceeded
Vascular plants (monocot, <i>Lemna gibba</i>)	Dissolved	EC ₅₀ /2: 1.065	0.00131	0.001	Not exceeded
Rooted vascular plants (dicot, Myriophyllum spicatum)	Water-sediment system	EC ₅₀ /2: 0.0000745	Direct application: 0.00131	17.6	Exceeded
Marine species	·	·	·		
Crustacean	Acute	LC ₅₀ /2: >0.65	0.00131	< 0.002	Not exceeded
	Chronic	NOEC: 0.152	0.00131	0.009	Not exceeded
Mollusk	Acute	EC ₅₀ /2: >0.605	0.00131	< 0.002	Not exceeded
Fish	Acute	LC ₅₀ /10: >0.133	0.00131	< 0.01	Not exceeded
	Early-life stage	NOEC: 0.00272	0.00131	0.5	Not exceeded
Algae	Acute	EC ₅₀ /2: 0.4187	0.00131	0.003	Not exceeded

Table 20Screening Level Risk Assessment of Halauxifen-Methyl Transformation
Products for Aquatic Species

Organism	Exposure	Endpoint Value	EEC	RQ	Level of
		(mg/L)	(mg/L)		Concern
XDE-729 acid (X113937	(29)				
Freshwater species					
Invertebrates	Acute	EC ₅₀ /2: >53	0.00125	< 0.001	Not exceeded
	Chronic	NOEC: 98.3	0.00125	< 0.001	Not exceeded
Fish	Acute	LC ₅₀ /10: >10.7	0.00125	< 0.001	Not exceeded
	Early-life stage	NOEC: 11.8	0.00125	< 0.001	Not exceeded
	Short-term	NOEC: 12	0.00125	< 0.001	Not exceeded
	reproduction				
Amphibians	Acute	LC ₅₀ /10: >10.7	0.0067	< 0.001	Not exceeded
	Early-life stage	NOEC: 11.8	0.0067	< 0.001	Not exceeded
	Short-term	NOEC: 12	0.0067	< 0.001	Not exceeded
	reproduction				
Algae	Acute	EC ₅₀ /2: 11.6	0.00125	< 0.001	Not exceeded
Vascular plants	Dissolved	EC ₅₀ /2: 6.7	0.00125	< 0.001	Not exceeded
(monocot, <i>Lemna gibba</i>)					
Rooted vascular plants	Water-sediment	EC ₅₀ /2: 0.0004	Direct	3.1	Exceeded
(dicot, Myriophyllum	system		application:		
spicatum)			0.00125		
Marine species					
Algae	Acute	EC ₅₀ /2: 32.3	0.00125	< 0.001	Not exceeded
X11449757					
Freshwater species					
Invertebrates	Acute	EC ₅₀ /2: >57.5	0.0012	< 0.001	Not exceeded
Fish	Acute	LC ₅₀ /10: >12.4	0.0012	< 0.001	Not exceeded
	Early-life stage	NOEC: 8.9	0.0012	< 0.001	Not exceeded
Amphibians	Acute	LC ₅₀ /10: >12.4	0.00642	< 0.001	Not exceeded
^	Early-life stage	NOEC: 8.9	0.00642	< 0.001	Not exceeded
Algae	Acute	EC ₅₀ /2: 2.065	0.0012	< 0.001	Not exceeded
Vascular plants	Dissolved	EC ₅₀ /2: >46.45	0.0012	< 0.001	Not exceeded
(monocot, <i>Lemna gibba</i>)					
Rooted vascular plants	Water-sediment	EC ₅₀ /2: >0.05	0.0012	< 0.02	Not exceeded
(dicot, Myriophyllum	system				
spicatum)					
X11406790					
Freshwater species					
Invertebrates	Acute	EC ₅₀ /2: >12.5	0.00125	< 0.001	Not exceeded
Fish	Acute	$LC_{50}/10:>2.9$	0.00125	< 0.001	Not exceeded
Amphibians	Acute	LC ₅₀ /10: >2.9	0.0067	< 0.002	Not exceeded
Algae	Acute	EC ₅₀ /2: 0.9	0.00125	0.001	Not exceeded
Vascular plants	Dissolved	EC ₅₀ /2:>6	0.00125	< 0.001	Not exceeded
(monocot, <i>Lemna gibba</i>)					
Rooted vascular plants	Water-sediment	EC ₅₀ /2: >0.05	0.00125	< 0.03	Not exceeded
(dicot, Myriophyllum	system				
	1 -	1	1	1	1

Table 21Screening Level Risk Assessment of GF-2687, a Formulation Containing
Halauxifen-Methyl and Florasulam, for Aquatic Species

Organism	Exposure	Endpoint Value (mg GF-2687/L)	EEC (mg GF-2687/L)	RQ	Level of Concern
Freshwater species					
Algae	Acute	EC ₅₀ /2: 0.0049	0.0031	0.6	Not exceeded
Vascular plants (monocot, <i>Lemna gibba</i>)	Dissolved	EC ₅₀ /2: 0.00085	Direct application: 0.0031	3.6	Exceeded
Rooted vascular plants (dicot, Myriophyllum spicatum)	Water-sediment system	EC ₅₀ /2: 0.00067	Direct application: 0.0031	4.7	Exceeded

Table 22Risk Quotients for Aquatic Organisms Determined for Drift of Halauxifen-
Methyl, its Transformation Products and the Halauxifen-Methyl and
Florasulam Formulation, GF-2687

Organism	Exposure	Endpoint value	Refined EEC	RQ	Level of
					Concern
Halauxifen-Methyl					
Rooted vascular plants	Water-	EC ₅₀ /2: 0.0000745	Aerial appl. (17% drift):	3.0	Exceeded
(Myriophyllum	sediment	mg a.i./L	0.00022 mg a.i./L		
spicatum)	system		Ground appl. (3% drift):	0.5	Not exceeded
			0.00004 mg a.i./L		
XDE-729 acid (X113937	(29)				
Rooted vascular plants	Water-	EC ₅₀ /2: 0.0004	Aerial appl. (17% drift):	1.2	Exceeded
(Myriophyllum	sediment	mg/L	0.00021 mg/L		
spicatum)	system		Ground appl. (3% drift):	0.2	Not exceeded
			0.00004 mg/L		
Halauxifen-Methyl and	florasulam	formulation, GF-2	687		
Vascular plants	Dissolved	EC ₅₀ /2: 0.00085	Ground appl. (3% drift):	0.1	Not exceeded
(monocot, <i>Lemna gibba</i>)		mg GF-2687/L	0.00009 mg GF-2687/L		
Rooted vascular plants	Water-	EC ₅₀ /2: 0.00067	Ground appl. (3% drift):	0.1	Not exceeded
(Myriophyllum	sediment	mg GF-2687/L	0.00009 mg GF-2687/L		
spicatum)	system				

Table 23Risk quotients for Aquatic Organisms Determined for Runoff of Halauxifen-
Methyl and Transformation Products in Water Bodies 80 Deep

Organism	Exposure	Endpoint value	Refined EEC	RQ	Level of Concern
Halauxifen-Methyl					
Rooted vascular plants (Myriophyllum spicatum)	Water- sediment system	EC ₅₀ /2: 0.0000745 mg a.i./L	0.000025 mg /L	0.3	Not exceeded

Organism	Exposure	Endpoint value	Refined EEC	RQ	Level of Concern
XDE-729 acid (X11393729)					
Rooted vascular plants (<i>Myriophyllum spicatum</i>)	Water- sediment	EC ₅₀ /2: 0.0004 mg/L	0.00014 mg/L ¹	0.5	Not exceeded
	system				

¹EECs for XDE-729 acid (X11393729) were modelled using a combined residue approach with halauxifen-methyl.

Table 24aUse Claims That Are Supported for GF-2685 Herbicide

Items	Use claims that are supported			
Use sites/crops	Spring wheat (including durum), winter wheat and spring barley as proposed.			
Appl. rate	2.5 to 10 g a.e./ha, as proposed (rate depending on weed species) + Turbocharge at			
	0.5% v/v as proposed.			
No. of apps	One per season, as proposed.			
Use range	Prairie Provinces and Peace River Region of British Columbia and Eastern Canada, as proposed.			
Weed claims	Control of cleavers, volunteer flax and lamb's quarters and suppression of hemp- nettle and redroot pigweed using the 2.5 g a.e./ha rate, as per proposed, except for volunteer flax which was proposed for control at 5.0 g a.e./ha.			
	Control of chickweed, cleavers, volunteer flax, hemp-nettle, lamb's quarters and redroot pigweed and suppression of wild buckwheat and kochia using the 5 g a.e./ha rate, as per proposed.			
	In addition to the weeds listed above with 5 g a.e./ha, control of wild buckwheat using the 10 g a.e./ha rate, as proposed.			
Appl. timing	Relative to crop: postemergence from the 1-leaf stage to just prior to flag leaf emergence in spring wheat, durum wheat and barley and from the 3-leaf stage to just prior to flag leaf emergence for winter wheat, as proposed.			
	Relative to weeds: postemergence, as proposed.			
Appl. method	Apply in a minimum of 50 L of water per hectare by ground equipment and a minimum of 30L of water per hectare for aerial application, as proposed.			
Tank mix partners	All application are done postemergence to weeds and crops for the suppression / control of all GF-2685 Herbicide labeled weeds plus control of all tank-mix partners labeled weeds			
	• Refine SG at 10 g a.i./ha thifensulfuron methyl and 5 g a.i./ha tribenuron methyl + Agral 90 at 0.25% v/v, in spring wheat (including durum), winter wheat and barley, as proposed;			
	• 3-way tank-mix with Refine SG + MCPA ester at 280 g a.e./ha in spring wheat (including durum), winter wheat and barley, as proposed;			
	• Axial 100EC at 60 g a.i./ha pinoxaden + Adigor at 0.7 L/ha in spring wheat and barley, as proposed;			
	 Horizon 240EC at 56 g a.i./ha clodinafop-propargyl + Score at 0.8% v/v in spring wheat and durum wheat, as proposed; 			
	 Horizon NG at 56 g a.i./ha clodinafop-propargyl in spring wheat and durum wheat, as proposed; 			
	 Puma¹²⁰ Super or Puma Advance at 92 g a.i./ha fenoxaprop-p-ethyl in spring 			

Items	Use claims that are supported
	wheat, durum wheat and barley, as proposed;
	• Liquid Achieve SC at 200 g a.i./ha tralkoxydim + Turbocharge at 0.5% v/v in
	spring wheat, durum wheat and barley, as proposed;
	• Everest at 2.0 14.3 – 28.6 g a.i./ha flucarbazone + Ag-Surf or Agral 90 at 0.25%
	v/v in spring wheat and durum wheat, as proposed;
	• Traxos Herbicide at 30 g a.i./ha pinoxaden and 30 g a.i./ha clodinafop-propargyl
	in spring wheat and durum wheat, as proposed;
	• 3-way tank-mix with Refine SG + Axial 100EC + Adigor at 0.7 L/ha in spring
	wheat and barley, as proposed;
	• 3-way tank-mix with Refine SG + Horizon 240EC + Score at 0.8% v/v in spring
	wheat and durum wheat, as proposed;
	• 3-way tank-mix with Refine SG + Horizon NG in spring wheat and durum wheat,
	as proposed.
	• 3-way tank-mix with Refine SG + Everest 2.0 + Ag-Surf or Agral 90 at 0.25%
	v/v in spring wheat and durum wheat, as proposed;
	• 4-way tank-mix with Refine SG + MCPA ester + Axial 100EC + Adigor at 0.7
	L/ha in spring wheat and barley, as proposed; and
	• 4-way tank-mix with Refine SG + MCPA ester + Everest 2.0 + Ag-Surf or Agral
	90 at 0.25% v/v in spring wheat and durum wheat, as proposed
Rotational crops	Spring wheat (10 months), barley (10 months), oats (10 months), field corn (10
(months after	months), canola (10 months), flax (10 months), Juncea canola (10 months),
application)	Abyssinian, oriental, brown and yellow mustard (10 months), field peas (10 months),
	soybeans (10 months), sunflower (10 months) and lentils (22 months) or fields can be
	summer fallowed, as proposed.
Rainfastness	Applications of GF-2685 are rainfast within 1 hour of application, as proposed.

