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## I- Overview

The financial soundness of the life insurance plan established for the members of the regular force under Part II - Supplementary Death Benefits (SDB) of the Canadian Forces Superannuation Act (CFSA) rests on the balance in the Regular Force Death Benefit (RFDB) Account which forms part of the public debt of Canada. The plan is not funded through investment in marketable securities. The plan's assets are in effect borrowed by the government.

## A- Raison d'être of this Actuarial Report

This actuarial review of the SDB plan was made as at 31 March 1997 pursuant to section 71 of the CFSA and its reference to the Public Pensions Reporting Act (PPRA). The previous review was made as at 31 December 1993. This report is thus the first to be based on fiscal years rather than calendar years. The date of the next periodic review contemplated by the PPRA is 31 March 2000.

In accordance with accepted actuarial practice, and both the CFSA and the PPRA, the main purpose of this report is to present a realistic long-term projection of the assets, liabilities and surplus of the plan at the end of each plan year ${ }^{1}$ of the projection period in order to assess the adequacy of the legislative contribution rates.

## B- Main Findings

1. As at 31 March 1997, the plan had a surplus of $\$ 113.6$ million resulting from assets of $\$ 172.1$ million and liabilities of $\$ 58.5$ million.
2. The current surplus of $\$ 113.6$ million in the RFDB Account is projected to gradually vanish and become a deficit after plan year 2007 because projected benefits exceed for each plan year the sum of legislated contributions and projected investment earnings.
3. For the same reason, the current balance of $\$ 172.1$ million in the RFDB Account is projected to become exhausted during plan year 2015.

## C- Developments Since the Previous Report's Date

The above estimates are based on key ultimate economic assumptions unchanged from those of the previous report, i.e. a new money interest rate of $6 \%$ and an annual rate of increase in salaries of $4 \%$.

No modifications were made to the SDB plan since the previous report's date. The provisions of the plan deemed to apply for purposes of this

[^0]report are described in Appendix 1 (page 20) and reflect those that were in effect as at the valuation date.

## II- Data

## A- Assets

## 1. Reconciliation of Balances in the RFDB Account

|  | (in millions of dollars) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Account balance as at 31 December 1993 |  |  |  | 155.2 |
| Net cash flow from 1 January 1994 to 31 March 1994 |  |  |  | 1.6 |
| Plan year | $\underline{1995}$ | 1996 | 1997 | 1995-97 |
| Public Accounts opening balance | 156.8 | 164.8 | 168.1 | 156.8 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |
| Participant contributions | 10.1 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 30.1 |
| Government contributions | 1.7 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 6.0 |
| Single premium for paid-up insurance | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 1.7 |
| Investment earnings | $\underline{16.1}$ | $\underline{16.6}$ | 16.7 | $\underline{49.4}$ |
| Subtotal | 28.4 | 29.4 | 29.4 | 87.2 |
| EXPENDITURES |  |  |  |  |
| Benefits | 20.4 | 26.1 | 25.4 | 71.9 |
| Public Accounts closing balance | 164.8 | 168.1 | 172.1 | 172.1 |

The above table shows the reconciliation of the RFDB Account from the previous valuation date to the current valuation date. Since the previous report's date, the Account balance has grown by $\$ 16.9$ million (i.e. a $10.9 \%$ increase) to reach $\$ 172.1$ million as at 31 March 1997.

## 2. Rates of Return

The following rates of return on the Account in each of the last three plan years were calculated, using the foregoing entries, on the assumption that all transactions other than investment earnings occurred at the midpoint of the plan year:

| $\underline{\mathbf{1 9 9 5}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{1 9 9 6}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{1 9 9 7}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $10.53 \%$ | $10.53 \%$ | $10.28 \%$ |

## 3. Sources of Asset Data

The Account entries shown in item 1 above were taken from the Public Accounts of Canada. In accordance with section 8 of the Public Pensions Reporting Act, the Office of the Comptroller General of Canada provided a certification of the assets of the plan as at 31 March 1997.

## B- Membership

## 1. Highlights

As at 31 March 1996, the Supplementary Death Benefit plan included 130,548 participants ${ }^{1}$. Of this number, 66,348 were non-elective participants, 64,123 were elective participants in receipt of an immediate annuity (retirement and disability) and 77 were elective participants entitled to a deferred annuity. The total amount of death benefits insured at that date was $\$ 8,428.7$ million. Summaries of data on participants are provided in Appendix 3, starting on page 33 of this report.

## 2. Validation of Membership Data

The following validation tests were done to the valuation input data:

- reconciling the membership data with the data used in the previous valuation report;
- comparing the membership with that published in the Report on the Administration of the Canadian Forces Superannuation Account for the year ending 31 March 1996;

[^1]- checking that the salary of a non-elective participant is within a certain range and reasonably consistent in comparison to the salary of that non-elective participant in the previous valuation;
- verification of the maximum insured amount of elective participants over age 70 (i.e. maximum $\$ 5,000$ paid-up benefit);
- verification that the insured benefit is reasonably consistent in comparison to the salary (at cessation of employment for elective participants).

Based on the omissions and discrepancies identified by these and other tests, appropriate adjustments were made to the basic data after consulting with the Department of National Defence who provided them.

## 3. Sources of Membership Data

The Department of National Defence provided relevant valuation input data on non-elective participants and elective participants. The co-operation and able assistance received from various sections of the Department of National Defence deserve to be acknowledged.

## III- Methodology

## A- Assets

The assets of the plan consist exclusively of the balance, recorded on a book value basis, in the RFDB Account, which forms part of the Public Accounts of Canada. The earning power of the assets corresponds to the yields, shown on page 8 , that are projected on an open-group basis as described in the actuarial report as at 31 March 1997 on the pension plan for the Canadian Forces.

The Account balance corresponds to the excess of past contributions and investment earnings over past benefit payments. Assets are accordingly projected at the end of a given plan year by adding to the RFDB Account at the beginning of that plan year the net income (i.e. the excess of contributions and investment earnings over benefits) projected as described below for that plan year. Administration expenses are ignored because they are not charged to the RFDB Account.

## B- Contributions

Participants' annual contributions are projected for a given plan year by multiplying the legislated contribution rates (Section C-1 of Appendix 1) by the salaries, projected for that plan year using the assumed rates of increase described in Section IV-A below (salary increases are deemed to stop at the time a non-elective participant becomes an elective participant), of participants projected for that plan year on an open-group basis as described in Section IV-C below. Furthermore, projected contributions take into account the annual $10 \%$ reduction in insured benefits from age 61 onward and the $\$ 5,000$ insurance portions paid-up by the government at age 65 (see note to this effect in Section IV-C-3).

The government's annual contribution is projected for a given plan year as the sum of one-twelfth of the total amount of death benefits (excluding the $\$ 5,000$ paid-up portions of insured benefits) projected to be paid during that plan year as described in Section D below and the total legislated single premiums (Section C-3-(b) of Appendix 1) in respect of participants reaching age 65 during that plan year.

## C- Investment Earnings

Annual investment earnings are projected for a given plan year as the product of the yield projected for that plan year (Section IV-A below) and the sum of the Account projected to the beginning of that plan year plus about ${ }^{1} 50 \%$ of the difference between contributions (item B above) and benefits (item D below) projected for that plan year.

