



**GEOLOGICAL SURVEY OF CANADA
OPEN FILE 7420**

**Grand Banks Scour Catalogue (GBSC)
GeoDatabase**

P. Campbell, E. Burke, and G.V. Sonnichsen

2014



Natural Resources
Canada

Ressources naturelles
Canada

Canada



GEOLOGICAL SURVEY OF CANADA OPEN FILE 7420

Grand Banks Scour Catalogue (GBSC) GeoDatabase

P. Campbell¹, E. Burke¹, and G.V. Sonnichsen²

¹ Canadian Seabed Research Ltd., Porters Lake, Nova Scotia

² Geological Survey of Canada-Atlantic, Dartmouth, Nova Scotia

2014

©Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada 2014

doi:10.4095/293618

This publication is available for free download through GEOSCAN (<http://geoscan.ess.nrcan.gc.ca/>).

Recommended citation

Campbell, P., Burke, E., and Sonnichsen, G.V., 2014. Grand Banks Scour Catalogue (GBSC) GeoDatabase; Geological Survey of Canada, Open File 7420. doi:10.4095/293618

Publications in this series have not been edited; they are released as submitted by the author.

Canadian Seabed Research Ltd.

Grand Banks Scour Catalogue (GBSC) GeoDatabase

Patrick Campbell, Emily Burke and Gary V. Sonnichsen¹



Submitted to:
Geological Survey of Canada, Atlantic
Bedford Institute of Oceanography
Dartmouth, Nova Scotia
B2Y 4A2



Submitted by:
Canadian Seabed Research Ltd.
341 Myra Road
Porters Lake, Nova Scotia B3E 1G2
Telephone: (902) 827 – 4200
Email: info@csr-marine.com

CSR Project Number: 11150

¹ Geological Survey of Canada, Atlantic

Grand Banks Scour Catalogue GeoDatabase

ABSTRACT

In the late 1990's and early 2000's, NRCan, through the Geological Survey of Canada (GSC), conducted research on the distribution and severity of seabed iceberg scour on the Grand Banks. One of the key products of that work was a research database that recorded the location and geometric parameters for all mapped seabed scours on the Grand Banks. The Grand Banks Scour Database (GBSC) was developed under GSC contract to Canadian Seabed Research Ltd. (CSR) and updated sporadically when funding allowed new data to be captured. With the wind-down of GSC work on Grand Bank seabed scour, it is important to formalize the database and release a standardized database in a documented and publicly accessible format. This report documents the development of a simplified GIS Geodatabase that represents the key scour parameters.

The scours recorded in the GBSC were identified and measured from various geophysical data sets including: sidescan sonar, multibeam sonar, sub-bottom profiler, single beam echo sounder, and high resolution single channel seismic (Huntec) systems. The GBSC survey coverage consists of an irregular network of regional lines (22,704 km) and site surveys (4762 km²) conducted by the GSCA and the petroleum industry.

The simplified GBSC Geodatabase compiled during this study contains 5366 iceberg furrow features and 2680 iceberg pit features. The interaction of ice and seafloor sediments may result in a variety of ice scour types and shapes. Features stored in the GBSC include furrows, furrows with an associated pit (s), individual pits and Pit Chains. Iceberg furrows and pits have been recorded in water depths ranging from 49 to 350 m and within sediment types of Predominantly Sand, Sand & Gravel, and Gravel. Although a significant number of furrows occur within each 10° orientation bin the general orientation mode of the GBSC furrow population is northeast – southwest. Furrow length ranges from 5 m to 10,216 m, with a mean length of 584.7 m while Pit area ranges from 84 m² to 111,300 m², with a mean area of 6193 m². Furrow width measurements range from 1 to 208 m with a mean width of 26 m. Furrow depth ranges from 0.1 m to 7.0 m, with a mean depth of 0.88 m while Pit depth ranges from 0.1 m to 8.3 m, with a mean of 1.92 m.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT.....	i
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	ii
LIST OF FIGURES	iii
LIST OF TABLES	v
LIST OF APPENDICES	v
REPORT CITATION	v
STATEMENT OF ACCESS.....	v
1.0 Introduction and Overview	4
1.1 Introduction.....	4
1.2 Project Overview	7
1.3 Deliverables	7
1.4 Report Overview	7
2.0 Grand Banks Scour Catalogue	9
2.1 Overview.....	9
2.2 Data Sources & Mapping Methodology	12
2.2.1 Mobil Ice Scour Catalogue	12
2.2.2 Post-1983 AGC/GSCA Surveys (1992 GBSC compilation)	14
2.2.3 Post-1983 Wellsites (1992 GBSC compilation)	14
2.2.4 1996 GBSC Update	15
2.2.5 1999-2004 GBSC Update	15
2.3 Duplicate Scours	16
2.4 Interpreter Variability	17
3.0 GBSC GeoDatabase.....	24
3.1 Reference Feature Classes	24
3.2 Survey Feature Classes	26
3.3 Iceberg Scour Feature Classes	31
3.3.1 GBSC Furrows Feature Class (GBSC_FURROWS)	31
3.3.2 GBSC Furrows with Pits Feature Class (GBSC_FURROWS_WITH_PITS)	37
3.3.4 GBSC Furrow Point Feature Class (GBSC_FURROWS_ONEENDPOINT)	42
3.3.3 GBSC Pit Feature Class (GBSC_PITS)	46
4.0 GBSC Data Exploration.....	51
4.1 Overview	51
4.2 Scour Parameter Analysis	52
4.2.1 Bathymetry	52
4.2.2 Sediment Type	53
4.2.3 Type and Shape	53
4.2.4 Furrow Length	53
4.2.5 Pit Area	54

4.2.6 Furrow Orientation	54
4.2.7 Furrow Width	55
4.2.8 Scour Depth	56
5.0 References.....	72

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1.1 - Grand Banks of Newfoundland Location Map.	5
Figure 1.1.2 - The process of Ice Scouring formed by Icebergs (from Woodworth-Lynas, 1992 and modified by King, 2002).	6
Figure 2.1.1 - Sidescan Sonar mosaic over East Flying Foam site illustrating furrow and pit features	10
Figure 2.1.2 - Multibeam shaded relief image over GSC 98-024 Baseline Site illustrating furrow and pit features	11
Figure 2.2.1 - Sidescan sonar record within 148 m water depth illustrating fresh/modern furrow and pit features in relation to relict features.....	21
Figure 2.2.2 - GBSC Regional Survey Line Locations According to Data Sources.	22
Figure 2.2.3 - GBSC Industry Wellsite and GSCA Site Survey Locations According to Data Sources	23
Figure 3.1.1 - GBSC Reference Feature Classes Well_Locations, Bathymetry, and Newfoundland.....	25
Figure 3.2.1 - GBSC Regional Survey Line Locations According to Cruise ID, stored within NAVBASE_SURVEY_LINES Feature Class.....	27
Figure 3.2.2 - GBSC_SITE_SURVEYS Feature Class of Well Site and GSC Site Survey Locations.....	28
Figure 3.2.3 - GBSC_SITE_SURVEYS Feature Class of Well Site and GSC Site Survey Locations over the Northeast Grand Banks.	29
Figure 3.2.4 - GBSC_SURVEY_EXTENT Feature Class of all areas surveyed.	30
Figure 3.3.1 - GBSC_FURROWS Feature Class of Iceberg Furrow Locations.	35
Figure 3.3.2 - GBSC_FURROWS Feature Class of Iceberg Furrow Locations over the Northeast Grand Banks.	36
Figure 3.3.3 - GBSC_FURROWS_WITH_PITS Feature Class of Iceberg Furrows with associated pits	40

Figure 3.3.4 - GBSC_FURROWS_WITH_PITS Feature Class of Iceberg Furrows with associated pits over the Northeast Grand Banks.....	41
Figure 3.3.5 - GBSC_FURROWS_ONEENDPOINT Feature Class of Iceberg Furrows with one endpoint mapped.	44
Figure 3.3.6 - GBSC_FURROWS_ONEENDPOINT Feature Class of Iceberg Furrows with one endpoint mapped over the Northeast Grand Banks.....	45
Figure 3.3.7 - GBSC_PITS Feature Class of Iceberg Pit Locations.	49
Figure 3.3.8 - GBSC_PITS Feature Class of Iceberg Pit Locations over the Northeast Grand Banks.....	50
Figure 4.2.1 - Histograms showing the distribution of furrows (n = 5277) and pits (n = 2669) by bathymetry, binned in 10 m intervals, for all scours with an associated water depth .	58
Figure 4.2.2 - Histograms showing the distribution of furrows (n = 5277) and pits (n = 2669) according to Sediment Type, for those scours with an assigned Sediment Type	59
Figure 4.2.3 - Spatial Distribution of Scours according to Type.	60
Figure 4.2.4 - Spatial Distribution of Scours according to Type, over the Northeast Grand Banks.....	61
Figure 4.2.5 - Histogram illustrating the distribution of furrows according to length, binned in 200m intervals (n = 4825).....	62
Figure 4.2.6 - Histogram showing the distribution of pit events according to area, binned in 2500 sq m intervals (n = 1249).	63
Figure 4.2.7 - Distribution of furrows according to orientation (n = 4847).....	64
Figure 4.2.8 - Histogram showing the distribution of furrows according to width, binned in 5m intervals, for furrows with an associated width measurement (n = 4330).....	65
Figure 4.2.9 - Scatterplot showing relationship between furrow width and bathymetry, n= 4242.....	66
Figure 4.2.10 - Histograms showing the distribution of furrows (n = 2285) and pits (n = 1174) by depth, binned in 0.2 m intervals, for those features with a recorded depth.....	67
4.2.11 - Spatial Distribution of Furrows according to Depth, over Northeast Grand Banks	68
4.2.12 - Spatial Distribution of Pits according to Depth, over the Northeast Grand Banks	69
Figure 4.2.13 - Scatterplots showing the relationship between depth vs. bathymetry for the population of furrows (n = 2285) and pits (n = 1174).	70
Figure 4.2.14 - Scatterplot showing relationship between furrow depth and width (n= 1675)	71

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.4.1 – Acronym Definitions	7
Table 2.2.1 – Grand Banks Scour Catalogue; GSC (Atlantic) and Regional Surveys	19
Table 2.2.2 – Grand Banks Scour Catalogue; Wellsite Surveys.....	20
Table 4.1.1 - Ice Scour Feature Parameter Summary	51
Table 4.2.1 – Furrow Length Summary According to Water Depth	54
Table 4.2.2 – Summary of Furrow Orientation.....	54
Table 4.2.3 – Furrow Width Summary According to Water Depth.....	55
Table 4.2.4 – Scour Depth Summary According to Water Depth	57

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix I - Reference Feature Class Field Descriptions
Appendix II - Survey Feature Class Field Descriptions
Appendix III – Ice Scour Feature Class Field Descriptions
Appendix IV – GBSC Geodatabase File Summary
Appendix V – GBSC Geodatabase

REPORT CITATION

The correct citation for this report is:

Campbell, P., Burke, E., and Sonnichsen, G.V., 2014. Grand Banks Scour Catalogue (GBSC) GeoDatabase. Geological Survey of Canada, Open File 7420. doi:10.4095/293618

STATEMENT OF ACCESS

Copies of the digital open file report and associated Geodatabase may be obtained free download through GEOSCAN (<http://geoscan.ess.nrcan.gc.ca/>). Additional information or analysis of the GBSC may be obtained from Canadian Seabed Research Ltd. (902-827-4200 or info@csr-marine.com).

1.0 INTRODUCTION AND OVERVIEW

1.1 INTRODUCTION

Icebergs calved from glaciers in Greenland and the eastern Canadian arctic are carried southward by the Labrador Current. Some of these icebergs are carried through the Avalon Channel, along the outer margin of the Grand Banks, and across the shallow water area of the Grand Banks, see Figure 1.1.1. The Labrador Current is the main driving force for the iceberg drift patterns around the bank periphery, although local storms, rotary currents and tidal effects may alter these patterns considerably thus causing seabed scouring by icebergs in comparatively shallower waters on the interior of the Banks.

