DOES HOT GITYMATE 1 ME PAS PMîm


CANADA<br>DEPARTMENT OF TRADE AND COMMERCE

DOMINION BUREAU OF STATISTICS
GENERAL STATISTICS BRANCH

## THE <br> JUNE

## EMPLOYMENT SITUATION

1930
(As reported by employers having 15 or more employees)

> Note:- Statements relating to unemployment as reported by trade unions, and to the operations of the Employment Service of Canada, together with the statistics of the present bulletin, are published in the Labour Gazette, the official journal of the Department of Labour, Canada.

Published by Authonty of the Hon. James Malcolm, M.P.
Minister of Trade and Commerce

Chart 1. - Employment in Canada as Repurteu by Employers, 1923-1930.
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Tmployment at the beginning of June showed a further pronounced gain, resultins in a roore favourable situation tian on June 1 of all other years on record with tize single excoption of 1929. Statements were tabulated by the Dominion Bureau of Statistics from 7,256 firms with $1,021.951$ employees, as compared with 976,538 on May 1; tinis increase of 45,413 persons, or $4.7 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{c}$., brought the index number to 116.5 as compared with 111.4 in the preceding month and $122.2,113.8,107.2,102.2,95.6,96.4$, $92.5,90.3$ and 87.7 on June 1. 1929, 1928, 1927, 1926, 1925, 1924, 1923, 1922. and 1921, respectively.

Unusually large advances were registered in logging and construction, while transportation, manufacturing, trade, services, mining and communications also showed important increases. Amployment in the service and trade groups was higher than at tise same date of any other jear on record.

## EMPLOYMMI BY RCONOMIC AREAS.

Expansion was noted in all of the flve economic areas, firms in Quebec amploying the greatest number of extra workers.

Maritime Provinces. - Further improvement was noted in tize Maritime Provinces, according to statistics received from 561 employers with a combined working force of 82,333 persons, as compared with 76,029 on May 1. This gain was considerably ereater tian tinat reported on June 1 of last year, when the index was some ten points loncr. ilanufacturing (particularly of lumber and fish products), logging and construction registered heightened activity, while transportation was seasonally slacker.

Quebec.- Construction, transportation, manufacturing and logging recorded pronounced increases in personnel, and smaller gains were shown in the service and trade groups; the gain in logging was due to river-drives. Employment was in sligatly less volume than on the same date in 1929, but was higher than on June 1 in any of the preceding eight years, the index standing at 114.5 compared with 115.9 on June 1, 1929, and 110.7 on June 1, 1928, the previous maximum. Statements were tabulated from 1,698 firms employing 291,462 workers, or 21.574 more than in their last montin y report.

Ontario.- Braployment in Ontario showed a furtiner advance and the index, at 117.8, was higher tian on June 1 in any other year of the record except 1929. The payrolls of tie 3,200 co-operating establishments aggregated 422,369 employees, as against 44,853 on May 1. Construction registered the greatest expansion, but gains Yere also noted in logging, mining, transportation, communications and services. In menufacturing, considerable increases in the lumber, food, building material and some other groups were offset by losses in iron and steel, textile and pulp and paper factorias.

Prairie Provinces.- Construction, especially railroad construction, tway spmetation, services, trade, communications and manufacturing reported the most marked inprovement in the Prairie Provinces, where the 1,055 firms whose statistics were tabulated employed 137,036 persons, as against 129,028 in the preceding month. Larger increases were indicated on June 1, 1929, and the index then was many points higher; employment in these provinces continued to show the unfavourable effects of the tie-up in the tisposal of the 1929 havest.

## British Columbia.- An aggregate payroll of 88,751 workers was

 recistered by the 742 reporting employers, who had 86,740 at the beginning of Nay. Erploymmet was in greater volume than in the early summer of any of the last ten years Wfitil the exception of June 1, 1929. Construction and manufacturing recorded the most noteworthy exnansion on the date under revier, while other groups showed little general change.Tables 1 and 5 give index numbers by economic areas, while Chart 3 shows the courge of employment in these areas since 1927, taken from the statistics show? in mable 1.

Chart 2. - Employment in Canada as Reported Lonthly by Employers - 1927-1930


Te curve is jased upon the number of employees at work on the first day of the month as indicated by cha firms reporting, in comparison vith the everago employment they aiforded during the calendar yoar 1926 as 100 .

