

Catalogue no. 75-001-X

# PERSPECTIVES

## ON LABOUR AND INCOME

**April 2010**

Vol. 11, No. 4

- Labour market review 2009
- Job-education match and mismatch: Wage differentials



Statistics  
Canada

Statistique  
Canada

Canada

## At Your Service...

### How to obtain more information

Specific inquiries about this product should be directed to: *Perspectives on Labour and Income*, 9 A-6 Jean Talon, 170 Tunney's Pasture Driveway, Statistics Canada, Ottawa, Ontario, K1A 0T6 (telephone: 613-951-4628; e-mail: [perspectives@statcan.gc.ca](mailto:perspectives@statcan.gc.ca)).

For information about the wide range of services and data available from Statistics Canada, visit our website at [www.statcan.gc.ca](http://www.statcan.gc.ca) or contact us by e-mail at [infostats@statcan.gc.ca](mailto:infostats@statcan.gc.ca) or by telephone us, Monday to Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. at the following numbers:

#### Statistics Canada National Contact Centre

Toll-free telephone (Canada and the United States):

Inquiries line	1-800-263-1136
National telecommunications device for the hearing impaired	1-800-363-7629
Fax line	1-877-287-4369

Local or international calls:

Inquiries line	1-613-951-8116
Fax line	1-613-951-0581

#### Depository Services Program

Inquiries line	1-800-635-7943
Fax line	1-800-565-7757

### To access this product

This product, Catalogue no. 75-001-X, is available free in electronic format. To obtain a single issue, visit our website at [www.statcan.gc.ca](http://www.statcan.gc.ca) and select "Publications."

### Standards of service to the public

Statistics Canada is committed to serving its clients in a prompt, reliable and courteous manner. To this end, Statistics Canada has developed standards of service that its employees observe. To obtain a copy of these service standards, please contact Statistics Canada toll free at 1-800-263-1136. The service standards are also published on [www.statcan.gc.ca](http://www.statcan.gc.ca) under "About us" > "Providing services to Canadians."

### Perspectives on Labour and Income

(Catalogue no. 75-001-X; aussi disponible en français: *L'emploi et le revenu en perspective*, n° 75-001-X au catalogue) is published monthly by authority of the Minister responsible for Statistics Canada. ©Minister of Industry 2010. ISSN: 1492-496X.

All rights reserved. The content of this electronic publication may be reproduced, in whole or in part, and by any means, without further permission from Statistics Canada, subject to the following conditions: that it be done solely for the purposes of private study, research, criticism, review or newspaper summary, and/or for non-commercial purposes; and that Statistics Canada be fully acknowledged as follows: Source (or "Adapted from", if appropriate): Statistics Canada, year of publication, name of product, catalogue number, volume and issue numbers, reference period and page(s).

Otherwise, no part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form, by any means—electronic, mechanical or photocopy—or for any purposes without prior written permission of Licensing Services, Client Services Division, 100 Tunney's Pasture Driveway, Statistics Canada, Ottawa, Ontario, K1A 0T6.

## Symbols

The following standard symbols are used in Statistics Canada publications:

.	not available for any reference period
..	not available for a specific reference period
...	not applicable
0	true zero or a value rounded to zero
0 <sup>s</sup>	value rounded to 0 (zero) where a meaningful distinction exists between true zero and the value rounded
P	preliminary
r	revised
x	suppressed to meet the confidentiality requirements of the <i>Statistics Act</i>
E	use with caution
F	too unreliable to be published

# Highlights

*In this issue*

## ■ Labour market review 2009

- Employment fell in 2009 following sixteen years of increases. The bulk of the employment losses occurred in the first quarter of the year, followed by employment stability from March to July, and an upward trend in the last five months of the year.
- During the labour market downturn, hours were cut by employers at almost twice the pace of employment. Despite a year-over-year decline in 2009, hours have been on an upward trend since May.
- As manufacturing employment continued to tumble in 2009, the number of manufacturing workers reached a new low in August of that year—slightly lower than the troughs of the recessions in the early 1980s and 1990s.
- Mostly due to losses in manufacturing, Ontario experienced the largest job losses, followed by British Columbia.
- Youth and men 25 to 54 years of age accounted for most of the declines. On the other hand, those 55 and over ended the year with employment gains, particularly women. There were also gains among the self-employed.

## ■ Job–education match and mismatch: Wage differentials

- In 2006, close to 60% of postsecondary graduates 25 to 54 years of age considered their job to be closely related to their education. Less than one-quarter worked in a job that was not at all related to their education. University graduates were most likely to state that their job was closely related to their studies.
- Those with a good job–education match earned higher wages on average than those with a poor job–education match. This relationship was particularly evident in highly specialized fields of study, like health and education. The wage gap between a good match and a poor match increased as the level of education increased.
- The wage premium for working at a job closely related to one's education differed for men and women, as well as for college and university graduates. With factors such as demographics, education background, labour market characteristics and geography taken into account, the wage premium for a good job–education match ranged from 14% for male college graduates to over 30% for male university graduates, and from 17% for female college graduates to over 23% for female university graduates.

### Perspectives

# PERSPECTIVES

ON LABOUR AND INCOME

## THE COMPREHENSIVE JOURNAL

on labour and income  
from Statistics Canada

- ☐ Yes, I want PERSPECTIVES ON LABOUR AND INCOME  
(Catalogue no. 75-001-XPE).

Subscribe to *Perspectives on Labour and Income* today!

**Save**  
by extending your  
subscription!  
**Save 20%**  
by subscribing for 2 years!  
Only \$100.80 (plus taxes)  
**Save 30%**  
by subscribing for 3 years!  
Only \$132.30  
(plus taxes)



### MAIL

Statistics Canada  
Finance Division  
100 Tunney's Pasture  
Driveway, 6th floor  
Ottawa, Ontario  
Canada K1A 0T6



### PHONE

1-800-267-6677

Quote PF027090



### FAX

1-877-287-4369

613-951-0581



### E-MAIL

Infostats@statcan.ca

### METHOD OF PAYMENT (Check only one)

Charge to my: ☐ MasterCard ☐ VISA ☐ American Express

Card Number \_\_\_\_\_ Expiry Date \_\_\_\_\_

Authorized Signature \_\_\_\_\_

Cardholder (Please print) \_\_\_\_\_

☐ Payment Enclosed \$ \_\_\_\_\_

Authorized Signature \_\_\_\_\_

Name \_\_\_\_\_

Company \_\_\_\_\_

Department \_\_\_\_\_

Address \_\_\_\_\_

City \_\_\_\_\_

Province \_\_\_\_\_

Postal Code \_\_\_\_\_

Phone \_\_\_\_\_

Fax \_\_\_\_\_

E-Mail address \_\_\_\_\_

Catalogue No.

Title

75-001-XPE

Perspectives on Labour and Income

Subscription

Price (CDN \$)

Quantity

Total CDN \$

1 year

63.00

2 years

100.80

3 years

132.30

Subtotal

Applicable GST (5%)

Applicable PST

Applicable HST (N.S., N.B., N.L.)

Shipping charges U.S. CDN \$24, other countries CDN \$40

Grand Total

No shipping charges for delivery in Canada. Outside Canada, please add shipping charges as indicated. Canadian clients add either 6% GST and applicable PST or HST (GST Registration No. R121491807). Clients outside Canada pay in Canadian dollars drawn on a Canadian bank or pay in equivalent US dollars, converted at the prevailing daily exchange rate, drawn on a US bank. Federal government departments must

include with all orders their IS Organization Code \_\_\_\_\_ and IS Reference Code \_\_\_\_\_

Your personal information is protected by the Privacy Act. Statistics Canada will use your information only to complete this sales transaction, deliver your product(s), announce product updates and administer your account. From time to time, we may also offer you other Statistics Canada products and services or ask you to participate in our market research.

If you do not wish to be contacted again for promotional purposes ☐ and/or market research ☐ check as appropriate.

ORDER FORM

# Labour market review 2009

Jeannine Usalcas

The global economic downturn that worsened in the last quarter of 2008 continued into the first quarter of 2009. As a consequence, employment fell in 2009 following sixteen years of increases. The bulk of the employment losses occurred in the first quarter (-255,000 or -1.5%). From March to July, employment stabilized, followed by an upward trend in the last five months of the year. The increases in the later part of the year, however, were not enough to offset the large declines in the first quarter, leaving employment down 1.1% or 189,000 by year-end (see *Data sources and definitions*) (Chart A).

