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## Highlights

The total value of permits rose $7.5 \%$ to $\$ 6.5$ billion in February, following an $11.4 \%$ decline in January. The advance in February was the result of an increase in the non-residential sector, which offset the decrease in the residential sector.

## Analysis - February 2012

The total value of permits rose $7.5 \%$ to $\$ 6.5$ billion in February, following an $11.4 \%$ decline in January. The advance in February was the result of an increase in the non-residential sector, which offset the decrease in the residential sector.

In the non-residential sector, the value of permits increased $36.2 \%$ to $\$ 2.5$ billion, after decreasing $20.6 \%$ in January. The increase resulted from higher non-residential construction intentions in seven provinces. British Columbia, Quebec and Alberta were largely responsible for the advance.

In contrast, the value of residential permits declined to $\$ 3.9$ billion, $5.3 \%$ less than in January. The decrease in February was mainly attributable to lower construction intentions for single-family and multi-family dwellings in Ontario. Declines were also posted in five other provinces. The largest gains were observed in Alberta, Quebec and British Columbia.

## Note to readers

Unless otherwise stated, this release presents seasonally adjusted data, which facilitates comparisons by removing the effects of seasonal variations.

The Building Permits Survey covers 2,400 municipalities representing $95 \%$ of the population. It provides an early indication of building activity.

The communities representing the other $5 \%$ of the population are very small, and their levels of building activity have little impact on the total.

The value of planned construction activities shown in this release excludes engineering projects (for example, waterworks, sewers or culverts) and land.

For the purpose of this release, the census metropolitan area of Ottawa-Gatineau (Ontario/Quebec) is divided into two areas:
Gatineau part and Ottawa part.

## Revision

Preliminary data are provided for the current reference month. Revised data, based on late responses, are updated for the previous month.

## Non-residential sector: Increases in all three components

After three consecutive monthly declines, the value of permits in the industrial component rose $151.1 \%$ to $\$ 714$ million in February, the highest level since February 2011. Industrial construction intentions were up in every province except Prince Edward Island and Saskatchewan. The largest increases were in building permits for manufacturing plants in British Columbia, Quebec, Ontario and Alberta.

In the institutional component, the value of permits grew $64.8 \%$ to $\$ 517$ million. The advance followed three consecutive monthly declines. February's increase was the result of higher construction intentions for a variety of structures in several provinces, including government buildings, educational institutions and medical buildings. The value of institutional permits was up in seven provinces, led by Quebec, Alberta and Ontario.

The value of commercial building permits rose $3.4 \%$ to $\$ 1.3$ billion, following a $19.7 \%$ decline in January. The increase was largely attributable to construction intentions for office buildings and retail stores in Alberta. Higher construction intentions for various types of commercial buildings in other provinces also contributed to the advance.

## Residential sector: Lower intentions for single-family and multi-family dwellings

Contractors took out $\$ 2.3$ billion worth of building permits for single-family dwellings in February, down $6.7 \%$ from January and the second consecutive monthly decline. Ontario posted the largest decline in single-family permits; however, five provinces recorded gains.

Construction intentions for multi-family dwellings fell $3.3 \%$ to $\$ 1.6$ billion in February. The decrease was attributable to lower construction intentions in five provinces, mainly Ontario. Quebec posted the largest gain, followed by Alberta.

Canadian municipalities approved the construction of 17,259 new dwellings in February, down 2.0\% from January. The decrease was attributable to single-family dwellings, which declined $6.9 \%$ to 6,922 units. Multi-family dwellings, however, rose $1.6 \%$ to 10,337 units.

## British Columbia, Quebec and Alberta post the largest gains

The total value of building permits was up in six provinces in February.
British Columbia had the largest increase, after two consecutive monthly declines. The advance was attributable to higher construction intentions in all components except the commercial component.

Quebec and Alberta posted the second- and third-largest gains respectively in February as a result of increases in the residential and non-residential sectors.

Ontario reported the largest decline, a result of lower construction intentions in the residential sector and, to a lesser extent, for commercial buildings.

## Permit values up in most census metropolitan areas

The total value of permits was up in 23 of the 34 census metropolitan areas.
The largest increases were in Calgary, Edmonton and Montréal. In Calgary, the advance was primarily the result of building permits for residential construction and commercial and institutional buildings. In Edmonton, the gain stemmed from higher construction intentions in the residential sector and for industrial and institutional buildings. In Montréal, the increase was mainly attributable to higher construction intentions for non-residential buildings and multi-family dwellings.

Toronto, Ottawa and Hamilton reported the largest declines. In Toronto, the decrease resulted in particular from lower intentions in the residential sector, which offset the gain in the non-residential sector. In Ottawa, the decline was attributable to lower intentions in every component except the institutional component. In Hamilton, the downturn was primarily because of lower construction intentions in the residential sector and for institutional buildings.
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## Chart 5

Commercial value of building permits


Chart 6
Industrial value of building permits


Chart 7
Institutional and governmental value of building permits
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## Selected technical and analytical products from Statistics Canada
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## Selected CANSIM tables from Statistics Canada

| 026-0001 | Building permits, residential values and number of units, by type of dwelling, monthly |
| :--- | :--- |
| $026-0002$ | Building permits, dwelling units by type of dwelling and area, monthly |
| $026-0003$ | Building permits, values by activity sector, monthly |
| $026-0004$ | Building permits, values by activity sector and area, monthly |
| $026-0005$ | Building permits, non-residential values by type of structure, monthly |
| $026-0006$ | Building permits, by type of structure and area, seasonally adjusted, monthly |
| $026-0007$ | Building permits, dwelling units by type of structure and value and by activity sector, monthly |
| $026-0008$ | Building permits, values by activity sector, seasonally adjusted and unadjusted, monthly |
| $026-0010$ | Burban centres, 10,000 and over, monthly |

## Selected surveys from Statistics Canada

$2802 \quad$ Building Permits Survey

## Selected summary tables from Statistics Canada

- Value of building permits, province and territory (monthly)
- Value of building permits, census metropolitan area (monthly)
- Economic indicators, by province and territory (monthly and quarterly)
- Value of building permits, by province and territory
- Value of building permits by type


## Statistical tables

Table 1
Total value of building permits, provinces and territories, seasonally adjusted

|  | $\frac{2012}{\text { February }}$ | $\frac{2012}{\text { January } r}$ | February <br> to January | January December | December to November | November to October | $\begin{array}{r} \text { October } \\ \text { to } \\ \text { September } \end{array}$ | September to August |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | thousands of dollars |  | percentage change |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canada | 6,513,411 | 6,061,420 | 7.5 | -11.4 | 10.5 | -1.1 | 11.7 | -7.0 |
| Newfoundland and Labrador | 101,307 | 100,473 | 0.8 | 36.5 | -5.9 | -35.9 | 63.2 | -26.6 |
| Prince Edward Island | 8,565 | 29,656 | -71.1 | 43.7 | -6.1 | 14.5 | -5.9 | -29.2 |
| Nova Scotia | 124,901 | 101,956 | 22.5 | -28.4 | 11.9 | 60.1 | -39.5 | 2.2 |
| New Brunswick | 69,517 | 60,604 | 14.7 | -20.0 | 0.5 | -6.4 | -7.3 | -11.5 |
| Quebec | 1,374,611 | 1,157,369 | 18.8 | -8.6 | 6.6 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Ontario | 2,271,412 | 2,544,570 | -10.7 | -9.7 | 22.9 | -11.9 | 32.5 | -5.8 |
| Manitoba | 173,224 | 202,468 | -14.4 | 38.5 | -28.4 | 11.6 | 26.5 | 2.0 |
| Saskatchewan | 201,415 | 206,755 | -2.6 | 18.7 | -31.8 | -9.0 | 27.1 | 2.6 |
| Alberta | 1,142,484 | 936,674 | 22.0 | -28.9 | 34.3 | 0.9 | -11.7 | -8.1 |
| British Columbia | 1,040,311 | 714,862 | 45.5 | -10.4 | -15.8 | 34.3 | 7.1 | -20.5 |
| Yukon | 3,012 | 4,290 | -29.8 | -29.9 | 55.1 | -79.4 | 75.8 | 26.5 |
| Northwest Territories | 2,652 | 1,743 | 52.2 | 115.2 | -55.8 | -20.7 | 27.6 | -17.7 |
| Nunavut | 0 | 0 | ... | $\ldots$ | -100.0 | 233.4 | -21.9 | 21.1 |

Table 2
Non-residential value of building permits, provinces and territories, seasonally adjusted

|  | $\frac{2012}{\text { February }}$ | $\frac{2012}{\text { January }^{r}}$ | February to January | January to December | December to November | November to October | $\begin{array}{r} \text { October } \\ \text { to } \\ \text { September } \end{array}$ | September to August |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | thousands of dollars |  | percentage change |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canada | 2,543,825 | 1,867,801 | 36.2 | -20.6 | 0.3 | -12.4 | 31.0 | -16.1 |
| Newfoundland and Labrador | 12,795 | 16,223 | -21.1 | 58.7 | -21.5 | -79.5 | 246.6 | -58.5 |
| Prince Edward Island | 425 | 11,012 | -96.1 | 57.4 | -35.9 | 31.1 | 6.6 | -36.5 |
| Nova Scotia | 64,223 | 16,111 | 298.6 | -73.0 | 63.8 | 58.7 | -55.2 | 11.6 |
| New Brunswick | 22,691 | 13,680 | 65.9 | -49.9 | 2.5 | -20.7 | -15.5 | -3.1 |
| Quebec | 482,304 | 332,154 | 45.2 | -18.1 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 2.3 | 4.2 |
| Ontario | 799,457 | 731,256 | 9.3 | -8.5 | -15.9 | -28.8 | 87.2 | -23.2 |
| Manitoba | 76,651 | 65,759 | 16.6 | 59.0 | -59.5 | 23.3 | 46.0 | 15.3 |
| Saskatchewan | 71,649 | 74,218 | -3.5 | 51.6 | -60.2 | -4.1 | 4.9 | 29.5 |
| Alberta | 488,446 | 355,027 | 37.6 | -46.6 | 73.4 | 3.8 | -18.3 | -20.2 |
| British Columbia | 522,208 | 250,761 | 108.2 | -12.3 | -3.8 | 36.8 | 18.2 | -32.6 |
| Yukon | 686 | 218 | 214.7 | -91.9 | 115.4 | -91.8 | 187.6 | 49.1 |
| Northwest Territories | 2,290 | 1,382 | 65.7 | 1,101.7 | -80.5 | -50.7 | 9.9 | 24.7 |
| Nunavut | 0 | 0 | ... | ... | -100.0 | -2.9 | -82.1 | ... |

