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#### Abstract

Adult Atlantic salmon were counted visually in Crabbes River, Middle Barachois Brook, Robinsons River, Fischells Brook, and Flat bay Brook, 23-29 August 1999. The surveys were conducted by crews of 3 to 12 snorkellers floating down the main stem of each river. Water levels were moderately low and salmon were predominately concentrated in pools with water depths less than 1 m . An adjustment factor, ranging from 1.0 to 1.5 was applied to the counts in each river section to account for fish not observed in the larger pools. There were no known removals after the survey, therefore the adjusted count is considered to be the spawning escapement for the river. There were no retention angling fisheries on these rivers in 1999. An assumed mortality of $10 \%$ was applied to the estimates of the numbers of salmon that were hooked-and-released. The estimated total numbers of salmon that returned to each river are: Crabbes River, 686 small and 264 large salmon; Middle Barachois Brook, 559 small and 67 large salmon; Robinsons River, 1431 small and 203 large salmon; Fischells Brook, 1264 small and 246 large salmon: and, Flat Bay Brook, 2261 small and 235 large salmon. The percentage of the egg deposition conservation requirements achieved were $65 \%$ for Crabbes River, $44 \%$ for Middle Barachois Brook, 117\% for Robinsons River, 110\% for Fischells Brook, and 149\% for Flat Bay Brook. The egg deposition is higher in 1999 than in 1998 for all rivers except Middle Barachois Brook. The apparent low egg deposition in Crabbes River and Middle Barachois Brook may be related to the unusually severe flood that occurred in February 1996, which may have caused high juvenile mortalities. The information available did not lend itself to forecasting the abundance of salmon in 2000. Two rainbow trout were observed in Robinsons River and Flat Bay Brook. Their origin is unknown.


## Résumé

Du 23 au 29 août 1999, des dénombrements visuels des saumons atlantiques dans les rivières Crabbes et Robinsons, ainsi que dans les ruisseaux Middle Barachois, Fischells et Flat Bay, ont été effectués par des équipes de 3 à 12 plongeurs en apnée qui se laissaient flotter dans le cours principal de chaque rivière. Les niveaux d'eau étaient modérément bas, et les saumons se concentraient surtout dans les fosses de profondeur inférieure à un mètre. Le nombre de saumons observés dans chaque tronçon a été corrigé par un facteur variant entre 1,0 et 1,5 pour tenir compte des poissons qui n'ont pas été aperçus dans les plus grandes fosses. Comme il n'y a eu aucun prélèvement connu de poissons après le relevé, les dénombrements corrigés sont considérés comme représentatifs de l'échappée des géniteurs. Aucune pêche à la ligne avec conservation des captures n'a été pratiquée dans ces cours d'eau en 1999. On a appliqué une mortalité présumée de $10 \%$ aux estimations du nombre de saumons capturés puis remis à l'eau. Voici les estimations de la remonte totale dans chaque cours d'eau: 686 petits et 264 grands saumons dans la rivière Crabbes; 559 petits et 67 grands saumons dans le ruisseau Middle Barachois; 1431 petits et 203 grands saumons dans la rivière Robinsons; 1264 petits et 246 grands saumons dans le ruisseau Fischells; 2261 petits et 235 grands saumons dans le ruisseau Flat Bay. Les pourcentages de la ponte nécessaire à la conservation atteints dans chaque cours d'eau sont les suivants : $65 \%$ dans la rivière Crabbes, $44 \%$ dans le ruisseau Middle Barachois, 117 \% dans la rivière Robinsons, 110 \% dans le ruisseau Fischells et 149 \% dans le ruisseau Flat Bay. Dans tous les cours d'eau excepté le ruisseau Middle Barachois, la ponte a été plus élevée en 1999 qu'en 1998. La faible ponte apparente dans la rivière Crabbes et le ruisseau Middle Barachois pourrait être liée à la crue anormalement forte de février 1996, qui aurait entraîné une forte mortalité des juvéniles. Les données disponibles ne se prêtaient pas à la prédiction de l'abondance du saumon en 2000. Deux truites arc-en-ciel d'origine inconnue ont été observées dans la rivière Robinsons et le ruisseau Flat Bay.

## Introduction

Atlantic salmon populations in Bay St. George rivers declined in the 1970's (Porter and Chadwick 1983) and have been below conservation levels for most of the past 30 years (Reddin and Mullins, 1996). The total returns to rivers appear to have only marginally increased with the closure of the commercial fisheries in 1992. Direct measurements of salmon population sizes in most rivers are difficult to obtain using conventional fish counting facilities due to the large size of the rivers and their extreme range in discharge.

Reddin and Mullins (1996) conducted assessments of the salmon populations in rivers in Bay St. George, used angling catch statistics and estimates of angling exploitation rates to estimate population sizes. Snorkelling surveys have been used to estimate the numbers of large and small salmon spawners in several rivers in Bay St. George since 1996 (Bourgeois et al. 1997), (Porter 1997 and 1999), and (Porter and Bourgeois 1998). These surveys indicated generally higher populations of salmon in recent years than in late 1980's and early 1990's. An exception was Fischells Brook, which appeared to have a low population size in 1998.

Snorkelling surveys were again conducted in 1999, to obtain estimates of small and large salmon in Crabbes and Robinsons rivers, and Middle Barachois, Fischells and Flat Bay brooks (Figs 1-5). This document is an assessment of the status of the salmon populations in these rivers. The physical characteristics of five rivers are described in Table 1.

## Fisheries Management Measures

In 1978, restrictions were placed on the commercial and recreational fisheries in response to a decline in returns of salmon to Bay St. George rivers (Chadwick et al 1978). Further reductions were placed on the commercial fisheries in the 1980's, and it was eventually closed in 1992. The retention of large salmon has been prohibited since1984. The changes in season and quotas in the recreational fisheries since 1953 are shown in Table 2. In 1992 and 1993 there were Salmon Fishing Area (SFA) quotas for the recreational fisheries, but the quota for SFA 13, which includes Bay St. George) was only reached in 1992. Flat Bay Brook was closed to all angling in 1995 and 1996, and Fischells Brook was closed in 1999. Due to high water temperatures and low water levels in 1999, Crabbes River and Middle Barachois Brook were closed to angling 25 June - 28 July and 5-9 August; Robinsons River was closed 25 June - 15 July, and 21-28 July; and Flat Bay Brook was closed 25-29 June.

## Methods

## Recreational Fisheries data

Angling data were available from the salmon angler licence stub in 1999 (see O'Connell et al. 1998 for a description of the methodology).

## Unrecorded mortalities

An estimate of all fish killed or naturally died before spawning is important for any stock assessment. Illegal activities do occur on the rivers being assessed; however, no quantitative estimates of salmon mortality are available. The percentage of the released salmon that will die will vary with handling techniques and water temperature (Anon 1998) (Willie et al., 1996; and Broil et al, 1996). Mortality of hooked-and-released salmon at water temperatures below $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is believed to be less than $10 \%$. This value was applied to the estimated number of salmon released for the five rivers assessed.

## Biological characteristics

The biological characteristics that are most important for assessing the status of an Atlantic salmon population are: proportion of large ( $\geq 63 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) and small ( $<63$ ) salmon, mean weight or length and percent female of each size group, and relative fecundity. The values of these parameters determine the reproductive potential of the stock.

For Crabbes and Robinsons rivers and Fischells Brook, the mean weights ( 1.63 kg for small, 5.06 kg for large) and percentage female ( $71.9 \%$ for small, $86.8 \%$ for large salmon) used in this assessment are values for years 1992-94 from Table 6a \& b in Reddin \& Mullins (1996) (Table 3). The mean weight, mean length and percent female for the salmon population in Middle Barachois Brook are those obtained from 34 large salmon and 71 small salmon seined in Section 1, Middle Barachois Brook, in August, 1997. The estimated mean fecundity of 1540 eggs $/ \mathrm{kg}$ of body weight used by Porter \& Chadwick (1983) was also used for Crabbes and Robinsons rivers, and Fischells and Middle Barachois brooks. The percentage of large and small salmon in each population used in this assessment is the percentage observed in the survey in 1999.

For Flat Bay Brook, biological characteristics data were available for fish taken as broodstock in 1994-96 and from the angling fishery in 1994 (Table 3) (Bourgeois et al. 1997). These values were used in this assessment except for percent female for small salmon, which was taken from Reddin and Mullins (1996). A length -fecundity relationship, for Flat Bay Brook, was developed from samples taken as broodstock in 1995 and 1996 (Porter and Bourgeois 1998).

## Conservation spawning requirements

Spawning requirements for Atlantic salmon represent an estimate of the number of eggs (or spawners) required for conservation of the stock (O'Connell \& Dempson 1995). Juvenile salmon rear in both fluvial and lacustrine habitat and thus spawning requirements are based on the number of eggs required for both types of habitat (O'Connell \& Dempson 1995). The habitat accessible to sea-run salmon in Crabbes and Robinsons rivers, and Middle Barachois, Fischells and Flat Bay brooks is primarily fluvial with little lacustrine habitat (Table 1). Therefore, in relation to the fluvial habitat, the production of parr in lacustrine habitat would be small but still important.

Conservation egg deposition requirements for the five rivers being assessed are those calculated by Reddin \& Mullins (1996) as target eggs.

The conservation requirements in terms of numbers of spawners requires knowledge of the portion of the eggs which should come from both large salmon and small salmon. Since these rivers are believed to historically have had a significant component of virgin 2SW salmon it is uncertain how to determine the appropriate number of large salmon that should be in the spawning population to meet its conservation requirements. Since the populations are currently at low levels the observed proportions may not be the appropriate composition for conservation of the large salmon component. Estimate of the large and small salmon conservation requirements were calculated by Porter and Bourgeois (1998) but were not recommended for use as minimum threshold limits for fisheries management due to the above mentioned uncertainties.

## Number of Spawners and Total Returns to Rivers

Atlantic salmon were visually counted in Crabbes and Robinsons rivers, and Middle Barachois, Fischells and Flat Bay brooks between 23 August and 29 August 1998, by surveyors who snorkelled down that portion of the main stem, of each river, accessible to anadromous salmon. The only tributaries surveyed were Little Crabbes Brook (Crabbes River) and Northern Feeder (Robinsons River). The tributaries in each of the rivers are small and generally had low water levels. Few salmon were observed in the tributaries during surveys in previous years. Water levels in 1999 were moderately low during the survey, however there was a heavy rain during the night of 29 August, which prevented surveying Section 5 of Crabbes River and Flat Bay Brook.

The main stem of each river was divided into four or five sections with each section normally being less than 10 km in length (Figs 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5). There were four main differences between the procedures used in conducting the survey in 1999 from that reported by Porter (1999) and Porter and Bourgeois (1998). These were: 1) a general increase in the number of snorkellers in each crew; 2) a portion of Fischells Brook, called "the Steadies", which was not surveyed in 1998, was surveyed in 1999; 3) there were some changes to some Section boundaries; 4) a helicopter was used to ferry the survey crew between pools in Sections 1 and 2 of Flat Bay Brook. These two Sections contain only a few pools, and in previous years, very few salmon were observed outside these pools.

A survey crew, comprising of snorkellers and recorders, was assigned to each river Section. Crews varied in size from 3 to 16 people, with a minimum of three and a maximum of 12 snorkellers per crew. The snorkellers would passively float or swim downstream and count salmon; and, one or two recorders would walk along the riverbank and record the information. A rope was frequently stretched across the river and held in place by two recorders; the snorkellers would line up across the river along the rope such that there was total underwater horizontal visual coverage. The recorders would slowly walk down river with the snorkellers holding onto the rope. The snorkellers would all look underwater in the same direction across the river and count the salmon that passed between himself/herself and the adjacent surveyor. This technique proved to be very effective and greatly increased the confidence in the estimates of the number of fish in the larger
pools. Water depths in most riffle areas were frequently too low shallow for swimming, particularly in the upper sections (Sections 1 and 2) of each river.

Information collected included: numbers of large and small salmon, number of salmon with net marks or other injuries, and a description of the pools where salmon were observed. Pools were numbered on a map and crossed referenced to a field notebook. If snorkellers were unsure of the count, they would float through the pool a second or third time. When two or more passes were made through the same pool the highest count was recorded, except in circumstances where the numbers of fish were estimated, then an average was recorded.

There was no calibration of possible differences between teams or individuals. There are many factors that affect accuracy and precision of the counts by individuals and collectively by the teams. These factors include water depth and width of pools, turbidity and colour of water, angle of sun, and light conditions in general.

Some of pools were too deep or large to obtain a complete count of salmon. Therefore, an adjustment factor was applied to the count in each section to account for unobserved salmon. This factor was determined subjectively in consultation with the snorkellers, taking into consideration the number and size of the pools in which complete counts could not be ascertained, and the number of salmon counted in adjacent pools.

