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The Dominion Bureau of Statistics tabulated renorts from 7,208 firms who reported a decidedly unward movement in employment on Xay l; the payrolls of these employers were increased by 31,470 persons to 976,224 on the date under review, when the index, reflecting the gain of over three p.c., rose to 111.4 , as compared with 107.8 on Apr. 1 , and ith $116.2,106.8,101.8,95.4,91.9,92.9,92.5,84.3$ and 85.1 on May 1, 1929, 1928, 1927, 1926, 1925, 1924, 1923, 1922 and 1921, respectively. The situation, though not so favourable as $1 n$ the spring of last year, was nevertheless better than on May 1 of all earlier years of the record. The increase in the index number between Apr, 1, and May 1, 1930, slightly exceeded the average gain shown in this period of the years since 1921.

Construction and transportation recorded pronounced improvement, while large gains were also noted in manufacturing,trade and services. On the other hand, there was seasonal curtailment in logsing and coal mining.

## FMPLOYNENT BY ECONOMIC ARBAS.

Heightened activity was reported in all provinces, but Ontario and the Prairie Provinces registered the largest increases.

Maritime Provinces. - Additions to staffs were indicated in the Maritime Provinces, where 500 firms renorted 76,062 employees, or 3,677 more than in their last return. This gain was much larger than that noted on May 1 of last year, when the indar was some five points lower. Construction showed the greatest advances on the date under review; manufacturing, especially in pulp and naper, lumber and fish-nreserving nlants also showed inportant gains, while tramsportation and mining were seascnally slacker.

Quebec,- Improvement was recordet in Quebec, according to 1,661 employers with 269, 133 workers, as against 263,188 in the preceding month. Large increases were reported in transnortation and construction and maintenance, and there were smaller gains in trade, communications and services. On the other hand, manufacturing, logging and mining mere slacker. \#nmloyment was in rather less volume than on the corresponding date of a year ago, when the indicated increase was considerably larger.

Ontario.- The expansion in Ontario on May 1, 1930, was not so pronounced as that renorted on the same date in 1929, when the index was higher. The working forces of the 3,211 co-operating fitms, aggregated 415,459 employees, compared with 404,548 on Apr. 1. Construction and transportation registered tho most marked increases, but the movement was also upward in manufacturing, mining, services and trade, while logging was seasonally slacker.

Prairie Provinces, - Manufacturing, transnortation and construction showed heightened activity, the advance in the last-named being most marked. Statements were tabulated from 1,049 emoloyers, whose staffe rose from 121,811 persons on Apr. $1 ;$ to 129,009 on the date under review. This expansion was on a larger scale than at the beginaing of May, 1929, but the index was then higher than on the date under review.

British Columbia.- The improvement in British Columbia was not quite so extensive as in the spring of last year, when the index was nearly a point higher. An asgregate payroll of 86,561 workers was indicated by the 727 firms furnishing data, who had 82,762 in the preceding month. Manufacturing and construction were decidedly busier, esnecially marked advances taking place in the latter; transoortation and logging were also more active, while other industries showed only slight changes.

Tables 1 and 5 give index numbers by economic areas.

Chart 2.-Employment in Canada as Reported Konthly by Employers - 1927-1930.


Montreal, Quevec, Toronto, Ottewa, Windscr and the Ad jacent Border Cities, iinnineg and Vancouver showed considerably increased activity, but there was a decline in Hamilton.

Montreal.- Transpartation, construction and trade recorded the greatest advances In Nonireal, where 900 employers added 2,063 workers to their payrcils, bringing them to 137,750 at the beginning of May. Greater increases were indicated on the same date of last year. When the index stood at 114.2 , as compared with 110.8 on May $1,1930$.

Quebec... Statements were tabulated from 118 firms with 11,830 emoloyees, as against 11,402 on Apr. 1. Nost of the immovement toos nlace in construction and transportation. The gain involved more workers than that recorded on May 1, 1929, when the index was zlightly higher.

