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#### Abstract

Baxter, B.E. and C.Y. Stephens. 2002. Adult and juvenile coho salmon enumeration and codedwire tag recovery analysis for Zolzap Creek, BC, 1999. Can. Manusc. Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 2597: viii +46 p.

Adult and juvenile coho migrations were monitored at Zolzap Creek, British Columbia, as part of the 1999-2000 Nisga'a Fisheries Program. The 1999 season is the eighth year of continuous operation of the Zolzap Creek fences since 1992. This report includes eight year summaries of the most pertinent data. Smolt trapping was conducted from 20 April to 13 June 1999 using an in-stream wire-mesh fence. A total of 15,153 coho smolts were captured during the trapping period, and an unknown number migrated out during periods when the fence was not operational. Of those captured, 14,591 were released with coded-wire tags. Migration timing, mean length and weight at age, and age composition are presented.

Adult coho escapement was monitored using an in-stream fence and carcass surveys. The counting fence was operational between 26 August and 21 October. A total of 1,302 adult coho were counted at the fence with an estimated escapement of 1,393 using the adjusted Peterson model. Adipose-clip rate was $34.9 \%$ for adult coho. Age and length characteristics of adult males and females are presented.

Canadian and US commercial harvests were examined using coded-wire tag recovery data obtained from the Mark-Recovery Program and the Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADF\&G) mark tag and age lab online searchable database. Total commercial exploitation rate on Zolzap Creek coho in 1999 was $49.5 \%$ ( $1.2 \%$ Canadian, $48.3 \%$ US). Of the total commercial catch of Zolzap Creek coho, Canadian catch accounted for $2.1 \%$ and the US catch accounted for an estimated $97.9 \%$. Harvests occurred over a wide area ranging from SE Alaska to the US Northern Outside Statistical Area in Alaska (northwest of Juneau, AK). Due to concerns over declining coho stocks, the Canadian fishery was shut down for coho harvests this year. Limited Canadian harvests occurred in Areas 1-5 for the net fishery. US harvests of Zolzap coho in Alaska were largest in the Southern Inside Statistical Area for the net fishery and the Central Outside Statistical Area for the troll fishery. Total survival was $7.0 \%$ and smolt-to-spawner survival was $3.5 \%$.


## RÉSUMÉ

Baxter, B.E. and C.Y. Stephens. 2002. Adult and juvenile coho salmon enumeration and codedwire tag recovery analysis for Zolzap Creek, BC, 1999. Can. Manusc. Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 2597: viii +46 p.

Les migrations de saumons coho, adultes et jeunes, ont été mesurées au ruisseau Zolzap en colombie-britannique, dans le cadre du programme des pêcheries des Nisga’s en 1999-2000. La saison 1999 marque la huitième année d'opération continue des barrières du ruisseau Zolzap depuis 1992. Ce rapport contient les sommaires des données les plus intéressantes pour les 8 dernières années. Le piégeage des saumoneaux prit place entre le 20 avril et le 13 juin 1999 à l'aide d'une barrière en fil métallique installée dans le ruisseau. En tout 15,153 saumoneaux coho furent capturés pendant la période de piégeage tandis qu'un nombre inconnu a migré quand la barrière n'était pas opérationelle. Sur l'ensemble des saumoneaux capturés, 14,591 ont été remis à l'eau avec une marque magnétique codée. Nous présentons la période de migration, la longueur et le poids moyens selon l'âge ainsi que les groupes selon l'âge.

La remonte de saumons coho adultes a été surveillée grâce à une barrière installée dans le ruisseau et à l'observation des carcasses. La barrière de comptage fut opérationelle entre le 26 août et le 21 octobre. Un total de 1,302 saumons coho adultes ont été dénombrés à la barrière avec une migration estimée à 1,393 en utilisant le modèle rajusté Peterson. Le taux d'ablation de la nageoire adipeuse était de $34.9 \%$ pour les saumons coho adultes. Nous présentons les caractéristiques d'âge et de longueur pour les males et les femelles adultes.

Les récoltes commerciales canadiennes et américaines ont été examinées grâce aux données de récupération des marques magnétiques codées provenant du programme de marquagerécupération et en directe de la base de données du département de Pêche et Chasse de l'Alaska. En 1999 le taux total d'exploitation du saumon coho au ruisseau Zolzap fut évalué à 49.5\% ( $1.2 \%$ pour le Canada, $48.3 \%$ pour les Etats-Unis.) Sur le total de prises commerciales de saumon coho au ruisseau Zolzap, le Canada en comptait $2.1 \%$ et les Etats-Unis, une estimation de $97.9 \%$. Les récoltes couvraient un vaste secteur, s'étendant à partir du sud-est de l'Alaska jusqu'à la zone statistique nord extérieure de l'Alaska (au nord-ouest de Juneau AK). A cause de soucis concernant le déclin des stocks de coho, pour cette année une fermeture fut imposée sur la pêche canadienne. La récolte du saumon coho au Canada fut limitée dans les zones 1 à 5 pour la pêche au filet. La récolte par les Etats-Unis en Alaska des saumons coho du Zolzap fut plus nombreuse dans la zone statistique sud intérieure pour la pêche au filet, et, dans la zone statistique centrale extérieure pour la pêche à la traine. Le taux total de survie fut $7.0 \%$ tandis que pour les saumoneaux/géniteurs le taux de survie fut $3.5 \%$.

## INTRODUCTION

As part of the Aboriginal Fisheries Strategy (AFS) a program was established for fisheries research in the Nisga'a Traditional Territory, British Columbia. One component of this large research initiative focused on the assessment of juvenile and adult coho populations in tributaries to the Nass River. Juvenile and adult coho enumeration studies have been conducted on Zolzap Creek since 1992 (Nass 1996a; Nass 1996b; Nass and English 1994; Nass 1996c; Nass 1997a; Nass 1997b; Nass 2001; Nass and Frith 2001; Baxter et al. 2001). This report presents results for studies conducted at Zolzap Creek in 1999.

The objectives of the research were to:

1. Enumerate migrating juvenile coho and estimate escapement;
2. Document the timing, size, and age distribution of migrating coho;
3. Mark coho smolts with coded-wire tags (CWT) to enable the determination of oceanic harvest rates;
4. Monitor the escapement for marked CWT adult coho, and determine oceanic exploitation and survival rates; and
5. Collect water temperature and level data for future examination of the relationships between physical environmental factors and coho smolt migration timing, and between adult escapement and smolt production.

Achievement of these objectives involved the construction and operation of in-stream, semi-permanent, panel fences located approximately 0.5 km upstream of the mouth of Zolzap Creek.

## STUDY STREAM

Zolzap Creek is a tributary to the Nass River, located in northwestern British Columbia (Figs. 1 and 2). Zolzap Creek flows for 6 km in a northwesterly direction between Nisga'a Lava Bed Memorial Park and the Kitimat Mountain Range to its confluence with the Nass River, 5 km downstream of Gitwinksihlkw. The main channel of the creek is regularly interrupted by beaver dams and $\log$ jams. The substrate is highly variable and ranges between silty particulate, to granite cobble, and coarse pumice. Major flow contributions come from Lava Creek ( 3 km in length) which flows from the lava beds and numerous small creeks that flow from the steep alpine. Intermittent flows of water from the Nass River and Vedder Creek are possible during flooding periods. The mouth of Zolzap Creek enters a side channel to the Nass River known as Zolzap Slough. The lower 0.5 km of Zolzap Creek regularly becomes inundated when water levels on the Nass River are high. Zolzap Creek supports many species of salmonids including coho (Oncorhynchus kisutch), pink (O. gorbuscha), chum (O. keta), sockeye ( O. nerka), rainbow ( $O$. mykiss), cutthroat ( $O$. clarki), and Dolly Varden (Salvelinus malma). Non-coho species
include lampreys (family Petromyzontidae), sticklebacks (family Gasterosteidae), and sculpins (family Cottidae). Coho escapement was estimated to be 1,561 in 1992 (Nass 1996b), 1,048 in 1993 (Nass 1996c), 2,536 in 1994 (Nass 1997a), 908 in 1995 (Nass 1997b), 1,039 in 1996 (Nass 2001), 470 in 1997 (Nass and Frith 2001), and 967 in 1998 (Baxter et al. 2001).