## D- Benefits

The total amount of death benefits (term and paid-up insurance) for a given plan year is projected as the total amount of insured death benefits in force during that plan year multiplied by the mortality rates assumed to apply during that plan year (Section IV-C-2 below). The amount of insured death benefits depends on the salary projected to time of death. Salaries are projected for this purpose using the assumed rates of increase in salaries (Section IV-A below) and the number of participants projected on an open-group basis as described in Section IV-C below.

## E- Liabilities

1. In respect of the individual $\$ 5,000$ paid-up portions of insured benefits, liabilities at the end of a given plan year correspond to the amount which, together with interest at the projected yields (page 8), is sufficient to pay for each individual $\$ 5,000$ portion of insured benefit on the eventual death, projected on the basis of the assumed mortality rates (Section IV-C), of all projected participants insured as at 31 March of that plan year.
2. The margin against adverse fluctuations at the end of a given plan year is held only in respect of the insurance paid for on a term basis and corresponds to the amount which, added to the benefit payments projected for that plan year, provides a $99.5 \%$ statistical probability that actual benefit payments of that plan year will not exceed the sum of this margin and the benefit payments projected for that plan year. It is deemed equal, assuming that the distribution of the number of deaths is binomial, to 2.6 times the standard deviation in the plan year's expected amount of death benefits. For a given plan year, the standard deviation is deemed equal to the square root of the product of the plan year's expected number of deaths and the square of the plan year's average amount of insurance.

[^2]3. On the basis of the plan's experience, the reserve at the end of a given plan year for claims incurred but not reported, and reported but not paid (IBNR/RNP), is set equal to one-sixth of the projected annual benefits paid on average during the six previous plan years.
4. Due to the negligible effect of the 30-day extension of insurance upon termination of coverage and to the nature of term insurance paid for on a monthly basis, no liability is deemed to exist in respect of that term insurance provision.

## F- Membership Data

For valuation purposes, data for non-elective participants were grouped by sex, by age (last birthday) and completed number of years of service. Data for elective participants were grouped only by sex and by age (last birthday).

Due to their negligible effect on valuation results, the 77 elective participants entitled to a deferred annuity were not taken into consideration for purposes of this valuation.

The data on participants referred to in section II-B above were provided as at 31 March 1996, which is one year earlier than the valuation date of this report. These data were projected to the valuation date using the rates of termination described in the valuation as at 31 March 1997 of the pension plan established under the Canadian Forces Superannuation Act.

## IV- Assumptions

## A- Economic Assumptions

For purposes of projecting the RFDB Account to the end of each future plan year, the economic assumptions, including the assumed seniority and promotional salary increases (Tables 2A1 and 2A2 on pages 25 and 26), are those adopted for the actuarial report as at 31 March 1997 on the pension plan for the Canadian Forces. They are summarized in the following table:

| Plan Year | Projected Yield on the Account (\%) | Average Salary Increase ${ }^{1}$ (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1998 | 9.97 | $2.4 / 1.5^{2}$ |
| 1999 | 9.73 | 3.2 |
| 2000 | 9.47 | 3.4 |
| 2001 | 9.16 | 3.6 |
| 2002 | 8.81 | 3.8 |
| 2003 | 8.41 | 4.0 |
| 2004 | 8.14 | 4.0 |
| 2005 | 7.89 | 4.0 |
| 2010 | 6.97 | 4.0 |
| 2015 | 6.28 | 4.0 |
| 2020 | 6.02 | 4.0 |
| 2021+ | 6.00 | 4.0 |

## B- Administrative Expenses

In projecting the Account, no assumption was made regarding the expenses incurred for the administration of the plan. These expenses, which are not charged to the RFDB Account, are borne entirely by the government and are commingled with all other government expenses.
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## C- Demographic Assumptions

Except where otherwise noted, all demographic assumptions were determined from the plan's own experience as was done in the past. Therefore, assumptions of the previous valuation were appropriately updated to reflect the experience of January 1994 to March 1997. As for the previous valuation, demographic assumptions were grouped on a last basis, i.e. age and years of service were rounded to the next lower integer.

## 1. New Non-Elective Participants

The projection of future new non-elective participants (defined in section A-1 of Appendix 1) is required in the valuation process because this valuation is done on an open-group basis (i.e. assets and liabilities are estimated at the end of each plan year of the projection period taking into account future new participants to the plan). The assumptions used for this purpose are the same as those in the actuarial report as at 31 March 1997 on the pension plan for the Canadian Forces and are briefly described below.

For each future plan year and each group of members, the number of new non-elective participants was assumed equal to the assumed number of all terminations so as to obtain a constant regular force from 31 March 1997 onward.

For Officers and Other Ranks, the assumed age distribution of future new non-elective participants was derived separately by sex consistent with that of actual new non-elective participants during the 1996 plan year.

## 2. Mortality Rates and Longevity Improvement Factors

Mortality rates are used for both the computation of death benefits and the survivorship of the participants beyond the valuation date.

## (a) Non-Elective Participants

The mortality rates deemed to apply in 1998 plan year were set equal to the mortality rates assumed for contributors for 1998 in the actuarial report as at 31 March 1997 of the CFSA. Separate rates apply for males and females (Table 2E, page 29).

Mortality rates after 1998 were derived from the 1998 rates by assuming for each age constant annual percentage decreases in such rates. Sample longevity improvement factors are shown in Table 2 H on page 32 .

## (b) Elective Participants (Retirement and Disability) in Receipt of an Immediate Annuity

The mortality rates deemed to apply in plan year 1998 were set equal to the mortality rates assumed for pensioners (retirement and disability) for 1998 in the actuarial report as at 31 March 1997 of the CFSA. These assumptions are described below.

In respect of elective retirement participants (i.e. retirement pensioners in the actuarial report as at 31 March 1997 of the CFSA) assumed mortality rates were derived separately for male Officers, male Other Ranks and females. On the basis of the plan's experience, the mortality rates assumed for males in the previous valuation for 1995 were maintained but projected three years to account for longevity improvements from 1995 to 1998. For females, the GAM 1994 Basic Table had been assumed for 1994 in the previous valuation. On the basis of the plan's experience regarding female mortality, the GAM 1994 Static Table is assumed for plan year 1998 in this report as it includes a $7 \%$ margin over the Basic Table. See Table 2F on page 30 for sample values of the assumed mortality rates.

In respect of elective disability participants (i.e. disability pensioners in the actuarial report as at 31 March 1997 of the CFSA), mortality rates were derived separately by sex, Officers and Other Ranks. The previous valuation assumptions for 1995 were based on the Life Tables, Canada, for 1985-87 projected five years for longevity improvements. On the basis of the plan's experience, 1998 rates for both female groups are the same as those used for 1995 in the previous valuation, but projected three additional years for longevity improvements. Rates for male Other Ranks were adjusted by giving $50 \%$ credibility to the previous valuation assumption and $50 \%$ credibility to the 1994-96 experience. Rates for male Officers were adjusted by giving $65 \%$ credibility to the previous valuation assumption and $35 \%$ credibility to the 1994-96 experience (Table 2G on page 31 ).

Mortality rates for 1999 and later years were adjusted using the same longevity improvement factors used for non-elective participants.