Toronto.- Transiortation, construction, services and trade roported important increases in personnel in Toronto, according to data furnished by 996 employers, whose por rolis eggregsted 126,032 nersons, as compared with 124,254 at the beginning of April. This exnansion was not so pronounced as that indicated on May 1 of last year, and the index then was some three points higher than on the date under review.

Ottawa. - Lumber mills, construction and trade registered the bulk of the improan nient in Ottawa, where the advance involved a smaller number of persons than that fat the begining of kay, 1929. Statistics were tabulated from 150 firms emnloying 13,498 persons, comrared with. 12,524 on Apr. 1. The index was at its spring-time maximum in the record for this city.

Hamilton.- A combined working force of 35,756 yersons was revorted by the 225 co-operating ermloyers, who had 36,327 on Apr. 1. Manufacturing was slacker, while transnortation showod greater activity. Fmployment was in smaller volume than in the same month of 1929, when expansion wis indicated.

Windsor and the Adjacent Border Cities.- Considerable improvement was shown in the Border Cities, chiefly in automobile factories and construction. Returns were tabulated from 137 firms with 18,555 morvers, compared with 17,568 in the preceding month. Emiloyment was not so brisk as in the spring of last year.

Winnineg- Construction and manufacturing renorted exnansion in Winnineg, where the 344 co-overating employers added 690 persons to thelr staffs, bringing them to 31, 743 on May 1. The situation was less favourable than on the same date of last year, when somerthat larger gains had been indicated.

Vancouver.- Manufacturing registered moderate increases in Vancouver, according to 280 firms who employed an aggregate working force of 29,771 persons, compared with 29,584 on ADr. 1. The index was slithtly higher than at the beginning of May of a year aeo, when the trend was also upward.

Indax numbors by cities are given in Tables 2 and 6 .

## EMIOTMNT BY INDUSTRIES.

Manufncturing. - Employment in manufactures showed an imnortant gain at the bom gimine of itay; 4,387 establishments reported 541,702 workers, comnared with 536,320 in the nreceding month. The largest advances were in lumber mills, where they were of a seasonal cheracter, but noteworthy expansion also took place in the fish-packing, pulp and paper, tobacco, building material, electric current, vegetable food and mineral product groups. Boot and shoe, rubier, textile and iron and steel factories, however, were slacker. Moployment was in considerably greater volume than on May 1 of other years since 1920 except 1929, when the index stood at 119.8, compared with 112.4 on the date under reviem.

Losging- - In snite of increases in British Columbia, there was, on the whole, a docline in logsing, according to 233 firms employing 16,965 men, or 6,473 less than on Anr. 3. Smaller losses were registered at the beginning of May, 1929, and the index was then slightly higher; unfavourable weather conditions during the late winter had the effect of delaying river driving onerations this smring.

Kining.- Returns were received from 219 mine onerators with 50,688 persons in holr mploy, comared with 50.933 ir the receding month. Coal mines were scasonally slocker, but metnilic ore and miscellancous non-metallic mineral mines showed immovement, es-ecially in the former. Imreloyment was in rather smaller volume than on May 1, 1929. although it was brisker than in the spring of all other years of the record.


Commuications:- Littio general change was noted in communtcations, in which the level of enmloyment was the same as on the same date of last year, though considerably hicher than in the snring of any other year for which data are available. The co-onerating branches and cormanies renorted an aggregate working force of 30,524 persons.

Mransportation.- Local, steam railway and water transportstion afforded greatly increased employment; the gains were not so nronounced as on May 1, 1929, when the index was several noints higher. A combined working force of 120,000 employees was registered by the 326 commanies and divisional sunerintendents making returns, who had 114,399 in their last renort. Steam railway operation registered the most pronounced advance.