At 8.4% in December 2009, the unemployment rate was 1.6 percentage points higher than in December 2008, the highest rate since 1997 and the largest December-over-December increase since the early 1990s recession (see *December-to-December change*).


Employment losses in 2009 were concentrated in full-time work and among private sector employees. Youth and men 25 to 54 years of age accounted for most of the declines. On the other hand, those 55 and over ended the year with employment gains, particularly women. There were also gains among the self-employed.

The labour market downturn that began in Canada in October 2008 saw employers cut back more heavily on the number of hours worked than on the number of workers. The steepest declines in both hours and employment occurred in the last quarter of 2008

and the first quarter of 2009, although hours were cut at a faster pace than employment. Despite a year-over-year decline in 2009, hours have been on an upward trend since May (Chart B).

Employment in manufacturing tumbled in 2009, with 177,000 fewer employed in this sector in December 2009 than one year earlier. The number of manufacturing workers reached a new low in August 2009—slightly lower than the troughs of the early 1980s and 1990s recessions. Although manufacturing's share of total employment has been declining for decades, manufacturing accounted for 10.4% of employment in December 2009 compared to a 17% average in the mid-1980s and a 14% average in the mid-1990s.

**Chart A Economic downturn brought gross domestic product (GDP) and employment down in first half of year**



Sources: Statistics Canada, System of National Accounts; Labour Force Survey, seasonally adjusted.

Jeannine Usalcas is with the Labour Statistics Division. She can be reached at 613-951-4720 or [perspectives@statcan.gc.ca](mailto:perspectives@statcan.gc.ca).

### Data sources and definitions

The Labour Force Survey (LFS) is a monthly household survey that collects information on labour market activity from the civilian, non-institutionalized population 15 years of age and over. The survey uses a rotating sample of approximately 54,000 households, with each household remaining in the sample for six consecutive months.

The LFS divides the working-age population into three mutually exclusive classifications: employed, unemployed, and not in the labour force. For a full listing and description of LFS variables, see *Guide to the Labour Force Survey* (Statistics Canada 2010).

The employment rate is employed persons as a percentage of the population 15 years of age and over. The rate for a particular group (for example, youth age 15 to 24) is the employed in that group as a percentage of the population for that group.

The unemployment rate is the unemployed as a percentage of the labour force. The unemployment rate for a particular group is the unemployed in that group as a percentage of the labour force for that group.

The Survey of Employment, Payrolls and Hours (SEPH) is produced from the combination of the Business Payroll Survey results and the payroll deductions administrative data received from Canada Revenue Agency. It provides detailed information on the total number of paid employees, payrolls and hours at detailed industrial, provincial and territorial levels.


This analysis uses industry employment estimates from both the LFS and the SEPH. Employment trends and estimates at the 2-digit North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) code level are from the Labour Force Survey (e.g., Table 1) while more detailed industry employment analyses at the 3- or 4-digit code level are based on SEPH data (e.g., Chart E, trucking employees).

Mostly due to losses in manufacturing, Ontario experienced the largest job losses in 2009, with employment down 121,000 (-1.8%). British Columbia also had higher-than-average declines (-27,000 or -1.2%). Employment in Alberta fell by 20,000 or -1.0%.

### Loss of manufacturing jobs accelerates in 2009

Historically, manufacturing employment increased during periods of economic growth and was among the first to decline during recessions. However, job losses in manufacturing predated the current downturn as factories shed 341,000 jobs (-15%) from May 2004 to October 2008 (Bernard 2009).

**Chart B Actual hours declined at faster pace than employment during downturn**



Source: Statistics Canada, Labour Force Survey, seasonally adjusted.

As the economy contracted, the number of manufacturing workers fell even faster (-10.5% or -208,000) and by August 2009 the number of manufacturing workers stood at 1.745 million, the lowest level since 1976. Since August, however, employment in this sector has rebounded slightly, up 1.0% (18,000) (Chart C).


Employment fell in most of the major industry groups in manufacturing during the recent economic downturn, with the heaviest losses occurring in transportation equipment—specifically in motor vehicle, body and parts manufacturing; fabricated metal; machinery; wood; plastics and rubber products; computer and electronics; and primary metal (Chart D).

Employment in food and beverage product manufacturing remained stable from December 2008 to December 2009. Food manufacturing sales or shipments were up 2.1% over the year, with notable gains in Alberta, New Brunswick, Saskatchewan and Ontario.

For many years, food and transportation equipment manufacturing were the largest employers in the manufacturing sector, each employing over 200,000



**Chart C 2009 downturn accelerated decline in manufacturing employment begun in 2004, bringing levels below troughs reached in 1980s and 1990 recessions**



Source: Statistics Canada, Labour Force Survey, seasonally adjusted.

workers. With the gradual decline of transportation equipment workers, especially in motor vehicle and parts manufacturing, food manufacturing has become the top manufacturing employer. In December 2009, there were 221,000 workers employed in food manufacturing compared to 161,000 in transportation equipment.

### Other goods-producing industries also down


Forestry, fishing, mining, oil and gas extraction also posted employment losses over the year, down by 36,000 or 10.6% (Table 1). Mining, quarrying and oil and gas extraction accounted for the bulk of these

### December-to-December change

Throughout this analysis, changes in employment and other labour market indicators during 2009 are determined by comparing seasonally adjusted figures for December 2009 with December 2008, in order to pick up changes sooner than is possible with annual averages. For example, employment averaged 16.8 million in 2009, -1.6% or -277,000 lower than in 2008. This would seem to indicate larger employment losses during 2009 than the December-over-December change (-189,000 or -1.1%), which can also be thought of as the sum of the monthly employment changes for the year.

Annual employment loss based on annual averages is higher than that shown by the December-to-December comparison for 2009 because employment was still growing through much of 2008. This creates a higher baseline for job losses since employment had already been falling for two months in December 2008.

Of course, neither December-to-December nor annual averages are perfect. December-to-December change can be misleading due to end-point bias. In this case, the greater volatility of the monthly numbers can lead to different interpretations of a trend that might be better described with more stable quarterly or annual average estimates.

**Chart D Employment losses in most manufacturing sub-sectors in 2009**

Source: Statistics Canada, Survey of Employment, Payrolls and Hours, seasonally adjusted.

losses. Despite stability in the last four months of the year, there were 14.3% fewer workers in this industry in December 2009 than one year earlier.

In forestry, logging and support services, employment fell until April 2009 and then edged up. Despite these increases, year-end employment remained 11.7% (-5,200) below the December 2008 level.

Employment in construction fell sharply from December 2008 to July 2009 (-86,000), but posted increases in the last five months of the year (57,000), ending the year with 2.4% fewer workers.

### Trucking and employment services also affected by economic downturn

Less demand for manufactured products decreased the demand for trucking services in 2009, which led the employment decline in the transportation and warehousing industry (-75,000) (Chart E).

The slack labour market was reflected by lower demand for employment services (employment placement and temporary help services). Businesses also cut back on support services, bringing employment in the business, building and other support services industry down over the year (-54,000).



**Table 1 Employment by industry and class of worker**

	December		Change	
	2008	2009		
	'000	'000	'000	%
<b>Total employed</b>	<b>17,070.4</b>	<b>16,881.4</b>	<b>-189.0</b>	<b>-1.1</b>
<b>Goods-producing sector</b>	<b>3,969.2</b>	<b>3,716.6</b>	<b>-252.6</b>	<b>-6.4</b>
Agriculture	320.4	315.3	-5.1	-1.6
Forestry, fishing, mining, oil and gas	342.1	305.7	-36.4	-10.6
Utilities	151.8	146.5	-5.3	-3.5
Construction	1,215.4	1,186.4	-29.0	-2.4
Manufacturing	1,939.4	1,762.6	-176.8	-9.1
<b>Service-producing sector</b>	<b>13,101.2</b>	<b>13,164.8</b>	<b>63.6</b>	<b>0.5</b>
Trade	2,650.3	2,654.0	3.7	0.1
Transportation and warehousing	863.9	789.4	-74.5	-8.6
Finance, insurance, real estate and leasing	1,083.6	1,112.7	29.1	2.7
Professional, scientific and technical	1,200.9	1,241.9	41.0	3.4
Business, building and other support	670.5	616.1	-54.4	-8.1
Educational	1,177.4	1,228.7	51.3	4.4
Health care and social assistance	1,934.7	1,994.0	59.3	3.1
Information, culture and recreation	765.0	775.3	10.3	1.3
Accommodation and food	1,059.9	1,055.1	-4.8	-0.5
Other services	761.0	784.3	23.3	3.1
Public administration	933.9	913.4	-20.5	-2.2
<b>Class of worker</b>				
Public sector	3,435.1	3,437.1	2.0	0.1
Private sector	10,976.8	10,704.0	-272.8	-2.5
Self-employed	2,658.5	2,740.3	81.8	3.1

Source: Statistics Canada, Labour Force Survey, seasonally adjusted.


