


The heavy curve is based upon the number of persons employed on the first day of the month by the firms reporting, compared with the average employment they afforded in the calendar year 1926 as 100 . The broken curve shows this crude curve corrected for seasonal variation as determined by the experience of the last decade.

Issued Jtne 2 -, 1934.
THE JUNE EMPLOYMENT SITUATION.

| Dorinion Statistician: | R. H. Coats, LU.D., F.R.S.C., F.S.S.(Hon.). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Chief, General Statistics Branch: | S.A. Cudmore, M.A., F.S.S. |
| In Charge Bmployment Statistics: | M. E. K. Roughsedge. |

According to data tabulated by the Dominion Bureau of Statistics 1 rom 8,040 firms throughout Canada, there was an important expansion in industrial activity at the begiming of June, restiling in the greatest increase in employment that has been reported in any month since June 1, 1930. The payrolls of the reporting employer increased from 856,548 on May 1 to 899,286 at the beginning of June, or by 42,738 persons. This gain of five per cent in the month was considerably larger than that reported on the same date in 1933 and was more than twice as great as the increase reported in efther 1932 or 1931. The index on June 1, 1934, stood at 96.6 , as compared with 92.0 in the preceding month, and 80.7 on Jinne 1. 1933. On the same date in the twelve preceding years, the index (average 1926-100) was as follows:-1932, 89.1; 1931, 103.6; 1930, 116.5; 1929, 122.2; 1926, 113.8; 1927, 107.2; 1926, 102.2; 1925. $95.6 ; 1924,96.4 ; 1923,98.5 ; 1922,90.3$ and 1921, 87.7 . The increase on June 1,1934 . considerably exceeded the average increase indicated between May and June in the years since 1920,

Pronounced advances were reported in manufacturing, the additions to staffs exceeding those noted on the same date in other years of the record, except 1922, 1923. 1928 and 1933; the favourable movement extended to nearly all classes of factory employment. In the non-manufacturing industries, all the major groups also showed improve. ment. There was lowered employment only in logging, and this was of a seasonal character. Trade, mining and services reported increases which compared favourably With the movement indicated on the corresponding date in 1933. The expansion in conatruction provided work for a substantial muber of workers, and was greater than on June 1 of last year, partly owing to unemployment relief work on the highways.

## IMPLO NEENT BY ECONOMIC AREAS.

Improvement was indicated in all of the ifve economic areas, firms in Ontario employing the greatest number of extra workers.

Maritime Provinces.- Slight gains were noted in the Maritime Provinces, according to statistics received from 619 employers with a cambined working force of 69,565 persons, as compared with 69,475 on May 1. This increase was smaller than that reported on June $I$ of last year, when considerably more workers had been taken on as an unemployment relief measure. Manufacturing (particularly in the lumber, iron and steel and pulp and paper divisions), and construction registered heightened activity. On the other hand, transportation and logging were seasonally slacker. Fmployment generally was in considerably larger volume than on June 1.1933.

Quebec.- Transportation, construction and mamufacturing recorded important increases in personnel in Quebec, and there were also gains in logging, mining, trade and services. Within the manufacturing division, pulp and paper, lumber, clay, glass and stone, textlle, iron and steel, mineral product and food factories reported the greatest improvement, while leather, tobacco and wood distillates were slacker. The gain indicated by the comoperating establishments provided work for considerably more persons than that noted on the same date of last year, when the index mas much lower. Statements were tabulated from 2,076 firms employing 247,876 morkers on June $1_{1}$ or 14,760 more than in their last monthly report.

Ontario.- Bmploytent in Ontario showed a decided advance which greatly exceeded that recorded on June 1, 1933, when the index was over twenty points lower. The payrolls of the 3,786 co-operating establishments aEgregated 395,760 amployees. as against 373,518 on May 1. Construction registered the greatest expansion and manufacturing also showed a large increase; the metal, food, lumber, rubber, clay, glass and stone, pulp and paper and electric current divisions showed decided improvement. Important gains also occurred in mining, transportation, services and trade.


Prairie Provinces.- Construction (especially railroad construction), transportation, services, logging and mamfacturing reported improvement in the Prairle Provinces; the food and lumber industries showed the greatest advances in the factory employment group. The employers whose statistics were tabulated employed 112,271 persons, as against 107,159 in the preceding month. Nuch smaller increases were indicated on June 1, 1933, and the index then was lower.

British Columbia. - An aggregate payroll of 73,814 workers was employed by the $\overline{877}$ reporting firms, which had 73,280 at the beginning of May. This increase was smaller than that noted on the same date in 1933. The index of employment, however, was higher than on June 1 of last year. Manufacturing recorded the most noteworthy expansion on the date under review; within this group, the improvem ment was unusually pronounced in edible animal products, bnt there were also gains in lumber mills, iron and steel and metal factories. Among the non-manufacturing groups, mining, commications and logging recorded heightened activity.

Tables 1 and 5 give index numbers by economic areas, while Chart 3 shows the course of employment in these areas since 1931, the curves being plotted from the statistics shown in Table 1.