Construction and Maintenance.- The gains indicated on May 1 were the largest rported on that date since the record was commenced, building, hishway and railway construction showing marked improvement. Data were comiled from $97^{4}$ employers, whose staffs agsregated 107.825 nersons, or 25,094 more than on Apr. 1. The index was the same as on May 1, 1929, and considerably higher than in the soring of any other year since 1920.

Services.- The service groun, notably the hotel and laundry divisions, recorded hei ghtened activity, according to statements from 238 estabilahments employing 22,147 workers, as against 21,599 in their last repar $t$. The situation was decidedly more favourable than at the beginning of May of other years for which data are avallable.

Trade.- Further large additions to persomel were shown in trade, in which 764 employers enlarged their forces by 1,828 nersons to 86,372 on the date under review. The index was sliohtly hisher than at the comencement of May, 1929, and with the single excention of Dec. 1, 1928, was considerably higher than in any month of the years 1921-28. Improvement was noted on the date under review in both retail and wholesale establishm ments; the gains were more nronounced than on May 1, 1929, and earlier years of the recori.

Index numbers by industries are given in Tables 3 and 4, while Chart 3 shows the course of emmloyment in four of the leading industrial groups; the curves are based unon the number of workers employed by the reporting ftrms at the beginning of the month, as compared with the average number on their payrolls during the calendar year 1926 as 100 .

BMPLOYMENT IN GRTAT BRITIIN.
Emoloyment during March showed a further decline, according to "The Ministry of Labour Gazettel; among the 12,100,000 workers insured against unemployment in Great Britain and Northern Ireland, the Dercentage unemmloyed in all industries was 14.0 on March 24,1930 , as compared with 13.1 on Feb. 24, 1930, and 10.0 on Mar. 25, 1929. Recent bress reborts state that $1,698,400$ oersons were out of work on Apr. 28, 1930, an increase of 38,100 on the figure for April 14, and 534,600 more than a year ago; this increase is partially due to a change in the rules regarding unemplnyment benefits.

EMPLOYMENT IN THE UNITED STATAS.
(These notes are based on the latest offictal repor ts received.)
Employment in the United States decreased 0.2 D.c. in Abril as compared with March, according to returns tabulated by the United States Bureau of Labor Statistics from 37,453 establishments with $4,905,798$ employees. The index of employment in man. factures, based unon the monthly average for 1926 as 100 , stood at 89.1 , compared with 39.8 in March, 1930, and 99.1 in Apri1, 1929. Construction, anthractte mining, quarrying, electric railroads, power-light-water plants, retail trade and canning showed. improvement while curtailment was indicated in manufacturing, bituminous coal and metalliferous mining, crude netroleum production, telephone and telegraph operation, wholesale trade and hotels.

NETY YORT. - There was a decrease of between one and two n.c. In the index of factory emioyment in New York State during April. The largest reductions were in the food, clothing and leather grouns, but emnloyment declined in all industriel grouns excent clay, glass and stone.

ILIAGIS.- According to the Illinots Department of Labor, ermoloyment in manufacturine and trade showed a decrease during March, whlle there was improvement in building and curtracting and fublic utilities.

TISCONSIN.- Employment in Wisconsin was slightly higher in March than in February, but was lowor than in March, 1929, according to the "Wisconsin Labor Market." The index for the manufacturing industries stood at 94.5 , compared with 94.0 in the preceding month and 100.6 in the same month of last year.
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Note: The "Relative Weight" in Table 1, shows the proportion of employees in the indicated area to the total number of all amployees reported in canada on the date under reviow.

