

## Service bulletin

# Engineering Services

2006



### Highlights

- The engineering services industry recorded operating revenues of \$15.4 billion in 2006, up 11.4% from the previous year. The operating profit margin was 11.7%.
- Operating revenue growth rates in Western Canada outpaced those of the central provinces. Industry operating revenues in Ontario and Quebec grew by 6% and 7% respectively, compared with 19% in Alberta and 21% in British Columbia.
- Engineering service firms operating in Ontario accounted for 29% of national operating revenues. Alberta, closing the gap with Ontario in recent years, was second with a share of 27%, followed by Quebec (21%) and British Columbia (16%).
- Nearly 60% of operating revenues were earned from business sector contracts while another 24% came from public sector clients. Spending by households and individuals accounted for only 2% of the industry's revenues. Exports continued to be strong, generating 16% of total operating revenues.

## Statistical tables

Table 1

## Summary statistics for engineering services industry, by province and territory, 2004 to 2006

	Operating revenue	Operating expenses	Salaries, wages and benefits	Operating profit margin	Statistical establishments
	millions of dollars			percent	number
<b>2006</b>					
Newfoundland and Labrador	196.8	177.3	77.6	9.9	199
Prince Edward Island	x	x	x	x	x
Nova Scotia	258.1	232.9	105.9	9.8	345
New Brunswick	175.7	161.9	66.6	7.8	260
Quebec	3,231.6	2,813.4	1,215.8	12.9	3,022
Ontario	4,451.7	4,003.0	1,997.8	10.1	6,823
Manitoba	172.5	165.2	90.5	4.2	251
Saskatchewan	195.2	174.5	91.9	10.6	273
Alberta	4,224.5	3,680.3	1,646.3	12.9	5,238
British Columbia	2,408.3	2,115.2	942.0	12.2	3,426
Yukon	14.2	12.3	4.4	13.5	24
Northwest Territories	30.2	29.6	10.6	2.2	22
Nunavut	x	x	x	x	x
<b>Canada</b>	<b>15,371.7</b>	<b>13,576.9</b>	<b>6,254.0</b>	<b>11.7</b>	<b>19,915</b>
<b>2005</b>					
Newfoundland and Labrador	162.0	151.5	68.7	6.5	193
Prince Edward Island	x	x	x	x	x
Nova Scotia	268.7	242.7	126.2	9.7	409
New Brunswick	160.3	141.3	59.8	11.9	267
Quebec	3,023.2	2,581.0	1,133.5	14.6	3,080
Ontario	4,192.5	3,725.3	1,903.6	11.1	6,990
Manitoba	199.0	181.8	109.9	8.6	293
Saskatchewan	202.5	181.6	91.6	10.3	307
Alberta	3,557.2	2,971.7	1,379.0	16.5	6,416
British Columbia	1,982.7	1,700.1	838.0	14.2	3,712
Yukon	8.1	8.1	3.0	-0.4	25
Northwest Territories	26.8	25.7	8.0	4.4	29
Nunavut	x	x	x	x	x
<b>Canada</b>	<b>13,793.5</b>	<b>11,919.7</b>	<b>5,726.0</b>	<b>13.6</b>	<b>21,753</b>
<b>2004</b>					
Newfoundland and Labrador	125.4	113.3	61.4	9.7	188
Prince Edward Island	x	x	x	x	x
Nova Scotia	238.5	216.4	105.6	9.3	409
New Brunswick	152.6	130.0	66.6	14.8	279
Quebec	2,667.1	2,333.3	1,044.8	12.5	3,085
Ontario	4,100.0	3,670.0	1,836.5	10.5	7,207
Manitoba	175.2	155.4	90.9	11.3	283
Saskatchewan	146.6	136.2	67.9	7.1	303
Alberta	2,936.0	2,518.9	1,194.2	14.2	5,337
British Columbia	1,564.5	1,425.1	618.9	8.9	3,551
Yukon	8.6	7.6	2.6	11.4	24
Northwest Territories	22.1	19.3	8.6	12.6	27
Nunavut	x	x	x	x	x
<b>Canada</b>	<b>12,147.8</b>	<b>10,734.8</b>	<b>5,103.3</b>	<b>11.6</b>	<b>20,723</b>

**Note(s):** According to the North American Industry Classification System (NAICS 541330). See "Data sources, definitions and methodology" at the end of tables for definition of terms. Due to rounding, components may not add to total.

