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#### Abstract

Tzotzos, D., Atkins, M., and Campbell, A. 2006. Survey of red sea urchin populations at Price Island, British Columbia, 2001. Can. Manuscr. Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 2757: iii +21 p.


A survey of red sea urchin populations was conducted at Price Island (Pacific Fishery Management, PFM sub-area 7-1, 7-2, 7-3, and 7-31), during September, 2001. A total of 35 randomly placed transects were surveyed by SCUBA divers, and 3583 red sea urchins were measured. There were no significant differences in estimated mean densities (number $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) and biomass ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) between red sea urchins found inside and outside previously fished commercial beds in PFM sub-area 7-3. No commercial beds were surveyed in the other PFM sub-areas. The total estimated mean density was 6.98 urchins per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$, and the estimated mean biomass was 1696.5 g per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$. Overall, $12.6 \%$ of the total number of urchins measured were $\leq 50 \mathrm{~mm}$ test diameter (TD), whereas $46.6 \%$ were of legal size ( $\geq 90 \mathrm{~mm}$ TD) for the commercial fishery.

## RÉSUMÉ

Tzotzos, D., Atkins, M., and Campbell, A. 2006. Survey of red sea urchin populations at Price Island, British Columbia, 2001. Can. Manuscr. Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 2757: iii +21 p.

Un relevé des populations d'oursins rouges a été réalisé en septembre 2001 à l'île Price (sous-secteurs 7-1, 7-2, 7-3 et 7-31 de gestion des pêches du Pacifique). Au total, 35 transects établis au hasard ont été couverts par des plongeurs autonomes, qui ont mesuré 3583 oursins rouges. On n'a pas noté de différences significatives dans la densité moyenne estimée (nombre $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) ni dans la biomasse ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) entre les oursins rouges observés à l'intérieur et à l'extérieur de gisements auparavant exploités par la pêche commerciale dans le sous-secteur 7-3. Aucun gisement exploité commercialement n'a été examiné dans les autres sous-secteurs. La densité moyenne totale estimée était de 6,98 oursins par $\mathrm{m}^{2}$, et la biomasse moyenne a été estimée à $1696,5 \mathrm{~g}$ par m${ }^{2}$. Dans l'ensemble, 12,6 \% de tous les oursins rouges mesurés présentaient $\leq 50 \mathrm{~mm}$ DT, tandis que $46,6 \%$ avaient la taille réglementaire ( $\geq 90 \mathrm{~mm}$ TD) pour la pêche commerciale.

## INTRODUCTION

Red sea urchin (Strongylocentrotus franciscanus) distribution along the Pacific Coast of North America ranges from the southern tip of Baja California to Alaska (Kato and Schroeter 1985). Red sea urchins are found throughout shallow rocky subtidal habitats of British Columbia (Bernard 1977; Campbell and Harbo 1991). Red sea urchins are commercially harvested for their gonads (roe), which are sold mainly in Japan. Coastal First Nations communities harvest sea urchins as part of their food, social, and ceremonial fisheries. The commercial red sea urchin fishery started in British Columbia (BC) in the early 1970's and the total landed value for the 2000-2001 season was $\$ 8.4$ million (Campbell et al. 2001), making the red sea urchin fishery one of the most valuable shellfish fisheries in $B C$.

The commercial red sea urchin fishery history and management were described in Campbell and Harbo (1991), and Campbell et al. (1999a). Currently, several approaches are used in the management of the red sea urchin fishery, including: a minimum commercial harvest size of 90 mm test diameter (TD); area licensing; individual vessel quotas; area quotas; and limited licence entry. Quota calculations are based on estimates of urchin density from field surveys, and estimates of urchin bed areas. Density estimates are therefore essential to the assessment and management of the red sea urchin fishery.

Early red sea urchin population surveys were conducted during the 1970's and 1980's by Adkins et al. (1981), Breen et al. $(1976,1978)$ and Sloan et al. (1987). Since 1993, red sea urchin population surveys have been conducted as a joint effort between the Pacific Urchin Harvesters Association (PUHA), First Nations, and Fisheries and Oceans Canada (DFO) (Jamieson et al. 1998a-d; Bureau et al. 2000a-d; Tzotzos et al. 2003a-d, 2006; Atkins et al. 2006a-h).

