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Chinook salmon escapement estimates were derived for the Campbell / Quinsam River system for 1996 utilizing carcass tag and recovery methods as part of the chinook key stream program. The Petersen estimate of chinook escapement was 3,062 with $95 \%$ confidence limits of 2,824 to 3,431 fish. In both the Campbell River and Quinsam River, four year old females dominated, however, in the Quinsam Hatchery, five-year old females dominated. Four-year old males were dominant in the Campbell River and Quinsam Hatchery, but in the Quinsam River, three-year olds were the most prevalent male age group. Returns of coded-wire tagged chinook to the Campbell / Quinsam system totalled 202 in 1996. Escapement estimates are stratified by river, sex, and tag code. The hatchery contribution to the escapement was derived by expanding the actual number of coded-wire tag returns for each of the brood years and for each tag code. In 1996, the total hatchery contribution was $1,126(87.9 \%)$ for males and $717(83.1 \%)$ for females.

Key words : Campbell, Quinsam, chinook, key stream, escapement, coded-wire tags, live tagging, carcass tagging


#### Abstract

ix RESUME

Nagtegaal, D., and G.W.F. Graf. 1998. Abundance, age, size, sex, and coded-wire tag recoveries for chinook salmon escapements of Campbell and Quinsam Rivers, 1996. Can. Manuscr. Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 2448: 55 p.

Nous avons effectué des estimations des échappées de saumon quinnat en 1996 pour le système Campbell/Quinsam par des méthodes de marquage-récupération des carcasses dans le cadre du programme des cours d'eau clés pour le quinnat. Selon l'estimation Petersen, l'échappée de quinnat a été de 3062 , avec limites de confiance à $95 \%$ de 2824 à 3431 poissons. Dans la Campbell et la Quinsam, les femelles de quatre ans dominaient; à l'écloserie de la Quinsam, c'étaient les femelles de cinq ans qui dominaient. Chez les mâles, ceux de quatre ans dominaient dans la Campbell et à l'écloserie de la Quinsam, mais ceux de trois ans constituaient le principal groupe d'âge dans la Quinsam. On a compté 202 retours de quinnats portant des micromarques dans le système Campbell/Quinsam en 1996. Les estimations des échappées sont stratifiées par rivière, sexe et code de marque. La contribution de l'écloserie à l'échappée a été calculée par développement du nombre réel de retours des micromarques codées pour chaque année de ponte et pour chaque code de marque. En 1996, la contribution totale de l'écloserie était de $1126(87,9 \%)$ pour les mâles et de $717(83,1 \%)$ pour les femelles.


Mots clés : Campbell, Quinsam, quinnat, cours d'eau clé, échappée, micromarques codées, marquage des poissons vivants, marquage des carcasses.

## INTRODUCTION

The restoration of Pacific chinook salmon stocks to historical levels is one of the primary objectives of Fisheries and Oceans Canada long term management plans. Various "key streams" were chosen for study, including the Campbell and Quinsam River systems, in order to represent the overall status of chinook bearing streams along the British Columbia coast. These selected streams provide ongoing information to fisheries managers in response to artificial (hatchery), and natural production, and harvest management strategies. This "key stream" program began in 1984, in accordance with objectives set out in the Canada-U.S. Salmon Treaty.

The major objectives of the key stream program are:

1. to-accurately estimate chinook escapement on key streams.
2. to estimate harvest rates and contributions to fisheries and escapement based on coded-wire tagged returns, including estimates of the total escapement of coded-wire tags to the key streams system; and
3. to estimate the contribution of hatchery and natural production to the escapement.

Chinook escapements to the Campbell River have ranged from 750 to 8,000 since 1947 (Shardlow et al. 1986). The Quinsam Hatchery, built in 1972 approximately 3.7 kilometers up from the confluence with the Campbell River, enhances salmon and anadromous trout of the Quinsam and neighbouring streams. Before this facility was built chinook escapement to the Quinsam River was negligible. Chinook returns to the Quinsam River increased to 1,500 and 1,800 in 1985 and 1986, respectively. The returns further increased to 5,300 in 1988 and 5,412 in 1990. Total system escapement peaked in 1990 with an estimated 15,538 returning chinook (Frith et al. 1993). The following year it dropped to 3,200 . More recently, chinook escapement dropped to 2,982 in 1994 (Frith and Nelson 1995).

This manuscript report is number ten in the series describing the escapement monitoring and biological and physical sampling of chinook salmon in the Campbell and Quinsam River systems. Previous study results are presented in the following reports: Shardlow et al., 1986, and Andrew et al. 1988, for the 1984 and 1985 studies, respectively. The 1986-88 study results are presented in Bocking et al., 1990, and Bocking, 1991 covers 1989 and 1990. Frith et al. 1993; provides the results of the 1991 reporting year, and Frith, 1993, for 1992. Frith and Nelson, 1994, and Frith and Nelson, 1995, present results for 1993 and 1994, respectively.

The objectives of this document are to provide a chinook salmon escapement estimate to the Campbell / Quinsam River system based upon carcass tag and recovery using the Petersen method as well as returns of coded-wire tagged (CWT) adults. The escapement of coded-wire tagged adults is also used to estimate the Quinsam Hatchery contribution.

The 1996 chinook salmon escapement estimates were determined using the adjusted Petersen method (Ricker 1975). Escapement estimates were calculated for each river and sex using carcass tagging and recovery techniques. These estimates were then combined with the Quinsam Hatchery returns plus those adults counted above the hatchery fence to produce an estimate of escapement for the entire Campbell and Quinsam River system.

In the 1994 manuscript, Frith and Nelson discuss possible biases in the Petersen method, carcass tagging methodology, and stratification method. Frith and Nelson (1995) describe the assumptions necessary for the methods and tests for biases caused by violations of assumptions. This information has been repeated for the readers benefit. The methods section describes the snorkel surveys, the tag and recovery effort, biological and physical sampling, and calculations. The results section presents the swim survey observations, tag and recovery results, population estimates, age, length, and sex composition, and the results of the coded-wire tag returns.

For the purposes of this report, tagging means to attach a staple tag to the operculum of a deceased, spawned out chinook salmon. Marked fish refer to those returning adults lacking an adipose fin and presumably carrying a coded-wire tag applied during their juvenile stage prior to release from the hatchery.

## STUDY AREA

The study area, depicted in Figure 1, comprises the Campbell River and Quinsam River systems of central eastern Vancouver Island. Andrew et al. (1988), described the physical characteristics of the Quinsam / Campbell drainage basin. The Campbell River drains some 1,465 square kilometers of the eastern slopes of the central Vancouver Island Range. It runs in an easterly direction for approximately 9 km to the north side of the City of Campbell River where it flows into Discovery Passage. A waterfall and hydroelectric dam 5.5 km . from the mouth of the Campbell limits fish passage. The Quinsam River drains a watershed of $265 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{km}$. It is a major tributary and joins up with the Campbell River 3.5 km . from the estuary. The Quinsam runs for over 30 km through a series of small lakes to the south of the Campbell River watershed and is fed by numerous tributaries, including Cold Creek, Flintoff Creek, and the Iron River.

Water flow on the Quinsam has been regulated since 1956, as there is a diversion dam above Middle Quinsam Lake to provide extra water to the Campbell system for power generation. Flow rates on the lower Quinsam since this dam was built in 1973 ranged from 0.9 to $21.6 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$ with a mean of $9.2 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$ (Bell and Thompson, 1977). The flow control on the upper Quinsam can help maintain minimum flow rates during dry periods but during spring freshets some flooding still happens (Blackmun et al. 1985). Water flow in the Campbell River, controlled by the John Hart Generating Station, varies from $1.2 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$ to $826 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$ with a mean of $96 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$ (Marshall et al. 1977).

The Campbell and Quinsam Rivers are impacted in their upper watersheds by the logging and mining industries. Numerous gravel roads provide access to much of the watershed and there
is considerable recreational use within the Campbell / Quinsam system, although travelling into the upper watershed is more difficult. The lower river runs through residential areas of the City of Campbell River which has grown up around the river. Near the mouth, the Campbell River flows through an area of industrial and commercial enterprises including wood processing, machine shops, commercial and sport fishing activities, and floatplane facilities. In an effort to improve fish habitat, small islands have been constructed in the estuary (Levings et al.1986).

Five species of Pacific salmon are found within the Campbell / Quinsam river system. In order of abundance, these are pink (Oncoryhnchus gorbuscha), chinook ( $\underline{O}$. tshawytscha), chum ( $\underline{O} . \underline{k e t a}$ ), coho ( $\underline{O} . \underline{k i s u t c h}$ ), and sockeye ( $\underline{O}$. nerka). There are also Steelhead trout ( $\underline{O} . \underline{m y k i s s}$ ), and Cutthroat trout (O. clarki).

Chinook salmon have been observed spawning in the Campbell River above the Quinsam River confluence and in the Quinsam River from the confluence with the Campbell to above the hatchery counting fence (Andrew et al. 1988). Some chinook are let through the counting fence at the Quinsam Hatchery to spawn in the higher reaches of the lower Quinsam River. Coho salmon have been observed spawning in the Quinsam River as far as the 12 m waterfall approximately 27 km up from the confluence with the Campbell, but not in the Campbell River itself. Chum and Pink salmon spawn in the lower reaches of both the Campbell and Quinsam Rivers. Mature chinook begin entering the Campbell River in late August with the migration peaking in October. Spawning occurs over several weeks from the middle of October to mid November. Depending upon rainfall to a greater extent than in the Campbell, chinook enter the Quinsam a little later and spawn through November into early December.

## METHODS

## POPULATION ESTIMATION

The total estimate of the chinook escapement was produced by combining the Petersen estimates from the results of in situ chinook carcass tagging and recovery from the Quinsam and Campbell rivers with those fish counted at the Quinsam Hatchery and above the hatchery fence. An estimate of the hatchery contribution to the overall escapement was derived from the returns of coded-wire tagged adult chinook. A summary of methods used in the study is presented in Table 1.

## Population Stratification

In this study, carcass tagging and recovery data were stratified to keep the sexes and rivers segregated. This separation of the data was done to minimize the effects of potential biases produced by factors which affect the strata at different rates. These totals are summed to produce an estimate of the entire escapement population.

## Potential Biases

Within a sampling category or stratum, Petersen estimates employing carcass tagging are dependent upon a number of assumptions. Population estimates may be biased in either direction by varying amounts depending on the type and extent of violation of these assumptions. Since 1988, Andrew et al., and all of the subsequent studies of this series have presented and discussed seven of these assumptions. These are provided again for the readers benefit. Tests used to determine whether or not these assumptions were violated are presented and discussed with respect to sex and river stratification of the Petersen estimate. Certain biases caused by tag and recovery methods, age determination, etc. are discussed in subsequent sections.

Assumption 1. Tags are consistently applied in proportion to the available population and the distribution of recovery effort is proportional to the available population present in different river reaches and tagged fish and untagged fish become randomly mixed together.