**Table 2**  
**Distribution of operating revenue by type of service, by province and territory, 2004 to 2006**

	Newfound- land and Labrador	Prince Edward Island	Nova Scotia	New Brunswick	Quebec	Ontario	Manitoba	Saska- tchewan	Alberta	British Columbia	Territories <sup>1</sup>	Canada
	percent											
<b>Engineering services</b>												
2006	85.5	x	76.3	61.5	78.0	81.1	78.7	88.0	86.6	83.6	x	82.1
2005	86.9	x	67.5	67.0	78.3	76.3	80.5	83.9	81.0	83.4	x	79.0
2004	82.2	x	86.6	82.2	74.4	81.2	88.3	83.8	84.5	83.2	x	81.0
<b>Residential building engineering projects</b>												
2006	0.6	x	2.3 <sup>E</sup>	1.0	1.2	6.8	3.3 <sup>E</sup>	1.8	4.0	7.1	x	4.5
2005	0.5 <sup>E</sup>	x	x	2.6 <sup>E</sup>	0.9	6.8	2.9	3.3	2.2	8.7	x	4.2
2004	0.8 <sup>E</sup>	x	2.7	1.1	3.1	5.5	2.9 <sup>E</sup>	5.6	2.9 <sup>E</sup>	5.4	x	4.1
<b>Commercial, public and institutional building engineering projects</b>												
2006	10.0	x	8.5	12.7	10.3	12.9	18.7	10.9	6.3	10.9	x	10.1
2005	8.3	x	10.2	10.8	10.8	9.9	17.3	11.5	5.1	13.6	x	9.6
2004	12.7	x	12.2	5.7 <sup>E</sup>	9.8	15.7	17.4	13.7	6.8	10.9	x	11.4
<b>Industrial and manufacturing engineering projects</b>												
2006	48.2	x	32.9	15.0	30.8	25.3	23.3	34.0	47.5	33.3	x	34.2
2005	36.1	x	30.9	20.7	31.3	24.4	27.7	33.0	50.0	34.0	x	34.3
2004	35.9 <sup>E</sup>	x	43.7	28.9	30.6	21.8	26.7	37.2	50.0	36.7	x	33.4
Mining and metallurgical												
2006	7.9	x	7.5	3.3	9.8	6.2	9.5	14.4	F	9.6	x	7.0
2005	9.4	x	3.9	3.0	8.5	6.6	6.2	13.3	4.2	13.6	x	7.5
2004	7.7	x	7.3	2.9	7.8	4.6	4.1	9.3	3.2	4.6	x	5.1
Petroleum and petrochemical												
2006	29.8	x	18.9	3.4	11.0	7.0	2.0	11.1	39.2	17.2	x	18.7
2005	22.7	x	15.8	6.1	12.8	6.4	7.1	9.9	41.5	8.8	x	17.6
2004	24.3	x	22.7	4.2	10.9	5.0	8.3	15.4	40.9	14.1	x	16.8
Pulp and paper												
2006	F	x	F	2.9	1.7	0.4	0.8 <sup>E</sup>	0.2	F	1.7	x	0.9
2005	0.7	x	1.0	6.6	1.4	0.4	3.8	1.0	0.3	3.1 <sup>E</sup>	x	1.1
2004	1.2	x	2.0	6.6	4.7	0.6	4.7	1.8	0.6	8.5	x	2.7
Industrial machinery												
2006	1.8	x	2.0	0.8	3.9	1.6	F	2.3	0.5 <sup>E</sup>	F	x	1.7
2005	x	x	x	x	2.7 <sup>E</sup>	1.6	3.9	5.2 <sup>E</sup>	0.5	2.1 <sup>E</sup>	x	1.7
2004	0.0 <sup>E</sup>	x	2.0	0.1	2.5	2.1	1.4	0.8	2.2	2.4	x	2.2
Electronic and electrical equipment												
2006	F	x	0.0	0.3 <sup>E</sup>	F	2.9 <sup>E</sup>	F	3.5	F	F	x	1.3
2005	0.0	x	x	x	1.2 <sup>E</sup>	0.4	x	x	1.6	1.3 <sup>E</sup>	x	1.0
2004	0.0	x	0.0	1.0	0.5 <sup>E</sup>	3.3 <sup>E</sup>	0.5 <sup>E</sup>	1.0	0.4 <sup>E</sup>	2.3	x	1.6
Transportation equipment												
2006	F	x	1.1	F	F	F	0.0	F	F	0.6	x	0.4
2005	x	x	x	0.6	1.1 <sup>E</sup>	0.1	x	0.7 <sup>E</sup>	0.4	0.1	x	0.5 <sup>E</sup>
2004	0.1 <sup>E</sup>	x	5.3	10.9	0.0	1.0	1.6	5.7	0.2	0.2	x	0.7
Other industrial and manufacturing engineering projects												
2006	0.8	x	2.5	1.9	3.2	7.1	6.4 <sup>E</sup>	1.6 <sup>E</sup>	3.3	2.9 <sup>E</sup>	x	4.3
2005	1.1	x	3.4 <sup>E</sup>	3.6	3.7	8.8	6.2	2.5	1.4	5.0	x	4.8
2004	2.5	x	4.4	3.2	4.3	5.2	6.0	3.1	2.5	4.5	x	4.1
<b>Transportation engineering projects</b>												
2006	7.7	x	7.6	5.4	9.8	13.3	10.1	16.1	8.6	11.1	x	10.7
2005	18.8	x	5.0	7.0	8.6	11.4	7.8	8.2	6.4	9.1	x	9.0
2004	15.8	x	9.9	8.8	10.6	9.6	9.6	11.0	9.0	12.2	x	10.1
<b>Municipal utility engineering projects</b>												
2006	9.3	x	3.3	4.5	8.2	6.2	5.8	10.0	6.6	5.3	x	6.6
2005	6.1	x	2.3	6.6	9.1	9.2	4.9	7.9	5.5	4.9	x	7.3
2004	10.8	x	7.3	14.5	6.8	7.1	10.9	11.0	8.5	9.8	x	8.0
<b>Power generation, transmission and distribution engineering projects</b>												
2006	4.6	x	8.3	10.0	11.4	2.5	F	4.5	3.3	6.7	x	5.5
2005	1.9	x	7.5	12.5	11.0	2.5	10.1	1.9 <sup>E</sup>	2.8	2.0	x	4.7
2004	1.4	x	4.2	17.2	9.3	4.4	14.3	0.7	2.5	2.9	x	5.1
<b>Telecommunications and broadcasting engineering projects</b>												
2006	F	x	F	F	1.1	0.9	F	F	0.1	F	x	0.8
2005	4.7 <sup>E</sup>	x	3.8 <sup>E</sup>	0.7 <sup>E</sup>	1.5 <sup>E</sup>	1.7 <sup>E</sup>	1.9	x	0.3	0.6 <sup>E</sup>	x	1.2
2004	1.9 <sup>E</sup>	x	0.5 <sup>E</sup>	0.5 <sup>E</sup>	0.4	1.1	0.6	0.0	0.1	1.7	x	0.8