## BMPLOMMENT BY CITIES.

The trend of employment was upward in seven of the eight cities for which separate compilations are made; Montreal, Quebec City, Toronto, Ottawa, Mamilton, Wlnnipeg and Vancouver all showed considerable gains, while a decline was noted in Windsor and the adjacent Border Cities.

Montreal.- Further improvement was recorded in Montreal, where the 1,212 cooperating firms employed 127,823 persons, an increase of 4,968 over their May 1 staff. Manufacturing, especially of food, mineral and 1 ron and steel products, reported increases, and construction and transportation were also busier. The index, at $86 . j$, was higher than in June, 1933, and the gains then indicated were amaller.

Quebec.- Continued increases were registered in Quebec City, chiefly in manufacturing, construction and trade. Statements were tabulated from 159 establishments having 12,687 workers, as against 12,485 in the preceding month. Larger advances had been indicated at the boginning of June of a year ago, but the index then was lower.

Toronto.- Returns were fumished by 1,277 employers in Toronto with 113,285 persons on their payrolls, compared with 112,138 on May 1. Manufacturing as a whole was brisker; there were losses in textile factories, but large gains in food, printing and publishing, textile and some other industries. Firms in transportation, services and trade also increased their employees. The index was higher than on June 1, 1933, when an expansion had also been indicated.

Ottawa.- Manufacturing showed heightened activity in Ottawa, particularly in lumber and pulp and paper mills. The gain, however, was not so great as at the boginning of June of last year, when a larger increase had occurred. A combined working force of 13.038 employees was racorded on June 1, 1934, by the 163 com operating firms, who had had 12,824 on their staffs in the preceding month.

Hamilton.- Considerable improvement was noted in Hamilton, where 256 employers reported 27,608 workers on their paylists, as compared with 26,748 at the beginning of Koy. This was the most decided increase for June 1 , since 1923, excopt in 1928 and 1933. Most of the increase took place in manufacturing, notably in textile, elay, glass and stone and iron and steel plants, while construction was also rather more active. Employment was quieter than on the same date of a year ago, when an exceptionally large increase was shown.

Windsor and the adjacent Border Cities. - Employment in the Border Cities showed a decrease on June 1, when data were recelved from 153 firms with 14,297 employees, or 268 fewer than at the beginning of May. Most of the loss took place in automoblle factories, while other groups reported only slight changes. A decrease had also boen registered on June 1, 1933, and employment was then in lesser volume.
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Wimnipeg.- An aggregate working force of $3^{4}, 791$ persons was indicated by the 419 employers whose statistics were received, and who had 34,423 employees on May 1. This increase was not so large as the one noted at the beginning of June of last year, but the index ther was lower. A large share of the gain recorded on the date under review was in manufactionng and construction, while other groups showed only slight changes.

Vancouver.- Manufacturing and services reported gains in Vancouver, the improvement in the former occurring largely in food and iron and steel factories. Statements were tabulated from 370 employers with 27,035 workers in their employ, as compared with 26,853 on May l. A $\varepsilon$ omewhat larger increase had been indicated on June 1 of a year ago: but the indox was then lower.

Index numbers for citios are given in Tables ? and 6 .
EMPLO MENT BY INIUSTRIES.
Mamufacturing.- There was a strongly upward moverent in manufacturing, which extended to most of the industries so clessifiok, and wo the ive economic areas, with the result that factory emoloyment showed the greatest advance recorded in any month since Feb. 1: 1930, exeept Feb. 1: 1934, while twe index mumber of employment, at 93.2 , was the highest since September, 1931. The increase was larger than the average seasona? Eain recorded on June 1 as compared with Kay 1 in the years since 2921; the advance also exceeded that indicated on June 1 in any previous year of the record, with the exception of 1922, 1923, 1928 and 1933. Statements were tabulatec. from 5,225 mamufacturers employing 464,879 operatives, as compared with 450,068 in the preceding month. Gains were registered in the buber, pulp and paper, fishpreserving, vegetable food, fron and steel: nuafierrous metal, clay, glass and stone, rubber, electric current and mineral product industries. The increases in lumber and pulp and pejer were particuiarly nuteworthy. Tobacco factories were seasonally slacker. Employment was in larger yolume than on iune 1, 1933, though the gain then reported in employment in nanufacturing had been on a larger scale.

Logging.- Hmployment in logging camps showed a decrease. Feturns were received from 268 fims employing 20,733 workers, or 1,578 less than in the preceding month. Gains had been indicated on Junc 1, 1033, but the index was then much lower.

Mining- Metallic ore and non-metalinc mineral rines (oxcept coal) were busier, but activity in the coal fields showed a seasonal decrease. The operators making returns, numbering 271, reported for june 1 an oggregate working force of 48,507, as against 47,310 in theix last retum. Gains in staffs had been noted on the same date $2 n$ 1933, but employment was then at a lower level.