### Growth in some service industries

Two industries generally not affected by economic downturns or recessions are education and health care and social assistance. Both industries posted employment gains in 2009.

In education, employment increased in universities, community colleges and elementary and secondary schools. In health care and social assistance, gains were in residential and community care facilities, and hospitals, as well as offices of physicians, dentists, and other health practitioners and out-patient care centres.

### Self-employment expands in recent downturn

All of the employment declines over the year were among private sector employees (-273,000 or -2.5%), while the number of public sector employees was almost at the same level in December 2009 as December 2008.

**Chart E Less demand for manufactured products led to fewer employed in trucking industry**

Note: The inventory-to-sales ratio is a measure of the time, in months, that would be required to exhaust inventories if sales were to remain at their current level.

Sources: Statistics Canada, Survey of Employment, Payrolls and Hours; Monthly Survey of Manufacturing, seasonally adjusted.


Self-employment, on the other hand, was up 3.1% (82,000) (Chart F). Most of the increase occurred among business owners without paid help and occurred between March and October 2009, immediately after five months of significant declines in paid employment.

Self-employment tends to grow faster during difficult labour market conditions or recessions (Picot and Heisz 2000). However, a recent report showed that typically only a small portion of paid employees became self-employed in the aftermath of a layoff (LaRochelle-Côté 2010), which suggests that other factors—including other possible effects of the downturn on the labour market—contributed to this recent increase in self-employment.

### Actual hours dropped in the first half of 2009 and rebounded after six months

Due to the concentration of job losses in full-time work (-1.1%), it was only natural to see a decline in actual hours worked (-0.8%) in 2009. This year-end

**Chart F While employment among private sector employees fell in 2009, self-employment grew steadily**



Source: Statistics Canada, Labour Force Survey, seasonally adjusted.

figure, however, does not tell the whole story as employers cut back on hours worked far more (-3.3%) than the number of workers (-1.8%) from the fourth quarter of 2008 to the second quarter of 2009. This brought the average number of hours worked down from 33.4 to 32.9 hours from the fourth quarter of 2008 to the second quarter of 2009 (Chart G).

From the second to the fourth quarter of 2009, employers increased hours at a faster pace (1.1%) than employment (0.3%). This brought the average hours worked up from 32.9 to 33.2, only slightly lower than the last quarter of 2008 (33.4).

### Unemployment rate reached 12-year high

The unemployment rate climbed from 6.8% in December 2008 to a peak of 8.7% in August 2009, then stabilized at 8.4% for the last three months of 2009. British Columbia, Alberta and Ontario experienced the largest increases in unemployment. At the other end of the spectrum, the unemployment rate in Saskatchewan, Quebec and New Brunswick was up minimally and Prince Edward Island posted a decline in the unemployment rate during this period (Chart H).

The number of people receiving regular Employment Insurance (EI) benefits swelled to a high of 829,300 in June 2009, up 51.1% from December 2008, before retreating to 744,000 in December 2009. Despite fewer beneficiaries in the last half of the year, there were still 195,000 (35.5%) more people collecting EI benefits in December 2009 than one year earlier.


Metropolitan areas whose number of EI beneficiaries grew the fastest in 2009 were Vancouver, Victoria and Abbotsford-Mission in British Columbia; Calgary and Edmonton in Alberta; and Greater Sudbury, Oshawa, Toronto and Hamilton in Ontario.

### Unemployment up sharply for youth and prime-age men

With an unemployment rate that reached 16.0% in December 2009, up 2.9 percentage points from one year earlier, youth 15 to 24 years of age fared poorly in the labour market downturn. The youngest group experienced the most difficulty finding employment, as 20.8% of 15- to 19-year-olds in the labour force were unemployed in December 2009 compared to 12.9% for those age 20 to 24.


The summer of 2009 proved to be one of the toughest labour market periods for students in recent history. Students experienced an average unemployment

**Chart G Average actual hours fell in first and second quarter of 2009, rebounding in last two quarters**



Source: Statistics Canada, Labour Force Survey, seasonally adjusted.

**Chart H British Columbia, Alberta and Ontario had above-average unemployment rate increases from December 2008 to December 2009**



Source: Statistics Canada, Labour Force Survey, seasonally adjusted.

The percentage of part-time workers who were working less than 30 hours per week involuntarily rose to 26.8% in December 2009, from 22.3% in December 2008. Most of the increase in involuntary part-timers occurred among workers 25 years of age and over, particularly men. In December 2009, 42.5% of men 25 and over working part-time did so involuntarily, compared with 35.6% in December 2008.

Another indicator of labour underutilization is the broadest of the eight supplementary unemployment rates (R8). This rate adds certain groups of people to the official unemployment rate—groups that are not normally included because they are not currently searching or available for work. It adds those who are not looking for work


rate of 19.2% over the summer months, the second highest rate since comparable data became available in 1977. In addition to a high unemployment rate, the average number of hours worked during the summer by students was the lowest since 1977, at 23.4 hours per week.

Increasing unemployment was not limited to youth, as the unemployment rate for men 25 to 54 years of age reached 8.1% in December 2009, up 2.1 percentage points from one year earlier. Employment losses for adult men occurred primarily in the first quarter of 2009 while employment for youth continued to decline until October 2009 (Chart I).

### Underemployment among men 25 and over

An indicator of underemployment often examined during labour market downturns or recessions is the number of persons working part-time involuntarily, that is, those who work part-time because of business conditions or because they could not find full-time work.

**Chart I Employment rates fell steeply for youth and men age 25 to 54 in 2009, and rose for those 55 and over**



Source: Statistics Canada, Labour Force Survey, seasonally adjusted.

because they think no work is available (discouraged); those waiting for recall, replies from employers or long-term future starts; and involuntary part-timers (in full-time equivalents).

In December 2009, this rate was 11.1%, up 2.1 percentage points from one year earlier. Increases over the year varied across demographic groups and provinces, but were largest among youth and men 25 and over, as well as in British Columbia, Alberta, Ontario and Manitoba.

### **Recently arrived immigrants fared poorly in recent downturn**

Immigrants who had arrived in Canada within the previous five years had larger employment losses in 2009 than those born in Canada. Among these recent immigrants, employment was down 9.7% (-50,000) over the year and the unemployment rate climbed 3.3 percentage points to 14.7% in December 2009. In contrast, employment fell 2.0% among the Canadian-born while their unemployment rate increased 1.5 percentage points to 7.3%.<sup>1</sup>

Established immigrants—those who have been in Canada for more than 10 years—recorded employment gains, up 2.6% or 62,000 between December 2008 and December 2009. However, growth in their population outmatched employment growth, leaving their employment rate down almost 1 full percentage point over the year, at 54.6%. Their unemployment rate increased to 8.2%, up 2.6 percentage points, as more entered the labour market in search of work.

### **Job losses among Aboriginal population**

For Aboriginal people living off-reserve, employment fell at a faster pace than for the non-Aboriginal population between December 2008 and December 2009. The Aboriginal employment rate fell 4.4 percentage points to 55.8% in December 2009, compared with a 1.8 percentage-point decline for the non-Aboriginal population, to 61.6%.<sup>1</sup>

Due to fewer job opportunities, the Aboriginal unemployment rate rose to 13.5% in December 2009, an increase of 3.7 percentage points over the year. There were large increases in the Atlantic region, Ontario and the western provinces.

## **International comparisons**

The recession in the United States, which officially began in December 2007, brought that country's unemployment rate to 10.0% in December 2009, double what it had been at the start of the recession (5.0%). In Canada, the labour market downturn was less severe, bringing the Canadian unemployment rate adjusted to U.S. concepts to 7.5% in December 2009, up 2.1 percentage points from the employment peak in October 2008.

In 2009, the unemployment rate in the United States was 2.5 percentage points higher than the comparable Canadian unemployment rate. This is the largest gap in favour of Canada since at least 1976 (Chart J).