Table 24bUse Claims That Are Supported for Paradigm Herbicide

Items	Use claims that are supported
Use sites/crops	Spring wheat (including durum), winter wheat and spring barley as proposed.
Appl. rate	10 g a.e./ha (5 g a.e./ha halauxifen-methyl + 5 g a.i./ha florasulam) + Turbocharge at 0.5% v/v, as proposed.
No. of apps	One per season, as proposed.
Use range	Prairie Provinces and Peace River Region of British Columbia, as proposed.
Weed claims	Control of wild buckwheat, volunteer canola, chickweed, cleavers, volunteer flax and lamb's quarters, wild mustard, redroot pigweed, shepherd's purse, smartweed (green smartweed, lady's thumb) and stinkweed; suppression of hemp-nettle, kochia, annual sow thistle and perennial sow thistle using the 10 g a.e./ha rate, as proposed. Control includes Group 2 resistant biotypes of chickweed, lamb's quarters and hemp- nettle, as proposed.
Appl. timing	Relative to crop: postemergence from the 2-leaf stage to just prior to flag leaf emergence in spring wheat, durum wheat and barley and from the 3-leaf stage to just prior to flag leaf emergence for winter wheat, as proposed. Relative to weeds: postemergence, as proposed.
Appl. method	Apply in a minimum of 50 L of water per hectare by ground equipment only, as proposed.
Tank mix	All application are done postemergence to weeds and crops for the suppression /

Items	Use claims that are supported
partners	 control of all Paradigm Herbicide labeled weeds plus control of all tank-mix partners labeled weeds MCPA ester at 350 g a.e./ha in spring wheat, durum wheat, winter wheat and barley, as proposed; Curtail M at 495 g a.e./ha (75 g a.e./ha clopyralid + 420 g a.e./L MCPA ester) spring wheat, durum wheat, winter wheat and barley, as proposed; Axial 100EC at 60 g a.i./ha pinoxaden + Adigor at 0.7 L/ha in spring wheat and barley, as proposed; Everest 2.0 at 14.3 – 28.6 g a.i./ha flucarbazone + Ag-Surf or Agral 90 at 0.25% v/v in spring wheat and durum wheat, as proposed; Simplicity Herbicide at 15 g a.i./ha pyroxsulam + Ag-Surf or Agral 90 at 0.25% v/v in spring wheat and durum wheat, as proposed; 3-way tank-mix with MCPA ester + Everest 2.0 + Ag-Surf or Agral 90 at 0.25% v/v in spring wheat and durum wheat, as proposed; 3-way tank-mix with MCPA ester + Everest 2.0 + Ag-Surf or Agral 90 at 0.25% v/v in spring wheat and durum wheat, as proposed; 3-way tank-mix with MCPA ester + Everest 2.0 + Ag-Surf or Agral 90 at 0.25% v/v in spring wheat and durum wheat, as proposed; 3-way tank-mix with MCPA ester + Simplicity + Ag-Surf or Agral 90 at 0.25% v/v in spring wheat and durum wheat, as proposed; 3-way tank-mix with MCPA ester + Simplicity + Ag-Surf or Agral 90 at 0.25% v/v in spring wheat and durum wheat, as proposed; 3-way tank-mix with Curtail M + Everest 2.0 + Ag-Surf or Agral 90 at 0.25% v/v in spring wheat and durum wheat, as proposed; 3-way tank-mix with Curtail M + Everest 2.0 + Ag-Surf or Agral 90 at 0.25% v/v in spring wheat and durum wheat, as proposed;
Rotational crops (months after application)	Spring wheat (10 months), barley (10 months), canola (10 months), flax (10 months), Juncea canola (10 months), oriental, brown and yellow mustard (10 months), field peas (10 months), soybeans (10 months), sunflower (10 months) and lentils (22 months) or fields can be summer fallowed, as proposed. Oats was removed from the list of proposed rotational since it does not appear as a rotational crop with florasulam.
Rainfastness	Applications of Paradigm Herbicide are rainfast within 1 hour of application, as proposed.

Table 24c Use Claims That Are Supported for Pixxaro A Herbicide

Items	Use claims that are supported
Use sites/crops	Spring wheat (including durum), winter wheat and spring barley as proposed.
Appl. rate	82 g a.e./ha (5 g a.e./ha halauxifen-methyl + 77 g a.e. /ha fluroxypyr) + Turbocharge at 0.5% v/v, as proposed.
No. of apps	One per season, as proposed.
Use range	Prairie Provinces and Peace River Region of British Columbia, as proposed.
Weed claims	Control of wild buckwheat, chickweed, cleavers, volunteer flax, hemp-nettle, kochia, lamb's quarters and redroot pigweed and suppression of wild mustard using the 82 g a.e./ha rate, as proposed.
	Control includes Group 2 resistant biotypes, as proposed.

Items	Use claims that are supported
Appl. timing	Relative to crop: postemergence from the 1-leaf stage to just prior to flag leaf emergence in spring wheat, durum wheat and barley and from the 3-leaf stage to just prior to flag leaf emergence for winter wheat, as proposed.
Appl. method	Relative to weeds: postemergence, as proposed. Apply in a minimum of 50 L of water per hectare by ground equipment and a minimum of 30L of water per hectare for aerial application as proposed.
Tank mix partners	 minimum of 30L of water per hectare for aerial application, as proposed. All application are done postemergence to weeds and crops for the suppression / control of all Pixxaro A Herbicide labeled weeds plus control of all tank-mix partners labeled weeds MCPA ester at 350-420 g a.i./ha in spring wheat (including durum), winter wheat and barley, as proposed; Curtail M at 495 g a.e./ha (75 g a.e./ha clopyralid + 420 g a.e./ha MCPA ester) in spring wheat (including durum), winter wheat and barley, as proposed; Axial 100EC at 60 g a.i./ha pinoxaden + Adigor at 0.7 L/ha in spring wheat and barley, as proposed; Horizon 240EC at 56 g a.i./ha clodinafop-propargyl + Score at 0.8% v/v in spring wheat and durum wheat, as proposed; Horizon NG at 56 g a.i./ha clodinafop-propargyl in spring wheat and durum wheat, as proposed; Puma¹²⁰ Super or Puma Advance at 92 g a.i./ha fenoxaprop-p-ethyl in spring wheat, durum wheat and barley, as proposed; Liquid Achieve SC at 200 g a.i./ha tralkoxydim + Turbocharge at 0.5% v/v in spring wheat and durum wheat, as proposed; Everest 2.0 at 14.3 - 28.6 g a.i./ha pinoxaden and 30 g a.i./ha clodinafop-propargyl in spring wheat and durum wheat, as proposed; Traxos Herbicide at 30 g a.i./ha pinoxaden and 30 g a.i./ha clodinafop-propargyl in spring wheat and durum wheat, as proposed; 3-way tank-mix with MCPA ester or Curtail M + Axial 100EC + Adigor at 0.7 L/ha in spring wheat and barley, as proposed; 3-way tank-mix with MCPA ester or Curtail M + Horizon NG in spring wheat and barley, as proposed;
	 durum wheat, as proposed. 3-way tank-mix with MCPA ester <u>or</u> Curtail M + Puma120 Super or Puma Advance in spring wheat, durum wheat and barley, as proposed; 3-way tank-mix with MCPA ester <u>or</u> Curtail M + Liquid Achieve SC + Turbocharge at 0.5% v/v in spring wheat, durum wheat and barley, as proposed; 3-way tank-mix with MCPA ester <u>or</u> Curtail M + Everest 2.0 + Ag-Surf or Agral 90 at 0.25% v/v in spring wheat and durum wheat, as proposed; 3-way tank-mix with MCPA ester <u>or</u> Curtail M + Traxos Herbicide in spring wheat and durum wheat, as proposed;
Rotational crops (months after application) Rainfastness	Spring wheat (10 months), barley (10 months), oats (10 months), canola (10 months), flax (10 months), mustard (10 months), field peas (10 months) and lentils (22 months) or fields can be summer fallowed, as proposed Applications of Pixxaro A Herbicide are rainfast within 1 hour of application, as proposed.

Table 25Toxic Substances Management Policy Considerations – Comparison to
TSMP Track 1 Criteria

TSMP Track 1	TSMP Tr	ack 1 Criterion	Halauxifen-Methyl Endpoints
Criteria	value		
Toxic or toxic equivalent as defined	Yes		Yes
by the Canadian Environmental			
Protection Act			
Predominantly	Yes		Yes
anthropogenic ²			
Persistence ³ :	Soil	Half-life	Laboratory studies: DT_{50} of 0.9 to 2.8 days in aerobic
		\geq 182 days	and anaerobic soil
			Field studies: DT ₅₀ of 1.54 to 14.8 days
	Water	Half-life	DT_{50} of 0.47 to 4.0 days in the water phase of aerobic
		\geq 182 days	and anaerobic water-sediment systems. Total system
		-	DT_{50} values range from 0.5 to 5 days in aerobic and
			anaerobic water-sediment systems.
	Sediment	Half-life	DT_{50} of 0.2 to 7.4 days in the sediment phase of
		\geq 365 days	aerobic and anaerobic water-sediment systems. Total
		2	system DT ₅₀ values range from 0.5 to 5 days in
			aerobic and anaerobic water-sediment systems.
	Air	Half-life ≥ 2	Volatilisation is not an important route of dissipation
		days or	and long-range atmospheric transport is unlikely to
		evidence of long	occur based on the vapour pressure $(5.9 \times 10^{-9} \text{ Pa at})$
		range transport	20°C) and Henry's law constant (1.2×10^{-11})
			atm·m ³ /mol at 20°C). Supplemental information
			indicates the photochemical oxidation half-life in air
			reported is 2.2 days.
Bioaccumulation ⁴	$Log K_{OW} \ge 5$		pH 5: 3.75
	•		pH 7: 3.76
			рН 9: 3.92
	$BCF \ge 500$	00	183-214
	$BAF \ge 50$		Not available
Is the chemical a TSMP Track 1 substance (all		substance (all	No, does not meet TSMP Track 1 criteria.
four criteria must be met)?			

¹ All pesticides will be considered toxic or toxic equivalent for the purpose of initially assessing a pesticide against the TSMP criteria. Assessment of the toxicity criterion may be refined if required (in other words, all other TSMP criteria are met).

² The policy considers a substance "predominantly anthropogenic" if, based on expert judgement, its concentration in the environment medium is largely due to human activity, rather than to natural sources or releases.

³ If the pesticide and/or the transformation product(s) meet one persistence criterion identified for one media (soil, water, sediment or air) than the criterion for persistence is considered to be met.

⁴ Field data (for example, BAFs) are preferred over laboratory data (for example, BCFs) which, in turn, are preferred over chemical properties (for example, $\log K_{ow}$).

Appendix II Supplemental Maximum Residue Limit Information— International Situation and Trade Implications

Differences Between Maximum Residue Limits in Canada and in Other Jurisdictions

Halauxifen-methyl is a new active ingredient which was jointly reviewed by Canada, the United States and Australia. The maximum residue limits proposed for halauxifen-methyl in Canada are the same as corresponding proposed tolerances in the United States (American tolerances listed in the Electronic Code of Federal Regulations, 40 CFR Part 180).