## JUVENILE COHO STUDIES

## METHODS

## Trapping Operations

An in-stream, semi-permanent enumeration fence was located 0.5 km upstream of the creek mouth for the capture of downstream migrating coho smolts. Fence design was based on Conlin and Tutty (1979) and minor modifications were required due to site characteristics and available materials. The fence was built in a W-pattern and spanned the entire creek bed. Three by eight foot panels constructed of 2 "x 4 "s and covered with $1 / 4$ " wire-mesh were laid on their long side in the creek bed to form the fence. Rebar of $3 / 8$ " and $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ diameter were used to anchor the panels to the stream bed. A second layer of panels were installed on top of the first row of panels to create a fence with a total height of six feet. Burlap sandbags and heavy duty plastic garden sheeting were used to seal the base of the panels. Two hinged panels were installed in each of the fence wings for release of excess water in the event of flooding. Plywood trap boxes with Vexar-screened windows (to allow water exchange) were anchored at each down-stream apex and were connected to the fence with $8^{\prime \prime}$ Big-O tubing. Additional boxes were made for holding fish after processing and were designed with a small door for releasing fish. Provisions for upstream migrating adults were made by constructing a simple trap consisting of a wire-mesh panel extending out from the stream bank to one wing of the fence. Plywood was used to cover the adult trap area.

Juveniles were captured using roe baited gee traps when the fence was inoperable due to flood conditions. During periods of low water, seining was used to supplement fence catches.

## Physical Observations

Crews monitored water temperatures, water levels, and weather daily. Crews recorded temperature to the nearest degree $\left(1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ using a maximum-minimum thermometer and water level using staff gauges calibrated to the nearest $\mathrm{cm}(0.01 \mathrm{~m})$. A total of three staff gauges were used; two were located within 50 m of the trapping site (one upstream, one downstream of the fence) and one approximately one kilometre upstream of the fence. Precipitation was recorded on a scale of zero to five with zero representing no precipitation and five being heavy precipitation.

## Fish Enumerations

Daily numbers of coho smolts captured at the fence were obtained from automatic counters on coded-wire tagging machines or by manual counts. The number of fence mortalities was added to the total count. Coho juveniles with standard lengths greater than or equal to 70 mm were identified as smolts. Coho smaller than 70 mm tended to be dark with distinct parr marks and lacked the silver colouration typical of smolts. Therefore, this group consisted of presmolts and fry. All coho pre-smolts and fry, and non-coho species were counted and released downstream of the fence during sorting. Upstream migrating juveniles caught in the adult traps were counted and released upstream.

## Biosampling

A random sample of up to 25 smolts (i.e., coho greater than or equal to 70 mm ) were obtained from each day's catch. These smolts were anaesthetized and measured for fork length and weighed using an electronic scale ( 0.1 g ). Scale sampling followed the stratified method of Ketchen, described by Ricker (1975); age sample data (column X on Table 1) included nonrandom samples, and length sample data (column Y on Table 1) and the calculated age representation was based on random sampling. Crews attempted to collect at least 10 scale samples from each 5 mm size class of coho for the study period. Smolts from under-represented size classes were selected to supplement random samples. Mean length and weight data was determined by multiplying the mean length and weight data for each 5 mm bin class by the total number of length and weight samples in that bin class (factor) to come up with a weighted mean length and weight for that bin class. The average length and weight for all sampled fish was determined by summing all the weighted length and weight measurements and dividing by the overall sum of the factors. Scale samples were interpreted by the Fisheries and Oceans Canada Scale Lab, Nanaimo, BC. Secondary quality control checks were performed to ensure a reliable age designation. Scale ages are reported in Gilbert-Rich notation where freshwater age-2 coho (i.e., having survived two winters from egg deposition) have a single freshwater annulus.

Biosampling was also conducted on a sub-sample of cutthroat and steelhead trout and sockeye. Length, weight, scales and DNA tissue samples were obtained from adults and juveniles. No data or analysis are presented here, but the data can be obtained from the principal author.

## Coded-wire Tagging

Coded-wire tagging at Zolzap Creek was performed using a Mark IV tagging machine (Northwest Marine Technology Ltd. Shaw Island, WA). Smolts were anaesthetized in a MS222 bath prior to tagging. All tagged fish were adipose-fin clipped (AFC). The numbers of coho smolts tagged with each tag code and the number of smolts untagged were recorded. All tagged smolts were placed in a holding box in the stream and allowed to recover from the tagging operation before release.

Tag retention tests were conducted for each tag code. A sample of tagged coho smolts (minimum of 200 smolts) were retained in a holding box from 24 h to 96 h . Following the holding period, smolts were lightly anaesthetized and checked for the presence of a coded-wire tag using the quality control device (QCD) from the coded-wire tagging machine. Coho smolts not possessing a tag were checked a second time. The total number of tags detected for each tag group and the total number of fish tested was recorded.

## RESULTS

## Physical Observations

Water temperatures during the smolt migration period at Zolzap Creek ranged from a minimum of $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in late April to a maximum of $8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in mid-June (Fig. 3A). Water level at gauge 2 ( 50 m upstream of the fence) remained steady at a gauge height of 0.3 m from the beginning of monitoring on 20 April until 22 May. Water level rose sharply to 1.5 m within one day following 22 May and then dropped to 0.5 m on 27 May. Water levels continued to remain steady at approximately 0.5 m until 9 June at which time levels began to increase rapidly, flooding the fence on 13 June, ending the spring monitoring period. High water levels in Zolzap Creek occur when the Nass mainstem flow rises causing water to back-up into the creek. Water flow in Zolzap Creek declines to very low velocities during these flooding events.

## Fish Enumerations

The Zolzap Creek juvenile counting fence was operated from 20 April to 13 June 1999. Seining was used to supplement catches at the fence during periods of low water. In addition, approximately 25 to 30 baited gee traps were used during high water periods.

Coho Smolts: A total of 15,153 coho smolts were counted at the fence and included approximately 2,000 coho smolts captured using baited gee traps (Table 2). The maximum daily number of smolts captured at the fence was 1,922 and occurred on 24 May (Table A-1, Fig. 4). An unknown number of smolts moved past the trapping location after the fence was flooded. An additional 850 smolts were estimated to have left the system based on the pattern of migration. There were a total of 1,857 fry and pre-smolt coho counted and released during trapping operations and 59 mortalities (Table A-1).

Non-coho Species: Lampreys (larvae and young adults) were caught in the largest numbers followed by juvenile Dolly Varden, juvenile sockeye, and juvenile cutthroat (Table 3, Table B-1).

## Biosampling: Length, Weight, and Age

The mean fork length of age- 2 smolts was 103.2 mm and the mean weight was 11.1 g (Table 1). Age-3 smolts averaged 114.5 mm and 14.9 g and age- 4 smolts averaged 131.3 mm and 21.5 g . The length-frequency distribution showed substantial overlap between age-2 and age-3 coho (Fig. 5). Age-2 smolts were most numerous in the 100-105 mm length class and
age- 3 smolts were most numerous in the $110-115 \mathrm{~mm}$ length class. Age- 3 coho smolts were significantly larger than age-2 smolts ( t -test, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ). Overall, coho smolts averaged 108.4 mm in length. The calculated freshwater age structure of coho smolts was $56.8 \%$ age- $2,41.4 \%$ age- 3 and $1.6 \%$ age-4 (Table 1).

## Coded-wire Tagging

Mean tag retention was 100\% for tag code 18-43-12 and 99.5\% for tag code 18-43-13 (Table 4). Crews conducted twelve tests for tag code 18-43-12 for a total of 2,027 samples with no tag losses and four tests for tag code 18-43-13 for a total of 800 samples and a total of 4 tag losses.

Releases of adipose-fin clipped coho totalled 14,591 (Table 5; Table C-1). Crews recorded 106 mortalities associated with the tagging process. The total number of coho smolts released with coded-wire tags was 14,572 . Approximately $1.6 \%$ (233) of the captured coho smolts were released untagged during the study period and thus the mark rate of coho smolts released was 1.02 (Table 5). The total number of smolts released was 14,824 .

## ADULT COHO STUDIES

## METHODS

## Population Estimates

An aluminum conduit fence anchored to a crib-type sill was constructed at Zolzap Creek. All salmonids caught at the fence were counted and classified by sex. Sex was distinguished on the basis of length and body morphology. Previous studies at Zolzap Creek (Nass 1996b, 1996c, 1997a, 1997b, Nass 2001, Nass and Frith 2001, Baxter et al. 2001) have shown an absence of jacks in the escapement, and therefore all males were classified as adults. "Jack panels" consisting of one inch wire mesh were used to prevent the passage of small coho through the fence and were used whenever water levels and debris permitted. Each coho was tagged on the operculum with a uniquely numbered Ketchum kurl-lock tag and measured for length. During handling, fish were examined for fin clips or tags that would be associated with coded-wire tagging or mark-recapture studies taking place on the Nass River. All captured fish were released upstream of the fence.

