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## ABSTRACT

Schubert, N.D. 1982. Trapping and coded wire tagging of wild coho salmon smolts from the Salmon River (Langley), 1978 to 1980. Can. MS Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 1672: 68p.

Coho salmon smolts (Oncorhynchus kisutch) from the Salmon River, a small lower Fraser River tributary, were captured and coded wire tagged during the springs of 1978 through 1980. A total of $13,823,42,275$ and 33,708 coho smolts were captured at fence traps during 1978, 1979 and 1980 , of which 13,473 (code 2 1652 ), 31,965 (code 21659 ) and 30,232 (code 218 23) respectively were released with tags. Holding time prior to tagging averaged 1 to 4.5 days during which time mortality was negligible. The immediate ( 48 hr. ) tag rejection rate averaged from 0.70\% to $1.12 \%$. Post tagging mortality was negligible.

Coho smolts emigrated primarily during a five week period beginning in late April with the $50 \%$ peak occurring in early to mid May. Mean annual fork lengths ranged from 93.9 mm to 98.8 mm , and mean wet weights ranged from 8.67 g to 10.18 g . Smolt age composition varied from $95.9 \%$ to $99.9 \%$ age $1+$, the remainder being age 2+.

Key Words: Salmon River, coho salmon smolts, fence trapping, coded wire tagging.

## RÉSUMÉE

Schubert, N.D. 1982. Trapping and coded-wire tagging of wild coho salmon smolts from the Salmon River (Langley), 1978 to 1980. Can. MS Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 1672: 68p.

Au cours des printemps de 1978 à 1980 , des saumoneaux argentés (Oncorhynchus kisutch) ont été capturés dans la rivière Salmon, un petit tributaire de la partie inférieure du fleuve Fraser, et étiquetés au moyen de fils métalliques codes. Au total, $13,823,42,275$ et 33,708 saumoneaux ont été pris à l'aide de clôtures en filet en 1978 , 1979 et 1980 , respectivement. De ces prises, 13,473 (code 21652 ), 31,965 (code 21659 ) et 30,232 (code 218 23) saumoneaux, respectivement, ont eté étiquetés et relâchés. Le taux de mortalité était negligeable au cours de la période de stabulation ( 1 à 4,5 jours) avant l'étiquetage. Le taux instantané ( 48 h ) de rejet des étiquettes a varié de $0.70 \%$ a $1.12 \%$ tandis que le taux de mortalité après l'étiquetage a été négligeable.

Les saumoneaux argentés ont surtout émigrés au cours d'une période de cinq semaines debutant à la fin d'avril; la période de pointe de $50 \%$ a eu lieue du début à la mi-mai. La longueur moyenne à la fourche et le poids frais variaient de 93.9 mm à 98.8 mm , et de 8.67 g à 10.18 g , respectivement. Quant à la composition par âge, de $95.9 \%$ à $99.9 \%$ Etaient des poissons âgés d'un an; le reste êtait âgé de deux ans.

Mots-clés: rivière Salmon, saumoneaux argentés, clôtures en filet, étiquetage au moyen de fils métalliques codés.

## INIRODUCTION

A coho smolt coded wire tagging (CWI) program was conducted in the Salmon River, a small tributary of the lower Fraser River located near Langley, B.C., during the springs of 1978, 1979 and 1980. This was one of several programs recently initiated in the Fraser River system to determine the fishery contribution, migratory pattern and survival rate of specific chinook and coho stocks. These data will assist in formulating a comprehensive salmonid management plan for the Fraser River system.

The CWT Marking technique was originally developed for Pacific Salmon (Oncorhynchus sp.) by Jefferts et al. (1963) and has been applied successfully to wild British Columbia coho stocks for a number of years (Armstrong and Argue, 1977; Argue and Armstrong, 1977; de Hrussoczy-Wirth, 1979). The technique involves implanting $a$ magnetized and binary coded stainless steel pin in the nose cartilage of juvenile fish. These fish are further marked by removal of the adipose fin in order to facilitate external recognition as tagged fish when recovered in subsequent fisheries or on the spawning grounds. The heads of tagged fish are removed, and the tags are detected in the laboratory by their magnetic fields, removed by dissection and identified by code through microscopic inspection.

This report summarizes the capture and tagging techniques used during the three year salmon River program and documents the species observed, the migratory timing, the number of coho smolts captured and tagged and the coho age and length characteristics. The subsequent recovery of marked coho in the fisheries and in the escapement will be the subject of a future report.

## GAATERSHED DESCRIPTION AND SALMONID RESOURCE

The Salmon River flows in a northerly direction for approximately 33 kilometers before entering the Fraser River at McMillan Island, immediately west of Fort Langley (Fig.l). The system drains approximately $85 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ of coastal lowland agricultural and residential land. The upper reaches are marshy with generally low summer stream flows. The middle stretches flow across gently sloping terrain in a shaded, meandering channel. In the lower 10 kilometers, the river is slow moving and deep as it flows in a series of tortuous meanders across meadowland.

A floodgate and pumphouse facility located at the mouth (Fig. 2) was constructed in 1949 as part of a comprehensive flood control program for the lower Fraser Valley. When Fraser River levels rise each spring, the flood gates close and all Salmon River water is pumped over the dyke. Since no provisions were made for the passage of fish through the gates, significant coho and trout smolt mortality is believed to occur each spring when emigrant fish pass through the pump mechanism. Furthermore, the facility contributes to sluggish outflows which often produce lethally high summer water temperatures and low dissolved oxygen levels (less than 1 ppm ) in the lower river (Weins and Beale, unpublished).
$\begin{array}{rc}\text { The Salmon River hydrograph } \\ \text { reflects } & \text { seasonal precipitation }\end{array}$ patterns (Fig. 3). Maximum flows occur during the late fall and winter, with an extreme flow of 34.6 cubic meters per second (cms) recorded on December 17, 1979. Minimum flows, which are augmented by groundwater sources, normally occur between June and October. An extreme minimum flow of 0.10 cms was recorded on October 1 , 1975. The mean annual discharge, based on fourteen years of data (1960 to 1964 and 1968 to 1976), was 1.41 cms (Inland

Figure 1. Study area location map.

Waters Directorate, 1976).
The Salmon River supports a number of anadromous and freshwater fish species, with coho salmon, cutthroat trout and steelhead trout dominant (Hartman 1968). Coho salmon escapements averaged approximately 1,000 during the period 1947 to 1976 (Marshall et al. 1979 ( representing 1.48 of the total Fraser River escapement. During the period 1970 to 1978 escapements have been higher, averaging 3,000 spawners (Appendix 9) and representing $4.5 \%$ of the Fraser River coho escapement. This increase, however, may reflect in part the more intensive enumeration effort rather than a real change in escapement. The spawning distribution, timing, and age, length, and sex composition of Salmon River coho were described by Schubert (1982). Spawning generally occurs between November and February in an 11 kilometer section of the middle and upper reaches of the mainstem and in the lower 4.5 kilometers of the principal tributary, Coghlan Creek. The spawning areas and escapement levels of the anadromous trout stocks have not been assessed (P. Caverhill, pers. comm.); however, the late summer juvenile densities and distributions were assessed during a two year study conducted in the Salmon River by the Fish and Wildlife Branch. In both years, the average density of coho fry was greater than that of cutthroat fry, and the average density of cutthroat fry was greater than that of steelhead fry (De Leeuw, 1981).

## METHODS

## CAPIURE TECHNIQUES

## Fence Trapping

Fence traps similar to those described by Armstrong and Argue (1977) were the primary smolt capture method used during this program. The fences consisted of a series of 0.8 m $X 2.4 \mathrm{~m}$ wooden frame panels covered
with 6 mm galvanized mesh screening. These panels were installed in a converging $V$ pattern, diverting all emigrant fish into a sluice trough which dropped into a large holding box (Fig. 4).

The fence traps were installed in mid to late April at sites in Coghlan Creek and in the Salmon River mainstem located approximately 14 km upstream from the Fraser River. The Coghlan Creek site was located approximately


Figure 2. Salmon River pumphouse


Month
Figure 3. Mean daily discharges by month for the Salmon River at 72 Avenue, 1960 to 1980. (Stn. O8mH090)

50 meters above its confluence with the Salmon River and was used in all three years of the program. The Salmon River site, located approximately 150 meters above the coghlan Creek confluence, was used during 1979 and 1980 only. These sites were selected for their accessibility, relative protection from vandalism, and the reduced probability of a washout. Other more general criteria used in site selection are described by Conlin and Tutty (1979).

Two operational problems were encountered during the first year of the program: first, relatively large trap box mortalities occurred once as a result of predation by minks and once as a result of turbulence from an overnight freshet; and second, smolts tended to escape from the trap box by swimming up the incoming water column into the sluice outlet. These problems were remedied by installing a plywood panel at the sluice outlet which restricted water flows to a one inch gap and excluded predators, and by attaching a loop of marquisette mesh from the top of the sluice to the trap box approximately six inches beneath the water surface to prevent smolts from escaping the trap box. (Fig. 5).

At each site, the captured fish were enumerated at least once daily, and all coho smolts were transferred to two nearby plywood holding boxes where they were held for tagging and sampling. Coho fry were not enumerated because the 6 mm mesh was too large to fully restrict their passage and unknown numbers of fry escaped before enumeration. Trout were enumerated by species and classed as smolts or presmolts. Smolts were defined as those fish with a silver coloration and with a fork length generally greater than 11 cm . Presmolts were defined as those fish with distinct parr marks and with a fork length less than 11 cm . Recently emergent fry were not enumerated. All


Figure 4. Coghlan Creek fence trap


Figure 5. Sluice modifications which restrict water inflows and prevent smolt escapes.
trout were transferred to a holding box for subsequent sampling by Fish and Wildife Branch personnel (data available at the Regional Fish and Wildife Office). All other species were enumerated and released below the fence.

Very large diurnal coho smolt migrations were noted on a number of occasions during 1979. In order to quantify this observation, the proportion of the daily catch occurring during the $0900 \mathrm{~h}-1600 \mathrm{~h}$ and the

1600 h - 0900 h periods was assessed on ten occasions during 1980.

Water and air temperatures and water levels were recorded at least once daily at each site. Temperatures were measured to the nearest onequarter of a degree with a pocket thermometer. Relative water levels were measured on a staff gauge installed annually at each site and are therefore not comparable between years; however, daily discharges were recorded further downstream at the Inland Waters Directorate gauging station throughout the study period (Appendix 8).

Trap Efficiency: The capture efficiency of the fence traps was assessed in 1980 by releasing fifty marked coho smolts above each trap site. Coho smolts taken from the May 28 catch were measured for fork length and marked by removing the extreme distal portion of the dorsal fin. The marked smolts were released approximately fifty meters above each fence and all subsequent coho smolt captures were examined for a dorsal clip. Recaptured fish were measured prior to release below the fence.

## Minnow Trapping

During 1978, Gee's minnow traps (brand name) were set in the Salmon River between the 232 Street and 64 Avenue crossings in order to supplement the Coghlan Creek fence trap catches. Up to thirty traps baited with Fraser River chum salmon roe were set each day during the period April 25 to June 9. The traps were checked at least once daily, and all coho smolts were enumerated and held for tagging at the Coghlan Creek fence site. Other species were enumerated and released.

During 1980, up to twenty similarly baited minnow traps were placed at least once weekly at five sites in the lower Salmon River in
order to provide an estimate of the size of the coho smolt population which emigrated during the study period. The minnow traps were fished for durations of between six and twenty-four hours, and catches were identified to the species level and enumerated prior to release. All coho were examined for adipose clips, and the incidence of marked and unmarked smolts was recorded.

