



Statistics Statistique Canada Canada



How to obtain more information

Specific inquiries about this product and related statistics or services should be directed to: Health Statistics Division, Statistics Canada, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada, K1A 0T6 (telephone: (613) 951-1746).

For information on the wide range of data available from Statistics Canada, you can contact us by calling one of our toll-free numbers. You can also contact us by e-mail or by visiting our Web site.

National inquiries line National telecommunications	1 800 263-1136
device for the hearing impaired	1 800 363-7629
Depository Services Program	
inquiries	1 800 700-1033
Fax line for Depository Services	
Program	1 800 889-9734
E-mail inquiries	infostats@statcan.ca
Web site	www.statcan.ca

Ordering and subscription information

This product, Catalogue no. 82-003-XPE, is published quarterly as a standard printed publication at a price of CDN \$22.00 per issue and CDN \$63.00 for a one-year subscription. The following additional shipping charges apply for delivery outside Canada:

Single issue		Annual subscription
United States	CDN \$ 6.00	CDN \$24.00
Other countries	CDN \$ 10.00	CDN \$40.00

This product is also available in electronic format on the Statistics Canada Internet site as Catalogue no. 82-003-XIE at a price of CDN \$17.00 per issue and CDN \$48.00 for a one-year subscription. To obtain single issues or to subscribe, visit our Web site at **www.statcan.ca**, and select Our Products and Services.

All prices exclude sales taxes.

The printed version of this publication can be ordered by

· Phone (Canada and United State	es) 1 800 267-6677
• Fax (Canada and United States)	1 877 287-4369
• E-mail	infostats@statcan.ca

- E-mai
 Mail
 - Statistics Canada Dissemination Division Circulation Management 120 Parkdale Avenue Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0T6

• And, in person at the Statistics Canada Reference Centre nearest you, or from authorized agents and bookstores.

When notifying us of a change in your address, please provide both old and new addresses.

Standards of service to the public

Statistics Canada is committed to serving its clients in a prompt, reliable and courteous manner and in the official language of their choice. To this end, the Agency has developed standards of service that its employees observe in serving its clients. To obtain a copy of these service standards, please contact Statistics Canada toll free at 1 800 263-1136.



Statistics Canada Health Statistics Division

Health Reports

Volume 15, Number 4

Published by outbority of the Minister responsible for Statistics Canada
© Minister of Industry, 2004
All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without prior written permission from Licence Services, Marketing Division, Statistics Canada, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada K1A 0T6.
July 2004
Catalogue no. 82-003-XPE, Vol. 15, No. 4 ISSN 0840-6529
Catalogue no. 82-003-XIE, Vol. 15, No. 4 ISSN 1209-1367
Frequency: Quarterly
Ottawa
Note of Appreciation
Canada owes the success of its statistical system to a long-standing partnership between Statistics Canada, the citizens of Canada, its businesses, governments and other institutions. Accurate and timely statistical information could not be produced without their continued cooperation and goodwill.

SYMBOLS

The following standard symbols are used in Statistics Canada publications:

- · not available for any reference period
- ·· not available for specific reference period
- ... not applicable
- ^p preliminary figures
- r revised figures
- x suppressed to meet the confidentiality requirements of the Statistics Act
- ^E use with caution
- F too unreliable to be published

The paper used in this publication meets the minimum requirements of American National Standard for Information Sciences - Permanence of Paper for Printed Library Materials, ANSI Z39.48 - 1984.

About Health Reports

Editor-in-Chief Christine Wright

Senior Editor Mary Sue Devereaux

Editor Barbara Riggs

Assistant Editor Marc Saint-Laurent

Production Manager Nicole Leduc

Production and Composition Agnes Jones Robert Pellarin

Data Verification Dan Lucas

Administration Céline Desfonds Donna Eastman

Associate Editors Owen Adams Gary Catlin Arun Chockalingham Elizabeth Lin Nazeem Muhajarine Yves Péron Georgia Roberts Geoff Rowe Eugene Vayda **E H C and C a**

Health Reports contains Research Articles and Health Matters. Research Articles present in-depth analysis, undergo anonymous peer review, and are indexed in Index Medicus and MEDLINE. Health Matters are shorter, descriptive reports, largely based on survey and administrative data from Health Statistics Division.

For information on subscribing, see *How to Order*. For other information, contact the Editors, **Health Reports**, Health Statistics Division, Statistics Canada, 3rd Floor, R.H. Coats Building, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada K1A 0T6. Telephone: (613) 951-1765; fax: (613) 951-4436.



ELECTRONIC PUBLICATIONS AVAILABLE AT

Peer Reviewers

The clinical, methodological and subject matter specialists listed below have reviewed articles submitted for Volume 15 of *Health Reports* or the 2003 Annual Report, *How Healthy are Canadians?*, a supplement to Volume 14.

Owen Adams Kenneth R. Allison Carol Aneshensel William R. Avison Elizabeth M. Badley Roderic Beaujot Daniel Beavon Alain Bélanger Jean-Marie Berthelot Jenn Butters C. André Christie-Mizell Olivier Clain Daniel Dagenais Colleen Anne Dell Sharon Dell Ron Dewar Douglas C. Dover

Anders Ekborn Mark Elward Patricia Erickson David Feeny Gunnar Birkeland Flugsrud Angela Gillis Denise Gravel Maryse Guay Joan Wharf Higgins Peter Jaffe Sunanda V. Kane Neal Krause Nancy Kreiger Steven R. McFaull Jeannette Macey Harriet MacMillan Shirley McDonald

Connie H. Nelson Lindsay E. Nicolle Marianne Offner-Agostini Jennifer O'Loughlin Louis Pérusse Lynn Ries Michel Rossignol Dena Schanzer Barbara Silverstein MaryFran Sowers Geoffrey D. Taylor Jon Tonita Michel Tousignant Donna Turner R. Jay Turner Kue Young

Requests to reprint

No part of this publication may be reproduced without prior written permission from Statistics Canada. To obtain this permission, an *Application for Copyright Authorization* must be submitted. This form is available from the Copyright Permission Officer, Marketing Division, Statistics Canada (fax: 613-951-1134).

Electronic version

Health Reports is also published as an electronic product in PDF format. Single issues may be ordered (using Visa or MasterCard) from Statistics Canada's Internet site, downloaded onto your desktop and accessed with Adobe Acrobat Reader. To order a recent issue of *Health Reports*, visit our site at http://www.statcan.ca. Select "English" from the home page, then "Our products and services" from the next page. Select "Browse our Internet publications (PDF or HTML)," "For sale," and "Health," where you will find *Health Reports* (Catalogue 82-003-XIE).

Citation recommendation

Health Reports has a unique Statistics Canada catalogue number: 82-003. The English paper version is 82-003-XPE; the electronic version is 82-003-XIE. The catalogue number facilitates storing and retrieving the journal in libraries, either on the shelf or electronically. Thus, we request that, when citing a *Health Reports* article in other published material, authors include our catalogue number.

Example:

Parsons GF, Gentleman JF, Johnston KW. Gender differences in abdominal aortic aneurysm surgery. *Health Reports* (Statistics Canada, Catalogue 82-003) 1997; 9(1): 9-18.

In This Issue

Research Articles

• • •

.

Infection after cholecystectomy, hysterectomy or appendectomy
Hospitalization with post-operative infection is relatively rare following cholecystectomy, hysterectomy or appendectomy, with 1.4%, 2.0% and 3.8%, respectively, of patients being identified as having an infection within 30 days of surgery. Nonetheless, the costs of readmission for post-operative infection are estimated at \$5.4 to \$6.3 million annually.
Inflammatory bowel disease—hospitalization
Since the early 1980s, the overall hospitalization rate in Canada has fallen sharply, but the rate for inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) has been stable. To some degree, this stability during an era of decline reflects the high rate of readmission among IBD patients, with more than 20% having at least two hospital stays during the course of a year.
Health Matters
Health Matters Use of cannabis and other illicit drugs
Health Matters Use of cannabis and other illicit drugs
Health Matters Use of cannabis and other illicit drugs
Health Matters Use of cannabis and other illicit drugs 43 Michael Tjepkema 43 • Cannabis use has increased over the past decade. 43 • Males, teenagers and young adults were most likely to have used cannabis in the past year. 43 • Cocaine/Crack was the second most commonly used illicit drug.
Health Matters Use of cannabis and other illicit drugs 43 Michael Tjepkema 43 • Cannabis use has increased over the past decade. 43 • Males, teenagers and young adults were most likely to have used cannabis in the past year. 6 • Cocaine/Crack was the second most commonly used illicit drug. 49 Pamela L. Ramage-Morin 49
Health Matters Use of cannabis and other illicit drugs 43 Michael Tjepkema 43 • Cannabis use has increased over the past decade. 43 • Males, teenagers and young adults were most likely to have used cannabis in the past year. 6 • Cocaine/ Crack was the second most commonly used illicit drug. 49 Pamela L. Ramage-Morin 9 • Between 1979 and 2001, 599 deaths in Canada were attributed to Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease (CJD), only one of which was related to bovine spongiform encephalopathy (BSE), known as "mad cow disease."

.

. . . .

Pregnancy and smoking
Wayne J. Millar and Gerry Hill
• About 17% of women who had a baby in the past five years smoked while they were pregnant, and 17% of women who did not smoke during pregnancy had regularly been exposed to others' smoking.
• Smoking and exposure to smoking during pregnancy is most common among women younger than 25.
• Regular exposure to others' smoking increased the risk that a woman would smoke while she was pregnant.
Subject Index 59
How to Order

.

Information on Health Statistics Division's products and services, including prices

. . . • . • • . •

. .

. . .



Research Articles

In-depth research and analysis



ELECTRONIC PUBLICATIONS AVAILABLE AT

nfection after cholecystectomy, hysterectomy or appendectomy

Michelle Rotermann

Abstract

Objectives

This article uses patient-linked data to focus on hospitalization with post-operative infection following cholecystectomy, hysterectomy or appendectomy. The average number of hospital days and the costs of readmission are also estimated.

Data source

Data for surgeries in fiscal years 1997/98, 1998/99 and 1999/00 are from the Health Person-Oriented Information Database.

Analytical techniques

Bivariate tabulations were used to estimate the percentage of patients hospitalized with post-operative infection after cholecystectomy, hysterectomy or appendectomy between 1997/98 and 1999/00. Logistic regression was used to explore associations between infection and patient characteristics, readmission, and peri-operative mortality, while controlling for surgical characteristics.

Main results

Hospitalization with post-operative infection was relatively rare, occurring in 1.4% of cholecystectomy, 2.0% of hysterectomy, and 3.8% of appendectomy patients. The associated costs of readmission for post-operative infection for the three surgeries were estimated at \$5.4 to \$6.3 million annually. Old age, being male, surgical complexity and approach, and diabetes were associated with hospitalization involving a post-operative infection.

Key words

length of stay, post-operative, patient admission, patient readmission, surgical site

Author

Michelle Rotermann (613-951-3166; Michelle.Rotermann @statcan.ca) is with the Health Statistics Division at Statistics Canada, Ottawa, Ontario, K1A 0T6. espite efforts to control infection, advances in surgical techniques, and use of antibiotic prophylactics, no surgery is free of the risk of infection.^{1,2} Surgical site, bloodstream, and catheter-associated urinary tract infections, as well as hospital-borne pneumonia, remain important concerns.

Health Canada has estimated that each year between 5% and 10% of all people admitted to hospital contract an infection.³ With over 2 million Canadians hospitalized annually, potentially 105,000 to 210,000 people may be affected.⁴ According to the Community and Hospital Infection Control Association, 8,500 Canadians die each year owing to complications arising from infections acquired in hospital, and the annual related costs to individuals and the health care system exceed \$750 million.⁵ Patients who acquire infections spend considerably more time in hospital, undergo more testing, and require more medications and medical care than patients who do not develop infections.⁶⁻⁸

Methods

Data source

This analysis is based on data from the Health Person-Oriented Information Database, maintained by Statistics Canada. This database contains information on inpatient hospital separations (discharges or deaths) from most acute care and some psychiatric, chronic and rehabilitation hospitals across Canada.9 Each record contains demographic (for example, postal code, date of birth), nonmedical administrative (such as scrambled and unscrambled health insurance number, dates of admission and separation) and clinical information (diagnoses and procedures, for example).¹⁰ This analysis used only data that could be linked; that is, the records with valid identifiers. Annually, approximately 13% of the hospital morbidity records are excluded from the additional processing that enables the files to be analyzed at the person level: 10% because they are records for newborns, and the remaining 3% because the record contains either an invalid identifier or is for a person residing outside the province. A more complete description of the Health Person-Oriented Information Database is available in another publication.9

To prepare the data for analysis, hospital separation records for each patient were merged, based on a unique patient identifier, and sorted chronologically. Records of hospital stays for each patient were thus linked, beginning with the admission during which the surgery took place, followed by readmissions within 30 days of surgery. For each patient, data from only the first 20 admissions, beginning in April 1997 and ending in March 2000, were used in the analysis. A total of 382,277 linked records were included, representing 141,766 cholecystectomy, 159,644 hysterectomy, and 80,867 appendectomy patients. Virtually all of these inpatients (99.9%) had had their surgery in an acute care hospital (data not shown).

Cost information is not available for all provinces. The Alberta cost information used in this analysis reflects the average cost of procedures derived from data submitted by nine Alberta hospitals.¹¹ Cost information for Ontario reflects the average cost of procedures and treatment of diagnoses from data submitted by a subset of 22 specialty, community or teaching hospitals (Ontario Case Costing Initiative).¹²⁻¹³ The cost data do not necessarily correspond with total provincial averages of hospital-based services.

Analytical techniques

Patients who had had one of the three surgeries—cholecystectomy, hysterectomy or appendectomy—during fiscal years 1997/98 to 1999/00 were grouped by surgery.

Date of surgery was not available; therefore, it was imputed as the day after the date of admission for the surgical stay.

Descriptive analyses were based on tabulations of numbers and percentages. Statistical significance of differences between proportions was tested (p < 0.05). The proportions of patients hospitalized with post-operative infection either during the surgical stay or readmission were calculated. The overall rate for hospitalization with post-operative infection was calculated by dividing the number of patients with infection noted on any hospital record within 30 days of surgery by the total number of patients who had the surgery, then multiplying by 100. Readmissions for infection were considered to include only those patients who were rehospitalized within 30 days with a post-operative infection identified as the "most responsible" diagnosis. Peri-operative death rates (inhospital death within 30 days of the procedure) were similarly calculated.

Separate logistic regression models were fitted for each surgery in order to calculate the odds of hospitalization with a post-operative infection, readmission for post-operative infection, and dying within 30 days of surgery. Selection of control variables was guided by the literature and the availability of data in the Health Person-Oriented Information Database (see *Limitations*).

Average length of readmission was calculated separately for each surgical group by summing the number of days of hospitalizations for which the "most responsible" diagnosis was a post-operative infection, and dividing by the number of patients who were readmitted. When the date indicated that a subsequent stay began before or on the same day as the preceding stay ended, the overlapping day was double-counted. Such overlaps are rare and do not substantially change the length-of-stay calculations. They also likely reflect instances where patients were discharged, then readmitted on the same day. On average, 4% of each of the three surgical cohorts had overlapping and/or concurrent admissions associated with the surgical stay (data not shown).

Peri-operative mortality was defined as a discharge condition of "dead" within 30 days of the imputed surgery date. If a patient died out of hospital, the death could not be included.

The burden of post-operative infection on the health care system was measured by calculating the mean number of days of hospitalization for patients who were readmitted for treatment of a post-operative infection (defined as an admission for which postoperative infection accounted for the major portion of the stay) within 30 days of the surgery. The average length of readmission was obtained by summing the days of subsequent hospitalizations within 30 days of surgery. This article examines hospitalization for postoperative infection in patients who underwent one of three common surgical procedures during the 1997/98, 1998/99 or 1999/00 fiscal year: cholecystectomy (gall bladder removal), hysterectomy (removal of the uterus) and appendectomy (removal of the appendix). Associations between patient characteristics and post-operative infection are investigated, as are surgical approach and complexity (see *Definitions*).

The Health Person-Oriented Information Database structures hospital morbidity data so that each patient's hospital admissions could be linked using a unique identifier (see *Methods* and *Limitations*). In addition to the number of hospitalizations, the average total length and the estimated costs of rehospitalization for post-operative infection were calculated. Using linked data provides a more accurate assessment of the burden post-operative infections place on the health care system. Without such records, a substantial proportion of the postoperative infections observed in this study would have been missed.

Patient-linked hospitalization data do not capture all post-operative infections. Many such infections are treated in outpatient clinics or physicians' offices and thus do not appear in hospital records. It is likely that only the most serious ones result in a patient being readmitted to hospital.

Hospitalization uncommon

Hospitalization with post-operative infection was relatively rare among cholecystectomy, hysterectomy

13

and appendectomy patients (see *Definitions*). Of the 382,277 in-patients who had one of these surgeries in 1997/98, 1998/99 or 1999/00, just 2.2% (8,323) developed an infection that was noted during the initial surgical stay and/or subsequent admission(s) to hospital within 30 days of the surgery (Table 1).

The percentage of patients hospitalized with a post-operative infection varied by surgery. Infection was significantly less likely after cholecystectomy (1.4%) or hysterectomy (2.0%) than after appendectomy (3.8%). These figures are comparable with findings from other studies.^{2,14-16}

Risk of post-operative infection

The risk of post-operative infection is influenced by patient characteristics such as sex, age, preexisting infection (peritonitis, for example), presence of other conditions, and by surgical approach and complexity. Information about other factors that may affect a patient's risk of developing an infection—weight, nutritional and smoking habits, use of prophylactic antibiotics, and so on—was not available in the data used for this analysis (see *Limitations*).

Female cholecystectomy patients outnumbered their male counterparts by more than 2 to 1, but men were twice as likely to be hospitalized with a post-operative infection, a finding previously noted in research on gall bladder and other surgery patients.¹⁷⁻²¹ Post-operative infection was also more common among male than female appendectomy patients (Table 2). Research has indicated that testosterone has a depressive effect on the body's

Table 1

Hospitalization with post-operative infection within 30 days of cholecystectomy, hysterectomy or appendectomy, Canada excluding territories, 1997/98 to 1999/00

	Total		Cholecys	Cholecystectomy		Hysterectomy		Appendectomy	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	
Total	382,277	100.0	141,766	100.0	159,644	100.0	80,867	100.0	
Post-operative infection within 30 days of surgery (noted during surgical admission and/or upon readmission)	8,323	2.2	1,961	1.4*	3,254	2.0	3,108	3.8	
Post-operative infection noted upon readmission and coded as condition most responsible for hospital stay [†]	3,554	0.9	593	0.4	1,540	1.0	1,421	1.8	

Data source: Health Person-Oriented Information Database, 1997/98 to 1999/00

† 219 of these patients also had an infection diagnosed during their surgical admission.

* Significantly different from rates of infection following hysterectomy and appendectomy (p < 0.05)

Limitations

This analysis is based on inpatient hospitalization information only. Data on outpatient/day surgery procedures, which comprise an unknown proportion of the total, are not included in this analysis. Tabulations of hospitalization with post-operative infection based on information from the Health Person-Oriented Information Database do not reflect the extent of post-operative infection. Only patients whose discharge summaries contained an ICD-9 code of 998.5 within 30 days of the surgery were included in the analysis. Post-operative infections that later developed in patients who were not readmitted were not documented in hospital records, so they could not be counted in this analysis.

The accuracy of the diagnosis of post-operative infection has not been validated, and the specificity and sensitivity of the coding is unknown. Hospitals in jurisdictions where funding is based on discharge abstract data may have a greater incentive to report more diagnoses and/or more post-operative infections. The completeness of reporting may also be influenced by the availability of health records resources, and provincial and/or individual hospital datacapture guidelines. For example, some hospitals may identify a diagnosis based on a laboratory test alone. Coding of post-operative infection may also be influenced by a hospital's participation in nosocomial infection surveillance programs and/or other types of coding practices specific to individual hospitals.²²

A recent reabstraction study showed that approximately 7% of the principal procedures and 13% of the most responsible diagnoses are not coded accurately. However, common and relatively uncomplicated procedures, such as the three surgical procedures examined in this analysis, are easier to identify and are likely more accurately coded.²³

The principal procedure from each record was used to select patients for this study. Records with multiple procedures were not excluded from the analysis because the majority of other procedures on each record relate to the principal procedure. In over 99% of all patients, the principal procedure was equivalent to the first procedure field (as expected); 47% of these records noted additional procedures. The Health Person-Oriented Information Database is made up of administrative data primarily designed for billing purposes. It is likely that some of the variation in the number of procedures on each record reflects differing levels of coding specificity or procedure itemization within and among hospitals.

Information on several patient and hospital characteristics that may influence post-operative infection risk was not available in the

Health Person-Oriented Information Database; for example, patients' weight, nutritional and smoking habits, immunity status, current immuno-suppression therapy, length of pre-operative stay, severity of pre-operative conditions, presence of distant infection, use of prophylactic antibiotics, emergency versus elective appendectomy, type of wound closure, and effectiveness of infection control practices and programs at each hospital.²⁴⁻²⁶ Incomplete reporting of a patient's co-morbid conditions may also limit interpretation of the risk factors for post-operative infections.

The accuracy of the cost estimates for readmissions owing to infection is unknown. The estimates pertain only to patients who were readmitted for infection. But many other patients received treatment for post-operative infection during surgical admission and readmissions, although it may not have been the chief factor that extended the hospital stay and/or necessitated the readmission. Estimated differences between the average accumulated lengths of stay of patients who were and were not treated for infection suggest that costs to treat patients with post-operative infection associated with the three surgeries could range from \$18 million to \$21.2 million annually (data not shown).

An important body of research focuses on the relationship between the number of surgeries performed at a particular institution and patient outcomes. In general, patients who undergo a specific procedure in hospitals where a high volume of that procedure is performed have better outcomes than those treated in lower-volume hospitals.^{27:30} It is not possible to ascertain surgical volume by hospital with available documentation because the definition of "hospital" is inconsistent within and across provinces and between data years. For instance, "hospital" is variously defined as an individual hospital or a corporation comprising more than one hospital, due to the amalgamation of several individual hospitals, or a ward within a hospital. Therefore, the effect of surgical volume on the risk of post-operative infection or mortality could not be assessed in this analysis.

Because the date of surgery is not provided on the Health Person-Oriented Information Database, it was imputed as the day after the admission date. The validity of the imputed surgery date is unknown.

The data used in this study capture only deaths that occurred in hospital. Other patients may have died because of complications associated with post-operative infections, but because these deaths did not occur in hospital, they could not be included.

ability to fight infection.^{18,19} It has also been suggested that estrogen may account for women's higher level of immunity.^{18,19}

Of course, additional factors may contribute to men's elevated risk of developing an infection after surgery. For example, compared with women, higher proportions of men in all but the youngest age groups smoke daily,³¹ and smoking has been shown to impede healing and immune responses.³²

Table 2

Distribution of surgery and percentage with post-operative infection within 30 days of cholecystectomy, hysterectomy or appendectomy, by selected characteristics, Canada excluding territories, 1997/98 to 1999/00

	Cholecys	tectomy	Hystere	ctomy	Appendectomy		
	Total ir	Hospi- talized with fection	Total in	Hospi- talized with fection	Total i	Hospi- talized with infection	
	ç	%	%	, D		%	
Total	100.0		100.0		100.0		
Sex Men Women [†]	30.0 70.0	2.2* 1.0	 100.0	2.0	55.2 44.8	4.4* 3.1	
Age group ≤ 29 [†] 30-39 40-49 50-59 60-69 70+	12.8 15.4 17.6 19.0 16.9 18.4	0.5 0.6* 0.8* 1.3* 1.9* 2.9*	3.3 24.4 40.3 16.0 8.6 7.5	3.0 2.2* 2.0* 1.8* 1.7* 2.1*	56.9 17.6 12.0 6.8 3.7 3.0	3.0 3.6* 4.9* 5.8* 7.6* 7.2*	
Surgical approach Open Laparoscopic [†] Abdominal Vaginal [†]	16.6 83.4 	4.3* 0.8 	 68.7 31.4	 2.3* 1.6		 	
Surgical complexity High Low [†]			0.9 99.1	3.4* 2.0			
Appendix Ruptured/Perito Peritoneal abso No record of rupture/peritoni peritoneal abso	nitis/ cess tis/ cess [†]				28.2 71.9	8.0* 2.2	
Diabetes Yes No [†]	5.3 94.7	2.9* 1.3	4.7 95.3	4.0* 2.0	1.5 98.5	10.1* 3.7	

Data source: Health Person-Oriented Information Database, 1997/98 to 1999/00

† Reference group

··· Not applicable

* Significantly different from reference group (p < 0.05)

For people who had a cholecystectomy or appendectomy, post-operative infection was more frequent among the older patients. Previous research indicates that advanced age is a major risk factor for post-operative infection, partly because seniors are far more likely to have other conditions that may delay healing.³³⁻³⁵

The relationship between age and risk of infection was generally reversed for hysterectomy patients, meaning that women younger than 30 were more likely than older women to be hospitalized for an infection. Whenever possible, young women, and those with one or no children, are treated to preserve their childbearing capacity.³⁶ It is therefore reasonable to assume that the conditions necessitating hysterectomy in young women are relatively serious, and these women are consequently at higher risk of post-operative infection. Information about other factors that might predispose a patient to infection, such as complications encountered during the operation, tumour size, underlying diagnoses or length of surgery, is not available in the Health Person-Oriented Information Database (see Limitations).