Communications.- An improvement was indicated in telephones, and tolegraphs also showed a slightly upward tendency: S3 companies in thy ccimunication group reported 20,530 workers, or 293 more then on liay 1 . Employmentwas more active than at the beginning of June of Jast jear, when a decrease in personnel had been indicated.

Tranaportation.- The trend of employnent was unward in shipoing and stevedoring and steam railway operation, and street and electric railwava also increased the number of their employees. Data were received fron $38 i$ employers with 944,810 woricers on their payrolls, as compared with 92,608 on Nay 1。 This increase involved a much larger number of workers then that indicated ca June 1, 1933, When the index was lower than in the early sumer of tho present year.

Construction and Maintenance.- Further large edditions to staffs were shown in this group, in which 971 contractors reported 136,054 employees, as compared With 111,730 in the preceding month. All brenches of the industry registered heightened activity, but the most pronounced gains were reported on highway work. The volume of employment was greater then on Tuss $I_{1}$, 1933 ; however, a considerable proportion of the increase recorisd is tue to unmployment relief works.

Services.- Hotels and restaurants showed their customary seasonal increase, and laundries and dry-cleaning establishments also reported heightened activity. Statements were complied from 411 firms employing 24,103 persons, as compared with 23,342 on May 1. The index in this group was higher than on the same date in 1933, although the gains then indicated had been on a larger scale.

Trade. - Improvement was noted ir. wholesale and retail trade; 1,030 establishments reported 89,670 employees, or 728 more than in their last return. An increase had also occurred on June 1, 1933, when the index was lower. Most of the increase on the date under review took place in retail houses.

Tables 3 and 4 give index numbers by industries.

## EMPLOMMBNI IN GREAT BRITAIN.

Brployment on Apr. 23, 1934, showed a further improvement, which extended to most of the principal industries, according to The Ministry of Labour Gazette; among the approximately $12,880,000$ workers insured against unemployment in Great Britain and Northem Ireland, the percentage unemployed in all industries was 16.7 on Aur. 23 , 1934, as compared with 17.3 on Mar. 19, 1934, while on Apr. 24, 1933, the percentage was 21.3. Recent press reports state that there were $2,090,381$ persons registered as unemployed on May 14, or 57,814 femer than in the preceding month.

## EMPLO YENT IN MHE UNITED STATES.

(These notes are based upon the latest official reports recelved).
Froployment in manufacturing in the United States increased slightly in May, as compared with April, according to data tanulated by the Unitei States Bureau of Labor Statistics from 22,705 establishents in 90 of the principal manufacturing industries of the country, having in May 3,310,136 employees. This is a sample of approximately $50 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{c}$. of the total wage earners in all manufacturing industries in the United States. The index (average 1923-24-25=100) stood at 82.4, compared with 82.3 in April, 1934 and with 62.6 in May, 1933. Increases in employment were shown in 48 of the 90 industries surveyed. Durtng May, there wore important gains in the good, iron and steel, machinery, vehicle, non-fer rous metal, lumber, stone, clay and glass, and paper and printing industries. On the other hand, leather, rubber, tobacco, clothing and chemical factories were slacker.

The general improvement in the ermployment situation between April and May was also reflected in a number of the non-mamufacturing isidustries surveyed monthly by the Bureau of Labor Statistics. The largest gains occurred in quarries and non-metallic mines. Building construction, aithracite and bituminous coal-mining, dyoing and cleaning and some other industries also showed heightened activity. On the other hand, metalliferous mining and canning and preserving and a few other groups reported reductions in persomnel.

New Yorls.- Factory employment in the State of New York increased 1.7 p.c. from April to May, eccording to the New York State Department of Labor. The upard trend was not as widespread as in May, 1933, due to somewhat larger than usual seasonal drops in the clothing and textile groups, four major industrial groups showing improvement, while seven reported decreased activity. The preliminary index, calculated on the average for the years $1925-6-7$ as 100 , stood at 71.9 ; this was about 25.9 p.c. higher than in May, 1933. The food, tobaco, stone, clay and glass, chemical, oil and paint induatries recorded improvement in May 1934, as compared with April, while metal, pulp and paper, printing and paper goods and clothing and millinery factories showed seasomal losses.

Massachusetts.- Returns received by the Massachusetts Department of Labor and Industries from 1,488 representative manaciuring establishments showed that they amployed 241,231 persons in May, as compare with 245,355 in April, a decrease of 1.7 p.c. There were gains in paper and wood pulp and rubber goods factories.

Illinois.- According to the Illinois Department of Labor, employment in all innes increased 2.1 p.c., and in mamufactuxing 2.4 p.c. during May as compared With April. This is the fourth consecutive gain reported. Clay, glass and stone, metals, machinery and conveyances, wood, printing and paper, fur and leather and food factories reported large increases as compared with the preceding month.

Chart 4.- Employment ns Reported by Employers in the Manufacturing Industries, 1921-1934.



