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## I. Introduction

The 1996 Census required the participation of the entire population of Canada, i.e. some 29 million people distributed over a territory of 9.2 million square kilometres. An endeavour of this magnitude represented a tremendous challenge. Although there are high quality standards governing the gathering and processing of the data, and in spite of efforts aimed at reducing non-response, for example through the use of communications, it is not possible to eliminate all errors. While this term does not necessarily imply any mistake as such, some element of error is bound to result in view of decisions to control census costs.

Statistics Canada must explain the methods and concepts used to collect and process its data and provide users with information about the quality of the data produced, as well as other data characteristics which might limit their usefulness or interpretation. This report is aimed at informing users about the complexity of the data and any difficulties that could affect their use. It explains the theoretical framework and definitions used to gather the data, and describe unusual circumstances that could affect data quality. Moreover, the report touches upon data capture, edit and imputation, and deals with the chronological comparability of the data.

This report deals with Age, Sex, Marital Status and Common-law Status. It has been prepared by Dawn Warner, with the support of staff from three divisions in Statistics Canada: Demography Division, Census Operations Division and Social Survey Methods Division.

Users will find additional information on census concepts, variables and geography in the 1996 Census Dictionary (Catalogue No. 92-351-XPE), and an overview of the complete census process in the 1996 Census Handbook (Catalogue No. 92-352-XPE).

## II. Data Collection and Coverage

For the 1996 Census, information was collected from residents from more than 11 million households both in Canada and temporarily outside Canada on Census Day. Data were collected on every Canadian citizen (by birth and by naturalization), landed immigrant and non-permanent resident (together with family members who live with them in Canada) alive at midnight between May 13 and May 14, 1996. Newborns were to be included if they were born before midnight on May 13. The data collection process consists of the drop-off and retrieval of approximately $11,500,000$ questionnaires. These questionnaires are then edited to ensure they have been properly completed by Canadians all across the country.

## A. General

## 1. Collection Methods

Two collection methods were used for the 1996 Census: self-enumeration and canvasser enumeration (interview). In self-enumeration areas, a questionnaire (Form 2A or Form 2B) was dropped off at approximately $98 \%$ of all households before Census Day (May 14, 1996). A member of each household was to complete the questionnaire on Census Day and mail it back. In 1996, a test was conducted in part of Ontario where questionnaires were mailed in/mailed back. This method was called Centralized Edit.

In 1996, roughly $2 \%$ of households were enumerated by interview: census representatives visited each household and completed a long form questionnaire (Form 2D) for those households during an interview. This method was used in remote and northern areas and on most Indian reserves. It was also used in the town centres of large urban areas, where residents are more difficult to enumerate. In addition, some remote northern areas were enumerated as early as in February and March of 1996. This advance census was carried out in areas where communities disperse in the spring and migrate to their hunting and fishing grounds.

## 2. Special Coverage Studies

Since $100 \%$ coverage is virtually impossible with such a large survey, a number of checks were performed on the data collected. These studies measure the extent of coverage errors that occur when dwellings or individuals are missed, incorrectly included or double-counted. These checks are the Vacancy Check, the Reverse Record Check and the Overcoverage Study. These studies will be discussed in more detail in the following chapters on Data Assimilation (Chapter III) and Data Evaluation (Chapter V).

## B. Questionnaire and Instructions

Four types of questionnaires were used to collect 1996 Census data. Of these, $80 \%$ of the population used the 2 A questionnaire and the remaining $20 \%$ of the population used $2 \mathrm{~B}, 2 \mathrm{C}$, and 2 D questionnaires. Data for Age, Sex, Marital Status, and Common-law Status were collected from $100 \%$ of the population from questions three through six on all questionnaires.

## 1. Date of Birth

The data from this question were used to calculate the respondent's age as of Census day. The question format and instructions were the same as those used in 1991.

## 3. DATE OF BIRTH

Enter day, month and year.

| Example: If this person was born on | Day Month Year |  |  |  | Day | Month Year |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| the 23 rd of February 1954, enter | 23 | 02 | 1954 | 15 |  | 1 |

If exact date is not known, enter best estimate.

## 2. Sex

As in 1991, no instructions were given for this question. The layout of the question did change for 1996 in that the tick boxes were placed side-by-side in an attempt to minimize both respondent and data capture errors.
4. SEX
16 O Male 17 O Female

## 3. Marital Status

The layout and response categories for this question remained virtually unchanged from 1991. The only exception was the label for the 'Separated' response. In order to reduce respondent confusion or error, the label was changed from 'Legally married and separated' to 'Separated, but still legally married'.

5. MARITAL STATUS<br>Mark one circle only.

18 O Legally married (and not separated
19 O Separated, but still legally married
20 O Divorced
21 O Widowed
22 O Never married (single)

## 4. Common-law

For 1996, the question remained the same but, the definition was inserted immediately beside the question so that respondents would use the same frame of reference.
6. Is this person living with a common-law partner?

Common-law refers to two people who live together as husband and wife but who are not legally married 23 O Yes to each other.

## III. Data Assimilation

Data Assimilation is the processing phase during which data from the census questionnaires are captured, edited and coded. The process includes the transformation of the questionnaire responses into machine-readable form in order to build a database that will lend itself to more sophisticated types of processing.

## A. General

The three main components of data assimilation are:

## 1. Regional Processing (RP)

At this stage, RP staff ensured that information appearing on the questionnaires was suitable for key entry into the computer. This operation was conducted in Revenue Canada (RC). The data entry activity consisted of questionnaire data and enumeration area (EA) batch control cards being key entered at seven RC regional centres. A verification of keying accuracy was performed by rekeying data from a sample of questionnaires; a quality control was then performed by comparing the two sets of data.

## 2. Head Office Processing (HOP)

Head office processing was a combination of manual and automated operations designed to carry out structural edits on the census data and to process special enumeration returns. Included are returns for Canadian overseas and personnel aboard merchant, naval and coast guard vessels. HOP also processed coverage study returns such as Reverse Record Check (RRC), Vacancy Check (VC) and Overcoverage Study (OCS). In addition, HOP also prepared a data file containing the final population and dwelling counts.

## 3. Automated Coding (AC)

The automated coding operation converted written responses to the following questions on the Forms 2B, 2C and 2D:

- relationship to Person 1
- first language learned in childhood
- home language
- non-official languages
- place of birth
- citizenship
- ethnic origin (ancestry)
- population group
- Indian Band/First Nation
- major field of study
- place of residence 1 year ago
- place of residence 5 years ago
- place of work

The responses were matched against an automated reference file/classification structure containing a series of words or phrases and corresponding numerical codes. Specially trained coders and subject-matter experts reviewed all unmatched responses (responses that could not be matched to a reference file/classification structure and therefore were not coded) and assigned the proper numerical code after examining responses from other questions and other members of the household. An analysis was conducted for each variable to ensure data quality objectives were maintained prior to transferring the records to edit and imputation.

## B. Demographic Variables - Pre-processing

## 1. Head Office Processing (HOP) - Dispatch Process

In 1991, the HOP process contained a component referred to as Reformat. Within this component multiple, invalid, or non-responses to the Date of Birth, Sex, Marital Status, and Common-law Status questions were assigned specific codes to be dealt with in Edit and Imputation. Further, the Date of Birth response was separated into its components. For example, the response '30121933' would have been separated into four variables Day =30, Month = 12, Decade = 193, and Year $=3$. These data were used during Edit and Imputation and, at the end of Imputation, were used to calculate the respondent's final age (as of Census day).

In 1996, the Reformat process was updated and renamed Dispatch. The processing of Sex, Marital Status, and Common-law Status responses remained unchanged. However, major modifications occurred to the processing of Date of Birth responses; the most important being the pre-derivation of the Age variable. As in 1991, the Date of Birth responses were separated into components, but, additional processing occurred which resulted in the calculation of a non-final age. If the Decade or Year was missing or invalid, a code was inserted to indicate this and no age was calculated. This code was dealt with in Edit and Imputation. For those responses with only an invalid or missing Day or Month of Birth, the program randomly generated the missing data and calculated age. Therefore, a missing or invalid Day or Month of birth did not affect the calculation. The majority of responses ( $98 \%$ ) contained sufficient data to calculate a preliminary age.

## 2. Automated Coding

For the 1996 Census, a decision was made to capture the write-ins for 2B, 2C, and 2D questionnaires only. This meant that the 2 A write-in responses for the Relationship to Person 1 question were unavailable. The effect of this decision on Age, Sex, Marital Status, and Common-law Status processing will be discussed in Section IV - Edit and Imputation, Section V - Data Evaluation, and Section VI - Historical Comparability.

## IV. Edit and Imputation

## A. General

In the edit and imputation phase, errors due to respondents answering the questions incorrectly or incompletely, or errors generated during coding and data capture activities were identified and corrected. The result was a database which provides Canada's most detailed information about the population and its characteristics, ranging from nation to neighbourhood levels. In addition to the actual editing and imputation of the data, the final stage of processing also includes vacancy check adjustments and the imputation of the sampling weights for 2 B variables.

## B. Vacancy Check Adjustments

The vacancy check takes a sample of dwellings declared unoccupied during the collection process and returns to these dwellings to determine if, on Census Day, they were occupied, unoccupied or should not have been listed because they did not meet the definition of a census dwelling. If a dwelling was occupied, an estimation technique called "random additions" was applied to add households and persons to the census database. In the 1996 Census, 46,553 households and 87,753 persons were added to the database.

## C. Weighting

Data on age, sex, marital status, common-law status, mother tongue and relationship to Person 1 are collected from all Canadians. However, the bulk of the information gathered in the census comes from a $20 \%$ sample of the population.

The weighting method produces fully representative estimates from the sample data. In 1996, weighting was done by a method known as calibration or regression estimation, which was developed for the 1991 Census. The calibration estimate starts with initial weights of approximately 5 and then adjusts them by the smallest possible amount needed for agreement between the sample estimates (e.g., number of males, number of people aged 15 to 19 ) and the actual population counts.

Weighting is the last processing stage before final production of the 1996 Census databases, on which all publications, tables and custom products are based.

## D. Demographic Variables - Processing

For the remainder of this report, the term Demographic variables includes Age, Sex, Marital Status, Common-law Status, and Relationship to Person 1. As the variable Relationship to Person 1 was an integral part of the 1996 edits, this variable will be included in the description of changes to the various systems which also impacted on Age, Sex, Marital Status, and Common-law Status.

The changes in processing for Demographic variables began in Head Office Processing (see Section III) and touched on all sub-processes within Edit and Imputation (i.e. stratification, edit, and imputation). A description of the changes for each of the sub-processes follows.

## 1. STRATIFICATION

For both 1991 and 1996, one of the first steps was Stratification. This step was required in order to group households and individuals of similar characteristics together. These pools of data ensured that the imputation system was able to select a donor record, with geographic and household characteristics which most closely resembled the record requiring imputation, in the most efficient manner.

It is evident from a review of the stratification diagrams, that the process for 1996 was less complicated than that used for 1991 . In 1991 there were 48 strata and in 1996 there were 29 strata. The largest changes occurred with the combining of Private and Mixed households, as well as, the reduction in the number of groupings from thirteen and over, to nine and over. The number of Overseas household groupings was also reduced and Collectives (except for Hutterite Colonies) were split between institutional and non-institutional. The only stratification that did not change for 1996 was the one used for Hutterite Colonies.

Private and Mixed households were combined to prevent some households from being assigned to the wrong group. As stratification took place before edit and imputation, some of the variables required to determine which group was appropriate were not finalized. As there were few differences between the groups and, as a more complex edit and imputation system was going to be used, the impact of that decision was minimized.

There was also a reduction in the number of donor pools from thirteen to nine, in the case of Private households, and seven to five, for Overseas households. This reduction resulted from analysis of 1991 data which indicated that if the donor pools were too small less than perfect donors (or no donors) were found resulting in the need for manual intervention.

The difference in the diagrams for collectives indicates a change in edit and imputation rather than stratification. Persons who resided in non-institutional collectives were processed differently than those who resided in institutions for 1996. However, the various collectives contained the same type of residents as they did in 1991.

On completion of stratification for 11 million households and 29 million people, the next step of editing the data began.

Figure 1. 1991 CENSUS PROCESSING OF DEMOGRAPHIC DATA Stratification of Households


Figure 2. 1996 CENSUS PROCESSING OF DEMOGRAPHIC DATA Stratification of Households


## 2. EDIT AND IMPUTATION

As previously mentioned, write-in responses were not captured for 2A questionnaires. This decision created a requirement to have two processing streams. One processed all questionnaire types (i.e. 2A, 2B, 2C, and 2D) without the write-in responses to create $100 \%$ data. The other stream re-processed the raw $2 \mathrm{~B}, 2 \mathrm{C}$, and 2 D questionnaires using the write-in responses to create the $20 \%$ data. As the $20 \%$ data contained many more possible relationships to Person 1, two sets of edits were created. One set contained the relationships listed on the questionnaires and was applied to the $100 \%$ data. The second set of edits contained many more relationships and was applied to the $20 \%$ data.

The 2A questionnaire data could not be processed without the $2 \mathrm{~B}, 2 \mathrm{C}$, and 2 D questionnaire data as all records for the $100 \%$ data were required as possible donors to achieve the best imputation results. As well, the $20 \%$ data had to be processed without write-ins the first time so that they would be compatible with the data from the 2 A questionnaire. The write-in responses for the 2B, 2C, and 2D questionnaires were placed in an 'Other Relationship' category before the $100 \%$ data processing began.

After being used in the $100 \%$ processing, the $20 \%$ raw data were processed using the more comprehensive set of edits. The two processing streams were maintained through imputation. An evaluation of both sets of $20 \%$ data can be found in the next chapter.

Except for the write-in responses, the editing and the imputation of the data proceeded in the same way for both streams. The following sections will explain these two processes and how they differ from the processing of the 1991 Census data.

A processing change from 1991 was the editing and imputation of the common-law data. The 1991 Census was the first time that common-law data had been collected from a direct question rather than derived from Relationship to Personl data. However, it was decided that this information would be used for internal analysis only and not used in the demographic edits for 1991. The common-law data used for 1991 dissemination was derived from the Relationship to Person 1 question. In 1996, the common-law data was processed as for all other demographic variables and the information released came from the direct question.

### 2.1 Edit

In 1991, the CANEDIT system was used to edit data for demographic variables. It used one set of conflict rules defined by analysts to determine whether each record had missing, invalid, or inconsistent responses. If there was a conflict, the record was modified by the imputation system.

CANEDIT had reached its limit with regards to the number of conflict rules and, as a result, for 1996, a new system was designed - New Imputation Methodology (NIM). As with CANEDIT, NIM used conflict rules to determine which records required imputation, however, for NIM, the rules were entered into decision-logic tables and the System for Producing Instructions from Directly Entered Requirements (SPIDER) was used to apply the edits.

One of the major differences between the two systems was that NIM allowed for both qualitative and numeric edits. Qualitative applies to the variables Sex, Marital Status, or Common-law. These variables have responses such as Male, Female, Single, Divorced, Yes, or No. Numeric applies to the Age variable. In 1991, CANEDIT could process only qualitative edits so, the numeric components of the Date of Birth question were transformed into qualitative responses. For example, the value 'DECAD_192' was derived from the numeric decades 1920 - 1929. These qualitative values were then used to edit the Age data. As NIM used the numeric single year of age, the results were more accurate than those of CANEDIT which could only edit between decades. See Appendix B for a list of the 1996 edit rules.

Before the edits could be applied in 1996, households went through a process whereby the potential 'couples' within each household were flagged. "A score is assigned to each possible pair of persons in the household based on the unimputed responses to all the demographic variables. The score given reflects the likelihood for the pair of being a real couple. The pairs with the highest scores are retained where a person can belong to only one potential couple." (1). This step allowed for more extensive editing of the data within couples using a minimum of edit rules.

Below is a sample household comprised of four persons.

| Relationship | Year of Birth | Sex | Marital Status | Common-law |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Person 1 | 1963 | M | Legally Married | No |
| Spouse | 1955 | F | Legally Married | No |
| Son | 1980 | Blank | Single | No |
| Mother-in-law | 1945 | F | Legally Married | Yes |

In the example shown above, the first two persons would be flagged as a couple. The first person's record (Person 1) has no missing, invalid, or inconsistent responses. The second person (Spouse) has an inconsistency between her Year of Birth response and the Year of Birth response of the Mother-in-law. The edit rules state that there must be at least 15 years difference between the two. The third person's response for Sex is missing. The fourth person's record has an invalid response. The conflict indicates that the person is legally married and living in a common-law union. As a result, this household would go on to imputation. Fortunately $98 \%$ of all 1996 questionnaires had no errors.

In 1991, a large number of the edits were used for basic family relationships. Other relationships such as Roommates and Employees etc. were subjected to minimal edits only. The use of decision-logic tables for the 1996 edits allowed the number of rules to increase significantly. This increase in the number of edit rules permitted all types of relationships to be thoroughly edited.

[^0]Another improvement was the use of two levels of edits. The SPIDER system was powerful enough to be able to process both Primary and Secondary edits in 1996. The ability to have two sets of edits allowed for the relaxation of some edits for the first pass. This meant that plausible records outside those considered as 'normal' were not modified, yet they were not used as donors during imputation. For example, if a record passed both sets of edits, that record could have been used as a donor during imputation. If a record passed the Primary edits but failed the Secondary edits, that record was not changed (outlier) and, was not used as a donor for imputation. If a record failed both the Primary and Secondary edits, responses were replaced during imputation so that the record would pass both sets of edits. All records for the Private stratum went through both Primary and Secondary edits.

Below is an example of a record that would have failed both the primary and secondary edits.

| Variable | Response |
| :--- | :--- |
| Relationship to Person One | Person 1 |
| Age | 22 |
| Sex | Blank |
| Marital Status | Widow |

This record would fail the Primary edits because of the non-response for the Sex variable. It then would fail the Secondary edits as there is a rule which states that it is a conflict if you are a widow and less than 24 years of age. Once all records for a particular Private stratum went through both Primary and Secondary edits, the imputation process would begin.

The wide variety of possible responses for those persons living in collectives made it impossible to distinguish between primary and secondary edits. Therefore the primary edits used for the private strata were modified and used to process records for collective and overseas strata.

### 2.2 Imputation

Both CANEDIT and NIM use 'Hot-deck Imputation'. The difference is that NIM adheres more closely to the following objectives:

1. The donor household should closely resemble the failed edit household
2. Imputed data should come from a single donor
3. Possible donor households should have an equal chance of being selected

Occasionally with CANEDIT, more than one donor was used or the donor may not have closely resembled the failed edit household. One of NIM's improvements was the ability to sort members of potential donor households to enhance the search for the closest match to the failed edit household. The closer the match between donor and recipient, the more likely that all the data to be imputed would come from a single donor.

Another improvement for NIM was that in performing the sort and match step, more than one potential donor may have been found. Each potential donor was rated and only those donors that minimized the number of imputation actions were selected for the final pool of potential donors. The final donor was then randomly selected. CANEDIT searched through potential donors selecting the 'best' match possible until the first 'perfect' donor was found. At that time the search would end. If no 'perfect' donor was found, the geographically nearest best match would be used. The number of donors searched was much smaller with CANEDIT than NIM.

An important difference between CANEDIT and NIM was the number of actual imputation actions implemented. CANEDIT used minimum change imputation; change only what was absolutely necessary in order to get the record to pass the edits. NIM minimized the number of imputation actions. That meant that more than the minimum number of responses may have been altered in order to end up with the most plausible series of imputation actions.

As the output from previous censuses was already of high quality, the new processing system NIM was designed to process more data more efficiently while maintaining the high quality output. Table 4.1 shows the percentage of data modified through CANEDIT in 1991, and, NIM in 1996. With either system, CANEDIT or NIM, the number of responses modified was small and spread across the country. A detailed evaluation of the data follows in Section V.

Table 4.1 Percentage of Data Modified Due to "Blank", "Invalid" or "Conflict" Responses by Variable and Census Year, Showing Canada and Regions, 1991 and 1996 Censuses (100\% Data)

| Variable | Canada | East | Quebec | Ontario | West | Outside <br> Canada |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | percentage |  |  |  |  |
| 1991 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 2.8 |  |
| Decade of birth | 1.6 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 2.6 |  |
| Year of birth | 1.7 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 2.6 |  |
| Month of birth | 1.9 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 2.4 | 1.6 | 2.4 |  |
| Sex | 2.3 | 1.4 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 1.9 | 4.2 |  |
| Marital Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1996 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 2.5 |  |
| Age | 1.6 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 2.8 |  |
| Sex | 2.6 | 1.9 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 4.4 |  |
| Marital Status | 5.9 | 4.9 | 5.7 | 6.2 | 5.8 | 8.6 |  |
| Common-law Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## 3. FINALIZATION

Three additional steps were performed before the data could be considered as final. These steps required that imputation be complete. Of the three steps, only the derivation of Historical Marital Status was done in 1991.
i). The finalization of the age components. If NIM had not changed the Age for a person, then the original components were copied into the final variables. If the Age had been changed but the original Day and Month were valid, they were retained and the appropriate birth year was calculated. If the Age had been imputed and all the original components were missing or invalid, then a calculation was made for each of the components based on the imputed Age. In this way as much of the original data was retained as possible and finalized components were available for processing of other Subject Matter data.
ii). The finalization of the common-law variable. One of the guidelines determined before processing began was that it was not appropriate to have the common-law response equal to 'yes' if there was no partner present in the household. The above situation may have occurred as a result of imputation. For example, where a respondent had a blank or invalid response for the common-law question a response from a donor record would be used. During imputation no check was made to ensure that, if the donor response was 'yes', there was a common-law partner present in the household being imputed. Therefore, after imputation, changes based on household information were made in order to comply with the guidelines.
iii). The derivation of the Historical Marital Status variable. This was accomplished by grouping those persons who indicated that they were legally married and those persons who indicated that they were living in a common-law union. They formed the value 'now married' for this variable.

With the finalization of these last three steps, the processing of the data was complete. The next section of this report describes the impact of the various processes on each variable and evaluates the outcome by comparing the data to other data sources.

## V. Data Evaluation

## A. General

Throughout the census-taking process, every effort was made to ensure high-quality results. Rigorous quality standards were set for data collection and processing, and the Public Communications Program assisted in minimizing non-response. A Data Quality Measurement Program was established to provide users with information on the quality and limitations of census data.

Although considerable effort is made throughout the entire process to ensure high standards of data quality, resulting data are subject to a certain degree of inaccuracy. To assess the usefulness of census data for their purposes and to understand the risk involved in drawing conclusions or making decisions on these data, users should be aware of their inaccuracies and appreciate their origin and composition.

## B. Demographic Data

Data evaluation begins the moment the questionnaire is received, and continues after the processing has ended. The data are evaluated to determine their reliability. Throughout this process such questions as: did respondents understand the questions; did the processing systems function correctly; and how does the resulting data compare to other data sources, are addressed.