Fishery managers request that red sea urchin surveys be conducted to update density and biomass estimates to help determine quotas. The Price Island area [parts of Pacific Fishery Management (PFM) sub-areas 7-1, 7-2, 7-3, and 7-31] (Figure 1), was selected for survey through discussion between PUHA and DFO. The objective of this paper was to present detailed survey results and to estimate red sea urchin density and biomass for PFM sub-areas 7-1, 7-2, 7-3, and 7-31.

## METHODS

## Survey Area and Transect Layout

Survey efforts were concentrated in PFM sub-areas 7-1, 7-2, 7-3, and 7-31 (Figure 1). Transect locations were selected and plotted on a marine chart prior to the survey to avoid bias in the field.

Transects were systematically placed along the shoreline with a random starting point. The ArcView GIS system was used to measure the shoreline length (SL) of the survey area, including islands. The position of the first transect was determined randomly, and subsequent transects were then spaced evenly along the shoreline. Areas of unsuitable red sea urchin habitat (eg. sand and mud substrates) were excluded from the survey area. Mean distance between transects was estimated as SL/n where n is the number of transects along the shoreline. Since variation in urchin density was unlikely to match the spacing of the transects, the systematic sample was treated as a random sample of transects (Jamieson and Schwarz 1998).

## Survey Logistics

The survey was conducted between September 6-10, 2001. The PUHA provided the "Westport l", a commercial red sea urchin fishing vessel, with a crew of three people consisting of two divers, one of which was a biologist and the other a commercial red sea urchin harvester. The PUHA also retained the services of a third party commercial diver.

## Dive Survey Methods

In the field, locations of transects were determined from geographical references on the shoreline, and GPS. Exposure to wave action/current was recorded, for each transect, as one of nine codes: $0=$ extreme shelter, $1=$ minimal sea movement, $2=$ well sheltered, $3=$ occasional current, $4=$ moderate exposure, $5=$ strong tidal flow, $6=$ high tide surge only, $7=$ ground swell normal, $8=$ high exposure. Leadline transects were laid perpendicular to shore from the boat, with a float attached to the deep end of each transect. Transects were laid out from shallow water to a depth of 15 m (not corrected for tide), so transect length was dependent on the slope of the substrate and tide height. A two-diver team surveyed each transect from deep to shallow, one diver measured urchins while the other recorded data. A one meter squared ( $1 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ) quadrat was placed on the bottom beside the transect and the test diameter (TD) of each red urchin present was measured, with callipers, to the nearest millimeter. If urchins could not be measured because they were inaccessible or broken/lost they were still counted, therefore the count of urchins in a quadrat may be higher than the number measured. The depth, substrate type, types of algae (and percent cover), shell length of abalone (Haliotis kamtschatkana), and TD of green (S. droebachiensis) and purple (S. purpuratus) sea urchins present in each quadrat were also recorded. The quadrat was then moved 2 m forward along the transect and the process was repeated, so that every second meter of the transect was surveyed. In cases where no urchins were found at the deep end of transects, observations of depth, substrate and algae were recorded only every 20 m to minimize dive time. In such cases, skipped quadrats were assigned zero values for urchin counts before the data were analysed. Once urchins were encountered, sampling was conducted every 2 m until the intertidal zone or the surface was reached.

## Data Analysis

## Habitat

## Depth Categories

Gauge depths recorded by divers were corrected to depth below Chart Datum by subtracting tide height from the observed depths. Tide heights from the closest tide station were used to correct depths. The depth (m) for each quadrat was assigned to one of seven depth ranges: $1=<0.0 \mathrm{~m} ; 2=0.0-<2.5 \mathrm{~m} ; 3=2.5-<5.0 \mathrm{~m} ; 4=5.0-<7.5 \mathrm{~m}$; $5=7.5-<10.0 \mathrm{~m} ; 6=10.0-<12.5 \mathrm{~m} ;$ and $7=\geq 12.5 \mathrm{~m}$.