Table 3
Residential value of building permits, provinces and territories, seasonally adjusted

|  | $\frac{2012}{\text { February }}$ | $\frac{2012}{\text { January }^{r}}$ | February to January | January to December | December to November | November to October | $\begin{array}{r} \text { October } \\ \text { to } \\ \text { September } \end{array}$ | September to August |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | thousands of dollars |  | percentage change |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canada | 3,969,586 | 4,193,619 | -5.3 | -6.5 | 16.7 | 7.3 | 0.5 | -0.8 |
| Newfoundland and Labrador | 88,512 | 84,250 | 5.1 | 32.9 | -2.8 | 11.7 | 3.5 | -2.1 |
| Prince Edward Island | 8,140 | 18,644 | -56.3 | 36.7 | 23.4 | 1.8 | -13.6 | -23.8 |
| Nova Scotia | 60,678 | 85,845 | -29.3 | 3.8 | -8.9 | 60.6 | -29.5 | -3.0 |
| New Brunswick | 46,826 | 46,924 | -0.2 | -3.1 | -0.6 | 3.8 | -0.3 | -17.6 |
| Quebec | 892,307 | 825,215 | 8.1 | -4.1 | 9.3 | 0.2 | -0.8 | -1.7 |
| Ontario | 1,471,955 | 1,813,314 | -18.8 | -10.2 | 50.3 | 5.8 | 1.4 | 8.1 |
| Manitoba | 96,573 | 136,709 | -29.4 | 30.4 | 2.9 | 1.9 | 14.0 | -5.1 |
| Saskatchewan | 129,766 | 132,537 | -2.1 | 5.9 | -5.6 | -13.1 | 54.7 | -18.4 |
| Alberta | 654,038 | 581,647 | 12.4 | -10.9 | 9.2 | -0.9 | -7.2 | 2.8 |
| British Columbia | 518,103 | 464,101 | 11.6 | -9.4 | -21.3 | 33.2 | 2.8 | -14.6 |
| Yukon | 2,326 | 4,072 | -42.9 | 19.0 | 27.1 | -31.3 | -29.9 | 10.6 |
| Northwest Territories | 362 | 361 | 0.3 | -48.1 | -44.2 | 11.4 | 54.2 | -45.5 |
| Nunavut | 0 | 0 | ... | ... | -100.0 | 247.3 | -2.5 | -8.4 |

Table 4
Number of dwelling units authorized, province and territories, seasonally adjusted at annual rate

|  | $\frac{2012}{\text { February }} p$ | $\frac{2012}{\text { January }^{r}}$ | February <br> to January | January to December | December <br> to November | November to October | $\begin{array}{r} \text { October } \\ \text { to } \\ \text { September } \end{array}$ | September to August |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | unit |  | percentage change |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canada | 207,108 | 211,356 | -2.0 | -7.9 | 13.6 | 5.1 | -4.4 | 5.7 |
| Newfoundland and Labrador | 3,396 | 3,420 | -0.7 | 17.3 | -13.2 | 2.6 | 1.5 | 9.3 |
| Prince Edward Island | 432 | 1,128 | -61.7 | -12.1 | 52.9 | -12.5 | -7.0 | -39.9 |
| Nova Scotia | 2,988 | 5,088 | -41.3 | -16.7 | -2.5 | 88.4 | -46.9 | 15.0 |
| New Brunswick | 2,424 | 1,872 | 29.5 | -35.8 | -6.5 | -11.0 | -12.6 | -27.5 |
| Quebec | 57,288 | 49,044 | 16.8 | -6.5 | 5.7 | 1.0 | -4.0 | 0.0 |
| Ontario | 72,624 | 88,068 | -17.5 | -11.6 | 58.0 | 4.1 | -7.6 | 29.5 |
| Manitoba | 5,268 | 8,652 | -39.1 | 33.3 | -25.6 | 46.9 | 14.1 | 20.6 |
| Saskatchewan | 6,348 | 6,816 | -6.9 | -0.2 | -6.1 | -15.8 | 64.4 | -32.9 |
| Alberta | 30,984 | 26,580 | 16.6 | -7.8 | -2.0 | -5.3 | -4.1 | -3.2 |
| British Columbia | 24,996 | 20,352 | 22.8 | -5.8 | -27.0 | 17.9 | -3.4 | -1.4 |
| Yukon | 360 | 336 | 7.1 | 12.0 | 127.3 | -68.6 | -10.3 | 30.0 |
| Northwest Territories | 0 | 0 | ... | ... | -100.0 | 0.0 | 300.0 | 0.0 |
| Nunavut | 0 | 0 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | -100.0 | 290.0 | -58.3 | 60.0 |

Table 5
Dwelling units, value of residential and non-residential building permits, provinces and territories, seasonally adjusted, 2012

|  | Number of dwelling units |  |  | Estimated value of construction |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Singles ${ }^{1}$ | Multiples | Total dwellings | Residential | Non-residential |  |  |  | Total |
|  |  |  |  |  | Industrial | Commercial |  | Total |  |
|  | units |  |  | thousands of dollars |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canada |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 7,437 | 10,176 | 17,613 | 4,193,619 | 284,559 | 1,269,554 | 313,688 | 1,867,801 | 6,061,420 |
| February $p$ | 6,922 | 10,337 | 17,259 | 3,969,586 | 714,457 | 1,312,309 | 517,059 | 2,543,825 | 6,513,411 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 14,359 | 20,513 | 34,872 | 8,163,205 | 999,016 | 2,581,863 | 830,747 | 4,411,626 | 12,574,831 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 13,885 | 16,605 | 30,490 | 6,632,296 | 1,269,126 | 2,190,512 | 1,114,029 | 4,573,667 | 11,205,963 |
| Newfoundland and Labrador |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 202 | 83 | 285 | 84,250 | 125 | 14,093 | 2,005 | 16,223 | 100,473 |
| February ${ }^{\text {p }}$ | 209 | 74 | 283 | 88,512 | 1,449 | 11,342 | 4 | 12,795 | 101,307 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 411 | 157 | 568 | 172,762 | 1,574 | 25,435 | 2,009 | 29,018 | 201,780 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 432 | 78 | 510 | 121,698 | 393 | 22,977 | 6,663 | 30,033 | 151,731 |
| Prince Edward Island |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January r | 78 | 16 | 94 | 18,644 | 3,013 | 599 | 7,400 | 11,012 | 29,656 |
| February p | 33 | 3 | 36 | 8,140 | 90 | 335 | 0 | 425 | 8,565 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 111 | 19 | 130 | 26,784 | 3,103 | 934 | 7,400 | 11,437 | 38,221 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 82 | 4 | 86 | 15,927 | 1,298 | 13,554 | 1,029 | 15,881 | 31,808 |
| Nova Scotia |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January r | 272 | 152 | 424 | 85,845 | 1,129 | 13,957 | 1,025 | 16,111 | 101,956 |
| February p | 226 | 23 | 249 | 60,678 | 10,321 | 13,969 | 39,933 | 64,223 | 124,901 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 498 | 175 | 673 | 146,523 | 11,450 | 27,926 | 40,958 | 80,334 | 226,857 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 407 | 303 | 710 | 152,454 | 1,353 | 57,934 | 16,538 | 75,825 | 228,279 |
| New Brunswick |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 141 | 15 | 156 | 46,924 | 1,511 | 10,763 | 1,406 | 13,680 | 60,604 |
| February p | 177 | 25 | 202 | 46,826 | 2,949 | 15,168 | 4,574 | 22,691 | 69,517 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 318 | 40 | 358 | 93,750 | 4,460 | 25,931 | 5,980 | 36,371 | 130,121 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 258 | 28 | 286 | 70,313 | 3,636 | 29,328 | 11,759 | 44,723 | 115,036 |
| Quebec |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 1,179 | 2,908 | 4,087 | 825,215 | 43,297 | 182,904 | 105,953 | 332,154 | 1,157,369 |
| February $p$ | 1,380 | 3,394 | 4,774 | 892,307 | 104,420 | 216,468 | 161,416 | 482,304 | 1,374,611 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 2,559 | 6,302 | 8,861 | 1,717,522 | 147,717 | 399,372 | 267,369 | 814,458 | 2,531,980 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 3,179 | 6,504 | 9,683 | 1,839,261 | 112,231 | 406,062 | 190,696 | 708,989 | 2,548,250 |
| Ontario |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 2,808 | 4,531 | 7,339 | 1,813,314 | 117,680 | 499,850 | 113,726 | 731,256 | 2,544,570 |
| February ${ }^{\text {p }}$ | 2,114 | 3,938 | 6,052 | 1,471,955 | 174,103 | 466,326 | 159,028 | 799,457 | 2,271,412 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 4,922 | 8,469 | 13,391 | 3,285,269 | 291,783 | 966,176 | 272,754 | 1,530,713 | 4,815,982 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 4,556 | 5,200 | 9,756 | 2,223,651 | 414,240 | 766,241 | 717,692 | 1,898,173 | 4,121,824 |
| Manitoba |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 418 | 303 | 721 | 136,709 | 2,445 | 48,383 | 14,931 | 65,759 | 202,468 |
| February ${ }^{\text {p }}$ | 339 | 100 | 439 | 96,573 | 4,449 | 68,482 | 3,720 | 76,651 | 173,224 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 757 | 403 | 1,160 | 233,282 | 6,894 | 116,865 | 18,651 | 142,410 | 375,692 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 620 | 452 | 1,072 | 197,406 | 9,774 | 45,011 | 2,728 | 57,513 | 254,919 |
| Saskatchewan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 390 | 178 | 568 | 132,537 | 13,804 | 55,806 | 4,608 | 74,218 | 206,755 |
| February ${ }^{\text {p }}$ | 382 | 147 | 529 | 129,766 | 12,394 | 54,573 | 4,682 | 71,649 | 201,415 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 772 | 325 | 1,097 | 262,303 | 26,198 | 110,379 | 9,290 | 145,867 | 408,170 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 618 | 239 | 857 | 202,250 | 8,121 | 129,422 | 24,534 | 162,077 | 364,327 |
| Alberta |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 1,316 | 899 | 2,215 | 581,647 | 60,053 | 252,752 | 42,222 | 355,027 | 936,674 |
| February p | 1,365 | 1,217 | 2,582 | 654,038 | 93,871 | 304,057 | 90,518 | 488,446 | 1,142,484 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 2,681 | 2,116 | 4,797 | 1,235,685 | 153,924 | 556,809 | 132,740 | 843,473 | 2,079,158 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 2,379 | 960 | 3,339 | 903,391 | 690,737 | 528,047 | 58,016 | 1,276,800 | 2,180,191 |