New for 1996 is an evaluation of the differences in the Phase II 20\% sample data (for demographic variables) when processed with and without write-ins for the relationship to Person 1 question. This evaluation became necessary as a result of the decision to exclude write-ins for the Phase I $100 \%$ data. The 2B, 2C, and 2D data were processed the first time as part of the $100 \%$ data, without write-ins for the relationship to Person 1 response. These responses were processed a second time using the write-in responses to create the $20 \%$ sample. As you will see from the evaluation, there were few differences when write-in responses were excluded from processing.

## 1. Comparison of $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ Data With and Without Write-in Responses

In order to evaluate the results from data processing with and without write-in responses, 2 B respondents were selected and then their responses to the two phases were compared.

Figure 3 presents Age data. As the chart indicates, there were almost no differences between those data processed with write-in responses and those processed without. The data using write-in responses shifted respondents to the $0-$ 4, 5-9 and 45-49 categories from the nine other categories. However, the total number of records shifted as a result of using write-in responses was slightly less than 270 or $0.004 \%$ of the total number of records processed.

Figure 3. Comparison of Age Data With (Phase II) and Without (Phase I) Write-in Responses


Figure 4 presents data from the responses to the Sex question. The difference of 37 responses is not visible in this chart and had no effect on the final data.


Figure 5 presents Marital Status results. There was a small shift of responses when write-ins were included. Slightly over 300 responses moved from 'Separated' in Phase I to 'Single' in Phase II and approximately 100 records shifted from 'Divorced' in Phase I to 'Married' or 'Widowed' in Phase II. Still, the number of records involved was less than $0.01 \%$ of the total number of responses.


The last figure in this series presents data for the Common-law question. Only the data for the 'Yes' response is shown. More responses shifted for this question than for the other demographic questions. When write-ins were included there was a shift of 3,980 responses from 'No' to 'Yes'. This was a result of the increased number of common-law relationships that were answered by write-in responses (e.g. common-law partner of son or daughter). This shift in responses affected less than $0.07 \%$ of the total sample responses.

Figure 6. Comparison of Common-law Data With (Phase II) and Without (Phase I) Write-in Responses


The ability to create the necessary edit rules and to control the imputation actions of these two data streams was made possible with the use of NIM. The data presented above show that the differences caused by the exclusion of write-in responses are very small. Therefore, those differences will not affect the evaluation of the $100 \%$ data that follows.

## 2. Evaluation of $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ Data

The sections that follow contain an evaluation of the $100 \%$ data for the Date of Birth, Sex, Marital Status, and Common-law Status questions. In order to be sure that Census data continue to be of high quality, they are compared to data from several sources. These sources, previous censuses, Vital Statistics, Demographic Estimates, and the 1995 General Social Survey (Cycle 10) have been proven through time to present data of consistently good quality.

### 2.1 Date of Birth

The Date of Birth question provides data on Day of Birth, Month of Birth, Year of Birth, and Age.

Table 5.1 Population Distribution by Month of Birth and Period, Showing the Percent for the 1971-1995 Vital Statistics Data and the Percent for the 1996 Census Data (20\% Data), Canada

| Month and Period | Vital Statistics <br> for 1971-1995 | 1996 Census Data |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | percentage |  |
| January | 7.99 | 7.92 |
| February | 7.64 | 7.64 |
| March | 8.73 | 8.71 |
| April | 8.61 | 8.60 |
| May 1-13 | 3.72 | 3.75 |
|  |  |  |
| From January 1 to May 13 | 36.68 | 36.62 |
|  |  |  |
| May 14 - 31 | 5.15 | 5.11 |
| June | 8.50 | 8.49 |
| July | 8.70 | 8.65 |
| August | 8.49 | 8.45 |
| September | 8.51 | 8.54 |
| October | 8.25 | 8.25 |
| November | 7.78 | 7.83 |
| December | 7.94 | 8.06 |
|  |  |  |
| From May 14 to December 31 | 63.32 | 63.38 |
| Total | 100.00 | 100.00 |

Note: $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ data was used for this table in order to include only those persons who were born in Canada.

Table 5.1 presents a distribution of the number of births by month, for 1971 to 1995 from Vital Statistics, and the distribution of 1996 Census month of birth data. The table is further split by period which corresponds to before and after Census day. For the period and months, 1996 Census data differ only slightly from Vital Statistics data.

Table 5.2 shows the distribution of 1996 Census data by province and period. The Vital Statistics data for Newfoundland may be somewhat less precise than Census data, and the small number of persons living in the Northwest Territories, make comparisons difficult. The other provinces and territory show comparable data.

Table 5.2 Population Distribution by Month of Birth and Period, Showing the Percent for the 1971-1995 Vital Statistics Data and the Percent for the 1996 Census Data ( $20 \%$ Data), Provinces and Territories, 1996 Census

| Province/territory | January 1 to May 13 |  | May 14 to December 31 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Vital Statistics for 1971-1995 | 1996 Census Data | Vital Statistics for 1971-1995 | 1996 Census Data |
|  |  | percen |  |  |
| Canada | 36.7 | 36.6 | 63.3 | 63.4 |
| Newfoundland | 37.3 | 35.9 | 62.7 | 64.1 |
| Prince Edward Island | 36.7 | 37.4 | 63.4 | 62.6 |
| Nova Scotia | 36.7 | 36.6 | 63.3 | 63.4 |
| New Brunswick | 36.6 | 36.6 | 63.4 | 63.4 |
| Quebec | 37.2 | 36.8 | 62.8 | 63.2 |
| Ontario | 36.3 | 36.3 | 63.7 | 63.7 |
| Manitoba | 36.8 | 36.6 | 63.3 | 63.4 |
| Saskatchewan | 37.2 | 36.4 | 62.8 | 63.6 |
| Alberta | 37.0 | 37.0 | 63.0 | 63.0 |
| British Columbia | 36.5 | 36.7 | 63.5 | 63.3 |
| Yukon Territory | 36.6 | 36.9 | 63.5 | 63.1 |
| Northwest Territories | 37.0 | 36.9 | 63.0 | 63.1 |

Note: $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ data was used for this table in order to include only those persons who were born in Canada.

Year of Birth data is evaluated in Table 5.3. The 1996 Census population is distributed by year of birth and region. To aid in the evaluation, indexes are also presented. Indexes between 975 and 1025 are considered acceptable and are calculated using the following formula:

$$
\text { Index }=\frac{\text { births in year } x}{\text { births in year } x-2, x-1, x, x+1, x+2}
$$ * 5 * 1000

The indexes for years 1900-1911 are low because of high mortality for those persons 85 years and older. The table also shows the low number of births during both world wars (1917 to 1919 and 1944 to 1945), as well as the recovery periods and baby boom ( 1920 to 1921 and 1946 to 1965). The period of low fertility between 1966 and 1973 is also evident, as well as a slight increase in the number of births after 1988.

Table 5.3 Population Distribution by Year of Birth 1900 to 1996, Showing Canada(1) and Regions, 1996 Census (100\% Data)

| Birth year | Canada |  | East |  | Quebec |  | Ontario |  | West |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Index | Number | Index | Number | Index | Number | Index | Number | Index |
| 1900 | 6,705 | - | 615 |  | 1,395 |  | 2,530 | - | 2,165 | - |
| 1901 | 8,640 | - | 810 | - | 1,810 | - | 3,310 | - | 2,715 | - |
| 1902 | 11,910 | 968.4 | 1,005 | 929.7 | 2,635 | 986.5 | 4,455 | 960.5 | 3,815 | 975.7 |
| 1903 | 15,120 | 961.6 | 1,350 | 987.6 | 3,265 | 944.7 | 5,730 | 972.0 | 4,780 | 954.7 |
| 1904 | 19,120 | 965.6 | 1,625 | 957.0 | 4,250 | 958.3 | 7,165 | 966.9 | 6,075 | 970.4 |
| 1905 | 23,825 | 974.2 | 2,045 | 972.4 | 5,320 | 964.5 | 8,815 | 968.4 | 7,650 | 988.9 |
| 1906 | 29,030 | 967.8 | 2,465 | 957.7 | 6,705 | 985.2 | 10,885 | 975.6 | 8,980 | 949.4 |
| 1907 | 35,190 | 978.1 | 3,030 | 966.8 | 8,040 | 977.9 | 12,920 | 971.1 | 11,195 | 989.0 |
| 1908 | 42,815 | 995.8 | 3,705 | 980.7 | 9,715 | 985.1 | 16,000 | 1,007.7 | 13,395 | 993.8 |
| 1909 | 49,030 | 976.3 | 4,425 | 995.3 | 11,330 | 980.1 | 17,900 | 970.3 | 15,375 | 975.3 |
| 1910 | 58,915 | 1,003.2 | 5,265 | 1,001.1 | 13,520 | 998.1 | 21,685 | 1,007.4 | 18,445 | 1,002.4 |
| 1911 | 65,145 | 965.6 | 5,805 | 957.9 | 15,195 | 974.7 | 23,735 | 964.3 | 20,410 | 962.5 |
| 1912 | 77,745 | 1,002.0 | 7,095 | 1,021.3 | 17,970 | 1,008.6 | 28,310 | 998.4 | 24,375 | 996.1 |
| 1913 | 86,510 | 998.8 | 7,710 | 995.2 | 19,935 | 998.0 | 31,440 | 994.7 | 27,420 | 1,004.9 |
| 1914 | 99,635 | 1,040.8 | 8,860 | 1,040.5 | 22,460 | 1,015.6 | 36,600 | 1,045.4 | 31,705 | 1,053.9 |
| 1915 | 104,045 | 1,007.4 | 9,265 | 1,009.5 | 24,315 | 1,006.2 | 37,945 | 1,008.7 | 32,525 | 1,006.3 |
| 1916 | 110,690 | 993.8 | 9,645 | 969.2 | 25,895 | 981.4 | 40,755 | 1,008.0 | 34,390 | 993.7 |
| 1917 | 115,535 | 972.4 | 10,410 | 978.1 | 28,225 | 996.7 | 41,340 | 955.6 | 35,565 | 971.9 |
| 1918 | 126,980 | 969.4 | 11,575 | 1,000.4 | 31,035 | 1,000.3 | 45,520 | 942.5 | 38,850 | 968.9 |
| 1919 | 136,815 | 951.5 | 12,320 | 983.7 | 32,120 | 938.3 | 50,740 | 949.4 | 41,645 | 955.3 |
| 1920 | 164,925 | 1,051.8 | 13,900 | 1,036.5 | 37,860 | 1,014.4 | 63,125 | 1,069.1 | 50,040 | 1,064.0 |
| 1921 | 174,690 | 1,035.5 | 14,415 | 1,029.6 | 41,915 | 1,043.4 | 66,485 | 1,031.4 | 51,875 | 1,035.9 |
| 1922 | 180,610 | 999.5 | 14,840 | 1,019.6 | 43,680 | 1,002.5 | 69,350 | 997.2 | 52,745 | 994.5 |
| 1923 | 186,490 | 990.2 | 14,525 | 972.0 | 45,280 | 981.2 | 72,605 | 998.7 | 54,080 | 991.5 |
| 1924 | 196,825 | 1,009.3 | 15,095 | 985.4 | 49,120 | 1,018.6 | 76,155 | 1,008.0 | 56,450 | 1,009.3 |
| 1925 | 203,035 | 1,008.7 | 15,845 | 1,016.3 | 50,740 | 1,006.9 | 78,885 | 1,011.8 | 57,570 | 1,004.2 |
| 1926 | 208,130 | 1,001.7 | 16,285 | 1,019.4 | 52,290 | 992.6 | 80,750 | 1,007.7 | 58,810 | 997.0 |
| 1927 | 211,915 | 996.2 | 16,205 | 992.3 | 54,525 | 1,004.6 | 81,445 | 995.7 | 59,740 | 990.1 |
| 1928 | 218,970 | 998.2 | 16,445 | 984.1 | 56,735 | 1,007.6 | 83,430 | 990.7 | 62,365 | 1,003.6 |
| 1929 | 221,600 | 985.8 | 16,870 | 984.7 | 57,085 | 980.8 | 84,455 | 983.5 | 63,195 | 993.7 |
| 1930 | 236,220 | 1,026.2 | 17,745 | 1,005.2 | 60,890 | 1,015.9 | 91,005 | 1,039.0 | 66,585 | 1,024.1 |
| 1931 | 235,275 | 1,010.3 | 18,395 | 1,022.2 | 61,775 | 1,019.0 | 89,030 | 1,004.5 | 66,080 | 1,006.7 |

Table 5.3 Population Distribution by Year of Birth 1900 to 1996, Showing Canada(1) and Regions, 1996 Census (100\% Data) - Continued

| Birth year | Canada |  | East |  | Quebec |  | Ontario |  | West |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Index | Number | Index | Number | Index | Number | Index | Number | Index |
| 1932 | 238,930 | 1,013.6 | 18,810 | 1,025.4 | 63,210 | 1,028.7 | 90,045 | 1,005.0 | 66,870 | 1,008.1 |
| 1933 | 232,410 | 982.7 | 18,160 | 977.6 | 60,165 | 976.4 | 88,605 | 988.0 | 65,480 | 982.9 |
| 1934 | 235,735 | 988.2 | 18,610 | 987.6 | 61,205 | 989.1 | 89,285 | 985.2 | 66,635 | 991.6 |
| 1935 | 240,110 | 1,001.7 | 18,905 | 996.2 | 61,755 | 998.8 | 91,430 | 1,002.4 | 68,025 | 1,004.9 |
| 1936 | 245,570 | 1,002.5 | 19,735 | 1,011.3 | 63,075 | 997.3 | 93,780 | 1,007.2 | 68,985 | 998.7 |
| 1937 | 244,715 | 977.7 | 19,480 | 968.9 | 62,935 | 969.2 | 92,965 | 979.8 | 69,335 | 985.1 |
| 1938 | 258,605 | 1,002.9 | 20,845 | 1,005.9 | 67,265 | 998.5 | 98,110 | 1,008.9 | 72,390 | 998.1 |
| 1939 | 262,515 | 988.4 | 21,560 | 1,010.6 | 69,645 | 992.0 | 98,120 | 986.6 | 73,190 | 981.1 |
| 1940 | 277,890 | 997.9 | 21,995 | 979.4 | 73,910 | 994.6 | 103,260 | 995.9 | 78,725 | 1,008.8 |
| 1941 | 284,220 | 975.9 | 22,785 | 970.3 | 77,290 | 988.2 | 104,795 | 971.6 | 79,355 | 971.6 |
| 1942 | 309,210 | 1,014.8 | 25,105 | 1,024.3 | 83,445 | 1,012.9 | 114,130 | 1,015.8 | 86,530 | 1,012.4 |
| 1943 | 322,310 | 1,016.8 | 25,965 | 1,009.6 | 86,790 | 1,002.7 | 119,000 | 1,025.0 | 90,555 | 1,021.8 |
| 1944 | 329,915 | 973.5 | 26,695 | 963.9 | 90,475 | 988.8 | 120,570 | 967.0 | 92,175 | 970.2 |
| 1945 | 339,325 | 939.0 | 28,035 | 942.5 | 94,775 | 988.6 | 122,020 | 913.9 | 94,500 | 924.4 |
| 1946 | 393,690 | 1,036.8 | 32,675 | 1,037.5 | 102,030 | 1,021.8 | 147,720 | 1,053.1 | 111,265 | 1,029.5 |
| 1947 | 421,540 | 1,061.2 | 35,355 | 1,067.6 | 105,250 | 1,019.9 | 158,285 | 1,078.7 | 122,650 | 1,074.2 |
| 1948 | 414,045 | 999.1 | 34,715 | 1,004.5 | 106,750 | 1,005.6 | 152,790 | 995.8 | 119,790 | 996.0 |
| 1949 | 417,525 | 992.0 | 34,805 | 995.9 | 107,175 | 994.4 | 152,875 | 986.6 | 122,670 | 995.7 |
| 1950 | 425,355 | 998.4 | 35,250 | 999.4 | 109,585 | 1,000.9 | 155,530 | 997.2 | 124,985 | 997.2 |
| 1951 | 425,925 | 979.8 | 34,610 | 968.7 | 110,145 | 988.5 | 155,255 | 978.9 | 125,920 | 976.6 |
| 1952 | 447,415 | 999.7 | 36,975 | 1,013.5 | 113,770 | 992.0 | 163,380 | 1,003.6 | 133,300 | 998.0 |
| 1953 | 457,265 | 996.2 | 37,005 | 997.6 | 116,465 | 992.2 | 165,965 | 995.9 | 137,830 | 999.7 |
| 1954 | 481,710 | 1,019.5 | 38,580 | 1,013.8 | 123,460 | 1,025.4 | 173,875 | 1,013.5 | 145,790 | 1,023.2 |
| 1955 | 482,650 | 998.1 | 38,305 | 991.6 | 123,090 | 995.6 | 174,780 | 996.8 | 146,485 | 1,003.7 |
| 1956 | 493,430 | 1,000.8 | 39,400 | 1,010.5 | 125,215 | 991.8 | 179,765 | 1,003.4 | 149,045 | 1,002.8 |
| 1957 | 502,755 | 1,007.5 | 39,850 | 1,017.9 | 129,960 | 1,017.2 | 182,360 | 1,001.4 | 150,580 | 1,003.7 |
| 1958 | 504,615 | 995.7 | 38,815 | 983.6 | 129,545 | 1,002.7 | 185,020 | 993.0 | 151,240 | 996.3 |
| 1959 | 511,715 | 1,003.2 | 39,380 | 997.1 | 130,985 | 1,011.1 | 188,615 | 998.8 | 152,740 | 1,003.5 |
| 1960 | 521,430 | 1,018.4 | 39,865 | 1,010.4 | 130,285 | 1,011.2 | 195,875 | 1,023.8 | 155,415 | 1,020.0 |
| 1961 | 509,985 | 993.4 | 39,570 | 998.8 | 126,975 | 990.6 | 192,385 | 994.9 | 151,055 | 992.2 |
| 1962 | 512,230 | 1,003.2 | 39,640 | 1,003.9 | 126,445 | 998.5 | 194,740 | 1,003.9 | 151,395 | 1,006.1 |
| 1963 | 511,620 | 1,025.3 | 39,630 | 1,021.0 | 126,185 | 1,021.7 | 195,220 | 1,024.3 | 150,585 | 1,030.9 |
| 1964 | 497,670 | 1,030.3 | 38,720 | 1,025.3 | 123,310 | 1,034.0 | 191,720 | 1,035.5 | 143,910 | 1,021.7 |
| 1965 | 463,395 | 1,002.9 | 36,505 | 1,003.8 | 114,610 | 1,008.9 | 178,870 | 1,009.0 | 133,405 | 989.4 |
| 1966 | 430,200 | 978.0 | 34,330 | 988.0 | 105,720 | 984.9 | 165,175 | 978.6 | 124,975 | 969.0 |
| 1967 | 407,490 | 968.5 | 32,650 | 978.3 | 98,165 | 966.4 | 155,385 | 965.4 | 121,285 | 971.5 |
| 1968 | 400,525 | 980.0 | 31,535 | 969.6 | 94,925 | 975.5 | 152,805 | 980.7 | 121,265 | 985.6 |
| 1969 | 402,105 | 1,003.9 | 31,850 | 985.7 | 94,475 | 1,002.1 | 152,510 | 1,002.6 | 123,270 | 1,011.8 |
| 1970 | 403,125 | 1,020.5 | 32,250 | 996.6 | 93,265 | 1,012.3 | 153,210 | 1,024.2 | 124,400 | 1,028.6 |
| 1971 | 389,395 | 1,000.2 | 33,270 | 1,020.8 | 90,565 | 996.5 | 146,630 | 999.7 | 118,920 | 998.1 |
| 1972 | 379,965 | 988.3 | 32,890 | 1,002.4 | 87,430 | 968.8 | 142,775 | 990.6 | 116,865 | 996.6 |
| 1973 | 371,905 | 976.6 | 32,700 | 984.8 | 88,670 | 979.1 | 138,260 | 975.8 | 112,285 | 973.3 |
| 1974 | 377,840 | 994.0 | 32,940 | 984.2 | 91,305 | 994.1 | 139,750 | 997.8 | 113,840 | 992.0 |
| 1975 | 384,970 | 1,010.6 | 34,225 | 1,014.0 | 94,830 | 1,012.7 | 141,010 | 1,014.8 | 114,910 | 1,002.6 |
| 1976 | 385,930 | 1,005.8 | 34,585 | 1,018.0 | 96,980 | 1,016.8 | 138,490 | 998.3 | 115,870 | 1,002.0 |
| 1977 | 384,085 | 991.7 | 34,315 | 1,001.1 | 96,410 | 990.5 | 137,225 | 989.2 | 116,140 | 992.8 |
| 1978 | 385,755 | 987.1 | 33,805 | 986.9 | 97,375 | 988.4 | 137,165 | 984.4 | 117,410 | 989.4 |
| 1979 | 395,800 | 1,007.5 | 34,450 | 1,011.9 | 101,055 | 1,023.9 | 139,720 | 998.6 | 120,575 | 1,003.0 |
| 1980 | 402,315 | 1,016.9 | 34,115 | 1,005.9 | 100,755 | 1,025.7 | 144,120 | 1,020.3 | 123,330 | 1,008.8 |

Table 5.3 Population Distribution by Year of Birth 1900 to 1996, Showing Canada(1) and Regions,
1996 Census (100\% Data) - Concluded

| Birth year | Canada |  | East |  | Quebec |  | Ontario |  | West |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Index | Number | Index | Number | Index | Number | Index | Number | Index |
| 1981 | 396,380 | 995.6 | 33,540 | 989.6 | 97;895 | 1,009.4 | 141,335 | 988.6 | 123,615 | 994.5 |
| 1982 | 397,990 | 996.9 | 33,660 | 1,000.7 | 94,060 | 989.7 | 143,930 | 994.1 | 126,330 | 1,004.5 |
| 1983 | 398,240 | 998.6 | 33,705 | 1,008.6 | 91,175 | 982.0 | 145,695 | 999.4 | 127,670 | 1,007.3 |
| 1984 | 401,260 | 1,007.5 | 33,155 | 1,002.2 | 91,330 | 1,006.5 | 148,875 | 1,011.7 | 127,905 | 1,004.8 |
| 1985 | 400,105 | 1,010.7 | 33,025 | 1,013.8 | 89,790 | 1,008.3 | 149,075 | 1,010.7 | 128,205 | 1,011.4 |
| 1986 | 393,750 | 998.4 | 31,870 | 994.2 | 87,335 | 987.6 | 148,195 | 1,003.4 | 126,350 | 1,001.3 |
| 1987 | 386,080 | 978.0 | 31,130 | 980.8 | 85,640 | 964.3 | 145,620 | 982.5 | 123,695 | 981.8 |
| 1988 | 390,645 | 983.8 | 31,100 | 986.6 | 88,045 | 972.1 | 146,715 | 982.8 | 124,790 | 992.8 |
| 1989 | 403,240 | 1,011.0 | 31,580 | 1,013.1 | 93,250 | 1,007.6 | 151,490 | 1,010.3 | 126,920 | 1,013.8 |
| 1990 | 411,635 | 1,026.4 | 31,930 | 1,034.8 | 98,605 | 1,042.7 | 154,380 | 1,023.0 | 126,725 | 1,016.1 |
| 1991 | 402,650 | 1,007.2 | 30,115 | 995.3 | 97,195 | 1,020.1 | 151,530 | 1,003.6 | 123,810 | 1,004.7 |
| 1992 | 397,055 | 1,005.5 | 29,550 | 1,004.3 | 95,740 | 1,013.0 | 150,445 | 1,004.1 | 121,310 | 1,001.5 |
| 1993 | 384,240 | 993.4 | 28,105 | 991.7 | 91,620 | 994.8 | 147,115 | 995.7 | 117,410 | 989.9 |
| 1994 | 378,865 | - | 27,415 | - | 89,390 | - | 145,650 | - | 116,410 |  |
| 1995 | 371,190 | - | 26,515 | - | 86,530 | - | 144,040 | - | 114,110 |  |
| 1996 | 131,390 | - | 9,385 | - | 31,030 | - | 50,130 | - | 40,845 | - |

(1). Does not include Canadians residing outside Canada.

