



Scientific Excellence • Resource Protection & Conservation • Benefits for Canadians  
Excellence scientifique • Protection et conservation des ressources • Bénéfices aux Canadiens

## Trawl catch statistics from seven sockeye rearing lakes of the Fraser River drainage basin: 1991

C.W. Mueller, H.J. Enzenhofer and J.M.B. Hume

Biological Sciences Branch  
Department of Fisheries and Oceans  
Cultus Lake Salmon Research Laboratory  
Cultus Lake, British Columbia, V0X 1H0

1992

**Canadian Data Report of  
Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 881**



Fisheries  
and Oceans

Pêches  
et Océans

Canada

## **Canadian Data Report of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences**

Data reports provide a medium for filing and archiving data compilations where little or no analysis is included. Such compilations commonly will have been prepared in support of other journal publications or reports. The subject matter of data reports reflects the broad interests and policies of the Department of Fisheries and Oceans, namely, fisheries and aquatic sciences.

Data reports are not intended for general distribution and the contents must not be referred to in other publications without prior written authorization from the issuing establishment. The correct citation appears above the abstract of each report. Data reports are abstracted in *Aquatic Sciences and Fisheries Abstracts* and indexed in the Department's annual index to scientific and technical publications.

Numbers 1-25 in this series were issued as Fisheries and Marine Service Data Records. Numbers 26-160 were issued as Department of Fisheries and the Environment, Fisheries and Marine Service Data Reports. The current series name was introduced with the publication of report number 161.

Data reports are produced regionally but are numbered nationally. Requests for individual reports will be filled by the issuing establishment listed on the front cover and title page. Out-of-stock reports will be supplied for a fee by commercial agents.

## **Rapport statistique canadien des sciences halieutiques et aquatiques**

Les rapports statistiques servent à classer et à archiver les compilations de données pour lesquelles il y a peu ou point d'analyse. Ces compilations auront d'ordinaire été préparées à l'appui d'autres publications ou rapports. Les sujets des rapports statistiques reflètent la vaste gamme des intérêts et des politiques du ministère des Pêches et des Océans, c'est-à-dire les sciences halieutiques et aquatiques.

Les rapports statistiques ne sont pas destinés à une vaste distribution et leur contenu ne doit pas être mentionné dans une publication sans autorisation écrite préalable de l'établissement auteur. Le titre exact paraît au-dessus du résumé de chaque rapport. Les rapports statistiques sont résumés dans la revue *Résumés des sciences aquatiques et halieutiques*, et ils sont classés dans l'index annuel des publications scientifiques et techniques du Ministère.

Les numéros 1 à 25 de cette série ont été publiés à titre de relevés statistiques, Services des pêches et de la mer. Les numéros 26 à 160 ont été publiés à titre de rapports statistiques du Service des pêches et de la mer, ministère des Pêches et de l'Environnement. Le nom actuel de la série a été établi lors de la parution du numéro 161.

Les rapports statistiques sont produits à l'échelon régional, mais numérotés à l'échelon national. Les demandes de rapports seront satisfaites par l'établissement auteur dont le nom figure sur la couverture et la page du titre. Les rapports épuisés seront fournis contre rétribution par des agents commerciaux.

Canadian Data Report of  
Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 881

1992

TRAWL CATCH STATISTICS FROM SEVEN SOCKEYE  
REARING LAKES OF THE FRASER RIVER DRAINAGE BASIN: 1991

by

C.W. Mueller, H.J. Enzenhofer and J.M.B. Hume

Biological Sciences Branch  
Department of Fisheries and Oceans  
Cultus Lake Salmon Research Laboratory  
Cultus Lake, British Columbia, V0X 1H0

(c) Minister of Supply and Services Canada 1992  
Cat. No. Fs 97-13/881E ISSN 0706-6465

Correct citation for this publication:

Mueller, C.W., H.J. Enzenhofer and J.M.B. Hume. 1992. Trawl  
catch statistics from seven sockeye rearing lakes of the  
Fraser River drainage basin: 1991: Can. Data Rep. Fish.  
Aquat Sci. 881:40p.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT . . . . .	iv
INTRODUCTION . . . . .	1
METHODS . . . . .	1
RESULTS . . . . .	2
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS . . . . .	3
REFERENCES . . . . .	3
FIGURE 1 . . . . .	4
TABLE 1 . . . . .	5
TAKLA LAKE . . . . .	6
TREMBLEUR LAKE . . . . .	10
STUART LAKE . . . . .	14
FRASER LAKE . . . . .	18
QUESNEL LAKE . . . . .	22
CHILKO LAKE . . . . .	26
CULTUS LAKE . . . . .	34

## ABSTRACT

Mueller, C. W., H. J. Enzenhofer, and J.M.B. Hume. 1992. Trawl catch statistics from seven sockeye rearing lakes of the Fraser River drainage basin: 1991. Can. Data Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 881:40p.

In 1991 we collected pelagic fish stock information using mid-water trawls from seven sockeye rearing lakes of the Fraser River drainage basin. The seven lakes are Takla, Trembleur, Stuart, Fraser, Quesnel, Chilko, and Cultus. After at least one month in formalin, each sample was measured and weighed. Summary statistics were calculated and length frequencies were plotted by survey and species. The results are presented in tables and graphs.

Fall size of age-0 sockeye was smallest in Chilko (2.7g) and Takla (2.3g) lakes, mid-range in Trembleur, Stuart, Fraser and Quesnel lakes (3.1g to 3.5g), and largest in Cultus Lake (4.1g). In Chilko Lake where biweekly or monthly samples were taken from May to September there was no significant growth until mid July when mean weight increased from less than 0.4g to 0.8g.