Currently, there are no Codex maximum residue limits⁹ listed for halauxifen-methyl in or on any commodity on the Codex Alimentarius Pesticide Residues in Food website.

⁹ The Codex Alimentarius Commission is an international organization under the auspices of the United Nations that develops international food standards, including MRLs.

References

A. List of Studies/Information Submitted by Registrant

1.0 Chemistry

PMRA Document Number	Reference
2226395	2012, OECD Document J for XDE-729 methyl and a representative formulation GF-2685, DACO: 2.11.1,2.11.3,2.11.4,Document J CBI
2226399 2226401	2012, Batch Analysis Study for XDE-729 ME Technical, DACO: 2.13.3,IIA 1.11.1 2011, Determination of Color, Physical State, Odor, Melting Point and Decomposition Temperatures of XDE-729 Methyl Pure Active Ingredient, DACO: 2.14.1,2.14.13,2.14.2,2.14.3,2.14.4,2.14.5,IIA 2.1.1,IIA 2.1.2,IIA 2.1.3,IIA 2.4.1,IIA 2.4.2 CBI
2226402	2011, XDE-729 Methyl TGAI: Determination of Density for Solids, DACO: 2.14.6,IIA 2.2 CBI
2226403	2011, Determination of Vapour Pressure for XDE-729 methyl, DACO: 2.14.9,IIA 2.3.1 CBI
2226404	2011, Calculation of the Henry's Law Constants for XDE-729 methyl from Unbuffered and pH 5, 7, and 9 Buffered Water, DACO: 2.16,IIA 2.3.2 CBI
2226405	2011, Determination of Color, Odor, Physical State, Oxidizing and Reducing Action, Explodability, pH and Bulk Density of XDE-729 Methyl Technical Grade Active Ingredient, DACO: 2.14.1,2.14.2,2.14.3,IIA 2.4.1,IIA 2.4.2 CBI
2226407	2012, X11393728: XDE-729 Methyl: Determination of Spectral Characteristics (UV/Visible Absorption and Molar Absorptivity, Mass Spectrum, Infrared Spectrum, and NMR) Amended Final Report, DACO: 2.13.2,2.14.12,IIA 2.5.1.1,IIA 2.5.1.2,IIA 2.5.1.3,IIA 2.5.1.4,IIA 2.5.1.5 CBI
2226408	2011, Determination of Water Solubility for XDE-729 Methyl, DACO: 2.14.7,IIA 2.6 CBI
2226409	2011, Determination of Organic Solvent Solubility for XDE-729 Methyl TGAI, DACO: 2.14.8, IIA 2.7 CBI
2226412	2011, Determination of Octanol/Water Partition Coefficient for XDE-729 Methyl by Shake Flask Method, DACO: 2.14.11,IIA 2.8.1,IIA 2.8.2 CBI
2226415	2011, Determination of Dissociation Constant of XDE-729 methyl Using UV- Visible Spectrophotometry, DACO: 2.14.10,8.2.3.2,IIA 2.9.5 CBI
2226417	2011, Determination of the Stability of XDE-729 methyl Technical to Normal and Elevated Temperatures, Metals and Metal Ions Amended Report, DACO: 2.14.13,IIA 2.17.2 CBI
2226429	2012, Analytical method and Validation for the Determination of Active Ingredient in XDE-729 ME Technical by Liquid Chromatography, DACO: 2.13.1,IIA 4.2.1
2226430	2012, Analytical Method and Validation for the Determination of Active Ingredient and Impurities in XDE-729 ME Technical by Liquid Chromatography, DACO: 2.13.4,IIA 4.2.3 CBI

Document Number

- 2226431 2012, Analytical Method and Validation for the Determination of Residual Solvents and Process Impurities in XDE-729 ME Technical by Gas Chromatography, DACO: 2.13.4,IIA 4.2.3 CBI
- 2226443 2011, PAM I Multiresidue Protocol Testing for XDE-729, DACO: 2.16,8.6,IIA 4.9 CBI
- 2306233 2011, Certificate of Analysis XDE-729 methyl, Test Item No. TSN301574., DACO: 2.13.3,4.8,IIA 5.5.4,IIA 5.8 CBI
- 2306235 2011, Certificate of Analysis Characterization of TSN030751-0006, DACO: 2.13.3,4.3.1,IIA 5.3.2 CBI
- 2306236 2009, Certificate of Analysis Characterization of TSN030751-0005, DACO: 2.13.3,4.3.1,IIA 5.3.2 CBI
- 2319626 2010, XDE-729 Methyl CoA (PAI_AS), DACO: 2.13.3, IIA 1.11.1 CBI
- 2319627 2011, [CBI REMOVED] CoA, DACO: 2.13.3,IIA 1.11.1 CBI
- 2319629 2011, [CBI REMOVED] CoA, DACO: 2.13.3,IIA 1.11.1 CBI
- 2319630 2010, [CBI REMOVED] CoA, DACO: 2.13.3,IIA 1.11.1 CBI
- 2319631 2010, [CBI REMOVED] CoA, DACO: 2.13.3,IIA 1.11.1 CBI 2319632 2011, [CBI REMOVED] CoA, DACO: 2.13.3,IIA 1.11.1 CBI
- 2319632 2011, [CBI REMOVED] COA, DACO: 2.13.3, IIA 1.11.1 CBI 2319633 2011, [CBI REMOVED] CoA, DACO: 2.13.3, IIA 1.11.1 CBI
- 2319634 2011, [CBI REMOVED] CoA, DACO: 2.13.3, IIA 1.11.1 CBI
- 2319635 2011, [CBI REMOVED] CoA, DACO: 2.13.3, IIA 1.11.1 CBI
- 2319636 2011, [CBI REMOVED] CoA, DACO: 2.13.3,IIA 1.11.1 CBI
- 2319637 2011, [CBI REMOVED] CoA, DACO: 2.13.3,IIA 1.11.1 CBI
- 2319638 2011, [CBI REMOVED] CoA, DACO: 2.13.3,IIA 1.11.1 CBI
- 2319639 2011, [CBI REMOVED] CoA, DACO: 2.13.3,IIA 1.11.1 CBI 2319640 2011, [CBI REMOVED] CoA, DACO: 2.13.3,IIA 1.11.1 CBI
- 2319640 2011, [CBI REMOVED] COA, DACO: 2.13.3, IIA 1.11.1 CBI 2319641 2011, [CBI REMOVED] COA, DACO: 2.13.3, IIA 1.11.1 CBI
- 2366698 2013, One Year Ambient Storage Stability of Halauxifen-methyl Technical in Foil Laminate, LLDPE, and Saranex, DACO: 2.14.14,IIA 2.17.1 CBI
- 2226437 2012, Method Validation Study for the Determination of Residues of X11393728 (XDE-729 Methyl), X11393729 (XDE-729 Acid) and X11449757 (des-Methyl XDE-729 Acid) in Soil using HPLC with Positive-Ion Electrospray Ionization Mass Spectrometry Detection, DACO: 8.2.2.1,IIA 4.4
- 2226438 2012, ILV of Analytical Method Study Number 110716 for the Determination of XDE-729 Methyl Ester and its Metabolite Residue in Soil, DACO: 8.2.2.1,IIA 4.4
- 2226439 2012, Method Validation Study for the Determination of Residues of XDE-729 and its Metabolites in Surface Water, Ground Water and Drinking Water by Liquid Chromatography with Tandem Mass Spectrometry (Amended), DACO: 8.2.2.3,IIA 4.5
- 2226440 2012, XDE-729 Methyl Ester Independent Laboratory Validation of Analytical Method 110718 for the Determination of XDE-729 Methyl Ester and its Metabolites Residues in Water, DACO: 8.2.2.3,IIA 4.5
- 2226283 2012, Analytical method and Validation for the Determination of Fluoroxypyrmeptyl, XDE-729 Methyl [CBI REMOVED] in GF-2688, DACO: 3.4.1,IIIA 5.2.1

PMRA Document Number Paradigm Herbicide	Reference
2226232	2011, Determination of Color, Odor, Physical State, Oxidizing and Reducing Action, Bulk Density, Explodability, and pH of GF-2687, and End-Use Product Containing XDE-729 methyl and Florasulam, DACO: 3.5.12,3.5.6,3.5.7,3.5.8,IIIA 2.2.1,IIIA 2.2.2,IIIA 2.4.2,IIIA 2.6.2
2226233	2011, Analytical methods for the determination of the a.s. in product, DACO: 3.4.1,IIIA 5.2.1
2235770	2011, Analytical Method and Validation for the Determination of XDE-729 methyl [CBI REMOVED] and Florasulam in GF-2686 and GF-2687, DACO: 3.4.1,IIIA 5.2.1
2324580	2012, GF-2687 Two Week Accelerated Storage Stability of GF-2687 in Glass, HDPE, and Foil Laminate Sachet, DACO: 3.5.10,IIIA 2.7.1 CBI
2360245	2013, One Year Ambient Storage Stability of GF-2687 in HDPE and Foil Laminate Sachet, DACO: 3.5.10,IIIA 2.7.2 CBI
2226152	2011, Determination of Color, Odor, Physical State, Oxidizing and Reducing Action, pH, and Density of F-2685, an End Use Product Containing XDE-729 Methyl [CBI REMOVED] under GLP, DACO: 3.5.1,3.5.2,3.5.3,IIIA 2.1 CBI
2226153	2012, Determination of Explodability of GF-2685, an End-Use Product Containing XDE-729 methyl [CBI REMOVED], DACO: 3.5.12,IIIA 2.2.1 CBI
2226154	Analytical methods for the determination of the a.s. in product, DACO: 3.4.1,IIIA 5.2.1
2279877	2010, Analytical Method for the Determination of XR-729 Methyl [CBI REMOVED] in GF-2573 and GF-2685, DACO: 3.4.1,IIIA 5.2.1
2324596	2012, GF-2685 Two Week Accelerated Storage Stability in Glass, DACO: 3.5.10,IIIA 2.7.1 CBI
2324597	2012, GF-2685 Eight Week Accelerated Storage Stability in HDPE, DACO: 3.5.10,IIIA 2.7.2 CBI
2360227	2013, Storage Stability and Package Corrosion Characteristics of GF-2685 in HDPE; One Year Ambient Study, DACO: 3.5.10,IIIA 2.7.2 CBI

2.0 Human and Animal Health

PMRA Reference

Document Number

Number	
2226444	2009, XR-729: Probe Study to Determine Absorption, Distribution, Metabolism, and
	Elimination in F344/DUCRL Rats and CRL:CS1(ICR) Mice, DACO: 4.5.9, IIA 5.1.1
2226445	2012, An Investigation of [14C]-Labeled XDE-729 Metabolism and Excretion
	Balance in Beagle Dogs Following a Single Oral (Gavage) Administration, DACO:
	4.5.9,IIA 5.1.1
2226446	2010, XDE-729 and XDE-729 methyl: Pharmacokinetics and Metabolism in
	F344/DuCrl Rats, DACO: 4.5.9,IIA 5.1.3
2226447	2010, XDE-729 Acid technical grade active ingredient: acute oral toxicity up and
	down procedure in rats, DACO: 4.2.1,IIA 5.2.1

