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## PREFACE

This special report is being published as a supplement to the "Annal Review of Pinploymont and Payrolls in Canada, $1943^{\prime \prime}$, primarily to supply an estimate of total employment, by sex, in the industries covered by the Monthly survey for oct. 1,1943 , (the number of male and female wageearners reporting to the Monthly Survey on October 1,1943 , is discussed in Section 5 of Part 1 of the Annual Review for 1943) end, secondly, for the purpose of showing the extent to which total employment in these industries is covered by the Monthly Survey. In 1936 a special report was pubdished by the Dominion Bureau of Statistics giving a compar son of the industrial distribution of the workers included in the Monthly Employment Survey with the industrial distribution of the workers enumerated in the Census of June 1, 1931. In the present report comparison of employment reported to the Monthly Survey for June 1, 1941 , 1B made with the employment statistics from the 1941 Census for the same date. It is interesting to note that the Monthly Survey for June 1,1941 , covered 59 jer cent of the total number of wage-eamers employed on that date as shown by the 1941 Census, and 79 per cent of the total employed in the industries included in the Monthly Survey, as compared with corresponding percentages of 45 per cont and 69 per cent for the Census date, June $1,1931$. The increased coverage of employment by the Monthly Survey between 1931 and 1941 was mainly due to the addition of finance during this period to the industries covered by the Survey, to the larger representation of fins in trade, transportation and certain other industries since the 1931 Census, and to the greater wartime concentration of wage-earmers in the manufacturing industries, in which the coverage of the Monthly Survey is particularly high.

The Dominion Bureau of Statistics takes this opportunity of publicly expressing its appreciation of the cooperation rendered by fins and their officers in furnishing the monthly statictics on employment and payrolls. To their promptness and regularity in reporting is largely due the generally representative nature of the monthly surveys of employment. It is gratifying to find an increasing interest on the part of those completing the monthly questionnaires as manifested in a desire fully to understand just what data are required, and to supply these in as great detail as possible. To these payroll officials, the Bureau wishes to extend its sincere thanks for their cooperation and interest in this highly important wort.


Dominion Statistician.

## AN ESTTMATE OF TOTAL EMPLOMMENT AMOMG WAGE- EARNERS ON OCTOBIER 1, 1945 <br> IN <br> INIUSTRIES RTPORIING TO THE MONTHTY SURVIT OF TRTOMITGT

In estimating the total working population for periods subsequent to the 1941 Cengue arogh method of procedure would be to apply the Bureau's Monthly Indexes of hployment for auoh perioda, taling the index for June 2, 1941, the Census date, as a base, to the total gaintully occupied recorded as of June 2, 1941. Thus, if the index of employnent for June, 1944, for exemple, be shown to have if sen to 115 from 100.0 on the basic date June 2, 1941, then the gainfully occupied total as of the 1941 Cansus would be increased by 15 per cent to represent the expansion in the labour force since the fate of the Census.

This procedure, of course, is liable to serious error for it puts the monthly inder of enployment to a use for whioh it was not designed. The index is intended to measure mployent trends, prizerily in industmel employment, but not to be used as a measure of cherges in the composition of the total working population. It 18 not possible, therefore, to accurately estimate ohanges in the total gaisfully occupied, including the number of workers, of employer, own acoount, and no pey status by following the method described above. Fren in using this device only for the purpose of estimating ahanges in the total number of rage-oarners, allowance must be nade for certain constantly recurring ohanges in oocupationel status, which cannot be measured by the eaployment iader. Mor ecemple, it hes bese shown(1) that when a decline in employment is recorded by the index an indeterminate muber of perans arop out of the wagemarner ranks altogether. Many of these return to the fam, and sane to fealy businessen fo resume oither own cocount or no pey morking atatue. Others actually wharaw from the labour force entirely. Some of the latter will retuxin to school, others may take mp household duties in their own homes, and still others, in the alder ages, retire permanently from gainoul mployment. On the othor hind, when the index rises the expension in cmploynent indicated by such a rise representa the addition of persons with no previous experience as wage-earners to the wage-earniag olement in the working popuLation, as well as the return to ployment of wage-sarners previously umployed.

The index(8) of ployment can be used to estimate changes in the aggragate number of wageearners employed but only for industriea adequataly covered by the Monthly Survey of haployent. It should, bowever, be emphosized that employment trends in most industries subject to significant fiuotuations in employment fall into thi category.