The numbers of salmon in Section 5 in Crabbes River and Flat Bay Brook were estimated by using the average proportion of the total population of salmon in Section 5 of each river in 1996 and 1997, as reported in Bourgeois et al. (1997), Porter (1997) and Porter and Bourgeois (1998).

The adjusted numbers of large and small salmon are believed to represent the total numbers in each river at the time of the survey. It is assumed that these numbers approximate the spawning escapement, since no information is available on mortalities after the survey, and it is believed that the majority of spawners have entered the river prior to the survey.

The total returns to each river was obtained by adding $10 \%$ of the number of salmon hooked-andreleased to the estimated spawning escapement. No adjustment was made for illegal removals, since there are no reliable estimates available.

## Egg deposition

The unadjusted and adjusted egg deposition $\left(\mathrm{ED}_{\mathrm{ua}} \& \mathrm{ED}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ for Crabbes River, Middle Barachois Brook, Robinsons River, and Fischells Brook, in 1999, were calculated for small and large salmon separately then summed as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{ED}_{\text {ua }}=\left(\mathrm{UN}_{\mathrm{S}} * \mathrm{PF}_{\mathrm{S}} * \mathrm{RF}_{\mathrm{S}} * \mathrm{MW}_{\mathrm{S}}\right)+\left(\mathrm{UN}_{\mathrm{L}} * \mathrm{PF}_{\mathrm{L}} * \mathrm{RF}_{\mathrm{L}} * \mathrm{MW}_{\mathrm{L}}\right)  \tag{3}\\
& \mathrm{ED}_{\mathrm{a}}=\left(\mathrm{AN}_{\mathrm{s}} * \mathrm{PF}_{\mathrm{S}} * \mathrm{RF}_{\mathrm{S}} * \mathrm{MW}_{\mathrm{S}}\right)+\left(\mathrm{AN}_{\mathrm{L}} * \mathrm{PF}_{\mathrm{L}} * \mathrm{RF}_{\mathrm{L}} * \mathrm{MW}_{\mathrm{L}}\right) \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Where: $\quad \mathrm{UN}_{\text {S or } L} \quad=$ unadjusted numbers of small or large salmon counted in the survey
$\mathrm{PF}_{\text {S or } \mathrm{L}}=$ percent female small or large salmon
$\mathrm{RF}_{\text {S or } L}=$ relative fecundity for small or large salmon ( $1540 \mathrm{eggs} / \mathrm{kg}$ )
$\mathrm{MW}_{\mathrm{S} \text { or } \mathrm{L}}=$ mean weight for small or large salmon
$\mathrm{AN}_{\mathrm{S} \text { or } \mathrm{L}} \quad=$ adjusted number of small or large salmon counted in the survey

The unadjusted and adjusted egg deposition $\left(\mathrm{ED}_{\text {ua }} \& \mathrm{ED}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ for Flat Bay Brook, in 1999, were calculated for small and large salmon separately then summed as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{ED}_{\mathrm{ua}}=\left(\mathrm{UN}_{\mathrm{S}} * \mathrm{PF}_{\mathrm{S}} * \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{FS}}\right)+\left(\mathrm{UN}_{\mathrm{L}} * \mathrm{PF}_{\mathrm{L}} * \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{FL}}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$E D_{\mathrm{a}}=\left(\mathrm{AN}_{\mathrm{s}} * \mathrm{PF}_{\mathrm{S}} * \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{FS}}\right)+\left(\mathrm{AN}_{\mathrm{L}} * \mathrm{PF}_{\mathrm{L}} * \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{FL}}\right)$

Where: $\quad \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{FS}}$ or FL $\quad=$ fecundity of small or large salmon for Flat Bay Brook based on length/fecundity relationship, $y=173.02 \mathrm{x}-6266.8$ (Porter and Bourgeois 1998).

## Percentage of Conservation Level Achieved

The adjusted and unadjusted percentage of the conservation egg deposition levels achieved in each river in 1999 were calculated as follows:
(3) Percentage of conservation level achieved $=\left(\mathrm{ED}_{\mathrm{ua} \text { or a }} / \mathrm{CED}\right) * 100$

Where: CED = egg deposition required for Conservation
The percentage of the conservation egg deposition level achieved in Middle Barachois Brook in 1996 and 1997 was recalculated using the biological characteristics collected in 1998.

## Results

## Recreational Fisheries Data

The angling catch statistics for 1999 for the Crabbes River, Middle Barachois Brook, Robinsons River, Flat Bay Brook, as compiled from the angling licence stub returns, are provided in Table 4. Fischells Brook was closed to all angling. The catch rate for hook-and-released small salmon ranged from $4.2 \%$ on Crabbes River to $22.3 \%$ on Robinsons River (Table 4). The catch rate for large salmon ranged from $4.6 \%$ on Crabbes River to $18.2 \%$ on Robinsons River. The catch statistics from 1974-99 are also provided in Appendices 1-5. It should be noted that the angling
data collected prior to 1996 were collected by Fisheries Guardians and/or River Monitors and may not be directly comparable to the data derived from the licence stub returns.

An estimate of the angling effort is not available for 1996-99, since many anglers did not properly record this information on their license return. The numbers of small and large salmon estimated to have been hooked-and-released, in 1999, on Crabbes and Robinsons rivers were the lowest since 1995. On Barachois Brook the number of small salmon hooked-and-released was higher than in 1998, but lower than in 1997. The numbers of large salmon caught is the lowest since 1991. On Flat Bay Brook, the catch of small salmon was higher, in 1999, than in 1998, but slightly lower than in 1997; whereas, the catch of large salmon was lower than in either 1998 or 1997.

## Unrecorded Mortalities

The estimated angling mortality ranged from three (3) small salmon Crabbes River to 32 small salmon on Robinsons River. For large salmon, the mortality was one (1) fish on Crabbes River and Middle Barachois Brook to four (4) fish on Robinsons River and Flat Bay Brook (Table 4).

## Biological Characteristics

The parameter values for mean weights, mean lengths, and percent female and percentage small and large salmon used to calculate the total egg deposition in each river is provided in Table 3. The percentage of large salmon in the each spawning population, in 1999, is shown in Tables 5 to 9. The length-fecundity relationship developed for Flat Bay Brook is $\mathrm{y}=173.02 \mathrm{x}-6266.8$ (Porter and Bourgeois 1998). A summary of the biological characteristics (length, weight, sex, age) of the salmon from Middle Barachois Brook sampled in 1998 is provided in Appendix 6. It is interesting to note that $26.7 \%$ of the virgin 2 SW salmon are $<63 \mathrm{~cm}$ and $100 \%$ of the 2 SW salmon were females. About $86 \%$ of the virgin 1 SW salmon and $93 \%$ of the virgin 2 SW salmon were river age 3 .

## Conservation Spawning Requirements

The number of eggs required for conservation in each river is provided in Table 1 and shown below:
Crabbes River ........................ 4,600,000 eggs
Middle Barachois Brook ............ 2,100,000 eggs
Robinsons River ....................... 3,300,000 eggs
Fischells River ................... 3,600,000 eggs
Flat Bay Brook ..................... 3,800,000 eggs

## Number of Spawners and Total Returns to Rivers

Salmon were highly concentrated in a small number of pools in each river. Very few salmon were found in riffles or in pools less than one meter in depth. Densities greater than 10 salmon were found in 20 pools in Crabbes River, 13 pools on Middle Barachois Brook, 22 pools in Robinsons River, 23 pools in Fischells Brook, and 21 pools on Flat Bay Brook. The unadjusted and the adjusted numbers of small and large salmon counted in Crabbes River, Middle Barachois Brook, Robinsons River, Fischells Brook, and Flat Bay Brook are provided in Tables 5 to 9 respectively. The adjustment factor applied to the actual counts in different river sections ranged from 1.0 to 1.5 (Tables 5-9). The overall adjustment factor for the count in each river ranged from 1.12 for Fischells Brook to 1.31 for Crabbes River. The rationale for choosing each adjustment factor is provided in Appendix 7. The adjusted counts of small and large salmon are assumed to be the number of spawners since there were no known removals subsequent to the survey. A summary of the estimated spawning escapements to these five rivers, 1953-99 is provided in Table 10.

## Crabbes River

The greatest numbers of small and large salmon in Crabbes River were found in Section 2, although pools with relatively high numbers were also found in Sections 1 and 3 (Table 5). The highest percentage ( $39 \%$ ) of large salmon was found in Section 4. River Guardians surveyed Little Crabbes Brook, tributary of Crabbes River, and counted 17 small and four (4) large salmon. No adjustment was made to the count on Little Crabbes Brook. The lower 1-km of two other tributaries in Section 2 was surveyed, with less than 5 salmon counted in each. These observations were included in the counts for Section 2.

The total number of spawners estimated to be in Crabbes River in 1999 is 946 salmon, of which 683 ( $72.2 \%$ ) are small and 263 ( $27.8 \%$ ) are large salmon (Table 5). The spawning escapement of small salmon in 1999 is the third highest estimate since 1984. This escapement, although higher ( $42 \%$ ) than in 1998, was $16 \%$ below the 1996-98 mean (Table 10). The spawning escapement of large salmon in 1999 was $54 \%$ higher than in 1998, $24 \%$ lower than in 1997; and about the same as the 1996-98 mean. The total returns of small and large salmon to Crabbes River, 1953-1999, are provided in Table 11.

## Middle Barachois Brook

Ninety-four percent of the small salmon and $82 \%$ of the large salmon, in Middle Barachois Brook, were found in Sections 1 and 2 (Table 6). No tributaries were surveyed in 1999.

The total number of spawners in Middle Barachois Brook in 1999 is estimated to be 621 salmon, of which 556 ( $89.4 \%$ ) are small salmon and 66 ( $10.6 \%$ ) are large salmon (Table 6). The spawning escapement of small Atlantic salmon in 1999 was $47 \%$ lower than in 1997 (there was no estimates for 1998), but $40 \%$ lower than the 1996-97 mean (Table 10). The spawning escapement of large salmon was 64\% lower than in 1997 and $39 \%$ lower than the 1996-97 mean.

The total returns of small and large salmon to Middle Barachois Brook, 1953-1999, are provided in Table 11.

## Robinsons River

In Robinsons River, $86 \%$ of the small and $89 \%$ of the large salmon were located in Sections 1 and 2. The River Guardians surveyed Northern Feeder, Section 4, by walking along the riverbank, but no salmon were observed.

The total number of spawners in 1999 is estimated to be 1599 salmon, of which 1399 ( $87.5 \%$ ) are small salmon and 199 ( $12.5 \%$ ) are large salmon (Table 7). The spawning escapement of small salmon in 1999 was $38 \%$ higher than in 1997 (there was no estimates for 1998), and $57 \%$ higher than the 1996-97 mean (Table 10). The spawning escapement of large salmon was $16 \%$ higher than in 1997, and $36 \%$ higher than the 1996-97 mean. The total returns of small and large salmon to Robinsons River, 1953-1999, are provided in Table 11.

Two rainbow trout were observed in Section 4 of Robinsons River. Both were estimated to be between $30-40 \mathrm{~cm}$ and in length.

## Fischells Brook

In Fischells Brook, $71 \%$ of the small and $82 \%$ of the large salmon were found in Sections 1 and 2. No tributaries were surveyed in 1999.

The total number of spawners in 1999 is estimated to be 1509 salmon, of which 1264 (83.7\%) are small salmon and 246 ( $16.3 \%$ ) are large salmon (Table 8). Spawning Escapement of small salmon in 1999 was $552 \%$ higher than in 1998 and $111 \%$ higher than in 1997 (Table 10). The spawning escapement of large salmon was $242 \%$ higher in 1999 than in 1998 and $237 \%$ higher than in 1997. The total returns of small and large salmon to Fischells Brook, 1953-1999, are provided in Table 11.

## Flat Bay Brook

In Flat Bay Brook, $56 \%$ of the small and $49 \%$ of the large salmon were located in Section 4. No tributaries were surveyed.

The total number of spawners in 1999 is estimated to be 2468 salmon, of which 2237 ( $90.6 \%$ ) are small salmon and 231 ( $9.4 \%$ ) are large salmon (Table 9). The spawning escapement of small salmon in 1999 was $74 \%$ higher than in 1997, (there is no estimate for 1998) and $92 \%$ higher than the 1996-97 mean (Table 10). The spawning escapement of large salmon was $38 \%$ higher than in 1998, and $65 \%$ higher than the 1996-97 mean. The total returns of small and large salmon to Flat Bay Brook, 1953-1999, are provided in Table 11.

Two rainbow trout were observed in Section 4 of Flat Bay Brook. One was estimated to be about 25 cm and the other 30-40 cm in length.

