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Chart 1.- Mmployment in Canada as Reported by Employers in Industries other than Agriculture, 1921-1932.


The fight curve is based upon the number of persons employed on the first day of the month by the firms reporting, compared with the average employment they afforded in the calender year 1926 as 100 . The heavy curve shows this crudo curve corrected for seasonal variation as determined by the experience of the last decade.
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The Dominion Bureau of Statistics tabulated reports from 7,882 firms who reported practically no general change in employment on May 1 ; the payrolls of these employers aggregated 799,944 persons, as compared with 799,544 in the preceding month. This increase was not sufficiently large to alter the Index number for the preceding month, which on May 1, as on Apr. 1, stood at 87.5. as compared with 102.2 at the beginning of May of last year. On Nay in in the ten preceding years, the index was as follows, 1930, 111.4; 1929, 116.2; 1928, 106.8; $1927,101.8 ; 1926,95.4 ; 1925,91.9 ; 1924,92.9 ; 1923,92.5 ; 1922,84.3$ and 1921, 85.1. The base used in calculating these index numbers is tie average for the calendar year 1926.

Construction, transportation and trade recorded the greatest improvement, while there were also gains in logging and services. On the other hand, there was seasonal curtailment in coal mining; manufacturing was quieter, and highway construction showed reductions as a result of the cossation of unemployment relief works in some districts.

## MMPLO KMENT S ECONOMIC AREAS.

Helghtened activity was feported in Quebec, the Prairie Provinces and British Columbla, while tine level of employment in the Maritime provinces and Ontario was lower than on Apr. 1.

Meritime Provinces. - Further but smaller reductions in staffs were indicated in the Maritime Provinces, where 599 firms reported 60,419 employees, or 300 fewer than in their last return. This loss compared unfavourably with the gain noted on May 1 of last year, when the index was some 16 points higher. Manufacturing, coal mining, transportation and railmay construction showed curtailment on the date under revtew; the decrease in the first named took place mainly in pulp and paper and iron and steel plants, while fish-preserving factories were seasonally active. Logging, bullding and hiehmay construction and hotels and restaurants recorded important gains.

Quebec.- Conditions improved in Quebec, according to 1,868 employers Hith 231,109 workers, as against 228,219 in the preceding month. Large increases 7ere reported in transportation and bullding construction, while trade and logging were also busier, the latter on account of river drives. On the other hand, manufacturing, notably of metal products, recorded reduced employment, and highmay and railmay anstruction also shomed declines. Frmployment was in less volume than on the corresponding date of a year ago, when the advance indicated was on a much greater scale.

Ontario.- Largely owing to curtallment in iron and steel plants and construction, (the latter as a result of the cessation of unemployment relief rorls on the hightays), there was, on the rhole, a falling-off in employment in Ontario; transportation, trade and building construction, horever, reported improvement. A general advance had been indicated at the beginning of April of last year, Then the index mas higher. The morking forces of the 3,518 firms co-operating on May 1, 1932, aggrogated 334,258 employees, comared mith 339,857 on Apr. 1.


Prairie Provinces. - Manufacturing, logging and mining shomed lomered activity; in the first named group, a large share of the decline occurred in iron and stoel factories. On the other hand, communications, construction (particularly on the railuys), and trade recorded improvement. Statements mere tabulated from 1,128 employers mhose staffs rose from 105,106 persons on Apr. 1, to 107,067 on the date under review. This advance was smaller than that registered at the beginning of May, 1931, when the index was higher than on the date under revier.

British Columbia.- The increase in British Columbia was not so extensive as in the spring of last yoar, thon tho indox mas many points higher. An aggregato payroll of 67.091 workers was indicated by the 769 firms furniaking data, who had 65,633 omployeos in the preceding month. Logging, highray and railvay construction moro decidedly busier, but manufacturing, (especially of pulp and paper and metal products), and transportation were not so active, while other groups showed little genoral change.

Tables 1 and 5 give index numbers by economic aseas.

## MMPLOYNEN BY CITIES.

Quebec City and Ottarra showed improvement, but the tendency was dommard in Toronto, Hemilton, Windsor and the adjacent Border Cities, Winnipeg and Vancouver, thile Montreal firms, on the whole, indicated practically no change.

Montreal. - Transportation recorded a considerable soasonal advance in Montreal, and trade and building construction mere al so busier, tat manufacturing; notably of 1 ron and steel products, and road construction afforded less amployment. The 1,053 co-operating employers, tho reported 133,833 persons on their payrolls, shomed no general change. The level of employment mas lower than on May 1, 1931, when geins had boen indicated.

Quebec. - Statements mere tabulated from 142 firms 71 th 12,637 employees; as against 12,321 on 1 pr . 1. Most of the fmprovement took place in shipping and construction, thile other groups shomed only slight gemeral changes. The increase involvod a rather smaller number of morters than that recorded on May 1, 1931, when the index was higher.

Toronto.- Building construction, trade and transportatwon reported increases in personnel in Foronto, but manufacturing and highway construction were slacker. According to data furnished by 1,174 employers, their payrolls aggregated 115,695 persons, as compared With 115,941 at the beginning of April. Expansion had been Indicated on May 1 of last year, and emoloyment was then in greater volume.
ottawi. - Construction and transportation registered inprovement in ottawa, but manufacturing was quieter; the geréral advance in the city involved a smaller number of persons than that noted at the beginning of May, 1931. Statistics were tabulated from 155 firms employing 12,940 persons, compared with $12,82 e$ on Apr. 1 ، The index was lower than in the spring of last year.

Mamilton.- 1 combined working force of 27,438 persons was reported by the 238 co-operating establisiments, who had 27,599 employees on Apr. 1. Menufacturing was slacker, while construction and transportation showed increased activity. Bmployment was at a lower level than in the same month of 1931, although a greater recession had bhen been indicated.

