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Under normal hatchery operations, juvenile coho salmon (Oncorhynchus kisutch) are reared for at least 14 months before release to the sea as smolts. Reduction of the rearing period would reduce costs substantially. One means of reducing the rearing period would be to accelerate growth of the fish, resulting in the production of smolt-sized juveniles in a shorter period of time, at an earlier age. Such a technique also could have the advantage of increased generation time, whereby adults would mature in their 2 nd rather than in their 3rd year of life.

In the fall of 1973, an experiment to accelerate growth of coho was initiated at Rosewall Creek on Vancouver Island. During the winter of 1973-74, approximately 10,000 coho were accelerated to the smolt stage in 6 mo through control of temperature, photoperiod and feeding rate. These were marked, nose-tagged and released on June 10, 1974, along with approximately 12,000 normally reared ( 14 mo ), nose-tagged coho. Early maturing male (jack) and normal-sized (adult) coho (see Table l) originating from these releases were recovered in the escapement and in the fishery.

The total return of adults from the accelerated release ( $3.3 \%$ ) was much lower than the total return from the normal smolts (47.5\%). Males predominated among adults from the accelerated smolts; the reverse was true among adults from the normally reared smolts. Adults from the accelerated smolt release were smaller than those from the normal smolts. Hence the overall return of adults from normal smolts was 14 times that from accelerated smolts.

Results of this one study indicate that rearing of accelerated smolts is not economically feasible.
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Dans des conditions normales de piscifacture, des jeunes saumons coho (Oncorhynchus kisutch) sont élevés pendant 14 mois au moins, et, devenus des tacons, sont libérés pour rejoindre la mer. Une réduction de la période d'élevage permettrait de dimineur notablement les coûts. Une façon de réduire cette période serait d'accélérer la croissance du poisson, ce zqui produirait en une période plus courte des tacons plus jeunes. Une telle technique présenterait aussi l'avantage de rapprocher la période de reproduction, puisque les saumons adultes atteindraient leur maturité sexuelle au cours de leur deuxième année au lieu de la troisième.

À l'été 1973, on a lancé à Rosewall Creek, dans l'île Vancouver, une expérience de croissance accélérée du saumon coho. Pendant l'hiver 1973-1974, 10,000 jeunes environ ont connu une croissance accélérée et ont atteint le stade de tacon en six mois grâce à des modifications contrôlées de la température, de la photopériode et, du taux d'alimentation. Ces jeunes saumons ont été marqués, pourvus d'une étiquette au museau et libérés le 10 juin 1974, en même temps qu'environ 12,000 saunons coho élevés normalement ( 14 mois) et marqués au museau. Des jeunes mâles matures et des adultes de taille normale (voir tableau l) qui avaient été libérés à ce moment-là ont été récupérés parmi les saumons de remonte et au cours de la pêche.

Le total des adultes récupérés a été beaucoup bas (3.3\%) pour les saumons à croissance accélérée que pour les tacons normaux ( $47.5 \%$ ). Les mâles prédominaient parmi les adultes à croissance accélérée, alors que les femelles prédominaient dans l'autre groupe. Les adultes à croissance accélérée étaient plus petits que les adultes normaux. Le produit global des adultes à croissance normale était 14 fois plus grand que celui des tacons a croissance accélérée.

Les résultats de cette étude suffisent à montrer que 1'accélération artificielle de la croissance des tacons ne se justifie pas économiquement.

Mots clés: Libération du printemps, saumon coho a croissance accelérée et à croissance normale.

Under normal British Columbia salmon hatchery operations, juvenile coho salmon are reared for at least 14 mo before being released to the sea as smolts. Reduction of the hatchery rearing period could reduce production costs substantially, Length of the rearing period could be reduced by accelerating growth of the fish in water at elevated temperatures (Donaldson 1972). This would result in the production of smolt-sized juveniles in a shorter period of time, and at an earlier age. Such a technique could also have the advantage of increased generation time (Donaldson 1972), whereby adults would mature in their 2 nd rather than in their 3rd year of life.

