

Volume 15, Number 6

Water Level Changes Reflect Below-average Supplies

Water supplies to each of the Great Lakes were less than average during May. The below-average supply conditions were reflected in the water level changes experienced on each lake during the month

The water supplies to lakes Superior and Michigan-Huron were once again well below average during May. Daily water levels on lakes Superior and Michigan-Huron basins increased just 3 cm during May, much less than their long-term average increases of 10 and 8 cm, respectively, for the month. Their smallerthan-average increases further exacerbate the low water level situations on these lakes. Although monthly mean water levels on lakes Erie and Ontario increased a few centimetres from April to May, May's below-average supply conditions caused daily levels on both these lakes to decline steadily during the month instead of increasing as they have on average. As a result, the levels on lakes Erie and Ontario fell below average at the end of May.

For the complete range of probable water levels on each lake over the next six months, please refer to the May 2007 edition of the Monthly Water Level Bulletin found at: www.waterlevels. gc.ca/C&A/bulletin_e.html.

Swimming Hazard

June 7, 2007

LEVEL*news* would like to highlight a hazard often forgotten when discussing the current low water level conditions on lakes Superior and Michigan-Huron. With levels on these lakes lower than they were last year, it is imperative that anyone responsible for children ---parents, grandparents and camp counsellors — check water depths for swimming and diving and remember to actively supervise young swimmers at all times. Help ensure that everyone enjoys fun-filled, accidentfree visits to the Lakes this summer.

Great Lakes Water Level Information				
	May 2007 Monthly Mean Level		Beginning of June 2007 Level	
Lake	Compared to Monthly Average (1918-2006)	Compared to One Year Ago	Compared to Beginning-of-Month Average (1918-2006)	Compared to One Year Ago
Superior	50 cm below	37 cm below	53 cm below	40 cm below
Michigan-Huron	44 cm below	3 cm below	46 cm below	7 cm below
St. Clair	10 cm below	9 cm above	15 cm below	1 cm above
Erie	6 cm above	15 cm above	1 cm below	5 cm above
Ontario	4 cm above	21 cm above	3 cm below	14 cm above





IJC announces the membership of its International Upper Great Lakes Study Board and the Beginning of the Study

The International Joint Commission (IJC) of Canada and the U.S. has announced the membership of its International Upper Great Lakes Study Board. The five-year Upper Great Lakes Study will seek to determine whether the regulation of Lake Superior outflows pursuant to the order and plan can be improved to address the evolving needs of users on lakes Superior, Michigan-Huron and Erie. Physical changes in the St. Clair River, which forms part of the connecting channel between Lake Huron and Lake Erie, will be investigated early in the study. This is one factor that might be affecting water levels and flows. Depending upon the nature and extent of the

FOR MORE INFORMATION:

Ralph Moulton, Manager Great Lakes-St. Lawrence Water Level Information Office P.O. Box 5050 Burlington, ON L7R 4A6 Tel. (905) 336-4580 FAX: (905) 336-8901 E-mail: water.levels@ec.gc.ca http://www.on.ec.gc.ca/greatlakes/

David Fay Great Lakes-St. Lawrence Regulation Office 111 Water Street East Cornwall, ON K6H 6S2 Tel. (613) 938-5725

LEVELnews/Info-NIVEAU is a publication of Boundary Waters Issues Unit, Environment Canada-Ontario. Contents may be reproduced without permission, but credit would be appreciated. Comments and inquiries are welcome.

Editor, Chuck Southam

Aussi disponible en français

May Precipitation over the Great Lakes As a percentage of the long-term May average:

Great Lakes Basin71%Lake Superior97%Lakes Michigan-Huron66%

Lake Erie56%(including Lake St. Clair)Lake Ontario49%

NOTE: These figures are preliminary

physical changes, and their potential impact on water levels and flows, the study may also explore potential remediation options.

The five-year Upper Great Lakes Study will:

- determine the factors that affect water levels and flows in the upper lakes;
- develop new regulation plans for the St. Mary's River and test their performance;
- assess the impacts of these plans on the ecosystem and human interests; and
- incorporate climate change scenarios into the analysis.

The IJC will also appoint a Public Interest Advisory Group (PIAG) to assist the Study Board with communication and outreach activities. The Study Board reflects a wide range of technical expertise from academia and government, as well as a broad geographic perspective from throughout the Upper Lakes. Also serving on the board are the co-chairs of the PIAG, making sure that the views of the public are given due consideration. For more information about the IJC and its Study Board, including biographical information for Study Board members, please visit the IJC's Web site at: **www.ijc.org** or contact Mr. Greg McGillis at 613-947-1420.

May Outflows from the Great Lakes

As a percentage of the long-term May average:

Lake Superior 71 Lake Huron 86

71% 86% Lake Erie101%Lake Ontario108%

NOTE: These figures are preliminary