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## ABSTRACT

Westrheim, S. J., editor. 1980. Assessment of groundfish stocks off the west coast of Canada in 1979 and recommended total allowable catches for 1980. Can. Data Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 208: 265 p.

Recommended Total Allowable Catches (TAGs) for 1980, are included for all commercially important groundfish stocks off British Columbia, by statistical area (3C, 3D, 4B, 5A, 5B, 5C, 5D, and 5E). These TACs are based on the best available information, but many are not considered to be precise values, due to the limited information available. Total (all species, all areas) recommended TAC for 1980 is $89,885 t$, compared to $80,210 t$ for 1979 . TAC estimates for principal species in 1980 ( 1979 in parentheses) were: Pacific hake, $30,000 \mathrm{t}$ ( $35,000 \mathrm{t}$ ); dogfish, $9,000 \mathrm{t}(9,000 \mathrm{t}$ ); walleye pollock, 8,750 t ( 5,800 t); Pacific cod, $6,500 \mathrm{t}$ ( $6,000 \mathrm{t}$ ); yellowtail rockfish, $3,950 \mathrm{t}(3,850 \mathrm{t})$; Pacific ocean perch, $3,200 \mathrm{t}$ ( $2,670 \mathrm{t}$ ); and arrowtooth flounder, 3,050 t (900 t). For all other species, recommended TACs for 1980 were less than $1,600 t$ each. Canada-U.S. all-species landings from B.C. waters totalled $34,579 \mathrm{t}$ in 1978 , and $38,551 \mathrm{t}$ in 1977.

## RÉSUMÉ

Westrheim, S. J., editor. 1980. Assessment of groundfish stocks off the west coast of Canada in 1979 and recommended total allowable catches for 1980. Can. Data Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 208: 265 p

Le rapport présente les totaux des prises admissibles (TPA) recommandés pour tous les stocks importants de poisson de fond exploités au large de la Colombie-Britannique, classés par zones statistiques (3C, 3D, $4 \mathrm{~B}, 5 \mathrm{~A}, 5 \mathrm{~B}, 5 \mathrm{C}, 5 \mathrm{D}$ et 5 E ). Ces TPA sont fondés sur la meilleure information disponible, mais certains ne sont pas considérés comme précis à cause de l'insuffisance des données. Le TPA total (toutes les espèces, toutes les zones) recommandé pour 1980 se monte à 89885 t , alors qu'il était de 80210 t en 1979. Les estimations des TPA des principales espèces pour 1980 (chiffres de 1979 entre parenthèses) étaient les suivantes: merlu du Pacifique, 30000 t ( 35000 t); aiguillat, 9000 t ( 9000 t); morue du Pacifique occidental, 8750 t (5 800 t); morue du Pacique, 6500 t ( 6000 t ); sébaste à queue jaune, 3950 t ( 3850 t ); sébaste du Pacifique, 3200 t ( 2670 t ); et flétan du Pacifique, 3050 t ( 900 t ). Pour toutes les autres espèces, les TPA recommandés pour 1980 étaient inférieurs à 1600 t chacun. Les débarquements canadiens pour toutes les espèces capturées dans les eaux de la Colombie-Britannique totalisaient 34579 t en 1978 et 38551 t en 1977.

This report was prepared by the Groundfish Program staff (Resource Services Branch), and contains proposed Total Allowable Catches (TACs) of groundfish for 1980 as recommended by scientists of the Resource Services Branch. The TACs are based primarily on biological considerations, and may not necessarily be the same as those finally adopted by Fisheries Management, Pacific Region. The latter will be based on consultations which take into account not only biological considerations, but also economic, social, enforcement and other factors. As a consequence the TACs finally announced by Fisheries Management may in some cases be higher (but rarely lower) than those recommended by Resource Services.

## SUMMARY

Most groundfish species in British Columbia waters comprise relatively isolated regional groups -- for example the Pacific cod of Hecate Strait, Queen Charlotte Sound, Strait of Georgia, and Southwest Vancouver Island. Also, regional differences in abundance of most groundfish species cause their relative importance to vary among regions -- for example, rock sole which is relatively important only in Hecate Strait and Queen Charlotte Sound. From a biological and management standpoint, it therefore seems appropriate to summarize this report by region rather than by species.

## BRITISH COLUMBIA COAST

For all but two species, 1980 TACs have been recommended for individual major statistical areas, or combinations of no more than two such areas. Dogfish shark and sablefish are the exceptions. No TAC is recommended for albacore tuna.

For dogfish, the recommended TAC for 1980 is $9,000 \mathrm{t}$ coastwide, of which $3,000 \mathrm{t}$ applies to Georgia Strait and vicinity (Area 4B) (Fig. l, Table l). Coastwide landings were $3,146 \mathrm{t}$ in 1978 and $1,772 \mathrm{t}$ in 1977. Most of these dogfish were caught in Area 4B.

For sablefish, the recommended TAC for 1980 is $3,500 \mathrm{t}$, to be applied coastwide. Canada-U.S. landings off British Columbia were 830 in 1978, and $1,213 \mathrm{t}$ in 1977. A11-nation catch totalled $3,241 \mathrm{t}$ in 1978 , and 4,602 $t$ in 1977.

All-species recommended TAC for 1980 is 80,210 t, compared to $89,885 \mathrm{t}$ in 1979. Principal change was a reduction in the TAC for Pacific hake, from $35,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 1979 to $30,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 1980 , as a result of refined estimates of total biomass. Al1-species Canada-U.S. landings from B.C. waters totalled $34,579 \mathrm{t}$ in 1978 , compared to $38,551 \mathrm{t}$ in 1977.

GEORGIA STRAIT AND VICINITY (Area 4B)

Principal species (based on 1978 records) in the Canadian landings from Georgia Strait and vicinity were dogfish ( $2,830 \mathrm{t}$ ), Pacific cod ( $1,410 \mathrm{t}$ ), 1 ingcod ( 589 t ), and walleye pollock ( 380 t ) (Table 2 ). Landings in 1978 did not exceed 155 t for any other species.

For dogfish, the recommended TAC for 1980 is 3,000 t me. unchanged from 1979. Total landings from Area $4 B$ were $2,830 \mathrm{t}$ in 1978 , and $1,637 \mathrm{t}$ in 1977.

For Pacific cod, the recommended TAC for 1980 is $1,000 t$, compared to 900 t in 1979. Trawl landings of Pacific cod from Area 4B totalled 1,410 $t$ in 1978, and $1,168 t$ in 1977.

For walleye pollock, the recommended TAC for 1980 is $3,400 t$, down from 4,000 t in 1979. Trawl landings from Area 4 B were 380 t in 1978 and 51 t in 1977.

For lingcod, no TAG is recommended.
For Pacific hake, the recommended TAC for 1980 is 10,000 t. No landings were recorded from Area 4 B in 1978 or 1977. Some fishing took place in 1979.

For all other species, no recommended TAC for 1980 exceeds 90 t.

SOUTHWEST VANCOUVER ISLAND (Area 3C)

Important species (based on Canada-U.S. 1977 landings ${ }^{1}$ ) in the Canadian portion of Area 3 C are Pacific $\operatorname{cod}(2,898 \mathrm{t}$ ), and lingcod ( $1,159 \mathrm{t}$ ) (Table 3). Secondary species are rockfish other than Pacific ocean perch ( 737 t ), sablefish ( 690 t ), petrale sole ( 315 t ), and arrowtooth flounder (294t).

For Pacific hake, the recommended TAC for 1980 is $20,000 \mathrm{t}$ ( $35,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 1977), most of which will probably be harvested by foreign vessels. Canadian sales at sea to foreign processing vessels were $1,836 \mathrm{t}$ in 1978, and nil in 1977.

For Pacific cod, the recommended TAC for 1980 is 1,500 t unchanged from 1979 for the Canadian portion of Areas 3C plus 3D. Furthermore, if low abundance is predicted for the winter spawning stock in Area 3C, an area-time closure will be imposed, as in 1978 and 1979. A prediction of the winter spawning abundance will be available early in November. Canada-U.S. landings in 1977 were $2,898 \mathrm{t}$.

For 1 ingcod, the recommended TAC for 1980 is $600 \mathrm{t}(1,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 1979). Canada-U.S. landings totalled $1,159 \mathrm{t}$ in 1977.

For secondary species, recommended TACs in 1980 are: $600 t^{2}$ for Pacific ocean perch (50 t in 1979); 570 t for Other rockfish (no change from 1979); 500 t for petrale sole (same in 1979) ; and 200 t for arrowtooth flounder (same in 1979). Sablefish have been treated in a preceding section.

[^0]Principal species, based on 1978 landings, were rockfish other than Pacific ocean perch ( 1,155 t), sablefish ( 237 t), lingcod ( 392 t), and Pacific cod ( 146 t) (Table 4). All other species amounted to less than $62 t$ each.

For Other rockfish, the recommended TAC for 1980 is $1,270 \mathrm{t}$ ( $1979 \mathrm{TAC}=1,220 \mathrm{t}$ ), of which 500 t is allocated to $\underline{S}$. pinniger ( 500 t ), and 200 t each to S. brevispinis ( 150 t ), S. flavidus ( 200 t ), and S. paucispinis ( $20 \overline{0} \mathrm{t}$ ). Total Canada-U.S. landings were $1,155 \mathrm{t}$ in 1978, and 626 t in 1977. Species composition of U.S. landings is not available at this time.

For lingcod, the recommended TAC for 1980 is 200 t (same as 1979). Canada-U.S. landings were 392 t in 1978 , and 275 t in 1977.

For Pacific cod, the recommended TAC for 1980 is included with that for the Canadian portion of Area 3C. Canada-U.S. landings were 146 t in 1978, and $106 t$ in 1977.

QUEEN CHARLOTTE SOUND (Areas 5A and 5B)

Principal species in the 1978 Canada-U.S. landings, from Queen Charlotte Sound (Areas 5 A and 5 B ) were Other rockfish (3,781 t), Pacific cod ( $1,962 \mathrm{t}$ ), Pacific ocean perch ( $1,336 \mathrm{t}$ ), and arrowtooth flounder ( 670 t ) (Table 5). No other species amounted to more than 313 t.

For Other rockfish, recommended total TAC for 1980 is 5,175 t (5,075 t in 1979). Canada-U.S. landings were 3,781 tin 1978, and 4,470 t in 1977. Principal species is S. flavidus for which the recommended TAC for 1980 is 3,000 t (same in 1979). Canadian landings of S . flavidus in 1978 totalled $1,644 \mathrm{t}$, and probably represented about $85 \%$ of the Canada-U.S. landings of this species from Queen Charlotte Sound. Recommended TACs in 1980 for secondary species are: 600 t for $S$. brevispinis ( 1978 Canadian landings $=724 \mathrm{t}$ ) and 500 t for S . pinniger ( 1978 Canadian landings $=$ 263 t) .

For Pacific cod, the recommended TAC for 1980 is $2,000 \mathrm{t}$. Canada-U.S. landings in 1978 were $1,962 t$, and in $1977,1,268 \mathrm{t}$. Pacific cod landings continue to fluctuate without trend. Recommended TACs in 1980 for associated species are: 300 t each for lingcod and rock sole. Canada-U.S. landings for lingcod were 318 t in 1978 and $447 t$ in 1977. Comparable values for rock sole were 296 and 272 t, respectively.

For Pacific ocean perch, the recommended TAC for 1980 is $2,000 \mathrm{t}$, the same as in 1979. Canada-U.S. landings were $1,336 \mathrm{t}$, in 1978, and $2,104 t$ in 1977.

For arrowtooth flounder, the recommended TAC for 1980 is 500 t , no change from 1979. Canada-U.S. landings were 670 t in 1978, and 253 t in 1977.

## HECATE STRAIT AND DIXON ENTRANCE (Areas 5C and 5D)

Principal species, based on Canadian landings 4 in 1978, were Pacific cod (2,100 t), walleye pollock ( $1,707 \mathrm{t}$ ), and arrowtooth flounder ( 1,365 t) (Table 6). Secondary species were rock sole ( 874 t ), Other rockfish ( 970 t), English sole ( 559 t), and Dover sole ( 356 t). No other species amounted to more than 106 t .

For Pacific cod, the recommended TAC for 1980 is 2,000 t. Canadian landings were $2,100 \mathrm{t}$ in 1978 , and $3,522 \mathrm{t}$ in 1977. Preliminary data for 1979 suggest that cod abundance is rising once more.

For walleye pollock, the recommended TAC for 1980 is 3,350 t. Canadian landings were $1,707 \mathrm{t}$ in 1978, and 583 t in 1977.

For arrowtooth flounder, the recommended TAC for 1980 is. $2,100 \mathrm{t}$ (Table 6). Canadian landings were $1,365 t$ in 1978, and $1,023 t$ in 1977. Arrowtooth flounder are ubiquitous, and hence are associated with all important species.

For secondary species, the recommended TACs for 1980 are 960 for rock sole; 1,175 t for Other rockfish; 750 t for English sole; and 300 t for Dover sole. Canadian landings in 1978 (and 1977) were 874 (847) t for rock sole; 970 (807) t for Other rockfish; 559 (1,178) t for English sole; and 356 (474) t for Dover sole. For rock sole, the 1980 TAC is $460 t$ for the area north of $53^{\circ} 50^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ lat., and 500 t south of that latitude. For Other rockfish, principal species (and their recommended TACs for 1980) were S. flavidus ( 450 t ) and S . brevispinis ( 300 t ). Canadian landings in 1978 were 382 t of S . flavidus, and 232 t of S . brevispinis.

WEST COAST QUEEN CHARLOTTE ISLANDS (Area 5E)

Principal species (based on 1978 Canadian landings ${ }^{3}$ are Pacific ocean perch ( $2,427 \mathrm{t}$ ), and Other rockfish ( $1,725 \mathrm{t}$ ) (Table 7). No other species, or species group, contributed more than 345 t. All Canadian landings of rockfishes originated from grounds south of $54^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ lat.

3Negligible landings by U.S. vessels.

For Pacific ocean perch, recommended TACs in 1980 are 600 t for Area $5 \mathrm{E}-\mathrm{S}$ (South of $54^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ 1at.). In Area $5 \mathrm{E}-\mathrm{N}$, the recommended TAC for 1980 is 400 t of rockfishes (all species). Corresponding recommended TACs for 1979 were 600 t and 10 t (for ocean perch), respectively. Canadian trawl landings of Pacific ocean perch in 1977 were 1,551 t from Area 5E-S, and nil from Area $5 \mathrm{E}-\mathrm{N}$.

For Other rockfish, recommended TACs for 1980 are $400 t$ (including ocean perch) for Area $5 \mathrm{E}-\mathrm{N}$, and $2,125 \mathrm{t}$ for Area 5E-S. Canadian trawl landings from Area $5 \mathrm{E}-\mathrm{N}$ were nil in 1978 and 1977; and 1,931 and 1,637 t, respectively, from Area 5E-S during the same years.

For Area 5E-S, additional fishery restraints are recommended to prevent rockfish landings from inadvertently exceeding TACs, as occurred in 1979. It is recommended that all fishing for rockfishes be prohibited during the months of March and April, to protect Pacific ocean perch from excessive fishing during spawning, and permit optimization of yields from all rockfish species.

For Area 5 E as a whole, a TAC of 100 t is recommended for Dover sole.


Fig. 1. International (Pacific Marine Fisheries Commission) Statistical Areas along the British Columbia coast.

Table 1. Recommended TACs ( $t$ ) in 1980 and 1979, and Canadian landings ( $t$ ) in 1978 and 1977, by species, for all areas combined.

| Species | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Section } \\ & \text { No. a } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Recommended } \\ & \text { TAC ( } t \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Canada-U.S.b } \\ & \text { landings }(t) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1980 | 1979 | 1978 | 1977 |
| Pacific ocean perch | 1 | 3,200 | 2,670 | 3,926 | 3,772 |
| Other rockfish | 2 | 10,315 | 9,965 | 8,000 | 8,443 |
| S. aleutianus | 2.9 | 150 | 150 |  |  |
| S. babcocki | 2.10 | 340 | 340 |  |  |
| $\bar{s}$. brevispinis | 2.4 | 1,550 | 1,500 |  |  |
| S. entomelas | 2.7 | 550 | 550 |  |  |
| S' | 2.2 | 3,950 | 3,850 | \# |  |
| S. paucispinis | 2.6 | 700 | 700 | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ |
| S. pinniger | 2.3 | 1,450 | 1,450 | 寅 | 官 |
| S. proriger | 2.8 | 425 | 475 | U | - |
| S. reedi | 2.5 | 1,200 | 950 | $\stackrel{\square}{H}$ | $\stackrel{H}{H}$ |
| Other | 2.11 | $710^{\text {c }}$ | - |  |  |
| Dogfish | 6 | 9,000 | 9,000 | 3,146 | 1,772 |
| Lingcod | 5 | 1,300 | 1,700 | 1,999 | 2,600 |
| Pacific cod | 4 | 6,500 | 6,000 | 6,979 | 8,969 |
| Pacific hake | 13 | 30,000 | 35,000 | 1,836 | - |
| Sablefish | 3 | 3,500 | 3,500 | 830 | 1,433 |
| Walleye pollock | 12 | 8,750 | 5,800 | 2,406 | 935 |
| Arrowtooth flounder | 11 | 3,050 | 900 | 2,326 | 1,612 |
| Butter sole | 14 | $\mathrm{F}^{\text {d }}$ | $\mathrm{F}^{\text {d }}$ | - | - |
| Dover sole | 8 | 610 | 520 | 759 | 712 |
| English sole | 9 | 895 | 820 | 814 | 1,509 |
| Petrale sole | 10 | 600 | 500 | 247 | 474 |
| Rock sole | 7 | 1,375 | 1,010 | 1,311 | 1,299 |
| Total |  | $80,210^{\text {e }}$ | 89,885 | 34,579 | 38,551 |

$a_{\text {Location }}$ in report.
$b_{U . S . ~ d a t a ~ n o t ~ a v a i l a b l e ~ a t ~ t h i s ~ t i m e ~ f o r ~ a l l ~ a r e a s . ~}^{\text {a }}$

$\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{F}}=$ free fishing.
eIncludes 400 t of Pacific ocean perch and other rockfish in Area 5E, north of $54^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ lat.

Table 2. Recommended TACs ( $t$ ) in 1980 and 1979, and Canadian landings ( $t$ ) in 1978 and 1977. For Area 4B (Georgia Strait and vicinity), by species.

| Species | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Section } \\ & \text { No.a } \end{aligned}$ | Recommended TAC ( t ) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Canadian } \\ & \text { landings }(t) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1980 | 1979 | 1978 | 1977 |
| Pacific ocean perch | 1 | $\mathrm{F}^{\text {b }}$ | F | 1 | 1 |
| Other rockfish | 2 | F | F | 202 | 166 |
| S. aleutianus | 2.9 | - | - | 0.1 |  |
| S. babcocki | 2.10 | - | - | - |  |
| $\overline{\text { s. }}$. brevispinis | 2.4 | - | - | - | $\stackrel{\sim}{0}$ |
| $\bar{S}$. entomelas | 2.7 | - | - | - | $\stackrel{0}{\pi}$ |
| S. flavidus | 2.2 | - | - | 14 | $\cdots$ |
| S. paucispinis | 2.6 | - | - | 0.1 | 0 |
| S. pinniger | 2.3 | - | - | 0.1 | $\stackrel{\square}{4}$ |
| S. proriger | 2.8 | - | - | - | $\stackrel{\square}{0}$ |
| S. reedi | 2.5 | - | - | - | \% |
| Other | 2.11 | - | - | - |  |
| Dogfish | 6 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 2,830 | 1,637 |
| Lingcod | 5 | F | F | 589 | 502 |
| Pacific cod | 4 | 1,000 | 900 | 1,410 | 1,168 |
| Pacific hake | 13 | 10,000 | 10,000 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | - |  |
| Sablefish | 3 | F | F | 10 | 27 |
| Walleye pollock | 12 | 3,400 | 4,000 | 380 | 51 |
| Arrowtooth flounder | 11 | 50 | 50 | 33 | 6 |
| Butter sole | 14 | F | F | - | - |
| Dover sole | 8 | 40 | 40 | 102 | 40 |
| English sole | 9 | 90 | 90 | 155 | 202 |
| Petrale sole | 10 | F | F | 6 | 2 |
| Rock sole | 7 | 20 | 20 | 62 | 52 |

aLocation in report.
$\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{F}}=$ free fishing.
${ }^{\text {cherrected }}$ value.

Table 3. Recommended TACs (t) in 1980 and 1979, and Canada-U.S. landings (t) in 1978 and 1977 for the Ganadian portion of Area 3C (S.W. Vancouver Island), by species.

| Species | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Section } \\ & \text { No. a } \end{aligned}$ | Recommended TAC ( $t$ ) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Canada-U.S.b } \\ & \text { landings ( } \mathrm{t}) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1980 | 1979 | 1978 | 1977 |
| Pacific ocean perch | 1 | 600 c | 50 | (49) | 21 |
| Other rockfish | 2 | 570 | 570 | (167) | 737 |
| S. aleutianus | 2.9 | Fd | F | - |  |
| $\overline{\mathrm{S}}$. babcocki. | 2.10 | 20 | 20 | - |  |
| S. brevispinis | 2.4 | 100 | 100 | (1) | $\stackrel{\sim}{\square}$ |
| $\bar{s}$. entomelas | 2.7 | 100 | 100 | - | - |
| S. ${ }^{\text {flavidus }}$ | 2.2 | 100 | 100 | (43) | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ |
| S. paucispinis | 2.6 | 50 | 50 | (4) | $\stackrel{\pi}{\square}$ |
| S S. pinniger | 2.3 | 100 | 100 | (15) | $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ |
| S. proriger | 2.8 | 50 | 50 | - | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ}$ |
| S. reedi | 2.5 | 50 | 50 | - | \% |
| Other | 2.11 | F | F | - |  |
| Dogfish | 6 | e | e | (171) | 64 |
| Lingcod | 5 | 600 | 1,000 | (583) | 1,159 |
| Pacific cod | 4 | 1,500 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1,500 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $(1,358)$ | 2,898 |
| Pacific hake | 13 | 20,000 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 35,000 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $(1,836){ }^{\text {f }}$ | - |
| Sablefish | 3 | e | e | (107) | (690) |
| Walleye pollock | 12 | $700^{\text {c }}$ | $500{ }^{\text {c }}$ | (5) | 21 |
| Arrowtooth flounder | 11 | 200 | 200 | (221) | 294 |
| Butter sole | 14 | F | F | (-) | - |
| Dover sole | 8 | 100 | 100 | (63) | - |
| English sole | 9 | 40 | 40 | (68) | 101 |
| Petrale sole | 10 | 500 | 500 | (108) | 315 |
| Rock sole | 7 | $100^{\text {d }}$ | $40^{\text {d }}$ | (62) | 88 |

alocation in report.
$b_{1978}$ U.S. data not available at this time--Canadian data in parentheses. 1977 data not available by species at this time.
${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Includes Area 3D.
$\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{F}}=$ free fishing.
eIncluded in coastwide TAC. See text.
fanada only. Sales at sea to foreign processing vessel.

Table 4. Recommended TACs (t) in 1980 and 1979, and Canada-U.S. landings (t) in 1978 and 1977 for Area 3D (N.W. Vancouver Island), by species.

| Species | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Section } \\ & \text { No. }{ }^{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Recommend ed } \\ & \text { TAC ( } t \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Canada-U.S. } \\ & \text { landings }(t) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1980 | 1979 | 1978 | 1977 |
| Pacific ocean perch | 1 | $c$ | 10 | 35 | 21 |
| Other rockfish | 2 | 1,270 | 1,220 | 1,155 | 626 |
| S. aleutianus | 2.9 | $\mathrm{F}^{\text {d }}$ | F | (-) | (-) |
| S. babcocki | 2.10 | 20 | 20 | (0.4) | (-) |
| S. brevispinis | 2.4 | 200 | 150 | (21) | (10) |
| $\overline{\mathrm{S}}$. entomelas | 2.7 | 50 | 50 | (2) | (-) |
| S. flavidus | 2.2 | 200 | 200 | (37) | (8) |
| S. paucispinis | 2.6 | 200 | 200 | (19) | (10) |
| S. pinniger | 2.3 | 500 | 500 | (54) | (97) |
| S. proriger | 2.8 | 50 | 50 | (7) | (-) |
| $\overline{\mathrm{s}}$. reedi | 2.5 | 50 | 50 | (-) | (-) |
| Other | 2.11 | F | F | (31) |  |
| Dogfish | 6 | e | e | (65) | 53 |
| Lingcod | 5 | 200 | 200 | (392) | 275 |
| Pacific cod | 4 | c | c | 146 | 106 |
| Pacific hake | 13 | F | F | - | - |
| Sablefish | 3 | e | e | (237) | 161 |
| Walleye pollock | 12 | F | F | 0.4 | - |
| Arrowtooth flounder | 11 | 200 | 200 | (7) | 5 |
| Butter sole | 14 | F | F | - | - |
| Dover sole | 8 | 40 | 40 | 61 | 26 |
| Eng1ish sole | 9 | F | F | 5 | 3 |
| Petrale sole | 10 | $100{ }^{\text {f }}$ | $100^{\text {f }}$ | 21 | 58 |
| Rock sole | 7 | c | c | 16 | 35 |

alocation in report.
$\mathrm{b}_{\text {Canada ond }}$ only in parentheses.
${ }^{c}$ Included in TAC for Area 3C.
$\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{F}}=$ free fishing.
EIncluded in coastwide TAC. See text.
$\mathrm{f}_{\text {Applies only to January-March. }}$

Table 5. Recommended TACs (t) in 1980 and 1979, and Canada-U.S. landings ( $t$ ) in 1978 and 1977 for Areas 5A and 5B (Queen Charlotte Sound), by species.

| Species | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Section } \\ & \text { No. }{ }^{\text {a }} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Recommended } \\ & \operatorname{TAC}(t) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Canada-U.S.b } \\ & \text { landings (t) } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1980 | 1979 | 1978 | 1977 |
| Pacific ocean perch | 1 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 1,336 | 2,104 |
| Other rockfish | 2 | 5,175 | 5,075 | 3,781 | 4,470 |
| S. aleutianus | 2.9 | Fc | F | (1) | (1) |
| S. babcocki | 2.10 | 200 | 200 | (73) | (20) |
| $\underline{\text { S }}$. brevispinis | 2.4 | 600 | 600 | (724) | (198) |
| $\bar{s}$. entomelas | 2.7 | 250 | 250 | (144) | (86) |
| $\bar{s}$. ${ }^{\text {flavidus }}$ | 2.2 | 3,000 | 3,000 | $(1,644)$ | $(1,012)$ |
| S. paucispinis | 2.6 | 300 | 300 | (133) | (42) |
| S. pinniger | 2.3 | 500 | 600 | (263) | (123) |
| S. proriger | 2.8 | 75 | 75 | (20) | (49) |
| S. reedi | 2.5 | 50 | 50 | (109) | (336) |
| Other | 2.11 | $710^{\text {d }}$ | F | (85) | $(2,603)$ |
| Dogfish | 6 | e | e | 48 | 6 |
| Lingcod | 5 | $300{ }^{\text {e }}$ | $300{ }^{\text {e }}$ | (318) | 447 |
| Pacific cod | 4 | 2,000 | 1,600 | 1,962 | 1,268 |
| Pacific hake | 13 | F | F | - | - |
| Sablefish | 3 | e | e | (39) | 96 |
| Walleye pollock | 12 | 1,300 | 1,300 | 295 | 268 |
| Arrowtooth flounder | 11 | 500 | 500 | 670 | 253 |
| Butter sole | 14 | F | F | - | - |
| Dover sole | 8 | 130 f | $130{ }^{\text {f }}$ | 58 | 71 |
| English sole | 9 | 20 | 20 | 27 | 23 |
| Petrale sole | 10 | F | F | 62 | 73 |
| Rock sole | 7 | 300g | $150{ }^{\text {h }}$ | 296 | 272 |

alocation in report.
$\mathrm{b}_{\text {Canada }}$ only, in parentheses.
$c_{F}=$ free fishing.
doutside fishery closing line; see Addendum to 2.11.
eIncluded in coastwide TAC. See text.
$\mathrm{f}_{30} \mathrm{t}$ in 5A; 100 t in 5B.
g100 t in 5A; 200 t in 5B.
$h_{50} t$ in 5A; 100 t in 5B.

Table 6. Recommended TACs ( $t$ ) in 1980 and 1979, and Canadian landings ( $t$ ) in 1978 and 1977 for Areas 5C and 5D (Hecate Strait and Dixon Entrance), by species.

| Species | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Section } \\ & \text { No.a } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Recommended } \\ & \operatorname{TAC}(t) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Canadian } \\ & \text { landings }(t)^{b} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1980 | 1979 | 1978 | 1977 |
| Pacific ocean perch | 1 | $\mathrm{F}^{\text {c }}$ | $\mathrm{F}^{\text {c }}$ | 78 | 74 |
| Other rockfish | 2 | 1,175 | 1,225 | 970 | 807 |
| S. aleutianus | 2.9 | F | F | - | 1 |
| S. babcocki | 2.10 | 75 | 75 | 30 | 13 |
| $\overline{\text { s. }}$. brevispinis | 2.4 | 300 | 300 | 232 | 234 |
| $\overline{\mathrm{S}}$. entomelas | 2.7 | 50 | 50 | - | 4 |
| S. | 2.2 | 450 | 450 | 382 | 295 |
| $\overline{\text { S }}$. paucispinis | 2.6 | 100 | 100 | 54 | 60 |
| S. pinniger | 2.3 | 150 | 150 | 101 | 15 |
| S. proriger | 2.8 | F | 50 | 3 | 1 |
| $\overline{\text { s. }}$. reedi | 2.5 | 50 | 50 | - | 4 |
| Other | 2.11 | F | F | 69 |  |
| Dogfish | 6 | d | d | 32 | 11 |
| Lingcod | 5 | 200 | 200 | 106 | 206 |
| Pacific cod | 4 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,100 | 3,522 |
| Pacific hake | 13 | F | F | - | - |
| Sablefish | 3 | d | d | 92 | 80 |
| Walleye pollock | 12 | $3,350^{\text {e }}$ | 2,300 ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 1,707 | 583 |
| Arrowtooth flounder | 11 | 2,1008 | 2,100g | 1,365 | 1,023 |
| Butter sole | 14 | - | - | - | - |
| Dover sole | 8 | $300^{\text {h }}$ | 210 | 356 | 474 |
| English sole | 9 | $750^{\text {i }}$ | 670 | 559 | 1,178 |
| Petrale sole | 10 | - ${ }^{\text {j }}$ | - | 13 | 25 |
| Rock sole | 7 | $960{ }^{\text {j }}$ | 800 | 874 | 847 |

a Location in report.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ No U.S. landings.
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{F}}=$ free fish ing.
$\mathrm{d}_{\text {Included }}$ in coastwide TAC. See text.
$\mathrm{e}_{800} \mathrm{t}$ for Area 5C; 2,100 t for Rose Spit-Two Peaks-Dundas-Zayas Is.;
450 t for Cape Chacon.
$\mathrm{f}_{\text {Area }}$ 5D only.
glo0t in 5C; 2,000 t in 5D.
$\mathrm{h}_{30} \mathrm{t}$ in 5C; 270 t in 5D.
i 70 t in 5c; 680 t in 5D.
$j 500 \mathrm{t}$ south of $53^{\circ} 50^{\prime}$; 460 north of $53^{\circ} 50^{\prime}$.

Table 7. Recommended TACs (t) in 1980 and 1979, and Canadian landings (t) in 1978 and 1977 for Area $5 E$ South of $54^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ lat., by species.

| Species | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Section } \\ & \text { No. }{ }^{\text {a }} \end{aligned}$ | Recommended TAC ( t ) |  | Canadian <br> landings ( $t)^{\text {b }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1980 | 1979 | 1978 | 1977 |
| Pacific ocean perch | 1 | 600 | 600 | 2,427 | 1,551 |
| Other rockfish | 2 | 2,125 | 1,875 | 1,725 | 1,637 |
| S. aleutianus | 2.9 | 150 | 150 | 134 | 76 |
| $\overline{\text { S }}$. babcocki | 2.10 | 25 | 25 | 5 | 2 |
| $\overline{\text { S }}$. brevispinis | 2.4 | 350 | 350 | Tr | Tr |
| $\bar{S}$. entomelas | 2.7 | 100 | 100 | 56 | 12 |
| $\overline{\mathrm{S}}$. flavidus | 2.2 | 200 | 100 | Tr | Tr |
| S. paucispinis | 2.6 | 50 | 50 | Tr | Tr |
| S. pinniger | 2.3 | 200 | 100 | Tr | Tr |
| S. proriger | 2.8 | 250 | 250 | 229 | 156 |
| S. reedi | 2.5 | 800 | 750 | 973 | 1,257 |
| Other | 2.11 | $400^{\text {c }}$ | - | - | - |
| Dogfish | 6 | d | d | - | 1 |
| Lingcod | 5 | - | - | 11 | 11 |
| Pacific cod | 4 | - | - | 3 | 7 |
| Pacific hake | 13 | - | - | - | - |
| Sablefish | 3 | d | d | 345 | 159 |
| Walleye pollock | 12 | $700^{\text {e }}$ | $200{ }^{\text {e }}$ | 19 | 12 |
| Arrowtooth flounder | 11 | $100{ }^{\text {e }}$ | $100{ }^{\text {e }}$ | 30 | 31 |
| Butter sole | 14 | - | - | - | - |
| Dover sole | 8 | $100^{\text {e }}$ | - | 119 | 101 |
| English sole | 9 | - | - | - | 2 |
| Petrale sole | 10 | - | - | 37 | 1 |
| Rock sole | 7 | - | - | - | 5 |

aLocation in report.
$\mathrm{b}_{\text {No }}$ U.S. landings.
call rockfishes, north of $54^{\circ}$.
dincluded in coastwide TAC. See text.
$\mathrm{e}_{\text {All }}$ of Area 5E.
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## INTRODUCTION

In 1977, extension of jurisdiction to include management of fisheries within a 200 -mile zone along Canada's west coast placed an obligation on Resource Services and its Groundfish Program to make annual assessments of the actual and potential productivity of stocks occurring within the zone. Assessment documents forming the basis for management actions in 1977 and 1978 were concerned with species/stocks which were of continuing interest to foreign nations (other than the U.S.A.). However, the requirement for all-inclusive assessment of stocks whether they are fished internationally or domestically was not long in coming, because by 1978 an almost explosive demand for quality fish products (stimulated in part by the indirect effects of extended jurisdiction) resulted in the development of new domestic fisheries and increased fishing pressure on stocks of traditional interest. The need for information on stock conditions and sustainable yields was made even more urgent by recognition of the fact that the reciprocal fishing privileges agreement between Canada and the United States, which essentially provided for free fishing within each other's zone, would have to be renegotiated with explicit catch limits on species/stocks of mutual interest.

The mounting demand for fish products in general and Canada's rapidly changing relationship with other countries fishing within the Canadian zone presage a new era in the west coast groundfish fishery -- an era characterized by rational management of resources through limitation of fishing effort, limitation of catch and other measures as may be required to achieve optimum sustainable yield.

This report is the second in the new series which provides a comprehensive assessment of almost all commercially important groundfish stocks in Canadian waters. Contained herein are compilations and analyses of available, pertinent data, and recommended Total Allowable Catches (TACs) for the 1980 season.

## DEFINITION OF TERMS

With the advent of extended jurisdiction, the term Total Allowable Catch or TAC has become widely recognized as the maximum yield to be permitted from a stock in a given year. It is the ultimate management decision upon which national allocations are made. Not so widely accepted is a term describing the catch, 4 which on the basis of biological

4The term "catch" is conventionally, but at times inaccurately, used as a synonym for landings. More accurately the term net catch should be used, because in almost all groundfish fisheries, some of the catch is discarded at sea (undersized, or unsaleable at the time), and rarely is accurate information on gross catch available. Hence TAC (Total Allowable Catch) and CPUE (Catch per unit effort) usually would more accurately be referred to as TAL (Total Allowable Landing) and LPUE (Landing Per Unit Effort).
consideration alone, is recommended by scientists to managers. While Allowable Biological Catch (ABC) is gaining recognition in some circles, it has been decided for the time being to use the expression RECOMMENDED TAC in order to avoid confusion.

A recommended TAC is what the biologist considers to be a desirable level of catch based on whatever information is available on the condition of a stock; its vital statistics of growth and natural mortality; its geographical and bathymetric (depth) distribution; its association with other species/stocks; and its ability to withstand or respond to various fishing intensities. A stock may be at a level where it is capable of sustaining only a modest yield. A greater yield would reduce the stiock while a lesser one would permit the stock to increase to a higher, more economically attractive level of abundance. The biologist may recommend a relatively low TAC in order to achieve the increase as quickly as possible, and the manager may accept the biologist's advice. On the other hand the manager may decide, in consultation with industry and economists, that the recommended TAC is so low that its adoption would disrupt the fishery and force fishermen to suspend their operation or turn to other species whose productivity might be decreased by the additional fishing effort. Faced with this prospect, the manager may opt for a higher level of yield and hence a longer period for the stock to increase, in which case the TAC would be greater than that recommended by the biologist.

## STOCK ASSESSMENT TECHNIQUES

The reader will quickly note a lack of uniformity in the treatment of the numerous species/stocks covered by this report. To a large extent this is a reflection of the quality and quantity of data available for stock assessment and the extent to which such data submit to analytical techniques. For the majority of stocks, conclusions regarding stock condition and TACs, for want of sufficient time or reliable data, are based on a review of recent trends in catch, fishing effort and catch per unit of effort (CPUE). For new fisheries there is of course no past history and hence insufficient data, except possibly for results of research vessel surveys, upon which to base tentative conclusions. Finally, for only a very few stocks has it been possible to make use of mathematical modelling to arrive at TAC recommendations, which is not to say that the conclusions are necessarily any more reliable than those reached by othermeans. Indeed, it is important for the reader, particularly the fisheries manager, to recognize that ALL RECOMMENDED TACs ARE AT BEST ROUGH APPROXIMATIONS SUBJECT TO REVIEW AND MID-SEASON REVISION.

Except where indicated, we are not yet in a position to set confidence limits to our estimated TACs. Some may be overly generous while others may be unduly stringent. However, because the history of groundfish fisheries elsewhere in the world has been one of overexploitation followed by sometimes fruitless efforts at restoration, we have generally taken a conservative approach to our recommendations. Only a few species (e.g. Pacific cod and possibly pollock) seemingly possess the ability to rebound
quickly should the catch limit mistakenly be set too high. For most other species, and particularly the large group of rockfishes, which are characterized by relatively slow growth and low rates of reproduction, errors can take a long time to repair. Some stocks already have been decimated by foreign and domestic fisheries during the past decade and may require a decade or more to return to levels where they will support economically viable operations. Thus, managers are cautioned to exercise circumspection in setting TACs and to recognize the need for flexibility during this initial stage of management where the approach to management must be on a rather empirical basis.

Four other guiding considerations deserve mention at this time:
First, it must be recognized that groundfish fisheries are of extreme complexity mainly because the numerous species/stocks inhabiting particular areas are intermixed, or at least so finely separated from one another that a TAC cannot be imposed on one without affecting others. Once the TAC of a target species is taken, fishing effort will automatically be diverted to other species in the same area or to other areas along the coast. Because of this chain reaction or domino effect, imposition of a TAC on one species/stock inevitably calls for a TAC on almost all other species/stocks.

Second, there are about 23 stocks (of roughly 10 species) which are sufficiently important to be regarded as primary targets. These major stocks cannot be exploited without the incidental catch of a number of secondary species in what may appear to be trivial amounts but which, in fact, constitute overfishing. In such cases TACs are fully warranted for both primary and secondary species. For other minor species which perhaps are underfished, our recommended TACs should be merely regarded as an acknowledgment or endorsement of the existence of a fishery. They should not be regarded as strict management proposals. TACs for minor species regardless of stock condition must be integrated with TACs for major target species and modified, where necessary in light of management strategy, to prevent premature closure of the more valuable fisheries for target species.

Third, Pacific cod, the most important species in the Canadian groundfish fishery, is subject to highly variable short-term fluctuations in abundance which are as yet unpredictable. Thus accurate estimation of TACs should not be expected. Figures for Pacific cod are subject to review and possible mid-season revision, much in the way the magnitude of salmon runs must be assessed while the fishery is in progress.

Fourth, in addition to Pacific cod and a variety of other traditionally important but more stable contributors to the groundfish fishery, there are several large resources which are only now coming into production (pollock and dogfish); are as yet to be exploited (hake in inshore waters); or, for the lack of priority in earlier years, are only now being subjected to investigation (arrowtooth flounder and numerous rockfishes other than Pacific ocean perch). For these species the scientific basis for estimating TACs is understandably weak, and the figures provided can be little better than informed guesses.

With the substantially increased support recently provided for groundfish stock assessment investigations, accumulation of the basic information needed for management is progressing more rapidly, and with that, a growing capability to provide sound advice. In the meantime, it is of vital importance, as emphasized earlier, to recognize the provisional nature of the TACs contained in this report and the need for a review and revision process during the course of the 1980 fishery.

## 1. PACIFIC OCEAN PERCH STOCK ASSESSMENT

### 1.1 Introduction

Pacific ocean perch (Sebastes alutus) is the principal species in the rockfish catches off British Columbia. Commercially abundant stocks are located off West Vancouver Island, in Queen Charlotte Sound, off West Queen Charlotte Islands, and possibly in South Hecate Strait. The long-exploited stocks off West Vancouver Island and in Queen Charlotte Sound have been under joint study by Canadian and United States scientists since 1970. The West Queen Charlotte Islands stock was exploited exclusively by Japanese and USSR vessels prior to late 1976 when Canadian vessels began fishing inshore areas not available to foreign vessels. In South Hecate Strait, research vessel catch rates in 1973,1974 , and 1978 indicated the existence of a commercially abundant stock, but to date no major commercial fishery has developed, despite declining catch rates on traditional grounds in Queen Charlotte Sound.

This report presents stock assessments for the various Pacific ocean perch stocks off British Columbia, by major region.

### 1.2 Vancouver Area (Areas 3B, 3C, and 3D)

The Vancouver Area encompasses waters off West Vancouver Island and Northwest Washington State (approximating Statistical Areas 3B, 3C, and 3D). Off West Vancouver Island (Areas 3C and 3D), Pacific ocean perch ranks fourth in importance ( $12 \%$ ), based on quantities landed, behind Pacific cod ( $33 \%$ ), lingcod ( $21 \%$ ), and Other rockfish ( $17 \%$ ) (Westrheim 1977). Canadian share of Pacific ocean perch landings has been negligible from the Vancouver Area.

Canada-U.S. landings from the Vancouver Area have declined substantially since 1966 when the Japanese and USSR vessels began fishing in the region. Currently, about $75 \%$ of the Canada-U.S. landings originate from the U.S. portion of the Vancouver Area.

### 1.2.1 Landings and CPUE

All-nation landings of Pacific ocean perch from the, Vancouver Area (Areas 3B; 3C, and 3D) totalled $825 t$ in 1978 (Table 1.1). Only Canadian and United States vessels participated in the 1978 fishery, and the Canadian participation was negligible. Most of the United States landings traditionally have originated from grounds south of the provisional Canada-U.S. boundary. During 1973-77, 76-97\% of the Washington State landings originated from grounds south of the provisional boundary (Table 1.2). For Vancouver Area as a whole, landings continue to increase from the historical low of 287 t in 1974 , but remain well below the level of 1963-65 (2,499-3,867t), immediately prior to the arrival of the USSR and Japanese vessels.

Washington State CPUE was $0.309 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{hr}$ in 1978 , and lies within the range ( $0.202-0.317 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) occurring during 1968-78. Values for 1963-66 were $0.404-0.718 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{hr}$.

### 1.2.2 Other information

The 1979 TAC report provided information, for the Vancouver Area as a whole, on age composition of Washington State landings, biological statistics, biomass estimates and exploitation rates. During 1979 the U.S. conducted a survey of S . alutus in its segment of the Vancouver Area to corroborate results obtained by a similar survey in 1977. The estimated marketable biomasses from (results of) these two surveys were 5,711 t and $7,730 \mathrm{t}$, respectively, for the U.S. portion of the Vancouver Area.

In September, 1979 Canada conducted a trawl survey of S. alutus off the central and southwest coast of Vancouver Island, encompassing the majority of the known areas of $S$. alutus abundance in the Canadian portion of the Vancouver Area. Analysis of the results of this survey indicate a marketable biomass of $\sim 5,700 \mathrm{t}$, of which about $74 \%$ is . alutus.

### 1.2.3 Stock assessment

While the overall abundance of $\underline{S}$. alutus in the Vancouver Area has undoubtedly been reduced substantially from the virgin level, the 1979 survey results suggest that stocks may not be as depleted as catch statisitcs have indicated. This suggestion should be couched with an element of caution however, since the biomass estimate is extrapolated from catch rates in an area where no fishing for $S$. alutus has occurred for three years. It is an often-observed trait of rockfish populations that extensive fishing tends to dissipate fish schools and the high catch rates observed during the survey may have been an artifact of the lack of fishing effort in recent years.

### 1.2.4 Recommendations

A TAC of $600 t$ is recommended for Pacific ocean perch in the Areas 3 C and 3D in total. In addition, it is recommended that directed fishing for this species be closely monitored for the persistence of catch rates observed during the 1979 survey.

### 1.3 Queen Charlotte Sound (Areas 5A and 5B)

Pacific ocean perch has been the most important species landed by the Canada-United States trawl fleet from Queen Charlotte Sound -- 43\% of the mean annual landings during 1965-74 (Westrheim 1977). Other important species were Other rockfish ( $26 \%$ ) and Pacific cod (12\%). Canada-U.S. landings have declined steadily since USSR and Japanese trawlers began fishing, in 1965 and 1966, respectively.

### 1.3.1 Landings and CPUE

In 1978, all-nation landings of Pacific ocean perch from Queen Charlotte Sound (Areas 5A and 5B) totalled 1,336 t (Table 1.3). Only Canadian and United States vessels participated in the fishery. U.S. vessels terminated operations in June, and their 1978 landings from Queen Charlotte Sound were negligible. Canada-U.S. landings during 1976-78 remain at a low level (1,355-2,104 t) relative to 1966-70 (5, 745-8,254 t). Evidently, Pacific ocean perch abundance in Queen Charlotte Sound was substantially greater than that in the Vancouver Area, because Canada-U.S. landings did not decline appreciably until after 1972, despite the sustained, large, all-nation production during 1966-70.

CPUEs for 1978 were $0.948 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{hr}$ for Canadian vessels, and $0.705 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{hr}$ for U.S. vessels. Both values are larger than the respective 1977 values, and probably reflect a decrease in fishing effort. Recent studies, still incomplete, suggest that nominal CPUE imperfectly reflects abundance of Pacific ocean perch.

### 1.3.2 Other information

The 1979 TAC report (Ketchen, ed. 1980) contained information on age composition of Canadian landings, and biomass estimates. No new information is currently available, and technical problems have not yet been resolved with respect to CPUE (used in age composition analysis) and biomass estimates (used in computing exploitation rates).

### 1.3.3 Stock assessment

Quantitative stock assessment is precluded for Pacific ocean perch stock(s) in the Queen Charlotte Sound region, because of currently unresolved problems of quantifying abundance. However, landing records of the Canada-U.S. fleet provide an adequate qualitative measure of the decline in abundance. The 1978 TAC for Canada-U.S. vessels, of $2,500 \mathrm{t}$, was only 54\% filled, despite strong economic demand for Pacific ocean perch fillets.

### 1.3.4 Recommendations

Rehabilitation of the Pacific ocean perch stock(s) in Queen Charlotte Sound will require restraint by the trawl fleet for a number of years. However, currently available information does not indicate a need to eliminate the directed fishery, as was deemed necessary in the Canadian portion of the Vancouver Area. Until an adequate quantitative analysis is completed, a rough qualitative analysis must be employed. For 1980, a TAC of $2,000 t$ is recommended.

### 1.4 West Queen Charlotte Islands (Area 5E)

Rockfish exploitation off West Queen Charlotte Islands, Area 5E was initiated by USSR trawlers in 1965, followed by Japanese vessels in 1966. Soviet vessels abandoned the fishery by 1970 , and Canadian vessels entered the fishery in late 1976.

The USSR-Japanese fishery was centered north of $54^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ lat. -$80 \%$ of the Japanese catch was taken in one offshore, $30-\mathrm{mi} \times 30$-mi block (033540). The Canadian fishery has centered on inshore grounds south of $54^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, and appears to be exploiting a stock of ocean perch not previously fished by foreign fleets.

### 1.4.1 Landings and CPUE

In 1978, all-nation landings of Pacific ocean perch from the west coast of the Queen Charlotte Islands totalled 2,427t (Table 1.4). Canadian vessels were the sole participants in this fishery. Their 1977 production was $1,551 \mathrm{t}$. Quantitative analysis of the historical landing statistics is virtually impossible. USSR data are not precise with respect to area, quantity, or species identification. Japanese data are not precise with respect to species composition. Furthermore, while $80 \%$ of the Japanese production originated from offshore grounds north of $54^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ lat., virtually all of the Canadian production originated from inshore grounds south of $54^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ lat.

CPUEs for Japanese vesse1s are of no interpretive value because species composition of catches was incorrectly reported, and was known to have changed from principally Pacific ocean perch during the early phase of the fishery (before 1970) to other species (probably S. reedi, S. proriger, S. zacentrus, and $\underline{S}$. variegatus) since 1970. CPUEs for Canadian vessels yield little information yet because only two complete years of information are available at this time. Following are the January-July landing statistics for the two major fishing grounds exploited by Canadian vessels:

| January-July |  | Renne11 Sound | Buck Point |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1977 | Landing ( t ) | 748 | 288 |
|  | CPUE ( $t / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | 2.42 | 1.91 |
| 1978 | Landing ( $t$ ) | 234 | 194 |
|  | CPUE ( $\mathrm{t} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | 1.56 | 1.30 |
| 1979 | Landing ( t ) | 98 | 138 |
|  | CPUE ( $t / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | 0.76 | 1.40 |

Decline in production and CPUE for the Rennell Sound and Buck Point Grounds suggests that those local stocks have declined in abundance. Regional production has been sustained by discovery and exploitation of new grounds. However, no significant new grounds were discovered in 1979.

### 1.4.2 Other information

In July 1979, a biomass survey of rockfishes north of $54^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ in Area 5E was conducted. This survey covered the area which was extensively fished by foreign fleets from 1966-1977 and within which the domestic fleet
has not operated. Preliminary results suggest a biomass of approximately $5,200 \mathrm{t}$ of marketable rockfishes ( $\sim 53 \%$ S. alutus).

Regression analysis of Japanese data alone suggests that the total "ocean perch" biomass in the 5 E area prior to 1966 may have been as high as $104,000 \mathrm{t}$. The primary shortfall of this analysis (contamination of "ocean perch" statistics with other species in more recent years) would result in an underestimate of the virgin biomass. The estimated biomass of $104,000 \mathrm{t}$ could have sustained an annual yield of $\sim 6,000 \mathrm{t}$.

A survey of rockfish biomass south of $54^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ was conducted in May 1979. Preliminary results indicate a biomass of $\sim^{\sim} 16,000 t$ however only partial coverage of one area of known fish abundance was achieved. As such the estimate must be considered as a minimum estimate. Confidence intervals around this estimate are as yet unresolved.

Regression analysis of the data from the domestic fishery in Area 5 E is somewhat confounded by a contamination of "ocean perch" with S. reedi in the first six months of the fishery. This analysis suggests a pre-1977 biomass of $38,000 \mathrm{t}$ of S . alutus, from which a yield of $\sim 2,300 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{yr}$ might be sustainable. Since yields of less than this figure have resulted in decreased CPUEs, there is cause for considerable doubt as to the veracity of this yield. A more plausible figure is derived for the postulated yield of all rockfishes combined of $700-1,200 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{yr}$.

### 1.4.3 Stock assessment

Biomass surveys and regression estimates place some bounds around estimated yields from S. alutus stocks in the 5E area. The area north of $54^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ has had a long history of overexploitation and considerable attention must be directed to rehabilitating the stock in that area. The considerations with regard to survey estimates generated in areas where there has been no fishing activity in recent years, noted in Section 1.2.3, are reiterated here. Similarly, the decreased catch rates observed in Area 5E(S) also counsel caution.

### 1.4.4 Recommendations

A TAC of 400 t for all rockfishes combined is recommended for Area $5 E(N)$. In addition, the fishery is recommended as a permit fishery only. A TAC of 600 t of S : alutus for Area $5 \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{S})$ is recommended, together with the caveats of Appendix II.