In the fall of 1973, an experiment to accelerate growth of coho was initiated at Rosewall Creek on Vancouver Island. During the winter of 1973-74, approximately 10,000 juvenile coho of mixed Robertson River and Big Qualicum kiver origin were accelerated to the smolt stage in 6 mo through control of temperature, photoperiod and feeding rate. These fish were marked, nose-tagged, and released on June 10, 1974, along with approximately 12,000 normally reared ( 14 mo ), nose-tagged coho of the same origin. This report compares the contribution of the two groups of smolts to the escapement and to the fishery. It also provides information on the value of growth acceleration as a possible means of reducing production costs by shortening the rearing period prior to release of accelerated smolts.

MATEKKIALS AND METHODS

Detailed information on rearing, tagging, and release of the normal and accelerated groups of coho is given elsewhere (Bilton et al. 1979). A brief review of these techniques is presented here.

## DONOR STOCK AND REARING

ACCELERATED COHO

Coho eggs from the Kobertson River (approximately 128 km south of Rosewall Creek) and the Big Qualicum River (approximately 24 km south of kosewall Creek) were collected in November and December, 1973. The eggs were fertilized and transferred to Heath-type hatchery trays at Rosewall Creek hatchery. Well water was used for incubation. The water was heated using electric immersion heaters, and the eggs were incubated at $10-12^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ 。

All eggs had hatched by January 7, 1974, at an accumulated total of 473.4 Celcius degree-days.

On February 7, 1974, the resulting fry were transferred to three circular culture tanks ( 2.44 m diameter x 0.91 m deep) for rearing in heated well water. Over the first 4 days (February 7 to ll) the water temperature was gradually raised from $9^{\circ}$ to $16^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ 。 From February 12 to May 30, fry were reared at mean water temperatures ranging from 14.8 to $16.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. From May 31 to June 3, the water temperature was gradually decreased to the ambient well water temperature of $7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Fish were reared under fluorescent lights and the photoperiod was increased throughout the period of rearing simulating normal day length. Initially fry were fed with Oregon Moist Pellet (UMP) starter mash, and subsequently OMP pellets. Fish were offered food ad libitum every 15 min during daylight hours.

NORMAL COHO

Coho eggs from the Robertson and Big Qualicum rivers were collected in November and December, 1972. The Robertson River eggs were transferred immediately to the Rosewall Creek hatchery. The Biy Qualicum eggs were held at the Big Qualicum hatchery until they were "eyed." On January 12, 1973, they were transferred to the Rosewall Creek hatchery. Well water was used for incubation. Eggs were incubated at temperatures ranging between 7 and $8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ 。

Between April 3 and 5, 1973, resulting fry were transferred into three identical culture tanks. On July l2, the fish were transferred into one Burrows pond. Fry were reared at ambient temperatures ranging between 3.1 and $16.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The same feeding procedure was followed as for the accelerated coho.

MAKKING, GRADING, TAGGLNG, AND RELEASE OF ACCELEKATED AND NOKMAL COHO

Between June 5 and 7, 1974, accelerated coho were marked by removal of the adipose fin and tagged using binary-coded wire nose tags. Fish were anesthetized, marked and assigned to one of three size categories (fork length): <82, 82-92, and $>92 \mathrm{~mm}$. The first and last categories each represented $25 \%$ and the middle category $50 \%$ of the fish in the population. Fish were then tagged and transferred to the release pond.

From April 17 to 22, 1974, normal coho were marked and tagged using the same procedure outlined for the accelerated fish. Fish were classified to one of three fork length categories: <102, 102-121, and $>121 \mathrm{~mm}$. Tagged fish were transferred to the release pond.

On June 10, 1974, 9,861 tagged accelerated and 11,778 tagged normal coho were released into Rosewall Creek.

METHOD OF RECOVERY AND ESTIMATING CATCH AND ESCAPEMENT

Jack coho salmon were recovered from kosewall Creek in the fall of 1974, and adults in the fall of 1975. Marked fish (those with a missing adipose fin) were captured in a trap adjacent to the release pond downstream from an electric weir. Unmarked fish (assumed to be wild fish) were transferred alive upstream above the weir.