Table 1.- INDEX NUMBERS OF EMPLOYMNT BY ECONOMIC AREAS, (AVERAGE CALENDAR YEAR $1926=100$ )

|  |  |  | Maritime |  |  | Prairie | British |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May | 1. 1921 | $\frac{\text { Canada }}{85.1}$ | $\frac{\text { Provinces }}{98}$ | Quebec | Ontario | Provinces | Columbia |
| May | 1. 1921 | 85.1 | 98.2 | 77.0 | 89.0 | 86.0 | 79.9 |
| May | 1, 1922 | 84.3 | 92.4 | 77.4 | 87.8 | 83.0 | 81.0 |
| May | 1, 1923 | 92.5 | 101.0 | 86.1 | 97.6 | 89.8 | 86.4 |
| Misy | 1, 1924 | 92.9 | 98.9 | 89.7 | 95.6 | 88.7 | 91.2 |
| ifay | 1, 1925 | 91.9 | 97.2 | 89.8 | 93.4 | 87.4 | 93.3 |
| May | 1. 1926 | 95.4 | 94.1 | 94.4 | 96.3 | 91.8 | 100.7 |
| Jah. | 1, 1927 | 95.9 | 101.8 | 93.6 | 96.8 | 99.9 | 87.7 |
| Feb. | 1 | 96.6 | 98.3 | 95.3 | 98.3 | 96.4 | 90.8 |
| Mar. | 1 | 97.5 | 97.4 | 96.2 | 100.1 | 95.2 | 93.0 |
| Apr. | 1 | 97.4 | 97.8 | 94.6 | 100.4 | 94.1 | 96.1 |
| ikay | 1 | 101.8 | 100.5 | 100.6 | 104.5 | 99.0 | 99.4 |
| June | 1 | 107.2 | 103.5 | 107.5 | 108.1 | 106.5 | 105.5 |
| July | 1 | 109.7 | 112.8 | 109.6 | 108.9 | 110.7 | 109.1 |
| Aug. | 1 | 110.5 | 113.2 | 109.8 | 109.2 | 114.0 | 110.0 |
| Sept. | 1 | 111.0 | 112.2 | 110.5 | 110.5 | 114.4 | 108.7 |
| Oct. | 1 | 110.3 | 108.1 | 110.0 | 111.1 | 111.7 | 107.8 |
| Nov. | 1 | 108.8 | 100.1 | 110.2 | 109.8 | 110.7 | 104.2 |
| Dec. | 1 | 108.1 | 39.1 | 110.1 | 109.1 | 110.8 | 101.0 |
| Jan. | 1, 1928 | 100.7 | 97.1 | 99.6 | 101.9 | 107.5 | 91.4 |
| Feb. | 1 | 102.0 | 97.0 | 101.6 | 104.9 | 103.2 | 93.5 |
| Mar. | 1 | 102.6 | 97.5 | 100.9 | 106.3 | 101.8 | 97.0 |
| Apr. | 1 | 102.3 | 98.5 | 99.2 | 106.0 | 101.9 | 100.0 |
| May | 1 | 106.8 | 101.3 | 103.0 | 110.1 | 108.5 | 105.4 |
| June | 1 | 113.8 | 107.2 | 110.7 | 115.5 | 121.5 | 109.9 |
| July | 1 | 117.7 | 116.2 | 113.6 | 117.7 | 129.8 | 114.0 |
| Aug. | 1 | 119.3 | 117.0 | 114.1 | 118.9 | 132.5 | 116.4 |
| Sept. | 1 | 119.1 | 115.4 | 115.7 | 119.5 | 127.8 | 115.5 |
| oct. | 1 | 118.8 | 114.9 | 114.3 | 120.4 | 126.4 | 114.0 |
| Nov. | 1 | 118.9 | 109.5 | 114.8 | 121.1 | 128.6 | 112.1 |
| Dec. | 1 | 116.7 | 108.1 | 112.6 | 119.7 | 125.3 | 107.9 |
| Tan. | 1,1929 | 109.1 | 103.3 | 103.3 | 113.8 | 116.6 | 100.4 |
| Feb . | 1 | 110.5 | 104.6 | 105.9 | 117.0 | 113.1 | 96.4 |
| liar. | 1 | 111.4 | 106.8 | 104.7 | 118.4 | 112.3 | 103.7 |
| Apr. | 1 | 110.4 | 107.5 | 101.1 | 117.4 | 113.9 | 105.0 |
| Lhay | 1 | 116.2 | 108.3 | 107.3 | 123.8 | 119.7 | 111.6 |
| June | 1 | 122.2 | 112.5 | 115.9 | 126.2 | 232.4 | 117.5 |
| July | 1 | 124.7 | 117.9 | 119.4 | 127.2 | 136.7 | 118.2 |
| Aug. | 1 | 127.8 | 127.5 | 121.3 | 128.0 | 144.8 | 122.7 |
| Sept. | 1 | 126.8 | 127.3 | 120.5 | 126.9 | 143.3 | 121.5 |
| Oct. | 1 | 125.6 | 123.7 | 120.2 | 128.4 | 134.2 | 118.2 |
| Nov. | 1 | 124.6 | 124.6 | 122.8 | 126.5 | 129.5 | 113.9 |
| Dec. | 1 | 119.1 | 113.3 | 118.4 | 123.1 | 119.0 | 108.3 |
| Jan. | 1, 1930 | 111.2 | 113.6 | 107.4 | 116.1 | 111.0 |  |
| Feb . | 1 | 111.6 | 112.1 | 108.2 | 117.1 | 109.8 | 99.9 |
| dibar. | 1 | 110.2 | 110.2 | 106.6 | 115.6 | 105.3 | 104.2 |
| Apr. | 1 | 107.8 | 107.8 | 103.7 | 112.7 | 103.2 | 106.0 |
| ihay | 1 | 111.4 | 113.1 | 106.1 | 115.7 | 109.2 | 110.7 |
| Relative Weight of Employment by Districts as at Hay 1, 1930. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 100.0 | 7.8 | 27.6 | 42.5 | 13.2 | 8.9 |
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[^0]Note: The "Relative Weight" in Table 2 shows the proportion of employees in the indicated city to the total number of all employees reported in Canada on the date under review.