Differences by surgical approach

The percentage of hospitalizations with postoperative infection varied by surgical procedure and complexity, and by underlying disease. Cholecystectomy patients who had open versus laparoscopic surgery, hysterectomy patients who had an abdominal procedure, appendectomy patients who already had an infection when they had surgery, and patients with diabetes were all over-represented among those hospitalized with post-operative infections (Table 2).

For cholecystectomy patients, surgical approach was strongly associated with post-operative infection (Table 3). Those who underwent an open cholecystectomy had over 4 times the odds of being hospitalized with an infection post-operatively, compared with patients whose surgery was performed laparoscopically, even when risk factors such as age, sex and diabetes were taken into account.

Definitions

In accordance with the *Canadian Classification of Diagnostic*, *Therapeutic, and Surgical Procedures* (CCP),³⁷ cholecystectomy, hysterectomy and appendectomy were defined based on the surgical codes in the principal procedure field of the hospital morbidity record. This field represents the "most significant" procedure performed during a patient's hospital stay; that is, the one having the greatest impact on the length of stay and/or use of hospital resources.³⁸ The CCP codes for open cholecystectomy were 63.11, 63.12 and 63.13; for the laparoscopic procedure, the code was 63.14. Codes 80.2, 80.3 and 80.5 indicate abdominal hysterectomy; 80.4 and 80.6, vaginal. The CCP code for appendectomy was 59.0.

Post-operative infection refers to abscess or septicemia that occurred after surgery and that was diagnosed and documented in hospital records either during the patient's original hospitalization or during readmission with 30 days of surgery. The *International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision* (ICD-9)³⁹ code 998.5 in any of the diagnostic fields (records contained a maximum of 16) was used to identify patients hospitalized with such an infection within 30 days of the surgery (including the surgical hospitalization or readmissions).

Because the *date of surgery* was not available, it was defined as the day following the date of admission to hospital for surgery.

Each patient was followed for 30 days from the imputed date of surgery. A *30-day follow-up* period is considered sufficient time for a post-operative infection to develop and is consistent with the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's (CDC) National Nosocomial Infection Surveillance (NNIS) system surveillance criteria.^{24,40}

A variable reflecting *surgical complexity* was based on information from Appendix H.4 of the Canadian Institute for Health Information's *Case Mix Group (CMG) Directory*.⁴¹ According to this document, procedures requiring at least seven days of in-hospital care were considered to have a high level of complexity. This includes radical hysterectomy, which is the removal of the uterus, fallopian tubes, parametrium (the tissue at the side of the uterus), the upper third of the vagina, and the pelvic lymph nodes via an abdominal incision or the vagina.⁴²

Patients were classified as having *diabetes* if, during the surgical hospitalization, a diagnosis of diabetes mellitus (ICD-9 code 250) was noted in any of the 16 diagnostic or "most responsible" diagnosis fields.

Six *age groups* (29 or younger, 30 to 39, 40 to 49, 50 to 59, 60 to 69, and 70 or older) were used for most of the analysis. These groups were combined as 60 or younger and over age 60 to examine in-hospital deaths within 30 days of surgery.

Two categories were used to consider the pathological state of the *appendix*. Patients were considered to have a ruptured appendix and/or peritonitis and/or peritoneal abscess if a diagnosis of 540.0 or 540.1 appeared in any of the 16 diagnostic fields of the surgical hospitalization record.

Readmission refers to patients who had another admission to hospital within 30 days of the imputed surgery date, with a record showing post-operative infection as the diagnosis "most responsible" for the repeat stay.

Estimated costs of readmission were included in the cost-per-day values. Costs may be direct, such as those incurred by the hospital department providing service to the patient (salaries, supplies and equipment, for example) or indirect, meaning those incurred by departments not providing services to patients, such as administrative services (admitting and registration, health records, finance, etc.).

The *average total cost of readmission* was calculated by multiplying the estimated daily costs of hospital care by the *average length of hospital stay* for readmissions where the most responsible diagnosis was post-operative infection.

Cost per day of hospitalization was calculated for the Ontario data by dividing the average total cost per case by the average length of stay. Alberta provides information on cost per day of hospitalization directly (see *Limitations*).

Annual additional hospital costs of post-operative infection for each surgery were calculated by multiplying the average total length of readmission for post-operative infection by the cost per day by the number of readmitted infected patients. Because three years of data were used, the total costs were divided by three to obtain the *average annual cost of readmissions for infection*.

Case mix groups are defined using a system that classifies hospital patients and makes it possible to group them into a manageable number of categories, depending on clinical similarity.^{43,44} Often more than one case mix group (CMG) corresponded to the *Canadian* Classification of Diagnostic, Therapeutic, and Surgical Procedures codes denoting each surgery.³⁷ The CMG or *International* Classification of Diseases, Ninth revision, clinical modification (ICD-9-CM) code associated with the lowest cost per day was used to estimate the cost of readmission for each surgery. For the Ontario portion of the hysterectomy costing, the costs corresponding to the ICD-9-CM codes were used. Costs associated with hysterectomy performed in Alberta were available only by CMG. For the Alberta hysterectomy cost estimate, the costs associated with CMG 577 (major gynecological procedures for ovarian or adnexal malignancy) for 2000/01 were used. Data from 1999/00 and associated with ICD-9-CM for abdominal hysterectomy were used to estimate Ontario costs for hysterectomy.

Laparoscopic cholecystectomy limits exposure to bacteria, because only small incisions are made in the abdominal wall.⁴⁵⁻⁴⁸ The time required to perform laparoscopic surgery also tends to be shorter.⁴⁹ Of course, patients are selected for laparoscopic surgery based on a pre-operative assessment of various factors, some of which relate to their risk of surgical and post-operative Patients at lower risk of complications. complications, including infection, likely comprised a larger proportion of those who underwent laparoscopic cholecystectomy, so it is not surprising that this technique was associated with lower odds of infection. With only a limited number of variables available (see *Limitations*), it is likely that some of the observed difference associated with surgical approach is due to other pre-surgical differences in patient risk.⁵⁰

For hysterectomy patients, a protective association emerged between vaginal approach, compared with abdominal, and post-operative infection. Vaginal hysterectomy tends to be associated with fewer complications than the abdominal surgery.⁵¹ The condition necessitating an abdominal rather than a vaginal approach may also pre-dispose the patient to infection.^{52,53} Infection may also be less likely in women who undergo vaginal hysterectomy, as there is no external incision.

Complexity associated with infection

Surgical complexity was strongly associated with post-operative infection among hysterectomy patients. (Information on complexity was relevant only for hysterectomy because it was the only surgery for which complexity varied) (see *Definitions*). Women who had a more invasive or "radical"

Table 3

Adjusted odds ratios for hospitalization with post-operative infection within 30 days of cholecystectomy, hysterectomy or appendectomy in relation to selected characteristics, Canada excluding territories, 1997/98 to 1999/00

	Cholecystectomy		Hysterectomy		Appendectomy	
	Odds ratio	95% confidence interval	Odds ratio	95% confidence interval	Odds ratio	95% confidence interval
Sex Men Women [†]	1.4* 1.0	1.3, 1.6 			1.4* 1.0	1.3, 1.5
Age group ≤ 29 [†] 30-39 40-49 50-59 60-69 70+	1.0 1.2 1.9 2.5* 3.3*	0.9, 1.6 1.0, 1.6 1.5, 2.4 2.0, 3.2 2.6, 4.1	1.0 0.7* 0.6* 0.5* 0.5* 0.7*	0.6, 0.8 0.5, 0.8 0.5, 0.7 0.4, 0.7 0.5, 0.8	1.0 1.2* 1.4* 1.5* 1.8* 1.5*	1.1, 1.3 1.3, 1.6 1.3, 1.7 1.5, 2.0 1.3, 1.8
Surgical approach Open Laparoscopic [†]	4.2* 1.0	3.8, 4.6				
Abdominal Vaginal [†]			1.5* 1.0	1.3, 1.6 		
Surgical complexity High Low [†]			1.6* 1.0	1.2, 2.1 		
Appendix Ruptured/Peritonitis/Peritoneal abscess No record of rupture/peritonitis/ peritoneal abscess ¹					3.5* 1.0	3.2, 3.7
Diabetes Yes No [†]	1.4* 1.0	1.2, 1.6 	2.1* 1.0	1.8, 2.5 	1.9* 1.0	1.6, 2.3

Data source: Health Person-Oriented Information Database, 1997/98 to 1999/00

† Reference group

··· Not applicable

* Significantly different from reference group (p < 0.05)

procedure were more likely to be diagnosed with an infection after surgery, compared with those who had a less complex operation (Table 3). Surgeries involving extensive tissue removal usually indicate more pervasive illness and generally poor overall health.^{54,55} More complex hysterectomies may also be more difficult and time-consuming to perform.⁵⁶ The link between surgical duration and risk of post-operative infection is not fully understood; nevertheless, longer operations may increase the risk of a surgical site becoming infected.^{45,49,56}

As expected, diabetes was associated with hospitalized post-operative infection in cholecystectomy, hysterectomy and appendectomy patients. Other studies have also found an increased risk of acquiring a post-operative infection among people with diabetes.⁵⁷⁻⁵⁹ In addition to vascular disorders, diabetes is related to obesity, another risk factor for post-operative infection.^{33,60-62}

Repeat admissions

Not surprisingly, diagnosis of post-operative infection during the original surgical admission substantially increased the odds of a patient being readmitted for infection within 30 days—a finding that emerged for each of the three surgeries (Appendix Table A).

More hospital days

Together, cholecystectomy, hysterectomy, and appendectomy patients spent an average of about 4 days in hospital (Table 4). But the average number of hospital days for patients with post-operative infection greatly exceeded that for those with no documented infection. For patients diagnosed with infection, total time in hospital, including readmission within the 30-day follow-up period, ranged from about $10^{1/2}$ days for those who had had a hysterectomy or an appendectomy to about $18^{1/2}$ days for those who underwent a cholecystectomy.

Factors other than infection influence time in hospital. For patients diagnosed with a postoperative infection during the initial surgical stay, it is not known how much of that time was because of the infection. When the patient is readmitted and the hospital record identifies a post-operative infection as the diagnosis most responsible for the hospitalization, there is greater certainty about attributing the time to infection. Hysterectomy and appendectomy patients were in hospital, on average, about $5\frac{1}{2}$ additional days for their infections. Cholecystectomy patients required 8 additional days of hospital treatment.

Post-operative infections costly

The average number of days patients with postoperative infection spent in hospital exceeded those for uninfected patients by 2.5 to 5 times (Table 4). It is not possible to determine how much additional time in hospital was due to post-operative infection, and how much was caused by other factors. Nonetheless, when readmissions were restricted to those for which post-operative infection accounted for the major portion (the "most responsible" diagnosis) of the stay, the extra days required by cholecystectomy, hysterectomy and appendectomy patients were estimated to have cost the health care system an additional \$5.4 to \$6.3 million annually (Table 5). This is likely a conservative estimate, as it

Table 4

Average number of hospital days for cholecystectomy, hysterectomy and appendectomy patients, by post-operative infection status, Canada excluding territories, 1997/98 to 1999/00

	Number of patients	Average number of hospital days↑	Average length of readmission [†] (days)
Cholecystectomy Uninfected Infected Readmitted [†]	141,766 139,805 1,961 593	4.0 3.8 18.3 13.4	 8.3
Hysterectomy Uninfected Infected Readmitted [†]	159,644 156,390 3,254 1,540	4.3 4.2 10.6 9.8	 5.5
Appendectomy Uninfected Infected Readmitted [†]	80,867 77,759 3,108 1,421	3.8 3.5 10.5 10.3	 5.6

Data source: Health Person-Oriented Information Database, 1997/98 to 1999/00

··· Not applicable

t Includes surgical stay and readmissions within 30 days of surgery.

‡ "Most responsible diagnosis" = post-operative infection

18

Table 5

Estimated costs of readmission with post-operative infection[†] after cholecystectomy, hysterectomy or appendectomy, based on cost data from Alberta and Ontario

	Cholecystectomy	Hysterectomy	Appendectomy
Number of patients readmitted	593	1,540	1,421
Average length of readmission (days)	8.4	5.5	5.6
Cost per day	\$768 - \$920	\$801 - \$925	\$700 - \$826
Average total cost of readmission [‡]	\$6,451 - \$7,728	\$4,406 - \$5,088	\$3,920 - \$4,626
Additional annual cost of readmissions	\$1.28 - \$1.53 million	\$2.26 - \$2.61 million	\$1.86 - \$2.19 million

Data sources: Health Person-Oriented Information Database, 1997/98, 1998/99, 1999/00; Health Costing in Alberta—2002 Annual Report; Ontario Case Costing Initiative—OCCI Database FY 2000/2001—Typical Cases; Ontario Case Costing Initiative—OCCI Database Top 50 Prinicipal Procedures by Volume of Cases FY 1999/2000—Typical Cases (References 11-13)

† "Most responsible diagnosis" = post-operative infection ‡ Average length of stay multiplied by cost per day

Table 6

Adjusted odds ratios for dying in hospital within 30 days of cholecystectomy, hysterectomy or appendectomy in relation to hospitalization with post-operative infection and other selected characteristics, Canada excluding territories, 1997/98 to 1999/00

	Chole	cystectomy	Hyst	terectomy	Appendectomy	
	Odds ratio	95% confidence interval	Odds ratio	95% confidence interval	9 Odds confider ratio inter	5% ice val
Hospitalization with post-operative infection Yes No [†]	3.3* 1.0	2.5, 4.4	2.5* 1.0	1.3, 5.0 	1.6 0.8, 1.0 .	3.3
Sex Men Women [†]	1.3* 1.0	1.1, 1.6 			1.7* 1.0, . 1.0 .	2.7
Age group ≤ 60 $> 60^{\dagger}$	0.1* 1.0	0.1, 0.1	0.0 [‡] 1.0	0.0, 0.1	0.0 [±] 0.0, 1.0 .	0.0
Surgical approach Laparoscopic [†] Open	1.0 5.8*	4.7, 7.0				
Abdominal Vaginal†			5.6* 1.0	3.4, 9.2		
Surgical complexity High Low [†]			0.6 1.0	0.1, 4.4		
Appendix Ruptured/Peritonitis/Peritoneal abscess No record of rupture/peritonitis/ peritoneal abscess ¹					1.4 0.8, - 1.0	2.2
Diabetes Yes No [†]	 1.8* 1.0	 1.4, 2.3 	 1.9* 1.0	 1.1, 3.1 	5.0* 2.9, 1.0 .	 8.4

Data source: Health Person-Oriented Information Database, 1997/98 to 1999/00

 \uparrow Reference group \downarrow \downarrow The odds of appendectomy and hysterectomy patients dying in hospital within 30 days of their surgery are significantly reduced for those 60 or younger (p < 0.02 and p < 0.05, respectively).

•••• Not applicable * Significantly different from reference group (p < 0.05)

does not include the cost of post-operative infection during readmissions when the infection was not the "most responsible" diagnosis (see *Limitations*). Also not included are costs to the health care system that did not involve hospitalization.

Contribution to death unknown

Less than 1% of the patients who underwent a cholecystectomy, hysterectomy or appendectomy died in hospital within 30 days of the procedure (data not shown). Among those who died, the proportion who had developed a post-operative infection requiring in-hospital treatment ranged from 6% to 13%. However, without cause-of-death data, the infection's contribution to death is unknown. It is likely that a combination of factors played a role in these patients' deaths.

Nonetheless, it is evident that for patients with post-operative infection, the odds of dying in hospital within 30 days of surgery—even when other, possibly confounding, factors are taken into account—are elevated. Cholecystectomy patients with post-operative infection faced more than 3 times the odds, and hysterectomy patients 2.5 times the odds, of dying in hospital soon after the surgery, compared with patients not diagnosed with a postoperative infection (Table 6). Post-operative infection did not increase the odds of in-hospital death following appendectomy, reflecting the much younger age of these patients. Death following an appendectomy was significantly associated with diabetes, being male, and advanced age.

Concluding remarks

This analysis of data from the Health Person-Oriented Information Database indicates that hospitalization with post-operative infection following cholecystectomy, hysterectomy or appendectomy is relatively rare—a finding consistent with the literature. Of the 382,277 patients who underwent one of these surgeries in the 1997/98to-1999/00 period, post-operative infection was documented in hospital records for only 2.2%.

Several factors were associated with postoperative infection: being male, age, surgery performed in the presence of an established infection, surgical complexity, and diabetes. Laparoscopic procedures were related to a greatly reduced risk of post-operative infection among cholecystectomy patients, although other factors likely contributed to this relationship. Infection during the original surgical admission increased the risk of readmission for an infection. Again, however, other factors that could not be taken into account also most certainly contributed to these relationships.

Post-operative infection necessitating hospitalization following these three common surgeries may not occur often, but when it does, it is costly in terms of hospital resources. On average, readmissions for post-operative infection increased time in hospital by 5.5 to 8.4 days, depending on the surgery. It was estimated that these extra days cost the health care system \$5.4 to \$6.3 million a year. Although the number of infections that could be prevented is not known, even a modest decrease could result in considerable savings.

Acknowledgement

The author thanks Kathryn Wilkins for her assistance and guidance.

20

References •••••••••••••

- 1 Heineck I, Ferreira MBC, Schenkel EP. Prescribing practice for antibiotic prophylaxis for 3 commonly performed surgeries in a teaching hospital in Brazil. *American Journal of Infection Control* 1999; 27(3): 296-300.
- 2 American College of Surgeons (Committee on Control of Surgical Infections). Manual on Control of Infection in Surgical Patients by the Committee on Control of Surgical Infections of the Committee on Pre- and Post-operative Care, American College of Surgeons; Second Edition. Philadelphia: Lippincott-Raven Publishers, 1983: 1-167.
- 3 Health Canada. Public Health Intelligence Reinvestment Twelve-Month Progress Report 1996. Available at *http:// www.hc-sc.gc.ca/hpb/lcdc/publicat/reinvest/nosoco_e.html*. Accessed August 14, 2002.
- 4 Statistics Canada. Custom tabulation using the 2000/2001 Canadian Community Health Survey, Cycle 1.1, 2004.
- 5 Community and Hospital Infection Control Association (CHICA). SPICE: Strategic Planning for Infection Control Effectiveness in CHICA–Canada Annual Report 2001. Available at http://www.chica.org/report01print.html. Accessed August 14, 2002.
- 6 Zoutman D, McDonald S, Vethanayagan D. Total and attributable costs of surgical-wound infections at a Canadian tertiary-care center. *Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology: The Official Journal of the Society of Hospital Epidemiologists of America* 1998; 19(4): 254-9.
- 7 Kirkland KB, Briggs JP, Trivette SL, et al. The impact of surgical-site infections in the 1990s: attributable mortality, excess length of hospitalization, and extra costs. *Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology: The Official Journal of the Society* of Hospital Epidemiologists of America 1999; 20(11): 725-30.
- 8 Plowman R, Graves N, Griffin MAS, et al. The rate and cost of hospital-acquired infections occurring in patients admitted to selected specialties of a district general hospital in England and the national burden imposed. *The Journal of Hospital Infection* 2001; 47(3): 198-209.
- 9 Statistics Canada, Household Surveys Methodology Division. External Linkage of Person-oriented Information 1992/93 to 2000/01 Hospital Morbidity Files (unpublished), 2003.
- 10 Richards J, Brown A, Homan C. The data quality study of the Canadian Discharge Abstract Database. Proceedings of Statistics Canada Symposium 2001–Achieving Data Quality in a Statistical Agency: A Methodological Perspective, 2001: 1-12.
- 11 Alberta Health and Wellness. Health Costing in Alberta– 2002 Annual Report. Available at http://www.health.gon.ah.ca/ public/document/health_costing_2002.pdf. Accessed September 1, 2003.
- 12 Ontario Case Costing Initiative. OCCI Ontario Case Costing Initiative. Available at *http://www.occp.com/occpnav.htm*. Accessed October 14, 2003.
- 13 Ontario Case Costing Initiative. Top 50 Principal Procedures by Volume of Cases F/Y 1999/2000—Typical Cases, September, 2003. Available at http://www.occp.com/occpnav.htm. Accessed October 14, 2003.

- 14 Pishori T, Siddiqui AR, Ahmed M. Surgical wound infection surveillance in general surgery procedures at a teaching hospital in Pakistan. *American Journal of Infection Control* 2003; 31(5): 296-301.
- 15 Burns SJ, Dippe ST. Postoperative wound infections detected during hospitalization and after discharge in a community hospital. *American Journal of Infection Control* 1982; 10(2): 60-5.
- 16 Culver DH, Horan TC, Gaynes RP, et al. Surgical wound infection rates by wound class, operative procedure, and patient risk index. *American Journal of Medicine* 1991; 91(3B suppl): 1528-7S.
- 17 Cohen MM, Young TK, Hammarstrand KM. Ethnic variation in cholecystectomy rates and outcomes, Manitoba, Canada, 1972-84. *American Journal of Public Health* 1989; 79(6): 751-4.
- 18 Croce MA, Fabian TC, Malhotra AK, et al. Does gender difference influence outcome? *Journal of Trauma Injury*, *Infection, and Critical Care* 2002; 53(5): 889-94.
- 19 Offner PT, Moore EE, Biffl WL. Male gender is a risk factor for major infections after surgery. *Archives of Surgery* 1999; 134(9): 935-40.
- 20 Narong MN, Thongpiyapoom S, Thaikul N, et al. Surgical site infections in patients undergoing major operations in a university hospital: Using standardized infection ratio as a benchmarking tool. *American Journal of Infection Control* 2003; 31(5): 274-9.
- 21 Velasco E, Thuler LCS, Martins CAS, et al. Risk index for prediction of surgical site infection after oncology operations. *American Journal of Infection Control* 1998; 26(3): 217-23.
- 22 Canadian Institute for Health Information. Coding Variations in the Discharge Abstract Database (DAD) Data-FY 1996-1997 to 2000/2001. Ottawa: Canadian Institute for Health Information, May 2003.
- 23 Canadian Institute for Health Information. Discharge Abstract Database–Re-abstraction Study–Combined Findings for Fiscal Years 1999/2000 and 2001/2002, 2002. Ottawa: Canadian Institute for Health Information, 2002.
- 24 Mangram AJ, Horan TC, Pearson ML, et al. Guideline for prevention of surgical site infection, 1999. Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology: The Official Journal of the Society of Hospital Epidemiologists of America 1999; 20(4): 247-77.
- 25 Zoutman DE, Ford BD, Bryce E, et al. The state of infection surveillance and control in Canadian acute care hospitals. *American Journal of Infection Control* 2003; 31(5): 266-72.
- 26 Cruse PJE, Foord R. The epidemiology of wound infection– A 10-year prospective study of 62,939 wounds. *Surgical Clinics* of North America 1980; 60(1): 27-40.
- 27 Begg CB, Riedel ER, Bach PB, et al. Variations in morbidity after radical prostatectomy. *The New England Journal of Medicine* 2002; 346(15): 1138-44.
- 28 Schrag D, Cramer LD, Bach PB, et al. Influence of hospital procedure volume on outcomes following surgery for colon cancer. *Journal of the American Medical Association* 2000; 284(23): 3028-35.
- 29 Birkmeyer JD, Siewers AE, Finlayson EVA, et al. Hospital volume and surgical mortality in the United States. *The New England Journal of Medicine* 2002; 346(15): 1128-37.



- 30 Thiemann DR, Coresh J, Oetgen WJ, et al. The association between hospital volume and survival after acute myocardial infarction in elderly patients. *The New England Journal of Medicine* 1999; 340(21): 1640-8.
- 31 Statistics Canada. Custom tabulation using the 2000/2001 Canadian Community Health Survey, Cycle 1.1, 2003.
- 32 Sorensen LT, Horby J Friis E, et al. Smoking as a risk factor for wound healing and infection in breast cancer surgery. *European Journal of Oncology* 2002; 28(8): 815-20.
- 33 Bertin ML, Crowe J, Gordon SM. Determinants of surgical site infection after breast surgery. *American Journal of Infection Control* 1998; 26(1): 61-5.
- 34 Scott JD, Forrest A, Feuerstein S, et al. Factors associated with postoperative infection. *Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology: The Official Journal of the Society of Hospital Epidemiologists of America* 2001; 22(6): 347-51.
- 35 Mishriki SF, Law DJW, Jeffrey PJ. Factors affecting the incidence of postoperative wound infection. *Journal of Hospital Infection* 1990; 16: 223-30.
- 36 Vessey MP, Villard-Mackintosh L, McPherson K, et al. The epidemiology of hysterectomy: findings in a large cohort study. *British Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology* 1992; 99: 402-7.
- 37 Statistics Canada. *Canadian Classification of Diagnostic, Therapeutic, and Surgical Procedures* (Statistics Canada, Catalogue 82-562E) Ottawa: Statistics Canada, 1986.
- 38 Statistics Canada. Person-oriented Information (POI) Data Dictionary (unpublished), 2003.
- 39 World Health Organization. Manual of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases, Injuries and Death. Based on the recommendations of the Ninth Revision Conference, 1975. Geneva: World Health Organization, 1977.
- 40 Horan TC, Gaynes RP, Martone WJ, et al. CDC definitions of nosocomial surgical site infection, 1992: A modification of CDC definitions of surgical wound infections. *Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology* 1992; 13(10): 606-8.
- 41 Canadian Institute for Health Information. *CIHI Case Mix Group Directory for Use with Complexity*. Ottawa: Canadian Institute for Health Information, 1997.
- 42 Dorland's Illustrated Medical Dictionary, 28th Edition. Philadelphia: W.B. Saunders Company, 1994: 1183.
- 43 University of Manitoba. CMG's versus DRG's. Available at http://www.manitoba.ca/centres/mchp/concept/dict/cmg/ CMGvsDRG.html. Accessed October 29, 2003.
- 44 Benoit D, Skea W, Mitchell S. Canadian Institute for Health Information (CIHI). Developing Cost Weights with Limited Cost Data—Experiences Using Canadian Cost Data. Available at http://www.casemix.org/pubbl/pdf/2_3_3.pdf. Accessed October 5, 2003.
- 45 Weinstein RA, Welbel SF. Other procedure-related infections. In: Bennett JV, Brachman PS, eds. *Hospital Infections, Fourth Edition*. Philadelphia: Lippincott-Raven, 1998: 741-59.
- 46 Tierney LM Jr, McPhee SJ, Papadakis MA, eds. Current Medical Diagnosis and Treatment (Lange), 38th Edition. Stamford, Connecticut: Appleton and Lange, 1999.