All marked jacks and adults were killed and sampled for fork length (cm), weight (g), sex and scales. The heads were removed, frozen, and retained for subsequent examination of the nose tay. Nose tags were removed at the laboratory, and the binary coding on each tag was read with a binocular microscope. The number of marked fish without a tag, i.e., those that had lost their tag, was determined. Among those with a tag the number of fish recovered from each of the groups was also determined. For each group of tagged smolts released, the percentage that returned to the escapement as tayged jacks and adults was calculated. The average length and weight of jacks and adults was also calculated for each group.

Nose-tagged coho were recovered in the commercial fisheries (net and troll) by random sampling of fishery catches with a target intensity of 20\% (Heizer, Cook, and Argue 1978).

Tagged coho from sport catches were recovered in the Georgia Strait Head Kecovery Program (Argue, Coursley, and Harris 1977). This proyram requested that Georgia Strait and Juan de Fuca Strait tidal sport fishermen voluntarily return heads from chinook and coho salmon having a missing adipose fin. An average "awareness factor" of 0.28 was used to estimate the total number of tagged Rosewall Creek coho that contributed to the sport fishery. This factor is based on a number of values that were not directly measured (Argue, Coursley and Harris 1977). "Rather for Statistical Area groupings in Georgia Strait these factors were assigned on the basis of an area by area comparison with Puget Sound sport fisheries, and use of Puget Sound awareness factors for 1974, documented by Kimura (1976). Assumed Georyia Strait values are therefore referred to as Puget Sound equivalent awareness factors." For each group of tagged smolts released, the percent that was estimated to have been caught by the fishery as tagged immature fish was calculated.

RESULTS

## ESCAPEMENT

The return of jacks and adults to the escapement from each release is given in Table 1 。

## 1. Jacks

The total return of jacks from both groups of smolts released was low ( $0.2 \%$ in total). However, the return of $0.4 \%$ from the normal smolt release was 13 times greater than that from the accelerated juveniles. Jacks from the normally reared smolt group were of a larger average size than those from the accelerated smolt group. Within the normally reared population, jacks from the "large" smolt subyroup were larger in size than those from the "medium" subgroup.

## 2. Adults

A total of 52 adults originating from accelerated smolts and 1,191 adults originating from normal smolts returned to Rosewall Creek in the fall of 1975. None were recovered in the fall of 1976 . The adult return was $0.53 \%$ from the accelerated smolts and $10.11 \%$ from the normal smolts. Hence, the return from normal smolts was 19 times greater than that from the accelerated fish.

Among the returning adults from the accelerated smolts, there was a significant deviation ( $\mathrm{P}<.05$ ) from the expected sex ratio of $1: 1$ with 34 males returning of 52 adults ( $64 \%$ ) (Simpson, Roe, and Lewontin 1960). For the returning adults from the normal smolts, females were more numerous ( $\mathrm{P}<.05$ ), 669. females returning of 1,191 adults (56\%).

For the accelerated adults, the highest return of both males and females originated from smolts of the "large" subgroup (average smolt, 14 g ). Only one adult returned from smolts of the "small" subgroup (average smolt, 6 g ). Among the normal adults, the highest return of both males and females originated from smolts of the "medium" subgroup (average smolt, 17 g ), and the lowest from smolts of the "small" subgroup (average smolt, 11 g ) 。

The average weight per adult (Table 1) from the two groups that returned in the escapement differed considerably, with normal fish averaging $3,004 \mathrm{~g}$, and accelerated $2,419 \mathrm{~g}-\mathrm{a}$ difference of 585 g ( 1.29 lb ). Within each of the two release groups there were average weight differences between adults from the three subgroups. Anong the normal fish, the "small" smolts yielded the smallest adults and the "large" smolts the largest adults; the mean difference was 517 g ( 1.1 lb ). For the accelerated fish, only the mean weights of adults from the "medium" and "large" smolts could be compared. Here, mean difference was not great ( 129 g or 0.28 lb )。

## CATCH PLUS ESCAPEMENT

The total return of adults (catch plus escapement) was $3.3 \%$ from accelerated smolts and $47.5 \%$ from the normal smolts -- a 14 -fold difference in returns from the two groups. The adult return from each of the three size subgroups of normal smolts varied, ranging from $42.1 \%$ for the "small"
to $48.3 \%$ for the "medium" fish. Among the three groups of accelerated smolts the adult returns differed widely, varying from $0.7 \%$ for the "small" to $7.4 \%$ for the "large" smolts (Table l).