Icebergs with deep keels that impact the seabed produce scour related features such as linear furrows and circular pits that can be identified on high resolution geophysical data sets, see Figure 1.1.2. Extreme depths of iceberg furrows and pits of 8 metres have been measured from geophysical data collected over the Grand Banks. These observations indicate the potential disruptive forces of icebergs interacting with the seabed and any seabed production structures they were to encounter.

Two scour populations are identified on northeast Grand Bank (Fader and King, 1981; Lewis and Barrie, 1981). In water depths greater than about 110 metres, a dense pattern of partially buried and degraded scours are recognized. These are believed to be relict, formed prior to and during a low sea-level stand between 12,000 and 16,000 years B.P. (Geonautics, 1989). The modern post-glacial population is thought to be more sparsely represented. In water depths of less than approximately 110 metres, relict scours were eroded during the low sea-level stand and all scours observed are believed to represent post-glacial or modern scours. The modern scour population is superimposed on the relict population in water depths greater than about 110 metres.

Data on the frequency, distribution, size, and character of iceberg scours and pits has been compiled since the late 1970's. Various databases and database updates have been compiled from seabed data collected over the Grand Banks (d'Apollonia and Lewis, 1981; Nordco, 1984; King and Gillespie, 1986; Geonautics, 1989; and Geonautics, 1991).

In the late 1990's and early 2000's, NRCan, through the Geological Survey of Canada (GSC), conducted research on the distribution and severity of seabed iceberg scour on the Grand Banks. One of the key products of that work was a research database developed to track the location and geometric parameters for all mapped seabed scours on the Grand Banks. The Grand Banks Scour Catalogue (GBSC) was developed under contract by Canadian Seabed Research Ltd. (CSR) and updated sporadically when funding allowed new data to be captured. With the wind-down of the GSC work on Grand Banks seabed scour, it is important to formalize the database and release a standardized database in a documented and publicly accessible format. This report documents the development of a simplified GIS Geodatabase that represents the key scour parameters.




Figure 1.1.1 - Grand Banks of Newfoundland Location Map.

ICEBERG SCOUR PROCESS




Figure 1.1.2 - The process of Ice Scouring formed by Icebergs (from Woodworth-Lynas, 1992 and modified by King, 2002).



1.2 PROJECT OVERVIEW

Canadian Seabed Research was contracted by the Geological Survey of Canada to complete the following objectives.

- ❖ Using the latest unpublished version of the GBSC, and in consultation with the Scientific Authority, select the key scour parameters to transfer to a new simplified scour database appropriate for use and exploration by the non-specialist.
- ❖ Provide project specific scripts/routines to summarize the dataset into a simplified database that removes or simplifies field formats and codes, and removes non-standard records that may lead to incorrect use of the database.
- ❖ Compile the scour database in ArcGIS format and include key layers such as bathymetry, survey coverage, wells, land mass, etc. to provide context for the database user.
- ❖ Produce documentation that gives background on the GBSC, the sources of data, assumptions made in the production of the simpler database, a database dictionary and Metadata for the database.

1.3 DELIVERABLES

1. An ArcGIS 9.3 format Geodatabase of the simplified GBSC.
2. A report (in digital form) detailing and illustrating the results.

1.4 REPORT OVERVIEW

This section presents a general overview of the report structure. The following Table 1.4.1 defines the acronyms used in this report.

Table 1.4.1 – Acronym Definitions

Acronym	Definition
AGC	Atlantic Geoscience Center
CSR	Canadian Seabed Research, Ltd
DTS	Deep Tow System
ESRI	Environmental Systems Research Institute
GBS	Gravity Base Structure

Acronym	Definition
GBSC	Grand Banks Scour Catalogue
GIS	Geographic Information System
GSC	Geological Survey of Canada
GSCA	Geological Survey of Canada, Atlantic
Km	Kilometre
M	Metres
MBE	Multibeam Echosounder
NAD	North American Datum
NRCan	Natural Resources Canada
SBP	Sub-Bottom Profiler
SSS	Sidescan Sonar System
UTM	Universal Transverse Mercator

Section 2 of the report provides an overview of the GBSC. Section 3 includes a description of each GBSC Feature Class; Reference Feature Classes within Section 3.1, Survey Feature Classes within Section 3.2 and Iceberg Feature Classes within Section 3.3. An exploration of the key scour attributes found within the Geodatabase is presented within Section 4. All figures included with this report are presented in the North American Datum of 1983 (NAD83), and projected to Universal Transverse Mercator projection (UTM Zone 22).

2.0 GRAND BANKS SCOUR CATALOGUE

This section provides an overview of the GBSC including a discussion of data sources and ice scour mapping methodologies.

2.1 OVERVIEW

The Grand Banks Scour Catalogue (GBSC) is the most complete record of ice scour features detected on the Grand Banks, containing 12,026 records or segments of iceberg furrow (n=9329) and pit (n=2707) features. In contrast to the earlier East Coast Ice Scour database, which provided scour statistics within 2 km line segments (Geonautics, 1989), the GBSC includes records for individual iceberg scour features. The 12,026 segments stored within the GBSC represent 7853 individual ice scour events, and include information on the feature type (i.e. furrow or pit), location, and physical dimensions. Approximately 23% of the GBSC records are iceberg created pits. These are essentially point source features, formed by the grounding or rolling of icebergs, with a mean depth of 3.0 metres. The distribution of iceberg-created pits on the Grand Banks was previously documented by Davidson and Simms (1997).

The GBSC was compiled by Canadian Seabed Research Ltd. (CSR) for the Geological Survey of Canada - Atlantic (GSCA) between 1992 and 1995 (Myers et. al.1995). The GBSC incorporates ice scour data obtained from several sources, including the Mobil Scour database (Nordco 1982 and 1984), the ESRF 4000 Series repetitive mapping program (Geonautics, 1991), GSCA Regional Cruises, and Industry Wellsite Surveys. The database was modified in 1996 by CSR (Myers & Campbell) and included the addition of scours mapped within the Terra Nova discovery site. The GBSC underwent a major update between 1999 and 2004 (Campbell et. al., 2004) to include recent GSCA and industry wellsite surveys.

The scours recorded in the GBSC were identified and measured from various geophysical data types including; sidescan sonar, multibeam sonar, sub-bottom profiler, single beam echo sounder, and high resolution single channel seismic (Huntec) systems. Ice scour related features are well-suited to detection by sidescan sonar (Figure 2.1.1) and multibeam sonar (Figure 2.1.2) systems. Further discussion of scour measurement techniques and system resolutions are presented in “Study of Iceberg Scour & Risk in the Grand Banks Region” (KRCA, 2000).

The GBSC survey coverage consists of an irregular network of regional lines and site surveys acquired by the GSCA, and site specific wellsite surveys conducted by the petroleum industry. The greatest concentration of survey data, and consequently the greatest number of recorded scours, is associated with the high level of petroleum exploration within the Jeanne d’Arc sub-basin in water depths of 80-150 metres.





Figure 2.1.1 - Sidescan Sonar mosaic over East Flying Foam site illustrating furrow and pit features.



2.2 DATA SOURCES & MAPPING METHODOLOGY

The Grand Banks Scour Catalogue includes scour data incorporated from previous databases, as well as scour measurements obtained by CSR and others. Tables 2.2.1 and 2.2.2 provide a summary of the data sources including survey year, equipment types, water depth range and number of features mapped.

The geophysical data analyzed during the GBSC compilation and subsequent updates were mapped based on the following methodology.

In water depths less than 110 metres, all features interpreted to be iceberg scours were included. In water depths greater than 110 metres, the scour population is comprised of both relict scours and recent scours. Recent scours were identified within the total scour population on the basis of their sharp or fresh appearance on the sidescan and multibeam records, see Figure 2.2.1. This process, while somewhat subjective, was necessary to filter probable relict scours in order to build a database representative of modern scour activity.

Scour identification is dependent on a number of factors including; sidescan / multibeam data quality, the type of system employed, sidescan range, surficial sediment type, complexity of sediment bedforms, and interpreter experience. Past studies have shown that interpreter variability can be quite significant not only in measuring scour attributes but also in the identification of scours (Geonautics, 1989 and Gilbert et al., 1989). During the GBSC compilation, scour identification and measurement of scour parameters was carried out by skilled interpreters.

The scour measurement procedure employed during the compilation and subsequent GBSC updates was designed to provide detailed information on individual scour events (furrows & pits). Prominent variations in one or more scour attributes along the observed length of an individual event were incorporated into the database. Individual scour events were measured as one or more segments based primarily on a change in type (furrow vs pit), orientation, or width. Each scour measured was assigned a unique scour identification number. All segments comprising a single scour event were assigned the same scour identification number.

Many of the earlier scour records are incomplete due to differences in the recording procedures associated with the various data sources used in compiling the GBSC. For example, the original Mobil database (Nordco, 1982) only included scour type, location, and scour depth for features identified on regional survey lines.

Each of the main sources of ice scour data used to construct the GBSC are documented below and displayed within Figures 2.2.2 and 2.2.3.

2.2.1 Mobil Ice Scour Catalogue

The Mobil Ice Scour Catalogue represented the first significant ice scour database for the Grand Banks that contained information on individual scour features. It was compiled from various sources

including regional lines surveyed by industry and government, and from wellsite survey data. The original database, compiled using data available to 1981 (Nordco, 1982), was augmented with additional wellsite and regional survey data available to 1983 (Nordco, 1984). The regional and wellsite surveys used to compile the original (1982) and update (1984) databases are listed in Tables 2.2.1 and 2.2.2 and displayed within Figures 2.2.2 and 2.2.3.

There are significant differences in the scour data recorded in the Mobil Ice Scour Catalogue compared to the more recent GBSC data sources. These are summarized below.

In the original compilation (1982), scour depth and scour position were the only parameters recorded from regional survey data. Sidescan sonar records were only used to distinguish between furrow and pit features. Consequently only those scours which crossed the survey line, representing a small fraction of the total observed population, were recorded in the original database. For the wellsite surveys, scours observed on both sidescan and profiler records, and pits observed on profiler records, were included in the original database.

For the 1984 update, all fresh looking scour features observed on both sidescan and sub-bottom data sets were included for the regional survey lines and wellsites. The scour data records from the update study contain most of the scour parameters contained in the GBSC, including; scour type (scour or pit), plan shape, length, width, orientation and scour depth measurements.

For the wellsites, survey reports were reviewed and the original geophysical records were analyzed as required to obtain the necessary statistical data (Nordco, 1984). However, in some cases, it appears that scour depth, width, and length measurements were obtained from the wellsite survey report.

Scour clarity was not recorded, and only fresh unmistakable scour features were entered into the database. The more recent GBSC sources included a scour clarity parameter allowing the inclusion of less distinct features, which were nevertheless considered to be probable scours.

Scour depths were typically measured to the nearest 0.5 metre for most of the scours recorded from wellsite data, and a considerable portion of the scours recorded from regional survey data.

2.2.2 Post-1983 AGC/GSCA Surveys (1992 GBSC compilation)

For the original 1992 Grand Banks Scour Catalogue compilation, scour measurements were obtained from nine cruises conducted by the Atlantic Geoscience Centre (AGC), now the Geological Survey of Canada Atlantic (GSCA), between 1983 and 1990, see Table 2.2.1 and Figure 2.2.2. The majority of these surveys included two sidescan systems and the Hunttec DTS sub-bottom profiler. Details of individual cruises are summarized in Myers et al. (1995).

Scour reduction and digital database compilation for Dawson 89-009 and the ESRF 4000 Series portion of the Dawson 90-021 cruises were conducted by Geonautics, following procedures described by Geonautics Limited (1991). Scour measurements for the remainder of the AGC data sets were reduced by Canadian Seabed Research (Myers et al., 1995), with the exception of six scours at the Hibernia GBS mosaic site (part of Cruise 87-014) which were obtained from a surficial geology map constructed by King (1990). All scour features observed in water depths less than 110 metres were incorporated into the GBSC. In water depths greater than 110 metres, only those scours which displayed a relatively fresh^a acoustic morphology were recorded, thus excluding the population of older degraded scours which are interpreted to be relict scours formed at the end of the last glaciation (Fader and King, 1981).