Table 1.1. Pacific ocean perch catch ( $t$ ) by nation and CPUE ( $t / \mathrm{hr}$ ) for United States vessels in the Vancouver Area, 1956-78.

| Year | Catch (t) |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & (t / h r) \\ & \text { U.S.A. } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Canada- } \\ \text { U.S.A. } \end{gathered}$ | Japan | U.S.S.R. | Bulgaria ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Poland | E. Germany ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Total |  |
| 1956 | 1,084 | - | - | - | - | - | 1,084 | ? |
| 1957 | 1,154 | - | - | - | - | - | 1,154 | ? |
| 1958 | 675 | - | - | - | - | - | 675 | ? |
| 1959 | 968 | - | - | - | - | - | 968 | 0.341 |
| 1960 | 1,575 | - | - | - | - | - | 1,575 | 0.254 |
| 1961 | 2,485 | - | - | - | - | - | 2,485 | 0.327 |
| 1962 | 3,857 | - | - | - | - | - | 3,857 | 0.350 |
| 1963 | 3,867 | - | - | - | - | - | 3,867 | 0.435 |
| 1964 | 2,499 | - | - | - | - | - | 2,499 | 0.404 |
| 1965 | 3,046 | - | 500 | - | - | - | 3,546 | 0.718 |
| 1966 | 2,358 | few | 14,000 | - | - | - | 16,358+ | 0.640 |
| 1967 | 805 | 6,678 | 6,000 | - | - | - | 13,483 | 0.434 |
| 1968 | 552 | 4,751 | 5,114 | - | - | - | 10,417 | 0.247 |
| 1969 | 583 | 1,787 | 1,040 | - | - | - | 3,410 | 0.242 |
| 1970 | 1,955 | 2,186 | 182 | - | - | - | 4,323 | 0.298 |
| 1971 | 1,155 | 1,838 | 900 | - | - | - | 3,893 | 0.317 |
| 1972 | 624 | 1,580 | 401 | - | - | - | 2,605 | 0.312 |
| 1973 | 344 | 2,989 | 490 | - | - | - | 2,605 | 0.228 |
| 1974 | 287 | 1,084 | 70 | - | 32 | - | 1,473 | 0.202 |
| 1975 | 440 | 352 | 152 | - | - | - | 944 | 0.271 |
| 1976 | 876 | 286 | 187 | 23 | - | 25 | 1,397 | 0.308 |
| 1977 | 945 | $\mathrm{T}^{\text {b }}$ | T | T | T | T | 945 | 0.260 |
| 1978 | 825 | - | - | - | - | - | 825 | 0.309 |

${ }^{\text {a Estimates }}$ assuming similar fishing patterns and catch composition as the U.S.S.R. fleet. $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{Trace} \text {. }}$

Table 1.2. Washington State landings (t) of Pacific ocean perch from the Vancouver Area $(3 B+3 C+3 D)$, north and south of the provisional Canada-U.S. boundary, by year, 1963-77.

| Year | Tota1 | North | South | $\%$ South |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1963 | 3,767 | 2,142 | 1,625 | 43 |
| 1964 | 2,048 | 980 | 1,068 | 52 |
| 1965 | 2,961 | 1,694 | 1,267 | 43 |
| 1966 | 2,283 | 797 | 1,486 | 65 |
| 1967 | 783 | 386 | 396 | 51 |
| 1968 | 526 | 108 | 417 | 79 |
| 1969 | 573 | 155 | 418 | 73 |
| 1970 | 1,209 | 558 | 651 | 54 |
| 1971 | 718 | 123 | 595 | 83 |
| 1972 | 503 | 215 | 289 | 57 |
| 1973 | 342 | 83 | 259 | 76 |
| 1974 | 986 | 45 | 241 | 84 |
| 1975 | 873 | 129 | 346 | 80 |
| 1976 | 929 |  | 744 | 85 |
| 1977 |  |  | 904 | 97 |

Table 1.3. Reported "Pacific ocean perch" catch (t) by nation and CPUE ( $t / \mathrm{hr}$ ) for Canadian and U.S. vesseles in Queen Charlotte Sound, 1956-77.

| Year | Catch (t) |  |  |  | cPue ( $\mathrm{t} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Canada- } \\ & \text { U.S.A. } \end{aligned}$ | Japan | U.S.S.R. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Total | Canada | U.S.A. |
| 1956 | 1,236 | - | - | 1,236 | - | - |
| 1957 | 758 | - | - | 758 | - | - |
| 1958 | 933 | - | - | 933 | - | - |
| 1959 | 1,915 | - | - | 1,915 | - | 0.672 |
| 1960 | 1,680 | - | - | 1,680 | - | 0.577 |
| 1961 | 1,201 | - | - | 1,201 | 1.116 | 0.654 |
| 1962 | 1,838 | - | - | 1,838 | 1.034 | 0.661 |
| 1963 | 3,712 | - | - | 3,712 | 1.297 | 0.841 |
| 1964 | 3,507 | - | - | 3,507 | 0.939 | 0.731 |
| 1965 | 4,889 | - | 7,000 | 11,889 | 1.285 | 1.040 |
| 1966 | 8,254 | few | 18,000 | 27,054+ | 1.270 | 1.132 |
| 1967 | 5,745 | 3,196 | 17,800 | 26,741 | 0.884 | 0.800 |
| 1968 | 6,051 | 5,614 | 1,827 | 13,492 | 0.963 | 0.722 |
| 1969 | 6,628 | 6,268 | 55 | 12,951 | 0.743 | 0.656 |
| 1970 | 6,077 | 3,775 | 2 | 9,854 | 0.674 | 0.710 |
| 1971 | 4,165 | 702 | few | 4,867+ | 0.567 | 0.670 |
| 1972 | 5,561 | 2,281 | 0 | 7,842 | 0.941 | 0.710 |
| 1973 | 3,626 | 958 | 0 | 4,584 | 1.234 | 0.812 |
| 1974 | 3,618 | 7,983 | 0 | 11,601 | 0.961 | 0.610 |
| 1975 | 2,707 | 3,888 | 0 | 6,590 | 0.808 | 0.486 |
| 1976 | 1,967 | 1,182 | 0 | 3,046 | 0.848 | 0.361 |
| 1977 | 2,104 | 980 | 0 | 3,084 | 0.671 | 0.420 |
| 1978 | 1,336 | 0 | 0 | 1,336 | 0.948 | 0.705 |

$\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{A} 11}$ U.S.S.R. statistics are estimated from surveillance and are in the process of revision.

Table 1.4. Reported "Pacific ocean perch" production off the west coast of the Queen Charlotte Islands (PMFC Area 5E), by nation, 1965-78.

|  | Catch (t) |  |  |  | Capan |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Year | U.S.S.R.a | Japan | Canada | Tota1 <br> (t/hr) |  |
| 1965 | 24,740 | - | - | 24,740 | - |
| 1966 | 15,896 | 300 | - | 16,196 | 4.17 |
| 1967 | 2,847 | 5,216 | - | 8,163 | 3.71 |
| 1968 | 1,054 | 8,042 | - | 9,096 | 2.57 |
| 1969 | 159 | 4,169 | - | 4,328 | 2.46 |
| 1970 | - | 1,894 | - | 1,671 | 2.12 |
| 1971 | - | 3,033 | - | 3,033 | 2.62 |
| 1972 | - | 4,469 | - | 4,469 | 2.95 |
| 1973 | - | 3,514 | - | 3,514 | 2.40 |
| 1974 | - | 2,442 | - | 2,442 | 1.73 |
| 1975 | - | 1,833 | - | 1,833 | 1.11 |
| 1976 | - | 1,992 | - | 1,992 | 1.20 |
| 1977 | - | 1,270 | 1,551 | 2,821 | 0.91 |
| 1978 | - | 2,427 | 2,427 | - |  |

${ }^{a_{A 11}}$ U.S.S.R. statistics are estimated from surveillance and are in the process of revision.

### 2.1 Introduction

Pacific ocean perch has historically been the most valued of the rockfish species to both domestic and foreign fleets fishing in Canadian waters. Other rockfish species (Sebastes spp.; Sebastolobus spp.) have been exploited by U.S. vessels since the mid-1960s, due to their higher market acceptance in the U.S., and by foreign fleets since the later 1960 s when Sebastes alutus stocks began to decline in areas of previous abundance. By contrast, Canadian fishermen did not begin directed fishing for these other rockfish species until the mid-1970s when markets for them began to develop. For both the U.S. and Canadian fisheries there has been very poor species and area resolution of catches and there is, therefore, very little historical information of use in the assessment of these stocks. This is a serious shortcoming with regard to current methods of stock analyses, where catches-at-age of a year-class over several years are fundamental to the estimation of mortality rates within the population. Since rockfish species are extremely slow-growing the absence of a historical record of the age composition of catches requires the invocation of a number of assumptions about stock parameters in order to conduct assessments. The number of these assumptions or their individual magnitudes will only be reduced as a historical record is acquired.

Rockfishes as a group are characterized by slow growth ( $K=0.05-0.15$ ), high age at first capture ( $6-10 \mathrm{yr}$ ) and at first maturity (9-13 yr), low natural mortality rates ( $M=0.12-0.20$ ) and high longevity (40-85 yr). These factors in combination suggest that rockfish stocks are low-volume production systems wherein annual yields must be less than $20 \%$ of the standing stock if stock levels are to be maintained at optimum levels.

The present report deals with rockfish species in three major categories: those species which can be directly fished by the fleet, in most areas (S. brevispinis, S. flavidus, S. paucispinis, $\underline{S}$. pinniger, and S. reedi); those species which are relatively less abundant and are normally caught incidentally with other species, but do attain significant annual landings ( $100-500 \mathrm{t}$ ) (S. aleutianus, $S$. babcocki, $S$. entomelas, and $S$. proriger) ; and, those species which are consistently caught incidentally to other species and whose annual landings are relatively small (S. borealis, S. crameri, S. ruberrimus, and $S$. variegatus). There is an additional group of fish for which there is currently no market because of their small average size (S. diploproa, S. helvomaculatus, S. zacentrus, and Sebastolobus alascanus).

### 2.2 Sebastes flavidus (Yellowtail rockfish, Greenies)

### 2.2.1 Summary of catch statistics

There has been a historical fishery by U.S. vessels in Canadian waters since the mid-l960s, however Canadian vessels did not begin directed
fishing for S. flavidus until after 1970, primarily due to marketing constraints. While U.S. catches by species and major statistical area have recently been obtained, species-specific effort is still unavailable.

The fishery for Sebastes flavidus is divided into four general areas which are roughly encompassed by PMFC Areas 3C, 3D, 5A-5B, and 5C-5D. Landings from other areas are minor and incidental in occurrence. The lack of significant landings of S . flavidus from between these four areas argues for individual area management. While no definite conclusions can be reached about genetically distinct stock units, there are sufficient geographic barriers (deep submarine canyons) between these exploited groups to justify some stock distinction, based upon the generally observed behaviour of shelf rockfish species. It is on this basis that the populations of S . flavidus in these four areas will be treated as separate stock units. In so doing, the inconclusive nature of available information is acknowledged and the current assignment of stock units may well be modified as better data are obtained.

### 2.2.1.1 Southwest coast of Vancouver Island (Area 3C)

Canadian landings of $S$. flavidus underwent a substantial decline during 1969-75 and were accompanied by a similar decline in CPUE of the U.S. fleet (which applied the majority of the fishing effort--Table 2.1). In 1976, however, many of the U.S. vessels began using a modified Norwegian trawl which had an increase in vertical opening of approximately $50 \%$ (to a total of $\sim 9.5 \mathrm{~m}$ ) over conventional trawls. This net would be expected to have a considerably greater catching power for species such as S . flavidus, which tend to school up over the bottom (they are also caught with midwater gear). In fact the U.S. catch and CPUE for $S$. flavidus did increase substantially during 1976 ( $600+\%$ ) for the $3 \bar{B}-3 C$ areas, over previous years. This increased catch and CPUE did not appear to be derived from recruitment of a strong, new year-class entering the fishery since the size/age composition of sampled landings did not change. These data imply that either the availability or the vulnerability of the species had increased. The former may be verified if CPUE for Canadian vessels, which had fished consistently with the same gear over the periods and areas in question, had increased commensurately with that of U.S. vessels. It was only in that area closest to the provisional U.S.-Canada boundary that any increase occurred and it was associated with only ten hours of effort. In 1977, however, the catch and CPUE of U.S. vessels fishing for S. flavidus had dropped by almost $50 \%$ while effort was almost identical. Canadian catch and CPUE which had increased dramatically in 1977, when Canadian vessels had fished more productive locations south of the boundary line, decreased to 1976 levels in 1978 when Canadians no longer fished these more productive locations. More importantly, the $25 \%$ qualified catch decreased $93 \%$ during 1977-1978 while the similar qualifications of effort and CPUE decreased $64 \%$ and $81 \%$, respectively. The CPUE of U.S. vessels fishing for $S$. flavidus in the 3B-3C area during 1978 is not directly available due to the lack of species-specific effort, however overall shelf rockfish CPUE decreased for the U.S. fleet in 1978.

### 2.2.1.2 Condition of resource and assessment for 1980

The quantity of S. flavidus in the Canadian portion of Area 3C is evidently very sma11. The substantial increase in landings during 1977 was restricted to grounds south of the provisional boundary, where $78 \%$ of the catch originated. It should be noted that subsequent to the exclusion of Canadian vessels from these grounds in 1978, CPUE values have returned to previous levels and qualified ( $25 \%$ ) CPUE values have dropped $81 \%$.

There was a substantial dec1ine in U.S. CPUE from 1969 through 1975 in the 3C-3B area. As noted in Section 2.2.1.1, many U.S. vessels switched to new gear sometime in 1976, which could well have resulted in the increased CPUE noted. It is difficult to provide objective assessment of the change in CPUE of the U.S. fishery since Canadian CPUE also increased in 1978, when one Canadian trawler began fishing in the traditional U.S. fishing areas. What is obvious, however, is that removals of up to $1,500 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{yr}$ (1968-69) precipitated dec1ines in CPUE despite subsequent decreases in effort. If a measure of instantaneous natural mortality (M) represents what might be the exploitable proportion of the population on a maximum sustainable basis then:

$$
\text { 1,500 t }>\mathrm{M} \times \text { (Equilibrium biomass of exploitable stock) }
$$

where equilibrium biomass $=(0.5)$ (virgin biomass) as in Gulland (1970). Since the best available estimate of $M$ is 0.2 , the biomass of standing stock in 1968-69 must have been less than $15,000 \mathrm{t}$ in the $3 \mathrm{~B}-3 \mathrm{C}$ area (and smaller still in Area 3C alone).

There are two other estimates of the biomass of S . flavidus in the 3B-3C area. In 1977, the United States conducted a biomas survey of rockfishes in the California-Washington region using bottom trawls. For the U.S. segment of Areas 3 B and 3 C , the estimated biomass of S . flavidus was $11,480 \mathrm{t}+98 \%$. Imposing the previous rationale with regard to sustainable yields, an estimated equilibrium yield (EY) from this biomass would be $2,296 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{yr}$. Canada has conducted hydroacoustic biomass estimates in Area 3C and part of Area 3B periodically during 1974-1976. The following table presents the estimated biomass of marketable S. flavidus obtained in these surveys:

| Year/month | S. f1avidus biomass ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1974 Aug. | 14,279 |
| Oct. | 2,541 |
| 1975 Sept. | 2,666 t |
| Nov. | 42 t |
| 1976 Nov. | 1,696 |

[^1]The 1974 estimate is an obvious anomaly, but it was noted that 1974 biomass estimates for all species surveyed were exceptionally high. No explanation of these high values is available but the surveyors did state that while checks had been performed, equipment malfunction could not be ruled out. The lack of other substantiating evidence of high abundance in 1974 (CPUE for the U.S. fleet was one of the lowest on record) would argue that the August, 1974 estimates were inaccurate. Mean estimate of the $\mathbf{S}$. flavidus biomass in the $1975-76$ period would then be 1,736 for the $3 C$ area, resulting in an EY of approximately 347 t .

A fourth estimator of population biomass and EY can be obtained through examination of the historical record of catch and CPUE using the Leslie-Davis (1939) method. In applying this method there are several conceptual assumptions which must be justified: (1) the data must apply to a unit stock in which there is equilibrium between annual recruitment and annual losses due to natural mortality; (2) the catchability of the stock, q, must be approximately constant over the time interval considered; and (3) the estimates of $K_{t}$ must be reliable. Assumption (2) regarding catchability is suspect considering the aforementioned gear changes in 1976 and the fact that although landings of S. flavidus were recorded in 1967, the fishery by U.S. vessels did not begin in earnest until 1968. The catch analysis was, therefore, progressively resolved to take into consideration these constraints. In general, the errors noted would result in some over-estimation of $N_{o}$, the initial population. The results of these examinations are presented below:

| Catch data $^{a}$ | CPUE data ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathbf{r}$ | $N_{\mathrm{O}}$ | EY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1967-1977$ | $1967-1977$ | -0.399 | $9,877 \mathrm{t}$ | 990 t |
| $1967-1977$ | $1968-1977$ | -0.774 | $6,685 \mathrm{t}$ | 670 t |
| $1967-1975$ | $1967-1975$ | -0.863 | $5,321 \mathrm{t}$ | 530 t |
| $1967-1975$ | $1968-1975$ | -0.863 | $5,443 \mathrm{t}$ | 540 t |

$a_{\text {Nor }}$ th American catch.
bU.S. nominal S. flavidus CPUE.
Original population size is thus estimated to be in the range 9,877-5,321 t, although the low correlation coefficient for the highest value should be noted. One would intuitively have less confidence in this value since the poor correlation results from a single data point, generated prior to the development of the major fishery. The most probable values arising from this type of analysis then would yield $N_{o}$ in the range $6,685-5,443 t$ and concomitant EY values of $530-670 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{yr}$.

In summary, the following information is available for S . flavidus in Areas 3B-3C:

| Year | Biomass estimate | Source | EY estimate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1966 | $6,685-5,321 \mathrm{t}$ | Regression | $530-670 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{yr}$ |
| $1968-69$ | $<15,000$ | Catch trend | $<1,500 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{yr}$ |
| 1974 | $2,541-14,279^{\mathrm{a}}$ | Hydroacoustic survey | $500-2,860^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{yr}$ |
| 1975 | $42-2,666$ | Hydroacoustic survey | $8-530 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{yr}$ |
| 1976 | 1,696 | Hydroacoustic survey | $340 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{yr}$ |
| 1977 | 11,480 | Traw1 survey | $2,296 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{yr}$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Questionable value.

For lack of secondary supporting evidence two figures of EY in these data appear extremely high, the higher 1974 estimate and the 1977 trawl survey estimate. It is also significant to note that following removal of 1,072 t of S . flavidus in 1976, U.S. CPUE fell $47 \%$ in 1977; a further indication that the sustainable yield from this stock at its current level of abundance is probably well below $1,000 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{yr}$. The 1975-76 hydroacoustic surveys infer EYs of $400-500 \mathrm{t}$ (if the fishery had reduced virgin biomass by roughly one half) and considering the 1976-77 removals the lower figure is adopted as $\mathrm{EY}_{80}$ here, i.e. 400 t for Areas 3B-3C, of which only a small segment (possibly $20 \%$ ) applies to water within Canadian jurisdiction. It should be noted that U.S. scientists believe that this stock can support much higher levels of yield than are proposed here.

### 2.2.1.3 Recommendations

A TAC of 100 t is recommended for S . flavidus in the Canadian portion of Area 3C. The probable transboundary nature of the stock implies close cooperation with the United States in its management of that part of the stock lying within the U.S. zone.

### 2.2.2.1 Northwest coast of Vancouver Island (Area 3D)

Landings of $S$. flavidus by Canadian vessels from this area have always been small ( $\langle 35$ t annually -- Table 2.1), the fishery being largely composed of landings of lingcod and S. pinniger. Similarily, U.S. landings of S. flavidus from 3D have been low ( $\langle 150 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{yr}$ ) however, their relative importance has increased in recent years possibly due to decreases in the catches of the predominant species, S. pinniger. This trend was reversed in 1978 when $98 \%$ of the catch was estimated to be $\underline{S}$. pinniger.

The impact of the short-1ived but large removals of $\underline{S}$. flavidus and S. entomelas by the Polish trawl fleet in 1975 and 1976 is difficult to assess due to the effect of markets on domestic catches and the differences in fishing gears used by the domestic and foreign fleets. Species composition of the 1975 Polish catch was $6,700 \mathrm{t}$ of S . flavidus, $6,125 \mathrm{t}$ of S. entomelas and 48 t of other rockfish; fishing effort was $6,265 \mathrm{hr}$. In $1976,2,339 \mathrm{t}$ of S . flavidus, $1,364 \mathrm{t}$ of S . entomelas, 211 t of $\underline{\text { S. pinniger }}$ and 17 t of other rockfish were caught by Polish vessels; fishing effort was $4,950 \mathrm{hr}$. Nominal CPUE of the Polish fleet thus fell some $59 \%$ in one year.

While the North American catches of $S$. flavidus and S. pinniger did drop in 1976, following the large 1975 Polish fishery, the catch of S. flavidus increased again in 1977 (when fishery restrictions were in $\bar{f} f(\bar{f} t$ ). The catches of $\underline{s}$. flavidus by Poland were made with midwater nets, whereas the North American fleet relies almost exclusively on bottom trawls. It may thus be possible that the initial lack of evident effects of the Polish fishery on domestic catches is a result of the exploitation of different segments of the population by the two fleets. The long-term effects of the Polish fishery may only be discernible if more domestic vessels begin using midwater gear in this area; however, the large decreases in nominal CPUE experienced by the Polish fleet infer a decrease in the abundance of S . flavidus.

### 2.2.2.2 Condition of resource and assessment for 1980

The S. flavidus stock currently exploited by domestic vessels in Area 3D is evidently small (a 1976 hydroacoustic survey indicated a biomass of 315 t ) and catches are primarily incidental. Only $6 \%$ of the total effort expended during 1978 resulted in catches where . flavidus was $\geq 25 \%$ of the total catch. Qualified CPUE ( $25 \%$ ) for 1978 Canadian catches increased almost $300 \%$ over 1977 (Table 2.2), although the total catch involved was small. Nominal CPUE has undergone no significant changes in recent years, rather has fluctuated without trend (catch-CPUE correlation, $r=0.79$ ). Given the incidental nature of the domestic fishery but also considering the large Polish removals of $1975-76$, it would appear unwise to allow the catch to exceed 200 t without review.

A partial biomass survey in 1978 suggested a yield of $100-200 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{yr}$ available to bottom trawl gear. There was one instance of a large catch of S. flavidus with midwater gear ( $6+\mathrm{t} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) on that survey but since this catch rate was not even approached in other hauls it has not been assigned great significance.

### 2.2.2.3 Recommendation

Due to the incidental character of the S. flavidus fishery in Area 3D and considering the previous Polish removals, a T $\overline{A C}$ of $200 t$ is recommended together with the provision that any changes in the character of the fishery be closely monitored.

### 2.2.3.1 Queen Charlotte Sound (Areas 5A and 5B)

The two major areas into which Queen Charlotte Sound has been divided have been the traditional North American fishing areas for S. flavidus. Primary areas of catch are the southeast edge of Goose Island bank, the Cape Scott Spit, and the Cox Island-Cape Russell area. Peak landings occurred in 1973 and declined until 1976; CPUE also declined despite decreases in effort. In 1977 a reversal of the previous trend in CPUE began, with both U.S. and Canadian vessels experiencing modest increases in CPUE, and persisted into 1978.

Effort for $\underline{S}$. flavidus by the U.S. fleet has been declining since 1973; the 1978 effort was the lowest on record because the U.S. fishery in Canadian waters was terminated in June of 1978. Canadian landings and CPUE have increased since 1977 and the 1978 catch and CPUE were the highest on record. Qualified CPUE ( $25 \%$ ) has also increased since 1977.

### 2.2.3.2 Condition of the resource and assessment for 1980

While CPUE data indicate that the resource is not undergoing a decline sufficient to affect CPUE, it should be noted that the recent increases in CPUE are associated with the lowest effort on record. It is possible that the high CPUE values of 1978 were an artifact of this low effort. This is somewhat difficult to validate since the highest CPUE values are normally recorded in late summer and fall; the period during which the U.S. fleet was excluded in 1978. It is pertinent to note however, that while the Canadian fleet CPUE in the period July-November, 1978 was $30 \%$ higher than the same period for 1977, the CPUE for the January-June period in 1978 was $42 \%$ lower than that for 1977. CPUE values are only one indicator of stock abundance (and an imperfect one, at that) but the obvious influence of the decrease in total effort on the Canadian CPUE should not be ignored when assessing the resource. An additional factor is the influence of markets on the landings of the species, which tends to confound the understanding of observed trends.

The size composition of S . flavidus samples has not shifted to a smaller mean size as has been the case in Area 3C. Indeed, the size composition of the stock has been relatively constant in recent years. The fishery has been dominated by the 1960 and 1962 year-classes although the 1966 year-class is also relatively strong.

A secondary consideration, as in Area 3C, is the use of new types of gear in the fishery. Domestic vessels are experimenting with midwater and high-opening nets to which $\underline{S}$. flavidus is susceptible. The fishery will need to be monitored to determine if, for example, midwater fishing exploits juveniles before they enter a bottom trawl fishery.

The most recent data available from the Canadian fishery (July 15) indicate that catch rates are lower in 1979 than in 1978 however, the major fishery for this species does not begin until the August-October period. CPUE values are approximately equal to those in 1977 when U.S. vessels were also participating in the fishery.

In summary, the changes in CPUE observed in this fishery over the past two years cannot be attributed to stock abundance changes alone because of the concomitant changes in fishing effort. For this reason a relatively cautious approach must be taken in 1979 and 1980, when total effort will increase due to the re-entry of the U.S. fleet to the fishery.

Aside from this analysis of catch statistics, hydroacoustic estimates of the biomass of S . flavidus in Queen Charlotte Sound are also available. Two surveys were conducted during 1978, one in January and one in October; during both surveys priority was given to diurnal estimation because of the observed diel behavioural differences observed in some
rockfish species. The October survey was judged to provide the most accurate data because it achieved the most complete area coverage. The January estimate was considered less reliable because it included coverage of a deep water scattering layer more diffuse in appearance than a typical fish school. While $\underline{s}$. flavidus were obtained during sampling of this layer, their abundance was not proportional to the acoustical density of the layer and it was decided that inclusion of data from this layer would be unrealistic.

The October survey resulted in a diurnal biomass estimate for S. flavidus of $16,000 \mathrm{t}$ and a nocturnal estimate of $17,800 \mathrm{t}$. Using an average biomass of $16,900 \mathrm{t}$ suggests an $\mathrm{EY}_{79}$ of approximately $3,380 \mathrm{t}$, if this stock level was approximately one-half the virgin population. The 1978 CPUE analysis of this stock suggested a TAC of $3,000 \mathrm{t}$, thus there was close agreement of two independent assessment techniques. Indeed, $\underline{S}$. flavidus is one of the rockfishes which is most amenable to hydroacoustic estimation.

### 2.2.3.3 Recommendation

A TAC of $3,000 \mathrm{t}$ is recommended for S . flavidus in Areas $5 \mathrm{~A}-5 \mathrm{~B}$, but the fishery should be reviewed as the catch nears $2,500 \mathrm{t}$, particularly as regards exploitation by gear type.

### 2.2.4.1 Hecate Strait (Areas 5C and 5D)

S. flavidus has only recently attained any significance in landings from Hecate Strait, where it is now caught only by Canadian vessels. Prior to 1976, trawl landings averaged < 40 t/yr. Effort for S. flavidus has increased considerably since 1976 ( $100+\%$ ) however, this species is caught primarily as an incidental to the pollock fishery. Qualified effort (25\%) in 1978 was less than $15 \%$ of the total effort expended when S. flavidus was caught. Some directed fishing for this species began in 1979, in Area 5C. Qualified CPUE has remained relatively steady since 1976.

### 2.2.4.2 Condition of resource and assessment for 1980

S. flavidus remains largely an incidental fishery in Hecate Strait although directed effort in Area 5 C is increasing somewhat. Despite increasing effort and catch, both the unqualified and the qualified (25\%) CPUE have remained relatively stable since 1976, particularly in Area 5D where the majority of the catch originates.

Size and age composition of the stock have not altered significantly since 1976; the fishery is based primarily on the 1960 year-class with the 1965 and 1966 year-classes as secondary components. Age samples from the commercial fishery indicate that the species is approximately $8-10 \mathrm{yr}$ old before it recruits to the fishery in this area. As yet, research samples are insufficient to determine at what age the fish may be recruited to the fishing grounds.

In view of this limited evidence, controlled growth of this fishery would appear possible but attention should be directed toward Area 5D and in particular to changes in statistics of the qualified fishery for evidence of increases in the directed fishery for this species.

### 2.2.4.3 Recommendation

A TAC of 450 t is recommended for S . flavidus in Areas 5C-5D, with the acknowledgment that this is based on and pertains primarily to Area 5D.

### 2.2.5.1 Condition of the resource and assessment for 1980 (Area 5E)

There is no fishery of significance for $\underline{S}$. flavidus on the west coast of the Queen Charlotte Islands. There are, however, substantial concentrations of rockfishes in depths $<200 \mathrm{~m}$; in other areas, S . flavidus is present in such depths but generally where there is a wider shelf area. The lone sample of aged fish from Area 5E suggests no recent history of exploitation; dominant year-classes in increasing order of abundance are 1938, 1943, 1958, and 1964. The oldest year-classes are present in the Hecate Strait fishery but are absent from Areas $5 A-5 B$ and $3 C$, where the exploitation history of this species is longer.

In view of the rapidly evolving nature of the fishery in this area, particularly with regard to new gear types, a provisional TAC would be a desirable safeguard.

### 2.2.5.2 Recommendation

A provisional TAC of $200 t$ is recommended for $\underline{S}$. flavidus in that part of Area 5 E south of $54^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. North of $54^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ a TAC of 400 t is recommended which includes all species of rockfish (Sections 1.4.2-1.4.4).

### 2.2.6.1 Foreign catches (coastwide)

Except as noted previously for the Polish fleet in Area 3D, the incidence of $\underline{S}$. flavidus in foreign catches has been relatively minor. This has been largely a result of targetting on $\underline{S}$. alutus in deep water; the overlap of depth range for these two species is very small. The incidence of S . flavidus in foreign catches is primarily an inferred conclusion because it was only subsequent to 1976 that accurate species composition data of rockfishes in foreign catches were obtained. The only significant quantities of $\underline{S}$. flavidus landed in 1977 were from Sub-zone 5-2 (Area 3C) in the hake fishery. There were no records of $S$. flavidus catch by foreign (non-North American) vessels during 1977 in Sub-zones 5-5 or 5-4 (i.e. to seaward of Queen Charlotte Sound, Areas 5A and 5B, and off the Queen Charlotte Islands and Dixon Entrance, Area 5E).

### 2.3 Sebastes pinniger (Canary rockfish)

### 2.3.1 Summary of catch statistics

The exploitation of S . pinniger has been almost exclusively undertaken by North American trawlers, mainly because the depth distribution of the species places it well within territorial waters. North American landings, effort (where known) and CPUE for this species are presented by major area in Table 2.3). In lieu of more detailed information, the same segregation of stocks as was proposed for S. flavidus will be adopted here, i.e. $3 \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{D}, 5 \mathrm{~A}-5 \mathrm{~B}, 5 \mathrm{C}-5 \mathrm{D}$, and 5 E .

### 2.3.1.1 Southwest coast of Vancouver Island (Area 3C)

Landings of S . pinniger from this area have always been minor except in 1977 when $12 \overline{0}$ t were recorded. Approximately one-half of this total was taken from south of the present Canada-U.S. boundary, so that catches from traditional Canadian fishing areas have not markedly changed. Lowered landings in the early l970s may have been the result of market conditions rather than stock abundance changes, since the increased CPUE of more recent years has been associated with increased effort (Table 2.3).

United State's catches averaged approximately $450 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{yr}$ during 1967-71, but decreased in the early 1970s. Landings recovered in 1975 and 1976; 1977 catches were obtained under fishery restrictions, but CPUE fell some $54 \%$ with the same nominal effort being expended. In 1978, catches were again governed by an overall rockfish quota; CPUE increased dramatically but only with an accompanying dramatic decrease in effort. Catches were obtained under fishery restrictions (early closure upon attainment of the TAC for all rockfishes) and may not accurately reflect stock conditions.

### 2.3.1.2 Condition of resource and assessment for 1980

As was the case with S. flavidus, the majority of the landings in Area 3C originate south of the median line and the largest proportion of the stock thus comes under U.S. management control. Nonetheless, a total stock examination is required before delimiting a Canadian zone TAC.

The 1977 U.S. trawl survey in the U.S. segment of Areas 3B-3C estimated a biomass of $19,940 \mathrm{t}+168 \%$ of S . pinniger. The best estimate of $M$ for the species is 0.2. Applying the rationale of $E Y=M \times$ Equilibrium Biomass would then result in an estimated equilibrium yield of $2,000 \mathrm{t}$ (if the fishery had not significantly reduced $N_{o}$ ) for the U.S. part of Areas 3B-3C. In contrast to this estimate is the decreasing CPUE trend noted in the early years of the fishery at a catch level substantially below that suggested by the biomass survey. It would thus be prudent to heed evidence provided by the fishery when assessing the validity of the $2,000 \mathrm{t}$ TAC. These data infer that a catch level of $\sim 600 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{yr}$ was not sustainable.

Catch statistics of the fishery in Areas $3 \mathrm{~B}-3 \mathrm{C}$ have showed a general decline in the CPUE of the U.S. fleet, which is responsible for the
majority of the fishery. Regression of CPUE on U.S. cumulative catch results in a virgin biomass estimate of $8,760 \mathrm{t}$ from which a sustainable yie1d should be approximately 880 t . Such an analysis is not entirely satisfactory however, considering that the observed decreases in CPUE resulted from catches less than $500 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{yr}$. The primary shortcoming of the analysis is a poor estimation of cumulative catch and the subsequent error of population estimation, because rockfish catches were not always identified to species.

Biological data for S. pinniger in Area 3C are almost totally lacking as commercial samples were obtained only in 1967 and 1975. The size frequencies such as they are, suggest that the increased catches of 1975 may have resulted from the recruitment of a relatively stronger year-class to the fishery. This may only be a reflection of bathymetric size segregation, however, since the two samples were from markedly different depths.

Summarizing, available data suggest three EYs for $\underline{\text { S }}$. pinniger in Areas 3B-3C: about 2,000 t as estimated from the 1977 biomass survey; 880 t from a regression analysis; and less than 600 t from a review of the trends within the fishery. Decreases in CPUE observed in the fishery argue that the first EY estimate is excessive. It is concluded that the EY estimated through regression is probably closer to the appropriate value but is still too high when viewed in light of observed trends of catch statistics. The most appropriate EY of present stock levels is thus estimated to be between $500-600 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{yr}$. As was the case with $\underline{\mathrm{S}}$. flavidus, only a segment of this yield would be obtainable from Canadian waters.

### 2.3.1.3 Recommendation

A TAC of 100 t is recommended for . pinniger in the Canadian segment of Area 3 C .

### 2.3.2.1 Northwest coast of Vancouver Island (Area 3D)

This area has traditionally produced the majority of landings by the North American fleet. Total landings peaked in 1970 and have declined erratically since then, with 1977 landings being the lowest on record, because of the aforementioned fishery restrictions. Canadian participation in this fishery has achieved significant levels only since 1976. The proportion of $\underline{S}$. pinniger in the U.S. landings from Area 3 D has undergone a progressive and substantial reduction since 1974, but rebounded in 1978 to the highest level on record.

The fishery in the 3D area was managed under quota in 1978 and U.S. vessels caught approximately $94 \%$ of the quota.

### 2.3.2.2 Condition of resource and assessment for 1980

In this area, which has consistently yielded a major portion of the $\underline{S}$. pinniger production off B.C., changes have taken place in recent years which give rise to some concern about the health of the stock. In particular, the dec1ine in the proportion of S. pinniger in U.S. landings of
"shelf" rockfish as well as the absolute decline in catch and nominal CPUE, concomitant with decreased effort, indicates the possibility of a decline in stock abundance. Over the same period however, Canadian catch and CPUE has been increasing; catch and CPUE declined in 1977 and 1978 while fishery restrictions were in effect. The U.S. fishery in the 3D area during 1978 produced a major change from the previous year in the CPUE of the U.S. f1eet; CPUE, at $0.910 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{hr}$ was more than ten times that of 1977.

Preliminary 1979 data show a continuation of the trend of decreasing qualified CPUE for the Canadian fleet. Qualified (25\%) CPUEs for the $1977-79$ period are $1.34,0.80$, and $0.51 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{hr}$, respectively. While the Canadian segment of the catch is generally smaller than that of the U.S., these decreasing CPUE values for the Canadian directed fishery are cause for some concern. Incomplete data from the U.S. fishery in 1979 indicate that 1978 catch rates have not been maintained and have returned to 1977 levels.

Biological data for S . pinniger in this area are minimal. The two samples from 1978 show approximately the same size composition as those from Queen Charlotte Sound and the west coast of the Queen Charlotte Islands, but are substantially different than those from Hecate Strait. No information is available from the Cape Cook ground, where the major North American fishery occurs.

Regression analysis of total catches and U.S. CPUE data predicts that the pre-1967 S. pinniger population in this area was approximately $5,200 \mathrm{t}$ and a concomitant EY of about $500 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{yr}$. Landings have never been this high, except in 1978, yet there have been some indications of decreased stock abundance. The 1978 U.S. fishery does provide some contrasting evidence but since the level of estimated CPUF for this fleet in 1979 is approximately the same as in 1977, any conclusion of increased stock abundance appears unfounded. Indeed, a 1978 biomass survey which produced only partial coverage of the Cape Cook region (where the major fishery occurs) suggested a yield of about $200 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{yr}$.

### 2.3.2.3 Recommendation

A TAC of 500 t is recommended for S. pinniger in Area 3D. This value is below the most recent catch because of observed decreases in catch and CPUE.

### 2.3.3.1 Queen Charlotte Sound (Areas 5A and 5B)

Historical North American landings of S. pinniger from Queen Charlotte Sound reached a maximum of 944 t in $19 \overline{68}$ and have never approached this level in recent years, despite levels of effort well in excess of that expended in 1968. Canadian landings have been increasing steadily since 1975 and reached a maximum in 1978. Preliminary 1979 data indicate that the catch will probably exceed the 1978 value for the Canadian fleet.

Changes in U.S. landings of $S$. pinniger are, in part, inversely correlated with those of S . flavidus in the same area. It is possible that some substitution of species occurs so that catch levels are maintained. While a total rockfish TAC was in effect during 1977, the actual TAC was
undersubscribed, i.e. the decreased U.S. catch of S. pinniger in 1977 was not a result of restriction of U.S. effort. The U.S. fishery in the Canadian zone was terminated in June of 1978 through a breakdown of the reciprocal fishing agreement.

### 2.3.3.2 Condition of the resource and assessment for 1980

While catches of $\underline{S}$. pinniger in Queen Charlotte Sound have never regained the peak level of $\overline{1968(943 t)}$, there was no indication of changes in the stock until 1977 when Canadian and U.S. CPUE decreased. The decrease was moderate for the Canadian fleet but substantial ( $-84 \%$ ) for the U.S. fleet. Catch and effort data of the 1978 fishery provide only a partial picture of the fishery since U.S. effort was terminated prior to the time in the year when the U.S. fleet traditionally applied the majority of its effort. Total effort decreased by $52 \%$ while Canadian unqualified CPUE increased by $88 \%$ and qualified (25\%) CPUE increased by $47 \%$. Preliminary 1978 U.S. data indicate that S. pinniger constituted less than $1 \%$ of the U.S. shelf rockfish catch during 1978. Thus although Canadian CPUE increased substantially in 1978 it did not increase disproportionately with the decrease in total effort. Indeed, if the preliminary U.S. data are borne out, then the observed increase of Canadian CPUE is totally an artifact of decreased effort and, considering the lack of a directed U.S. fishery for $S$. pinniger, may possibly conceal a decrease in abundance.

Some corroboration to the foregoing is provided by the preliminary data of the 1979 fishery. While unqualified CPUE of the Canadian fleet is up some $45 \%$, that of the directed fishery ( $25 \%$ qualification) has decreased by $14 \%$. U.S. nominal CPUE for S. pinniger is almost identical to that of 1977. In summary, the catch-effort statistics of the fishery for S. pinniger in Queen Charlotte Sound do not provide any conclusive evidence of increases in stock abundance, despite increased levels of CPUE. It is quite possible that stocks have decreased but the decreases are masked by compensatory changes in fishing effort.

Biological data for $S$. pinniger in Queen Charlotte Sound are minimal and restricted to 1978. Major production of the stock is presently centered on the 1952 and 1955 year-classes with secondary contributions from the year-classes of 1961 and 1962. An extremely strong 1952 year-class is typical of other rockfish species in this area, particularly S. alutus. That this year-class remains a major component of the S . pinniger stock while it is now inconsequential in the $S$. alutus stocks supports the view that $\underline{S}$. pinniger has not been exploited as heavily as $\underline{S}$. alutus.

### 2.3.3.3 Recommendation

$A T A C$ of $500 t$ is recommended for $S$. pinniger in Areas $5 \mathrm{~A}-5 \mathrm{~B}$ in the aggregate. This fishery should be closely monitored for the persistence of catch rates observed in 1978 and 1979.

### 2.3.4.1 Hecate Strait (Areas 5C and 5D)

Landings of $S$. pinniger from Hecate Strait are primarily incidental to those of other species. Pre-1978 landings never exceeded $25 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{yr}$, but the fishery in south Hecate Strait (Area 5C) showed evidence of considerable development during 1978. Landings of approximately 100 t were nearly seven times those of 1977. Directed fishing was concentrated in the area of the Horseshoe Ground. Preliminary 1979 data indicate that directed fishing may not be maintainable, with only 35 t landed by mid-July.

### 2.3.4.2 Condition of resource and assessment for 1980

The incidental nature of the historical fishery for $\underline{\text { S }}$. pinniger and the consequently small landings preclude detailed analysis. The increase in the directed fishery which occurred in 1978 can simply be noted as evidence that potential for a directed fishery exists.

Biological data on, $\underline{S}$. pinniger in this area are limited, but available samples provide such a striking contrast with those from all other areas that their implication should be considered. The size composition of the species in samples from Areas 3C, 3D, 5A-5B, and 5E all show major modes in the $51-55 \mathrm{~cm}$ range. By contrast, the 1978 samples from Hecate Strait exhibit major modes in the $41-43 \mathrm{~cm}$ range with almost no contribution from the larger sizes observed in other areas. In addition, the sex ratio of the Hecate Strait samples averages $55 \%$ males whereas that from Queen Charlotte Sound and Vancouver Island averages approximately $74 \%$ males. The presence of these smaller and younger (if growth in Hecate Strait is similar to other areas, these fish would be 12-13 yr old in 1978) fish with the absence of older fish, in an area where there has been no history of heavy exploitation, warrants closer examination. Consideration of these data strongly suggests that the south Hecate Strait area is the rearing area from whence populations in Queen Charlotte Sound, at least, are recruited. Obviously, further study is required to determine if this area is a sensitive one with regard to juvenile rockfishes. In the meantime exploitation should be approached cautiously.

### 2.2.4.3 Recommendation

A TAC of 150 t is recommended for $\underline{\text { S. pinniger }}$ in Areas 5C-5D.

### 2.3.5.1 West coast of Queen Charlotte Islands (Area 5E)

The catch of $S$. pinniger in this area is very small, incidental to directed fisheries for $\bar{S}$. alutus and $S$. reedi. Catches are not expected to rise dramatically until the fishing fleet is able to target in shallow water areas of higher S. pinniger abundance.

### 2.3.5.2 Condition of the resource and assessment for 1980

The fishery for $S$. pinniger is primarily incidental to that for S. alutus and S. reedi. As noted previously, increase in landings of
S. pinniger may occur with development of a shallow ( $\langle 180 \mathrm{~m}$ ) water fishery either through location of areas suitable to trawling or exploitation with different gear types. Large concentrations of what are presumed to be rockfishes have been located in depths where $\underline{S}$. pinniger is found in other areas. The biomass surveys in Area 5 E have not sampled in depths where S. pinniger is normally found.

### 2.3.5.3 Recommendation

A TAC of 200 t is recommended for $\underline{S}$. pinniger in that segment of Area 5 E south of $54^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. North of $54^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ a TAC of 400 t is recommended which includes all species of rockfish (Sections 1.4.2-1.4.4).

### 2.3.6.1 Coastwide foreign catches

S. pinniger is a very minor component of foreign catches, largely because fishing has occurred at depths below the range where $S$. pinniger is most abundant. In 1977, only the hake fishery in management $\overline{\text { Sub }}$, (Area 3C) produced enough S. pinniger (incidentally caught) to make up even $0.1 \%$ of the total catch.

### 2.4 Sebastes brevispinis (Silvergray rockfish)

### 2.4.1 Summary of catch statistics

As is the case with most rockfish species in Canadian waters, a directed fishery for $S$. brevispinis was initiated by $U . S$. vessels in the mid-1960s. Significant Canadian participation did not occur until the early 1970s. While historical landings by North American vessels were substantial in some areas, it is only in the most recent years that major increases in landings have occurred in most areas. Catch statistics by major areas are presented for the North American fleet in Table 2.4.

### 2.4.1.1 Southwest coast of Vancouver Island (Area 3C)

Aggregate North American landings were minor ( $<100 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{yr}$ ) until 1977 when 644 t were landed; 626 t by U.S. vessels operating in Areas 3B-3C as a whole. The majority of this catch was from south of the Canada-U.S. boundary region. Canadian catches of S. brevispinis in Area 3C have always been small and incidental to other species.

### 2.4.1.2 Condition of resource and assessment for 1980

It is extremely difficult to assess the condition of the stock of S. brevispinis in Areas 3B-3C, because there is essentially only two years of data for directed fishing and the majority of the landings came from waters under U.S. jurisdiction. Canadian landings in Ganadian waters are very small and will probably remain so. The two years of U.S. data show
relatively high CPUE values and stocks may well be healthy. No biological data for $\underline{S}$. brevispinis in this area have been obtained.

### 2.4.1.3 Recommendation

A TAC of 100 t for $\underline{\text { S. brevispinis }}$ in the Canadian segment of Area 3C is recommended.

### 2.4.2.1 Northwest coast of Vancouver Is land (Area 3D)

U.S. landings of S. brevispinis from this area have been erratic and without evidence of trends. Peak landings occurred in 1969 (320.6 t) and have since fluctuated around the 250 t/yr level (Table 2.4). The 1977 catch by the U.S. fleet was only 18.4 t despite over $1,000 \mathrm{hr}$ of nominal effort for shelf rockfish; the CPUE value of the U.S. fleet was also the lowest on record. While the U.S. data may show strong indications of stock changes the fishery restrictions in effect for part of 1977 (early closure upon attaining the TAC) may have had some effect on catches. The 1978 U.S. fishery showed a very small percentage of $S$. brevispinis in the shelf rockfish catch; preliminary 1979 data indicate that the species is much more prominent in the catch than in previous years.

Canadian catches have always been minor (usually <10 t/yr) and incidental to other species. While landings doubled in $197 \overline{8}$, they were less than 21 t and provide little information of use in assessment.

### 2.4.2.2 Condition of resource and assessment for 1980

Total landings from Area 3D prior to 1977 show no signs of major changes in stock biomass. There is however, a direct correlation between nominal effort and CPUE. Such situations would normally suggest that the production system is close to maximal exploitation, however the interpretation here is complicated by the fact that species-specific effort for U.S. catches is unavailable. The observed changes may thus be simply an artifact of directed fishing for other shelf rockfish species. Unfortunately, this problem must remain largely unresolved until more details of the U.S. fishery are available. The substantial drop in CPUE for S. brevispinis in the area from 1976 to 1977 is an example of the foregoing since it is evident that the U.S. fleet targetted primarily on . brevispinis during 1976 and on S. pinniger in 1977. The preliminary data from 1979 indicate that S . brevispinis is again one of the major catch components, with the consequent increase in CPUE. It is evident that CPUE based on nominal (i.e. all effort for shelf rockfishes) effort is an extremely insensitive indicator of stock changes when species composition of catches has high variance.

While Canadian CPUE (species-specific) increased markedly in 1977, the amount of effort is small. The subsequent 1978 fishery was considerably larger, with a concomitantly lower CPUE; both qualified catch and CPUE were substantially reduced during 1977-1978. Canadian effort for S. brevispinis in this area in 1979 has returned to pre-1978 levels, as has CPUE.

The exploitation of $\underline{s}$. brevispinis is of special concern because its growth rate is extremely low relative to those species it is caught with (S. flavidus, S. paucispinis); estimates of $k$, the von Bertalanffy growth completion rate, obtained for Canadian waters in 1978 are 0.09 for S. brevispinis vs. 0.17 for $S$. flavidus and 0.15 for $S$. paucispinis. The successful optimization of yiel from S. brevispinis is therefore critically dependent upon the degree of intermixing with other, faster-growing species. The lack of species-specific effort data from the U.S. fleet assumes major significance in light of the shifting of effort among these species. For this reason a provisional catch limit which will allow the fishery to continue in a controlled manner would be advisable.

The 1978 biomass survey of part of Area 3D suggests a yield of approximately 200 t although the survey did not attain full coverage of the area.

### 2.4.2.3 Recommendation

A TAC of 200 t for S . brevispinis in Area 3D is recommended. While it is below historical catches, a cautious approach is warranted by recent trends in CPUE, particularly with regard to the problems of interpreting U.S. data.

### 2.4.3.1 Queen Charlotte Sound (Areas 5A and 5B)

Queen Charlotte Sound has been the area of highest annual production of $\underline{s}$. brevispinis by the North American fleet. The fishery was primarily conducted by U.S. vessels until 1976 when Canadian markets for this species became stronger. Peak U.S. landings of $1,164 t$ occurred in 1969 and have never returned to that level, but rather, have fluctuated around $300 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{yr}$ (Table 2.4). An increase occurred in 1976 which was not sustained through 1977. The 1978 fishery recorded less than $1 \%$ S. brevispinis in the catch. Preliminary 1979 data indicate that the species is slightly over $10 \%$ of the total rockfish catch.

Canadian landings have increased steadily since 1975, with the 1978 landings being the highest on record. The 1979 fishery does not indicate this level of catch will be maintained.

### 2.4.3.2 Condition of resource and assessment for 1980

The stock of $\underline{S}$. brevispinis in the Queen Charlotte Sound region has undergone the heaviest exploitation. Data from the U.S. fishery indicates some inverse correlation between nominal fishing effort and CPUE. The peak landings of 1969 were followed by decreased CPUE, despite decreased effort in 1970. Thereafter, the CPUE fluctuated inversely with effort by the U.S. fleet. Interpretation is complicated by a lack of species-specific effort by the U.S. fleet.

Canadian CPUE has fluctuated around the level of $0.080 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{hr}$ until 1978 when it rose to $0.178 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{hr}$. The 1978 increase may be in part owing to the decrease in total fishing pressure with the exclusion of the U.S. fleet
in mid-June. Qualified (25\%) catch and CPUE for Canadian vessels also increased in 1978, over those of 1977. Preliminary 1979 data show decreases in both unqualified and qualified CPUE however, the declines are modest and will possibly be offset by those of the major fishery beginning in August. This situation should be monitored closely for indications of further declines.

Catch statistics indicate that the S. brevispinis stock in Queen Charlotte Sound may be close to maximal exploitation. Biological data from this fishery are limited; age composition of the catch is dominated by the 1958 year-class with little evidence of stronger incoming year-classes.

If we assume the same rationale regarding $E Y$ as was previously used and if $M=0.1$, then the average yield for $1967-77$ of $580 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{yr}$ implies a virgin biomass of approximately $11,600 \mathrm{t}$. This may be a somewhat conservative estimate due to the effects of averaging yields, however it is probably not excessively so since peak yields in this area were followed by decreases in nominal CPUE. Recent increases in CPUE need to be verified by a fishery applying similar effort as in previous years.

In summary, the stock of S. brevispinis in Queen Charlotte Sound may be maximally exploited. Average yield of past years has been approximately $580 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{yr}$ and a catch limit of about this magnitude would seem advisable.

### 2.4.3.3 Recommendation

A TAC of 600 t for $S$. brevispinis from Areas $5 A-5 B$ is recommended for 1980 .

### 2.4.4.1 Hecate Strait (Areas 5C and 5D)

Canadian landings from Hecate Strait were mainly incidental to other fisheries until 1976 when more directed fishing began to occur. Prior to 1976 landings averaged less than 30 t/yr. 1977 and 1978 catches were almost identical and CPUE was directly proportional to fishing effort. Preliminary 1979 data show a substantial reduction in catch and CPUE, however the fishery is not generally prosecuted until the August-October period.

### 2.4.4.2 Condition of resource and assessment for 1980

The fishery for $S$. brevispinis in Hecate Strait is a relatively recent one in terms of directed fishing effort. While 1971 and 1972 saw catch rates of about $0.20 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{hr}$, landings were still below $100 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{yr}$ and subsequent years did not produce similar yields. In 1976, the fishery appeared to develop, with a seven-fold increase in species effort and an order of magnitude increase in CPUE. 1977 and 1978 data indicate that catch statistics are almost entirely dependent on the degree to which the fleet can or will target on this species. As yet; there is no evidence of a detrimental effect of the fishery on the stock.

Hecate Strait is one of the very few areas where even small amounts of historical biological data are available for rockfishes. Two size frequency samples for the White Rocks Ground in 1972 show similar frequency distributions with the major size mode at $55-57 \mathrm{~cm}$. Both distributions are characterized by a relatively narrow range. A similar distribution is evident in samples taken in 1977 from the same areas although the data suggest that a slightly stronger year-class may be recuriting to the fishery. All samples appear to be multi-modal with a single major mode. The 1978 samples, by contrast show a relatively stronger minor mode and in one case (White Rocks $88-95 \mathrm{~m}, 27 / 7 / 78$ ) shows a major mode at 44 cm . Age compositions of these samples show an extremely broad range of ages with significant contributions from the 1948 , 1954, 1958, 1961, and 1962 year-classes. The species appears to begin recruiting to the fishery at about age 12. The 1960 and 1962 year-classes should be closely monitored for changes in relative abundance which are disproportionate to natural mortality.

In the absence of any indications of lowered stock abundance, a catch limit larger than the free-fishery catch of recent years would permit development of the fishery under somewhat controlled conditions.

### 2.4.4.3 Recommendation

A TAC of 300 t for $\underline{s}$. brevispinis in Areas 5C-5D is recommended.

### 2.4.5.1 West coast of the Queen Charlotte Islands (Area 5E)

S. brevispinis landings from the west coast of the Queen Charlotte Islands have primarily been incidental to directed fisheries for S. alutus and $\underline{S}$. reedi. As such, fishery statistics are extremely insensitive indicators of stock trends. While qualified ( $25 \%$ ) catches are small, qualified CPUE has increased since the inception of the fishery (Table 2.5). As with $\underline{S}$. flavidus and $\underline{S}$. pinniger, the dominant fishery in the area is not prosecuted at depths where Srevispinis is found abundantly in other locations.

### 2.4.5.2 Condition of resource and assessment for 1980

The limited amount of information available on $\underline{S}$. brevispinis in Area 5E does not indicate any stock problems created by the currently developing fishery. Indeed, the major portion of the stock would be expected to be slightly shallower than the range of the current fishery. Biological samples taken in 1978 tend to support this, at least in terms of the size of fish encountered at various depths; although there may be some confounding effects of location.

The 1978 and 1979 biomass surveys could not sample in depths where this species is found in highest abundance, however catch rates were inversely proportional with depth in all regions. Highest catch rates were obtained at the shallowest depth sampled.

The extrapolated data presented on foreign catches off the Queen Charlotte Islands (Table 2.6) indicate that a yield of $276 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{yr}$ was probably sustained from the northern segment of Area 5E. It is probable that a yield in excess of this value would be possible for the entire area, although developments of new fisheries would necessitate careful monitoring. In setting a catch limit, the low growth rate of $\underline{S}$. brevispinis does counsel a certain caution.