## Substrate

The divers recorded the dominant substrates (up to three) within each quadrat using one of nine generic codes: 1=smooth bedrock; 2=bedrock with crevices; $3=$ boulders, $>30 \mathrm{~cm}$; $4=$ cobble, between 7.5 cm and 30 cm ; $5=$ gravel, between 2 cm and 7.5 cm ; 6=pea gravel, between $0.25-2 \mathrm{~cm} ; 7=$ sand; $8=$ shell; and $9=$ mud. For the analysis, the nine substrate codes were grouped into three main dominant categories: 1=rock (codes 1-5); 2=sand/shell (codes 6-8); and 3=mud (code 9). Each quadrat was assigned a dominant substrate code in order to determine the average percent of each dominant substrate.

Algae
Algal species were assigned to one of four categories based on growth characteristics: canopy (taller than 2 m ), understorey ( 30 cm to 2 m ), turf ( $<30 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), and encrusting. The percent cover of algae in each category, for each quadrat, was calculated as the sum of the individual species' percent cover. Mean percent cover, by growth category, for each depth category was then calculated by averaging the quadrat percent covers over the depth category.

## Estimation of Density and Biomass

Density and biomass estimates were calculated from transects that were located inside commercially harvested red sea urchin beds, for transects located outside of the harvested beds, and for all transects combined. Commercially harvested beds were defined as areas where commercial harvesting occurred between 1997 and 2000; therefore, areas defined as outside beds may have had fishing events prior to 1997 and/or after 2000. The process involved in defining the commercially harvested urchin beds was described by Campbell et al. (2001).

Density and biomass were estimated for red urchins in three size groups: a) all sizes, b) small urchins $<50 \mathrm{~mm}$ TD, and c) urchins of legal size for the commercial fishery ( $\geq 90 \mathrm{~mm}$ TD). Estimates of mean density and biomass were calculated using the equations below.

Density estimates $\left(d_{t s}\right)$ in number of red sea urchins per meter squared for each transect ( $t$ ) and size group (s) were calculated as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{t s}=\frac{N_{c t}}{a_{t}} * \frac{N_{m t s}}{N_{m t}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N_{c t}$ is the total number of red urchins counted on transect $t, N_{m t s}$ is the number of red urchins measured in size group $s$ on transect $t, N_{m t}$ is the total number of red urchins measured on transect $t$, and $a_{t}$ is the surface area of all quadrats surveyed on the transect $t$. Here $a_{t}$ is equal to the number of all quadrats surveyed on the transect since each quadrat had a surface area of $1 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$.

Overall mean density $\left(\bar{d}_{s}\right)$ for a PFM sub-area, for urchins of size group $s$, was estimated as a weighted mean of transect densities:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{d_{s}}=\frac{\sum_{t}\left(d_{t s}^{*} L_{t}\right)}{\sum_{t} L_{t}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L_{t}$ is the length of transect $t$ (Campbell et al. 1999b).
The standard error $\left(\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{d}}\right)$ of estimated mean density was calculated as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{d}=\sqrt{1-\frac{n}{T}} * \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{t}\left(d_{t s} * L_{t}-\bar{d}_{s} * L_{t}\right)^{2}}{n *(n-1) * \bar{L}^{2}}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n$ is the number of transects surveyed, $T$ is the total possible number of transects that can be sampled in a surveyed PFM sub-area and mean transect length ( $\bar{L}$ ) was calculated as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{L}=\frac{\sum_{t} L_{t}}{n} \tag{Campbelletal.1999b}
\end{equation*}
$$

The expression $\sqrt{ }(1-(n / T))$ was approximately equal to 1 since $n$ was much smaller than $T$.

To calculate biomass, the weight of each red urchin measured was calculated using the relationship between urchin weight $(W)$ in grams and test diameter (TD) in millimetres (Campbell et al. 1999b, 2000).

