

Canadian Technical Report of  
Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 2644

2006

Effect of Suspended Sediment on Freshwater Fish and Fish Habitat

by

M.J. Robertson, D.A. Scruton, R.S. Gregory and Keith D. Clarke

**LIBRARY**  
**FISHERIES AND OCEANS CANADA**  
**501 UNIVERSITY CRESCENT**  
**WINNIPEG, MB**  
**R3T 2N6 CANADA**

Science Branch  
Fisheries and Oceans Canada  
80 East White Hills Road  
P.O. Box 5667  
St. John's NL Canada A1C 5X1

© Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada, 2006  
Cat. No. Fs 97-26444E ISSN 0706-6457

Correct citation for this publication:

Robertson, M.J., Scruton, D.A., Gregory, R.S., and Clarke, K.D. 2006. Effect of suspended sediment on freshwater fish and fish habitat. Can. Tech. Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 2644: v + 37 pp.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
List of Tables .....	iv
Abstract .....	v
Résumé .....	v
Background .....	1
Introduction.....	2
Literature Review .....	3
Effect on Fish Eggs and Larvae (Table 1).....	3
Physiological Effects on Fish (Table 2) .....	4
Effects on Fish Foraging and Growth (Table 3) .....	5
Effects on Primary Producers and Aquatic Plants.....	6
Effects on Invertebrates .....	6
Behavioural Effects on Fish (Table 4) .....	7
Effects on Fish Habitat.....	7
Spawning Habitat .....	7
Overwintering Habitat .....	8
Effects on Fish Abundance and Community Structure .....	8
Predicting the Effects of Suspended Sediment on Aquatic Ecosystems.....	8
Summary and Evaluation of the Literature for Habitat Management.....	9
Water Quality Guidelines .....	10
References .....	10
 Appendices	
Appendix 1: Severity of Effects Table for Sediment Impacts.....	34
Appendix 2: British Columbia's Ambient Water Quality Guidelines (Criteria) for Turbidity, Suspended and benthic Sediments.....	35

**LIST OF TABLES**

	Page
Table 1. Effects of Suspended Sediment on Fish Larvae and Eggs .....	20
Table 2. Physiological Effect of Suspended Sediment on Fish .....	24
Table 3. Effect of Suspended Sediment on Fish Foraging and Growth.....	27
Table 4. Effect of Suspended Sediment on Fish Behaviour .....	32

## ABSTRACT

Robertson, M.J., Scruton, D.A., Gregory, R.S., and Clarke, K.D. 2006. Effect of suspended sediment on freshwater fish and fish habitat. Can. Tech. Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 2644: v + 37 pp.

As part of the Risk Management Framework (RMF) being implemented in Fisheries and Oceans Canada's (DFO) Habitat Management Program (HMP), a series of Pathways of Effects (PoE) models have been developed. DFO Science Branch conducted literature reviews to validate key end-points (habitat impacts) resulting from development activities and this report reviews the effect of suspended sediment on fish and fish habitat. The literature review was focused on peer reviewed literature from, or of relevance to, Canada, was constrained to freshwater environments, and, owing to the nature of available literature was largely focussed on fluvial habitats. The review includes effects on fish eggs and larvae, effects on foraging and growth, physiological and behavioural effects, alterations to fish abundance and fish communities, effects on aquatic plants and invertebrates, and impacts to fish habitats. Various approaches to predicting the effects of suspended sediment on the aquatic ecosystem are discussed. Finally, the literature reviewed is summarized and evaluated in the context of application to Fisheries and Oceans' Habitat Management

## RÉSUMÉ

Robertson, M.J., Scruton, D.A., Gregory, R.S., and Clarke, K.D. 2006. Effect of suspended sediment on freshwater fish and fish habitat. Can. Tech. Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 2644: v + 37 pp.

On a élaboré une série de modèles de cheminement des effets, qui feront partie du cadre de gestion du risque devant être intégré au Programme de gestion de l'habitat (PGH) de Pêches et Océans Canada. La Direction des sciences du MPO a procédé à des analyses documentaires pour valider les résultats ultimes (effets sur l'habitat) découlant des activités d'aménagement. Le rapport présenté ici passe en revue les effets des sédiments en suspension sur le poisson et sur son habitat. L'analyse documentaire a été axée sur les documents qui avaient fait l'objet d'un examen par les pairs et qui provenaient du Canada ou qui pouvaient s'appliquer au Canada; de plus, elle s'est limitée à ce qui concernait les milieux d'eau douce et, compte tenu de la nature des documents disponibles, elle s'est largement concentrée sur les habitats fluviaux. On a examiné les effets sur les oeufs et larves de poisson, ainsi que sur la quête de nourriture et la croissance, les effets physiologiques et comportementaux, les changements occasionnés dans l'abondance du poisson et les communautés de poisson, les effets sur les plantes et les invertébrés aquatiques et les effets sur les habitats du poisson. Le rapport décrit diverses approches de prévision des effets des sédiments en suspension sur les écosystèmes aquatiques. Enfin, l'analyse documentaire est résumée et évaluée dans le contexte d'une application à la gestion de l'habitat par Pêches et Océans Canada.



## BACKGROUND

Within DFO, the HMP is a key federal regulatory program with the mandate to conserve and protect fish habitat under Canada's Fisheries Act. In 2004-05, the Habitat Management Directorate implemented the Environmental Process Modernization Plan (EPMP) which is intended to provide more efficient and effective delivery of its habitat responsibilities, including improved predictability and timeliness in decision making regarding proposed developments. The EPMP is integral to the Government of Canada's "Smart Regulation" initiative by creating a more modern regulatory system that provides decisions in a more timely, efficient and effective manner. The key element in this 'plan' is the development and implementation of a science-based RMF. This framework sets out a series of principles and practices used to evaluate development projects with the potential to affect fish habitat. The RMF is intended to ensure that project proposals (referrals) are evaluated in a consistent and transparent fashion and that Program resources can be re-allocated from the review of routine, low risk activities, to the review of projects with the greatest degree of risk to fish habitat.

A major underpinning of the RMF is the development and validation of a series of PoE diagrams that describe the cause-effect linkages between a development activity and a habitat impact (see Jones et al. 1996; 'hypothesis of effects' diagrams). These diagrams are essentially a logical map (flow chart) that begin with a development activity, which leads to a series of qualitative changes in fish habitat (e.g. sediment increases, flow alterations, removal of riparian vegetation) which can be linked to changes in biological productivity (e.g. reduction in food availability, etc.- i.e. the effect). DFO's habitat biologists and proponents are to use these PoEs to assess the potential effects from any given project. These PoE diagrams would also assist the proponents develop mitigation plans to avoid the negative effects of a given activity, where possible. To date the Habitat Management program has conceptually developed 21 PoE diagrams for land based (10) and in-water (11) activities.

The HMP requested that Science Branch conduct a detailed scientific literature review to support and validate the cause-effect relationships within each PoE. In reviewing the PoE diagrams it became apparent that there was considerable overlap in the cause-effect linkages between a variety of activities and the physical environmental (habitat) change, as the end point. For example, a change in sediment concentration is an end point in 14 PoE models. It was decided that Science Branch would therefore conduct the literature review and validation on the end points common to many of the PoEs. The initial focus was on four major endpoints that comprise 70% of all the linkages in the combined set of PoE diagrams, namely: (1) change in sediment concentrations, (2) change in habitat structure and cover, (3) change in water temperature, and (4) change in dissolved oxygen concentrations.

The literature review and validation were to consider the severity, reversibility, frequency, and duration of the effect. The reviews were also to document the fish species affected, the ecotype that the effect could be characterized for, and geographic extent of the documented relationships. The effects were to be characterized over multiple spatial and temporal scales, where possible, and were to consider individual

effects, population level effects and habitat 'function', effects. The focus was on peer reviewed literature relevant in the Canadian context (literature from other countries was to be included if deemed relevant to species and ecotypes within Canada).

Three working papers/reports were developed, and subsequently presented at a DFO National Workshop Meeting to determine the scientific validity of the four reviewed endpoints. The participants, including both DFO scientists and academic researchers, conducted an impartial and objective scientific peer review of the working documents. The key conclusions (scientific information/advice) from this meeting will be documented in a Canadian Science Advisory Secretariat (CSAS) Proceedings report. The working paper on change in sediment concentrations has been revised based on the feedback at this peer review meeting, and published as this report. A companion report on change in habitat structure and cover has also been published (Smokorowski et al. 2006).

## INTRODUCTION

Sediment entering aquatic habitats may become suspended in the water column (i.e. suspended sediment) or settle on the substrate (i.e. deposited sediment). In general, the size of the sediment particle separating suspended and deposited sediment is 62  $\mu\text{m}$ , with suspended sediment consisting mostly of silt and clay (Waters 1995). Suspended sediment can be measured directly as the dry weight of particles suspended in a volume of water (i.e. total suspended sediment TSS: mg/L, ppm) or indirectly as the extent of light scattering reported by a turbidity meter [Nephelometric Turbidity Units (NTU), Jackson Turbidity Units (JTU), and Formazin Turbidity Units (FTU), which are approximately equivalent: Lloyd et al. 1987]. The depth at which light penetrates through the water column is determined by the water turbidity. Natural or background turbidity levels can vary greatly between adjacent rivers. Some rivers are naturally clear, whereas others are opaque. Turbidity levels within a river will also fluctuate temporally, becoming elevated when sediment enters during rain events and snowmelt.

The characteristics of fine sediment in streams can be highly variable throughout Canada reflecting variations in geology and geomorphology, climate and precipitation, erosion and delivery mechanisms (Wood and Armitage 1997). The two main sources of sediment in fluvial systems are channel sources, derived from the river bed and banks, and non-channel (off-channel) sources, derived from sources within the catchment such as exposed soils. Channel sources are strongly linked to river hydrology and the stability of the streambed and banks. Principle sources include: (i) stream banks subject to erosion, (ii) mid-channel and point bars comprised of fine materials, (iii) fines stored in interstices, (iv) backwaters where sediments accumulate, and (v) fines associated with aquatic plants that undergo seasonal growth and decline. In some instances biological particles, e.g. phytoplankton and zooplankton, are considered components of fine sediment. The main non-channel sources of fine sediment include: (i) soils subject to erosion and delivered to the stream by runoff, (ii) mass failures such as landslides, (iii) urban runoff, (iv) anthropogenic activities (e.g. land use activities), (v) litterfall from vegetation in the riparian areas, and (vi) atmospheric deposition including dust storms.

use activities), (v) litterfall from vegetation in the riparian areas, and (vi) atmospheric deposition including dust storms.

