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## ABSTRACT

M. S. Kotyk, C. D. Levings, T. J. Brown, C. D. McAllister, J. S. Macdonald, J. R. McBride, and U. H. M. Fagerlund. 1985. An account of an experimental release of marked juvenile chinook to freshwater, estuarine, and marine habitats near Campbell River, B.C., 1984. Can. Tech. Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 1397: 31 p.

Smolt to adult survivorship of juvenile chinook salmon was tested by releasing hatchery reared fish into four contrasting (river, estuarine, transition, and marine) habitats. Transport of 142,000 marked chinook smolts ( 3 g ) by helicopter from the Quinsam River Hatchery to the four release sites near Campbell River was performed. The transport did not unduly aggravate the state of stress already induced during holding in painted troughs after marking. The furthest site, Deepwater Bay, was about 10 minutes flying time from the hatchery, but air time for the other three treatments was equalized to balance the experiment. At Deepwater Bay (marine release) fish were released directly into sea water. Short term high cortisol levels were noted in fish released at the marine and estuarine sites. Nevertheless, there was no evidence of immediate direct mortality due to stress or osmoregulatory shock. SCUBA observations showed that the fish schooled and aggregated near surfaces and suggested that behaviour was normal. However the marine release fish were exposed to more bird and fish predation. Feeding may have been re-initiated more slowly at the marine site and transition sites compared to estuarine locations. However the forage ratio at the marine site surpassed all other locations after a three week period. Mortality of caye-held chinook was very low at all sites ( $<1 \%$ ). Seawater challenge tests indicated that the chinook were smolted and "ready for sea". Beach seine data obtained up to 10 weeks after the releases showed that the marine fish did not disperse into the Campbell River estuary or transition zones and were not found after one week following the release. Recaptures of chinook from the other releases also suggest rapid and wide dispersal.
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RESUME

Kot．yk，M．S．，C．D．Levings，T。J。Brown，C．D．McAllister，J。 S．Macdonald， J．R．McRride，and U．H．M．Fagerlund．1985．An account of an experimental release of marked juvenile chinook to freshwater，estuarine， and marine habitats near C．ampbell River，R．C．，1984．Can．Tech．Rep． Fish．Aquat．Sci．1397： 31 p．

La survie，entre les stades de saumoneau et d＇adulte，de saumons quinnats juvéniles a été évaluée par la mise en libertē de poissons d＇élevage dans quatre hahitats diffērents（cours d＇eau，estuaire，zone de transition et mer）．On a transporté par hélicoptère 142000 saumoneaux quinnats marqués （3 g）des installations piscicoles de Ouinsam vers quatre points de mise en libertē situēs à proximitē de la rivière Campbell．Le transport．n＇a pas augmenté de façon trop considērable l＇état de stress provoqué par la mise en bacs peints des poissons après leur marquage．Le point de mise en liberté le plus éloigné，la haie neepwater，était situé à environ dix minutes de vol de la pisciculture et les autres temps de vol ont ét．é allongés afin d＇uniformiser ce paramètre．À la baie neepwater（habitat marin），les poissons ont directement étē mis à l＇eau．Nes teneurs à court terme élevēes de cortisol ont étē notēes chez les poissons relâchés dans les hahitats marin et estuarien．Aucune mortalité directe immédiate par stress ou choc osmorégulatif n＇a cependant été constatēe．Des observations par plongeurs autonomes ont mortré que les poissons se rassemblaient en bancs et se regroupaient près des surfaces；leur comportement semblait donc normal．Les saumoneaux libérēs en mileu marin étaient cependant exposēs à une plus grande prēdation par les poissons et les oiseaux．Il est possible que l＇alimentation ait repris plus lentement chez les poissons de 1 ＇habitat marin et de 1 ＇aire de transition que chez ceux de la zone estuariene．Cependant，le rapport du temps de recherche de nourriture en habitat marin était supérieur à ceux des trois autres hahitats après trois semaines．La mortalité des saumons quinnats maintenus en parcs a étē très faible à tous les sites（ $<1 \%$ ）。 Nes essais en eau de mer ont montré que les saumons quinnats avaient atteint le stade de la ＂smoltification＂et étaient adaptés au milieu marin．Des captures par senne de rivage effectuées jusqu＇à dix semaines après les mises en liberté indiquaient que les poissons libērés en mer ne s＇ētaient pas dispersēs dans l＇estuaire de la rivière Campbell ou la zone de transition et qu＇ils étaient impossibles à localiser une semaine après leur mise en liberté．Les recaptures de quinnats des autres points de mise en liberté indiquent aussi une dispersion rapide et étendue．