Adult coho abundance downstream of the fence was assessed later in the migration period due to the lack of fish movement past the fence. Delayed migration was the result of persistent low water conditions in Zolzap Creek in the later fall period. During these periods of delayed fish movement, angling was conducted approximately 1 km downstream of the fence in Zolzap Slough to determine relative coho abundance. Coho were examined for sex and AFC's, and a uniquely numbered opercular tag was applied. All fish captured were released back into Zolzap Slough. Live coho were recaptured in upstream surveys and checked for operculum tags. Carcasses were recovered on the fence and during upstream surveys. In 1999, carcasses were recovered primarily in the lower 5 km of the creek.

## Biosampling

All live coho captured at the fence were measured for postorbital-hypural length and examined for fin clips and sex. Data recorded from coho captured at the fence were used to calculate sex ratios and mean length by sex. Crews attempted to sample at least 25 coho a day for scales ( 5 scales per fish). Scale samples were sent to the Fisheries and Oceans Canada scale lab, Nanaimo, BC for age determination. Secondary quality control checks were performed at the scale lab to ensure reliability of the age designations. Scale ages are reported in Gilbert-Rich notation where freshwater age-2 coho (i.e., having survived two winters from egg deposition) have a single freshwater annulus.

Adult returns (calculated by escapement method) and smolt production, by CWT and total populations, were calculated for each brood year where data was available. Smolt output and adult escapement were apportioned between brood years (back-calculated) using the age structure observed in the respective yearly migrations. The sum of freshwater age-2, age-3, and age-4 individuals equals total production for a given brood year. Age composition for smolts and adults by brood year were calculated based on the estimated production. Total survival by brood year was calculated as the age specific adult return divided by the respective smolt production. The smolt-to-spawner ratio for each brood year was calculated as the number of smolts produced divided by the number of adults in the escapement, by brood year. Similarly, the recruit-to-spawner ratio for each brood year was calculated as the number of adults produced divided by the number of adults in the escapement, by brood year.

## Coded-wire Tag Recoveries

Coded-wire tagged smolts were AFC prior to release. Coho smolts at Zolzap Creek were CWT in the spring of 1998 (Baxter et al. 2001) during out-migration.

Escapement: Crews examined all coho captured at the fence for the presence or absence of the adipose fin. The contribution and survival of AFC coho to the escapement was determined using methods presented in Bocking et al. (1992) and modified in Nass (1997a). CWT heads were collected from fish captured at the Nass River fishwheels, fish recovered in the native angling fishery below the fence, and from carcass recoveries.

Commercial and Sport Harvests: Commercial and sport catches of CWT fish are monitored by the Fisheries and Oceans Canada and various US agencies and compiled in the Mark Recovery Program (MRP) and in the ADF\&G mark tag and age lab online searchable database. Data on CWT releases and recaptures are used to estimate the number of fish from a particular stock that have been harvested in the commercial and sport fishery, as well as determining the spatial and temporal distribution of harvests (Kuhn et al. 1988, Nass 1997a). The estimates include catch (observed catch corrected for sampling effort), expanded catch (estimated catch corrected for unmarked fish), exploitation rate (proportion of CWT coho caught in the fishery), and total return (expanded catch plus escapement).

Geographic Distribution of Harvest: Coded-wire tagged fish in the commercial catch are recorded by Canadian and US fishery Statistical Areas. To estimate number of recoveries for each Canadian area, the observed CWT catch was expanded by the mean catch-sampling ratio observed in the Catch Region (e.g., Northern Troll = Stat Areas 1, 3, 4, and 6). Similarly, US troll catch was expanded using the catch sampling ratio by quadrant (e.g., northwest) and the net catch sampling ratios, by district.

## RESULTS

## Physical Observations

During the period that the adult fence was operational, water temperatures ranged from a maximum of $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in early September to a minimum of $3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in mid October (Fig. 3B). Water level ranged from 0.3 m during base flows to 0.7 m during freshets (Fig. 3B).

## Adult Enumerations

The fence was operated continuously from 26 August to 21 October. A total of 1,302 adult coho salmon were counted at the fence (Table 6). Of these, 1,221 adults (adjusted for tag loss) were operculum tagged and released upstream. Maximum daily migration past the fence was 375 adults on 20 October (Table D-1) (Fig. 6).

Coho population assessments below the fence were conducted on 10, 12, 13 September and 7 October. A total of 29 coho were captured using angling. All coho were sexed, opercular tagged and checked for AFC's. Of the 29 tagged coho released, none were recaptured below the fence during the surveys, and $15(52 \%)$ were observed at the fence. Therefore, at least 14 coho remained below the fence.

For non-coho species captured at the fence, Dolly Varden had the greatest abundance (174), followed by pink (71), and chum (32). Cutthroat (28), sockeye (11), and steelhead (1) were also captured at the fence (Table 3). Chum and pink were caught in their greatest numbers in mid-September and sockeye were caught mainly in late September. Cutthroat and Dolly Varden were mainly caught in mid-October. The number of chum and sockeye caught in 1999 were greater than in 1998. The number of cutthroat and Dolly Varden were also more than in 1998. No population estimates were derived for non-coho species.

## Mark-recapture Estimates

Crews examined a total of 186 adult coho carcasses collected on the fence, and in 13 upstream surveys. Surveys were conducted upstream of the fence from 19 October to 1 December at three access locations along the creek. Upstream surveys were conducted on 19 October, and 1,5,9,16 November and 1 December at Goat Creek (a tributary); 26, 28, 30 October, and 3, 7, 12, 23 November at upper Zolzap Creek. Of the 186 adult coho examined, 163 were tagged and 9 had lost their tags which resulted in an estimate of 1,393 adults escaping to Zolzap Creek in 1999 (Table 6).

## Biosampling - Age and Length

A total of 302 coho were sampled for scales, of which 256 were successfully aged (Table 7). Unaged samples included marine regenerates. Adult males and females had different age compositions which averaged $72.3 \%$ and $64.8 \%$ freshwater age-2, and $27.7 \%$ and $35.2 \%$ freshwater age-3, respectively. The total age composition was $69.1 \%$ age- 2 and $30.9 \%$ age-3. All aged scales were recorded as marine age 1 (i.e., having 1 marine annulus).

Mean lengths of adult males and females were $46.6 \mathrm{~cm}(\mathrm{n}=713, \mathrm{SD}=8.8)$ and 52.4 cm ( $\mathrm{n}=574, \mathrm{SD}=4.5$ ), respectively. Adult male coho were widely distributed over the range of 26 to 67 cm with a mode of 53 cm (Fig. 7). Female coho had a mode of 53 cm with a range of 37 to 65 cm . For coho sexed during processing, adult males captured at the fence ( $\mathrm{n}=713$ ) were more abundant than females ( $n=574$ ).

## Coded-wire Tag Recoveries

Escapement: Crews examined 1,294 adult coho at the fence for fin clips of which 451 were AFC ( $34.9 \%$; Table 8). An estimated 486 adipose clipped adult coho returned to Zolzap Creek in 1999. In addition, of the 29 coho examined below the fence, 6 ( $21 \%$ ) were AFC. Of the 6 AFC coho tagged below the fence, 2 were observed at the fence. Therefore, at least 4 AFC coho remained below the fence. Smolt to spawner survival (i.e., includes natural and harvest mortality) for adult coho was estimated at $3.5 \%$.

Thirty-five (35) CWT heads were collected at Zolzap Creek. Of these recoveries, 6 were from the native angling fishery below the fence and 29 were from carcass recoveries. In addition, 4 coho with adipose clips were recovered at the Nass River fishwheels. A total of 31 CWT recoveries from Zolzap Creek were from the 1998 release at Zolzap Creek (code 28-1621), 1 was from the 1997 release at Zolzap Creek (code 28-16-20) and 3 were No-Pin. Of the 4 fishwheel CWT recoveries, 2 were from the 1998 release at Zolzap Creek (code 28-16-21), and 2 were No-Pin.

Commercial and Sport Harvests: Total observed Zolzap Creek coho CWT recoveries were 4 and 158 for Canadian and US (Alaska) fisheries, respectively (Table 9). Observed sport recoveries totalled 1 for the Canadian fisheries and 5 for the Alaskan fishery. All CWT recoveries were from the 1998 release year. Northern Canadian net catch-to-sample ratio was 1.0, while US troll and net ratios were 2.9 and 2.8 , respectively (Table 9). Estimated Zolzap Creek CWT coho catches were $9(1.9 \%)$ and 473 ( $98.1 \%$ ) for Canadian and US fisheries, respectively (Table 9).

Expanded Canadian and US catches were 10 and 535, respectively, for a total of 545 using the CWT mark ratio at release (i.e., MRP method) (Table 10). Expanded Canadian and US catches were 25 and 1,358 , respectively, for a total of 1,393 using the adipose-clip ratio at recovery (i.e., escapement method). Estimated total adult return for Zolzap Creek coho was 1,092 and 2,776 using the MRP and escapement methods, respectively (Table 10).