Organisms living in aquatic environments have adapted to natural fluctuations in turbidity which are highly variable throughout Canada making it difficult to generalize sensitivity and potential impacts across species. Many anthropogenic activities such as forestry, mining, hydroelectric development and agricultural operations can increase suspended sediment levels in aquatic environments leading to increases in turbidity. Given the potential negative effects of increased suspended sediment levels on aquatic organisms, a considerable amount of scientific research investigating these effects has been published, reviews include: Bruton 1985; Everest et al. 1987; Lloyd et al. 1987; Newcombe 1994; Waters 1995; Anderson et al. 1996; Wood and Armitage 1997; Harvey and Lisle 1998; Henley et al. 2000; Bash et al. 2001; and Wilber and Clarke 2001).

## LITERATURE REVIEW

High suspended sediment levels can affect fish in a variety of ways including: (i) adversely affecting their swimming, reducing growth, reducing disease tolerance, or causing death (normally caused by clogging gill filaments) (Bruton 1985); (ii) reduction in habitat quality (suitability), particularly spawning habitats affecting eggs and developing larvae (e.g. Chapman 1988); (iii) forcing the modification of migration patterns (Alabaster and Lloyd 1980); (iv) reduction of food availability (primary production, plants, and benthic invertebrates) (Bruton 1985); and (v) altering predatory efficiency, particularly for sight feeders (Bruton 1985; Gregory and Northcote 1993 and others). These various effects are discussed in more detail in the ensuing sections.

The objective of this paper was 1) to review scientific literature that pertains to the effect of suspended sediment on freshwater fish or fish habitat and 2) to summarise and evaluate this literature for fish habitat management purposes. Sediment from potentially toxic origins (e.g. mining and ore processing, agriculture, water treatment facilities) and volcanic sediments have been excluded from this review. This review has focused on freshwaters only (marine and estuarine literature has not been reviewed) and owing to the nature of the literature, most of the review emphasizes fluvial systems.

### EFFECTS ON FISH EGGS AND LARVA (TABLE 1)

Numerous laboratory and field studies have demonstrated that fish embryo survival to emergence declines as the percentage of fine sediment ( $\leq 6$  mm) within redds increases above 5-10 % (Wickett 1958; Shelton and Pollock 1966; Phillips et al. 1975; Hausle and Coble 1976; Peterson and Metcalfe 1981; Morgan et al. 1983; Tappel and Bjornn 1983; Witzel and MacCrimmon 1983; Fudge and Bodaly 1984; Marty et al. 1986; review Chapman 1988; Reiser and White 1988; O'Conner and Andrew 1998; Argent and Flebbe 1999; Bernier-Bourgault and Magnan 2002; Curry and MacNeill 2004). These studies suggest that fine sediment within spawning gravels kill eggs by inhibiting both

gas exchange and the removal of toxic metabolites from the redd (review Chapman 1988; Argent and Flebbe 1999).

Quantifying the amount of fine sediment that will settle within spawning gravels, and the duration that it will remain there before being potentially resuspended, is extremely difficult as it relates to numerous stream properties (e.g. hydrology, geology, geography) which affect flow rate and the ability to retain sediments in suspension (Fudge and Bodaly 1984; Everest et al. 1987; Lisle and Lewis 1992; Schälchi 1992; Sear 1993). Therefore, the effect sediment has on fish embryo development and survival will vary widely between streams (Lisle and Lewis 1992). If the effects of sedimentation are severe, extreme decreases in egg-to-larva survival may cause population declines (Marschall and Crowder 1996).

## PHYSIOLOGICAL EFFECTS ON FISH (TABLE 2)

Juvenile and adult fish can potentially move to avoid increases in suspended sediment (see Behavioural Effects), but for fish remaining in affected areas, exposure to sediment may have negative impacts on fish health. Fish can generally tolerate high concentrations of suspended sediment, with direct mortality occurring only when concentrations are extremely high and beyond those generally recorded in rivers (96h LC50 >10 000-100 000 mg/L: Servizi and Martens 1987, 1991; Lake and Hinch 1999). However, tolerance to suspended sediment appears to be related to fish size (Servizi and Martens 1987; 1991) and newly emerged fish have been reported to die at much lower concentrations (>100-1500 mg/L; Sigler et al. 1984; McLeay et al. 1987). Tolerance to suspended sediment may also be affected by temperature (McLeay et al. 1987; Servizi and Martens 1991). Underyearling coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*) survived higher concentrations of suspended sediment at 7°C (22 700 mg/L) compared to 1°C (10 669 mg/L) and 18°C (7491 mg/L; Servizi and Martens 1991). Mortalities (10-20%) of Arctic grayling occurred at 5°C but not at 15°C after a 4-day exposure to sediment concentrations  $\geq 20$  gL<sup>-1</sup> (McLeay et al. 1987).

The potential effects of increased suspended sediment on fish health, at those levels generally recorded in rivers, are expected to be sublethal (Servizi and Martens 1987, 1992). Sublethal responses to short-term exposure (i.e. hours-weeks) to suspended sediment have been shown to occur at approximately 10-20 NTU under laboratory conditions. The shape of the sediment particles may also be important, as 'angular' sediments have been shown to elicit a stress response in fish at lower concentrations than 'round' sediments (Lake and Hinch 1999).

Sublethal responses to suspended sediment include:

- 1) elevated blood sugar, plasma glucose or plasma cortisol levels (i.e. stress response, >500 mg/L: Sigler et al. 1984; Servizi and Martens 1987, 1992; Redding et al. 1987; Lake and Hinch 1999)
- 2) increased cardiac output (10 NTU or approx. 3300 mg/L: Bunt et al. 2004)

- 3) increased ventilation rates (i.e. reduced respiratory function, >6000 mg/L or >20 NTU: Horkel and Pearson 1976; Berg and Northcote 1985; Servizi and Martens 1987)
- 4) gill damage (>3000 mg/L: Sigler et al. 1984; Servizi and Martens 1987; Bergstedt and Bergersen 1997; Lake and Hinch 1999)
- 5) reduced resistance to disease (270 mg/L: Herbert and Merkens 1961; Redding et al. 1987).

### EFFECTS ON FISH FORAGING AND GROWTH (TABLE 3)

Suspended sediment in streams increases turbidity and thus reduces the visual ability of fish to detect prey and predators. As turbidity increases above 10 NTU, the maximum distance at which predator-prey interactions occur (i.e. reactive distance) decreases (Vinyard and O'Brien 1976; Berg and Northcote 1985; Crowl 1989; Gregory 1990; Barrett et al. 1992; Gregory and Northcote 1993; Miner and Stein 1996; Vogel and Beauchamp 1999; Sweka and Hartman 2001a). A reduction in the ability to detect prey in turbid water has been shown to reduce foraging rates (i.e. consumption) in some species (>30-100 NTU: Gardner 1981; Berg and Northcote 1985; Boehlert and Morgan 1985; McLeay et al. 1987; Redding et al. 1987; Breitburg 1988; Vandenbyllaardt et al. 1991; Borgström et al. 1992; Reid et al. 1999). However, the affect turbidity has on fish foraging rates may be influenced by light conditions (Miner and Stein 1993), fish size (Gregory 1994) or the perceived risk of predation (Gregory 1990; 1994; Gregory and Northcote 1993). Increases in turbidity (range 10-40 NTU) reduced the foraging rates of larval bluegill (*Lepomis macrochirus*) under low-light conditions (<450 lx) but increased foraging under high-light conditions (>450 lx). At higher light levels, prey may have been more visible against a turbid background (i.e. contrast enhancement, Miner and Stein 1993). Foraging rates have also been shown to increase at moderate turbidities (18-150 NTU and 50-200 mg/L) in larger underyearling coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*: 57-69 mm) and chinook salmon (*O. tshawytscha*: 60-70 mm: Gregory 1990, 1994; Gregory and Northcote 1993). Predation rates and anti-predator behaviours have been shown to be lower in turbid water compared to clear water (Table 4: Ginetz and Larkin 1976; Gradall and Swenson 1982; Gregory 1993; Miner and Stein 1996; Abrahams and Kattenfeld 1997; Gregory and Levings 1998). Therefore, some fish may increase feeding activity in turbid water as it provides cover (i.e. visual isolation) from predators (Gregory and Northcote 1993).

Growth rates may also be reduced in turbid water (>10-25 NTU, exposure duration 5 days to 1 year: Crouse et al. 1981; Sigler et al. 1984; McLeay et al. 1987; Borgström et al. 1992; Bergstedt and Bergersen 1997; Shaw and Richardson 2001; Sweka and Hartman 2001b). However, a reduction in growth rate cannot always be attributed to a reduction in foraging rate (Sweka and Hartman 2001b). Growth in turbid water may be limited by other factors such as increased energy expended to capture prey (i.e. active foraging tactic vs. drift feeding: Sweka and Hartman 2001a, 2001b) or a

reduction in prey availability (i.e. aquatic invertebrates: See Effects on Aquatic Plants and Invertebrates). Factors reducing growth rates of small juveniles may result in population declines (Borgström et al. 1992; Marschall and Crowder 1996).

Some studies have found no effect of turbidity on foraging and/or growth rates (Swenson and Matson 1976; Speir and Heidinger 2002; Rowe et al. 2003; Granqvist and Mattila 2004). In these studies, factors such as increased activity, increased prey encounter, reduced anti-predator behaviour of prey and/or altered contrast of prey may have compensated for reduced visual ability (Granqvist and Mattila 2004). Some fish species may also use other senses such as the lateral line system to detect prey (Speir and Heidinger 2002; Rowe et al. 2003).

## EFFECTS ON PRIMARY PRODUCERS AND AQUATIC PLANTS

Even small increases in suspended sediment (e.g. 5-10 NTU) will reduce the production of aquatic plants (i.e. primary production: Hansmann and Phinney 1973; Barko and Smart 1986; Brookes 1986; Lloyd et al. 1987). Fine sediment suspension and deposition affects primary producers by: (i) reducing light penetration thereby reducing photosynthesis and primary production, (ii) reducing the organic content of periphyton at the cellular level, (iii) damaging macrophyte stems and leaves through abrasion, (iv) by preventing the attachment of periphyton to substrates, and (v) smothering and eliminating periphyton and macrophyte beds (Wood and Armitage 1997).

Macrophyte growth is seasonal and can affect stream hydraulics and channel roughness. Macrophyte stands actually act to slow water velocity and thereby enhance the deposition and accumulation of sediment in suspension and these fines can then be released when the macrophytes die off and discharge increases (Carpenter and Lodge 1986).