Mots－clēs：Ouinnat juvēnile，utilisation de l＇estuaire，transport de salmonidés，mesure du stress，lâcher expérimental，estuaire de la rivière C．amphell

In 1984 approximately 142,000 chinook smolts were reared and marked at the Quinsam River hatchery and released at contrasting habitats in the Quinsam River, Campbell River estuary, and Discovery Passage (Fig. 1). The object of the research was to test the smolt to adult survivorship of chinook that were not exposed to the normal sequence of river - estuary - marine habitats. This experiment was a repeat of that performed by Levinys et al. (1984). This report provides details on several topics related to the releases. These include transport techniques, results of cayed fish to examine short term mortality, growth, feeding and stress, surface and SCUBA observations of released fish, data on sizes and weiyhts of released fish, and tag codes used for the various yroups.

## MARKING PROCEUURES

A total of 141,899 chinook fry, taken from the production stock of the Quinsam Hatchery, were marked and tagged during the period from April 9-13, 1984 (see footnote Table 1). All fish were anaesthetised and marked by having their adipose fin clipped then tagyed by inserting the appropriate magnetic binary coded wire tag into the nose cartilage. Approximately 35,000 fish were tagged for each of the release sites, with each area represented by 3 separate tag codes (Fig. 1; Table 1). When tagging was completed 50 fish from each trough were sampled for length-weight determinations (Table 2).

Fish were then transferred to green-painted aluminum holding troughs approximately $6.1 \mathrm{~m} \times 81 \mathrm{~cm} \times 60 \mathrm{~cm}$, each containing a separate release yroup and held for 12 to 14 days before release. The day prior to release 75 fish from each trough were sampled for length-weight determinations (Table 2). Data on total number of fish marked per tay code, mortalities from each number removed for various studies, and the total released are presented in Table 3.

## RELEASE PROCEDURES

On April 25, 1984, a Huyhes 500D helicopter and two b45 L monsoon buckets were used for transport and release of the fish. The bucket had a wall of semi-collapsible rubber with two pneumatically controlled doors on the bottom. Each bucket had a 10 L oxygen cylinder attached to it to aerate the water during transport.

The buckets were placed on a pallet and transported with a forklift to the holding troughs for filling with water and loading of fish using
dipnets. Approximately 12,000 fish were loaded into the bucket for transport to the release locations. This resulted in a loading density of approximately $0.067 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{L}$, well below U.12-0. $25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{L}$ as reconmended by U.F.U. Salmonid Enhancement Proyram (1984). The forklift then took the bucket approximately 50 m to where the helicopter could hook up to it for transport. While the helicopter was enroute, the second bucket was filled and loaded. Un the first release at each site, the helicopter set the bucket down near the drop site, for approximately one minute, where 65 to 156 fish were removed to be $\mu l a c e d$ in holding cages. These fish were transported by foot or boat to the cayes in 22 L buckets, with the maximum time not exceeding twenty minutes. The helicopter took the bucket to the drop site, lowered it into the water, opened the doors and slowly lifted it out of the water releasing the fish. Un the remaining two releases at each zone the helicopter released the fish directly and did not stop on the beach. An effort was made to have approximately the same amount of air time for each of the release trips, thefefore at the river, estuarine and transiton zones the helicopter either flew in circles or hovered in place until the time was similar to the marine site releases (Table 4).

On the second trip to Deepwater Bay (marine site) it was discovered that the bucket was leaking water through the pneumatic doors with about half of the water escaping by the time the helicopter reached the drop site. This bucket was used alternately with the properly working one for the first two release zones until it was decided to operate with only one. The remaining releases at each of the zones proceeded without incident.