As this century has progressed, the regional distributions have followed that of Canada, and each other, more closely. Within the past 10 years, the major differences between regions have been as a result of immigration and interprovincial migration. The provinces of Ontario, Alberta, and British Columbia have benefited. On the other hand, Quebec and the Atlantic Provinces have not been able to attract immigrants and have lost population through out-migration.

Table 5.4 Enumerated and Estimated Populations by Age Group, Showing Discrepancy by Sex, Canada, 1996 Census (100\% Data)

| Age group | 1996 Census |  |  | Population Estimates (1) |  |  | Discrepancy (\%) (2) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women |
| Total | 28,846,760 | 14,170,025 | 14,676,735 | 29,144,087 | 14,362,198 | 14,781,889 | -1.0 | -1.3 | -0.7 |
| 0-4 | 1,917,825 | 982,560 | 935,265 | 1,958,968 | 1,004,936 | 954,032 | -2.1 | -2.2 | -2.0 |
| 5-9 | 1,990,015 | 1,019,290 | 970,725 | 1,971,414 | 1,007,998 | 963,416 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 0.8 |
| 10-14 | 1,993,440 | 1,023,360 | 970,075 | 1,972,885 | 1,010,929 | 961,956 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 0.8 |
| 15-19 | 1,959,120 | 1,003,355 | 955,770 | 1,967,313 | 1,008,467 | 958,846 | -0.4 | -0.5 | -0.3 |
| 20-24 | 1,898,050 | 951,825 | 946,225 | 1,978,428 | 1,006,464 | 971,964 | -4.1 | -5.4 | -2.6 |
| 25-29 | 2,030,675 | 1,005,280 | 1,025,395 | 2,074,856 | 1,038,598 | 1,036,258 | -2.1 | -3.2 | -1.0 |
| 30-34 | 2,468,235 | 1,221,680 | 1,246,555 | 2,469,718 | 1,230,386 | 1,239,332 | -0.1 | -0.7 | 0.6 |
| 35-39 | 2,544,030 | 1,258,015 | 1,286,020 | 2,558,118 | 1,269,007 | 1,289,111 | -0.6 | -0.9 | -0.2 |
| 40-44 | 2,317,670 | 1,144,995 | 1,172,680 | 2,329,963 | 1,153,221 | 1,176,742 | -0.5 | -0.7 | -0.3 |
| 45-49 | 2,093,850 | 1,040,830 | 1,053,015 | 2,106,257 | 1,049,176 | 1,057,081 | -0.6 | -0.8 | -0.4 |
| 50-54 | 1,616,545 | 806,685 | 809,860 | 1,646,540 | 822,059 | 824,481 | -1.8 | -1.9 | -1.8 |
| 55-59 | 1,301,705 | 643,445 | 658,260 | 1,320,891 | 653,344 | 667,547 | -1.5 | -1.5 | -1.4 |
| 60-64 | 1,187,750 | 580,870 | 606,875 | 1,196,132 | 585,182 | 610,950 | -0.7 | -0.7 | -0.7 |
| 65-69 | 1,105,945 | 523,070 | 582,875 | 1,115,733 | 529,664 | 586,069 | -0.9 | -1.2 | -0.5 |
| 70-74 | 955,990 | 420,295 | 535,695 | 967,618 | 427,255 | 540,363 | -1.2 | -1.6 | -0.9 |
| 75-79 | 678,245 | 276,930 | 401,315 | 694,955 | 285,182 | 409,773 | -2.4 | -2.9 | -2.1 |
| 80-84 | 450,580 | 167,250 | 283,340 | 460,630 | 172,053 | 288,577 | -2.2 | -2.8 | -1.8 |
| 85-89 | 227,835 | 72,830 | 155,005 | 236,356 | 76,726 | 159,630 | -3.6 | -5.1 | -2.9 |
| 90+ | 109,235 | 27,450 | 81,785 | 117,312 | 31,551 | 85,761 | -6.9 | -13.0 | -4.6 |

(1). These data have not been adjusted for net undercoverage.
(2). Calculated as follows: ((1996 census data - population estimates data) / estimates data) * 100

Source: Appendix Table A1.
The last table evaluating Date of Birth responses, Table 5.4, gives data by age group and sex for 1996 Census and Population Estimates. The table shows only minor discrepancies indicating a close correspondence between Census and Population Estimates data. There are, however, two areas of concern: the census undercoverage of persons 20-29 years of age and the counting of seniors.

The undercoverage problem of persons 20-29 years of age (especially males) has existed for some time. They are a very mobile group and, so, difficult to enumerate for the Census.

The problem concerning seniors' data stems from Census data processing. In 1991, there was a small inconsistency in the derivation of age components before Edit and Imputation and a tendency for CANEDIT to underimpute persons, especially males, over 85 years of age. In 1996, the pre-Edit and Imputation processing was revised, and with the use of NIM, the age problem was corrected. However, as the Population Estimates are based on 1991 Census data, we are now seeing the effects of the undercount of seniors in 1991 reflected in the latest Estimates data.

## $2.2 \quad$ Sex

Sex ratios for previous censuses, Population Estimates, and current census data by five-year age groups are presented in Table 5.5. The sex ratio is defined as the ratio of males to females times 1000 .

Table 5.5 Sex Ratios by Age Group, Showing Enumerated and Estimated Populations, and Discrepancy, Canada, 1976 1996 Censuses (100\% Data)

| Age group | Census |  |  |  |  | 1996 Estimates(1) | Discrepancy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1976 | 1981 | 1986 | 1991 | 1996 |  |  |
| Total | 992 | 983 | 974 | 972 | 965 | 972 | -6 |
| 0-4 | 1,054 | 1,052 | 1,051 | 1,048 | 1,051 | 1,053 | -3 |
| 5-9 | 1,050 | 1,054 | 1,052 | 1,052 | 1,050 | 1,046 | 4 |
| 10-14 | 1,048 | 1,052 | 1,054 | 1,052 | 1,055 | 1,051 | 4 |
| 15-19 | 1,041 | 1,043 | 1,049 | 1,053 | 1,050 | 1,052 | -2 |
| 20-24 | 998 | 1,004 | 1,009 | 1,009 | 1,006 | 1,035 | -30 |
| 25-29 | 1,008 | 992 | 990 | 991 | 980 | 1,002 | -22 |
| 30-34 | 1,022 | 1,004 | 984 | 987 | 980 | 993 | -13 |
| 35-39 | 1,021 | 1,018 | 996 | 985 | 978 | 984 | -6 |
| 40-44 | 1,030 | 1,017 | 1,009 | 998 | 976 | 980 | -4 |
| 45-49 | 1,013 | 1,023 | 1,006 | 1,009 | 988 | 993 | 4 |
| 50-54 | 954 | 1,000 | 1,005 | 1,002 | 996 | 997 | -1 |
| 55-59 | 934 | 929 | 974 | 989 | 977 | 979 | -1 |
| 60-64 | 928 | 894 | 892 | 946 | 957 | 958 | -1 |
| 65-69 | 885 | 861 | 834 | 848 | 897 | 904 | -6 |
| 70-74 | 826 | 799 | 783 | 775 | 785 | 791 | -6 |
| 75+ | 653 | 623 | 604 | 602 | 591 | 599 | -8 |

(1). According to Population Estimates, Demography Division

Ratios are quite close with the exception of those for 20-24 and 25-29 age groups. As previously indicated, there is a high rate of Census undercoverage for persons within these two age groups.

The discrepancy caused by the problem of undercoverage of young men has been evident since the 1986 Census. Discrepancies for the three censuses are very similar indicating that the problem has neither worsened nor improved.

On a provincial level, small numbers in Prince Edward Island explain the larger than average discrepancy. Data for the other provinces highlight the undercoverage problem for those persons 20-29 years of age.

Table 5.6 Comparison of Sex Ratios by Age Group, Showing Discrepancy Between Enumerated and Estimated Populations, by Province (1), 1996 Census (100\% Data)

| Age group | Nfld. | P.E.I. | N.S. | N.B. | Que. | Ont. | Man. | Sask. | Alta. | B.C. |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $0-4$ | 15 | -15 | -24 | 22 | -11 | 3 | -3 | 1 | -10 | -1 |
| $5-9$ | -9 | -27 | -14 | 1 | 3 | 6 | -13 | 8 | 6 | 9 |
| $10-14$ | 6 | -35 | 21 | 6 | 6 | 0 | -7 | 15 | 1 | 11 |
| $15-19$ | 18 | 77 | 10 | 1 | -3 | -5 | 20 | -25 | 4 | -8 |
| $20-24$ | -32 | -5 | -57 | -31 | -32 | -31 | -39 | -21 | -25 | -19 |
| $25-29$ | -73 | -4 | -61 | -26 | -21 | -25 | -11 | 24 | -17 | -13 |
| $30-34$ | -21 | 40 | -19 | -12 | -3 | -20 | -8 | 21 | -23 | -10 |
| $35-39$ | -14 | 14 | 7 | 16 | 2 | -17 | -8 | -1 | -5 | -2 |
| $40-44$ | -9 | -6 | 10 | 3 | 3 | -13 | 3 | -10 | 10 | -4 |
| $45-49$ | 5 | -47 | -8 | -12 | 0 | -6 | -13 | -10 | 3 | -3 |
| $50-54$ | -19 | -21 | -17 | 12 | 2 | -1 | 4 | -2 | -7 | 1 |
| $55-59$ | -21 | 24 | -5 | 1 | 2 | -2 | -4 | 2 | -10 | 2 |
| $60-64$ | -2 | 20 | 2 | -2 | 0 | 1 | -1 | -7 | 8 | -13 |
| $65-69$ | -16 | -58 | 3 | 3 | -2 | -12 | -3 | -9 | 11 | -10 |
| $70-74$ | 10 | -32 | 4 | -8 | -4 | -8 | -14 | 3 | -11 | -7 |
| $75+$ | -56 | 12 | -12 | -8 | -7 | -12 | -4 | -1 | -7 | -7 |

(1). The Yukon Territory and Northwest Territories are excluded because the small figures involved make comparisons difficult.

Source: Appendix Table A2.

### 2.3 Marital Status

Two forms of this variable are available from the 1996 Census. They are Legal Marital Status and Marital Status (Historical). Legal Marital Status data comes directly from the questionnaire. This data has been available since the 1991 Census. In this case, those persons living in common-law unions are placed in categories (except for 'married') according to their legal marital status. This data became available when the question on Common-law Status was included on the 1991 Census questionnaire. Marital Status (Historical) is a derived variable, and includes in the married category those persons who, on Census day, were living in a common-law union. This form of the variable can be compared to previous censuses and Population Estimates data.

The General Social Survey (GSS) is the only Canadian survey source that provides data on Legal Marital Status. The Cycle 10 results used in this report are not exactly comparable to the 1996 Census results. The 1995 GSS is a sample survey and Cycle 10 was run between January and December 1995. The target population was those persons 15 years and over and the survey excludes the Yukon and Northwest Territories and institutional residents. However, as the GSS is the only other source providing Legal Marital Status data, a comparison of the two will be useful.

When the populations excluded from the GSS data are also excluded from the Census data, the table shows few discrepancies. The exceptions to this are results for married and single persons at the Canada level. The discrepancies for these two categories have increased from the comparison made in 1991 and continue to affect the 30-39 age groups more than any other. The difference between the GSS data and the Census data with regards to those persons in the married and single categories are evident with both the unimputed and imputed census data. An explanation may be that GSS data are weighted using population estimates instead of the Census population counts, thus accounting for
undercoverage. Moreover, there is a sampling error associated with the survey results. When the 1991 adjustment rates for undercoverage are applied to 1996 Census data and the sampling error of the survey estimates taken into account, the results of the two sources are much closer.

Table 5.7 Difference (1) in Percentage Between Population Distribution from the GSS(2) and from the 1996 Census, by Age Group, Showing Legal Marital Status, Canada (Excluding Territories), 1996 Census ( $100 \%$ Data)

| Age group | Single | Married | Separated | Widowed | Divorced |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | percentage |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1.69 | -2.70 | 0.15 | 0.50 | 0.35 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $15-19$ | -0.55 | 0.41 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.01 |
| $20-24$ | 2.27 | -2.05 | -0.16 | -0.11 | 0.04 |
| $25-29$ | 3.48 | -2.99 | 0.06 | -0.44 | -0.12 |
| $30-34$ | 4.53 | -5.70 | 0.66 | 0.34 | 0.17 |
| $35-39$ | 6.56 | -7.49 | 0.07 | 0.58 | 0.28 |
| $40-44$ | 1.57 | -1.13 | -0.80 | 1.18 | -0.83 |
| $45-49$ | 1.99 | -4.40 | 0.68 | 1.38 | 0.34 |
| $50-54$ | 2.27 | -1.18 | -0.62 | -0.75 | 0.28 |
| $55-59$ | 2.45 | -2.44 | 0.16 | 1.11 | -1.28 |
| $60-64$ | 0.88 | -3.28 | 1.82 | 0.66 | -0.09 |
| $65-69$ | 1.71 | -1.85 | -0.62 | 0.90 | -0.15 |
| $70-74$ | 2.80 | -2.82 | 0.59 | 1.07 | -1.64 |
| $75-79$ | 2.60 | 1.32 | 1.34 | -0.79 | -4.46 |
| $80+$ | -2.18 | -0.38 | -0.09 | 1.28 | 1.38 |

(1). Calculated as foliows: ((1996 census data - GSS data)/GSS data) * 100
(2). 1995 General Social Survey - Cycle 10.

A cohort is a group of persons who experience a significant life event (birth, marriage) during a specific period of time (month, year). An example of an age cohort would be all the persons born in 1925. Table 5.8 compares the percentage of persons for each age cohort who are in each of the marital statuses from one census to the next. Thus, a negative number indicates that for a particular age cohort there has been a decrease in the number of persons in a particular marital status category since the last census. The decrease is offset by an increase (as shown by a positive number) in other marital status categories.

This table shows a significant decrease in the number of single persons up to 35 years of age. After that there is a gradual decline. As persons leave the single category they move to married and then to widowed or divorced. The table shows that with the decline in the number of single persons, there is a corresponding increase in the number of married persons. The increase in the number of persons in the divorced category follows a pattern similar to that of the married category. The number of persons in the widowed group continually increases as more people enter this group than ever leave it through remarrying or entering a common-law union.

Table 5.8 Population Change of Age Group (j) in Census Year (m), Compared with Age Group (j-5) in Census Year (m-5), Showing Marital Status and Sex, Canada, 1981-1996 Censuses (100\% Data)

| Age cohort (1996) | Total |  | Single |  | Married |  | Widowed |  | Divorced |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women |
|  | percentage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25-29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1981-1986 | 0.1 | 0.4 | -1.2 | -4.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1986-1991 | 0.0 | 3.9 | -17.3 | -29.5 | 1,394.5 | 690.6 | 6.0 | 39.1 | 470.1 | 1,129.0 |
| 1991-1996 | 2.0 | 5.0 | -35.3 | -42.3 | 164.6 | 87.6 | 37.2 | 99.4 | -40.5 | 331.2 |
| 30-34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1981-1986 | -4.3 | -1.0 | -23.0 | -36.2 | 1,186.1 | 490.7 | 31.3 | 82.0 | 1,021.4 | 1,527.2 |
| 1986-1991 | 4.5 | 6.3 | -39.7 | -47.5 | 169.0 | 84.0 | 31.9 | 77.1 | 524.6 | 335.2 |
| 1991-1996 | 3.3 | 4.5 | -33.9 | -32.6 | 32.0 | 17.0 | 97.7 | 97.7 | 140.6 | 103.4 |
| 35-39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1981-1986 | -0.8 | 0.6 | -45.4 | -49.4 | - 110.9 | 49.7 | 43.3 | 95.4 | 382.7 | 246.8 |
| 1986-1991 | 6.2 | 6.5 | -34.9 | -32.3 | 30.7 | 17.2 | 97.2 | 70.9 | 140.9 | 89.4 |
| 1991-1996 | 1.6 | 2.6 | -21.6 | -17.8 | 6.6 | 3.5 | 77.0 | 80.7 | 66.9 | 52.7 |
| 40-44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1981-1986 | 0.0 | 0.8 | -38.8 | -32.7 | 16.3 | 6.3 | 82.2 | 66.8 | 94.4 | 78.9 |
| 1986-1991 | 4.6 | 4.4 | -20.8 | -15.9 | 8.6 | 4.9 | 73.7 | 58.2 | 62.1 | 43.9 |
| 1991-1996 | 1.0 | 1.9 | -12.0 | -8.5 | 0.5 | -0.3 | 79.3 | 68.2 | 45.7 | 35.7 |
| 45-49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1981-1986 | -1.0. | -0.2 | -24.3 | -17.5 | 1.3 | -1.2 | 75.3 | 61.2 | 51.9 | 48.7 |
| 1986-1991 | 3.1 | 2.9 | -11.3 | -6.5 | 2.9 | 0.8 | 66.7 | 57.1 | 40.1 | 32.6 |
| 1991-1996 | -0.1 | 0.8 | -7.9 | -4.1 | -1.9 | -2.4 | 69.6 | 64.5 | 33.4 | 23.7 |
| 50-54 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1981-1986 | -1.4 | -0.5 | -12.8 | -8.8 | -2.2 | -3.2 | 71.3 | 60.4 | 44.9 | 38.7 |
| 1986-1991 | 1.6 | 1.6 | -7.0 | -3.6 | 0.7 | -1.3 | 59.9 | 56.1 | 26.0 | 23.8 |
| 1991-1996 | -2.1 | -0.8 | -7.9 | -4.4 | -4.0 | 4.6 | 65.2 | 58.0 | 22.9 | 15.7 |
| 55-59 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1981-1986 | -2.2 | -1.1 | -9.6 | -5.6 | -3.4 | -4.3 | 64.3 | 58.6 | 33.3 | 27.8 |
| 1986-1991 | 0.5 | 0.9 | -5.9 | -2.1 | -0.4 | -2.8 | 54.0 | 56.9 | 16.4 | 18.3 |
| 1991-1996 | -3.0 | -0.6 | -7.9 | -4.5 | -4.7 | -5.6 | 56.2 | 58.6 | 15.0 | 11.1 |
| 60-64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1981-1986 | -2.9 | -1.2 | -7.9 | 4.5 | -4.4 | -5.3 | 58.4 | 58.2 | 26.8 | 21.3 |
| 1986-1991 | -1.3 | 0.3 | -7.6 | -1.9 | -2.1 | -5.2 | 48.0 | 54.8 | 6.9 | 14.7 |
| 1991-1996 | -4.5 | -1.3 | -9.6 | -3.6 | -6.3 | -8.9 | 54.7 | 51.8 | 8.1 | 6.4 |

Table 5.8 Population Change of Age Group (i) in Census Year (m), Compared with Age Group (i-5) in Census Year (m-5), Showing Marital Status and Sex, Canada, 1981-1996 Censuses (100\% Data) - Concluded

| Age cohort (1996) | Total |  | Single |  | Married |  | Widowed |  | Divorced |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women |
|  | percentage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 65-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1981-1986 | -4.5 | -2.0 | -9.6 | -4.8 | -5.9 | -7.8 | 49.5 | 52.7 | 15.9 | 15.3 |
| 1986-1991 | -3.7 | -0.8 | -8.9 | -1.9 | -4.8 | -9.1 | 45.0 | 48.4 | 0.0 | 9.8 |
| 1991-1996 | -8.5 | -3.6 | -14.8 | -6.1 | -10.7 | -14.4 | 43.1 | 39.0 | -0.8 | -0.2 |
| 70-74 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1981-1986 | -6.7 | -2.7 | -12.4 | 4.7 | -8.2 | -11.5 | 47.2 | 46.3 | 4.8 | 9.2 |
| 1986-1991 | -7.2 | -2.4 | -13.1 | -3.4 | -9.0 | -14.3 | 39.7 | 39.3 | -5.8 | 3.8 |
| 1991-1996 | -14.7 | -7.7 | -21.7 | -9.5 | -17.6 | -23.0 | 31.1 | 26.6 | -12.6 | -9.8 |
| 75-79 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1981-1986 | -10.3 | -3.8 | -16.4 | -5.3 | -12.4 | -16.6 | 38.8 | 37.4 | -5.0 | 2.0 |
| 1986-1991 | -13.4 | -6.9 | -19.7 | -7.7 | -16.2 | -23.1 | 30.7 | 25.5 | -17.1 | -8.1 |
| 1991-1996 | -22.8 | -13.3 | -29.6 | -14.6 | -27.1 | -34.1 | 16.7 | 12.4 | -22.5 | -17.0 |
| 80-84 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1981-1986 | -16.9 | -8.8 | -22.9 | -9.7 | 6.8 | 13.3 | 2.8 | 4.7 | 35.0 | 52.4 |
| 1986-1991 | -22.2 | -12.5 | -28.1 | -13.0 | -44.8 | -56.8 | 43.5 | 30.9 | -55.1 | -51.2 |
| 1991-1996 | -33.8 | -21.8 | -37.6 | -22.4 | -40.0 | -47.7 | -1.2 | -4.4 | -33.4 | -31.0 |
| 85-89 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1981-1986 | -25.4 | -14.7 | -31.1 | -15.3 | -29.9 | -36.8 | 12.3 | 8.0 | -26.9 | -20.9 |
| 1986-1991 | -33.2 | -21.2 | -37.7 | -21.4 | -39.4 | -47.2 | -2.4 | -5.6 | -37.6 | -28.6 |
| 1991-1996 | -48.0 | -34.5 | -51.2 | -35.9 | -55.7 | -62.4 | -25.3 | -24.9 | -49.3 | -41.2 |
| 90+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1981-1986 | -36.1 | -23.1 | -40.4 | -23.4 | -42.6 | -49.6 | -6.7 | -8.5 | -37.3 | -27.7 |
| 1986-1991 | -46.9 | -33.9 | -49.4 | -34.0 | -54.8 | -60.9 | -25.5 | -25.7 | -53.2 | -40.9 |
| 1991-1996 | -55.2 | -36.2 | -58.4 | -36.0 | -66.8 | -72.6 | -36.6 | -30.4 | -49.4 | -47.2 |

Population Estimates data are used in Table 5.9. In this table the ratio of 1996 Census data to Population Estimates are presented by Marital Status and Sex. The majority of data correspond closely except for the ratios for those categories with small numbers, widowed and divorced. As well, there is a marked difference between Census data and Estimates data for single and married persons within the 15-24 age groups. These differences are caused by the method used to produce the 1996 Estimates data. The procedure used the 1991 Census historical marital status data and then added several components including the number of marriages. However, the components did not include common-law data which lead to an underestimation of married people, especially in Quebec. Conversely, there was an overestimation of single and divorced people.