## EFFECTS ON INVERTEBRATES

Benthic communities are normally able to withstand short-term increases in suspended sediment and even communities disturbed over a short duration are able to rapidly recover (Wood and Armitage 1997). However, even small increases in suspended sediment over longer or continuous durations can affect the quantity and composition (i.e. species richness) of aquatic invertebrates (Barton 1977; Rosenberg and Snow 1975; Rosenberg and Meins 1978; McCabe and O'Brien 1983; Culp et al. 1986; Zettler and Carter 1986; Lloyd et al. 1987; Borgström et al. 1992; Shaw and Richardson 2001).

Fine sediment deposition can affect benthic invertebrates by: (i) altering the substrate composition making the substrate unsuitable for some taxa (or more preferred for others) (e.g. Erman and Ligon 1988); (ii) increasing drift due to substrate instability (Culp et al. 1986); (iii) affecting respiration by silt deposition on respiratory structures or

as a result of low oxygen concentrations often associated with sediment deposition (Lemly 1982); and (iv) reducing feeding by altering effectiveness of filter feeders (Alderidge et al. 1987), reducing food value of periphyton (Graham 1990), and reduction in density of prey (Peckarsky 1984).

Aquatic invertebrates affected by short-term increases in suspended sediment (i.e. during highway culvert installations) have been shown to recover in quantity within a period of days to a year (Barton 1977). However, the drifting invertebrates recolonising the area may differ in species composition (Barton 1977).

## BEHAVIOURAL EFFECTS ON FISH (TABLE 4)

The most common behaviour noted in laboratory studies examining the effect of turbidity on fish is an avoidance response. This behaviour is usually recorded as an 'alarm reaction' and is characterized by erratic swimming and an apparent attempt to avoid the turbid water (>40 NTU: Bisson and Bilby 1982; Berg and Northcote 1985; Servizi and Martens 1992; Chiasson 1993). Research also suggests that substantial emigration may result when increases in suspended sediment occur soon after fish emergence (Sigler et al. 1984; Curry and MacNeill 2004). If suitable habitat is not available downstream, fish production within the stream may decline. Other behaviours such as the breakdown of dominance hierarchies and reduced territorial behaviour (i.e. aggression) have been noted at moderate turbidities (30 and 60 NTU) but these behaviours were re-established when turbidities returned to lower levels (<20 NTU; Berg and Northcote 1985).

Low concentrations of turbidity may be beneficial to some young fish by reducing risk from predators (Ginetz and Larkin 1976). Predation rates and anti-predator behaviours have been shown to be lower in turbid water compared to clear water (Ginetz and Larkin 1976; Gradall and Swenson 1982; Gregory 1993; Miner and Stein 1996; Abrahams and Kattenfeld 1997; Gregory and Levings 1998). As with its adverse effect on feeding rates, turbidity reduces the encounter rate between predators and prey (Gregory and Levings 1996, 1998).

## EFFECTS ON FISH HABITAT

### *Spawning Habitat*

Salmonids require clean gravel for spawning (see 1. Effects on Eggs and Larva). Fine sediment is usually removed from the gravel during redd excavation (Chapman 1988). However, if the amount of fine sediment is excessive, suitable habitat for spawning will be reduced (Platts et al. 1989; Sear 1993; Wu 2000; Kondolf 2000). Studies have shown that salmonids select redd sites with low proportions of fine sediment (Witzel and MacCrimmon 1983; Crisp and Carling 1989; Bernier-Bourgault and Magnan 2002) and traditional spawning habitats have been abandoned due to sedimentation (Hamilton 1961).

### *Overwintering Habitat*

Small fish use the spaces between gravel and cobble substrate in winter to avoid predators, minimize energy expenditure, and avoid harsh environmental conditions (Lauzier and Levings 1986; Gregory and Griffith 1993; Cunjak et al. 1998; Valdimarsson and Metcalfe 1998). Studies have found that winter fish densities decrease as the proportion of fine sediment within the substrate increases (Bjornn et al. 1977; Hillman et al. 1987). The availability of suitable winter habitat in North America is considered the critical factor limiting the abundance of salmonids in streams (Chapman 1966; Bustard and Narver 1975). Therefore, reductions in suitable winter habitat through sedimentation may further limit the productive capacity of streams.

### EFFECTS ON FISH ABUNDANCE AND COMMUNITY STRUCTURE

Sediment accumulation in streams has been correlated with reduced fish abundance (Herbert and Merckens 1961; Saunders and Smith 1965; Alexander and Hansen 1983; Berkman and Rabeni 1987; Lloyd et al. 1987; Houston 1996). This reduction may be attributed to many factors including physiological impacts, changes in foraging success and prey availability, emigration and/or habitat alteration.

Fish community structure is also affected by sedimentation. Fish that eat invertebrates and require clean gravel for spawning (e.g. salmonids) may decline in habitats affected by sediment (Berkman and Rabeni 1987; Bergstedt and Bergersen 1997). However, these species may be replaced by species with more general feeding and spawning requirements (e.g. carp and suckers; Bergstedt and Bergersen 1997).

### PREDICTING THE EFFECTS OF SUSPENDED SEDIMENT ON AQUATIC ECOSYSTEMS

Using the results from previous scientific literature, Newcombe and MacDonald (1991) developed a stress-index model as a convenient tool for predicting the effects of suspended sediment on aquatic ecosystems. This model highlighted the importance of considering not only the concentration of sediment but also exposure duration (i.e. dose-response) when determining the severity of effects. This model was an improvement over the previous concentration-response model that assumed concentration alone would provide adequate information for protecting aquatic environments from sediment pollution. However, the stress-index model was criticized for being unrealistically simplistic and having low predictive power, which may lead managers to suggest inappropriate policies for protecting aquatic environments (Gregory et al. 1993). The dose-response model was refined by Newcombe and Jensen (1996) who developed meta-analysis dose-response models that consider fish species, life stage of species, and particle size of suspended sediment when assessing the effects of suspended sediments on fishes. Newcombe and Jensen (1996) describe the severity of ill effects ranging from no effects to lethal effects (Appendix 1). Given the variable results from previous studies, the thresholds between the different levels of severity occur over a

wide range of concentrations and durations. However, for the same exposure duration, adverse effects are predicted to increase as concentration increases. Validation and refinement of these dose-response models will require new directed research that provides the information necessary for the models and more precise determinations of the thresholds between sublethal and lethal effects (Newcombe and Jensen 1996).

## **SUMMARY AND EVALUATION OF THE LITERATURE FOR FISH HABITAT MANAGEMENT**

General summary statements regarding the scientific literature investigating the effect of suspended sediment on freshwater fish and fish habitat:

- 1) The vast majority of studies have been conducted on salmonids.
- 2) Most studies reported adverse effects, including studies on non-salmonid fishes.
- 3) The magnitude of adverse effects will be related to exposure frequency, duration, concentration, fish species and fish life stage, particle size and type, % fines, water temperature (or season), and background turbidity levels.
- 4) Fish species that eat invertebrates and require clean gravel for spawning (e.g. salmonids) are most vulnerable to suspended and deposited sediments.
- 5) The greatest impact of sedimentation is on incubating eggs and larval fish, as high mortality rates of these life stages may result in reduced fish production.

In general, short-term (i.e. hours, days) and infrequent exposure to small increases in suspended sediment may result in sublethal effects (physiological and behavioural) on individual fish. These effects are usually temporary and are reversed when turbidities return to background levels. Fish populations can be negatively affected by short-term increases in suspended sediment if sedimentation is severe, resulting in the destruction of suitable spawning habitat or extreme decreases in egg-to-larva survival. Sediment settling within rearing habitats may also impact fish populations by reducing prey availability and thus the growth potential of small juvenile fish.

Long-term (i.e. months, years) or frequent exposure (i.e. chronic exposure) to elevated levels of suspended sediment will result in fish population declines and changes in fish community structure. Fish species that eat invertebrates and require clean gravel for spawning (e.g. salmonids) will decline in sedimented areas and be replaced by more tolerant species (e.g. carp and suckers). Chronic releases of sediment usually occur as a result of increased erosion potential from various anthropogenic activities.

## WATER QUALITY GUIDELINES

The province of British Columbia's Ambient Water Quality Guidelines (Criteria) for Turbidity, Suspended and Benthic sediments (developed from Canadian Environmental Quality Guidelines CEQG; Appendix 2) provide guidelines to protect aquatic life under both clear and turbid flow periods. These guidelines were based on scientific background information and, with the exclusion the guidelines for Streambed Substrate Composition (section 2.3), are supported by the findings of the present review.

The percentages selected and sediment diameter transitions suggested in the guidelines for Streambed Substrate Composition are not supported by the findings of the current review and will result in reduced egg and alevin survival. B.C.'s guidelines differentiate between sediments having a 2mm and 3 mm diameter; however, these sediment sizes appear to have similar adverse effects on developing eggs. Substrates with 10% fines (<2 mm) have also been shown to reduce alevin survival by 28% in Atlantic salmon (O'Conner and Andrew 1998). Guidelines reflecting the current literature would improve the protection of developing eggs if they were changed to ".should not exceed 5% having a diameter less than 3 mm..." Small amounts of fines can be removed from the substrate during redd excavation. Therefore, sediment inputs during egg development will have the greatest adverse effects. Thin layers of sediment (<2 mm diameter) deposited on developing eggs resulted in 100% mortality of white perch eggs (1.2 mm deep: Morgan et al. 1983) and reduced survival of lake whitefish eggs (1-4 mm deep: Fudge and Bodaly 1984).

As stated in the guidelines, the recommended transition value (25 mg/L or 8 NTU) between clear and turbid flows was selected by examining streams in British Columbia. This transition value may not be appropriate for all provinces or aquatic habitats. Resource managers need background information regarding turbidity levels and temporal fluctuations in these levels in order to predict, with some confidence, the impact of sediment pollution on an aquatic ecosystem. However, appropriate values are not expected to deviate substantially from these levels.

## REFERENCES

- Abrahams, M., and Kattenfeld, M. 1997. The role of turbidity as a constraint on predator-prey interactions in aquatic environments. *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* 40: 69-174.
- Alabaster, J.S., and Lloyd, R.L. 1980. *Water quality criteria for freshwater fish.* Butterworths, London. 297 pp.
- Alderidge, D.W. Payne, B.S., Miller, A.C. 1987. The effects of intermittent exposure of suspended sediments and turbulence on three species of freshwater mussel. *Environ. Polluti.* 45: 17-28.