Document Number

Number	•
2226448	2011, XDE-729 Methyl Technical Grade Active Ingredient: Acute Oral Toxicity Up
	and Down Procedure in Rats, DACO: 4.2.1,IIA 5.2.1
2226449	2009, XDE-729 acid technical grade active ingredient: acute dermal toxicity study in
	rats, DACO: 4.2.2,IIA 5.2.2
2226450	2011, XDE-729 methyl: Acute Dermal Toxicity Study in Rats - Limit Test, DACO:
	4.2.2,IIA 5.2.2
2226453	2011, XDE-729 and XDE-729 methyl: Acute Dust Aerosol Inhalation Toxicity
	Studies in F344/DUCRL Rats, DACO: 4.2.3,IIA 5.2.3
2226454	2009, XDE-729 acid technical grade active ingredient: primary skin irritation study
	in rabbits, DACO: 4.2.5,IIA 5.2.4
2226455	2011, XDE-729 Methyl Technical Grade Active Ingredient: Primary skin irritation in
	rabbits, DACO: 4.2.5,IIA 5.2.4
2226456	2010, XDE-729 Acid Technical Grade Active Ingredient: Primary Eye Irritation
	Study in Rabbits, DACO: 4.2.4,IIA 5.2.5
2226457	2011, XDE-729 Methyl Technical Grade Active Ingredient: Primary Eye Irritation
	Study in Rabbits, DACO: 4.2.4, IIA 5.2.5
2226458	2009, XR-729: Local Lymph Node Assay in CBA/J Mice, DACO: 4.2.6, IIA 5.2.6
2226459	2011, XDE-729 methyl: Local Lymph Node Assay in CBA/J Mice, DACO:
	4.2.6,IIA 5.2.6
2226460	2009, XR-729: 28-day Dietary Toxicity Study in F344 DUCRL Rats, DACO:
	4.3.3,IIA 5.3.1
2226461	2008, XR-729: 28-day Dietary Toxicity Study in F344 DUCRL Rats, DACO:
	4.3.3,IIA 5.3.1
2226464	2009, XR-729: 28-Day Dietary Toxicity Study in Crl:CD1(ICR) Mice, DACO:
	4.3.3,IIA 5.3.1
2226465	2010, XR-729: Palatability Probe and 28-Day Dietary Toxicity Study in Beagle
	Dogs, DACO: 4.3.3,IIA 5.3.1
2226466	2012, XDE-729 Methyl: 90-Day Dietary Toxicity Study in DuCrl/F344 Rats,
	DACO: 4.3.1,IIA 5.3.2
2226467	2010, XDE-729: 90-Day Dietary Toxicity Study in F344/DUCRL Rats, DACO:
	4.3.1,IIA 5.3.2
2226468	2010, XDE-729: 90-Day Dietary Toxicity Study in Crl:CD1(ICR) Mice, DACO:
	4.3.1,IIA 5.3.2
2226469	2011, XDE-729: A 90-Day Oral (Dietary) Toxicity Study in Beagle Dogs, DACO:
	4.3.2,IIA 5.3.3
2226470	2012, XDE-729: 1 Year Oral (Dietary) Toxicity Study in Beagle Dogs, DACO:
	4.3.2,IIA 5.3.4
2226471	2010, XDE-729: 28-Day Dermal Toxicity Study in F344/DuCrl Rats, DACO:
	4.3.5,IIA 5.3.7
2226472	2012, Bacterial Reverse Mutation Test of XDE-729 Methyl TGAI Using Salmonella
000(170	Typhimurium, DACO: 4.5.4,IIA 5.4.1
2226473	2010, XDE-729: Salmonella - Escherichia coli, Mammalian-microsome reverse
	mutation assay preincubation method with a confirmatory assay with XDE-729,
	DACO: 4.5.4,IIA 5.4.1

PMRA	Reference
Document	
Number	
2226475	2011, XDE-729 methyl: Salmonella Escherichia coli, Mammalian-microsome
2220475	reverse mutation assay preincubation method with a confirmatory assay with XDE-
	729 methyl, DACO: 4.5.4,IIA 5.4.1
2226476	2012, In vitro Mammalian Chromosome Aberration Test of XDE-729 Methyl TGAI
2220170	in Human Peripheral Blood Lymphocytes, DACO: 4.5.6,IIA 5.4.2
2226477	2012, Evaluation of XDE-729 methyl in an in vitro chromosomal aberration assay
	utilizing rat lymphocytes, DACO: 4.5.6, IIA 5.4.2
2226478	2010, Evaluation of XDE-729 (4-amino-3-chloro-6-(4-chloro-2-fluoro-3-
	methoxyphenyl)-2-pyridinecarboxylic acid) in an in vitro chromosomal aberration
	assay utilizing rat lymphocytes, DACO: 4.5.6, IIA 5.4.2
2226479	2012, In vitro Mammalian Cell Gene Forward Mutation Test at the HGPRT Locus of
	the Chinese Hamster Ovary (CHO)-K1 Cell Line using XDE-729 Methyl TGAI,
	DACO: 4.5.5,IIA 5.4.3
2226480	2011, Evaluation of XDE-729 Methyl in the Chinese Hamster Ovary
	Cell/Hypoxanthine-Guanine-phosphoribosyl Transferase (CHO/HGPRT) Forward
	Mutation Assay, DACO: 4.5.5,IIA 5.4.3
2226481	2010, Evaluation of XDE-729 in the Chinese Hamster Ovary Cell-Hypoxanthine-
2220101	Guanine-phosphoribosyl transferase (CHO-HGPRT) Forward Mutation Assay,
	DACO: 4.5.5,IIA 5.4.3
2226482	2010, Evaluation of XDE-729 (4-amino-3-chloro-6-(4-chloro-2-fluoro-3-
2220402	methoxyphenyl)-2-pyridinecarboxylic acid) in the mouse peripheral blood
222(492	micronucleus assay, DACO: 4.5.7, IIA 5.4.4
2226483	2012, XDE-729: Two-Year Chronic Toxicity/Oncogenicity Study in F344/DuCrl
2226405	Rats, DACO: 4.4.1,4.4.2,4.4.4,IIA 5.5.1,IIA 5.5.2
2226485	2012, XDE-729: 18-Month Dietary Oncogenicity Study in Crl:CD1(ICR) Mice,
	DACO: 4.4.3,IIA 5.5.3
2226486	2012, XDE-729 Methyl: Mode of Action and Human Relevance Framework
	Analysis for XDE-729 Methyl-Induced Rodent Liver Effects, DACO: 4.8, IIA 5.5.4
2226487	2012, In Vitro Assessment of AhR (ARYL Hydrocarbon Receptor) Nuclear
	Receptor Activation and CYP 1A and Cyp 1a Induction Potential of XDE-729
	Methyl in Primary Hepatocyte Cultures. DACO: 4.8, IIA 5.5.4
2226488	2012, XDE 729 Methyl: Determination of In vitro Hydrolysis rates in Liver 59,
	Blood and Synthetic Gastric Fluid of Mouse, Rat and Human and Physiologically-
	Based Pharmacokinetic Simulations of Systemic Exposure in Rats and Humans,
	DACO: 4.8,IIA 5.5.4
2226489	2012, XDE-729 Methyl: Evaluation of Molecular and Cellular Changes in the Livers
	of Male F344/DuCrl Rats after a Four Week Dietary Exposure and a Four Day or 28
	Day Recovery Period., DACO: 4.8, IIA 5.5.4
2226490	2012, XDE-729 Methyl: Evaluation of AHR Activation Potential of XDE-729
2220190	Methyl via Luciferase Reporter and Ligand Binding Assays, DACO: 4.8, IIA 5.5.4
2226491	2012, XDE-729 Methyl: 7Day Dietary Toxicity Probe Study on Crl:CD1 ICR Mice,
2220771	DACO: 4.8,IIA 5.5.4
2226494	2012, Hepatic Gene Expression and Biomarker Analyses in Male F344/DuCrl Rats
2220494	
	Administered XDE-729 or XDE-729 Methyl for Seven Days, DACO: 4.8, IIA 5.5.4

Document
Number

N	
Number	
2226495	2010, XDE-729: A Reproduction/Developmental Toxicity Probe Study in
	Crl:CD(SD) Rats, DACO: 4.5.1,IIA 5.6.1
2226496	2011, XDE-729: Two Generation Dietary Reproductive Toxicity Study in CRL:CD
	(SD) Rats, DACO: 4.5.1,IIA 5.6.1
2226497	2012, XDE-729 methyl: Dietary Developmental Toxicity Probe Study in Crl:CD
	(SD) Rats, DACO: 4.5.2, IIA 5.6.10
2226499	2012, XDE-729 methyl: Dietary Developmental Toxicity Study in Crl:CD (SD) Rats
	(Revised report), DACO: 4.5.2,IIA 5.6.10
2226500	2010, XDE-729: Dietary Developmental Toxicity Study in Crl:CD (SD) Rats,
	DACO: 4.5.2,IIA 5.6.10
2226501	2012, XDE-729 Methyl: Developmental Toxicity Study in New Zealand White
	Rabbits, DACO: 4.5.3, IIA 5.6.11
2226502	2012, XDE-729 Methyl: Developmental Toxicity Probe Study in New Zealand
	White Rabbits, DACO: 4.5.3, IIA 5.6.11
2226503	2011, XDE-729: Dietary Developmental Toxicity Probe Study in New Zealand
	White Rabbits, DACO: 4.5.3, IIA 5.6.11
2226504	2011, XDE-729: Dietary Developmental Toxicity Study in New Zealand White
	Rabbits, DACO: 4.5.3, IIA 5.6.11
2226505	2010, XDE-729: Acute Neurotoxicity in F344/DuCrl Rats, DACO: 4.5.12, IIA 5.7.1
2226506	2011, XDE-729: 90-Day Dietary Neurotoxicity Study in F344/DuCrl Rats, DACO:
	4.5.13,IIA 5.7.4
2226507	2012, In Vitro Mammalian Cell Gene Forward Mutation Test at the HGPRT Locus
	of the Chinese Hamster Ovary (CHO)-K1 Cell Line Using X11449757, DACO:
	4.8,IIA 5.8
2226508	2012, In Vitro Mammalian Chromosome Aberration Test if X11449757 in Human
	Peripheral Blood Lymphocytes, DACO: 4.8,IIA 5.8
2226509	2012, Bacterial Reverse Mutation Test of X11449757 using Salmonella
	typhimurium, DACO: 4.8,IIA 5.8
2226510	2012, XDE-729 Methyl Toxicology Overview, DACO:
2220010	4.2.9,4.3.8,4.4.5,4.5.8,4.8,IIA 5.10
2226511	2012, XDE-729 Methyl: Assessment of Immunotoxic Potential Using the Sheep Red
	Blood Cell Assay after 28-Day Dietary Exposure to Female F344/DuCrl Rats,
	DACO: 4.2.9,4.3.8,4.4.5,4.5.8,4.8,IIA 5.10
2279912	2010, Preparation of XDE729-Na, DACO: 4.5.9, IIA 5.1.3
2279913	2011, Acute Oral Toxicity Up And Down Procedure In Rats, DACO: 4.2.1, IIA 5.2.1
2279916	2013, 28-Day Inhalation waiver request., DACO: 4.3.7, IIA 5.3.5
2279917	2010, Short-Term Dermal (21/18 Day) Bridging with XDE-729 methyl and XDE-
	729 scientific waiver request, DACO: 4.3.5,IIA 5.3.7
2279918	2012, In Vitro Mammalian Chromosome Aberration Test of XDE-729 Methyl TGAI
22,77710	In Human Peripheral Blood Lymphocytes, DACO: 4.5.6,IIA 5.4.2
2279919	2013, In Vivo Cytogenetics Assay with XDE-729 methyl scientific waiver request,
	DACO: 4.5.8,IIA 5.4.5
2279921	2012, In Vitro Mammalian Chromosome Aberration Test Of X11449757 In Human
	Peripheral Blood Lymphocytes, DACO: 4.8,IIA 5.8