Windsor and the Adjacent Border Cities, - Moderate curtallment was show in the Border Cities, chiefly in automobile factories. Returns mere tabulated from 136 firms mith 11,292 workers, compered with 11,497 in the preceding month. An increase in personnel had boen indicated by the concerns reporting on May 1, 1931, and employment was then at a higher level.

Tinnipeg. - Retail trade was brisker, and improvement also took place in transportation, but manufacturing, chiefly of iron and steel products, shomed an important contraction. The 379 co-operating employers had 35,403 persons on their payrolls, compared with 35,642 on Apr. 1. Fmployment was in less volume than on the same date of last year, when a slight decline had also been noted.


Vancouver.- Construction showed an Increase in Vancouver, but manufacturing was not so active, according to 333 firms who employed an aggregate working force of 27,320 persons, compared with 27,404 on Apr. 2 . The index was lower than at the beginning of May of a year ago, additions to staff having tien been reported.

Index numbers by cities are given in Tables 2 and 6.

## IMPLOMMENI BY IIDUSTRIES.

Manufacturing. - Imployment in manufactures showed a decline at the beginning of May; 4, 847 establishments reported 424,030 workers, compared with 430,610 in the preceding month. There were advances in lumber mills, fish-packing and other food, building material, leather, mineral product and tobacco and beverage factories. On the other hand, nulo and pener, rubber, textile, electric current, electrical aparatus, non-ferrous metal and iron and steel works recorded reductions, those in the last named being most pronounced. Imployment was in smaller volume than on May 1, 1931, when improvenient had been noted.

Logging.- Largely owing to river-driving operations in Quebec, there was an increase in logging on May 1 ; the 231 co-operating firms employed 8,934 men or 352 more than on Apr. 1. Greater gains wore registered at the beginning of May, 1931, when the index was higher.

Mining.- Returns were received from 228 mine operators with 44,187 persons in their employ, compared with 45,640 in the preceding month. Coal mines were seasonally slacker, and metallic ore mines also stowed declines, but quarrying and other non-motallic mineral mines were rather more active. moployment was in smaller volume than on May 1, 1931; the reductions also notod in mining at that time had involved fewer workers.

Cormunications.- 1 slight gain was incicated in comunications, in minich the levels of cmployment was 10 er than on the sane date of last year. The co-operating branches and companfes reported an aggregate werling force of 24,421 persons, or 42 more than at the beginning of April.

Transporthtion- Shiping and stevedoring affordod greatly increase employment, while local transportation and steam railway operation were also brisker. The general gains mere rathor more pronounced than those of May 1, 193i, but the index Tas then many points higher. A combined moriling force of 99,313 employees mas registered by the 351 companies and divisional superintendents making roturns, tho had 96,470 in their last report.

Construction and Maintenance. - Building and railmay construction bionod considerable improvement, but the conclusion of certain unemolovment rellef undertakings causod the release of a large number of men from work on the highays., The net increase in amployment in the construction group was gnaller than that recorded on May 1, 1931, whon the index was higher tinan on the date under reviem. Data mere complled for May 1, from 1,016 employers, 7hose staiffs aggregated 94,391 persons, or 3,680 more than on 1 1pr. $1,1932$.

Services.- The service group, notably the laundry, cleaning and dyoing division, recorded helgitened activity, according to statements from 285 establishments mploying 21,569 porkers, as aeainst 21,467 in their last report. The situation was not so favourable as at the beginning of May in 1931, when greater gains had boen indicated.

Trade. - Further aciditions to personnel were shom in trade, in which 845 employers enlarged their forces by 1,414 persons to 83,099 on the date under review. The index mas lomer than at the cormencement of liay of last year, although the increases then recorded had been on a scanaller scale. The fmprovement on the date under reviev took place cifofly in retail trade, but wholesale est ciolishments al so showed heightenod activity.

Index numers by industries are given in Tables 3 and 4, while Chart 3 shons the course of emploment in four of the leading industrial groups; the curves are based upon the number of workers mployed by the revorting firms at the boginning of the montin, as compared Ath the average number on their payrolls during the colendar year 1926 as 100 .


## EMPLOMMMNI IN CREIT BRITAIN.

Bnployment during March showed a substantial improvement, according to The Ministry of Labour Gazette; among the approximately 12,000,000 7orkers insured agninst unemployment in Great Britain and Northern Ireland, the percentage anemployed In all industries mas 20.8 on Mart 21, 1932, as compared with 22.0 on Feb. 22, 1932, and 21.5 on Mar. 23, 1931. Recent press reports, homever, state that there was an increase of 84,849 in the number of persons unemployed on $\Lambda p r$. 25 , as compared $\begin{aligned} & \text { ith }\end{aligned}$ Mar. 21, 1932.

## FMPLOMMENT IN THE UNITRD STATES.

(These notes are based on the latest official reports recelved).
Broployment in the United States decreased 2.7 p.c. In April as compared With March, according to returns tabulated by the United States Bureau of Labor Statistics from 63,421 establishment in in 16 mafor industrial groups, having in April 4,513,853 amployees. Increased employment was reported in canning and preserving, building, construction, crude petroleum, quarrying and non-metallic mining, dyeing and cleaning, electric railmay operation, retail trade and laundries. On the other hand, decreased activity mas indicated in the telephone and telegraph, power and light, wholesale trade, hotel, manufacturing and metalliferous, anthracite and bituminous coal mining divisions. The index of employment in manufactures, based upon the monthly average for 1926 as 100 , stood at 62.2, compared $\pi 1$ th 64.5 in March, 1932, and 75.7 in April, 1931. Within this group, the food, textile, metal, leather, tobacco, paper and printing, transportation equipment and rubber industries showed curtailment.