Before considering the extent of the coverage of employment in induatries roporting to the Bureau's Monthly Survey of Paployment it ght be userul to indlate the fiald of enployment not represented by the Monthy Surves. Briefly, the llonthly Survey does not cover the primary industries of agriculture, flohing and trapping, and the major part of the services. Among the latter eduoation, hoalth, lev, religion and other profesional services are not represented in the Monthly Survey of Faployeant, nor are Dominion, Provincial and Kunicipal Goverment serfices covered. Theatres and recreational serFices are not included, nor are business services. Important branches of personal service, such as domestic service, barber and halrdresalng establishments, do not report to the Monthly Survey of minloyent. Flinally, most custom and repair services are not covered by the Monthly Survey. The excluelon of the i.ntter group 18, of course, purely for prectical ressous, the operating units therein being too tall to yencet tho ealloction of monthly returns.

For the industries covered by the Bureau's Monthly Surrey of mploysent the size of the semplo of ennlogiank recorded depends very largely upon the proportion of fines in each iadustry employing 15 or more workerb, sinoe the survey does not generally include fins whioh employ lose than 15 persons. For exemple, the Monthly Survey of mployment for June 1, 1941, showed 875, 318 eployees on the peyrolls of manufacturing firms on that date, or 95.5 per cent of the total wage-eamers at work in manufacturing (3) on the Census date, June 2, 1941, acoording to 12 nal ifgure from the 1941 Censue, while the 160,617 $=$ ployees on the peyrolls of trading establishmente reporting to the Monthly Survery on June 1,1941 , represented only 47.2 per cont of the totel wage-earners at mori in trade on June 2,1941 , as shown by the 1941 Census.

In the case of trade, and, to a leeser extent, construction and logging, (See Teble 1) the question might be raised as to whether or not the trend of eaployment shom for flme amploying 15 or
(1) See Pages 233-234 and 281-283 of Cansus Monograph No. 11, Unemploynent, 1932 Census.
(2) The index of anployment is adjusted for the inclusion of fime nemly added to the mafling list, as well as for declines in employment resulting from the closing of businesees or branches.
(3) Wage-earners employed in custom and repair establishents were included in manuracturiag at the 1941 census.
more persons is typical of the industry as a whole. Does expansion and cortraction in empoyment take place at a ralatively faster rate in the case of fime covered by the Mouthy survey than anong amallor finms? If such is the case the fact mould be sfgaficant only if the difference in the rate of expension or contraction of amployment between larger and amaller fime 1 s marked and the maber and proportion of persons employed by the smeller fizms in the industry were a considerable figure.

Table 1 shows the total monber of wage-earners employed on the Cencus date, June 2, 1841, by industry, for Canade, the mober on the paymolls of firms in each industry reporting to the Monthly Sar vey of mployment as of June l, 1941, and the percentage of the totel employed in each industry that was covered by the Monthly Survey as of chat date. Due to differences in industrial alasiflcation between the Cansus and the Monthly Surver of maploymant, and the fact that the source of information on enployment in the cenous is the indifidual while in the case of the Monthly Survey it is the fim, the comparison has bean limited to the bioeder industry groups. To eliminate anj sigaifioant error due to alaselfication differences some rearrangements of the detailed industry figures from the Monthly survey of mployment for June 1, 1941, has beon made.

It is probable that the Iggure for mamiacturlig on Jume 1,1941 , from the Monthly gurvey of Bnployment includes acme amployees in the onles offices of manifacturing firm who would have bean alasafied to trade in the Population Census. With regard to mining it would appear that the high coverage shown by the Lonthly Survey for June 1, 1941, mey, in gmall part, be due to some miners belng reported to the Monthly Survey as recelvify wages in the payroll period nearest to June 1, 1941, who were aotualiy on ley-off by June 2, 1941, the date of the Census. A final ifgure of the total number of wage-earners in mining at the Census date was 91,443 , of whom 5,596 were out of a jov or on leysoff on that date. In addition, eme casual workers might have been reported on the payrolle of more than one minigg compamy in the interval covered by the payroll period nearest to June 1, 194.

Table 1.- Total wage-earners employed on Census date, June 2, 1941, by 1 ndustry and sex, and showing number and per cent of wage-earners covered by Montwly Suxvey of Laployment for June 1, 1941, by Industry, for Canada.