See notes at the end of the table.

Table 5 - continued
Dwelling units, value of residential and non-residential building permits, provinces and territories, seasonally adjusted, 2012

|  | Number of dwelling units |  |  | Estimated value of construction |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Singles ${ }^{1}$ | Multiples | Total dwellings | Residential | Non-residential |  |  |  | Total |
|  |  |  |  |  | Industrial | Commercial | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Institutional } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { governmental } \end{array}$ | Total |  |
|  | units |  |  | thousands of dollars |  |  |  |  |  |
| British Columbia |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January r | 605 | 1,091 | 1,696 | 464,101 | 41,422 | 190,227 | 19,112 | 250,761 | 714,862 |
| February p | 667 | 1,416 | 2,083 | 518,103 | 308,090 | 161,047 | 53,071 | 522,208 | 1,040,311 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 1,272 | 2,507 | 3,779 | 982,204 | 349,512 | 351,274 | 72,183 | 772,969 | 1,755,173 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 1,302 | 2,833 | 4,135 | 893,271 | 26,547 | 190,159 | 84,133 | 300,839 | 1,194,110 |
| Yukon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January r | 28 | 0 | 28 | 4,072 | 80 | 38 | 100 | 218 | 4,290 |
| February p | 30 | 0 | 30 | 2,326 | 371 | 202 | 113 | 686 | 3,012 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 58 | 0 | 58 | 6,398 | 451 | 240 | 213 | 904 | 7,302 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 52 | 0 | 52 | 11,109 | 707 | 663 | 241 | 1,611 | 12,720 |
| Northwest Territories |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 361 | 0 | 182 | 1,200 | 1,382 | 1,743 |
| February ${ }^{p}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 362 | 1,950 | 340 | 0 | 2,290 | 2,652 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 723 | 1,950 | 522 | 1,200 | 3,672 | 4,395 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 965 | 89 | 1,113 | 0 | 1,202 | 2,167 |
| Nunavut |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| February p | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 600 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 601 |

1. Included in this category are the following types of dwellings: single-detached, mobile home and cottage.

Table 6
Dwelling units, value of residential and non-residential building permits, census metropolitan areas, seasonally adjusted, 2012

|  | Number of dwelling units |  |  | Estimated value of construction |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Singles ${ }^{1}$ | Multiples | Total dwellings | Residential | Non-residential |  |  |  | Total |
|  |  |  |  |  | Industrial | Commercial |  | Total |  |
|  | units |  |  | thousands of dollars |  |  |  |  |  |
| Abbotsford-Mission, British Columbia |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January r | 26 | 48 | 74 | 14,542 | 2,738 | 524 | 0 | 3,262 | 17,804 |
| February p | 23 | 6 | 29 | 5,574 | 975 | 0 | 12,002 | 12,977 | 18,551 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 49 | 54 | 103 | 20,116 | 3,713 | 524 | 12,002 | 16,239 | 36,355 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 39 | 93 | 132 | 22,293 | 1,662 | 1,912 | 1,200 | 4,774 | 27,067 |
| Barrie, Ontario |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January r | 5 | 2 | 7 | 3,469 | 240 | 2,742 | 36 | 3,018 | 6,487 |
| February p | 20 | 0 | 20 | 7,508 | 12,050 | 3,861 | 1,503 | 17,414 | 24,922 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 25 | 2 | 27 | 10,977 | 12,290 | 6,603 | 1,539 | 20,432 | 31,409 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 16 | 8 | 24 | 8,381 | 465 | 8,927 | 2,880 | 12,272 | 20,653 |
| Brantford, Ontario |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January r | 35 | 66 | 101 | 13,034 | 210 | 916 | 792 | 1,918 | 14,952 |
| February $p$ | 18 | 9 | 27 | 3,827 | 1,249 | 287 | 752 | 2,288 | 6,115 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 53 | 75 | 128 | 16,861 | 1,459 | 1,203 | 1,544 | 4,206 | 21,067 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 56 | 45 | 101 | 13,033 | 87 | 9,067 | 158 | 9,312 | 22,345 |
| Calgary, Alberta |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 505 | 408 | 913 | 235,181 | 4,474 | 61,175 | 3,357 | 69,006 | 304,187 |
| February p | 510 | 493 | 1,003 | 255,996 | 6,496 | 133,209 | 49,651 | 189,356 | 445,352 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 1,015 | 901 | 1,916 | 491,177 | 10,970 | 194,384 | 53,008 | 258,362 | 749,539 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 905 | 327 | 1,232 | 324,614 | 611,932 | 158,290 | 21,585 | 791,807 | 1,116,421 |
| Edmonton, Alberta |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January r | 427 | 350 | 777 | 205,346 | 13,292 | 78,695 | 21,262 | 113,249 | 318,595 |
| February p | 473 | 591 | 1,064 | 270,206 | 44,998 | 62,220 | 24,806 | 132,024 | 402,230 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 900 | 941 | 1,841 | 475,552 | 58,290 | 140,915 | 46,068 | 245,273 | 720,825 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 762 | 471 | 1,233 | 335,785 | 11,468 | 132,468 | 32,689 | 176,625 | 512,410 |
| Greater Sudbury, Ontario |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January r | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1,905 | 256 | 1,185 | 1,096 | 2,537 | 4,442 |
| February p | 2 | 2 | 4 | 3,498 | 224 | 826 | 690 | 1,740 | 5,238 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 5,403 | 480 | 2,011 | 1,786 | 4,277 | 9,680 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 4,285 | 2,435 | 8,303 | 16,583 | 27,321 | 31,606 |
| Guelph, Ontario |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 20 | 140 | 160 | 23,255 | 515 | 17,233 | 1,010 | 18,758 | 42,013 |
| February p | 33 | 17 | 50 | 13,258 | 180 | 4,849 | 528 | 5,557 | 18,815 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 53 | 157 | 210 | 36,513 | 695 | 22,082 | 1,538 | 24,315 | 60,828 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 69 | 42 | 111 | 21,834 | 4,912 | 2,418 | 1,060 | 8,390 | 30,224 |
| Halifax, Nova Scotia |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January r | 103 | 114 | 217 | 43,181 | 495 | 6,985 | 935 | 8,415 | 51,596 |
| February p | 79 | 15 | 94 | 22,195 | 9,625 | 10,534 | 37,430 | 57,589 | 79,784 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 182 | 129 | 311 | 65,376 | 10,120 | 17,519 | 38,365 | 66,004 | 131,380 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 168 | 271 | 439 | 81,328 | 494 | 28,187 | 715 | 29,396 | 110,724 |
| Hamilton, Ontario |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 258 | 166 | 424 | 121,156 | 2,075 | 13,673 | 37,554 | 53,302 | 174,458 |
| February p | 240 | 119 | 359 | 102,503 | 4,214 | 19,016 | 4,997 | 28,227 | 130,730 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 498 | 285 | 783 | 223,659 | 6,289 | 32,689 | 42,551 | 81,529 | 305,188 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 305 | 382 | 687 | 150,919 | 8,969 | 60,652 | 8,621 | 78,242 | 229,161 |
| Kelowna, British Columbia |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January r | 16 | 6 | 22 | 7,167 | 77 | 579 | 254 | 910 | 8,077 |
| February ${ }^{p}$ | 15 | 33 | 48 | 12,807 | 698 | 5,129 | 945 | 6,772 | 19,579 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 31 | 39 | 70 | 19,974 | 775 | 5,708 | 1,199 | 7,682 | 27,656 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 54 | 27 | 81 | 20,584 | 631 | 7,141 | 156 | 7,928 | 28,512 |

See notes at the end of the table.

