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Adult and juvenile coho (Oncorhynchus kisutch) were sampled in the fall of 1988 in the Lachmach River system. Large adult and jack coho were tagged with Peterson disks and Floy anchor tags. In addition 19 large adult coho were tagged with radio transmitters in order to monitor instream movements.

Fresh water age for returning adults and juvenile coho sampled within the system could not be ascertained because the first few growth annuli of the scales could not be determined at this time. The mean length and weight for both large males and females was 690 mm and 4.1 kg respectively. The mean length and weight of jack coho was 316 mm and 0.41 kg . Movement of large adults within the system was minimal due to tagging locations. Major spawning areas were determined throughout the system. Population estimates were not possible due to poor water visibility and a very low mark rate of both large adults and jacks.

Juvenile coho were sampled from several different habitat types including riverine ponds, mainstem sites and off channel areas. Densities of juveniles varied widely between sites as did the mean lengths and weights with the overall mean length and weight being 72.3 mm and 5.46 g .

Lane, J. and B. Finnegan. 1991. Summary of fall 1988 adult and juvenile coho salmon sampling operations on the Lachmach River, British Columbia. Can. Data Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 824: 61 p.

Les saumons cohos (Oncorhynchus kisutch) adultes et juvéniles du bassin de la rivière Lachmach ont été échantillonnés à l'automne de 1988. Les adultes de grande taille et les juvéniles mâles à maturité précoce ont été étiquetés à l'aide de marques Petersen et de marques de type Floy. De plus, dix-neuf grands saumons cohos adultes ont été munis d'un émetteur radio afin de surveiller leurs mouvements dans le cours d'eau.

On n'a pu établir avec certitude l'âge auquel les saumons cohos adultes et juvéniles prélevés dans le bassin retournent en eau douce, parce qu'on ne pouvait pas encore distinguer les premiers anneaux de croissance sur les écailles. La longueur et le poids moyens des mâles et des femelles de grande taille, respectivement, étaient de 690 mm et de $4,1 \mathrm{~kg}$. La longueur et le poids moyens des saumons cohos juvéniles mâles à maturité précoce étaient de 316 mm et de $0,41 \mathrm{~kg}$. Vu le lieu du marquage, le déplacement des adultes de grande taille dans le bassin était négligeable. Des frayères importantes ont été repérés un peu partout dans le bassin. Il est impossible d'évaluer la population à cause de la turbidité de l'eau et du faible taux de marquage des adultes de grande taille et des juvéniles mâles à maturité précoce.

Des saumons cohos juvéniles ont été échantillonnés dans differents types d'habitats, notamment les étangs riverains, l'axe principal et à proximité des chenaux. La densite, la longueur et le poids moyens de juveniles ont varié considérablement d'un endroit à l'autre, la longueur et le poids moyens pour l'ensemble étant de $72,3 \mathrm{~mm}$ et de $5,46 \mathrm{~g}$.
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## INTRODUCTION

The Lachmach River is a small coastal stream approximately 8 km in length. It is located 23 km east of Prince Rupert, British Columbia at the head of Work Channel (Fig. 1). It drains a small ( $42 \mathrm{~km}_{2}$ ) watershed typified by steep mountainous sides. The western slope of the watershed was clearcut logged during the 1970's and early 1980's. The river is characterized by sections of moderate gradient in the lower 2 km and areas of riverine ponds especially in the upper reaches. It displays only limited estuarine development and supports populations of coho salmon (Oncorhynchus kisutch), pink salmon (Oncorhynchus gorbuscha), chum salmon (Onchorhynchus keta), steelhead trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss), cutthroat trout (Oncorhynchus clarki clarki), Dolly Varden char (Salvelinus malma), freshwater sculpins (Cottis sp.) and three spine stickleback (Gasterosteus aculeatus).

In 1986 the Lachmach River was chosen by the Department of Fisheries and Oceans (DFO) as a representative north coast watershed suitable for the investigation of productivity and life history of northern British Columbia coho stocks.

The data presented here are the results of an adult and juvenile coho salmon (Oncorhynchus kisutch) study on the Lachmach River conducted in the fall of 1988. The objectives were to enumerate adult coho, identify spawning areas, estimate residence time of spawners, collect basic biological data from adults and juveniles and identify off channel juvenile overwintering areas.

This work was carried out under contract by Aquatic Resources Ltd., Vancouver, British Columbia.

## METHODS

Adult coho were captured by angling or with a 30 m beach seine. Angling was done throughout the system during a variety of water levels. The beach seine was used at the 2600 meter pool only. Each coho captured was sexed, weighed measured and examined for an adipose fin clip. Five scales, three from one side, two from the other were taken from each fish for age determination.

Adults, excluding jacks, were tagged with either orange FD-68b Floy anchor tags (Floy Tag Man. Inc. Seatle Wa.) or orange Peterson disk tags and Lotek model FRT-4 radio transmitters (Lotek Engineering Inc. Aurora, Ont.).

The 17 mm wide by 60 mm long radio tags were lubricated with glycerine and inserted into the fishes stomach using a 15 mm rigid plastic tube. The 29 cm long antennae extended out of the fishes mouth about 10 cm . Each tag was wrapped with orange and green flagging tape prior to insertion to increase their visibility as an aid to recovery. Adults with floy tags were marked with a left operculum punch. Adults with radio tags were marked with a right operculum punch. Jacks were tagged with orange floy tags and a left operculum punch or with a right operculum punch only. Jacks were not radio tagged.