## 3. Other Demographic Assumptions

For non-elective participants, the termination rates were set equal to those assumed for contributors in the actuarial report as at 31 March 1997 of the CFSA (Tables 2B to 2D on pages 27 to 29). It was assumed, inter alia, that:
(a) all non-elective participants would leave service by their $60^{\text {th }}$ birthday (the individual $\$ 5,000$ portion of insurance are accordingly assumed to become paid-up by the government at age 65 in all cases); and
(b) terminations without right to a pension, pensionable disabilities and retirements were permanent and that therefore no subsequent re-entry would occur.

## 4. Coverage Elections and Options

(a) The valuation data indicate that the proportion of elective participants, in receipt of an immediate annuity, electing not to continue their coverage under the RFDB is negligible. Accordingly, all such elective participants were assumed to continue coverage when they cease to serve in the Canadian Forces.
(b) The valuation data indicate that the percentage of elective participants opting to reduce their amount of insured death benefit to $\$ 5,000$ is negligible. Accordingly, no elective participants were assumed to make such an option.

## V- Results

## A- Balance Sheet as at 31 March 1997

The following balance sheet was prepared using the data described in Section II, the methodology described in Section III and the assumptions described in Section IV.

## Assets

## Liabilities

Actuarial liability in respect of the paid-up insured death benefit for participants at ages 65 and over ..... 51.6
Reserve for claims incurred but unreported, and for claims ..... 3.8 reported but not paid
Margin against adverse fluctuations ..... 3.1
Total liabilities ..... 58.5
Surplus ..... 113.6

## B- Comparing Benefit Cost Rates to Legislated Contribution Rates

## 1. Short Term

The aggregate amount of death benefit payments projected for plan year 1998 is $\$ 30.4$ million, that is $\$ 24.7$ million in respect of the term insurance (two times annual salary) and $\$ 5.7$ million in respect of the paid-up insurance (individual $\$ 5,000$ portions).
(a) Paid-Up Insurance

For plan year 1998, the estimated single premium at age 65 for each $\$ 5,000$ of insured benefit is $\$ 1,679$ and $\$ 1,270$ for males and females, respectively. The corresponding legislated contribution rates (item 3(b) on page 22) for each $\$ 5,000$ of paid-up insured benefits are $\$ 310$ and $\$ 291$, respectively.
(b) Term Insurance

The amount of total benefits projected to be paid during plan year 1998 is $\$ 24.7$ million. Considering the total amount of insured benefits of $\$ 8,410.8$ million projected for plan year 1998, the benefit cost rate projected for plan year 1998 is $\$ 0.245$ per month per $\$ 1,000$ of insured benefit, i.e. $\$ 1,000 * \$ 24.7 / \$ 8,410.8 / 12$.

Non-elective participants and elective participants in receipt of an immediate annuity are required to contribute monthly $\$ 0.20$ per $\$ 1,000$ of salary or, $\$ 0.099^{1}$ per $\$ 1,000$ of insured benefit. As a minimum, the government contributes monthly an amount equal to one-twelfth of the actual total amount of death benefits payable during the month (excluding the $\$ 5,000$ paid-up portion). For plan year 1998, the government's monthly contribution is estimated at $\$ 0.020$ per $\$ 1,000$ of insured benefit, i.e. $\$ 0.245 / 12$.

Therefore, the total amount contributed by participants and the government is $\$ 0.120$ ( $\$ 0.099+\$ 0.020$ plus rounding adjustment) per month per $\$ 1,000$ of insured benefit, i.e. less than half the estimated monthly cost (second paragraph above) of $\$ 0.245$ per $\$ 1,000$ of insured benefit for plan year 1998.

[^4]
## 2. Long Term

(a) Paid-Up Insurance

The assumed improvements in longevity cause the projected single premium for the paid-up insurance to decrease over time. However, the projected ultimate yield of $6.0 \%$ is lower than the yield of $9.97 \%$ projected for plan year 1998. This has the effect of increasing gradually the projected single premium over the years. The net effect of longevity improvements and decreasing projected yields, on the projected single premiums at age 65 for each $\$ 5,000$ of insured benefit, is for males a decrease from $\$ 1,679$ for plan year 1998 to $\$ 1,541$ for plan year 2050, and for females an increase from $\$ 1,270$ to $\$ 1,367$. The corresponding legislated contribution rates (item 3(b) on page 22) for each $\$ 5,000$ of paid-up insured benefits are $\$ 310$ and $\$ 291$, respectively.

## (b) Term Insurance

The monthly benefit cost rate per $\$ 1,000$ of term insurance is projected to decrease gradually from $\$ 0.245$ for plan year 1998 to $\$ 0.123$ for plan year 2050 . This $\$ 0.123$ cost rate compares to the combined (government and participants) legislated contribution rate of $\$ 0.109$ (i.e. $\$ 0.099$ for participants plus one-twelfth of $\$ 0.123$ for government plus rounding adjustment) projected for plan year 2050.

The following table illustrates the projected monthly benefit cost rates per $\$ 1,000$ of insured death benefit for selected plan years.

Projected Monthly Benefit Cost Rates (per \$1,000 of Insured Death Benefit)

|  | Plan Year |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 5 0}$ |
| Non-Elective Participants | 0.082 | 0.083 | 0.080 | 0.065 | 0.048 |
| Elective Participants | 0.508 | 0.511 | 0.441 | 0.468 | 0.286 |
| All Participants |  |  |  |  |  |

For non-elective participants, the monthly cost projected for plan year 2050 is $59 \%$ of the monthly cost estimated for 1998. This results mainly from the following two factors:
i) There is a significant reduction in cost due to the assumed lower mortality for plan year 2050 in accordance with the longevity improvement factors shown in Table 2 H on page 32 applied to the current mortality rates shown in Table 2E on page 29.
ii) The distribution of non-elective participants in the plan year 2050 is weighted more heavily at the older ages than currently. This has the effect of increasing costs. However, this increase is more than offset by the effect of assumed mortality improvements.

For elective participants in receipt of an immediate annuity, the monthly benefit cost rate projected for plan year 2050 is $56 \%$ of the monthly benefit cost rate estimated for plan year 1998. This reduction is mostly the result of assumed mortality improvements.

In aggregate, for non-elective participants and elective participants in receipt of an immediate annuity, the monthly benefit cost rate projected for 2050 is $50 \%$ of the monthly benefit cost rate estimated for plan year 1998.

## C- Vanishing Surplus and Account

## 1. Short Term

The surplus was $\$ 113.6$ million as at 31 March 1997. It corresponds to 3.7 times the total amount of death benefits projected for plan year 1998. By comparison, the surplus as at 31 December 1993 under the previous report was $\$ 108.3$ million. It also corresponded to 3.7 times the amount of death benefits payable during calendar year 1994.

## 2. Long Term

As shown in section $F$ below and explained in section B above, the projected participants' and government's contributions are less than the projected benefits for each future plan year regarding both the term and the paid-up insurance.

This projected annual shortfall is greater than the projected annual investment earnings on the Account and accordingly entails a continuously decreasing surplus. The surplus is therefore projected to become a deficit after plan year 2007, while the Account is projected to become exhausted in plan year 2015.