To obtain an accurate Petersen estimate, it is important that the rate of tag application and recovery is done in proportion to the available population. In 1996, chinook carcasses were staple tagged in situ at the time of examination. Hatchery staff endeavoured to tag a consistent proportion of the number of carcasses examined during each survey. The average tag rate over the study period was approximately $65 \%$ for both rivers. On different days this rate was subject to change depending upon the availability of carcasses.

In determining separate escapement estimates for each river, the problem of tagged carcasses "straying" between rivers is introduced. Unlike live fish, tagged carcasses are subject to passive movement due to water flow. This is particularly important for tagged Quinsam River chinook carcasses drifting down into the Campbell River. Carcasses do not drift from the Campbell into the Quinsam, however, there may be initial confusion as to the origin of carcasses recovered at the confluence of the Campbell and Quinsam where back eddies may cause carcasses to drift into the mouth of the Quinsam. Examination of operculum punches would then identify the origin of the fish. In 1996, there were no tagged strays found in either of the rivers.

Assumption 2. After the conclusion of tagging, there is a negligible number of new spawners entering the study area.

Additional spawned out carcasses entering the study area may cause the Petersen calculations to overestimate or underestimate the actual population depending on how they mixed
with tagged fish. In 1996, carcass tagging was carried out in situ every 1-4 days for the duration of the spawning and die-off period.

Assumption 3. There is no tag loss.
A high frequency of lost tags will cause the Petersen calculations to overestimate the true population. The monitoring of tag loss was done with the use of a secondary mark; an operculum hole punch applied to all tagged carcasses. Two operculum holes identified the fish as originating in the Campbell River and one hole meant the fish were tagged in the Quinsam River. The retrieval of any secondary marks was included in the tag recovery data and Petersen estimates.

Assumption 4. All tags are recognized and recorded during recovery after tagging is completed.

In 1996, dead pitches were not duplicated, therefore, it is not possible to investigate the rate of missed tags and secondary marks and evaluate the validity of this assumption.

Assumption 5. Recovery efforts are directed to the same population that was tagged.
If recovery is undertaken on a population other than that which was tagged, then the Petersen estimates will be greater than the true population. Similar populations will have similar age and length frequency distributions. Likewise, dissimilar age and length frequencies between tagged and recovered fish would be an indication that the recovered population is different from the one tagged. Because tagging and recovery took place concurrently, the recovery effort was likely directed at the same population that was tagged.

Assumption 6. The number of fish sampled is large enough to provide an accurate and precise estimate of the population.

The population estimate will have greater reliability and precision if a high proportion of tagged fish are recovered. Discounting other sources of error, approximately 25 to 75 recoveries will produce population estimates with $25 \%$ accuracy, with $95 \%$ confidence, for populations of $10^{2}$ and $10^{9}$ (Ricker 1975).

Assumption 7. Tagged chinook carcasses are representative of the population and behave in a similar manner as untagged carcasses with respect to buoyancy, visibility, and decomposition.

Tagged chinook carcasses must mix completely (refer to Assumption 1) with untagged carcasses in order to be representative of the population. If not, then the estimate of population may be too high or too low. The thoroughness of the mixing depends on where and how tagged carcasses are put back into the river, and whether tagged and untagged carcasses behave similarly. It is not possible to statistically test the assumption of mixing with the data from this study. The process of removing carcasses from the river and handling them may cause differences in
buoyancy and decomposition with untagged carcasses through air entrapment and/or release. Recovery of carcasses could be biased by the increased visibility of tags resulting in an underestimation of the population. The use of neutral coloured tags decreases their visibility thereby reducing preferential recovery sampling. It is not possible to test the assumption of similar visibility between tagged and untagged with the data from this study. The assumption of similar buoyancy and decomposition of tagged and untagged chinook carcasses could be tested by comparing the tag recovery rate during dead recovery with the recovery rate at carcass weirs if such data were available.

## Calculations

The adjusted Petersen population estimate for each river and sex was calculated using Chapman's formula. from Ricker 1975, p. 78.

$$
\begin{equation*}
P E_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{r}}=\frac{\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{r}}+1\right)\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{r}}+1\right)}{\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{r}}+1\right)} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where PE is the population estimate, C is the number of fish tagged, M is the total number of fish recoveries, and R is equal to the number of tagged fish recoveries.
The subscripts s and r denote the sex and river stratums, respectively.
The total in-river population was calculated by summing the total estimates of both sexes in each river:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{P T}=\mathrm{CR}_{\mathrm{m}}+\mathrm{CR}_{\mathrm{f}}+\mathrm{QR}_{\mathrm{m}}+\mathrm{QR}_{\mathrm{f}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where PT is the total in-river population estimate. $\mathrm{CR}_{\mathrm{m}}$ and $\mathrm{CR}_{\mathrm{f}}$ denote the population estimates for Campbell River males and females, respectively. The symbols $\mathrm{QR}_{m}$ and $\mathrm{QR}_{\mathrm{f}}$ represent the respective population estimates for Quinsam River males and females.

Confidence limits ( $95 \%$ ) for the population estimates were determined using fiducial limits for the Poisson distribution as described by Ricker (1975). The confidence limits assign lower and upper population estimates for each river, sex stratified.

## Strays

Those chinook carcasses staple tagged in one river and recovered in the other are treated as strays for the purpose of the population estimate. In the event of any strays being found, the estimated total number of strays would be calculated by multiplying the number of tagged strays recovered by the ratio of marked to recovered carcasses.

For carcasses recovered in the Campbell River which were originally tagged in the Quinsam River, the calculation would appear as follows:

$$
\mathrm{ETS}_{\mathrm{Q} \text { юC }}=\mathrm{RTS}_{\mathrm{Q} \text { to }} \quad x \quad\left(\mathrm{M}_{\text {Campbell }} / \mathrm{R}_{\text {Campbell }}\right)
$$

(3)
where ETS is the estimated number of tagged strays from the Quinsam River to the Campbell River, RTS is the number of recovered tagged strays, $M$ and $R$ refer to the number of marked and recovered adult chinook from the Campbell River.

In 1996, no stray carcasses were recovered from the Quinsam River or the Campbell River. It is highly improbable that stray carcasses from the Campbell River end up in the Quinsam since the Quinsam flows into the Campbell and the confluence is above tidal influence. In the event of straying, the following equation is necessary to estimate the number of tagged fish available for recapture:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{AM}_{\text {Campbell }}=\mathrm{M}_{\text {Campbell }}+\mathrm{ETS}_{\text {Quinsam to Campbell }} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A M_{\text {Campbell }}$ equals the adjusted number of tagged chinook available for recapture in the Campbell River. M Campbell is the number of secondary marks applied, and ETS ${ }_{\text {Quinsam to Campbell }}$ is the estimated number of tagged strays from Quinsam River to Campbell River.

In the unlikely event of tagged carcasses from the Campbell straying into the Quinsam, the equation is the same but the river subscripts are switched:

$$
\mathrm{AM}_{\text {Quinsam }}=\mathrm{M}_{\text {Quinsam }}+\mathrm{ETS}_{\text {Campbell to Quinsam }}
$$

## (5)

The above results provide equation $1 ;\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{s},}\right)$, with the adjusted estimates of tagged chinook available for recapture.

## SWIM SURVEYS

Snorkel surveys are done on the Campbell River beginning in early August and continuing approximately once per week until late October. These were qualitative surveys designed to provide a sense of the strength and timing of the run and the position of fish in the river in relation to previous years observations. For the purposes of organizing swim survey data, the Campbell River was divided into eight sections beginning at the canyon pool and ending at the estuary. The following text and Figure 2 describe the swim survey sections of the Campbell River.

Campbell River Section :

1. Begins in the canyon pool and ends at the top of the upper island.
2. The top of the upper island to a point midway between the upper and lower island.
3. Midway between the two islands to a point just upstream of the pumphouse
4. From the pumphouse to the confluence of the Quinsam and Campbell Rivers.
5. From the Campbell/Quinsam confluence to the logging bridge.
6. The logging bridge to the highway bridge.
7. From the highway bridge to the northward sweeping curve of the river
8. Includes the sweeping curve of the river to a point at the top of the estuary.

Swim surveys are not done on the Quinsam River in August due to its small size and low water levels, and lack of fish holding during the summer. The deepest pools of the Quinsam are occasionally surveyed with Scuba gear in the fall to obtain a count of any mature chinook salmon holding in these areas.

## TAGGING

Carcass tagging was carried out by Quinsam Hatchery staff on both the Quinsam and Campbell Rivers concurrently with carcass recovery. Adult chinook carcasses were collected at various locations and Ketchum ear tags ${ }^{2}$ were attached to the operculum of carcasses plus given a secondary identifier (operculum hole punch) to distinguish the origin of release as being either the Campbell or Quinsam River. Fish tagged on the Campbell River were given two hole punches through their left operculum and those tagged on the Quinsam were given a single hole punch through their left operculum. The carcasses were then released back into the river. The tagged fish were placed back in the same area they were collected. Most carcasses were in good enough condition to be tagged, but some were too deteriorated or damaged to be used in the study.

## RECOVERY

Carcass recovery was undertaken the day after tagging and release. Crews were comprised of between two to four people. Recovery crews searched for chinook carcasses by walking both banks and wading in the shallows where possible, and from a boat. To search the deeper pools in the lower reaches of the Campbell and Quinsam, SCUBA was used. Chinook were also recovered at the Quinsam Hatchery rack.

Recovery crews examined and dead pitched all carcasses found, recorded all primary and secondary marks, and kept all operculum tags. Heads were removed from adipose-clipped fish for sampling of coded-wire tags. Data collected from the carcasses is described in biological and physical sampling section. Carcasses tagged during the recovery effort were returned to the same location as they were tagged. Recaptured tagged carcasses were cut in half to prevent counting them again in subsequent dead pitches. Table 2 shows the amount of effort spent in each river for chinook salmon carcass tag and recovery, as well as the tag and recovery rates.

[^1]To ensure sufficient mixing between tagged and untagged carcasses, a period of 24 hours elapsed between tagging and recovery. Thus, for the Petersen mark-recapture estimates, only those carcasses recovered after the first day of tagging were included in the values of $C$ (numbers marked) and R (numbers recovered).

An estimate of the proportion of the population that was tagged is provided by the tag rate. The tag rate calculation is as follows:

$$
\text { tag rate }=\mathrm{R} / \mathrm{C}
$$

An estimate of the proportion of tagged fish later recaptured is given by the tag recovery rate. The tag recovery rate calculation is :

$$
\text { tag recovery rate }=\mathrm{R} / \mathrm{M}
$$

## BIOLOGICAL AND PHYSICAL SAMPLING

A portion of the fish counted were sampled when tagged. Sex, presence of secondary marks, and postorbital-hypural lengths were recorded. Postorbital-hypural lengths were recorded for $68 \%$ of the carcasses (marked and unmarked fish) recovered in the Campbell River, $69 \%$ of the carcasses recovered in the Quinsam River, and $60 \%$ of the chinook recovered alive at the hatchery rack.