- Alexander, G.R., and Hansen, E.A. 1983. Sand sediment in a Michigan trout stream Part II. Effects of reducing sand bedload on a trout population. *N. Am. J. Fish. Manage.* 3: 365-372.
- Anderson, P.G., Taylor, B.R., and Balch G.C. 1996. Quantifying the effects of sediment release on fish and their habitat. *Can. Manuscr. Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* No. 2346.
- Argent, D.G., and Flebbe, P.A. 1999. Fine sediment effects on brook trout eggs in laboratory streams. *Fish. Res.* 39: 253-262.
- Barko, J.W., and Smart, R.M. 1986. Sediment-related mechanisms of growth limitation in submersed macrophytes. *Ecology* 67: 1328-1340.
- Barton, B.A. 1977. Short-term effects of highway construction on the limnology of a small stream in southern Ontario. *Freshw. Biol.* 7: 99-108.
- Barrett, J.C., Grossman, G.D. and Rosenfeld, J. 1992. Turbidity-induced changes in reactive distance of rainbow trout. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* 121: 437-443.
- Bash, J., Berman, C., and Bolton, S. 2001. Effects of turbidity and suspended solids on salmonids. Center for Streamside Studies. University of Washington. pp. 74.
- Berg, L., and Northcote, T.G. 1985. Changes in territorial, gill-flaring, and feeding behaviour in juvenile coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*) following short-term pulses of suspended sediment. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 42: 1410-1417.
- Bergstedt, L.C., and Bergersen, E.P. 1997. Health and movements of fish in response to sediment sluicing in the Wind River, Wyoming. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 54: 312-319.
- Berkman, H.E., and Rabeni, C.F. 1987. Effect of siltation on stream fish communities. *Environ. Biol. Fish.* 18: 285-294.
- Bernier-Bourgault, I., and Magnan, P. 2002. Factors affecting redd site selection, hatching, and emergence of brook charr, *Salvelinus fontinalis*, in an artificially enhanced site. *Environ. Biol. Fish.* 64: 333-341.
- Bisson, P.A., and Bilby, R.E. 1982. Avoidance of suspended sediment by juvenile coho salmon. *N. Am. J. Fish. Manage.* 4: 371-374.
- Bjornn, T.C., Brusven, M.A., Molnau, M.P., Milligan, J.H., Klamt, R.A., Chacho, E., and Schaye, C. 1977. Transport of granitic sediment in streams and its effects on insects and fish. *Idaho For. Wildl. Range Exp. Stn. Bull.* No. 17.
- Boehlert, G.W., and Morgan, J.B. 1985. Turbidity enhances feeding abilities of larval Pacific herring *Clupea harengus pallasii*. *Hydrobiologia* 123: 161-170.

- Borgström, R., Brabrand, A. and Solheim, J.T. 1992. Effects of siltation on resource utilization and dynamics of allopatric brown trout, *Salmo trutta*, in a reservoir. *Environ. Biol. Fish.* 34: 247-255.
- Breitburg, D.L. 1988. Effects of turbidity on prey consumption by striped bass larvae. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* 117: 72-77.
- Brookes, A. 1986. Response of aquatic vegetation to sedimentation downstream from river channelisation works in England and Wales. *Biol. Conserv.* 38: 351-367.
- Bruton, M.N. 1985. The effects of suspendoids on fish. *Hydrobiologia* 125: 221-241.
- Bunt, C.M., Cooke, S.J., Schreer, J.F., and Philipp, D.P. 2004. Effects of incremental increases in silt load on the cardiovascular performance of riverine and lacustrine rock bass, *Ambloplites rupestris*. *Environ. Pollut.* 128: 437-444.
- Bustard, D.R., and Narver, D.W. 1975. Aspects of the winter ecology of juvenile coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*) and steelhead trout (*Salmo gairdneri*). *J. Fish. Res. Board Can.* 32: 667-680.
- Carpenter, S.R., and Lodge, D.M. 1986. Effects of submerged macrophytes on ecosystem processes. *Aquat. Bot.* 26:341-370
- Cederholm, C.J., Reid, L.M., and Salo, E.O. 1981. Cumulative effects of logging road sediment on salmonid populations in the Clearwater River, Jefferson County, Washington. *In* Proceedings from the conference on salmon spawning gravel: a renewable resource in the Pacific Northwest. Washington State University, Washington Water Research Center, Report 39, Pullman. pp. 38-74 (c.f. Chapman, D.W. 1988. Critical review of variables used to define effects of fines in redds of large salmonids. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* 117: 1-21).
- Chapman, D.W. 1966. Food and space as regulators of salmonid populations in streams. *Am. Nat.* 100: 345-357.
- Chapman, D.W. 1988. Critical review of variables used to define effects of fines in redds of large salmonids. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* 117: 1-21.
- Chiasson, A. 1993. The effect of suspended sediments on ninespine stickleback, *Pungitius pungitius*, and golden shiner, *Notemigonus crysoleucas*, in a current of varying velocity. *Environ. Biol. Fish.* 37: 283-295.
- Crisp, D.T., and Carling, P.A. 1989. Observations on the siting, dimensions and structure of salmonid redds. *J. Fish Biol.* 34: 119-134.
- Crouse, M.R., Callahan, C.A., Malueg, K.W., and Dominguez, S. E. 1981. Effects of fine sediment on growth of juvenile coho salmon in laboratory streams. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* 110: 281-286.

- Crowl, T.A. 1989. Effects of crayfish size, orientation, and movement on the reactive distance of largemouth bass foraging in clear and turbid water. *Hydrobiologia* 183: 133-140.
- Cunjak, R.A., Prowse, T.D., and Parrish, D.L. 1998. Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) in winter: "the season of parr discontent"? *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 55: (Suppl. 1.): 161-180.
- Culp, J.M., Wrona, F.J., and Davies, R.W. 1986. Response of stream benthos and drift to fine sediment deposition versus transport. *Can. J. Zool.* 64: 1345-1351.
- Curry, R.A., and MacNeill, W.S. 2004. Population-level responses to sediment during early life in brook trout. *J. North Am. Benthol. Soc.* 23: 140-150.
- Erman, D.C., and Ligon, F.K. 1988. Effects of discharge fluctuation and the addition of fine sediment on stream fish and macroinvertebrates below a water filtration facility. *Environ. Manage.* 12:85-97.
- Everest, F.H., Beschta, R.L., Scrivener, J.C., Koski, K.V., Sedell, J.R., and Cederholm, C.J. 1987. Fine sediment and salmonid production: a paradox. *In* Streamside management: forestry and fishery interactions. *Edited by* E.O. Salo and T.W. Cundy. University of Washington Institute of Forest Resources Contribution 57. pp. 98-142.
- Fudge, R.J.P., and Bodaly, R.A. 1984. Postimpoundment winter sedimentation and survival of lake whitefish (*Coregonus clupeaformis*) eggs in Southern Indian Lake, Manitoba. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 41: 701-705.
- Gardner, M.B. 1981. Effects of turbidity on feeding rates and selectivity of bluegills. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* 110: 446-450.
- Ginetz, R.M., and Larkin, P.A. 1976. Factors affecting rainbow trout (*Salmo gairdneri*) predation on migrant fry of sockeye salmon (*Oncorhynchus nerka*). *J. Fish. Res. Board Can.* 33: 19-24
- Gradall, K. S., and Swenson, W.A. 1982. Response of brook trout and creek chubs to turbidity. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* 111: 392-395.
- Graham, A.A. 1990. Siltation of stone surface periphyton in rivers by clay-sized particles from low concentration in suspension. *Hydrobiologia* 199:107-115.
- Granqvist M., and Mattila, J. 2004 The effects of turbidity and light intensity on the consumption of mysids by juvenile perch (*Perca fluviatilis* L.). *Hydrobiologia* 514: 93-101.

- Gregory, R.S. 1990. Effects of turbidity on benthic foraging and predation risk in juvenile chinook salmon. *In Effects of dredging on anadromous Pacific Coast fishes. Edited by C. A. Simenstad. Seattle, September, 1988. Washington Sea Grant. Seattle. pp. 67-73.*
1993. Effect of turbidity on the predator avoidance behaviour of juvenile chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*). *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 50: 241-246.
1994. The influence of ontogeny, perceived risk of predation and visual ability on the foraging behavior of juvenile Chinook salmon. *In Theory and Application in Fish Feeding Ecology. Edited by D. J. Stouder, K. L. Fresh, and R.J. Feller. University of South Carolina Press, South Carolina. pp. 271-284*
- Gregory, R.S., and Levings, C.D. 1996. The effects of turbidity and vegetation on the risk of juvenile salmonids, *Oncorhynchus* spp., to predation by adult cutthroat trout, *O. clarkii*. *Envir. Biol. Fish.* 47: 279-288
- Gregory, J.S., and Griffith, J.S. 1996. Winter concealment by subyearling rainbow trout: space size selection and reduced concealment under surface ice and in turbid water conditions. *Can. J. Zool.* 74: 451-455.
- Gregory, R.S., and Levings, C.D. 1998. Turbidity reduces predation on migrating juvenile Pacific salmon. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* 127: 275-285.
- Gregory, R.S., and Northcote, T.G. 1993. Surface, planktonic, and benthic foraging by juvenile chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) in turbid laboratory conditions. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 50: 233-240.
- Gregory, R.S., Servizi, J.A., and Martens, D.W. 1993. Comment: utility of the stress index for predicting suspended sediment effects. *N. Am. J. Fish. Manage.* 13: 868-873.
- Hamilton, J.D. 1961. The effect of sand-pit washings on a stream fauna. *Ver. Int. Verein. Limnol. (International Association of Theoretical and Applied Limnology)* 14: 435-439.
- Hansmann, E.W., and Phinney, H.K. 1973. Effects of logging on periphyton in coastal streams in Oregon. *Ecology* 54: 194-199.
- Harvey, B.C., and Lisle, T.E. 1998. Effects of suction dredging on streams: a review and evaluation strategy. *Fisheries* 23: 8-17.
- Hausle, D.A., and Coble, D.W. 1976. Influence of sand in redds on survival and emergence of brook trout (*Salvelinus fontinalis*). *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* 105: 57-63.