TABLE I.- INDEX NUMCBERS OF BMPLOYMENT BY ECONOMIC AREAS, (AVERAGE CALENDAR YEAR 1926=100)。

|  | Canada | Maritime Provinces | Quebec | Ontario | Prairle <br> Provinces | British Columbia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June 1, 1921 | 87.7 | 100.4 | 79.5 | 90.4 | 90.5 | 82.8 |
| June 1, 1922 | 90.3 | 98.1 | 84.0 | 93.5 | 92.2 | 85.7 |
| June 1, 1923 | 98.5 | 105.5 | 95.4 | 103.1 | 94.8 | 89.1 |
| June 1, 1924 | 96.4 | 101.0 | 95.3 | 98.1 | 93.4 | 91.7 |
| June 1, 1925 | 95.6 | 101.3 | 95.9 | 95.6 | 92.4 | 94.5 |
| June 1, 1926 | 102.2 | 98.7 | 103.7 | 101.4 | 102.8 | 103.5 |
| June 1, 1927 | 107.2 | 103.5 | 107.5 | 108.1 | 106.5 | 105.5 |
| June 1, 1928 | 113.8 | 107.2 | 110.7 | 115.5 | 121.5 | 109.9 |
| June 1, 1929 | 122.2 | 112.5 | 115.9 | 126.2 | 132.4 | 117.5 |
| June 1, 1930 | 116.5 | 122.4 | 114.5 | 117.8 | 115.8 | 113.3 |
| Jan. 1, 1931 | 101.7 | 119.3 | 99.3 | 100.1 | 106.4 | 94.1 |
| Fob. 1 | 100.7 | 110.6 | 98.8 | 101.7 | 101.0 | 93.8 |
| Mar. 1 | 100.2 | 104.5 | 99.7 | 101.6 | 98.6 | 93.8 |
| Apr. 1 | 99.7 | 102.3 | 98.5 | 102.4 | 97.7 | 92.4 |
| May 1 | 102.2 | 104.0 | 102.3 | 103.8 | 100.0 | 96.1 |
| June 1 | 103.6 | 105.2 | 104.3 | 104.2 | 103.3 | 97.9 |
| July 1 | 103.8 | 109.4 | 103.2 | 102.7 | 108.9 | 97.9 |
| Aug. 1 | 105.2 | 106.8 | 102.4 | 100.7 | 129.1 | 98.0 |
| Sept.1 | 107.1 | 102.7 | 109.8 | 100.7 | 130.0 | 96.0 |
| Oct. 1 | 103.9 | 102.6 | 101.6 | 99.3 | 129.1 | 95.9 |
| Nov. 1 | 103.0 | 116.6 | 96.2 | 98.1 | 128.2 | 98.9 |
| Doc. 1 | 99.1 | 112.7 | 94.7 | 99.3 | 106.0 | 90.5 |
| Jan. 1, 1932 | 91.6 | 111.1 | 86.3 | 93.8 | 92.8 | 80.6 |
| Feb . 1 | 89.7 | 99.9 | 85.9 | 92.7 | 91.3 | 77.5 |
| Mar. 1 | 88.7 | 93.1 | 86.5 | 91:8 | 88.2 | 78.7 |
| Apr. 1 | 87.5 | 88.3 | 85.0 | 91.1 | 86.1 | 80.9 |
| May 1 | 87.5 | 87.8 | 86.0 | 89.5 | 87.6 | 82.7 |
| June 1 | 89.1 | 96.4 | 87.8 | 89.9 | 89.3 | 83.7 |
| July 1 | 88.7 | 96.4 | 86.6 | 89.2 | 90.5 | 83.7 |
| Aug. 1 | 86.3 | 90.1 | 84.4 | 86.9 | 90.1 | 81.4 |
| Sept. 1 | 86.0 | 87.8 | 85.3 | 85.1 | 91.6 | 82.8 |
| Oct. 1 | 86.7 | 84.9 | 85.8 | 86.1 | 94.6 | 32.1 |
| Nov. 1 | 84.7 | 85.8 | 83.6 | 84.2 | 91.6 | 77.8 |
| Dec. 1 | 83.2 | 83.8 | 82.9 | 84.1 | 86.7 | 73.8 |
| Jan. 1, 1933 | 78.5 | 80.1 | 77.8 | 78.8 | 84.4 | 69.7 |
| Feb. 1 | 77.0 | 76.5 | 75.7 | 78.9 | 80.4 | 68.0 |
| Mar. 1 | 76.9 | 76.8 | 74.1 | 79.8 | 80.0 | 67.7 |
| Apr. 1 | 76.0 | 78.3 | 73.1 | 78.3 | 78.3 | 68.8 |
| May 1 | 77.6 | 80.3 | 75.4 | 79.5 | 79.2 | 72.2 |
| June 1 | 80.7 | 82.8 | 79.3 | 81.6 | 82.7 | 76.2 |
| July 1 | 84.5 | 89.9 | 83.0 | 85.0 | 85.0 | 81.8 |
| Aug. 1 | 87.1 | 93.0 | 84.8 | 86.6 | 90.5 | 87.3 |
| Sept. 1 | 88.5 | 91.5 | 87.0 | 88.1 | 90.7 | 89.2 |
| Oct. 1 | 90.4 | 90.9 | 89.1 | 89.6 | $98 \cdot 7$ | 85.6 |
| Nov. 1 | 91.3 | 90.2 | 92.2 | 91.4 | 94.6 | 84.0 |
| Dec. 1 | 91.8 | 93.4 | 92.4 | 93.3 | 89.3 | 85.4 |
| Jan. 1, 1934 | 88.6 | 97.0 | 86.3 | 91.2 | 86.4 | 80.4 |
| Feb. 1 , | 91.4 | 101.3 | 88.5 | 95.3 | 84.7 | 84.1 |
| Mar. 1 | 92.7 | 103.2 | 89.1 | 97.8 | 83.8 | 85.6 |
| Apr. 1 | 91.3 | 95.1 | 85.1 | 98.7 | 83.3 | 86.6 |
| May 1 | 92.0 | 98.3 | 85.5 | 98.5 | 85.4 | 88.4 |
| June 1 | 96.6 | 98.4 | 90.9 | 104.4 | 89.5 | 89.1 |