## RELEASE OBSERVATIONS

Observations on predator activities and mortalities
There were no avian or mammalian predators observed during the three drops at the river release location. Une mortality was removed from one of the holding cages 4 hours after the fish were released. Two meryansers were near the shore of the estuarine drop site and one seal was seen at the northern confluence of Baikies Slough and the Campbell River. After the first release at the transition zone approximately $40-100$ Bonaparte gulls were seen sitting on a sand/gravel bar 350 m from the drou site, however they showed no response to the drop. There were also four mergansers near the drop site which showed no response to the release of the fish. No mortalities of caged fish were observed at this zone. There were no observations made of the first release at the marine zone. After the second and third drops approximately 1000 Bonaparte gulls were seen actively feeding on the released fish. These birds were diving approximately 30 cm into the water in order to capture their prey, and seemed to follow the fish as they moved out into the bay. The response of the chinook is reported below. Three mortalities were seen by the SCUBA divers minutes after the release, these fish had bad bruise marks along both sides of their bodies. One small damaged fish, was seen swimming in circles. Two Dolly Varden char (Salvelinus malma) were cauyht by beach seine two hours after the release, near the drop site, one of which contained two released chinook. There was no observed predation by Buffalo
sculpins (Enophrys bison) on the released chinook compared to that which occurred in 1983 (Levings et al. 1984).

## OBSERVATIONS UF FISH BEHAVIOUR

Fish that were released at the river location seemed to form schools, five or six of these were observed containing b0 to 300 fish in each. After the first drop at the estuarine zone approximately 10 fish were seen to be swimming out of Baikie Slough towards the river and yoiny upstream. After the second release a school of approximately bu fish also swam towards the river. After the third drop there were many fish at the surface that seemed to be scattering randomly in all directions. Ubservations below the surface were impossible because of high turbidity in the Baikie Slough area. Fish that were released in the transition zone formed into many small schools and dispersed northward with the ebb tide. A few held position near the holding pens and the observers boat. SCUBA observations were made for the second and third drops at Deepwater Bay. The drop site was in b m of water, with a visibility of about 10 m . Fish came out of both the top and the bottom of the bucket once it was immersed. They dispersed in all directions but did not appear overly frightened or stressed. For the first few minutes after the release the fish used the divers and a piling as protection, and did show some escape response to sudden movement produced by the divers. The fish that were being preyed upon by the Bonaparte yulls, i.e. those $<30 \mathrm{~cm}$ below the surface, were also showing some escape behaviour, while fish below this depth showed no escape response. Since the distribution of the diviny yulls gave an indication to the distribution of the salmon, it appeared the fish fanned out into the bay heading both north and west. Few fish remained in the shallows. During the entire observation period the fish seemed to form into loose schools. No feeding was observed during the $11 / 2$ hours of observations.

CAGE RESULTS

Between 65 and 156 fish were removed from the monsoon bucket, at each site, and $p l$ aced into three holding cayes, $80 \mathrm{~cm} \times b 0 \mathrm{~cm} \times 60 \mathrm{~cm}$, covered in 1 cm stretched mesh, supported in the water column by polystyrene foam. These pens were located in such a manner as to expose them to water typical of the release environment (Fig. 1). The cages at the river site were lashed toogether and tied to a cement retaining wall in a pool above the countiny fence 225 m upstream of the Quinsam River Hatchery on the Quinsam River. The estuarine cages were tied to a slip in a covered marina, and were exposed to slight to moderate currents. The transition cages were tied to a float in a channel approximately 650 m northwest of the mouth of the river. This channel is subjected to strong tidal currents on both the ebb and flood tides. On a
low tide the water is static as the southern end of the channel has a marked sill. The marine zone cages were held at a marina slip at Brown Bay, approximately 2 km southwest of the release site at Deepwater Bay. They were subjected to moderate ( $0-4 \mathrm{kts}$ ) currents. The fish were held in cages for 19 days with some being removed for a variety of studies, includiny stress observations, length-weight determinations, gut contents and acclimation tests.