See footnotes at the end of the table.

Table 2 – continued

## Distribution of operating revenue by type of service, by province and territory, 2004 to 2006

	Newfound- land and Labrador	Prince Edward Island	Nova Scotia	New Brunswick	Quebec	Ontario	Manitoba	Saska- tchewan	Alberta	British Columbia	Territories <sup>1</sup>	Canada
	percent											
<b>Hazardous and industrial waste engineering projects</b>												
2006	0.1 <sup>E</sup>	x	0.1	1.7	F	0.7	0.7	0.2	0.4	0.4	x	<b>0.5</b>
2005	x	x	x	x	x	0.6	x	0.4	0.6	0.5	x	<b>0.5</b>
2004	0.0	x	3.4	0.8	0.4	1.5	1.5	1.3	1.1	0.7	x	<b>1.1</b>
<b>Engineering advisory services</b>												
2006	0.7	x	2.1	0.9	2.3 <sup>E</sup>	1.9	3.3 <sup>E</sup>	0.9	F	1.6	x	<b>1.6</b>
2005	0.0	x	1.4	x	x	1.2	2.2	x	1.5	2.2 <sup>E</sup>	x	<b>1.5</b>
2004	0.5	x	1.0	0.3 <sup>E</sup>	1.7 <sup>E</sup>	9.5 <sup>E</sup>	1.4 <sup>E</sup>	0.7 <sup>E</sup>	0.9	0.9	x	<b>3.9<sup>E</sup></b>
<b>Other engineering projects or services</b>												
2006	3.7	x	9.8	8.0	2.9 <sup>E</sup>	10.7	8.1	8.2	8.9	6.3	x	<b>7.6</b>
2005	10.0	x	4.6	4.0	3.8 <sup>E</sup>	8.6	4.9	12.7	6.7	7.7	x	<b>6.8</b>
2004	2.5	x	1.8	4.2	1.6	4.9	3.0	2.7	2.7	2.1	x	<b>3.1</b>
<b>Secondary activities</b>												
<b>Project management services</b>												
2006	4.5	x	5.9	4.2	8.1	7.5	3.6	5.8 <sup>E</sup>	5.2	5.5	x	<b>6.5</b>
2005	5.2	x	4.9	3.1	8.2	6.0	2.5	3.6	6.2	3.5	x	<b>6.0</b>
2004	8.5	x	3.4	5.8 <sup>E</sup>	5.8	2.9	2.3	2.7	6.1	3.2	x	<b>4.5</b>
<b>Construction services, including design/build contracts</b>												
2006	2.8	x	6.7	26.1	6.4	2.6	9.3	2.0	2.3	4.2	x	<b>4.0</b>
2005	2.1	x	x	x	5.6	4.2	x	x	2.6	3.0	x	<b>4.4</b>
2004	1.8	x	2.8	1.4	9.4	2.7	1.7	2.1	2.8	2.7	x	<b>4.2</b>
<b>Environmental consulting services</b>												
2006	F	x	6.4	3.7	2.1	4.3	F	1.1	F	1.9	x	<b>3.1</b>
2005	5.2	x	6.5	x	2.7	4.4	6.7	6.6	6.0	5.4	x	<b>4.8</b>
2004	5.1	x	3.7	5.4	4.3	4.7	6.3	9.0	4.0	4.9	x	<b>4.5</b>
<b>Other sales</b>												
2006	5.6	x	4.7	4.5	5.4	4.4	1.0	3.1	2.8	4.8	x	<b>4.2</b>
2005	0.6	x	5.9	4.2	5.1	9.1	1.6	2.2	4.2	4.7	x	<b>5.9</b>
2004	2.5	x	3.5	5.3	6.2	8.4	1.3	2.3	2.7	5.9	x	<b>5.8</b>