The location of each radio tagged fish was determined daily using a portable Lotek radio receiver. Monitoring was mainly done from a road adjacent to the stream. Each tag was tracked from 16 sites, 0.5 km apart along the road. More precise locations were determined by monitoring tag frequencies during weekly stream walks. Damage to the radio receiver on Oct. 3 prevented any radio tag monitoring until Oct. 7 , when a back up receiver was obtained. Because there were no other receivers available should the back up be damaged during use monitoring was not done during stream walks from Oct. 7 until Nov. 4 when the original was returned.

Adult enumeration involved streambank and float counts throughout the system. Float counts were only done during low flow conditions because of poor visibility during high water. The procedure consisted of one person in a dry suit using a mask and snorkel swimming a section of stream, one person in the stream to flush out fish and the other person observing from the stream bank. Standard streamwalks consisted of two to three observers walking a stretch of stream making independent counts. Both streambank and float counts were impossible in the 5000 m to 7000 m pond areas due to deep water and poor visibility. Juvenile sampling was done using $1 / 4$ inch mesh Gee minnow traps baited with salted salmon roe. Soak time was about 24 hours. Population estimates were made at the $5000 \mathrm{~m}, 7000 \mathrm{~m}$ and 3820 m ponds and the $3820 \mathrm{~m}, 3500 \mathrm{~m}$ and the 600 m off channel areas (Fig. 2). These location names refer to the distance upstream from tidal water.

Before sampling all juvenile fish were anaesthetized with 2-phenoxyethanol. All coho were measured for fork length to the nearest mm and 2 fish of every length were sampled for weight when possible. Scales for age determination were taken from the entire size range of fish lengths. The upper lobe of the caudal fin was clipped and the fish were allowed to recover before being released. All other species captured were counted and released.

Recapture for the purpose of population estimation using the Peterson method (Ricker 1975) did not occur for at least three days to reduce trap avoidance by marked fish.

All off channel areas that could be found were surveyed using baited Gee traps. Catches were identified, counted and released back into the area from which they were trapped.

All environmental data was collected at the fence site. Precipitation was measured daily using a 127 mm capacity rectangular rain gauge. Air temperatures were measured using a minimum-maximum thermometer. Water temperature was measured daily using a hand held alcohol thermometer. Water level was measured at a staff gauge on the fence abutment located at the tidal boundary.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

WEATHER AND PHYSICAL OBSERVATIONS

Total precipitation for the period of Sept. 15 to Nov. 11 was 913 mm . Peak daily precipitation occurred on Sept. 28 and Oct. 21, with 123 mm and 127 mm respectively (Fig.3). Daily water height on Lachmach River ranged from a low of 0.45 m on Oct. 11 , to a high of 1.68 m on Oct. 21 . Rising water levels closely followed daily precipitation. Peak water levels of 1.6 m and 1.68 m occurred the day after the peak precipitation days of Sept. 28 and Oct. 21 respectively.

Daily mean water temperatures declined gradually over the study period. The range was $11.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-6.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Fig. 4), the average for the study period was $8.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The rate of decline of water temperatures was arrested during periods of high precipitation (Fig. 3 and 4). A similar decrease in the daily ranges and overall mean of air temperatures also occurred (Fig. 5).

ADULT COHO

Mark and recovery samples were too small to produce a reliable population estimate using simple mark recapture methodology Streambank and float counts (Table 7) were also not sufficient to get a population estimate. However these counts were useful in locating spawning and holding areas.

A total of 58 large adult coho and 40 jacks were caught and sampled. Summary statistics for adults are given in Table 1
and Figures 6 and 7. Of the large coho 35 were males and 23 were females. There were no statistically discernable differences between the mean lengths and weights of large male and female coho. Both groups had a mean length of about 69 cm and a mean weight of about 4.1 kg . The range of lengths and weights in large males was more variable than in females. This greater variability in male sizes has also been observed in adult coho from the Cowichan River system (J. Taylor, 1987) and other systems (K. Simpson pers. comm.). Jack coho had a mean length of $31.6+-0.8 \mathrm{~cm}$. and a mean weight of $0.41+-0.04 \mathrm{~kg}$.

Regression of $\log _{10}$ weight on $\log _{10}$ length for adults and jacks shows a strong relationship between length and weight over the values sampled (Table 2 and Figs. 8-10).

The number of fish missing an adipose fin (indicating the possible presence of a CWT) was very low. Of the 98 fish examined, only 1 large male and 7 jacks had a missing adipose fin (Table 1). The low mark rate of adults is not surprising given that only 1,790 smolts were tagged in 1987 (Finnegan, Dunbrack and Simpson unpubl. data). However, 9,192 smolts were tagged in the spring of 1988 (Finnegan, unpubl. data) and a higher mark rate on jacks was expected. The low mark rate suggests that a large number of smolts left the system untagged in 1987 and 1988.

The Ageing Lab at the Pacific Biological Station was unable to resolve ages from any of the scale samples submitted. The problems were primarily due to an inability to determine the start of the first few growth annuli in the juvenile life stages. An intensive juvenile marking program planned for the spring and summer of 1989 will address this problem and these scales may be reexamined.

