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## ABSTRACT

Schubert, N.D. and I.W. Whyte. 1992. Angler effort and catch in five Fraser River chinook salmon sport fisheries, 1990. Can. Manuscr. Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 2142: 58 p.

The retention of chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha) adults in Fraser River system sport fisheries was eliminated in 1980 in response to escapement declines. Since 1986, improved escapements permitted the reopening of sport fisheries for chinook adults in a number of Fraser River locations. In 1990, chinook fisheries were opened in the Bowron, Clearwater, lower Fraser, quesnel, lower Shuswap, South Thompson, Thompson and Vedder-Chilliwack rivers. The fisheries were regulated through harvest ceilings, time and area restrictions or daily and annual angler harvest limits. With the exception of the Bowron, Clearwater and Quesnel rivers, each fishery was evaluated using either a roving, access point or hybrid on-site survey.

A total of 4,029 anglers were interviewed in five study areas. An estimated 107,545 angler hours were expended to harvest an estimated 2,216 chinook adults, 38 chinook jacks, 450 rainbow trout, 136 cutthroat trout, 5 Dolly Varden char and 41 sturgeon. Estimated releases totalled 71 chinook adults, 18 chinook jacks, 32 sockeye and 22 rainbow trout. Eighty-two of the chinook adults were marked with adipose fin clips.

The study also identified general biases associated with creel surveys.

Key words: upper Fraser River, sport fisheries, chinook salmon, angler effort, harvest, release, bias.

## Résumé

Schubert, N.D. and I.W. Whyte. 1992. Angler effort and catch in five Fraser River chinook salmon sport fisheries, 1990. Can. Manuscr. Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 2142: 58 p.

On a interrompu la pêche sportive des saumons quinnats (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha) adultes dans le bassin du fleuve Fraser en 1980 en raison du declin de l'échappée. Depuis 1986 , l'augmentation de l'échappée a permis la réouverture de la pêche sportive des quinnats adultes dans plusieurs régions de ce bassin. En 1990, on ouvrait la pêche dans les rivières Bowron, Clearwater, Quesnel, South Thompson, Thompson, Vedder-Chilliwack et dans les cours inférieurs du Fraser et de la Shuswap. La pêche sportive y a été contrôlée par l'imposition de plafonds de prises, de restrictions temporelles et spatiales ou de limites quotidiennes et annuelles de prises. Sauf dans les rivières Bowron, Clearwater et Quesnel, chaque pêche a été évaluée au moyen d'une enquête menée de point d'accès à point d'accès ou hybride, sur le terrain.

En tout, 4029 pêcheurs sportifs ont ete interroges dans cing secteurs d'etude. Selon les estimations, 107,545 heures-pecheurs ont donné lieu à une recolte de 2216 quinnats adultes, 38 jeunes quinnats mâles, 450 truites arc-enciel, 136 truites fardees, 5 Dolly Varden et 41 esturgeon. Les lachers etimatifs comprennent 71 quinnats adultes, 18 jeunes quinnats males, 32 saumons rouges et 22 truites arc-en-ciel. On a marqué 82 des quinnats adultes en coupant leur nageoire adipeuse.

Par ailleurs, la présente étude traite des biais généraux qui se manifestent dans les enquêtes par interrogation des pêcheurs.

Mots-cles: partie superieure du Fraser, pêches sportives, saumon quinnat, effort de pêche sportive, remise à l'eau, distorsion.

## INTRODUCTION

Poor returns of chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha) to the Fraser River system led to the closure in 1980 of all chinook adult sport fisheries. Since then, returns have improved to an extent which permitted the reopening of sport fisheries in selected terminal areas (Schubert 1988, 1989, 1990, 1992). In 1990, sport fisheries were opened in the Bowron, Clearwater, lower Fraser, Quesnel, lower Shuswap, South Thompson, Thompson and VedderChilliwack rivers (Fig. 1) and Mabel Lake. In most areas, structured studies monitored fishery performance, evaluated stock impacts and provided the data upon which future management decisions could be made.

This report describes the study design and field procedures and documents the results (angler effort, harvest and release by species) of the 1990 studies in the lower Fraser, lower Shuswap, South Thompson, Thompson and Vedder-Chilliwack rivers. The report concludes with recommendations for the management and assessment of future fisheries.

## STUDY AREA DESCRIPTION

## FRASER RIVER

Angling in the Fraser River occurs primarily in the lower 120 km (Fig. 2). In 1990, the retention of chinook adults was permitted as far upstream as Alexandra Bridge in the Fraser Canyon; however, this study focused on a 28 km section between the Sumas River mouth and the Agas-siz-Rosedale Bridge in July and August. Chinook adults from most Fraser River stocks were available in this fishery.

## SHUSWAP RIVER

The Shuswap River originates in the Monashee Mountains of south-
central British Columbia and flows in a northwesterly direction, entering Mara Lake east of Salmon Arm. Chinook sport fishing was permitted in the lower Shuswap River, except in a 1 km section above Mara Lake, and in Mabel Lake north of a boundary sign located 4 km south of the lake outlet (Fig. 3). Lower Shuswap chinook were the principle stock in this fishery.

## SOUTH THONPSON RIVER

The South Thompson River originates at Little Shuswap Lake in south-central British Columbia and flows in a westerly direction for 65 km , entering the Thompson River at Kamloops (Fig. 1). Chinook sport fishing was permitted between the Pritchard and Chase bridges (Fig. 4). South Thompson chinook were the principle stock in this fishery.

## THONPSON RIVER

The Thompson River arises at Kamloops Lake and flows in a southwesterly direction for 109 km , entering the Fraser River at Lytton (Fig. 1). Chinook sport fishing was permitted on both sides of the river in a 1 km section between the Highway 8 Bridge at Spences Bridge and the upgtream bank of the Nicola River. Nicola chinook were the principle stock in this fishery.

## VEDDER-CHILLIWACK RIVER

The Vedder-Chilliwack River originates in the Cascade Mountains of Washington State and flows in a northwesterly direction, entering the Sumas River and subsequently the Fraser River near Chilliwack (Fig. 5). The study area extended from the Highway 1 bridge to the fishing boundary at Slesse Creek. Transplanted upper Fraser chinook were the principle stock in this fishery.




Table 1. Sport fishery regulations in the 1990 Fraser River study areas.
$\begin{array}{llccccc} & \text { Open Period } & & \begin{array}{c}\text { Angler catch } \\ \text { limits }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Days } \\ \text { open } \\ \text { per }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Total } \\ \text { days } \\ \text { (Lacation }\end{array} & \text { Daily }\end{array}$ Annual $\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { week } \\ \text { open }\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { Harvest } \\ \text { ceiling }\end{array}\right]$

[^1]
## FISHERY REGULATIONS

In general, the 1990 sport fisheries were managed through restrictions in fishing time, daily and annual angler harvest limits and fishery-specific harvest ceilings (Table l). The main changes from 1989 (Schubert 1992) were: the lower Shuswap River remained open one week later, an increase from 21 to 28 days; Mabel Lake was opened for the first time; the South Thompson River remained open one week later, an increase from 14 to 21 days; and the Thompson River fishery increased from one to three days per week, opened two weeks earlier and remained open one week later. Fishing time increased from 12 to 33 days, and the harvest ceiling increased from 100 to 300 chinook adults.

## METHODS

## STUDY DESIGX

## Fraser River

The lower Fraser River sport fishery between the Sumas River mouth and the Agassiz-Rosedale Bridge was assessed, using an access pointoverflight design (DPA Group MS 1985a), from July 7 to August 26, 1990. The planned June survey start was delayed when high water precluded fishing on Queen's Island. A surveyor worked one of two eight hour shifts ( 7 a.m. to 3 p.m.; 2 p.m. to 10 p.m.) which encompassed most daylight hours. The study period was stratified by month into weekday and weekend or holiday day types, with weekly assessment of up to three of the former and all of the latter; 35 of the 57 open days were assessed.

The surveyor was stationed at a landing ramp on the south shore of the Fraser River opposite Queen's Island, the area of maximum expected study area angler effort. The surveyor conducted hourly rod counts
(using binoculars) and exit interviews. Each interview recorded trip length (to time of interview and expected additional time, if any), target species, number and species harvested or released, identifying marks on harvested fish (fin or maxillary clip), gear type and, if the angler had fished the lower Fraser River within two weeks, trip duration and harvest on the most recent trip. When possible, harvest was inspected to confirm species and mark identification. An interview form was completed for each angler; however, if the angler was unresponsive or if response reliability was questionable, the form was voided.

On six days in July and four days in August, all anglers in the study area were counted from a Cessna 172 aircraft flying at approximately 30 meters and 130 kmh . Independent counts by two observers were made at noon and generally required 40 min .

## Shuswap River

The lower Shuswap River sport fishery was assessed, using a hybrid design (Schubert 1988), from August 16 to September 11, 1990. Four surveyors each worked one of two eight hour shifts ( $5 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. to $1 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. ; $1 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. to 9 p.m.). The study period was stratified into weekday and weekend/ holiday day types, with weekly assessment of up to three of the former and all of the latter; 21 of the 28 open days were assessed.

Access point surveyors were stationed at Chuck's and Log Dump pools and Enderby Bridge, the areas of maximum expected angler effort. A roving surveyor assessed the remaining areas. Access point survey procedures were identical to those described for the Fraser River, except the chinook harvest was sampled for size (nose-fork length and weight), flesh colour, sex, adipose fin status and scales.

The roving surveyor travelled a predetermined route by automobile, with a randomly selected start point and direction of travel. The surveyor's rate of travel through the fishery was standardized to ensure that a complete circuit encompassed 7 hours. Anglers were approached on foot and interviewed as above. In addition to the interviews, the surveyor conducted a 1 hour instantaneous rod count of the entire study area at one of the two periods of expected daily effort maxima (beginning at $6 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. or 7 p.m., depending on the shift). No interviews were conducted during the rod count.

Mabel Lake angler effort was assessed by hourly boat counts from shore and total angler counts from a Cessna 172 aircraft. Because interviews were unobtainable, the survey was abandoned after September 3.

## South Thompson River

The South Thompson River sport fishery was assessed, using a hybrid design, from August 31 to September 18, 1990. Two surveyors each worked one of two eight hour shifts ( $6 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. to 2 p.m.; noon to 8 p.m.). The study period was stratified into weekday and weekend/holiday day types, with weekly assessment of up to three of the former and all of the latter; 15 of the 21 open days were assessed.

One surveyor was stationed at Rocky Point, the area of maximum expected angler effort, while a roving surveyor assessed the remaining areas by boat. Access point and roving survey procedures were similar to those described for the Fraser and Shuswap rivers, respectively, except the instantaneous rod count occurred daily at 1 p.m. and required 1 hour.

## Thompson River

The Thompson River sport fishery was assessed by complete census
from June 23 to September 3, 1990. Surveyors, working the entire daily open period ( $6 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. to 9 p.m.), were stationed at the Nicola River mouth and on the west bank opposite the Nicola River. Because the entire open area was within sight, a secondary surveyor was able to contact other anglers before they left the river. Procedures were identical to the Fraser River study, except instantaneous rod counts were not required and the chinook harvest was sampled for size (nose-fork length and weight), flesh colour, sex, adipose fin status and scales.

## Vedder-Chilliwack River

The Vedder-Chilliwack River sport fishery was assessed, using a roving design, from July 1 to August 25 , 1990. One surveyor worked one of two eight hour shifts ( $6 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. to 2 p.m.; noon to 8 p.m.). Monthly study period strata included two-hour daily time blocks and weekday and weekend/ holiday day types, with weekly assessment of up to three of the former and all of the later; 37 of the 62 open days were assessed.

Roving survey procedures were similar to the lower shuswap study, except instantaneous rod counts required two hours. Approximately 30\% of the rod counts were during the noon to 2 p.m. time block; the remainder were conducted at random.

## DATA MANAGBMENT

Data storage and analysis were conducted on an IBM-AT compatible microcomputer. A custom designed data entry program (DPA Group Inc. MS 1985b) generated ASCII files which were either imported into an analysis program (access point and hybrid studies) (DPA Group Inc. MS 1986) or a apreadsheet program (roving study) for analysis.

The data were verified in three
steps. First, all field data sheets were examined for compliance with study procedures. Second, the data entry program performed 31 automatic error checks, including duplication detection, code validity, and range and consistency verification. Third, the ASCII data files were imported to a spreadsheet program for final verification with the field data sheets.

## DATA ANALYSIS

## Fraser River

Angler Effort: Daily angler effort profiles were generated from hourly rod counts at Queen's Island, with effort before $7 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. reconstructed from interview data. Hourly effort was weighted to compensate for the sampling imbalance which resulted from overlapping survey shifts.

Mean sample day angler effort (hours) for each stratum was the ratio of the mean overflight rod count and the proportion of daily effort occurring during the rod count time block (noon to 12:40 p.m.). Total angler effort was the product of the mean daily angler effort and the number of days in the stratum. The mathematical relationships are reported below. Variance calculations are detailed in Appendix 19.

1) Estimated total rods fishing ( $\hat{R}_{h j}$ ), by hour and day type (weekday or weekend):

$$
\hat{R}_{h y}=\sum_{I} N_{h} / n_{h i j} \sum_{k} r_{h i j k}
$$

2) 

Estimated proportion of daily angler effort occurring during the instantaneous rod count time block $\left(\bar{p}_{h j^{*}}\right)$,by day type:

$$
\bar{P}_{h j^{*}}=\frac{\hat{R}_{h j^{*}}}{\sum_{j} \hat{R}_{h j}}
$$

3) 

Estimated mean rod count during
the instantaneous rod count time block $\left(\bar{y}_{h j^{*}}\right)$, by day type:

$$
\bar{y}_{h j^{*}}=\sum_{k} \frac{y_{h j^{*} k}}{n_{h j^{*}}}
$$

4) Estimated angler effort ( $E_{h}$ ), by day type, in hours:

$$
E_{h}=N_{h} \frac{\bar{Y}_{h j^{*}}}{\bar{p}_{h y^{*}}}
$$

5) Estimated study period angler effort (E), in hours:

$$
E=\sum_{h} E_{h}
$$

where:
$\left.\left.\begin{array}{rl}N_{h}= & \begin{array}{l}\text { total days of day type } h \\ \text { (weekday or weekend) in }\end{array} \\ \text { the month; }\end{array}\right\} \begin{array}{l}\text { number of interview sam- } \\ \text { ple days on day type } h \\ \text { at site } i \text { (Queen's Is- }\end{array}\right\}$

Catch Per Unit Effort: CPUE was calculated by species and mark group using a total ratio estimator (Von Geldern, Jr. and Thomlinson 1973; Malvestuto 1983), i.e. the total estimated catch was divided by the total estimated effort (to time of interview). Estimates were derived from interview data weighted by the proportion of monthly stints which were surveyed (the day was di-
vided into three stints: 7 a.m. to 2 p.m.; 2 p.m. to 3 p.m.; and 3 p.m. to 10 p.m.) to account for sampling imbalances resulting from overlapping survey shifts and the proportion of anglers in each hour who left the site without an interview. CPUE was calculated separately for harvested (HPUE) and released (RPUE) fish; however, RPUE was not calculated by mark type because angler mark recognition was considered unreliable. The mathematical relationships are reported below.
6) Estimated study period catch to time of interview at the survey site $\left(\hat{X}_{h}\right)$, by day type:

$$
\hat{X}_{h}=\sum_{I} \sum_{I} \frac{1}{a_{h i l}} \sum_{f} \sum_{\sigma} \sum_{u} \frac{x_{h i l f q u}}{a_{h i l f g}}
$$

7) Estimated study period angler hours to time of interview at the survey site $\left(T_{h}\right)$, by day type:

$$
\hat{T}_{h}=\sum_{I} \sum_{I} \frac{1}{a_{h i l}} \sum_{T} \sum_{q} \sum_{u} \frac{t_{h 11 f q u}}{a_{h i l f q}}
$$