- 47 Voitk AJ. Establishing outpatient cholecystectomy as a hospital routine. *Canadian Journal of Surgery* 1997; 40(4): 284-8.
- 48 Richards C, Edwards J, Culver D, et al. Does using a laparoscopic approach to cholecystectomy decrease the risk of surgical site infection? *Annals of Surgery* 2003; 237(3): 358-62.
- 49 McWhinney K, Shymanski J, Wells G, et al. Cardiac surgical site infections at the University of Ottawa Heart Institute: A case control study, preventive strategies and follow up. *The Canadian Journal of Infection Control* 1999; Winter: 141-6.
- 50 Zoutman D, Pearce P, McKenzie M, et al. Surgical wound infections occurring in day surgery patients. *American Journal of Infection Control* 1990; 18(4): 277-82.
- 51 Cohen MM, Young W. Costs of hysterectomy: Does surgical approach make a difference? *Journal of Women's Health* 1998; 7(7): 885-92.
- 52 Allard P, Rochette L. The descriptive epidemiology of hysterectomy, province of Quebec, 1981-1988. *Annals of Epidemiology* 1991; 1(6): 541-49.
- 53 Kjerulff KH, Guzinski GM, Langenberg PW, et al. Hysterectomy: An examination of a common surgical procedure. *Journal of Women's Health* 1992; 1(2): 141-7.
- 54 Sivanesaratnam V. Adjuvant chemotherapy in "high risk" patients after Wertheim hysterectomy—10-year survivals. Annals of the Academy of Medicine 1998; 27: 622-6.
- 55 Tay SK, Tan LK. Outcome of early cervical carcinoma treated by Wertheim hysterectomy with selective postoperative radiotherapy. *Annals of the Academy of Medicine* 1998; 27: 613-7.
- 56 Haley RW, Culver DH, Morgan WM, et al. Identifying patients at high risk of surgical wound infection. A simple multivariate index of patient susceptibility and wound contamination. *American Journal of Epidemiology* 1985; 121(2): 206-15.
- 57 Latham R, Lancaster AD, Covington JF, et al. The association of diabetes and glucose control with surgical-site infections among cardiothoracic surgery patients. *Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology: The Official Journal of the Society of Hospital Epidemiologists of America* 2001; 22(10): 607-12.
- 58 Joshi N, Caputo GM, Weitekamp MR, et al. Infections in patients with diabetes mellitus. *The New England Journal of Medicine* 2003; 341 (25): 1906-12.
- 59 Singer AJ, Clark RAF. Cutaneous wound healing. *The New England Journal of Medicine* 1999; 341(10): 738-46.
- 60 Smyth ETM, Emmerson AM. Surgical site infection surveillance. *The Journal of Hospital Infection* 2000; 45: 173-84.
- 61 Hollenbeak CS, Murphy D, Dunagan WC, et al. Nonrandom selection and the attributable cost of surgical-site infections. *Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology: The Official Journal* of the Society of Hospital Epidemiologists of America 2002; 23(4): 177-82.
- 62 Millar WJ, Young TK. Tracking diabetes: Prevalence, incidence and risk factors. *Health Reports* (Statistics Canada, Catalogue 82-003) 2002 14(3): 35-47.

Appendix

Table A

Adjusted odds ratios for readmission for post-operative infection within 30 days of cholecystectomy, hysterectomy or appendectomy in relation to selected characteristics, Canada excluding territories, 1997/98 to 1999/00

	Cholecystectomy		Hysterectomy		Арре	Appendectomy	
	Odds ratio	95% confidence interval	Odds ratio	95% confidence interval	Odds ratio	95% confidence interval	
Sex Men Women [†]	1.1 1.0	0.9, 1.3			1.2* 1.0	1.1, 1.3 	
Age group ≤ 29 [†] 30.39 40.49 50-59 60-69 70+	1.0 1.0 0.8 1.3 1.4* 1.6*	0.7, 1.4 0.6, 1.2 0.9, 1.8 1.0, 2.0 1.2, 2.2	1.0 0.7* 0.5* 0.4* 0.3* 0.3*	0.5, 0.8 0.4, 0.7 0.3, 0.5 0.2, 0.4 0.2, 0.4	1.0 0.9 0.7* 0.6* 0.5*	0.8, 1.1 0.8, 1.1 0.6, 0.9 0.5, 0.8 0.4, 0.8	
Previous post-operative infection diagnosed during surgical stay Yes No [†]	3.3* 1.0	2.2, 4.9	5.5* 1.0	4.3, 7.1 	3.5* 1.0	2.9, 4.3	
Surgical approach Open Laparoscopic [†] Abdominal Vaginal [†]	2.3* 1.0 	1.9, 2.7 	 0.9* 1.0	 0.8, 1.0 		 	
Surgical complexity High Low [†]			1.1 1.0	0.6,1.8			
Appendix Ruptured/Peritonitis/Peritoneal abscess No record of rupture/peritonitis/ peritoneal abscess [†]					2.3* 1.0	2.1, 2.6	
Diabetes Yes No [†]	1.4* 1.0	1.0, 1.8 	2.2* 1.0	1.7, 2.8 	1.3 1.0	0.9, 1.9	

Data source: Health Person-Oriented Information Database, 1997/98 to 1999/00

Note: Includes only patients who were readmitted with an infection that was classified as the diagnosis most responsible for the length of stay. † Reference group

··· Not applicable

* Significantly different from reference group (p < 0.05)



ELECTRONIC PUBLICATIONS AVAILABLE AT

nflammatory bowel disease hospitalization

Alice Nabalamba, Charles N. Bernstein and Craig Seko

Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) is a debilitating chronic condition that affects the gastrointestinal tract. It refers to two distinct disorders: Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis (see *Inflammatory bowel disease*). These disorders frequently develop in young adulthood an important time for family formation and laying the foundations of a career. Quality of life is often adversely affected, as IBD may result in lost productivity at school or work,¹ or in problems socializing. Patients usually need continuous medication and long-term follow-up.

While a recent estimate placed the number of Canadians with IBD between 150,000 and 160,000,² relatively few people are hospitalized for either Crohn's disease or ulcerative colitis. Together, these diagnoses account for less than half of one percent of hospital stays annually. However, during the past two decades, the yearly number of IBD hospitalizations and the rate per 100,000 population have remained stable. This stability persisted in the context of an overall decline in hospital use, as governments attempted to reduce costs and treat a growing number of conditions on an ambulatory basis.

Abstract

Objectives

This analysis examines trends in hospitalization for Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis, the two main forms of inflammatory bowel disease (IBD).

Data sources

Data are from the Hospital Morbidity Database for 1983/84 to 2000/01, and from the Health Person-Oriented Information Database for 1994/95 to 2000/01.

Analytical techniques

Sex- and age-specific rates were calculated for separations attributed to Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis. Rates and hospital days were also calculated for hospitalizations in which IBD was among the first five diagnostic codes on a patient's discharge abstract. The frequency of rehospitalization was examined.

Main results

From the early 1980s to the mid-1990s, annual rates of hospitalization for Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis rose slightly, but have since levelled off. Hospitalization rates for both conditions are highest among people in their twenties. The average length of stay for patients with either disease fell from about 2 weeks in 1983/84 to 9 or 10 days in 2000/01. More than a quarter of patients hospitalized for Crohn's disease and over 20% of those with ulcerative colitis were readmitted within the same year.

Key words

Crohn's disease, ulcerative colitis, patient admission, length of stay, patient readmission

Authors

Alice Nabalamba (613-951-7188; Alice.Nabalamba@statcan.ca) is with the Health Statistics Division and Craig Seko is with the Methodology Division, both at Statistics Canada in Ottawa, Ontario, K1A 0T6. Charles N. Bernstein is with the University of Manitoba.



Hospital data cannot, of course, be used to estimate the prevalence of IBD. Nonetheless, hospital discharge data are a means of identifying and quantifying those patients who require substantial health care resources. With information from Statistics Canada's Hospital Morbidity Database, this article tracks hospitalizations for IBD at the national and provincial levels from 1983/84 through 2000/01 (see *Methods*). Annual numbers and rates of hospitalization for patients with a primary diagnosis of Crohn's disease or ulcerative colitis are presented by age, sex and province, along with average length of stay and total hospital days. With information from the Health Person-Oriented Information Database, the proportions of patients who are rehospitalized are shown for 1994/95 through 2000/01.

Readmission common

In 2000/01, a total of 5,564 people were admitted to hospital with a primary diagnosis of Crohn's disease, and another 2,756, with ulcerative colitis. However, together, these 8,320 people accounted for 12,254 IBD admissions, indicating that many

Inflammatory bowel disease

Inflammatory bowel disease, or IBD, refers to two distinct disorders: Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis. The severity of these diseases can range from mild to debilitating. Even with treatment, most patients continue to have symptoms—they are simply more manageable. Although severity may fluctuate over time, for many patients, it is progressive.

Crohn's disease is chronic inflammation of the intestinal wall that usually begins in young adulthood (typically between 15 and 30).³⁻⁵ The ileum (last part of the small intestine) and the colon (major part of the large intestine) are affected most frequently, although inflammation can occur in any part of the digestive tract from the mouth to the anus. Symptoms include diarrhea, abdominal pain, fever and weight loss. Periods of mild or no symptoms may alternate with severe episodes, which can last weeks or several months. Some people may have years that are symptom-free, while for others, symptoms can be chronic and unrelenting.

For mild episodes, patients may alter their diet and use medications such as analgesics and antidiarrheal preparations. For moderate symptoms, corticosteroids are usually required. And for the advanced disease, where there is corticosteroid-resistance or dependence, conventional immunosuppressive therapy may be used, and more recently, novel and costly biological therapies have been introduced.^{6,7} Despite treatment, Crohn's disease tends to recur and often requires surgery to remove the diseased part of the intestine. However, surgery is not undertaken unless it is absolutely necessary, as further areas in the remaining intestine may become affected.

Crohn's disease can involve complications. Intestinal obstruction as a result of thickening of the intestinal wall is common. Damage to the small intestine may prevent absorption of nutrients and lead to anemia and vitamin deficiencies. Long-term inflammation of the colon increases the risk of colorectal cancer.

Ulcerative colitis is chronic inflammation of the rectum and colon that usually begins in young adulthood.³⁻⁵ Symptoms include severe diarrhea, passage of blood and mucus, abdominal pain, fever, and eventually, weight loss. The symptoms are often intermittent, and patients may have months or years that are symptom-free.

Ulcerative colitis is usually treated with medications, although surgery to remove the diseased colon and rectum may be necessary. People with ulcerative colitis are at increased risk of colon cancer.

The causes of IBD are unknown, but recent studies have shown that genetic factors are important.⁸⁻¹⁰ The environment may also play a role, although findings are inconclusive. One study has suggested that mycobacteria originating in farm animals are transferred through the food chain and increase susceptibility to Crohn's disease,¹¹ but other researchers have not found evidence of an association with mycobacteria, either serologically or in tissue studies.^{12,13} Some research suggests that improved hygiene has reduced exposure to micro-organisms and thereby weakened immune systems, and contributed to the development of diseases such as IBD.^{14,15} The higher prevalence of IBD after partners have lived together further indicates an environmental connection.¹⁶

Prenatal or childhood infections such as measles and mumps (in close succession) have also been linked to a higher likelihood of developing IBD.^{17,18} Cigarette smoking has been associated with the development and/or exacerbation of Crohn's disease, although the prevalence of ulcerative colitis tends to be low among current smokers.¹⁹⁻²² Appendectomy at an early age has been related to a decreased likelihood of developing ulcerative colitis.²³⁻²⁶

Table 1

Individual patients and total separations for Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis, Canada excluding territories, 1994/95 to 2000/01

	Cro	_	Ulo	cerative colitis			
	Number of patients	% with at least two hospital stays during year	Number of separa- tions	– N pa	umber of atients	% with at least two hospital stays during year	Number of separa- tions
1994/95 1995/96 1996/97 1997/98 1998/99 1999/00 2000/01	5,696 5,598 5,702 5,727 5,727 5,727 5,435 5,564	28.8 29.7 28.4 29.5 28.3 28.4 27.5	8,621 8,720 8,711 8,838 8,714 8,383 8,305		2,698 2,656 2,575 2,554 2,670 2,739 2,756	23.2 23.6 23.8 24.7 22.7 23.5 22.1	3,863 3,832 3,727 3,712 3,850 3,925 3,949

Data source: Health Person-Oriented Information Database, 1994/95 to 2000/01

IBD patients were hospitalized at least twice that year (Table 1). More than a quarter (28%) of Crohn's disease patients had at least two hospital stays for the condition in 2000/01; the percentage for ulcerative colitis patients was 22%. Both proportions were almost unchanged from 1994/95

Chart 1

Time to readmission for rehospitalized Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis patients, Canada excluding territories, 2000/01



Data source: Health Person-Oriented Information Database, 2000/01 Note: Rates of readmission for Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis patients are based on first rehospitalization in 2000/01 primarily due to same condition. (the earliest year for which comparable data are available), when the figures were 29% and 23%, respectively.

For many of these rehospitalized IBD patients, the time between discharge and readmission was relatively short. More than a quarter of them were back in hospital within 3 weeks; half, within 7 weeks; and two-thirds within 15 weeks (Chart 1). However, from the limited information available on patients' records, it is not possible to determine if these readmissions had been planned in advance for further treatment, or if they resulted from a relapse of the disease.

Levelling off

Annual age-adjusted hospitalization rates for Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis were relatively stable throughout the two decades (Chart 2). In 2000/01, the rate for Crohn's disease was 27.5 hospitalizations per 100,000 population—a small increase from 24.7 per 100,000 in 1983/84. The rate for ulcerative colitis was 12.6 per 100,000 population in 2000/01, about the same as the 1983/84 rate of 12.3 per 100,000.

Chart 2

Age-adjusted hospitalization rates for Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis, Canada excluding territories, 1983/84 to 2000/01



Data source: Hospital Morbidity Database, 1983/84 to 2000/01 Note: Rates based on records where Crohn's disease or ulcerative colitis was "tabulating diagnosis" (most significant condition causing hospital stay).

Methods

Data source

The data in this article are from Statistics Canada's Hospital Morbidity Database and Health Person-Oriented Information Database. The Hospital Morbidity Database consists of information on hospital separations (discharges or deaths) from most acute care and some psychiatric, chronic and rehabilitation hospitals.²⁷ Each record contains demographic (for example, date of birth, sex, postal code), administrative (for example, scrambled or unscrambled health insurance number, dates of admission and separation), and clinical information (for example, diagnoses). The data are based on the April-to-March fiscal year. Hospital admission data were available for the entire 1983/84 to 2000/01 period; patient-linked data from the Health Person-Oriented Information Database were available only for the years 1994/95 to 2000/01.

Population estimates used to calculate rates were provided by Statistics Canada's Demography Division.

Analytical techniques

Hospital patients often receive several diagnoses. Each record in the Hospital Morbidity Database can contain up to 16 diagnostic codes. Among these, the condition that accounts for the major part of the hospital stay is known as the "tabulating diagnosis." This diagnosis is usually the same as the primary diagnosis, which is the condition listed first in the patient's discharge abstract. In this article, the term "primary diagnosis" is used. In accordance with the International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision (ICD-9), Crohn's disease was defined as the presence of diagnostic codes 555.0, 555.1, 555.2 and 555.9; ulcerative colitis, code 556.28 Hospitalization rates for Crohn's disease or ulcerative colitis are based on records in which one of these conditions was the primary diagnosis. As well, a total rate of hospitalization for inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) was calculated based on records with a diagnosis of Crohn's disease or ulcerative colitis among the first five diagnostic codes.

Hospitalization rates were standardized using the indirect method. The hospitalization rates for Canada in 1991 were applied to each province's age- and sex-specific population distribution to generate the number of inpatients that would be expected in the province if it had the same rates as Canada.

Admission and separation dates were used to calculate length of stay (discharge date minus admission date).

Because hospital patients may be admitted and discharged more than once in any year, counts of separations exceed the number of people who were hospitalized. Hospital separation records for each patient were linked, based on a unique patient identifier (patient names are not provided to Statistics Canada) and sorted chronologically to generate a count of inpatients (as opposed to separations). For each patient, admission and separation dates were used to create hospitalization episodes. A yearly count of patients hospitalized for Crohn's disease and for ulcerative colitis was produced starting in 1994/95 (the earliest year for which complete data that enable tracking all hospitalizations in all provinces are available).

Limitations

The Hospital Morbidity Database and the Health Person-Oriented Information Database include only patients who were admitted to hospital. Those treated in hospital but not admitted for an overnight stay are excluded, as are people treated on an outpatient basis, and of course, individuals who receive care in doctors' offices, clinics or other non-hospital settings. Consequently, this article underestimates the true burden of inflammatory bowel disease, as it reflects only the more acute and severely symptomatic cases.

The data pertain primarily to patients in acute care hospitals. Depending on the year and jurisdiction, data for patients in other types of hospitals may or may not be reported. No adjustment was made for these or other excluded patients (military hospitals, prison hospitals, patients treated outside their home province, and patients in the territories).

The extent to which provincial variations in hospitalization rates result from differences in outpatient treatment and management of inflammatory bowel disease is unknown. As well, geographic variations may reflect provincial differences in extraction and coding practices. A patient record can have up to 16 diagnostic codes. The number of codes on a patient's chart varies from year to year and from province to province. To minimize the impact of this inconsistency, this analysis included only the first five diagnostic codes on a patient's discharge abstract. Thus, hospitalizations where inflammatory bowel disease appeared, but ranked lower among the diagnoses, were excluded. This practice may have resulted in some underestimation of IBD hospitalizations.

This stability in rates of hospitalization for Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis contrasts with the sharp drop in hospitalization rates overall. During the same period, the total hospitalization rate for all reasons fell steadily from 14,426 to 8,947 per 100,000 (see Trends in hospitalization). In fact, despite population growth, in 2000/01, there were fewer hospitalizations in Canada (2.86 million) than there had been in 1983/84 (3.62 million). By contrast, the numbers for both Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis were slightly higher at the end than at the beginning of the period. Consequently, although patient's with Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis accounted for just 0.4% of all admissions to acute care hospitals in 2000/01, this was up slightly from 0.3% in 1983/84.

Younger patients

Unlike many conditions that necessitate hospitalization and tend to affect older people, IBD hospitalization rates are high among young adults (Chart 3, Appendix Tables A and B).

For Crohn's disease, hospitalization rates peak among people in their twenties, and fall at successively older ages. In 2000/01, the rate for 20- to 29-year-olds was 48 hospitalizations per 100,000 population; for seniors aged 70 or older, 15.4 per 100,000. Hospitalization rates for ulcerative colitis vary little after age 20. In 2000/01, the highest rate—16.9 per 100,000—was among people in their twenties, but from ages 30 to 69, rates were not much lower, ranging between 12.9 and 15.5 per 100,000. At age 70 or older, the rate was 16.5 per 100,000, although it is possible that some older patients with ischemic colitis, a condition that mostly affects the elderly, were incorrectly coded as having ulcerative colitis.

Few children are hospitalized with either Crohn's disease or ulcerative colitis. From the early 1980s through 2000/01, hospitalization rates for both conditions among children younger than 10 hovered around 1 per 100,000.

Women's rate higher for Crohn's disease

Women are considerably more likely than men to be hospitalized for Crohn's disease. The rate in 2000/01 was 31.4 hospitalizations per 100,000 females, compared with 22.3 per 100,000 males (Chart 3). Among children and teenagers, rates varied little by sex, but starting among people in their twenties, a difference emerged: 56.5 hospitalizations per 100,000 women in this age range, compared with 39.7 per 100,000 men. This gap persisted in all older age groups.

Chart 3

Age-specific hospitalization rates for Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis, by sex, Canada excluding territories, 2000/01



Data source: Hospital Morbidity Database, 1983/84 to 2000/01





Hospitalization rates for ulcerative colitis were about the same among males and females. In 2000/01, the rates were 13.1 per 100,000 males and 12.5 per 100,000 females. And for each age group, differences in hospitalization rates between the sexes were small.

In the provinces

In 2000/01, hospitalization rates for Crohn's disease rates were above the 1983/84 level in the Atlantic provinces, Québec and Manitoba (Appendix Table C). By contrast, in Ontario, Saskatchewan, Alberta and British Columbia, 2000/01 rates were down from 1983/84.

Throughout the period, Crohn's disease hospitalization rates tended to be high in the Atlantic provinces, Saskatchewan and Alberta, and low in Ontario and Québec (Chart 4). Rates in British Columbia had been well above the national level in the early 1980s, but by the late 1990s, were the lowest in the country.

Chart 4

Age-adjusted hospitalization rates for Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis, by province,[†] 1983/84 to 2000/01



Data source: Hospital Morbidity Database, 1983/84 to 2000/01

† Because of low numbers in Prince Edward Island, small changes in annual hospitalizations can produce sharp fluctuations in rates; therefore, data for Prince Edward Island are not shown but are included in national totals (Appendix Table A).

Hospitalization rates for ulcerative colitis were generally high in the Atlantic provinces and Saskatchewan, and low in the other provinces (Appendix Table D).

Shorter stays/Fewer days

During the past two decades, hospital stays for IBD have become shorter. In the early 1980s, patients admitted with a primary diagnosis of Crohn's disease or ulcerative colitis stayed an average of about two weeks (Appendix Tables E and F). By 2000/01, the average was 9 or 10 days.

Shorter stays have meant that the annual number of hospital days devoted to the two diseases has fallen (Appendix Tables E and F). In 2000/01, Crohn's disease patients accounted for about 76,000 hospital days, compared with almost 93,000 days in 1983/84 (Chart 5). Close to 40,000 hospital days were attributable to ulcerative colitis in 2000/01, down from nearly 45,000 days in 1983/84.

However, this decline in IBD patient-days was slower than the drop in hospital days overall (see *Trends in hospitalization*). Consequently, as a percentage of all hospital days, those accounted for by Crohn's disease or ulcerative colitis rose from 0.34% to 0.46%.

Chart 5



Annual number of hospital days for Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis, 1983/84 to 2000/01

Data source: Hospital Morbidity Database, 1983/84 to 2000/01

Trends in hospitalization

Over the past two decades, the likelihood of being admitted to hospital has declined sharply, and those who are admitted now tend to stay less time than would have been the case 20 years ago.

In the 1980s and early 1990s, the annual number of hospitalizations was relatively stable, hovering around 3.7 million. However, since 1991/92, the number has dropped steadily so that in 2000/01, it was 2.9 million. The falling numbers reflect a sharp decline in the overall hospitalization rate, from 14,426 per 100,000 population at the beginning of the period to 8,947 per 100,000 at the end. This suggests that many patients who would once have been admitted are receiving treatment on an outpatient basis, and only the more serious cases are hospitalized. Even so, the average time that patients stay in hospital fell from close to 12 days in the late 1980s to less than 9 days in 2000/01. Lower hospitalization rates and shorter stays have meant that the annual number of days Canadians spent in hospital dropped from over 40 million in the 1980s and early 1990s to just over 25 million in 2000/01.

Total hospital separations, age-adjusted rates, average length of stay and total hospital days, Canada excluding territories, 1983/84 to 2000/01

	Hospital separations						
	Number (millions)	Age-adjusted rate per 100,000 population	Average length of stay (days)	Total hospital days (millions)			
1983/84	3.62	14,426	11.3	40.8			
1984/85	3.64	14,294	11.4	41.5			
1985/86	3.65	14,106	11.7	42.8			
1986/87	3.69	14,021	11.7	43.2			
1987/88	3.70	13,847	11.9	43.8			
1988/89	3.65	13,396	11.9	43.4			
1989/90	3.62	13,058	11.4	41.4			
1990/91	3.62	12,868	11.4	41.4			
1991/92	3.65	12,742	11.4	41.4			
1992/93	3.44	11,828	11.0	37.7			
1993/94	3.41	11,548	11.0	37.5			
1994/95	3.33	11,364	10.9	36.2			
1995/96	3.19	10,748	10.8	34.5			
1996/97	3.06	10,151	10.7	32.9			
1997/98	3.00	9,798	9.9	29.5			
1998/99	2.95	9,498	8.6	25.4			
1999/00	2.91	9,258	8.7	25.4			
2000/01	2.86	8,947	8.8	25.1			
Data source:	Hospital N	Norbidity Database,	1983/84 to 2000/0)1			



Beyond the primary diagnosis

Admissions with Crohn's disease or ulcerative colitis as the primary diagnosis give only part of the picture of the impact these conditions have on hospital resources. For example, in 2000/01, Crohn's disease was the primary diagnosis on the patient's discharge abstract for 8,305 hospitalizations, but it was listed second for another 4,207, and third for 1,964. The pattern was the same for ulcerative colitis—3,949 hospitalizations were attributed to the disease, but it was the second diagnosis recorded in another 1,494 admissions, and third in an additional 920.