PMRA	Reference
Document	
Number	
2289333	2011, XDE-729 Methyl Method Validation and Stability in Rodent Feed, DACO:
	4.5.2,IIA 5.6.10
2289334	2010, XR-729: Stability In Rodent Feed, Canine Feed And 0.5% Methocel, DACO: 4.3.5,4.5.1,4.8,IIA 5.3.7,IIA 5.5.4,IIA 5.6.1
2289335	2012, Development of PK- and PBPK-based Modeling Tools for Derivation of Biomonitoring Guidance Values, DACO: 4.8,IIA 5.5.4
2306234	2013, Clinical Observation data for the LLNA with XDE-729 methyl - Cage-side Observations for Report #101177, DACO: 4.2.6,IIA 5.2.6
2315007	2013, 130612 Attachment 1-DAS Response to PMRA Request for Additional Information for XDE-729 Methyl and XDE-729-June 12, 2013, DACO: 4.3.1,4.4.3,4.5.3,IIA 5.3.2,IIA 5.5.3,IIA 5.6.11
2315008	1992, Spontaneous neoplasms in aged CD-1 mice, DACO: 4.4.3, IIA 5.5.3
2315009	2009, Hemangiosarcoma in Rodents: Mode-of-Action Evaluation and Human Relevance., DACO: 4.4.3, IIA 5.5.3
2315015	2013, XDE-729 METHYL: 90 Day Dietary Toxicity Study In DuCrl/F344 Rats, DACO: 4.3.1,IIA 5.3.2
2226305	2011, Acute oral toxicity Up and Down Procedure in Rats, DACO: 4.6.1, IIIA 7.1.1
2226306	2011, GF-2688: Acute Dermal Toxicity in Rats, DACO: 4.6.2, IIIA 7.1.2
2226307	2011, GF-2688: Acute Liquid Aerosol Inhalation Toxicity Study in F344/DuCrl
	Rats, DACO: 4.6.3,IIIA 7.1.3
2226308	2011, GF-2688: Primary Skin Irritation in Rabbits, DACO: 4.6.5, IIIA 7.1.4
2226309	2011, GF-2688: Primary Eye Irritation Study in Rabbits, DACO: 4.6.4, IIIA 7.1.5
2226310	2011, GF-2688 EC: Local Lymph Node Assay in CBA/J Mice, DACO: 4.6.6,IIIA 7.1.6
2306255	2011, Cage-side observation data - GF-2688 LLNA, DACO: 4.6.6, IIIA 7.1.6
2226247	2012, Acute oral toxicity Study of GF-2687 in Rats, DACO: 4.6.1, IIIA 7.1.1
2226248	2012, Acute Dermal Toxicity Study of GF-2687 in Rats, DACO: 4.6.2, IIIA 7.1.2
2226249	2012, GF-2687: Acute Dust Aerosol Inhalation Toxicity Study in F344 DuCrl Rats, DACO: 4.6.3,IIIA 7.1.3
2226250	2012, Acute Dermal Irritation Study of GF-2687 in Rabbits, DACO: 4.6.5, IIIA 7.1.4
2226251	2012, Acute Eye Irritation Study of GF-2687 in Rabbits, DACO: 4.6.4, IIIA 7.1.5
2226252	2012, Skin Sensitization Study of GF-2687 by Local Lymph Node Assay in Mice, DACO: 4.6.6,IIIA 7.1.6
2311838	2013, Skin Sensitization Study of GF-2687 by Local Lymph Node Assay in Mice - Amended Final Report, DACO: 4.6.6,IIIA 7.1.6
2226179	GF-2685: Acute Oral Toxicity Up and Down Procedure in Rats, DACO: 4.6.1,IIIA 7.1.1
2226180	2011, GF-2685: Acute Dermal Toxicity in Rats, DACO: 4.6.2, IIIA 7.1.2
2226183	GF-2685: Acute Dust Aerosol Inhalation Toxicity Study in F344/DuCrl Rats, DACO: 4.6.3,IIIA 7.1.3
2226185	2011, GF-2685: Primary Skin Irritation in Rabbits, DACO: 4.6.5, IIIA 7.1.4
2226187	2011, GE-2685: Primary Eve Irritation Study in Pabbits DACO: 4.6.4 IIIA 7.1.5

- 2226187 2011, GF-2685: Primary Eye Irritation Study in Rabbits, DACO: 4.6.4, IIIA 7.1.5
- 2012, GF-2685: Local Lymph Node Assay in CBA/J Mice, DACO: 4.6.6, IIIA 7.1.6 2226189
- Agricultural Re-entry Task Force (ARTF). 2008. Data Submitted by the ARTF to 2115788 Support Revision of Agricultural Transfer Coefficients. Submission #2006-0257.

Document
Number

Document	
Number	
2226192	2011, In Vivo percutaneous absorption of [¹⁴ C]XR-729 methyl, Formulated as GF-2573 in Rats, DACO: 5.8,IIIA 7.6.1
2226190	2011, In Vitro Percutaneous Absorption of [¹⁴ C]XR-729 methyl, Formulated as GF-2573 through Human and Rat Skin Membrane, DACO: 5.8,IIIA 7.6.2
2226518	2011, A Nature of the Residue Study in the Laying Hen with [14C]-XDE-729 Methyl Ester, DACO 6.2.
2226519	2011, A Nature of the Residue Study in the Ruminant with [14C]-XDE-729 Methyl Ester, DACO 6.2.
2226517	2012, A Nature of the Residue Study with [14C]-XDE-729 Methyl Applied to Turnips, DACO 6.3.
2226432	2011, Determination of Residues of XDE-729 Methyl Ester and XDE-729 Acid in Agricultural Commodities and Wheat Processed Products using Online Solid-Phase Extraction and Liquid Chromatography with Tandem Mass Spectrometry, DACO 7.2.1, 7.2.2, and 7.2.3.
2226433	2012, Method Validation Study for Determination of Residues of XDE-729 Methyl Ester and XDE729 Acid in Agricultural Commodities and Wheat Processed Products using Offline Solid Phase Extraction and Liquid Chromatography with Tandem Mass Spectrometry Detection, DACO 7.2.1, 7.2.2, and 7.2.3.
2226435	2012, Independent Laboratory Validation of Method for the Determination of Residues of XDE-729 Methyl Ester and XDE-729 Acid in Agricultural Commodities and Wheat Processed Products using Offline Solid-Phase Extraction and Liquid Chromatography with Tandem Mass Spectrometry Detection, DACO 7.2.1, 7.2.2, and 7.2.3.
2226436	2011, XDE-729: Independent Laboratory Validation of a Multi-Residue Method for the Determination of XDE-729 Methyl Ester and XDE-729 Acid in Plant Matrices, DACO 7.2.1, 7.2.2, and 7.2.3.
2226441	2012, Independent Laboratory Validation of an Analytical Method for the Determination of XDE-729 methyl ester and XDE-729 acid in Animal Matrices, DACO 7.2.1, 7.2.2, and 7.2.3.
2226442	2012, Method Validation Study for the Determination of Residues of XDE-729 Methyl Ester and XDE-729 Acid in Bovine and Poultry Tissues using Offline Solid- Phase Extraction and Liquid Chromatography with Tandem Mass Spectrometry Detection, DACO 7.2.1, 7.2.2, and 7.2.3.
2226443	2011, PAM I Multiresidue Protocol Testing for XDE-729, DACO 7.2.4.
2226515	2012, X11393728 (XDE 729 methyl) and X11393729 (XDE 729): Residue Stability
2220010	Study in Crops under Freezer Storage Conditions. Interim Report Number: 1: Twelve Months Stability Data, DACO 7.3.
2286048	2013, X11393728 (XDE-729 methyl) and X11393729 (XDE-729): Residue Stability Study in Crops under Frozen Storage Conditions. 16-month Interim Report, DACO 7.3.
2226513	2012, Frozen Storage Stability of Residues of XDE-729 Methyl Ester, XDE-729 Acid and X11449757 in Animal Matrices - Five Months Stability Data for XDE-729

222 Acid and X11449757 in Animal Matrices - Five Months Stability Data for XDE-729 Methyl Ester and XDE-729 Acid and One Month Stability Data for X11449757, DACO 7.3.

Document

Number	
2286049	2013, Frozen Storage Stability of Residues of XDE-729 Methyl Ester, XDE-729
	Acid and X11449757 in Animal Matrices - Twelve Months Stability Data for
	Halauxifen-methyl and XDE-729 Acid and Six Months Stability Data for the
	Relevant Metabolite, X11449757, DACO 7.3.
2226520	2011, Magnitude And Decline Of The Residue Of XDE-729 Following Spring
	Application Of GF-2685 To Wheat, DACO 7.4.1/7.4.2.
2226523	2012, Magnitude of XDE-729 Residues Following Spring Application of GF-2685
	To Wheat, DACO 7.4.1/7.4.2.
2226525	2012, MAGNITUDE OF XDE-729 Residues Following Spring Application of GF-
	2685 to Barley, DACO 7.4.1/7.4.2.
2226528	2012, Magnitude And Decline Of The Residue Of Xde-729 Following Spring
	Application Of GF-2685 To Barley, DACO 7.4.1/7.4.2.
2226531	2011, A Confined Rotational Crop Study with [14C]-XDE-729 Methyl Ester, DACO
	7.4.3.
2226530	2012, Magnitude Of The Residue Of Xde-729 In Wheat Process Fractions Following
	Spring Application Of GF-2685 To Wheat, DACO 7.4.4.
2226529	2012, XDE-729 Livestock Feeding Study: Magnitude Of Residue In Milk, Muscle,
	Fat, Liver And Kidney Of Lactating Dairy Cattle, DACO 7.5.1.

3.0 Environment

PMRA Reference

Document

Number

1 (umber	
1191523	1995, XDE-570 Herbicide: The Toxicity to the Green Alga, <i>Selenastrum capricornutum</i> Printz., DACO: 9.8.2
2226179	GF-2685: Acute Oral Toxicity Up and Down Procedure in Rats, DACO: 4.6.1,IIIA 7.1.1
2226247	2012, Acute oral toxicity Study of GF-2687 in Rats, DACO: 4.6.1, IIIA 7.1.1
2226305	2011, Acute oral toxicity Up and Down Procedure in Rats, DACO: 4.6.1, IIIA 7.1.1
2226413	2011, Hydrolysis of XDE-729 ME at pH 4, 7 and 9, DACO: 8.2.3.2,IIA 2.9.1,IIA 7.5
2226414	2011, Aqueous Photolysis of XDE-729 ME in pH 7 Buffer and Natural Water Under Xenon Light, DACO: 8.2.3.3,8.2.3.3,2,IIA 2.9.2,IIA 2.9.3,IIA 2.9.4,IIA 7.6
2226416	2011, Estimation of the Photochemical Oxidation Rate of XDE-729, DACO: 8.2.3.3, IIA 2.10
2226437	2012, Method Validation Study for the Determination of Residues of X11393728 (XDE-729 Methyl), X11393729 (XDE-729 Acid) and X11449757 (des-Methyl XDE-729 Acid) in Soil using HPLC with Positive-Ion Electrospray Ionization Mass Spectrometry Detection, DACO: 8.2.2.1,IIA 4.4
2226438	2012, ILV of Analytical Method Study Number 110716 for the Determination of XDE-729 Methyl Ester and its Metabolite Residue in Soil, DACO: 8.2.2.1,IIA 4.4