### 2.4.5.3 Recommendations

A TAC of 350 t is recommended for S . brevispinis in that part of Area 5E south of $54^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. This is a provisional value which will be reviewed if a fishery is initiated in shallower water. North of $54^{\circ} 00{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, a TAC of 400 t is recommended which includes all species of rockfish (Sections 1.4.2-1.4.4).

### 2.4.6.1 Goastwide foreign catches

The catch of $\underline{S}$. brevispinis by foreign vessels is very difficult to determine because of the inadequate species identification in reports by foreign nations. In 1977, the percentage of S. brevispinis in the Japanese trawl fishery in Area 5E (Management Sub-zone 5-5) was estimated to be 8.3\% of the total rockfish catch, or approximately 166 t . Off Queen Charlotte Sound, the estimated catch was approximately 17 t . It is not entirely appropriate to apply these percentages to the historical reports of "Other rockfish" by foreign nations for two reasons: (a) the areas of fishing by foreign fleets are believed to have changed over time in response to depletions of target species in traditional areas of abundance; and (b) the species composition of the category "Other rockfish" is not consistent among or within nations. The only nation for which detailed area breakdown of catches where $S$. brevispinis would be caught is Japan. A suggested historical incidence of this species in Japanese catches off the Queen Charlotte Islands and Queen Charlotte Sound is contained in Tables 2.6 and 2.7, respectively. Catches of $\underline{S}$. brevispinis might thus have averaged $275 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{yr}$ in Sub-zone $5-5$ and $87 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{yr}$ in Sub-zone $5-4$. This species is not normally encountered in the hake fishery off Vancouver Island and since no observations have been made of trawl fisheries for other species in this area, it is not possible to estimate $\underline{S}$. brevispinis incidence.

### 2.5 Sebastes reedi (Yellowmouth rockfish)

### 2.5.1 Summary of catch statistics

The fishery for $S$. reedi in Canadian waters has been a relatively minor component of total rockfish landings by North American trawlers, except for occasional large catches in Queen Charlotte Sound, until 1977. With the development of a rockfish fishery in Area 5E, more attention has been focused on this species. There are only two areas of significant catches of $\underline{\text {. reedi ( }}$ (Areas 5A-5B, and 5E).

### 2.5.1.1 Recommendation (Areas of minor importance)

A nominal TAC of $50 t$ is recomended for $S$. reedi in each of Areas 3C, 3D, and 5C-5D.

### 2.5.2.1 Queen Charlotte Sound (Areas 5A and 5B)

Landings of S . reedi from Queen Charlotte Sound have been erratic although catch rates have generally been high (Table 2.8). While a certain amount of the species is caught incidentally in the S . alutus fishery, the major portion of the stock appears to lie over rougher bottom areas than S. alutus, which accounts for the inconsistent directed effort for it. The area around Triangle Island at the mouth of Goose Island Gully is the area where major catches have occurred, mainly with the use of midwater gear. There was also some development of a S. reedi fishery in Mitchell's Gully in 1978. While catches were lower in 1978, CPUE was relatively similar. Preliminary 1979 data indicate lower landings of $\underline{S}$. reedi and almost all resulting from incidental catches.

### 2.5.2.2 Condition of the resource and assessment for 1980

Landings of $\underline{S}$. reedi from Queen Charlotte Sound by the Canadian fleet have been somewhat erratic. No estimates of U.S. landings are available by area, but they might logically be expected to average approximately 250 t/yr from 1973-77. By far the major impact on the stock of $\underline{S}$. reedi in and off Queen Charlotte Sound has been made by non-North American trawlers. Average removals of S . reedi during $1971-77$ by Japan were estimated to be over $1,800 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{yr}$. Historical landings may have been as high as $2,700 \mathrm{t}$ although the fishery for $\underline{S}$. alutus may not have encountered as much $\underline{S}$. reedi when the target species was more abundant.

In view of the fact that both the North American nominal CPUE for S. reedi and the foreign CPUE for "ocean perch" have been decreasing relatively steadily, there is a strong possiblity that stock biomass is also decreasing. In addition, there are other indications of lowered stock abundance in Areas 5A-5B. All of the landings of $S$. reedi in Queen Charlotte Sound during 1977 came from Area 5 A and $\overline{9} 9 \%$ of these were included in the qualified catch ( 331.3 t ) and CPUE ( $1.46 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{hr}$ ). By contrast, in 1978 $90 \%$ of the catch came from Area $5 B$ and almost all of that ( $89 \%$ ) was included in the qualified catch. CPUE was approximately the same although fishing effort was down substantially from the previous year. Preliminary 1979 data indicate lower catch rates and the majority of the catch originating in Area 5B. While CPUE values are lower the lack of any qualified catch in Area 5B implies that no directed fishery has occurred. Historical data such as they are, suggest that localized depletions may have occurred.

Biological samples from the area are limited to size frequencies and one year of age frequency from commercial catches. The fishery is prosecuted on relatively few age-groups (17, 18, 16, and 20 in 1978 by order of abundance); fish appear to begin recruiting to the fishery at age 12. While a narrow spectrum of ages in the exploited stock may not be highly
desirable in rockfishes, it should be noted that the size frequency associated with the 1978 age-composition was similar to that exhibited in this area in 1966. It is possible therefore that the present age composition is primarily a function of the natural process of recruitment to the fishable stock rather than of exploitation history. The all-nation decrease in CPUE recorded or implied for S. reedi in Areas 5A-5B taken with the inference of dislocation of fishing effort from areas of relatively high production, suggests that historical levels of catch (ca $1,800-2,700 \mathrm{t}$ ) are not sustainable from the present biomass.

The October 1978 hydroacoustic biomass survey to Queen Charlotte Sound produced an estimate of $2,000 \mathrm{t}$ of $\underline{\text { S }}$. reedi off Triangle Is. While such a biomass might suggest an equilibrium yield of approximately 300 t , the history of the fishery for this species, with its large removals must be considered when recommending a TAC.

### 2.5.2.3 Recommendation

A TAC of 250 t for $S$. reedi in Areas $5 \mathrm{~A}-5 \mathrm{~B}$ is recommended, of which the limit is 200 t for waters outside the fishery closing line.

### 2.5.3.1 West coast of the Queen Charlotte Islands (Area 5E)

The fishery in this area developed in very late 1976. Catches of S. reedi in 1977 were $1,257 \mathrm{t}$ and represented almost one-half of the rockfish landings from the area (Table 2.8). Landings dropped 23\% from 1977-78 in spite of considerable diversification of the fishery. Landings continued to drop in 1979 and the three major fishing areas were closed in July 1979 out of concern for the impact of such large removals on subsequent yields.

### 2.5.3.2 Condition of the resource and assessment for 1980

Stock assessment off the west coast of the Queen Charlotte Islands was conducted in two ways: (i) analysis of the statistics of the commercial fishery; and (ii) biomass surveys using commercial vessels and gear.

In a previous analysis it was noted that the CPUE for $S$. reedi in Area 5E had fallen by $22 \%$ during $1977-78$ with almost identical effort. The maintenance of CPUE was largely a result of diversification of the fishery to new grounds in 1978. The decrease in CPUE for the same fishing areas during the two years was $27 \%$. Qualified ( $25 \%$ ) catch dropped $41 \%$ along with a drop of $40 \%$ in qualified effort, while the qualified CPUE remained the same (Table 2.9). If CPUE is proportional to stock abundance then the 1977 removals were somewhat in excess of what the stock might sustain. Preliminary 1979 data indicate decreases in both the unqualified and qualified CPUE for $\underline{\text { S. }}$. reedi, $20 \%$ and $25 \%$, respectively.

Regression analysis of the Canadian commercial fishery data suggests a pre-1976 biomass of S. reedi in Area 5E of approximately 5, 700 $t$. If the biomass were to be treated as a virgin population then the EY might be approximately $430 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{yr}$. It is probable, however, that some of this stock had been previously fished by foreign fleets but whether the virgin biomass was significantly reduced is unknown. In view of this, CPUE analysis suggests that the EY of $\underline{S}$. reedi in Area 5 E would lie in the 430-855 t/yr range.

Two biomass surveys using commercial data have been conducted in this area. The first survey (1978) was designed to identify concentrations of fish and provide gross biomass estimates. The second survey (1979) utilized the information from the first survey to more accurately design the sampling pattern and thereby minimize the errors inherent in a swept-area biomass calculation. This second survey estimated the biomass of $\underline{S}$. reedi at the three major fishing locations as $7,880 \mathrm{t}$. Confidence limits for this estimate have yet to be accurately determined (largely because the application of normal theory is not appropriate to this catch rate distribution) however, they may be as high as $40-50 \%$ for some areas. If this estimate were to be treated as a desirable standing stock then the EY might be as high as $1,180 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{yr}$. The exact EY of $\underline{\mathrm{S}}$. reedi on this area is dependent to some degree upon the relationship between present biomass and the theoretical maximum biomass, $\mathrm{B}_{\infty}$, for the area, since present theory holds that maximum production from the stock would occur at a stock level equal to $\mathrm{B}_{\infty} / 2$.

Combining the results of these two assessment methods suggests either that the biomass survey overestimated the abundance of $\underline{S}$. reedi or that there were significant removals of the species by foreign fleets prior to 1976. The latter is impossible to determine but may be true; the former is possible but close agreement between 1978 and 1979 estimates, even given the better design in 1979, lends some confidence to the figures. A guiding consideration, however, should always be the extremely sensitive nature of rockfish species to overexploitation. For this reason the recommended yield of this species should consider the results of both of these analyses. In addition, since $\underline{S}$. reedi is fished jointly with $\underline{S}$. alutus the TAC must also consider the conservation needs of that species.

### 2.5.3.3 Recommendations

A TAC of $800 t$ for $S$. reedi in that segment of Area 5 E south of $54^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ is recommended. For that segment north of $54^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ the recommended TAC is $400 t$ which includes all species of rockfish (Sections 1.4.2-1.4.4).

### 2.5.4.1 Foreign fisheries off the west coast of the Queen Charlotte Islands (Area 5E)

Historical catches of $\underline{\text { S. reedi }}$ by foreign fleets are very difficult to estimate because of both the general problems of species segregation in foreign data and the very pragmatic problem of the inability of untrained people (including fishermen) to distinguish the species from S. alutus. Applying 1977 species compositions reported by observers to historical catches suggests an average yield of $332 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{yr}$ from the Queen

Charlotte Islands area and $1,859 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{yr}$ from the Queen Charlotte Sound area, by Japan, over the period $1971-77$ (Tables $2.6,2.7$, respectively). It is likely that there have always been substantial catches of $\underline{S}$. reedi as it will have been identified as $\underline{s}$. alutus in catches.

### 2.6 Sebastes paucispinis (Bocaccio)

S. paucispinis has always been a minor species in the North American fishery, with landings normally being less than 550 for Canadian waters as a whole. Market acceptance of this species appears to be higher, for the U.S. fleet since Canadian vessels are generally restricted by fish companies as to the quantity they may land per boat trip. The reason for this is apparently that the high incidence of nematode parasites in the flesh of the fish require additional processing and quality control. Most of the catch comes from Area 3D (off Vancouver Island) and Areas 5A-5B (Queen Charlotte Sound) (Table 2.10). Landings of this species by foreign nations have never been of great significance, if 1977 observer reports are representative.

### 2.6.1.1 Southwest coast of Vancouver Island and northern Washington (Areas $3 B$ and $3 C$ )

Landings of $S$. paucispinis are very small in this region, with maximum annual total being $<65$ t. Catches are primarily incidental to other directed fisheries such as that for lingcod. Landings by U.S. vessels have decreased in recent years whereas those by Canadian vessels have increased.

### 2.6.1.2 Condition of the resource and assessment for 1980

The near total absence of directed fishing for $S$. paucispinis and the very low level of its incidence in other directed fisheries render assessment attempts almost futile. There are two biological samples available; one from Area 5 E and one from Area 5A, both for 1978. Nonetheless, available information will be examined for evidence of any anomalous situations.

Nominal CPUE of U.S. catches has been remarkably constant over the 1967-77 period; not surprising when the incidental nature of the fishery is considered. Canadian catches increased up to 1977 but are minimal in 1978, probably because of the closure of the fishery in mid-June. Canadian CPUE has also been remarkably stable.

### 2.6.1.3 Recommendation

A TAC of 50 t is recommended for S . paucispinis in the Canadian segment of Area 3C.

### 2.6.2.1 Northwest coast of Vancouver Island (Area 3D) <br> There has been a traditional fishery by U.S. vessels for <br> S. paucispinis in this area, although not a directed fishery. Landings averaged less than $100 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{yr}$ over the 1967-76 period with peaks occurring in 1970 and 1976. Canadian landings remain very minor in the area, never exceeding $20 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{yr}$.

### 2.6.2.2 Condition of resource and assessment for 1980

While some fluctuations have occurred in recent years, the nominal CPUE of U.S. vessels in the 3D area has fluctuated around $0.040 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{hr}$. It is notable that the highest CPUE values are associated with the highest catches, typical of non-target fisheries. If a limit were to be placed on the catch of $\underline{S}$. paucispinis for the 3 D region, it should be at a level of highest historical catch.

### 2.6.2.3 Recommendation

A TAC of 200 t is recommended for S. paucispinis in Area 3D.

### 2.6.3.1 Queen Charlotte Sound (Areas 5A and 5B)

Queen Charlotte Sound is the major area of production for this species by North American vessels. Peak landings by U.S. vessels occurred in 1969 ( 657 t ) and have approached that level only once in the succeeding decade. Prior to the onset of fishery restrictions in 1977, the U.S. catch of S. paucispinis averaged approximately 280 t ; over the same period the Canadian catch averaged about $32 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{yr}$, although it has been increasing steadily in the most recent 3 years. 1978 Canadian landings were 133 t , the highest on record.

### 2.6.3.2 Condition of resource and assessment for 1980

In spite of the wide variance in landings of $\underline{S}$. paucispinis there are no strong indications of changes in stock biomass due to the fishery. While CPUE has varied it displays no strong, consistent correlations with fishing effort. Qualified CPUE of the Canadian fleet continues to rise. The single sample from Area 5A shows a rather broad frequency distribution suggesting that the fishery may be based on several successive year-classes. Such a situation is generally felt to be a healthy one from a stock point of view because it infers relatively consistent recruitment and potential stability of the resource base. Catch limits if any should be at about the average level of removals in past years, and raised if the fishery continues to appear healthy.

### 2.6.3.3 Recommendation

A TAC of 300 t for $\underline{S}$. paucispinis in Areas $5 A-5 B$ is recommended.

### 2.6.4.1 Hecate Strait (Areas 5C and 5D)

The Hecate Strait region has produced minor quantities of S. paucispinis as incidental catches to other fisheries. The peak catch of $\overline{9} 2$ in 1974 is considerably above both recent and previous catches. 1978 landings were $54 t$ and were unique in that the species effort was considerably above the species effort for historical catches.

### 2.6.4.2 Condition of resource and assessment for 1980

From what information is available there is no sign that the stock is in danger. If a catch limit is necessary, it should be at least as high as the best catch year on record.

### 2.6.4.3 Recommendation

A TAC of 100 t for S . paucispinis in Areas $5 \mathrm{C}-5 \mathrm{D}$ is recommended.

### 2.6.5.1 West coast of the Queen Charlotte Islands (Area 5E)

Landings of $S$. paucispinis in this area are an extremely small catch ( $0.1 \%$ ), incidental to other directed rockfish fisheries.

### 2.6.5.2 Recommendation

A TAC of 50 t for S . paucispinis in that segment of 5 E south of $54^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ is recommended. North of $54^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ a TAC of 400 t is recommended which includes all species of rockfish (Sections 1.4.2-1.4.4).
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2.7 Sebastes entomelas (Widow rockfish)

### 2.7.1 Summary of catch statistics

The North American fishery for $S$. entomelas is a rather recent development. Canadian landings had never risen above $10 t$ for the coast as a whole until 1977 (Table 2.11), when there was a major increase in the landings from Areas 3 C and $5 \mathrm{~A}-5 \mathrm{~B}$ as well as first records of landings from Hecate Strait and the west coast of the Queen Charlotte Islands. Landings further increased in the latter area and in Queen Charlotte Sound during 1978. Complete information on the species composition of shelf rockfish catches by U.S. trawlers is not available, but S. entomelas is normally ranked as a minor species in this group.

Fraidenburg et al. (1977) estimated that foreign catches of S. entomelas were extremely small in the INPFC Charlotte and Vancouver Areas, never exceeding $60 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{yr}$ during 1967-75. It was in 1975 however, that the Polish trawl fleet reported 6,125 t of $S$. entomelas in Area $3 D^{5}$. The Polish fleet returned in 1976 and removed an additional $3,900 t$, of which $1,364 t$ was $S$. entomelas.

### 2.7.2 Condition of resource and assessment for 1980

The relatively recent origin of the fishery for $S$. entomelas in B.C. waters, except in Area 3D, and the fact that landings in 1977 and 1978 were quite small would indicate that there are no stock problems with the species. Unqualified CPUE rose over 1977 values in all areas where a fishery continued in 1978.

The fishery in Area 3C was curtailed by restrictions imposed in May 1978 and there was therefore very little Canadian effort in 1978. Landings of $S$. entomelas from Area 3 D are notable by their almost total absence. This is at once both logical and puzzling; the former because the large Polish removals may have severely depleted the resource and the latter because there are still catches of S. flavidus in the area despite the Polish fishery and the two species would normally be caught together. Available information suggests that the growth and mortality rates of the two species are not greatly different and therefore it would not be expected that one species would be exploited to the point of reduction while the other was not.

For the time being there seem to be no stock problems and hence no foundation for restrictions on the fishery. However, should catch limits be deemed desirable they should be set at levels which at least will not discourage fishing and hence prevent the gathering of much needed additional information.

### 2.7.3 Recommendations

A summary of the recommended TACs for $\underline{S}$. entomelas is as follows:

[^2]| Area | TAC |
| :--- | ---: |
| 3C (Canadian) | 100 t |
| 3D | 50 t |
| 5A-5B | 250 t |
| 5C-5D | 50 t |
| 5E $\left(\mathrm{S}\right.$. of $\left.54^{\circ} \mathrm{N}\right)$ | 100 t |

### 2.8 Sebastes proriger (Redstripe rockfish)

### 2.8.1 Summary of catch statistics

S. proriger has only recently become a marketable species in Canada and significant fisheries are restricted to Queen Charlotte Sound (mainly Area 5A) and the west coast of the Queen Charlotte Islands (Area 5E). There are minor landings off the west coast of Vancouver Island and in Hecate Strait (Table 2.12). Almost all of the S. proriger landed are taken incidentally to fisheries for $S$. alutus. As such, it would be difficult to establish a TAC unique for this species since it might seriously compromise the optimization of a more abundant resource. It is also apparent from an examination of available data, that little can be said about the condition of the resource.

The major component of the fishery for $S$. proriger is presumed to have been removals by foreign nations. Tables $2 . \overline{6}$ and 2.7 present estimated removals by Japan during 1971-77 for Sub-zones 5-5 and 5-4, respectively, based upon an assumed species composition of total rockfish catches. Average removals from Sub-zone 5-5 (i.e. Area 5E) during 1971-77 were 432 $t / y r$ while the comparable figure off Queen Charlotte Sound (Areas 5A and 5B) was $1,219 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{yr}$.

### 2.8.2.1 West coast of Vancouver Island (Areas 3C and 3D)

Hydroacoustic biomass estimates are available for S . proriger off the west coast of Vancouver Island for the period 1974-76. Using an M value of 0.1 to calculate EY, the following results are obtained, assuming no substantial reduction of $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{O}}$ :

| Area | Year/Month | Estimated biomass <br> $(t)$ | Estimated EY <br> $(t / y r)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3C | 1974 - Aug. | 7,481 | 750 |
|  | - Oct. | 10,099 | 1,010 |
|  | 1975 - Sept. | 791 | 80 |
|  | 1976 - Nov. Nov. | 193 | 20 |
| 3D | 1976 - Nov. | 2,282 | 230 |

The 1974 estimate again appears anomalous relative to other years. The reservations about these 1974 data have been noted earlier (Section 2.2). While the later biomass estimates may or may not be very accurate, there are certain pragmatic constraints regarding establishing catch limits at these levels. The stock of $S$. alutus off.Vancouver Island is known to be in a depressed condition and since the catching of $\underline{s}$. proriger could not be accomplished without an unacceptably high incidental catch of $\underline{\text { S }}$. alutus, catch should either be prohibited or set at a very low level.

### 2.8.2.2 Recommendation

A TAC of 50 t each is recommended for $\underline{S}$. proriger in the Canadian segment of Area 3C and in Area 3D.

### 2.8.3.1 Queen Charlotte Sound (Areas 5A and 5B)

Catch statistics from this area show a steadily declining CPUE for S. proriger landed by the Canadian fleet. This decline could be attributed in large measure, to the removals by foreign fleets as estimated in Table 2.7. Regression analysis suggests that virgin biomass might have been as high as $9,700 \mathrm{t}$. If growth is as slow as it appears in this species, the natural mortality (M) may be as low as 0.1 , in which case EY would be about 490 t. However, the yield to a North American fishery would have to be less, since the regression analysis is based on Japanese catches, which, according to observers contain substantial numbers of small fish which would not be acceptable on the North American market. The 1978 hydroacoustic biomass survey of Queen Charlotte Sound resulted in an estimate of $13,900 \mathrm{t}$ of S. proriger, however $10,900 \mathrm{t}$ of this total was from areas not fished by the domestic or foreign fleets (Cox Is.-Cape Russell areas). Thus the biomass of the traditionally fished stock may be as low as $3,000 \mathrm{t}$, some $2,000 \mathrm{t}$ below the optimum level. If the latter is true, rehabilitation of the stock is called for and yields should be less than $490 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{yr}$. In any event, the catch should be geared to provide optimal protection for the potentially more valuable resource of $\underline{S}$. alutus which cohabits grounds frequented by S . proriger.

### 2.8.3.2 Recommendations

A TAC of 75 t is recommended for $S$. proriger in Areas $5 A-5 B$ and an additional 150 t outside the Fishery Closing Line. For Areas 5C-5D, a TAC of 50 t is recommended.

### 2.8.4.1 West coast of the Queen Charlotte Is1ands (Area 5E)

The present fishery in Area 5E is distinct from the historical foreign fishery and should be dealt with individually. Both catch and CPUE have increased steadily within the fishery, and in contrast to other species. The 1979 biomass survey estimated that the biomass of S . proriger in the three major fishing areas could be as high as $2,300 \mathrm{t}$. It is notable that catch rate was inversely correlated with depth; the lone haul made in
shallow water where sizeable quantities of fish are seen was comprised primarily of $\underline{s}$. proriger. The biomass estimate indicates EY of at least 200 t , however the shortcomings of the survey with regard to the normal habitat of the species are acknowledged.

### 2.8.4.2 Recommendations

A TAC of 250 t is recommended for S. proriger in that segment of Area 5 E south of $54^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$; north of $54^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ a TAC of 400 t is recommended which ncludes all species of rockfish (Sections 1.4.2-1.4.4).

### 2.9 Sebastes aleutianus (Rougheye rockfish)

### 2.9.1 Summary of catch statistics

The fishery for $S$. aleutianus has always been a relatively minor one in Canadian waters. The only region of significant landings has been Area 5E, since the development of the rockfish fishery in this area (Table 2.13). Small annual landings ( $<15 \mathrm{t}$ ) have occurred in other areas as incidentals.

Landings of $\underline{\text { S }}$. aleutianus by foreign fleets in Area 5E may have been well in excess of $200 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{yr}$ if 1977 observer estimates are representative. Table 2.6 presents estimated Japanese landings in Area 5E from 1971-77. The estimated average yield of S. aleutianus thus obtained is 389 t/yr.

### 2.9.2 West coast of the Queen Charlotte Islands (Area 5E)

Assessment of the condition of the $\underline{S}$. aleutianus stock off the west coast of the Queen Charlotte Islands can progress litt le beyond a narrative account. The present fishery is geographically distinct from the previous foreign fishery which accounted for the major removals from the area. Effective management of this species may not be possible in isolation since it is generally caught incidentally with $S$. alutus and $\underline{S}$. reedi. There are, however, instances of vessels conducting directed $\overline{\text { fishing for }}$ this species in deeper ( $>360 \mathrm{~m}$ ) water. It is difficult to determine whether the stock could maintain removals on the order of $389 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{yr}$ because species-specific effort is not available for foreign catches. The CPUE of S. aleutianus in the Canadian fishery rose in 1979, but the incidental nature of the fishery for this species may preclude effective control of its harvest without reducing yield from the major fishery. If catch limits must be identified, they should be set at a level no less than current removals.

### 2.9.3 Recommendations

A TAC of 150 t is recommended for . aleutianus in that segment of Area 5 E south of $54^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$; north of $54^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ a TAC of 400 t is recommended which includes all species of rockfish (Sections 1.4.2-1.4.4).

### 2.10.1 Summary of catch statistics

The Canadian fishery for $S$. babcocki has always been a minor incidental fishery with $\underline{S}$. alutus and also incidental in the line fishery for halibut two decades ago. U.S. trawlers land the species as an incidental with slope rockfish catches, and it is usually designated as a trace or minor species. Individual species breakdown for U.S. slope rockfish catches are not available, however statistics of the Canadian fishery are presented in Table 2.14. U.S. vessels would be expected to obtain a similar percentage of S. babcocki in their s. alutus fishery.

There were no records of S . babcocki in the 1977 foreign trawl fishery. This may indicate that the species does not occur in the offshore deep water fishery.

### 2.10.2 Condition of resource and assessment for 1980

In almost all areas of capture, CPUE for S. babcocki increased in 1977, 1978, and 1979. Available biological samples (3) from Queen Charlotte Sound indicate a relatively broad size frequency base to the resource. Since there are no indications of stock problems with the species, catch limits if any, should be no less than present removals.

### 2.10.3 Recommendations

The following TACs are recommended for $\underline{S}$. babcocki in 1980:

| Area | TAC |
| :--- | ---: |
| 3C (Canadian) | 20 t |
| 3D | 20 t |
| 5A-5B | 200 t |
| 5C-5D | 75 t |
| 5E (South of $\left.54^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}\right)$ | 25 t |

### 2.11 Other rockfish species

There are several other rockfish species occurring in trawl catches from B.C. waters which will not be dealt with on an individual species basis at this time. In general, these species are either uncommon or are too small for a marketable product. For species which are low in abundance, such as $\underline{S}$. borealis and $\underline{S}$. elongatus, the basic problem is that their abundance in Erawl catches is so low that it is almost impossible to gain any insight into their population dynamics through examination of catch
statistics. 6 Data so produced are not sufficiently precise, nor may they ever be, to detect population changes; understanding of such changes will be gained only through research programs designed to estimate the vital parameters of their populations. The very low incidence of these species in trawl catches would indicate that their effective management is precluded. The most likely prognosis for these rather slow-growing species is that they will become reduced, if not eliminated, in those areas where they co-exist with more abundant and faster-growing species. A mechanism for the avoidance of this is not evident, given the current species focus of the trawl fishery.

There are several other rockfish species which are normally too small for market acceptance; among this group are S. diploproa, S. zacentrus, and Sebastolobus alascanus. While some occur in abundance in particular areas, it is difficult to obtain information about them because they are normally discarded at sea and cannot be sampled from landings. Consequently, data on populations of these species and their dynamics can only be obtained via research vessel activities. Further, it is also difficult to assess the impact of a fishery on these species because fishing mortality rates cannot be realistically estimated without catch data and information on the quantity discarded.

## ADDENDUM

The rockfish stocks in the area of Canadian waters adjacent to Queen Charlotte Sound, seaward of the fishery closing line from Cape St. James to Triangle Island, are presented here as an addendum for several reasons. Chief among these reasons is that the area represents a logical management unit and it merits attention as such. While some biological constraints to this approach do exist, they concern primarily one species and will be dealt with later.

The historical foreign fishery for rockfishes off Queen Charlotte Sound is thought to have severely reduced their biomass. The section of this document dealing with Sebastes alutus has chronicled this decline. Indeed, the 1977 discovery of the true species composition of rockfish catches on Japanese trawlers in the area implies declines in many other rockfishes beside $\underline{S}$. alutus. The two species of major concern in this area are $\underline{S}$. alutus and $\underline{\underline{S}}$. reedi; the yields from other species will be almost exclusively determined by those of these two major species, since they are caught concurrently.

It is believed that a deeper-water group of $\underline{s}$. alutus exists off Queen Charlotte Sound and that this group does not take part in the observed seasonal bathymetric migration displayed by the main body of fish, exploited by domestic trawlers inside Queen Charlotte Sound. This deep-water group of

[^3]fish is genetically indistinct (protein isozymes) from the main body of the stock. The $S$. alutus returns to the Japanese fishery when it was excluded from fishing the main body of the stock imply that the size of the deep-water group is not large. In view of this it is recommended that no more than $200 t$ be removed from this deep-water group. In addition, it will be necessary to limit the time period over which this TAC may be fished to June-September inclusive, to avoid overlap with the main body of the stock.

For S. reedi, the 250 t identified for Queen Charlotte Sound earlier in the document can be roughly partitioned into components inside and outside the fishery closing line, based on historical distribution of the fishery for it. The domestic fishery has been prosecuted as a directed fishery only off Triangle Island and almost exclusively outside the closing 1ine. Approximately 200 t of the 250 t TAC previously recommended could be designated as available outside the closing line. The remaining 50 t takes on a somewhat "softer" character since landings from inside Queen Charlote Sound have been erratic.

With regard to other rockfish species, the catch levels recommended are reflective of observed percentages in landings of the two previous species.

Recommendation

In summary, the following TACs are recommended for the area of Queen Charlotte Sound (Areas 5A-5B) seaward of the fishery closing line:

| Species | TAC $^{\text {a }}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Sebastes alutus | 200 t |
| S. reedi | 200 t |
| S. proriger | 150 t |
| $\mathrm{S} . \frac{\text { diploproa }}{}$ | 15 t |
| S. zacentrus | 75 t |
| S. crameri | 20 t |
| Sebastolobus alascanus | 50 t |
| Total | 710 t |

afishing restricted to the period June-September, inclusive.

Table 2.1. Interviewed catch ( $t$ ), effort (hr) and CPUE ( $t / \mathrm{hr}$ ) of Sebastes flavidus by Canada and United States, by area, 1967-79.

| Year \& Nation | Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3B-3C |  |  | 3D |  |  | 5A-5B |  |  | 5c-5D |  |  |
|  | catch | effort | CPUE | catch | effort | CPUE | catch | effort | CPUE | catch | effort | CPUE |
| 1967 Can. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| U.S. | 121.7 | 4,579 | 0.027 | 79.6 | 1,344 | 0.059 | 872.5 | 9,313 | 0.094 | - | - | - |
| 1968 Can. | - | , | - | - | , | - | - | , | - | - | - | - |
| U.S. | 1,081.7 | 7,551 | 0.143 | 16.0 | 1,381 | 0.012 | 281.4 | 8,488 | 0.033 | - | - | - |
| 1969 Can. | , | , | - | - | - | - | - | , | - | - | - | - |
| U.S. | 1,575.8 | 8,619 | 0.183 | 113.3 | 2,172 | 0.052 | 2,129.8 | 13,557 | 0.157 | - | - | - |
| 1970 Can. |  | , | - | - | - | - | , | , | - | - | - | - |
| U.S. | 379.9 | 3,637 | 0.104 | 142.1 | 2,978 | 0.048 | 2,568.0 | 9,264 | 0.277 | - | - | - |
| 1971 Can. | 9.7 | 100 | 0.097 | 4.8 | 38 | 0.126 | 209.8 | 966 | 0.217 | 50.5 | 201 | 0.251 |
| U.S. | 398.0 | 4,934 | 0.081 | 91.2 | 1,825 | 0.050 | 1,805.6 | 7,137 | 0.253 | - | - | - |
| 1972 Can. | 11.3 | 437 | 0.026 | - | , | - | 678.2 | 2,414 | 0.281 | 25.5 | 108 | 0.236 |
| U.S. | 416.3 | 4,823 | 0.086 | 115.6 | 1,691 | 0.068 | 2,349.4 | 9,224 | 0.254 | - | - | - |
| 1973 Can. | 13.8 | 247 | 0.056 | - | , | - | 519.2 | 1,387 | 0.374 | 0.5 | 42 | 0.012 |
| U.S. | 227.3 | 4,182 | 0.054 | 17.3 | 1,613 | 0.011 | 2,837.7 | 9,625 | 0.295 | - | - | - |
| 1974 Can. | 16.8 | 631 | 0.027 | 0.3 | 11 | 0.027 | 153.4 | 1,188 | 0.129 | 47.1 | 242 | 0.195 |
| U.S. | 123.5 | 5,165 | 0.024 | 111.3 | 1,849 | 0.060 | 924.1 | 8,797 | 0.105 | - | - | - |
| 1975 Can. | 5.6 | 217 | 0.026 | 0.6 | 14 | 0.043 | 383.4 | 1,760 | 0.218 | 53.3 | 303 | 0.176 |
| U.S. | 124.9 | 10, 101 | 0.012 | 89.7 | 2,034 | 0.044 | 379.3 | 5,179 | 0.073 | - | - | - |
| 1976 Can. | 47.7 | 931 | 0.051 | 25.4 | 141 | 0.180 | 627.6 | 4,360 | 0.144 | 178.9 | 1,002 | 0.179 |
| U.S. | 1,024.3 | 12,408 | 0.083 | 3.0 | 1,550 | 0.002 | 341.5 | 4,620 | 0.074 | - | , | - |
| 1977 Can. | 238.6 | 1,858 | 0.128 | 8.0 | 53 | 0.151 | 1,012.1 | 4,744 | 0.213 | 295.2 | 2,713 | 0.109 |
| U.S. | 542.3 | 12,464 | 0.044 | 99.4 | 1,037 | 0.096 | 507.1 | 5,165 | 0.098 | - | - | - |
| 1978 Can. | 42.6 | 741 | 0.058 | 35.7 | 254 | 0.141 | 1,644.3 | 5,045 | 0.326 | 356.5 | 2,493 | 0.143 |
| U.S. | 647.8 | 5,033 | 0.129 | - | - | - | 484.1 | 927 | 0.522 | - | , | - |
| $1979{ }^{\text {a }} \mathrm{Can}$. | 13.6 | 367 | 0.037 | 4.8 | 38 | 0.128 | 243.2 | 1,265 | 0.192 | 176.9 | 1,293 | 0.137 |

apreliminary data (to July 15).

Table 2.2. Canadian qualified ( $25 \%$ ) catch ( $t$ ), effort ( hr ) and CPUE ( $\mathrm{t} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) of major rockfish species (other than Pacific ocean perch) from Areas $3 C, 3 D, 5 A$ and $5 B$ in 1977, 1978 and 1979.

| Species | Area 3C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1979 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | 1978 |  |  | 1977 |  |  |
|  | catch | effort | CPUE | catch | effort | CPUE | catch | effort | CPUE |
| S. aleutianus | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| S. babcocki | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| S. brevispinis | - | - | - | - | - | - | 7.75 | 5.00 | 1.55 |
| S. entomelas | - | - | - | - | - | - | 13.29 | 11.50 | 1.16 |
| S. flavidus | 0.32 | 4.00 | 0.08 | 12.84 | 29.50 | 0.44 | 188.89 | 82.50 | 2.29 |
| S. paucispinis | - | - | - | - |  | - | 188 |  | - |
| S. pinniger | 3.63 | 35.00 | 0.10 | 1.91 | 9.25 | 0.21 | 37.88 | 162.50 | 0.23 |
| S. proriger | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.03 | 1.00 | 0.03 |
| S. reedi | - | - | - | 0.26 | 1.00 | 0.26 | - | - | - |


| Species | Area 3D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1979a |  |  | 1978 |  |  | 1977 |  |  |
|  | catch | effort | CPUE | catch | effort | CPUE | catch | effort | CPUE |
| S. aleutianus | 1.36 | 2.67 | 0.51 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| S. babcocki | - | - | - | 0.32 | 0.50 | 0.64 | - | - | - |
| S. brevispinis | 1.59 | 9.25 | 0.17 | 1.27 | 7.75 | 0.16 | 9.45 | 23.25 | 0.41 |
| S. entomelas | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| S. flavidus | 2.13 | 8.00 | 0.27 | 14.64 | 15.58 | 0.94 | 6.85 | 28.50 | 0.24 |
| S. paucispinis | 9.53 | 9.83 | 0.97 | 5.14 | 38.33 | 0.13 | 0.46 | 2.75 | 0.17 |
| S. pinniger | 41.28 | 80.75 | 0.51 | 37.77 | 47.42 | 0.80 | 89.59 | 66.75 | 1.34 |
| S. proriger | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| S. reedi | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Table 2.2 (cont'd).

| Species | Area 5A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1979a |  |  | 1978 |  |  | 1977 |  |  |
|  | catch | effort | CPUE | catch | effort | CPUE | catch | effort | CPUE |
| S. aleutianus | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| S. babcocki | 3.63 | 6.00 | 0.60 | 14.25 | 9.50 | 1.50 | - | - | - |
| S. brevispinis | 48.90 | 154.70 | 0.32 | 285.60 | 603.22 | 0.47 | 56.49 | 115.75 | 0.49 |
| S. entomelas | - | - | - | - | - | - | 10.20 | 7.00 | 1.46 |
| S. flavidus | 107.09 | 239.00 | 0.45 | 321.85 | 774.25 | 0.42 | 251.04 | 1,114.50 | 0.23 |
| S. paucispinis | - | - | - | 34.12 | 59.60 | 0.57 | 10.41 | 31.00 | 0.34 |
| S. pinniger | 19.16 | 46.50 | 0.41 | 67.91 | 163.75 | 0.41 | 9.62 | 29.25 | 0.33 |
| S. proriger | 1.59 | 2.50 | 0.64 | 3.79 | 5.00 | 0.76 | 35.89 | 84.75 | 0.42 |
| S. reedi | 5.22 | 7.50 | 0.70 | 10.86 | 16.00 | 0.68 | 331.29 | 226.25 | 1.46 |


| Species | Area 5B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1979a |  |  | 1978 |  |  | 1977 |  |  |
|  | catch | effort | CPUE | catch | effort | CPUE | catch | effort | CPUE |
| S. aleutianus | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| S. babcocki | - | - | - | 31.81 | 27.00 | 1.18 | 3.25 | 8.50 | 0.38 |
| S. brevispinis | 70.08 | 148.67 | 0.47 | 144.22 | 329.58 | 0.44 | 62.57 | 176.75 | 0.35 |
| S. entomelas | 3.24 | 17.50 | 0.19 | 117.32 | 134.50 | 0.87 | 26.38 | 53.00 | 0.50 |
| S. flavidus | 77.72 | 235.51 | 0.33 | 1,106.75 | 1,769.45 | 0.63 | 620.02 | 1,557.75 | 0.40 |
| S. paucispinis | 51.26 | 121.25 | 0.42 | 3.32 | 18.00 | 0.18 | 5.15 | 22.50 | 0.23 |
| S. pinniger | 129.28 | 370.92 | 0.35 | 32.81 | 78.22 | 0.42 | 45.23 | 164.25 | 0.28 |
| S. proriger | - | - | - | 4.68 | 30.50 | 0.15 | - | - | - |
| S. reedi | - | - | - | 84.65 | 45.20 | 1.87 | - | - | - |

apreliminary data (to July 15).

Table 2.3. Catch ( $t$ ), effort (hr) and CPUE ( $t / \mathrm{hr}$ ) of Sebastes pinniger by Canada and United States, by area, 1967-79.

| Year \& Nation | Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $3 \mathrm{~B}-3 \mathrm{C}$ |  |  | 3D |  |  | 5A-5B |  |  | 5C-5D |  |  |
|  | catch | effort | CPUE | catch | effort | CPUE | catch | effort | CPUE | catch | effort | CPUE |
| 1967 Can. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| U.S. | 342.1 | 4,579 | 0.075 | 352.0 | 1,344 | 0.262 | 226.4 | 9,313 | 0.024 | - | - | - |
| 1968 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 472.8 | 7,551 | 0.063 | 494.9 | 1,381 | 0.358 | 943.5 | 8,488 | 0.111 | - | - | - |
| 1969 | - | , | - | - | , | - | - | 8, | - | - | - | - |
|  | 495.8 | 8,619 | 0.058 | 557.3 | 2,172 | 0.257 | 455.6 | 13,557 | 0.034 | - | - | - |
| 1970 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 511.8 | 3,637 | 0.141 | 727.9 | 2,978 | 0.244 | 171.8 | 9,264 | 0.019 | - | - | - |
| 1971 | 51.7 | 355 | 0.146 | 13.6 | 48 | 0.283 | 18.0 | 365 | 0.049 | 24.7 | 310 | 0.080 |
|  | 466.0 | 4,934 | 0.094 | 573.3 | 1,825 | 0.314 | 167.0 | 7,137 | 0.023 | - | - | - |
| 1972 | 0.2 | 38 | 0.005 | - | - | - | 0.4 | 48 | 0.008 | 1.9 | 77 | 0.025 |
|  | 168.8 | 4,823 | 0.035 | 198.5 | 1,691 | 0.117 | 45.9 | 9,224 | 0.005 | - | - | - |
| 1973 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 29.1 | 116 | 0.251 | 8.1 | 50 | 0.162 |
|  | 179.0 | 4,182 | 0.043 | 473.7 | 1,613 | 0.294 | 267.8 | 9,625 | 0.028 | - | - | - |
| 1974 | 9.9 | 333 | 0.030 | 3.8 | 59 | 0.064 | 2.5 | 78 | 0.032 | - | 1 | - |
|  | 169.5 | 5,165 | 0.033 | 586.0 | 1,849 | 0.317 | 466.2 | 8,797 | 0.053 | - | - | - |
| 1975 | 6.7 | 178 | 0.038 | 7.0 | 10 | 0.700 | 21.9 | 390 | 0.056 | 3.2 | 102 | 0.031 |
|  | 549.8 | 10,101 | 0.054 | 442.3 | 2,034 | 0.217 | 279.9 | 5,179 | 0.054 | - | - | 通 |
| 1976 | 51.8 | 627 | 0.083 | 137.6 | 157 | 0.876 | 102.6 | 1,538 | 0.067 | 7.5 | 273 | 0.027 |
|  | 644.2 | 12,408 | 0.052 | 170.4 | 1,550 | 0.110 | 459.4 | 4,620 | 0.099 | - | - | - |
| 1977 | 119.8 | 1,766 | 0.068 | 97.0 | 135 | 0.719 | 123.4 | 2,358 | 0.052 | 15.4 | 412 | 0.037 |
| U.S. | 302.8 | 12,464 | 0.024 | 85.4 | 1,037 | 0.082 | 84.1 | 5,165 | 0.016 | - | - | - |
| 1978 Can.U.S.$1979{ }^{\text {a }}$ Can. | 14.5 | 254 | 0.057 | 54.1 | 181 | 0.300 | 262.6 | 2,692 | 0.098 | 101.4 | 701 | 0.145 |
|  | 299.0 | 5,033 | 0.059 | 829.1 | 910 | 0.910 | - | , | - | - | - | - |
|  | 15.8 | 356 | 0.044 | 42.9 | 125 | 0.345 | 204.5 | 1,408 | 0.145 | 34.6 | 228 | 0.152 |

[^4]Table 2.4. Catch ( $t$ ), effort (hr) and CPUE ( $t / \mathrm{hr}$ ) of Sebastes brevispinis by Canada and United States, by area, 1967-79.

| Year \& Nation | Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $3 \mathrm{~B}-3 \mathrm{C}$ |  |  | 3D |  |  | 5A-5B |  |  | 5C-5D |  |  |
|  | catch | effort | CPUE | catch | effort | CPUE | catch | effort | CPUE | catch | effort | CPUE |
| 1967 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 43.1 | 4,579 | 0.009 | 148.5 | 1,344 | 0.110 | 348.5 | 9,313 | 0.037 | - | - | - |
| 1968 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 24.7 | 7,551 | 0.003 | 161.4 | 1,381 | 0.117 | 799.9 | 8,488 | 0.094 | - | - | - |
| 1969 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 5.8 | 8,619 | 0.001 | 320.6 | 2,172 | 0.148 | 1,164.1 | 13,557 | 0.086 | - | - | - |
| 1970 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 38.5 | 3,637 | 0.011 | 297.9 | 2,978 | 0.100 | 269.4 | 9,264 | 0.029 | - | - | - |
| 1971 | 2.0 | 3 | 0.667 | 2.6 | 46 | 0.057 | 17.9 | 322 | 0.056 | 34.5 | 229 | 0.151 |
|  | 50.6 | 4,934 | 0.010 | 133.9 | 1,825 | 0.073 | 689.0 | 7,137 | 0.097 | - | - | - |
| 1972 | - | - | - | 0.3 | 38 | 0.008 | 53.0 | 629 | 0.084 | 61.0 | 232 | 0.263 |
|  | 12.8 | 4,823 | 0.003 | 371.3 | 1,691 | 0.220 | 350.7 | 9,224 | 0.038 | - | - | - |
| 1973 | 0.2 | 38 | 0.005 | - | - | - | 37.5 | 293 | 0.128 | 9.9 | 147 | 0.067 |
|  | - | 4,182 | - | 205.9 | 1,613 | 0.128 | 198.4 | 9,625 | 0.021 | - | - | - |
| 1974 | - | - | - | 1.4 | 12 | 0.117 | 45.0 | 414 | 0.109 | 12.9 | 64 | 0.202 |
|  | - | 5,165 | - | 217.9 | 1,849 | 0.118 | 336.2 | 8,797 | 0.038 | - | - | - |
| 1975 | 0.5 | 35 | 0.014 | 3.2 | 9 | 0.356 | 30.7 | 480 | 0.064 | 11.2 | 191 | 0.059 |
|  | 98.1 | 10,101 | 0.010 | 65.9 | 2,034 | 0.032 | 286.2 | 5,179 | 0.055 | - | - | - |
| 1976 Can. | 4.6 | . 9 | 0.511 | 0.1 | 4 | 0.025 | 164.4 | 1,606 | 0.102 | 121.3 | 1,429 | 0.085 |
| 1976 | 52.5 | 12,408 | 0.004 | 254.1 | 1,550 | 0.164 | 496.6 | 4,620 | 0.107 | - | $\checkmark$ | - |
| 1977 Can. | 18.0 | 476 | 0.038 | 10.3 | 40 | 0.258 | 197.7 | 2,463 | 0.080 | 233.8 | 2,059 | 0.114 |
|  | 626.4 | 12,464 | 0.050 | 18.4 | 1,037 | 0.018 | 209.7 | 5,165 | 0.041 | - | - | - |
| 1978 Can. U.S. | 1.0 | 48 | 0.021 | 20.8 | 236 | 0.088 | 723.6 | 4,072 | 0.178 | 232.4 | 1,392 | 0.167 |
|  | 564.7 | 5,033 | 0.112 | 16.9 | 910 | 0.019 | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| $1979^{\text {a }}$ Can. | 56. | - | - | 3.9 | 20 | 0.193 | 178.8 | 1,225 | 0.146 | 21.0 | 655 | 0.032 |

apreliminary data (to July 15).

Table 2.5. Canadian qualified (25\%) catch ( $t$ ), effort (hr) and CPUE ( $t / \mathrm{hr}$ ) of major rockfish species (other than Pacific ocean perch) from Areas 5C, 5D in 1977, 1978, and 1979.

| Species | Area 5C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1979^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | 1978 |  |  | 1977 |  |  |
|  | catch | effort | CPUE | catch | effort | CPUE | catch | effort | CPUE |
| S. aleutianus | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| S. babcocki | - | - | - | 12.27 | 11.00 | 0.21 | - | - | - |
| S. brevispinis | 2.72 | 12.00 | 0.23 | 61.99 | 86.90 | 0.71 | 23.54 | 69.20 | 0.34 |
| S. entomelas | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| S. flavidus | - | - | - | 15.57 | 20.70 | 0.75 | - | - | - |
| S. paucispinis | - | - | - | 1.43 | 14.00 | 0.10 | 0.23 | 2.00 | 0.11 |
| S. pinniger | 18.19 | 20.70 | 0.88 | 65.28 | 74.88 | 0.87 | 3.42 | 2.00 | 1.71 |
| S. proriger | - | - | - | 0.81 | 4.00 | 0.20 | - | - | - |
| S. reedi | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| Species | Area 5D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1979a |  |  | 1978 |  |  | 1977 |  |  |
|  | catch | effort | CPUE | catch | effort | CPUE | catch | effort | CPUE |
| S. aleutianus | 2.65 | 6.00 | 0.44 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| S. babcocki | 1.13 | 4.00 | 0.28 | 3.58 | 5.70 | 0.63 | 1.09 | 6.00 | 0.18 |
| S. brevispinis | 0.26 | 8.50 | 0.03 | 109.90 | 162.90 | 0.67 | 112.14 | 377.70 | 0.30 |
| S. entomelas | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1.72 | 2.00 | 0.86 |
| S. flavidus | 87.50 | 226.57 | 0.39 | 97.70 | 316.30 | 0.31 | 89.37 | 220.75 | 0.40 |
| S. paucispinis | 3.86 | 15.00 | 0.26 | 14.80 | 48.50 | 0.31 | 19.04 | 33.50 | 0.57 |
| S. pinniger | 1.81 | 8.00 | 0.23. | 2.00 | 5.50 | 0.37 | 2.38 | 8.00 | 0.30 |
| S. proriger | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| S. reedi | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3.75 | 2.50 | 1.50 |

${ }^{a_{\text {Preliminary }}}$ data (to July 15).

Table 2.6. Estimates of Japanese catches ( $t$ ) of selected rockfish species, $52^{\circ} \mathrm{N}-54^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, (Area 5E or Management Sub-zone 5-5).

| Year | Total rockfish | $\underline{\text { S. }}$ brevispinis | S. reedi | $\underline{\text { S. }}$ proriger $^{\text {a }}$ | S. aleutianus $^{a}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1971 | 3,113 | 258 | 311 | 405 | 364 |
| 1972 | 4,559 | 378 | 456 | 593 | 533 |
| 1973 | 4,208 | 349 | 421 | 547 | 492 |
| 1974 | 2,883 | 239 | 288 | 375 | 337 |
| 1975 | 2,954 | 245 | 295 | 384 | 346 |
| 1976 | 3,538 | 294 | 354 | 460 | 414 |
| 1977 | $\sim 2,000$ | 166 | 200 | 260 | 234 |

aExtrapolated using observed \% in 1977 rockfish catch.

Table 2.7. Estimtes of Japanese catches ( $t$ ) of selected rockfish species, $50^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-52^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, (Areas 5 A and 5 B or Management Sub-zone 5-4).

| Year | Total rockfish | S. brevispinis |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1971 | 349 | $\underline{S} \cdot \underline{\text { reedi }}^{a}$ | S. proriger $^{a}$ |  |
| 1972 | 2,643 | 48 | 135 | 92 |
| 1973 | 5,653 | 102 | 1,020 | 700 |
| 1974 | 14,240 | 256 | 2,182 | 1,498 |
| 1975 | 6,490 | 117 | 5,497 | 3,374 |
| 1976 | 3,364 | 61 | 2,505 | 1,720 |
| 1977 | $\sim 970$ | 17 | 1,299 | 891 |

[^5]Table 2.8. Canadian catch ( t ), effort (hr), and CPUE ( $\mathrm{t} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) of Sebastes reedi, by area, 1971-79.

| Year | Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3C |  |  | $5 A-5 B$ |  |  | 5C-5D |  |  | 5 E |  |  |
|  | catch | effort | CPUE | catch | effort | CPUE | catch | effort | CPUE | catch | effort | CPUE |
| 1971 | - | - | - | 5.4 | 32 | 0.169 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1972 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1973 | - | - | - | 176.7 | 38 | 4.650 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1974 | - | - | - | 78.9 | 23 | 3.430 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1975 | 0.5 | 3 | 0.167 | 1.1 | 35 | 0.031 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1976 | - | - | - | 12.3 | 10 | 1.230 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1977 | - | - | - | 335.9 | 466 | 0.721 | 3.8 | 3 | 1.267 | 1,256.7 | 583 | 2.156 |
| 1978 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.300 | 108.5 | 164 | 0.660 | - | - | - | 973.4 | 579 | 1.682 |
| 1979a | - | - | - | 34.5 | 101 | 0.340 | - | - | - | 350.8 | 260 | 1.349 |

apreliminary data (to July 15).