Tagging commenced on September 13 and ended on November 9. Twenty three of the 40 jacks and 55 of the 58 adults were tagged with orange floy anchor tags. Of the adults tagged, 32 were males and 23 were females. Within this group, 12 of the males and 7 of the females were also tagged with radio transmitters. Table 1 shows the sampling and tagging data.

Movement of the radio tagged fish was minimal (Table 8). This is probably a result of capturing the fish once they had established themselves within the system. Eleven of the tagged fish moved less than 1 km upstream or downstream from where they were tagged, 5 fish moved $1-2 \mathrm{~km}$ and 3 fish moved $2-3$ km .

Predation on spawning adults appeared to be high throughout the system. A larger number of radio tagged adults in the future should help to provide a reasonable estimate of prespawning predation.

Major spawning areas were mapped using the combination of radio tracking, stream walks and float surveys (Fig. 11). Spawning in the lower reaches appeared to be restricted to the 600 m area. Other major spawning sites were just below a falls at 2000 m and above the falls between 2400 and 2600 m . Coho also spawned at 3390 and at the confluence of the Lachmach Lake outlet stream and the Lachmach mainstem at 3820 m . The Lachmach Lake outlet stream is accessible to adults for the first 200 m , but no spawning has been observed beyond its mouth. Heavy spawning was observed from 3500 m to the outlet of the 5000 m pond. No spawning was observed in the mainstem from the 5000 m to 7000 m . This is due to the lack of suitable spawning substrate. All observed spawning above 5000 m occurred in three small tributaries (Fig. 11).

JUVENILE COHO

Juvenile sampling was conducted from Sept. 11 to Dec. 7. Sampling was primarily concentrated in off channel areas from 600 m to 3820 m . The off channel areas are mostly located on the west bank in the flood plain of the mainstem (Fig. 2). These areas are for the most part, inaccessible to adults and usually only accessible to juveniles during flooding. Mainstem ponds at 3820 m and above were also sampled.

## SITE DESCRIPTIONS

600 m off channel area. This area was created by beaver dams. It is characterized by small shallow ponds ( $<2 \mathrm{~m}$ depth, $<5 \mathrm{~m}$ width ) connected by a series of narrow, shallow ( $<0.2$ m depth, $<0.5 \mathrm{~m}$ width) channels. The pond and channels are accessible to juveniles during flooding.

3390,3500 and 3820 m off channel areas. These areas were created primarily by the damming of tributaries by beavers forming several shallow ponds and channels that may be interconnected during high water events. These could be accessible to adults during flooding, but none have been observed to date.

3820 m mainstem area. A large shallow (<3 m) riverine pond created by a landslide in the early 1970's. It is typified by logjams, large volumes of large wood debris and standing spars.

5000 m pond. A large shallow ( $<3 \mathrm{~m}$ ) riverine pond surrounded by peat bog meadows on the east bank and a strip of mature spruce forest on the west bank.

7000 m pond. An inter-connected series of shallow (<2 $m$ ) elongated riverine ponds formed by beaver damming activity. It is characterized by moderate meanders and swampy low lying peat bog meadows.

## POPULATION ESTIMATES

Population estimates for the sample sites are presented in Table 3. The greatest number of juvenile coho (4526) were found in the 3820 m mainstem area. The lowest number (167) were in the 600 m off channel area. Density estimates for juvenile coho ranged from 0.3 fish $\mathrm{M}^{-2}$ at the 5000 m pond to 5.5 fish $\mathrm{m}^{-2}$ in the 3500 m off channel area. The density estimates for the $600 \mathrm{~m}, 3500 \mathrm{~m}$ and 3820 m off channel areas are based on estimated surface area for the sites. Therefore it is possible that the density estimates for these sites could be misleading. The population and the density estimate for the 5000 m pond site may be in error also. During the recapture phase the water conditions in the pond were very poor. About 4-5 cm of ice formed on the pond surface after the traps had been set. The traps could not be recovered for 48 hours and the numbers of juvenile coho caught was considered low in comparison to the marking phase and other sampling times. The low catch numbers were probably due to a decrease in fish activity at the low water temperatures.

Summary statistics for juvenile coho are presented in table 4. The overall mean length and weight ( +-95 q C.I.) for the system was $72.3+-0.42 \mathrm{~mm}$ and $5.45+-0.302 \mathrm{~g}$ respectively. Separating by site, there appears to be 4 distinct mean length groups (Fig. 12).

1. 88 mm at 600 m
2. $68-70 \mathrm{~mm}$ at $3390 \mathrm{~m}, 3500 \mathrm{~m}, 3820 \mathrm{~m}$ off channel areas and the 3820 m mainstem area.
3. 103 mm at the 5000 m pond
4. 64 mm at the 7000 m pond

There are also 3 distinct mean weight groups of
juvenile coho (Fig. 13).

1. 6.8 g at 600 m
2. $3.0-5.5 \mathrm{~g}$ at $3390 \mathrm{~m}, 3500 \mathrm{~m}, 3820 \mathrm{~m}$, off channel areas, the 3820 m mainstem and the 7000 m pond area 3 . 9.6 g at the 5000 m pond

In comparison to other sites, juvenile coho at the 3820 m off channel area were unusually light and those in the 7000 m pond were unusually heavy for their respective lengths. The length weight relationships for the system and by sites are presented in Table 4 and Fig. 14.