8) Estimated catch per angler hour at the survey site $\left(\bar{c}_{h}\right)$, by day type:

$$
\bar{c}_{h}=\frac{\hat{X}_{h}}{\hat{T}_{h}}
$$

where:

| $a_{h 11}=$ | proportion of monthly |
| ---: | :--- |
|  | period stints of type 1 |
|  | for site $i$ (Queen's |
|  | Island) on day type $h$ |
|  | which were surveyed; |
| $a_{h 11 f q}=$ | proportion of anglers |
|  | leaving in time block $q$ |
|  | on stint $f$ of stint type |
|  | 1 at site $i$ on day type |
|  | $h$ who were interviewed; |

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{\text {hilqqu }}= & \text { catch to time of inter- } \\
& \text { view by angler } u \text { leaving } \\
& \text { in time block } q \text { on stint } \\
& f \text { of stint type } 1 \text { at } \\
t_{\text {hilfqu }}=\quad & \text { site i on day type h; } \\
& \text { interview by angler } u \\
& \text { leaving in time block } q \\
& \text { on stint } f \text { of stint type } \\
& 1 \text { at site i on day type } \\
& h .
\end{aligned}
$$

Before calculating CPUE, the raw interview data were tested for significant differences in CPUE between all interviews and complete trip interviews. The test used, from Cochran (1977), was:
9) Estimated variance of the difference between two ratios $\left(\operatorname{Var}\left(\bar{c}_{c}-\bar{c}_{t}\right):\right.$

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left(\bar{c}_{c}-\bar{c}_{t}\right)=\operatorname{Var}\left(\bar{c}_{c}\right)+\operatorname{Var}\left(\bar{c}_{t}\right)
$$

where:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\operatorname{Var}\left(\bar{c}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)= & \begin{array}{l}
\text { variance of CPUE from } \\
\\
\\
\text { complete trip inter- } \\
\text { views: }
\end{array}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\frac{1}{n(n-1) \bar{t}^{2}}\left(\sum x_{u}^{2}-2 \bar{c}_{c} \sum x_{u} t_{u}+\bar{c}_{u}^{2} \sum t_{u}^{2}\right)
$$



Harvest and Releaser Total harvest and release, estimated by species and mark group, was the sum of the weekday and weekend/holiday strata estimates. For each stratum, harvest and release was the product of stratum effort and the corres-
ponding value of HPUE or RPUE.
10) Total monthly catch (C):

$$
C=\sum_{h} \bar{C}_{h} E_{h}
$$

Harvest Rate: In study areas where total chinook adult abundance was known, the harvest rate was the ratio of the estimated harvest and the sum of the estimated harvest and the observed escapement (provided by field staff). Harvest rates were not calculated for other species because total abundance was unknown.

Angler Characteristics: The following unweighed angler attributes were summarized by site and week: party size, mean angler day length (from complete trip, incomplete trip and all interviews), preferred species and gear type. Study period mean angler day length, calculated from complete trip interviews, was estimated from site-specific data weighted by estimated angler effort.

## Shuswap River

The lower Shuswap River analysis used the procedure described for the lower Fraser River. Angler effort was calculated from profiles observed at Chuck's and Log Dump pools and from instantaneous counts from the above sites and the roving survey. CPUE data were collected at all sites; however, CPUE was first tested for differences between sites in addition to between interview types (Equation 9). If a significant difference in CUPE was noted between sites, then equations 6,7 and 8 were replaced with the following:
11) Estimated total catch to time of interview $\left(\hat{X}_{h 1}\right)$, by site and day type:

$$
\hat{X}_{h i}=\sum_{I} \frac{1}{a_{h i 1}} \sum_{Y} \sum_{g} \sum_{u} \frac{x_{h i l f q u}}{a_{h i l f q}}
$$

12) Estimated total angler hours to time of interview ( $\hat{T}_{h 1}$ ), by site and day type:

$$
\hat{T}_{h i}=\sum_{I} \frac{1}{a_{h i 1}} \sum_{I} \sum_{q} \sum_{u} \frac{t_{h i l f q u}}{a_{h i l f q}}
$$

13) Eatimated catch per angler hour $\left(\bar{c}_{h i}\right)$, by site and day type:

$$
\bar{C}_{h i}=\frac{\hat{X}_{h i}}{\hat{T}_{h i}}
$$

Estimated mean catch per angler hour at all sites (weighted by site angler effort) ( $\bar{c}_{h}$ ), by day type:

$$
\bar{c}_{h}=\frac{\sum_{i} \bar{c}_{h i} E_{h i}}{\sum_{i} E_{h i}}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{h i}= N \frac{\bar{y}_{h i j *}}{\bar{P}_{h j^{*}}} \\
&= \begin{array}{l}
\text { estimated total angler } \\
\text { effort at site } i \text { on day } \\
\text { type } h ;
\end{array} \\
& \bar{y}_{h i y^{*}}=\quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { mean rod count at site } i \\
\text { on day type } h \text { during the } \\
\text { instantaneous rod count } \\
\text { time block. }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

Mabel Lake data were analyzed as above; however, because interview data were unavailable, effort profile tails, CPUE and catch could not be calculated.

South Thompson River

The South Thompson River analysis used the procedures described for the Shuswap River. Angler effort was calculated from the profile observed at Rocky Point and from instantaneous counts from the Rocky Point and roving surveys. CPUE data were collected at all sites.

## Thompson River

Thompson River angler effort, CPUE and catch were censused: angler effort and catch were summed from interviews; CPUE was the ratio of catch and effort. The mathematical relationships are reported below.
15) Total angler effort (E), in hours:

$$
E=\sum_{I} \sum_{u} t_{1 u}
$$

16) Total study period catch (C):

$$
C=\sum_{i} \sum_{u} x_{1 u}
$$

17) Total catch per angler hour ( $\bar{C}$ ):

$$
\bar{C}=\frac{C}{E}
$$

## Vedder-Chilliwack River

Angler Effort: Hourly effort profiles were generated from twice daily random rod counts. Because of surveyor error, the rod count data were insufficient to generate both weekday and weekend/holiday profiles. A single profile, therefore, was used for each month.

Mean sample day angler effort was ratio of the mean instantaneous rod count (noon to 2 p.m.) and the proportion of the daily effort occurring during that time block. Total angler effort was the product of the mean daily angler effort and the number of days in the stratum. Formulae 1-5, adjusted to reflect the two-hour sample period, were used to estimate effort. Variance was not calculated due to potential error associated
with the use of a single monthly profile.

Catch Per Unit Effort: CPUE was calculated by species and mark group for each month and day type stratum using a total ratio estimator. In general, CPUE was estimated as described for the Fraser River, except observed catch and effort to time of interview were used, and the data were not weighted by the proportion of anglers leaving without being interviewed. CPUE and their variances were calculated as follows:
18) Catch per unit effort $(\bar{c})$ :

$$
\bar{c}=w_{1}\left(\sum_{u} x_{u} / \sum_{u} t_{u}\right)
$$

$$
\text { Variance of CPUE (Var }(\bar{c}))
$$

$$
\operatorname{Var}(\bar{c})=\left(1 / \bar{t}^{2}\right) \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{u}\left(\left(x_{u}-\bar{c}\right) t_{u}\right)^{2}}{n(n-1)}}
$$

where:

| $\boldsymbol{x}_{u}$ | = | catch to time of interview of angler $u$; |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $t_{u}$ | = | hours fished to time of interview by angler u; |
| $\bar{t}$ | = | mean time spent angling to time of interview; |
| $n$ | = | number of anglers interviewed in stratum; |
| $W_{1}$ | = | proportion of stints of type 1 which were surveyed. |

Harvest and Releases Total harvest and release, by species and mark group, was calculated as in Equation 10.

## RESULTS

Study results for the five Fraser River chinook sport fisheries are summarized in Tables 2, 3, 4 and
5. Based on 4,029 interviews, 107,545 angler hours (23,033 angler days) were expended to harvest (release) 2,216 (71) chinook adults, 38 (18) chinook jacks, 0 (32) sockeye (O. nerka), 450 (22) rainbow trout (O. mykiss), 136 cutthroat trout (O. clarki), 5 Dolly Varden (Salvelinus malma) and 41 sturgeon (Acipenser spp). Chinook adult harvest rates ranged from 0.7\% to 12.0\%.

The 1990 fisheries were successful in attracting anglers primarily interested in harvesting chinook salmon; only July Vedder-Chilliwack River anglers fished primarily for trout. The average angler fished for 2.6 to 6.6 hours per day using bait, lures or a combination of the two; few fished with flies. The average angler expended 14 to 132 hours to harvest one chinook adult. Results by study area are detailed below.

## FRASER RIVER

## Effort Distribution

Nine hundred and twenty-five anglers were interviewed, 551 in July and 374 in August (Appendix 1). Interviews documented $14 \%$ of the July effort and $6 \%$ of the August effort, (Table 2).

Weekday and weekend rod counts, respectively, averaged 57 and 112 in July and 42 and 127 in August (Appendix 2). In July, 64\% of the weekday and $58 \%$ of the weekend anglers were observed on Queen's Island, declining to 18\% and 29\%, respectively, in August.

## Angler Effort

Daily Profile: Anglers fished from 5 a.m. to midnight, with 80\% of the effort occurring between $8 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. and 8 p.m. (Appendix 3; Fig. 6). No major peaks in angler effort were noted.

Total Angler Effort: Study period angler effort totalled 60,152 hours or 9,433 days (Table 2). July and August effort totalled 27,482 and 32,670 hours, respectively. Fiftyone percent occurred on weekend; 61\% of the July and 248 of the August effort occurred on queen's Island.

## Catch Per Unit Effort

Chinook adult HPUE, expressed as fish per hour, was 0.0068 in July and 0.0083 in August; RPUE was 0.0002 in July and 0.0000 in August (Appendix 4). HPUE peaked from mid-July to mid-August; few chinook were harvested in early July and late August. HPUE estimates from complete trip and all interviews (Table 5) were not significantly different (p $<0.05$ ).

## Total Catch

Harvest (release) was estimated at 458 (6) chinook adults (187 (6) in July, 271 in August), 24 chinook jacks, 0 (32) sockeye, 136 cutthroat and 41 sturgeon (Table 2). None of the harvested chinook had an adipose fin clip, nor were any heads submitted to the Mark Recovery Program.

## Angler Characteristics

Angler Day Length: Anglers fished an average 6.6 and 6.2 hours per trip in July and August, respectively (Table 3).

Target Species: Anglers attempted to harvest chinook (99\%) or trout (l\%) (Table 3).

Gear Type: Lures were the most common gear (93\%), followed by bait/ lure combinations (6\%) (Table 3). Less than one percent used bait.

Previous Trip: Seventy-four percent of the anglers had fished the lower Fraser River for chinook within

Table 2. Harvest, release and angler effort in the 1990 Fraser River study areas ( $95 \%$ confidence limits in parentheses).

|  | Lower Fraser River |  | Lower Shuswap River | South Thompson Thompson River River |  | Vedder-Chilliwack <br> River |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | July | August |  |  |  | July A | August |
| Number of interviews | 551 | 374 | 1,121 | 437 | 820 | 372 | 354 |
| Percent Interviewed ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 13.9 | 6.0 | 14.2 | 26.4 | 100.0 | 16.0 | 13.3 |
| Angler effort (hour) | $\begin{gathered} 27,482 \\ (12,796) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32,670 \\ (18,477) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28,708 \\ & (4,310) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4,227 \\ (1,601) \end{gathered}$ | 2,537 | 4,514 | 7,407 |
| Angler effort (day) | 4,164 | 5,269 | 7,361 | 1,321 | 793 | 1,736 | 2,389 |
| Harvest |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chinook adult | 187 (113) | 271 (218) | 1,415 (427) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 39 (27) | $187{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $14^{\text {d }}$ | d $103{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| Chinook jack | 24 (28) | 0 | 5 (6) | 3 (4) | $6{ }^{\text {f }}$ | 0 | 0 |
| Rainbow | 0 - | 0 | 0 - | 13 (16) | 4 | 402 g | $g \quad 31{ }^{\text {h }}$ |
| Cutthroat | 0 | 136 (216) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dolly Varden | 0 | 0 - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Sturgeon | 14 (21) | 27 (69) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Release |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chinook adult | 6 (10) | 0 | 20 (16) | 8 (14) | 37 | - | - |
| Chinook jack | 0 | 0 | 17 (20) | 0 | 1 | - | - |
| Sockeye | 14 (20) | 18 (27) | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Rainbow | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 (18) | 0 | - | - |
| a. Ratio of observed (from interviews) and estimated effort. <br> b. Includes 25 with adipose fin clips. <br> c. Includes 36 with adipose fin clips. <br> d. Includes 6 with adipose fin clips. |  |  |  | e. Includes 15 with adipose fin clips. <br> f. Includes 1 with adipose fin clip. <br> g. Includes 262 with adipose fin clips. <br> h. Includes 5 with adipose fin clips. |  |  |  |

Table 3. Angler characteristics in the 1990 Fraser River study areas. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  | Lower Fraser River |  | Lower Shuswap River | South <br> Thompson Thompson River River |  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Vedder-Chilliwack } \\ \text { River }\end{array}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | July | August |  |  |  | July | August |
| Mean angler day length (hour) | 6.6 | 6.2 | 3.9 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 2.6 | 3.1 |
| Target species (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chinook | 98.9 | 98.9 | 98.7 | 93.8 | 99.1 | 32.8 | 91.2 |
| Trout ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 5.9 | 0.9 | 63.4 | 5.9 |
| Anything | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 2.8 |
| Gear (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bait | 1.3 | 0.3 | 23.2 | 6.2 | 76.4 | 54.5 | 3.1 |
| Lure | 94.9 | 90.9 | 52.1 | 86.9 | 13.6 | 34.6 | 92.1 |
| Bait/Lure | 3.8 | 8.8 | 24.2 | 5.3 | 9.5 | 6.5 | 4.2 |
| Fly | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 4.4 | 0.6 |

[^2]

Figure 6. Hourly Angler Effort Profiles in the 1990 Study Area Sport Fisheries.


Figure 6 cont'd. Hourly Angler Effort Profiles in the 1990 Study Area Sport Fisheries.
two weeks of the interview (Appendix 1). Chinook adult HPUE on the most recent trip was 0.0072 in July and 0.0064 in August (Table 5).

## SHUSWAP RIVER

## Effort Distribution

One thousand, one hundred and twenty-one anglers were interviewed (Table 2), 410 at Chuck's Pool, 372 at Log Dump Pool and 339 in the remaining areas (Appendix 5). A further 336 Enderby Bridge interviews were not used in the analysis due to surveyor unreliability. Interviews documented $14 \%$ of the total effort (Table 2).

Weekday rod counts averaged 42 and 94 in the morning and evening, respectively, while weekend rod counts averaged 85 and 94 , respectively (Appendix 6). Angling occurred near road access points throughout the lower Shuswap River. On weekdays and weekends, respectively, 27\% and 36\% of the anglers were ob-
served between Mabel Lake and Skookumchuck, $22 \%$ and $22 \%$ between Fall and Cooke creeks and $20 \%$ and $16 \%$ between Grinrod and Enderby bridges. Few (less than 5\%) angled between Cooke Creek and Skookumchuck (Appendix 6).

Mabel Lake rod counts averaged 68. Most boats fished on the west side approximately 1 km south of the lake outlet.

## Angler Effort

Daily Profile: Lower Shuswap River anglers fished from 5 a.m. to midnight (Appendix 3; Fig. 6). Effort was bimodal, with peaks at approximately 6 a.m. and 8 p.m. Mabel Lake effort peaked at $9 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. and 7 p.m.; however, effort was relatively constant from 8 a.m. until dark.

Total Angler Effort: Study period angler effort totalled 28,708 hours or 7,361 days in the lower Shuswap River (Table 2) and 8,866 hours in Mabel Lake. Thirty-eight percent of the river effort occurred
on weekends; 28\% occurred at Chuck's Pool, 29\% at Log Dump Pool, 20\% at Enderby Bridge and $22 \%$ in the remaining areas.