The tread uf enploynient was üfa:c in five of the cight cities for whioh - suparate compilations are made. Mon+raci, Quebec, Torcnto, Ottawa and Winnipeg all showed considerable gains; declines were noted in Hamilton and in Windsor (including the A (jacent Border Cities) while in Vanenuver the sitiation was reported as unchanged.

Montreal.- Improvement on a large scaie was recoidu in Montreal, where the 916 e)-र्operating firms employed 145, C 26 persons, an increase of 7,080 over their May 1 staffs. Transportation, constriction, mamufacturing and trade reported the grestest increases, but services wera also kusier. The index, at il6. $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{y}}$ was higher than in any other June on record with the exception of June, 1929:

Quebec.- Continueci gains were rejistered in Queves City, chiafly in manufacturine and construction. Statements were tabulatel from l2l establishments having 22. 531 workers, as against 11,88 ? in the preceding month. Considerable advances had also been indicated at the beginning of June of a year ago, when the index was fractionally lower. Employment on the date under review was in greater volume than on June 1 in any other year for which statistics are gvailable.

Toronto.- Returns were furnished by 993 employers in Toronto with 125,934 porsons on their payrolls, compared with 125,311 on May 1. Manufacturing, particularly of tectile and iron and steel products, was slacker, but improvement was shown in construction, trade and transportation. The general gain was smaller than that noted on June 1, 1929, when the index was a few points higher; with this exception, however, the situation was better than in the early summer of any previous year on record.

Ottawa.- Construction showed heightened activity in Ottawa, where employment was at a higher level than at the beginning of June of last year, when an increase had been recorded. A combined working force of 13,979 employees was indicated by the 145 coooperating firms; this was 549 more than in their last report.

Hamilton.- Further reductions in staffs were noted in Hamilton, where 218 employers renorted $35,3<9$ workers on their paylists, as compared with 35,562 at the beginning of May. Most of the decrease took place in manuracturing, notably in iron and steel plants, while construction was busier. Conditions were not so favourable as on the same date of a year ago, when a programe of industrial expansion was being executed, but they were better chan in the early summer of any other year for which data ase compiled.

Windsor and the Adjacent Border Cities.- Follcwing a period of seasonal activity, employment in the Border Cities showed a decline on June l, when date were received from 139 firms with 18,433 employees, or 129 less than at the beginning of May. Most of the recuction took place in automobile factories, but construction was aiso slacker. Very much larger losses had been registered on June 1, 1929, but employment was then in greater volume, the index standing at, 168.3 , as compared with 149.4 on the date under reviow.

Winnipeg. - An aggregate working force of 32,251 persons was indicated by the 350 employers whose statistics were received, and who hat hac 31,884 employees on May 2. This gain involved a larger number of persons than that noted at the beginning of June of last year, but the ind ex was then higher. Most of the advance recorded in June of the present year was in construction, monusacturing and communications, while trading establishments released some help.

Vancouver:- Manufacturing reported gains in Vancouver, but there were reductions in trade - ra same other industries, so that no general change was recorded in the city. Statements were tabulated from 284 employers with 29,769 workers in their employ. Improvement was indicated on June 1 of a year agn, when the situation was practically the same.

Index numbers by cities are given in Tables 2 end 6.

## ENPLCYNENT BY INDUSTEIES.

 548.081 oper tirns, as compared $\pi!$ th 541,928 in the preceding month. This increase W.s not so extensive as that reported on the same date in 1929 when the situation was pencirily more favourable, but with that exception. employmeat continned higher than in Jure of all other yeurs for whict data are vailabie. Lumber mills registered the Eratest gains, which were of a seasonn? nature, while important advances were also rodo in the fish-preserving, vegetabie food, kuilding material, mober: electric current, tobacso, mineral product, non-ferrous metial and scone other industrise. On the other hand, iran and steen, textile, and jeather-using plants wore slacker.

Chart 3. - Z2lonzent by Zconouic Areas, 1927-1930.

loyging. Employmeat in logeing camps showed a pronoutced inorease, chiefly in rivarariving operations; this was larger than on the same date in 1929, when the index was, however, slightly higher. Returns were received from 217 firms employing 23,965 workers, or 6,890 more than in the preceding month.