## TAGGING PROCEDURES

The coded wire tagging equipment and machine maintenance procedures used during the study were similar to those described by Armstrong and Argue (1977). The number of tags sufficient to fulfill the study objectives was estimated at approximately 30,000 based on anticipated survival and exploitation rates and on the catch distributions observed in other coho smolt CWT studies. Any coho smolts in excess of that number were enumerated and released untagged.

Every effort was made to tag within one day of capture in order to minimize mortality resulting from holding stress. Tag implant location was checked for each tag lot at the commencement of tagging by bisecting the skull of single tagged coho with a scalpel along the median plane. If the tag was not in the preferred position in the cartilaginous wedge of the chondrocranium, the implant depth was adjusted and the procedure repeated until tag placement was correct. Following this check, the remaining smolts were tagged.

During the tagging operation, the fish were anesthetized with a stock Tricaine Methane Sulfonate (TMS) solution of 7.5 g per liter of water which was further diluted as conditions dictated in 7.5 liter plastic basin. The smolts were first graded into two size classes, based on a 95 - 100 mm fork length cut off between groups, and separate nose molds and tag implant

Table 1. Summary of Salmon River study tag codes.

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year <br> Applied | Dominant <br> Brood Year | Code |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1978 | 1976 | 02 | 16 |
| 1979 | 1977 | 02 | 16 |
| 1980 | 1978 | 02 | 18 |

depths were used for each group to ensure proper tag location. Coho smolts of all sizes were tagged; however, any diseased or severely damaged fish were noted and excluded from tagging. The graded smolts were then marked by adipose fin removal, tagged, and passed through the quality control device ( $Q C D$ ) to ensure the tag was present. Tagged smolts were allowed to recover before release below the fence.

A sample of between 100 and 500 smolts was randomly removed throughout each tagging operation and retained for twenty-four and fourty-eight hour mortality and tag retention assessments. Any smolts without tags were retagged, and the tag lot figures were adjusted to reflect the number released with tags.

All tag codes used during the study are reported in Table l. Coho smolts from the Salmon River and Coghlan Creek were tagged with the same code; however, a different code was used each year.

## BIOLOGICAL SAMPLING

Coho smolts were sampled twice weekly to assess changes in smolt age and size with time. Fifty smolts were removed randomly from the daily catch and anesthetized in the TMS solution described above. A scale smear was removed with a scalpel from the
preferred region, as defined by Clutter and Whitesel (1956), and the nose-fork length was measured to the nearest millimeter. A mean wet weight was derived from a subsample of at least 25 smolts weighed to the nearest 0.1 gram on an Ohaus triple beam balance.

## RESULTS ARD DISCUSSION

## FENCE TRAPPING RESULTS

## Catches

Coho Smolts: Coho smolt fence trap catches in Coghlan Creek totalled 9,381 in 1978, 14,709 in 1979 and 12,206 in 1980 (Table 2). Catches in the Salmon River mainstem totalled 27,566 in 1979 and 21,502 in 1980. The relative contribution of Coghlan Creek to the total smolt catch averaged $35.4 \%$ (34.8\% in 1979 and $36.2 \%$ in 1980). This proportion is somewhat greater than expected on the basis of available rearing habitat. De Leeuw (1981) estimated the total available rearing area (excluding zero gradient sections) above the Coghlan Creek and Salmon River fences at approximately $21,200 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ and $48,000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ respectively; therefore, approximately $30.7 \%$ of the total available habitat produced $35.4 \%$ of the captured smolts. These data suggest that the smaller tributary may be more productive per unit area than the mainstem; however, it remains unclear if the observed catches reflect actual production levels or if they are a

Table 2. Summary of coho and trout fence trap catches, by site and year. (Data derived from Appendix 1.)

| Stream | Year | Coho Smolts | Stee lhead |  | Cutthroat |  | Total Trout |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Smolts | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pre- } \\ \text { Smolts } \end{gathered}$ | Smolts | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pre- } \\ \text { Smolts } \end{gathered}$ | Smolts | Presmolts |
| Cogh lan Creek | 1978* | 9,381 | - | - | - | - | 1,515 | 213 |
|  | 1979 | 14,709 | 395 | 19 | 547 | 19 | 942 | 38 |
|  | 1980 | 12,206 | 292 | 36 | 1,826 | 119 | 2,118 | 155 |
| Salmon River | 1979 | 27,566 | 842 | 24 | 687 | 16 | 1,529 | 40 |
|  | 1980 | 21,502 | 1,360 | 80 | 2,244 | 148 | 3,604 | 228 |

* Trout were not identified to species in 1978.
function of data limitations which are discussed later in this report.

Trout Smolts: Trout smolt catches totalled 1,515, 2,471, and 5,722 during 1978, 1979 and 1980 respectively (Table 2). Cutthroat trout dominated the trout catch in both Coghlan Creek and Salmon River in 1980 and in Coghlan Creek in 1979. Steelhead trout predominated in the 1979 Salmon River catch. Coghlan Creek again contributed a greater proportion of the total trout smolt catch than expected on the basis of rearing habitat: $38.1 \%$ in 1979 and 37.08 in 1980.

Nonsa lmonid Species: Small numbers of lampreys, sticklebacks, crayfish, suckers, dace and sculpins were recorded during the study (Table 3). The 1978 and 1979 catches were identified according to genus. In 1980 the catches were identified by species as follows: Lampreys were either Pacific Lampreys (Entosphenus tridentatus) or Western Brook Lampreys (Lampetra richardsoni), except one River Lamprey (L. ayresi); all observed suckers were Longnosed Suckers (Catostomus catostomus); and all sculpins were Prickly Sculpins (Cottus
asper). This list includes only those species which were migrating during the study period and does not reflect the species composition in the system as a whole. Hartman (1968) provided a more detailed listing of fish species composition and distribution in the Salmon River system.

## Trap Efficiency

The capture efficiency of the fence traps for coho smolts was estimated at both sites during the period May 28 to June ll, 1980 by releasing fifty marked smolts above each fence. A total of 45 (908) were recovered in Coghlan Creek and 47 (94\%) were recovered in the Salmon River. Most marked smolts were recaptured within three days (range 0 to 8 days), and no size selectivity in recaptured fish was noted (Appendix 6).

The 1980 assessment was made immediately before the end of the program when deterioration of the sandbags and substrate around the fence was greatest. The value obtained, therefore, should provide a minimum estimate of the trap efficiency during normal operation. It remains unclear, however, whether the observed losses were due to residualism, predation and

Table 3. Summary of fence trap catches of nonsalmonid species, by site and year. (Data summarized from Appendix 1.)

| Species | Coghlan Creek |  |  | Salmon River |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1979 | 1980 |
| Pacific Lamprey (Entosphenus tridentatus) | * | 15 | 21 | 29 | 26 |
| Other Lamprey (Lampetra sp.) | * | 43 | 32 | 111 | 44 |
| Threespine Stickleback (Gasterosteus aculeatus) | 27** | 8 | 23 | 8 | 35 |
| Crayfish (Pacifastacus sp.) | 47** | 69 | 58 | 147 | 316 |
| Suckers (Catostomus catostomus) | 11** | 6 | 5 | 2 | 12 |
| Dace (Rhinichthys cataractoe) | 2** | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| Sculpins (Cottus asper) | 4** | 0 | 3 | 2 | 1 |

[^0]handing mortality, or whether they had in fact escaped through undetected holes in the fence.

## Limitations of Fence Trap Data

The Salmon River program was designed to capture coho smolts for tagging and was not intended to assess annual smolt yields. Several factors suggest that the fence catches significantly underestimate the smolt yield of both the system as a whole and that portion of the system upstream of the fence traps. First, there is a certain inefficiency inherent to the operation of all fence traps regardless of trapping conditions. An attempt was made to quantify this factor during 1980, and those data are probably applicable to the 1979 program. In 1978, however, the Coghlan Creek fence was washed out during a freshet between May 14 and May 16. Since this period is normally coincident with large daily smolt emigrations, the 1978 catch figures may significantly underestimate the actual number of smolts which emigrated during the trapping period. More reliable data require the marking and release of
a fixed proportion of the daily catch above the fence. Second, the traps were located approximately 14 km upstream from the mouth and excluded a large area of stream habitat which supported up to $23 \%$ of the coho fry, $25 \%$ of the cutthroat fry and 65\% of the rainbow fry standing crop during late summer 1979 (De Leeuw, 1981). Third, the comparatively short study period excluded from assessment any individuals which reared and overwintered in the upstream area but which emigrated prior to the study period. Coho smolt timing studies in Carnation Creek (Anderson, 1978), in the Keogh River (de Hrussoczy-Wirth, 1979) and in Minter Creek (Salo and Bayliff, 1958) have reported a variable coho emigration prior to May, with significant emigrations in Minter Creek as early as February in some years. since the Salmon River fences were not installed until late April, the total catch may significantly underestimate the actual smolt production from the upstream areas. Finally, the fall and early winter movement of coho juveniles into areas of primarily overwintering habitat has been documented in a number of streams (Skeesick 1970,

Bustard and Narver 1975, C.J. beginning in late April (Figs. 6-10). Cedarholm 1981, unpublished data from the Chilliwack Lake Coho CWT Program). A similar migration from the middle reaches of the Salmon River to the potentially good overwintering habitat in the lower river, either through active migration or through passive movement during freshets, may have displaced significant numbers of juveniles to areas below the fence site.

Results from minnow trapping in the lower river during 1980 support the premise that the fence trap catches significantly underestimate annual smolt yields (Appendix 2). The marked to unmarked ratio indicated a smolt yield of at least 2.2 times the fence count and was probably higher when smolts which emigrated before and after the trapping period are considered. A more reliable estimate of smolt yield may be obtained through the application of a mark recapture method during the subsequent escapement period. These data are currently being collected and will be reported in a future paper.

## Migration Timing

Coho Smolts: Coho smolts emi- grated from the study streams primarily during a five week period

The onset of the migration occurred prior to trap installation in all years and continued sporadically when the traps were removed in mid-June. The migratory peak, as defined by the date of 50\% smolt catch, occurred during early to mid-May and was virtually synchronous each year in the two study streams (Table 4). Day to day fluctuations in the pattern of migration were not strongly correlated with any single environmental variable. Migratory peaks (defined as a period of increasing smolt movement resulting in at least a doubling of the daily catch) always occurred during periods of rising water levels and were often associated with rising water temperatures, although in the latter case the data are inconclusive since the recorded 'spot' temperatures may not accurately reflect trends in daily maxima or minima. Peaks were also noted immediately prior to both full and new moons; however, Grau (1981) demonstrated that thyroxin surges were associated with the new moon only, suggesting that the migratory peaks noted may be coincidental and not indicative of a causative relationship.

The above data support the generally accepted premise that smolt migratory behavior is a complex function involving at least two broad

Table 4. Summary of coho smolt emigration data.

| Stream | Year | Period Fished | 50\% Peak |  | Daily Maxima |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Date |  | N |
| Cogh lan Creek | 1978 | April 23 to June 9 | May | 9 | May | 9 | 1,582 |
|  | 1979 | April 27 to June 12 | May | 14 | May | 22 | 855 |
|  | 1980 | April 17 to June 11 | May | 8 | May | 6 | 848 |
| Salmon River | 1979 | April 27 to June 14 | May | 15 | May | 4 | 2,440 |
|  | 1980 | April 18 to June 10 | May | 8 | May | 6 | 1,406 |



Fig. 6. Emigration of Coghlan Creek salmonid smolts in relation to date, water temperature, and discharge in 1978.