Atypically, the United States topped a group of other countries for the highest unemployment rate and the largest increase over the year. Sweden, France, Canada and Italy followed while Germany, Japan and Australia had the smallest increases in their unemployment rates (Chart K).

### **Ontario job losses concentrated in manufacturing**


In Ontario, the economic downturn saw employment drop by 243,000 over the seven-month period from October 2008 to May 2009. In percentage terms, this is the largest seven-month decline in the province since the recession of the early 1990s. From May to December 2009, however, employment rebounded somewhat, with an increase of 54,000 (0.8%).

Despite this increase, Ontario finished the year with 121,000 (-1.8%) fewer workers. The unemployment rate reached 9.2% in December 2009, up from 7.4% in December 2008, and the highest in 13 years.

Close to two-thirds of the employment losses were in manufacturing. These losses were not only in motor vehicle and parts but also in primary and fabricated metal; plastics and rubber products; machinery; and computer and electronic product manufacturing (Chart L).

A number of other industries posted employment losses over the year, including transportation and warehousing; trade; business, building and other support services; construction; and public administration.


**Chart J Unemployment rate in U.S. exceeds Canadian rate by record margin**



Note: Canadian data have been adjusted to approximate U.S. measurement concepts.

Sources: Statistics Canada, Labour Force Survey, seasonally adjusted; United States Bureau of Labor Statistics, seasonally adjusted.


**Chart K United States unemployment rate is highest among these selected countries, with largest increase over the year, at 2.6 percentage points**



Note: Unemployment rates are adjusted to U.S. concepts for comparability and are seasonally adjusted.

Source: United States Bureau of Labor Statistics.

**Chart L While over 40% of manufacturing employment was lost in Ontario, Alberta and British Columbia lost more than their share**



Source: Statistics Canada, Labour Force Survey, seasonally adjusted.

### Losses in the goods-producing sector in British Columbia

In British Columbia, employment fell until March 2009, and has trended up since. At the end of the year, however, there were 27,000 fewer employed workers in the province.

British Columbia's unemployment rate climbed 2.8 percentage points over the year. It stood at 8.3% in December 2009, the highest since 2003.

Employment losses were concentrated in the goods-producing sector, with the largest losses occurring in mining, quarrying and support activities; wood, paper, fabricated metal and machinery manufacturing; and construction.

Although the service-producing sector finished the year on the positive side, increases were dampened by declines in transportation and warehousing; business, building and other support services; and accommodation and food services.

### Sharp rise in Alberta's unemployment rate

While Alberta's working-age population increased by 2.5% in 2009, the province experienced an employment decline of 20,000 or 1.0%. With a weak labour market and growing population, unemployment spiked upwards.

At the start of the economic downturn in October 2008, Alberta's unemployment rate was 3.5%. By August 2009, it had more than doubled, reaching 7.3%, the highest in 13 years. Employment gains in the last two months of the year brought the unemployment rate down to 6.6% by December 2009.

Employment losses over the year were primarily led by declines in the goods-producing sector, specifically in primary and fabricated metal product and machinery manufacturing; in oil and gas extraction and support activities; and in agriculture.


There also were losses in professional, scientific and technical services, particularly in architectural, engineering and related services, and management, scientific and technical consulting services.

### Smaller job losses in Quebec, Manitoba, New Brunswick and Nova Scotia

At year-end, employment in Quebec was down by 18,000 (-0.5%) as declines in the first three months of the year were partly offset by increases in the last five months. With more people searching for work, the unemployment rate increased by 0.8 percentage points to 8.4% (Chart M).

There were a number of offsetting changes across industries in Quebec over the year. While there were declines in manufacturing and many of the service sector industries, a few industries posted large gains—most notably professional, scientific and technical services; wholesale and retail trade and educational services. Of all provinces, Quebec recorded the largest gain in the number of self-employed workers (33,000) over the year.

**Chart M Ontario and British Columbia posted above-average employment losses**



Source: Statistics Canada, Labour Force Survey, seasonally adjusted.



Quebec lost factory jobs, but at a slower pace (-4.0%) than Ontario (-8.7%), British Columbia (-15.5%) and Alberta (-22.1%). Quebec's manufacturing losses stemmed from wood, paper, printing, fabricated metal, machinery and aerospace product manufacturing.

Following an increase of 1.8% in 2008, employment in Manitoba was down 0.8% in 2009. Despite an increase of 1.4 percentage points in 2009, Manitoba's 5.8% unemployment rate remains the second lowest of all provinces. Almost all employment declines were in the goods sector, specifically in manufacturing, construction and agriculture.

Small employment declines were recorded in New Brunswick (-0.7%) and Nova Scotia (-0.3%) in 2009. In Nova Scotia, the unemployment rate steadily increased from October 2008 to December 2009, up 2.1 percentage points, to reach 9.6%. In New Brunswick, the unemployment rate changed little by year-end, at 8.9%.

### Some pockets of job growth...

Saskatchewan was the province with the lowest unemployment rate in December 2009, at 4.8%. Employment in the province remained stable throughout the year, ending with a 0.5% increase by December 2009.

Prince Edward Island and Newfoundland and Labrador also ended the year with employment gains. Prince Edward Island was the only province to see its unemployment rate decline over the year, down 1.8 percentage points to 10.8%. Employment in P.E.I. increased by 3.3% in 2009, following four years of little or no growth.

For the third consecutive year, employment in Newfoundland and Labrador changed little. However, with more people participating in the labour market and searching for work, the unemployment rate increased to 15.2% in 2009, up 1.5 percentage points from December 2008.

### Note

1. Estimates are based on three-month moving averages and are not seasonally adjusted.

### References

Bernard, André. 2009. "Trends in manufacturing employment." *Perspectives on Labour and Income*. Vol. 10, no. 2. February. Statistics Canada Catalogue no. 75-001-X. <http://www.statcan.gc.ca/pub/75-001-x/2009102/article/10788-eng.htm> (accessed March 23, 2010).

LaRochelle-Côté, Sébastien. 2010. "Self-employment in the downturn." *Perspectives on Labour and Income*. Vol. 11, no. 3. March. Statistics Canada Catalogue no. 75-001-X. <http://www.statcan.gc.ca/pub/75-001-x/2010103/article/11138-eng.htm> (accessed March 29, 2010).

Picot, Garnett and Andrew Heisz. 2000. *The Performance of the 1990s Canadian Labour Market*. Statistics Canada Catalogue no. 11F0019MPE – No. 148. Analytical Studies Branch Research Paper Series. Ottawa. 43 p. <http://www.statcan.gc.ca/pub/11f0019m/11f0019m2000148-eng.pdf> (accessed March 23, 2010).

Statistics Canada. 2010. *Guide to the Labour Force Survey*. Statistics Canada Catalogue no. 71-543-G. <http://www.statcan.gc.ca/pub/71-543-g/71-543-g2010001-eng.htm> (accessed March 23, 2010).



# Job–education match and mismatch: Wage differentials

Jennifer Yuen

**B**oth governments and individuals are devoting increasing resources to postsecondary education. Between 1995/1996 and 2005/2006, public expenditures on postsecondary education increased 35%, from \$23 billion to \$31 billion, in 2001 constant dollars. At the same time, public expenditures on all types of education increased at a slower pace of 20% (Statistics Canada and CMEC 2007).

At the individual level, more people are pursuing higher education despite rising tuition fees.<sup>1</sup> Between 2001 and 2006, the proportion of people 25 to 64 years of age with a postsecondary certificate, diploma or degree increased from 53% to 61%. The sharpest increase was registered at the university level, where the growth rate was 32%.<sup>2</sup>

To understand the demand for university graduates in the labour market, the number of workers in occupations that require skills that are obtainable through university education or equivalent training can be examined. On this basis, 56% of the jobs in 2006 were classified as requiring a university degree or equivalent. Furthermore, between 2001 and 2006, the number of jobs that required a university degree increased by 14%, while the labour market expanded by 8.2% (Statistics Canada 2008 and 2003).

Although both supply and demand for skilled workers are increasing, there is no guarantee that the right candidate will be matched with the right job. Educators and policy makers attempt to cultivate an environment where workers can apply their education in the workplace (HRSDC 2009). One way to assess “the performance of the educational system in producing the graduates required in the labour market, and the efficiency of the labour market in matching graduates to jobs” (Finnie 2001) is to examine the prevalence of job skills–education mismatch and its effect on earnings.