Table 6 - continued
Dwelling units, value of residential and non-residential building permits, census metropolitan areas, seasonally adjusted, 2012

|  | Number of dwelling units |  |  | Estimated value of construction |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Singles ${ }^{1}$ | Multiples | Total dwellings | Residential | Non-residential |  |  |  | Total |
|  |  |  |  |  | Industrial | Commercial | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Institutional } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { governmental } \end{array}$ | Total |  |
|  | units |  |  | thousands of dollars |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston, Ontario |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January r | 20 | 0 | 20 | 5,321 | 421 | 3,718 | 925 | 5,064 | 10,385 |
| February $p$ | 26 | 2 | 28 | 6,471 | 430 | 5,923 | 885 | 7,238 | 13,709 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 46 | 2 | 48 | 11,792 | 851 | 9,641 | 1,810 | 12,302 | 24,094 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 52 | 36 | 88 | 14,878 | 460 | 1,343 | 195 | 1,998 | 16,876 |
| Kitchener-Cambridge-Waterloo, Ontario |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January $r$ | 104 | 34 | 138 | 38,777 | 843 | 20,823 | 5,170 | 26,836 | 65,613 |
| February $p$ | 109 | 98 | 207 | 60,507 | 465 | 24,740 | 6,673 | 31,878 | 92,385 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 213 | 132 | 345 | 99,284 | 1,308 | 45,563 | 11,843 | 58,714 | 157,998 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 207 | 255 | 462 | 95,761 | 164,344 | 60,710 | 9,560 | 234,614 | 330,375 |
| London, Ontario |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 98 | 42 | 140 | 40,742 | 1,147 | 11,713 | 495 | 13,355 | 54,097 |
| February p | 142 | 6 | 148 | 47,860 | 2,928 | 7,592 | 3,178 | 13,698 | 61,558 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 240 | 48 | 288 | 88,602 | 4,075 | 19,305 | 3,673 | 27,053 | 115,655 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 190 | 107 | 297 | 68,456 | 1,092 | 17,797 | 77,145 | 96,034 | 164,490 |
| Moncton, New Brunswick |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January $r$ d | 34 | 5 | 39 | 16,400 | 817 | 3,079 | 388 | 4,284 | 20,684 |
| February p | 25 | 20 | 45 | 11,213 | 65 | 2,795 | 190 | 3,050 | 14,263 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 59 | 25 | 84 | 27,613 | 882 | 5,874 | 578 | 7,334 | 34,947 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 7 | 5 | 12 | 3,766 | 1,227 | 3,868 | 9,273 | 14,368 | 18,134 |
| Montréal, Quebec |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January r | 429 | 1,210 | 1,639 | 369,081 | 11,943 | 115,970 | 42,114 | 170,027 | 539,108 |
| February $p$ | 361 | 1,805 | 2,166 | 423,216 | 22,897 | 116,971 | 44,063 | 183,931 | 607,147 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 790 | 3,015 | 3,805 | 792,297 | 34,840 | 232,941 | 86,177 | 353,958 | 1,146,255 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 1,119 | 4,036 | 5,155 | 994,163 | 37,677 | 178,548 | 83,369 | 299,594 | 1,293,757 |
| Oshawa, Ontario |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January r | 94 | 12 | 106 | 41,963 | 2,193 | 3,036 | 355 | 5,584 | 47,547 |
| February p | 59 | 145 | 204 | 47,425 | 20 | 2,400 | 595 | 3,015 | 50,440 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 153 | 157 | 310 | 89,388 | 2,213 | 5,436 | 950 | 8,599 | 97,987 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 110 | 87 | 197 | 59,026 | 812 | 9,659 | 22,574 | 33,045 | 92,071 |
| Ottawa-Gatineau, Ontario part, Ontario/Quebec |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 186 | 355 | 541 | 83,289 | 2,163 | 121,740 | 24,017 | 147,920 | 231,209 |
| February p | 52 | 156 | 208 | 53,865 | 280 | 80,107 | 36,846 | 117,233 | 171,098 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 238 | 511 | 749 | 137,154 | 2,443 | 201,847 | 60,863 | 265,153 | 402,307 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 449 | 968 | 1,417 | 197,095 | 2,466 | 99,790 | 74,340 | 176,596 | 373,691 |
| Ottawa-Gatineau, Quebec part, Ontario/Quebec |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January r | 57 | 142 | 199 | 34,809 | 12 | 2,621 | 577 | 3,210 | 38,019 |
| February ${ }^{p}$ | 38 | 119 | 157 | 24,735 | 73 | 22,195 | 8,342 | 30,610 | 55,345 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 95 | 261 | 356 | 59,544 | 85 | 24,816 | 8,919 | 33,820 | 93,364 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 140 | 435 | 575 | 80,914 | 852 | 15,285 | 8,995 | 25,132 | 106,046 |
| Peterborough, Ontario |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January r | 16 | 7 | 23 | 5,313 | 578 | 1,798 | 10 | 2,386 | 7,699 |
| February $p$ d ${ }^{\text {p }}$ | 7 | 3 | 10 | 4,430 | 1,170 | 2,338 | 0 | 3,508 | 7,938 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 23 | 10 | 33 | 9,743 | 1,748 | 4,136 | 10 | 5,894 | 15,637 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 12 | 3 | 15 | 4,757 | 2,190 | 2,237 | 50 | 4,477 | 9,234 |
| Québec, Quebec |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 89 | 693 | 782 | 118,727 | 1,745 | 16,543 | 7,938 | 26,226 | 144,953 |
| February $p$ | 126 | 662 | 788 | 115,416 | 1,141 | 11,745 | 17,686 | 30,572 | 145,988 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 215 | 1,355 | 1,570 | 234,143 | 2,886 | 28,288 | 25,624 | 56,798 | 290,941 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 270 | 629 | 899 | 168,524 | 2,609 | 59,532 | 14,321 | 76,462 | 244,986 |

[^0]Table 6 - continued
Dwelling units, value of residential and non-residential building permits, census metropolitan areas, seasonally adjusted, 2012

|  | Number of dwelling units |  |  | Estimated value of construction |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Singles ${ }^{1}$ | Multiples | Total dwellings | Residential | Non-residential |  |  |  | Total |
|  |  |  |  |  | Industrial | Commercial |  | Total |  |
|  | units |  |  | thousands of dollars |  |  |  |  |  |
| Regina, Saskatchewan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January r | 117 | 106 | 223 | 40,924 | 7,904 | 12,602 | 454 | 20,960 | 61,884 |
| February p | 97 | 25 | 122 | 27,863 | 4,838 | 17,780 | 2,406 | 25,024 | 52,887 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 214 | 131 | 345 | 68,787 | 12,742 | 30,382 | 2,860 | 45,984 | 114,771 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 173 | 148 | 321 | 55,741 | 1,090 | 62,342 | 16,487 | 79,919 | 135,660 |
| Saguenay, Quebec |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 11 | 29 | 40 | 6,713 | 708 | 933 | 8,941 | 10,582 | 17,295 |
| February p | 23 | 35 | 58 | 9,957 | 256 | 628 | 1,673 | 2,557 | 12,514 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 34 | 64 | 98 | 16,670 | 964 | 1,561 | 10,614 | 13,139 | 29,809 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 66 | 39 | 105 | 21,205 | 3,013 | 4,386 | 4,763 | 12,162 | 33,367 |
| Saint John, New Brunswick |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 21 | 2 | 23 | 11,576 | 160 | 3,091 | 800 | 4,051 | 15,627 |
| February p | 39 | 4 | 43 | 10,622 | 0 | 958 | 1,350 | 2,308 | 12,930 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 60 | 6 | 66 | 22,198 | 160 | 4,049 | 2,150 | 6,359 | 28,557 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 60 | 8 | 68 | 16,817 | 490 | 5,184 | 105 | 5,779 | 22,596 |
| Saskatoon, Saskatchewan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 192 | 53 | 245 | 63,687 | 4,159 | 27,723 | 1,281 | 33,163 | 96,850 |
| February p | 189 | 73 | 262 | 68,220 | 5,571 | 22,510 | 1,939 | 30,020 | 98,240 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 381 | 126 | 507 | 131,907 | 9,730 | 50,233 | 3,220 | 63,183 | 195,090 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 291 | 42 | 333 | 101,120 | 6,246 | 18,055 | 2,870 | 27,171 | 128,291 |
| Sherbrooke, Quebec |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 35 | 124 | 159 | 25,992 | 2 | 7,197 | 2,136 | 9,335 | 35,327 |
| February ${ }^{\text {p }}$ | 84 | 79 | 163 | 30,742 | 295 | 8,581 | 25,498 | 34,374 | 65,116 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 119 | 203 | 322 | 56,734 | 297 | 15,778 | 27,634 | 43,709 | 100,443 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 165 | 248 | 413 | 60,877 | 1,676 | 3,500 | 22,745 | 27,921 | 88,798 |
| St. Catharines-Niagara, Ontario |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 83 | 21 | 104 | 26,622 | 2,867 | 6,385 | 64 | 9,316 | 35,938 |
| February p | 37 | 32 | 69 | 18,287 | 4,446 | 4,982 | 1,024 | 10,452 | 28,739 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 120 | 53 | 173 | 44,909 | 7,313 | 11,367 | 1,088 | 19,768 | 64,677 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 131 | 83 | 214 | 46,367 | 2,813 | 30,033 | 730 | 33,576 | 79,943 |
| St. John's, Newfoundland and Labrador |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 129 | 25 | 154 | 60,893 | 0 | 11,686 | 1,300 | 12,986 | 73,879 |
| February ${ }^{\text {p }}$ | 125 | 62 | 187 | 63,292 | 1,237 | 9,671 | 3 | 10,911 | 74,203 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 254 | 87 | 341 | 124,185 | 1,237 | 21,357 | 1,303 | 23,897 | 148,082 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 219 | 76 | 295 | 72,825 | 200 | 11,949 | 6,290 | 18,439 | 91,264 |
| Thunder Bay, Ontario |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 5 | 0 | 5 | 1,793 | 38 | 1,556 | 423 | 2,017 | 3,810 |
| February $p$ | 17 | 0 | 17 | 3,690 | 38 | 1,646 | 322 | 2,006 | 5,696 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 22 | 0 | 22 | 5,483 | 76 | 3,202 | 745 | 4,023 | 9,506 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 12 | 19 | 31 | 3,717 | 3,690 | 4,983 | 630 | 9,303 | 13,020 |
| Toronto, Ontario |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 1,183 | 3,451 | 4,634 | 1,163,084 | 50,700 | 251,896 | 29,970 | 332,566 | 1,495,650 |
| February ${ }^{\text {p }}$ | 785 | 3,143 | 3,928 | 889,934 | 109,784 | 264,384 | 50,835 | 425,003 | 1,314,937 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 1,968 | 6,594 | 8,562 | 2,053,018 | 160,484 | 516,280 | 80,805 | 757,569 | 2,810,587 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 1,741 | 2,616 | 4,357 | 1,097,753 | 63,215 | 321,404 | 476,587 | 861,206 | 1,958,959 |
| Trois-Rivières, Quebec |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 9 | 50 | 59 | 8,967 | 20 | 3,503 | 5,390 | 8,913 | 17,880 |
| February ${ }^{\text {p }}$ | 14 | 22 | 36 | 6,342 | 15 | 12,627 | 4,608 | 17,250 | 23,592 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 23 | 72 | 95 | 15,309 | 35 | 16,130 | 9,998 | 26,163 | 41,472 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 27 | 64 | 91 | 18,673 | 465 | 5,039 | 1,274 | 6,778 | 25,451 |

See notes at the end of the table.