Data reduction techniques and scour parameter measurements were similar for both CSR Ltd. and Geonautics Ltd. Individual scours were recorded as one or more segments, with each scour segment representing a significant change in at least one scour parameter. Scour length, width, and orientation were measured directly from the sonograms, and subsequently processed for slant-range and ship-speed corrections to obtain true scour dimensions. Scour depth, profile shape, and berm dimensions were measured directly from sub-bottom profiler records. Most of the scour dimensions have an associated data qualifier code, which provides additional information on the particular scour parameter. For example, the length qualifier parameter indicates whether all or only part of a scour was observed on the sonogram. Scour records reduced by Geonautics do not include scour depth qualifier or sediment type information. In addition to scour dimensions, scour records include information on the geophysical systems, data quality, scour clarity, and sediment type.

2.2.3 Post-1983 Wellsites (1992 GBSC compilation)

A total of twenty-one wellsite survey reports released prior to 1993 were reviewed as part of the 1992 GBSC compilation. Nine of the twenty-one reports contained detailed scour measurements.

Of these, four surveys conducted in water depths less than 110 metres were selected for inclusion into the GBSC, see Table 2.2.2 and Figure 2.2.3. All of the information for these scours was obtained from the wellsite survey reports. The original geophysical data sets were not examined. Survey reports from the deeper water sites did not distinguish between recent and relict scours and were thus excluded from the GBSC.

^a Scour features are described as fresh (modern) vs. relict if they have sharp, distinct berms, evident troughs, modest to no infill, and are not cross-cut by older, degraded furrows.

2.2.4 1996 GBSC Update

In 1996, Canadian Seabed Research was contracted to update the GBSC for additional sidescan data collected over the Terra Nova petroleum discovery site during Scientific Mission 94-021 conducted by the GSCA (Sonnichsen, 1994), see Table 2.2.1 and Figure 2.2.3. Prior to this update, the majority of GBSC scour records from the Terra Nova site were identified on sidescan data collected during AGC Cruise 88-108.

A digital mosaic of the 94-021 sidescan data, constructed by the GSCA, was used in identifying scours and measuring scour dimensions and positions. This mosaic was corrected for towfish layback and geo-referenced to NAD 83 horizontal datum (UTM Zone 22 Projection). Additional scours incorporated from the 88-108 data were mapped directly from the layback-corrected sidescan data.

A total of 49 additional scours were appended to the GBSC during the 1996 update project; 35 features from the 94-021 survey, and 14 features from the 88-108 survey. A total of 33 scour records from the Terra Nova Site area were deleted from the GBSC as part of the 1996 GBSC update. Twenty-one of these scours were duplicate scour records and 12 were features that were misinterpreted as scours during the construction of the GBSC in 1992.

2.2.5 1999-2004 GBSC Update

The 1999 – 2004 revisions to the GBSC included the interpretation and reduction of geophysical data acquired by the GSCA between 1988 and 2003, six industry wellsite surveys, and one industry regional survey conducted by Husky in 1988, see Tables 2.2.1 and 2.2.2. Ice scour mapping was conducted by CSR, Fugro, Terraquest and Strattech during this update. Ice scour mapping methodology included all scour features observed in water depths less than 110 metres. In water depths greater than 110 metres, only those scours which displayed a relatively fresh acoustic morphology were recorded. The majority of individual scours were recorded as one or more segments, with each scour segment representing a significant change in at least one scour parameter although scour segment mapping was not always adhered to by Strattech.

A summary of the datasets compiled during the 1999-2004 update include.

- Data collected during Husky 1988, Needler 88-108, Hudson 90-021, and Matthew 96-011 were reviewed during a repetitive ice scour study over the White Rose area by Terraquest (Cummings & Sonnichsen, 1997).
- Ice scours were mapped over the East Flying Foam site by Strattech under contract to McGregor GeoScience.
- Fugro provided ice scour mapping and databases for the South Nautilus and Hebron-Brent's Cove wellsites.
- Strattech under contract to the GSC provided ice scour mapping and databases of the 98-024 Baseline site, 94-021 ESRF site, and 99-031 Repetitive Mapping site.

- CSR conducted ice scour mapping over the White Rose area from three wellsites (A-17, L-08, and N-30) as well as GSC regional lines from Cruises 98-034 and 99-031 within the area.
- CSR under contract to the GSC provided ice scour mapping of GSC regional Cruises 98-034, 99-031, 2001-038 and 2003-033.

Details of individual cruises are summarized in Campbell et al. (2004). For each of the datasets scour features were digitized from digital sidescan and multibeam sonar georeferenced imagery. Although the data reduction techniques differ for this update compared to previous GBSC sources (i.e. scour locations digitized from geo-referenced image), the primary scour parameter measurements have not changed.

Scour width was measured from the sidescan or multibeam georeferenced images as the distance between the lateral berm crests which occur on either side of the furrow or pit incision. An average width measurement was recorded for each segment. The accuracy of scour width measurements was estimated to be on the order of ± 2 to 5 metres. Scour berms were not apparent for many severely degraded ice scours, which were only detected as low-reflectivity lineations related to infilling of the scour incision by fine grained sediment. The scour width recorded for these features was expected to be less than that recorded for more recent or fresh scour events.

Scour length was measured automatically within ArcGIS as the length of the digitized scour centreline. However, many scours extend beyond the sidescan data coverage on regional survey lines and have recorded lengths which were less than the true length. True scour lengths were easily and accurately measured where 100% seafloor survey coverage exists, such as Wellsite and recent GSCA site surveys.

Scour orientation was calculated by determining the angle between the digitized scour centreline endpoints.

One major change is ice scour depth and berm height measurements have been obtained from multibeam bathymetry for the 98-024 Baseline and Matthew 96-011 White Rose sites. Multibeam data collected over the ESRF4000 series lines in 2004 (Sonnichsen & King, 2011) were not available during the 2004 update of the GBSC. Traditional Huntec sub-bottom and single beam echosounder data were utilized for the other datasets where available. Profile shape was obtained directly from sub-bottom profiler records.

Scour depth and length have an associated data qualifier code, which provides additional information on the particular scour parameter. In addition to scour dimensions, scour records include information on the geophysical systems, data quality, scour clarity, scour plan shape, scour type, berm development and sediment type.

2.3 DUPLICATE SCOURS

In areas covered by more than one survey, some scours may have been identified from two or more data sets and entered into the GBSC more than once. The potential for duplicate scours is greatest in areas of overlapping wellsite coverage, or where regional survey lines cross grid or wellsite survey areas. A preliminary examination of the GBSC revealed that approximately 15% of the recorded

scours occur within 100 metres of another scour mapped from a different survey data set. While this does not mean that all nearby scours are duplicates, it indicates that the potential for duplicates is significant.

During the 1999-2004 update potential duplicate features were assigned an error code. This error code was instrumental in removing known duplicates during this project, see Section 3. It is likely that duplicate scours may still exist in the GBSC but the number is not considered large enough to alter population statistics.

2.4 INTERPRETER VARIABILITY

Due to the qualitative nature of sidescan data, there is a certain degree of interpreter variability inherent in ice scour mapping even with the use of experienced interpreters. Variation in the measurement of scour dimensions and, perhaps more importantly, in the number of ice scours detected by different interpreters are both known to exist. The most comprehensive study of interpreter variability was conducted during the construction of the East Coast Scour Database (Geonautics Limited, 1989). A limited comparison of interpreter variability was also conducted during the compilation of the GBSC (Myers et al., 1995). Results of repetitive mapping programs are also available to assess variability in the detection ice scour features (Geonautics Limited, 1991; Myers and Campbell, 1996).

In the Geonautics (1989) program, five experienced interpreters were involved in re-analysing randomly selected sonogram segments to assess interpreter variability in the detection and measurement of ice scours. Results of this study indicate an average interpreter variability of between 10% and 30% for measurements of scour length, width, depth, and orientation. Extreme variability values recorded for each key scour parameter ranged from 35% to 116%. Similarly, the number of scours detected varied by less than 30% for most of the interpreters involved in the study, with an extreme value of 133% for one of the interpreters. Extreme variability is attributed to small sample sizes or different interpretations in areas of complex surficial geology. A more limited interpreter variability study conducted during the compilation of the GBSC involved only two interpreters (Myers et al., 1995). Differences in scour parameter measurements for the GBSC study fall within the limits of variability determined by Geonautics. With respect to scour detection, 73% (54 of 74 total features) of the total number of scours recorded in the GBSC study were identified by both interpreters. The remaining 27% of recorded features were only recorded by one or the other interpreter. Differences in scour detection were most pronounced within an area of complex sediment bedforms.

Repetitive mapping programs conducted at the Hibernia-White Rose region (Geonautics, 1991; ESRF 4000 Series) and at the Terra Nova development site (Myers and Campbell, 1996) included a re-interpretation of the original baseline data sets. The number of scours detected during the re-interpretation studies was more than double that originally recorded at each site; 83 total ice scours versus 40 original scours at the 4000 Series site, and 71 total ice scours compared to 35 scours originally recorded at the Terra Nova site. In both comparisons, it is apparent that the original interpreters conducted a conservative scour interpretation, identifying only very prominent features. This is partly due to the fact that in the original studies, an interpreter only had the choice of including or omitting an indistinct or uncertain feature. During the re-interpretation studies, interpreters

recorded a qualitative assessment of scour clarity which allowed for the inclusion of less prominent features which are, nevertheless, considered as possible or probable ice scours. It is expected that significantly lower scour densities will be associated with data sources which did not include a qualitative assessment of scour clarity.

In summary, interpreter variability is an important factor to be considered in assessing the risk to subsea installations presented by ice scouring process. Following the recommendations of Geonautics (1989), end users should allow for a possible variation of 30% in the scour parameter measurements extracted from ice scour databases. Similarly, scour density estimates calculated from the recorded scour population should allow for a minimum 30% variation due to interpreter variability in the detection of scour features.

Table 2.2.1 – Grand Banks Scour Catalogue; GSC (Atlantic) and Regional Surveys

Number of Segments					
Survey Name	Survey Year	Equipment Types ¹	Water Depth (m)	Furrows	Pits
Mobil Ice Scour Catalogue (1982)					
Hudson 80-010	1980	1, 7	64-236	427	20
AGC/C-CORE (8000 Series)	1980	4, 7	80-160	49	6
Unknown Survey	-	-		2	0
Mobil Ice Scour Catalogue (1984 Update)					
Baffin 81-012	1981	1, 3, 7	71-118	30	7
Baffin 82-039	1982	3, 7	67-92	3	0
Hudson 83-033	1983	1, 3, 7	83-100	8	2
Hibernia Pipeline	1983	4, 7	69-156	41	182
South Hibernia Pipeline Route	1980	4, 7	75-160	5	27
Geonautics/D' Apollonia	1982	4, 7	60-190	72	75
Grand Banks Scour Catalogue (1992 Compilation)					
Hudson 84-024	1984	1, 3, 7	57-160	88	52
Hudson 85-005	1985	1, 3, 7	49-144	108	8
Pandora II 85-057	1985	3, 10	92-154	95	2
Hudson 86-017	1986	1, 3, 7	72-128	103	47
Hudson 86-018	1986	1, 3, 7	66-163	350	39
Hudson 87-014	1987	1, 3, 7	57-88	46	0
Needler 88-108	1988	1, 3, 7	66-182	564	71
Dawson 89-009	1989	1, 3, 7	85-223	166	77
Dawson 90-021 (ESRF)	1990	2, 4, 7	77-148	320	16
Dawson 90-021 (regional)	1990	2, 4, 7	62-177	352	26
Grand Banks Scour Catalogue (1996 Update)					
Hudson 94-021 (Terra Nova)	1994	1, 5, 10	92-97	63	0
Grand Banks Scour Catalogue (1999-2004 Update)					
Husky Oil (White Rose)	1988	3	120-138	43	2
Needler 88-108 (White Rose)	1988	1, 3, 7	126	1	0
Hudson 90-021 (White Rose)	1990	2, 4, 7	120-135	25	0
Hudson 94-021 (ESRF 4000)	1994	1, 5, 10	80-105	285	24
Matthew 96-011 (White Rose)	1996	5, 11	120-138	42	36
Matthew 98-024 (Baseline)	1998	5, 7, 11, 12	90-134	1516	261
Hudson 98-034 (Regional)	1998	5, 7, 10	59-248	291	68
Hudson 98-034 (White Rose)	1998	5, 7, 10	118-162	41	12
Hudson 99-031 (Regional)	1999	5, 7, 10	65-353	166	87
Hudson 99-031 (White Rose)	1999	5, 7, 10	110-135	22	3
Hudson 99-031 (Repetitive Mapping)	1999	5, 7, 10	112-217	340	181
Hudson 2001-038	2001	5, 7, 10	88-125	70	7
Hudson 2003-033	2003	5, 7, 10	82-172	456	107