Table 2.- INIEX NUABERS OF EMPLOYNENT BY PRINCIPAL CITIES,
(AVERAGE CATBNDAR YEAR $1926=100$ ).

|  |  | Montreal | Quebec | Toronto | Ottawa | Familton | Windsor |  | Winnipeg |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | Tancouver

Relative Teight of mployment by Cities as at Mey $1,1930$.

| 14.1 | 1.2 | 12.9 | 1.4 | 3.7 | 1.9 | 3.3 | 3.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


$\qquad$
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Note:- The "Relative Vieight" in Table 3 shows the proportion of employees in the indicated industry to the total number of all employees reported in Canada on the date under review.

Table 3.- INDEX NUMBERS OF EMPLOYMENT BY INDUSTRIES,
(AVERAGE CAIENDAR YEAR 1926=100).

|  | All | Industries | Manf. | Log. | Min. | Comrun. | Trans. | Constr. | Serv. |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | Trade

Relative Weight of Employment by Industries as at May 1, 1930.
100.0
$55.5 \quad 1.7$
5.2
3.112 .311 .1
2.3
8.8

$\qquad$ Indust-ies

1/Relative May 1 Apt: 1 May - Mov I. Mav I May 1 Niby 1


AL NTOUSTMIS $100.0 \quad 211.2107 .8176,2.6 \quad 101.8 \quad 9=4 \quad 91.9$
1/ The "pelative weight" colums chows the proportion wat tize nmbor of empayrees in the indicatod industry is of the totai armien an emoncyoes reporiod in 2 ? ?

I/Relative May I Abr. 1 Nay 1 May I May 1 Mar゙ I May ?


