COSEWIC Status Appraisal Summary

on the

Poor Pocket Moss

Fissidens pauperculus

in Canada

ENDANGERED 2011

COSEWIC

Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada



COSEPAC

Comité sur la situation des espèces en péril au Canada

COSEWIC status appraisal summaries are working documents used in assigning the status of wildlife species suspected of being at risk in Canada. This document may be cited as follows:

COSEWIC. 2011. COSEWIC status appraisal summary on the Poor Pocket Moss *Fissidens pauperculus* in Canada. Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada. Ottawa. xi pp. (www.sararegistry.gc.ca/status/status e.cfm).

Production note:

COSEWIC would like to acknowledge Judith A. Harpel for writing the status appraisal summary on the Poor Pocket Moss, *Fissidens pauperculus*, prepared under contract with Environment Canada. This status appraisal summary was overseen and edited by René Belland, Co-chair of the COSEWIC Mosses and Lichens Specialist Subcommittee.

For additional copies contact:

COSEWIC Secretariat c/o Canadian Wildlife Service Environment Canada Ottawa, ON K1A 0H3

Tel.: 819-953-3215 Fax: 819-994-3684 E-mail: COSEWIC/COSEPAC@ec.gc.ca http://www.cosewic.gc.ca

Également disponible en français sous le titre Sommaire du statut de l'espèce du COSEPAC sur le Fissident appauvri (Fissidens pauperculus) au Canada.

©Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada, 2011. Catalogue No. CW69-14/2-14-2011E-PDF ISBN 978-1-100-18723-5



Recycled paper



Assessment Summary - May 2011

Common name

Poor Pocket Moss

Scientific name

Fissidens pauperculus

Status

Endangered

Reason for designation

This western North American endemic reaches its northern range limit at a single, isolated Canadian locality in southwestern British Columbia. Here, it occurs as several small colonies within a geographically restricted area, making the Canadian population especially vulnerable to human disturbance and events such as unusually heavy local rainfall, erosion, and treefall.

Occurrence

British Columbia

Status history

Designated Endangered in November 2001 and May 2011.



Fissidens pauperculus Poor Pocket Moss Jurisdictions: BC

Fissident appauvri

Current COSEWIC Assessment:
Status category:
□ XT □ E □ T □ SC
Date of last assessment: : November 2001
Reason for designation at last assessment: This North American endemic is found in several Pacific states and at only one disjunct locality in southern British Columbia, where it occurs as a single small clump and a few adjacent tiny tufts of plants within a stream bed, and where it is at risk from human disturbance and stochastic events.
New reason for designation (only if different from above):
Criteria applied at last assessment: D1
If earlier version of criteria was applied ¹ , provide correspondence to current criteria: N/A
If different criteria are proposed based on new information, provide explanation:
If application of current specific criteria is not possible, provide explanation:
Recommendation: Update to the status report NOT required (wildlife species' status category remains unchanged). Status is retained.
Reason: Sufficient information to conclude there has been no change in status category
□not enough additional information available to warrant a fully updated status report
Evidence (indicate as applicable):
Wildlife species:
Change in eligibility, taxonomy or designatable units: yes ☐ no ☒
Explanation:
There has been no change in taxonomic status and this species has not been found outside of Lynn Canyon although potentially suitable habitat occurs within the region.

¹ An earlier version of the quantitative criteria was used by COSEWIC from October 1999 to May 2001 and is available on the COSEWIC website: http://www.cosewic.gc.ca/eng/sct0/original_criteria_e.cfm