Table 2.2.2 – Grand Banks Scour Catalogue; Wellsite Surveys

					Number of Segments	
Survey Name	Operator	Survey Year	Equipment Types ¹	Water Depth (m)	Furrows	Pits
Mobil Ice Scour Catalogue (1982)						
Ben Nevis	Mobil	1979	4, 9	99-101	15	0
Hibernia P-15	Chevron	1979	4, 9	81-83	3	1
Hibernia North	Mobil	1979	4, 9	80-86	4	0
Tempest North	Mobil	1979	4, 10	144-162	89	0
Trave/White Rose	Mobil	1979	4, 9	114-146	93	0
‘4000 Series’	Mobil	1979	4, 10	80-150	82	0
Cumberland J-87	Mobil	1980	4, 9	190-232	2	82
Dana North and South	Mobil	1980	4, 7, 9	204-257	14	158
Hebron	Mobil	1980	4, 9	84-94	5	0
Nautilus C-92	Mobil	1980	4, 7, 9	86-91	7	0
Ragnar	Mobil	1980	4, 7, 9	186-221	3	56
Rankin	Mobil	1980	4, 7, 9	72-80	16	0
West Hibernia	Mobil	1980	4, 9	76-80	8	0
White Rose Flank	Mobil	1981	4, 7	104-120	90	0
Mobil Ice Scour Catalogue (1984 Update)						
Archer Flank	Mobil	1982	3, 4, 8	115-133	120	94
Bonanza M-71	Mobil	1982	4, 8	183-212	12	140
Dominion	Mobil	1982	4, 7	156-166	14	129
Linnet E-63	Mobil	1982	3, 4, 8	124-178	73	43
Saronac	Mobil	1982	4, 8	169-204	88	256
Mara	Mobil	1983	4, 7	82-102	107	10
Titus	Mobil	1983	4, 7	168-206	6	153
Voyager	Mobil	1983	4, 7	97-102	6	0
Grand Banks Scour Catalogue (1992 Compilation)						
North Ben Nevis (Husky)	Petro-Canada	1984	4, 7	102	1	0
North Ben Nevis; Rev-1	Petro-Canada	1984	4, 7	100-102	30	4
Burin Bonne Bay	Husky	1985	4, 7	101-109	18	3
South Brook	Petro-Canada	1988	3, 8	89-91	6	0
Grand Banks Scour Catalogue (1999-2004 Update)						
East Flying Foam	Amoco	1996	4, 9, 10	85-113	336	30
South Nautilus	Chevron	1998	6, 10	81-91	343	15
White Rose L-08	Husky	1998	6, 10	119-123	61	15
White Rose A-17	Husky	1999	6, 10	117-121	98	15
White Rose N-30	Husky	1999	6, 10	116-128	187	44
Hebron / Brents Cove	Chevron	1999	6, 10	78-103	1202	14

¹Equipment Types

Sidescan: 1 (BIO 70 kHz), 2 (Klein 50 kHz), 3 (Klein 100 kHz), 4 (ORE 100 kHz), 5 (Simrad 120 kHz), 6 (Edgetech 100 kHz)

Profiler: 7 (Huntec DTS), 8 (NSRF V-Fin), 9 (ORE 3.5 kHz), 10 (Echosounder)

Multibeam: 11 (EM100), 12 (EM3000)




Figure 2.2.1 - Sidescan sonar record within 148 m water depth illustrating fresh/modern furrow and pit features in relation to relict features. The data was acquired during GSC 2003-033 along the eastern Avalon Channel.






Figure 2.2.2 - GBSC Regional Survey Line Locations According to Data Sources.




Figure 2.2.3 - GBSC Industry Wellsite and GSCA Site Survey Locations According to Data Sources.

3.0 GBSC GEODATABASE

All of the datasets documented within the following sub-sections were compiled into the GBSC Geodatabase which is provided with this report in ESRI ArcGIS 9.3 format. The Geodatabase (GBSC.gbd) contains the following Feature Classes.

Reference Feature Classes (Section 3.1 & Appendix I)

- Well Locations
- Marine Atlas Regional Bathymetry
- Newfoundland Coastline

Survey Feature Classes (Section 3.2 & Appendix II)

- NAVBASE Regional Survey Lines
- GBSC Site Survey Areas
- GBSC Survey Extent of all Areas Surveyed

Iceberg Scour Feature Classes (Section 3.3 & Appendix III)

- GBSC Furrows
- GBSC Furrows with Associated Pits
- GBSC Pit Features
- GBSC Furrows with One EndPoint Mapped

The GBSC Geodatabase geodetic parameters and recommended projection are as follows.

GeoDatabase Geodetic Parameters

Horizontal Datum: NAD83
Prime Meridian: Greenwich
Angular Unit: Degree

Projection

Projection: Universal Transverse Mercator, Zone 22
Central Meridian: 51°W
False Easting: 500000.00
False Northing: 0.000000
Scale Factor: 0.999600
Latitude of Origin: 0.0
Linear Unit: Metre

Appendix IV includes a summary list and description of Files / Feature Classes included within the GBSC geodatabase. The geodatabase is included on disk as Appendix V.

3.1 REFERENCE FEATURE CLASSES

The reference Feature Classes are included to provide context for the database user, see Figure 3.1.1. The BATHYMETRY Feature Class includes the Marine Atlas regional bathymetry contours of the area. The NEWFOUNDLAND Feature Class represents the coastline of the island of Newfoundland and the WELL_LOCATIONS Feature Class includes the locations of exploration and production wells across the Grand Banks.

A description of the database fields within each reference Feature Class is included within Appendix I.




Figure 3.1.1 - GBSC Reference Feature Classes Well_Locations (as of October 2011), Bathymetry, and Newfoundland.

3.2 SURVEY FEATURE CLASSES

The GBSC survey Feature Classes include regional and site survey locations where various geophysical data sets including; sidescan sonar, multibeam sonar, sub-bottom profiler, single beam echo sounder, and high resolution single channel seismic (Huntec) systems were acquired. The scours recorded in the GBSC were identified and measured from the geophysical data collected over these areas. A description of the database fields within each survey Feature Class is included within Appendix II.

The Feature Class NAVBASE_SURVEY_LINES was compiled from the point navigation database NAVBASE. This Feature Class represents the regional survey lines reviewed for iceberg scour features, see Figure 3.2.1.

The NAVBASE_SURVEY_LINES Feature Class is a line/vector geometry type which includes 367 survey lines. Each line represents the survey vessel trackline and includes attributes such as cruise identifier, survey line identifier, start of line day/time, end of line day/time, effective swath coverage, and survey line length.

The GBSC_SITE_SURVEYS Feature Class is a polygon geometry type which includes 41 survey areas. Each polygon represents the extent of the industry wellsite or GSC site survey, see Figure 3.2.2. Each survey polygon includes information on the company or government organization that the survey was performed, the survey company, survey year, types of survey equipment, cruise identifier, and size of area surveyed.

The GBSC_SURVEY_EXTENT Feature Class was compiled from NAVBASE_SURVEY_LINES and GBSC_SITE_SURVEYS. It includes one complete polygon that represents the entire extent of seabed surveyed, see Figure 3.2.3. This was accomplished by first buffering NAVBASE_SURVEY_LINES according to the effective survey swath. The buffered regional survey lines were then merged with the site survey areas and dissolved to create one polygon representing the total GBSC survey area extent which includes 17,485 km².




Figure 3.2.1 - GBSC Regional Survey Line Locations According to Cruise ID, stored within NAVBASE_SURVEY_LINES Feature Class.






Figure 3.2.2 - GBSC_SITE_SURVEYS Feature Class of Well Site and GSC Site Survey Locations.




Figure 3.2.3 - GBSC_SITE_SURVEYS Feature Class of Well Site and GSC Site Survey Locations over the Northeast Grand Banks.




Figure 3.2.4 - GBSC_SURVEY_EXTENT Feature Class of all areas surveyed.

3.3 ICEBERG SCOUR FEATURE CLASSES

Ice scour is defined as the disturbance of the seabed sediments as a result of contact by icebergs or sea-ice ridges. Ice scours identified over the Grand Banks were formed as a result of icebergs contacting the seafloor. Iceberg scours represent furrow and pit features. An iceberg furrow is a long linear or curvilinear feature formed as the keel of a moving iceberg gouges the seafloor sediments. Iceberg Pits are circular or elliptical features formed by the grounding or rolling of an iceberg. They can form as individual events or associated with furrows as termination features. The term pit and crater have been used interchangeably by researchers in previous Grand Banks research. Furrow and pit features are typically bordered by raised berms composed of the seafloor sediments displaced during the scouring process. The authors define an iceberg scour event as a complex feature of furrows or furrow (s) / pit (s) interpreted to have formed by the same iceberg.

The original GBSC includes individual scour events recorded as one or more segments based primarily on a change in type (furrow vs pit), orientation, or width. The GBSC Geodatabase iceberg scour related Feature Classes represent summarized or simplified databases of individual scour events extracted from the original GBSC segment database. Specific scripts/routines were written by CSR to summarize the GBSC in order to convert field formats and codes, and to remove non-standard records that may lead to incorrect use of the database.

Prior to the extraction of features from the original GBSC a detailed review was undertaken of those scour segments identified with an error code representing a possible positioning error or duplicate record. A total of 497 segments representing 325 scour events were removed during this review. Of the 497 segments 455 were duplicates and 42 were coded as having a possible positioning error.

The iceberg scour related Feature Classes compiled from the GBSC are discussed within the following sub-sections and include;

1. GBSC_FURROWS - Iceberg Furrows.
2. GBSC_FURROWS_WITH_PITS – Iceberg Furrows with one or more associated pits.
3. GBSC_FURROWS_ONEENDPOINT – Iceberg Furrows with only one endpoint mapped.
4. GBSC_PITS – Includes all individual pits or those associated with Furrows stored within GBSC_FURROWS_WITH_PITS.

A complete listing and description of the database fields within each of the four Feature Classes is presented in Appendix III.

3.3.1 GBSC Furrows Feature Class (GBSC_FURROWS)

The GBSC_FURROWS Feature Class is a line/vector geometry type which includes 4405 iceberg Furrows, see Figure 3.3.1 and 3.3.2. Each line represents the digitized centerline of the furrow trough.

The events within this Feature Class include Furrows with one or more segments from the original GBSC database.

The GBSC segments associated with each event were joined within ArcGIS based on the SCOUR_ID. The attributes for each furrow were extracted or summarized and stored within the GBSC_FURROWS database as documented below. Specific scripts/routines were written by CSR to summarize the GBSC in order to convert field formats and codes, and to remove non-standard records that may lead to incorrect use of the database.