Relative Weight of Employment by Economic Areas as at June 1, 1934 e

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
100.0 & 7.7 & 27.6 & 44.0 & 12.5 & 8.2
\end{array}
$$

Note: The "Relative Weight", as given just above, shows the proportion of employees in the indicated areas to the total number of all employees reported in Canada by the firms making returns for the date under review.

TABLE 2. INLEX NUMBERS OF BMPLOYMENT BY PRINCIPAL CITIES, (AVARAGE CAJENDAR YEAR 1926=100).

|  | Montrea] | gus390 | Tororto | Ottawa | Hamil ton | Windsor | Finnipeg | Vancouver |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June 1, 1922 | 87.0 | - | 95.7 | - | - | - | 95.1 | 84.1 |
| June 1, 1923 | 96.6 | - | 99.3 | 116.7 | 99.6 | - | 89.2 | 82.0 |
| June 1, 1924 | 97.4 | . | 9.5 | 108.2 | 87.5 | - | 85.6 | 86.3 |
| June 1, 1925 | 96.8 | 95.8 | 05.8 | 106.6 | $88_{6} 3$ | - | 87.5 | 89.3 |
| June 1, 1926 | 104.5 | 90.3 | 99.7 | 105.9 | 101.1 | 111.1 | 99.2 | 99.7 |
| June 1: 1927 | 104.5 | J.10.9 | 107.0 | 111.5 | 105.5 | 98.5 | 101.3 | 103.7 |
| Tune 1, 1328 | 109.7 | 127.0 | 112.7 | 21.3 .4 | 109.0 | 147.3 | 110.7 | 107.4 |
| June 1, 2929 | 119.3 | 122.0 | 122.1 | 127.8 | 133.1 | 168.3 | 111.5 | 110.9 |
| June 1, 1930 | 116.6 | 122.3 | 128.5 | 130.4 | 118.0 | 149.4 | 107.1 | 110.8 |
| Jan. 1, 1931 | 102.4 | 127.0 | 207.5 | 172.6 | 103.5 | 89.4 | 98.2 | 107.0 |
| Feb. 1 | 102.8 | 120.7 | 107.7 | 113.4 | 106.1 | 96.9 | 96.8 | 108.4 |
| Mar. 1 | 105.1 | 123.3 | 107.5 | 1175 | 105.6 | 95.5 | 98.0 | 108.2 |
| Apr. 1 | 106.2 | 222.2 | 109.3 | 121.8 | 109.8 | 104.2 | 97.3 | 101.9 |
| Viay 1 | 107.0 | 125.7 | 211.4 | 123.4 | 108.0 | 105.5 | 97.1 | 104.6 |
| June 1 | 107.1 | 12607 | 110.3 | 123.4 | 103.9 | 99.5 | 98.8 | 106.9 |
| July 1 | 105.1 | 12'0'ć | 109.0 | 121.0 | 98.4 | 94.2 | 99.9 | 106.0 |
| Aug. 1 | 102.5 | 127.0 | 106.3 | 122.8 | 97.6 | 75.1 | 98.1 | 106.0 |
| Sept. 1 | 102.3 | 123.2 | 106. 5 | 121.7 | 95.8 | 77.8 | 98.2 | 104.5 |
| Oct. 1 | 97.3 | 124.2 | 107.3 | 124.5 | 96.1 | 80.9 | 96.4 | 99.7 |
| Nov. 1 | 95.4 | 120.0 | 105.6 | 115.6 | 96.3 | 67.7 | 93.5 | 101.9 |
| Dec. 1 | 95.7 | 108.7 | 104.8 | 112.7 | 94.0 | 72.3 | 93.2 | 98.3 |
| Jan. 1, 1932 | 88.0 | 100.8 | 99.6 | 108.9 | 91.3 | 83.5 | 92.5 | 91.1 |
| Feb .1 | 87.4 | 100.9 | 97.8 | 104.5 | 90.2 | 81.4 | 89.6 | 90.1 |
| Mar. 1 | 89.8 | 101.9 | 97.8 | 96.6 | 90.4 | 80.4 | 88.5 | 87.8 |
| Apr. 1 | 91.2 | 102.0 | 97.8 | 101.7 | 87.4 | 89.8 | 86.8 | 87.8 |
| Nay 1 | 91.1 | 104.0 | 97.5 | 102.5 | 86.9 | 88.3 | 86.1 | 87.6 |
| June 1 | 91.7 | 105.6 | 96.8 | 100.9 | 84.9 | 91.0 | 85.2 | 89.4 |
| July 1 | 88.6 | 104.3 | 94.6 | 99.3 | 84.4 | 89.6 | 87.0 | 88.7 |
| Aug. 1 | 85.5 | 101.0 | 92.3 | 97.6 | 80.6 | 80.0 | 86.0 | 87.9 |
| Sept. 1 | 86.3 | 105.8 | 91.6 | 98.0 | 77.1 | 71.8 | 85.1 | 89.0 |
| Oct. 1 | 88.0 | 100.2 | 93.5 | 94.4 | 77.6 | 58.7 | 85.6 | 88.5 |
| Nov. 1 | 84.8 | 98.5 | 92.5 | 94.1 | 77.8 | 62.5 | 84.3 | 87.9 |
| Dec. 1 | 85.1 | 95.9 | 91.2 | 92.6 | 76.6 | 63.7 | 82.2 | 85.8 |
| Jan. 1, 1933 | 77.5 | 92.6 | 86.5 | 85.8 | 70.7 | 63.9 | 80.8 | 82.5 |
| Feb. 1 | 76.1 | 88.9 | 84.7 | 85.7 | 70.4 | 67.2 | 77.8 | 81.2 |
| Mar. 1 | 75.8 | 92.3 | 84.4 | 85.5 | 70.8 | 70.5 | 78.0 | 80.5 |
| Apr. 1 | 76.4 | 92.7 | 85.0 | 85.3 | 70.9 | 79.0 | 78.0 | 79.0 |
| May 1 | 79.5 | 93.7 | 85.6 | 87.2 | 69.4 | 80.6 | 77.0 | 79.2 |
| June 1 | 80.6 | 96.8 | 85.5 | 91.1 | 75.6 | 78.9 | 79.4 | 81.9 |
| July 1 | 81.5 | 99.4 | 87.7 | 91.5 | 77. ? | 80.5 | 80.3 | 83.4 |
| Aug. 1 | 82.4 | 99.5 | 86.9 | 92.7 | 77.5 | 80.9 | 81.7 | 85.2 |
| Sept. 1 | 84.4 | 99.7 | 88.4 | 93.1 | 77.7 | 76.2 | 82.2 | 87.4 |
| Oct. 1 | 87.3 | 98.3 | 90.9 | 93.2 | 75.4 | 77.6 | 82.3 | 85.9 |
| Nov. 1 | 86.4 | 04.7 | 92.5 | 95.5 | 79.5 | 76.7 | 81.5 | 85.1 |
| Dec. 1 | 84.5 | 92.9 | 92.0 | 95.4 | 80.0 | 78.2 | 83.3 | 84.9 |
| Jan. 1, 1934 | 78.0 | 86.5 | 90.0 | 95.8 | 77.1 | 76.5 | 81.1 | 82.2 |
| Feb. 1 | 87.1 | 39.6 | 89.7 | 98.4 | 80.4 | 90.9 | 79.5 | 83.9 |
| Mar. 1 | 82.5 | 93.2 | 91.1 | 96.7 | 81.0 | 97.7 | 79.7 | 84.1 |
| Apr. 1 | 82.1 | 95.4 | 92.7 | 97.6 | 83.0 | 102.9 | 79.7 | 84.8 |
| May 1 | 82.9 | 96.3 | 92.9 | 100.8 | 83.9 | 109.3 | 81.2 | 85.9 |
| June 1 | 86.3 | 97.9 | 93.9 | 102.4 | 86.7 | 107.1 | 81.9 | 86.3 |

Relative Weight of Employment by Cities as at June 1, 1934.

$$
\begin{array}{lllllll}
14.2 & 1.4 & 12.6 & 1.4 & 3.1 & 1.6 & 3.9
\end{array}
$$