| Industry | Wago-earners exployed June, 1941 |  |  |  | P.C. <br> Col. (d) is <br> of Col. (a) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1941 Census (June 2) |  |  | Monthly Survey (June 1) |  |
|  | Totel | Male | Fenale |  |  |
|  | (a) | (b) | (0) | (d) |  |
| Total all industries. | $\begin{gathered} (1) \\ 2,693,119 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} (1) \\ 2,019,268 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} (1) \\ 673,851 \end{gathered}$ | 1,587,879 | 58.96 |
| Industries included in Monthly Survey. | 1,999,833 | 1,537,045 | 362, 788 | 1,587,879 | 79.40 |
| Logging . . . | 77,459 | 77,018 | 441 | 46,928 | 60.58 |
| Mining. . . | 85,84.7 | 85,278 | 569 | 85, 148 | 96.86 |
| Manuracturing . | 914, 753 | 742,726 | 172,027 | 873, 318 | 95.47 |
| Construction. | 165,500 | 165,238 | 1,362 | 131,765 | 79.09 |
| Transportation. . . | 242,417 | 223,090 | 19,327 | 194,023 | 80.04 |
| Trade and finance.......... | 420,410 | 295,471 | 124,939 | 220,576 | 52.47 |
| Trade. . . . . . . . . . . . | 340,271 | 242,859 | 97,412 | 160,617 | 47.20 |
| Retal. . | 253,0 ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ ? | 120,813 | 82, 224 | 122, 381 | 48.56 |
| Wholesale . . . | 87,234 | 72,045 | 15, 188 | 38,236 | 43.83 |
| Fnance. . . . ${ }^{\text {F . . . . . }}$ | 80,139 | 52, 512 | 27,527 | 59,959 | 74.82 |
| Service - personal (2) ...... | 92,347 | 48,224 | 44,123 | 38,121 | 412.28 |
| Industifes not inclused in <br> Monthly Surver | 693,286 | 382,223 | 311,063 | - | - |
| Agriculture . . . . . . ..... | 172,353 | 170,392 | 1,961 | - | - |
| Fishing and trappligg . ......... | 7,358 | 7,291 | 67 | - | - |

(1) Wege-e8zners amployed in unspeaified incustries on the census date, June 2,1941 , have been distributed on a proportional basis emng the industry groups shown in this table.
(2) Includes "Dyeing, clearing, pressing", "Botels and lodging houses", "Laundering", and "Restaurants, caŕs, tavaras".

Table 1.- Total wage-arners amployed on Census date, June 2, 1941, by industry and sox, and showing number and per cent of wege-earners covered by Monthly Survey of Rmployment for June 1, 1941, by Industry, for Conade - (Con.)

| Industry | Wage-aarners employed June, 1941 |  |  |  | P.c. Col. (d) is of Col. (a) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1941 Census (June 2) |  |  | Monthly Survey (June 1) |  |
|  | Totel | Mele | Female |  |  |
|  | (a) | (b) | (c) | (d) |  |
| InGu till we unt breludud in Monthly Sutwo - (Con.) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (1) | (1) | (1) |  |  |
| Service l.e. not included above)(3). | 513,575 | 204,540 | 309,035 | - | - |
| Proressiotal. . . . . . . . . | 177,941 | 62,322 | 115,619 | - | - |
| Public. . | 136,092 | 108,418 | 27,674 | - | - |
| Recreational. | 13,475 | 10,992 | 2,483 | - | - |
| Business ${ }^{\text {aj }}$. . | 9,227 | 6,502 | 2,725 | - | - |
| Perbonal ${ }^{(3)}$. . . . . . | 176,840 | 16,306 | 160,534 | - | - |
| Domestic a . . . . . | 162,062 | 7,578 | 154,484 |  | - |

For footnote (1) see Page 4.
i*) For the part of this industry group not included here see footnote (2), Page 4.

The table shows that the Bureau's Monthly Survey of Enployment covers a very large sample of amployed persons in mining, manufacturing, and transportation, and about four-fifths of the total in cosstruction and finance on June 1, 1941. It is evident that for theee industries the Bureau's employment index can be satisfactorily used to estimate total employment at intercensal periods.

From what evidence there is on the trend of employment anong trading establishents of various sizes, as shown in Table 2, it would appear that the Bureau's employment index for trade might be employed with reasonable accuracy to estimate employment trends for the small trading establishments not reporting to the Morthly Survey of mployment.

Although 79 per cent of the wage-earners employed in construction at the date of the Census were covered by the Monthly Survey for June 1, 1941, Table 2 shows the trend of employment over the period June 1, 1941, to June 1, 1943, by aize of Hl in, for this industry, because of general interest in the employmert exnorience of small fins in construction. Here again there were no marked differences in emplapment trends among firms of varying size in the industry.

With regard to logging, about three-fliths of the total wage-earnere employed at the Census Gete, June 2, 1941, were reported to the Monthly Survey for the same period. Although this is a substanthal covoroge it might be stated here that, for the roasons given on page 7 , some edjustment might be srde in the use of the Bureau's employment index for this industry in estimating total employment in loget ing on October 1, 2943.