Daniel Control	
Range: Change in extent of occurrence (EO):	yes □ no ⊠ unk □
Change in area of occupancy (AO):	yes □ no ⊠ unk □
Change in number of known or inferred current locations:	yes □ no ⊠ unk □
Significant new survey information	yes □ no ⊠
Explanation:	
Demulation Information.	
Population Information: Change in number of mature individuals:	yes ⊠ no □ unk □
Change in total population trend:	yes ⊠ no □ unk □
Change in severity of population fragmentation:	yes ☐ no ⊠ unk ☐
Change in trend in area and/or quality of habitat:	yes ☐ no ⊠ unk ☐
Significant new survey information	yes □ no ⊠
Olymnount non our toy innormation	,
Explanation:	
Threats:	
Change in nature and/or severity of threats:	yes □ no □ unk □
Change in natare and or coverny or amount.	,
Explanation:	
In 2000 the original colony for Poor Pocket Moss (occurring in the no	orthern gully) was observed as a
single patch (or colony) measuring about 625 cm ² (Belland 2001).	
difficult since one tuft of moss that consists of many shoots may have	
a single spore. In this report we follow the recommendation propose	
where a single discrete patch of moss is counted as one individual.	a by Haimigadon of an (2000)
g	
Since 2000, several new colonies at the site have been found. In 20	09, McIntosh described the
original colony as six small 10 cm x 5 cm patches with a total of abo	ut 1000+ plants present
(McIntosh 2010). McIntosh suggested that Poor Pocket Moss had o	colonized large areas of the silt
face that were exposed during heavy rains in 2005. Based on surve	eys by McIntosh in 2007 and
2009, an additional colony was discovered on a more southerly gully	y, adjacent to the better-studied
northern gully. This colony measures as "a small ~ 10 x 5 cm patch	
there have been no dedicated surveys for F. pauperculus done outs	
pers. comm. & Joya pers. comm). Documented changes in patch size	ze over time (Belland 2001,
McIntosh 2009) are summarized in Table 1.	
Protection:	
Change in effective protection:	yes □ no 🏻
Explanation:	
The species is listed as Endangered nationally and receives protecti	on under the Species at Risk Act.
The site is in a municipal park managed by the District of North Vand	
ecological protection and recreational use.	•
Rescue Effect:	
Evidence of rescue effect.	yes □ no ⊠
	, <u> </u>
Explanation:	

Quantitative Analysis: Change in estimated probability of extirpation:	yes □ no ⊠ unk □
Details:	

Summary and Additional Considerations:

The Lynn Canyon, British Columbia, population is still the only known location in Canada. A Recovery Strategy for the Poor Pocket Moss was completed and released by Environment Canada in December 2010 and this calls for an action plan to be posted by 2011 by British Columbia.

The trail has been fenced and the park rangers are aware of the site. The following suggested changes to protection outlined in the provincial Recovery Strategy (Poor Pocket Moss Recovery Team 2010) include: (1) developing an exclusion zone to protect the site (i.e., District of North Vancouver, through the park master planning process), (2) increasing the fencing and adding more signs restricting access, (3) increasing public awareness, i.e., signage noting the significance of the site could be placed at each end of the trail, (3) blocking off the small infrequently used footpath that leads down to near the populations, and/or (4) electing to completely decommission the trail. Brown (pers. comm. 2010) suggested putting in a boardwalk to help focus hikers away from the area; however, this strategy is subject to available funds. In addition to the specified actions, a stewardship approach could be used to increase the protection for this species.

Table 1. Patch size over time for *F. pauperculus* based on observations by R. Belland (2001) and T. McIntosh (2009).

(2001) and	T. McIntosi	h (2009).				-	
Year observed	•	atches indica ar, and also i	ted by num		-		South Gully
1961		st found, size				ii yeai <i>j</i>	
2000 (2)	about 625 cm ²	? size	or patori was	not measure	, u		
2001 (2)	? size	not found					
2003 (2)	? size	? size					
2004 (2)	about	smaller					
	50 cm ²	patch ? size					
2005, March	heavy rain	event, no pato	ches found				
2005 (6),	Patch 1	Patch 2	Patch 3	Patch 4	Patch 5	Patch 6	
July	2-3 cm ²	2-3 cm ²	2-3 cm ²	6 cm ²	6 cm ²	up to 40 cm²	
2007 (5)	about 150 cm²	about 30 cm²	about 1.5 m²	about 60 cm²	about 40 cm²	?	10 x 5 cm found
2009 (6)	about 150 cm²	about 30 cm²	about 1 m²	about 60 cm²	about 40 cm²	?	10 x 5 cm

List of authorities contacted to review the status appraisal:

Ken Bennett Poor Pocket Moss Recovery Team Section Manager, Environmental Protection District of North Vancouver

Mike Brown
Poor Pocket Moss Recovery Team
District of North Vancouver, Community Forester

Brenda Costanzo Poor Pocket Moss Recovery Team Chair Plant Species at Risk Biologist, Ecosystems Branch, BC Ministry of Environment

Karen Golinski Poor Pocket Moss Recovery Team

Steve Joya

Recent bachelor degree graduate from the UBC Botany Department and local bryologist

Scot Kinssinger Manager of the Lynn Canyon Ecology Centre

Terry McIntosh Poor Pocket Moss Recovery Team Author of Recovery Strategy for Poor Pocket Moss

Mike Ryan
Poor Pocket Moss Recovery Team

Ross Vennesland Poor Pocket Moss Recovery Team Species at Risk Biologist, BC Ministry of Environment

Sources of information:

Belland, R.J. 2001. COSEWIC report on Poor Pocket Moss (*Fissidens pauperculus* M. Howe). vi+15 pp. Can. Wildl. Serv., Environ. Can., Ottawa, ON.

