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#### Abstract

Mochnacz, N.J., S.M. Backhouse, R. Bajno, and J.D. Reist. 2009. Biological and habitat data for fish collected during stream surveys in the southern (Deh Cho) and central (Sahtu) Northwest Territories, 2007. Can. Data Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 1209: vii + 29 p.

Fisheries and habitat surveys were conducted at 14 streams in the Northwest Territories between August 23 and September 4, 2007. Three streams were surveyed in the Deh Cho Region and eleven in the Sahtu region. Streams were electrofished and habitat availability and use were recorded. A total of 483 fish representing ten different species were captured. Slimy sculpin was the most abundant species in this area representing 69.2 \% of the total catch, followed by Arctic grayling (17.4 \%), bull trout (3.9 \%), lake chub (2.3\%), northern pike (2.1 \%), Dolly Varden (2.1 \%), burbot (1.7 \%), longnose sucker (0.6 \%), lake trout (0.6\%), and round whitefish ( $0.2 \%$ ). Mean depths ranged from 10.7 cm to 44.2 cm , mean velocities ranged from $0.11 \mathrm{~m} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ to $0.31 \mathrm{~m} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, cobble and pebble was the most common substrate found, and cobble and boulder was the predominant cover observed. Mean water temperature ranged from $6.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in Gibson Creek to $13.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in Smith Creek. The presence of groundwater was detected in most of the streams surveyed in these two areas.


Key Words: Northwest Territories; stream surveys; Arctic; Mackenzie Gas Pipeline; fish habitat; Arctic grayling; Deh Cho Region; Sahtu Settlement Area.

## RÉSUMÉ

Mochnacz, N.J., S.M. Backhouse, R. Bajno, et J.D. Reist. 2009. Données sur la biologie et l'habitat des poissons pris lors du relevé des cours d'eau dans la région du sud (Deh Cho) et la région centrale (Sahtu) des Territoires du Nord-Ouest, 2007. Rapp. stat. can. sci. halieut. aquat. 1209 : vii + 29 p.

Des relevés des pêches et des habitats ont été réalisés dans 14 cours d'eau aux Territoires du Nord-Ouest, du 23 août au 4 septembre 2007, dont trois dans la région de Deh Cho et onze dans la région de Sahtu. On s'est livré à la pêche électrique dans les cours d'eau et la disponibilité et l'utilisation de l'habitat ont été enregistrées. Un total de 483 poissons représentant dix espèces différentes ont été capturés. Le chabot visqueux était l'espèce la plus abondante dans la région, représentant 69,2 \% de la prise totale, suivi de l'ombre arctique (17,4 \%), l'omble à tête plate (3,9 \%), le méné de lac ( $2,3 \%$ ), le grand brochet ( $2,1 \%$ ), la Dolly Varden ( $2,1 \%$ ), la lotte ( $1,7 \%$ ), le meunier rouge ( $0,6 \%$ ), le touladi ( $0,6 \%$ ) et le ménomini ( $0,2 \%$ ). Les profondeurs moyennes se trouvaient entre $10,7 \mathrm{~cm}$ et $44,2 \mathrm{~cm}$, les vitesses moyennes se trouvaient entre $0,11 \mathrm{~m} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ et $0,31 \mathrm{~m} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, le substrat le plus courant était formé de galets et de cailloux et la couverture prédominante observée était formée de galets et de rochers. Les températures moyennes de l'eau variaient, de $6,0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ pour le ruisseau Gibson à $13,3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ pour le ruisseau Smith. On a détecté la présence d'eau souterraine dans la plupart des cours d'eau relevés dans ces deux régions.

Mots-clés : Territoires du Nord-Ouest; recensement des cours d'eau; Arctique; gazoduc du Mackenzie; habitat du poisson; ombre de l'Arctique; Deh Cho; Sahtu.

## INTRODUCTION

Hydrocarbon development is moving at a rapid pace in the Northwest Territories. The most significant activity undertaken in the last five years has been work associated with the proposed Mackenzie Gas Pipeline (MGP), which will cross 495 watercourses in the Mackenzie River Valley (Imperial Oil Resources Ventures Limited 2004). Watercourses ranging from ephemeral streams, which provide seasonal fish habitat, to large rivers (e.g., Great Bear River) which provide year round habitat, will be crossed. In response to these proposed development activities, First Nation communities and regulatory government agencies identified data deficiencies for fisheries resources along the proposed pipeline route (Gartner Lee Ltd. 2003; Gartner Lee Ltd. 2004). Studies were initiated in 2004 by Fisheries \& Oceans Canada (DFO) to establish preconstruction reference conditions and address data gaps for fish species distributed along the Mackenzie River Valley.

The Mackenzie River and associated tributaries support 34 freshwater and/or anadromous fish species (Hatfield et al. 1972; Dryden et al. 1973, Chang-Kue and Jessop 1991; Sawatzky et al. 2007). Freshwater fish species use the Mackenzie River for feeding and overwintering, and both anadromous and freshwater species use the river as a migration corridor to spawning habitats (Hatfield et al. 1972; Dryden et al. 1973). Fish communities found in the Mackenzie Valley aquatic ecosystem exhibit primarily riverine life histories. Some populations spend part of their annual life cycle in lakes but may use rivers for spawning or as migratory corridors to access specific habitats (e.g., spawning and overwintering habitat). Many of the fish species found along the proposed MGP route are highly sensitive to impacts on their habitat (Stein et al. 1973). Sensitive fish species are those which are not capable of tolerating minimal exploitation and environmental impacts (e.g., habitat loss) without sustaining a decline in productivity at the individual and population level. The following fish species are harvested in commercial, subsistence, and sport fisheries, and are most vulnerable to environmental disturbance: Arctic grayling, Thymallus arcticus (Pallas); bull trout, Salvelinus confluentus (Suckley); Dolly Varden, Salvelinus malma (Walbaum); Arctic cisco, Coregonus autumnalis (Pallas); least cisco Coregonus sardinella (Valenciennes); inconnu, Stenodus leucichthys (Guldenstadt); walleye, Sander vitreus (Mitchill); broad whitefish, Coregonus nasus (Pallas); lake whitefish, Coregonus clupeaformis (Mitchill); round whitefish, Prosopium cylindraceum (Pennant); and burbot, Lota lota (Linnaeus) (Stein et al. 1973). Pearl dace, Margariscus margarita (Cope), brook stickleback, Culaea inconstans (Kirtland), and slimy sculpin, Cottus cognatus (Richardson) are not as sensitive to perturbations as the above species but are important components of the ecosystem as they are consumed by many of the species identified above (Stein et al. 1973).

The results presented in this report are from the second year of a three-year study started in 2006 (see Mochnacz and Reist 2007). The objectives of this
study are to improve our understanding of the geographic distributions and habitat associations of sensitive fish species in the Mackenzie Valley. Small streams with intermittent flow are the focus of this research, as it is not explicitly clear how they function to support fish populations, or the extent of their contribution as ephemeral habitats within the larger Mackenzie River ecosystem.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

## BIOLOGICAL DATA COLLECTION

Field surveys were conducted from August 23 to September 4, 2007. During this period streams sampled in 2006 as well as several new streams were surveyed. Sampling was conducted at three streams in the Deh Cho Region and eleven streams in the Sahtu region (Figure 1). Fish were captured by electrofishing (Smith-Root® Type VII POW backpack electro-fisher), angling, and using set lines. Co-ordinates were taken at each sampling site using a Garmin (GPSMAP 60C) hand-held global positioning system (GPS). For further details on methods see Mochnacz and Reist 2007.

To minimize research impacts on populations, a combination of live- and deadsampling was conducted.

## Live SAMPLing

Fork length (mm) was recorded for all sensitive species and sex was determined where possible. Weight ( g ) was recorded for a sub-sample of live-released fish. Life history type and life stage were assigned based on external characteristics such as size, color, and presence of key external markings (e.g., parr marks). Once biological data were taken, fish were released back into the stream where they were originally captured.