## Egg Deposition

The estimated egg deposition and percentage of conservation level achieved in 1999 are provided in Table 12, and summarized below. Estimates of the percentage of the conservation egg deposition levels achieved in each of the five rivers, 1953-99, are provided in Table 13 and Figures 6 and 7.

| River | Egg Deposition | \% Conservation achieved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crabbes River | $3.0^{*} 10^{6}$ | 65 |
| Middle Barachois Brook | $0.9 * 10^{6}$ | 44 |
| Robinsons River | $3.9 * 10^{6}$ | 117 |
| Fischells Brook | $3.9 * 10^{6}$ | 110 |
| Flat Bay Brook | $5.6^{*} 10^{6}$ | 149 |

The revised calculations of the egg deposition in Middle Barachois Brook in 1996 and 1997 resulted in a reduction in the previous estimates of percent conservation level achieved from $81 \%$ to $52 \%$ in 1996, and from $148 \%$ to $95 \%$ in 1997.

## Net Marked Salmon

The numbers of salmon observed with external marks, including net marks and other injuries and percent of total number of fish observed, 1997-99 are as follows:

| River | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crabbes River | $13(1.1 \%)$ | $13(3.2)$ | $10(1.4 \%)$ |
| M. Barachois Brook | $9(0.8 \%$ | N/A | $10(1.8 \%)$ |
| Robinsons River | $22(2.1 \%)$ | N/A | $5(0.4 \%)$ |
| Fischells Brook | $10(1.7 \%)$ | $2(0.9 \%)$ | $5(0.4 \%)$ |
| Flat Bay Brook | $17(1.3 \%)$ | N/A | $12(0.6 \%)$ |

## Discussion

The procedures for conducting the snorkeling surveys continue to improve, with the addition of more snorkellers in the larger pools, and a refinement of the field logistics. These improvements, as well as the increase in experience of the surveyors should have resulted in better estimates of population sizes in 1999 than in previous years. There are still several pools where the technique needs to be modified. One of these is the km-long gorge on Crabbes River. An exploratory survey of three pools in the gorge indicated that there may not have been a large number of salmon in the gorge in 1999, and that it may be possible to survey a larger portion of the gorge in future years. There are still several pools in each river that are too deep to see the bottom and all techniques tried were unsuccessful. However, observations of salmon in pools in which the bottom can be seen, indicate that in the deeper pools, salmon are not generally in the deeper water, but rather in parts of pools that are $<3 \mathrm{~m}$ in depth. Section 5 of both Crabbes River and Flat Bay Brook could not be surveyed due to high discharge. Estimates of the number of salmon in these sections were calculated by using the average proportion of the total population of salmon estimated to be in these sections in previous years. The calculated proportions are: 0.045 for small and 0.023 for large salmon in Crabbes River, and 0.16 for small and 0.38 for large salmon in Flat Bay Brook. There is some evidence that these proportions are reasonable. Although water conditions, in 1999, were high, snorkellers did float down Section 5 of Crabbes River and about 1 km of Flat Bay Brook. No salmon were seen in Section 5 of Crabbes River; whereas, 38 small and 2 large salmon were counted in the 1 km of Section 5 of Flat Bay Brook.

Survey bias by anglers, both in counting large numbers of fish and sizing the fish, needs to be examined verified. This bias is difficult to determine due to differences in water conditions throughout a river and between rivers. Bias could be related to individual angler differences that may vary with the distance from fish, peripheral vision, attention span, turbidity of water, angle of the sun, and light conditions. An attempt will be made in subsequent surveys to measure some differences among snorkellers by using model fish.

The spawning escapements of small and large Atlantic salmon improved in Crabbes River relative to 1998, although they were lower than estimated for 1997 (Table 10). However, the egg deposition conservation level achieved (65\%) in 1999 is the third highest estimated since 1964 (Fig 6). The conservation egg deposition level achieved in Middle Barachois Brook in 1999 was very low (44\%) (Fig. 7). There was no spawner survey of Middle Barachois in 1998. Snorkellers noted during the survey that they observed very few parr in the river relative to the numbers that they observed in other rivers. The lower returns of small salmon to Crabbes River in 1998 and 1999 and the returns of small salmon in 1999 in Middle Barachois Brook may have been related to the severe flows that occurred in February 1996. This flood moved a considerable amount of substrate, which may have caused a high mortality in juvenile salmon. Dempson and Clarke (1999) provided a discussion of this high discharge event on Highlands River.

The spawning escapements in Fischells Brook showed a marked increase in 1999 over 1998. No apparent explanation is available to explain this large increase. Although a portion of the
increase may have been due to the fact that a section of the river called the "steadies", not surveyed in 1998, was surveyed in 1999. A decision was made in 1998 not to survey the "steadies" because during the 1997 survey no salmon were found in this section. However, in 1999, there were 41 large salmon and 100 small salmon counted in the "steadies", about $10 \%$ of the total unadjusted count for the river. The egg deposition conservation level achieved (110\%) in Fischells Brook in 1999 is the highest estimated, 1953-99 (Fig. 7).

The spawning escapements and egg depositions estimated for Robinsons River and Flat Bay Brook are improvements over those observed in 1997 and continue an increasing trend that began in 1993 (Table 10 and 13). The conservation egg deposition levels achieved for these rivers, in 1999, are the highest in more than 15 years (Fig 6 and 7). Flat Bay Brook was closed to all angling in 1995 and 1996, and was closed to retention from 1997 to 1999. Robinsons was closed to retention angling from 1996 to 1999. Given the increasing trends in returns in recent years, a small fishing mortality on small salmon in year 2000 could occur, and the rivers would still achieve its conservation egg deposition requirements.

Unfed Atlantic salmon fry were stocked in Flat Bay Brook in 1995, 1996 and 1997. The fry were incorporated, as egg equivalents, into the percentage conservation egg deposition achieved in 1994, 1995 and 1996 (Table 13) (Bourgeois et al 1997).

The proportions of the spawning escapements that were large salmon, as estimated from the visual surveys in 1999, were similar to those estimated in Crabbes River, Robinsons River, and Flat Bay Brook in previous years (see the text Table below). In Middle Barachois and Fischells brooks the proportions of large salmon were more variable.

|  | Percent large salmon |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |
| Crabbes River | 22.1 | 23.6 | 26.2 | 24.9 |
| Middle Barachois Brook | 4.3 | 14.9 | N/A | 9.9 |
| Robinsons River | 13.5 | 14.5 | N/A | 13.5 |
| Fischells Brook | N/A | 10.8 | 27.0 | 18.1 |
| Flat Bay Brook | 9.7 | 11.5 | N/A | 10.5 |

The application of the empirical biological characteristics data for Middle Barachois Brook resulted in a reduction in the estimates of egg deposition by $37 \%$ in 1996 and $36 \%$ in 1997. The proportion large salmon (34\%) observed in the sampling on Middle Barachois in 1998, (Appendix 6), were not used because there might have been a sampling bias since samples were taken from one location in the river and the sampling was in a different year. River specific data on weights and sex ratio are required to improve the stock assessment for Crabbes and Robinsons rivers and Fischells and Flat Bay brooks.

The small salmon sampled in Middle Barachois Brook in 1998 consisted of $70.0 \%$ virgin 1SW salmon and $11.4 \%$ virgin 2 SW salmon. There was only one fish aged as virgin 1SW salmon in the large category. In the small category all of the virgin 1SW salmon were 54.0 cm or less; and, all of the virgin 2 SW salmon (in the small category) were between 60.0 cm and 62.5 cm
(Appendix 6). Also about $27 \%$ of the virgin 2 SW salmon were $<63 \mathrm{~cm}$, which has management implications. An objective fisheries management is to minimise the harvest of virgin 2 SW salmon in the recreational fishery. This objective is being achieved by prohibiting the retention of salmon $>63 \mathrm{~cm}$. This objective would be more effectively attained on Middle Barachois Brook by prohibiting the retention of salmon $>60 \mathrm{~cm}$.

Few net marks were observed, for three consecutive years, on the salmon, which suggests that few salmon encountered nets at sea or in the rivers.

The information available did not lend itself to forecasting the abundance of salmon in 2000.

The origins of the two rainbow trout observed in each of Flat Bay Brook and Robinsons River are not known. There was a previous record of a rainbow trout captured, in 1995, in a fish counting fence on Flat Bay Brook (Bourgeois, pers. comm.). It was a male and measured 38.7 cm . There are no known natural populations of rainbow trout in Bay St. George. Marine cage rearing of rainbow trout occurs in Bay d'Espoir, Newfoundland and Cape Breton Island, Nova Scotia.
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Table 1. Drainage area, fluvial habitat, and egg deposition levels required for conservation in five rivers in Bay St. George.

| River Name | Drainage <br> Area <br> (sq. km$)$ | Fluvial <br> Rearing <br> Units <br> $(100 ~ s q . \mathrm{m})$ | Standing <br> Water <br> (ha) | Conservation <br> Requirement <br> Eggs <br> $\left(\times 10^{* *} 6\right)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CrabbesRiver | 551 | 18,429 | 381 | 4.6 |
| Middle Barachois Brook | 241 | 8,395 | 362 | 2.1 |
| Robinsons River | 439 | 13,491 | 124 | 3.3 |
| Fischells River | 360 | 13,661 | 948 | 3.6 |
| Flat Bay Brook | 635 | 16,012 |  | 3.8 |
| Total | 2,226 | 40,315 | 42,541 | 17.4 |

Table 2. Seasons and quotas for small salmon ( $<63 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) in the angling fishery for five rivers in SFA 13, 1953-99.

| Years | Crabbes | M. Barachois (Quota) | Robinsons (Quota) | Fischells (Quota) | Flat Bay (Quota) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1953-77 | 14 May - 15 Sep | 14 May - 15 Sep | 14 May - 15 Sep | 14 May - 15 Sep | 14 May - 15 Sep |
| 1978-84 | 1 Jul - 31 Aug | 1 Jul-31 Aug | 1 Jul - 31 Aug | 1 Jul - 31 Aug | $1 \mathrm{Jul}-31 \mathrm{Aug}$ |
| 1985 | 8 Jun-2 Sep | 8 Jun-2 Sep | 8 Jun-2 Sep | 8 Jun-2 Sep | 8 Jun - 2 Sep |
| 1986 | 1 Jun-7 Sep | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1 \text { Jun - } 7 \text { Sep } \\ (350) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 1 Jun-7 Sep | 1 Jun-7 Sep | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1 \text { Jun }-7 \text { Sep } \\ (400) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 1987 | 1 Jun - 7 Sep | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1 \text { Jun - } 7 \text { Sep } \\ (350) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 1 Jun - 7 Sep | 1 Jun - 7 Sep | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1 \text { Jun - 7 Sep } \\ (300) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 1988 | 1 Jun - 7 Sep | $\begin{gathered} 1 \text { Jun - } 7 \text { Sep } \\ (175) \end{gathered}$ | 1 Jun - 7 Sep | 1 Jun - 7 Sep | $\begin{gathered} 1 \text { Jun }-7 \text { Sep } \\ (300) \end{gathered}$ |
| 1989-94 | 1 Jun - 7 Sep | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1 \text { Jun - } 7 \text { Sep } \\ (175) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 1 Jun - 7 Sep | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1 \text { Jun - } 7 \text { Sep } \\ (200) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1 \text { Jun - } 7 \text { Sep } \\ (250) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 1995 | 3 Jun - 17 Sep | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3 \text { Jun - } 17 \text { Sep } \\ (175) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 3 Jun - 17 Sep | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3 \text { Jun - } 17 \text { Sep } \\ (200) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Closed |
| 1996 | $\begin{gathered} 1 \text { Jun - } 2 \text { Sep } \\ (0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1 \text { Jun - } 2 \text { Sep } \\ (0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1 \text { Jun - } 2 \text { Sep } \\ (0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 1 Jun - 2 Sep | Closed |
| 1997 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { Jun - } 1 \text { Sep } \\ & (0) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \text { Jun - } 1 \text { Sep } \\ (0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \text { Jun - } 1 \text { Sep } \\ (0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 1 Jun - 1 Sep | $\begin{gathered} 1 \text { Jun - } 1 \text { Sep } \\ (0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 1998 | $\begin{gathered} 6 \mathrm{Jun}-7 \mathrm{Sep} \\ (0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \text { Jun - } 7 \text { Sep } \\ (0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \text { Jun - } 7 \text { Sep } \\ (0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Jun - } 7 \text { Sep } \\ (0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \mathrm{Jun}-7 \mathrm{Sep} \\ (0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 1999 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Jun - } 7 \mathrm{Sep} \\ (0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \mathrm{Jun}-7 \mathrm{Sep} \\ (0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1 \text { Jun - } 7 \text { Sep } \\ (0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | (Closed) | $\begin{gathered} 1 \mathrm{Jun}-7 \mathrm{Sep} \\ (0) \end{gathered}$ |

Table 3. Biological characteristics of salmon in five Bay St. George rivers.