## Catch Per Unit Effort

Chinook adult HPUE was 0.0493 ; RPUE was 0.0007. Daily HPUE in September was almost double that in August (Appendix 7). HPUE estimates from roving survey complete trip and all interviews (Table 5) were significantly different (p > 0.05); roving survey incomplete trip interviews, therefore, were excluded from the analysis. Differences between sites (Appendix 7) were not significant ( $p<0.05$ ).

Total Catch
Harvest (release) was estimated at 1,415 (20) chinook adults and 5 (17) chinook jacks (Table 2); a further harvest of 100 chinook adults was reported in Mabel Lake (B. Kurtz, pers. comm.). Twenty-five of the harvested chinook adults had an adipose $f$ in clip, with coded wire tags recovered from 16 (64\%) heads. Three were 1986-brood middle Shuswap River chinook; 13 were 1986-brood lower Shuswap River chinook.

## Harvest Sampling

The sample ( $N=56$ ) consisted of red fleshed chinook, with males comprising $25 \%$ of the total (Appendix 8). Size averaged 86.2 cm nose-fork length and 7.8 kg round weight. The harvest consisted of ages $5_{2}$ (5\%), $4_{2}$


## Angler Characteristics

## Angler Day Length: Anglers

 fished an average 3.9 hours per trip (Table 3).Target species: Anglers attempted to harvest chinook (99\%) or trout (1\%) (Table 3).

Gear Type: Lures were the most commonly used (52\%), followed by bait /lure combinations (24\%) and bait (23\%); less than $1 \%$ used flies (Table $3)$.

Previous Trip: Seventy percent of the anglers contacted by the roving surveyor had fished the lower Shuswap River for chinook within two weeks of the interview (Appendix 5). Chinook adult HPUE on the most recent trip was 0.0185 (Table 5).

## SOUYH THOMPGON RIVER

## Effort Distribution

Four hundred and thirty-seven anglers were interviewed (Table 2), 253 at Rocky Point and 184 in the remaining areas (Appendix 9). Interviews documented $26 \%$ of the total effort (Table 2).

Weekday and weekend rod counts averaged 18 and 33 , respectively (Appendix 10). On weekdays and weekends, respectively, $52 \%$ and $44 \%$ of the anglers were observed in the area near Banana Island, 35\% and 34\% near Prichard, and 9\% and 19\% near Chase.

## Angler Effort

Daily Profile: Anglers fished from 1 a.m. to 11 p.m. (Appendix 3; Fig. 6). Effort peaked at 9 a.m. on weekdays and $10 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. on weekends.

Total Angler effort: Study period angler effort totalled 4,227 hours or 1,321 days (Table 2). Thirty-six percent of the effort occurred on weekends.

## Catch Per Unit Effort

Chinook adult HPUE was 0.0092 ; RPUE was 0.0019 . HPUE estimates from complete trip and all interviews (Table 5) and between sites were not significantly different ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ).

## Total Catch

Harvest (release) was estimated at 39 (8) chinook adults, 3 chinook jack and 13 (22) rainbow trout (Table 2).

## Angler Characteriatics

Angler Day Length: Anglera fished an average 3.2 hours per day (Table 3).

Target species: Anglers attempted to harvest chinook (94\%), trout (6\%) or anything (less than 1\%) (Table 3).

Gear Type: Lures were the most commonly used gear (87\%), followed by bait (6\%), bait/lure combinations (5\%) and flies (2\%) (Table 3).

Previous Trip: Sixty-five percent of the anglers had fished the South Thompson River for chinook within two weeks of the interview (Appendix 9). Chinook adult HPUE on the most recent trip was 0.0113 (Table 5).

## THOMPSON RIVER

## Effort Distribution

Eight hundred and twenty anglers were interviewed (Table 2), 604 on the east bank near the Nicola River mouth and 216 on the west bank (Appendix 12). Interviews and rod counts provide a complete census of the fishery.

## Angler Effort

Daily Profile: The 1990 fishery was open from 6 a.m. to 9 p.m. Angler effort peaked at 8 a.m. (Appendix 3; Fig. 6).

Total Angler Effort: Study period angler effort totalled 2,537 hours or 793 days (Table 2). Seventy-six percent of the effort
(1,925 angler hours) occurred near the Nicola River mouth.

## Catch Per Unit Effort

Chinook adult HPUE and RPUE, respectively, was 0.0707 and 0.0140 at the Nicola river mouth and 0.0833 and 0.0163 on the west bank (Appendix 13). HPUE peaked from late July to mid-August; few were harvested in the initial 4 or final 2 weeks.

Total Catch

Harvest (release) totalled 187 (37) chinook adults, 6 (1) chinook jacks and 4 rainbow trout (Table 2). Three-quarters of the chinook adults were harvested at the Nicola River mouth; 36 adults and 1 jack had adipose fin clips.

Harvest sampling

The 1990 sample ( $N=188$ ) consisted entirely of red fleshed chinook, of which $46.8 \%$ were males and 53.2\% females (Appendix 14). Size averaged 71.7 cm nose-fork length and 4.0 kg . The harvest consisted of ages $5_{2}$ (19\%), $4_{2}$ (64\%), $4_{1}$ (5\%) and $3_{1}$ (12\%). Thirty-three of the 37 chinook without adipose fins had CWTs, all released from the Spius Creek Hatchery: 5, 21 and 5 were Spius Creek Hatchery releases of 1985, 1986 and 1987 brood, respectively, Nicola River Chinook and 2 (caught July 23 and August 5) were 1986 brood Coldwater River chinook.

## Angler Characteristics

Angler Day Length: Anglers fished an average 3.2 hours per trip (Table 3).

Target Species: Anglers attempted to harvest chinook (99\%) or trout (1\%).

Gear Type: Bait was the most commonly used gear (76\%), followed by
lures (14\%), bait/lure combinations (10\%), and flies (less than 1\%) (Table 3).

Previous Trip: Previous trip information was not collected due to surveyor error.

## VEDDER-CHILLIWACK RIVER

## Effort Distribution

Seven hundred and twenty-six anglers were interviewed, 372 in July and 354 in August (Appendix 15). Interviews documented 16\% of the July effort and $13 \%$ of the August effort (Table 2).

Weekday and weekend rod counts, respectively, averaged 13 and 21 in July and 17 and 30 in August (Appendix 16). Most anglers fished between Tamahi and Slesse creeks, especially in August.

## Angler Effort

Daily Profile: Angler effort was assessed from 6 a.m. to 10 p.m. July and August angler effort peaked at 9 a.m. and 1 p.m., respectively (Appendix 3).

Total Angler Effort: Study period angler effort totalled 11,921 angler-hours or 4,125 angler days (Table 2); 43\% occurred on weekends.

## Catch Per Unit Effort

Chinook adult HPUE was 0.0031 in July and 0.0139 in August (Appendix 17); RPUE was not estimated due to surveyor error. HPUE peaked in late August; few were harvested in July. HPUE estimates from complete trip and all interviews (Table 5) were not significantly different (p $<$ 0.05).

Total Catch

Harvest was estimated at 117
chinook adults (14 in July and 103 in August), 433 rainbow trout and 5 Dolly Varden char (Table 2). Twentyone chinook adults and 267 rainbow trout had an adipose fin clip; the latter were residualized hatchery steelhead smolts. Release was not estimated due to surveyor error.

## Angler Characteristics

Angler Day Length: Anglers fished an average 2.6 and 3.1 hours per trip in July and August, respectively (Table 3).

Target Species: Anglers attempted to harvest chinook (61\%), trout (36\%) or anything (3\%) (Table 3). The preferred species changed from rainbow (63\%) in July to chinook (91\%) in August.

Gear Type: Lures were the most commonly used gear (63\%), followed by bait (29\%), bait/lure combinations (5\%) and flies (2\%) (Table 3). Gear preference changed from bait (55\%) in July to lures (92\%) in August.

Previous Trip: Previous trip data were not collected due to surveyor error.

## DISCUSSION

## GENERAL

## Fraser River

The lower Fraser River fishery was the largest of those surveyed, with $56 \%$ of the angler effort (Table 4). Fishing success was relatively poor, however, due to the persistence of high water into July (Environment Canada 1991); an average 132 hours were required to harvest one chinook adult. High water delayed the start of the 1990 study until early July.

Early season angling success is a function of: a) river level, which

Table 4. Harvest rate, catchability and harvest per unit effort (HPUE) in the 1990 Fraser River study areas. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Fishery | Year | Angler effort (hr) | Chinook <br> adult <br> harvest | Chinook <br> adult <br> escape- <br> ment | Catchability coefficient $\left(\times 10^{6}\right)$ | Harvest <br> rate <br> (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Mean } \\ \text { HPUE } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lower Fraser River, July ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1990 | 27,482 | 187 | - | - | - | 0.0068 |
|  | 1989 | 64,466 | 683 | - | - | - | 0.0106 |
|  | 1988 | 57,772 | 1,400 | - | - | - | 0.0242 |
|  | 1987 | 31,395 | 1,269 | - | - | - | 0.0404 |
|  | 1986 | 8,550 | 0 | - | - | - | 0.0000 |
| Lower Fraser | 1990 | 32,670 | 271 | - | - | - | 0.0083 |
| River, August ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1987 | 32,511 | 64 | - | - | - | 0.0020 |
| Shuswap River, lower | 1990 | 28,708 | 1,415 | 13,000 | 3.42 | 9.82 | 0.0493 |
|  | 1989 | 19,449 | 120 | 11,000 | 0.55 | 1.08 | 0.0062 |
|  | 1988 | 14,288 | 174 | 14,000 | 0.86 | 1.23 | 0.0122 |
|  | 1987 | 6,071 | 215 | 10,000 | 3.47 | 2.10 | 0.0354 |
|  | 1986 | 6,145 | 237 | 12,000 | 3.15 | 1.94 | 0.0386 |
| South Thompson River | 1990 | 4,227 | 39 | 6,000 | 1.53 | 0.65 | 0.0092 |
|  | 1989 | 12,118 | 259 | 10,000 | 2.08 | 2.52 | 0.0214 |
|  | 1987 | 5,671 | 36 | 8,500 | 0.74 | 0.42 | 0.0063 |
| Thompson River ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1990 | 2,537 | 187 | 2,905 | 23.84 | 6.05 | 0.0737 |
|  | 1989 | 1,174 | 104 | 5,285 | 16.76 | 1.97 | 0.0886 |
|  | 1988 | 1,289 | 109 | 4,028 | 20.44 | 2.63 | 0.0846 |
| Vedder-Chilliwack River | 1990 | 11,921 | 117 | 859 | 10.06 | 11.99 | 0.0098 |

a. 1986-89 Shuswap, South Thompson and Thompson data from Schubert (1988, 1989, 1990, 1992).
b. 1986-89 effort and harvest estimated using 1990 procedures and unpublished data; catchability coefficient and harvest rate could not be calculated because stock-specific timing at the fishery site was not known.
c. Assumes fishery harvest was entirely from main Thompson River stocks, i.e. of Bonaparte, Deadman and Nicola chinook.
normally peaks in late May or early June and declines for the balance of the year (Environment Canada 1989). Angler effort is minimal at levels above 7.3 m (Hope gauge) because most effective fishing sites remain under water; and b) average seasonal chinook abundance, which peaks in the test fishery in late June and declines through August (Schubert et a1. 1988). River level, therefore, by fixing the earliest date of significant angler effort, determines the proportion of the chinook run vulnerable to this fishery. In 1989, 7.3 m occurred in early June when over 75\% of the run had yet to pass through the study area (Fig. 7). As a result, effort, CPUE and chinook harvest were relatively high in both June (Schubert 1992) and July (Table 4; Fig. 7). In 1990, 7.3 m occurred in mid July when less than $25 \%$ of the run had yet to pass (Fig. 7). As a reault, June effort was very low and July effort and chinook adult harvest were 43\% and 33\%, respectively, of the 1989 levels (Table 4). August flows were near average and angler effort was similar to 1987 (Table 4), although chinook adult harvest was higher.

An assumption underlying the study methodology was that either the interview site was representative of the study area or the proportion of effort occurring at the site was sufficient to make the HPUE estimate insensitive to differences in other areas. In July, over 60\% of the study area angler effort occurred at the survey site; therefore, the HPUE estimate was likely insensitive to differences in other areas. With only 24\% of the August angler effort at the survey site, violation of the assumption of representativeness became more important. In August 1988, HPUE was estimated at four study area sites, Bowman's, Queen's Island, Wing Dam and Englebrich bars (DFO unpublished). Although between site differences were noted, the only signif-
icant difference ( $p<0.05$ ) in paired comparisons was between Bowman's and Englebrich bars. While study area HPUE was not homogeneous, the similarity in three of the four survey sites suggests the potential impact on study results may have been minor.

## Shuswap River

The lower Shuswap River fishery was one of the most intensive in the upper Fraser River system, with considerably greater angler effort and chinook adult harvest than the South Thompson River and Thompson River fisheries combined (Table 4).

The 1990 fishery changed from recent years in a number of important ways. Angling began much later (5 a.m.) and was more concentrated, with $57 \%$ of the effort at the two largest sites (Chuck's and Log Dump pools). Total effort increased over 1989 by 48\% due to increases in days open from 21 to 28 (33\%) and in mean daily angler effort (16\%). Chinook adult catchability was similar to 1986-87 but increased by 4.2 and 6.6 times over 1988 and 1989, respectively (Table 4). As a result, harvest and harvest rate increased by 12.6 and 8.7 times over 1988 and 1989 respectively. The reason for these changes is unknown; for example, while flows were above average, they were similar to 1989 levels (Environment Canada 1989, 1991). Changes of this magnitude emphasize the importance of ongoing assessment of intensive fisheries such as the lower Shuswap.

## South Thompson River

Angler effort, HPUE and chinook adult catch in the South Thompson River fishery declined from 1989 by 65\%, $57 \%$ and $85 \%$, respectively (Table 4). Much of the decline may be attributable to the decline in chinook abundance, by 41\%, and an effort response to lower success (HPUE declined by 57\%). Angler effort declined


Figure 7. Fishery Performance (Angler Effort and CPUE) in Relation to River Level and Chinook Abundance in the 1989 and 1990 Lower Fraser River Sport Fisheries.
despite an increase in days open from 14 to 21.

It was speculated that the use of the 1987 profile in the 1989 analysis may have introduced error in both the effort and catch estimates (Schubert 1992). The 1990 profile, however, was similar to 1987; effort during the 1990 instantaneous effort count time block (l-2 p.m.) was only 0.7 percentage points lower than in 1987. This suggests that analytic error played no more than a minor role in the differences noted above.

## Thompson River

The Thompson River (Spences Bridge) fishery had one of the highest harvest rates and by far the highest HPUE and catchability coefficient observed in 1990 (Table 4). An average of 14 hours were required to harvest one chinook adult. Both HPUE and chinook abundance were lower than in 1989; however, substantial increases in catchability and angler effort produced an 80\% increase in chinook adult harvest.