## Dead Sampling

A sub-sample of fish were sacrificed to confirm species identity. Fish were either frozen whole or fixed in 10\% buffered formalin and preserved in 70\% ethanol. Once fish were preserved they were sent to the Freshwater Institute in Winnipeg for processing. Fish were identified to species (McPhail and Lindsey 1970; Scott and Crossman 1973) and fork lengths (mm) and weight ( 0.1 g ) were recorded. Sex, maturity (based on internal examination), and gonad weight ( 0.1 g ) were documented for Arctic grayling, bull trout, burbot, and Dolly Varden. Sexual maturity was determined by internal examination of gonads and each fish was assigned a maturity code (Table 1; McGowan 1992). Fish were aged using whole and sectioned otolith methods (Secor et al. 1992). All char captured were identified to species using a linear discriminant function (LDF) (Haas and McPhail
1991), key morphological characteristics described in field manuals/literature, and genetic analyses (see Mochnacz and Reist 2007).

## habitat data collection

Habitat information was collected from wadable streams in the area to describe fish habitat use and availability. Habitat was quantified for the stream (i.e., macrohabitat) and at positions within the stream where fish were captured or observed (i.e., microhabitat) (see Goetz 1997).

## Reach Delineation

Selected streams were stratified into upper, middle, and lower sections based on elevation. Prior knowledge of stream elevation was used to delineate these sections assuming that similar gradients within a stream provide homogenous habitat for fish. In each section one reach, which was 40 mean wetted widths (MWW) in length, was randomly selected. For example, if the stream had a MWW of 3 m , the reach length was 120 m . Each reach was fished using a SmithRoot® backpack electrofisher. Stop nets were placed at the upstream and downstream end of each reach to prevent movements by fish in and out of the sampling area. Co-ordinates were taken at the bottom (i.e., downstream), middle, and top section of each reach.

## macrohabitat Data Collection

Macrohabitat was systematically sampled along 20-40 transects at each reach (= station). Simonson et al. (1994) show that a minimum of 13 transects with four data points across each transect should be sampled in a reach to obtain an accurate representation of the habitat present. Transects were spaced two MWW apart and placed perpendicular to water flow. Water depth, water velocity, and dominant substrate and cover types were recorded at four equidistant points across each transect. Depth was measured with a meter stick (nearest 0.5 cm ), and velocity was measured at $60 \%$ of the water depth using a Marsh-McBirney flow meter (accurate to $0.01 \mathrm{~m} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ). Dominant substrate was estimated visually in the surrounding 5 cm for each point using a modified Wentworth scale (Table 2) and cover was estimated visually according to a ranked classification scale (Table 3). At some sites, water temperature was recorded at one meter intervals within the substrate along the river bed using a hand-held DigiSense Thermister Thermometer ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ attached to a metal probe. The metal probe was armoured in a steel sheath and driven by hand as far into the river bottom as possible. While on site, ambient river temperature was also recorded at one minute intervals halfway down the water column with Stowaway Tidbit Temperature Loggers ${ }^{\text {TM }}$.

The mean water depth, water velocity, and water temperature were determined for each reach, and the mode was determined for substrate and cover types.

## Microhabitat Data Collection

Microhabitat was quantified at specific positions in the stream where Arctic grayling, lake chub, and burbot were captured to describe habitat use. Most of the microhabitat data are for Arctic grayling as this was the sensitive species encountered most often during surveys. Each time one of these species was captured or observed a weighted coloured marker was placed in the river at that location. Fork lengths (nearest mm ) were recorded for all fish captured. Water depth, water velocity, dominant substrate, and dominant cover were recorded at five points; at the marker, and at 12, 3, 6, and 9 o'clock in a 10 cm diameter clockwise direction around the central point.

## RESULTS

Species codes for all fish captured are presented in Table 4. Table 5 shows location information, fishing method, fishing effort, number of fish tagged and released, number of fish dead-sampled, and catch-per-unit-effort. A total of 483 fish representing ten different species were captured. Slimy sculpin was the most abundant species in this area representing $69.2 \%$ of the total catch, followed by Arctic grayling (17.4 \%), bull trout (3.9 \%), lake chub (2.3 \%), northern pike (2.1 \%), Dolly Varden (2.1 \%), burbot (1.7 \%), longnose sucker (0.6 \%), lake trout (0.6\%) and round whitefish (0.2\%). Biological data for lake chub, northern pike, slimy sculpin and longnose sucker are presented in Table 6 and similar data for sensitive species are presented in Table 7.

Each char captured was identified to species based on key qualitative morphological characteristics recognized in the literature (Cavender 1978; Haas and McPhail 1991; Nelson and Paetz 1992; Reist et al. 2002), a linear discriminant function (Haas and McPhail 1991), and three different types of genetic analyses - ribosomal DNA (Baxter et al. 1997), mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA), and growth hormone DNA (Taylor et al. 2001). The final identifications were based on agreement between two or more of the analyses (i.e., morphological, LDF, DNA analyses) (Table 8).

Mean water depths ranged from 10.7 cm to 44.2 cm , mean water velocities ranged from $0.11 \mathrm{~m} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ to $0.31 \mathrm{~m} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, cobble and pebble were the most common substrate found, and cobble and boulder were the predominant cover (Table 9). Mean temperatures ranged from $6.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in Gibson Creek to $13.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in Smith Creek (Table 9). Groundwater contributed to base flow in all of the streams surveyed and influenced surface water temperature throughout the day. Groundwater was located using water temperature measurements in Canyon Creek, Smith Creek, Francis Creek, White Sand Creek, Gibson Creek, and the Gayna River. These groundwater sources prevent these streams from completely freezing to the bottom in local areas during the winter providing suitable habitat for fish.
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Table 1. Sexual maturity codes assigned to fish during the study (McGowan 1992).

| Maturity State | Male - 1 | Female - 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Immature | 06 - testes long and thin, tubular and scalloped shape, up to full body length, putty-like firmness | 01 - ovaries granular, hard and triangular, up to full length of body cavity, membrane full, eggs distinguishable |
| Mature | 07 - current year spawner, testes large and lobate, white to purplish in color, centers may be fluid, milt not expelled by pressure | 02 - current year spawner, ovary fills body cavity, eggs near full size but not loose and not expelled by pressure |
| Ripe | 08 - testes full size, white and lobate, milt expelled by slight penetration | 03 - ovaries greatly extended and fill body cavity, eggs full size and transparent, expelled by slight pressure |
| Spent | 09 - spawning complete, testes flaccid with some milt, blood vessels obvious, testes violet-pink in colour | 04 - spawning complete, ovaries ruptured and flaccid, developing oocytes, visible, some eggs retained in body cavity |
| Resting | 10 - testes tubular, less lobate, healed from spawning, no fluid in center, usually full length of body, mottled and purpulish in colour | 05 - ovary $40-50 \%$ of body cavity volume, membrane thin and semi-transparent, healed from spawning, developing oocytes apparent with few atretic eggs, some eggs may be retained in body cavity |
| Unknown (virgin) | 00 - cannot be sexed, gonads long or short and thin, transparent or translucent |  |
| Unknown (nonvirgin) | 11 - resting fish, has spawned but gonads regenerated, or sexing not possible |  |

Table 2. Modified Wentworth classification of substrate types by size used for stream surveys in 2007 (Cummins 1962).

| Code | Particle size range (mm) | Substrate definition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| 4 | $>256$ | Boulder |
| 3 | $64-255$ | Cobble |
| 2 | $16-63$ | Pebble |
| 1 | $2-15$ | Gravel |
| 0 | $0.06-1$ | Sand |
|  | $<0.059$ | Silt |

Table 3. Cover classification defining types used for stream surveys in 2007 (after Sexauer and James 1997).

| Code | Type or size range | Cover definition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | aquatic vegetation |  |
| 2 | riparian vegetation | Submerged vegetation |
| 3 | water column depth | Overhanging vegetation |
| 4 | water turbulence | Depth |
| 5 | $65-255 \mathrm{~mm}$ | Turbulence |
| 6 | $256+\mathrm{mm}$ | Cobble |
| 7 | $>30 \mathrm{~cm}$ diameter | Boulder |
| 8 | $<30 \mathrm{~cm}$ diameter | Large wood |
| 9 | stable bank, undercut | Small wood |
| 10 | none of the above are applicable | Undercut bank |
|  |  | No cover |