Table 2.9. Canadian qualified (25\%) catch ( $t$ ), effort (hr) and CPUE ( $t / \mathrm{hr}$ ) of major rockfish species (other than Pacific ocean perch) from Area 5 E in 1977,1978 , and 1979.

| Species | Area 5E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1979a |  |  | 1978 |  |  | 1977 |  |  |
|  | catch | effort | CPUE | catch | effort | CPUE | catch | effort | CPUE |
| S. aleutianus | 83.48 | 86.90 | 0.96 | 100.89 | 111.20 | 0.91 | 74.69 | 66.00 | 1.13 |
| S. babcocki | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| S. brevispinis | 28.43 | 39.67 | 0.72 | 52.82 | 103.70 | 0.51 | - | - | - |
| S. entomelas | - | - | - | 1.28 | 6.70 | 0.19 | - | - | - |
| S. flavidus | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1.38 | 10.00 | 0.14 |
| S. paucispinis | - | - | - | 0.08 | 14.60 | 0.01 | - | - | - |
| S. pinniger | - | - | - | 5.14 | 1.00 | 5.14 | 0.18 | 1.00 | 0.18 |
| S. proriger | 13.78 | 17.83 | 0.77 | 96.45 | 41.90 | 2.30 | 58.04 | 79.33 | 0.73 |
| S. reedi | 287.53 | 143.43 | 2.00 | 727.61 | 274.57 | 2.65 | 1,243.30 | 458.20 | 2.71 |

${ }^{\text {a Preliminary data (to July 15) . }}$

Table 2.10. Catch ( t ), effort (hr) and CPUE ( $\mathrm{t} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) of Sebastes paucispinis by Canada and United States, by area, 1967-79.

|  <br> Nation | Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $3 \mathrm{~B}-3 \mathrm{C}$ |  |  | 3D |  |  | 5A-5B |  |  | 5C-5D |  |  |  |
|  | catch | effort | CPUE | catch | effort | CPUE | catch | effort | CPUE | catch | effort | CPUE |  |
| 1967 Can. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| U.S. | 3.2 | 4,579 | 0.001 | 51.8 | 1,344 | 0.039 | 170.9 | 9,313 | 0.018 | - | - | - |  |
| 1968 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | 15.9 | 7,551 | 0.002 | 34.2 | 1,381 | 0.025 | 52.7 | 8,488 | 0.006 | - | - | - |  |
| 1969 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | 8.7 | 8,619 | 0.001 | 96.5 | 2,172 | 0.044 | 657.4 | 13,557 | 0.048 | - | - | - |  |
| 1970 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | 63.1 | 3,637 | 0.017 | 117.7 | 2,978 | 0.040 | 78.4 | 9,264 | 0.008 | - | - | - |  |
| 1971 | 0.5 | 38 | 0.013 | 11.6 | 291 | 0.040 | 23.8 | 637 | 0.037 | 2.2 | 245 | 0.009 | 1 |
|  | 19.5 | 4,934 | 0.004 | 18.1 | 1,825 | 0.010 | 119.9 | 7,137 | 0.017 | - | - | - | $\stackrel{4}{4}$ |
| 1972 | 1.3 | 32 | 0.041 | 5.8 | 172 | 0.034 | 17.9 | 126 | 0.142 | 26.0 | 128 | 0.203 | 1 |
|  | 19.6 | 4,823 | 0.004 | 72.7 | 1,691 | 0.043 | 287.5 | 9,224 | 0.031 | - | - | - |  |
| 1973 | 2.9 | 80 | 0.036 | 13.2 | 115 | 0.115 | 38.8 | 347 | 0.112 | 19.0 | 222 | 0.086 |  |
|  | 15.2 | 4,182 | 0.004 | 69.9 | 1,613 | 0.043 | 582.3 | 9,625 | 0.060 | - | - | - |  |
| 1974 Can. | 4.4 | 115 | 0.038 | 9.5 | 91 | 0.104 | 81.3 | 719 | 0.113 | 92.0 | 673 | 0.137 |  |
| U.S. | - | 5,165 | - | 25.7 | 1,849 | 0.014 | 378.7 | 8,797 | 0.043 | - | - | - |  |
| 1975 Can. | 0.7 | 14 | 0.050 | 0.5 | 4 | 0.125 | 4.6 | 81 | 0.057 | 10.1 | 208 | 0.049 |  |
| 1975 | - | 10,101 | - | 18.7 | 2,034 | 0.009 | 303.4 | 5,179 | 0.059 | - | - | - |  |
| 1976 | 3.9 | 45 | 0.087 | 8.4 | 109 | 0.077 | 28.3 | 741 | 0.038 | 25.5 | 525 | 0.049 |  |
| U.S. | 18.6 | 12,408 | 0.001 | 165.1 | 1,550 | 0.107 | 201.7 | 4,620 | 0.044 | - | - | - |  |
| 1977 | 29.0 | 712 | 0.041 | 10.1 | 118 | 0.086 | 41.9 | 1,161 | 0.036 | 59.7 | 858 | 0.070 |  |
| U.S. | 8.9 | 12,464 | 0.001 | 4.9 | 1,037 | 0.005 | 119.6 | 5,165 | 0.023 | - | - | - |  |
| 1978 Can.U.S.1979 Can. | 3.8 | 129 | 0.029 | 19.2 | 245 | 0.078 | 133.3 | 2,039 | 0.065 | 53.6 | 1,558 | 0.034 |  |
|  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | 1.2 | 68 | 0.017 | 11.1 | 65 | 0.170 | 91.5 | 1,013 | 0.090 | 18.7 | 482 | 0.039 |  |

apreliminary data (to July 15).

Table 2.11. Catch ( $t$ ), effort (hr) and CPUE ( $t / \mathrm{hr}$ ) of Sebastes entomelas by Canada and United States, by area, 1967-79.

| Year | Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 C |  |  | 3D |  |  | 5A-5B |  |  | 5C-5D |  |  | 5E |  |  |
|  | catch | effort | CPUE | catch | effort | CPUE | catch | effort | cPue | catch | effort | cPue | catch | effort | CPUE |
| 1972 | 0.1 | 11 | 0.009 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1973 | 1.5 | 27 | 0.057 | - | - | - | 0.3 | 11 | 0.025 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1974 | 2.3 | 68 | 0.034 | - | - | - | 2.7 | 13 | 0.210 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1975 | 0.1 | 16 | 0.009 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1976 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1977 | 52.9 | 167 | 0.317 | - | - | - | 86.3 | 869 | 0.099 | 3.5 | 26 | 0.135 | 12.3 | 136 | 0.090 |
| 1978 | - | - | - | 1.5 | 3.0 | 0.556 | . 143.5 | 736 | 0.195 | - | - | - | 56.1 | 437 | 0.128 |
| 1979a | 0.3 | 49 | 0.006 | - | - | - | 3.8 | 47 | 0.082 | - | - | - | 6.9 | 123 | 0.056 |

apreliminary data (to July 15).

Table 2.12. Candadian catch ( $t$ ), effort (hr) and CPUE ( $t / \mathrm{hr}$ ) of Sebastes proriger, by area, 1975-79.

| Year | Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 C . |  |  | 3D |  |  | 5A-5B |  |  | 5C-5D |  |  | 5E |  |  |
|  | catch | effort | CPUE | catch | effort | CPUE | catch | effort | CPUE | catch | effort | CPUE | catch | effort | CPUE |
| 1975 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 12.9 | 35 | 0.369 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1976 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 11.6 | 46 | 0.253 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1977 | 0.7 | 53 | 0.013 | - | - | - | 49.4 | 356 | 0.139 | 0.9 | 25 | 0.036 | 156.0 | 454 | 0.344 |
| 1978 | 0.4 | 1 | 0.380 | 6.4 | 35 | 0.182 | 19.7 | 423 | 0.046 | 2.6 | 15 | 0.176 | 228.8 | 392 | 0.583 |
| 1979a | - | - | - | 0.2 | 3 | 0.086 | 3.7 | 120 | 0.030 | 0.2 | 7 | 0.034 | 71.6 | 167 | 0.430 |

apreliminary data (to July 15).

Table 2.13. Candadian catch ( $t$ ), effort (hr) and CPUE ( $t / \mathrm{hr}$ ) of Sebastes aleutianus, 1971-79.

| Year | Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 C |  |  | 3D |  |  | 5A-5B |  |  | 5C-5D |  |  | 5E |  |  |
|  | catch | effort | crue | catch | effort | crue | catch | effort | cpue | catch | effort | CPUE | catch | effort | CPUE |
| 1971 | 4.8 | 134 | 0.036 | 3.7 | 21 | 0.176 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1972 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 8.2 | 48 | 0.170 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1973 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1974 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1975 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1976 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 14.4 | 63 | 0.229 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1977 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.3 | 29 | 0.012 | 0.4 | 56 | 0.007 | 76.3 | 135 | 0.565 |
| 1978 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.02 | 0.5 | 0.040 | - | - | - | 134.0 | 390 | 0.344 |
| 1979a | - | - | - | 1.4 | 3 | 0.509 | - | - | - | 4.0 | 24 | 0.167 | 114.3 | 231 | 0.495 |

apreliminary data (to July 15).

Table 2.14. Canadian cach ( $t$ ), effort ( hr ) and CPUE ( $t / \mathrm{hr}$ ) of Sebastes babcocki, by area, 1971-79.

| Year | Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3B-3C |  |  | 5A-5B |  |  | 5c-5D |  |  | 5E |  |  |
|  | catch | effort | CPUE | catch | effort | CPUE | catch | effort | CPUE | catch | effort | CPUE |
| 1971 | - | - | - | 13.5 | 179 | 0.075 | 11.9 | 195 | 0.061 | - | - | - |
| 1972 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4.2 | 118 | 0.036 | - | - | - |
| 1973 | - | - | - | 3.8 | 51 | 0.075 | 2.7 | 60 | 0.045 | - | - | - |
| 1974 | - | - | - | 5.3 | 68 | 0.078 | 0.8 | 55 | 0.015 | - | - | - |
| 1975 | - | - | - | 29.4 | 205 | 0.143 | 3.6 | 112 | 0.032 | - | - | - |
| 1976 | 1.0 | 6 | 0.167 | 64.1 | 563 | 0.114 | 14.4 | 568 | 0.025 | - | - | - |
| 1977 | 0.3 | 60 | 0.005 | 20.2 | 602 | 0.034 | 12.8 | 498 | 0.026 | 2.3 | 32 | 0.072 |
| 1978 | 0.8 | 40 | 0.020 | 72.6 | 438 | 0.166 | 29.6 | 354 | 0.084 | 5.1 | 211 | 0.024 |
| 1979 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | - | - | - | 4.3 | 15 | 0.293 | 7.4 | 178 | 0.041 | 1.2 | 48 | 0.025 |

apreliminary data (to July 15).

## 3. SABLEFISH STOCK ASSESSMENT

### 3.1 Introduction

Canadian sablefish (Anoplopoma fimbria) landings (Table 3.1) prior to 1978 were relatively minor compared to other groundfish landings. The average total catch from 1968 until 1978 was $678 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{yr}$ compared to an average of $21,306 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{yr}$ for all groundfish species. In 1978, market conditions appeared to stimulate an increased interest in the sablefish fishery and although trawl landings were reduced, trap landings increased from 215 in 1977 to 635 t in 1978 (Table 3.2). Catches in 1979 are expected to reach $2,000 \mathrm{t}$ as a result of new vessels entering the fishery and increased effort by vessels previously in the fishery.

While there has been a large number of vessels landing sablefish in the past, few longline or trawl vessels have landed more than 3 per year. During 1973-1978 no longline vessel landed more than 30 per year and in 1978 only 3 of 76 longline vessels landed more than $3 t$ (Table 3.2). However in 1979,11 of 116 longline vessels reporting sablefish landings have landed more than $3 t$ as of August 31 and the largest landing for one vessel was 51 t . Trap vessels also increased to 16 in 1979 from 10 in 1978. Although the total of 183 vessels participating in the fishery in 1979 is not a substantial increase over previous years, effort has shown an increase.

In 1980, as a consequence of restrictions on Canadian participation in the halibut fishery in United States waters, it is anticipated that the number of vessels landing sablefish may exceed 20 trap vessels and the total number of vessels landing sablefish may exceed 200. Because of this anticipated increased effort and because important biological problems remain unsolved, a conservative approach to management of sablefish stocks is recommended at this time.

### 3.2 Catch statistics

### 3.2.1 Japan and other nations

### 3.2.1.1 Discards

In 1977, 1978, and 1979 (as of August 31) Canadian observers monitored catches on 25 Japanese longline vessels. No discards of sablefish were observed in 1977 and 1978. In 1979, discards of approximately $1 \%$ of the total catch of sablefish were reported on one vessel and approximately $0.1 \%$ on a second vesse1. While the discard rate may be increasing, discards can be considered to be negligible prior to mid-1979.

### 3.2.1.2 Catch

The Japanese longline fishery represents the most extensive set of sablefish catch data for the Canadian west coast fishery zone. This fishery started in 1968 with a total catch of $1,454 \mathrm{t}$. Catches increased to $4,919 \mathrm{t}$ in 1970 but dropped to $2,721 \mathrm{t}$ in 1971 and except for 1975 have remained below 3, 500 t (Table 3.3; Fig. 3.1). Since 1977, Canada has established annual all-nation quotas for the sablefish fishery and the effect of the quotas on the Japanese CPUE is unknown. Catches by other nations (Table 3.1) were less than 600 t except catches of $1,263 \mathrm{t}$ and $2,335 \mathrm{t}$ by the Republic of Korea, for 1975 and 1976, respectively.

### 3.2.1.3 Fishing locations

In 1977 and 1978 Japanese longline vessels provided detailed fishing locations (Figs. 3.2; 3.3). The accuracy of these positions was confirmed by Canadian observers and surveillance operations. During these two years, Japanese effort was dispersed throughout the Canadian zone outside of Canadian territorial waters ( $22 \mathrm{~km} ; 12$ naut mi) in the depth range of $270-310 \mathrm{~m}(150-450 \mathrm{fm})$. Since 1968 , the beginning of the longline fishery, the Japanese have recorded their fishing effort in blocks bounded by $1^{\circ}$ longitude and $1 / 2^{\circ}$ latitude (Table 3.4, Fig. 3.4). Although these data are grouped into larger areas than the data available for 1977 and 1978 (Figs. 3.2, 3.3), the pattern of fishing from 1968 to 1976 appears similar to that observed in 1977 and 1978. Off the west coast of the Queen Charlotte Islands (Sub-zone 5-5), 51\% of the fishing effort from 1968 until 1978 occurred in block 033540 (Table 3.5). Similarly in Queen Charlotte Sound (Sub-zone 5-4), 41\% of the effort since 1971 (excluding 1973) has occurred in block 030513 (Table 3.6). The catches within these blocks appear high, however effort is actually confined to a small number of blocks in Sub-zones 5-5 and 5-4 where a suitable depth range occurs outside of the Canadian territorial waters (Table 3.4). Off Vancouver Island (Sub-zones 5-3, 5-2, 5-1), effort has not been concentrated in any particular block (Table 3.7). There is little doubt, that since 1968, Japanese effort has been distributed throughout the Canadian zone outside of Canadian territorial waters with slightly higher effort in one or two areas.

### 3.2.1.4 CPUE

Catch-per-unit-effort for the Japanese longline fishery is defined as catch in metric tons per 10 hachi ( $t / 10$ hachi). One hachi of longline gear is approximately 100 m long and contains an average of 40 hooks but the number of hooks may vary between 33 and 53 (information from observers aboard longliners in 1977, 1978, and 1979). Since the Japanese freeze a dressed product their estimate of total catch was obtained by multiplying the dressed product weight by 1.333 , a recovery rate of $75 \%$. However, calculations made by Canadian observers indicated that the recovery rate is closer to $65 \%$; that is the dressed, head-off weight should be multiplied by 1.538. Reported total catches would therefore be lower than actual total catches by approximately $15 \%$. Of course, if these conversion factors have not changed since the beginning of the fishery, CPUE data will not be affected. Catch statistics reported in Tables 3.1, 3.3, 3.4, 3.5, 3.6, and
3.7 have not been adjusted upward by $15 \%$ as it is unknown at this time, when or if a change in the conversion factor was made. However, the stock assessments in this document use both adjusted and unadjusted catch statistics.

The use of 10 -hachi units to measure fishing effort could misrepresent effort if longer "soak-time" times are used. Soak-time is the period of time, usually in hours, that the gear is left in the water and is actually fishing. The use of boat-days, the number of calendar days spent fishing, might reduce the dependence on soak-time, however, a boat-day unit ignores the problem of increased efficiency by setting more hachi per day. Since the possibility of setting more hachi per day is thought to have a greater effect than longer "soak-time", effort calculated as 10 -hachi units is thought to be more accurate. The CPUE using boat-days has been calculated for the whole coast for comparison (Fig. 3.1).

The Japanese catches (Table 3.3; Fig. 3.1) show an initial decline from 1968 to 1971 in CPUE from 0.261 t per 10 -hachi to 0.162 t per 10-hachi. CPUE then remained relatively steady from 1972 to 1976 ranging from 0.194 to 0.210 t per 10 -hachi. During 1977, CPUE dropped by $24 \%$ to 0.147 t per 10-hachi and 1978 CPUE was only slightly higher at 0.156 t per 10-hachi. (If a correction for the 1977 and 1978 catches for the discrepancy in recovery rates is made, the drop in CPUE in 1977 is only $13 \%$ to 0.169 t per 10 -hachi.)

A similar pattern of change in CPUE is seen in Queen Charlotte Islands-Dixon Entrance area, Sub-zone 5-5, (Table 3.5; Fig. 3.5), where CPUE declined from 1968 to 1971 from 0.289 t per 10 -hachi to 0.180 t per 10hachi. During 1972-75, CPUE was relatively consistent varying between 0.194 t per 10 -hachi and 0.202 t per 10 -hachi. A drop in CPUE in 1976 to 0.184 t per 10 -hachi precedes the drop in 1977 to 0.140 t per $10-h a c h i$. However, there was an increase in CPUE in 1978 to 0.162 t per 10-hachi.

The pattern of catch and effort in Queen Charlotte Sound, Sub-zone 5-4, and off Vancouver Island, Sub-zones 5-1, 5-2, and 5-3 was different from Sub-zone 5-5 (Table 3.6, 3.7; Fig. 3.5). CPUE is almost as high between the years 1972 and 1976 as the initial high CPUEs in 1969 and 1970. However, there were low CPUEs in 1971 and in 1977. The increase in CPUE in 1978 from the low of 1977 is less pronounced in these areas than in the Queen Charlotte Islands-Dixon Entrance area.

### 3.2.2 Canada

### 3.2.2.1 Discards

Many reports of small blackcod discards by the groundfish fleet have been recorded from 1977 to the end of August 1979 (Table 3.8). In 1978, reports of large amounts of small blackcod discards were also received from salmon seiners in July and August. In May 1978, the mean length of the blackcod discards was 32.5 cm indicating these fish were part of the 1977 year-class. In May 1979, the length frequency in Area 3C showed two modes, the same year-class (1977) as seen in 1978 had a mean length of 44 cm and a
new 1978 year-class with a mean length of $\sim 35 \mathrm{~cm}$. However, most of the discards appear to be from a strong 1977 year-class.

If we assume that these fish would enter the fishery at about 55 cm or 5 years-of-age, we can calculate the loss to the fishery by calculating first the instantaneous rate of growth, $G$, for the age-group (note that recent information indicates $55-\mathrm{cm}$ fish may not be age-5 in all areas).

$$
\frac{W_{t}}{W_{o}}=e^{G t}
$$

$$
\text { when } \begin{aligned}
W_{t} & =\text { weight at age of recruitment } \\
W_{0} & =\text { weight at age of discard } \\
t & =\text { time in years }
\end{aligned}
$$

Knowing G, and obtaining an estimate of $M$ from Low et al. (1976) we can then calculate the expected biomass of each age class at the time of recruitment.

$$
\frac{B_{t}}{B_{o}}=e^{(G-M) t}
$$

$$
\text { when } \begin{aligned}
B_{t}= & \text { biomass at age of recruitment } \\
B_{o}= & \text { biomass at age of discard } \\
t & =\text { time in years } \\
M= & \text { instantaneous rate of natural } \\
& \text { mortality (assuming no fishing } \\
& \text { mortality at these ages) }
\end{aligned}
$$

If we use a calculated length-weight relationship for blackcod of

$$
W=8 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~L} .0673
$$

we obtain a weight of 0.35 kg for $32.5-\mathrm{cm}$ blackcod of age $1,0.88 \mathrm{~kg}$ for 44cm blackcod of age 2 , and 1.74 kg for $55-\mathrm{cm}$ blackcod at age of recruitment. These figures result in instantaneous rates of growth of 0.40 and 0.23 for age 1 and 2 , respectively, which can be substituted into the formula to calculate the expected biomass of the discards if they had not been caught.

The reported discards in 1978 of age-1 blackcod, 357 t , represents a potential biomass of 733 t at the time of recruitment. If we consider that all the fish in 1979 were age-2 fish (actually an unknown percentage were age one), the reported discards of $1,647 \mathrm{t}$ to the end of August represents a potential biomass of $1,698 \mathrm{t}$.

The biomass at time of recruitment would have been reduced by both instantaneous natural mortality ( $M=0.22$ ) and instantaneous fishing mortality ( $F=0.36$ ). F was estimated by multiplying $q$ (catchability coefficient) and $f_{\text {opt }}$ (optimum fishing effort) (Table 3.9).

The potential biomass from reported 1978 and 1979 discards would therefore represent an approximate yield of $1,000 \mathrm{t}$ to the sablefish fishery in 1982.

### 3.2.2.2 CPUE

It is difficult to estimate CPUE for the Canadian fishery because fishing logs were not collected on a formal basis until 1978 and trip reports were not obtained routinely prior to 1978. Information in Table 3.10 prior to 1978 was obtained directly from fishermen's personal logs. The data are not sufficient to permit a stock analysis but may be useful in future years assuming CPUE can be standardized for the two types of traps currently in use. Information by major areas is scanty and because of seasonal variation in catch it is difficult to interpret. One area off the west coast of the Queen Charlotte Islands probably was not fished by the Japanese as most of the accessible bottom is within $22 \mathrm{~km}(12 \mathrm{mi}$ ) of the coast. The Canadian fishery that developed here in 1973 is probably exploiting relatively unutilized stocks and an accurate account of this fishery may provide a better appreciation of the response of sablefish stocks to exploitation.

### 3.3 Stocks

Prior to recent tagging studies by Canada and the United States, all sablefish were thought to be part of one North Pacific stock. While some still retain this view, the preliminary results of Canadian tagging studies indicate that in Canadian waters there is very little movement of adults from the release area. Of the 21,462 fish tagged during 1977 and 1978, 1,010 have been recovered as of December 30,1978 and $88 \%$ of the recoveries were made within 50 km of the release area. Only $3 \%$ were recovered at distances greater than 200 km . However, we do not know the source or method of recruitment of the adults into any particular fishing area and it is possible that juvenile sablefish migrate considerable distances.

Sablefish found off the southwest coast of Vancouver Island tend to be smaller than sablefish caught off the Queen Charlotte Islands (Fig. 3.6). At first it was thought that these smaller fish ( $50-60 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) were young fish, but if the recently developed method for determining the age of sablefish is valid, some of these fish range in age from $4-30 \mathrm{yr}$ and are as old as the larger fish found in both areas.

The absence of substantial movement and the presence of fish with different rates of growth may indicate that discrete stocks of adult sablefish exist in areas such as off the southwest coast of Vancouver Island, in Queen Charlotte Sound, on the west coast of the Queen Charlotte Islands as well as in major inlets and on seamounts. It is also possible that major recruitment to these stocks is from outside any particular area. Thus there is concern that excessive effort in the absence of compensating recruitment in any one area may result in the depletion of the stock to a level that cannot be fished economically by Canadian fishermen. However, until better information is available to permit a decision to be made about the presence of discrete stocks, the assessment of allowable catch will be made for the entire coast. If it appears that sablefish fisheries in 1980 expend excessive effort in one particular area, then it will be necessary in 1981 to set quotas by smaller areas as a precautionary measure.

American scientists in 1979 used two methods to fit the sablefish catch and effort data from the Japanese longline fishery to a general production model. They indicate from tagging observations that there may be a number of biological stock units. However, defining these stocks was considered to be difficult so an analysis by geographical regions was used. Their results for the longline fishery off Canada produced a MSY of $5,155 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{yr}$ using the method of Fox (1970) and a MSY of $5,800 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{yr}$ using the method of Rivard and Bledsoe (1973, personal communication).

In a document entitled "Re-calculations of the longline effort and stock assessment of blackcod in the North Pacific" provided by Japanese scientists in May 1978, the Gulland (1961) linear model was again used to relate estimated total effort (= total catch/longline CPUE) to longline CPUE in terms of catch-per-hachi and resulted in an estimate of MSY $=26,000 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{yr}$ for Canadian waters. However, the source of statistics for total catch was not given and the data used are very different from either the total Japanese catch or the al1-nation catch (Table 3.1). Moreover, in the Japanese analysis longline CPUE for 1968 was used to derive total effort figures for 1966 and 1967 when no Japanese longline fishery existed off Canada. This approach is very questionable and seems particularly unwise since the total Japanese catch during 1966 and 1967 was on $1 \mathrm{y} 6 \%$ and $42 \%$, respectively, of the total all-nation catch in 1968. Since Gulland's mode 1 assumes that fishing effort during previous years is the major factor determining present abundance, the relatively small removals during 1966 and 1967 do not merit consideration.

When little is known of the population parameters of a stock, methods of stock assessment are limited to an analysis of catch and effort data. Two methods are used in this study to estimate maximum sustainable yield. The first method is the same method used by U.S. and Japanese scientists and involves a regression of CPUE on the average fishing effort over a number of preceding years ( $K$ ) which ideally should be equal to the average length of time an individual of a year-class is vulnerable to the fishery (Gulland 1961); the second is a dynamic, stochastic version of the Schaefer model (Schnute 1977).

Ages determined from the surface of otoliths indicate that sablefish remain in the fishery for an average of 5 or 6 years. Using Gulland's (1961) 1inear regression model (Table 3.11; Figs. 3.7, 3.8) the best fit $(r=0.9)$ producing a MSY of $3,900 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{yr}$ was obtained for $\mathrm{K}=5$ and CPUE expressed as catch-per-boat-day. Estimates of MSY using catch-per-10hachi and catch-per-boat-day range between 3,200 and $4,100 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{yr}$. If the Canadian estimates of Japanese catch based on lower dressed recovery percentages are used for 1977 and 1978 a MSY range of $3,400-3,500 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{yr}$ for catch-per-boat-day and catch-per-10-hachi is obtained.

Results generated by the modified Schaefer model produced an estimate of MSY of $5,200 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{yr}$ (Tab1e 3.9; Fig. 3.9) using the 1977 and 1978 CPUE values corrected for the discrepancy in recovery rates.

An estimated total effort for all-nation catches for the whole coast was calculated by applying the Japanese longline CPUE to all other catches of sablefish (i.e. catches by different gear types). The results of the Gulland's linear regression model using this estimated total effort in both 10 -hachi and boat-day units are presented in Table 3.12; Fig. 3.10.

The fit in all cases is good ( $r=0.8-0.9$ ) but is best with $K=6$ and CPUE expressed in $t / b o a t-d a y$. The MSY in this case is $4,600 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{yr}$ (Table 3.12).

Ages determined from otolith sections indicate that sablefish in the fishery range in age from approximately 3 to 35 yr. Each year-class could remain in the fishery for an average of approximately 16 yr. Neither method of age determination has been validated, but the section method does appear to be more accurate. If $K=16$, then the use of the Gulland model is suspect since the fishery has not been in operation for more than 12 yr . (For a Gulland analysis with $K=16$, it is necessary to have at least 18 yr of catch data to produce a regression line.) If the section ages are valid then Gulland's method may not be of use in assessing stocks at this time. If the ages are correct we may still be in a "fishing up" phase in some areas such as off the west coast of the Queen Charlotte Is lands.

In summary, the MSY estimated using the all-nation catches for the total zone was $4,600 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{yr}$. If we use only the Japanese data the MSY was estimated at $3,900 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{yr}$. However, these estimates may be quite different from the "actual" MSY if the ages determined from otolith sections are correct. Even if we do accept these estimates, fishing at a MSY level is generally considered to be dangerous so that current fishery practice is to fish below the MSY. It is, therefore, recommended that the quota remain at 3,500 t. If major changes are seen in the population's age composition, size composition or apparent biomass, then the quota will obviously have to be adjusted. Until the ageing, recruitment and stock assessment problems are resolved, we recommend that the setting of the quota for sablefish be approached very carefully and a "conservative" estimate be used. This approach should not result in a serious loss of production since adult sablefish are relatively old slow-growing fish by either ageing method and natural mortality rates will be low.

### 3.5 Other aspects of the fishery

### 3.5.1 Lost gear

At present we do not have an estimate of how many traps are lost annually. Reports from fishermen suggest that the loss of traps is important, particularly since it has been demonstrated that they continue to fish for periods of up to several months -- probably longer. As an example, one fisherman reported having picked up 5 strings of traps apparently lost within the last year and most traps were still fishing. A survey of sablefish fishermen produced a unanimous response that a regulation was necessary. However, we have no final solution to the problem of preventing the entrapment of sablefish by lost gear. It is proposed that in 1980 studies be conducted so that a regulation will be in effect by January 1 , 1981.

### 3.5.2 Discards

In last year's stock assessment document it was recommended that a minimum size limit be established for sablefish. This problem has been
amplified in the last couple of years with the emergence of the strong 1977 year-class. As a result of the large numbers of small sablefish being caught by trawlers and the lack of a minimum size limit, some fishermen have been developing markets for these small sablefish. The fishery is beginning to retain small sablefish which until recently have always been discarded as unmarketable. In some cases these small fish are used only for reduction. Depending on which areas these small fish recruit to there could be considerable impact on the fishery by the removal of these fish. It makes little sense to allow a fishery for juvenile sablefish when we are experiencing explosive growth in the fishery for adult sablefish. Therefore it is again recommended that minimum size regulations be implemented.

### 3.6 Recommendations

1. The recommended TAC for sablefish for all areas is $3,500 \mathrm{t}$.
2. Sablefish 55 cm in fork length and smaller must be discarded.
3. Regulations requiring trap gear to have escape devices should be in effect by January l, 1981. This is a serious problem and since it has taken more than a year to implement a minimum size regulation (that is still not in effect) action should be initiated immediately to require stricter controls to reduce gear loss and prevent lost gear from continuing to fish.
4. Policies with respect to fleet size should be finalized during 1980.

Table 3.1. Sablefish catch (t) by nation (all fishing gears) in British Columbia waters, 1964-78.

| Calendar year | Canada ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | USA ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Japan ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | USSR ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | R.O.K.b | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1964 | 398 | 83 | - | - | - | 481 |
| 1965 | 455 | 92 | - | - | - | 547 |
| 1966 | 635 | 95 | 174 | - | - | 904 |
| 1967 | 393 | 65 | 1,189 | - | - | 1,647 |
| 1968 | 465 | 65 | 2,271 | - | - | 2,801 |
| 1969 | 312 | 43 | 4,712 | - | - | 5,067 |
| 1970 | 257 | 104 | 5,119 | - | - | 5,480 |
| 1971 | 314 | 161 | 3,012 | - | - | 3,487 |
| 1972 | 1,086 | 582 | 4,172 | - | - | 5,840 |
| 1973 | 938 | 82 | 2,950 | - | - | 3,976 |
| 1974 | 482 | 70 | 3,866 | 65 | 129 | 4,612 |
| 1975 | 892 | 126 | 4,460 | 0 | 1,263 | 6,741 |
| 1976 | 771 | 217 | 3,379 | 0 | 2,335 | 6,702 |
| 1977 | 1,088 | 345 e | 3,001 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 0 | $168{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 4,602 |
| 1978 | 831 | 319 | 2,091 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | - | - | 3,241 |

${ }^{\text {a Can. Dept. Fish., B. C. Catch Statistics (1965-78) and Fish. Res. }}$ Board Canada, Catch and effort statistics of the B. C. trawl fishery, 1967-1978) .
bKetchen (1977).
${ }^{\text {CINPFC }}$ statistical bulletins including unpublished data for 1975 and 1976.
$\mathrm{d}_{\text {Fishing }} \log$ books from foreign vessels.
ePMFC data series.

Table 3.2. Canadian Sablefish landings ( $t$ ), 1973-79.

| Year | Gear | Total number of vessels | Total <br> landings <br> $t$ (dressed) $^{a}$ | Round <br> (dressed $\times 1.5$ ) | Vessel landings greater than 3 t |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Number of vessels | Landings (t) |
|  |  |  |  |  | (\% of total) | (\% of total) |
| 1973 | longline | 75 | 90.4 | 135.6 | 11(14.7) | 101.0(74.4) |
|  | trawl | 39 | 62.8 | 94.2 | 8(20.5) | $68.7(73.0)$ |
|  | trap | 14 | 497.1 | 745.6 | $9(64.3)$ | 741.3(99.4) |
| 1974 | longline | 49 | 35.6 | 53.4 | 5 (10.2) | 29.1(54.5) |
|  | trawl | 49 | 84.6 | 126.9 | 9(18.4) | 110.7(87.3) |
|  | trap | 7 | 217.7 | 326.5 | $5(71.4)$ | 322.6(98.8) |
| 1975 | longline | 68 | 111.9 | 167.8 | 16(23.5) | 135.9(81.0) |
|  | trawl | 50 | 201.2 | 301.8 | 17(34.0) | 284.6(94.3) |
|  | trap | 9 | 314.8 | 472.2 | 6(66.7) | 470.7(99.7) |
| 1976 | longline | 63 | 65.7 | 98.5 | 8(12.7) | 66.6(67.6) |
|  | trawl | 68 | 261.8 | 392.7 | 20(29.4) | 374.1(95.3) |
|  | $\text { trap }^{b}$ | 7 | 163.9 | 245.8 | 5(71.4) | 245.0(99.6) |
| 1977 | longline | 80 | 53.1 | 79.6 | $6(7.5)$ | 45.8(57.4) |
|  | trawl | 68 | 512.6 | 768.9 | $25(36.8)$ | 750.8(97.6) |
|  | trap | 10 | 143.1 | 214.6 | 6(60.0) | 210.3(98.0) |
| 1978 | longline | 76 | 38.8 | 58.2 | 3(3.9) | 27.0(46.4) |
|  | trawl | 66 | 92.5 | 138.8 | 13(19.7) | 114.4(82.5) |
|  | trap | 10 | 423.1 | 634.6 | 7 (70.0) | 631.6(99.5) |
| 1979 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | longline | 116 | 72.7 | 109.0 | 11 (9.5) | 102.0(66.5) |
| (Aug 31) | trawl | 51 | 46.2 | 69.3 | 9(17.6) | 83.1(79.8) |
|  | trap | 16 | 513.0 | 769.5 | 10(62.5) | 438.8(98.3) |

 catch statistics (sales slips).
$b_{\text {This }}$ data does not correspond to that stated in Table 3.10. Detailed information on the missing data is not available at this time.

CPreliminary data.

Table 3.3. Sablefish catch (t) and effort (10-hachi) statistics for Japanese longline fishery in Canadian waters ( $48^{\circ} 00^{\prime}-54^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ lat.), 1968-78.

| Calendar <br> year | Catch <br> $(t)$ | Effort <br> $(10$-hachi) | CPUE <br> $(t / 10$-hachi) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1968^{\text {a }}$ | 1,454 | 5,573 | 0.261 |
| 1969 | 4,224 | 22,412 | 0.207 |
| 1970 | 4,919 | 22,886 | 0.215 |
| 1971 | 2,721 | 16,774 | 0.162 |
| 1972 | 3,491 | 16,831 | 0.207 |
| 1973 | 2,585 | 12,367 | 0.209 |
| 1974 | 3,527 | 16,765 | 0.210 |
| 1975 | 4,433 | 22,807 | 0.194 |
| 1976 | 3,209 | 16,519 | 0.194 |
| $1977^{b}$ | 2,982 | 20,260 | 0.147 |
| 1978 | 2,091 | $13,396^{\text {c }}$ |  |
| $1968-78$ | 3,240 | 16,781 | 0.162 |

${ }^{a} 1968-76$ statistics from U.S. National Marine Fisheries Service computer printouts.
b1977 and 1978 statistics from fishing log books.
${ }^{c}$ Effort estimated for one longliner (no $\log$ book available).

Table 3.4. Japanese longline catch ( $t$ ) and effort (10-hachi) for $1^{\circ}$ longitude $\times 1 / 2^{\circ}$ latitude blocks (Fig. 3-4).

| Sub-zone | Block no. | 1968 |  | 1969 |  | 1970 |  | 1971 |  | 1972 |  | 1973 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Effort | Catch | Effort | Catch | Effort | Catch | Effort | Catch | Effort | Catch | Effort | Catch |
| 5-1,5-2,5-3 | 025480 | 70 | 21 | 797 | 149 | 110 | 18 | 84 | 18 | 320 | 48 | 124 | 27 |
|  | 025483 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 026480 | - | - | 470 | 100 | 40 | 7 | 72 | 11 | 360 | 49 | 289 | 64 |
|  | 026483 | - | - | 818 | 150 | 250 | 58 | 1,264 | 200 | 760 | 159 | 943 | 211 |
|  | 026490 | - | - | 550 | 108 | 79 | 28 | - | - | 40 | 10 | 244 | 55 |
|  | 027473 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 50 | 7 | - | - |
|  | 027480 | - | - | - | - | 16 | 2. | - | - | 100 | 19 | - | - |
|  | 027483 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 200 | 28 | - | - |
|  | 027490 | 65 | 19 | 1,850 | 413 | 949 | 178 | 925 | 131 | 515 | 133 | 693 | 158 |
|  | 027493 | 466 | 102 | 4,367 | 796 | 1,016 | 192 | 1,284 | 173 | 826 | 191 | 544 | 100 |
|  | 028490 | - | - | 76 | 19 | 85 | 16 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 028493 | 66 | 15 | 50 | 14 | 8 | - | - | - | 336 | 69 | - | - |
|  | 028500 | 486 | 82 | 1,000 | 201 | 1,858 | 455 | 361 | 47 | 289 | 63 | 732 | 172 |
|  | 029483 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 50 | 7 | - | - |
|  | 029493 | - | - | - | - | 160 | 24 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 029500 | - | - | 85 | 19 | 338 | 82 | 162 | 14 | 198 | 43 | 53 | 10 |
| 5-4 | 028503 | 78 | 16 | 85 | 15 | 798 | 177 | 84 | 9 | - | - | - | - |
|  | 028510 | - | - | 53 | 8 | 49 | 10 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 029503 | 37 | 4 | 1,001 | 203 | 1,059 | 191 | 212 | 28 | 320 | 65 | 872 | 178 |
|  | 029510 | 189 | 22 | 1,055 | 197 | 1,145 | 236 | 1,203 | 146 | 495 | 97 | 714 | 162 |
|  | 029513 | - | - | 250 | 63 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | $030503$ |  | - | - | - | 112 | 15 | - | - | 243 | 56 | - | - |
|  | 030510 | 68 | 14 | 1,051 | 246 | 3,988 | 886 | 856 | 108 | 285 | 65 | 305 | 66 |
|  | 030513 | 60 | 7 | 205 | 33 | 3,305 | 741 | 1,843 | 338 | 1,140 | 295 | 224 | 44 |
|  | $031513$ | 34 | 10 | - | - | 300 | 54 | 260 | 26 | 20 | 7 | 41 | 10 |
|  | 033510 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Table 3.4 cont'd

| Sub-zone | Block no. | 1968 |  | 1969 |  | 1970 |  | 1971 |  | 1972 |  | 1973 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Effort | Catch | Effort | Catch | Effort | Catch | Effort | Catch | Effort | Catch | Effort | Catch |
| 5-5 | 031520 | - | - | 145 | 34 | 247 | 49 | 129 | 24 | - | - | 33 | 8 |
|  | 032520 | 70 | 18 | 235 | 35 | 360 | 87 | 40 | 4 | - | - | - | - |
|  | 032523 | 40 | 10 | - | - | 80 | 11 | 42 | 9 | 40 | 4 | - | - |
|  | 032530 | - | - | 39 | 4 | 92 | 17 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 032540 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 033520 | - | - | 30 | 8 | 47 | 13 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 033523 | - | - | - | - | 207 | 55 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 033530 | 593 | 165 | 627 | 162 | 632 | 113 | 347 | 62 | 498 | 91 | 91 | 25 |
|  | 033533 | 247 | 56 | 1,329 | 268 | 1,553 | 282 | 1,798 | 324 | 1,772 | 388 | 1,718 | 350 |
|  | 033540 | 1,532 | 478 | 2,218 | 580 | 2,971 | 708 | 4,484 | 786 | 5,996 | 1,221 | 3,731 | 752 |
|  | 034530 | 1, |  | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | , | 40 | 5 |
|  | 034533 | 926 | 304 | 110 | 18 | 110 | 23 | - | - | 100 | 13 | 78 | 13 |
|  | 034540 | 546 | 111 | 1,202 | 232 | 930 | 191 | 1,324 | 263 | 1,843 | 358 | 675 | 131 |
|  | 035530 | - |  | - |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | 40 | 8 |
|  | 035533 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 103 | 19 |
|  | 036530 | - | - | 145 | 25 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 036533 | - | - | 20 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 030523 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Table 3.4 cont $^{\prime}{ }^{d}$

| Sub-zone | Block no. | 1974 |  | 1975 |  | 1976 |  | 1977 |  | 1978 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Effort | Catch | Effort | Catch | Effort | Gatch | Effort | Catch | Effort | Catch |
| 5-1,5-2,5-3 | 025480 | 1,893 | 411 | 611 | 100 | 75 | 13 | 540 | 74 | 336 | 41 |
|  | 025483 | - | - | 39 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 026480 | 542 | 115 | 288 | 55 | 391 | 74 | 499 | 87 | 166 | 18 |
|  | 026483 | 1,404 | 318 | 1,644 | 338 | 401 | 72 | 1,942 | 308 | 1,145 | 176 |
|  | 026490 | 158 | 36 | 317 | 56 | 119 | 26 | 359 | 56 | 286 | 54 |
|  | 027473 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 027480 | 42 | 9 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 027483 | 35 | 8 | 75 | 16 | 263 | 43 | - | - | - | - |
|  | 027490 | 880 | 186 | 1,903 | 371 | 712 | 130 | 1,118 | 156 | 505 | 81 |
|  | 0274\%3 | 1,541 | 336 | 826 | 161 | 1,048 | 193 | 1,925 | 263 | 1,445 | 238 |
|  | 028490 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 028493 | 75 | 17 | 274 | 50 | 38 | 6 | - | - | - | - |
|  | 028500 | 529 | 114 | 817 | 158 | 1,295 | 267 | 1,649 | 262 | 569 | 93 |
|  | 029483 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 029493 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 40 | 10 |
|  | 029500 | 36 | 6 | 32 | 66 | - | - | 40 | 12 | - | - |
| 5-4 | 028503 | - | - | 114 | 23 | 203 | 35 | 507 | 80 | 50 | 5 |
|  | 028510 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 40 | 8 |
|  | 029503 | 1,098 | 224 | 1,221 | 235 | 1,164 | 230 | 1,331 | 183 | 742 | 101 |
|  | 029510 | 1,055 | 235 | 2,015 | 402 | 313 | 56 | 1,807 | 260 | 1,720 | 284 |
|  | 029513 | , | - | , | - | - | - | , | - | - | - |
|  | $030503$ | - | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | 50 | 5 |
|  | 030510 | 395 | 85 | 1,825 | 346 | 307 | 64 | 471 | 73 | 366 | 60 |
|  | 030513 | $1,172$ | 268 | 2,051 | 405 | 3,382 | 741 | 2,761 | 423 | 1,954 | 304 |
|  | 031513 | 35 | 8 | 171 | 33 | - | - | 120 | 19 | - | - |
|  | 033510 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 45 | 7 | - | - |

Table 3.4 cont'd

| Sub-zone | Block no. | 1974 |  | 1975 |  | 1976 |  | 1977 |  | 1978 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Effort | Catch | Effort | Catch | Effort | Catch | Effort | Catch | Effort | Catch |
| 5-5 | 031520 | - | - | 147 | 26 | - | - | 38 | 3 | - | - |
|  | 032520 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 032523 | - | - | 101 | 17 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 032530 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 032540 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 033520 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - 42 | 5 |
|  | 033523 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 033530 | 93 | 23 | 573 | 105 | 461 | 90 | 474 | 60 | 439 | 73 |
|  | 033533 | 1,709 | 334 | 2,242 | 440 | 1,992 | 394 | 2,024 | 305 | 935 | 144 |
|  | 033540 | 3,559 | 694 | 4,759 | 935 | 4,098 | 717 | 2,743 | 377 | 1,363 | 219 |
|  | $034530$ | - |  |  | - | , | - | , | - | , | - |
|  | 034533 | 40 | 9 | 78 | 15 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 034540 | 276 | 53 | 344 | 65 | 269 | 52 | - | - | - | - |
|  | $035530$ | - |  | - | - | - | - | 126 | 15 | - | - |
|  | 035533 | 78 | 14 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 036530 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 036533 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 030523 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 39 | 4 | - | - |

Table 3.5. Sablefish catch (t) and effort (10-hachi) statistics for Japanese longline fishery in the Queen Charlotte Islands-Dixon Entrance area, Sub-zone 5-5 ( $52^{\circ} 00^{\prime}-54^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ lat.), 1968-78.

|  | Total for Sub-zone |  | 5-5 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

${ }^{a}$ Calendar year.
bl968-76 data from U.S. Nat. Marine Fish. Serv. computer printouts.
c 1977 and 1978 data from Japanese log books.
$\mathrm{d}_{\text {One }} \log$ book unavailable, therefore, effort estimated.
${ }^{\text {e }}$ Catch and effort in this block probably higher -- missing information from one $\log$ book.

Table 3.6. Sablefish catch ( $t$ ) and effort ( 10 -hachi) statistics for Japanese longline fishery adjacent to Queen Charlotte Sound, Sub-zone 5-4 ( $50^{\circ} 30^{\prime}-52^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ lat.), 1968-78.

| Year ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Total for Sub-zone 5-4 |  |  | Block with the largest catch |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Sor Sub-z | - 5 | Catch ( t ) |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Effort } \\ (10-\text { hachi }) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CPUE } \\ (\mathrm{t} / 10-\mathrm{hachi}) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Catch ( t ) | Effort (10-hachi) | CPUE (t/10-hachi) | 029510 | 029503 | 030510 | 030513 |  |  |
| 1968 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 73 | 466 | . 157 | 22 | - | - | - | 189 | . 116 |
| 1969 | 765 | 3,700 | . 207 | - | - | 246 | - | 1,051 | . 234 |
| 1970 | 2,310 | 10,756 | . 215 | - | - | 886 | - | 3,988 | . 222 |
| 1971 | 655 | 4,458 | . 147 | - | - | - | 338 | 1,843 | . 183 |
| 1972 | 585 | 2,503 | . 234 | - | - | - | 295 | 1,140 | . 259 |
| 1973 | 460 | 2,156 | . 213 | - | 178 | - | - | 872 | . 204 |
| 1974 | 820 | 3,755 | . 218 | - | - | - | 268 | 1,172 | . 229 |
| 1975 | 1,444 | 7,397 | . 195 | - | - | - | 405 | 2,051 | . 197 |
| 1976 | 1,126 | 5,327 | . 211 | - | - | - | 741 | 3,382 | . 219 |
| $1977{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1,081 | 7,342 | . 147 | - | - | - | 423 | 2,761 | . 153 |
| 1978 | 765 | 4,924 | . 155 | - | - - | - | 304 | 1,954 | . 155 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Calendar year.
$\mathrm{b}_{1968-76}$ data from U.S. Nat. Marine Fish. Serv. computer printouts.
c1977 and 1978 data from Japanese log books.

Table 3.7. Sablefish catch ( $t$ ) and effort ( $10-\mathrm{hachi}$ ) statistics for Japanese longline fishery in the Vancouver Island Area, Sub-zones 5-1, 5-2 and 5-3 ( $48^{\circ} 00^{\prime}-50^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.), 1968-78.

| Year ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Total for Sub-zones 5-1,2,3 |  |  | Block with the largest catch |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Catch ( t ) |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Effort } \\ (10-\text { hachi }) \end{gathered}$ | CPUE |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Catch } \\ & (\mathrm{t}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Effort } \\ \text { (10-hachi) } \end{gathered}$ | CPUE | 028500 | 027493 | 027490 | 026483 | 025480 |  |  |
| 1968 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 239 | 1,153 | . 207 | - | 102 | - | - | - | 466 | . 219 |
| 1969 | 1,969 | 10,062 | . 196 | - | 796 | - | - | - | 4,367 | . 182 |
| 1970 | 1,060 | 4,901 | . 216 | 455 | - | - | - | - | 1,858 | . 245 |
| 1971 | 594 | 4,152 | . 143 | - | - | - | 200 | - | 1,264 | . 158 |
| 1972 | 826 | 4,044 | . 204 | - | 191 | - | - | - | 826 | . 231 |
| 1973 | 798 | 3,622 | . 220 | - | - | - | 211 | - | 943 | . 224 |
| 1974 | 1,556 | 7,135 | . 218 | - | - | - | - | 411 | 1,893 | . 217 |
| 1975 | 1,379 | 7,126 | . 194 | - | - | 371 | - | - | 1,903 | . 195 |
| 1976 | 824 | 4,342 | . 190 | 267 | - | - | - | - | 1,295 | . 206 |
| $1977{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1,164 | 7,672 | . 152 | - | - | - | 308 | - | 1,942 | . 158 |
| 1978 | 771 | 5,048 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | . 162 | - | $238{ }^{\text {e }}$ | - | - | - | 1,445 ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | . 165 |

${ }^{\text {a Calendar }}$ year.
$\mathrm{b}_{1968-76}$ data from U.S. Nat. Marine Fish. Serv. computer printouts.
c 1977 and 1978 data from Japanese log books.
${ }^{d} 0_{\text {ne }} \log$ book unavailable, therefore, effort estimated.
${ }^{\text {e }}$ Catch and effort in this block probably higher-missing information from one log book.

Table 3.8. Reported Canadian sablefish discards ( $t$ ), 1977-79.

| Year | Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4 B | 3C | 3D | 5A | 5B | 5c | 5D | 5E |  |
| 1977 | - | - | 2.7 | - | - | - | 9.1 | - | 11.8 |
| 1978 | 6.8 | 63.8 | - | 86.1 | 101.8 | 8.6 | 89.9 | . 4 | 357.5 |
| $1979{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.2 | 348.7 | 15.5 | 136.6 | 276.5 | 162.6 | 703.2 | 2.3 | 1,647.5 |

aUntil Aug 31.

Table 3.9. Results obtained by fitting a dynamic stochastic stock production model (Schnute 1977) to sablefish catch statistics for Japanese longline fishery in Canadian waters ( $48^{\circ} 00^{\prime}-54^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ lat.).

| Parameters estimated | $1968-78$ a |
| :--- | :---: |
| Biological parameters |  |
| Natural growth rate (r) | .7299 |
| Carrying capacity $(\mathrm{K})(\mathrm{t})$ | 28,564 |

## Fishing parameters

| Catchability coefficient | $8.118 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| (q) (x10-hachi-1) |  |
| MSY (t/yr) | 5,200 |
| Biomass at MSY (t) | 14,300 |
| Optimum fishing effort | 45,000 |
| $\quad\left(\mathrm{f}_{\text {opt }}\right)$ (xl0-hachi) |  |
| CPUE at fopt (t/10-hachi) | 0.116 |

## Variance parameters

| $\sigma$ | 0.163 |
| :---: | :--- |
| Failure Index $^{b}$ | 0.888 |

a 1977 and 1978 CPUE corrected for discrepancy between observed recovery rates and recovery rate used by Japanese to calculate total catch weight from processed weight.
$\mathrm{b}_{\text {The }}$ failure index is a rough gauge of the model's overall success in predicting present catches from past year's data. Although a value of 1.0 indicates a very poor fit, values less than 0.9 are generally deemed reasonable.

Table 3.10. Canadian sablefish trap fishery statistics -- Canadian rectangular collapsible traps and Korean conical traps, 1971-79.

| Year | Total catch (t) | Monitored catch ( $t$ ) |  |  | Monitored Effort (no. of traps) |  | CPUE (kg/trap) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Canadian traps | Korean traps | Canadian traps | Korean traps | Canadian traps | Korean traps |
| 1971 | $?^{\text {a }}$ |  | 15 | - | 397 | - | 38 | - |
| 1972 | ? ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | $34^{\text {b }}$ | - | 552 | - | 61 | - |
| 1973 | 732 |  | 133 | - | 2,556 | - | 52 | - |
| 1974 | 318 |  | $124{ }^{\text {b }}$ | - | 1,993 | - | 62 | - |
| 1975 | 458 |  | 79 | - | 2,185 | - | 36 | - |
| 1976 | 334 |  | $132{ }^{\text {b }}$ | - | 2,379 | - | 55 | - |
| 1977 | 215 |  | $101{ }^{\text {b }}$ | - | 1,916 | - | 53 | - |
| 1978 | 635 |  | 132 | 369 | 2,287 | 20,060 | 58 | 18 |
| $1979{ }^{\circ}$ | 735 | * | 132 | 527 | 2,829 | 31,204 | 47 | 17 |

acatch by trap not separated in catch statistics.
$\mathrm{b}_{\text {Estimated }}$ total.
${ }^{\text {c Totals }}$ until Aug. 28.

Table 3.11. Sablefish CPUE ( $t / 10$-hachi and $t / b o a t-d a y$ ) and average fishing effort ( 10 -hachi, boat-day) for Japanese longline fishery in Canadian waters, 1972-78.

${ }^{\text {a Compiled }}$ for Canadian waters ( $48^{\circ} 00^{\prime}-54^{\circ} 30^{\prime}$ ) from U.S. NMFS computer printout SM 762 .
$b_{\text {Data }}$ for INPFC Areas Charlotte and Vancouver ( $47^{\circ} 30^{\prime}-54^{\circ} 30^{\prime}$ ) presented by Japanese delegation at Nanaimo, B.C., May 1978. Anon.
${ }^{c_{\text {Effer }}}$ averaged over previous K years.
$\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{Y}} / \mathrm{f}=\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{bf}$ where $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{f}=\mathrm{CPUE}, \mathrm{f}=$ average effort over previous K yr .
MSY $=a^{2} / 4 b \quad f_{\text {opt }}=$ optimum fishing effort $=a / 2 b$.
${ }^{\text {e Figures }}$ in parentheses refer to calculations made using 1977 and 1978 CPUE corrected for discrepancy between observed recovery rates and recovery rate used by Japanese to calculate total catch weight from processed weight (see text).
$\mathrm{f}_{\text {From Japanese }} \mathrm{log}$ books.