In calculating the 1990 fishery harvest rate, three assumptions were made. First, it was assumed that passing chinook from North and South Thompson River stocks were not vulnerable. The 1988-90 data support this assumption because: a) all CWTs recovered in the fishery were from main Thompson River stocks; b) fish size and age were consistent with main Thompson stocks; and c) timing in the lower Fraser River was consistent with a stock which would be differentially vulnerable while holding in the Thompson River near the tributary of origin (Schubert 1990), i.e. these stocks migrate through the lower Fraser River in May and June but do not enter spawning tributaries until August or September. Second, it was assumed that Thompson River stocks (Bonaparte, Deadman and

Nicola) were equally vulnerable to the fishery. Although the 1988-90 data tend to be consistent with this assumption, relatively few CWTs were applied to non-Nicola stocks. The data, therefore, may be insufficient to evaluate this assumption. Third, it was assumed that marked and unmarked chinook were equally vulnerable to the fishery and that escapement was estimated accurately. The 1990 data were inconsistent with these assumptions. The harvest rate calculated from adipose fin clips (AFCs) observed in the catch (37) and estimated in the Nicola River escapement (198; C. Cross, pers. comm.) was 15.7\%, over twice the $6.1 \%$ estimated in this study. Further, the estimated proportion of AFCs in the Nicola River escapement (8.6\%) was much lower than in the fishery harvest (19.8\%). There are three possible reasons for these differences: a) anglers may differentially release unmarked chinook as a perceived conservation measure; however, even if all released chinook were unmarked, the proportion with AFCs (16.5\%) would remain well above that estimated in the escapement; b) AFC chinook may be more vulnerable to the fishery, perhaps due to different ages at return. Unfortunately, the age composition of the Nicola chinook escapement was not estimated; and c) AFC incidence in the escapement may have been underestimated. In 1989, AFC incidence was estimated from recovered spawning ground carcasses and was similar to the fishery incidence; the 1990 incidence was estimated from a fence which monitored only a component of the run ( $K$. Pitre, pers. com.).

The 1990 sport fishery was expanded in days open and harvest ceiling despite the continuing decline of Nicola River wild chinook escapements. While the 1990 regulations were successful in constraining the fishery within the new 300 harvest ceiling, harvest increased by

80\% over 1989. The 1990 escapement, however, was the lowest in almost 20 years. Escapement remains below brood year levels and, even with enhancement, the declining trend which began in 1986 has not been halted. Given the effectiveness of this fishery, restrictive management similar to 1989 should be reimplemented until escapement begins to rebuild.

## Vedier-Chilliwack River

The 1990 study evaluated a new fishery on chinook adult returns from upper Fraser River stocks first transplanted to the Vedder-Chilliwack River in 1985. The study was a first assessment of the river during July; the August 1988 river (Whyte and Schubert 1990) and the August 1985 river mouth fisheries (Spence and Murray MS 1986) were assessed previously.

The July fishery targeted primarily on hatchery trout smolts which residualized in the river after release. Over $60 \%$ of the anglers fished for trout (Table 3), and rainbow trout accounted for $97 \%$ of the harvest (Table 2). In August, chinook became the target species of over 90\% of the anglers and made up 74\% of the harvest. The August fishery changed substantially from the 1988 pretransplant fishery: angler effort increased by 24\%, from 5,973 hours (Whyte and Schubert 1990) to 7,407 (Table 2); target species changed from rainbow or anything (89\%) to chinook (an increase from 5\% to 90\%); gear changed from predominantly bait to almost entirely lures; and harvest changed from entirely trout to predominantly chinook. These results demonstrate the capacity of enhancement to change the nature of a sport fishery.

## INCOMPLETE TRIP INTERVIEW BIAS

The 1987 upper Fraser River sport fishery study identified a
negative bias in HPUE estimated from incomplete trip interviews, i.e. interviews from anglers contacted during their trip (Schubert 1989). With a maximum daily harvest of one chinook adult, anglers contacted during their trip were unlikely to have harvested a chinook. In 198890, this bias was addressed by increasing the maximum daily angler harvest limit from one to two chinook adults per day (schubert 1990). Survey results suggest this change corrected the bias. In those years, the only significant difference ( $p$ ) 0.05 ) in complete versus combined trip interview HPUE (Table 5) was in the 1990 Shuswap River roving survey; those data were adjusted to correct the bias. As well, KPUE was clearly independent of trip length (Fig. 8) in the 1990 lower Fraser River and lower Shuswap River fisheries, a basic prerequisite for roving creel survey design. The pronounced pasitive relationship between HPUE and trip length in the Thompson River did not bias study results because the fishery was censused.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

1. The 1990 sport fishery regulations were generally successful in constraining harvest to ceiling levels. Contingent upon stock strength, regulations could be further relaxed in the South Thompson River fishery.
2. The Thompson River (Spences Bridge) sport fishery was an extremely effective harvester of chinook salmon. Because this fishery harvests primarily Nicola River Chinook salmon, restrictive regulations should be reimplemented until the decline of Nicola River chinook escapements is halted and a rebuilding trend is established.

Table 5. Estimated chinook adult harvest per unit effort (HPUE), by interview type, in the 1990 Fraser River study areas.

| Location | Interview type ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { interviews } \end{aligned}$ | Angler hours | Chinook <br> adult <br> harvest | Chinook <br> adult HPUE ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lower Fraser River, July | Complete trip | 509 | 3,381 | 23 | 0.0068 |
|  | Combined | 551 | 3,618 | 25 | 0.0069 |
|  | Previous Trip | 400 | 3,198 | 23 | 0.0072 |
| Lower Fraser River, August | Complete trip | 247 | 1,522 | 18 | 0.0118 |
|  | Combined | 374 | 1,968 | 18 | 0.0091 |
|  | Previous trip | 283 | 2,038 | 13 | 0.0064 |
| Lower Shuswap River | Complete trip | 531 | 2,103 | 106 | 0.0504 |
|  | Combined | 1,121 | 4,081 | 160 | 0.0392 |
|  | Previous trip | 236 | 1,463 | 27 | 0.0185 |
| South Thompson River | Complete trip | 67 | 227 | 2 | 0.0088 |
|  | Combined | 437 | 1,116 | 9 | 0.0081 |
|  | Previous trip | 282 | 1,777 | 20 | 0.0113 |
| Thompson River | Complete trip | 746 | 2,375 | 144 | 0.0606 |
|  | Combined | 820 | 2,537 | 187 | 0.0737 |
| Vedder-Chilliwack River, July | Complete trip | 30 | 77 | 0 | 0.0000 |
|  | Combined | 372 | 722 | 2 | 0.0028 |
| Vedder-Chilliwack River, August | Complete trip | 11 | 35 | 4 | 0.1159 |
|  | Combined | 354 | 987 | 13 | 0.0132 |

a. Combined indicates complete and incomplete trip interviews
b. Not weighted by day type or site.
3. The Shuswap River and Mabel Lake sport fishery harvest exceeded the ceiling by threefold; however, the mechanism for the dramatic increase in fishery performance is not understood. Further intensive monitoring of this fishery is necessary to determine if more restrictive management actions are required.
4. Structured fishery assessment
studies should occur in the following areas: lower Fraser and lower Shuswap rivers, due to the high effort and chinook harvest levels; South Thompson River, until fishery performance stabilizes; and Thompson River, an effective fishery which targets on a stock of concern. Further evaluation is also required on the clearwater River, which has not been monitored since 1986.


Figure 8. Harvest Per Unit Effort of Chinook Adults, by Trip Duration, in the 1990 Study Area Sport Fisheries.

## SUMDARY

1. Sport fishery assessment studies were conducted in the lower Fraser, lower Shuswap, South Thompson, Thompson (Spences Bridge) and Vedder-Chilliwack rivers in 1990. In general, each fishery was managed through restrictions in fishing time, daily and annual angler harvest limits and fisheryspecific harvest ceilings. Each fishery was managed in a manner similar to the previous year, except the number of days open increased in the Shuswap (from 21 to 28 days), South Thompson (from 14 to 21 days) and Thompson (from 12 to 33 days) rivers. The Thompson River harvest ceiling increased from 100 to 300 chinook adults, and Mabel Lake opened for the first time. The Vedder-Chilliwack River fishery was opened in 1988; however, 1990 was the first year of assessment.
2. The fisheries were assessed using a complete census (Thompson River), roving (VedderChilliwack River), hybrid (lower Shuswap and South Thompson) or access point-overflight (lower Fraser River) survey.
3. Each fishery was assessed by one to four surveyors, depending on the extent of the open area, expected angler effort and available resources. The surveyors recorded the following information during 4,029 angler interviews: length of time angling, preferred species, number and species of fish harvested or released, identifying marks on harvested fish and gear type. In addition, if the angler had fished the river in the last two weeks, the in-
terviewer recorded harvest and length of time angling on the most recent trip. Lower Shuswap River and Thompson River observed catch was sampled for size, flesh colour, sex, adipose fin status and scales.
4. Study area angler effort was estimated at 107,545 angler hours or 23,033 angler days. Of that total, 60,152 occurred in the lower Fraser River, 28,708 in the lower Shuswap River, 4,227 in the South Thompson River, 2,537 in the Thompson River and 11,921 on Vedder-Chilliwack River. Most anglers targeted on chinook salmon.
5. Study area harvest was estimated at 2,216 chinook adultg, 38 chinook jacks, 450 rainbow trout, 136 cutthroat trout, 5 Dolly Varden and 41 sturgeon. Of the chinook adult harvest, 458 were from the lower Fraser River, 1,415 from the lower Shuswap River, 39 from the South Thompson River, 187 from the Thompson River and 117 from the Vedder-Chilliwack River. Eighty-two chinook adults had adipose fin clips, 25 in the lower Shuswap River, 36 in the Thompson River and 21 in Vedder-Chilliwack River.
6. Study area release was estimated at 71 chinook adults, 18 chinook jacks, 32 sockeye salmon and 22 rainbow trout. Chinook adults were released in the the the lower Fraser (6), lower Shuswap (20), South Thompson (8) and Thompson (37) rivers.
7. Chinook adult harvest rates ranged from $0.7 \%$ to $12.0 \%$. The highest harvest rates were recorded in the lower Shuswap and Vedder-Chilliwack rivers.

## ACKNOWTBDGEMENYE

Field work was conducted by $N$. Brooks, P. Carlsen, P. Desilets, G. Dickinson, K. Fletcher, C. Joseph, N. Goodman, T. Gray, D. Instant, S. LaPointe, T. Madden, P. Mathew, D. Moore, T. Oram, D. O'Reilly and T. Stepp, among others. Figures were drafted by S. Gramchuk.

The assistance of the following organizations is gratefully acknowledged: the Kingfisher Fish and Game Club for assistance with the Shuswap River study; the Shuswap Nation Tribal Council for assistance with the South Thompson River study; the Spences Bridge residents and Spius Creek Hatchery staff, especially $T$. Madden and N. Todd, for assistance with the Thompson River survey, and the Fraser Valley Salmon Society, especially P. Selmer, for assistance with the lower Fraser River and Vedder-Chilliwack River studies. Special thanks are extended to the anglers of the lower Fraser, Shuswap, South Thompson, Thompson and Vedder-Chilliwack rivers for providing the information which formed the basis for this study.

## REFERENCES

Cochran, W.G. 1977. Sampling techniques, third edition. John Wiley \& Sons, New York.

DPA Group Inc. MS 1985a. The Fraser River bar sport fishery design study. Prepared for the Department of Fisheries and Oceans, New Westminster. 63 p.

DPA Group Inc. MS 1985b. Fraser River bar sport fishing survey data entry system. Prepared for the Department of Fisherie $s$ and Oceans, New Westminster. 25 p.

DPA Group Inc. MS 1986. Fraser River bar sport fishing survey
analysis module. Prepared for the Department of Fisheries and Oceans, New Westminster. 39 p.

Environment Canada. 1989. Historic streamflow summary British Columbia to 1988. Water Survey of Canada. 1056 p.

Environment Canada. 1991. Surface water data British Columbia 1990. Water Survey of Canada. 311 p.

Malvestuto, S.P. 1983. Sampling the recreational fishery. Pages 397-419 In: Fisheries Techniques. Neilsen, L.H. and D.L. Johnson (eds.). American Fisheries Society, Bethesda, Maryland.

Schubert, N.D. 1988. An assessment of four upper Fraser River chinook salmon sport fisheries, 1986. Can. MS Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 1890: 52 p.

Schubert, N.D. 1989. An assessment of five upper Fraser River chinook salmon sport fisheries, 1987. Can. MS Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 1983: 52 p.

Schubert, N.D. 1990. An assessment of five upper Fraser River chinook salmon sport fisheries, 1988. Can. MS Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 2051: 58 p.

Schubert, N.D. 1992. Angler effort and catch in five Fraser River chinook salmon sport fisheries, 1989. Can. Manuscr. Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 2128: 69 p .

Schubert, N.D., P.G. Patterson and C.M. McNair. 1988. The Fraser River chinook salmon test fishery: data summary, 1980-87. Can. Data Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 709: 193 p.

Spence, C.R. and P.R. Murray. MS
1986. Survey of the Vedder River outlet late summer cutthroat fishery, 1985. Envirocon Pacific Ltd. 19 p.

Von Geldern Jr., C.E. and P.K. Tomlinson. 1973. On the analyais of angler catch rate data from warmwater reservoirs. Calif. Fish and Game 59(4): 281-292.

Whyte, I.W. and N.D. Schubert. 1990. An assessment of the fall Ved-der-Chilliwack River sport fishery, 1988. Can. MS Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 2050: 24 p.

Appendix 1. Interview responses by week at Queen's Island in the July and August 1990 lower Fraser River sport fishery.

|  | Period ending |  |  |  |  |  | Period ending |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 08-Jul | 15-Jul | 22-Jul | 29-Jul | 31-Jul | July total | 05-Aug | 12-Aug | 19-Au9 | 26-Aug | August total |
| Number of Interviews | 39 | 117 | 168 | 206 | 21 | 551 | 164 | 136 | 51 | 23 | 374 |
| Mean Angler Day Length (hr.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - All anglers | 7.3 | 6.2 | 6.6 | 7.7 | 5.6 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 6.4 | 4.4 | 5.8 | 6.3 |
| - Complete trip interviews Number | 39 | 116 | 153 | 182 | 19 | 509 | 120 | 79 | 37 | 11 | 247 |
| Hours | 7.3 | 6.1 | 6.0 | 7.5 | 5.5 | 6.6 | 7.0 | 6.0 | 3.9 | 6.0 | 6.2 |
| - Incomplete trip interviews Nunber | 0 | 1 | 15 | 24 | 2 | 42 | 44 | 57 | 14 | 12 | 127 |
| Hours | - | 17.0 | 13.0 | 9.3 | 6.0 | 10.6 | 6.6 | 7.0 | 5.4 | 5.6 | 6.6 |
| Mean number of anglers per party | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 1.7 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 2.3 |
| Target Species |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Chinook | 39 | 117 | 168 | 202 | 19 | 545 | 163 | 133 | 51 | 23 | 370 |
| - Coho | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | 3 | - | - | 3 |
| - Cut throat | - | - | - | - | 2 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| - Sturgeon | - | - | - | 4 | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | 0 |


| Harvested Catch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - Chinook adult | 1 | 1 | 16 | 7 | - | 25 | 13 | 4 | 1 | - | 18 |
| - Chinook jack | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| - Cutthroat | - | - |  | - | - | 0 | 5 | - | - | - | 5 |
| - Sturgeon | - | - | 2 | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 |


| Released Catch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - Chinook adult | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | * | 0 |
| - Sockeye | - | - | - | 3 | - | 3 | - | 2 | - | - | 2 |
| Inspection of Catch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Number | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| - Number correct | - | - | 10 | - | - | 10 | 10 | 5 | - | - | 15 |
| Gear |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Bait | - | - | - | 6 | 1 | 7 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| - Lure | 23 | 112 | 165 | 200 | 20 | 520 | 161 | 116 | 45 | 17 | 339 |
| - Bait/Lure | 14 | 5 | 2 | - | - | 21 | 2 | 19 | 6 | 6 | 33 |
| - Fly | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 |


a. Within 2 weeks of the interview; data are specific to the most recent trip.