The personal serfice establishents reporting to the Monthly Survey of moployment had 38,121 persons on their payrolls on June 1, 1941, or 41.3 per cent of the total wage-earners employed in these services on the Cencus date, June 2, 1941. Since most of the establishents in the dyeing and cleaning, laundry, hotel and restaurent services employ less than 50 persons, it is likely that the trend of employment shom for the reporting firms in the personal servicer would be fadrly typical of the trend of total employment in these branches of personal service.

Sumarizing the data in Table 1, it may be stated that the Bureau's Monthly Survey of Bmployment for June 1, 1941, covered 80 per cent of the total wage-earners employed at the date of the Census, June 2, 1941, in the industries included in the Monthly Survey. If to the wage-earners employ ed in theas industries are added the number of wage-a日rners employed in agm culture, fishigg and trapping, the various branches of serfice already mentioned, then the coverage of the Monthly Survey of Bmployment for June I, 1941, will b sean to be about 60 per cont of the totel wage-earners employed in all industries at the 1941 Census.

Since importent chenges in amployment over short periods are not common to most of the indugtries and services not covered by the Monthly Survey, it may be concluded that the Bureau"s Monthly Survery
of Imployment gives a falrly complete plcture of the month-to-month fluctuations in enploymont in Canadian 1ndustry, though the more gradual shifts of amployment between industries not covered by the Monthly Surveg and those included in the Survey are more dificult to measure. For example, the extent to which meles have been leaving exployment in agriculture and females dropping out of domestic services in wartime to take jobs in manufacturing and other industries covered by the Monthly Survey cannot be fully geasured. Conversely, whem employment declines in these industries it may not be jossible to determine to what extent wage-earners being released from these industries are being abaorbed in agriculture or in dometio service.

In order to obtain some information on the relative expanainn of employment since the 1941 Consue among establishments of varying size in logging, in the constivction industry, and in trade, a tabulation of the firms 1 In these industries covered by the Monthly Survey of Fmploymeat was mede according to the following method. For all fims operating over this period the number of employees reported for June 1 of 1941, 1942 and 1943 was punched on a machine card. The cards for these fime were then sorted into the size groupe shown in the following table and then tabulated to give the number of employees on the peyrolle of the firms on June 1 of each year from 1941 to 1943 . Taking June 1 , 1941, as the base period, indexes of employment were calculated for June 1,1942 , and June 1,1943 , from the deta on the total number of employeos in each size group at these pesiods. The regults of this tabulation are sumarized in fable 2.

 for Gentisa.

| Slze of firmb | NumberofFirms | Number or viage-cinuers |  |  | imploymen index <br> (June 1, 1941. as base) |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Requtiva } \\ & \text { Meight, } \\ & \text { June } 1, \\ & 1941 \\ & \text { (1) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } 1, \\ & 1941 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } 1, \\ & 1942 \end{aligned}$ | Juze 1, 1943 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 1, } \\ & 1941 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 1, } \\ & 1942 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } 1 \text {. } \\ & 1943 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Logetrg |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total 11 mme and employees. | 198 | 42,584 | 48,582 | 37,304 | 100.0 | 114.1 | 87.6 | 100.0 |
| Less than 50 employees. . | 65 | 2,115 | 3,163 | 2,512 | 100.0 | 149.6 | 118.8 | 5.0 |
| 50 - 99 employees . | 45 | 3,203 | 3,588 | 2,817 | 100.0 | 112.0 | 87.9 | 7.5 |
| $100-999$ * | 81 | 26,955 | 29,481 | 22,642 | 100.0 | 109.4 | 84.0 | 63.3 |
| 1,000 anployees and over. | 7 | 10,311 | 12,350 | 9,333 | 100.0 | 119.8 | 90.5 | 24.2 |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total fizms and employees. | 844 | 134,249 | 135,442 | 119,464 | 100.0 | 100.9 | 89.0 | 100.0 |
| Less than 50 employees. . | 600 | 24,974 | 24,810 | 22,879 | 100.0 | 99.3 | 91.6 | 18.6 |
| $50-99$ exployeas. | 126 | 12,708 | 12,890 | 11,670 | 100.0 | 101.4 | 91.8 | 9.5 |
| 100-999 | 96 | 24,263 | 24,077 | 21,058 | 100.0 | 99.2 | 86.8 | 18.3 |
| 1,000 amployees and over. | 22 | 72, 304 | 73, 665 | 63,857 | 100.0 | 101.9 | 88.3 | 53.9 |
| Trade |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total firms and employees. | 1,871 | 149,051 | 145,933 | 143,649 | 100.0 | 97.9 | 96.4 | 100.0 |
| Less than 50 employees. | 1,346 | 38,490 | 37,042 | 37,051 | 100.0 | 96.2 | 96.3 | 25.8 |
| $50-99$ employees | 322 | 25, 403 | 24,588 | 23,822 | 100.0 | 96.8 | 93.8 | 17.0 |
| 100-999 ** | 192 | 52,359 | 50,650 | 49,977 | 100.0 | 96.7 | 95.5 | 35.1 |
| 1,000 nployees and over. | 11 | 32,799 | 33,653 | 32,799 | 100.0 | 102.6 | 100.0 | 22.0 |

(1) Based on number of employees in eech size group.