| River | Small salmon |  |  | Large salmon |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \% \\ \text { Female } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Mean } \\ \text { Wt(kg) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Mean } \\ \text { Lth }(\mathrm{cm}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Female } \end{gathered}$ | Mean Wt(kg) | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { Mean } \\ \text { Lgth }(\mathrm{cm}) \end{array}$ |
| Crabbes | 71.9 | 1.63 | N/A | 86.8 | 5.06 | N/A |
| Middle Barachois | 54.3 | 1.4 | 51.9 | 94.1 | 2.9 | 67.0 |
| Robinsons | 71.9 | 1.63 | N/A | 86.8 | 5.06 | N/A |
| Fischells | 71.9 | 1.63 | N/A | 86.8 | 5.06 | N/A |
| Flat Bay | 71.9 | 1.34 | 53.4 | 66.7 | 3.31 | 69.1 |

Table 4. Number of salmon released in the angling fishery on four Bay St. George rivers, 1999. Mortality is assumed to be $10 \%$ of the released salmon. Percent (\%) of returns is the percent of total returns to the river

|  | Crabbes |  | M. Barachois |  | Robinsons |  | Flat Bay |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Small <br> Salmon | Fish | \% of <br> returns | Fish | \% of <br> returns | Fish | \% of <br> returns | Fish | $\%$ of <br> returns |
| Released | 29 | 4.2 | 33 | 5.9 | 320 | 22.3 | 235 | 10.4 |
| Estimated <br> Mortality | 3 | 0.4 | 3 | 0.5 | 32 | 2.2 | 24 | 1.1 |
| Large Salmon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Released | 12 | 4.6 | 6 | 9.0 | 37 | 18.2 | 35 | 14.9 |
| Estimated <br> Mortality | 1 | 0.4 | 1 | 1.5 | 4 | 2.0 | 4 | 1.7 |

Table 5. Number of small and large salmon counted in Crabbes River, 23, 24 and 28 August 1999.
Little Crabbes surveyed Sept 11 by River Monitors.

| River Section | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \#pools } \\ & >10 \text { fish } \end{aligned}$ | Unadjusted Count |  | AdjustmentFactor | Adusted Count |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent } \\ \text { Large } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Small | Large |  | Small | Large | Total |  |
| 1 | 6 | 153 | 21 | 1.10 | 168 | 23 | 191 | 0.12 |
| 2 | 7 | 197 | 97 | 1.15 | 227 | 112 | 338 | 0.33 |
| Gorge* |  | 11 | 1 |  | 68 | 12 | 80 | 0.15 |
| 3 | 3 | 97 | 59 | 1.30 | 126 | 77 | 203 | 0.38 |
| 4 | 3 | 39 | 25 | 1.20 | 47 | 30 | 77 | 0.39 |
| 5 |  | Not Sur |  |  | 30 | 6 | 36 | 0.17 |
| LCrabbes |  | 17 | 4 | 1.00 | 17 | 4 | 21 |  |
| TOTAL | 19 | 514 | 207 | 1.31 | 683 | 263 | 946 | 27.8 |

Table 6. Number of small and large salmon counted in Middle Barachois Brook, 28 August 1999.

| River Section | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { \# pools } \\ & >10 \text { fish } \end{aligned}$ | Unadjusted Count |  | AdjustmentFactor | Adusted Count |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Percent } \\ & \text { Large } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Small | Large |  | Small | Large | Total |  |
| 1 | 6 | 225 | 28 | 1.05 | 236 | 29 | 266 | 11.1 |
| 2 | 6 | 238 | 21 | 1.20 | 286 | 25 | 311 | 8.1 |
| 3 | 1 | 21 | 3 | 1.50 | 32 | 5 | 36 | 12.5 |
| 4 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 1.10 | 2 | 7 | 9 | 0.0 |
| Big Dribble |  | Not surveyed |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | 13 | 486 | 58 | 1.14 | 556 | 66 | 621 | 10.6 |

Table 7. Number of small and large salmon counted in Robinsons River, 26-27 August 1999
Northern Feeder surveyed on September 9, 1999.

| River Section | $\begin{gathered} \text { \# pools } \\ >10 \text { fish } \end{gathered}$ | Unadjusted Count ${ }^{\text {Adjustment }}$ |  |  | Adusted Count |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline \text { Percent } \\ & \text { Large } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Small | Large | Factor | Small | Large | Total |  |
| 1 | 8 | 357 | 64 | 1.25 | 446 | 80 | 526 | 15.2 |
| 2 | 10 | 692 | 89 | 1.10 | 761 | 98 | 859 | 11.4 |
| 3 | 2 | 98 | 14 | 1.10 | 108 | 15 | 123 | 12.5 |
| 4 | 2 | 70 | 5 | 1.20 | 84 | 6 | 90 | 6.7 |
| N. Feeder | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | 22 | 1217 | 172 | 1.15 | 1399 | 199 | 1599 | 12.5 |

Table 8. Number of small and large salmon counted in Fischells Brook, 25 and 27 August 1999.

| River Section | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { \# pools } \\ & >10 \text { fish } \end{aligned}$ | Unadjusted Count ${ }^{\text {Adjustment }}$ |  |  | Adusted Count |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Percent } \\ \text { Large } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Small | Large | Factor | Small | Large | Total |  |
| 1 | 7 | 290 | 85 | 1.15 | 334 | 98 | 431 | 22.7 |
| 2 | 10 | 510 | 94 | 1.10 | 561 | 103 | 664 | 15.6 |
| 3 | 4 | 236 | 32 | 1.15 | 271 | 37 | 308 | 11.9 |
| 4 | 2 | 89 | 7 | 1.10 | 98 | 8 | 106 | 7.3 |
| TOTAL | 23 | 1125 | 218 | 1.12 | 1264 | 246 | 1509 | 16.3 |

Table 9. Number of small and large salmon counted in Flat Bay Brook, 27\& 29 August 1999

| River Section | \# pools <br> $>10$ fish | Unadjusted Count ${ }^{\text {Adjustment }}$ |  |  | Adusted Count |  |  | Percent Large |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Small | Large | Factor | Small | Large | Total |  |
| 182 | 6 | 491 | 55 | 1.15 | 565 | 63 | 628 | 10.1 |
| 3 | 2 | 79 | 14 | 1.05 | 83 | 15 | 98 | 15.1 |
| 4 | 12 | 1142 | 104 | 1.10 | 1,256 | 114 | 1,371 | 8.3 |
| 5 | 1 | 38 | 2 |  | 333 | 38 | 372 | 10.3 |
| TOTAL | 21 | 1750 | 175 | 1.28 | 2237 | 231 | 2468 | 9.4 |

Table 10. Spawning escapements of Atlantic salmon to five Bay St. George rivers, 1953-99.
Table is an update from Porter and Bourgeois (1998) and Porter (1999).

| Year | Crabbes |  | M. Barachois |  | Robinsons |  | Fishells |  | Flat Bay |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Small | Large | Small | Large | Small | Large | Small | Large | Small | Large |
| 1953 | 166 | 51 | 54 | 6 | 1141 | 228 | 226 | 57 | 1554 | 179 |
| 1954 | 271 | 77 | 77 | 21 | 863 | 305 | 79 | 65 | 768 | 69 |
| 1955 | 177 | 149 | 63 | 23 | 847 | 159 | 75 | 68 | 1006 | 50 |
| 1956 | 420 | 329 | 532 | 105 | 1372 | 299 | 343 | 104 | 1321 | 44 |
| 1957 | 772 | 467 | 390 | 102 | 1857 | 267 | 425 | 117 | 1675 | 29 |
| 1958 | 313 | 411 | 254 | 131 | 840 | 447 | 364 | 149 | 1447 | 59 |
| 1959 | 551 | 276 | 138 | 24 | 1139 | 147 | 336 | 47 | 779 | 27 |
| 1960 | 343 | 75 | 201 | 23 | 1773 | 176 | 222 | 57 | 2357 | 98 |
| 1961 | 756 | 168 | 502 | 38 | 1708 | 249 | 450 | 108 | 1783 | 53 |
| 1962 | 1328 | 294 | 551 | 71 | 2345 | 176 | 658 | 86 | 3215 | 111 |
| 1963 | 1092 | 450 | 632 | 218 | 2814 | 585 | 992 | 180 | 4263 | 138 |
| 1964 | 1909 | 437 | 798 | 149 | 2182 | 423 | 712 | 204 | 4324 | 146 |
| 1965 | 1003 | 363 | 1265 | 167 | 2382 | 300 | 471 | 126 | 1815 | 263 |
| 1966 | 293 | 127 | 229 | 74 | 616 | 116 | 64 | 45 | 704 | 27 |
| 1967 | 593 | 164 | 667 | 130 | 1035 | 136 | 434 | 33 | 1098 | 52 |
| 1968 | 552 | 186 | 749 | 101 | 984 | 120 | 339 | 36 | 1162 | 33 |
| 1969 | 1018 | 191 | 936 | 126 | 693 | 60 | 508 | 63 | 1047 | 78 |
| 1970 | 370 | 123 | 455 | 56 | 634 | 65 | 369 | 110 | 1828 | 94 |
| 1971 | 379 | 70 | 672 | 44 | 456 | 47 | 292 | 22 | 1245 | 65 |
| 1972 | 486 | 124 | 425 | 151 | 351 | 34 | 163 | 52 | 1074 | 58 |
| 1973 | 407 | 87 | 694 | 63 | 1002 | 70 | 490 | 66 | 851 | 69 |
| 1974 | 359 | 80 | 314 | 57 | 433 | 14 | 269 | 22 | 623 | 48 |
| 1975 | 330 | 74 | 623 | 96 | 747 | 34 | 225 | 17 | 499 | 34 |
| 1976 | 233 | 47 | 643 | 38 | 680 | 46 | 226 | 13 | 744 | 39 |
| 1977 | 265 | 103 | 653 | 46 | 493 | 151 | 299 | 54 | 255 | 21 |
| 1978 | 333 | 270 | 234 | 1057 | 592 | 318 | 397 | 321 | 208 | 4 |
| 1979 | 553 | 30 | 568 | 0 | 1248 | 108 | 173 | 0 | 107 | 1 |
| 1980 | 877 | 193 | 1329 | 249 | 1724 | 529 | 585 | 415 | 662 | 8 |
| 1981 | 940 | 244 | 962 | 31 | 2170 | 604 | 701 | 114 | 680 | 12 |
| 1982 | 1355 | 159 | 628 | 21 | 2281 | 192 | 919 | 73 | 635 | 10 |
| 1983 | 254 | 97 | 385 | 10 | 592 | 42 | 330 | 79 | 458 |  |
| 1984 | 952 | 30 | 724 | 0 | 1265 | 108 | 551 | 83 | 483 | 2 |
| 1985 | 129 | 30 | 160 | 40 | 507 | 67 | 216 | 20 | 390 | 22 |
| 1986 | 472 | 108 | 326 | 82 | 463 | 61 | 274 | 26 | 224 | 13 |
| 1987 | 114 | 26 | 83 | 21 | 313 | 41 | 88 | 8 | 282 | 16 |
| 1988 | 386 | 89 | 329 | 83 | 394 | 52 | 556 | 53 | 321 | 18 |
| 1989 | 64 | 15 | 129 | 33 | 158 | 21 | 25 | 2 | 167 | 10 |
| 1990 | 152 | 35 | 225 | 57 | 315 | 42 | 173 | 16 | 357 | 20 |
| 1991 | 140 | 32 | 111 | 28 | 239 | 32 | 234 | 22 | 323 | 18 |
| 1992 | 393 | 126 | 362 | 72 | 557 | 130 | 210 | 21 | 287 | 25 |
| 1993 | 204 | 34 | 435 | 36 | 306 | 31 | 234 | 65 | 223 | 21 |
| 1994 | 600 | 113 | 578 | 81 | 750 | 115 | 844 | 158 | 243 | 67 |
| 1995 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 567 | 44 |
| 1996 | 844 | 239 | 805 | 36 | 768 | 120 | N/A | N/A | 1051 | 112 |
| 1997 | 1121 | 346 | 1044 | 182 | 1017 | 172 | 599 | 73 | 1282 | 167 |
| 1998 | 482 | 171 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 194 | 72 | N/A | N/A |
| 1999 | 683 | 263 | 556 | 66 | 1399 | 199 | 1264 | 246 | 2237 | 231 |
| Mean 53-65 | 700 | 273 | 420 | 83 | 1636 | 289 | 412 | 105 | 2024 | 97 |
| Mean 66-77 | 441 | 115 | 588 | 82 | 677 | 74 | 306 | 44 | 928 | 52 |
| Mean 78-84 | 752 | 146 | 690 | 195 | 1410 | 272 | 522 | 155 | 462 | 6 |
| Mean 85-91 | 208 | 48 | 195 | 49 | 341 | 45 | 224 | 21 | 295 | 17 |
| Mean 92-94 | 399 | 91 | 459 | 63 | 538 | 92 | 429 | 82 | 289 | 39 |
| Mean 96-98 | 816 | 252 | 925 | 109 | 893 | 146 | 397 | 73 | 1167 | 140 |