Table 5.9 Ratio of Enumerated Population to Estimated Population, by Selected Age Groups, Showing Sex and Marital Status (1), Canada, 1996 Census (100\% Data)

| Age group | Men |  |  |  | Women |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Single | Married | Widowed | Divorced | Single | Married | Widowed | Divorced |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{0 . 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0 1}$ | 0.95 | 0.85 | 0.95 | $\mathbf{1 . 0 2}$ | 0.99 | 0.91 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $15-19$ | 0.99 | 6.71 | 4.72 | 12.71 | 0.97 | 4.02 | 5.14 | 4.86 |  |
| $20-24$ | 0.87 | 1.86 | 0.54 | 0.68 | 0.86 | 1.51 | 0.59 | 0.58 |  |
| $25-29$ | 0.86 | 1.15 | 0.67 | 0.53 | 0.93 | 1.05 | 0.79 | 0.62 |  |
| $30-34$ | 1.01 | 1.01 | 0.82 | 0.61 | 1.13 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 0.74 |  |
| $35-39$ | 1.06 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 0.75 | 1.06 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.86 |  |
| $40-44$ | 1.05 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 0.86 | 1.03 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.93 |  |
| $45-49$ | 1.03 | 1.00 | 0.94 | 0.91 | 1.02 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 0.96 |  |
| $50-54$ | 1.02 | 0.98 | 0.92 | 0.93 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 0.97 | 0.97 |  |
| $55-59$ | 1.03 | 0.98 | 0.92 | 0.97 | 0.99 | 0.98 | 0.99 | 0.99 |  |
| $60-64$ | 1.05 | 0.99 | 0.96 | 1.03 | 1.01 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| $65-69$ | 1.04 | 0.98 | 0.97 | 1.04 | 1.01 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 0.98 |  |
| $70-74$ | 1.03 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 1.01 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 1.01 | 0.94 |  |
| $75-79$ | 1.02 | 0.97 | 0.93 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 0.96 | 1.00 | 0.92 |  |

(1). Persons who have indicated that they are 'Separated' are included within the 'Married' category.

Source: Appendix Table A3.

### 2.4 Common- Law Status

The 1995 GSS and previous Census data will be used in this section on Common-law Unions. These data sources, with few exceptions, have compared closely to other 1996 Census data presented earlier in this report.

The 1996 Census counted $1,828,765$ persons living in common-law unions, a $26 \%$ increase over the previous census. The number of persons living in common-law unions is still increasing, though at a decreasing rate. This slowing of the increase is partly a result of the fewer number of persons in the younger cohorts. For comparison purposes, the following three tables use the population in private households only. Table 5.10 shows the number of persons in common-law couples as a percentage of all persons in couples.

The Northwest Territories continued to have the largest percentage of persons in common-law couples followed by the Yukon Territory and Quebec. Between 1991 and 1996 there was an increase of $17.8 \%$ in the total number of couples in the Northwest Territories. However, $64.0 \%$ of that increase were persons in common-law couples. Similar increases occurred in the Yukon Territory and Quebec. In all other provinces, the proportion of persons in commonlaw couples to the total number of persons in couples continued to increase, although the increase has slowed.

1996 Census Technical Reports
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Table 5.10 Persons Living in Couples and Those Living in Common-law Unions by Province and Territory, 1986-1996 Censuses (100\% Data)

| Province/territory | Living in Couples |  |  | Living in Common-law Unions |  |  | Common-law as a percentage of all couples |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1991 | 1996 | 1986 | 1991 | 1996 | 1986 | 1991 | 1996 |
| Canada | 11,781,650 | 12,823,305 | 13,509,895 | 974,085 | 1,452,350 | 1,827,285 | 8.27 | 11.33 | 13.53 |
| Newfoundland | 252,995 | 266,120 | 271,435 | 10,080 | 19,940 | 26,275 | 3.98 | 7.49 | 9.68 |
| Prince Edward Island | 56,220 | 59,190 | 61,715 | 2,625 | 4,070 | 5,520 | 4.67 | 6.88 | 8.94 |
| Nova Scotia | 401,360 | 424,110 | 430,095 | 26,410 | 40,275 | 47,925 | 6.58 | 9.50 | 11.14 |
| New Brunswick | 324,730 | 343,740 | 357,040 | 19,210 | 31,795 | 44,750 | 5.92 | 9.25 | 12.53 |
| Quebec | 3,000,275 | 3,231,810 | 3,303,280 | 377,370 | 613,990 | 798,595 | 12.58 | 19.00 | 24.18 |
| Ontario | 4,314,795 | 4,772,805 | 5,066,330 | 269,525 | 364,435 | 449,945 | 6.25 | 7.64 | 8.88 |
| Manitoba | 487,585 | 499,975 | 509,165 | 30,620 | 42,640 | 50,175 | 6.28 | 8.53 | 9.85 |
| Saskatchewan | 464,655 | 456,300 | 455,280 | 27,475 | 35,510 | 43,935 | 5.91 | 7.78 | 9.65 |
| Alberta | 1,090,815 | 1,174,310 | 1,261,615 | 90,310 | 119,930 | 142,990 | 8.28 | 10.21 | 11.33 |
| British Columbia | 1,359,495 | 1,561,450 | 1,755,165 | 115,310 | 171,285 | 205,400 | 8.48 | 10.97 | 11.70 |
| Yukon Territory | 9,925 | 12,140 | 13,615 | 1,970 | 2,830 | 3,675 | 19.85 | 23.31 | 26.99 |
| Northwest Territories | 18,805 | 21,360 | 25,170 | 3,175 | 5,660 | 8,100 | 16.88 | 26.50 | 32.18 |

Table 5.11 Persons Living in Couples and Those Living in Common-law Unions by Age Group, Canada, 1986-1996 Censuses (100\% Data)

| Age group | Living in Couples |  |  | Living in Common-law Unions |  |  | Common-law as a percentage of all couples |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1991 | 1996 | 1986 | 1991 | 1996 | 1986 | 1991 | 1996 |
| Total | 11,781,650 | 12,823,305 | 13,509,895 | 974,085 | 1,452,350 | 1,827,285 | 8.27 | 11.33 | 13.53 |
| 15-19 | 44,000 | 43,380 | 41,955 | 26,195 | 32,715 | 32,175 | 59.53 | 75.41 | 76.69 |
| 20-24 | 624,945 | 486,715 | 402,715 | 205,155 | 227,760 | 224,490 | 32.83 | 46.80 | 55.74 |
| 25-29 | 1,438,810 | 1,355,655 | 1,066,180 | 238,825 | 333,020 | 343,015 | 16.60 | 24.57 | 32.17 |
| 30-34 | 1,628,210 | 1,776,630 | 1,693,115 | 167,110 | 271,385 | 346,805 | 10.26 | 15.28 | 20.48 |
| 35-39 | 1,597,905 | 1,741,250 | 1,879,510 | 117,705 | 193,340 | 285,455 | 7.37 | 11.10 | 15.19 |
| 40-44 | 1,289,750 | 1,628,865 | 1,754,505 | 76,355 | 142,270 | 207,425 | 5.92 | 8.73 | 11.82 |
| 45-49 | 1,053,750 | 1,289,455 | 1,610,540 | 47,650 | 95,730 | 152,345 | 4.52 | 7.42 | 9.46 |
| 50-54 | 973,130 | 1,040,855 | 1,252,160 | 33,645 | 58,485 | 98,510 | 3.46 | 5.62 | 7.87 |
| 55-59 | 922,885 | 940,240 | 1,003,990 | 24,120 | 38,705 | 57,270 | 2.61 | 4.12 | 5.70 |
| 60-64 | 820,175 | 859,215 | 885,320 | 16,870 | 26,045 | 35,565 | 2.06 | 3.03 | 4.02 |
| 65+ | 1,388,090 | 1,661,050 | 1,919,905 | 20,450 | 32,885 | 44,225 | 1.47 | 1.98 | 2.30 |

Table 5.11 compares the total number of persons living in couples to those living in common-law unions. While there has been only a $5.4 \%$ increase in the total number of persons in couples since 1991 , there has been a $25.8 \%$ increase in the number of persons in common-law couples. All age groups have seen an increase in the percentage of persons living in common-law couples between 1986 and 1991, and 1991 and 1996. However, the table also shows that the number of persons entering into common-law unions, while still increasing, is doing so at a slower pace than in the past.

Table 5.12 compares previous census data for Canada by age groups and sex. In looking at the rates, those persons who were $45-49$ in 1986 accounted for the largest increase between 1986 and 1991. This group can be seen to move through each subsequent census creating the largest increase over the previous census for each subsequent age group. On the other hand, those who were 20-24 years of age in 1986 accounted for the largest drop or smallest increase of those persons living in common-law unions as they moved from census to census.

Table 5.12 Enumerated Population Living in Common-law Unions by Age Group, Showing Rate of Increase by Sex, Canada, 1986-1996 Censuses (100\% Data)

| Age group | Census |  |  |  |  |  | Rate (\%) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 |  | 1991 |  | 1996 |  | 1986-1991 |  | 1991-1996 |  |
|  | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women |
| Total | 487,040 | 487,040 | 726,175 | 726,175 | 913,640 | 913,640 | 49 | 49 | 26 | 26 |
| 15-19 | 4,655 | 21,540 | 6,570 | 26,145 | 7,010 | 25,165 | 41 | 21 | 7 | -4 |
| 20-24 | 81,645 | 123,515 | 89,230 | 138,535 | 86,480 | 138,010 | 9 | 12 | -3 | 0 |
| 25-29 | 122,705 | 116,125 | 163,910 | 169,110 | 165,500 | 177,515 | 34 | 46 | 1 | 5 |
| 30-34 | 90,360 | 76,755 | 140,445 | 130,935 | 176,725 | 170,080 | 55 | 71 | 26 | 30 |
| 35-39 | 65,020 | 52,685 | 101,330 | 92,015 | 147,170 | 138,285 | 56 | 75 | 45 | 50 |
| 40-44 | 42,410 | 33,945 | 76,755 | 65,510 | 108,085 | 99,340 | 81 | 93 | 41 | 52 |
| 45-49 | 26,535 | 21,110 | 53,585 | 42,145 | 82,140 | 70,200 | 102 | 100 | 53 | 67 |
| 50-54 | 19,215 | 14,430 | 34,165 | 24,315 | 55,645 | 42,865 | 78 | 68 | 63 | 76 |
| 55-59 | 13,710 | 10,410 | 24,025 | 14,680 | 34,265 | 23,005 | 75 | 41 | 43 | 57 |
| 60-64 | 9,245 | 7,625 | 16,330 | 9,715 | 22,635 | 12,930 | 77 | 27 | 39 | 33 |
| 65+ | 11,555 | 8,895 | 19,825 | 13,065 | 27,980 | 16,250 | 71 | 47 | 41 | 24 |

While the rate of increase of men has been consistently larger than that of women for the 15-19 and 60-64 age groups, the opposite is true for women in almost every other age group. Men seem to enter into a common-law relationship at an older age than women and are more likely than women to seek a partner later in life if they are widowed or divorced.

An evaluation of Common-law data using both Census and GSS data is presented in Table 5.13. There are important differences between the populations considered in the GSS and the Census data. The GSS has a less restrictive definition than the Census. Thus, the GSS data includes same-sex partners (approximately 35,000 persons). As well, the GSS estimates are adjusted for undercoverage thus inflating the number of persons 20-34 years of age, and are subject to sampling error.

Table 5.13 Difference in Percentage Between Census Data and GSS(1) Common-law Estimates by Age Group, Showing Sex, Canada, 1996 Census (100\% Data)

| Age group | 1996 Census Data |  | 1995 GSS Data |  | \% Difference (2) |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| Total | 914,385 | 914,385 | $\mathbf{1 , 0 4 7 , 8 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 1 , 4 0 2}$ | $-\mathbf{- 1 . 3 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 3 8}$ |
| $15-19$ | 7,025 | 25,195 | 9,551 | 34,792 | -0.14 | -0.75 |
| $20-24$ | 86,570 | 138,115 | 108,733 | 189,593 | -0.91 | -4.02 |
| $25-29$ | 165,580 | 177,630 | 215,940 | 205,171 | -2.50 | -1.27 |
| $30-34$ | 176,840 | 170,175 | 220,684 | 175,408 | -1.72 | 0.92 |
| $35-39$ | 147,265 | 138,390 | 128,123 | 131,028 | 3.88 | 1.92 |
| $40-44$ | 108,180 | 99,440 | 136,181 | 104,874 | -1.17 | 0.30 |
| $45-49$ | 82,215 | 70,285 | 72,649 | 69,740 | 2.06 | 0.65 |
| $50-54$ | 55,710 | 42,915 | 65,832 | 43,588 | -0.19 | 0.30 |
| $55-59$ | 34,310 | 23,030 | 40,714 | 20,503 | -0.13 | 0.45 |
| $60-64$ | 22,680 | 12,950 | 7,419 | 8,115 | 1.77 | 0.60 |
| $65+$ | 28,005 | 16,265 | 42,000 | 8,590 | -0.95 | 0.91 |

(1). 1995 General Social Survey - Cycle 10.
(2). Calculated as follows: ((1996 census data - GSS data)/GSS data) * 100

## 3. Coverage Studies

The Census attempts to count all persons and households within Canada. However, coverage errors occurred as they have in previous censuses. In order to determine the extent of those errors, two data quality studies were conducted.

The Reverse Record Check was used to determine how many persons were missed in the census. A sample of persons was selected before the census took place. The census questionnaires were then checked to see if these persons were enumerated. The results were weighted to produce estimates of the number of persons missed.

The second study addressed the problem of overcoverage. The Overcoverage Study estimated the number of persons who were counted when they should not have been included or, were counted more than once. There were three components to this study:

1. An attempt was made to match all households on the census database against one another. A sample of the matches was verified against the questionnaires to confirm coverage.
2. All possible addresses for selected persons were verified in order to count the number of times they were enumerated.
3. A check is done of those persons who were enumerated in a collective, for example, a hospital, to see if they were also enumerated at the dwelling where they used to live.

The results of these three checks were combined and weighted to produce overcoverage counts.
The results of the two studies are used to produce net undercoverage results. Table 5.14 presents net undercoverage rates by province and sex. There continues to be a high error rate for the Territories.

Table 5.14 Estimated Net Undercoverage Rates (1) in 1996 Census, by Province, Showing Sex, Canada, Provinces and Territories, 1996 Census (100\% Data)

| Province / territory | Both sexes |  | Male |  | Female |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rate | Standard error | Rate | Standard error | Rate | Standard error |
|  | percentage |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canada | 2.45 | 0.10 | 3.20 | 0.14 | 1.72 | 0.13 |
| Newfoundland | 1.68 | 0.31 | 2.63 | 0.47 | 0.73 | 0.39 |
| Prince Edward Island | 0.85 | 0.31 | 1.44 | 0.47 | 0.27 | 0.37 |
| Nova Scotia | 2.24 | 0.28 | 3.44 | 0.45 | 1.08 | 0.34 |
| New Brunswick | 1.90 | 0.30 | 2.75 | 0.43 | 1.05 | 0.43 |
| Quebec | 1.61 | 0.19 | 2.21 | 0.27 | 1.02 | 0.26 |
| Ontario | 2.73 | 0.19 | 3.49 | 0.29 | 1.99 | 0.26 |
| Manitoba | 1.68 | 0.33 | 2.38 | 0.48 | 1.00 | 0.47 |
| Saskatchewan | 2.76 | 0.33 | 3.66 | 0.47 | 1.87 | 0.48 |
| Alberta | 2.40 | 0.26 | 2.88 | 0.41 | 1.92 | 0.31 |
| British Columbia | 3.70 | 0.25 | 4.66 | 0.40 | 2.75 | 0.31 |
| Yukon Territory | 3.28 | 0.53 | 4.70 | 0.86 | 1.70 | 0.57 |
| Northwest Territories | 4.49 | 0.49 | 5.51 | 0.73 | 3.38 | 0.66 |

(1). Preliminary estimates.

Table 5.15 shows estimated net undercoverage rates by age groups and sex. The table confirms the continuing problem of undercoverage for young adults, especially males as discussed previously in this report. The 20-34 age group accounted for approximately $50 \%$ of the total net undercoverage. This percentage has not changed since the 1991 Census.

Table 5.15 Estimated Net Undercoverage Rates (1) in 1996 Census, by Age Group, Showing Sex, Canada, 1996 Census (100\% Data)

| Age Group | Both sexes |  | Male |  | Female |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rate | Standard error | Rate | Standard error | Rate | Standard error |
|  | percentage |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 2.45 | 0.10 | 3.20 | 0.14 | 1.72 | 0.13 |
| 0-4 | 2.29 | 0.37 | 2.04 | 0.48 | 2.55 | 0.58 |
| 5-9 | 0.72 | 0.30 | 0.51 | 0.52 | 0.93 | 0.43 |
| 10-14 | 0.28 | 0.25 | 0.42 | 0.34 | 0.13 | 0.41 |
| 15-19 | 2.25 | 0.45 | 2.56 | 0.48 | 1.92 | 0.63 |
| 20-24 | 5.58 | 0.43 | 7.16 | 0.60 | 3.93 | 0.64 |
| 25-29 | 6.26 | 0.51 | 8.16 | 0.69 | 4.31 | 0.77 |
| 30-34 | 4.23 | 0.34 | 6.18 | 0.52 | 2.23 | 0.41 |
| 35-39 | 2.97 | 0.35 | 4.42 | 0.58 | 1.50 | 0.41 |
| 40-44 | 1.79 | 0.28 | 2.62 | 0.44 | 0.96 | 0.34 |
| 45-49 | 1.71 | 0.34 | 2.41 | 0.45 | 1.01 | 0.51 |
| 50-54 | 1.04 | 0.37 | 0.91 | 0.37 | 1.16 | 0.65 |
| 55-59 | 2.03 | 0.53 | 1.98 | 0.83 | 2.08 | 0.66 |
| 60-64 | 1.38 | 0.49 | 2.28 | 0.79 | 0.49 | 0.56 |
| 65-69 | 1.51 | 0.50 | 1.46 | 0.76 | 1.56 | 0.66 |
| 70-74 | 0.99 | 0.42 | 0.81 | 0.61 | 1.13 | 0.61 |
| 75-79 | 0.64 | 0.45 | 1.44 | 1.00 | 0.08 | 0.32 |
| 80-84 | 1.99 | 0.90 | 1.61 | 1.08 | 2.21 | 1.28 |
| $85+$ | 0.41 | 0.91 | 1.79 | 1.70 | -0.18 | 1.07 |

(1). Preliminary estimates.

## VI. Historical Comparability

With each census, the questionnaire undergoes some modifications. These changes are instituted with the goal of reducing respondent and data capture errors. However, these changes may affect the historical comparability of the data produced by each question. What follows is a detailed description of the changes that have occurred, since 1971, to the questions on Date of Birth, Marital Status, and Common-law Status. There will be no discussion of the Sex question as no changes have occurred. Changes in coverage will also be discussed.

## 1. Date of Birth

As processing systems have become more sophisticated, more respondent data has been captured and used.
In 1971 and 1976, the question asked the respondent to check a tick box corresponding to the decade of birth, year of birth, and the birth period (Jan to May or June to Dec). Since 1981, the question has been asked in the form of a numeric write-in where the respondent has been asked to enter their day, month, and year of birth.

While the question remained the same, the method of processing the data for the 1996 Census has changed (see Chapter III). These processing changes to the date of birth question have not affected the Age data.

## 2. Marital Status

This question has undergone four changes since 1971. The changes in the response categories are shown in Table 6.1.

Table 6.1 Marital Status Categories in Census Questionnaires, 1971 to 1996

| 1996 | 1991 | 1986 and 1981 | 1976 and 1971 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Legally married (and not separated) | Legally married (and not separated) | Now married (excluding separated) | Now married |
| Separated, but still legally married | Legally married and separated | Separated | Separated |
| Divorced | Divorced | Divorced | Divorced |
| Widowed | Widowed | Widowed | Widowed |
| Never married (single) | Never married (single) | Never married (single) | Single (never married) |

In 1971 and 1976, the question title was 'Marital Status' and was accompanied by a direction to 'Fill one circle only'. In 1981 and 1986, a question was added before the instruction 'What is your marital status?'. In 1991, the question was dropped and the title of the question was changed to 'Legal Marital Status'. This was the first census where data was available on the legal marital status of a person. Until this census, respondents who were living in common-law unions were directed to respond with 'married'. In 1991, in addition to the direct variable, a derived variable was created which placed those persons who had indicated that they were living in a common-law union within the 'married' category. By doing this, data users are able to maintain an historical series of marital status data. In 1996, as a result of respondent testing, the question title reverted to 'Marital Status'. The creation of both marital status variables proceeded as in 1991.

## 3. Common-law Status

The 1981 questionnaire was the first instance where those persons living in common-law unions were given specific instructions on how to respond. As there was no question on common-law status, they were directed (in the guide) to respond to the marital status question as 'Now married (excluding separated)'. This caused confusion, as they were also divorced, widowed, or single. The number of persons living in common-law unions was derived from their responses to the Relationship to Person 1 and Marital Status questions.

In 1986, the questionnaire directed the common-law respondents to answer the marital status question with any other category but 'now married'. While this cleared the confusion of the previous census, it made it difficult to determine whom of those 'not married' were living in couples. Responses from the Relationship to Person 1 question helped but a more precise measure was required.