These different size fish between sampling areas are reflected in the length frequency distributions. The length frequency distribution for the entire system is nearly normal with a skewness to the right (Fig. 15). This skewness is to be expected from a population of coho sampled after a summer growing season. In comparison the length frequency distributions for the sample sites show bimodal length - frequency distributions for all but the 3500 m off channel area (Figs. 16-22). The modes are:

1. $600 \mathrm{~m}-72$ and 93 mm
2. $3390 \mathrm{~m}-56$ and 75 mm
3. 3500 m off channel - 70 mm
4. 3820 m off channel - 50 and 78 mm
5. 3820 m mainstem - 55 and 84 mm
6. 5000 m pond -57 and 106 mm
7. 7000 m pond $-48,78$ and 88 mm

Presumably these varying fish sizes represent different age classes of juvenile coho. Unfortunately the problems in determining the first few growth annuli in the scales (as with the adults) prevented the determination of age structure.

The bimodal length - frequency distributions and the dissimilar mean lengths and weights of juvenile coho between sites, suggests that the size differences observed could be a result of different age classes and/or different growth rates of fish between sites. It is apparent that there are two age classes in the 5000 m pond and probably in the 7000 m pond and 3820 m mainstem area and off channel area. The different sizes of fish in the other areas could be from age or growth rate factors such as water temperature, food availability and fish densities. Future work on juvenile coho in the Lachmach River will concentrate on discerning age classes and site specific growth characteristics.
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Table 1. Sampling data from Lachmach River adult coho, fall 1988.

| Date | Length (cm) | Weight (kg) | Sex | Cond. | Tag No. | Scale N | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Adip. } \\ & \text { Clip } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SEPT. 13 | 33.8 | 0.6 | JACK | B | F08301 | B1-1 | N |
| SEPT. 13 | 33.2 | 0.45 | JACK | B | F08302 | B1-2 | N |
| SEPT. 13 | 33.8 | 0.45 | JACK | B | F08303 | B1-3 | N |
| SEPT. 13 | 35.7 | 0.7 | JACK | B | F08304 | B1-4 | N |
| SEPT. 13 | 33.8 | 0.5 | JACK | B | F08306 | B1-5 | N |
| SEPT. 15 | 30.9 | 0.5 | JACK | B | F08307 | B1-6 | N |
| SEPT. 15 | 72.5 | 4.6 | MALE | T | F08308 | B1-7 | N |
| SEPT. 15 | 52.8 | 2 | FEMALE | T | F08309 | B1-8 | N |
| SEPT. 16 | 30.9 | 0.45 | JACK | B | F08310 | B1-9 | N |
| SEPT. 16 | 28.8 | 0.35 | JACK | B | F08311 | B1-10 | N |
| SEPT. 16 | 33.9 | 0.525 | JACK | B | F08312 | B2-1 | N |
| SEPT. 18 | 64 | 3.1 | MALE | T | RADIO F088 | B2-2 | N |
| SEPT. 18 | 70.8 | 5.25 | MALE | T | F08313 | B2-3 | N |
| SEPT. 18 | 29 | 0.275 | JACK | M | F08314 | B2-4 | N |
| SEPT. 18 | 73 | 4.4 | MALE | T | F08315 | B2-5 | N |
| SEPT. 19 | 31.8 | 0.3 | JACK | T | F08316 | B2-6 | N |
| SEPT. 20 | 28.1 | 0.3 | JACK | B | F08317 | B2-7 | Y |
| SEPT. 20 | 32.5 | 0.45 | JACK | B | F08318 | B2-8 | N |
| SEPT. 20 | 33.8 | 0.55 | JACK | B | F08319 | B2-9 | N |
| SEPT. 20 | 32.8 | 0.55 | JACK | B | F08320 | B2-10 | N |
| SEPT. 20 | 35 | 0.6 | JACK | B | F08321 | B3-1 | N |
| SEPT. 20 | 27.7 | 0.325 | JACK | B | F08322 | B3-2 | N |
| SEPT. 20 | 29 | 0.275 | JACK | B | F08323 | B3-3 | N |
| SEPT. 20 | 29.5 | 0.325 | JACK | B | F08342 | B3-4 | N |
| SEPT. 21 | 64.3 | 3.5 | MALE | B | RADIO F078 | B3-5 | N |
| SEPT. 21 | 70.5 | 4.45 | MALE | T | RADIO F096 | B3-6 | N |
| SEPT. 23 | 31.4 | 0.4 | JACK | B | F08351 | B3-7 | N |
| SEPT. 23 | 72.9 | 4.95 | MALE | T | RADIO F017 | B3-8 | N |
| SEPT. 23 | 65.2 | 4.35 | FEMALE | T | F08352 | B3-9 | N |
| SEPT. 23 | 29.4 | 0.375 | JACK | T | F08353 | B3-10 | N |
| SEPT. 25 | 30.2 | 0.375 | JACK | B | F08354 | B6-1 | $Y$ |
| SEPT. 25 | 70.8 | 4.3 | FEMALE | T | RADIO F043 | B6-2 | N |
| SEPT. 25 | 73.8 | 5.6 | FEMALE | B | RADIO F023 | B6-3 | N |
| SEPT. 25 | 31.4 | 0.35 | JACK | B | F08355 | B6-4 | N |
| SEPT. 26 | 32.3 | 0.425 | JACK | T | F08356 | B6-5 | N |
| SEPT. 26 | 34.4 |  | JACK | T | F08357 | B6-6 | N |
| SEPT. 28 | 30.5 | 0.325 | JACK | T | NO TAG | B6-7 | N |
| SEPT. 28 | 33.8 | 0.475 | JACK | M | NO TAG | B6-8 | $Y$ |
| SEPT. 29 | 80.5 | 5.85 | MALE | T | F08359 | B6-9 | N |
| SEPT. 29 | 67.8 | 3.8 | FEMALE | T | F08360 | B6-10 | N |
| SEPT. 30 | 70.5 | 4.35 | MALE | T | RADIO F018 | B7-1 | N |
| OCT. 1 | 28.5 | 0.325 | JACK | B | NO tAG | B7-2 | N |
| OCT. 1 | 73.6 | 5.75 | MALE | T | F08361 | B7-3 | N |
| OCT. 3 | 70.8 | 4.65 | FEMALE | T | F08362 | B7-4 | N |
| OCT. 4 | 30.4 | 0.3 | JACK | M | NO TAG | B7-5 | N |
| OCT. 4 | 55.8 | 1.875 | MALE | M | F08363 | B7-6 | N |