- Henley, W.F., Patterson, M.A., Neves, R.J., and Dennis Lemly, A. 2000. Effects of sedimentation and turbidity on lotic food webs: a concise review for natural resource managers. *Rev. Fish. Sci.* 8: 125-139.
- Herbert, D.W.M., and Merkens, J.C. 1961. The effect of suspended mineral solids on the survival of trout. *Int. J. Air Wat. Poll.* 5: 46-55. (c.f. Anderson et al. 1996. Quantifying the effects of sediment release on fish and their habitats. *Can. Manuscr. Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* No. 2346)
- Hillman, T.W., Griffith, J.S., and Platts, W.S. 1987. Summer and winter habitat selection by juvenile chinook salmon in a highly sedimented Idaho stream. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* 116: 185-195.
- Horkel, J.D., and Pearson, W.D. 1976. Effects of turbidity on ventilation rates and oxygen consumption of green sunfish, *Lepomis cyanellus*. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* 106: 107-113.
- Houston, J. 1996. The status of the blackchin shiner, *Notropis heterodon*, in Canada. *Can. Field. Nat.* 110: 483-488.
- Jones, M.L., Randall, R.G., Haynes, D., Dunlop, W., Imhof, J., LaCroix, G., and Ward, N.J.R. 1996. Assessing the ecological effects of habitat change: moving beyond productive capacity. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 53:446-457.
- Kondolf, G.M. 2000. Assessing salmonid spawning gravel quality. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* 129: 262-281.
- Koski, K.V. 1966. The survival of coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*) from egg deposition to emergence in three Oregon streams. Master's thesis. Oregon State University, Corvallis (c.f. Chapman, D.W. 1988. Critical review of variables used to define effects of fines in redds of large salmonids. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* 117: 1-21).
- Lake, R.G., and Hinch, S.G. 1999. Acute effects of suspended sediment angularity on juvenile coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*). *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 56: 862-867.
- Lauzier, R.B., and Levings, C.D. 1986. Winter habitat preferences of juvenile salmonids in two interior rivers in British Columbia Canada. *Can. J. Zool.* 64: 1506-1514.
- Lemly, A.D. 1982. Modification of benthic insect communities in polluted streams: Combined effects of sedimentation and nutrient enrichment. *Hydrobiologia* 87: 229-245.
- Lisle, T.E., and Lewis, J. 1992. Effects of sediment transport on survival of salmonid embryos in a natural stream: a simulation approach. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 49: 2337-2344.

- Lloyd, D.S., Koenings, J.P., and LaPerriere, J.D. 1987. Effects of turbidity in fresh waters of Alaska. *N. Am. J. Fish. Manage.* 7: 18-33.
- Marschall, E.A., and Crowder, L.B. 1996. Assessing population responses to multiple anthropogenic effects: a case study with brook trout. *Ecol. Appl.* 6: 152-167.
- Marty, C., Beall, E., and Parot, G. 1986. Influence of some environmental parameters upon survival during embryonic development of Atlantic salmon, *Salmo salar* L., in an experimental stream channel. *Inter. Rev. Hydrobiol.*, Berlin 71: 349-361.
- McCabe, G.D., and O'Brien, W.J. 1983. The effects of suspended silt on feeding and reproduction of *Daphnia pulex*. *Am. Midl. Nat.* 110: 324-337.
- McLeay, D.J., Birtwell, I.K., Hartman, G.F., and Ennis, G.L. 1987. Responses of Arctic grayling (*Thymallus arcticus*) to acute and prolonged exposure to Yukon placer mining sediment. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 44: 658-673.
- Miner, J.G., and Stein, R.A. 1993. Interactive influence of turbidity and light on larval bluegill (*Lepomis macrochirus*) foraging. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 50: 781-788.
1996. Detection of predators and habitat choice by small bluegills: effects of turbidity and alternative prey. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* 125: 97-103.
- Morgan, R.P., II, Rasin, V.J., Jr., and Noe, L.A. 1983. Sediment effects on eggs and larvae of striped bass and white perch. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* 112: 220-224.
- Newcombe, C.P. 1994. Suspended sediment in aquatic ecosystems: ill effects as a function of concentration and duration of exposure. British Columbia Ministry of Environment, Lands and Parks, Habitat Protection Branch, Victoria.
- Newcombe, C.P., and Jensen, J.O.T. 1996. Channel suspended sediment and fisheries: a synthesis for quantitative assessment of risk and impact. *N. Am. J. Fish. Manage.* 16: 693-727.
- Newcombe, C.P., and MacDonald, D.D. 1991. Effects of suspended sediments on aquatic ecosystems. *N. Am. J. Fish. Manage.* 11: 72-82.
- O'Connor, W.C.K., and Andrew, T.E. 1998. The effects of siltation on Atlantic salmon, *Salmo salar* L., embryos in the River Bush. *Fish. Manage. Ecol.* 5: 393-401.
- Peckarsky, B.L. 1984. Do predaceous stoneflies and siltation affect the structure of stream insect communities colonizing enclosures. *Can. J. Zool.* 63: 1519-1530.
- Peterson, R.H., and Metcalfe, J.L. 1981. Emergence of Atlantic salmon fry from gravels of varying composition: a laboratory study. *Can. Tech. Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* No. 1020.

- Phillips, R.W., Lantz, R.L., Claire, E.W., and Moring, J.R. 1975. Some effects of gravel mixtures on emergence of coho salmon and steelhead trout fry. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* 104: 461-466.
- Platts, W.S., Torquemada, R.J. McHenry, M.L., and Graham, C.K. 1989. Changes in salmon spawning and rearing habitat from increased delivery of fine sediment to the South Fork Salmon River, Idaho. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* 118: 274-283.
- Redding, J.M., Schreck, C.B., and Everest, F.H. 1987. Physiological effects on coho salmon and steelhead of exposure to suspended solids. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* 116: 737-744.
- Reid, S.M., Fox, M.G., and Williams, T.H. 1999. Influence of turbidity on piscivory in largemouth bass (*Micropterus salmoides*). *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 56: 1362-1369.
- Reiser, D.W., and White, R.G. 1988. Effects of two sediment size-classes on survival of steelhead and chinook salmon eggs. *N. Am. J. Fish. Manage.* 8: 432-437.
- Rosenberg, D.M., and Snow, N.B. 1975. Ecological studies of aquatic organisms in the Mackenzie and Porcupine river drainages in relation to sedimentation. *Can. Fish. Mar. Ser. Res. Dev. Tech. Rep. No.* 547.
- Rosenberg, D.M., and Meins, A.P. 1978. Effects of sedimentation on macroinvertebrates in a northern Canadian river. *Water Res.* 12: 753-763.
- Rowe, D.K., Dean, T.L., Williams, E., and Smith, J.P. 2003. Effects of turbidity on the ability of juvenile rainbow trout, *Onchorhynchus mykiss*, to feed on limnetic and benthic prey in laboratory tanks. *New. Zeal. J. Mar. Freshw. Res.* 37: 45-52.
- Saunders, J.W., and Smith, M.W. 1965. Changes in a stream population of trout associated with increased silt. *J. Fish. Res. Board Can.* 22: 395-404.
- Schälchi, U. 1992. The clogging of coarse gravel river beds by fine sediment. *Hydrobiologia* 235: 189-197.
- Sear, D.A. 1993. Fine sediment infiltration into gravel spawning beds within a regulated river experiencing floods: ecological implications for salmonids. *Regul. Rivers Res. Manage.* 8: 373-390.
- Servizi, J.A., and Gordon, R.W. 1990. Acute lethal toxicity of ammonia and SS mixtures to chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*). *Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 44: 650-656 (c.f. Servizi, J.A., and Martens, D.W. 1991. Effect of temperature, season, and fish size on acute lethality of suspended sediments to coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*). *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 48: 493-497).

- Servizi, J.A., and Martens, D.W. 1987. Some effects of suspended Fraser River sediments on sockeye salmon (*Oncorhynchus nerka*). In Sockeye salmon (*Oncorhynchus nerka*) population biology and future management. Edited by H. D. Smith, L. Margolis, and C. C. Wood. Can. Spec. Publ. Fish. Aquat. Sci. No. 96. pp 254-264
1991. Effect of temperature, season, and fish size on acute lethality of suspended sediments to coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*). Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 48: 493-497.
1992. Sublethal responses of coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*) to suspended sediments. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 49: 1389-1395.
- Shaw, E.A., and Richardson, J.S. 2001. Direct and indirect effects of sediment pulse duration on stream invertebrate assemblages and rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) growth and survival. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 58: 2213-2221.
- Shelton, J.M., and Pollock, R.D. 1966. Siltation and survival in incubation channels. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 95: 183-187.
- Sigler, J.W., Bjornn, T.C., and Everest, F.H. 1984. Effects of chronic turbidity on density and growth of steelhead and coho salmon. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 113: 142-150.
- Smokorowski, K.E., Pratt, T.C., and Thompson, N. 2006. Effect of a change in physical structure and cover on fish and fish habitat. Can. Tech. Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 2642: iv + 51 p.
- Speir, T.W., and Heidinger, R.C. 2002. Effect of turbidity on growth of black crappie and white crappie. N. Am. J. Fish. Manage. 22: 1438-1441.
- Sweka, J.A., and Hartman, K.J. 2001a. Effects of turbidity on prey consumption and growth in brook trout and implications for bioenergetics modeling. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 58: 386-393.
- 2001b. Influence of turbidity on brook trout reactive distance and foraging success. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 130: 138-146.
- Swenson, W.A., and Matson, M.L. 1976. Influence of turbidity on survival, growth, and distribution of larval lake herring (*Coregonus artedii*). Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 4: 541-545.
- Tagart, J.V. 1976. The survival from egg deposition to emergence of coho salmon in the Clearwater River, Jefferson County, Washington. Master's thesis. University of Washington, Seattle (c.f. Chapman, D.W. 1988. Critical review of variables used to define effects of fines in redds of large salmonids. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 117: 1-21).

- Tappel, P.D., and Bjornn, T.C. 1983. A new method of relating size of spawning gravel to salmonid embryo survival. *N. Am. J. Fish. Manage.* 3: 123-135.
- Valdimarsson, S.K. and Metcalfe, N.B. 1998. Shelter selection in juvenile Atlantic salmon, or why do salmon seek shelter in winter? *J. Fish Biol.* 52: 42-49.
- Vandenbyllaardt, L., Ward, F.J., Braekevelt, C.R., and McIntyre, D.B. 1991. Relationships between turbidity, piscivory and development of the retina in juvenile walleyes. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* 120: 382-390.
- Vinyard, G.L., and O'Brien, W.J. 1976. Effects of light and turbidity on the reactive distance of bluegill (*Lepomis macrochirus*). *J. Fish. Res. Board Can.* 33: 2845-2849.
- Vogel, J.L., and Beauchamp, D.A. 1999. Effects of light, prey size, and turbidity on reaction distances of lake trout (*Salvelinus namaycush*) to salmonid prey. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 56: 1293-1297.
- Waters, T.F. 1995. Sediment in streams: sources, biological effects and controls. *American Fisheries Society Monograph 7*. Bethesda, Maryland: Am. Fish. Soc. pp. 251.
- Wickett, W.P. 1958. Review of certain environmental factors affecting the production of pink and chum salmon. *J. Fish. Res. Board Can.* 15: 1103-1126.
- Wilber, D.H., and Clarke, D.G. 2001. Biological effects of suspended sediments: a review of suspended sediment impacts on fish and shellfish with relation to dredging activities in estuaries. *N. Am. J. Fish. Manage.* 21: 855-875.
- Witzel, L.D., and MacCrimmon, H.R. 1983. Embryo survival and alevin emergence of brook charr, *Salvelinus fontinalis*, and brown trout, *Salmo trutta*, relative to gravel composition. *Can. J. Zool.* 61: 1783-1792.
- Wood, P.J., and Armitage, P.D. 1997. Biological effects of fine sediment in the lotic environment. *Environ. Manage.* 21: 203-217.
- Wu, F.-C. 2000. Modeling embryo survival affected by sediment deposition into salmonid spawning gravels: application to flushing flow prescriptions. *Water Res.* 36: 1595-1603.
- Zelter, E.R., and Carter, J.C.H. 1986. Zooplankton community and species responses to a natural turbidity gradient in Lake Temiskaming, Ontario-Quebec. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 43: 665-673.