## RÉSUMÉ

Mueller, C. W., H. J. Enzenhofer, and J.M.B. Hume. 1992. Trawl catch statistics from seven sockeye rearing lakes of the Fraser River drainage basin: 1991. Can. Data Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 881:40p.

En 1991, nous avons recueilli des données sur des stocks de poissons pélagiques capturés au moyen de chaluts flottants dans sept lacs de croissance de saumons rouges du bassin du Fraser, soit les lacs Takla, Trembleur, Stuart, Fraser, Quesnel, Chilko et Cultus. Après avoir passé au moins un mois dans la Formaline, les spécimens ont été mesurés et pesés. Nous avons fait des calculs statistiques, puis tracé des graphiques des fréquences de longueurs par relevé et par espèce. Les résultats sont présentés sous forme de tableaux et de graphiques.

La taille des saumons rouges dans leur premier automne était la plus petite dans les lacs Chilko (2,3 g) et Takla (2,3 g), moyenne dans les lacs Trembleur, Stuart, Fraser et Quesnel (3,2 à 3,5 g) et la plus grande dans le lac Cultus (4,1 g). Dans le lac Chilko, où des échantillonnages ont été effectués aux deux semaines ou une fois par mois de mai à septembre, les poissons ont connu une très faible croissance jusqu'à la mi-juillet, où leur poids moyen est alors passé de moins de 0,4 g à 0,8 g.

Trawl catch statistics from seven sockeye rearing lakes  
of the Fraser River drainage basin: 1991.

## INTRODUCTION

The Fraser River drainage basin is one of the world's major salmonid systems and is the worlds largest single river producer of sockeye salmon (*Oncorhynchus nerka*) (Northcote and Larkin 1989). Within this watershed there are 16 nursery lakes for juvenile sockeye: Takla, Trembleur, Stuart, Francois, Fraser, Quesnel, Chilko, Adams, Shuswap, Seton, Anderson, Lillooet, Pitt, Harrison, Cultus, and N. Barriere. (Fig. 1)

In 1991, staff of the Department of Fisheries and Oceans periodically collected hydroacoustic density estimates and mid-water trawl samples from nine of these lakes. The lakes surveyed were: Takla, Trembleur, Stuart, Fraser, Quesnel, Chilko, and Cultus. Shuswap Lake was also surveyed. Results of the Shuswap Lake trawl surveys are reported in Enzenhofer et al. (1992).

The samples collected during this period will contribute toward determining the carrying capacity of these and other comparable interior lakes. Along with other data these results will be also used for examining in-lake factors (ie. food supply) which may contribute to cyclic dominance, and will be used in evaluating the effectiveness of various enhancement activities under consideration. These include spawning channels, lake fertilization, and increased escapement through experimental management of the fishery. Data is also used for estimating the number of returning adults to these lakes.

## METHODS

Each study lake was divided into trawl sample areas which contained 2 or 3 randomly selected hydroacoustic transects perpendicular to shore (ie. Fig. 2). These areas and transects remained the same for each survey. Methods used to estimate fish densities using acoustic and trawl results are described in Nunnalee (1973) and in Burzynski and Johnson (1986). Trawl samples were captured using a 3m by 7m midwater trawl capable of fishing to 64m at the middle of the mouth opening. The trawl net is described in Enzenhofer and Hume (1989). The depth and duration of the trawl were determined by visually estimating density from the acoustic echogram. Normally only one tow per area was done, but if the echogram showed that the fish were stratified into layers, then a trawl was done through each layer. A dip net was used to capture on shore fry in Chilko Lake.

Each catch was anaesthetized in a 1% solution of 2-phenoxyethanol to prevent them from regurgitating their stomach contents and then preserved in 10% formalin. Each trawl catch was labelled and a trawl log was kept recording survey, tow, area, date, time, duration of trawl, depth, and weather. The total catch from each trawl was preserved.

The catch was left for at least one month to stabilize fish size before measuring (Rogers 1964). Fish were weighed to 0.01g using a Mettler PN 1210 electronic balance and measured to the nearest mm. Scales and the anterior

portion of the stomach were removed from a maximum of 20 sockeye fry per trawl for aging and diet analysis. Each fish was numbered consecutively to reference the stomach and scale information to fish size and to aid in error checking.

Raw data were entered into a Lotus 123 spreadsheet for statistical analysis. Each survey was entered as a separate file and was organized for analysis by tow, area and species. Sockeye were divided into age-0, age-1 and age-2+. These categories were determined from the scale aging analysis, length frequency distributions, and somewhat arbitrarily by time of year based on fry emergence. Age-0 are fry from the current year's emergence and have not been in the lake over the winter. Age-1 are from the previous year's emergence and have been in the lake over 1 winter. Age-2+ are determined to have been in the lake for at least 2 winters and may also include older kokanee (landlocked *O. nerka*).

After numbers were entered, length frequencies were plotted by survey number and species. Summary statistics (n, maximum, minimum, mean, standard deviation and variance) were calculated for length and weight by survey number and species and then by tow number and species.

## RESULTS

In all 22 surveys and 62 tows were carried out. A total of 2617 sockeye (2568 age-0, 43 age-1, 6 age-2+), 1 chinook (*O. tshawytscha*), 3 chum (*O. keta*), 23 stickleback (*G. aculeatus*), 18 sculpin (*Cottus asper*), 36 cyprinidae, 4 whitefish (*P. williamsoni*), and 1 other were sampled. The results are presented in a tow log summarizing the catch and conditions of capture; a table summarizing the data by survey; a table summarizing the data by individual trawl; and a length frequency graph for each survey.