New York. - There was a decrease of 3.6 p.c. In factory employment in New York State fram March to April, according to the State Department of Labor. There were losses in metal and machinery; clothing and millinery, food and tobacco, stone, clay and glass, mood, fur and rubber, textile and some other groups. A survey of conditions in the construction industry was also made; this showed an increase in employment of nine p.c.

Massachusetts.- Returns received from the Nassachusetta Department of Labor and Industries from 1,065 representative establishments elow that they ermioyed 150,107 persons in April, as compared with 164,700 in March, a decrease of $8.9 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{c}$. Boot and shoe, cotton, woollen and worsted and electrical machinery, apparatus and supply plants showed the greatest losses.

Illinols.- According to the State Department of Labor, exployment in Illinois showed a reduction of $6.0 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{c}$. in April as compared mith March, there being losses in both the manufacturing and the non-manufacturing industries. Declines Fere reported in stone, clay and glass, motal, machinery and conveyance, wood products, leather, textile, clothing and milinery and food factories, and in trade, services and cool mining, Thile construction was seasonally more active. The aituation in mining was affected by the expiration of the wage agreement between operators and minera.

Wisconsin. - Factory employnent in Wisconsin was 0.8 p.c. higher in March than in February, but 7as lower than in March, 1931, according to "The Wisconsin Labor Market". There were gains in the metal, paper, textile, food, chemical and stone and allied industries, but losses in wood and printing and publishing plants. The index in manufacturing, based on the monthly average for 1925-6-7 as 100 , stood at 68.1 in March, 1932, as compared 71 th 67.6 in February and 78.2 in March, 1931. Among the non-manufacturing groups, highray construction, wholesale trade and some other divisions reported increased activity.


## Sation
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Note: The "Relative Woight" in Table 1, shows the proportion of employees in the indicated area to the total number of all employees reported in Canada on the dace under revied.

TABLE 1. - INDEX NUYBTRS OT EMPLOYMENT BY ECONOMIC AREAS,
(AVERAGE CALENDAR YEAR 1926=100).

|  | Cenada | Moritime Frovinces | Quebec | Ontario | Prairie <br> Provinces | British Columbia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May 1, 1921 | 85. 7 | - $98 . \overline{2}$ | 77.0 | 89.0 | -86,0 | 79.9 |
| May I, 1922 | 84.3 | 92.4 | 77.4 | 87.8 | 85.0 | 81.0 |
| Xay -, 1923 | 92.5 | 1.01.0 | 86.1 | 97.6 | 89.8 | 86.4 |
| May -, 1924 | 92,9 | 98.9 | 89.7 | 95.6 | 88.7 | 91.2 |
| May $1,1,25$ | 91.9 | 97.2 | 89.8 | 93,4 | 87.4 | 93.3 |
| Nay 1, 1926 | 95.4 | cla 1 | 94.4 | 96.3 | 91.8 | 100.7 |
| May 1, 1927 | 101.8 | 100.5 | 100.6 | 104. 5 | 99.0 | 99.4 |
| May 1, 1928 | 106.8 | 101.3 | 103.0 | 110.1 | 108.5 | 105.4 |
| Jan. 1, 1929 | 109.1 | 103.3 | 103.3 | 113.8 | 116.6 | 100.4 |
| Feb . 1 | 110.5 | 104.5 | 105.9 | 117.0 | 113.1 | 96.4 |
| Mar. 1 | 111.4 | 106.8 | 104.7 | 118.4 | 112.3 | 103.7 |
| Apr. 1 | 110.4 | 107.5 | 101.1 | 117.4 | 113.9 | 106.0 |
| May 1 | 116.2 | 108.3 | 107.5 | 123.8 | 119.7 | 111.6 |
| June 1 | 122.2 | 112.5 | 115.9 | 126.2 | 132.4 | 117.5 |
| July 1 | 124.7 | 117.9 | 119.4 | 127.2 | 136.7 | 118.2 |
| Aug. 1 | 127.8 | 127.5 | 121.3 | 128.0 | 144.8 | 122.7 |
| Sept. 1 | 126.8 | 127.3 | 120.5 | 126.9 | 143.3 | 121.5 |
| Oct. 1 | 125.6 | 223.7 | 120.2 | 128.4 | 134.2 | 118.2 |
| Nov. 1 | 124.6 | 124.6 | 122.8 | 126.5 | 129.5 | 113.9 |
| Dec. 1 | 119.1 | 113.3 | 118.4 | 123.1 | 119.0 | 108.3 |
| Jan. 1, 1930 | $111 . ?$ | i13.6 | 107.4 | 116.1 | 111.0 | 99.1 |
| Feb . | 111.6 | 112.1 | 108.2 | 117.1 | 109.8 | 99.9 |
| Mar. 1 | 110.2 | 110.2 | 106.6 | 115.6 | 105.3 | 104.2 |
| Apr. 1 | 107.8 | 107.8 | 103.7 | 112.7 | 103.2 | 106.0 |
| May 1 | 111.4 | 113.1 | 106.1 | 115.7 | 109.2 | 110.7 |
| Juile 1 | 116.5 | 122.4 | 114.5 | 117.8 | 115.8 | 113.3 |
| july 1 | 118.9 | 141.2 | 116.8 | 116.9 | 120.4 | 113.5 |
| Aug. 1 | 118.8 | 140.9 | 114.7 | 115.7 | 126.2 | 115.8 |
| Sept. 1 | 116.6 | 1.22 .5 | 113.6 | 113.6 | 129.8 | 114.6 |
| Oct. 1 | 116.2 | 116.2 | 113.0 | 114.6 | 130.0 | 112.1 |
| Now. 1 | 112.9 | 110.1 | 111.9 | 111.6 | 125.8 | 105.4 |
| Dec. 1 | 108.5 | 109.5 | 206.7 | 108.2 | 118.6 | 100.0 |
| Jen. 1, 1931 | 101.7 | 119.3 |  | 100.1 | 106.4 | 94.1 |
| Feb. 1 | 100.7 | 110.6 | 98.8 | 101.7 | 101.0 | 93.8 |
| Mar. 1 | 200.2 | 104. 5 | 99.7 | 101.6 | 98.6 | 93.8 |
| Apr. 1 | 99.7 | 102.3 | 98.5 | 102.4 | 97.7 | 92.4 |
| May 1 | 102.c | 104.0 | 102.3 | 103.8 | 100.0 | 96.1 |
| June 1 | 103.6 | 105.2 | 104.3 | 104.2 | 103.3 | 97.9 |
| July 1 | 103.8 | 109.4 | 103.2 | 102.7 | 108.9 | 97.9 |
| Aug. 1 | 105.2 | 106.8 | 102. 4 | 100.7 | 129.1 | 98.0 |
| Sept. 1 | 107.1 | 102. 7 | 109.8 | 100.7 | 130.0 | 96.8 |
| Oct. 1 | 103.9 | 1.02,6 | 101.6 | 99.3 | 129.1 | 95.9 |
| Nov. 1 | 103.0 | 116.6 | 96.2 | 98.1 | 128.2 | 98.9 |
| Dec. 1 | 99.1 | 112.7 | 94.7 | 99.3 | 106.0 | 90.5 |
| J29. 7, 1932 | 91.6 | 111.1 | 86.3 | 93.8 | 92.8 | 80.6 |
| Feb. 1 | 89.7 | 99.9 | 85.9 | 92.7 | 91.3 | 77.5 |
| Mar. 1 | 88.1 | 93.1 | 86.5 | 91.8 | 88.2 | 78.7 |
| Apr. 1 | 87.5 | 88.3 | 85.0 | 91.1 | 85.1 | 80.9 |
| May 1 | 87.5 | 87.8 | 86.0 | 89.5 | 87.6 | 82.7 |