Table 6 - continued
Dwelling units, value of residential and non-residential building permits, census metropolitan areas, seasonally adjusted, 2012

|  | Number of dwelling units |  |  | Estimated value of construction |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Singles ${ }^{1}$ | Multiples | Total dwellings | Residential | Non-residential |  |  |  | Total |
|  |  |  |  |  | Industrial | Commercial |  | Total |  |
|  | units |  |  | thousands of dollars |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vancouver, British Columbia |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January r | 275 | 646 | 921 | 263,131 | 32,774 | 142,633 | 9,545 | 184,952 | 448,083 |
| February p | 352 | 984 | 1,336 | 335,153 | 12,732 | 122,620 | 20,802 | 156,154 | 491,307 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 627 | 1,630 | 2,257 | 598,284 | 45,506 | 265,253 | 30,347 | 341,106 | 939,390 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 600 | 2,288 | 2,888 | 569,488 | 16,419 | 136,895 | 30,859 | 184,173 | 753,661 |
| Victoria, British Columbia |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January r | 57 | 144 | 201 | 35,974 | 954 | 3,150 | 85 | 4,189 | 40,163 |
| February p | 42 | 266 | 308 | 60,827 | 521 | 5,685 | 1,555 | 7,761 | 68,588 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 99 | 410 | 509 | 96,801 | 1,475 | 8,835 | 1,640 | 11,950 | 108,751 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 110 | 240 | 350 | 78,814 | 1,639 | 10,046 | 3,102 | 14,787 | 93,601 |
| Windsor, Ontario |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January r | 50 | 38 | 88 | 20,567 | 1,111 | 792 | 919 | 2,822 | 23,389 |
| February $p$ | 46 | 8 | 54 | 16,820 | 1,485 | 2,909 | 29,408 | 33,802 | 50,622 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 96 | 46 | 142 | 37,387 | 2,596 | 3,701 | 30,327 | 36,624 | 74,011 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 43 | 9 | 52 | 14,976 | 1,469 | 5,109 | 1,844 | 8,422 | 23,398 |
| Winnipeg, Manitoba |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January r | 223 | 287 | 510 | 81,781 | 531 | 17,031 | 14,385 | 31,947 | 113,728 |
| February p | 188 | 38 | 226 | 55,399 | 3,608 | 17,806 | 3,685 | 25,099 | 80,498 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 411 | 325 | 736 | 137,180 | 4,139 | 34,837 | 18,070 | 57,046 | 194,226 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 415 | 428 | 843 | 144,793 | 6,267 | 31,809 | 2,509 | 40,585 | 185,378 |

1. Included in this category are the following types of dwellings: single-detached, mobile home and cottage.

Table 7
Dwelling units, provinces and territories, unadjusted, 2012

|  | Singles, includes mobile homes | Cottages | Doubles | Rows | Apartments | Conversions | Total dwellings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | number of dwelling units |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canada |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January r | 4,372 | 10 | 768 | 1,821 | 5,870 | 460 | 13,301 |
| February p | 4,441 | 10 | 697 | 1,134 | 7,103 | 784 | 14,169 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 8,813 | 20 | 1,465 | 2,955 | 12,973 | 1,244 | 27,470 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 8,119 | 18 | 1,203 | 2,427 | 9,821 | 1,363 | 22,951 |
| Newfoundland and Labrador |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January r | 84 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 81 | 2 | 168 |
| February p | 76 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 54 | 10 | 150 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 160 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 135 | 12 | 318 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 118 | 0 | 1 | 28 | 36 | 13 | 196 |
| Prince Edward Island |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January r | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 12 | 33 |
| February ${ }^{p}$ | 8 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 12 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 25 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 13 | 45 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 23 |
| Nova Scotia |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 121 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 107 | 42 | 279 |
| February p | 105 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 8 | 8 | 129 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 226 | 4 | 8 | 5 | 115 | 50 | 408 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 180 | 2 | 28 | 13 | 260 | 4 | 487 |
| New Brunswick |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January r | 25 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 40 |
| February $p$ | 40 | 2 | 16 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 68 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 65 | 2 | 20 | 0 | 4 | 17 | 108 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 53 | 0 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 14 | 81 |
| Quebec |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January r | 512 | 3 | 166 | 98 | 1,322 | 130 | 2,231 |
| February p | 1,057 | 2 | 241 | 75 | 2,145 | 399 | 3,919 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 1,569 | 5 | 407 | 173 | 3,467 | 529 | 6,150 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 1,844 | 12 | 443 | 154 | 3,678 | 597 | 6,728 |
| Ontario |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January r | 1,860 | 1 | 422 | 1,324 | 2,641 | 75 | 6,323 |
| February p | 1,071 | 3 | 89 | 477 | 3,172 | 112 | 4,924 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 2,931 | 4 | 511 | 1,801 | 5,813 | 187 | 11,247 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 2,642 | 2 | 349 | 1,569 | 2,778 | 341 | 7,681 |
| Manitoba |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January r | 240 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 218 | 84 | 546 |
| February p | 182 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 73 | 23 | 282 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 422 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 291 | 107 | 828 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 381 | 0 | 2 | 22 | 421 | 8 | 834 |
| Saskatchewan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January r | 212 | 0 | 6 | 14 | 153 | 5 | 390 |
| February p | 262 | 0 | 12 | 5 | 116 | 14 | 409 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 474 | 0 | 18 | 19 | 269 | 19 | 799 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 345 | 0 | 10 | 58 | 159 | 12 | 584 |
| Alberta |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January r | 913 | 0 | 136 | 187 | 528 | 48 | 1,812 |
| February $p$ | 1,059 | 0 | 242 | 178 | 701 | 96 | 2,276 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 1,972 | 0 | 378 | 365 | 1,229 | 144 | 4,088 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 1,594 | 2 | 306 | 230 | 341 | 84 | 2,557 |
| British Columbia |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 385 | 0 | 26 | 198 | 814 | 53 | 1,476 |
| February ${ }^{p}$ | 574 | 1 | 87 | 386 | 832 | 113 | 1,993 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 959 | 1 | 113 | 584 | 1,646 | 166 | 3,469 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 933 | 0 | 52 | 349 | 2,142 | 290 | 3,766 |

Table 7 - continued
Dwelling units, provinces and territories, unadjusted, 2012

|  | Singles, includes mobile homes | Cottages | Doubles | Rows | Apartments | Conversions | Total dwellings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | number of dwelling units |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yukon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January r | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| February p | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| Northwest Territories |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January r | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| February p | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Nunavut |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| February $p$ p | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |

Table 8
Dwelling units, census metropolitan areas, unadjusted, February 2012

|  | Singles, <br> includes | Cottages | Doubles | Rows | Apartments | Conversions |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | mobile homes |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 9
Dwelling units, census metropolitan areas, unadjusted, cumulative, January to February 2012
$\left.\begin{array}{lrrrrrr}\hline & \begin{array}{c}\text { Singles, } \\ \text { includes }\end{array} & \text { Cottages } & \text { Doubles } & \text { Rows } & \text { Apartments } & \text { Conversions } \\ \text { mobile homes }\end{array}\right]$

Table 10
Value of residential and non-residential building permits, provinces and territories, unadjusted, 2012