Scale samples were taken from the same unmarked fish as the length samples. For accurate ageing, the scales could not be regenerated and the previous annulus had to be at least partially intact. A minimum of two scales from which both aquatic and marine ages could be read were necessary before the scale age was recorded. Scales were rejected at the ageing lab if they were mounted upside down, if they were resorbed, or if they had regenerate centers. In this report scale ages are presented using the method originally described by Gilbert and Rich (1927). The scale ages consist of two numbers, the first numeral represents the total age of the fish and the second the age of the fish when it migrated into the marine environment. Fish too deteriorated for sampling were counted, their condition noted, and then released along with the tagged carcasses to the same location they were collected.

The population of each age class was determined by allocating portions of the Petersen estimate to the age classes according to the age composition determined from scale samples and CWT decoding. The number of jacks was too small to produce a population estimate, thus, the escapement, by age class, was determined for adult males and females only.

Sex ratios for both rivers were determined using the Petersen estimates. Testing for potential differences in tag loss is described in the tagging methods section. Tag recognition is not likely to be sex biased, although it was not possible to test this potential bias with data from this study.

## CODED-WIRE TAGGING AND RECOVERY

The Quinsam River Hatchery coded-wire tagged (Jefferts et al. 1963) a portion of the juvenile chinook released during the 1990-1995 brood years. Those fish found lacking their adipose fin were scale sampled, their post-orbital hypural length and sex were recorded and the head was removed for CWT extraction. The hatchery contribution was derived from the actual CWT returns present in the escapement using the methods described for the Mark Recovery Program (Kuhn 1988). CWT returns to the Campbell / Quinsam River system in 1996 are listed in Table 17.

The estimation of the total number of CWT returns from each of the brood years, and for each tag code was done by adjusting the observed number of CWT recoveries to account for adipose clipped recoveries lacking a CWT.

The following formula was used:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{ADJ}_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{tc}}=\mathrm{OBS}_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{cc}} \mathrm{x}\left(1+\frac{\mathrm{LP}}{\mathrm{~K}}+\frac{\mathrm{ND} \times(\mathrm{K}+\mathrm{LP})}{\mathrm{K} \times(\mathrm{K}+\mathrm{LP}+\mathrm{NP})}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ADJ is the adjusted number of observed CWT fish, OBS is the observed number of CWT fish, $K$ is the sum of all successfully decoded tags for all tag codes recovered. LP is the number of lost pin recoveries. ND is the number of no data recoveries, NP is the number of no pin recoveries, and the subscripts $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{r}$, and tc denote the sex, river, and tag code.

The adjusted number of CWT recoveries was then used to estimate the total number of CWT returns for each tag code:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{EST}_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{tc}}=\frac{\mathrm{ADJ}_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{tc}} \mathrm{X}}{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{r}}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{r}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where EST is the estimated number of CWT recoveries for a single tag code, C is the number of fish examined, $\mathbf{P}$ is the population estimate, and the subscripts $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{r}$, and tc denote the sex, river, and tag code. This method depends upon the assumption that there is no tag loss after release from the hatchery. Violation of this assumption will cause the hatchery contribution estimate to be lower than the actual contribution. Most tag loss takes place within 4 weeks of tagging, $90 \%$ according to Blenkenship 1990. Bocking (1991) discusses other potential sources of bias associated with this method.

The hatchery contribution to each year's escapement, stratified by river and sex, was derived by expanding the estimated number of CWT fish of each tag code group in proportion to the percentage of juvenile fish having a CWT at the time of release.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{EHC}_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{rtc}}=\mathrm{EST}_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{tc}} \frac{\mathrm{x}\left(\mathrm{RM}_{\mathrm{tc}}+\mathrm{RUM}_{\mathrm{tc}}\right)}{\mathrm{RM}_{\mathrm{tc}}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where EHC is the estimated hatchery contribution, RM is the number of chinook released with CWT's for each tag code group (tc), and RUM is the number of chinook released without CWT's for each tag code group (tc).

These estimates of the hatchery contributions by tag code were then summed to give the hatchery contribution of all tag codes to the entire escapement, stratified by river, sex, and brood year. The percent hatchery contributions by sex and age were then calculated using the Petersen population estimates for adult male and female chinook salmon.

## RESULTS

## SWIM SURVEYS

In 1996, water conditions in the Campbell River were favourable for observing and counting adult chinook. Clear water conditions meant less diver time was necessary, however, safety requirements necessitating a backup-diver increased the costs of this activity. Through flow control, water levels in the Campbell River were reduced during the summer of 1996, in an effort to better reflect the natural low water period during this season. Figure 2 shows the sections of the Campbell River swam.

Section six had the most number of adults observed. Section seven had very few holding fish and in section eight, none were observed. The number of adult chinook observed in each section in 1996 and annually since 1986, are provided in Figure 3. Chinook escapement estimates, from 1985 to 1996, based upon swim surveys and in situ carcass tagging and recovery methods, are shown in Figure 4. Swim surveys were not done on the Quinsam River in 1996.

## TAGGING

In 1996, from October 3 to November 22, a total of 185 adult chinook carcasses were collected from the Campbell River for examination and tagging. Of these, 121 ( $65.4 \%$ ) were operculum tagged, hole punched, and returned to the Campbell River. Similarly, on the Quinsam River, 149 chinook were examined and 99 carcasses ( $66.4 \%$ ) were tagged and released back into the Quinsam River from October 24 to November 28 (Table 5 and Appendices 1 and 2).

## RECOVERY

Chinook carcasses were recovered from the Campbell River beginning on October 25 and ending November 22, and in the Quinsam River from October 30 to November 28. Conditions for carcass recovery on the Campbell were excellent in 1996 with low to medium flows and clear water conditions predominating. A total of 305 person hours were spent in recovery efforts on the Campbell River. Total SCUBA time on the Campbell River deadpitch was 10.2 hours over five days. The Quinsam River had turbid water conditions until November 11. A total of 198 person hours were spent on the Quinsam recovery effort. Overall recovery time was increased over 1995 by five days. This effort resulted in a 54 \% recovery rate on the Campbell River and a $30 \%$ recovery rate on the Quinsam. The clarity of the Campbell River below the Quinsam confluence was frequently compromised by silt laden water entering from the Quinsam. A summary of carcass recovery from each area is provided in Table 5 and Appendices 3 and 4.

Of the 185 chinook carcasses examined from the Campbell River, 86 were adult males ( 46.5 $\%$ ), 98 were female ( $53 \%$ ) and 2 were jacks ( $1.1 \%$ ). The total number that were staple tagged was 121 of which 53 were male (no jacks) and 68 female. There were 66 tag recoveries from this group ( $54 \%$ ) of which 29 were male and 37 female. Tags from a single male and 2 females were lost. Appendices 5 and 6 present mark-recovery data, sex stratified for both river systems.

From the Quinsam River, a total of 149 chinook carcasses were examined, of which 89 were male (including 4 jacks), and 60 were female. Staple tags were attached to 99 of the carcasses comprising 57 males (no jacks) and 42 females. A total of 31 were recovered ( $31 \%$ ) with 17 being male and 13 being female. The rate of tag loss was very low since it was limited to a single female. The difference in tag recovery rates between the Campbell and Quinsam Rivers was $23 \%$. This was determined to be a significant difference ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05, \mathrm{X}^{2} ; \mathrm{Zar} 1996$ ). In the Campbell system, the tag recovery rate for males was $55 \%$ and for females, $54 \%$. In the Quinsam system, the tag recovery rate for males was $30 \%$ and for females, $33 \%$. Neither of these differences were significant ( $\mathrm{P}>0.05, \mathrm{X}^{2}$ ).

## POPULATION ESTIMATES

Escapement estimates derived from the Petersen method of carcass tag and recovery are given in Table 6. The estimates are stratified by river and sex and include $95 \%$ confidence limits. In 1996, chinook salmon escapement to the Campbell River was estimated at 157 males and 183 females. Escapement to the Quinsam River below the hatchery was estimated at 285 males and 180 females.

The total 1996 chinook salmon escapement to the Campbell / Quinsam River systems including hatchery rack recoveries, was estimated at 3062 adults with $95 \%$ confidence limits of 2824 to 3431 fish.

In 1996, the relative percentage of fish between the Campbell River, Quinsam River, and Quinsam Hatchery sampling locations was $29.8 \%, 41.4 \%$, and $28.8 \%$, respectively. Previous proportions were $15.8 \%, 20.9 \%$, and $63.3 \%$ in 1994 (Frith and Nelson 1995), and $17.9 \%, 42.2 \%$, and $39.9 \%$ in 1989-91 (Bocking 1991). The Campbell River return was higher this year than in the previous eight years. The return to the Quinsam River was greater than the previous four years. The increasing number of hatchery returns shows a continued increase in the proportion of hatchery chinook. The total number of returns in 1996 is not significantly different from returns of the previous three years. The 1996 returns remain significantly less than the returns of 1988-90.

## AGE, LENGTH, AND SEX COMPOSITION

All scale-aged fish left the river during their first year of life. The total age of all Campbell and Quinsam River chinook ranged from one to six years. The dominant age group in Campbell River for both males and females was four years. In the Quinsam River, the dominant age was four years for females and three years for males. In Quinsam Hatchery, four year old males and five year old females dominated. The order of dominant age groups was four years, followed by five's and three's. The dominant age group of four years in the Campbell River represented between 45 and $50 \%$ of males and females (Tables 7-9).

The mean lengths between the sexes and systems (postorbital-hypural) were as follows: Campbell River: male $=773 \mathrm{~mm}$., female $=814 \mathrm{~mm}$.; Quinsam River: male $=623 \mathrm{~mm}$.(including jacks), female $=780 \mathrm{~mm}$ (Tables 10-12, Figs. 5-7). T-tests were done to compare mean lengths between sexes and rivers. It was found that male chinook carcasses were significantly smaller than female carcasses in Campbell River ( $\mathbf{P}<0.05$ ), Quinsam River ( $\mathbf{P}<0.001$ ), and Quinsam Hatchery ( $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ ). There was no significant difference in mean length between Quinsam River female chinook carcasses and Campbell River males ( $\mathrm{P}>0.1$ ). There was no significant difference between the mean length of unaged and aged (all ages) chinook for any combination of sex and river stratum ( t -test, $\mathrm{P}>0.05$ ).

In 1996, the overall male/female sex ratio was 0.79 for Campbell River. The male/female sex ratio was 0.73 for the dominant age group of four-year olds in the Campbell River. The male/female sex ratio was 1.41 for the Quinsam River, and 1.43 for the Quinsam River Hatchery.

