



# Health and Well-Being of Canadian Armed Forces Veterans: Findings from the 2013 Life After Service **Survey -- Executive Summary**

Research Directorate, Veterans Affairs Canada, Charlottetown, PE:

Jim Thompson MD CCFP(EM) FCFP, Medical Advisor Linda Van Til DVM MSc, Epidemiologist **Alain Poirier**, Senior Statistics Officer Jill Sweet MSc, Statistician Kristofer McKinnon, Statistics Officer David Pedlar PhD, Director

Research, Personnel & Family Support, Director General Military Personnel Research & Analysis, Department of National Defence, Ottawa, ON:

Kerry Sudom PhD, Defence Scientist Sanela Dursun PhD, Director

03 July 2014

#### Citation:

Thompson JM, Van Til L, Poirier A, Sweet J, McKinnon K, Sudom K, Dursun S, Pedlar D. Health and Well-Being of Canadian Armed Forces Veterans: Findings from the 2013 Life After Service Survey. Charlottetown PE: Research Directorate, Veterans Affairs Canada. Research Directorate Technical Report. 03 July 2014.

E-mail: research-recherche@vac-acc.gc.ca



# Health and Well-Being of Canadian Armed Forces Veterans: Findings from the 2013 Life After Service Survey

# **Executive Summary**

#### **Contents**

Introduction	. 2
Methods	. 2
Importance of Age and Gender	. 3
Well-Being of Primary Reserve Force and Regular Force Veterans	
Comparison to the General Canadian Population	
Regular Force Veterans Released in 1998-2007: 2010 and 2013 Surveys	. 7
Regular Force Veterans Released in 2008-2012 versus 1998-2007	. 7
Veterans Receiving Services from VAC (VAC Clients)	
Interpretation Guidance	
Next Steps	. 9

#### Introduction

The first comprehensive survey of the health and well-being of Canadian Armed Forces (CAF) Veterans¹ was the 2010 *Survey on Transition to Civilian Life* (STCL 2010). STCL 2010 was one of two studies that together comprised the 2010 *Life After Service Studies* (LASS) program of research, the other being the 2010 LASS *Income Study*. LASS 2010 studied the health and well-being of former Regular Force personnel who had released from service during 1998-2007. Reserve Force Veterans were not included in the 2010 studies owing to limitations in the data available at the time. The CAF has increasingly drawn on Primary Reserve Force personnel to supplement the Regular Force since the 1990-91 first Gulf War including the missions in Bosnia and Afghanistan, so it is important to also study their health and well-being.

The 2013 program extended the 2010 studies by including Primary Reserve Force Veterans for the first time, and by including Regular Force Veterans who had released in 1998-2012. Two studies were conducted, as in 2010: the 2013 income study and the *Life After Service Survey* (LASS 2013). This report gives findings from the survey.

#### **Methods**

LASS 2013 was a computer-assisted telephone interview survey conducted by Statistics Canada for Veterans Affairs Canada (VAC) and the Department of National Defence (DND) in February-March 2013. The survey sampled former Primary Reserve Force personnel with Class A/B and C service<sup>2</sup> who released from service 01 January 2003 to 31 August 2012 and Regular Force personnel who released 01 January 1998 to 31 August 2012:

1. Reserve Force Class A/B. Veteran who was a Primary Reserve Force member with any Class B temporary full-time service in addition to Class A service, and no Regular Force service.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For this study, "Veteran" means a former CAF member with any length of service.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Former CAF personnel with only Class A service were not surveyed.

- Reserve Force Class C: Veteran who was a Primary Reserve Force member with Class C service, and also had Class A and Class B service and no Regular Force service. Class C service is full-time service in support of deployed operations, domestically or internationally.
- 3. *Regular Force*. Veteran who was a member of the Regular Force. Some in this category also had service in the Primary Reserve Force.

The sample consisted of Primary Reserve Force Class A/B (514 sampled, 60% response rate, 93% share rate) and Class C Veterans (1,013 sampled, 70% response rate, 91% agreed to share data with VAC and DND), and Regular Force Veterans (2,611 sampled, 72% response rate, 89% share rate). The samples are considered representative of CAF Primary Reserve Force Class A/B and Class C and Regular Force Veterans living in the general Canadian population who were released during those time periods.

#### Importance of Age and Gender

Reserve Class A/B Veterans who released in 2003-12 were younger (average age 31 years, range 18-67) than Reserve Class C Veterans who released in 2003-12 (40 years, range 20-67). They in turn were younger than Regular Force Veterans who released in 1998-2012 (44 years, range 18-78). There were also differences in the proportions of women: 19% for Reserve Class A/B, 23% for Reserve Class C and 13% for Regular Force Veterans. These differences in age and gender are important to consider when comparing prevalences across the three Veteran groups. For example, physical health conditions are more prevalent with age, and mental health conditions are more prevalent in adult middle years.