Table 4. Fish species captured during stream surveys in 2007.

| Common Name | Scientific Name | Abbreviation |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| Arctic grayling | Thymallus arcticus | ARGR |
| Burbot | Lota lota | BUBT |
| Bull trout | Salvelinus confluentus | BLTR |
| Dolly Varden char | Salvelinus malma | DVCH |
| Lake chub | Couesius plumbeus | LKCH |
| Longnose sucker | Catostomus catostomus | LNSC |
| Northern pike | Esox lucius | NRPK |
| Slimy sculpin | Cottus cognatus | SLSC |
| Round Whitefish | Prosopium cylindraceum | RNWH |
| Lake Trout | Salvelinus namaycush | LKTR |
|  |  |  |

Table 5. Fish inventory data for all species captured during backpack electrofishing and angling surveys in 2007. Note: CPUE = catch-per-unit-effort.

| Capture location | Site (reach) | Date M/D/Y | Method | Effort (min) | Species | No. of fish | No. of fish released | No. of fish dead-sampled | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE fish/100 } \\ & \min \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Smith Creek Reach } 1 \\ & 63^{\circ} 10.496^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 123^{\circ} 20.246^{\prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 8/24/07 | EF | - | ARGR | 2 | 1 | 1 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  | BRBT | 3 | 1 | 2 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  | SLSC | 9 | 0 | 9 | - |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | 14 | 2 | 12 | - |
| Smith Creek Reach 2 | 2 | 8/24/07 | EF | - | ARGR | 6 | 0 | 6 | - |
| $63^{\circ} 10.893 ' \mathrm{~N}, 123^{\circ} 20.199^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |  |  |  |  | BRBT | 5 | 0 | 5 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  | NRPK | 3 | 3 | 0 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  | SLSC | 27 | 15 | 12 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  | LNSK | 1 | 0 | 1 | - |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | 42 | 18 | 24 | - |
| Hodgson Creek Reach 1 | 3 | 8/23/07 | EF | - | ARGR | 6 | 0 | 6 | - |
| $63^{\circ} 20.337{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 123^{\circ} 25.889^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |  |  |  |  | SLSC | 2 | 0 | 2 | - |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 0 | 8 | - |
| White Sand Creek Reach 1 | 4 | 8/23/07 | EF | - | ARGR | 1 | 0 | 1 | - |
| $63^{\circ} 37.215^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 123^{\circ} 35.840$ ' W |  |  |  |  | SLSC | 5 | 2 | 3 | - |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 2 | 4 | - |
| Canyon Creek Reach 1 | 5 | 8/27/07 | EF | - | ARGR | 9 | 0 | 9 | - |
| $65^{\circ} 15.013 ' \mathrm{~N}, 126^{\circ} 28.876{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |  |  |  |  | SLSC | 53 | 48 | 5 | - |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | 62 | 48 | 14 | - |
| Canyon Creek Reach 2 | 6 | 8/27/07 | EF | - | ARGR | 5 | 0 | 5 | - |
| $65^{\circ} 15.219^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 126^{\circ} 28.258{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |  |  |  |  | SLSC | 50 | 50 | 0 | - |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | 55 | 50 | 5 | - |


| Capture location | Site (reach) | Date M/D/Y | Method | Effort (min) | Species | No. of fish | No. of fish released | No. of fish dead-sampled | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE fish/100 } \\ & \text { min } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jungle Ridge Creek Reach 1 $65^{\circ} 02.887^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 126^{\circ} 01.238^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 7 | 8/28/07 | EF | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| Gibson Creek Reach 1 | 8 | 8/29/07 | EF | - | ARGR | 1 | 0 | 1 | - |
| $65^{\circ} 41.989^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 127^{\circ} 53.719^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |  |  |  |  | NRPK | 1 | 0 | 1 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  | SLSC | 15 | 10 | 5 | - |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | 17 | 10 | 7 | - |
| Jackfish Creek Reach 1 | 9 | 8/29/07 | EF | - | ARGR | 1 | 0 | 1 | - |
| $66^{\circ} 15.607{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 128^{\circ} 36.186^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |  |  |  |  | LKCH | 2 | 0 | 2 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  | LNSC | 2 | 0 | 2 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  | NRPK | 6 | 6 | 0 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  | SLSC | 16 | 16 | 0 | - |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | 27 | 22 | 5 | - |
| Carcajou River Reach 1 | 10 | 09/01/07 | ANG | 120 | BLTR | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.008 |
| $64^{\circ} 42.024^{\prime}$ N, $126^{\circ} 57.895{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |  |  | SL | 495 | - | - | - | - | 0.000 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.002 |
| Carcajou River Reach 2 | 11 | 09/01/07 | ANG | 240 | BLTR | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0.013 |
| $64^{\circ} 37.730^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 127^{\circ} 13.168^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |  |  |  |  | ARGR | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0.008 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 2 | 3 | 0.021 |
| Little Keele River Reach 1 | 12 | 09/01/07 | ANG | 60 | BLTR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 |
| $64^{\circ} 42.566{ }^{\prime}$ N, $126^{\circ} 58.207{ }^{\prime}$ W |  |  | SL | 495 | BLTR | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.002 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.002 |
| Moose Horn River Reach 1 | 13 | 09/01/07 | ANG | 90 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 |
| 63 $36.603 '$ N, $126^{\circ} 50.304^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |  |  | SL | 90 | - | - | - | - | 0.000 |


| Capture location | Site (reach) | Date M/D/Y | Method | Effort (min) | Species | No. of fish | No. of fish released | No. of fish dead-sampled | CPUE fish/100 min |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Moose Horn River Reach 1 - Cont. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 |
| Trout Creek Reach 1 | 14 | 09/01/07 | ANG | 90 | BLTR | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.022 |
| $64^{\circ} 12.514^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 128^{\circ} 15.624^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.022 |
| Unnamed Tributary of the Redstone River <br> Reach 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 63 ${ }^{\circ} 39.001{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 126^{\circ} 23.691{ }^{\text {' W }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.011 |
| Gayna River Reach 1 | 16 | 09/03/07 | ANG | 960 | DVCH | 9 | 6 | 3 | 0.009 |
| $65^{\circ} 17.464{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 129^{\circ} 21.481$ ' W |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | 9 | 6 | 3 | 0.009 |
| Gayna River Reach 2 | 17 | 09/03/07 | ANG | 240 | BLTR | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.004 |
| $65^{\circ} 17.861^{\prime}$ N, $129^{\circ} 21.376{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |  |  |  |  | DVCH | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.004 |
|  |  |  |  |  | ARGR | 24 | 19 | 5 | 0.100 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | 26 | 19 | 7 | 0.108 |
| Elliot Creek Reach 1 | 18 | 08/30/07 | EF | - | LKCH | 5 | 0 | 5 | - |
| $65^{\circ} 31.435^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 127^{\circ} 37.123^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |  |  |  |  | SLSC | 81 | 76 | 5 | - |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | 86 | 76 | 10 | - |
| Elliot Creek Reach 2 | 19 | 08/30/07 | EF | - | LKCH | 4 | 0 | 4 | - |
| $65^{\circ} 31.357 ' \mathrm{~N}, 127^{\circ} 37.090$ W |  |  |  |  | SLSC | 75 | 75 | 0 | - |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | 79 | 75 | 4 | - |