Table 1 (cont'd)

| Date | Length (cm) | Weight (kg) | Sex | Cond. | Tag No. | Scale No. | Adip. <br> Clip |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OCT. 4 | 32.5 | 0.45 | JACK | M | NO TAG | B7-7 | N |
| OCT. 4 | 38.3 | 0.725 | JACK | M | NO TAG | B7-8 | Y |
| OCT. 4 | 32.3 | 0.4 | JACK | B | NO TAG | B7-9 | N |
| ОСт. 6 | 80 |  | MALE | M | RADIO F028 | B7-10 | N |
| ОСт. 6 | 26.8 | 0.25 | JACK | M |  | B9-1 | N |
| OCT. 6 | 72.9 | 5.4 | FEMALE | T | F08364 | B9-2 | N |
| OCT. 6 | 67 | 3.55 | FEMALE | T | F08365 | B9-3 | N |
| OCT. 6 | 65.8 | 3.55 | MALE | M | F08366 | B9-4 | N |
| OCT. 6 | 67.8 | 3.6 | FEMALE | T | F08367 | B9-5 | N |
| ОСт. 6 | 28.5 | 0.25 | JACK | M |  | B9-6 | Y |
| ОСТ. 6 | 68.1 | 3.4 | MALE | M | F08368 | 89-7 | N |
| ОСТ. 6 | 65.9 | 3.7 | FEMALE | T | F08370 | 89-8 | N |
| OCT. 7 | 66 | 3.3 | FEMALE | T | RADIO F049 | 89-9 | N |
| OCT. 7 | 74.9 | 5.2 | FEMALE | T | RADIO F091 | B9-10 | N |
| OCT. 7 | 71.3 | 3.95 | FEMALE | T | F08369 | B10-1 | N |
| OCT. 7 | 68.2 | 3.85 | FEMALE | S | RADIO F045 | B10-2 | N |
| OCT. 7 | 70 | 5 | MALE | M | RADIO F044 | B10-3 | N |
| ОСТ. 7 | 69.8 | 4.3 | FEMALE | T | F08371 | B10-4 | N |
| OCT. 7 | 67.7 | 3.4 | MALE | M | F08372 | B10-5 | N |
| ОСт. 7 | 74.3 | 5.375 | FEMALE | T | F08373 | B10-6 | N |
| ОСт. 7 | 68.2 | 4.35 | FEMALE | 8 | F08374 | B10-7 | N |
| ОСт. 7 | 74.6 | 4.95 | MALE | M | F08375 | B10-8 | N |
| ОСТ. 7 | 65.5 | 3.55 | FEMALE | T | F08476 | B10-9 | N |
| OCT. 7 | 79.2 | 6.1 | MALE | M | F08477 | B10-10 | N |
| OCT. 7 | 67.8 | 4.2 | FEMALE | T | F08478 | B11-1 | N |
| OCT. 8 | 68.9 | 5.05 | FEMALE | T | RADIO F046 | B11-2 | N |
| ОСт. 11 | 59.8 | 1.95 | MALE | T | F08480 | B11-3 | N |
| OCT. 11 | 64.7 | 3.25 | MALE | T | RADIO FO42 | B11-4 | N |
| OCT. 12 | 56.8 | 2.025 | MALE | M | F08483 | B11-5 | N |
| OCT. 13 | 33.3 | 0.5 | JACK | M | NO TAG | B11-6 | N |
| OCT. 14 | 82.3 | 6.4 | MALE | M | RADIO F020 | B11-7 | N |
| OСT. 14 | 72.2 | 4.4 | MALE | T | F08482 | B11-8 | N |
| OCT. 14 | 67.8 | 3.55 | FEMALE | T | F08485 | B11-9 | Y |
| OCT. 18 | 33.3 | 0.45 | JACK | M | NO tag | B11-10 | Y |
| OCT. 20 | 78.2 | 6 | MALE | M | RADIO FO43 | B12-1 | N |
| OCT. 20 | 73.6 | 4.25 | MALE | M | F08486 | B12-2 | N |
| OCT. 21 | 78.8 | 6.4 | MALE | M | F08487 | B12-3 | N |
| OCT. 21 | 59.9 | 2.35 | MALE | M | F08488 | B12-4 | N |
| OCT. 21 | 73.8 | 4.3 | MALE | T | F08489 | B12-5 | N |
| OCT. 21 | 72.5 | 4.25 | MALE | M | F08490 | B12-6 | N |
|  | 56.5 | 2.2 | MALE | M | F08491 | B12-7 | N |
| OCT. 29 | 74.5 | 4.85 | MALE | M | F08492 | 812-8 | N |
| ОСТ. 29 | 64.6 | 2.9 | MALE | S | F08493 | B12-9 | $N$ |
| OCT. 29 | 31.1 | 0.25 | JACK | M | HEAD SAMPLE | B12-10 | Y |
| OCT. 29 | 34.9 | 0.45 | JACK | M | HEAD SAMPLE | B13-1 | N |
| NOV. ${ }^{\text {I }}$ | 69 | 3.65 | FEMALE | M | RADIO F064 | B13-2 | N |
| NOV. 1 | 70.6 | 4.3 | FEMALE | T | F08494 | B13-3 | N |
| NOV. 3 | 29.9 | 0.275 | JACK | M | HEAD SAMPLE | B13-4 | N |
| NOV. 4 | 60.9 | 2.475 | MALE | M | F08495 | B13-5 | $N$ |
| NOV. 4 | 73.6 | 5.15 | MALE | M | RADIO FO2 | B13-6 | N |
| NOV. 8 | 31.1 | 0.3 | JACK | M | HEAD SAMPLE | B13-7 | N |
| NOV. 9 | 66.3 | 2.95 | MALE | M | RADIO F031 | B13-8 | N |