Brown, M. Personal communication June 2010. Community Forester, District of North Vancouver and Poor Pocket Moss recovery team member.

Hallingbäck, T and N. Hodgetts. 2000. Status survey and conservation action plan for bryophyte - mosses, liverworts, and hornworts. IUCN/SSC Bryophyte Specialist Group. Cambridge, U.K. 106 pp.

- Joya, S. Personal communication June 2010. Recent bachelor degree graduate from the UBC Botany Dept. and local bryologist.
- McIntosh. T.T. Personal communication June 2010. Poor Pocket Moss expert and recovery team member.
- McIntosh, T.T. 2010. Addition to the Recovery Strategy for the Poor Pocket Moss (*Fissidens pauperculus* M. Howe) in British Columbia.
- McIntosh, T.T. 2009. Surveys for Rare and At Risk Mosses and Lichens in the Lower Mainland, 2007-2009. unpublished report prepared for B.C. Ministry of Environment. Surrey B.C. 22 pp.
- Poor Pocket Moss Recovery Team. 2007. Recovery Strategy for the Poor Pocket Moss (Fissidens pauperculus M. Howe) in British Columbia.

 http://www.env.gov.bc.ca/wld/documents/recovery/rcvrystrat/fissidens_paup_rcvry_strat_010807.pdf] (last accessed March 2011).
- Pursell, R. 2007. Fissidentaceae. Flora of North America Editorial Committee Vol 27:331-357. Oxford University Press. New York, Oxford.

TECHNICAL SUMMARY

Fissidens pauperculus
Poor Pocket Moss Fissident appauvri
Range of occurrence in Canada (province/territory/ocean): BC

Demographic Information

Generation time (usually average age of parents in the population; indicate if another method of estimating generation time indicated in the IUCN guidelines(2008) is being used)	Unknown
Is there an [observed, inferred, or projected] continuing decline in number of mature individuals?	Unknown, but population has varied in size in past 10 yrs
Estimated percent of continuing decline in total number of mature individuals within [5 years or 2 generations]	Unknown
[Observed, estimated, inferred, or suspected] percent [reduction or increase] in total number of mature individuals over the last [10 years, or 3 generations].	Unknown
[Projected or suspected] percent [reduction or increase] in total number of mature individuals over the next [10 years, or 3 generations].	Unknown
[Observed, estimated, inferred, or suspected] percent [reduction or increase] in total number of mature individuals over any [10 years, or 3 generations] period, over a time period including both the past and the future.	Unknown
Are the causes of the decline clearly reversible and understood and ceased?	No
Are there extreme fluctuations in number of mature individuals?	No

Extent and Occupancy Information

Estimated extent of occurrence	4 km ² based on the size
	of one 2x2 km ² grid
	square. Biological EO is
	much less.
Index of area of occupancy (IAO)	1 grid = 4 km² (based
	on 2x2 km ² grids).
Is the total population severely fragmented?	No
Number of "locations*"	1
Is there an [observed, inferred, or projected] continuing decline in extent of	Unknown
occurrence?	
Is there an [observed, inferred, or projected] continuing decline in index of	Unknown
area of occupancy?	
Is there an [observed, inferred, or projected] continuing decline in number of	Unknown
populations?	
Is there an [observed, inferred, or projected] continuing decline in number of	Unknown
locations?	
Is there an [observed, inferred, or projected] continuing decline in [area,	Unknown
extent and/or quality] of habitat?	
Are there extreme fluctuations in number of populations?	No
Are there extreme fluctuations in number of locations*?	No
Are there extreme fluctuations in extent of occurrence?	No
Are there extreme fluctuations in index of area of occupancy?	No

^{*} See definition of location.

Number of Mature Individuals (in each population)

Population	N Mature Individuals
1 population with 6 colonies, sizes = 1 m^2 , 60 cm^2 , 150 cm^2 , 30 cm^2 , 40 cm^2 ,	6 colonies totalling
50 cm ²	about 1.03 m ²
Total	6 colonies

Quantitative Analysis

Probability of extinction in the wild is at least [20% within 20 years or 5	Unknown
generations, or 10% within 100 years].	