The 1991 census was the first time that a specific question on common-law status was asked. In conjunction with data from the Relationship to Person 1 question, those persons living in common-law unions were able to respond without the confusion of previous censuses. In order to ensure that data for common-law unions were of good quality, they were derived as they had been in the 1986 Census. After the 1991 Census, the captured data from this question was extensively analyzed to be sure that the results were comparable to other sources.

While the question did not change for the 1996 Census, a significant processing change took place. In 1991, the responses to the common-law question were not changed during imputation and the output from this question used for evaluation purposes. In 1996, with the use of NIM and a greater familiarity with the data, the common-law responses were imputed when required. This resulted in better quality data for all members of the household. The results were made public as with all other demographic variables.

Table 6.2 shows the changes that have occurred since 1971 for those living in common-law unions. The table shows that for 1996 there were no instructions in the guide related to this question. Instead, as part of the continuing effort to increase data quality, a definition was placed below the question on the questionnaire.

Table 6.2 Instructions to Respondents Living in Common-law Unions Regarding Their Reported Marital Status, 1971 to 1996

| Census | Instructions |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1996 | No instructions given. |
| 1991 | If this person is living in a common-law relationship, choose the category in Question 5 which best <br> describes this person's legal marital status. |
| 1986 | Persons in a common-law type of relationship should mark one of the boxes "Separated", "Divorced", <br> "Widowed" or "Never married (single)". |
| 1981 | Couples living in a common-law type of arrangement are considered as "Now married (excluding <br> separated)", regardless of their legal marital status. |
| 1971 | Persons living in a common-law union should mark "Now married". |

## 4. Non-permanent residents

Non-permanent residents include those persons who hold student or employment authorizations, a Minister's permit or, whom are refugee claimants, along with family members who reside with them in Canada. Before 1991, these persons were not included in the Census. As their numbers have increased, and so too their effect on the Canadian economy, it has become necessary to include them in the Census. However, this group has a higher than average undercoverage rate.

The number of non-permanent residents has decreased by $40 \%$ over the past five years to 166,715 persons. Even so, they account for $1.2 \%$ of the $20-34$ age groups. In addition, the majority (54\%) are single and of those, almost half are between the ages of 20 and 34 .

Distributions by age, sex, and marital status will be affected in large urban areas in Ontario, Quebec, and British Columbia where there are large concentrations of non-permanent residents.

Table 6.3 Percentage of Non-permanent Residents to Total Population by Age Groups, Showing Sex and Legal Marital Status, Canada, 1996 Census (100\% Data)

| Age group | Male |  |  |  |  | Female |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Single | Married | Separated | Widowed | Divorced | Single | Married | Separated | Widowed | Divorced |
| percentage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 0.68 | 0.53 | 0.52 | 0.29 | 0.25 | 0.68 | 0.54 | 0.70 | 0.33 | 0.29 |
| 0-4 | 0.33 | - | - | - | - | 0.34 | - | - | - | - |
| 5-9 | 0.47 | - | - | - | - | 0.43 | - | - | - | - |
| 10-14 | 0.48 | - | - | - | - | 0.45 | - | - | - | - |
| 15-19 | 0.74 | 2.92 | 1.96 | - | 3.03 | 0.74 | 3.85 | 3.05 | - | 2.40 |
| 20-24 | 1.27 | 2.00 | 1.24 | 7.50 | 1.24 | 1.38 | 1.98 | 1.56 | 3.39 | 1.36 |
| 25-29 | 1.26 | 1.43 | 1.31 | 4.72 | 0.91 | 1.27 | 1.46 | 1.21 | 1.22 | 0.69 |
| 30-34 | 0.81 | 1.10 | 1.01 | 1.80 | 0.52 | 0.79 | 0.93 | 0.95 | 1.21 | 0.53 |
| 35-39 | 0.55 | 0.80 | 0.62 | 0.89 | 0.31 | 0.63 | 0.61 | 0.72 | 1.73 | 0.36 |
| 40-44 | 0.34 | 0.57 | 0.48 | 0.84 | 0.29 | 0.52 | 0.46 | 0.66 | 0.82 | 0.25 |
| 45-49 | 0.29 | 0.39 | 0.36 | 0.32 | 0.15 | 0.35 | 0.32 | 0.62 | 0.48 | 0.19 |
| 50-54 | 0.20 | 0.27 | 0.30 | 0.14 | 0.11 | 0.33 | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.43 | 0.21 |
| 55-59 | 0.17 | 0.25 | 0.37 | 0.39 | 0.19 | 0.27 | 0.22 | 0.40 | 0.46 | 0.17 |
| 60-64 | 0.27 | 0.19 | 0.11 | 0.18 | 0.16 | 0.32 | 0.19 | 0.41 | 0.32 | 0.13 |
| 65-69 | 0.26 | 0.16 | 0.10 | 0.24 | 0.06 | 0.28 | 0.17 | 0.43 | 0.39 | 0.21 |
| 70-74 | 0.22 | 0.13 | 0.14 | 0.22 | 0.21 | 0.17 | 0.21 | 0.64 | 0.31 | 0.20 |
| 75-79 | 0.24 | 0.15 | 0.24 | 0.21 | 0.17 | 0.20 | 0.13 | 0.43 | 0.27 | 0.39 |
| 80.84 | 0.27 | 0.21 | 0.29 | 0.26 | - | 0.19 | 0.21 | - | 0.22 | - |
| 85-89 | 0.10 | 0.28 | - | 0.30 | - | 0.17 | 0.38 | 0.93 | 0.24 | 0.76 |
| $90+$ | 0.23 | 0.24 | - | 0.36 | - | 0.18 | 0.51 | - | 0.30 | - |

## 5. Incompletely enumerated Indian reserves and settlements

In 1986 and 1991, enumeration was not permitted on some Indian reserves and settlements or it was interrupted before it was completed. In 1996, this situation continued. There were a total of 77 incompletely enumerated Indian reserves and settlements. The data for these populations are therefore excluded from Census tabulations.

The impact of this missing data is small at the Canada level. It becomes more significant at smaller areas especially if they contain a reserve or settlement. A list of these reserves and settlements can be found in Appendix C.

## VII. Conclusion

Over 11 million questionnaires were delivered and returned for the 1996 Census. Of the questionnaires received, approximately $98 \%$ were error-free. The low error rate combined with new and improved data processing programs has permitted the 1996 Census to continue to produce high quality data.

The questions that were asked for Date of Birth, Sex, Marital Status and Common-law Status have not changed since the 1991 Census. The same cannot be said of the methods used to process the data. New programs and technology along with revised procedures were used to process the demographic data for 1996.

The changes started with an improved stratification process. Better homogeneity with the various groups was an important element in the completely revised edit and imputation system. The improved edit system permitted more comprehensive and detailed edits to be applied to the Census data. The edited data was then processed through the new imputation system (New Imputation Methodology - NIM). With the use of new technology, NIM was able to review more donors and select the most appropriate imputation actions. The result was high quality demographic data.

Another processing change resulted from the decision not to capture Relationship to Person 1 write-in responses for the $80 \%$ of the population who responded on a 2 A questionnaire. This decision required that two processing streams be used: one for the 2A questionnaires (without write-in responses) and a second stream for the remaining questionnaires. Analysis of the data from this two-stream approach indicate that there are few differences resulting from the exclusion (or inclusion) of write-in responses for the Relationship to Person 1 question.

The evaluation of the 1996 Census demographic data used the results of previous censuses, Vital Statistics, Population Estimates, and the 1995 General Social Survey. It confirmed that the new processing programs and procedures did not adversely affect data quality. The evaluation also corroborated trends identified in previous censuses.

## APPENDICES

## Appendix A - Glossary of Terms

The definitions of census terms variables and concepts are presented here as they appear in the 1996 Census Dictionary (Catalogue No. 92-351-XPE). Users should refer to the 1996 Census Dictionary for full definitions and additional remarks related to any concepts, such as information on direct and derived variables and their respective universe.

Age: Refers to the age at last birthday (as of the census reference date, May 14, 1996). This variable is derived from date of birth

Birth Year: Refers to the year of birth, collected for the purpose of determining the person's age as of the census reference date. Persons who were unable to give the exact year of birth were asked to give the best possible estimate

Common-law: Common-law partners are two persons of opposite sex who are not legally married to each other but live together as husband and wife in the same dwelling

Legal Marital Status: Refers to the legal conjugal status of a person. Legally married (and not separated); Separated, but still legally married; Divorced; Widowed; Never married (single)

Marital Status: Refers to the conjugal status of a person. Married (including common-law); Separated; Divorced; Widowed; Never married (single)

Relationship to Person 1: Refers to the relationship of household members to the household reference person (Person 1). A person may be related to Person 1 through blood, marriage, common-law or adoption (e.g., husband or wife, common-law partner, son or daughter, father or mother) or unrelated (e.g., lodger, roommate, employee)

Sex: Refers to the gender of the respondent

## Appendix B - Edit Rules

## 1996 primary and secondary edit rules relating to Age, Sex, Marital Status and Common-law Status

## PRIMARY EDITS

## Within-person rules

An edit failure occurred if:

1. any of the variables sex, marital status, common-law status, or relationship to Person 1 were blank or invalid
2. Person 1 was not in the first position
3. a person other than the first person in the household reported that they were Person 1
4. Person 1's age was less than 15 years or more than 121 years
5. persons other than Person 1 reported an age of less than 0 years or more than 121 years
6. a person was reported with an adult relationship and was less than 15 years
7. a person reported a marital status of other than 'never married' and was less than 15 years
8. a person reported a common-law status of 'yes' and was less than 15 years
9. Person 2 is reported as Person 1's husband/wife but is reported as other than legally married
10. Person 2 is reported as Person 1's husband/wife and is reported as living common-law
11. Person 1's son (daughter)-in-law reported a marital status of 'never married' and a common-law status of 'no'
12. a person was reported as a spouse and was not married
13. a person was reported as legally married and his/her common-law status was 'yes'
14. a person was reported as a common-law partner and his/her common-law status was 'no'
15. a person was reported as a common-law partner and was reported as 'legally married (and not separated)'
16. a person other than Person 2 reported being the husband/wife or common-law partner of Person 1

## Between-person rules

An edit failure occurred if:

1. Person 1's father and mother, were less than 15 years older than Person 1
2. only one parent of Person 1 was present in the household and he/she was less than 15 years older than Person 1
3. a person was reported as Person l's father (mother)-in-law and he/she was younger than Person 1
4. the father-in-law and mother-in-law of Person 1, were less than 15 years older than the spouse (or common-law partner) of Person 1
5. only one parent of Person 1 was present in the household and he/she was less than 15 years older than the spouse (or common-law partner) of Person 1
6. a person was reported as Person I's son (daughter)-in-law and he/she was older than Person 1
7. Person 1 and Person 1's spouse (or common-law partner) were less than 15 years older than Person 1's son (daughter)
8. no spouse (or common-law partner) of Person 1 was present in the household and Person 1 was less than 15 years older than his/her son (daughter)
9. the age of Person l's spouse minus the age of Person 1's stepson/stepdaughter was less than 15 years
10. Person 1's parents were less than 15 years older than Person 1's brother (sister)
11. only one father (mother) was present in the household and that person was less than 15 years older than Person 1's brother (sister)
12. no spouse (or common-law partner) of Person 1 was present in the household and Person 1 was less than 30 years older than the grandchild
13. Person 1 and Person 1's spouse (or common-law partner) were less than 30 years older than Person 1's grandchild
14. no spouse (or common-law partner) of Person 1 was present in the household and a person was reported as a grandparent of Person 1 and was less than 30 years older than Person 1
15. Person 1's grandparent was less than 30 years older than Person 1 and Person 1's spouse (or common-law partner)
16. Person 1 was reported as not being married and Person 2 was reported as his/her spouse
17. Person 1's marital status was 'legally married (and not separated)' and Person 2 was reported as his/her common-law partner
18. Person 2 was reported as Person 1's common-law partner and Person 1 had a common-law status of 'no'
19. Person 2 was reported as Person 1's spouse and Person 1 had a common-law status equaled to 'yes'
20. Person 1 reported living common-law but Person 2 did not report being the common-law partner of Person 1
21. two persons were reported as Person 1's:
father and mother
step-father or step-mother
son (daughter) and son (daughter)-in-law
brother (sister) and brother (sister)-in-law
grandparents
brother (sister)-in-laws
father (mother)-in-laws
lodgers
lodger and spouse
roommates
roommate and spouse
employees
employee and spouse
other relatives
other relative and spouse
and only one of the couple was reported as 'legally married (and not separated)'
22. two persons were reported as Person 1's:
son (daughter) and son (daughter)-in-law
brother (sister) and brother (sister)-in-law
father and mother
father (mother) and mother-in-law (father-in-law)
father (mother) and step-mother (step-father)
father (mother) and common-law partner
father-in-law (mother-in-law) and common-law partner
grandparents
brother (sister)-in-law and brother (sister)-in-law (or brother/sister or common-law partner of brother (sister)-in-law)
lodgers
lodger and spouse
roommates
roommate and spouse
employees
employee and spouse
other relatives
other relative and spouse
and only one reported common-law status of 'yes'
23. two persons were reported as Person l's:
father and mother
son (daughter) and son (daughter)-in-law
brother (sister) and brother (sister)-in-law
grandparents
brother (sister)-in-laws
father (mother)-in-laws
lodgers
lodger and spouse
roommates
roommate and spouse
employees
employee and spouse
other relatives
other relative and spouse
24. two persons were reported as:
person one and spouse (or common-law partner)
father and mother
son (daughter) and son (daughter)-in-law
brother (sister) and brother (sister)-in-law
grandparents
brother (sister)-in-law and brother (sister)-in-law (or bother/sister or
common-law partner of brother (sister)-in-law)
father (mother)-in-laws
lodgers
lodger and spouse
roommates
roommate and spouse
employees
employee and spouse
other relatives
other relative and spouse
and were reported as being of the same sex
25. more than two parents, parents-in-law or grandparents were reported

## SECONDARY EDITS

An edit failure occurred if:

1. a person was reported as 'widowed' and his/her age was less than 24 years
2. Person 1 was reported as 'female' and was more than 50 years older than her son (daughter)
3. Person 1's mother was reported as more than 50 years older than Person 1
4. the age difference between Person 1 and Person 1's brother (sister) was greater than 25 years
5. Person 1's female spouse (or common-law partner) was more than 50 years older than a son (daughter)
6. Person 1's female spouse (or common-law partner) was more than 50 years older than a stepson/stepdaughter
7. the age difference between Person l's spouse (or common-law partner) and Person l's brother (sister)-in-law was greater than 25 years
8. the age difference between Person 1's spouse (or common-law partner) and Person 1's mother (father)-in-law was greater than 50 years
9. the age of a brother(sister)-in-law minus the age of Person l's spouse is greater than 20 years
10. the age of a nephew niece minus the age of Person 1 is greater than 20 years

## Appendix C - Indian Reserves and Settlements

## EAST

Buctouche 16
Eel Ground 2
Morell 2
Rocky Point 3
Scotchfort 4

## QUEBEC

Akwesasne (partie)
Kahnawake 14
Kanesatake
Wendake

## ONTARIO

Akwesasne (part)
Big Trout Lake
Chippewa of the Thames First Nation
Garden River 14
Golden Lake 39
Goulais Bay 15A
Gros Cap 49
Kenora 38B
Kettle Point 44
Mattagami 71
Munsee-Delaware Nation 1
New Credit (Part) 40A
New Credit (Part) 40A
Neyaashiinigmiing
Oneida 41
Osnaburgh 63 B
Osnaburgh 63A
Pic River 50
Rankin Location 15D
Rocky Bay 1
Sagamok
Savant Lake
Scugog 34
Seine River 23A
Seine River 23B
Shawanaga 17
Sheguiandah 24

Sheshegwaning 20
Six Nations (Part) 40
Six Nations (Part) 40
Thessalon 12
Tyendinaga Mohawk Territory
Wahta Mohawk Territory
West Bay 22
Whitefish Bay 32A
Whitefish Bay 33A
Whitefish Bay 34A

## WEST

Anahim's Flat 1
Anahim's Meadow 2
Anahim's Meadow 2A
Big Head 124
Chuchuwayha 2
Coquitlam 1
Coquitlam 2
Dakota Tipi 1
Dead Point 5
Ermineskin 138
Esquimalt
Heart Lake 167
Jackhead 43
Little Buffalo
Lukseetsissum 9
Mount Currie 1
Mount Currie 2
Mount Currie 6
Mount Currie 8
Mount Currie 10
Nesuch 3
Pentledge 2
Pigeon Lake 138A
Quesnel 1
Saddle Lake 125
Samson 137
Samson 137A
South Saanich 1
Union Bay 4
Utikoomak Lake 155
Utikoomak Lake 155A

## Appendix D

## APPENDIX TABLES

Table A1. Enumerated and Estimated Populations by Age Group, Showing Discrepancy by Sex, Provinces and Territories, 1996 Census (100\% Data)

| Age group | 1996 Census |  |  | Population Estimates (1) |  |  | Discrepancy (\%) (2) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women |
| Newfoundland |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 551,790 | 272,575 | 279,215 | 560,429 | 278,635 | 281,794 | -1.5 | -2.2 | -0.9 |
| 0-4 | 30,640 | 15,795 | 14,840 | 31,913 | 16,339 | 15,574 | -4.0 | -3.3 | 4.7 |
| 5-9 | 36,685 | 18,710 | 17,975 | 36,503 | 18,698 | 17,805 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 1.0 |
| 10-14 | 42,470 | 21,685 | 20,780 | 42,645 | 21,713 | 20,932 | -0.4 | -0.1 | -0.7 |
| 15-19 | 45,635 | 23,185 | 22,455 | 44,513 | 22,418 | 22,095 | 2.5 | 3.4 | 1.6 |
| 20-24 | 42,735 | 21,560 | 21,175 | 46,123 | 23,630 | 22,493 | -7.3 | -8.8 | -5.9 |
| 25-29 | 40,355 | 19,570 | 20,790 | 42,454 | 21,383 | 21,071 | -4.9 | -8.5 | -1.3 |
| 30-34 | 44,685 | 21,590 | 23,095 | 44,739 | 21,869 | 22,870 | -0.1 | -1.3 | 1.0 |
| 35-39 | 46,230 | 22,535 | 23,690 | 46,493 | 22,840 | 23,653 | -0.6 | -1.3 | 0.2 |
| 40-44 | 45,465 | 22,460 | 23,000 | 46,205 | 22,929 | 23,276 | -1.6 | -2.0 | -1.2 |
| 45-49 | 41,520 | 20,845 | 20,675 | 41,881 | 20,973 | 20,908 | -0.9 | -0.6 | -1.1 |
| 50-54 | 31,370 | 15,775 | 15,590 | 32,137 | 16,310 | 15,827 | -2.4 | -3.3 | -1.5 |
| 55-59 | 23,750 | 12,015 | 11,730 | 24,306 | 12,422 | 11,884 | -2.3 | -3.3 | -1.3 |
| 60-64 | 20,785 | 10,450 | 10,330 | 20,703 | 10,421 | 10,282 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.5 |
| 65-69 | 18,475 | 8,940 | 9,535 | 18,511 | 9,036 | 9,475 | -0.2 | -1.1 | 0.6 |
| 70-74 | 15,720 | 7,375 | 8,350 | 15,754 | 7,344 | 8,410 | -0.2 | 0.4 | -0.7 |
| 75-79 | 12,260 | 5,335 | 6,930 | 12,280 | 5,339 | 6,941 | -0.2 | -0.1 | -0.2 |
| 80-84 | 7,850 | 3,050 | 4,800 | 7,948 | 3,126 | 4,822 | -1.2 | -2.4 | -0.5 |
| 85-89 | 3,670 | 1,285 | 2,385 | 3,766 | 1,373 | 2,393 | -2.5 | -6.4 | -0.3 |
| 90+ | 1,490 | 400 | 1,085 | 1,555 | 472 | 1,083 | -4.2 | -15.3 | 0.2 |

Prince Edward Island

| Total | $\mathbf{1 3 4 , 5 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 6 , 0 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 8 , 4 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 5 , 5 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{6 6 , 5 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 8 , 9 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{- 0 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{- 0 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{- 0 . 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $0-4$ | 9,010 | $\mathbf{4 , 6 6 5}$ | 4,345 | 8,986 | 4,683 | 4,303 | 0.3 | -0.4 | 1.0 |
| $5-9$ | 9,920 | 4,995 | 4,930 | 9,944 | 5,071 | 4,873 | -0.2 | -1.5 | 1.2 |
| $10-14$ | 10,170 | 5,155 | 5,015 | 10,065 | 5,185 | 4,880 | 1.0 | -0.6 | 2.8 |
| $15-19$ | 10,055 | 5,180 | 4,875 | 9,985 | 4,957 | 5,028 | 0.7 | 4.5 | -3.0 |
| $20-24$ | 9,335 | 4,750 | 4,590 | 9,683 | 4,936 | 4,747 | -3.6 | -3.8 | -3.3 |
| $25-29$ | 8,865 | 4,410 | 4,460 | 9,430 | 4,698 | 4,732 | -6.0 | -6.1 | -5.7 |
| $30-34$ | 10,500 | 5,165 | 5,335 | 10,651 | 5,128 | 5,523 | -1.4 | 0.7 | -3.4 |
| $35-39$ | 10,700 | 5,215 | 5,485 | 10,766 | 5,206 | 5,560 | -0.6 | 0.2 | -1.3 |
| $40-44$ | 10,055 | 5,060 | 5,000 | 9,820 | 4,954 | 4,866 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 2.8 |
| $45-49$ | 9,840 | 4,850 | 4,990 | 9,653 | 4,871 | 4,782 | 1.9 | -0.4 | 4.3 |
| $50-54$ | 7,100 | 3,585 | 3,510 | 7,307 | 3,729 | 3,578 | -2.8 | -3.9 | -1.9 |
| $55-59$ | 6,040 | 3,030 | 3,010 | 5,990 | 2,969 | 3,021 | 0.8 | 2.1 | -0.4 |
| $60-64$ | 5,515 | 2,700 | 2,815 | 5,534 | 2,680 | 2,854 | -0.3 | 0.7 | -1.4 |
| $65-69$ | 4,800 | 2,300 | 2,500 | 4,912 | 2,429 | 2,483 | -2.3 | -5.3 | 0.7 |
| $70-74$ | 4,380 | 1,985 | 2,400 | 4,423 | 2,044 | 2,379 | -1.0 | -2.9 | 0.9 |
| $75-79$ | 3,535 | 1,420 | 2,115 | 3,643 | 1,496 | 2,147 | -3.0 | -5.1 | -1.5 |
| $80-84$ | 2,605 | 950 | 1,655 | 2,589 | 904 | 1,685 | 0.6 | 5.1 | -1.8 |
| $85-89$ | 1,410 | 485 | 920 | 1,394 | 452 | 942 | 1.1 | 7.3 | -2.3 |
| $90+$ | 720 | 175 | 545 | 751 | 177 | 574 | -4.1 | -1.1 | -5.1 |