Table 2. Length-weight summary statistics for adult and jack coho from the Lachmach River. Fall 1988.

|  | Males | Females | Jacks |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mean Length: | $69.5+-2.4 \mathrm{~cm}$ | $68.6+-1.9 \mathrm{~cm}$ | $31.6+-0.8 \mathrm{~cm}$ |
| Min. | 55.8 cm | 52.8 cm | 26.8 cm |
| Max. | 80.5 cm | 74.9 cm | 38.3 cm |
| SD. | 7.02 | 4.42 | 2.49 |
| N | 35 | 23 | 40 |
| Mean Weight: | $4.13+-0.45 \mathrm{~kg}$ | $4.16+-0.36 \mathrm{~kg}$ | $0.41+-0.04 \mathrm{~kg}$ |
| Min. | 1.87 kg | 2.00 kg | 0.25 kg |
| Max. | 6.40 kg | 5.6 kg | 0.72 kg |
| SD. | 1.34 | 0.82 | 0.12 |

Table 3. Length - weight summary statistics for juvenile coho from sites on the Lachmach River, fall 1988.

| Location | N | Mean | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ength } \\ & +/-958 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{\text {Min. }}{ }^{\operatorname{Max} .}$ |  | SD. | N | Mean | +/-95\% | ight Min. c. | Max. | S.D. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 600 m | 223 | 87.9 | 1.4 | 52 | 110 | 10.99 | 77 | 6.78 | 0.69 | 1.09 | 13.5 | 3.08 |
| 3390 m | 338 | 69.3 | 0.2 | 48 | 113 | 11.71 | 50 | 4.64 | 0.81 | 1.15 | 15.00 | 2.93 |
| 3500 m | 1064 | 70.0 | 0.6 | 43 | 107 | 10.14 | 84 | 4.56 | 0.49 | 1.30 | 13.30 | 2.31 |
| $3820 \mathrm{~m}$ offchan. | 84 | 68.7 | 3.4 | 45 | 107 | 15.87 | 71 | 3.91 | 0.64 | 0.90 | 13.30 | 2.74 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 3820 \mathrm{~m} \\ & \text { main } \end{aligned}$ | 1474 | 68.1 | 0.9 | 41 | 117 | 16.60 | 100 | 4.80 | 0.63 | 0.75 | 16.15 | 3.20 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 5000 \mathrm{~m} \\ & \text { pond } \end{aligned}$ | 289 | 102.7 | 1.3 | 49 | 121 | 11.11 | 67 | 9.55 | 0.84 | 1.10 | 16.15 | 3.51 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 7000 \mathrm{~m} \\ & \text { pond } \end{aligned}$ | 421 | 64.0 | 1.8 | 41 | 107 | 18.37 | 82 | 5.67 | 0.71 | 0.70 | 13.30 | 3.28 |
| combined | 3893 | 72.3 | 0.40 | 41 | 121 | 13.6 | 551 | 5.46 | 0.30 | 0.70 | 16.15 | 3.54 |

Table 4. Regression equations for $\log$ weight vs. log fork length for juvenile coho from the Lachmach River, fall 1988.

| Location | Equation | $r^{2}$ adjusted | $p$ | N | S.E. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 600 m | $-5.08+3.05(\log$ length) | 0.997 | $<0.001$ | 47 | 0.02 |
| 3390 m | $-5.08+3.05$ (log length) | 0.997 | $<0.001$ | 50 | 0.02 |
| 3500 m | -5.18+2.98(log length) | 0.997 | $<0.001$ | 48 | 0.02 |
| 3820 Bm | $-5.18+3.10(\log$ length) | 0.998 | $<0.001$ | 47 | 0.02 |
| 3820 Mm | $-5.07+3.03$ (log length) | 0.999 | $<0.001$ | 62 | 0.02 |
| 5000 Pond | $-5.36+3.18(\log$ length) | 0.951 | $<0.001$ | 41 | 0.11 |
| 7000 pond | $-5.10+3.05(\log$ length) | 0.997 | $<0.001$ | 60 | 0.03 |
| Overall | -5.11+3.06(log length) | 0.992 | $<0.001$ | 355 | 0.04 |