Appendix 2. Daily angler counts in the July and August 1990 lower Fraser River sport fishery.


| Month | Date | Day of week | Heather | Sumes <br> River to Marrison River a | Queen's Island Bar | Harrison River to AgassizRosedale powerline | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| July | 10-Jul | Tue | Sumy | 5 | 9 | 1 | 15 |
|  | 14-Jul | Sat | Surmy | 34 | 48 | 3 | 85 |
|  | 19-Jul | Thu | surny | 11 | 45 | 3 | 59 |
|  | 22-Jul | Sun | Sumpy | 27 | 73 | 12 | 112 |
|  | 25-Jul | Wed | Overcast | 25 | 55 | 17 | 97 |
|  | 28-Jul | Sat | Sunny | 53 | 75 | 11 | 139 |
|  | Weekday | Mean | - | 14 | 36 | 7 | 57 |
|  |  | \% | - | 24.0\% | $63.7 \%$ | 12.3\% | - |
|  | Weekend | Mean | - | 38 | 65 | 9 | 112 |
|  |  | \% | - | $33.9 \%$ | 58.3\% | 7.74 | - |
| August |  | scday | Sumy | 83 | 50 | 18 | 151 |
|  | 14-Aug | Tue | Sumny | 30 | 13 | 8 | 51 |
|  | 25-Aug | Sat | Sunny | 76 | 23 | 3 | 102 |
|  | 29-Aug | Wed | Overcast | 26 | 2 | 4 | 32 |
|  | Weekday | Mean | - | 28 | 8 | 6 | 42 |
|  |  | \% | - | 67.5\% | 18.1\% | 14.5\% |  |
|  | Weekend | Mean | - | 80 | 37 | 11 | 127 |
|  |  | \% | - | 62.8\% | 28.9\% | 8.3\% | - |

a. Excludes Queen's Island Bar.

Appendix 3. Mean hourly proportion of daily angler effort in the 1990 lower Fraser, Shuswap, South Thompson, Thompson (Spences Bridge) and Vedder-Chilliwack sport fisheries.

| Lower Fraser River |  |  |  |  | Lower Shuswap River |  | Mabel Lake | Thomps | Thompson River a |  |  | VedderChilliwack River b |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hour | Heekday | Weekend | Weekday | Weekend | Weekday | Weekend |  | Weekday | Weekend | West side | River mouth | July | August |
| 100 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | - | 0.000 | 0.001 | - | - | - | - |
| 200 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | - | 0.000 | 0.001 | - | - | - |  |
| 300 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | - | 0.000 | 0.001 | - | - | - | - |
| 400 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | - | 0.000 | 0.001 | - | - | - |  |
| 500 | 0.010 | 0.007 | 0.002 | 0.004 | 0.056 | 0.057 | 0.001 | 0.032 | 0.005 | - | - | - |  |
| 600 | 0.027 | 0.025 | 0.012 | 0.017 | 0.074 | 0.084 | 0.015 | 0.024 | 0.044 | 0.118 | 0.090 | - | - |
| 700 | 0.042 | 0.044 | 0.027 | 0.034 | 0.067 | 0.084 | 0.058 | 0.076 | 0.071 | 0.125 | 0.116 | 0.091 | 0.107 |
| 800 | 0.051 | 0.049 | 0.091 | 0.045 | 0.075 | 0.075 | 0.069 | 0.131 | 0.090 | 0.147 | 0.125 |  |  |
| 900 | 0.058 | 0.069 | 0.059 | 0.054 | 0.053 | 0.061 | 0.081 | 0.195 | 0.074 | 0.140 | 0.122 | 0.279 | 0.144 |
| 1000 | 0.072 | 0.075 | 0.059 | 0.070 | 0.035 | 0.043 | 0.069 | 0.072 | 0.120 | 0.128 | 0.109 |  |  |
| 1100 | 0.070 | 0.079 | 0.059 | 0.067 | 0.038 | 0.047 | 0.071 | 0.060 | 0.090 | 0.079 | 0.099 | 0.165 | 0.120 |
| 1200 | 0.069 | 0.075 | 0.069 | 0.062 | 0.040 | 0.038 | 0.069 | 0.051 | 0.084 | 0.048 | 0.056 |  |  |
| 1300 | 0.062 | 0.059 | 0.069 | 0.059 | 0.033 | 0.032 | 0.066 | 0.044 | 0.041 | 0.029 | 0.041 | 0.181 | 0.170 |
| 1400 | 0.067 | 0.067 | 0.070 | 0.065 | 0.035 | 0.034 | 0.062 | 0.046 | 0.053 | 0.019 | 0.033 |  |  |
| 1500 | 0.061 | 0.063 | 0.064 | 0.061 | 0.036 | 0.039 | 0.063 | 0.048 | 0.067 | 0.020 | 0.027 | 0.080 | 0.159 |
| 1600 | 0.062 | 0.064 | 0.076 | 0.075 | 0.040 | 0.057 | 0.067 | 0.020 | 0.063 | 0.008 | 0.014 |  |  |
| 1700 | 0.056 | 0.059 | 0.065 | 0.084 | 0.047 | 0.070 | 0.063 | 0.048 | 0.055 | 0.004 | 0.020 | 0.095 | 0.142 |
| 1800 | 0.069 | 0.059 | 0.069 | 0.075 | 0.059 | 0.067 | 0.065 | 0.068 | 0.041 | 0.020 | 0.017 |  |  |
| 1900 | 0.065 | 0.057 | 0.067 | 0.075 | 0.094 | 0.078 | 0.092 | 0.056 | 0.051 | 0.026 | 0.029 | 0.109 | 0.159 |
| 2000 | 0.063 | 0.052 | 0.057 | 0.056 | 0.106 | 0.075 | 0.078 | 0.016 | 0.031 | 0.045 | 0.042 | - | - |
| 2100 | 0.044 | 0.047 | 0.039 | 0.047 | 0.067 | 0.037 | - | 0.016 | 0.012 | 0.044 | 0.059 | - | - |
| 2200 | 0.044 | 0.046 | 0.035 | 0.051 | 0.029 | 0.013 | - | 0.000 | 0.001 | - | - | - | - |
| 2300 | 0.006 | 0.005 | 0.008 | 0.000 | 0.013 | 0.006 | - | 0.000 | 0.001 | - | - | - | - |
| 2400 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.003 | 0.000 | 0.005 | 0.002 | - | 0.000 | 0.000 | - | - | - | - |

a. Fishery was open 6 a.m. to 9 p.m.
b. Midpoint of two-hour time block.

Appendix 4. Daily catch (harvest and release) per angler hour at Queen's Island in the July and August 1990 lower Fraser River sport fishery.

| July |  |  |  |  | August |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | Chinook adult | Chinook jack | Sockeye | Sturgeon | Date | Chinook adult | Sockeye | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cut- } \\ & \text { throat } \end{aligned}$ | Sturgeon |
| 07-Ju1 | 0.0104 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 02-Aug | 0.0129 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 08-Ju1 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 03-Aug | 0.0286 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 09-Ju1 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 04-Aug | 0.0092 | 0.0000 | 0.0115 | 0.0000 |
| 10-Ju1 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 05-Aug | 0.0103 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 13-Jul | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 08-Aug | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 14-Jul | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 09-Aug | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 15-Ju1 | 0.0029 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 10-Aug | 0.0222 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 16-Jul | 0.0494 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 11-Aug | 0.0000 | 0.0231 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 19-Jul | 0.0093 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0047 | 12-Aug | 0.0045 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0045 |
| 20-Ju1 | 0.0215 | 0.0108 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 15-Aug | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 21-Ju1 | 0.0125 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 16-Aug | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 22-Jul | 0.0191 | 0.0024 | 0.0000 | 0.0024 | 17-Aug | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 25-Ju1 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 18-Aug | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 26-Ju1 | 0.0127 | 0.0063 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 19-Aug | 0.0488 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 27-Ju1 | 0.0049 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 24-Aug | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 28-Jul | 0.0081 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 25-Aug | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 29-Jul | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0064 | 0.0000 | 26-Aug | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 30-Ju1 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| hPue | 0.0068 | 0.0009 | 0.0000 | 0.0005 |  | 0.0083 | 0.0000 | 0.0042 | 0.0008 |
| RPUE | 0.0002 | 0.0000 | 0.0005 | 0.0000 |  | 0.0000 | 0.0006 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Combined | 0.0070 | 0.0009 | 0.0005 | 0.0005 |  | 0.0083 | 0.0006 | 0.0042 | 0.0008 |

a. Weighted; see methods.

Appendix 5a. Interview responses by week at Chuck's Pool in the 1990 lower Shuswap River eport fishery.

|  | Week ending |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 19-Aug | 26-Aug | 02-sep | 09-Sep | 16-Sep | Total |
| Number of interviews | 71 | 103 | 99 | 98 | 39 | 410 |
| Mean Angler Day Length (hr.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - All anglers | 5.6 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 6.1 | 3.7 | 4.9 |
| - Complete trip interviews |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 24 | 69 | 56 | 51 | 32 | 232 |
| Hours | 2.9 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 4.3 | 3.1 | 3.4 |
| - Incomplete trip interviews |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 47 | 34 | 43 | 47 | 7 | 178 |
| Hours | 6.9 | 5.5 | 6.4 | 8.1 | 6.3 | 6.8 |
| Mean number of anglers per party | 2.3 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 2.0 |
| Target Species |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Chinook | 71 | 103 | 98 | 96 | 38 | 406 |
| - Rainbow | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| - Anything | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| Harvested Catch |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Chinook adult | 5 | 13 | 24 | 16 | 13 | 71 |
| - Chinook jack | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| Released Catch |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Chinook adult | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 |
| - Chinook jack | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| Inspection of Catch |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Nunber | 5 | 1 | 14 | 12 | 13 | 45 |
| - Number correct | 5 | 1 | 14 | 12 | 13 | 45 |
| Gear |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Bait | 17 | 1 | 29 | 45 | 23 | 115 |
| - Lure | 38 | 77 | 52 | 32 | 6 | 205 |
| - Bait/Lure | 16 | 24 | 18 | 21 | 10 | 89 |
| - Fly | - | - | - | - | - | - |


|  | Heek ending |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 19-Aug | 26-Aug | 02-Sep | 09-Sep | 16-Sep | Total |
| Number of interviews | 54 | 79 | 107 | 97 | 35 | 372 |
| Mean Angler Day Length (hr.): |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Complete trip interviews Number | 27 | 41 | 74 | 72 | 18 | 232 |
| Hours | 3.3 | 5.0 | 4.5 | 4.9 | 4.1 | 4.5 |
| - Incomplete trip interviews |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hours | 4.4 | 5.8 | 7.6 | 6.9 | 6.7 | 6.3 |
| Mean number of anglers per party | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 1.6 | 2.5 | 2.0 |
| Target Species |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Chinook | 51 | 79 | 103 | 97 | 35 | 365 |
| - Rainbow | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | 3 |
| - Anything | 1 | - | 3 | - | $\bullet$ | 4 |
| Harvested Catch |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Chinook adult | 1 | 2 | 15 | 31 | 6 | 55 |
| - Chinook adult, adipose clipped | - | - | 2 | - | - | 2 |
| Released Catch |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - chinook adult | - | - | - | 2 | - | 2 |
| - chinook jack | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| Inspection of Catch |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Number | 1 | 1 | 7 | 23 | 1 | 33 |
| - Number correct | 1 | 1 | 7 | 23 | 1 | 33 |
| Gear |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Bait | 11 | 5 | 16 | 20 | 2 | 54 |
| - Lure | 34 | 50 | 68 | 55 | 30 | 237 |
| - Bait/Lure | 6 | 22 | 21 | 22 | 3 | 74 |
| - Fly | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | 3 |


|  | Week ending |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 19-Aug | 26-Aug | 02-Sep | 09-Sep | 16-Sep | Total |
| Number of Interviews | 33 | 82 | 124 | 77 | 23 | 339 |
| Mean Angler Day Length (hr.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - All anglers | 5.3 | 5.5 | 6.9 | 6.5 | 5.7 | 6.2 |
| - Complete trip interviews |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 1 | 18 | 15 | 21 | 12 | 67 |
| Hours | 2.0 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 4.8 | 4.4 | 3.9 |
| - Incomplete trip interviews |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 32 | 64 | 109 | 56 | 11 | 272 |
| Hours | 5.4 | 6.2 | 7.4 | 7.1 | 7.0 | 6.8 |
| Mean number of anglers per party | 1.5 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.9 |
| Target Species |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Chinook | 33 | 81 | 122 | 76 | 23 | 335 |
| - Rainbow | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 3 |
| - Whitefish | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| Harvested Catch |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Chinook adult | 1 | 3 | 12 | 10 | 6 | 32 |
| - Rainbow b | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | 3 |
| - Squawfish b | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| - Whitefish b | - | - | 4 | - | - | 4 |
| Released Catch |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Chinook adult | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 |
| - Rainbow b | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 2 |
| - Squawfish b | - | - | - | 2 | 6 | 8 |
| Inspection of Catch |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Number | 0 | 0 | 9 | 4 | 2 | 15 |
| - Number correct | - | - | 9 | 4 | 2 | 15 |
| Gear |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Bait | 9 | 18 | 25 | 28 | 10 | 90 |
| - Lure | 19 | 20 | 68 | 28 | 4 | 139 |
| - Bait/Lure | 5 | 44 | 30 | 19 | 9 | 107 |
| - Fly | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 2 |
| Previous Lower Shuswap River Angling a |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - No. who fished chinook previously | 16 | 46 | 98 | 56 | 20 | 236 |
| - Mean angler day length | 4.6 | 5.5 | 7.3 | 6.0 | 5.3 | 6.2 |
| - Harvest |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chinook | - | 2 | 9 | 10 | 6 | 27 |

a. Within 2 weeks of the interview; data are specific to the most recent trip.
b. All observed catch was from incomplete trip interviews; total catch was not calculated due to incomplete trip interview bias.

Appendix 6a. Daily angler counts by area in the Mara Lake to Ashton Creek section of the 1990 lower Shuswap River sport fishery.

| Date | Day of week | Time | Mara Lake to Ashton Creek Bridge a |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 b | 4 | Total |
| 16 Aug | Thu | 0557-0642 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 0 | 12 |
| 17 Aug | Fri | 1905-2014 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 1 | 16 |
| 18 Aug | Sat | 1900-2000 | 0 | 7 | 19 | 0 | 26 |
| 19 Aug | Sun | 0656-0741 | 0 | 11 | 11 | 2 | 24 |
| 20 Aug | Mon | 0618-0655 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 11 |
| 23 Aug | Thu | 1919-1959 | 0 | 26 | 27 | 8 | 61 |
| 24 Aug | Fri | 1829-1908 | 0 | 19 | 22 | 4 | 45 |
| 25 Aug | Sat | 0615-0711 | 0 | 11 | 10 | 2 | 23 |
| 26 Aug | Sun | 1850-1930 | 0 | 15 | 26 | 2 | 43 |
| 27 Aug | Mon | 0636-0714 | 0 | 5 | 17 | 2 | 24 |
| 30 Aug | Thu | 0601-0641 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 11 |
| 31 Aug | Fri | 1917-1952 | 0 | 30 | 22 | 6 | 58 |
| 01 Sep | Sat | 1904-1944 | 0 | 19 | 21 | 2 | 42 |
| 02 Sep | Sun | 0551-0633 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 6 | 23 |
| 03 Sep | Mon | 1937-2018 | 0 | 32 | 20 | 6 | 58 |
| 07 Sep | Fri | 1828-1949 | 0 | 12 | 14 | 7 | 33 |
| 08 Sep | Sat | 1835-1918 | 0 | 22 | 6 | 3 | 31 |
| 09 Sep | Sun | 0655-0739 | 0 | 12 | 4 | 3 | 19 |
| 11 Sep | Tue | 1938-2008 | 0 | 16 | 7 | 0 | 23 |
| Weekday | a.m. | Mean | 0 | 4 | 10 | 1 | 15 |
|  | shift | \% c | 0.0\% | 8.3\% | 23.7\% | 2.4\% | 34.3\% |
|  | p.m. | Mean | 0 | 17 | 15 | 4 | 37 |
|  | shift | \% c | 0.0\% | 18.4\% | 16.4\% | 4.5\% | 39.2\% |
| Weekend | a.m. | Mean | 0 | 9 | 10 | 3 | 22 |
|  | shift | \% c | 0.0\% | 10.3\% | 12.1\% | 3.8\% | 26.2\% |
|  | p.m. | Mean | 0 | 19 | 18 | 3 | 40 |
|  | shift | \% c | 0.0\% | 20.3\% | 19.6\% | 2.8\% | 42.6\% |

a. Areas were: 1 - Mara Lake to Mara Bridge.