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=0.0012659 * T D^{2.7068} \quad \mathrm{n}=167, \mathrm{r}^{2}=0.960 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Biomass density ( $b_{t s}$ in grams per meter squared) of urchins of size group $s$, on a transect $t$, was estimated using a simplified form of the formula used in previous papers (Campbell et al. 2000). The formula was modified by Campbell et al. (1999b) to simplify computations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{t s}=\frac{N_{c t}}{N_{m t}} * \frac{\sum W_{t s}}{a_{t}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N_{c t}$ is the total number of red urchins counted on transect $t, N_{m t}$ is the total number of red urchins measured on transect $t, \Sigma W_{t s}$ is the sum of the weights of red urchins measured in size group $s$ on transect $t$ and $a_{t}$ is the surface area of quadrats surveyed on the transect $t$.

Overall estimated mean biomass $\left(\bar{b}_{s}\right)$ per surface area (grams per meter squared) was calculated as a weighted mean of transect biomass:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{b_{s}}=\frac{\sum_{t}\left(b_{t s} * L_{t}\right)}{\sum_{t} L_{t}} \quad \text { (Campbell et al. 1999b) } \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The standard error of estimated mean biomass was calculated using the same formula used for standard errors of density, but $d_{t s}$ and $\bar{d}_{s}$ were substituted for $b_{t s}$ and $\bar{b}_{s}$, respectively. The biomass estimate, for each PFM sub-area surveyed, was converted into quota recommendations for management purposes by Campbell et al. (2001).

A Kruskal Wallace Analysis (Systat 10) was used to compare red urchin densities between inside and outside of commercial beds overall and for each PFM sub-area.

Density and biomass estimates were also generated by depth.

## Recruitment

Estimates of recruitment $\left(R_{T}\right)$ of red sea urchin populations in $B C$ have generally been expressed as a percentage of the total number of red sea urchins measured that were $\leq 50 \mathrm{~mm}$ TD (Adkins et al. 1981; Breen et al. 1976, 1978; Jamieson et al. 1998b, 1998c, 1998d; Sloan et al. 1987). For comparison purposes, the same method was used here. Recruitment was also calculated as a percentage of the total number of sublegal red sea urchins ( $<90 \mathrm{~mm}$ TD) that were $\leq 50 \mathrm{~mm}$ TD ( $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}$ ). This method may provide a less biased measure of recruitment in areas where a commercial fishery has taken place, since the numbers of sea urchins $\geq 90 \mathrm{~mm}$ TD may be reduced due to the harvest (Tegner and Dayton 1981).

## RESULTS

## Survey Logistics

In total, 35 transects were surveyed during five dive days (Table 1, Figure 1). A total of 3583 red sea urchins were measured in 514 quadrats on the 35 transects. Total transect length surveyed was 991m, for an average transect length of 28 m . Eight transects were located in the commercial red sea urchin beds recorded from 1997 to 2000. Surveyed transects were spread throughout PFM sub-areas 7-1, 7-2, 7-3, and 7-
31. At the time of the survey, PFM sub-area 7-31 was closed to commercial harvesting for research purposes.

## Substrate and Habitat

Generally, the survey area was characterised by steep slopes, accounting for the relatively short transects. Bedrock (smooth and creviced) was the primary substrate in 387 (75.3\%) quadrats. Boulders and cobble were the primary substrate in 119 (23.2\%) quadrats, while shell was the primary substrate type in 8 (1.5\%) quadrats.

Of 3583 red sea urchins measured, $81 \%$ were observed between 0.0 m and 7.5 m (Table 2). All surveyed transects had exposure values of 8: high exposure (Table 1).

## Size Frequency Distributions

The mean size of all red sea urchins measured was 83.2 mm TD (Table 3, Figure 2). The smallest and largest red urchins measured were 5 mm and 158 mm TD, respectively. Overall, the mean size of red urchins found within and outside of commercial bed areas was 85.0 mm and 82.7 mm TD, respectively

The overall percentage of legal-sized red urchins ( $\geq 90 \mathrm{~mm}$ TD) was $46.6 \%$, whereas the percentage of urchins $\leq 50 \mathrm{~mm}$ TD ( $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{T}}$ ) was $12.6 \%$. Of the total sublegal red urchins, $23.5 \%$ were $\leq 50 \mathrm{~mm}$ TD ( $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{S}}$ ). Inside and outside commercial beds areas, the percentage of legal-sized red urchins was $52.5 \%$ and $45.0 \%$, the percentage of red urchins $\leq 50 \mathrm{~mm}$ TD ( $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{T}}$ ) was $14.6 \%$ and $12.0 \%$, and the percentage of sublegal red urchins $\leq 50 \mathrm{~mm}$ TD ( $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{S}}$ ) was $30.7 \%$ and $21.9 \%$, respectively.