Table 5. Mark recapture population estimates with 95\% confidence intervals for juvenile coho from sites on the Lachmach River, fall 1988.

| Locatio |  | No. Marked | No. Recap. | Marks Recap. | Area <br> Trapped | Popn. Est. |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Density } \\ \left(\mathrm{m}^{-2}\right) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\underset{\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right)}{95 \%} \text { c.I. }$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 600 \mathrm{~m} \\ & \text { of fchannel } \end{aligned}$ | 146 | - 134 | 52 | $2158^{1}$ | 1374 |  | 2.4 |  | 7,488 | 1.8,3.1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 3500 \mathrm{~m} \\ & \text { of fchannel } \end{aligned}$ | 741 | 667 | 329 | - $275^{1}$ | 11502 |  | 5.5 | 5134 | ,1679 | 4.9,6.1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 3820 \mathrm{~m} \\ & \text { off fchannel } \end{aligned}$ | 50 | 48 | 14 | $4200^{1}$ | $1 \quad 167$ |  | 0.8 |  | 7,488 | 1.4,2.4 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 3820 \mathrm{~m} \\ & \text { mainstem } \end{aligned}$ | 960 | - 550 | 116 | - 2310 | 4526 |  | 2.0 | O 378 | 0,5420 | 1.6,2.3 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 5000 \mathrm{~m} \\ & \text { pond } \end{aligned}$ | 180 | 117 | 7 | 79500 | 2670 |  | 0.3 | 3137 | 9,5621 | 0.2,0.6 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 7000 \mathrm{~m} \\ & \text { pond } \end{aligned}$ | 209 | - 269 | 56 | 6660 | 995 |  | 1.5 |  | 9,1286 | 1.2,1.9 |

[^0]Table 6. Regression equations for $\log _{(10)}$ weight vs. $\log _{(10)}$ length
for coho adults and jacks from Lachmach River, Fall 1988.

|  | Equation | $r^{2}$ adjusted | p | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | S.E. |  |  |  |
| Males: $-5.54+3.34(\log$ length $)$ | 0.92 | $<0.001$ | 34 | 0.04 |
| Females: $-4.61+2.85(\log$ length $)$ | 0.80 | $<0.001$ | 23 | 0.04 |
| Jacks: $-5.14+3.15(\log$ length $)$ | 0.72 | $<0.01$ | 39 | 0.07 |

Table 7. Adult coho float survey data, Lachmach River fall 1988.

| Date | Location | Tagged <br> Adults | Untagged <br> Adults | Tagged <br> Jacks | Untagged <br> Jacks | Water <br> Level |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| Oct. 4 | $0-4500$ | 3 | 75 | 7 | 40 | low |
| Oct. 6 | $6000-6500$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | low |
| Oct. 6 | $2800-2000$ | 2 | 47 | 2 | 11 | low |
| Oct. 12 | $500-0$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | low |
| Oct. 27 | $5000-3000$ | 4 | 45 | 0 | 12 | low |
| Oct. 29 | $3000-2000$ | 3 | 14 | 0 | 2 | moderate |

1. There was poor visibility in this part of the stream.

Table 8. Radio tracking data from the Lachmach River, fall 1988.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tag No/ } \\ & \text { Sex } \end{aligned}$ | Date <br> Tagged | Location | Tracking Dates | Tag <br> Location | Tag Recovered/ <br> Location |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F02/M | Nov. 4 | 5000 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov. } 5-11 \\ & \text { Nov. } 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5500 \\ & 6900 \end{aligned}$ | no |
| F031/M | Nov. 9 | 6300 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov. } 10-23 \\ & \text { Nov. } 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5500-6000 \\ & 6500 \end{aligned}$ | yes 6500 |
| F064/F | Nov. 1 | 6300 | Nov. 2-22 | 6000 | yes 6300 |
| FO20/M | Oct. 14 | 5000 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct. } 15-23 \\ & \text { Oct. } 24 \text { - Nov. } 2 \\ & \text { Nov. } 4 \text { - Dec. } 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5000 \\ & 4700 \\ & 3800 \end{aligned}$ | no |
| F042/m | Oct. 11 | 3820 | Oct. 12 - Nov. 1 Nov. 2 <br> Nov. 4 - 7 | $\begin{aligned} & 3500-4000 \\ & 3000 \\ & 2500 \end{aligned}$ | yes 2650 |
| F018/M | Oct. 1 | 3820 | Oct. 8 - Oct. 11 <br> Oct. 12 - Nov. 11 | $\begin{aligned} & 3500-4000 \\ & 4500 \end{aligned}$ | no |
| F023/F | Sept. 25 | 2000 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept. } 26 \text { - oct. } 1 \\ & \text { oct. } 11 \text { - oct. } 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2000-2500 \\ & 0-500 \end{aligned}$ | no |
| F043/F | Sept. 25 | 2000 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept. } 27-\text { Oct. } 1 \\ & \text { Oct. } 14-18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3000-3500 \\ & 5000 \end{aligned}$ | yes 5000 |
| F078/M | Sept. 21 | 0 | ```Sept. 22 Sept. 23 Sept. 27 - Oct.8 Oct. 10 - Oct. 28 Oct. 29- Nov. 18``` | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 2000 \\ & 2000-2500 \\ & 1500-2000 \\ & 2000 \end{aligned}$ | yes 2000 |
| F091/F | Oct. 7 | 2600 | Oct. 8 - Nov. 11 | 2500-3000 | yes 2600 |
| F088/M | Sept. 18 | 3820 | ```Sept. 20 - Oct. 1 Oct. } Oct. } Oct. 10 Oct. 11 - Nov. 11``` | $\begin{aligned} & 3500-4000 \\ & 2500-3000 \\ & 3500-4000 \\ & 2000-2500 \\ & 2000-2500 \end{aligned}$ | no |
| F049/F | Oct. 7 | 2600 | Oct. 8 - Nov. 9 | 2500-3000 | yes 3000 |
| F028/M | Oct. 6 | 6300 | ```Oct. 8-17 Oct. 18 Oct. 20 Oct. 22 - 24 Oct. 24``` | $\begin{aligned} & 6000-6500 \\ & 6750 \\ & 6200 \mathrm{Trib}^{1} \\ & 6500-7000 \\ & 7000 \mathrm{Tr}^{2} \end{aligned}$ | yes 7000 |