Relative Weight of Bmployment by Districts as at May 1, 1932.
100.0
7.5
28.9
41.8
13.4
8.4
$\equiv$

```
Notef The "Reiativa Teightr in fiable 2 sluws the proportion of employees in the indicated city to the total number of all emplovees reported in Canada on the date under review.
```

TABIE 2.- IMDIY NUMBBRS O ERPLUMMNT EY PRINCIPAL CITIES
(AVERAGE CAUENDAR YEAR 1926=100).

| May 1, 1922 | 83.2 | . | 93.8 | - | - |  | 90.7 | 82.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May 2, 1923 | $90 . ?$ | - | 97.1 | 102.0 | 97.5 | - | 88.3 | 79.5 |
| May 1, 1924 | 93.5 | - | 34.5 | 104.7 | 90.9 | - | 84.9 | 88.5 |
| May 1, 1925 | 92.9 | 92.9 | ceio | 97.3 | 86.7 | - | 87.4 | 90.0 |
| May 1, 1926 | 97.? | 101.5 | 09.2 | 97.5 | 98.9 | 108.1 | 94.9 | 101.1 |
| May 1, 1927 | 101.3 | -05.3 | 205.3 | 105.5 | 102. 5 | 99, 1 | 99.5 | 101.4 |
| May 1, 1928 | 105.9 | 212.8 | 110.2 | 220 5 | j.01. 8 | 136.4 | 108.7 | 104.3 |
| Jan. 1, 1929 | 104.5 | 114.7 | 15.5.5 | 107.3 | 116.7 | 137.5 | 109.9 | 102.9 |
| Feb. 1 | 106.9 | $111+3$ | 22.503 | 21503 | 220.3 | $\bigcirc 59.5$ | 108.1 | 100.4 |
| Mar. 1 | 107.5 | 122.8 | 116.6 | 109.4 | 123.5 | 16\%.5 | 107.6 | 104.5 |
| Apr. 1 | 108.2 | $116 . ?$ | 118.6 | 111. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 126.1 | 177.3 | 108.0 | 107.7 |
| May 1 | 114.2 | 117.1 | 12.0 .7 | 123.7 | 130.6 | 189.5 | 110.9 | 109.9 |
| June 1 | 119.3 | 122.0 | 122.2 | 227.8 | 133.1 | 168.3 | 115.5 | 110.9 |
| July 1 | 120.3 | 128.8. | 123.7 | 123: | 133.9 | 156.0 | 114.0 | 112.8 |
| Aug. 1 | 122.4 | 135.8 | 122.9 | 128.3 | 135.8 | $11+2.0$ | 117.3 | 114.1 |
| Sept. 1 | 120.2 | 136.5 | 125,0 | 126.9 | 131.? | 1 13,14 | 115.5 | 114.7 |
| Oct. 1 | 120.5 | 131.7 | 126.? | 227.9 | 130.5 | 138.4 | 115.1 | 111.7 |
| Nov. 1 | 121.8 | 133.6 | 125.0 | 2.25.0 | $\underline{1} 30.4$ | 134.9 | 115.8 | 111.6 |
| Dec. 1 | 117.1 | 127.1 | 122.0 | 121.8 | 128.7 | 1.23 .5 | 113.8 | 109.4 |
| Jan. 1, 1930 | 107. ${ }^{2}$ | 123. | $1170{ }^{\circ}$ | 119.1 | 123.8 | 116.5 | 109.9 | 104.2 |
| Feb. 1 | 109.5 | 112.5 | 11.6 .4 | 115.4 | 122.8 | 128.1 | 106.9 | 107.2 |
| Mar. 1 | 108.7 | 110.0 | 17.5 .9 | 116.0 | 12.0 .4 | 136.7 | 104.6 | 108.3 |
| Apr. | 109.2 | 125. 7 | 116.5 | 116.2 | 120.4 | 140.9 | 103.4 | 110.4 |