Table 1. Effects of suspended sediment on fish larvae and eggs.

Common name	Scientific name	Field/ Lab	Concentration	Duration	Effect/Result	Location of study	Reference
1 Coho salmon	<i>Oncorhynchus kisutch</i>	Field			Survival of reduced as % of fine particles (<3.3 mm) in redd increased.	Oregon, USA	Koski 1966 (cf Chapman 1988)
2 Coho salmon	<i>Oncorhynchus kisutch</i>	Field	>20% fines in gravel		Survival to emergence reduced 14% compared to redd with <20% fines.	Washington, USA	Tagart 1976 (cf Chapman 1988)
3 Coho salmon	<i>Oncorhynchus kisutch</i>	Field/ Lab	Lab: 10% fines in redd Field: 15% fines in redd Lab: 13% fines in redd Field: 25% fines in redd		30% survival to emergence 30% survival to emergence 15% survival to emergence 15% survival to emergence Survival to emergence decreased as % of fine particles (<0.85 mm) in both artificial and natural redds increased.	Washington USA	Cederholm et al. 1981 (cf Chapman 1988)
4 Coho salmon and Steelhead trout	<i>Oncorhynchus kisutch</i> <i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>	Lab	0, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50 60, 70% sand (<3.3 mm) in gravel		Survival to emergence decreased as % of sand increased (0% sand = 96% survival and 70% sand = 8% survival).	Oregon, USA	Phillips et al. 1975
5 Steelhead trout and Chinook salmon	<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i> and <i>Oncorhynchus tshawytscha</i>	Lab	50% fines (<0.84 mm) Mixture fine and coarse sediment (0.84-4.6 mm)		100% egg mortality. In general egg survival decreased as % sediment increased and % egg survival increased as intragravel velocities increased (range 36-1550 cm/hr).	Idaho, USA	Reiser and White 1988

. . . Cont'd.

Table 1. (Cont'd.)

Common name	Scientific name	Field/ Lab	Concentration	Duration	Effect/Result	Location of study	Reference
6 Steelhead trout and Chinook salmon	<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i> <i>Oncorhynchus tshawytscha</i>	Lab	various gravel mixtures (mean, 4.0-21.5 mm)		Survival to emergence decreased as % of fine particles increased (i.e. lower mean size).	Idaho, USA	Tappel and Bjorn 1983
7 Chinook salmon	<i>Oncorhynchus tshawytscha</i>	Field/ Exp	13-30% sediment (< 4 mm)		Up to 85% egg mortality.	Oregon, USA	Shelton and Pollock 1966
8 Brook trout	<i>Salvelinus fontinalis</i>	Field/ Exp			Lower % fines (<1 mm) in selected over non-selected substrates and selected substrates had higher hatching and emergence success than non-selected substrates.	Quebec, CA	Bernier-Bourgault and Magnan 2002
9 Brook trout	<i>Salvelinus fontinalis</i>	Lab	0, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25% sand (<2 mm)		Survival to emergence decreased as % of sand increased.	Wisconsin, USA	Hausle and Coble 1976
10 Brook trout	<i>Salvelinus fontinalis</i>	Lab	0, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25% fines (<0.85 mm)		Survival to emergence decreased as % of fines increased, survival 50% less at 25% sediment compared to 0% sediment.	Pennsylvania, USA	Argent and Flebble 1999

... Cont'd.

Table 1. (Cont'd.)

Common name	Scientific name	Field/ Lab	Concentration	Duration	Effect/Result	Location of study	Reference
11 Brook trout	<i>Salvelinus fontinalis</i>	Field	rivers of differing sediment loads		Survival to emergence was reduced (50%) in redds in which fines accumulated, effect reduced by groundwater.	New Brunswick Canada	Curry and MacNeil 2004
12 Brook trout and Brown trout	<i>Salvelinus fontinalis</i> <i>Salmo trutta</i>	Lab	100% gravel (9.2 mm) or $\leq$ 20% sand (mean, 5.1 mm) in gravel	112 d 60-96%.	Survival to emergence	Ontario, Canada	Witzel and MacCrimmon 1983
			100% fines ( $\leq$ 6.2 mm) or $\geq$ 60% sand (mean, 5.1 mm) in gravel		Survival to emergence 0-20%, therefore survival reduced 40-96% in smaller fines and gravel mixture.		
13 Atlantic salmon	<i>Salmo salar</i>	Lab	10% fines (0.063-1 mm) in redd.	126 d	Alevin survival reduced 28% vs control.	Ireland	O'Conner and Andrew 1998
			15% fines in redd	126 d	Alevin survival reduced 35% vs control.		
			20% fines in redd	126 d	Alevin survival reduced 37% vs control.		
			25% fines in redd	126 d	100% egg mortality.		
14 Atlantic salmon	<i>Salmo salar</i>	Field/ Lab	various mixtures of fine sand (0.06-0.05 mm) and coarse sand (0.5-22 mm) added to gravel		Survival to emergence decreased as % of sand increased and fine sand has more negative effect than coarse sand.	New Brunswick, Canada	Peterson and Metcalfe 1981

... Cont'd.

Table 1. (Cont'd.)

Common name	Scientific name	Field/ Lab	Concentration	Duration	Effect/Result	Location of study	Reference
15 Atlantic salmon	<i>Salmo salar</i>	Field/Exp			Survival to emergence reduced as volume of fines, particularly those <10 mm and <0.2 mm, in gravel, increased.	France	Marty et al. 1986
16 Lake whitefish	<i>Coregonus clupeaformis</i>	Field/Exp	layer of clay and silt 1-4 mm deep naturally deposited on substrate		Egg survival was significantly higher for eggs protected by cages compared to exposed eggs.	Manitoba Canada	Fudge and Bodaly 1984
17 White perch and Striped bass	<i>Morone americanus</i>  <i>Monroe saxatilis</i>	Lab  Lab	$\leq 1500$ mg/L suspended sediment (0.003-2 mm) 1626-5280 mg/L  layer 1.2 mm on top of egg $\leq 1300$ mg/L  1557-5210 mg/L	1 d 2 d   1 d 2 d	Developmental rates significantly reduced. 15-19 % morality 23-49% mortality 100% mortality  Developmental rates significantly reduced. 20-31% mortality 25-57% mortality	Maryland, USA	Morgan et al. 1983

Table 2. Physiological effects of suspended sediment on fish.

Common name	Scientific name	Field/ Lab	Fish size	Concentration	Duration	Effect/Result	Location of study	Reference
1 Coho salmon	<i>Oncorhynchus kisutch</i>	Lab	80-111 mm	96h LC50 = 164 500 mg/L for 'round' sediment <150 µm	96hLC50	Mortalities at >100 000 mg/L, gill damages and stress response at >40 000 mg/L.	British Columbia, Canada	Lake and Hinch 1999
				96h LC50 = 164 500 mg/L for 'extremely angular' sediment <150 µm	96hLC50	Mortalities at >100 000 mg/L, gill damages and stress response at >41 000 mg/L.		
2 Coho salmon	<i>Oncorhynchus kisutch</i>	Lab	0.52-0.73 g	96h LC50 = 22 700 mg/L for sediment <240 µm at 7°C	96hLC50		British Columbia, Canada	Servizi and Martens 1991
				96h LC50 affected by temperature: 53% less at 1°C (10 669 mg/L) 77% less at 18°C (7491 mg/L)				
3 Coho salmon	<i>Oncorhynchus kisutch</i>	Lab	mean, 1.7 g and 5.2 mm	0, 20, 30 and 60 NTU; sediment (0.02-0.06 mm)	1 h and 2 d	Increase in ventilation rates at >20 NTU.	British Columbia, Canada	Berg and Northcote 1985
4 Coho salmon	<i>Oncorhynchus kisutch</i>	Lab	mean, 20 g and 120 mm	0, 20, 240, 2460, and 6780 mg/L (0, 3, 30, 260, and 666 NTU), sublethal as determined by previous studies	96 h	Cough frequency at 240 mg/L 8 times that of controls, increased blood sugar with increased sediment concentration.	British Columbia, Canada	Servizi and Martens 1992
5 Coho salmon and	<i>Oncorhynchus kisutch</i>	Lab	mean, 121 mm	High, 2000-3000 mg/L of topsoil kaolin clay	7-8 d	Temporary (48 h) increase plasma cortisol when exposed to all three sediment types at both high and low concentrations.	Oregon, USA	Redding et al. 1987

... Cont'd.

Table 2. (Cont'd.)

Common name	Scientific name	Field/ Lab	Fish size	Concentration	Duration	Effect/Result	Location of study	Reference
Steelhead trout	<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>	Lab	mean, 137 mm clay	low, 500 mg/L of topsoil and kaolin	7-8 d			
			mean, 96 mm	2500 mg/L suspended topsoil	2 d	Reduced resistance to disease (bacterial pathogen <i>Vibrio anguillarum</i> ).		
6 Steelhead trout and Chinook salmon	<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i> <i>Oncorhynchus tshawytscha</i>	Lab	30-65 mm	500-1500 mg/L (100-300 NTU)		Mortality of newly emerge fish.	Idaho, USA	Sigler et al. 1984
7 Chinook salmon	<i>Oncorhynchus tshawytscha</i>	Lab	1.0-7.0 g	96h LC50 = 17 600 mg/L for sediment at 7°C	96hLC50		British Columbia, Canada	Servizi and Gordon 1990 (c.f. Servizi and Martens 1991)
8 Rainbow trout	<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>	Lab		270 mg/L diatomaceous earth	121 d	More susceptible to finrot.	Great Britain	Herbert and Merkens 1961 (c.f. Anerson et al. 1996)
9 Sockeye salmon	<i>Oncorhynchus nerka</i>	Lab	0.8-1.5 g smolt and adult	96h LC50 = 31 000 mg/L for sediment <780 µm at 7.8-8.3°C.	96hLC50	Y-O-Y gill damage at 3148 mg/L, smolt slight impairment respiration after 96h at 14 407 mg/L.  Adult stress response (increase plasma glucose) at 500 and 1500 mg/L -less impact on older life stages (i.e. larger fish).	British Columbia, Canada	Servizi and Martens 1987

... Cont'd.