If hospitalizations with Crohn's disease or ulcerative colitis recorded among the first five diagnoses from a patient's chart are considered together, in 2000/01, the total number amounted to 23,152, and the hospitalization rate was 74.5 per 100,000 population (Appendix Table G). These hospitalizations accounted for 206,095 days, almost twice the total when only primary diagnoses are considered (115,580).

Concluding remarks

Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis made up less than half of one percent of all hospital separations in 2000/01. However, over the past two decades, IBD hospitalization rates have been relatively stable, in sharp contrast to a steady decline in the overall rate of hospitalization in Canada. As a result, the percentage of all hospitalizations attributable to IBD has risen.

To a considerable degree, the stability of IBD rates reflects a high proportion of readmissions, with about a quarter of patients being hospitalized at least twice within the same year. In an era of cutbacks and efforts to treat more conditions on an ambulatory basis, Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis seem to be resistant. If people are increasingly treated as outpatients, but a substantial number still require hospital care, this could indicate a rising prevalence of these diseases. The average length of stay for IBD patients has fallen since the early 1980s, with a consequent drop in patient-days. Even so, this decrease did not keep pace with the drop in patient-days overall, so by 2000/01, the percentage of all hospital days attributable to Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis was actually higher than in 1983/84.

Provincial variations in IBD hospitalization rates, particularly for Crohn's disease, could result from a combination of several factors. For example, the high rates in the Atlantic region (and to a lesser extent in Saskatchewan) might reflect the substantial proportion of the population living in rural areas. Both conditions require prolonged care and monitoring by a specialist. Because of the distances involved, it may be more difficult to treat rural residents as outpatients, so there may be a tendency to hospitalize those who would need repeated trips for care. The decision to hospitalize an IBD patient may reflect physicians' diagnostic and practice styles, experience, and the availability of alternatives, but such data are not available from the Hospital Morbidity Database or the Health Person-Oriented Information Database. Differences in disease prevalence and severity may also play a role, but again, such information is not available.

In an era of general decline in hospitalization rates, the stability of rates for Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis suggests that management of the conditions is challenging for both the health care system and for the people diagnosed. Unlike many other patients, those hospitalized—and repeatedly hospitalized—for IBD are often in their twenties and thirties, an important time in family and career development.

Acknowledgements

Dr. Charles N. Bernstein is supported in part by a Canadian Institutes of Health Research Investigator Award and a Crohn's and Colitis Foundation of Canada Research Scientist Award. The authors thank Kathy Nguyen and Sumaya Bahar for their assistance.

References

- 1 Pallis AG, Vlachonikolis IG, Mouzas IA. Assessing healthrelated quality of life in patients with inflammatory bowel disease, in Crete, Greece. *Bio Med Central Gastroenterology* 2002; 2(1): 1.
- 2 Bernstein CN, Blanchard JF, Rawsthorne P, et al. Epidemiology of Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis in a central Canadian province: A population-based study. *American Journal of Epidemiology* 1999; 149: 916-24.
- 3 Berkow R, Beers MH, Fletcher AJ, eds. *The Merck Manual of Medical Information*. Whitehouse Station, New Jersey: Merck Research Laboratories, 1997: 528-32.
- 4 Younger-Lewis C, ed. *Complete Home Medical Guide*. Canadian Medical Association. Toronto: Tournaline Editions, Inc., 2001: 658-60.
- 5 Bernstein CN, Blanchard JF. Epidemiology of inflammatory bowel disease. In: Cohen RD, ed. *Clinical Gasteroenterology: Inflammatory Bowel Disease: Diagnosis and Therapeutics.* Totowa, New Jersey: Human Press, Inc., 2003: 17-32.
- 6 Bernstein CN. Infliximab as first line therapy for Crohn's disease: Commentary. *Inflammatory Bowel Diseases* 2002; 8(1): 63-5.
- 7 Podolsky DK. Medical progress: Inflammatory bowel disease. *The New England Journal of Medicine* 2002; 347(6): 417-29.
- 8 Hampe J, Grebe J, Nikolaus S, et al. Association of NOD2 (CARD15) genotype with clinical course of Crohn's disease: A cohort study. *Lancet* 2002; 359(9318): 1661-5.
- 9 Vermeire S, Wild G, Kocher K, et al. CARD15 Genetic variation in a Quebec population: Prevalence, genotypephenotype relationship, and haplotype structure. *American Journal of Human Genetics* 2002; 71(1): 74-83.
- 10 Watts DA, Satsangi J. The genetic jigsaw of inflammatory bowel disease. *Gut Online* 2002; 50(Supplement 3): III 31-6.
- 11 Hermon-Taylor J, Bull T. Crohn's disease caused by Mycobacterium avium subspecies paratuberculosis: A public health tragedy whose resolution is long overdue. *Journal of Medical Microbiology* 2002; 51(1): 3-6.
- 12 Bernstein CN, Nayar G, Hamel A, et al. A pursuit of animalborne infections in the mucosa of subjects with inflammatory bowel disease and population-based controls. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* 2003; 41: 4986-90.
- 13 Bernstein CN, Blanchard JF, Rawsthorne P, et al. A population-based case control study of seroprevalence of Mycobacterium paratuberculosis in patients with Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* 2004 (in press).

- 14 Weinstock JV, Summers RW, Elliott DE, et al. The possible link between de-worming and the emergence of immunological disease. *Journal of Laboratory Clinical Medicine* 2001; 139(6): 334-8.
- 15 McCormick P, Manning D. Chronic inflammatory bowel disease and the 'over-clean' environment: rarity in the Irish 'traveler' community. *Irish Medical Journal* 2001; 94(7): 203-4.
- 16 Laharie D, Debeugny S, Peeters M, et al. Inflammatory bowel disease in spouses and their offspring. *Gastroenterology Journal* 2001; 120(4): 816-9.
- 17 Stallmach A, Castens O. Role of infections in the manifestation or reactivation of inflammatory bowel diseases. *Inflammatory Bowel Disease: Journal of the Crohn's and Colitis Foundation of America* 2002; 8(3): 213-8.
- 18 Ekbom A, Wakefield AJ, Zack M, et al. Perinatal measles infection and subsequent Crohn's disease. *Lancet* 1994; 344(8921): 508-10.
- 19 Mitchell SA, Thyssen M, Orchard TR, et al. Cigarette smoking, appendectomy, and tonsillectomy as risk factors for the development of primary sclerosing cholangitis: a case control study. *Gut* 2002; 51(4): 567-73.
- 20 Harries AD, Baird A, Rhodes J. Non-smoking: a feature of ulcerative colitis. *British Medical Journal* 1982; 284(6317): 706.
- 21 Lindberg E, Tysk C, Andersson K, et al. Smoking and inflammatory bowel disease: a case-control study. *Gut* 1988; 29(3): 352-7.
- 22 Orholm M, Binder V, Sørenson TIA, et al. Concordance of inflammatory bowel disease among Danish twins: Results of a nationwide study. *Scandinavian Journal of Gastroenterology* 2000; 35(10): 1075-81.
- 23 Parrello T, Pavia M, Angelillo IF, et al. Appendectomy is an independent protective factor for ulcerative colitis: results of a multicentre case control study. The Italian Group for the Study of Colon and Rectum (GISC). *Italian Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology* 1997; 29(3): 208-11.
- 24 Andersonn RE, Olaison G, Tysk C, et al. Appendectomy and protection against ulcerative colitis. *The New England Journal of Medicine* 2001; 344(11): 808-14.
- 25 Lopez-Ramos D, Gabiel R, Cantero-Perona J, et al. Prevalence of appendectomy among ulcerative colitis patients and their relatives. *European Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology* 2001; 13(2): 1231-3.
- 26 Dijkstra B, Bagshaw PF, Frizelle FA. Protective effect of appendectomy on the development of ulcerative colitis: Matched case-control study. *Diseases of the Colon and Rectum* 1999; 42(3): 334-6.
- 27 Statistics Canada. POI Data Dictionary (unpublished). 2003.
- 28 World Health Organization. Manual of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases, Injuries and Causes of Death. Based on the Recommendations of the Ninth Revision Conference, 1975. Geneva: World Health Organization, 1977.



Appendix

Table A

Hospital separations and age-specific rates for Crohn's disease, Canada excluding territories, 1983/84 to 2000/01

			Age group						
	Total	0 to 9	10 to 19	20 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 59	60 to 69	70+
Number of separations									
1983/84 1984/85 1985/86 1986/87 1987/88 1988/89 1989/90 1990/91 1991/92 1992/93 1993/94 1994/95 1995/96 1995/96 1996/97 1997/98 1998/99 1999/00 2000/01	6,403 6,741 7,313 7,607 7,948 7,977 8,135 8,489 8,763 8,763 8,763 8,763 8,763 8,763 8,763 8,764 8,720 8,621 8,621 8,621 8,720 8,711 8,838 8,714 8,383 8,305	45 38 35 41 42 31 44 32 36 35 53 46 34 55 28 47 48	952 913 964 1,023 1,096 1,020 962 1,024 980 930 915 864 967 915 864 967 914 1,017 1,031 975 992	2,147 2,365 2,532 2,554 2,602 2,551 2,592 2,704 2,774 2,691 2,606 2,630 2,514 2,400 2,391 2,176 2,096 2,030	1,439 1,531 1,705 1,830 1,873 1,913 2,057 2,007 2,219 2,262 2,233 2,200 2,309 2,345 2,347 2,282 2,208 2,323	713 694 870 885 960 1,023 1,146 1,168 1,277 1,328 1,305 1,379 1,397 1,428 1,416 1,448 1,398 1,371	477 559 512 577 599 595 586 692 639 630 622 661 663 742 739 861 795 896	373 353 358 390 433 472 448 475 451 487 484 454 454 454 454 475 454 479 443 421	257 288 337 313 344 361 313 375 391 367 362 380 377 373 419 409 421 415
Rate per 100,000 population									
1983/84 1984/85 1985/86 1986/87 1987/88 1988/89 1989/90 1990/91 1991/92 1992/93 1993/94 1994/95 1995/96 1995/96 1996/97 1997/98 1998/99 1999/00 2000/01	24.7 25.6 27.5 28.2 29.2 28.9 29.1 30.2 30.8 30.4 29.7 30.1 30.2 29.9 30.1 29.9 30.1 29.3 28.0 27.5	$\begin{array}{c} 1.2 \\ 1.0 \\ 1.0 \\ 0.9 \\ 1.1 \\ 1.1 \\ 0.8 \\ 1.1 \\ 0.8 \\ 0.9 \\ 0.9 \\ 1.3 \\ 1.2 \\ 0.9 \\ 1.4 \\ 0.7 \\ 1.2 \\ 1.3 \end{array}$	24.1 23.7 25.3 27.0 28.9 26.8 25.2 26.7 25.3 23.8 23.2 21.8 24.1 22.6 25.0 25.2 23.7 23.9	43.6 47.9 50.9 51.9 53.6 52.9 54.5 58.3 60.7 60.0 59.7 61.0 59.4 57.2 57.0 52.0 49.8 48.0	$\begin{array}{c} 35.0\\ 36.1\\ 39.0\\ 41.0\\ 40.6\\ 42.5\\ 40.6\\ 43.8\\ 43.7\\ 42.7\\ 42.3\\ 44.1\\ 44.8\\ 45.2\\ 44.5\\ 43.6\\ 42.5\\ \end{array}$	25.3 23.9 27.9 28.8 29.2 31.3 30.6 32.2 32.4 30.7 32.6 31.8 31.6 30.6 30.7 28.9 27.7	19.3 22.7 20.7 23.2 24.0 23.7 23.1 26.8 24.3 23.3 22.2 23.6 23.0 23.0 24.8 23.4 26.0 23.0 24.8	18.9 17.5 17.3 18.4 19.9 21.3 19.9 20.9 19.6 21.0 20.8 19.6 19.2 20.4 19.4 20.3 18.6 17.5	$\begin{array}{c} 15.1\\ 16.4\\ 18.6\\ 16.7\\ 17.9\\ 18.3\\ 15.3\\ 17.6\\ 17.7\\ 16.1\\ 15.3\\ 16.4\\ 15.9\\ 15.3\\ 16.7\\ 15.9\\ 16.0\\ 15.4\end{array}$

Data source: Hospital Morbidity Database, 1983/84 to 2000/01 *Note:* Rate for total population is age-adjusted.
Table B	
Hospital separations and age-specific rates for ulcerative colitis, Canada excluding territories,	1983/84 to 2000/01

		Age group									
	Total	0 to 9	10 to 19	20 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 59	60 to 69	70+		
Number of separations											
1983/84 1984/85 1985/86 1986/87 1987/88 1988/89 1989/90 1990/91 1991/92 1992/93 1992/93 1993/94 1994/95 1995/96 1996/97 1997/98 1998/99 1999/00 2000/01	3,102 3,347 3,501 3,689 3,600 3,687 3,749 4,011 3,969 3,856 3,856 3,856 3,856 3,856 3,856 3,852 3,727 3,712 3,712 3,850 3,925 3,949	40 46 40 38 37 55 46 56 48 45 52 44 30 25 35 42 38 44	346 338 371 341 319 358 344 412 362 356 326 351 346 336 368 393 391 396	757 839 924 975 973 880 955 964 961 921 846 804 798 764 720 695 764 713	657 681 747 827 787 841 866 941 935 903 948 970 884 872 850 830 876 766	326 427 413 482 429 495 523 547 545 529 575 579 650 641 641 657 694 764	336 319 363 338 340 316 304 309 334 324 371 362 340 386 372 470 398 511	295 343 320 333 341 328 379 330 329 341 318 365 320 338 347 304 310	345 354 323 355 383 401 383 403 454 449 397 435 419 383 383 388 416 460 445		
Rate per 100,000 population											
1983/84 1984/85 1985/86 1986/87 1987/88 1988/89 1989/90 1990/91 1991/92 1992/93 1993/94 1994/95 1995/96 1995/96 1996/97 1997/98 1998/99 1999/00 2000/01	$12.3 \\ 13.1 \\ 13.4 \\ 13.9 \\ 13.4 \\ 13.5 \\ 13.5 \\ 14.3 \\ 13.9 \\ 13.3 \\ 13.2 \\ 13.3 \\ 13.2 \\ 13.3 \\ 13.1 \\ 12.6 \\ 12.4 \\ 12.6 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.6 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.6 \\ 12.6 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.6 \\ 12.6 \\ 12.6 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.6 \\ 12.6 \\ 12.6 \\ 12.6 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.6 \\ 12.6 \\ 12.6 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.6 \\ 12.6 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.6 \\ 12.8 \\ $	$\begin{array}{c} 1.1\\ 1.3\\ 1.1\\ 1.0\\ 1.0\\ 1.4\\ 1.2\\ 1.4\\ 1.2\\ 1.1\\ 1.3\\ 1.1\\ 0.8\\ 0.6\\ 0.9\\ 1.1\\ 1.0\\ 1.1\end{array}$	8.8 9.7 9.0 8.4 9.0 10.7 9.4 9.1 8.3 8.9 8.6 8.3 9.0 9.6 9.5 9.6	$15.4 \\ 17.0 \\ 18.6 \\ 19.8 \\ 20.1 \\ 18.2 \\ 20.1 \\ 20.8 \\ 21.0 \\ 20.6 \\ 19.4 \\ 18.6 \\ 18.8 \\ 18.2 \\ 17.2 \\ 16.6 \\ 18.2 \\ 16.9 \\ 16.9 \\ 17.0 \\ 16.9 \\ 17.0 \\ 16.0 \\ 18.0 \\ 16.0 \\ 16.0 \\ 10.0 \\ $	16.0 16.1 17.1 18.5 17.2 17.8 17.9 19.0 18.5 18.5 18.1 18.7 16.9 16.7 16.4 16.2 17.3 15.3	$11.6 \\ 14.7 \\ 13.7 \\ 15.2 \\ 12.9 \\ 14.1 \\ 14.3 \\ 14.4 \\ 13.8 \\ 12.9 \\ 13.5 \\ 13.7 \\ 14.8 \\ 14.2 \\ 13.9 \\ 13.9 \\ 13.9 \\ 13.9 \\ 14.4 \\ 15.5 \\ 15.5 \\ 15.5 \\ 10.7 \\ $	13.6 12.9 14.7 13.6 13.7 12.6 12.0 12.0 12.7 12.0 13.3 12.9 11.8 14.2 11.5 14.2	$\begin{array}{c} 14.9\\ 17.0\\ 15.5\\ 15.7\\ 15.3\\ 15.4\\ 14.6\\ 16.7\\ 14.4\\ 14.2\\ 14.7\\ 13.7\\ 15.7\\ 13.7\\ 15.7\\ 13.7\\ 14.4\\ 14.7\\ 12.8\\ 12.9\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 20.3\\ 20.1\\ 17.8\\ 19.0\\ 20.0\\ 20.3\\ 18.7\\ 19.0\\ 20.6\\ 19.6\\ 16.8\\ 18.8\\ 17.6\\ 16.8\\ 18.8\\ 17.5\\ 16.5\\ 16.2\\ 17.5\\ 16.5\\ \end{array}$		

Data source: Hospital Morbidity Database, 1983/84 to 2000/01 *Note:* Rate for total population is age-adjusted.



Table C	
Hospital separations and age-adjusted rates for Crohn's disease, Canada and provinces,	1983/84 to 2000/01

	Canada [†]	Nfld.	P.E.I.	N.S.	N.B.	Que.	Ont.	Man.	Sask.	Alta.	B.C.
Number of separations											
1983/84 1984/85 1985/86 1986/87 1987/88 1988/89 1989/90 1990/91 1991/92 1992/93 1993/94 1994/95 1995/96 1996/97 1997/98 1998/99 1999/00 2000/01	6,403 6,741 7,313 7,607 7,948 7,977 8,135 8,489 8,763 8,763 8,763 8,763 8,763 8,763 8,763 8,763 8,763 8,763 8,763 8,711 8,720 8,711 8,838 8,714 8,383 8,305	170 191 212 195 156 175 251 244 297 290 270 286 268 258 283 292 273 262	21 22 28 35 23 46 30 45 53 52 41 58 59 47 45 72 53 46	307 341 354 431 424 443 444 415 443 422 450 450 426 436 394 395	158 198 231 220 228 307 277 326 328 385 365 367 361 374 390 331 356	1,336 1,395 1,489 1,542 1,693 1,688 1,625 1,703 1,828 1,819 2,033 2,050 2,123 2,082 2,184 2,147 2,101 2,115	2,269 2,310 2,463 2,615 2,766 2,825 2,842 3,019 3,028 2,928 2,682 2,843 2,849 3,025 2,875 2,774 2,688 2,677	225 231 279 301 281 320 340 319 316 318 259 261 261 305 270 269 296	312 278 372 352 381 384 368 340 342 356 342 376 359 337 364 360 316 346	902 875 973 874 876 811 906 975 1,060 1,023 1,005 941 942 914 866 926 982 928	703 900 954 1,031 1,121 1,076 1,053 1,103 1,066 1,016 1,036 1,016 1,034 969 1,103 1,018 953 851
Age-adjusted rate per 100,000 population											
1983/84 1984/85 1985/86 1986/87 1987/88 1988/89 1989/90 1990/91 1991/92 1992/93 1993/94 1994/95 1995/96 1995/96 1996/97 1997/98 1998/99 1999/00 2000/01	24.7 25.6 27.5 28.2 29.2 28.9 29.1 30.2 30.8 30.4 29.7 30.1 30.2 29.9 30.1 29.3 28.0 27.5	$\begin{array}{c} 31.7\\ 35.4\\ 38.9\\ 35.4\\ 27.9\\ 30.8\\ 43.6\\ 41.7\\ 49.6\\ 48.2\\ 43.9\\ 47.9\\ 44.8\\ 43.3\\ 47.6\\ 49.0\\ 45.8\\ 43.4\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 18.3 \\ 18.8 \\ 23.4 \\ 29.1 \\ 18.8 \\ 37.0 \\ 24.0 \\ 35.6 \\ 41.2 \\ 40.3 \\ 31.1 \\ 43.5 \\ 43.3 \\ 33.7 \\ 31.7 \\ 49.9 \\ 36.1 \\ 30.7 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 37.5\\ 40.8\\ 41.6\\ 50.0\\ 49.4\\ 47.7\\ 49.2\\ 48.4\\ 47.6\\ 44.2\\ 46.6\\ 44.5\\ 46.8\\ 46.1\\ 43.1\\ 43.3\\ 38.5\\ 38.0\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 23.6\\ 29.1\\ 27.3\\ 33.0\\ 31.0\\ 31.6\\ 41.8\\ 37.0\\ 42.7\\ 42.8\\ 49.7\\ 48.8\\ 46.9\\ 45.4\\ 46.5\\ 47.8\\ 40.1\\ 42.5\end{array}$	21.3 21.9 22.9 23.2 25.2 24.5 23.1 23.9 25.1 24.8 27.4 27.6 28.2 27.1 28.2 27.1 28.2 27.1 26.2 25.9	26.4 26.2 27.1 27.9 28.7 28.1 27.5 28.7 28.0 26.9 24.3 25.5 25.0 25.9 24.1 22.7 21.5 20.8	22.9 23.0 27.1 28.9 26.7 30.1 28.9 31.4 29.0 28.5 28.4 23.1 22.9 22.6 26.0 22.7 22.3 24.1	$\begin{array}{c} 34.1\\ 29.7\\ 39.3\\ 36.8\\ 39.7\\ 40.1\\ 38.9\\ 35.8\\ 35.6\\ 36.8\\ 34.9\\ 38.4\\ 36.0\\ 33.2\\ 35.1\\ 34.1\\ 29.6\\ 32.0\\ \end{array}$	39.0 37.4 40.7 36.5 36.3 32.8 35.5 37.4 39.5 37.9 36.4 33.8 32.9 31.1 28.5 29.4 30.3 27.8	$\begin{array}{c} 25.6\\ 32.3\\ 33.5\\ 35.5\\ 37.5\\ 34.6\\ 32.5\\ 33.0\\ 30.5\\ 28.9\\ 28.2\\ 26.6\\ 26.1\\ 23.5\\ 26.0\\ 23.7\\ 21.6\\ 18.9 \end{array}$

Data source: Hospital Morbidity Database, 1983/84 to 2000/01 † Excludes territories

		Σ.
2	7	
 		
ັ		

Table D	
Hospital separations and age-adjusted rates for ulcerative colitis, Canada and province	ces, 1983/84 to 2000/01

	Canada [†]	Nfld.	P.E.I.	N.S.	N.B.	Que.	Ont.	Man.	Sask.	Alta.	B.C.
Number of separations											
1983/84 1984/85 1985/86 1986/87 1987/88 1988/89 1989/90 1990/91 1991/92 1992/93 1993/94 1994/95 1995/96 1995/96 1995/96 1997/198 1998/99 1999/00 2000/01	3,102 3,347 3,501 3,689 3,600 3,687 3,749 4,011 3,969 3,856 3,856 3,856 3,863 3,832 3,727 3,712 3,850 3,925 3,949	99 89 89 84 114 108 112 101 104 119 106 97 102 116 126 100	9 13 18 16 24 19 17 22 27 27 27 27 27 17 25 20 16 14 10 17 18	125 149 158 177 170 181 183 229 204 193 182 166 185 159 113 142 129 142	99 101 113 104 108 132 140 153 126 128 172 153 151 170 165 167 138 162	579 612 655 758 735 736 789 785 879 871 843 873 862 846 792 868 869 829	1,238 1,333 1,364 1,399 1,298 1,341 1,351 1,468 1,400 1,336 1,359 1,388 1,443 1,332 1,366 1,446 1,497 1,453	119 111 110 112 128 152 120 137 140 132 139 134 108 141 153 128 142 135	180 176 175 151 170 124 154 149 179 141 134 138 193 154 132 144 136	291 353 368 362 366 364 404 404 400 368 326 318 319 284 322 295 325 354	363 410 451 492 508 507 551 532 521 570 550 496 483 528 537 533 574
Age-adjusted rate per 100,000 population											
1983/84 1984/85 1985/86 1986/87 1987/88 1988/89 1989/90 1990/91 1990/91 1991/92 1992/93 1993/94 1994/95 1995/96 1995/96 1996/97 1997/98 1998/99 1999/00 2000/01	12.3 13.1 13.4 13.9 13.4 13.5 13.5 14.3 13.9 13.3 13.2 13.3 13.1 12.6 12.4 12.6 12.8 12.6	$19.6 \\ 17.5 \\ 17.0 \\ 22.4 \\ 16.7 \\ 15.3 \\ 20.4 \\ 18.9 \\ 19.1 \\ 17.1 \\ 17.1 \\ 17.3 \\ 20.2 \\ 17.9 \\ 16.2 \\ 17.0 \\ 19.2 \\ 20.8 \\ 16.1 \\ 10.1 \\ $	$\begin{array}{c} 7.9 \\ 11.2 \\ 15.1 \\ 13.3 \\ 19.6 \\ 15.2 \\ 13.4 \\ 17.2 \\ 20.5 \\ 20.4 \\ 12.6 \\ 18.3 \\ 14.3 \\ 11.2 \\ 9.6 \\ 6.7 \\ 11.2 \\ 11.5 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 15.6\\ 18.2\\ 18.8\\ 20.8\\ 19.6\\ 20.4\\ 20.2\\ 24.9\\ 21.6\\ 20.3\\ 18.8\\ 17.1\\ 18.8\\ 15.9\\ 11.1\\ 13.6\\ 12.2\\ 13.1\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 15.2\\ 15.3\\ 16.7\\ 15.1\\ 15.4\\ 18.4\\ 19.1\\ 20.5\\ 16.4\\ 16.6\\ 22.0\\ 20.2\\ 19.0\\ 21.0\\ 20.0\\ 19.9\\ 16.3\\ 18.6\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 9.5\\ 9.9\\ 10.3\\ 11.6\\ 11.1\\ 10.8\\ 11.3\\ 11.0\\ 12.0\\ 11.8\\ 11.2\\ 11.6\\ 11.2\\ 10.8\\ 9.9\\ 10.7\\ 10.6\\ 9.7\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 14.7\\ 15.5\\ 15.2\\ 15.1\\ 13.6\\ 13.5\\ 13.1\\ 14.0\\ 12.9\\ 12.3\\ 12.2\\ 12.3\\ 12.5\\ 11.2\\ 11.2\\ 11.5\\ 11.7\\ 10.9 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 12.2\\ 11.1\\ 10.7\\ 10.8\\ 12.1\\ 14.2\\ 11.1\\ 12.4\\ 12.5\\ 11.6\\ 12.1\\ 11.6\\ 9.2\\ 11.9\\ 12.7\\ 10.4\\ 11.4\\ 10.5\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 19.7 \\ 19.0 \\ 18.5 \\ 15.8 \\ 17.6 \\ 17.5 \\ 12.8 \\ 15.7 \\ 14.9 \\ 17.8 \\ 13.9 \\ 13.1 \\ 13.3 \\ 18.3 \\ 14.3 \\ 12.0 \\ 12.9 \\ 15.5 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 13.5\\ 16.2\\ 15.8\\ 15.8\\ 15.3\\ 16.3\\ 15.9\\ 15.2\\ 13.9\\ 12.0\\ 11.6\\ 11.3\\ 9.8\\ 10.7\\ 9.4\\ 10.1\\ 10.6\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 13.4\\ 14.8\\ 15.8\\ 16.9\\ 17.0\\ 16.2\\ 15.5\\ 16.2\\ 15.0\\ 14.5\\ 15.2\\ 14.1\\ 12.3\\ 11.5\\ 12.2\\ 12.3\\ 11.8\\ 12.2\end{array}$