Fall size of age-0 sockeye was smallest in Chilko (2.7g) and Takla (2.3g) lakes, mid-range in Trembleur, Stuart, Fraser and Quesnel lakes (3.1g to 3.5g), and largest in Cultus Lake (4.1g). In Chilko Lake where biweekly or monthly samples were taken from May to September there was no significant growth until mid July when mean weight increased from less than 0.4g to 0.8g.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We wish to acknowledge the efforts of Ken Morton as well as Canadian Bionsonics staff Bob Johnson, Brock Stables and Itsuo Yesaki in the collection and analysis of these data. We also wish to thank Vivian Ogilvie for assistance in analysis and editing of this report.

#### REFERENCES

- Burzynski, J.J., and R.L. Johnson. 1986. Application of dual-beam acoustic survey techniques to limnetic populations of juvenile sockeye salmon (*O. nerka*). Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 43:1776-1788.
- Enzenhofer, H.J., and J.M.B. Hume. 1989. Simple closing midwater trawl for small boats. N. Amer. J. Fish. Man. 9:372-377.
- Enzenhofer, H.J., C.W. Mueller, and J.M.B. Hume. 1992. Trawl catch statistics in Shuswap Lake, 1987 - 1991. Can. D. Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci. in publication.
- Northcote, T.G., and P.E. Larkin. 1989. The Fraser River: a major salmonine production system. In D.P. Dodge [ed.] Proceedings of the International Large River Symposium. Can. Spec. Publ. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 106: 172-204.
- Nunnallee, E.P. 1973. A hydroacoustic data acquisition and digital analysis system for the assessment of fish stock abundance. University of Washington. Fisheries Research Institute. Circular 73-3.
- Rogers, D.E. 1964. Variability in measurement of length and weight of juvenile sockeye salmon and three spine stickleback. Fisheries Research Institute, College of Fisheries, University of Washington, Circular No. 224: 1-34.




Fig. 1. Map of the Fraser River drainage basin showing lakes surveyed.

TABLE 1. Code number explanation for tow summary.

SKY:	0 = NO OBSERVATION	LIGHT:	0 = NO OBSERVATION	WIND:	0 = NO WIND
1	= 10% CLOUD COVER	1	= DAYLIGHT	1	= NE
2	= 50% CLOUD COVER	2	= TWILIGHT	2	= EAST
3	= > 50% CLOUD COVER	3	= DARK	3	= SE
4	= FOG OR HAZE	4	= MODERATE MOONLIGHT	4	= SOUTH
5	= INTERMITTENT RAIN	5	= BRIGHT MOONLIGHT	5	= SW
6	= CONTINUOUS RAIN			6	= WEST
7	= SNOW, RAIN OR BOTH			7	= NW
8	= HAIL			8	= NORTH
9	= THUNDERSTORM			9	= NO OBSERV




Fig. 2. Map of Takla Lake showing areas and transects.

Table 2a - Tow summary for Takla Lake

SURVEY #	SAMPLE DATE	TOW	SAMPLE AREA	DURATION TIME	DEPTH (min)	SKY CODE	LIGHT CODE	WIND DIR	SURFACE TEMP (C)	CATCH
				(min)	(m)					
9130	SEP 18/91	910087	3	21:51	20	15				9 AGE 0
	SEP 19/91	910088	4	1:29	20	15				51 AGE 0
										1 CHINOOK
	SEP 19/91	910089	6	22:29	20	15				2 AGE 0
	SEP 20/91	910090	5	00:50	20	15				30 AGE 0

Table 2b - Trawl statistics by survey for Takla Lake

SURVEY#	DATES	CATCH		LENGTH (mm)			WEIGHT (g)					
		SPECIES	N	MEAN	MAX	MIN	S.D.	VAR	MEAN	MAX	S.D.	
9130	SEP 18-20/91	AGE 0 CHINOOK	92 1	56.28 44.00	80 44	30 44	10.37 0.00	107.46 0.00	2.28 0.83	6.03 0.83	0.32 0.00	1.37 0.00

Table 2c - Trawl statistics by tow for Takla Lake

AREA	DATE	TRAWL TOW	DEPTH (m)	DURATION (min)	CATCH		LENGTH (mm)			WEIGHT (g)			
					SPECIES	N	MEAN	MAX	MIN	S.D.	VAR	MEAN	MAX
<b>SURVEY # 9130</b>													
3	SEP 18/91	910087	15	20	AGE 0	9	62.33	78	38	11.64	135.50	3.20	6.03
4	SEP 19/91	910088	15	20	AGE 0	51	57.29	78	39	10.11	102.21	2.40	5.80
					CHINOOK	1	44.00	44	0.00	0.00	0.83	0.83	0.00
6	SEP 19/91	910089	15	20	AGE 0	2	45.50	51	40	7.78	60.50	1.02	1.47
5	SEP 20/91	910090	15	20	AGE 0	30	53.47	80	30	9.60	92.12	1.88	5.89




Fig. 3. Sockeye (*O. nerka*) length frequencies in Takla Lake.




Fig. 4. Map of Trembleur Lake showing areas and transects.