Of the total commercial catch of Zolzap Creek coho, Canadian fisheries accounted for $0.7 \%$ and the US accounted for $99.3 \%$ of the total commercial catch of Zolzap Creek coho (Table 11). US troll and net fisheries accounted for $80.3 \%$ and $19.7 \%$ of the total US catch, respectively, while Canadian net fisheries accounted for $100 \%$ of the total Canadian catch. Commercial harvest of Zolzap Creek coho occurred over a wide area ranging from Canadian Statistical Areas 1-5 to the US Northern Outside Statistical Area in Alaska (Fig. 8). US harvests were largest in the Southern Inside Statistical Area for the net fishery ( $10.6 \%$ ) and the Central Outside Statistical Area for the troll fishery (35.1\%; Table 11).

Total exploitation rate (Canadian and US combined) on Zolzap Creek coho in 1999 was $49.2 \%$ (Table 12). Total Canadian exploitation rate was $1.2 \%$ (net) and total US exploitation rate was $48.3 \%$ ( $39.0 \%$ troll, $9.3 \%$ net). Total survival based on CWT returns was $7.0 \%$ (Table 12).

## DISCUSSION

Over the past eight years of monitoring, the average number of smolts estimated leaving Zolzap Creek was 29,250 (Table 12). For the same time period, the average age composition of the smolt population was $57.4 \%$ age- $2,41.2 \%$ age- 3 , and $1.4 \%$ age-4.

Adult coho enumerated at the fence in $1999(1,302)$ accounted for $93.5 \%$ of the Peterson population estimate ( 1,393 ). Therefore, approximately 91 adults entered Zolzap Creek during the period in which the fence was not operational. An undetermined number of coho were observed spawning below the fence. The native fishery harvested 23 coho below the fence of which 6 were CWT. Average escapement estimates for 1992-1999 was 1,240 (Table 12).

Data from 1992 to 1997 have indicated that there are no jacks in the Zolzap Creek escapement (Nass 1996b, 1996c, 1997a, 1997b, 2001, Nass and Frith 2001, Baxter et al. 2001). In 1999, CWT and scale ageing data have confirmed again the absence of jacks in the population. There were 39 heads taken for CWT sampling from coho measured between 28 and 63 cm (post-orbital-hypural) and 33 were found to be from 1998 releases and 1 was found to be from the 1997 releases. Only 1 of the samples recovered was from a coho measuring less than 35 cm . This length has been used in previous studies at other BC streams to designate jacks in the escapement and is based on CWT analysis. Both the CWT analysis and scale ageing show that coho less than 35 cm from Zolzap Creek in 1999 were marine age-1.

The Department of Fisheries and Oceans, Canada operates a juvenile and adult fence site at Lachmach River, BC which is used as a Northern BC wild coho indicator stock. Exploitation rates for Lachmach coho have ranged from $44.5 \%$ to $70 \%$ for the 1994-1999 period (Holtby et al 1999, Barry Finnegan, PBS, Nanaimo, pers. comm.). These exploitation rates are very similar to Zolzap exploitation rates for the same time period (Fig. 9). Total survival for Lachmach coho has ranged from $5.5 \%$ (1997) to $17.4 \%$ (1994) and has been consistently higher than Zolzap Creek survivals (Fig. 10).

In Alaska, comprehensive information exists for several southeast stocks, including Hugh Smith Lake (Southern Inside Statistical Area, see Fig. 8), which has been monitored since 1982. Preliminary data for the 1999 return suggests exploitation rates of 0\% Canadian and $70.2 \%$ US ( $70.2 \%$ total; Leon Shaul, Alaska Dept. of Fish and Game, Douglas, AK, pers. comm.). Southeast Alaska and Canadian fisheries accounted for approximately $100 \%$ and $0 \%$ of the commercial catch of Hugh Smith coho, respectively. The total exploitation rate on Hugh Smith coho was substantially higher than exploitation rates of $0.7 \%$ Canadian and $48.5 \%$ US ( $49.2 \%$ total) on Zolzap Creek coho in 1999 (Fig. 9). Preliminary CWT data for the 1999 return of Hugh Smith coho suggest a survival rate of $14.0 \%$ which is substantially higher than for Zolzap Creek coho at 7.0\%. Hugh Smith coho have had substantially higher survivals (1998: 11.4\%, 1997: $8.2 \%, 1996: 17.9 \%, 1995: 13.7 \%, 1994: 19.4 \%, 1993: 13.0 \%$ ) compared to Zolzap coho (1998: $3.1 \%, 1997: 2.4 \%, 1996: 6.6 \%, 1995: 3.6 \%, 1994: 8.9 \%, 1993: 2.1 \%)$ in the past 6 years.

Zolzap Creek CWT coho have been subjected to total exploitation rates between 46.0\% and $72.3 \%$ and have had total survival rates between $2.1 \%$ and $8.9 \%$ over the period 1993 to 1999 (Table 12, Figs. 9, 10). Canadian fisheries have had exploitation rates between $0 \%$ and $21.4 \%$ on Zolzap CWT coho, while US fisheries ranged between $39.2 \%$ and $54.8 \%$ (Fig. 11). Of the total commercial catch of Zolzap Creek coho, Canadian fisheries have averaged $17.6 \%$ and the US has averaged $82.4 \%$, over seven years (Table 12).

Total smolt production by brood year averaged 30,494 (1990-1995) and was composed primarily of freshwater age-2 fish ( $62.1 \%$; Table 13). Adult production by brood year averaged 3,573 (1990-1995) and was $52.6 \%$ age- 2 fish. Age composition at return was substantially different from that observed in the respective smolt populations and varied widely. Freshwater age-4 fish were absent from all adult escapements. Total survival by brood year of all Zolzap coho (unmarked + CWT) averaged 11.9\% (1990-1995; Table 13). Total survival of Zolzap CWT coho was substantially lower at $4.4 \%$. Higher survival for all coho compared to CWT coho is likely due to a significant number of unmarked smolts leaving Zolzap during nonoperational periods (Nass 1996c). The effects of these conditions are evident from the historical data which shows the AFC at release has been roughly three times that of the AFC rate at return for the period 1993-1999 at Zolzap Creek (Table 8). Therefore, by using only CWT fish, the uncertainty around the number of fish released is eliminated and produces a more accurate estimate of survival.

Estimates of total survival and exploitation are based on the assumption that all CWT coho are recovered in fisheries or on the spawning grounds. At Zolzap Creek, it is possible that the escapement of AFC coho is underestimated due to straying. Coho are known to spawn downstream of Zolzap Creek in Zolzap Slough (a side channel to the Nass River) where some CWT coho may return. In addition, a total of four adipose clipped coho were recovered in the fishwheels above Zolzap Creek in 1999 which tends to confirm our theory of straying. Straying would affect Zolzap Creek survival and exploitation estimates by underestimating survival and overestimating exploitation rates.

Zolzap Creek coho survivals may also be lower than Lachmach and Hugh Smith coho due to predator/prey interactions, with Zolzap Creek coho being more vulnerable to predation during their outmigration. Large river systems that broadcast smolts in lower densities from several areas may be less susceptible to predation than smaller river systems (eg: Zolzap Creek) that have concentrated smolts and therefore a better developed predator community (Leon Shaul, Alaska Dept. of Fish and Game, Douglas, AK, pers. comm.).

The number of smolts per spawner was 21.7 for the 1995 brood year. This value is conservative as the number of smolts released was likely underestimated. The number of recruits per spawner was 1.7 for the 1995 brood year.
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Table 1. Age - length distribution of Zolzap Creek coho smolts, 1999.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Size-Class } \\ & (\mathrm{mm}) \end{aligned}$ | Age |  |  |  | Length Sample$\qquad$ | Calculated Age |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sample | Age-groups in X |  |  |  | Repr | ation in |  |
|  | (X) | 2 | 3 | 4 |  | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 70 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 75 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 7.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 80 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 15 | 12.9 | 2.1 | 0.0 |
| 85 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 26.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 90 | 28 | 26 | 2 | 0 | 46 | 42.7 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 95 | 49 | 45 | 4 | 0 | 106 | 97.3 | 8.7 | 0.0 |
| 100 | 85 | 57 | 28 | 0 | 160 | 107.3 | 52.7 | 0.0 |
| 105 | 87 | 53 | 34 | 0 | 170 | 103.6 | 66.4 | 0.0 |
| 110 | 93 | 41 | 52 | 0 | 149 | 65.7 | 83.3 | 0.0 |
| 115 | 53 | 18 | 35 | 0 | 105 | 35.7 | 69.3 | 0.0 |
| 120 | 32 | 12 | 19 | 1 | 56 | 21.0 | 33.3 | 1.8 |
| 125 | 23 | 7 | 14 | 2 | 45 | 13.7 | 27.4 | 3.9 |
| 130 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 21 | 0.0 | 17.5 | 3.5 |
| 135 | 9 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 18 | 0.0 | 16.0 | 2.0 |
| 140 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 0.0 | 4.7 | 2.3 |
| 145 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 |
| 150 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 |
| 155 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 160 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 165 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 170 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 175 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 180 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 |
| Mean length |  |  |  |  | 108.4 | 103.2 | 114.5 | 131.3 |
| SD |  |  |  |  | 12.6 | 10.3 | 11.2 | 6.6 |
| Mean weight (g) |  |  |  |  | 12.8 | 11.1 | 14.9 | 21.5 |
| SD |  |  |  |  | 4.3 | 3.2 | 4.4 | 3.7 |
| Total samples | 497 | 282 | 208 | 7 | 943 | 536 | 391 | 15 |
| \% contribution |  | 56.7 | 41.9 | 1.4 |  | 56.8 | 41.4 | 1.6 |