For both normal and accelerated fish the catch-to-escapement ratiol was highest for the "small" subyroup, indicating selection of smaller fish by the fishery. The overall catch-to-escapement ratio was $78.7 \%$ for the normal fish and $84.2 \%$ for the accelerated fish (Table 1 ).

Distributions of both groups in fishery catches indicate that most were caught in the Georgia Strait area (Table 2). Approximately $75 \%$ of all normal fish and $64 \%$ of accelerated fish caught were taken in this area by the net, troll and sport fisheries. At least half of all fish caught were taken by anglers. Within the normal release group there was a higher rate of exploitation by the sport fishery on those fish of smaller size. However, the heaviest exploitation by the sport fishery on accelerated fish was on those of the large size category. No fish were caught in either the Alaskan or northern B.C. areas. Small percentages were caught in the central west coast of Vancouver Island and Fraser River areas. In general small percentages of both release groups were caught in the WashingtonOregon areas. However, a significant proportion (17.6\%) of the medium size category of accelerated fish were caught in the Puget Sound net fishery.

CONCLUSIONS

The adult return from the accelerated coho juveniles was much lower than that from the normal smolts. The overall return of adults from normal smolts was 14 times that from accelerated juveniles. Comparison of the two techniques suggests that accelerated rearing is not economically feasible compared with rearing for the normal period of time. For example, benefit-cost ratios for the two techniques were calculated for the "small," "medium" and "large" subgroups in each release group (Table 3). For the normal fish, benefit-cost ratios varied between 7:1 for the "large" ( 23 g ) fish to ll:1 for the "small" (11 g) fish, suggesting it was more profitable to release smaller fish. For the accelerated fish, benefit-cost ratios ranged from 0.l:1 for the "small" (6 g) fish to l:l for the "large" (14 g) fish.

Why did the accelerated smolts not survive as well as the normally reared juveniles? Several reasons may be suggested. First, low survival may have resulted partly from the lack of an objective method for estimating smolting and migration readiness. Recently, a technique to determine the

[^0]progress of smoltification based on a seawater challenge test has been developed (Clarke and Blackburn 1977). Since this first release, two other releases of accelerated smolts have been made, both incorporating this technique. Returns from these later releases will be evaluated in the light of the improved technology used. Secondly, results of a recent experiment indicate that survival of released fish is influenced to a large extent by both size at and time of release (Bilton 1976, 1978). This size and time study indicates that optimum survival for an early June release would be achieved if fish were of an average size of approximately 18-25 \%. Size at release would partly explain the higher survival of both the $14-\mathrm{y}$ "large" accelerated smolts and of the normal $19-\mathrm{g}$ smolts released in early June, as well as the low return from the "small" 6-g and "medium" 8-g smolts from the accelerated group.

How do these returns compare with those from other studies? Senn (1964) presented a report on the results of releasing migrant 0-age coho. Studies were conducted on two groups of hatchery-reared coho induced to migrate to sea at normal migrating size (between $20-80$ fish per pound or $22.6-5.7 \mathrm{~g}$ average weight) after spending 6 mo in fresh water. Egys were obtained from coho salmon returning to Chambers Creek near Tacoma, Washington. The progeny of the 1957 and 1961 broods were incubated and reared at $56^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\left(13.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. By the middle of May, following 6 mo of rearing, fish weighing between 29-47 per pound ( $9.6-15.6 \mathrm{~g}$ ) were fin-clipped and released. All fish returning from the 1957 brood returned in 1959 as age 0.1 adults. No jacks (age 0.0 ) returned and only one age 0.2 adult was observed. The returns from the 1961 brood followed an identical pattern. The returns as adults from the 1957 and 1961 broods were $U .5$ and $0.4 \%$, respectively. The age 0.1 adults originating from the accelerated hatchery smolts were 1.3 in smaller in size than the parent stocks. The findings were similar to those from this study. Senn concluded the rate of return of the accelerated groups had survival rates within the range experienced by normal hatchery-reared fish. Our experience would indicate that such returns are low when compared with those where the standard hatchery practice of rearing fish for 14 mo is employed.