Note: The "Relative Feigint" as given jusi above shows the proportion of employees in the indicatad city to the total mumber of all employees reported in Canada by the firms making reiums for the date under review.
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|  | All <br> Industries | Manf. | Log. | Min. | Comm. | Trans. | Constr. | Sery. | Trado |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June 1, 1921 | 87.7 | 87.8 | 85.4 | 92.8 | 91.2 | 90.6 | 68.7 | 86.7 | 91.8 |
| June 1, 1922 | 90.3 | 91.1 | 67.7 | 96.9 | 86.5 | 98.1 | 79.4 | 83.8 | 89.3 |
| June 1, 1923 | 98.5 | 101.2 | 94.8 | 106.3 | 87.8 | 100.7 | 86.0 | 90.9 | 91.2 |
| June 1, 1924 | 96.4 | 95.7 | 96.6 | 108.5 | 94.5 | 101.6 | 90.4 | 95.1 | 91.8 |
| June 1, 1925 | 95.6 | 95.6 | 92.6 | 98.8 | 94.6 | 97.1 | 95.6 | 97.2 | 93.1 |
| June 1, 1926 | 102.2 | 101.6 | 96.4 | 96.5 | 100.4 | 102.1 | 114.5 | 100.9 | 96.7 |
| June 1, 1927 | 107.2 | 106.9 | 86.8 | 105.5 | 103.7 | 104.8 | 121.3 | 105.4 | 104.8 |
| June 1, 1928 | 113.8 | 112.6 | 85.9 | 112.3 | 106.9 | 108.0 | 136.8 | 118.4 | 113.7 |
| June 1. 1929 | 122.2 | 121.2 | 92.7 | 115.8 | 120.9 | 113.9 | 144.6 | 131.1 | 126.0 |
| June 1, 1930 | 116.5 | 113.6 | 90.0 | 115.6 | 119.6 | 108.0 | 137.0 | 134.7 | 127.6 |
| Jan. 1, 1931 | 101.7 | 93.7 | 107.6 | 114.4 | 110.6 | 95.9 | 110.7 | 123.2 | 132.9 |
| Feb. 1 | 100.7 | 96.1 | 102.2 | 111.6 | 106.6 | 94.0 | 104.5 | 122.2 | 123.1 |
| Mar. 1 | 100.2 | 97.6 | 82.7 | 109.5 | 103.9 | 93.2 | 101.1 | 121.8 | 122.0 |
| Apr. 1 | 99.7 | 99.7 | 42.9 | 108.1 | 103.3 | 94.3 | 96.8 | 122.0 | 123.1 |
| May 1 | 102.2 | 100.7 | 55.9 | 106.0 | 104.0 | 96.6 | 106.6 | 123.1 | 123.3 |
| June 1 | 103.6 | 99.4 | 53.3 | 105.3 | 104.7 | 98.6 | 121.8 | 125.9 | 124.0 |
| July 1 | 103.8 | 97.2 | 38.5 | 104.1 | 104.8 | 97.7 | 137.1 | 130.8 | 124.0 |
| Aug. 1 | 105.2 | 94.7 | 28.8 | 104.5 | 105.9 | 97.8 | 162.8 | 133.0 | 120.9 |
| Sept. 1 | 107.1 | 94.7 | 30.5 | 105.6 | 105.8 | 97.8 | 176.8 | 134.8 | 120.5 |
| Oct. 1 | 103.9 | 91.8 | 42.2 | 108.2 | 104.2 | 95.2 | 164.5 | 125.5 | 120.8 |
| Nov. 1 | 103.0 | 88.8 | 63.7 | 107.9 | 102.4 | 95.4 | 165.4 | 117.5 | 122.8 |
| Dec. 1 | 99.1 | 89.6 | 73.1 | 107.5 | 100.5 | 93.5 | 128.8 | 116.1 | 125.6 |
| Jan. 1, 1932 | 91.6 | 83.9 | 68.7 | 105.1 | 98.1 | 85.6 | 104.8 | 114.4 | 125.7 |