Mining.- Coal and other non-metallic mineral mines were busier, while the extraction of metallic ores afforded rather less employment; 223 operators reported an a 8 gregate working force of 51,425 persons, as against 50,740 in their last return. Little general change had been noted on June 1, 1929, when employment was at practically the save level.

Communications.- Improvement was indicated in the telephonic and telegraphio group, in which 66 companies enlarged their staffs from 30,487 persons on May to 31,035 on the date under review. Employment was more active than on June 1 in most years of the record.

Transportation. - The trend of employment was upward in all branches of the triansportation group, according to date from 323 employers with 124,178 workers on their payrolls, or 4,251 more than on May 1. This increase involved a much smaller number of workers than that indicated on June 1, 1929, when the index was higher than in the aurly summer of the present year.

Construction and Maintenance.- Further large additions to staffs were shown in this division, in which l,005 contractors reported 132,157 employees, as compared with 107,874 in the preceding month. The volume of employment was not so great as on June 1, 1929, but compares favourably with that in every other year of the record. All brunches of the industry registered heightened activity, but the most pronounced gains were reported on highway work.

Services.- Hotels and restaurants showed their customary seasonal increase, while there were only small changes in other branches of this groupl Statements were compiled from 240 firms employing 23,435 persons, as compared with 22,385 on May 1. The index in this group was higher than on June 1 in any other year for which data are available.

Irade.- Improvement was indicated in retail and wholesale trade; 757 establishments reported 87,705 employees, or 1,583 more than in their last return. This gain was slightly larger than that noted on June 1 in any other year since the series was instituted, in all of which the level of employment was lower. The index in this group was 127.6 on June 1, 1930, as compared with 126.0 on the same date of 1929.

Tables 3 and 4 give index numbers by industries.

## EMPLOYMENT IN GREAT BRITAIN.

There was a further improvement in employment during the first fortnight in April, but at the end of the month the position was rather worse than at the end of March; amone approxisately $12,100,000$ workers insured against unemployment in Great Britain and iorthern Ireland, the percentage unemployed in all industries was 14.6 on Apr. 28, 1030, es compared with 14.0 on Mar. 24, 1930, and 9.8 on Apr. 22, 1929. Recent press rports state that $1,775,100$ persons were out of work on June 2, 1930, an increase of 5,000 on the week and of 675,000 on the year.

EMPLOYNENT IN THE UNITED STATES.
(These notes are based upon the latest official reports received).
Enployment in the United States showed a decline of 0.9 p.c. in May as compared with April, according to returns tabulated by the Bureau of Labor Statistics from $39,42 \%$ establishments with $5,063,416$ employees. Most of the decrease took place in momufacturing, in which the index, based on the monthly average in 1926 as 100 , stood at 87.7 in lay, compared with 89.1 in April and 99.2 in May, 1929. The most noteworthy reductions were reported in the textile, metal, leather and chemical groups.

Hew York, - The index of factory employment in New York State decreased from 89.8 in April to 88.3 in May, according to the New York State Department of Labor; this was about ten p.c. lower than in May, 1929. Large declines as compared with April, 1930, took place in the metal and textile industries.

Illinois.- According to the Illinois Department of Labor, employment in all lines तecreas 1.4 p.c. and in manufacturing 1.7 p.c. during May o.s compared with April. The greatest losses were in the metal, machinery and conveyance, leather, chemical, ofl and paint groups, while there were gains in the food, ments clothing, printing and paper, and sane other industries.

Wisconsin.- According to "The Wisconsin Labor Market," the index of employment in mañfacturing in Wisconsin stood at 93.6 in April, as compared with 94.5 in March and wit! 101.4 in April, 1929.

Su: T:e "Relative Feight" ix Jable i, sows the propcrion of employees in tie indica'ea area to tine totel nuber of all employees reported in Canada on the date wider revietr.