Donaldson (1972) accelerated coho fingerlings to produce smolts in 6 mo rather than the usual 14 mo. His study was initiated with 1967 brood coho. Six-month-old smolts were released in late May, 1968. Jacks returned in the fall of 1968, and adults returned in 1909 and 1970 as 2- and 3 -year-old fish. Two further releases were made. The first was in 1970, the young being the progeny of 2 -year-old adults originating from accelerated smolts released in 1967. The second was in 1971, the young being the progeny of 2 -year-old adults originating from accelerated smolts released in 1968. Accelerated smolts released in 1970 averaged 6.06 g in weight. The return from this release was $0.03 \%$ as jacks, $0.36 \%$ as 2 -year-old, and $0.13 \%$ as 3 -year-old adults. The total return was $0.52 \%$ 。 The accelerated smolts released in 1971 included two size groups, those averaging 6.77 g and those averaging 11.81 g in weight. The return of 2-year-old adults (which was incomplete at time of compiling the report) was $1.24 \%$ from the smaller smolts and $1.70 \%$ from the larger smolts. The overall return was $1.46 \%$. The return from the second release was more encouraying; even so, both returns fell short of those obtained for our normally reared smolts.

The authors wish to express their appreciation to Dr. D. Alderdice for his helpful and constructive criticism of this manuscript. The authors would also like to express their appreciation of Messrs. R. M. Humphreys and G. E. Johnston for their useful comments on the practical aspects of the work, to all the staff at Rosewall Creek for their help in the rearing and care of the fish and in the recovery of returns to the hatchery.
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Table 1. Number of normal and accelerated tagged smolts released on June 10, 1974, and the number of jacks ${ }^{\text {a }}$ and adults ${ }^{\text {a }}$ from each group represented in the catch and escapement in 1974 and 1975.

| Treatment | Stock | $\begin{gathered} \text { Brood } \\ \text { year } \end{gathered}$ | Smolts |  |  | Jacks |  |  | Adults |  |  |  |  |  |  | Catch | ratio | (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Mean weight (g) | ( n ) | (\%) | Mean weight (g) | Escapement |  |  | Catch ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  | Catch plus escapement |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Size } \\ & \text { category } \end{aligned}$ | Number |  |  |  |  | ( n ) | (\%) | Mean weight (g) | ( n ) | (\%) | ( n ) | (\%) |  |  |  |
| Normal | Robertson R. plus Big | 1972 | Sma11 | 335 | 11 | 0 | 0.0 | - | 20 | 6.0 | 2,626 | 121 | 36.1 | 141 | 42.1 |  | 85.8 |  |
|  | Qualicum R. |  | Medium | 8,186 | 17 | 13 | 0.2 | 401 | 891 | 10.9 | 2,969 | 3,062 | 37.4 | 3,953 | 48.3 |  | 77.5 |  |
|  |  |  | Large | 3,257 | 23 | 29 | 0.9 | 438 | 280 | 8.6 | 3,143 | 1,223 | 37.9 | 1,503 | 46.5 |  | 81.4 |  |
|  |  |  | Total | 11,778 | 19 | 42 | 0.4 | 426 | 1,191 | 10.1 | 3,004 | 4,406 | 37.4 | 5,597 | 47.5 |  | 78.7 |  |
| Accelerated | Robertson R. plus Big | 1973 | small | 3,656 | 6 | 0 | 0.0 | - | 1 | 0.03 | 1,180 | 25 | 0.7 | 26 | 0.7 |  | 96.1 |  |
|  | Qualicum R. |  | Medium | 3,174 | 8 | 0 | 0.0 | - | 7 | 0.2 | 2,332 | 74 | 2.3 | 81 | 2.5 |  | 91.3 |  |
|  |  |  | Large | 3,031 | 14 | 3 | 0.1 | 331 | 44 | 1.5 | 2,461 | 178 | 5.9 | 222 | 7.4 |  | 80.2 |  |
|  |  |  | Total | 9,861 | 9 | 3 | 0.03 | - | 52 | 0.5 | 2,419 | 277 | 2.8 | 329 | 3.3 |  | 84.2 |  |