| May 1 | 110.8 | 115.3 | 117.8 | 125.3 | 118.4 | 150.5 | 105.7 | 110.8 |
| June 1 | 116.6 | 122.3 | 1.18.5 | 130.4 | 118.0 | 149.4 | 107.1 | 110.8 |
| July 1 | 116.0 | 130. $=$ | 117.8 | 329.4 | 115.0 | 134.9 | 109.5 | 110.2 |
| Aug. 1 | 114.5 | 135.2 | 115.4 | 231.8 | 13.2 .6 | 120.8 | 110.3 | 111.7 |
| Sept. 1 | 113.2 | 138.5 | 114.7 | 125.6 | 105.6 | 121.2 | 110.7 | 114.0 |
| Oct. ! | 114.1 | 138.3 | 116.2 | 127.5 | 103.7 | 113.9 | 109.5 | 112.1 |
| Nov. 1 | 112.6 | 135.3 | 1:5.5 | 124.6 | 102.0 | 116.5 | 108.6 | 110.): |
| Dec. 1 | 108.5 | 128.0 | 113.8 | 216.0 | 104.6 | 113.6 | 104.3 | 107.4 |
| Jan. 1, 1931 | 102.4 | 127.0 | 107.5 | 112.6 | J.03.5 | 59.4 | 98.2 | 107.0 |
| Feb. 1 | 102.8 | 120.7 | 107. | 113.4 | 306.1 | 96.9 | 96.8 | 108.4 |
| Mar 1 | 105.1 | 123.3 | $107-5$ | 117.5 | 105.6 | 95.5 | 98.0 | 108.? |
| Apr. 1 | 106.2 | L22.2 | 109.5 | $12 \% .4$ | 109.8 | 104.2 | 97.3 | 101.9 |
| May 1 | 107.0 | 125.7 | 312.0. 4 | 323.2 | 108.0 | 105.5 | 97.1 | 104.6 |
| June 1 | 107.1 | 126.7 | 11.00 ? | 1.23 .4 | 105.9 | 99.5 | 98.8 | 106.9 |
| July 1 | 1.05 .1 | 122.2 | 1.09.0 | 121.0 | 98.4 | 94.2 . | 99.9 | 106.0 |
| Aute 1 | 102.5 | 122.0 | 1.06.3 | 122.8 | 97.5 | 75.1 | 98.1 | 106.0 |
| Sopt. 1 | 102.3 | 123.2 | 106.6 | 12I. 7 | 95.8 | 77.8 | 98.2 | $10+.5$ |
| Oct. 1 | 97.3 | 7.24, 2 | 207.3 | $22^{1}+5$ | 96.1 | 80.9 | 96.4 | 99.7 |
| Nov. 1 | 95.4 | 120.0 | LC5.0- | 118.6́ | 96.3 | 67.7 |  | 101.9 |
| Doce 1 | 96.7 | 108.7 | 204.8 | 118. 7 | 9) ${ }_{\text {+ }} \mathrm{O}$ | 72.3 | 93.2 | 98.3 |
| Jan. 1, 1932 |  | 100. $\mathrm{L}^{2}$ |  | 10\%.9 | 91.3 | 83.5 | 92.5 | 91.1 |
| Feb. 1 | 87.4 | Ioc. | 97.8 | 104.5 | 90.2 | $8 . .4$ | 89.6 | 90.1 |
| Mar. 1 | 89.0 | $10 \ldots 9$ | 97.3 | 36.6 | 30.4 | 80.4 | 88.5 | 87.8 |
| Apr. 1 | 91.2 | 102.0 | 97.5 | 101.7 | 87.4 | 89.8 | 86.8 | 87.8 |
| May I | 91.1 | 2 Cl 4.0 | 97.5 | 102.5 | 86.9 | 88,3 | 86.1 | 87.6 |

Relativa Woight of Froiopment bu Cities as at May 1, 1932.
$\begin{array}{lllllll}16.7 & 1.6 & 245 & 1.6 & 3.3 & 1.4 & 4.4\end{array}$

Note: The "Relative Wolght" in Table 3 shows the proportion of employees in the indicated industry to the total number of all employoes reported in Canada on the date under review.

TABIE 3.- INDEX NUMBERS OF EMPLOYMENT BY INDUSTRIES, (AVERAGE CALENDAR YEAR 1926=100).