Data source: Hospital Morbidity Database, 1983/84 to 2000/01 † Excludes territories



Table E

Number of hospital days and average length of stay for Crohn's disease, by age group, Canada excluding territories, 1983/84 to 2000/01

					Age group				
	Total	0 to 9	10 to 19	20 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 59	60 to 69	70+
Number of days									
1983/84 1984/85 1985/86 1986/87 1987/88 1988/89 1989/90 1990/91 1991/92 1992/93 1993/94 1993/94 1994/95 1995/96 1995/96 1996/97 1997/98 1998/99 1999/00 2000/01	92,567 95,489 104,961 101,366 102,567 100,589 99,372 96,015 102,928 100,503 91,611 88,577 82,768 85,196 79,896 82,054 73,600 75,709	436 344 381 266 266 419 255 204 229 240 290 424 359 331 512 261 419 284	$\begin{array}{c} 12,454\\ 10,748\\ 11,681\\ 11,395\\ 12,552\\ 10,330\\ 10,129\\ 10,644\\ 9,248\\ 8,960\\ 7,874\\ 7,179\\ 8,266\\ 7,547\\ 8,523\\ 8,223\\ 8,223\\ 8,347\\ 7,675\end{array}$	28,048 31,489 31,427 30,468 30,096 30,352 28,723 27,484 29,448 28,713 24,578 24,786 21,389 20,048 19,688 17,550 16,673 15,635	19,088 19,832 23,215 23,782 24,143 23,572 23,641 21,284 24,435 23,608 22,838 21,345 21,521 20,468 19,407 20,070 18,713 16,949	$\begin{array}{c} 10,282\\ 10,311\\ 11,443\\ 11,144\\ 12,604\\ 13,016\\ 14,100\\ 12,514\\ 13,928\\ 13,715\\ 14,788\\ 14,291\\ 12,934\\ 13,399\\ 12,636\\ 13,692\\ 11,582\\ 11,678\\ \end{array}$	8,207 9,518 8,047 8,338 8,611 7,918 7,957 8,861 8,257 8,020 8,573 8,414 6,811 6,989 7,625 9,257 7,581 9,349	7,047 5,803 9,653 7,513 7,095 7,789 6,764 7,781 6,186 6,149 6,753 6,213 5,429 5,848 5,182 5,307 4,881 4,919	7,005 7,444 9,114 8,460 7,200 7,193 7,803 7,243 11,197 11,099 5,919 5,926 6,061 10,559 6,325 7,696 5,406 9,222
Average number of days									
1983/84 1984/85 1985/86 1986/87 1987/88 1988/89 1989/90 1990/91 1991/92 1992/93 1993/94 1994/95 1995/96 1996/97 1997/98 1998/99 1999/00 2000/01	14.5 14.2 14.4 13.3 12.9 12.6 12.2 11.3 11.8 11.8 10.7 10.3 9.5 9.8 9.0 9.4 8.8 9.1	9.7 9.1 10.9 7.6 6.5 10.0 8.2 4.6 7.2 8.0 8.3 8.0 7.8 9.7 9.1 9.3 8.9 5.8	13.1 11.8 12.1 11.1 11.5 10.1 10.5 10.4 9.4 9.4 8.6 8.3 8.5 8.3 8.5 8.3 8.4 8.0 8.6 7 7	13.1 13.3 12.4 11.9 11.6 11.9 11.1 10.2 10.6 10.7 9.4 9.4 8.5 8.4 8.2 8.1 8.0 7 7	13.3 13.0 13.6 13.0 12.9 12.3 11.5 10.6 11.0 11.0 10.2 9.7 9.4 8.7 8.3 8.8 8.5 8.0	14.4 14.9 13.2 12.6 13.1 12.7 12.3 10.7 10.9 11.0 11.3 10.4 9.3 9.4 8.9 9.5 8.3 8.5	17.2 17.0 15.7 14.5 14.4 13.3 13.6 12.8 12.9 12.9 12.9 13.8 12.7 10.3 9.4 10.3 10.7 9.6 10.5	18.9 16.4 27.0 19.3 16.4 16.5 15.1 16.4 13.7 14.0 13.7 12.2 12.4 11.5 11.1 11.0 11.7	27.3 25.9 27.0 20.9 19.9 19.3 28.6 29.1 16.4 15.6 16.1 28.3 15.1 18.7 12.9 22.2

Data source: Hospital Morbidity Database, 1983/84 to 2000/01

39

Table F

Number of hospital days and average length of stay for ulcerative colitis, by age group, Canada excluding territories, 1983/84 to 2000/01

		Age group									
	Total	0 to 9	10 to 19	20 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 59	60 to 69	70+		
Number of days											
1983/84 1984/85 1985/86 1986/87 1987/88 1988/89 1989/90 1990/91 1991/92 1992/93 1993/94 1994/95 1995/96 1995/96 1995/97 1997/98 1998/99 1999/00 2000/01	44,888 46,585 48,786 52,000 51,537 49,638 47,287 48,971 50,578 49,072 48,379 47,066 40,930 40,354 39,142 42,686 41,125 39,871	393 667 347 336 382 528 485 455 419 386 494 543 239 254 352 463 299 508	4,367 3,981 4,006 3,859 3,450 3,576 3,862 4,128 3,662 3,460 3,448 2,973 3,097 2,952 3,346 3,611 3,633 3,605	$\begin{array}{c} 10,550\\ 10,553\\ 11,307\\ 12,149\\ 12,241\\ 9,948\\ 10,624\\ 11,091\\ 11,060\\ 10,537\\ 9,175\\ 8,035\\ 7,772\\ 7,117\\ 6,481\\ 6,505\\ 6,858\\ 6,054 \end{array}$	8,201 8,813 9,730 10,254 10,191 10,285 10,044 10,296 10,809 10,474 10,849 9,955 8,790 8,453 8,064 7,743 8,412 6,744	4,447 5,894 5,432 6,571 6,674 6,457 6,634 6,998 6,378 6,222 6,922 6,693 6,790 7,260 6,280 6,492 7,201 7,136	4,650 4,516 5,709 4,792 5,295 4,118 4,047 4,224 4,961 4,834 4,321 4,234 3,729 4,568 4,004 5,298 4,207 5,122	5,115 5,307 5,351 5,289 5,557 5,728 4,276 5,465 4,514 4,542 4,843 8,328 4,351 3,822 4,215 4,388 3,971 3,888	7,165 6,854 6,904 8,750 7,747 8,998 7,315 6,314 8,775 8,617 8,328 6,308 6,163 5,930 6,400 8,186 6,546 6,817		
Average number of days											
1983/84 1984/85 1985/86 1986/87 1987/88 1988/89 1989/90 1990/91 1991/92 1992/93 1993/94 1994/95 1995/96 1995/96 1996/97 1997/98 1998/99 1999/00 2000/01	14.5 13.9 13.9 14.1 14.3 13.5 12.6 12.2 12.7 12.8 12.6 12.2 10.7 10.8 10.5 11.1 10.5 10.1	9.8 14.5 8.7 8.8 10.3 9.6 10.5 8.1 8.7 8.6 9.5 11.5 8.0 9.8 10.0 11.0 7.7 11.8	12.6 11.8 10.8 11.3 10.8 10.0 11.2 10.0 10.1 9.8 10.5 8.5 9.0 8.8 9.1 9.2 9.3 9.1	13.9 12.6 12.2 12.5 12.6 11.3 11.1 11.5 11.5 11.5 10.8 10.0 9.7 9.3 9.0 9.4 9.0 8.5	$\begin{array}{c} 12.5 \\ 12.9 \\ 13.0 \\ 12.4 \\ 13.0 \\ 12.2 \\ 11.6 \\ 10.9 \\ 11.6 \\ 11.6 \\ 11.4 \\ 10.3 \\ 10.0 \\ 9.7 \\ 9.5 \\ 9.3 \\ 9.6 \\ 8.8 \end{array}$	13.6 13.8 13.2 13.6 15.6 13.0 12.7 12.8 11.7 11.8 12.1 11.6 10.4 11.3 9.8 9.9 10.4 9.4	13.8 14.2 15.7 14.2 15.6 13.0 13.3 13.7 14.9 15.0 11.7 11.7 11.7 11.0 11.8 10.8 11.3 10.6 10.0	$\begin{array}{c} 17.3\\ 15.5\\ 16.7\\ 15.9\\ 16.7\\ 16.8\\ 13.0\\ 14.4\\ 13.7\\ 13.8\\ 14.2\\ 26.2\\ 11.9\\ 11.9\\ 12.5\\ 12.7\\ 13.1\\ 12.5\end{array}$	20.8 19.4 21.4 24.7 20.2 22.4 19.1 15.7 19.3 19.2 20.9 14.5 14.7 15.5 16.4 19.7 14.2 15.3		

Data source: Hospital Morbidity Database, 1983/84 to 2000/01



Table G

Hospital separations and age-specific rates for patients with inflammatory bowel disease,[†] Canada excluding territories, 1983/84 to 2000/01

			Age group									
	Total	0 to 9	10 to 19	20 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 59	60 to 69	70+			
Number of separations												
1983/84 1984/85 1985/86 1986/87 1987/88 1988/89 1989/90 1990/91 1991/92 1992/93 1993/94 1994/95 1995/96 1996/97 1995/98 1998/99 1999/00 2000/01	14,388 15,330 16,595 17,368 17,966 18,805 19,701 21,211 21,645 21,592 22,408 23,017 23,418 22,313 22,595 22,878 22,942 23,152	$\begin{array}{c} 102 \\ 105 \\ 105 \\ 105 \\ 101 \\ 133 \\ 105 \\ 136 \\ 112 \\ 108 \\ 124 \\ 156 \\ 131 \\ 101 \\ 132 \\ 109 \\ 117 \\ 121 \end{array}$	1,576 1,518 1,677 1,719 1,776 1,833 1,720 1,902 1,756 1,722 1,662 1,665 1,759 1,687 1,829 1,854 1,893 1,923	$\begin{array}{c} 4,199\\ 4,654\\ 4,951\\ 5,006\\ 5,188\\ 5,155\\ 5,248\\ 5,592\\ 5,627\\ 5,502\\ 5,402\\ 5,367\\ 5,156\\ 4,872\\ 4,807\\ 4,474\\ 4,559\\ 4,352\end{array}$	3,240 3,425 3,753 4,084 4,146 4,431 4,799 4,983 5,316 5,239 5,514 5,677 5,632 5,612 5,612 5,513 5,517 5,517 5,235	1,646 1,775 2,046 2,174 2,331 2,534 2,850 3,037 3,263 3,247 3,558 3,682 3,899 3,742 3,844 3,881 3,937 4,103	$\begin{array}{c} 1,391\\ 1,418\\ 1,516\\ 1,570\\ 1,579\\ 1,616\\ 1,576\\ 1,864\\ 1,891\\ 1,918\\ 2,109\\ 2,218\\ 2,303\\ 2,255\\ 2,329\\ 2,726\\ 2,708\\ 2,961\end{array}$	1,156 1,210 1,271 1,390 1,414 1,526 1,778 1,922 1,740 1,795 1,921 1,959 2,008 1,859 1,867 1,950 1,825 1,903	1,078 1,225 1,276 1,320 1,431 1,577 1,625 1,775 1,940 2,061 2,118 2,293 2,530 2,185 2,274 2,337 2,386 2,554			
Rate per 100,000 population												
1983/84 1984/85 1985/86 1986/87 1987/88 1988/89 1989/90 1990/91 1991/92 1992/93 1993/94 1994/95 1995/96 1996/97 1997/98 1998/99 1999/00 2000/01	56.4 59.2 63.0 65.1 66.5 70.8 75.4 75.9 74.8 75.9 74.8 76.9 79.4 79.8 75.5 75.5 75.5 75.5 75.0 74.5	2.8 2.9 2.8 2.7 3.5 2.7 3.5 2.7 3.5 2.8 2.7 3.1 3.9 3.3 2.5 3.3 2.8 3.0 3.2	39.8 39.3 44.0 45.4 46.9 48.2 45.0 49.5 45.4 44.1 42.1 42.0 43.9 41.7 45.0 45.4 46.1 46.4	85.2 94.2 99.6 101.7 106.9 110.4 120.6 123.0 122.7 123.8 124.4 121.7 116.0 114.7 106.8 108.4 102.9	78.8 80.8 85.9 91.5 90.6 93.9 99.2 100.8 105.0 101.2 105.4 107.6 107.2 106.1 108.1 108.8 104.4	58.4 61.2 68.0 68.4 69.8 72.3 77.8 79.7 82.4 79.1 83.7 87.0 88.9 82.7 83.1 82.1 81.5 83.0	56.4 57.5 61.2 63.2 63.4 64.2 62.1 72.3 71.9 70.9 75.4 79.3 79.7 75.3 73.7 82.2 78.3 82.1	$\begin{array}{c} 58.4\\ 60.0\\ 61.5\\ 65.6\\ 65.1\\ 68.8\\ 79.1\\ 84.5\\ 75.8\\ 77.5\\ 82.6\\ 84.6\\ 86.4\\ 79.7\\ 79.7\\ 82.8\\ 76.7\\ 79.3\end{array}$	63.5 69.6 70.3 70.5 74.6 79.8 87.8 90.1 89.6 99.0 106.4 89.6 90.9 91.1 90.9 91.1 90.7 94.7			

Data source: Hospital Morbidity Database, 1983/84 to 2000/01 *Note:* Rate for total population is age-adjusted. † Based on records where inflammatory bowel disease was one of the first five diagnostic codes on patient's chart.

Health Matters

Short, descriptive reports, presenting recent information from surveys and administrative databases





ELECTRONIC PUBLICATIONS AVAILABLE AT

USE OF CANNABIS AND OTHER ILLICIT DRUGS

Michael Tjepkema

In 2002, about 3 million Canadians aged 15 or older, or 12.2%, admitted having used cannabis—that is, marijuana or hashish—at least once in the previous 12 months. This estimate, based on data from the Canadian Community Health Survey (CCHS), represents a significant increase in self-reported drug use over the last decade. In 1989, 6.5% of Canadians reported using cannabis; by 1994, the figure had risen to 7.4%.^{1,2} This rise in drug use mirrors another

recent study, which showed increased cannabis use among Ontario high school students over the same period.³ It may also partly reflect changing attitudes about drug use.

Other drugs

The CCHS collected data on five other drugs: cocaine/ crack, ecstasy, LSD and other hallucinogens, speed/ amphetamines, and heroin. Overall, 2.4% of Canadians aged 15 or older had used at least one of these drugs in the year before the survey up from 1.6% in 1994. An estimated 321,000 people (1.3%) had used cocaine/ crack, making it the most commonly used of these other drugs. cannabis and one of the other drugs, and the remaining 2.9% had used one of the other drugs, but not cannabis.

Males, teens-higher use

A higher proportion of males (15.5%) than females (9.1%) had used cannabis in the past year. Similarly, more males than females had used other illicit drugs (*Table A*). With one exception (15- to-17- year-olds),

Percentage of population aged 15 or older who used cannabis in past year, by age group, 1994 and 2002



Data sources: 2002 Canadian Community Health Survey; 1994 Canada's Alcohol and Other Drugs Survey * Significantly higher than estimate for 1994 (p < 0.05) E2 Coefficient of variation 25.1% to 33.3%

F Coefficient of variation greater than 33.3%

males in all age groups were more likely than females to be cannabis users (*Table B*).

Cannabis use was most prevalent at younger ages. About 3 in 10 teens aged 15 to 17 (29%) reported having used marijuana or hashish in the past year. Cannabis use peaked at ages 18 and 19, reaching 38%. After age 24, the percentage of current users began to drop, although numbers in the age groups from 25-to-34 to 45-to-54 were still substantial.

Between 1994 and 2002, c a n n a b is use rose significantly in all age groups but two: 15-to-17 and 65-orolder.

Frequency

Among people who had used

Among "current users" (people who had used any illicit drug in the past year), 81.2% had used cannabis only. Another 16.0% reported both cannabis in the past year, the frequency of use varied. Close to half (47%) used the drug less than once a month. One in ten reported weekly use, and another 10%, daily.



As a percentage of the total population aged 15 or older, 1.1% of Canadians used cannabis daily; 2.8%, more than once a week; 3.9%, at least once a week; and 6.0%, at least once a month. In general, males were more likely than females to be frequent users.

Frequency of cannab	ois use in	i past y	<i>y</i> ear
	Total	Male	Female
		%	
Among current users:			
Less than once a month	47.3	41.3	57.5*
1 to 3 times a month	18.0	18.2	17.6
Once a week	9.7	11.3	7.1*
More than once a week	15.3	18.2	10.3*
Every day	9.7	11.1	7.4*
Data source: 2002 Canadian Co * Significantly different from estin	ommunity He nate for male	alth Surve s (p < 0.0	ey 15)

In 2002, over 10 million people reported having tried cannabis at least once. This represents 41.3% of the population aged 15 or older. When one-time-only users are excluded, the figure drops to 32.0%.

Lifetime use of other illicit drugs ranged from 0.7% for

In the provinces

In every province except Manitoba, the level of cannabis use was higher in 2002 than in 1994. In 2002, rates of cannabis use significantly exceeded the national average in Québec and British Columbia (Table B). Residents of Newfoundland and Labrador, Prince Edward Island, Ontario, Manitoba and Saskatchewan had lower-than-average rates.

l ifetime use

Although most Canadians are not current users of illicit drugs, many have tried them at some point in their lives. Men were more likely than women to have tried an illicit drug.

Cannabis use in past year, by province, 1994 and 2002



Data sources: 2002 Canadian Community Health Survey; 1994 Canada's Alcohol and Other Drugs Survey

* Significantly higher than estimate for 1994 (p < 0.05)

heroin to 8.4% for LSD and other hallucinogens (Table A). When illicit drugs excluding cannabis are combined, 13.7% of the population, or 3.4 million people, have tried one of them.

In 2002, lifetime use of cannabis was highest for young adults aged 18 to 24, declined gradually through ages 25 to 54, and then dropped off quickly. The pattern was similar for other illicit drugs (Table *B*).

The percentage of residents who had ever used cannabis was above the national average in Nova Scotia, Alberta and British Columbia. In terms of other drugs, Québec, Alberta and British Columbia were above the overall average.

Federal drug offences related to cannabis, by province



Data source: Canadian Crime Statistics, 2002 (Reference 4)

Criminal offences for cannabis rising

The *Controlled Drugs and Substances Act*, which governs drugs such as cannabis, cocaine and heroin and restricted substances such as ecstasy and LSD, can lead to a charge and conviction for a criminal offence.

Between 1991 and 2002, the rate of cannabisrelated drug offences increased from 119 to 223 offences per 100,000 population. Most of these offences (72%) involved possession. Other charges included trafficking, production and importation.

In 2002, British Columbia had the highest rate of cannabis drug offences; Newfoundland and Labrador, the lowest. However, information on drug offences is based on police records, and may reflect enforcement efforts as much as differences in drug activity.⁵

Michael Tjepkema (416-952-4620; Michael.Tjepkema@statcan.ca) is with the Health Statistics Division of Statistics Canada, based in the Toronto Regional Office, 25 St. Clair Avenue E., Toronto, Ontario, M4T 1M4.

The Questions

Respondents to both the Canadian Community Health Survey (CCHS) and Canada's Alcohol and Other Drugs Survey (CADS) were asked: *"Have you ever used or tried marijuana, cannabis or hashish?"* and, if so, *"Have you used it in the past 12 months?"* Respondents who had used cannabis at least once in the past 12 months were considered to be *current users*. Similar questions were asked about the other illicit drugs.

The data are limited by the possibility of under-reporting. Although respondents were assured of confidentiality, some may have been reluctant to report drug use. Furthermore, the likelihood of under-reporting may have been different in 1994 than in 2002.

Data sources

Use of cannabis and other illicit drugs in 2002 was estimated using data from cycle 1.2 of the **Canadian Community Health Survey (CCHS)**, which began in May 2002 and was conducted over eight months.⁶ The CCHS covers people aged 15 or older living in private dwellings in the 10 provinces. Residents of the three territories, Indian reserves, institutions, and certain remote areas, as well as full-time members of the Canadian Armed Forces, were excluded. The sample consisted of 36,984 persons aged 15 or older; the response rate was 77%.

For this article, to account for survey design effects, standard errors and coefficients of variation were estimated using the bootstrap technique.^{7,8}

Estimates of *use of cannabis and other illicit drugs in 1994* are from **Canada's Alcohol and Other Drugs Survey (CADS)**. CADS covered people living in the 10 provinces. Full-time residents of institutions and residents of the territories were excluded. Data collection began in September 1994 and was conducted over three months. The sample consisted of 12,155 people aged 15 or older; the response rate was 76%. For this article, a survey design effect of 1.43 was used to partially account for the survey not being a simple random sample.

Estimates of criminal offences come from the **Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR)** survey. The UCR consists of data on incidents that have come to the attention of the police. The survey counts only the most serious offence committed in each criminal incident, and consequently, underestimates the total number of drug-related incidents. Additional information about the UCR can be found on the Statistics Canada website (www.statcan.ca).



References

- 1 MacNeil P, Webster I. *Canada's Alcohol and Other Drugs Survey: A Discussion of the Findings.* Ottawa: Minister of Public Works and Government Services Canada, 1997.
- 2 Statistics Canada. Illicit drug use. In: *1999 Statistical Report* on the Health of Canadians (Statistics Canada, Catalogue 82-570) 1999: 184-7.
- 3 Adlaf EM, Paglia A. Drug Use Among Ontario Students 1977-2003: Detailed OSDUS Findings (CAMH Research Document Series No. 13) Toronto: Centre for Addiction and Mental Health, 2003.
- 4 Statistics Canada. *Canadian Crime Statistics, 2002* (Statistics Canada, Catalogue 85-205XPE) Ottawa: Statistics Canada, 2003.

- 5 Desjardins N, Hotton T. Trends in drug offences and the role of alcohol and drugs in crime. *Juristat* (Statistics Canada, Catalogue 85-002) 2004; 24(1): 1-24.
- 6 Béland Y, Dufour J, Gravel R. Sample Design of the Canadian Mental Health Survey, 2001. Proceedings of the Survey Methods Section. Vancouver: Statistical Society of Canada, 2001.
- 7 Rao JNK, Wu CFJ, Yue K. Some recent work on resampling methods for complex surveys. *Survey Methodology* (Statistics Canada, Catalogue 12-001) 1992; 18(2): 209-17.
- 8 Rust K, Rao JNK. Variance estimation for complex surveys using replication techniques. *Statistical Methods in Medical Research* 1996; 5: 281-310.

