OUSING NOW

## Multi-family Decline Curtails New Home Construction

Calgary home builders started 18 per cent fewer units in February than they did 12 months earlier. Total housing starts in the Calgary Census Metropolitan Area (CMA) reached I,045 units in February, down from I,27I in February 2004. A healthy rebound in the single-family market could not compensate for a decline in multi-family activity.
After recording a 19 per cent drop in the first month of the year, single-family builders responded with an impressive February performance. A total of 617 single-family homes began construction in February, representing a 14 per cent jump from the previous year. For the second consecutive month, weather has influenced the pace of single-family construction. While the frigid temperatures in the first two weeks of the year wreaked havoc on January's single-family construction, the warm weather since that time has allowed builders to regain lost ground. February's single-family starts bring the year-to-date total to I,II2 units, four per cent fewer than the first two month of 2004. While the majority of centres comprising the CMA have recorded declines to-date, a few have reported strong increases. To the end of February, single-family starts in the

M.D. of Rockyview are up 94 per cent while Chestermere Lake has recorded a 19 per cent gain during the same period.
A total of 446 single-family units were absorbed in February, representing the weakest absorption performance in almost four years. While this may initially pose a concern for builders, it should be noted that the drop in absorptions was a function of weak completions. Only 443 units were completed in February, a process likely slowed by the early cold weather. As the number of absorptions passed those completed, single-family units in inventory fell from the previous month, albeit by three units. At the end of February, 738 single-family units were complete and unabsorbed, only one per cent more than the previous year. The increase can be attributed to show homes, which, at 461 units in February, sit eight per cent higher than the previous year.
The average absorbed single-family price set a new record for the Calgary CMA in February, reaching $\$ 332,131$. This far surpasses the previous record of $\$ 301,713$ set in December 2004. While prices have been increasing due to higher production costs, February's jump in average price can largely be attributed to a disproportionate number of high-priced absorptions. For example, 52 single-family homes were absorbed in the M.D of Rockyview at an average price of $\$ 524,148$.
Meanwhile, following an impressive gain in the first month of the year, February's multi-family construction recorded a steep decline. Multi-family starts, which include semi-detached, row, and apartment units, totalled 428 in February, down 41 per cent from the previous year. February's performance underscores the volatile nature of the multi-family market. After one month of activity, the multi-family market was up
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120 per cent from the previous year. With February's weaker performance, year-to-date construction has now recorded a 10 per cent decline. To the end of February, 807 multifamily units have begun construction, down from 901 a year earlier. Building permits point to softer activity in the next few months, as multi-family permits for last December and this January were 42 and 82 per cent lower than the previous year, respectively.
A total of 406 multi-family units were completed in February, representing the strongest completion total in three months. In the months ahead, it is likely completions will continue to escalate. At the end of February, a 22 -year high of 5,870 units were under construction, 30 per cent more than February 2004. Despite an increase in completions, the number of units absorbed was comparatively weaker at 359 units. As a result, multi-family inventories increased to 651 units, the highest total since February 2004 when 655 units sat empty. With the exception of February 2004, multi-family inventories this February were the highest since August 2001.

| Table IA <br> STARTS ACTIVITY BY AREA <br> CALGARY CMA - FEBRUARY 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Single |  | Multiple |  |  | Total |  | \% chg |
| Area | 2005 | 2004 | Semi | Row | Apt | 2005 | 2004 | $\begin{aligned} & 2005 / \\ & 2004 \end{aligned}$ |
| Airdrie | 19 | 28 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 27 | 54 | -50.00 |
| Beiseker | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ** |
| Calgary City | 534 | 475 | 22 | 80 | 304 | 940 | 1,167 | -19.45 |
| Chestermere Lake | 21 | 13 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 31 | 19 | 63.16 |
| Cochrane | 5 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 11 | -54.55 |
| Crossfield | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | ** |
| Irricana | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ** |
| Md Rockyview | 37 | 18 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 20 | ** |
| Total | 617 | 543 | 28 | 96 | 304 | 1,045 | 1,271 | -17.78 |


| Table IB <br> STARTS ACTIVITY BY AREA <br> CALGARY CMA - YEAR TO DATE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Single |  | Multiple |  |  | Total |  | \% chg |
| Area | 2005 | 2004 | Semi | Row | Apt | 2005 | 2004 | $\begin{aligned} & 2005 / \\ & 2004 \end{aligned}$ |
| Airdrie | 52 | 59 | 2 | 24 | 0 | 78 | 92 | -15.22 |
| Beiseker | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ** |
| Calgary City | 935 | 1,001 | 64 | 177 | 511 | 1,687 | 1,849 | -8.76 |
| Chestermere Lake | 44 | 37 | 2 | 13 | 0 | 59 | 49 | 20.41 |
| Cochrane | 10 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 22 | -54.55 |
| Crossfield | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | -50.00 |
| Irricana | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | -50.00 |
| Md Rockyview | 70 | 36 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 82 | 38 | ** |
| Total | 1,112 | 1,155 | 82 | 214 | 511 | 1,919 | 2,056 | -6.66 |