There was only one mortality from the river cages, which was discovered 4 hours after placement, and one from the marine site after 2 days. This was in sharp contrast to that which occurred in 1983 when there was a $9 \%$ mortality rate in the marine zone for the first 8 days after placement (Levings et a1. 1984). However the fish released in 1984 were not significantly different in size than those released in 1983 (ANOVA; p<0.0b, Table 2). The fish released into the transition zone were significantly greater in length, but not in weight, when compared to 1983 released fish (ANOVA; $p<0.05$ ). The river and estuarine fish were significantly different in both length and weight to those in 1983, the 1984 fish being smaller.

## SEAWATER CHALLENGE

Prior to release, 52 fish from the holding troughs were taken to the Pacific Bioloyical Station, Nanaimo, and were exposed to sea-water challenye tests to determine the ability to control blood sodium content (Clarke and Blackburn 1977). Forty-one fish were exposed to $29 \S$ seawater for 24 hours, with the other 11 remaining in freshwater to act as a control. The control fish had a blood sodium level of $154.9 \mathrm{milliequivalents} / \mathrm{mL}$ and were thus within the "perfect smolt" category (i.e. <165 milliequivalents/mL) (Table 5). These fish were therefore physioloyically ready to be exposed to marine and estuarine conditions and would have satisfied the criteria for release established by hatchery manayers. The fish exposed to seawater had a mean blood sodium level of 165.6 milliequivalents/mL (S.E. l.b), which was a significant increase over the control (ANOVA; $p=0.05$ ). This however is to be expected when the fish are in seawater and the sodiuni levels were acceptable under the conditions imposed.

## FEEDING

Ten fish from each zone were sampled at day 15 and day 20 to check the adaptation to natural food from hatchery fed Uregori Moist Pellets (Tables 6-9). The weight of stomach contents at the river site did not siynificantly increase (ANOVA; $p<0.05$ ) over time when measured as percent body weight (forage ratio). There was a high proportion of fish with empty guts, with 60\% and $50 \%$ recorded on the first and second sampling respectively. The
predominant item found in the gut was wood debris. There was a very low diversity of items found in the guts, which could be due to the low food availability in the river at that time of year. The fish sampled in the estuarine zone showed a similar trend in that there was no significant difference in the forage ratio between the sampling periods. However there was a large diversity of prey found in the guts with the predominant item being the isopod Gnorimosphaeroma oreyonensis. There was a high incidence of the fresh-water cladoceran Bosmina sp. found in the second sampling period, with none found in the first period. This could possibly be due to a change in river current patterns resultiny from a difference in the tidal activity on the different days. The fish sampled in the transition zone showed a significant increase in the forage ratio between the first and second sampliny periods. There was a wide diversity of both fresh-water and marine prey consumed by the fish with the predominant items beiny calanoid and harpacticoid copepods, the cumacean Cumella vulyaris and the freshwater cladoceran Bosmina sp.

The marine zone fish showed a ten fold increase in the foraye ratio between the first and second sampling periods. The fish had a high percentage of empty guts in the first period (40\%) with none being empty in the second. The predominant prey item during the latter sampling was the various stayes of calanoid copepods, but virtually none of these items were found in the earlier sampling.

## STRESS EXPERIMENTS

In order to monitor the severity of stress induced by transfer of fish to the various release sites and also to assess the fishes' ability to adapt to the environmental conditions at the sites two stress indices were measured, namely plasma cortisol concentrations, responding to acute stressors and interrenal nuclear diameters, responding to chronic stress conditions. In addition the health of the fish was assessed by determininy haematocrits.

Plasma cortisol - Cortisol concentrations (Fig. 2) were measured in only two (estuary and marine) of the four troughs. The same two yroups were also sampled immediately after arrival by helicopter at the release sites. The concentrations found in these groups were considered representative of all four groups.

Plasma cortisol concentrations in samples taken from fish held in the Burrows' pond were typical of those of unstressed fish. Mean cortisol concentrations found 12-16 days after transter into the trouyhs and 12-16 days after the fish had been tagged were only moderately, although significantly higher than in fish sampled from Burrows' pond. The elevation in cortisol values may have been caused by fish not being fully acclimated to the trouyhs. In the previous year's study, 1983, in which unpainted troughs were employed the plasma cortisol levels (mean $\pm$ S.D.; $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) for the fish in the two troughs tested were $70.6 \pm 32.5$ and $153.4 \pm 111.6$.