Table 2. Coho smolt catch at Zolzap Creek enumeration fence, by week, in 1999.

| Week ending | Catch |
| :--- | ---: |
| 24-Apr | 34 |
| 1-May | 68 |
| 8-May | 168 |
| 15-May | 1,252 |
| 22-May | 5,885 |
| 29-May | 5,617 |
| 5-Jun | 1,659 |
| 12-Jun | 460 |
| 19-Jun | 10 |
| Total | 15,153 |

Table 3. Non-coho catch at the spring juvenile and fall adult fences at Zoizap Creek, 1992-1999 ${ }^{\text {a }}$.

| Species | Time/lifestage | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | Avg. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pink | Fall Adult | 115 | 149 | 251 | 52 | 72 | 44 | 23 | 71 | 97 |
| Chum | Spring Juvenile |  |  |  |  |  | 344 | 549 | 79 | 324 |
|  | Fall Adult | 30 | 111 | 68 | 8 | 19 | 42 | 24 | 32 | 42 |
| Sockeye | Spring Juvenile | 4 | 244 | 328 | 189 | 119 | 0 | 798 | 231 | 239 |
|  | Fall Adult | 4 | 11 | 28 | 7 | 0 | 39 | 10 | 11 | 14 |
| Cutthroat | Spring Juvenile | 12 | 69 | 36 | 67 | 121 | 42 | 268 | 141 | 95 |
|  | Spring Adult | 308 | 278 | 224 | 43 | 55 | 2 | 117 | 30 | 132 |
|  | Fall Adult | 17 | 27 | 14 | 28 | 18 | 12 | 14 | 28 | 20 |
| Dolly Varden | Spring Juvenile | 682 | 309 | 339 | 518 | 711 | 337 | 732 | 647 | 534 |
|  | Spring Adult | 644 | 728 | 1529 | 28 | 44 | 7 | 25 | 5 | 376 |
|  | Fall Adult | 9 | 21 | 10 | 81 | 39 | 21 | 30 | 174 | 48 |
| Steelhead | Spring Juvenile | 11 | 15 | 36 | 12 | 30 | 4 | 82 | 33 | 28 |
|  | Spring Adult | 33 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
|  | Fall Adult | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 2 |
| Lamprey ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Spring Juvenile | 749 | 906 | 1277 | 2314 | 1333 | 1794 | 2264 | 1806 | 1555 |
|  | Spring Adult | - | - | - | - | 28 | 97 | 144 | 199 | 117 |
|  | Fall Adult | - | - | - | 2 | 16 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 5 |

[^2]Table 4. Coded-wire tag retention rates for Zolzap Creek coho smolts, 1999

| Sampling <br> Date | Tagging Date | Tag code | Hours held | Sample <br> size | No. fish no tag | Percent retention |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10-May | 8-May | 18-43-12 | 48 | 73 | 0 | 100 |
| 12-May | 10-May | 18-43-12 | 48 | 85 | 0 | 100 |
| 14-May | 12-May | 18-43-12 | 48 | 69 | 0 | 100 |
| 15-May | 14-May | 18-43-12 | 24 | 200 | 0 | 100 |
| 16-May | 15-May | 18-43-12 | 24 | 200 | 0 | 100 |
| 17-May | 16-May | 18-43-12 | 24 | 200 | 0 | 100 |
| 18-May | 17-May | 18-43-12 | 24 | 200 | 0 | 100 |
| 19-May | 18-May | 18-43-12 | 24 | 200 | 0 | 100 |
| 20-May | 19-May | 18-43-12 | 24 | 200 | 0 | 100 |
| 21-May | 20-May | 18-43-12 | 24 | 200 | 0 | 100 |
| 22-May | 21-May | 18-43-12 | 24 | 200 | 0 | 100 |
| 23-May | 22-May | 18-43-12 | 24 | 200 | 0 | 100 |
| Subtotal |  |  |  | 2,027 | 0 | 100.0 |
| 29-May | 28-May | 18-43-13 | 24 | 200 | 3 | 98.5 |
| 1-Jun | 29-May | 18-43-13 | 72 | 200 | 0 | 100 |
| 3-Jun | 1-Jun | 18-43-13 | 48 | 200 | 0 | 100 |
| 9 -Jun | 6-Jun | 18-43-13 | 72 | 200 | 1 | 99.5 |
| Total |  |  |  | 800 | 4 | 99.5 |

Table 5. Coded-wire tagged coho smolt releases from Zolzap Creek, 1999.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Tag } \\ \text { code } \end{gathered}$ | Tagging dates | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { No. } \\ \text { AFC } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Tag morts | No. released AFC | $\begin{array}{r} \text { No. } \\ \text { tagged }^{\text {a }} \end{array}$ | AFC only ${ }^{\text {No. }}$ | No. released untagged ${ }^{\circ}$ | release ${ }^{\text {Total }}$ | CWT mark rate ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18-43-12 | 8 May - 25 May | 10,943 | 76 | 10,867 | 10,867 | 0 | 205 | 11,072 | 1.02 |
| 18-43-13 | 28 May - 9 June | 3,754 | 30 | 3,724 | 3,705 | 19 | 28 | 3,752 | 1.01 |
|  | Total | 14,697 | 106 | 14,591 | 14,572 | 19 | 233 | 14,824 | 1.02 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ No. tagged (corrected for tag loss) $=$ No. released AFC - (No. released AFC * No. lost tags / No. sampled); see Table 4.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ No. AFC only $=\mathrm{No}$. released AFC - No. tagged
${ }^{c}$ No. released untagged $=$ the number of unmarked
${ }^{d}$ Total release $=$ No. tagged + AFC only + untagged
${ }^{\text {c }}$ CWT mark rate $=$ Total release $/$ No. tagged

Table 6. Fence enumerations, carcass recoveries, and Petersen population estimates for adult coho escapement at Zolzap Creek, 1999.

| Item | Adults | Total |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |
| Number live coho captured at fence | 1,302 | 1,302 |
| Number of live coho released untagged | 11 | 11 |
| Number live coho operculum tagged | $1,221^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 1,221 |
| Number coho carcasses recovered | 186 | 186 |
| Number of coho carcasses recovered untagged | 23 | 23 |
| Number of coho carcasses recovered tagged | 163 | 163 |
|  |  |  |
|  | 1,393 | 1,393 |
|  | 1,623 | 1,623 |
|  | 1,196 | 1,196 |
|  |  |  |

[^3]Table 7. Freshwater age distribution of adult coho at Zolzap Creek, 1999.

| Sex | Age 2 |  |  | Age 3 |  |  | Total | Total <br> unaged | Total <br> sampled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | $\%$ |  | No. | $\%$ | $\%$ |  |  |  |
| adult males | 107 | 72.3 |  | 41 | 27.7 | 148 | 28 | 176 |  |
| Adult females | 70 | 64.8 |  | 38 | 35.2 | 108 | 18 | 126 |  |
| Total adults | 177 | 69.1 |  | 79 | 30.9 | 256 | 46 | 302 |  |

Table 8. Estimates of total escapement of adipose clipped coho and contribution to escapement at Zolzap Creek, 1993-1999.