The table shows that the index for all sizas of establishants in trade on June 1 , 1943 , was 96.4 as compared with 100.0 on June 1,1941 , and that for the firms in each size group except the largest, that 1s, the group comprising firme employing 1,000 or more persons, the variation from the figure was amall. For the latter group, the index was 100.0 on June 1,1943 . In other words, for ali but the 11 largest firms, employing 22 per cent of all persons on the peyrolls of reporting fims in trade on the bealc date, Jume 1, 1941, there was some decilne in employment over the period under review. The employment trend for the 1,346 firms in the smallest size group, $1 . \theta$. firms with less than 50 employeas, 18 almost 1 dentical with that shown for all reporting fims in trade. If this evidenco can be taken as a guide it would suggest that the trend of employment over this period anong the many anall rima in trade not reportiag to the Monthly Survey probably did not differ materially from the trend shown for the sample of reporting firms. On the Bssumption then that the trend of employment shown for firms 1 n trade reporting to the Monthly Sur Tey of koployment accurately represents aployment trends in the industry as a whole, the monthy index hes been used in Table 3 for the purpose of estimating total employment in trade on October 1 . 1943.

With regard to construction, it will be noted that the employment index on June 1 , 1943 , for IIms in each size group showed no pronounced variation from the fipure, 89.0 , the index for all reporting HIms on that date. The table discloses thet the decline in employment in this industry since June 1, 1941, was slightly greater anong the firms employing over 100 amployees than among those amploying 50 to 100 persons, or less than 50 persons. These figures, however, would indicate that the trend of employment since June 1,1941 , among the emell fims in construction amploying less than 15 persons, which are not covered by the Monthly Suryey, probably did not vary merkedly from the trend shome for the flime reporting to the Bureau's Monthly Survey of Rmployment. Eence it hes been assumed that the employment index for the reporting firme in conctruction may be used for estimating total employment in this industry on October l, 1943.

It Will be noted that in logeing the fims employing less than 50 persons reported about 20 per cont more employees on their payrolls on June l, 1943 , thea on June 1 , 1941 , whlle for all roporting fins in this industry employment on June 1,1943 , wes somewhat lower than on the basic date, June 1 , 1941 the index of employment laving fallen to 87.6 on June 1,1943 , from the base fi gura of 100.0 on June 1 , 1941. If these figures can be taken as indicative of the tread of employment over this period among the smeller logging fims not reporting to the Bureau's Monthly Survey of Enployment, then some adjustment might be made in the employment index for logging as of 0ctobor 1, 1943, in estimatine total employment in this industry on that date. Applying the rete of increase between June 1,1941 , and June 1,1943 , for logging ilms enploying less than 50 persons, as shown in Table 2 , to the 40 per cont of employed wage axmers in logeing on June 1,1941 , not covered by the Monthly Survey of Paployment, would bring the sotimate of total muber of employed persons in this industry as of 0ctober 1,1943 , to roughly 80,000 . Itioldentally, the employment index for logging on October 1, 1943, based on June $1,1941, ~ a s ~ 100.0, ~ w a s$ 9*. 2, only a slight change from the figure of 93.1 for June $1,1943$.

In Table 3 an estimate of the total wage-earners employed on October 1 , 1943, in the industries covered by the Monthly Survey of Bmployment wil be found. With the exception of mining, and, possibly, of loggins, construction and retail trade, total employment was higher on October 1 , 1943 , than on the basic date June 1. 1941, for each indubtry included in the Monthly Survey of Fmployment. The percentage increase ia employment between June 1,1041 , and October 1,1943 , for all $1 a d u s t r i e s$ covered by the Monthly Survey Fas 22.0 per cent. Manufacturing showed the largest increase over ths perlod - about 38 per cent - while emong other expending industries the increases in employment were much smaller. In one or two industries there was no appreciable change 10 employment on October 1 , 1943 , as compared with June 1. 1941.