Table 3a - Tow summary for Trembleur Lake

Table 3b - Trawl statistics by survey for Trembleur Lake

SURVEY#	DATES	CATCH		LENGTH (mm)			WEIGHT (g)						
		SPECIES	N	MEAN	MAX	MIN	S.D.	VAR	MEAN	MAX	MIN	S.D.	VAR
9129	SEP 16-17/91	AGE 0	76	67.92	82	47	6.96	48.47	3.70	6.74	1.19	1.22	1.49
		AGE 2+	1	213.00	213	213	0.00	0.00	11.48	11.48	0.00	0.00	0.00

Table 3c - Trawl statistics by tow for Trembleur Lake

AREA	DATE	TRAWL	DEPTH (m)	DURATION (min)	CATCH		LENGTH (mm)			WEIGHT (g)						
					SPECIES	N	MEAN	MAX	MIN	S.D.	VAR	MEAN	MAX			
<b>SURVEY # 9129</b>																
1	SEP 16/91	910083	15	10	AGE 0	7	69.00	78	60	5.74	33.00	3.81	5.91	2.54	1.13	1.27
2	SEP 17/91	910084	15	10	AGE 0	1	71.00	71	0.00	0.00	4.02	4.02	4.02	0.00	0.00	
2	SEP 17/91	910085	21	10	AGE 0	68	67.76	82	47	7.14	51.02	3.69	6.74	1.19	1.25	1.55
3	SEP 17/91	910086	15	20	AGE 2+	1	213.00	213	213	0.00	0.00	11.48	11.48	11.48	0.00	0.00
<b>NONE</b>																




Fig. 5. Sockeye (*O. nerka*) length frequencies in Trembleur Lake.




Fig. 6. Map of Stuart Lake showing areas and transects.

Table 4a - Tow summary for Stuart Lake

Table 4b - Trawl statistics by survey for Stuart Lake

SURVEY#	DATES	CATCH		LENGTH (mm)				WEIGHT (g)					
		SPECIES	N	MEAN	MAX	MIN	S.D.	VAR	MEAN	MAX	MIN	S.D.	VAR
9131	SEP 21-23/91	AGE 0	51	64.02	82	48	7.15	51.06	3.14	5.77	1.39	1.06	1.12
		OTHER	1	48.00	48	48	0.00	0.00	1.24	1.24	0.00	0.00	0.00
		SCULPIN	1	30.00	30	30	0.00	0.00	0.32	0.32	0.00	0.00	0.00
		WHITEFISH	2	75.50	77	74	2.12	4.50	4.55	4.57	4.53	0.03	0.00

Table 4c - Trawl statistics by tow for Stuart Lake

AREA	DATE	TRAWL	TOW	DEPTH (m)	DURATION (min)	CATCH		LENGTH (mm)				WEIGHT (g)			
						SPECIES	N	MEAN	MAX	MIN	S.D.	VAR	MEAN	MAX	MIN
<b>SURVEY # 9131</b>															
1	SEP 21/91	910091	21			AGE 0	5	67.90	73	62	3.96	15.66	3.72	4.70	2.66
						WHITEFISH	2	75.50	77	74	2.12	4.50	4.55	4.57	0.03
2	SEP 22/91	910092	15			AGE 0	20	68.47	82	55	7.05	49.76	5.77	2.08	1.11
3	SEP 22/91	910093	27			AGE 0	20	64.00	64	64	0.00	0.00	2.64	2.64	0.00
						SCULPIN	1	30.00	30	30	0.00	0.00	0.32	0.32	0.00
4	SEP 23/91	910094	21			AGE 0	20	59.04	70	48	5.04	24.41	4.19	1.39	0.66
						OTHER	1	48.00	48	48	0.00	0.00	1.24	1.24	0.00




Fig. 7. Sockeye (*O. nerka*) length frequencies in Stuart Lake.




Fig. 8. Map of Fraser Lake showing areas and transects.

Table 5a - Tow summary for Fraser Lake

SURVEY #	SAMPLE DATE	TOW	AREA	SAMPLE TIME	DURATION (min)	DEPTH (m)	SKY CODE	LIGHT CODE	WIND DIR	SURFACE TEMP (C)	CATCH
9128	SEP 13/91	910080	3	22:58	7	15				25	AGE 0
	SEP 14/91	910081	2	1:17	5	15				1	AGE 1
	SEP 14/91	910082	1	4:12	10	9				149	AGE 0
										13	AGE 0

Table 5b - Trawl statistics by survey for Fraser Lake

SURVEY#	DATES	CATCH		LENGTH (mm)				WEIGHT (g)					
		SPECIES	N	MEAN	MAX	MIN	S.D.	VAR	MEAN	MAX	MIN	S.D.	VAR
9128	SEP 13-14/91	AGE 0	187	65.76	85	40	8.00	63.96	3.40	8.55	0.73	1.32	1.74
		AGE 1	1	184.00	184	184	0.00	0.00	91.51	91.51	91.51	0.00	0.00

Table 5c - Trawl statistics by tow for Fraser Lake

AREA	DATE	TRAWL	TOW DEPTH (m)	DURATION (min)	CATCH		LENGTH (mm)				WEIGHT (g)			
					SPECIES	N	MEAN	MAX	MIN	S.D.	VAR	MEAN	MAX	MIN
SURVEY # 9128														
3	SEP 13/91	910080	15	7	AGE 0	25	68.84	85	48	8.74	76.39	4.05	8.55	1.29
					AGE 1	1	184.00	184	184	0.00	0.00	91.51	91.51	0.00
2	SEP 14/91	910081	15	5	AGE 0	149	65.28	82	40	7.74	60.00	3.30	7.39	0.73
1	SEP 14/91	910082	9	10	AGE 0	13	65.38	81	50	8.70	75.76	3.21	6.30	1.30




Fig. 9. Sockeye (*O. nerka*) length frequencies in Fraser Lake.