## D- Sensitivity of Valuation Results to Variations in Key Assumptions

The following supplementary estimates indicate the degree to which some of the valuation results depend on some of the key assumptions. The differences between the results below and those shown in sections B, C and F can also serve as a basis for approximating the effect of other numerical variations in a key assumption, to the extent that such effects are indeed linear.

## 1. Projected Investment Yields

The valuation reflects a deemed investment policy of buying and holding until maturity long-term Government of Canada bonds. If the investment portfolio also included a significant equity component, it would be appropriate to project higher rates of return. As a measure of sensitivity, an increment of one percentage point in the projected yields would change from 2015 to 2016 the fiscal year during which the Account is projected to become exhausted.

## 2. Mortality

If the mortality rates assumed in each future year were reduced by $10 \%$, then the monthly benefit cost rate of $\$ 0.123$ projected for 2050 would be reduced in the same proportion to $\$ 0.111$.

If the assumed improvements in longevity after the 1997 plan year were disregarded, then the monthly benefit cost rate of $\$ 0.123$ projected for 2050 would climb to $\$ 0.284$.

## E- Reconciliation of Results with Previous Report

In the previous report, the monthly benefit cost rate was projected at $\$ 0.142$ per $\$ 1,000$ of term insurance for plan year 2050. The following table indicates that the revised assumed mortality improvements are the main reason for the decrease from $\$ 0.142$ to $\$ 0.123$ of that projected benefit cost rate.

Monthly Benefit Cost Rate<br>Projected for 2050 Plan Year per $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 0 0 0}$ of Term Insurance (excluding paid-up insurance)

## As at 31 December 1993

Move to plan year basis 0.003
Intervaluation economic experience 0.002
Changes in assumed base year mortality rates

Changes in assumed longevity
improvement factors
Changes in termination rates (other than
mortality rates)

Changes in economic assumptions (0.002)

As at 31 March 1997

## \$0.142

(0.002)
(0.023)
(0.002)

## F- Account Projection

The Account projection shown on the next page was prepared using the data described in Section II, the methodology described in Section III and the assumptions described in Section IV. Figures are expressed in thousands of dollars and negative amounts are shown in brackets.

Income and Expenditure During the Plan Year

| Plan Year | Investmen <br> t Earnings | Contributions |  |  |  | Benefit Payments |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Participant <br> s | Government |  | Total |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Term | Paid-up |  | Term | Paid-up | Total |
| 1997 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 1998 | 16,295 | 10,111 | 2,059 | 644 | 12,814 | 24,706 | 5,747 | 30,453 |
| 1999 | 15,751 | 10,339 | 2,107 | 612 | 13,058 | 25,288 | 5,953 | 31,241 |
| 2000 | 15,070 | 10,625 | 2,156 | 636 | 13,417 | 25,876 | 6,209 | 32,085 |
| 2001 | 14,228 | 10,944 | 2,204 | 638 | 13,786 | 26,449 | 6,427 | 32,876 |
| 2002 | 13,238 | 11,306 | 2,253 | 629 | 14,188 | 27,037 | 6,589 | 33,626 |
| 2003 | 12,117 | 11,708 | 2,303 | 621 | 14,632 | 27,635 | 6,687 | 34,322 |
| 2004 | 11,101 | 12,148 | 2,354 | 617 | 15,119 | 28,246 | 6,696 | 34,942 |
| 2005 | 10,064 | 12,617 | 2,403 | 594 | 15,614 | 28,840 | 6,798 | 35,638 |
| 2006 | 8,995 | 13,119 | 2,453 | 607 | 16,179 | 29,440 | 6,858 | 36,298 |
| 2007 | 7,933 | 13,654 | 2,506 | 580 | 16,740 | 30,076 | 6,953 | 37,029 |
| 2008 | 6,850 | 14,220 | 2,565 | 616 | 17,401 | 30,774 | 7,061 | 37,835 |
| 2009 | 5,714 | 14,812 | 2,624 | 596 | 18,032 | 31,487 | 7,176 | 38,663 |
| 2010 | 4,538 | 15,436 | 2,686 | 513 | 18,635 | 32,237 | 7,323 | 39,560 |
| 2011 | 3,312 | 16,096 | 2,755 | 476 | 19,327 | 33,064 | 7,439 | 40,503 |
| 2012 | 2,016 | 16,784 | 2,832 | 629 | 20,245 | 33,979 | 7,540 | 41,519 |
| 2013 | 727 | 17,493 | 2,913 | 629 | 21,035 | 34,957 | 7,661 | 42,618 |
| 2014 | 33 | 18,229 | 3,005 | 594 | 21,828 | 36,061 | 7,772 | 43,833 |
| 2020 | 0 | 23,070 | 3,753 | 613 | 27,436 | 45,032 | 8,107 | 53,139 |
| 2025 | 0 | 27,345 | 4,330 | 944 | 32,619 | 51,959 | 8,460 | 60,419 |
| 2030 | 0 | 32,142 | 4,412 | 932 | 37,486 | 52,939 | 9,046 | 61,985 |
| 2035 | 0 | 38,993 | 4,515 | 548 | 44,056 | 54,180 | 9,393 | 63,573 |
| 2040 | 0 | 48,528 | 5,241 | 348 | 54,117 | 62,887 | 9,677 | 72,564 |
| 2045 | 0 | 60,075 | 6,365 | 493 | 66,933 | 76,374 | 10,051 | 86,425 |
| 2050 | 0 | 73,099 | 7,400 | 573 | 81,072 | 88,800 | 10,369 | 99,169 |


| Balance Sheet at the End of the Plan Year |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Account | Liabilities |  |  |  |  |
|  | Paid-up | IBNR/RNP | Margin | Total | Surplus |
| 172,074 | 51,566 | 3,795 | 3,085 | 58,446 | 113,628 |
| 170,730 | 55,352 | 4,126 | 3,241 | 62,719 | 108,011 |
| 168,298 | 58,915 | 4,506 | 3,401 | 66,822 | 101,476 |
| 164,701 | 62,593 | 4,899 | 3,565 | 71,057 | 93,644 |
| 159,840 | 66,168 | 5,337 | 3,723 | 75,228 | 84,612 |
| 153,639 | 69,492 | 5,606 | 3,864 | 78,962 | 74,677 |
| 146,067 | 72,522 | 5,920 | 4,000 | 82,442 | 63,625 |
| 137,344 | 75,377 | 6,078 | 4,131 | 85,586 | 51,758 |
| 127,384 | 77,939 | 6,226 | 4,242 | 88,407 | 38,977 |
| 116,259 | 80,379 | 6,362 | 4,360 | 91,101 | 25,158 |
| 103,904 | 82,479 | 6,491 | 4,487 | 93,457 | 10,447 |
| 90,320 | 84,691 | 6,620 | 4,617 | 95,928 | $(5,608)$ |
| 75,403 | 86,630 | 6,753 | 4,753 | 98,136 | $(22,733)$ |
| 59,016 | 87,847 | 6,894 | 4,899 | 99,640 | $(40,624)$ |
| 41,152 | 88,652 | 7,042 | 5,060 | 100,754 | $(59,602)$ |
| 21,894 | 90,267 | 7,201 | 5,234 | 102,702 | $(80,808)$ |
| 1,038 | 91,766 | 7,371 | 5,423 | 104,560 | $(103,522)$ |
| 0 | 92,880 | 7,554 | 5,627 | 106,061 | $(106,061)$ |
| 0 | 94,865 | 8,995 | 7,061 | 110,921 | $(110,921)$ |
| 0 | 105,514 | 10,487 | 8,186 | 124,187 | $(124,187)$ |
| 0 | 121,953 | 11,322 | 9,326 | 142,601 | $(142,601)$ |
| 0 | 125,430 | 11,498 | 11,042 | 147,970 | $(147,970)$ |
| 0 | 122,326 | 12,420 | 13,380 | 148,126 | $(148,126)$ |
| 0 | 117,858 | 14,560 | 15,919 | 148,337 | $(148,337)$ |
| 0 | 113,512 | 17,081 | 18,553 | 149,146 | $(149,146)$ |