In the last colum of this table the estimated total number of wage-earners employed on october 1,1943 , in the industivies covered by the Morthly Survey is given. The figures show that there were $2,379,635$ persons employed in these ladustries on that date. Over half of these, or $1,258,700$, were employed in manufacturing industries, includiag eleotric light and power. As stated above, if it were possible to obtain exact correspondence between the 1941 Census classification of manufacturing and that of the Monthly Survey the estimate of total employment in this industry as of October l, 1943 , probably would have been slightly higher. Anong the remaining industries orly transportation and the personal shrices covered by the Monthly Survey showed auy significant gain in the number of wage-earners in employmant as of October 1, 1943. In Transportation the 14 per cent increase in employment since the Cencus date whought the estimated total number of wage-earners employed on October $1,1943,4 p$ to $277,083$.
ar
Table 3. - Eatimated total maber of wage-eamers erployed in industries covered by the Konthly Survey of Employment as of October 1, 1943.

| Industry | Wage-aarnere mployed June, 1941 |  | Wageearnersreportedto MonthlySurvey onOct. 1943 | Buployment Index <br> Oct. 1, 1943 <br> (June 1, 1941 as bses) | Rstimated total wage- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 1941 \\ \text { Ceasus } \\ \text { (Juge 2) } \end{gathered}$ | Monthly Survery (June 1) |  |  | earnera employed Oct. 1, 1943 |
| Incustmes included in Monthy Saryey. | $\begin{gathered} (1) \\ 1,999,833 \end{gathered}$ | 1,567,878 | 1,950,131 | $\begin{gathered} (2) \\ 122.0 \end{gathered}$ | (3) $2,379,635$ |
| Logging . . . . . | 77,459 | 46,928 | 43,690 | (4) 92.2 | (4) 71,417 |
| Minag. . . . . . | 85, 847 | 83, 248 | 72, 780 | 87.8 | 75,374 |
| Mamuracturins . . . . | 214,753 | 873,328 | $1,208,037$ | 137.6 | 1,258,700 |

(1) See footnote (1) to Table 1.
$(2),(3),(4)$ Soe footmoter (2), (3) and (4), Page 8.

Table 3.- Estimated total number of wage-earaers employed in induatrias covered by the Monthly Survey of Baployment as of October 1, 1943 - (Com.)

| Industry | Wage-oarners enploy ed June, 1941 |  | ageexrners reported to Monthly Surver on Cature 1943 | Buployneat Index Oct.1, 1943 (June 1, 1941$\qquad$ ae bagel | Satimated total wageearzers amploy ed Qcixule 1043 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 1941 \\ \text { Census } \\ (\text { June 2) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Monthly } \\ & \text { Survey } \\ & \text { (June 1) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| Industries included in Monthly Survey - (Con.) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction. | 166,600 | 131,765 | 130,454 | 98.3 | 163,768 |
| Trensyortation. | 242,417 | 194,023 | 222,293 | 114.3 | 277,083 |
| Trade and finance | 420,410 | 220,576 | 238, 280 | 102.1 | 426,17 |
| Mrade : | 340,271 | 160,617 | 163,426 | 99.7 | 339.460 |
| Retall. . . . . | 253,057 | 122, 362 | 122,905 | 99.3 | 251, 266 |
| Wholesale. | 87,234 | 38,236 | 40,521 | 101.1 | 88,194 |
| Finance . . . . | 80,139 | 59,959 | 64,854 | 108.2 | 86, 710 |
| Service-perscnar (5) | 38.38 | 33, $2: 27$ |  |  | $102 \times 239$ |

(1) Sae footnote (1) to Tabis 1.
 in this columin do nat exactly correapond witz the pereaiztage changes incicated by tine figures in Colums 2 and 3 of Tabie 3 .
(3) Due to differences in relative weight of mumbrs employed in each industry in the Census as compared with Nonthly Survey, this figure was arrived st by addig the ostinates for each industry rather than applyiug the index for all industries (122.0) to tioe total eaployed on the Censue date, June 2, 1941. The estimated percentage increase in the total employed between June 2, 1941, and Detober 1 , $19 \leqslant 3$, on this basis would be approximstely 19.0 as compared with 22.0 for wage-earners covered by the Monthly Survey.
(4) If tige number employed by the mall non-roporting fims in logeling on October i, 1943, had increased Bince June 1, 1911, at about the same rete es for the reporting fime employing less than 50 exployges, then the estimated total employed pereons in logeing on october 1, 1943, would be approximately 80 , 000 rather than 71, 417 as shown in the table.
 cufón, tevarns".
 of famale to male wage-earmecs employod in each ludustry corered by the Monthly Survey (1). Tabie 4 shows the ratio of females to males employed in ench industry on June 2, lo4i, accordiag to the Ceasus, with comparative data for October l. 1943, from the fonthy Survey of Tmployment. Although the figures from these two sources are not exactly comparable, since the deta from the Mouthiy Survey of Raployment do not cover all Ifmo in those industries, nevertheless they give an approximate measure of the changes that heve occurred in the ratio of femsles to males employed in each indugtry gince June 2, 1941. From what has aiready been showa with respect to the coverage of the Monthly Survey of Buploymont it is evident that, whi tine poasibla exceptione of trade(2) and the persoral servies, the ratio of fealea to males in each jaduA try as of October 1. 1943, as given in Table 4, approximately represents the ratios that would beve been obtained from a complate censug of employment in these industries on that date. fenong the industifes in whicin famales are employed in considerable numbers it will be noted that the relative importance of worer: has iacerssad uyer the pericd Juise 2,1941 , ho Octsher 1,1943 . The percentago of femalee employed in manufacturing on October 1,1943 , had risen to 28 per cant from only 19 per cent on Juna 2 , 194l. In trade the proportion of femslos to the total employed rose irom about 30 to 50 per cent over this period,
(1) wee elso Supplemeat to votobor 1 , 1943 , Bulistio on Mployment and Peyrolls, in which an analysis ia made of tin sex distrioution of persons on the payrolle of reporting firms as of that date.
(2) Accorilig to the Department of Labour' $\sin$ Jan. 1,1943 Survery of Fmployment, only $44 \%$ of the total in retail tradn were femal as as compared with $51,3 \%$ and $55 . \%$ for Oct. 1,1342 and $194 \%$, respectifely, according to Mcnthiy Survey. It would appear from these Agures that the sualler stores not covered by Monthly Survoy employed a smallor proportion of females.