Fig. 10. Map of Quesnel Lake showing areas and transects.

Table 6a - Tow summary for Quesnel Lake

SURVEY #	SAMPLE DATE	TOW	AREA	SAMPLE TIME	DURATION (min)	DEPTH (m)	SKY CODE	LIGHT CODE	WIND DIR	SURFACE TEMP (C)	CATCH
9119	AUG 13/91	910059	4	22:36	5	18					128 AGE 0
	AUG 13/91	910060	3		2	11					10 AGE 0
	AUG 15/91	910061	5	23:33	10	11					13 AGE 0
	AUG 16/91	910062	2	1:37	10	18					59 AGE 0
9125	OCT 23/91	910071	3	19:40	105	11	1	3	SE		31 AGE 0
	OCT 24/91	910072	6	21:30	120	46	1	4			13 AGE 0

Table 6b - Trawl statistics by survey for Quesnel Lake

SURVEY#	DATES	CATCH		LENGTH (mm)				WEIGHT (g)					
		SPECIES	N	MEAN	MAX	MIN	S.D.	VAR	MEAN	MAX	MIN	S.D.	VAR
9119	AUG 12-16/91	AGE 0	210	54.32	68	32	6.83	46.66	1.68	3.20	0.32	0.59	0.34
9125	OCT 21-26/91	AGE 0	44	69.02	81	39	7.95	63.23	3.49	5.72	0.58	1.12	1.26

Table 6c - Trawl statistics by tow for Quesnel Lake

AREA	DATE	TRAWL		SPECIES	N	LENGTH (mm)				WEIGHT (g)				
		TOW	DEPTH			CATCH	MEAN	MAX	MIN	S.D.	VAR	MEAN	MAX	S.D.
<b>SURVEY # 9119</b>														
4	AUG 13/91	910059	18	AGE 0	5	128	53.24	65	32	6.95	48.33	1.55	2.86	0.32
3	AUG 13/91	910060	11	AGE 0	2	10	55.20	63	50	4.76	22.62	1.71	2.44	1.23
5	AUG 15/91	910061	11	AGE 0	10	13	50.15	61	35	6.49	42.14	1.34	2.23	0.44
2	AUG 16/91	910062	18	AGE 0	10	59	57.42	68	43	5.80	33.70	2.02	3.20	0.82
<b>SURVEY # 9125</b>														
3	OCT 23/91	910071	11	AGE 0	105	31	68.16	80	39	7.94	63.01	3.30	5.28	0.58
6	OCT 24/91	910072	46	AGE 0	120	13	71.08	81	55	7.91	62.58	3.93	5.72	1.88





Fig. 11. Sockeye (*O. nerka*) length frequencies in Quesnel Lake.




Fig. 12. Map of Chilko Lake showing areas and transects.

Table 7a - Tow summary for Chilko Lake

SURVEY #	SAMPLE DATE	TOW	AREA	SAMPLE TIME	DURATION (min)	DEPTH (m)	SKY CODE	LIGHT CODE	WIND DIR	SURFACE TEMP (C)	CATCH	
9105	MAY 21/91	910007	1								23 AGE 0	
	MAY 21/91	910008	1								20 AGE 0	
	MAY 22/91	910009	4								5 AGE 0	
	MAY 21/91	910010	2								5 AGE 0	
9106	MAY 30/91	910013	1				DIP NET		2		72 AGE 0	
	JUN 1/91	910014	2				DIP NET		1		11 AGE 0	
9108	JUN 4/91	910015	2				DIP NET		3		29 AGE 0	
	JUN 5/91	910016	1				DIP NET		1		9 AGE 0	
	JUN 5/91	910017	1				DIP NET		1		26 AGE 0	
9109	JUN 13/91	910018	1				DIP NET		3	1	25 AGE 0	
	JUN 14/91	910019	1				DIP NET		3	1	36 AGE 0	
9110	JUN 18/91	910020	2				DIP NET		2	1	2 AGE 0	
	JUN 18/91	910021	3				DIP NET		1	1	12 AGE 0	
	JUN 19/91	910022	1				DIP NET		3	1	2 WHITEFISH	
	JUN 19/91	910023	1				DIP NET		3	1	46 AGE 0	
											23 AGE 0	
9111	JUN 24/91	910029	4				DIP NET				31 AGE 0	
	JUN 26/91	910030	3				DIP NET		3	1	0	2 AGE 0
	JUN 26/91	910031	4				DIP NET		3	1	0	51 AGE 0
	JUN 28/91	910032	1				DIP NET		1	1		4 AGE 0
	JUN 28/91	910033	1				DIP NET		1	1		93 AGE 0
	JUN 28/91	910034	1				DIP NET		1	1		51 AGE 0
	JUN 28/91	910035	1				DIP NET		1	1		3 CYPRINIDAE
	JUN 28/91	910036	1				DIP NET		1	1		89 AGE 0
	JUN 28/91	910037	1				DIP NET		1	1		31 CYPRINIDAE
	JUN 28/91	910038	1				DIP NET		1	1		89 AGE 0
9112	JUL 3/91	910039	4				DIP NET		1	1		69 AGE 0
	JUL 3/91	910040	2				DIP NET		1	1		40 AGE 0
	JUL 5/91	910041	0				DIP NET		3	1		48 AGE 0
9115	JUL 8/91	910042	2				DIP NET		1	1		32 AGE 0
	JUL 8/91	910043	4				DIP NET		1	1		61 AGE 0
	JUL 8/91	910044	1				DIP NET		1	1		78 AGE 0
9116	JUL 19/91	910058	1				DIP NET					86 AGE 0
9120	AUG 19/91	910063	2	0:40	20	11						82 AGE 0
9122	SEP 10/91	910066	3	23:16	20	11-18	1	3	0			125 AGE 0
	SEP 11/91	910067	1	20:50	20	11	2	3				229 AGE 0