| Juna |  | $\frac{\text { Canada }}{87.7}$ | Varifize Frevinces | $\frac{\text { Guebec }}{79.5}$ | $\frac{0 y t a r i c}{0.1}$ | Prairice Provinces | British $\frac{\text { Columbia }}{82.8}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Juns | 1. 1022 | 90.5 | 93.1 | 84.0 | 93.5 | 92.2 | 85.7 |
| June | 1, 1923 | 98.5 | 105.5 | 95.4 | 103.1 | 94.8 | 89.1 |
| June | 1. 1924 | 96.4 | 101.0 | 95.3 | 98.1 | 93.4 | 91.7 |
| June | 1. 1925 | 95.6 | 101.3 | 95.9 | 95.6 | 92.4 | 94.5 |
| June | 1. 1926 | 102.2 | 98.7 | 103.7 | 201.4 | 102.8 | 103.5 |
| Jan. | 1, 1927 | 95.9 | 101.8 | 95.6 | 96.8 | 99.9 | 87.7 |
| Feb. | 1 | 96.6 | 98:3 | 95.3 | 98.3 | 96.4 | 90.8 |
| Mex. | 1 | 97.5 | 97.4 | 96.2 | 100,1 | 95.2 | 93.0 |
| ATr. | $?$ | 97.4 | 97.8 | 94.6 | 100.4 | 94.1 | 96.1 |
| isay | - | 101.8 | 100.5 | 100.6 | 1.04. 5 | 99.0 | 99.4 |
| june | 1 | 107.2 | 103.5 | 107.5 | 108,1 | 106.5 | 105.5 |
| J゙リ | 1 | 109.7 | 112.8 | 109.6 | 108.9 | 110.7 | 109.1 |
| Aug. | 1 | 110.5 | 3132 | 109.8 | 109.2 | 114.0 | 110.0 |
| Sept. | 1 | 11.. 0 | 112.2 | 110.5 | 110.5 | 114.4 | 108.? |
| Oct. | 1 | 110.7 | 108.1 | 110.0 | 111.1 | 111.7 | 107.8 |
| Not. | 1 | 108.8 | 100.1 | 110.2 | 109.8 | 110.7 | 104.2 |
| Dec. | 1 | 108.1 | 99.1 | 110.1 | 109.1 | 210.8 | 101.0 |
| Jas. | 1. 1928 | 100.7 | 97.1 | 99.6 | 101.9 | 107.5 | 91.4 |
| Feb . | 1 | 102.0 | 97.0 | 101.6 | 104.9 | 303.2 | 93.5 |
| Mar. | 1 | 102.6 | 97.5 | 100.9 | 105.3 | 101.8 | 97.0 |
| Apr. | 1 | 102.3 | 98.5 | 99.2 | 106.0 | 101.9 | 100.0 |
| May | J. | 106.8 | 101,3 | 103.0 | 110.1 | 108. 5 | 105.4 |
| June | 1 | 113.8 | 107.2 | 110.7 | 115.5 | 121.5 | 109.9 |
| Tuly | 1 | $11 \%$. 7 | 116.2 | 213.6 | 117.7 | 129.8 | 114.0 |
| Aus. | 1 | 119.3 | 117.0 | 114.1 | 118.9 | 132.5 | 116.4 |
| Sept. | 1 | 119.1 | 115.4 | 1157 | 119,5 | 127.8 | 115.5 |
| oct. | 1 | 118.8 | 114.9 | 114.3 | 120.4 | 126.4 | $1 i 4.0$ |
| Nov. | 1 | 118.9 | 109.5 | 114.8 | 121.9 | 128.6 | 112.1 |
| Der. | 1 | 116.7 | 108.1 | 112.6 | 119.7 | 125.3 | 107.9 |
| Jan. | 1. 1929 | 109.1 | 103.3 | 103.3 | 113.8 | 116.6 | 100.4 |
| $F \in \mathrm{~b}$. | 1 | 110.5 | 104.6 | 105.9 | 117.0 | 113.1 | 96.4 |
| Mar. | 1 | 111.4 | 106.8 | 104.7 | 118.4 | 112.3 | 103.7 |
| Apr. | 1 | 110.4 | 107.5 | 201.1 | 117.4 | 113.9 | 106.0 |
| May | 1 | ?16.2 | 705.3 | 107.3 | 123.8 | 119.7 | 111.6 |
| June | 1. | 122.2 | 112.5 | 115.9 | 125.2 | 132.4 | 117.5 |
| July | 1 | 124.7 | 11\%.9 | 119.4 | 127.2 | 136.7 | 118.2 |
| Aus. | 1 | 12.18 | 127.5 | 222.3 | 128.0 | 144.8 | 122.7 |
| Sept. | 1 | 126.8 | 127.3 | 120.5 | 125.9 | 143.3 | 121.5 |
| oct. | 1 | 125.6 | -23-7 | 120.2 | 3.2 . 4 | 134.2 | 118.2 |
| Hov . | 1 | 124.5 | 124.6 | 122. 3 | 126.5 | 129.5 | 113.9 |
| Dec. | 1 | $119 . ?$ | 113.3 | i18.4 | 123.1 | 119.0 | 108.3 |
| Jan. | 1, 1930 | illn2 | 113.6 | 107. 1 \% | 116.1 | 117.0 | 99.1 |
| Feb. | 1 | 111.6 | -112.? | 208.2 | 11. 11 | 109.5 | 99.9 |
| Ner. | 1 | 210.2 | 110.2 | 105.6 | 115.5 | 105.3 | 104.2 |
| Apr. | 1 | 107.8 | 7.07 .0 | 903.7 | 112.7 | 103.2 | 106.0 |
| Mav | 1 | 211.4 | 113.1 | 105.1 | 115.7 | 199.2 | $110 . \%$ |
| June | 1 | 116.5 | 122.L | 174.5 | 217.8 | 115.3 | 113.3 |