2 - Mara Bridge to Grinrod Bridge.
3 - Grinrod Bridge to Enderby Bridge.
4 - Enderby Bridge to Ashton Creek Bridge.
b. Includes Enderby Bridge site.
c. Total includes counts in the river between Ashton Creek to Mabel Lake; see Appendix 6b.

Appendix 6b. Daily angler counts by area in the Ashton Creek to Mabel Lake section of the 1990 lower Shuswap River sport fishery.

| Date | Day of week | Time | Ashton Creek Bridge to Mable Lake a |  |  |  |  |  | Lower Shuswap River total d |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1 | 2 b | 3 | 4 | 5 c | Total |  |
| 16 Aug | Thu | 0557-0642 | 9 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 30 | 42 |
| 17 Aug | Fri | 1905-2014 | 5 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 29 | 45 |
| 18 Aug | Sat | 1900-2000 | 8 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 51 | 77 |
| 19 Aug | Sun | 0656-0741 | 4 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 17 | 34 | 58 |
| 20 Aug | Mon | 0618-0655 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 23 | 34 |
| 23 Aug | Thu | 1919-1959 | 3 | 14 | 0 | 4 | 19 | 40 | 101 |
| 24 Aug | Fri | 1829-1908 | 8 | 17 | 2 | 4 | 19 | 50 | 95 |
| 25 Aug | Sat | 0615-0711 | 9 | 14 | 4 | 4 | 15 | 46 | 69 |
| 26 Aug | Sun | 1850-1930 | 7 | 11 | 0 | 3 | 15 | 36 | 79 |
| 27 Aug | Mon | 0636-0714 | 2 | 13 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 31 | 55 |
| 30 Aug | Thu | 0601-0641 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 27 | 38 |
| 31 Aug | Fri | 1917-1952 | 1 | 22 | 0 | 4 | 20 | 47 | 105 |
| 01 Sep | Sat | 1904-1944 | 2 | 22 | 2 | 3 | 34 | 63 | 105 |
| 02 Sep | Sun | 0551-0633 | 8 | 33 | 4 | 3 | 50 | 98 | 121 |
| 03 Sep | Mon | 1937-2018 | 14 | 21 | 0 | 3 | 17 | 55 | 113 |
| 07 Sep | Fri | 1828-1949 | 9 | 27 | 3 | 0 | 32 | 71 | 104 |
| 08 Sep | Sat | 1835-1918 | 6 | 26 | 2 | 3 | 27 | 64 | 95 |
| 09 Sep | Sun | 0655-0739 | 5 | 15 | 5 | 0 | 48 | 73 | 92 |
| 11 Sep | Tue | 1938-2008 | 11 | 29 | 8 | 0 | 40 | 88 | 111 |
| Weekday | a.m. | Mean | 4 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 28 | 42 |
|  | shift | \% c | 9.5\% | 20.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 35.5\% | 65.7\% | - |
|  | p.m. | Mean | 6 | 21 | 2 | 2 | 23 | 54 | 94 |
|  | shift | \% c | 6.6\% | 21.9\% | 2.3\% | 2.1\% | 25.0\% | 57.9\% | - |
| Weekend | a.m. | Mean | 7 | 19 | 3 | 2 | 33 | 63 | 85 |
|  | shift | \% c | 7.6\% | 21.8\% | 3.8\% | 2.4\% | 38.2\% | 73.8\% | - |
|  | p.m. | Mean | 7 | 21 | 1 | 2 | 22 | 54 | 94 |
|  | shift | \% c | 7.9\% | 22.6\% | 0.9\% | 2.6\% | 23.5\% | 57.4\% | - |

a. Areas were: 1 - Ashton Creek Bridge to Fall Creek.

2 - Fall Creek to Cooke Creek.
3 - Cooke Creek to Delorne Creek.
4 - Delorne Creek to Skookumchuck.
5 - Skookumchuck to Mabel Lake.
b. Includes Log Dump Pool site.
c. Includes Chuck's Pool site.
d. Total includes counts in the river between Ashton Creek to Mabel Lake; see Appendix 6 a .

Appendix 7. Daily catch (harvest and release) per angler hour in the 1990 lower Shuswap River sport fishery.

|  | Chuck's Pool |  | Log Dump Pool |  | Roving survey |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | Chinook adult | Chinook jack | Chinook adult | Chinook jack | Chinook adult |
| 16-Aug | 0.0160 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 17-Aug | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 18-Aug | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0194 |
| 19-Aug | 0.0523 | 0.0000 | 0.0220 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 20-Aug | 0.0417 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 23-Aug | 0.0206 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 24-Aug | 0.0656 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 25-Aug | 0.0645 | 0.0000 | 0.0165 | 0.0000 | 0.0385 |
| 26-Aug | 0.0165 | 0.0000 | 0.0081 | 0.0000 | 0.0272 |
| 27-Aug | 0.1186 | 0.0000 | 0.0606 | 0.0000 | 0.0299 |
| 30-Aug | 0.2500 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0825 |
| 31-Aug | 0.0328 | 0.0000 | 0.0108 | 0.0000 | 0.0229 |
| 01-Sep | 0.1319 | 0.0000 | 0.0546 | 0.0055 | 0.0232 |
| 02-Sep | 0.0170 | 0.0085 | 0.0440 | 0.0000 | 0.0377 |
| 03-Sep | 0.1026 | 0.0000 | 0.0853 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 04-Sep | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0517 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 07-Sep | 0.0299 | 0.0000 | 0.0613 | 0.0000 | 0.0315 |
| 08-Sep | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0690 | 0.0000 | 0.0658 |
| 09-Sep | 0.0380 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0510 |
| 10-Sep | 0.0545 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 11-Sep | 0.0000 | 0.0238 | 0.0476 | 0.0000 | 0.0667 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| hPUE | 0.0482 | 0.0007 | 0.0368 | 0.0000 | 0.0293 |
| RPUE | 0.0007 | 0.0007 | 0.0013 | 0.0007 | 0.0009 |
| Combined | 0.0489 | 0.0014 | 0.0381 | 0.0007 | 0.0302 |

Appendix 8. Mean nose-fork length and weight, by flesh colour, age and sex of chinook salmon harvested in the 1990 lower Shuswap River sport fishery.

| Flesh Colour | Sex | Age | Sample Size | * | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean } \\ & \text { length (cm) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { weight (kg) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Red | Mate | 5/2 | 0 | 0.0\% | - | - |
|  |  | $4 / 2$ | 1 | 9.1\% | 88.0 | 8.2 |
|  |  | 4/1 | 10 | 90.9\% | 89.0 | 8.2 |
|  |  | 3/1 | 0 | 0.0\% | - | - |
|  |  | Unknown | 3 | - | 94.7 | 10.1 |
|  |  | Total | 14 | - | 90.2 | 8.6 |
|  | Female | 5/2 | 2 | 7.7\% | 84.0 | 7.0 |
|  |  | 4/2 | 0 | 0.0\% | - | - |
|  |  | 4/1 | 23 | 88.5\% | 84.2 | 7.2 |
|  |  | 3/1 | 1 | 3.8\% | 86.0 | 8.2 |
|  |  | Unknown | 16 | - | 86.0 | 8.0 |
|  |  | Total | 42 | - | 84.9 | 7.5 |
|  | Total | 5/2 | 2 | $5.4 \%$ | 84.0 | 7.0 |
|  |  | 4/2 | 1 | $2.7 \%$ | 88.0 | 8.2 |
|  |  | 4/1 | 33 | 89.2\% | 85.6 | 7.5 |
|  |  | 3/1 | 1 | $2.7 \%$ | 86.0 | 8.2 |
|  |  | Unknown | 19 | - | 87.4 | 8.3 |
|  |  | Total | 56 | - | 86.2 | 7.8 |

Appendix 9a. Interview responses by week at Rocky Point in the 1990 South Thompson River sport fishery.


[^3]Appendix 9b. Interview responses by week in the roving survey of the 1990 South Thompson River sport fishery.

|  | Heek ending |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 02-Sep | 09-Sep | 16-5ep | 23-Sep | Total |
| Number of interviews | 72 | 85 | 16 | 11 | 184 |
| Mean Angler Day Length (hr.) |  |  |  |  |  |
| - All anglers | 4.6 | 6.5 | 4.7 | 6.3 | 5.6 |
| - Complete trip interviews |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nunber | 9 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 19 |
| Hours | 3.0 | 3.8 | 5.7 | 6.5 | 3.2 |
| - Incomplete trip interviews |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 63 | 79 | 13 | 10 | 165 |
| Hours | 4.8 | 6.7 | 4.5 | 6.3 | 5.8 |
| Mean number of anglers per party | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.9 |
| Target Species |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Chinook | 62 | 82 | 13 | 10 | 167 |
| - Rainbow | 10 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 17 |
| - Anything | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| Harvested Catch |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Chinook adult | - | - | 1 | - | 1 |
| - Rainbow | 1 | - | 2 | - | 3 |
| Released Catch |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Chinook adult | - | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| - Rainbow | - | - | 2 | - | 2 |
| Inspection of Catch |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Number | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| - Number correct | - | - | 1 | - | 1 |
| Gear |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Bait | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 7 |
| - Lure | 64 | 76 | 13 | 10 | 163 |
| - Bait/Lure | 3 | 8 | - | - | 11 |
| - Fly | 2 | - | - | - | 2 |
| Previous South Thompson River angling a |  |  |  |  |  |
| - No. who fished chinook previously | 41 | 70 | 29 | 9 | 149 |
| - Mean angler day length | 5.9 | 6.6 | 5.4 | 8.4 | 6.3 |
| - Harvest: <br> Chinook | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 9 |

a. Within 2 weeks of the interview; data are specific to the most recent trip.

Appendix 10. Daily angler counts by area in the 1990 South Thompson River sport fishery.

| Date | Day of week | Area a |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Time |  | 2 | 3 b | 4 |  |
|  |  | Time | 1 | 2 | 3 b | 4 | Total |
| 31-Aug | fri | 1300-1400 | 2 | 0 | 13 | 10 | 25 |
| 01-Sep | Sat | 1300-1400 | 10 | 0 | 13 | 12 | 35 |
| 02-Sep | Sun | 1300-1400 | 4 | 2 | 18 | 20 | 44 |
| 03-Sep | Mon | 1300-1400 | 9 | 5 | 21 | 15 | 50 |
| 06-Sep | Thu | 1300-1400 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 14 | 30 |
| 07-Sep | Fri | 1300-1400 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 7 | 18 |
| 08-Sep | Sot | 1300-1400 | 4 | 0 | 34 | 17 | 55 |
| 09-Sep | Sun | 1300-1400 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 17 |
| 10-Sep | Mon | 1300-1400 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 6 | 17 |
| 11-Sep | Tue | 1300-1400 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 11 |
| 14-Sep | Thu | 1300-1400 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 12 |
| 15-Sep | Fri | 1300-1400 | 2 | 0 | 11 | 4 | 17 |
| 16-Sep | Sat | 1300-1400 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 16 |
| 17-Sep | Sun | 1300-1400 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 13 |
| 18-Sep | Mon | 1300-1400 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 2 | 13 |
| Weekday | Mean | - | 2 | 1 | 9 | 6 | 18 |
|  | \% | - | 9.18 | 3.5\% | 52.4\% | 35.0\% | - |
| Heekend | Mean | - | 6 | 1 | 14 | 11 | 33 |
|  | \% | - | 18.7\% | 3.9\% | 43.5\% | 33.9\% |  |

a. Areas were: 1 - Chase to Shuswap.

> 2 - Shuswap to Niskanlith Creek.
> 3 - Niskanlith Creek to the bluffs.
> 4 - Bluffs to Pritchard.
b. Includes Rocky Point site.

Appendix 11. Daily catch (harvest and release) per angler hour in the 1990 South Thompson River sport fishery.

| Date | Rocky Point |  |  | Roving Survey |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Chinook adult | Chinook jack | Rainbow | Chinook adult | Rainbow |
| 30-Aug | 0.0755 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0408 |
| 31-Aug | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0317 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 01-Sep | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 02-Sep | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 03-Sep | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0106 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 06 -Sep | 0.0213 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0308 | 0.0000 |
| 07-Sep | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0714 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 08-Sep | 0.0119 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 09-Sep | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 11-Sep | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 14 -Sep | 0.0488 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 15-Sep | 0.0482 | 0.0120 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.1429 |
| 16-Sep | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0357 | 0.0000 |
| 17-Sep | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 18-Sep | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| HPUE | 0.0113 | 0.0014 | 0.0000 | 0.0025 | 0.0074 |
| RPUE | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0056 | 0.0025 | 0.0049 |
| Combined | 0.0113 | 0.0014 | 0.0056 | 0.0050 | 0.0123 |

Appendix 12a. Interview responses by week on the east bank (Wicola River mouth) in the 1990 Thompson River (Spences Bridge) sport fishery.

|  | Week ending |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 09-Jul | 16-Jul | 23-Jul | 30-Jul | 06-Aug | 13-Aug | 20-Aug | 27-Aug | 03-Sep | Total |
| Number of Intervieus | 20 | 48 | 77 | 118 | 131 | 100 | 70 | 35 | 5 | 604 |
| Mean Angler Day Length (hr.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Complete trip interviews Number | 17 | 47 | 63 | 90 | 119 | 99 | 69 | 35 | 5 | 544 |
| Hours | 1.6 | 2.7 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 2.8 | 3.6 | 0.9 | 3.3 |
| - Incomplete trip interviews | 3 | 1 | 14 | 28 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 60 |
| Hours | 7.7 | 6.0 | 3.7 | 5.8 | 5.5 | 8.0 | 4.5 | - | - | 5.3 |
| Mean number of anglers in party | 1.6 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 2 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 2.2 |
| Target Species |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Chinook | 20 | 48 | 77 | 113 | 131 | 100 | 70 | 33 | 5 | 597 |
| - Rainbow | - | - | - | 5 | - | - | - | 2 | - | 7 |
| Harvested Catch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Chinook adult | - | 4 | 17 | 34 | 30 | 16 | 7 | - | - | 108 |
| - Chinook adult, adipose clip | - | 1 | 3 | 14 | 7 | 2 | 1 | - | - | 28 |
| - Chinook jack | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 4 |
| - Chinook jack, adipose clip | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| - Rainbor | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | 3 |
| Released Catch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Chinook adult | 1 | 2 | 3 | 11 | 2 | 8 | - | - | - | 27 |
| - Chinook jack | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| Inspection of Catch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Number | 0 | 6 | 17 | 24 | 16 | 16 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 85 |
| - Number correct | - | 6 | 17 | 24 | 16 | 16 | 6 | - | - | 85 |
| Gear |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Bait | 6 | 26 | 53 | 90 | 102 | 80 | 53 | 23 | 2 | 435 |
| - Lure | 5 | 18 | 12 | 19 | 15 | 12 | 12 | 3 | - | 96 |
| - Bait/Lure | 9 | 4 | 11 | 8 | 14 | 8 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 67 |
| - fly | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3 | - | 4 |

Appendix 12b. Interview responses by week on the west bank in the 1990 Thompson River (Spences Bridge) sport fishery.