Table 11. Estimated total returns of Atlantic salmon to five Bay St. George rivers, 1953-99. Table is an update from Porter and Bourgeois (1998), and Porter (1999).

| Year | Crabbes |  | Middle Barachois |  | Robinsons |  | Fishells |  | Flat Bay |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Small | Large | Small | Large | Small | Large | Small | Large | Small | Large |
| 1953 | 237 | 85 | 77 | 10 | 1630 | 380 | 323 | 95 | 2220 | 298 |
| 1954 | 387 | 128 | 110 | 35 | 1233 | 508 | 113 | 108 | 1097 | 115 |
| 1955 | 253 | 248 | 90 | 38 | 1210 | 265 | 107 | 113 | 1437 | 83 |
| 1956 | 600 | 548 | 760 | 175 | 1960 | 498 | 490 | 173 | 1887 | 73 |
| 1957 | 1103 | 778 | 557 | 170 | 2653 | 445 | 607 | 195 | 2393 | 48 |
| 1958 | 447 | 685 | 363 | 218 | 1200 | 745 | 520 | 248 | 2067 | 98 |
| 1959 | 787 | 460 | 197 | 40 | 1627 | 245 | 480 | 78 | 1113 | 45 |
| 1960 | 490 | 125 | 287 | 38 | 2533 | 293 | 317 | 95 | 3367 | 163 |
| 1961 | 1080 | 280 | 717 | 63 | 2440 | 415 | 643 | 180 | 2547 | 88 |
| 1962 | 1897 | 490 | 787 | 118 | 3350 | 293 | 940 | 143 | 4593 | 185 |
| 1963 | 1560 | 750 | 903 | 363 | 4020 | 975 | 1417 | 300 | 6090 | 230 |
| 1964 | 2727 | 728 | 1140 | 248 | 3117 | 705 | 1017 | 340 | 6177 | 243 |
| 1965 | 1433 | 605 | 1807 | 278 | 3403 | 500 | 673 | 210 | 2593 | 438 |
| 1966 | 533 | 282 | 416 | 164 | 1120 | 258 | 116 | 100 | 1280 | 60 |
| 1967 | 1078 | 365 | 1213 | 289 | 1882 | 302 | 789 | 73 | 1996 | 115 |
| 1968 | 1004 | 413 | 1362 | 225 | 1789 | 267 | 616 | 80 | 2113 | 73 |
| 1969 | 1851 | 425 | 1702 | 280 | 1260 | 133 | 924 | 140 | 1904 | 173 |
| 1970 | 673 | 273 | 827 | 125 | 1153 | 145 | 671 | 245 | 3324 | 209 |
| 1971 | 689 | 155 | 1222 | 98 | 829 | 104 | 531 | 49 | 2264 | 145 |
| 1972 | 884 | 276 | 773 | 335 | 638 | 75 | 296 | 115 | 1953 | 129 |
| 1973 | 740 | 193 | 1262 | 140 | 1822 | 155 | 891 | 147 | 1547 | 153 |
| 1974 | 653 | 178 | 571 | 127 | 787 | 31 | 489 | 49 | 1133 | 107 |
| 1975 | 600 | 164 | 1133 | 213 | 1358 | 76 | 409 | 38 | 907 | 76 |
| 1976 | 424 | 105 | 1169 | 84 | 1236 | 102 | 411 | 29 | 1353 | 87 |
| 1977 | 482 | 229 | 1187 | 102 | 896 | 335 | 544 | 120 | 464 | 47 |
| 1978 | 471 | 397 | 285 | 1159 | 827 | 386 | 551 | 352 | 348 | 16 |
| 1979 | 782 | 44 | 692 | 0 | 1743 | 131 | 240 | 0 | 179 | 5 |
| 1980 | 1240 | 284 | 1619 | 273 | 2408 | 642 | 812 | 455 | 1107 | 34 |
| 1981 | 1329 | 359 | 1172 | 34 | 3031 | 733 | 973 | 125 | 1137 | 51 |
| 1982 | 1916 | 234 | 765 | 23 | 3186 | 233 | 1276 | 80 | 1062 | 43 |
| 1983 | 359 | 119 | 469 | 11 | 827 | 51 | 458 | 80 | 766 | 9 |
| 1984 | 1346 | 44 | 882 | 0 | 1767 | 131 | 765 | 91 | 808 | 9 |
| 1985 | 224 | 30 | 258 | 40 | 880 | 67 | 361 | 20 | 693 | 22 |
| 1986 | 819 | 108 | 526 | 82 | 804 | 61 | 458 | 26 | 398 | 13 |
| 1987 | 198 | 26 | 134 | 21 | 543 | 41 | 147 | 8 | 501 | 16 |
| 1988 | 670 | 89 | 531 | 83 | 684 | 52 | 930 | 53 | 570 | 18 |
| 1989 | 111 | 15 | 208 | 33 | 274 | 21 | 42 | 2 | 297 | 10 |
| 1990 | 264 | 35 | 363 | 57 | 547 | 42 | 289 | 16 | 634 | 20 |
| 1991 | 243 | 32 | 179 | 28 | 415 | 32 | 391 | 22 | 574 | 18 |
| 1992 | 682 | 126 | 584 | 72 | 967 | 130 | 351 | 21 | 510 | 25 |
| 1993 | 354 | 34 | 665 | 36 | 531 | 31 | 391 | 65 | 396 | 21 |
| 1994 | 774 | 113 | 732 | 81 | 910 | 115 | 1060 | 158 | 420 | 70 |
| 1995 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 650 | 48 |
| 1996 | 866 | 249 | 825 | 40 | 866 | 137 | N/A | N/A | 1233 | 132 |
| 1997 | 1152 | 358 | 1060 | 190 | 1077 | 190 | 797 | 86 | 1307 | 173 |
| 1998 | 491 | 177 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 215 | 72 | N/A | N/A |
| 1999 | 686 | 264 | 559 | 67 | 1431 | 203 | 1264 | 246 | 2261 | 235 |
| Mean 53-65 | 1000 | 454 | 599 | 138 | 2337 | 482 | 588 | 175 | 2891 | 162 |
| Mean 66-77 | 801 | 255 | 1070 | 182 | 1231 | 165 | 557 | 99 | 1687 | 115 |
| Mean 78-84 | 1063 | 212 | 840 | 214 | 1970 | 330 | 725 | 169 | 772 | 24 |
| Mean 85-91 | 361 | 48 | 314 | 49 | 592 | 45 | 374 | 21 | 524 | 17 |
| Mean 92-94 | 603 | 91 | 661 | 63 | 803 | 92 | 600 | 82 | 463 | 39 |
| Mean 96-98 | 836 | 261 | 943 | 115 | 972 | 164 | 506 | 79 | 1270 | 153 |

Table 12. Adjusted and unadjusted numbers of small and large Atlantic salmon spawners, estimated egg deposition, and percentage of egg deposition required for conservation that was attained in five Bay St. George rivers, in 1999.

| River | Small salmon |  | Large salmon |  | Egg deposition |  | \% Conservation level |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | unadjusted | adjusted | unadjusted | adjusted | unadjusted | adjusted | unadjusted | adjusted |
| Crabbes River | 514 | 683 | 207 | 263 | 2327792 | 3011582 | 51 | 65 |
| M. Barachois Bk | 486 | 556 | 58 | 66 | 812709 | 928279 | 39 | 44 |
| Robinsons River | 1217 | 1396 | 172 | 199 | 3359857 | 3865545 | 102 | 117 |
| Fischells River | 1125 | 1264 | 218 | 246 | 3504947 | 3945206 | 97 | 110 |
| Flat Bay Brook | 1749 | 2237 | 175 | 231 | 4402048 | 5657507 | 116 | 149 |

Table 13. Percentage of the Atlantic salmon egg deposition level required for conservation that was achieved on five rivers in Bay St. George, 1953-99.
Table is updated from Porter and Bourgeois (1998) and Porter (1999).

| Year | Crabbes | M. Barachois | Robinsons | Fischells | Flat Bay |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1953 | 10 | 4 | 73 | 18 | 79 |
| 1954 | 15 | 9 | 75 | 15 | 36 |
| 1955 | 20 | 8 | 53 | 15 | 40 |
| 1956 | 46 | 52 | 92 | 30 | 48 |
| 1957 | 69 | 44 | 104 | 35 | 57 |
| 1958 | 52 | 43 | 95 | 39 | 55 |
| 1959 | 43 | 13 | 61 | 20 | 29 |
| 1960 | 17 | 16 | 87 | 18 | 90 |
| 1961 | 37 | 35 | 96 | 35 | 64 |
| 1962 | 64 | 45 | 107 | 37 | 118 |
| 1963 | 75 | 83 | 184 | 66 | 155 |
| 1964 | 94 | 76 | 138 | 61 | 19 |
| 1965 | 64 | 105 | 127 | 39 | 102 |
| 1966 | 19 | 25 | 32 | 10 | 27 |
| 1967 | 28 | 54 | 45 | 21 | 44 |
| 1968 | 30 | 51 | 42 | 18 | 43 |
| 1969 | 38 | 63 | 26 | 29 | 47 |
| 1970 | 20 | 30 | 25 | 33 | 75 |
| 1971 | 14 | 35 | 18 | 14 | 51 |
| 1972 | 22 | 50 | 14 | 15 | 44 |
| 1973 | 16 | 40 | 35 | 29 | 39 |
| 1974 | 15 | 25 | 13 | 13 | 28 |
| 1975 | 14 | 45 | 23 | 11 | 22 |
| 1976 | 9 | 32 | 23 | 10 | 31 |
| 1977 | 16 | 35 | 34 | 20 | 12 |
| 1978 | 38 | 254 | 70 | 72 | 7 |
| 1979 | 19 | 34 | 65 | 6 | 4 |
| 1980 | 45 | 136 | 146 | 96 | 22 |
| 1981 | 52 | 64 | 174 | 44 | 23 |
| 1982 | 55 | 42 | 117 | 43 | 21 |
| 1983 | 17 | 25 | 29 | 25 | 14 |
| 1984 | 30 | 43 | 65 | 33 | 15 |
| 1985 | 6 | 18 | 28 | 10 | 15 |
| 1986 | 24 | 36 | 25 | 13 | 8 |
| 1987 | 6 | 9 | 17 | 4 | 11 |
| 1988 | 19 | 37 | 22 | 26 | 12 |
| 1989 | 3 | 14 | 9 | 1 | 6 |
| 1990 | 8 | 25 | 17 | 8 | 13 |
| 1991 | 7 | 12 | 13 | 11 | 12 |
| 1992 | 34 | 53 | 57 | 14 | 18 |
| 1993 | 13 | 48 | 23 | 24 | 14 |
| 1994 | 41 | 74 | 65 | 71 | 19 |
| 1995 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 45 |
| 1996 | 68 | 52 | 67 | N/A | 85 |
| 1997 | 95 | 95 | 91 | 44 | 89 |
| 1998 | 44 | N/A | N/A | 23 | N/A |
| 1999 | 65 | 44 | 117 | 110 | 149 |
| Mean 53-65 | 47 | 41 | 99 | 33 | 79 |
| Mean 66-77 | 20 | 40 | 28 | 19 | 39 |
| Mean 78-84 | 37 | 86 | 95 | 46 | 15 |
| Mean 85-91 | 10 | 22 | 19 | 10 | 11 |
| Mean 92-94 | 0 | 58 | 49 | 36 | 17 |
| Mean 96-98 | 0 | 74 | 79 | 34 | 87 |



Figure 1. Map showing sections of Crabbes River in which visual surveys were conducted, 1999. Inset shows the Salmon Fishing Areas in Newfoundland and the location of Crabbes River.


Figure 4. Map showing sections of Fischells Brook in which visual surveys were conducted, 1999. Inset shows the Salmon Fishing Areas in Newfoundland and the location of Fischells Brook.


Figure 3. Map showing sections of Robinsons River in which visual surveys were conducted, 1999. Inset shows the Salmon Fishing Areas in Newfoundland and the location of Robinsons River.


Figure 2. Map showing sections of Middle Barachois Brook in which visual surveys were conducted, 1999. Inset shows the Salmon Fishing Areas in Newfoundland and the location of Middle Barachois Brook.


Figure 5. Map showing sections of Flat Bay Brook in which visual surveys were conducted, 1999. Inset shows the Salmon Fishing Areas in Newfoundland and the location of Flat Bay Brook.


Fig. 6. Percentages of the Atlantic salmon conservation egg deposition levels that were achieved on Crabbes, Middle Barachois and Robinsons rivers 195399.