- **SCOUR_ID**
This is a unique numeric identifier assigned to each furrow event and is the same identifier as that stored in the original GBSC. This field can be used to link those scours stored in the GBSC_FURROWS Feature Class to the original GBSC.
- **SOURCE**
This field identifies the original database or geophysical data source.
- **CRUISE**
This field identifies the regional or site survey the scour was interpreted from. Additional identifiers were assigned to GSCA regional cruises where data was collected over a specific area.
- **BATHYMETRY**
Water depth in metres at the scour location. Where WD_VAR (see below) information exists the bathymetry value represents the minimum water depth along the scour event obtained from the available survey bathymetry contour coverage or multibeam grid file. Of the 4405 furrows, 4325 have a recorded bathymetry value ranging from 51 to 350 m.
- **WD_VAR**
The difference in the minimum and maximum water depth (in metres) recorded along the length of the scour event. The water depth variation value was determined using site specific bathymetry coverage from either single beam echosounders or multibeam sonar. Of the 4405 furrows, 1851 have a measured water depth variation ranging from 0 to 15 m.
- **TYPE**
Identifies the furrow or pit type. The furrow types stored within GBSC_Furrows include Linear Furrows (n=2650), Sinuous Furrows (n=736), Arcuate Furrows (n=904), and poorly defined Furrows (n=115).
- **SBP**
Identifies the type of profiling system utilized from which scour depth and berm height measurements were recorded.
- **DEPTH**
Depth in metres of the furrow event measured below the interpreted unscoured seafloor. Where more than one segment depth was available the depth represents the maximum furrow depth recorded along the scour event extracted from the original GBSC segment database. System

resolution depth was ignored if one or more segments in the original GBSC included a measured depth.

Of the 4405 furrows, 1540 furrows have a recorded depth ranging from 0.1 to 6.0 m. Of these 1540 furrows, 782 have a measured depth and 758 have a system resolution depth of 0.3 m (n=379), 0.5 m (n=326) and 1.0 m (n=53) recorded in the database.

- **DEPTH_Q**

This qualifier describes the scour depth measurement or the lack of depth measurement.

- **WIDTH**

Width is measured in metres from berm crest to berm crest perpendicular to the scour orientation. For linear furrows (n=2650) the width measurement represents the average width of the scour segment as measured by the interpreter and recorded in the original GBSC segment database. For the remaining population the width represents the weighted average width of the Furrow event calculated from the original GBSC segment database. The segment width was weighted according to the segment length. Segments with a width of 0 were not included in the weighted average calculation.

Of the 4405 furrows, 3859 furrows have a recorded width ranging from 1 to 208 m.

- **LENGTH**

Length measured in metres, represents the true length where two endpoints were observed (see Length_Q). For the Feature Class GBSC_Furrows the length represents the total length of the furrow event and ranges from 5 to 10,216 m.

- **LENGTH_Q**

Length Qualifier is used to describe the scour length measurements. This field describes the presence of end points and thus the quality of the length data for the entire scour event.

- **ORIENT**

Orientation of the furrow as a degree value between 0 and 179. This parameter does not indicate the actual direction in which scouring took place. Orientation within GBSC_Furrows was calculated utilizing the ArcGIS Tool Linear Directional Mean.


- **BERM_HT**

Height in metres of the scour berm above the unscoured seafloor. Represents the maximum Furrow berm height recorded within the original GBSC segment database. Of the 4405 furrows, 605 furrows have a recorded berm height ranging from 0.1 to 2.5 m.

- **PROFILE**

Describes the scour shape as seen on the sub-bottom profiler record at the location where the maximum depth was recorded. Of the 782 furrows with a measured depth, 240 have a profile shape description.

- **SYSTEM**
Includes the type of sidescan sonar system or multibeam sonar system used for scour mapping.
- **QUALITY**
Includes a qualitative assessment of the sidescan or multibeam data quality.
- **CLARITY**
Includes a qualitative evaluation of the relative clarity and sharpness of the scour as it appears on the sidescan or multibeam sonar data.
- **BERM_DEV**
Berm Development indicator is a qualitative assessment of the scour berms as observed on sidescan sonar records.
- **SED_TYPE**
The sediment lithology at the measured scour location as interpreted from sidescan sonar records. The sediment types stored within GBSC_Furrows include Predominantly Sand (n=1775), Sand & Gravel (n=1398), and Gravel (n=897). 395 furrows have no sediment type available.
- **COMMENTS**
This field contains analyst's notes.






Figure 3.3.2 - GBSC_FURROWS Feature Class of Iceberg Furrow Locations over the Northeast Grand Banks.

3.3.2 GBSC Furrows with Pits Feature Class (GBSC_FURROWS_WITH_PITS)

The GBSC_FURROWS_WITH_PITS feature class is a line/vector geometry type which includes 344 ice scour events, (Figure 3.3.3 and 3.3.4). Each line represents the digitized centerline of the scour event trough. The scour events within this Feature Class include Furrows with associated termination pits.

The GBSC segments associated with each scour event were joined within ArcGIS based on the SCOUR_ID. The attributes for each scour event were extracted or summarized and stored within the GBSC_FURROWS_WITH_PITS database as documented below. Specific scripts/routines were written by CSR to summarize the GBSC in order to convert field formats and codes, and to remove non-standard records that may lead to incorrect use of the database.

- **SCOUR_ID**
This is a unique numeric identifier assigned to each scour event and is the same identifier as that stored in the original GBSC. Pits stored in the GBSC_PITS Feature Class can be linked to their associated Furrows within the GBSC_FURROWS_WITH_PITS Feature Class through the SCOUR_ID field.
- **SOURCE**
This field identifies the original database or geophysical data source.
- **CRUISE**
This field identifies the regional or site survey the scour was interpreted from. Additional identifiers were assigned to GSCA regional cruises where data was collected over a specific area.
- **BATHYMETRY**
Water depth in metres at the scour location. Where WD_VAR (see below) information exists the bathymetry value represents the minimum water depth along the scour event obtained from the available survey bathymetry contour coverage or multibeam grid file. Of the 344 scour events, 335 have a recorded bathymetry value ranging from 51 to 187 m.
- **WD_VAR**
The difference in the minimum and maximum water depth (in metres) recorded along the length of the scour event. The water depth variation value was determined using site specific bathymetry coverage from either single beam echosounders or multibeam sonar. Of the 344 furrows, 103 have a measured water depth variation ranging from 0 to 13 m.
- **TYPE**
Identifies the furrow or pit type. The furrow types stored within GBSC_FURROWS_WITH_PITS include Linear Furrow with Pit (s) (n=131), Sinuous Furrow with Pit (s) (n=128), and Arcuate Furrow with Pit (s) (n=85).

- **SBP**

Identifies the type of profiling system utilized from which scour depth and berm height measurements were recorded.

- **DEPTH**

Depth in metres of the furrow event measured below the interpreted unscoured seafloor. Where more than one segment depth was available the depth represents the maximum furrow depth recorded along the scour event extracted from the original GBSC segment database. System resolution depth was ignored if one or more segments in the original GBSC included a measured depth. The depth of pit features were excluded in the calculation of maximum depth, see GBSC_PITS for pit depth information.

Of the 344 furrows with associated pit (s), 178 furrows have a recorded depth ranging from 0.1 to 1.6 m. Of these 178 furrows, 148 have a measured depth and 30 have a system resolution depth of 0.3 m (n=19) and 0.5 m (n=11) recorded in the database.

- **DEPTH_Q**

This qualifier describes the scour depth measurement or the lack of depth measurement.

- **WIDTH**

Width is measured in metres from berm crest to berm crest perpendicular to the scour orientation. For linear furrows with associated Pit (s) (n=131) the width measurement represents the average width of the furrow segment as measured by the interpreter and recorded in the original GBSC segment database. For the remaining population the width represents the weighted average width of the Furrow event calculated from the original GBSC segment database. The segment width was weighted according to the segment length. Associated pit segments and those with a width of 0 were not included in the weighted average calculation.

Of the 344 furrows with associated pit (s), 317 furrows have a recorded width ranging from 1 to 110 m.

- **LENGTH**

Length measured in metres, represents the true length where two endpoints were observed (see Length_Q). For the Feature Class GBSC_FURROWS_WITH_PITS the length represents the total length of the scour (Furrow & Pit) event and ranges from 33 to 9,690 m.

- **LENGTH_Q**

Length Qualifier is used to describe the scour length measurements. This field describes the presence of end points and thus the quality of the length data for the entire scour event.

- **ORIENT**

Orientation of the scour event as a degree value between 0 and 179. This parameter does not indicate the actual direction in which scouring took place. Orientation within GBSC_FURROWS_WITH_PITS was calculated utilizing the ArcGIS Tool Linear Directional Mean. Associated pit features were excluded in this calculation.

- **BERM_HT**

Height in metres of the scour berm above the unscoured seafloor. Represents the maximum furrow berm height stored within the original GBSC segment database. All associated pit features were excluded in this calculation, Pit berm height is stored within GBSC_PITS

Of the 344 furrows with associated pit (s), 128 furrows have a recorded berm height ranging from 0.1 to 1.8 m.

- **PROFILE**
Describes the scour shape as seen on the sub-bottom profiler record at the location where the maximum depth was recorded. Of the 317 furrows with a measured depth, 20 have a profile shape description.
- **SYSTEM**
Includes the type of sidescan sonar system or multibeam sonar system used for scour mapping.
- **QUALITY**
Includes a qualitative assessment of the sidescan or multibeam data quality.
- **CLARITY**
Includes a qualitative evaluation of the relative clarity and sharpness of the scour as it appears on the sidescan or multibeam sonar data.
- **BERM_DEV**
Berm Development indicator is a qualitative assessment of the scour berms as observed on sidescan sonar records.
- **SED_TYPE**
The sediment lithology at the measured scour location as interpreted from sidescan sonar records. The sediment types stored within GBSC_FURROWS_WITH_PITS include Predominantly Sand (n=149), Sand & Gravel (n=97), and Gravel (n=83). 15 furrows have no sediment type available.
- **COMMENTS**
This field contains analyst's notes.




Figure 3.3.3 - GBSC_FURROWS_WITH_PITS Feature Class of Iceberg Furrows with associated pits.




Figure 3.3.4 - GBSC_FURROWS_WITH_PITS Feature Class of Iceberg Furrows with associated pits over the Northeast Grand Banks.

3.3.4 GBSC Furrow Point Feature Class (GBSC_FURROWS_ONEENDPOINT)

The GBSC_FURROWS_ONEENDPOINT Feature Class is a point geometry type which includes 617 iceberg furrows, see Figure 3.3.5 and 3.3.6. These furrows include Mobil Source ice scours stored in the original segmented GBSC with only one end point coordinate. These furrows only have one end point as a result of the mapping methodology utilized during the interpretation of the geophysical data. In the original compilation (1982), scour depth and scour position were the only parameters recorded from regional survey data. Sidescan sonar records were only used to distinguish between furrow and pit features. Consequently only those scours which crossed the survey line, representing a small fraction of the total observed population, were recorded in the original database.

These furrows were extracted directly from the original GBSC segment database and did not contain information for all of the scour parameters. The database fields within this Feature Class are as follows.

- **SCOUR_ID**
This is a unique numeric identifier assigned to each furrow event and is the same identifier as that stored in the original GBSC. This field can be used to link the furrows to the original GBSC.
- **SOURCE**
This field identifies the original database or geophysical data source. All of the furrows are Mobil source.
- **CRUISE**
This field identifies the regional or site survey the scour was interpreted from.
- **BATHYMETRY**
Water depth in metres at the furrow location. All of the furrows (n=617) have a recorded bathymetry value ranging from 64 to 257 m.
- **TYPE**
Identifies the furrow type. The furrow types include Linear Furrows (n=72), Sinuous Furrows (n=10), Arcuate Furrows (n=13), and poorly defined Furrows (n=522).
- **SBP**
Identifies the type of profiling system utilized from which scour depth and berm height measurements were recorded.
- **DEPTH**
Depth in metres of the furrow event measured below the interpreted unscoured seafloor as stored in the original segment GBSC database.

Of the 617 furrows, 579 furrows have a recorded depth ranging from 0.3 to 7.0 m. Of these 579 furrows, 507 have a measured depth and 72 have a system resolution depth of 0.5 m recorded in the database.