---

*Jennifer Yuen is with the Income Statistics Division. She can be reached at 613-951-1701 or [perspectives@statcan.gc.ca](mailto:perspectives@statcan.gc.ca).*

The existing literature on job–education mismatch in Canada mainly focuses on over-education. Individuals with more schooling than required for their jobs are considered overqualified, and thus mismatched. According to the 1982, 1986 and 1990 National Graduates Surveys (NGS), “anywhere from 27% to 48% of recent college, bachelor’s and doctoral graduates were overqualified for their main job. At the master’s level, the range was 48% to 72%” (Frenette 2000).

The over-education approach does not fully account for the possibility of mismatch between occupation-specific skills and field of study. Some occupation-specific skills require special training. For instance, a graduate who studied accounting rather than marketing at university would be better equipped to analyze financial statements at an accounting firm, although both disciplines are within the business domain.

In recent years, some researchers have started to explore job–education mismatch via the specific-skill-matching approach. For instance, Boudarbat and Chernoff (2009) studied education–job mismatch using the following question asked in the Follow-up Survey of Graduates (FOG): “How closely is the (main) job you held last week related to your certificate, diploma or degree?” In their analysis, 64.9% of graduates said their job closely matched their education, 22.5% said it was somewhat related, and 12.6% said it was not related at all. They concluded that education characteristics such as field and level of study and employment characteristics like industry and full-time/part-time status are significant determinants of education–job match.

This article’s approach to specific-skill match differs from Boudarbat and Chernoff’s in two major ways: it uses a different data source and it relates the match to a labour market outcome–earnings. This study is based on data from the 2006 Survey of Labour and Income Dynamics (SLID). In SLID, respondents who worked in 2006 were asked: “How closely was this job related to your education?” Similar to FOG, three types of

responses were possible: closely related, somewhat related and not at all related. Making use of this job–education matching indicator and the rich earnings information in SLID, this article examines whether there is a wage differential between prime-age workers whose job is closely related to their education and those not as well matched (see *Data source and definitions*).

The study begins with an overview of the matching indicator by various individual characteristics and presents the mean wages for individuals at each of the three levels of matching for these characteristics. The descriptive statistics indicate that a variety of characteristics affect match rates and that the wage effects vary by gender and level of education. Thus, the second section of the paper constructs models that incorporate these features to provide bounded estimates of the wage premium for a good job–education match.

### Data source and definitions

The **Survey of Labour and Income Dynamics** (SLID) covers 97% of the Canadian population, excluding those in the territories, institutions, military barracks or on First Nations reserves. SLID is a sample survey with cross-sectional and longitudinal components. Each SLID sample is composed of two panels, and each panel consists of approximately 15,000 households. A panel is surveyed for a period of six consecutive years. A new panel is introduced every three years, so two panels always overlap.

Proxy response is accepted in SLID. This procedure allows one household member to answer questions on behalf of any or all other members of the household, provided he or she is willing to do so and is knowledgeable. When a person answers the survey questions for himself/herself, the responses are known as non-proxy responses.

Included in this study are those who worked in 2006 (i.e., from panels 4 and 5) and

- were between the ages of 25 and 54, non-university postsecondary or university graduates, non-proxy respondents
- had a valid response in the *relatedness indicator* variable
- had information in all of the following variables: hourly wage, age, sex, visible minority and immigration status, education level, major field of study, province, size of area of residence, occupation, industry, work schedule, job tenure, and firm size.<sup>3,4</sup>

The age restriction of 25 to 54 corresponds to the core working group concept where workers are relatively stable in their careers. Since the relatedness question requires the assessment of one's education and job nature, only non-proxy respondents are included. Hourly wage is used because it helps isolate the effect of hours worked on earnings. In all, these selection criteria leave about 7,000 records, representing 4 million people. Due to proxy response, close to 2,500 records were excluded even though they satisfied all other selection criteria.

The survey question that corresponds to the variable of interest—*relatedness indicator*—is: "How closely was this job related to your education?" The three possible responses

are: closely related, somewhat related and not at all related. In cases where a respondent has multiple jobs, the relatedness indicator pertains to the main job. The main job for the year is defined as the one with the most scheduled hours ("usual hours") in the year. If hours are identical between two jobs, or in some cases where they are unknown, the main job may be the one with the greatest earnings or the longest tenure.

In the questionnaire, *education* is not defined. However, it will be assumed that respondents will consider the qualitative aspect rather than the quantitative aspect of *education*. The rationale for this assumption is that the word "related" is likely used to qualify rather than quantify. Other common interpretations of education like years of schooling and highest level of education attained only cover the quantitative aspect of education. For instance, a bachelor's degree holder with 16 years of schooling working at a job that required 16 years of schooling and a bachelor's degree is not likely to consider his/her job and education as closely related if he/she has an economics education background but works as a computer programmer. This assessment requires a qualitative comparison between one's field of study and one's job characteristics.

The basis for studying occupation-specific skills and field of study mismatch through examining people's perception of the relatedness of their job and education is that "(r)espondents themselves may be the best qualified to make this assessment since they understand more fully what their job is and what their program of study was designed to do. They are not restricted by having to classify their particular jobs or studies" (Lathe 1996) into one specific occupational group or a single field of study. Robst (2007a) elaborated on this point with many "majors provide students with a broad range of skills ... that apply to several different occupations. It would be difficult to develop an algorithm for determining whether a major and job are unrelated. The individual assessments, while perhaps subjective, are expected to provide important information." One caveat regarding this subjective approach is that the same pair of occupation-specific skills and field of study might not be rated equally because one's perception of 'related' might differ.

### Job–education match and mismatch: Distribution and wage differentials

According to the 2006 SLID, about 58% of workers age 25 to 54 with a postsecondary certificate, diploma or degree considered their job and education to be closely related: 19% found themselves in a job that was somewhat related to their education, while 23% reported that their job and education were not at all related. Those in the closely related and the somewhat-related categories earned similar hourly wages, \$27 and \$26 respectively—significantly higher than the \$20 mean wage rate for the not-at-all related group.<sup>5</sup>

### Age and sex

The incidence of mismatch was similar for men and women and across different age groups, with the mismatch rate ranging from 18% to 26% (Table 1).

The gap in mean hourly wages between the closely related and the not-at-all related groups increased with age (Chart A). This was more evident for men than women. The wage gap among men was \$5 in the 25 to 29 and 30 to 34 age groups, \$8 for those 35 to 39 and 40 to 44, and over \$10 for those 45 to 49 and 50 to 54. As for women, the 25 to 29 and 30 to 34 age groups registered a \$6 wage difference. Beyond those 30 to 34, the gap widened to \$9, with the exception of those 45 to 49, where the gap was \$5.

Although these wage gaps between graduates in jobs closely related and not-at-all related to their education are based on cross-sectional data, the increase across age groups clearly indicates that the wage penalty for mismatches is persistent and may well grow through time within cohorts.

Interestingly, the difference in the mean hourly wage between the closely related group and the somewhat-related group was insignificant for men of all ages. Thus job tenure or other factors might become more important over time given a reasonable level of initial education-to-job match.

### Highest level of education

Generally the higher the education level, the more likely individuals would be to hold a job closely related to their education (Table 2). While 68% of those with a university degree above the bachelor's level worked at a job that is closely related to their studies, the corresponding figure for non-university postsecondary graduates was 54%. Those with a university degree at or below the bachelor's level fell in between, at 60%. These findings are consistent with Boudarbat and Chernoff's (2009) estimates calculated using the NGS.

Using the proportion of people in the not-at-all-related category as the mismatch rate, 28% of non-university postsecondary graduates and 18% of university graduates did not have a job related to their studies.

For each level of education, those who had a job closely related to their education earned more on average than those who had a job not at all related to their education. The wage difference between these two groups was \$5 among the non-university postsecondary certificate holders. For those with a university degree above the bachelor's level, there was a \$14 wage advantage for working at a job closely related to one's education compared to an unrelated job. Those with a university degree at the bachelor's level or below stood in between with a gap of \$9. As


**Table 1 Relatedness indicator by age and sex**

	Men			Women		
	Closely related	Somewhat related	Not-at-all related	Closely related	Somewhat related	Not-at-all related
	%					
<b>Age</b>						
25 to 29	54	20	26	59	16	25
30 to 34	52	22	26	61	15	25
35 to 39	58	23	18	55	18	26
40 to 44	54	20	26	55	21	24
45 to 49	55	22	23	61	16	23
50 to 54	60	21	19	64	18	18

Source: Statistics Canada, Survey of Labour and Income Dynamics, 2006.