Table A

Illicit drug use, by sex, household population aged 15 or older, Canada excluding territories

			Past	year					Lifeti	me			
	Tot	tal	Male		Female		To	otal M		lale F		emale	
	'000'	%	'000	%	'000	%	'000	%	'000	%	'000	%	
Cannabis [†]	3,049	12.2	1,896	15.5	1,153	9.1*	10,315	41.3	5,758	47.0	4,558	35.9*	
Cannabis [‡]	2,824	11.3	1,780	14.5	1,043	8.2*	7,993	32.0	4,595	37.5	3,399	26.8*	
Cocaine/Crack	321	1.3	235	1.9	86	0.7*	2,001	8.0	1,311	10.7	690	5.4*	
Ecstasy	199	0.8	121	1.0	78	0.6*	732	2.9	455	3.7	277	2.2*	
Hallucinogens (LSD, PCP)	145	0.6	98	0.8	48	0.4*	2,098	8.4	1,356	11.1	741	5.8*	
Speed (amphetamines)	136	0.5	83	0.7	53 ^{E1}	0.4* ^{E1}	1,148	4.6	736	6.0	412	3.2*	
Heroin	10 ^{E2}	0.0 ^{E2}	7 ^{E2}	0.1 ^{E2}	F	F	185	0.7	131	1.1	54	0.4*	
Any illicit drug excluding cannabis	589	2.4	392	3.2	198	1.6*	3,410	13.7	2,118	17.3	1,291	10.2*	
Data source: 2002 Canadian C Note: Because of rounding, det flncludes one-lime use f Excludes one-lime use Significantly different from esth F1 Coefficient of variation 16.69	Community He 'ail may not a 'imate for mai % to 25.0%	ealth Surv dd to tota les (p < 0.	rey Is. 05)										

F2 Coefficient of variation 25.1% to 33.3%

F Coefficient of variation greater than 33.3%

Table B

Illicit drug use, by age, sex and province, household population aged 15 or older, Canada excluding territories

				Lifetime			
	Currently us	Currently use cannabis		Cannabis [†]		Other illicit drug [‡]	
	'000	%	ʻ000ʻ	%	'000	%	
Total	3,049	12.2	7,993	32.0	3,410	13.7	
Male	1,896	15.5	4,595	37.5	2,118	17.3	
Female	1,153	9.1 *	3,399	26.8*	1,291	10.2*	
Age group							
15-17	388	28.5	405	29.7	109	8.0	
Male	200	28.4	210	29.8	57	8.1	
Female	188	28.6	195	29.6	52	7.8	
18-19	327	38.2 [§]	416	48.5§	156	18.2§	
Male	187	42.3	224	50.7	79	17.9	
Female	140	33.8 *	192	46.2	77	18.4	
20-24	670	35.1	995	52.1	477	25.0 [§]	
Male	398	41.4	552	57.4	282	29.3	
Female	272	28.7 *	443	46.8*	195	20.6*	
25-34	717	17.7 [§]	1,813	44.8 [§]	889	22.0 [§]	
Male	461	23.1	1,030	51.5	555	27.8	
Female	256	12.5 *	784	38.3*	333	16.3*	
35-44	608	11.2 [§]	2,432	44.7	1,046	19.2 [§]	
Male	420	15.3	1,404	51.0	654	23.8	
Female	188	7.0 *	1,027	38.2*	393	14.6*	
45-54	266	6.0 [§]	1,491	33.6 [§]	596	13.4 [§]	
Male	182	8.4	893	41.2	394	18.2	
Female	85	3.7 *	598	26.3*	202	8.9*	
55-64	64	2.0 [§]	363	11.4 [§]	112	3.5 [§]	
Male	42 ^{E1}	2.6 ^{E1}	234	14.6	81	5.1	
Female	22 ^{E1}	1.4 ^{*E1}	129	8.2*	31	2.0*	
65+	9 ⁶²	0.2 ^{§E2}	78	2.1 [§]	25 ^{E1}	0.7 ^{§E1}	
Male	F	F	48	3.0	16 ^{E2}	1.0 ^{E2}	
Female	F	F	30	1.4*	9 ^{E2}	0.4 ^{E2}	
Province							
Newfoundland and Labrador	41	9.4 ^{††}	119	27.2 ^{††}	31	7.1 ^{††}	
Prince Edward Island	11	9.4 ^{††}	33	29.1	9	7.9 ^{††}	
Nova Scotia	104	13.7	267	35.4 ^{††}	88	11.7 ^{††}	
New Brunswick	73	12.1	179	29.5	66	10.9 ^{††}	
Québec	816	13.5 ^{††}	1,994	33.1	939	15.6 ^{††}	
Ontario	1,004	10.4 ^{††}	2,746	28.5 ^{††}	1,011	10.5 ^{††}	
Manitoba	80	9.3 ^{††}	251	29.0 ^{††}	101	11.7 ^{††}	
Saskatchewan	79	10.4 ^{††}	220	29.1 ^{††}	83	11.0 ^{††}	
Alberta	318	13.1	896	37.0 ^{††}	412	17.0 ^{††}	
British Columbia	523	15.7 ^{††}	1.286	38.7 ^{††}	669	20.1 ^{††}	

Data source: 2002 Canadian Community Health Survey Note: Because of rounding, detail may not add to totals. † Excludes one-time use ‡ Includes cocaine/crack, ecstasy, hallucinogens, amphetamines, and heroin * Significantly different from estimate for males (p < 0.05) § Significantly different from estimate for next younger age group (p < 0.05) †† Significantly different from estimate for Canada (p < 0.05) E1 Coefficient of variation 16.6% to 25.0% E2 Coefficient of variation 25.1% to 33.3% F Coefficient of variation greater than 33.3%



ELECTRONIC PUBLICATIONS AVAILABLE AT

CREUTZFELDT-JAKOB DISEASE Pamela L. Ramage-Morin

Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease (CJD) is a rare, degenerative neurological disease that affects humans and is always fatal. First identified in the 1920s, CJD belongs to a class of diseases known as transmissible spongiform encephalopathies or prion diseases. Prion diseases are widespread among animals and include scrapie in goats and sheep, and bovine spongiform encephalopathy (BSE) in cattle. The latter, known as "mad cow disease," was identified in England in 1986, where it was attributed to the practice of feeding cattle meat-and-bone meal supplements made from scrapie-infected sheep or from rendered bovines already infected with BSE.¹ The consumption of BSE-infected beef has been associated with CJD.

Variant CJD

There are four forms of CJD.² The form associated with the consumption of BSE-infected beef, the highly publicized *variant* Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease (vCJD), is extremely rare. Worldwide, most vCJD deaths have occurred in the United Kingdom, the focal point of the "mad cow disease" outbreak. To date, 139 people in the UK have died from vCJD. In addition, 7 people with probable cases are still alive.³ The average age of onset of vCJD is 29, and the time between the appearance of symptoms and death is up to 14 months. There has been one vCJD death in Canada—a man who had been in the United Kingdom during the outbreak there.⁴

The risk of exposure to BSE-infected cattle has been far lower in Canada than in the United Kingdom. Three cases of BSE have been found in Canadian cattle; the first was a cow imported from Britain in 1987, the second, reported on May 20, 2003, was a cow raised in Alberta.⁵ The most recent case, identified on December 23, 2003, was a cow in Washington State that had been born in Alberta.⁶

These isolated cases are in stark contrast to the United Kingdom, where 36,680 confirmed BSE

cases were reported in 1992 at the peak of the outbreak.⁷ Although UK beef has not been imported into Canada for over 30 years, measures have been implemented to reduce the possibility of unrecognized BSE in Canadian herds:⁵

- limiting imports of live ruminants, meat and meat products to countries considered free of BSE;
- establishing BSE as a reportable disease in 1990;
- implementing a surveillance program in 1992 that has resulted in testing approximately 10,000 cattle brains for disease;
- banning the practice of feeding ruminant protein to other ruminants (cattle, sheep, goats, bison, elk, deer) since 1997; and
- introducing the Canadian Cattle Identification Program to ensure that the movements of all cattle and bison can be traced from birth to slaughter.

Classical CJD

The three remaining forms of CJD-sporadic, familial and iatrogenic-are collectively known as classical Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease (cCJD). Sporadic CJD, for which the cause is unknown, accounts for 85% to 90% of cases. The familial, or hereditary, form comprises 10% to 15% of cases, while fewer than 1% are iatrogenic; that is, they result from medical examination or treatment. The iatrogenic form has been associated with corneal transplants, contaminated neurosurgical instruments, dura mater grafts, and a history of pituitary-derived (nonsynthetic) human growth hormone use.² The age of onset for classical CJD is much older than that for variant CJD, averaging between 60 and 65. The time between exposure to the infection and the development of symptoms can extend from 1 to more than 30 years. Once symptoms appear, death rapidly follows, and most patients die in less than 6 months.

Age-standardized mortality rates for Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease, by sex, Canada, 1979 to 2001



Data source: Vital Statistics Death Database Note: Age-standardized to 1996 Canadian population; three-year averages for 1979 to 1999, two-year average for 2000 to 2001

Deaths

Between 1979 and 2001, 599 deaths in Canada were attributed to Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease, only one of which was BSE-related. This was an annual average of 26 deaths, with the number ranging from a low of 14 in 1979 to a high of 44 in 2001. More women than men died of CJD: 329 versus 270.

The CJD mortality rate rose slightly over the 1979to-2001 period. The three-year average agestandardized mortality rates for men increased from 0.89 to 1.01 deaths per million, and from 0.79 to 1.43 per million for women. For both sexes together, the rate rose from 0.82 to 1.22 deaths per million population, which is consistent with rates in other countries.

CJD mortality rates rise sharply with age, especially after 50. Rates were highest at ages 75 to 79 for men, and at ages 70 to 74 for women.

Between 1979 and 2001, CJD mortality among the provinces ranged from a low of 0.5 deaths per million population in Newfoundland to a high of 1.3 in Nova Scotia.

Diagnosis and autopsies

Confirming a diagnosis of CJD can be difficult because the clinical symptoms are similar to those of other neurological disorders such as Alzheimer's disease. Brain scans and tonsil biopsy are used to establish a probable diagnosis, but a confirmed diagnosis can only be made with a microscopic examination of the brain tissue after the patient has died. While it might be anticipated that autopsies

Mortality rates for Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease, by age and sex, Canada, 1979 to 2001



would follow the majority of suspected CJD deaths in Canada, between 1979 and 2001, autopsies were performed in 45% of cases. Nonetheless, this is much higher than the 16% of autopsies that were performed for all deaths.

Risk difficult to estimate

The fear and media attention that surround Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease and its bovine counterpart, "mad cow disease,"

intensified throughout 2003 with the discovery of two BSE-infected cows in North America. Much of the concern focuses on the economic impact. In 2001, the World Health Organization Director General, Dr. Gro Harlem Brundtland, referred to the issue of BSE and its link to CJD as a "global emergency" likely to cost "several tens of billions of dollars."⁸

Data source

Information about Creutzfeldt-Jakob Disease deaths was taken from the **Vital Statistics Death Database**, which is based on death certificates submitted by the provinces and territories and maintained by Statistics Canada. The *International Classification of Diseases* (ICD) categorizes Creutzfeldt-Jakob Disease under code 046.1 for deaths from 1979 to 1999 (ICD-9), and code A81.0 for deaths in 2000 and 2001 (ICD-10). No sub-classification distinguishes between the classical and variant forms. However, Health Canada's surveillance system monitors all referrals with suspected CJD. These cases are followed until an autopsy report, or other evidence, confirms the diagnosis and distinguishes variant from the classical forms.

From the point of view of simply counting occurrences, concern about the public health implications may be unwarranted: between 1979 and 2001, Canadians were more likely to die from extreme cold or from falling off a ladder or scaffold than from CJD. However, the menace of CJD lies in the unknown size of the infected population. The long period between infection and the onset of symptoms makes it difficult to

estimate the numbers of people who may be at risk of developing vCJD, particularly as no tests can identify it prior to the onset of symptoms.

Pamela L. Ramage-Morin (613-951-1760; Pamela.Ramage-Morin@statcan.ca) is with the Health Statistics Division at Statistics Canada, Ottawa, Ontario, K1A 0T6.

- References
- 1 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), National Center for Infectious Diseases, Division of Viral and Rickettsial Diseases. Questions and Answers Regarding Bovine Spongiform Encephalopathy (BSE) and Creutzfeldt-Jakob Disease (CJD). Available at http://www.cdc.gov/ncidod/ diseases/cjd/bse_cjd_qa.htm. Accessed February 5, 2004.
- 2 Health Canada. Classical Creutzfeldt-Jakob Disease. Available at http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/english/diseases/cjd/bg5.html. Accessed February 5, 2004.
- 3 Department of Health, United Kingdom. Monthly Creutzfeldt-Jakob Disease Statistics. February 2, 2004. Available at http://www.dh.gov.uk/assetRoot/04/07/09/52/ 04070952.PDF. Accessed February 27, 2004.
- 4 Health Canada. First Canadian Case of Variant Creutzfeldt-Jakob Disease (Variant CJD). Available at http://www.hcsc.gc.ca/english/diseases/cjd/index.html. Accessed February 5, 2004.

- 5 Canadian Food Inspection Agency, Animal Products, Animal Health and Production Division. Bovine Spongiform Encephalopathy (BSE). Available at http:// www.inspection.gc.ca/english/anima/heasan/disemala/bseesb/ bseesbfse.shtml. Accessed February 5, 2004.
- 6 Canadian Food Inspection Agency, Government of Canada News Release. Statement by The Honourable Bob Speller, Minister of Agriculture and Agri-Food and Minister Responsible for the Canadian Food Inspection Agency. Available at http://www.inspection.gc.ca/english/corpaffr/newcom/ 2004/20040106e.shtml. Accessed February 5, 2004.
- 7 Coulthart MB, Cashman NR. Variant Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease: a summary of current scientific knowledge in relation to public health. *Canadian Medical Association Journal* 2001; 165(1): 51-8.
- 8 Brundtland GH. Health Policies in the Global Economy. Policy Foundation of Norway Conference, Sanderstølen, Norway, February 10, 2001. Available at http://www.who.int/ director-general/speeches/2001/english/20010210 healthpoliciessanderstolen.en.html. Accessed February 5, 2004.



ELECTRONIC PUBLICATIONS AVAILABLE AT

PREGNANCY AND SMOKING Wayne J. Millar and Gerry Hill

In the second half of the 1990s, the overall smoking rate among women aged 15 to 49 dipped only slightly from 33% in 1994/95 to 29% in 2000/01. At the same time, the proportion of women who reported that they had smoked when they were pregnant dropped sharply, from 26% to 16%. This striking decline among pregnant women may reflect greater awareness of the adverse effects of smoking pregnancy.1,2 during However, levels of exposure to tobacco smoke are determined not only by personal smoking, but also by exposure to other smokers.

According to the Canadian 2000/01Community Health Survey, an estimated 1.5 million women aged 15 to 54 had given birth in the previous five years. Seventeen percent of these women had smoked while they were pregnant. As well, 17% of those who did not smoke during their pregnancy had regularly been exposed to smoking at that time or soon after. In 2000/01, about a quarter (26%) of the women who had had a

Health Reports, Vol. 15, No. 4, July 2004

Percentage of women aged 15 to 49 who smoke, 1994/95 to 2000/01



Data sources: 1994/95, 1996/97 and 1998/99 National Population Health Survey; 2000/01 Canadian Community Health Survey

Percentage of women aged 15 to 54 who had a baby in previous five years and who...



Data source: 2000/01 Canadian Community Health Survey

baby in the previous five years reported that they were smokers themselves.

Young, low income

The women most likely to smoke and to be exposed to smoking were younger than 25. A third of them had smoked while they were pregnant, and 36% who did not smoke themselves had been exposed to smoking. As well, in 2000/01, 49% of these young women reported that they were smokers. The comparable percentages were much lower among mothers aged 30 or older: 13% had smoked while they were pregnant, and 13% of those who had not smoked had been exposed to smoking. The proportion who reported that they were smokers in 2000/01 was 21%.

Socio-economic status

Smoking and exposure to smoking during and after pregnancy were more common among unmarried than married women. Socioeconomic status also made a difference. Regardless of the measure—smoking while pregnant, regular exposure





to smoking, or current smoking—rates were about three times higher for women in the lowest income households than for those in the highest. Similarly, rates of smoking and exposure to smoking were highest among women who had not graduated from high school and lowest among those who were college/university graduates.

Provincial rates

The likelihood that women would smoke during and after pregnancy varied by province. Rates of smoking during pregnancy were significantly above the national level in Newfoundland. Prince Edward Island, New Brunswick, Québec and Saskatchewan. In 2000/01, significantly high proportions of women in Newfoundland, Prince Edward Island and Saskatchewan were smokers. In Ontario and British Columbia, rates of smoking during and after pregnancy were significantly low. As well, when they were pregnant, a significantly low proportion of women in British Columbia had

regularly been exposed to smoking

Canadian, immigrant mothers

The difference between the smoking behaviour of immigrant and Canadian-born mothers was striking. Just 2% of immigrant women had smoked while they were pregnant, and 8% of those who had not smoked reported having been exposed to smoke;

Smoking exposure of women aged 15 to 54 who had a baby in previous five years							
	Smoked while pregnant %	Did not smoke, but regularly exposed to smoking %	Smoker in 2000/01 %				
Total	17	17	26				
Age group							
Younger than 25 25-29 30-34 35+	33 19 13 14	* 36* * 19 * 15 * 11*	49* 29 21* 21*				
Marital status							
Married Unmarried	14 34	* 15* * 30*	22* 52*				
Province							
Newfoundland and Labrador Prince Edward Island Nova Scotia New Brunswick Québec Ontario Manitoba Saskatchewan Alberta British Columbia	26 28 17 23 21 14 21 28 19 14	* 15 * 17 18 * 22 * 19 * 16 26 * 22 * 22 * 19 * 8*	38* 36* 26 31 29 24* 32 38* 27 23*				
Household income							
Low Lower-middle Upper-middle High Missing	30 21 16 8 13	* 32* * 20 * 13* * 11* * 22	43* 29* 25 15* 24				
Education							
Less than high school graduation High school graduation Some postsecondary College/University graduation Missing	38 22 22 10 F	* 34* * 21* * 27* * 11* F	48* 33* 37* 17* F				
Immigrant status							
Immigrant Non-immigrant Missing	2' 22' F	* 8* * 18* F	8* 32* F				
<i>Data source:</i> 2000/01 Canadian Community Health Survey <i>Note:</i> Because of rounding, detail may not add to totals. * Significantly different from estimate for total (p < 0.05) F Coefficient of variation greater than 33.3%							

in 2000/01, 8% of these women were smokers. Rates were much higher among non-immigrant women: 22% had smoked while pregnant, 17% who did not smoke had been exposed to smoking, and 32% were smokers in 2000/01.

Starting/Resuming

Among all groups of mothers, the percentage who reported smoking was higher after they had a baby than during pregnancy. For example, even among the women with the lowest smoking ratesolder, married, well-educated, higher income-the proportion who smoked after the birth of their baby was higher than the proportion who smoked while they were pregnant. They may have made a conscious decision not to smoke during pregnancy because of potential adverse effects on the fetus. The higher prevalence of smoking after pregnancy suggests that women may be less knowledgeable about the risks of smoking to the health of young children.³⁻¹⁰

Influence of others?

The likelihood that a woman would smoke during and after pregnancy was associated with regular exposure to others' smoking. More than half (56%)

of women who had regularly been exposed to smoking also smoked when they were pregnant. This compared with 7% among women who did not report such exposure. As well, in 2000/01, of the women who had given birth in the previous five years, 67% who had regularly been exposed to smoking were themselves smokers, compared with 15% who had not been exposed to smoking.

Health Reports, Vol. 15, No. 4, July 2004

Smoking behaviour of women aged 15 to 54 who had a baby in previous five years



Data source: 2000/01 Canadian Community Health Survey

Wayne J. Millar (613-951-1631; Wayne.Millar@statcan.ca) is with the Health Statistics Division at Statistics Canada, Ottawa, Ontario, K1A 0T6; Gerry Hill is a research epidemiologist in Kingston, Ontario, K7M 3Z3.

The Questions

Smoking status was determined by asking respondents if they smoked cigarettes daily, occasionally, or not at all. For this article, those who smoked cigarettes daily or occasionally were defined as "current smokers."

In the Canadian Community Health Survey, *exposure to smoking during and after pregnancy* was determined with the following questions:

- Did anyone regularly smoke in your presence during or after the pregnancy (about six months after)?
- Did you smoke during your last pregnancy?

In the National Population Health Survey, women aged 15 to 49 were asked if they were pregnant. Those who stated that they were pregnant and were currently smoking were defined as having smoked during pregnancy.

Data sources

Smoking and exposure to tobacco smoke at home during pregnancy and current smoking among women aged 15 to 54 who had given birth in the previous five years were estimated with data from the first cycle of Statistics Canada's **Canadian Community Health Survey (CCHS)**, conducted from September 2000 through October 2001.¹¹ The CCHS covers the population aged 12 or older who were living in private households at the time. It does not include residents of Indian reserves, Canadian Forces bases, or some remote areas. The overall response rate for cycle 1 was 85%; the total sample size was 131,535. This article is based on information about 7,614 women aged 15 to 54 who had had a baby in the previous five years, representing a population of 1.5 million.

Supplemental information is from the 1994/95, 1996/97 and 1998/99 National Population Health Survey.

All differences were tested to ensure statistical significance; that is, that they did not occur simply by chance. To account for survey design effects, standard errors and coefficients of variation were estimated using the bootstrap technique. A significance level of p < 0.05 was applied in all cases.¹²⁻¹⁴

Estimates of smoking during pregnancy may be low. The data refer to the woman's last pregnancy, which could have been as many as five years earlier. Some women may have had difficulty recalling their smoking behaviour, or may have been reluctant to admit having smoked while pregnant. The apparent decline in smoking among pregnant women since 1994/95 may also signal growing reluctance to admit to smoking during pregnancy rather than a true change in behaviour.

Responses to questions about smoking status in 2000/01 are not strictly comparable. Women who had given birth just before the interview would have had less time to resume or begin smoking than those whose child had been born several years earlier.

No information was collected about the type or number of cigarettes smoked or the number of other household members who smoked, which could affect levels of exposure. Nor is information available about the point at which women resumed or began smoking after giving birth. As well, the question about regular exposure to others' smoking refers to during **or** six months after the pregnancy. Therefore, it is possible that exposure did not occur while the woman was pregnant.



References

- Dejin-Karlsson E, Hanson BS, Ostergren PO, et al. Does passive smoking in early pregnancy increase the risk of smallfor-gestational-age infants? *American Journal of Public Health* 1998; 88(10): 1523-7.
- 2 Department of Health and Human Services. *Healthy People* 2000. National Health Promotion and Disease Prevention Objectives (PHS 91-50212) Washington D.C.: Department of Health and Human Services, 1991.
- 3 Mannino DM, Moorman JE, Kingsley B, et al. Health effects related to environmental tobacco smoke exposure in children in the United States: data from the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *Archives of Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine* 2001; 155(1): 36-41.
- 4 Gergen PJ, Fowler JA, Mauer KR, et al. The burden of environmental tobacco smoke exposure on the respiratory health of children 2 months through 5 years of age in the United states: Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1988 to 1994. *Pediatrics* 1998; 101(2): 1-6.
- 5 Cunningham J, Dockery DW, Speizer FE. Maternal smoking during pregnancy as a predictor of lung function in children. *American Journal of Epidemiology* 1994; 139(12): 1139-52.
- 6 Dezateux C, Stocks J, Wade AM, et al. Airway function at one year: association with premorbid airway function, wheezing, and maternal smoking. *Thorax (London)* 2002; 56(9): 680-6.

- 7 Jedrychowski W, Flak E. Maternal smoking during pregnancy and postnatal exposure to environmental tobacco smoke as predisposition factors to acute respiratory infections. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 2002; 105(3): 302-6.
- 8 Li YF, Gilliland FD, Berhane K, et al. Effects of in utero and environmental tobacco smoke exposure on lung function in boys and girls with and without asthma. *American Journal* of *Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine* 2000; 162(6): 2097-104.
- 9 DiFranza JR, Lew RA. Effect of maternal cigarette smoking on pregnancy complications and sudden infant death syndrome. *Journal of Family Practice* 1995; 40: 385-94.
- 10 Butz AM, Rosenstein BJ. Passive smoking among children with chronic respiratory disease. *Journal of Asthma* 1992; 29(4): 265-72.
- 11 Béland Y. Canadian Community Health Surveymethodological overview. *Health Reports* (Statistics Canada, Catalogue 82-003) 2002; 13(3): 9-14.
- 12 Rao JNK, Wu CFJ, Yue K. Some recent work on resampling methods for complex surveys. *Survey Methodology* (Statistics Canada, Catalogue 12-001) 1992; 18(2): 209-17.
- 13 Rust KF, Rao JNK. Variance estimation for complex suveys using replication techniques. *Statistical Methods in Medical Research* 1996; 5: 281-310.
- 14 Yeo D, Mantel H, Liu TP. Bootstrap variance estimation for the National Population Health Survey. *American Statistical Association: Proceedings of the Survey Research Methods Section.* Baltimore: August 1999.





ELECTRONIC PUBLICATIONS AVAILABLE AT

Subject Index Volumes 11 to 15



Aboriginal peoples

The health of the off-reserve Aboriginal population. Tjepkema M. 2002; 13 (supplement): 73-88.

Life expectancy. Statistics Canada. 2000; 11(3): 9-24.

Premature mortality in health regions with high Aboriginal populations. Allard YE, Wilkins R, Berthelot J-M. 2004; 15(1): 51-60.

Abortion

See also Miscarriage

Teenage pregnancy. Dryburgh H. 2000; 12(1): 9-19.

Accidents

Injuries. Wilkins K, Park E. 2004; 15(3): 43-8.

Premature mortality in health regions with high Aboriginal populations. Allard YE, Wilkins R, Berthelot J-M. 2004; 15(1): 51-60.

Stress and well-being in The Health Divide—How the Sexes Differ. Statistics Canada. 2001; 12(3): 21-32.