## CODED-WIRE TAGGING AND RECOVERY

Adipose-clipped (CWT) juvenile chinook released into the Campbell and Quinsam rivers from the 1990 to 1995 brood years were captured as adults in the carcass recovery programs in 1996 (Table 17). There were five CWT recoveries from the Quinsam River, one of which was a jack from the 1994 brood. The Quinsam Hatchery recovered 187 CWT mature chinook, including ten jacks; six from the 1994 brood, three from 1995, and a stray jack from a Washington State hatchery. There were ten CWT recoveries from the Campbell River, none of which were jacks, in 1996. The highest rate of return of CWT fish was found at the Quinsam Hatchery at $8.3 \%$, followed by the Campbell River at $2.9 \%$, and the lowest CWT return rate was to the Quinsam River at 1.1 \% (Table 17).

The mark-recovery data for the Petersen estimates of both rivers can be found in Appendices 5 and 6. The observed and adjusted number of CWT chinook salmon returns to the Campbell River, Quinsam River, and Quinsam hatchery by tag code is provided in Table 17. The estimates of the total escapement of CWT chinook salmon, and hatchery-reared CWT fish are shown in Tables 18 and 19.

## HATCHERY CONTRIBUTION

In 1996, the contribution to the chinook salmon escapement by the Quinsam River Hatchery was determined using the number of actual CWT's present in the escapement. The 1996 hatchery contribution to the Campbell River chinook population was estimated to be $29.3 \%$ for males and $83.6 \%$ for females. The contribution to the in-river Quinsam chinook escapement was estimated at $42.8 \%$ for males and $19.4 \%$ for females. The contribution to hatchery returns was $87.9 \%$ for males and $83.1 \%$ for females. The estimated stray contribution was $0 \%$ of the escapement estimates for the Campbell River, and the Quinsam River, and hatchery. The hatchery contribution to the total escapement of chinook, in 1996, stratified by age and sex, is presented in Table 20. A single jack stray from Washington state was found in the returns to the Quinsam hatchery.

## DISCUSSION

## POPULATION ESTIMATION

During swim surveys, adult chinook were observed holding in all sections of the Campbell River except for section eight, in which no chinook were observed (Fig. 1). Section seven also had very few fish. This was in contrast to previous years observations showing sections six, seven and eight holding the majority of adults. In 1996, as in previous years, most fish were observed in section six. Considerably more adult chinook were observed in sections two to five than the
preceding 12 year mean. This may be as a result of the lower water levels which in the past were artificially high during the summer. The water level may have had an influence on visibility and thus, on the number of adults observed. The numbers are not used in the escapement estimate, however, the sum total number of mature chinook observed during the month of October is often close to the derived estimate.

The populations were stratified for each river by sex. This avoids a known source of error. Petersen estimates for each river location and sex were calculated separately, to reduce the amount of error. The number of chinook returning to the Quinsam Hatchery in 1996 and in recent years was much greater than returns to the Quinsam or Campbell rivers. A greater number of females than males were recovered in the dead pitch effort for the Campbell River, whereas the number of males was greater than females in the Quinsam River and hatchery. In 1996, females predominated in the Campbell River, which has been observed since 1986.

## AGE, LENGTH, AND SEX COMPOSITION

In 1996, female chinook escapements to the Campbell and Quinsam Rivers were mostly four and five years old. Male chinook escapements were mostly age three, four, then five. Bocking 1991; Frith, Nass, and Nelson 1993; Frith and Nelson 1994 and 1995, have observed similar age structure. The mean length of chinook in the three river locations continues to remain similar to returns of the past several years (Bocking 1991; Frith et al. 1993; Frith and Nelson 1995). The male/female sex ratio was 1.41 in the Quinsam River, 0.79 in the Campbell and 1.43 at the Quinsam Hatchery. In 1994, the male/female sex ratio was 1.33 for the Quinsam Hatchery, 1.1 for the Campbell, and 0.82 in the Quinsam (Frith and Nelson 1994). There does not appear to be a consistent pattern of sex ratios between each river location.

## CODED-WIRE TAGGING AND RECOVERY

There were six recoveries in Quinsam Hatchery and one recovery in Quinsam River of adipose-clipped chinook jacks (1994 brood). There were also three recoveries in Quinsam Hatchery of 1995 brood adipose-clipped jacks. There was a single recovery of an adipose-clipped jack stray from a Washington state hatchery. In 1996, the rate of recovery was $1.1 \%$ to $8.3 \%$.

In this study, the actual number of CWT's present in the escapement was used to estimate the total hatchery contribution. Hatchery contributions ranged from $19.4 \%$ to $87.9 \%$. Similar ranges of hatchery contributions have been seen in the past; $24.2 \%$ to $93.3 \%$ in 1994 (Frith and Nelson 1995).

In the estimation of the escapement of CWTs, the attempt to consider as many potential sources of bias as possible was made, but the following factors were not included:

1) Low numbers of recoveries of decoded CWTs may reduce the precision of the estimates; and
2) The samples for the CWT decoding may not have been selected at random from the population, thus, may be biased.

In 1994, hatchery contributions to the male and female returns to the Quinsam hatchery and of Campbell River females, were high and similar ( $83 \%$ male, $88 \%$ female). There were greater differences in the hatchery contributions between the Quinsam River males, females, and Campbell River males. The range of these contributions was $42.8 \%, 19.4 \%$, and $29.3 \%$, respectively. The higher proportion of hatchery reared fish returning to the hatchery is similar to previous years observations (Bocking et al. 1990, Frith et al. 1993, Frith et al. 1995).

## SUMMARY

1. The 1996 total escapement estimate of chinook salmon to the Campbell / Quinsam River system using carcass tag and recovery and hatchery returns was 3,062 , with $95 \%$ confidence limits of 2,824 to 3,431 adults. These estimates were stratified by river and sex.
2. The range of age of chinook returns to the Campbell River, Quinsam River, and the Quinsam Hatchery was from one to six years. All fish entered salt water in their first year of life. In the Campbell River, four-year old males and females dominated. In the Quinsam River, four-year old females and three-year old males dominated. Returns to the Quinsam Hatchery were dominated by five-year old females and four-year old males. In descending order, the dominant age groups were four, five, and three.
3. Based on the Petersen estimates and Quinsam Hatchery rack recoveries, female chinook salmon were more abundant than males in the Campbell River population, and less abundant in the Quinsam River population. In the returns to the Quinsam Hatchery females were more abundant than males.
4. The mean length of chinook salmon was greatest in the Campbell River and smallest in the returns to the Quinsam Hatchery. Females tended to be significantly larger than males.
5. The total number of actual CWT returns present in the escapement to the Campbell / Quinsam system was 202. The total estimated return of CWT chinook to the Campbell / Quinsam River system was 2,198 in 1996.
6. The total hatchery contribution to the chinook escapement, based on CWT returns was estimated at $1,843(83.8 \%)$ in 1996.
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Table 1. Summary of methods and materials for the 1996 chinook salmon enumeration of the Campbell and Quinsam Rivers.

| Category | Methods and materials |
| :---: | :---: |
| Primary tagging of expired chinook adults | Operculum tags (cattle ear tag No. 3) applied in situ to dead chinook gathered from the rivers ${ }^{3}$ |
| Secondary tagging | One or two holes were punched through the left operculum to distinguish recovered adults between each river of origin; two holes for the Campbell and one hole for the Quinsam |
| Biophysical sampling | Scale samples were taken and the Post-hypural orbital lengths and sex recorded to determine sex stratified population estimates for each river |
| Coded-wire tags (CWT) | At the hatchery and during tag and recovery efforts, CWT adults were sampled and their heads were removed for tag analysis |
| Recovery of chinook carcasses | Done by walking the rivers edge, by boat, SCUBA, and at the hatchery rack |
| Population estimate | Petersen estimate stratified by sex for each river combined with the hatchery numbers and those fish spawning above the hatchery fence |

[^2]Table 2. Summary of the effort and the tag and recovery rates of chinook salmon carcasses on the Campbell and Quinsam Rivers in 1996.

| Campbell R. | Tag Rate |  |  | Overall Tag rate | Recovery Rate |  |  | Overall Rec. rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Males | Females | Jacks |  | Males | Females | Jacks |  |
| Quinsam R. | $53 / 121$ | $68 / 121$ | $0 / 121$ | 121/185 | $29 / 66$ | $37 / 66$ | 0/66 | 66 / 121 |
|  | $43.8 \%$ | $56.2 \%$ | $0 \%$ | 65.4\% | $46.5 \%$ | $53.0 \%$ | 0\% | 54\% |
|  | $57 / 99$ | 42/99 | $0 / 99$ | 99 / 149 | 17/99 | 13/99 | 0/99 | $31 / 99$ |
|  | 57.6\% | 42.4\% | 0\% | 66.4\% | 17\% | 13\% | 0\% | 31.3\% |


| Campbell R. | SCUBA <br> effort | Total <br> Recovery effort <br> (hours ) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Nov.01 96 | 90 min. |
| Nov.05 96 | 50 min |  |
| Nov.0896 | 100 min |  |
| Nov. 1296 | 110 min |  |
| Nov. 1596 | 105 min |  |
| Nov. 1996 | 83 min |  |
| Nov.2296 | 75 min |  |
| Total | 10.2 hrs. |  |

Table 3. Summary of the 1996 swim surveys of the Campbell River.

| Date | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Flow } \\ \text { (cfs) } \end{array}$ | Visibility | Weather | River Section ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |
| Aug. 08 | 1250 | excel | clear | 0 | 5 | 12 | 6 | 5 | 30 | 6 | 0 | 64 |
| Aug. 21 | 1000 | fair | partly clr |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 50 |
| Aug. 29 | 900 | good | clear | 0 | 30 | 20 | 5 | 0 | 400 | 20 | 0 | 475 |
| Sept. 06 | 900 | good | rain | 0 | 100 | 50 | 30 | 200 | 700 | 20 | 0 | 1100 |
| Sept. 12 | 900 | excel | clear |  | 100 | 120 | 250 | 200 | 750 | 10 | 0 | 1430 |
| Sept. 19 | 1000 | excel | clear | 50 | 80 | 70 | 120 | 130 | 400 | 20 | 0 | 870 |
| Oct. 03 | 4000 | excel | rain | 20 | 200 | 125 | 100 | 100 | 500 | 50 | 0 | 1095 |
| Oct. 07 |  | good |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| Oct. 11 | 4100 | excel | clear | 0 | 125 | 30 | 20 | 300 | 300 | 50 |  | 825 |
| Oct. 24 |  | poor | rain | 0 | 40 | 30 | 400 | 150 | 450 | 0 | 0 | 1070 |
| Total |  |  |  | 70 | 680 | 460 | 931 | 1085 | 3580 | 176 | 0 | 6982 |

[^3]Table 4. Total numbers of adult chinook salmon observed in each section during swim surveys of the Campbell River from 1985 to 1996 and the 12 year mean.