Threats (actual or imminent, to populations or habitats)

Stochastic events such as high rainfall events; hikers; changes in tree canopy structure; encroachment of habitat by other bryophytes.

Rescue Effect (immigration from outside Canada)

riocour = moot (mmmgramon morn cutorac camana)	_
Status of outside population(s)? Apparently rare throughout global range.	
Is immigration known or possible?	No
Would immigrants be adapted to survive in Canada?	Yes
Is there sufficient habitat for immigrants in Canada?	Unknown
Is rescue from outside populations likely?	No

Status and Reasons for Designation

Status:	Alpha-numeric code:
Endangered	D1 D1

Reason for Designation:

This western North American endemic reaches its northern range limit at a single, isolated Canadian locality in southwestern British Columbia. Here, it occurs as several small colonies within a geographically restricted area, making the Canadian population especially vulnerable to human disturbance and events such as unusually heavy local rainfall, erosion, and treefall.

Applicability of Criteria
Criterion A:
Not applicable: data are not available to calculate decline.
Criterion B:
Not applicable: decline and fluctuation data are not available.
Criterion C:
Not applicable: decline data are not available.
Criterion D:
Meets criteria for EN (numbers of individuals <250 individuals, actual = 6).
Criterion E:
Not applicable.



COSEWIC HISTORY

The Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada (COSEWIC) was created in 1977 as a result of a recommendation at the Federal-Provincial Wildlife Conference held in 1976. It arose from the need for a single, official, scientifically sound, national listing of wildlife species at risk. In 1978, COSEWIC designated its first species and produced its first list of Canadian species at risk. Species designated at meetings of the full committee are added to the list. On June 5, 2003, the *Species at Risk Act* (SARA) was proclaimed. SARA establishes COSEWIC as an advisory body ensuring that species will continue to be assessed under a rigorous and independent scientific process.

COSEWIC MANDATE

The Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada (COSEWIC) assesses the national status of wild species, subspecies, varieties, or other designatable units that are considered to be at risk in Canada. Designations are made on native species for the following taxonomic groups: mammals, birds, reptiles, amphibians, fishes, arthropods, molluscs, vascular plants, mosses, and lichens.

COSEWIC MEMBERSHIP

COSEWIC comprises members from each provincial and territorial government wildlife agency, four federal entities (Canadian Wildlife Service, Parks Canada Agency, Department of Fisheries and Oceans, and the Federal Biodiversity Information Partnership, chaired by the Canadian Museum of Nature), three non-government science members and the co-chairs of the species specialist subcommittees and the Aboriginal Traditional Knowledge subcommittee. The Committee meets to consider status reports on candidate species.

DEFINITIONS (2011)

Wildlife Species A species, subspecies, variety, or geographically or genetically distinct population of animal,

plant or other organism, other than a bacterium or virus, that is wild by nature and is either native to Canada or has extended its range into Canada without human intervention and

has been present in Canada for at least 50 years.

Extinct (X) A wildlife species that no longer exists.

Extirpated (XT) A wildlife species no longer existing in the wild in Canada, but occurring elsewhere.

Endangered (E) A wildlife species facing imminent extirpation or extinction.

Threatened (T) A wildlife species likely to become endangered if limiting factors are not reversed.

Special Concern (SC)* A wildlife species that may become a threatened or an endangered species because of a

combination of biological characteristics and identified threats.

Not at Risk (NAR)** A wildlife species that has been evaluated and found to be not at risk of extinction given the

current circumstances.

Data Deficient (DD)*** A category that applies when the available information is insufficient (a) to resolve a

species' eligibility for assessment or (b) to permit an assessment of the species' risk of

extinction.

- * Formerly described as "Vulnerable" from 1990 to 1999, or "Rare" prior to 1990.
- ** Formerly described as "Not In Any Category", or "No Designation Required."
- *** Formerly described as "Indeterminate" from 1994 to 1999 or "ISIBD" (insufficient scientific information on which to base a designation) prior to 1994. Definition of the (DD) category revised in 2006.



Environment Canada Environnement Canada

Canadian Wildlife Service Service canadien de la faune



The Canadian Wildlife Service, Environment Canada, provides full administrative and financial support to the COSEWIC Secretariat.