#### **Well-Being of Primary Reserve Force and Regular Force Veterans**

The following table paints pictures of the health, disability, stress/satisfaction and determinants of health status of the three Veteran groups. Statistical analysis that accounts for confounding (differences in age, sex and other factors) is required to confirm differences and similarities, and to identify reasons for differences between the groups.

- Class A/B Primary Reserve Veterans were the youngest on average and in general had the best health and well-being. Very few were participating in VAC programs.
- Class C Primary Reserve Veterans looked more similar to Regular Force Veterans than Class A/B Veterans. Most were doing well, but they were older on average than Class A/B Veterans and were experiencing problems more often.
- Regular Force Veterans in LASS 2013 were very similar to the picture in STCL 2010: most Regular Force Veterans who released in 1998-2007 were doing well, but of the three groups they had the highest prevalences of health and well-being problems.

Characteristic or	Reserve Class A/B	Reserve Class C	Regular Force
Indicator <sup>3</sup>	Released 2003-2012	Released 2003-2012	Released 1998-2012
Participation in	F*	17%	35%
VAC programs			
Mean Age	31 years, Range 18-67	40 years, Range 20-67	44 years, Range 18-78
Women (CI)	19% (15-22%)	23% (21-26%)	13% (12-15%)
Marital status	56% married or commonlaw	72% married or commonlaw	74% married or commonlaw
	39% single or never married	22% single or never married	16% single or never married
	F* for widowed, separated or	6% widowed, separated or	10% widowed, separated or
	divorced	divorced.	divorced.
Educational	25% high school	26% high school	43% high school
attainment	39% post-secondary other	41% post-secondary other	36% post-secondary other
	than university degree	than university degree	than university degree
	35% university degree.	30% university degree.	17% university degree.
Unemployment	6% (4-9%)	5% (4-7%)	7% (6-8%)
rate (CI) Labour force	84% employed	80% employed	71% employed
participation, 2013	10% not in the workforce	13% not in the workforce	19% not in the workforce
participation, 2010	1070 Hot III the Worklord	1070 Hot III are Worklores	4% unable to work
Main activity in	77% working at a job or	77% working at a job or	69% working at a job or
the past year	business	business	business
ino paot you.	14% in school or training	6% in school or training	5% in school or training
	1 170 m concer or training	5% disabled or on disability	6% disabled or on disability
		6% retired	12% retired
Low income (CI)	12% (9-15%)	8% (6-10%)	8% (6-9%)
Length of service	21% <2 years	F* <2 years	21% <2 years
	66% 2-9 years	41% 2-9 years	20% 2-9 years
	10% 10-19 years	36% 10-19 years	12% 10-19 years
	F* > 20 years	22% > 20 years	48% > 20 years
Release type (CI)	76% (72-80%) voluntary	65% (61-68%) voluntary	52% (50-55%) voluntary
71. (- )	16% (12-20%) involuntary <sup>4</sup>	10% (8-12%) involuntary	7% (5-8%) involuntary
	F* for other types, including	13% (11-15%) medical release	21% (19-23%) medical release
	medical release	8% (6-10%) service complete	16% (14-17%) service complete
		5% (4-7%) retirement age	5% (4-5%) retirement age
Rank at release	F* for senior officers and	17% officers	15% officers
	senior NCMs	20% senior NCMs	4% cadets
	7% junior officers	58% junior NCMs	25% senior NCMs
	33% junior non-commissioned	F* for number of cadets,	30% junior NCMs
	members (NCM)	privates and recruits	7% privates
	14% privates		18% recruits
	39% recruits.		
Enrolment era	16% 1990s and 77% 2000s,	Peak in the 1990s but spread	Broadly spread across all eras
	suggesting a high turnover rate	across all eras from the 1960s	from the 1950s
Release year	Little variation, ranged 6-12%	Slightly highest in 2011 (16%)	Ranged 4-10% by year across
	in the release period (2003-		the release period 1998-2012,
	2012)		peak in 2008
Service	83% Army	80% Army	54% Army
Environment	13% Navy	13% Navy	16% Navy
	F* for Air Force	7% Air Force	30% Air Force

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Appendix Table 1 for definitions.

<sup>4</sup> "Involuntary Release" includes misconduct dismissal, misconduct service, illegally absent, fraudulent enrollment, unsatisfactory conduct, unsatisfactory performance, not advantageously employed, death and transfer out.