| Capture location | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Site } \\ & \text { (reach) } \end{aligned}$ | Date M/D/Y | Method | Effort (min) | Species | No. of fish | No. of fish released | No. of fish dead-sampled | CPUE fish/100 min |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Natla River (Ram Head Outfitters) | 20 | 08/14/07 | ANG | NA | LKTR | 1 | 1 | 0 | - |
| $62^{\circ} 58.897{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 129^{\circ} 05.595{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 0 | - |
| O'Grady Lake (Right Outlet) | 21 | 08/14/07 | ANG | NA | ARGR | 5 | 5 | 0 | - |
| $62^{\circ} 59.315^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 129^{\circ} 04.033{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 5 | 0 | - |
| O'Grady Lake (Left Outlet) | 22 | 08/14/07 | ANG | 60 | ARGR | 13 | 13 | 0 | 0.217 |
| $62^{\circ} 59.791^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 129^{\circ} 03.676^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |  |  |  |  | LKTR | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0.017 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | 14 | 14 | 0 | 0.233 |
| O'Grady Lake (Upper) | 23 | 08/15/07 | ANG | NA | LKTR | 1 | 1 | 0 | - |
| $62^{\circ} 59.625^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 129^{\circ} 04.712^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 0 | - |
| Natla River (Lower O'Grady L. Outlet) | 24 | 08/16/07 | ANG | 330 | ARGR | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0.027 |
| $62^{\circ} 00.268^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 128^{\circ} 59.680^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |  |  |  |  | BLTR | 9 | 0 | 9 | 0.027 |
|  |  |  |  |  | RNWH | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.003 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | 19 | 9 | 10 | 0.058 |
| Dodo Creek at Salt Flats | 25 | 08/17/07 | NA | NA | SLSC | 1 | 0 | 1 | - |
| $64^{\circ} 51.065^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 127^{\circ} 14.474^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 1 | - |

Table 6. Biological data for both live- and dead-sampled lake chub, northern pike, slimy sculpin, and longnose sucker captured in streams during the summer 2007. Note: Fish Fate - DS = dead sampled, LR = live release. Total numbers (No.) of individuals equals 176.

| Location | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Site } \\ & \text { (reach) } \end{aligned}$ | Date M/D/Y | No. | Species | FL (mm) | Wt (g) | Fish Fate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Smith Creek Reach 1 | 1 | 08/24/07 | 1 | SLSC | 81 | 6.7 | DS |
| $63^{\circ} 10.496^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 123^{\circ} 20.246^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |  |  | 2 | SLSC | 61 | 2.2 | DS |
|  |  |  | 3 | SLSC | 67 | 3.3 | DS |
|  |  |  | 4 | SLSC | 62 | 2.7 | DS |
|  |  |  | 5 | SLSC | 67 | 3.6 | DS |
|  |  |  | 6 | SLSC | 59 | 2.3 | DS |
|  |  |  | 7 | SLSC | 63 | 2.3 | DS |
|  |  |  | 8 | SLSC | 52 | 1.5 | DS |
|  |  |  | 9 | SLSC | 37 | 0.4 | DS |
| Smith Creek Reach 2 | 2 | 08/24/07 | 10 | NRPK | 164 | - | LR |
| $63^{\circ} 10.893^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 123^{\circ} 20.199^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |  |  | 11 | NRPK | 148 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 12 | NRPK | 160 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 13 | SLSC | 65 | 2.9 | DS |
|  |  |  | 14 | SLSC | 61 | 2.5 | DS |
|  |  |  | 15 | SLSC | 77 | 6.0 | DS |
|  |  |  | 16 | SLSC | 57 | 1.7 | DS |
|  |  |  | 17 | SLSC | 46 | 1.0 | DS |
|  |  |  | 18 | SLSC | 73 | 4.9 | DS |
|  |  |  | 19 | SLSC | 75 | 5.0 | DS |
|  |  |  | 20 | SLSC | 56 | 2.1 | DS |
|  |  |  | 21 | SLSC | 48 | 1.2 | DS |
|  |  |  | 22 | SLSC | 48 | 1.3 | DS |
|  |  |  | 23 | SLSC | 65 | 3.6 | DS |
|  |  |  | 24 | SLSC | 80 | 6.5 | DS |
|  |  |  | 25 | SLSC | 51 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 26 | SLSC | 75 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 27 | SLSC | 65 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 28 | SLSC | 61 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 29 | SLSC | 71 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 30 | SLSC | 51 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 31 | SLSC | 55 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 32 | SLSC | 55 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 33 | SLSC | 55 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 34 | SLSC | 56 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 35 | SLSC | 46 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 36 | SLSC | 47 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 37 | SLSC | 40 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 38 | SLSC | 45 | - | LR |


| Location | Site (reach) | Date M/D/Y | No. | Species | FL (mm) | Wt (g) | Fish Fate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Smith Creek Reach 2 |  |  | 39 | SLSC | 80 | - | LR |
| (continued). |  |  | 40 | LNSK | 69 | 3.4 | DS |
| Hodgson Creek Reach 1 | 3 | 08/23/07 | 41 | SLSC | 52 | 1.0 | DS |
| $63^{\circ} 20.337^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 123^{\circ} 25.889^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |  |  | 42 | SLSC | 67 | 3.0 | DS |
| White Sand Creek Reach 1 | 4 | 08/23/07 | 43 | SLSC | 61 | 2.1 | DS |
| $63^{\circ} 37.215^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 123^{\circ} 35.840^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |  |  | 44 | SLSC | 51 | 1.1 | DS |
|  |  |  | 45 | SLSC | 50 | 1.1 | DS |
|  |  |  | 46 | SLSC | 63 | 2.1 | LR |
|  |  |  | 47 | SLSC | 52 | 1.9 | LR |
| Canyon Creek Reach 1 | 5 | 08/27/07 | 48 | SLSC | 72 | - | LR |
| $65^{\circ} 15.013^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 126^{\circ} 28.876^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |  |  | 49 | SLSC | 60 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 50 | SLSC | 67 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 51 | SLSC | 49 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 52 | SLSC | 42 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 53 | SLSC | 64 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 54 | SLSC | 68 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 55 | SLSC | 53 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 56 | SLSC | 46 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 57 | SLSC | 62 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 58 | SLSC | 64 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 59 | SLSC | 64 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 60 | SLSC | 64 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 61 | SLSC | 66 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 62 | SLSC | 63 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 63 | SLSC | 58 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 64 | SLSC | 58 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 65 | SLSC | 50 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 66 | SLSC | 48 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 67 | SLSC | 60 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 68 | SLSC | 52 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 69 | SLSC | 64 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 70 | SLSC | 66 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 71 | SLSC | 62 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 72 | SLSC | 62 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 73 | SLSC | 62 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 74 | SLSC | 50 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 75 | SLSC | 53 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 76 | SLSC | 62 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 77 | SLSC | 55 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 78 | SLSC | 67 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 79 | SLSC | 52 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 80 | SLSC | 62 | - | LR |


| Location | Site (reach) | Date M/D/Y | No. | Species | FL (mm) | Wt (g) | Fish Fate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Canyon Creek Reach 1 |  |  | 81 | SLSC | 58 | - | LR |
| (continued). |  |  | 82 | SLSC | 57 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 83 | SLSC | 68 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 84 | SLSC | 45 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 85 | SLSC | 68 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 86 | SLSC | 64 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 87 | SLSC | 27 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 88 | SLSC | 61 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 89 | SLSC | 43 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 90 | SLSC | 69 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 91 | SLSC | 60 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 92 | SLSC | 52 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 93 | SLSC | 54 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 94 | SLSC | 58 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 95 | SLSC | 55 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 96 | SLSC | 67 | 2.6 | DS |
|  |  |  | 97 | SLSC | 66 | 2.5 | DS |
|  |  |  | 98 | SLSC | 59 | 1.5 | DS |
|  |  |  | 99 | SLSC | 63 | 2.1 | DS |
|  |  |  | 100 | SLSC | 42 | 0.6 | DS |
| Canyon Creek Reach 2 | 6 | 08/27/07 | 101 | SLSC | - | - | LR |
| $65^{\circ} 15.219^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 126^{\circ} 28.258{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gibson Creek Reach 1 | 8 | 08/29/07 | 102 | NRPK | 316 | - | LR |
| $65^{\circ} 41.989 ' \mathrm{~N}, 127^{\circ} 53.719^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |  |  | 103 | SLSC | 50 | 1.2 | DS |
|  |  |  | 104 | SLSC | 39 | 0.6 | DS |
|  |  |  | 105 | SLSC | 59 | 1.5 | DS |
|  |  |  | 106 | SLSC | 57 | 1.7 | DS |
|  |  |  | 107 | SLSC | 55 | 1.6 | DS |
|  |  |  | 108 | SLSC | - | - | LR |
| Jackfish Creek Reach 1 | 9 | 08/29/07 | 109 | LKCH | 93 | 7.4 | DS |
| $66^{\circ} 15.607^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 128^{\circ} 36.186^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |  |  | 110 | LKCH | 94 | 9.2 | DS |
|  |  |  | 111 | LNSC | 80 | 4.2 | DS |
|  |  |  | 112 | LNSC | 72 | 3.3 | DS |
|  |  |  | 113 | NRPK | 139 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 114 | NRPK | 116 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 115 | NRPK | 104 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 116 | NRPK | 138 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 117 | NRPK | 134 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 118 | NRPK | 106 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 119 | SLSC | 82 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 120 | SLSC | 84 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 121 | SLSC | 81 | - | LR |