Table 7b - Trawl statistics by survey for Chilko Lake

SURVEY#	DATES	CATCH			LENGTH (mm)			WEIGHT (g)					
		SPECIES	N	MEAN	MAX	MIN	S.D.	VAR	MEAN	MAX	MIN	S.D.	VAR
9105	MAY 20-24/91	AGE 0	53	27.91	34	21	2.22	4.93	0.22	0.44	0.14	0.07	0.00
9106	MAY 27- JUN 1/91	AGE 0	83	27.35	33	24	1.74	3.01	0.16	0.38	0.09	0.05	0.00
9108	JUN 3-7/91	AGE 0	64	28.75	34	24	2.06	4.25	0.23	0.38	0.12	0.05	0.00
9109	JUN 10-14/91	AGE 0	61	27.72	34	25	1.99	3.97	0.18	0.34	0.12	0.04	0.00
9110	JUN 17-21/91	AGE 0	83	30.46	45	23	3.78	14.30	0.29	1.01	0.11	0.15	0.02
		WHITEFISH	2	69.50	74	65	6.36	40.50	3.29	3.71	2.86	0.60	0.36
9111	JUN 24-28/91	AGE 0	410	32.85	45	24	3.44	11.81	0.37	1.06	0.10	0.14	0.02
		CYPRINIDAE	34	19.26	25	9	3.43	11.78	0.09	0.22	0.01	0.04	0.00
9112	JUL 1-5/91	AGE 0	157	32.22	47	25	3.24	10.47	0.36	1.24	0.16	0.14	0.02
9115	JUL 8-12/91	AGE 0	171	32.72	44	26	3.10	9.61	0.36	1.00	0.17	0.12	0.02
9116	JUL 15-19/91	AGE 0	86	41.21	48	33	2.97	8.85	0.81	1.24	0.44	0.18	0.03
9120	AUG 19-23/91	AGE 0	82	51.66	62	32	6.05	36.57	1.46	2.19	0.32	0.46	0.21
9122	SEP 9-13/91	AGE 0	354	63.10	76	32	7.08	50.08	2.66	4.58	0.43	0.75	0.56

Table 7c - Trawl statistics by tow for Chilko Lake

AREA	DATE	TRawl		CATCH		LENGTH (mm)			WEIGHT (g)							
		Tow	Depth (m)	Duration (min)	Species	N	Mean	Max	Min	S.D.	Mean	Max	Min	S.D.	Var	
<b>SURVEY # 9105</b>																
1	MAY 21/91	910007	1	1	AGE 0	23	28.00	30	25	1.48	2.18	0.21	0.28	0.16	0.03	0.00
1	MAY 21/91	910008	1	1	AGE 0	20	27.15	33	21	2.58	6.66	0.19	0.30	0.14	0.05	0.00
4	MAY 22/91	910009	1	1	AGE 0	5	29.20	34	26	3.11	9.70	0.27	0.44	0.20	0.10	0.01
2	MAY 21/91	910010	1	1	AGE 0	5	29.20	31	26	1.92	3.70	0.32	0.42	0.16	0.10	0.01
<b>SURVEY # 9106</b>																
1	MAY 30/91	910013	1	1	AGE 0	72	26.96	31	24	1.27	1.62	0.14	0.17	0.09	0.02	0.00
2	JUN 1/91	910014	1	1	AGE 0	11	29.91	33	27	2.21	4.89	0.25	0.38	0.16	0.07	0.01
<b>SURVEY # 9108</b>																
2	JUN 4/91	910015	1	1	AGE 0	29	29.10	34	26	1.97	3.88	0.25	0.33	0.15	0.04	0.00
1	JUN 5/91	910016	1	1	AGE 0	9	28.56	31	25	1.94	3.78	0.21	0.28	0.13	0.04	0.00
1	JUN 5/91	910017	1	1	AGE 0	26	28.42	32	24	2.21	4.89	0.22	0.38	0.12	0.06	0.00
<b>SURVEY # 9109</b>																
1	JUN 13/91	910018	1	1	AGE 0	25	27.96	33	25	1.97	3.87	0.17	0.24	0.12	0.04	0.00
1	JUN 14/91	910019	1	1	AGE 0	36	27.56	34	25	2.02	4.08	0.18	0.34	0.14	0.04	0.00
<b>SURVEY # 9110</b>																
2	JUN 18/91	910020	1	1	AGE 0	2	31.00	31	31	0.00	0.00	0.29	0.29	0.00	0.00	0.00
3	JUN 18/91	910021	1	1	AGE 0	12	35.33	45	28	4.56	20.79	0.49	1.01	0.19	0.22	0.05
3	JUN 18/91	910021	1	1	WHITEFISH	2	69.50	74	65	6.36	40.50	3.29	3.71	2.86	0.60	0.36
1	JUN 19/91	910022	1	1	AGE 0	46	28.63	34	23	2.65	7.04	0.21	0.42	0.11	0.08	0.01
1	JUN 19/91	910023	1	1	AGE 0	23	31.52	37	26	2.71	7.35	0.33	0.54	0.13	0.11	0.01
<b>SURVEY # 9111</b>																
4	JUN 24/91	910029	1	1	AGE 0	31	31.00	35	27	2.02	4.07	0.28	0.46	0.14	0.08	0.01
3	JUN 26/91	910030	1	1	AGE 0	2	37.50	42	33	6.36	40.50	0.58	0.80	0.36	0.31	0.10