**Chart A Mean hourly wage by sex, age and job–education relatedness indicator**

Mean hourly wage (\$)



Source: Statistics Canada, Survey of Labour and Income Dynamics, 2006.

for the somewhat-related group, their hourly wage rate was just under the closely related group for each level of education, and the difference was not statistically significant (Chart B).


### Major field of study

In general, graduates from highly specialized programs were likely to work at a job that was related to their education. Table 2 orders field of study by the proportion of graduates in the closely related category. Nearly four in five graduates from an education or a health program worked in jobs closely related to their studies. These two categories were also identified by Boudarbat and Chernoff (2009) as having the highest odds of a good job–education match. Higher-than-average employment growth in health care and education services was a likely contributor to the high match rates for these fields.<sup>6</sup> Other specialized fields such as physical and life sciences, and architecture and engineering also had relatively high match rates, at close to 60%.

Match rates in areas such as personal, protective and transportation services; business, management and public administration; agriculture, natural resources and

**Chart B Mean hourly wage by education level and job education relatedness indicator**

Mean hourly wage (\$)



Source: Statistics Canada, Survey of Labour and Income Dynamics, 2006.

**Table 2 Distribution of relatedness indicator**

	Closely related	Somewhat related	Not-at-all related
	%		
<b>Education</b>			
University degree, above bachelor's	68	20	12
University degree or certificate, bachelor's or below	60	21	20
Non-university postsecondary certificate	54	18	28
<b>Field of study</b>			
Education	79	10	11
Health	78	7	15
Physical and life sciences and technologies	59	18	23
Architecture, engineering and related technologies	59	17	24
Personal, protective and transportation services	54	13	33
Business, management and public administration	54	26	21
Agriculture, natural resources and conservation	52	18	30
Mathematics, computer and information sciences	51	27	21
Social and behavioural sciences and law	48	22	30
Humanities	44	25	31
Visual and performing arts, and communications technologies	43	18	40
Other <sup>1</sup>	43	19	38
<b>Job skill-level</b>			
Management	51	29	20
Professional	81	13	6
Technical, paraprofessional and skilled	62	19	19
Unskilled	34	21	45
<b>Work schedule</b>			
Full-year, full-time worker	60	20	21
Other	52	17	31
<b>Job tenure (years)</b>			
Less than 5	55	19	26
5 to less than 10	58	20	22
10 to less than 15	60	18	22
15 to less than 20	65	18	18
20 to less than 25	59	24	18
25 and over	65	18	17
<b>Firm size</b>			
Less than 20	52	18	31
20 to 99	57	19	24
100 to 499	57	17	26
500 to 999	66	18	16
1,000 and over	59	20	21

1. Includes Parks, recreation, leisure and fitness studies, and personal improvement and leisure.  
Source: Statistics Canada, Survey of Labour and Income Dynamics, 2006.

conservation; and mathematics, computer and information sciences were between 50% and 60%. All four remaining fields had less than 50% in the closely related category. “Not related at all” was the most frequent response for graduates in visual and performing arts, communications technologies (40%) and studies related to parks, recreation, leisure and fitness studies and personal improvement and leisure (38%).

The wage gap between the closely related group and the not-at-all-related group was greatest in the highly specialized fields (Table 3). The hourly wage gap between these groups was \$12 in health and \$10 in education studies. The gap for agriculture, natural resources and conservation, and mathematics, computer and information sciences was \$9.

The smallest wage difference between the closely related group and the not-at-all related group was in visual and performing arts (\$5), and personal, protective and transportation services (\$3 and not statistically significant).

### Job skill level

Job skill level is derived from occupation. The Essential Skills Research Project by Human Resources and Skills Development Canada (HRSDC) made it possible to estimate the skill level of each occupation in the National Occupation Classification (NOC). The assigned skill level code reflects both the education level usually required in the labour market and some criteria covering experience, specific training and responsibility related to health and safety (as in the case of police officers and nurses) (Galarneau and Morissette 2008).

**Table 3 Average hourly wage by relatedness indicator**

	Closely related	Somewhat related	Not-at-all related
		\$	
<b>Field of study</b>			
Health	27	21	15
Education	28	22	18
Agriculture, natural resources and conservation	27	23	17
Mathematics, computer and information sciences	29	25	20
Business, management and public administration	29	27	20
Humanities	29	27	21
Social and behavioural sciences and law	27	30	19
Physical and life sciences and technologies	30	26	23
Architecture, engineering, and related technologies	28	27	21
Other <sup>1</sup>	29	22	23
Visual and performing arts, and communications technologies	22	18	17
Personal, protective and transportation services	20	24	17
<b>Work schedule</b>			
Full-year, full-time worker	29	28	22
Other	23	18	15
<b>Job tenure (years)</b>			
Less than 5	24	22	17
5 to less than 10	28	28	21
10 to less than 15	31	30	22
15 to less than 20	32	31	25
20 to less than 25	34	31	27
25 and over	32	32	25
<b>Firm size</b>			
Less than 20	21	21	16
20 to 99	24	20	19
100 to 499	26	24	17
500 to 999	28	34	19
1,000 and over	31	29	23

1. Includes Parks, recreation, leisure and fitness studies, and personal improvement and leisure.  
Source: Statistics Canada, Survey of Labour and Income Dynamics, 2006.

In this system, managers are not assigned a skill level due to the diversity of their experience and education level—they are grouped under the management skill level. There are four skill levels and their corresponding education level requirements are the following:

- management – managers
- professional – some university education
- technical, paraprofessional and skilled – a non-university postsecondary diploma, certificate, or apprenticeship training
- unskilled – no more than a high school diploma.

A large majority (81%) of those occupying a professional position—the highest skill level—said their job was closely related to their field of study; only 6% of them reported having a job not at all related to their field of study. This corresponds to the specific nature of high-skilled occupations.

Those in unskilled jobs were more likely to be in jobs that were unrelated to their schooling: 45% of them reported that their jobs were not at all related to their education. Just over one-half of the managers were in positions closely related to their education, reflecting the diverse backgrounds of managers.

For each job skill level, the closely related group had a wage advantage over the not-at-all related group (Chart C). The biggest gap was found in the management group (\$10 per hour). For professionals, on the other hand, the wage rates between the three groups were statistically insignificant. In the technical, paraprofessional, skilled category, the closely related group made only marginally more than the not-at-all related group. For the unskilled category, slightly higher earnings were seen for the closely related group compared to the not-at-all related group.


### Work schedule, job tenure and firm size

Those with a full-year, full-time job were more likely to rank their job as closely related to their education than people with other types of work schedules (60% vs. 52%). Full-year full-time workers earned more than workers who either worked part time or part year. However, regardless of the work schedule, the closely related group earned more than the not-at-all related group—their respective wages were \$29 and \$22 for those who worked full time, all year, and \$23 and \$15 for those worked other types of schedules.

Job tenure is a measure of time in the current job. Job–education mismatch decreases with job tenure. Among people who had been in their jobs for less than 5 years, 26%



**Chart C Mean hourly wage by job skill-level and job-education relatedness indicator**



Source: Statistics Canada, Survey of Labour and Income Dynamics, 2006.

of them did not feel there was any relationship between their job and education. For people who stayed in their jobs for 5 to less than 15 years, their mismatch rate was 22%. For people who had been in their jobs for 15 years or more, the mismatch rate was around 18%.

Generally speaking, hourly wages increased with job tenure for 10 to 15 years and then plateaued. A wage gap persisted between the closely related group and the not-at-all related group for all tenure categories. The size of the gap did not change systematically with experience, indicating that the pace of wage growth was very similar between these two groups.

People working in firms with less than 20 employees were less likely to be in jobs closely related to their education. The mean hourly wage differed slightly between the closely related category and the not-at-all related category for these small firms (a \$5 difference). In contrast, firms with 100 employees or more had a distinct gap between the closely related group and the not-at-all related group in terms of hourly wages. The former group earned \$8 to \$9 more per hour than the latter group.

## Modeling the job–education match and wages

Thus far, high wages seem to be accompanied by good job–education matches. Wages, at the same time, seem to be associated with factors such as level of education and various occupational characteristics. To quantify the effect of job–education match/mismatch on wages, it is necessary to control for many factors simultaneously. Regression analyses can be used to estimate the effect of the relatedness indicator on wages while controlling for observable attributes such as demographic information, educational attainment and specialization, labour market characteristics and geographical characteristics (see *Statistical methods*).