|  | Industriea | Manf. | Log. | M1n. | Comm. | Trans. | Constr. | Serv. | Trade |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May 1, 1921 | 85.1 | 86.8 | 90.1 | 90.9 | 88.6 | 86.8 | 56.9 | 82.1 | 93.5 |
| May 1, 1922 | 84.3 | 85.5 | 66.8 | 94.4 | 86.3 | 91.1 | 62.0 | 79.9 | 89.4 |
| May 1, 1923 | 92.5 | 97.9 | 86.2 | 101.1 | 85.7 | 93.9 | 62.3 | 81.1 | 91.0 |
| May 1, 1924 | 92.9 | 94.9 | 98.1 | 108.1 | 93.0 | 97.8 | 68.2 | 90.3 | 91.2 |
| May 1, 1925 | 91.9 | 93.7 | 85.6 | 98.6 | 94.0 | 92.6 | 77.1 | 91.8 | 94.2 |
| May 1, 1926 | 95.4 | 98.8 | 72.7 | 93.0 | 99.5 | 94.9 | 82.6 | 95.7 | 96.3 |
| May 1, 1927 | 101.8 | 103.9 | 82.8 | 103.6 | 103.5 | 100.8 | 95.0 | 101.5 | 104.4 |
| May 1, 1928 | 106.8 | 109.0 | 78.5 | 111.5 | 105.0 | 100.7 | 103.7 | 111.7 | 111.7 |
| Jan. 1, 1929 | 109.1 | 107.3 | 171.0 | 116.2 | 112.6 | 102.6 | 87.4 | 118.0 | 128.5 |
| Feb . 1 | 110.5 | 112.8 | 178.3 | 117.8 | 110.9 | 101.6 | 79.3 | 117.3 | 119.7 |
| Mar. 1 | 111.4 | 115.7 | 167.8 | 115.9 | 112.0 | 99.8 | 80.0 | 118.4 | 117.8 |
| Apr. 1 | 110.4 | 116.5 | 83.1 | 112.9 | 113.5 | 101.8 | 85.4 | 121.1 | 122.5 |
| May 1 | 116.2 | 119.8 | 75.8 | 115.6 | 117.3 | 108.1 | 112.0 | 121.6 | 124.0 |
| June 1 | 122.2 | 121.2 | 92.7 | 115.8 | 120.9 | 113.9 | 144.6 | 131.1 | 126.0 |
| July 1 | 124.7 | 120:3 | 80.1 | 119.5 | 123.8 | 117.5 | 164.5 | 145.4 | 127.7 |
| Aug. 1 | 127.8 | 121.6 | 74.0 | 122.1 | 126.0 | 117.2 | 186.8 | 146.6 | 126.1 |
| Sept. 1 | 126.8 | 119.8 | 83.6 | 123.8 | 128.8 | 117.2 | 181.3 | 146.6 | 127.8 |
| Oct. I | 125.6 | 120.2 | 117.1 | 126.6 | 128.1 | 114.3 | 162.4 | 147.0 | 128.2 |
| Nov. I | 124.6 | 117.2 | 173.3 | 128.0 | 125.8 | 113.8 | 153.6 | 131.6 | 130.7 |
| Dec. 1 | 119.1 | 112.8 | 212.3 | 127.2 | 127.5 | 108.4 | 119.0 | 125.3 | 135.4 |
| Jan. If 1930 | 111.2 | 106.5 | 200.2 | 122.5 | 128.2 | 101.9 | 92.7 | 123.5 | 133.8 |
| Feb. 1 | 111.6 | 110.2 | 209.8 | 123.0 | 120.7 | 98.2 | 88.0 | 125.2 | 124.6 |
| Mart 1 | 110.2 | 110.9 | 178.3 | 119.8 | 118.7 | 97.7 | 83.7 | 125:0 | 123.0 |
| Apr. 1 | 107.8 | 111.3 | 87.6 | 114.5 | 117.1 | 99.5 | 86.4 | 126.1 | 123.1 |
| May 1 | 111.4 | 112.4 | 63.5 | 114.1 | 117.3 | 104.3 | 112.0 | 128.9 | 125.6 |
| June 1 | 116.5 | 113.6 | 90.0 | 115.6 | 119.6 | 108.0 | 137.0 | 134.7 | 127.6 |
| July 1 | 118.9 | 111.3 | 82.1 | 113.8 | 119.7 | 108.0 | 170.1 | 142.7 | 129.5 |
| Aug. 1 | 118.8 | 110.2 | 61.5 | 115.5 | 121.0 | 108.9 | 179.8 | 142.4 | 126.4 |
| Sept. I | 116.6 | 108:2 | 34.3 | 116.6 | 120.9 | 110.2 | 169.2 | 143.4 | 127.3 |
| Oct. 1 | 116.2 | 107.8 | 70.8 | 118.9 | 119.5 | 110.1 | 163.0 | 13617 | 127.9 |
| Nov. 1 | 112.9 | 104.6 | 90.9 | 121.9 | 119.9 | 106.0 | 148.8 | 126.9 | 129.2 |
| Dec. 1 | 108.5 | 100.6 | 106.5 | 117.8 | 115.3 | 102.5 | 127.3 | 123.9 | 134.8 |
| Jan. 1, 1931 | 101.7 | 93.7 | 107.6 | 114.4 | 110.6 | 95.9 | 110.7 | 123.2 | 132.9 |
| Feb. 1 | 100.7 | 96.1 | 102.2 | 111.6 | 106.6 | 94.0 | 104.5 | 122.2 | 123.1 |
| Mar ${ }^{1}$ | 100.2 | 97.6 | 82.7 | 109:5 | 103.9 | 93.2 | 101.1 | 121.8 | 122.0 |
| Apr. 1 | 99.7 | 99.7 | 42.9 | 108.1 | 103.3 | 94.3 | 96.8 | 122.0 | 123.1 |
| May 1 | 102.2 | 100.7 | 55.9 | 106.0 | 104.0 | 96.6 | 106.6 | 123.1 | 123.3 |
| June 1 | 103.6 | 99.4 | 53.3 | 105.3 | 104.7 | 98.6 | 121.8 | 125.9 | 124.0 |
| July 1 | 103.8 | 97.2 | 38.5 | 104.1 | 104.8 | 97.7 | 137.1 | 130.8 | 124.0 |
| Aug. 1 | 105.2 | 94.7 | 28.8 | 104.5 | 105.9 | 97.8 | 162.8 | 133.0 | 120.9 |
| Sept.1 | 107.1 | 94.7 | 30.5 | 105.6 | 105.8 | 97.8 | 176.8 | 134.8 | 120.5 |
| Oct. 1 | 103.9 | 91.8 | 42.2 | 108.2 | 104.2 | 95.2 | 164.5 | +25.5 | 120.8 |
| Nov. 1 | 103.0 | 88.8 | 63.7 | 107.9 | 102.4 | 95.4 | 165.4 | 117.5 | 122.8 |
| Dec. 1 | 99.1 | 89.6 | 73.1 | 107.5 | 100.5 | 93.5 | 128.8 | 216.1 | 125.6 |
| Jan. I; 1932 | 91.6 | 83.9 | 68.7 | 105.1 | 98.1 | 85.6 | 104.8 | 1.14 .4 | 125.7 |
| Feb, 1 | 89.7 | 85.9 | 68.5 | 102.4 | 97.3 | 83.4 | 90.4 | 112.1 | 117.2 |
| Mar. 1 | 88.7 | 87.0 | 60.6 | 101.1 | 95.2 | 81.9 | 83.3 | 114.7 | 113.6 |
| Apr. 1 | 87.5 | 87.3 | 31.1 | 101.0 | 93.9 | 81.9 | 79.9 | 113.9 | 114.3 |
| May 1 | 87.5 | 85.8 | 32.5 | 97.9 | 94.1 | 84.3 | 83.2 | 114.7 | 116.2 |