| Feb. 1 | 89.7 | 85.9 | 68.5 | 102.4 | 97.3 | 83.4 | 90.4 | 112.1 | 117.2 |
| Mar. 1 | 88.7 | 87.0 | 60.6 | 101.1 | 95.2 | 81.9 | 83.3 | 114.7 | 113.6 |
| Apr. 1 | 87.5 | 87.3 | 31.1 | 101.0 | 93.9 | 81.9 | 79.9 | 113.9 | 114.3 |
| May 1 | 87.5 | 85.8 | 32.5 | 97.9 | 94.1 | 84.3 | 83.2 | 114.7 | 116.2 |
| June 1 | 89.1 | 86.0 | 37.9 | 96.8 | 94.1 | 85.5 | 92.9 | 116.8 | 116.1 |
| Јuly 1 | 88.7 | 85.4 | 34.2 | 95.0 | 93.1 | 85.9 | 93.3 | 119.9 | 115.4 |
| Aug. 1 | 86.3 | 82.6 | 29.1 | 94.8 | 93.5 | 85.3 | 90.0 | 117.0 | 113.8 |
| Sopt. 1 | 86.0 | 83.1 | 26.0 | 96.5 | 92.9 | 86.5 | 84.4 | 119.4 | 113.1 |
| Oct. 1 | 86.7 | 84.1 | 28.4 | 98.2 | 91.2 | 87.2 | 84.3 | 109.8 | 114.5 |
| Nov. 1 | 84.7 | 81.7 | 37.9 | 101.2 | 89.6 | 84.5 | 77.9 | 106.5 | 115.4 |
| Dec. 1 | 83.2 | 80.3 | 56.2 | 99.9 | 89.3 | 83.9 | 67.6 | 103.7 | 117.8 |
| Jan. 1, 1933 | 78.5 | 74.4 | 74.5 | 96.9 | 87.5 | 78.3 | 58.5 | 102.2 | 119.6 |
| Feb. 1 | 77.0 | 75.0 | 67.3 | 94.0 | 85.7 | 75.0 | 56.2 | 104.2 | 109.4 |
| Mar. 1 | 76.9 | 75.8 | 57.1 | 94.6 | 85.6 | 74.1 | 56.5 | 102.9 | 107.3 |
| Apr. 1 | 76.0 | 76.0 | 35.6 | 91.4 | 84.5 | 74.2 | 54.7 | 102.5 | 107.6 |
| May 1 | 77.6 | 76.8 | 35.1 | 89.9 | 83.7 | 78.9 | 60.8 | 99.9 | 108.6 |
| June 1 | 80.7 | 80.0 | 40.7 | 91.4 | 83.2 | 79.0 | 67.8 | 106.2 | 109.1 |
| July 1 | 84.5 | 83.0 | 49.5 | 93.1 | 84.0 | 80.5 | 78.2 | 111.5 | 111.8 |
| Aug. 1 | 87.1 | 85.2 | 48.9 | 97.4 | 83.6 | 81.2 | 88.4 | 111.8 | 110.5 |
| Sept.1 | 88.5 | 86.8 | 48.3 | 100.4 | 83.8 | 82.5 | 88.4 | 113.8 | 111.8 |
| Oct. 1 | 90.4 | 86.7 | 64.7 | 105.8 | 82.5 | 82.7 | 97.0 | 108.1 | 115.0 |
| Nov. 1 | 91.3 | 86.5 | 110.3 | 109.7 | 81.1 | 81.4 | 94.6 | 107.9 | 115.6 |
| Dec. 1 | 91.8 | 84.4 | 166.5 | 105.5 | 81.0 | 79.8 | 94.6 | 108.8 | 119.1 |
| Jan. 1, 1934 | 88.6 | 80.0 | 168.8 | 106.8 | 78.4 | 76.3 | 88.1 | 109.8 | 122.3 |
| Feb. 1 | 91.4 | 84.2 | 174.0 | 109.4 | 76.8 | 76.2 | 98.0 | 108.7 | 111.6 |
| Mar. 1 | 92.7 | 86.5 | 153.3 | 108.9 | 76.7 | 78.0 | 100.8 | 109.3 | 112.5 |
| Apr. 1 | 91.3 | 88.1 | 104.9 | 103.3 | 76.8 | 75.9 | 95.8 | 121.8 | 116.1 |
| May 1 | 92.0 | 90.2 | 80.5 | 103.6 | 76.9 | 78.5 | 95.8 | 111.7 | 115.6 |
| June 1 | 96.6 | 93.2 | 75.0 | 106.2 | 78.0 | 80.3 | 116.7 | 115.4 | 116.5 |