|  | Week ending |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 16-Jul | 23-Ju1 | 30-Ju1 | 06-Aug | 13-Aug | 20-Aug | 27-Aug | 03-Sep | Total |
| Number of Interviews | 10 | 22 | 40 | 67 | 51 | 15 | 11 | 0 | 216 |
| Mean Angler Day Length (hr.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - All anglers | 2.0 | 2.9 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 1.9 | - | 3.0 |
| - Complete trip interviews |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 10 | 17 | 35 | 65 | 51 | 13 | 11 | 0 | 202 |
| Hours | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 1.9 | - | 2.9 |
| - Incomplete trip interviews |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 0 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| Hours | - | 4.1 | 6.0 | 6.0 | - | 3.0 | - | - | 4.9 |
| Mean number of anglers per party | 2.0 | 1.8 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 2.5 | - | 2.3 |
| Target Species |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Chinook | 10 | 22 | 40 | 67 | 51 | 15 | 11 | - | 216 |
| Harvested Catch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Chinook adult | - | 1 | 12 | 18 | 12 | - | - | - | 43 |
| - Chinook adult, adipose clipped | - | - | 2 | 3 | 3 | - | - | - | 8 |
| - Chinook jack | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 |
| - Rainbow | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| Released Catch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Chinook adult | - | - | 2 | 5 | 3 | - | - | - | 10 |
| Inspection of Catch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Number | 0 | 0 | 9 | 18 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 |
| - Number correct | - | - | 9 | 18 | 6 | - | - | - | 33 |
| Gear |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Bait | 7 | 16 | 37 | 62 | 48 | 9 | 11 | - | 190 |
| - Lure | 2 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 3 | - | - | - | 15 |
| - Bait/Lure | 1 | 4 | - | - | - | 6 | - | - | 11 |

Appendix 13. Daily catch (harvest and release) per angler hour in the 1990 Thompson River (Spences Bridge) sport fishery.

| Date | East Bank (Nicola River) |  |  | West Bank |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Chinook adult | Chinook jack | Rainbow trout | Chinook | Chinook jack | Rainbow trout |
| 07-Jul | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 08-Jul | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 09-Jul | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 14-Jul | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 15-Jul | 0.1077 | 0.0000 | 0.0154 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 16-Jul | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 21-Jul | 0.0667 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0488 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 22-Jul | 0.0680 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 23-Jul | 0.2273 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 28-Jul | 0.2283 | 0.0079 | 0.0000 | 0.2083 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 29-Jul | 0.1299 | 0.0065 | 0.0000 | 0.0811 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 30-Jul | 0.1124 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.1071 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 04-Aug | 0.2471 | 0.0118 | 0.0000 | 0.1667 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 05-Aug | 0.0498 | 0.0050 | 0.0000 | 0.1286 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 06-Aug | 0.0446 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0684 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 11-Aug | 0.0892 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.1714 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 12-Aug | 0.0778 | 0.0082 | 0.0000 | 0.0480 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 13-Aug | 0.0263 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 18-Aug | 0.0500 | 0.0100 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 19-Aug | 0.0556 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 20-Aug | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0435 |
| 25-Aug | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0242 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 26-Aug | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0769 | 0.0000 |
| 27-Aug | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 01-Sep | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| hpue | 0.0707 | 0.0031 | 0.0013 | 0.0833 | 0.0016 | 0.0016 |
| RPUE | 0.0140 | 0.0005 | 0.0000 | 0.0163 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Combined | 0.0847 | 0.0036 | 0.0013 | 0.0996 | 0.0016 | 0.0016 |

Appendix 14. Mean nose-fork length and weight, by flesh colour, age and sex, of chinook salmon harvested in the 1990 Thompson River (Spences Bridge) sport fishery.


Appendix 14. Mean nose-fork length and weight, by flesh colour, age and sex, of chinook salmon harvested in the 1990 Thompson River (Spences Bridge) sport fishery, continued.

|  |  |  | Male |  |  |  | Female |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | Flesh colour | Age | No. | \% | Mean <br> Length | Mean ght | g) No. |  | Mean ength | Mean ght | No. |  | Mean ngth | Mean ght |
| 05-Aug | Red | 5/2 | 0 | 0.0\% | - | - | 1 | 10.0\% | 80.0 | 5.4 | 1 | 8.3x | 80.0 | 5.4 |
|  |  | 4/2 | 2 | 100.0\% | 75.5 | 3.9 | 7 | 70.0\% | 65.4 | 3.7 | 9 | 75.0\% | 67.6 | 3.7 |
|  |  | 4/1 | 0 | 0.0\% | - | - | 1 | 10.0\% | 77.0 | 5.0 | 1 | 8.3\% | 77.0 | 5.0 |
|  |  | 3/1 | 0 | 0.0\% | - | - | 1 | 10.0\% | 79.0 | 5.0 | 1 | 8.3\% | 79.0 | 5.0 |
|  |  | Unknown | 3 | - | 64.7 | 3.2 | 2 | - | 77.0 | 4.5 | 5 | - | 69.6 | 3.7 |
|  |  | Total | 5 | - | 69.0 | 3.5 | 12 | - | 70.7 | 4.2 | 17 | - | 70.1 | 3.9 |
| 06-Aug | Red | 5/2 | 3 | 100.0\% | 83.0 | 6.1 | 0 | 0.0\% | - | - | 3 | 50.0\% | 83.0 | 6.1 |
|  |  | 4/2 | 0 | 0.0\% | - | - | 2 | 67.6\% | 69.5 | 3.9 | 2 | 33.3\% | 69.5 | 3.9 |
|  |  | 3/1 | 0 | 0.0\% | - | - | 1 | 33.3\% | 76.0 | 4.5 | 1 | 16.7\% | 76.0 | 4.5 |
|  |  | Unknown | 1 | - | 82.0 | 5.9 | 2 | - | 75.0 | 4.1 | 3 | - | 77.3 | 4.7 |
|  |  | Total | 4 | - | 82.8 | 6.0 | 5 | - | 73.0 | 4.1 | 9 | - | 77.4 | 4.9 |
| 11-Aug | Red | 5/2 | 0 | 0.0\% | - | - | 3 | 37.5x | 76.7 | 4.5 | 3 | 20.0\% | 76.7 | 4.5 |
|  |  | 4/2 | 5 | 71.4\% | 75.6 | 4.5 | 5 | 62.5\% | 67.6 | 3.2 | 10 | $66.7 \%$ | 71.6 | 3.8 |
|  |  | 4/1 | 1 | 14.3\% | 77.0 | 4.5 | 0 | 0.0\% | - | - | 1 | $6.7 \%$ | 77.0 | 4.5 |
|  |  | 3/1 | 1 | 14.3\% | 68.0 | 2.7 | 0 | 0.0\% | - | - | 1 | $6.7 \%$ | 68.0 | 2.7 |
|  |  | Unknown | 4 | - | 74.5 | 4.5 | 2 | - | 77.5 | 4.5 | 6 | . | 75.5 | 4.5 |
|  |  | rotal | 11 | - | 74.6 | 4.3 | 10 | - | 72.3 | 3.8 | 21 | - | 73.5 | 4.1 |
| 12-Aug | Red | 4/2 | 4 | 100.0\% | 69.0 | 3.1 | 2 | 67.7\% | 67.5 | 2.7 | 6 | 85.7\% | 68.5 | 3.0 |
|  |  | 3/1 | 0 | 0.0\% | - | - | 1 | 33.3\% | 67.0 | 2.7 | 1 | 14.3\% | 67.0 | 2.7 |
|  |  | Unknown | 2 | - | 65.0 | 3.0 | 2 | - | 75.5 | 4.1 | 4 | - | 70.2 | 3.5 |
|  |  | Total | 6 | - | 67.7 | 3.1 | 5 | - | 70.6 | 3.3 | 11 | - | 69.0 | 3.2 |
| 13-Aug | Red | Unknown | 0 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 69.0 | 2.7 | 1 | - | 69.0 | 2.7 |
|  |  | Total | 0 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 69.0 | 2.7 | 1 | - | 69.0 | 2.7 |
| 18-Aug | Red | 4/2 | 0 | - | - | - | 5 | 100.0\% | 70.8 | 3.3 | 5 | 100.0\% | 70.8 | 3.3 |
|  |  | Unknown | 0 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 65.0 | 3.2 | 1 | - | 65.0 | 3.2 |
|  |  | Total | 0 | - | - | - | 6 | - | 69.8 | 3.3 | 6 | - | 69.8 | 3.3 |
| 19-Aug | Red | 5/2 | 1 | 50.0\% | 92.0 | 8.2 | 0 | - | - | - | 1 | 50.0\% | 92.0 | 8.2 |
|  |  | $4 / 2$ | 1 | 50.0\% | 76.0 | 3.6 | 0 | - | - | - | 1 | 50.0\% | 76.0 | 3.6 |
|  |  | Unknown | 1 | - | 76.0 | 3.6 | 0 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 76.0 | 3.6 |
|  |  | Total | 3 | - | 81.3 | 5.1 | 0 | - | - | - | 3 | - | 81.3 | 5.1 |
| Total a | Red | 5/2 | 12 | 18.8\% | 85.4 | 6.9 | 13 | 18.3\% | 79.8 | 5.1 | 25 | 18.5\% | 82.5 | 6.0 |
|  |  | 4/2 | 39 | 60.9\% | 72.0 | 3.8 | 48 | 67.6\% | 67.8 | 3.5 | 87 | 64.4\% | 69.7 | 3.6 |
|  |  | 4/1 | 3 | 4.7\% | 76.0 | 5.0 | 4 | 5.6\% | 73.0 | 5.0 | 7 | 5.2\% | 74.3 | 5.0 |
|  |  | 3/1 | 10 | 15.6\% | 66.4 | 3.0 | 6 | 8.4\% | 68.8 | 3.5 | 16 | 11.9\% | 67.3 | 3.2 |
|  |  | Unknown | 24 | - | 70.3 | 3.9 | 29 | - | 71.2 | 3.8 | 53 | - | 70.8 | 3.8 |
|  |  | Total | 88 | - | 72.9 | 4.2 | 100 | - | 70.6 | 3.9 | 188 | - | 71.7 | 4.0 |

a. Includes 1 identified onsite as a jack.

Appendix 15. Interview responses by week in the 1990 Vedder-Chilliwack River sport fishery.


Appendix 16a. Daily angler counts in the July 1990 Vedder-Chilliwack River sport fishery.

|  |  |  |  |  | Angler count - |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | Date | Day of week | Weather | Time | Region 2 | Region 3 | Region 4 | Total |
| July | 01-Jul | Sun | Overcast | 0800-0900 | $b$ | b | $b$ | 42 |
|  |  |  |  | 1200-1300 | $b$ | b | b | 73 |
|  | 02-Jul | Mon | Rain | 0600-0700 | $b$ | b | b | 15 |
|  |  |  |  | 1000-1100 | b | b | b | 31 |
|  | 03-Jul | Tue | Sunny | 1600-1700 | b | b | b | 7 |
|  |  |  |  | 2000-2100 | b | b | b | 11 |
|  | 04-Jul | Wed | Overcast | 1600-1700 | b | $b$ | $b$ | 11 |
|  | 07-Jul | Sat | Overcast | 0600-0800 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 8 |
|  |  |  |  | 0800-1000 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 9 |
|  | 13-Jul | Fri | Sunny | 1400-1600 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  | 1800-2000 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
|  | 14-Jul | Sat | Sumy | 1200-1400 | 1 | 5 | 20 | 26 |
|  |  |  |  | 1600-1800 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 8 |
|  | 15-Jul | Sun | Sunny | 1200-1400 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 9 |
|  |  |  |  | 1600-1800 | 3 | 2 | 9 | 14 |
|  | 16-Jul | Mon | Sunny | 1200-1400 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 8 |
|  |  |  |  | 1400-1600 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 5 |
|  | 19-Jul | Thu | Rain | 0600-0800 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 20-Jul | Fri | Sunny | 0600-0800 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 5 |
|  |  |  |  | 1000-1200 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
|  | 21-Jul | Sat | Sumy | 1000-1200 | 1 | 5 | 11 | 17 |
|  |  |  |  | 1200-1400 | 1 | 5 | 11 | 17 |
|  | 22-Jul | Sun | Sunny | 1400-1600 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 16 |
|  |  |  |  | 1800-2000 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 13 |
|  | 26-Jul | Thu | Overcast | 1600-1800 | 2 | 3 | 13 | 18 |
|  | 27-Jul | Fri | Overcast | 1000-1200 | 1 | 2 | 15 | 18 |
|  |  |  |  | 1200-1400 | 0 | 6 | 13 | 19 |
|  | 28-Jul | Sat | Sunny | 0800-1000 | 5 | 0 | 27 | 32 |
|  |  |  |  | 1200-1400 | 0 | 3 | 20 | 23 |
|  | 29-Jul | Sun | Sunny | 1200-1400 | 4 | 5 | 20 | 29 |
|  | 30-Jul | Mon | Sunny | 1200-1400 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 12 |
|  |  |  |  | 1800-2000 | 2 | 0 | 24 | 26 |
|  | 31-Jul | Tue | Sumy | 0800-1000 | 4 | 2 | 15 | 21 |
|  |  |  |  | 1000-1200 | 0 | 4 | 11 | 15 |
|  | Weekday | Mean c | - | - | 0 | 5 | 8 | 13 |
|  |  | $x$ | - | - | $2.6 \%$ | 35.9\% | 61.5\% | - |
|  | Weekend | Mean c | - | - | 1 | 4 | 15 | 21 |
|  |  | $x$ | - | - | 5.88 | 21.2\% | 73.1\% | - |

a. Regions were: 2. Highway 1 Bridge to Vedder Crossing Bridge;
3. Vedder Crossing Bridge to Tamahi Creek Bridge;
4. Tamahi Creek Bridge to Slesse Creek.
b. Counts were not recorded by region.
c. Noon to 2 p.m. counts only.

Appendix 16b. Daily angler counts in the August 1990 Vedder-Chilliwack River sport fishery.

a. Regions were: 2. Highway 1 Bridge to Vedder Crossing Bridge;
3. Vedder Crossing Bridge to Tamahi Creek Bridge;
4. Tamahi Creek Bridge to Slesse Creek.
b. Noon to 2 p.m. counts only.

Appendix 17. Daily harvest per angler hour in the July and August 1990 Vedder-Chilliwack River sport fishery.

| July |  |  | August |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | Chinook adult | Rainbow | Date | Chinook adult | Rainbow | Dolly Varden |
| 01-Jul | 0.0000 | 0.2734 | 02-Aug | 0.0338 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 02-Jul | 0.0000 | 0.3429 | 03-Aug | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 03-Jul | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 04-Aug | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0100 |
| 04-Jul | 0.0000 | 0.0313 | 05-Aug | 0.0293 | 0.0195 | 0.0000 |
| 07-Jul | 0.0000 | 0.2376 | 06-Aug | 0.0134 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 08-Jul | 0.0000 | 0.0833 | 07-Aug | 0.0634 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 15-Jul | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 10-Aug | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 16-Jul | 0.0000 | 0.5000 | 11-Aug | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 19-Jul | 0.0000 | 0.5883 | 12-Aug | 0.0000 | 0.0236 | 0.0000 |
| 20-Jul | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 13-Aug | 0.0137 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 21-Jul | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 16-Aug | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 22-Jul | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 17-Aug | 0.0000 | 0.0256 | 0.0000 |
| 26-Jul | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 18-Aug | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 27-Jul | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 19-Aug | 0.0606 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 28-Jul | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 22-Aug | 0.0350 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 29-Jul | 0.0160 | 0.0160 | 23-Aug | 0.0540 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 30-Jul | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 24-Aug | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 31-Jul | 0.0220 | 0.0440 | 25-Aug | 0.0166 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Total a |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HPUE | 0.0031 | 0.0891 |  | 0.0139 | 0.0042 | 0.0007 |

a. Weighted; see Methods.