## Fishells River



Flat Bay Brook


Fig. 7. Percentages of the Atlantic salmon conservation egg deposition levels that were achieved on Fischells and Flat Bay brooks 1953-99.

Appendix 1. Angling catch statistics for Crabbes River. Data for 1974-1995 were collected by DFO River Guardians, and data for 1996-1999 are from the license stub return. 1999 data are preliminary.

| Year | Effor <br> Rod Days | Small ( $<63 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) |  |  | Large ( $>=63 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) |  |  | Total (Small + Large) |  |  | CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Ret. | Rel. | Tot | Ret. | Rel. | Tot | Ret. | Rel. | Tot. |  |
| 1974 | 1010 | 294 | - | 294 | 98 | - | 98 | 392 | * | 392 | 0.39 |
| 1975 | 1641 | 270 | - | 270 | 90 | . | 90 | 360 | - | 360 | 0.22 |
| 1976 | 859 | 191 | - | 191 | 58 | . | 58 | 249 | , | 249 | 0.29 |
| 1977 | 859 | 217 | - | 217 | 126 | - | 126 | 343 | . | 343 | 0.40 |
| 1978 | 907 | 138 | - | 138 | 127 | - | 127 | 265 | - | 265 | 0.29 |
| 1979 | 501 | 229 | - | 229 | 14 | - | 14 | 243 | - | 243 | 0.49 |
| 1980 | 902 | 363 | - | 363 | 91 | * | 91 | 454 | , | 454 | 0.50 |
| 1981 | 905 | 389 | - | 389 | 115 | - | 115 | 504 | - | 504 | 0.56 |
| 1982 | 1135 | 561 | - | 561 | 75 | - | 75 | 636 | . | 636 | 0.56 |
| 1983 | 758 | 105 | . | 105 | 38 | - | 38 | 143 | - | 143 | 0.19 |
| 1984 | 848 | 394 | - | 394 | 14 | - | 14 | 408 | * | 408 | 0.48 |
| 1985 | 602 | 95 | . | 95 | * | 3 | 3 | 95 | 3 | 98 | 0.16 |
| 1986 | 997 | 347 | - | 347 | * | 0 | 0 | 347 | 0 | 347 | 0.35 |
| 1987 | 377 | 84 | - | 84 | * | 4 | 4 | 84 | 4 | 88 | 0.23 |
| 1988 | 773 | 284 | - | 284 | * | 17 | 17 | 284 | 17 | 301 | 0.39 |
| 1989 | 419 | 47 | - | 47 | * | 5 | 5 | 47 | 5 | 52 | 0.12 |
| 1990 | 457 | 112 | - | 112 | * | 25 | 25 | 112 | 25 | 137 | 0.30 |
| 1991 | 385 | 103 | - | 103 | * | 9 | 9 | 103 | 9 | 112 | 0.29 |
| 1992 | 822 | 263 | 26 | 289 | * | 88 | 88 | 263 | 114 | 377 | 0.46 |
| 1993 | 737 | 150 | 0 | 150 | * | 24 | 34 | 150 | 24 | 174 | 0.24 |
| 1994 | 906 | 174 | 37 | 211 | * | 45 | 45 | 174 | 82 | 256 | 0.28 |
| 1995 | 268 | 26 | 5 | 31 | * | 32 | 32 | 26 | 37 | 63 | 0.24 |
| 1996** |  | - | 221 | 221 | * | 96 | 96 | - | 317 | 317 |  |
| 1997** |  | 3 | 278 | 281 | * | 119 | 119 | 3 | 397 | 400 |  |
| 1998** |  | - | 91 | 91 | * | 55 | 55 | - | 146 | 146 |  |
| 1999** |  | - | 29 | 29 | * | 12 | 12 | - | 41 | 41 |  |
| 84-89 $\overline{\text { X }}$ | 669.3 | 208.5 | - | 208.5 | - | 5.8 | 7.2 | 210.8 | 5.8 | 215.7 | 0.32 |
| 95\% CL | 258.3 | 158.3 |  | 158.3 |  | 8.1 | 7.1 | 162.0 | 8.1 | 161.6 | 0.14 |
| N | 6 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 6 |
| 86-91 X | 568.0 | 162.8 | - | 162.8 | - | 10.0 | 10.0 | 162.8 | 10.0 | 172.8 | 0.30 |
| 95\% CL | 269.9 | 128.0 |  | 128.0 |  | 9.8 | 9.8 | 128.0 | 9.8 | 127.3 | 0.09 |
| N | 6 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 92-95 $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | 683.3 | 153.3 | 17.0 | 170.3 | - | 47.3 | 47.3 | 153.3 | 64.3 | 217.5 | 0.32 |
| 95\% CL | 453.9 | 155.6 | 27.8 | 173.2 | . | 45.4 | 45.4 | 155.6 | 65.9 | 210.8 | 0.18 |
| N | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |

IN THE ABOVE TABLE A PERIOD INDICATES NO DATA FOR THAT YEAR.
CPUE IS BASED ON RETAINED + RELEASED FISH FOR 1985-1995 AND ON RETAINED FISH ONLY PRIOR TO 1985.

* NOT ALLOWED TO RETAIN LARGE SALMON IN INSULAR NEWFOUNDLAND.
**DATA OBTAINED FROM THE LICENSE STUB RETURN; 1999 DATA ARE PRELIMINARY
1996-1999 CATCH AND RELEASE ONLY

Appendix 2. Angling catch statistics for Middle Barachois Brook. Data for 1974-1995 were collected by DFO River Guardians, and data for 1996-1999 are from the license stub return. 1999 data are preliminary.

| Year | Effort <br> Rod Days | Small ( $<63 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) |  |  | Large ( $>=63 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) |  |  | Total (Small + Large) |  |  | CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Ret. | Rel. | Tot. | Ret. | Rel. | Tot. | Ret. | Rel. | Tot. |  |
| 1974 | 894 | 257 | - | 257 | 70 | . | 70 | 327 | . | 327 | 0.37 |
| 1975 | 1129 | 510 |  | 510 | 117 | . | 117 | 627 |  | 627 | 0.56 |
| 1976 | 1572 | 526 | - | 526 | 46 | - | 46 | 572 | . | 572 | 0.36 |
| 1977 | 1218 | 534 | . | 534 | 56 | . | 56 | 590 |  | 590 | 0.48 |
| 1978 | 273 | 51 | . | 51 | 102 | . | 102 | 153 |  | 153 | 0.56 |
| 1979 | 342 | 124 | - | 124 | 0 | . | 0 | 124 |  | 124 | 0.36 |
| 1980 | 622 | 290 | - | 290 | 24 | . | 24 | 314 | - | 314 | 0.50 |
| 1981 | 487 | 210 | . | 210 | 3 | . | 3 | 213 |  | 213 | 0.44 |
| 1982 | 313 | 137 | - | 137 | 2 | . | 2 | 139 |  | 139 | 0.44 |
| 1983 | 292 | 84 | . | 84 | 1 | - | 1 | 85 |  | 85 | 0.29 |
| 1984 | 320 | 158 | . | 158 | 0 | . | 0 | 158 |  | 158 | 0.49 |
| 1985 | 422 | 98 | - | 98 | * | 1 | 1 | 98 | 1 | 99 | 0.23 |
| 1986 | 683 | 200 | . | 200 | * | 23 | 23 | 200 | 23 | 223 | 0.33 |
| 1987 | 208 | 51 | . | 51 | * | 0 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 51 | 0.25 |
| 1988 | 565 | 202 | . | 202 | * | 11 | 11 | 202 | 11 | 213 | 0.38 |
| 1989 | 395 | 79 | - | 79 | * | 1 | , | 79 | 1 | 80 | 0.20 |
| 1990 | 547 | 138 | . | 138 | * | 7 | 7 | 138 | 7 | 145 | 0.27 |
| 1991 | 293 | 68 | . | 68 | * | 6 | 6 | 68 | 6 | 74 | 0.25 |
| 1992 | 535 | 222 | 0 | 222 | * | 22 | 22 | 222 | 22 | 244 | 0.46 |
| 1993 | 916 | 230 | 23 | 253 | * | 11 | 11 | 230 | 34 | 264 | 0.29 |
| 1994 | 785 | 154 | 25 | 179 | * | 14 | 14 | 154 | 39 | 193 | 0.25 |
| 1995 | 341 | 53 | 2 | 55 | * | 24 | 24 | 53 | 26 | 79 | 0.23 |
| 1996** |  | - | 195 | 195 | * | 35 | 35 | - | 230 | 230 |  |
| 1997** |  | - | 158 | 158 | * | 81 | 81 | - | 239 | 239 |  |
| 1998** |  | - | 6 | 6 | * | 23 | 23 | - | 29 | 29 |  |
| 1999** |  | - | 33 | 33 | * | 6 | 6 | - | 39 | 39 |  |
| 84-89 $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | 432.2 | 131.3 | - | 131.3 | - | 7.2 | 6.0 | 131.3 | 7.2 | 137.3 | 0.32 |
| $95 \% \mathrm{CL}$ | 178.7 | 67.6 | . | 67.6 |  | 12.3 | 9.8 | 67.6 | 12.3 | 75.3 | 0.10 |
| N | 6 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 6 |
| 86-91 ${ }^{\text {X }}$ | 448.5 | 123.0 | - | 123.0 | - | 8.0 | 8.0 | 123.0 | 8.0 | 131.0 | 0.29 |
| 95\% CL | 189.6 | 70.5 | - | 70.5 | - | 8.8 | 8.8 | 70.5 | 8.8 | 78.0 | 0.07 |
| N | 6 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 92-95 $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | 644.3 | 164.8 | 12.5 | 177.3 | . | 17.8 | 17.8 | 164.8 | 30.3 | 195.0 | 0.30 |
| 95\% CL | 408.3 | 130.4 | 21.2 | 138.4 |  | 9.9 | 9.9 | 130.4 | 12.2 | 131.9 | 0.14 |
| N | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |

in the above table a period indicates no data for that year.
CPUE IS BASED ON RETAINED + RELEASED FISH FOR 1985-1995 AND ON RETAINED FISH ONLY PRIOR TO 1985.

* NOT ALLOWED TO RETAIN LARGE SALMON IN INSULAR NEWFOUNDLAND.
**DATA OBTAINED FROM THE LICENSE STUB RETURN;
1996-1999 CATCH AND RELEASE ONLY.

Appendix 3. Angling catch statistics for Robinsons River. Data for 1974-1995 were collected by DFO River Guardians, and data for 1996-1999 are from the license stub retum. 1999 data are preliminary.