| Location | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Site } \\ & \text { (reach) } \end{aligned}$ | Date M/D/Y | No. | Species | FL (mm) | Wt (g) | Fish Fate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jackfish Creek Reach 1 |  |  | 122 | SLSC | 46 | - | LR |
| (continued). |  |  | 123 | SLSC | 45 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 124 | SLSC | 44 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 125 | SLSC | 43 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 126 | SLSC | 45 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 127 | SLSC | 41 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 128 | SLSC | 42 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 129 | SLSC | 40 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 130 | SLSC | 39 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 131 | SLSC | 43 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 132 | SLSC | 44 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 133 | SLSC | 43 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 134 | SLSC | 71 | - | LR |
| Elliot Creek Reach 1 | 18 | 08/30/07 | 135 | LKCH | 84 | 8.4 | DS |
| $65^{\circ} 31.435{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 127^{\circ} 37.123^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |  |  | 136 | LKCH | 88 | 8.5 | DS |
|  |  |  | 137 | LKCH | 76 | 6.1 | DS |
|  |  |  | 138 | LKCH | 65 | 3.2 | DS |
|  |  |  | 139 | LKCH | 80 | 5.7 | DS |
|  |  |  | 140 | SLSC | 60 | 1.9 | DS |
|  |  |  | 141 | SLSC | 34 | 0.3 | DS |
|  |  |  | 142 | SLSC | 73 | 4.4 | DS |
|  |  |  | 143 | SLSC | 74 | 3.8 | DS |
|  |  |  | 144 | SLSC | 51 | 1.2 | DS |
|  |  |  | 145 | SLSC | 43 | 0.6 | LR |
|  |  |  | 146 | SLSC | 60 | 2.7 | LR |
|  |  |  | 147 | SLSC | 49 | 1.0 | LR |
|  |  |  | 148 | SLSC | 47 | 0.8 | LR |
|  |  |  | 149 | SLSC | 55 | 1.4 | LR |
|  |  |  | 150 | SLSC | 68 | 2.7 | LR |
|  |  |  | 151 | SLSC | 44 | 0.8 | LR |
|  |  |  | 152 | SLSC | 60 | 2.1 | LR |
|  |  |  | 153 | SLSC | 65 | 2.9 | LR |
|  |  |  | 154 | SLSC | 54 | 1.1 | LR |
|  |  |  | 155 | SLSC | 50 | 1.2 | LR |
|  |  |  | 156 | SLSC | 72 | 3.1 | LR |
|  |  |  | 157 | SLSC | 50 | 1.1 | LR |
|  |  |  | 158 | SLSC | 36 | 0.5 | LR |
|  |  |  | 159 | SLSC | 49 | 1.1 | LR |
|  |  |  | 160 | SLSC | 55 | 1.5 | LR |
|  |  |  | 161 | SLSC | 70 | 2.3 | LR |
|  |  |  | 162 | SLSC | 50 | 1.0 | LR |
|  |  |  | 163 | SLSC | 40 | 0.5 | LR |
|  |  |  | 164 | SLSC | 63 | 2.9 | LR |
|  |  |  | 165 | SLSC | 62 | 2.1 | LR |


| Location | Site (reach) | Date M/D/Y | No. | Species | FL (mm) | Wt (g) | Fish Fate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Elliot Creek Reach 1 |  |  | 166 | SLSC | 43 | 0.6 | LR |
| (continued). |  |  | 167 | SLSC | 54 | 1.4 | LR |
|  |  |  | 168 | SLSC | 46 | 0.8 | LR |
|  |  |  | 169 | SLSC | 41 | 0.6 | LR |
| Elliot Creek Reach 2 | 19 | 08/30/07 | 170 | LKCH | 81 | 6.5 | DS |
| $65^{\circ} 31.357{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 127^{\circ} 37.090{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |  |  | 171 | LKCH | 69 | 3.8 | DS |
|  |  |  | 172 | LKCH | 79 | 4.9 | DS |
|  |  |  | 173 | LKCH | 81 | 6.9 | DS |
|  |  |  | 174 | SLSC | 75 | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 175 | SLSC | 13 | - | LR |
| Dodo Creek at Salt Flats | 22 | 08/17/07 | 176 | SLSC | 51 | 0.9 | DS |
| $64^{\circ} 51.065^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 127^{\circ} 14.474^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 7. Biological data collected during electrofishing surveys from both live- and dead-sampled Arctic grayling, burbot, bull trout, and Dolly Varden captured in streams during the summer 2007. Notes: 1. Five digit codes (e.g., 47257) are ID numbers assigned to dead-sampled fish at the Department of Fisheries and Oceans, Wpg, 2. Maturity (see methods for codes), 3. A = adult, J = Juvenile, YOY = young-of-the-year, 4. DS = dead-sampled, LR = live release. Total numbers (No.) of individuals equals 125.

| Location | Site No. | Date M/D/Y | No. | Fish ID ${ }^{1}$ | Species | FL (mm) | Wt (g) | Sex | Mat. ${ }^{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Age } \\ & (\mathrm{yr}+\text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Life } \\ \text { Stage }^{3} \end{gathered}$ | Fish Fate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Smith Creek Reach 1 | 1 | 08/24/07 | 1 | - | ARGR | 201 | - | - | - | - | - | LR |
| $63^{\circ} 10.496^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 123^{\circ} 20.246^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |  |  | 2 | - | BRBT | 315 | - | - | - | - | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 3 | - | ARGR | 139 | 26.5 | - | - | 2 | J | DS |
|  |  |  | 4 | - | BRBT | 145 | 19.6 | - | - | 2 | J | DS |
|  |  |  | 5 | - | BRBT | 68 | 2.1 | - | - | 1 | J | DS |
| Smith Creek Reach 2 | 2 | 08/24/07 | 6 | - | ARGR | 166 | 52.6 | - | - | 4 | J | DS |
| $63^{\circ} 10.893^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 123^{\circ} 20.199^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |  |  | 7 | - | ARGR | 78 | 4.8 | - | - | 1 | J | DS |
|  |  |  | 8 | - | ARGR | 54 | 1.4 | - | - | 1 | J | DS |
|  |  |  | 9 | - | ARGR | 53 | 1.5 | - | - | 1 | J | DS |
|  |  |  | 10 | - | BRBT | 139 | 13.8 | - | - | 2 | J | DS |
|  |  |  | 11 | - | BRBT | 116 | 9.5 | - | - | 2 | J | DS |
|  |  |  | 12 | - | ARGR | 79 | 4.7 | - | - | 1 | J | DS |
|  |  |  | 13 | - | ARGR | 53 | 1.5 | - | - | - | J | DS |
|  |  |  | 14 | - | BRBT | 176 | 29.4 | - | - | 4 | - | DS |
|  |  |  | 15 | - | BRBT | 109 | 7.2 | - | - | 2 | J | DS |
|  |  |  | 16 | - | BRBT | 109 | 6.3 | - | - | 2 | J | DS |
| Hodgson Creek Reach 1 | 3 | 08/23/07 | 17 | - | ARGR | 65 | 3.3 | - | - | 1 | J | DS |
| $63^{\circ} 20.337^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 123^{\circ} 25.889^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |  |  | 18 | - | ARGR | 145 | 36.5 | - | - | 3 | J | DS |
|  |  |  | 19 | - | ARGR | 146 | 36.1 | - | - | 3 | J | DS |
|  |  |  | 20 | - | ARGR | 125 | 21.9 | - | - | 3 | J | DS |
|  |  |  | 21 | - | ARGR | 150 | 38.7 | - | - | 4 | - | DS |
|  |  |  | 22 | - | ARGR | 131 | 26.5 | - | - | 4 | - | DS |