## VI- Conclusions

## A- Surplus

The plan's surplus estimated as at 31 March 1997 is large. However, the surplus is projected to become a deficit after plan year 2007. The financial projections of this report therefore indicate that, barring changes to amounts of insured benefits, the current contribution rates would need to be increased by plan year 2008. Otherwise, the government would have to make special contributions beginning in plan year 2015 when the Account balance turns negative.

## B- Actuarial Standards

In my opinion, considering that this report was prepared pursuant to the Public Pensions Reporting Act,

- the valuation data on which it is based are sufficient and reliable;
- the assumptions that have been used are, in aggregate, appropriate;
- the methodology employed is appropriate; and
- the value of the plan assets would be greater than the liabilities if the plan were to be wound up at the valuation date.

This report has been prepared, and my opinion given, in accordance with accepted actuarial practice, and particularly with the Recommendations of the Canadian Institute of Actuaries for Actuarial Advice given with respect to Self-Insured Employee Benefit Plans.

Bernard Dussault, B.Sc., F.S.A., F.C.I.A.
Ottawa, Canada
Chief Actuary
27 February 1998
Public Insurance and Pension Programs

## APPENDIX 1

## Summary of Plan Provisions

Following is a summary description of the main provisions of the life insurance plan established for the members of the regular force under Part II -
Supplementary Death Benefit of the Canadian Forces Superannuation Act (CFSA). This plan supplements the pension plan covering the members of the regular force by providing a lump sum benefit upon the death of an insured member.

## A- Membership

## 1. Non-Elective Participants

Non-elective participant means a member of the regular force, or a member of the reserve force who is, with the approval of the Chief of the Defence Staff, on full-time service in a position in a regular force establishment or as a supernumerary to a regular force establishment.

## 2. Elective Participants

Elective participant means all previously non-elective participants who have ceased to be employed in the Canadian Forces by reason of disability or retirement (i.e. when they become entitled to an immediate retirement or disability annuity) and elected to continue coverage under the Regular Forces Supplementary Death Benefit (RFSDB) plan. Such right is limited to members who, at the time they cease to be employed in the Canadian Forces, had completed at least five years of continuous service in the Canadian Forces or five years of membership in the RFSDB plan.

Elective participants entitled to a deferred annuity under the CFSA upon cessation of employment may elect to continue their full coverage under the RFSDB plan; otherwise their membership and coverage is discontinued. This election must be made within the 13-month period running from one year before to the 30th day following cessation of employment. The insured death benefit is extended for 30 days after the date of cessation whether or not a participant exercises the right of election for continuous coverage.

An elective participant who becomes a participant in the Public Service Supplementary Death Benefit (PSSDB) plan automatically ceases to be a participant in the RFSDB. Any such person who subsequently ceases to be a participant in the PSSDB plan, without entitlement to an immediate annuity under the Public Service Superannuation Act, is deemed thereupon to regain the status of elective participant in the RFSDB.

## B- Assets

The plan is financed through the RFDB Account, which forms part of the Public Accounts of Canada. The Account is credited with all contributions made by the participants and the government, and charged with all benefit payments when they become due. The Account is also credited with investment earnings at the investment yields applying to the Canadian Forces Superannuation Account. No formal debt instrument is issued by the government to the RFDB Account in recognition of the amounts therein.

## C- Contributions

1. Non-Elective Participants, and Elective Participants in Receipt of an Immediate Annuity
For non-elective participants, and for elective participants in receipt of an immediate annuity under Part I of the CFSA or the Defence Services Pension Continuation Act (DSPCA) on ceasing to be employed in the regular force, the rate of contribution is $\$ 0.05$ per month for each $\$ 250$ of salary (for this purpose the salary is in practice rounded to the next lower multiple of $\$ 250$ if not already equal to such a multiple). At attainment of age 65 (or completion of five years of service, if later), the total contribution is reduced by $\$ 0.50$ per month in recognition of the fact that a $\$ 5,000$ portion of the insured death benefit becomes paid-up (by the government) for the remaining lifetime of the participant.
2. Elective Participants Entitled to a Deferred Annuity

For elective participants entitled to a deferred annuity, the legislated contribution rate varies in accordance with the attained age of the participant, and the corresponding contributions start being chargeable on the 30th day immediately following cessation of employment. The adjacent table shows the legislated rates for quinquennial ages:

| Age Last <br> Birthday | Contribution per <br> $\$ 2,000$ of Insured <br> Benefit |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Annual | Monthly |
| 25 | $\$ 9.70$ | $\$ 0.82$ |
| 30 | 11.42 | 0.97 |
| 35 | 13.58 | 1.15 |
| 40 | 16.29 | 1.39 |
| 45 | 19.72 | 1.67 |
| 50 | 24.11 | 2.05 |
| 55 | 29.80 | 2.53 |
| 60 | 37.65 | 3.20 |

## 3. Government

(a) Term Insurance

The government credits monthly to the RFDB Account an amount equal to one-twelfth of the total amount of death benefits actually payable (excluding the individual $\$ 5,000$ paid-up portions of insured benefits) in respect of all participants deceasing during that month.

## (b) Paid-Up Insurance

When a participant, other than one entitled to a deferred annuity, reaches age 65 (or completes five years of service, if later), the government credits to the RFDB Account a single premium for the individual $\$ 5,000$ paid-up portion of insured benefit in respect of which contributions are no longer required from the participant.

The legislated amount of single premium for each such $\$ 5,000$ paid-up portion of insured benefit is shown in the adjacent table and corresponds to one-tenth of $\$ 5,000$ times the single premium rate, for each dollar of insured benefit, computed on the basis of the Life Tables, Canada, 1950-1952 and interest at $4 \%$ per annum.

| Age Nearest <br> Birthday | Single Premium per <br> $\$ 5,000$ of Insured <br> Benefit |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Males | Females |
| 65 | $\$ 310$ | $\$ 291$ |
| 66 | 316 | 298 |
| 67 | 323 | 306 |
| 68 | 329 | 313 |
| 69 | 336 | 320 |
| 70 | 343 | 328 |
| 71 | 349 | 335 |
| 72 | 356 | 342 |
| 73 | 362 | 349 |
| 74 | 369 | 356 |
| 75 | 375 | 363 |

## (c) Cash Shortfalls

Under the statutes, if for whatever reason the RFDB Account were to become exhausted, the government would then have to make special contributions to the Account in an amount at least equal to the benefits then due but not paid by reason of such cash shortfall.