1. Territories include: Yukon, Northwest Territories and Nunavut.

**Note(s):** According to the North American Industry Classification System (NAICS 541330). See "Data sources, definitions and methodology" at the end of tables for definition of terms. The smallest firms, in terms of revenues earned, are not included in the estimates. These firms account for a relatively small portion of total industry revenues. Due to rounding, components may not add to total.

**Table 3**  
**Distribution of operating revenue by type of client, by province and territory, 2004 to 2006**

	Clients in Canada	Businesses <sup>1</sup>	Individuals and households	Governments and public institutions	Clients outside Canada
	percent				
<b>2006</b>					
Newfoundland and Labrador	85.7	59.6	0.2	26.0	14.3
Prince Edward Island	x	x	x	x	x
Nova Scotia	95.0	63.7	3.0	28.4	5.0
New Brunswick	65.6	46.2	1.1	18.3	34.4
Quebec	76.1	50.7	0.6 <sup>E</sup>	24.8	23.9
Ontario	85.6	55.7	1.8	28.1	14.4
Manitoba	96.7	66.9	1.6 <sup>E</sup>	28.3	3.3 <sup>E</sup>
Saskatchewan	94.8	63.4	0.6	30.9	5.2
Alberta	90.4	70.5	1.7	18.2	9.6
British Columbia	77.3	53.7	2.2	21.4	22.7
Yukon	99.5	30.7	3.1	65.7	0.5
Northwest Territories	90.9	67.8	1.0	22.1	9.1
Nunavut	x	x	x	x	x
<b>Canada</b>	<b>83.8</b>	<b>58.7</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>23.5</b>	<b>16.2</b>
<b>2005</b>					
Newfoundland and Labrador	88.5	55.4	1.1	32.0	11.5
Prince Edward Island	x	x	x	x	x
Nova Scotia	88.3	60.3	2.5	25.5	11.7
New Brunswick	76.5	47.2	2.1	27.3	23.5
Quebec	78.9	48.4	1.1 <sup>E</sup>	29.5	21.1
Ontario	83.2	52.1	3.5	27.6	16.8
Manitoba	95.7	58.9	2.9	33.9	4.3
Saskatchewan	96.0	59.7	4.0	32.3	4.0
Alberta	88.6	68.2	1.5	18.9	11.3
British Columbia	89.3	60.1	5.0	24.2	10.7
Yukon	98.2	40.6	6.9	50.7	1.8 <sup>E</sup>
Northwest Territories	98.5	67.3	1.7	29.5	1.5
Nunavut	x	x	x	x	x
<b>Canada</b>	<b>85.0</b>	<b>56.9</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>25.5</b>	<b>14.9</b>
<b>2004</b>					
Newfoundland and Labrador	84.8	49.4	0.9 <sup>E</sup>	34.5	15.2
Prince Edward Island	x	x	x	x	x
Nova Scotia	90.5	63.5	2.3	24.7	9.5
New Brunswick	92.5	47.1	1.9	43.4	7.5
Quebec	79.5	50.8	0.4	28.3	20.5
Ontario	86.5	48.8	3.6	34.1	13.5
Manitoba	95.6	60.9	1.3 <sup>E</sup>	33.5	4.4
Saskatchewan	90.2	48.9	0.8	40.5	9.8
Alberta	85.3	62.6	0.9	21.8	14.7
British Columbia	86.5	59.2	1.9	25.5	13.5
Yukon	99.7	54.3	5.0	40.5	0.3
Northwest Territories	97.0	57.9	0.5	38.5	3.0
Nunavut	x	x	x	x	x
<b>Canada</b>	<b>85.0</b>	<b>54.4</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>28.7</b>	<b>15.0</b>

1. Including engineering firms.

**Note(s):** According to the North American Industry Classification System (NAICS 541330). See "Data sources, definitions and methodology" at the end of tables for definition of terms. The smallest firms, in terms of revenues earned, are not included in the estimates. These firms account for a relatively small portion of total industry revenues. Due to rounding, components may not add to total.