Relative Weigint of Enployment by Distoicts as at iune 1, 1930.
100.0
8.1
28.5
42.3
13.4
8.7
：3．：The＂Relative Teight＂in Table 2 shows the proportion of employees in the indicated city to the total number of all employees reported in Canada on the date under review．

Table 2．－INDEX NUMBERS OF BMPLOMMMT BY PRTNCIPAL CITIES， （AVERAGE CALEMDAAT YRA己 1926：1C0）．

|  | Montreal | Quebec | Toronto | Ottama | Haminton | Tindsor | Winnipeg | Vancouver |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June 1， 1922 | 87.0 | － | 95.7 | － |  | － | 95.1 | 84.1 |
| Jure 1， 1923 | 96.6 | － | 99.3 | 116.7 | 99.6 | $\sim$ | 89.2 | 82.0 |
| Juno 2，192！ | 97.4 | － | 94.1 | 105.2 | 87.5 | － | 85.6 | 86.3 |
| June ？，19：5 | 96.8 | 96.8 | 95.8 | 106.6 | 88.3 | － | 87.5 | 89.3 |
| June $\therefore . .926$ | 104.5 | 90.3 | 99．？ | 7.85 .9 | 101．1 | 111.1 | 99.2 | 99.7 |
| Jan．］， 1927 | 93.7 | 102.0 | 99.7 | 93.0 | 98.0 | 57.5 | 101.6 | 92.9 |
| Teb， 1 | 9．5 | 98.3 | 99.3 | 95.0 | 98.0 | 96.4 | 99.8 | 96.4 |
| M心．${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 95.8 | 99.9 | 99.6 | 95.0 | 99.1 | 102.4 | 99.4 | 99.1 |
| Apze 1 | 98.0 | 102.6 | 102.5 | 98.1 | 101.4 | 77.1 | 98.6 | 99.4 |
| May 1 | 101.9 | 105.3 | 105.3 | 108.5 | 102．5 | 99.1 | 99.5 | 10：． 4 |
| June 1 | 104.5 | 110.9 | 107.0 | 111.5 | 105.5 | 98.5 | 101.3 | 103.7 |
| July 1 | 106.3 | 114.0 | 107.7 | 115.2 | 105.1 | 82.7 | 104.4 | 106.1 |
| Aiag． 1 | 106.2 | 116.7 | 107.8 | 117.7 | 107.1 | 85.8 | 106.0 | 104.6 |
| Sept．？ | 107.8 | 119.9 | 109.3 | 117.7 | 103．3 | 86.2 | 109.9 | 102.8 |
| Oct． 1 | 108.6 | 121.8 | 110.2 | 117.8 | 103.5 | 83.0 | 108.7 | 103.0 |
| rov． 1 | 109.4 | 123.9 | 109.5 | 113.1 | 106.3 | 81.4 | 108.2 | 99.7 |
| Dec． 1 | 108.7 | 119.9 | 110.5 | 108.3 | 107.3 | 84.2 | 111.5 | 98.7 |
| Jan．1， 1928 | 98.6 | 109.3 | 105.1 | 105.1 | 96.8 | 83.1 | 109.2 | 94.2 |
| Feb． 1 | 100.3 | 110.9 | 105.4 | 105.6 | 102.7 | 88.8 | 102.1 | 95.7 |
| Var． 1 | 101.0 | 106.3 | 106.4 | 105.2 | 201.7 | 103.4 | 101.9 | 99.0 |
| Apr． 1 | 101.8 | 107.9 | 107.7 | 105.6 | 103.0 | 124.9 | 103.9 | 100.5 |
| Nay 1 | 105.9 | 112.8 | 110.2 | 120.8 | 104.8 | 136.4 | 108.7 | 104.8 |
| June 1 | 109.7 | 117.0 | 112.7 | 118.4 | 109.0 | 247.3 | 110.7 | 107.4 |