## D- Investment Earnings

Investment earnings are credited every three months to the Account at a rate of return equal to the average yield applying for the corresponding period to the combined Superannuation Accounts of the Public Service, Canadian Forces, and Royal Canadian Mounted Police pension plans. The investment portfolio underlying those three Accounts consists of notional bonds bearing interest as under the Canada Pension Plan, i.e. at the average rate on outstanding Government of Canada bonds with 20 or more years to maturity.

## E- Amount of Insured Death Benefit

Subject to the applicable reductions described below, the lump sum benefit payable upon the death of a participant is equal to the participant's current salary multiplied by two, the result being rounded to the next higher multiple of $\$ 250$ if not already equal to such a multiple. For this purpose, the current salary of an elective participant is defined as the annual rate of pay at the time of cessation of employment in the Canadian Forces. Moreover, for this purpose, in respect of both non-elective participants and elective participants, the annual salary is taken as not less than $\$ 3,000$ for rank lower than warrant officer, and $\$ 5,000$ for warrant officer rank or higher.

The amount of insurance described above is reduced by $10 \%$ a year starting at age 61 until it would normally vanish at age 70 . However, except for elective participants entitled to a deferred annuity, the amount of insurance cannot at any time be reduced below a basic floor value of $\$ 5,000$ subject to the following exception:

For those elective participants who had, upon cessation of employment prior to the enactment of Bill C-55, made an election to reduce their insured death benefit to $\$ 500$ and further had made within one year following the introduction of Bill C-55 a second election to keep their insured death benefit at $\$ 500$, the floor value is $\$ 500$ instead of $\$ 5,000$. Such election is irrevocable.

Upon ceasing to be employed in the regular force, elective participants in receipt of an immediate annuity under the CFSA may opt to reduce their amount of insured death benefit to $\$ 5,000$.

## APPENDIX 2

## Sample Demographic Assumptions

Table 2A1

## Annual Seniority and Promotional Salary Increases For Officers

|  | Plan Year |  |  |  |  | Plan Year |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Experienced |  | Assumed |  |  | Experienced |  | Assumed |  |
| Service ${ }^{1}$ | $1991-94$ <br> (\%) | 1995-96 <br> (\%) | 1997-99 <br> (\%) | $2000+$ <br> (\%) | Service ${ }^{1}$ | 1991-94 <br> (\%) | $1995-96$ <br> (\%) | 1997-99 <br> (\%) | $2000+$ <br> (\%) |
| 0 | 11.2 | 4.1 | 13.4 | 13.4 | 20 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 1.4 |
| 1 | 16.2 | 4.3 | 16.7 | 16.6 | 21 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 1.4 | 1.3 |
| 2 | 18.4 | 8.8 | 18.2 | 18.0 | 22 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 1.2 |
| 3 | 19.9 | 14.1 | 19.9 | 19.7 | 23 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 1.1 |
| 4 | 16.7 | 15.2 | 16.7 | 16.5 | 24 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 1.0 |
| 5 | 12.0 | 12.3 | 12.5 | 12.3 | 25 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 0.9 |
| 6 | 8.6 | 7.9 | 8.8 | 8.6 | 26 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 0.9 |
| 7 | 6.2 | 4.2 | 6.3 | 6.2 | 27 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0.8 |
| 8 | 5.2 | 2.9 | 5.2 | 5.1 | 28 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.7 |
| 9 | 4.2 | 2.2 | 4.2 | 4.1 | 29 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.7 |
| 10 | 3.5 | 1.5 | 3.7 | 3.6 | 30 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| 11 | 3.2 | 1.3 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 31 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.7 |
| 12 | 3.0 | 1.2 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 32 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.7 |
| 13 | 2.7 | 1.1 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 33 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| 14 | 2.3 | 1.1 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 34 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| 15 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 35 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| 16 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 36 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| 17 | 1.6 | 0.7 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 37 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| 18 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 38 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| 19 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 39 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 40 and over | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Expressed in completed years.

Table 2A2
Annual Seniority and Promotional Salary Increases For Other Ranks

|  | Plan Year |  |  |  |  | Plan Year |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Experienced |  | Assumed |  |  | Experienced |  | Assumed |  |
| Service ${ }^{1}$ | 1991-94 <br> (\%) | $\begin{gathered} 1995-96 \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1997-99 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | 2000+ <br> (\%) | Service ${ }^{1}$ | 1991-94 <br> (\%) | $\begin{gathered} 1995-96 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1997-99 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | 2000+ <br> (\%) |
| 0 | 18.7 | 12.3 | 19.2 | 19.2 | 20 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| 1 | 18.0 | 13.9 | 19.4 | 15.8 | 21 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| 2 | 16.9 | 13.9 | 18.6 | 12.7 | 22 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| 3 | 13.9 | 11.6 | 13.8 | 10.0 | 23 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| 4 | 8.5 | 7.6 | 10.2 | 7.8 | 24 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| 5 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 7.4 | 5.9 | 25 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| 6 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 5.4 | 4.4 | 26 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| 7 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 3.8 | 3.2 | 27 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| 8 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 2.7 | 2.3 | 28 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| 9 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 29 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| 10 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 30 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| 11 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 31 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| 12 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 32 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| 13 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 33 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| 14 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 34 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| 15 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 35 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| 16 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 36 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| 17 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 37 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| 18 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 38 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| 19 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 39 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 40 and over | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

[^5]
## Table 2B

## Assumed Rates of Termination for Non-Elective Participants With Less Than 20 Years of Service

| Service ${ }^{1}$ | Officers |  | Other Ranks |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| 0 | 0.082 | 0.094 | 0.021 | 0.038 |
| 1 | 0.065 | 0.074 | 0.052 | 0.069 |
| 2 | 0.048 | 0.060 | 0.119 | 0.090 |
| 3 | 0.033 | 0.049 | 0.090 | 0.086 |
| 4 | 0.024 | 0.049 | 0.061 | 0.076 |
| 5 | 0.027 | 0.060 | 0.056 | 0.072 |
| 6 | 0.042 | 0.076 | 0.051 | 0.068 |
| 7 | 0.054 | 0.086 | 0.045 | 0.063 |
| 8 | 0.055 | 0.086 | 0.036 | 0.059 |
| 9 | 0.045 | 0.076 | 0.030 | 0.055 |
| 10 | 0.034 | 0.061 | 0.028 | 0.051 |
| 11 | 0.027 | 0.049 | 0.024 | 0.046 |
| 12 | 0.023 | 0.039 | 0.020 | 0.039 |
| 13 | 0.019 | 0.033 | 0.016 | 0.032 |
| 14 | 0.015 | 0.027 | 0.013 | 0.024 |
| 15 | 0.013 | 0.023 | 0.011 | 0.018 |
| 16 | 0.014 | 0.020 | 0.008 | 0.014 |
| 17 | 0.017 | 0.018 | 0.006 | 0.012 |
| 18 | 0.024 | 0.017 | 0.002 | 0.012 |
| 19 and over | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a | n/a | n/a |