- **DEPTH_Q**
This qualifier describes the scour depth measurement or the lack of depth measurement.
- **WIDTH**
Width is measured in metres from berm crest to berm crest perpendicular to the scour orientation as stored in the original segment GBSC database. Of the 617 furrows, 154 furrows have a recorded width ranging from 3 to 150 m.
- **LENGTH**
Length measured in metres, represents the true length where two endpoints were observed (see Length_Q). Of the 617 furrows, 76 furrows have a recorded length ranging from 40 to 3370 m.
- **LENGTH_Q**
Length Qualifier is used to describe the scour length measurements. This field describes the presence of end points and thus the quality of the length data for the entire scour event.
- **ORIENT**
Orientation of the furrow as a degree value between 0 and 179 as stored in the original segment GBSC database. This parameter does not indicate the actual direction in which scouring took place. Of the 617 furrows, 98 furrows have a recorded orientation ranging from 0 to 178 degrees.
- **SYSTEM**
Includes the type of sidescan sonar system used for scour mapping.
- **SED_TYPE**
The sediment lithology at the measured scour location as interpreted from sidescan sonar records. The sediment types stored within this Feature Class include Predominantly Sand (n=293), Sand & Gravel (n=264), Gravel (n=25), Glacial Marine Sediment (n=5), and Glacial Till (n=25). Five furrows have no sediment type available.
- **COMMENTS**
This field contains analyst's notes.




Figure 3.3.5 - GBSC_FURROWS_ONEENDPOINT Feature Class of Iceberg Furrows with one endpoint mapped.






Figure 3.3.6 - GBSC_FURROWS_ONEENDPOINT Feature Class of Iceberg Furrows with one endpoint mapped over the Northeast Grand Banks.

3.3.3 GBSC Pit Feature Class (GBSC_PITS)

The GBSC_PITS Feature Class is a point geometry type which includes 2680 iceberg pits, see Figure 3.3.7 and 3.3.8. The features include all individual Iceberg Pits or those associated with Furrows. These pits were extracted directly from the original GBSC segment database and include the following database fields.

- **SCOUR_ID**
This is a unique numeric identifier assigned to each scour event and is the same identifier as that stored in the original GBSC. Pits stored in the GBSC_PITS Feature Class can be linked to their associated Furrows within the GBSC_FURROWS_WITH_PITS Feature Class through the SCOUR_ID field.
- **SOURCE**
This field identifies the original database or geophysical data source.
- **CRUISE**
This field identifies the regional or site survey the pit was interpreted from. Additional identifiers were assigned to GSCA regional cruises where data was collected over a specific area.
- **BATHYMETRY**
Water depth in metres at the pit location. Of the 2680 pit features, 2669 have a recorded bathymetry value ranging from 49 to 285 m.
- **TYPE**
Identifies the pit type. The pit types stored within GBSC_PITS include Pit (n=2101), Pit Chain (n=150), and Pit (s) associated with a Furrow (n=429).
- **SBP**
Identifies the type of profiling system utilized from which the pit depth and berm height measurements were recorded.
- **DEPTH**
Depth in metres of the pit measured below the interpreted un-scoured seafloor as stored in the original segment GBSC database. Of the 2680 pit features, 1174 pits have a recorded depth ranging from 0.1 to 8.3 m. Of these 1174 pits, 1152 have a measured depth and 12 have a system resolution depth of 0.3 m (n=7) and 0.5 m (n=5) recorded in the database.
- **DEPTH_Q**
This qualifier describes the scour depth measurement or the lack of depth measurement.
- **WIDTH**
Width is measured in metres from berm crest to berm crest perpendicular to the Pit's longest axis or the orientation of the scour event in the case of those pits associated with furrows. The Pit width is the same as that recorded in the original segment GBSC database. Of the 2680 pits, 2598 pits have a recorded width ranging from 5 to 350 m.

- **LENGTH**
Length measured in metres, represents the true length where two endpoints were observed (see Length_Q). For the Feature Class GBSC_PITS the recorded length represents the Pit's longest axis. Of the 2680 pits, 1259 have a recorded length ranging from 11 to 573 m.
- **LENGTH_Q**
Length Qualifier is used to describe the pit length measurements. This field describes the presence of end points and thus the quality of the length data for the pit feature.
- **ORIENT**
Orientation of the scour event as a degree value between 0 and 179. This parameter does not indicate the actual direction in which scouring took place. Orientation represents the Pits longest axis or the orientation of the scour event for those pits associated with furrows. Of the 2680 pits, 1260 have a recorded orientation ranging from 0 to 179 degrees.
- **BERM_HT**
Height in metres of the pit berm above the unscoured seafloor. Represents the maximum berm height stored within the original GBSC segment database. Of the 2680 pits, 255 have a recorded berm height ranging from 0.1 to 3.3 m.
- **PROFILE**
Describes the scour shape as seen on the sub-bottom profiler record at the location where the maximum depth was recorded. Of the 1152 pits with a measured depth, 20 have a profile shape description.
- **SYSTEM**
Includes the type of sidescan sonar system or multibeam sonar system used for scour mapping.
- **QUALITY**
Includes a qualitative assessment of the sidescan or multibeam data quality.
- **CLARITY**
Includes a qualitative evaluation of the relative clarity and sharpness of the scour as it appears on the sidescan or multibeam sonar data.
- **BERM_DEV**
Berm Development indicator is a qualitative assessment of the scour berms as observed on sidescan sonar records.
- **SED_TYPE**
The sediment lithology at the measured pit location as interpreted from sidescan sonar records. The sediment types stored within GBSC_PITS include Predominantly Sand (n=1427), Sand & Gravel (n=791), and Gravel (n=290). 172 pits have no sediment type available.
- **COMMENTS**
This field contains analyst's notes.

- **PIT_ID**

This unique numeric identifier allows the user to relate or join the GBSC_PITS feature Class database to the corresponding records within the original GBSC segmented Database.




Figure 3.3.7 - GBSC_PITS Feature Class of Iceberg Pit Locations.




Figure 3.3.8 - GBSC_PITS Feature Class of Iceberg Pit Locations over the Northeast Grand Banks.

4.0 GBSC DATA EXPLORATION

The following section presents an exploration of the GBSC Geodatabase scour feature classes. This data exploration is presented as an example of the types of information that may be obtained from the database.

4.1 OVERVIEW

The Grand Banks Scour Catalogue was compiled from various sources including the Mobil scour database, ESRF 4000 repetitive mapping program, GSCA Regional Cruises, and Industry Wellsite Surveys. The database contains a total of 8046 georeferenced ice scour features with key parameters such as width, length, depth, orientation, and bathymetry being measured for each feature.

Of the 8046 scour features, 5366 are furrow features, and 2680 are pit features. Pits include all features stored in the Feature Class GBSC_PITS. Furrows include all features stored in the Feature Classes 1) GBSC_FURROWS, 2) GBSC_FURROWS_WITH_PITS, and 3) GBSC_FURROWS_ONEENDPOINT. Ice scour feature classes are described in Section 3.3. A statistical summary of the GBSC furrow and pit populations are presented in Table 4.1.1.

Table 4.1.1 - Ice Scour Feature Parameter Summary

Parameter	Furrows	Pits
Total Number of Features	5366	2680
REGIONAL BATHYMETRY		
Water Depth Range	51 m - 350 m	49 m - 285 m
Mean Water Depth	116.9 m	151.6 m
DEPTH		
Number of Features with Measured Depth (depth_q = 1)	1425	1162
Maximum Depth	7.0 m	8.3 m
Minimum Depth	0.1 m	0.1 m
Mean Depth	0.71 m	1.94 m
Number of Features with Measured Depth and System Resolution Depth (depth_q = 1 or 2)	2285	1174
Maximum Scour Depth	7.0 m	8.3 m
Minimum Scour Depth	0.1 m	0.1 m
Mean Scour Depth	0.88 m	1.92 m
Number of Scours with depth >= 1.0m	496	975
BERM HEIGHT		
Number of Features with Measured Berm Height	733	255
Maximum Berm Height	2.5 m	3.3 m

Parameter	Furrows	Pits
Minimum Berm Height	0.1 m	0.1 m
Mean Berm Height	0.51 m	0.65 m
LENGTH		
Number of Features with Measured Length	4825	1259
Maximum Length	10216 m	573 m
Minimum Length	5 m	11 m
Mean Length	585 m	84 m
Number of Features with Two Endpoints Seen or Observed with Confidence (Length_q = 2)	1809	1030
Maximum Length	9690 m	371 m
Minimum Length	0 m	0 m
Mean Length	505 m	82 m
WIDTH		
Number of Features with Measured Width	4330	2598
Maximum Width	208 m	350 m
Minimum Width	1 m	5 m
Mean Width	26 m	66 m
WATER DEPTH VARIATION ALONG TRACK		
Number of Furrows with Measured Water Depth Variation	2709	-
Maximum Water Depth Variation	15	-
Minimum Water Depth Variation	0	-
Mean Water Depth Variation	1	-
Number of Furrows with Water Depth Variation = 0m	1619	-
Number of Furrows with Water Depth Variation >= 5.0m	44	-

4.2 SCOUR PARAMETER ANALYSIS

4.2.1 Bathymetry

Bathymetry is vital to understanding the expression and magnitude of many geological processes, including ice scouring. It is therefore important to study individual parameters in relation to bathymetry in order to determine the potential impact of scouring on a sub-sea installation. All scour features observed in water depths less than 110 metres were incorporated into the GBSC. In water depths greater than 110 metres, only those scours which displayed a relatively fresh acoustic morphology were recorded, thus excluding the population of older degraded scours which are interpreted to be relict scours formed at the end of the last glaciation (Fader and King, 1981).

Figure 4.2.1 displays the distribution of furrows and pits according to bathymetry. Bathymetry for furrows ranges from 51 m to 350 m, with an average water depth of 117 m. The majority of furrows (60.6%) are located in water depths of 90 m to 130 m. Pit bathymetry ranges from 49 m to 285 m, with an average of 152 m water depth. The highest concentration (12.2%) occurs between 120 to 130 m water depth. The distribution of the pit population is variable compared to the furrow population.

Water depth for a scour feature can also vary along the track of the scour. The difference between the minimum and maximum bathymetry recorded along a scour event is referred to as the water depth variation. The water depth variation along the track of a scour has also been defined as “Rise Up” (Sonnichsen & King, 2011). The greatest variation in water depth along a furrow in the GBSC is 15 m, and occurs in water depth of 149 to 164 m. The mean water depth variation throughout the database is 1 m. There are 44 scour events with a variation in water depth greater than or equal to 5 m.

4.2.2 Sediment Type

The geometry of an iceberg scour is influenced by the type, thickness and strength of the seafloor sediment. The sediment lithology at the measured scour location was interpreted from sidescan sonar records for 92% of the furrows and 94% of the pits within the GBSC. The majority of sediment types stored within the GBSC include Predominantly Sand, Sand & Gravel, and Gravel. Figure 4.2.2 includes the distribution of furrows and pits according to sediment type.

4.2.3 Type and Shape

The interaction of ice and seafloor sediments may result in a variety of ice scour types and shapes. When an iceberg drifts into an area where the water depth is less than its draft the keel will displace the sediment to form a furrow or pit feature. The Type parameter yields evidence regarding the nature of the scouring process. For example, during the scouring process some icebergs may ground temporarily or permanently forming an inline or termination pit. Similarly, icebergs may contact the seabed independent of a furrow event creating an isolated pit or pit chain.

Of the 8046 scour features mapped, 5022 are furrows with no associated pit, 344 are furrows with an associated pit (s), and 2680 are pits. The 2680 pits include 2101 isolated Pit events, 150 Pit Chains (n=150), and 429 Pits with an associated Furrow. Figure 4.2.3 and 4.2.4 include the spatial distribution of scour features according to type.

Furrows with no associated pit (s) can be further divided based on the shape of the furrow; 2722 are linear furrows, 746 are sinuous furrows, 917 are arcuate furrows, and 637 are coded as poorly defined furrows. The shape of furrows with an associated pit (s) include 131 linear, 128 sinuous, and 85 arcuate.