The models were run separately for men and women at each level of education (see *Statistical methods*). For each combination, two sets of results are presented. The first includes demographic and geographic factors. The second adds job characteristics. These models provide upper and lower bounds on the estimated effect of the match variables on wages.<sup>8</sup>

### Male non-university postsecondary graduates<sup>9</sup>

In the model that includes demographic and geographic factors, the wage difference between the closely related group and the not-at-all related group is 23%, and the wage difference between the somewhat-related group and the not-at-all related group is 13%. The wage difference between the closely related group and the somewhat-related group is also statistically significant (Table 4).

When job characteristics enter the model, the effect of job–education match on wages become less pronounced. The closely related group has a 14% wage advantage over the not-at-all related group. The 6% wage difference between the somewhat-related group and the not-at-all related group and the 7% wage difference between the closely related group and the somewhat-related group are not statistically significant at the 5% level.

### Female non-university postsecondary graduates<sup>10</sup>

For women who graduated from non-university postsecondary schools, the effect of job–education match on hourly wages in the model with demographic and geographic factors was larger than for men. The hourly wage of a female non-university postsecondary graduate who has a job that is closely related to her education is about 31% higher than what she would have earned if she were in a job not at all related to her



**Table 4 Relative effects of relatedness indicator on wages**

	Wage premium	
	Model with demographic and geographic factors	Model with demographic and geographic factors and job characteristics
	%	
<b>Non-university postsecondary graduates</b>		
Men		
Closely related vs. not-at-all related	22.9***	13.6***
Somewhat related vs. not-at-all related	13.2**	6.4n.s.
Closely related vs. somewhat related	8.6**	6.8*
Women		
Closely related vs. not-at-all related	31.3***	16.9***
Somewhat related vs. not-at-all related	18.4***	9.1***
Closely related vs. somewhat related	10.9***	7.1***
<b>University graduates - bachelor's or below</b>		
Men		
Closely related vs. not-at-all related	44.0***	30.9***
Somewhat related vs. not-at-all related	39.1***	27.3***
Closely related vs. somewhat related	3.5n.s.	2.8n.s.
Women		
Closely related vs. not-at-all related	44.7***	23.5***
Somewhat related vs. not-at-all related	16.8***	4.8n.s.
Closely related vs. somewhat related	23.9***	17.8***
<b>University graduates - above bachelor's</b>		
Men		
Closely related vs. not-at-all related	58.3***	42.8***
Somewhat related vs. not-at-all related	26.8n.s.	20.3n.s.
Closely related vs. somewhat related	24.8*	18.7*
Women		
Closely related vs. not-at-all related	45.2**	26.2n.s.
Somewhat related vs. not-at-all related	38.3*	30.7*
Closely related vs. somewhat related	5.0n.s.	-3.4n.s.

\*\*\* significantly different at the 0.01 level, \*\* at the 0.05 level, \* at the 0.10 level, n.s. not significantly different

Source: Statistics Canada, Survey of Labour and Income Dynamics, 2006.

education. The wage difference between the somewhat-related and the not-at-all related category is 18%.

When job characteristics are included in the model, the effect of the relatedness indicator on wages drops sharply. The wage difference between the closely related group and the not-at-all related group is 17%, and the wage difference between the somewhat-related group

and the not-at-all related group is 9%. These effects are smaller than those in the upper bounds model, yet they are still statistically significant.

### University graduates

For university graduates, interaction terms are added to allow the effect of match quality on wages to differ by degree level—above bachelor's level versus bachelor's level or below.

### Male university graduates<sup>11</sup>

In the model with demographic and geographic controls, men with a university degree above the bachelor's level working in a job that is closely related to their education earn about 58% and 25% more than those in the not-at-all related and the somewhat-related categories, respectively. Although the wage difference between the somewhat-related and the not-at-all related categories is 27%, it is not statistically significant.

For male university graduates at the bachelor's level or below, having a job closely related or somewhat related to their education as opposed to having a job not-at-all related to their education would increase wages by an estimated 44% and 39%, respectively, in the model with demographic and geographic factors. There is virtually no wage difference between the closely related and somewhat-related groups for these male university graduates at the bachelor's level or below.

After job characteristics are factored into the model, the wage advantage of having a job that is closely related to one's education remains strong. Among men with a university degree above the bachelor's level, the wage return is 43% higher for those with a very good job–education match than those with a poor match. Among men with a university degree at the bachelor's level or below, the wage effect of a good job–education match versus a poor job–education match is 31%.

The comparison between the somewhat-related group and the not-at-all related group indicates no significant difference in hourly wage for men with a university degree above the bachelor's level. However, this is not true for men

### Statistical methods

Regression analyses were performed to estimate the association between the job–education relatedness indicator and wages. Weighted data were used to produce the estimates. Statistical inferences are based on bootstrap weights.<sup>7</sup> The regression model was specified as follows:

$$\ln(\text{wage}) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 (X_{\text{CR}}) + \beta_2 (X_{\text{SR}}) + X \alpha + \varepsilon,$$

where  $X_{\text{CR}}$  is used to indicate a job that is closely related to one's education, and  $X_{\text{SR}}$  is used to indicate a job that is somewhat related to one's education. The not-at-all related category of the relatedness indicator is the comparison group. The wage ratio between the closely related group and the not-at-all related group can be obtained by exponentiating  $\beta_1$ , and likewise for  $\beta_2$ .

$X$  is a vector of control variables and  $\alpha$  is a vector of effects of these factors on wages.

The factors in  $X$  can be put into three main categories:

- Demographic factors – age, visible minority, immigration status, level of education, major field of study
- Geographic factors – region of residence, urban-rural size
- Job characteristics – occupation, industry, work schedule, job tenure, firm size.

$\varepsilon$  includes any other unaccounted for factors. These factors are assumed to be uncorrelated with the relatedness indicator.

Since men and women dominate in different fields of study, and non-university postsecondary graduates and university graduates represent different types of training, their labour market outcomes would likely be different. Due to the presence of such heterogeneity, four separate regression models—one for male non-university postsecondary graduates, one for female non-university postsecondary graduates, one for male university graduates and one for female university graduates—were run to study the association between the hourly wage and the relatedness indicator for each group.

Furthermore, for university graduates, interaction terms were added to allow the effects of the relatedness indicator to be different for those with a bachelor's degree or below and those with a degree that is beyond the bachelor's level.

with a university degree at the bachelor's level or below—the wage difference of 27% is significant between the somewhat-related group and the not-at-all related group.

### Female university graduates<sup>12</sup>

As in the case for male university graduates, all models that include the demographic dimension will have two sets of relatedness effects estimates—one for above bachelor's level and one for bachelor's level or below.

In the model where demographic and geographic factors are specified, the closely related group earns an estimated 45% more than the not-at-all related group regardless of educational attainment. The effect of job–education match for those with a university degree above the bachelor's level and those with a university degree at the bachelor's level or below differs for the somewhat-related category. Wages for the closely related group and the somewhat-related group differ by less than 5% for those above the bachelor's level, but over 23% for those at the bachelor's level or below.

When the model is augmented with job characteristics, the relatedness indicator is no longer statistically significant among those with a degree above the bachelor's level, in spite of the 26% and 31% wage advantage of the closely related group and the somewhat-related group over the not-at-all related group. This lack of precise estimates may be due to the small sample size in the not-at-all related reference category.

On the other hand, the relatedness factor remains significant for those with a degree at the bachelor's level or below in this model. Those with a job closely related to their education are estimated to earn 18% and 24% more respectively than those with a job somewhat-related or not-at-all related to their education. The wage difference between the somewhat-related group and the not-at-all related group is not statistically significant (5%).

Overall, sizeable wage premiums were estimated for men and women at all levels of education when just demographic and geographic variables were included in the models. The premium decreased in size but remained large and statistically significant for all groups except women with a university degree above the bachelor's level. The estimated wage premiums in this Canadian study are somewhat higher than those found in Robst (2007a) for the U.S. even though the educational match rate was similar.

### Summary

The 2006 Survey of Labour and Income Dynamics indicates that 58% of the workers age 25 to 54 with a postsecondary certificate or degree considered their job and education to be closely related; 19% said that the relationship between the two was somewhat related; and 23% did not think there was any relationship at all between their job and education.

The mean wage rates for those in the closely related category and the somewhat-related category were similar: \$28 and \$26, respectively. However, they were higher than the \$20 mean wage rate for the not-at-all related group. The wage gap between the closely related group and the not-at-all related group was larger at higher levels of education.