Table 3.12. Sablefish CPUE ( $t / 10$-hachi, $t /$ boat-day) for Japanese longline fishery and all-nation catch ( $t$ ) and calculated effort ( $10-\mathrm{hach} \mathrm{i}$, boat-day) in Canadian waters ( $48^{\circ} 00^{\prime}-54^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ lat.), $1968-78$.

| Calendar year | All-nation <br> catch ( $t)^{\text {a }}$ | 10-hachi units ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  | Boat-days ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Japanese longline CPUE ( $\mathrm{t} / 10$-hachi) | Effort ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  | Japanese longline CPUE ( $t /$ boat-day) |  | Effort ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{K}=1$ | $\mathrm{K}=5$ | $\mathrm{K}=6$ |  | $\mathrm{K}=1$ | $\mathrm{K}=5$ | $\mathrm{K}=6$ |
| 1968 | 2,801 | 0.261 | 10,732 | - | - | 10.05 | 279 | - | - |
| 1969 | 5,067 | 0.207 | 24,478 | - | - | 8.47 | 598 | - | - |
| 1970 | 5,480 | 0.215 | 25,488 | - | - | 9.04 | 606 | - | - |
| 1971 | 3,487 | 0.162 | 21,525 | - | - | 7.42 | 470 | - | - |
| 1972 | 5,840 | 0.207 | 28,213 | 22,087 | - | 9.29 | 629 | 516 | - |
| 1973 | 3,976 | 0.209 | 19,024 | 23,746 | 21,577 | 9.07 | 438 | 548 | 503 |
| 1974 | 4,612 | 0.210 | 21,962 | 23, 242 | 23,448 | 7.94 | 581 | 545 | 554 |
| 1975 | 6,741 | 0.194 | 34,747 | 25,094 | 25,160 | 7.42 | 908 | 605 | 605 |
| 1976 | 6,702 | 0.194 | 34,546 | 27,698 | 26,670 | 7.48 | 896 | 690 | 654 |
| 1977 | 4,602 | 0.147 | 31,306 | 28,317 | 28,300 | 5.74 | 802 | 725 | 709 |
| 1978 | 3,241 | 0.156 | 20,776 | 28,667 | 27,060 | $6.20^{\text {e }}$ | 523 | 742 | 692 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{K}=5$ |  | $\mathrm{K}=6$ |  |  | $\mathrm{K}=5$ |  |  | $\underline{\mathrm{K}=6}$ |
| a | . 402 |  | . 420 |  |  | 15.7 |  |  | 16.1 |
| b | 8.35 xl |  | $9.26 \times 10^{-6}$ |  |  | . 0129 |  |  | . 0142 |
| r | -0.858 |  | -0.858 |  |  | -0.910 |  |  | -0.955 |
| MSY ( $t / \mathrm{yr}$ ) | 4,800 |  | 4,800 |  |  | 4,800 |  |  | 4,600 |
| $f_{\text {opt }}{ }^{10 \text {-hachi })}$ | 24,100 |  | 22,700 |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{Opt}} \\ \text { (boat-da } \end{gathered}$ | $\text { ays/yr) } 609$ |  |  | 567 |

aTable 3.1.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Compiled for Canadian waters ( $48^{\circ} 00^{\prime}-54^{\circ} 30^{\prime}$ ) from U.S. Nat. Marine Fish. Serv. computer printout.
${ }^{c}$ Data for INPFC Areas Charlotte and Vancouver ( $47^{\circ} 30^{\prime}-54^{\circ} 30^{\prime}$ ) presented by Japanese delegation at Nanaimo, B. C. May 1978. Anon.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Total effort $=$ all-nation catch/Japanese longline CPUE.
${ }^{e} 1978$ figure from Japanese log books.



Fig. 3.1. Trends in catch and CPUE for Japanese longline fishery for sablefish in Canadian waters ( $48^{\circ} 00^{\prime}-54^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ Lat.) 1968-1978.


Fig. 3.2 Mid-day positions of Japanese longliners fishing for sablefish in Sub-zones 5-5 and 5-4 during 1977. One dot represents one mid-day position.


Fig. 3.2 (cont'd). Mid-day positions in Sub-zones 5-3, 5-2, and 5-1 during 1977. One dot represents one mid-day position.


Fig. 3.3. Mid-day positions of Japanese longliners fishing for sablefish in Sub-zones 5-5 and 5-4 during 1978. Small dot represents one mid-day position; large dot represents more than one mid-day position.


Fig. 3.3 (cont'd). Mid-day positions of Japanese longliners fishing for sablefish in Sub-zones 5-3, 5-2 and 5-1 during 1978. Small dot represents one mid-day position.


Fig. 3.4. Locations of blocks referred to in Japanese catch and effort records. Numbers refer to the longitude and latitude of the southeast corner of each block.


Fig. 3.5. Trends in catch ( $t$ ) and CPUE ( $t / 10$-hachi) for Japanese longline fishery for sablefish by zone, 1968-1978.


Fig. 3.6. Length frequency distributions of trap-caught sablefish from the west coasts of the Queen Charlotte Islands (May 1979) and southern Vancouver Island (June 1979).


Fig. 3.7. Relationship between sablefish CPUE and fishing effort expressed in hachi averaged over previous 5 and 6 years respectively for Japanese longline fishery in Canadian waters ( $48^{\circ} 00^{\prime}-54^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ Lat.) $-\mathbf{-}$ Gu1land (1961) 1inear regression model.


Fig. 3.8. Relationship between sablefish CPUE and fishing effort expressed in boat-days averaged over previous 5 and 6 years respectively for Japanese longline fishery in the Charlotte and Vancouver INPFG area ( $47^{\circ} 30^{\prime}-54^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ Lat.) -- Gulland's (1961) linear regression model.


Fig. 3.9. Projected 1979 sablefish catch for given levels of effort by the Japanese longline fleet in Canadian waters based on 1968-1978 CPUE data and estimates of population parameters from the dynamic, stochastic stock production model (Schnute 1977). 1977 and 1978 CPUE are corrected for discrepancy in recovery weights.


Fig. 3.10. Relationship between sablefish CPUE for Japanese longline fishery and estimated fishing effort by all nations in Canadian waters ( $48^{\circ} 00^{\prime}-54^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ Lat.) averaged over 5 and 6 years respectively -Gulland's (1961) linear regression model.

## 4. PACIFIC COD STOCK ASSESSMENT

### 4.1 Introduction

Pacific cod (Gadus macrocephalus) is the most important species, based on quantities landed, in the Canada-United States trawl fishery off British Columbia. No other foreign nation participates in this fishery. Trawl fisheries exist in all regions of British Columbia except the west coast of Queen Charlotte Islands (Area 5E) (Fig. 1). Principal production regions are Hecate Strait (Areas 5C and 5D) and West Vancouver Island (Areas 3C and 3D), where annual Canada-U.S. landings during 1950-78 ranged from 1,814-9,072, and 907-5,443 t, respectively (Fig. 4.1). Secondary production regions are Queen Charlotte Sound (Areas 5A and 5B) and Georgia Strait (Area 4B) where 1950-78 landings ranged from 454-2, 722 and $907-1,168 t$, respectively. In all regions, landings fluctuated substantially, and somewhat cyclically.

Pacific cod in Br itish Columbia waters exhibit rapid growth and a short life (Ketchen 1967). They recruit to the fishery at age $2+$ and cease to be commercially abundant at age $5+$ or less. The individual regional fisheries largely depend upon one or two year-classes in any one year. Hence, the large fluctuations in landings reflect the highly variable abundance of individual year-classes. Total mortality rate is high, and natural mortality rate comprises a large proportion, possibly of the order of $55-65 \%$ per annum (Ketchen 1964). To date, no evidence is available to demonstrate that the fishery is the major factor in determining year-class abundance, except possibly in Area 3C.

Regional stock delineation has been roughly achieved by tagging studies conducted by Canadian and United States scientists in waters of Hecate Strait, Georgia Strait, Puget Sound, southwest Vancouver Island, and northwest Washington State. Migration of cod among regions has been negligible in most cases. Percentages of recaptures reported from outside the tagging region were: $0.3 \%$ for Area 5 C and $5 \mathrm{D} ; 9.2 \%$ for Area $4 \mathrm{~B} ; 2.3 \%$ for Area 4A; 2.1\% (Canadian) and 14.3\% (U.S.) for Area 3C; 11.9\% for Area 3B; and $42.9 \%$ for Area 3A. The 1979 report contains the details (Ketchen, ed. 1980).

The purpose of this report is to review the available statistical and biological information on Pacific cod in British Columbia waters, and to assess the condition and yield of the regional stocks off southwest Vancouver Island (Area 3C), Georgia Strait (Area 4B), Queen Charlotte Sound (Areas 5A and 5B), and in Hecate Strait (Areas 5C and 5D).

### 4.2 Georgia Strait and vicinity (Area 4B)

Pacific cod was the most important species in the foodfish trawl landings from the Canadian portion of Georgia Strait and vicinity (Area 4B) (Fig. 4.1), and accounted for $37 \%$ of the total groundfish production during 1960-72 (Forrester and Smith 1974). In second place was English sole (13\%). For Area 4B, October-September has been established as the fishing year because of numerous time-area closures in effect throughout most of the
area, and traditional tendency for the fleet to concentrate its efforts during October-March when the fish congregate for spawning (Forrester and Ketchen 1963).

Localized stocks of Pacific cod have been delineated by tagging experiments conducted during 1954-62 in the important production locations -- Northwest Gulf (Minor Statistical Area 14), Nanoose Bay (MSA 17N), Gulf Islands (MSA 17S and 18), and Juan de Fuca Strait (MSA 19 and 20) (Fig. 4.1). Little inter-MSA migration was noted for tagged cod, except those tagged at Nanoose Bay. Detailed results were reported in the 1979 report (Ketchen ed. 1980).

### 4.2.1 Statistics and mortality rates

During 1960-78, October-September trawl landings of Pacific cod from Area 4B ranged from 326-1,444 t, with peak landings in 1963-64, 1966-67, 1970-71, 1975-76, and 1977-78 (Table 4.1). Mean annual landing was 632 t. Principal production locations were Minor Statistical Areas 18 (35\%), 19 ( $21 \%$ ), and 17 ( $19 \%$ ). In all minor statistical areas annual landings fluctuated substantially, and among MSAs non-synchronously. Only in 1975-76 did peak landings occur simultaneously for MSAs 17, 18, and 19.

Estimates of instantaneous total mortality rate ( $Z$ ) for 1955-58 were 1.27-1.77, based on growth parameters and length-frequency data, and tag returns (Ketchen 1961). Instantaneous natural mortality rate (M) for the same period, was estimated to be 1.39. Thus, instantaneous fishing mortality rate was apparently relatively low - ca 0.29 . Equivalent annual rates are: 72-83\% total; 75\% natural, and $25 \%$ fishing.

### 4.2.2 Stock assessment

Previous assessments of the Pacific cod stock(s) in Georgia Strait and vicinity have all concluded that the fishery was not the major factor in the substantial fluctuations in abundance (Forrester and Ketchen 1963; Forrester and Smith 1974; Ketchen 1967). Production, though variable and somewhat cyclical, shows no trend (Fig. 4.2), and no evidence is currently available to refute the conclusions of past stock assessments.

### 4.2.3 Recommendations

A TAC of $1,000 \mathrm{t}$ is recommended for Pacific cod in the Strait of Georgia (Area 4B) in 1980.

### 4.3 West Vancouver Island (Areas 3C and 3D)

Pacific cod is currently the most important species in the Canada-U.S. trawl landings from Area 3C (Area 3D production is negligible)-$33 \%$ during 1965-74 (Westrheim 1977). Canadian share of the landings has exceeded $60 \%$ since 1966 (Fig. 4.1). Identifiable fishing grounds are

Lennard Island (MSA 24), Amphitrite Bank ${ }^{7}$, Big Bank ${ }^{8}$, Clo-oose, Swiftsure, and Cape Flattery Spit (Fig. 4.2). For analytical purposes, Area 3D has been excluded from further discussion.

Age composition of landings was essentially age-2 fish during April-September, and age-3 fish during January-March. Thus, the fishery generally depends upon the abundance of one-year class in each April-March period. Passage through the fishery of weak or strong year classes can alter this relationship.

Stock delineation is difficult with the limited information available. Results of past tagging experiments suggest some migration of cod between Area 3C and Areas 4A, 4B, 3A, and 3B, but no quantification is possible. It is possible that several stocks reside in or pass through Area 3C.

### 4.3.1 Statistics and mortality rates

During 1956-78, Canada-U.S. trawl landings of Pacific cod from Area 3C averaged 2,036 $t$ and ranged from 390-5,487 t--with peaks in 1957, 1963, 1966, 1972, and 1975 (Table 4.2). Seasonal distribution of landings has changed during 1956-78 -- 81\% April-September during 1956-64; 79\% January-June during 1965-76. During April-September, the fishery harvests maturing, feeding cod; and during January-March, spawning cod.

Major fishing grounds in Area 3C, based on proportion of 1960-78 landings, were Amphitrite Bank ( $51 \%$ ) and Big Bank ( $20 \%$ ) (Table 4.3). Minor fishing grounds were Clo-oose ( $12 \%$ ), Swiftsure ( $9 \%$ ), Lennard Island ( $4 \%$ ), and Cape Flattery Spit ( $4 \%$ ). For the minor grounds, principal time of landings was January-June for Clo-oose, and April-September for Lennard Island, Swiftsure, and Cape Flattery Spit.

### 4.3.1.1 Amphitrite Bank

During 1960-78, annual production from Amphitrite Bank ranged from 170-2,558 $t$, and has been increasing -- 170-383 $t$ during 1960-64; 547-1, 144 $t$ during 1965-70; and 789-2,558 t during 1971-78 (Table 4.4). Principal season of landings has gradually changed from April-June ( $49-58 \%$ ) during 1960-64 to January-March ( $71-96 \%$ ) during 1973-78. The low value in 1978 was due to a closure of the Canadian portion of Area 3C to trawling during late February and all of March.

Conventional analysis of landings, effort and CPUE is virtually precluded by the variable nature of the fishery, which has evolved from a spring fishery (1960-64) on maturing and mature feeding fish to a winter fishery (1972-78) on spawning fish. Furthermore, during 1972-78 the fishery reportedly evolved from interception on the bank's periphery, of cod moving

[^6]onto and off the spawning grounds, to trawling on schools concentrated for spawning on the bank itself. This latter development began about 1974. Nevertheless, the January-March landing statistics for Amphitrite Bank are shown in Table 4.5. The relatively large landings and catch rates during 1972-76 reflect heavier (older) individual fish, denser concentrations of spawning fish (than feeding fish), and probably relatively more abundant year-classes. However, the sustained production was in part caused by the new method of targetting on spawning fish. Unfortunately, data are not available yet for standardizing effort.

Estimated rates of instantaneous total mortality for year-classes 1967-71 ranged from 1.00-1.38, and varied directly with year-c1ass abundance. Equivalent annual rates were $63-75 \%$. Details of the computations were presented in the 1979 report (Ketchen, ed. 1980).

### 4.3.1.2 Big Bank

During 1960-78, annual production from Big Bank ranged from 48$1,729 t$, with peak production periods in 1965-66, 1971-72, and 1974-76 (Table 4.4). Principal season of landings has been April-September (79\% of mean annual landings) throughout 1960-78.

Conventional analysis of landings, effort and CPUE is warranted, providing that two constraints are acknowledged. First, the Canadian fleet has increased its efficiency (new, larger vessels) during the 1970s, although apparently no new fishing techniques have been developed. Second, during the same period, dogfish has become so abundant that they sometimes represent a hazard to trawling on Big Bank during April-September. Neither of these constraints has been quantified.

Analysis of landing statistics was restricted to Apri1-September when annual proportions landed were greatest (79\%) and most consistent (Table 4.4). Canada-U.S. landings and calculated fishing effort have generally fluctuated directly with one another (Table 4.5), with peaks in 1963, 1966, 1972, and 1976. Canadian vessels' catch rate likewise fluctuated, but not consistently with landings and effort. Catch rate peaks occurred in 1964, 1966, 1968, 1972, and 1977. Absence of a peak in 1976, and the relatively low values during $1974-77$ ( $234-521 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr}$ ), suggest that the Pacific cod stock on the Big Bank is now at a relatively low level of abundance.

Estimated rates of instantaneous total mortality for year-classes 1968-72 ranged from $0.66-1.52$, and varied directly with year-class abundance. Equivalent annual rates were 48-78\%. Details of the computations were presented in the 1979 report (Ketchen, ed. 1980).

### 4.3.2 Stock assessment

Current1y, abundance of Pacific cod in Area 3C appears to be at a relatively low level, based on landing statistics and age composition data, and no new strong year-classes have been detected. Historically, cod abundance has fluctuated regularly and substantially.

However, the Canadian fleet has increased its efficiency with new, larger vessels, and improved fishing techniques (on spawning fish). Thus, for the first time, recruitment overfishing is a distinct possibility, during the January-March fishery on spawning fish. In 1979, the January-March fishery for Pacific cod was restricted, by area closure, to January only, because projected landings were only 400-800 t. Echo-sounder and trawl surveys on Amphitrite Bank during February-March indicated that abundance of spawning cod was low. A similar closure will be considered for 1980 if the projected January-March landings are also small (see Appendix I for details on method of prediction).

### 4.3.3 Recommendations

A TAC of $1,500 t$ is recommended for Pacific cod in Areas 3C and 3D combined, for 1980, together with a prohibition of target fishing for Pacific cod during the months of January, February, and March, if necessary.

### 4.3.4 Addendum

Quarter III Canadian landings from Area $3 C$ totalled $225 t$, and the predicted Quarter I total landings in 1980 is 775 t , compared to $1,207 \mathrm{t}$ using the Quarter II predictor. We believe the Quarter II predictor overestimates the 1980 Quarter I landings, because most of the Quarter II landings consisted of age-3 and age -4 cod, most of which will not survive to spawn the following winter. The Quarter III prediction of 775 t indicates spawning cod will be in relatively low abundance during January-March 1980. Therefore, we recommend that Area 3 C (shallower than 100 fm ) be closed to trawling during January-March.

### 4.4 Queen Charlotte Sound (Areas 5A and 5B)

Pacific cod is relatively unimportant in the Canada-U.S. trawl landings from Queen Charlotte Sound. During 1965-74, Pacific cod ranked third ( $12 \%$ ) behind Pacific ocean perch ( $43 \%$ ) and Other rockfish ( $26 \%$ ) (Westrheim 1977). Canadian share of these cod landings has rarely exceeded $30 \%$ over the long term, but the proportion has risen markedly during the last several years (Fig. 4.1). Size and age composition data from commercial landings are intermittent and too sparse for analysis.

Principal fishing grounds are Goose Island Bank (Area 5B) and the grounds lying off the north coast of Vancouver Island (Area 5A) (Fig. 1).

No tagging experiments have been undertaken for Pacific cod in Queen Charlotte Sound, nor any other studies which would provide evidence for inter- or intra-regional stock delineation. Indirect evidence of regional isolation is the near-absence of cod recaptured in Queen Charlotte Sound which had been tagged elsewhere (Ketchen, ed. 1980). It is possible that cod in Area 5A are to a large degree separate from those in Area 5B.

### 4.4.1 Statistics and mortality rates

During 1956-78, Canada-U.S. landings of Pacific cod from Queen Charlotte Sound averaged $1,403 t$, and ranged from $239-2,744 t$, with peaks in 1957, 1965, 1972, and 1975 (Table 4.6). Principal time of landings was April-September in Areas 5A (78\%) and 5B (90\%). In Area 5A, landings ranged from 98-2, 244 t, with peaks in 1957, 1966, 1972, 1974, and 1976. Trend is downward, based on landings in peak years. In Area 5B, landings ranged from 144-1,751 t, with peaks in 1960, 1965, 1972, and 1975. Trend is upward, based on landings in peak years.

Mortality rates have not been established for Pacific cod in Queen Charlotte Sound, primarily due to the paucity of available data.

### 4.4.2 Stock assessment

On the basis of the limited data available, there is no indication that the fishery is a major factor in the fluctuating abundance of Pacific cod in Queen Charlotte Sound. No explanation is available for the opposing production trends in Areas 5A and 5B. In summary, the Pacific cod stock in Queen Charlotte Sound appears to be in satisfactory condition.

### 4.4.3 Recommendation

A TAC of $2,000 \mathrm{t}$ is recommended for Pacific cod in Queen Charlotte Sound (Areas 5A and 5B) for 1980.

### 4.5 Hecate Strait and Dixon Entrance (Areas 5C and 5D)

Pacific cod is currently the most important species in the trawl landings from Hecate Strait and Dixon Entrance (Areas 5C and 5D). During 1965-74, Pacific cod accounted for $56 \%$ of the Canada-U.S. trawl landings from this region (rock sole was second at 18\%) (Westrheim 1977). Canadian share of the cod landings has exceeded $70 \%$ since 1960 , and has been $100 \%$ since 1971 (Fig. 4.1). Landings are currently reported from seven fishing grounds -- Tow Hill; Two Peaks-Butterworth (hereafter referred to as Two Peaks); Freeman Pass-White Rocks-Bonilla (hereafter referred to as White Rocks); Shell (Potholes); Ole Spot; Horseshoe; and Reef Island (Fig. 4.3).

Tagging studies have demonstrated a migration of cod between winter spawning grounds at White Rocks and spring-summer feeding grounds at Two Peaks, but the relationship has not been quantified. A similar relationship appears to hold between Bonilla and Ole Spot-Horseshoe. Intra-regional stock delineation is not well understood at this time.

Age composition of Pacific cod landings is generally $2+$ and $3+$ fish during April-September, and $3+$ and $4+$ during October-March. Thus the fishery relies primarily on the abundance of two year-classes during each year.

### 4.5.1 Statistics and mortality rates

During 1956-78, annual Canada-U.S. landings averaged 3,895 $t$, and ranged from 1,339-9,520 t, with peak production periods during 1958-59, 1964-68, and 1973-76 (Table 4.7). No trend in production is evident. Principal time of landing was January-September (91\%). Mean percentages were 30,33 , and 28 , respectively for Quarters I-III, and $9 \%$ for Quarter IV. Quarter I landings have become relatively less important since 1971 ( $8-25 \%$ ).

Major fishing grounds, based on percentage contribution of landings during 1956-78 are Two Peaks (38\%), White Rocks (26\%), and Horseshoe ( $19 \%$ ) (Table 4.8). Minor fishing grounds are Ole Spot ( $6 \%$ ), Shell (5\%), Reef Island (4\%), and Tow Hill (1\%). Shell and Reef Island Grounds did not come into continuous production until the late 1960 s and their 1968-78 mean percentages are 10 and 8 , respectively, compared to $76 \%$ for the three major grounds combined. Winter fisheries on spawning cod predominate at White Rocks and Reef Island, while spring-summer fisheries for feeding cod prevail elsewhere in Hecate Strait.

### 4.5.1.1 Two Peaks Ground

During 1956-78, annual landings of Pacific cod from Two Peaks Ground ranged from 505-3, 194 t , with peak production periods during 1957-59, 1964-68, and 1972-77 (Table 4.9). Principal time of landings is April-September ( $78 \%$ ). 9 Quarters II and III were more consistently important than Quarters I and IV. Landing statistics for Quarters II and III have been used to assess this stock.

Statistics for Pacific cod landed from Two Peaks Ground during April-September indicate substantial fluctuations in abundance, but no long-term trend upward or downward. Canada-U.S. landings have ranged from 406-2,473 t with peaks in 1959, 1966, and 1975 (Table 4.10). The 1978 landing was 480 t. CPUE likewise has fluctuated without trend, with values ranging from $0.376 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{hr}$ in 1972 to $1.808 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{hr}$ in 1964 . Peak values occurred in 1959,1967 , and 1974. In 1978, CPUE was $0.436 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{hr}$.

Estimates of total instantaneous mortality rate (z) were obtained from three time periods and methods -- years 1954-63, with growth parameters and length-frequency data (Ketchen 1964); year-classes 1968-72 (Quarters II and III), by scales; and year-classes 1957-72 (Quarter III), by length-frequency analysis (Foucher, personal communication). Values of $Z$ from the three sources were 1.11-1.27 (1954-63), 0.02-1.1610 (year-classes 1968-72) and 0.76-2.57 (year-classes 1957-72). For the 1957-72 year-classes, $Z$ generally varied directly with year-class abundance. Equivalent annual rates were 53-92\%. Details were provided in the 1979 report (Ketchen, ed. 1980).

[^7]
### 4.5.1.2 White Rocks Ground

During 1958-7811, annual landings of Pacific cod from White Rocks Ground ranged from $319 \mathrm{t}-2,341 \mathrm{t}$, with peak production periods during 1958-60, 1963-68, and 1975-76 (Table 4.11). No trend is evident. Principal time of landings is October-March -- 1956-78 mean proportions were $55 \%$ for Quarter I and $26 \%$ for Quarter IV. Proportions landed during Quarter I were more consistent than for Quarters II, III, and IV, but for analytical purposes, catch statistics were assembled which combined Quarter IV with Quarter I in the following year.

Statistics for Pacific cod landed from White Rocks Ground during Quarters IV and I show a generally close relationship between landings, effort, and CPUE, with peaks in 1962-63, 1964-65, 1967-68, 1972-73, and 1975-76 (Table 4.12). Only in 1958-59 did CPUE fail to peak with landings and effort. No trend is evident in landings, effort, or CPUE, but landings, effort and CPUE have declined steadily since 1975-76.

Estimated instantaneous total mortality rate ( Z ) was 1.17 for the 1968 year-class and 1.11 for the 1972 year-class. Details of the computations were provided in the 1979 report (Ketchen, ed. 1980).

### 4.5.1.3 Horseshoe Ground

During 1956-78, annual landings of Pacific cod from Horseshoe Ground ranged from 20 t to 3,110 t (Table 4.13). Peak production periods were 1958, 1964-68, and 1973-74. No trend is evident. Principal time of production was Quarters II and III, and landing statistics for these quarters have been combined for assessment of cod stock on Horseshoe Ground.

Quarter II and III landing statistics did not display the close relationship between landings, effort and CPUE noted for cod from Two Peaks and White Rocks Grounds. Landings peaked in 1958, 1966, 1973, and 1976 (Table 4.14). Peaks in effort occurred in 1960, 1965, 1968, and 1974 while CPUE peaks occurred in 1958, 1964, 1973, and 1976. Only in 1976 did the three factors peak simultaneously. No trend is evident in landings, effort, or CPUE.

Estimated values of instantaneous total mortality rate ranged from 0.94 to 2.12 for year-classes 1969-72, and varied directly with year-class abundance. Details of the computations were included in the 1979 report (Ketchen, ed. 1980).

### 4.5.1.4 Minor grounds

Minor grounds in Hecate Strait are Ole Spot, Shell Ground, and Reef Island -- the latter two are relatively new discoveries.

111956-57 values are deemed inaccurate. See footnote in preceding Two Peaks Section.

Ole Spot. Landings have been reported from Ole Spot since prior to 1956. During 1956-78, landings ranged from nil-1, 580 t (Table 4.15). Landings peaked in 1958, 1966, and 1973, and have been less than 100 t /annum since 1971. Principal time of landings is April-June (89\%). Age composition data are intermittent and too sparse for analysis.

Shell Ground. Landings have been reported from Shell Ground since 1966 on a regular basis. During 1966-78, landings ranged from 49-1,113 t without trend (Table 4.15). Peak landings occurred in 1968 and 1975. Principal time of landings was January-June (83\%). Age composition data are intermittent and too sparse for analysis.

Reef Island. Landings have been reported from Reef Island Ground since 1968 on a regular basis. During 1968-78, landings ranged from 100-580 t with peaks in 1969, 1971, 1973, and 1976, without evident trend (Table 4.15). Principal time of landings was January-March (71\%) when cod are spawning. Age composition data are intermittent and too sparse for analysis.

### 4.5.2 Stock assessment

During 1956-78 Pacific cod landings from Areas 5C - 5D, and presumably abundance, exhibited substantial, quasi-cyclic fluctuations which were, for the most part non-synchronous among grounds. No trend in landings or CPUE was evident for any fishing ground, except White Rocks. On the basis of the available evidence, Pacific cod stocks in Hecate Strait are in satisfactory condition, despite the fluctuations in annual landings.

### 4.5.3 Recommendation

A TAC of $2,000 t$ is recommended for Pacific cod in Hecate Strait and Dixon Entrance (Areas 5C and 5D) in 1980.

Table 4.1. Trawl landings (t) of Pacific cod from Georgia Strait and vicinity (Area 4B), and important Minor Areas, October-September 1960-78.

| Year | Area 4B | Minor Statistical Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 18 | 17 | 19 | 14 | 20 | $\begin{aligned} & 12+13+ \\ & 15+16 \end{aligned}$ | 29 |
| 1960-61 | 486 | 156 | 100 | 103 | 78 | 29 | 12 | 8 |
| 1961-62 | 434 | 161 | 69 | 49 | 55 | 78 | 10 | 12 |
| 1962-63 | 561 | 227 | 151 | 37 | 48 | 71 | 14 | 12 |
| 1963-64 | 772 | 349 | 138 | 74 | 111 | 54 | 16 | 28 |
| 1964-65 | 502 | 214 | 45 | 81 | 37 | 88 | 18 | 20 |
| 1965-66 | 326 | 77 | 16 | 117 | 18 | 76 | 13 | 8 |
| 1966-67 | 464 | 154 | 151 | 22 | 28 | 52 | 52 | 4 |
| 1967-68 | $\overline{381}$ | 96 | $\overline{126}$ | 49 | 22 | 58 | 16 | 13 |
| 1968-69 | 326 | 124 | 59 | 20 | 17 | 82 | 16 | 7 |
| 1969-70 | 509 | 192 | 93 | 80 | 25 | 95 | 11 | 12 |
| 1970-71 | 743 | 178 | 340 | 48 | 81 | 86 | 8 | 4 |
| 1971-72 | $\overline{665}$ | 169 | $\overline{302}$ | 20 | $\overline{69}$ | 98 | 3 | 2 |
| 1972-73 | 513 | 188 | 133 | 12 | 150 | 11 | 11 | 8 |
| 1973-74 | 526 | 247 | 144 | 51 | 58 | 8 | 16 | TR |
| 1974-75 | 740 | 218 | 75 | 258 | 74 | 83 | 26 | 6 |
| 1975-76 | 1,096 | 418 | 89 | 467 | 83 | 14 | 25 | 2 |
| 1976-77 | 895 | $\overline{385}$ | $\overline{60}$ | $\overline{244}$ | 98 | 55 | 53 | TR |
| 1977-78 | 1,444 | 421 | 85 | 696 | 130 | 73 | 39 | 1 |
| 1960-78 Mean | 632 | 221 | 121 | 135 | 66 | 62 | 20 | 8 |
| \% | 99 | 35 | 19 | 21 | 10 | 10 | 3 | 1 |

Table 4.2. Distribution (\%), by quarter-year, of annual Canada-U.S. landings of Pacific cod from Southwest Vancouver Island (Area 3C), 1956-78.

| Year | Quarter |  |  |  |  | Total <br> landings <br> ( $t$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | II | III | IV | T |  |
| 1956 | 2 | 64 | 15 | 20 | 101 | 1,147 |
| 1957 | 3 | 68 | 25 | 5 | 101 | 1,582 |
| 1958 | 7 | 54 | 22 | 17 | 100 | 763 |
| 1959 | 2 | 56 | 22 | 20 | 100 | 673 |
| 1960 | 3 | 54 | 28 | 14 | 99 | 590 |
| 1961 | T | 62 | 26 | 11 | 99+ | 390 |
| 1962 | 17 | 50 | 28 | 5 | 100 | 567 |
| 1963 | 25 | 59 | 14 | 2 | 100 | 1,196 |
| 1964 | 21 | 61 | 15 | 4 | 101 | 1,143 |
| 1965 | 37 | 37 | 22 | 4 | 100 | 2,535 |
| 1966 | 31 | 35 | 32 | 2 | 100 | 2,644 |
| 1967 | 56 | 30 | 10 | 5 | 101 | 1,653 |
| 1968 | 68 | 22 | 4 | 6 | 100 | 1,173 |
| 1969 | 54 | 31 | 9 | 6 | 100 | 960 |
| 1970 | 37 | 32 | 25 | 7 | 101 | 965 |
| 1971 | 27 | 48 | 17 | 8 | 100 | 3,077 |
| 1972 | 43 | 40 | 13 | 4 | 100 | 5,487 |
| 1973 | 68 | 18 | 11 | 3 | 100 | 3,597 |
| 1974 | 54 | 24 | 18 | 8 | 100 | 3,365 |
| 1975 | 48 | 23 | 21 | 8 | 100 | 4,467 |
| 1976 | 44 | 38 | 16 | 3 | 101 | 3,916 |
| 1977 | 40 | 27 | 31 | 3 | 101 | 3,592 |
| $1978{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 43 | 41 | 10 | 6 | 100 | 1,344 |
| 1956-78 Mean | 32 | 42 | 19 | 7 | 100 | 2,036 |

aCanada data only.

Table 4.3. Distribution (\%), by ground, of annual Canada-U.S. Pacific cod landings from Area 3C, 1960-78.

| Year | Ground |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Lennard <br> Island | Amphitrite <br> Bank | Big <br> Bank | Clo-oose | Swiftsure | Cape Flattery Spit ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Total | Annual <br> landing <br> ( t ) |
| 1960 | 10 | 43 | 25 | 10 | 10 | 3 | 101 | 590 |
| 1961 | 3 | 44 | 12 | 29 | 10 | 3 | 99 | 390 |
| 1962 | 2 | 49 | 19 | 20 | 5 | 5 | 100 | 567 |
| 1963 | 1 | 31 | 21 | 33 | 12 | 2 | 100 | 1,196 |
| 1964 | 1 | 34 | 22 | 23 | 19 | 1 | 100 | 1,143 |
| 1965 | 2 | 45 | 29 | 18 | 5 | 1 | 100 | 2,535 |
| 1966 | 2 | 39 | 30 | 22 | 5 | 1 | 99 | 2,644 |
| 1967 | 8 | 49 | 11 | 30 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 1,653 |
| 1968 | 1 | 78 | 9 | 9 | 3 | 1 | 101 | 1,173 |
| 1969 | 2 | 75 | 15 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 100 | 960 |
| 1970 | 2 | 57 | 26 | 11 | 2 | 2 | 100 | 965 |
| 1971 | 4 | 45 | 37 | 11 | 3 | 1 | 101 | 3,077 |
| 1972 | 4 | 47 | 32 | 7 | 8 | 1 | 101 | 5,487 |
| 1973 | 1 | 69 | 14 | 5 | 10 | 1 | 100 | 3,597 |
| 1974 | 3 | 57 | 19 | 1 | 18 | 2 | 100 | 3,365 |
| 1975 | 4 | 49 | 14 | 1 | 16 | 16 | 100 | 4,467 |
| 1976 | 3 | 54 | 21 | T | 13 | 9 | 100 | 3,916 |
| 1977 | 5 | 42 | 10 | 1 | 13 | 30 | 101 | 3,593 |
| $1978{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 10 | 59 | 20 | 1 | 10 | - | 100 | 1,344 |
| 1960-78 Mean | 4 | 51 | 20 | 12 | 9 | 4 | 100 | 2,245 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Located in U.S. portion of Area 3C.
${ }^{\text {b Canada only. }}$

Table 4.4. Distribution (\%), by quarter-year, of Canada-U.S. landings of Pacific cod from Amphitrite Bank and Big Bank, 1960-78.

| Year | Amphitrite Bank |  |  |  |  | Total landings ( $t$ ) | Big Bank |  |  |  |  | Total landings ( $t$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | II | III | IV | Total |  | I | II | III | IV | Total |  |
| 1960 | 5 | 85 | 6 | 4 | 100 | 251 | - | 16 | 47 | 37 | 100 | 146 |
| 1961 | 1 | 81 | 14 | 5 | 101 | 170 | - | 30 | 40 | 30 | 100 | 48 |
| 1962 | 35 | 53 | 12 | T | 100 | 276 | T | 43 | 43 | 13 | 99 | 107 |
| 1963 | 48 | 49 | 3 | T | 100 | 368 | 2 | 46 | 50 | 2 | 100 | 253 |
| 1964 | 12 | 70 | 18 | 1 | 101 | 383 | T | 62 | 26 | 12 | 100 | 256 |
| 1965 | 54 | 33 | 11 | 1 | 99 | 1,144 | 26 | 24 | 38 | 12 | 100 | 739 |
| 1966 | 40 | 33 | 26 | T | 99 | 1,039 | 23 | 25 | 52 | 1 | 101 | 803 |
| 1967 | 65 | 32 | 1 | 1 | 99 | 816 | 1 | 44 | 21 | 34 | 100 | 178 |
| 1968 | 80 | 19 | T | 1 | 100 | 913 | 1 | 36 | 35 | 29 | 101 | 103 |
| 1969 | 71 | 28 | 1 | T | 100 | 720 | - | 33 | 51 | 17 | 101 | 145 |
| 1970 | 54 | 31 | 14 | T | 99 | 547 | 1 | 25 | 62 | 13 | 101 | 254 |
| 1971 | 58 | 40 | 3 | T | 101 | 1,389 | - | 41 | 37 | 22 | 100 | 1,137 |
| 1972 | 77 | 21 | 2 | T | 100 | 2,558 | 10 | 51 | 32 | 8 | 101 | 1,729 |
| 1973 | 90 | 9 | T | T | 99 | 2,489 | 23 | 34 | 33 | 10 | 100 | 494 |
| 1974 | 95 | 5 | T | T | 100 | 1,902 | - | 35 | 44 | 21 | 100 | 651 |
| 1975 | 96 | 2 | 2 | T | 100 | 2,187 | T | 51 | 31 | 18 | 100 | 630 |
| 1976 | 80 | 18 | 2 | T | 100 | 2,113 | 1 | 43 | 47 | 9 | 100 | 824 |
| 1977 | 86 | 13 | Tr | Tr | 99 | 1,513 | Tr | 45 | 48 | 7 | 100 | $\overline{357}$ |
| $1978{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 71 | 29 | - | - | 100 | 789 | - | 63 | 27 | 11 | 101 | 269 |
| 1960-78 Mean | 59 | 34 | 6 | 1 | 100 | 1,135 | 5 | 39 | 40 | 16 | 100 | 480 |

$a_{\text {Canada only. }}$

Table 4.5. Pacific cod landings ( $t$ ), calculated effort (hr), and catch rate ( $\mathrm{t} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) from Amphitrite Bank, (January-March) and Big Bank (April-September), by Canadian and United States vessels, 1960-78.

| Year | Amphitrite Bank (Jan.-Mar.) |  |  | Big Bank (Apr.-Sept.) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Canada-u.s. landings ( t ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Calculated } \\ & \text { effort } \\ & \text { (hr) } \end{aligned}$ | Canada catch rate ( $\mathrm{t} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Canada-u.s. landings ( t ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Calculated } \\ & \text { effort } \\ & \text { (hr) } \end{aligned}$ | Canada catch rate ( $\mathrm{t} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) |
| 1960 | 12 | 838 | . 014 | 91 | 463 | . 197 |
| 1961 | 1 | - | - | 34 | 440 | . 076 |
| 1962 | 95 | 321 | . 297 | 93 | 622 | . 149 |
| 1963 | 178 | 875 | . 204 | 241 | 1,330 | . 181 |
| 1964 | 45 | 120 | . 374 | 225 | 476 | . 473 |
| 1965 | 621 | 5,189 | . $120^{\text {a }}$ | 460 | 1,200 | . 384 |
| 1966 | 418 | 1,382 | . 303 | 616 | 1,485 | . 415 |
| 1967 | 528 | 1,882 | . 281 | 117 | 386 | . 302 |
| 1968 | 729 | 2,302 | . 317 | 73 | 159 | . 459 |
| 1969 | 513 | 1,425 | . 360 | 121 | 376 | . 321 |
| 1970 | 297 | 1.030 | . 288 | 218 | 891 | . 245 |
| 1971 | 799 | 1,676 | . 477 | 899 | 1,617 | . 550 |
| 1972 | 1,963 | 2,467 | . 796 | 1,422 | 2,115 | . 672 |
| 1973 | 2,232 | 1,856 | 1.202 | 331 | 1,020 | . 324 |
| 1974 | 1,801 | 2,181 | . 826 | 517 | 1,991 | . 259 |
| 1975 | 2,098 | 3,115 | . 674 | 518 | 1,947 | . 266 |
| 1976 | 1,695 | 2,210 | . 767 | 740 | 2,984 | . 248 |
| 1977 | 1,306 | 1,812 | . 721 | 333 | 639 | . 521 |
| $1978{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 562 | 1,021 | . 550 | 241 | 837 | . 288 |

a Doubtful, based on only $27,000 \mathrm{~kg}$ landed.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Calculated effort equals Canada-U.S. landings divided by Canadian CPUE at $25 \%$ qualification level.
${ }^{c}$ Canada data only.

Table 4.6. Distribution (\%), by quarter-year, of Canada-U.S. landings of Pacific cod from Queen Charlotte Sound (Areas 5A and 5B), by area, 1956-78.

| Year | Area 5A |  |  |  |  | $\underset{(\mathrm{t})}{5 \mathrm{~A}}$ | Area 5B |  |  |  |  | $\underset{(t)}{5 B}$ | $5 A+5 B$ landings ( $t$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | II | III | IV | Total |  | I | II | III | IV | Total |  |  |
| 1956 | 14 | 28 | 33 | 25 | 100 | 1,159 | 19 | 32 | 43 | 6 | 100 | 594 | 1,754 |
| 1957 | 9 | 37 | 35 | 19 | 100 | 2,244 | 8 | 46 | 13 | 33 | 100 | 499 | 2,744 |
| 1958 | 16 | 49 | 27 | 8 | 100 | 681 | 2 | 68 | 22 | 9 | 101 | 497 | 1,178 |
| 1959 | 9 | 58 | 26 | 7 | 100 | 599 | 3 | 72 | 24 | 2 | 101 | 347 | 946 |
| 1960 | 4 | 60 | 26 | 11 | 101 | 233 | 12 | 41 | 46 | 1 | 100 | 386 | 619 |
| 1961 | 13 | 65 | 10 | 12 | 100 | 98 | 9 | 80 | 9 | 1 | 99 | 142 | 239 |
| 1962 | 21 | 26 | 46 | 7 | 100 | 174 | 4 | 44 | 50 | 3 | 101 | 248 | 422 |
| 1963 | 5 | 23 | 64 | 8 | 100 | 287 | T | 58 | 39 | 2 | 99 | 390 | 677 |
| 1964 | 3 | 41 | 29 | 27 | 100 | 513 | 3 | 39 | 49 | 9 | 100 | 762 | 1,275 |
| 1965 | 1 | 35 | 26 | 39 | 101 | 797 | 1 | 66 | 32 |  | 100 | 1,143 | 1,940 |
| 1966 | 6 | 33 | 37 | 25 | 101 | 1,066 | T | 59 | 34 | 7 | 100 | 745 | 1,811 |
| 1967 | 8 | 31 | 32 | 28 | 99 | 761 | T | 65 | 34 | 1 | 100 | 740 | 1,501 |
| 1968 | 7 | 59 | 27 | 7 | 100 | 626 | 1 | 56 | 35 | 8 | 100 | 334 | 960 |
| 1969 | 11 | 40 | 31 | 18 | 100 | 389 | 8 | 41 | 45 | 6 | 100 | 310 | 699 |
| 1970 | 8 | 42 | 30 | 20 | 100 | 185 | 6 | 50 | 38 | 5 | 99 | 114 | 299 |
| 1971 | T | 46 | 39 | 15 | 100 | 461 | - | 51 | 44 | 5 | 100 | 467 | 928 |
| 1972 | 1 | 56 | 30 | 12 | 99 | 756 | T | 55 | 39 | 6 | 100 | 1,564 | 2,320 |
| 1973 | 13 | 53 | 25 | 9 | 100 | $\overline{623}$ | 2 | 64 | 32 | 1 | 99 | 1,336 | 1,914 |
| 1974 | 5 | 53 | 35 | 8 | 101 | 868 | 1 | 60 | 35 | 3 | 99 | 1,424 | 2,292 |
| 1975 | 1 | 59 | 29 | 12 | 101 | 712 | T | 37 | 50 | 13 | 100 | 1,751 | 2,463 |
| 1976 | 2 | 27 | 54 | 7 | 100 | 1,142 | T | 24 | 56 | 20 | 100 | 1,129 | 2,271 |
| 1977 | 1 | 58 | 37 | 4 | 100 | 702 | 3 | 41 | 45 | 11 | 100 | 568 | 1,270 |
| $1978{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2 | 56 | 35 | 8 | 101 | 838 | 1 | 18 | 75 | 6 | 100 | 919 | 1,757 |
| 1960-78 Mean | 7 | 45 | 33 | 15 | 100 | 692 | 4 | 51 | 39 | 7 | 101 | 713 | 1,403 |

${ }^{\text {a Canada only. }}$

Table 4.7. Distribution (\%), by quarter-year, of Canada-U.S. Pacific cod landings from Hecate Strait and Dixon Entrance (Areas 5C and 5D), 1956-78.

|  | Quarter |  |  |  |  | TV |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year | I | II | III | IV | T | Tandings <br> $(t)$ |
| 1956 | 34 | 51 | 12 | 3 | 100 | 1,483 |
| 1957 | 45 | 28 | 6 | 21 | 100 | 2,319 |
| 1958 | 38 | 38 | 10 | 14 | 100 | 5,202 |
| 1959 | 48 | 17 | 23 | 12 | 100 | 5,125 |
| 1960 | 37 | 23 | 32 | 8 | 100 | 2,360 |
| 1961 | 56 | 24 | 14 | 7 | 101 | 1,616 |
| 1962 | 48 | 11 | 33 | 8 | 100 | 1,689 |
| 1963 | 40 | 31 | 21 | 8 | 100 | 2,927 |
| 1964 | 17 | 39 | 29 | 14 | 99 | 5,228 |
| 1965 | 22 | 31 | 39 | 8 | 99 | 9,059 |
| 1966 | 19 | 54 | 22 | 5 | 100 | 9,520 |
| 1967 | 25 | 27 | 27 | 21 | 100 | 5,081 |
| 1968 | 44 | 40 | 14 | 2 | 100 | 5,185 |
| 1969 | 35 | 39 | 23 | 2 | 99 | 2,959 |
| 1970 | 49 | 23 | 21 | 8 | 101 | 1,339 |
| 1971 | 34 | 38 | 25 | 3 | 100 | 1,476 |
| 1972 | 13 | 26 | 45 | 16 | 100 | 2,688 |
| 1973 | 14 | 39 | 39 | 9 | 101 | 4,002 |
| 1974 | 8 | 37 | 48 | 8 | 101 | 4,764 |
| 1975 | 17 | 42 | 31 | 9 | 99 | 4,963 |
| 1976 | 25 | 28 | 37 | 10 | 100 | 4,986 |
| 1977 | 12 | 25 | 55 | 8 | 100 | 3,522 |
| 1978 | 17 | 47 | 27 | 9 | 100 | 2,100 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1956-78$ | Mean | 30 | 33 | 28 | 9 | 100 |

acanada only.

Table 4.8. Distribution (\%) of annual Canada-U.S. Iandings of Pacific cod from Hecate Strait and Dixon Entrance (Areas 5C and 5D), by ground, 1956-78.

| Year | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Tow } \\ \text { Hill } \end{array}$ | Two Peaks Butterworth | White Rocks Bonilla | Shell | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ole } \\ & \text { Spot } \end{aligned}$ | Horseshoe | Reef <br> Is land | Other | Total | Total landings ( t ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1956 | - | 65 | - | - | 24 | 11 | - | - | 100 | 1,483 |
| 1957 | - | 66 | 26 | - | 1 | 6 | - | - | 99 | 2,319 |
| 1958 | - | 32 | 33 | - | 11 | 24 | - | - | 100 | 5,202 |
| 1959 | - | 39 | 46 | - | 6 | 10 | - | - | 101 | 5,125 |
| 1960 | - | 37 | 43 | T | - | 21 | - | - | 101 | 2,360 |
| 1961 | 1 | 45 | 52 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 100 | 1,616 |
| 1962 | T | 36 | 53 | - | 4 | 7 | - | - | 100 | 1,689 |
| 1963 | - | 32 | 38 | - | 4 | 26 | - | - | 100 | 2,927 |
| 1964 | - | 42 | 21 | - | 11 | 26 | - | - | 100 | 5,228 |
| 1965 | - | 35 | 24 | - | 12 | 29 | - | - | 100 | 9,059 |
| 1966 | 7 | 30 | 12 | 2 | 17 | 33 | T | - | 101 | 9,520 |
| 1967 | 2 | 23 | 29 | 6 | 19 | 21 | - | - | 100 | 5,081 |
| 1968 | 9 | 22 | 23 | 7 | 10 | 27 | 3 | - | 101 | 5,185 |
| 1969 | 4 | 25 | 21 | 10 | 12 | 18 | 9 | - | 99 | 2,959 |
| 1970 | T | 43 | 30 | 6 | 8 | 5 | 7 | - | 99 | 1,339 |
| 1971 | T | 34 | 22 | 9 | 6 | 13 | 16 | - | 100 | 1,476 |
| 1972 | T | 47 | 17 | 4 | T | 26 | 6 | - | 100 | 2,688 |
| 1973 | T | 30 | 9 | 9 | 2 | 39 | 10 | - | 99 | 4,002 |
| 1974 | 1 | 34 | 10 | 17 | T | 31 | 7 | - | 100 | 4,764 |
| 1975 | T | 35 | 19 | 22 | T | 16 | 7 | - | 100 | 4,963 |
| 1976 | T | 26 | 30 | 12 | T | 19 | 12 | - | 99 | 4,986 |
| 1977 | T | 54 | 16 | 9 | 1 | 10 | 6 | $5^{\text {a }}$ | 101 | 3,522 |
| 1978 | T | 32 | 28 | 2 | T | 26 | 8 | $4^{\text {b }}$ | 100 | 2,089 |
| 1956-1978 Mean | 1 | 38 | 26 | 5 | 6 | 19 | 4 | Tr | 99 | 3,895 |
| 1968-1978 Mean | 1 | 35 | 20 | 10 | 4 | 21 | 8 | 1 | 100 | 3,179 |

${ }^{a}$ Cumshewa (29\%); Ramsay I. (39\%); S. Bonilla (1\%); Dundas (17\%); Lawn Pt. (13\%).
$\mathrm{b}_{\text {Cumshewa ( }}$ (98\%) \& Lawn Pt. (2\%).

Table 4.9. Distribution (\%) of annual Canada-U.S. landings of Pacific cod from Two Peaks Ground, by quarter-year, 1956-78.

|  | Quarter |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year | I | II | III | IV | T | landings <br> $(t)$ |
| 1956 | 53 | 42 | - | 5 | 100 | 957 |
| 1957 | 58 | 36 | 6 | $T$ | 100 | 1,442 |
| 1958 | 27 | 46 | 7 | 20 | 100 | 1,582 |
| 1959 | 28 | 19 | 48 | 4 | 99 | 1,988 |
| 1960 | 8 | 14 | 64 | 14 | 100 | 870 |
| 1961 | 21 | 48 | 30 | 1 | 100 | 723 |
| 1962 | 19 | 19 | 62 | $T$ | 100 | 610 |
| 1963 | 28 | 22 | 41 | 8 | 99 | 927 |
| 1964 | 17 | 18 | 62 | 4 | 101 | 2,199 |
| 1965 | 24 | 6 | 66 | 4 | 100 | 3,194 |
| 1966 | 12 | 62 | 26 | 1 | 101 | 2,817 |
| 1967 | 11 | 26 | 47 | 16 | 100 | 1,188 |
| 1968 | 27 | 45 | 26 | 2 | 100 | 1,153 |
| 1969 | 14 | 38 | 42 | 6 | 100 | 746 |
| 1970 | 12 | 35 | 40 | 12 | 99 | 577 |
| 1971 | 2 | 24 | 70 | 4 | 100 | 505 |
| 1972 | 2 | 22 | 69 | 8 | 101 | 1,251 |
| 1973 | 1 | 17 | 78 | 4 | 100 | 1,202 |
| 1974 | - | 6 | 89 | 5 | 100 | 1,600 |
| 1975 | 1 | 23 | 74 | 2 | 100 | 1,749 |
| 1976 | 1 | 24 | 72 | 3 | 100 | 1,305 |
| 1977 | 2 | 4 | 94 | Tr | 100 | 1,562 |
| 1978 | 6 | 31 | 63 | - | 100 | 510 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1956-78$ Mean | 16 | 27 | 51 | 5 | 99 | 1,332 |

Table 4.10. Canada-U.S. landings (t) calculated effort (hr), and Canadian CPUE ( $t / \mathrm{hr}$ ) for Pacific cod landed from Two Peaks Ground during April-September, 1956-78.

|  | Canada-U.S. <br> landing <br> $(\mathrm{t})$ | Calculated <br> effort <br> $(\mathrm{hr})$ | Canada <br> CPUE <br> $(\mathrm{t} / \mathrm{hr})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | 406 | 670 | .600 |
| 1956 | 606 | 1,138 | .689 |
| 1957 | 837 | 1,215 | .689 |
| 1958 | 1,348 | 1,633 | .826 |
| 1959 | 680 | 916 | .743 |
| 1960 | 565 | 1,135 | .498 |
| 1961 | 496 | 644 | .770 |
| 1962 | 591 | 723 | .817 |
| 1963 | 1,753 | 970 | 1.808 |
| 1964 | 2,281 | 1,324 | 1.723 |
| 1965 | 2,473 | 2,041 | 1.212 |
| 1966 | 863 | 666 | 1.296 |
| 1967 | 816 | 1,667 | .489 |
| 1968 | 596 | 1,202 | .496 |
| 1969 | 437 | 1,162 | .376 |
| 1970 | 473 | 1,167 | .405 |
| 1971 | 1,128 | 1,913 | .590 |
| 1972 | 1,147 | 1,065 | 1.077 |
| 1973 | 1,516 | 1,040 | 1.458 |
| 1974 | 1,704 | 1,695 | 1.006 |
| 1975 | 1,261 | 2,052 | .614 |
| 1976 | 1,523 | 2,068 | .736 |
| 1977 | 480 | 1,102 | .436 |

${ }^{\text {a Calculated effort }}$ equals Canada-U.S. landings divided by Canadian CPUE, based on $25 \%$ qualification level.