**Table 6**  
**Distribution of operating revenue by type of service, by class size, Canada, 2004 to 2006**

	Class size <sup>1</sup>			All firms
	Small	Medium	Large	
	percent			
<b>Engineering services</b>				
2006	82.6	81.6	82.1	<b>82.1</b>
2005	78.2	80.5	78.6	<b>79.0</b>
2004	79.9	85.2	79.4	<b>81.0</b>
<b>Residential building engineering projects</b>				
2006	7.0	6.9	2.2	<b>4.5</b>
2005	7.6	3.9	2.3	<b>4.2</b>
2004	8.2	2.5	2.3	<b>4.1</b>
<b>Commercial, public and institutional building engineering projects</b>				
2006	16.3	14.4	5.0	<b>10.1</b>
2005	14.3	9.7	6.5	<b>9.6</b>
2004	15.6	12.7	7.9	<b>11.4</b>
<b>Industrial and manufacturing engineering projects</b>				
2006	27.3	24.9	41.9	<b>34.2</b>
2005	27.9	28.4	41.6	<b>34.3</b>
2004	30.7	31.7	36.1	<b>33.4</b>
Mining and metallurgical				
2006	2.4	3.7	10.8	<b>7.0</b>
2005	3.2	7.3	10.3	<b>7.5</b>
2004	1.3	3.0	8.9	<b>5.1</b>
Petroleum and petrochemical				
2006	13.9	10.5	24.8	<b>18.7</b>
2005	12.3	9.7	25.4	<b>17.6</b>
2004	11.3	16.6	20.7	<b>16.8</b>
Pulp and paper				
2006	0.4	1.5	0.9	<b>0.9</b>
2005	1.6 <sup>E</sup>	1.6	0.6	<b>1.1</b>
2004	x	2.7	x	<b>2.7</b>
Industrial machinery				
2006	2.6	3.7	0.4	<b>1.7</b>
2005	x	2.0	x	<b>1.7</b>
2004	3.4	2.4	1.2	<b>2.2</b>
Electronic and electrical equipment				
2006	3.5	1.4	0.0	<b>1.3</b>
2005	2.9	x	x	<b>1.0</b>
2004	2.6 <sup>E</sup>	2.9 <sup>E</sup>	0.3	<b>1.6</b>
Transportation equipment				
2006	1.1	0.4	0.0	<b>0.4</b>
2005	1.3 <sup>E</sup>	0.5	0.0	<b>0.5<sup>E</sup></b>
2004	0.6	x	x	<b>0.7</b>
Other industrial and manufacturing engineering projects				
2006	3.4	3.6	5.0	<b>4.3</b>
2005	3.8	6.6	4.4	<b>4.8</b>
2004	8.2	2.6	2.3	<b>4.2</b>
<b>Transportation engineering projects</b>				
2006	8.0	11.2	11.9	<b>10.7</b>
2005	5.1	9.9	10.9	<b>9.0</b>
2004	7.3	9.1	12.6	<b>10.1</b>
<b>Municipal utility engineering projects</b>				
2006	6.7	7.8	6.1	<b>6.6</b>
2005	7.6	10.6	5.3	<b>7.3</b>
2004	7.2	7.7	8.7	<b>8.0</b>
<b>Power generation, transmission and distribution engineering projects</b>				
2006	3.1	3.1	7.8	<b>5.5</b>
2005	2.6	3.2	6.8	<b>4.7</b>
2004	3.9	4.5	6.1	<b>5.1</b>
<b>Telecommunications and broadcasting engineering projects</b>				
2006	1.2	1.0	0.4	<b>0.8</b>
2005	2.1	1.1 <sup>E</sup>	0.8	<b>1.2</b>
2004	1.3	0.6	0.5	<b>0.8</b>

See footnotes at the end of the table.