## Density and Biomass Estimates

For all transects combined, the estimated mean density and biomass for red sea urchins of all sizes was $6.98 / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ and $1696.5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$, respectively, and $3.25 / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ and 1227.6 $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ for legal-sized urchins (Table 4). Although differences in density and biomass between in and out of bed areas were observed for all size groupings, the differences were not significant (Table 5). Overall (PFM sub-areas combined), for transects lying within recorded red sea urchin beds, the estimated mean density of red urchins of all sizes was $6.30 / \mathrm{m}^{2}$, and was $3.31 / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ for legal-sized urchins. For transects lying outside of commercial bed areas, the estimated mean density was $7.18 / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ for red urchins of all sizes, and $3.23 / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ for legal-sized urchins. Inside bed areas, the estimated mean biomass of red sea urchins of all sizes was $1684.2 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$, and was $1349.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ for legalsized urchins; outside bed areas the estimated mean biomass was $1700.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ and $1192.2 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$, respectively (Table 4).

## DISCUSSION

Due to the broad nature of this survey, and the small sample of transects surveyed in half of the PFM sub-areas, it is difficult to make any comparisons on a per sub-area basis. For this reason comparisons will be made for the survey as a whole.

The overall density and biomass estimates of 6.98 urchins $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$ and $1696.5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ from this survey were much higher than the values observed during surveys conducted in other areas of the central coast. The Fitz Hugh Sound survey conducted in 2001 showed overall red sea urchin densities of 1.12 urchins per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$, and an overall biomass estimate of $274.6 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ (Atkins et al. 2005h). The Laredo Channel survey conducted in 2000 showed overall red sea urchin density and biomass estimates of 1.09 urchins per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ and $255.9 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ (Tzotzos et al. 2003a).

Overall, no significant differences were observed when densities inside and outside of know commercial beds were compared. This, coupled with high estimated densities suggested that population growth (recruitment) was not being limited through over-fishing.
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Table 1. Summary of transects surveyed during the September 6-10, 2001 red sea urchin (RSU) population survey at Price Island. Density in number per meter squared and biomass in grams per meter squared. PFM = Pacific Fishery Management. Depths have been corrected
to chart datum. Check marks $(\checkmark)$ indicate transect lying within a known commercial RSU harvest area. Exposure value of $8=$ high exposure.
$\begin{array}{ccc}\text { PFM } & & \text { Depth (m) } \\ \text { Transect Sub-Area Latitude Longitude } & \text { Minimum Maxim }\end{array}$




| $\underset{N}{\ddagger} \underset{\sim}{\infty} \underset{\sim}{\infty}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N |  | ¢ |
| $\infty$ |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \sim_{\sim}^{\sim} \sim_{\sim}^{\sim} \sim_{N}^{\sim}$ |
|  | Now |  |
|  |  |  |
| ก | N |  |



Table 2．Mean percent cover by algae，substrate type，and number of red sea urchins（RSU）for each depth category



| 88888 | 8 0 －88 | இーのロート |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ¢ $\mathrm{S}^{\circ} \mathrm{O}$ |  |
| $88 \text { 앙 }$ | $8 \underset{0}{N} N_{N}^{\infty} 88$ | $\hat{6}$ |


| O－8 0 ¢ | O ¢ \％\％\％ | Nָ ¢ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $00^{\circ} 0^{\circ} 0$ | N－ |





PFM sub－area 7－3
Table 2. continued.