Table A1. Enumerated and Estimated Populations by Age Group, Showing Discrepancy by Sex, Provinces and Territories, 1996 Census (100\% Data) - Continued

| Age group | 1996 Census |  |  | Population Estimates (1) |  |  | Discrepancy (\%) (2) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women |
| Nova Scotia |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 909,280 | 442,350 | 466,930 | 924,670 | 452,508 | 472,162 | -1.7 | -2.2 | -1.1 |
| 0-4 | 55,855 | 28,560 | 27,300 | 56,360 | 29,141 | 27,219 | -0.9 | -2.0 | 0.3 |
| 5-9 | 61,990 | 31,625 | 30,365 | 62,345 | 32,010 | 30,335 | -0.6 | -1.2 | 0.1 |
| 10-14 | 62,260 | 31,925 | 30,330 | 62,938 | 31,958 | 30,980 | -1.1 | -0.1 | -2.1 |
| 15-19 | 62,015 | 31,425 | 30,590 | 62,873 | 31,706 | 31,167 | -1.4 | -0.9 | -1.9 |
| 20-24 | 61,660 | 30,535 | 31,125 | 65,478 | 33,346 | 32,132 | -5.8 | -8.4 | -3.1 |
| 25-29 | 61,915 | 30,275 | 31,640 | 64,784 | 32,677 | 32,107 | -4.4 | -7.4 | -1.5 |
| 30-34 | 75,980 | 37,190 | 38,790 | 76,712 | 37,922 | 38,790 | -1.0 | -1.9 | 0.0 |
| 35-39 | 77,895 | 38,035 | 39,860 | 79,395 | 38,617 | 40,778 | -1.9 | -1.5 | -2.3 |
| 40-44 | 71,665 | 35,035 | 36,630 | 72,531 | 35,270 | 37,261 | -1.2 | -0.7 | -1.7 |
| 45-49 | 67,540 | 33,535 | 34,005 | 68,116 | 33,959 | 34,157 | -0.8 | -1.2 | -0.4 |
| 50-54 | 51,840 | 25,885 | 25,960 | 53,057 | 26,709 | 26,348 | -2.3 | -3.1 | -1.5 |
| 55-59 | 41,910 | 20,725 | 21,185 | 42,471 | 21,053 | 21,418 | -1.3 | -1.6 | -1.1 |
| 60-64 | 37,635 | 18,485 | 19,150 | 38,124 | 18,703 | 19,421 | -1.3 | -1.2 | -1.4 |
| 65-69 | 34,105 | 15,850 | 18,265 | 34,250 | 15,882 | 18,368 | -0.4 | -0.2 | -0.6 |
| 70-74 | 30,670 | 13,380 | 17,290 | 30,418 | 13,231 | 17,187 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 0.6 |
| 75-79 | 24,920 | 10,105 | 14,815 | 24,986 | 10,177 | 14,809 | -0.3 | -0.7 | 0.0 |
| 80-84 | 16,760 | 6,135 | 10,625 | 16,806 | 6,176 | 10,630 | -0.3 | -0.7 | 0.0 |
| 85-89 | 8,480 | 2,705 | 5,765 | 8,599 | 2,875 | 5,724 | -1.4 | -5.9 | 0.7 |
| $90+$ | 4,185 | 945 | 3,240 | 4,427 | 1,096 | 3,331 | -5.5 | -13.8 | -2.7 |

New Brunswick

| Total | $\mathbf{7 3 8 , 1 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 2 , 9 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 5 , 2 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 3 7 , 9 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 3 , 3 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 4 , 6 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0}$ | $-\mathbf{- 0 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $0-4$ | 44,555 | 22,850 | 21,710 | 45,321 | 23,004 | 22,317 | -1.7 | -0.7 | -2.7 |
| $5-9$ | 48,540 | 25,025 | 23,515 | 47,847 | 24,651 | 23,196 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.4 |
| $10-14$ | 51,510 | 26,325 | 25,180 | 50,764 | 25,878 | 24,886 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.2 |
| $15-19$ | 53,225 | 27,265 | 25,960 | 52,200 | 26,729 | 25,471 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.9 |
| $20-24$ | 52,745 | 26,375 | 26,370 | 54,987 | 27,912 | 27,075 | -4.1 | -5.5 | -2.6 |
| $25-29$ | 51,230 | 25,455 | 25,775 | 52,339 | 26,346 | 25,993 | -2.1 | -3.4 | -0.8 |
| $30-34$ | 61,450 | 30,310 | 31,140 | 59,952 | 29,758 | 30,194 | 2.5 | 1.9 | 3.1 |
| $35-39$ | 62,805 | 31,145 | 31,660 | 61,567 | 30,276 | 31,291 | 2.0 | 2.9 | 1.2 |
| $40-44$ | 59,815 | 29,505 | 30,315 | 59,396 | 29,256 | 30,140 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.6 |
| $45-49$ | 55,340 | 27,725 | 27,610 | 55,373 | 27,903 | 27,470 | -0.1 | -0.6 | 0.5 |
| $50-54$ | 41,190 | 20,940 | 20,250 | 41,473 | 20,964 | 20,509 | -0.7 | -0.1 | -1.3 |
| $55-59$ | 33,030 | 16,500 | 16,530 | 32,977 | 16,466 | 16,511 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| $60-64$ | 29,515 | 14,345 | 15,175 | 29,610 | 14,401 | 15,209 | -0.3 | -0.4 | -0.2 |
| $65-69$ | 26,800 | 12,520 | 14,280 | 27,260 | 12,710 | 14,550 | -1.7 | -1.5 | -1.9 |
| $70-74$ | 24,880 | 11,035 | 13,840 | 25,200 | 11,238 | 13,962 | -1.3 | -1.8 | -0.9 |
| $75-79$ | 18,870 | 7,835 | 11,040 | 18,925 | 7,914 | 11,011 | -0.3 | -1.0 | 0.3 |
| $80-84$ | 12,850 | 4,865 | 7,985 | 12,841 | 4,859 | 7,982 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| $85-89$ | 6,575 | 2,130 | 4,445 | 6,750 | 2,185 | 4,565 | -2.6 | -2.5 | -2.6 |
| $90+$ | 3,210 | 800 | 2,405 | 3,131 | 855 | 2,276 | 2.5 | -6.4 | 5.7 |

Table A1. Enumerated and Estimated Populations by Age Group, Showing Discrepancy by Sex,
Provinces and Territories, 1996 Census ( $100 \%$ Data) - Continued

| Age group | 1996 Census |  |  | Population Estimates (1) |  |  | Discrepancy (\%) (2) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women |
| Quebec |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 7,138,795 | 3,493,370 | 3,645,425 | 7,208,512 | 3,532,802 | 3,675,710 | -1.0 | -1.1 | -0.8 |
| 0-4 | 455,420 | 232,180 | 223,240 | 460,606 | 236,060 | 224,546 | -1.1 | -1.6 | -0.6 |
| 5-9 | 456,940 | 233,520 | 223,420 | 455,054 | 232,201 | 222,853 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.3 |
| 10-14 | 459,830 | 236,000 | 223,825 | 456,290 | 233,572 | 222,718 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.5 |
| 15-19 | 494,170 | 252,925 | 241,245 | 495,408 | 253,872 | 241,536 | -0.2 | -0.4 | -0.1 |
| 20-24 | 453,810 | 228,385 | 225,430 | 467,010 | 238,650 | 228,360 | -2.8 | -4.3 | -1.3 |
| 25-29 | 481,445 | 240,920 | 240,530 | 487,837 | 246,602 | 241,235 | -1.3 | -2.3 | -0.3 |
| 30-34 | 609,940 | 305,055 | 304,880 | 605,929 | 303,521 | 302,408 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.8 |
| 35-39 | 648,405 | 322,195 | 326,210 | 650,427 | 322,898 | 327,529 | -0.3 | -0.2 | -0.4 |
| 40-44 | 591,230 | 293,600 | 297,625 | 596,090 | 295,622 | 300,468 | -0.8 | -0.7 | -0.9 |
| 45-49 | 534,820 | 264,985 | 269,835 | 540,631 | 267,877 | 272,754 | -1.1 | -1.1 | -1.1 |
| 50-54 | 441,170 | 218,410 | 222,760 | 449,091 | 222,113 | 226,978 | -1.8 | -1.7 | -1.9 |
| 55-59 | 341,290 | 167,180 | 174,110 | 347,345 | 169,947 | 177,398 | -1.7 | -1.6 | -1.9 |
| 60-64 | 309,610 | 148,270 | 161,345 | 311,546 | 149,200 | 162,346 | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.6 |
| 65-69 | 284,395 | 130,370 | 154,025 | 287,732 | 132,071 | 155,661 | -1.2 | -1.3 | -1.1 |
| 70-74 | 235,280 | 100,560 | 134,720 | 240,743 | 103,200 | 137,543 | -2.3 | -2.6 | -2.1 |
| 75-79 | 160,905 | 62,355 | 98,550 | 166,696 | 64,764 | 101,932 | -3.5 | -3.7 | -3.3 |
| 80-84 | 103,970 | 35,750 | 68,215 | 107,453 | 37,358 | 70,095 | -3.2 | -4.3 | -2.7 |
| 85-89 | 52,380 | 15,240 | 37,140 | 55,740 | 16,549 | 39,191 | -6.0 | -7.9 | -5.2 |
| $90+$ | 23,770 | 5,465 | 18,305 | 26,884 | 6,725 | 20,159 | -11.6 | -18.7 | -9.2 |


| Ontario |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 , 7 5 3 , 5 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 2 5 7 , 9 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 4 9 5 , 6 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 , 8 5 9 , 7 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 3 3 6 , 7 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 5 2 3 , 0 1 3}$ | -1.0 | -1.5 | -0.5 |
| $0-4$ | 734,170 | 376,730 | 357,445 | 746,432 | 382,497 | 363,935 | -1.6 | -1.5 | -1.8 |
| $5-9$ | 748,070 | 383,895 | 364,180 | 738,429 | 377,812 | 360,617 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1.0 |
| $10-14$ | 731,985 | 375,755 | 356,225 | 723,504 | 371,353 | 352,151 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| $15-19$ | 698,005 | 357,765 | 340,235 | 703,894 | 361,690 | 342,204 | -0.8 | -1.1 | -0.6 |
| $20-24$ | 703,475 | 351,805 | 351,670 | 728,279 | 369,741 | 358,538 | -3.4 | -4.9 | -1.9 |
| $25-29$ | 773,015 | 378,640 | 394,375 | 789,465 | 391,829 | 397,636 | -2.1 | -3.4 | -0.8 |
| $30-34$ | 944,030 | 464,855 | 479,170 | 948,043 | 471,625 | 476,418 | -0.4 | -1.4 | 0.6 |
| $35-39$ | 937,475 | 459,950 | 477,530 | 946,269 | 468,357 | 477,912 | -0.9 | -1.8 | -0.1 |
| $40-44$ | 841,685 | 409,955 | 431,730 | 847,276 | 415,562 | 431,714 | -0.7 | -1.3 | 0.0 |
| $45-49$ | 775,305 | 381,960 | 393,345 | 779,084 | 385,098 | 393,986 | -0.5 | -0.8 | -0.2 |
| $50-54$ | 592,465 | 294,025 | 298,440 | 604,273 | 300,099 | 304,174 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -1.9 |
| $55-59$ | 489,315 | 240,660 | 248,660 | 497,633 | 244,976 | 252,657 | -1.7 | -1.8 | -1.6 |
| $60-64$ | 450,475 | 219,335 | 231,140 | 454,169 | 221,042 | 233,127 | -0.8 | -0.8 | -0.9 |
| $65-69$ | 424,035 | 200,820 | 223,220 | 427,285 | 203,801 | 223,484 | -0.8 | -1.5 | -0.1 |
| $70-74$ | 369,430 | 161,680 | 207,755 | 372,237 | 163,821 | 208,416 | -0.8 | -1.3 | -0.3 |
| $75-79$ | 251,010 | 103,030 | 147,985 | 257,007 | 106,146 | 150,861 | -2.3 | -2.9 | -1.9 |
| $80-84$ | 164,715 | 61,280 | 103,430 | 167,503 | 62,776 | 104,727 | -1.7 | -2.4 | -1.2 |
| $85-89$ | 83,900 | 26,180 | 57,715 | 85,881 | 27,416 | 58,465 | -2.3 | -4.5 | -1.3 |
| $90+$ | 41,005 | 9,575 | 31,430 | 43,052 | 11,061 | 31,991 | -4.8 | -13.4 | -1.8 |

Table A1. Enumerated and Estimated Populations by Age Group, Showing Discrepancy by Sex,
Provinces and Territories, 1996 Census (100\% Data) - Continued

| Age group | 1996 Census |  |  | Population Estimates (1) |  |  | Discrepancy (\%) (2) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women |
| Manitoba |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1,113,895 | 547,535 | 566,360 | 1,121,487 | 552,959 | 568,528 | -0.7 | -1.0 | -0.4 |
| 0-4 | 80,720 | 41,275 | 39,450 | 82,437 | 42,215 | 40,222 | -2.1 | -2.2 | -1.9 |
| 5-9 | 82,870 | 42,455 | 40,415 | 81,744 | 42,120 | 39,624 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 2.0 |
| 10-14 | 81,025 | 41,635 | 39,390 | 79,765 | 41,122 | 38,643 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 1.9 |
| 15-19 | 77,670 | 39,635 | 38,035 | 77,470 | 39,150 | 38,320 | 0.3 | 1.2 | -0.7 |
| 20-24 | 76,115 | 38,465 | 37,650 | 79,320 | 40,827 | 38,493 | -4.0 | -5.8 | -2.2 |
| 25-29 | 75,435 | 37,710 | 37,720 | 77,351. | 38,882 | 38,469 | -2.5 | -3.0 | -1.9 |
| 30-34 | 89,140 | 44,395 | 44,745 | 89,583 | 44,790 | 44,793 | -0.5 | -0.9 | -0.1 |
| 35-39 | 91,140 | 45,655 | 45,485 | 91,488 | 46,002 | 45,486 | -0.4 | -0.8 | 0.0 |
| 40-44 | 84,115 | 41,800 | 42,320 | 83,821 | 41,578 | 42,243 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.2 |
| 45-49 | 75,270 | 37;445 | 37,825 | 74,577 | 37,344 | 37,233 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 1.6 |
| 50-54 | 57,455 | 28,810 | 28,645 | 58,175 | 29,119 | 29,056 | -1.2 | -1.1 | -1.4 |
| 55-59 | 47,025 | 23,195 | 23,825 | 47,401 | 23,426 | 23,975 | -0.8 | -1.0 | -0.6 |
| 60-64 | 43,760 | 21,525 | 22,235 | 44,191 | 21,746 | 22,445 | -1.0 | -1.0 | -0.9 |
| 65-69 | 41,995 | 19,720 | 22,270 | 42,386 | 19,938 | 22,448 | -0.9 | -1.1 | -0.8 |
| 70-74 | 39,880 | 17,395 | 22,485 | 40,019 | 17,627 | 22,392 | -0.3 | -1.3 | 0.4 |
| 75-79 | 30,655 | 12,620 | 18,035 | 31,167 | 12,857 | 18,310 | -1.6 | -1.8 | -1.5 |
| 80-84 | 22,130 | 8,370 | 13,765 | 22,558 | 8,576 | 13,982 | -1.9 | -2.4 | -1.6 |
| 85-89 | 11,480 | 3,835 | 7,645 | 11,726 | 4,034 | 7,692 | -2.1 | -4.9 | -0.6 |
| $90+$ | 6,025 | 1,590 | 4,435 | 6,308 | 1,606 | 4,702 | -4.5 | -1.0 | -5.7 |

## Saskatchewan

| Total | $\mathbf{9 9 0 , 2 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 9 , 4 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 0 , 8 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 3 , 8 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 6 , 1 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 7 , 6 4 7}$ | -1.4 | -1.4 | -1.3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $0-4$ | 70,275 | 36,040 | 34,230 | 71,919 | 36,872 | 35,047 | -2.3 | -2.3 | -2.3 |
| $5-9$ | 78,020 | 39,880 | 38,140 | 78,788 | 40,126 | 38,662 | -1.0 | -0.6 | -1.4 |
| $10-14$ | 80,290 | 41,185 | 39,105 | 80,975 | 41,249 | 39,726 | -0.8 | -0.2 | -1.6 |
| $15-19$ | 76,595 | 39,320 | 37,275 | 75,697 | 39,304 | 36,393 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 2.4 |
| $20-24$ | 64,755 | 32,675 | 32,090 | 68,768 | 35,051 | 33,717 | -5.8 | -6.8 | -4.8 |
| $25-29$ | 60,005 | 29,800 | 30,205 | 60,629 | 29,732 | 30,897 | -1.0 | 0.2 | -2.2 |
| $30-34$ | 73,995 | 36,285 | 37,715 | 73,891 | 35,826 | 38,065 | 0.1 | 1.3 | -0.9 |
| $35-39$ | 79,125 | 39,490 | 39,640 | 80,262 | 40,067 | 40,195 | -1.4 | -1.4 | -1.4 |
| $40-44$ | 73,160 | 37,020 | 36,140 | 74,437 | 37,838 | 36,599 | -1.7 | -2.2 | -1.3 |
| $45-49$ | 60,745 | 30,730 | 30,020 | 61,790 | 31,399 | 30,391 | -1.7 | -2.1 | -1.2 |
| $50-54$ | 46,695 | 23,425 | 23,265 | 47,476 | 23,844 | 23,632 | -1.6 | -1.8 | -1.6 |
| $55-59$ | 40,960 | 20,235 | 20,725 | 41,639 | 20,551 | 21,088 | -1.6 | -1.5 | -1.7 |
| $60-64$ | 39,995 | 19,815 | 20,180 | 40,254 | 20,018 | 20,236 | -0.6 | -1.0 | -0.3 |
| $65-69$ | 39,475 | 19,070 | 20,405 | 39,585 | 19,213 | 20,372 | -0.3 | -0.7 | 0.2 |
| $70-74$ | 36,500 | 16,865 | 19,640 | 36,633 | 16,896 | 19,737 | -0.4 | -0.2 | -0.5 |
| $75-79$ | 30,150 | 12,795 | 17,355 | 30,563 | 13,059 | 17,504 | -1.4 | -2.0 | -0.9 |
| $80-84$ | 21,805 | 8,670 | 13,135 | 22,282 | 8,831 | 13,451 | -2.1 | -1.8 | -2.3 |
| $85-89$ | 11,715 | 4,255 | 7,455 | 11,783 | 4,207 | 7,576 | -0.6 | 1.1 | -1.6 |
| $90+$ | 5,970 | 1,870 | 4,095 | 6,434 | 2,075 | 4,359 | -7.2 | -9.9 | -6.1 |

Table A1. Enumerated and Estimated Populations by Age Group, Showing Discrepancy by Sex,
Provinces and Territories, 1996 Census (100\% Data) - Continued

| Age group | 1996 Census |  |  | Population Estimates (1) |  |  | Discrepancy (\%) (2) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women |
| Alberta |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 2,696,825 | 1,348,300 | 1,348,525 | 2,738,579 | 1,372,575 | 1,366,004 | -1.5 | -1.8 | -1.3 |
| 0-4 | 194,230 | 99,530 | 94,705 | 200,966 | 103,443 | 97,523 | -3.4 | -3.8 | -2.9 |
| 5-9 | 210,140 | 107,870 | 102,265 | 208,245 | 106,615 | 101,630 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 0.6 |
| 10-14 | 210,120 | 107,725 | 102,395 | 210,070 | 107,637 | 102,433 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 15-19 | 191,445 | 98,190 | 93,255 | 194,341 | 99,505 | 94,836 | -1.5 | -1.3 | -1.7 |
| 20-24 | 185,505 | 93,775 | 91,730 | 193,730 | 99,090 | 94,640 | -4.2 | -5.4 | -3.1 |
| 25-29 | 198,760 | 99,460 | 99,295 | 205,670 | 103,770 | 101,900 | -3.4 | -4.2 | -2.6 |
| 30-34 | 237,305 | 118,015 | 119,290 | 238,359 | 119,922 | 118,437 | -0.4 | -1.6 | 0.7 |
| 35-39 | 254,970 | 128,525 | 126,440 | 256,442 | 129,608 | 126,834 | -0.6 | -0.8 | -0.3 |
| 40-44 | 224,820 | 114,435 | 110,385 | 227,817 | 115,383 | 112,434 | -1.3 | -0.8 | -1.8 |
| 45-49 | 184,920 | 93,710 | 91,210 | 187,518 | 94,907 | 92,611 | -1.4 | -1.3 | -1.5 |
| 50-54 | 134,755 | 68,210 | 66,550 | 137,912 | 70,056 | 67,856 | -2.3 | -2.6 | -1.9 |
| 55-59 | 107,120 | 54,050 | 53,065 | 108,957 | 55,252 | 53,705 | -1.7 | -2.2 | -1.2 |
| 60-64 | 95,835 | 47,995 | 47,845 | 96,536 | 48,161 | 48,375 | -0.7 | -0.3 | -1.1 |
| 65-69 | 86,075 | 42,205 | 43,875 | 86,578 | 42,200 | 44,378 | -0.6 | 0.0 | -1.1 |
| 70-74 | 70,470 | 31,810 | 38,665 | 71,552 | 32,542 | 39,010 | -1.5 | -2.2 | -0.9 |
| 75.79 | 50,920 | 21,485 | 29,435. | 52,427 | 22,208 | 30,219 | -2.9 | -3.3 | -2.6 |
| 80-84 | 33,605 | 12,930 | 20,680 | 34,531 | 13,294 | 21,237 | -2.7 | -2.7 | -2.6 |
| 85-89 | 17,180 | 5,885 | 11,300 | 17,764 | 6,103 | 11,661 | -3.3 | -3.6 | -3.1 |
| 90+ | 8,645 | 2,510 | 6,135 | 9,164 | 2,879 | 6,285 | -5.7 | -12.8 | -2.4 |