Table 6 – continued

## Distribution of operating revenue by type of service, by class size, Canada, 2004 to 2006

	Class size <sup>1</sup>			All firms
	Small	Medium	Large	
	percent			
<b>Hazardous and industrial waste engineering projects</b>				
2006	0.5	0.3	0.5	<b>0.5</b>
2005	0.4 <sup>E</sup>	0.5	0.4	<b>0.5</b>
2004	0.9 <sup>E</sup>	0.6	1.4	<b>1.1</b>
<b>Engineering advisory services</b>				
2006	2.8	1.6	1.0	<b>1.6</b>
2005	2.8 <sup>E</sup>	1.2	0.8	<b>1.5</b>
2004	2.0 <sup>E</sup>	13.1 <sup>E</sup>	0.3	<b>3.9<sup>E</sup></b>
<b>Other engineering projects or services</b>				
2006	9.7	10.5	5.3	<b>7.6</b>
2005	7.9	12.0	3.1	<b>6.8</b>
2004	2.9	2.8	3.4	<b>3.1</b>
<b>Secondary activities</b>				
<b>Project management services</b>				
2006	7.1	7.5	5.8	<b>6.5</b>
2005	8.0	3.6	6.2	<b>6.0</b>
2004	4.9	3.7	4.6	<b>4.5</b>
<b>Construction services, including design/build contracts</b>				
2006	4.2	2.2	4.7	<b>4.0</b>
2005	4.8	4.6	3.9	<b>4.4</b>
2004	4.5 <sup>E</sup>	1.9	5.3	<b>4.2</b>
<b>Environmental consulting services</b>				
2006	3.1	3.9	2.8	<b>3.1</b>
2005	2.3	4.3	6.5	<b>4.8</b>
2004	3.3 <sup>E</sup>	3.1	6.1	<b>4.5</b>
<b>Other sales</b>				
2006	3.0	4.8	4.6	<b>4.2</b>
2005	6.5	7.0	4.8	<b>5.8</b>
2004	7.4	6.2	4.6	<b>5.8</b>

1. Small firms represent a workforce of less than 50. Medium firms represent a workforce of 50 to 249. Large firms represent a workforce of 250 or more.

**Note(s):** According to the North American Industry Classification System (NAICS 541330). See "Data sources, definitions and methodology" at the end of tables for definition of terms. The smallest firms, in terms of revenues earned, are not included in the estimates. These firms account for a relatively small portion of total industry revenues. Due to rounding, components may not add to total.



**Table 7**  
**Distribution of operating revenue by type of client, by class size, Canada, 2004 to 2006**

	Class size <sup>1</sup>			All firms
	Small	Medium	Large	
	percent			
<b>Clients in Canada</b>				
2006	87.4	87.0	80.5	<b>83.8</b>
2005	89.0	87.2	81.3	<b>85.0</b>
2004	85.4	90.1	81.9	<b>85.0</b>
Governments and public institutions				
2006	19.9	29.6	23.1	<b>23.5</b>
2005	18.5	28.8	28.0	<b>25.5</b>
2004	22.1	33.2	30.8	<b>28.7</b>
Businesses, including engineering firms				
2006	65.4	56.0	56.1	<b>58.7</b>
2005	65.7	56.3	51.8	<b>56.9</b>
2004	60.7	55.0	49.8	<b>54.4</b>
Individuals and households				
2006	2.2	1.5	1.3	<b>1.6</b>
2005	4.8	2.1	1.5	<b>2.6</b>
2004	2.7	1.9	1.3	<b>1.9</b>
<b>Clients outside Canada</b>				
2006	12.6	13.0	19.5	<b>16.2</b>
2005	11.0	12.8	18.7	<b>14.9</b>
2004	14.6	9.9	18.1	<b>15.0</b>

1. Small firms represent a workforce of less than 50. Medium firms represent a workforce of 50 to 249. Large firms represent a workforce of 250 or more.

**Note(s):** According to the North American Industry Classification System (NAICS 541330). See "Data sources, definitions and methodology" at the end of tables for definition of terms. The smallest firms, in terms of revenues earned, are not included in the estimates. These firms account for a relatively small portion of total industry revenues. Due to rounding, components may not add to total.

**Table 8**  
**Distribution of foreign fee income by geographic region and class size, Canada, 2004 to 2006**

	Class size <sup>1</sup>			All firms
	Small	Medium	Large	
	percent			
<b>United States</b>				
2006	86.1	68.5	40.5	<b>55.3</b>
2005	61.6	59.8	42.2	<b>50.2</b>
2004	67.7	54.0	28.9	<b>44.3</b>
<b>Mexico</b>				
2006	0.3	0.3	1.7	<b>1.1</b>
2005	1.1	0.9	0.0	<b>0.4</b>
2004	x	0.3	x	<b>0.8</b>
<b>Europe</b>				
2006	6.8	8.8	13.2	<b>11.1</b>
2005	16.9	x	x	<b>7.8</b>
2004	12.1 <sup>E</sup>	12.4	4.5	<b>8.0</b>
<b>Africa</b>				
2006	1.1	2.3	20.7	<b>13.2</b>
2005	4.9	x	x	<b>19.6</b>
2004	x	5.4	x	<b>28.5</b>
<b>Asia</b>				
2006	3.0	11.2	8.1	<b>7.5</b>
2005	x	7.3	x	<b>5.2</b>
2004	5.7	12.0	3.6	<b>5.6</b>
<b>Middle East</b>				
2006	0.3	1.8	10.2	<b>6.6</b>
2005	x	6.1	x	<b>6.7</b>
2004	x	5.9	x	<b>1.8</b>
<b>Australia</b>				
2006	0.1	3.5	2.0	<b>1.9</b>
2005	x	1.8	x	<b>3.8</b>
2004	0.8	0.5	1.0	<b>0.9</b>
<b>Other</b>				
2006	2.3	3.6	3.6	<b>3.3</b>
2005	5.4	5.3	6.9	<b>6.2</b>
2004	6.5	9.6	12.3	<b>10.2</b>

1. Small firms represent a workforce of less than 50. Medium firms represent a workforce of 50 to 249. Large firms represent a workforce of 250 or more.