| Year | $\begin{gathered} \text { Effort } \\ \text { Rod Days } \end{gathered}$ | Small ( 663 cm ) |  |  | Large ( $>=63 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) |  |  | Total (Small + Large) |  |  | CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Ret. | Rel. | Tot. | Ret. | Rel. | Tot. | Ret | Rel. | Tot |  |
| 1974 | 1134 | 354 |  | 354 | 17 | . | 17 | 371 |  | 371 | 0.33 |
| 1975 | 1556 | 611 |  | 611 | 42 | . | 42 | 653 |  | 653 | 0.42 |
| 1976 | 1842 | 556 | . | 556 | 56 |  | 56 | 612 |  | 612 | 0.33 |
| 1977 | 1184 | 403 | . | 403 | 184 | . | 184 | 587 |  | 587 | 0.50 |
| 1978 | 671 | 235 | . | 235 | 68 | . | 68 | 303 |  | 303 | 0.45 |
| 1979 | 989 | 495 | . | 495 | 23 | . | 23 | 518 |  | 518 | 0.52 |
| 1980 | 1352 | 684 | . | 684 | 113 | . | 113 | 797 |  | 797 | 0.59 |
| 1981 | 1527 | 861 | - | 861 | 129 | . | 129 | 990 |  | 990 | 0.65 |
| 1982 | 1648 | 905 | . | 905 | 41 | . | 41 | 946 |  | 946 | 0.57 |
| 1983 | 2580 | 278 | . | 278 | 210 |  | 210 | 488 |  | 488 | 0.19 |
| 1984 | 1884 | 502 | - | 502 | 23 |  | 23 | 525 |  | 525 | 0.28 |
| 1985 | 1905 | 373 | . | 373 | , | 7 | 7 | 373 | 7 | 380 | 0.20 |
| 1986 | 2344 | 341 |  | 341 | * | 37 | 37 | 341 | 37 | 378 | 0.16 |
| 1987 | 1276 | 230 | . | 230 | * | 15 | 15 | 230 | 15 | 245 | 0.19 |
| 1988 | 1528 | 290 | . | 290 | * | 9 | 9 | 290 | 9 | 299 | 0.20 |
| 1989 | 971 | 116 | . | 116 | * | 11 | 11 | 116 | 11 | 127 | 0.13 |
| 1990 | 1182 | 232 |  | 232 | * | 22 | 22 | 232 | 22 | 254 | 0.21 |
| 1991 | 818 | 176 | - | 176 | * | 10 | 10 | 176 | 10 | 186 | 0.23 |
| 1992 | 1552 | 386 | 24 | 410 | * | 75 | 75 | 386 | 99 | 485 | 0.31 |
| 1993 | 1284 | 225 | 0 | 225 | * | 18 | 18 | 225 | 18 | 243 | 0.19 |
| 1994 | 1051 | 160 | 88 | 248 | * | 38 | 38 | 160 | 126 | 286 | 0.27 |
| 1995 | 719 | 73 | 38 | 111 | * | 23 | 23 | 73 | 61 | 134 | 0.19 |
| 1996** |  | 5 | 926 | 931 | * | 168 | 168 | a $-\quad 5$ | 1094 | 1099 |  |
| 1997** |  | 3 | 571 | 574 | * | 184 | 184 | 3 | 755 | 758 |  |
| 1998** |  | 4 | 468 | 472 | * | 114 | 114 | 4 | 582 | 586 |  |
| 1999** |  | - | 320 | 320 | * | 37 | 37 | - | 357 | 357 |  |
| 84-89 $\overline{\text { X }}$ | 1651.3 |  |  |  |  | 15.8 | 17.0 | 312.5 | 15.8 | 325.7 | 0.20 |
| 95\% CL | 517.9 | 137.8 | . | 137.8 | - | 15.2 | 11.9 | 145.1 | 15.2 | 142.5 | 0.05 |
| N | 6 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 6 |
| 86-91 $\overline{\text { X }}$ | 1353.2 | 230.8 | - | 230.8 | - | 17.3 | 17.3 | 230.8 | 17.3 | 248.2 | 0.18 |
| 95\% CL | 571.1 | 83.7 | - | 83.7 | - | 11.3 | 11.3 | 83.7 | 11.3 | 91.5 | 0.03 |
| N | - 6 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 9 | 6 |
| 92-95 $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | 1151.5 | 211.0 | 37.5 | 248.5 | - | 38.5 | 38.5 | 211.0 | 76.0 | 287.0 | 0.25 |
| 95\% CL | 562.6 | 210.4 | 59.1 | 196.0 | . | 41.0 | 41.0 | 210.4 | 74.7 | 233.4 | 0.11 |
| N | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |

IN THE ABOVE TABLE A PERIOD INDICATES NO DATA FOR THAT YEAR.
CPUE IS BASED ON RETAINED + RELEASED FISH FOR 1985-1995 AND ON RETAINED FISH ONLY PRIOR TO 1985.

* NOT ALLOWED TO RETANN LARGE SALMON IN INSULAR NEWFOUNDLAND.
**DATA OBTAINED FROM THE LICENSE STUB RETURN;
1996-1999 CATCH AND RELEASE ONLY.

Appendix 4. Angling catch statistics for Fischelis Brook. Data for $1974-1995$ were collected by DFO River Guardians, data for 1996 - 98 are from the license stub return.

| Year | Effort <br> Rod Days | Small ( $<63 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) |  |  | Large ( $>=63 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) |  |  | Total (Small $\div$ Large) |  |  | CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Ret. | Rel. | Tot. | Ret. | Rel. | Tot. | Ret. | Rel. | Tot. |  |
| 1974 | 753 | 220 | . | 220 | 27 | . | 27 | 247 |  | 247 | 0.33 |
| 1975 | 522 | 184 | . | 184 | 21 |  | 21 | 205 |  | 205 | 0.39 |
| 1976 | 418 | 185 |  | 185 | 16 |  | 16 | 201 |  | 201 | 0.48 |
| 1977 | 468 | 245 |  | 245 | 66 |  | 66 | 311 |  | 311 | 0.66 |
| 1978 | 292 | 154 | . | 154 | 31 |  | 31 | 185 |  | 185 | 0.63 |
| 1979 | 168 | 67 | . | 67 | 0 |  | 0 | 67 |  | 67 | 0.40 |
| 1980 | 386 | 227 |  | 227 | 40 |  | 40 | 267 |  | 267 | 0.69 |
| 1981 | 463 | 272 | . | 272 | 11 |  | 11 | 283 |  | 283 | 0.61 |
| 1982 | 651 | 357 | . | 357 | 7 |  | 7 | 364 |  | 364 | 0.56 |
| 1983 | 377 | 128 |  | 128 | 7 |  | 7 | 135 |  | 135 | 0.36 |
| 1984 | 411 | 214 |  | 214 | 8 | $\cdot$ | 8 | 222 |  | 222 | 0.54 |
| 1985 | 373 | 145 | . | 145 | * | 3 | 3 | 145 | 3 | 148 | 0.40 |
| 1986 | 427 | 184 | . | 184 | * | 4 | 4 | 184 | 4 | 188 | 0.44 |
| 1987 | 266 | 59 | - | 59 | * | 2 | 2 | 59 | 2 | 61 | 0.23 |
| 1988 | 840 | 374 | - | 374 | * | 7 | 7 | 374 | 7 | 381 | 0.45 |
| 1989 | 110 | 17 | . | 17 | * | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 17 | 0.15 |
| 1990 | 256 | 116 | - | 116 | * | 12 | 12 | 116 | 12 | 128 | 0.50 |
| 1991 | 414 | 157 | - | 157 | * | 16 | 16 | 157 | 16 | 173 | 0.42 |
| 1992 | 384 | 133 | 8 | 141 | * | 11 | 11 | 133 | 19 | 152 | 0.40 |
| 1993 | 819 | 157 | 0 | 157 | * | 34 | 34 | 157 | 34 | 191 | 0.23 |
| 1994 | 702 | 216 | 58 | 274 | * | 47 | 47 | 216 | 105 | 321 | 0.46 |
| 1995 | 555 | 80 | 112 | 192 | * | 43 | 43 | 80 | 155 | 235 | 0.42 |
| 1996** |  | 315 | 232 | 547 | * | 150 | 150 | 315 | 382 | 697 |  |
| 1997** |  | 182 | 162 | 344 | * | 127 | 127 | 182 | 289 | 471 |  |
| 1998** |  | 17 | 36 | 53 | * | 4 | 4 | 17 | 40 | 57 |  |
| 1999** |  | . | . | . | * | . | . | . | . | . |  |
| 84-89 ${ }^{\text {X }}$ | 404.5 | 165.5 | . | 165.5 |  | 3.2 | 4.0 | 166.8 | 3.2 | 169.5 | 0.42 |
| 95\% CL | 255.9 | 132.8 |  | 132.8 |  | 3.2 | 3.2 | 133.4 | 3.2 | 135.5 | 0.10 |
| N | 6 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 6 |
| 86-91 $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | 385.5 | 151.2 | - | 151.2 | - | 6.8 | 6.8 | 151.2 | 6.8 | 158.0 | 0.41 |
| 95\% CL | 263.9 | 131.5 |  | 131.5 | - | 6.5 | 6.5 | 131.5 | 6.5 | 133.6 | 0.09 |
| N | 6 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 92-95 $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | 615.0 | 146.5 | 44.5 | 191.0 | . | 33.8 | 33.8 | 146.5 | 78.3 | 224.8 | 0.37 |
| 95\% CL | 299.3 | 89.7 | 82.4 | 94.3 |  | 25.6 | 25.6 | 89.7 | 100.9 | 115.5 | 0.20 |
| N | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |

IN THE ABOVE TABLE A PERIOD INDICATES NO DATA FOR THAT YEAR.
CPUE IS BASED ON RETAINED + RELEASED FISH FOR 1985-1995 AND ON RETAINED FISH ONLY PRIOR TO 1985

* NOT ALLOWED TO RETAIN LARGE SALMON IN INSULAR NEWFOUNDLAND.
*DATA OBTAINED FROM THE LICENSE STUB RETURN.
1998 CATCH AND RELEASE ONLY; RIVER CLOSED TO ANGLING IN 1999.

Appendix 5. Angling catch statistics for Flat Bay Brook. Data for 1974-1994 were collected by DFO River Guardians. During 1995 and 1996 this river was closed to angling. Data for $1907-99$ are from the license stub return. 1999 data are preliminary.

| Year | Effort <br> Rod Days | Small (<63 cm) |  |  | Large ( $>=63 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) |  |  | Total (Small + Large) |  |  | CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Ret. | Rel. | Tot. | Ret. | Rel. | Tot. | Ret. | Rel. | Tot. |  |
| 1974 | 2156 | 510 | . | 510 | 59 | - | 59 | 569 | - | 569 | 0.26 |
| 1975 | 2625 | 408 | - | 408 | 42 | . | 42 | 450 | . | 450 | 0.17 |
| 1976 | 1705 | 609 | - | 609 | 48 | . | 48 | 657 | . | 657 | 0.39 |
| 1977 | 1045 | 209 | - | 209 | 26 | - | 26 | 235 | - | 235 | 0.22 |
| 1978 | 537 | 140 | - | 140 | 12 | - | 12 | 152 | - | 152 | 0.28 |
| 1979 | 263 | 72 | - | 72 | 4 | - | 4 | 76 | - | 76 | 0.29 |
| 1980 | 932 | 445 | - | 445 | 26 | - | 26 | 471 | . | 471 | 0.51 |
| 1981 | 1299 | 457 | . | 457 | 39 | - | 39 | 496 | . | 496 | 0.38 |
| 1982 | 1357 | 427 | - | 427 | 33 | . | 33 | 460 | - | 460 | 0.34 |
| 1983 | 1123 | 308 | - | 308 | 7 | - | 7 | 315 |  | 315 | 0.28 |
| 1984 | 602 | 325 | . | 325 | 7 |  | 7 | 332 | - | 332 | 0.55 |
| 1985 | 1060 | 303 | - | 303 | * | 6 | 6 | 303 | 6 | 309 | 0.29 |
| 1986 | 684 | 174 | - | 174 | * | 2 | 2 | 174 | 2 | 176 | 0.26 |
| 1987 | 816 | 219 | . | 219 | * | 0 | 0 | 219 | 0 | 219 | 0.27 |
| 1988 | 871 | 249 | . | 249 | * | 5 | 5 | 249 | 5 | 254 | 0.29 |
| 1989 | 612 | 130 | . | 130 | * | 1 | 1 | 130 | 1 | 131 | 0.21 |
| 1990 | 939 | 277 | - | 277 | * | 6 | 6 | 277 | 6 | 283 | 0.30 |
| 1991 | 977 | 251 | - | 251 | * | 2 | 2 | 251 | 2 | 253 | 0.26 |
| 1992 | 666 | 211 | 12 | 223 | * | 20 | 20 | 211 | 32 | 243 | 0.36 |
| 1993 | 678 | 173 | 0 | 173 | * | 17 | 17 | 173 | 17 | 190 | 0.28 |
| 1994 | 615 | 128 | 8 | 136 | * | 32 | 32 | 128 | 40 | 168 | 0.27 |
| 1995 | . |  | . | . | * | . | . | . | . | . | . |
| 1996 | - | - | - | - | * | - | - | . | . |  |  |
| 1997** |  | - | 253 | 253 | * | 57 | 57 | - | 310 | 310 |  |
| 1998** |  | - | 131 | 131 | * | 89 | 89 | - | 220 | 220 |  |
| 1999** |  | - | 235 | 235 | * | 35 | 35 | - | 270 | 270 |  |
| 84-89 $\overline{\text { X }}$ | 774.2 | 233.3 | - | 233.3 | - | 2.8 | 3.5 | 234.5 | 2.8 | 236.8 | 0.31 |
| 95\% CL | 185.9 | 78.4 |  | 78.4 |  | 3.2 | 3.0 | 80.3 | 3.2 | 81.0 | 0.10 |
| N | 6 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 6 |
| 86-91 $\overline{\text { X }}$ | 816.5 | 216.7 | - | 216.7 | - | 13.0 | 2.7 | 216.7 | 16.3 | 219.3 | 0.27 |
| 95\% CL | 150.7 | 57.9 |  | 57.9 |  | 12.8 | 2.5 | 57.9 | 17.3 | 59.5 | 0.03 |
| N | 6 | 6 | 0 | . 6 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 92-96 $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | 653.0 | 170.7 | 6.7 | 177.3 | - | 23.0 | 23.0 | 170.7 | 29.7 | 200.3 | 0.31 |
| 95\% CL | 83.1 | 103.2 | 15.2 | 108.5 | . | 19.7 | 19.7 | 103.2 | 29.0 | 95.8 | 0.13 |
| N | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |

IN THE ABOVE TABLE A PERIOD INDICATES NO DATA FOR THAT YEAR.
CPUE IS BASED ON RETAINED + RELEASED FISH FOR 1985-1996 AND ON RETAINED FISH ONLY PRIOR TO 1985