Fig. 7. Emigration of Coghlan Creek salmonid smolts in relation to date, water temperature and discharge in 1979.




Fig. 8. Emigration of Salmon River salmonid smolts in relation to date, water temperature and discharge in 1979.


Fig. 9. Emigration of Coghlan Creek salmonid smolts in relation to date, water temperature and discharge in 1980.


Fig. 10. Emigration of Salmon River salmonid smolts in relation to date, water temperature and discharge in 1980.

Table 5. Summary of steelhead and cutthroat trout smolt emigration data.

| Stream | Year | Steelhead Trout |  |  |  |  | Cutthroat Trout |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $50 \%$ Peak |  | Daily Maxima |  |  | 50\% Peak |  | Daily Maxima |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Date |  | N |  |  | Date |  | N |
| Coghlan Creek | 1979 | May | 12 | June | 5 | 42 | May | 18 | June | 5 | 87 |
|  | 1980 | May | 9 | May | 11 | 22 | May |  | May | 12 | 119 |
| Salmon River | 1979 | May | 10 | May | 8 | 186 | May | 15 | May | 8 | 54 |
|  | 1980 | May | 6 | May | 6 | 110 | May | 12 | May | 5 | 163 |

mechanisms. Hoar (1953) suggested that the general state of migratory readiness results from a neuroendocrine mediated failure of the rheotactic response, possibly triggered by photoperiodism, producing a generally dome shaped curve over the spring migratory period. Osterdahl (1969) suggested that the above endogenous mechanism is influenced by short term environmental parameters which produce the marked day to day fluctuations which characterize most smolt migrations.

Trout Smolts: The overall pattern of steelhead and cutthroat trout smolt emigration was similar to that reported for coho smolts (Figs. 6-10). Migratory peaks occurred by mid-May in both study streams, although the timing in Salmon River generally preceded the timing in Coghlan Creek by a few days (Table 5). Cutthroat trout smolts emigrated up to a week earlier than steelhead trout smolts in both study streams. It should be noted that these peaks are based on data collected during the late April to mid-June study period. A similar trapping program conducted during 1981 (D.F.O., unpublished) recorded significant trout movement in late March (up to 50 smolts per day), indicating that the Salmon River system trout emigration occurs over a longer period than that assessed by this study.

The pattern of day to day variability in the trout smolt emigrations was similar to, although of lesser magnitude than, that reported for coho, suggesting that all three species were responding to the same environmental fluctuations. However, as with coho, a strong correlation with any single environmental parameter was not noted.

## Periodicity

A rigorous assessment of the diel pattern of emigration was not carried out during this study; however, the traps were monitored twice daily on ten occasions during the 1980 study period in an attempt to quantify diurnal aspects of the migration (Appendix l). These data represent minimum estimates of diurnal periodicity since it is probable that many of the 1600 h to 0900 h migrants were trapped prior to dusk or after dawn.

Coho, steelhead and cutthroat smolts, lampreys and crayfish were captured during the daylight period; however, coho exhibited by far the greatest propensity for diurnal migration. An estimated $49.6 \%$ of the daily coho catch (53.1\% and 48.2\% in Coghlan Creek and Salmon River respectively) occurred during the 0900 $h$ to 1600 h period, often under bright, sunny conditions. There was no
significant correlation between daylight catch and either maximum daily water temperature or date; however, the proportion of daylight migrants was low when water temperatures dropped below $10.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Appendix 1), suggesting that diurnal emigrations may occur after a critical water temperature is reached, and that the early part of the smolt emigration may be principally nocturnal.

An estimated $29.0 \%$ of the steelhead smolt and $16.0 \%$ of the cutthroat smolt daily catches occurred during the 0900 h to 1600 h period on the days monitored. As with coho, no significant correlation was noted with water temperature or date, and daylight catches were low when water temperatures dropped below $10.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Reasons for the observed differences between species in their propensity toward daylight migration are not known.

Large diurnal migrations have not previously been reported for coho salmon; however, they have been noted frequently with Atlantic salmon (Hayes, 1953; Munro, 1965; Osterdahl, 1969; Solomon, 1978). Osterdahl (1969) reported a change in diel migration from principally nocturnal migrants in the early part of the run to principally diurnal migrants in the later part of the run. He concluded that changes in the strength of the day migration are best correlated with changes in incoming solar radiation (calories/unit area) and to a lesser degree with water temperature. Solomon (1978) suggested that diurnal migratory behavior was released at a critical maximum daily water temperature (generally $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) which varies annually but which is based on prevailing water temperatures in the previous weeks. Thorpe and Morgan (1978) cited data which show that the intensity of the rheotactic response of Pacific salmon smolts is inversely related to temperature and that the diel pattern of oxygen consumption peaked at mid-day and mid-night. This
suggests that when water temperatures rise beyond a certain threshold, the rise in oxygen demand will result in reduced activity and the probability of downstream movements at these times would increase. Presumably, a similar mechanism occurs with coho and trout smolts; however, the demonstration of a strong correlation would require more intensive data collection techniques than were devoted to this study.

## MINIOW TRAPPING RESULTS

Coho smolt minnow trap catches in the Salmon River mainstem totalled 3,902 during 1978 (Appendix 2). The catch per trap-day of coho smolts averaged 5.4 over the trapping period. A maximum catch per trap-day of 27.5 occurred on May 10, one day after the maximum daily migration observed in Coghlan Creek (Table 4).

The 1980 lower river minnow
trapping results are reported in Appendix 2. A total of 868 coho smolts were captured, as well as significant numbers of Prickly Sculpins (Cottus asper), Peamouth Chub (Hylocheilus caurinus), Threespine Sticklebacks (Gasterosteus aculeatus) and Redside Shiners Richardsonius balteatus). No cutthroat trout and very few coho fry or steelhead smolts were captured.

Fourty-eight percent of the coho smolts were marked with adipose clips indicating that the smolt emigration was substantially larger than that observed at the fence sites where 90.7\% of the observed smolts were marked. A population estimate was not calculated from these data, however, because trapping effort was not constant over the study period.

## COHO TAGGING RESULTS

## 1978

A total of 13,473 coho smolts were released with adipose clips and coded
wire tags (CWT's) during 1978 (Appendix 3) ${ }^{1}$. Adjustments made for delayed tag loss, machine sorting errors, and post tagging mortality are sumarized in Table 6.

Delayed tag loss averaged $1 \%$ during 1978 and generally occurred within one day of tagging. Holding
time prior to tagging averaged 4.5 days (range 1 to 11 days) during which time mortality was negligible. Post tagging mortality was also low and generally occurred immediately after tagging as a result of overanesthetization or handling stress.

Water temperatures ranged from $8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $14.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, but generally remained below $12^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for most of the program (Appendix 7).

All smolts were examined for
damage or abnormalities prior to tagging. An estimated $2 \%$ of the population was affected (Appendix 4) with the most prevalent condition being an opaque clouding of the eye, termed "fog-eye", a reversible condition believed to be associated with capture and holding stress (G. Hoskins, pers. comm.). The incidence of naturally missing adipose fins was $0.036 \% \quad(\mathrm{~N}=5)$; however, the term "naturally missing adipose fin" is used here to denote a fin which is deformed or vestigial in nature and which might later be confused with an incomplete clip. No fish with completely missing fins were noted.

## 1979

A total of 31,965 coho smolts were released with adipose clips and CWT's during 1979 (Appendix 3). The remainder of the smolts were enumerated

1. Trapping and tagging totals differ because daily catches were enumerated quickly to avoid stress. Tagging totals are more precise.

Table 6.Summary of coho smolt tagging results by site and year.

| Location | Year | Estimated Number Trapped | Number Tagged | Estimated <br> Post-tag <br> Mortality | Marked and Tags Lost | Number Released with Tags | Tag | Cod |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coghlan Creek | 1978 | 9,381 | 13,6771 | 32 | 172 | 13,473 | 02 | 16 | 52 |
|  | 1979 | 14,709 | 11,806 | 5 | 63 | 11,738 | 02 | 16 | 59 |
|  | 1980 | 12,206 | 11,006 | 2 | 171 | 10,833 | 02 | 18 | 23 |
| Salmon River | 1979 | 27,566 | 20,409 | 4 | 178 | 20,227 | 02 | 16 | 59 |
|  | 1980 | 21,502 | 19,677 | 20 | 258 | 19,399 | 02 | 18 | 23 |
| Total | 1978 | 9,381 | 13,6771 | 32 | 172 | 13,473 | 02 | 16 | 52 |
|  | 1979 | 42,275 | 32,215 | 9 | 241 | 31,965 | 02 | 16 | 59 |
|  | 1980 | 33,708 | 30,683 | 22 | 429 | 30,232 | 02 | 18 | 23 |

1. Includes 3,902 smolts captured by minnow trapping in the Salmon River mainstem.
and released untagged below the fence. Separate results for Coghlan Creek and Salmon River, including adjustments for delayed tag loss, post tagging mortality and machine sorting errors, are summarized in Table 6.

Delayed tag loss again averaged less than $1 \%$ and generally occurred within one day of tagging. Holding time averaged 1.5 days (ranged 0 to 7 days), and holding and post-tagging mortalities were negligible.

Water temperatures ranged from $9.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $16^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with Salmon River temperatures generally 1 to $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ warmer than those in Coghlan Creek. (Appendix 7).

The incidence of damaged or diseased smolts encountered during 1979 was $4.4 \%$ (Appendix 4). The most prevalent condition, noted primarily in Salmon River smolts, was an infestation of flukes of the genus Neascus, commonly termed "blackspot disease." Neascus is thought to be an innocuous parasite which disappears when the fish enters salt water (Wood, 1974); however, a recent study associated "blackspot disease" with retarded growth and increased mortality in Northern Pike (Harrison and Hadley, 1982). If a similar mechanism occurs in coho salmon, then reduced smolt fitness may result in a lower smolt to adult survival in the infected individuals. The incidence of "fog-eye" dropped sharply in 1979, possibly reflecting the reduced holding time prior to tagging. No naturally missing adipose fins were noted at either site during 1979.

## 1980

A total of 30,232 coho smolts were released with adipose clips and CWT's during 1980 (Appendix 3). The remainder were enumerated and released below the fence. Separate results for Coghlan Creek and Salmon River, including adjustments for delayed tag loss, post tagging mortality, and
machine sorting errors, are summarized in Table 6.

The average delayed tag loss was 1.1\%. Holding time averaged less than one day (Range 0 to 4 days), and both holding and post-tagging mortalities were negligible.

Stream temperatures during the program ranged from $7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $14^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Appendix 7).

The incidence of diseased or damaged smolts encountered during 1980 is sumarized in Appendix 4. Anomalies affected $17.0 \%$ of the population, sharply higher than in the previous two years in both Coghlan Creek and Salmon River, possibly indicating a high degree of stress during the 1979 rearing season which could conceivably be reflected in a reduced smolt to adult survival for this brood. As in 1979, Neascus was the most prevalent problem, affecting 14.9\% of the population. The incidence of naturally missing adipose fins was $0.013 \% \quad(\mathrm{~N}=4)$ and, as defined earlier, none with completely missing fins were noted.