**Note(s):** According to the North American Industry Classification System (NAICS 541330). See "Data sources, definitions and methodology" at the end of tables for definition of terms. The smallest firms, in terms of revenues earned, are not included in the estimates. These firms account for a relatively small portion of total industry revenues. Due to rounding, components may not add to total.

## Data sources, definitions and methodology

This annual sample survey collects the financial and operating data needed to produce statistics on the engineering services industry in Canada. The survey also collects detailed information on the characteristics of the businesses, such as type of revenue and type of client.

These data are aggregated with information from other sources to produce official estimates of the national and provincial economic production of the engineering services industry in Canada. The results from this survey provide data to businesses, governments, investors, and associations. These data allow these groups to monitor the growth of the industry, measure performance, allow comparison across similar businesses and to better understand this industry to react to trends and patterns.

## Target population

The target population consists of all establishments classified to the engineering services industry (NAICS 541330) according to the North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) during the reference year. This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in applying principles of engineering in the design, development and utilization of machines, materials, instruments, structures, processes and systems. The assignments undertaken by these establishments may involve any of the following activities: the provision of advice, the preparation of feasibility studies, the preparation of preliminary and final plans and designs, the provision of technical services during the construction or installation phase, the inspection and evaluation of engineering projects and related services.

## Sampling

This is a sample survey.

The frame is the list of establishments from which the portion eligible for sampling is determined and the sample is taken. The frame provides basic information about each firm including address, industry classification, and information from administrative data sources. The frame is maintained by Statistics Canada's Business Register and is updated using administrative data.

The basic objective of the survey is to produce estimates for the whole industry - incorporated and unincorporated businesses. The data come from two different sources: a sample of all businesses with revenue above or equal to a certain threshold (note: the threshold varies between surveys and sometimes between industries and provinces in the same survey) for which either survey or administrative data may be used; and administrative data only for businesses with revenue below the specified threshold. It should be noted that only financial information is available from businesses below the threshold; e.g., revenue, and expenses such as depreciation and salaries, wages and benefits. Detailed characteristics are collected only for surveyed establishments.

Prior to the selection of a random sample, establishments are classified into homogeneous groups (i.e., groups with the same NAICS codes and same geography). Quality requirements are targeted, and then each group is divided into sub-groups called strata: take-all, must-take, and take-some.

The take-all stratum represents the largest firms in terms of performance (based on revenue) in an industry. The must-take stratum is comprised of units selected based on complex structural characteristics (multi-establishment, multi-legal, multi-NAICS, or multi-province enterprises). All take-all and must-take firms are selected to the sample. Units in the take-some strata are subject to simple random sampling.

The effective sample size for reference year 2006 was 673 collection entities.

## Definitions

Estimates for the most recent year are preliminary. Preliminary data are subject to revision.

**Operating revenue** excludes investment income, capital gains, extraordinary gains and other non-recurring items.

**Operating expenses** exclude write-offs, capital losses, extraordinary losses, interest on borrowing, and other non-recurring items.

**Operating profit margin** is derived as follows: operating revenue minus operating expenses, expressed as a percentage of operating revenue. The derived figure excludes corporation income tax paid by incorporated businesses and individual income tax paid by unincorporated businesses. For unincorporated businesses, operating profit margin includes unpaid remuneration accruing to partners and proprietors, which is not recorded as salaries, wages and benefits. Therefore, the profit estimate will be higher in industries where unincorporated proprietorships and partnerships are significant contributors.

**Salaries, wages and benefits** include vacation pay and commissions for all employees for whom a T4 slip was completed. This category also includes the employer portion of employee benefits for items such as Canada/Quebec

Pension Plan or Employment Insurance premiums. Salaries and wages do not include working owners' dividends nor do they include the remuneration of owners of unincorporated businesses. Therefore, the relative level of salaries, wages and benefits will be lower in industries where unincorporated businesses are significant contributors.

An active **statistical establishment** is one production entity or the smallest grouping of production entities which produces as homogeneous a set of goods and/or services as possible; which does not cross provincial boundaries; and for which records provide data on the value of output together with the cost of principal intermediate inputs used and cost and quantity of labour resources used to produce the output.