Lumber products
Fulp and paper
Textile products
Iron and steel
Other manufactures
Logging
Mining
Communications
Transportation
Construction
Services
Trade
Naritime Provinces-All Industries Quebec Manufacturing

Lumber products
Pulp and paper
Textile products
Iron and steel
Other manufactures
Logging
Mining
Commenications
Transportation
Construction
Services
Trade
Quebec -
Ontario

Ontario -
Prairle Provinces-
All Industries
Manufacturing
Lumber products
Pulp and paper
Textile products
Iron and steel
Other manufactures
Logging
Mining
Communications
Transportation
Construction
Services
Trade
All Industries
Manufacturing
Lumber products Pulp and paper
Textile products
Iron and steel
Other manufactures
Logging
Mining
Compunications
Transportation
Construction
Services
Trade
Prairie Provinces- All Industries
British Columio - Manufacturina
Lumber prosucts
Pulp and paper
Textile products
Iron and steel Other manufactures
Logging
Mining
Communications
Transportation
Construction
Services
Trade
British Columbia - All Industries $\begin{array}{llllllllll}3.9 & 73.6 & 58.9 & 74.4 & 74.1 & 71.0 & 73.7 & 93.0\end{array}$
 $4.2 \quad 87.9 \quad 87.9 \quad 96.9 \quad 93.9104 .7 \quad 103.1102 .2$
 $9.4104 .0 \quad 92.4 \quad 101.1 \quad 105.8 \quad 102.7 \quad 97.5102 .5$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}1.0 & 41.1 & 34.7 & 69.1 & 06.0 & 109.7 & 13.3 & 30.3\end{array}$ $21.3 \quad 108.4 \quad 109.4108 .1 \quad 109.0: 09.4$ ज. 4.2 102. 7
 $19.0 \quad 130.5141 .6121 .5105 .7120 .7115 .9105$. 4 $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}13.3 & 127.3 & 93.0 & 98.6 & 75.0 & 58.1 & 53.1 & 63.3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1.0 & 132.8 & 133.4 & 142.8 & 89.5 & 92.5 & 88.9 & 87 . j\end{array}$ $6.0 \quad 117.1 \quad 114.9112 .7 \quad 104.5 \quad 100.4 \quad 97.3 \quad 98.3$ $100.0 \quad 113.1107 .8 \quad 108.3101 .3100 .5 \quad 94.1 \quad 97.2$
 $\begin{array}{lllllllll}3.4 & 90.3 & 83.7 & 101.7 & 99.2 & 101.2 & 81.7 & 90.2\end{array}$





 $2.9116 .9 \quad 110.3123 .0109 .6107 .5 \quad 98.4 \quad 98.2$ $\begin{array}{llllllll}11.1 & 90.7 & 83.1 & 96.2 & 97.1 & 91.6 & 88.0 & 85.4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllll}10.2 & 90.1 & 68.6 & 77.0 & 78.1 & 93.0 & 78.4 & 65.7\end{array}$ $1.9108 .1105 .4105 .7102 .1 \quad 100.8 \quad 94.1 \quad 92.3$ $6.5 \quad 127.7 \quad 125.1 \quad 130.3 \quad 112.1 \quad 1.03 .4 \quad 02.3 \quad 94.0$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}100.0 & 106.1 & 103.7 & 107.3 & 103.0 & 100.6 & 0.4 .4 & 89.8\end{array}$
 $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}5.2 & 99.9 & 93.8 & 114.8 & 101.4 & 101.2 & 93.4 & 96.8\end{array}$ $7.2111 .5108 .7112 .1 \quad 110.2 \quad 105.7 \quad 98.294 .6$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}9.6 & 105.2 & 108.1 & 112.7 & 103.8 & 102.3 & 99.3 & 95.6\end{array}$ $20.2121 .4122 .0 \quad 148.6 \quad 120.0 \quad 109.9=02.9 \quad 90.5$ $21.9113 .8 \quad 113.0 \quad 120.1 \quad 109.7 \quad 103.3 \quad 97.0 \quad 92.5$ $\begin{array}{lllllllll}.9 & 45.0 & 75.1 & 57.4 & 54.5 & 67.0 & 41.6 & 69.3\end{array}$ $3.4149 .2 \quad 142.4 \quad 135.1 \quad 129.3 \quad 108.2 \quad$ 94. $5 \quad 102.8$ $3.1118 .0123 .6117 .5104 .8105 .2 \quad 202.0 \quad 91.9$
 $9.0133 .6 \quad 108.2138 .1 \quad 125.1111 .3 \quad 85.5 \quad 89.5$ $2.2157 .8 \quad 152.2133 .3120 .2104 .5101 .0 \quad 96.9$ $8.3130 .8 \quad 126.2124 .0 \quad 113.0 \quad 103.2 \quad 90.3 \quad 97.2$