Transfer of salmon by helicopter to the two release sites sampled (estuary and marine) induced a sharp increase in cortisol levels. One day after relocation to the cayes cortisol concentrations were hiyhly variable from site to site. It is not possible to determine whether the high values, particularily in river and estuarine cages were caused by disturbances in the environment or whether acclimation to the conditions in the cayes was slow. However, during the following six days cortisol values yenerally decreased to levels only moderately hiyher than the initial resting values.

Haematocrits - Haematocrits in fish taken from the Burrows' pond were $37.4+5.6 \%$ (mean $+S D, N=14$ ). Values in fish taken from cages were not significantly different from this value at any time.

Interrenals - Interrenal cell nuclear diameters (ICNDs) were obtained on Day 0 from fish in Burrows' pond and in two troughs and on Uay 7 from fish at all four cage sites. There were only small fluctuation in ICNDs, the highest value being $6.03 \pm 0.04$ (mean $\pm$ SD) found in fish from the Burrows' pond and the lowest value $5.99 \pm 0.01$ in fish from the river cage. These values are typical for chinook smolts and do not indicate a stress response.

In summary the results of this years study show that (1) transfer of the chinook from the Burrows' pond to troughs induced a mild stress, (2) painting of the troughs to reduce the reflection of light appears to have largely if not totally alleviated stress induced by these holdiny facilities, (3) movement of fish by helicopter evoked a sharp but transitory stress response and (4) relocation of chinook to the 4 test sites in the Campbell river estuary did not result in a chronic stress response.

## UISPERSION

Marked fish were recovered by intensive beach-seininy over the period April 25-28 and every second week till September, 1984. Vispersion patterns and presumed miyration routes are shown in Fiyures 3-7. The study area was divided into four zones: river, estuarine, transition and marine. The river zone included the non-tidal area of the Quinsam and Campbell Rivers. The estuary zone included the tidal area of the Campbell River behind Tyee Spit. The transition zone was defined as the area outside the mouth of the Campbell River that a fish could swim to and from within one tidal cycle. The marine zone was the area outside the influence of the Campbell kiver. Seining was most intense during the period April 25-28 with 101 sets in the marine zone, 34 sets in the transition, and 81 sets in the estuarine zone with every station indicated on the figure sampled at least once. The river zone was not sampled. Detailed catch data are presented in Brown et al. (1985). Some of the river, estuarine and transition released fish moved out of release sites quickly (Fig. 3). One river released fish migrated from the hatchery area to just south of Seymour Narrows in 2 days (Kotyk et al., in press), a distance of approximately 15 km . Fish released in the marine zone tended to stay in the general release area, with three crossiny Discovery Passaye moviny
southward towards Seymour Narrows. One week after the release fish from all 4 release yroups were found at one station directly across the Campbell River estuary on the east side of Discovery Passage (Fig. 4). Une fish from the estuarine zone was found south of the river mouth. Six weeks after release only 2 fish from the transition zone were found outside the estuary in inner Menzies Bay (Fig. 5). Eight weeks after release both river and estuarine release yroups were found near the river mouth, to the north and south (Fiy. 6). There were no transition or marine fish caught outside the estuary. Ten weeks after release one estuarine fish was caught in Menzies Bay, with two caught south of the river mouth (Fig. 7) (unpublished data). There were no other release fish caught outside the estuary after this date, however, fish occurred within the estuary (i.e. behind Tyee Spit) as late as August 28, 1984. The subsampling effort in the estuarine zone for 1984 was similar to that of 1983 with $14.5 \%$ and $12.5 \%$ of the sample analysed respectively. There was an increase in subsampling effort in the transition zone in 1984 compared to 1983 with $36.0 \%$ and $23.3 \%$ of the sample kept, and there was a sharp drop in the subsampling effort in the marine zone in 1984 over 1983 with $19.35 \%$ and $67.7 \%$ of the fish kept respectively. Dispersion patterns and residency time of fish within the estuary (i.e. behind Tyee Spit) will be described in a later report.