| DepthRange ( m ) | Number of RSU |  | Number Of Quadrats | Mean Substrate Category | Mean Percent Cover by Algae |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Mean per Quadrat |  |  | Canopy | Understorey | Turf | Encrusting |
| PFM sub-area 7-31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $<0.0$ m | 48 | 6.00 | 8 | 1.00 | 24.38 | 58.13 | 23.13 | 66.25 |
| 0.0-<2.5 m | 347 | 10.52 | 33 | 1.00 | 8.48 | 10.15 | 5.30 | 92.42 |
| $2.5-<5.0 \mathrm{~m}$ | 212 | 4.24 | 50 | 1.10 | 3.90 | 5.50 | 1.90 | 80.80 |
| $5.0-<7.5$ m | 187 | 4.79 | 39 | 1.03 | 1.28 | 1.28 | 0.13 | 96.03 |
| 7.5-<10.0 m | 117 | 4.03 | 29 | 1.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 85.34 |
| $\begin{gathered} 10.0-<12.5 \mathrm{~m} \\ \geq 12.5 \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | none surv none surv |  |  |  |  |
| PFM sub-areas Combined |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <0.0 m | 123 | 6.83 | 18 | 1.00 | 24.17 | 47.78 | 22.50 | 79.44 |
| 0.0-<2.5 m | 1102 | 13.12 | 84 | 1.00 | 8.45 | 12.86 | 3.57 | 94.82 |
| $2.5-<5.0 \mathrm{~m}$ | 867 | 6.02 | 144 | 1.04 | 2.11 | 3.19 | 1.16 | 87.88 |
| $5.0-<7.5$ m | 941 | 5.54 | 170 | 1.01 | 0.41 | 4.21 | 1.01 | 95.65 |
| $7.5-<10.0$ m | 499 | 5.48 | 91 | 1.01 | 0.02 | 0.88 | 0.00 | 93.74 |
| $10.0-<12.5 \mathrm{~m}$ | 51 | 7.29 | 7 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 2.14 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| $\geq 12.5$ m |  |  |  | none surve |  |  |  |  |

Table 3. Number of red sea urchins measured and percent of urchins $\leq 50 \mathrm{~mm}$ TD and $\geq 90 \mathrm{~mm}$ TD for each Pacific Fishery Management (PFM) sub-area surveyed in the 2001 population survey at Price Island. $R_{T}=$ percent of all urchins that were $\leq 50 \mathrm{~mm}$ TD. $R_{S}=$ percent of sublegal urchins that were $\leq 50 \mathrm{~mm}$ TD.

| PFM <br> Sub-area | Transects Used | Test Diameter (mm) |  |  | Numbers Measured |  |  | Percent of Total Urchins Measured |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\leq 50 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{TD}$ | $\geq 90 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{TD}$ |
|  |  | Mean | Minimum | Maximum |  |  |  |  | Total | $\leq 50 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{TD}$ | $\geq 90 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{TD}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{T}}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{S}}$ |
| 7-1 | Within Beds | none surveyed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Outside Beds | 86.3 | 12 | 146 | 358 | 26 | 172 | 7.3 | 14.0 | 48.0 |
|  | All | 86.3 | 12 | 146 | 358 | 26 | 172 | 7.3 | 14.0 | 48.0 |
| 7-2 | Within Beds | none surveyed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Outside Beds | 82.9 | 10 | 155 | 1249 | 140 | 559 | 11.2 | 20.3 | 44.8 |
|  | All | 82.9 | 10 | 155 | 1249 | 140 | 559 | 11.2 | 20.3 | 44.8 |
| 7-3 | Within Beds | 85.0 | 5 | 158 | 727 | 106 | 382 | 14.6 | 30.7 | 52.5 |
|  | Outside Beds | 76.9 | 6 | 140 | 338 | 77 | 147 | 22.8 | 40.3 | 43.5 |
|  | All | 82.4 | 5 | 158 | 1065 | 183 | 529 | 17.2 | 34.1 | 49.7 |
| 7-31 | Within Beds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Outside Beds | $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}\text { none surveyed } \\ 83.2 & 12 & 135 & 911 & 101 & 408 & 11.1 & 20.1 & 44.8\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | All | 83.2 | 12 | 135 | 911 | 101 | 408 | 11.1 | 20.1 | 44.8 |
| Survey Total | Within Beds | 85.0 | 5 | 158 | 727 | 106 | 382 | 14.6 | 30.7 | 52.5 |
|  | Outside Beds | 82.7 | 6 | 155 | 2856 | 344 | 1286 | 12.0 | 21.9 | 45.0 |
|  | All | 83.2 | 5 | 158 | 3583 | 450 | 1668 | 12.6 | 23.5 | 46.6 |