Relative Weight of Bmployment by Industries as at June 1, 1934. $\begin{array}{lllllllll}100.0 & 51.7 & 2.3 & 5.4 & 2.3 & 10.5 & 15.1 & 2.7 & 10.0\end{array}$

Note: The "Relative Feight", as given just above, shows the proportion of employees in the indicated industry to the total number of all employees reported in Canada by the firms making returns for the date under review.


1! the "Relative Weight" column show the proportion that the number of employees in the indicate industry is of the total number of employees reported in all induetries by the $f$ imas iading returns on the date under review.
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TABLF 6. - INDEX NUMBERS OF EMPTO YMENT BY CITIES AND PRINCIPAL INDUSTRIES (Average 1926=100)
Cities and Industries
Montreal - All Nanufacturing
Piant products - edible
Pulp and paper(chiefly printiag)
Textiles
Tobacco, alstilled and malt Hquars

Other manufactures
Communications
Transportation
Construction
Trade
Moatreal - All Industries
Quebac - All Manufacturing
Irather products
Other manufactures
Transportation
Construction
Quebec - All Industries
Toronto - All Manufacturing Flant products - edible Printing and publishing l'oxtiles
Iron and a teel
Other manufactures
Ccmmanications
Transportation
Construction
Trade
Toronto - All Industries
Ottawa - All Manufacturing
Lumber products
Pulp and paper
Othor manufactures
Construction
Trade
Ottawa - All Industries
Hamilton - All Manufacturing Textiles
Ilectrical apparatus
Iron and steel
Other manufactures
Construction
Trade
Hamilton - All Industries
Windsor - All Manufacturing Zron and a teel other manufactures Construction
Windsor - All Industries
Winnipeg - All Manufacturing Animal products - edible Plant products - edible Printing and publishing Textiles
Otier manulactures
Transportation
Construction
Trade
Winnipeg - All Indus tries
Vancouver -All Mamufacturing Lumber products
Other manufactures
Communications
Transportation
Construction
Services
Trade
Vancouver - All Industries
TP-...........
64.4
4.6
4.8
14.9
13.5
13.1


[^0]:    $-\frac{1}{2}$

[^1]:    