## CONCLUSIONS

The helicopter transport of 142,000 marked juvenile chinook ( $3 \mathrm{~g}, 66$ mm ) from the Quinsam hatchery to four contrasting habitats was performed without major problems. The flying time for each of the release yroups averaged at about 10 minutes, with a bucket loadiny density of $0.067 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{L}$. At Deepwater Bay (marine release) the fish were introduced directly into seawater. Marking, tagging and transfer of the fish induced a mild amount of stress while the movement by the helicopter evoked a sharp increase in the stress response as measured by cortisol concentrations. However, the fish returned to a level near the initial resting values of cortisol after a 6-day period. Interrenal cell nuclear diameters taken at day 0 and day 7 were typical of chinook smolts and did not indicate any stress response. Seawater challenge tests showed that the chinook were smolted and thus were "seawater ready". SCUBA observations in the marine zone showed that the fish schooled at or near the surface and did not appear overly frightened or stressed. The fish did exhibit some escape response to sudden movement by the divers, and those less than 30 cm below the surface showed some avoidance response to predatory Bonaparte gulls. The fish quickly moved out of the drop site into deeper water. Feeding by caged fish seemed to be initiated more slowly in the transition and marine zones than in the river and estuarine zones. However, once feeding commenced in the marine zone the foraye ratio was 2-4 times that found in the other zones. There were only 2 mortalities during the duration of the cage study. Beach seine data obtained up to 10 weeks after the release showed that the marine release fish did not move back into the estuarine or transition zones. The river fish moved quickly ( $<3$ days) downstream into the estuarine, transition and marine zones. River and estuarine released fish remained in the vicinity of the river mouth one month lonyer than the
transition released fish. The marine released fish were not seen after May 4 indicating they moved off shore into deeper water or out of the area completely more quickly than the other groups. The residence time and movements of fish that remained in the estuary will be published in future reports. Returns of marked fish will be monitored in the conmercial and sport fishery and in the spawning escapement. From these data it will be possible to compute mortality rates for the marked yroups released to the various habitats.
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Table 1. CWT tag codes assigned to each habitat groups, including number marked, percent tag loss, and dates of marking.

| Release group | Code | Number marked | \% tag <br> loss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| River ${ }^{1}$ | 08-22-57 | 11700 |  |
|  | 58 | 11786 | 6\%* |
|  | 59 | 11725 |  |
| Estuarine ${ }^{2}$ | 08-22-60 | 12418 |  |
|  | 61 | 11848 | 1\% |
|  | 62 | 11700 |  |
| Transition ${ }^{3}$ | 08-22-63 | 11944 |  |
|  | 08-23-01 | 11553 | 1.5\% |
|  | 02 | 11730 |  |
| Marine ${ }^{4}$ | 08-22-13 | 11794 |  |
|  | 20 | 11773 | 0.75\% |
|  | 21 | 11728 |  |
|  |  | 141899 |  |

* high on first day due to machine failure - problem rectified for the duration of the marking.

Dates of marking
River - April 9 - April 11
2 est - April 9 - April 12
3 Trans - April 12 - April 13
4 Marine - April 11 - April 13

Table 2. Mean lengths and weights for each release group at time of marking and at release.


Table 3. Number of fish tagged and number released per tag code including the number removed for the various experiments and the mortalities.

| Tag <br> code | Number <br> tagged | Number <br> removed | Pre-release <br> Mortalities | Number <br> released |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 082257 | 11700 | 82 | 2 | 11616 |
| 082258 | 11786 | 36 | 35 | 11715 |
| 082259 | 11725 | 38 | 4 | 11683 |
| 082260 | 12418 | 25 | 14 | 12379 |
| 082261 | 11848 | 31 | 13 | 11804 |
| 082262 | 11700 | 9 | 18 | 11673 |
| 082263 | 11944 | 37 | 3 | 11904 |
| 082301 | 11753 | 16 | 6 | 11724 |
| 082302 | 11730 | 24 | 10 | 11700 |
| 082213 | 11794 | 24 | 7 | 11760 |
| 082220 | 11773 | 11728 | 26 | 4 |

Table 4. Transport time and related information for movement of marked chinook in 1984.