Table 2. (Cont'd.)

Common name	Scientific name	Field/ Lab	Fish size	Concentration	Duration	Effect/Result	Location of study	Reference
10 Mountain whitefish	<i>Prosopium williamsoni</i>	Field		1800 mg/L (1200 times mean concentration during low-flow conditions)	5-18 h (25-32 times per year)	Dam sluiced by opening gates: fish downstream from dam had abnormal gills and pseudobranchs, and fin damage.	Wyoming, USA	Bergstedt and Bergersen 1997
11 Green sunfish	<i>Lepomis cyanellus</i>	Lab	4.9-15.8 g	3333, 6667, 13333, 17778, 26667 mg/L at 5, 15, and 25°C	3 d	50-70% increase in ventilation rates at > 6667 mg/L (15 and 25°C) vs controls.	Texas, USA	Horkel and Pearson 1976
12 Rock bass (Riverine)	<i>Ambloplites rupestris</i>	Lab	mean, 90 g and 170 mm	0-6726 mg/L (0-800 NTU) bentonite clay (2.5-4 µm).	140 m	Increased cardiac output at low concentrations (10 NTU, approx. 3300 mg/L) for both fish, however riverine fish acclimated within 60 m.	Ontario, Canada	Bunt et al. 2004
and								
Rock bass (Lacustrine)	<i>Ambloplites rupestris</i>					Lacustrine fish were less tolerant to increased sediment.		
13 Arctic grayling	<i>Thymallus arcticus</i>	Lab	0.03-1.0 g	inorganic sediment ≥20 g/L	4 d	mortalities (10-20%) at 5°C but no mortalities at 15°C.	British Columbia, Canada	McLeay et al. 1967

96h LC50 is the concentration of a substance in air or water that kills 50% of test organisms in 96h.

Table 3. Effects of suspended sediment on fish foraging and growth.

Common name	Scientific name	Field/ Lab	Fish size	Concentration	Duration	Effect/Result	Location of study	Reference
1 Chinook salmon	<i>Oncorhynchus tshawytscha</i>	Lab	57-69 mm	<1,18,35,70,150,370, 810 NTU, sediment (<0.4 mm)	1, 5, and 10 m	Feeding rates highest at 18-150 NTU.	British Columbia, Canada	Gregory 1994
			49-55 mm	<1,18,35,70,150,370, 810 NTU, sediment (<0.4 mm)	1, 5, and 10 m	Feeding rates highest at <1 NTU.		
2 Coho salmon	<i>Oncorhynchus kisutch</i>	Lab	mean, 52 mm and 1.5 g	0, 20, 40, 60, 80 and 100% of sediment substrate.	6 mths	Production (g/m <sup>2</sup> ) decreased as % sediment increased.	Oregon, USA	Crouse et al. 1981.
3 Coho salmon	<i>Oncorhynchus kisutch</i>	Lab	mean, 17 g and 5.2 mm	0, 20, 30, and 60 NTU; sediment (0.02-0.06 mm)	2 d	Reactive distance reduced in turbid water (20-60 NTU), prey capture success reduced 50% at 30 and 60 NTU compared to 0 NTU.	British Columbia, Canada	Berg and Northcote 1985
4 Coho salmon and Steelhead trout	<i>Oncorhynchus kisutch</i> <i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>	Lab	Mean, 121 mm mean, 137 mm	High 2000-3000 mg/L and low, 500 mg/L of topsoil, kaolin clay and volcanic ash	7-8 d	feeding rates for both species were reduced at high concentrations (2000-3000 mg/L).	Oregon, USA	Redding et al. 1987.
5 Coho salmon and Steelhead trout	<i>Oncorhynchus kisutch</i>	Lab	30-65 mm	25-50 NTU	14 d	Reduced growth at 25-25 NTU compared to clear water.	Idaho, USA	Sigler et al. 1964.
	<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>		30-65 mm					
6 Rainbow trout	<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>	Field/ Exp	mean, 46 mm and 1 g	700 mg/L (23 NTU) sediment sand-silt (425 µm)	19 d	Duration of sediment pulse ranged from 0.6 hrs, growth decreased with increased pulse duration.	British Columbia, Canada	Shaw and Richardson 2001

... Cont'd.

Table 3. (Cont'd.)

Common name	Scientific name	Field/ Lab	Fish size	Concentration	Duration	Effect/Result	Location of study	Reference
7 Rainbow trout	<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>	Lab	87-185 mm	Control 4-6 NTU, low turbidity 14-16 NTU and high 29-31 NTU.	3 h	20% and 55% reduction in reactive distances at low and high turbidity compared to control.	Georgia, USA	Barrett et al. 1992
8 Rainbow trout	<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>	Lab	mean, 46 mm	0, 10, 20, 40, 80 and 160 NTU	30 M	Turbidities up to 180 NTU had no effect on feeding rates but smaller prey were selected.	New Zealand	Rowe et al. 2003
9 Mountain whitefish, Brown trout and Rainbow trout	<i>Prosopium Williamsoni</i> , <i>Salmo trutta</i> <i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>	Field		1800 mg/L (1200 times mean concentration recorded during normal low-flow conditions)	5-18 h (25-32 times per year)	Dam sluiced by opening gates fish downstream from dam had lower fat index levels and lower condition factors than fish upstream from dam.	Wyoming, USA	Bergstedt and Bergersen 1997
10 Chinook salmon	<i>Oncorhynchus tshawytscha</i>	Lab	65-70 mm	0, 25, 50, 100, 200, 400 and 800 mg/L	5 m	Feeding rates highest at intermediate turbidity levels (50-200 mg/L), reduced at >200 mg/L and very low in clear and very turbid water (800 mg/L). Reaction distance and perceived risk to predation decreased with increasing turbidity.	British Columbia, Canada	Gregory 1990
11 Chinook salmon	<i>Oncorhynchus tshawytscha</i>	Lab	60-70 mm	<1, 18, 35, 70, 150, 370, 810 NTU, sediment (<0.4 mm); 370 NTU = 400 mg/L	1.5 and 10 m	Feeding rates highest at intermediate turbidity levels (35-150 NTU), reduced at 370 and 810 NTU: reaction distance decreased with increasing turbidity.	British Columbia, Canada	Gregory and Northcote 1993

... Cont'd.

Table 3. (Cont'd.)

	Common name	Scientific name	Field/ Lab	Fish size	Concentration	Duration	Effect/Result	Location of study	Reference
12	Brook trout	<i>Salvelinus fontinalis</i>	Lab	mean, 136 mm and 25 g	0, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30 35 and 40 NTU		Reactive distance decreased with increasing turbidity (light 49 lx), turbidity did not effect capture success once prey detected.	West Virginia, USA	Sweka and Hartman 2001a
13	Brook trout	<i>Salvelinus fontinalis</i>	Lab	mean, 126 mm and 22 g	various levels ranging from clear (<3 NTU) to very turbid (>40 NTU)	minimum 5 d	Turbidity had no effect on consumption rates but growth decreased with increasing turbidity.	West Virginia, USA	Sweka and Harman 2001b
14	Lake trout	<i>Salvelinus namaycush</i>	Lab	330-456 mm	0.09, 3.18 and 7.4 NTU, bentonite clay	4 h	Reactive distance to salmonid prey (rainbow trout and cutthroat trout) decreased with increasing turbidity.	Utah, USA	Vogel and Beauchamp 1999
15	Brown trout	<i>Salmo trutta</i> L.	Field	population	increased erosion from exposed bottom reservoir upon lowering the water level	approx. 1 yr	Reduced fish feeding rates in post-siltation period, resulted in reduced growth and reproductive potential and increased mortality of mature fish.	Norway	Borgström et al. 1992
16	Largemouth bass	<i>Morone salmoides</i>	Lab/ Field	Lab: 83-130mm Field: 192-245 mm	Lab: 1, 18, 37 and 70 NTU, bentonite clay Field: 2.3 and 20 NTU	Lab: 1 hr	Lab: feeding rates on fathead minnows reduced at highest turbidity 70 NTU Field: feeding rates on redbelly dace ( <i>Phoxinus eos</i> ) similar at 2.3 and 20 NTU.	Ontario, Canada	Reid et al. 1999
17	Largemouth bass	<i>Morone salmoides</i>	Lab	280-300 mm	clear 1-3 JTU (Jackson Turbidity Units), moderately turbid 17-19 JTU		Reduced prey recognition in turbid water (light 200 lx).	Oklahoma, USA	Crowl 1989

... Cont'd.

Table 3. (Cont'd.)

Common name	Scientific name	Field/ Lab	Fish size	Concentration	Duration	Effect/Result	Location of study	Reference
18 Striped bass	<i>Morone saxatilis</i>	Lab	larvae	0, 75, 200 and 500 mg/L	25 m	40% reduction in consumption at 200 and 500 mg/L compared to that at 0 and 75 mg/L (low light 5 lx).	Maryland, USA	Breitburg 1988
19 Bluegill	<i>Lepomis macrochirus</i>	Field/ Exp	mean, 12.5 mm	high 30-40 NTU and low 10 and 20 NTU, bottom sediment	3.5-5.5 h	Low-light (<450 lx) consumption decreased with increasing turbidity high-light (>450 lx): consumption increased with increasing turbidity.	Ohio, USA	Mines and Stein 1993
20 Bluegill	<i>Lepomis macrochirus</i>	Lab	mean, 75 mm	60, 120 and 190 NTU, bentonite clay	3 m	Feeding rates decreased with increasing turbidity (light 150 lx).	Washington, USA	Gardner 1981
21 Bluegill	<i>Lepomis macrochirus</i>	Lab	mean, 65 mm	range 1-30 JTU	3 m	Reactive distance decreased with increasing turbidity (light 35 lx)	Kansas, USA	Vinyard and O'Brien 1976
22 Black crappie and White crappie	<i>Pomoxis nigromaculatus</i> <i>Pomoxis annularis</i>	Lab	mean, 4 mm and 0.001 g	7-174 FTU (Formazin turbidity units)	25 weeks	Growth not affected by turbidity.	Illinois, USA	Spier and Heidinger 2002
23 Perch	<i>Perca fluviatilis</i> L.	Lab	0+ mean, 48 mm, 1 g; 1+ mean, 67 mm 2.4 g	1, 10, 20 and 30 NTU	3 h	No reduction in consumption with increased turbidity at 3 light levels (daylight, twilight and night).	Finland	Granqvist and Mattila 2004
24 Walleye	<i>Stizostedion vitreum</i>	Lab				Feeding rates reduced at 100 NTU.		Vandenbyllaardt et al. 1991

... Cont'd.