Relativo Weight of Employment by Induatries as at May 1, 1932.
$\begin{array}{llllllllll}10060 & 53.0 & 1.1 & 5.5 & 3.1 & 12.4 & 11.8 & 2.7 & 10.4\end{array}$


TABIE 5.-INDEX NUMBERS OF EMPLOYMENT BY ECONOMIC AREAS AND INDUSTRISS (Average 1926).


I/ Poportion of employees in indicated industry in an area to the total number of erployeas reported in that area by the firms making returns.


| Cities and Industries 1/ | 1/Relative Weight | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Kav } 1 \\ & 1932 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \Delta p= \\ & 193 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \mathrm{May} \\ \hline 293 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } 1 \\ & 1930 \end{aligned}$ | $1929$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Kay } 1 \\ & 1.927 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Montreal - Manufacturing | 61.7 | 88.4 | 59.5 | 105: | 113 | 114 | 106. | 103.4 |
| Plant products - edible | 4.0 | 95: 2 | 93.9 | 111,5 | $\because 05.1$ | 99.8 | 86 | 92:8 |
| Pulp and paper (chiefly printing) | 4.7 | 103.5 | -6.9 | 207.9 | 113.2 | 110.2 | 113. | 102. 6 |
| Textilos | 13.5 | 92.5 |  | 96.3 |  | 98. 1 | 103 | 105.5 |
| Tobacco, distilled and mail liquors |  | 125 | 120. | 1.2. | 120,4 | 177.5 |  |  |
| Iron and steel | 12,6 | 67.6 | 72.9 | 203 | 120.9 | 135 |  | 108.4 |
| Other manufactures | 20,5 | 90,2 | 9\%.8 | 131.4 | 1.18? | 112.3 |  | 101.3 |
| Comminications | 3.6 | 84.6 | 85.2 | 91.9 | 107.0 | 122.4 | 210 | 103.9 |
| Transportation | 10.3 | 88.7 | 78.8 | 96.5 | 88,6 | 103.0 |  | 39.2 |
| Construction | 10.1 | 85.2 | 89. | 1.66 .4 | 91.0 | 102.5 | 102 | 96.2. |
| Trade | 11.1 | 122.2 | 121. | 128.7 | 27, 3 | 127.8 | 112 | 104.3. |
| Montreal - 111 Industries | 100.0 | 91.1 | 91.2 | 107.0 | 1170.8 | 11.4 .2 | 105 | 101.9 |
| Queboc - Menufacturing | 65.9 | 107.3 | 107.1 | 121.0 | $11+8$ | 116.3 | 128. | 104. 5 |
| Leather products | 23.0 | 126. 11 | 118.5 | 227.9 | 99.0 | 101.0 | 122. | 108.? |
| Other manufactures | 42.9 | 102.9 | -01. | 227. | 122.1 | 12.4,4 | 116.6 | 102. 5 |
| Transportation | 11.7 | -107. 7 |  | 215. | i14.9 | 103.2 | 117. | 96.0 |
| Construction | 6.6 | 13.7 | 70.0 | 1854. 6 | 226. 5 | 148.1 | 76 | 98.6 |
| Queboc - . 111 Industries | 100.0 | 104.0 | 102.0 | 125.7 | 115.3 | 21? $]$ | 112 | 105.3 |
| Toronto - Manufacturing | 60.4 | 91.4 | 92.5 | 20.45 | 112.0 | 718.8 | 108. | 204.5 |
| Plant products - edible | 5.6 | 104.1 | 105.1 | 12.1 | 11.7 .4 | $21+3$ | 107 | 103.2 |
| Printing and publishing | 8.8 | 105.7 | 108.5 | 3.14 .1 | 1.20,? | 119.? | 108.0 | 103.1 |
| Textiles | 12.1 | 90.9 | 91:7 | 205.2 | 110.1 | 108.3 | 101,2 | 201.1 |
| Iron and steel | 9.1 | 71.4 | 71.7 | 95.3 | 116.3 | 150.5 | 315.8 | 110,7 |
| Other manufactures | 24.8 | 94.2 | 95.1 | 102:0 | $10 \%$ | 111. | ? 08 | 105.0 |
| Cormunications | 4.1 | 85.5 | 85.2 | 93.7 | 105.4 | 111.3 | 100 | 105.7 |
| Transportation | 6.8 | 99.8 | 93:9 | 127.4 | 135.2 | 129.9 | 107. | 301.4 |
| Construction | 4.5 | 92.6 | 89.0 | 120.3 | 137.6 | 142.6 | 154. | 133.? |
| Trade | 20.2 | 118.7 | 3.17, 4 | 128. | 125.0 | -19,4 | 109. | 103.8 |
| Toronto - 111 Industries | 100.0 | 97.5 | 97.8 | 111. 4 | 11.7 .8 | 120.7 | 110. | 105.3 |