## BIOLOGICAL SAMPLING

## Coho Smolts

Age: Coho emigrated from the study streams primarily as yearling or age $1+$ smolts (Table 7). Two year old or age $2+$ smolts formed the remainder of the run and comprised less than $1 \%$ of the smolts captured in 1978 and 1979. In 1980, however, age $2+$ migrants comprised $4.1 \%$ of the coghlan Creek and $2.8 \%$ of the Salmon River catches. An unusually successful 1977 brood may have influenced the growth of this cohort and resulted in a higher abundance of two year old smolts during 1980. A comparison between age at smoltification and brood year escapement level was not attempted, however, due to the poor precision inherent in current escapement estimation

Table 7. Summary of annual coho smolt mean fork lengths by age class. (Note: Data has been weighted. For unweighted means, sample sizes, and age compositions, see Appendix 5.)

| Stream | Year | Mean Fork Length (mm) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Age 1+ (\%) | Age 2+ (\%) | Total |
| Coghlan Creek | 1978 | 94.4 (99.2) | 128.8 (0.8) | 95.6 |
|  | 1979 | 93.3 (99.8) | 117.0 (0.2) | 93.9 |
|  | 1980 | 97.5 (95.9) | 116.6 (4.1) | 98.8 |
| Salmon River | 1979 | 93.4 (99.9) | 102.0 (0.1) | 94.4 |
|  | 1980 | 97.6 (97.2) | 123.5 (2.8) | 98.2 |

techniques and to a lack of egg to fry survival data.

In 1980, when the numbers of age $2+$ smolts were sufficient to indicate a trend, the age $2+$ smolts emigrated in the early part of the migratory period with the peak migration preceding that of age $1+$ smolts by at least one week (Tables 8 and 9). A similar phenomenon was reported in the Cowichan River (Argue et al. 1979) and in the Squamish River (Argue and Armstrong 1977). Since two year old smolts are generally larger than yearing smolts, the observed higher degree of migratory readiness may be a reflection of the larger body size of older individuals. Such a relationship between coho size and smoltification has been reported elsewhere in the literature (Vanstone and Markert, 1968; Conte et al., 1966).

Length and Weight: The weighted annual mean length of coho smolts ranged from 93.9 mm to 98.8 mm during the three year study period (Table 7). There was no significant difference ( $p$ 0.05) in smolt size between the two study streams in the same year, or between the Coghlan Creek smolts of 1978 and 1979. The 1980 smolts in both study streams, however, were sig-
nificantly larger than those in the two previous years, possibly reflecting the lower apparent rearing densities for that cohort.

The mean lengths of two year old smolts ranged from 102.0 mm to 128.8 mm and two year olds were, in all years, larger than yearling smolts which ranged in mean length from 93.3 mm to 97.6 mm ; however, the difference was not significant in 1979.

The coho smolt mean length was greatest at the start of the trapping period and generally decreased through the remainder of the run (Fig. 1l). Unpublished data for 1981 on the Salmon River suggest, however, that the coho smolt mean length increases from a late March size of $70-75 \mathrm{~mm}$ before following the trend reported above.

Coho smolt mean wet weights were generally collected bi-weekly (Appendix 5); however, inconsistencies in the weight sampling methodology in 1978 make difficult the calculation of comparable weighted mean annual weights. Instead, these data were derived by calculating a logarithmic functional regression of weight on length from the 1979 and 1980 sample data. Since no significant difference


Fig. 11. Weekly summary of coho smolt mean fork lengths, 1978 to 1980 (numbers in parenthesis give sample size; vertical bars are $95 \%$ confidence limits).

Table 10. summary of coho smolt mean fork lengths and wet weights by stream and year.

| Stream | Year | Mean <br> Length (mm) | Mean <br> Weight $(g)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cogh lan Creek | 1978 | 95.6 | Number per <br> Kilogram |
|  | 1979 | 93.9 | 9.21 |
| Salmon River | 1980 | 98.8 | 10.18 |
|  | 1979 | 94.4 | 8.87 |
|  | 1980 | 98.2 | 9.97 |

was noted between the two years, those data were pooled to derive the following regression:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\text { ln weight }(g)=-11.36+2.98 \ln \\
\text { length }(\mathrm{mm}) \\
r=0.95
\end{array}
$$

The annual weighted mean lengths (Table 7) were then used to derive annual weighted mean wet weights (Table 10). The mean wet weights ranged from 8.67 g to 10.18 g over the three year study period, with the largest smolts captured in 1980. These weights are comparable to or smaller than those reported in the literature for other coastal British Columbia streams (Argue et al., 1979; Patterson et al., 1979; de Hrussoczy-Wirth, 1979; Fedorenko et al., 1982).

## Trout Smolts

Cutthroat and steelhead trout smolts emigrated primarily as two year olds, with small numbers of one and three year olds also present. Further age and size data are awaiting analysis at the Fish and Wildife Branch (P. Caverhill, pers. comm.).

## SUMARY

1. Fence traps were installed in the Salmon River system (Langley)
during the springs of 1978, 1979 and 1980 as part of a coded wire tagging study designed to investigate the fishery contribution, migratory pattern and survival rate of that coho stock. Fences were installed in Coghlan Creek, the principal tributary, during all three years and in the salmon River mainstem above Coghlan Creek during 1979 and 1980 only.
2. A total of $13,473,31,965$ and 30,232 coho smolts were released with tags during 1978 (code 216 52), 1979 (code 216 59) and 1980 (code 218 23) respectively. These figures have been adjusted for delayed tag loss (0.7\% to $1.12 \%$ and mortality (0.02\% to $0.20 \%$ ) . The size of tagged coho smolts ranged from 93.9 mm to 98.8 mm in length and from 8.85 g to 10.18 g in weight.
3. Coghlan Creek contributed an average of 35.48 of the total catch in 1979 and 1980 and appeared to be somewhat more productive per unit area than the Salmon mainstem above the fence site.
4. Trout smolts comprised between 5almonid and $\begin{aligned} & 14.5 \% \\ & \text { catch, of the total } \\ & \text { and }\end{aligned}$
production may form an inverse relationship to coho production. Both cutthroat and steelhead trout smolts were captured; however, cutthroat smolts were more abundant.
5. For a number of reasons, the fence trap catches significantly underestimate the annual smolt yield from the Salmon River system and should not be used to estimate production per unit area or length.
6. Coho smolts emigrated primarily during a five week period beginning in late April with migratory peaks occurring in early to mid-May. The daily pattern of migration was similar in the two study streams, and significant diurnal movements were noted during 1980.
7. Over 998 of the coho smolt population was composed of age $1+$ individuals, except in 1980 when 3.3\% of the emigrants were age $2+$.
8. Age 2+ smolts were larger than age l+ smolts, although the difference was not significant in 1979. Age $2+$ smolts tended to emigrate in the early part of the emigration period.
9. The trout smolt emigration peaked by mid-May, with the timing in the Salmon River preceding Coghlan Creek by a few days. The peak cutthroat trout smolt emigration preceded that of steelhead by up to a week in both study streams. Preliminary analysis of the trout sample data indicates that trout smolts emigrate primarily at age 2.
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## APPENDIX 1. DAILY FENCE TRAP CATCHES
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Appendix 1(a). 1978 Coghlan Creek daily fence trap catches.

| Date | Coho Smolts | Trout |  | Crayfish | Lampreys | Stick leback | Sucker | Sculpin | Other Species | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Smolts | Presmolts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| April | 46 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | Trap installed. |
|  | 13 | - | - |  | - | 1 | 1 | - | - |  |
|  | 39 | 8 | - | 8 | - | 2 | - | - | - |  |
|  | 80 | - | 11 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | 30 | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | 159 | 6 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | Dead muskrat in trap box |
|  | 59 | 1 | 5 | - | - | 2 | - | - | - |  |
|  | 153 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 rainbow parr trap mortality |
| May | 297 | - | 6 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 1 | - | - |  |
|  | 68 | 1 | - | 1 | - |  | - | - | - |  |
|  | 521 | 5 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 frog | 1 male steelhead kelt |
|  | 325 | - | - | 1 |  | - | - | - | $\underline{-}$ | 1 male steelhead kelt |
|  | 304 | 4 | - | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | 203 | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 18 coho killed by predator |
|  | 267 | 6 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | 1,269 | 91 | 4 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - |  |
|  | 1,582 | 146 | 10 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |  |
|  | 219 | 15 | 5 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | 20 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | 531 | 41 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | 342 | 172 | 10 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | Raining, high water |
|  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | Trap washed out; approximately |
|  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 300 coho trap mortalities. |
|  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 300 coho trap motralities. |
|  | 62 | 44 | 7 | 2 | - | 2 | - | - | - | Trap roplaced, fiohing well. |
|  | 255 | 84 | 5 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | Trap replaced, Ilehing well. |
|  | 286 | 89 | 5 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | 533 | 178 | 10 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | 328 | 86 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 1 | - | - | 1 dace |  |
|  | 66 | 40 | 5 | - | 1 | - | - | - | $\underline{-}$ | 1 coho amolt fence mortality |
|  | 188 | 18 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 coho amolt fence mortallty |
|  | 105 | 23 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | 96 | 26 | ${ }^{3}$ | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 dace |  |
|  | 292 | 58 | 56 | 7 | - | 11 | 4 | 1 | - |  |
|  | 53 | 19 | 1 | - | - |  | - | - | - |  |
|  | 198 | 105 | 6 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | 96 | 25 | 2 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | 51 | 32 | 4 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | 42 | 54 | 3 | 1. | 2 | - | - | - | - |  |
| June $\begin{array}{ll}1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \\ & 5 \\ & 6 \\ & 7 \\ & 8 \\ & 9\end{array}$ | 21 | 33 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 3 | - | 1 | - |  |
|  | 44 | 28 | 4 | - | 2 | 3 | - | 1 | - |  |
|  | 48 | 19 | 7 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | 35 | 23 | 6 | 2 | 2 | - | 2 | - | - |  |
|  | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | 4 | - | $\underline{-}$ | - | - |  |
|  | 22 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 1 | - | - |  |
|  | 10 | 5 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | 17 | 5 | 7 | 2 | 3 | - | 1 | - | - |  |
|  | 5 | 8 | - | - | 5 | - | $\underline{-}$ | - | - | Fence removed |
| TOTALS | 9,381 | 1,515 | 213 | 47 | 44 | 27 | 11 | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \mathrm{frog} \\ & 2 \mathrm{dace} \end{aligned}$ | . |

30. 