| Year | River Sections ${ }^{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |
| 1985 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 70 | 580 | 650 | 250 | 0 | 1563 |
| 1986 | 10 | 140 | 130 | 150 | 100 | 700 | 600 | 150 | 1980 |
| 1987 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 100 | 520 | 1000 | 500 | 2140 |
| 1988 | 0 | 0 | 500 | 360 | 305 | 1400 | 175 | 10 | 2750 |
| 1989 | 0 | 5 | 20 | 200 | 400 | 6000 | 3000 | 1000 | 10625 |
| 1990 | 0 | 80 | 20 | 70 | 400 | 2000 | 1300 | 800 | 4670 |
| 1991 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 250 | 3000 | 1000 | 1500 | 5750 |
| 1992 | 200 | 100 | 200 | 40 | 10 | 1200 | 700 | 500 | 2950 |
| 1993 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 200 | 10 | 600 | 300 | 1500 | 2660 |
| 1994 | 0 | 200 | 120 | 150 | 700 | 600 | 10 | 0 | 1780 |
| 1995 | 0 | 120 | 55 | 150 | 225 | 1050 | 250 | 0 | 1850 |
| 1996 | 20 | 200 | 125 | 100 | 100 | 500 | 50 | 0 | 1095 |
| 12 year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean: | 19 | 70 | 102 | 125 | 265 | 1518 | 719 | 496 | 3317 |

[^4]Table 5. Summary of the 1996 Campbell and Quinsam rivers' in situ chinook carcass tag and recovery program.

| Campbell River | Males | Females | Jacks | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total carcasses counted | 86 | 98 | 2 | 186 |
| Carcasses examined | 58 | 75 | 0 | 133 |
| Carcasses opercular tagged and hole punched | 53 | 69 | 0 | 122 |
| Tag rate | 61.6\% | 70.4\% | 0 | 65.6\% |
| Primary tag carcass recoveries | 28 | 35 | 0 | 63 |
| Secondary mark recoveries ( tags lost) | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 |
| Total recoveries | 29 | 37 | 0 | 66 |
| Percent recovery | 55\% | 54\% | n/a | 54\% |
| Percent tag loss | 1.9\% | 2.9\% | n/a | 2.5\% |

## Quinsam River

Total carcasses counted
Carcasses examined
Carcasses opercular tagged and hole punched
Tag rate
Primary tag carcass recoveries
Secondary mark recoveries (tags lost)

Total recoveries
Percent recovery
Percent tag loss

| Males | Females | Jacks | Total |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |
| 85 | 60 | 4 | 149 |
| 64 | 46 | 1 | 111 |
| 57 | 42 | 0 | 99 |
| $67 \%$ | $70 \%$ | 0 | $67.3 \%$ |
| 17 | 13 | 0 | 30 |
| 0 | 1 |  | 0 |

Table 6. Total 1996 chinook escapement based on Petersen mark and recapture estimates and the Quinsam Hatchery and spawner contribution above the hatchery.

| Campbell River | Males | Females | Jacks | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Petersen estimate | 157 | 183 | n/a | 340 |
| Lower limit @ 95\% confidence | 130 | 145 | n/a | 275 |
| Upper limit @ $95 \%$ confidence | 210 | 245 | n/a | 455 |
| Quinsam River | Males | Females | Jacks | Total |
| Petersen estimate | 285 | 180 | 13 | 478 |
| Lower limit @ $95 \%$ confidence | 195 | 97 | n/a | 292 |
| Upper limit@ $95 \%$ confidence | 454 | 265 | n/a | 719 |
| Quinsam Hatchery | Males | Females | Jacks | Total |
| Broodstock | 597 | 749 | 1 | 1347 |
| Spawners above hatchery fence | 271 | 8 | 46 | 325 |
| Transfers (to Elk Falls channel) | 96 | 100 | 0 | 196 |
| Mortalities and fish used for other purposes | 316 | 6 | 54 | 376 |
| Total | 1280 | 863 | 101 | 2244 |


| Grand Total | 1722 | 1226 | 114 | 3062 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lower estimate (P<0.05) | 1605 | 1105 | 114 | 2824 |
| Upper estimate (P<0.05) | 1944 | 1373 | 114 | 3431 |

Table 7. Age composition of Campbell River chinook salmon returns in 1996.

| Males | Unmarked | CWT | Total <br> (N) | Percent | Mean <br> Length (mm) | SD | $95 \%$ <br> Lower | C.L. Upper |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 15 | 3 | 18 | 30.5 | 662 | 89.6 | 620 | 703 |
| 4 | 26 | 1 | 27 | 45.8 | 789 | 48.1 | 771 | 807 |
| 5 | 11 | 0 | 11 | 18.6 | 864 | 68 | 824 | 904 |
| 6 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1.7 | 850 |  |  |  |
| Unkn age | 2 | 0 | 2 | 3.4 | 843 | 3.5 | 837 | 848 |
| Total aged | 53 | 4 | 57 | 96.6 | 765 | 100.5 | 738 | 791 |
| Total | 55 | 4 | 59 | 100 | 767 | 99.7 | 741 | 792 |


| Females | Unmarked | CWT | Total <br> (N) | Percent | Mean <br> Length (mm) | SD | $\begin{aligned} & 95 \% \\ & \text { Lower } \end{aligned}$ | C.L. Upper |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 36 | 1 | 37 | 49.3 | 794 | 47.2 | 779 | 809 |
| 5 | 28 | 4 | 32 | 42.7 | 832 | 37.3 | 818 | 845 |
| 6 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 5.3 | 857 | 54.2 | 804 | 911 |
| Unkn age | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2.7 | 763 | 3.5 | 757 | 768 |
| Total aged | 68 | 5 | 73 | 97.3 | 814 | 47.8 | 802 | 824 |
| Total | 69 | 6 | 75 | 100 | 813 | 47.9 | 801 | 824 |

Table 8. Age composition of Quinsam River chinook salmon returns in 1996.

| Males | Unmarked | CWT | Total <br> (N) | Mean |  |  | 95 \% C.L. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Percent | Length (mm) | SD | Lower | Upper |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1.5 | 400 |  |  |  |
| 3 | 31 | 2 | 33 | 50.8 | 595 | 48.5 | 578 | 612 |
| 4 | 25 | 1 | 26 | 40 | 760 | 79.8 | 729 | 792 |
| 5 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 6.2 | 829 | 47.8 | 781 | 877 |
| Unknown age | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1.5 | 545 |  |  |  |
| Total aged | 60 | 4 | 64 | 98.5 | 674 | 113.7 | 645 | 702 |
| Total | 61 | 4 | 65 | 100 | 672 | 113.9 | 643 | 700 |


| Females | Unmarked | CWT | Total <br> (N) | Mean |  |  | $95 \%$ C.L. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Percent | Length (mm) | SD | Lower | Upper |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2.2 | 660 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 27 | 1 | 28 | 60.9 | 757 | 51.3 | 737 | 777 |
| 5 | 16 | 0 | 16 | 34.7 | 809 | 44 | 787 | 831 |
| Unknown age | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2.2 | 585 |  |  |  |
| Total aged | 44 | 1 | 45 | 97.8 | 773 | 56 | 757 | 790 |
| Total | 45 | 1 | 46 | 100 | 769 | 61.9 | 751 | 787 |

Table 9. Age composition of Quinsam hatchery chinook salmon in 1996.

| Males | Unmarked | CWT | Total (N) | Mean |  |  | $95 \%$ C.L. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Percent | Length (mm) | SD | Lower | Upper |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 22 | 3 | 25 | 8.9 | 187 | 20.2 | 179 | 195 |
| 2 | 19 | 7 | 26 | 9.2 | 410 | 38.5 | 395 | 425 |
| 3 | 23 | 86 | 109 | 38.6 | 604 | 50.1 | 595 | 613 |
| 4 | 56 | 32 | 88 | 31.2 | 744 | 47.5 | 734 | 754 |
| 5 | 20 | 7 | 27 | 9.6 | 793 | 50.7 | 774 | 812 |
| Unknown age | 2 | 5 | 7 | 2.5 | 594 | 119.5 | 505 | 683 |
| Total aged | 140 | 135 | 275 | 98.47 | 611 | 176.9 | 590 | 633 |
| Total | 142 | 140 | 282 | 100 | 611 | 175.6 | 590 | 632 |


| Females | Unmarked | CWT | Total <br> (N) | Mean |  |  | 95 \% C.L. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Percent | Length (mm) | SD | Lower | Upper |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 685 | 79.3 | 621 | 748 |
| 4 | 65 | 23 | 88 | 44.7 | 766 | 45.9 | 757 | 776 |
| 5 | 77 | 20 | 97 | 49.2 | 802 | 45 | 793 | 811 |
| 6 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.5 | 887 |  |  |  |
| Unknown age | 2 | 3 | 5 | 2.5 | 787 | 47.6 | 744 | 828 |
| Total aged | 148 | 44 | 192 | 97.46 | 782 | 52.6 | 774 | 790 |
| Total | 150 | 47 | 197 | 100 | 782 | 53 | 774 | 790 |

Table 10. Age-length distribution of the chinook salmon escapement to the Campbell River in 1996.

|  | Males Age |  |  |  |  |  |  | Females Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Total | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Total |
| Length (mm) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 500-549 |  | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 550-599 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 600-649 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 650-699 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 700-749 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 750-799 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 4 | 0 | 22 |
| 800-849 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 12 | 2 | 23 |
| 850-899 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 12 | 1 | 18 |
| 900-949 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| 950-999 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| N | 0 | 0 | 15 | 26 | 11 | 1 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 28 | 4 | 70 |
| Mean | 0 | 0 | 668 | 789 | 864 |  | 772 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 794 | 832 | 858 | 814 |
| SD | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 99 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 36 | 54 | 48 |

Table 11. Age-length distribution of the chinook salmon escapement to the Quinsam River in 1996.

|  | Males Age |  |  |  |  |  |  | Females Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Total | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Total |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Length } \\ & (\mathrm{mm}) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 500-549 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 550-599 | -0. | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 600-649 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 650-699 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 700-749 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 750-799 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 13 |
| 800-849 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 11 |
| 850-899 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 9 | 0 | 16 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| N |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 0 | 0 | 31 | 25 | 4 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 27 | 16 | 0 | 45 |
| SD | 0 | 0 | 593 | 756 | 829 | 0 | 677 | 0 | 0 | 660 | 758 | 809 | 0 | 774 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 47 | 78 | 48 | 0 | 108 | 0 | 0 | n/a | 51 | 44 | 0 | 56 |

Table 12. Age-length distribution of the chinook salmon escapement to the Quinsam Hatchery in 1996.

|  | Males Age |  |  |  |  |  |  | Females Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(\mathrm{mm})$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Total | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Total |
| 150-199 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 200-249 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 250-299 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 300-349 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 350-399 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 400-449 | 0 - | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 450-499 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 500-549 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 550-599 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 600-649 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 650-699 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 7 |
| 700-749 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 3 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 7 | 0 | 23 |
| 750-799 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 8 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 26 | 0 | 61 |
| 800-849 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 32 | 0 | 46 |
| 850-899 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 11 |
| 900-949 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| N | 22 | 19 | 20 | 56 | 20 | 0 | 144 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 65 | 77 | 1 | 153 |
| Mean | 188 | 419 | 622 | 748 | 790 | 0 | 601 | 0 | 0 | 655 | 769 | 802 | 887 | 783 |
| SD | 21 | 30 | 41 | 49 | 49 | 0 | 217 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 45 | 42 | n/a | 52 |

Table 13. Petersen estimates, by age, of chinook salmon escapement to the Campbell River, Quinsam River and Quinsam Hatchery, 1996.