Table 3. (Cont'd.)

Common name	Scientific name	Field/ Lab	Fish size	Concentration	Duration	Effect/Result	Location of study	Reference
25. Lake herring	<i>Coregonus artedii</i>	Lab	larval, 3 days old	range 0-48 FTU (1-28 ppm), red clay	62 d	Growth not affected by turbidity.	Wisconsin, USA	Swenson and Matson 1976
26 Arctic grayling	<i>Thymallus arcticus</i>	Lab	0.03-1.0 g	>100 mg/L	6 week	Impaired feeding activity and reduced growth rates.	British Columbia, Canada	McLeay et al. 1987
27 Pacific herring	<i>Clupea harengus pallasii</i>			2000 mg/L	<1 d	Reduced feeding rate.		Boehlert and Morgan 1985

Table 4. Effects of Suspended Sediment on Fish Behaviour.

Common name	Scientific name	Field/ Lab	Fish size	Concentration	Duration	Effect/Result	Location of study	Reference
1 Coho salmon	<i>Oncorhynchus kisutch</i>	Lab	mean 1 g	0, 20, 240, 2460, and 6780 mg/L (0, 3, 30, 260, and 666 NTU), sublethal as determined by previous studies.	96 h	Avoidance (i.e. alarm reaction) <5 % up to 2550 mg/L (37 NTU) and 25% at 7000 mg/L	British Columbia, Canada	Servizi and Martens 1992
2 Coho salmon	<i>Oncorhynchus kisutch</i>	Lab	0.7-2 g	10-195 NTU	30 m	Avoid turbidities > 70 NTU (acclimated in clear water 0.3 NTU); 2 choice test, and alarm reaction in some fish at >42 NTU.	Washington, USA,	Bisson and Bilby 1982
3 Coho salmon	<i>Oncorhynchus kisutch</i>	Lab	mean, 1.7 g, 5.2 mm	0, 20, 30 and 60 NTU sediment (0.02-0.06 mm)	1 hr and 2 d	Alarm reaction when exposed to sudden increase to 60 NTU, and dominance hierarchies broke down and territories not defended at a gradual increase $\geq 30$ NTU.	British Columbia, Canada	Berg and Northcote 1985
4 Brook trout	<i>Salvelinus fontinalis</i>	Field	YOY	60-80 mg/L		Sediment affected areas appeared unable to support all YOY produced.	New Brunswick, Canada	Curry and MacNeill 2004
5 Steelhead trout and Chinook salmon	<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i> <i>Oncorhynchus tshawytscha</i>	Lab	30-65 mm	11-265 NTU		Emigration of newly emerged fish increased with increasing turbidity.	Idaho, USA	Sigler et al. 1984
6 Chinook salmon	<i>Oncorhynchus tshawytscha</i>	Field/ Exp.		2 rivers; <1 NTU and 27-108 NTU		Predation rates higher in the clear river compared with the turbid one; therefore predation risk reduced in turbid water.	British Columbia, Canada	Gregory and Levings 1998

... Cont'd.

Table 4. Effects of Suspended Sediment on Fish Behaviour.

	Common name	Scientific name	Field/ Lab	Fish size	Concentration	Duration	Effect/Result	Location of study	Reference
7	Chinook salmon	<i>Oncorhynchus tshawytscha</i>	Lab	mean approx. 80 mm	clear <1 NTU, turbid approx. 23 NTU, natural sediment (<2-25 µm)	12 d	Fish associated with bottom clear water but randomly distributed in turbid water: therefore perceived predation risk reduced in turbid water	British Columbia, Canada	Gregory 1993
8	Sockeye salmon	<i>Oncorhynchus nerka</i>	Lab	Fry	Turbidity simulated with Bismarck brown Y, secchi depth 20-30 cm	2 d	Predation rate (rainbow trout 25-35 cm) reduced in turbid water.	British Columbia, Canada	Ginetz and Larkin 1976
9	Ninespine stickleback and Golden shiner	<i>Pungitius pungitius</i> <i>Notemigonus crysoleucas</i>	Lab	mean 50 mm, 0.7 g mean, 72 mm, 5.4 g	0, 15, 75 and 150 JTU	15-20 m	Exposed at 5 and 20°C: fleeing response (i.e. alarm reaction) noted for golden shiner at ≥15 JTU at 20°C.	New Brunswick, Canada	Chiasson 1993
10	Bluegill	<i>Lepomis macrochirus</i>	Lab	35-40 mm	0.3, 5, 10, 20, 52 and 91 NTU		Reactive distance to predator (largemouth bass) decreased with increasing turbidity (light 135 lx); perceived predation risk reduced in turbid water.	Ohio, USA	Mines and Stein 1996
11	Creek chubs	<i>Semotilus atromaculatus</i>	Lab	53 mm	Gradient in tank 6.4 to 59 FTU; clay (<2 µm)		Creek chubs preferred highly turbid (56.6 FTU) over moderately turbid water (5.8 FTU); provides visual isolation from brook trout.	Wisconsin, USA	Gradall and Swenson 1932
12	Fathead minnows	<i>Pimephales promelas</i>	Lab	Mean approx. 40-45 mm, 1 g	control and turbid (13 NTU)	2 d	Increased use of dangerous habits in turbid water (reduced antipredator behaviour), therefore perceived predation risk reduced in turbid water.	Manitoba, Canada	Abrahams and Kattenfeld 1997

Appendix 1: Severity of Effects Table for Sediment Impacts (from Newcombe, C.P. and J.O.T. Jensen. 1996).

Severity	Description
0	No behavioural effect
1	Alarm reaction
2	Abandonment of cover
3	Avoidance response
4	Short-term reduction in feeding rates; short-term reduction in feeding success
4	Minor physiological stress; increase in rate of coughing; increased respiration rate
6	Moderate physiological stress
7	Moderate habitat degradation; impaired homing
4	Indications of major physiological stress; long-term reduction in feeding rate or success; poor condition
9	Reduced growth rate; delayed hatching; reduced fish density
10	0-20% mortality; increased predation; moderate to severe habitat degradation
11	20-40% mortality
12	40-60% mortality
12	40-60% mortality
11	80-100% mortality

1-3: Behavioural effects

4-8: Sublethal effects

9-14: Lethal and para-lethal effects

Appendix 2: British Columbia's Ambient WaterQuality Guidelines (Criteria) for Turbidity, Suspended and Benthic Sediments

## Overview Report

Prepared pursuant to Section 2(e) of the *Environment Management Act*, 1981

H. Singleton B.Sc. RPBio.

Water Management Branch  
Environment and Resource Division  
Ministry of Environment and Parks (now called Ministry of Water, Land and Air Protection)

Original signed by Don Fast  
Assistant Deputy Minister  
Environment and Lands (now called Ministry of Water, Land and Air Protection)  
December 31, 1988

Updated August 7, 2001

## AQUATIC LIFE

The guidelines set here are designed to protect aquatic life in fresh, estuarine and coastal marine waters from excessive suspended sediments originating from anthropogenic sources. They are established according to the amount of suspended sediments and the turbidity of the aquatic system. Guidelines for substrate composition and for bedload transport have also been developed, which are specific to salmonid spawning and mariculture areas. As the biotic, physical and chemical conditions describing aquatic ecosystems are diverse, the recommended guidelines will need to be compared to natural background levels.

Distinct water quality guidelines for suspended sediments and turbidity are required for the protection of aquatic life during clear flow and turbid flow periods. The terms clear flow period and turbid flow period are used to describe the portion of the hydrograph when suspended sediment concentrations are low (i.e. less than 25 mg/L or less than 8 NTU) and relatively elevated (i.e., greater than or equal to 25 mg/L or greater than or equal to 8 NTU), respectively.

The clear and turbid flow periods for individual stream systems should be defined using data on the background concentrations of suspended sediment at the site-specific level. The recommended transition value (25 mg/L or 8 NTU) was selected by examining the hydrographs for a number of streams in British Columbia and is intended to provide an operational definition of clear flow conditions that can be applied consistently in the province.

## Suspended Sediments

### Clear Flow Periods

*Induced suspended sediment concentrations should not exceed background levels by more than 25 mg/L during any 24-hour period (hourly sampling preferred). For sediment inputs that last between 24 hours and 30 days (daily sampling preferred), the average suspended sediment concentration should not exceed background by more than 5 mg/L.*

The statistical reliability of the data set is improved with increased monitoring frequency. Ideally, 24 samples in 24 hours and/or 30 samples in 30 days are preferred.

### Turbid Flow Periods

*Induced suspended sediment concentrations should not exceed background levels by more than 25 mg/L at any time when background levels are between 25 and 250 mg/L. When background exceeds 250 mg/L, suspended sediments should not be increased by more than 10% of the measured background level at any one time.*

## Turbidity

### Clear Flow Periods

*Induced turbidity should not exceed background levels by more than 8 NTU during any 24-hour period (hourly sampling preferred). For sediment inputs that last between 24 hours and 30 days (daily sampling preferred) the mean turbidity should not exceed background by more than 2 NTU.*

The statistical reliability of the data set is improved with increased monitoring frequency. Ideally, 24 samples in 24 hours and/or 30 samples in 30 days are preferred.

### **Turbid Flow Periods**

***Induced turbidity should not exceed background levels by more than 8 NTU at any time when background turbidity is between 8 and 80 NTU. When background exceeds 80 NTU, turbidity should not be increased by more than 10% of the measured background level at any one time.***

### **Streambed Substrate Composition**

***The composition of fine sediment in streambed substrates (i.e., percent fines) should not exceed 10% having a diameter of less than 2.00 mm, 19% having a diameter of less than 3.00 mm, and 25% having a diameter of less than 6.35 mm at potential salmonid spawning sites. The geometric mean diameter and Fredle number of streambed substrates should not be less than 12.0 mm and 5.0, respectively. The minimum and 30-day average guideline for intra-gravel dissolved oxygen levels are 6.0 and 8.0 mg/L, respectively. These guidelines apply to actual and potential spawning sites in streams throughout the province.***