Appendix 1(b). 1979 Coghlan Creek daily fence trap catches.

| Date | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Coho } \\ & \text { Smolts } \end{aligned}$ | Rainbon |  | Cutthroat |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cray- } \\ \text { Fish } \end{gathered}$ | Pacific Lamprey | Other StickleLamprey back |  | Sucker | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Smolts | Presmolts | Smolts | Pressolts |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| April | 328 | 45 | - | 10 | - | 27 | - | 5 | - | 4 |  |
|  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | Trap installed; not fishing overnight. |
|  | 491 | 13 | 2 | 17 | - | 4 | - | 1 | - | - |  |
|  | 303 | 11 | 1 | 16 | - | 6 | - | - | - | 1 |  |
| May | 676 | 7 | - | 11 | - | 5 | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | 468 | 9 | 2 | 13 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 2 | - |  |
|  | 240 | 4 | 2 | 7 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | 222 | 16 | 1 | 23 | - | 1 | 1 | 4 | - | - |  |
|  | 756 | 24 | 1 | 34 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 3 | - | - | 1 coho smolt fence mortality. |
|  | 374 | 17 | - | 25 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 male steelhead kelt. |
|  | 166 | 1 | - | 8 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | 364 | 12 | - | 9 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 coho smolt fence mortality. |
|  | 531 | 5 | - | 17 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |  |
|  | 376 | 10 | - | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | 290 | 8 | - | 13 | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | - |  |
|  | 631 | 17 | - | 15 | 2 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | Fish and wildife box installed. |
|  | 523 | 15 | 1 | 10 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | 761 | 9 | 2 | 8 | 1 | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | 1 stee lhead jack; 1 coho trap mortality. |
|  | 497 | 13 | 1 | 15 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - |  |
|  | 508 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 |  |
|  | 560 | 8 | 1 | 13 | - | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | - |  |
|  | 349 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 4 | - | - | - | - | 1 coho trap mortality. |
|  | 484 | 2 | - | 2 | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | - |  |
|  | 542 | 15 | - | 9 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |  |
|  | 691 | 15 | - | 6 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |  |
|  | 855 | 10 | 1 | 9 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 235 coho for HPD pump test. |
|  | 609 | 15 | 1 | 32 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | Tagging completed. |
|  | 383 | 8 | - | 9 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | 195 | 4 | - | 11 | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | Algal bloom evident. |
|  | 245 | 4 | - | 6 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |  |
|  | 164 | 4 | - | 3 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 3 coho trap mortalities. |
|  | 107 | 5 | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 cutthroat trap mortality. |
|  | 219 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | 106 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | 133 | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | - |  |
| June | 59 | 5 | - - | 6 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 3 coho trap mortalities. |
|  | 81 | 1 | - | 9 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | 3 cono trap mortalities. |
|  | 54 | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | - |  |
|  | 75 | 4 | - | 32 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 coho box mortality. |
|  | 85 | 42 | - | 87 | - | 1 | 1 | 4 | - | - | 1 coho box mortality. |
|  | 97 | 4 | 1 | 20 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | 40 | - | - | 7 | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | - |  |
|  | 32 | 2 | - | 3 | - | 2 | 1 | 3 | - | - |  |
|  | 12 | - | - | 6 | - | - | - |  | - | - |  |
|  | 9 | 2 | - | 3 | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | - |  |
|  | 18 | - | - | 3 | 1 | - | - | 3 | - | - | Trap removed |
| TOTALS | 14,709 | 395 | 19 | 547 | 19 | 69 | 15 | 43 | 8 | 6 |  |

31. 

Appendix $1(c) . \quad 1979$ Salmon River daily fence trap catches.

| Date | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Coho } \\ & \text { Smolts } \end{aligned}$ | Rainbow |  | Cutthroat |  | CrayPlsh | Pacific <br> Lamprey | Other StickleLamprey back |  | Sucker | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Smolts | Presmolts | Smolts | Presmolts |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr 11 | 150 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 2 steelhead jacks, 1 mall male. |
|  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | Trap not fishing due to low head. |
|  | 900 | 17 | 1 | 15 | 1 | 15 | 9 | 10 | 2 | - | 1 ateelhead fomale |
|  | 581 | 28 | 1 | 23 | - | 10 | 1 | 13 | 1 | 1 | 61 coho fence mortalities. |
| May | 568 | 5 | 1 | 5 | - | 9 | 1 | 10 | - | - |  |
|  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | Trap not fishing due to low head. |
|  | 1,097 | 22 | 1 | 19 | 1 | 11 | - | 2 | - | - |  |
|  | 2,440 | 80 | - | 65 | - | 3 | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | 144 | 5 | - | 4 | - | 13 | 1 | 7 | 1 | - | 1 coho trap mortality; 1 eculpin. |
|  | 248 | 4 | - | 3 | - | 11 | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | 1,860 | 37 | - | 14 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 sculpin. |
|  | 1,876 | 186 | - | 54 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 1 | - |  |
|  | 400 | 29 | - | 23 | - | 5 | - | 2 | - | - | 1 coho trap mortallty. |
|  | 63 | 13 | 2 | 4 | - | 3 | - | 2 | - | - |  |
|  | 66 | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | 788 | 28 | - | 19 | - | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | Fith and Wildilfe box inatalled. |
|  | 848 | 30 | - | 28 | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | Fence vancalized. |
|  | 877 | 53 | - | 52 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 coho trap mortality. |
|  | 1,155 | 35 | 2 | 44 | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - |  |
|  | 1,114 | 34 | - | 6 | - | 4 | - | 6 | - | - |  |
|  | 1,192 | 21 | 6 | 39 | - | 4 | 1 | 5 | - | - |  |
|  | 607 | 19 | 3 | 23 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 4 coho trap mortalitiea. |
|  | 1,093 | 23 | 1 | 14 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 3 | - | - |  |
|  | 1,103 | 27 | 1 | 18 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - |  |
|  | 670 | 12 | - | 7 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - |  |
|  | 1,090 | 9 | 1 | 18 | - | 2 | 6 | 1 | - | - |  |
|  | 1,301 | 19 | 2 | 24 | - | - | - | - | - | - | ragging completed. |
|  | 1,140 | 24 | 1 | 32 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | 583. | 25 | 1 | 29 | 1 | 3 | - | 5 | - | - | 1 coho trap mortality. |
|  | 327 | 7 | - | 8 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 coho trap mortality. |
|  | 455 | 2 | - | 3 | - | - | _ | 1 | - | - | 1 coho trap mortality. |
|  | 120 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | - | - |  |
|  | 1,039 | 5 | - | 4 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 coho trap mortalities. |
|  | 486 | 10 | - | 19 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 2 coho trap mortalitiea. |
|  | 196 | 3 | - | 7 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |  |
| June | 230 | 6 | - | 13 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | 173 | 4 | - | 9 | - | 2 | 1 | 3 | - | - |  |
|  | 70 | 3 | - | 6 | 1 | 2 | - | 3 | - | - |  |
|  | 48 | - | - | 3 | - | 1 | - | 3 | - | - |  |
|  | 260 | 8 | - | 8 | - | 10 | 2 | 1 | - | - | Heavy raini overnight. |
|  | 78 | 5 | - | 8 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | meavy rains overnight. |
|  | 49 | 2 | - | 7 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |  |
|  | 51 | - | - | 3 | - | - | 1 | 3 | - | - |  |
|  | 9 | - | - | - | - | 6 | - | - | 1 | - |  |
|  | 5 | - | - | 3 | - | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | - |  |
|  | 13 | - | - - | 1 | - | 10 | - | 4 | - |  |  |
|  | 3 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | 1 | Trap Removed. |
| TOTALS | 27,566 | 842 | 24 | 687 | 16 | 147 | 29 | 111 | 8 | 2 |  |

32. 

Appendix $1(4) . \quad 1980$ Coghlan Creek daily fence trap catches.

| Date | Coho smolts | Ralnbow |  | Cutthroat |  | CrayFish | Pacific Latuprey | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Other stick le- } \\ & \text { hanprey beck } \end{aligned}$ |  | Sucker | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | seolts | Premalta | smolts | Premolta |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| April 19 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | Trap installed. |
|  | 11 | 8 | - | 13 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | 24 | 6 | 1 | 17 | . 2 | - | - | - - | - | - |  |
|  | 42 | 5 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | Trap $\mathbf{f l o o d e d ~ f o r ~ s e v e r a l ~ h o u r s . ~}$ |
|  | 37 | 5 | 1 | 17 | - | - | 1 | - - | 1 | - | 1 dace. |
|  | 42 | - | 1 | 4 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |  |
|  | 51 | 7 | - | 13 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 coho trap mortallty. |
|  | 144 | 10 | - | 29 | 4 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - |  |
|  | 97 | 3 | - | 16 | 5 | - | - | 1 | - | - |  |
|  | 135 | 2 | 1 | 12 | 3 | - | - | - | - | 1 |  |
|  | 179 | 14 | 1 | 44 | 5 | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | 1 trap mortality. |
|  | 450 | 11 | 5 | 54 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | 351 | 7 | - | 64 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 fence mortality. |
|  | 340 | 9 | 1 | 49 | 3 | - | - | - | 1 | - |  |
| Hay | 241 | 3 | - | 26 | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - |  |
|  | 131 | 1 | - | 30 | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | First fry emergence noted. |
|  | 269 | - | 1 | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 long nose dacel 1 sculpin. |
|  | 661 | 7 | 2 | 53 | 2 | - | - | 3 | - | 1 |  |
|  | 757 | 7 | 2 | 53 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 prickly soulpin. |
|  | 848 | 4 | - | 62 | 3 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - |  |
|  | 819 | 17 | - | 36 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | 547 | 11 | 3 | 23 | 5 | - | 1 | - | - | - |  |
|  | 382 | 8 | - | 51 | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - |  |
|  | 255 | 6 | - | 56 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | 582 | 22 | - | 90 | 9 | - | 2 | - | - | - |  |
|  | 514 | 17 | 1 | 119 | 8 | 1 | - | 3 | - | - | Holding boz vandalised. |
|  | 717 | 12 | 2 | 43 | 3 | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | - | Bolding bor vandalised; all trout removed. |
|  | 318 | 3 | - | 31 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | 78 | 6 | - | 23 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | 369 | - | - | 34 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - |  |
|  | 147 | 6 | 1 | 22 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | 205 | 12 | - | 35 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | 198 | 16 | 5 | 35 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | 300 | 14 | - | 57 | - | 4 | 2 | - | - | - |  |
|  | 301 | 2 | 3 | 103 | 3 | 3 | - | 2 | - | - |  |
|  | 276 | 7 | 1 | 50 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 1 | - | - |  |
|  | 194 | 9 | 1 | 59 | 1 | 4 | $-$ | 1 | - | - |  |
|  | 140 | 3 | - | 17 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | 181 | 2 | - | 16 | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |  |
|  | 34 | 1 | - | 20 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | 118 | - | - | 33 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - |  |
|  | 240 | 4 | - | 50 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | Trap efficiency test initiated. |
|  | 26 | - | 1 | 36 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | 67 | - | - | 15 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | 55 | 1 | - | 22 | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | - | - |  |
| June | 42 | - | - | 14 | - | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | - |  |
|  | 30 | - | - | 13 | - | - | 2 | 2 | - | - |  |
|  | 57 | - | 2 | 10 | 4 | - | 2 | 2 | - | 1 |  |
|  | 34 | 2 |  | 26 | , | 4 | - | - | 1 |  |  |
|  | 62 | 1 | - | 34 | 2 | 8 | - | - 1 | 2 | 1 |  |
|  | 21 | - | - | 8 | 3 | 2 | - | 2 | 2 | - |  |
|  | 16 | - | - | 18 | - | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | - |  |
|  | 24 | - | - | 19 | 3 | 2 | - | 1. | 1 | - |  |
|  | 42 | - | - | 31 | 1 | 12 | - | - | 7 | 1 | 1 prickly sculpin. |
|  | 4 | - | - | 9 | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | 1 |  |  |
|  | 1 | - | - | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | Trap removed. |
| TOTALS | 12,206 | 292 | 36 | 1,826 | 119 | 58 | 21 | 32 | 23 | 5 |  |

33. 