Adolescents

See Youths

Aging

See also Seniors

Changes in social support in relation to seniors' use of home care. Wilkins K, Beaudet MP. 2000; 11(4): 39-47.

Dependent seniors at home—formal and informal help. Lafrenière SA, Carrière Y, Martel L, et al. 2003; 14(4): 31-40.

Health among older adults. Statistics Canada. 2000; 11(3): 47-61.

Hip and knee replacement. Millar WJ. 2002; 14(1): 37-50.

Hormone replacement therapy and incident arthritis. Wilkins K. 1999; 11(2): 49-57.

Influenza vaccination. Johansen H, Nguyen K, Mao L, et al. 2004; 15(2): 33-43.

Living at home or in an institution: What makes the difference for seniors? Trottier H, Martel L, Houle C, et al. 2000; 11(4): 49-61.

Loss and recovery of independence among seniors. Martel L, Bélanger A, Berthelot J-M. 2002; 13(4): 35-48.

Medications and fall-related fractures in the elderly. Wilkins K. 1999; 11(1): 45-53.

Older drivers—a complex public health issue. Millar WJ. 1999; 11(2): 59-71.

Social support and mortality in seniors. Wilkins K. 2003; 14(3): 21-34.

Alcohol

Alcohol and drug use in early adolescence. Hotton T, Haans D. 2004; 15(3): 9-19.

Factors related to adolescents' self-perceived health. Tremblay S, Dahinten S, Kohen D. 2003; 14 (supplement): 7-16.

The health of Canada's communities. Shields M, Tremblay S. 2002; 13 (supplement): 9-32.

Health status and health behaviour among immigrants. Pérez CE. 2003; 13 (supplement): 89-100.

Mental health of Canada's immigrants. Ali J. 2002; 13 (supplement): 101-11.

Moderate alcohol consumption and heart disease. Wilkins K. 2002; 14(1): 9-24.

Personal health practices: Smoking, drinking, physical activity and weight. Statistics Canada. 2000; 11(3): 83-90.

Taking risks/Taking care in The Health Divide—How the Sexes Differ. Statistics Canada. 2001; 12(3): 11-20.

Alternative care

Health care/Self-care in The Health Divide—How the Sexes Differ. Statistics Canada. 2001; 12(3): 33-9.

Health care services—recent trends. Statistics Canada. 2000; 11(3): 91-109.

Patterns of use—alternative health care practitioners. Millar WJ. 2001; 13(1): 9-21.



Alzheimer's disease

Impact of chronic conditions. Schultz SE, Kopec JA. 2003; 14(4): 41-53.

Living at home or in an institution: What makes the difference for seniors? Trottier H, Martel L, Houle C, et al. 2000; 11(4): 49-61.

Arthritis

Age at diagnosis of smoking-related disease. Chen J. 2003; 14(2): 9-19.

Are recent cohorts healthier than their predecessors? Chen J, Millar WJ. 2000; 11(4): 9-23.

Hip and knee replacement. Millar WJ. 2002; 14(1): 37-50.

Hormone replacement therapy and incident arthritis. Wilkins K. 1999; 11(2): 49-57.

Incident arthritis in relation to excess weight. Wilkins K. 2004; 15(1): 39-49.

Asthma

Changes in children's hospital use. Connors C, Millar WJ. 1999; 11(2): 9-19.

Automobile driving

Older drivers—a complex public health issue. Millar WJ. 1999; 11(2): 59-71.



Births See also Low birth weight Pregnancy

Folic acid supplementation. Millar WJ. 2004; 15(3): 49-52.

Health status of children. Statistics Canada. 2000; 11(3): 25-34.

Teenage pregnancy. Dryburgh H. 2000; 12(1): 9-19.

Pregnancy and smoking. Millar WJ, Hill G. 2004; 15(4): 53-6.



Cancer

Death—Shifting trends in The Health Divide—How the Sexes Differ. Statistics Canada. 2001; 12(3): 41-6.

Five-year relative survival from prostate, breast, colorectal and lung cancer. Ellison LF, Gibbons L, Canadian Cancer Survival Analysis Group. 2001; 13(1): 23-34.

Health status and health behaviour among immigrants. Pérez CE. 2002; 13 (supplement): 89-100.

Leading cancers—changes in five-year relative survival. Ellison LF, Gibbons L. 2004; 15(2): 19-32.

Premature mortality in health regions with high Aboriginal populations. Allard YE, Wilkins R, Berthelot J-M. 2004; 15(1): 51-60.

Prostate cancer—testing, incidence, surgery and mortality. Gibbons L, Waters C. 2003; 14(3): 9-20.

Trends in colorectal cancer incidence and mortality. Gibbons L, Waters C, Mao Y, et al. 2001; 12(2): 41-55.

Cardiovascular disease

Age at diagnosis of smoking-related disease. Chen J. 2003; 14(2): 9-19.

Health effects of physical activity. Chen J, Millar WJ. 1999; 11(1): 21-30.

Health status and health behaviour among immigrants. Pérez CE. 2002; 13 (supplement): 89-100.

Heart disease, family history and physical activity. Chen J, Millar WJ. 2001; 12(4): 23-32.

Moderate alcohol consumption and heart disease. Wilkins K. 2002; 14(1): 9-24.

Premature mortality in health regions with high Aboriginal populations. Allard YE, Wilkins R, Berthelot J-M. 2004; 15(1): 51-60.

Revascularization and heart attack outcomes. Johansen H, Nair C, Mao L, et al. 2002; 13(2): 35-46.

Care-giving

See Social support

Cause of death

See also Deaths

Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease. Ramage-Morin PL. 2004; 15(4): 49-51.

Death—shifting trends in The Health Divide—How the Sexes Differ. Statistics Canada. 2001; 12(3): 41-6.

Health status of children. Statistics Canada. 2000; 11(3): 25-34.

Injuries. Wilkins K, Park E. 2004; 15(3): 43-8.

Mortality in metropolitan areas. Gilmour H, Gentleman JF. 1999; 11(1): 9-19.

Premature mortality in health regions with high Aboriginal populations. Allard YE, Wilkins R, Berthelot J-M. 2004; 15(1): 51-60.

Suicide deaths and suicide attempts. Langlois S, Morrison P. 2002; 13(2): 9-22.

Suicide in Canada's immigrant population. Caron Malenfant E. 2004; 15(2): 9-17.

Child behaviour

Alcohol and drug use in early adolescence. Hotton T, Haans D. 2004; 15(3): 9-19.

Children who become active. Pérez CE. 2003; 14 (supplement): 17-28.

Witnessing violence—aggression and anxiety in young children. Moss K. 2003; 14 (supplement): 53-66.

Children

See also Youths

Alcohol and drug use in early adolescence. Hotton T, Haans D. 2004; 15(3): 9-19.

Adolescent self-concept and health into adulthood. Park J. 2003; 14 (supplement): 41-52.

Changes in children's hospital use. Connors C, Millar WJ. 1999; 11(2): 9-19.

Children who become active. Pérez CE. 2003; 14 (supplement): 17-28.

Factors related to adolescents' self-perceived health. Tremblay S, Dahinten S, Kohen D. 2003; 14 (supplement): 7-16.

Health status of children. Statistics Canada. 2000; 11(3): 25-34.

Parent and child factors associated with youth obesity. Carrière G. 2003; 14 (supplement): 29-39.

Witnessing violence—aggression and anxiety in young children. Moss K. 2003; 14 (supplement): 53-66.

Chronic conditions

See also Alzheimer's disease Arthritis Asthma Cancer Cardiovascular disease Depression Diabetes Migraine

Are recent cohorts healthier than their predecessors? Chen J, Millar WJ. 2000; 11(4): 9-23.

Body mass index and health. Gilmore J. 1999; 11(1): 31-43.

Chronic back problems among workers. Pérez CE. 2000; 12(1): 41-55.

Factors related to adolescents' self-perceived health. Tremblay S, Dahinten S, Kohen D. 2003; 14 (supplement): 7-16.

Health care services—recent trends. Statistics Canada. 2000; 11(3): 91-109.

Health in mid-life. Statistics Canada. 2000; 11(3): 35-46.

The health of the off-reserve Aboriginal population. Tjepkema M. 2002; 13 (supplement): 73-88.

Health status and health behaviour among immigrants. Pérez CE. 2002; 13 (supplement): 89-100.

Impact of chronic conditions. Schultz SE, Kopec JA. 2003; 14(4): 41-53.

Inflammatory bowel disease—bospitalization. Nabalamba A, Bernstein CN, Seko C. 2004; 15(4): 25-40.

Leading cancers—changes in five-year relative survival. Ellison LF, Gibbons L. 2004 ; 15(2): 19-32.

Loss and recovery of independence among seniors. Martel L, Bélanger A, Berthelot J-M. 2002; 13(4): 35-48.

Living at home or in an institution: What makes the difference for seniors? Trottier H, Martel L, Houle C, et al. 2000; 11(4): 49-61.

Migraine. Gilmour H, Wilkins K. 2001; 12(2): 23-40.

Neighbourhood low income, income inequality and health in Toronto. Hou F, Chen J. 2003; 14(2): 21-34.



Older drivers—a complex public health issue. Millar WJ. 1999; 11(2): 59-71.

Premature mortality in health regions with high Aboriginal populations. Allard YE, Wilkins R, Berthelot J-M. 2004; 15(1): 51-60.

Repetitive strain injury. Tjepkema M. 2003; 14(4): 11-30.

Revascularization and heart attack outcomes. Johansen H, Nair C, Mao L, et al. 2002; 13(2): 35-46.

Stress and well-being in The Health Divide—How the Sexes Differ. Statistics Canada. 2001; 12(3): 21-32.

Stress, health and the benefit of social support. Shields M. 2004; 15(1): 9-38.

Tracking diabetes: Prevalence, incidence and risk factors. Millar WJ, Young TK. 2003; 14(3): 35-47

Contraception

Oral contraceptive use. Wilkins K, Johansen H, Beaudet MP, et al. 2000; 11(4): 25-37.



Data collection

See also Health surveys

Canadian Community Health Survey—Methodological overview. Béland Y. 2002; 13(3): 9-14.

Proxy reporting in the National Population Health Survey. Shields M. 2000; 12(1): 21-39.

Proxy reporting of health information. Shields M. 2004; 15(3): 21-33.

Validity of self-reported prescription drug insurance coverage. Grootendorst P, Newman EC, Levine MAH. 2003; 14(2): 35-46.

Deaths

See also Cause of death

Deaths — Shifting trends in The Health Divide—How the Sexes Differ. Statistics Canada. 2001; 12(3): 41-6.

Health status of children. Statistics Canada. 2000; 11(3): 25-34.

Income inequality and mortality among working-age people in Canada and the US. Statistics Canada. 2000; 11(3): 77-82.

Infection after cholecystectomy, hysterectomy and appendectomy. Rotermann M. 2004; 15(4): 11-23.

Injuries. Wilkins K, Park E. 2004; 15(3): 43-8.

Leading cancers—changes in five-year relative survival. Ellison LF, Gibbons L. 2004 ; 15(2): 19-32.

Life expectancy. Statistics Canada. 2000; 11(3): 9-24.

Mortality in metropolitan areas. Gilmour H, Gentleman JF. 1999; 11(1): 9-19.

Premature mortality in health regions with high Aboriginal populations. Allard YE, Wilkins R, Berthelot J-M. 2004; 15(1): 51-60.

Social support and mortality in seniors. Wilkins K. 2003; 14(3): 21-34.

Suicide deaths and suicide attempts. Langlois S, Morrison P. 2002; 13(2): 9-22.

Suicide in Canada's immigrant population. Caron Malenfant E. 2004; 15(2): 9-17.

Trends in mortality by neighbourhood income in urban Canada from 1971 to 1996. Wilkins R, Berthelot J-M, Ng E. 2002; 13 (supplement): 45-71.

Dental care

Dental insurance and use of dental services. Millar WJ, Locker D. 1999; 11(1): 55-67.

Health care services—recent trends. Statistics Canada. 2000; 11(3): 91-109.

Household spending on health care. Chaplin R, Earl L. 2000; 12(1): 57-65.

Dependency

See also Social support

Changes in social support in relation to seniors' use of home care. Wilkins K, Beaudet MP. 2000; 11(4): 39-47.

Death—Shifting trends in The Health Divide—How the Sexes Differ. Statistics Canada. 2001; 12(3): 41-6.

Dependent seniors at home—formal and informal help. Lafrenière SA, Carrière Y, Martel L, et al. 2003; 14(4): 31-40.

Living at home or in an institution: What makes the difference for seniors? Trottier H, Martel L, Houle C, et al. 2000; 11(4): 49-61.

Loss and recovery of independence among seniors. Martel L, Bélanger A, Berthelot J-M. 2002; 13(4): 35-48.

Stress and well-being in The Health Divide—How the Sexes Differ. Statistics Canada. 2001; 12(3): 21-32.

Depression

See also Mental health Stress, psychological

Chronic back problems among workers. Pérez CE. 2000; 12(1): 41-55.

Factors related to adolescents' self-perceived health. Tremblay S, Dahinten S, Kohen D. 2003; 14 (supplement): 7-16.

The health of Canada's communities. Shields M, Tremblay S. 2002; 13 (supplement): 9-32.

Health effects of physical activity. Chen J, Millar WJ. 1999; 11(1): 21-30.

The health of lone mothers. Pérez CE, Beaudet MP. 1991; 11(2): 21-32.

The health of the off-reserve Aboriginal population. Tjepkema M. 2002; 13 (supplement): 73-88.

Long working hours and health. Shields M. 1999; 11(2): 33-48.

Mental health of Canada's immigrants. Ali J. 2002; 13 (supplement): 101-11.

Psychological health—depression. Statistics Canada. 2000; 11(3): 63-75.

Stress and well-being in The Health Divide—How the Sexes Differ. Statistics Canada. 2001; 12(3): 21-32.

Diabetes

Health status and health behaviour among immigrants. Pérez CE. 2002; 13 (supplement): 89-100.

Tracking diabetes: Prevalence, incidence and risk factors. Millar WJ, Young TK. 2003; 14(3): 35-47.

Disability

Chronic back problems among workers. Pérez CE. 2000; 12(1): 41-55.

Disability-free life expectancy by health region. Mayer F, Ross N, Berthelot J-M, et al. 2002; 13(4): 49-61.

Health among older adults. Statistics Canada. 2000; 11(3): 47-61.

Health in mid-life. Statistics Canada. 2000; 11(3): 35-46.

The health of the off-reserve Aboriginal population. Tjepkema M. 2002; 13 (supplement): 73-88.

Living at home or in an institution: What makes the difference for seniors? Trottier H, Martel L, Houle C, et al. 2000; 11(4): 49-61.

Loss and recovery of independence among seniors. Martel L, Bélanger A, Berthelot J-M. 2002; 13(4): 35-48.

Use of wheelchairs and other mobility support devices. Shields M. 2004; 15(3): 37-41.

Domestic violence

Witnessing violence—aggression and anxiety in young children. Moss K. 2003; 14 (supplement): 53-66.

Drug use

Alcohol and drug use in early adolescence. Hotton T, Haans D. 2004; 15(3): 9-19.

Use of cannabis and other illicit drugs. Tjepkema M. 2004; 15(4): 43-7.



Exercise

Adolescent self-concept and health into adulthood. Park J. 2003; 14 (supplement): 41-52.

Children who become active. Pérez CE. 2003; 14 (supplement): 17-28.

Factors related to adolescents' self-perceived health. Tremblay S, Dahinten S, Kohen D. 2003; 14 (supplement): 7-16.

The health of Canada's communities. Shields M, Tremblay S. 2002; 13 (supplement): 9-32.

Health effects of physical activity. Chen J, Millar WJ. 1999; 11(1): 21-30.

The health of the off-reserve Aboriginal population. Tjepkema M. 2002; 13 (supplement): 73-88.

Health status and health behaviour among immigrants. Pérez CE. 2002; 13 (supplement): 89-100.



Heart disease, family history and physical activity. Chen J, Millar WJ. 2001; 12(4): 23-32.

Long working hours and health. Shields M. 1999; 11(2): 33-48.

Parent and child factors associated with youth obesity. Carrière G. 2003; 14 (supplement): 29-39.

Personal health practices: Smoking, drinking, physical activity and weight. Statistics Canada. 2000; 11(3): 83-90.

Regional socio-economic context and health. Tremblay S, Ross NA, Berthelot J-M. 2002; 13 (supplement): 33-44.

Starting and sustaining physical activity. Chen J, Millar WJ. 2001; 12(4): 33-43.

Taking risks/Taking care in The Health Divide—How the Sexes Differ. Statistics Canada. 2001; 12(3): 11-20.

Tracking diabetes: Prevalence, incidence and risk factors. Millar WJ, Young TK. 2003; 14(3): 35-47



Fractures

Changes in children's hospital use. Connors C, Millar WJ. 1999; 11(2): 9-19.

Medications and fall-related fractures in the elderly. Wilkins K. 1999; 11(1): 45-53.

Injuries. Wilkins K, Park E. 2004; 15(3): 43-8.

Functional health

Dependent seniors at home—formal and informal help. Lafrenière SA, Carrière Y, Martel L, et al. 2003; 14(4): 31-40.

Determinants of self-perceived health. Shields M, Shooshtari S. 2001; 13(1): 35-52.

The health of the off-reserve Aboriginal population. Tjepkema M. 2002; 13 (supplement): 73-88.

Impact of chronic conditions. Schultz SE, Kopec JA. 2003; 14(4): 41-53.

Loss and recovery of independence among seniors. Martel L, Bélanger A, Berthelot J-M. 2002; 13(4): 35-48.

Use of wheelchairs and other mobility support devices. Shields M. 2004; 15(3): 37-41.



Health care

See also Hospitalization Regional health Residential facilities

Changes in children's hospital use. Connors C, Millar WJ. 1999; 11(2): 9-19.

Changes in unmet health care needs. Sanmartin C, Houle C, Tremblay S, et al. 2002; 13(3): 15-22.

Health care/Self-care in The Health Divide—How the Sexes Differ. Statistics Canada. 2001; 12(3): 33-9.

Health care services—recent trends. Statistics Canada. 2000; 11(3): 91-109.

The health of lone mothers. Pérez CE, Beaudet MP. 1991; 11(2): 21-32.

The health of the off-reserve Aboriginal population. Tjepkema M. 2002; 13 (supplement): 73-88.

Hip and knee replacement. Millar WJ. 2002; 14(1): 37-50.

Household spending on health care. Chaplin R, Earl L. 2000; 12(1): 57-65.

Infection after cholecystectomy, hysterectomy and appendectomy. Rotermann M. 2004; 15(4): 11-23.

Inflammatory bowel disease—hospitalization. Nabalamba A, Bernstein CN, Seko C. 2004; 15(4): 25-40.

Influenza vaccination. Johansen H, Nguyen K, Mao L, et al. 2004; 15(2): 33-43.

Ontario hospitals—mergers, shorter stays and readmissions. Pérez CE. 2002; 14(1): 9-24.

Patterns of use—alternative health care practitioners. Millar WJ. 2001; 13(1): 9-21.

Repetitive strain injury. Tjepkema M. 2003; 14(4): 11-30.

Unmet needs for health care. Chen J, Hou F. 2002; 13(2): 23-34.

Health insurance

Dental insurance and use of dental services. Millar WJ, Locker D. 1999; 11(1): 55-67.

Health care services—recent trends. Statistics Canada. 2000; 11(3): 91-109.

Validity of self-reported prescription drug insurance coverage. Grootendorst P, Newman EC, Levine MAH. 2003; 14(2): 35-46

Health services accessibility

Changes in unmet health care needs. Sanmartin C, Houle C, Tremblay S, et al. 2002; 13(3): 15-21.

Dental insurance and use of dental services. Millar WJ, Locker D. 1999; 11(1): 55-67.

Health care services—recent trends. Statistics Canada. 2000; 11(3): 91-109.

The health of the off-reserve Aboriginal population. Tjepkema M. 2002; 13 (supplement): 73-88.

Influenza vaccination. Johansen H, Nguyen K, Mao L, et al. 2004; 15(2): 33-43.

Ontario hospitals—mergers, shorter stays and readmissions. Pérez CE. 2002; 14(1): 9-24.

Hip and knee replacement. Millar WJ. 2002; 14(1): 37-50.

Patterns of use—alternative health care practitioners. Millar WJ. 2001; 13(1): 9-21.

Unmet needs for health care. Chen J, Hou F. 2002; 13(2): 23-34.

Health status indicators

See also Life expectancy

Are recent cohorts healthier than their predecessors? Chen J, Millar WJ. 2000; 11(4): 9-23.

Community belonging and health. Ross N. 2002; 13(3): 33-9.

Determinants of self-perceived health. Shields M, Shooshtari S. 2001; 13(1): 35-52.

The health of Canada's communities. Shields M, Tremblay S. 2002; 13 (supplement): 9-32.

Health among older adults. Statistics Canada. 2000; 11(3): 47-61.

Health in mid-life. Statistics Canada. 2000; 11(3): 35-46.

The health of the off-reserve Aboriginal population. Tjepkema M. 2002; 13 (supplement): 73-88.

Health status of children. Statistics Canada. 2000; 11(3): 25-34.

Impact of chronic conditions. Schultz SE, Kopec JA. 2003; 14(4): 41-53.

Life expectancy. Statistics Canada. 2000; 11(3): 9-24.

Neighbourhood low income, income inequality and health in Toronto. Hou F, Chen J. 2003; 14(2): 21-34.

Regional socio-economic context and health. Tremblay S, Ross NA, Berthelot J-M. 2002; 13 (supplement): 33-44.

Trends in mortality by neighbourhood income in urban Canada from 1971 to 1996. Wilkins R, Berthelot J-M, Ng E. 2002; 13 (supplement): 45-71.

Health surveys

See also Data collection

Canadian Community Health Survey—Methodological overview. Béland Y. 2002; 13(3): 9-14.

Proxy reporting in the National Population Health Survey. Shields M. 2000; 12(1): 21-39.

Proxy reporting of health information. Shields M. 2004; 15(3): 21-33.

Validity of self-reported prescription drug insurance coverage. Grootendorst P, Newman C, Levine MAH. 2003; 14(2): 35-46.

Home care

Changes in social support in relation to seniors' use of home care. Wilkins K, Beaudet MP. 2000; 11(4): 39-47.

Dependent seniors at home—formal and informal help. Lafrenière SA, Carrière Y, Martel L, et al. 2003; 14(4): 31-40.

Health care/Self-care in The Health Divide—How the Sexes Differ. Statistics Canada. 2001; 12(3): 33-9.

Hospitalization

Changes in children's hospital use. Connors C, Millar WJ. 1999; 11(2): 9-19.

Health care/Self-care in The Health Divide—How the Sexes Differ. Statistics Canada. 2001; 12(3): 33-9.

Health care services—recent trends. Statistics Canada. 2000; 11(3): 91-109.

Infection after cholecystectomy, hysterectomy and appendectomy. Rotermann M. 2004; 15(4): 11-23.

Inflammatory bowel disease—bospitalization. Nabalamba A, Bernstein CN, Seko C. 2004; 15(4): 25-40.

Ontario hospitals—mergers, shorter stays and readmissions. Pérez CE. 2002; 14(1): 9-24.



Subject Index - Volumes 11 to 15

Hip and knee replacement. Millar WJ. 2002; 14(1): 37-50.

Hysterectomy, 1981/82 to 1996/97. Millar WJ. 2001; 12(2): 9-22.

Revascularization and heart attack outcomes. Johansen H, Nair C, Mao L, et al. 2002; 13(2): 35-46.

Suicide deaths and suicide attempts. Langlois S, Morrison P. 2002; 13(2): 9-22.



Immigrants

Health status and health behaviour among immigrants. Pérez CE. 2002; 13 (supplement): 89-100.

Mental health of Canada's immigrants. Ali J. 2002; 13 (supplement): 101-11.

Suicide in Canada's immigrant population. Caron Malenfant E. 2004; 15(2): 9-17.

Income

Income inequality and mortality among working-age people in Canada and the US. Statistics Canada. 2000; 11(3): 77-82.

Neighbourhood low income, income inequality and health in Toronto. Hou F, Chen J. 2003; 14(2): 21-34.

Trends in mortality by neighbourhood income in urban Canada from 1971 to 1996. Wilkins R, Berthelot J-M, Ng E. 2002; 13 (supplement): 45-71.

Injuries

See also Accidents

Injuries. Wilkins K, Park E. 2004; 15(3): 43-8.

Medications and fall-related fractures in the elderly. Wilkins K. 1999; 11(1): 45-54.

Premature mortality in health regions with high Aboriginal populations. Allard YE, Wilkins R, Berthelot J-M. 2004; 15(1): 51-60.

Repetitive strain injury. Tjepkema M. 2003; 14(4): 11-30.

Use of wheelchairs and other mobility support devices. Shields M. 2004; 15(3): 37-41.



Life expectancy

See also Health status indicators

Death—Shifting trends in The Health Divide—How the Sexes Differ. Statistics Canada. 2001; 12(3): 41-6.

Disability-free life expectancy by health region. Mayer F, Ross N, Berthelot J-M, et al. 2002; 13(4): 49-61.

The health of Canada's communities. Shields M, Tremblay S. 2002; 13 (supplement): 9-32.

Income inequality and mortality among working-age people in Canada and the US. Statistics Canada. 2000; 11(3): 77-82.

Life expectancy. Statistics Canada. 2000; 11(3): 9-24.

Premature mortality in health regions with high Aboriginal populations. Allard YE, Wilkins R, Berthelot J-M. 2004; 15(1): 51-60.