Table 8. (cont'd)

| Tag No. | Date <br> Tagged | Location | Tracking <br> Dates | Tag Location | Tag Recovered/ Location |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FO17/M | Sept. 23 | 3820 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept. } 26 \text { - Nov. } 2 \\ & \text { Nov. } 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3500-4000 \\ & 3820 \end{aligned}$ | yes 3820 |
| F043/M | Oct. 20 | 6300 | Oct. 21 - Oct. 23 <br> Oct. 24 - Oct. 31 <br> Oct. 31 - Nov. 11 <br> Nov. 22 | $\begin{aligned} & 6000-6500 \\ & 5000-6000 \\ & 6000-6500 \\ & 6300 \end{aligned}$ | уes 6300 |
| FO44/m | Oct. 7 | 2600 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct. } 8 \text { - Oct. } 18 \\ & \text { Oct. } 19 \text { - Nov. } 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2500-3000 \\ & 0-500 \end{aligned}$ | no |
| F096/M | Sept. 21 | 5000 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept. } 23 \text { - Oct. } 1 \\ & \text { Oct. } 17 \text { - Nov. } 2 \\ & \text { Nov. } 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5000-5500 \\ & 4500-5000 \\ & 4760 \end{aligned}$ | yes 4760 |
| F046/F | Oct. 8 | 6300 | Oct. 9-15 <br> Oct. 16 - 19 <br> oct. 20-23 <br> Oct. 24 - Nov. 11 <br> Nov. 21 | $\begin{aligned} & 6000-6500 \\ & 6500-7000 \\ & 7000 \mathrm{Trib} \\ & 6500-7000 \\ & 6900 \end{aligned}$ | yes 6900 |
| F045/F | Oct. 7 | 2600 | Oct. 8 Nov. 6 Nov. 7 | $\begin{aligned} & 2000-3000 \\ & 2550 \end{aligned}$ | уеs 2550 |

[^1]


Figure 3. Dally precipliation and water height at the Lachmach River fonce site, fall 1888.

-

Figure 4. Mean dally water temperatures at the Lachmach River tence site, fall 1888.


Figure 5. Dally maximum and minimum air temperatures at the Lachmach River fence slie, fall 1988.



Figure 6. Mean fork lengths with $95 x$ confidence intervals of adult coho from the Lachmach River, fall 1988.


Figure 7. Mean weights with $95 z$ confidence intervals of adult and jack coho from the Lachmach River, fall 1988.


Figure 8. $\log _{(10)}-\log _{(10)}$ regression of weight and length of adult male coho from the Lachmach River, fall 1988.


Figure 9. $\log _{(10)}-\log _{(10)}$ regression of weight and length of adult female coho from the Lachmach River, fall 1988.


Figure 10. $\log _{(10)}-\log _{(10)}$ regression of weight and length for jack coho from the Lachmach River, fall 1988.



Figure 12. Mean lengths with $95 \pi$ confidence intervals for juvenile coho from the Lachmach River, fall 1988.


Figure 13. Mean weights with $95 \%$ confidence intervats for juvenile coho from the Lachmach River, fall 1988.


Figure 14. $\log _{(10)^{-L o g}}^{(10)}$ regression of weight and length of juvenile coho from the Lachmach River, fall 1988.

Flgure 15. Length frequencles of Juvenlle coho from the Lachmach River system, fall 1888.


Flgure 16. Length frequencles of juvenile coho from the 800 m


Flgure 17. Length frequencles of juvenlle coho from the
3380 m off channel area of the Lachmach River, fall 1988.


Figure 18. Length frequencies of Juvenlle coho from the
3500 m off channel area of the Lachmach River, fall 1988.


Figure 18. Length frequencles of juvenlle coho from the
3820 m off channel area of the Lachmach River, fall 1988.


Figure 20. Length frequencies of juvenille coho from the
3820 m area of the Lachmach River, fall 1988.


Flgure 21. Length irequencles of juvenlle coho from the 5000 m pond area of the Lachmach River, fall 1988.


Figure 22. Length frequencles of juvenile coho from the 7000 m pond area of the Lachmach River, fall 1988.



[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Estimated area

[^1]:    1 Tributary entering mainstem pond at 6200 m from fence site.
    2 Tributary entering mainstem pond at 7000 m from fence site.