|  | Value of construction |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Residential | Non-residential |  |  | Total |
|  |  | Industrial | Commercial |  |  |
|  | thousands of dollars |  |  |  |  |
| Canada |  |  |  |  |  |
| January ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 2,894,352 | 240,291 | 1,051,098 | 254,876 | 4,440,617 |
| February p | 2,920,086 | 642,947 | 1,092,732 | 472,242 | 5,128,007 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 5,814,438 | 883,238 | 2,143,830 | 727,118 | 9,568,624 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 4,428,085 | 1,138,213 | 1,762,187 | 1,013,848 | 8,342,333 |
| Newfoundland and Labrador |  |  |  |  |  |
| January r | 32,503 | 125 | 14,093 | 2,005 | 48,726 |
| February p | 31,796 | 1,449 | 11,342 | 4 | 44,591 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 64,299 | 1,574 | 25,435 | 2,009 | 93,317 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 38,796 | 393 | 22,977 | 6,663 | 68,829 |
| Prince Edward Island |  |  |  |  |  |
| January ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 5,336 | 3,013 | 599 | 7,400 | 16,348 |
| February p | 2,409 | 90 | 335 | 0 | 2,834 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 7,745 | 3,103 | 934 | 7,400 | 19,182 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 4,284 | 1,298 | 13,554 | 1,029 | 20,165 |
| Nova Scotia |  |  |  |  |  |
| January ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 49,006 | 1,129 | 13,957 | 1,025 | 65,117 |
| February p | 28,164 | 10,321 | 13,969 | 39,933 | 92,387 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 77,170 | 11,450 | 27,926 | 40,958 | 157,504 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 85,704 | 1,353 | 57,934 | 16,538 | 161,529 |
| New Brunswick |  |  |  |  |  |
| January ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 7,535 | 1,511 | 8,008 | 1,406 | 18,460 |
| February ${ }^{\text {p }}$ | 11,078 | 2,949 | 10,072 | 4,574 | 28,673 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 18,613 | 4,460 | 18,080 | 5,980 | 47,133 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 13,638 | 3,636 | 18,953 | 11,759 | 47,986 |
| Quebec |  |  |  |  |  |
| January r | 389,096 | 25,570 | 102,675 | 47,141 | 564,482 |
| February p | 667,956 | 50,672 | 158,434 | 116,599 | 993,661 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 1,057,052 | 76,242 | 261,109 | 163,740 | 1,558,143 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 1,088,814 | 69,770 | 246,266 | 90,515 | 1,495,365 |
| Ontario |  |  |  |  |  |
| January r | 1,438,314 | 91,139 | 420,999 | 113,726 | 2,064,178 |
| February p | 1,046,966 | 156,341 | 369,755 | 159,028 | 1,732,090 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 2,485,280 | 247,480 | 790,754 | 272,754 | 3,796,268 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 1,539,102 | 325,788 | 593,868 | 717,692 | 3,176,450 |
| Manitoba |  |  |  |  |  |
| January ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 87,504 | 2,445 | 31,557 | 14,931 | 136,437 |
| February p | 63,813 | 4,449 | 36,191 | 3,720 | 108,173 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 151,317 | 6,894 | 67,748 | 18,651 | 244,610 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 129,466 | 9,774 | 37,909 | 2,728 | 179,877 |
| Saskatchewan |  |  |  |  |  |
| January r | 75,707 | 13,804 | 55,806 | 4,608 | 149,925 |
| February p | 89,991 | 12,394 | 54,573 | 4,682 | 161,640 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 165,698 | 26,198 | 110,379 | 9,290 | 311,565 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 120,781 | 8,121 | 129,422 | 24,534 | 282,858 |
| Alberta |  |  |  |  |  |
| January r | 430,413 | 60,053 | 212,957 | 42,222 | 745,645 |
| February ${ }^{\text {p }}$ | 514,858 | 93,871 | 276,472 | 90,518 | 975,719 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 945,271 | 153,924 | 489,429 | 132,740 | 1,721,364 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 646,434 | 690,737 | 449,368 | 58,016 | 1,844,555 |
| British Columbia |  |  |  |  |  |
| January ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 377,824 | 41,422 | 190,227 | 19,112 | 628,585 |
| February ${ }^{\text {p }}$ | 461,904 | 308,090 | 161,047 | 53,071 | 984,112 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 839,728 | 349,512 | 351,274 | 72,183 | 1,612,697 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 756,914 | 26,547 | 190,159 | 84,133 | 1,057,753 |

Table 10 - continued
Value of residential and non-residential building permits, provinces and territories, unadjusted, 2012

|  | Value of construction |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Residential | Non-residential |  |  | Total |
|  |  | Industrial | Commercial |  |  |
|  | thousands of dollars |  |  |  |  |
| Yukon |  |  |  |  |  |
| January ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 753 | 80 | 38 | 100 | 971 |
| February p | 789 | 371 | 202 | 113 | 1,475 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 1,542 | 451 | 240 | 213 | 2,446 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 2,587 | 707 | 663 | 241 | 4,198 |
| Northwest Territories |  |  |  |  |  |
| January r | 361 | 0 | 182 | 1,200 | 1,743 |
| February p | 362 | 1,950 | 340 | 0 | 2,652 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 723 | 1,950 | 522 | 1,200 | 4,395 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 965 | 89 | 1,113 | 0 | 2,167 |
| Nunavut |  |  |  |  |  |
| January ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| February $p$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2012 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cumulative Jan. to Feb. 2011 | 600 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 601 |

Table 11
Value of residential and non-residential building permits, census metropolitan areas, unadjusted, February 2012

|  | Value of construction |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Residential | Non-residential |  |  | Total |
|  |  | Industrial | Commercial | Institutional and governmental |  |
|  | thousands of dollars |  |  |  |  |
| Abbotsford-Mission, British Columbia | 5,086 | 975 | 0 | 12,002 | 18,063 |
| Barrie, Ontario | 3,878 | 12,050 | 3,161 | 1,503 | 20,592 |
| Brantford, Ontario | 2,350 | 1,249 | 235 | 752 | 4,586 |
| Calgary, Alberta | 216,964 | 6,496 | 133,209 | 49,651 | 406,320 |
| Edmonton, Alberta | 229,122 | 44,998 | 62,220 | 24,806 | 361,146 |
| Greater Sudbury, Ontario | 2,232 | 224 | 676 | 690 | 3,822 |
| Guelph, Ontario | 8,574 | 180 | 3,970 | 528 | 13,252 |
| Halifax, Nova Scotia | 12,919 | 9,625 | 10,534 | 37,430 | 70,508 |
| Hamilton, Ontario | 63,990 | 4,214 | 15,569 | 4,997 | 88,770 |
| Kelowna, British Columbia | 11,897 | 698 | 5,129 | 945 | 18,669 |
| Kingston, Ontario | 3,469 | 430 | 4,849 | 885 | 9,633 |
| Kitchener-Cambridge-Waterloo, Ontario | 40,733 | 465 | 20,256 | 6,673 | 68,127 |
| London, Ontario | 25,513 | 2,928 | 6,216 | 3,178 | 37,835 |
| Moncton, New Brunswick | 3,401 | 65 | 2,795 | 190 | 6,451 |
| Montréal, Quebec | 343,621 | 22,897 | 93,524 | 25,149 | 485,191 |
| Oshawa, Ontario | 34,633 | 20 | 1,965 | 595 | 37,213 |
| Ottawa-Gatineau, Ontario/Quebec | 65,898 | 353 | 83,333 | 41,607 | 191,191 |
| Ottawa-Gatineau, Ontario part, Ontario/Quebec | 45,706 | 280 | 65,587 | 36,846 | 148,419 |
| Ottawa-Gatineau, Quebec part, Ontario/Quebec | 20,192 | 73 | 17,746 | 4,761 | 42,772 |
| Peterborough, Ontario | 2,535 | 1,170 | 1,914 | 0 | 5,619 |
| Québec, Quebec | 93,745 | 1,141 | 9,391 | 10,094 | 114,371 |
| Regina, Saskatchewan | 21,591 | 4,838 | 17,780 | 2,406 | 46,615 |
| Saguenay, Quebec | 8,154 | 256 | 502 | 955 | 9,867 |
| Saint John, New Brunswick | 2,804 | 0 | 958 | 1,350 | 5,112 |
| Saskatoon, Saskatchewan | 53,641 | 5,571 | 22,510 | 1,939 | 83,661 |
| Sherbrooke, Quebec | 25,191 | 295 | 6,861 | 14,553 | 46,900 |
| St. Catharines-Niagara, Ontario | 11,460 | 4,446 | 4,079 | 1,024 | 21,009 |
| St. John's, Newfoundland and Labrador | 24,425 | 1,237 | 9,671 | 3 | 35,336 |
| Thunder Bay, Ontario | 1,944 | 38 | 1,348 | 322 | 3,652 |
| Toronto, Ontario | 708,221 | 109,784 | 216,461 | 50,835 | 1,085,301 |
| Trois-Rivières, Quebec | 5,200 | 15 | 10,096 | 2,630 | 17,941 |
| Vancouver, British Columbia | 317,771 | 12,732 | 122,620 | 20,802 | 473,925 |
| Victoria, British Columbia | 58,544 | 521 | 5,685 | 1,555 | 66,305 |
| Windsor, Ontario | 9,456 | 1,485 | 2,382 | 29,408 | 42,731 |
| Winnipeg, Manitoba | 45,130 | 3,608 | 17,806 | 3,685 | 70,229 |

Table 12
Value of residential and non-residential building permits, census metropolitan areas, unadjusted, cumulative, January to February 2012