[^5]Table 14. CWT age and length summary of the 1996 chinook salmon escapement to the Campbell River, Quinsam River and Quinsam hatchery.

|  | Campbell River Deadpitch |  | Elk Falls Channel |  | Quinsam River Deadpitch |  | Quinsam Rack |  | Combined total |  | Percent by age |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Males | Females | Males | Females | Males | Females | Males | Females | Males | Females |  |
| Age 1 <br> Mean Length | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 182 | 0 | 3 182 | 0 | 2\% |
| Age 2 <br> Mean Length | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 400 | 0 | 6 401 | 0 | 7 401 | $0$ | 4\% |
| Age 3 <br> Mean Length | 3 633 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 618 | 0 | 86 599 | 1 835 | 91 601 | 1 835 | 47\% |
| Age 4 <br> Mean Length | 1 790 | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 780 \end{array}$ | 0 | 2 730 | 1 880 | 1 740 | 32 737 | 23 760 | 34 743 | 27 758 | 31\% |
| Age 5 <br> Mean Length | 0 | 4 829 | 0 | 2 813 | 0 | 0 | 7 800 | 18 795 | 7 800 | 24 802 | 16\% |
| Age 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
| Total | 4 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 134 | 42 | 142 | 52 | 194 |
| Relative \% sex stratified | 2\% | 3\% | 0\% | 2\% | 2\% | 0.50\% | 69\% | 22\% | 73\% | 27\% | 100\% |

Table 15. Combined age-length summary of the 1996 chinook salmon escapement to the Campbell River, Quinsam River, and the Quinsam Hatchery.


Table 16. CWT release data for hatchery-reared chinook salmon returning to the Campbell River, Quinsam River and Quinsam Hatchery, by tag code, 1996.

| Brood year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CWT } \\ & \text { code } \end{aligned}$ | Release numbers |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { CWT } \\ \text { loss (\%) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Days } \\ & \text { held } \end{aligned}$ | Adipose release status |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | CWT | Untagged |  |  | Clipped | Unclipped |  |
| 1995 | 181659 | 26,314 | 209,905 | 0.28 | 37 | 26,388 | 209,831 | 236,219 |
|  | 182016 | 25,134 | 105,345 | 1.6 | 50 | 25,543 | 104,936 | 130,479 |
|  | 182018 | 24,640 | 109,730 | 3.7 | 53 | 25,587 | 108,783 | 134,370 |
| Subtotal |  | 76,089 | 424,979 |  |  | 77,518 | 423,550 | 501,068 |
| 1994 | 181644 | 24,354 | 86,397 | 4.6 | 34 | 25,528 | 85,223 | 110,751 |
|  | 181645 | 25,271 | 80,955 | 2.6 | 32 | 25,946 | 80,280 | 106,226 |
|  | 181646 | 26,312 | 193,176 | 0.6 | 29 | 26,471 | 193,017 | 219,488 |
|  | 181647 | 26,311 | 189,246 | 0.6 | 25 | 26,470 | 189,087 | 215,557 |
|  | 181650 | 26,212 | 126,547 | 0.7 | 28 | 26,397 | 126,362 | 152,759 |
| Subtotal |  | 128,461 | 676,320 |  |  | 130,812 | 673,969 | 804,781 |
| 1993 | 180628 | 25,210 | 205,895 | 0.6 | 9 | 25,362 | 205,743 | 231,105 |
|  | 180629 | 26,472 | 116,128 | 0.6 | 15 | 26,632 | 115,968 | 142,600 |
|  | 180630 | 26,269 | 262,938 | 0.2 | 13 | 26,322 | 262,885 | 289,207 |
|  | 180631 | 26,719 | 259,036 | 0.0 | 12 | 26,719 | 259,036 | 285,755 |
|  | 181356 | 25,942 | 63,986 | 1.0 | 19 | 26,204 | 63,724 | 89,928 |
|  | 181357 | 25,617 | 78,888 | 2.0 | 16 | 26,140 | 78,365 | 104,505 |
|  | 181358 | 26,255 | 82,043 | 1.2 | 14 | 26,574 | 81,724 | 108,298 |
|  | 181359 | 25,122 | 174,634 | 0.1 | 10 | 25,147 | 174,609 | 199,756 |
|  | 181360 | 25,554 | 180,403 | 0.3 | 9 | 25,631 | 180,326 | 205,957 |
|  | 181361 | 26,063 | 177,057 | 0.2 | 11 | 26,115 | 177,005 | 203,120 |
|  | 181362 | 26,317 | 188,163 | 0.2 | 10 | 26,370 | 188,110 | 214,480 |
| Subtotal |  | 285,541 | 1,789,170 |  |  | 287,216 | 1,787,495 | 2074711 |

Table 17. Estimates of the adjusted number of CWT chinook salmon the the Campbell River, Quinsam River and Quinsam Hatchery, by tag code, $1996^{6}$.

| Brood year | CWT <br> code | Campbell River |  |  |  | Quinsam River |  |  |  |  | Quinsam Hatchery |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Observed CWTs |  | Adjusted CWTs |  | Observed CWTs |  |  | Adjusted CWTs |  | Observed CWTs |  |  | Adjusted CWTs |  | Observed CWTs |  |  | Adjusted CWTs |  |
|  |  | M | F | M | F | M | F |  | M | F | M | F |  | M | F | M | F |  | M | F |
| 1995 | 181659 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1.0 | 0. |
|  | 182016 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1.0 | 0. |
|  | 182018 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1.0 | 0. |
|  | Subtotal | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3 |  | 0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3 |  | 0 | 3.0 | 0. |
| 1994 | 181644 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1.0 | 0. |
|  | 181645 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1.0 | 0. |
|  | 181646 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1.0 | 0. |
|  | 181647 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3 |  | 0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3 |  | 0 | 3.0 | 0. |
|  | 181650 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1.0 | 0. |
|  | Subtotal | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 6 |  | 0 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 7 |  | 0 | 7.0 | 0. |
| 1993 | 180628 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3 |  | 0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3 |  | 0 | 3.0 | 0. |
|  | 180629 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12 |  | 0 | 12.1 | 0.0 | 12 |  | 0 | 12.1 | 0. |
|  | 180630 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4 |  | 0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 4 |  | 0 | 4.0 | 0. |
|  | 180631 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4 |  | 0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 4 |  | 0 | 4.0 | 0. |
|  | 181356 | 2 | 0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 23 |  | 0 | 23.2 | 0.0 | 26 |  | 0 | 26.2 | 0. |
|  | 181357 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8 |  | 1 | 8.1 | 1.0 | 8 |  | 1 | 8.1 | 1. |
|  | 181358 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11 |  | 0 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 11 |  | 0 | 11.1 | 0. |
|  | 181359 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3 |  | 0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3 |  | 0 | 3.0 | 0. |
|  | 181360 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 4 |  | 0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 5 |  | 0 | 5.0 | 0. |
|  | 181361 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11 |  | 0 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 11 |  | 0 | 11.1 | 0. |
|  | 181362 | 1 , | 0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4 |  | 0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 5 |  | 0 | 5.0 | 0. |
|  | Subtotal | 3 | 0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 2 |  | 0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 87 |  | 1 | 87.7 | 1.0 | 92 |  | 1 | 92.7 | 1. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^6]Table 17 (cont.)


Table 18. Estimates of the total escapement of CWT chinook salmon to the Campbell River, Quinsam River and Quinsam Hatchery, by tag code, 1996 ${ }^{7}$.

| Brood <br> Year | CWT <br> Code | Campbell River |  |  |  | Quinsam River |  |  |  | Quinsam hatchery |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Adjusted CWTs |  | Estimated CWTs |  | Adjusted CWTs |  | Estimated CWTs |  | Adjusted CWTs |  | Estimated CWTs |  | Adjusted CWTs |  | Estimated CWTs |  |
|  |  | M | F | M | F | M | F |  | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F |
| 1995 | 181659 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 |
|  | 182016 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 |
|  | 182018 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 |
|  | Subtotal | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 |


| 1994 | 181644 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 181645 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 |
|  | 181646 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 |
|  | 181647 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 |
|  | 181650 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 |


| 1993 | 180628 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 180629 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.1 | 0.0 | 12.8 | 0.0 | 12.1 | 0.0 | 12.8 |
|  | 180630 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 4.3 |
|  | 180631 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 4.3 |
|  | 181356 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 23.2 | 0.0 | 24.5 | 0.0 | 26.2 | 0.0 | 31.5 |
|  | 181357 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.1 | 1.0 | 8.5 | 1.1 | 8.1 | 1.0 | 8.5 |
|  | 181358 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 11.7 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 11.7 |
|  | 181359 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 |
|  | 181360 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 7.6 |
|  | 181361 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 11.7 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 11.7 |
|  | 181362 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 6.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal 3.0 | 0.0 | 5.5 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 87.7 | 1.0 | 92.7 | 1.1 | 92.7 | 1.0 | 104.9 | 1.1 |

[^7]Table 18 (cont.)

|  |  | Campbell River |  |  |  | Quinsam River |  |  |  | Quinsam hatchery |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brood |  | Adjusted CWTs |  | Estimated CWTs |  | Adjusted CWTs |  | Estimated CWTs |  | Adjusted CWTs |  | Estimated CWTs |  | Adjusted CWTs |  | Estimated CWTs |  |
| Year | Code | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F |
| $1992$ | 181147 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 |
|  | 181149 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 2.1 | 3.2 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 2.1 | 3.2 |
|  | 181150 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 |
|  | 181151 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 |
|  | 181152 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 3.0 |
|  | 181153 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 2.2 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 2.2 |
|  | 181154 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.1 | 2.0 | 8.5 | 2.2 | 8.1 | 2.0 | 8.5 | 2.2 |
|  | 181155 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 6.1 | 4.3 |
|  | 181156 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 6.1 | 6.0 | 6.4 | 6.5 | 7.1 | 6.0 | 9.8 | 6.5 |
|  | 181157 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 2.0 | 4.3 | 2.2 | 4.0 | 2.0 | 4.3 | 2.2 |
|  | 181158 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.1 | 4.0 | 6.4 | 4.3 | 6.1 | 4.0 | 6.4 | 4.3 |
|  | 180209 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 |
|  | Subtotal | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 3.4 | 3.0 | 32.3 | 26.0 | 34.1 | 27.9 | 34.3 | 28.0 | 39.3 | 32.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1991 | 21328 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 4.3 |
|  | 21329 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 3.0 | 1.1 | 3.2 | 1.0 | 3.0 | 1.1 | 3.2 |
|  | 21331 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 |
|  | 180415 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 |
|  | 180416 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 2.1 | 3.2 | 2.0 | 4.0 | 2.1 | 5.1 |
|  | 180417 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.2 |
|  | 180418 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 4.0 | 1.1 | 4.3 | 1.0 | 4.0 | 1.1 | 4.3 |
|  | 180419 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 |
|  | 180420 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 4.8 |
|  | 180421 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
|  | 180422 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.9 |
|  | Subtotal | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 7.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 20.0 | 7.5 | 21.6 | 7.1 | 24.0 | 7.5 | 29.0 |