### Data quality

While considerable effort is made to ensure high standards throughout all stages of collection and processing, the resulting estimates are inevitably subject to a certain degree of error. These errors can be broken down into two major types: non-sampling and sampling.

**Non-sampling error** is not related to sampling and may occur for many reasons. For example, non-response is an important source of non-sampling error. Population coverage, differences in the interpretation of questions, incorrect information from respondents, and mistakes in recording, coding and processing data are other examples of non-sampling errors.

**Sampling error** occurs because population estimates are derived from a sample of the population rather than the entire population. Sampling error depends on factors such as sample size, sampling design, and the method of estimation. An important property of probability sampling is that sampling error can be computed from the sample itself by using a statistical measure called the coefficient of variation (CV). The assumption is that over repeated surveys, the relative difference between a sample estimate and the estimate that would have been obtained from an enumeration of all units in the universe would be less than twice the CV, 95 times out of 100. The range of acceptable data values yielded by a sample is called a confidence interval. Confidence intervals can be constructed around the estimate using the CV. First, we calculate the standard error by multiplying the sample estimate by the CV. The sample estimate plus or minus twice the standard error is then referred to as a 95% confidence interval. For this survey, the CVs are excellent (less than 5%) for operating revenue and operating expenses and wages, salaries and benefits of employees variables.

### Quality evaluation

Prior to dissemination, combined survey results are analyzed for overall quality; in general, this includes a detailed review of individual responses (especially for the largest companies), an assessment of the general economic conditions portrayed by the data, historic trends, and comparisons with other data sources.

### Disclosure control

Statistics Canada is prohibited by law from releasing any data which would divulge information obtained under the Statistics Act that relates to any identifiable person, business or organization without the prior knowledge or the consent in writing of that person, business or organization. Various confidentiality rules are applied to all data that are released or published to prevent the publication or disclosure of any information deemed confidential. If necessary, data are suppressed to prevent direct or residual disclosure of identifiable data.

### Data accuracy

Of the units contributing to the estimate, the weighted response rate was 84.4%. CVs were calculated for each estimate and are available upon request.

## Related products

### CANSIM

Available on CANSIM: table 360-0005 - Summary statistics for engineering services (all establishments), by North American Industry Classification System (NAICS), annual (75 series)

### Survey(s)

Definitions, data sources and methods: survey number 2439 - Annual Survey of Service Industries: Engineering Services

### Publications

Service Industries Newsletter, Catalogue no. 63-018-X.

Analytical paper series - Service Industries Division, Catalogue no. 63F0002X.

Release date: March 2009

#### Symbols

The following standard symbols are used in Statistics Canada publications:

.	not available for any reference period
..	not available for a specific reference period
...	not applicable
0	true zero or a value rounded to zero
0 <sup>s</sup>	value rounded to 0 (zero) where there is a meaningful distinction between true zero and the value that was rounded
p	preliminary
r	revised
x	suppressed to meet the confidentiality requirements of the <i>Statistics Act</i>
E	use with caution
F	too unreliable to be published

#### To access this product

This product, Catalogue no. 63-258-X, is available free in electronic format. To obtain a single issue, visit our website at [www.statcan.gc.ca](http://www.statcan.gc.ca) and select "Publications."

Frequency: Annual / ISSN 1916-9930

For information on the wide range of data available from Statistics Canada, please call our national inquiries line at 1-800-263-1136.

La version française de cette publication est disponible sur demande (n° 63-258-X au catalogue).

Published by authority of the Minister responsible for Statistics Canada. © Minister of Industry, 2009. All rights reserved. The content of this electronic publication may be reproduced, in whole or in part, and by any means, without further permission from Statistics Canada, subject to the following conditions: that it be done solely for the purposes of private study, research, criticism, review or newspaper summary, and/or for non-commercial purposes; and that Statistics Canada be fully acknowledged as follows: Source (or "Adapted from", if appropriate): Statistics Canada, year of publication, name of product, catalogue number, volume and issue numbers, reference period and page(s). Otherwise, no part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form, by any means—electronic, mechanical or photocopy—or for any purposes without prior written permission of Licensing Services, Client Services Division, Statistics Canada, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada K1A 0T6.

#### Standards of service to the public

Statistics Canada is committed to serving its clients in a prompt, reliable and courteous manner. To this end, Statistics Canada has developed *standards of service* that its employees observe.

To obtain a copy of these service standards, please contact Statistics Canada toll-free at 1-800-263-1136. The service standards are also published on [www.statcan.gc.ca](http://www.statcan.gc.ca) under "About us" > "Providing services to Canadians."

#### Note of appreciation

Canada owes the success of its statistical system to a long standing partnership between Statistics Canada, the citizens of Canada, its businesses, governments and other institutions. Accurate and timely statistical information could not be produced without their continued cooperation and goodwill.