| Year |  | No. No. with <br> examined adipose clips <br> (A) (B) |  | $\begin{gathered} \% \mathrm{AFC} \\ (\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{A} \times 100) \end{gathered}$ | Populationestimate(D) | $\%$ Estimated <br> sampled adipose clips <br> $(\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{D} \times 100)$ $(\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{AxD})$ |  | No. smolts ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | Contribution to escap. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Smolt to spawner (\%) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | AFC |  |  |  | unclipped | \% AFC |  |  |
|  | 1993 | 784 | 191 |  | 24.4 | 1,048 | 74.8 | 255 | 33,923 | 6,678 | 83.6 | 306 | 0.8 |
|  | 1994 | 2,416 | 499 | 20.7 | 2,536 | 95.3 | 524 | 22,986 | 3,348 | 87.3 | 600 | 2.3 |
|  | 1995 | 906 | 308 | 34.0 | 908 | 99.8 | 309 | 29,615 | 4,804 | 86.0 | 359 | 1.0 |
|  | 1996 | 1,030 | 218 | 21.2 | 1,039 | 99.1 | 220 | 10,166 | 2,203 | 82.2 | 268 | 2.2 |
|  | 1997 | 462 | 201 | 43.5 | 470 | 98.3 | 204 | 20,625 | 1,265 | 94.2 | 206 | 1.1 |
|  | 1998 | 963 | 212 | 22.0 | 967 | 99.6 | 213 | 13,566 | 992 | 93.2 | 228 | 1.6 |
|  | 1999 | 1,294 | 451 | 34.9 | 1,393 | 92.9 | 486 | 13,950 | 1,771 | 88.7 | 547 | 3.5 |
|  | Avg. | 1,122 | 297 | 29 | 1,194 | 94 | 316 | 20,690 | 3,009 | 88 | 359 | 1.8 |

[^4]Table 9. Estimated Canadian and American commercial and sport harvest of Zolzap Creek CWT coho in 1999 using tag recovery data (Mark Recovery Program, Fisheries and Oceans, Canada, and ADF\&G mark tag and age lab, online searchable database).

| Tag | Observed CWT catch ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  | Catch-sample ratio ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | Estimated CWT catch ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| code | N. Troll | N. Net | Sport | Total | N. Troll | N. Net | Sport | N. Troll | N. Net | Sport | Total |

## Canadian

| 28-16-21 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 5.6 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total | 0 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 5.6 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 9 |

American

| 28-16-21 | 122 | 31 | 5 | 158 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 6.5 | 354 | 87 | 32 | 473 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total | 122 | 31 | 5 | 158 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 6.5 | 354 | 87 | 32 | 473 |
| Total | 122 | 34 | 6 | 162 | 2.9 | 3.8 | 12.0 | 354 | 90 | 38 | 482 |

Total commercial 444

Total sport 38

Total native fishery ${ }^{\text {d }} 6$

Totai escapement ${ }^{\text {e }} \quad 492$

Total CWT 980

[^5]Table 10. Expanded Canadian and American commercial and sport harvest of Zotzap Creek coho and estimated total
return, 1999.

| $\begin{array}{r} \text { Tag } \\ \text { code } \end{array}$ | Totalrelease | Smolts <br> tagged ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mark } \\ & \text { rate } \end{aligned}$ | Expanded catch ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Contribution escap. | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Total } \\ \text { return }{ }^{\text {d }} \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Canadian |  |  |  | American |  |  |  | Grand <br> Total |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Troll | Net | Sport | Total | Troll | Net | Sport | Total |  |  |  |
| 28-16-21 | 15,721 | 13,900 | 1.13 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 10 | 401 | 98 | 36 | 535 | 545 |  | : |
| Total $1^{\text {c }}$ | 15,721 | 13,900 | 1.13 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 10 | 401 | 98 | 36 | 535 | 545 | 547 | 1,092 |
| Total $2^{\text {f }}$ |  |  | 2.87 | 0 | 9 | 16 | 25 | 1,017 | 249 | 93 | 1,358 | 1,383 | 1,393 | 2,776 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Number smolts released with tags (corrected for tag loss), Nass and Frith (1999).
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Mark rate at release ( $=$ No. released / No. marked) for smolts and Total 1 (MRP method), and mark rate at return for total 2 (Escapement method). ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Expanded catch $=$ EST * mark rate at release
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Total return $=$ expanded catch + escapement
${ }^{\text {e }}$ Total 1 expanded catch is calculated using the total mark rate at release and the total estimated catch for all tag codes (Table 9).
${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ Total 2 expanded catch is calculated using the total adipose clip rate at recovery and the total estimated catch for all tag codes (Table 9).

Table 11. Estimated commercial harvest distribution of Zolzap Creek CWT coho by area and gear type, 1999. Percentage is of total commercial harvest (does not include sport recoveries).

| Area ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Net | \% | Troll | \% | Total | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Canada |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Areas 1-5 | 3 | 0.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.7 |
| subtotal | 3 | 0.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.7 |
| U.S.A. (Alaska) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northern Outside | 0 | 0.0 | 27 | 6.2 | 27 | 6.2 |
| Central Outside | 0 | 0.0 | 156 | 35.1 | 156 | 35.1 |
| Southern Outside | 21 | 4.8 | 46 | 10.4 | 67 | 15.1 |
| Southern Inside | 47 | 10.6 | 81 | 18.3 | 128 | 28.8 |
| Central Inside | 19 | 4.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 19 | 4.2 |
| Southern Intermediate | 0 | 0.0 | 36 | 8.2 | 36 | 8.2 |
| Central Intermediate | 0 | 0.0 | 8 | 1.8 | 8 | 1.8 |
| subtotal | 87 | 19.5 | 355 | 79.8 | 442 | 99.3 |
| TOTAL | 90 | 20.2 | 355 | 79.8 | 445 | 100.0 |

[^6]Table 12. Adult and juvenile coho enumeration and age composition, and exploitation and survival at Zolzap Creek, 1992-1999.

| Migration Year | Smolt Out-migration |  |  |  |  |  | Adult Escapement |  |  |  |  | Can |  | US |  | Total Total\%Exp. $\%$ Surv. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Estimate | CWT | \% Age2 | Age3 | \% Age 4 | Count | Estimate | Return | \% Age2 | \% Age3 | \%Exp. | \% Cat. | \%Exp. | \% Cat. |  |  |
| 1992 | 40,601 | 53,000 | 33,150 | 54.3 | 45.7 | 0.0 | 691 | 1,561 | - | 79.0 | 21.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1993 | 26,334 | 51,000 | 22,649 | 67.9 | 32.1 | 0.0 | 794 | 1,048 | 2,832 | 58.3 | 41.7 | 15.5 | 24.6 | 47.5 | 75.4 | 63.0 | 2.1 |
| 1994 | 34,419 | 41,000 | 29,319 | 29.8 | 69.5 | 0.7 | 2,438 | 2,536 | 9,645 | 60.4 | 39.6 | 18.6 | 25.7 | 53.7 | 74.3 | 72.3 | 8.9 |
| 1995 | 12,369 | 13,000 | 10,156 | 55.1 | 37.9 | 7.0 | 908 | 908 | 3,057 | 41.3 | 58.7 | 12.9 | 19.0 | 54.8 | 81.0 | 67.7 | 3.6 |
| 1996 | 20,745 | 23,000 | 20,519 | 72.2 | 27.1 | 0.7 | 1,039 | 1,039 | 3,159 | 53.2 | 46.8 | 21.4 | 35.3 | 39.2 | 64.7 | 60.5 | 6.6 |
| 1997 | 15,099 | 18,000 | 13,566 | 65.1 | 34.9 | 0.0 | 470 | 470 | 1,072 | 70.8 | 29.2 | 8.8 | 16.2 | 45.4 | 83.8 | 54.2 | 2.4 |
| 1998 | 15,937 | 19,000 | 13,900 | 58.3 | 40.5 | 1.2 | 967 | 967 | 1,986 | 32.1 | 67.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 46.0 | 100.0 | 46.0 | 2.9 |
| 1999 | 15,153 | 16,000 | 14,572 | 56.8 | 41.5 | 1.6 | 1,302 | 1,393 | 2,808 | 69.1 | 30.9 | 1.2 | 3.1 | 48.3 | 96.9 | 49.5 | 7.0 |
| Average | 22,582 | 29,250 | 19,729 | 57.4 | 41.2 | 1.4 | 1,076 | 1,240 | 3,508 | 58.0 | 42.0 | 11.2 | 17.7 | 47.8 | 82.3 | 59.0 | 4.8 |

Table 13. Adult and juvenile coho production and age composition by brood year, Zolzap Ereek, 1990-1997³.