| Jul 1 | 110.4 | 131.6 | 112.8 | 123.0 | 109.0 | 150.2 | i10．9 | 107.6 |
| Av3． 1 | 112.1 | 130.2 | 113.6 | 126.1 | 111.8 | 165.0 | 111.2 | 111.7 |
| Sept． 1 | 115.7 | 132.7 | 114.3 | 124.9 | 113.7 | 175.5 | 115.0 | 111.1 |
| Oct． 1 | 114.3 | 131.0 | 117.0 | 119.8 | 112.7 | 174.8 | 115.8 | 110.6 |
| NTov． 1 | 115.1 | 126.6 | 119.3 | 118.9 | 115.7 | 155.9 | 115.4 | 106.6 |
| Dec． 1 | 113.0 | 122.1 | 120.5 | 115.1 | 118.0 | 142.5 | 116.8 | 102.8 |
| Jan．1，1¢29 | 104.6 | 114.7 | 115.5 | 107.8 | 116.7 | 137.5 | 109.9 | 102.9 |
| Teu． 1 | 105.9 | 114.3 | 115.9 | 110.3 | 120.3 | 159.6 | 108.1 | 100.4 |
| Mar． 1 | 107.5 | 112.8 | 116.6 | 109.4 | 123.5 | 168.5 | 107.6 | 104.5 |
| Apr． 1 | 108.2 | 116.2 | 118.6 | 111.2 | 126.1 | 177.3 | 108.0 | 107.7 |
| May 1 | 114.2 | 117.1 | 120.7 | 123.7 | 230.6 | 189.5 | 110.9 | 109.9 |
| June 1 | 119.3 | 122.0 | 122.1 | 127.8 | 133.1 | 168.3 | 111.5 | 110.9 |
| Juxy 1 | 120.3 | 128.8 | 123.7 | 128.4 | 133.9 | 156.0 | 114.0 | 112.8 |
| Aus． 1 | 122.4 | 135.8 | 122.9 | 128.3 | 135.8 | 142.0 | 117.3 | 114.1 |
| Sept．1 | 120.2 | 136.5 | 125.0 | 125.9 | 131．1 | 143.4 | 115.5 | 114.7 |
| Oct． 1 | 120.5 | 131.7 | 126.3 | 127.9 | 230.5 | 138.4 | 115.1 | 111.7 |
| こov． 1 | 121.8 | 133.6 | 125.0 | 225.0 | 230.4 | 134.9 | 115.8 | 111.6 |
| Dec． 1 | 117.1 | 127.1 | 122.9 | 121.8 | 128.7 | 123.5 | 113.8 | 109.4 |
| Jan．1， 1330 | 107.2 | 123.4 | 117.6 | 119.1 | 123.8 | 116.5 | 109.9 | 104.2 |
| Feo． 1 | 109.5 | 112.5 | 116.4 | 115.4 | 122.8 | 128.1 | 106.9 | 107.2 |
| Mar． 1 | 108.7 | 110.0 | 115.9 | 116.0 | 120.4 | 135.7 | 104.6 | 108.3 |
| Arr． 1 | 109.2 | 111.7 | 116.5 | 116.2 | 120.4 | 240.9 | 103.4 | 110.4 |
| Vay 1 | 110.8 | 115.3 | 117.8 | 125.3 | 118.4 | 150.5 | 105.7 | 110.8 |
| June 1 | 116.6 | 122.3 | 218.5 | $=30.4$ | 158．0 | 149.4 | 107.1 | 210.8 |

Relative Teight of Employment by Cities as at June 1， 1930.
$\begin{array}{llllllll}14.2 & 1.2 & I 2.3 & 1.2 & 3.5 & 1.8 & 3.2 & 2.9\end{array}$

3．6／6／30． 2 ．


Note:- The "Relative Weight" in Table 3 shows the proportion of employees in the indicated industry to the total number of all employees reported in Canada on the date under review.