| Location | Site No. | Date M/D/Y | No. | Fish ID ${ }^{1}$ | Species | FL (mm) | Wt (g) | Sex | Mat. ${ }^{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Age } \\ (\mathrm{yr}+) \end{gathered}$ | Life Stage ${ }^{3}$ | Fish Fate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| White Sand Creek Reach 1 | 4 | 08/23/07 | 23 | - | ARGR | 208 | 85.6 | - | - | 4 | - | DS |
| $63^{\circ} 37.215^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 123^{\circ} 35.840{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canyon Creek Reach 1 | 5 | 08/27/07 | 24 | - | ARGR | 82 | 4.7 | - | - | 1 | J | DS |
| $65^{\circ} 15.013{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 126^{\circ} 28.876{ }^{\text {' W }}$ |  |  | 25 | - | ARGR | 76 | 3.2 | - | - | 1 | J | DS |
|  |  |  | 26 | - | ARGR | 74 | 3.4 | - | - | 1 | J | DS |
|  |  |  | 27 | - | ARGR | 72 | 3.1 | - | - | 1 | J | DS |
|  |  |  | 28 | - | ARGR | 63 | 1.8 | - | - | 0 | - | DS |
|  |  |  | 29 | - | ARGR | 116 | 14.8 | - | - | 3 | J | DS |
|  |  |  | 30 | - | ARGR | 104 | 10.0 | - | - | 1 | J | DS |
|  |  |  | 31 | - | ARGR | 133 | 23.5 | - | - | 2 | J | DS |
|  |  |  | 32 | - | ARGR | 152 | 34.5 | - | - | 4 | - | DS |
| Canyon Creek Reach 2 | 6 | 08/27/07 | 33 | - | ARGR | 72 | 3.5 | - | - | 1 | J | DS |
| $65^{\circ} 15.219^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 126^{\circ} 28.258^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |  |  | 34 | - | ARGR | 167 | 48.8 | - | - | 4 | - | DS |
|  |  |  | 35 | - | ARGR | 68 | 2.6 | - | - | - | - | DS |
|  |  |  | 36 | - | ARGR | 75 | 3.9 | - | - | 1 | J | DS |
|  |  |  | 37 | - | ARGR | 87 | 5.8 | - | - | 1 | J | DS |
| Gibson Creek Reach 1 | 8 | 08/29/07 | 38 | - | ARGR | 60 | 1.8 | - | - | 1 | J | DS |
| $65^{\circ} 41.989^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 127^{\circ} 53.719^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jackfish Creek Reach 1 | 9 | 08/29/07 | 39 | - | ARGR | 87 | 6.1 | - | - | 1 | J | DS |
| 66 ${ }^{\circ} 15.607{ }^{\prime}$ N, $128^{\circ} 36.186^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Carcajou River Reach 1 | 10 | 09/01/2007 | 40 | 51187 | BLTR | 588 | 1810.0 | M | 06 | 14 | - | DS |
| $64^{\circ} 42.024^{\prime}$ N, $126^{\circ} 57.895{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Carcajou River Reach 2 | 11 | 09/01/2007 | 41 | 51183 | BLTR | 449 | 1070.0 | F | 01 | 6 | J | DS |
| $64^{\circ} 37.730^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 127^{\circ} 13.168^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |  |  | 42 | 51184 | BLTR | 495 | 1180.0 | M | 06 | 10 | - | DS |


| Location | Site No. | Date M/D/Y | No. | Fish ID ${ }^{1}$ | Species | FL (mm) | Wt (g) | Sex | Mat. ${ }^{2}$ | Age $(\mathrm{yr}+)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Life } \\ \text { Stage }^{3} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Fish Fate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carcajou River Reach 2 |  |  | 43 | 51185 | BLTR | 547 | 1560.0 | M | 06 | 13 | - | DS |
| (continued). |  |  | 44 | - | ARGR | 392 | - | - | - | - | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 45 | - | ARGR | 385 | - | - | - | - | - | LR |
| Little Keele River Reach 1 | 12 | 09/01/2007 | 46 | 51186 | BLTR | 573 | 1670.0 | M | 06 | 12 | - | DS |
| $64^{\circ} 42.566^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 126^{\circ} 58.207^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trout Creek Reach 1 | 14 | 09/01/2007 | 47 | 51190 | BLTR | 546 | 1630.0 | F | 05 | 14 | A | DS |
| $64^{\circ} 11.691^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 126^{\circ} 14.285{ }^{\text {' W }}$ |  |  | 48 | 51192 | BLTR | 392 | 780.0 | M | 06 | 9 | - | DS |
| Unnamed Tributary - Redstone River | 15 | 09/01/2007 | 49 | 51188 | BLTR | 549 | 1740.0 | M | 10 | 16 | A | DS |
| $63^{\circ} 39.001{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 126^{\circ} 23.691^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |  |  | 50 | 51189 | BLTR | 576 | 1770.0 | - | - | 16 | A | DS |
| Gayna River Reach 1 | 16 | 09/03/2007 | 51 | 51195 | DVCH | 288 | 230.0 | F | 02 | 7 | A | DS |
| $65^{\circ} 17.464^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 129^{\circ} 21.481^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |  |  | 52 | 51194 | DVCH | 224 | 130.0 | M | 06 | 4 | J | DS |
|  |  |  | 53 | 51193 | DVCH | 208 | 90.0 | M | 06 | 4 | J | DS |
|  |  |  | 54 | 2473 | DVCH | 302 | - | - | - | - | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 55 | 2472 | DVCH | 250 | - | - | - | - | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 56 | 2471 | DVCH | 263 | - | - | - | - | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 57 | 2470 | DVCH | 267 | - | - | - | - | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 58 | 2469 | DVCH | 240 | - | - | - | - | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 59 | 2467 | DVCH | 222 | - | - | - | - | - | LR |
| Gayna River Reach 2 | 17 | 09/03/2007 | 60 | 51191 | BLTR | 510 | 1340.0 | F | 01 | 11 | - | DS |
| $65^{\circ} 17.861^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 129^{\circ} 21.376{ }^{\text {' W }}$ |  |  | 61 | - | ARGR | 310 | 340.0 | - | - | 11 | A | DS |
|  |  |  | 62 | - | ARGR | 234 | 140.0 | - | - | 5 | A | DS |
|  |  |  | 63 | - | ARGR | 349 | 500.0 | - | - | 8 | A | DS |
|  |  |  | 64 | - | ARGR | 228 | 130.0 | - | - | 5 | A | DS |
|  |  |  | 65 | - | ARGR | 308 | 340.0 | - | - | 10 | A | DS |
|  |  |  | 66 | 2466 | ARGR | 360 | - | - | - | - | A | LR |
|  |  |  | 67 | 2465 | ARGR | 288 | - | - | - | - | A | LR |