|  | Value of construction |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Residential | Non-residential |  |  | Total |
|  |  | Industrial | Commercial | Institutional and governmental |  |
|  | thousands of dollars |  |  |  |  |
| Abbotsford-Mission, British Columbia | 18,443 | 3,713 | 524 | 12,002 | 34,682 |
| Barrie, Ontario | 6,443 | 12,290 | 5,542 | 1,539 | 25,814 |
| Brantford, Ontario | 13,726 | 1,459 | 1,030 | 1,544 | 17,759 |
| Calgary, Alberta | 411,084 | 10,970 | 194,384 | 53,008 | 669,446 |
| Edmonton, Alberta | 396,841 | 58,290 | 140,915 | 46,068 | 642,114 |
| Greater Sudbury, Ontario | 3,705 | 480 | 1,705 | 1,786 | 7,676 |
| Guelph, Ontario | 29,681 | 695 | 18,933 | 1,538 | 50,847 |
| Halifax, Nova Scotia | 44,685 | 10,120 | 17,519 | 38,365 | 110,689 |
| Hamilton, Ontario | 158,631 | 6,289 | 27,441 | 42,551 | 234,912 |
| Kelowna, British Columbia | 18,012 | 775 | 5,708 | 1,199 | 25,694 |
| Kingston, Ontario | 7,329 | 851 | 8,077 | 1,810 | 18,067 |
| Kitchener-Cambridge-Waterloo, Ontario | 69,568 | 1,308 | 38,336 | 11,843 | 121,055 |
| London, Ontario | 57,144 | 4,075 | 16,386 | 3,673 | 81,278 |
| Moncton, New Brunswick | 6,042 | 882 | 5,874 | 578 | 13,376 |
| Montréal, Quebec | 544,491 | 34,840 | 163,023 | 42,657 | 785,011 |
| Oshawa, Ontario | 65,220 | 2,213 | 4,601 | 950 | 72,984 |
| Ottawa-Gatineau, Ontario/Quebec | 155,793 | 2,528 | 190,608 | 65,864 | 414,793 |
| Ottawa-Gatineau, Ontario part, Ontario/Quebec | 117,006 | 2,443 | 171,291 | 60,863 | 351,603 |
| Ottawa-Gatineau, Quebec part, Ontario/Quebec | 38,787 | 85 | 19,317 | 5,001 | 63,190 |
| Peterborough, Ontario | 6,665 | 1,748 | 3,475 | 10 | 11,898 |
| Québec, Quebec | 159,706 | 2,886 | 19,305 | 13,394 | 195,291 |
| Regina, Saskatchewan | 48,909 | 12,742 | 30,382 | 2,860 | 94,893 |
| Saguenay, Quebec | 11,764 | 964 | 1,061 | 4,672 | 18,461 |
| Saint John, New Brunswick | 4,884 | 160 | 4,049 | 2,150 | 11,243 |
| Saskatoon, Saskatchewan | 95,683 | 9,730 | 50,233 | 3,220 | 158,866 |
| Sherbrooke, Quebec | 39,217 | 297 | 11,174 | 15,441 | 66,129 |
| St. Catharines-Niagara, Ontario | 31,344 | 7,313 | 9,623 | 1,088 | 49,368 |
| St. John's, Newfoundland and Labrador | 48,232 | 1,237 | 21,357 | 1,303 | 72,129 |
| Thunder Bay, Ontario | 3,224 | 76 | 2,699 | 745 | 6,744 |
| Toronto, Ontario | 1,720,458 | 160,484 | 435,176 | 80,805 | 2,396,923 |
| Trois-Rivières, Quebec | 10,080 | 35 | 12,195 | 4,871 | 27,181 |
| Vancouver, British Columbia | 555,740 | 45,506 | 265,253 | 30,347 | 896,846 |
| Victoria, British Columbia | 90,641 | 1,475 | 8,835 | 1,640 | 102,591 |
| Windsor, Ontario | 25,603 | 2,596 | 3,070 | 30,327 | 61,596 |
| Winnipeg, Manitoba | 117,457 | 4,139 | 34,837 | 18,070 | 174,503 |

Table 13
Value of the non-residential permits by type of building, provinces and territories, February 2012

|  | Canada | Newfoundland and Labrador |  | Nova Scotia | New Brunswick | Quebec | Ontario |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | thousands of dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total non-residential | 2,207,921 | 12,795 | 425 | 64,223 | 17,595 | 325,705 | 685,124 |
| Industrial | 642,947 | 1,449 | 90 | 10,321 | 2,949 | 50,672 | 156,341 |
| Factories, plants | 514,828 | 1,233 | 0 | 9,500 | 2,400 | 37,246 | 120,396 |
| Transportation, utilities | 71,567 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,838 | 15,565 |
| Mining and agriculture <br> Minor industrial projects, new and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial | 1,092,732 | 11,342 | 335 | 13,969 | 10,072 | 158,434 | 369,755 |
| Trade and services | 275,741 | 5,211 | 0 | 3,535 | 2,767 | 38,012 | 132,618 |
| Warehouses | 106,707 | 0 | 0 | 280 | 0 | 22,764 | 13,910 |
| Service stations | 17,879 | 350 | 0 | 720 | 458 | 3,090 | 5,629 |
| Office buildings | 346,583 | 3,700 | 0 | 1,203 | 3,433 | 45,354 | 89,386 |
| Recreation | 64,128 | 0 | 0 | 3,060 | 0 | 3,491 | 46,272 |
| Hotels, restaurants | 120,141 | 0 | 0 | 300 | 458 | 14,036 | 21,961 |
| Laboratories 9,987 0 0 0 0 2,223 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Institutional and governmental | 472,242 | 4 | 0 | 39,933 | 4,574 | 116,599 | 159,028 |
| Schools, education | 163,679 | 0 | 0 | 39,530 | 805 | 47,686 | 49,602 |
| Hospitals, medical | 43,479 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,350 | 16,487 | 11,970 |
| Welfare, home | 120,689 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,850 | 7,545 | 59,182 |
| Churches, religion | 20,232 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 700 | 9,350 |
| Government buildings Minor institutional and governmental projects, new and improvements ${ }^{1}$ | 97,328 | 0 | 0 | 305 | 0 | 39,096 | 15,887 |
|  | 26,835 | 4 | 0 | 98 | 569 | 5,085 | 13,037 |
|  | Manitoba | Saskatchewan | Alberta | British Columbia | Yukon | Northwest Territories | Nunavut |
|  | thousands of dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total non-residential | 44,360 | 71,649 | 460,861 | 522,208 | 686 | 2,290 | 0 |
| Industrial | 4,449 | 12,394 | 93,871 | 308,090 | 371 | 1,950 | 0 |
| Factories, plants | 950 | 2,764 | 43,203 | 297,136 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Transportation, utilities | 2,775 | 5,081 | 40,516 | 3,492 | 0 | 1,300 | 0 |
| Mining and agriculture <br> Minor industrial projects, new and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial | 36,191 | 54,573 | 276,472 | 161,047 | 202 | 340 | 0 |
| Trade and services | 12,050 | 10,294 | 50,884 | 20,370 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Warehouses | 2,194 | 16,376 | 27,334 | 23,849 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Service stations | 390 | 1,725 | 3,760 | 1,757 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Office buildings | 11,019 | 15,923 | 105,324 | 71,241 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recreation | 4,005 | 0 | 5,259 | 2,041 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hotels, restaurants | 560 | 6,708 | 56,574 | 19,544 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Laboratories | 0 | 0 | 5,444 | 320 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Minor commercial projects, new and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Institutional and governmental | 3,720 | 4,682 | 90,518 | 53,071 | 113 | 0 | 0 |
| Schools, education | 1,200 | 1,362 | 8,350 | 15,144 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hospitals, medical | 0 | 1,906 | 8,616 | 3,150 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Welfare, home | 536 | 0 | 50,276 | 1,300 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Churches, religion | 0 | 375 | 6,257 | 3,550 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Government buildings | 1,750 | 0 | 14,040 | 26,250 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Minor institutional and governmental projects, new and improvements ${ }^{1}$ | 234 | 1,039 | 2,979 | 3,677 | 113 | 0 | 0 |

1. Refer to projects valued at less than $\$ 250,000$ for which the breakdown by type of building is not available.

## Description - Monthly survey of building permits

The following information should be used to ensure a clear understanding of the basic concepts that define the data provided in this product, of the underlying methodology of the survey, and of key aspects of the data quality. This information will provide you with a better understanding of the strengths and limitations of the data, and of how they can be effectively used and analysed. The information may be of particular importance to you when making comparisons with data from other surveys or sources of information, and in drawing conclusions regarding change over time.

## Data source and methodology

The purpose of the Monthly Survey of Building Permits issued by Canadian municipalities is to collect data on construction intentions. The results of this survey are used by C.M.H.C. (Canada Mortgage and Housing Corporation) as a reference base for conducting a monthly survey of housing starts and completions in accordance with its mandate. The statistics on building permits are also essential for the computation of capital expenditures. Furthermore, since the issuance of a building permit is one of the first steps in the construction process, these statistics are widely used as a leading indicator of building activity.

General methodology: The Building Permits Survey covers all Canadian municipalities that issue permits. The number of Canadian municipalities currently surveyed approximately 2,400 , representing all the provinces and territories. They account for $95 \%$ of the Canadian population. Participation in the survey is mandatory; the survey does not use a predetermined sample of municipalities. The communities representing the other $5 \%$ of the population are very small, and their level of building activity have little impact on the total. In practice, all urban agglomerations are represented in the survey, as well as a fair percentage of rural municipalities. With certain exceptions, the minimum coverage corresponds to the municipalities already included in the Housing Starts and Completions C.M.H.C.'s Survey. Non-responding municipalities that issue permits are urged on a regular basis to respond to the Building Permit Survey. Therefore, the number of municipalities covered is increasing continually.

The survey is usually conducted by mail, although certain municipalities choose to respond by telephone. The municipal officer responsible for issuing permits is asked to fill out a form each month describing all major construction projects.

The municipalities forward a copy of their completed report to Statistics Canada Head Office and another copy to the local office of the Canada Mortgage and Housing Corporation (C.M.H.C.). To reduce their overhead, an increasing number of respondents are producing a computerized report. Only those municipalities that are late in reporting and that are included in the above-mentioned C.M.H.C. survey are subject to follow-up by telephone.

The reports received at Statistics Canada Head Office are verified, coded and processed.
Strict quality control procedures are applied to ensure that collection, coding and data processing are as accurate as possible. Checks are also performed on totals and the magnitude of data. Reports that fail to meet the quality standards are subject to verification and are corrected as required.

Imputations are required for each characteristic for which no report has been received. These are calculated automatically, subject to certain constraints, by applying to previously used values, the month-to-month and year-to-year changes in similar values of responding municipalities and the historical pattern of the missing municipalities. No estimation is done for lack of coverage, concealment or the underevaluation of permits issued. For this reason, the sampling error cannot be computed.

The monthly statistics are not corrected for cancelled or expired permits. According to the municipal officers, the proportion of cancelled and unused permits is below $5 \%$.

Reference period: The reference period for data collection purposes is the calendar month. Reports from municipalities which are part of a census metropolitan area or a census agglomeration must be received within 20 days following the month of reference. The other municipalities have 30 days to produce their reports. Results are released between 35 and 40 days after the end of the reference month. Annual data for the preceding calendar year are released with the data for the January survey month.

Revisions: Two types of revisions can affect the results of the Building Permits Survey:

Revisions due to the correction of coding errors
These types of revisions are done on a monthly basis only to the data pertaining to the month preceding the reference period.