a The European system of age designation (Koo 1962) is used. The first digit indicates the number of freshwater annuli and the second the
number of ocean annuli. Jacks from normal and accelerated smolts are designated as age 1.0 and 0.0 , respectively. Adults from the normal and accelerated smolts are designated as age 1.1 and 0.1 , respectively.
bstimates of number of fish in catch are conservative. At release, $93 \%$ of smolts were estimated to have a tag. Numbers of smolts
released represent only tagged smolts. Recoveries are based only on tagged fish. Hence, there were probably another $7 \%$ untagged fish in catch that also originated from these releases.
Table 2. Distribution of normal and accelerated coho in commercial and sport fishery catches in 1974 and 1975.

| Treatment | Stock | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Size } \\ & \text { category } \end{aligned}$ |  | Fisheries ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Catch | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |  |
| Normal | Robertson R. plus Big Qualicum R. | Sma11 | No. | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 15 | 0 | 84 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 121 |
|  |  |  | \% | 0 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 0 | 7.4 | 0 | 3.3 | 2.5 | 12.4 | 0 | 69.4 |  |  |  |  | 100.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Medium | No. | 2 | 17 | 170 | 9 | 280 | 9 | 80 | 92 | 398 | 6 | 1676 | 0 | 39 | 91 | 170 | 3,062 |
|  |  |  | \% | 0.1 | 0.6 | 5.5 | 0.3 | 9.1 | 0.3 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 13.0 | 0.2 | 54.8 | 0 | 1.3 | 3.0 | 5.5 | 100.0 |
|  |  | Large | No. | 0 | 4 | 95 | 0 | 111 | 0 | 64 | 43 | 176 | 3 | 548 | 43 | 11 | 45 | 53 | 1,223 |
|  |  |  | \% | 0 | 0.3 | 7.8 | 0 | 9.1 | 0 | 5.2 | 3.5 | 14.4 | 0.2 | 44.8 | 3.5 | 0.9 | 3.7 | 4.4 | 100.0 |
|  |  | Total | No. | 2 | 24 | 268 | 9 | 400 | 9 | 148 | 138 | 589 | 9 | 2308 | 43 | 50 | 136 | 223 | 4,406 |
|  |  |  | \% | 0.04 | 0.5 | 6.1 | 0.2 | 9.1 | 0.2 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 13.4 | 0.2 | 52.4 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 3.1 | 5.1 | 100.0 |
| Accelerated | Robertson R. plus Big Qualicum R. | Small | No. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
|  |  |  | \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100.0 |  |  |  |  | 100.0 |
|  |  | Medium | No. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 74 |
|  |  |  | \% |  |  |  |  | 10.8 |  | 5.4 | 6.7 | 13.5 |  | 44.6 |  |  | 1.4 | 17.6 | 100.0 |
|  |  | Large | No. | 0 | 0 | 27 | 8 | 13 | 0 | 4 | 18 | 4 | 0 | 83 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 9 | 178 |
|  |  |  | \% | 0 | 0 | 15.2 | 4.5 | 7.3 | 0 | 2.2 | 10.1 | 2.2 | 0 | 46.6 | 0 | 0 | 6.8 | 5.1 | 100.0 |
|  |  | Total | No. | 0 | 0 | 27 | 8 | 21 | 0 | 8 | 23 | 14 | 0 | 14.1 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 22 | 277 |
|  |  |  | \% | 0 | 0 | 9.7 | 2.9 | 7.6 | 0 | 2.9 | 8.3 | 5.1 | 0 | 50.9 | 0 | 0 | 4.7 | 7.9 | 100.0 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
8 & \text { Johnstone Strait net (areas 25-27) } \\
9 & \text { Georgia Strait net } \\
10 & \text { Fraser River net } \\
11 & \text { Georgia Strait sports fishery } \\
12 & \text { Oregon troll } \\
13 & \text { Washington sport } \\
14 & \text { Washington troll } \\
15 & \text { Puget Sound net }
\end{aligned}
$$