Appendix 18a. Total angler effort, chinook adult harvest and HPUE by trip duration in the July 1990 lower Fraser River sport fishery.

| Angler day length (hour) | Complete trip interviews |  | Angler effort |  | Chinook adult harvest |  | Chinook <br> adult HPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | No. | \% | Hours | \% | No. | $x$ |  |
| 0-1.0 | 2 | 0.4\% | 2.0 | $0.1 \%$ | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0000 |
| 1.1-2.0 | 27 | 5.3\% | 53.5 | 1.6\% | 1 | 4.3\% | 0.0187 |
| 2.1 - 3.0 | 48 | 9.4\% | 135.5 | 4.0\% | 2 | 8.7\% | 0.0148 |
| 3.1 - 4.0 | 55 | 10.8\% | 218.0 | 6.4\% | 1 | 4.3\% | 0.0046 |
| $4.1-5.0$ | 50 | 9.8\% | 243.0 | 7.2\% | 3 | 13.0\% | 0.0123 |
| 5.1-6.0 | 74 | 14.5\% | 431.5 | 12.8\% | 2 | 8.7\% | 0.0046 |
| 6.1 - 7.0 | 58 | 11.4\% | 388.5 | 11.5\% | 1 | 4.3\% | 0.0026 |
| 7.1-8.0 | 41 | 8.1\% | 319.5 | 9.4\% | 1 | 4.3\% | 0.0031 |
| 8.1 - 9.0 | 57 | 11.2\% | 503.0 | 14.9\% | 4 | 17.4\% | 0.0080 |
| 9.1 - 10.0 | 31 | 6.1\% | 300.5 | 8.9\% | 4 | 17.4\% | 0.0133 |
| 10.1-11.0 | 21 | 4.1\% | 225.0 | 6.7\% | 2 | 8.7\% | 0.0089 |
| 11.1-12.0 | 32 | 6.3\% | 378.5 | 11.2\% | 2 | 8.7\% | 0.0053 |
| 12.1-13.0 | 5 | 1.0\% | 64.5 | 1.9\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0000 |
| 13.1-14.0 | 2 | 0.4\% | 28.0 | 0.8\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0000 |
| 14.1-15.0 | 6 | 1.2\% | 90.0 | $2.7 \%$ | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0000 |
| 15.1-16.0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | - |
| 16.1-17.0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | - |

Appendix 186. Total angler effort, chinook adult harvest and HPUE by trip duration in the August 1990 lower Fraser River sport fishery.

| Angler day length (hour) | Complete trip interviews |  | Angler effort |  | Chinook adult harvest |  | Chinook adult |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | No. | $\chi$ | Hours | $\chi$ | No. | $x$ | HPUE |
| 0-1.0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0000 |
| 1.1-2.0 | 14 | 5.7\% | 27.0 | 1.8\% | 1 | 5.6\% | 0.0370 |
| 2.1-3.0 | 32 | 13.0\% | 92.5 | 6.1\% | 2 | 11.1\% | 0.0216 |
| 3.1 - 4.0 | 28 | 11.3\% | 107.0 | 7.0\% | 1 | 5.6\% | 0.0093 |
| 4.1-5.0 | 32 | 13.0\% | 155.0 | 10.2\% | 2 | 11.1\% | 0.0129 |
| 5.1 - 6.0 | 38 | 15.4\% | 222.5 | 14.6\% | 3 | 16.7\% | 0.0135 |
| 6.1-7.0 | 29 | 11.7\% | 200.5 | 13.2\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0000 |
| 7.1 - 8.0 | 25 | 10.1\% | 195.0 | 12.8\% | 1 | 5.6\% | 0.0051 |
| 8.1-9.0 | 14 | 5.7\% | 125.0 | 8.2\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0000 |
| 9.1 - 10.0 | 14 | 5.7\% | 137.5 | 9.0\% | 3 | 16.7\% | 0.0218 |
| 10.1-11.0 | 8 | 3.2\% | 86.0 | 5.7\% | 2 | 11.1\% | 0.0233 |
| 11.1-12.0 | 4 | 1.6\% | 48.0 | 3.2\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0000 |
| 12.1-13.0 | 3 | 1.2\% | 39.0 | 2.6\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0000 |
| 13.1-14.0 | 3 | 1.2\% | 42.0 | 2.8\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0000 |
| 14.1-15.0 | 3 | 1.2\% | 45.0 | 3.0\% | 3 | 16.7\% | 0.0667 |
| 15.1-16.0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | - |
| 16.1-17.0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | - |

Appendix 18c. Total angler effort, chinook adult harvest and HPUE by trip duration in the 1990 lower Shuswap River sport fishery.


Appendix 18d. Total angler effort, chinook adult harvest and HPUE by trip duration in the 1990 South Thompson River sport fishery.

| Angler day (ength (hour) | Complete trip interviews |  | Angler effort |  | Chinook adult harvest |  | Chinook adult HPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | No. | \% | Hours | \% | No. | \% |  |
| 0-1.0 | 10 | 14.9\% | 9.0 | 4.0\% | 1 | 50.0\% | 0.1111 |
| 1.1-2.0 | 21 | 31.3\% | 38.0 | 16.8\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0000 |
| $2.1-3.0$ | 11 | 16.4\% | 31.0 | 13.7\% | 1 | 50.0\% | 0.0323 |
| 3.1-4.0 | 9 | 13.4\% | 36.5 | 16.1\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0000 |
| 4.1-5.0 | 5 | 7.5\% | 25.0 | 11.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0000 |
| $5.1-6.0$ | 4 | 6.0\% | 23.5 | 10.4\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0000 |
| $6.1-7.0$ | 1 | 1.5\% | 6.5 | 2.9\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0000 |
| 7.1-8.0 | 3 | 4.5\% | 24.0 | 10.6\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0000 |
| $8.1-9.0$ | 1 | 1.5\% | 9.0 | 4.0x | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0000 |
| $9.1-10.0$ | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0 | 0.0x | 0 | 0.0\% | - |
| 10.1-11.0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | - |
| 11.1-12.0 | 2 | 3.0\% | 24.0 | 10.6\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0000 |
| 12.1-13.0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | - |
| 13.1-14.0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | - |
| 14.1-15.0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | - |
| 15.1-16.0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | - |
| 16.1-17.0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | - |

Appendix 18e. Total angler effort, chinook adult harvest and HPUE by trip duration in the 1990 Thompson River sport fishery.

| Angler day length (hour) | Complete trip interviews |  | Angler effort |  | Chinook adult harvest |  | Chinook adult HPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | \% | Hours | \% | No. | \% |  |
| 0-1.0 | 125 | 16.8\% | 107.5 | 4.5\% | 29 | 20.1\% | 0.2698 |
| 1.1-2.0 | 173 | 23.2\% | 311.0 | 13.1\% | 36 | 25.0\% | 0.1158 |
| 2.1-3.0 | 162 | 21.7\% | 458.0 | 19.3\% | 33 | 22.9\% | 0.0721 |
| $3.1-4.0$ | 121 | 16.2\% | 459.0 | 19.3\% | 21 | 14.6\% | 0.0458 |
| 4.1-5.0 | 67 | 9.0\% | 320.0 | 13.5\% | 8 | 5.6\% | 0.0250 |
| 5.1-6.0 | 41 | 5.5\% | 236.5 | 10.0\% | 8 | 5.6\% | 0.0338 |
| 6.1-7.0 | 24 | 3.2\% | 161.0 | 6.8\% | 7 | 4.9\% | 0.0435 |
| 7.1-8.0 | 14 | 1.9\% | 109.5 | 4.6\% | 1 | 0.7\% | 0.0091 |
| 8.1-9.0 | 6 | 0.8\% | 53.5 | 2.3\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0000 |
| 9.1-10.0 | 1 | 0.1\% | 10.0 | 0.4\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0000 |
| 10.1-11.0 | 4 | 0.5\% | 42.5 | 1.8\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0000 |
| 11.1-12.0 | 2 | 0.3\% | 24.0 | 1.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0000 |
| 12.1-13.0 | 2 | 0.3\% | 26.0 | 1.1\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0000 |
| 13.1-14.0 | 4 | 0.5\% | 56.0 | 2.4\% | 1 | 0.7\% | 0.0179 |

Appendix 18 f . Total angler effort, chinook adult harvest and HPUE by trip duration in the 1990 Vedder-Chilliwack River sport fishery.

| Angler day length (hour) | Complete trip interviews |  | Angler effort |  | Chinook adult harvest |  | Chinook |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | \% | Hours | \% | No. | \% | HPUE |
| 0-1.0 | 9 | 22.0\% | 8.0 | 7.2\% | 2 | 50.0\% | 0.2500 |
| 1.1-2.0 | 11 | 26.8\% | 19.0 | 17.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0000 |
| 2.1-3.0 | 10 | 24.4\% | 30.0 | 26.9\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0000 |
| 3.1-4.0 | 4 | 9.8\% | 15.5 | 13.9\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0000 |
| 4.1-5.0 | 4 | 9.8\% | 20.0 | 17.9\% | 2 | 50.0\% | 0.1000 |
| 5.1-6.0 | 2 | 4.9\% | 12.0 | 10.8\% | 0 | 0.0\% | - |
| 6.1-7.0 | 1 | 2.4\% | 7.0 | 6.3\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0000 |
| 7.1-8.0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | - |
| 8.1-9.0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | - |

Appendix 19. Variance estimation procedure for acess point surveys (adapted from DPA Group Inc. (MS 1985a)).

CATCH (C)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Var}(C)=\bar{C}^{2} E^{2}\left[\frac{\operatorname{Var}(E)}{E^{2}}+\frac{\operatorname{Var}(\bar{C})}{\bar{C}^{2}}+\frac{\operatorname{Var}(E) \operatorname{Var}(\bar{C})}{\bar{C}^{2} E^{2}}\right] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where: | $E=$ | estimate study period effort (hours); |
| ---: | :--- |
| $\operatorname{Var}(E)=$ | variance of the estimated study period effort (Equation |
|  | 2 ) |
| $\bar{C} \quad=$ | estimated study period catch per angler hour; |
| $\operatorname{Var}(\bar{C})=$ | variance of the estimated study period catch per angler hour |

EFFORT (E)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Var}(E)=N^{2}\left[\frac{\bar{y}_{j}^{*}}{\bar{p}_{f}^{\star}}\right]^{2}\left[\frac{\operatorname{Var}\left(\bar{y}_{j}^{\star}\right)}{\bar{y}_{f}^{*^{2}}}+\frac{\operatorname{Var}\left(\bar{p}_{j}^{*}\right)}{\bar{p}_{f}^{*^{2}}}-\frac{2 \operatorname{Cov}\left(\bar{y}_{j}^{*}, \bar{p}_{f}^{*}\right)}{\left(\bar{y}_{j}^{*}\right)\left(\bar{p}_{j}^{*}\right)}\right] \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$



CATCH PER UNIT EFFORT ( $\bar{c}$ )
Beacause $\bar{c}$ is a ratio of catch to time of interview ( $\hat{X}$ ) and time fished to time of interview ( $\hat{T}$ ), a Taylor series approximation to the variance of the ratio of random variables was used. Because we expected to interview a relatively large proportion of the anglers, especially at the access point sites, the greatest variance was expected to occur at the stint level; consequently, the following estimate embodies only that sampling stage.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Var}(\bar{C})=\left[\frac{\hat{X}}{\hat{T}}\right]^{2}\left[\frac{\operatorname{Var}(\hat{X})}{\hat{X}^{2}}+\frac{\operatorname{Var}(\hat{T})}{\hat{T}^{2}}-\frac{2 \operatorname{Cov}(\hat{X}, \hat{T})}{(\hat{X})(\hat{T})}\right] \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Cov}(\hat{X}, \hat{1})=\sum_{1} \sum_{1} N^{2}\left(1 / n_{i f}-1 / N\right) \sum_{f}\left[\frac{\left(\hat{X}_{11 f} \hat{\mathrm{r}}_{11 f}\right)-1 / n_{i f} \sum_{f} \hat{X}_{11 f} \sum_{i} \hat{\mathrm{r}}_{11 f}}{n_{i j}-1}\right] \\
& \operatorname{Var}(\hat{X})=\sum_{1} \sum_{1} N^{2}\left(1 / n_{i j}-1 / N\right) \sum_{f}\left[\frac{\left(\hat{X}_{11 f}-\left(1 / n_{i f} \sum_{f} \hat{X}_{11 f}\right)\right)^{2}}{n_{i j}-1}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$\operatorname{Var}(\hat{T})$ is analogous to above.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{X}_{11 f} \quad= & \text { estimated total catch for the } f^{\text {th }} \text { stint of the } 1^{\text {th }} \text { stint type } \\
& \text { at the } i^{\text {th }} \text { site; }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{T}_{11 f} \quad= & \text { estimated total angler hours for the } f^{\text {th }} \text { stint of the } 1^{\text {th }} \\
& \text { stint type at the } i^{\text {th }} \text { site; } \\
n_{1 y} \quad= & \text { number of interview sample days at site } i \text { on hour } j .
\end{aligned}
$$

MEAN INSTANTANEOUS ROD COUNT ( $\bar{y},{ }^{*}$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Var}\left(\bar{y}_{f}^{\star}\right)=\left(1 / n_{f}^{\star}-1 / N\right) \sum_{k}\left[\frac{\left(y_{f}^{\star} k-\bar{y}^{\star}\right)^{2}}{n_{f}^{\star}-1}\right] \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where: $\quad$| $\bar{n}_{j}^{*}$ | $=$ number of instantaneous rod counts at hour $j * ;$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $y_{j}^{*} k$ | $=$ instantaneous rod count (all sites) on day $k ;$ |

PROPORTION OF DAILY EFFORT AT TIME OF INSTANTANEOUS ROD COUNT ( $\overline{p_{j}}{ }^{\star}$ ).
Because $\bar{p}_{f}{ }^{*}$ is a ratio of $\hat{R}_{f}$ and $\Sigma R_{f}$ the following Taylor Series approximation to the variance of the ratio of random variables was used:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Var}\left(\bar{P}_{f}^{\star}\right)=\left[\frac{\hat{R}_{j}^{\star}}{\sum_{j} \hat{R}_{j}}\right]^{2}\left[\frac{\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{R}_{j}^{*}\right)}{\hat{R_{j}{ }^{2}}}+\frac{\operatorname{Var} \sum_{f} \hat{R}_{j}}{\sum_{j} \hat{R}_{f}^{2}}-\frac{2 \operatorname{Cov}\left(\hat{R_{f}}{ }^{*}, \sum_{f} \hat{R}_{f}\right)}{\left(\hat{R}_{j}^{*}\right)\left(\sum_{j} \hat{R}_{f}\right)}\right] \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Cov}\left(\hat{R}_{j}^{*}, \sum_{j} \hat{R}_{j}\right)=\sum_{i} N^{2}\left(1 / n_{i y^{*}}-1 / N\right) \sum_{k}\left[\frac{\left(r_{i j_{k}^{*}} \sum_{j} r_{i j k}\right)-\left(1 / n_{i j^{*}} \sum_{k} r_{i j^{*} k} \sum_{i j} \sum_{j} r_{i j k}\right)}{n_{i y^{*}}-1}\right] \\
\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{R}_{j}^{*}\right)=\sum_{i} N^{2}\left(1 / n_{i y^{*}}-1 / N\right) \sum_{k}\left[\frac{\left(r_{i j^{*} k}-\left(1 / n_{i y^{*}} \sum_{k} r_{y_{k}}\right)\right)^{2}}{n_{i y^{*}}-1}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

$\operatorname{Var} \sum_{j} \hat{R}_{j}$ is analagous to above.

| $N$ | $=$ number of days in stratum; |
| :---: | :---: |
| $n_{1 j}^{*}$ | $=$ number of interview sample days at site $i$; |
| $r_{19 k}$ | $=$ rod count at site $i$ at hour $j$ on day $k$; |
| $\boldsymbol{r}_{1 H^{*} \boldsymbol{k}}$ | $=$ rod count at site $i$ on day $k$ at the hour of the |
| $\hat{R}_{j}{ }^{*}$ | instantaneous effort count; <br> = estimated total effort (hours) during the instantaneous rod count time block; |
| $\sum_{j} \hat{R}_{f}$ | = estimated total effort over all hours and days at the sites surveyed. |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ ECL Envirowest Consultants Limited Suite 204 - 800 McBride Boulevard New Westminster, B.C. V3L 2B8

[^1]:    2. Except Jun 25 to Jul 5 was chinook adult nonretention.
    b. Opened and closed at noon.
    c. Daily open time was 6 a.m. to 9 p.m.
[^2]:    a. Angler day length is weighted by site; all other data are unweighted.
    b. Includes rainbow, whitefish or Dolly Varden.

[^3]:    a. Within 2 weeks of the interview; data are specific to the most recent trip.