## British Columbia

| Total | $\mathbf{3 , 7 2 4 , 5 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 8 4 0 , 3 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 8 8 4 , 2 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 7 5 9 , 8 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 8 6 1 , 6 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 8 9 8 , 2 1 1}$ | -0.9 | $\mathbf{- 1 . 1}$ | $-\mathbf{- 0 . 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $0-4$ | 233,030 | 119,845 | 113,185 | 244,058 | 125,590 | 118,468 | -4.5 | -4.6 | -4.5 |
| $5-9$ | 247,030 | 126,275 | 120,750 | 242,731 | 123,538 | 119,193 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 1.3 |
| $10-14$ | 255,115 | 131,435 | 123,685 | 247,585 | 126,935 | 120,650 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 2.5 |
| $15-19$ | 242,940 | 124,645 | 118,295 | 243,583 | 125,454 | 118,129 | -0.3 | -0.6 | 0.1 |
| $20-24$ | 240,845 | 119,950 | 120,895 | 257,734 | 129,593 | 128,141 | -6.6 | -7.4 | -5.7 |
| $25-29$ | 271,245 | 134,905 | 136,340 | 276,986 | 138,650 | 138,336 | -2.1 | -2.7 | -1.4 |
| $30-34$ | 312,025 | 154,255 | 157,770 | 312,681 | 155,383 | 157,298 | -0.2 | -0.7 | 0.3 |
| $35-39$ | 326,585 | 160,825 | 165,760 | 326,650 | 161,013 | 165,637 | 0.0 | -0.1 | 0.1 |
| $40-44$ | 308,195 | 152,170 | 156,020 | 305,237 | 151,034 | 154,203 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 1.2 |
| $45-49$ | 282,215 | 141,665 | 140,545 | 281,498 | 141,551 | 139,947 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.4 |
| $50-54$ | 208,395 | 105,285 | 103,105 | 211,621 | 106,851 | 104,770 | -1.5 | -1.5 | -1.6 |
| $55-59$ | 168,410 | 84,285 | 84,125 | 169,614 | 84,823 | 84,791 | -0.7 | -0.6 | -0.8 |
| $60-64$ | 152,630 | 76,865 | 75,765 | 153,385 | 77,737 | 75,648 | -0.5 | -1.1 | 0.2 |
| $65-69$ | 144,420 | 70,515 | 73,905 | 145,608 | 71,486 | 74,122 | -0.8 | -1.4 | -0.3 |
| $70-74$ | 127,935 | 57,765 | 70,165 | 129,757 | 58,844 | 70,913 | -1.4 | -1.8 | -1.1 |
| $75-79$ | 94,490 | 39,675 | 54,820 | 96,819 | 41,019 | 55,800 | -2.4 | -3.3 | -1.8 |
| $80-84$ | 63,970 | 25,105 | 38,865 | 65,896 | 26,056 | 39,840 | -2.9 | -3.6 | -2.4 |
| $85-89$ | 30,885 | 10,750 | 20,135 | 32,850 | 11,470 | 21,380 | -6.0 | -6.3 | -5.8 |
| $90+$ | 14,150 | 4,095 | 10,060 | 15,522 | 4,577 | 10,945 | -8.8 | -10.5 | -8.1 |

Table A1. Enumerated and Estimated Populations by Age Group, Showing Discrepancy by Sex,
Provinces and Territories, 1996 Census ( $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ Data) - Concluded

| Age group | 1996 Census |  |  | Population Estimates (1) |  |  | Discrepancy (\%) (2) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women |
| Yukon Territory |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 30,770 | 15,880 | 14,885 | 30,177 | 15,423 | 14,754 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 0.9 |
| 0-4 | 2,340 | 1,205 | 1,130 | 2,589 | 1,272 | 1,317 | -9.6 | -5.3 | -14.2 |
| 5-9 | 2,500 | 1,345 | 1,160 | 2,220 | 1,237 | 983 | 12.6 | 8.7 | 18.0 |
| 10-14 | 2,550 | 1,295 | 1,250 | 2,386 | 1,212 | 1,174 | 6.9 | 6.8 | 6.5 |
| 15-19 | 2,020 | 1,080 | 945 | 2,184 | 1,102 | 1,082 | -7.5 | -2.0 | -12.7 |
| 20-24 | 2,060 | 1,025 | 1,035 | 2,087 | 1,023 | 1,064 | -1.3 | 0.2 | -2.7 |
| 25-29 | 2,425 | 1,185 | 1,240 | 2,238 | 1,099 | 1,139 | 8.4 | 7.8 | 8.9 |
| 30-34 | 2,935 | 1,385 | 1,555 | 3,058 | 1,512 | 1,546 | -4.0 | -8.4 | 0.6 |
| 35-39 | 3,245 | 1,620 | 1,620 | 3,073 | 1,452 | 1,621 | 5.6 | 11.6 | -0.1 |
| 40-44 | 3,100 | 1,605 | 1,495 | 2,911 | 1,470 | 1,441 | 6.5 | 9.2 | 3.7 |
| 45-49 | 2,555 | 1,340 | 1,215 | 2,571 | 1,273 | 1,298 | -0.6 | 5.3 | -6.4 |
| 50-54 | 1,735 | 995 | 740 | 1,768 | 1,011 | 757 | -1.9 | -1.6 | -2.2 |
| 55-59 | 1,155 | 650 | 505 | 925 | 562 | 363 | 24.9 | 15.7 | 39.1 |
| 60-64 | 785 | 435 | 350 | 777 | 428 | 349 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 0.3 |
| 65-69 | 580 | 345 | 235 | 657 | 402 | 255 | -11.7 | -14.2 | -7.8 |
| 70-74 | 360 | 190 | 165 | 415 | 233 | 182 | -13.3 | -18.5 | -9.3 |
| 75-79 | 210 | 95 | 110 | 196 | 100 | 96 | 7.1 | -5.0 | 14.6 |
| 80-84 | 130 | 55 | 70 | 61 | 10 | 51 | 113.1 | 450.0 | 37.3 |
| 85-89 | 65 | 25 | 40 | 30 | 15 | 15 | 116.7 | 66.7 | 166.7 |
| 90+ | 20 | 10 | 15 | 31 | 10 | 21 | -35.5 | 0.0 | -28.6 |


| Northwest Territories |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 4 , 4 0 0}$ | 33,380 | $\mathbf{3 1 , 0 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 3 , 4 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 , 9 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 , 5 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 7}$ |
| $0-4$ | 7,565 | 3,885 | 3,680 | 7,381 | 3,820 | 3,561 | 2.5 | 1.7 | 3.3 |
| $5-9$ | 7,300 | 3,695 | 3,605 | 7,564 | 3,919 | 3,645 | -3.5 | -5.7 | -1.1 |
| $10-14$ | 6,135 | 3,245 | 2,885 | 5,898 | 3,115 | 2,783 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 3.7 |
| $15-19$ | 5,345 | 2,740 | 2,600 | 5,165 | 2,580 | 2,585 | 3.5 | 6.2 | 0.6 |
| $20-24$ | 5,005 | 2,535 | 2,470 | 5,229 | 2,665 | 2,564 | -4.3 | -4.9 | -3.7 |
| $25-29$ | 5,985 | 2,965 | 3,020 | 5,673 | 2,930 | 2,743 | 5.5 | 1.2 | 10.1 |
| $30-34$ | 6,250 | 3,185 | 3,060 | 6,120 | 3,130 | 2,990 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 2.3 |
| $35-39$ | 5,455 | 2,825 | 2,635 | 5,286 | 2,671 | 2,615 | 3.2 | 5.8 | 0.8 |
| $40-44$ | 4,360 | 2,345 | 2,005 | 4,422 | 2,325 | 2,097 | -1.4 | 0.9 | -4.4 |
| $45-49$ | 3,780 | 2,035 | 1,745 | 3,565 | 2,021 | 1,544 | 6.0 | 0.7 | 13.0 |
| $50-54$ | 2,385 | 1,335 | 1,045 | 2,250 | 1,254 | 996 | 6.0 | 6.5 | 4.9 |
| $55-59$ | 1,695 | 910 | 790 | 1,633 | 897 | 736 | 3.8 | 1.4 | 7.3 |
| $60-64$ | 1,205 | 655 | 545 | 1,303 | 645 | 658 | -7.5 | 1.6 | -17.2 |
| $65-69$ | 785 | 415 | 365 | 969 | 496 | 473 | -19.0 | -16.3 | -22.8 |
| $70-74$ | 480 | 255 | 225 | 467 | 235 | 232 | 2.8 | 8.5 | -3.0 |
| $75-79$ | 315 | 190 | 125 | 246 | 103 | 143 | 28.0 | 84.5 | -12.6 |
| $80-84$ | 190 | 85 | 105 | 162 | 87 | 75 | 17.3 | -2.3 | 40.0 |
| $85-89$ | 105 | 45 | 60 | 73 | 47 | 26 | 43.8 | -4.3 | 130.8 |
| $90+$ | 60 | 20 | 35 | 53 | 18 | 35 | 13.2 | 11.1 | 0.0 |

[^1]Table A2. Sex Ratios by Age Group and Province, Showing Discrepancy Between Census and Population Estimates Data, 1996 Census (100\% Data)

| Age group | 1996 Census | 1996 Estimates (1) | Discrepancy (2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Newfoundland |  |  |  |
| Total | 976 | 997 | -21 |
| 0-4 | 1,064 | 1,049 | 15 |
| 5-9 | 1,041 | 1,050 | -9 |
| 10-14 | 1,044 | 1,037 | 6 |
| 15-19 | 1,033 | 1,015 | 18 |
| 20-24 | 1,018 | 1,051 | -32 |
| 25-29 | 941 | 1,015 | -73 |
| 30-34 | 935 | 956 | -21 |
| 35-39 | 951 | 966 | -14 |
| 40-44 | 977 | 985 | -9 |
| 45-49 | 1,008 | 1,003 | 5 |
| 50-54 | 1,012 | 1,031 | -19 |
| 55-59 | 1,024 | 1,045 | -21 |
| 60-64 | 1,012 | 1,014 | -2 |
| 65-69 | 938 | 954 | -16 |
| 70-74 | 883 | 873 | 10 |
| 75+ | 663 | 718 | -56 |
| Prince Edward Island |  |  |  |
| Total | 965 | 966 | -2 |
| 0-4 | 1,074 | 1,088 | -15 |
| 5-9 | 1,013 | 1,041 | -27 |
| 10-14 | 1,028 | 1,063 | -35 |
| 15-19 | 1,063 | 986 | 77 |
| 20-24 | 1,035 | 1,040 | -5 |
| 25-29 | 989 | 993 | -4 |
| 30-34 | 968 | 928 | 40 |
| 35-39 | 951 | 936 | 14 |
| 40-44 | 1,012 | 1,018 | -6 |
| 45-49 | 972 | 1,019 | -47 |
| 50-54 | 1,021 | 1,042 | -21 |
| 55-59 | 1,007 | 983 | 24 |
| 60-64 | 959 | 939 | 20 |
| 65-69 | 920 | 978 | -58 |
| 70-74 | 827 | 859 | -32 |
| 75+ | 579 | 566 | 12 |

Table A2 Sex Ratios by Age Group and Province, Showing Discrepancy Between Census and Population Estimates Data, 1996 Census (100\% Data) - Continued

| Age group | 1996 Census | 1996 Estimates (1) | Discrepancy (2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nova Scotia |  |  |  |
| Total | 947 | 972 | -25 |
| 0-4 | 1,046 | 1,071 | -24 |
| 5-9 | 1,041 | 1,055 | -14 |
| 10-14 | 1,053 | 1,032 | 21 |
| 15-19 | 1,027 | 1,017 | 10 |
| 20-24 | 981 | 1,038 | -57 |
| 25-29 | 957 | 1,018 | -61 |
| 30-34 | 959 | 978 | -19 |
| 35-39 | 954 | 947 | 7 |
| 40-44 | 956 | 947 | 10 |
| 45-49 | 986 | 994 | -8 |
| 50-54 | 997 | 1,014 | -17 |
| 55-59 | 978 | 983 | -5 |
| 60-64 | 965 | 963 | 2 |
| 65-69 | 868 | 865 | 3 |
| 70-74 | 774 | 770 | 4 |
| 75+ | 577 | 589 | -12 |
| New Brunswick |  |  |  |
| Total | 967 | 971 | -3 |
| 0-4 | 1,053 | 1,031 | 22 |
| 5-9 | 1,064 | 1,063 | 1 |
| 10-14 | 1,045 | 1,040 | 6 |
| 15-19 | 1,050 | 1,049 | 1 |
| 20-24 | 1,000 | 1,031 | -31 |
| 25-29 | 988 | 1,014 | -26 |
| 30-34 | 973 | 986 | -12 |
| 35-39 | 984 | 968 | 16 |
| 40-44 | 973 | 971 | 3 |
| 45-49 | 1,004 | 1,016 | -12 |
| 50-54 | 1,034 | 1,022 | 12 |
| 55-59 | 998 | 997 | 1 |
| 60-64 | 945 | 947 | -2 |
| 65-69 | 877 | 874 | 3 |
| 70-74 | 797 | 805 | -8 |
| 75+ | 604 | 612 | -8 |

Table A2. Sex Ratios by Age Group and Province, Showing Discrepancy Between Census and Population Estimates Data, 1996 Census (100\% Data) - Continued

| Age group | 1996 Census | 1996 Estimates (1) | Discrepancy (2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Quebec |  |  |  |
| Total | 958 | 1,000 | -42 |
| 0-4 | 1,040 | 1,051 | -11 |
| 5-9 | 1,045 | 1,042 | 3 |
| 10-14 | 1,054 | 1,049 | 6 |
| 15-19 | 1,048 | 1,051 | -3 |
| 20-24 | 1,013 | 1,045 | -32 |
| 25-29 | 1,002 | 1,022 | -21 |
| 30-34 | 1,001 | 1,004 | -3 |
| 35-39 | 988 | 986 | 2 |
| 40-44 | 986 | 984 | 3 |
| 45-49 | 982 | 982 | 0 |
| 50-54 | 980 | 979 | 2 |
| 55-59 | 960 | 958 | 2 |
| 60-64 | 919 | 919 | 0 |
| 65-69 | 846 | 848 | -2 |
| 70-74 | 746 | 750 | -4 |
| 75+ | 535 | 542 | -7 |
| Ontario |  |  |  |
| Total | 957 | 966 | -10 |
| 0-4 | 1,054 | 1,051 | 3 |
| 5-9 | 1,054 | 1,048 | 6 |
| 10-14 | 1,055 | 1,055 | 0 |
| 15-19 | 1,052 | 1,057 | -5 |
| 20-24 | 1,000 | 1,031 | -31 |
| 25-29 | 960 | 985 | -25 |
| 30-34 | 970 | 990 | -20 |
| 35-39 | 963 | 980 | -17 |
| 40-44 | 950 | 963 | -13 |
| 45-49 | 971 | 977 | -6 |
| 50-54 | 985 | 987 | -1 |
| 55-59 | 968 | 970 | -2 |
| 60-64 | 949 | 948 | 1 |
| 65-69 | 900 | 912 | -12 |
| 70-74 | 778 | 786 | -8 |
| 75+ | 587 | 599 | -12 |
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Table A2. Sex Ratios by Age Group and Province, Showing Discrepancy Between Census and Population Estimates Data, 1996 Census (100\% Data) - Continued

| Age group | 1996 Census | 1996 Estimates (1) | Discrepancy (2) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Manitoba

| Total | 967 | 973 | -6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $0-4$ | 1,046 | 1,050 | -3 |
| $5-9$ | 1,050 | 1,063 | -13 |
| $10-14$ | 1,057 | 1,064 | -7 |
| $15-19$ | 1,042 | 1,022 | 20 |
| $20-24$ | 1,022 | 1,061 | -39 |
| $25-29$ | 1,000 | 1,011 | -11 |
| $30-34$ | 992 | 1,000 | -8 |
| $35-39$ | 1,004 | 1,011 | -8 |
| $40-44$ | 988 | 984 | 3 |
| $45-49$ | 990 | 1,003 | -13 |
| $50-54$ | 1,006 | 1,002 | 4 |
| $55-59$ | 974 | 977 | -4 |
| $60-64$ | 968 | 969 | -1 |
| $65-69$ | 885 | 888 | -3 |
| $70-74$ | 774 | 787 | -14 |
| $75+$ | 602 | 606 | -4 |

## Saskatchewan

| Total | 977 | 977 | 0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $0-4$ | 1,053 | 1,052 | 1 |
| $5-9$ | 1,046 | 1,038 | 8 |
| $10-14$ | 1,053 | 1,038 | 15 |
| $15-19$ | 1,055 | 1,080 | -25 |
| $20-24$ | 1,018 | 1,040 | -21 |
| $25-29$ | 987 | 962 | 24 |
| $30-34$ | 962 | 941 | 21 |
| $35-39$ | 996 | 997 | -1 |
| $40-44$ | 1,024 | 1,034 | -10 |
| $45-49$ | 1,024 | 1,033 | -10 |
| $50-54$ | 1,007 | 1,009 | -2 |
| $55-59$ | 976 | 975 | 2 |
| $60-64$ | 982 | 989 | -7 |
| $65-69$ | 935 | 943 | -9 |
| $70-74$ | 859 | 856 | 3 |
| $75+$ | 656 | 657 | -1 |

Table A2. Sex Ratios by Age Group and Province, Showing Discrepancy Between Census and Population Estimates Data, 1996 Census ( $100 \%$ Data) - Concluded

| Age group | 1996 Census | 1996 Estimates (1) | Discrepancy (2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alberta |  |  |  |
| Total | 1,000 | 1,005 | -5 |
| 0-4 | 1,051 | 1,061 | -10 |
| 5-9 | 1,055 | 1,049 | 6 |
| 10-14 | 1,052 | 1,051 | 1 |
| 15-19 | 1,053 | 1,049 | 4 |
| 20-24 | 1,022 | 1,047 | -25 |
| 25-29 | 1,002 | 1,018 | -17 |
| 30-34 | 989 | 1,013 | -23 |
| 35-39 | 1,016 | 1,022 | -5 |
| 40-44 | 1,037 | 1,026 | 10 |
| 45-49 | 1,027 | 1,025 | 3 |
| 50-54 | 1,025 | 1,032 | -7 |
| 55-59 | 1,019 | 1,029 | -10 |
| 60.64 | 1,003 | 996 | 8 |
| 65-69 | 962 | 951 | 11 |
| 70-74 | 823 | 834 | -11 |
| 75+ | 634 | 641 | -7 |
| British Columbia |  |  |  |
| Total | 977 | 981 | -4 |
| 0-4 | 1,059 | 1,060 | -1 |
| 5-9 | 1,046 | 1,036 | 9 |
| 10-14 | 1,063 | 1,052 | 11 |
| 15-19 | 1,054 | 1,062 | -8 |
| 20-24 | 992 | 1,011 | -19 |
| 25-29 | 989 | 1,002 | -13 |
| 30-34 | 978 | 988 | -10 |
| 35-39 | 970 | 972 | -2 |
| 40-44 | 975 | 979 | 4 |
| 45-49 | 1,008 | 1,011 | -3 |
| 50-54 | 1,021 | 1,020 | 1 |
| 55-59 | 1,002 | 1,000 | 2 |
| 60-64 | 1,015 | 1,028 | -13 |
| 65-69 | 954 | 964 | -10 |
| 70-74 | 823 | 830 | -7 |
| 75+ | 643 | 650 | -7 |
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Table A3. Ratio of Enumerated Population to Estimated Population, by Selected Age Groups, Showing Sex and Marital Status (1), Provinces and Territories, 1996 Census (100\% Data)


| Newfoundland |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total | $\mathbf{0 . 9 2}$ | 1.01 |
| $15-19$ | 1.03 | 115.00 |
| $20-24$ | 0.84 | 2.74 |
| $25-29$ | 0.77 | 1.12 |
| $30-34$ | 0.97 | 1.01 |
| $35-39$ | 1.05 | 0.98 |
| $40-44$ | 1.02 | 0.98 |
| $45-49$ | 1.01 | 1.00 |
| $50-54$ | 1.00 | 0.96 |
| $55-59$ | 1.05 | 0.96 |
| $60-64$ | 1.13 | 0.99 |
| $65-69$ | 1.04 | 0.99 |
| $70-74$ | 1.06 | 0.99 |
| $75-79$ | 1.11 | 1.00 |

## Prince Edward Island

| Total | 0.99 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.96 | 1.00 | 1.01 | 0.97 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15-19 | 1.04 | 10.00 | - | - | 0.95 | 2.71 | - | - |
| 20-24 | 0.89 | 1.76 | - | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.50 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 25-29 | 0.88 | 1.00 | - | 0.79 | 0.98 | 0.93 | 3.00 | 0.81 |
| 30-34 | 1.23 | 0.95 | - | 0.80 | 1.29 | 0.93 | 1.04 | 0.76 |
| 35-39 | 1.20 | 0.98 | 1.25 | 0.88 | 1.07 | 0.97 | 1.11 | 1.16 |
| 40-44 | 1.18 | 1.00 | 1.92 | 1.03 | 1.11 | 1.03 | 0.63 | 0.97 |
| 45-49 | 1.06 | 0.98 | 0.83 | 1.15 | 1.05 | 1.03 | 1.02 | 1.20 |
| 50-54 | 0.99 | 0.96 | 0.86 | 0.90 | 0.95 | 0.98 | 1.14 | 0.91 |
| 55-59 | 1.01 | 1.02 | 1.28 | 0.96 | 1.01 | 1.02 | 0.97 | 0.80 |
| 60-64 | 1.11 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 1.09 | 0.99 | 0.97 | 1.04 | 1.05 |
| 65-69 | 1.01 | 0.94 | 0.87 | 0.99 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.04 | 1.06 |
| 70-74 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 1.13 | 0.93 | 1.02 | 0.99 | 1.03 | 0.96 |
| 75-79 | 0.91 | 0.98 | 0.91 | 0.61 | 1.03 | 1.02 | 0.97 | 0.71 |
| Nova Scotia |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 0.93 | 1.00 | 1.04 | 0.87 | 0.95 | 1.01 | 1.00 | 0.92 |
| 15-19 | 0.99 | 15.00 | - | - | 0.96 | 5.91 | - | - |
| 20-24 | 0.84 | 2.02 | 0.50 | 0.63 | 0.85 | 1.63 | 0.54 | 0.50 |
| 25-29 | 0.81 | 1.10 | 0.42 | 0.55 | 0.96 | 1.01 | 0.63 | 0.71 |
| 30-34 | 1.05 | 0.98 | 0.67 | 0.59 | 1.19 | 0.98 | 0.91 | 0.74 |
| 35-39 | 1.10 | 0.98 | 0.75 | 0.76 | 1.04 | 0.98 | 0.94 | 0.88 |
| 40-44 | 1.04 | 1.00 | 0.80 | 0.86 | 1.02 | 0.98 | 0.87 | 0.96 |
| 45-49 | 1.05 | 0.99 | 0.94 | 0.95 | 1.02 | 1.00 | 0.93 | 0.98 |
| 50-54 | 0.99 | 0.97 | 1.06 | 0.92 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.95 | 0.97 |
| 55-59 | 1.02 | 0.98 | 1.06 | 1.03 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 0.98 | 0.98 |
| 60-64 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 1.05 | 1.04 | 0.97 | 0.98 | 1.02 | 0.99 |
| 65-69 | 1.06 | 0.98 | 1.07 | 1.04 | 1.03 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 0.98 |
| 70-74 | 1.07 | 1.00 | 1.07 | 0.95 | 1.07 | 1.00 | 1.01 | 0.92 |
| 75-79 | 1.03 | 0.97 | 1.07 | 1.00 | 1.01 | 0.97 | 1.02 | 0.94 |