| ottara - Monufacturing | 44.1 | 85.5 | 87.4 | 105.8 | 117.6 | 119,8 | 120. | 110.7 |
| Lurber products | 4.3 | 46.7 | 47.5 | 65.3 | 115. 2 | 124:2 | 124. | 118. 4 |
| Pulp and paper | 15.8 | 84.6 | 86.8 | iC8, 2 | 1098 | 128,8 | 210 | 102.9 |
| Other manufactures | 24.0 | 101.1 | 102.5 | 3.21. | 164,2 | 124.9 | 128 | 114.6 |
| Construction | 16.3 | 153.7 | 142.z | 205. | 25. | 179.5 | 156. | 94.4 |
| Trade | 14.7 | 111.4 | 212. | 117. | 127. | 118.6 | 108: | 104.1 |
| Ottana - 111 Industrios | 100,0 | 102.5 | 101. 7 | 223.4 | 125.3 | 123.? | 120. | 108.5 |
| Earilton - Manufacturing | 73.6 | 77.8 | 80. 5 | 97.7 | 114.8 | 12S. | 104. | 101.6 |
| Teatiles | 18.5 | 81.6 | 54.0 | 8 4.3 | 9゙. 8 | 102. | 93 | 99.1 |
| Electrical apparatus | 10.8 | 96.3 | 98.4 | Iil. | 127.2 | $\therefore 350$, | 10. | 99.3 |
| Iron and steol | 20.6 | 56.1 | 60.2 | 93. | 323.2 | 150.6 | 1:0. | 104.9 |
| Other manufactures | 23.7 | 98 | 100.2 | 201 | 117. | 117. | 102. | 100.1 |
| Construction | 9.3 | 169.2 | $136 . ?$ | :59. | 173.4 | 219. | 203. | 207.6 |
| Trade | 7.5 | 105.3 | 106,8 | 118.1 | 12\%? | 127.4 | 119. | 95,0 |
| Hanilton - All Industries | 100.0 | 86.9 | 87. 1 | $+108.0$ | 118.4 | 130.6 | 104 | 102.5 |
| Tindsor - Manufacturing | 82.5 | 00.3 | 92, 3 | 106.3 | 147.4 | 295. | 13 . | 99.3 |
| Iron and steel | 63.1 | C5. 9 | 63. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 103.8 | 150.5 | 206.7 | 141. | 97.7 |
| Other manufactures | 19.4 | 108.1 | 107.7 | 118.7 | 1351 | - HE. 2 | 333. | 109.9 |
| Construction | 1.3 | 18.1 | 16. 2 | 57.7 | 181.9 | 164.7 | 127. | 85.5 |
| Windsor - 111 Industries | 100.0 | 88.3 | 89\% 5 | 105 | 150.5 | 189. | 136 |  |
| Minnipeg - Manufacturing | 47.1 | 86.8 | 92.3 | 153. | 118.6 | 221.5 | 1.2. | 39.9 |
| Inimi products - edible | 3.6 | 101.7 | 105. | 101 | 1.06 .0 | 205 | 102 | 90.7 |
| Plant products - edible | 4.3 | 109.1 | 106. | 103 | 11.0 .3 | 107. | 106. | 99.4 |
| Printing and publishing | 6.1 | 99.4 | 100. | 105 | 117.3 | 217.2 | 120 | 103.0 |
| Textiles | 4.8 | 100.7 | 105.6 | 104.8 | 107.0 | 120.2 | 114 | 1U6.0 |
| Other manufactures | 28.3 | 78.9 | ถ6. 3 | 92.2 | 128.3 | 131.1 | 876. | 99.0 |
| Transportation | 10.0 | 75. | 72.5 | 8.1. 7 | 95. | 101. | 101. | 101.3 |
| Construction | 3.7 | 48.5 | 45\%, 8 |  | 32.8 | 47.1 |  | 14.9 |
| Trade | 31.3 | 95.2 | 86.3 | 102.3 | 103.8 | 713 | 114. | 108.7 |
| Minnipes - 111 Industries | 100.0 | 56.1 | 66. 6 | 27. | 105 | 110,9 | 108. | 99.5 |
| Voncouver - Manufacturing | 36.2 | 80.1 | 87.4 | 96 | 1.06 .6 | 306. 1 | 150. | 1.03 .2 |
| Lumber products | 6.1 | 43.8 | 44.0 |  | 78. - | 88.0 | 88. | 101.9 |
| Other manufactures | 30.1 | 95.2 | 90.0 | 716. | 121.2 | 135.6 | 107. | 104.2 |
| Corrunications | 8.7 | 101.0 | 101.6 | 110.0 | 130.6 | 112.7 | 1.00 | 99.0 |
| Transportation | 19.9 | 96.3 | 97. | 1.05 .2 | 111.2 | 113.1 | $10 \%$ | 99.4 |
| Construction | 3.1 | 60.3 | 50. | 108.7 | 7 85.5 | 96.3 | 307. | S6. 0 |
| Services | 6.1 | 1. | 30. | 102. | 111.1 | 111.1 | 110. | 95. 8 |
| Trade | 20.8 | 106.9 | 106.5 | 15.9 | 120 | 119,4 | + 3.1 . | 109. 5 |
| Vancouver - . 111 Industries | 100.0 | 57.6 | 87.8 | 104. | $1.10,8$ | 109,9 |  | 101.4 |

1/Proportion of employees in indicated industry mithin a city to the wtal number of omployees reported in that city by the fims maldig returms.