[^6]
## Table 2C

## Assumed Rates of Termination for Non-Elective Participants With 20 or More Years of Service

| Service ${ }^{1}$ | Officers | Other Ranks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 and under | n/a | n/a |
| 19 | 0.043 | 0.057 |
| 20 | 0.056 | 0.057 |
| 21 | 0.062 | 0.053 |
| 22 | 0.061 | 0.058 |
| 23 | 0.058 | 0.097 |
| 24 | 0.059 | 0.137 |
| 25 | 0.064 | 0.132 |
| 26 | 0.076 | 0.116 |
| 27 | 0.091 | 0.120 |
| 28 | 0.103 | 0.138 |
| 29 | 0.111 | 0.175 |
| 30 | 0.115 | 0.207 |
| 31 | 0.121 | 0.226 |
| 32 | 0.146 | 0.240 |
| 33 | 0.202 | 0.274 |
| 34 | 0.278 | 0.342 |
| 35 | 0.338 | 0.420 |
| 36 | 0.381 | 0.507 |
| 37 | 0.407 | 0.604 |
| 38 and over | 0.417 | 0.604 |

[^7]Table 2D
Sample of Assumed Rates of Termination due to Disability ${ }^{1}$

| Age Last Birthday | Male |  | Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Officers | Other Ranks | Officers / Other Ranks |
| 15 | 0.0004 | 0.0117 | 0.0021 |
| 20 | 0.0032 | 0.0063 | 0.0045 |
| 25 | 0.0020 | 0.0038 | 0.0045 |
| 30 | 0.0009 | 0.0027 | 0.0037 |
| 35 | 0.0006 | 0.0032 | 0.0039 |
| 40 | 0.0009 | 0.0054 | 0.0053 |
| 45 | 0.0014 | 0.0086 | 0.0075 |
| 50 | 0.0035 | 0.0142 | 0.0108 |
| 55 | 0.0051 | 0.0227 | 0.0156 |
| 59 | 0.0051 | 0.0313 | 0.0206 |

Table 2E
Sample of Assumed Mortality Rates Deemed Applicable in Plan Year 1998 in Respect of Non-Elective Participants

| Age Last <br> Birthday | Males |  | Females |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 |  | 0.0004 |  |
| 20 | 0.0004 |  | 0.0002 |
| 25 | 0.0005 |  | 0.0002 |
| 30 | 0.0007 |  | 0.0003 |
| 35 | 0.0009 |  | 0.0004 |
| 40 | 0.0012 | 0.0004 |  |
| 45 | 0.0017 | 0.0006 |  |
| 50 | 0.0024 | 0.0012 |  |
| 55 | 0.0041 | 0.0018 |  |
| 59 | 0.0074 | 0.0027 |  |

[^8]Table 2F
Sample of Assumed Mortality Rates Deemed Applicable in Plan Year 1998 in Respect of Elective Retirement Participants

| Age Last Birthday | Male |  | Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Officers | Other Ranks | Officers / Other Ranks |
| 20 | 0.0004 | 0.0004 | 0.0003 |
| 25 | 0.0004 | 0.0006 | 0.0003 |
| 30 | 0.0005 | 0.0009 | 0.0004 |
| 35 | 0.0007 | 0.0012 | 0.0005 |
| 40 | 0.0011 | 0.0020 | 0.0007 |
| 45 | 0.0017 | 0.0025 | 0.0010 |
| 50 | 0.0030 | 0.0042 | 0.0014 |
| 55 | 0.0048 | 0.0074 | 0.0024 |
| 60 | 0.0085 | 0.0120 | 0.0047 |
| 65 | 0.0142 | 0.0197 | 0.0091 |
| 70 | 0.0210 | 0.0325 | 0.0144 |
| 75 | 0.0379 | 0.0504 | 0.0236 |
| 80 | 0.0644 | 0.0739 | 0.0411 |
| 85 | 0.1018 | 0.1083 | 0.0708 |
| 90 | 0.1535 | 0.1615 | 0.1228 |
| 95 | 0.2260 | 0.2338 | 0.1973 |
| 100 | 0.3198 | 0.3264 | 0.2939 |
| 105 | 0.4957 | 0.4957 | 0.4152 |
| 110 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.4924 |

## Table 2G

Sample of Assumed Mortality Rates Deemed Applicable in Plan Year 1998 in Respect of Elective Disability Participants

| Age Last <br> Birthday | Male |  | Female |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Officers | Other Ranks | Officers | Other Ranks |
| 15 | 0.0008 | 0.0004 | 0.0004 | 0.0005 |
| 20 | 0.0008 | 0.0004 | 0.0004 | 0.0005 |
| 25 | 0.0008 | 0.0008 | 0.0004 | 0.0006 |
| 30 | 0.0008 | 0.0027 | 0.0005 | 0.0007 |
| 35 | 0.0010 | 0.0047 | 0.0007 | 0.0009 |
| 40 | 0.0013 | 0.0066 | 0.0010 | 0.0013 |
| 45 | 0.0034 | 0.0082 | 0.0018 | 0.0023 |
| 50 | 0.0090 | 0.0098 | 0.0030 | 0.0038 |
| 55 | 0.0153 | 0.0139 | 0.0048 | 0.0062 |
| 60 | 0.0212 | 0.0212 | 0.0076 | 0.0097 |
| 65 | 0.0280 | 0.0316 | 0.0119 | 0.0151 |
| 70 | 0.0379 | 0.0511 | 0.0189 | 0.0241 |
| 75 | 0.0554 | 0.0751 | 0.0311 | 0.0395 |
| 80 | 0.0877 | 0.0981 | 0.0524 | 0.0667 |
| 85 | 0.1413 | 0.1311 | 0.0910 | 0.1159 |
| 90 | 0.2210 | 0.1888 | 0.1561 | 0.1986 |
| 95 | 0.3281 | 0.2749 | 0.2557 | 0.3255 |
| 100 | 0.4622 | 0.3896 | 0.3686 | 0.4691 |
| 105 | 0.6280 | 0.5000 | 0.9321 | 1.0000 |
| 110 | 1.0000 | 0.5000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |

## Table 2H

Sample of Assumed Improvements in Longevity After Plan Year 1998

| Age Last Birthday | Annual Reduction in Plan Year 1998 Assumed Mortality Rates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Males | Females |
| 15 | 0.0215 | 0.0185 |
| 20 | 0.0215 | 0.0185 |
| 25 | 0.0125 | 0.0165 |
| 30 | 0.0075 | 0.0125 |
| 35 | 0.0075 | 0.0135 |
| 40 | 0.0105 | 0.0175 |
| 45 | 0.0155 | 0.0185 |
| 50 | 0.0205 | 0.0195 |
| 55 | 0.0215 | 0.0105 |
| 60 | 0.0185 | 0.0075 |
| 65 | 0.0165 | 0.0075 |
| 70 | 0.0175 | 0.0075 |
| 75 | 0.0165 | 0.0105 |
| 80 | 0.0125 | 0.0095 |
| 85 | 0.0095 | 0.0085 |
| 90 | 0.0065 | 0.0055 |
| 95 | 0.0045 | 0.0045 |
| 100 | 0.0035 | 0.0035 |
| 105 and over | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |

## APPENDIX 3

Summaries of Participants Data

Table 3A1

| Non-Elective Participants as at 31 March 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Officers |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age Last Birthday | Number |  |  | Insured Benefit (\$ thousands) |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| 0-24 | $1,555$ | $403$ | $1,958$ | $58,777$ | $14,473$ | $73,250$ |
| 25-29 | $1,945$ | $336$ | $2,281$ | $166,887$ | $28,615$ | $195,502$ |
| 30-34 | 2,997 | 366 | 3,363 | 302,169 | 36,438 | 338,607 |
| 35-39 | 2,545 | $230$ | 2,775 | 291,617 | 25,132 | $316,749$ |
| 40-44 | 1,762 | 141 | $1,903$ | 221,061 | 16,766 | 237,827 |
| 45-49 | 1,373 | 98 | 1,471 | 182,946 | 11,947 | 194,893 |
| 50-54 | $724$ | 20 | 744 | 101,970 | $2,751$ | 104,721 |
| 55-59 | 34 | 0 | 34 | 5,375 | 0 | 5,375 |
| Total | 12,935 | 1,594 | 14,529 | 1,330,804 | 136,122 | 1,466,924 |

## Officers

|  | Male | Female | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average Age Last Birthday | 35.1 | 30.9 | 34.6 |
| Average Completed Years of Service | 14.4 | 9.6 | 13.9 |
| Average Insured Benefit (\$) | 102,884 | 85,396 | 100,965 |

Table 3A2

| Non-Elective Participants as at 31 March 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other Ranks |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age Last Birthday | Number |  |  | Insured Benefit (\$ thousands) |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| 0-24 | 4,414 | 403 | 4,817 | 236,090 | 24,107 | 260,197 |
| 25-29 | 9,730 | 1,269 | 10,999 | 668,419 | 87,759 | 756,178 |
| 30-34 | 13,898 | 1,843 | 15,741 | 1,033,395 | 134,573 | 1,167,968 |
| 35-39 | 10,184 | 1,440 | 11,624 | 802,461 | 109,954 | 912,415 |
| 40-44 | 4,611 | 483 | 5,094 | 388,701 | 38,437 | 427,138 |
| 45-49 | 2,646 | 120 | 2,766 | 240,929 | 10,120 | 251,049 |
| 50-54 | 757 | 12 | 769 | 72,345 | 1,048 | 73,393 |
| 55-59 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 795 | 0 | 795 |
| Total | 46,249 | 5,570 | 51,819 | 3,443,134 | 405,999 | 3,849,133 |

Other Ranks

|  | Male |  |  | Female |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| Average Age Last Birthday | 33.3 |  | 32.7 |  | 33.2 |
| Average Completed Years of | 13.0 |  | 11.8 |  | 12.9 |
| Service |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Insured Benefit (\$) | 74,448 | 72,890 | 74,281 |  |  |

Table 3B
Elective Disability Participants as at 31 March 1996

| Age Last Birthday | Number |  |  | Insured Benefit (\$ thousands) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| 30-34 | 17 | 3 | 20 | 1,279 | 216 | 1,495 |
| 35-39 | 27 | 5 | 32 | 2,058 | 384 | 2,442 |
| 40-44 | 33 | 8 | 41 | 2,329 | 541 | 2,870 |
| 45-49 | 90 | 8 | 98 | 3,980 | 633 | 4,613 |
| 50-54 | 255 | 3 | 258 | 8,342 | 234 | 8,576 |
| 55-59 | 492 | 1 | 493 | 11,456 | 43 | 11,499 |
| 60-64 | 789 | 1 | 790 | 11,191 | 13 | 11,204 |
| 65-69 | 773 | 4 | 777 | 5,003 | 33 | 5,036 |
| 70-74 | 585 | 3 | 588 | 2,925 | 15 | 2,940 |
| 75-79 | 252 | 1 | 253 | 1,260 | 5 | 1,265 |
| 80-84 | 50 | 0 | 50 | 250 | 0 | 250 |
| 85-89 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| Total | 3,365 | 37 | 3,402 | 50,082 | 2,117 | 52,199 |


|  | Male |  |  | Female |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |
| Average Age Last Birthday | 63.9 |  | 49.5 |  | 63.8 |
| Average Insured Benefit (\$) | 14,883 |  | 57,219 |  | 15,344 |

Table 3C
Elective Retirement Participants as at 31 March 1996

| Age Last Birthday | Number |  |  | Insured Benefit (\$ thousands) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| 25-29 | 36 | 13 | 49 | 3,103 | 1,118 | 4,221 |
| 30-34 | 766 | 199 | 965 | 60,594 | 15,424 | 76,018 |
| 35-39 | 1,938 | 314 | 2,252 | 155,314 | 23,749 | 179,063 |
| 40-44 | 3,097 | 301 | 3,398 | 257,969 | 25,016 | 282,985 |
| 45-49 | 5,896 | 247 | 6,143 | 496,514 | 21,001 | 517,515 |
| 50-54 | 8,189 | 105 | 8,294 | 676,471 | 8,944 | 685,415 |
| 55-59 | 10,231 | 112 | 10,343 | 714,313 | 9,278 | 723,591 |
| 60-64 | 9,903 | 65 | 9,968 | 425,103 | 3,525 | 428,628 |
| 65-69 | 7,234 | 57 | 7,291 | 95,718 | 1,023 | 96,741 |
| 70-74 | 6,116 | 28 | 6,144 | 30,537 | 135 | 30,672 |
| 75-79 | 4,080 | 27 | 4,107 | 20,395 | 130 | 20,525 |
| 80-84 | 1,478 | 15 | 1,493 | 7,390 | 70 | 7,460 |
| 85-89 | 242 | 2 | 244 | 1,210 | 10 | 1,220 |
| 90-94 | 29 | 0 | 29 | 145 | 0 | 145 |
| 95-99 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| Total | 59,236 | 1,485 | 60,721 | 2,944,783 | 109,425 | 3,054,208 |


|  | Male | Female | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average Age Last Birthday | 59.3 | 45.7 | 58.9 |
| Average Insured Benefit (\$) | 49,713 | 73,687 | 50,299 |

Table 3D
Elective Participants ${ }^{1}$ Entitled to a Deferred Annuity as at 31 March 1996

| Number | Insured Benefits <br> $(\$$ thousands $)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 77 | 6,203 |

[^9]
[^0]:    1 Any reference to a given plan year in this report should be taken as the 12 -month period ending 31 March of the given year.

[^1]:    1 See Appendix 1 (starting on page 20) for the definition of the various categories of participants.

[^2]:    1 The multiplying factor actually used for one half year of interest is $\left[(1+y)^{1 / 2}-1\right]$, where "y" corresponds to the yield projected for the plan year.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Assumed to be effective as at 1 April and exclusive of the seniority and promotional increases.
    ${ }^{2}$ Salary increases of $2.4 \%$ and $1.5 \%$ were actually granted to Officers and Other Ranks, respectively, as at 1 April 1997.

[^4]:    1 If it were not for the rounding to the next lower multiple of $\$ 250$ of salary involved in the computation of contributions and the rounding to the next higher multiple of $\$ 250$ involved in the computation of the amount of insurance (twice the salary), the legislated contribution rate would be $\$ 0.10$ (i.e. $\$ 0.20$ divided by two) instead of $\$ 0.099$.

[^5]:    1 Expressed in completed years.

[^6]:    1 Expressed in completed years.

[^7]:    1 Expressed in completed years.

[^8]:    1 Disability is assumed to be permanent with no recovery possible.

[^9]:    1 Were disregarded for this valuation.