PMRA Document Number	Reference
2226439	2012, Method Validation Study for the Determination of Residues of XDE-729 and its Metabolites in Surface Water, Ground Water and Drinking Water by Liquid Chromatography with Tandem Mass Spectrometry (Amended), DACO: 8.2.2.3,IIA 4.5
2226440	2012, XDE-729 Methyl Ester - Independent Laboratory Validation of Analytical Method 110718 for the Determination of XDE-729 Methyl Ester and its Metabolites Residues in Water, DACO: 8.2.2.3,IIA 4.5
2226441	2012, Independent Laboratory Validation of an Analytical Method for the Determination of XDE-729 Methyl Ester and XDE-729 Acid in Animal Matrices, DACO: 8.2.2.4,IIA 4.8
2226442	2012, Method Validation Study for the Determination of Residues of XDE-729 Methyl Ester and XDE-729 Acid in Bovine and Poultry Tissues using Offline Solid- Phase Extraction and Liquid Chromatography with Tandem Mass Spectrometry Detection., DACO: 8.2.2.4,IIA 4.8
2226443	2011, PAM I Multiresidue Protocol Testing for XDE-729, DACO: 2.16,8.6,IIA 4.9 CBI
2226447	2010, XDE-729 Acid technical grade active ingredient: acute oral toxicity up and down procedure in rats, DACO: 4.2.1,IIA 5.2.1
2226448	2011, XDE-729 Methyl Technical Grade Active Ingredient: Acute Oral Toxicity Up and Down Procedure in Rats, DACO: 4.2.1,IIA 5.2.1
2226496	2011, XDE-729: Two Generation Dietary Reproductive Toxicity Study in CRL:CD (SD) Rats, DACO: 4.5.1,IIA 5.6.1
2226499	2012, XDE-729 methyl: Dietary Developmental Toxicity Study in Crl:CD (SD) Rats (Revised report), DACO: 4.5.2,IIA 5.6.10
2226500	2010, XDE-729: Dietary Developmental Toxicity Study in Crl:CD (SD) Rats, DACO: 4.5.2,IIA 5.6.10
2226501	2012, XDE-729 Methyl: Developmental Toxicity Study in New Zealand White Rabbits, DACO: 4.5.3,IIA 5.6.11
2226504	2011, XDE-729: Dietary Developmental Toxicity Study in New Zealand White Rabbits, DACO: 4.5.3, IIA 5.6.11
2226513	2012, Frozen Storage Stability of Residues of XDE-729 Methyl Ester, XDE-729 Acid and X-11449757 in Animal Matrices - Five Months Stability Data for XDE- 729 Methyl Ester and XDE-729 Acid and One Month Stability Data for X11449757 INTERIM REPORT, DACO: 7.3,IIA 6.1.1
2226529	2012, XDE-729 Livestock Feeding Study: Magnitude of Residue in Milk, Muscle, Fat, Liver and Kidney of Lactating Dairy Cattle, DACO: 7.5,7.6,IIA 6.4.2
2226533	2011, Soil Degradation of XDE-729 Methyl under Aerobic, Low Temperature and Sterile Conditions, DACO: 8.2.3.4.2,8.2.3.4.4,IIA 7.1.1,IIA 7.1.2,IIA 7.2.2,IIA 7.2.4
2226534	2012, Soil Degradation of XDE-729 ME under Aerobic Conditions on Four Global Soils- Amendment, DACO: 8.2.3.4.2,8.2.3.4.4,IIA 7.1.1,IIA 7.2.1,IIA 7.2.3,IIA 7.2.5
2226535	2011, Photodegradation of XDE-729 Methyl on Soil, DACO: 8.2.3.3.1, IIA 7.1.3

Document	
Number	
2226537	2012, Batch Equilibrium Study Adsorption/
	Soils (Amended), DACO: 8.2.4.2, IIA 7.4.1
2226538	2012, Freundlich Isotherm Calculations for
	7.4.1
2226539	2012, Anaerobic Aquatic Degradation of XI
	Pond Water Systems DACO: 8225582

	Pond Water Systems, DACO: 8.2.3.5.5,8.2.3.5.6,IIA 7.8.2
2226540	2012, Aerobic Transformation of XDE-729 Methyl in Two Aquatic Sediment
	Systems-AMENDED REPORT, DACO: 8.2.3.6, IIA 7.8.3

2011, XDE-729 Methyl: An Acute Oral Toxicity Study with the Northern Bobwhite, 2226541 DACO: 9.6.2.1,9.6.2.2,9.6.2.3,IIA 8.1.1

2, Batch Equilibrium Study Adsorption/Desorption of XDE-729 ME in Seven

2, Freundlich Isotherm Calculations for XDE-729 methyl, DACO: 8.2.4.2, IIA

2, Anaerobic Aquatic Degradation of XDE-729 Methyl in Two US Sediment and

- 2011, XDE-729 Methyl: An Acute Oral Toxicity Study with the Zebra Finch, 2226542 DACO: 9.6.2.1,9.6.2.2,9.6.2.3,IIA 8.1.1
- 2011, XDE-729 Methyl: A Dietary LC50 Study with the Northern Bobwhite, 2226543 DACO: 9.6.2.4,9.6.2.5,IIA 8.1.2
- 2011, XDE-729 Methyl: A Dietary LC50 Study with the Mallard, DACO: 2226544 9.6.2.6,IIA 8.1.3
- 2226545 2011, XDE-729 Methyl: A Reproduction Study with the Northern Bobwhite, DACO: 9.6.3.1,9.6.3.2,9.6.3.3, IIA 8.1.4
- 2011, XDE-729 Methyl: A Reproduction Study with the Mallard, DACO: 2226546 9.6.3.1,9.6.3.2,9.6.3.3,IIA 8.1.4
- 2011, XDE-729 Methyl: Acute Toxicity to the Rainbow Trout, Oncorhynchus 2226547 *mykiss*, Determined Under Static-Renewal Test Conditions, DACO: 9.5.2.1,9.5.2.3,IIA 8.2.1.1
- 2226548 2011, XDE-729 Methyl: Acute Toxicity to the Fathead Minnow, *Pimephales* promelas, Determined Under Static-Renewal Test Conditions, DACO: 9.5.2.2,9.5.2.3,IIA 8.2.1.2
- 2012, X11406790 (XDE-729 Metabolite): Acute Toxicity to the Rainbow Trout, 2226549 Oncorhynchus mykiss, Determined Under Static-Renewal Test Conditions, DACO: 9.5.2.3,9.5.2.4,IIA 8.2.1.3
- 2011, XDE-729 Acid: Acute Toxicity to the Rainbow Trout, Oncorhynchus mykiss, 2226550 Determined Under Static-Renewal Test Condition, DACO: 9.5.2.3,9.5.2.4, IIA 8.2.1.3
- 2226551 2011, X11449757: Acute Toxicity to the Rainbow Trout, Oncorhynchus mykiss, Determined Under Static-Renewal Test Conditions, DACO: 9.5.2.3,9.5.2.4, IIA 8.2.1.3
- 2012, X11449757: Early Life-Stage Toxicity Test with the Fathead Minnow, 2226552 Pimephales promelas, Under Flow-Through Conditions, DACO: 9.5.3.1, IIA 8.2.4
- 2011, XDE-729 Methyl: Early Life-Stage Toxicity Test with the Fathead Minnow, 2226554 Pimephales promelas, Under Flow-Through Test Conditions, DACO: 9.5.3.1, IIA 8.2.4

PMRA Reference Document Number 2011, XDE-729 Acid: Early Life-Stage Toxicity Test with the Fathead Minnow, 2226555 Pimephales promelas, Under Flow-Through Conditions, DACO: 9.5.3.1, IIA 8.2.4 2011, XDE-729 Methyl: Bioconcentration and Metabolism Study with Bluegill, 2226556 Lepomis macrochirus, DACO: 9.5.6, IIA 8.2.6.1 2012, X11406790 (XDE-729 Metabolite): Acute Toxicity to the Cladoceran, 2226557 Daphnia magna, Determined Under Static Test Conditions, DACO: 9.3.2, IIA 8.3.1.1 2226558 2011, XDE-729 Methyl: Acute Toxicity to the Water Flea, Daphnia magna, Determined Under Static Test Conditions, DACO: 9.3.2, IIA 8.3.1.1 2011, XDE-729 Acid: Acute Toxicity to the Water Flea, Daphnia magna, 2226559 Determined Under Static-Renewal Test Conditions, DACO: 9.3.2, IIA 8.3.1.1 2011, X11449757: Acute Toxicity to the Water Flea, Daphnia magna, Determined 2226560 Under Static-Renewal Test Conditions, DACO: 9.3.2, IIA 8.3.1.1 2011, XDE-729 Acid: Chronic Toxicity Test with the Water Flea, *Daphnia magna*, 2226561 Exposed Under Static-Renewal Conditions, DACO: 9.3.3, IIA 8.3.2.1 2226562 2011, XDE-729 Methyl: Chronic Toxicity with the Water Flea, Daphnia magna, Exposed Under Static-Renewal Test Conditions, DACO: 9.3.3, IIA 8.3.2.1 2011, XDE-729 Methyl: Chronic Toxicity in Whole Sediment to Freshwater Midge, 2226563 Chironomus riparius, DACO: 9.3.4, IIA 8.3.2.2 2012, X11406790: Growth Inhibition Test with the Unicellular Green Alga, 2226564 Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata, DACO: 9.8.2,9.8.3, IIA 8.4 2011, XDE-729 Acid: Static Growth Inhibition Test with the Marine Diatom, 2226566 Skeletonema costatum, DACO: 9.8.2,9.8.3, IIA 8.4 2011, XDE-729 Acid: Growth Inhibition Test with the Blue-Green Alga, Anabaena 2226567 flos-aquae, DACO: 9.8.2,9.8.3, IIA 8.4 2226568 2011, XDE-729 Acid: Growth Inhibition Test with the Freshwater Diatom, Navicula pelliculosa, DACO: 9.8.2,9.8.3, IIA 8.4 2011, XDE-729 Acid: Growth Inhibition Test with the Unicellular Green Alga, 2226569 Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata, DACO: 9.8.2,9.8.3, IIA 8.4 2011, Testing of Effects of XDE-729 Methyl on the Single Cell Green Alga 2226570 Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata in a 96 h static test., DACO: 9.8.2,9.8.3, IIA 8.4 2011, XDE-729 Methyl: Growth Inhibition Test with the Freshwater Diatom, 2226571 Navicula pelliculosa, DACO: 9.8.2,9.8.3, IIA 8.4 2011, Testing of Effects of XDE-729 Methyl on the Blue-Green Alga, Anabaena 2226572 flos-aquae, in a 96 h Static Test, DACO: 9.8.2,9.8.3, IIA 8.4 2011, XDE-729 Methyl: Static Growth Inhibition Test with the Marine Diatom, 2226573 Skeletonema costatum, DACO: 9.8.2,9.8.3, IIA 8.4 2226574 2011, X11449757: Growth Inhibition Test with the Unicellular Green Alga, Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata, DACO: 9.8.2,9.8.3, IIA 8.4 2011, XDE-729 Methyl: Whole Sediment 10 Day Acute Toxicity Test with Midge 2226575 Larvae (Chironomus dilutus), DACO: 9.9, IIA 8.5.1

Document	
Number	
2226576	2012, X11406790: Gr
	Water/Sediment Syste
2226577	2012, X11449757: Gr
	TTT / / / / / / / /

2226576	2012, X11406790: Growth Inhibition of Myriophyllum spicatum in a
	Water/Sediment System., DACO: 9.8.5, IIA 8.6