Table 3.- INDEX NUNBERS OF BMPLOYNENT BY INDUSTRIES,


Relative weight of employment by Industries as at June 1, 1930.
$\begin{array}{llllllllll}100.0 & 53.5 & 2.4 & 5.0 & 3.0 & 12.2 & 12.9 & 2.3 & 8.6\end{array}$


CAOEE 5.- IXDEX NMBES OE EMPLOYMENY BY ECONO:IC AREAS AND INDUSTRIES (ATERAGF 10?6=700) Areas and Industries

1/Relative June May June June June June June

Waritme Piovinces-Manuracturing
Lumber prodicts
Pulp and paper
Textile moducts
Iron and steel
other manufactures
Logging
Mining
Communications
Transportation
Construction
Services
Trade
Waritime Provinces-All Industries
Quebec

Quebec -
Cntario
Ontario -
Prairi Piovinces-

Prairie Piovinces-
Nanufacturing
Lumber products
Pulp and paper
Textile products
Iron and steel
other manufactures

## Logsing

Miniag
Communications
Transportation
Construction
Services

## Trade

All Industries
Manufacturing
Lumber products
Pulp and paper
Textile products
Iron and steel
Other manufactures
Logsing
Mining
Communications
Transportation
Construction
Services
Trade
All Industries
Manufacturing
Lumber products
Pulp and paper
Textile products
Iron and steel
Otizer manufactures
Logging
Mining
Communications
Transportation
Construction
Services
Trade
Prairie Provinces- All Industries
British Columbia - Manufacturing
Lumber products
Pulp and paper
Textile products
Iron and steel
Other manufactures
Losging
Mining
Communications
Transportation
Construction
Services
mrade

British Columbia - All Industries
$35.2 \quad 114.8 \quad 107.9117 .4109 .0112 .6105 .0108 .8$
 $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}5.4 & 167.1 & 165.4 & 117.1 & 110.5 & 120.2 & 00.4 & 07.3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllll}3.9 & 87.4 & 87.9 & 55.5 & 93.2 & 105.6 & 1.01 .7 & 100 \mathrm{~A}\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}11.1 & 114.1 & 119.3 & 131.3 & 112.1 & 104.3 & 97.9 & 99.7\end{array}$ 9.4113 .1104 .0116 .4113 .5118 .3114 .0116 .9 $\begin{array}{llllllll}2.4 & 108.8 & 41.1 & 81.5 & 71.3 & 42.3 & 214.7 & 55.0\end{array}$ $20.1111 .3108 .4107 .4 \quad 109.7103 .1 \quad S S .1103 .8$ $2.9120 .0 \quad 111.4111 .9106 .4102 .0 \quad 97.5101 .3$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}15.6 & 116.3 & 130.5 & 90.8 & 95.7 & 83.7 & 103.7 & 79.5\end{array}$ $17.3179 .8 \quad 127.3 \quad 140.6 \quad 117.3104 .0 \quad 73.7 \quad 115.0$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1.0 & 156.6 & 132.8 & 142.1 & 04.6 & 92.2 & 97.3 & 88.3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}5.5 & 117.7 & 117.1 & 113.5 & 105.9 & 98.9 & 97.9 & 99.9\end{array}$
 $60.1114 .3111 .4116 .0111 .8106 .3101 .4 \quad 96.0$ $3.8 \quad 109.8 \quad 90.3116 .2110 .8 \quad 115.0 \quad 102.9104 .9$ $8.3105 .9104 .3110 .0 \quad 113.5107 .3102 .3 \quad 93.0$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllll}12.6 & 103.6 & 106.0 & 106.6 & 110.4 & 108.2 & 99.3 & 97.2\end{array}$
$13.0117 .3116 .1124 .1111 .6103 .5107 .5 \quad 95.3$
$22.4124 .2 \quad 119.4119 .4112 .5104 .9 \quad 98.2 \quad 95.1$
$3.4119 .8 \quad 64.4112 .5105 .7125 .4149 .5134 .5$

$2.6 \quad 115.5116 .9125 .1 \quad 109.2 \quad 106.8 \quad 99.6 \quad 98.1$
$11.8104 .2 \quad 90.7116 .2111 .3110 .0107 .3107 .5$