Table 4.11. Distribution (\%) of annual Canada-U.S. landings of Pacific cod from White Rocks Ground, by quarter-year, 1956-78.

| Year | Quarter |  |  |  |  | Total <br> landings <br> ( $t$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | II | III | IV | T |  |
| 1956 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1957 | 31 | T | - | 69 | 100 | 614 |
| 1958 | 77 | 2 | - | 21 | 100 | 1,713 |
| 1959 | 82 | - | 3 | 16 | 101 | 2,341 |
| 1960 | 79 | 14 | T | 7 | 100 | 1,004 |
| 1961 | 87 | 1 | T | 12 | 100 | 845 |
| 1962 | 78 | T | 7 | 15 | 100 | 889 |
| 1963 | 82 | - | 5 | 13 | 100 | 1,109 |
| 1964 | 43 | 2 | 5 | 50 | 100 | 1,108 |
| 1965 | 48 | 9 | 17 | 27 | 101 | 2,189 |
| 1966 | 59 | 6 | 2 | 32 | 99 | 1,136 |
| 1967 | 35 | T | 15 | 50 | 100 | 1,467 |
| 1968 | 74 | 8 | 11 | 8 | 101 | 1,176 |
| 1969 | 52 | 13 | 31 | 4 | 100 | 608 |
| 1970 | 95 | 2 | 3 | T | 100 | 398 |
| 1971 | 78 | 21 | 1 | 1 | 101 | 319 |
| 1972 | 29 | - | 2 | 70 | 101 | 454 |
| 1973 | 45 | 1 | 6 | 48 | 100 | 358 |
| 1974 | 35 | 18 | 7 | 40 | 100 | 474 |
| 1975 | 59 | 2 | 2 | 38 | 101 | 951 |
| 1976 | 44 | 11 | 15 | 31 | 101 | 1,520 |
| 1977 | 28 | 17 | 27 | 28 | 100 | 546 |
| 1978 | 31 | 21 | 19 | 29 | 100 | 577 |
| 1957-78 Mean | 55 | 6 | 8 | 26 | 95 | 991 |

Table 4.12. Canada-U.S. landings ( $t$ ), calculted effort (hr), and CPUE (t/hr) for Pacific cod landed from White Rocks Ground during October- March 1957-78.

|  | Canada-U.S. <br> landing <br> $(t)$ | Calculated <br> efforta <br> $($ hr) | Canada <br> CPUE <br> $(\mathrm{t} / \mathrm{hr})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1957-58$ | 1,734 | 2,737 | .633 |
| $1958-59$ | 2,268 | 4,529 | .501 |
| $1959-60$ | 1,158 | 4,035 | .287 |
| $1960-61$ | 810 | 2,319 | .347 |
| $1961-62$ | 790 | 2,945 | .268 |
| $1962-63$ | 1,040 | 3,021 | .344 |
| $1963-64$ | 629 | 2,031 | .309 |
| $1964-65$ | 1,593 | 2,854 | .558 |
| $1965-66$ | 1,267 | 2,786 | .455 |
| $1966-67$ | 857 | 2,179 | .393 |
| $1967-68$ | 1,424 | 3,026 | .470 |
| $1968-69$ | 298 | 1,527 | .195 |
| $1969-70$ | 404 | 817 | .494 |
| $1970-71$ | 248 | 792 | .313 |
| $1971-72$ | 132 | 481 | .274 |
| $1972-73$ | 476 | 877 | .542 |
| $1973-74$ | 337 | 748 | 1,213 |

${ }^{\text {a Calculated effort }}$ equals Canada-U.S. landings divided by Canada CPUE at $25 \%$ level of qualification.

Table 4.13. Distribution (\%) of annual Canada-U.S. landings of Pacific cod from Horseshoe Ground, by quarter-year, 1956-78.

| Year | Quarter |  |  |  |  | Total <br> landings <br> (t) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | II | III | IV | T |  |
| 1956 | - | 85 | 15 | - | - | 170 |
| 1957 | - | 33 | 28 | 38 | - | 151 |
| 1958 | - | 68 | 32 | - | - | 1,251 |
| 1959 | - | 72 | 28 | - | - | 499 |
| 1960 | - | 59 | 40 | T | - | 484 |
| 1961 | - | 56 | 35 | 9 | - | 20 |
| 1962 | - | 8 | 92 | - | - | 124 |
| 1963 | - | 75 | 25 | - | - | 775 |
| 1964 | - | 82 | 9 | 9 | - | 1,345 |
| 1965 | T | 59 | 41 | - | - | 2,624 |
| 1966 | - | 65 | 34 | 1 | - | 3,110 |
| 1967 | T | 56 | 44 | - | - | 1,059 |
| 1968 | 53 | 35 | 11 | - | - | 1,403 |
| 1969 | 30 | 55 | 15 | 1 | - | 541 |
| 1970 | 3 | 8 | 44 | 45 | - | 69 |
| 1971 | 5 | 79 | 4 | 12 | - | 188 |
| 1972 | 3 | 50 | 47 | T | - | 697 |
| 1973 | 1 | 57 | 37 | 5 | - | 1,578 |
| 1974 | - | 48 | 50 | 2 | - | 1,494 |
| 1975 | 5 | 69 | 25 | 1 | - | 774 |
| 1976 |  | 45 | 54 | 1 | - | 954 |
| 1977 | - | 47 | 39 | 14 | 100 | 363 |
| 1978 | 1 | 79 | 20 | - | 100 | 540 |
| 1956-78 Mean | 5 | 56 | 33 | 6 | 99 | 879 |

Table 4.14. Canada-U.S. landings ( $t$ ), calculted effort (hr), and CPUE (t/hr) for Pacific cod landed from Horseshoe Ground during April-September 1956-78.

|  | Canada-U.S. <br> landing <br> $(\mathrm{t})$ | Calculated <br> efforta <br> $(\mathrm{hr})$ | Canada <br> CPUE <br> Year |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1956 | 170 | 87 | 1.950 |
| 1957 | 93 | 98 | .949 |
| 1958 | 1,251 | 537 | 2.331 |
| 1959 | 499 | 703 | .710 |
| 1960 | 483 | 1,017 | .475 |
| 1961 | 18 | 61 | .290 |
| 1962 | 124 | 223 | .555 |
| 1963 | 775 | 603 | 1.285 |
| 1964 | 1,229 | 845 | 1.454 |
| 1965 | 2,620 | 2,359 | 1.110 |
| 1966 | 3,084 | 2,006 | 1.538 |
| 1967 | 1,054 | 1,024 | 1.030 |
| 1968 | 654 | 1,274 | .513 |
| 1969 | 376 | 1,263 | .298 |
| 1970 | 36 | 100 | .358 |
| 1971 | 156 | 416 | .375 |
| 1972 | 676 | 819 | .825 |
| 1973 | 1,486 | 1,053 | 1.411 |
| 1974 | 1,466 | 1,202 | 1.220 |
| 1975 | 725 | 1,088 | .666 |
| 1976 | 943 | 1,187 | .795 |
| 1977 | 311 | 562 | .595 |
| 1978 | 536 | 743 | .721 |

aCalculated effort equals Canada-U.S. landngs divided by Canada CPUE at $25 \%$ level of qualification.

Table 4.15. Distribution (\%) of annual Canada-U.S. landings of Pacific cod from Ole Spot, Shell Ground and Reef Island Grounds, by quarter-year, 1956-78.

| Year | Quarter |  |  |  |  | Total <br> landings <br> ( t ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | II | III | IV | T |  |
| Ole Spot |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1956 | - | 57 | 43 | - | 100 | 352 |
| 1957 | - | 100 | - | - | 100 | 17 |
| 1958 | 37 | 62 | 1 | - | 100 | 571 |
| 1959 | 4 | 45 | - | 51 | 100 | 297 |
| 1960 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1961 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1962 | - | 100 | - | - | 100 | 65 |
| 1963 | - | 100 | - | - | 100 | 116 |
| 1964 | 9 | 91 | - | - | 100 | 577 |
| 1965 | 17 | 82 | 1 | - | 100 | 1,053 |
| 1966 | 31 | 51 | 14 | 4 | 100 | 1,580 |
| 1967 | 56 | 36 | 8. | - | 100 | 961 |
| 1968 | 3 | 86 | 11 | - | 100 | 511 |
| 1969 | 51 | 48 | - | - | 99 | 357 |
| 1970 | 37 | 60 | 2 | - | 99 | 113 |
| 1971 | 47 | 53 | - | - | 100 | 93 |
| 1972 | - | 100 | - | - | 100 | 3 |
| 1973 | - | 100 | - | - | 100 | 88 |
| 1974 | 2 | 98 | - | - | 100 | 17 |
| 1975 | 15 | 52 | - | 33 | 100 | 24 |
| 1976 | - | - | 100 | - | 100 | Tr |
| 1977 | - | 100 | - | - | - | 22 |
| 1978 | - | 100 | - | - | 100 | 4 |
| 1956-78 Mean ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 15 | 71 | 9 | 4 | 99 | 340 |
| Shell Ground |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1966 | 36 | 64 | - | - | 100 | 207 |
| 1967 | 18 | 33 | 19 | 30 | 100 | 329 |
| 1968 | 32 | 47 | 21 | - | 100 | 352 |
| 1969 | 27 | 69 | 4 | - | 100 | 300 |
| 1970 | 82 | 18 | 1 | - | 101 | 78 |
| 1971 | 66 | 34 | - | - | 100 | 127 |
| 1972 | 4 | 73 | 22 | - | 99 | 112 |
| 1973 | 39 | 61 | - | - | 100 | 352 |
| 1974 | - | - | 100 | - | 100 | 808 |
| 1975 | 11 | 86 | 3 | - | 100 | 1,113 |
| 1976 | 30 | 50 | 20 | 1 | 100 | 622 |
| 1977 | 14 | 84 | 2 | - | 100 | 313 |
| 1978 | 17 | 83 | - | - | 100 | 49 |
| 1966-78 Mean | 29 | 54 | 15 | 2 | 100 | 366 |

Table 4.15 (cont'd).

| Year | Quarter |  |  |  |  | Total <br> landings <br> ( t ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | II | III | IV | T |  |
| Reef Island |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1968 | 99 | - | - | - | 99 | 151 |
| 1969 | 74 | 25 | 1 | - | 100 | 276 |
| 1970 | 100 | - | - | - | 100 | 100 |
| 1971 | 46 | 54 | - | - | 100 | 243 |
| 1972 | 98 | 2 | - | - | 100 | 167 |
| 1973 | 55 | 33 | - | 12 | 100 | 420 |
| 1974 | 55 | 31 | 1 | 14 | 101 | 327 |
| 1975 | 30 | 51 | 1 | 17 | 99 | 338 |
| 1976 | 70 | 26 | 3 | 1 | 100 | 580 |
| 1977 | 82 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 100 | 206 |
| 1978 | 77 | 16 | - | 7 | 100 | 160 |
| 1968-78 Mean | 71 | 22 | 1 | 5 | 99 | 270 |

${ }^{a}$ Excluding 1960-61 and 1977.


Fig. 4.1. Trawl landings of Pacific cod by major statistical area, combined Canada-U.S. versus Canadian only (solid bars), 1950-1978.


Fig. 4.3. Trawling grounds off Southwest Vancouver Island.


Fig. 4.5. Trawling grounds in Hecate Strait.

### 5.1 Introduction

Lingcod (Ophiodon elongatus) are fished commercially in waters off Canada's west coast by bottom trawl, handiine, troll, longline, and, to a lesser extent, by sunken gill-nets, traps, beach seines and spear-fishing methods. Historically, both Canadian and U.S. fishermen have participated in the trawl fishery, but unknown and presumably insignificant quantities have also been caught by distant water trawlers since 1965 . Other commercial fisheries for lingcod have been solely Canadian except for incidental catches by the U.S. halibut fleet and foreign longliners fishing for blackcod. As well as being of commercial interests, lingcod are landed by recreational fishermen using jig, troll, and spear fishing gear.

Over its long history dating from the early years of this century, the commercial fishery for lingcod has evolved from a traditional line fishery to a trawl-oriented fishery in most areas. However, the bulk of lingcod landed from the relatively sheltered inside waters of Vancouver Island is still caught by line gear.

Delineation of lingcod stocks is not clearly understood, since taggings have been conducted in only a few areas. Results, such as they are, suggest that the lingcod do not migrate extensively and probably exist as a large number of local stocks along the Ganadian coast. For present purposes, the state of the lingcod resource will be examined in terms of major statistical areas, on the assumption that negligible intermingling occurs among these areas (Fig. 1).

### 5.2 Abundance indices

One method used as an index of lingcod abundance was selected in order to cover as much of the fishery as possible, namely the United States and Canadian trawl fisheries. The index is obtained by dividing the total Canada-U.S. landings of lingcod by the total fishing effort of both countries. 12 This will be referred to as TOTAL CPUE. In multispecies trawl fisheries it is difficult if not impossible to identify accurately the effective fishing effort directed at a single species. In some areas much of the U.S. fishing effort is directed to species which are clearly beyond
${ }^{12}$ Sources of data: 1950-55, Ketchen (1976); 1956-77, Pacific Marine Fisheries Commission Data Series; 1978, Provisional.
the bathymetric range of lingcod. Although TOTAL CPUE is based on total Canada-U.S. production, this method will include effort directed to species other than lingcod and will therefore bias CPUE calculations based on total Canada-U.S. effort.

There are several techniques for eliminating extraneous effort, but for present purposes attention will be confined to separation of Canadian fishing effort according to three levels of landing qualification. For example, we may confine the analysis to those hauls or trips in which lingcod constitute say $25 \%$ or more of the landing. Dividing this landing by the corresponding fishing effort yields what we shall call a Type-1 CPUE. Alternatively, we may examine those hauls or trips in which lingcod were recorded - regardless of proportion of the total landing. In other words the landing qualification level is $>0 \%$, which, when divided by the corresponding effort provides a Type-2 CPUE. Finally, we may obtain a Type3 CPUE in which the catch of lingcod is divided by all fishing effort whether it resulted in the capture of lingcod or not.

In the situation where an actual decline in abundance is in progress year by year, Type-1 CPUE may underestimate the decline, since no allowance is made for the possibility that the number of qualifying hauls or trips is diminishing and the analysis is being confined to only the most successful operations. At the other extreme, Type-3 CPUE will tend to overestimate the decline, because no allowance is made for the possibility that fishermen have turned their attention to species more remunerative than lingcod.

### 5.3 Strait of Georgia and vicinity (Area 4B)

### 5.3.1 Landing statistics

In Area 4B, lingcod have been caught by Canadian commercial vessels using handline, troll, traw1, and longline fishing gear. Quantities of lingcod are also taken by spear-fishing and sport-fishing gear.

The trawl fishery in the Strait of Georgia has accounted for only about $10 \%$ of the total annual commercial lingcod production since 1965. For this reason trawl catch and effort statistics are of little value in assessing state of stocks. Incomplete biological data have also precluded analysis based on trends in size composition. Suffice it to say that over the long term, trawl production of lingcod has shown little or no trend (Fig. 5.2A), except for a brief flurry of activity in 1959 and 1960 associated with the discovery and fishing down of a stock inhabiting waters near Victoria (Ketchen, ed. 1980).

Production from the commercial handline and troll fisheries account for about $88 \%$ of the annual commercial landings since 1965 . Landing records for the line fishery are only reported on sales slips that do not
permit the separation of handline landings from troll landings. 13 Landings from the commercial handline/troll fisheries have declined substantially from an average of $1,330 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{yr}$ during $1951-62$ to little more than $375 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{yr}$ during 1973-78 (Table 5.1; Fig. 5.1A). Since 1967 handine/troll effort (boat-days) has been partitioned between that directed primarily at lingcod and that directed primarily at salmonids. During 1967-75 the decline in handline/troll lingcod landings was closely paralleled by a decline in the effort directed to lingcod (Fig. 5.1B). CPUE during this period remained fairly constant. After 1975 handline/troll effort increased substantially, however landings remained at low levels. CPUE subsequently declined, reaching a record low in 1978. At this time we do not have an estimate of the sports fishing effort applied to lingcod, but in 1976 an estimated 270 t , excluding SCUBA catches, were taken from the Strait of Georgia (R. Boyd, personal communication).

### 5.3.2 Stock condition

Of primary concern is the pronounced $70 \%$ decline in the average handline/troll landings of lingcod from the 1951-62 period to the 1973-78 period. The decline in 1ingcod handline/troll landings and effort during 1967-75 indicates the drop in landings resulted from a reduction in the amount of fishing effort. The decline in handline/troll CPUE after 1975 to a recorded low in 1978 indicates stocks have declined in recent years. The reason(s) for the decline in handline/troll landings prior to 1967 are difficult to explain using sales slip information because of the problems associated with determining the amount of effort directed for lingcod. In an attempt to provide an additional assessment of the condition of the lingcod stocks, a survey of commercial line and recreational fishermen, including SCUBA divers was conducted in February 1979. The preliminary results indicate that of the fishermen who fished lingcod intentionally in the Strait of Georgia for five or more years, $73 \%$ feel lingcod stocks have declined. Of the fishermen who fished lingcod intentionally for 10 or more years, $82 \%$ feel stocks have declined. Although these results are preliminary, they support evidence from catch and effort analysis indicating stocks have declined.

### 5.3.3 Recommendations

The growing sports fishery including SCUBA divers and the declining production of the commercial line fishery in the presence of increasing fishing pressure since 1975 are indicative of a decline in lingcod abundance. Therefore, we recommend that some conservation measures be adopted. The timing of the winter fishing closure prior to 1979 appears to be based on little empirical evidence. Recent studies (Low and Beamish 1978) indicate the pre-spawning aggregation of lingcod begins in November. Spawning occurs in January and February and the peak hatching period occurs in March. Starting in November with pre-spawning aggregation and lasting until hatching is completed (occasionally as late as June), male lingcod

[^8]assume a territorial behaviour pattern that makes them highly vulnerable to fishing. The presence of the male during incubation is absolutely essential for the survival of the nest and consequently forms a primary basis for maintaining lingcod stocks. In order to provide greater protection during the pre-spawning aggregation, spawning and nesting periods and to reduce the catch in an attempt to rebuild stocks, it is recommended that the closed season, for all types of fishing, extend from November 15 to April 15. This will also prevent landings at a time when the quality of the flesh is allegedly poor. It is also recommended that the regulation presently preventing the retention of lingcod that are less than 58 cm in total length by the commercial fishery be expanded to include removals by the sports fishery as well.

Due to reported violations of existing regulations, primarily by recreational line fishermen and spear fishermen, an intensification of enforcement is recommended during the closure and during the open season to enforce the existing bag limit on recreational catches, including SCUBA, and to prevent the retention of under-sized lingcod ( $\langle 58 \mathrm{~cm}-\mathrm{total}$ length).

Ichthyoplankton studies are planned for 1980 to monitor the impact of the closure on year-class strength. In addition, a logbook program was implemented in 1979 to provide more adequate coverage of the commercial line fishery.

### 5.4 Southwest coast of Vancouver Island (Area 3C)

### 5.4.1 Landing statistics

Trawling grounds off the southwest coast of Vancouver Island are the most important in terms of 1 ingcod production from waters off Canada. During 1973-78, an average of $1,000 \mathrm{t}$ or $54 \%$ of all Canada-U.S. trawl-caught lingcod landed from international statistical areas adjacent to the Canadian coast originated in Area 3C. In recent years trawl production has declined substantially, from about $1,800 \mathrm{t}$ in 1976 to a recorded low of 440 t in 1978. The decline is, in part, the result of a $53 \%$ decline in effort by the Canadian trawl fleet during 1977-78 and the absence of U.S. activity in Canadian waters since the closure to U.S. trawlers in June 1978. The decline in production must also be attributed, in part, to declining abundance as indicated by falling CPUE (Total, and Canadian Type 2, and 3) throughout 1975-78 (Table 5.2; Fig. 5.2, 5.3).

Historically, trawl production from this area has fluctuated substantially ( $440-2,000 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{yr}$ ) in a quasi-cyclical manner (without trend) since at least 1950 (Table 5.2; Fig. 5.2). Production from the line fishery has also fluctuated without trend, but the fluctuations have been modest (100-300 t/yr).

Fluctuations in TOTAL CPUE have closely paralleled total trawl landings, as a reflection of highly variable natural fluctuations in recruitment. Evidence in support of this view is contained in length-frequency data which show an above-average presence of small (young) fish when CPUE is rising and below-average when CPUE is declining.

### 5.4.2 Stock condition

There are no outstanding differences in trends exhibited by the three CPUE estimates for the Canadian fishery (Fig. 5.3B). All three, including TOTAL CPUE (Fig. 5.2), do not reflect any long term trend in stock abundance, however during 1975-78 stocks have declined to a low level of abundance. The fact that production has declined considerably since 1975 warrants some concern especially if it is related to recent stock depletion.

### 5.4.3 Recommendations

A fishing closure for all types of lingcod fishing is recommended for the period November 15 to April 15. This will prevent landings of lingcod when the quality of the flesh is allegedly poor and will remove fishing pressure at a time when stocks are highly vulnerable. Catch records for 1978-79 indicate a closure during the November 15-April 15 period will not affect the rockfish and Pacific cod trawl fisheries off the west coast of Vancouver Island. In addition, a provisional total allowable catch (TAC) of 600 t of lingcod is recommended for Area 3 C during the open season and is designed to maintain catches at present levels.

### 5.5 Northwest coast of Vancouver Island (Area 3D)

### 5.5.1 Landing statistics

Canada-U.S. trawl landings of lingcod from the northwest coast of Vancouver Island were relatively low during the period 1950-64, frequently being lower than those of the line fisheries (Table 5.2; Fig. 5.4). However, during 1965-70, Canadian effort in Area 3D increased substantially and was directed primarily at lingcod. During this time the Canadian trawl fleet was responsible for $84 \%$ of the Canada-U.S. lingcod trawl landings compared to an expenditure of only $36 \%$ of the Canada-U.S. trawl effort. The fact that lingcod was the target species is supported by the closeness (relative to Area 3C) of the Type-1, -2 , and -3 CPUE estimates (Fig. 5.5A). Catch reached a peak of 870 t in 1968 but fell abruptly between then and 1972. All three Canadian CPUE estimates paralleled the fluctuations in annual trawl landings and Total CPUE during the 1959-78 course. The sharp decline in Canadian effort between 1971 and 1972 (Fig. 5.5) suggests that abundance of lingcod fell to a level which could no longer support a target fishery. From 1972 onwards calculated fishing effort stabilized at a low level even though CPUE rebounded sharply in 1974 and again in 1978 to levels comparable to that which prevailed in 1968. The small line fishery has fluctuated without trend during the 1951-78 period.

### 5.5.2 Stock condition

The fluctuation in annual trawl landings paralleled by similar fluctuations in CPUE estimates indicate landings are related to natural
variability in recruitment. Canadian CPUE estimates indicate stocks have undergone a relatively recent (1978) surge of recruitment similar to that which peaked in 1974 and 1968. In summary, Canadian CPUE has fluctuated substantially during 1959-78, but without trend indicating stocks in Area 3D are not being depleted, assuming that a more obvious decline in CPUE is not being masked by increased fishing efficiency.

### 5.5.3 Recommendations

A closure covering the November 15 -April 15 period is recommended for all types of lingcod fishing and as indicated for Area 3C (Sec. 5.4.3) should not disrupt the trawl fishery for other species. Although stocks do not appear depleted the close proximity of Area 3D with Area 3C makes it difficult to separate Area 3D from Area 3C with respect to a closure without jeopardizing the effectiveness of the closure in Area 3C. A provisional TAC of 200 t is recommended during the open season, subject to review in mid-season or at such a time when the assigned quota becomes fully utilized.

### 5.6 Cape Scott grounds (Area 5A)

### 5.6.1 Landing statistics

Total Canada-U.S. trawl landings followed an irregular upward trend from 1950 to 1968 (Table 5.2; Fig. 5.6). Apparently this was the result of increased effort, which did not reach a peak until 1969 (Fig. 5.7), after which time both effort and landed catch followed a general decline through 1975. Landings and effort increased slightly in 1976 however, landings have remained at relatively low levels throughout the 1971-78 period. During the 1961-78 period Canadian Type-1 and Type-2 CPUE exhibit a slight downward trend. Total CPUE, on the other hand, followed a sharp downward course after 1968 paralleling the decline in landings (Table 5.2; Fig. 5.6): this inconsistency might be attributed to extraneous U.S. fishing effort (directed to rockfish) prompted by declining lingcod abundance or increasing markets of other species (rockfish) or both. This would tend to exaggerate a CPUE decline in lingcod, if fishing effort was being directed to other species beyond the normal bathymetric range of lingcod. Although there has been an increase in line production since 1964, landings from the line fishery have been negligible.

### 5.6.2 Stock condition

There has been a gradual downward trend in CPUE during the past decade which was less evident in the Type-1 than Type-2 and-3 CPUE analysis (Fig. 5.7). No strong fluctuations in recruitment (as in Areas 3C and 3D) were evident except during 1967-69 when one or more strong year-clas ses evidently produced the sudden and substantial increase in production. Disregarding this peak, there has been a gradual downward trend in CPUE.

### 5.6.3 Recommendations

CPUE (Total Canada-U.S. and Canadian Type 2 and 3) declined to record lows in 1977 and showed little appreciable recovery in 1978. Concern for lingcod stocks is warranted, particularly if fishing efficiency has increased. A provisional TAC of $100 t$ is recommended, subject to review in mid-season. This will permit landings at present levels.

### 5.7 Goose Island grounds (Area 5B)

### 5.7.1 Landing statistics

Following a pattern rather similar to that observed in Area 5A, Canada-U.S. trawl production of lingcod from the Goose Island grounds rose to a peak of $1,050 t$ in 1968 as a result of increasing effort. A sharp drop in landings occurred immediately thereafter (Table 5.2; Fig. 5.8) though total effort remained relatively high. Landings stabilized from 1969-75 at 400-500 t and then entered another decline reaching 162 t in 1978 , the lowest point since 1957.

Canadian CPUE estimates may not reflect abundance as well in Area 5 B as in other areas because in some years Canadian landings were a small proportion of the total catch. Trends in CPUE estimates (all 3 types) were, however, similar to those of Area 5A, exhibiting a slight long-term decline that is more apparent in the Type 2 and Type 3 estimates (Fig. 5.9).

Landings of line-caught lingcod have remained small throughout the 1951-78 period, however in years of poor trawl production, landings from the line fishery equalled those of the Canadian trawl fleet.
5.7.2 Stock condition

The lingcod stock in Area $5 B$ appears to be in a similar condition to that in Area 5A. That is CPUE is currently at levels lower than previous years.

### 5.7.3 Recommendation

A TAC of 200 t is recommended for lingcod in Area 5B. This will be reviewed in mid-season.

### 5.8 Hecate Strait and Dixon Entrance (Area 5C and 5D)

### 5.8.1 Landing statistics

Throughout the history of the Canada-U.S. trawl fishery in Hecate Strait and Dixon Entrance, lingcod has never been an important species.

Landings have averaged about $180 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{yr}$ since 1950. Peak production of 380 t was reached in 1968, as in Areas 3D, 5A, and 5B. Although production in large measure is a function of fishing effort, the 1968 peak and adjacent years may have marked a period of above-average recruitment. Production has been marked by a general decline since 1968 and reached a recorded low in 1978 (Tab1e 5.2; Fig. 5.10).

Landings by the line fishery, as in most other areas have been less than those of the trawl fishery. However, since 1971 production from the line fishery has been almost equal to that of the trawl fishery.

### 5.8.2 Stock condition

Abundance of lingcod in Hecate Strait and Dixon Entrance appears to be currently at relatively low levels based on Canada-U.S. trawl landings (Table 5.2; Fig. 5.9) and Canadian CPUE (Fig. 5.10; 5.11). However, the species is a minor component in the trawl and line landings.

### 5.8.3 Recommendations

A provisional TAC of 200 t is recommended for 1 ingcod in Areas 5C
+5 D . This will be reviewed in mid-season.

### 5.9 West coast of the Queen Charlotte Islands (Area 5E)

Trawling in waters off the west coast of the Queen Charlotte Islands was first reported in late 1976. The incidental landing of lingcod was 0.03 t . In the much-expanded rockfish fishery of the following two years, lingcod production was again incidental. The lingcod catch in 1977 and 1978 was 4.6 t and 3.4 t , respectively (Table 5.2). A small line fishery has been active since at least 1951, probably occurring in sheltered inlets. Landings during the past decade have averaged about $12 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{yr}$.

### 5.10 General summary of lingcod stock conditions

Except for the early growth period of offshore trawl fisheries, production of lingcod appears to be dependent on recruitment. This dependence is most evident in Areas 3 C and 3 D and less so in grounds further to the north (Areas $5 \mathrm{~A}-5 \mathrm{D}$ ). In the latter areas the most recent surge of recruitment was around 1968 and abundance is at a relatively low level, showing some indication of decline. Stocks off the west coast of Vancouver Island (Areas 3 C and 3 D ) have received more recent surges of recruitment (ca. 1974) however abundance in Area 3C is currently at a low level. There is insufficient evidence to say whether intervention in the fishery would have any measurable impact. The current minimum size limit of 58 cm permits capture of a large number of 3-year-olds which are immature ( $50 \%$ of the fish are mature at 75 cm ). Assuming that mesh size cannot be increased because it would result in excessive gilling of the ubiquitous dogfish, the benefit of a larger minimum size limit would depend on the survival prospects for discards and their later potential yield. Research has been proposed to address the problems associated with survival of discards.

Table 5.1. Lingcod production statistics from Area 4B by handline/troll vessels, 1951-78.a

| Year | Landings (t) | Effort (boat-days) | CPUE (t/boat-day) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1951 | $1,279.4$ | - | - |
| 1952 | $1,488.7$ | - | - |
| 1953 | $1,178.8$ | - | - |
| 1954 | $1,449.3$ | - | - |
| 1955 | $1,157.4$ | - | - |
| 1956 | $1,510.7$ | - | - |
| 1957 | $1,539.6$ | - | - |
| 1958 | $1,445.7$ | - | - |
| 1959 | $1,182.9$ | - | - |
| 1960 | $1,250.5$ | - | - |
| 1961 | $1,157.5$ | - | - |
| 1962 | $1,272.8$ | - | - |
| 1963 | 989.2 | 4,776 | - |
| 1964 | 870.3 | 4,758 | 0.163 |
| 1965 | 779.7 | 4,974 | 0.153 |
| 1966 | 771.3 | 4,065 | 0.176 |
| 1967 | 778.5 | 3,973 | 0.194 |
| 1968 | 728.0 | 3,693 | 0.142 |
| 1969 | 875.5 | 2,353 | 0.139 |
| 1970 | 788.7 | 2,393 | 0.158 |
| 1971 | 564.1 | 1,933 | 0.152 |
| 1972 | 513.3 | 2,607 | 0.171 |
| 1973 | 371.9 | 4,508 | 0.121 |
| 1974 | 363.7 | 5,503 | 0.091 |
| 1975 | 330.5 |  | 0.083 |
| 1976 | 315.5 | 410.2 |  |

abritish Columbia Catch Statistics, Department of Fisheries and Environment, Annual Reports 1951-78.

Table 5.2. Canada-U.S. lingcod production ( $t$ ) in waters off Canada's west coast, by area, 1950-78.

| Year | Area 4B |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total traw ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Total traw ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Line fishery ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
|  | landings ( $t$ ) | $\operatorname{CPUE}(\mathrm{t} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | landings ( $t$ ) |
| 1950 | 34.5 | - | - |
| 1951 | 48.1 | - | 1,317.1 |
| 1952 | 54.0 | - | 1,512.5 |
| 1953 | 28.1 | - | 1,187.4 |
| 1954 | 68.0 | - | 1,462.3 |
| 1955 | 49.0 | - | 1,169.2 |
| 1956 | 49.4 | - | 1,523.9 |
| 1957 | 34.5 | - | 1,545.8 |
| 1958 | 75.7 | - | 1,451.2 |
| 1959 | 310.3 | - | 1,192.1 |
| 1960 | 198.7 | 0.018 | 1,280.2 |
| 1961 | 102.1 | 0.011 | 1,199.9 |
| 1962 | 75.7 | 0.008 | 1,292.6 |
| 1963 | 39.9 | 0.004 | 1,002.1 |
| 1964 | 92.1 | 0.008 | 877.9 |
| 1965 | 93.9 | 0.012 | 788.7 |
| 1966 | 53.1 | 0.010 | 798.8 |
| 1967 | 50.8 | 0.007 | 793.0 |
| 1968 | 83.9 | 0.014 | 740.5 |
| 1969 | 64.9 | 0.010 | 905.9 |
| 1970 | 47.6 | 0.008 | 819.7 |
| 1971 | 55.3 | 0.008 | 598.5 |
| 1972 | 36.7 | 0.008 | 533.5 |
| 1973 | 15.0 | 0.002 | 403.2 |
| 1974 | 49.0 | 0.008 | 381.2 |
| 1975 | 33.1 | 0.005 | 368.1 |
| 1976 | 43.5 | 0.007 | 334.6 |
| 1977 | 27.4 | 0.004 | 435.2 |
| 1978 | 42.7 | 0.007 | 507.8 |

Table 5.2 (cont'd).

| Year | Area 3C |  |  | Area 3D |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total traw1 ${ }^{b}$ landings( $t$ ) | Total traw1 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ CPUE ( $\mathrm{t} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Line fishery }{ }^{c} \\ \text { landings }(t) \end{gathered}$ | Total traw ${ }^{\text {b }}$ landings( $t$ ) | Total trawl ${ }^{\text {b }}$ CPUE ( $\mathrm{t} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Line fishery }{ }^{\text {c }} \\ \text { landings }(t) \end{gathered}$ |
| 1950 | 970.7 | - | - | 135.6 | - | - |
| 1951 | 978.4 | - | 210.7 | 122.9 | - | 166.5 |
| 1952 | 766.1 | - | 188.7 | 115.2 | - | 184.2 |
| 1953 | 625.5 | - | 82.4 | 72.6 | - | 88.0 |
| 1954 | 781.1 | - | 239.5 | 59.0 | - | 105.9 |
| 1955 | 1,246.9 | - | 167.5 | 141.1 | - | 92.5 |
| 1956 | 1,142.1 | - | 154.5 | 164.2 | - | 124.2 |
| 1957 | 1,035.6 | - | 293.0 | 129.7 | - | 134.6 |
| 1958 | 1,018.3 | - | 154.4 | 110.2 | - | 119.5 |
| 1959 | 1,743.2 | 0.192 | 179.6 | 64.0 | 0.016 | 93.4 |
| 1960 | 1,866.5 | 0.158 | 216.8 | 87.1 | 0.023 | 105.5 |
| 1961 | 1,971.3 | 0.136 | 135.4 | 199.6 | 0.042 | 114.8 |
| 1962 | 889.9 | 0.057 | 226.4 | 285.8 | 0.037 | 103.1 |
| 1963 | 645.5 | 0.049 | 152.0 | 115.2 | 0.023 | 121.4 |
| 1964 | 1,183.0 | 0.110 | 100.0 | 225.9 | 0.070 | 84.5 |
| 1965 | 1,889.2 | 0.120 | 121.2 | 505.3 | 0.117 | 89.6 |
| 1966 | 2,053.4 | 0.155 | 156.9 | 584.7 | 0.146 | 135.1 |
| 1967 | 1,784.0 | 0.181 | 244.2 | 459.5 | 0.152 | 165.8 |
| 1968 | 1,693.7 | 0.201 | 160.6 | 868.2 | 0.226 | 107.2 |
| 1969 | 1,082.7 | 0.132 | 169.3 | 618.7 | 0.119 | 77.1 |
| 1970 | 729.8 | 0.084 | 112.3 | 455.9 | 0.075 | 157.4 |
| 1971 | 984.7 | 0.087 | 229.6 | 264.0 | 0.065 | 113.7 |
| 1972 | 629.1 | 0.048 | 165.0 | 84.8 | 0.033 | 180.8 |
| 1973 | 879.5 | 0.093 | 182.5 | 172.4 | 0.062 | 83.9 |
| 1974 | 1,044.2 | 0.108 | 224.1 | 241.8 | 0.079 | 112.7 |
| 1975 | 1,798.9 | 0.134 | 214.7 | 347.0 | 0.092 | 89.5 |
| 1976 | 1,304.5 | 0.081 | 251.0 | 245.4 | 0.090 | 90.1 |
| 1977 | 1,042.6 | 0.069 | 264.8 | 157.9 | 0.086 | 107.0 |
| 1978 | 441.1 | 0.035 | 198.7 | 197.7 | 0.035 | 93.8 |

Table 5.2 (cont'd).

| Year | Area 5A |  |  | Area 5B |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total traw1b } \\ & \text { landings }(t) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total trawl }{ }^{\mathrm{b}} \\ \text { CPUE }(\mathrm{t} / \mathrm{hr}) \end{gathered}$ | Line fishery ${ }^{c}$ <br> landings( t ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total trawl } \mathrm{b} \\ & \text { landings }(\mathrm{t}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total trawl } \\ & \text { CPUE }(t / \mathrm{hr}) \end{aligned}$ | Line fishery ${ }^{\text {c }}$ <br> landings( t ) |
| 1950 | 65.8 | - | - | 140.2 | - | - |
| 1951 | 264.0 | - | 1.1 | 193.2 | - | 33.2 |
| 1952 | 288.0 | - | 5.4 | 188.2 | - | 32.1 |
| 1953 | 42.2 | - | 0.6 | 103.4 | - | 2.9 |
| 1954 | 47.6 | - | 5.5 | 168.7 | - | 4.4 |
| 1955 | 373.3 | - | 0.0 | 161.5 | - | 18.4 |
| 1956 | 346.5 | - | 2.1 | 250.4 | - | 33.0 |
| 1957 | 417.8 | - | 1.2 | 162.4 | - | 10.6 |
| 1958 | 304.8 | - | 0.1 | 267.6 | - | 1.7 |
| 1959 | 192.3 | 0.033 | 0.1 | 428.6 | 0.140 | 3.7 |
| 1960 | 280.3 | 0.056 | 1.8 | 377.4 | 0.079 | 20.8 |
| 1961 | 387.8 | 0.097 | 1.1 | 323.0 | 0.082 | 47.4 |
| 1962 | 531.2 | 0.105 | 10.6 | 406.9 | 0.076 | 57.9 |
| 1963 | 285.3 | 0.061 | 7.7 | 357.0 | 0.047 | 68.4 |
| 1964 | 352.0 | 0.063 | 5.2 | 335.2 | 0.050 | 27.7 |
| 1965 | 331.1 | 0.058 | 15.6 | 566.1 | 0.084 | 7.4 |
| 1966 | 706.7 | 0.086 | 23.6 | 826.4 | 0.087 | 35.2 |
| 1967 | 759.3 | 0.093 | 21.3 | 900.8 | 0.087 | 18.5 |
| 1968 | 1,226.5 | 0.124 | 81.8 | 1,042.8 | 0.102 | 15.5 |
| 1969 | 616.9 | 0.049 | 28.8 | 516.6 | 0.038 | 27.7 |
| 1970 | 590.1 | 0.067 | 28.6 | 389.6 | 0.040 | 53.3 |
| 1971 | 229.5 | 0.034 | 29.0 | 414.6 | 0.043 | 29.0 |
| 1972 | 163.7 | 0.028 | 50.4 | 476.3 | 0.040 | 58.3 |
| 1973 | 232.2 | 0.035 | 36.3 | 349.3 | 0.039 | 30.0 |
| 1974 | 338.8 | 0.059 | 38.8 | 532.1 | 0.055 | 44.4 |
| 1975 | 82.1 | 0.023 | 40.1 | 450.9 | 0.039 | 34.4 |
| 1976 | 257.6 | 0.037 | 38.8 | 345.2 | 0.049 | 52.6 |
| 1977 | 120.9 | 0.018 | 45.7 | 257.0 | 0.036 | 31.9 |
| 1978 | 126.9 | 0.030 | 17.9 | 161.9 | 0.031 | 11.0 |

Table 5.2 (cont'd).

| Year | Areas 5C-5D |  |  | Area 5E |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total trawl ${ }^{b}$ landings( $t$ ) | Total trawl ${ }^{b}$ CPUE (t/hr) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Line fishery }{ }^{\text {c }} \\ & \text { landings }(t) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total traw }{ }^{b} \\ & \text { landings }(t) \end{aligned}$ | Total traw ${ }^{b}$ CPUE (t/hr) | Line fishery ${ }^{\text {c }}$ landings( t ) |
| 1950 | 190.1 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - |
| 1951 | 249.0 | - | 52.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.8 |
| 1952 | 230.0 | - | 46.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 |
| 1953 | 87.1 | - | 5.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| 1954 | 99.3 | - | 9.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 |
| 1955 | 198.2 | - | 3.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| 1956 | 100.7 | - | 4.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| 1957 | 125.2 | - | 5.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.9 |
| 1958 | 278.1 | - | 8.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| 1959 | 117.5 | 0.012 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 |
| 1960 | 154.2 | 0.018 | 21.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 |
| 1961 | 95.7 | 0.013 | 33.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 |
| 1962 | 112.9 | 0.017 | 53.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 |
| 1963 | 146.1 | 0.021 | 65.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.5 |
| 1964 | 214.5 | 0.025 | 42.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.6 |
| 1965 | 255.4 | 0.024 | 63.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.3 |
| 1966 | 264.4 | 0.022 | 46.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.4 |
| 1967 | 325.2 | 0.031 | 61.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.5 |
| 1968 | 382.8 | 0.031 | 42.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.7 |
| 1969 | 243.1 | 0.020 | 91.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.1 |
| 1970 | 208.7 | 0.021 | 118.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.1 |
| 1971 | 264.9 | 0.026 | 135.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.3 |
| 1972 | 151.5 | 0.020 | 127.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.6 |
| 1973 | 122.0 | 0.018 | 92.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.4 |
| 1974 | 119.7 | 0.019 | 112.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.1 |
| 1975 | 172.8 | 0.017 | 105.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.4 |
| 1976 | 98.8 | 0.007 | 73.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.1 |
| 1977 | 119.8 | 0.011 | 62.6 | 4.6 | 0.004 | 5.0 |
| 1978 | 48.1 | 0.006 | 64.9 | 3.4 | 0.003 | 6.9 |

${ }^{\text {a Landings }}$ do not include production from recreational fisheries, shrimp trawling, trap, gillnet, beach seining or spear-fishing.
bources: 1950-55, Ketchen (1976); 1956-77, Pacific Marine Fisheries Commission. Data Series; 1978, provisional.
c1951-78. British Columbia Catch Statistics, Department of Fisheries and Environment, Annual Reports.



Fig. 5.1. Lingcod catch statistics for Area 4B (Canadian portion of the inside waters off Vancouver Island): A. Handline/troll and trawl landings ( $t$ ), 1950-78. B. Handline/troll landings ( $t$ ), effort (boat-days) and CPUE ( $t /$ boat day), 1967-78.


Fig. 5.2. Lingcod catch statistics for Area 3C (southwest Vancouver Island) 1950-78: A. Total trawl effort (hr), Canada-U. S. and Ganada. B. Total landings (t); CanadaU.S. and Ganada trawl, and Canada line; and total Canada-U.S. CPUE (t/hr).


Fig. 5.3. Interviewed Canadian fishing effort (A) and CPUE (B) for lingcod in Area 3G, 1959-78.


Fig. 5.4. Lingcod catch statistics for Area 3D (northwest Vancouver Island) 1950-78: A. Total trawl effort (hr), Canada-U. S. and Canada. B. Total landings ( $t$ ); CanadaU. S. and Canada trawl, and Canada line; and total Ganada-U.S. GPUE ( $\mathrm{t} / \mathrm{hr}$ ).


Fig. 5.5. Interviewed Canadian fishing effort (A) and CPUE (B) for lingcod in Area 3D, 1959-78.


Fig. 5.6. Lingcod catch statistics for Area 5A (Cape Scott grounds) 1950-78: A. Total trawl effort (hr), Ganada-U. S. and Canada. B. Total landings ( $t$ ); Canada-U.S. and Canada trawl, and Canada line; and total Canada-U.S. CPUE (t/hr).
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Fig. 5.7. Interviewed Canadian fishing effort (A) and CPUE (B) for lingcod in Area 5A, 1959-78.


Fig. 5.8. Lingcod catch statistics for Area 5B (Goose Island grounds) 1950-78: A. Total trawl effort (hr), Canada-U. S. and Canada. B. Total trawl landings ( $t$ ); Canada-U.S. and Canada trawl, and Canada line; and total Canada-U.S. CPUE (t/hr).



Fig. 5.9. . Interviewed Canadian fishing effort (A) and CPUE (B) for lingcod in Area 5B; 1959-78.


Fig. 5.10. Lingcod catch statistics for Area 5C and 5D (Hecate Strait and Dixon Entrance) 1950-78: A. Total trawl effort (hr), Canada-U.S. and Canada. B. Total trawl landings ( $t$ ); Canada-U. S. and Canada trawl, and Canada line; and total Canada-U.S. CPUE ( $t / \mathrm{hr}$ ).



Fig. 5.11. Interviewed Canadian fishing effort (A) and CPUE (B) for lingcod in Areas 5C and 5D, 1959-78.

### 6.1 History of the fishery

During 1940-49, dogfish (Squalus acanthias) were the object of an intensive liver fishery along the British Columbia coast which reached a peak production of about $35,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 1944. With the development of synthetic Vitamin A, and importation of low-priced liver products, in 1949, the fishery collapsed and remained dormant until 1958. During 1959-75 a total of eleven subsidy programs resulted in a small dogfish fishery that took place mainly in the Strait of Georgia. During 1959-62, the sole aim of the subsidy was to reduce dogfish abundance below what was considered a nuisance level. The last three subsidies, starting in 1973, established potential foodfish markets but economic and processing difficulties kept production at low levels. Since the end of the subsidy programs, production has risen from 175 t in 1976 to 3,100 t in 1978. A11 of this production was for human consumption abroad.

### 6.2 Stock assessment

The absence of a continuing fishery for dogfish makes it very difficult to clearly define the number of stocks present in the Canadian zone or to determine current stock size. Catch and effort statistics from the '1iver fishery' of the 1940 's indicate that the virgin biomass of marketable stock (approximately $>75 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) in British Columbia waters was in the order of $200,000 \mathrm{t}$. These statistics also suggest that the marketable stock was reduced to perhaps as little as one quarter of its primitive abundance by a fishery which averaged about $15,000 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{yr}$ between 1937 and 1949 - a period of 13 years (Ketchen 1969).

A discrete-time, deterministic 'age-structure' mode1 incorporating recent information on growth and reproduction of dogfish in the northeast Pacific has been developed to assess the overall potential of the dogfish resource (Wood et al. 1979). The analysis treats dogfish in British Columbia waters as a single stock and utilizes the estimate of primitive abundance and historical catch data to simulate past fisheries (Fig. 6.1). The model predicted that the liver fishery reduced the marketable biomass to at least half of its primitive abundance by 1949 , but that the stock would recover as early as 1960 , due to the considerable biomass of juveniles, inhabiting mid-water regions, that would have escaped the fishery. This apparent recovery is corroborated by the growing number of complaints about the 'dogfish problem' as early as the late 1950's which led to the introduction of the subsidized 'eradication fishery' of 1959-62. But while the marketable stock appeared to recover quickly, it is likely that the population is approaching its historic levels. The present biomass of marketable stock is probably in the order of $120,000-150,000 \mathrm{t}$.

### 6.3 Sustainable yield

From the biomass estimates it might be expected that the current stock(s) off British Columbia could support a substantial fishery
indefinitely. However, because of the unique biological features of the dogfish, it is extremely sensitive to overfishing.

If the stocks were to be fished with the object of obtaining a maximum sustainable yield of large fish (approximately $>75 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), the yield would be only about $8,000-10,000 \mathrm{t}$ with one-third ( $3,000 \mathrm{t}$ ) assignable to the Strait of Georgia and the remaining two-thirds ( $6,000 \mathrm{t}$ ) to all other areas. It is important to note that production from the Strait of Georgia utilized $94 \%$ of the $3,000 \mathrm{t}$ quota assigned to that area in 1978. However, at present, it is not possible to adequately assess the impact of the recently intensified longline fishery on the abundance of marketable stock using catch and effort statistics. This is because of difficulties in standardizing an appropriate measure of effort for the period prior to September 1978.

There are other possible fishing strategies which can be employed depending on whether the dogfish stock is to be maintained at its MSY or is to be reduced as efficiently and quickly as possible to a level where the species ceases to be a nuisance to fisheries for other species. A periodic fishery which attempts to fish dogfish intensively for short periods can harvest up to $16,000 \mathrm{t}$ per year ( $11,000 \mathrm{t}$ in Zones 5 and 3) of fish larger than 78 cm and may reduce abundance below some "nuisance" level. If our calculations of stock size are correct, then the stocks could not sustain this fishing pressure for more than $10-15 \mathrm{yr}$ and would quickly be reduced to levels where fishing would discontinue for economic reasons.

A periodic fishery will only reduce the dogfish stocks below a "nuisance" level for a short time ( $5-15 \mathrm{yr}$ ). Because a considerable biomass of juvenile dogfish exist in the midwater, they are not fished and become recruited into the fishable stock after a fishery ends. This recruitment of juveniles from the midwater, and the disruptions in age structure caused by a periodic fishery, will result in oscillations in abundance (Fig. 6.2, 6.3). A sustained fishery will also produce oscillations in abundance, but these oscillations will dampen with time (Fig. 6.2). Any type of periodic fishery will perpetuate these cycles of abundance (Fig. 6.3). Furthermore, the greater the intensity or longer the duration of the periodic fishery, the greater are the oscillations in abundance. It is somewhat paradoxical that an intensive periodic effort to reduce dogfish as a nuisance can result in a perpetuation of the problem.

### 6.4 Recommendations

It is recommended that a TAC for dogfish of $3,000 t$ be assigned for the Strait of Georgia (PMFC Area 4B) and a TAC of $6,000 \mathrm{t}$ be set to cover all other areas of the Canadian zone.


Fig. 6.1. Simulation of dogfish abundance following intensive 'liver fishery'.


Fig. 6.2. Predicted yield to hypothetical dogfish fishery at four sustained fishing rates. ( $\mathrm{F}=$ instantaneous rate of fishing mortality.)


Fig. 6.3. Abundance of dogfish predicted by model when exploited by a periodic fishery commencing every 40 yr . The upper lines in each diagram represent the total stock (i.e., all age groups) whereas the lower lines represent only the marketable-sized stock. Dashed lints prodict the abundance with no fishery; solid lines, the abundance during a $5-\mathrm{yr}$ periodic fishery; and dotted lines, the abundance during a 9 -yr periodic fishery. $F=$ instantaneous rate of fishing mortality.

## 7. ROCK SOLE STOCK ASSESSMENTS

### 7.1 Introduction

The rock sole (Lepidopsetta bilineata) is an important component of the Canadian trawl fishery off the coast of British Columbia. Commercially exploited stocks are located off the west coast of Vancouver Island, in Queen Charlotte Sound, Hecate Strait and Strait of Georgia. Canadian and U.S. vessels have traditionally participated in the rock sole fishery. In recent years, however, only Canadian trawl fishermen have landed rock sole.

In this assessment, surplus production models are presented and used to estimate stock productivity, maximum sustainable yields, corresponding effort levels and recommended TACs for 1980.

### 7.2 Commercial fishery

Since the early 1950 s, rock sole has been the dominant flatfish in trawl landings in British Columbia, and production has varied between $1,099 \mathrm{t}$ and $3,931 \mathrm{t}$ with an average of $2,038 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{yr}$ (Table 7.1). The bulk of landings have originated from fishing grounds between Cape Scott and Dixon Entrance. The principal fishing grounds are Two Peaks-Butterworth and Ole Spot, in Hecate Strait.

The period of initial exploitation was complete by 1958. This was followed by a period of lower catches with fluctuations between 1,100 and $2,200 t$ during 1959-65. A sharp rise in rock sole catch occurred in the mid-1960s followed by a rapid decline in the early 1970 s . Recently, catches have been moderate, fluctuating around the $1,500 \mathrm{t}$ level (Fig. 7.1).

### 7.3 Stock considerations

For the purpose of this analysis the rock sole resource is viewed as six discrete units or stocks (Fig. 7.2): North Hecate Strait (southern boundary $53^{\circ} 50^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ ), Middle Hecate Strait (northern boundary $53^{\circ} 50^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ ), Goose Island Ground (Area 5B), Cape Scott Ground (Area 5A), west coast of Vancouver Island (Areas 3C and 3D), and Strait of Georgia (Area 4B). these delineations are based mainly on earlier tagging studies (Forrester and Thoms on 1969).

### 7.4 Trends in catch and CPUE

A measure of abundance based on the qualified catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) was used for this investigation. Abundance of rock sole for Hecate Strait stocks was estimated using the $25 \%$ qualified CPUE from interviewed catch data. For the other stocks, $50 \%$ qualified CPUE was used as an estimate of abundance. Estimates of effort were obtained by dividing annual CPUEs into annual total landings.

The results for the various stocks of the Canadian zone are summarized in Tables 7.2-7.4. CPUE in North Hecate Strait has fluctuated widely since 1954. Rock sole abundance in this important fishing area peaked in 1960-61 and 1965, and gradually declined to a low of $0.28 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{hr}$ in 1972. In recent years, CPUE has fluctuated around $0.40 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{hr}$ (Table 7.2).

In Middle Hecate Strait production has also been quite variable. Landings peaked in 1957 and were generally high from 1966 to 1970 and then declined sharply to a low of 275 t in 1972 . In recent years landings have increased and CPUE has not shown a significant trend (Table 7.3).

On the Goose Island and Cape Scott Grounds, rock sole production showed moderate fluctuations. For the time period considered CPUE remained notably stable. Similarly no trend in CPUE is shown for the west coast of Vancouver Island and the Strait of Georgia stocks (Table 7.4).

### 7.5 Yield

The relationship between catch and effort for the years 1954 to 1979 was examined using surplus production models. Model parameters were estimated using three methods. The first involves a regression of CPUE on average fishing effort ( $\bar{f}$ ) over a number of preceding years ( $K$ ). The line (CPUE $=a-b \bar{f}$ ) ideally, will be very close to the true relation between CPUE (abundance) and effort in a steady state (Gulland 1961). Estimates of $a$ and $b$ are related to fisheries parameters as follows:

$$
\operatorname{MSY}=\frac{a^{2}}{4 b} \text { and } f_{o p t}=\frac{a}{2 b}
$$

The second method involves a difference-equation version of the Schaefer model (Walters and Hilborn 1976). Transforming the equation into a linear regression form and using the time series of CPUE and effort, estimates of biological ( $r^{\prime}, q, k$ ) and fisheries parameters (MSY, $f_{o p t}$ ) are obtained:

$$
\text { MSY }=\frac{r^{\prime} k}{4} \text { and } f_{\text {opt }}=\frac{r^{\prime}}{2 q}
$$

where: MSY $=$ maximum sustainable yield
$\mathrm{f}_{\text {opt }}=$ optimum fishing effort
$r^{\prime}=$ population growth parameter
$q=$ catchability coefficient
$k=$ unfished equilibrium population size
The third method represents a discrete-time, stochastic version of Schaefer's production model (Schnute 1977). The analysis provides estimates of biological ( $r^{\prime}, q, k$ ), fisheries (MSY, $f_{o p t}$ ), and variance parameters ( $\sigma, \mathrm{I}$ ) .

### 7.5.1 North Hecate Strait

Table 7.5 presents the results of the parameter estimates for the North Hecate Strait rock sole stock. The best fit to the data (as determined by the correlation coefficient; $r=-0.744$ ) was obtained by the Gulland linear regression model with $K=5$. The resulting estimate of MSY is 754 t with a corresponding optimal fishing effort of 1,084 hours for an optimal CPUE of $0.70 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{hr}$.