Table 7c - Trawl statistics by tow for Chilko Lake

AREA	DATE	TRAWL	TOW	DEPTH (m)	DURATION (min)	SPECIES	CATCH N	LENGTH (mm)			WEIGHT (g)					
								MEAN	MAX	MIN	S.D.	MEAN	MAX	MIN		
<b>SURVEY # 9111 (cont'd)</b>																
4	JUN 26/91	910031	1	1	AGE 0	51	28.22	32	24	2.37	5.61	0.19	0.32	0.10	0.07	0.00
1	JUN 28/91	910032	1	1	AGE 0	4	26.75	29	25	1.71	2.92	0.16	0.18	0.12	0.03	0.00
1	JUN 28/91	910033	1	1	AGE 0	93	32.77	38	26	2.70	7.29	0.38	0.62	0.16	0.10	0.01
1	JUN 28/91	910033	1	1	AGE 0	51	34.24	40	27	3.40	11.58	0.44	0.74	0.16	0.13	0.02
1	JUN 28/91	910034	1	1	CYPRINIDAE	3	9.67	11	9	1.15	1.33	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00
1	JUN 28/91	910035	1	1	AGE 0	89	34.47	40	29	2.23	4.96	0.44	0.76	0.24	0.09	0.01
1	JUN 28/91	910036	1	1	CYPRINIDAE	31	20.19	25	16	1.66	2.76	0.10	0.22	0.02	0.03	0.00
1	JUN 28/91	910037	1	1	AGE 0	89	33.98	45	28	3.12	9.70	0.39	1.06	0.20	0.15	0.00
1	JUN 28/91	910038	1	1												
<b>SURVEY # 9112</b>															0.07	0.0
4	JUL 3/91	910039	1	1	AGE 0	69	30.58	35	25	2.27	5.16	0.29	0.44	0.16	0.07	0.0
2	JUL 3/91	910040	1	1	AGE 0	40	33.95	47	27	4.19	17.59	0.45	1.24	0.20	0.20	0.0
0	JUL 5/91	910041	1	1	AGE 0	48	33.13	40	29	2.31	5.35	0.37	0.72	0.20	0.10	0.0
<b>SURVEY # 9115</b>															0.09	0.0
2	JUL 8/91	910042	1	1	AGE 0	32	31.47	36	26	2.46	6.06	0.31	0.51	0.18	0.09	0.0
4	JUL 8/91	910043	1	1	AGE 0	61	30.85	35	26	2.14	4.59	0.29	0.47	0.17	0.07	0.0
1	JUL 8/91	910044	1	1	AGE 0	78	34.69	44	27	2.80	7.85	0.44	1.00	0.22	0.12	0.0
<b>SURVEY # 9116</b>															0.18	0.0
1	JUL 19/91	910058	1	1	AGE 0	86	41.21	48	33	2.97	8.85	0.81	1.24	0.44	0.18	0.0
<b>SURVEY # 9120</b>															0.46	0.0
2	AUG 19/91	910063	11	20	AGE 0	82	51.66	62	32	6.05	36.57	1.46	2.19	0.32	0.46	0.0
<b>SURVEY # 9122</b>															0.72	0.0
3	SEP 10/91	910066	11-18	20	AGE 0	125	63.32	74	41	6.62	43.85	2.70	4.38	0.78	0.72	0.0
1	SEP 11/91	910067	11	20	AGE 0	229	62.98	76	32	7.32	53.65	2.63	4.58	0.43	0.76	0.0




Fig. 13. Sockeye (*O. nerka*) length frequencies in Chilko Lake.




Fig. 13. Continued.




Fig. 13. Continued.




Fig. 14. Map of Cultus Lake showing areas and transects.

Table 8a - Tow summary for Cultus Lake

SURVEY #	SAMPLE DATE	TOW	AREA	SAMPLE TIME	DURATION (min)	DEPTH (m)	SKY CODE	LIGHT CODE	WIND DIR	SURFACE TEMP (C)	CATCH
9102	FEB 12/91	910002	1	22:00	30	25	3	3	0		20 AGE 1 3 AGE 2+ 1 STICKLEBACK
9103	MAY 1/91	910003	2	22:35	15	4	1	3	0		93 AGE 0 2 AGE 1 2 CHUM 1 CYPRINIDAE 4 SCULPIN 1 STICKLEBACK
	MAY 1/91	910004	1	23:03	15	11	1	3	0		74 AGE 0 19 AGE 1 1 CHUM 1 SCULPIN
9118	AUG 6/91	910057	1	23:00	10	18					29 AGE 0 2 AGE 2+ 6 SCULPIN
9124	OCT 2/91	910070	2	21:41	5	32	1	3	0		41 AGE 0 1 CYPRINIDAE 2 SCULPIN
9127	NOV 27/91	910079	1	19:55	10	32	1	1	0		51 AGE 0 4 SCULPIN 20 STICKLEBACK