| Date | Coho 8molts | Raintoon |  | Cutthront |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cray- } \\ & \text { Flah } \end{aligned}$ | Pacific <br> Lamprey | Other stickleLamprey back |  | Sucker | Remark: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 8molts | Presmolta | smolts | Preamolta |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr11 18 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | Trap not flahing property, 1 dece |
| 19 | 14 | 2 | 1 | 2 | - | 5 | 3 | - | - | - |  |
| 20 | 62 | 62 | 1 | 24 | 2 | 6 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 coho trap mortality. |
| 21 | 78 | 21 | - | 19 | - | 4 | 1 | - | 5 | - | Trap flooded for meveral hours. |
| 22 | 46 | 2 | 1 | 2 | - | 5 | - | - | - | - |  |
| 23 | 61 | 9 | - | 3 | 1 | 5 | 1 | - | 3 | - |  |
| 24 | 98 | 43 | 1 | 9 | - | 1 | 3 | - | 1 | 1 |  |
| 25 | 167 | 55 | 1 | 38 | - | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | - |  |
| 26 | 254 | 30 | - | 14 | - | 2 |  | 2 | - | - | 1 coho trap mortallty. |
| 27 | 449 | 69 | - | 29 | - | 4 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 coho trap mortality. |
| 38 | 967 | 47 | 3 | 38 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 dult steelhead. |
| 29 | 451 | 19 | - | 7 | - | 4 | - | 2 | - | - | 1 coho trap mortallty. |
| 30 | 730 | 71 | 6 | 84 | 2 | 2 | - | 2 | 1 | - | 4 coho trap mortalleles. |
| May $\quad 1$ | 733 | 49 | - | 34 | 2 | - | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 coho trap mortallties. |
| 2 | 649 | 31 | - | 20 | - | 4 | 1 | 2 | - | 2 |  |
| 3 | 662 | 46 | 2 | 40 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 3 | - | 1 |  |
| 4 | 839 | 27 | 1 | 48 | 3 | 5 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 2 coho trap mortallities |
| 5 | 1,037 | 95 | - | 163 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 1 | - | - |  |
| 6 | 1,406 | 110 | 1 | 160 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | - |  |
| 7 | 1,274 | 109 | 4 | 111 | 7 | 5 | 1 | - | - | - |  |
| 8 | 1,491 | 56 | 2 | 80 | 2 | 2 | - | 2 | - | 1 |  |
| 9 | 885 | 39 | 1 | 78 | - | 4 | - | . | 2 | - | 1 coho trap mortallty. |
| 10 | 461 | 24 | - | 75 | 5 | 1 | 3 | . | - | - |  |
| 11 | 207 | 10 | - | 12 | 3 | 6 | - | - | 1 | - |  |
| 12 | 1,299 | 28 | 1 | 105 | 4 | 6 | - | 1 | - | 2 | 2 coho trap mortalities. |
| 13 | 1,234 | 28 | 1 | 85 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  | 2 coho trap mortalities. |
| 14 | 653 | 27 | 4 | 66 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 2 | - | 1 | 1 coho trap mortality. |
| 15 | 393 | 15 | 3 | 49 | 2 | 6 | - | 2 | 1 |  | 1 colo trap mortality. |
| 16 | 419 | 7 | 2 | 33 | 1 | 2 | 2 | - | - | - |  |
| 17 | 210 | 17 | 3 | 11 | 2 | 2 | 2 | - | - | - |  |
| 18 | 362 | 20 | 7 | 44 | 2 | 7 | - | - | - | - |  |
| 19 | 348 | 19 | 5 | 37 | 9 | 9 | - | - | - | - |  |
| 20 | 522 | 48 | 3 | 162 | 4 | 10 | - | - | - | - |  |
| 21 | 302 | 32 | 17 | 140 | 10 | 14 | - | - | 3 | - | 4 coho fence mortalitiea, 1 eculpla |
| 22 | 383 | 36 | 5 | 126 | 21 | 7 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - cato lonce mortalitienf 1 eculpha |
| 23 | 242 | 1 |  | 32 | - | 1 |  | 1 | - | - |  |
| 24 | 87 | 3 | - | 22 | 2 | 9 | 1 | - | - | - |  |
| 23 | 174 | 1 | - | 17 | 1 | 2 | $-$ | - | 1 | - |  |
| 26 | 191 | - | - | 5 | 3 | 3 | - | 6 | - | - | 2 coho trap mortalities. |
| 27 | 138 | 1 | - | 7 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 2 cotro trap mortalities. |
| 28 | 371 | 3 | - | 17 | 2 | 4 | - | - | - | - |  |
| 29 30 | 72 | 28 | - | 2 | - | 6 | - | - | 1 | - | map efilciency test initiato. |
| 30 | 133 | - | - | 8 | 1 | 9 | 1 | - | - | - |  |
| June $\begin{array}{r}31 \\ 1\end{array}$ | 43 | 2 | - | 4 | - | 3 | - | - | - | - |  |
| June $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2\end{aligned}$ | 58 | 2 | - | 1 | - |  |  | 1 | 1 | - |  |
| 2 | 35 | - | - | 2 | 1 | 3 | - | $-$ | - | - | 4 coho trap mortalities. |
| 3 | 126 | 2 | 2 | 27 | 3 | 6 | - | 1 | - | - | 10 coho trap mortallties (predator). |
| 4 | 51 | - | - | 34 | 2 | 0 | - | 2 | - | - | 1 Cuthront Relt. |
| 5 6 | 81 | 11 | 1 | 73 | 7 | 19 | - | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 6 | 12 | - | - | 1 | 3 | 14 | - | 1 | 2 | - | some bor predation. |
| 7 | 17 | - | - | 1 |  | 6 | - | - | - | - |  |
| 8 | 25 | 1 | , | 26 | 6 | 8 | - | - | 1 |  | 1 stee Uheed Eolt. |
| 10 | 21 | 1 | 1 | 11 | $1$ | 46 | - | 1 | - | 1 |  |
| 10 | 17 |  |  | 6 | 5 | 17 | - | - | 2 | - | Trap removed. |
| TOTALS | 21,502 | 1,360 | 60 | 2,244 | 148 | 316 | 26 | 44 | 35 | 12 |  |

34. 

 (previous day) - 0900 h ; 'DAY' denotes the period of approximately $0900-1600 \mathrm{~h}$.

*Spot temperatures.
35.

APPENDIX 2. DAILY MINNOW TRAPPING CATCHES
36.
37.
Appendix $2(0)$. Salmon River Einnow trap cateh resulte 1976.

| Dote | Number ofTrap: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Coho } \\ & \text { smolts } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Coho } \\ & \text { Fry } \end{aligned}$ | Trout |  | Crayfioh | stick leback | Other | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | parr | molt |  |  |  |  |
| April | 14 | 15 | - | - | 2 | 9 | 10 | - | trape 300m downtrean of |
|  | 32 | 14 | - | , | 1 | 3 | 4 |  | coghlan conf luence. |
|  | 32 | 504 | - | 48 | 15 | 4 | 8 | 1 dolly varden |  |
|  | 32 | 371 | - | 66 | 3 | 10 | 19 | - | additional trape ent Coghlan |
|  | 32 | 306 | - | 57 | - | 7 | 38 | - | conf luence to 6ith eromaing |
| May | 32 | 108 | - | 42 | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | 32 | 44 | - | 4 | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | 32 | 8 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - |  |
|  | 32 | 65 | - | 9 | - | 30 | 108 | - |  |
|  | 32 | 800 | - | 32 | - | 1 | - | 1 aculpin |  |
|  | 32 | 12 | - | 3 | - | - | - |  | 1 rainbow dend |
|  | 7 | 65 | 6 | 22 | - | 5 | 3 | 1 dace |  |
|  | 7 | 37 | 0 | 8 | - | 19 | 1 | 1 |  |
|  | , | 31 | - | 2 | 4 | - | - | 1 dace |  |
|  | 9 | 34 | 36 | 12 | - | - | 2 | - |  |
|  | 29 | 301 | 215 | 104 | 1 | - | 3 |  | 52 tegged cobo caught below |
|  | 36 | 199 | 223 | 66 | - | - | - | 1 aucker | Coghlan cont luance |
|  | 36 | 204 | 264 | 53 | 36 | 22 | 1 | - |  |
|  | 34 | 90 | - | 154 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 mou Lpini2 enckere |  |
| June $\begin{array}{ll}1 \\ & \\ & 2 \\ & 5 \\ & 6 \\ & 7 \\ & 8 \\ & 9\end{array}$ | 33 | 167 | 306 | 110 | 0 | 19 | 7 | - | 2 trapa randm lized; 20 tagged |
|  | 31 | 139 | 279 | 143 | - | 21 | 6 | - | cotho below confluence |
|  | 26 | 64 | 195 | 50 | - | 16 | 2 | - |  |
|  | 34 | 107 | 490 | 97 | - | 14 | 6 | - |  |
|  | 34 | 35 | 380 | 52 | - | 5 | 2 | - |  |
|  | 34 | 14 | 280 | 16 | - | 24 | - | - |  |
|  | 34 | 28 | 420 | 36 | - | 15 | - | - | all minnow trape removed |
| Total |  | 3,902 | 3,102 | 1,192 | 72 | 234 | 224 |  |  |


39.

APPENDIX 3. DAILY TAGGING DATA



mpmalix $3(\mathrm{c})$. 1979 Saimon River coho molt tagging results. (code 2/16/59).

| maging | golding Tlise (dinges) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pro-rag }{ }^{1} \\ & \text { Mortalley } \end{aligned}$ | Re leazed ${ }^{2}$ <br> without tagging | Undersize ${ }^{3}$ | Total ${ }^{4}$. <br> Number <br> Marked | - Reject Rate |  |  | Total Marked and without tag |  | Post Tag Mortality |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total Tagged }{ }^{8} \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { Released } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | N | 24 hr | 48 hr |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ad Only ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Tag } \\ \operatorname{Toses}^{6} . \end{gathered}$ | 1 mmed. |  | $\frac{h r}{} 7 .$ |  |
| may 1 | 3-4 | - | - | - | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 |
| 2 | 4-5 | 1 | - | - | 2.124 | 145 | 0.7 | 0.7 | - | 15 | - |  | - | 2.109 |
| 4 | 1 | 1 | - | 16 | 3,498 | 118 | 1.7 | 1.7 | - | 60 | - |  | - | 3,438 |
| 8 | 2-3 | 2 | - | 5 | 4,077 | 199 | 1.0 | 1.0 | - | 41 | - |  | - | 4,036 |
| 9 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 396 | 129 | 0 | 0 | - | - | 2 |  | - | 394 |
| 14 | 1-5 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 2.751 | 167 | 0 | 0.6 | . - | 17 | 1 |  | - | 2,733 |
| 15 | 1 | 3 | - | 1 | 1,204 | 103 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - |  | - | 1,204 |
| 16 | 1 | 2 | - | - | 1.127 | 131 | 0.8 | 0.8 | - | 9 | - |  | - | 1,118 |
| 17 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1,187 | 124 | 1.6 | 1.6 | - | 19 | - |  | - | 1,168 |
| 18 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 605 | 107 | 1.9 | 2.8 | - | 17 | - |  | - | 588 |
| 22 | 1-4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 3.435 | 168 | 0 | 0 | - | - | 1 |  | - | 3,434 |
| TOThis |  | 18 | 8 | 30 | 20,409 | 1,389 | 0.7 | 0.9 | - | 178 |  | , | 0 | 20,227 |


-suoffezou azouzooz 103 (e) \& xipuaddy azs.
43.
Appendix $3(e)$. 1980 Salmon River coho smolt tagging results. (code 2/18/23).


- See Appendix 3(a) for footnote notations.

44. 
45. 