4.2.4 Furrow Length

The furrow length parameter should be considered in sub-sea installation design models for it may influence the potential extent of damage, given a parallel scouring direction of the ice keel. Scour length measurements are often limited by the swath of the multibeam or sidescan sonar system and seldom reflect the true length of the scour. Ice scours were assigned a length qualifier code which indicates whether two endpoints were observed, one endpoint was observed or no endpoints were observed. Pit length was not included in this summary, see Pit Area (Section 4.2.5).

The distribution of furrows according to length, binned in 200 m intervals, is shown in Figure 4.2.5. Furrow length ranges from 5 m to 10,216 m, with a mean length of 584.7 m. The majority of furrows

(55.4%) have lengths less than 400 m. There are 725 furrows with lengths greater than 1000m, accounting for approximately 15% of all furrows with a recorded length. The longest furrow (10,216 m) was mapped from the 98-024 multibeam dataset and is located in water depth ranging from 106 to 109 m.

Table 4.2.1 presents a summary table of furrow length for features with an associated water depth (n = 4735) according to 50 m water depth intervals.

Table 4.2.1 – Furrow Length Summary According to Water Depth

Water Depth	N	Minimum (m)	Maximum (m)	Mean (m)	Median (m)	Std. Dev	N furrows \geq 1000 m
50m - 100m	1524	5	6489	599.8	384	658.6	253
100m - 150m	2781	10	10,216	592.7	345	826.3	409
150m - 200m	388	40	4200	531.8	390	511.7	50
200m - 400m	43	77	2978	548.6	370	526.3	8

4.2.5 Pit Area

Iceberg pit area was calculated by multiplying the length and width measurements within the database. Approximately 47% of the GBSC pit population have a measured length and width. The Pit distribution by area, binned in 2500 m² intervals, is displayed in Figure 4.2.6. Pit area ranges from 84 m² to 111,300 m², with a mean area of 6193 m². The majority of pits (64%) have areas less than 5000 m², and only 74 pits have an area greater than 20,000 m². The pit with the largest area (111,300 m²) is a termination pit within 130 metres water depth mapped from sidescan sonar data acquired during Cruise 99-031.

4.2.6 Furrow Orientation

Orientation values in the GBSC are recorded from 0° to 179° and do not infer actual scouring direction. The orientation parameter defines the angle at which an ice keel could intersect a sub-sea structure. If the preferred orientation (mode) of scouring in the region is at right angles to a sub-sea structure such as a cable or pipeline, there is a higher risk of damage due to the increased number of possible intersections. The lateral extent of damage resulting from keel impacts at right angles would likely be limited to the width of the scouring ice keel. Conversely, when the orientation mode is parallel to a pipeline or cable, the intersection risk is minimised, but a greater degree of damage may occur, since the zone of disruption may extend along the length of the sub-sea structure.

Figure 4.2.7 and Table 4.2.2 include the distribution of orientation for GBSC furrows with a recorded orientation. Although a significant number of furrows occur within each 5° and 10° orientation bins, a strong preferred orientation component occurs within 0 – 5°, 35 – 40°, and 45 – 50°, with each interval having more than 200 furrow features. The general orientation mode of the GBSC furrows is northeast – southwest.

Table 4.2.2 – Summary of Furrow Orientation

Orientation	Number of Furrows	Percentage
0 - 10	315	5.87
10 - 20	306	5.7
20 - 30	374	6.97

Orientation	Number of Furrows	Percentage
30 - 40	412	7.68
40 - 50	397	7.4
50 - 60	392	7.31
60 - 70	325	6.06
70 - 80	275	5.12
80 - 90	244	4.55
90 - 100	214	3.99
100 - 110	217	4.04
110 - 120	191	3.56
120 - 130	162	3.02
130 - 140	167	3.11
140 - 150	165	3.07
150 - 160	213	3.97
160 - 170	230	4.29
170 - 180	248	4.62

4.2.7 Furrow Width

The extent of possible lateral damage to a sub-sea installation caused by an ice keel is partly an expression of the impacting keel's width. Furrow width is measured from berm crest to berm crest and was recorded for 4330 (81%) of the furrow features stored in the GBSC. Pit width was not included in this summary, see Pit Area (Section 4.2.5).

Width measurements of furrow features range from 1 to 208 m with a mean width of 26 m. Figure 4.2.8 illustrates the distribution of furrows according to width. The highest concentration of furrows (32.5 %), have width values between 10 and 20 m. The vast majority of furrows (91.9%) are less than 50 m wide, and only 25 furrows are greater than 100 m wide. The widest furrow (208 m) is a linear furrow located in 104 m water depth mapped from the 98-024 multibeam dataset.

Table 4.2.3 presents the distribution of furrow width according to water depth for those features with an associated width and bathymetry value (n = 4242). Mean and median width increase with increasing water depth. The 100 to 150 m bathymetry range contains the greatest number of furrows with widths ≥ 100 m, at 22. A scatter plot of furrow width according to bathymetry is presented in Figure 4.2.9. The linear curve on the graph indicates a slight increase in width as water depth increases.

Table 4.2.3 – Furrow Width Summary According to Water Depth

Water Depth	N	Minimum (m)	Maximum (m)	Mean (m)	Median (m)	Std. Dev	N furrows ≥ 100 m
50m - 100m	1506	2	128	23.8	20	15.78	8
100m - 150m	2316	1	208	27.1	23	19.24	22
150m - 200m	378	5	125	30.3	29	15.91	1
200m - 400m	42	8	100	42.1	45	21.07	1

4.2.8 Scour Depth

The depth parameter is perhaps the most important measurement in estimating the minimum trenching depths required for a sub-sea installation. Scour depth is a variant parameter, depending on a number of factors including: size of the gouging ice keel, scour age, amount of infill, bathymetry, physiographic location, and the geotechnical soil conditions. The scour depth parameter represents a measurement that is derived from the acoustic data at some time after the passage of the ice keel. As a result, these values are considered minimum values. For example, upon scouring, some immediate sediment backfill may take place, especially in sandy or silty sediment. Subsequent to this, the scour may become infilled by hydrodynamic reworking, normal sedimentation, bioturbation or by additional scouring by other ice keels. Depth has been recorded for both furrow and pit features and is measured below an interpreted un-scoured seafloor datum.

The histograms displayed in Figure 4.2.10 illustrate the distribution of furrows (top) and pits (bottom) according to depth. The spatial distribution of furrows and pits over the Northeast Grand Banks area are presented in Figures 4.2.11 and 4.2.12 respectively. Each feature has been color coded by depth. For furrows, the deepest features are found in the eastern portion of the Grand Banks, in water depths less than 200 m. Pit features with depths greater than 5 m are more widespread, extending along the north east portion of the survey area and with deep features (greater than 5 m) in each 50 m bathymetry interval.

Of the 5366 furrow features mapped, 2285 (42.6%) have an associated depth value. Scour depth for furrows ranges from 0.1 m to 7.0 m, with a mean depth of 0.88 m. The majority of furrow features have depths less than or equal to 0.5 m. There are 319 furrows (14%) with depth values greater than 1 m and only 2 furrows have a depth greater than 5.0 m.

Of the 2680 pit features mapped, 1174 (43.8%) have an associated depth value. Pit features have depth values ranging from 0.1 m to 8.3 m, with a mean of 1.92 m. The majority of pit features (61.9%) have depths between 0.5 – 2.0 m. There are far more deep pits than furrows, with 848 (72.2%) pit features having depths greater than 1 m and 25 pits with a depth greater than 5 m.


Table 4.2.4 presents the distribution of scour depth according to 50 m water depth intervals. The deepest furrow (7 m) occurs at 154 m water depth and was mapped from Hunttec data acquired during Cruise 80-010. The water depth interval with the greatest number of furrow depths ≥ 2.0 m is between 150 and 200 m ($n=87$). The deepest pit (8.3 m) occurs at 110 m water depth and was mapped from multibeam data acquired during Cruise 98-024. The greatest amount of pits with depth depths ≥ 2.0 m occurs within the 150 to 200 m water depth interval ($n=281$). The mean depth for both furrows and pits increases with increasing water depth from 50 to 200 m. Scatterplots illustrating the relationship of depth vs bathymetry are presented in Figure 4.2.13 for furrows and pits. The linear curves on the graphs indicate an increase in depth as water depth increases.

Table 4.2.4 – Scour Depth Summary According to Water Depth

FURROWS							
Water Depth	N	Minimum (m)	Maximum (m)	Mean (m)	Median (m)	Std. Dev.	N furrows ≥ 2.0 m
50m - 100m	591	0.1	3	0.53	0.5	0.35	9
100m - 150m	1266	0.1	3.5	0.57	0.5	0.41	35
150m - 200m	364	0.3	7	1.41	1	0.97	87
200m - 400m	60	0.5	2.6	1.19	1	0.52	5
PITS							
Water Depth	N	Minimum (m)	Maximum (m)	Mean (m)	Median (m)	Std. Dev.	N pits ≥ 2.0 m
50m - 100m	60	0.3	7	1.37	1	1.31	11
100m - 150m	328	0.1	8.3	1.31	1	1.09	61
150m - 200m	465	0.5	8	2.26	2	1.18	281
200m - 400m	321	0.5	8	2.15	2	1.14	184

A scatter plot showing the relationship between furrow width and depth is presented in Figure 4.2.14. Each symbol represents an individual scour. The linear curve representing this population indicates a slight increase in depth as incision width increases.

FURROW BATHYMETRY DISTRIBUTION



PIT BATHYMETRY DISTRIBUTION





Figure 4.2.1 - Histograms showing the distribution of furrows (n = 5277) and pits (n = 2669) by bathymetry, binned in 10 m intervals, for all scours with an associated water depth



FURROW SEDIMENT TYPE DISTRIBUTION



PIT SEDIMENT TYPE DISTRIBUTION




Figure 4.2.2 - Histograms showing the distribution of furrows (n = 5277) and pits (n = 2669) according to Sediment Type, for those scours with an assigned Sediment Type.







Figure 4.2.3 - Spatial Distribution of Scours according to Type.



4.2.4 - Spatial Distribution of Scours according to Type, over the Northeast Grand Banks.

FURROW LENGTH DISTRIBUTION




Figure 4.2.5 - Histogram illustrating the distribution of furrows according to length, binned in 200m intervals (n = 4825).

PIT AREA DISTRIBUTION




Figure 4.2.6 - Histogram showing the distribution of pit events according to area, binned in 2500 sq m intervals (n = 1249).




Figure 4.2.7 - Distribution of furrows according to orientation (n = 4847).

FURROW WIDTH DISTRIBUTION




Figure 4.2.8 - Histogram showing the distribution of furrows according to width, binned in 5m intervals, for furrows with an associated width measurement (n = 4330).





Figure 4.2.9 - Scatterplot showing the relationship between furrow width and bathymetry, $n = 4242$.



FURROW DEPTH DISTRIBUTION



PIT DEPTH DISTRIBUTION






Figure 4.2.10 - Histograms showing the distribution of furrows (n = 2285) and pits (n = 1174) by depth, binned in 0.2 m intervals, for those features with a recorded depth.



4.2.11 - Spatial Distribution of Furrows according to Depth, over the Northeast Grand Banks.



4.2.12 - Spatial Distribution of Pits according to Depth, over the Northeast Grand Banks.





Figure 4.2.13 - Scatterplots showing the relationship between depth vs. bathymetry for the population of furrows ($n = 2285$) and pits ($n = 1174$).