Characteristic or	Reserve Class A/B	Reserve Class C	Regular Force
Indicator <sup>3</sup>	Released 2003-2012	Released 2003-2012	Released 1998-2012
Last military	59% combat arms	44% combat arms	26% combat arms
occupation	15% administration, logistics or	27% administration, logistics or	24% administration, logistics or
occupation	security	security	security
	10% maritime	11% communications, 8%	8% communications
	F* for the other 5 groups	maritime	14% aviation
	F for the other 5 groups	F* for the other 4 groups	
		F for the other 4 groups	12% maritime
			11% engineering/technical 5% medical
Adjustment to	74% (70-78%) easy	61% (58-64%) easy	56% (54-59%) easy
civilian life (CI)	11% (8-14%) difficult	24% (21-27%) difficult	27% (25-29%) difficult
Self-rated health	69% (65-73%) very	61% (58-64%) very	53% (50-55%) very
(CI)	good/excellent	good/excellent	good/excellent
,	7% (5-10%) fair/poor	13% (11-15%) fair/poor	18% (16-20%) fair/poor
Self-rated mental	74% (70-77%) very	67% (64-70%) very	62% (59-64%) very
health (CI)	good/excellent	good/excellent	good/excellent
, ,	6% (5-9%) fair/poor	11% (9-13%) fair/poor	16% (14-18%) fair/poor
1+ chronic	55% (50-60%)	68% (65-71%)	74% (72-76%)
physical health	,	,	,
condition <sup>5</sup> (CI)			
Chronic physical	17% (14-21%) Back problems	32% (29-35%) Back problems	35% (32-37%) Back problems
health conditions	6% (4-8%) Arthritis	16% (14-19%) Arthritis	22% (21-24%) Arthritis
(CI)	7% (5-10%) Cardiovascular	14% (12-17%) Cardiovascular	19% (18-21%) Cardiovascular
,	7% (5-10%) Gastrointestinal	9% (7-11%) Gastrointestinal	9% (7-10%) Gastrointestinal
	10% (7-13%) Migraine	7% (6-9%) Respiratory	7% (6-9%) Respiratory
	18% (15-22%) Obesity	11% (9-13%) Migraine	14% (13-16%) Migraine
	13% (10-16%) Chronic pain	3% (2-4%) Traumatic brain	3% (2-4%) Traumatic brain
	F* Others	injury (TBI) effects	injury (TBI) effects
		5% Diabetes	6% Diabetes
		24% (21-26%) Obesity	26% (24-28%) Obesity
		28% (25-31%) Chronic pain	2% (1-2%) Cancer
		,	3% Urinary incontinence
			34% (32-36%) Chronic pain
1+ chronic mental	9% (7-12%)	17% (15-20%)	24% (22-26%)
health condition <sup>6</sup>		,	,
(CI)			
Chronic mental	F*	12% (10-14%) Mood disorder	17% (15-19%) Mood disorder
health conditions		8% (6-10%) Anxiety disorder	11% (10-13%) Anxiety disorder
		8% (6-9%) Posttraumatic	13% (12-15%) Posttraumatic
		stress disorder (PTSD)	stress disorder (PTSD)
Likely mental	7% (5-9%) mild	8% (6-10%) mild	9% (7-10%) mild
disorders	F* moderate and severe	F* moderate	5% (4-6%) moderate
(K10) (CI)		6% (5-8%) severe	8% (6-9%) severe
Both physical and	F*	16% (14-18%)	22% (20-24%)
mental health			
condition			
Past-year suicidal	F*	5% (4-7%)	7% (6-8%)
ideation			
SF-12 Health-	Physical 54.1 (53.5-54.8)	Physical 50.8 (50.1-51.4)	Physical 47.9 (47.4-48.5)
related quality of	Mental 52.6 (51.8-53.3)	Mental 51.1 (50.4-51.8)	Mental 51.3 (50.8-51.8)

\_\_\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Physical health condition = any one of musculoskeletal condition (arthritis or back problem), cardiovascular condition (heart disease, effects of stroke or high blood pressure), gastrointestinal condition (ulcer or bowel disorder), respiratory condition (asthma or COPD chronic obstructive pulmonary disease), central nervous system condition (migraine, dementia or effects of traumatic brain injury), urinary incontinence, diabetes, cancer, obesity, hearing problem or chronic pain/discomfort.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Mood disorder (including depression), anxiety disorder, posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD).