| PFM <br> Sub-Area | Transects Used | Number of Transects | Sum ofTransectLengths $(m)$ | Mean Density RSU/m ${ }^{2}$ |  | Standard Error of Mean Density |  | Mean Biomass of RSU $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ |  | Standard Error of Mean Biomass |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | All Sizes | $\geq 90 \mathrm{~mm}$ | All Sizes | $\geq 90 \mathrm{~mm}$ | All Sizes | $\geq 90 \mathrm{~mm}$ | All Sizes | $\geq 90 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| 7-1 | Within Beds | 0 | 0 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | Outside Beds | 5 | 119 | 5.76 | 2.77 | 0.66 | 0.28 | 1455.8 | 1022.8 | 157.33 | 131.97 |
|  | All | 5 | 119 | 5.76 | 2.77 | 0.66 | 0.28 | 1455.8 | 1022.8 | 157.33 | 131.97 |
| 7-2 | Within Beds | 0 | 0 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | Outside Beds | 9 | 247 | 9.74 | 4.36 | 1.02 | 0.66 | 2301.4 | 1615.9 | 354.8 | 293.7 |
|  | All | 9 | 247 | 9.74 | 4.36 | 1.02 | 0.66 | 2301.4 | 1615.9 | 354.8 | 293.7 |
| 7-3 | Within Beds | 8 | 224 | 6.30 | 3.31 | 1.70 | 0.85 | 1684.2 | 1349.0 | 458.7 | 352.9 |
|  | Outside Beds | 3 | 95 | 6.92 | 3.01 | -- | -- | 1596.9 | 1217.7 | -- | -- V |
|  | All | 11 | 319 | 6.48 | 3.22 | 1.17 | 0.60 | 1658.2 | 1309.9 | 319.6 | 247.4 |
| 7-31 | Within Beds | 0 | 0 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | Outside Beds | 10 | 306 | 5.75 | 2.58 | 1.09 | 0.48 | 1341.66 | 908.11 | 253.66 | 175.36 |
|  | All | 10 | 306 | 5.75 | 2.58 | 1.09 | 0.48 | 1341.66 | 908.11 | 253.66 | 175.36 |
| 7 Total | Within Beds | 8 | 224 | 6.30 | 3.31 | 1.70 | 0.85 | 1684.2 | 1349.0 | 458.7 | 352.9 |
|  | Outside Beds | 27 | 767 | 7.18 | 3.23 | 0.64 | 0.30 | 1700.0 | 1192.2 | 163.7 | 122.5 |
|  | All | 35 | 991 | 6.98 | 3.25 | 0.61 | 0.30 | 1696.5 | 1227.6 | 159.8 | 123.8 |

Table 5. Kruskal-Wallace test results for comparing mean densities between inside and outside commercial bed areas by PFM sub-area and test diameter for red sea urchins surveyed in the 2001 population survey at Price Island.

| PFM | P-values |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sub-area | $\leq 50 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $\geq 90 \mathrm{~mm}$ | All Sizes |
| $7-1$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| $7-2$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| $7-3$ | 0.683 | 0.683 | 0.307 |
| $7-31$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Sub-areas combined | 0.467 | 0.421 | 0.107 |
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Figure 1. Map of survey area and transect locations for the red sea urchin population survey conducted at Price Island, 2001. Hyphenated numbers indicate Pacific Fishery Management sub-areas, and smaller numbers identify transect locations. Inset figure denotes survey location.

This page purposely left blank.
7-3



[^0]:    ${ }^{2}$ Pacific Urchin Harvesters Association
    $902-4^{\text {th }}$ Street
    New Westminster, British Columbia V3L 2W6