APPENDIX 4. SUMMARY OF AMOMALIRS RNCOUNTERED DURING TAGGIMG
47.

| Location | Year | Number <br> Inspected | Meascus sp. | Exopthalmia | Fog Bye | Fin Rot | Lordosis | Scholiosis | General Damage | operculum Damage Loss | Natural Adipone |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coghlan Creek | 1978 | 13,700 | $\begin{array}{r} 75(41) \\ 0.60 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 31(20) \\ 0.28 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 98 \\ \hline 0.78 \\ 0.70 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26(8) \\ 0.20 \end{array}$ | * | * | $\begin{array}{r} 18(5) \\ 0.10 \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 5(0) \\ 0.14 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 1979 | 11,834 | $\begin{array}{r} 30(0) \\ 0.38 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35(1) \\ 0.20 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23(0) \\ 0.24 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19(0) \\ 0.28 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16(0) \\ 0.10 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1(0) \\ 0.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23(8) \\ 0.28 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4(0) \\ 0.18 \end{array}$ | - |
|  | 1980 | 21,076 | $\begin{array}{r} 592(0) \\ 5.38 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 30(21) \\ 0.38 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 90(0) \\ 0.84 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35(0) \\ 0.38 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19(4) \\ 0.20 \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 62(21) \\ 0.68 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17(2) \\ 0.20 \end{array}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{cc} 2(1) \\ 0 & 1 \end{array}\right)$ |
| Salmon River | 1979 | 20,435 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,170(0) \\ 5.78 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14(0) \\ 0.18 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20(0) \\ 0.1 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 38(2) \\ 0.28 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2(0) \\ 0.10 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1(0) \\ 0.18 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 29(4) \\ 0.18 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 8 & 0 \\ 0.10 \end{array}$ | - |
|  | 1980 | 19,752 | $\begin{array}{r} 4,013(0) \\ 20.38 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22(13) \\ 0.18 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 195(3) \\ 1.08 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 70(1) \\ 0.40 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2(0) \\ 0.10 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3(1) \\ 0.18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 62(17) \\ 0.38 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17(2) \\ 0.10 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2(0) \\ 0.1 \end{array}$ |

* Spinal deformities were not reported separately during 1978. A total of 51 (17) were noted, for an incidence at 0.374.
48.

49. 

APPENDIX 5. LEMGTH-FREQUEACY DISTRIBUTIONS



Appendix $5(b) .1979$ Coghlan Creek coho malt length-frequency diatribution by age and eaple period.







| Buinem | april 13 |  |  | apell 30 |  |  | $\operatorname{mog}$ |  |  | - 14 |  |  | $\max$ |  |  | -5x |  |  | Gave 1 |  |  | Sum 11 |  |  | teen 1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1480 | 1* | 24 | 20tel | 1. | 24 | 200.al | 14 | 34 | +0el 1 | 1* | 24 | 20tel 2 | 14 | 24 | 20tal | 14 | 24 | sotel | 1* | $2+$ | notel | 14 | 24 | Total | 14 | $3+$ | Sotal |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Port Lamet } \\ & \text { tis) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 65-89 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 |
| 70-74 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | 2 | - | 2 | 3 | - | 3 |
| 75-79 | - | - | - | $=$ | - | - | 3 | - | 3 | 2 | - | 3 | 4 | - | 4 | 2 | - | 2 | 7 | - | 7 | 11 | - | 11 | 3 | - | 30 |
| 00-44 | 3 | - | 3 | 7 | - | 7 | 3 | - | 4 | ? | - | 10 | 13 | - | 13 | \% | - | 9 | 11 | $\bullet$ | 11 | 13 | - | 11 | 74 | - | 78 |
| 45-39 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 3 | - | 7 | 7 | - | 10 | 14 | - | 17 | 19 | - | 19 | 22 | - | 23 | 17 | $\checkmark$ | 30 | 21 | - | 21 | 136 | 1 | 141 |
| 50-94 | 3 | - | 3 | 14 | - | 14 | 12 | - | 16 | 23 | - | 34 | 30 | - | 30 | 25 | - | 27 | 11 | - | 21 | 13 | - | 13 | 141 |  | 140 |
| 53-09 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 13 | - | 16 | 13 | 1 | 15 | 19 | - | 22 | 22 | - | 22 | 14 | - | 14 | 14 | - | 14 | 4 | - | 3 | 100 | 2 | 111 |
| 109-104 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 11 | 1 | 13 | 12 | - | 14 | 11 | - | 13 | 5 | - | 0 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 4 | - | 4 | 3 | - | 3 | 38 | 3 | 65 |
| 105-109 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 2 | - | 3 | - | 13 | 7 | - | $\theta$ | 2 | - | 3 | 3 | - | 4 | - | * |  | 3 | - | 3 | 31 | 4 | 44 |
| 110-114 | 4 | - | 6 | 6 | 1 | - | 5 | - | $\leqslant$ | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | 4 | - | 6 | 2 | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | 23 | 1 | 32 |
| 115-119 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | - | 6 | 4 | - | 6 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |  | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 12 | 3 | 19 |
| 120-124 | 1 | - | 1 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 6 | - | 7 | - | - |  | - | - |  | 4 | - | 4 | - | - |  | 1 | - | 1 | 17 | 1 | 19 |
| 125-139 | 2 | - | 2 | 7 |  | 7 | , | - | 1 | - | - |  | - | - |  | 2 | - | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | 3 | - | 3 | 16 | - | 16 |
| 139-134 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | - | 3 | 3 | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |  | 3 | - | 3 | 13 | 1 | 18 |
| 135-139 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 4 | $\sim$ | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 3 |  |
| 140-144 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 145-149 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| 130-154 | - | - | - | - | - | - | * | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| - | 73.2 | 16.8 | 50 | 22.1 | 7.7 | 100 | 74 $\times 4.3$ | 3.7 | 100 | 107 |  | 100 | 100 | - | 100 | 92 | 1.1 | 100 | 94.0 | 2.2 | 180 | 104 | - | 0 | 44.4 | $34$ | 739 |
| $\underline{1}$ | 207.1 | 115.5 | 110.6 | 104.6 | 118.3 | 105.1 | 101.9 | 131.3 | 102.5 | \$3.4 | - | 93.9 | 91.5 | - | 21.6 | 95.3 | 103.0 | 98.3 | 90.0 | 144.5 | 91.0 | 09.7 | - | 09.) | 95.5 | 128.3 | 96.7 |
| - | 19.1 | 17.7 | 10.4 | 14.* | 14.3 | 13.3 | 13.3 | 34.6 | 14.5 | 0.1 | - | 0.3 | 6.6 | - | 7.0 | 12.4 | - | 11.7 | 0.0 | 7.0 | 11.2 | 13.0 | - | 13.0 | 13.0 | 29.3 | 14.1 |
| Elabti |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I ( D ) |  | - | 14.1 | - | - | 12.9 | - | - | 12.0 | - | * | 0. 4 | - | - | 7.7 | - | - | -. 0 | - | - | 3.0 | - | - | 9.2 | - | * | 9.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - |  |

55. 

APPENDIX 6. TRAP EFFICIENCY RESULTS

Appendix $6(a) . \quad 1980$ trap efficiency tests for coho smolts.

| Date | COGHLAN CREER |  |  | SALMON RIVER |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | * Marks Released | * Marks Recovered | Percent Recovery | * Marks Released | \| Marks Recovered | Percent Recovery |
| May 28 | 50 | 7 | 14 | 50 | 1 | 2 |
| May 29 | - | 3 | 6 | - | 19 | 38 |
| May 30 | - | 22 | 44 | - | 16 | 32 |
| May 31 | - | 7 | 14 | - | 3 | 6 |
| June 1 | - | 4 | 8 | - | 1 | 2 |
| June 2 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 4 |
| June 3 | - | 1 | 2 | - | 2 | 4 |
| June 4 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 4 |
| June 5 | - | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | 2 |
| TOTAL | 50 | 45 | 908 | 50 | 47 | 94\% |

Appendix $6(b)$. Length-frequency distribution and chi-squared analysis of marked releases compared to marked recoveries during the 1980 coho smolt trap efficiency test.

| Length (mm) | COGHLAN CREER |  |  | SALMON RIVER |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - Released | Observed | Expected Recovery | *Released Recovery | Observed Recovery | Expected Recovery |
| 76-80 | 1 | 0 | 0.9 | 3 | 2 | 2.8 |
| 81-85 | 9 | 6 | 8.1 | 12 | 11 | 11.3 |
| 86-90 | 15 | 15 | 13.5 | 11 | 11 | 10.3 |
| 91-95 | 10 | 10 | 9.0 | 12 | 12 | 11.3 |
| 96-100 | 6 | 6 | 5.4 | 4 | 4 | 3.8 |
| 101-105 | 2 | 2 | 1.8 | 5 | 4 | 4.7 |
| 106-110 | 3 | 2 | 2.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 111-115 | 2 | 2 | 1.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 116-120 | 1 | 1 | 0.9 | 1 | 2 | 0.9 |
| 121-125 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.9 |
| 126-130 | 1 | 1 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 0.9 |
| TOTAL | 50 | 45 | $\begin{gathered} x^{2}=2.04 \\ (p=0.01) \end{gathered}$ | 50 | 47 | $\begin{aligned} & 2=0.46 \\ & (p \times 0.005) \end{aligned}$ |

59. 

APPENDIX 7. DAILY WATER TEMPERATURE AND STREAM FLOWS
60.
Appendix 7 (a). 1978 and 1979 water temperatures and staff gauge readings in Coghlan Creek and Salmon River.

62.
Appendix 7 (b). 1980 morning and afternoom water terperabures and ataff gauge resings in Coghlan Creat and Balmon kiver.