| Location | Site No. | Date M/D/Y | No. | Fish ID ${ }^{1}$ | Species | FL (mm) | Wt (g) | Sex | Mat. ${ }^{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Age } \\ (\mathrm{yr}+) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Life } \\ \text { Stage }^{3} \end{gathered}$ | Fish Fate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gayna River Reach 2 |  |  | 68 | 2464 | ARGR | 230 | - | - | - | - | A | LR |
| (continued). |  |  | 69 | 2463 | ARGR | 275 | - | - | - | - | A | LR |
|  |  |  | 70 | 2462 | ARGR | 403 | - | - | - | - | A | LR |
|  |  |  | 71 | 2461 | ARGR | 234 | - | - | - | - | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 72 | 2460 | ARGR | 341 | - | - | - | - | A | LR |
|  |  |  | 73 | 2459 | ARGR | 256 | - | - | - | - | A | LR |
|  |  |  | 74 | 2458 | ARGR | 334 | - | - | - | - | A | LR |
|  |  |  | 75 | 2457 | ARGR | 345 | - | - | - | - | A | LR |
|  |  |  | 76 | 2456 | ARGR | 343 | - | - | - | - | A | LR |
|  |  |  | 77 | 2455 | ARGR | 343 | - | - | - | - | A | LR |
|  |  |  | 78 | 2454 | ARGR | 234 | - | - | - | - | A | LR |
|  |  |  | 79 | 2453 | ARGR | 374 | - | - | - | - | A | LR |
|  |  |  | 80 | 2452 | ARGR | 338 | - | - | - | - | A | LR |
|  |  |  | 81 | 2476 | ARGR | 294 | - | - | - | - | A | LR |
|  |  |  | 82 | 2477 | ARGR | 222 | - | - | - | - | A | LR |
|  |  |  | 83 | 2478 | ARGR | 218 | - | - | - | - | A | LR |
|  |  |  | 84 | 2480 | ARGR | 222 | - | - | - | - | A | LR |
|  |  |  | 85 | 51196 | DVCH | 352 | 490.0 | M | 07 | 8 | A | DS |
| Natla River (Ram Head Outfitters) | 20 | 08/14/07 | 86 | - | LKTR | 492 | - | - | - | - | - | LR |
| $62^{\circ} 00.268^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 128^{\circ} 59.680^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| O'Grady Lake (Right Outlet) | 21 | 08/14/07 | 87 | - | ARGR | - | - | - | - | - | - | LR |
| $62^{\circ} 59.315^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 129^{\circ} 04.033^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |  |  | 88 | - | ARGR | 370 | - | - | - | - | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 89 | - | ARGR | 385 | - | - | - | - | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 90 | - | ARGR | 345 | - | - | - | - | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 91 | - | ARGR | 415 | - | - | - | - | - | LR |
| O'Grady Lake (Left Outlet) | 22 | 08/14/07 | 92 | - | ARGR | - | - | - | - | - | - | LR |
| $62^{\circ} 59.791^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 129^{\circ} 03.676{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |  |  | 93 | - | ARGR | - | - | - | - | - | - | LR |
|  |  |  | 94 | - | ARGR | - | - | - | - | - | - | LR |


| Location | Site No. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Date } \\ \text { M/D/Y } \end{gathered}$ | No. | Fish ID ${ }^{1}$ | Species | FL (mm) | Wt (g) | Sex | Mat. ${ }^{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Age } \\ (\mathrm{yr}+\text { ) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Life } \\ \text { Stage }^{3} \end{gathered}$ | Fish Fate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O'Grady Lake (Left Outlet) |  |  | 95 | - | ARGR | - | - | - | - | - | - | LR |
| (continued). |  |  | 96 | - | ARGR | 340 | - | - | - | - | A | LR |
|  |  |  | 97 | - | ARGR | 310 | - | - | - | - | A | LR |
|  |  |  | 98 | - | ARGR | 280 | - | - | - | - | A | LR |
|  |  |  | 99 | - | ARGR | 310 | - | - | - | - | A | LR |
|  |  |  | 100 | - | ARGR | 380 | - | - | - | - | A | LR |
|  |  |  | 101 | - | ARGR | 310 | - | - | - | - | A | LR |
|  |  |  | 102 | - | ARGR | 350 | - | - | - | - | A | LR |
|  |  |  | 103 | - | ARGR | 340 | - | - | - | - | A | LR |
|  |  |  | 104 | - | ARGR | 350 | - | - | - | - | A | LR |
|  |  |  | 105 | - | LKTR | - | - | - | - | - | - | LR |
| O'Grady Lake (Upper) | 23 | 08/15/07 | 106 | - | LKTR | 450 | - | - | - | - | - | LR |
| $62^{\circ} 59.625^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 129^{\circ} 04.712^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natla River (Lower Outlet, O'Grady L) | 24 | 08/16/07 | 107 | - | ARGR | 345 | - | - | - | - | A | LR |
| $63^{\circ} 00.268^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 128^{\circ} 59.680^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |  |  | 108 | - | ARGR | 375 | - | - | - | - | A | LR |
|  |  |  | 109 | - | ARGR | 375 | - | - | - | - | A | LR |
|  |  |  | 110 | - | ARGR | 356 | - | - | - | - | A | LR |
|  |  |  | 111 | - | ARGR | 324 | - | - | - | - | A | LR |
|  |  |  | 112 | - | ARGR | 360 | - | - | - | - | A | LR |
|  |  |  | 113 | - | ARGR | 374 | - | - | - | - | A | LR |
|  |  |  | 114 | - | ARGR | 355 | - | - | - | - | A | LR |
|  |  |  | 115 | - | ARGR | 358 | - | - | - | - | A | LR |
|  |  |  | 116 | 51181 | BLTR | 522 | 1390.0 | F | 05 | 13 | A | DS |
|  |  |  | 117 | 51177 | BLTR | 405 | 660.0 | M | 06 | 9 | - | DS |
|  |  |  | 118 | 51178 | BLTR | 688 | 3420.0 | M | 10 | 18 | A | DS |
|  |  |  | 119 | 51179 | BLTR | 687 | 4050.0 | M | 10 | 15 | A | DS |
|  |  |  | 120 | 51182 | BLTR | 785 | 5080.0 | M | 10 | 17 | A | DS |
|  |  |  | 121 | 51175 | BLTR | 475 | 1430.0 | M | 06 | 8 | - | DS |
|  |  |  | 122 | 51176 | BLTR | 424 | 890.0 | - | - | 9 | - | DS |


| Location | Site No. | Date M/D/Y | No. | Fish ID ${ }^{1}$ | Species | FL (mm) | Wt (g) | Sex | Mat. ${ }^{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Age } \\ (\mathrm{yr}+) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Life } \\ \text { Stage }^{3} \end{gathered}$ | Fish Fate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Natla River (Lower Outlet, O'Grady L) (continued). |  |  | 123 | 8 | BLTR | 321 | 280.0 | F | 01 | 6 | - | DS |
|  |  |  | 124 | 51180 | BLTR | 271 | 120.0 | M | 06 | 6 | - | DS |
|  |  |  | 125 | 7 | RNWH | 370 | 620.0 | - | - | - | - | DS |