Parameters estimated by the linear Schaefer model were rejected due to the low coefficient of determination ( $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.241$ ). Similarly the dynamic Schaefer model produced a high failure rate index ( $I=0.910$ ) indicating that past and present effort levels are poor predictors of catch for the Northern Hecate Strait rock sole fishery.

### 7.5.2 Middle Hecate Strait

Table 7.6 presents the results of the parameter estimates obtained for the Middle Hecate Strait stock. The best fit to the data (as determined by the failure rate index; $I=0.85$ ) was obtained from the dynamic Schaefer model analysis (Schnute 1977). The resulting estimate of MSY is 622 t with a corresponding optimal fishing effort of 1,388 hours for an optimal CPUE of $0.45 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{hr}$. The dynamic Schaefer model gives a good fit to historical data for the Middle Hecate Strait rock sole fishery, as shown in Fig. 7.3. Parameters from the two other estimation procedures were rejected on the basis of variance considerations.

### 7.5.3 Other stocks

Tables 7.7 to 7.9 present the results of the parameter estimates obtained for Goose Island Ground, Cape Scott Ground, and west coast of Vancouver Island stocks. The best fits to the data were obtained by the Gulland linear regression model with $\mathrm{K}=3$. However, the resulting parameters have to be viewed with caution since the correlation between abundance and average effort was very low ( $\mathrm{r}=-0.500$ ). The estimates for MSY are $577 \mathrm{t}, 335 \mathrm{t}$, and 224 t for Goose Island, Cape Scott, and west coast of Vancouver Island, respectively. Estimates of the corresponding optimal fishing efforts were $2,953 \mathrm{hr}, 2,118 \mathrm{hr}$, and $2,201 \mathrm{hr}$.

Parameter estimates by the linear Schaefer model were rejected because of low coefficients of determination and negative parameter values. Also the dynamic Schaefer model analysis produced negative parameter estimates for the three stocks.

### 7.6 Yield forecasts for 1980

The estimates of the biological, fishery, and variance parameters were used to predict 1980 yields for the various stocks.

### 7.6.1 Northern Hecate Strait

Walter (1976) studied the yield of a fishery under non-equilibrium condition using Schaefer's surplus production model. He developed a simple graphical procedure for determining the total allowable catch (TAC) based on the preceding year's catch and effort and fishery parameter estimates (previous section). On a plot of equilibrium catch versus equilibrium effort, the most recent non-equilibrium point ( $C_{79}, f_{79}$ ) is located and a straight line drawn through the origin. Intersection of this line and the vertical line through $\mathrm{f}_{\text {opt }}$ locates the TAC point for 1980 (Fig. 7.4). Using this method, the response is adequate when the unharvested equilibrium biomass ( $k$ ) has not been reduced below one-fourth. If the stock has been reduced to a level below one-fourth $k$, the maximum speed of recovery is achieved when no harvesting is allowed. Accordingly, the TAC for 1980 should be 460 t if recovery to the MSY level is desired (Fig. 7.4).

### 7.6.2 Middle Hecate Strait

The estimates of the biological and variance parameters combined with information on most recent catch and effort ( $\mathrm{C}_{79}, \mathrm{f}_{79}$ ) was used to predict 1980 catch levels for the existing non-equilibrium situation (Schnute 1977). The catch predictions and upper and lower $95 \%$ confidence limits are shown in relation to possible effort levels for 1980 (Fig. 7.5). Present stock abundance would yield close to MSY in 1980 if fished at the predicted effort level ( $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{opt}}$ ). These results suggest that in 1980 effort should be held at levels below equilibrium levels producing a yield of about 500 t . This reduced catch level is precautionary due to large error margins in catch predictions (Fig. 7.5).

### 7.6.3 Other stocks

With the large uncertainty about parameter estimates, it is difficult to forecast rock sole yield for Goose Island, Cape Scott and the west coast of Vancouver Island stocks. Even though the Gulland analysis indicates that stocks in these regions show relatively high abundance, these conclusions have to be viewed with caution since the effort data stemming from multispecies exploitation may be weak. Despite these shortcomings it is anticipated that for 1980 present stock abundances should yield 200 t , 100 t , and 100 t for Goose Island, CApe Scott, and the west coast of Vancouver Island, respectively. These stocks are of relatively minor importance accounting for less than $30 \%$ of the Canadian rock sole production. Nevertheless, further studies are planned to include analysis of the multispecies complex and appropriate assignments of effort levels.

### 7.7 Recommendations

Based on the described analysis, which for some stocks produced highly variable results, the following TACs are recommended for rock sole in 1980:
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { North Hecate Strait (North of } 53^{\circ} 50^{\prime} \text { ) } & 460 \mathrm{t} \\ \text { Middle Hecate Strait (South of } 53^{\circ} 50^{\prime} \text { ) } & 500 \mathrm{t} \\ \text { Goose Island Ground (5B) } & 200 \mathrm{t}\end{array}$

| Cape Scott Ground (5A) | 100 t |
| :--- | ---: |
| West coast of Vancouver Is land |  |
| grounds (3C and 3D) | 100 t |
| Strait of Georgia (4B) | 20 t |

If further analyses, particularly those including age structure, establish considerable departure from present estimates of the stock conditions, these figures may be altered accordingly during a mid-season review.

Table 7.1. Canada - U.S. rock sole landings (t) from British Columbia waters, 1954-78.

| Year | Landings $(t)^{a}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| 1954 | 1,360 |
| 1955 | 2,097 |
| 1956 | 2,252 |
| 1957 | 2,122 |
| 1958 | 2,284 |
| 1959 | 1,122 |
| 1960 | 2,194 |
| 1961 | 1,594 |
| 1962 | 1,827 |
| 1963 | 1,749 |
| 1964 | 1,618 |
| 1965 | 1,842 |
| 1966 | 3,931 |
| 1967 | 3,456 |
| 1968 | 3,454 |
| 1969 | 3,547 |
| 1970 | 1,957 |
| 1971 | 2,206 |
| 1972 | 1,179 |
| 1973 | 1,099 |
| 1974 | 1,252 |
| 1975 | 1,915 |
| 1976 | 2,226 |
| 1977 | 1,298 |
| 1978 | 1,313 |
|  |  |

a Data sources:
Canada - sales s1ips \& trip logs;
U.S. - 1954-75 Ketchen (1976)

- 1976-77 supplied by Washington State Department of Fisheries.
1978, provisiona1.

Table 7.2. Canada-U.S. rock sole landings (t), CPUE (t/hr), and calculated effort (hr) for North Hecate Strait (north of 53 ${ }^{\circ} 50^{\prime}$ ), 1954-79.

| Year | $\text { Total } \underset{(t)}{\text { landings }{ }^{a}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CPUE }^{\text {b }} \\ (\mathrm{t} / \mathrm{hr}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Effort }^{\mathrm{C}} \\ (\mathrm{hr}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1954 | 835 | 1.01 | 827 |
| 1955 | 1,324 | 0.74 | 1,789 |
| 1956 | 512 | 0.63 | 813 |
| 1957 | 211 | 0.52 | 406 |
| 1958 | 797 | 1.06 | 752 |
| 1959 | 109 | 0.83 | 131 |
| 1960 | 626 | 1.48 | 423 |
| 1961 | 660 | 1.55 | 426 |
| 1962 | 503 | 1.18 | 426 |
| 1963 | 754 | 1.02 | 739 |
| 1964 | 484 | 0.77 | 629 |
| 1965 | 318 | 1.54 | 206 |
| 1966 | 1,468 | 0.83 | 1,769 |
| 1967 | 1,045 | 0.85 | 1,229 |
| 1968 | 1,386 | 0.45 | 3,080 |
| 1969 | 1,230 | 0.78 | 1,577 |
| 1970 | 455 | 0.44 | 1,034 |
| 1971 | 895 | 0.39 | 2,295 |
| 1972 | 230 | 0.28 | 821 |
| 1973 | 180 | 0.46 | 391 |
| 1974 | 220 | 0.32 | 688 |
| 1975 | 562 | 0.64 | 878 |
| 1976 | 892 | 0.55 | 1,622 |
| 1977 | 427 | 0.36 | 1, 186 |
| 1978 | 394 | 0.44 | 895 |
| 1979d | 420 | 0.42 | 1,000 |

a Data Sources: Canada - sales slips and trip logs.
U.S. - 1954-75 Ketchen (1976)

- 1976-77 supplied by Washington State Department of Fisheries.
1978-79, provisional.
$b_{\text {From }}$ trip logs ( 25 percent qualification level).
${ }^{{ }^{\prime} \text { Total }}$ landings/CPUE
dProjected estimate

Table 7.3. Canada-U.S. rock sole landings ( $t$ ), CPUE ( $t / \mathrm{hr}$ ), and calculated effort (hr) for Middle Hecate Strait (south of $53^{\circ} 50^{\prime}$ ), 1954-79.

| Year | $\text { Total } \underset{(t)}{\text { landings }}{ }^{a}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE }{ }^{\text {b }} \\ & (t / \mathrm{hr}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Effort }^{c} \\ (\mathrm{hr}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1954 | 91 | 0.46 | 198 |
| 1955 | 235 | 0.74 | 318 |
| 1956 | 770 | 0.73 | 1,055 |
| 1957 | 1,039 | 0.48 | 2,165 |
| 1958 | 483 | 0.49 | 986 |
| 1959 | 338 | 0.43 | 786 |
| 1960 | 509 | 0.45 | 1,131 |
| 1961 | 135 | 0.29 | 466 |
| 1962 | 329 | 0.51 | 645 |
| 1963 | 160 | 0.40 | 400 |
| 1964 | 308 | 0.41 | 751 |
| 1965 | 562 | 0.64 | 878 |
| 1966 | 1,095 | 0.68 | 1,610 |
| 1967 | 1,153 | 0.51 | 2,261 |
| 1968 | 1,044 | 0,38 | 2,747 |
| 1969 | 1,197 | 0.30 | 3,990 |
| 1970 | 950 | 0.28 | 3,393 |
| 1971 | 633 | 0.26 | 2,435 |
| 1972 | 275 | 0.38 | 724 |
| 1973 | 324 | 0.34 | 953 |
| 1974 | 403 | 0.48 | 840 |
| 1975 | 702 | 0.28 | 2,507 |
| 1976 | 544 | 0.29 | 1,876 |
| 1977 | 420 | 0.28 | 1,500 |
| 1978 | 483 | 0.37 | 1,305 |
| 1979 d | 499 | 0.42 | 1,188 |

a Data Sources: Canada - sales slips and trip logs.
U.S. - 1954-75 Ketchen (1976)

- 1976-77 supplied by Washington State Department of Fisheries.
1978-79, provisional.
$b_{\text {From trip }}$ logs ( 25 percent qualification level).
${ }^{C}$ Total landings/CPUE
dProjected estimate

Table 7.4. Canada-U.S. rock sole landings ( $t$ ), CPUE ( $t / \mathrm{hr}$ ), and calculated effort (hr) for Goose Island Ground, Cape Scott Ground, West Coast Vancouver Island, and Strait of Georiga, 1954-79.

|  | Goose Is land Ground |  |  | Cape Scott Ground |  |  | West Coast Vancouver Is. |  |  | Strait of Georiga |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Totala landings ( t ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE }{ }^{\text {b }} \\ & (\mathrm{t} / \mathrm{hr}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Effort }{ }^{\mathrm{C}} \\ (\mathrm{hr}) \end{gathered}$ | Total landings ( t ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & (\mathrm{t} / \mathrm{hr}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Effort } \\ & \text { (hr) } \end{aligned}$ | Total landings ( $t$ ) | $\begin{gathered} \text { CPUE } \\ (\mathrm{t} / \mathrm{hr}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Effort } \\ \text { (hr) } \end{gathered}$ | Total landings ( $t$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & (\mathrm{t} / \mathrm{hr}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Effort } \\ \text { (hr) } \end{gathered}$ |
| 1954 | 203 | 0.59 | 344 | 52 | 0.17 | 306 | 103 | 0.14 | 736 | 76 | 0.07 | 1,086 |
| 1955 | 267 | 0.39 | 685 | 118 | 0.30 | 393 | 111 | 0.14 | 793 | 42 | 0.06 | 700 |
| 1956 | 265 | 0.40 | 663 | 528 | 0.28 | 1,886 | 104 | 0.13 | 800 | 73 | 0.10 | 730 |
| 1957 | 199 | 0.29 | 686 | 524 | 0.23 | 2,278 | 90 | 0.15 | 600 | 59 | 0.11 | 536 |
| 1958 | 379 | 0.24 | 1,579 | 504 | 0.22 | 2, 291 | 62 | 0.15 | 413 | 59 | 0.07 | 843 |
| 1959 | 350 | 0.32 | 1,094 | 213 | 0.18 | 1,183 | 71 | 0.07 | 1,014 | 41 | 0.14 | 293 |
| 1960 | 503 | 0.28 | 1,796 | 397 | 0.21 | 1,890 | 108 | 0.07 | 1,543 | 51 | 0.10 | 510 |
| 1961 | 423 | 0.22 | 1,923 | 237 | 0.21 | 1,129 | 81 | 0.10 | 810 | 58 | 0.06 | 967 |
| 1962 | 535 | 0.24 | 2,229 | 198 | 0.15 | 1,320 | 190 | 0.18 | 1,055 | 72 | 0.08 | 900 |
| 1963 | 533 | 0.26 | 2,050 | 161 | 0.17 | 947 | 98 | 0.14 | 700 | 43 | 0.07 | 614 |
| 1964 | 483 | 0.22 | 2,195 | 160 | 0.20 | 800 | 130 | 0.12 | 1,083 | 53 | 0.08 | 662 |
| 1965 | 568 | 0.28 | 2,029 | 157 | 0.18 | 872 | 187 | 0.13 | 1,438 | 50 | 0.07 | 714 |
| 1966 | 773 | 0.32 | 2,416 | 329 | 0.30 | 1,097 | 235 | 0.14 | 1,679 | 31 | 0.11 | 282 |
| 1967 | 745 | 0.33 | 2,258 | 253 | 0.22 | 1,150 | 227 | 0.14 | 1,621 | 33 | 0.06 | 550 |
| 1968 | 393 | 0.27 | 1,456 | 448 | 0.26 | 1, 723 | 155 | 0.15 | 1,033 | 28 | 0.05 | 560 |
| 1969 | 652 | 0.22 | 2,964 | 296 | 0.18 | 1,644 | 141 | 0.12 | 1,175 | 31 | 0.08 | 387 |
| 1970 | 245 | 0.23 | 1,065 | 167 | 0.19 | 879 | 113 | 0.12 | 942 | 27 | 0.06 | 450 |
| 1971 | 373 | 0.21 | 1,776 | 134 | 0.23 | 583 | 157 | 0.09 | 1,744 | 14 | 0.06 | 233 |
| 1972 | 382 | 0.33 | 1,158 | 58 | 0.22 | 264 | 211 | 0.16 | 1,319 | 23 | 0.08 | 287 |
| 1973 | 324 | 0.27 | 1,200 | 61 | 0.82 | 74 | 171 | 0.17 | 1,006 | 39 | 0.08 | 487 |
| 1974 | 371 | 0.24 | 1,546 | 75 | 0.26 | 288 | 165 | 0.36 | 458 | 18 | 0.06 | 300 |
| 1975 | 408 | 0.37 | 1,103 | 37 | 0.10 | 370 | 164 | 0.17 | 965 | 42 | 0.06 | 700 |
| 1976 | 368 | 0.32 | 1,150 | 181 | 0.31 | 584 | 181 | 0.25 | 724 | 59 | 0.09 | 655 |
| 1977 | 188 | 0.26 | 723 | 84 | 0.21 | 400 | 122 | 0.21 | 581 | 52 | 0.17 | 306 |
| 1978 | 216 | 0.52 | 415 | 80 | 0.15 | 533 | 78 | 0.18 | 433 | 62 | 0.14 | 443 |
| 1979 d | 165 | 0.40 | 413 | 170 | 0.15 | 1,133 | 63 | 0.15 | 420 | 20 | 0.10 | 200 |

adata sources: Canada - sales slips and trip logs.
U.S. - 1954-75 Ketchen (1976)

- 1976-77 supplied by Washington State Department of Fisheries.

1978-79, provisional.
$\mathrm{b}_{\text {From }}$ trip logs ( 50 percent qualification level).
${ }^{\text {c Total }}$ landings/CPUE
dProjected estimate

Table 7.5. Parameter estimates for North Hecate Strait rock sole stock.
I. Parameter estimates from Gulland's (1961) linear regression model. $K=3 \quad K=4 \quad K=5$

Biological:
a
1.239
$4.857 \times 10^{-4}$
1.302
$5.493 \times 10^{-4}$
1.392
$6.421 \times 10^{-4}$
b

Fishery:

| MSY $(t)$ | 790 | 771 | 754 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{f}_{\text {Opt }}(\mathrm{hr})$ | 1,276 | 1,185 | 1,084 |

Correlation:
$r$
$-0.647$
$-0.677$
$-0.744$
II. Parameter estimates from linear Schaefer model.

III. Parameter estimates from dynamic Schaefer model.

| Biological |  | Fishery | "Variance" |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| r'a | 0.355 | MSY ( t ) | 724 | $\sigma$ | 0.433 |
| $\underset{k(t)}{q}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.580 \times 10^{-4} \\ & 8.158 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{f}_{\text {opt }}(\mathrm{hr})$ | 688 | I | 0.910 |

$$
a_{r} \text { '=growth parameter. }
$$

Table 7.6. Parameter estimates for Middle Hecate Strait rock sole stock.
I. Parameter estimates from Gulland's (1961) linear regression model.
$K=3$
$K=4$
$K=5$

Biological:
a
0.560
0.539
$8.840 \times 10^{-5}$
0.553
b
$9.401 \times 10^{-5}$
$1.002 \times 10^{-4}$

Fishery:

| MSY $(t)$ | 835 | 822 | 762 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $f_{\text {opt }}(h r)$ | 2,980 | 3,050 | 2,758 |

Correlation:
r
$-0.600$
$-0.589$
-0.609
II. Parameter estimates from linear Schaefer model.

Coefficient of
Determination:
$r^{\prime a}$
$k^{\prime}(t)$
0.836
$1.058 \times 10^{-4}$
4,974
$\operatorname{MSY}(t)$
1,040
$\mathrm{R}^{2}$
0.392

Biological:
Fishery:
III. Parameter estimates from dynamic Schaefer mode1.

Biological:
Fishery:
"Variance":

| $\mathrm{r}^{\prime} \mathrm{a}$ | 0.396 | MSY (t) | 622 | $\sigma$ | 0.284 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| q | $1.426 \times 10^{-4}$ | $\mathrm{f}_{\text {opt }}(\mathrm{hr})$ | 1,388 | I | 0.848 |
| $\mathrm{k}(\mathrm{t})$ | 6,290 |  |  |  |  |

[^9]Table 7.7. Parameter estimates for Goose Island Ground rock sole stock.
I. Parameter estimates from Gulland's (1961) linear regression model.
$K=3$
$K=4$
$K=5$

Biological:
a
0.391
0.363
0.365
b
$6.618 \times 10^{-5}$
$5.044 \times 10^{-5}$
$5.000 \times 10^{-5}$

Fishery:

| $\operatorname{MSY}(\mathrm{t})$ | 577 | 655 | 662 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{f}_{\text {opt }}(\mathrm{hr})$ | 2,953 | 3,602 | 3,631 |

Correlation:
$r$
$-0.500$
$-0.370$
$-0.327$
II. Parameter estimates from linear Schaefer model.

III. Parameter estimates from dynamic Schaefer model.

Biological:
Fishery:
"Variance":
negative parameters

$$
a_{r}{ }^{\prime}=\text { growth parameter } .
$$

Table 7.8. Parameter estimates for Cape Scott Ground rock sole stock.
I. Parameter estimates from Gulland's (1961) linear regression model.

$$
\mathrm{K}=3 \quad \mathrm{~K}=4 \quad \mathrm{~K}=5
$$

Biological:
${ }^{a}$
0.316
0.316
$7.511 \times 10^{-5}$
0.300
b
$7.471 \times 10^{-5}$
$5.940 \times 10^{-5}$

Fishery:
$\operatorname{MSY}(t) \quad 335$
$\mathrm{f}_{\text {opt }}(\mathrm{hr})$
2,118
332
378
2,102
2,521
Correlation:
r
$-0.154$
$-0.150$
II. Parameter estimates from linear Schaefer model.

Biological:

$$
\begin{gathered}
r^{\prime a} \\
q \\
k(t)
\end{gathered}
$$

| Fishery: |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| MSY $(t)$ | 330 |
| $\mathrm{f}_{\text {opt }}(\mathrm{hr})$ | 1,387 |

Coefficient of
Determination: $\mathrm{R}^{2}$
0.295
III. Parameter estimates from dynamic Schaefer model.

## Biological:

Fishery:
"Variance":
negative parameters
$a_{r}{ }^{\prime}=$ growth parameter.

Table 7.9. Parameter estimates for west coast Vancouver Island sole stock.
I. Parameter estimates from Gulland's (1961) linear regression model.
$K=3$
$K=4$
$\mathrm{K}=5$

Biological:
a
0.165
0.171
b

> 0.204
> $4.630 \times 10^{-5}$
$1.171 \times 10^{-5}$
$1.700 \times 10^{-5}$

Fishery:

| MSY $(t)$ | 224 | 583 | 429 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{f}_{\text {opt }}(\mathrm{hr})$ | 2,201 | 7,057 | 5,005 |

Correlation:
$r$

$$
-0.212
$$

$-0.048$
$-0.063$
II. Parameter estimates from linear Schaefer model.

| Biological: |  | Fishery: | Coefficient of Determination: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $r^{\prime a}$ | 0.087 | MSY( $t$ ) | negative | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | 0.234 |
| $\begin{gathered} q \\ k(t) \end{gathered}$ | negative negative | $\mathrm{f}_{\text {opt }}(\mathrm{hr})$ | negative |  |  |

III. Parameter estimates from dynamic Schaefer model.

Biological:

> Fishery:
"Variance":
negative parameters
$a_{r} \mathbf{r}^{\prime}=$ growth parameter.


Fig. 7.1. Canada-U.S. rock sole landings (t) from British Columbia waters, 1954-78.


Fig. 7.1. International (Pacific Marine Fisheries Commission) Statistical Areas along the British Columbia coast and rock sole sub-areas.


Fig. 7.3. Observed and predicted (Schnute 1977) GPUE ( $\mathrm{t} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) for Middle Hecate Strait rock sole stock, 1963-79.


Fig. 7.4. Graphical method for determining TAC for North Hecate rock sole stock (Walter 1976).


Fig. 7.5. Predicted 1980 catch ( $t$ ) ( $\pm 95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ ) and CPUE ( $\mathrm{t} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) for given effort levels for Middle Hecate Strait rock sole.

### 8.1 Introduction

Moderate quantities of Dover sole (Microstomus pacificus) are known to exist throughout British Columbia waters, but the only directed fisheries take place in the relatively deep water of northern Hecate Strait (Area 5D). A small fishery developed in the late 1940's adjacent to the Butterworth edge and reached a peak production of 210.4 t in 1952: (A fishery of lesser significance also occurred off White Rocks in what would now be called the northern limit of the Moresby Gully). From 1952 onwards, production followed an irregular downward trend with landings becoming incidental to other species by the 1960s. Marketing problems or distraction by the more lucrative fishery for Pacific cod may have been responsible for the decline.

A reawakening of interest occurred in 1969 with development of an entirely new fishery on the Dundas Ground combined with resumption of fishing on the Two Peaks-Butterworth Ground.

### 8.2 Catch statistics

During 1969-74, production averaged 771 t, with Dundas accounting for 65\% and Two Peaks-Butterworth 34\% (Westrheim 1977).

For Area 5D as a whole, production has been fluctuating since 1970, with a noticeable decline since 1976 (Fig. 8.1). CPUE fluctuated widely in the northern Hecate Strait Dover sole fishery (Fig. 8.1). During the early 1970s CPUE declined to $0.57 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{hr}$ in 1972 with a subsequent rise to $0.76 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{hr}$ in 1974. From 1974, CPUE declined steadily to $0.35 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{hr}$ in 1977; for 1978 the CPUE rose to $0.49 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{hr}$ (Table 8.1).

### 8.3 Stock condition

In general, these data suggest a substantial decline in abundance of Dover sole on the Dundas Ground. Catch, effort, and catch rate have declined substantially. The anomalous increase in catch rate in 1978 was probably caused by a disproportionate decrease in fishing effort. Unfortunately, we cannot ascertain the cause of the apparent decline in abundance. No information on size composition or age composition is available to determine whether the initial fishery was supported by a series of strong year-classes and is now collapsing because of a recruitment failure. While overfishing by the Canadian fleet is a real possibility, there is a complication in that the Dover sole stock, in its seasonal inshore-offshore movements, may have been exposed to the Japanese trawl fishery off Dixon Entrance during the winter (spawning) months. Catches by that fishery are unknown. A tagging program has been initiated for 1979 to investigate migratory patterns of Dover sole on Dundas Ground.

### 8.4 Yield

The relationship between catch and effort for the years 1969-79 was examined using a regression of CPUE ( $25 \%$ qualified) on average fishing effort ( $\bar{f}$ ) over a number of preceding years ( $K$ ). The line (CPUE $=a-b f$ ) ideally would be very close to the true relation between CPUE (abundance) and effort in a steady state (Gulland 1961). Estimates of a and $b$ are related to fisheries parameters (see Section 7: rock sole).

Table 8.2 presents the results of the parameter estimation procedure. The best fit to the data was obtained for $K=3(r=-0.632)$. The resulting estimate of MSY is 891 t , with a corresponding optimal fishing effort of $2,215 \mathrm{hr}$ for an optimal CPUE of $0.40 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{hr}$.

### 8.5 Yield forecast for 1980

The estimates of the biological, fishery, and variance parameters were used to predict 1980 yields for nothern Hecate Strait Dover sole.

Due to the high variability encountered (low $r$ value) in the analysis a conservative approach for setting TAC is taken. As already pointed out the inshore-offshore movement of Dover sole is an added complication which would alter parameter values. Accordingly, the TAC for 1980 should be 300 t . This catch level could possibly be increased in the future depending on the results of tagging and other population studies.

### 8.6 Recommendations

A TAC of 300 t of Dover sole is recomended for Hecate Strait ( 30 t for 5 C and 270 t for 5D). Elsewhere in Canadian waters where Dover sole is a minor component, the recomended TACs are: Area 4B, 40 t ; Area 3C (Canada), 100 t ; Area 3D, 40 t ; Area 5A, 30 t ; Area $5 \mathrm{~B}, 100 \mathrm{t}$; and Area 5 E , 100 t.

Table 8.1. Canada-U.S. Dover sole landings ( $t$ ) and calculated effort (hr) for North Hecate Strait (5D), 1954-79.

| Year | Total <br> landings <br> $(\mathrm{t})$ | CPUE $^{\mathrm{b}}$ <br> $(\mathrm{t} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Effort $^{\mathrm{c}}$ <br> $(\mathrm{hr})$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1954 | 105 | 0.72 | 146 |
| 1955 | 109 | 0.49 | 222 |
| 1956 | 116 | 1.16 | 100 |
| 1957 | 90 | 0.75 | 120 |
| 1958 | 69 | 1.22 | 57 |
| 1959 | 89 | 1.12 | 79 |
| 1960 | 102 | 0.89 | 115 |
| 1961 | 23 | 0.53 | 43 |
| 1962 | 32 | 0.54 | 59 |
| 1963 | 29 | 0.26 | 112 |
| 1964 | 82 | 0.55 | 149 |
| 1965 | 53 | 0.23 | 230 |
| 1966 | 66 | 1.01 | 65 |
| 1967 | 5 | 0.05 | 100 |
| 1968 | 33 | 0.58 | 57 |
| 1969 | 271 | 0.76 | 357 |
| 1970 | 973 | 0.63 | 1,544 |
| 1971 | 912 | 0.58 | 1,572 |
| 1972 | 922 | 0.57 | 1,618 |
| 1973 | 766 | 0.72 | 1,064 |
| 1974 | 760 | 0.76 | 1,000 |
| 1975 | 845 | 0.53 | 1,594 |
| 1976 | 1,002 | 0.46 | 2,178 |
| 1977 | 467 | 0.35 | 1,334 |
| 1978 | 316 | 0.49 | 645 |
| 1979 d | 420 | 0.43 | 977 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Data Sources: Canada - sales slips and trip logs.
U.S. - 1974-75 Ketchen (1976)
$b_{\text {From trip }}$ logs ( 25 percent qualification level).
${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Total landings/CPUE
dProjected estimate

Table 8.2. Parameter estimates for northern Hecate Strait Dover sole.
I. Parameter estimates from Gulland's (1961) linear regression model.
$K=3$
$K=4$
$K=5$

Biological:
a
0.805
0.758
0.745
b
$1.817 \times 10^{-4}$
$1.527 \times 10^{-4}$
$1.512 \times 10^{-4}$

Fishery:

| MSY (t) | 891 | 941 | 918 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{f}_{\text {opt }}(\mathrm{hr})$ | 2,215 | 2,482 | 2,464 |

Correlation:
$r$
$-0.632$
$-0.527$
-0.520


Fig. 8.1. Statistics of the Dover sole fishery in northern Hecate Strait (Area 5D), 1959-78.

### 9.1 Introduction

The principal stock of English sole (Parophrys vetulus) in British Columbia waters inhabits northern Hecate Strait. Spawning grounds have never been accurately identified, but probably lie in depths of $110-150 \mathrm{~m}$ in east central Hecate Strait adjacent to Banks Island. A small fishery usually occurs on the White Rocks Ground in the fourth and first quarters of the year presumably on fish on their way to or returning from the spawning area. The main fishery usually occurs farther to the north in the second and third quarters along the Butterworth-Warrior Edge and on the Two Peaks, during the post-spawning migration (Ketchen 1956).

A relatively minor stock or group of stocks occurs in the Strait of Georgia and is fished primarily during the winter period (see recent review by Ketchen 1979).

### 9.2 Trends in catch and effort statistics for Area 5D

Catches have varied substantially over the years, rising from 632 t in 1954 to a peak of $1,086 \mathrm{t}$ in 1960, followed by an irregular downward trend to 340 t in 1966 (Table 9.1). Between 1967 and 1978 there was considerable fluctuation, with catches of more than 900 being recorded for 1970 and 1975-77.

CPUE has followed a somewhat similar course being highest in the early years of the fishery (prior to 1957) and lowest in the mid-1960s with a highly irregular upward trend thereafter.

### 9.3 Yield

The relationship between catch and effort for North Hecate Strait English sole was examined using surplus production model analysis. Three related parameter estimation procedures were employed to interpret current stock conditions. Method one involves a regression analysis of CPUE on average fishing effort ( $\bar{f}$ ), over a number of preceding years (K). Details of the relationship between model parameters and fisheries parameters are given in the section on rock sole. Method two represents a difference equation version of the Schaefer model (Walters and Hilborn 1976). The difference equation is transformed into a linear regression form and estimates of biological and fisheries parameters are obtained. Method three involves a discrete-time, stochastic version of the Schaefer model (Schnute 1977). The analysis provides estimates of biological and fisheries parameters as well as variance parameters.

Table 9.2 presents results of the parameter estimates for North Hecate Strait English sole. The best fit to the data (as determined by a low value for the failure index; $I=0.442$ ) was obtained from the dynamic Schaefer model analysis (Schnute 1977). Using this method the MSY was estimated to be 751 t with a corresponding optimal effort level ( $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{opt}}$ ) of
$2,178 \mathrm{hr}$. A CPUE of $0.34 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{hr}$ is predicted to be the optimal level. Observed and predicted CPUEs for the North Hecate Strait English sole fishery are shown in Fig. 9.1. Parameters from the two other estimation procedures were rejected on the basis of variance considerations.

### 9.4 Yield forecast for 1980

The estimates of the biological and variance parameters combined with information on most recent catch predictions ( $C_{79}, f_{79}$ ) were used to predict 1980 catch levels in relation to expected effort levels (Schnute 1977). The catch predictions and $95 \%$ confidence limits are shown in Fig. 9.2. Present stock abundance would yield about $90 \%$ of the MSY in 1980 if fished at the predicted optimal effort level ( $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{opt}}$ ). Thus for 1980 a catch of about 675 is predicted if present effort levels prevail.

### 9.5 Recommendations

For the northern Hecate Strait stock, a TAC of 680 t is recommended for 1980. For the Strait of Georgia stock, a provisional TAC of 90 t is recommended for the winter fishery (October 1, 1979 to March 31, 1980). Recommended TACs for areas in which the English sole is an incidental species are as follows: Area 3C -- 40 t ; Area 3D -- free fishing; Area 5A -- 10 t ; Area 5B -- 10 t ; and Area 5C -- 70 t .

Table 9.1. Canada-U.S. English sole landings (t) and effort (hr) statistics for North Hecate Strait (5D), 1954-79.

| Year | Total <br> Landing $(t)^{a}$ | CPUE $^{\text {b }}$ <br> t/hr | Effort $^{\text {c }}$ <br> (hr) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1954 | 632 | 0.37 | 1,708 |
| 1955 | 853 | 0.43 | 1,984 |
| 1956 | 943 | 0.39 | 2,418 |
| 1957 | 537 | 0.26 | 2,065 |
| 1958 | 642 | 0.34 | 1,888 |
| 1959 | 908 | 0.32 | 2,837 |
| 1960 | 1,086 | 0.33 | 3,291 |
| 1961 | 879 | 0.29 | 3,031 |
| 1962 | 439 | 0.26 | 1,688 |
| 1963 | 374 | 0.20 | 1,870 |
| 1964 | 436 | 0.28 | 1,557 |
| 1965 | 391 | 0.26 | 1,504 |
| 1966 | 340 | 0.25 | 1,360 |
| 1967 | 509 | 0.37 | 1,376 |
| 1968 | 634 | 0.31 | 2,045 |
| 1969 | 720 | 0.38 | 1,895 |
| 1970 | 918 | 0.34 | 2,700 |
| 1971 | 480 | 0.20 | 2,400 |
| 1972 | 353 | 0.24 | 1,471 |
| 1973 | 595 | 0.36 | 1,653 |
| 1974 | 458 | 0.43 | 1,065 |
| 1975 | 948 | 0.51 | 1,859 |
| 1976 | 943 | 0.32 | 2,947 |
| 1977 | 492 | 0.30 | 3,240 |
| 1978 | 620 | 0.27 | 1,830 |
| 1979 d | 0.31 | 2,000 |  |

adata Sources: Canada - sales slips \& trip logs;
U.S. - 1954-75 Ketchen (1976),

- 1976-77 supplied by Washington State Department of Fisheries.
1978-79, provisional.
$\mathrm{b}_{\text {From trip }}$ logs ( 25 percent qualification level)
${ }^{c}$ Total catch/CPUE
dProjected estimate

Table 9.2. Parameter estimates for North Hecate Strait (5D) English sole stock.
I. Parameter estimates from Gulland's (1961) linear regression model.
$K=3$
$K=4$
$K=5$

Biological:
a
0.443
0.542
0.516
b
$6.301 \times 10^{-5}$
$1.172 \times 10^{-4}$
$9.657 \times 10^{-5}$

Fishery:

| MSY (t) | 779 | 627 | 674 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $f_{\text {opt }}(\mathrm{hr})$ | 3,516 | 2,314 | 2,641 |

Correlation:

| $\mathbf{r}$ | -0.418 | -0.548 | -0.453 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

II. Parameter estimates from linear Schaefer model.

| Biological: |  | Fishery: |  | Coefficient of Determination: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $r^{\text {a }}$ | 1.004 | MSY( t ) | 766 | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | 0.488 |
| ( | $1.595 \times 10^{-4}$ | $\mathrm{f}_{\text {opt }}(\mathrm{hr})$ | 3,148 |  |  |
| k(t) | 3,052 |  |  |  |  |

III. Parameter estimates from dynamic Schaefer model

| Biological: |  | Fishery: |  | "Variance:" |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $r^{\text {a }}$ | 1.351 | MSY ( t ) | 751 | $\sigma$ | 0.213 |
| k(t) | $3.384 \times 10^{-4}$ | $\mathrm{f}_{\text {opt }}(\mathrm{hr})$ | 2,178 | I | 0.442 |
| $k(t)$ | 2,224 |  |  |  |  |

$a_{r}{ }^{\prime}=$ growth parameter.


Fig. 9.1. Observed and predicted GPUE ( $\mathrm{t} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) for North Hecate Strait English sole, 1963-79.


Fig. 9.2. Predicted 1980 catch ( $t$ ) ( $\pm 95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ ) and GPUE ( $\mathrm{t} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) for given effort levels for North Hecate Strait English sole.

### 10.1 Introduction

Petrale sole (Eopsetta jordani) is a southern flounder whose northern limit of commercial range occurs at the latitude of Dixon Entrance. It is the most valuable of trawl-caught flatfish and in 1948 accounted for $40 \%$ of all groundfish (including dogfish) landed by Canadian trawlers. From a peak of $6,200 \mathrm{t}$ in 1948 production by Canadian and U.S. trawlers operating in British Columbia waters followed a long downward trend to less than 500 t in 1970. Since that time landings have averaged about 1,100 t.

### 10.2 Definition of stocks

As a result of extensive tagging, Ketchen and Forrester (1966) identified two major stocks, one which spawned in deep water off the Washington coast (Cape Flattery Spit -- at the southern extremity of Area 3C) and spent its spring to autumn feeding period farther to the north in shallower water of the Canadian portion of Area 3C. This was called the "southern stock". The other, the "northern stock", spawned in deep water near the southern boundary of Area 3D adjacent to Estevan Point and spent its inshore months in Area 3D, Queen Charlotte Sound (Areas 5A and 5B) and Hecate Strait (Areas 5C and 5D).

In a more recent study, Pedersen (1975) confirmed the existence of the northern stock but concluded that the southern stock, during its summer, inshore period in Area 3C, consisted of a mixture of stocks which spawned from the Cape Flattery Spit south to the so-called Willapa Deep.

Further studies also suggest the existence of a separate northern stock of petrale sole of small magnitude, based on observations of catches by the Japanese deepwater trawl fishery off Dixon Entrance (1977), and the total non-return from tagging conducted in the shallow water of McIntyre Bay (Dixon Entrance) in 1954 (Ketchen, personal communication).

### 10.3 Production and abundance trends

### 10.3.1 The southern stock

In their study of the fishery from its inception in the late 1930s to 1962, Ketchen and Forrester (1966) noted that both landings and CPUE reached a peak in the late 1940 s and thereafter followed an irregular decline, accompanied by a progressive increase in average size (and age) to about 1956. The latter phenomenon was associated with passage through the fishery of about 4 consecutively strong year-classes (1940-43) followed by a long succession of relatively weak year-classes (Table 10.1). The relationship of year-class strength to environmental conditions, together with evidence that fishery on the strong year-classes had not been excessive in terms of yield per recruitment, led to the conclusion that success of the fishery during the 1940 s and its subsequent decline were due largely to
trends in recruitment, and further that recovery of the fishery would be dependent on the return of strong recruitment.

Subsequent to the Ketchen and Forrester analysis, a study of the Washington fishery by Pedersen (1975) arrived at essentially the same conclusion, viz. there appeared to be no need for regulation of the summer fishery, and the effects of winter fishing (on spawning concentations) appeared to be over-shadowed by environmentally induced variations in the production of recruits. This conclusion supports the Ketchen and Forrester hypothesis concerning the importance of recruitment but further verification is required. Granted there have been two surges in production since 1956, one centering around 1961 and the other around 1974 , both may have been only partly the result of the exceptionally favourable (warm) years which produced the 1958-62 and 1970 year-classes.

### 10.3.2 The northern stock

Essentially the same events transpired in the fishery on the northern stock. The exceptionally high yield in 1948 consisted of the same strong 1940-43 year-classes. Subsequently production and CPUE collapsed, with the former to be arrested briefly in 1954 by discovery of the spawning ground in the Estevan Deep and by its heavy exploitation in 1957 by the U.S. fleet. Landings reached a low point in 1960 ( 425 t ) and subsequently recovered to 993 t in 1966, slightly out of phase with the surge noted in the fishery on the southern stock (Table 10.2). The second surge coincided with that in the south, but the 1974 peak amounted to less than 600 t .

### 10.4 Current stock condition

There is no question that the petrale sole stocks are at a relatively low level of abundance, especially in the case of the northern stock, landings from which barely reach 300 t -- entirely incidental to other fisheries. The southern stock fares somewhat better (ca $1,000 \mathrm{t}$ per annum) and maintains its attractiveness only because of high market prices. Stil1, production is largely incidental to other fisheries (Pacific cod and lingcod).

Although Ketchen and Forrester (1966) could determine no ill effects from the $1954-57$ fishery on the spawning stock (and no parent-progeny relationship), their case remains uncorroborated for lack of follow-up analysis. Without an up-dated examination of available data it is impossible to say whether catch limits and/or prohibition of fishing on spawning concentrations would restore the stocks to economically more attractive levels.

### 10.5 Recommendations

For that part of the so-called southern stock of petrale sole occurring in the Canadian portion of Area 3C, a TAC of 500 t is recommended. In regard to the northern stock it is recommended that a TAC of 100 t be applied to the first three months of the calendar year. Thereafter in that area and in Areas 5A-5D throughout the year free fishing should be permitted.

Table 10.1. Canada-U.S. landings ( $t$ ) of petrale sole from southwest Vancouver Island (Area 3C) -- the area occupied by the "southern stock", 1942-78.

| Year | Flattery Spit | Northern section Area 3C | Total <br> Area 3C | Year | Flattery Spit | Northern section Area 3C | Total <br> Area 3C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1942 | ? | - | 1,561 |  |  |  |  |
| 1943 | ? | - | 2,264 | 1961 | 375 | 734 | 1,109 |
| 1944 | ? | - | 1,489 | 1962 | 215 | 636 | 851 |
| 1945 | ? | - | 718 | 1963 | 90 | 656 | 746 |
| 1946 | ? | - | 906 | 1964 | 71 | 529 | 600 |
| 1947 | ? | - | 627 | 1965 | 140 | 645 | 785 |
| 1948 | ? | - | 1,321 | 1966 | 118 | 508 | 626 |
| 1949 | ? | - | 1,178 | 1967 | 106 | 256 | 362 |
| 1950 | ? | - | 854 | 1968 | 114 | 232 | 346 |
| 1951 | ? | - | 794 | 1969 | 255 | 142 | 397 |
| 1952 | ? | - | 948 | 1970 . | 80 | 143 | 273 |
| 1953 | ? | - | 748 | 1971 | 74 | 518 | 592 |
| 1954 | ? | - | 664 | 1972 | 22 | 756 | 778 |
| 1955 | ? | - | 415 | 1973 | 211 | 452 | 663 |
| 1956 | 40 | 520 | 560 | 1974 | 230 | 675 | 905 |
| 1957 | 9 | 612 | 621 | 1975 | 474 | 465 | 939 |
| 1958 | 19 | 494 | 513 | 1976 | 304 | 452 | 756 |
| 1959 | 33 | 939 | 972 | 1977 | 157 | 301 | 458 |
| 1960 | 233 | 746 | 979 | 1978 | ? | ? | 395 |

Table 10.2. Canada-U.S. landings ( $t$ ) of petrale sole from northwest Vancouver Island, Queen Charlotte Sound and Hecate Strait (Areas 3D, 5A-5B) -- the area occupied by the "northern stock", 1942-78.

|  | Area <br> 3D | Areas <br> $5 A-5 B$ | Areas <br> $5 \mathrm{CC}-5 \mathrm{D}$ | Tota1 | Year | Area <br> 3D | Areas <br> $5 A-5 B$ | Areas <br> $5 \mathrm{C}-5 \mathrm{D}$ | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1942 | - | - | - | - | 1961 | 277 | 171 | 102 | 550 |
| 1943 | - | - | - | - | 1962 | 295 | 343 | 165 | 803 |
| 1944 | 499 | 303 | - | 802 | 1963 | 202 | 537 | 82 | 821 |
| 1945 | 270 | 1,535 | 193 | 1,998 | 1964 | 183 | 421 | 163 | 767 |
| 1946 | 623 | 1,258 | 494 | 2,375 | 1965 | 300 | 418 | 202 | 920 |
| 1947 | 469 | 986 | 769 | 2,224 | 1966 | 264 | 469 | 260 | 993 |
| 1948 | 943 | 920 | 3,011 | 4,874 | 1967 | 169 | 485 | 176 | 830 |
| 1949 | 316 | 429 | 1,644 | 2,390 | 1968 | 293 | 266 | 137 | 696 |
| 1950 | 694 | 569 | 700 | 1,963 | 1969 | 262 | 114 | 22 | 398 |
| 1951 | 305 | 326 | 642 | 1,273 | 1970 | 136 | 56 | 22 | 214 |
| 1952 | 265 | 305 | 574 | 1,144 | 1971 | 127 | 97 | 55 | 280 |
| 1953 | 235 | 450 | 46 | 731 | 1972 | 50 | 154 | 33 | 237 |
| 1954 | 712 | 234 | 300 | 1,237 | 1973 | 197 | 211 | 24 | 432 |
| 1955 | 452 | 462 | 94 | 1,008 | 1974 | 196 | 283 | 14 | 493 |
| 1956 | 291 | 528 | 53 | 872 | 1975 | 234 | 156 | 27 | 417 |
| 1957 | 1,320 | 333 | 216 | 1,869 | 1976 | 153 | 132 | 30 | 315 |
| 1958 | 174 | 227 | 171 | 572 | 1977 | 58 | 73 | 24 | 155 |
| 1959 | 227 | 160 | 216 | 603 | 1978 | 21 | 63 | 13 | 97 |
| 1960 | 93 | 212 | 120 | 425 |  |  |  |  |  |

### 11.1 Introduction

The arrowtooth flounder, or turbot, (Atheresthes stomias) until about 1972 had never found a place on the foodfish market despite frequent attempts, dating from the early 1940s, to overcome processing problems. From those early days until about 1951, with the emergence of a market as minkfood, turbot were almost always avoided or discarded at sea.

The species has a ubiquitous distribution along the British Columbia coast and is encountered in quantity over a wide range of depths from surface waters to 500 fathoms ( 900 m ). Little is known of the turbot's biology and life history and nothing is known of its movements. For present purposes it will be presumed that independent stocks occur in each of the major statistical areas along the coast.

### 11.2 Gatch statistics

Significant landings of turbot as animal food began in 1951, rising rapidly to a peak of $2,500 \mathrm{t}$ in 1956 when most of the production originated from grounds off southwest Vancouver Island (Area 3C) and on the Cape Scott grounds (Area 5A) (Table ll.1). Thereafter landings fluctuated widely. Peaks occurring in 1961, 1967, and 1969 were primarily from Area 5A and northern Hecate Strait (Area 5D). A sharp drop in demand for animal food drove the catch to a low level between 1970 and 1972.

With the development of a foodfish market, production recovered rapidly and was still rising in 1978 at 2,300 t. A1though the fishery expanded in all areas (except 4B), the main rise occurred in northern Hecate Strait (Area 5D) which accounted for more than half of landings over the 7-year period (Table 11.2). Production from that area reached a peak of 1,295 t in 1978, but still appears to be primarily a function of fishing effort.

### 11.3 Condition of the resource

There is nothing to indicate that the "stocks" of turbot are as yet fully utilized. It is not yet known to what degree turbot can be fished selectively, avoiding incidental catches of other species for which catch limitations are, or may be, required. Accordingly, unrestricted development of the turbot fishery is deemed undesirable.

### 11.4 Recommendations

The following TACs are recommended: Area 4B -- 50 t; Area 3C -200 t ; Area 3D -- 200 t ; Areas 5A and 5B--500 t; Area 5C -- 100 t ; Area 5D -- 2,000 t; Area 5E -- 100 t.

Table ll.1. Historical record of landings ( $t$ ) of arrowtooth flounder by Canadian trawlers operating along the British Columbia coast, 1948-78.

| Year | Landing (t) |  |  | Landing ( t ) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Animal } \\ & \text { food } \end{aligned}$ | Foodfish ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Year | Animal food | Foodfish ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 1948 | 2 | - | 1964 | 830 | 2 |
| 1949 | 20 | - | 1965 | 578 | 99 |
| 1950 | 9 | - | 1966 | 687 | 27 |
| 1951 | 102 | - | 1967 | 1,675 | - |
| 1952 | 429 | - | 1968 | 933 | 4 |
| 1953 | 434 | - | 1969 | 2,017 | - |
| 1954 | 499 | - | 1970 | 316 | 7 |
| 1955 | 1,518 | - | 1971 | 106 | - |
| 1956 | 2,499 | - | 1972 | 172 | 130 |
| 1957 | 578 | - | 1973 | 36 | 539 |
| 1958 | 399 | - | 1974 | - | 364 |
| 1959 | 851 | - | 1975 | - | 953 |
| 1960 | 1,114 | - | 1976 | - | 1,205 |
| 1961 | 2,360 | - | 1977 | - | 1,591 |
| 1962 | 1,482 | 6 | 1978 | - | 2,317 |
| 1963 | 668 | 5 |  |  |  |

aHuman consumption.

Table 11．2．Canadian landings（ $t$ ）and calculated effort（hr）for arrowtooth flounder，by area， $1972-78$.

|  | 4B |  | 3 C |  | 3D |  | 5 A |  | 5 B |  | 5C |  | 5D |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 00 \\ & \text { 烒 } \\ & \text { चु } \\ & \text { In } \\ & \end{aligned}$ | 品 0 吕 品 |  | 4 $\mathbf{H}$ $\mathbf{H}$ 出 |  | 4 0 0 湈 H |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{4}{4} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & H \\ & \text { H } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & { }_{4}^{4} \\ & 0 \\ & 4 \\ & 4 \\ & H y=1 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1972 | 50 | 625 | 4 | 400 | － | － | 37 | 128 | － | － | Tr ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 10 | 210 | 1，750 | 301 | 2，913 |
| 1973 | 14 | 31 | 47 | 42 | Tr | 8 | 37 | 142 | 21 | － | 20 | 166 | 437 | 2，185 | 576 | 2，574 |
| 1974 | 4 | 57 | 19 | 380 | － | － | 9 | 225 | 62 | 443 | 18 | 360 | 251 | 1，673 | 363 | 3，138 |
| 1975 | 18 | 225 | 89 | 212 | 10 | 6 | 147 | 245 | 58 | 341 | 40 | 667 | 591 | 3，110 | 953 | 4，806 |
| 1976 | 3 | 300 | 122 | 488 | 10 | 56 | 47 | 361 | 86 | 115 | 69 | 209 | 981 | 4，087 | 1，318 | 5，616 |
| 1977 | 5 | 459 | 278 | 842 | 5 | 27 | 151 | 103 | 98 | 288 | 35 | 125 | 988 | 3，407 | 1，560 | 5，251 |
| 1978 | 36 | 600 | 220 | 550 | 7 | 14 | 405 | 844 | 262 | 771 | 80 | 160 | 1，295 | 3，500 | 2，305 | 6，439 |

$\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{C}}$ alculated effort $=$ landings $\div$ CPUE，at $0 \%$ qualification level．
$\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{Tr}=\text { trace }}$ ．

### 12.1 Introduction

Annual coastwide landings of walleye pollock (Theragra chalcogramma) from B.C. coastal waters reached an all-time maximum of 2,411 t in 1978 (Fig. 12.1). A roe fishery, which developed in the period January to March of that year to supply the Japanese market, accounted for $35 \%$ of the total catch. The roe fishery continued to develop in 1979. During the first quarter, bottom and midwater trawl landings were 685 t in Area 5 D and $1,095 \mathrm{t}$ in Area 4 B , compared to 532 and 319 t for these areas in the same period in 1978. Nearly all of the pollock processed for roe were taken from these two areas. Since March, landings have continued, primarily from Areas 5A-5D (Table 12.1) to meet the demand for fillets. The total catch in 1979 to July 20 amounted to $2,231 \mathrm{t}$.

### 12.2 Definition of stocks

Stock boundaries for walleye pollock in Canadian waters have not been clearly defined. Evidence of differences in growth rate and spawning localities strongly supports the view that there are several different populations along the coast. Stock identity is of particular concern in Dixon Entrance and the Strait of Georgia, where intensive fisheries have developed in relatively small locations. Information to date suggests there may be more than one stock in each of these areas. No major pollock fishery has developed in Queen Charlotte Sound (Areas 5A-5B), southern Hecate Strait (Area 5C), off the west coast of Vancouver Island (Areas 3C-3D), or off the west coast of the Queen Charlotte Islands (Area 5E). Although
concentrations of pollock are found within these regions, little is known about their abundance or movements therefore, as last year, each of these regions will be regarded as a homogeneous stock zone. Significant concentrations of pollock have also been found in some coastal inlets: Dana, Cumshewa and Selwyn Inlets on Moresby Island, and Observatory Inlet off Chatham Sound. Little is known at present about relationships between the inlet stocks and those found offshore, however preliminary evidence indicates that they may be different. It may be necessary to treat such stocks separately for management purposes, in the future.

### 12.3 TAC calculations

Gulland proposed (Alverson and Pereyra 1969, Troadec 1978) that for virgin fisheries, where little data are available, the relation

$$
\text { MSY }=(0.5)(M)\left(B_{0}\right)
$$

can be used to predict maximum sustainable yield (MSY) from estimates of virgin biomass ( $B_{0}$ ) and the instantaneous rate of natural mortality (M).

A simple approach to MSY theory has been questioned in recent years, largely because, in its earliest conception, the model
unrealistically assumes stability in population characteristics, such as recruitment. Pollock stocks spawn in the midwater and are probably subject to large fluctuations in annual recruitment. Since we cannot at this time predict either such fluctuations, or other biological responses to the increasing fishing pressure, and since our surveys may have included different stocks from those now fished, the TACs have been set at $75 \%$ of the MSY level calculated here.