| Release zone | Drop number | Time out of hatchery | Time of release | Elapsed <br> time | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Marine | 1 | 1000 | 1013 | 13 | Bucket 非2 leaking Refueled at Tyee Spit |
|  | 2 | 1042 | 1056 | 14 |  |
|  | 3 | 1140 | 1150 | 10 |  |
| River | 1 | 1232 | 1242 | 10 |  |
|  | 2 | 1249 | 1259 | 10 | Refueled |
|  | 3 | 1340 | 1349 | 9 | Bucket \#2 discarded |
| Estuarine | 1 | 1355 | 1405 | 10 |  |
|  | 2 | 1411 | 1418 | 7 |  |
|  | 3 | 1430 | 1440 | 10 |  |
| Transition | 1 | 1447 | 1457 | 10 | Refueled at Tyee Spit |
|  |  | 1520 | 1529 | 9 |  |
|  |  | 1541 | 1549 | 8 |  |

Table 5. Results of seawater challenge tests (as described in Clark and Blackburn 1977) with juvenile chinook prior to release (April 24, 1985).

|  | n | mean <br> length (mm) | S.E. | mean <br> weight <br> (g) | S.E. | mean plasma sodium | S.E. | mean plasma potassium mM | S.E. | mean ondition factor mM | S.E. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Control (FW) | 11 | 69.5 | 0.13 | 3.31 | 0.22 | 154.9 | 0.7 | 8.0 | 1.64 | 0.97 | 0.02 |
| Challenged ( $29 \%$ ) | 41 | 65.8 | 0.12 | 2.76 | 0.14 | 165.6 | 1.5 | 6.19 | 3.38 | 0.92 | 0.01 |

Table 6. Stomach contents of caged fish held in Quinsam River (river zone). Included are fish number and forage ratio (\% body weight).

River Zone

| Date | Fish <br> No. | $n$ <br>  <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{C}{\Phi} \\ & \frac{1}{U} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Fish No. | $\%$ body weight |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $84 / 05 / 10$ | 210 |  |  |  | 210 | 0 |
|  | 209 |  |  |  | 209 | 0 |
|  | 216 |  |  |  | 216 | 0 |
|  | 217 |  |  |  | 217 | 0 |
|  | 219 |  |  |  | 219 | 0 |
|  | 220 | + |  |  | 220 | 0. 57 |
|  | 206 | + |  |  | 206 | 0. 52 |
|  | 223 |  |  |  | 223 | 0 |
|  | 224 |  | + |  | 224 | 0.55 |
|  | 218 | +++ |  |  | 218 | 1.19 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 84/05/14 | 35 | + | $+$ |  | 35 | 0.76 |
|  | 39 |  | ++ |  | 39 | 1.05 |
|  | 40 | - |  |  | 40 | 0 |
|  | 41 |  |  | + | 41 | 1.21 |
|  | 42 |  |  |  | 42 | 0 |
|  | 30 | ++ |  |  | 30 | 0.95 |
|  | 27 | ++ |  |  | 27 | 0.69 |
|  | 28 |  |  |  | 28 | 0 |
|  | 31 |  |  |  | 31 | 0 |
|  | 32 |  |  |  | 32 | 0 |

$+\quad-<5$ items found
++ - 5-10 items found
+++ - >10 items found

Table 7. Stomach contents of caged fish held at freshwater marina (estuarine release). Included are fish number and forage ratio ( $\%$ body weight).


[^0]
$+-<5$ items found; $++-5-10$ items found; $+++->10$ items found
UNID - Unidentified due to partial digestion or some key features missing.
JuV - Juvenile。
Table 9. Stomach contents of caged fish held at Brown Bay (marine zone). Included are fish number and forage ratio ( $\%$ body weight).