Table A3. Ratio of Enumerated Population to Estimated Population, by Selected Age Groups, Showing Sex and Marital Status (1), Provinces and Territories, 1996 Census ( $100 \%$ Data) - Continued

| Age group | Men |  |  |  | Women |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Single | Married | Widowed | Divorced | Single | Married | Widowed | Divorced |
| New Brunswick |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 0.95 | 1.03 | 0.98 | 0.88 | 0.94 | 1.04 | 1.01 | 0.88 |
| 15-19 | 1.01 | 11.50 | - | - | 0.99 | 5.58 | - | - |
| 20-24 | 0.85 | 1.98 | 1.00 | 0.79 | 0.81 | 1.68 | 0.50 | 0.49 |
| 25-29 | 0.80 | 1.18 | 0.77 | 0.50 | 0.87 | 1.08 | 0.90 | 0.55 |
| 30-34 | 1.06 | 1.03 | 0.45 | 0.57 | 1.19 | 1.03 | 0.92 | 0.69 |
| 35-39 | 1.10 | 1.03 | 0.96 | 0.75 | 1.09 | 1.01 | 0.98 | 0.86 |
| 40-44 | 1.08 | 1.00 | 1.01 | 0.94 | 1.05 | 1.01 | 0.99 | 0.94 |
| 45-49 | 1.01 | 1.00 | 0.88 | 0.90 | 1.02 | 1.01 | 1.04 | 0.93 |
| 50-54 | 1.06 | 1.00 | 0.82 | 0.99 | 0.98 | 1.00 | 0.94 | 0.95 |
| 55-59 | 1.04 | 1.00 | 0.89 | 1.01 | 0.98 | 1.00 | 1.02 | 0.97 |
| 60-64 | 1.04 | 0.99 | 1.02 | 1.09 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 0.97 |
| 65-69 | 1.05 | 0.97 | 1.08 | 1.06 | 1.03 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.95 |
| 70-74 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 0.96 | 1.02 | 1.06 | 0.96 | 1.02 | 1.00 |
| 75-79 | 1.11 | 0.97 | 1.02 | 1.11 | 0.99 | 0.96 | 1.04 | 0.92 |
| Quebec |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 0.92 | 1.04 | 0.89 | 0.82 | 0.92 | 1.04 | 0.98 | 0.87 |
| 15-19 | 0.99 | 9.64 | - | 8.44 | 0.97 | 6.69 | 7.86 | 2.79 |
| 20-24 | 0.84 | 3.17 | 0.63 | 0.66 | 0.78 | 2.28 | 0.58 | 0.59 |
| 25-29 | 0.77 | 1.41 | 0.79 | 0.48 | 0.81 | 1.17 | 0.76 | 0.53 |
| 30-34 | 0.97 | 1.06 | 0.87 | 0.53 | 1.07 | 1.02 | 0.77 | 0.66 |
| 35-39 | 1.04 | 1.02 | 0.78 | 0.67 | 1.04 | 1.01 | 0.90 | 0.80 |
| 40-44 | 1.04 | 1.01 | 0.84 | 0.82 | 1.01 | 1.00 | 0.96 | 0.89 |
| 45-49 | 1.03 | 1.00 | 0.90 | 0.86 | 1.01 | 1.00 | 0.93 | 0.93 |
| 50-54 | 1.02 | 0.99 | 0.89 | 0.90 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.96 | 0.94 |
| 55-59 | 1.03 | 0.99 | 0.88 | 0.95 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.97 |
| 60-64 | 1.04 | 0.99 | 0.90 | 1.00 | 1.01 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.98 |
| 65-69 | 1.04 | 0.99 | 0.94 | 1.01 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 0.98 | 0.94 |
| 70-74 | 1.01 | 0.98 | 0.92 | 0.98 | 0.99 | 0.98 | 0.99 | 0.89 |
| 75-79 | 1.04 | 0.98 | 0.85 | 0.94 | 0.99 | 0.96 | 0.97 | 0.84 |
| Ontario |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 0.96 | 1.00 | 0.96 | 0.84 | 0.98 | 1.01 | 1.00 | 0.91 |
| 15-19 | 0.98 | 5.31 | 2.14 | 11.94 | 0.97 | 2.95 | 3.33 | 6.21 |
| 20-24 | 0.91 | 1.47 | 0.49 | 0.59 | 0.92 | 1.27 | 0.53 | 0.53 |
| 25-29 | 0.90 | 1.09 | 0.58 | 0.49 | 0.95 | 1.04 | 0.80 | 0.62 |
| 30-34 | 1.00 | 1.01 | 0.81 | 0.59 | 1.11 | 1.00 | 0.90 | 0.74 |
| 35-39 | 1.03 | 0.99 | 0.85 | 0.73 | 1.06 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.87 |
| 40-44 | 1.04 | 0.99 | 0.96 | 0.86 | 1.04 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.94 |
| 45-49 | 1.03 | 1.00 | 0.93 | 0.92 | 1.03 | 1.00 | 1.01 | 0.97 |
| 50-54 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 0.92 | 0.94 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 0.97 | 0.99 |
| 55-59 | 1.02 | 0.98 | 0.93 | 0.96 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 0.99 | 1.00 |
| 60-64 | 1.08 | 0.99 | 0.97 | 1.04 | 1.02 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 1.01 |
| 65-69 | 1.03 | 0.98 | 0.96 | 1.05 | 1.02 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 0.99 |
| 70-74 | 1.07 | 0.98 | 1.02 | 1.03 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 1.02 | 0.96 |
| 75-79 | 1.05 | 0.97 | 0.96 | 0.97 | 0.99 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.93 |
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Table A3. Ratio of Enumerated Population to Estimated Population, by Selected Age Groups, Showing Sex and Marital Status (1), Provinces and Territories, 1996 Census (100\% Data) - Continued

| Age group | Men |  |  |  | Women |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Single | Married | Widowed | Divorced | Single | Married | Widowed | Divorced |
| Manitoba |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 0.96 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 0.88 | 0.95 | 1.02 | 1.01 | 0.89 |
| 15-19 | 1.00 | 9.82 | - | 15.00 | 0.96 | 3.43 | - | - |
| 20-24 | 0.86 | 1.80 | 0.31 | 1.06 | 0.85 | 1.44 | 0.63 | 0.66 |
| 25-29 | 0.89 | 1.08 | 0.38 | 0.63 | 0.94 | 1.02 | 0.76 | 0.66 |
| 30-34 | 1.05 | 0.99 | 0.67 | 0.70 | 1.09 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 0.76 |
| 35-39 | 1.05 | 0.99 | 1.03 | 0.80 | 1.06 | 1.00 | 1.08 | 0.87 |
| 40-44 | 1.05 | 1.01 | 0.89 | 0.86 | 1.05 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 0.88 |
| 45-49 | 1.03 | 1.00 | 1.02 | 0.94 | 1.08 | 1.02 | 0.96 | 0.95 |
| 50-54 | 1.08 | 0.99 | 1.03 | 0.90 | 1.07 | 0.99 | 0.98 | 0.93 |
| 55-59 | 1.08 | 0.98 | 0.94 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 0.99 | 1.01 | 0.99 |
| 60-64 | 1.03 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 1.03 | 1.04 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 0.97 |
| 65-69 | 1.05 | 0.98 | 1.04 | 0.96 | 0.97 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 0.94 |
| 70-74 | 1.08 | 0.97 | 1.05 | 0.98 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 1.04 | 0.90 |
| 75-79 | 1.02 | 0.97 | 1.01 | 1.14 | 0.98 | 0.96 | 1.01 | 0.92 |
| Saskatchewan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 0.95 | 1.01 | 0.96 | 0.86 | 0.94 | 1.01 | 1.00 | 0.88 |
| 15-19 | 0.99 | 26.36 | - | 10.00 | 0.98 | 6.88 | 5.00 | - |
| 20-24 | 0.82 | 2.15 | 0.63 | 0.69 | 0.79 | 1.57 | 0.50 | 0.57 |
| 25-29 | 0.94 | 1.08 | 0.75 | 0.54 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 1.06 | 0.63 |
| 30-34 | 1.16 | 0.99 | 1.84 | 0.62 | 1.16 | 0.98 | 0.79 | 0.72 |
| 35-39 | 1.03 | 0.99 | 1.06 | 0.79 | 1.08 | 0.99 | 0.98 | 0.85 |
| 40-44 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.97 | 0.87 | 0.98 | 1.00 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| 45-49 | 1.02 | 0.98 | 0.74 | 0.92 | 1.02 | 0.99 | 1.05 | 0.96 |
| 50-54 | 1.06 | 0.99 | 0.84 | 0.85 | 1.05 | 0.99 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| 55-59 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 0.94 | 0.92 | 1.06 | 0.98 | 1.01 | 0.95 |
| 60-64 | 1.01 | 0.99 | 0.95 | 1.04 | 1.03 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.96 |
| 65-69 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 1.01 | 1.06 | 1.03 | 1.01 | 0.97 | 0.97 |
| 70-74 | 1.02 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 1.04 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 1.01 | 0.97 |
| 75-79 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 0.96 | 1.09 | 0.98 | 0.97 | 1.01 | 1.00 |
| Alberta |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 0.94 | 1.01 | 0.97 | 0.84 | 0.94 | 1.01 | 1.00 | 0.89 |
| 15-19 | 0.98 | 5.66 | - | 12.50 | 0.95 | 3.75 | 7.50 | 2.75 |
| 20-24 | 0.85 | 1.70 | 0.69 | 0.77 | 0.85 | 1.34 | 0.69 | 0.56 |
| 25-29 | 0.88 | 1.07 | 0.53 | 0.62 | 0.96 | 1.00 | 0.79 | 0.64 |
| 30-34 | 1.03 | 0.99 | 0.69 | 0.67 | 1.15 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 0.79 |
| 35-39 | 1.07 | 1.00 | 0.86 | 0.76 | 1.07 | 1.01 | 0.99 | 0.83 |
| 40-44 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 0.90 | 0.84 | 0.97 | 0.99 | 0.94 | 0.91 |
| 45-49 | 0.98 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.89 | 0.97 | 0.99 | 0.98 | 0.92 |
| 50-54 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.94 | 0.89 | 0.96 | 0.99 | 0.98 | 0.95 |
| 55-59 | 1.01 | 0.98 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.97 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 0.99 |
| 60-64 | 1.07 | 0.99 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.02 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 0.99 |
| 65-69 | 1.07 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 1.05 | 0.98 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |
| 70-74 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 1.00 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.98 | 1.01 | 0.96 |
| 75-79 | 0.96 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 1.01 | 0.96 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.92 |

Table A3. Ratio of Enumerated Population to Estimated Population, by Selected Age Groups, Showing Sex and Marital Status (1), Provinces and Territories, 1996 Census (100\% Data) - Concluded

| Age group | Men |  |  |  | Women |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Single | Married | Widowed | Divorced | Single | Married | Widowed | Divorced |
| British Columbia |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 0.98 | 0.99 | 0.95 | 0.96 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 1.01 | 0.98 |
| 15-19 | 0.98 | 4.39 | 2.50 | 23.00 | 0.98 | 3.00 | 2.50 | 7.14 |
| 20-24 | 0.87 | 1.47 | 0.40 | 0.83 | 0.86 | 1.22 | 0.73 | 0.69 |
| 25-29 | 0.93 | 1.04 | 0.97 | 0.61 | 1.04 | 0.97 | 0.79 | 0.72 |
| 30-34 | 1.11 | 0.96 | 0.99 | 0.73 | 1.25 | 0.96 | 0.87 | 0.89 |
| 35-39 | 1.15 | 0.97 | 0.85 | 0.93 | 1.11 | 0.98 | 1.07 | 0.99 |
| 40-44 | 1.12 | 0.99 | 0.95 | 0.99 | 1.08 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 1.04 |
| 45-49 | 1.06 | 1.00 | 1.06 | 0.98 | 1.05 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.01 |
| 50-54 | 1.03 | 0.98 | 0.96 | 1.03 | 1.01 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 1.02 |
| 55-59 | 1.06 | 0.99 | 0.92 | 1.02 | 0.96 | 0.99 | 1.01 | 1.03 |
| 60-64 | 1.04 | 0.98 | 0.96 | 1.05 | 0.98 | 1.00 | 1.01 | 1.04 |
| 65-69 | 1.02 | 0.98 | 0.97 | 1.11 | 1.02 | 0.99 | 1.01 | 1.01 |
| 70-74 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.96 | 1.04 | 1.03 | 0.98 | 1.01 | 0.94 |
| 75-79 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.93 | 1.10 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 1.01 | 0.94 |
| Yukon Territory |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 0.96 | 1.07 | 0.98 | 1.00 | 0.92 | 1.02 | 1.23 | 1.11 |
| 15-19 | 0.96 | 25.00 | - | - | 0.82 | 7.78 | . | - |
| 20-24 | 0.85 | 3.01 | - | 0.45 | 0.80 | 1.62 | - | - |
| 25-29 | 0.85 | 1.46 | - | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.07 | - | 1.11 |
| 30-34 | 0.78 | 0.99 | - | 0.88 | 1.13 | 0.99 | 5.00 | 0.79 |
| 35-39 | 1.33 | 1.07 | 2.50 | 1.05 | 1.00 | 1.04 | 0.63 | 0.71 |
| 40-44 | 1.45 | 1.04 | 1.25 | 1.18 | 1.01 | 1.05 | 0.71 | 1.01 |
| 45-49 | 1.08 | 1.07 | 5.00 | 0.90 | 1.28 | 0.89 | 0.73 | 1.21 |
| 50-54 | 1.13 | 0.97 | 1.67 | 0.93 | 0.98 | 0.88 | 1.60 | 2.88 |
| 55-59 | 1.97 | 1.10 | 0.71 | 1.33 | 2.50 | 1.27 | 1.21 | 2.50 |
| 60-64 | 2.50 | 0.94 | 0.63 | 1.13 | 1.25 | 0.94 | 0.89 | 1.79 |
| 65-69 | 1.25 | 0.76 | 1.50 | 1.09 | 0.36 | 0.65 | 2.42 | 1.47 |
| 70-74 | 1.36 | 0.77 | 1.14 | 0.58 | 1.43 | 0.73 | 1.43 | 0.88 |
| 75-79 | 2.14 | 1.27 | 0.52 | 0.77 | - | 1.03 | 1.48 | 0.91 |
| Northwest Territories |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 0.86 | 1.17 | 0.88 | 0.90 | 0.85 | 1.12 | 0.88 | 1.08 |
| 15-19 | 1.03 | 22.50 | - | - | 0.90 | 13.18 | - | - |
| 20-24 | 0.76 | 3.25 | 1.00 | 3.33 | 0.66 | 2.13 | - | 0.71 |
| 25-29 | 0.69 | 1.65 | - | 0.48 | 0.89 | 1.28 | 1.25 | 0.44 |
| 30-34 | 0.80 | 1.13 | - | 0.94 | 0.95 | 1.10 | 0.52 | 0.39 |
| 35-39 | 0.99 | 1.07 | 0.71 | 1.13 | 1.06 | 0.99 | 0.56 | 1.22 |
| 40-44 | 1.09 | 1.02 | 0.19 | 0.86 | 0.85 | 0.96 | 0.73 | 1.26 |
| 45-49 | 0.95 | 1.02 | 0.71 | 0.98 | 1.02 | 1.12 | 0.94 | 1.82 |
| 50-54 | 0.82 | 1.14 | 1.09 | 0.71 | 0.89 | 1.01 | 0.92 | 4.17 |
| 55-59 | 0.92 | 1.02 | 1.17 | 1.29 | 0.98 | 1.02 | 0.93 | 2.95 |
| 60-64 | 1.25 | 1.04 | 0.89 | 0.60 | 1.40 | 0.79 | 0.94 | 0.65 |
| 65-69 | 1.17 | 0.78 | 0.82 | 0.65 | 0.96 | 0.69 | 0.94 | 0.83 |
| 70-74 | 0.86 | 1.13 | 1.16 | 0.45 | 1.43 | 1.07 | 0.86 | 0.50 |
| 75-79 | 0.63 | 5.71 | 1.00 | 0.63 | 0.50 | 0.95 | 0.88 | - |

[^3]
## Appendix E - Products and Services

Packaging census data so they are meaningful and accessible to clients, whether they are government decision-makers, policy analysts, librarians, marketing specialists, researchers, students, etc., is the key to ensuring the value of the data is maximized. There are several new product and service features for 1996.

## 1. Increased Accessibility Through Electronic Media

More clients asked for census materials to be available in electronic formats which can be used with personal computers. While some key printed products have been retained, more census data were produced on CD-ROM and on diskette. These formats contained Windows-based presentation and tabulation softwares to make the data easy to use. For the first time, clients were able to obtain information free of charge on the Internet through the Statistics Canada's Web site: http://www.statcan.ca.

## 2. Small Area Data Available Sooner

Census data at smaller levels of geography were made available much sooner than in previous years. On each release day, profile data were available for areas at the community levels (census subdivisions and census divisions) and, one month after their release, data for areas as small as census tracts, enumeration areas and forward sortation areas.

## 3. Census Tabulations Available by Postal Code

As part of the standard product line, basic summary tabulations and area profiles were available for forward sortation areas, which represent the first three characters of the postal code. Data for the full postal code can be obtained as a custom service, subject to confidentiality restrictions.

## 4. New Information Collected in 1996

For the first time, data will be published for unpaid household activities, place of work for all levels of geography, mode of transportation to work and population groups.

## 5. Improvement of Geography Products

Not only has the quality of many of the maps used for the release of census data been improved, a map series on federal electoral districts has been reintroduced. GeoRef, the Windows-based electronic tool which allows clients to explore the links between different levels of geography, has also been improved with the addition of enumeration area reference lists.
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## Regional Reference Centres

Statistics Canada regional reference centres are located across the country. Each centre has a complete collection of current publications and reference documents which can be consulted or purchased, along with microcomputer diskettes, CD-ROMs, maps and other products and services, including CANSIM.

Each Reference Centre provides a wide range of additional services. On the one hand, the Dissemination Services: a free telephone enquiries line for the most recent basic data. On the other hand, Advisory Services: identification of your needs, establishing sources or availability of data, consolidation and integration of data coming from different sources and development of profiles, analysis of highlights or tendencies and, finally, training on products, services, Statistics Canada concepts and also the use of statistical data.

For more information about the services provided by regional reference centres, you can call or visit the closest centre. The locations are listed below. If you are outside the local calling area, please dial the toll-free number.

National toll-free enquiries line (Canada and United States): 1800 263-1136
Telecommunications device for the hearing-impaired: 1800 363-7629
Toll-free order only line (Canada and United States): 1800 267-6677

## List of Statistics Canada Regional Reference Centres

Atlantic Region
Serving Newfoundland and Labrador, Nova Scotia, Prince Edward Island and New Brunswick
Statistics Canada
Advisory Services
1741 Brunswick Street
$2^{\text {nd }}$ floor, Box 11
Halifax, Nova Scotia B3J 3X8
Toll-free number: 1800 263-1136
Local calls: (902) 426-5331
Fax number: (902) 426-9538
E-mail: atlantic.info@statcan.ca
Quebec Region
Statistics Canada
Advisory Services
200 René Lévesque Blvd W.
Guy Favreau Complex
4th floor, East Tower
Montréal, Quebec H2Z 1 X4
Toll-free number: 1800 263-1136
Local calls: (514) 283-5725
Fax number: (514) 283-9350

## National Capital Region

Statistics Canada
Statistical Reference Centre
R.H. Coats Building Lobby

Holland Avenue
Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0T6
Toll-free number: 1800 263-1136
Local calls: (613) 951-8116
Fax number: (613) 951-0581
E-mail: infostats@statcan.ca
If you live outside the local calling area, please dial the toll-free number for your region.

## Ontario Region

Statistics Canada
Advisory Services
Arthur Meighen Building
10th floor
25 St. Clair Avenue East
Toronto, Ontario M4T 1M4
Toll-free number: 1800 263-1136
Local calls: (416) 973-6586
Fax number: (416) 973-7475
Prairie Region
Serving Manitoba, Saskatchewan, Alberta and Northwest Territories
Statistics Canada
Advisory Services
Via Rail Building, Suite 200
123 Main Street
Winnipeg, Manitoba R3C 4V9
Toll-free number: 1800 263-1136
Local calls: (204) 983-4020
Fax number: (204) 983-7543
E-mail: statswpg@escape.ca
Statistics Canada
Advisory Services
Park Plaza, Suite 440
2365 Albert Street
Regina, Saskatchewan S4P 4K1
Toll-free number: 1800 263-1136
Local calls: (306) 780-5405
Fax number: (306) 780-5403
E-mail: statcan@sympatico.sk.ca

Statistics Canada<br>Advisory Services<br>Park Square, 9th floor<br>10001 Bellamy Hill<br>Edmonton, Alberta T5J 3B6<br>Toll-free number: 1800 263-1136<br>Local calls: (403) 495-3027<br>Fax number: (403) 495-5318<br>Statistics Canada<br>Advisory Services<br>Discovery Place, Room 201<br>3553-31 Street N.W.<br>Calgary, Alberta T2L 2K7<br>Toll-free number: 1800 263-1136<br>Local calls: (403) 292-6717<br>Fax number: (403) 292-4958<br>E-mail: degagnej@cadvision.com<br>\section*{Pacific Region}<br>Serving British Columbia and the Yukon Territory<br>Statistics Canada<br>Advisory Services<br>Library Square Office Tower<br>600-300 West Georgia Street<br>Vancouver, B.C. V6B 6C7<br>Toll-free number: 1800 263-1136<br>Local calls: (604) 666-3691<br>Fax number: (604) 666-4863<br>E-mail: stcvan@statcan.ca


[^0]:    (1). Bankier, M., A-M. Houle, and M. Luc. "1996 Canadian Census Demographic Variables Imputation". Social Survey Methods Division, Statistics Canada. November 1997.

[^1]:    (1). These data have not been adjusted for net undercoverage.
    (2). Calculated as follows: ((1996 census data - population estimates data) / estimates data) * 100

[^2]:    (1). According to Population Estimates, Demography Division.
    (2). May be + or -1 as a result of rounding of ratios.

[^3]:    (1). Persons who have indicated that they are 'Separated' are included within the 'Married' category.