- 2, X11449757: Growth Inhibition of *Myriophyllum spicatum* in a Water/Sediment System., DACO: 9.8.5, IIA 8.6
- 2012, XDE-729 Acid: Growth Inhibition of Myriophyllum spicatum in a 2226578 Water/Sediment System., DACO: 9.8.5, IIA 8.6
- 2012, XDE-729 Methyl Growth Inhibition of *Myriophyllum spicatum* in a 2226579 Water/Sediment System, DACO: 9.8.5, IIA 8.6
- 2012, X11406790: Growth Inhibition Test with the Freshwater Aquatic Plant, 2226580 Duckweed, Lemna gibba, DACO: 9.8.5, IIA 8.6
- 2226581 2011, X11449757: Growth Inhibition Test with the Freshwater Aquatic Plant, Duckweed, Lemna gibba, DACO: 9.8.5, IIA 8.6
- 2011, XDE-729 Methyl: Growth Inhibition Test with the Freshwater Aquatic Plant, 2226582 Duckweed, Lemna gibba, DACO: 9.8.5, IIA 8.6
- 2226583 2011, XDE-729 Acid: Growth Inhibition Test with the Freshwater Aquatic Plant, Duckweed, Lemna gibba, DACO: 9.8.5, IIA 8.6
- 2011, Effects of XDE-729 Methyl (Acute Contact and Oral) on Honey Bees (Apis 2226584 mellifera L.) in the Laboratory, DACO: 9.2.4.1,9.2.4.2, IIA 8.7.1, IIA 8.7.2
- 2011, XDE-729 Methyl: Inhibition of Reproduction of Collembola, Folsomia 2226585 candida in Artificial Soil, DACO: 9.2.7, IIA 8.8.2.5
- 2011. Effects of XDE-729 Methyl on Reproduction of the predatory mite *Hypoaspis* 2226586 aculeifer in Artificial Soil with 5% Peat, DACO: 9.2.7, IIA 8.8.2.5
- 2011, XDE-729 Methyl: Acute Toxicity (14 days) of XDE-729 Methyl to the 2226588 Earthworm Eisenia fetida in Artificial Soil with 5% Peat, DACO: 9.2.3.1, IIA 8.9.1
- 2010, XDE-729 Acid: Acute Toxicity (14 Days) of XDE-729 Acid to the Earthworm 2226589 Eisenia fetida in Artificial Soil with 5% Peat, DACO: 9.2.3.1, IIA 8.9.1
- 2226590 2010, Acute Toxicity (14 Days) of X11449757 (metabolite of XDE-729) of the Earthworm Eisenia fetida in Artificial Soil with 5% Peat, DACO: 9.2.3.1, IIA 8.9.1
- 2011, XDE-729 Methyl: Life-Cycle Toxicity Test of the Saltwater Mysid, 2226591 Americamysis bahia, Conducted under Flow-Through Conditions, DACO: 9.4.2,9.4.3,9.4.4,IIA 8.11.1
- 2011, XDE-729 Methyl: Acute Toxicity to the Sheepshead Minnow, Cyprinodon 2226592 variegatus, Determined Under Flow-Through Conditions, DACO: 9.4.2,9.4.3,9.4.4,IIA 8.11.1
- 2011, XDE-729 Methyl: Acute Toxicity Test with the Mysid Shrimp, Americanysis 2226593 bahia, Determined Under Flow-Through Conditions, DACO: 9.4.2,9.4.3,9.4.4, IIA 8.11.1
- 2226594 2011, XDE-729 Methyl: Effect on New Shell Growth of the Eastern Oyster (Crassostrea virginica), DACO: 9.4.2,9.4.3,9.4.4, IIA 8.11.1

PMRA	Reference
Document	
Number	
2226595	2012, XDE-729 Methyl Early Life Stage Toxicity Test with the Sheepshead Minnow, <i>Cyprinodon variegatus</i> , Under Flow Through Conditions, DACO: 9.4.2,9.4.3,9.4.4,IIA 8.11.1
2226596	2011, XDE-729 Methyl: Whole sediment acute toxicity to a marine amphipod (<i>Leptocheirus plumulosis</i>), DACO: 9.4.2,9.4.3,9.4.4,IIA 8.11.1
2226597	2012, GF-2685: Effects on the Vegetative Vigor of Non-Target Terrestrial Plants (Tier II), DACO: 9.8.4, IIA 8.12
2226598	2011, Evaluation of the Phytotoxicity of the XDE-729 acid GLP Seedling Emergence and Seedling Growth Test Terrestrial Non Target Plants (Based on OECD Guideline 208) - Europe 2011, DACO: 9.8.4,IIA 8.12
2226599, 2226193	2012, GF-2685: Effects on the Seedling Emergence and Growth of Non-Target Terrestrial Plants (Tier II), DACO: 9.8.4,IIA 8.12
2226602	2011, Evaluation of the Phytotoxicity of the XDE-729 M-757 metabolite GLP Seedling Emergence and Seedling Growth Test Terrestrial Non Target Plants (Based on OECD Guideline 208) - Europe 2011, DACO: 9.8.4, IIA 8.12
2226603	2012, XDE-729 Acid: Fish Short-Term Reproduction Assay with the Fathead Minnow (<i>Pimephales promelas</i>), DACO: 9.3.4,9.6.6,9.9,IIA 8.16.1
2226604	2012, XDE-729 Methyl: Amphibian Metamorphosis Assay for the Detection of Thyroid Active Substances, DACO: 9.3.4,9.6.6,9.9,IIA 8.16.1
2226605	2012, XDE-729 Methyl: Fish Short-Term Reproduction Assay with the Fathead Minnow (<i>Pimephales promelas</i>), DACO: 9.3.4,9.6.6,9.9,IIA 8.16.1
2226606	2012, XDE-729 Methyl: Acute toxicity to the Tadpole (<i>Xenopus laevis</i>) determined under flow through test conditions, DACO: 9.3.4,9.6.6,9.9,IIA 8.16.1
2226607	2012, XDE-729 Methyl Document M-II (Tier 2) Section 1 Identity of Active Substance, DACO: 12.7,Document M
2226613	2012, OECD XDE-729 Methyl Document N, DACO: 12.7, Document N
2266231	2012, Amended Final Report: Dissipation of XDE-729 in Soil under Cropped and Bare Soil Conditions at Multiple Sites Across North America, DACO: 8.3.2,IIA 7.3.1
2279878	2012, Effects of GF-2685 on the Parasitoid <i>Aphidius rhopalosiphi</i> in the Laboratory (Tier I) Dose Response Test -, DACO: 9.2.8,IIIA 10.5.1
2279879	2012, Effects of GF-2685 on the on the Predatory Mite <i>Typhlodromus pyri</i> in the Laboratory (Tier I) - Dose Response Test -, DACO: 9.2.8,IIIA 10.5.1
2279883	2012, GF-2685:Growth Inhibition Test with the Unicellular Green Alga, <i>Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata</i> , DACO: 9.8.2,9.8.3,IIIA 10.2.2.3
2279884	2012, GF-2685: Growth Inhibition Test with the Freshwater Aquatic Plant, Duckweed, <i>Lemna gibba</i> , DACO: 9.8.6,9.8.7,IIIA 10.8.2.1
2279885	2012, GF-2573 Growth Inhibition of <i>Myriophyllim spicatum</i> in a Water/Sediment System, DACO: 9.8.6,9.8.7,IIIA 10.8.2.1
2279893	2013, OECD Document MIII Sec 6 Ecotox for GF-2687 - Revised, DACO: 12.7, Document M

PMRA Document Number	Reference
2279894	2012, GF-2687:Growth Inhibition Test with the Unicellular Green Alga, <i>Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata</i> , DACO: 9.8.2,9.8.3,IIIA 10.2.2.3
2279895	2012, GF-2687: Growth Inhibition Test with the Freshwater Aquatic Plant, Duckweed, <i>Lemna gibba</i> , DACO: 9.8.6,9.8.7,IIIA 10.8.2.1
2279896	2012, GF-2687 Growth Inhibition of <i>Myriophyllim spicatum</i> in a Water/Sediment System, DACO: 9.8.6,9.8.7,IIIA 10.8.2.1
2279897	2012, Evaluation of the Phytotoxicity of GF-2687 (XDE-729-methyl, 200 g a.e./kg + florasulam, 200 g as/kg, WG) GLP Vegetative Vigour Test Terrestrial Non Target Plants (Based on OECD Guideline 227) - Australia, 2012, DACO: 9.8.6,IIIA 10.8.1.2
2279898	2012, Evaluation of the Phytotoxicity of GF-2687 (XDE-729-methyl, 200 g a.e./kg + florasulam, 200 g as/kg, WG) GLP Seedling Emergence and Seedling Growth Test Terrestrial Non Target Plants (Based on OECD Guideline 208) - Australia, 2012., DACO: 9.8.6,IIIA 10.8.1.3
2279911	2012, XDE-729 Methyl: Photostability Under Test Conditions - Non-GLP Summary-SAGE No. 2012024, DACO: 8.2.3.3,8.2.3.3.2,IIA 2.9.2,IIA 2.9.3,IIA 2.9.4,IIA 7.6 CBI
2279930	2012, Determination of Photolysis Reaction of XDE-729 Methyl under Test Conditions used in a <i>Myriophyllum</i> Study (511-02965), DACO: 8.2.3.4.2,IIA 7.1.1,IIA 7.2.1,IIA 7.2.3
2279931	2012, XDE-729 Methyl: 21-Day Reproduction Test with the Fathead Minnow (<i>Pimephales promelas</i>), DACO: 9.3.4,9.6.6,9.9,IIA 8.16.1
2279932	2011, Effects of XDE-729 Acid on Reproduction of the Predatory Mite, <i>Hypoaspis aculeifer</i> , in Artificial Soil with 5% Peat, DACO: 9.2.7,IIA 8.8.2.5
2279933	2011, Effects of X11449757 (metabolite of XDE-729) on Reproduction of the Predatory Mite <i>Hypoaspis aculeifer</i> in Artificial Soil with 5% Peat, DACO: 9.2.7,IIA 8.8.2.5
2279934	2011, Effects of XDE-729 Acid on Reproduction of the Collembola <i>Folsomia candida</i> in Artificial Soil with 5% Peat, DACO: 9.2.7,IIA 8.8.2.5
2279935	2011, X11449757: Inhibition of Reproduction of Collembola, <i>Folsomia candida</i> , in Artificial Soil, DACO: 9.2.7, IIA 8.8.2.5
2363994	2012, GF-2685: Effects on the Seedling Emergence and Growth of Non-Target Terrestrial Plants (Tier II), DACO: 9.8.4

4.0 Value

 PMRA
 Reference

 Document
 Reference

 Number
 2225470

 2012, DAS Cover Letter, XDE-729, GF-2685, DACO: 0.9

 2225472
 2012, TM1 IIIA6.1.2 GF-2685+Achieve Eff Summary Table v3, DACO: 10.2.3.2