| Brood | Smolt Production |  |  |  | Adult Returns |  |  | Smolts |  |  | Adults |  | \% Total Survival |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Age 2 | Age 3 | Age 4 | Total | Age 2 | Age 3 | Total | \% Age 2 \% | \%Age 3 | \%Age 4 | \% Age 2 | \%Age 3 | Age 2 | Age 3 | Age 4 | Total |
| 1990 | 18,000 | 7,270 | 205 | 25,476 | 402 | 802 | 1,204 | 70.7 | 28.5 | 0.8 | 33.4 | 66.6 | 2.2 | 11.0 | 0.0 | 4.7 |
| 1991 | 15,379 | 20,377 | 711 | 36,466 | 1,223 | 628 | 1,851 | 42.2 | 55.9 | 1.9 | 66.1 | 33.9 | 8.0 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 5.1 |
| 1992 | 8,737 | 3,849 | 144 | 12,730 | 441 | 315 | 757 | 68.6 | 30.2 | 1.1 | 58.3 | 41.7 | 5.1 | 8.2 | 0.0 | 5.9 |
| 1993 | 5,596 | 5,561 | 0 | 11,157 | 359 | 136 | 495 | 50.2 | 49.8 | 0.0 | 72.5 | 27.5 | 6.4 | 2.4 | - | 4.4 |
| 1994 | 14,815 | 4,735 | 167 | 19,716 | 330 | 297 | 627 | 75.1 | 24.0 | 0.8 | 52.7 | 47.3 | 2.2 | 6.3 | 0.0 | 3.2 |
| 1995 | 8,831 | 5,630 | 239 | 14,700 | 140 | 303 | 443 | 60.1 | 38.3 | 1.6 | 31.7 | 68.3 | 1.6 | 5.4 | 0.0 | 3.0 |
| 1996 | 8,104 | 6,048 | - | 14,151 | 677 | - | 677 | 57.3 | 42.7 | - | - | - | 8.4 | - | - | 4.8 |
| 1997 | 8,280 | - | - | 8,280 | - | - | 0 | 100.0 | - | - | - | - | . | - | - | 0.0 |
| Avg. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 11,893 | 7,903 | 244 | 20,041 | 483 | 413 | 896 | 61.1 | 37.8 | 1.1 | 52.4 | 47.6 | 4.2 | 6.1 | 0.0 | 4.4 |


| Brood | Smolt Production |  |  |  | Adult Returns |  |  | Smolts |  |  | Adults |  | \% Total Survival |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Age 2 | Age 3 | Age 4 | Total | Age 2 | Age 3 | Total | \% Age 2 | \%Age 3 | \%Age 4 | \% Age 2 | \%Age 3 | Age 2 | Age 3 | Age 4 | Total |
| 1990 | 28,779 | 16,371 | 287 | 45,437 | 1,651 | 3,819 | 5,470 | 63.3 | 36.0 | 0.6 | 30.2 | 69.8 | 5.7 | 23.3 | 0.0 | 12.0 |
| 1991 | 34,629 | 28,495 | 910 | 64,034 | 5,826 | 1,794 | 7,620 | 54.1 | 44.5 | 1.4 | 76.5 | 23.5 | 16.8 | 6.3 | 0.0 | 11.9 |
| 1992 | 12,218 | 4,927 | 161 | 17,306 | 1,263 | 1,478 | 2,741 | 70.6 | 28.5 | 0.9 | 46.1 | 53.9 | 10.3 | 30.0 | 0.0 | 15.8 |
| 1993 | 7,163 | 6,233 | 0 | 13,396 | 1,681 | 313 | 1,994 | 53.5 | 46.5 | 0.0 | 84.3 | 15.7 | 23.5 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 14.9 |
| 1994 | 16,606 | 6,282 | 228 | 23,116 | 759 | 1,348 | 2,107 | 71.8 | 27.2 | 1.0 | 36.0 | 64.0 | 4.6 | 21.5 | 0.0 | 9.1 |
| 1995 | 11,718 | 7,695 | 263 | 19,676 | 638 | 868 | 1,505 | 59.6 | 39.1 | 1.3 | 42.4 | 57.6 | 5.4 | 11.3 | 0.0 | 7.6 |
| 1996 | 11,077 | 6,640 | - | 17,717 | 1,940 | - | 1,940 | 62.5 | 37.5 | . | - | - | 17.5 | . | - | 10.9 |
| 1997 | 9,091 | . | - | 9,091 | - | - | 0 | 100.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.0 |
| Avg. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 18,519 | 11,667 | 308 | 30,494 | 1,969 | 1,604 | 3,573 | 62.1 | 37.0 | 0.9 | 52.6 | 47.4 | 11.1 | 16.2 | 0.0 | 11.9 |

[^7]
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Figure 1. The Nass River watershed, British Columbia.


Figure 2. Zolzap Creek and location of enumeration fence.

Figure 3. Water level and temperature at Zolzap Creek, 1999.

Figure 4. Daily migration of coho smolts at Zolzap Creek, 21 April - 13 June, 1999.


Figure 6. Daily counts of adult coho at the Zolzap Creek enumeration fence, 26 Aug - 21 Oct, 1999


Figure 7. Length-frequency distribution of coho, by sex, Zolzap Creek, 1999.


Figure 8. Fisheries Statistical Areas for the north coast of British Columbia and southeast Alaska, and commerical harvest distribution of Zolzap Creek CWT coho, 1999.


Figure 9. Exploitation rates for three wild coho indicator stocks.


Figure 10. Total percent survivals for three wild coho indicator stocks.


Figure 11. Canadian and Alaskan expoitation rates on Zolzap Creek coho, 1993-1999.

## APPENDICES

Table A-1. Juvenile coho catch at Zolzap Creek enumeration fence, 1999.

| Date | fry/presmolts | smolts | morts |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21-Apr | 5 | 6 | 0 |
| 22-Apr | 0 | 16 | 0 |
| 23-Apr | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| 24-Apr | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| $25-\mathrm{Apr}$ | 3 | 2 | 0 |
| 26-Apr | 2 | 11 | 0 |
| 27-Apr | 6 | 11 | 0 |
| 28-Apr | 0 | 13 | 0 |
| 29-Apr | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| 30-Apr | 10 | 14 | 0 |
| 1-May | 1 | 7 | 0 |
| 2-May | 0 | 12 | 0 |
| 3-May | 1 | 7 | 0 |
| 4-May | 0 | 44 | 0 |
| 5-May | 0 | 12 | 0 |
| 6-May | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| 7-May | 0 | 49 | 0 |
| 8-May | 2 | 34 | 0 |
| 9-May | 2 | 43 | 0 |
| 10-May | 2 | 46 | 0 |
| 11-May | 2 | 35 | 0 |
| 12-May | 3 | 38 | 0 |
| 13-May | 1 | 215 | 3 |
| 14-May | 1 | 301 | 0 |
| 15-May | 1 | 574 | 1 |
| 16-May | 3 | 818 | 0 |
| 17-May | 4 | 1,484 | 1 |
| 18-May | 8 | 898 | 0 |
| 19-May | 21 | 997 | 0 |
| 20-May | 4 | 650 | 0 |
| 21-miay | 6 | 382 | 0 |
| 22-May | 0 | 656 | 0 |
| 23-May | 0 | 1,353 | 0 |
| 24-May | 96 | 1,922 | 0 |
| 25-May | 210 | 560 | 0 |
| 26-May | 193 | 361 | 0 |
| 27-May | 359 | 237 | 21 |
| 28-May | 155 | 783 | 3 |
| 29-May | 182 | 401 | 0 |
| 30-May | 50 | 104 | 0 |
| 31-May | 47 | 199 | 1 |
| 1-Jun | 50 | 520 | 3 |
| 2-Jun | 17 | 220 | 3 |
| 3-Jun | 19 | 180 | 14 |
| 4-Jun | 33 | 251 | 0 |

Table A-1. Juvenile coho catch at Zolzap Creek enumeration fence, 1999.

| Date | fry/presmolts | smolts | morts |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| 5-Jun | 67 | 185 | 3 |
| 6-Jun | 28 | 120 | 0 |
| 7-Jun | 110 | 106 | 2 |
| 8-Jun | 14 | 73 | 4 |
| 9-Jun | 16 | 79 | 0 |
| 10-Jun | 40 | 65 | 0 |
| 11-Jun | 45 | 17 | 0 |
| 12-Jun | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13-Jun | 38 | 10 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1,857 |  | 59 |
|  |  |  |  |

Table B-1. Non-coho catch at Zolzap Creek enumeration fence, 1999.

| Date | Steelhead |  | Cutthroat |  | D. Varden |  | Sockeye Juvenile | Chum Juvenile | Cottid | Lamprey | Stickleback |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Juvenile | Adult | Juvenile | Adult | Juvenile | Adult |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21-Apr | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 90 | 0 |
| 22-Apr | 1. | 0 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 132 | 4 |
| 23-Apr | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 73 | 7 |
| 24-Apr | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 125 | 0 |
| 25-Apr | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 133 | 4 |
| 26-Apr | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 93 | 10 |
| 27-Apr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 114 | 6 |
| 28-Apr | 1 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 43 | 5 |
| 29-Apr | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 15 | 3 |
| 30-Apr | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 64 | 8 |
| 1-May | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 12 | 2 |
| 2-May | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 3 | 18 | 5 |
| 3-May | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 5 | 83 | 4 |
| 4-May | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 30 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 52 | 7 |
| 5-May | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 3 | 78 | 1 |
| 6-May | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 30 | 2 |
| 7-May | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 22 | 1 |
| 8-May | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 32 | 2 |
| 9-May | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 45 | 7 |
| 10-May | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 23 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 4 |
| 11-May | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 11 | 2 |
| 12-May | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 15 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 0 |
| 13-May | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 20 | 2 |
| 14-May | 1 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 22 | 2 |
| 15-May | 2 | 0 | 16 | 4 | 27 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 26 | 1 |
| 16-May | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 5 | 17 | 1 |
| 17-May | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 23 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 3 |
| 18-May | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 2 |
| 19-May | 1 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 18 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 21 | 4 |
| 20-May | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 4 | 60 | 4 |