Trends in mortality by neighbourhood income in urban Canada from 1971 to 1996. Wilkins R, Berthelot J-M, Ng E. 2002; 13 (supplement): 45-71.

Lone parents

The health of lone mothers. Pérez CE, Beaudet MP. 1991; 11(2): 21-32.



Medical record linkage

Infection after cholecystectomy, hysterectomy and appendectomy. Rotermann M. 2004; 15(4): 11-23.

Inflammatory bowel disease—hospitalization. Nabalamba A, Bernstein CN, Seko C. 2004; 15(4): 25-40.

Revascularization and heart attack outcomes. Johansen H, Nair C, Mao L, et al. 2002; 13(2): 35-46.

Suicide deaths and suicide attempts. Langlois S, Morrison P. 2002; 13(2): 9-22.

Medication use

Health care/Self-care in The Health Divide—How the Sexes Differ. Statistics Canada. 2001; 12(3): 33-9.

Health care services—recent trends. Statistics Canada. 2000; 11(3): 91-109.

Hormone replacement therapy and incident arthritis. Wilkins K. 1999; 11(2): 49-57.

Medications and fall-related fractures in the elderly. Wilkins K. 1999; 11(1): 45-53.

Oral contraceptive use. Wilkins K, Johansen H, Beaudet MP, et al. 2000; 11(4): 25-37.

Validity of self-reported prescription drug insurance coverage. Grootendorst P, Newman EC, Levine MAH. 2003; 14(2): 35-46.

Mental health

See also Depression Stress, psychological

Adolescent self-concept and health into adulthood. Park J. 2003; 14 (supplement): 41-52.

Health care/Self-care in The Health Divide—How the Sexes Differ. Statistics Canada. 2001; 12(3): 33-9.

The health of lone mothers. Pérez CE, Beaudet MP. 1991; 11(2): 21-32.

The health of the off-reserve Aboriginal population. Tjepkema M. 2002; 13 (supplement): 73-88.

Living at home or in an institution: What makes the difference for seniors? Trottier H, Martel L, Houle C, et al. 2000; 11(4): 49-61.

Mental health of Canada's immigrants. Ali J. 2002; 13 (supplement): 101-11.

Neighbourhood low income, income inequality and health in Toronto. Hou F, Chen J. 2003; 14(2): 21-34.

Psychological health—depression. Statistics Canada. 2000; 11(3): 63-75.

Repetitive strain injury. Tjepkema M. 2003; 14(4): 11-30.

Shift work and health. Shields M. 2002; 13(4): 11-33.

Suicide deaths and suicide attempts. Langlois S, Morrison P. 2002; 13(2): 9-22.

Suicide in Canada's immigrant population. Caron Malenfant EC. 2004; 15(2): 9-17.

Miscarriage

See also Abortion

Teenage pregnancy. Dryburgh H. 2000; 12(1): 9-19.

Mortality

See Deaths



```
Neoplasms
See Cancer
```

Northern residents

Disability-free life expectancy by health region. Mayer F, Ross N, Berthelot J-M, et al. 2002; 13(4): 49-61.

The health of Canada's communities. Shields M, Tremblay S. 2002; 13 (supplement): 9-32.

Life expectancy. Statistics Canada. 2000; 11(3): 9-24.

Regional socio-economic context and health. Tremblay S, Ross NA, Berthelot J-M. 2002; 13 (supplement): 33-44.

Nursing homes

See Residential facilities

Nutrition

Body mass index and health. Gilmore J. 1999; 11(1): 31-43.

Factors related to adolescents' self-perceived health. Tremblay S, Dahinten S, Kohen D. 2003; 14 (supplement): 7-16.

Folic acid supplementation. Millar WJ. 2004; 15(3): 49-52.

Food insecurity in Canadian households. Che J, Chen J. 2001; 12(4): 11-22.

Fruit and vegetable consumption. Pérez CE. 2002; 13(3): 23-32.

Health status and health behaviour among immigrants. Pérez CE. 2002; 13 (supplement): 89-100.

Parent and child factors associated with youth obesity. Carrière G. 2003; 14 (supplement): 29-39.

Taking risks/Taking care in The Health Divide—How the Sexes Differ. Statistics Canada. 2001; 12(3): 11-20.

Occupational health

Chronic back problems among workers. Pérez CE. 2000; 12(1): 41-55.

Long working hours and health. Shields M. 1999; 11(2): 33-48.

Repetitive strain injury. Tjepkema M. 2003; 14(4): 11-30.

Shift work and health. Shields M. 2002; 13(4): 11-33.



Pain

Chronic back problems among workers. Pérez CE. 2000; 12(1): 41-55.

Migraine. Gilmour H, Wilkins K. 2001; 12(2): 23-40.

Patterns of use—alternative health care practitioners. Millar WJ. 2001; 13(1): 9-21.

Repetitive strain injury. Tjepkema M. 2003; 14(4): 11-30.

Stress and well-being in The Health Divide—How the Sexes Differ. Statistics Canada. 2001; 12(3): 21-32.

Pregnancy

See also Births

Folic acid supplementation. Millar WJ. 2004; 15(3): 49-52.

Pregnancy and smoking. Millar WJ, Hill G. 2004; 15(4): 53-6.

Teenage pregnancy. Dryburgh H. 2000; 12(1): 9-19.

Preventive health

Folic acid supplementation. Millar WJ. 2004; 15(3): 49-52.

Fruit and vegetable consumption. Pérez CE. 2002; 13(3): 23-32.

Heart disease, family history and physical activity. Chen J, Millar WJ. 2001; 12(4): 23-32.

Influenza vaccination. Johansen H, Nguyen K, Mao L, et al. 2004; 15(2): 33-43.

Starting and sustaining physical activity. Chen J, Millar WJ. 2001; 12(4): 33-43.

Taking risks/Taking care in The Health Divide—How the Sexes Differ. Statistics Canada. 2001; 12(3): 11-20.



Regional health

See also Health care

Disability-free life expectancy by health region. Mayer F, Ross N, Berthelot J-M, et al. 2002; 13(4): 49-61.

The health of Canada's communities. Shields M, Tremblay S. 2002; 13 (supplement): 9-32.

Life expectancy. Statistics Canada. 2000; 11(3): 9-24.

Mortality in metropolitan areas. Gilmour H, Gentleman JF. 1999; 11(1): 9-19.

Premature mortality in health regions with high Aboriginal populations. Allard YE, Wilkins R, Berthelot J-M. 2004; 15(1): 51-60.

Regional socio-economic context and health. Tremblay S, Ross NA, Berthelot J-M. 2002; 13 (supplement): 33-44.

Residential facilities

Living at home or in an institution: What makes the difference for seniors? Trottier H, Martel L, Houle C, et al. 2000; 11(4): 49-61.



Seniors

See also Aging

Changes in social support in relation to seniors' use of home care. Wilkins K, Beaudet MP. 2000; 11(4): 39-47.

Dependent seniors at home—formal and informal help. Lafrenière SA, Carrière Y, Martel L, et al. 2003; 14(4): 31-40.

Health among older adults. Statistics Canada. 2000; 11(3): 47-61.

Hip and knee replacement. Millar WJ. 2002; 14(1): 37-50.

Hormone replacement therapy and incident arthritis. Wilkins K. 1999; 11(2): 49-57.

Influenza vaccination. Johansen H, Nguyen K, Mao L, et al. 2004; 15(2): 33-43.

Injuries. Wilkins K, Park E. 2004; 15(3): 43-48.

Living at home or in an institution: What makes the difference for seniors? Trottier H, Martel L, Houle C, et al. 2000; 11(4): 49-61.

Loss and recovery of independence among seniors. Martel L, Bélanger A, Berthelot J-M. 2002; 13(4): 35-48.

Medications and fall-related fractures in the elderly. Wilkins K. 1999; 11(1): 45-54.

Older drivers—a complex public health issue. Millar WJ. 1999; 11(2): 59-71.

Social support and mortality in seniors. Wilkins K. 2003; 14(3): 21-34.

Use of wheelchairs and other mobility support devices. Shields M. 2004; 15(3): 37-41.

Smoking

Age at diagnosis of smoking-related disease. Chen J. 2003; 14(2): 9-19.

Factors related to adolescents' self-perceived health. Tremblay S, Dahinten S, Kohen D. 2003; 14 (supplement): 7-16.

The health of Canada's communities. Shields M, Tremblay S. 2002; 13 (supplement): 9-32.

The health of the off-reserve Aboriginal population. Tjepkema M. 2002; 13 (supplement): 73-88.

Health status and health behaviour among immigrants. Pérez CE. 2002; 13 (supplement): 89-100.

Parent and child factors associated with youth obesity. Carrière G. 2003; 14 (supplement): 29-39.

Personal health practices: Smoking, drinking, physical activity and weight. Statistics Canada. 2000; 11(3): 83-90.

Pregnancy and smoking. Millar WJ, Hill G. 2004; 15(4): 53-6.

Regional socio-economic context and health. Tremblay S, Ross NA, Berthelot J-M. 2002; 13 (supplement): 33-44.

Taking risks/Taking care in The Health Divide—How the Sexes Differ. Statistics Canada. 2001; 12(3): 11-20.

Social support

See also Dependency

Adolescent self-concept and health into adulthood. Park J. 2003; 14 (supplement): 41-52.

Changes in social support in relation to seniors' use of home care. Wilkins K, Beaudet MP. 2000; 11(4): 39-47.

Dependent seniors at home—formal and informal help. Lafrenière SA, Carrière Y, Martel L, et al. 2003; 14(4): 31-40.

Living at home or in an institution: What makes the difference for seniors? Trottier H, Martel L, Houle C, et al. 2000; 11(4): 49-61.

Mental health of Canada's immigrants. Ali J. 2002; 13 (supplement): 101-11.

Social support and mortality in seniors. Wilkins K. 2003; 14(3): 21-34.

Stress and well-being in The Health Divide—How the Sexes Differ. Statistics Canada. 2001; 12(3): 21-32.

Stress, health and the benefit of social support. Shields M. 2004; 15(1): 9-38.

Spontaneous abortion

See Miscarriage

Stress, psychological

See also Mental health

Adolescent self-concept and health into adulthood. Park J. 2003; 14 (supplement): 41-52.

Chronic back problems among workers. Pérez CE. 2000; 12(1): 41-55.

The health of Canada's communities. Shields M, Tremblay S. 2002; 13 (supplement): 9-32.

Long working hours and health. Shields M. 1999; 11(2): 33-48.

Psychological health—depression. Statistics Canada. 2000; 11(3): 63-75.

Repetitive strain injury. Tjepkema M. 2003; 14(4): 11-30.

Shift workers and health. Shields M. 2002; 13(4): 11-33.

Stress and well-being in The Health Divide—How the Sexes Differ. Statistics Canada. 2001; 12(3): 21-32.

Stress, health and the benefit of social support. Shields M. 2004; 15(1): 9-38.

Suicide

Premature mortality in health regions with high Aboriginal populations. Allard YE, Wilkins R, Berthelot J-M. 2004; 15(1): 51-60.



Suicide deaths and suicide attempts. Langlois S, Morrison P. 2002; 13(2): 9-22.

Suicide in Canada's immigrant population. Caron Malenfant E. 2004; 15(2): 9-17.

Surgery

Changes in children's hospital use. Connors C, Millar WJ. 1999; 11(2): 9-19.

Hip and knee replacement. Millar WJ. 2002; 14(1): 37-50.

Hysterectomy, 1981/82 to 1996/97. Millar WJ. 2001; 12(2): 9-22.

Infection after cholecystectomy, hysterectomy and appendectomy. Rotermann M. 2004; 15(4): 11-23.

Prostate cancer—testing, incidence, surgery and mortality. Gibbons L, Waters C. 2003; 14(3): 9-20.

Survival rates

Death—Shifting trends in The Health Divide—How the Sexes Differ. Statistics Canada. 2001; 12(3): 41-6.

Five-year relative survival from prostate, breast, colorectal and lung cancer. Ellison LF, Gibbons L, Canadian Cancer Survival Analysis Group. 2001; 13(1): 23-34.

Leading cancers—changes in five-year relative survival. Ellison LF, Gibbons L. 2004; 15(2): 19-32.

Trends in colorectal cancer incidence and mortality. Gibbons L, Waters C, Mao Y, et al. 2001; 12(2): 41-55.



Therapeutic abortion

See Abortion



Vital statistics

See also Births Deaths Life expectancy Survival rates

Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease. Ramage-Morin PL. 2004; 15(4): 49-51.

Death—Shifting trends in The Health Divide—How the Sexes Differ. Statistics Canada. 2001; 12(3): 41-6.

Disability-free life expectancy by health region. Mayer F, Berthelot J-M, et al. 2002; 13(4): 49-61.

The health of Canada's communities. Shields M, Tremblay S. 2002; 13 (supplement): 9-32.

Health status of children. Statistics Canada. 2000; 11(3): 25-34.

Life expectancy. Statistics Canada. 2000; 11(3): 9-24.

Premature mortality in health regions with high Aboriginal populations. Allard YE, Wilkins R, Berthelot J-M. 2004; 15(1): 51-60.

Suicide deaths and suicide attempts. Langlois S, Morrison P. 2002; 13(2): 9-22.

Suicide in Canada's immigrant population. Caron Malenfant E. 2004; 15(2): 9-17.

Trends in mortality by neighbourhood income in urban Canada from 1971 to 1996. Wilkins R, Berthelot J-M, Ng E. 2002; 13 (supplement): 45-71.



Weight

Adolescent self-concept and health into adulthood. Park J. 2003; 14 (supplement): 41-52.

Body mass index and health. Gilmore J. 1999; 11(1): 31-43.

Children who become active. Pérez CE. 2003; 14 (supplement): 17-28.

Factors related to adolescents' self-perceived health. Tremblay S, Dahinten S, Kohen D. 2003; 14 (supplement): 7-16.

The health of Canada's communities. Shields M, Tremblay S. 2002; 13 (supplement): 9-32.

The health of the off-reserve Aboriginal population. Tjepkema M. 2002; 13 (supplement): 73-88.

Health status and health behaviour among immigrants. Pérez CE. 2002; 13 (supplement): 89-100.

Heart disease, family history and physical activity. Chen J, Millar WJ. 2001; 12(4): 23-32.

Incident arthritis in relation to excess weight. Wilkins K. 2004; 15(1): 39-49.
Personal health practices: Smoking, drinking, physical activity and weight. Statistics Canada. 2000; 11(3): 83-90.

Regional socio-economic context and health. Tremblay S, Ross NA, Berthelot J-M. 2002; 13 (supplement): 33-44.

Starting and sustaining physical activity. Chen J, Millar WJ. 2001; 12(4): 33-43.

Taking risks/Taking care in The Health Divide—How the Sexes Differ. Statistics Canada. 2001; 12(3): 11-20.

Tracking diabetes: Prevalence, incidence and risk factors. Millar WJ, Young TK. 2003; 14(3): 35-47



Youths See also Children

Adolescent self-concept and health into adulthood. Park J. 2003; 14 (supplement): 41-52.

Age at diagnosis of smoking-related disease. Chen J. 2003; 14(2): 9-19.

Alcohol and drug use in early adolescence. Hotton T, Haans D. 2004; 15(3): 9-19.

Children who become active. Pérez CE. 2003; 14 (supplement): 17-28.

Factors related to adolescents' self-perceived health. Tremblay S, Dahinten S, Kohen D. 2003; 14 (supplement): 7-16.

Injuries. Wilkins K, Park E. 2004; 15(3): 43-8.

Parent and child factors associated with youth obesity. Carrière G. 2003; 14 (supplement): 29-39.

Personal health practices: Smoking, drinking, physical activity and weight. Statistics Canada. 2000; 11(3): 83-90.

Teenage pregnancy. Dryburgh H. 2000; 12(1): 9-19.

Use of cannabis and other illicit drugs. Tjepkema M. 2004; 15(4): 43-7.

Witnessing violence—aggression and anxiety in young children. Moss K. 2003; 14 (supplement): 53-66.



ELECTRONIC PUBLICATIONS AVAILABLE AT

Health Statistics Division's information roducts and services, including publications (print, diskette, microfiche or Internet), microdata files and special tabulations



ELECTRONIC PUBLICATIONS AVAILABLE AT

75





Statistics Canada Dissemination Division, Circulation Management 120 Parkdale Avenue Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0T6 Phone (Canada and United States): 1 800 267-6677 Fax (Canada and United States): 1 877 287-4369 E-mail: infostats@statcan.ca

Or visit our Internet site: www.statcan.ca

Title	Catalogue number	Format	Price (CDN\$) ^{†‡}
Guide to Health Statistics Your gateway to health information on Statistics Canada web site (This guide provides quick and easy access to health information on Statistics Canada's web site. It can only be used online in html format and cannot be downloaded.)	82-573-GIE	Internet	Free
Analytical Reports			
Health Reports subscription single issue 	82-003-XPE	Paper	\$63 \$22
 subscription single issue 	82-003-XIE	Internet	\$48 \$17
How Healthy are Canadians? Annual Report 2003	82-003-SIE 82-003-SPE	Internet Paper	Free \$22
Statistical Report on the Health of Canadians	82-570-XIE	Internet	Free
Canadian Community Health Survey			
Canadian Community Health Survey – Mental health and well-being Canadian Community Health Survey – Optional content and related tables Canadian Community Health Survey – Profiles Access to health care services in Canada, 2001	82-617-XIE 82-577-XIE 82-576-XIE 82-575-XIE	Internet Internet Internet Internet	Free Free Free Free
Cancer			
Cancer statistics (Cancer Incidence in Canada; Cancer Survival Statistics; Canadian Cancer Registry manuals; Cancer Record, Newsletter for Canadian Registries in Canada)	84-601-XIE	Internet	Free
Health Indicators			
Health Indicators, Internet publication Comparable Health Indicators – Canada, provinces and territories Health Regions – Boundaries and correspondence with census geography	82-221-XIE 82-401-XIE 82-402-XIE	Internet Internet Internet	Free Free Free
Heart Disease			
The Changing Face of Heart Disease and Stroke in Canada	82F0076XIE	Internet	Free

† All prices exclude sales tax.

‡ See inside cover for shipping charges.



Title	Catalogue number	Format	Price (CDN\$) ^{††}
Hospitalization			
Canadian Classification of Diagnostic, Therapeutic and Surgical Procedures and Treatments	82-562-XPB	Paper	\$40
Induced Abortions			
Induced Abortion Statistics	82-223-XIE	Internet	Free
Life Expectancy			
Life Tables, Canada, Provinces and Territories, 1995-1997	84-537-XIE	Internet	\$15
National Population Health Survey			
National Population Health Survey Overview 1994-95	82-567-XPB 82-567-XIB	Paper Internet	\$10 \$8
National Population Health Survey Overview 1996-97	82-567-XPB 82-567-XIB	Paper Internet	\$35 \$26
User's guide for the public use microdata file National Population Health Survey 1998-99 – Household Component	82M0009GPE	Paper	\$50
National Population Health Survey 1996-97 – Household Component	82M0009GPE	Paper	\$50
National Population Health Survey 1996-97 – Health Care Institutions	82M0010GPE	Paper	\$50
(See also section on Microdata files)			
Occupational Surveillance			
Occupational Surveillance in Canada: Cause-specific mortality among workers, 1965-1991	84-546-XCB	CD-ROM	\$500
Residential Care			
Residential Care Facilities, 1998-99 (These data are available as custom tabulations through the Client Custom Services Unit.)			
Smoking			
Report on Smoking in Canada, 1985 to 2001	82F0077-XIE	Internet	Free

How to order 77

Title	Catalogue number	Format	Price (CDN\$) ^{†‡}
Vital Statistics			
General Summary of Vital Statistics Causes of Death Mortality - Summary List of Causes Mortality - Summary List of Causes, 1997 Births Deaths Marriages Divorces Leading Causes of Death	84F0001XPB 84-208-XIE 84F0209XPB 84F0209XIB 84F0210XPB 84F0211XIE 84F0212XPB 84F0213XPB 84F0213XPB 84F0503XPB	Paper Internet Paper Internet Paper Paper Paper Paper	\$22 Free \$20 Free \$20 Free \$22 \$20 \$20
Other			
Validation study for a record linkage of births and deaths in Canada	84F0013XIE	Internet	Free
Postal Code Conversion File Plus (PCCF+) (To obtain the PCCF+, clients must have purchased the PCCF)	82F0086XDB	Diskette	Free
Historical Information			
Vital Statistics Compendium, 1996	84-214-XPE 84-214-XIE	Paper Internet	\$45 \$33

[†] All prices exclude sales tax. ‡ See inside cover for shipping charges.





Health Statistics Division provides a custom tabulation service to meet special resource needs and supplement published data on a fee-for-service basis. Custom tables can be created using a variety of health and vital statistics data sources maintained by the Division.

To order custom tabulations, contact:

Client Custom Services Unit Health Statistics Division Statistics Canada Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0T6 Telephone: (613) 951-1746 Fax: (613) 951-0792 Email: HD-DS@statcan.ca

Microdata Files

To order the products listed below, contact:

Client Custom Services Unit Health Statistics Division Statistics Canada Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0T6

Telephone: (613) 951-1746 Fax: (613) 951-0792 Email: HD-DS@statcan.ca

Canadian Community Health	Survey	Product number	Format	Price (CDN\$) ^{†‡}
Canadian Community Health Su Cycle 1.1 public-use microdata Cross-sectional data in flat AS(indexes, layout, Beyond 20/20	rvey, 2000-2001 file CII files, User's Guide, data dictionary, Browser for the Health File	82M0013XCB	CD-ROM	\$2,000 Free for the Health Sector
National Population Health Su	irvey			
Cycle 4, 2000-01				
Custom tables	Household	82C0013	Price varies with information requirements	
Cycle 3, 1998-99				
Household	Cross-sectional data in flat ASCII files, User's Guide, data dictionary, indexes, layout, Beyond 20/20 browser for the health file	82M0009XCB	CD-ROM	\$2,000
Custom tables	Household Institutions	82C0013 82C0015	Price varies with information requirements. Price varies with information requirements.	
Cycle 2, 1996-97				
Household	Cross-sectional data in flat ASCII files, Beyond 20/20 browser for the health file	82M0009XCB	CD-ROM	\$500
Health care institutions	Cross-sectional flat ASCII file	82M0010XCB	CD-ROM \$250 Clients who purchase the 1996/97 Household file will receive the Institutions file free of charge.	
Custom tables	Household Institutions	82C0013 82C0015	Price varies with informa Price varies with informa	tion requirements. tion requirements.
Cycle 1, 1994-95				
Household	Data, Beyond 20/20 browser flat ASCII files, User's Guide	82F0001XCB	CD-ROM	\$300
Health care institutions	Flat ASCII files	82M0010XDB	Diskette	\$75
Custom tables	Household Institutions	82C0013 82C0015	Price varies with informa Price varies with informa	tion requirements. tion requirements.

† All prices exclude sales tax.

‡ See inside cover for shipping charges.





POPULATION HEALTH SURVEYS

Canadian Community Health Survey (CCHS)

Cycle 1.1: CCHS was conducted by Statistics Canada to provide cross-sectional estimates of health determinants, health status and health system utilization for 133 health regions across Canada, plus the territories.

Cycle 1.2: CCHS - Mental Health and Well-being was conducted by Statistics Canada to provide provincial cross-sectional estimates of mental health determinants, mental health status and mental health system utilization.

Cycle 2.1: The second cycle of CCHS was conducted by Statistics Canada to provide cross-sectional estimates of health determinants, health status and health system utilization for 134 health regions across Canada.

National Population Health Survey (NPHS)

Household - The household component includes household residents in all provinces, with the principal exclusion of populations on Indian Reserves, Canadian Forces Bases and some remote areas in Québec and Ontario.

Institutions - The institutional component includes long-term residents (expected to stay longer than six months) in health care facilities with four or more beds in all provinces with the principal exclusion of the Yukon and the Northwest Territories.

North - The northern component includes household residents in both the Yukon and the Northwest Territories with the principal exclusion of populations on Indian Reserves, Canadian Forces Bases and some of the most northerly remote areas of the Territories.

Health Services Access Survey (HSAS)

The Health Services Access Survey provides detailed information about access to health care services such as 24/7 first contact services and specialized services. Data are available at the national level.

Joint Canada/United States Survey of Health (JCUSH)

The Joint Canada/United States Survey of Health (JCUSH) collected information from both Canadian and U.S. residents, about their health, their use of health care and their functional limitations.

For more information about these surveys, visit our web site at http://www.statcan.ca/english/concepts/hs/index.htm

Canadian Statistics

Obtain free tabular data on various aspects of Canada's economy, land, people and government.

For more information about these tables, visit our web site at http://www.statcan.ca/english/Pgdb/health.htm

The Research Data Centres Program

The Research Data Centres (RDC) program is part of an initiative by Statistics Canada, the Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council (SSHRC) and university consortia to help strengthen Canada's social research capacity and to support the policy research community.

RDCs provide researchers with access, in a secure university setting, to microdata from population and household surveys. The centres are staffed by Statistics Canada employees. They are operated under the provisions of the *Statistics Act* in accordance with all the confidentiality rules and are accessible only to researchers with approved projects who have been sworn in under the *Statistics Act* as 'deemed employees.'

RDCs are located throughout the country, so researchers do not need to travel to Ottawa to access Statistics Canada microdata. For more information, contact Gustave Goldman at (613) 951-1472, Program Manager, Research Data Centres.

For more information about this program, visit our web site at http://www.statcan.ca/english/rdc/index.htm