$62.7112 .7113 .1125 .4114 .0107 .2101 .7 \quad 94.1$
$\begin{array}{llll}5.6 & 110.5 & 99.9 & 125.5\end{array} 111.9112 .5114 .2 \quad 111.5$
$6.9110 .2111 .5114 .2110 .8 \quad 107.3 \quad 08.7 \quad 94.7$



$\begin{array}{llllllll}1.2 & 59.4 & 45.0 & 62.2 & 57.0 & 53.2 & 58.2 & 72.5\end{array}$
$3.4151 .3149 .2138 .4134 .8111 .9 \quad 94.9 \quad 104.2$
$3.1119 .5118 .0121 .4105 .8105 .4101 .8 \quad 90.9$
$8.9108 .5108 .1114 .6108 .5107 .4101 .9 \quad 09.9$

$2.2 \quad 158.6 \quad 157.8 \quad 145.6 \quad 123.1 \quad 105.7 \quad 100.9 \quad 97.2$
$8.1130 .5130 .8 \quad 128.0 \quad 114.0 \quad 102.7 \quad 97.1 \quad 97.1$
$100.0 \quad 117.8 \quad 115.7126 .2 \quad 115.5 \quad 10 \tilde{3} .1101 .4 \quad 95.6$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}31.2 & 117.5 & 117.5 & 123.7 & 114.1 & 102.9 & 99.4 & 95.4\end{array}$

$3.0111 .7113 .9109 .7121 .4115 .5 \quad 39.8 \quad 97.3$ $\begin{array}{llllllll}1.5 & 95.8 & 104.5 & 120.9 & 118.2 & 09.8 & 87.5 & 80.3\end{array}$ $12.5108 .3111 .9118 .7105 .7 \quad 96.9 \quad 99.6 \quad 94.0$
$11.4129 .9125 .2128 .3119 .4103 .4 \quad 98.5 \quad 96.0$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}.3 & 36.8 & 32.9 & 47.5 & 32.9 & 39.5 & 3 & +.3 & 29.0\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllll}5.5 & 91.9 & 92.2 & 102.8 & 98.7 & 96.5 & 86.0 & 78.4\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllll}3.4 & 119.0 & 111.8 & 120.3 & 108.2 & 97.5 & 99.8 & 26.4\end{array}$
$20.2 \quad 108.7104 .0121 .8 \quad 109.3104 .4 \quad 94.7 \quad 89.8$ 19.4124 .8100 .3188 .3176 .3124 .017 .3100 .9
$3.3140 .5118 .3 \quad 150.2140 .0 \quad 117.5104 .4103 .8$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}16.7 & 122.4 & 121.0 & 123.0 & 113.8 & 107.5 & 96.1 & 38.8\end{array}$ $100.0 \quad 115.8 \quad 109.2132 .4121 .5 \quad 106.5102 .8 \quad 92.4$
$41.1111 .7109 .4115 .3105 .8 \quad 1.06 .1101 .0 \quad 92.8$
$14.6100 .4 \quad 99.4111 .8102 .0 \quad 101.6107 .3100 .9$



$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}15.2 & 122.2 & 117.4 & 122.8 & 113.6 & 113.7 & 95.4 & 86.1\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}7.6 & 98.3 & 98.3 & 117.3 & 108.6 & 93.8 & 107.1 & 00.1\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllll}8.3 & 92.9 & 93.0 & 102.9 & 98.6 & 95.8 & 97.4 & 108.2\end{array}$

$13.1 \quad 108.0 \quad 108.0 \quad 111.2 \quad 105.8 \quad 105.1$ 103.8 104.7
$14.7 \quad 147.4133 .1146 .3138 .6 \quad 119.7122 .7 \quad 96.1$
$3.4116 .1115 .2 \quad 116.3107 .4100 .9 \quad 98.2 \quad 94.0$
$\begin{array}{rllllllll}8.0 & 115.0 & 118.2 & 118.8 & 113.5 & 108.5 & 96.1 & 88.9 \\ 00.0 & 113.3 & 110.7 & 117.5 & 10.0 & 105.5 & -03.5 & 94.5\end{array}$
I/ Fropurtion of employees in indicated industiry in an area to the total numbir of employees reported in that area by tine firms making returns.


Tancouver - All Industries $\quad 100.0 \quad 110.8 \quad 110.5110 .9 \quad 107.4103 .7 \quad 99.7$ ento ores reported in that city by the fims making returns.
$26: 3,3 B$