Strays ${ }^{*} 635338$ (*Wash. state stray)

| Petersen est. | 157 | 183 | 285 | 180 | 1280 | 863 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample size | 59 | 75 | 65 | 46 | 1280 | 863 |

Table 19. Estimates of total escapement of hatchery-reared CWT chinook salmon to the Campbell River, Quinsam River and Quinsam Hatchery, by tag code, $1996^{8}$.

| Brood year | CWT <br> release <br> group | Release Numbers |  | Expansion factor | Expanded hatchery contributions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Campbell River | Quinsam River |  | Quinsam Hatchery |  | Total |  |
|  |  | CWT | Untagged |  | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F |
| 1995 | 181659 | 26,314 | 209,905 |  | 9.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 4.1 | 0.0 |
|  | 182016 | 25,134 | 105,345 | 5.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 0.0 |
|  | 182018 | 24,640 | 109,730 | 5.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 |
|  | Subtotal | 76,089 | 424,979 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 0.0 |
| 1994 | 181644 | 24,354 | 86,397 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 0.0 |
|  | 181645 | 25,271 | 80,955 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0.0 |
|  | 181646 | 26,312 | 193,176 | 8.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 0.0 |
|  | 181647 | 26,311 | 189,246 | 8.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.2 | 0.0 | 11.2 | 0.0 |
|  | 181650 | 26,212 | 126,547 | 5.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.9 | 0.0 |
|  | Subtotal | 128,461 | 676,320 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.9 | 0.0 | 19.1 | 0.0 | 37.9 | 0.0 |
| 1993 | 180628 | 25,210 | 205,895 | 9.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 29.4 | 0.0 | 29.4 | 0.0 |
|  | 180629 | 26,472 | 116,128 | 5.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 69.1 | 0.0 | 69.1 | 0.0 |
|  | 180630 | 26,269 | 262,938 | 11.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 46.9 | 0.0 | 46.9 | 0.0 |
|  | 180631 | 26,719 | 259,036 | 10.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 45.6 | 0.0 | 45.6 | 0.0 |
|  | 181356 | 25,942 | 63,986 | 3.5 | 12.8 | 0 | 11.7 | 0.0 | 85.8 | 0.0 | 110.3 | 0.0 |
|  | 181357 | 25,617 | 78,888 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 35.0 | 4.4 | 35.0 | 4.4 |
|  | 181358 | 26,255 | 82,043 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 48.1 | 0.0 | 48.1 | 0.0 |
|  | 181359 | 25,122 | 174,634 | 8.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.6 | 0.0 | 25.6 | 0.0 |
|  | 181360 | 25,554 | 180,403 | 8.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27.1 | 0.0 | 34.5 | 0.0 | 61.6 | 0.0 |
|  | 181361 | 26,063 | 177,057 | 7.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 91.5 | 0.0 | 91.5 | 0.0 |
|  | 181362 | 26,317 | 188,163 | 8.1 | 14.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.5 | 0.0 | 49.3 | 0.0 |
|  | Subtotal | 285,541, | 1,789,17 |  | 27.6 | 0.0 | 38.9 | 0.0 | 545.9 | 4.4 | 612.4 | 4.4 |

[^8]Table 19 (cont.)

| CWT |  |  |  | Expansion factor | Expanded hatchery contributions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brood year | release | Release Numbers |  |  | Campbell River |  | Quinsam Rive |  | Quinsam Hatchery |  | Total |  |
|  | group | CWT | Untagged |  | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F |


| 1992 | 181147 | 24,825 | 226,001 | 10.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.9 | 0.0 | 10.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 181149 | 24,031 | 407,782 | 18.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 38.3 | 58.1 | 38.3 | 58.1 |
|  | 181150 | 24,558 | 470,483 | 20.2 | 0.0 | 37.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37.8 |
|  | 181151 | 24,311 | 465,735 | 20.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.8 | 0.0 | 21.8 |
|  | 181152 | 24,658 | 264,874 | 11.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 35.1 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 35.1 |
|  | 181153 | 23,790 | 264,651 | 12.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.9 | 26.0 | 12.9 | 26.0 |
|  | 181154 | 22,315 | 244,147 | 11.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 101.5 | 25.6 | 101.5 | 25.6 |
|  | 181155 | 22,965 | 199,973 | 9.7 | 17.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 41.3 | 41.8 | 59.1 | 41.8 |
|  | 181156 | 23,186 | 421,976 | 19.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 64.3 | 0.0 | 122.9 | 124.0 | 187.2 | 124.0 |
|  | 181157 | 22,944 | 191,327 | 9.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 39.6 | 20.0 | 39.6 | 20.0 |
|  | 181158 | 21,652 | 196,552 | 10.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 64.6 | 43.5 | 64.6 | 43.5 |
|  | 180209 | 24,770 | 74,204 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 |
|  | Subtotal | 284,005 | 3,427,705 |  | 17.8 | 37.8 | 64.3 | 35.1 | 433.8 | 375.8 | 515.8 | 448.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1991 | 21328 | 24,646 | 291,480 | 12.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 55.2 | 0.0 | 55.2 |
|  | 21329 | 24,538 | 599,112 | 25.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27.2 | 82.0 | 27.2 | 82.0 |
|  | 21331 | 24,249 | 527,084 | 22.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 24.5 | 0.0 | 24.5 |
|  | 180415 | 24,405 | 529,772 | 22.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 24.3 | 0.0 | 24.3 | 0.0 |
|  | 180416 | 22,897 | 304,168 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 26.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.5 | 46.2 | 30.5 | 72.9 |
|  | 180417 | 24,692 | 318,131 | 13.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 29.9 | 0.0 | 29.9 |
|  | 180418 | 24,541 | 313,730 | 13.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.8 | 59.5 | 14.8 | 59.5 |
|  | 180419 | 24,338 | 186,113 | 8.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.3 | 0.0 | 9.3 |
|  | 180420 | 24,752 | 435,766 | 18.6 | 0.0 | 69.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.1 | 0.0 | 89.5 |
|  | 180421 | 22,477 | 197,647 | 9.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.5 | 10.6 | 10.5 | 10.6 |
|  | 180422 | 24,761 | 226,229 | 10.1 | 0.0 | 18.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.8 | 0.0 | 10.8 | 18.9 |
|  | Subtotal | 266,297 | 3,929,231 |  | 0.0 | 115.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 118.0 | 337.2 | 118.0 | 452.2 |
| Total hat | chery | 1,040,392 | 10,247,406 |  | 45 | 153 | 122 | 35 | 1,126 | 717 | 1,293 | 905 |

Strays *635338 (*Wash. state stray)

Table 20. Estimated hatchery and stray contributions to Campbell River, Quinsam River and Quinsam Hatchery chinook salmon escapement, 1996.

| Location | Age | Estimated escapement (a) |  | Hatchery contribution (b) |  |  |  | Stray contribution (b) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Male(c) |  | Female |  | Male(c) |  | Femal |
|  |  | Male (c) | Female | Number | \% | Number | \% | Number | \% | Number |

## Campbell River

|  | 3 | 48 | 0 | 28 | 58.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4 | 72 | 90 | 18 | 25.0 | 38 | 42.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  | 5 | 29 | 78 | 0 | 0.0 | 115 | 100(d) | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  | 6 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  | unkn | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
| Total |  | 157 | 183 | 46 | 29.3 | 153 | 83.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |

Quinsam River

|  | 2 | 2 | 0 | 19 | 100(d) | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | 145 | 4 | 39 | 26.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  | 4 | 114 | 110 | 64 | 56.1 | 35 | 31.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  | 5 | 18 | 62 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  | unkn | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
| Total |  | 285 | 180 | 122 | 42.8 | 35 | 19.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |

Quinsam Hatchery

| 1 | 114 | 0 | 9 | 7.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 : | 118 | 0 | 19 | 16.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
| 3 | 494 | 26 | 546 | 100(d) | 4 | 15.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
| 4 | 399 | 386 | 434 | 100(d) | 376 | 97.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
| 5 | 123 | 425 | 118 | 95.9 | 337 | 79.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
| 6 | 32 | 4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
| unkn | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  | 1280 | 863 | 1126 | 87.9 | 717 | 83.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |

(a) From Table 11
(b) From Table 19
(c) Includes jacks
(d) Estimated hatchery contribution greater than $100 \%$.


Fig. 1. Study areas (top) and swim survey sections (bottom) of the 1996 Campbell River and Quinsam River chinook enumeration project.


Fig. 2 Mean annual number of adult chinook observed in each section of the Campbell River from 1985 to 1996.


Fig. 3 Chinook salmon escapement estimates to the Campbell River from 1985 to 1996.


Fig. 4 Age-length frequency histograms, sex stratified, of the Campbell River chinook escapement in 1996.


Fig. 5 Age-length frequency histograms, sex stratified, of the Quinsam River chinook escapement in 1996.


Fig. 6 Age-length frequency histograms, sex stratified, of the Quinsam Hatchery chinook escapement in 1996.