Figure 4.2.14 - Scatterplot showing the relationship between furrow depth and width (n = 1675)

5.0 REFERENCES

- Banke, E., 1989. Case histories of the groundings of icebergs 001 and 004 during March, 1989 on the Grand Banks of Newfoundland. Geological Survey of Canada Open File Report No. 2527
- Barrie, J.V., Collins, W.T., Clark, J.I., Lewis, C.F.M., and Parrott, D.R., 1986. Submersible Observations and Origin of an Iceberg Pit on the Grand Banks of Newfoundland; in Current Research, Part A, Geological Survey of Canada, Paper 86-1A, p. 251-258.
- Barrie, J.V., C.F.M. Lewis, G.B. Fader, and L.H. King, 1984. Seabed processes on the northeastern Grand Banks of Newfoundland; modern reworking of relict sediments. *Marine Geology*, 57, 209-227.
- Campbell, P., Sonnichsen, G., Bennett, J. R. 2004. 1999-2004 Revisions of the Grand Banks Scour Catalogue (GBSC2004). Contract report prepared by Canadian Seabed Research Ltd. for the Geological Survey of Canada, Atlantic.
- C-CORE (2000) Ice and Geotechnical Requirements for Hebron Concept Development, Contract Report Prepared for Chevron Canada Resources, C-CORE Report Number 00-C16, June, 2000.
- C-CORE (2001) Iceberg Scour Characteristics at White Rose, Contract Report Prepared for Husky Oil, C-CORE Report Number 00-C44, January, 2001.
- C-CORE (2001) Ice Load Design Basis for Hebron, C-CORE Contract Report R01-05 Version 4, September, 2001.
- C-CORE (2003) Iceberg Scour Characteristics at Terra Nova. Report for Petro-Canada, C-CORE Report Number R-02-038-213, March 2003.
- C-CORE (2004). Assessment of a Direct Pipeline Route for Transport of Grand Banks Natural Gas. Version 2. Contract Report Prepared for El Paso Eastern Pipelines, Enbridge Inc., Petro-Canada and Department of Mines and Energy, Government of Newfoundland and Labrador. C-CORE Report R-03-092-261, June 2004.
- Cummings E. H., Sonnichsen, G.V., 1997. White Rose Repetitive Seafloor Mapping: A Correlation Of Side Scan Sonar Data And A Swath Bathymetry Image From The Grand Banks, Newfoundland. Geological Survey of Canada Open File Report No. 3836.
- d'Apollonia, S.J. and Lewis, C.F.M., 1981. Iceberg Scour Data Maps for the Grand Banks of Newfoundland between 46 and 48 degrees North. Geological Survey of Canada, Open File Report, 819, 13p.
- d'Apollonia Associates, 1987. Analysis of Sidescan Data for Repetitive Mapping Survey on Northeastern Grand Bank - Hudson 86-018., Contract report to the Geological Survey of Canada, 22p.
- Davidson, Susan H., Simms, Alvin, 1997. Characterisation of Iceberg Pits on the Grand Banks of Newfoundland. Environmental Studies Research Funds Report No. 133, Ottawa, 162p.

Fader, G.B. and King, L.H., 1981. A Reconnaissance Study of the Surficial Geology of the Grand Banks of Newfoundland; in Current Research, Part A, Geological Survey of Canada, Paper 81-1A, p. 45-56.

Fader, G.B., 1985a. Cruise Report 85-005, C.S.S. Hudson, Grand Banks of Newfoundland. Atlantic Geoscience Centre, Bedford Institute of Oceanography, 12p.

Fader, G.B., 1985b. Cruise Report 85-057, MV Pandora II. Atlantic Geoscience Centre, Bedford Institute of Oceanography, 18p.

Fader, G.B., 1986. Cruise Report 86-017, C.S.S. Hudson. Atlantic Geoscience Centre, Bedford Institute of Oceanography, 17p.

Fader, G.B., 1987. Cruise Report 87-017, C.S.S. Hudson, Grand Banks of Newfoundland. Geological Survey of Canada, Bedford Institute of Oceanography, 44p.

Fader, G.B. and King, L.H., 1981. A Reconnaissance Study of the Surficial Geology of the Grand Banks of Newfoundland; in Current Research, Part A, Geological Survey of Canada, Paper 81-1A, p. 45-56.

Fugro Jacques GeoSurveys Inc., 1998. White Rose L-08 Wellsite Report Grand Banks, Newfoundland, CNOBP Program No. 8926-H006-005E.

Fugro Jacques GeoSurveys Inc., 1999. White Rose A-17 Wellsite Report Grand Banks, Newfoundland, CNOBP Program No. 8926-H006-005E.

Fugro Jacques GeoSurveys Inc., 1999. White Rose N-30 Wellsite Report Grand Banks, Newfoundland, CNOBP Program No. 8926-H006-005E.

Geomarine Associates, 1980. A Sidescan Sonar Study of Iceberg Scours on the Grand Banks of Newfoundland between Hibernia and Trave/White Rose Well-sites. Contract report prepared for Mobil Oil, Canada Ltd.

Geomarine Associates, 1981. Analysis of the Huntec Shallow Seismic data for Proposed Pipeline Routes Between Hibernia and the Newfoundland Coast, Part II - The "Southern Route". Report prepared for Mobil Oil Canada Ltd.

Geonautics/D'Apollonia, 1982. Surficial Geology and Shallow Stratigraphy of the Southwest Grand Banks, Newfoundland. Report prepared for Mobil Oil Canada Ltd.

Geonautics Limited, 1989. Regional Ice Scour Data Base Update Studies. Environmental Studies Research Funds Report No. 105, Ottawa, 105p.

Geonautics Limited, 1991. East Coast Repetitive Mapping, 1979/1990. Environmental Studies Research Funds Report No. (in press), Ottawa.

Hart, S., 1998. East Flying Foam (1996) - Seafloor Ice Scour Compilation and Analysis, Contract report to the Geological Survey of Canada., McGregor GeoScience Limited.

Hart, S., March 1996. 4000 Series Repetitive Seafloor Mapping, 1994. Contract report prepared by Synmap Information Technologies for the Geological Survey of Canada.

Hart, S., 1995. Sidescan Processing and Mapping of Seafloor Features (4000 Series Lines). Contract report to the Geological Survey of Canada., Strattech Services Project no. 95-01.

K. R. Croasdale and Associates Ltd., 2000. Study of iceberg scour and risk in the Grand Banks region. Contract report submitted to National Research Council of Canada, PERD/CHC Report 31-26, 179 pages.

King, E.L. and Gillespie, R.T., 1986. Regional Iceberg Scour Distribution and Variability, Eastern Canadian Continental Shelf. In Ice Scour and Seabed Engineering: C.F.M. Lewis et al. (ed). Environment Studies Revolving Funds, No. 049, Ottawa, 310p.

King, E.L., 1990. Quaternary Geology of the Hibernia Area of Northeast Grand Bank - Hibernia Mosaic Area. Contract Report prepared for Atlantic Geoscience Centre, Bedford Institute of Oceanography, 21p

King, E.L., Sonnichsen, G.V., 1999. Characterization of near-surface seismostratigraphy and features of Northeastern Grand Bank: Sea Level Fluctuations, Glaciation and Slope Stability. Geological Survey of Canada, Open File Report No. 3886.

Lewis, C.F.M. and Barrie, J.V., 1981. Geological Evidence of Iceberg Grounding and Related Seafloor Processes in the Hibernia Discovery Area of Grand Bank Newfoundland; in Symposium on Production and Transportation Systems for the Hibernia Discovery; Newfoundland Petroleum Directorate, St. John's, Newfoundland, p. 146-177.

Lewis, C.F.M. and Parrott, D.R., 1987. Iceberg Scouring Rates Studies, Grand Banks of Newfoundland; in Current Research, Part A, Geological Survey of Canada, Paper 87-1A, p. 825-833.

Lewis, C.F.M., and Blasco, S.M., 1990. Character and distribution of sea-ice and iceberg scours, in Proceedings of the Workshop on Ice Scouring and Design of Offshore Pipelines, Calgary, Alberta. Jack Clarke (Ed). pp. 56-101.

Myers, R., Gilbert, G., and N. Deagle, 1995. Creation of the Grand Banks Scour Catalogue (GBSC). Report submitted to Atlantic Geoscience Centre, Geological Survey of Canada.

Myers, R. and Campbell, P., 1996. 1996 Modifications to the Grand Banks Scour Catalogue. Contract report for the Geological Survey of Canada Atlantic.

Myers, R. and Campbell, P., 1996. Terra Nova Development Studies 1995, Seafloor Repetitive Mapping Analysis. Contract report submitted to Petro-Canada, 44p.

Newfoundland Geosciences Ltd. (NGL), 1988. Terra Nova Development Studies 1988, Geotechnical environmental program, final report. Terra Nova Report #:88018.

Nordco, 1982. A Catalogue of Iceberg Scours and Ice Related Features on the Grand Banks of Newfoundland. File Ref. 162-81I. Contract report prepared for Mobil Oil, Canada Ltd., 50p.

Nordco, 1984. A Catalogue of Iceberg Scours and Ice Related Features on the Grand Banks of Newfoundland. File Ref. 201-831-A. Contract report prepared for Mobil Oil, Canada Ltd., 51p.

Parrott, D.R., Lewis, C.F.M., and Sonnichsen, G.V., 1988. Cruise Report, Needler 88-108, Grand Banks of Newfoundland. Atlantic Geoscience Centre, Geological Survey of Canada, 23p.

Parrott, D.R., Lewis, C.F.M., Banke, E., Fader, G.B.J., and Sonnichsen, G.V., 1990. Seabed Disturbance by a Recent (1989) Iceberg Grounding on the Grand Banks of Newfoundland, in Current Research, Part B, Geological Survey of Canada, Paper 90-1B, p.43-48.

Parrott, D.R. and Sonnichsen, G.V., 1990. Cruise Report, Dawson 90-021, Northeastern Grand Banks off Newfoundland. Atlantic Geoscience Centre, Geological Survey of Canada.

Piper, D.J.W., and Pereira, C.P.G., 1992. Late Quaternary sedimentation in central Flemish Pass, Can. J. Earth Sci., vol. 29., pp. 535-550.

Piper, D.J.W., 2003. Cruise Report 2003-033, Geohazards on the Continental Margin Off Newfoundland. Geological Survey of Canada Atlantic Project X27.

Schoenthaler, 1986 ; Grand Banks Ice Scour Catalogue, in Ice Scour and Seabed Engineering, Proceedings of a Workshop on Ice Scour Research. Environmental Studies Revolving Funds Report No. 049, Ottawa, 322 p.

Sonnichsen, G.V., 1994. Cruise Report, Hudson 94-021 (Phase I), Northeastern Grand Banks. Atlantic Geoscience Centre, Geological Survey of Canada

Sonnichsen, G.V., 1998. Establishment of the 98-024 Baseline Iceberg Scour Survey: CCGS Matthew 98-024. Geological Survey of Canada, Open File Report, No. 3821.

Sonnichsen, G.V., 1998. A Seabed Survey of NE Grand Banks and Western Flemish Pass: CCGS Matthew 98-034. Geological Survey of Canada, Internal Report.

Sonnichsen, G.V., King E.L, Maclean B., 1999. Seabed Iceberg Scour on the Grand Banks. Geological Survey of Canada, Atlantic Internal Report.

Sonnichsen, G.V., 1999. Seabed Iceberg Scour on the Grand Banks. Internal report, Marine Environmental Geoscience Subdivision, Geological Survey of Canada, Atlantic.

Sonnichsen, G.V., 1999. A Seabed Survey of Northern Grand Bank and Flemish Pass: CCGS Hudson 99-031. Internal report, Marine Environmental Geoscience Subdivision, Geological Survey of Canada, Atlantic.

Sonnichsen, G.V., Hart, S., 200?. Cruise 98-024 Baseline Iceberg Scour Analysis: CCGS Matthew 98-024. Internal report, Geological Survey of Canada, Atlantic.

Sonnichsen, G.V., Hart, S., 2001. Cruise 99-031 Northern Grand Bank Repetitive Mapping Survey. Internal report, Geological Survey of Canada, Atlantic.

Sonnichsen, G.V., King, E.L., 2001. Surficial Sediments, Grand Banks, Offshore Newfoundland. PERD/CHC Report 31-27.

Sonnichsen, G.V., King, T., 2011. 2004 Grand Banks Iceberg Scour Survey, in, Proceedings of the 21st International Conference on Port and Ocean Engineering under Arctic Conditions; 2011; p. 1-10 POAC 11-188.

Woodworth-Lynas, C.M.T., Josenhans, H.W., Barrie, J.V., Lewis, C.F.M. and Parrott, D.R., 1991. The Physical Processes of Seabed Disturbance during Iceberg Grounding and Scouring. Continental Shelf Research, vol. 11, p. 939-961.