Table 8b - Trawl statistics by survey for Cultus Lake

SURVEY#	DATES	SPECIES	CATCH		LENGTH (mm)			WEIGHT (g)			
			N	MEAN	MAX	MIN	S.D.	VAR	MEAN	MAX	S.D.
9102	FEB 12/91	AGE 1	20	82.10	95	68	5.56	30.94	5.35	7.88	2.54
		AGE 2+	3	135.67	144	122	11.93	142.33	25.34	31.02	6.47
		STICKLEBACK	1	51.00	51	0.00	0.00	0.49	0.49	0.49	0.00
9103	MAY 1/91	AGE 0	167	25.40	30	22	1.51	2.27	0.16	0.25	0.08
		AGE 1	21	83.76	92	58	7.44	55.29	5.58	6.85	1.09
		CHUM	3	37.00	38	36	1.00	1.00	0.38	0.46	0.29
9118	AUG 5-6/91	CYPRINIDAE	1	63.00	63	63	0.00	0.00	3.08	3.08	0.00
		SCULPIN	5	20.60	41	15	11.41	130.30	0.23	0.75	0.09
		STICKLEBACK	1	37.00	37	0.00	0.00	0.54	0.54	0.54	0.00
9124	OCT 3/91	AGE 0	29	54.41	71	43	7.74	59.89	1.90	4.12	0.74
		AGE 2+	2	129.00	142	116	18.38	338.00	26.05	32.77	19.33
		SCULPIN	6	35.33	60	23	14.57	212.27	0.81	2.73	0.17
9127	NOV 27/91	CYPRINIDAE	1	32.00	32	32	0.00	0.00	0.40	0.40	0.00
		SCULPIN	2	40.50	49	32	12.02	144.50	1.00	1.60	0.39
		STICKLEBACK	20	43.00	48	34	4.51	0.77	1.28	0.34	0.25

Table 8c - Trawl statistics by tow for Cultus Lake

AREA	DATE	TRANL	TOW	DEPTH (m)	DURATION (min)	CATCH		LENGTH (mm)			WEIGHT (g)					
						SPECIES	N	MEAN	MAX	MIN	S.D.	VAR	MEAN	MAX		
<b>SURVEY # 9102</b>																
1	FEB 12/91	910002	25	30	AGE 1 AGE 2+	20 3	82.10 135.67	95 144	68 11.93	5.56 142.33	30.94 25.34	5.35 31.02	7.88 18.29	2.54 6.47	1.17 41.91	
					STICKLEBACK	1	51.00	51	51	0.00	0.00	0.49	0.49	0.00	0.00	
<b>SURVEY # 9103</b>																
2	MAY 1/91	910003	4	15	AGE 0 AGE 1 CHUM CYPRINIDAE SCULPIN	93 2 2 1 4	25.42 80.00 37.50 63.00 15.50	29 75 38 63 16	22 7.07 0.71 0.00 0.58	1.56 50.00 0.50 0.00 0.33	2.42 3.38 0.42 3.08 0.11	0.18 5.66 0.46 3.08 0.12	0.25 1.09 0.38 0.00 0.09	0.03 3.23 0.06 0.00 0.01	0.00 10.44 0.00 0.00 0.00	
					STICKLEBACK	1	37.00	37	0.00	0.00	0.54	0.54	0.00	0.00		
1	MAY 1/91	910004	11	15	AGE 0 AGE 1 CHUM SCULPIN	74 19 1 1	25.38 84.16 36.00 41.00	30 92 36 41	22 58 0.00 0.00	1.45 7.54 0.00 0.00	2.10 56.92 0.29 0.75	0.13 5.81 0.29 0.75	0.22 6.85 0.29 0.75	0.08 0.82 0.00 0.00	0.02 0.67 0.00 0.00	
<b>SURVEY # 9118</b>																
1	AUG 6/91	910057	18	10	AGE 0 AGE 2+ SCULPIN	29 2 6	54.41 129.00 35.33	71 142 60	43 116 23	7.74 18.38 14.57	59.89 338.00 212.27	1.90 26.05 0.81	4.12 32.77 2.73	0.74 19.33 0.17	0.88 9.50 1.01	0.78 90.32 1.01
<b>SURVEY # 9124</b>																
2	OCT 2/91	910070	32	5	AGE 0 CYPRINIDAE SCULPIN	41 1 2	68.95 32.00 40.50	82 32 49	55 32 32	7.29 0.00 12.02	53.10 0.00 144.50	3.72 0.40 1.00	6.55 0.40 1.60	1.92 0.40 0.39	1.20 0.00 0.86	
														1.43 0.00 0.73		

Table 8c - Trawl statistics by tow for Cultus Lake

AREA	DATE	TRawl	Tow	DEPTH (m)	DURATION (min)	CATCH			LENGTH (mm)			WEIGHT (g)				
						SPECIES	N	MEAN	MAX	MIN	S.D.	VAR	MEAN	MAX		
<b>SURVEY # 9127</b>																
1	NOV 27/91	910079	32	10	AGE 0	51	71.20	86	54	8.71	75.84	4.07	7.63	1.61	1.48	2.19
					SCULPIN	4	32.50	43	28	7.14	51.00	0.42	0.85	0.23	0.29	0.08
					STICKLEBACK	20	43.00	48	34	4.51	20.32	0.77	1.28	0.34	0.25	0.06




Fig. 15. Sockeye (*O. nerka*) length frequencies in Cultus Lake.

Fig. 15. Continued.