 $2.9130 .6 \quad 112.0 \quad 140.71 i 6.8 \quad 106.1108 .8 \quad 96.1$ $3.1113 .9110 .2 \quad 111.3120 .1113 .9101 .3 \quad 94.9$ $\begin{array}{lllllllll}1.7 & 104.5 & 107.9 & 116.2 & 123.3 & 107.5 & 98.6 & 93.3 \\ 13.7 & 111.0 & 110.9 & 120.9 & 102.5 & 100.4 & 98.0 & 35.0\end{array}$ | 13.7 | 111.9 | 110.9 | 120.9 | 102.5 | 100.4 | 98.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 11.7 | 125.2 | 117.9 | 124.7 | 115.5 | 98.9 | 93.5 |
| 1.64 .6 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}.3 & 32.9 & 114.3 & 40.1 & 58.4 & 42.7 & 35.4 & 43.4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllll}5.8 & 92.1 & 95.9 & 102.6 & 105.0 & 80.9 & 80.7 & 79.4\end{array}$ $20.4111 .8109 .2112 .7101 .8 \quad$ c.i.9 $26.9 \quad 9+.5$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}16.4 & 100.3 & 71.8 & 133.8 & 116.3 & 89.6 & 35.0 & 76.0\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}3.0 & 118.3 & 118.3 & 126.1 & 116.6 & 101.6 & 88.3 & 83.0\end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}100.0 & 109.2 & 103.2 & 119.7 & 108.5 & 93.0 & 91.8 & 87.4\end{array}$ $41.1 \quad 109.4107 .1 \quad 11.2 \quad 102.6 \quad 101.4100 .7 \quad 90.8$


 $5.1122 .3124 .1117 .5104 .8 \quad 101.0 \quad 101.5 \quad 94.5$ $14.8 \quad 117.4111 .8119 .4 \quad 107.2102 .1 \quad 95.8 \quad 83.7$ $\begin{array}{llllllll}7.7 & 98.3 & 93.6 & 110.1 & 102.4 & 94.2 & 109.4 & 86.3\end{array}$ $8.5 \quad 93.0 \quad 92.1 \quad 106.1 \quad 99.3 \quad 94.5 \quad 97.2 \quad 213.4$ $3.8128 .8 \quad 127.6114 .3100 .7101 .7 \quad 99.6 \quad 89.2$ $13.5108 .0 \quad 106.5 \quad 110.2106 .9100 .6100$. J $\quad 95.9$ $13.5133 .1 \quad 107.7114 .7118 .9 \quad 33.4103 .5 \quad 88.7$ $\begin{array}{lllllll}3.5 & 115.2 & 113.6 & 114.0 & 108.3 & 97.5 & 95.4 \\ 8.4 & 89 . & \frac{5}{3}\end{array}$

$\frac{100.0110 .7106 .0 \quad 111.6105 .49 .9 .4100 \cdot 1}{\text { industry in an area to the total number oi }}$
1/ Proportion of employees in indicated industry in an aretums.


I/Pro ortion of employees in indicated industry within a city to the total number of employees reported in tinat city by the firms maining returns.
3/5/30/FD.


[^0]:    