Table 8. Qualitative, quantitative, and genetic identification of char dead-sampled from the Mackenzie Mountains in 2007. Notes: 1. LDF = linear discriminant function (Haas and McPhail 1991), 2. qualitative identification (ID) based on examination of external morphological features, 3. mitochondrial DNA, 4. growth hormone DNA, 5. ribosomal DNA.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fish } \\ & \text { ID } \\ & \text { code } \end{aligned}$ | Location | Standard length (mm) | Upper jaw length (mm) | Anal Ray Count |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LDF }^{1} \\ & \text { score } \end{aligned}$ | Age ( $\mathrm{yr}+$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Qualitative }^{2} \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{Mt}^{3}$ <br> DNA <br> ID | $\mathrm{GH}^{4}$ <br> DNA <br> ID | $\begin{aligned} & \text { rDNA }{ }^{5} \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | Final ID |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | O'Grady Lake | 297 | 39.2 | 10 | 26 | 1.2584 | - | BLTR | BLTR | BLTR | BLTR | BLTR |
| 51175 | O'Grady Lake | 432 | 65.2 | 9 | 24 | 0.5290 | 8 | BLTR | BLTR | BLTR | BLTR | BLTR |
| 51176 | O'Grady Lake | 385 | 60.4 | 9 | 24 | 0.7513 | 9 | BLTR | BLTR | BLTR | BLTR | BLTR |
| 51177 | O'Grady Lake | 367 | 55.6 | 10 | 28 | 3.2444 | 9 | BLTR | BLTR | BLTR | BLTR | BLTR |
| 51178 | O'Grady Lake | 623 | 108.5 | 10 | 25 | 2.2028 | 18 | BLTR | BLTR | BLTR | BLTR | BLTR |
| 51179 | O'Grady Lake | 628 | 100.3 | 11 | 27 | 3.0999 | 15 | BLTR | BLTR | BLTR | BLTR | BLTR |
| 51180 | O'Grady Lake | 244 | 35.0 | 9 | 25 | 0.8788 | 6 | BLTR | BLTR | BLTR | BLTR | BLTR |
| 51181 | O'Grady Lake | 471 | 66.0 | 9 | 26 | 1.3842 | 13 | BLTR | BLTR | BLTR | BLTR | BLTR |
| 51182 | O'Grady Lake | 704 | 114.4 | 10 | 25 | 1.7679 | 17 | BLTR | BLTR | BLTR | BLTR | BLTR |
| 51183 | Carcajou River | 412 | 60.5 | 9 | 27 | 2.2638 | 6 | BLTR | BLTR | BLTR | BLTR | BLTR |
| 51184 | Carcajou River | 444 | 66.4 | 9 | 26 | 1.7357 | 10 | BLTR | BLTR | BLTR | BLTR | BLTR |
| 51185 | Carcajou River | 491 | 76.6 | 10 | 26 | 2.1547 | 13 | BLTR | BLTR | BLTR | BLTR | BLTR |
| 51186 | Little Keele River | 517 | 79.8 | 10 | 27 | 2.7219 | 12 | BLTR | BLTR | BLTR | BLTR | BLTR |
| 51187 | Carcajou River | 527 | 83.8 | 10 | 27 | 2.8958 | 14 | BLTR | BLTR | BLTR | BLTR | BLTR |
| 51188 | Tributary of Redstone R. | 502 | 84.1 | 10 | 27 | 3.2135 | 16 | BLTR | BLTR | BLTR | BLTR | BLTR |
| 51189 | Tributary of Redstone R. | 517 | 85.9 | 9 | 26 | 2.3551 | 16 | BLTR | BLTR | BLTR | BLTR | BLTR |
| 51190 | Trout Creek | 488 | 76.0 | 10 | 25 | 1.5156 | 14 | BLTR | BLTR | BLTR | BLTR | BLTR |
| 51191 | Gayna River - Reach 2 | 457 | 67.9 | 9 | 26 | 1.6994 | 11 | BLTR | BLTR | BLTR | BLTR | BLTR |
| 51192 | Trout Creek | 358 | 52.1 | 10 | 25 | 1.1348 | 9 | BLTR | BLTR | BLTR | BLTR | BLTR |
| 51193 | Gayna River - Reach 1 | 188 | 16.1 | 11 | 20 | -4.0668 | 4 | DVCH | DVCH | DVCH | DVCH | DVCH |
| 51194 | Gayna River - Reach 1 | 201 | 22.7 | 10 | 22 | -1.9684 | 4 | DVCH | DVCH | DVCH | DVCH | DVCH |
| 51195 | Gayna River - Reach 1 | 261 | 29.8 | 10 | 22 | -1.9221 | 7 | DVCH | DVCH | DVCH | DVCH | DVCH |
| 51196 | Gayna River - Reach 2 | 316 | 51.6 | 10 | 21 | -0.7186 | 8 | DVCH | DVCH | DVCH | DVCH | DVCH |

Table 9. Physical habitat characteristics of study locations where habitat use was measured during the summer 2007.
Notes: 1 . Depth and velocities are mean values with ranges in parentheses, 2. Substrate and cover codes are described in methods, 3.
Stream order is based on the Strahler system (Gallagher 1999) from a 1:50 000 scale map.

| Location | Site (reach) | Latitude <br> (N) | Longitude (W) | Stream order | Avg. wetted width (m) | Avg. temp ( $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Month | $\begin{gathered} \text { DO } \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{gathered}$ | Elevation <br> (m) (map scale 1:50 000) | Depth (range) cm | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Velocity } \\ & \text { (range) } m \cdot s-1 \end{aligned}$ | Dominant substrate | Dominant cover |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Smith Creek | 1 | $63^{\circ} 10.496{ }^{\prime}$ | $123^{\circ} 20.246^{\prime}$ | 4 | 5 | 13.3 | August | 10.0 | 450 | 25.0 (6.0-90.0) | 0.25(0.01-0.9) | 4 | 6 |
| Smith Creek | 2 | $63^{\circ} 10.893^{\prime}$ | $123^{\circ} 20.199^{\prime}$ | 4 | 7 | 10.2 | August | 9.8 | 500 | 28.2 (0.04-0.72) | 0.18(0.01-0.93) | 3 | 5 |
| Hodgson Creek | 3 | $63^{\circ} 20.337^{\prime}$ | $123^{\circ} 25.889^{\prime}$ | 2 | 3 | 9.6 | August | 10.9 | 1000 | 11.8 (2.0-28.0) | 0.27(0.0-0.83) | 3 | 6 |
| White Sand Creek | 4 | $63^{\circ} 37.215^{\prime}$ | $123^{\circ} 35.840^{\prime}$ | 4 | 6 | 9.9 | August | 10.9 | 1750 | 24.5 (0.06-1.18) | 0.31(0.0-0.96) | 4 | 6 |
| Canyon Creek | 5 | $65^{\circ} 15.013^{\prime}$ | $126^{\circ} 28.876$ | 3 | 4 | 7.1 | August | 12.2 | 600 | 11.0 (4.0-65) | 0.24(0.01-0.75) | 3 | 5 |
| Canyon Creek | 6 | $65^{\circ} 15.219^{\prime}$ | $126^{\circ} 28.258^{\prime}$ | 3 | 4 | 6.1 | August | 12.6 | 700 | 10.7 (3.0-31.0) | 0.31(0.01-0.92) | 3 | 5 |
| Jungle Ridge Creek | 7 | $65^{\circ} 02.887^{\prime}$ | $126^{\circ} 01.238{ }^{\prime}$ | 2 | 5 | 10.5 | August | 10.6 | 400 | 22.6 (1.0-59.0) | 0.13(0.01-0.65) | 4 | 6 |
| Gibson Creek | 8 | $65^{\circ} 41.989^{\prime}$ | $127^{\circ} 53.719^{\prime}$ | 2 | 3 | 6.0 | August | - | 350 | 44.2 (8.0-100.0) | 0.11(0.01-0.45) | 1 | 8 |
| Little Keele River | 12 | $64^{\circ} 42.566{ }^{\prime}$ | $126^{\circ} 58.207{ }^{\prime}$ | 4 | - | 7.2 | September | 11.2 | 1378 | - | - | - | - |
| Gayna River | 16 | $65^{\circ} 17.464^{\prime}$ | $129^{\circ} 21.481 '$ | 1 | - | 6.7 | September | 12.2 | 984 | - | - | - | - |
| Gayna River | 17 | $65^{\circ} 17.861{ }^{\prime}$ | $129^{\circ} 21.376{ }^{\prime}$ | 1 | - | 7.7 | September | 11.7 | 919 | - | - | - | - |
| Elliot Creek | 18 | $65^{\circ} 31.435^{\prime}$ | $127^{\circ} 37.123^{\prime}$ | 3 | 5 | 6.5 | August | - | 200 | 14.2 (3.0-56.0) | 0.21(0.01-0.66) | 3 | 5 |
| Elliot Creek | 19 | $65^{\circ} 31.357{ }^{\prime}$ | $127^{\circ} 37.090^{\prime}$ | 3 | 5 | - | August | - | 200 | 15.2 (4.0-60.0) | 0.20 (0.01-0.58) | 3 | 5 |



Figure 1. Sampling locations (circles) where stream surveys were completed in 2007. The dashed line shows the proposed Mackenzie Gas Pipeline route, dashed arrows indicate flow direction, and not all drainages are shown. Numbers correspond to survey locations which have data presented in tables.