Models that accounted for many factors related to wages such as demographic and geographic attributes and occupational characteristics validated the positive return to working at a job closely related to one's education, although the magnitude of the return varies between men and women, and by type and level of postsecondary education. The reward for working in a job closely related to one's education is greater for university graduates than non-university postsecondary graduates.

For male university graduates above the bachelor's level, the estimated wage difference between the closely related group and the not-at-all related group is over 40%. Among women with the same level of education, the estimated wage rates of these two categories are not significantly different. This is likely due to a high incidence (about 95%) of finding a job in the closely related or somewhat-related categories among these women, leaving an imprecisely measured reference group.

For male university graduates with a bachelor's degree or below, holding a job that is at least somewhat related to one's education increases wages by at least 27%. For women with the same level of education, finding a job that is closely related to one's education boosts wages by 24%.

A previous study found that postsecondary graduates ranked high pay as their number-one criterion for selecting a job (Clark 1999). The current study indicates that the opportunity for higher wages is likely to be maximized in a job that is closely related to one's field of study. The magnitude and breadth of these results is such that there may be positive macro-economic returns to improved matching of graduates to jobs.

Although close to one-quarter of the graduates worked in a job that was not-at-all related to their field of study, it might be possible that some people take on a position that is entirely unrelated to their field of study voluntarily. Robst (2007b), looking at reasons for not having a job related to one's education, found that mismatch associated with pay and promotion

opportunities and change in career interests actually had a positive effect on earnings. Other reasons such as working conditions, job location, family-related matters and no available job in the highest degree field would reduce wages. While pay is the primary motivator, other factors may be involved: individuals working in an unrelated field could be trading off higher wages for other preferences.

## Perspectives

### ■ Notes

1. Average undergraduate university tuition fees rose from under \$2,000 in the early 1990s to \$3,500 ten years later. By 2005/2006, tuition fees averaged about \$3,800. (All figures are in 2001 constant dollars.)
2. Data source: 2001 Census and 2006 Census. While these figures include immigrants who obtained their degrees outside Canada, the number of degrees granted in Canada increased 26% during the same period.
3. A detailed definition for each variable can be found in the *SLID Electronic Data Dictionary* at <http://www.statcan.gc.ca/pub/75f0026x/75f0026x2009000-eng.htm>.
4. Variables such as aboriginal status, disability status, marital status, family composition, presence of children between 0 and 5 in the family, presence of children between 6 and 17 in the family and union status have also been looked at, but they do not seem to have an effect on the behaviour of the relatedness variable.
5. Unless otherwise stated, all wage comparisons made in the article are statistically significant at 5%.
6. According to the Labour Force Survey, the labour market in aggregate produced 1.4% more positions between 2005 and 2006. For health occupations, the growth rate was 3.0%, and for occupations in education, the growth rate was 4.6%.
7. The following symbols are used in the discussion of the regression models. \*\*\* statistically different at 1% \*\* statistically different at 5% \* statistically different at 10%
8. This approach is taken due to potential variation in the interpretation of the match question by respondents. The model without job characteristics is the upper bound since it undercontrols for job characteristics that may be associated with wages. The model with job characteristics is the lower bound since it may overcontrol for job characteristics that were not assessed by respondents who answered the match question.
9. Sample size for male non-university postsecondary graduates is 1,781.

10. Sample size for female non-university postsecondary graduates is 2,551.
11. Sample size for male university graduates is 1,155.
12. Sample size for female university graduates is 1,669.

## References

Boudarbat, Brahim and Chernoff, Victor. 2009. *The Determinants of Education–Job Match Among Canadian University Graduates*. IZA Discussion Paper No. 4513. Bonn, Germany. Institute for the Study of Labor. 32 p. <http://ftp.iza.org/dp4513.pdf> (accessed April 13, 2010).

Clark, Warren. 1999. “Search for success: Finding work after graduation.” *Canadian Social Trends*. no. 53. Summer. Statistics Canada Catalogue no. 11-008-X. p. 10-16. <http://www.statcan.gc.ca/pub/11-008-x/1999001/article/4576-eng.pdf> (accessed April 13, 2010).

Finnie, Ross. 2001. “Graduates’ earnings and the job skills–education match.” *Education Quarterly Review*. Vol. 7, No. 2. February. Statistics Canada Catalogue no. 81-003-XIE. p. 7-21. <http://www.statcan.gc.ca/pub/81-003-x/81-003-x2000002-eng.pdf> (accessed April 13, 2010).

Frenette, Marc. 2000. “Overqualified? Recent graduates and the needs of their employers.” *Education Quarterly Review*. Vol. 7, no. 1. November. Statistics Canada Catalogue no. 81-003-XIE. p. 6-20. <http://www.statcan.gc.ca/pub/81-003-x/81-003-x2000001-eng.pdf> (accessed April 13, 2010).

Galarneau, Diane and René Morissette. 2008. “Immigrants’ education and required job skills.” *Perspectives on Labour and Income*. Vol. 9, no.12. December. Statistics Canada Catalogue no. 75-001-XIE. <http://www.statcan.gc.ca/pub/75-001-x/2008112/article/10766-eng.htm> (accessed April 13, 2010).

Human Resources and Skills Development Canada. 2009. *About Human Resources and Skills Development Canada*. [http://www.hrsdc.gc.ca/eng/corporate/about\\_us/index.shtml](http://www.hrsdc.gc.ca/eng/corporate/about_us/index.shtml) (accessed April 14, 2010).

Lathe, Heather. 1996. *Proposed linkage of occupation and major field of study for SLID*. Statistics Canada Catalogue No. 75F0002M – No. 96-05. SLID Research Paper Series. Ottawa. 75 p. <http://www.statcan.gc.ca/pub/75f0002m/75f0002m1996005-eng.pdf> (accessed April 13, 2010).

Robst, John. 2007a. “Education and job match: The relatedness of college major and work.” *Economics of Education Review*. Vol. 26, no. 4. August. p. 397-407. [http://www.sciencedirect.com/science?\\_ob=MIimg&\\_imagekey=B6VB9-4MP56C0-1-1&\\_cdi=5921&\\_user=1516053&\\_pii=S0272775706001415&\\_orig=browse&\\_coverDate=08%2F31%2F2007&\\_sk=999739995&view=c&wchp=dGLzVzb-zSkzS&md5=49b6efd76229f6c4e149dc37cf88b4fb&ie=/sdarticle.pdf](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science?_ob=MIimg&_imagekey=B6VB9-4MP56C0-1-1&_cdi=5921&_user=1516053&_pii=S0272775706001415&_orig=browse&_coverDate=08%2F31%2F2007&_sk=999739995&view=c&wchp=dGLzVzb-zSkzS&md5=49b6efd76229f6c4e149dc37cf88b4fb&ie=/sdarticle.pdf) (accessed April 13, 2010).

Robst, John. 2007b. “Education, college major, and job match: Gender differences in reasons for mismatch.” *Education Economics*. Vol. 15, no. 2. June. p. 159-175.

Statistics Canada. 2008. “Occupation – National Occupational Classification for Statistics 2006 (720), Class of Worker (6) and Sex (3) for the Labour Force 15 Years and Over of Canada, Provinces, Territories, Census Metropolitan Areas and Census Agglomerations, 2006 Census – 20% Sample Data.” *Labour, 2006 Census*. Statistics Canada Catalogue no. 97-559-XWE2006011. <http://www.statcan.gc.ca/bsolc/olc-cel/olc-cel?lang=eng&catno=97-559-X2006011> (accessed April 14, 2010).

Statistics Canada and Council of Ministers of Education, Canada. 2007. *Education Indicators in Canada: Report of the Pan-Canadian Education Indicators Program 2007*. Table B.2.1. Statistics Canada Catalogue no. 81-582-X. p. 217. <http://www.statcan.gc.ca/pub/81-582-x/81-582-x2007001-eng.pdf> (accessed April 13, 2010).

Statistics Canada. 2003. “Occupation – 2001 National Occupational Classification for Statistics (720), Class of Worker (6) and Sex (3) for Labour Force 15 Years and Over, for Canada, Provinces, Territories, Census Metropolitan Areas and Census Agglomerations, 2001 Census – 20% Sample Data.” *Canada’s Workforce: Paid Work, 2001 Census*. Statistics Canada Catalogue no. 97F0012XIE2001017. <http://www.statcan.gc.ca/bsolc/olc-cel/olc-cel?lang=eng&catno=97F0012X2001017> (accessed April 14, 2010).