Characteristic or	Reserve Class A/B	Reserve Class C	Regular Force
Indicator <sup>3</sup>	Released 2003-2012	Released 2003-2012	Released 1998-2012
Reduction of	23% (19-27%)	40% (37-43%)	49% (47-52%)
activity in a major			
life domain (CI)			
Satisfied with life	94% (91-96%)	89% (87-91%)	86% (84-87%)
(CI)			
Stress on most	33% not at all/not very	29% not at all/not very	36% not at all/not very
days	17% quite a bit/extremely	26% quite a bit/extremely	23% quite a bit/extremely
Work stress past	29% not at all/not very	26% not at all/not very	33% not at all/not very
year	18% quite a bit/extremely	28% quite a bit/extremely	22% quite a bit/extremely
Daily smoking	10%	13%	17%
Heavy drinking	32%	28%	25%
Health insurance	83% prescription drugs	88% prescription drugs	92% prescription drugs
	75% dental insurance	78% dental insurance	87% dental insurance
	68% eye glasses	73% eye glasses	84% eye glasses
Regular medical	76% (71-79%)	78% (76-81%)	81% (79-83%)
doctor (CI)			
Home care paid by	F*	4% (3-5%)	7% (6-9%)
government			
Home care not	F*	9% (7-11%)	8% (7-9%)
paid by			
government			
Unmet need for	12% (9-15%)	16% (14-18%)	16% (14-18%)
health care past			
year (CI)			

CI – 95% confidence interval.

## **Comparison to the General Canadian Population**

Each of the groups were compared to the Canadian general population by adjusting the prevalences for the general population to fit each of the age-gender structures of the Veteran groups and using 95% confidence interval comparisons to assess statistical significance.

All three Veteran groups had similar rates of unemployment and lower rates of low income compared to the Canadian general population.

<u>Class A/B Reserve Force Veterans</u> were similar to Canadians with the same age-gender structure in the general population. They had higher prevalences than the general population for being married or common law and having high school and post-secondary education, and lower prevalences of having quite a bit/extreme life stress and daily smoking. They had similar prevalences to the general population for most health and well-being indicators, but had slightly higher prevalences of back problems and gastrointestinal conditions.

<u>Class C Reserve Force Veterans</u> were more like Regular Force Veterans than Canadians in the general population. They had lower prevalences of excellent/very good self-rated mental health and higher prevalences of arthritis, back problems, gastrointestinal problems, obesity, hearing problems, pain or discomfort, mood disorders, anxiety disorders, and activity limitations (both types). They were less often satisfied with life than Canadians in the general population.

Regular Force Veterans were least like the general Canadian population after adjusting the general population prevalences to match the age-gender structure of the Regular Force Veterans. They had lower prevalences of excellent/very good self-rated health and self-rated mental health and higher prevalences of arthritis, back problems, gastrointestinal problems,

<sup>\*</sup>F - Sample size too small for reliable estimate.

Proportions and prevalences not adjusted for differences in age, sex and other factors.

cardiovascular disorders, migraine, obesity, hearing problems, pain or discomfort, mood disorders, anxiety disorders, and activity limitations. They less often had a strong sense of community belonging and were less often satisfied with life than Canadians in the general population, but less often had quite a bit or extreme life stress.

#### Regular Force Veterans Released in 1998-2007: 2010 and 2013 Surveys

Both LASS surveys in 2013 and 2010 gave similar health and well-being pictures for Regular Force Veterans who released in 1998-2007. The mean age was 44 in 2010 and 47 in 2013, consistent with the 3-year span between surveys. The proportions of women (12%) and VAC clients (34%) were the same in both studies. Prevalences were not significantly different for most chronic health conditions (prevalences within 1-2% between the two surveys), anxiety disorders (10% in 2013 vs. 11% in 2010), PTSD (11% vs. 13%) and past-year suicidal ideation (6% in both). Prevalences of most other health and well-being indicators appeared to be approximately similar. In both studies, age and sex-adjusted statistical comparisons to the Canadian general population showed that Regular Force Veterans had poorer well-being in several areas.

#### Regular Force Veterans Released in 2008-2012 versus 1998-2007

Regular Force Veterans who released in 2008-2012 had similar notable prevalences of health conditions and disability compared to Regular Force Veterans who released in 1998-2007. The mean age of those released in 2008-12 was 39 years, younger than those who released in 1998-2007 (47 LASS 2013). They had similar proportions of women (13% in LASS 2013). They were slightly more often single/never married (27% vs. 10%) in keeping with their younger age. They had a similar prevalence of difficult adjustment to civilian life (29% vs. 26%). Fewer had musculoskeletal conditions (39% vs. 46%), attributable in part to their younger average age. Considering their younger average age they had notable prevalences of poor self-rated health, poor self-rated mental health, physical and mental health conditions and disability assessed as both reduction of activities in major life domains and as needing help with basic and instrumental activities of daily living.