aFishing areas and gear
1 Central B.C. troll (areas 1-5)
2 Northwest Vancouver Island troll (areas 25-27)
$\begin{array}{llll}2 & \text { Northwest Vancouver Island troll (areas 25-27) } \\ 3 & \text { Southwest Vancouver Island troll (areas 21-24) }\end{array}$
Washington Oregon troll
Georgia Strait troll
6 Northwest Vancouver Island net (areas 25-27)
7 Juan de Fuca net (area 20)
Table 3. The estimated benefit-cost ratios from releases of 100,000 normal and accelerated coho smolts of different sizes in each case for
all fish minus jacks.

| Treatment | Smolt size (g) | Jacks |  | Adults |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cost/lb } \\ \text { smolts } \\ (\mathrm{E}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Wt. (lb) } \\ 100,000 \\ \text { smolts } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cost } \\ & 100,000 \\ & \text { smolts } \\ & (\$) \end{aligned}$ | Total value catch <br> plus escapement ( $\varepsilon$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Benefit/cost } \\ & \text { ratio (3) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Biomass <br> (lb) | Escapement |  | Catch |  | Catch plu | escapement |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | No. |  | No. | Biomass <br> (lb) | No. | Biomass <br> ( lb ) | No. | Biomass <br> (lb) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Normal | 11 (S) | 0 | 0 | 6,000 | 34, 781 | 36,100 | 209,267 | 42,100 | 244,048 | $8.34{ }^{4}$ | 2,428 | 20,249 | 231,846 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 11:1 |
|  | 17 (M) | 200 | 177 | 10,900 | 71,439 | 37,401 | 245,122 | 48,300 | 316,561 | 8. 34 | 3,752 | 31,292 | 300, 733 | 10:1 |
|  | 23 (L) | 900 | 870 | 8,600 | 59,668 | 37,900 | 262,957 | 46,500 | 322,625 | 8.34 | 5,077 | 42,342 | 306,494 | 7:1 |
|  | 19 (T) | 400 | 376 | 10,100 | 66,977 | 37,400 | 248,012 | 47,500 | 314,989 | 8.34 | 4,194 | 34,978 | 299,239 | 8:1 |
| Accelerated | 6 (S) | 0 | 0 | 30 | 78 | 700 | 1,823 | 730 | 1,901 | 11.07 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1,324 | 14,657 | 1,806 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 0.1:1 |
|  | 8 (M) | 0 | 0 | 200 | 1,029 | 2,300 | 11,841 | 2,500 | 12,870 | 11.07 | 1,766 | 19,549 | 12,226 | 0.6:1 |
|  | 14 (L) | 100 | 73 | 1,500 | 8,149 | 5,900 | 32,053 | 7,400 | 40,202 | 11.07 | 3,090 | 34,206 | 38,192 | 1:1 |
|  | 9 (T) | 30 | 22 | 2,670 | 2,670 | 2,800 | 14,952 | 3,300 | 17,622 | 11.07 | 1,987 | 21,996 | 16,741 | 0.8:1 |

a Based on cost $35 \$ /$ smolt for production of $19-\mathrm{g}$ smolts in this experiment.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Based on sale price $95 \not \subset / 1 \mathrm{~b}$., assuming all fish were recovered and sold at the hatchery.
${ }^{c}$ Based on cost $22 \not \subset /$ smolt for production of $9-g$ smolts in this experiment.
dBased on sale price $95 \not \subset / 1 \mathrm{~b}$., assuming all fish were recovered and sold at the hatchery.


[^0]:    $1^{1}$ Catch ratio $=\frac{\text { Catch }}{\text { Catch and escapement }} \times 100$