Fig. 7 Quinsam Hatchery spawned broodstock summary, 1986-1996.
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Appendix 1. Summary of operculum tagging of chinook salmon carcasses in the Campbell River in 1996.

| Date | Capture area | Males | Females | Jacks | Total | Cumulative total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oct 396 | CHB | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Oct 2596 | CHB | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| Oct 2996 | CHA | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 8 |
| Oct 2996 | CHB | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 10 |
| Oct 2996 | 1A | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 13 |
| Oct 2996 | 1B | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 15 |
| Oct 3096 | 1A | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 16 |
| Oct 3096 | 1B | 4 | 5 | 0 | 9 | 25 |
| Nov 01 | -1A | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 26 |
| Nov 01 | 1B | 5 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 33 |
| Nov 01 | CHB | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 34 |
| Nov 05 | 1A | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 36 |
| Nov 05 | 1B | 6 | 9 | 0 | 15 | 51 |
| Nov 05 | CHA | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 54 |
| Nov 05 | CHB | 4 | 6 | 0 | 10 | 64 |
| Nov 05 | CHC | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 68 |
| Nov 06 | 1A | 3 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 74 |
| Nov 06 | 1B | 3 | 5 | 0 | 8 | 82 |
| Nov 08 | 1A | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 84 |
| Nov 08 | 1B | 4 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 91 |
| Nov 08 | CHB | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 93 |
| Nov 12 | 1A | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 96 |
| Nov 12 | 1B | 5 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 103 |
| Nov 12 | CHB | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 105 |
| Nov 13 | 1B | 3 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 112 |
| Nov 15 | 1B | 4 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 119 |
| Nov 15 | CHB | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 120 |
| Nov 19 | 1B | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 122 |
| Total |  | 55 | 67 | 0 | 122 |  |

Total captured from each area:

| Area | Males | Females | Jacks | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| IA | 9 | 9 | 0 | 18 |
| 1B | 34 | 37 | 0 | 71 |
| CHA | 2 | 7 | 0 | 9 |
| CHB | 8 | 12 | 0 | 20 |
| CHC | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 |

Appendix 2. Summary of operculum tagging of Quinsam River chinook salmon carcasses in 1996.

| Date | Capture area | Males | Females | Jacks | Total | Cumulative Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oct 2496 | 2C | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Oct 2596 | 2D | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| Oct 2896 | 2D | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| Oct 3196 | 2C | 1 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 9 |
| Oct 3196 | 2D | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 11 |
| Nov 04 | 2C | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 14 |
| Nov 04 | 2D | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 18 |
| Nov 07 | 2C | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 22 |
| Nov 07 | 2 D | 2 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 30 |
| Nov 11 | 2C | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 31 |
| Nov 11 | 2D | 8 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 40 |
| Nov 14 | 2B | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 41 |
| Nov 14 | 2C | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 45 |
| Nov 14 | 2D | 2 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 52 |
| Nov 18 | 2B | 3 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 58 |
| Nov 18 | 2 C | 8 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 67 |
| Nov 18 | 2D | 5 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 75 |
| Nov 21 | 2C | 4 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 82 |
| Nov 21 | 2D | 5 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 89 |
| Nov 25 | 2B | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 90 |
| Nov 25 | 2C | 4 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 96 |
| Nov 25 | 2D | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 99 |
| Nov 28 | 2 C | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 103 |
| Nov 28 | 2D | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 106 |
| Total |  | 61 | 45 | 0 | 106 |  |

Total captured from each area

| Area | Males | Females | Jacks | Total |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2B | 4 | 4 | 0 | 8 |  |
| 2C | 26 | 18 | 0 | 44 |  |
| 2D | 31 | 23 | 0 | 54 |  |

Appendix 3. Recovery of tagged chinook salmon carcasses on the Campbell River in 1996.

| Date | Area | Males |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
|  |  | Age | Females <br> Age | Jacks | Tag Code

## Appendix 3 (cont.)

| Date | Area | Males <br> Age | Females <br> Age | Jacks | Tag Code |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Nov 13 | 1B |  | 5 |  |  |
| Nov 13 | 1B | 3 |  | 27556 |  |
| Nov 15 | 1A |  | 4 | 27645 |  |
| Nov 15 | 1B | 3 |  | 27568 |  |
| Nov 15 | 1B |  | 5 | 27555 |  |
| Nov 15 | 1B | 4 |  | 27571 |  |
| Nov 15 | 1B |  | 4 | 27573 |  |
| Nov 15 | 1B |  | 4 | 27640 |  |
| Nov 15 | 1B | 4 |  | 27684 |  |
| Nov 15 | 1B | 3 |  | 27686 |  |
| Nov 15 | 1B | unkn |  | 28002 |  |
| Nov 15 | CHC | unkn |  | MISSING |  |
| Nov 19 | 1A |  | 4 | 27600 |  |
| Nov 19 | 1A | 5 |  | 4409 |  |
| Nov 19 | 1B |  | 6 | 27567 |  |
| Nov 19 | 1B |  | unkn | 27535 |  |
| Nov 19 | 1B |  | unkn | 27865 |  |
| Nov 19 | 1B |  | 4 | NO TAG |  |
| Nov 19 | 1B | 4 |  | 28005 |  |
| Nov 19 | 1B | 3 |  | 28018 |  |
| Nov 19 | 1B |  | 4 | 28019 |  |
| Nov 19 | 1B | 3 |  | 28020 |  |
| Nov 19 | 1B |  | unkn | 28021 |  |
| Nov 19 | 1B | 3 |  | 28023 |  |
| Nov 22 | 1B | 5 |  | 28024 |  |
|  |  |  | 28032 |  |  |


|  | No tag | Tagged | Total |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Males | 1 | 28 | 29 |
| Females | 2 | 35 | 37 |
| Jacks | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Area Summary:

|  | Males | Females |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 A | 3 | 5 |
| 1 B | 18 | 22 |
| CHA | 2 | 3 |
| CHB | 4 | 6 |
| CHC | 2 | 1 |
| Total | 29 | 37 |

高

Appendix Table 4. Recovery of Quinsam River chinook salmon carcasses in 1996.

| Date | Area | Males Age | Females Age | Jacks | Tag Code |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oct 30 | 1B |  | 4 | 0 | 4338 |
| Nov 07 | 2D | 4 |  | 0 | 4418 |
| Nov 11 | 2D | 4 |  | 0 | 27543 |
| Nov 13 | 1B |  | unkn | 0 | Missing |
| Nov 14 | 2 C | 3 |  | 0 | 27680 |
| Nov 14 | 2D |  | 5 | 0 | 27546 |
| Nov 15 | 1B |  | 5 | 0 | 27547 |
| Nov 15 | 1B |  | 4 | 0 | 27564 |
| Nov 18 | 2D | 4 |  | 0 | 27687 |
| Nov 18 | 2D | 4 |  | 0 | 27561 |
| Nov 18 | 2D | 3 |  | 0 | 28012 |
| Nov 18 | 2D |  | 5 | 0 | 28016 |
| Nov 21 | 2C | 4 |  | 0 | 27692 |
| Nov 21 | 2C |  | 4 | 0 | 27693 |
| Nov 21 | 2D |  | 4 | 0 | 27699 |
| Nov 21 | 2D | unkn |  | 0 | 28052 |
| Nov 21 | 2D | 4 |  | 0 | 28053 |
| Nov 21 | 2D | 3 |  | 0 | 28054 |
| Nov 21 | 2D | 4 |  | 0 | 28015 |
| Nov 21 | 2D | 4 |  | 0 | 27700 |
| Nov 25 | 2C | 3 |  | 0 | 28035 |
| Nov 25 | 2D | 4 |  | 0 | 27597 |
| Nov 25 | 2C | 4 |  | 0 | 28037 |
| Nov 25 | 2C |  | 5 | 0 | 28039 |
| Nov 25 | 2D |  | 4 | 0 | 27545 |
| Nov 25 | 2D |  | 5 | 0 | 28045 |
| Nov 28 | 2B |  | 3 | 0 | 27691 |
| Nov 28 | 2 C |  | 5 | 0 | 28036 |
| Nov 28 | 2C. | 4 |  | 0 | 27604 |
| Nov 28 | 2C |  | 5 | 0 | 28048 |


|  | No tag | Tagged | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Males | 0 | 17 | 17 |
| Females | 1 | 13 | 14 |
| Jacks | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Area Summary:

|  | Males | Females |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 1B | 0 | 4 |
| 2B | 0 | 1 |
| 2C | 5 | 4 |
| 2D | 12 | 5 |
| Total | 17 | 14 |

Appendix Table 5. Mark-recapture data for chinook salmon carcasses in Campbell River in 1996.

|  | Males |  |  | Females |  |  | Jacks |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | No. examine | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tags } \\ & \text { applied } \end{aligned}$ | Tags recovered | No. examined | Tags applied | Tags | No. examined | Tags applied | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tags } \\ & \text { recovered } \end{aligned}$ | No. examined | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tags } \\ & \text { applied } \end{aligned}$ | Tags recovered |
| Oct. 0396 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Oct. 2596 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| Oct. 2996 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 13 | 1 |
| Oct. 3096 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 8 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 11 | 0 |
| Nov. 0196 | 9 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 9 | 0 |
| Nov. 0596 | 17 | 15 | 0 | 29 | 20 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 35 | 2 |
| Nov. 0696 | 8 | 6 | 0 | 10 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 14 | 0 |
| Nov. 0896 | 9 | 6 | 5 | 11 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 12 | 13 |
| Nov. 1296 | 11 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 12 | 2 |
| Nov. 1396 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 7 | 0 |
| Nov. 1596 | 11 | 7 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 10 | 1 |
| Nov. 1996 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 |
| Nov. 2296 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 86 | 55 | 8 | 98 | 71 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 186 | 126 | 19 |

Appendix Table 6. Mark-recapture data for chinook salmon carcasses on the Quinsam River in 1996.

|  | Males |  |  | Females |  |  | Jacks |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | No. examine | $\begin{gathered} \text { Tags } \\ \text { applied } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Tags } \\ \text { recovere } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { No. } \\ \text { examined } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Tags } \\ \text { applied } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Tags } \\ \text { recovere } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } \\ \text { examined } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Tags } \\ \text { applied } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Tags } \\ \text { recovere } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } \\ \text { examined } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Tags } \\ \text { applied } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Tags } \\ \text { recovered } \end{gathered}$ |
| Oct. 2496 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| Oct. 2596 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Oct. 2896 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Oct 3096 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Oct 3196 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 7 | 0 |
| Nov. 0496 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 7 | 0 |
| Nov. 0796 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 12 | 1 |
| Nov. 1196 | 10 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 10 | 1 |
| Nov. 1496 | 9 | 6 | 1 | 9 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 12 | 2 |
| Nov. 1596 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Nov. 1896 | 21 | 18 | 0 | 8 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 25 | 0 |
| Nov. 2196 | 13 | 10 | 6 | 9 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 16 | 8 |
| Nov. 2596 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 6 |
| Nov. 2896 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 5 |
| Total | 85 | 60 | 14 | 60 | 43 | 12 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 149 | 103 | 26 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ocean Seéd Consultants, 1402 White St., Nanaimo, B.C., V9R-5K6
    $+$

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Ketchum Manufacturing Ltd., Ottawa, Canada.
    $\ldots$

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ Operculum tags supplied by Ketchum Manufacturing Sales Ltd. 396 Berkeley Ave., Ottawa, Ontario K2A 2G6

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ See Fig. 2

[^4]:    ${ }^{5}$ See Fig. 1

[^5]:    (a) The number of fish by age are calculated from the product of the percent age (b) and the total adult escapement (c).
    (b) The percentage age distribution is from tables 7,8 , and 9.
    (c) The Petersen estimates and Quinsam Hatchery recoveries are from Table 6.

[^6]:    ${ }^{6}$ One decimal place is carried for the adjusted CWT's for calculating the expanded hatchery contribution.

[^7]:    ${ }^{7}$ One decimal place is carried for the estimated CWT's for calculating the expanded hatchery contribution.

[^8]:    ${ }^{8}$ The expansion factor is used to expand the estimated number of CWT chinook in the escapement (from Table 18) to account for unmarked hatchery releases and hence, derive hatchery contributions to escapement. Expansion factor $=$ (CWT releases + untagged releases)/Cwt releases.