Virgin biomass was measured in the Strait of Georgia (Area 4B) and on the west coast of Vancouver Island (Area 3C) by hydroacoustic surveys during 1974-76, before major fisheries developed. However, in northern Hecate Strait and Dixon Entrance the 1978 and 1979 hydroacoustic surveys were made after there had been appreciable fishing (since 1976; Table 12.1). The biomass estimates from these surveys thus can no longer be considered to represent virgin biomass. An approximation of virgin biomass can be obtained by adding the catches back to 1976 to the hydroacoustic estimates. Estimates of stock size were obtained for the other three regions ( $5 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{B}, 5 \mathrm{C}$, and 5 E ) by comparing catch rates of pollock with other species during commercial operations and biological surveys, and by extrapolating from the results of hydroacoustic surveys carried out elsewhere on the coast. Preliminary estimates of the instantaneous rate of natural mortality (M) range from 0.5 to 1.0 , which correspond to annual mortality rates of $39-63 \%$. The TAC calculations were based on $M=0.6$. The pollock TAC for each region was therefore calculated from:

$$
\mathrm{TAC}=(.75)(.5)(.6) \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{o}}=(0.23) \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{O}}
$$

### 12.3.1 Georgia Strait and Juan de Fuca Strait (Area 4B)

Annual landings from Area $4 B$ in 1978 totalled 380 t , most of which was taken during the first quarter roe fishery (Table 12.1). At that time American vessels landed more than 500 t of pollock from the vicinity of Point Roberts. Very little pollock was landed by Canada from Area 4 B in 1978 after the roe fishery.

In anticipation of a roe fishery by both nations in 1979, a joint Canada-U.S. TAC of $1,500 t$ was set for the area between Point Roberts and Active Pass. It was recommended that the balance ( $2,500 \mathrm{t}$ ) of the 1979 TAC ( $4,000 \mathrm{t}$ ) be applied to the remainder of Area 4B. An intensive Canadian roe fishery developed in Georgia Strait during 1979. First quarter landings in 1979 were 1,096 t. Most ( $83 \%$ ) of the canadian catch was taken in the open Strait north of Point Roberts while the remainder was landed from Swanson Channel. Only $29 t$ was taken by Canada from the Canadian portion of the trans-boundary area between Point Roberts and Active Pass, while landings by both nations from this area amounted to only 435 t , much less than the recommended TAC of $1,500 \mathrm{t}$.

The 1979 Area 4B TAC was split because maturity data collected during research cruises in 1978 suggested that spawning peaked 4 weeks earlier in northern and central Georgia Strait than in the area between Point Roberts and Active Pass (Thompson and Beamish 1979). It was concluded therefore that at least two separate stocks may spawn in the open Strait. Maturity data collected in 1979 have reinforced the two-stock spawning
theory (Table 12.2). Thompson and Beamish (1979) estimated pelagic fish biomass east of Mayne Island by studying sounder paper collected in March 1978. Pollock biomass was estimated to be approximately $4,800 \mathrm{t}$, from which a TAC of $1,500 t$ was estimated (TAC $=0.6 \times 0.5 \times \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{O}}$ ). On March 28-29 1979, Washington State Department of Fisheries (M. G. Pedersen and N. Lemberg, personal communication) surveyed a smaller portion of the ground with more sophisticated equipment, obtaining an estimated pollock biomass of $2,700 \mathrm{t}$. Ignoring 1979 catches, which were 435 t and taken in part after the 1979 survey, virgin biomass for this spawning stock could be estimated as the sum of the 1978 Canada-U.S. landings ( 500 t ) and the 1979 estimate of $2,700 \mathrm{t}$, for a total of $3,200 \mathrm{t}$. This is likely to be conservative, since some pollock were very close to the bottom and were not counted in the survey, so it is reasonable to assume $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{O}}=4,000 \mathrm{t}$ for the pollock stock that is available to trawlers between Active Pass and Point Roberts in March and April.

Since pollock in Swanson Channel appear to be larger at age than in the open Strait of Georgia (Weir et al. 1978; Cass et al. 1978) and since length frequencies of Juan de Fuca pollock contain proportionately more longer fish than those from the open Strait, the December-February fisheries in these areas may target on a stock distinct from those in Georgia Strait. In 1978 the landing from Minor Areas 19 and 20 and Swanson Channel amounted to 182 t , just over half the maximum of 302 t recorded in 1955 but much larger than the low 1960-76 landings. The removal from this region in the first quarter of 1979 was 165 t (Table 12.3).

Virgin biomass was estimated from 1975-76 hydroacoustic surveys to be $22,000 \mathrm{t}$ for the entire Strait of Georgia but it became apparent from reconsiderations of the technique that the estimate could range from 15,000 to $22,000 \mathrm{t}$. Stock sizes for Johnstone and Queen Charlotte Straits (Minor Areas 12 and 13) have not been determined. The Juan de Fuca-Swanson Channel stock has not been surveyed hydroacoustically, therefore the TAC was estimated from historical commercial catch rates (Table 12.3).

### 12.3.1.1 Recommendations

A TAC of $3,400 \mathrm{t}$ is recommended for Area 4 B , of which not more than 300 t should be taken in combined Minor Areas 19 and 20 (Juan de Fuca Strait), and the Gulf Island Channels of Minor Areas 17 and 18, and not more than 900 t should be taken in the combined Minor Area 18 (east of Mayne Is.) and Washington Department of Fisheries Statistical Area 20A. The remaining $2,200 \mathrm{t}$ is recommended for the remainder of Area 4B.

### 12.3.2 West coast Vancouver Island (Areas 3C and 3D)

There has never been a significant commercial fishery for walleye pollock off the west coast of Vancouver Island (Table 12.1). Results of research trawl surveys showed that pollock appeared irregularly in these waters, and were available to both midwater and bottom trawls, but catch rates were generally low. The incidental catch of walleye pollock was low in the foreign fishery for hake in Area 3C in 1977 and 1978.

Five hydroacoustic surveys carried out in the southern half of Area 3C during 1974-76 suggested that the biomass of pollock was about $500-2,000$ t or $0.4-5.2 \%$ of the total biomass of pelagic and semi-pelagic fish in the area surveyed. These surveys included only the continental shelf from the southwest corner of La Pérouse Bank to Juan de Fuca Canyon. Two other surveys extended north of Amphitrite Point to Kyuquot. Pollock were less common in the northern part of the area, which is consistent with the experience of commercial trawlers. In Area 3C, the virgin biomass is estimated to be $2,000 \mathrm{t}$ (upper limit of the hydroacoustic surveys) and the biomass in Area 3D is assumed to be $1,000 \mathrm{t}$.

### 12.3.2.1 Recommendation

A TAC of 700 t is recommended for walleye pollock in combined Areas 3C and 3D.

### 12.3.3 Queen Charlotte Sound (Areas 5A and 5B)

Combined landings of pollock from Areas 5A and 5B in 1976, 1977, and 1978 were 469,236 , and 293 t , respectively, or 18,27 , and $12 \%$, respectively of the coastwide pollock landings (Table 12.1). Catch data from both research cruises and commercial operations do not yet indicate a predictable pattern of seasonal and geographical distribution of this species in the region. Landings peaked during August-October in all three years, but since pollock was not searched for with the same intensity at other times of the year and over the whole extent of the region, it is possible that many components of the stock have not been discovered.

To estimate biomass for this region, it was assumed that pollock density in Area $5 \mathrm{~A}-5 \mathrm{~B}$ was similar in water more than 50 fm deep to that in Dixon Entrance. The estimated stock size was the product of this density and the volume of water more than 50 fm deep. Two estimates of density were used. One assumed concentrations of pollock like those found in Dixon Entrance would be encountered, and so included the density values from such concentrations in Dixon Entrance. The other assumed that no concentrations occurred, and so excluded the higher density values found in Dixon Entrance. Both estimates assumed that all the fish encountered were pollock, an assumption that would obviously lead to overestimation. On the basis of the first assumption, the pollock stocks were estimated at 2,300 and $6,700 \mathrm{t}$ in Areas 5A and 5B respectively, for a total of $9,000 \mathrm{t}$, and on the basis of the second assumption, stock size was estimated to be 1,500 and $4,300 \mathrm{t}$ respectively, for a total of $5,800 \mathrm{t}$.

### 12.3.3.1 Recommendation

A TAC of $1,300 t$ is recommended for walleye pollock in combined Areas 5 A and 5 B .

### 12.3.4 Southern Hecate Strait (Area 5C)

In 1976, 1977, and 1978 pollock landings from Area 5C amounted to 193, 16, and 11 t , respectively (Table 12.1). No regular substantial
pollock fishery exists here, although from research cruises it is clear that juvenile and adult pollock inhabit the area. The extrapolation technique used to estimate stock size in Areas 5A and 5B (Section 12.3.3) gave estimates of 3,400 and $5,400 t$ for Area 5C. During a research cruise in March 1979, a large number of adult pollock were found spawning in Dana and Selwyn Inlets, on the east coast of Moresby Island. It is not known whether these pollock stay in the inlets all year, or are found offshore in Hecate Strait at times. Nothing is known about the possible relationship between pollock stocks of Area 5 C and those to the south in Queen Charlotte Sound, or those to the north in Area 5D.

### 12.3.4.1 Recommendation

A TAC of 800 t is recommended for walleye pollock in Area 5C.

### 12.3.5 Dixon Entrance and northern Hecate Strait (Area 5D)

The 1979 TAC for pollock in Area 5D was derived from a hydroacoustic survey of Dixon Entrance, Chatham Sound, and northern Hecate Strait made in July 1978. This survey found pollock widely distributed throughout Dixon Entrance. Commercially exploitable concentrations were found in the Two Peaks-Dundas Island area, and off Cape Chacon. Few fish were found in the area south and west of Zayas Island. A small concentration was located near Boston Rocks in northern Chatham Sound. The estimated biomass by locality is given below:

| Two Peaks-Dundas Island-Rose Spit | $2,800 \mathrm{t}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Langara Island-Massett | $1,700 \mathrm{t}$ |
| Cape Chacon | $2,700 \mathrm{t}$ |
| Cape Muzon | 250 t |
| South Side Dixon Entrance (scattered) | $1,600 \mathrm{t}$ |
| North Side Dixon Entrance (scattered) | $1,200 \mathrm{t}$ |
| Zayas Island | 50 t |
| Boston Rocks | $1,400 \mathrm{t}$ |
| Total | $11,700 \mathrm{t}$ |

In the January 1979 acoustic survey few pollock were found generally distributed throughout Dixon Entrance. The concentration off Cape Chacon had disappeared, but concentrations were located again on the Two Peaks-Dundas Island Grounds and in the Zayas Island area. Abundance of pollock was very much reduced compared to July 1978, and further decreased during the course of the cruise. In the last week of January there was estimated to be about $1,000 t$ of pollock on the Two Peaks-Dundas Island and Zayas Island Grounds and almost none elsewhere in Dixon Entrance. A week later on the same grounds the estimate was only 400 t . Important factors contributing to the decline in abundance at this time were the roe fishery, which accounted for two thirds of the decrease and movement of pollock out of the area to spawning grounds.

In the May-June 1979 hydroacoustic survey, pollock were sparsely distributed throughout Dixon Entrance. Concentrations were found again on the Two Peaks-Dundas Island Grounds and off Cape Chacon. Pollock were found widely but sparsely distributed in Clarence Strait, with evidence of a possibly exploitable concentration near Kasaan Bay. Pollock were less numerous in Revillagigedo Channel than in Clarence Strait. In northern Hecate Strait from Butterworth Rocks to Bonilla Island, the pollock encountered were mainly small immature fish and were mixed with considerably larger numbers of small sablefish.

The hydroacoustic biomass estimates for May-June 1979 were:

| Two Peaks-Dundas Island | $4,140 \mathrm{t}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Rose Spit-Shag Rock | $2,340 \mathrm{t}$ |
| Zayas Lsland | 280 t |
| Subtotal | $6,760 \mathrm{t}$ |
| Cape Chacon | $1,380 \mathrm{t}$ |
| Total | $8,140 \mathrm{t}$ |

The 1979 TAC for pollock in Area 5D was 2,300 t. This was based on the July 1978 hydroacoustic estimate of $11,700 \mathrm{t}$ of pollock in the entire area with an additional $2 \%$ added to account for the on-bottom portion of the stock and an allowance of $1,000 \mathrm{t}$ for on-bottom pollock in northern Hecate Strait. The calculation of a TAC based on this amount assumed that all pollock in Dixon Entrance, Chatham Sound and northern Hecate Strait would be available for exploitation on the Two Peaks-Dundas Island fishing grounds. Information obtained from later surveys on the distribution of pollock in Area 5D suggests it might be more realistic to assume that only those pollock found on the Two Peaks-Dundas Island Grounds, and possibly those from the adjacent Langara Island-Massett concentration, contribute significantly to the stock exploited on the Two Peaks-Dundas Island fishing grounds.

If the results of the 1978 and 1979 summer hydroacoustic surveys are adjusted to approximate virgin biomass by the addition of the annual catches (Table 12.1) back to 1976, estimates of $8,190 \mathrm{t}$ and $10,760 \mathrm{t}$ respectively, are obtained for the southern side of the strait, where the fishery occurrs. The difference between the two estimates may have been due in part to a difference in the distribution of fish within Dixon Entrance. Perhaps the best estimate of virgin biomass now available will be the average, or $9,500 \mathrm{t}$. For a stock of this size the MSY would be $2,800 \mathrm{t}$ and the TAC $2,100 \mathrm{t}$. If the Cape Chacon concentration can be exploited, an additional TAC of 450 t would be possible. This is based on an estimated virgin biomass of $2,000 \mathrm{t}$ for the area (the average of the 1978 and 1979 hydroacoustic estimates).

In northern Hecate Strait from Butterworth Rocks to Bonilla Island, midwater and bottom concentrations of juvenile pollock occur, as well as schools of adult pollock. No separate TAC is suggested for this part of Area 5D. Discards of juvenile pollock occur in Hecate Strait during
the summer bottom-trawl fishery, which targets mainly on flatfish and Pacific cod.

### 12.3.5.1 Recommendations

The recommended TACs for walleye pollock in Area 5 D are $2,100 \mathrm{t}$ for Rose Spit-Two Peaks-Dundas and Zayas Islands area, and 450 for the Cape Chacon area.

### 12.3.6 West coast Queen Charlotte Island (Area 5E)

Landings of pollock from Area 5 E totalled 0.2 t in 1976 , 12 t in 1977, 21 t in 1978, and 44 t to July 1979 (Table 12.1). Commercial and research catches of adult and juvenile pollock have been made in inlets and on the narrow continental shelf. The estimated biomass is 1,000 t. During the summer of 1979 commercial vessels landed about 50 from a concentration of pollock lying in the Langara Trench between Frederick and Langara Islands. The possibility exists that it may be part of the Dixon Entrance stock. The size of this concentration has not been estimated. For the present purposes the virgin biomass of pollock in Area 5 E is guessed to be 3,000 t.

### 12.3.6.1 Recommendation

The recommended TAC for walleye pollock in Area 5E is 700 t .

Table 12.1. Canadian landings ( $t$ ) of walleye pollock, by area, 1954-79.

| Year | Pollock landing (t) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4B | 3B | 3 C | 3D | 5A | 5B | 5 C | 5D | 5 E | Total |
| 1954 | 147 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 164 |
| 1955 | 418 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 426 |
| 1956 | 380 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 451 |
| 1957 | 248 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 262 |
| 1958 | 121 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 145 |
| 1959 | 260 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 270 |
| 1960 | 95 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 114 |
| 1961 | 115 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0.3 | 7 | 0 | 123 |
| 1962 | 49 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 67 |
| 1963 | 13 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 29 |
| 1964 | 33 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 42 |
| 1965 | 26 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 45 |
| 1966 | 37 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 82 | 0 | 121 |
| 1967 | 33 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 48 | 0 | 90 |
| 1968 | 16 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 13 | 0 | 42 |
| 1969 | 30 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 125 |
| 1970 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 52 |
| 1971 | 80 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85 |
| 1972 | 71 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 172 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 244 |
| 1973 | 9 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 62 | 9 | 0.4 | 13 | 0 | 93 |
| 1974 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 47 | 0 | 72 |
| 1975 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 10 | 1 | 70 | 0 | 104 |
| 1976 | 26 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 69 | 400 | 193 | 627 | 0.2 | 1,322 |
| 1977 | 50 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 61 | 175 | 16 | 568 | 12 | 891 |
| 1978 | 380 | 0 | 6 | 0.4 | 106 | 187 | 11 | 1,700 | 21 | 2,411 |
| $1979{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $(1,096)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | (9) | (0.1) | (4) | $(1,079)$ | (44) | (2,231) |

apreliminary data.

Table 12.2. Walleye pollock maturity observations in Area 4B (Georgia Strait) during 1978-79.

| Period | Observation | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1978 |  |
| Feb. 24-25 | First appearance of hydrated ova in Area 29. | Vessel observer report (J.M.T.) |
| March 29-30 | Largely spent or recovering west of Texada Is. and from Halibut Bank to Entrance Is. | Thompson and Beamish (1979) |
| Apri1 11-13 | Peak period of spawning between Point Roberts and Active Pass. | Thompson and Beamish (1979) |
| April 21 | Mostly spent, recovering or resting near Halibut Bank. | Thompson and Beamish (1979) |
|  | 1979 |  |
| March 4 | First appearance of hydrated ova in Area 29. | Vessel observer report (K.W.) |
| March 19-20 | Start of spawning between Active Pass and Point Roberts (2 spent females, 6 RR males). | Port sample (N.V.) |
| March 23-25 | Peak spawning in Area 29. | Vessel observer report (K.W.) |
| March 28-29 | Just before peak between Active Pass and Point Roberts (similar frequency of maturities to data collected April 5-7 in 1978). | CALIGUS cruise (M.S.S.) |

Table 12.3. Canadian trawl landings ( $t$ ) of walleye pollock in Major Area $4 B$ (1954-79).

| Year | Pollock landing ( $t$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Minor Areas 19-20 | Minor Area 18 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Minor } \\ \text { Areas } \\ 14-17,29 \end{gathered}$ | Area 4B Total |
|  |  | Inside Passages | Open Strait |  |  |
| 1954 | 3 | 111 | 14 | 18 | 147 |
| 1955 | 1 | 301 | 33 | 16 | 418 |
| 1956 | 1 | 241 | 59 | 28 | 380 |
| 1957 | 21 | 36 | 88 | 10 | 248 |
| 1958 | 7 | 54 | 12 | 9 | 121 |
| 1959 | 22 | 127 | 13 | 50 | 260 |
| 1960 | 9 | 41 | 14 | 7 | 95 |
| 1961 | 10 | 11 | 8 | 4 | 115 |
| 1962 | 15 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 49 |
| 1963 | 5 | 2 | 0.3 | 5 | 13 |
| 1964 | 22 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 33 |
| 1965 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 26 |
| 1966 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 37 |
| 1967 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 33 |
| 1968 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 16 |
| 1969 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 30 |
| 1970 | 17 | 11 | 14 | 4 | 45 |
| 1971 | 51 | 17 | 6 | 6 | 80 |
| 1972 | 70 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0 | 71 |
| 1973 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 9 |
| 1974 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 11 |
| 1975 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 1976 | 23 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.3 | 26 |
| 1977 | 29 | 16 | 1 | 0.6 | 50 |
| 1978 | 80 | 102 | 11 | 178 | 380 |
| 1979a | (5) | (160) | (24) | (907) | $(1,096)$ |

apreliminary estimates to July 20.


Fig. 12.1. Canadian walleye pollock landings ( $t$ ) by utilization (1945-July 6, 1979) and reported discards ( 1976 July 6, 1979) from B.C. coastal waters.

## 13. PACIFIC HAKE STOCK ASSESSMENT

### 13.1 Introduction

Pacific hake (Merluccius productus) are now fished by Canadian fishermen, but only a minor amount of the catch is currently sold to North American markets. In 1978, Canadian fishermen caught 1,836 t of Pacific hake from Sub-zone 5-1 as part of the cooperative venture with Polish fishermen. In 1979, $484 t$ of Pacific hake were caught in the Strait of Georgia as of June 30 and offshore catches of Pacific hake were approximately 500 t as of June 30, 1979. It is expected that the total catch by Canadian fishermen will exceed $10,000 t$. Therefore, it appears that Pacific hake is becoming an important fishery and if markets can be developed for Pacific hake products, this species could be landed in quantities in excess of any other groundfish species.

### 13.2 Assessment of the Strait of Georgia Stock

No review of the hydroacoustic survey data in the Strait of Georgia was conducted during 1979, and the estimate of the biomass remains at $120,000 \mathrm{t}$. Studies during the commercial fishery for Pacific hake in March and April 1979 indicated that the stocks were large with catches of $10,000-20,000 \mathrm{~kg}$ being made in less than 30 minutes. However, we suspect that the estimated biomass is much smaller than $120,000 \mathrm{t}$ and may be only one quarter to one half of this estimate.

Using the age composition of the catch in Table 13.1 and considering only the ages of fully recruited fish from age 4 to 9 ( $85 \%$ of the catch of mature, recruited fish), an instantaneous mortality rate of 0.64 was calculated. Using the Gulland (1970) formula MSY $=0.5 \times \mathrm{M} \times \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{O}}$. MSY estimates ranging from $38,000-10,000 t$ were calculated using biomass estimates ranging from $120,000-30,000 \mathrm{t}$. Because the hydroacoustic biomass estimate must be considered to be preliminary, and only a small number of fish have been aged by the new ageing method developed for Strait of Georgia Pacific hake, both the natural mortality estimates and biomass estimates may be in error. Therefore, until resources are available that will allow more time to be spent on studies of the biology and abundance of Pacific hake in the Strait of Georgia, it is recommended that a quota of $10,000 t$ be established for 1980.

If a fishery develops in 1980 for fillets or for roe some regulations will be required to prevent the discard of carcasses into the Strait of Georgia. It will also be important to regulate the discards of pollock that are caught incidentally in the Pacific hake fishery. Pollock incidence was $23 \%$ in the hake catches during March and April, 1979. Thus catches of pollock could be important and regulations should be imposed to prevent the waste of these fish.

### 13.2.1 Recommendation

A conservative TAC of $10,000 t$ is recommended for the Strait of Georgia. The discard of hake or pollock directly into the Strait of Georgia should be discouraged, and regulations may be required to prevent the waste of fish.

### 13.3 Assessment of the offshore stock

The size of the offshore Pacific hake stock and the allowable catch are determined by United States scientists. A TAC for the Canadian zone is established based on the U.S. biomass estimate, and an estimate of the proportion of Pacific hake that move into the Canadian zone. United States scientists have determined that the Pacific hake stocks are healthy and there is no reason at this time to expect that the United States TAC for 1980 will be substantially different from 1979. Therefore, it is quite possible that the recommended TAC in 1980 for offshore hake in the Canadian $z$ one will be $35,000 \mathrm{t}$. The exact value cannot be determined until the U.S. estimate is obtained, and if a preliminary TAC is required, we suggest $20,000 \mathrm{t}$ be allocated with a $15,000 \mathrm{t}$ reserve.

A survey of Sub-zones 5-1 and 5-2 in early May 1979 did not locate significant concentrations of hake, indicating movement into the Canadian zone probably had not begun. By mid-June concentrations of hake were observed in Sub-zone 5-1 indicating the movement into the Canadian zone probably occurred early in June. Catches as large as 4,700t of Pacific hake have been reported for foreign vessels fishing in the Canadian zone in May and hake have been observed in the Canadian zone as late as mid-October. Thus offshore hake moving into the Canadian zone may be resident for up to 5 mo. Some local stocks are known to exist, but the size of these stocks is unknown. Pollock are caught with the hake and in some areas incidental pollock catches may be equivalent to $20 \%$ of the hake catch.

### 13.3.1 Recommendation

The recomended TAC for Pacific hake in the Canadian zone is provisionally $20,000 \mathrm{t}$, with a 15,000 t reserve until the U.S. TAC is known.

Table 13.1. Age composition of Pacific hake from the Strait of Georgia (1979), determined from otolith sections.

| Age | n |
| :---: | ---: |
| 1 | 40 |
| 2 | 38 |
| 3 | 42 |
| 4 | 174 |
| 5 | 123 |
| 6 | 32 |
| 7 | 19 |
| 8 | 14 |
| 9 | 7 |
| 10 | 14 |
| 11 | 14 |
| 12 | 6 |
| 13 | 9 |
| 14 | 5 |
| 15 | 5 |
| 16 | 11 |
| 17 | 1 |
| Total | 554 |

### 14.1 Introduction

The butter sole (Isopsetta isolepis) exists in abundance in the shallow water of the northern Hecate Strait flats. (Minor quantities are to be found off the west coast of Vancouver Island). During the winter months, adults migrate to Skidegate Inlet where they traverse a shallow bar and descend into depths of ca 30 fm where they spawn. During at least one severely cold winter (1949-50), the fish apparently were unable to cross the bar, and spawning presumably occurred in the open waters of the Strait.

The main site of the fishery has normally been in Skidegate Inlet, but in the early days of the fishery (mid 1940s) large catches were made on and around Dogfish Bank, close to Rose Spit on Graham Island. The species was first used for human food, but by 1960 most of the production was diverted to animal food presumably because of the high cost of processing. (Butter sole is a thin flatfish with a relatively low fillet-recovery-to-body-weight ratio).

### 14.2 Catch statistics

Production of butter sole for human consumption reached a peak of $1,686 \mathrm{t}$ in 1952, but has always varied substantially because of market conditions or variable availability induced by environmental conditions (Table 14.1). During 1955-72, when butter sole was sold in part for human consumption, but more often as animal food, landings ranged from about 200-900 t. The species has been essentially unfished since 1972 , because of the collapse of the animal food market and because it no longer finds any demand as human food.

### 14.3 Stock condition

In the early days of the fishery (prior to 1953) there was concern that the butter sole could be easily overfished because of its high vulnerability to capture on the Skidegate spawning ground. However, the impact of fishing was never clearly demonstrated. High estimates of Z , instantaneous total mortality rate, for females (1.36-1.68) were attributed largely to a high natural death rate (Kutty 1963). Since the stock has been essentially unfished since 1972, it has presumably returned to a primitive level of abundance.

### 14.4 Recommendations

No restrictions need be placed on fishing for butter sole as long as it takes place in Skidegate Inlet. There, the stock can be fished to the exclusion of all other commercially valuable species. However, unrestricted fishing on the summer feeding grounds could result in large incidental catches of juvenile rock sole and other species which may require conservation measures.

Table 14.1. Landings ( $t$ ) of butter sole in British Columbia, 1945-78.

| Year | Food- <br> fish $^{\text {a }}$ | Animal <br> food | Total | Year | Food- <br> fish $^{a}$ | Animal <br> food | Total |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1945 | 658 | - | 658 | 1962 | 35 | 877 | 912 |
| 1946 | 699 | - | 699 | 1963 | 52 | 310 | 362 |
| 1947 | 114 | - | 114 | 1964 | 93 | 236 | 329 |
| 1948 | 295 | - | 295 | 1965 | 118 | 250 | 368 |
| 1949 | 13 | - | 13 | 1966 | 158 | 579 | 737 |
| 1950 | 5 | - | 5 | 1967 | 335 | 477 | 812 |
| 1951 | 827 | - | 827 | 1968 | 188 | 523 | 711 |
| 1952 | 1,686 | - | 1,686 | 1969 | 174 | 231 | 405 |
| 1953 | 170 | - | 170 | 1970 | 573 | 126 | 699 |
| 1954 | 96 | 21 | 117 | 1971 | 199 | 27 | 226 |
| 1955 | 213 | 121 | 334 | 1972 | 122 | 56 | 178 |
| 1956 | 314 | 351 | 665 | 1973 | 10 | 3 | 13 |
| 1957 | 586 | 69 | 655 | 1974 | $t r$ | - | tr |
| 1958 | 227 | 219 | 446 | 1975 | - | - | - |
| 1959 | 96 | 108 | 204 | 1976 | - | - | - |
| 1960 | 46 | 583 | 629 | 1977 | - | - | - |
| 1961 | 15 | 324 | 339 | 1978 | - | - | - |

aHuman consumption.

### 15.1 Introduction

The albacore (Thunnus alalunga) is a wide-ranging pelagic species of trans-oceanic distribution in the tropical and sub-tropical waters of the North Pacific. While occurring for the most part in the international sea, it makes seasonal intrusions at various stages of its life-history into the 200-mile zones of Japan, Mexico, U.S.A., and Canada.

### 15.2 Summary of life history

The albacore spawn in moderately deep water across the breadth of the North Pacific in a band lying between $0^{\circ}$ and $10^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. To judge from egg and larval surveys, most of the spawning occurs in the western Pacific. In the first 2 years there is a seasonal south to north movement to the "front" (ca. $35^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ ) and south again in the fall. Between ages 2 and 3 an east and west movement becomes superimposed on the seasonal south to north movement and is manifested by the annual arrival of juveniles off the North American coast in spring and summer. Those which make their landfall south of San Francisco to Baja California remain throughout the summer and then move southwestward to areas unknown.

Albacore making their landfall north of San Francisco move northward along the Oregon and Washington coasts, and, depending on presence of $14^{\circ}-16^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ water, extend northward off British Columbia to the Queen Charlottes (ca. $54^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ ). By early autumn the migration shifts south and then westward. Tagging suggests that this northern component traverses the Pacific to the southern coastal waters of Japan (ca. $35^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ ). By this time the older fish in this highly migratory component of juveniles reach maturity and presumably move southeastward to the $0^{\circ}-10^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ belt of latitude where they proceed to spawn. Behaviour from first spawning through the remainder of adult life is not clearly understood, but on the basis of information on the Japanese fishery their distribution is confined mainly to the west of Hawaii.

### 15.3 Definition of stocks

There is still some doubt as to the number of stocks present in the North Pacific. The current view is that there is one major stock in the triangle joining San Francisco, Graham Island and southern Honshu. Although some of the juvenile albacore which appear to the south of San Francisco eventually reappear in the fishery close to Japan ( $6 \%$ of tag recaptures) , the majority apparently move southwestward from the North American coast and escape further fishing during the remainder of their life.

Thus present information suggests there are at least two stocks in the North Pacific -- one which is vulnerable to exploitation by both the North American and Japanese fisheries and one vulnerable only as juveniles to the North American fishery. This description is perhaps over-simplified. With the advance of knowledge, particularly about age and growth, it is
becoming increasingly apparent that the subject of stock definition is extremely complex.

### 15.4 Catch and effort statistics

Table 15.l shows the 1961-77 distribution of albacore catch by country and gear. 14 From an average of $55,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 1961-65, total production rose fairly sharply surpassing the $100,000 \mathrm{t}$ level during the early 1970s. The catch reached an al1-time high of $122,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 1976, but fell to less than one-half that amount in 1977, largely because of a relative failure in the Japanese fishery which is explained in part by the failure of the oceanographic "front" to develop in that year directly to the east of southern Honshu. Preliminary information on the 1978 Japanese fishery indicates a higher production than in 1977 but still far below the 1971-76 average.

In contrast, the North American fishery from 1961 to 1976 showed no trend, averaging about $22,000 \mathrm{t}$, with a peak of $31,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 1973. The catch in 1977 was the lowest since 1961 being less than $12,000 \mathrm{t}$, but preliminary information on the 1978 fishery indicates that production will be near the $22,000 \mathrm{t}$ average. The poor catch of 1977 was attributed to rough seas and unfavourable oceanographic conditions, including poorer than normal upwelling along the Pacific coast.

The Canadian fishery, consisting largely of vessels which do not venture south to more productive latitudes, has been highly erratic, with catches ranging from virtually 0 (from 1953 to 1965) to a peak of $3,600 \mathrm{t}$ in 1972. Since that time production has fallen to very low levels being only 53 t in 1977 and even less in 1978. Again, oceanographic conditions have been the dominant factor in determining the size of the catch in recent years. However, other factors such as poor prices, and the frequently more attractive troll fishery for salmon, have accounted for some of the historical ups and downs in the Canadian fishery.

Statistics of CPUE are provided in Table 15.2. At the 1978 meeting of the international Working Group on Albacore it was apparent that there are many reservations concerning CPUE as a measure of abundance, particularly in the Japanese fishery. There, the major problem is one of defining effective fishing effort because the fishery for albacore is not clearly distinct from those for other tunas such as bonito and skip-jack. Problems which plague interpretation of North American CPUE include year-to-year differences in distribution and size of schools, the presence or absence of upwelling, strengths of the frontal system, bad weather, etc.

### 15.5 State of the albacore resource

Japanese scientists have developed several elaborate schemes to measure effective fishing effort for albacore. Applying these statistics

14 Statistical data contained in the present report have been extracted from the second annual report of an international Working Group on Albacore, represented by Japan, U.S.A. and Canada at its 1978 meeting.
and those of catch to a general production model, they conclude that the present catch is at or near MSY, variously estimated to be between 95,000 and $125,000 \mathrm{t}$. This range of estimates reflects uncertainty as to the true shape of the production curve, as few if any catch-effort points lie to the right of the dome.

In addition to uncertainty concerning the measure of effective effort and the possibility it is increasing rapidly, there are misgivings that the assumptions implicit in the production model are not satisfied. One is the assumption that there is but one stock. The other, which is much more serious, concerns the stability of age structure. The Japanese fishery which formerly depended on fish of age $4+$ to $6+$ is now concentrating on fish of age $2+$ (ca. 50 cm ). Yield-per-recruit analysis has clearly indicated that this shift to younger ages is in the wrong direction for maximizing yield. 15

### 15.6 Recommendation

Until Japan and the United States, the major exploiters of albacore (accounting for $98.8 \%$ of the production) issue an international call for controls, it is recommended that no restraints be placed on Canadian fishing power or catch.
${ }^{15}$ Continued premature exploitation of juveniles is likely to have substantial impact on the North American fishery north of San Francisco, and may have been felt for the first time in 1978.

Table 15.1. Catches of North Pacific albacore in metric tons, 1961-77 (dashes indicate no estimate available).

| Year | Japan ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  | United States ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | $\frac{\text { Canada }}{\mathrm{Jig}}$ | Total ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pole-and-line | Longline | Other gears | Total | Pole-and-line | Jig | Total |  |  |
| 1961 | 18,636 | 15,999 | - | 34,635 | 2,837 | 12,054 | 14,891 | 4 | 49,530 |
| 1962 | 8,729 | 12,617 | - | 21,346 | 1,085 | 19,753 | 20,838 | 1 | 42,185 |
| 1963 | 26,420 | 11,445 | - | 37,865 | 2,432 | 25, 142 | 27,574 | 5 | 65,444 |
| 1964 | 23,858 | 11,558 | - | 35,416 | 3,411 | 18,389 | 21,800 | 3 | 57,219 |
| 1965 | 41,491 | 11,214 | 121 | 52,826 | 417 | 16,461 | 16,878 | 15 | 69,719 |
| 1966 | 22,830 | 20, 874 | 585 | 44,289 | 1,600 | 15,169 | 16,769 | 44 | 61,102 |
| 1967 | 30,481 | 24,374 | 520 | 55,375 | 4,113 | 17,814 | 21,927 | 161 | 77,463 |
| 1968 | 16,597 | 19,040 | 1,109 | 36,746 | 4,906 | 20,441 | 25,347 | 1,028 | 63,121 |
| 1969 | 31,912 | 18,006 | 1,480 | 51,398 | 2,996 | 18,826 | 21,822 | 1,365 | 74,585 |
| 1970 | 24,263 | 15,372 | 956 | 40,591 | 4,416 | 21, 039 | 25,455 | 354 | 66,400 |
| 1971 | 52,957 | 10,915 | 1,262 | 65,134 | 2,071 | 22,196 | 24,267 | 1,587 | 90,988 |
| 1972 | 60,591 | 12,622 | 922 | 74,135 | 3,750 | 23,600 | 27,350 | 3,558 | 105,043 |
| 1973 | 69,640 | 16,000 | 1,922 | 87,562 | 2,236 | 15,652 | 17,888 | 1,720 | 107, 170 |
| 1974 | 73,576 | 12,952 | 1,289 | 87,817 | 4,777 | 20,177 | 24,954 | 1,207 | 113,978 |
| 1975 | 52,157 | 9,931 | 568 | 62,656 | 3,243 | 18,926 | 22,169 | 101 | 84,926 |
| 1996 | 85,336 | 15,738 | 2,464 | 103,538 | 2,780 | 16,314 | 19, §14 | 252 | 122,804 |
| $1977{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 31,934 | 15,512 | 1,727 | 49,173 | 1,497 | 10,012 | 11,509 | 53 | 60,682 |

aJapanese longline catch for 1961-68 excludes minor amount taken by vessels under 20 gross tons. Longline catch in weight is estimated by multiplying annual number of fish caught by an average weight statistic.
bunited States pole-and-line catch excludes minor amount taken by vessels not submitting logbooks to IATTC: this amount is included in the jig catch.
${ }^{\text {Comitted }}$ are unknown but minor catches by United States sport fishermen and by longline and pole-and-line vessels of the Republic of Korea and Taiwan.
data from report of the Third North Pacific Albacore Workshop, Honolulu, Hawaii. Feb. 1979.

Table 15.2. Catch per unit of effort (CPUE) of North Pacific albacore by fishery.

| Year | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S.A. } \\ \text { Jiga }^{\text {a }} \end{gathered}$ | Japan |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Pole-and-1ine ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Longline ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| 1961 | 69.17 | 4.40 | 0.25 |
| 1962 | 124.59 | 7.22 | 0.30 |
| 1963 | 132.09 | 6.29 | 0.32 |
| 1964 | 97.61 | 6.86 | 0.40 |
| 1965 | 89.07 | 6.26 | 0.33 |
| 1966 | 90.45 | 5.94 | 0.54 |
| 1967 | 126.83 | 6.09 | 0.40 |
| 1968 | 135.23 | 5.34 | 0.38 |
| 1969 | 112.57 | 4.95 | 0.28 |
| 1970 | 127.39 | 6.13 | 0.31 |
| 1971 | 96.68 | 6.94 | 0.21 |
| 1972 | 61.08 | 6.25 | 0.30 |
| 1973 | 82.89 | 5.49 | 0.38 |
| 1974 | 105.17 | 7.81 | 0.34 |
| 1975 | 99.81 | 5.95 | 0.23 |
| 1976 | 69.22 | 6.13 | 0.30 |
| 1977 | 59.90 | 2.49 |  |

${ }^{a}$ Number of fish per standardized boat-day.
$b_{\text {Metric }}$ tons per standardized fishing day.
${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Number per thousand standardized hooks.
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Introduction
Since 1976, increasing concern has been expressed by trawl captains and processors with respect to the expanding and evolving fishery for spawning Pacific cod on Amphitrite Bank during January-March. They have contended that the new trawling techniques catch an excessive proportion of the spawning cod, and hence jeopardize the stock(s). Since Amphitrite Bank is the only known major spawning ground for Pacific cod in Area 3C, the entire cod resource in Area 3C is jeopardized.

Although no proof of this hypothesis is currently available (nor likely to be in the near future), plausibility is evident to those who have observed the new fishing techniques. As a precautionary measure, logic suggests that the January-March fishery be restricted, or closed, during years when Pacific cod abundance is at a cyclic low level. A predictor of these low-abundance periods is required to provide management and the industry with adequate advance warning.

To satisfy this need, predictors were developed which would give 3 and 6 months notice as to the abundance of spawning Pacific cod on Amphitrite Bank each year. The predictors were the Canadian landings of Pacific cod during April-June (Quarter II) and July-September (Quarter III), respectively, in the year preceding the spawning season. The general validity of these predictors is based on two facts. First, age composition of Pacific cod landings from Area 3C are principally age-2 fish during Quarters II and III, and age-3 fish (same year-class) in Quarter I of the following year. Age composition of landings during Quarter II are less consistent, perhaps because in some years relatively more age-3 and age-4 fish survive past spawning. Second, Canada-U.S. landings and Canada catch rates ( $t$ landed $/ \mathrm{hr}$ trawled) were reasonably well correlated as follows:

| Ground | Time | r |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Amphitrite Bank | Qtr I | 0.911 |
| Big Bank | Qtr II | 0.407 |
|  | Qtr III | 0.575 |

Both predictors have biases which may affect their accuracy. The predictor based on Quarter II landings will overestimate the Quarter I landings, if the landings contain too many representatives of the older cod which will not be equally abundant during the next spawning season. The predictor based on Qtr III landings will tend to underestimate the Quarter I landings when dogfish are sufficiently abundant in Area 3C to inhibit trawling for cod. A counteracting bias in 1978 may have been the abnormally high water temperature (Douglas and Wickett 1979) which may well have caused a reduction in availability of cod to the trawl fishery.

Both predictors were employed to estimate the abundance of Pacific cod in Area 3C during January-March 1978, and indicated, abundance would be relatively low -- Canadian landings $=476 \mathrm{t}$ (Qtr II predictor) or 856 t (Qtr III predictor) (Appendix Table 1). Accordingly, Area 3C was closed to all trawling for the period February 22-April 3. The estimated Canadian landings for January-March, had there been no closure, was 604 t -- slightly less than the mean estimate ( 666 t ). Actual Canadian landings (January-February) totalled 574 t .

For January-March 1979, Canadian landings were estimated to be 840 t (Qtr II predictor) or 432 t (Qtr III predictor) (Appendix Table l). Mean estimate was 636 t , slightly less than the mean estimate for 1978 (666 t). Although, a closure to trawling was imposed for February l-March 31, in the Canadian section of Areas 3C-3D (south of Maquinna Point, and shallower than 100 fm ), Pacific cod landings from the January fishery totalled 279 t. Thus, approximately 357 t ( 636 less 279) of Pacific cod were "saved" for spawning by the February-March closure.

In 1979, the April-June Canadian landings of Pacific cod totalled 644 t , which projects the January-March 1980 landings to be $1,207 \mathrm{t}$ (Appendix Table 1). July-September landings are not available at this time (July 1979). A complete prediction for January-March 1980 landings will be available in early November.

Appendix Table 1. Relationship between Canadian landings ( $t$ ) of Pacific cod from Area 3C during Quarters II and III of year $i$ with those during Qtr. I of year $\mathrm{i}+1$, 1968-76.

| Year <br> (i) | Canada Landings ( $t$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Qtr. II | Qtr.III | Qtr. I |
|  | (i) | (i) | (i+1) |
| 1967 | 344 | 138 | 420 |
| 1968 | 126 | 33 | 344 |
| 1969 | 200 | 67 | 343 |
| 1970 | 277 | 176 | 516 |
| 1971 | 1,076 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 468 | 1,544 |
| 1972 | 2,031 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 706 | 1,425 |
| 1973 | 566 | 369 | 1,263 |
| 1974 | 692 | 536 | 1,463 |
| 1975 | 734 | 358 | 1,362 |
| 1976 | 652 | 326 | 944 |
| Predictor Parameters |  |  |  |
| N | $8{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 10 |  |
| r | 0.950 | 0.91 |  |
| a | -21.0 | 294. |  |
| b | 1.929 | 2.13 |  |
| $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{yx}}$ | 164. | 222. |  |
| $1977{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 259 | 268 | $574(476 / 856)^{\text {c }}$ |
| $1978{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 556 | 140 | 279 (840/432) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| $1979{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 644 | - | - (1,207/-) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |

a0mitting 1971 and 1972.
$\mathrm{b}_{\text {Not }}$ included in regression formula. cEstimates. (Qtr.II/Qtr.III).


Fig. 1. Relationship between Canadian landings of Pacific Cod from Area 3C (Canadian portion) during Quarter II (April-June) and Quarter III (July-September) in year $i$ with those during Quarter I (January-March) in year $i+1$, 1967-76.

The distinct multispecies nature of the rockfish fishery in Area 5E has made the successful optimization of total yield of the rockfishes difficult to achieve. The differences in stock sizes and biological parameters, and subsequent TACs, among species has resulted in premature closure of the fishery in previous years when one TAC was reached, while others were substantially undersubscribed. In an effort to avoid this truncation of species' fisheries, data from the commercial fishery during 1977-79 were segregated by species, ground, month and year to determine if there were sufficient distinctions to allow some differential exploitation by species.

Appendix Table 2 presents these data for four major fishing grounds, segregated to landings of Sebastes alutus, $\underline{S}$. reedi, and all other rockfishes. It is apparent when evaluating this table, that there are locations and times of year when landings are largely composed of only one of the major species and as such, they represent opportunities for management focus. Since the optimization problem has been primarily concerned with $\underline{S}$. reedi it would be desirable to restrict landings of $\underline{S}$. alutus at some time of year in order to permit greater landings of $S$. reedi. Also to be considered is the total effort being applied to any one ground.

The months of April and March are relatively similar in that the majority of the catches are composed of $S$. alutus or species other than S. reedi. Since this situation is common to all grounds during these months it is possible that all grounds in Area 5E, south of $54^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ could be closed to directed fishing for rockfishes. Past data indicate that such a closure would decrease the annual total landings of $\underline{S}$. alutus by approximately $25 \%$. It is further suggested, should the TAC for $\bar{S}$. alutus be approached later in the year, while other TACs have not, that the Anthony Island Ground ( $52^{\circ} 00^{\prime}-52^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ ) be closed to rockfish fishing. The latter is suggested because this ground has yielded almost exclusively $\underline{\text { S }}$. alutus in previous years and could be closed with relatively little consequent effects on yields of $\underline{S}$. reedi.

## Recommendations

To optimize yields of all rockfishes in Area 5E, south of $54^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ it is recommended that all of this area be closed to directed fishing for rockfishes during the months of March and April. This recommendation is made in order to provide some deferral of $\underline{S}$. alutus harvest until later in the year when $S$. reedi comprises a larger component of the rockfish catch. It is further recommended that the Anthony Island area ( $52^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-52^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ ) be closed to directed fishing for rockfishes should the S. alutus TAC be reached while that for $S$. reedi has not.

Appendix Table 2. Rockfish fishery in Area 5E. Landings ( $t$ ) of Sebastes alutus, $\underline{\text { S. reedi }}$ and other rockfishes by month, by ground and by year, 1977-79.

|  |  | January | February | March | April |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | alutus/reedi/other | alutus/reedi/other | alutus/reedi/other | alutus/reedi/other |
| Rennell <br> Sound | '77 | 78.9/54.4/14.5 | $33.6 /$ - / 4.5 | - 1-1 | 143.8/ 5.4/4.1 |
|  | '78 | 68.4/85.1/40.7 | -/ 0.2/ 0.2 | - 1-1 | 11.8/ 10.9/ - |
|  | 179 | 0.4/2.7/1.5 | 2.9/107.0/17.7 | 11.9/6.4/6.4 | 73.0/ 90.7/31.1 |
| Buck Point | 177 | - / - $1-$ | -1 -1 | - $1-1-$ | 162.4/ - / 4.1 |
|  | '78 | -1-1- | $9.1 /$ - / - | $-1-1$ | 130.9/ 9.5/34.0 |
|  | '79 | 39.9/-/2.2 | 2.7/ - / 1.7 | 35.8/1.8/5.5 | $\underline{49.9 /}-16.6$ |
| Fred Spot | '77 | -1 - 1 - | -1 - $1-$ | -1-1 - | - 1 - $1-$ |
|  | '78 | 75.9/ - / 1.6 | 28.6/ - / - | -1-1- | 19.5/ 11.6/ 0.8 |
|  | 179 | $\underline{4.5} / 0.1 / 0.2$ | -1 - $1-$ | -1-1- | 59.9/23.1/23.4 |
| Anthony Is land | 177 | 102.1/ - / 1.4 | -1 -1 - | $-1-1$ | 4.1/ - / - |
|  | '78 | -1 - 1 - | -1 -1 - | -1-1- | -1 -1 - |
|  | '79 | 34.7/6.7/8.4 | 120.4/ 6.4/0.5 | -1-1- | 90.3/3.2/2.4 |
| Total | '77 | 181.0/54.4/15.9 | $33.6 /$ - / 4.5 | -1-1 | 310.3/ 5.4/8.2 |
|  | '78 | 144.3/85.1/42.3 | $37.7 / 0.2 / 0.2$ | $-1-1$ | 162.2/32.0/34.8 |
|  | '79 | 79.5/ 9.5/12.3 | 126.0/113.4/19.9 | 47.7/8.2/11.9 | 273.1/117.0/73.5 |


|  |  | May | June | July | August |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | alutus/reedi/other | alutus/reedi/other | alutus/reedi/other | alutus/reedi/other |
| Rennell Sound | 177 | $46.7 / 151.5 / 9.5$ | 303.9/132.9/22.7 | 140.6/289.4/45.8 | 15.4/103.4/30.8 |
|  | : 78 | $25.2 / 183.3 / 67.5$ | $\underline{\underline{126.8 / 5}} 53.0 / 17.4$ | 1.8/ - - | $86.6 / 18.4$ |
|  | 179 | $0.7 / 8.2 / 3.2$ | 1.4/ 11.8/13.4 |  |  |
| Buck Point | 177 | 71.7/ -/7.7 | $10.9 /-/ 0.5$ | 42.6/ 6.4/14.1 | $-1-1-$ |
|  | ${ }^{1} 78$ | 15.4/ - / - | $17.2 /$ - / 4.1 | $-1-1-$ | $2.2 /-/ 9.1$ |
|  | 179 | $7.7 /-1-$ | 1.9/10.3/ 0.7 |  |  |
| Fred Spot | 177 | $-1-1-$ | - / - / - | $-1-1-$ | $-1-1-$ |
|  | 178 | 6.4/ - / - | $-1-1-$ | $-/-1-$ | -/ -/ - |
|  | '79 | - / - - | - / - - |  |  |
| Anthony Island | 177 | 10.0/ - / - | 1.4/ - / - | - / - - | - / - $1-$ |
|  | :78 | 53.4/ - / - | 4.1/48.5/5.9 | 298.7/66.5/9.4 | 353.2/56.6/13.8 |
|  | '79 | $18.9 / 7.0 / 2.7$ | 91.2/ 58.4/4.8 |  |  |
| Total | 177 | 128.4/151.5/17.2 | 316.2/132.9/23.2 | 183.2/295.8/59.9 | 15.4/103.4/30.8 |
|  | :78 | 100.4/183.3/67.5 | 148.1/101.5/27.4 | 300.5/66.5/ 9.4 | 442.0/175.0/53.6 |
|  | 179 | 27.3/15.2/8.9 | 94.5/ 80.5/18.9 |  |  |

Appendix Table 2 (cont'd)

|  |  | September | October | November | December |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | alutus/reedi/other | alutus/reedi/other | alutus/reedi/other | alutus/reedi/other |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rennell } \\ & \text { Sound } \end{aligned}$ | '77 | 12.3/79.8/13.2 | 25.4/167.4/72.1 | 52.6/183. $7 / 22.2$ | - / - / - |
|  | '78 | $11.3 / 64.9 / 17.2$ | 72.4/166.6/90.4 | $4.8 /{ }^{-7.5 / 6.7}$ | 3.2/24.2/18.6 |
|  | 179 |  |  |  |  |
| Buck Point | 177 | 1.4/ - / 0.5 | 1.4/10.0/ 1.4 | 4.1/14.1/2.3 | - 1 - 1 - |
|  | '78 | 3.4/ - / - | 26.3/ - / 0.1 | 14.9/ 6.4/3.0 | 5.1/0.1/3.0 |
|  | 179 |  |  |  |  |
| Fred Spot | 177 | - $1-1$ - | -1 -1 | - 1 - $1-$ | - $1-1-$ |
|  | '78 | - / - $1-$ | 5.01 - / - | -1 - - - | 6.8/ - / - |
|  | 179 |  |  |  |  |
| Anthony Island | 177 | -1 - 1 - | $-1-1$ | $-1-1$ | - $1-1-$ |
|  | ${ }^{1} 78$ | - / - $1-$ | 521.5/81.3/22.3 | 177.8/26.8/1.6 | 85.4/17.0/21.7 |
|  | '79 |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 177 | 13.7/79.8/13.7 | 26.8/177.4/73.5 | 56.7/197.8/24.5 | - / - 1 - |
|  | 178 | 14.7/64.9/17.2 | 625.2/247.9/112.8 | 197.5/40.7/11.3 | 100.5/41.3/43.3 |
|  | ${ }^{7} 7$ |  |  |  |  |

It is recommended that a minimum size regulation of 55 cm in fork length for sablefish be implemented for all areas adjacent to Canada's west coast. It is further recommended that regulations requiring escape devices on trap gear be implemented by January 1, 1981.

It is recommended that the present regulation preventing the retention of lingcod less than 58 cm in total length by the commercial fishery be expanded to include removals by the recreational fishery in the Strait of Georgia and vicinity (Area 4B).

It is recommended that trawling in the portion of Zone 5 north of $54^{\circ}$ latitude be limited to a permit fishery to allow close monitoring of rockfish catches.

It is recommended that rockfish fishing in Canadian waters adjacent to Queen Charlotte Sound seaward of the fishery closing line, from Cape St. James to Triangle Island, be restricted to the months of June-September inclusive (see Addendum to sec. 2.11).

It is recommended that Area 3 C shallower than 100 fm be closed to all trawl fishing during January l-April 1 to protect spawning stocks of Pacific cod.

It is recommended that an all-gear lingcod fishing closure be in effect during November 15-April 15 for waters adjacent to the west coast of Vancouver Island (Areas 3C and 3D) and the inside waters of Vancouver Island (Area 4B).



[^0]:    ${ }^{1} 1978$ data for U.S. not available.
    2Includes Area 3D.

[^1]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ It should be noted that the precision of these estimates is reflective of the detail of the calculation used to generate them, rather than the accuracy of the estimate.

[^2]:    ${ }^{5}$ It should be noted that the identification of $S$. entomelas in Polish catches was never confirmed by North American scientistis, although Polish biologists reportedly were aboard at least some of the 6 vessels which operated in Area 3D. They initially identified the species as S. ciliatus, but the identification was altered to $S$. entomelas by $S$. J. Westrheim, for reason that $S$. ciliatus is an inhabitant of northern waters with a southern limit of Hecate Strait.

[^3]:    ${ }^{6}$ S. ruberrimus is uncommon on trawling grounds but appears to be common in sheltered inshore inlets and channels, as well as untrawlable offshore areas. It is often taken on sportfish jigs and in the past at least was a common incidental species in the handline fishery for lingcod.

[^4]:    apreliminary data (to July 15).

[^5]:    $a_{\text {Extrapolated }}$ using observed \% in 1977 rockfish catch.

[^6]:    $7^{7}$ Includes Firing Range and Cabbage Patch Grounds.
    $8_{\text {Includes }}$ S.E. Corner, S.W. Corner, Gunsight, and Finger Bank Grounds.

[^7]:    ${ }^{9}$ High percentages for Quarter I in 1956 and 1957 are considered to be erroneous. Probable origin was White Rocks.
    $10_{\text {Results }}$ questionable. Further studies on age determination are underway.

[^8]:    ${ }^{13}$ Source: British Columbia Catch Statistics, Department of Fisheries and Environment, Annual Reports 1951-78.

[^9]:    ${ }^{a_{r}}{ }^{\prime}=$ growth parameter.