#### **Veterans Receiving Services from VAC (VAC Clients)**

Just over a third of Regular Force Veterans were receiving services from VAC (35%) while a smaller proportion of Reserve Class C Veterans were VAC clients (17%). Very few Reserve Class A/B Veterans were VAC clients (3%<sup>7</sup>), consistent with their younger average age and lower prevalence rates of chronic health conditions. Of Class C and Regular Force Veterans, almost all who were VAC clients had one or more chronic physical health condition (95% and 92%) and half had a mental health condition (50% and 47%) almost always complicated by a comorbid chronic physical condition (49% and 44% had co-occurring mental and physical health conditions). Both Regular Force and Reserve Class C Veterans who were VAC clients had high prevalences of chronic health conditions, as described below. Less than 10% of non-clients had comorbidity of physical and mental health conditions, demonstrating that while Veterans with more complex health conditions are largely reached by VAC programs, some are not.

In general, Reserve Class C and Regular Force VAC clients were similar. Compared to non-clients, VAC clients:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Based on data linkage of the whole sampling frame, not the survey sample: too few Class A/B respondents in the survey sample (< 30) were VAC clients to calculate a reliable estimate.

Were older on average:

48 vs. 39 years for Reserve Class C and 48 vs. 41 years for Regular Force Veterans.

• More often had difficult adjustment to civilian life:

56% vs. 18% for Reserve Class C and 47% vs. 17% for Regular Force.

More often were unemployed:

15% vs. 6% for Reserve Class C and 11% vs. 7% for Regular Force Veterans.

• Were not more likely to have low income:

5% vs. 9% for Regular Force Veterans.

• Were more likely to have 20 or more years of service:

39% vs. 18% for Reserve Class C and 64% vs. 39% for Regular Force Veterans.

- Much more often had service career-limiting employment limitations (medical release):
   52% vs. 4% Reserve Class C and 49% vs 5% Regular Force .
- Much more often had chronic physical health conditions:

95% vs. 63% Reserve Class C and 92% vs 63% Regular Force

Much more often had mental health conditions:

50% vs. 10% Reserve Class C and 47% vs 11% Regular Force.

- Much more often had co-occurring (comorbid) physical and mental health conditions: 49% vs. 9% for Reserve Class C and 44% vs. 9% for Regular Force.
- Were experiencing lower physical and mental health-related quality of life using the SF-12 Short Form Health Survey:
  - Physical component score 39 vs. 53 for Reserve Class C and 39 vs. 53 for Regular Force Veterans.
  - Mental component score 45 vs. 52 for Reserve Class C and 47 vs. 54 for Regular Force Veterans.
- Much more often had disability measured as activity limitations:
  - Reduction in activity in a major life domain: 93% vs. 29% for Reserve Class C and 88% vs. 28% for Regular Force Veterans.
  - Needing assistance with at least one basic or instrumental activity of daily living:
     47% vs. 6% for Reserve Class C and 46% vs. 6% for Regular Force Veterans.
- More often had markers of stress, difficulty coping and satisfaction with life
  - Most days extremely/quite a bit stressful: 42% vs. 23% for Reserve Class C and 30% vs. 19% for Regular Force Veterans.
  - Work stress past year quite a bit/extreme: 39% vs. 27% for Reserve Class C and 28% vs. 20% for Regular Force Veterans.
- More often had a regular medical doctor:

90% vs. 76% for Reserve Class C and 87% vs. 77% for Regular Force Veterans.

## **Interpretation Guidance**

- Use caution comparing the Veteran groups. Statistical analysis that accounts for confounding (differences in factors such as age, sex etc.) is required to confirm differences and similarities, and to identify reasons for differences.
- LASS 2013 was a point-in-time, cross-sectional survey, therefore causal conclusions cannot be drawn from this study alone, including effects of military service or DND/CAF/VAC programs.

- Be cautious about drawing conclusions about the presence of "risk" and "protective" factors. Inferential statistical methodology is required to control for the joint effects of characteristics and indicators on each other (confounding), and because the survey was cross-sectional then conclusions cannot be made about causal relationships.
- Findings cannot be generalized to all Veterans because the survey included only those who released in 1998-2012 (Regular Force) and 2003-2012 (Reserve Force).

#### **Next Steps**

These descriptive findings provide valuable insights into the socioeconomics, military characteristics, health, disability and well-being of CAF Primary Reserve and Regular Force Veterans. Further analyses are being planned that will deepen understanding of the health and well-being of these Veterans to inform policies, programs and services supporting CAF personnel in transition to civilian life.