While in finance the percentage increased from 34 to 50 per cent between June 1, 1941, and October 1, 1943. For the branches of personal service covered by the Monthly Survey, ferales constituted 58 par cent of the total employed on Octobe: I, 1943, as compared with only 47 per cent on June 2,1941 . It should be added that had it been possible to obtain a oreakdown of the Monthly Survey figures by sex for June 1,1943 , it is likely that the ratio of femeles to males employed in most industries would not have been as high as on October 1, 1943.

Table 4.- Comparison of the ratio of feale to male wage-earners employed on the Census date, June 2, 2941, by industry, with the ratio shown by Monthly Survey of Baployment for October $1,1943$.

| Industry | Sex ratio |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1941 \text { Census, } \\ & \text { (Juns } 2,1941 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Monthiy Survey, } \\ & \text { (oct.2, 1943) } \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | $\underline{M}$ | F. | $\underline{\%}$ | F. |
| Industries included in Monthly Survey. . . . | 81.9 | 18.1 | 73.8 | 25.2 |
| Loseing. | 99.4 | 0.6 | 97.6 | 2.4 |
| Mining . . | 99.3 | 0.7 | 96.9 | 3.1 |
| Yanufactumas. | 81.2 | 18.8 | 72.1 | 27.9 |
| construction . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 99.2 | 0.8 | 97.1 | 2.9 |
| Trensportation ............... | 92.0 | 8.0 | 87.7 | 12.3 |
| Prade and fruance. | 70.3 | 29.7 | 50.4 | 49.6 |
| Trade. | 71.4 | 28.5 | 50.9 | 49.1 |
| Rotail | 67.5 | 32.5 | 44.3 | 55.7 |
| Wholesale. . . . | 82.6 | 17.4 | 70.9 | 29.1 |
| Finance. | 65.7 | 34.3 | 49.? | 50.8 |
| Serrice - personal (1)............. | 52.2 | 47.8 | 42.1 | 57.9 |

(1) Includes "Dyeing, claaning, pressing", "Fotals and lodging houses", "Laundering", and "Restaurants, cafós, taverns".

The extent to which females have replaced males in Canadian industry in wartime is perhaps better 1llustrated by the figures in Table 5 which show the number and percentage increase or decrease in male and female employment in each induatry reporting to the Monthly Survey between June 2, 1941, and October 1 , 1943. The figures in this table are only approximate since they were arrived at by breaking down the eatimated total wage-earners employed on Ootober 1, 2943, in the industries covered by the Monthly Survey of Pmployment, into males and females according to the sex ratio shown in Table 4 for wage-earners eaployed by reporting firms in these industries on that date. The increases or decreases in the muber of employed males and females between June 2, 1941, and Ootober 1, 1943, were then calculated from the totals employed on the Census date, as shown in Columan (b) and (c) of Table 1.

Table 5. - Estimated number and percentage increase or decrease in employment, by sex, in each industry covered by Monthly Survey, from the Census date, June 2, 1941, to October 1, 1943.

| industry | Male |  | Female |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Por Cont | Niumber | Per Cent |
| Industriea included in Monthly Survey. | 76,840 | 4.7 | 302,962 | 83.5 |
| Logeing. | -7,315 | -9.5 | 1,273 | (1) |
| Mining . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | -12,241 | $-14.4$ | 1,768 | (1) |
| Mamiacturing. . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 164,797 | 22.2 | 179,150 | 104.1 |
| Construction . . . . . . . . . . . . | -6, 219 | -3.8 | 3,387 | (1) |
| Trangportation . . . . . . . . . . . . | 19,912 | 8.9 | 14,754 | 76.3 |

(1) Due to the fact that the number of femal amploy in these industries is very anall no percentage increases are shown.