** indicates a greater than 100 per cent change

[^0]Table 2A
HOUSING COMPLETIONS BY AREA
CALGARY CMA - FEBRUARY 2005

|  | Single |  | Multiple |  |  | Total |  | \% chg |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area | 2005 | 2004 | Semi | Row | Apt | 2005 | 2004 | $\begin{gathered} 2005 / \\ 2004 \end{gathered}$ |
| Airdrie | 19 | 35 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 27 | 42 | -35.71 |
| Beiseker | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ** |
| Calgary City | 335 | 488 | 70 | 92 | 218 | 715 | 1,005 | -28.86 |
| Chestermere Lake | 23 | 14 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 22 | 22.73 |
| Cochrane | 11 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 6 | 83.33 |
| Crossfield | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | I | 0.00 |
| Irricana | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 0 | ** |
| Md Rockyview | 53 | 32 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 63 | 34 | 85.29 |
| Total | 443 | 576 | 86 | 102 | 218 | 849 | 1,110 | -23.51 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Single |  | Multiple |  |  | Total |  | \% chg |
| Area | 2005 | 2004 | Semi | Row | Apt | 2005 | 2004 | $\begin{aligned} & 2005 / \\ & 2004 \end{aligned}$ |
| Airdrie | 68 | 78 | 4 | 12 | 0 | 84 | 104 | -19.23 |
| Beiseker | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | -75.00 |
| Calgary City | 844 | 995 | 128 | 118 | 363 | 1,453 | 1,948 | -25.41 |
| Chestermere Lake | 62 | 48 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 60 | 13.33 |
| Cochrane | 18 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 34 | -35.29 |
| Crossfield | 4 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 5 | 60.00 |
| Irricana | I | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 66.67 |
| Md Rockyview | 76 | 55 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 71 | 26.76 |
| Total | 1,074 | 1,191 | 160 | 134 | 363 | I,731 | 2,229 | -22.34 |

** indicates a greater than 100 per cent change

The information, analysis and opinions contained in this publication are based on various sources believed to be reliable, but their accuracy cannot be guaranteed. The information, analysis and opinions shall not be taken as representations for which CMHC or any of its employees shall incur responsibility. HOUSING NOW is published by Canada Mortgage and Housing Corporation. Duplication of this report in whole or in part is strictly prohibited without permission of the authors and/or the Corporation. © 2005 All rights reserved

Table 3
HOUSING ACTIVITY SUMMARY

|  | Ownership |  |  |  |  | Rental |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Activity | Freehold |  |  | Condominium |  | Private |  | Assisted |  | Grand Total |
|  | Single ${ }^{\text {1 }}$ | Semi ${ }^{1}$ | Row | Row | Apt | Row | Apt | Row | Apt |  |
| Starts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| February 2005 | 617 | 28 | 0 | 96 | 304 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | I,045 |
| February 2004 | 543 | 68 | 0 | 90 | 334 | 0 | 104 | 0 | 132 | 1,271 |
| Year-to-Date 2005 | 1,112 | 82 | 0 | 214 | 511 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,919 |
| Year-to-Date 2004 | I,I55 | 118 | 0 | 152 | 395 | 0 | 104 | 0 | 132 | 2,056 |
| Under Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| February 2005 | 3,500 | 570 | 20 | 699 | 4,117 | 0 | 332 | 0 | 132 | 9,370 |
| February 2004 | 3,490 | 538 | 20 | 800 | 2,910 | 0 | 105 | 0 | 132 | 7,995 |
| Completions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| February 2005 | 443 | 86 | 0 | 102 | 218 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 849 |
| February 2004 | 576 | 56 | 7 | 52 | 183 | 0 | 236 | 0 | 0 | 1,110 |
| Year-to-Date 2005 | 1,074 | 160 | 0 | 134 | 363 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,731 |
| Year-to-Date 2004 | I,191 | 136 | 11 | 205 | 446 | 0 | 240 | 0 | 0 | 2,229 |
| Completed \& Not Absorbed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| February 2005 | 738 | 235 | 0 | 97 | 213 | 0 | 106 | 0 | 0 | 1,389 |
| February 2004 | 731 | 116 | 2 | 104 | 199 | I | 233 | 0 | 0 | 1,386 |
| Total Supply ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| February 2005 | 4,238 | 805 | 20 | 796 | 4,330 | 0 | 438 | 0 | 132 | 10,759 |
| February 2004 | 4,221 | 654 | 22 | 904 | 3,109 | I | 338 | 0 | 132 | 9,381 |
| Absorptions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| February 2005 | 446 | 68 | 0 | 100 | 190 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 805 |
| February 2004 | 553 | 59 | 7 | 70 | 189 | 1 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 942 |
| Year-to-Date 2005 | 1,042 | 118 | 0 | 149 | 328 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 1,653 |
| Year-to-Date 2004 | I,179 | 142 | 10 | 207 | 450 | 3 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 2,065 |
| 3-month Average | 596 | 59 | 0 | 84 | 149 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 894 |
| 12-month Average | 681 | 61 | 2 | 91 | 197 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 1,044 |

I May include units intended for condominium.
2 Sum of units under construction, complete and unoccupied

## RESIDENTIAL CONSTRUCTION DIGEST

CMHC's monthly Residential Construction Digest delivers all the housing statistics you asked for, right down to the local market level! We have designed this product with your input, to meet your needs. You told us you wanted a detailed breakdown each month of housing statistics for single and multi-family markets, broken down by price range and by area of the city.
The Residential Construction Digest delivers!
Each month, over 60 tables reveal the housing market in great detail: Housing trends made crystal clear, to help you identify new opportunities. For added convenience each report is distributed electronically in PDF format with hotlinks allowing you to quickly get the information you need with a click of your mouse.

Each Report is Available for the Low Annual Price of $\$ 350 .{ }^{00}$ plus GST
To subscribe to, or receive a free sample of, the Residential Construction Digest, please call (877) 722-2642.


[^0]:    HOUSING NOW provides an overview of a survey conducted monthly by CMHC.
    These surveys deal with Housing Starts, Completions and Absorptions for all CMA's across Canada. For more information please contact Richard Corriveau at 403-5I5-3005 or fax 403-515-3036.