| Date |  | Coghlon Croek |  |  |  |  |  |  | salmon tiver |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mornin |  |  |  |  |  |  | Morning |  |  | Afternoon |  |  |
|  |  | Fim | Tenperature(0c) |  | Level(f) | Fime | $\text { Fempersture }\left(^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Level(t) | 7ime | Temperature ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | Level(ft) | Tim | Femperature ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) Level(ft) |  |
| April | 18 | 0930 |  | 9.75 | 1.45 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 19 | 1100 |  | 10.25 | 1.45 | - | - | - | 1100 | 10.75 | 0.85 | - | - | - |
|  | 20 | 1100 | - | - | 2.10 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 21 | 1000 |  | 9.25 | 1.57 | 1600 | 12.00 | 1.50 | 0930 | 9.50 | 1.15 | 1600 | 12.50 | 1.14 |
|  | 22 | 0815 |  | 8.50 | 1.47 | 1550 | 11.50 | 1.45 | 0045 | 9.30 | 1.04 | 1545 | 12.00 | 1.00 |
|  | 23 | 0845 |  | 9.25 | 1.41 | 1530 | 12.50 | 1.39 | 0915 | 10.00 | 0.97 | 1530 | 13.00 | 0.95 |
|  | 24 | 0900 |  | 9.75 | 1.41 | 1430 | 11.50 | 1.41 | 0945 | 10.50 | 0.97 | 1415 | 12.00 | 0.93 |
|  | 25 | 0830 |  | 0.75 | 1.39 | 1330 | 10.75 | 1.38 | 0930 | 9.50 | 0.89 | 1300 | 11.25 | 0.89 |
|  | 26 | 0830 |  | 9.50 | 1.37 | - | - | - | 0900 | 10.25 | 0.91 | - | - | - |
|  | 27 |  |  | - | - | 1230 | 12.00 | 1.35 | - | - | - | 1345 | 13.00 | 0.88 |
|  | 28 | 0845 |  | 10.50 | 1.34 | 1620 | 11.00 | 1.34 | 0915 | 11.25 | 0.85 | 1645 | 11.75 | 0.05 |
|  | 29 | 0845 |  | 9.00 | 1.33 | 1545 | 11.00 | 1.33 | 0843 | 10.00 | 0.87 | 1545 | 11.75 | 0.86 |
|  | 30 | 0045 |  | 7.75 | 1.33 | 1540 | 11.50 | 1.32 | 0915 | 9.00 | 0.84 | 1600 | 12.25 | 0.83 |
| May | 1 | 0845 |  | 9.00 | 1.29 | 1545 | 13.00 | 1.31 | 0915 | 10.00 | 0.82 | 1515 | 14.00 | 0.02 |
|  | 2 | 0900 |  | 10.75 | 1.31 | 1410 | 11.00 | 1.29 | 1000 | 11.75 | 0.83 | 1430 | 13.00 | 0.80 |
|  | 3 | 0945 |  | 9.00 | 1.30 | - | - | - | 1030 | 11.00 | 0.60 | - | - | - |
|  | 4 | - |  | - |  | 1230 | - | 1.25 | - | - | - | - | - | - ${ }^{-}$ |
|  | 5 | 0830 |  | 10.50 | 1.26 | 1600 | 11.75 | 1.27 | 0900 | 11.50 | 0.84 | 1700 | 12.50 | 0.78 |
|  | 6 | 0845 |  | 10.25 | 1.29 | 1515 | 12.00 | 1.28 | 1040 | 11.75 | 0.80 | 1545 | 12.50 | 0.78 |
|  | 7 | 0830 |  | 9.00 | 1.27 | 1600 | 12.50 | 1.25 | 0945 | 10.00 | 0.78 | 1530 | 13.00 | 0.78 |
|  | 0 | 0845 |  | 9.50 | 1.27 | 1330 | 10.25 | 1.27 | 0915 | 10.50 | 0.78 | 1315 | 11.00 | 0.78 |
|  | 9 | 0900 |  | 9.50 | 1.27 | 1500 | 11.00 | - | 0945 | 10.50 | 0.78 | 1530 | 11.50 | - |
|  | 10 | 1145 |  | - | 1.26 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 11 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 12 | 0900 |  | 10.50 | 1.20 | 1500 | 11.00 | 1.27 | 0945 | 10.50 | 0.80 | 1600 | 12.00 | 0.76 |
|  | 13 | 0900 |  | 10.00 | 1.27 | 1645 | 11.00 |  | 1030 | 11.00 | 0.77 | 1610 | 11.50 | - |
|  | 14 | 0830 |  | 9.25 | 1.25 | 1530 | 10.50 | - | 0900 | 10.25 | 0.75 | 1530 | 11.00 | - |
|  | 15 | 0930 |  | 9.00 | 1.25 | 1630 | 9.75 | - | 0945 | 9.50 | 0.76 | 1630 | 10.00 | - |
|  | 16 | 0830 |  | 9.50 | 1.26 | 1600 | 10.25 | - | 0900 | 10.00 | 0.75 | 1600 | 11.00 | - |
|  | 17 | 0930 |  | 9.50 | 1.24 | - | - | - | 1005 | 10.00 | 0.76 | - | - | - |
|  | 10 | 0900 |  | 10.00 | 1.24 | - | - | - | 0800 | 11.00 | 0.75 | - | - | - |
|  | 19 | 1030 |  | 10.00 | 1.26 | - | - | - | 1000 | 11.00 | 0.74 | - | - | - |
|  | 20 | 0930 |  | 9.50 | 1.42 | 1600 | 10.25 | 1.44 | 0900 | 10.25 | 0.84 | 1630 | 10.75 | 0.84 |
|  | 21 | 0945 |  | 10.25 | 1.43 | 1545 | 11.00 | 1.41 | 1030 | 11.00 | 0.86 | 1530 | 11.75 | 0.85 |
|  | 22 | 0845 |  | 10.00 | 1.37 | 1500 | 11.00 | 1.37 | 0930 | 10.50 | 0.85 | 1600 | 11.00 | - |
|  | 23 | 1015 |  | 9.25 | 1.38 | - | - | - | 1015 | 9.75 | 0.03 |  | 1 | - |
|  | 24 | 1000 |  | - | 1.31 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 25 | 1100 |  | 10.25 | 1.30 | - | - | - | 1130 | 11.00 | 0.77 | - | - | - |
|  | 26 | 0900 |  | 10.00 | 1.32 | - | - | - | 0930 | 11.00 | 0.77 | - | - | - |
|  | 27 | 1000 | - | 10.00 | 1.35 | 1420 | 10.25 | - | 1100 | 11.50 | 0.78 | - | - | - |
|  | 28 | 0830 |  | 10.25 | 1.36 | 1445 | 11.00 | - | 1000 | 10.75 | 0.83 | 1430 | 11.50 | 0.83 |
|  | 29 | 0830 |  | 10.50 | 1.34 | - |  | - | 0930 | 11.25 | 0.80 | 183 | S1. | 0.0 |
|  | 30 | 1000 |  | 10.75 | 1.31 | - | - | $\sim$ | 1130 | 12.00 |  | - | - |  |
|  | 31 | 1155 |  | 10.50 | - | 1230 | 11.00 | 1.29 | 10 | 12.00 | 0.78 | 1300 | 12.00 | 0.78 |
| June | 1 | 1155 |  | 10.50 | 1.32 | - | 11.00 | 1. | - | - | - | 1230 | 11.50 | 0.81 |
|  | 2 | 0900 |  | 9.75 | 1.32 | 1400 | 10.00 | 1.30 | 0900 | 10.50 | 0.83 | 1400 | 10.75 | 0.84 |
|  | 3 | 1030 |  | 10.00 | 1.37 | - | , | , | 1100 | 11.00 | 0.87 |  | 10.75 | . |
|  |  | 0830 |  | 10.00 | 1.40 | - | - | - | 0900 | 10.75 | 0.90 | - | - | - |
|  | 5 | 0930 |  | 10.25 | 1.42 | - | - | - | 0845 | 11.00 | 0.97 | - | - | - |
|  | 6 | 0900 |  | 10.50 | 1.34 | - | - | - | 1030 | 10.25 | 0.90 | - | - | - |
|  | 7 | - |  |  | , | 1500 | 12.75 | 1.32 | , | 10.25 | 0.90 | 1530 | 14.00 | 0.84 |
|  | 8 | 15 |  | - | - | 1500 | 11.75 | 1.43 | - | - | - | 1600 | 13.00 | 0.84 0.88 |
|  | 9 | 0915 |  | 12.50 | 1.39 |  | - | 1. | 1030 | 13.00 | 0.94 | - | 3.00 | 0.80 |
|  | 10 | 1100 |  | 11.50 | 1.31 | 1430 | 12.50 | - | 1130 | 13.00 | 0.85 | 1430 | 14.00 | - |
|  | 11 | 0900 |  | 10.00 | 1.27 | - | - | - | - | 3.00 | 0. | , | 10. | - |

63. 

APPENDIX 8. ANNUAL MEAN MONIHLY DISCEARGES
65.
Annual mean monthly discharges (in $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$ ) for the Salmon River at 72 Avenue (Station number 08miO90).

| Year | January | Pebruary | March | April | May | June | July | August | September | October | November | Decenber |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1960 | - | 3.16 | 1.43 | 1.45 | 1.45 | 0.504 | 0.253 | 0.231 | 0.269 | 0.892 | 2.31 | - |
| 1961 | 4.05 | 5.16 | 3.33 | 1.15 | 1.02 | 0.388 | 0.213 | 0.193 | 0.205 | 0.571 | 1.47 | 2.77 |
| 1962 | 3.11 | 1.55 | 1.28 | 2.16 | 1.03 | 0.397 | 0.190 | 0.296 | 0.323 | 0.778 | 3.14 | 1.37 |
| 1963 | 1.54 | 2.19 | 1.47 | 1.35 | 0.66 | 0.147 | 0.194 | 0.130 | 0.130 | 0.578 | 3.33 | 1.39 |
| 1964 | 3.87 | 2.06 | 2.65 | 1.52 | 0.88 | 1.030 | 0.826 | 0.631 | 1.300 | - | - | - |
| 1968 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1969 | - | - | 1.94 | - | - | 0.302 | 0.265 | 0.225 | 0.733 | 1.480 | 2.27 | - |
| 1970 | 2.61 | 1.93 | 1.14 | 2.86 | 0.55 | 0.242 | 0.232 | 0.200 | 0.254 | 0.315 | 1.25 | 1.12 |
| 1971 | 4.50 | 3.39 | 3.12 | 1.22 | 0.52 | 0.818 | 0.465 | 0.284 | 0.327 | 0.967 | 3.70 | 2.94 |
| 1972 | 3.76 | 4.35 | 3.90 | 2.85 | 0.84 | 0.391 | 1.090 | 0.316 | 0.501 | 0.412 | 1.54 | 1.99 |
| 1973 | - | - | - | 0.75 | 0.48 | 0.390 | 0.270 | 0.235 | - | 0.427 | 2.59 | - |
| 1974 | 3.69 | 3.83 | 2.45 | 1.56 | 1.19 | 0.654 | 0.335 | 0.212 | 0.207 | 0.221 | 1.06 | 1.54 |
| 1975 | 3.11 | 2.19 | 1.81 | 0.65 | 0.57 | 0.284 | 0.205 | 0.307 | 0.184 | 2.230 | 3.15 | 1.67 |
| 2976 | 3.66 | 2.90 | 1.78 | 1.68 | 0.75 | 0.693 | 0.378 | 0.389 | 0.527 | 0.497 | 1.22 | 1.38 |
| 1977 | 1.96 | 1.14 | 1.69 | 0.90 | 0.41 | 0.501 | 0.227 | 0.216 | 0.244 | 0.467 | 2.66 | 1.17 |
| 1978 | 1.93 | 1.73 | 1.24 | 1.09 | 0.75 | 0.298 | 0.175 | 0.263 | 0.604 | 0.427 | 1.78 | 1.00 |
| 1979 | 0.66 | 2.78 | 1.27 | 0.96 | 0.32 | 0.208 | 0.166 | 0.152 | 0.202 | 0.276 | 0.35 | 1.05 |
| 1980 | 1.65 | 2.87 | 2.02 | 1.50 | 0.44 | 0.790 | 0.518 | 0.169 | 0.364 | 0.312 | 3.47 | 3.82 |
| Mean | 2.86 | 2.75 | 2.09 | 1.29 | 0.74 | 0.473 | 0.353 | 0.262 | 0.398 | 0.678 | 2.21 | 1.63 |

APPENDIX 9. SUMMARY OF COHO SALMON BSCAPEMENTS

Appendix 9. Summary of coho salmon escapements to the Salmon River system. (from Marshall et al. 1979).

| Year | Escapement | Year | Escapement | Year | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 950 | 200 | 1960 | 200 | 1970 | 1,500 |
| 1951 | 400 | 1961 | 200 | 1971 | 3,500 |
| 1952 | 3,500 | 1962 | 75 | 1972 | 1,500 |
| 1953 | 3,500 | 1963 | 75 | 1973 | 750 |
| 1954 | 400 | 1964 | 200 | 1974 | 3,500 |
| 1955 | 200 | 1965 | 200 | 1975 | 3,600 |
| 1956 | 200 | 1966 | 200 | 1976 | 3,500 |
| 1957 | 200 | 1967 | 200 | 1977* | 3,500 |
| 1958 | 200 | 1968 | 200 | 1978* | 5,500 |
| 1959 | 75 | 1969 | 75 |  |  |

* From Schubert 1982.


[^0]:    * Lampreys were not identified to species in 1978. A total of 44 of all species were captured.
    ** Identified to genus level only in 1978.