| Marine Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | Fish <br> No. | sno!tloed snuejey | $\square$ <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 | 9 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \text { © } \\ & \mathrm{N} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \tilde{U} \end{aligned}$ | 0 <br> 0 <br> 1 <br> i <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { D } \\ & \stackrel{0}{\Gamma} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \frac{1}{4} \\ & i \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\Omega}{L} \\ & \frac{1}{2} \\ & 0 \\ & \frac{1}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & \frac{0}{C} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 10 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \frac{0}{4} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{5}{\text { n }} \\ & \frac{1}{\Sigma} \end{aligned}$ | Fish <br> No. | \% body weigh $\dagger$ |
| 84/05/10 | 205 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 205 | 0 |
|  | 203 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 203 | 0 |
|  | 204 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 204 | 0 |
|  | 197 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | $+$ | 197 | 0.42 |
|  | 199 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $+$ |  | 199 | 0.24 |
|  | 189 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 189 | 0 |
|  | 196 |  |  |  |  |  |  | + |  |  |  |  |  |  | 196 | 0.26 |
|  | 190 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 190 | 0.17 |
|  | 202 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 202 | 0.26 |
|  | 200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | + |  | 200 | 1.05 |
| 84/05/14 | 59 | 13 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | + | + |  |  |  |  |  | 59 | 8.21 |
|  | 57 | 2 | 3 |  | 4 |  | 1 | $+$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 57 | 0.58 |
|  | 58 | 25 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 58 | 3.71 |
|  | 56 | 28 |  | 14 |  |  |  | $+$ |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |  | 56 | 4.80 |
|  | 61 |  |  | 2 | 6 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 61 | 0.31 |
|  | 22 |  |  | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 22 | 2.63 |
|  | 23 |  |  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 23 | 1.28 |
|  | 19 |  |  | 9 | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ++ |  | 19 | 2.04 |
|  | 17 |  |  | 7 | 5 |  | 7 | $+$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17 | 1.90 |
|  | 16 |  |  | 1 |  | 2 |  | + |  | + |  |  | $+$ |  | 16 | 0.78 |

+     - < 5 items found; ++ - 5-10 items found; $\quad+++\quad>10$ items found
UNID - Unidentified due to partial digestion or some key features missing.
JUV - Juvenile.

[^1]

[^2]

Fig. 3. Recapture locations for release fish sampled April 25-28, 1984. "Fish" symbol indicates location of capture along with number of River (R), estuarine (E), transition (T) and marine (M) released fish caught. If no number and letter is indicated then no fish from that particular zone was caught.
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Fig. 5. Recapture locations for release fish sampled June 4-6, 1984. "Fish" symbol indicates location of capture along with number of River (R), estuarine (E), transition ( $T$ ) and marine ( $M$ ) released fish caught. If no number and letter is indicated then no fish from that particular zone was caught.
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Fig. 7. Recapture locations for release fish sampled July 3-5, 1984. "Fish" symbol indicates location of capture along with number of River (R), estuarine (E), transition (T) and marine (M) released fish caught. If no number and letter is indicated then no fish from that particular zone was caught.



[^0]:    +++ - >10 items found
    ©punot swet! Ol-s - ++
    UNID - Unidentified due to partial digestion or some key features missing.

[^1]:    Fig. 1. Study area showing release sites at Quinsam River estuary release site (Baikies Slough, Stn. 7), transition release site (Painters Lodge, Stn. 34), and marine release site (Deepwater Bay; Stn. 32). Fish were held in cages at Quinsam River, at a marina near Stn. 7, at a float near Stn. 34, and at a marina in Brown Bay.

[^2]:    Fig. 2. Plasma cortisol ( $n g / m L+/-S D$ ) concentrations before marking (Burrows Pond), after marking (troughs), immediately after helicopter transport, and during time in the cages. When compared in pair-wise F-test all relocated fish had significantly ( $\mathrm{P}<0.025$ ) higher cortisol concentrations than fish in the Burrow's pond.

[^3]:    Fig. 4. Recapture locations for release fish sampled May 1-4, 1984. "Fish" symbol indicates location of capture along with number of River (R), estuarine (E), transition (T) and marine (M) released fish caught. If no number and letter is indicated then no fish from that particular zone was caught.

[^4]:    Fig. 6. Recapture locations for release fish sampled June 18-21, 1984. "Fish" symbol indicates location of capture along with number of River (R), estuarine (E), transition (T) and marine (M) released fish caught. If no number and letter is indicated then no fish from that particular zone was caught.