Table 5.- Estimated number and percentage increase or decrease in employment, by sex, in each industry coverad by Monthly Survey, from the Census date, June 2, 1941, to October 1, 1943 - (Con.)

| Industry | Male |  | Femele |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Per Cent | Number | Per Cont |
| Industries included in Montbly Survey - (Con.) |  |  |  |  |
| Trade and $\mathrm{finamoo..............}$. | -78,969 | -26.7 | 84,729 | 67.8 |
| Trade. . . . | -69,018 | -28.4 | 68,207 | 70.0 |
| Retail . . . . . . . . . | -59,502 | -34.8 | 57,731 | 70.2 |
| Wholesole. . . . . . . . . | -9,516 | -13.2 | 10,476 | 69.0 |
| Tinance. . . . . . . | -9,951 | -18.9 | 16.522 | 60.0 |
| Service - personal ${ }^{(2)}$..... | $-3,125$ | 6.5 | 17,901 | 40.6 |

(2) Includes "Dyeing, cleaning, pressing", "Hotels and lodging houses". "Laundering", and "estanants, cesés, taverne".

Table 5 shows that there has been only a 4.7 per cent increase in the number of male wage-earners employed in these 1 ndustries between June 2, 1941, and October 1, 1943, whereas females have increased by just under 84 per cent. These percentages may be compared with the index numbers in Table 3, whioh indicate the percentage increaseb, by industry, over this period for both sexes combined. In the primery inductries, in trade, finance and the personal services, employment of males bas actually declined, the estimated decline in employment in retail trade representing 59,502 persons, or over one-thi rd of the total male wageearners anployed in this industry on June 2, 1941. There was little change over this period in totel male employment in construction, while only manufacturing and tranoportation registered gains. The great expansion in production in manufacturing industries in wartime was accompanied by a 22.2 per cent increase in males, or an estimated addition of 164,797 employed in this industry between June 2, 1941, and October 1 , 1943, while increased activity in the transportation industry was indicated by about a 9 per cent increase in the number of male wage-earners employed in the industry between these two dates.

Table 5 measures the extent to which male wege-earners have withdrawn from some of the less essential industries aince June 2, 1941, for service in the Armed Forces or to fill more essential jobs olsewhere, chl efly in the manufacturing industries. Although mining and logging would be classed anong the more ensential industries in wartime, the index shows a decrease in employment in these industries on October 1 , 1943 , as compared with June 2, 1941. In the case of mining this decrease has been largely due to the sharp cur tailment in employment in gold mining that has taken place since the date of the census.

Table 5 indicates how large and widespread has been the expansion in female amployment throughoui the range of industries covered by the Monthly Survey of mployment. Not only have the number of women employed in the mamfacturing industries more then doubled since June 2, 1941, Mising to 351,177 by October 1 , 1943 , but also in the less essential flelds of amployment in wartime, such as trade, finance and auch personal secvices as laundries, dyeing and cleaning establishents, hotels and restaurants, their numbers have increased very substantially. In transportation and trade female employment had risen by 14, 754 and 68,207 respectively, or over ' 70 per cent betweer the Census date, June 2, 1941, and October 1, 1943, while in finance the rise was about 60 per cent, and, in the personal services mentioned above, the increase was 40.5 per cont over this period. In all industries covered by the Monthly survey the estimated increase in total female employment between the Census date and October 1, 1943, mounted to 302,962 or 83.5 per cent.

The muber of male and fente wagemearners employed by fims reporting to the Monthy Survey on October 1, 1943, and the estimeted total males and ferales employed on that date in the industries covered by the Monthly Survey are classified by industry in Table 6. The table shows how the eatimated changes in the numbers of males and famales employed in these industries between the census date, June 2, 1941, and October 1,1943 , as shown in Table 5 would have affected the total numbers of male and fenale wage-earners employed in industries covered by the Moathly Survey on the latter date. The estimated Ifgures in the last two colums of this table should be compared with Cols. (b) and (c) of Table 1.

Table 6. - Nuber of male and fenale wage-earners employed by itms raporting to Monthly Survey on october 1 , 1943 , by industry, and estimated total male and famele wagemearners employed in these industries on that date.

(1) Includes "Dyeing, cleaning, pressing", "Hotels and lodging houses", "Laundering", and "Restaurants, cafés, taverns".