Table B-1. Non-coho catch at Zolzap Creek enumeration fence, 1999.

| Date | Steelhead |  | Cutthroat |  | D. Varden |  | Sockeye Juvenile | Chum Juvenile | Cottid | Lamprey | Stickleback |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Juvenile | Adult | Juvenile | Adult | Juvenile | Adult |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21-May | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 3 |
| 22-May | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 25 | 1 |
| 23-May | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 3 |
| 24-May | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 25-May | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 26-May | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 27-May | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 28-May | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 16 | 5 | 2 | 24 | 4 |
| 29-May | 4 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 3 | 174 | 4 |
| 30-May | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 24 | 5 | 3 | 25 | 1 |
| 31-May | 5 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 37 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 41 | 0 |
| 1-Jun | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 22 | 3 |
| 2-Jun | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 21 | 1 |
| 3-Jun | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 30 | 2 |
| 4-Jun | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0. | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 17 | 1 |
| 5-Jun | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 0 |
| 6-Jun | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 14 | 0 |
| 7-Jun | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 1 |
| 8 -Jun | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 |
| 9-Jun | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 |
| 10-Jun | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| 11-Jun | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| 12-Jun | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13-Jun | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 |
| Total | 33 | 0 | 141 | 30 | 647 | 5 | 231 | 79 | 119 | 2,005 | 129 |

Table C-1. Coded-wire tagging data for coho smolts at Zolzap Creek, 1999.

|  | Total | Fence | Tag | No. | Tag | No. rlsd. | No. rlsd. |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Date | Tade <br> smolts | morts <br> code | AFC | morts | untagged | AFC |  |


| 21-Apr | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $22-\mathrm{Apr}$ | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 |
| 23-Apr | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| 24-Apr | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| 25-Apr | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 26-Apr | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 |
| 27-Apr | 11 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 |
| 28-Apr | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 |
| 29-Apr | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| 30-Apr | 14 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 |
| 1-May | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| 2-May | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 |
| 3-May | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| 4-May | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 0 |
| 5-May | 12 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6-May | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7-May | 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8-May | 34 | 0 | 18-43-12 | 83 | 10 | 0 | 73 |
| 9-May | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10-May | 46 | 0 | 18-43-12 | 89 | 4 | 0 | 85 |
| 11-May | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12-May | 38 | 1 | 18-43-12 | 72 | 3 | 0 | 69 |
| 13-May | 215 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14-May | 301 | 0 | 18-43-12 | 509 | 5 | 6 | 504 |
| 15-May | 574 | 1 | 18-43-12 | 572 | 3 | 0 | 569 |
| 16-May | 818 | 0 | 18-43-12 | 817 | 6 | 1 | 811 |
| 17-May | 1,484 | 0 | 18-43-12 | 1,482 | 8 | 2 | 1,474 |
| 18-May | 898 | 0 | 18-43-12 | 897 | 5 | 0 | 892 |
| 19-May | 997 | 0 | 18-43-12 | 992 | 6 | 5 | 986 |
| 20-May | 650 | 0 | 18-43-12 | 649 | 5 | 1 | 644 |
| 21-May | 382 | 1 | 18-43-12 | 379 | 4 | 2 | 375 |
| 22-May | 656 | 0 | 18-43-12 | 652 | 5 | 4 | 647 |
| 23-May | 1,353 | 3 | 18-43-12 | 1,345 | 5 | 5 | 1,340 |
| 24-May | 1,922 | 65 | 18-43-12 | 1,845 | 5 | 12 | 1,840 |
| 25-May | 560 | 0 | 18-43-12 | 560 | 2 | 0 | 558 |
| 26-May | 361 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 27-May | 237 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| 28-May | 783 | 4 | 18-43-13 | 1,352 | 15 | 7 | 1,337 |
| 29-May | 401 | 2 | 18-43-13 | 396 | 4 | 1 | 392 |
| 30-May | 104 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 31-May | 199 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1-Jun | 520 | 3 | 18-43-13 | 811 | 4 | 7 | 807 |
| 2-jun | 220 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3-Jun | 180 | 0 | 18-43-13 | 395 | 3 | 2 | 392 |
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Table C-1. Coded-wire tagging data for coho smolts at Zolzap Creek, 1999.

| Date | Total smolts | Fence morts | Tag code | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } \\ \text { AFC } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Tag } \\ \text { morts } \end{array}$ | No. risd. untagged | No. rlsd. <br> AFC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4-Jun | 251 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5-Jun | 185 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6-Jun | 120 | 0 | 18-43-13 | 548 | 2 | 6 | 546 |
| 7-Jun | 106 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8-Jun | 73 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9-Jun | 79 | 0 | 18-43-13 | 252 | 2 | 5 | 250 |
| 10-Jun | 65 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11-Jun | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12-Jun | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13-Jun | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 15,153 | 96 | - | 14,697 | 106 | 233 | 14,591 |

Table D-1. Daily counts of adult coho at Zolzap Creek enumeration fence, 1999.

| Date | No. examined | No. operculum tagged |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| 10-Sep | 1 | 1 |
| 11-Sep | 0 | 0 |
| 12-Sep | 0 | 0 |
| 13-Sep | 0 | 0 |
| 14-Sep | 0 | 0 |
| 15-Sep | 0 | 0 |
| 16-Sep | 0 | 0 |
| 17-Sep | 0 | 0 |
| 18-Sep | 0 | 0 |
| 19-Sep | 0 | 0 |
| 20-Sep | 0 | 0 |
| 21-Sep | 0 | 0 |
| 22-Sep | 0 | 0 |
| 23-Sep | 54 | 53 |
| 24-Sep | 199 | 198 |
| 25-Sep | 17 | 16 |
| 26-Sep | 9 | 9 |
| 27-Sep | 7 | 7 |
| 28-Sep | 2 | 2 |
| 29-Sep | 1 | 1 |
| 30-Sep | 1 | 1 |
| 1-Oct | 0 | 0 |
| 2-Oct | 0 | 0 |
| 3-Oct | 0 | 0 |
| 4-Oct | 0 | 0 |
| 5-Oct | 0 | 0 |
| 6-Oct | 0 | 0 |
| 7-Oct | 0 | 0 |
| 8-Oct | 237 | 235 |
| 9-Oct | 110 | 109 |
| 10-Oct | 0 | 0 |
| 11-Oct | 0 | 0 |
| 12-Oct | 0 | 0 |
| 13-Oct | 30 | 30 |
| 14-Oct | 43 | 43 |
| 15-Oct | 18 | 17 |
| 16-Oct | 2 | 2 |
| 17-Oct | 28 | 28 |
| 18-Oct | 25 | 25 |
| 19-Oct | 125 | 123 |
| 20-Oct | 375 | 374 |
| 21-Oct | 18 | 18 |
| Totals | 1,302 | 1,292 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1} 9768$ Second St., Sidney, BC V8L 3Y8
    ${ }^{2}$ P.O. Box 231, New Aiyansh, BC V0J 1A0

[^1]:    (C) Minister of Supply and Services Canada 2002 Cat. No. Fs 99-4/2597E ISSN 0706-6473

[^2]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Trapping effort not equal between years.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Adults and juveniles not distingushed for period 1992-1995.

[^3]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Adjusted for tag loss.

[^4]:    a smolt releases of the previous migration year; an unknown number of additional unclipped releases were likely.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ marked contribution to escapement = estimated adipose clips * (clipped + unclipped) / clipped.
    ${ }^{c}$ \% survival $=$ estimated AFC + AFC below the fence $/$ AFC smolts* 100.

[^5]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Observed CWT = CWT's recovered from the commercial and sport catch
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Cumulative catch-sample ratio $=$ total coho catch $/$ total coho sampled
    ${ }^{c}$ Estimated CWT $=$ observed CWT catch $*$ catch sampling ratio
    ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}{ }_{\text {observed harvest }}$
    ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Estimated CWT's (adipose clips corrected for tag loss at return) including those below the fence, and at the fishwheels; see Table 8

[^6]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ includes respective sub-areas

[^7]:    (-) Incomplete data for 1996 and 1997, to be completed with data from subsequent returns.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ average for "Total" includes years for which complete production data is available.