* NOT ALLOWED TO RETAIN LARGE SALMON IN INSULAR NEWFOUNDLAND.
**DATA OBTAINED FROM THE LICENSE STUB RETURN;
1995-1996 RIVER CLOSED TO ANGLING. 1997-1999 CATCH AND RELEASE ONLY

APPENDIX 6. Biological characteristics of Atlantic salmon sampled on Barachois Brook, 29 August 1998

| Size | No. (\%) | Sex |  | Fork Length (cm) |  |  |  |  | Whole Weight (kg) |  |  |  |  | River Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No. | \% F | n | Mean | SD | Min | Max | n | Mean | SD | Min | Max | n | Mean | SD | \% $2^{+}$ | \% $3^{+}$ | \% $4^{+}$ |
| Small Salmon | 71(67.6) | 70 | 54.3 | 71 | 51.9 | 5.5 | 41.5 | 62.5 | 71 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 2.5 | 64 | 3.0 | 0.3 | 3.1 | 89.1 | 7.8 |
| Large Salmon | 34(32.4) | 34 | 94.1 | 34 | 67.0 | 3.8 | 63.0 | 78.0 | 34 | 2.9 | 0.6 | 2.3 | 4.7 | 29 | 2.9 | 0.3 | 10.3 | 89.7 | 0.0 |

## Small Salmon

| Sea Age | No. (\%) | Sex |  | Fork Length (cm) |  |  |  |  | Whole Weight (g) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No. | \% F | n | Mean | SD | Min | Max | n | Mean | SD | Min | Max |
| V1SW | 49(70.0) | 48 | 50 | 49 | 48.7 | 2.6 | 41.5 | 54.0 | 49 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 1.8 |
| 1SW.SM | 13(18.6) | 13 | 46 | 13 | 57.7 | 3.1 | 53.5 | 62.5 | 13 | 1.8 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 2.4 |
| 2SW | 8 (11.4) | 8 | 100 | 8 | 61.1 | 1.0 | 60.0 | 62.5 | 8 | 2.3 | 0.2 | 2.0 | 2.5 |
| Unk |  | 1 | 0 | 1 | 61.5 | 0.0 | 61.5 | 61.5 | 1 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 2.1 |

Large Salmon

| Sea Age | No. (\%) | Sex Ratio |  | Fork Length (cm) |  |  |  |  | Whole Weight (kg) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No. | \% F | n | Mean | SD | Min | Max | n | Mean | SD | Min | Max |
| V1SW | 1(3.0) | 1 | 100 | 1 | 64.5 | 0.0 | 64.5 | 64.5 | 1 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 2.7 |
| 1SW.SM | 5 (15.2) | 5 | 80 | 5 | 66.7 | 3.2 | 63.2 | 70.5 | 5 | 2.8 | 0.6 | 2.3 | 3.5 |
| 1SW.SM.SM | 2 (6.1) | 2 | 50 | 2 | 72.5 | 2.8 | 70.5 | 74.5 | 2 | 3.5 | 0.8 | 2.9 | 4.0 |
| 1SW.SM. 1 | 1 (3.0) | 1 | 100 | 1 | 76.0 | 0.0 | 76.0 | 76.0 | 1 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 4.7 | 4.7 |
| V2SW | 22(66.7) | 22 | 100 | 22 | 65.7 | 2.4 | 63.0 | 71.0 | 22 | 2.8 | 0.3 | 2.4 | 3.4 |
| 2SW.SM | 1 (3.0) | 1 | 100 | 1 | 69.0 | 0.0 | 69.0 | 69.0 | 1 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 3.1 |
| 2SW.SM.SM | 1 (3.0) | 1 | 100 | 1 | 78.0 | 0.0 | 78.0 | 78.0 | 1 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 4.3 |
| Unk |  | 1 | 100 | 1 | 64.0 | 0.0 | 64.0 | 64.0 | 1 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 2.6 |

## By Sea Ages (All sizes combined)

| Sea Age | No. (\%) | Sex |  | Fork Length (cm) |  |  |  |  | Whole Weight (g) |  |  |  |  | River Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No. | \% F | n | Mean | SD | Min | Max | n | Mean | SD | Min | Max | n | Mean | SD | \% $2^{+}$ | \% $3^{+}$ | \% $4^{+}$ |
| V1SW | 50 (48.5 | 49 | 51.0 | 50 | 49.0 | 3.4 | 41.5 | 64.5 | 50 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 2.7 | 44 | 3.1 | 0.4 | 2.3 | 86.4 | 11.4 |
| 1SW.SM | 18 (17.5) | 18 | 55.6 | 18 | 60.2 | 5.1 | 53.5 | 70.5 | 18 | 2.1 | 0.6 | 1.3 | 3.5 | 18 | 2.9 | 0.2 | 6.0 | 94.0 | 0.0 |
| 1SW.SM.SM | 2 (1.9) | 2 | 50.0 | 2 | 72.5 | 2.8 | 70.5 | 74.5 | 2 | 3.5 | 0.8 | 2.9 | 4.0 | 1 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1SW.SM. 1 | 1 (1.0) | 1 | 100.0 | 1 | 76.0 | 0.0 | 76.0 | 76.0 | 1 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 1 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| V2SW | 30 (29.1) | 30 | 100.0 | 30 | 64.6 | 2.9 | 60.0 | 71.0 | 30 | 2.6 | 0.3 | 2.0 | 3.1 | 28 | 2.9 | 0.3 | 7.1 | 92.9 | 0.0 |
| 2SW.SM | 1 (1.0) | 1 | 100.0 | 1 | 69.0 | 0.0 | 69.0 | 69.0 | 1 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 1 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| 2SW.SM.SM | 1 (1.0) | 1 | 100.0 | 1 | 78.0 | 0.0 | 78.0 | 78.0 | 1 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 1 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| Unk |  | 2 | 50.0 | 2 | 62.5 | 1.8 | 61.5 | 64.0 | 2 | 2.4 | 0.4 | 2.1 | 2.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Appendix 7. Rationale for choosing the factors that were used to adjust the numbers of salmon counted in the snorkeling survey, 1999, to account for the salmon that were missed.

## Crabbes River:

## Section 1: Adjustment Factor - 1.10

The water in 2 of 21 pools was too deep to ensure that all salmon were counted. All other pools had relatively shallow water and sufficient snorkellers to survey entire pool. Salmon seem to be dispersed over a wide area.

## Section 2: Adjustment Factor - 1.15

There was a complete coverage of all of Section 2 upstream of the gorge. Downstream of gorge there were 2 of 7 pools in which the snorkellers considered the count to be incomplete; however, they indicated relatively good coverage but, 2 more swimmers would give more confidence in the count.

Gorge: The number of salmon in the Gorge is assumed to be equivalent to $30 \%$ of the small salmon (adjusted) in Section 2. The proportion of the total number of salmon in the gorge that is in the large category is assumed to be the same as the proportion of large salmon upriver from the gorge (Section $1+$ part of Section 2) at the time of the survey, which is $32 / 221=0.145$. The gorge is about 1 km long and was partially surveyed. Two pools at the lower end of gorge were surveyed, but water was too deep to see the bottom in a portion of the pool. However only 4 small salmon were observed. If there were a large number of salmon more would have been seen since pools were relatively narrow and short. The uppermost pool in the gorge was also surveyed. The visibility was not good and only a partial count could be obtained. However, the pool was narrow and the velocity was high, the 8 salmon observed were in the lower end of the pool. The 2 snorkellers felt that there was not a large concentration of salmon in the pool or more would have been seen. The pool was surveyed several times and the salmon were repeatedly seen in the lower end of the pool where the visibility was reasonably good.

## Section 3: Adjustment factor - 1.30

Two of 5 large pools needed two more snorkellers; although the crew leader felt that the coverage was complete in all but 1 pool.

## Section 4: Adjustment factor - $\mathbf{1 . 2 0}$

There was complete coverage of 5 of the 6 pools. Only a partial count was obtained at a pool at the TCH bridge due the pool being too deep and wide; additional snorkellers were required. Since the pool at the TCH was the deepest and widest pool there may have been considerably more salmon than observed.

Section 5: The water level was to high to conduct a survey of this Section; however, four snorkellers floated down through the Section, but did not see any salmon. The numbers of small and large salmon in Section 5 were estimated by assuming that the proportion of the total river population that was in Section 5, in 1999, was the same as the average proportion estimated for

1996 and 1997. I.e. 0.045 of the total population of small salmon and 0.023 of the total population of large salmon.

Little Crabbes: River Guardians walked this tributary. No adjustment was made to their count.

## Middle Barachois Brook:

## Section 1: Adjustment Factor - 1.05

All pools had relatively shallow water and there were sufficient snorkellers to survey all pools. Snorkellers felt that there were complete counts in all pools.

## Section 2: Adjustment Factor - 1.20

Incomplete counts were made in 4 of 17 pools. One of these pools (Sands Pool), in previous years, had contained a large number of salmon, and in 1999 one surveyor estimated over 50 salmon. The percentage of the average unadjusted numbers of large and small salmon in Section 1 and 2 (combined) that were counted in Sands Pool in 1996-97 was determined and applied to the count of small and large salmon in Section 1 and 2 in 1999. This provided an estimate of the numbers of small and large salmon in Sands Pool prior to adjustments. The calculated number of salmon in Sands Pool was added to the count in Section 2 before adjustment factor was applied. The adjustment of $20 \%$ was applied to Section 2 because of the number pools with incomplete counts.

## Section 3: Adjustment factor - 1.50

One of 3 pools had incomplete count due to width and depth. Only a small number of salmon were counted in this Section, and most of salmon was counted in the pool with incomplete count.

## Section 4: Adjustment factor - 1.10

Complete count was obtained in all 3 pools were salmon were seen.

## Robinsons River:

## Section 1: Adjustment Factor - 1.25

The large pool at the base of falls could not be surveyed. There were 2 out of 9 pools in which fish were seen but the count was considered partial. There were two large steadies where no fish were seen but there were insufficient snorkellers available to cover the entire width of the pool. Other pools were shallow and counts were considered complete.

## Section 2: Adjustment Factor - 1.10

Complete counts made at all pools. Pools were all 2 m or less and bottom could be seen in all of them.

## Section 3: Adjustment factor - 1.10

Salmon observed mostly in runs. There was only one pool in Section 3, which was at the confluence of Big Dribble Brook. Unlikely many salmon were missed.

## Section 4: Adjustment factor - 1.20

Counts were considered incomplete in two pools because water was too deep to see bottom. There is normally a concentration of salmon in Cabin Pool, but in 1999 only one salmon was seen.

Northern Feeder: Lower section walked by River Guardians during the first week of September. No salmon were observed.

## Fischells Brook:

## Section 1: Adjustment Factor - 1.15

The water was too deep to get a complete count of salmon in two of 15 pools; however it was felt that few if any salmon were missed. Most concentrations of salmon were in shallow pools.

## Section 2: Adjustment Factor - 1.10

Complete counts made in 11 of 12 pools. All pools, except 1 were 2 m or less and bottom could be seen in all of them. One pool was too deep to see bottom; therefore the count was incomplete. Some fish may have been missed in the steadies where occasionally the snorkellers had to stand up when assistants had difficulty keeping the rope in a straight line.

## Section 3: Adjustment factor - $\mathbf{1 . 1 5}$

There were two of seven pools in which some salmon may have been missed due to width of pools. Surveyors felt most salmon counted in these pools.

## Section 4: Adjustment factor - 1.10

One of four pools in this Section had a partial count because water was too deep to see bottom. However, snorkellers felt that it was unlikely that very many salmon were missed, only 3 fish were counted in this pool.

## Flat Bay Brook:

## Section 1 \& 2: $\quad$ Adjustment Factor - 1.15

Survey crew was transported between pools by helicopter. Only pools in which salmon had been counted in previous years were surveyed in 1999. In past years very few salmon were seen between these pools. A partial count occurred in one of seven pools surveyed. Only one pool was too deep to be sure all salmon were counted.

## Section 3: Adjustment Factor - 1.05

Short Section, and all pools had a complete count.

Section 4: Adjustment factor - 1.15
Twelve snorkellers were used. There was complete coverage of this Section; however there were 15 pools, many of which were very long. It was difficult for the surveyors to maintain visual attention for long periods. Thus some fish may have been missed.

Section 5: Water levels were too high to survey Section 5. The average percentage of the total estimated numbers of salmon in the entire river that was observed in Section 5 in 1996 and 1997 were used to calculate the number of small and large salmon. Calculations were as follows: in $1996-16 \%$ of the small salmon and $38 \%$ of the large salmon estimated for the entire river were in Section 5. In $1997-14 \%$ of the small and $11 \%$ of the large salmon were in Section 5. Averages for both years are $16 \%$ of the small and $18 \%$ of the large salmon.