## Revisions due to the addition of late reports

Late reports for the month preceding the reference period are incorporated into the survey results on a continuing basis. However, reports received after the two-month deadline following the reference month are introduced only at the end of the year. As a result, the data for the last twelve months are subject to revision.

Seasonal adjustment: Seasonal changes cause predictable fluctuations in the data. The data series disseminated includes both seasonally adjusted (i.e., excludes predictable annual influences) and the unadjusted data. Seasonally adjusted data for the total number of housing units as well as for the aggregate value of building permits are obtained indirectly, i.e., by adding their seasonally adjusted components. The total number of dwelling units is obtained by summing the seasonally adjusted data for single-family and multiple-use units; the total value of building permits is obtained by summing the following elements: single-family and multiple-family dwellings, industrial, commercial and institutional. Some series contain no apparent seasonality. In these cases, unadjusted values have been tabulated and agregated to the adjusted values of the other series. At the end of the year, the chronological series adjusted for seasonality are revised to take into account the most recent seasonal fluctuations. Generally, these revisions apply only to the last three years in the series. The revised data are introduced into the CANSIM databank.

## Concepts and variables measured

The statistical data presented in this product refers to the number of dwelling units authorized and the value of building permits. The value of the permits reported includes the following expenditures: materials, labour, profit and overhead. The cost of land is never included in the estimated value of the permit while acquisition costs (legal fees, surveying fees and accrued interest) may be included at times.

The classification used in this publication deals strictly with structures for which a building permit was issued. Permits are generally issued for the following: construction of new buildings, alterations, additions, renovations, etc. Minor repair jobs such as painting, tiling, roofing, etc., for which no permit is required, and engineering work (such as dams, roads, pipelines, etc.), which, by definition, is not a building, are not included in the building permit series. Estimates of such work may be obtained on Cansim, tables 029-0039 to 029-0040 for the «Capital expenditures by type of asset» and tables 029-0005 to 029-0024 and 032-0001 to 032-0002 for the «Private and Public Investment in Canada Intentions» (cat. no. 61-205-X).

The description given by the municipalities as to the type of building (box \#6 of Section A on the form) and the type of work involved (box \#7 of Section A on the form) forms the basis for classification. The classification of buildings into major groups and subgroups is based on the following: intended use in the case of new buildings; present or intended use of buildings to which improvements are to be made; present use of the existing structure where the proposed construction is intended to provide additional facilities; principal use of the structure where the proposed construction has more than one intended use; however, where the building contains dwellings, the value of the construction is divided between residential and non-residential use.

## Building categories

This publication, uses the following classification for the value of permits issued for construction of new buildings or for improvements: residential, industrial, commercial, institutional and government.

Residential: Includes all buildings intended for private occupancy whether on a permanent basis or not. Dwellings are divided into the following types: single-family, mobile, cottage, semi-detached, row house and apartment building.

Industrial: Includes all buildings used for manufacturing and processing; transportation, communication and other utilities, and agriculture, forestry and mining.

Commercial: Includes all buildings used to house activities related to the tertiary sector, such as stores, warehouses, garages, office buildings, theatres, hotels, funeral parlours and beauty salons.

Institutional and Government: Includes expenditures made by the community, public and government for buildings and structures like schools, universities, hospitals, clinics, churches, homes for the aged.

The number of dwelling units indicates the number of self contained dwelling units created. This should not be confused with the number of structures. For example, an apartment building containing six dwellings will be shown as six dwelling units. When an existing structure is converted into additional housing units, the number of units added is included. This publication uses the following classification for dwelling units:

Single-family: Refers to dwellings commonly called "single house". It includes single dwellings that are completely isolated on all sides, including single dwellings linked to other dwellings below ground. Included are bungalows, split levels, two-storey single-family homes built by conventional methods or prefabricated.

Mobile homes: Refers to houses designed and constructed to be transported on their own chassis and for easy moving.

Cottage: Refers to dwellings that cannot be occupied year-round or on a permanent basis because the facilities required for comfort are inadequate.

Double or Semi-detached: Refers to dwellings in which each of the two dwellings are side by side and joined by a common wall or garage, but not attached to any other building and surrounded by open space.

Row Dwellings: Refers to a row of three or more dwellings attached to each other without dwellings above or below.
Apartment Building: Includes dwellings in a variety of buildings such as duplexes, semi-detached duplexes, triplexes, row duplexes, apartments as such and dwellings adjacent to non-residential structures.

Conversion: Refers to the number of dwellings added by conversion of existing structures.

## Geographic classification

Geographic entities are classified according to Standard Geographical Classification (SGC) used by Statistics Canada. Each reporting entity is assigned a twelve-digit SGC code for identification according to the following geographic levels:

Province and territory (PR): There are ten provinces and three territories.
Economic region (ER): Refers to intraprovincial regions established by the Standards Division of Statistics Canada. There are seventy-six ERs.

Census division (CD): Refers to a group of census subdivisions established by provincial law. There are two hundred and eighty-eight CDs (data on this geographic group is available on request).

Census metropolitan area (CMA): Its delineation corresponds to the 2006 Census definition. The term CMA refers to the main labour market area of an urban area (the urbanized core) of at least 100,000 population, based on the Census population figures. The thirty-three CMAs are shown in this publication. Although the 2006 Census defines the Ottawa-Gatineau area as a single CMA, the area is shown in this publication as two separate entities since it is located in two different provinces.

Census agglomeration (CA): Refers to the smaller labour market area of an urbanized core of at least 10,000 population, as defined by the 2006 Census. There are one hundred and eleven CAs in Canada. When a CA overlaps the boundaries of two provinces, it is shown partly in each province. The Lloydminster agglomeration is an exception to this rule. It is treated as if it was totally located in Alberta.

Other municipalities of at least $\mathbf{1 0 , 0 0 0}$ population: Refers to municipalities not included in census agglomerations but with populations of at least 10,000 inhabitants. The distinction is made between these municipalities and CAs in order to permit comparison between the Building Permits Survey and the Housing Starts and Completions Survey which refers to this geographical concept.

Rural area: Refers to all geographic entities not included in a CMA or CA and not identified as an urban centre by the Canada Mortgage and Housing Corporation.

Census subdivision (CSD): Refers to the general term applying to municipalities, Indian reserves, Indian settlements and unorganized territories. However, since Indian reserves and settlements do not issue building permits, they are not included in this publication.

Non-standard geographic unit: The geographic units shown in this publication do not all satisfy the bove definition of census subdivision. Some provincial or municipal administrations producing monthly reports do not correspond to the official geographic entities; they are nevertheless shown in this publication under the geographic entity used by these administrations. These so-called non-standard geographic units are few in number and are mostly concentrated in the Maritime provinces.

## Territorial revisions

Territorial boundaries were established according to the 2006 Census definitions. Changes in boundaries, status or name of census subdivisions between censuses are introduced in this publication on a yearly basis. Changes affecting the other geographic units (CMAs, CAs, CDs and ERs) are introduced every five years, eighteen months following the census.

## Data accuracy

Since the building permit data are extracted from municipal administrative documents, two types of response errors are possible: errors attributable to the permit applicant and errors in transcription by the responding municipality. However, experience has shown that transcription errors are not very common and the increasing number of municipalities producing computerized reports tends to reduce the frequency of this type of error. Errors attributable to an understatement of the cost of construction are more probable. Since permit fees are in most cases based on the value of the construction, this leads unquestionably to under-estimation of project values.

The other source of error are the processing error and the non-response error. In 2011, more than $98 \%$ of the municipalities covered by the survey sent their monthly Building Permits reports.

## Comparability of data and related sources

Comparison of data must be done with reservation considering that the methods of issuing permits and the methods of estimating building values can differ from one municipality to another. Also, comparisons involving different periods must take into account the constant increase in the number of municipalities participating in the survey.

This publication contains only part of the data produced on building permits. However, you may order unpublished tables or address special requests, to the Building Construction and Property Value Section (613-951-6321 or 1-800-579-8533). The series presented here is also available on CANSIM: Tables 026-0001 to 026-0008 and 026-0010.

## Appendix I

## Geographical abbreviations

| C | Cité / City |
| :--- | :--- |
| CC | Chartered community |
| CÉ | Cité |
| CG | Community government |
| CM | County (municipality) |
| CN | Colonie de la couronne / Crown colony |
| COM | Community |
| CT | Canton (municipalité de) |
| CU | Cantons unis (municipalité de) |
| CY | City |
| DM | District municipality |
| HAM | Hamlet |
| ID | Improvement district |
| IGD | Indian government district |
| IM | Island municipality |
| IRI | Réserve indienne / Indian reserve |
| LGD | Local government district |
| LOT | Township and royalty |
| M | Municipalité / Municipality |
| MD | Municipal district |
| MÉ | Municipalité |
| MU | Municipality |
| NH | Northern hamlet |
| NL | Nisgaa land |
| NO | Non organisé / Unorganized |
| NV | Northern village |
| NVL | Nisgaa village |
| P | Paroisse (municipalité de) / Parish |
| PE | Paroisse (municipalité de) |
| RCR | Communauté rurale / Rural community |
| RDA | Regional district electoral area |
| RG | Region |
| RGM | Regional municipality |
| RM | Rural municipality |
| RV | Resort village |
| S-É | Établissement indien / Indian settlement |
| SA | Special area |
| SC | Subdivision municipalité de comté / Subdivision of county municipality |
| SÉ | Établissement / Settlement |
| SET | Settlement |
| SM | Specialized municipality |
| SNO | Subdivision non organisée / Subdivision of unorganized |
| SV | Summer village |
| T | Town |
|  |  |


| TC | Terres réservées aux Cris |
| :--- | :--- |
| TI | Terre inuite |
| TK | Terres réservées aux Naskapis |
| TL | Teslin land |
| TP | Township |
| TV | Ville / Town |
| V | Ville |
| VC | Village cri |
| VK | Village naskapi |
| VL | Village |
| VN | Village nordique |


[^0]:    See notes at the end of the table.