 2225474
 2012, TM2 IIIA6.1.2 GF-2685+Axial Eff Summary Table v2, DACO: 10.2.3.2

Document

Number	
2225476	2012, TM3 IIIA6.1.2 GF-2685+Everest Eff Summary Table v2, DACO: 10.2.3.2
2225478	2012, TM4 IIIA6.1.2 GF-2685+Horizon Eff Summary Table v2, DACO: 10.2.3.2
2225479	2012, TM5 IIIA6.1.2 GF-2685+Puma Eff Summary Table v3, DACO: 10.2.3.2
2225480	2012, TM6 IIIA6.1.2 GF-2685+Refine SG Eff Summary Table v3, DACO: 10.2.3.2
2225481	2012, TM7 IIIA6.1.2 GF-2685+Traxos Eff Summary Table v2, DACO: 10.2.3.2
2225482	2012, TM1 IIIA6.2.1 GF-2685+Achieve Phyto Summary Table v3, DACO: 10.3.3
2225483	2012, TM2 IIIA6.2.1 GF-2685+Axial Phyto Summary Table v2, DACO: 10.3.3
2225485	2012, TM3 IIIA6.2.1 GF-2685+Everest Phyto Summary Table v2, DACO: 10.3.3
2225486	2012, TM4 IIIA6.2.1 GF-2685+Horizon Phyto Summary Table v2, DACO: 10.3.3
2225487	2012, TM5 IIIA6.2.1 GF-2685+Puma Phyto Summary Table v3, DACO: 10.3.3
2225488	2012, TM6 IIIA6.2.1 GF-2685+Refine SG Phyto Summary Table v5, DACO: 10.3.3
2225489	2012, TM7 IIIA6.2.1 GF-2685+Traxos Phyto Summary Table v2, DACO: 10.3.3
2225490	2012, IIIA6.1.2 GF-2685 Eff Summary Table v11, DACO: 10.2.3.2
2225491	2012, IIIA6.1.4 6.2.1 GF-2685 Phyto Yield Summary Table v10, DACO: 10.3.3
2225492	2012, IIIA6.2.6 GF-2685 Succeeding Crops Phyto Summary Table v3, DACO:
	10.3.4
2226149	2012, Master Trial Reports GF-2685 Alone, DACO: 10.2.3.3, IIIA 6.1.3
2226151	2012, IIIA6.1.4 6.2.1 GF-2685 Phyto Yield Summary v7, DACO: 10.3.2, IIIA
	6.1.4.2
2226155	2012, IIIA6.1.2_6.1.4_6.2.1_6.2.6 GF-2685 Master Trial Reports, DACO:
	10.2.3.3,IIIA 6.1.3
2226177	2012, IIIA6.5-3 Other Studies DACO10.2.2 Desc of Pest Problem, DACO:
	10.6,IIIA 6.6
2258977	2012, GF-2685 Efficacy Summary v13 (69 Trials), DACO: 10.2.3.3(B)
2258978	2012, GF-2685 TM Achieve Efficacy Summary v3, DACO: 10.2.3.3(B)
2258979	2012, GF-2685 TM Axial Efficacy Summary v3, DACO: 10.2.3.3(B)
2258980	2012, GF-2685 TM Everest Efficacy Summary v2, DACO: 10.2.3.3(B)
2258981	2012, GF-2685 TM Horizon Efficacy Summary v3, DACO: 10.2.3.3(B)
2258982	2012, GF-2685 TM Puma Efficacy Summary v2, DACO: 10.2.3.3(B)
2258983	2012, GF-2685 TM Refine SG Efficacy Summary v6, DACO: 10.2.3.3(B)
2258984	2012, GF-2685 TM Traxos Efficacy Summary v2, DACO: 10.2.3.3(B)
2258985	2012, GF-2685 NSAE Summary v7, DACO: 10.3.2(A)
2258987	2012, GF-2685 TM Achieve NSAE Summary v4, DACO: 10.3.2(A)
2258988	2012, GF-2685 TM Axial NSAE Summary v3, DACO: 10.3.2(A)
2258989	2012, GF-2685 TM Everest NSAE Summary v2, DACO: 10.3.2(A)
2258990	2012, GF-2685 TM Horizon NSAE Summary v4, DACO: 10.3.2(A)
2258991	2012, GF-2685 TM Puma Super NSAE Summary v2, DACO: 10.3.2(A)
2258992	2012, GF-2685 TM Refine SG NSAE Summary v4, DACO: 10.3.2(A)
2258993	2012, GF-2685 TM Traxos NSAE Summary v2, DACO: 10.3.2(A)
2258994	2012, XDE-729 Rotational NSAE v7, DACO: 10.3.4
2298736	2012, Rainfastness XDE-729 formulations, GF-2685 or GF-2687 in combination
	with Turbocharge and GF-2688 compared to a commercial formula, DACO:
	10.6,IIIA 6.5
2225446	2012, DAS Cover Letter, GF-2687, DACO: 0.8
2225447	2012, TM1 IIIA6.1.2 GF-2687+Axial Eff Summary v5, DACO: 10.2.3.1

Do	cument
ът	

Number	
2225448	2012, TM1 IIIA6.2.1 GF-2687+Axial Phyto Summary Table v3, DACO: 10.3.2
2225449	2012, TM2 IIIA6.1.2 GF-2687+Curtail M Eff Summary Table v4, DACO: 10.2.3.1
2225451	2012, TM2 IIIA6.2.1 GF-2687+Curtail M Phyto Summary Table v4, DACO: 10.3.2
2225452	2012, TM3 IIIA6.1.2 GF-2687+Everest Eff Summary Table v2, DACO: 10.2.3.1
2225454	2012, TM3 IIIA6.2.1 GF-2687+Everest Phyto Summary Table v2, DACO: 10.3.2
2225455	2012, TM4 IIIA6.1.2 GF-2687+MCPA Eff Summary Table v4, DACO: 10.2.3.1
2225456	2012, TM4 IIIA6.2.1 GF-2687+MCPA Phyto Summary Table v4, DACO: 10.3.2
2225458	2012, TM5 IIIA6.2.1 GF-2687+Simplicity Phyto Summary Table v3, DACO: 10.3.2
2225459	2012, TM5 IIIA6.2.1 GF-2687+Simplicity Phyto Summary Table v310.3.2, DACO:
	10.3.2
2225460	2012, IIIA6.1.2 GF-2687 Eff Summary Table v11, DACO: 10.2.3.1
2225461	2012, IIIA6.1.4 6.2.1 GF-2687 Phyto Yield Summary v15, DACO: 10.3.2
2226234	2012, IIIA6.1.2 6.1.4 6.2.1 GF-2687 Master Trial Report, DACO: 10.2.3.3, IIIA
	6.1.2
2226240	2012, IIIA6.1.2 GF-2687 Eff Summary, DACO: 10.2.3.3, IIIA 6.1.2
2229678	2012, Document M-III (Tier 2) SECTION 7: Efficacy Data and Information Canada,
	DACO: 12.7,Document M
2259004	2012, GF-2687 Efficacy: GF-2687 Eff Summary v8 (30 Trials), DACO: 10.2.3.3(B)
2259006	2012, GF-2687 Efficacy: GF-2687+Axial Eff Summary v3, DACO: 10.2.3.3(B)
2259008	2012, GF-2687 Efficacy: GF-2687+Curtail M Eff Summary v4, DACO: 10.2.3.3(B)
2259010	2012, GF-2687 Efficacy: GF-2687+Everest Eff Summary v3, DACO: 10.2.3.3(B)
2259011	2012, GF-2687 Efficacy: GF-2687+MCPA Eff Summary v7, DACO: 10.2.3.3(B)
2259012	2012, GF-2687 Efficacy: GF-2687+Simplicity Eff Summary v3, DACO: 10.2.3.3(B)
2259013	2012, GF-2687 Phyto Summary v7 (42 Trials), DACO: 10.3.2(A)
2259014	2012, GF-2687+Axial Phyto Summary v3, DACO: 10.3.2(A)
2259015	2012, GF-2687+Curtail Phyto Summary v4, DACO: 10.3.2(A)
2259016	2012, GF-2687+Everest Phyto Summary v3, DACO: 10.3.2(A)
2259017	2012, GF-2687+MCPA Phyto Summary v4, DACO: 10.3.2(A)
2259018	2012, GF-2687+Symplicity Phyto Summary v3, DACO: 10.3.2(A)
2225392	2012, DAS Cover Letter, GF-2688, DACO: 0.8
2225393	2012, IIIA6.1.2 GF-2688 Eff Summary Table, DACO: 10.2.3.1
2225394	2012, IIIA6.1.4_6.2.1 GF-2688 Phyto Summary Table v1, DACO: 10.3.2
2225395	2012, IIIA6.1.2 GF-2688+Achieve Eff Summary Table v1, DACO: 10.2.3.1
2225396	2012, IIIA6.1.2 GF-2688+Axial Eff Summary Table v3, DACO: 10.2.3.1
2225397	2012, IIIA6.1.2 GF-2688+Curtail M Eff Summary Table v1, DACO: 10.2.3.1
2225398	2012, IIIA6.1.2 GF-2688+Everest Eff Summary Table v1, DACO: 10.2.3.1
2225399	2012, IIIA6.1.2 GF-2688+Horizon Eff Summary Table v1, DACO: 10.2.3.1
2225400	2012, IIIA6.1.2 GF-2688+MCPA Eff Summary Table v1, DACO: 10.2.3.1
2225401	2012, IIIA6.1.2 GF-2688+Puma Eff Summary Table v1, DACO: 10.2.3.1
2225402	2012, IIIA6.1.2 GF-2688+Traxos Eff Summary Table v1, DACO: 10.2.3.1
2225403	2012, IIIA6.2.1 GF-2688+Achieve Phyto Summary Table v1, DACO: 10.3.2
2225404	2012, IIIA6.2.1 GF-2688+Axial Phyto Summary Table v1, DACO: 10.3.2
2225405	2012, IIIA6.2.1 GF-2688+Curtail M Phyto Summary Table v1, DACO: 10.3.2
2225406	2012, IIIA6.2.1 GF-2688+Everest Phyto Summary Table v1, DACO: 10.3.2
2225407	2012, IIIA6.2.1 GF-2688+Horizon Phyto Summary Table v1, DACO: 10.3.2

IWINA	Kelefence
Document	
Number	
2225408	2012, IIIA6.2.1 GF-2688+MCPA Phyto Summary Table v1, DACO: 10.3.2
2225409	2012, IIIA6.2.1 GF-2688+Puma Phyto Summary Table v1, DACO: 10.3.2
2225410	2012, IIIA6.2.1 GF-2688+Traxos Phyto Summary Table v1, DACO: 10.3.2
2226293	2012, IIIA 6.2.1_6.1.4_6.2.1 Master Trial Reports, DACO: 10.2.3.3, IIIA 6.1.2 CBI
2229691	2012, Document M-III (Tier 2) SECTION 7: Efficacy Data and Information Canada,
	DACO: 12.7,Document M
2259035	2012, Efficacy: GF-2688 Efficacy Summary v3 (44 Trials), DACO: 10.2.3.3(B)
2259036	2012, Efficacy: GF-2688+Everest Eff Summary v3, DACO: 10.2.3.3(B)
2259037	2012, Efficacy: GF-2688+Puma Eff Summary v3, DACO: 10.2.3.3(B)
2259038	2012, Efficacy: GF-2688+Achieve Eff Summary v3, DACO: 10.2.3.3(B)
2259039	2012, Efficacy: GF-2688+Axial Eff Summary v2, DACO: 10.2.3.3(B)
2259040	2012, Efficacy: GF-2688+Curtail M Eff Summary v3, DACO: 10.2.3.3(B)
2259041	2012, Efficacy: GF-2688+Horizon M Eff Summary v3, DACO: 10.2.3.3(B)
2259042	2012, Efficacy: GF-2688+MCPA Eff Summary v3, DACO: 10.2.3.3(B)
2259043	2012, Efficacy: GF-2688+Traxos Eff Summary v3, DACO: 10.2.3.3(B)
2259044	2012, NSAE: GF-2688 Phyto Summary v3 (45 Trials), DACO: 10.3.2(A)
2259045	2012, NSAE: GF-2688 Achieve Phyto Summary v2, DACO: 10.3.2(A)
2259046	2012, NSAE: GF-2688 Axial Phyto Summary v2, DACO: 10.3.2(A)
2259047	2012, NSAE: GF-2688 Curtail M Phyto Summary v2, DACO: 10.3.2(A)
2259048	2012, NSAE: GF-2688 Everest Phyto Summary v2, DACO: 10.3.2(A)
2259049	2012, NSAE: GF-2688 Horizon Phyto Summary v2, DACO: 10.3.2(A)
2259050	2012, NSAE: GF-2688 MCPA Phyto Summary v2, DACO: 10.3.2(A)
2259051	2012, NSAE: GF-2688 Puma Phyto Summary v2, DACO: 10.3.2(A)
2259052	2012, NSAE: GF-2688 Traxos Phyto Summary v2, DACO: 10.3.2(A)
2279902	2012, OECD Document J for GF-2688, DACO: 0.8.11,0.8.12, Document J
2280324	2013, 130211 Cover Letter-Response to Completeness Check Deficiencies , DACO:
	0.8 (OECD)
2306254	2013, 130509 CL-DAS Response to PMRA Request for Additional Data on GF-
	2688, Sub No. 2012-3873, DACO: 0.8 (OECD)
2306255	2011, Cage-side observation data - GF-2688 LLNA, DACO: 4.6.6, IIIA 7.1.6